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COOPERATIVE TAGGING CENTER ANNUAL NEWSLETTER: 1996

The National Marine Fisheries Service's (NMFS) Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC) formed the Cooperative Tagging Center (CTC) in 1992 in response to the recent expansion of tag release and recapture activities, data requests from other tagging agencies, and domestic and international tagging research needs. The CTC encompasses a variety of functions and responsibilities including volunteer and scientific tagging activities, as well as other research projects such as tag development and tag performance research. While NMFS tagging activities are the main subject of this newsletter, other tagging efforts within or related to the CTC are also presented in this report. Visit the CTC on the world wide web at http://www.aoml.noaa.gov/sefsc/tag.html.

## CTC Historical Activities

The Cooperative Tagging Center (CTC) is a joint research effort by scientists and recreational and commercial fishermen. It is designed to provide information on the movements and biology of marine fish species in the Atlantic Ocean, Gulf of Mexico, and the Caribbean Sea through the direct participation of the public in scientific research. The CTC began in 1954 as the Cooperative Gamefish Tagging Program, with its focus on the tagging of bluefin tuna. Almost immediately, in response to growing concerns about other highly migratory species, the program expanded to encompass billfishes marlins, sailfish, spearfish, and swordfish. As time went by, and exploitation affected a larger number of fisheries, other species of fish were added to the program. At the same time, public awareness of overexploitation of fisheries increased and the attitude toward tagging programs began to gain widespread popularity. In recent years, the adoption of certain conservation practices have contributed towards the willingness of the public to participate in nonconsumptive fisheries that include tag-release and recapture programs. Today, there are over 27,000 registered participants contributing to the program, from virtually every segment of both the recreational and commercial fishing communities. The CTC tagging program, in terms of total billfishes and tunas released, has been exceptional in the last few years (Figure 1). The total recaptures of billfishes and tunas are presented in Figure 2.
particularly bluefin tuna and yellowfin tuna, which have always been the primary target species for our tagging program. Non-target inshore
 pelagic and demersal species (tarpon, grouper, snapper, mackerel), while still important, will be gradually reduced or eliminated due to limited resources.

## Boat/U.S. Starts Nearshore Tagging Program


#### Abstract

The BOAT/U.S. Clean Water Trust and the National Marine Fisheries Service recently approved a cooperative agreement to develop a tagging program for near-shore species in the western Atlantic. The program will be run and administered through BOAT/U.S. and the release and recapture data will be stored on the NMFS computer in Miami, FL. This agreement is very timely and will provide anglers with an alternative source of tagging materials for many of the near-shore species that the CTC will no longer handle. Anglers interested in obtaining information or participating in the The BOAT/U.S. tagging program can use their toll free phone at: 1-800-262-8872. Over the short term we will process all incoming data as we have in the past. However, there will be delays


## Recent Changes in the Volunteer Tagging Program

The NMFS Cooperative Tagging Center has limited tagging activities to highly migratory species. Volunteer tagging activities will continue to emphasize blue marlin, white marlin, sailfish, swordfish, and the tunas,

involved with processing non-target species. All tagging data, including the non-target species, will be maintained permanently in our computer database. As the situation changes we will keep you informed of the status of the tagging program. We appreciate your patience and understanding during this transition.


## 1994-1995 Releases and Recaptures: Target Species



Figure 1. Number of target species tagged per year by participants of the Cooperative Tagging Center, 19541995. Target species are sailfish, blue marlin, white marlin, swordfish, bluefin tuna, and yellowfin tuna.


Figure 2. Number of tagged target species recaptured per year by participants of the Cooperative Tagging Center, 1954-1995. Target species are sailfish, blue marlin, white marlin, swordfish, bluefin tuna, and yellowfin tuna.

## Sailfish

A total of 3,275 sailfish were tagged and released: 1,824 in 1994 and 1,451 in 1995. Of the total releases, 3,184 were released by recreational fishermen, 87 by commercial fishermen, and 4 by unspecified sources. As in previous years, a majority of sailfish tag releases $(1,791)$ took place off the southeast coast of Florida. Other areas where sailfish were tagged are listed in Table 1. There were 114 tagged sailfish recaptured: 74 in 1994 and 40 in 1995. There were 102 recaptured by recreational fishermen, 7 by commercial fishermen, and in 5 cases the type of fisherman was not reported. The release and recapture locations of recaptured sailfish are given in Table 2, and a graph showing the years atlarge is presented in Figure 3.


Figure 3. Years at-large for 1994-1995 sailfish recaptures.
Table 1. Location of 1994-1995 sailfish tag releases.

| Release Location | Total Tagged |
| :--- | ---: |
| SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 1791 |
| CANCUN, MEXICO | 316 |
| N. FLORIDA AND CAROLINAS | 251 |
| W. CENTRAL ATLANTIC | 185 |
| COZUMEL, MEXICO | 180 |
| LAGUAIRA, VENEZUELA | 132 |
| MID-ATLANTIC US COAST | 85 |
| UNSPECIFIED WATERBODY | 50 |
| TEXAS | 48 |
| NORTHERN BAHAMAS | 44 |
| GULF OF MEXICO | 43 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 34 |
| FLORIDA NORTHWEST | 28 |
| PUERTO RICO | 17 |
| WESTERN ATLANTIC | 12 |
| BARBADOS | 12 |
| LOUISIANA | 12 |
| SOUTHERN BAHAMAS | 8 |
| COSTA RICO AND PANAMA | 7 |
| WEST FLORIDA | 6 |
| CUBA | 4 |
| N.E. U.S. | 2 |
| ROSALIND BANK, OFF MEXICO | 1 |
| HISPANIOLA | 1 |
| BELIZE | 1 |
| TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO | 1 |

Because some recapture locations were not reported, tables summarizing release-recapture areas may contain fewer fish than the total number recaptured.


Figure 4. Movements of selected 1994-1995 tag-recaptured sailfish.
Table 2. Release and recapture areas for sailfish recaptured during 1994 and 1995.

| Release Location | Recapture Location | Total |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| BARBADOS | LAGUAIRA, VENEZUELA | 2 |
| CANCUN MEXICO | CANCUN, MEXICO | 2 |
|  | GRENADA | 1 |
|  | MEXICO | 1 |
|  | NORTHERN BAHAMAS | 1 |
| COZUMEL MEXICO | CANADA | 1 |
|  | CUBA | 2 |
|  | CUMANA, VENEZUELA | 1 |
|  | U.S. ATLANTIC COAST | 1 |
| GULF OF MEXICO | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 1 |
| LAGUAIRA WATERS | BARBADOS | 1 |
|  | GRENADA | 1 |
| U.S. ATLANTIC COAST | CANCUN, MEXICO | 1 |
|  | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 1 |
| N. FLORIDA AND | CUBA | 1 |
| CAROLINAS | JAMAICA | 1 |
|  | NORTHERN BAHAMA | 1 |
| WESTERN ATLANTIC | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 6 |
|  | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 1 |
| PUERTO RICO | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 1 |
| SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | CUBA | 1 |
|  | GRENADA | 1 |
|  | GULF OF MEXICO | 2 |
|  | LAGUAIRA, VENEZUELA | 1 |
|  | WESTERN ATLANTIC | 2 |
|  | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 78 |

The longest straight line distance traveled (a minimum estimate of movement which provides no insight into the true route taken) by a recaptured sailfish was $1,503 \mathrm{~nm}$. The fish was released off Cancun, Mexico, and recaptured 236 days later off the island of Grenada (Figure 4.). Selected movements for 1994-1995 recaptured sailfish are also presented in Figure 4. The longest time at-large for a recaptured sailfish was 1,854
days for a fish released 12/27/88 off Islamorada, FL and recaptured off Islamorada, FL on 1/24/94.

## Blue Marlin

A total of 2,223 blue marlin were tagged and released: 1,134 in 1994 and 1,089 in 1995. Of the total releases, 2,031 were released by recreational fishermen, 191 by commercial fishermen, and 1 by an unspecified source. A majority of blue marlin tag releases (398) took place off the Virgin Islands. Other areas where blue marlin were tagged and released are listed in Table 3. There were 24 tagged blue marlin recaptured: 14 in 1994 and 10 in 1995. There were 13 recaptured by recreational fishermen, 4 by longline commercial fishermen, 3 by gillnet commercial fishermen, 1 by handline, and in 3 cases the type of gear was not reported. The release and recapture locations of recaptured blue marlin are given in Table 4, and a graph showing the years at-large is presented in Figure 5.


The longest straight line distance by a recaptured blue marlin was $1,531 \mathrm{~nm}$. The fish was released off St. Lucia in the Caribbean and recaptured 140 days later off Ocean City, MD. Selected movements for 1994-1995 recaptured blue marlin are presented in Figure 6. The longest time at-large for a recaptured blue marlin was 3,042 days. This fish was released 10/16/85 off LaGuiara, Venezuela and recaptured near the same location on 2/13/94.

Table 3. Location of 1994-1995 blue marlin tag releases.
VIRGIN Release Location Total Tagged
VIRGIN ISLANDS $\quad 398$
PUERTO RICO 318

NORTHERN BAHAMA 232
LOUISIANA 207
LAGUAIRA, VENEZUELA 174
U.S. MID-ATLANTIC COAST 150

TEXAS 128
N. FLORIDA AND CAROLINAS 123

FLORIDA NORTHWEST 101
EASTERN ATLANTIC 77
GULF OF MEXICO 60
SOUTHEAST FLORIDA 55
WESTERN ATLANTIC 33
UNSPECIFIED LOCATION 31
SOUTHERN BAHAMAS 27
BARBADOS 23
BERMUDA 20
CANCUN MEXICO 15
VENEZUELA 9
WEST FLORIDA 6
COZUMEL, MEXICO 6
HISPANIOLA 5
BELIZE 5
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO 4
JAMAICA 4
CUMANA, VENEZUELA 3
N.E. U.S. 3

CUBA 2
COSTA RICA AND PANAMA 1
CANADA


## White Marlin

A total of 1,765 white marlin were tagged and released: 866 in 1994 and 899 in 1995. Of the total releases, 1,469 were released by recreational fishermen, 281 by commercial fishermen, and 15 by unspecified sources. A majority of white marlin tag releases (734) took place off the eastern U.S. Other areas where white marlin were tagged and released are listed in Table 5. There were 45 tagged white marlin recaptured: 29 in 1994 and 16 in 1995. There were 22 recaptured by recreational fishermen, 19 by longline commercial fishermen, and in 4 cases the type of fisherman was not reported.


Figure 7. Years at-large for 1994-1995 white marlin recaptures

A graph showing the years at-large is presented in Figure 7. The release and recapture locations of recaptured white marlin are given in Table 6.

The longest straight line distance by a recaptured white marlin was $2,482 \mathrm{~nm}$. The fish was released off Cozumel, Mexico and recaptured 286 days later in the central Atlantic. Selected movements for 1994-1995 recaptured white marlin are presented in Figure 8.
Table 5. Location of 1994-1995 white marlin tag releases.

| Release Location | Total Tagged |
| :--- | ---: |
| EASTERN U.S. COAST | 734 |
| LAGUAIRA, VENEZUELA | 324 |
| FLORIDA NORTHWEST | 150 |
| WESTERN ATLANTIC | 81 |
| TEXAS | 69 |
| N. FLORIDA AND CAROLINAS | 64 |
| LOUISIANA | 63 |
| EASTERN ATLANTIC | 42 |
| NORTHERN BAHAMAS | 37 |
| CANCUN, MEXICO | 35 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 28 |
| SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 25 |
| PUERTO RICAN | 20 |
| COZUMEL, MEXICO | 18 |
| GULF OF MEXICO | 16 |
| UNSPECIFIED LOCATION | 15 |
| MOROCCO | 13 |
| SOUTHERN BAHAMAS | 9 |
| N.E. U.S. | 7 |
| WEST FLORIDA | 5 |
| BERMUDA | 4 |
| CUBA | 2 |
| HISPANIOLA | 2 |
| VENEZUELA | 2 |

The longest time at-large for a recaptured white marlin was 2,595 days. This fish was released $4 / 23 / 88$ off Hispaniola and recaptured 6/23/94 near LaGuaira, Venezuela.


Figure 8. Movements of selected 1994-1995 tag-recaptured white marlin.

Table 6. Release and recapture areas for white marlin recaptured during 1994 and 1995.

| Release Location | Recapture Location | Total |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| CANCUN, MEXICO | WESTERN ATLANTIC | 1 |
| FLORIDA NORTHWEST | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 1 |
| HISPANIOLA | LAGUAIRA, VEN. | 1 |
| LAGUAIRA, VEN | CUMANA, VENEZUELA | 5 |
|  | LAGUAIRA, VEN | 5 |
|  | VENEZUELA | 3 |
| U.S. MID-ATLANTIC | CUMANA, VENEZUELA | 4 |
| COAST | LAGUAIRA, VEN | 2 |
|  | U.S. ATLANTIC COAST | 13 |
|  | EASTERN ATLANTIC | 1 |
| NORTHERN BAHAMAS | WESTERN ATLANTIC | 3 |
|  | CUMANA, VEN. | 1 |
| SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | LAGUAIRA, VEN. | 1 |
| TEXAS | PUERTO RICO | 1 |
| WESTERN ATLANTIC | TEXAS | 1 |



## Swordfish

A total of 1,845 swordfish were tagged and released: 998 in 1994 and 847 in 1995. Of the total releases, 1,637 were released by commercial longliners, 170 by recreational fishermen, 28 by trawlers, and 10 by unspecified sources. A majority of swordfish tag releases (630) took place offshore of the eastern U.S. Other areas where swordfish were tagged are listed in Table 7. There were a total of 84 tagged swordfish recaptured: 54 in 1994 and 30 in 1995. There were 53 recaptured by longline commercial fishermen, 26 by recreational fishermen, 1 by gillnet, 1 by harpoon, and in 3 cases the type of fisherman was not reported.


Figure 9. Years at-large for 1994-1995 swordfish recaptures.
A graph showing the years at-large is presented in Figure 9. The release and recapture locations of recaptured swordfish are given in Table 8. The longest straight line distance by a recaptured swordfish was 2732 nm . The fish was released off the U.S. midAtlantic in Wilmington Canyon and recaptured 390 days later off Spain. This recapture represents the firs!
documented trans-Atlantic movement of swordfish in the CTC.

Table 7. Location of 1994-1995 swordfish releases.

| Release Location | Total Tagged |
| :--- | ---: |
| OFFSHORE EASTERN U.S. | 630 |
| WESTERN ATLANTIC | 502 |
| N. FLORIDA AND CAROLINAS | 194 |
| SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 164 |
| GULF OF MEXICO | 132 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 71 |
| N.E. U.S. | 42 |
| VENEZUELA | 21 |
| SOUTHERN BAHAMAS | 18 |
| PUERTO RICO | 17 |
| CENTRAL ATLANTIC | 16 |
| CANADA | 14 |
| CANCUN MEXICO | 10 |
| FLORIDA NORTHWEST | 7 |
| NORTHERN BAHAMIAN | 4 |
| HISPANIOLA | 2 |
| LAGUAIRA VENEZUELA | 1 |

Other selected movements for 1994-1995 recaptured swordfish are presented in Figure 10. The longest time at-large for a recaptured swordfish was 2,231 days. This fish was released on 12/7/87 in Wilmington Canyon and recaptured 1/15/94 off Hispaniola.
Table 8. Release and recapture areas for swordfish recaptured during 1994 and 1995.

| Release Location | Recapture Location | Total |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| CANCUN, MEXICO | WESTERN ATLANTIC | 1 |
| GULF OF MEXICO | GULF OF MEXICO | 1 |
|  | N. FLORIDA \& CAROLINAS | 5 |
|  | WESTERN ATLANTIC | 1 |
|  | LOUISIANA | 1 |
| U.S. MID-ATLANTIC | CANADA | 2 |
| COAST | CANCUN MEXICO | 1 |
|  | HISPANIOLA | 1 |
|  | U.S. MID-ATLANTIC | 20 |
|  | N. FLORIDA \& CAROLINAS | 1 |
|  | N.E. U.S. | 4 |
|  | EASTERN ATLANTIC | 1 |
|  | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 1 |
|  | WESTERN ATLANTIC | 5 |
| N. FLORIDA AND | CANADA | 1 |
| CAROLINAS | U.S. MID-ATLANTIC | 1 |
|  | N. FLORIDA \& CAROLINAS | 5 |
|  | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 1 |
|  | WESTERN ATLANTIC | 1 |
| N.E. U.S. | N. FLORIDA \& CAROLINAS | 1 |
| S.E. FLORIDA | OTHER WATERBODY | 1 |
|  | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 3 |
| VENEZUELA | WESTERN ATLANTIC | 1 |
|  | N. FLORIDA \& CAROLINAS | 1 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | PUERTO RICO | 1 |
| WESTERN ATLANTIC | PUERTO RICO | 1 |
|  | CANADA | 4 |
|  | N. FLORIDA \& CAROLINAS | 1 |
|  | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 1 |
|  | SOUTHERN BAHAMA | 1 |
|  | WEST FLORIDA | 1 |
|  | WESTERN ATLANTIC | 13 |



Figure 10. Movements of selected 1994-1995 tag-recaptured swordfish.


## Bluefin Tuna

A total of 1,906 bluefin tuna were tagged and released: 358 in 1994 and 1548 in 1995. Of the total releases, 1,630 were released by rod \& reel fishermen, 234 by longliners, 31 by harpoon, and 11 by unspecified sources. A majority of bluefin tuna tag releases took place offshore of the mid-Atlantic U.S coast (775), particularly off Hatteras, NC (710). Other areas where bluefin tuna were tagged are listed in Table 9. There were a total of 63 tagged bluefin tuna recaptured: 25 in 1994 and 38 in 1995.

Table 9. Location of 1994-1995 bluefin tuna releases.

| Release Location | Total Tagged |
| :--- | ---: |
| U.S. MID-ATLANTIC COAST | 775 |
| HATTERAS,NC | 710 |
| N.E. U.S. WATERS | 246 |
| N. FLORIDA | 98 |
| WESTERN ATLANTIC | 39 |
| EASTERN ATLANTIC | 22 |
| SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 5 |
| FLORIDA NORTHWEST | 4 |
| UNKNOWN WATERBODY | 4 |
| LOUISIANA WATERS | 1 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS WATERS | 1 |
| PUERTO RICO | 1 |



Figure 11. Years at-large for 1994-1995 bluefin tuna recaptures.

There were 47 recaptured by rod and reel fishermen, 11 by longline fishermen, 1 by bait boat, 1 by purse seine, 1 by hand line, and in 2 cases the type of gear was not reported.
A graph showing the years at-large is presented in Figure 11. The release and recapture locations of recaptured bluefin tuna are given in Table 11. The longest straight line distance by a recaptured bluefin tuna was $3,261 \mathrm{~nm}$. The fish was released off the U.S. North Atlantic off Long Island, NY, and recaptured 1,698 days later in the Mediterranean off Spain. Other significant movements for 1994-1995 recaptured bluefin tuna are presented in Figure 11.

The longest time at-large for a recaptured bluefin tuna was 3,673 days ( 10 years). This fish was released on 8/13/85 off Long Island, NY and recaptured 103 nm away off Long Island, NY on 9/3/95.


Figure 12. Movements of selected 1994-1995 tag-recaptured bluefin tuna.

Table 10. Release and recapture areas for bluefin tuna recaptured during 1994 and 1995.

| recaptured during 1994 and 1995. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Release Location | Recapture Location | Total |
| U.S. MID-ATLANTIC | U.S. ATLANTIC COAST | 24 |
| COAST | N.E. U.S. | 14 |
|  | HATTERAS, NC | 5 |
|  | UNSPECIFIED | 2 |
|  | WESTERN ATLANTIC | 4 |
| HATTERAS, NC | MID-ATLANTIC U.S. | 8 |
|  | HATTERAS,NC | 1 |
| N. FLORIDA | MID-ATLANTIC U.S. | 1 |
|  | WESTERN ATLANTIC | 1 |
| N.E. U.S. | N. FLORIDA | 1 |
|  | MEDITERRANEAN | 2 |
|  | N.E. U.S. | 3 |
|  | EASTERN ATLANTIC | 1 |
|  | WESTERN ATLANTIC | 1 |
| OTHER WATERBODY | N.E. U.S. | 1 |
| WESTERN ATLANTIC | LOUISIANA | 1 |
|  | N.E. U.S. | 1 |
|  | WESTERN ATLANTIC | 1 |



## Yellowfin Tuna

A total of 1,918 yellowfin tuna were tagged and released: 1,231 in 1994 and 687 in 1995. Of the total releases, 1,559 were released by rod \& reel fishermen and 359 by commercial longliners. A majority of yellowfin tuna tag releases $(1,462)$ took place offshore of
the mid-Atlantic U.S. Other areas where yellowfin tuna were tagged are listed in Table 11.

Table 11. Location of 1994-1995 yellowfin tuna releases.

| Release Location | Total Tagged |
| :--- | ---: |
| U.S. MID-ATLANTIC COAST | 1462 |
| N. FLORIDA | 124 |
| UNSPECIFIED LOCATION | 59 |
| LOUISIANA | 52 |
| WESTERN ATLANTIC | 39 |
| OTHER WATERBODY | 36 |
| BERMUDA | 34 |
| N.E. U.S. | 26 |
| TEXAS | 25 |
| GULF OF MEXICO | 13 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 10 |
| SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 9 |
| NORTHERN BAHAMAS | 8 |
| FLORIDA NORTHWEST | 7 |
| VENEZUELA | 4 |
| COSTA RICA \& PANAMA | 4 |
| LAGUAIRA, VENEZUELA | 3 |
| PUERTO RICO | 2 |
| COZUMEL, MEXICO | 1 |



Figure 13. Years at-large for 1994-1995 yellowfin tuna recaptures.


Figure 14. Movements of selected 1994-1995 tag-recaptured yellowfin tuna.

There were a total of 113 tagged yellowfin tuna recaptured: 48 in 1994 and 65 in 1995. There were 91 recaptured by rod and reel fishermen, 12 by longline fishermen, 5 by purse seine, and in 5 cases the type of gear was not reported. A graph showing the years atlarge is presented in Figure 13. The release and recapture locations of recaptured yellowfin tuna are given in Table 12.

Table 12. Release and recapture areas for yellowfin tuna recaptured during 1994 and 1995.

| Release Location | Recapture Location | Total |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| BERMUDA | BERMUDA | 5 |
| FLORIDA NORTHWEST | UNSPECIFIED WATERS | 1 |
| MID-ATLANTIC U.S. | MID-ATLANTIC U.S. | 77 |
|  | N. FLORIDA | 2 |
|  | OTHER WATERBODY | 9 |
|  | WESTERN ATLANTIC | 1 |
| N. FLORIDA | MID-ATLANTIC U.S. | 2 |
|  | N. FLORIDA | 1 |
| NORTHERN BAHAMAS | MID-ATLANTIC U.S. | 1 |
| OTHER WATERBODY | UNSPECIFIED WATERS | 2 |
| SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | MID-ATLANTIC U.S. | 1 |
| UNSPECIFIED WATERS | UNSPECIFIED WATERS | 8 |
| WESTERN ATLANTIC | MID-ATLANTIC U.S. | 2 |

The longest straight line distance by a recaptured yellowfin tuna was $5,554 \mathrm{~nm}$. The fish was released offshore of Pensacola, FL and recaptured 589 days later off the Ivory Coast, West Africa. Other selected movements for 1994-1995 recaptured yellowfin tuna are presented in Figure 14. The longest time at-large for a recaptured yellowfin tuna was 1,335 days. This fish was released on 8/5/90 off Long Island, NY and recaptured 4/1/94 near the equator off the West African Coast; a straight line distance of $3,943 \mathrm{~nm}$.

## Other Tunas

Although the CTC mainly targets bluefin and yellowfin, all other species of tunas are also tagged. Table 13 lists the other important tunas species that were tagged and released as well as recaptured in 1994 and 1995.
Table 13. Numbers of releases and recaptures of other target tuna species during 1994 and 1995.

| Tuna Species | Number <br> Released | Number <br> Recaptured |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Albacore | 76 | 3 |
| Bigeye | 100 | 2 |
| Blackfin | 24 | 2 |
| Skipjack | 2 | 0 |
| (unspecified) | 11 | 0 |
| Totals | 213 | 7 |

## 1994~1995 Releases and Recaptures: Non-Target Species

Historically, many species other than the primary target species of billfishes and tunas have been tagged by program participants. Until recently, the Cooperative Tagging Center provided tags and encouraged cooperators to tag inshore and reef species. Although the number of target species has been reduced (see page 1, "Recent Changes in the Volunteer Tagging Program") summaries of several of the most important non-target species for 1994-1995 are given below.

## Amberjack

A total of 623 amberjack were tagged and released: 483 in 1994 and 140 in 1995. Of the total releases, 619 were by recreational fishermen, 1 by a commercial longliner, and, 3 by unspecified sources. A majority of amberjack tag releases (248) took place off of the midAtlantic eastern U.S. Other areas where amberjack were tagged are listed in Table 14. There were a total of 195 tagged amberjack recaptured: 126 in 1994 and 69 in 1995. Of the total recaptures, 184 were by recreational rod and reel fishermen, 2 by a commercial longliner, 2 by speargun, 1 by hand line, and 6 by unspecified sources.

A graph showing the years at-large is presented in Figure 15. The longest time at-large for a recaptured amberjack was 4,852 days ( 13.3 years). This fish was released on 10/8/81 off Hilton Head, SC and recaptured 1/20/95 near Key Largo, FL; a straight line distance of 446 nm .

Table 14. Location of 1994-1995 amberjack releases.

| Release Location | Total Tagged |
| :--- | ---: |
| MID-ATLANTIC U.S. COAST | 248 |
| FLORIDA NORTHWEST | 196 |
| SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 134 |
| WESTERN ATLANTIC | 18 |
| TEXAS | 10 |
| N. FLORIDA AND CAROLINAS | 7 |
| LOUISIANA | 5 |
| OTHER WATERBODY | 2 |
| UNSPECIFIED WATERBODY | 2 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 1 |

The release and recapture locations of recaptured amberjack are given in Table 15. The longest straight line distance by a recaptured amberjack was 1134 nm . The fish was released off Cape Hatteras and recaptured 1085 days later off the southern coast of Jamaica. Other selected movements for 1994-1995 recaptured amberjack are presented in Figure 16.


Figure 15. Years at-large for 1994-1995 amberjack recaptures.

Table 15. Release and recapture areas for amberjack recaptured during 1994 and 1995.

| Release Location | Recapture Location | Total |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| FLORIDA NORTHWEST | FLORIDA NORTHWEST | 79 |
|  | LOUISIANA | 4 |
| LOUISIANA | LOUISIANA | 3 |
|  | WEST FLORIDA | 1 |
| MID-ATLANTIC U.S. | FLORIDA NORTHWEST | 2 |
| COAST | JAMAICA | 1 |
|  | U.S. ATLANTIC COAST | 31 |
|  | N. BAHAMAS | 1 |
|  | OTHER WATERBODY | 1 |
|  | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 15 |
| N. FLORIDA AND | FLORIDA NORTHWEST | 1 |
| CAROLINAS | N. FLORIDA | 3 |
|  | UNSPECIFIED WATERS | 2 |
| SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | FOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 2 |
|  | MID-ATLANTIC U.S. | 1 |
|  | N. FLORIDA | 2 |
|  | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 2 |
| TEXAS | FLORIDA NORTHWEST | 36 |
|  | TEXAS | 1 |
| WESTERN ATLANTIC | FLORIDA NORTHWEST | 1 |
|  |  | 1 |



Figure 16. Movements of selected 1994-1995 tagrecaptured amberjack.

## Cobia

A total of 257 cobia were tagged and released: 179 in 1994 and 78 in 1995. All fish were released by recreational rod \& reel fishermen. A majority of cobia tag releases (131) took place off of the Florida NORTHWEST. Other areas where cobia were tagged are listed in Table 16. There were a total of 27 tagged cobia recaptured: 17 in 1994 and 10 in 1995. All recaptures were by recreational rod and reel fishermen.

A graph showing the years at-large is presented in Figure 17. The longest time at-large for a recaptured cobia was 1,919 days ( 5.3 years). This fish was released on 3/24/90 off Canaveral, FL and recaptured 6/25/95 off Virginia Beach, VA.

Table 16. Location of 1994-1995 cobia releases.

| Release Location | Total Tagged |
| :--- | ---: |
| FLORIDA NORTHWEST | 131 |
| LOUISIANA | 32 |
| N. FLORIDA AND CAROLINAS | 26 |
| TEXAS | 17 |
| MID-ATLANTIC U.S. COAST | 16 |
| WEST FLORIDA | 15 |
| SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 9 |
| UNSPECIFIED WATERBODY | 6 |
| N.E. U.S. | 3 |
| GULF OF MEXICO | 1 |
| CANCUN, MEXICO | 1 |



Figure 17. Years at-large for 1994-1995 cobia recaptures.

The release and recapture locations of recaptured cobia are given in Table 17. The longest straight line distance by a recaptured cobia was $1,155 \mathrm{~nm}$. The fish was released near the mouth of the Chesapeake Bay and recaptured 1,003 days later off Pensacola, FL. Other significant movements for 1994-1995 recaptured cobia are presented in Figure 18.

Table 17. Release and recapture areas for cobia recaptured during 1994 and 1995.

| Release Location |  | Recapture Location |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FLORIDA NORTHWEST | FLoridal |  |
|  | LOUISIANA | 2 |
|  | N. FLORIDA | 2 |
|  | WEST FLORIDA | 3 |
| LOUISIANA | LOUISIANA | 2 |
| MID-ATLANTIC U.S. | FLORIDA NORTHWEST | 1 |
|  | MID-ATLANTIC U.S. | 1 |
| N. FLORIDA | LOUISIANA | 1 |
|  | MID-ATLANTIC U.S. | 1 |
|  | N. FLORIDA | 2 |
|  | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 1 |
| UNSPECIFIED | TEXAS | 1 |
| SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | FLORIDA NORTHWEST | 1 |
|  | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 2 |
| TEXAS | TEXAS | 2 |
| WEST FLORIDA | WEST FLORIDA | 1 |



Figure 18. Movements of selected 1994-1995 tagrecaptured cobia.

## King Mackerel

A total of 1,995 king mackerel were tagged and released: 859 in 1994 and 1,136 in 1995. Of the total releases, 1,171 were by recreational rod and reel, 817 by hand line, and, 7 by unspecified sources. A majority of king mackerel tag releases $(1,190)$ took place off southeast Florida. Other areas where king mackerel were tagged are listed in Table 17.
There were a total of 87 tagged king mackerel recaptured: 48 in 1994 and 40 in 1995. Of the total recaptures, 71 were by recreational rod and reel fishermen, 14 by hand line, 1 by a commercial longliner, 2 by unspecified sources. A graph showing the years at-large is presented in Figure 19. The longest time atlarge for a recaptured king mackerel was 1,686 days (4.6 years). This fish was released on 1/15/90 off Ft. Pierce, FL and recaptured 8/28/94 off West Palm Beach, FL.

Table 18. Location of 1994-1995 king mackerel releases.

| Release Location | Total Tagged |
| :--- | ---: |
| SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 1190 |
| N. FLORIDA AND CAROLINAS | 393 |
| TEXAS | 338 |
| FLORIDA NORTHWEST | 27 |
| LOUISIANA | 21 |
| WEST FLORIDA | 14 |
| MID-ATLANTIC U.S. | 8 |
| GULF OF MEXICO | 4 |



Figure 19. Years at-large for 1994-1995 king mackere! recaptures.

Table 19. Release and recapture areas for king mackerel recaptured during 1994 and 1995.

| Release Location | Recapture Location | Total |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| FLORIDANORTHWEST | FLORIDA NORTHWEST | 2 |
|  | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 1 |
| LOUISIANA | FLORIDA NORTHWEST | 2 |
| N. FLORIDA \& | N. FLORIDA \& CAROLINAS | 5 |
| CAROLINAS | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 13 |
| SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | FLORIDA NORTHWEST | 1 |
|  | LOUISIANA | 1 |
|  | N. FLORIDA \& CAROLINAS | 17 |
|  | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 37 |
|  | TEXAS | 1 |
|  | WEST FLORIDA | 2 |
| TEXAS | MEXICAN | 1 |
|  | TEXAS | 4 |
|  |  |  |



Figure 20. Movements of selected 1994-1995 tagrecaptured king mackerel.

The release and recapture locations of recaptured king mackerel are given in Table 18. The longest straight line distance by a recaptured king mackerel was 831 nm . The fish was released off Islamorada, FL, and recaptured 924 days later in the Gulf of Mexico off southern Texas. Other selected movements for 19941995 recaptured king mackerel are presented in Figure 20.

## Red Drum

A total of 2,684 red drum were tagged and released: 1,190 in 1994 and 1494 in 1995. Of all releases, a total of 2682 were by recreational rod and reel and 2 were released by gillnet. A majority of red drum tag releases $(1,813)$ took place between North Florida and the Carolinas. Other areas where red drum were tagged are listed in Table 20.
There were a total of 266 tagged red drum recaptured: 73 in 1994 and 194 in 1995. All recaptures were by recreational rod and reel fishermen. A graph showing the years at-large is presented in Figure 21. The longest time at-large for a recaptured red drum was 2152 days ( 5.9 years). This fish was released on 12/8/88 off Pensacola, FL and recaptured in the same
location on 10/30/94. The release and recapture locations of recaptured red drum are given in Table 21.

Table 20. Location of 1994-1995 red drum releases.

| Release Location | Total Tagged |
| :--- | ---: |
| N. FLORIDA AND CAROLINAS | 1813 |
| FLORIDA NORTHWEST | 383 |
| WEST FLORIDA | 267 |
| SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 67 |
| TEXAS | 61 |
| LOUISIANA | 56 |
| MID-ATLANTIC U.S. COAST | 25 |
| UNSPECIFIED WATERBODY | 6 |
| N.E. U.S. | 5 |
| NORTHERN BAHAMAS | 1 |



Figure 21. Years at-large for 1994-1995 red drum recaptures.

Table 21. Release and recapture areas for red drum recaptured during 1994 and 1995.

| Release Location | Recapture Location | Total |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| FLORIDA NORTHWEST | FLORIDA NORTHWEST | 16 |
|  | LOUISIANA | 3 |
|  | WEST FLORIDA | 2 |
|  | UNSPECIFIED | 1 |
| LOUISIANA | LOUISIANA | 9 |
|  | FLORIDA NORTHWEST | 1 |
|  | UNSPECIFIED | 1 |
| N. FLORIDA \& | N. FLORIDA \& CAROLINAS | 198 |
| CAROLINAS | UNSPECIFIED WATERBODY | 4 |
|  | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 8 |
|  | WEST FLORIDA | 3 |
|  | WESTERN ATLANTIC | 1 |
| SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | N. FLORIDA | 1 |
| TEXAS | TEXAS | 3 |
| WEST FLORIDA | WEST FLORIDA | 15 |



Figure 22. Movements of selected 1994-1995 tagrecaptured red drum.

The longest straight line distance by a recaptured red drum was 310 nm . The fish was released in Choctawhatchee Bay, FL and recaptured 164 days later in the Boca Grande pass, FL. Other selected movements for 1994-1995 recaptured red drum are presented in Figure 22.

## Tarpon

In the past, distribution and sale of tags for tarpon had been managed by the Florida League of Anglers. They provided tags to those people who requested them by purchasing the tags with donations made to them by clubs and individuals. However, BOAT/U.S. has taken over this program, and tarpon tags can now be obtained by contacting Jenny Peirera of Boat U.S. at $1(800)$ 8628872.

A total of 2,027 tarpon were tagged and released: 1,133 in 1994 and 894 in 1995. Of the total tagged, all were released by recreational rod and reel. A majority of tarpon tag releases $(1,075)$ took place off west Florida waters. Other areas where tarpon were tagged are listed in Table 22.

Table 22. Location of 1994-1995 tarpon releases.

| Release Location | Total Tagged |
| :--- | ---: |
| WEST FLORIDA WATERS | 1075 |
| SOUTHEAST FLORIDA WATERS | 420 |
| LOUISIANA WATERS | 308 |
| TEXAS WATERS | 65 |
| PUERTO RICO | 63 |
| UNSPECIFIED WATERBODY | 37 |
| N. FLORIDA AND CAROLINAS | 23 |
| MID-ATLANTIC U.S. | 19 |
| FLORIDA NORTHWEST WATERS | 10 |
| NORTHERN BAHAMIAN WATERS | 4 |
| LAGUAIRA WATERS | 1 |
| COZUMEL MEXICO WATERS | 1 |
| CANCUN MEXICO WATERS | 1 |

There were a total of 37 tagged tarpon recaptured: 21 in 1994 and 16 in 1995. Of the total recaptures, 36 were by recreational rod and reel fishermen and 1 by a recreational spearfisherman. A graph showing the years at-large is presented in Figure 23. The longest time atlarge for a recaptured tarpon was 1,686 days (4.6 years). This fish was released on 1/15/90 and recaptured 8/28/94.

The release and recapture locations of recaptured tarpon are given in Table 23. The longest straight line distance by a recaptured tarpon was 698 nm . This fish was released off Louisiana, and recaptured 317 days later in the Gulf of Mexico off Veracruz, Mexico. Other significant movements for 1994-1995 recaptured tarpon are presented in Figure 24.


Figure 23. Years at-large for 1994-1995 tarpon recaptures.

Table 23. Release and recapture areas for tarpon recaptured during 1994 and 1995.

| Release Location | Recapture Location | Total |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| LOUISIANA WATERS | MEICAN WATERS | 1 |
|  | WEST FLORIDA | 1 |
| N. FLORIDA | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 1 |
| PUERTO RICO | PUERTO RICO | 1 |
| SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | LOUISIANA WATERS | 1 |
|  | N. FLORIDA | 1 |
|  | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 13 |
|  | WEST FLORIDA | 1 |
| TEXAS WATERS | MEXICAN WATERS | 1 |
| WEST FLORIDA | CUBAN WATERS | 1 |
|  | SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | 3 |
|  | WEST FLORIDA | 12 |



Figure 24. Movements of selected 1994-1995 tagrecaptured tarpon.

## Other Species Released

Participants of the CTC tagged numerous other species during 1994 and 1995. Numbers of releases and recaptures of other species tagged are presented in Table 24. We acknowledge these contributions to our program, but discourage future tag and release of these species with CTC supplies.

Table 24. Other non-target species tagged by participants in 1994 and 1995.

| Species | Number <br> Relcased | Number Recaptured | Species | Number <br> Released | Number Recaptured |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barracuda, Great | 11 | T | Shark, Dusky | 23 | 2 |
| Bass, Striped | 120 | 28 | Shark, Great Hammerhead | 5 | 0 |
| Bluefish | 16 | 0 | Shark, Lemon | 1 | 0 |
| Bonefish | 5 | 0 | Shark, Nurse | 1 | 1 |
| Bonito, Atlantic | 2 | 0 | Shark, Ocean Whitetip | 1 | 0 |
| Catfish, Hard Head | 4 | 0 | Shark, Sandbar | 9 | 2 |
| Croaker, Atlantic | 1 | 0 | Shark, Shortfin Mako | 128 | 28 |
| Dolphin | 166 | 5 | Shark, Silky | 6 | 0 |
| Drum, (generic) | 69 | 3 | Shark, Smooth Hammerhead | 1 | 0 |
| Drum, Black | 38 | 0 | Shark, Spiny Dogfish | 1 | 0 |
| Flounder | 1 | 0 | Shark, Thresher | 2 | 0 |
| Gag | 58 | 11 | Shark, Tiger | 10 | 1 |
| Grouper, (generic) | 11 | 1 | Sharks and Rays, (other) | 3 | 0 |
| Grouper, Black | 53 | 10 | Sheepshead | 8 | 0 |
| Grouper, Nassau | 12 | 0 | Snapper, (generic) | 11 | 0 |
| Grouper, Red | 739 | 13 | Snapper, Black | 2 | 0 |
| Grouper, Snowy | 1 | 0 | Snapper, Gray | 1 | 0 |
| Grouper, Yellowfin | 1 | 0 | Snapper, Lane | 1 | 0 |
| Jack, Crevalle | 16 | I | Snapper, Mutton | 6 | 0 |
| Jewfish | 0 | 1 | Snapper, Red | 75 | 6 |
| Mackerel, Spanish | 7 | 1 | Snapper, Vermilion | 1 | 0 |
| Marlin, Black | 1 | 0 | Snapper, Yellowtail | 1 | 0 |
| Marlin, Striped | 21 | 0 | Snook | 35 | 3 |
| Mullet, Striped | 1 | 0 | Species, (other) | 127 | 1 |
| Oilfish | 1 | 0 | Sunfish, Ocean | 2 | 0 |
| Permit | 38 | 0 | Tautog | 5 | 0 |
| Pompano, Afican | 12 | 0 | Tilefisl, Blackline | 21 | 2 |
| Porgy | 1 | 0 | Triggerfish, Gray | 3 | 0 |
| Ray, Cownose | 5 | 0 | Tripletail | 7 | 1 |
| Rock Hind | 1 | 0 | Trout, Speckled | 24 | 3 |
| Scamp | 11 | 2 | Tunny, Little | 6 | 2 |
| Sea Bass, Rock | 1 | 0 | Wahoo | 5 | 0 |
| Shark, Big Eye Thresher | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Shark, Blacktip | 16 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Shark, Blue | 212 | 25 |  |  |  |
| Shark, Bonnethead | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Shark, Brown Cat | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Shark, Bull | 2 | 0 | Totals | 2,406 | 162 |

## COMMERCIAL

## PARTICIPATION

Commercial fishermen contribute significantly to our tagging program. This is particularly true for swordfish and bigeye tuna but also includes other species as well. The largest group of commercial fishermen involved in our program is the Blue Water Fishermen's Association [BWFA] (Table 25), although non-BWFA commercial fishermen make substantial contributions. For example, all commercial participants tagged and released 1,637 swordfish during 1994-1995. Of these, BWFA accounted for 845 ( $52 \%$ ) of all releases. In addition, BWFA participants tagged and released $70(40 \%)$ of the bigeye tuna, 214 ( $11 \%$ ) of the yellowfin tuna, and 175 (10\%) of the white marlin during this time period. The BWFA members, as well as all other commercial fishermen, are important participants of the Cooperative Tagging Center for which we are thankful.

## Federation of Japan Tuna Fisheries

During the 1994 meeting of the International Commission for Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT), the Federation of Japan Tuna Fisheries (FJTF) agreed, in theory, to start volunteer tagging of billfish (that come alongside their boats alive) from their high seas longline operations. Although this program was to be intiated in 1995, there were difficulties in distributing the tagging equipment to FJTF vessels that off-load at the Las Palmas, Canary Islands, transhipment port. These problems will hopefully be resolved and the program intiated during 1996 fishing season.

The FJTF is utilizing the newly developed HM tag discussed in the next section. The legend on these tags has the ICCAT name but a NMFS address to facilitate computerization of these data at the CTC. To help assist in recapture reporting from the Japanese vessels, the tag legend also includes the Japanese symbols for the word "reward".

Table 25. Commercial fishermen, including Blue Water Fishermen's Association members tagging 50 or more fish for the CTC during 1994-1995.

| Captain | Number Fish <br> Tagged |
| ---: | :---: |
| T. Baker Dunn | 268 |
| Robert Burcaw | 244 |
| Larry Horne | 207 |
| Eric Burcaw | 174 |
| Richard Mears | 115 |
| Dan Mears | 91 |
| James D. Mears | 91 |
| Christian Einselen | 78 |

## Improving Tagging Information

The ultimate source of information for the CTC has always been the recapture of tagged fish. However, for over 40 years this program has been known as a "Tag and Release" program and emphasis on obtaining tag recapture information has, to a certain extent, been neglected. This problem is more than just semantics because neglect of the recapture aspects of the program results in many lost opportunities for vital scientific information. We have developed several approaches to improve the lack of effort on recapturing tagged fish, including issuing fluorescent orange tag recapture cards to improve the quality and quantity of recapture information. Time and experience have taught us that it is unreasonable to assume that the public can remember all the information we want from a tagrecaptured fish. The card is printed on fluorescent orange paper so that no matter how much time passes before a tagged fish is recaptured, it will be easy to find among your boat papers. The Tag Recapture Card is available in English and Spanish.

## Save It For Science

The CTC emphasizes the importance of recapturing tagged fish through our "Save It For Science" program. Since this program's inception in 1982 we have encouraged fishermen to retain the carcasses of tag recaptured fish. These fish are used by scientists to gain further knowledge about age and growth. In addition, the condition of the recovered tag and the tissue surrounding the tag is closely monitored through tag performance research. This research provides important information that is used to develop better tags.

The CTC requests anglers to save all legal size tag recaptured fish by freezing the fish and contacting the tagging program at 1-800-437-3936 to receive further instructions. On weekends or after business hours, call Dr. Eric Prince at (305) 598-0944.
The quality of age and growth information taken from tagging studies is directly related to the accuracy of length and weight measurements and the duration of time the fish has been at large. Lengths and weights should be estimated or measured as precisely as possible. Most of the time, length is the only practical size variable that can be accurately measured on the high seas. Measuring weights of fish, particularly highly migratory species, can not normally be accomplished at sea. If the length at release is estimated too high, there is a possibility that the reported length at recapture will yield a negative number. The use of measuring tapes or marks on the side of your boat may help estimate length when the fish is brought to the boat before releasing.


Conscientious taggers are essential to the tagging program. Release cards are often missing from our files when a recaptured fish is reported to our office. When participants do not take the time to properly complete and return the release cards, data received from the fish when it is recaptured is compromised.
When fishermen choose to participate in the tagging program it is important to use correct tagging procedures each time a fish is tagged. To make the tagging program work it is necessary to properly fill out the tag release card and return it to the CTC as soon as possible. We prefer to receive release cards within a week of the release since many tagged fish are often recaptured during the first month they are at large.


Keeping a $\log$ or file of personal tagging activities is encouraged to insure CTC records reflect tagging participant records. Tag release cards are occasionally lost in the mail. However, if we are informed about the loss before too much time passes we can work together to recover the data. Acknowledgment letters are sent to participants when tag release cards are processed by the CTC.

## It is important to keep the following items in mind when filling out the Fish Tagging Report Card:

## 1. Record the exact date the fish was tagged.

2. Give the tagging location in degrees and minutes of latitude and longitude. If this is not possible, tell us the distance and direction offshore you were from a city or landmark.
3. Check off the corresponding length boxes on the tagging card to specify estimated (Est.) or measured (Meas.) sizes. Let us know if the recorded length was Total (TL), from the tip of the bill to the end of the tail, or Lower Jaw to Fork Length (LJFL), from the end of the lower jaw to the fork of the tail, or Fork Length, from the tip of the snout to the fork of the tail.
4. To avoid errors on the release card, record the date, location, and size data immediately after releasing the fish, not at the end of the day.
5. Record fighting time in hours and minutes.
6. Indicate if the hooks were removed or the leader was cut.
7. Clearly print the names and addresses of anglers and captains to insure proper credit is given to them.

When tags are distributed by the CTC we assign tag numbers to each participant. To keep our records in order we remind tagging participants to avoid lending out or mixing tags with other fishermen.

## Target Area <br> The target area identified for placing tags into adult

 billfish and tunas should not be close to the head, gill plates, eyes and other vital organs (compensate the target area for smaller fish). This will prevent possible injury caused by last minute movement of the fish. The tag should ideally be placed in the dorsal musculature well above the lateral line. This tag position will promote rapid healing of the tag wound and minimize the chance for serious injury.Applying the tag to the fish is accomplished by taking a downward or dorsal tag placement approach over the fishes back (Figure 25). The tag is placed as close to the dorsal spines as possible. Tags should be placed away from the head at a distance equal to at least one half the length of the pectoral fin.


Figure 25. Target Area (shaded region) for placing tags in tunas and billfish.
We recognize that dorsal tag placement over the back of the fish can not always be accomplished because many fish turn sideways when brought along-side the boat. Many fish (particularly tuna and billfish) come along-side the boat sideways with their belly closest to the boat and the target area furthest from boat side. Tagging a fish that comes to the boat in this fashion necessitates a


Figure 26.
lateral approach for tag placement. This problem can be circumvented by using a tagging pole with perpendicular, as well as parallel applicator pins (dual applicator tagging pole). The wooden pole shown that can accommodate dual applicator pins (Figure 26) is made of 1.25 inch diameter wooden dowel (pine).

When a fish comes along side the boat on its side, tagging can best be accomplished by using the perpendicular applicator as shown in Figure 26. In essence, the tag placement using the perpendicular applicator mimics a dorsal approach using the parallel applicator over the fish's back. By equipping the tagging pole with dual applicator pins (parallel and perpendicular), the tagger has the flexibility to make last minute adjustments in the way the tag is placed in the fish, depending on the position of the fish at boatside. The idea of dual applicator pins is new and the Cooperative Tagging Center is not yet issuing 1.5 inch diameter tagging poles to participants; however, these can easily be obtained at your local hardware store.

The dorsal tag placement approach avoids the dense concentration of highly vascularized red muscle tissue which is concentrated in the area underneath and adjacent to the lateral line. This area should be avoided to minimize hemorrhaging and promote healing of the tag wound. In most species, there is little, if any, red muscle tissue along the back next to the dorsal spines. If the lateral approach must be taken, the closer the tag can be placed to the dorsal spines, the better the chances for avoiding or minimizing hemorrhaging during the tagging event. Remember, tagging doesn't kill fish, but BAD TAGGING CAN KILL FISH.

## Recapturing Tagged Fish

Since recapturing a tagged fish is a rare event, all fish brought alongside the boat should be examined on BOTH sides to see if a tag is present. Persons catching a tagged fish should understand that, to maximize the value of the information we obtain from tag-recaptures, it is desirable to retain the whole fish for scientific examination. If this is not possible, cutting out the area surrounding the tag would provide scientists the opportunity to examine the healing process around the tag wound. Therefore, if a tag is discovered, a determination should be made to see if the fish can be legally boated. If the fish cannot be legally boated, clip off the tag as best you can while the fish is alongside the boat and insert a new tag. When returning the report card for the new tag, please note that the fish was previously tagged on the card. Removing the tag from the fish is easier if the fish can be boated. When examining the tag, remove any growth (algae, barnacles, etc.) by hand to see if the tag number can be read. Please refrain from using a knife or chemicals when removing tag growth. This often removes the identification number from the tag rendering the recapture useless.

If the whole fish or section of muscle surrounding the tag wound are saved, these samples should be frozen and the CTC contacted immediately at 1(800) 437-3936 or on weekends or nights contact Eric Prince at (305) 598-0944.


## Cooperative Efforts

## Double Tagging

The tagging procedures for the double tagging study are more demanding than the procedures used in the conventional tagging program. Therefore, double tagging using the NMFS R-tag, the NMFS HM-tag or the The Billfish Foundation BF-tag is not for everyone and we prefer that only the more experienced taggers attempt this activity. For example, when double tagging, we prefer to have one tag placed on each side of the billish. This would greatly increase the probability that a tag on a recaptured fish would be seen when brought along-side the boat. However, tagging on both sides of the fish takes longer and is not always possible under field conditions. Some of the more innovative participants in this experiment have built tagging sticks that insert both tags into the fish at the same time. Although this simplifies the tagging procedure and saves time, we discourage this practice because having both tags on one side of the fish close enough to touch each other invalidates the purpose of the experiment. That is, under these conditions the shedding rates of the two tags are not independent of each other.

To date, there has been a total of 2,879 double-tagged billfish released and 38 (about $1.3 \%$ ) of these have been recaptured (Table 26). Most of the double tagging has been with blue marlin, but significant numbers of sailfish and other billish have also been double-tagged. Both the commercial participants (mostly BWFA members) and recreational anglers have participated actively in the double tagging program. Of the 38 recaptured fish, 19 had both tags intact, while 19 had only the TBF tag. The NMFS R-tag (stainless steel tip) was apparently shed in 19 fish. Because the total number of double tagged
billfish that have been recaptured has been so low, definitive conclusions from these preliminary results are not possible. We greatly appreciate the efforts of recreational anglers and BWFA members for their contributions to the double tagging program, as more effort is required to double tag properly.

Table 26. Summary of double-tagging experiments conducted jointly by The Billfish Foundation and the NMFS CTC.

| SPECIES | RELEASES | RECAPTURES |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Sailfish | 901 | 19 |
| Blue Marlin | 946 | 6 |
| White Marlin | 404 | 8 |
| Swordfish | 573 | 5 |
| Black Marlin | 12 | 0 |
| Striped Marlin | 27 | 0 |
| Spearfish | 16 | 0 |
| TOTAL |  |  |

## Tag Development and Performance

The NMFS Miami Laboratory Cooperative Tagging Center introduced a new tag in 1995. This new tag design, the HM-tag, is similar to The Billfish Foundation (BF-type) tag. The HM-tag (HM stands for Highly Migratory) is constructed of medical grade nylon and uses a stainless steel applicator for tag placement which is withdrawn, leaving only the nylon anchor inside the fish. The HM-tag is designed as a intermuscular tag and has replaced the "R-type" stainless steel tags. Improvements incorporated into the HM-tag are based on the double tagging observations outlined in the previous section. The first documented trans-Atlantic movement of a swordfish was recorded in 1995 using an HM tag.

The CTC, in conjunction with the Gulf Coast Research Lab in Ocean Springs, MS, is also examining changes in the tissue response over time using medical grade nylon tags. Because billfish cannot be held in captivity, we used red drum and red snapper for the study. By using medical-grade nylon for the anchor tip we hope to reduce the rejection of the tag by the fish, thereby potentially increasing its biological compatibility. While there have been few preliminary studies to confirm this with fish muscle, it has gained widespread acceptance in the biomedical arena for use in human surgical implants. From this experiment, we found significantly lower shedding rates of the new tag, compared to the most widely used tag available for small, inshore species.



## Tagging Awards

## AFTCO Tag/Flag Tournament

The Axelson Fishing Tackle Company (AFTCO) first started a cooperative effort with the CTC to recognize contributors to the tagging program in 1989. Several other tagging programs also participate, including: The Billfish Foundation; Fish Trackers, Inc.; Gulf Coast Conservation Association; and the South Carolina Marine Game Fish Tagging Program. Anglers and captains compete for handsome trophies for those tagging the most of each of the seven designated species. All fish must have been tagged in the Atlantic Ocean, Gulf of Mexico, or Caribbean Sea. Anglers and captains who tagged a certain number of each species received an AFTCO Tag Flag award. The designated species, and the number required to qualify (in parentheses) for the AFTCO Tag Flag awards for each species, are: albacore (5), bigeye and/or yellowfin tuna (5), bluefin tuna (5), blue marlin (3), white marlin (5), and sailfish (10). For further information about the AFTCO Tag/Flag Tournament, contact the CTC or:

> AFTCO Manufacturing Co. 17351 Murphy Avenue Irvine, CA 92714.
> (714) $660-8757$

The winners of the 1994 and 1995 designated categories are listed in Table 27 and Table 28, respectively. The overall winners for 1994 were angler Mel Immergut and Capt. Brad Simonds. For 1995 the overall winners were angler Stanley Klimek and Capt. Brad Simonds.

## ICCAT Tag Lottery

Each year, the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT), headquartered in Madrid, Spain, issues lottery rewards (\$500 each) for a tag recaptured temperate tuna (i.e., bluefin tuna), a tropical tuna (i.e., yellowfin tuna, blackfin tuna), and for a billfish (i.e. swordfish, marlin, sailfish). These rewards are given as an incentive for fishermen to participate in the Atlantic-wide tagging programs by many countries for highly migratory species. The three ICCAT lottery winners for 1994 were: (1) Temperate tuna, Mr. D. Jose Ferrer Martinez of Valencia, Spain, who caught a tagged bluefin tuna off Valencia, Spain in March, 1994, originally tagged and released off Valencia September 24, 1994; (2) Tropical tuna, Mr. M. Moussa M'Dong of Dakar, Senegal, who caught a tagged yellowfin tuna on March 22, 1994 near the equator in the Gulf of Guinea. originally tagged and released 20 days earlier off Dakar, Senegal; and (3) Billfish, Mr. C. Gonzales Cova of Venezuela, who recaptured a tagged blue marlin off La Guaira, Venezuela on July 26, 1994, that was tagged and released about 2 years and six months earlier off La Guaira.

The CTC extends its congratulations to the winning anglers and captains, and to the sponsoring organizations for their effort and cooperation in the conservation of our marine fishery resources.

## C7C Recapture Incentices

## and Rewards

We began to acknowledge participants of the CTC in 1976. We cannot give taggers credit for fish tagged and released unless we receive the tag-release cards. Please make sure the addresses on the cards are correct and complete. Some participants use stamp pads or labels on their release cards. Program participants tagging as captians who released 10 or more fish during 1994 and 1995 are listed in Appendix 1. Participants tagging as anglers releasing 5 or more fish are listed in Appendix 2.

The CTC awards a gray embroidered hat, with the NMFS tagging flag emblem, to the person reporting the recapture of a tagged fish (monetary awards are available for king mackerel recaptures by special request only). The gray hats cannot be purchased; however, the same hat in either black or in various colors can be purchased for $\$ 10.00$ ( $\$ 2.00$ of this charge goes towards a NMFS fund to buy the gray hats) by writing or calling our supplier:

Island Custom Embroidery
88511 Overseas Highway
Tavernier, FL 33070
(305) 852-6317

FAX (305) 852-9553

Table 27. Winners of the 1994 individual trophies (both anglers and captains tagging the most fish of the designated species) for the AFTCO Tag/Flag tournaments.

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mel Immergut | Pete Barrett |
|  | New York Sportfishing Federation | American Sportfishing Association |
|  | Roy Dicky | Al Anderson |
|  | International Game Fish Association | International Game Fish Association |
|  | Stanley Klimek | Jerry Shepherd |
|  | American Sportishing Association | American Sportfishing Association |
|  | Stewart Campbell | Juan R. Martinez (Tito) |
|  | National Coalition for Marine Conservation | National Coalition for Marine Conservation |
|  | Enrico Capozzi | Luis Suarez |
| (80nysionatecs 5 | The Billfish Foundation | The Billfish Foundation |
|  | Kevin Karl Spooner | W. Scott Walker |
|  | International Game Fish Association | The Billfish Foundation |

Table 28. Winners of the 1995 individual trophies (both anglers and captains tagging the most fish of the designated species) for the AFTCO Tag/Flag tournaments.

| 4.3 M 4 as | 产 1 m, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albumm | Stanley Klimek | David Wright |
| ifomplicmiteasyl | New York Sportfishing Federation | American Sportfishing Association |
| Puilimmun Ifoply\%dorated by | John Rafter International Game Fish Association | Bob Eakes International Game Fish Association |
| sullawtim: Blyes. isopty folimeds sy. | Stanley Klimek <br> American Sportfishing Association | Jerry Shepherd American Sportfishing Association |
| ELuiluman 4omulionityom | John A. Mueller <br> National Coalition for Marine Conservation | Billy Borer <br> National Coalition for Marine Conservation |
| White Mantit tiontyatiatumy | Enrico Capozzi <br> The Billfish Foundation | Brad Simonds <br> The Billfish Foundation |
| Sanlish qoymydenatsays | Jon Fossel International Game Fish Association | Chito Maso <br> The Billfish Foundation |

Appendix 1. Captains who made outstanding contributions to the CTC in 1994 and 1995 by assisting in the tagging of 10 or more sailfish, blue marlin, white marlin, swordfish, bluefin tuna, yellowfin tuna, albacore tuna, and bigeye tuna. The anglers tagged column signifies fish tagged by captain while fishing as the angler.

SPECIES

| CAPTAIN | SAILFISH | BLUE MARLIN | WHITE MARLIN | SWORDFISH | BLUEFIN TUNA | YELLOWFIN | ALBACORE | BIGEYE TUNA | CAPTAIN TAGGED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANGLER } \\ & \text { TAGGED } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BOB EAKES |  | 3 | 7 |  | 333 |  |  |  | 343 | 2 |
| T BAKER DUNN | 50 | 38 | 34 | 258 |  | 9 |  | 32 | 421 | 21 |
| ROBERT BURCAW | 3 | 4 | 57 | 161 |  | 5 |  | 3 | 233 | 1 |
| PAUL IVEY | 30 | 19 | 146 |  |  |  |  |  | 195 | 2 |
| BILL BORER | 20 | 97 | 19 | 1 |  | 4 |  |  | 141 | 2 |
| DAVID MARKS | 1 | 52 | 7 | 58 |  | 13 |  | 10 | 141 | 11 |
| BILL MCCAULEY | 23 | 90 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 125 | 1 |
| JOE BRODESSER | 113 |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 125 | 2 |
| EVERETT PETRONIO |  |  | 3 |  | 37 | 84 |  |  | 124 | 111 |
| DANIEL SHAWHAN |  | 4 | 7 | 83 |  |  | 4 | 24 | 122 | 4 |
| JAMES MEARS |  | 1 | 7 | 27 | 5 | 44 | 2 | 25 | 111 | 2 |
| JEFF WEST | 26 | 50 | 32 |  |  |  |  |  | 108 | 2 |
| JOHN FABRYKA | 1 | 1 | 24 | 76 |  | 1 |  |  | 103 | 44 |
| DAN MEARS |  |  |  | 53 | 9 | 32 |  | 3 | 97 | 12 |
| PETE BARRETT |  |  |  |  |  | 54 | 28 |  | 82 | 5 |
| CHRISTIAN EINSELEN | 1 | 2 | 12 | 31 | 2 | 24 |  | 5 | 77 | 22 |
| MICHAEL JOHNSON |  |  |  | 40 | 7 | 15 |  | 1 | 63 | 1 |
| SKIP NIELSEN | 54 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 | 3 |
| ROBERT CASSIDY |  |  |  |  | 3 | 48 | 4 |  | 55 | 43 |
| CHRIS WALKER | 5 | 6 | 11 | 31 |  |  |  |  | 53 | 1 |
| TRICH TEMPLETON |  |  | 1 | 1 | 23 | 28 |  |  | 53 | 2 |
| JACK FALCUCCI | 29 | 2 | 5 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 42 | 4 |
| CLYDE UPCHURCH | 39 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40 | 1 |
| RICK ROSS | 1 | 19 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  | 40 | 18 |
| DEW FORBES |  |  |  |  | 39 |  |  |  | 39 | 4 |
| BURT MOSS | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 | 8 |
| JIMMY DAVID | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 | 20 |
| EDDIE WINDES | 7 | 15 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 29 | 2 |
| PALMER CLINGMAN | 1 | 10 | 1 | 11 |  |  |  | 5 | 28 | 3 |
| TOMMY TILOTTA | 14 | 5 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 26 | 3 |
| NORM WELTER | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 1 |
| GREGG SKOMAL |  |  |  |  | 14 | 11 |  |  | 25 | 4 |
| ALEX WIDMER | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 | 3 |
| DREW BROOKMAN |  |  | 1 |  |  | 22 |  |  | 23 | 1 |
| KLAUS SCHWARZKOPF | 13 | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | 1 |
| DAVID MOFFAT |  |  | 2 | 19 |  |  |  |  | 21 | 1 |
| THOMAS MORT |  | 1 |  |  | 17 | 2 |  |  | 20 | 1 |
| JOHN BASSETT | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 4 |
| HOWARD BASNIGHT |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  | 18 | 1 |
| BILL CHAPRALES |  |  |  |  | 17 |  |  |  | 17 | 1 |
| PATRICIA GERRIOR |  | 1 | 1 | 4 |  | 11 |  |  | 17 | 1 |
| BOB MATTHEWS |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  | 16 | 1 |
| RONALD HOFMANN |  |  | 10 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 16 | 1 |
| THOMAS DULKA | 1 |  | 3 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 16 | 1 |
| GERARD DESILVA | 3 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 1 |
| RICHARD DESMARAIS |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  | 15 | 1 |
| JOHN CANNING |  | 1 | 5 |  | 9 |  |  |  | 15 | 1 |
| LARRY WITHALL | 5 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 1 |
| BEN TRIBKEN |  |  | 1 |  |  | 13 |  |  | 14 | 1 |
| ROBERT TESHER | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 3 |
| DANNY BOLAND | 1 | 8 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 2 |
| DOUG GRECO |  |  |  | 6 |  | 6 |  |  | 12 | 12 |
| LEE PEPIN |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  | 12 | 2 |

Appendix 1. (Continued)

| CAPTAIN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SAIL- } \\ & \text { FISH } \end{aligned}$ | BLUE MARLIN | WHITE MARLIN | SWORDFISH | BLUEFIN TUNA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YELLOW- } \\ & \text { FIN } \end{aligned}$ | ALBACORE | BIGEYE TUNA | CAPTAIN <br> TAGGED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANGLER } \\ & \text { TAGGED } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BRIAN DAVENPORT | 1 |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  | 12 | 1 |
| DAVE BAGGETT | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 2 |
| JEFF ROSS |  |  | 2 |  | 10 |  |  |  | 12 | 1 |
| STEVE KAISER | 1 | 3 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 1 |
| GARY GIFFORD | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 10 |
| JOHN MAGURSKY | 8 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 2 |
| RON MITCHEM | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 2 |
| MIKE ADKINS | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 8 |
| JOEY SALOMONE | 8 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 1 |
| C BRAD GILLAM |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  | 10 | 4 |
| HENRY OTTO | 9 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 1 |
| MIKE PATRICK | 5 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 10 | 1 |
| TOM CARBO | 1 | 7 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 10 | 1 |
| JEFF SESSA | 7 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 7 |
| LEO GILLESPIE | 2 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 1 |
| EDUARDO ALCAIDE | 2 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 2 |
| GLENN TEMPLET | 1 | 8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 1 |
| RONNIE RIDGEWAY |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  | 10 | 4 |
| DON COMBS | 1 | 8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 2 |
| H LAURELLI |  |  |  |  | 9 | 1 |  |  | 10 | 15 |
| JAY/SHARON BERMAN |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  | 10 | 4 |

Appendix 2. Anglers who made outstanding contributions to the CTC in 1994 and 1995 by assisting in the tagging of 5 or more sailfish, blue marlin, white marlin, swordfish, bluefin tuna, yellowfin tuna, albacore tuna, and bigeye tuna. The captain tagged column signifies fish tagged by angler while fishing as the captain.

SPECIES

| ANGLER | SAILFISH | BLUE MARLIN | WHITE MARLIN | SWORD- <br> FISH | BLUEFIN TUNA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YELLOW- } \\ & \text { FIN } \end{aligned}$ | ALBACORE | BIGEYE <br> TUNA | ANGLER <br> TAGGED | CAPTAIN <br> TAGGED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EVERETT PETRONIO |  |  | 1 |  | 37 | 73 |  |  | 111 | 124 |
| JOHN FABRYKA |  |  | 6 | 35 |  | 3 |  |  | 44 | 103 |
| J RICHARD JECK | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 | 7 |
| ROBERT CASSIDY |  |  |  |  | 3 | 38 | 4 |  | 43 | 55 |
| FRED DAVID | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 9 |
| DAVID BREGMAN |  |  | 1 |  |  | 21 | 3 |  | 25 | 1 |
| CHRISTIAN EINSELEN |  |  |  | 15 |  | 3 |  | 4 | 22 | 77 |
| T BAKER DUNN |  | 5 | 2 | 10 |  | 1 |  | 3 | 22 | 421 |
| PAUL MOTTA | 9 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 3 |
| BRAD SIMONDS |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 8 |
| JIMMY DAVID | 19 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 29 |
| RICK ROSS |  | 8 |  | 10 |  |  |  |  | 18 | 40 |
| H LAURELLI |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  | 15 | 10 |
| MATT BROOKMAN |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  | 13 | 2 |
| DOUG GRECO |  |  |  | 10 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 12 | 12 |
| DAN MEARS |  |  |  | 9 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 12 | 97 |
| DAVID MARKS |  | 1 |  | 9 |  | 1 |  |  | 11 | 141 |
| PAT KELLY |  |  | 10 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 11 | 6 |
| RICHIE DYAL | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 11 | 3 |
| PAUL O'DONNELL | 1 |  | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 9 |
| GARY GIFFORD | 6 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 12 |
| JOE IMBRIALE | 4 | 1 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 1 |
| ERIC LEECH | 8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 3 |
| HARRY TELLAM | 7 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 4 |
| LINDA NOLL | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 1 |
| DAN PURDY |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  | 9 | 1 |
| BURT MOSS | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 29 |
| CHUCK BALDWIN | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 3 |
| MIKE ADKINS |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  | 8 | 11 |
| JEFF SESSA | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 10 |
| TONY VISENTIN |  |  |  |  | 2 | 5 |  |  | 7 | 2 |
| PATTY HAMILTON | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 1 |
| ELIAS KATSAROS |  | 5 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 7 | 1 |
| MIKE GLAUBKE |  | 1 | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 6 | 3 |
| AL HILLA |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 6 | 1 |
| TIM MADDOCK | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 |
| RUSSELL LEDBETTER |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  | 6 | 1 |
| PAUL VISENTIN |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 | 1 |
| LYNNE WILLIAMS | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 1 |
| GAR BROWN | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 1 |
| BILL BELIVEAU |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 1 |
| D.M. GRAY | 1 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 3 |
| PETE BARRETT |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 5 | 82 |
| BILL CHAPPELLE |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| CAREY ROBERTS |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1 |  | 5 | 5 |
| KENNY MCDANIEL | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 8 |

## Appendix 3. Definition of broad scale location summarys used in release and recapture tables.

Western Atlantic - Atlantic Ocean north of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and south of $41^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude. The east-west coordinates are west of $41^{\circ}$ W longitude and varies eastward from $77^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ to depending on the latitude and proximity to landmass.

Eastern Atlantic - Atlantic Ocean north of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and south of $60^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude. The east-west coordinates are east of $41^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude north of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude and steps eastward south to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ latitude.

# \$ 1,000 REWARD 



OFFERED
FOR


ARCHIVAL TAGS FROM ATLANTIC BLUEFIN TUNA ${ }^{1}$


What are archival tags? Archival tags are "state of the art" electronic data-logging devices that provide location estimates by measuring light intensity through a light stalk. They also measure pressure and provide data on depth, water temperature, and body temperature of the fish. This information is collected on a daily basis and stored in the tag for up to seven years.

How do you determine that a bluefin tuna has an archival tag? Archival tags are implanted in the body cavity of the tuna and only the light stalk protrudes out of the stomach. However, these specially equipped bluefin tuna also carry unique external conventional streamer tags, with two-tone coloration, to help fisherman recognize these fish and return the archival tags. The external tags are placed about an inch off the dorsal midline on each side of the fish. On the white portion of the streamer tag it says "electronic tag inside stomach" and on the green or orange side it says "Big $\$ \$ \$$ reward".
What to do if you catch a bluefin tuna with an archival tag? If legally taken, confirmed archival tagged fish should be brought aboard the boat. DO NOT REMOVE THE ARCHIVAL TAG BY PULLING THE EXTERNAL. LIGHT STALK IN THE STOMACH CAVITY. To remove the archival tag, make a small incision in the area of the stomach and remove the archival tag (with the light stalk attached) by hand. Do not attempt to clean the tag, simply cover it and in the west Atlantic call the National Marine Fisheries Service. During business hours call 1-800-437-3936 or (305) 361-4248, on weekends, or at night call Dr. Eric Prince COLLECT at (305) 598-0944. In the east Atlantic, call the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas, Madrid, Spain, at 34-1-579-3352 or your local fisheries conservation agency. Instructions will be provided regarding where and how the tag should be mailed. After verification of the tag, arrangements will be made regarding payment of the $\$ 1,000$.
Size of bluefin tuna carrying archival tags. Medium ( $135-310 \mathrm{lbs}$ ) and Giant bluefin tuna ( 310 lbs and up) have been equipped with archival tags. $\$ 1,000$ will be paid for each tag that is properly removed from legally caught Atlantic bluefin tuna and returned.

[^0]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This experimental research program is being conducted jointly by Stanford University's Tuna Research and Conservation Center and NMFS.

