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# Southeast Fisheries Science Center 

## SOUTHEAST FISHERIES SCIENCE CENTER

Dr. Bradford E. Brown, Director
75 Virginia Beach Drive Miami, Florida 33149
(305) 361-4286

The Southeast Fisheries Science Center is comprised of six laboratories and a headquarters office in Miami, Florida. Scientists within the laboratories collect data, conduct research, and provide scientific information concerning the status and well being of living marine resources of southeastern United States, Puerto Rico, U.S. Virgin Islands, and in the open Atlantic Ocean for large pelagic species.

## BEAUFORT LABORATORY

Dr. Ford A. Cross, Director
101 Pivers Island Rd.
Beaufort, North Carolina 28516
(919) 728-8724

Scientists at the Beaufort Laboratory investigate the location, extent, spatial change, functions, and qualities of estuarine and marine habitats including natural and restored wetland systems. Research and stock assessments are conducted on menhaden, Atlantic reef resources, coastal pelagics, and sea turtles.

## CHARLESTON LABORATORY

Dr. Robert R. Kifer, Director 217 Fort Johnson Road<br>Charleston, South Carolina 29412

(803) 762-1200

Scientists at the Charleston Laboratory investigate fishery issues deal ling with seafood safety, wholesomeness, fishery management, habitat use, molluscan shellfish, and protected species. Research areas include marine biotoxins, pathogens, chemical contaminants, fishery forensics, molecular biology, and marine lipid chemistry.

## MIAMI LABORATORY

Dr. Joseph E. Powers, Director
75 Virginia Beach Drive
Miami, Florida 33149
(305) 361-4284

Scientists at the Miami Laboratory investigate fislieries for tunas, marlins, sailfish, swordfish, sharks, and Gulf and Caribbean reef resources. Research is also con-
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ducted on population dynamics of regional fishery resources and protected species of sea turtles and marine mammals.

## PANAMA CITY LABORATORY

Dr. Herman E. Kumpf and Dr. Churchill
B. Grimes, Acting Directors 3500 Delwood Beach Road Panama City, Florida 32408 (904) 234-6541

Scientists at the Panama City Laboratory conduct research to provide life history information such as stock identification, age, growth, and reproduction of southeastern fishery stocks. Laboratory scientists also conduct studies of regional charterboat fisheries.

## MISSISSIPPI LABORATORIES <br> Dr. Scott Nichols, Director 3209 Frederic Street <br> Pascagoula, Mississippi 39567 (601) 762-4591

Scientists at Mississippi Laboratories (consisting of facilities at Pascagoula
and the Stennis Space Center) conduct investigations and surveys using research vessels and aircraft of fishery and marine mammal resources in the Gulf of Mexico. Research is also conducted on space technology applications for recreational and commercial fisheries. The NOAA fishery research vessels, Oregon II and Chapman, are docked at the Pascagoula facility and managed for fishery research missions.

## GALVESTON LABORATORY

Dr. Roger J. Zimmerman, Acting Director 4700 Avenue U
Galveston, Texas 77550 (409) 766-3500

Scientists at the Galveston Laboratory investigate fisheries of shrimp and demersal fish. Research is conducted on brown, pink, and white shrimp forecasting, stock assessment, and on the biological value of marshlands and estuarine habitats for fishery resources. A nursery at the head-start facility is used to rear endangered Kemp's ridley sea turtles from hatchlings taken from the natural nesting beach in Mexico. Additional research is directed towards turtle habitat and physiology.

# Stock Assessment Techniques 

The Southeast Fisheries Science Center, with headquarters in Miami, Florida, periodically updates its assessments of important living marine resources in the U.S. Atlantic Ocean, U.S. Gulf of Mexico, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. These assessments using data gathered from commercial, recreational, and fishery independent sources provide detailed information for state and federal fishery administrators, the fishing community, and the public in general. This report is based on those assessments and summarizes the general status of fishery resources through 1991.

The report is divided into three major sections: Fishery Trends, Resource Surveys, and Species Synopses. The Species Synopses section is comprised of ten subsections which discuss the status of individual species: oceanic pelagics, coastal pelagics, shrimp, reef fish, groundfish, sharks, menhaden, reef invertebrates, marine mammals, and sea turtles. Some sections represent species groups that are very numerous (e.g., reef fish with more than 300 species), and in those sections only selected species are covered.

## OVERVIEW OF ASSESSMENT APPROACHES

In fisheries science, assessments are conducted in various ways depending on the nature of the fishery, the type and amount of data available, and the information required for management. Figure 1 is a diagram of several generic ways in which survey and catch data, in the lower left and right boxes respectively, can be combined to provide assessment advice, illustrated at the top of the diagram. The simplest approach is when catch data are used to generate
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indices of abundance, as seen by moving vertically along the right side of Figure 1. A more complex approach is when the catch data are combined with research vessel survey data to generate indices of abundance, as seen by moving vertically along the left side of Figure 1. These two approaches are frequently supplemented with knowledge of the life history generated from biological data from sampling fisheries and research catches. A third approach is to use the information about total stock size and population productivity generated under the first two approaches to determine the relationship between productivity and stock size; this is referred to as production models. Finally, for those species where the age composition can be determined reliably, more detailed analytic assessments can be developed that use the information in the age structure of the population and the catches to determine productivity.

The different information paths in Figure 1 result in assessment information having different levels of sophistication and reliability. The actual level of complexity of an assessment is determined by the amount of information available and by the amount of research required to interpret this information.

## FISHERY MANAGEMENT

Fisheries occurring primarily in the Exclusive Economic Zone of the southeastern United States are managed under Fishery Management Plans developed by the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (GMFMC), the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (SAFMC), the Caribbean Fishery Management Council (CRFMC) and ina few instances, under Preliminary FisheryManagement Plans or Secretarial Fishery Management Plans developed by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). Fisher-
ies occurring primarily in state waters are managed by the individual states or under Interstate Agreements under the auspices of the Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission or the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. Management plans currently in place are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

## DEFINITION OF TECHNICAL TERMS (Alphabetical Order)

Biological Reference Points: Fishing mortality rates that may provide acceptable protection against growth overfishing and/or recruitment overfishing for a particular stock. They are usually calculated from equilibrium yield per recruit curves and stock recruitment data. Examples are $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }} \mathrm{F}_{0.1}$, and $F_{30 \text { oxspr }}$.

Exploitation pattern: The distribution of fishing mortality over the age composition of the fish, determined by the type of fishing gear and spatial and seasonal distribution of fishing, and the growth and migration of the fish. The pattern can be changed by modifications to fishing gear, for example, increasing mesh or hook size, or by changing the ratio of harvest by gears exploiting the fish (e.g., gill net, trawl, hook and line, etc.).

Exploitation rate: The proportion of a population at the beginning of a given time period that is caught during that time period (usually expressed on a yearly basis). For example, if 720,000 fish were caught during the year from a population of 1 million fish alive at the beginning of the year, the annual exploitation rate would be 0.72 .

Fishing mortality rate: The part of the total mortality rate applying to a fish population that is caused by man's harvesting. Fishing mortality is usually expressed as an instantaneous rate, as discussed under Mortality rate, and can range from 0 for no fishing to very high values such as 1.5 or 2.0 . Fishing mortality rates are estimated using a variety of techniques, depending on the available data for a species or stock.


Figure 1. Diagram of alternative ways in which fishery-generated data and research data (lower right and left boxes, respectively) are combined to provide scientific advice on the status of the stocks.

For example, if $F=1.5$, then approximately $1.5 / 365$ or $0.411 \%$ of the population dies each day from fishing. If fishing were the only cause of death, then the number of fish that survive the fishery over the year from a population of 1 million alive at thebeginning of the year is 1 million multiplied by $\mathrm{e}^{-1.5}$ or 223,130 fish. During fishing, there are other causes of death that also act on the population of fish, and must be considered in calculating the number that die from fishing. The number of fish that die from fishing is the proportion of the total mortality that is caused by fishing, multiplied by the number of fish that die from all causes [i.e., $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Z}$ multiplied by ( $1-e^{-z}$ ) multiplied by 1 million]. If the total mortality rate is 1.7 , as given above, then this calculation is:

```
\((1.5 / 1.7)\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-1.7}\right)(1,000,000)\)
            or
\((0.8824)(0.8173)(1,000,000)\)
    or
    721,186
```

fish that die from fishing.
$\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$ : The rate of fishing mortality for a given exploitation pattern, rate of growth and natural mortality, that results in the maximum level of yield per recruit. This is the point that defines growth overfishing.
$F_{0.1}$ : The fishing mortality rate at which the increase in yield per recruit in weight for an increase in a unit of effort is only $10 \%$ of the yield per recruit produced by the first unit of effort on the unexploited stock (i.e., the slope of the yield per recruit curve for the $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ rate is only $1 / 10$ the slope of the curve at its origin).
$F_{\text {50\% spR }}$ : The fishing mortality rate for a given exploitation pattern, rate of growth, natural mortality, and reproductive schedule that will reduce the spawning potential per recruit to $30 \%$ of what it would be with no fishing mortality.

Growth overfishing: A range of fishing mortality which is above the rate of fishing as indicated by an equilibrium yield per recruit curve at which the loss in weight from total mortality
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exceeds the gain in weightdue togrowth. This range is defined as beyond $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$.

Long-term potential yield: The largest annual sustainable harvest in weight which could be removed from a fish stock year after year, under existing environmental conditions. This can be estimated in a variety of ways, ranging from maximum values from production models to average observed catches over a suitable period of years.

Mortality rate: The rate at which fish die from natural causes (disease, predation, old age) or fishing. Mortality rates can be described in several ways. Conceptually the easiest way is the total annual mortality rate, the fraction of the fish alive at the beginning of a year that die during the year. For example, a total annual mortality rate of 0.50 means that $50 \%$ of the population of fish died for whatever reason during the year. In general, annual mortality rates can range from 0 to 1.0 , that is $0 \%$ to $100 \%$ mortality. Note that the exploitation rate is the same as the annual fishing mortality rate.

Annual rates are easy to understand, but difficult to use when describing the relative contribution of different types of mortality, such as fishing and natural causes, to the total mortality of
fish during a year because they cannot be added. One way to describe mortality and overcome this limitation of annual rates is by using instantaneous rates, although this approach is conceptually more difficult. An instantaneous mortality rate is the fraction of the population of fish that dies in each very short period of time.

The derivation of instantaneous rates is mathematically complex, but there is a relatively simple connection between them and the simpler annual rates. Any particular instantaneous mortality rate, often denoted by $Z$, is equivalent to one specific annual rate A , according to the formula:

$$
\mathrm{A}=1-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{z}}
$$

That is, the annual rate is equal to $e$, (this is the number 2.718, the base of the natural logarithms) raised to the negative power of the instantaneous rate, subtracted from 1.0. For example, the instantaneous mortality rate of 1.1 isequivalent to an annual mortality rate of 0.67 , or $67 \%$. In practice, instantaneous rates range from 0 to values as high as 1.5 or 2.0 , but theoretically could take on any large value. Because instantaneous rates make comparing

Table 1. Federal fishery management plans for marine fisheries of the southeastern continental United States, Puerto Rico, and U.S. Virgin Islands.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Plan | Responsible |  | Amendmemts |  |
| Organization |  |  |  |  |

"GMFMC: Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, SAFMC: South Atlantic Fishery Management, Council, CRFMC: Caribbean Fishery Management Council, NMFS: National Marine Fisheries Service, Secretarial Plan
the relative importance of different sources of mortality very easy, as discussed next, they are frequently used by fishery biologists, and are used throughout this report. To aid in interpretation, Table 3 shows the relationship between instantaneous mortality rate and annual percentage mortality.

Instantaneous rates are used in assessments because they are mathematically easy to use (e.g., they can be added directly while percentages cannot). If a year is divided into a large number ( $n$ ) of equal time intervals, $Z / n$ is the proportion of the population which dies during each time interval. For example, if $Z=$ 1.7 and a day represents the time interval, then approximately $1.7 / 365$ or $0.466 \%$ of the population is dying daily, but the instantaneous rate is constant. (Actually $0.465 \%$ of the population dies each day instead of $0.466 \%$ because a day only approximates an instantaneous time period. If hours were used, the approximation would be even closer.) During the first day of the year, about 4,660 fish
will die and 995,340 will survive out of a population of 1 million. The survival rate over the year is $e^{-1.7}$ or 0.1827 . Multiplying 0.1827 by the number of fish alive at the beginning of the year ( 1 million) gives 182,684 fish that survive to the beginning of the next year. The proportion that actually dies during the year is, therefore, $1-e^{-1.7}$ or 0.8173 . This is called the annual mortality rate (A) which, of course, can never exceed 1.0.

The part of the total mortality rate applying to a fish population attributed to natural causes is usually assumed to mean all causes other than fishing. These many causes of death are usually lumped together for convenience since they usually account for much less than fishing mortality in adult fish, and are usually of less immediate interest. Natural mortality is usually expressed as an instantaneous rate and can range from 0 to very high values 0.5 or 1.0 . The corresponding annual mortality due to natural causes
acting alone can be computed in the same manner shown for total mortality rates. The most important causes are prodation, disease, cannibalism, and perhape increasingly, environmental degradation such as pollution. When particular mortality factors are of interest, separate instantaneous mortality terms are often defined. Natural mortality rates have proven very difficult to estimate, and often values are assumed based on the general life history of a particular fish.

Following the exampler given above, $M$ is equal to $Z-F$ or $1.7-1.5=$ 0.2. The number of fish that die during the year from natural causes is, therefore, the proportion of total mortality (M/Z) due to natural causes multiplied by the total number that actually die:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (M / Z)\left(1-e^{-2}\right)(1,000,000) \\
& \text { or } \\
& (0.1176)(0.8173)(1,000,000)
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 2. State fishery management plans.

| Plan | Date | Amendments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Last |
| Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission |  |  |  |
| Shellfish Transport | 1989 | 1 | 1990 |
| Spotted Seatrout | 1984 | 1 | In preparation |
| Weakfish | 1985 | 1 | In preparation |
| Summer Flounder/Seabass | 1982 | 2 | In preparation |
| American Shad/Herring | 1985 | None |  |
| Atlantic Croaker | 1987 | None |  |
| Spot | 1987 | None |  |
| Spanish Mackerel | 1990 | None |  |
| Atlantic Sturgeon | 1990 | None |  |
| Bluefish | 1989 | Under review |  |
| Red Drum | 1984 | Under review |  |
| Striped Bass | 1981 | Under review |  |
| Atlantic Menhaden | 1981 | Under review |  |
| American Eel | - | Proposed |  |
| Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission |  |  |  |
| Menhaden | 1977 | 2 | 1988 |
| Striped Bass | 1986 | 2 | In preparation |
| Blue Crab | 1990 | None |  |
| Gulf Shrimp | 1977 | None |  |
| Oysters | 1991 | None |  |
| Spanish Mackerel | 1989 | None |  |
| Red Drum/Spotted Seatrout (Profile document) | 1980 | Not applicable |  |
| Black Drum | In Progress | None |  |
| Gulf Sturgeon | In Progress | None |  |
| Alabama Shad | In Progress | None |  |
| Stone Crab <br> (Profile document) | In Progress | Not applicable |  |

Therefore, 96,114 fish or $9.6 \%$ of the population of one million die from natural causes during the year when the fishing mortality rate is 1.5 and the total mortality rate is 1.7. If fishing mortality were less, more fish would die from natural causes because some fish are caught by the fishery before they die from natural causes. For example, if the fishery did not exist, an M of 0.2 applied over the year to 1 million fish would cause a mortality of ( $1-\mathrm{e}^{-0.2}$ ) multiplied by 1 million or 181,269 fish and $18.1 \%$ of the beginning population.

Nominal catch: The sum of catches that have been reported as live weight or equivalent of the landings. Nominal catches do not include such measures as unreported discards. Remember these are not catches but landings.

Quota: A portion of a TAC (Total Allowable Catch) allocated to a fishery or to an operating unit, such as a size class of vessels or a country.

Recruitment: The amount of fish, added to the fishery each year due to growth and/or migration into the fishing area. For example, the weight or
number of fish that grow to become vulnerable to the fishing gear in one year would be the recruitment to the fishable population in that year. This term is also used in referring to the number or weight of fish from a year class reaching a certain age. For example, all fish reaching their second year would be age-2 recruits.

Spawning Potential Ratio (SPR or \%MSP): The ratio of spawning potential per recruit under a given fishing regime relative to the spawning potential per recruit with no fishing.

Table 3. Relationship between instantaneous mortality rate and percentage mortality if no other mortality exists on the fish.

| Instantaneous <br> Mortality Rate | Percentage <br> Mortality |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0 |
| 0.1 | 10 |
| 0.2 | 18 |
| 0.3 | 26 |
| 0.4 | 33 |
| 0.5 | 39 |
| 0.6 | 45 |
| 0.7 | 50 |
| 0.8 | 55 |
| 0.9 | 59 |
| 1.0 | 63 |
| 1.5 | 78 |
| 2.0 | 86 |

The spawning potential ratio assumes a density dependence on growth and fecundity. Often spawning potential per recruit is measured in spawning biomass per recruit.

Recruitment overfishing. The rate of fishing above which the recruitment to the exploitable stock becomes significantly reduced. This is characterized by a greatly reduced spawning stock, a decreasing proportion of older fish in the catch, and generally very low recruitment year after year.

Spawning Stock Biomass (SSB): The total weight of all sexually mature fish in the population. This quantity depends on the abundance of year classes, the exploitation pattern, the rate of growth, both fishing and natural mortality rates, the onset of sexual maturity, and environmental conditions.

Spawning Stock Biomass per Recruit (SSB/R): The expected lifetime contribution to the spawning stock biomass for a recruit for a specific age (e.g., per age-2 individual) such as the spawning stock biomass divided by the number of fish recruited to age-2. For a given exploitation pattern, rate of growth, natural mortality, an equilibrium value of $\mathrm{SSB} / \mathrm{R}$ is calculated for
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each level of $F$. This means that under constant conditions of growth, natural mortality, and exploitation patterns over the life span of the species, an expected average $\mathrm{SSB} / \mathrm{R}$ would result from each constant rate of fishing.

Status of exploitation: An appraisal of the status of exploitation is given for each stock of each species in the Species Synopsis section, using the terms unknown, protected, not exploited, underexploited, moderately exploited, fully exploited, and overexploited. These terms are used to describe the effect of current fishing effort on each stock, and represent the assessment scientist's educated opinion based on current data and the knowledge of the stocks over time.

Sustainable yield: The number or weight of fish in a stock that can be taken by fishing without reducing the stock's biomass from year to year, as-
suming that environmental conditions remain the same.

TAC: Total Allowable Catch is the total regulated catch from a stock in a given time period, usually a year.

Total mortality rate: The combined effect of all sources of mortality acting on a fish population. This is conveniently expressed in terms of instantaneous mortality rates because the total instantaneous mortality rate is simply the sum of the instantaneous fishing and natural mortality rates. For example, the total instantaneous mortality rate that is occurring when the instantaneous fishing mortality rate is 0.5 and the instantaneous natural mortality rate is 0.2 would be 0.7 , which is equivalent to an annual rate of $50 \%$.

Virtual population analysis (VPA) or Cohort Analysis: An analysis of the catches from a given year class over its life in the fishery. If 10 fish were caught each year from the

1968 year class for 10 successive years from 1970 to 1979 (age-2 to age-11), then 100 fish would have been caught from the 1968 year class during its life in the fishery. Since 10 fish were caught during 1979, then 10 fish must have been alive at the beginning of that year. At the beginning of 1978, there must have been at least 20 fish alive because 10 were caught in 1978 and 10 more were caught in 1979. By working backward year by year, one can be virtually certain that at least 100 fish were alive at the beginning of 1970. A virtual population analysis(VPA) goes a step further and calculates the number of fish that must have been alive if some fish also died from causes other than fishing. For example, if the instantaneous natural mortality rate was known in addition to the 10 fish caught per year in the fishery, then a virtual population analysis calculates the number that must have been alive each year to produce a catch of 10 fish each year in addition to those that died from natural causes.

If one knows the fishing mortality rate during the last year for which catch data are available (in this case 1979),
then the exact abundance of the year class can be determined in each and every year if the catches are known with certainty. If the fishery removes a large proportion of the stock each year so that the population declines quite rapidly over time, then an approximate fishing mortality rate can be used in the last year (1979), and by calculating backward year by year for the year class, a very precise estimate of the abundance canbe determined for the previous three or four years ( 1976 or 1975). Accuracy depends on the rate of population decline and the correctness of the starting value of the fishing mortality rate (in the most recent year). Normally, the starting value is estimated by calibrating the VPA estimates with auxiliary information, such as indices of abundance. This technique is used extensively in fishery assessments since the conditions for its use are so common: many fisheries are heavily exploited, the annual catches for a year class can be determined, and the natural mortality rate is known within a fairly small range.

Year Class (or Cohort): Fish of the same stock born in the same year. For example, the 1987 year class of a stock includes all fish of that stock born in 1987, and they would be age-1 in 1988. Occasionally a stock produces a very small or very large year class and this group of fish is followed closely by assessment scientists since it can be pivotal in determining the stock abundance in later years.

Yield Per Recruit Analysis: The expected lifetime yield per fish of a specific age (e.g., per age-2 individual). For a given exploitation pattern, rate of growth, and natural mortality, an equilibrium value of $Y / R$ is calculated for each level of $F$. This means that under constant conditions of growth, natural mortality, and exploitation patternsover the life span of the species, an expected average Y/R would result from each constant rate of fishing.

## Fishery Trends

Incooperation with the eight southeastern states, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and the Territory of the U.S. Virgin Islands, the Southeast Fisheries Science Center collects and maintains fishery statistics of commercial and recreational importance. State and federal fishery agents routinely gather data on fish and invertebrates landed at various ports in the southeastern region. These data are archived and provided to the public through various publications of the National Marine Fisheries Service. Also, fishery data are analyzed and information from the analyses are provided along with fishery statistics to state and federal agencies, Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, South Atlantic Fishery Management Council, Caribbean Fishery Management Council, Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission, Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission, International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas, and similar organizations concerned with management and conservation of U.S. fishery resources.

Commercial fishery statistics for the southeast region are comprised of data collected through many sources. Typical sources include seafood dealer records, weigh-out sheets, commercial fishermen interviews, fishermen logbooks, and trip-ticket systems operated by several southeastern states.

The principal source of recreational fishery statistics in the southeastern region is the Marine Recreational Fishery Statistics Survey. The survey is conducted by the National Marine Fisheries Service's Washington, D.C. office. It uses a telephone survey of households and an intercept survey of anglers at fishing sites to estimate total yield and fishing effort by species. These


Figure 2. Commercial yield by region in the eastern United States.
estimates do not, however, include catches made in Texas or catches made aboard headboats (vessels that are routinely chartered by anglers who pay individually, rather than as a group, to fish).

Commercial and recreational fishery statistics contained in Tiables 4-8 are considered preliminary and can vary slightly as new information is provided. Also, the statistics can be summed in many categories. For more current, more detailed, or different aggregations of statistics on a particular species or geographic area, please contact:

Statistics Office Southeast Fisheries Science Center<br>\section*{National Marine Fisheries Service} 75 Virginia Beach Drive Miami, Florida 33149<br>Telephone: (305) 361-4462

## COMMERCIAL

Commercial yield in 1991 increased 38.7 thousand metrictons and $\$ 810$ million over those recorded in 1990. In weight landed, menhaden was the largest fishery with 514.6 thousand metric tons. Shrimp was the most valuable fishery with a value of $\$ 478.4$ million.

Louisiana led other southeastern states in commercial yield with 544.3 thousand metric tons valued at $\$ 243.6$ million. The largest shrimp yield was in Texas with 43.5 thousand metric tons valued at $\$ 200$ million. Mississippi recorded an increase in landings of $25 \%$ but a decrease in value of $19 \%$.

In 1991, spiny lobster increased 76\% in value, Spanish mackerel increased $43 \%$ in value, and shark decreased $23 \%$ in weight landed. Menhaden recorded only an $8 \%$ decrease in weight landed but a $39 \%$ decrease in value.

Table 4. Commercial and recreational yields in 1991 for southeastern U.S. states.

| State | $\begin{gathered} \text { Commercial } \\ \text { Yield } \\ (1000 \mathrm{t}) \end{gathered}$ | Commercial Exvessel Value (\$1000) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Recreational } \\ & \text { Yleld * } \\ & \text { (1000 fish) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Angler } \\ & \text { Trips } \\ & \text { (1000 trips) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Atlantic Coast |  |  |  |  |
| North Carolina | 96.4 | \$66,747 | 7,931 | 1,774 |
| South Carolina | 8.7 | 28,534 | 3,435 | 888 |
| Georgia | 7.3 | 23,719 | 2,076 | 352 |
| Eastern Florida | 20.6 | 49,874 | 17,024 | 8,858 |
| U.S. Gulf of Mexico |  |  |  |  |
| Western Florida | 53.2 | \$112,182 | 57,440 | 8,106 |
| Alabama | 9.9 | 36,697. | 2,080 | 409 |
| Mississippi | 108.1 | 34,297 | 1,973 | 464 |
| Louisiana | 540.9 | 243,600 | 11,653 | 2,013 |
| Texas | 49.1 | 214,410 | 2,005 | n.a. |

*Estimates from the NMFS Marine Recreational Fishery Statistics Survey (Catch types A+B1), State of Texas, and the NMFS headboat survey (n.a. $=$ not available).
**Does not include headboat data.

## RECREATIONAL

Table 5. Commercial and recreational yields for selected speciesgroups in 1991 for U.S. southeastern states. (Note: landings of fish, lobster, and shrimp in live weight; oysters in meat weight).

|  | Commercial <br> Yleld <br> $(1000$ <br> t $)$ | Commercial <br> Exvessel Value <br> $(\$ 1000)$ | Recreational <br> Yield <br> $(1000$ fish $)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Species | 4.1 | 16,410 | 4,853 |
| King Mackerel | 1.9 | 5,087 | 1,160 |
| Spanish Mackerel | 3.0 | 3,357 | 2,753 |
| Menhaden. | 511.1 | 34,275 | n.a. |
| Sharks | 4.4 | 3,974 | 398 |
| Swordfish | 1.7 | 13,560 | n.a. |
| Tuna | 3.6 | 20,112 | 659 |
| Oysters | 6.3 | 37,368 | n.a. |
| Shrimp | 120.4 | 478,369 | n.a. |
| Spiny Lobster | 2.9 | 27,546 | n.a. |
| Stone Crabs | 2.9 | 13,622 | n.a. |

Estimates from the NMFS Marine Recreational Fishery Statistics Survey (Catch types $A+B_{1}$ ), State of Texas, and the NMFS headboat survey (n.a. $=$ not available).

The Marine Recreational Fishery Statistics Survey estimated recreational catch (type A catch) for all regions of the United States to be about 63,457 metric tons in 1991. The southeast region constituted about $56 \%$ of that total with 11,690 metric tons from the U.S. southern Atlantic and 23,797 from the Gulf of Mexico.

## U.S. CARIBBEAN

The fisheries of Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands are predominantly artisanal. Most anglers concentrate their fishing effort on shallow-water reef fish and on a variety of shellfish, mainly lobster, and conch. Landings of fish and shellfish are reported by fishermen, fish buyers, and fishing associations around the islands, and the statistics are gathered by port agents visiting municipalities and other fishing centers.

Table 6. Shrimp yields in 1991 for U.S. states (heads-on weight).

| State | Yield <br> $(\mathbf{1 0 0 0} \boldsymbol{t})$ | Exvessel Value <br> $(\mathbf{S 1 0 0 0})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Atlantic Coast |  |  |
| $\quad$ North Carolina | 4.9 | $\$ 18,588$ |
| $\quad$ South Carolina | 4.1 | 16,781 |
| $\quad$ Georgia | 3.9 | 20,186 |
| $\quad$ Eastern Florida | 3.7 | 12,150 |
| U.S. Guif Coast |  |  |
| $\quad$ Western Florida | 5.1 | $\$ 15,674$ |
| $\quad$ Alabama | 6.8 | 32,776 |
| Mississippi | 5.3 | 20,505 |
| Louisiana | 43.1 | 141,461 |
| Texas | 43.5 | 200,248 |

## For more information:

Barshinger, C.W. 1992. State/federal commercial fishery statistics project, SF-42 (NA90AAHSF228), annual summary report. U.S. Virgin Islands Department of Planning and Natural Resources, Division of Fish and Wildlife.
Southeast Fisheries Center. 1992. Status of Fishery Resources off the SoutheasternUnited States for 1991. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-306.
United States Department of Commerce, NOAA, National Marine Fisheries Service. Fisheries of the United States 1990. Washington: GPO, May 1991.

Table 7. 1990 commercial yield in weight (metric tons) and value (dollars) from Puerto Rico.

| Specles | Metric Tons | Ervessel Value | Species | Metric Tons | Exvessel Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tuna | 51.1 | \$96,717 | Triggerfish | 14.1 | \$37,544 |
| Ballyhoo | 16.4 | 37,062 | Barracuda | 10.8 | 29,054 |
| Grunt | 63.9 | 164,703 | Porgy | 5.9 | 16,734 |
| Hogfish | 14.1 | 58,342 | Snook | 14.8 | 50,548 |
| Croaker | 0.0 | 103 | Tarpon | 2.8 | 3,444 |
| Trunkfish | 22.4 | 79,393 | Goatfish | 7.1 | 22,011 |
| Dolphin | 31.6 | 90,078 | Sardine | 11.3 | 22,653 |
| Swordfish | 0.0 | 0 | Mackerel | 48.0 | 168,978 |
| Squirrelfish | 4.1 | 10,126 | Shark | 20.9 | 53,608 |
| Mullet | 14.6 | 35,531 | Margate | 1.0 | 2,720 |
| Jack | 18.9 | 50,159 |  |  |  |
| Parrotfish | 30.9 | 65,127 | Classified |  |  |
| Marlin | 2.7 | 8,996 | First | 87.9 | 264,446 |
| Amberjack | 0.5 | 950 | Second | 62.7 | 133,542 |
| Grouper |  |  | Third | 28.7 | 65,361 |
| Red Hind | 25.2 | 80,902 | Trash | 3.2 | 2,439 |
| Nassau | 2. | 6,590 | Other Fish | 43.5 | 0 |
| Other Grouper | 37.7 | 136,288 |  |  |  |
| Mojarra | 9.2 | 29,310 | Queen Conch | 49.1 | 218,596 |
| Snapper |  |  | Land Crab | 2.6 | 50,184 |
| Lane | 63.1 | 228,932 | Lobster | 96.1 | 949,736 |
| Yellowtail | 67.4 | 265,922 | Oysters | 0.2 | 920 |
| Silk | 75.9 | 374,107 | Octopus | 9.1 | 44,352 |
| Mutton | 19.1 | 74,815 | Other Shellfish | 1.1 | 8,448 |
| Other Snapper | 25.6 | 101,743 |  |  |  |

Table 8. Estimated U.S. Virgin Islands commercial yield (July 1990-June 1991).

| Species | Metric Tons |
| :--- | ---: |
| St. Thomas and St. Joha |  |
| Snapper and Grouper | 81.07 |
| Other Finfish | 280.76 |
| Conch | 0.00 |
| Whelk | 0.07 |
| Lobster | 42.35 |
| Other Marine Species | 0.00 |
|  |  |
| St. Croir | 77.42 |
| Snapper and Grouper | 332.98 |
| Other Finfish | 32.37 |
| Conch |  |
|  | 0.00 |
| Whelk | 31.45 |
| Lobster | 0.04 |
| Other Marine Species |  |

United States Department of Commerce, NOAA, National Marine Fisheries Service. 1991. Fishing trends and conditions in the southeast region 1990. Ed. Kim Newlin. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEC-292. (Contact Kim Newlin at the SEFSC, Miami, Florida).
United States Department of Commerce, NOAA, National Marine Fisheries Service. 1992. Fishing trends and conditions in the southeast region 1991. Ed. Kim Newlin. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEC-311. (Contact Kim Newlin at the SEFSC, Miami, Florida).

# Resource Surveys 

Since 1972, personnel of the Mississippi Laboratories of the Southeast Fisheries Science Center have conducted routine research trawl surveys of bottom species found on the continental shelf of the northern Gulf of Mexico. The longest single time series of data is collectively known as the Fall Groundfish Survey. For most of its history, the survey concentrated on the north-central part of the GulfofMexico, particularly in the region from Alabama through Louisiana. The original intent of the survey was to document the decline of majorbottomfish species (primarily sciaenids) as reported by the industrial groundfish fishery. Of particular concern was the variation in spatial distributions. In recent years, however, fishery management has required the survey to focus more on the
year to year variations in abundance and for a much wider range of species than in years past.

All groundfish survey data were taken aboard the NOAA research vessel Oregon II using a standard semiballoon shrimp trawl fished with mud rollers and a tickler chain. Sampling designs and bottom-trawl times varied slightly through the survey years, but in 1987 a standardized system was adopted. The standard survey design uses a stratified-random sampling format with one tow per sampling site and a tow length determined by the size of the stratum being sampled. Generally the survey region includes the offshore waters between Pensacola, Florida, and Brownsville, Texas from 9 to 110 m in depth.

To illustrate the finfish catch rate for 1972-1990 (Figure 3), all data were treated as if the trawl samples were selected from a completely randomized design. In the 17 -year time series, approximately 800 taxa were reported. Most stocks extend well beyond the primary fishing area in an alongshore direction, and many species have a wider depth range than covered by the sampling region.

## For more information:

Nichols, Scott and Gilmore Pellegrin, Jr. 1989. Trends in catch per unit effort for 157 taxa caught in the Gulf of Mexicofall groundfish survey, 19721988. Southeast Fisheries Science Center, Pascagoula, MS.


Flgure 3. Bottom-trawl catch rates for demersal finfish in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico (mostly sciaenids; generally does not include pelagics, menhaden, coastal herrings, butterfish, most reef resources and sharks).

# 1992 Research Accomplishments 

## ECOLOGY

Recruitment Dynamics and the Mississippi River Plume

Studies of thedistribution and abundance of fish larvae, phytoplankton, and zooplankton in the vicinity of the Mississippi River dischargeplume show thatall three biological groups are concentrated in the mixingzonebetween plume waters and Gulf of Mexico shelf waters. Hydrodynamic convergence at turbidity fronts has been shown to have the potential to account for the observed concentrations of fish larvae in frontal waters.

## Mississippi River Plume Trophic Relationships

A concentration of biotabetweenthe waters of the Mississippi River plume and the Gulf of Mexico creates potentially rich food resources for fish larvae. An examination, therefore, was conducted of spatial variations in larval growth and mortality rates. Several species; e.g., Spanish mackerel, yellowfin tuna, and striped anchovy, appear to grow faster in frontal waters and experience higher mortality rates as well.

## Coastwatch Support

Computer imagesof seasurfacetemperature and other marine and atmospheric data derived from NOAA satellites, buoys, coastal stations, and vessels are being received, distributed, and stored for coastal research. In 1992, more than 482 synoptic satellite scenes covering the coastal ocean from North Carolina through Florida were processed and stored along with 361 high resolution satellite scenes and 184 high resolution visible images taken off North Carolina. To complement the existing regional Coastwatch site at Beaufort,
N.C., a regional node was installed at the National Marine Fisheries Service, Stennis facility for the Gulf of Mexico. High speed computer links were established between Stennis and the National Ocean Survey, Ocean Products Center and the NESDIS satellite archive. Realtime satellite images from the Ocean Products Center are processed for fishery purposes at the Stennis node.

## Remote Sensing of Turbidity

Coastal urbanization greatly increases the likelihood of turbidity and eutrophication in estuaries. Research, therefore, is underway to further develop remote sensing technology capable of measuring turbidity in large coastal areas. Satellite images of Pamlico Sound, North Carolina are being processed and calibrated with field samples to determine sources of variation associated with location, time, pigments, suspended solids, and other characteristics of estuarine waters.

Oceanographic and Biological Relationshipg

In a study of the effects of the Gulf Stream on nearshore marine ecosystems, satellite images are being used to investigate relationships that might exist between the Gulf Stream and toxic phytoplankton blooms of the red tide.

## Larval and Juvenile Fish Recruitment

To study the recruitment of young fish into the estuaries of North Carolina, environmental variables are being correlated with the age of larval and juvenile fish as they move into the estuaries to grow. Intensive nocturnal sampling of larvae near Beaufort Inlet, North Carolina is followed by ageing research to determine the actual dates the larvae hatched. The hatch dates and ages are
then correlated with oceanographic parameters to study patterns of recruitment and biological-oceanographic interactions.

## Oceanic PlanktonMetal Requirements

Although plankton require selected metals for metabolism, research demonstrates that oceanic plankton can survive even in waters with very low metal concentrations. They accomplish this either by lowering their metabolic metal requirements and/or by reducing their rate of growth or the size of their cells.

## Iron and Dimethyl Sulfide

There is a correlation in some ocean species between a limitation of iron in the marine environment and the production of dimethyl sulfide. When released into the atmosphere, dimethyl sulfide, a volatile organic compound, can become a link to rain seeding. Phytoplankton production of dimethyl sulfide in the presence oflowironconcentrations, therefore, might possibly be a new link between oceanic metal concentrations and atmospheric conditions.

## Marine Manganese Oxides

When manganese oxides were exposed to humic-rich water from the Newport River, a number of aldehydes and organic acids resulted. It appears likely that marine manganese oxidizing bacteria could use a similar process to generate high concentrations of nutritional organic moleculesfor theirconsumption. If so, this would suggest the presence of a previously unknown contributor to the marine carbon cycle.

## Distributions of Heavy Metals in Invertebrates <br> The normal physiological processes in blue crabs, lobsters, and oysters affect

tissue distributions and concentrations of copper, cadmium, zinc, and calcium. Distributions of cadmium, copper, and zinc at the subcellular level were associated with thelow molecular weight, metalbinding protein, metallothionein. Calcium was associated with a calmodulin-like protein.

## Hemocyanin in Blue Crabs

In North Carolina blue crabs, hemocyanin, a hemolymph oxygen-carrying protein, could change in concentration by natural environmental factors. Such factors could include interactions among salinity, temperature, and dissolved oxygen. Changes in hemocyanin concentration were caused either by changes in copper metabolism, since hemocyanin is a copper containing protein, or indirectly through reduced food intake. These results provide important information for understanding the effects of hypoxia on marine organisms in coastal waters.

## Benthic Surveillance

Toxic metal and organic contaminants in fish and sediments are measured from selected locations as indicators of regional pollution. The histology, physiology, age, growth, and general condition of fish are studied as indicators of stress and habitatsuitability. Contamination is generally lower in the southeast than in other regions of the nation. In the southeast, contamination is near or only slightly above baseline even in urban, industrial locations. Nevertheless, there are spots in the southeast with heavy concentrations of pollutants. In these spots, metallic and organic toxins are usually associated with fish fin erosion, decreased growth rates, and depletion of nutritionally essential metals.

## Sargassum

The importance of Sargassum as a marine habitat was demonstrated by one neonate loggerhead turtle and over tenthousand juvenile fish found in 179 Sar gassum samplestaken during 14 research cruises. The juvenile fish represented 60 different species.

## Seagrass Mapping

Remote seagrass mapping technology is being developed to generatedigital
and hard-copy maps of thespatial change of submerged aquatic habitats in coastal bays and other estuarine areas of North Carolina. The objectives are to evaluate and improve remote sensing technology to the point that it is applicable and reliable for use in national coastal surveys.

Seagrass, Bycatch and the Live-Bait Shrimp Fishery

A live-bait shrimp fishery in Tampa Bay, Florida created no significant impact on seagrass communities but did create a high mortality of bycatch for some fish species. Fish such as mojarra and spotted seatrout were often caught while other species such as pinfish and toadfish were not. Actual impacts of the live-bait fishery vary both spatially and temporally.

## Seagrass Stabilization

Quantitative physical analyses show that sea grass beds can effectively reduce wave energy.

## Light Penetration and Seagrast

A study of light penetration and the distribution of seagrasses in Hobe Sound, Florida, indicates thatcurrent water quality management criteria donotadequately protect seagrass beds.

## Natural versus Transplanted Salt Marshes

Preliminary results indicate that growth rates are not significantly different between transplanted and natural marshes. Survival, however, was greater in natural marshes. Three 2 -year old marsh transplants in North Carolina exhibit significantly lower fishery densities than natural marshes nearby.

## Day versus Night Marsh Use

No significant differences were dotected between night and day densities of penaeid shrimps, blue crabs, or small fish in the marsh habitat. From these results, itseems theanimals areactively selecting the marsh more for food than protection.

## Seagrass Die-Off

A seagrass die-off is occurring in Florida Bay. In the die-aff areas, there is anincrease in the diversity of recolonizing plant species over the species that existed
in the original turtlegrass habitats. Data also show that recolonization may be very rapid but also may be transient.

## Fish Response to Seagrass Die-Off

The fish community in Florida Bay is dominated by rainwater killifish (Lucania parva) in healthy Thalassia habitat. In shallow water habitats created by the die-off, goldspotted killifish (Floridichthys carpio) dominate; in deepwater die-off areas, rainwater killifish still dominate. Faunal densities are much lower in disturbed habitats. No seasonal trends in overall abundancewere noted, except for declines in October that may be associated with the passage of tropical storms.

## Invertebrate Response toSeagrass DicOfI

Invertebrates in healthy Thalassia habitat of Florida Bay are dominated by bryozoan shrimp (Thor Лloridanus). Habitats created by the die-off are dominated by a mixture of bryozoan shrimp, pink shrimp (Penaeus duorarum), and hermit crab (Pagurus maclaughlinae). Faunal densities are much lower in disturbed habitats.

## Seagrass Transplants

If the density oftransplanted seagrass ishigh, the transplanted bedscan achieve resident faunal density and composition that are almost equivalent to natural beds in a short period of time.

Transplanted Seagrass in High-Energy Environments

Patch beds of seagrass that develop in high-energy environments can provide important habitat for fishery organisms. Equivalent densities of shrimp are found in both high energy seagrasses and lower energy seagrass meadows. They also provide a quantitative basis for setting restoration performance and compliance criteria.

## Nitrogen Fixation

Analyses show that nitrogen fixation might be higher in transplanted seagrass beds than in naturally occurring marshes. Epiphyticnitrogenfixation may be a major source for nitrogen fixation.

## Peat Enrichment of Sediments

Augmentation of sedimentswith peat has stimulated the development of infaunal communities in transplanted marshes. Such communities are similar to those found in natural marshes.

## Thin-Layer Disposal of Dredged Materials

Effects of thin-layer disposal on feeding and growth of spot are being studied in connection with the Gulfport, Mississippi Thin-layer Demonstration Project. Survival of fishes in response to simulated thin-layer deposition is being measured in controlled laboratory experiments. Additional experiments are comparing feeding by different species under varying levels of turbidity.

## PROTECTED SPECIES

## Sea Turtle Habitat Surveys

Gill netting continued at CedarKey, Florida to determine the use of inshore, eastern Gulf of Mexico waters by sea turtles. In 1992, 54 Kemp's ridleys, 13 loggerheads, and 1 green turtle were captured, measured, flipper and PIT tagged. All turtles were immediately released unharmed back into the wild near the capture site. Several turtles, 8 ridleys and 2 loggerheads, were recaptures of animals previously tagged at this location.

The Cape Canaveral, Florida trawling survey by the F/V Mickey Ann concluded in 1992. In all, 882 loggerheads, 119 Kemp's ridleys, and 47 green turtles were captured, flipper tagged, and released near the capture site. A report analyzing the data and summarizing the entire six year project was completed.

Inshore North Carolina Sea Turtles
To determine the use of inshore North Carolina waters by seaturtles, field data for 1991 revealed 779 reports of live sea turtle sightings from the public. Also in 1991, eight volunteer fishermen reported species names and sizes for 133 incidentally captured sea turtles. The results of the field data and three years of
aerial surveys were presented to representatives of the North Carolina Department of Marine Fisheries, National Research Council, and the National Marine Fisheries Service as they met to discuss justification for expanded turtle excluder deviceregulations in the region.

## Sea Turtie Stranding and Salvage Net-

 work (STSSN)The STSSN, initiated in 1980, continues to document sea turtle strandings along the U.S. Atlanticand GulfofMexico coasts, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. The 1992 semi-annual STSSN report was completed and distributed; the annual report has been drafted. A report on the species composition and size class distribution of marine turtle strandings on the U.S. Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico coasts was published and distributed (NOAA-NMFS-SEFSC-TM-315).

## Cooperative Marine Turtle Tagging Program (CMTTP)

The CMTTP, initiated in 1980, continues to distribute inconel flipper tags and applicatorstoqualified federal, state, and university sea turtle research organizations. The CMTTP archives all tagging data forms and distributes tag-recapture information to the participants. The total number of tagged sea turtles to date: 8,200 greens, $7,500 \mathrm{log}$ gerheads, 600 Kemp 's ridleys, 200 hawksbills, and 110 leatherbacks. A new data management system was developed and implemented to decrease response time to recaptures received. There were 4,100 tags distributed in 1992.

Leatherback Turtle Distributions in Southeastern U.S. Atlantic

From an analysis of available data on the distribution and relative abundance of leatherback turtles, the species appearstoaggregateoff thecentralFlorida coast, particularly in the summer. From comparisons of these distributions sea surface temperatures, it seems leatherbacks can be found in relatively cool water $\left(12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and are predictably associated with thermal fronts. An hypothesis for this is the fronts may concentrate resources thus concentrating turtles.

Kemp's Ridley Head Start Experiment

Annually about 2,000 Kemp's ridley sea turtles are reared in captivity, tagged, and released into the wild. This increases survival of this endangered species during the critical first year. Once released, the turtles grow and distribute themselves throughout the range of the species. It is hoped they will mature and eventually reproduce.

## DirectionalSonicTelemetryforTurties

In May 1992, a report titled "Evaluation of a directional sonic telemetry system to study sea turtle habitat use and localized movements in Core Sound, North Carolina" was submitted to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. It represents the cooperative efforts of NMFS and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The study confirmed that with current technology it is possible to track sea turtles in inshore waters and correlate turtle movements with habitat type such as seagrass beds and sediment types.

Turtle Satellite, Radio, and Sonic Tracking

Loggerhead sea turtle tracking data suggest that turtles spend time near oil and gas structures and have home ranges of 80 to $260 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. Dive times vary according to the time of day and season of the year. Kemp's ridley seaturtles fitted with electronic tags along the U.S. Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic coasts have not demonstrated home-range movements . They do, however, demonstrate longrange movements, and in the Atlantic, their movement may be in response to water temperature and ocean currents.

## Sea Turtles and the Summer Flounder Trawl Fishery

Aerial surveys, observer coverage of the fleet, and NOAA Coast Watch sea surface temperature imagery were used for an analysis of the interactions between the winter trawl fishery for summer flounder and sea turtles. Results indicate Kemp's ridleys accounted for a disproportionate amount of the turtlecatch and that catch rates for all turtle species was comparable to that of theU.S. Atlantic shrimp fishery. It was estimated that 1,063 turtles were captured November,

1991 through February, 1992 and that 89 to 181 died. The results were published in July, 1992 as a NOAA Technical Memorandum.

## MEXUS-Gulf Working Group

Mexican and U.S. scientists colLaborated at MEXUS-Gulf meetings in Mexico in 1991 and in the U.S. in 1992. Research concerning Kemp's ridley turtles were summarized and discussed along with population status and current conservation efforts. Cooperativeresearch plans with scientists of the Mexican Instituto Nacional dela Pesca were finalized.

## Protected Species Observer Data

Observers monitored sea turtle and marine mammals around 140 offshore oil and gas structures during 1992. Observers recorded the number of turtles and dolphin sighted as well as the turtles or dolphin killed from explosives. Also, the length and number of fish dead and floating were recorded with diver counts of turtles and the weight of explosives used.

## Marine Mammal Data Management System

A data management system was established in North Carolina to compile data on marine mammals and to maintain data on marine mammal sightings in North Carolina waters.

MarineMammals and TurtlesStranding Network

In corporation with personnel of states, other federal agencies, and the public, personnel of the Marine Mammals and Turtles Stranding Network chronicle, catalog, record, and disseminate information on the live and dead strandings of marine mammals along the U.S. Atlanticand Gulfcoasts. There were 59 marine mammal strandings in North Carolina in 1992 that produced investigations of the cause of death. When feasible, necropsies were conducted and tissues were stored for shipment to other scientists for study.

## Marine Mammal Strandings

Two unusual occurrences of bottlenosedolphin mortalities occurredin 1992.

A fall-winter increase in strandings occurred along the west coast of Florida, and a spring increase occurred along the Texas coast. Significant resources were allocated to investigate the occurrences.

## Forensics Manual for Marine Mam-

 malsA draft of the Marine Forensics Manual contains specialized protocols for tissue collection from stranded marine mammals. In addition, it specifies standard operating procedures for the Marine Forensics Program

## Texas Bottlenose Dolphin Deaths

A contact network wis established in mid-Texas to support logistical, scientific, and law enforcement effortsregarding a high number of bottlenose dolphin deaths. The Southeast Fisheries Science Center's plan for sample collection was activated as well as on-siteinvestigations of the strandings. To determine the relative health of the remainingdolphin population, blood samples were taken from live dolphin in the area of high dolphin mortalities.

## Florida Bottlenose Dolphin Deaths

Technical support was provided to Mote Marine Laboratory personnel concerning the high mortalities of bottlenose dolphin in the area of Sarasota, Florida.

## Tissue Contamination

A test was developed for detecting polychlorinated biphenyls and chlorinated pesticides in liver and blubber samples from marine mammals. Another test was developed for the detection of lead, mercury, arsenic, cadmium, and selenium from marine mammal livers. Numerous samples were taken from marine mammals and sea turtles for baseline data. Validation of both tests continues.

## Analytical Methods

Method validation was conducted for determination of PCB/pesticide residues in tissues of marine mammals and sea turtles. Also, methods were validated formicrowave digestion of dolphinlivers collected in the Texas die-off. Subsequent analysis of the digested livers will
determine the presence and amount of cadmium, lead, arsenic, and selenium.

## STATISTICAL COLLECTIONS

## Commercial Fishery Surveys

In cooperation with personnel of the southeastern states, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands, the Southeast Fisheries Science Center maintains a complex system for collecting fishery statistics and biological samples for important southeastern fishery species. The data and samples are used for a variety of fishery research and management activities.

## Wreckfish Fishery Monitoring

New procedures and technologies were developed and implemented for monitoringthe wreckfishfishery. Barcode scanning devices and mark-sense readers resulted in significant savings in data entryand file maintenance. Also, the new system captures additional economic data that previously were unavailable. Based on operational performance, the system might be considered for other fisheries, particularly thosemanaged by individual transferable quotas.

## Snapper-Grouper Fishery Logbook

A new logbook was developed and implemented for the commercial snap-per-grouper fishery. A logbook for multiple fisheries is under development.

## Spiny Lobster Trends

Spiny lobster 1991 annual landings were 7.0 million pounds, approximately one million pounds more than 1990 and the 6.1 million pound averagesince 1975. A record high of 939,000 treps were deployed. Seasonal catch per trap has been declining slightly since 1975, averaging 9.2 pounds per trap and down from a greater than 25 pounds per trap in the 1960s and 1970s. Average commercial landings per trip were 169 pounds. Recreational harvest wasestimated at 435,000 pounds during the special two day sport season and $1,283,000$ pounds during the
first month of the regular season. These landings combined represented approximately $26 \%$ of the commercial harvest over the same time period.

## Stone Crab Trends

The biological condition of the stone crab fishery appears healthy, partly because regulations protect the reproductive capacity of thespecies. Total landings haveincreased annually from 2.1 million pounds in 1985 to 3.1 million pounds in 1990 and in 1991. Landings averaged 6 pounds per trap which were near record lowlevels, suggesting over capitalization of the fishery. The estimated number of traps deployed was 617,000 in 1991 and has been relatively stable since 1985. A greater proportion of the annual harvest has occurred earlier in the season in recent years, due primarily to increased fishing effort (trap hauls per trip) earlier in the season.

## Headboat Survey

The headboat statistical survey provides quantitative estimates of species composition, catch, effort, and biological samples for the U.S. South Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico headboat fisheries. In the U.S. Atlantic, approximately $2.65 \mathrm{mil}-$ lion fish weighing about 1,794 metric tons were landed in 1991. Fishing effort was about 389 thousand angler days. These estimates represent a $9 \%$ decrease in number, $6 \%$ increase in weight, and an $8 \%$ decrease in effort from 1990. The catch-per-unit of effort decreased from 6.9 to 6.8 in number caught and increased from 4.0 to 4.6 kg in weight.

In the Gulfof Mexicoin 1991, about two million fish, weighing about 1,121 t, were landed with 240,654 angler days of effort. The catch-per-unit of effort decreased from 8.4 to 8.3 in number and increased 4.4 to 4.7 kg in weight from 1990. Over 45,000 lengths from more than 100 species and over 5,000 otoliths from 57 species of reef fish were collected to support biological studies and stock assessments.

## Menhaden Survey

Landings for menhaden, the largest U.S. fishery by weight, were recorded daily from processing plants in North Carolina, Virginia, and the northern Gulf
of Mexico in the United States, and from New Brunswick and Nova Scotia in Canada. Also, two international-waters processing ventures were monitored in the coastal waters of Maine. These ventures operate in cooperation with three offshore Russian factory vessels. In 1992, 7,690 menhaden in the Atlantic and 11,640 menhaden in the Gulf were sampled for size and age determinations.

## Menhaden Captain's Reports

Daily reports from menhaden vessel captains for 1990-1992 are being digitized. The daily logs record data on each menhaden set location, catch estimates, and environmental conditions.

## Charterboat Survey

Catch and effort data from daily logs maintained by charterboat captains from North Carolina to Texas were collected. The data are used for stock assessment models and to determine the effects of alternative regulations in the coastal pelagic and reef fish fisheries.

## Brown Shrimp Production

Brown shrimp production off Texas in 1991 was high while production off Louisiana was only slightly above average. In inshore Texas waters, 1991 production was just above average while inshore Louisiana production was at an all time low.

## Pink Shrimp

In 1991, catches of pink shrimp in the Tortugas shrimp grounds of Florida continued to decline. Recruitment was at an all time low.

## Bycatch Report

A reportentitled "Historical Review of Shrimp Trawl Fishery Bycatch in Galveston Bay" was submitted to the Environmental Protection Agency's Galveston Bay National Estuary Program.

## Bycatch Observers

About twenty-five shrimp captains agreed to host observers aboard their vessels to collect bycatch data in 1992. Both bait and bay commercial shrimpers were represented. Observers collect samples as the shrimpers work normally.

## Billfish Statistics

Catch statistics were acquired from countriesof the east and west Atlantic. Information was also attained from the International Commission for the Conservation of AtlanticTunas (ICCAT) tagging programandfromageandgrowth research.

## Tournament Sampling

Over ninety thousand hours of fishing in 144 tournaments in the western, north Atlantic was documented in 1991. In addition, non-tournament sampling was accomplished at eight locations in the Gulf of Mexico. There was a general decline in tournament participation in 1991. Of the 3,855 billish reported caught, $87 \%$ were released. The average weights for blue marlin, white marlin, and sailfish were $340.0,55.3$, and 51.5 pounds, respectively.

## MEXUS-Gulf

Annual shrimp catch statistics and recent scientific publications were exchanged with members of Mexico's Instituto Nacional de la Pesca at the annual 1991 MEXUS-Gulf meeting and with Professors Garcia and YanezArancibia at the annual meetings of the American Fisheries Society and the Estuarine Research Federation. Proposals for coordinated fishery and habitat research were presented at the MEXUSGulf meeting.

## BIOLOGICAL RESEARCH

## King Mackerel Stock Allocation

A method for discriminating eastern Gulf of Mexico from Atlantic Ocean stocks is under development. The method analysesotolith growth differences using discriminant analysistechniques. Results from the new method will improve stock assessment by correctly allocating king mackerel caught in the south Florida, mixed-stock fishery to the correct Atlantic or Gulf stock.

## Identification of Wild and HatcheryProduced Red Drum

Otolith daily-increment deposition patterns have been used with discriminant analyses to distinguish hatcheryproduced from wild red drum juveniles. The method will be used to estimate numbers of hatchery fish recnited to later life stages and thus contribute to evaluating the efficacy of red drum stock enhancement programs.

## Striped Bass Tagging

To distinguish striped bass stocks, migration patterns, wintering grounds, and age and growth, the NOAA ship Albatross IV wasused offNorth Carolina and Virginia in January and February. The size range of the 1,017 striped bass tagged was $424-991 \mathrm{~mm}$ in total length. Since this tagging began in 1988, the number oflegal-sized fish of 28 inches or greater in length has steadily increased. The percentage of legal fish in 1988 was $10 \%$ and increased to over $35 \%$ in 1992 , and the highest catch-per-unit of effort was recorded in 1990 when over 3,000 fish were captured and released.

## Striped Bass Tag Recaptures

Since 1988, 465 tag returns were recorded from NorthCarolinato Canada, $43 \%$ of which were recovered in the Chesapeake Bay area. During the 1992 tagging cruises, 10 recaptures were recorded.

## Striped Bass Tag Retention

To study tag retention in striped bass, 429 fish were double tagged using the AmericanLittoral Society "loop" tag and the internal anchor tag.

## Tissue Analyses

The concentration of mercury in wreckfish muscle samples and the PCBs/ pesticides in crude menhadenoil samples are being analyzed.

## Menhaden Production Pilot Facility

The pilot production facility produces test materials from menhaden oils. In 1992, the facility produced $2,895 \mathrm{~kg}$ of VDFO, 466 kg of N-3 EE concentrate, 505 g of EPA, and 109 g of DHA. These test materials are supplied to institutions
conducting medical studies in cooperation with the National Institutes of Health.

## Cooperative Veterans Administration Study

The production pilot facility was organized and new equipment installed to provide test materials for a 900 patient studyof the effect of N - 3 fattyacid supplementation on the clinical outcome after coronary artery surgery.

Experimental Seafood Processing Facility

Technical assistance in seafood processing was provided to aquacultural companies suchasDeltaPride, America's Catch, Sea Farms, Sea Chick, Hygiene Fish Company. Also, assistance wasprovided to National Marine Fisheries Service(NMFS) inspection personnel, anda four-day course was provided on sensory analysis to the NMFS National Training Branch.

## Mexican-American King Mackerel Research

The migration of king mackerel in Mexican and American waters of the Gulf of Mexico was described in a paper submitted for publication and jointly authored by Mexican and American biologists. The paper infers the need for consideration of fishing mortality in the exclusive economic zones of both countries for management of the species.

## Gag Reproduction

Research on gag in the U.S. Gulf of Mexicoindicatesthe speciesspawnsfrom February to May as a "batch" spawner every $31 / 2$ days or 30 times a year. The smallest female sampled with full reproductive potential was 7.1 cm in total length. The fecundity per female was estimated at 2.8-15.1 million eggs per year. Knowledge of spawning periodicity and fecundity are important for analyzing the status of a stock and stock recovery rates for management of the species.

## Snapper-Grouper Growth

Length and weight data were collected for red grouper, red snapper, and vermilion snapper, gag, and scamp. Also, otoliths were collected to develop or improve age-length keys, models of length-
weight relationships, andconversionformulas for fork to total fish lengths.

## King and Spanish Mackerel Growth

Along with length and weight data, otoliths were collected, processed, and read for king and Spanish mackerel. These data were used to convert lengths to ages for studies of mackerel stocks.

## Spanish Mackerel and Bluefish Prey

A study was completed of the prey consumption of Spanish mackerel and bluefish. The object of the study is to estimate the biomass of prey species required to sustain a given biomass of a particular predator species.

## Juvenile Billfish Sampling

Samples of large predator stomachs yielded 38 juvenile swordfish. Otoliths from these were extracted for evaluation of age and growth information. In addition, juvenile and small sailfish were sampled for reproductive organsand hard partsfrom extremesize categoriesfor age and growth research.

## Gamefish Tagging

More than twenty-seven thousand fish tags were issued to participants of cooperative tagging programs in 1991. In that year, nearly sixteen thousand tag releases were reported along with 341 tag recaptures.

## Changes in Reef Fish Community Structure

A North Carolina reef fish community was resurveyed with SCUBA after 15 years of heavy fishing pressure to determine if changes occurred in community structure. Generally, fishes important in the recreational and commercial fisheries were smaller, and the species composition of fishes and invertebrates changed to a more tropical community indicating a warming trend.

## Wreckfish Aged

Sections of otoliths from wreckfish revealed that fish in the catch ranged from 4 to 30 years of age, and most fish caught were near 10 years old.

## Rock Hind and Red Hind Aged

Rock hind and red hind, two smaller groupers important to catches in the Florida Keys and the U.S. Caribbean, were aged by reading sections of otoliths. Maximum age was 10 years for red hind and 12 years for rock hind.

SEAFOOD
SAFETY

## Oyster Bacteria

The effects of time, temperature, and salinity on Vibrio vulnificusbacteria in oysters is under study. Also under study is theeffectiveness of food additives for $V$. vulnificus inactivation.

## Microbial Methods Research

Microbial methodsresearch attempts to detect and quantify human pathogenic viruses in environmental matrices using molecular biological techniques. Under investigation are methods for the extraction and analysis of polio, hepatitis A, and Norwalk viruses from mollusks.

## National Indicator Study

The purpose of the National Indicator Study is to improve the microbiological systemused by stateagenciestoprotect the health of consumers ofU.S. harvested mollusks. Progress has been made toward several new and improved methods to detect human-specific indicators of fecal pollution and to detect and enumerate priority pathogens. Such pathogens include the Norwalk virus-a prevalent causein theUnited States of gastroenteric illness after one consumes mollusks and the hepatitis A virus. A preferred detection technology is now ready forfull inter-laboratory evaluation and field testing on a national scope.

## Procedures Manual

A microbiological procedures manual is being prepared. The manual is for investigators to use to characterize mollusk sampling sites across the United States.

Cultured verses Wild Species
Technology has been developed to distinguish wild from cultured fish stocks in South Carolina. In addition, the technology exceeded expectations by distinguishing, with about 70\% certainty, fish sampled from five different locations. Such methods will help promote U.S. aquaculture by allowing the sale of cultured products without jeopardizing the conservation of wild stocks of the same species.

## Marine Forensics

Technical support was provided to Law enforcement personnel with regard to the totoaba/vaquita issue at the Mexican border in southern California. The goal was to close the illegal marketfor the endangered totoaba, By limiting the illegal market, both the totoaba and the endangered vaquita are better protected.

## Marine Biotoxins

Mass cultures of Gambierdiscus toxicuswereestablished to produce crude toxins. The crude toxins will be purified to develop toxin standards.

## Calcium Flux Assay

A calcium flux assay was developed to measure increased calcium uptake by GH4 cells in the presence of maitotoxin. The assay is undergoing modification to achieve quantitative reliabiity.

## Heterosigma akashiwo

Fatty acids of five dinoflagellatecultures and two prymnesiophyte cultures were analyzed for $18: 5 n-3$, a potential marker compound for toxic phytoplankton. Only one prymnesiophyte, Heterosigma akashiwo, akiller offarmed salmon contained a significantamount of 18:5n-3.

## Pesticide Runoff

A study was conducted from 19861990 to evaluate toxicity testing in laboratory verses field conditions. The measurement of agricultural pesticide runoff toxicity on marine non-target organisms produced very comparable results when measured under laboratory conditions or field conditions. The study showed both LC50 (lethal concentration
$50 \%$ ) and EC50 (effective concentration $50 \%$ ) values to becomparable regardless of laboratory or field procedures. The study was conducted for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

## STOCK ANALYSES

## Status of Menhaden Stocks

Status of Atlantic and Gulf stocks was reported to federal, state, and industry organizations through a variety of reports. Preliminary and final forecasts were prepared in November, 1991, and April, 1992. Status reports were prepared and presentations made at two menhaden National Fish Meal and Oil Association meetings. Similar reports were produced for biannual meetings of the Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission.

## Roanoke RiverStriped Bass Data Syuthesis

In response to a 1989 Congressional Actrequiring astudy of striped bass in the Roanoke River-Albermarle Sound area of North Carolina, a historical data base collected by Dr. William W. Hassler, Professor Emeritus at N.C. State University was acquired for examination. Analyses of the data base and other historical data will provide information describing the ecological system, documenting the changes in populations, and determining causes for the stock's decline as required by Congress.

## Sciaenid Stock Assessments

Annual assessments were made of the Atlanticred drum stock for the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (1989, 1991, 1992). An assessment of Allantic weakfish was also completed in 1991 for the Atlantic StatesMarine Fisheries Commission and is being updated.

## Computer Model of Shrimp Closure

A computer simulation of the brown shrimp fishery indicated a $\$ 36$ million increase would occur with a closure off Texas and Louisiana from mid-May through mid-July.

Shrimp Status
In 1991, landings, parent stock, and recruitment for brown and white shrimp either increased or were similar to 1990 levels. Landings, parent stock, and recruitment for pink shrimp, however, decreased.

## Overfishing Index

The brown, white, and royal red shrimp fisheries were over the speciesspecific overfishing index level in 1991. The pink shrimp fishery was above the overfishing index, but it was very close and for the past few years it has been declining.

## Pink Shrimp Forecast

The November, 1991 through October, 1992 pink shrimp harvest from the Tortugas grounds of Florida pointed to another poor fishing year. Data for the forecast were provided by the Everglades National Park, the National Ocean Survey, National Weather Service, as well as the National Marine Fisheries Service. The 1966-1990 average is 8.5 million pounds, but landings of 4.3 to 4.7 million pounds were predicted. The previous year's forecast of 4.1 to 4.4 million pounds was verified with a final tally of 4.5 million pounds for the 12 months ending in October, 1991.

## Brown Shrimp Forecast

The July, 1992 through June, 1993 brown shrimp forecast in the western Gulf of Mexico indicated a poor year. Data were provided by the Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries as well the National Marine Fisheries Service. Texas brown shrimp indices of abundance all point to a below-average catch of 18.6 to 24.1 million pounds. The 1960-1990 average Texas landings were 27.2 million pounds. Heavy rainfall, record river stages, and cooler than normal spring weather were likely combined to cause the decline. Louisiana indices also pointed to below average levels of juvenile brown shrimp. Catches west of the Mississippi River should be 26.3 million pounds and below the 1960-1990 average Louisiana catch of 28.7 million pounds. Although Louisiana received near-normal rainfall and had appropri-
ate salinities in its extensive marshes, recruitment to the nursery areas was low.

## Bluefin TunaAssessment

A bluefin tuna stock assessment was conducted in conjunction with the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT) in November, 1991 for the western Atlantic stock, and in November, 1992 for the eastern Atlantic stock. Results supported lowering the present fishingmortality for bluefin tuna.

## Yellowfin Tuna Assessment

A yellowfin tuna stock assessment was conducted in conjunction with ICCAT in November, 1992utilizing models newly developed by the Southeast Fisheries Science Center. The assessment raised the possibility of excessive effort which will be addressed at a special meeting in 1993.

## Billfish Assessment

Billfish stockassessments wereconducted in conjunction with ICCAT in November, 1992 and at a July workshop of the ICCAT Enhanced Billish Research Program held in Miami, Florida. Fishing mortality was excessive for white marlin. For blue marlin, earlier heavy fishing significantly reduced stocks, but although the stocks are now rebuilding, they are still below MSY population levels.

## Swordfish Fishery Management Plan Stock Assessment Review

A report of resource status relative to common biological reference points was prepared. The review resulted in an estimated range of allowable harvest for the U.S. fleet that is believed to be consistent with ICCAT regulations.

## Risk Assessment

Several risk assessment methodologies were developed and applied to assessments of swordfish, bluefin tuna, yellowfin tuna, and blue marlin.

## Menhaden Risk Assessments

Assessment methodologieswere developed for both Allantic and Gulf menhaden based on event tree analysis. The analysis for gulf menhaden was in coop-
eration with Pennsylvaniay State University and Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

## Reef Fish Assessments-Gulf of Mexico

Stock assessments were completed for red grouper, vermilion snapper, amberjack, red snapper, assessment was completed. Results were presented to the appropriate fishery management councils and other organizations involved with reef fish management.

Reef Fish Assessments-South Atlantic
Preliminary stock assessments were completed for 19 species of reef fish inhabiting the region from Cape Hatteras, NC to the Dry Tortugas, FL. Black sea bass, red porgy, white grunt, gray triggerfish, tilefish, red snapper, vermilion snapper, yellowtail snapper, scamp, speckled hind, warsaw grouper, and snowy grouper were regarded as overfished by definition of the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Greater amberjack, lane snapper, mution snapper, gray snapper, gag, black grouper and red grouper did not appear to be overfished. A multi-year assessment of black sea bass populations was completed.

## Wreckfish Assessment

Assessments of wreckfish stocks in the U.S. south Atlantic have been conducted the last two years using virtual population analysis. These results have been used by the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council to guide in determining quotas on this fishery.

Reef Fish Assessments-South Atlantic

## Reef Invertebrate Assessments

Assessments were completed for spiny lobster and stone crab stocks.

## Spearfishing Analysis

Analysis of ten years of data from reef fish visual censuses taken at Key Largo and Looe Key National Marine Sanctuaries show significantly higher piscivoredensities at reefs protectedfrom spearfishing. Some fishes; such as snappers and grunts increased exponentially in the first few years after a spearfishing ban at the Looe Key Marine Sanctuary.

## Biscayne National Park Study

An analysis of data from 847 visual fish census samples taken at ten reefs in Biscayne National Park will serve as baseline information for park managers in decisions relating to fish management goals. The sampling took place from 1988 to 1991.

## Coastal Pelagic Assessments

Stock assessments were conducted for Atlantickingmackerel, GulfofMexico king mackerel, Atlantic Spanish mackerel, Gulf of Mexico Spanish mackerel, Atlantic cobia and Gulf of Mexico cobia. Results showed that the status of Atlantic kings remained in good shape and that the status of the spawning potential ratio of the Gulf kings and the Spanish stocks are improving toward recovery from an overfished state. Cobia in the Gulf are fished at a higher rate than in the Atlan-
tic; however, the mortality rates in either region do not appear to be excessive.

## Gulf of Mexico Red Snapper Assessment

The U.S. Gulf of Mexico red snapperstock remainsdepressed witha spawning potential ratio estimated at $1 \%$. The stock is impacted by the directed fishery and by bycatches in the shrimp fishery. Regulations on the directed fishery include a commercial quota, recreational baglimit, and minimum size of 13 inches. The 1989 yearclass was strong relative to recent years, but a large portion of the year class was cropped off in 1991-1992. Fishing mortality remains high, even without bycatches, with directed mortality greater than $F_{0.1}$ or $F_{\text {max }}$.

## Gulf of Mexico Red Grouper Assessment

The present Gulfof Mexicored grouper fishing mortality is approximately $F_{0.1}$. If this rate is maintained, then the
resulting spawner potential ratio would be $40 \%$ and above the overfished criteria. Yield per recruit analysis showed that if release mortality exceeds $20 \%$, then yield per recruit could be increased by decreasing the minimum size from the present 18 inches. However, a lower minimum size could jeopardize the status of other groupers managed within the same category.

## Vermilion Snapper Assessment

A virtual population analysis was conducted on Gulf of Mexico vermilion snapper. Results indicate that present levels of the fishing mortality is higher than $F_{0.1}$ and $F_{\text {max }}$. However, the spawning potential ratio is $36 \%$ assuming a release mortality of $33 \%$. This ratio is above the criteria for being overfished. Recent catches have increased, particularly in the directed commercial fisheries in response to transfers of effort from red snapper fisheries. A minimum size of 10 inches could increase the yield per recruit.

## Species Synopses

The following sections contain information on the biology, fishery, yield, and status of important species within the jurisdiction of the Southeast Fisheries Science Center. Each section corresponds to the following species groups: oceanic pelagics, coastal pelagics, shrimp, reef fish, groundfish, sharks, menhaden, butterfish and coastal herrings, reef invertebrates, marine mammals, and sea turtles.

Because of the vast diversity of species in the southeast, some of the following sections discuss only selected species. Additional information may be obtained by contacting the director's office of the Southeast Fisheries Science Center. Also, publications listed in the synopses may be requested from the author at the appropriate laboratory of the Southeast Fisheries Science Center.


Red Grouper (Epinephelus morio)
Courtesy, Smithoomian Institution, National Museux of Natural History

The Atlantic Oceanic pelagic resources are wide ranging and highly migratory. There is a broad array of species which comprises the complex harvested by international fishing fleets. The United States is among the major harvesting nations for some of these species, including North Atlantic swordfish, western Atlantic bluefin tuna, and more recently, yellowfin tuna in the western Atlantic. U.S. North Atlantic billfish harvests are of significance, both in recreational harvests and as incidental bycatch in fisheries directed at tunas and swordfish. U.S. domestic fisheries range throughout the northwestern Atlantic Ocean, Gulf of Mexico, and Caribbean Sea. Because of their highly migratory nature and ocean-widedistributions, both national and international management bodies are concemed with conservation of these resources.

In U.S. waters, fisheries may now be regulated under authority of the Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act as well as by international agreements through the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas(ICCAT). The member nations of ICCAT include Angola, Benin, Brazil, Canada, Cape Verde, Cuba, Equatorial Guinea, France, Gabon, Ghana, Côte d'Ivoire, Japan, Korea, Morocco, Portugal, Senegal, São Tomé\& Principe, South Africa, Spain, Uruguay, United States, Russia, and Venezuela. Resource status evaluations for ICCAT are carried out by its Standing Committee on Research and Statistics (SCRS).

The Southeast Fisheries Science Center has lead research responsibility in theUnited States for stock assessments of Atlantic large pelagic resources. These assessments provide the scientific bases for national and international management of the fisheries. U.S. Fishery Management Plans have been developed for


Swordfish
swordfish and billfishes. International agreementsfor regulatingswordfish harvest were implemented in 1991 and international restrictions ofbluefintuna harvest have been in effect for nearly a decade. Regulations regarding the harvest of other tuna species have generally not been implemented.

## SWORDFISH

Swordfish (Xiphius gladius) are the most widely distributed billfish and occur worldwide in all tropical, subtropical, and temperate seas. They appear to have the widest water temperature tolerance among the billfish, since they are found in waters with surface temperatures ranging from about $5-27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ICCAT recognizes several possible stock hypotheses for Atlantic swordfish, including a discrete stock in the North Atlantic. Swordfish preferred habitat is believed to be near the edge of continental shelfs in waters from 100$3,000 \mathrm{~m}$ deep, near oceanicfrontal zones, and near seamounts and mid-ocean islands.

Swordfish are considered apex predators and as adults are believed to eat whatever prey is available in greatest abundance in their immediate vicinity.

Their large eyes and predominance of whitemuscletissueappear tobeadaptations for stalking prey during dark periods and at depths to about 600 m . Swordfish are thought to be nocturnal feeders, feeding in near-surface waters at night. The major part of their diet consists of squids, pelagic fishes, and occasionally crustaceans.

Swordfish grow rapidly and may live 25 or more years. Females are believed to mature at about 5 years. On average, swordfish attain weights of approximately $14,25,41,61$ and 104 kg at ages $1,2,3,4$, and 5. The recent average size of Swordfish harvested by U.S. fishermen before minimum size regulations were implemented was 38 kg . Approximately $85 \%$ of the recent catch in numbers of swordfish from the North Atlantic were fish less than 5 years old.

The swordfish fishery is prosecuted mainly by longlinefleets, with the Spanish and U.S. fleets dominating recent catches. These two nations accounted for about $76 \%$ of the total North Atlantic swordfish catch in the most recent three year period. Drift gillnets were recently employed by U.S. fishermen operating in a relatively restricted part of the North Atlantic Ocean. The drift gillnet fishery operated under a $36 t$ quota in 1992. The catch of and effort directed at swordfish in the North Atlantic showed a continual increase from 1978 ,


Figure 4. North Atlantic swordfish yield and age 5+ stock size trajectory. Age structured assessments of stock status use data only from 1978 to present because of concern over size frequency sampling and reported landingsfrom earlier years.
when the United States eased its mercurycontent regulation until peak landings of nearly $20,000 \mathrm{t}$ were made by fishermen in 1987. North Atlantic landings in 1988 werenearly equal to 1987 , while landings from 1989-1991 declined toabout 13,200 $t$, due, in large, to a shift of effort to just south of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, the statistical boundary for the North Atlanticswordfish management unit and the implementation of a minimum size measure in 1991. Total Atlantic landings of swordfish were highest in 1989, reaching a level of 50,500 $t$, in comparison to $19,800 \mathrm{t}$ in 1978. Since 1989, total Atlantic landings of swordfish have declined to about 37,600 t. Landings of North Atlantic swordfish for 1989-1991 averaged $15,271 \mathrm{t}$. The recent annual yield to U.S. fishermen during this period was $5,378 \mathrm{t}$.

Because of concern over the status of the north Atlantic swordfish resource, in 1990, ICCAT recommended several management measures, including a $15 \%$ reduction from 1988 levels of Spanish and U.S. fishing mortality rates and a 25 kg (whole weight) minimum size for all Atlanticswordfish.ICCAT management recommendations were not binding on signatory nations until the middle of 1991.

The 1992 SCRS swordfish assessment workshop was held in September 1992 inMadrid, Spain, during which catch and effort information for north Atlantic swordfish through 1991
was analyzed. The results of the assessment indicate effort and landed catch have been reduced from levels estimated for 1987 and 1988 , primarily as a result of effort reduction and/or diversion to other regions of the oceans and reduction in the landed catch by the Spanish and U.S. fleets. Reported landed catch from thestock declinedfrom 19,959 metric tons in 1987 to 13,212 tin 1991. This 34\% decline in weight of the landed catch reflects a corresponding decline in the estimated number of fish landed of about 41\% from levels estimated in 1987 and 1988. The 1992 assessment, which incorporates the most recent information, reflects changes in the fishery and the assessment indicates that the population decline has slowed or stabilized and that fishing mortality rates havealso declined since peak values in 1987 and 1988. The assessment indicates that the prospects for the north Atlantic swordfish resource have improved to some degree relative to the condition of the resource in recent prior years.

Themost recent assessment includes only a 6 -month period during which the ICCAT regulatory measures werebinding on the signatory nations. Data from 1992 and 1993 will provide more information on the effect of the 1990 ICCAT recommendations. Of critical importance to determination of current and likely future status of the resource is accurate estimation of the effects of the
management recommendations in terms of actual reduction of fishing mortality. To accurately estimate the effectiveness of these recommendations, accurate accounting of the total number of fish killed as a result of fishing by all the fishing nationsis needed, including those fish caught, but not landed. Measures to control the level of swordfish fishing mortality rate attributed to nations other than Spain and the United States may also be needed to avoid loss of any gains in resource status and future potential yield due to the present management recommendations.

Twoforms of analytical assessments were conducted at the 1992 swordfish assessment. These included the application of an age-structured model (VPA) and a lumped biomass model (non-equilibrium stock production model). The non-equilibrium stock production model applied at the meeting provided estimates of MSY, the fishing mortality rate needed to produce MSY ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ ), annual estimates of fishing mortality rates, and annual estimates of stock biomass level. MSY represents the maximum sustainable long-term average yield which might be obtained by the fishery, providing the stock is at a level that could produce MSY ( $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ ) and the fishery is harvesting that biomass at a rate equal to $F_{\text {msy }}$. Analyses conducted at the 1991 swordfish assessment provided estimates of MSY in the range of 13,100 to $14,300 \mathrm{t}$. A revised biomass index of abundance was developed by standardizingdatafrom the United States, Spain, Canada, and Japan. The time series extended from 1962 through 1991. The 1960s data were primarily from the Canadian fishery, although some data from the U.S. fisheryfrom the 1960swere also used in the analysis. When all of the annual indexvaluesare usedin production modelling (basecaseanalysis) the median estimate of MSY, after adjusting for possible bias in the estimation procedure used, was $14,200 \mathrm{t}$ with an estimated standard error of $2,600 \mathrm{t}$. From this analysis, the results indicated there was an approximate $84 \%$ chance that the actual value of MSY is less than 16,800 $t$ ( $16 \%$ chance it is higher) and approximately an $84 \%$ chance that the

## Swordfish

Longterm potential yield
Current potential yield
Recent average annual yield
Status of exploitation
Age at $50 \%$ maturity
Generation time
Natural mortality rate
Spawning stock biomass per recruit in equilibrium Fishing mortality rate at F0.1
Fishing mortality rate at Fmax
Fishing mortality rate in most recent year (1991)
$\mathrm{F}_{91} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$
$\mathrm{B}_{92} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$
*From production model using $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{92}$ base case $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}(0.65-1.03)$.
actual value of MSY is greater than $11,600 \mathrm{t}$ ( $16 \%$ chance it is lower). With respect to current (1992) condition of the stock, the median estimate of $\mathrm{B}_{92} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ was 0.84 (with an estimated standard error of 0.19 ), indicating approximately even odds that the stock biomass at the beginning of 1992 was either higher or lower than $84 \%$ of that level which could produce MSY (approximately 84\% of the estimates were greater than 0.65 and approximately $84 \%$ were less than 1.03 ). The adjusted estimate of median $\mathrm{F}_{91} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ was 0.97 indicating approximately even odds that the 1991 fishing mortality rate was higher or lower than $97 \%$ of the rate that could result MSY (approximately $84 \%$ of the estimates were greater than 0.8 and approximately $84 \%$ were less than 1.4).

The reported landings of north Atlantic swordfish in 1991 were 13,212 t. This value is approximately $1,000 \mathrm{t}$ lower than the median estimate of MSY from the base case analysis ( $14,200 \mathrm{t}$ ), approximately $3,000 \mathrm{t}$ lower than the 84th percentile estimate of MSY and approximately $1,600 \mathrm{t}$ higher than the 16 th percentile extimate of MSY. The

1991 reported landings (13,212 t) are about the same as the estimated median equilibrium yield at current stock size. However, it must be recognized that the estimates of equilibrium yield include that portion of the catch which is thrown back to the sea dead because of the minimum size regulation. Thus, catch limits that do not account for mortality due to discarding or other forms of mortality can result in further decline in stock biomass and lower levels of production from the stock.

Exclusion of certain annual index values from the production model fits were also conducted to evaluate the model's sensitivity to these CPUE values. From these sensitivity analyses, the median estimates ofMSY, afteradjusting for possible bias, ranged from 14,300 to $15,200 \mathrm{t}$. The median estimates of $\mathrm{B}_{92}{ }^{\prime}$ $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MsY}}$, after adjusting for possible bias, ranged from 0.85 to 0.95 . These extimates are within the $68 \%$ confidence ranges estimated for the base case analysis. Sensitivity of the model to errors in the assumed yield, such as possible underreporting of catch during the period of mercury restrictions, or unreported
discard mortality due to the minimum size regulation werenot considered in the 1992 analyses.

In all of the models and data set combinationsconsidered, it was assumed that the fishery had not changed in terms of its pattern of exploitation from 19621991 and that the yield estimates used in modelling represented a more or less constant proportion of the actual yields. Changes in theexploitation pattern of the fishery, such as through the implementation of a minimum size limit, that are not accounted for in the estimation procedure, can result in errors of the estimates of MSY and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ that are not obvious. Likewise, changes in the proportion of underor overreported yield, such as might have resulted during the period of mercury restriction, or failure to account for discard mortality if this mortality changes over time can also result in errors in estimates of MSY and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{msr}}$. Current status interpretations from the stock production model results did not take into account possible effects of change in selectivity pattern, especially in the last few years, nor account for discard mortality of undersized fish and may be optimistic for these reasons. Sensitivity of the model results to these and other effects need to be evaluated.

The age-structured analytical model applied provided estimates of age-specific fishing mortality rates and abundance (stock size) of a year-class (all fish born in the same year) over the time period analyzed. ICCAT swordfish VPAs have been limited to the period after 1977, because of limited size samples from eariieryearsand sincesome of the reported landings during the mercury restriction period of the 1970s are thought to be under-reports of actual harvest. VPAs have formed the basis of recent ICCAT management recommendationsforNorth Atlantic swordfish.

As in previous ICCAT analyses, there are alternative biological and/or fishery assumptions (i.e. sexual dimorphism, procedures for estimating numbers at age, selectivity patterns, and index time series) that could influence the results and our perception of the status of the resource. These were addressed in a more exhaustive number
of sensitivity analyses than the production model analysis. The sensitivity analyses results raise the possibility that the base case VPA may impart some degree of underestimation of the abundance of the older age fish, but also may impart some degree of overestimation of the youngest age fish for the most recent years in the analysis.

Results of the VPA indicated that stock size estimates at the beginning of the year of age 1 swordfish (recruits) increase gradually from 1978 through 1987, remained at the same level in 1988 and increased in 1989 and declined in 1990. The 1990 year-class (age 1 fish in 1991) may beconsiderably higher than in previous years, but the estimate is very uncertain. The 1991 year class may be smaller than in recent years, but the estimate of this is even more uncertain. Stock size of the ages 2-4 juvenile group increased throughout the period 1978-87 and has been variable since then. The age 2-4 stock size at the beginning of 1992 increased substantially due to the calculated increase in the 1990 year class. Adult stock size (ages $5+$ ) declined continuously throughout the time series to approximately half of what it was in 1978. There was a small increase in the estimated abundance of age $5+$ in 1992. Thefishing mortalityrate of age 1 and the ages $2-4$ group fluctuated, but with a generally increasing trend through 1988, with a constant decline in 1989-1991. Fishing mortality rateon ages $5+$ appears to have increased significantly during 1978-87 with a gradual decline from 1988 to 1991. The weighted average fishing mortality rate estimated for ages 2 -5+ in 1991 is $64 \%$ of the 1988 level and $141 \%$ of the 1978 level.

The results of the 1992 VPA show a small improvement in adult stock size in 1992 compared to the previous year. The estimate of abundance for age $5+$ fish in 1992 is $56 \%$ of that estimated for 1978. The increase in age $5+$ abundancebetween 1991 and 1992 appears to beduerelatively strong recruitment during the late 1980s and somewhat reduced fishing mortality rates since 1987-1988. Although the estimates of current (1991) fishing mortality rates have decreased from the 1987-88, thebasecase VPA suggests that


Figure 5. Western Atlantic bluefin tuna yield and stock biomass trajectory. Assessments of stock status using data only from 1970.
current (1991) fishing mortalityrate is in excess of $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$. The VPA results indicate that current harvestlevels(1991) could be maintained in the short term (through 1993) and allow for a stable or slightly increased stock size.

There is uncertainty in the VPA results, as in theproduction model results. Much of the uncertainty results due to questions about the effectiveness of the ICCAT minimum size recommendation for reducing the actual mortality on small fish. Because we have not yet beenable to estimate discard mortality for the entire fleet, this uncertainty cannot be easily resolved. To a large extent, the ability of
the North Atlantic swordfish stock to support current harvest levels and the potential for rebuilding depends on the effectiveness of the minimum size regulations in reducing fishing mortality rates on undersized swordfish. The reductions in fishing mortality and possiblechangeinselectivity pattern from 1988 to 1991 could provide gains in realized long-term yields from the stock.

## BLUEFIN TUNA

Northern bluefin tuna (Thunnus thynnus) is a large oceanic pelagic

## Bluefin Tuna

Longterm potential yield
Current potential yield
Recent average annual yield
Status of exploitation
Age at $50 \%$ maturity
Current spawning potential ratio (SPR)
Generation time
Natural mortality rate
Fishing mortality rate at F0.1
Fishing mortality rate at Fmax

| Fishing mortality rate in most recent year (1990) | 0.14 |
| :--- | :--- |



Figure 6. Relative stock size trajectories for western Atlantic bluefin tuna. The trajectories shown represent the median values from l,000 iterations of the ICCAT assessment model which incorporates uncertainty in the model input parameters. Stocksize is expressed relative to the 1982 level which is the first year bluefin harvests were restricted based on the 1981 SCRS recommendation. Projected stock sizes (after 1991) are based on the 1992 ICCAT management program ( $10 \%$ reduction) and several other yield reduction scenarios.
scombrid species that is found in the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans. In the westem Atlantic, bluefinoccurfrom Laborador and Newfoundland south into the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea, and also off Venezuela and Brazil. In the eastern Atlantic, they occur from off Norway south to the Canary Islands, in the Mediterranean Sea and off Africa. ICCAT recognizes two management units of northern bluefin tuna in the Atlantic separated at $45^{\circ}$ W longitude above $10^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude and at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ below the equator with an eastward shift in the boundary between those parallels. Some interchange of fish betwoen the eastern and western Atlantic is known to occur. The management units were defined based primarily on the existence of spawning areas in the Gulf of Mexico and the Mediterranean Sea; additional supportive evidence included: 1) coastal abundance of juveniles on each side of the ocean, 2) the high proportion of juvenile bluefin tagged on one side of the Atlantic and at liberty for at least a year which were recaptured on the same side of the ocean, and 3) relatively low catch rates by high seas longline vessels in the central Atlantic during most time periods.

Northern bluefinareamong the largest teleosts. Specimens have been known to reach 320 cm fork length and up to 680 kg . Bluefin feed on a variety of fishes, cephalopods, and crustaceans, depending on seasonal prey availability. Bluefin generally grow to larger size at age than the other tunas. Like many other tunas, bluefin tend to be found in schools of similar sized individuals. Small bluefin also occur in mixed schools with other species of similar size, such as albecore and yellowfin, bigeye, and skipjack tunas. In western Atlantic waters, individuals that reach 196 cm fork length are believed to be approaching 8 years. Spawning females in the Gulf of Mexico are thought to be at least 8 years old. The recent average size of bluefin caught in the western Atlantic in recent years is about 68 kg . Theagecorresponding to an individual of this size would be about 6 years. However, the average age of fish caught in the western Atlantic is closer to 2 years because many more small, young fish are caught than are the large, older fish.

In the eastern Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea, bluefin tuna have been exploited for thousands of years. Bluefin tuna in the western Atlantic have beenfished primarilyby theUnited States, Japan and Canada; substantial catches from the western Atlantic were not made until the early 1960s when Japanese longline vessels and United States and Canadian purse seine vessels accounted for most of the catch. Small recreational fisheries for bluefin existed in the early part of this century. However, available data are too sparse for use in stock assessmentswhich track the catches since 1960, just after extensive commercial fishing began.

The peak yields of bluefin from the western Atlantic (about 8,000-19,000 t) occurred in 1963-1966 when much of the catch was taken by longlines off of Brazil (Figure 5); since then catch rates off Brazil have been very low. During the late 1960s and 1970s yields averaged about $5,000 \mathrm{t}$. In 1982 a catch restriction of $1,160 \mathrm{t}$ was imposed; the catch limit was increased to 2,660 t in 1983 and has been held at that level through 1991. Yields generally have been within $15 \%$ of the target catch levels since 1982. The United States generally caught 40-60\% of the total yield during 1960-1975, about 30\% during 1976-1981 and has taken about $60 \%$ of the yield since 1982. During the 1960s and 1970s a North American purse seine fishery for juveniles and the longline fishery usually took 70-80\% of the yield and recreational fisheries usually took $10 \%$. During the period of catch restrictions a U.S. purse seine fishery for adults and the longline fishery usually have taken $50-60 \%$ of the U.S. yield and rod and reel fisheries about $20 \%$. The balance of the U.S. yields have been taken by gears such as traps, harpoons, handlines and tended lines. The value of bluefin tuna increased substantiallyduring the 1980 s with the increased importance of the Japanese market. As a result, many of the fish now caught by rod and reel are sold.

By 1973,ICCAT expressed concern about the decrease in the abundance of bluefin tuna in the North Atlantic. In response to this concern, a minimum size regulation was put into effect in 1975. In
spite of the minimum size regulation, west Atlantic bluefin stock abundance continued to decline. After conducting a series of stock assessments, ICCAT's SCRS recommended in 1981 that catches from the west Atlantic bluefin stock be reduced to as nearzero as possible to stem the decline of the stock. Based on this recommendation, allowable landings of western Atlantic bluefin have been restricted since 1982.

The most recent assessment of west Atlantic bluefin tuna status was carried out by ICCAT's SCRS in November 1991, using catch and effort data through 1990. Estimated stock abundance trends continued to show that all size classes were substantially below the 1970 levels (see Figure 6). The assessment showed that in the face of catch restrictions, the fishing mortality rate on both small and large bluefin, increased to values as high or higher than those estimated immediately before implementation of catch restrictions. The results indicated although it was likely that the ICCAT managementstrategy for reducing fishing mortalityhad beena partial success, recent fishing mortality rates near record high levels for medium and large bluefin were likely cropping the potential benefits to the adult stock before they could be realized. On the basis of this assessment, ICCAT implemented regulations to furtherrestrictcatchlevelsbyanadditional 10\% for 1992-1993.

Evaluation of the future prospects to the bluefin stock from the newly implemented management program and other possible harvest restrictions was conducted via a risk analysis, incorporating uncertainty in the assessment of current (1991) abundance (see Figure 6). The risk analysis focussed on the adult (age 8+) and medium size classes (ages 6-7). To avoid basing the analysis entirely on assumed recruitment levels, the analysis was restricted to the time frame during which year classes estimated by the 1991 stock assessment were included in the age group being examined. Under the assumptions used in the analysis, there are approximately evenodds that 1997 largefish abundance will exceed, and also approximately even odds of being below the projected 1992
abundance level, given a $50 \%$ harvest reduction scenario. Under the $10 \%$ reduction scenario simulated (the 1992 ICCAT management program), the results of the analysis indicate less than 1 chance in 4 that 1997 largefish abundance will exced the 1992 level (approximately 3 chances in 4 that it will be below 1992). During theinterim (1995-96), an increase in abundancerelativeto 1994 is projected, followed by an expected decline to 1997 levels under all scenarios simulated. The projected increase in abundance in 1995 is due to the relatively strong 1987 year class entering the age 8+ group, but because nosufficiently strongyearclasses follow 1987, the age $8+$ group isprojected to decline from the 1995 level under the scenarios simulated. For themediumfish (ages6-7), oscillations in abundance were projected under all scenarios simulated. In all cases, there are good odds (approximately 3 chances out of 4 ) that the 1993 stock size would exceed that projected for 1992, although these probabilities fell to less than $33 \%$ in 1995, after the relatively strong 1987 year class was projected to pass through this age group.

Since 1970 theestimated biomass of bluefin tuna age-2 and older in the western Atlantic has declined (Figure 5). The rate of decline slowed with the imposition of catch restrictions in 1982. The long term potential yield for the stock has been estimated to range from about 3,000 to $13,000 \mathrm{t}$, depending on assumed fishing patterns and assumed natural mortality rates. Recent estimates of current potential yield for bluefin in the western Atlantic have been on the order of 2,000 t. The recent average yield for 1989-1991 is estimated to have been $2,850 \mathrm{t}$. The average yield to U.S. fishermen during this period was approximately $1,760 \mathrm{t}$.

## YELLOWFIN TUNA

Populations of yellowfin tuna (Thunnusalbacares) arefound worldwide in tropical waters. In the Atlantic their distribution istropical, cosmopolitan, and migratory with the greatest oceanic concentrationsfound between the equator and $\pm 15^{\circ}$ latitudes. However, migrations
take place to the north and the south along the American coast, and as a result, coastal concentrations of yellowfin are found seasonally off the northeastern United States and Uruguay. In addition, substantial concentrations of yellowfin arefound in the GulfofMexico, especially during the spring and summer months. The habitat is mainly oceanic, and the distribution of yellowfin is in large part determinedby the presenceof preyspecies, mainly small pelagic fishes and squids, as well as by the temperature of the water.

The yellowfin is a fast-growing species. It attains a maximum length of about 170 cm fork length, corresponding a weight of about 70 kg . If an individual fish were to live for about seven years, it would attain such a size, but such specimens are not common. Occasional yellowfin as large as 180 kg have been taken. The average size in the Gulf of Mexico longline fishery is about 140 cm , corresponding to a weight of about 50 kg and an estimated age of 3 to 4 years.

Sexual maturity isattained when the fish weigh about 25 kg ., an average age of 3 years. In the western Atlantic, spawning takes place mainlyduring April through June; spawning grounds include the Gulf of Mexico. It is believed that larger spawning grounds are found in the eastern Atlantic; however, research remains to be done on the spawning biology. An individual fish may spawn repeatedly during a single spawning season.


Figure 7. Western Atlantic yellowfin tuna yield and standardized abundance index. The abundance index was developed for 1980-1988 based on U.S. and Oriental high seas longline catch and effort data.

For assessment, ICCAT's SCRS considers Atlantic yellowfin tuna to comprise two stocks, one in the eastern and one in the western Atlantic. Research during the ICCAT Yellowfin Year Program supported the widely held belief that somemixingoccursbetween western and eastern Atlantic stocks. The degree of mixing is still unknown. As of January, 1993, a total of 16 trans-Atlantic movements of yellowfin (all from west to east) had been documented in collaborative studies conducted by scientists of the United States and Côte d'Ivoire.

## Yellowfin Tuna

Longterm potential yield
Current potential yield
Recent average annual yield
Status of exploitation
Age at 50\% maturity
Generation tine
Natural mortality rate

33,000 t (stock)
10,000-12,000 $t$ (Gulf of Mexico)
Unknown
$30,000 \mathrm{t}$ (stock)
6,200 t (United States) Unknown
2-3 years 5 years
0.8 (fish less than or equal to 2 years old) 0.6 (fish greater than 2 years old)

The SCRS has not conducted formal assessments of western Atlanticyellowfin stocks, but several exploratory assessment models have been described. At the 1990 SCRS meeting, apreliminary production model of western Atlanticyellowfin, based on Venezuelan purse seine effort from 1972-1989, was presented. That analysis estimated a long-term potential yield of $33,000 \mathrm{t}$ for the stock and suggested that the stock could be near full exploitation. However, the yellowfin tuna working group considered the data and assumptions underlying the analysis too preliminary to permit conclusive results. Furthermore, the analysis may have reflected the status of yellowfin only in waters around Venezuela. In April, 1991, the ICCAT Working Group on Western Atlantic Tropical Tunas convened at the Southeast Fisheries Science Center's Miami Laboratorytoreviewthe analytical data base for western Atlantic yellowfin tuna. The Group did not conduct a formal assessment of western Atlantic yellowfin but did arrive at an agreed data base for future assessment work. At the 1991 SCRS meeting, an exploratory production model of yellowfin tuna in the Gulf of Mexico was presented based on the working hypothesis of aclosed population inthe Gulf. Thedatabaseandassumptions underlyingthis analysis wereconsidered to have many shortcomings, but the
estimate oflong-termyield from the Gulf, about 10,000 to $12,000 \mathrm{t}$, suggests that yellowfin in the Gulf may be gradually approaching full exploitation.

About 75\% of the yield of yellowfin tuna from the western Atlantic during 1989-1991 was taken by two countries, Venezuela and the United States. The average annual yield during this period was $30,000 \mathrm{t}$, of which about $40 \%$ was taken in longline fisheries and the remainder by surface gear (mainly baitboats and purse seines). The average estimated yield to U.S. fishermen was $6,200 \mathrm{t}$, mainly from longline harvests from the Gulf of Mexico. The average estimate of annual U.S. recreational harvest of yellowfin tuna during this period was about 800 t . Figure 7 shows the recent yield trajectory and the standardized longline catch per uniteffort abundance index developed for assessment purposes.

## BILLFISH

In the Atlantic Ocean, blue marlin, white marlin, sailfish, and longbill spearfish are a bycatch of the United States and foreign commercial tuna and swordfish longline fisheries. In addition to the incidental catches of billfish in the longline fisheries, other major fisheries inthe western Atlanticincludethedirected recreational fisheries of theUnited States, Venezuela, the Dominican Republic, Senegal, Costa Rica, Mexico, Jamaica, Bahamas, and Brazil. Smaller recreational fisheries are also found in Cuba, Bermuda, Portugal (Azores, Maderia), and many other countries in the Caribbean Sea and the eastern Atlantic. Artisanal fisheries for marlins and sailfish along the west African coast and in the Caribbean and South America are of increasing local importance. Recent development and geographical expansion of longline fisheries in the Gulfof Mexico for tuna and in the Caribbean Sea for swordfish andtunas, and the geographical expansion of the longline fleet off Africa raise concern for billfish. Because these areas are known to have significant concentrations ofbillfish, bycatch of these species may increase. The incidental
billfish catch of some of these fisheries (e.g. U.S. and Spanish longline fleets, tropical purse seine fleets) is expected to result in increased discard mortalities of billfish, which are difficult to document and result in uncertainties in basic catch statistics.

Assessment of Atlanticbillfish stocks has generally been hampered by data limitations. In the early 1980 s , assessments of blue and white marlin based on production models (which assume equilibrium) using total fishery yieldandanindex ofabundancedeveloped from the Japanese longline fleet were attempted. Changes in the method of fishing and the main targets of the Japanese longline fleet in much of the Atlantic have prevented the use of these
data for updated assessments of billfish prior to 1992. However, as a result of the work accomplished at the Second Intercessional ICCATBillish Workshop in Miami in 1992, standardization of the Japanese longline index, as well as resolution of other data problems, facilitated the first assessments for blue and white marlin in over a decade. In addition, use of a production model (ASPIC), which does not assume equilibrium, allowed analysis of multiple data inputs simultaneously (the Japanese index was no longer relied upon exclusively) and this resulted in assessments which provided a vastly improved view of the status of the stocks.

Due to domestic concerns over the future prospects for billifish resources,

## Billfish

## BLUE MARLIN

| Longterm potential yield | $1,718 \mathrm{t}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Current potential yield | Unknown |
| Recent average annual yield | 1183 t (stock) |
|  | 253 t (United States) |
| Status of exploitation | Over exploited |
| $\mathrm{B}_{90} / \mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ | 0.82 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{80} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ | 1.05 |

## WHITE MARLIN

| Longterm potential yield | 593 t |
| :--- | ---: |
| Current potential yield | Unknown |
| Recent average annual yield | 253 t (stock) |
|  | 63 t (United States) |
| Status of exploitation | Over exploited |
| $B_{91} / B_{\text {MSY }}$ | 0.52 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{90} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ | 0.65 |

## SAILFISH

| Longterm potential yield | Unknown |
| :--- | ---: |
| Current potential yield | Unknown |
| Recent average annual yield | 619 t (stock) |
|  | 32 t (United States) |
| Status of exploitation | Moderately exploited |



Figure 8. Estimated trajectory of biomass/biomass at MSY ratio ( $B / B_{\text {MSY }}$ ) for north Atlantic blue marlin.
U.S. restrictions of billfish landings were implemented in October, 1988 under the U.S. Fishery Management Plan for Atlantic Billfishes. The Plan eliminates possession and sale of billfish by commercial fishermen and restricts the allowable catch of billfish by recreational gear (rod and reel) by size limits. U.S. catch ofeach billfish species areestimated from various data sources. Because the Management Plan imposed no sale and nopossession regulationson commercial fisheries, no official U.S. commercial landings havebeen reported for any of the three Atlantic species since the plan was implemented. Estimates of bycatch mortality, known to occur in the U.S. longline fleet, are made using data reported by U.S. captains and vessel owners permitted to fish for Atlantic swordfish and datafrom limited observer coverage on these vessels. The estimated proportion of billfish retrieved dead on longline gear ranges from 0.30 to 0.68 , depending on species and geographical area. Estimates of capture-induced mortality of billfish released by recreational anglers are generally not available, althoughthis source of mortality is believed to be low.

## Blue Marlin

Blue marlin (Makaira nigricans) are sparely distributed over wide areas of
the tropical and temperate waters of the world oceans. In the Atlantic Ocean, the latitudinal range varies seasonally from about $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ to about $35^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. Two main seasonal concentrations appear to occur in the Atlantic; from January through April in the southwestern Atlantic and from June through October in the northwestern Atlantic. Transatlantic movements have been documented from the western to eastern Atlantic, along with the seasonal migrations which correspond tocooling of temperate waters during the winter.

The types of food eaten by blue marlin vary somewhat with the region where they occur. The diet ofblue marlin is mostly piscivorous (particularly tunalike fishes), but also frequently contains cephalopods. The range in size of food items can vary from large tuna (greater than 30 kg ) to postlarval teleosts. Blue marlin, like all istiophorids, often extend their stomach outside of their mouth when hooked in an attempt to avoid capture. This reaction also empties the stomach so information on the diet is fragmentary and not well quantified.

Blue marlin are one of the fastest growing of all teleosts, particularly during the first year of life when maximum growth can be as high as 16 mm per day. Sustained growth rate during the first 100 days can average about 10 mm per day. Blue marlin are long lived and are reported to attain ages of at least 25-30 years. Blue marlin are also one of the largest marine teleosts; in the Atlantic they can reach a length of over 4.5 m and weight of over 600 kg .

The age at $50 \%$ maturity for blue marlin is difficult to determine, in part due to the difficulty of examining large numbers of specimensand the substantial difference in sexually dimorphic growth between malesand females. Mature males in the Atlantic Ocean have been reported as small as 35 kg and the smallest mature females were 44 kg .


Figure 9. Yield trajectories for north Atlantic blue marlin.

There appears to be two widely separated concentrations of blue marlin spawning in the western Atlantic. In the north Atlantic, blue marlin spawn mainly in the Caribbean Sea during the summer but often have a smaller peak of spawning in theearlyfall. In thesouth-west Atlantic, spawning occurs primarily in January through March. Thearenodataindicating that blue marlin change their sex (i.e. protandry).

ICCAT recognizes several possible stock structurehypothesesforblue marlin, including a north and south Atlantic stock anda total Atlantic stock. Thenorth Atlantic-wide catch of blue marlin increased rapidly after 1960 , reaching a peak of more than $5,000 \mathrm{t}$ by 1963. Thereafter, landings declined substantially. Production model fits to data from 1960-1980 suggested that by 1980, the size of the north Atlantic stock, at best, had been reduced to about the level expected to produce its long-term potential yield. The worst case interpretation was that the stock had been over exploited by the late 1970s.

Updated assessments included an additional 10 years of data compared to previous assessments. In addition, a more flexible model (ASPIC) was used which does not assume equilibrium and allows analysis of several data series simultaneously. Since this model estimates relativelevelsofbiomass better than absolute levels, these data are illustrated in terms of ratios relative to optimal biomass ( $\mathrm{B}_{\text {Msy }}$ ). General results from the updated assessments indicate that biomass trajectories werebelow $\mathrm{B}_{\text {NSY }}$ (i.e. stock biomass that can produceMSY) after 1966(Figure8).Longterm potential yield for north Atlantic blue marlin from this assessment were estimated to be $1,718 \mathrm{t}$. The last few years were more optimistic and did show signs of a modest recovery in biomass level, although estimatedbiomass isstill below that which could produce MSY (Figure 8). Several sensitivity runs were made to assess the effect of under-reporting on the assessment results. As expected, proportional increases in estimates of MSY were observed but estimated trajectories did not change in pattern. Based on these results, ICCAT presently considers the north Atlantic stock ofblue


Figure 10. Estimated trajectory of biomass/biomass at MSY ratio (B/B $\mathcal{M A X r}$ ) for north Atlantic white marlin.
marlinoverexploited. However, apparent stabilization in some CPUE indices and production model results showing signs of a modest recovery during the most recent years, are encouraging.

The recent average yield of north Atlantic blue marlin for 1989-1991 is estimated to have been $1,183 \mathrm{t}$. The average yield to U.S. fishermen during this period was approximately 332 t (Figure 9). About $82 \%$ of the recent average yield (1989-91) to the United States has been as a bycatch on longline gear, the remainder of the U.S. yield results from recreational harvest.

## White Marlin

White marlin (Tetrapturus albidus) are distributed over nearly all of the Atlantic Ocean from $35^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, including the Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean Sea. Their distribution varies seasonally, reaching into the higher latitudes during the warm summerperiods of either hemisphere. In general, white marlin are found in waters greater than 100 m deep with surface temperatures over $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In contrast to blue marlin, white marlin reach higher latitudes in the warm summer months and tend to congregate in areas accessible to shore-


Figure 11. Yield trajectories for north Atlantic white marlin.
based fisheries in much greater numbers. Along the U.S. Atlantic coast, white marlin are seasonally abundant from North Carolina to Massachusets. White marlin concentrate off Venezuela during the winter, and in spring some fish from this area are thought to move northward to feeding grounds in the northern Gulf of Mexicoand U.S. eastcoast. White marlin, like blue marlin, are found in the Caribpean region throughout the year. White marlin are thought to be mainly daytime feeders. Their diet, like other species in this unit, varies depending on location and availability of prey. Squid and smaller pelagic fishes tend to predominate in their diet.

As with blue marlin, the modal age at first reproduction is not well knownfor white marlin. However, female white marlin are thought toreach maturityat an eye orbit to fork length of about 130 cm or 20 kg body weight. Spawning for white marlin in the western north Atlantic is believed to occur throughout the Caribbean, in the Gulfof Mexico, and in the Straits of Florida during April and May. Larval collections and relatively high catch rates of large white marlin in pelagic longline fisheries suggest that spawning by this species in the north Atantic may occur between $10^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $20^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ for as long as November through June.

As for blue marlin, ICCAT recognizes several stock hypotheses for whitemarlin, including a north and south Atlantic stock and a total Atlantic stock. The north Atlantic-wide catch of white marlin peaked in 1965 with a yield in excess of $2,000 \mathrm{t}$. Catch has been below that level since, generally fluctuating between 243 and 1,500 t. Production model fits for 1960-1980 data, based on the Japanese longline index, suggested a sharp decline in biomass from the early 1960's through 1970, with continued but more moderate declines (with variation) to low levels through 1980. The stocks were considered by ICCAT to be at least fully exploited and likely over exploited by the later part of this time series (mid to late 1970's). The U.S. index showed a continuous downward trend from 1980 to the end of the available standardized effort series in 1990. Catch per unit effort
information from the Venezuelan recreational fishery indicates a similar trend, as does the U.S. recreational index since 1980, but continues to historic lows by the end of the time series (1990).

The differences between early assessments (1979-82 SCRS) and those presented to the 1992 SCRS, in terms of methodology and availabledata, for white marlin are the same as stated previously for blue marlin. Analysis using a nonequilibrium production model (ASPIC) were initially conducted for the entire time series (1961-1990). However, the north Atlantic model would not converge to a solution, due to the large variation in CPUE's during the first five years. Therefore, the data were considered from 1966-1990 and the model again applied. The general results (Figure 10) from the analysis illustrate declines in stock biomass to levels well below estimated $\mathrm{B}_{\text {msY }}$ Althoughtherewas aslight upswing in estimated biomass in the last few years (Figure 10), ICCAT considered this a slowing of the downward trend and not a sign of recovery. White marlin results in thiscasewere lessoptimisticthanfor blue marlin. Longtermpotential yieldfor north Atlanticwhite marlin wasestimated to be 593 t . Numerous sensitivity runs were made to gain insight into how underreporting of landings might affect assessment results. As with blue marlin,
proportional increases in estimates of MSY were observed but the biomass trajectories were virtually unchanged. Also, since the first five years of data, which include the largest declines in CPUE's, could not be used in this model, these results could be considered conservative. The model estimates that for decadesstock biomass has been below that which could produce MSY (Figure 10) and fishing mortalityrates are to high to allow a rapid recovery. As a result, ICCAT considers these stocks to be over exploited.

The recent average yield of north Atlantic white marlin for 1989-1991 is estimated to have been 253 t. The average yield to U.S. fishermen during this period wasabout63t(Figure 11). Approximately $79 \%$ of the recent average yield (198890) for the United States hasbeen bycatch on longline gear, the remainder of the U.S. yield is due to low recreational harvest. Estimated U.S. longline bycatch of white marlin has decreased from total of 72 t in 1989 to 38 t in 1991.

## Sailfish

Sailfish(Istiophorusplatypterus) are circumtropical in distribution, occurring in all warm marine waters of the world. Sailfish generally have a more coastal distribution than blue and white marlins, although theyarealsocapableofextensive


Figure 12. Yield trajectory for west Atlantic sailfish and spearfish.
open water movements. In the western Atlantic, sailfish usually range between $30^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and $30^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, although fish thought to be stragglers occasionally occur outside of these latitudes. In the eastern Atlantic, the latitudinal range for sailish is more restricted, with fish generally occurring between $10^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and $20^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. During the colder months, sailfish concentrate in warmer water areas within these latitude ranges and foray into the higher latitudes during warm months. For instance, along the U.S. Atlantic coast, there is a concentrated abundance of sailifish near the Florida coast, and fish remain in that areayear-round. However, in spring with warming waters to the north, large numbers move northward alongthecoast toward Cape Hatteras. Conversely, in the fall with cooling watertemperatures, fish move again to the south. Sailfish are one of the smaller members of the billish family. Fish caught along the eastern U.S. coast generally average around 18 kg , although they can range upwards to 64 kg . Sailfish are believed to have a relatively high rate of natural mortality (estimatesof Mrange upwardfrom0.35). Most of the Atlanticfish caughtinfisheries are thought to be less than 4 years old. Like the other billfishes, sailfish are thought tobe fairly opportunistic feeders, although fish and squid form the major part of their diets.

ICCAT recognizes eastern Atlantic and western Atlantic stock hypotheses for sailfish As indicated earlier, the catches of sailfish and spearfish are not generally separated in the statistics provided by the high-seas longline nations. Attempts have been made by ICCAT scientiststo separatethe historical catches into east and west categories, most recently at the 1992 intercessional ICCAT billfish workshop. Catch of western Atlantic sailfish and spearish have increased steadily, to a peak of over 1000 tin 1988, althoughthe 1989 reported catch was approximately 500 t . Recent U.S. recreational harvests are not well estimated and reports are conservative. Assessments of stock status completed in 1983, based on yield per recruit analyses, indicated that the sailfish resource in the western Atlantic wasmoderately exploited at that time. Although attemptstoestimate
long-term potential yield for western Atlantic sailish have been made, the data have not been sufficient for that purpose. The recent average yield of western Atlantic sailfish for 1989-1991 is estimated at 619 t . The average yield to U.S. fishermen during this period was about 32 t . However, the estimate of U.S. recreational harvest estimate for this period is likely conservative. Figure 12 shows the recent sailfish and spearfish harvest trajectory in the western Atlantic through 1991.

## BIGEYE TUNA

Bigeye tuna (Thunnus obesus) are circumtropical in distribution, occurring in all of the world's oceans. In the Atlantic they are widely distributed in tropical and temperate waters, between $45^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $45^{\circ}$ S. Bigeye, like other similar-sized tunas, feed on a variety of fish, cephalopods, and crustaceans, depending on availability. Feeding is believed to occur in daytime as well as at night. Bigeye are considered a large tuna, althought they do


Figure 13. Yield and relative abundance trajectories for Atlantic bigeye tuna.

| Albacore |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Longterm potential yield | 38,300-58,400 t |
| Current potential yield | Unknown |
| Recent average annual yield | $31,000 \mathrm{t}$ (stock) |
|  | 330 t (United States) |
| Status of exploitation | Fully exploited |
| Age at 50\% maturity | 4-5 years |
| Current spawning potential ratio (SPR) | 20-40\% |
| Generation time | 8-10 years |
| Natural mortality rate | 0.3 |
| Fishing mortality rate at F0. 1 | About 0.3 |
| Fishing mortality rate at Fmax | About 0.5 |
| Fishing mortality rate in most recent year | 0.35 |

not reach the sizes of northern bluefin. On average, bigeye grow to a maximum fork length approaching 285 cm , and approaching 450 kg . However, individuals of this size are quite uncommon. Individuals that reach 175 cm and about 115 kg are believed to be at least 8 years old. The recent average size of bigeye taken by U.S. fishermen is approximately 44 kg , corresponding to a fork length of about 125 cm and an age of about 4 years. Bigeye are thought to mature after 4-5 years. Spawning of this species is known to occur throughout the year in the tropical band from $15^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ to $15^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. The only known nursery area in the Atlantic for small bigeye is in the Gulf of Guinea, off the west African coast.

ICCAT recognizes a single Atlantic stock hypothesis for bigeye tuna. Bigeye catch has increased from the levels seen in the early 1960 s to a peak of $74,500 t$ in 1985. Roughly two-thirds of the catch is taken in longline fisheries with the remainder taken by surface gear. Japan is the major longline harvesting nation taking Atlantic bigeye. Japanese longliners accounted for slightly more than $40 \%$ of the total landingsin 1991. The long-term potential yield for Atantic bigeye has been estimated, based on production model analysis using Japanese longline catch per unit effort and total landing
statistics for 1961-1990, to range from 61,200-74,000 $t$ depending upon assumptions made about the effectiveness of Japanese longline gear. The recent average yield of Atlantic bigeye tuna for 1989-1991 is estimated to have been $69,200 \mathrm{t}$. The average yield to U.S. fishermen during this four-year period was approximately 782 t . The estimated U.S. recreational harvest of this species for the period was 96 t . The yield and relative abundance trajectories for this species are shown in Figure 13.

## ALBACORE

Albacore (Thunnus alalunga) are cosmopolitan in tropical and temperate waters of all oceans, including the Mediterranean Sea, and range from $40^{\circ} S$ to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Albacore generally donot grow as large as bluefin, bigeye, or yellowfintuna of a similar age. At times, albacore may form mixed species schools with bluefin, yellowin, and skipjack of similar size. On average, albacore are thought to grow to a maximum fork length approaching 125 cm , and weight approaching 40 kg . Individuals that reach 93 cm fork length and approximately 18 kg are believod to be approaching 5 years old. In the Atlantic, albacore are thought to reach maturity at about 5 years.

ICCAT recognizes several possible stock hypotheses for albacore including a NorthAtlantic stock hypothesis. North Atlantic albacore catches have declinod from 1961-1989. Peaklandings occurred in 1964, when 64, 400 t were removed. In 1989, 32,000 t were taken from this stock. Most of the recent catches have been made in surface fisheries, mainly baitboats and trolled lines, although drift gillnets and pelagic trawls are also applied. Prior to 1987 , up to $50 \%$ of the catch was made by longline gear. Reduction of the Taiwanese fleet in the NorthAtlantic grounds resulted in sig.


Figure 14. Yieldand exploitable biomass trajectoriesfornorthAtlantic albacore. Biomass abundance is based on virtual population analyses.

| Skipiack |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Longterm potential yield | 33,000 t |
| Current potential yield | Unknown |
| Recent average annual yield | 26,900 t (stock) |
|  | 357 t (United States) |
| Status of exploitation | Fully exploited |
| Age at 50\% maturity | 1-1.5 years |
| Generation time | 2-3 years |
| Natural mortality rate | 0.6-0.8 |

nificant reductions in longline catch of albacore. Spain and France have been the major harvesting nations for NorthAtlantic albacore in the most recent period. Since 1987, Spain has accounted for approximately $80 \%$ of the reported NorthAtlantic albacore landings. In recent years, catches of immature fish (less than 5 years) have increased substantially in contrast to earlier periods. In 1992, ICCAT's SCRS assessed the status of the NorthAtlantic albacore stock and found the stock could be highly exploited based on virtual population and yield per recruit analyses. Production model analysis conducted in prior year assessments, based on several surface fishery catch per unit effort series and total landings from 1959-1988 resulted in estimates of the long-term potential yield for North Atlantic albacore that range from $38,300-58,400 \mathrm{t}$. Catches were consistently within or above this range from 1962-1986, buthaveremained below since 1987. Theestimated range of MSY results from an equilibrium production model analysis which might overestimate MSY and lead to an overly optimistic assessment of stock status. Application of a non-equilibrium method to the South Atlanticalbacore stock at the 1992 SCRS assessment demonstrated this. The recent average yield of NorthAtlantic albacore for 1989-1991 is estimated to have been $31,000 \mathrm{t}$. The average yield to U.S. fishermen during this period was approximately 330 t . The per unit effort.
mollusks, and small fish. It is a relatively small tuna. The maximum size observed for skipjack from all oceans is about 110 cm , corresponding to a weight of about 34 kg ; however, fish in the range of 80 cm or less and up to 10 kg are most common. Skipjack are thought to first spawn at about 45 cm or at about 1 year old. They mature at anearlier ageand have a higher natural mortality rate than either yellowfin or bigeye tunas.

ICCAT recognizes several possible stock hypotheses for skipjack including a western Atlantic stock hypothesis. The western Atlantic skipjack catch has dramatically increased in recent years from relatively low levels seen in the early 1960s to 1979, rising to a peak of 40,000 $t$ in 1985. Almost all of this catch was taken in surface fisheries, mainly by baitboats. Brazil, Venezuela, and Cuba accounted for more than $95 \%$ of the 1991 catch of western Atlantic skipjack. A preliminary production model analysis, based on Venezuelan baitboat catch per unit effort and the total landings from 1981-1989, resulted in an estimate of the long-term potential yield for western Atlanticskipjack ofapproximately 33,000 t. The analyses indicate that some gain in yield might be realized by reducing effective effort on this species. The degree to


Figure 15. Yield and relative abundance trajectories for skipjack tuna in the western Atlantic. Abundance index is based on Venezuelan surface fishery catch


Figure 16. Yields of other tunas.
which this conclusion is influenced by insufficient catch per unit effort information to cover the stock range has yet to be determined. The recent average yield of western Atlantic skipjack tuna for 19891991 is estimated to have been $26,900 \mathrm{t}$. The average yield to U.S. fishermen during this period was approximately 357 t . The estimated U.S. recreational harvest of this species for the period was 17 t . The yield and relative abundance trajectories for this species are shown in Figure 15.

## OTHER TUNAS

At least five species are included in the other tuna category. They include: Atlantic bonito(Sardasarda), little tunny (Euthynnus alletteratus), frigate tuna (Auxis thazard), blackfin tuna (Thunnus atlanticus), and wahoo (Acanthocybium solandri). Others may also be included but are not discriminated to species level in the international landings statistics. In the Atlantic, the recent average yield for these species in aggregate for 1989-1991 was $51,600 \mathrm{t}$ (Figure 16). The recent average yield to U.S. fishermen during the same period was 732 t . The estimated recent average yield to U.S. recreational fishermen for this group was conservatively estimated as 36 t .
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Coastal Pelagics

The principal coastal pelagic species in the southeastern United states are king mackerel, Spanish mackerel, dolphin, cobia, and cero. In general, they form schools, swim fast, feed voraciously, grow rapidly, exhibit sexual dimorphism, mature moderately early, and spawn for extended periods of time. They range from nearshore to the edge of the continental shelf and constitute important resourcesfor recreational and commercial fishermen.

## KING MACKEREL

King mackerel, Scomberomorus cavalla, inhabit the neritic zone, extending from shore to the outer edge of the continental shelf. Their range begins in the Gulf of Maine and extends southward alongthe U.S. Atlantic coast, Gulf of Mexico, Caribbean Sea, and as far south as the northern coast of Brazil. King mackerel concentrate along the Carolinas in the spring through fall, the northern Gulf of Mexico in the summer, and the southeastern coast of Florida in the winter. Distributions of king mackerel are controlled by water temperature and salinity. The $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ isotherm limits their northern range and largely affects their contracted winter range in the Atlantic. Salinity also controls pelagic distribution with the adult and large juveniles considered non-estuarine dependent.

Adult and juvenile king mackerel are pelagic carnivores and consume both fish and invertebrates. Their predominant prey is schooling pelagic fish such as herrings, sardines, and other clupeids. Along the southeastern coast of Florida they often feed on ballyhoo. Squid and penaeid shrimp constitute their principal invertebrate prey. King mackerel are preyed upon by a variety


## King mackerel

of larger species. Tunas and cobia feed on the larval and small juvenile stages. Pelagic sharks, tuna, dolphins, and various marine mammals including bottlenose dolphin feed on the larger sizes.

King mackerel exhibit sexual dimorphism. Maximum length in males is about 122 cm fork length and about 135 cm fork length in females. Although growth is quite variable, females appear to grow faster and live longer than males. The maximum age is about 15 years for males and 20 years for females. King mackerel along the Atlantic coast are believed to live longer than king mackerel in the Gulf of Mexico. Average size to sexual maturity is near 91 cm fork length (age 5-6) with females maturing before the males. The average age of fish in the fishery is about 5-6 years.

King mackerel spawn in coastal waters along the northern Gulf of Mexico and southeastern Florida. The spawning season is protracted as evidenced from their appearance as larvae from May through October with a peak in September. It has been suggested that the spawning season in the northern Gulf of Mexico may be bimodal with one peak from May to July and asecond peak from August through October.

Commercial fishermen have fished king mackerel since the 1800s
using gill nets, troll lines, handlines, purse seines, otter trawls, and pound nets. Recreational fishermen use hook and line gear from private and charter boats. Today, major commercial fisheries occur along North Carolina, Florida (between Sebastian and Key West), and Louisiana. Recreational charterboat and private boat hook-and-line fisheries occur in the Carolinas, throughout Florida, the northern Gulf of Mexico, and Texas. A minor recreational fishery is conducted by anglers fishing from headboats in southeast Florida, the Florida Keys in winter months, and in Texas during summer.

Mackerels within the southeastern United States are jointly managed by the South Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Councils under the Coastal Pelagics Fishery Management Plan that was implemented in 1982. The two councils establish total allowable catch quotas (TACs) for two distinct migratory groups: the Gulf Migratory Group and the AtlanticMigratory Group. Allowablebiological catches (ABCs) are defined for separate geographical areas within the Gulf group and for separate user groups. Quota management began in the 1985/1986 fishing year in the Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico. Both commercial and charterboat operators must hold permits to fish king mackerel, Spanish mackerel, or other coastal pelagics. Recreational catches are further regu-


Figure 17. King mackerel yield and index of abundance by fishing year (fishing year defined as: $1979=1979-1980,1980=1980-1981$, etc. . .
lated by creel and size limits. In addition to quota limits, commercial catches are under minimum size restrictions and in some states daily landing limits and/or trip limits apply. In the Gulf of Mexico purse seines and drift gill nets are prohibited fishing gear for all mackerel stocks. Drift gill nets are prohibited gear in the Atlantic and in the Gulf of Mexico for king mackerel stocks.

The number of commercial permit holders in the 1991-1992 fishing year was 1,652 . The number of charterboat permit holders was 1,438 .

Growth information from tagging studies has been used to verify growth information obtained from traditional hard-part studies. Current stock boundaries are determined from information obtained from tag return data and from electrophoretic tissue analyses. The Gulf migratory group of king mackerel is considered overfished. It has been managed under a closely monitored rebuilding schedule since 1986 and is most likely to contribute the greatest production over the long term, but it is also the most severely depressed and yields at present are relatively low. Yield in the 1990 fishing year from the Gulf of Mexico was $3,000 t$ of which 800 t was commercial and $2,200 \mathrm{t}$ was recreational (Figure 17, Table 9). Recent production from this unit is esti-
mated to be $19 \%$ of maximum. Reductions in current stock size and subsequent lost production potential are due to excessive mortality from fishing in the late 1970s and 1980s.

The Atlantic migratory group is not presently considered overfished. It is, however, believed to be near maximum production, and this group is currently producing about $47 \%$ of SPR. In the 1990 fishing year, the U.S. Atlantic yield was $2,800 \mathrm{t}$ of which $1,200 \mathrm{t}$ was commercial and $1,600 \mathrm{t}$ was recreational.

## SPANISH MACKEREL

As with king mackerel, Spanish mackerel, Scomberomorus maculatus, are found from the Gulf of Maine to the northern coast of Brazil. Spanish mackerel distributions are also controlled by salinity and water temperature. The larval stages are found most frequently offshore over the inner shelf in saline toestuarine waters, and abundance is greatest in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Small juveniles are found from offshore to estuarine waters but are not considered estuarine dependent. Adults are neritic along the coast and are rarely encountered beyond 75 m of water depth.

In the western part of the Gulf of Mexico, a spring migration occurs as schools move north and east. Large schools are encountered off Alabama and Mississippi from the spring through the fall. Migrations in the fall result in a net movement southward and fish may overwinter off the Mexican coast, particularly the Yucatan Peninsula.

Juvenile and adult Spanish mackerel are pelagic carnivores. Prey include schooling pelagic fishes such as anchovies and herring. Invertebrate prey is primarily squid and shrimp.

Spanish mackerel exhibit sexual dimorphism with the females being larger than the males. The initial growth phase is rapid in the males, but females quickly attain similar sizes and eventually grow larger than males. The maximum sizes are 64 cm fork length for females and 56 cm fork length for males. The maximum ages reported are 7 years for males and 10 years for females. The average age of fishes in the fishery is about 3 years.

Sexual maturity is achieved during the second and third years. Spawning occurs from April though September along the northeastern Florida coast and from April through August off North Carolina to Cape Canaveral, Florida.

Commercial fisheries for Spanish mackerel have existed since the 1850s. Commercial fishermen originally used trolling gear but now the predominant gear of choice is gill nets. Historical fisheries operated in the late 1800s and early 1900salong the east coast of Florida and in the Chesapeake Bay. Present day fisheries occur mainly along Florida's east coast and the Florida Keys. Increased production has occurred in the late 1980s along the Chesapeake Bay. Although recent landings have increased for this region, historical levels of production have not been attained. There is a significant recreational fishery using hook and line gear, and the total recreational catch represents about one half of the total annual harvest.

The status of Spanish mackerel stocks is assessed annually as required by the Coastal Pelagics Fishery Management Plan. As with king mackerel, two migratory groups, the Gulf and the Atlantic, are recognized for management.

| Mackerels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KING MACKEREL |  |  |
|  | U.S. Atlantic | U.S. Gulf |
| Longterm potential yield | 3,632 t | 9,750 t |
| Current potential yield | 5,221 t | 2,670 t |
| Recent average annual yield | 3,086 t | 2,293 t |
| Status of exploitation | Under exploited | Over exploited |
| Age at 50\% maturity | 5-6 years | 5-6 years |
| Current spawning potential ratio | 47\% | 12\% |
| Projected SPR at current fishing patterns | Greater than 30\% | 30\% |
| Generation time | 10-12 years | 10-12 years |
| Natural mortality rate | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| Fishing mortality rate at $\mathrm{F}_{30 \times \text { spR }}$ | 0.28 | 0.19 |
| Fishing mortality rate in most recent year | 0.12 | 0.31 |
| SPANISH MACKEREL |  |  |
| U.S. Atlantic | U.S. Gulf |  |
| Longterm potential yield | 3,715 t | 5,457 t |
| Current potential yield | 3,178 t | 4,722 t |
| Recent average annual yield | 2.507 t | 2,113 t |
| Status of exploitation | Over exploited | Over exploited |
| Age at 50\% maturity | 2 years | 2 years |
| Current spawning potential ratio | Greater than 30\% | 22\% |
| Projected SPR at current fishing patterns | 30\% | 30\% |
| Generation time | 5-6 years | 5-6 years |
| Natural mortality rate | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Fishing mortality rate at $\mathrm{F}_{\text {spR }}$ | 0.39 | 0.34 |
| Fishing mortality rate in most recent year | 0.26 | 0.22 |

## DOLPHIN

Dolphin, Coryphaena sp., are fast swimming pelagic fishes found worldwide in tropical and subtropical waters. The genus, the only one in the family Coryphaenidae, is composed of two species, C. hippurus and C. equisetis. In the western Atlantic, dolphin are found as far north as Georges Bank, Nova Scotia, and as far south as Brazil. They are particularly abundant in the Gulf of Mexico and in the Florida Current. Their distribution appears to be temperature dependent and they are rarely found in waters of less than $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and above $41^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Dolphin are year round residents throughout their range and demonstrate seasonal changes in distribution that are temperature induced. Along the southeastern United States, dolphin are common off North Carolina from late spring through the summer. Off the Florida east coast, they are commonly encountered by recreational fishermen in the winter and early spring. In the Gulf of Mexico, they are almost exclusively fished in the summer with peaks in August.

Dolphin are an open ocean species that are opportunistic carnivores. They feed primarily on crustaceans and shift to fish as juveniles and adults. A major component of the stomach contents in western Atlantic dolphin is sargassum weed which is probably ingested with

In the 1990 fishingyear, Spanish mackerel yield in the Gulf of Mexico was about $1,700 \mathrm{t}$ of which 900 t was commercial and 800 t recreational (Figure 18, Table 9). In the 1990 fishing year, the Atlantic yield was about $2,500 \mathrm{t}$ with $1,600 \mathrm{t}$ commercial and 900 t recreational. Commercial catches are regulated by quotas and daily landing limits in some states. Recreational catches are managed by quotas and creel limits. Both the Atlantic and Gulf migratory groups are considered to be overfished and management is based on a rigid rebuilding schedule.


Figure 18. Spanish mackerel yield and index of abundance by fishing year (fishing year defined as: $1979=1979-1980,1980=1980-1981$, etc.).

Table 9. Coastal mackerel yields in thousands of metric tons.

| Fishing Year ${ }^{\circ}$ |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1991 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | KING MACKEREL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| U.S. Gulf of Mexico | 2.0 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Commercial | 2.0 | 6.2 | 3.6 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 2.2 |
| Recreational |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Atlantic | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Commercial | 1.2 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.6 |

U.S. Gulf of Mexico
Commercial
Recreational
U.S. Atlantic
Commercial
Recreational

## SPANISH MACKEREL

| - | - | - | - | - | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.6 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.9 |

-Fishing years for Gulf king mackerel: July 1-June 30; Atlantic king mackerel and Spanish mackerel: April 1-March 30
the prey that inhabit sargassum. Dolphinarecommonly associated with lines of sargassum and are known to associate with any floating objects that would tend to attract smaller types and sizes of fish.

Sexual dimorphism is strongly exhibited in the shape of the head. Males have a very steep forehead and are referred to as "bulls." The head of the female is more streamlined at the forehead. The bull-head shape appears at about 40 cm fork length during the first year of life. Females reach sexual maturity in the first year of life at about 35 cm fork length.

Spawning is protracted and may be multi-modal. In the Florida Current, spawning appears to occur year-round with peak spawning in January, February, and March. Off North Carolina spawning peaks in June and July. Individual growth is very variable; however, growth is considered very rapid and generation time short. Longevity in this species is estimated to be about 6 years and natural mortality extremely high. Maximum lengths and weights reported are for males and are 150 cm fork length and 46 kg .

There are no complete data on the number of commercial vessels fishing dolphin. However, before 1987 commercial landings occurred mainly as a bycatch. Since 1987, dolphin have been targeted occasionally by commercial yellowfintuna surfacelongline vessels and sometimes are landed by pelagic longline vessels. Commercial landings havetremendously increased since 1984 . In 1991 total commercial yield of dolphin was $1,319 \mathrm{t}$. Recreational yields are significant with the production of this sector ranging from $3,084 \mathrm{t}$ in 1984 to $3,525 \mathrm{t}$ in 1991 (Figure 19, Table 10).

Under the Coastal Pelagics Fishery ManagementPlan, dolphinare managed by daily creel limits and a minimum size of 45.7 cm fork length and several states have adopted daily creel limits. The current status of the resource is unclear. Atlantic yield of dolphin fluctuated without trend around $3,100 \mathrm{t}$ between 1984-1987. Since 1987 total production has increased from $3,620 \mathrm{t}$ to $4,740 \mathrm{t}$. Gulf of Mexico production has ranged from 740 t in 1984 to 2,140 t in 1991.

The primary user group remains the recreational sector, and there is no extensive time series of recreational data on this species from which to adequately evaluate trends regarding the condition of the stock. Management measures are temporally short time based because of the biological nature of the species.

## COBIA

Cobia, Rachycentron canadum, are found worldwide in tropical, subtropical, and in warm temperate waters. In the western Atlantic they range from New England to Argentina. Their distribution appears to be controlled by water temperature associated with the continental shelf. The limiting water temperature for adults is about $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cobia are considered voracious carnivores and often consume prey whole. Prey include crabs, other bottom invertebrates, and fish.

Cobia grow rapidly and may live up to 15 years. The maximum length reported is about 60 cm fork length and a maximum weight of 70 kg . Females


Figure 19. Dolphin and cobia yields.
probably grow larger than males. Males mature in their second year of life at about 50 cm fork length and females mature in their third year at about 55 cm fork length. There are little data on size or age of fishes in the fishery.

Spawning may be multi-seasonal and peaks in the summer. In the south-
eastern United States, spawning occurs off the Carolinas, off the Texas coast and in the Caribbean Sea. Fishery statistics are limited, but catches have been stable since 1981 and average $68 t$ in the Gulf of Mexico and 45 $t$ in the Atlantic. The commercial removals are taken primarily under the
bag limit allowance to vessels holding coastal pelagic fishing permits.

Cobia are managed under the joint council Coastal Pelagic Fishery Management Plan. Two stocks, one for the U.S. Atlantic and one for the U.S. Gulf of Mexico, are assumed for purposes of management. There are no commercial quotas established and the recreational fishery has daily creellimits and a minimum size of 50.8 cm fork length. Total yields of cobia have varied from 1,254 tin 1989 to 886 t in 1991 without a definitive trend. Currently the yield from the Gulf of Mexico accounts for about $60 \%$ of the total annual production. The current status of the resource is not known; however, the resource is thought to be fully exploited. Based on recent information from revised fishery catch statistics and biological samples, maximum sustainable yield isestimated to be about $1,089 \mathrm{t}$. Currently the fishery is producing on average about $1,000 \mathrm{t}$ annually. The stock is thought to be experiencing a fishing intensity that will maintain a $30 \%$ SPR.

Table 10. Cobia and dolphin yields in thousands of metric tons.

| Calendar Year | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## COBIA

U.S. Gulf of Mexico

Commercial
Recreational
U.S. Atlantic

Commercial Recreational
U.S. Gulf of Mexico

Commercial
Recreational
U.S. Atlantic

Commercial
Recreational

| 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.09 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.48 | 0.51 | 0.68 | 0.52 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.64 | 0.44 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| 0.43 | 0.60 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.52 | 0.37 | 0.29 |

## DOLPHIN

| 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.76 | 0.84 | 0.98 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.59 | 0.71 | 1.04 | 0.60 | 1.48 | 1.65 | 1.46 | 1.16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.31 | 0.34 |
| 2.49 | 3.76 | 2.37 | 2.87 | 3.50 | 6.43 | 4.43 | 2.36 |

## CERO

Cero, Scomberomorus regalis, commonly range from Massachusetts to the Yucatan Peninsula. Cero are considered neritic, schooling carnivores. Cero are the least abundant of the mackerels off the southeastern U.S. coast. This species is most frequently encountered off the Bahamian and West Indian waters and supports a small commercial fishery in Cuba. Biological information on this species is primarily limited to reproductive biology. Cero spawn throughout the year. Males are thought to be reproductively mature at 33 cm and females at 35 cm . Age at maturity has not been estimated. The species is not targeted by any particular fishery in the United states, and it is currently not under any quota or creel limit under the Coastal Pelagics Management Plan.
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Nine species of shrimp contribute to the U.S. shrimp fishery in the Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic Ocean. Of the nine, the brown, white and pink shrimp of the genus Penaeus comprise over $95 \%$ of the commercial harvest and are the only species besides royal red shrimp (Hymenopenaeus robustus) currently regulated by a federal fishery management plan. These species are generally found in all continental shelf waters in the U.S. Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico inside 110 m depths. The greatest portion of the reported offshore catch of brown shrimp (Penaeus aztecus) is taken at depths of $20-37 \mathrm{~m}$, white shrimp ( $P$. setiferus) in 18 m or less, and pink shrimp (P. duorarum) in $20-27 \mathrm{~m}$. In the Gulf of Mexico the largest densities of brown shrimp occur off the Texas-Louisiana coast; the largest concentrations of white shrimp occur off the Louisiana coast; and the greatest densities of pink shrimp occur off the southwestern coast of Florida. In the U.S. Atlantic, the center of abundance for white shrimp is off the Geor-gia-South Carolina coasts, while the center of abundance for brown shrimp is off the North-South Carolina coasts.

Brown, white, and pink shrimpall have similar life cycles in which spawning occurs offshore. However, the times that recruits enter the fishery differ for thethree species. Eggs generally hatch into planktonic larvae after 10-12 hours. During the next 12-15 days these larvae metamorphose through additional planktonic stages into postlarvae as they move from offshore waters towards inshore areas. Upon entering the estuaries, these post-larvae becomebenthic and develop quickly into juvenile shrimp. These small shrimp have a voracious appetite and their diet includes diatoms, polychaete worms, and small crustaceans. Any


Pink shrimp
natural or man-induced changes in estuarine habitat can alter shrimp survival at this stage in their life cycle. After a few weeks in the estuaries, young subadult shrimp begin migration back into the offshore areas. The average life span of these three species is thought to be about 12 months although some live for 2-3 years. Sexual maturity is usually attained between ages 5-8 months depending on the species.

Brown shrimp enter the estuaries in February and continue through April. However, depending on water temperature and environmental conditions, immigration into the bays in some years can occur through July. Several "waves" of postlarvae may enter an estuary, but peak recruitment occurs in March and April with a small peak sometimes in September. The postlarvae use the estuary as a nursery and eventually migrate back into the offshore waters as subadults. While in the bays, juvenile shrimp are
harvested by recreational and commercial fishing during the spring and summer months. Emigration of juveniles to offshore waters begins in May and ends in August with peak emigration occurring in May, June and, to some extent, July.

White shrimp postlarvae enter estuaries from May to November with peaks in June and September. These postlarvae use the estuaries as nurseries during the summer and fall and grow to a size of $12-16 \mathrm{~cm}$ total length in the bays. While in the estuaries they are harvested by recreational and commercial fishermen during late summer. White shrimp emigration is a function of size and environmental conditions within given bay systems. Usually the shrimp begin emigrating in September and end in December.

Pink shrimp postlarvae begin to enter the estuaries in the summer with peak recruitment occurring in the fall. They spend two to six months in nursery areas. Pink shrimp attain a size of 9.5 to

10 cm total length before emigrating from estuarine nursery areas to offshore waters. Size, however, is probably seasonally and spatially dependent. Emigration occurs yearround with peaks in the spring and fall.

Each shrimp species is assessed as two stocks: Gulf of Mexico and U.S. Atlantic. Important commercial fisheries occur in both regions. The haryest is usually conducted year-round with otter trawls. However, traps, butterfly nets, cast nets and seines are also employed in some areas. As noted above, peak seasonal fishing activity is species specific.

Shrimp fishery management is under both state and federal control. Recreational and commercial shrimp fisheries in state territorial seas are managed by individual states. Each of the eight states involved (Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, South Carolina and North Carolina) have different management measures that they use to control the harvest of shrimp. The commercial shrimp fisheries in the Exclusive Eco-

# Gulf of Mexico Shrimp 

## GULF BROWN SHRIMP

Longterm potential yield<br>Status of exploitation<br>Natural mortality rate<br>Fishing mortality rate in 1991

63,001 t
Fully exploited
0.275 per month

Greater than 1.0 per month

## GULF WHITE SHRIMP

Longterm potential yield
Status of exploitation
Natural mortality rate
Fishing mortality rate in 1991
34,403 t
Fully exploited
0.275 per month
Greater than 1.0 per month

## GULF PINK SHRIMP

Longterm potential yield
Status of exploitation
Natural mortality rate
Fishing mortality rate in 1991

7,877 t
Fully exploited
0.30 per month

Greater than 1.0 per month


Figure 20. Total U.S. Gulf of Mexico yield and parent stock ratio for brown, white, and pink shrimp.
nomic Zone are managed under federal fishery management plans. Currently, only the fisheries in the Gulf of Mexico are under a plan developed by the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council. The South Atlantic Fishery Management Council is beginning to develop a management plan for the shrimp fisheries in the Exclusive Economic Zone of the eastern coast of the United States.

The Gulf of Mexico shrimp fishery management plan was initiated in 1977 and implemented in 1981. The principal objectives of the plan are to optimize the yield of shrimp recruited to the fishery and reduce the discard of undersize shrimp. Presently there are two state-federal cooperative closures that exist to fulfill these objectives. The first closure was developed for the brown shrimp fishery off Texas. The total closure of the offshore waters to shrimp fishing usually begins in midMay, when the small juvenile shrimp are emigrating from the inshore wa-

Table 11. U.S. Gulf of Mexico shrimp harvest.

| U.S. Gulf of Mexico Yield (t) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Year | Brown | White | Pink |
| 1980 | 46,390 | 27,747 | 9,348 |
| 1981 | 72,928 | 32,245 | 13,627 |
| 1982 | 54,961 | 27,454 | 8,455 |
| 1983 | 44,891 | 29,190 | 9,174 |
| 1984 | 59,999 | 39,173 | 10,658 |
| 1985 | 63,227 | 41,176 | 11,523 |
| 1986 | 71,955 | 49,432 | 8,467 |
| 1987 | 67,267 | 37,351 | 7,560 |
| 1988 | 59,342 | 31,566 | 6,577 |
| 1989 | 68,738 | 25,429 | 6,217 |
| 1990 | 75,518 | 30,949 | 5,359 |
| 1991 | 64,075 | 32,012 | 4,785 |

ters, and ends in mid-July. The second closure was developed for the Tortugas pink shrimp fishery off the southeastern coast of Florida. It is a year-round closure which restricts fishing for small shrimp in coastal waters.

## GULF OF MEXICO

Average annual commercial shrimp whole weight catch for all species combined during the last twelve years ( $1980-1991$ ) is 108,741 metric tons (t) (Figure 20, Table 11). The greatest harvest occurred in 1986 ( $137,949 \mathrm{t}$ ) while the lowest occurred in 1983 ( $86,484 \mathrm{t}$ ). On the average, brown shrimp accounted for $57 \%$, white shrimp $31 \%$, and pink shrimp $8 \%$ of the total catch. The other six commercially harvested shrimp species combined accounted for only $4 \%$ of the total. The peak brown shrimp harvest occurred in $1990(75,518 \mathrm{t})$, white shrimp in 1986 ( $49,432 \mathrm{t}$ ), and pink shrimp in 1981 ( $13,629 \mathrm{t}$ ). The peak season for the other six shrimp species combined was in 1986 ( $8,096 \mathrm{t}$ ).

The average, annual nominal-fishing effort for the last twelve years ( $1980-1991$ ) is around 6.8 million hours. The greatest level of fishing occurred in 1987 ( 8.9 million hours) with the lowest in 1981 ( 5.4 million hours). Currently the fishery is in an
overcapitalized state with more effort being expended than is reasonably necessary to harvest the shrimp. Growth overfishing is a problem in some of the fisheries. Currently, it is estimated that about 5,000 offshore vessels are participating in the fishery with an unknown number of smaller boats fishing in the inshore and nearshore waters.

Definitions of recruitment overfishing were established in June 1990 for the four species currently included in a federal fishery management plan. For the three Penaeus species, parent stock number calculated from virtual population analyses was selected as the best parameter to monitor for signs of overfishing. Since recruitment overfishing has not been observed in any of the three major Gulf of Mexico shrimp fisheries, even with the large amount of effort expended in the Gulf, the lowest recent parent stock number values for each species are used as the limit beyond which overfishing could occur with present environmental conditions. Parent stock is defined for brown shrimp as the number of age-7+ (months) shrimp during NovemberFebruary with a level of 125 million shrimp set as the lower limit. White shrimp parent stock is defined as the number of age-5+ (months) shrimp during April-August with a level of

600 million shrimp set as the lower limit. Pink shrimp parent stock is defined as the number of $5+$ (months) shrimp during July-June with a level of 100 million shrimp set as the lower limit. During 1991, brown and white shrimp parent levels were well above the overfishing index, while pink shrimp parent stock estimates were closer to the index.

Recruitment overfishing was defined for the royal red shrimp as fishing greater than optimal yield as defined in the fishery management plan. Optimal yield was set at maximum sustainable yield which was estimated to be 178.2 t tail weight at a level of 1,290 days fished. During 1991, only $40.5 t$ of royal red shrimp were caught in the Gulf of Mexico. This value is under the overfishing index level set for the species.

## U.S. ATLANTIC

Average annual commercial shrimp whole weight catch for the last twelve years (1980-1991) is $12,053 \mathrm{t}$ (Table 12). This is about ten times less than the catch in the Gulf of Mexico.

The greatest harvest occurred in 1989 ( $16,037 \mathrm{t}$ ), while the lowest occurred in $1981(7,595 \mathrm{t})$. On the average brown shrimp accountfor $33 \%$, white shrimp $42 \%$, and rock shrimp $17 \%$ of the total catch. Pink shrimp and royal red shrimp harvest combined accounted for $8 \%$ of the total. The peak

Table 12. U.S. Atlantic shrimp yield (t)

| Year | White | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 5,014 | 6,407 |
| 1981 | 3,794 | 3,801 |
| 1982 | 4,772 | 7,219 |
| 1983 | 5,630 | 6,479 |
| 1984 | 1,842 | 7,019 |
| 1985 | 3,521 | 8,906 |
| 1986 | 5,084 | 6,487 |
| 1987 | 5,822 | 5,063 |
| 1988 | 5,065 | 6,475 |
| 1989 | 6,179 | 9,858 |
| 1990 | 5,76 | 8,681 |
| 1991 | 8,248 | 7,561 |

brown shrimp harvest occurred in 1980 (5,609 t), white shrimp in $1991(8,248$ t) and rock shrimp in 1989 (4,092 t). The peak season for the other two shrimp species combined was in 1989 (1,581 t).

Average annual nominal fishing effort for the last eleven years (19811991) is around 876,000 hours. The greatest level of effort occurred in 1983 ( 1.1 million hours) while the lowest was in 1990 (657,000 hours). Currently it is estimated that about 1,700 offshore vessels are participating in the fishery with another 1,300 boats fishing in the inshore and nearshore waters.

For further information:
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Reef fish are species that tend to show high site fidelity to specific bottom features including coral reefs, hard bottoms, artificial structures, and, in the case of tilefish, sand areas. As a unit, reeffish extend from the shoreline to approximately 275 m depending on the species and area. Excluding fishes in the marine aquarium trade, the unit includes approximately 100 species with wide geographical ranges.

Within the southeastern region, the reef fish unit is managed by three Councils for Federal waters, eight states, the Territory of U.S. Virgin Islands, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. Species composition and fishery characteristics vary greatly with location. The fishery is complex with commercial, artisanal, and recreational components. Many fishing methods are used (e.g., fish traps, hook and line, long lines, bandit rigs, spears, and trammel and barrier nets). The recreational fishery includes fishermen that specialize in food, sport, and trophies and operates from charterboats, headboats, private boats, and shore.

Although landings of individual species are not great on a national scale, the reef fish unit is extremely important in aggregate because of its high recreational and commercial use. A significant economic value also exists in its non-consumptive uses (e.g. ecotourism, sport diving, education, scientific research) which often conflict with fishery use. The reef fish fishery is ecologically integrated with and closely associated with other reef fisheries including spiny lobster, conch, stone crab, corals, "live" rock, and ornamental aquarium fishes.

The reef fish fishery has operated for over 200 years, but statistical data became available for most areas only since the late 1970s. The number of
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fishing components, geographical spread, and numerous ports used for landing make data collection difficult. Fishingpressure has recently increased due to higher human populations in coastal areas and greater demand for fishery products. Fishing power has increased due to technological innovations such as bottom longlines (introduced in the late 1970s), wire fish traps (expanded in the mid 1970s), inexpensive navigational aids (LORAN, fish finders), and inexpensive and more powerful boats. Reef fish are prone to overfishing because of their characteristics that include long lives, slow growth, low natural mortality, large body size, delayed reproduction, and sex changes for some species. The status of the fishery varies greatly depending on the area and species. In most cases the current potential yield and long-term potential yield are unknown. Most traditional fisheries are probably fully exploited or overfished.

Short-term issues of concern are: 1) reducing the bycatch mortality of red snapper in the Gulf of Mexico shrimp fishery, 2) assessing and increasing the survival rate of released undersized fishes, especially those caught from deeper waters, 3) identifying stocks (i.e. determining genetic structure and sources of recruitment on a geographical basis), 4) determining the importance and causes of recruitment variability, 5 ) determining long term potential yield by area and species, 6) overcoming the overfishing of specific stocks (e.g., jewfish, Nassau grouper), 7) assessing overfishing and bycatch mortality by specific gears (e.g., long-lines, wire fish traps), and 8) assessing the appropriateness of artificial reefs and hatcheries to augment stock size.

Major long-term issues are: 1 ) evaluating the use of marine fishery reserves to manage reef fisheries, 2) applying non-destructive, fishery-independent video technology to assess stocks, 3) obtaining adequate routinestatistical data
coverage of the various components of the fishery, 4) developing adequate models to describe and predict dynamics of multiple-species reef fisheries and reef ecosystems, 5) determining stock effects of habitat alteration and degradation (e.g. sea grasses, coral reefs, mangroves, estuaries), and 6) assessing the potential for altering stock genetics by fishery removal. A problem unique to reef fisheries is to balance consumptive fisheries use with non-consumptive uses (i.e. ecotourism, protection of biodiversity, sport diving).

## GULF OF MEXICO

More than 70 reef fish species are caught in the Gulf of Mexico. The most important commercial reeffishes landed in 1991 were: groupers ( $\mathbf{3}, 000$ metric tons ( $t$ ), snappers ( $2,400 \mathrm{t}$ ), and amberjacks ( 500 t ). Commercial and recreational yields are shown in Figure 21. Most commercial reef fishes are landed in Florida. In recent years the composition of the landings has changed with declines of red snapper and increases of vermilion snapper and amberjack. In the early 1980s the development of the bottom longline increased the amount of deeper water reeffish landings, especially yellow-edged grouper. In 1991, red grouper was the most important reef fish species accounting for approximately $60 \%$ of all reported commercial grouper landings and $20 \%$ of the total reported reef fish landings. Red snapper was the most important snapper species accounting for approximately $32 \%$ of all commercial snapper landings ( $8 \%$ total reef fish landings) followed by vermilion snapper with $25 \%$ of snapper and $6 \%$ of total reef fish landings. The methods used to land reef fishes in order of importance were handlines (hook and line, bandit rigs, traditional handline), bottom longlines, fish traps, and spearfishing. Recreational fishermen accounted for a significant proportion of reef fish landings and tended to catch smaller and younger fish nearshore.

The Reef Fish Fishery Management Plan for the Gulf of Mexico was
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implemented in 1984. Regulations, designed to rebuild declining reef fish stocks, included prohibitions on the use of fish traps, roller trawls, and powerheads on spearguns within an inshore stressed area; a 33 cm total length minimum size limit on red snapper (with some exceptions); and data reporting requirements. A spawning potential ratio of $20 \%$ was established as a basis to measure overfishing. Amendment 1 (1990) implemented a five fish recreational bag limit and a 5 thousand $t$ commercial quota on groupers (divided into a 4.2 thousand $t$ shallow-water quota and a 0.8 thousand $t$ deep-water quota. Also, procedures were made to facilitate annual management changes. Amendment 2 (1990) prohibited the harvest of jewfish. Amendment 3 (1991) provided additional flexibility by allowing the target date for rebuilding an overfished stock to be changed depending on changes in scientific information. A revised target year of 2007 was established for achieving a $20 \%$ spawning potential ratio goal for red snapper. Changes were made in the classification of shallow- and deep-water grouper.

## SNAPPERS (Gulf of Mexico)

Snappers (family Lutjanidae) are one of the most widely distributed fish groups in the western Atlantic. Snappers are small to mid-sized predators and may occur in very large numbers in local habitats, especially reefs. They are a major component of the reef fish fishery. The Gulf of Mexico has 5 genera and 14 snapper species. All snapper are gonochoristic (separate sexes) and fecundity increases exponentially with size. In the Gulf spawning appears to peak during summer months. In general, snappers are slow growing, long-lived, and have relatively low rates of natural mortality. Relatively few estimates of population parameters have been developed specifically for the Gulf of Mexico. Fishing regulations vary by species but usually employ minimum sizes and bag limits.

## RED SNAPPER (Gulf of Mexico)

The red snapper (Lutjanus compechonus) is traditionally the most important commercial reef fish in the Gulf of Mexico. It is found from Cape Hatteras, North Carolina through the Gulf of Mexico and to the Campeche Shelf of Mexico. Adults are widespread but usually associate with hard bottom structure during the day and feed on flat bottom away from home structures at night. Spawning may cccur throughout the year although in the Gulf spawning is concentrated in summer months. Growth rates vary between locations. Individuals were reported to reach $11-13 \mathrm{~cm}$ at age-1 and 20-23 cm at age-2 in Texas waters while off Louisiana they may reach 17.7 cm at age-1 and 29.8 cm at age-2. They continue to grow 6-9 cm each year through the 4th or 5th spawning period when growth slows considerably. Maturity occurs after age-2 at variable sizes. Fecundity increases exponentially with size. Maximum reported fecundity was a $60.5 \mathrm{~cm}, 12.5 \mathrm{~kg}$ fish with 9.3 million eggs. Maximum adult size is around 97 cm . Natural mortality is low, perhaps averaging around $17 \%$ annually. Most adults appear to be sedentary throughout much of their lives.

Red snapper are primarily caught in the northern Gulf of Mexico from Panama City, Florida, to Galveston, Texas, with most harvested to the south and west of the Mississippi River. Commercial landings were relatively stable at 3 thousand $t$ in the 1960s to mid 1970s and then declined to a low of 1.3 thousand $t$ in 1989. The recreational harvest of red snapper also declined sharply in numbers and weight from an estimated peak of 4.6 thousand $t$ ( 4.0 million fish) in 1980 to a low of 0.7 thousand $\mathbf{t}$ ( 0.8 million fish) in 1987.

Fishing mortality rates within the directed fishery are high. They rise rapidly with age after the juvenile red snapper enter the fishery at age-2, peak at $F=0.8$ to 0.9 at age-3 and then decline with age to $F=0.5$ to 0.6 at age5 and beyond. Red snapper are growth
and recruitment overfished partly because of the directed commercial and recreational fisheries but also due to bycatch mortality from bottom trawls from the shrimp fishery. Juvenile red snapper (ages 0 and 1) are killed in the normal operation of shrimp trawls and discarded at sea. From recent estimates, only about $25 \%$ of the original number of juveniles survive to enter the directed fishery although the accuracy of these estimates is uncertain. Research efforts are underway to develop fish excluders to reduce this bycatch mortality although no new management efforts regarding the shrimp bycatch issue are anticipated until 1994.

Spawning potential is currently estimated to be about $1 \%$ of the unfished condition, considerably below the $20 \%$ minimum required by the Gulf of Mexico Reef Fish Management Plan.

Current regulations in the Gulf of Mexico include a 33 cm total length minimum size, a 0.9 thousand $t$ commercial quota adjusted annually, and a recreational harvest bag limit of 7 per person per day.

## VERMILION SNAPPER (Gulf of Mexico)

Vermilion snapper (Rhomboplites aurorubens) extend from North Carolina to southeastern Brazil. They are found in moderately deep waters over rock bottom near of the edge of the continental shelf. They often form large schools, especially when young, and feed on fishes, shrimps, crabs, polychaetes, otherbenthic invertebrates, cephalopods and planktonic organisms. Compared to the red snapper, vermilion snapper is more of a midwater species.

Reproduction extends throughout the summer with older females spawning more frequently than the younger ones. Age of sexual maturity varies but may extend from as early as age-1 to as late as age-3 or 4 . There is some evidence that the age of first reproduction may have shifted to younger ages in areas with intense fishing pressure. Males mature at approximately 14 cm
total length while females mature at approximately 20 cm total length. Growth rates are uncertain because of conflicting results between studies. Individuals may live to be 13 years old with a total length of 76 cm . Movement after settlement appears to be minimal.

Annual landings of vermilion snapper from the Gulf of Mexico increased from 0.36 thousand $t$ in 1979 to 1.3 thousand $t$ in 1990. Historically, the fishery was concentrated around the mouth of the Mississippi River, but since 1973, has expanded into the western Gulf of Mexico. Commercial landings account for approximately 75\% of the weight and $50 \%$ of total numbers compared to the recreational sector, in which over $90 \%$ of the harvest was by the charter and party boats. Based on samples from the recreational catch, the landings have not shown a meaningful change in average size in response to the increased harvest.

Regulations include a minimum size of 20 cm total length in Florida and in federal waters of the Gulf of Mexico.

## GROUPERS

(Gulf of Mexico)
Groupers (family Serranidae, specifically the genera Epinephelus and Mycteroperca) are importantfood fishes with cosmopolitan distributions in tropical and temperate waters. Many reach large size and most feed primarily on fishes or large invertebrates. They have a wide depth distribution ranging from shallow inshore grass beds out to the continental shelf break. Most prefer hard substrate habitats. The Gulf of Mexico has approximately 14 species of groupers. Most, if not all, groupers are protogynous hermaphrodites (juveniles mature as females and change sex to males at older ages). Spawning characteristically takes place at localized grounds for relatively brief periods, typically from early spring through the summer. Fecundity increases exponentially with body size. Population parameters suggest that most groupers exhibit slow growth, low natural mor-


Figure 21. U.S. Gulf of Mexico reef fish yield.
tality, and long life spans (40 years in some cases).

Grouper species were not identified in commercial landings prior to 1986. Prior to the introduction of bottom longline gear in the early 1980s, commercial landings of all groupers exhibited a slow decline from about 3.5 thousand $t$ (gutted weight) in 1965 to about 2.3 thousand $t$ in the late 1970 s . With the introduction ofbottom longline gear, total grouper landings increased to about 5.7 thousand t in 1982 . Since then total annual landings have fluctuated between 4.4 and 5.8 thousand $t$.

## NASSAU GROUPER (Gulf of Mexico)

Nassau grouper (Epinephelus striatus) is an insular species found in the eastern and western Gulf of Mexico in 1 to 90 m of water and in the West Indian coral reef habitats. In the Gulf of Mexico, they are found on inshore and offshore reefs and in the Caribbean Sea. They are readily harvested by fishermen working from small boats.

Extensive information is available on fecundity, longevity, food, feeding habits, growth rates, mortality and population dynamics. However, there is inadequate information on spawning, sex ratios, and reproductive rates.

Nassau grouper grow to 0.9 m total length and weigh 25 kg . Most are
marketed within the $2-10 \mathrm{~kg}$ range. They are protogynous hermaphrodites, and generally change from females to males at a length of $30-80 \mathrm{~cm}$. Spawning occurs in large spawning aggregations during one or two weeks in December and January in the Caribbean. Aggregations havebeen observed off Bimini and the southern Berry Islands, Bahamas; Belize; Bermuda; Honduras, and Virgin Islands but not off Florida. Fecundity was estimated at 785 thousand eggs at 44.5 cm standard length. Growth rates have been estimated at 4.55 mm per month for fish 25.1-32.5 cm total length; and 1.92 mm per month for fish $\mathbf{3 2 . 6 - 4 5 . 1 \mathrm { cm }}$ total length.

## U.S. ATLANTIC

Reef fish in the southern U.S. Atlantic are managed by the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council under the Snapper-Grouper Fishery Management Plan. Although the plan is titled snapper-grouper, only 32 of the 73 species listed are snappers or groupers. Commercial and recreational yields are shown in Figure 22.

Regulations emphasize minimum size limits and commercial quotas for various species. Seasonal closures exist for wreckfish and the taking of jewfish or Nassau grouper is prohibited.

Various gear restrictions exist including a prohibition of roller trawls and fish traps with the exception of sea bass traps. Certain commercial fishing methods are prohibited in designated special management zones around some artificial reefs. Measures of overfishing are based on spawning potential, adjusted for each species.

## BLACK SEA BASS (U.S. Atlantic)

The black sea bass (Centropristis striata) is a temperate marine species that inhabits irregular hard-bottom areas such as wrecks, reefs, and rock outcroppings. There are three populations, one north of Cape Hatteras, North Carolina, one south of Cape Hatteras, and a third in the Gulf of Mexico. The two Atlantic populations spawn at different times of the year and have different rates of growth. Black sea bass generally occur inshore of and along with the most inshore tropical reef fishes - snappers, groupers, porgies, and grunts - which also prefer hard-bottom habitats.

Black sea bass are protogynous hermaphrodites. Females reach reproductive condition for the first time during their second year, ( 19 cm total length). Males mature at 3 years and 23 cm total length. The spawning season extends from February-May in the southern U.S. Atlantic. The number of eggs produced in a season is estimated at 30,000 to more than 500,000 .

Black sea bass may live 20 years, but fish older than 9 years are rare. The maximum size attained is 4.3 kg , but most are much smaller, especially south of Cape Hatteras. Black sea bass grow slowly. A 1 -year old fish is only 12.7 cm total length; a 5 -year old is 30.5 cm total length; and one 8 years of age is only 38.1 cm total length. Black sea bass are opportunistic feeders and eat crabs, shrimps, worms, clams, and small fishes.

Both recreational and commercial anglers fish black sea bass. The most common gear in the commercial fishery is the "handline" including electric
or hydraulic reels with a terminal rig of two to eight hooks. Handline gear occurred an 250 vessels in 1985 and increased to 297 vessels in 1987. The other major commercial gear is the trap used almost exclusively in North Carolina and South Carolina. In the winter, black sea bass appear to be particularly vulnerable to this gear as they congregate around shallow ( $15-50 \mathrm{~m}$ ) rock outcroppings perhaps in preparation for spawning. The number of vessels equipped with traps decreased from 194 in 1981 to 119 in 1988. Recreational anglers fish for black sea bass from approximately 70 headboats (usually more than 15 passengers), about 1,000 charter boats ( $6-14$ passengers), and an unknown number of personally owned and operated boats.

In the southern U.S. Atlantic, most of the black sea bass catch is made from North Carolina to northeast Florida. Between 1974 and 1981 the North Carolina and South Carolina headboat catch had no trend and varied from 1.1 millionfish (480t) in 1974 to 388 thousand fish (94 t) in 1990. The catch from the Carolina commercial fishery ranged from 208 tin 1990 to 564 tin 1989 with no particular trend evident.

The northeast Florida headboat catch also does not seem to have a pattern and ranged from 166 thousand fish (61 t) in 1979 to 259 thousand fish ( 75 t ) in 1990. Commercial landings from northeastern Florida have decreased from 52 t in 1972 to 18 t in 1988, but were 23 t in 1990.

Mean weight of black sea bass from the Carolina headboat fishery declined from an averageof 0.41 kg (19741978) to an average of 0.24 kg (1984-1988) and was 0.24 in 1990. Mean weights from northeast Florida headboats varied from 0.39 kg in 1978 to 0.28 kg in 1990. The only mean weight for the Carolina commercial fishery was 0.28 kg in 1981 and was 1.0 $\mathbf{k g}$ in 1990. In northern Florida, the mean weight of commercially taken black sea bass was 2.0 kg in 1990 .

Management of black sea bass is based on models of spawning stock biomass per recruit and yield per recruit using fishery data from 1988 and the
assumption that the population is in equilibrium with the fishery. In the southern U.S. Atlantic, sizelimits (20.3 $\mathrm{cm})$ exist for all state and federal waters.

The 1990 equilibrium spawning potential ratio is 0.29 and the yield per recruit is $90 \%$ of the maximum. The current and proposed 20.3 cm total length (age 3.5 years) size limit will provide a spawning potential ratio of 0.38 at the current fishing mortality rate and should maintain a spawning potential ratio of at least 0.30 (with total survival of released fish) even if the fishing mortality rate more than doubles.

## WRECKFISH (U.S. Atlantic)

The wreckfish (Polyprion americanus) is a member of the family Polyprionidae, and occurs over a worldwide-temperategeographic range. In the western Atlantic wreckfish extend from Grand Banks, Newfoundland to the La Plata River, Argentina, and they are also found in Australian and New Zealand waters. Wreckfish are found at depths of from $66-1000 \mathrm{~m}$. Their habitat, in our fishing grounds of the Blake Plateau off Georgia, is characterized by a rocky ridge system with much vertical relief (greater than 50 m ) and a slope greater than 15 degrees. Wreckfishtend tobeassociated more with manganese-phosphate pavements than with coral mounds or banks, and elsewhere occur not only on steep slopes but also on those of less than three degrees. Wreckfish are pelagic the first several years of their life (up to 30 cm length) and are often associated with floating debris (thus their name). Their maximum size is near 2 m in length and 100 kg weight. The majority of fish landed in the southeastern U.S. fishery are between 88 and 105 cm total length and apparently are between eight and 12 years old. The oldest observed age in Blake Plateau samples is 31 years. Spawning occurs from January to mid April based on microscopic and histological inspection of gonads. Age

## Reef Fish

(U.S. Atlantic)

## BLACK SEA BASS (U.S. Atlantic)

| Longterm potential yield | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: |
| Current potential yield | Unknown |
| Status of exploitation | Fully exploited |
| Age at 50\% maturity | 2.69 |
| Current spawning potential ratio | 29\% |
| Projected SPR at current fishing patterns | 38\% |
| Natural mortality rate | 0.30 |
| Fishing mortality rate at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ | 1.76 |
| Fishing mortality rate at $F_{\text {mex }}$ | 0.77 |
| Fishing mortality rate in most recent year | 0.80 |
| GAG (U.S. Atlantic) |  |
| Longterm potential yield | Unknown |
| Current potential yield | Unknown |
| Status of exploitation | Fully exploited |
| Age at 50\% maturity | 4.55 |
| Current spawning potential ratio | 35\% |
| Projected SPR at current fishing patterns | 39\% |
| Natural mortality rate | 0.20 |
| Fishing mortality rate at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ | 0.32 |
| Fishing mortality rate at $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$ | 0.38 |
| Fishing mortality rate in most recent year | 0.23 |

RED PORGY
(U.S. Atlantic)

| Longterm potential yield | Unknown |
| :--- | :---: |
| Current potential yield | Unknown |
| Status of exploitation | Over exploited |
| Age at $50 \%$ maturity | 5.33 |
| Current spawning potential ratio | $8 \%$ |
| Projected SPR at current fishing patterns | $12 \%$ |
| Natural mortality rate | 0.20 |
| Fishing mortality rate at $F_{\text {o.i }}$ | 0.24 |
| Fishing mortality rate at $F_{\text {ma }}$ | 0.44 |
| Fishing mortality rate in most recent year | 0.55 |

and size at sexual maturity are not definitively known, but the smallest mature femalefound in the southeastern fishery was 85 cm total length and the smallest mature male was 78.6 cm total length. In addition to the commercially fished aggregations off Georgia, fisheries for wreckfish exist in Portugal and Spain, and there are small reported landings of 400-500 $t$ per year in Bermuda.

The southeastern U.S. commercial fishery for wreckfish began in 1987, with two vessels fishing on the Hoyt Hills area of the Blake Plateau. Gear used consisted of heavy-duty hydraulic reels spooled with 0.32 cm cable and a terminal rig consisting of 22.7 kg of weight and about a dozen large circle hooks. Initial catch rates ranged from 4500-5500 kg per week-long trip. The fishery has expanded rapidly since 1987, with six vessels participating in 1988, 25 vessels fishing by 1989,74 vessels by 1990,83 vessels by 1991 , and 39 vessels in 1992. Landings of wreckfish totalled $13,097 \mathrm{~kg}$ in 1987 , increasing to $174,647 \mathrm{~kg}$ in 1988 . During the 1989-90 fishing season (April, 1989 through April, 1990), 40 vessels landed 1.835 million kg, with one-half of those landed during the spawning season (January - April, 1990). The South Atlantic Fishery Management Council then set the 1990-91 season opening date and a 908 t catch quota, effort increased to 74 vessels and the quota was reached in less than four months, without fishing pressure during the spawning season(January-April, 1991). Landings for the 1991-92 fishing year (April, 1991 through April, 1992) totalled $910,000 \mathrm{~kg}$. Wreckfish are now managed under an individual transferrable quota(ITQ) system with 2 million lb total allowable catch (TAC). Shares were assigned and a coupon system put in place for the 1992-93 season. Landings yield to date (October 1992) are approximately $800,000 \mathrm{lbs}$.

At this time, it is unclear what wreckfish stock relationships exist or if there are other sites of aggregations (spawning or feeding) in the North Atlantic Ocean. Age, growth and reproductive studies are underway. In
addition, landings of wreckfish are being intensively monitored to obtain length frequency data and quota fulfillment. The present scarcity of life history, fishing trend and population level data, coupled with the potential of this fishery for similarities to tilefish or the snapper-grouper complex in the South Atlantic Council area of jurisdiction, argue strongly for a very conservative TAC in the wreckfish fishery development and management.

## GAG <br> (U.S. Atlantic)

The gag (Mycteroperca microlepis) is the most widely distributed grouper off the continental United States. Adults occurfrom North Carolina to Brazil over low and high profile hard bottom in waters 15 to 80 m . The species is found throughout the Gulf of Mexico but not in the West Indies. Young gag inhabit estuaries fromMassachusetts to Cape Canaveral, Florida.

Spawning off the southeastern United States occurs from February to March. Sexual maturity is attained at age-5 or 6, when fish are 68.6 to 76.2 cm total length. Gag are protogynous hermaphrodites. Sexual transition usually occurs between 10 and 11 years. Very little is known about egg production; however, one 94 cm total length female contained 1.5 million eggs that were considered pelagic.

Gag live for at least 15 years, and may reach a weight of 32 kg and a total length greater than 129.5 cm . Average total lengths for fish ages 1 to 13 years are 27.9, 40.6, 53.3, 61.0, 68.6, 76.2, 81.3, 86.4, 91.4, 94.0, 99.1, 101.6 and 109.2 cm . Principal foods include round scad, sardines, porgies, snappers, grunts, crabs, shrimp, and squids.

Gag are the most common grouper in the southern U.S. Atlantic commercial reef fish fishery. Most vessels use handlines including electric or hydraulic reels with terminal rigs of two to eight hooks. The number of vessels equipped with handlines has ranged
from 250 (1985) to 297 (1987). The other major gear used is the bottom longline. The number of vessels deploying this gear increased dramatically from 1 (1980) to 74 (1987).

The annual catch of gag has increased from 56 t (1980) to 400 t (1989) and was 353 t in 1990. However, these numbers may be less than half of the actual catch since the "unclassified" grouper category in the commercial data ranged from 48 t (1983) to 794 t (1988).

Gag are sought by a wide variety of recreational fishermen fishing from an unknown number of private boats, approximately 1,000 charter boats (6-14 passengers) and 90 headboats (usually more than 15 passengers). Generally, the annual recreational harvest has been on an increase from 209 t (1980) to 590 $t$ (1989) however, in 1984 the total recreational catch was estimated at 690 t , but it was only 104 t in 1990.

Management of gag is proposed based on spawning stock/recruit ratios and yield per recruit models using fishery data from 1988 and the assumption that the population is in equilibrium with the fishery. In the southern U.S. Atlantic, a size limit ( 50.8 cm ) and bag limit ( 5 fish aggregate) exist for waters of Florida, South Carolina, and the Exclusive Economic Zone.

The spawning potential ratio for the gag is 0.32 , based on data from 1988. Data from 1990 give similar results, marginally greater than the overfishing criterion (SPR less than 0.30). Essentially no gain in yield per recruit is available by establishing a size limit if fishing mortality remains at 0.29 , but a 19\% gain could be had (assuming total survival of released fish) if fishing mortality increases by $50 \%$ to 0.48 and a size limit of 76.2 cm total length were established. That combination would yield a spawning potential ratio of about $40 \%$. The proposed 50.8 cm total length size limit provides a spawning potential ratio of less than 0.30 only for a fishing mortality of less than 0.35 , a value about $20 \%$ greater than fishing mortality in 1988.

## SCAMP

(U.S. Atlantic)

Thescamp (Mycteroperca phenax) is a medium-sized serranid related to the gag and several other slender-bodied groupers found in the region (yellowmouth, yellowfin, black). It inhabits continental shelf waters from the Campeche Banks, in the Gulf of Mexico, to Florida, and northward along the east coast to North Carolina. Although the species occasionally congregates over high-profilebottom, such as wrecks and rock outcroppings, the preferred habitat is low-profile, livebottom areas in waters 20 to 90 m deep from Cape Lookout, North Carolina to the Dry Tortugas, Florida. These areas are characterized by profuse growths of soft corals and sponges populated by red grouper, white grunt, red porgy, and numerous species of small, tropical reef fish.

In April and May, sexually mature scamp, those at least 3 years old and larger than 40 cm spawn thousands of pelagic eggs in offshore waters. Recently hatched larvae are also pelagic, and continue this surface-associated existence for days before settling to the bottom to populate favorable habitats.

Scamp have been aged as old as 21 years, but they probably live for 25 to 30 years based on their projected maximum size of about 109.2 cm total length and 16 kg in weight. Average total lengths (and weights) for fish aged 1,2, $3,4,5,10,15,20$, and 21 years are 21.6 $\mathrm{cm}(0.15 \mathrm{~kg}), 33.3 \mathrm{~cm}(0.54 \mathrm{~kg}), 41.4$ $\mathrm{cm}(1.0 \mathrm{~kg}), 47.0 \mathrm{~cm}(1.4 \mathrm{~kg}), 51.6 \mathrm{~cm}$ $(1.9 \mathrm{~kg}), 66.3 \mathrm{~cm}(3.9 \mathrm{~kg}), 77.0 \mathrm{~cm}(6.9$ $\mathrm{kg}), 88.4 \mathrm{~cm}(8.9 \mathrm{~kg})$, and, $89.4 \mathrm{~cm}(9.3$ $\mathbf{k g}$ ). During low-light periods of the day, scamp are aggressive predators, capturing crabs, shrimps, and fishes and swallowing them whole.

In the recreational fishery, North Carolina and South Carolina headboats (approximately 35 vessels) consistently account for more than $90 \%$ of the annual headboatcatch, indicating the bulk of the population resides in those waters. Georgia and northeast Florida headboats (approximately 20 vessels)
account for a portion of the catch for this species, although in less significant numbers.

In the Carolinas, the total headboat catch in numbers was 11,309 fish in 1972, dropped to 2,419 in 1981, and increased to 12,746 fish in 1988. While total numbers of fish show recent increases, total weights show steady decreases, dropping from 53 t in 1972 to 22 t in 1988, and 28 t in 1990 with a low in 1981 of 6 t .

Headboat catch data for Georgia and northeast Florida show a trend in early years, with total numbers beginning low ( 320 fish in 1981), peaking in mid-decade ( 1,201 in 1985), then declining to 686 fish in 1988 and 898 in 1990. In examining these numbers, however, the relatively small sample size should be considered. Total weight from 1981 to 1985 increased from 1.1 t to 3.6 t , then declined to 0.9 t in 1988 , but was 1.7 t in 1990.

Approximately 1,000 charter vessels and an unknown number of privately owned boats also contribute to the recreational catch of scamp. The catch estimates of scamp (excluding headboat catches) from the Marine Recreational Fishery Statistics Survey range from 2.9 t (1981) to 220 t (1982).

In the commercial fishery, most vessels use handlines including electric and hydraulic reels with terminal rigs

- of two to eight hooks. The number of craft equipped with handline gear was about 1,500 in 1989. The other major gear used are bottom longlines. The number of vessels deploying this gear increased dramatically from 1 (1980) to 74 (1987).

The commercial catch, combined for the Carolinas and north, increased from 17 tin 1980 to 163 tin 1990, with the early 1980s having lower catches than the latter years.

The mean weight of fish caught from headboats dropped steadily from 4.70 kg in 1981 to 1.24 kg in 1988 for the Carolinas but was 1.52 in 1990. Similarly, Georgia and northeast Florida mean weight dropped consistently from 3.52 kg in 1981 to 1.25 kg in 1988, but was 1.78 kg in 1990.

Commercial handline/longline mean weight data show a similar decline in North Carolina and South Carolina. From 1985 to 1989, mean weight decreased nearly $33 \%$. Georgia and northeast Florida data show a similar trend.

For purposes of scamp fishery management, and until additional information indicates otherwise, the entire southern U.S. Atlantic is considered one stock. Management is based on models of spawning stock biomass per recruit ratio and yield per recruit using fishery data from 1988 and the assumption that the population is in equilibrium with the fishery. In the southern U.S. Atlantic, size limits ( 50.8 cm ) and bag limits ( 5 grouper aggregate) exist only for waters of Florida, South Carolina, and the Exclusive Economic Zone.

The present spawning potential ratio is about 0.25 ( 0.28 in 1988; 0.20 in 1990). Reduction in fishing mortality to 0.17 and implementing the 50.8 cm size limit will barely achieve a spawning potential ratio of 0.3 . Any increase in fishing mortality will decrease the spawning potential ratio below 0.3 .

## GRAY SNAPPER (U.S. Atlantic)

The gray or mangrove snapper (Lutjanus griseus) occurs in the tropical and subtropical western Atlantic from northern Florida to Rio de Janeiro and lives around coral reefs, rock outcroppings, and shipwrecks, toa depth of about 300 feet as well as inshore near pilings, rock piles, seagrass meadows, and mangroves. Larger fish are generally found offshore, and smaller ones in shallow water.

Spawning takes place in the summer, and usually during the full moons of June, July, and August. Fish 3 years old and older, or larger than about 23 cm , take part in spawning, which is characterized by one female being courted by one to several males. The gray snapper may live for as long as 21 years and grow to a length of 89 cm and a weight of 11.3 kg Average lengths of fish aged from 1 to 19 years are 9.4,
19.8, 27.7, 33.5, 38.1, 42.4, 46.5, 50.3, 53.6, 56.6, 59.7, 62.5, 64.5, 67.1, 69.3, $72.1,73.7,75.7$, and 77.2 cm .

The diet consists primarily of crustaceans and fishes and changes as the fish grow larger. Juveniles feed on copepods, amphipods, and palaemonid shrimps. Adults eat fishes, crabs, and penaeid shrimps. Like other large lutjanids, adult gray snapper may leave their residence reef to feed on nearby grass flats late in the afternoon and at night.

The gray snapper is important to recreational and commercial fisheries because it is a game fighter on sporting tackle and is excellent to eat. It is caught by hook and line (rod and reel, handlines, and longlines), beach and boat seines, and traps.

The number of commercial vessels concentrating on gray snapper is difficult to determine given that they are part of the overall reef fish-spiny lobster fleet. The number is probably in the range of 300 . Approximately 90 headboats (usually more than 15 passengers) and 1,000 charter vessels (614 passengers) contribute to the recreational catch. Participation by recreational anglers in personally owned boats is legion. The stock is managed as a single unit and management is based on models of spawning stock biomass per recruit ratio and yield per recruit using fishery data from 1988 and the assumption that the population is in equilibrium with the fishery.

Size limits ( 25.4 cm in Florida, 30.0 cm in the Exclusive Economic Zone) and bag limits ( 5 maximum in Florida, 10 maximum in the Exclusive Economic Zone) exist for waters of Florida and the Gulf of Mexico.

Gray snapper catches made from headboats ranged from approximately 22,000 fish in 1982 to about 32,000 fish in 1985. After a slight decline from 1986-1987, landings increased in 1988 and were 36,000 fish in 1990. Weight caught increased from approximately $16,500 \mathrm{~kg}$ in 1982 to about $33,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ in 1984. Landings declined slightly from 1984-1987 but increased in 1988 and were $28,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ in 1990.

The Marine Recreational Fishery Statistics Survey estimates landings ranged from $12,990 \mathrm{~kg}$ in 1983 to $426,118 \mathrm{~kg}$ in 1985 . Catches have remained relativity steady for 1988 and 1989 after peaking during 1984 and 1985. In $1990,154,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ were taken. Because of the high variance associated withMarine Recreational Fisheries Statistics Survey estimates, they should be used with caution.

Florida's commercial catch in 1972 was $235,000 \mathrm{~kg}$, but decreased annually to below $50,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ in 1981 , climbed to over 300,000 in 1983, again peaked slightly in 1987, and fell to $200,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ in 1988 and was $150,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ in 1990.

Catch per unit effort is only available for headboats and is recorded as catch per angler day (by number and by weight). Catch per unit effort trends are virtually identical to those for catch. After a slight decline from 1985 through 1987, catch per unit effort increased in 1988. Highest catch per unit effort was approximately 0.17 fish in 1985 and 0.17 kg in 1984. Catch per unit effort for the most recent year of record, 1988, was 0.15 fish (and 0.15 kg ).

A steady increase ( 0.8 kg to 1.0 kg ) in mean weight of gray snapper taken from headboats is apparent during 1985-1988. Mean size was largest in 1984 ( 1.1 kg ) but only slightly greater than the mean size for $1988(1.0 \mathrm{~kg})$, but in 1990 it was only 0.76 kg . The mean weight for commercially caught gray snapper off south Floridafor 19851988 has decreased from about 0.8 kg in 1985 to less than 0.4 kg in 1988, but it was 0.7 kg in 1990 . Estimates of the spawning potential ratio for gray snapper vary greatly depending on the year in which the underlying data were collected.

For 1988, gray snapper exhibits the second lowest spawning potential ratio ( 0.12 ) of any snapper examined. But the estimate of spawning potential ratio based on data from 1990 is much higher, 0.49. In one or both years, the samples do not adequately represent the population. If the lower value of spawning potential ratio is true. If the higher value of spawning potential ratio is true, no action is necessary. To


Figure 22. U.S. Atlantic reef fish yield.
achieve a spawning potential ratio of $30 \%$, fishing mortality must be reduced by $47 \%$ or a size limit of 40.6 cm applied. The necessary reduction in fishing mortality entails (approximately) curtailing the catch from 1.2 million individuals ( 508 t ) to about 640 thousand fish. A proposed twelve inch size limit results in an spawning potential ratio of only 0.14 . A proposed 10 snapper aggregate limit will provide an as yet unmeasured reduction in fishing mortality for gray snapper. Where yellowtail and lane snapper are abundant the reduction in fishing mortality could be significant assuming that smaller fish are not discarded when a larger gray snapper is taken.

## YELLOWTAIL SNAPPER (U.S. Atlantic)

The yellowtail snapper (Ocyurus chrysurus) is a colorful tropical reef fish distributed from North Carolina to southeastern Brazil, but it is most abundant in the Bahamas, off south Florida and in the Caribbean Sea. Yellowtail snapper form large schools and are found above the bottom over hard substrates in waters 10 to 100 m deep. Maximum age is around 15 years, although two to five year old fish comprise the bulk of the catch. Maximum size is greater than 71 cm and 3.7 kg . All females are sexually mature by age-

4, most by age-3 and some by age-2. Spawning occurs April through August with a peak in June and July. Mature fish migrate offshore to deeper water to spawn. Yellowtail snapper feed mainly on small pelagic crustaceans, pelagic worms, gastropods, ctenophores and salps.

Yellowtail snapper is the most commonly taken reef fish, by numbers and weight, in the jurisdiction of the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Commercially the species is caught by hook and line, baited trap, trammel and gill nets, and beach seine. The number of commercial vessels concentrating on yellowtail snapper is difficult to determine given that they are part of the overall reef fish-spiny lobster fleet. The number is probably in the range of 300. Yellowtail landings from the south Florida commercial fishery increased from 587 t in 1982 to $1,769 \mathrm{t}$ in 1989 but were only 767 t in 1990. The species is the most prized snapper in the U.S. Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico. Yellowtail snapper are caught offshore by sport anglers fishing over reefs, while inshore they are caught by fishermen using cut fish and squid and bottom fishing off bridges and piers.

It is also the most important species in the south Florida headboat fishery. South Florida headboat landings totalled 123 t in 1981, rose to near 200 $t$ in 1982-1983, then declined to 127 t in 1985 before rising to a peak of near 262
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SCAMP
(U.S. Atlantic)

| Longterm potential yield | Unknown |
| :--- | :---: |
| Current potential yield | Unknown |
| Status of exploitation | Over exploited |
| Age at $50 \%$ maturity | 5.08 |
| Current spawning potential ratio | $20 \%$ |
| Projected SPR at current fishing patterns | $30 \%$ |
| Natural mortality rate | 0.17 |
| Fishing mortality rate at $F_{0.1}$ | 0.20 |
| Fishing mortality rate at $F_{\text {max }}$ | 0.38 |
| Fishing mortality rate in most recent year | 0.24 |

## YELLOWTAIL SNAPPER

(U.S. Atlantic)

| Longterm potential yield | Unknown |
| :--- | :---: |
| Current potential yield | Unknown |
| Status of exploitation | Not over exploited |
| Age at $50 \%$ maturity | 2.1 years |
| Current spawning potential ratio | $19 \%$ |
| Projected SPR at current fishing patterns | $28 \%$ |
| Generation time | 4.53 years |
| Natural mortality rate | 0.20 |
| Fishing mortality rate at $F_{0.1}$ | 0.34 |
| Fishing mortality rate at $F_{\text {max }}$ | 0.39 |
| Fishing mortality rate in most recent year | 0.48 |

GRAY SNAPPER
(U.S. Atlantic)

| Longterm potential yield | Unknown |
| :--- | :---: |
| Current potential yield | Unknown |
| Status of exploitation | Not over exploited |
| Age at $50 \%$ maturity | 6.54 years |
| Current spawning potential ratio | $49 \%$ |
| Projected SPR at current fishing patterns | Unknown |
| Generation time | 4 years |
| Natural mortality rate | 0.22 |
| Fishing mortality rate at $F_{0.1}$ | $0.18^{*}$ |
| Fishing mortality rate at $F_{\text {ma }}$ | $0.45^{*}$ |
| Fishing mortality rate in most recent year | $0.34^{*}$ |
| *Based on 1988 data. |  |

Longterm potential yield
Unknown
Current potential yield
Status of exploitation
Age at 50\% maturity
Current spawning potential ratio
Projected SPR at current fishing patterns
Generation time
4 years
Natural mortality rate $0.18^{*}$
Fishing mortality rate at $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{max}} \quad 0.45^{*}$

Based on 1988 data
$t$ in 1988. Headboat landings of yellowtail snapper decreased $45 \%$, to about 143 t in both 1989 and 1990. Effort in the headboat fishery, while fluctuating from month to month within years, has remained remarkably constant from year to year in the south Florida area. Forty-eight headboats operated in this area (Ft. Pierce-Key West, Florida) in 1983 as compared to 44 headboats in 1990.

Approximately one thousand charter vessels and untold numbers of personally owned boats contribute to the recreational catch in the southeastern United States. Landings reported by the Marine Recreational Fishery Statistics Survey are highly variable over the years and ranged from over 110 t in 1980 to over 838 t in 1982, and then dropped to about 100 t in 1986 before rising to near 437 t in 1989. They were 216 t in 1990.

Mean weight of headboat-caught yellowtail snapper has changed little over the last ten years, remaining at about $0.55-0.65 \mathrm{~kg}$ since 1982. Mean weight of commercially caught fish has actually increased from 0.7 kg in 1986 to 1.0 kg in 1988 , but it was but 0.5 kg in 1990.

Stock assessments for yellowtail snapper are based on Ricker yield-perrecruit and spawning potential ratio models and on data from 1988 and 1990. As applied, these models assume equilibrium conditions over the fishery. Samples from the two different years give very different pictures of the status of the yellowtail snapper. The spawning potential ratio based on data from 1988 was 0.38 , but it was only half that $(0.19)$ when computed from data collected in 1990. Regardless of the estimate, the size limit in place in Florida and federal waters ( 30.5 cm ) should allow achievement of a spawning potential ratio of near ( 0.28 ) or above the criterion for overfishing (0.30).

## RED PORGY

(U.S. Atlantic)

The red porgy (Pagrus pagrus) is an important reef fish. It ranks second only to black sea bass as the fish most frequently caught while bottom fishing offshore from Cape Hatteras to Cape Canaveral, Florida. Red porgy occur in deep and warm water on continental shelves on both sides of the Atlantic from the Azores and British Isles south to Angola and from North Carolina to Argentina, but it has not been reported from the Caribbean Sea. Preferred habitat along the southeastern United States is rough bottom at depths ranging from $27-183 \mathrm{~m}$.

Red porgy are protogynous hermaphrodites. Most fish longer than 45.7 cm are males. Approximately 37\% of the females are mature at age-2, $81 \%$ by age-3, and all are capable of reproducing by the fourth year. A female 30.5 cm long may lay 40 thousand eggs and an exceptionally large female 50.8 cm long may lay 489 thousand. Spawning takes place at sea from January through April. The eggs and young are pelagic before settling to the bottom.

The life of a red porgy can extend to 15 years, but most are caught from 4 to 7 years old. Average lengths for both sexes aged 1 to 15 years are 17.8, 25.4, 31.5, 36.8, 41.1.43.7, 46.2, 48.5, 50.3, $52.6,55.1,57.2,60,61.9$, and 64 cm . Red porgy feed on the bottom, taking on worms, snails, crabs, and sea urchins, which are crushed by the strong teeth and occasionally small fishes such as round scad and tomtate.

Bottom trawls, traps, and hook and line havebeen used to capturered porgy, but off the southeastern United States hook and line is the most important gear to both sport and commercial fishermen.

Because thebulk (greater than 95\% by number) of the red porgy catch is made off the Carolinas, and the population is apparently centered there (although some are taken even in the Florida Keys) the Carolina statistics are most indicative of population changes. The stock is managed as a single unit by
the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Management is based on models of spawning stock biomass per recruit ratio and yield per recruit using fishery data from 1988 and the assumption that the population is in equilibrium with the fishery. Size limits ( 45.7 cm ) and bag limits ( 5 fish aggregate) exist for waters of Florida and the Gulf of Mexico.

The number of commercial vessels concentrating on red porgy is difficult to determine given that they are part of the overall reef fish-spiny lobster fleet. The number is probably in the range of 300. However, it is clear from the recent increase in commercial landings (see above) that much greater attention is being paid to red porgy and many more vessels are entering the fleet. Approximately 90 headboats and 1,000 charter boats contribute to the recreational catch. Participation by recreational anglers in personally owned boats is legion.

Headboat catch in numbers from off North and South Carolina has declined almost steadily since 1973 when 300,000 individuals were taken to 1988 when the catch was about 97,000 fish. Weight caught diminished from $350,000 \mathrm{~kg}$, in 1973 to anly $92,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ in 1990.

Marine Recreational Fishery Statistics Survey data for 1980-1989 suggest a tremendous increase in landings from a low of 5.8 t in 1986 to a high of 1,057 tin 1982. Catches have generally increased for 1986-1989. But given the high variance attached to red porgy data in the Marine Recreational Fishery Statistics Survey, these estimates must be used with caution. Only 35,000 red porgy weighing 17 t were reported taken in 1990 by the Marine Recreational Fisheries Statistics Survey.

Commercial catch in the Carolinas was very low (less than 20 t) until 1976 after which catches rose to 535 t by 1982 and then diminished to 246 t by 1986 and remain low. There were 319 $t$ taken commercially off the Carolinas in 1990. Total headboat and commercial landings peaked at 750 t in 1982 and were only 390 t in 1986.

Catch-per-unit of effort is available only for headboats where effort is in angler days and catch-per-unit of effort patterns largely mimic those of the catch. Generally the catch-per-unit of effort (number) has diminished by $50 \%$ or more (e.g., from 6-10 fish per angler day off South Carolina in the early 1970s to 3 per angler day in 1988, or off Central North Carolina from 1 to 2 per angler day in the early seventies to less than 0.1 fish per angler day in 1988).

For all areas, mean weight of headboat-caught red porgy has diminished from values around 0.9 to 1.2 kg in the early 1970s to 0.54 kg in 1990. For the entire Carolina region, mean weight was 0.96 kg in 1983 and diminished through the late 1980s to 0.55 kg in 1990.

Based on computations performed separately from data collected in 1988 and 1990. The present spawning potential ratio is about 0.10 and about $80 \%$ of the maximum yield per recruit is being taken. Equilibrium spawning potential ratio off the Carolinas (for both sexes) in 1980 was 0.65 but declined to 0.27 by 1987. To achieve a spawning potential ratio of 0.30 requires reducing the fishing mortality rate by about $50 \%$ or, with total survival of released fish, establishing a minimum size of 38.1 cm . A 30.5 cm size limit will provide a spawning potential ratio of only 0.15 , and only if the fishing mortality rate does not increase. A 38.1 cm size limit in addition to providing an acceptable spawning potential ratio will also increase yield per recruit by a modest $15 \%$. Achieving the $51 \%$ reduction in the fishing mortality rate requires reducing the catch to approximately 303,000 individualsor 262 $\mathbf{t}$ (from 535 t).

## U.S. CARIBBEAN

The U.S. Caribbean Exclusive Economic Zone is managed by the Caribbean Fishery Management Council. Territorial waters are managed by the U.S. Virgin Islands and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. The Fishery Management Plan for the Shallow-

Water Reef Fish Fishery of Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands became effective on September 22, 1985.

Little is known about the basic biology for many Caribbean tropical reef fish species, including age, growth, reproduction, fecundity, natural mortality, and population dynamics. In general, reproductive seasons are believed to be more prolonged when compared to more temperate areas. Sources of recruitment are unknown for most populations.

The Caribbean reef fish fishery is very complex with large numbers of species being caught by various commercial, artisanal, and recreational components, each using a variety of fishing methods including fish traps, hook and line, long lines, bandit rigs, spears, and trammel and barrier nets. Commercial and recreational estimates of yield are shown in Figure 23. Fishing pressure has increased due to higher human populations in coastal areas, greater demand for fishery products, and increased technology. The management unit includes 64 of the most commonly landed species in 14 families. Most species in the traditional fisheries are probably fully exploited or overfished. In most cases the current potential yield and long-term potential yield are unknown although for many species potential yield is probably higher than present average yields would indicate. Landings for most reef fish species in Puerto Rico, for example, are only a small fraction of the highest reported annual landings. In the Caribbean, traditional mainstays of the fishery such as Nassau grouper have practically disappeared and the major target species in recent years such as red hind show declines in total landings and average size since the late 1970s. Increased landings for some deeper water species (queen, vermilion, and silk snapper) can be attributed to shifts in the fishery away from major traditional species.

The fishery management plan established regulations to rebuild declining reef fish stocks in the fishery and reduce conflicts among fishermen. It established criteria for the construction


Figure 23. Reef fish yield in Puerto Rico and U.S. Virgin Islands.
of fish traps; required owner identification and marking of gear and boats; prohibited the hauling of or tampering with another person's traps without the owner's written consent; prohibited the use of poisons, drugs, other chemicals, and explosives for the taking of reef fish; established a minimum size limit on the harvest of yellowtail snapper and Nassau grouper; and established a closed season for the taking of Nassau grouper. Amendment 1, May 1990, established an area closure during the red hind spawning season in the Exclusive Economic Zone southwest of St. Thomas; included a provision for the collection of socio-economic data; and modified two management measures: 1) increased the minimum mesh size requirement for fish traps to 5.08 cm (2 inches) by September 1991, and 2) probibited the harvest of Nassau grouper. In September, 1991, provisions were approved that 1 ) defined overfishing at $20 \%$ of the spawning stock biomass per recruit that would occur in the absence of fishing; 2) delayed the 5.08 cm mesh requirement until September 14; 1993; 3) allowed the use $3.81 \mathrm{~cm}(1.5 \mathrm{inch})$ hexagonal mesh wire until September 14, 1993; and 4) made specific requirements for fish traps that included two required degradable escape panels on opposite sides of fish traps attached by 3.18 mm ( $1 / 8 \mathrm{inch}$ )diameter, untreated, jute twine.
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In the southeastern United States, important recreational and commercial species in the family Sciaenidae include red drum, weakfish, Atlantic croaker, spot, black drum, kingfishes (whiting), and seatrout. Since the late 1800s sciaenids have represented an important fishery resource, although significant increases in landings did not occur until the 1950s when a pet food industry targeting drum and croaker developed in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Pet food landings of drum and croaker peaked in 1956 at more than 32 thousand metric tons ( $\mathbf{t}$ ).

Large numbers of sciaenids are caught and killed as an incidental catch in the shrimp industry. The most recent estimates of the 1972-1989 bycatch in the Gulf of Mexico offshore shrimp fishery averaged about 500 million spot, 1 billion seatrout, and 7.5 billion croaker. These species constitute the bulk of biomass of the offshore finfish bycatch in the shrimp fishery which averaged about 175 thousand metric tons ( $t$ ) during the 1980s.

The recreational harvest of sciaenids has almost equaled the commercial harvest sold for human consumption. Most recreational fishing for these species occurs within state jurisdiction and therefore is under state management authority. In recent years, several states have established regulations that favor recreational use of the resources. This is particularly true for red drum and spotted seatrout where some states have prohibited commercial harvests.

## RED DRUM

Red drum (Sciaenops ocellatus) are carnivorous fish found worldwide in tropical, subtropical, and temperate coastal waters. In the western Atlantic, red drum range from Chesapeake Bay
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through the Gulf of Mexico and as far south as Vera Cruz, Mexico. The species appears off the northeastern Atlantic coast in the spring and summer and probably moves southward in the fall. They are present in the Gulf of Mexico throughout the year with greatest abundance in late summer to early winter.

Reddrum are harvested in a mixed species fishery by a number of gear types: haul seines, fish trawls, pound nets, gill nets, handlines, trammel nets and shrimp trawls. Commercial and recreational yields are shown in Fig-
ure 24 for the Gulf of Mexico and in Figure 25 for the U.S. Atlantic. The majority of the commercial catch is made in the estuaries. Red drum landings along the Atlantic coast have perennially been lower than those along the Gulf coast. Landings from the northern extreme of their range have declined since the 1930s with the exception of eastern Florida. Total Atlantic coast landings declined from 1960-1970, while the Gulf coast landings increased during the same period. The recreational catch of red drum exceeded commercial landings by an estimated factor as high as 162.


Figure 24. Commercial and recreational red drum yields in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico.

Fishing mortality in most southern U.S. Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico estuarine areas is higher than natural mortality.

Adults are normally found close to shore and feed near the bottom on crustaceans. Along southeastern Florida they feed primarily on penaeid shrimp and xanthid crabs. Small sizes of red drum feed primarily on fish but as they grow diet changes to a predominance of crustaceans.

The maximum size of red drum is 127 cm FL and 37.3 kg . They mature at theend of the third or fourth year with age-5 fish comprising the majority of mature fish. Average size to sexual maturity is $70-80 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL. Concerns exist concerning aging of older red drum from otolith banding patterns; however, a red drum caught recently off North Carolina was aged at 55 years.

Spawning in the Atlantic probably occurs in nearshore waters from Virginia to St. Lucie, Florida, beginning in July and extending through December. The Atlantic spawning probably peaks in late September or October. In the Gulf, spawning occursfrom Cape Sable, Florida, to the northern Mexican coast, beginning in mid-September and lasting through mid-November. The Gulf spawning peaks in October. Fecundity ranges from 3 females ( $9-15 \mathrm{Kg}$ ) producing 60 million fertilized eggs in 52 spawns during a 76 day period, to four females ( $1.68-7.95 \mathrm{Kg}$ ) producing 8.43 million eggs over 90 days.

Atlantic red drum stocks are over exploited. Three management measures were adopted by the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council in the Atlantic red drum fishery management plan. The first measure establishes the fishing year as the calendar year. The second requires the National Marine Fisheries Service to prepare red drum stock assessments as required by the Council for review by a special stock assessment review group. The latter makes recommendations to the Council based on the assessments and data. The third measure prohibits harvests and possession of Atlantic red drum in or from the Exclusive Economic Zone


Figure 25. Commercial and recreational red drum yields in the U.S. Atlantic Ocean.
until a total allowable catch is specified by the plan when the percent maximum spawning potential is in excess of $30 \%$.

Since 1980, coast-wide recreational catches ranged from 232 t in 1990 to 988 t in 1984, while commercial landings ranged from 85 t in 1990 to 191 t in 1984. In numbers of fish caught, Atlantic red drum is predominantly a recreational fishery . Commercially, Atlantic red drum are harvested as part of mixed species fisheries.

A commercial purse seine fishery for adult red drum in federal waters of the Gulf of Mexico developed rapidly in the mid-1980s as a market developed based upon a new recipe for"blackened redfish". Prior to that time, nearly all red drum were harvested in inshore state waters as juvenile fish. As the offshore fishery developed, it became evident that the schooling behavior of the adult fish made them extremely vulnerable to harvest by fisheries using spotter planes and purse seines. Yield per recruit analyses showed that the long term maximum biomass yields to support this developing fishery required delaying first harvest to sizes well in excess of the traditional fishery. Additionally, there was evidence that rates of recruitment from inshore to offshore adult stocks decreased significantly in the late 1970s. Thus, the growth in the inshore fishing mortality imposed by recreational and commercial fishermen coupled with some yet to be determined
factor had decreased the number of fish surviving to replenish the offshore adult stocks.

This situation eventually led to the development of a fishery management plan for the Gulf of Mexico red drumby the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council. The fishery for red drum is banned in federal waters until prescribed escapement rates of juveniles into the adult stocks are achieved. This effectively bars any significant fishery on the adults solong as state regulations favor the continuation of substantial inshore fisheries on juveniles. State management actions to date have preserved the inshore nature of the harvest and allocated most or all of the harvest to recreational users.

## WEAKFISH (U.S. Atlantic)

Weakfish (Cynoscion regalis) are sciaenids distributed along the Atlantic coast of the United States from Florida to Massachusetts. They are most abundant in shallow coastal and estuarine waters from North Carolina to New York. Weakfish are considered year round residents of the Carolinian province and appear only seasonally to the north. The fisheries for weakfish along the Atlantic coast coincide with the north-south migrations of the species.

## Sciaenids

## RED DRUM

Longterm potential yield
Current potential yield
Recent average annual yield
Status of exploitation
Age at 50\% maturity
Current spawning potential ratio
Projected SPR at current fishing patterns
Natural mortality rate
U.S. Gulf of Mexico
$7,900 \mathrm{t}$
$2,828 \mathrm{t}$
$2,828 \mathrm{t}$
Over exploited
4 years
$13 \%$
$20 \%$

0.21

U.S. Atlantic<br>Unknown Unknown 507 t<br>Over exploited

## WEAKFISH

| Longterm potential yield | U.S. Atlantic |
| :--- | :---: |
| Current potential yield | Unknown |
| Recent average annual yield | Unknown |
| Status of exploitation | $5,922 \mathrm{t}$ |
| Age at $50 \%$ maturity | Over exploited |
| Current spawning potential ratio | 1 year |
| Natural mortality rate | $7-12 \%$ |
|  | 0.3 |

## ATLANTIC CROAKER

Longterm potential yield
Current potential yield
Recent average annual yield
Status of exploitation

Southeastern United States
$50,000 \mathrm{t}$
Unknown
4,946 t
Over exploited

Weakfish is a schooling, active fish that feeds in the upper to middle water column by sight. Young weakfish feed primarily on mysid shrimp and anchovies, while older weakfish feed mainly on clupeid species and anchovies. Most weakfish reach sexual maturity ( $17-23 \mathrm{~cm}$ total length) during their second summer, although the smaller members of a given year class may not reach maturity until 2 years of age. Weakfish spawning, hatching, and larval development occur in estuarine and near-shore oceanic waters
along the Atlantic coast during spring and summer.

Weakfish have been important to the Atlantic coast fisheries since at least the 1800s. Recent commercial and recreational landings are shown in Figure 26. The commercial fishery largely in North Carolina to New Jersey consists of an inshore spring and early summer fishery employing haul seines, pound nets, gill nets and trawls and a fall-winter fishery from North Carolina to Delaware employing trawls and gill nets. Recreational exploitation occurs
during the spring and summer in estuarine and near shore coastal waters with the bulk of harvest occurring in the mid-Atlantic region. Bycatch of young weakfish is an issue with respect to finfish and shrimp trawl fisheries.

## ATLANTIC CROAKER

Atlantic croaker (Micropogonias undulatus) is a bottom dwelling species associated with mud and sand substrate and live bottoms from Massachusetts to Campeche Bank, Mexico. Inthe spring, Atlantic croaker move into bays and estuaries; in the fall they migrate offshore to spawn.

In the U.S. Atlantic, a successful commercial fishery has operated for at least since the late 1880 s . The commercial fishery consists of an inshore summer fishery, employing haul seines, pound nets, gill nets, and trawls; and an offshore winter fishery, employing trawls and gill nets. In the recreational fishery, anglers take Atlantic croaker from ocean beaches and the banks of estuaries as well as by fishing in estuarine and nearshore waters from private, party, and charter boats.

In the U.S. Gulf of Mexico, total landings of croaker have increased as a result of the development of the pet food industry in the northern Gulf. This fishery has targeted croaker which represents about $76 \%$ of total landings. Croakers are also a significant component of the fish bycatch made by the shrimp trawl fishery. From 19721989, it was estimated that the annual average bycatch was 7.5 billion croaker.
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Figure 26. Commercial and recreational yields of Atlantic weakfish.
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Sharks belong to agroup offishes that includes the rays, skates, and chimaeras (ratfishes). These fishes are grouped together because they all have cartilaginous skeletons as well as other features in common. Over 350 species of sharks have been described throughout the world. Seventy-three species are known to inhabit the waters along the U.S. East Coast including the Gulf of Mexico and the waters around Puerto Rico and U.S. Virgin Islands.

Although the number of species of sharks is relatively small when compared to the number of species of fishes with bony skeletons (over 20,000), sharks are a diverse group. They range in size from the gigantic $12-\mathrm{m}$ whale shark, the largest fish in the oceans, to the tiny pygmy shark that is fully grown at only 20 to 25 cm . Fast-moving, streamlined species such as mako and thresher sharks contrast to sharks with flattened, ray-like bodies, such as the angel sharks. Basking sharks and whale sharks feed by filtering small organisms from the water, tiger sharks eat large turtles, and the tiny cookiecutter shark feeds by carving plugs of flesh out of large fishes and whales. Some sharks reproduce by laying eggs; other sharks nourish their embryos through a placenta. Despite the great diversity in size, feeding habits, habitat, and behavior, someadaptations are common to nearly all sharks. These adaptations have contributed greatly to the evolutionary success of sharks. Most of these common adaptations involve their reproductive modes and feeding habits.

The most significant reproductive adaptations of sharks which have contributed to their evolutionary success are internal fertilization and the production of small numbers of large young, which hatch or are born as fully developed young or "pups." All sharks have internal fertilization. In most species, the
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embryos spend their entire developmental period protected within their mother's body. When development is complete, the young are born as active, miniature sharks. The young are large at birth. Large size reduces the number of potential predators and competitors, thus enhancing survival.

The number of young produced by most shark species in each brood is small, usually ranging from 2 to 25 , although large females of some species can produce broods of 100 ormore pups. The production of large-sized young requires great amounts of nutrients to nourish the developing embryos. Thus, sharks have evolved diverse means of nourishing their embryos, such as the production of eggswith very largeyolks, the ingestion of egg yolks by the embryos, and the direct transfer of nutrients from mother to embryo through a yolk sac placenta. Traditionally, these adaptations havebeen groupedinto three modes of reproduction: oviparity, ovoviviparity, and viviparity.

Oviparous sharks lay large eggs that contain sufficient yolk to nourish the embryo through development and allow it to emerge fully developed. These eggs are enclosed in leathery cases that
are deposited on the sea bottom, usually attached to plants or rocks. There is no parental care or brooding in oviparous sharks. The only protection for theembryo is its tough leathery case composed of protein fibers. The development of these eggs is temperature-dependent and hatching usually occurs in a few months to a year. The pups of oviparous sharks are somewhat small because their growth is limited by the amount of nutrients stored in the egg. The embryos of the oviparous whale shark, the largest living fish, measure only 36 cm , a size exceeded by the embryos of many smaller ovoviviparous or viviparous sharks. Oviparity is found in only four families of sharks: bullhead sharks, nursesharks, cat sharks, and whale sharks.

Ovoviviparity is the most common mode of reproduction in sharks. The eggs of ovoviviparous sharks hatch in the uterus before the embryos are fully developed. The embryos continue to grow in the uterus, nourished by yolk stored in theyolk sac, but do form a placenta connection with the mother. The embryos are born after their development is completed. The brood size is highly variable, depending on the reproductive strategy of the species. In some ovoviviparous sharks, such as the

## Atlantic and Gulf Sharks
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${ }^{1}$ Includes sandbar, reef, blacktip, dusky, spinner, silky, bull, bignose, Galapagos, night, tiger, lemon, ragged-tooth, nurse, scalloped, smooth and great hammerhead, whale, basking and white sharks.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Includes Atlantic and Caribbean sharpnose, finetooth, blacknose, bonnethead and Atlantic angel; virtually all of small coastal shark yields are caught as bycatch in Gulf of Mexico shrimp fishery and discarded without landing.
${ }^{c}$ Includes longfin and shortfin mako, blue, porbeagle, thresher, bigeye thresher, oceanic whitetip, sevengill, sixgill and bigeye sixgill.
sand tiger shark, theyolk is absorbed very early in development. Thereafter, the embryos nourish themselves by swallowing unfertilized eggs and smaller embryos in the uterus as a form of embryonic cannibalism. Having eaten its smaller siblings, usually only one embryo survives in each of the two uteri. Ovoviviparous sharks include cow, frill, sand tiger, goblin, mackerel, basking, thresher, false catsharks, saw, angel, squaloid, ribbontail cat sharks, some nurse sharks, some smooth dogfishes, and some cat sharks.

Viviparity is the most advanced mode of reproduction. The embryos of viviparous sharks are initially dependent on stored yolk but are later nourished by the
mother throughaplacental connection. Being connected to the blood supply of the mother, theembryo can be nurtured to arelatively large size at birth. Most placental sharks produce broods of two to a dozen, with a few exceptional pelagic species producing 20 to 40 young. Viviparity is confined to some smooth dogfishes, requiem sharks and hammerheads.

Females of most species of sharks travel to specific nursery areas to give birth to their young at certain times of the year. These nurseries are discrete geographic areas, usually in shallow waters, or at least shallower waters than those inhabited by the adults. Frequently the nursery areas are in highly productive coastal or estuarine waters where abundant small fishes and crustaceans pro-
vide food for the growing pups. These areas are also free of large predators, thus the young sharks have a higher chance of survival. In temperate zones, the young exit the nursery with the onset of winter, in tropical areas, the young maystay in the nursery for a few years.

Sharks are slow growing and slow maturing fishes. The mosteconomically important sharks, the large coastal carcharhinids, have very slow growth rates. Several of thecommercially important species, such as sandbar, lemon, and bull sharks do not reach maturity until 12 to 18 years. The life span of sharks in the wild is not known, but it is believed that many species may live 30 to 40 years or longer. The reproductivelife spanof thesesharks is unknown.

In summary, sharks have a very low reproductive potential. Various factors determine this low reproductive rate: slow growth, sexual maturity not reached until 4 to 18 years, one to two-year reproductive cycles, a small number of young per brood, and specific requirementsfor nurseryareas. Therefore, shark populations must be managed very conservatively.

Sharks areaggressive predators, at or near the top of the food chain, with threeexceptions: whale sharks, basking sharks, and megamouth sharks. The latter are filter-feeders, similar to some whales in feeding habits. Most sharks, however, are flesh eaters that have evolved very sensitive receptors that allow them to track wounded or injured prey. Theyhave extremely sensitivesmell receptors, eyes that can adapttovery dim light, electroreceptors that in the absence of scent or visual clues can detect prey buried in the sand, and lateral line receptors that sense movement in the water.

In addition to their fine senses, sharks are armed with a formidable set of teeth and jaws that can produce considerable force. The teeth are replaced often, so sharks always havea sharp set capableof inflicting a clean bite.

Ecologically, sharks can be divided into four broad categories; 1) coastal, 2) pelagic, 3) coastal-pelagic, and 4) deepdwelling. Coastal species inhabit nearshore areas and the continental shelves. Examples areblacktip, finetooth, and sharpnose sharks. Pelagic species, on the other hand, range widely in the upper zones of the oceans, often traveling over entire ocean basins. Examples include mako, blue, and oceanic whitetip sharks. Coastal-pelagic species such as sandbar, scalloped hammerhead, and dusky sharks are intermediate in that they occur both inshore and beyond the continental shelves, but have not demonstrated mid-ocean or transoceanic movements. Deep-dwelling species inhabit the deeper cold waters of the continental slopes and oceanbasins. Examples of this category are most cat sharks and gulper sharks.

Tagging studies have shown that assignment of species to these ecological categories is somewhat arbitrary because several coastal-pelagic sharksshow movements from the United States to the Bahamas, West Indies, and Mexico. For example, the sandbar shark moves north and southalongtheU.S. eastcoast between Cape Cod and Texas. Sandbar sharks tagged off the northeast coast of the United States have traveled across the Florida Straits to Cuba and to Mexican waters as far south as the Yucatan. Some tagged sandbar sharks have traveled almost $5,000 \mathrm{~km}$ along the coast of North America and have been recaptured after 24 years. Other species (dusky, blacktip, night, silky, blue, shortfin mako, longfin mako, tiger, whitetip, spinner, and bignose sharks) have also traveled between the U.S. east coast and the Gulf of Mexico. Detailed knowledge of the migrations of sharks between the U.S. Exclusive Economic Zoneand international waters will be required for the most effective management of these wide ranging species.

The shark management unit consists of 39 species in the Western North AtlanticOcean. The management unit extends across state, federal, and international jurisdictional boundaries. The species in the management unit were chosen for one or more of the following reasons: 1)


Figure 27. Production and catch of Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico large coastal sharks.
they are frequendy caught in commercial or recreational fisheries; 2) their low fertility and/or slow growth make them particularly vulnerable to overfishing; and their habits make them vulnerable to indiscriminate killing. Sharksinthe management unit were separated into three species groupsforabundanceassessments: 1) large coastal sharks, 2) small coastal sharks, and 3) pelagic sharks. Theassessment groups are not ecological groups. They are groupings based on fisheries or where those species appear in the yield.

Additional species are included in the management plan for data collection purposes, but are not included in the management unit. Most of these species are small, deepwater sharks that are not target species, but are taken incidentally in directed shark fisheries, or in swordfish or tuna longline fisheries. The management plan group also includes two species known locally as "dogfish;" the spiny dogfish (Squalus acanthias) and the smooth dogfish (Mustelus canis). These two species are taken in considerable numbers in directed fisheries, and as bycatch in other fisheries, because they enter shallow water and are extremely abundant. These species are not overfished at this time nor confronted with such problems as finning, as are the species in the management unit, although finning of dogfish has been reported.

Historically, sharks have been an underused resource in North America. Small, localized shark fisheries have existed along all U.S. coasts for many years, but organized intensive shark fisheries have been scarce and have lasted only a few years.

A shark longline fishery operated in Salerno, Florida nearly continuously from 1936 to 1950. The maximum number of these shark-fishing boats in use at any one time was five. The greatest number of shark-fishing boats known to have been operating off the southeastern coast of the United Statesconcurrently was 16. Sharks were fished primarily for their livers and hides. The liver oil was used in the production of vitamin $A$, and the hides were processed into leather. Products also included fresh and salted meat, fins, and fish meal.

From 1938 to 1946, most shark fishing was done with chain sets. The weight of the chain line normally confined fishing to depths less than 46 m . In the last years of the fishery (1947 to 1950), the catch per unit of effort increased dueboth to expansion of the fishery and to a bonus arrangement that encouraged cooperation among the fishermen. This operationended in 1950 because of the appearance of low-cost, synthetic vitamin A.

Another small shark fishery for porbeagle existed in the early 1960 s off the U.S. Atlantic coast involving Norwegian
fishermen. Between the world wars, the Norwegians and Danes pioneered fishing for porbeagles inthe North Sea and in the region of the Shetland, Orkney, and Faroe Islands. Around 1960 thesefishermen began fishing the Newfoundland Banks and the waters east of New York. Between 1961 and 1964, their annual catches increased from 1,800 to $9,300 t$, then quickly declined to 200 t .

Use of sharks as food and gamefish by U.S. fishermen increased in popularity in the 1970s. In recent years, economic changes in Asia broadened the sharkfin market. The increased demand for shark meat and the high price of fins encouraged U.S. fishermen to enter shark fisheries. Fishermen in other directed fisheries, such as tuna and swordfish, began to retain sharks for thefins instead of releasing them as was done previously. Both directed and nondirected commercial fisheries, as well as recreational anglers, now exploit shark resources.

Recreational fishermen pursuesharks for sport. This practice has become popular in the last 15 years as evidenced by the increased number of shark tournaments. Shark fishing is a popular sport at all socioeconomic levels, largely because of accessibility to the resource. Sharks can be caught virtually anywhere in salt water with even large specimens available in the nearshore area to surf anglers or small boaters. Beach or surf fishing for sharksbecame popular in the early 1970s. Pier fishing for sharks was also popular
for many years (the largest tiger shark on record was caught from a pier in Cherry Grove, South Carolina in 1964). Most recreational shark fishing takes place from small to medium-size boats. Shark tournament fishing is usually conducted from boats that vary in size from small outboards to sportfishing yachts. As many as 15 different species, depending upon tournament locale, are caught during these events.

Commercial fishermen, who derive some portion of their income by selling their shark catch, are grouped as those engaged in directed fisheries (targeting sharks), or those involved in indirect fisheries (targeting other species with sharks as bycatch). Commercial fishermen in the directed shark fisheries use either longlines or gillnets. Longliners use modified swordfish longlines in coastal watersduring a longseason, often following stocks as they move north or south along the Atlantic coast. The primary species caught by longline fishermen are sandbar, blacktip, bull, bignose, tiger, sand tiger, lemon, spinner, scalloped hammerhead and great hammerhead sharks.

Gillnetfishing for sharks in the southeast has existed for many years. These fishermen operate small boats from May to November when sharks are in shallow water. Some of these estuarine waters, 2 to 5 m deep, are nursery areas for many species of sharks. Gillnetfishermen catch sandbar, blacktip, finetooth, blacknose,


Figure 28. Production and catch of Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico small coastal sharks.
bull, spinner, dusky, sharpnose, sand tiger, scalloped hammerhead, and others. Recent legislation in South Carolina essentially terminated the use of commercial gillnets in its waters. This action has forced fishermen into deeper, federal waters where their gillnets are less effective.

Tuna and swordfish longline fisheries catch large numbers of sharks as bycatch. Dominant in the tuna fisheries are blue, porbeagle, hammerhead, and unidentified sharks. In the domestic swordfish fishery, mako, thresher, and "unidentified" sharks are the major species. These unidentified species are probably bignose, dusky, silky, and night sharks. Other fisheries also takesharks as bycatch in the summer months. Shallowwater shrimp trawls catch large quantities of Atlantic sharpnose sharks and the juveniles of several species. Shrimping is common in areas that serve as nurseries, and many newborn sharks are caught at this time. Gillnet vessels in the New England multispecies fishery catch and land sharks during the summer and early fall, with porbeagle and mako the dominant species.

The practice of finning (i.e., removing thefins and discarding the remainder of the shark at sea), probably arose in the indirect longline fisheries to save freezer space for the more valuable swordfish and tuna. Over the years, shark discards from both the commercial and recreational fisheries have been extensive. The amount of sharks finned was about the same as harvested and landed from 1987 to 1991.

Commercial shark fishing gear includes longlines, gillnets, trawls, and to an unknown extent, harpoons. Most Atlantic and Gulflonglines are pelagicgear used by the swordfish and tuna fleets, and capture sharks incidentally. In recent years a directed longline shark fishery has emerged, with many vessels converted from shrimp trawl or snapper-grouperbottom-longline fisheries. A typical shark vessel is 10 to 15 m long and deploys pelagic or bottom longlines.

Commercial shark yield is seasonal. In the Gulf and southern U.S. Atlantic, the lowest yield from 1979 to 1987 oc curred in January with the maximum

Atlantic yield in March and the maximum Gulf yield in May.

Recreational yield is estimated by the Marine Recreational Fishery Statistics Survey (MRFSS) which is carried out annually by NMFS. The MRFSS data on fishing modes for 1979 to 1988 indicate that approximately $10 \%$ of the sharks were taken by headboats and charter boats.

Scientific stock assessments defined several gear-specific and area-specific fisheries and three species groups. Each gear-specific fishery exploits one of the three species groups. A directed shark longline/gillnet fishery and a southern area tournament fishery both target large coastal sharks. Small coastal sharks are targeted by rod-and-reel fishermen and arealsoa significantbycatch of the shrimp fishery in the Gulf of Mexico. Pelagic sharks are most often taken by longline vessels incidental to tuna and swordfish, although rod-and-reel fishermen and commercial fishing vessels in northern areas sometimes target these species.

The status of the small coastal sharks and pelagic sharks species groups were assessed separately using 1986-1989 or later fishery statistics. Due to the transoceanic nature of pelagic shark catches and the international fleets that exploit them, the necessary fisheries information was not yet complete enough to assess that resource.

Despite the limitations and uncertainties of the data, the analyses provided statistics necessary for developing harvest limitations and management advice. The results of that advice are summarized in the following sections.

Large coastal sharks are those normally targeted by commercial shark longline and gillnet fisheries and by the southern shark tournament fisheries. Typical species in this group include sandbar, blacktip, dusky, bull, tiger, hammerhead, lemon, white, spinner, bignose, silky, and night sharks. Many of these makeextensivemigrations alongtheU.S. Atlantic coast. The assessments reported evidence of overfishing for the large coastal sharks during 1986 through 1992 (except for 1987 and 1990). Fishing mortality has increased fromabout $\mathrm{F}=0.2$ in 1986 to the current level of $F=0.3$. The
replacement F , which is the fishing mortality rate at which the current population level is neither decreasing or increasing, is about $\mathrm{F}=0.25$. Therefore, the current level of fishing mortality may be slowly depleting abundance. The yield in 1991 wasestimated tobe $6,003 \mathrm{t}$ (whole weight, metric tons) and is higher than the 19861991 average of $5,688 \mathrm{t}$ (Figure 27). The shark fishery management plan recommends reductions in yield to reduce fishing mortality and stabilize abundance.

Small coastal sharks are typically caught in recreational fisheries (headboats and privately owned boats) and as discarded bycatch in the Gulf of Mexico penaeid shrimp trawl fishery. The largest component of the catch, by far, is the shrimp trawl bycatch. The predominant species in this group are the sharpnose, withbonnethead, blacknose, and finetooth sharks forming the majority of the remainder. For small coastal sharks, the assessmentssuggest that catches exceeded production in 1987 and 1988 but not in 1986, 1989, and 1990 (Figure 28). Hence, areduction in abundanceisnot indicated, and the small coastal sharks are considered to be fully exploited. The annual production potential for small coastal sharks is high and it is expected that abundance could rapidly increase if fishing mortality were reduced.

Pelagic sharks are a bycatch of the commercial tuna and swordfish longline fisheries and are directly exploited by recreational fisheries from Virginia to New York. Typical species in this group include makos, threshers, blues, oceanic whitetips, and porbeagles. Trans-Atlantic migrations of these sharks are common. Therefore, this species group is exploited by several nations, removals often occur outside of U.S. territorial waters, and discarding at sea is common but not recorded. For the above reasons, data were not available to develop production estimates as was done for the large and small coastal sharks. The average annual U.S. commercial yield of this species group during 1986-91 was about 580 t (with an unknown amount of discards). The average recreational pelagic shark yield in the southern area are estimated to have been about 94 t . Recreational shark yield in the northern area is
estimated to have averaged about 885 t . Thesum of these ( 1,559 rounded to 1,560 $t$ ) is considered the best available estimate of the current potential yield for his species group.
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# Menhaden, Butterfish and Coastal Herrings 

## GULF MENHADEN

The Gulf menhaden (Brevoortia patronus) is a euryhaline clupeid species found in coastal and inland tidal waters in the Gulf of Mexico from Tampa Bay, Florida, to Mexico's Yucatan Peninsula. Adult menhaden are filter feeders (feeding primarily on phytoplankton) and, in turn, support predatory food fishes. They attain a maximum fork length of about 24 cm and weigh up to about 300 g . Maximum age is approximately 5 or 6 years, although age-1 and age-2 fish comprise the bulk of the landings (with a mean fork length of about 16 cm and weight of about 90 g ). Gulf menhaden form large surface schools which appear in the nearshore Gulf waters from about April to November. Although no extensive coastwide migrations are known to occur, there is evidence that older fish move towards the Mississippi River delta. Sexual maturity is attained by age-2; spawning occurs in


Gulf menhaden
offshore waters during winter, peaking during December and January. Eggs hatch at sea and the larvae are carried to estuaries by ocean currents where they develop into juveniles. Juveniles migrate offshore during winter and move back to coastal waters the following spring as age-1 fish.

Relative to stock assessments, Gulf menhaden are treated as a single stock; they are subject to an extensive


Figure 29. U.S. Gulf of Mexico menhaden yield and recruitment to age-1.
purse-seine fishery in the northern Gulf of Mexico from mid-April through midOctober as regulated by the states and coordinated by interstate compact. Menhaden are processed into fish meal, fish oil, and fish solubles. A small commercial bait fishery also occurs along the northern Gulf of Mexico. The only recreational take of Gulf menhaden is as live bait for king mackerel and other piscivores.

The purse-seine reduction fishery for Gulf menhaden is managed cooperatively among the U.S. Gulf states through the Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission. A Fishery Management Plan was developed in 1977, with updates prepared in 1983 and 1988. The primary management measure is a temporal limit on purse seine fishing for menhaden between mid-April and mid-October. In addition, inside waters of the coastal states are closed to purse seine fishing.

During the 1991 fishing season, 58 vessels (consisting of a large carrier ship with two small purse boats that set the purse seine about all or a portion of the menhaden school) operated out of 7 reduction plants ( 6 plants in Louisiana and 1 plant in Mississippi). Purse-seine landings of Gulf menhaden by the reduction fishery increased 3\% in 1991

## Menhaden

| Longterm potential yield | 660,000 t | 480,000 t |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cursent potential yield | 550,000 t | 370,000 t |
| Recent average annual yield (1000 t) | 550,000 t | 370,000 t |
| Status of exploitation | Fully exploited | Fully exploited |
| Age at 50\% maturity | 2 years | 3 years |
| Current spawning potential ratio | 48\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $5 \%^{\text {b }}$ |
| Generation time | 2.1 years | 3.2 years |
| Natural mortality rate | 1.1 | 0.45 |
| Fishing mortality rate at $\mathrm{F}_{30 \times \text { SPR }}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $2.0{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Fishing mortality rate at $\mathrm{F}_{\max }$ | $5.0{ }^{\text {ad }}$ | $1.0^{\text {b }}$ |
| Fishing mortality rate in most recent year |  |  |
| Age 0 | $0.0{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.05{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Age 1 | $0.34{ }^{\text {® }}$ | $0.33{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Age 2+ | $1.07{ }^{\text { }}$ | $1.48{ }^{\text {b }}$ |

'Equilibrium, based on F's from 1983 fishing year.
${ }^{\text {b Equilibrium, based on }} \mathrm{F}$ 's from 1989 fishing year, population simulations suggest stock can replenish itself at this level of \%MSP.
${ }^{\circ}$ Multiples of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {recen }} ; 1983$ for Gulf and 1989 for Atlantic menhaden.
${ }^{\prime}$ Maximum yield per recruit is at maximum F-multiplier (5.0) used in Y/R analysis.
from 528,300 metrictons (t) to 544,300 $t$ (Figure 29). Only limited data are available from bait fisheries for Gulf menhaden, and no data exist on the take by recreational fishermen.

Historical landings rose from the fishery's beginnings following World War II to its peak in $1984(982,800 \mathrm{t})$. Landings were generally high during the mid-1980s (greater than 800,000 t between 1982 and 1987), but have declined steeply between 1987 and 1991 (from 894, 200 t to $544,300 \mathrm{t}$ ).

In general, estimates of nominal effort from a purse-seine fishery are not useful as an index of fishing mortality. Consequently, estimates of CPUE (catch per unit effort) from the Gulf menhaden stock are not useful as an index of population abundance.

Age composition data from the purse-seine fishery for reduction are
dominated by age-1 and age-2 Gulf menhaden ( $65.8 \%$ and $31.7 \%$, respectively in 1990), with the remaining $2.5 \%$ comprised of age- 3 and older Gulf menhaden. This pattern of age-1 and age-2 Gulf menhaden dominating the landings has occurred since the collection of age composition data began in 1964.

Population abundance and fishing mortality rates are estimated by traditional virtual population analytic techniques, with the most recent analysis including catch in numbers at age estimates from 1964 through 1985. Estimates of annual instantaneous fishing mortality rates for 1983 (the most recent year that reliable estimates are available) are 0.34 for age- $1,1.06$ for age-2, and 1.07 for age- 3 and older, which are comparable to mean values of fishing mortality rates for the period

1978-83 ( $0.36,0.95$, and 1.17 respectively). Because this species is shortlived and has a high natural mortality rate (1.1), growth overfishing has not been of major concern. Estimates of the spawning potential ratio have generally been high (greater than 30\%).

## ATLANTIC MENHADEN

Atlantic menhaden (Brevoortia tyrannus) is a euryhaline clupeid species found in coastal and inland tidal waters along the Atlantic coast from Nova Scotia, Canada, to West Palm Beach, Florida. Adult menhaden are filter feeders (feeding primarily on phytoplankton) and, in turn, support predatory food fishes. They attain a maximum fork length of about 32 cm and weigh up to about 650 g . Maximum age is approximately 11 or 12 years, although age-0 through age-3 fish comprise the bulk of the landings (the majority are age- 2 fish that are about 22 cm in fork length and 205 g in weight). As coastal waters warm in April and May, large surface schools form off Florida, Georgia, and the Carolinas. Schools move northward, stratifying by age and size during summer (older and larger fish are generally

Table 13. Yields of menhaden in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic Ocean.

| Year | Gulf <br> $(1000$ <br> $\mathbf{t})$ | Atlantic <br> $(1000 \mathrm{t})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1980 | 701.3 | 401.5 |
| 1981 | 552.6 | 381.3 |
| 1982 | 853.9 | 382.4 |
| 1983 | 923.5 | 418.6 |
| 1984 | 982.8 | 326.3 |
| 1985 | 881.1 | 306.7 |
| 1986 | 822.1 | 238.0 |
| 1987 | 894.2 | 326.9 |
| 1988 | 623.7 | 309.3 |
| 1989 | 569.6 | 322.0 |
| 1990 | 528.3 | 401.2 |
| 1991 | 544.3 | 381.4 |
|  |  |  |

found farther north). A southern migration begins in early fall, with surface schools disappearing in late December or early January off the Carolinas. Sexual maturity is attained at age-3; spawning is protracted and occurs in offshore waters generally during fall and winter, although near-ripe fish may occur during most months. Eggs hatch at sea and the larvae are carried to estuaries by ocean currents where they develop into juveniles. Juveniles migrate southward and probably offishore during winter and move back to coastal waters the following spring as age-1 fish.

For stock assessments, Atlantic menhaden are treated as a single stock. They are subject to an extensive purseseine fishery along the U.S. Atlantic coast, generally from May through January. Menhaden are then processed into fish meal, fish oil, and fish solubles. A commercial bait fishery is also found along the U.S. Atlantic coast. The only recreational take of Atlantic menhaden is as live bait for king mackerel, striped bass, bluefish, and other piscivores.

The purse-seine reduction fishery for Atlantic menhaden is managed cooperatively among the U.S. Atlantic coastal states through the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. A Fishery Management Plan was de-
veloped in 1981 and adopted in 1982, a limited update was prepared in 1986, and a complete rewrite is currently in preparation with adoption in September 1992. The primary management measure proposed in 1982 was a variable seasonal closure. However, this measure was not adopted in those states where most menhaden are landed (i.e., North Carolina and Virginia). Several U.S. Atlantic coastal states have closed their waters completely or partially to purse seine fishing (South Carolina, Maryland, Delaware, and New Jersey). During the 1991 fishing season, 37 vessels (generally consisting of a large carrier ship with two small purse boats that set the purse seine about all or a portion of the menhaden school) operated out of 4 shore-based reduction plants ( 1 in North Carolina, 2 in Virginia, and 1 in New Brunswick, Canada) and 1 internal waters processing operation in Maine (with a Soviet factory ship, Riga). Purse-seine landings of Atlantic menhaden by the reduction fishery decreased 5\% in 1991 from 401,200 $t$ in 1990 to $381,400 \mathrm{t}$ in 1991 (Figure 30). Only limited data are available for bait fisheries for Atlantic menhaden, and no data exist on the catch by recreational fishermen.

Historical landings rose during the 1940s and early 1950s (peaked at


Figure 30. U.S. Atlantic menhaden yield and recruitment to age-1.
$712,100 \mathrm{t}$ in 1956), remained high during the late 1950s and early 1960 s, dropped precipitously during the mid1960s, and remained low during the late 1960s (minimum of $161,600 \mathrm{t}$ in 1969). Since 1970, landings have improved, but not to the levels of the late 1950s (recent peak of $418,600 \mathrm{t}$ in 1983).

In general, estimates of nominal effort from a purse-seine fishery are not useful as an index of fishing mortality. Consequently, estimates of CPUE (catch per unit effort) from the Atlantic menhaden stock are not useful as an index of population abundance.

Age composition data from the purse-seine fishery for reduction are dominated by age-0, age-1 and age-2 Atlantic menhaden ( $28.3 \%, 33.4 \%$ and $29.3 \%$, respectively in 1991), with the remaining $9.0 \%$ comprised of age-3 and older Atlantic menhaden. Age-1 and age-2 Atlantic menhaden have typically dominated the landings in the middle and southern U.S. Atlantic regions, with occasional large landings of age-0 fish. Older fish (age-3 and age-4 Atlantic menhaden) typically dominate the landings in the U.S. north Atlantic region.

Population abundance and fishing mortality rates are estimated by traditional virtual population analytic techniques, with the most recent analysis including catch in numbers at age estimates from 1955 through 1991. Estimates of annual instantaneous fishing mortality rates for 1989 (the most recent year that reliable estimates are available) are 0.05 for age- $0,0.33$ for age-1, 1.48 for age-2 and older, which are generally below the mean values of fishing mortality rates for the 1980s ( $0.09,0.25$, and 1.58 respectively).

Even though recruitment to age-1 is comparable between the 1950s and 1980s, landings during the 1980s have been substantially below those of the 1950s. However, the collapse of the stock in the 1960 s resulted in a southward shift in fishing effort and processing capacity, where the menhaden are generally younger and smaller than those found farther north. The primary

# Gulf Butterfish 

Longterm potential yield<br>Current potential yield<br>Recent average annual yield<br>Status of exploitation<br>Natural mortality rate Fishing mortality rate at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ Fishing mortality rate at $\mathrm{F}_{\text {m }}$ Fishing mortality rate in 1988

U.S. Gulf of Mexico<br>26,500 t<br>26,500 t<br>19,700 t<br>Under exploited<br>1.3-1.5<br>0.4<br>0.6<br>0.4-0.5

management concern for this stock has been growth overfishing, but maximum spawning potential also has remained low (less than 10\%) since 1962.

## GULF BUTTERFISH

Thegulfbutterfish, Peprilus burti, is a semipelagic fish distributed in the Gulf of Mexico and along the Atlantic coast of Florida. Gulf butterfish school near the sea bottom during daylight but disperse and move up into the water column at night. Young of the year fish are found in inshore waters with larger or older fish distributed in depths up to 365 meters. Larval gulf butterfish are associated with jellyfish. As they grow, gulfbutterfish feed onjellyfish. Butterfish move into deeper water as their size increases and their diet switches to include small shrimp, worms and small fish. The largest gulf butterfish captured measured 22.9 cm and 0.2 kg . Maximum age is $2+$ years. Sexual maturity is reached at $100-160 \mathrm{~mm}$ fork length as they approach age-1. Spawning probably occurs in offshore waters from early fall through the spring, although fish with ripe gonads are found year round.

In the Gulf of Mexico, gulfbutterfish have been a component of the catch in the industrial bottomfish and shrimp fisheries and were either discarded or processed for petfood or fish
meal. In 1986, a directed bottom trawl fishery for gulf butterfish started with the arrival of New England freezer trawlers. The New England vessels fished in the Gulf during the springs of 1986 and 1987 , the spring and summer of 1988, and briefly during the spring of 1988. In 1987, several Gulf vessels experimented with fishing for gulf butterfish. These early trips led to major retrofits of a number of shrimp trawlers and one purse seiner in 1988. At one point in 1988, 15 vessels were engaged in the directed fishery for butterfish. The market for gulf butterfish saturated early during the summer
of 1988. As a result the New England vessels returned north and most of the Gulf vessels switched back to shrimping. The directed fishery for gulfbutterfish continued in 1989, 1990 and 1991 with one or two Gulf vessels targeting butterfish.

Gulf butterfish are assessed as a single stock. The fishery is not under management. Total catch in 1991 was approximately $20,000 \mathrm{t}$, about the average annual catch for the 1986-1991 period of 19,700 t(Figure 31, Table 13) Incidentally captured butterfish by the offshore Gulf of Mexico shrimp fleet has comprised $80 \%$ to $97 \%$ of the total annual catch since 1986. Length composition data indicate that annual catch is dominated by age-1 fish, with few age-0 and 2+ fish.

Long-term potential catch has been estimated as $26,500 \mathrm{t}$. Fishing mortality for all ages since 1984 has been steady and averaged 0.3 to 0.4 .

Since age-0 fish are found inshore, NMFS research vessels in offshore waters survey age-1+ butterfish. The 1991 catch per tow index was lower than the 1990 index. Research survey catch indices have fluctuated since 1972 but show no increasing or decreasing trend.

The gulf butterfish stock is in good condition. The survey catch indices have been steady. The average fish-


Figure 31. U.S. Gulf of Mexico butterfish yield and index of abundance.

Table 14. Gulfbutterfish annual yield and survey index.

| Year | Yield (1000 $\mathbf{t})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 1980 | 8.51 |
| 1981 | 13.73 |
| 1982 | 5.48 |
| 1983 | 6.42 |
| 1984 | 21.70 |
| 1985 | 6.69 |
| 1986 | 11.91 |
| 1987 | 24.20 |
| 1988 | 23.08 |
| 1989 | 18.47 |
| 1990 | 20.80 |
| 1991 | 20.00 |

ing mortality is lower than $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$. Total catch, however, is near the estimated level of long-term potential catch.

## COASTAL HERRINGS

Coastal herrings refers to a complex of small herrings (clupeids), anchovies (engraulids), jacks (carangids), and one mackerel (scombrid). The coastal herrings complex is dominated by Spanish sardine, scaled scardine, Atlantic thread herring, round herring, bay anchovy, striped anchovy, silver anchovy, rough scad, bigeye scad, round scad, Atlantic bumper, and chub mackerel. Coastal herrings represent a large underused fishery resource with a potential yield of $1-2$ million t .

Coastal herrings are distributed along the U.S. Atlantic coast and through the Gulf of Mexico. They can be divided into inshore and offshore groups. The inshore group consists of sardines, anchovies, Atlantic thread herring, and Atlantic bumper. These species are found from the shoreline to 70 m depths. The offshore group contains round herring, scads, Atlantic bumper, and chub mackerel. These are concentrated in waters deeper than 70 m and have been captured in bottom trawls at 365 m .

Coastal herringsrange in sizefrom 6 cm to 28 cm in length. They undergo diel changes in schooling behavior and in vertical distribution within the water column.

Coastal herringsare not managed within the U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone. Spanish sardine, scaled sardine, and Atlantic thread herring are exploited by a purse seine bait fishery along the Florida Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico coasts. In North Carolina, menhaden vessels target migratory schools of thread herring during September and October. U.S. landings of Spanish sardine, scaled sardine, and Atlantic thread herring totaled $4,466 \mathrm{t}$ in 1989 and $2,596 \mathrm{t}$ in 1990. Atlantic bumper, scads, round herring, and chub mackerel are taken incidentally by the shrimp, industrial bottomfish, and Gulf butterfish fisheries. Annual catch estimates have not been determined. There is no information available for these species on population dynamics within the jurisdiction of the United States, and no stock assessments have been made.
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## Reef Invertebrates

## SPINY LOBSTER

The spiny lobster (Panulirusargus) is of considerable importance to commercial and recreational fishermen in Florida and in the U.S. Caribbean. In the commercial fishery, wooden slat or wire traps are the primary means of capture. In the recreational fishery, divers take spiny lobster by hand.

The minimum size at maturity is 8 -9.5 cm carapace length. Spiny lobster reproduce most frequently from May to June, but reproduction extends into April and September. Sex ratios are often equal, and one female can lay from 400 thousand to 1.7 million eggs.

In waters offshore, males and females molt during the non-reproductive period of September-March. Local migrations occur and may be linked to the onset of reproduction or molting.

## Southeastern United States

In Florida spiny lobster are managed under a joint Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic Council Fishery Man-
agement Plan which is coordinated in part with regulations set by the state of Florida. Management is based on a 7.6 cm minimum carapace size, a closed season from April 1 to August 5, protection of egg bearing females, some closed nursery areas, and recreational bag limits ( 6 per person per day or 24 per boat, whichever is greater). A two day "sport" season occurs before opening the regular season in August.

Annual spiny lobster landings have been fairly stable during the 1980s having fluctuated around 2,700 metric tons ( $t$ ) in the Gulf of Mexico. Record landings of 3,300 toccurred in 1989 (Figure 32). In the U.S. Atlantic region, landings have averaged around $230 t$ with a value of $\$ 2$ million. The fishery is considered overcapitalized with approximately 900,000 traps in use. It is estimated that the same yield could be obtained with half the number of traps fished. The fishery uses live, undersized lobsters to bait traps and attract other lobsters. But due to mortality of the smaller lobsters, ap-


Figure 32. Spiny lobster commercial yield for Florida (fishing season is from July/August of year one through March 31 of year two).


Spiny lobster
proximately 30 to $50 \%$ of the potential yield is lost.

The recreational fishery is concentrated at the beginning of the season and was estimated to be around $29 \%$ of the commerical harvest in 1991-1992 fishing season. Yield depends on recruitment; few lobster large enough to enter the fishery escape capture to survive into the next season.

The stock structure of the fishery is unknown due to a larval dispersal stage which is capable of drifting for nine months at sea. The stock is most likely of pan-Caribbean origin, and the amount of recruitment originating from local areas is unknown. Spiny lobster are very dependent on shallow water algal flats for recruitment, habitat, and feeding.

## U.S. Caribbean

Spiny lobster in the Caribbean are caught primarily by fish traps, lobster traps, and divers. The Caribbean Spiny Lobster Fishery Management Plan includes federal waters surrounding Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. Management is based on a minimum size of 8.9 cm carapacelength and protection of egg bearing females.

Annual spliny lobster landings for Puerto Rico averaged 144 t over 23 years. Landings increased from 103 t in 1972 to 223 t in 1979 and then declined to a low of 63 tin 1988 (Figure 33). Landings in the U.S. Virgin Islands during 1980-1988 remained relatively stable, averaging 21 t . Spiny lobster landings during 1991 were 96 t for Puerto Rico and $54 \mathbf{t}$ for the U.S. Virgin Islands.

Growth overfishing appears to be a significant problemin Puerto Rico. The possibility of growth overfishing is indicated by the large number of undersized lobster landed and a nineyear decline in total landings. Precise data are not available on fishing effort. The fishery appears fully exploited and probably over exploited in Puerto Rico.

## STONE CRAB

Stonecrab (Menippe mercenaria) range from Cape Hatteras, North Carolina, through the Gulf of Mexico and Lee-

## Reef Invertebrates

## SPINY LOBSTER

|  | Southeastern <br> United State | U.S. <br> Caribbean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Longterm potential yield | $3,565 \mathrm{t}$ | 376 t |
| Current potential yield | $2,400 \mathrm{t}$ | Unknown |
| Recent average annual yield | $3,155 \mathrm{t}$ | 140 t |
| Status of exploitation | Over exploited | Over exploited |
| Age at $50 \%$ maturity | 3.5 years | 3.5 years |
| Current spawning potential ratio | $6 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| Natural mortality rate | $34 \%$ | Unknown |
| Fishing mortality rate in most recent year | 2.0 | Unknown |

## STONE CRAB

Longterm potential yield
Current potential yield
Recent average annual yield
Status of exploitation
Age at $50 \%$ maturity
Current spawning potential ratio
Projected SPR at current fishing patterns
Natural mortality rate
Fishing mortality rate in most recent year

Southeastern United States
976 t
1,121 t
$1,291 \mathrm{t}$
Fully exploited
2 years
96\%
96\%
1.9 per year
0.1 per month
ward Islands of the Caribbean Sea. The greatest stone crab concentration, however, occurs within Florida Bay which


Figure 33. Spiny lobster commercial yield for the U.S. Caribbean Sea.
is bounded by Naples on the north and Key West on the south.

In Florida Bay, spawning extends year-round. But in the northern parts of the range, the period for spawning is shorter. Temperature seems to be the most important regulator of spawning frequency. Soon after spawning, the female stone crab molts and mates.

Females reach sexual maturity as small as 6.2 cm carapace width and bear egg masses containing 160 thousand to 350 thousand eggs. Even small female stone crabs have an annual egg production of about 500 thousand eggs. The average number of spawns between molts is 4.5 .

The stone crab fishery occurs primarily in southern Florida with some landings from more northern areas along the west coast of Florida. The Gulf of Mexico Stone Crab Fishery Management Plan was implemented in


Figure 34. Stone crab (claw weight) commercial yield.

September 1979, and the regulations of the Plan generally extend the regulations set by the State of Florida into federal waters. Regulations are based on a minimum claw size of $23 / 4$ inches, biodegradable panels on traps, protection of egg-bearing females, and closed seasons.

A gear conflict occurred in Florida Baybetween stone crab fishermen using traps and shrimp fishermenusing trawls. The shrimp trawls become entangled with the crab traps and cause a loss of time and extra expense. The problem has been mostly resolved by establishing a demarcation line to separate the two fisheries.

Annual catches (claw weight) have fluctuated around $1,000 \mathrm{t}$ in the Gulf of Mexico through the 1980s (Figure 34). Landings in the southern U.S. Atlantic region were much smaller, averaging around 8 t . The number of traps increased from 295,000 in 1979-80 to a high of 611,000 in 1987-88 and has since been relatively stable. Although the number of traps has remained relatively stable during recent years, the estimated seasonal fishing effort increased from 3.6 million trap-hauls in 1985 to 4.8 million in 1987. The net result has been a greater proportion of the total landings being harvested earlier in the season and a shortening of the effective season.

Recruitment to the fishery is probably dependent on habitat, particularly
water quality and water flow management through the Everglades. The minimum size regulations ensure that harvested crabs have reproduced at least once before entering the fishery. It is unlikely under present recruitment conditions that the maximum production can exceed recent ranges on a sustainable basis.

## CONCH

Conch fisheries include primarily the queen conch (Strombus gigas) but can include other species. Conch are mostly harvested by divers and are easily overfished. Conch fisheries are currently closed in state and federal waters in Florida and in U.S. Virgin Islands territorial waters. A Caribbean fishery management plan is being developed for federal waters in Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

## CORALS

Corals are managed as two groups: hard corals and soft corals. Hard corals are currently protected from harvest except for very small collections, under permit, for research and educational purposes. Harvest is severely restricted because hard corals are generally slow growing and provide critical habitat for a host of species. The habitat value of
corals is considered more important than their commercial value.

Soft corals include gorgonians and sea fans. Gorgonians are exploited on a limited basis (approximately 50,000 colonies per year) for the aquarium and pharmaceutical industries. Growth potential for most species is considered limited. Sea fans are protected from all exploitation except under permit for research and educational purposes.
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Marine Mammals

The marine mammal fauna of the southeastern United States is comprised of some 36 species of marine mammals. All but one of these species, the West Indian manatee (Trichechus manatus), are under the jurisdiction of the National Marine Fisheries Service. The rest of the marine mammals are cetaceans, except for a few species of pinnipeds. These pinniped species include one now believed extinct, the Caribbean monk seal (Monachus tropicalis), occasional transients (harbor seals, Phoca vitulina), oceanarium escapees(California sea lion, Zalophus californianus), and animals stranded far outside of their normal range (hooded seal, Cystophora cristata). The cetacean species include eight species of large whales, 14 species of smallmedium whales, 10 species of dolphins, and one species of true porpoise.

Management of marine mammals is regulated under the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972, as amended. Most of the large whales are listed as endangered or threatened under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended. Both Acts restrict activities which could prove harmful to marine mammals, unless the activities have slight or no impacts on the stock or population in question, and are authorized by permit, or by legislative or regulatory action.

The most commonly observed cetacean in the southeastern United States is the bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus). The bottlenose dolphin is the numerically dominant cetacean in the nearshore and estuarine waters of the southeast. This species is frequently seen by the casual observer throughout the year, both from shore and small boats. The population of bottlenose dolphins includes resident groups in

numerous bays, a coastal migratory stock along the U.S. Atlantic coast, and nearshore and pelagic components in the Gulf of Mexico and the U.S. Atlantic.

The other cetacean species are generally pelagic, and are rarely observed nearshore, except when stranded. One notable exception is the endangered northern right whale (Balaena glacialis). Some of these whales, particularly mothers with calves, are seen along the coast of Georgia and northern Florida during the winter. This area serves as the principal calving and nursery area for the northern right whale. Recent surveys indicate that the sperm whale (Physeter catodon) may be the most numerous large whale, and the pantropical spotted dolphin (Stenella attenuata) the most numerous small cetacean, in the pelagic waters of the northern Gulf of Mexico. The Atlantic spotted dolphin (S. frontalis) may be the most numerous small cetacean in the pelagic southeastern U.S. Atlantic.

Evidence of mortality due to net entanglement, vessel strikes, and other causes exists for numerous species of cetaceans in the southern United States. The levels of these and other
sources of human-induced mortality of cetaceans in the southeastern United States are generally not well known. However, vessel strikes and entanglement may be a serious source of mortality for the endangered northern right whate.

The following sections provide summaries of the available, pertinent information on the cetacean fauna of the southeastern United States, in the northern Gulf of Mexico and the southeastern U.S. Atlantic coast. One section is devoted to the bottlenose dolphin, due to the extensive research that has been directed at this species. Another section is on the pelagic delphinid complex, and the last section summarizes the large whales and remaining species.

## BOTTLENOSE DOLPHIN

The bottlenose dolphin is a me-dium-sized dolphin, with a maximum reported length in the southeastern United States of about 3 meters. Although these dolphins are frequently described as being a uniform gray in color, they do possess a distinctive,
though faint, color pattern. This color pattern usually includes a dark gray dorsal cape, lighter sides, and generally a white belly. Some have a clearly evident "shoulder blaze," and most have a faint eye to flipper stripe. In the southeastern United States, the bottlenose dolphin population consists of resident groups in numerous bays, a coastal migratory stock along the U.S. Atlantic coast, and nearshore and pelagic components in the Gulfof Mexico and the U.S. Atlantic.

Two ecotypes are known to occur in the southeast. One, a "warm, shal-low-water ecotype" is commonly found throughout the southeastern United States, in bays, nearshore waters, and over the continental shelf. This ecotype is characterized by, among other things, smaller size and proportionally larger flippers. These features are thought to be adaptations for increased maneuverability and heat dissipation.

The other ecotype is believed to occur mainly in deeper waters beyond the continental shelf. This"cool, deepwater ecotype," exhibits a larger size and proportionally smaller flippers. This ecotype also differs in hematological parameters, having higher hemoglobin concentrations, hematocrit, and red blood cell counts than the shallow-water ecotype. These morphological and blood characteristics are hypothesized to be adaptations for deeper and/or longer dives required to obtain prey in cooler waters.

The principal prey species of bottlenose dolphins have been identified primarily from examination of stomach contents of stranded dolphins. These principal prey species are: Atlantic croaker (Micropogonias undulatus), seatrout (Cynoscion sp.), silver perch (Bairdiella chrysura), spot (Leiostomus xanthurus), and mullet (Mugil sp.). Bottlenose dolphins use a variety of methods to obtain prey, including forcing fish up on mud banks, "tail-whacking" (where the prey is hit with tail flukes, and stunned), and feeding on the bycatch of shrimp trawlers.

Bottlenose dolphins usually occur in small groups of 2-5 animals. Longterm studies of resident populations of
the shallow-water ecotype along the west coast of Florida indicate that related females with calves make up the core social unit. Mature males, which are slightly larger than mature females, may form long-lasting pair-bonds with other males, and travel between female groups. Although these social units have been defined, there is mixing with neighboring groups, and with the occasional transient. It is not known if the social structure observed along the west coast of Florida is unique or if it occurs throughout the southeast.

Bottlenose dolphins are known to live in the wild at least up to more than 40 years, although the average is probably about $25-30$ years. Females become reproductively active at 5-8 years, producing a calf about every $2-5$ years thereafter. Gestation lasts about 12 months. Males become sexually and socially mature at about 9-12 years. The overall natural mortality rate has been estimated at $4-14 \%$ annually by several investigators; mortality of young of the year may, at times, exceed 50\%.

Bottlenose dolphins are the most common species held in captivity in various oceanaria and aquaria around the world. Most of the bottlenose dolphins held in captivity in the United States and many of the animals held in other countries, were captured along the Gulf of Mexico and eastern Florida coasts. Because of concern over the effects of live capture removals, in addition to human-induced mortality resulting from activities such as fishing, collisions with boats, shooting, pollution, or other human activities, the removal of animals from the wild is strictly regulated. In general, no more than $2 \%$ of the stock abundance may be removed by live capture or other forms of removal. The so-called $2 \%$ rule was developed in recognition of the fact that marine mammal productivity rates are low and annual removals at levels greater than $2 \%$ may cause dolphin stocks to fall below their optimum sustainable levels.

The bottlenose dolphin is the numerically dominant cetacean over the continental shelf in the northern Gulf
of Mexico. Bottlenose dolphins accounted for nearly $95 \%$ of cetacean sightings during aerial surveys of the northern Gulf shelf, and the remaining $5 \%$ was composed of 6 other species. The population of bottlenose dolphins in the northern Gulf of Mexico is conservatively estimated at 35,000 to 45,000 animals. As indicated above, the population in the gulf, and elsewhere, is organized into both resident and transient stocks. A significant increase in bottlenose dolphin strandings was observed in the northern Gulf during 1990, but available information does not suggest a concurrent significant decline in population size.

The bottlenose dolphin is also the numerically dominant cetacean in the nearshore area of the southeastern U.S. Atlantic coast. There may be at least three stocks or types of bottlenose dolphins in the southeastern U.S. Atlantic coast: bay residents, a mid-Atlantic coastal migratory stock, and an offshore stock. There is no comprehensive estimate of bottlenose dolphin numbers in the U.S. Atlantic, although the abundance of bottlenose dolphins from Cape Hatteras, North Carolina to Nova Scotia, Canada was estimated to range from 4,300-12,900 individuals in 1981. This estimate included individuals from both the mid-Atlantic coastal stock and the offshore stock, but did not include an estimate for the bay residents in the southeastern U.S. Atlantic coast.

The mid-Atlantic coastal migratory stock ranges from central eastern Florida to as far north as Long Island, New York, in the summer. During the winter, the range of this stock appears to contract to the area between central eastern Florida to central Georgia. This stock was estimated to number at least 1,200 animals prior to a dieoff of this stock during 1987-88. Based on an analysis of strandings, the dieoff was estimated to have resulted in the mortality of more than $50 \%$ of the pre-dieoff stock abundance. Historically about 15,000 animals are thought to have lived in mid-Atlantic coastal waters. This estimate is based on North Carolina


Figure 35. The estimated stock trajectory for mid-Atlantic migratory group of bottlenose dolphins following the 1987-1988 die-off. Stock size is expressed relative to estimated carrying capacity. These estimates are based on 1,000 Monte Carlo simulations of the assessment model applied, incorporating uncertainty in the model input parameters. The median (line), $90 \%$ interval (bars) and $25^{\text {th }}$ and 75 "percentiles (Q1 and Q3) of the annual distribution of estimates are shown.
shore-based fishery records from the turn of the century. It is possible that the mid-Atlantic coastal migratory stock of dolphins was belowits optimum sustainable population level before the 1987-88 dieoff. With a reduction of $50 \%$ of stock abundance since 1987 , it
is likely that the stock is well below its optimal range, and thus is depleted under the terms of the Marine Mammal Protection Act. A risk analysis, incorporating uncertainty in the assessment model applied, suggests that recovery to the OSP range for this stock


Figure 36. The time-series estimated probabilities that the bottlenose dolphin population modeled will be less than the Maximum Net Productivity Level (MNPL), and thus depleted under the terms of the Marine Mammal Protection Act.
might not occur until well into the next century (Figures 35 and 36).

Increases in the number of reports of stranded bottlenose dolphins have elevated concern for the status and health of both the bottlenose dolphin stocks and the health of our coastal environment. General increases in stranding rates of bottlenose dolphins were observed in 1987 and 1988 along the eastern seaboard and in 1990 and 1992 in the Gulfof Mexico (Figure 37). These increases have contributed to the concern about our coastal environment. The apparent increases in frequency of anomalous mortality events in the southeastern United States may be indicator of habitat degradation and the bottlenose dolphin may, in some ways be like a "miner's canary," warning of adverse alterations to our environment.

## PELAGIC DELPHINID COMPLEX

The pelagic delphinid complex appears to vary in species composition between the northern Gulf of Mexico and the southeastern U.S. Atlantic coast. In the northern Gulf of Mexico, the pelagic delphinid complex consists of those species distributed along the edge of the continental shelf and into deeper waters. In the southeastern U.S. Atlantic coast, this complex includes cetaceans found within the Gulf Stream and farther offshore.

The northern Gulf of Mexico delphinid complex is comprised of mainly three species, and includes smaller numbers of several other species. The three main species are bottlenose dolphins, Atlantic spotted dolphins, and pantropical spotted dolphins. The other species include, but are not limited to, short-snouted spinner dolphins (S. clymene), striped dolphins (S. coeruleoalba), Risso's dolphins (Grampus griseus), shortfinned pilot whales (Globicephala macrorhynchus), and pygmy killer whales (Feresa attenuata).

Recent vessel surveys for Gulf of Mexico marine mammals indicate that
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Figure 37. Monthlyreports ofnumbers of stranded bottlenose dolphins along the Texas coast since 1982, displayed as a three-month running average. In recent years (1990 and 1992 in particular), unusually high numbers of strandings have occurred that may be related to environmental changes. The relatively low numbers reported prior to 1986 are believed due to inadequate coverage of the beachfront in earlier years.
the pantropical spotted dolphin is the numerically dominant cetacean in waters outside of the continental shelf. This species appears to occur primarily in deeper waters beyond the edge or slope of the continental shelf. Pelagic bottlenose dolphins and Atlantic spotted dolphins appear to occur mostly along the edge or slope of the continental shelf of the Gulf of Mexico. The available sighting information of the other delphinid complex species is too limited to derive possible distribution information.

The southeastern U.S. Atlantic coast delphinid complex appears to consist of primarily two species, bottlenose dolphins and Atlantic spotted dolphins. The available sighting information indicates that pilot whales, either the long-finned pilot whale ( $G$. melaena) or the short-finned pilot whale, may be the third most common delphinid species of the southeastern U.S. Atlantic coast. Pantropical spotted dolphins have not been observed during surveys of the southeastern U.S. Atlantic coast, although they have stranded along the coast of the southeastern U.S. Atlantic coast.

## LARGE WHALES AND OTHER SPECIES

Most species of northern hemisphere baleen whales (Balaenopteridae and Balaenidae) have been documented as occurring in the waters of the southeastern United States, as strandings, sightings, or both. Sperm whales (Physeter catodon), pygmy and dwarf sperm whales (Kogia breviceps and K. simus), and beaked whales (Ziphiidae) have also been documented from strandings and sightings.

Recent vessel and aerial surveys for marine mammals indicate that
sperm whales are likely the most common large whale in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Although other species of large whales have been stranded and/ or sighted in the northern Gulf of Mexico, Bryde's whale (Balaenoptera edeni) seems to be the only other large whale to occur with any regularity. Based on sighting data, pygmy and dwarf sperm whales appear to be at least as abundant as sperm whales. Concern over the possible effects of offshore developmentactivities on these species has resulted in initiation of new studies on the distribution, abundance and behavior in Gulf of Mexico waters.

The large whale fauna of the southeastern U.S. Atlantic coast appears to be composed of four species, and at least two of these, the northern right whale and the humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae), are seasonal components. The other two species are sperm whales and Bryde's whales. As in the Gulf of Mexico, pygmy and sperm whales are probably as common as sperm whales.

Northern right whalesdisplay welldefined migratory movements along the western NorthAtlantic Ocean. These whales are found as far north as Nova Scotia in late summer and early fall. Adults and calves are frequently sighted during the winter in the nearshore areas along the coasts of Georgia and northern Florida. This area has been identified as the principal calving ground for the western


Humpback whale

North Atlantic stock of this species. However, it is unknown if this stock also uses other areas for calving. Concern over habitat degradation and the extremely endangered status of northern right whales has resulted in new studies of right whale habitat characteristics in the southeastern U.S. Atlantic coast region.

The northern right whale population was severely reduced in size by whaling activities from the late 1600 s through the early 1900s. Whaling records suggest pre-exploitation levels of 10,000-1 5,000 animals for the western North Atlantic right whale. Current population size is estimated at approximately 350 , which easily justifies theendangered status of this stock. Although this species has received complete protection since 1937, signs of recovery in the western North Atlantic stock are lacking.

Humpback whales are sighted in nearshore areas of the northern portion of the southeastern U.S. Atlantic during the winter. There is no indication that humpback whales use this area as a calving ground. These whales are most likely in transit from high-latitude, summer feeding grounds to winter breeding and calving areas in the Caribbean. The pre-exploitation size of North Atlantic humpback whales is unknown; the population is currently estimated at about 5,500 animals.

One other winter and early spring visitor to the southeastern U.S. Atlantic in nearshore waters is the harbor porpoise (Phocoena phocoena). Strandings of this species in the southeast occur during the winter and early spring primarily along the coasts of North and South Carolina.
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## Sea Turtles

Marine turtles are highly migratory species that live in all oceans of the world. The loggerhead, green, Kemp's ridley, hawksbill, and leatherback are found in the U.S. Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, and Caribbean Sea. Under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 and subsequent amendments, all U.S. marine turtles are listed as endangered or threatened. The National Marine Fisheries Service has jurisdiction to protect and conserve marine turtles in the water, while the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has jurisdiction when the turtles are on land.

The following is an overview of the distribution, abundance, and status of stocks for the loggerhead (Caretta caretta), green (Chelonia mydas), Kemp's ridley (Lepidochelys kempi), hawksbill (Eretmochelys imbricata), and leatherback (Dermochelys coriacea).


In the United States, the loggerhead sea turtle isfound along the Atlantic and Gulf coasts and in the Caribbean Sea. It is listed as a threatened species throughout its U.S. range.

Non-breeding adult and juvenile loggerheads occasionally occur as far north as the Gulf of Maine, but in the fall there is a net migration southward. In the spring the turtles concentrate alongFlorida's east coastfrom Brevard to Palm Beach Counties. During May-


The five species of sea turtles found in the eastern United States, Gulf of Mexico, and Caribbean Sea

August, adult females emerge to nest on southeastern beaches.

During most of the year, loggerhead turtles on the Atlantic coast are distributed randomly. But during the winter, turtles from North Carolina to Key West, Florida, appear to aggregate within the western Gulf Stream
which can be $5-6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ warmer than coastal waters. In the U.S. Gulf of Mexico, loggerhead turtles appear to concentrate along the central-west coast of Florida within 139 km of shore.

Aerial and ground surveys in the Southeastern United States of nests and nesting females for 1980,1982 , and 1983
resulted in an annual average estimate of 52,073 nests per year. Loggerheads also nest along Florida's west coast and sporadically along the entire coast of the Gulf of Mexico. Although, it is not known how many turtles nest there annually, it is likely that outside the North Carolina-Key Biscayne shoreline, there are no more than an additional 1,000 nests, or 400 turtles (at 2.5 nests per female). Thus, at least $98 \%$ of all nesting occurs between North Carolina and Key Biscayne, with a known concentration of nesting from Brevard to Palm Beach Counties.

Nine seasonal surveys were completed in 1982-84 from Cape Hatteras, North Carolina to Key West, Florida. The number of turtles sighted was significantly greater in the spring and summer than in the fall and winter. The estimated mean number of turtles present during the peak spring and summer survey, from North Carolina to Key West out to the Gulf Stream, was 387,594. This estimate includes all animals at and below the surface of the waterand at least 60 cm carapace length (CL). Loggerhead turtles of 60 cm CL would include adults and sub-adults.

Comparable surveys have been completed from North Carolina to Maine up to 370 km offshore from 1979 to 1981. These surveys targeted marine mammals; turtle sightings were secondary. Their estimates are minimal values and do not include turtles below the surface of the water. The number of loggerhead turties at the surface peaked in the summer and the average summer estimate over a 3-year survey period (1979-81) was 7,702.

In the Gulf of Mexico, similar estimates can be made using sighting and census data. The total number of sightings of loggerheads for the Gulf was 1,428 . These sightings were made primarily off the west coast of Florida.

The survivorship requirements of the southeastern U.S. loggerhead population were examined using the assumptions that their abundance of about 387 thousand turtles represents the major reproductive component and can be considered a "unit stock." Analyses werealsoconducted assuming that fish-
ing mortality was between 10 thousand and 23 thousand turtles annually. Between $0.8 \%$ and $5.2 \%$ of the hatchlings entering the water must survive to maintain the current population. These values are not contradicted by estimates from other populations of loggerheads and of other sea turtle species which average about $1 \%$ (i.e., $1 \%$ of hatchlings must survive to breed for the population to maintain stability).

Based on the best available information for the abundance of nesting females and the number of turtles in the water, it appears the loggerhead population since 1980 has remained stable. However, becauseturtes cannot be aged, it cannot be determined when conditions resulted in stability (i.e., whether this stability reflects conditions 5,10 , or 20 years ago ). No long time series of data is available, thus, it is impossible to develop quantitative assessments on the status of this stock relative to levels prior to the Endangered Species Act of 1973, or to make predictions as to what will happen to the population beyond the next 10 years.

## GREEN



The green turtle is listed as endangered in Florida and threatened elsewhere in its U.S. range. Historically, the green turtle was the primary target of U.S. turtle fishermen.

In the United States, green turtles now nest along Florida, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Island beaches. In Florida they nest along the east coast from Brevard to Broward Counties, but historically the most significant nesting occurred in the Dry Tortugas. Unfortunately, the Dry Tortugas aggregation was extirpated by commercial exploitation early in the 20th
century. North of Florida only sporadic nesting occurs to North Carolina.

Turtlefisheries were centered along the Texas coast and the Florida east and west coasts. Juveniles (less than 60 cm CL ) and a few subadults ( $60-90 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{CL}$ ) were captured primarily in nearshore waters and in local estuaries. Landings were reported from Port Aransas, Texas; Cedar Key, Florida (west coast), and along the Indian River, Florida (east coast). Juveniles were also captured during the summer in the bays and inlets along the North Carolina coast. Historically and at present it appears that the majority of green turtles in U.S. waters are juveniles. It is likely that at least someturtles arrive seasonally from the Caribbean; therefore, it is unlikely that all immature turtles in U.S. waters are products of U.S. nesting females.

There are no historical estimates of abundance for nesting turtles within the United States. Notably, the only significant nesting assemblage was reported in the Dry Tortugas. It was estimated that in the 1800 s up to 2,800 females nested per year in the Dry Tortugas, but this nesting population was extirpated through exploitation by the 1900s.

No current U.S. abundance estimate of nesting females is available. There is only one index of nesting activity and it is for a very restricted area within Brevard County, Florida. In that area, it was estimated that about 40 females nest annually. It is likely that nesting occurs on the many Florida islands and elsewhere along the Florida east coast. The minimum annual estimate of 300 females is a "best guess." figure.

Limited information is available for the species in the water. However, it is known that the majority of turtles within U.S. waters are immature. Historically, within the Indian River system on the Florida east coast the maximum green turtle catch was reported as 2,500 turtles in 1886 . By 1895, the annual turtle catch was about 500 animals or a decrease of $80 \%$ from the 1886 level. This decrease is attributed to fishing and to an unusually cold winter in 1894-95, which ultimately
caused the collapse of the fishery. Fishing resumed around 1970 and increased from $1,625 \mathrm{~kg}$ landed in 1970 to 4,152 kg in 1974. Using an average weight per turtle caught of about 8 kg yields a turtle catch of 203 for 1970 to 519 for 1974. It is estimated that about 1,500 green turtles now use this area, and within this area the number of turtles appears to be increasing.

There are no consistent current indices of abundance for green turtles within U.S. waters. It is estimated that there are about 600-800 nesting females from May-August or about 11 16 thousand total turtles within the southeastern United States throughout the year.

If the increase in the number of females nesting on continental U.S. beaches, and the increase in the numbers of non-adult turtles within the Indian River complex are real and not simply a result of improved sampling, then it appears that this population has been slowly increasing since at least 1980. Historical estimates are unavailable, but must have been considerable since several commercial fisheries for this species existed in the Gulf, Florida, and Caribbean and were supported for several decades. This species appears to be increasing in U.S. waters which suggests that existing and potential international conservation efforts may result in the recovery of this species throughout its U.S. and Caribbean range.

Before Turtle Excluder Trawl (TED) regulations, turtle mortality by shrimping was estimated at about 307 per year. Because green turtles are known as long-distance migrants, and no regional abundance estimates are available for the species throughout the southeastern United States, Gulf, and Caribbean, the impact of any fishing mortality on this species cannot be determined.

Ifthe number of females nesting on U.S. beaches and the number of turtles in the Indian River provide adequate population indices, then it appears that this population has been increasing at least since about 1980. Management of this species within U.S. waters requires


Figure 38. The estimated number of nesting Kemp's ridley female turtles at Ranch Nuevo, Mexico.
information on whether turtles nesting on U.S. beaches are residents or simply transients and whether juveniles in U.S. waters are products of resident females.

KEMP'S RIDLEY


The Kemp's ridley sea turtle is listed as endangered throughout all of its range. It was so heavily exploited in Mexico during the 1950s and 1960s that the annual numbers of nesting females has dwindled from at least 40,000 in 1947 to less than 600 today.

The Kemp's ridley is found from the Atlantic coast of South America throughout the Gulf of Mexico to New England. Its primary concentration appears to be withinthe Gulfof Mexico, and nesting is known primarily along 17 km of Mexican Gulfbeach identified as "Rancho Nuevo," the name of a nearby fishing village.

Kemp's ridley turtles feed primarily on bluecrabs and as a result concentrate in coastal waters of less than 100 m depth; thus, they are commonly ob-
served in bays, sounds, and estuaries. While most turtles may spend their entire lives within the Gulf of Mexico, there are some which leave the Gulf probably via the Florida Straits and forage as far north as the Gulf of Maine during summer months before returning to Florida during the fall and winter. Whether these animals are ever recruited into the breeding population is not known. However, NMFS considers these turtles as potential recruits into the breeding population and therefore deserving of complete protection.

The only estimate of abundance available for this species is annual estimates of nesting females. This species is an aggregate nester (forming socalled "arribadas") and nests during the day along Rancho Nuevo beaches from Mayto August. Verylittle nesting is known to occur outside of Rancho Nuevo, and thus the Rancho Nuevo population is treated as a closed population. Beach surveys have concentrated on counting nests since 1978 and, using a value of 1.3 nests per female per year derived by Marquez et al. (1981) and Marquez (1990), provides one index for the annual number of nesting females.

Using 1.3 nests per female, the average estimated number of nesting females for 1978 to 1991 was 659 turtles (range $=540-888$, Figure 38 ). The available nesting data indicates that the re-
maining breeding population may be at least stabilizing in response to conservation efforts. From 1978 to 1988 the estimates of nesting females appeared to be declining, but from 1988 to 1991 the numbers of nesting females appeared to be increasing. However, since the current average number of nesting turtles (659) is only about $1.6 \%$ of the original 40,000 and, considering that it may take these animals many years to mature and reproduce, it is difficult to accurately interpret these figures and discern actual trends. Needless to say, the population level is still extremely depressed and is in danger of extinction.

Recovery of this population to the only available historical estimate of 40,000 (from 1947) depends upon the rate of recruitment into the breeding population. At this time it is unknown if the annual recruitment is actually greater or less than the annual mortality.


The hawksbill turtle is listed as endangered throughout its range. Historically, the hawksbill hasbeen heavily exploited for its "tortoiseshell" products, and continued international trade suggests that populations are likely to continue declining. It is unknown if the development habitat for hatchling hawksbills is predominantly pelagic, like other turtles, but there is some evidence that they may remain nearshore near tropical coral reefs. The sub-adult and adults feed on sponges and are therefore closely associated with tropical reef habitats. The hawksbill is a tropical species and is found primarily throughout the U.S. Caribbean. It prefers nesting on small, isolated, is-

| Annual Number of Fenale |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current <br> Level | Current <br> Trend | Status in U.S. |
| Loggerhead | 18,000-21,000 | Stable | Threatened |
| Green | 600-800 | Increasing | Threatened |
| Kemp's ridley | $659$ | Declining 1978-1987; <br> Stable but low 1988-1991 | In Florida, Endangered Endangered 1 |
| Leatherback | - | - | Endangered |
| Hawksbill | - | Declining | Endangered |

land beaches, with the largest nesting area inU.S. watersbeing the beaches of Mona Island, Puerto Rico. These beaches are listed as critical habitat for hawksbill nesting.

There is virtually no historic or current information available concerning the size of hawksbill populations in U.S. jurisdictional waters, except to say that they are rare and probably declining. Aerial surveys are generally ineffective due to the secretive and solitary nature of the species.

## LEATHERBACK



This species is listed as endangered in U.S. jurisdictional waters. Leatherbacks exhibit the largest north-south range of any turtle species within U.S. waters. Theyare distributedfrom Maine southward through the Gulf of Mexico to South America. They are also found in U.S. Caribbean waters, especially in the Virgin Islands.

Very little is known about leatherback biology; however, it is assumed that the species undergoes a hatchling pelagic stage similar to other sea turtles.

Adult leatherbacks tend to be more pelagic than other turtles, apparently feeding exclusively on large jellyfish.

There is occasional leatherback nesting on the east coast of Florida and on the offshore islands of Puerto Rico, but the majority of nesting in U.S. waters is in the Virgin Islands where St. Croix has been designated critical nesting habitat.

There is very little information concerning historic or current leatherback population sizes in U.S. jurisdictional waters. Nesting is sparse, scattered, and inconsistent. Although leatherbacks areoccasionally seen during aerial surveys, population estimates are nonexistent.

## MAJOR ISSUES

Although sea turtles are protected in U.S. waters under the Endangered Species Act, turtle habitat continues to be negatively impacted through human induced degradation. Continuing coastal development seriously reduces the quantity and quality of available nesting habitat. Turtles are also negatively impacted by commercial fishing gear and constitute a significant bycatch in various trawl fisheries. Additionally, the increasing presence of pollutants and debris in the pelagic habitat, such as tar balls and plastics, impacts turtles, particularly juveniles. The extent of all these negative impacts
is not limited to the United States. These same adverse factors hinder recovery efforts throughout the entire sea turtle habitat around the world. Fortunately, there are increased efforts to promote international cooperation in turtle recovery through international and regional symposia and publications.
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