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This is the eighth annual Large Pelagic Logbook Newsletter. The primary purpose of this report is to summarize data and activities related to the mandatory large pelagics logbook and observer programs. This newsletter serves as a vehicle for dissemination of information to those directly involved in the fishery. In addition to updating catch, effort, CPUE, and location information, and detailing revisions to logbook reporting in 1999, this year's newsletter includes sections pertaining to swordfish, yellowfin, bigeye and albacore stock status, bycatch, mandatory dealer reporting, the longline observer program, and other related studies.

Comments and suggestions are invited; see section "WHOM TO CONTACT FOR WHAT."

## COMPARISON OF 1995-1997 LOGBOOK CATCH AND EFFORT DATA

Nine summary tables are included in this newsletter. The numbers of swordfish, tunas, and billfish reported caught, by area, for 1995, 1996 and 1997 (preliminary) are given for longline (Tables la-1c) and gillnet (Tables 2a-2b). Longline effort is reported in hooks and numbers of boats and gillnet effort is reported in sets and numbers of boats. The longline boat statistics are from logbook reports that were considered to represent all pelagic longline sets including summary records; bottom longline records were excluded. Exclusion of longline records does not exclude all set targeting species other than swordfish and tuna.

Between 1996 and 1997 reported longline effort (hooks set) decreased by $30 \%$ to $50 \%$ in the CAR, SAB, and TUN and by $60 \%$ to $80 \%$ in the NCA and SAR. Effort increased by $29 \%$ in the FEC and more than doubled in the TUS. The number of vessels reporting fishing in the TUS went from 10 in 1996 to 21 in 1997. Effort reported in all other areas in 1997 was within $15 \%$ of the effort reported in 1996.

Total reported longline effort for 1997 was slightly lower than reported for 1996 . The total number of longline boats decreased in 1997 from the levels reported in 1995 and 1996.


Figure 1. Map designating the eleven areas used in analysis of the swordfish logbook data.

Locations of areas are shown in Figure 1. Definitions are as follows: area 1 - Caribbean ${ }^{1}$ (CAR), area 2 - Gulf of Mexico (GOM), area 3Florida East Coast ${ }^{1}$ (FEC), area 4 - South Atlantic Bight ${ }^{1}$ (SAB), area 5 - Mid Atlantic Bight ${ }^{1}$ (MAB), area 6 - Northeast Coastal ${ }^{1}$ (NEC), area 7 Northeast Distant ${ }^{1}$ (NED), area 8 - Sargasso ' (SAR), area 9 - North Central Atlantic ${ }^{1}$ (NCA), area 10-Tuna North ${ }^{1}$ - (TUN), and area 11 Tuna South ${ }^{1}$ (TUS).

The reported yellowfin tuna catch for the three-year period was approximately 84,000 (1995), 67,000 (1996), and 71,000 (1997) fish, respectively. Numbers of yellowfin tuna reported caught increased by $6 \%$ from 1996 to 1997.

In the GOM, the reported catch of yellowfin in numbers increased annually from 1990 through 1992 and decreased annually from 1992 to 1995. GOM catches of yellowfin in 1996 and 1997 were higher than in 1995. In the MAB, the reported yellowfin catch in numbers increased annually from 1992 through 1995. In 1996 yellowfin catches decreased and remained at that level in 1997.

In 1995 there were approximately 103,000 swordfish tabulated from longline records (caught $=\mathrm{kept}+$ discarded $)$. There were approximately 96,000 swordfish reported in 1996; and 86,000 reported in 1997 (preliminary). Reported swordfish catch has declined annually from 1995 to 1997. The corresponding reported fishing effort for the
three years was roughly $10.0,10.3$, and 9.0 million hooks, respectively (Tables la-lc). The number of reported hooks fished decreased by $13 \%$, in 1997 compared to 1996.

With the exception of the MAB, NEC, and TUS, all other areas (Figure 1), reported decreases in annual swordfish catch by longline boats in 1997 compared to 1996.

The gillnet fishery was closed from December 1996 through August 1998 in order to address a suite of fishery management issues including the reduction of marine mammal interactions. Table $2 a$ and $2 b$ contain the reported gillnet effort and catch for 1995 and 1996.

REPORTED FISHING LOCATIONS IN 1995, 1996, AND 1997

The location of reported commercial pelagic fishing effort by year for 1995-1997 is shown in Figures 2-4. The general pattern for reported sets is similar across the three years along the U.S. coastline. Fishing effort increased and expanded geographically in the southern offshore areas in 1996. This trend has continued with notably increased effort in TUS in 1997.

## CPUE DATA

Tables 3a-3c represent 1995, 1996, and 1997 (preliminary) data, respectively, for swordfish and yellowfin tuna. These data are yearly totals, by areas as (defined in Figure 1) for: number of fish Kept; number Discarded dead and Discarded alive; Kept+Discarded; effort in HOOKS; the Number of sets; and the average of the individual catch rates, $\mathrm{AV}(\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E})$ (equivalent to average CPUE). This summary includes all gears that reported fishing with hooks that were not thought to be summary records. As such, this would include effort directed at species other than swordfish or tunas.

The totals reported in Tables la through Ic are different from the totals in Tables 3a through 3 c because different criteria were used in selecting the records to be used. Tables la through ic
represent data from longline boats only, including summary reports filed by longline boats. Tables 3 a through 3 c represent all records that reported hooks except summary reports. Gears represented include, but are not limited to, longline, bottom longline, and rod and reel boats.

The data summarized here are considered to represent nominal CPUE. No attempt has been made in this summary to standardize the data for factors not related to fish abundance, but known to affect the CPUE values. Those analyses are carried out for the purpose of stock assessments, and are reported elsewhere.

The reported swordfish catch rates in 1995 for the CAR, FEC, SAB, NED and the NCA were, respectively, approximately 2.3 fish/ 100 hooks, 2.4 fish/100 hooks, 1.3 fish/100 hooks, 2.8 fish/ 100 hooks and 1.9 fish/ 100 hooks (Table 3a); in 1996 approximately 2.0 fish/ 100 hooks, 2.6 fish/ 100 hooks, 1.2 fish $/ 100$ hooks, 2.5 fish $/ 100$ hooks and 1.3 fish $/ 100$ hooks (Table 3b); and in 1997 (preliminary) approximately 2.0 fish/ 100 hooks, 2.2 fish $/ 100$ hooks, 1.5 fish/ 100 hooks, 2.1 fish/ 100 hooks and 1.5 fish $/ 100$ hooks (Table 3c). The best reported 1997 swordfish catch rates in an offshore area were in the TUS ( 2.4 fish/ 100 hooks).

Average reported CPUEs for yellowfin, on an annual basis, have been consistently high from the GOM fishery until 1996. The reported catch rates in the GOM in 1995 were approximately 2.7 fish/100 hooks (Table 3a); in 1996 approximately 0.8 fish/100 hooks(Table 3b); and in 1997 approximately 1.3 fish $/ 100$ hooks (Table 3c). The highest CPUE reported for 1997 was 1.8 fish/ 100 hooks in the MAB.

Monthly reported CPUEs for swordfish, yellowfin, bigeye, and albacore from 1987 to 1997 are shown in Figures 5a -5d. The error bars represent +2 standard errors from the mean. SWORDFISH STOCK STATUS

A summary of the resource status of north and south Atlantic swordfish, updated by the 1998 ICCAT, is shown in the Table 4.


Figure 3. Location and density of reported longline effort in 1995.


Figure 4. Location and density of reported longline effort in 1996.


Figure 5. Location and density of reported longline effort in 1997


Figure 5a. Monthly Swordfish CPUE's 1987-1997


Figure 5b. Monthly Yellowfin CPUE's
1987-1997


Figure 5c. Monthly Bigeye CPUE's


Figure 5d. Monthly Albacore CPUE's
1987-1997

Projections from the 1996 assessment indicated that large reduction in yield and fishing mortality rate would be required to rebuild the north Atlantic stock in the short or medium term. Most of the updated north Atlantic CPUE data show similar tends as those in recent years with one important exception -- the recruitment index from the Spanish longline fishery used in the last assessment shows substantially higher catch rates for age one fish in 1992. This improvement, should it prove to be real, could allow for increased in spawning biomass in the future and a more optimistic outlook, if that year class is permitted to grow to spawning size before harvest.

A quantitative assessment for the South Atlantic stock assumption was conducted for the first time in 1996. This assessment, though, is still considered provisional. Biomass at the beginning of 1996 was estimated to be $99 \%$ of the biomass needed to produce MSY, and the surplus production for 1996 was estimated to be about $14,600 \mathrm{MT}$. Estimated catches since 1996 have exceeded this level and biomass is expected to decline further.

If a total Atlantic stock is assumed, it is unlikely that the view of the status of the sock would be improved from that of the north or south Atlantic status.

## ALBACORE STOCK STATUS

The northern and southern Atlantic albacore stocks were assessed in 1998. A summary of these assessments are shown in the Table 5.

The abundance and biomass of adult fish in the north Atlantic appears to have declined from the mid 1970's, then increased from 1988 to 1991 and then declined again to about the 1988 level. Equilibrium yield per recruit analysis made by the SCRS in 1998 indicated that the northern stock is at or above full exploitation. Equilibrium yield analysis, made on the basis of an estimated relationship between stock size and recruitment, indicated that current fishing mortality may be $30 \%$ to $50 \%$ higher than that which would generate MSY. ICCAT concluded that the northern stock is
probably fully-exploited, but the possibility that it is over-exploited should not be dismissed.

The results from the 1998 assessment of south Atlantic albacore were different from the 1997 results. The main difference was that stock biomass levels are above rather than below MSY levels. Current results indicate that MSY is 28,400 MT and current (1998) replacement yield is 28,200 MT . These differences were probably the result of changes in the estimated trends of several indices of abundance and the revision of recent catch series. Considering the uncertainties of the analysis, and the results of previous assessment, ICCAT concluded that the southern stock is probably being exploited at a high level, close to fully-exploited.

## BIGEYE STOCK STATUS

No new assessment for bigeye tuna was conducted in 1998. A summary of the resource status from the 1997 assessment is shown in the Table 6.

From 1993 to 1996, the total bigeye catch was near or greater than 100,000 MT while the upper estimate for MSY is $90,000 \mathrm{MT}$. This high level of catch represents a substantial increase over the 1989-1990 level by more than $30,000 \mathrm{MT}$ due to increased catch by purse seine, longline and baitboat fisheries. Although MSY levels were not well determined, the recent high catch surpasses estimates from all models considered. It is highly likely that this catch level cannot be sustained in the long term and may result in substantial declines in stock size.

Yield-per-recruit analyses suggests that an increase of yield cannot be expected by intensifying fishing effort of any sector; however, yield-perrecruit can be increased by a reduction of fishing effort in the small-fish fisheries or an increase in the age at first capture. ICCAT recommends that further analysis of the advantages and disadvantages of the 3.2 kg minimum size be conducted.

## YELLOWFIN STOCK STATUS

In 1998, the status of the total Atlantic yellowfin stock was assessed by ICCAT using various production models and several types of VPAs. Summary of the resource status from this assessment is shown in the Table 7.

Production model analyses imply that although yellowfin tuna catches are slightly lower than equilibrium MSY levels, effort may be either above or below the MSY level. VPA analyses indicate that fishing mortality of juvenile yellowfin exhibited a pronounced increasing trend in the late 1980's and early 1990's, but estimates for recent years are uncertain. Preliminary deterministic projections from two of the VPA runs indicated that current catches are sustainable if recruitment continues at or above the average magnitude observed over the last decade. Yield-per-recruit analyses indicate that current (1997) fishing mortality may be close to the level of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$ and that an increase in effort is likely to decrease the yield-per-recruit, while reductions in fishing mortality on fish less than 3.2 kg could result in substantial gains in yield-per-recruit and modest gains in spawning biomass-per-recruit.

In summary, yellowfin landings appear to be close to MSY level and fishing effort and fishing mortality may be in excess of the levels associated with MSY. ICCAT recommended that there be no increase in the level of fishing effort above that observed in 1992 .

## MANDATORY REPORTING IN THE ATLANTIC LARGE PELAGIC FISHERY

Federal regulations require that both fishers and dealers assist the conservation and management of large pelagic species by providing statistics on fishing activity and seafood production respectively. Fishermen are required to submit data on daily fishing activity and catch, which includes individual carcass weights for the swordfish and other large pelagic species. Dealers are required to provide summary data on the landings (purchases) by market or size category and the price or value for the respective categories.

Both fishermen and dealers are required to maintain an active Federal permit to fish for or purchase swordfish.

## Fishers Reporting.

All fishers that fish for and land swordfish are required to have an active permit and report the catches from every set or daily trip. In addition to a completed logbook sheet for every set, fishers are required to submit a copy of the weigh-out or sales receipt that provide the weights for the individual swordfish and other large pelagic species that are caught on the fishing trip. If either of these requirements are not met, the vessel is not in compliance and the vessel's permit can be revoked or denied at the annual renewal.

If the vessel did not fish during a calendar month, a "no-fishing" report must be submitted.

All logbook reports and weigh-outs are to be submitted to the

Southeast Fisheries Science Center<br>Logbook Program

P.O. Box 491740

Key Biscayne, Florida 33149-9915
Questions or requests for clarifications can be directed to Logbook Program at the Southeast Fisheries Science Center, telephone number (305) 361-4581.

During 1997, an active permit for the large pelagic fishery was issued to 1,219 vessels. These permits were not necessarily active during the entire calendar year, nor did all of these vessels actively fish for or catch large pelagic species. If logbooks and weighouts were not submitted for the catch of the 12 months in the reporting period prior to the expiration of the permit, the application for renewal was denied until all reporting was brought up to date.

## NUMBERS OF ACTIVE VESSELS

A compilation of activity related to the vessels permitted during the period 1987 through 1997 is presented in Table 8. "Fished" implies a
vessel submitted at least one positive fishing report during that year, "Caught Swordfish" means the vessel reported catching at least one swordfish during that year and "Caught Swordfish in 5 months" means the vessel reported catching at least one swordfish per month in at least five months of that year. "Hooks Reported" includes all submitted logbooks whether or not they represented single pelagic longline sets, summary records, bottom longline records, or sets with less than 100 hooks fished. For this reason, these numbers are higher than the numbers in Tables la-lc.

## Dealer Reporting.

Permitted dealers are required to provide reports twice a month to the Science and Research Director for either the Northeast Region or the Southeast Region, depending on the dealer's geographical location. Complete and timely information from dealers is critical because these data are used to monitor the fishery quota for swordfish. Dealers are instructed to provide the U.S. Coast Guard documentation or state registration number for every vessel from which they purchased swordfish during each two week reporting period. This information is used to check the dealer data against the daily catch data submitted by fishermen. This cross reference helps the SEFSC determine that all landings are included in the quota monitoring process and it also guards against potential double counting.

Reports should be mailed to:
Science and Research Director
Southeast Fisheries Science Center
National Marine Fisheries Service
75 Virginia Beach Drive
Miami, Florida 33149

## Attention: A. Bertolino

except for a dealer whose principal place of business is in an Atlantic coastal state from Maine through Virginia. The appropriate address for those dealers is:

Northeast Regional Office<br>National Marine Fisheries Service<br>1Blackburn Dr<br>Gloucester, MA 01930

## Attention: Greg Power

For most dealers in the Northeast Region, NMFS port agents contact and collect the dealer reports.

At sometime during calendar year 1997, a Federal dealer permit was held by 258 dealers. Of this total, 89 dealers had their primary location in the Northeast Region and the remaining 169 dealers had their primary location in the Southeast Region, which includes the Caribbean. Overall, compliance with the reporting requirements has been good in this area. However, dealers that do not cooperate with the NMFS and do not submit the required bi-monthly reports will have their application for a permit renewal denied, and NMFS Law Enforcement will be notified. It should be noted that a report is required for every two week period, even if large pelagic species were not purchased. If no purchases were made, the respective Center Director must be informed. In the Southeast Region, a form so-stating must be submitted.

## SWORDFISH LANDINGS

The Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC), Miami Laboratory, is responsible for compiling the landings of U.S caught Atlantic swordfish from mandatory reporting data. The monthly reported landings for 1990-1997 may be found in Table 9. U.S. Atlantic swordfish landings decreased each year from 1990 to 1994, increased somewhat in 1995, then decreased again in 1996 and 1997.

Monthly cumulative annual landings of U.S. Atlantic swordfish are compared in Figure 6 for years 1990-1997. Yearly U.S. Atlantic swordfish landings from 1991 to 1997 were lower than 1990 landings. These lower levels are, in part, the result of the minimum size regulation and due to fishery closures when allowable landing levels for the directed fishery were achieved.


Figure 6. SWORDFISH LANDINGS

## SWORDFISH LANDED IN THE U.S.

|  | $1,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. | $1,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. <br> Year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1989 | 10,582 | 14,075 |
| 1990 | 9,107 | 12,112 |
| 1991 | 7,142 | 9,499 |
| 1992 | 6,383 | 8,489 |
| 1993 | 6,274 | 8,345 |
| 1994 | 5,578 | 7,419 |
| 1995 | 6,764 | 8,996 |
| 1996 | 5,889 | 7,832 |
| 1997 | 4,933 | 6,561 |

SWORDFISH < 41 LBS. DRESSED WEIGHT NUMBER AND PERCENT LANDED BY MONTH BY AREA

The cumulative percent of fish landed less than 41 lbs dressed weight from all areas and all months fell from $38 \%$ in 1990 to $13 \%$ in 1993 and then went up to $16 \%$ in 1997 (Table 11). The within area percentage landed catch of fish less than 41 lbs decreased in most areas between 1991 and 1995, but increased slightly in 1996 and 1997 (Table 11). The highest numbers of undersize fish landed in 1997 were from the GOM region (Tables 10, $11 \& 12$ ).

## SWORDFISH < 41 LBS. DRESSED WEIGHT PERCENT LANDED

The proportion of U.S. Atlantic swordfish landed which were smaller than 41 lbs dressed weight has decreased since 1990 (Figure 7). In 1990 the highest number of fish landed were in the 2141 lb category. In 1991 this peak shifted to the $41-60 \mathrm{lb}$ category where it has since remained.


Figure 7. U.S. CATCH AT SIZE

## SWORDFISH SIZE FREQUENCY

The proportion of swordfish landed which were less than 41 lbs dressed weight in size frequency samples from U.S. longline vessels, decreased from 1989 through 1995, then went up slightly in 1996 and 1997 (Figure 8a). The initial decrease resulted from the minimum size measure put in place in mid 1991. The increase in 1996 and 1997 is probably the result of lowering the minimum size from 41 lbs to approximately 33 lbs in mid 1996. The proportion of swordfish landed which were less than 33 lbs dressed weight is shown in Figure 8b.


Figure 8a. SWORDFISH SIZE FREQUENCY


Figure 8b. SWORDFISH SIZE FREQUENCY

## BYCATCH ESTIMATION

The 1997 observer and 1997 logbook records were used to estimate the number of discarded dead swordfish $(32,058)$, blue marlin $(2,190)$, white marlin $(3,658)$, and sailfish $(2,739)$, coastal sharks $(5,871)$ and pelagic sharks $(9,284)$.

## RECENT RESEARCH

TAGGING HIGHLIGHTS
In 1997 researchers from the national Marine Fisheries Service, the New England Aquarium, and Stanford University released 160 bluefin tuna with implanted archival tags and 57 bluefin tuna with pop-off tags. Four of the archival tags have been recaptured and data has been recovered from 52 of the pop-off tags (Turner, 1998). Conventional tags were attached to 2,974 bluefin tuna in 1996 and 2,436 bluefin tuna in 1997 by a catch and release fishery off the coast of North Carolina.


Figure 9. Long range swordfish migrations from 1996 and 1997 tag returns.

Annual releases of tagged swordfish decreased to an average of about 650 fish per year for the period of 1995 to 1997 compared to an annual average of about 1,200 fish for years 1991 to 1994. U.S. longline vessels reported 13 recoveries of tagged swordfish in 1996 and 19 recoveries of tagged swordfish in 1997. In Figure 9 straight lines are drawn between release and recovery locations of swordfish that were recovered in 1996 or 1997 in cases where release and recovery locations were significantly different

## GENETICS

Results from research projects related to swordfish stock hypothesis were presented at the 1998 SCRS. Genetic analysis of Mitochondrial (Alvarado et. al., 1998a) and nuclear DNA (Greig et. al., 1998) supported differentiation between Pacific, Atlantic, and Mediterranean swordfish populations. There is also evidence of genetic differentiation between the Northwest Atlantic (Georges Banks and the Caribbean) and the South Atlantic (Gulf of Guinea and Brazil-Uruguay) (Alvarado et. al., 1998b). These studies support the stock hypotheses currently being used by ICCAT. Spanish scientists are examining parasite infestation levels in swordfish in different regions with the goal of finding biological tags that can be used to identify geographical locations for different stocks of swordfish (Castro and Mejuto, 1998). Three parasites (Tentacularia coryphaenae, Penella filosa, and Anisakis simplex) have been identified which may be useful in differentiating between north and south Atlantic swordfish stocks.

Research related to bluefin tuna stock hypotheses was also presented. Preliminary genetic analysis of mitochondrial DNA from bluefin tuna caught in the western Atlantic and in the Mediterranean Sea suggests that fish from these areas may have genetic differences that can be used to separate western Atlantic and Mediterranean sub-populations (Alvarado Bremer, 1998c). More extensive analyses are underway which should provide a more accurate picture of the northern bluefin tuna population substructure.

## PELAGIC OBSERVER PROGRAM

The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) continues its scientific observer sampling of the U.S. large pelagic fleet, as mandated by the U.S. Swordfish Fisheries Management Plan. Scientific observers are placed aboard vessels participating in the Atlantic large pelagic fisheries by the Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC) and the Northeast Fisheries Science Center NEFSC) since 1992. Until 1996, coverage by the SEFSC Pelagic Observer Program (POP) took place, but is not limited, to vessels fishing in the

Atlantic south of Virginia. The scientific observer program contracted and monitored by the NEFSC was responsible for large pelagic fleet fishing the waters of the Mid-Atlantic Bight ${ }^{1}$ to the Grand Banks. Beginning in 1996, the SEFSC assumed the responsibility of covering all of the geographical areas of the northwest Atlantic.

A scientific observer is placed on board the vessel to record detailed information on gear characteristics, the location and time of the gear set and retrieval, environmental conditions, the condition and status of the animals caught by the gear (alive or dead, kept or discarded), as well as morphometric measurements (length and weight) and sex identification when possible (Figure 10). Observers also record the occasional interaction of marine mammals and sea turtles. The collection of biological samples (anal finrays, heads, reproductive, heart tissue, etc.) from some animals are used to support research studies to learn more about fish biology and life history behavior.

Catch data collected between May of 1992 and December of 1996 by the POP has been summarized in a newsletter (NOAA Technical Memorandum, NMFS-SEFSC-408) which are available upon request. The POP continued its coverage through 1997 and data through 1998 are now computerized for analysis. Of the fish recorded by observers from 1992-1997 and summarized in various species groups, (Figure 10) swordfish was the highest percent occurrence (27\%) species.


1992-1997
Figure 10. Catch reported by scientific observers on U.S. longline vessels: swordfish (a), billfish (b), yellowfin, bigeye and bluefin tuna (c), other tunas (d), sharks and rays (e), unknown species (f),
finfish (g), marine turtles, marine mammals, and birds (h).

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR USING THE PELAGIC LOGBOOKS FOR 1998

Samples of forms and directions for filling out forms are presented in Figures 11-16. There are 4 forms used for pelagic logbook reports in 1999: (1) a "trip summary" form, (2) a voluntary cost and earnings form, (3) a "set" form, and (4) a "no fishing " form. The trip summary form must be completed for every fishing trip when swordfish are caught and retained on board. A set form must be completed for ever set made. A trip summary, set forms and a "tally" sheet must be submitted for every completed trip.

The voluntary cost and earnings form is used to provide information on the costs associated with the fishing trip. This information is voluntary.

The "no-fishing" form may be used to report no fishing in the swordfish/large pelagic, South Atlantic snapper-grouper, Gulf of Mexico reef fish, and shark fisheries. If the vessel did not fish in more than one of these fisheries, ONLY SUBMIT ONE "NO-FISHING" FORM. Check the space by each of the fisheries in which the vessel did not fish. Do NOT check fisheries for which your vessel does not have an active permit.

All forms are to be mailed in the preaddressed, postage-paid envelopes that are included. If you mail the forms in another envelope, please use the following address:

## NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE

ATTN: LOGBOOK PROGRAM
P.O. BOX 491500

KEY BISCAYNE, FLORIDA 33149-9916
If there are question regarding completion of this form, please contact the Logbook Program at (305) 361-4581.

Monthly reporting for individuals holding a Swordfish permit will be considered complete and in compliance with the regulations only if 1) the trip summaries for each trip completed during the month, individual set records for each set made during the trip(s), and tally records for all fish sold are provided or, 2) a no fishing report is provided.

Again, as noted on the new logbook forms, use of the current year forms will be necessary for compliance. Further, all old forms should be destroyed upon receipt of the 1999 forms.

## WHOM TO CONTACT FOR WHAT

Any questions concerning Atlantic large pelagic resources swordfish projects at the Southeast Fisheries Science Center, NMFS, can be directed to Dr. Gerald Scott at (305) 361-4220 questions concerning processing and analyzing the logbook data can be directed to Dr. Jean Cramer at (305) 361-4493. Information concerning permits can be directed to (727) 570-5326 Those needing 1999 logbooks can contact the logbook program at (305) 361-4581. Questions about the observer program should be directed to Dennis Lee (305) 361-4247 or Cheryl Brown (305) 361-4275. If you have comments on this newsletter, or other comments, you can write them on your logbook reports or send them to Dr. Jean Cramer, SEFSC, NMFS, 75 Virginia Beach Drive, Miami, FL 33149.
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Figure 11. 1999 PELAGIC LOGBOOK - TRIP SUMMARY FORM (The Blue Book)

## 1999 PELAGIC LOGBOOK TRIP SUMMARY



NOAA Schedule :

Vessel Name:
Vessel No.:


Port \& State Departure:


Date of Departure:


Number of Sets Placed:
Number of Days Fished:


Nashed.


Dealer Name(s):
$\qquad$

Contact Phone Number: ( ) -
Capt Signature:
Port \& State of Landing: $\qquad$ $\square$ Date of Landing:

First Day of Offloading:
 Last Day of Offloading: $\square$
Date of First Set
Date of Last set


Federal Dealer Permit No.



Figure 12. INSTRUCTIONS FOR PELAGIC LOGBOOK TRIP SUMMARY FORMS

## Instructions for the Trip Summary Form

Please use a ball point pen and print clearly.
On the blue form (top page), record the following:

- Vessel Name
- Vessel No.: U.S. Coast Guard vessel identification number or state registration number as recorded on permit application
- Capt. Signature: signature of the captain for the trip
- Contact Telephone: telephone number of person responsible for the records
- Port \& State of Departure: location of port from which the trip commenced
- Date of Departure: calendar day on which the trip was started
- Port \& State of Landing: location of port that vessel arrived in
- Date of Landing: calendar day that vessel returned to port
- First day of Offloading: calendar day(s) that vessel began offloading fish
- Last day of Offloading: calendar day(s) that vessel finished offloading fish
- No. of Sets Placed: number of times the fishing gear was set during the trip
- No. of Days Fished: number of days that fishing gear was used
- Date of First Set: calendar day that fishing gear was first set
- Date of Last Set: calendar day that fishing gear was last used
- Dealer Name(s): list of names of dealers purchasing the harvest
- Federal Dealer Permit Number(s)

Remove page, attach corresponding set forms and tally sheet, and mail within 7 days.
The trip summary information recorded on the blue form will be transferred to the following green form where the expenditures and payments information is requested.

NOTE: All data provided are CONFIDENTLAL and will be used to determine the impact of existing and proposed management policies on fishery participants. Consistent and accurate reporting is critical to the success of future policies in achieving the stated objective of increasing net benefits.

On the green form (second page), record the following:

- Expenditures on Supplies: quantity and total cost of bait, fuel, and ice used during the trip and the total costs of docking and unloading fees, tackle/gear (e.g., light sticks, line, gangions, hooks, buoys), groceries, and other miscellaneous expenses.

Note: If any of these supplies were not purchased for this trip (e.g. light sticks are left over from previous trips, bait is harvested prior to fishing in the directed fisheries, or the vessel has an ice machine on board) please estimate and enter the value of the quantities used during the trip. If this is not possible, please make a note of this on the green form.

- End of Trip Payments: total payments made to the owner (if not owner-operated), captain, and crew, also note the total number of crew members (excluding the captain) that will share the crew payment.

This page should be sent when all the information is available, but no later than 45 days after the sale of the fish.

Figure 13. 1999 PELAGIC LOGBOOK - VOLUNTARY COST AND EARNINGS QUESTIONS



Figure 14. PELAGIC LOGBOOK SET FORM (The White Book)


Figure 15. INSTRUCTIONS FOR PELAGIC LOGBOOK SET FORM
Revised (9-98)
IMPORTANT INSTRUCTIONS
Please print all information clearly.
DESTROY OLD FORMS. USE ONLY CURRENT YEAR FORMS.
$\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow$ Please use a separate log sheet for each set.
Record the, Official Vessel Number.
Designate primary Target species.
Record Gear Used.

Record Set Date (calendar day when set began) and Haulback Date.
Enter Times when using longlines or gillnets for:
-- Begin Set and Begin Haulback (designate AM or PM)

- End Set and End Haulback (designate AM or PM)

At the start of each set, record the location to the nearest degree of LAT (Latitude) and LON (Longitude), and the Surface
Water Temperature, in degrees Fahrenheit.
Enter the following data for each set if using Longline gear:

- Number of hooks set
-- Number of hooks between floats
-- Number of light sticks
-- Length of Mainline (in miles)
-- Length of Gangions (in fathoms)
- Length of Floatline (in fathoms)
-- Did you use a line thrower?
-- Were you tending or rebaiting hooks before haulback? If yes, specify how many hooks were rebaited.
- Bait: indicate Live, Dead or Artificial.

Enter the following data for each set if using Gillnet:

- Mesh Size (in inches)
- Total drift gillnet net length (in fathoms)
-- Fishing Depth Range (Depth of top and of Bottom of net in fathoms)
Record Estimated total dressed weight (in pounds) of fish kept.
Record NUMBERS OF SWORDFISH, TUNAS, SHARKS AND OTHER SPECIES KEPT AND THROWN BACK. Specify the number of fish that were thrown back Alive and the number thrown back Dead.


## Record NUMBERS OF SEA TURTLES INVOLVED

- Total Number Involved. Write down the total number of each sea turtle species that were caught in, or interacted with, your fishing gear for the period of your report.
-- Number Injured. Write down the number of each sea turtle species that were injured while in, or by, your fishing gear.
-- Number Dead. Write down the number of each sea turtle species that were observed to be dead while in, or by, your fishing gear.

Mail original logs to NMFS at the end of the fishing trip in pre-addressed envelopes along with the Trip Summary Form and weighout slip.

Mailing should be postmarked not later than the 7th day after the sale of the catch.
Monthly reporting for individuals holding a Swordfish permit will be considered complete and in compliance with the regulations only if 1) the trip summaries for each trip completed during the month, individual set records for each set made during the trip(s), and tally records for all fish sold are provided or 2 ) a no fishing report is provided.

Figure 16. NO FISHING FORM.

Form \# NOF2000
NMFS Use Only:
Opened:
Schedute \#

## NO FISHING REPORTED FORM

Vessel ID. NO. $\square$ Vessel Name:

During the entire month of fisheries checked below:
 this vessel DID NOT FISH in the
, more than one fishery may be checked
, DO NOT check any fishery if your vessel does not have a permit for it
> Use Black Ink
Oelagic (Swordfish)
South Atlantic Snapper-Grouper
Gulf of Mexico Reef Fish
O Shark
O King Mackerel
Spanish Mackerel
Signature


Table 1. TOTAL NUMBER OF SWORDFISH, TUNA, AND BLLLFISH REPORTED CAUGHT BY LONGLINE BOATS, BY AREA, AND EFFORT IN NUMBER OF HOOKS, FROM THE SWORDFISH MANDATORY LOGBOOKS, FOR (a) 1995, (b) 1996 and (c) 1997 (PRELIMINARY). NUMBERS CAUGHT REPRESENT KEPT PLUS DISCARDED (DEAD OR ALIVE). SEE FIGURE 1 FOR DESIGNATION OF AREAS. (SWD=SWORDFISH; YFT=YELLOWFIN; BET=BIGEYE; BFT=BLUEFIN; ALB=ALBACORE; WHM=WHITE MARLIN; BUM=BLUE MARLIN; SAI=SAILFISH.)

| Ming isk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | SWD | YFT | BET | BFT | ALB | WHM | BUM | SAI | HOOKS | BOATS |
| CAR | 13572 | 1857 | 1309 | 0 | 181 | 272 | 699 | 89 | 598704 | 42 |
| GOM | 16626 | 25717 | 880 | 116 | 128 | 645 | 553 | 666 | 2648273 | 140 |
| FEC | 13560 | 1017 | 1355 | 44 | 494 | 120 | 134 | 159 | 643091 | 67 |
| SAB | 10234 | 7373 | 125 | 41 | 116 | 191 | 262 | 164 | 853595 | 77 |
| MAB | 7149 | 35770 | 8437 | 1764 | 5181 | 834 | 166 | 18 | 2385664 | 108 |
| NEC | 4026 | 7137 | 6378 | 1163 | 4187 | 363 | 63 | 1 | 1054433 | 56 |
| NED | 21615 | 526 | 3731 | 22 | 323 | 22 | 16 | 0 | 766685 | 24 |
| SAR | 206 | 40 | 88 | 18 | 142 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 25480 | 12 |
| NCA | 14987 | 1599 | 954 | 13 | 3534 | 338 | 385 | 42 | 854809 | 38 |
| TUN | 1154 | 3215 | 351 | 0 | 173 | 378 | 594 | 97 | 224784 | 15 |
| TUS | 8 | 75 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 3000 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 103137 | 84326 | 23615 | 3181 | 14459 | 3166 | 2897 | 1238 | 10058518 | 299 |


| 10.\%ザ\% | SWD. MTT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area |  |  | BET | BFT. | AlB | WHM. | BUM | SAI | Hooks | BOATS |
| CAR | 12784 | 792 | 842 | 0 | 294 | 171 | 463 | 44 | 643388 | 57 |
| com | 19064 | 36130 | 405 | 112 | 126 | 919 | 848 | 566 | 3619064 | 140 |
| FEC | 13516 | 765 | 1433 | 29 | 264 | 109 | 203 | 304 | 592186 | 72 |
| SAB | 16003 | 6193 | 1051 | 81 | 594 | 287 | 386 | 248 | 1657008 | 86 |
| MAB | 1988 | 10138 | 2268 | 197 | 479 | 275 | 50 | 20 | 1029311 | 84 |
| NEC | 1670 | 5890 | 4980 | 1465 | 930 | 408 | 252 | 10 | 1092673 | 62 |
| NED | 14570 | 363 | 3572 | 15 | 896 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 599900 | 22 |
| SAR | 731 | 79 | 380 | 16 | 389 | 33 | 6 | 2 | 78985 | 12 |
| NCA | 6607 | 1350 | 585 | 1 | 872 | 161 | 135 | 21 | 490109 | 34 |
| TUN | 4513 | 4577 | 1718 | 0 | 219 | 422 | 824 | 188 | 385431 | 16 |
| TUS | 4423 | 752 | 851 | 0 | 85 | 35 | 122 | 39 | 170032 | 10 |
| TOTAL | 95959 | 67029 | 1808 | 1916 | 5148 | 2832 | 3292 | 1442 | 10331087 | 270 |


| 1 c. 399 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aren | SWD | YFT | BEF | BFI. | Alib | WHM | BUM | SAI | Hooks | BOATS |
| CAR | 8060 | 326 | 549 | 2 | 209 | 153 | 292 | 40 | 425025 | 45 |
| GOM | 15388 | 35009 | 426 | 114 | 290 | 359 | 497 | 600 | 3109363 | 115 |
| FEC | 13224 | 1935 | 2913 | 44 | 746 | 96 | 171 | 191 | 761500 | 72 |
| SAB | 11462 | 2739 | 188 | 18 | 260 | 140 | 154 | 121 | 915961 | 67 |
| MAB | 4247 | 10356 | 5173 | 155 | 1816 | 269 | 38 | 3 | 1117324 | 80 |
| NEC | 5379 | 14780 | 6066 | 465 | 2635 | 416 | 53 | 0 | 1218386 | 56 |
| NED | 14262 | 85 | 3060 | 45 | 969 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 676026 | 23 |
| SAR | 336 | 23 | 57 | 1 | 30 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 19020 | 6 |
| NCA | 2935 | 194 | 220 | 2 | 174 | 89 | 69 | 7 | 192614 | 26 |
| TUN | 1520 | 1839 | 522 | 0 | 78 | 251 | 605 | 222 | 198696 | 21 |
| TUS | 9182 | 3702 | 3222 | 0 | 201 | 505 | 353 | 495 | 383600 | 21 |
| total | 85995 | 70988 | 22396 | 846 | 7408 | 2291 | 2236 | 1680 | 9017515 | 253 |

Table 2. TOTAL NUMBER OF SWORDFISH, TUNA, AND BILLFISH REPORTED CAUGHT BY GILLNET BOATS, BY AREA, AND EFFORT N NUMBER OF SETS AND NUMBER OF BOATS, FROM THE SWORDFISH MANDATORY LOGBOOKS, FOR (a) 1995, (b) 1996 (PRELIMINARY). GILLNET FISHERY WAS CLOSED IN 1997. NUMBERS CAUGHT REPRESENT KEPT PLUS DISCARDED (DEAD OR ALIVE). SEE FIGURE 1 FOR DESIGNATION OF AREAS. (SWD=SWORDFISH; YFT=YELLOWFIN; BET=BIGEYE; BFT=BLUEFIN; ALB=ALBACORE; WHM=WHITE MARLIN; BUM=BLUE MARLIN; SAI=SAILFISH.)


| 24. 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | SWD | YFT | BET | BFT | Alin | WHM | BUM | SAI | SEIS | BOATS |
| MAB | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 |
| NEC | 877 | 64 | 10 | 35 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 9 |
| TOTAL | 880 | 64 | 11 | 35 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 11 |

Table 3. YEARLY TABULATIONS FOR SWORDFISH AND YELLOWFIN TUNA FOR (a) 1995, (b) 1996 AND (c) 1997 (PRELIMINARY). THE AREAS ARE DEFINED IN FIGURE 1. $\mathbb{I N F O R M A T I O N ~ I N C L U D E S ~ N U M B E R ~ O F ~ F I S H ~ K E P T ~}$ PLUS DISCARDED (K\&D); PERCENTAGE KEPT (\%K), PERCENTAGE DISCARDED DEAD (\%D DEAD, PERCENTAGE DISCARDED ALIVE (\%D LIVE); EFFORT IN HOOKS (HOOKS); NUMBER OF SETS (N); AND AVERAGE OF THE INDIVIDUAL CATCH RATES [AVG(C/E)], EQUIVALENT TO CPUE $\mathbb{I N}$ \# OF FISH/ 100 HOOKS.

| $\delta\}$ | $18 \%$ |  | SWORDFISH |  |  |  |  | YELLOWFIN |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AREA | H00ks | N | K80. | $\%$ \% | DEAD | $\begin{gathered} \% 0 \\ \text { LIVE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AVG} \\ \mathrm{CL} \end{gathered}$ | 180. | $\% \mathrm{~K}$ | DEAD | $\begin{aligned} & \angle \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{IVE} \end{aligned}$ | AVG CE |
| CAR | 603874 | 1362 | 13498 | 81 | 10 | 8 | 2.297 | 1865 | 89 | 1 | 8 | 0.292 |
| GOM | 3205894 | 4311 | 17444 | 60 | 25 | 14 | 1.134 | 27078 | 97 | 1 | 0 | 2.649 |
| FEC | 677955 | 2119 | 13519 | 53 | 30 | 15 | 2.375 | 1016 | 93 | 2 | 3 | 0.127 |
| SAB | 1046666 | 1945 | 10211 | 63 | 23 | 13 | 1.278 | 7367 | 97 | 1 | 1 | 1.275 |
| MAB | 2552893 | 3618 | 7115 | 50 | 28 | 20 | 0.293 | 35633 | 96 | 1 | 1 | 1.457 |
| $\mathrm{NEC}$ | 1061338 | 1291 | 4020 | 53 | 24 | 22 | 0.396 | 7129 | 95 | 2 | 1 | 0.709 |
| NED | 775395 | 986 | 21548 | 78 | 12 | 8 | 2.830 | 769 | 96 | 0 | 3 | 0.097 |
| SAR | 25480 | 41 | 206 | 80 | 7 | 11 | 0.904 | 40 | 97 | 0 | 2 | 0.162 |
| NCA | 862328 | 1216 | 14938 | 92 | 4 | 3 | 1.983 | 1755 | 95 | 0 | 3 | 2.722 |
| IUN | 224784 | 319 | 1154 | 69 | 11 | 19 | 0.510 | 3215 | 97 | 1 | 0 | 1.465 |
| TUS | 3000 | 5 | 8 | 25 | 25 | 50 | 0.263 | 75 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 2.531 |
| TOTAL | 11039607 | 17213 | 103661 | 70 | 18 | 11 | 1.308 | 85942 | 96 | 1 | 1 | 1.432 |



| ic |  |  | SWORDFISH |  |  |  |  | YELLOWFAV |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AREA | HOOKS. | N | $K \& D$ | \%K |  | $\% D$ LIVE | $\mathrm{AVG}$ | 4\&D. | $\% \mathrm{~K}$ |  | \%DUTVE | AVO CLE |
| CAR | 425025 | 855 | 8029 | 84 | 7 | 7 | 1.958 | 326 | 88 | 3 | 8 | 0.074 |
| GOM | 3433067 | 4858 | 16260 | 68 | 18 | 13 | 0.719 | 36615 | 98 | 1 | 0 | 1.281 |
| FEC | 775749 | 2312 | 13200 | 66 | 20 | 13 | 2.163 | 1903 | 96 | 2 | 1 | 0.218 |
| SAB | 969556 | 1736 | 11438 | 72 | 16 | 10 | 1.485 | 2732 | 96 | 0 | 3 | 0.280 |
| MAB | 1169368 | 1813 | 4240 | 53 | 24 | 21 | 0.423 | 10332 | 97 | 2 | 0 | 1.753 |
| NEC | 1218591 | 1492 | 5360 | 69 | 15 | 14 | 0.469 | 14694 | 98 | 1 | 0 | 1.222 |
| NED | 676026 | 744 | 14200 | 88 | 7 | 4 | 2.131 | 85 | 88 | 9 | 2 | 0.012 |
| SAR | 21020 | 27 | 336 | 91 | 4 | 4 | 1.575 | 23 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0.101 |
| NCA | 192724 | 247 | 2931 | 94 | 2 | 3 | 1.518 | 167 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0.077 |
| TUN | 198696 | 255 | 1519 | 85 | 7 | 7 | 0.782 | 1830 | 91 | 7 | 0 | 0.930 |
| TUS | 383600 | 464 | 9114 | 92 | 4 | 3 | 2.420 | 3696 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0.982 |
| TOTAL | 9463422 | 14803 | 86627 | 76 | 13 | 10 | 1.185 | 72403 | 97 | 1 | 0 | 0.87 |

Table 4. ATLANTIC SWORDFISH RESOURCE STATUS SUMMARY


[^0]Table 5. ATLANTIC AND MEDITERRANEAN ALBACORE RESOURCE STATUS SUMMARY

${ }^{1}$ In effect since January 1, 1998 for countries/entities/fishing entities fishing (more than 1,000 MT) albacore in the south Atlantic

- = not estimated

Table 6. BIGEYE TUNA RESOURCE STATUS SUMMARY


[^1]Table 7. YELLOWFIN TUNA RESOURCE STATUS SUMMARY


Table 8. NUMBERS OF ACTIVE VESSELS

| YEAR | FISHED | Caught SWORDFISH | CAUGHT SWORDFISH. IN 5 MONTHS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOOKS } \\ & \text { REPORTED } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1987 | 296 | 273 | 180 | 6,556,416 |
| 1988 | 387 | 337 | 210 | 7,009,508 |
| 1989 | 455 | 415 | 250 | 7,941,675 |
| 1990 | 416 | 362 | 209 | 7,500,450 |
| 1991 | 342 | 308 | 175 | 7,744,997 |
| 1992 | 337 | 303 | 183 | 9,075,451 |
| 1993 | 432 | 305 | 175 | 9,724,645 |
| 1994 | 498 | 304 | 176 | 10,323,542 |
| 1995 | 488 | 314 | 197 | 11,253,430 |
| 1996 | 357 | 271 | 184 | 10,464,875 |
| 1997 | 347 | 261 | 163 | 9,649,315 |

Table 9. MONTHLY SWORDFISH LANDINGS IN LBS DRESSED WEIGHT FROM 1990 TO 1997.


Table 10. PERCENTAGE OF ANNUAL U.S. SWORDFISH LANDED CATCH BY AREAS (TOTAL ANNUAL CATCH OF SWORDFISH IN AREA/ TOTAL ANNUAL CATCH OF SWORDFISH IN ALL AREAS).

| YEAR | CAR' | GOM | FEC | SAB | MAB | NEC | NED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1989 | 20 | 13 | 21 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 24 |
| 1990 | 15 | 11 | 22 | 4 | 12 | 11 | 25 |
| 1991 | 15 | 19 | 23 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 24 |
| 1992 | 14 | 15 | 18 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 31 |
| 1993 | 18 | 14 | 15 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 30 |
| 1994 | 28 | 10 | 14 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 25 |
| 1995 | 34 | 17 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 21 |
| 1996 | 31 | 21 | 11 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 16 |
| 1997 | 30 | 19 | 13 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 18 |

[^2]Table 11. PERCENTAGE OF ANNUAL US SWORDFISH LANDED CATCH < 41 LBS BY AREAS (ANNUAL OF CATCH OF SWORDFISH < 41 LBS IN AREA / TOTAL ANNUAL CATCH OF SWORDFISH IN ALL AREAS).

| YEAR | $\mathrm{CAR}^{1}$ | GOM | FEC | SAB | MAB | NEC | NED | SUM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1989 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 37 |
| 1990 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 38 |
| 1991 | 2 | 10 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 28 |
| 1992 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 16 |
| 1993 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 13 |
| 1994 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 13 |
| 1995 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 12 |
| 1996 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 |
| 1997 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 |
| 1. CAR includes SAR, NCA, TUN, and TUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 12. PERCENTAGE OF SWORDFISH LANDED CATCH < 41 LBS WITHIN AREAS (ANNUAL CATCH OF SWORDFISH < 41 LBS IN AREA / ANNUAL CATCH OF SWORDFISH IN AREA).

| YEAR | CAR ${ }^{1}$ | GOM | FEC | SAB | MAB | NEC | NED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1989 | 27 | 43 | 49 | 41 | 51 | 24 | 29 |
| 1990 | 22 | 60 | 54 | 60 | 52 | 31 | 21 |
| 1991 | 15 | 54 | 39 | 56 | 24 | 10 | 8 |
| 1992 | 10 | 26 | 21 | 23 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 1993 | 9 | 20 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 8 | 12 |
| 1994 | 13 | 21 | 15 | 16 | 13 | 11 | 10 |
| 1995 | 10 | 19 | 13 | 15 | 10 | 11 | 13 |
| 1996 | 12 | 21 | 24 | 21 | 19 | 11 | 9 |
| 1997 | 9 | 23 | 26 | 30 | 22 | 13 | 8 |
| 1. CAR in | CA, TU |  |  |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    ' Base case production model results based on catch data 1950-1995
    ${ }^{2}$ Base case VPA results based on catch data through 1995
    ${ }^{3} 80 \%$ confidence intervals are shown
    ${ }^{4}$ Production model results do not provide basis for these estimates

[^1]:    *This range is representative of MSY ranges predicted by ASPIC and PRODFIT models.
    ** Non-equilibrium production model (ASPIC)
    ***Assumes a range of average fishing mortalities in 1996 for age 1 fish, based on VPA analyses
    In this table, ranges of point estimates were given for replacement yields and relative ratios.

[^2]:    1. CAR includes SAR, NCA, TUN, and TUS
