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This is the seventh annual Large Pelagic Logbook Newsletter. The primary purpose of this report is to summarize data and activities related to the mandatory large pelagics logbook and observer programs. This newsletter serves as a vehicle for dissemination of information to those directly involved in the fishery. In addition to updating catch, effort, CPUE, and location information, and detailing revisions to logbook reporting in 1998, this year's newsletter includes sections pertaining to swordfish, yellowfin, bigeye and albacore stock status, bycatch, mandatory dealer reporting, the longline observer program, and other related studies.

Comments and suggestions are invited; see section "WHOM TO CONTACT FOR WHAT."

## COMPARISON OF 1994-1996 LOGBOOK CATCH AND EFFORT DATA

Nine summary tables are included in this newsletter. The numbers of swordfish, tunas, and billfish reported caught, by area, for 1994, 1995 and 1996 (preliminary) are given for longline (Tables la-1c) and gillnet (Tables 2a-2c). Longline effort is reported in hooks and numbers of boats, gillnet and pairtrawl effort is reported in sets and numbers of boats. The longline boat statistics are from logbook reports that were considered to represent all pelagic longline sets including summary records; bottom longline records were excluded. Exclusion of longline records does not exclude all set targeting species other than swordfish and tuna.

The gillnet statistics represent all sets that reported fishing this gear type. Some changes in the tabulated data for earlier years and reported previously were due to additional revisions in the database.

Locations of areas are shown in Figure 1. Definitions are as follows: area 1-Caribbean ${ }^{1}$ (CAR), area 2 - Gulf of Mexico (GOM), area 3 Florida East Coast ${ }^{1}$ (FEC), area 4 -South Atlantic Bight ${ }^{\prime}(\mathrm{SAB})$, area 5 - Mid Atlantic Bight ${ }^{1}$ (MAB), area 6 - Northeast Coastal ${ }^{1}$ (NEC), area 7 Northeast Distant ${ }^{1}$ (NED), area 8 - Sargasso ${ }^{1}$ (SAR), area 9 - North Central Atlantic (NCA), area

10-Tuna North ${ }^{1}$ - (TUN), and area 11-Tuna South ${ }^{1}$ (TUS).


Figure 1. Map designating the eleven areas used in analysis of the swordfish logbook data.

Between 1995 and 1996 reported longline effort (hooks) decreased by $33 \%$ in the NED and decreased by over $50 \%$ in the MAB and NCA. Reported effort increased by half in the SAB and more than tripled in the SAR. Effort increased greatly in TUS as the number of boats reporting fishing in the area went from one in 1995 to eight in 1996.

Preliminary reported longline effort for 1996 was slightly higher than reported for 1995 . The total number of longline boats decreased in 1996 from the levels reported in 1994 and 1995.

The reported yellowfin tuna catch for the three-year period was approximately 70,000 , 83,000 , and 62,000 fish, respectively. This represents a $25 \%$ decrease in numbers of reported yellowfin catch from 1995 to 1996.

In the GOM, the reported catch of yellowfin in numbers increased annually from 1990 through 1992; this trend has reversed from 1992 to 1996. In the MAB, the reported yellowfin catch in numbers increased annually from 1992 through 1995, but this trend has reversed in 1996.
${ }^{1}$ These are arbitrary areas and do not constitute official geographic areas.

In 1994 there were approximately 104,000 swordfish tabulated from longline records (caught $=$ kept + discarded). There were approximately 103,000 swordfish reported in 1995; and 95,000 reported in 1996(preliminary). The corresponding reported fishing effort for the three years was roughly $8.9,10.0$, and 10.2 million hooks, respectively (Tables 1a-1c). Reported swordfish catch was similar in 1994 and 1995, but declined in 1996. The number of reported hooks fished increased by $2 \%$, in 1996 compared to 1995 .

With the exception of the GOM and SAR, near shore areas (Figure 1), reported decreases in annual swordfish catch by longline boats. Swordfish catch in the NED and NCA also decreased in 1996. Other offshore areas reported increased swordfish catch.

The number of yellowfin tuna and swordfish reported caught by gillnet boats decreased from 1994 ( 1,042 swordfish and 292 yellowfin) to 1995 ( 1,007 swordfish and 141 yellowfin ) to 1996 ( 880 swordfish and 64 yellowfin) (Tables 2a-2c).

## REPORTED FISHING LOCATIONS IN 1994, 1995, AND 1996

The location of reported commercial pelagic fishing effort by year for 1994-1996 is shown in Figures 2-4. The general pattern for reported sets is similar across the three years along the U.S. coastline. Fishing effort increased and expanded geographically in the southern offshore areas (NCA, TUN, and TUS).

## CPUE DATA

Tables 3a-3c represent 1994, 1995, and 1996 (preliminary) data, respectively, for swordfish and yellowfin tuna. These data are yearly totals, by areas as (defined in Figure 1) for: number of fish Kept; number Discarded dead and Discarded alive; Kept+Discarded; effort in HOOKS; the Number of sets; and the average of the individual catch rates, $\mathrm{AV}(\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E})$ (equivalent to average CPUE). This
summary includes all gears that reported fishing with hooks that were not thought to be summary records. As such, this would include effort directed at species other than swordfish or tunas.

The totals reported in Tables la through lc are different from the totals in tables 3a through 3c because different criteria were used in selecting the records to be used. Tables la through 1c represent data from longline boats only, including summary reports filed by longline boats. Tables 3a through 3c represent all records that reported hooks except summary reports. Gears represented include, but are not limited to, longline, bottom longline, and rod and reel boats.

The data summarized here are considered to represent nominal CPUE. No attempt has been made in this summary to standardize the data for factors not related to fish abundance, but known to affect the CPUE values. Those analyses are carried out for the purpose of stock assessments, and are reported elsewhere.

The reported swordfish catch rates in 1994 for the CAR, FEC, SAB, NED and the NCA were, respectively, approximately 2.7 fish/ 100 hooks, 2.8 fish/100 hooks, 2.0 fish/ 100 hooks, 2.6 fish $/ 100$ hooks and 1.9 fish/ 100 hooks (Table 3a); in 1995 approximately 2.3 fish/ 100 hooks, 2.4 fish/ 100 hooks, 1.3 fish $/ 100$ hooks, 2.8 fish $/ 100$ hooks and 1.9 fish $/ 100$ hooks (Table 3b); and in 1996 (preliminary) approximately 2.0 fish $/ 100$ hooks, 2.6 fish $/ 100$ hooks, 1.2 fish/ 100 hooks, 2.5 fish $/ 100$ hooks and 1.3 fish $/ 100$ hooks (Table 3c). The best reported 1996 swordfish catch rates in an offshore area were in the TUS ( 2.5 fish/100 hooks).

Average reported CPUEs for yellowfin, on an annual basis, have been consistently high from the GOM fishery until 1996. The reported catch rates in the GOM in 1.994 were approximately 2.7 fish/100 hooks (Table 3a); in 1995 approximately 2.7 fish/100 hooks(Table 3b); and in 1996 approximately 0.8 fish/ 100 hooks (Table 3c). The highest CPUE reported for 1996 was 2.7 fish/ 100 hooks in the MAB.


Figure 2. Location and density of reported longline effort in 1994.


Figure 3. Location and density of reported longline effort in 1995.


Figure 4. Location and density of reported longline effort in 1996.

Monthly reported CPUEs for swordfish, yellowfin, bigeye, and albacore from 1987 to 1996 are shown in Figures 5a -5d. The error bars represent $\pm 2$ standard deviations from the mean.

## NUMBERS OF PERMITTED VESSELS

A compilation of activity related to the vessels permitted during the period 1987 through 1996 is presented in Table 8. "Fished" implies a vessel submitted at least one positive fishing report during that year, "Caught Swordfish" means the vessel reported catching at least one swordfish during that year and "Caught Swordfish in 5 months" means the vessel reported catching at least one swordfish per month in at least five months of that year. "Hooks Reported" includes all submitted logbooks whether or not they represented single pelagic longline sets, summary records, bottom longline records, or sets with less than 100 hooks fished. For this reason, these numbers are higher than the numbers in Tables la-lc.

## SWORDFISH STOCK STATUS

In 1996, the status of the North Atlantic swordfish resource was assessed by ICCAT using both non-equilibrium stock production models and virtual population analyses (VPA) based on catch and CPUE data through 1995. No new Atlantic stock assessment was conducted in 1997. In 1997 updated North and South Atlantic CPUE data were examined and show similar trends as those in recent years. The current base case assessments indicate that the North Atlantic swordfish resource has continued to decline despite reductions in total reported North Atlantic landings from peak values in 1987. Although some fleets have reduced catch levels and partial fishing mortality rates, the status of the resource continued to decline because recent landings have exceeded surplus production. The decline in stock size is reflected in declining CPUE's for several fisheries. An updated estimate of maximum sustainable yield from production model analyses is 28.6 million lbs ( $13,000 \mathrm{MT}$ ) whole wt (with an $80 \%$ confidence range from 11.7 to 36.3 million lbs. whole wt). Since 1982, only in one year (1984) have north Atlantic swordfish
catches been less than 28.6 million lbs; preliminary estimates of catches in 1995 were about 37.2 million lbs. ( $16,900 \mathrm{MT}$ ).

A summary of the resource status as estimated by the 1997 ICCAT is shown in the Table 4. In the North Atlantic, ICCAT estimated that at the beginning of 1996, the exploitable swordfish biomass was about $58 \%$ of the level needed to produce MSY ( $80 \%$ confidence intervals ranged from 41-104\%). Furthermore, the estimates of fishing mortality rates for 1995 were estimated to be 2.05 times the fishing mortality rate at MSY. ICCAT estimated that catches in 1995 and anticipated landings in 1996, were too high to prevent further declines in the North Atlantic swordfish resource status.

A quantitative assessment for the South Atlantic stock assumption was conducted for the first time in 1996. Biomass at the beginning of 1996 was estimated to be $99 \%$ of the biomass needed to produce MSY, the 1995 fishing mortality rate was estimated to be 1.24 times the fishing mortality rate at MSY, and the surplus production for 1996 was estimated to be about 14,600 MT. Reported landings in 1995 (about 20,600 MT) and 1996, preliminary, (about 17,600 MT) have exceeded this level. These analyses indicate that current levels of harvest are not sustainable: thus it is likely that the stock will decline further.

## ALBACORE STOCK STATUS

Equilibrium yield per recruit and spawning potential ratio analysis made by the SCRS in 1996 indicated that the northern stock is at or near full exploitation (Table 5). Assuming the fishing mortality rate as estimated by the VPA for 1995, the analysis reflects the current $F_{1995}(0.702)$ close to $F$ $\max ^{(0.880)}$ and greater than $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}(0.375)$. Assuming current F for older ages is closer to the level estimated for 1990-1992, then current $F$ would be closer to $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$. The current level of spawning biomass for these analyses is estimated as $16.5 \%$ and $20 \%$ of the unexploited level respectively. ICCAT concluded that the stock is near full



Figure 5b. Monthly Yellowfin CPUE's
1987-1996


Figure 5c. Monthly Bigeye CPUE's 1987-1996


Figure 5d. Monthly Albacore CPUE's
exploitation and that fishing mortality should not be increased above its çurrent level..

An age structured production model was used for assessment of South Atlantic albacore abundance. The assessment indicated that MSY is about 58.5 million lbs ( $26,600 \mathrm{MT}$ ) and the current (1995) replacement yield is 58.3 million lbs ( $26,500 \mathrm{MT}$ ). The estimate of the ratio of current biomass to that at which MSY is achieved is 0.78 . The fishing mortality rate is $116 \%$ of that needed to achieve MSY (Table 5). ICCAT has recommended limiting catches of South Atlantic albacore to $90 \%$ of the 1989-1993 levels.

## BIGEYE STOCK STATUS

Assesment of bigeye stock status was updated in 1997. Since 1993, total Atlantic bigeye catch has been larger than 198 million lbs ( $90,000 \mathrm{MT}$ ), an increase from the 1989-1990 level of more than 66 million lbs ( $30,000 \mathrm{MT}$ ). This increase was due primarily to increases in catch by purse seine of small fish and longline fisheries of large fish. The MSYs estimated by the production models and the VPA were much smaller than the current catch of $154-198$ million lbs ( $70-90,0000 \mathrm{MT}$ ). Although MSY levels were not well determined, it is highly likely that the current catch level cannot be sustained in the long term and current catches may lead to a large reduction in recruitment. Reduction of the total catch to at least the 1991-1992 catch levels of 187 million lbs ( $85,000 \mathrm{MT}$ ) was recommended by ICCAT (Table 6).

Further increases in the catch of small fish, that recently occurred due to intensive fishing on schools associated with floating objects by the surface fisheries, will result in a decrease in catch over the long term as well as an increased likelihood of recruitment over-fishing.

## YELLOWFIN STOCK STATUS

In 1994, the status of the total Atlantic yellowfin stock was assessed by ICCAT using equilibrium and non-equilibrium production models. In 1997 and 1996, ICCAT applied only an
equilibrium model to updated data and the 1994 VPA was projected forward using recent catch data. The 1994, 1995, and 1996 analyses all indicate that the stock of Atlantic yellowfin is at a level close to full exploitation (Table 7). ICCAT concluded these analyses imply that any increase in effort is likely to result in a fishing mortality rate that exceeds the level corresponding to MSY and a stock biomass below the mimmum level that can support MSY.

ICCAT recommended restricting fishing mortality to 1992 levels and finding effective ways to reduce mortality on small yellowfin.

## MANDATORY REPORTING IN THE ATLANTIC LARGE PELAGIC FISHERY

Federal regulations require that both fishermen and dealers assist the conservation and management of large pelagic species by providing statistics on fishing activity and seafood production respectively. Fishermen are required to submit data on daily fishing activity and catch, which includes individual carcass weights for the swordfish and other large pelagic species. Dealers are required to provide summary data on the landings (purchases) by market or size category and the price or value for the respective categories. Both fishermen and dealers are required to maintain an active Federal permit to fish for or purchase swordfish.

## Fishermen Reporting.

All fishermen that fish for and land swordfish are required to have an active permit and report the catches from every set or daily trip. In addition to a completed logbook sheet for every set, fishermen are required to submit a copy of the weigh-out or sales receipt that provide the weights for the individual swordfish and other large pelagic species that are caught on the fishing trip. If either of these requirements are not met, the vessel is not in compliance and the vessel's permit can be revoked or denied at the annual renewal.

If the vessel did not fish during a calendar month, a "no-fishing" report must be submitted.

All logbook reports and weigh-outs are to be submitted to the

Southeast Fisheries Science Center
Logbook Program
P.O. Box 491740

Key Biscayne, Florida 33149-9915
Questions or requests for clarifications can be directed to Logbook Program at the Southeast Fisheries Science Center, telephone number (305) 361-4581 or (305) 361-4463.

During 1996, an active permit for the large pelagic fishery was issued to 1,245 vessels. These permits were not necessarily active during the entire calendar year, nor did all of these vessels actively fish for or catch large pelagic species. If logbooks and weighouts were not submitted for the catch of the 12 months in the reporting period prior to the expiration of the permit, the application for renewal was denied until all reporting was brought up to date.

## Dealer Reporting.

Permitted dealers are required to provide reports twice a month to the Science and Research Director for either the Northeast Region or the Southeast Region, depending on the dealer's geographical location. Complete and timely information from dealers is critical because these data are used to monitor the fishery quota for swordfish. Dealers are instructed to provide the U.S. Coast Guard documentation or state registration number for every vessel from which they purchased swordfish during each two week reporting period. This information is used to check the dealer data against the daily catch data submitted by fishermen. This cross reference helps the SEFSC determine that all landings are included in the quota monitoring process and it also guards against potential double counting.

Reports should be mailed to:
Science and Research Director
Southeast Fisheries Science Center
National Marine Fisheries Service
75 Virginia Beach Drive
Miami, Florida 33149
Attention: A. Bertolino
except for a dealer whose principal place of business is in an Atlantic coastal state from Maine through Virginia. The appropriate address for those dealers is:

Northeast Regional Office<br>National Marine Fisheries Service<br>1 Blackburn Dr, Gloucester, MA 01930<br>Attention: Greg Power

For most dealers in the Northeast Region, NMFS port agents contact and collect the dealer reports.

At sometime during calendar year 1996, a Federal dealer permit was held by 289 dealers. Of this total, 93 dealers had their primary location in the Northeast Region and the remaining 196 dealers had their primary location in the Southeast Region, which includes the Caribbean. Overall, compliance with the reporting requirements has been good in this area. However, dealers that do not cooperate with the NMFS and do not submit the required bimonthly reports will have their application for a permit renewal denied, and NMFS Law Enforcement will be notified. It should be noted that a report is required for every two week period, even if large pelagic species were not purchased. If no purchases were made, the respective Center Director must be informed. In the Southeast Region, a form so-stating must be submitted.

## SWORDFISH LANDINGS

The Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC), Miami Laboratory, is responsible for
compiling the landings of U.S caught Atlantic swordfish from mandatory reporting data. The monthly reported landings for 1990-1996 may be found in Table 10. U.S. Atlantic swordfish landings decreased each year from 1990 to 1994, increased somewhat in 1995, then decreased again in 1996.

Monthly cumulative annual landings of U.S. Atlantic swordfish are compared in Figure 6 for years 1990-1996. Yearly U.S. Atlantic swordfish landings from 1991 to 1996 were lower than 1990 landings. These lower levels are, in part, the result of the minimum size regulation and due to fishery closures when allowable landing levels for the directed fishery were achieved.


Figure 6. SWORDFISH LANDINGS

SWORDFISH LANDED IN THE U.S.
$1,000 \mathrm{lbs} \quad 1,000 \mathrm{lbs}$.
Year
Dressed wt. Whole wt.
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
10,582
14,075
9,107 12,112
7,142 9,499
6,383 8,489
6,274 8,345
5,578 7,419
6,764 8,996
$\mathbf{5 , 8 8 9} \quad \mathbf{7 , 8 3 2}$

SWORDFISH < 41 LBS. DRESSED WEIGHT NUMBER AND PERCENT LANDED BY MONTH BY AREA

The cumulative percent of fish landed less than 41 lbs dressed weight from all areas and all months fell from $41 \%$ in 1990 to $13 \%$ in 1993 and to $16 \%$ in 1996 (Table 11). The within area percentage landed catch of fish less than 41 lbs decreased in most areas between 1991 and 1995, but increased slightly in 1996 (Table 11). The highest numbers of undersize fish landed in 1996 were from the GOM and CAR regions (Tables $10,11 \& 12$ ).
SWORDFISH < 41 LBS. DRESSED WEIGHT PERCENT LANDED

The proportion of U.S. Atlantic swordfish landed which were smaller than 41 lbs dressed weight has decreased since 1990 (Figure 7). In 1990 the highest number of fish landed were in the $21-41 \mathrm{lb}$ category. In 1991 this peak shifted to the $41-60 \mathrm{lb}$ category where it has since remained.


Figure 7. U.S. CATCH AT SIZE

## SWORDFISH SIZE FREQUENCY

The proportion of swordfish landed which were less than 41 lbs dressed weight in size frequency samples from U.S. longline vessels, decreased from 1989 through 1995, then went up slightly in 1996 (Figure 8). This decrease resulted from the minimum size measure put in place in mid 1991.


Figure 8. SWORDFISH SIZE FREQUENCY

## BYCATCH ESTIMATION

The 1996 observer and 1996 logbook records were used to estimate the numbers of dead discarded by the U.S. commercial fleet in 1996. Dead discards were estimated for swordfish, blue marlin, white marlin, and sailfish.

## DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSES

In a comprehensive study on swordfish reproduction, gonadal tissue from 2,884 female swordfish were collected for use in analysis of ovarian development, maturity stages, and fecundity estimates for female swordfish. Fecundity estimates were was based on microscopic examination of whole oocytes. Female swordfish produce eggs in batches and spawn about once every three days during the spawning season. One swordfish was estimated to produce over 9 million eggs (Arocha F., 1997).

Sex ratio information has been collected from over 18,500 Atlantic swordfish specimens sampled from 1990 through 1996. The available sex-ratio at size information was used to estimate the catch at age separately for female and male swordfish in the U.S. catch (Turner et al, 1996). This methodology was applied to catch at size data from 1985 through 1995 in support of assessment analyses designed to accommodate sexually dimorphic growth patterns. NMFS scientists continue to work with other ICCAT scientists to
develop a uniform method for calculation sex specific inputs to improve stock status evaluations, especially evaluations of the female spawning biomass component of the stock.

The number of tagged swordfish, released by U.S. longline vessels has substantially increased since the U.S. implemented minimum size regulations in 1991, in conformity with ICCAT recommendations. Most of these fish were smaller than the minimum size at time of release. Since 1991, annual releases have averaged about 1,200 fish, whereas average annual releases of tagged swordfish for 1988-1990 averaged about 350 fish. Reported recoveries of tagged swordfish have likewise increased since implementation of the minimum size. Since 1991, the annual number of swordfish tag recoveries reported has averaged more than 22 fish (in 1994 a total of 54 tagged swordfish were reported recaptured), while the annual average number reported from the period 1988-1990 was about 10 .

During 1997 several sampling projects related to bluefin tuna stock structure and reproductive biology were initiated by NMFS and several academic institutions, and international cooperation was requested. Research on stock structure moved into the second phase in which samples from each of the two spawning areas would be compared to determine if differences in genetic frequencies exist. Research on micro constituents in bluefin otoliths is proposed for 1998 and 1999 and samples from young animals are needed from eastern and western fisheries. Research on developing an assay to determine sexual maturity from muscle is also proposed for 1998 and 1999 and samples are also needed from mature animals from eastern and western fisheries. During 1997, U.S. scientists collected samples for all of these projects (including more than 150 samples from small bluefin) and received statements of interest in cooperation for some of the projects from Canadian, Japanese, French, Moroccan and Spanish scientists

Studies related to genetic evaluations of the number of fishery management units of Atlantic bluefin are being coordinated by the NMFS laboratory in Charleston, S. C., in collaboration with researchers at FISHTEC. Research on regions in the mtDNA or genomic DNA indicates that these contain a sufficient amount of genetic variation to be informative in stock structure analyses. During 1996 sampling of landings of bluefin tuna for this research covered a broad range of age/size categories, while in 1997 sampling concentrated on $0-3$ year old bluefin. The 1997 sampling was not as successful as hoped because of reduced bag limits in some areas and months and because of legal concerns about trying to catch and sample bluefin smaller than ICCAT's recommended minimum size ( 6.4 kg ) and especially the 1.8 kg limit which has no tolerance.

## PELAGIC OBSERVER PROGRAM

The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) continues its scientific observer sampling of the U.S. large pelagic fleet, as mandated by the U.S. Swordfish Fisheries Management Plan. Scientific observers are placed aboard vessels participating in the Atlantic large pelagic fisheries by the Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC) and the Northeast Fisheries Science Center NEFSC) since 1992. Over this time period, coverage by the SEFSC Pelagic Observer Program (POP) took place, but is not limited, to vessels fishing in the Atlantic south of Virginia. The scientific observer program contracted and monitored by the NEFSC was responsible for large pelagic fleet fishing the waters of the Mid-Atlantic Bight ${ }^{1}$ to the Grand Banks. Beginning in 1996, the SEFSC assumed the responsibility of covering all of the geographical areas of the northwest Atlantic.

A scientific observer is placed on board the vessel to record detailed information on gear characteristics, the location and time of the gear set and retrieval, environmental conditions, the condition and status of the animals caught by the gear (alive or dead, kept or discarded), as well as morphometric measurements (length and weight)
and sex identification when possible (Figure 9). Observers also record the occasional interaction of marine mammals and sea turtles. The collection of biological samples (anal finrays, heads, reproductive, heart tissue, etc.) from some animals are used to support research studies to learn more about fish biology and life history behavior.

Catch data collected between May of 1992 and December of 1994 by the POP has been summarized in two published newsletter (NOAA Technical Memorandum, NMFS-SEFSC-347 and 377) which are available upon request. The POP continued its coverage through 1996 and data through mid 1997 are now computerized for analysis. Of the fish recorded by observers from 1992-1996 and summarized in various species groups, (Figure 9), swordfish was the highest percent occurrence (29\%) species.


1992-1996
Figure 9. Catch reported by scientific observers on U.S. longline vessels: swordfish (a), billfish (b), yellowfin, bigeye and bluefin tuna (c), other tunas (d), sharks and rays (e), unknown species ()), finfish (g), marine turtles, marine mammals, and birds (h).

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR USING THE PELAGIC LOGBOOKS FOR 1998

Samples of forms and directions for filling out forms are presented in figures $10-15$. There are 4 forms used for pelagic logbook reports in

1998: (1) a "trip summary" form, (2) a voluntary cost and earnings form, (3) a "set" form, and (4) a "no fishing " form. The trip summary form must be completed for every fishing trip when swordfish are caught and retained on board. A set form must be completed for ever set made. A trip summary, set forms and a "tally" or weigh-out sheet must be submitted for every completed trip.

The voluntary cost and earnings form is used to provide information on the costs associated with the fishing trip. This information is voluntary.

The "no-fishing" form may be used to report no fishing in the swordfish/large pelagic, South Atlantic snapper-grouper, Gulf of Mexico reef fish, and shark fisheries. If the vessel did not fish in more than one of these fisheries, ONLY SUBMIT ONE "NO-FISHING" FORM. Check the space by each of the fisheries in which the vessel did not fish. Do NOT check fisheries for which your vessel does not have an active permit.

All forms are to be mailed in the preaddressed, postage-paid envelopes that are included. If you mail the forms in another envelope, please use the following address:

## NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE ATTN: LOGBOOK PROGRAM P.O. BOX 491500 <br> KEY BISCAYNE, FLORIDA 33149-9916

If there are question regarding completion of this form, please contact the Logbook Program at (305) 361-4485.

Monthly reporting for individuals holding a Swordfish permit will be considered complete and in compliance with the regulations only if 1) the trip summaries for each trip completed during the month, individual set records for each set made during the trip(s), and tally records for all fish sold are provided or, 2) a no fishing report is provided.

Again, as noted on the new logbook forms, use of the current year forms will be necessary
for compliance. Further, all old forms should be destroyed upon receipt of the 1998 forms.

## WHOM TO CONTACT FOR WHAT

Any questions concerning Atlantic large pelagic resources swordfish projects at the Southeast Fisheries Science Center, NMFS, can be directed to Dr. Gerald Scott at (305) 361-4596. Questions concerning processing and analyzing the logbook data can be directed to Dr. Jean Cramer at (305) 361-4493. Information concerning permits can be directed to Ed Burgess at (813) 893-3722. Those needing 1993 logbooks can contact Ernie Snell at (305) 361-4462. Questions about the observer program should be directed to Dennis Lee (305) 361-4247 or Cheryl Brown (305) 361-4275. If you have comments on this newsletter, or other comments, you can write them on your logbook reports or send them to Dr. Jean Cramer, SEFSC, NMFS, 75 Virginia Beach Drive, Miami, FL 33149.
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Figure 10. 1998 Pelagic Logbook - Trip Summary Form (The Blue Book)

OMB NO. 0648-0016 EXP.9/30/2000

## 1998 PELAGIC LOGBOOK TRIP SUMMARY

| Vessel <br> Name: |  |  | Capt. Signature: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vessel No.: |  |  | Contact <br> Telephone: | ( |  | - |
| Port \& State of Departure: |  |  | Port \& State of Landing: |  |  |  |
| Date of Departure: | 1 | $/ 1998$ | Date of Landing: <br> First Day of Offloading: |  | 1 | / 1998 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | / 1998 |
|  |  |  | Last Day of Offloading: |  | 1 | / 1998 |
| Number of Sets Placed: |  |  | Date of First Set: |  | 1 | / 1998 |
| Number of Days Fished: |  |  | Date of Last Set: |  | 1 | / 1998 |
| Dealer Name(s): |  |  | Federal Dealer Pe No. |  |  |  |

Do not write in this section of the form. Please complete the cost information on the second page of the 3-page set. Although the cost information is voluntary, it will assist the National Marine Fisheries Service to determine the effect of future regulations on the swordfish and other large pelagic fisheries.

## Figure 11. INSTRUCTIONS FOR PELAGIC LOGBOOK TRIP SUMMARY FORMS

Instructions for the Trip Summary Form
Please use a ball point pen and print clearly.
On the blue form (top page), record the following:

- Vessel Name
- Vessel No.: U.S. Coast Guard vessel identification number or state registration number as recorded on permit application
- Capt. Signature: signature of the captain for the trip
- Contact Telephone: telephone number of person responsible for the records
- Port \& State of Departure: location of port from which the trip commenced
- Date of Departure: calendar day on which the trip was started
- Port \& State of Landing: location of port that vessel arrived in
- Date of Landing: calendar day that vessel returned to port
- First day of Offloading: calendar day(s) that vessel began offloading fish
- Last day of Offloading: calendar day(s) that vessel finished offloading fish
- No. of Sets Placed: number of times the fishing gear was set during the trip
- No. of Days Fished: number of days that fishing gear was used
- Date of First Set: calendar day that fishing gear was first set
- Date of Last Set: calendar day that fishing gear was last used
- Dealer Name(s): list of names of dealers purchasing the harvest
- Federal Dealer Permit Number(s)

Remove page, attach corresponding set forms and tally sheet, and mail within 7 days.
The trip summary information recorded on the blue form will be transferred to the following green form where the expenditures and payments information is requested.

NOTE: All data provided are CONFIDENTLAL and will be used to determine the impact of existing and proposed management policies on fishery participants. Consistent and accurate reporting is critical to the success of future policies in achieving the stated objective of increasing net benefits.

On the green form (second page), record the following:

- Expenditures on Supplies: quantity and total cost of bait, fuel, and ice used during the trip and the total costs of docking and unloading fees, tackle/gear (e.g., light sticks, line, gangions, hooks, buoys), groceries, and other miscellaneous expenses.

Note: If amy of these supplies were not purchased for this trip (e.g. light sticks are left over from previous trips, bait is harvested prior to fishing in the directed fisheries, or the vessel has an ice machine on board) please estimate and enter the value of the quantities used during the trip. If this is not possible, please make a note of this on the green form.

- End of Trip Payments: total payments made to the owner (if not owner-operated), captain, and crew, also note the total number of crew members (excluding the captain) that will share the crew payment.
This page should be sent when all the information is available, but no later than 45 days after the sale of the fish.

Figure 12. 1998 Pelagic Logbook - Voluntary Cost and Earnings Questions

| Trip Expense Summary (confidential) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Quantity | Total Cost |
| Fuel. |  |
| Bait (1purchased by boxes, please convert to pounds) pounds |  |
| Ice (If werchased by bloch, please convert to pounds) pounds |  |
| Docking/Unloading Fee | \$ |
| Tackle/Gear Expenses (Total for vip inctuding light sticks, line gangions, hooks, etc.) | \$ |
| Grocery Expenses | \$ |
| Other Expenses | \$ |
| TOTAL TRIP COSTS (All costs incmred for this mpectuding |  |
| End of Trip Payment Summary Luted helow) | \$ |



Figure 13. PELAGIC LOGBOOK SET FORM (The White Book)


Revised (9-97)

## IMPORTANT INSTRUCTIONS

Please print all information clearly.

## DESTROY OLD_FORMS. USE ONLY CIRRRENT YEAR FORMS.

$\rightarrow \rightarrow$ Please use a separate $\log$ sheet for each set.

Record the, Official Vessel Number.

Designate primary Target species.

Record Gear Used.

Record Set Date (calendar day when set began) and Haulback Date.

Enter Times when using longlines or gillnets for:
-- Begin Set and Begin Haulback (designate AM or PM)

- End Set and End Haulback (designate AM or PM)

At the start of each set, record the location to the nearest degree of LAT (Latitude) and LON (Longitude), and the Surface Water Temperature, in degrees Fahrenheit.

Enter the following data for each set if using Longline gear:

```
- Numaber of hooks set
- Number of hooks between floats
- Number of light sticks
- Length of Mainline (in miles)
- Length of Gangions (in fathoms)
- Length of Floatline (in fathoms)
- Did you use a line thrower?
- Were you tending or rebaiting hooks before haulbmck? If yes, specify how many hooks were rebaited.
- Bait: indicate Live, Dead or Artificial.
```

Enter the following data for each set if using Gilmet:

```
- Mesh Size (in inches)
- Total drift gilmet net length (is fathoms)
- Fishing Depth Range (Depth of top and of Bottom of net in fathoms)
```

Enter the following data for each set if using Pair Trawl
Pair trawl vessels should fill out a daily form for each set made. Species information should be filled out only by the vessel that hauls bock the net.

```
- Fishing Circle Mesh Size (in centimeters)
- Number of Meshes Around Fishing Circle (do not include gores)
- Smallest Mesh Size (in centimeters)
- Cod End Mesh Size (in centimeters)
- Official number of other vessel in pair
```

Record Estimated total dressed weight (in pounds) of fish kept.

Record NUMBERS OF SWORDFISH, TUNAS, SHARKS AND OTHER SPECIES KEPT AND THROWN BACK. Specify the number of fish that were thrown back Alive and the number thrown back Dead.

## Record NUMBERS OF SEA TURTLES INVOLVED

- Total Number Involved. Write down the total number of each sea turtle species that were caught in, or interacted with, your fishing gear for the period of your report.
- Number Injured. Write down the number of each sea turtle species that were injured while in, or by, your fishing gear.
- Number Dead. Write dowit the mumber of each sem turtle species that were observed to be dead while in, or by, your fishing gear.

Mail original logs to NMFS at the end of the fishing trip in pre-addressed envelopes along with the Trip Summary Form and weighout slip.
Mailing should be postmarked not later than the 7th day after the sale of the catch.
Monthly reporting for individuals holding a Swordith permit will be considered complete and in compliance with the regutations only if 1) the trip summaries for each trip completed during the month, individual set records for each set made during the frip(s), and tally reconds for all fish sold are provided or 2 ) a no fishing report is provided.

Figure 15. NO FISHING FORM.
OMB \# 0648-0016 Exp. 9/30/2000

| NMFS Use Only: <br> Opened: | Schedule \# |
| :--- | :--- |

## NO FISHING REPORTING FORM

VESSEL ID. NO. $\qquad$ VESSEL NAME: $\qquad$
During the entire month of $\qquad$ , year $\qquad$ , this vessel DID NOT FISH in the fisheries checked below:
more than one fishery may be checked
-
DO NOT check any fishery if your vessel does not have a permit for it.
$\qquad$ Pelagic (Swordfish)
_ South Atlantic Snapper-Grouper
_ Gulf of Mexico Reef Fish
_ Shark
___ King Mackerel
__ Spanish Mackerel
Signature $\qquad$ Phone ( ) $\qquad$ - $\qquad$
RETAIN THIS COPY FOR YOUR RECORDS
OMB \# 0648-0016 Exp. 9/30/2000

## MMHS USe Only: <br> Opered:

## Schedute\#

NO FISHING REPORTING FORM
VESSEL ID. NO. $\qquad$ VESSEL NAME: $\qquad$ During the entire month of $\qquad$ , year $\qquad$ , this vessel DID NOT FISH in the fisheries checked below:
-
more than one fishery may be checked
-
DO NOT check any fishery if your vessel does not have a permit for it.
_ Pelagic (Swordfish)
__ South Atlantic Snapper-Grouper
___ Gulf of Mexico Reef Fish
_ Shark
___ King Mackerel
__ Spanish Mackerel
Signature $\qquad$ Phone ( ) $\qquad$ $-$

Table 1. TOTAL NUMBER OF SWORDFISH, TUNA, AND BILLFISH REPORTED CAUGHT BY LONGLINE BOATS, BY AREA, AND EFFORT IN NUMBER OF HOOKS, FROM THE SWORDFISH MANDATORY LOGBOOKS, FOR (a) 1994, (b) 1995 and (c) 1996 (PRELIMINARY). NUMBERS CAUGHT REPRESENT KEPT PLUS DISCARDED (DEAD OR ALIVE). SEE FIGURE I FOR DESIGNATION OF AREAS. (SWD=SWORDFISH; YFT=YELLOWFIN; BET=BIGEYE; BFT=BLUEFIN; ALB=ALBACORE; WHM=WHITE MARLIN; BUM=BLUE MARLIN; SAI=SAILFISH.)

| 1 1 \% 4 \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | SWD | YFT | BET | BFT. | ALB | WHM | BUM | SAI | HOOKS | BOATS |
| CAR | 16479 | 1554 | 894 | 10 | 189 | 202 | 881 | 56 | 629690 | 42 |
| GOM | 12642 | 31963 | 316 | 135 | 128 | 545 | 622 | 995 | 2459872 | 115 |
| FEC | 17696 | 805 | 1849 | 43 | 423 | 205 | 333 | 478 | 696754 | 85 |
| SAB | 15072 | 4418 | 139 | 133 | 115 | 199 | 429 | 308 | 826025 | 83 |
| MAB | 8847 | 22480 | 10817 | 219 | 4896 | 831 | 106 | 30 | 2189715 | 105 |
| NEC | 4043 | 5595 | 5154 | 904 | 2739 | 235 | 63 | 4 | 768585 | 59 |
| NED | 20967 | 462 | 2190 | 52 | 930 | 14 | 15 | 0 | 810118 | 35 |
| SAR | 2289 | 280 | 801 | 78 | 1070 | 109 | 45 | 3 | 206554 | 37 |
| NCA | 5367 | 1309 | 521 | 2 | 653 | 51 | 119 | 23 | 286300 | 34 |
| TUN | 201 | 1213 | 147 | 1 | 26 | 159 | 316 | 62 | 70523 | 7 |
| TUS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 103603 | 70079 | 22828 | 1577 | 11169 | 2550 | 2929 | 1959 | 8944136 | 282 |


| 10. $42 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | SWD | YFT. | BET | BFT | All B | WHM | BUM | SAI | HoOKS | BOATS |
| CAR | 13572 | 1857 | 1309 | 0 | 181 | 272 | 699 | 89 | 598704 | 42 |
| GOM | 16626 | 25717 | 880 | 116 | 128 | 645 | 553 | 666 | 2648273 | 140 |
| FEC | 13560 | 1017 | 1355 | 44 | 494 | 120 | 134 | 159 | 643091 | 67 |
| SAB | 10234 | 7373 | 125 | 41 | 116 | 191 | 262 | 164 | 853595 | 77 |
| MAB | 7149 | 35770 | 8437 | 1764 | 5181 | 834 | 166 | 18 | 2385664 | 108 |
| NEC | 4026 | 7137 | 6378 | 1163 | 4187 | 363 | 63 | 1 | 1054433 | 56 |
| NED | 21615 | 526 | 3731 | 22 | 323 | 22 | 16 | 0 | 766685 | 24 |
| SAR | 206 | 40 | 88 | 18 | 142 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 25480 | 12 |
| NCA | 14987 | 1599 | 954 | 13 | 3534 | 338 | 385 | 42 | 854809 | 38 |
| TUN | 1154 | 3215 | 351 | 0 | 173 | 378 | 594 | 97 | 224784 | 15 |
| TUS | 8 | 75 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 3000 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 103137 | 84326 | 23615 | 3181 | 14459 | 3166 | 2897 | 1238 | 10058518 | 299 |

14.445

| Area | SWD | YFT | BET | BFT | ALB | WHM | BUM | SAI | HOOKS | BOATS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | 12696 | 780 | 841 | 0 | 294 | 171 | 460 | 44 | 639048 | 57 |
| GOM | 18710 | 31568 | 396 | 106 | 116 | 913 | 832 | 563 | 3566789 | 132 |
| FEC | 13394 | 762 | 1410 | 28 | 248 | 107 | 203 | 300 | 587319 | 74 |
| SAB | 15887 | 6102 | 1041 | 81 | 586 | 284 | 385 | 247 | 1647730 | 87 |
| MAB | 1924 | 10199 | 2244 | 197 | 467 | 271 | 48 | 14 | 1018097 | 86 |
| NEC | 1661 | 5860 | 4965 | 1449 | 924 | 407 | 250 | 10 | 1090304 | 62 |
| NED | 14494 | 363 | 3527 | 15 | 896 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 596782 | 22 |
| SAR | 722 | 79 | 379 | 16 | 382 | 33 | 6 | 2 | 76185 | 12 |
| NCA | 6552 | 888 | 571 | 1 | 858 | 160 | 134 | 13 | 480665 | 31 |
| TUN | 4508 | 4558 | 1714 | 0 | 219 | 420 | 820 | 188 | 358431 | 16 |
| TUS | 4088 | 742 | 804 | 0 | 83 | 35 | 112 | 31 | 163711 | 8 |
| TOTAL | 94636 | 61901 | 17892 | 1893 | 5073 | 2813 | 3253 | 1412 | 10225061 | 264 |

Table 2. TOTAL NUMBER OF SWORDFISH, TUNA, AND BILLFISH REPORTED CAUGHT BY GILLNET BOATS, BY AREA, AND EFFORT IN NUMBER OF SETS AND NUMBER OF BOATS, FROM THE SWORDFISH MANDATORY LOGBOOKS, FOR (a) 1994, (b) 1995 and (c) 1996 (PRELIMINARY). NUMBERS CAUGHT REPRESENT KEPT PLUS DISCARDED (DEAD OR ALIVE). SEE FIGURE 1 FOR DESIGNATION OF AREAS. (SWD=SWORDFISH; YFT=YELLOWFIN; BET=BIGEYE; BFT=BLUEFIN; ALB=ALBACORE; WHM=WHITE MARLIN; BUM=BLUE MARLIN; SAI=SAILFISH.)
\% \% \% \%

| Area | SWD | YFT | BET | BFT | ALB | WHM | BUM | SAI | SETS | BOATS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MAB | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| NEC | 203 | 216 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 5 |
| NED | 839 | 76 | 43 | 14 | 143 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 131 | 11 |
| TOTAL | 1042 | 292 | 44 | 15 | 149 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 189 | 12 |

20\#593

| Area | SWD | YFT | BET | BFT | ALB | WHM | BUM | SAI | SETS | BOATS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NEC: | 1007 | 141 | 61 | 108 | 129 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 12 |
| TOTAL. | 1007 | 141 | 61 | 108 | 129 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 12 |


| Arra | SWD | IFT | BET | BFT | ALB | WHM | BUM | SAI | SETS | BOATS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAB | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 |
| NEC | 877 | 64 | 10 | 35 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 9 |
| TOTAL | 880 | 64 | 11 | 35 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 11 |

Table 3. YEARLY TABULATIONS FOR SWORDFISH AND YELLOWFIN TUNA FOR (a) 1994, (b) 1995 AND (c) 1996 (PRELIMINARY). THE AREAS ARE DEFINED IN FIGURE 1. INFORMATION INCLUDES NUMBER OF FISH KEPT PLUS DISCARDED (K\&D); PERCENTAGE KEPT (\%K), PERCENTAGE DISCARDED DEAD (\%D DEAD, PERCENTAGE DISCARDED ALIVE (\%D LIVE); EFFORT IN HOOKS (HOOKS); NUMBER OF SETS (N); AND AVERAGE OF THE INDIVIDUAL CATCH RATES [AVG(C/E)], EQUIVALENT TO CPUE IN \# OF FISH/100 HOOKS.

|  | \% |  | SWORDFLSH |  |  |  |  | YELLOWFIN |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| APEA | H0OLS | N | K8D | 046 | $\mathrm{DEAD}$ | LIVE | AVG CE | KND | \%K | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \% \mathrm{D} \\ \mathrm{DEAD} \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{O D}{\mathrm{LVE}}$ | AVG CRE |
| CAR | 632318 | 1423 | 16534 | 80 | 11 | 8 | 2.703 | 1558 | 94 | 2 | 3 | 0.246 |
| 00M | 2999968 | 4041 | 13922 | 39 | 38 | 22 | 0.959 | 33719 | 96 | 2 | 1 | 2.705 |
| FIEC | 777558 | 2393 | 17675 | 40 | 41 | 17 | 2.861 | 815 | 94 | 0 | 5 | 0.095 |
| SAB | 1058246 | 2157 | 15507 | 46 | 38 | 14 | 2.021 | 4428 | 92 | 1 | 5 | 0.407 |
| MAB | 2425091 | 3531 | 8903 | 47 | 27 | 25 | 0.399 | 22807 | 91 | 3 | 4 | 1.047 |
| NEC | 792255 | 1086 | 4050 | 46 | 26 | 26 | 0.554 | 5758 | 91 | 1 | 7 | 1.057 |
| NED | 818797 | 1065 | 21174 | 82 | 10 | 7 | 2.629 | 1084 | 73 | 25 | 0 | 0.195 |
| SAR | 207054 | 367 | 2289 | 86 | 5 | 8 | 1.148 | 280 | 94 | 2 | 3 | 0.132 |
| NCA | 290984 | 440 | 5409 | 93 | 2 | 3 | 1.90 | 1198 | 96 | 2 | 1 | 0.382 |
| TEN | 71123 | 107 | 201 | 70 | 8 | 20 | 0.289 | 1220 | 94 | 4 | 0 | 1.698 |
| TUS | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 10073394 | 16610 | 105664 | 60 | 25 | 14 | 1.507 | 72867 | 94 | 2 | 3 | 1.074 |


| K |  |  | SWORDPISH |  |  |  |  | YELLOWFAN |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AREA. | HOOKS. | N | K\&D | $\% \mathrm{~K}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \% \mathrm{D} \\ \mathrm{DEAD} \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\circ D}{\mathrm{LI} \mathrm{E}}$ | AVG C/E | K2D | $\% \mathrm{~K}$ | DEAD | $\begin{gathered} \% \mathrm{D} \\ \mathrm{LIVE} \end{gathered}$ | AVO CIE |
| CAR | 603874 | 1362 | 13498 | 81 | 10 | 8 | 2.297 | 1865 | 89 | 1 | 8 | 0.292 |
| COM | 3205894 | 4311 | 17444 | 60 | 25 | 14 | 1.134 | 27078 | 97 | 1 | 0 | 2.649 |
| FEC | 677955 | 2119 | 13519 | 53 | 30 | 15 | 2.375 | 1016 | 93 | 2 | 3 | 0.127 |
| SAB | 1046666 | 1945 | 10211 | 63 | 23 | 13 | 1.278 | 7367 | 97 | 1 | 1 | 1.275 |
| MAB | 2552893 | 3618 | 7115 | 50 | 28 | 20 | 0.293 | 35633 | 96 | 1 | 1 | 1.457 |
| NEC | 1061338 | 1291 | 4020 | 53 | 24 | 22 | 0.396 | 7129 | 95 | 2 | 1 | 0.709 |
| NED | 775395 | 986 | 21548 | 78 | 12 | 8 | 2.830 | 769 | 96 | 0 | 3 | 0.097 |
| SAR | 25480 | 41 | 206 | 80 | 7 | 11 | 0.904 | 40 | 97 | 0 | 2 | 0.162 |
| NCA | 862328 | 1216 | 14938 | 92 | 4 | 3 | 1.983 | 1755 | 95 | 0 | 3 | 2.722 |
| TUN | 224784 | 319 | 1154 | 69 | 11 | 19 | 0.510 | 3215 | 97 | 1 | 0 | 1.465 |
| TUS | 3000 | 5 | 8 | 25 | 25 | 50 | 0.263 | 75 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 2.531 |
| TOTAP | 11039607 | 17213 | 103661 | 70 | 18 | 11 | 1.308 | 85942 | 96 | 1 | 1 | 1.432 |



Table 4. ATLANTIC SWORDFISH RESOURCE STATUS SUMMARY

|  | North Atlantic | South Atlantic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Sustainable Yield | $13,000(5,300-16,500 \mathrm{MT})^{3}$ | 14,200 MT (5,200-16,900MT) |
| Current (1996) Yield | 14,763 MT | 17,983 MT |
| Current (1996) Replacement Yield' | $11,300 \mathrm{MT}(7,120-16,710 \mathrm{MT})$ | $14,620 \mathrm{MT}(8,400-17,140 \mathrm{MT})$ |
| Relative Biomass ( $\mathrm{B}_{1} / \sim / \mathrm{B}_{-9}$ ) | 0.58 (0.41-1.04 MT) | 0.99 (0.82-1.18) |
| Relative Fishing Mortality, |  |  |
|  | 2.05 (1.07-3.82) ${ }^{1}$ | 1.24 (0.94-1.93) |
| ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ser }} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {me }}{ }^{2}$ | 2.4 | not estimated ${ }^{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1,2} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}, 1} \mathrm{l}^{\text {a }}$ | 3.5 | not estimated ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Management Measures in Effect | 25 kg minimum size; Country-specific quotas | Limit catch to 1993 or 1994 levels |

${ }^{1}$ Base case production model results based on catch data 1950-1995
${ }^{2}$ Base case VPA results based on catch data through 1995
${ }^{3} 80 \%$ confidence intervals are shown
${ }^{4}$ Production model results do not provide basis for these estimates

Table 5. ATLANTIC AND MEDITERRANEAN ALBACORE RESOURCE STATUS SUMMARY

|  | North Athatic | South Atlantic | Mediterranean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Sustainable Yield | poorly estimated | 26,400 | not estimated |
| Current (1996) Yield | 28,761 | 24,098 | unknown |
| Current (1997) Replacement Yield | poorly estimated | 26,500 | not estimated |
| Relative Biomass |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}_{19 \mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{B}_{\text {nor }}$ | poorly estimated | 0.78 | - |
| SPR | 0.165 | - | - |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ms}} / \mathrm{R}_{3 s} \cdot \mathrm{~m}$ | 0.782 | - | - |
| Relative Fishing Mortality: |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{mos}}$ | poorly estimated | 1.16 | not estimated |
| $\mathrm{Fingos}^{\text {F }}=$ | 0.798 | - |  |
| Management Measures in Effect | none | Limit catches to $90 \%$ of the average 1989-1993 levels | none |

[^0]Table 6. BIGEYE TUNA RESOURCE STATUS SUMMARY

| Maximum Sustainable Yield (likely range) | 70,000-90,000 M ${ }^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Current (1996) Yield | 107,300 MT |
| Current (1996) Replacement Yield** | $60,000-80,000 \mathrm{MT}$ |
| Relative Biomass ( $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{vgr}} / \mathrm{B}_{\operatorname{may}}$ ) | 0.6-0.8 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5-2.2 \\ & 1.1-1.5 \\ & 0.8-1.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Management Measures in Effect | 3.2 kg minimum size |

*This range is representative of MSY ranges predicted by ASPIC and PRODFIT models.
** Non-equilibrium production model (ASPIC)
***Assumes a range of average fishing mortalities over the years 1993-95 of 0.38-0.53 for age 1 fish, based on VPA analyses
In this table, ranges of point estimates were given for replacement yields and relative ratios.
Table 7. YELLOWFIN TUNA RESOURCE STATUS SUMMARY

|  | Results of the 1994 Assessment | Results of the 1997 Assessment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Sustainable Yield |  |  |
| Equilibrium model | $153.7^{1}$ |  |
| Non-equilibrium model | $149.0(123.0-164.0)^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 154.0^{2} \\ & \text { not estimated } \end{aligned}$ |
| Current (1995) Yield |  | 136.9 |
| Current (1994) Replacement Yield | (123.0-164.0) ${ }^{4}$ | not available |
| Relative Biomass ( $\mathrm{B}_{\text {oon }} / \mathrm{B}_{\text {Hy }}$ ) | 1.05 (0.81-1.30) | not estimated |
| Relative Fishing Mortality ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {isoo }} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}$ ) | 0.92 (0.67-1.34) | not estimated |
| Management Measures in Effect | 3.2 kg minimum size | 3.2 kg minimum size |
|  | Effective effort not to exceed 1992 level | Effective effort not to exceed 1992 level |
| 1. Equilibrium model assuming shape parameter for production function ( $m=1$ ) calculated at 1994 SCRS using data from 1969-93. |  |  |
| 2. Equilibrium model assuming shape parameter ( $m=1$ ) calculated at 1997 SCRS using data from 1969-96. |  |  |
| 3. Non-equilibrium production model fit to data $1969-93$ at the 1994 SCRS. Assumes production function shape parameter $m=2.80 \%$ confidence bounds. |  |  |
| 4. Replacement yield in 1994 estimated within the $80 \%$ confidence interval estimated MSY from the non-equilibrium production model since |  |  |

Table 8. NUMBERS OF PERMITTED VESSELS


Table 9. MONTHLY SWORDFISH LANDINGS IN LBS DRESSED WEIGHT FROM 1990 TO 1996.

| YEAD: | JAN | 128. | NiPR | A | WY | HN\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1890 | 839,178 | 794,926 | 760,177 | 631,254 | 493,183 | 449,220 |  |
| $1991$ | 613,177 | 619,188 | 554,422 | 465,789 | 416,747 | 432,630 |  |
| $180$ | 514,101 | 575,942 | 520,299 | 374,432 | 358,252 | 317,612 |  |
| I993 | 561,698 | 648,585 | 470,918 | 341,690 | 365,752 | 337,134 |  |
| $19 \%$ | 484,972 | 472,599 | 458,475 | 327,608 | 299,262 | 383,626 |  |
| $1995$ | 889,512 | 811,460 | 630,410 | 488,293 | S54,793 | 467,913 |  |
| $19 \%$ | 596,262 | 738,304 | 509,953 | 388,765 | 363,694 | 351,284 |  |
|  | HL | AUC | NH <br> SEPT: | OCT | NOV | DEC | TOTAL |
| $1990$ | 895,303 | 888,258 | 851,158 | 1,053,476 | 806,843 | 644,159 | 9,107,135 |
| 1091 | 709,718 | 773,515 | 816,558 | 766,909 | 527,175 | 446,311 | 7,142,139 |
| $1992$ | 561,906 | 731,830 | 727,037 | 891,336 | 423,457 | 387,010 | 6,383,214 |
| 1993. | 582,835 | 585,084 | 647,994 | 755,021 | 589,865 | 387,627 | 6,274,203 |
| 1994. | 290,811 | 539,202 | 560,993 | 672,465 | 592,585 | 495,542 | 5,578,140 |
| 1993 | 493,062 | 651,421 | 654,380 | 850,667 | 145,897 | 126,307 | 6,764,115 |
| 1996 | 370,895 | 568,722 | 635,336 | 525,918 | 455,680 | 384,352 | 5,889,165 |

Table 10. PERCENTAGE OF ANNUAL U.S. SWORDFISH LANDED CATCH < 41 LBS BY AREAS (TOTAL ANNUAL CATCH OF SWORDFISH IN AREA TOTAL ANNUAL CATCH OF SWORDFISH IN ALL AREAS).

| CATCH OF SWORDFISH IN AREA TOTAL ANNUAL CATCH OF SWORDFISH IN ALL AREAS). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR. | CAR! | COM | FEC | SAB | MAB | NEC. | NED | SUM |
| 1989 | 13 | 18 | 24 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 23 | 100 |
| 1990 | 15 | 12 | 30 | 5 | 14 | 11 | 14 | 101 |
| 1991. | 16 | 21 | 23 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 21 | 101 |
| 1992 | 15 | 18 | 20 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 25 | 100 |
| 1993 | 18 | 14 | 15 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 30 | 100 |
| 1994 | 28 | 9 | 14 | 10 | 9 | 4 | 25 | 99 |
| 1995 | 26 | 25 | 10 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 22 | 99 |
| 1996 | 32 | 21 | 11 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 16 | 100 |

Table 11. PERCENTAGE OF ANNUAL US SWORDFISH LANDED CATCH < 41 LBS BY AREAS (ANNUAL OF CATCH OF SWORDFISH < 41 LBS IN AREA/ TOTAL ANNUAL CATCH OF SWORDFISH IN ALL AREAS).


Table 12. PERCENTAGE OF SWORDFISH LANDED CATCH < 41 LBS WITHIN AREAS (ANNUAL CATCH OF SWORDFISH < 41 LBS IN AREA / ANNUAL CATCH OF SWORDFISH IN AREA).

| YEAP | car': | com | IEC. | SAB | MAB | NEC. | NED. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1989 | 36 | 53 | 55 | 66 | 61 | 33 | 32 |
| 1990 | 23 | 60 | 52 | 60 | 50 | 24 | 22 |
| 1993. | 15 | 51 | 39 | 53 | 22 | 10 | 8 |
| 1992 | 9 | 26 | 21 | 24 | 10 | 11. | 10 |
| 1993 | 9 | 20 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 8 | 11 |
| 1994 | 13 | 21 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 9 |
| 1999. | 11 | 23 | 15 | 17 | 12 | 16 | 15 |
| 199\%. | 12 | 20 | 23 | 21 | 18 | 10 | 9 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Results of the ASPM were very sensitive for the north. Therefore, estimates are not included in the table.
    ${ }^{2} 95 \%$ Confidence interval range in parenthesis
    ${ }^{3}$ Spawning Potential Ratio.
    ${ }^{4}$ Recruitment level during 1989-1993 compared to 1975-1980

    - = not estimated

