Population Assessment of the Vermilion Snapper, Rhomboplites aurorubens, from the Southeastern United States

Charles S. Manooch, III<br>Jennifer C. Potts<br>Michael L. Burton<br>Douglas S. Vaughan



March 1998
U.S. Department of Commerce

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Marine Fisheries Service
Southeast Fisheries Science Center
Beaufort Laboratory
101 Pivers Island Road
Beaufort, NC 28516-9722

Population Assessment of the Vermilion Snapper, Rhomboplites aurorubens, from the Southeastern United States

Charles S. Manooch, III<br>Jennifer C. Potts<br>Michael L. Burton<br>Douglas S. Vaughan

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE William M. Daley, Secretary<br>NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION<br>D. James Baker, Administrator<br>NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE<br>Rolland A. Schmitten, Assistant Administrator for Fisheries

March 1998

The Technical Memorandum series is used for documentation and timely communication of preliminary results, interim reports, or special-purpose information. Although the memoranda are not subject to complete formal review, they are expected to reflect sound professional work.

The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) does not approve, recommend or endorse any proprietary product or material mentioned in this publication. No reference shall be made to NMFS, or to this publication furnished by NMFS, in any advertising or sales promotion which would indicate or imply that NMFS approves, recommends or endorses any proprietary product proprietary material herein or which has as its purpose any intent to cause or indirectly the advertised product to be used or purchased because of NMFS publication.

Illustration of fish species on front cover is from FAO Species Identification Sheets for Fishery Purposes: Western Central Atlantic, Vol III.

Correct citation of this report is:
Manooch, C.S., III, J.C. Potts, M.L. Burton, and D.S. Vaughan. 1998. Population assessment of the vermilion snapper, Rhomboplites aurorubens, from the southeastern United States. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-411, 59p.

Copies of this report can be obtained from:
National Marine Fisheries Service
Beaufort Laboratory
101 Pivers Island Road
Beaufort, NC 28516-9722
or
National Technical Information Service
5258 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161
(703) 487-4650, Fax (703) 321-8547

Rush orders: (800) 336-4700

## ABSTRACT

Changes in the age structure and population size of vermilion snapper, Rhomboplites aurorubens, from North Carolina through the Florida Keys were examined using records of landings and size frequencies of fish from commercial, recreational, and headboat fisheries from 1986-1996. Population size in numbers at age was estimated for each year by applying separable virtual population analysis (SVPA) to the landings in numbers at age. SVPA was used to estimate annual, age-specific fishing mortality (F) for four levels of natural mortality ( $M=0.20,0.25,0.30$, and 0.35). Although landings of vermilion snapper for the three fisheries have declined, minimum fish size regulations have resulted in an increase in the mean size of fish landed. Age at entry and age at full recruitment were age-1 and age-3 for 19861991, compared with age-1 and age-4, respectively, for 1992-1996. Levels of mortality from fishing (F) ranged from 0.38-0.61 for the entire period. Current spawning potential ratio (SPR) is 21\% or $27 \%$ depending on the natural mortality estimate. SPR could be raised to $30 \%$ or $40 \%$ with a reduction in $F$, or by increasing the age at entry to the fisheries. The latter could be enhanced now if fishermen, particularly recreational, comply with minimum size regulations. However, released fish mortality, modeled in the assessment at 27\%, will continue to make the achievement of $30 \%$ and $40 \%$ SPR more difficult.
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## INTRODUCTION

The vermilion snapper, Rhomboplites aurorubens, a member of the Lutjanidae family, is the most frequently caught snapper throughout the southeastern region of the United States. Off South Florida it is replaced in importance by the yellowtail snapper, Ocyurus chrysurus, which inhabits the same depth range and feeds on similar foods.

The species is found in tropical and warm temperate waters of the western Atlantic from Cape Hatteras to southeastern Brazil, including Bermuda, the West Indies, and the Gulf of Mexico. Off the United States the preferred habitat is irregular reeflike substrates in waters ranging in depth from 80-400 feet (24-122 m) (Manooch 1984). Adults occupy a wide horizontal and vertical range, but do not display marked seasonal movements (SAFMC 1983a).

In terms of commercial finfish value, the species ranks from sixth to 13 th place for the entire southeastern United States from 1990-1996 (Table 1). Fishermen were able to sell vermilion snapper at dockside for about $\$ 2.00$ per pound (Table 1). The species is particularly important to the commercial fisheries of Georgia, where it has ranked above all finfish from 1990-1996, and in South Carolina (Table 2), where it has ranked in the top five for most of those years (Table 2). By contrast, the vermilion snapper is relatively unimportant to commercial fisheries off South Florida (Table 2).

Table 1. Vermilion snapper ranking in commercial finfish value (\$) for the southeastern U.S.

| Year | Rank | Value | $\$ / \mathrm{Lb}$. |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1990 | 9 | $2,620,636$ | 1.96 |
| 1991 | 6 | $2,766,785$ | 1.96 |
| 1992 | 12 | $1,538,718$ | 2.07 |
| 1993 | 11 | $1,779,835$ | 2.03 |
| 1994 | 10 | $2,010,097$ | 2.07 |
| 1995 | 11 | $2,080,573$ | 2.19 |
| 1996 | 13 | $1,660,312$ | 2.19 |

Table 2. Vermilion snapper ranking in commercial finfish value (\$) by state/area.

| Year | NC |  | SC |  | GA |  | NFL |  | SFI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rank | Value | Rank | Value | Rank | Value | Rank | Value | Rank | value |
| 1990 | 8 | 1,105,153 | 3 | 747,278 | 1 | 217,717 | 9 | 514,614 | 42 | 35,874 |
| 1991 | 7 | 1,088,334 | 2 | 992,162 | 1 | 233, 603 | 10 | 421,175 | 40 | 31,511 |
| 1992 | 8 | 697,728 | 4 | 477,340 | 1 | 101,750 | 15 | 247,335 | 51 | 14,565 |
| 1993 | 8 | 805,482 | 4 | 525,530 | 1 | 152,988 | 14 | 276,300 | 51 | 19,535 |
| 1994 | 11 | 903,367 | 4 | 463,448 | 1 | 227,124 | 13 | 405,446 | 54 | 10,641 |
| 1995 | 11 | 867,648 | 5 | 396,910 | 1 | 250,319 | 7 | 535,423 | 43 | 30,273 |
| 1996 | 12 | 718,493 | 6 | 353,865 | 1 | 179,847 | 8 | 383,679 | 53 | 24,428 |

Unlike most snappers, which feed on fishes and crustaceans that inhabit the bottom, vermilion snapper forage on small animals found off the bottom. Preferred foods are small crustaceans (copepods, amphipods, stomatopods, crabs, and shrimps), squids, small fishes, and fish eggs (Manooch 1984). The species remains the same sex throughout its lifespan; it is not hermaphroditic. Sexual maturity may occur as early as the second year of life (or before) for females as small as eight inches total length ( 203 mm TL) (SAFMC 1983a). Spawning occurs in waters $70-77^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(21-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ during the warmer months, beginning as early as April and extending through September off North Carolina. The spawning grounds are poorly defined, but are known to occur in continental shelf waters which are 102-392 feet (31-119 m) deep (SAFMC 1983a). Fecundity is related to fish.size. A 10-inch ( 254 mm TL) female may lay eight thousand eggs and a fish 22 inches ( 559 mm TL ) in length is capable of producing 1.8 million (Manooch 1984). The free-floating eggs hatch after several days. The species is relatively slow growing, and may attain a length of 26 inches ( 670 mm TL ) and an age of 14 years (Potts 1997).

This assessment of the vermilion snapper stock from North Carolina (south of Cape Hatteras) through the Florida Keys was conducted to facilitate decision-making by the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (SAFMC). Although the SAFMC Snapper-Grouper Fishery Management Plan (FMP) (SAFMC 1983b) does include discussions of the species, no separate stock assessment has been made for the vermilion snapper along the southeastern United States.

The SAFMC has taken actions to regulate the harvest of the
species. The FMP for the Snapper-Grouper Fishery was implemented on August 31, 1983. The FMP required that a 4-inch trawl mesh size be used to achieve a 12 -inch total length (TL) minimum size for vermilion snapper. Amendment 1 to the FMP, implemented on January 12 , 1989, prohibited the use of trawl gear south of Cape Hatteras. Amendment 4 to the FMP, effective January 1, 1992, required a 10 -inch minimum size for recreationally-caught vermilion snapper, and a 12inch minimum size for those harvested commercially. A 10-fish bag limit was also placed on recreational anglers. On August 22, 1997 the SAFMC finalized Amendment 9 to the Plan. The Amendment increased the minimum size from 10 inches to 11 inches for recreational anglers, and maintained the 12 -inch size limit for commercial fisheries. Potential impacts of the above regulations must be considered in this assessment.

In this report we compute and document changes in the age structure and population size for the species. Specifically, given age-specific estimates of instantaneous fishing mortality rates and information on growth, sex ratios, maturity and fecundity, analyses of yield per recruit (YPR) and spawning potential ratio (SPR) are used to determine the status of the southeastern U.S. vermilion snapper stock.

## METHODS

## Landings

For purposes of this report, vermilion snapper are landed by
three fisheries: commercial, recreational, and headboat. The commercial fishery is principally prosecuted by hydraulically- and manually-operated hook-and-line gear, although a few landings are made by trawls and traps. The recreational fishery includes hook and line fishing from shore or any platform other than headboats. This includes small private boats and charter boats (six passengers or less). Headboats are those usually carrying more than six passengers and charge on a per person basis, thus by the "head", and are considered separate for our analyses from the other recreational vessels. Although landings are available for different years depending on fishery, only data from 1986-1996 were available for all three fisheries. Landings were used with fish length at age information to develop a catch-in-numbers-at-age matrix, which is found under the appropriate heading below.

Landings data are used to describe annual trends in catches, including catch in number, catch in weight, mean fish size, and mean fish age. Catch-per-effort are provided for the headboat data, recreational data, and fishery independent data. Whenever possible, the databases were stratified by state or area: North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, North Florida, and South Florida (both East Coast only).

To draw conclusions about the vermilion snapper population from fish that are sampled from catches, it is very important that samples are representative of the stock (e.g., size, sex, distribution, etc.), and are adequate in number. Although assumptions must be made for the former, biologists and managers should have some control over
the latter. To evaluate the adequacy of sampling intensity for the three fisheries (headboat, recreational, and commercial), we used the informal criterion of 100 fish sampled per 200 metric tons of that species landed (USDOC 1996).

## Age/Growth

Growth parameters, length-length conversions, weight-length relationship, and a fish age-fish length key were obtained from a recent study of vermilion snapper by Potts (1997). This study was selected over another contemporary study by Zhao et al. (1997), which utilized data from smaller fish obtained primarily from fisheries independent sources, and therefore may not be representative of the fishable stock for our assessment purposes.

## Development of Catch-in-Numbers-at-Age Matrix

Data used in the construction of the matrix were derived from several sources and covered the geographical area extending from North Carolina through the Florida Keys. Fishery independent information, including fish age and CPUE data for hook and line and trap gear were provided by fisheries personnel of the South Carolina Department of Natural Resources, MARMAP (Marine Resources Monitoring, Assessment, and Prediction) Program, Charleston, SC for 1981-1996. Recreational landings and fish lengths and weights were obtained from the Marine Recreational Fisheries Statistics Survey (MRFSS) data base (NMFS, Washington DC) for 1981-1996. Headboat catch estimates, fish length, and fish weight data were obtained from the NMFS for 1972-

1996 (NMFS, Beaufort, NC). Commercial fishery data were obtained from two data sets: the General Canvas for catch statistics for 1986-1996, and from the Trip Interview Program (TIP) for length and weight statistics for 1983-1996 (NMFS, Miami, FL).

Derivation of catch in numbers at fish age consists of multiplying the catch in numbers ( $n$, scalar) by the fish age-fish length key (A, matrix) by a length frequency distribution ( $L$, vector) to obtain the catch in numbers by fish age ( $N$, vector:

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ax} 1}=\mathrm{n} \cdot \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{axxb}} \cdot \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{bx} 1} \quad(\text { Vaughan et al. 1992)), }
$$

where $a$ is the number of ages ( 1 to 14 years), and $b$ is the number of length intervals. Since commercial landings are reported by weight only, the catch of vermilion snapper was converted to numbers by dividing the weight landed by the mean weight, stratified by year, geographical area, and gear. The mean weights were estimated from the length samples (TIP) converted to weights by the length equation from Potts (1997).

## Mortality Estimates

## Total Instantaneous Mortality (z)

Total instantaneous mortality was estimated by analyzing catch curves (Beverton and Holt 1957) based on fully recruited age fish and older. The fish age-fish length key was used to construct catch curves by assigning ages to the landed unaged vermilion snapper. Mortality estimates under equilibrium assumption were obtained by regressing the natural log of the catch in numbers against age for
fully recruited fish (ages 3 through 12, or 4-12, depending on time period, 1986-1991 and 1992-1996).

## Natural Mortality (M)

Natural mortality is often estimated from relatively weak life history and ecological analogies, yet is a very important step in determining that portion of total mortality which may be attributed to fishing. Natural mortality can perhaps be best estimated by using bioprofiles characteristics as demonstrated by Pauly (1979) and later by Hoenig (1983). Pauly (1979) used von Bertalanffy parameters ( $L_{\infty}$, and $K, \mathrm{Yr}^{-1}$ ) as well as mean water temperature ( $T{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for the general habitat:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log _{10} \mathrm{M}=0.0066-0.279 \log _{10} \mathrm{~L}+0.6543 \log _{10} \mathrm{~K} \\
+ & 0.4634 \log _{10} \mathrm{~T}
\end{aligned}
$$

Sea surface temperature readings from buoys operated by NOAA's National Oceanographic Data Center were used to calculate mean annual seawater temperature. Buoys recorded temperature every 30 minutes, and monthly averages were calculated at four different locations throughout the South Atlantic Bight (SAB). These monthly averages were averaged across locations and a SAB-wide value for mean annual temperature obtained. All data were from 1996 for all buoys except Edisto, where 1995 data were used for October through December.

Buoys used and their locations are

1) Edisto - $32.5^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 79.1^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$
2) Savannah - $31.9^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 80.7^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$
3) St. Augustine $-29.9^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 81.3^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$
4) Cape Canaveral - $28.5^{\circ} \mathrm{N} \quad 80.2^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$

Hoenig (1983) utilizes the maximum age ( $t_{\max }$ ) in an unfished stock of a species:
$\ln M=1.46-1.01 \ln t_{\max }$.
Because this relationship is based on $Z$, rather than $M$, the maximum age in the virgin population ( $F=0 ; M=Z-F$ ) would provide an approximate estimate of natural mortality. Hoenig (1983) also provides an estimate of $Z$ which takes into account the sample size used in the study, the rationale being one has a greater chance of encountering the true maximum age of the fish with increasing sample size. The equation used is

$$
z=\ln (2 n+1) / t_{\max }-t_{c},
$$

where $t_{c}=$ first age fully represented in the catches. We also estimated natural mortality using the methods of Roff (1984), using optimal age at maturity, and Rikhter and Efanov (1977), using age at 50 \% maturity. For both methods, we used the logistic function to obtain length at $50 \%$ maturity, and then used the von Bertalanffy growth equation to solve for the corresponding age at 50 \% maturity. One final method we used to estimate $M$ was the method of Alverson and Carney (1975), which allows prediction of $M$ from estimates of maximum age and the Brody growth coefficient $K$.

## Fishing Mortality (F) and Virtual Population Analysis (VPA)

Once natural mortality and total instantaneous mortality have been estimated, it is an easy exercise to obtain fishing mortality, $F$ (e.g., $Z=M+F ; F=Z-M$ ). The problem arises from the equilibrium
assumption of constant $F$ and recruitment. In this assessment, agespecific fishing mortality rates, and estimates of vermilion snapper age-specific population size were obtained by applying separable virtual population analysis (VPA) technique to get around this equilibrium assumption. However, because of the short time frame of the catch matrix (1986-1996) relative to ages (1-14), this is not completely successful. Especially because two temporal periods (19861991 and 1992-1996) are required, due to the minimum size limits imposed at the beginning of 1992. The VPA method is explained briefly below:

The catch matrix was interpreted using the separable virtual population analysis (VPA) approach to obtain annual age-specific estimates of population size and fishing mortality rates. Virtual population analysis sequentially estimates population size and fishing mortality rates for younger ages of a cohort from a starting value of fishing mortality for the oldest age (Murphy 1965). An estimate of natural mortality, usually assumed constant across years and ages, was also required. The separable method of Doubleday (1976) assumes that age- and year-specific estimates of $F$ can be separated into products of age and year components. There are obvious problems with applying this technique to the full time period, 1986-1996, because of the imposition of a 10-inch size limit for recreational anglers and a 12 -inch size limit for commercial fishermen in January, 1992. Therefore, the technique was applied separately to the two time periods (1986-1991 and 1992-1996). We used the FORTRAN program developed by Clay (1990), based on Pope and

## Yield Per Recruit

The yield per recruit model was used to estimate the potential yield in weight for vermilion snapper and was based on the method of Ricker (1975). The model estimates total weight of fish taken from a cohort divided by the number of individuals of that cohort that entered the fishing grounds. Unlike the full-dynamic pool model (Beverton and Holt 1957), the Ricker-type model only requires parameters that are relatively easily obtainable: $M, F, K, L_{\infty}, t_{r}$ (age at recruitment to the fishery), and fishing at ages prior to full recruitment, all shape the response surface (i.e. how the vermilion snapper yield per recruit reacts to various levels of fishing effort). The above-mentioned parameters were estimated as discussed previously.

## Spawning Potential Ratio

Gabriel et al. (1989) developed maximum spawning potential (\%MSP) as a biological reference point. The currently favored acronym for this approach is referred to as equilibrium or static spawning potential ratio (SPR). A recent evaluation of this reference point is given in a report by the Gulf of Mexico SPR Management Strategy Committee for the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (see also Mace and Sissenwine (1993), and Mace
(1994)). Equilibrium, or static, SPR was calculated as a ratio of spawning stock size when fishing mortality was equal to the observed or estimated $F$ divided by the spawning stock size calculated when $F$ equal to zero. All other life history parameters were held constant (e.g., maturity schedule and age-specific sex ratios). Hence, the estimate of static SPR increases as fishing mortality decreases.

The SAFMC defines and explains static Spawning Potential Ratio (SPR, also known as Percent Maximum Spawning Potential (\%MSP)) as "a measure of an average female's egg production over its lifetime compared to the number of eggs that could be expected if there was no fishing. When there is fishing pressure, a fish's life expectancy is reduced, and so is its average lifetime egg production. A species is considered overfished if its SPR drops below a level beyond which the ability of the stock to produce enough eggs to maintain itself is in jeopardy" (SAFMC 1996). The SAFMC considers a stock to be overfished if the $S P R$ is $<0.30$ ( $<30 \%$ ), and is recovering with $S P R$ values ranging from 0.30-0.39 (30-39\%). The target is to obtain a SPR of 0.40 or greater (> 39\%) (Gregg Waugh, SAFMC, Charleston, SC, pers. comm.). These ranges in SPR values and respective definitions are being debated. Longevity, age-specific fecundity, and age-specific fishing mortality are critical to the derivation of SPR.

In this study, comparisons of age-specific spawning stock biomass were based on mature female biomass and egg production. Two sources of information pertaining to vermilion snapper reproductive characteristics were utilized. The first is a published study by Cuellar et al. (1996). The report contains sexual maturity
schedule and fecundity information for the species sampled along the southeastern United States. The second source of information is sexual maturity at age (size) data provided by the SCDNR (Jack McGovern, pers. comm.) as part of an ongoing study.

## RESULTS

## Sampling Adequacy

We used an informal standard developed by the NMFS, Northeast Regional Stock Assessment Workshop (USDOC 1996) to determine the adequacy of biological sampling of vermilion snapper landings (Table 3). According to this standard, 100 fish lengths should be recorded for each 200 mt of the species landed. Thus, a value greater than 200 mt/100 samples indicates an inadequate sample. Using 1986-1996 data, we found that recreational (MRFSS) landings of vermilion snapper were much less frequently sampled than were headboat or commercial landings (Table 3). Samples were judged to be inadequate for 1996 , and fewer than 100 fish were sampled regionwide for all years except 1988 and 1992. The problem identified here for vermilion snapper holds true for red snapper, Lutjanus campechanus, and probably other species of reef fish as well (Manooch et al. 1998). We encourage an increase of biological sampling intensity of reef fish by MRFSS personnel.

Table 3. Level of sampling per year by fishery (mt/100 length samples) for vermilion snapper landed in the U.S. South Atlantic. Informal criteria is set at $200 \mathrm{mt} / 100$ length samples (e.g. <200mt/100 length samples, sampling is adequate; $>200 \mathrm{mt} / 100$ length samples, sampling is inadequate $=$ bold numbers).

| Year | MRFSS |  |  | Headboat | Commercial <br> Hook and Line |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mt/\#of <br> samples | Level | mt/\# of <br> samples | Level | mt/\# of <br> samples | Level |  |
| 1986 | $5.11 / 19$ | 27 | $158.45 / 6160$ | 3 | $364.21 / 7954$ | 5 |  |
| 1987 | $33.06 / 36$ | 92 | $205.00 / 6327$ | 3 | $294.44 / 7176$ | 4 |  |
| 1988 | $61.11 / 145$ | 42 | $189.89 / 4761$ | 4 | $370.30 / 5370$ | 7 |  |
| 1989 | $48.07 / 80$ | 60 | $157.19 / 4769$ | 3 | $516.28 / 5314$ | 10 |  |
| 1990 | $54.70 / 75$ | 73 | $175.44 / 5308$ | 3 | $563.42 / 5181$ | 11 |  |
| 1991 | $47.58 / 50$ | 95 | $275.69 / 4028$ | 7 | $622.04 / 9478$ | 7 |  |
| 1992 | $53.72 / 114$ | 47 | $113.22 / 2829$ | 4 | $335.11 / 6093$ | 5 |  |
| 1993 | $44.55 / 75$ | 59 | $116.67 / 3318$ | 4 | $395.33 / 7907$ | 5 |  |
| 1994 | $33.33 / 77$ | 43 | $127.76 / 5726$ | 2 | $440.44 / 7059$ | 6 |  |
| 1995 | $19.96 / 74$ | 27 | $123.31 / 4799$ | 3 | $429.74 / 11841$ | 4 |  |
| 1996 | $35.85 / 16$ | 224 | $125.33 / 3858$ | 3 | $340.47 / 5016$ | 7 |  |

## Trends - Landings

Commercial
Although some commercial landings data are available dating back to 1962 (table 4), the most reliable and uninterrupted time series begins in 1986, when all vermilion snapper were identified to species and not merely placed in an "unclassified snapper" category as they were prior to 1986. From 1986-1996, landings averaged 964,545 pounds
( $\mathrm{N}=11$ ) with catches exceeding a million pounds in 1989, 1990, and 1991. Landings have remained relatively stable since 1991 (Figure 1) ranging from 742,000 to 972,000 pounds. Some of the decrease in catches for recent years is attributable to regulations, such as those imposed in 1992 (minimum sizes for recreational and commercial fisheries and bag limit for anglers) rather than abundance of the species. Most vermilion snapper were landed at ports in North Carolina and South Carolina (unweighted mean $=70 \%$ of the southeastern U.S. catch for 1986-1996). Relatively few vermilion snapper were landed in South Florida and the Keys (Table 4). The extremely low landings for 19621976 are attributed to an undeveloped commercial fishery for most of the geographical area, and the few snappers landed were recorded as "unclassified snappers", and therefore, do not appear in this figure.

## Headboat

Headboat data are available for all geographical areas for the years 1982 through 1996 (Table 5; Figure 2). For the 15-year period, landings averaged 324,403 pounds. Catches have remained relatively stable since 1992. Overall, commercial landings of vermilion snapper are two to three times greater than those reported by headboat anglers for 1982-1996 (Tables 4 and 5).

Most vermilion snapper were landed by headboat anglers fishing out of North Carolina, South Carolina, and Northeast Florida ports. Conversely, the species is less frequently caught off Georgia and Southeast florida. However, it should be noted that since 1972 there have been relatively few headboat trips made off Georgia compared to
the other states/areas.
From 1982 to 1990 the headboat landings from the Carolinas averaged $46 \%$ of the total southeast landings, but in 1991 the Carolinas' landings made up $71 \%$ of the total. With the minimum size limits instituted in 1992, the Carolinas contributed 81\%-88\% of the total headboat landings. This shift in proportion of landings can be attributed to North Florida vermilion snapper mean size being smaller than those from the Carolinas, thus Florida is more affected by the minimum size regulation on this species.

## Recreational (MRFSS)

Recreational fishing statistics are available for 1981 through 1996. Landings of vermilion snapper are presented by number and weight (pounds) in Table 6 by year and area. During the 16 -year period, the average recreational catch was 140,483 pounds. Landings peaked in 1985 when approximately 434,000 pounds were landed (Figure 3). Recent landings, 1993-1996, have been much less than they were from 1988 1992.

Unlike the commercial fishery data, where North Carolina and South Carolina ports produced most of the landings, recreational catches were not consistently dominated by one or two states. For example, Florida landings were $70 \%$ of the area total for 1987 , but only $1.5 \%$ in 1996 (Table 6).

Table 4. Vernilion snapper commercial landings-- weight (lbs* $10^{3}$ ) and value from U.S. South Allantic.


|  | 1983 | 101 | 187236 | 356 | 497322 | 15 | 15207 | 85 | 119872 | 557 | 819637 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1984 | 216 | 406479 | 268 | 40665.5 | 90 | 121837 | 120 | 149607 | 694 | 1084578 |
|  | 1985 | 377 | 683993 | 176 | 274157 | 170 | 248911 | 148 | 225484 | 871 | 14.32545 |
|  | 1986 | 391 | 735029 | 163 | 289479 | 148 | 272124 | 114 | 177910 | 816 | 1474542 |
|  | 1987 | 272 | 529704 | 230 | 440159 | 82 | 120300 | 95 | 159704 | 679 | 1249867 |
|  | 1988 | 365 | 702829 | 347 | 575979 | 70 | 108681 | 133 | 226058 | 915 | 1613547 |
|  | 1989 | 504 | 1028182 | 370 | 722631 | 58 | 97957 | 224 | 3704.54 | 1156 | 2219224 |
|  | 1990 | 564 | 1105153 | 358 | 747278 | 113 | 217717 | 295 | 544477 | 1330 | 2614625 |
|  | 1991 | 560 | 1088334 | 48.3 | 992162 | 129 | 233603 | 241 | 451.531 | 1413 | 276.5630 |
|  | 1992 | 306 | 697728 | 227 | 477340 | 54 | 101750 | 15.5 | 260047 | 742 | 1536865 |
|  | 1993 | 369 | 805482 | 250 | 525530 | 86 | 152988 | 171 | 294646 | 876 | 1778646 |
|  | 1994 | 402 | 903367 | 220 | 46.3448 | 119 | 227124 | 231 | 414428 | 972 | 2008367 |
|  | 1995 | 376 | 867648 | 183 | 396910 | 126 | 250319 | 267 | 565697 | 952 | 2080574 |
| $\mapsto$ | 1996 | 331 | 718493 | 154 | 353865 | 84 | 179847 | 190 | 407544 | 759 | 1659749 |

Figure 1. Commercial landings for vermilion snapper from the southeastern U.S.


Table 5. Vermilion snapper headboat landings -- number and weight (Ibs) from the southeastern U.S.

|  | North Carolina |  | South Carolina |  | NE Florida-Georgia |  | SE Florida | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Number | Weight | Number | Weight | Number | Weight | Number | Weight | Number | Weight |
| 1972 | 41165 | 66896 | 39679 | 40322 |  |  |  |  | 80844 | 107218 |
| 1973 | 51610 | 112736 | 32030 | 43797 |  |  |  |  | 83640 | 156533 |
| 1974 | 51661 | 63835 | 56294 | 55385 |  |  |  |  | 107955 | 119220 |
| 1975 | 71669 | 95758 | 82918 | 88396 |  |  |  |  | 154587 | 184154 |
| 1976 | 22558 | 45504 | 55624 | 53414 | 226681 | 155165 |  |  | 304863 | 254084 |
| 1977 | 11634 | 33291 | 19665 | 26943 | 200480 | 92934 |  |  | 231779 | 153167 |
| 1978 | 13434 | 44289 | 28025 | 37493 | 315545 | 162828 |  |  | 357004 | 244610 |
| 1979 | 14887 | 45161 | 5586 | 5178 | 285935 | 132850 |  |  | 306408 | 183189 |
| 1980 | 24548 | 71121 | 20253 | 21936 | 194586 | 81306 |  |  | 239387 | 174363 |
| 1981 | 37829 | 81295 | 26297 | 35758 | 171029 | 85412 |  |  | 235155 | 202465 |
| 1982 | 66210 | 123833 | 104075 | 88987 | 159093 | 97630 | 32943 | 29090 | 362321 | 339540 |
| 1983 | 50194 | 93284 | 73285 | 59044 | 192548 | 96141 | 83013 | 46652 | 399040 | 295121 |
| 1984 | 31146 | 47346 | 60353 | 47901 | 190516 | 121150 | 42514 | 28348 | 324529 | 244744 |
| 1985 | 43907 | 53716 | 106273 | 98104 | 284923 | 156222 | 94700 | 63797 | 529803 | 371839 |
| 1986 | 53796 | 54982 | 114206 | 93275 | 283153 | 145639 | 81946 | 55110 | 533101 | 349007 |
| 1987 | 41904 | 38991 | 176757 | 134641 | 330108 | 154817 | 182238 | 123090 | 731007 | 451540 |
| 1988 | 53807 | 46392 | 169034 | 130996 | 366423 | 149996 | 151627 | 90883 | 740891 | 418267 |
| 1989 | 48541 | 41101 | 140114 | 91496 | 284303 | 105300 | 188293 | 108337 | 661251 | 346233 |
| 1990 | 123396 | 108068 | 167102 | 109218 | 231284 | 81366 | 134077 | 87780 | 655859 | 386432 |
| 1991 | 159682 | 122917 | 174055 | 109289 | 200209 | 65725 | 66555 | 29958 | 600501 | 327889 |
| 1992 | 105240 | 95742 | 147838 | 105577 | 32112 | 20575 | 60076 | 27480 | 345266 | 249374 |
| 1993 | 86532 | 73482 | 171996 | 138293 | 28722 | 16744 | 39777 | 28453 | 327027 | 256973 |
| 1994 | 98288 | 92863 | 216215 | 154172 | 24549 | 13903 | 30668 | 20458 | 369720 | 281397 |
| 1995 | 102328 | 92205 | 199748 | 145925 | 19386 | 10767 | 33304 | 22723 | 354766 | 271619 |
| 1996 | 87806 | 77581 | 198287 | 158185 | 15481 | 10029 | 38766 | 30268 | 340340 | 276064 |

Figure 2. Vermilion snapper headboat landings by weight (lbs) from the U.S. South Atlantic.


Table 6. Vermilion snapper recreational (MRFSS) landings --number of fish and weight (lbs) from U.S. South Atlantic.

| Year | NC |  | SC |  | GA |  | FL |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | Jbs | \# | lbs | \# | lbs | \# | lbs | \# | lbs |
| 1981 | 178 | 118 | 313 | - | - | - | 19,069 | 4,394 | 19,560 | 4,512 |
| 1982 | $\underline{-}$ | - | 253,217 | 187,043 | 18,818 | 13,777 | 40,381 | 21,993 | 312,416 | 222,813 |
| 1983 | 124,357 | 216,132 | 7,505 | 5,432 | - | - | 185,781 | 193,776 | 317,643 | 415,340 |
| 1984 | - | - | 159,835 | 118,252 | - | - | 86,160 | 91,566 | 245,995 | 209,818 |
| 1985 | - | - | 263,167 | 145,463 | 6,942 | 6,056 | 400,679 | 282,274 | 670,788 | 433,793 |
| 1986 | - | - | 2,494 | 1,097 | 20,031 | 738 | 25,784 | 9,404 | 48,309 | 11,239 |
| 1987 | 48,096 | 19,043 | 4,703 | 1,589 | 3,417 | 1,425 | 71,013 | 50,669 | 127,229 | 72,726 |
| 1988 | 39,717 | 51,180 | 53,418 | 58,634 | 3,191 | 1,844 | 28,031 | 22,781 | 124,357 | 134,439 |
| 1989 | 39,830 | 30,767 | 43,047 | 50,097 | 11,954 | 3,108 | 139,163 | 21,772 | 233,994 | 105,744 |
| 1990 | 99,178 | 98,214 | 2,371 | 6,521 | - | - | 17,330 | 15,600 | 118,879 | 120,335 |
| 1991 | 24,434 | 20,329 | 14,759 | 15,058 | 12,839 | 8,772 | 118,769 | 60,511 | 170,801 | 104,670 |
| 1992 | 29,372 | 30,133 | 22,710 | 12,991 | 20,007 | 47,542 | 9,759 | 27,523 | 81,848 | 118,189 |
| 1993 | 15,436 | 12,628 | 3,483 | 3,907 | 41,505 | 50,218 | 32,780 | 31,247 | 93,204 | 98,000 |
| 1994 | 23,255 | 27,641 | 2,161 | 2,296 | 12,293 | 19,135 | 20,971 | 24,257 | 58,680 | 73,329 |
| 1995 | 15,987 | 12,058 | 14,236 | 11,570 | 21,098 | 13,367 | 11,982 | 6,925 | 63,303 | 43,920 |
| 1996 | 13,228 | 13,183 | 9,368 | 17,061 | 53,112 | 47,448 | 1,266 | 1,174 | 76,794 | 78,866 |

Figure 3. MRFSS landings of vermilion snapper from the southeastern U.S.


Trends - Catch/Effort

## Commercial

Catch per unit effort (CPUE) data are not available for the commercial data base.

## Headboat

Catch per unit effort data are available for 1972 through 1996 for North Carolina and South Carolina, and from 1976 through 1996 for North Carolina to the Florida Keys. Annual CPUE values for all areas combined are presented in Table 7 and Figure 4 as weight in pounds of vermilion snapper caught per angler day. Catch rates have increased slightly since 1984 (Table 7; Figure 4). The highest catch rates were recorded in 1972, 1975, 1976, and 1991, all greater than 1.5 pounds. Regulations have obviously had an impact on catch rates as indicated by the low CPUE for 1992 (0.679). Since then, CPUE has increased by $40.2 \%$ and may

```
reflect a response to SAFMC fisheries management.
```

Table 7. Vermilion snapper catch-per effort Headboats - all areas combined.

| Year | Cpue-Wt |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1.972 | 2.167 |
| 1973 | 1.314 |
| 1974 | 1.393 |
| 1975 | 1.960 |
| 1976 | 1.682 |
| 1977 | 1.015 |
| 1978 | 1.426 |
| 1979 | 1.214 |
| 1980 | 1.122 |
| 1981 | 1.346 |
| 1982 | 0.876 |
| 1983 | 0.803 |
| 1984 | 0.635 |
| 1985 | 1.090 |
| 1986 | 0.840 |
| 1987 | 1.010 |
| 1988 | 0.994 |
| 1989 | 0.910 |
| 1990 | 0.913 |
| 1991 | 0.840 |
| 1992 | 0.679 |
| 1993 | 0.747 |
| 1994 | 0.821 |
| 1995 | 0.870 |
| 1996 | 0.952 |

Figure 4. Vermilion snapper CPUE - headboats all areas combined


CPUE in number of fish and weight are presented by area (NC, SC, NEFL-GA, and SEFL) in Tables 8-11; Figures 5-8). Catch rates are up slightly for North Carolina since 1989 (Figure 5); up for South Carolina anglers since the late 1970s (Figure 6); down for NEFL-GA since 1988, particularly since 1991 (Figure 7); and up slightly for SEFL since 1994 (Figure 8).

Table 8. North Carolina headboat catch-per-effort (by number and weight) for vermilion snapper.

| Year | Number | Weight Angdays | Cpue-\# | Cpue-wt |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1972 | 41165 | 66896 | 30659 | 1.343 | 2.182 |
| 1973 | 51610 | 112736 | 38768 | 1.331 | 2.908 |
| 1974 | 51661 | 63835 | 33223 | 1.555 | 1.921 |
| 1975 | 71669 | 95758 | 32725 | 2.190 | 2.926 |
| 1976 | 22558 | 45504 | 31314 | 0.720 | 1.453 |
| 1977 | 11634 | 33291 | 22660 | 0.513 | 1.469 |
| 1978 | 13434 | 44289 | 26032 | 0.516 | 1.701 |
| 1979 | 14887 | 45161 | 26490 | 0.562 | 1.705 |
| 1980 | 24548 | 71121 | 23714 | 1.035 | 2.999 |
| 1981 | 37829 | 81295 | 19372 | 1.953 | 4.197 |
| 1982 | 66210 | 123833 | 26939 | 2.458 | 4.597 |
| 1983 | 50194 | 93284 | 23830 | 2.106 | 3.915 |
| 1984 | 31146 | 47346 | 28865 | 1.079 | 1.640 |
| 1985 | 43907 | 53716 | 31346 | 1.401 | 1.714 |
| 1986 | 53796 | 54982 | 31187 | 1.725 | 1.763 |
| 1987 | 41904 | 38991 | 35261 | 1.188 | 1.106 |
| 1988 | 53807 | 46392 | 42421 | 1.868 | 1.094 |
| 1989 | 48541 | 41101 | 38678 | 1.255 | 1.063 |
| 1990 | 123396 | 108068 | 43240 | 2.854 | 2.499 |
| 1991 | 159682 | 122917 | 40936 | 3.901 | 3.000 |
| 1992 | 105240 | 95742 | 41177 | 2.556 | 2.325 |
| 1993 | 86532 | 73482 | 42785 | 2.022 | 1.717 |
| 1994 | 98288 | 92863 | 36693 | 2.679 | 2.531 |
| 1995 | 102328 | 92205 | 40294 | 2.540 | 2.288 |
| 1996 | 87806 | 77581 | 35142 | 2.499 | 2.208 |

Figure 5. Vermilion snapper CPUE - North Carolina headboats.


Table 9. South Carolina headboat catch-per-effort (by number and weight) for vermilion snapper.

| Year | Number | Weight Angdays | Cpue-No | Cpue-wt |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1972 | 39679 | 40322 | 18830 | 2.107 | 2.141 |
| 1973 | 32030 | 43797 | 80352 | 0.399 | 0.545 |
| 1974 | 56294 | 55385 | 52384 | 1.075 | 1.057 |
| 1975 | 82918 | 88396 | 61225 | 1.354 | 1.444 |
| 1976 | 55624 | 53414 | 61318 | 0.907 | 0.871 |
| 1977 | 19665 | 26943 | 69910 | 0.281 | 0.385 |
| 1978 | 28025 | 37493 | 67462 | 0.415 | 0.556 |
| 1979 | 5586 | 5178 | 56935 | 0.098 | 0.091 |
| 1980 | 20253 | 21936 | 64244 | 0.315 | 0.341 |
| 1981 | 26297 | 35758 | 59030 | 0.445 | 0.606 |
| 1982 | 104075 | 88987 | 67539 | 1.541 | 1.318 |
| 1983 | 73285 | 59044 | 65713 | 1.115 | 0.899 |
| 1984 | 60353 | 47901 | 67313 | 0.897 | 0.712 |
| 1985 | 106273 | 98104 | 29042 | 3.659 | 3.378 |
| 1986 | 114206 | 93275 | 67227 | 1.699 | 1.387 |
| 1987 | 176757 | 134641 | 78806 | 2.243 | 1.709 |
| 1988 | 169034 | 130996 | 76468 | 2.211 | 1.713 |
| 189 | 140114 | 91496 | 24861 | 5.636 | 3.680 |
| 1990 | 167102 | 109218 | 57151 | 2.924 | 1.911 |
| 1991 | 174055 | 109289 | 67982 | 2.560 | 1.608 |
| 1992 | 147838 | 105577 | 61790 | 2.393 | 1.709 |
| 1993 | 171996 | 138293 | 64457 | 2.668 | 2.146 |
| 1994 | 216215 | 154172 | 63231 | 3.419 | 2.438 |
| 1995 | 199748 | 145925 | 61739 | 3.235 | 2.364 |
| 1996 | 198287 | 158185 | 54929 | 3.610 | 2.880 |

Figure 6. Vermilion snapper CPUE - South Carolina headboats.


Table 10. Northeast Florida - Georgia headboat catch-per-effort (by number and weight) for vermilion snapper.

| Year | Number | Weight | Angdays | Cpue-\# | Cpue-wt |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 226681 | 155165 | 58404 | 3.881 | 2.657 |
| 1977 | 200480 | 92934 | 58330 | 3.437 | 1.593 |
| 1978 | 315545 | 162828 | 78099 | 4.040 | 2.085 |
| 1979 | 285935 | 132850 | 67461 | 4.239 | 1.969 |
| 1980 | 194586 | 81306 | 67466 | 2.884 | 1.205 |
| 1981 | 171029 | 85412 | 72069 | 2.373 | 1.185 |
| 1982 | 159093 | 97630 | 66961 | 2.376 | 1.458 |
| 1983 | 192548 | 96141 | 83499 | 2.306 | 1.151 |
| 1984 | 190516 | 121150 | 95234 | 2.001 | 1.272 |
| 1985 | 284923 | 156222 | 94446 | 3.017 | 1.654 |
| 1986 | 283153 | 145639 | 113101 | 2.504 | 1.288 |
| 1987 | 330108 | 154817 | 114144 | 2.892 | 1.356 |
| 1988 | 366423 | 149996 | 109156 | 3.357 | 1.374 |
| 1989 | 284303 | 105300 | 102920 | 2.762 | 1.023 |
| 1990 | 231284 | 81366 | 98234 | 2.354 | 0.828 |
| 1991 | 200209 | 65725 | 85111 | 2.352 | 0.772 |
| 1992 | 32112 | 20575 | 90810 | 0.354 | 0.227 |
| 1993 | 28722 | 16744 | 74494 | 0.386 | 0.225 |
| 1994 | 24549 | 13903 | 65745 | 0.373 | 0.211 |
| 1995 | 19386 | 10767 | 59104 | 0.328 | 0.182 |
| 1996 | 15481 | 10029 | 47239 | 0.328 | 0.212 |

Figure 7. Vermilion snapper CPUE - NEFL -GA headboats.


Table 11. South Florida catch-per-effort (by number and weight) for vermilion snapper.

| Year | Number | Weight Angdays |  | Cpue-\# | Cpue-Wt. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982 | 32943 | 29090 | 226172 | 0.146 | 0.129 |
| 1983 | 83013 | 46652 | 194364 | 0.427 | 0.240 |
| 1984 | 42514 | 28348 | 193760 | 0.219 | 0.146 |
| 1985 | 94700 | 63797 | 186398 | 0.508 | 0.342 |
| 1986 | 81946 | 55110 | 203960 | 0.402 | 0.270 |
| 1987 | 182238 | 123090 | 218897 | 0.833 | 0.562 |
| 1988 | 151627 | 90883 | 192618 | 0.787 | 0.472 |
| 1989 | 188293 | 108337 | 213944 | 0.880 | 0.506 |
| 1990 | 134077 | 87780 | 224661 | 0.597 | 0.391 |
| 1991 | 66555 | 29958 | 194991 | 0.341 | 0.154 |
| 1992 | 60076 | 27480 | 173714 | 0.346 | 0.158 |
| 1993 | 39777 | 28453 | 162478 | 0.245 | 0.175 |
| 1994 | 30668 | 20458 | 177035 | 0.173 | 0.116 |
| 1995 | 33304 | 22723 | 150957 | 0.221 | 0.151 |
| 1996 | 38766 | 30268 | 152618 | 0.254 | 0.198 |

Figure 8. Vermilion snapper CPUE - Southeast Florida headboats.


## Recreational (MRFSS)

Recreational CPUE data are available for the southeastern United

States from 1981 through 1996 (Table 12 and Figure 9). Catch rates are recorded as number of vermilion snapper per angler trip. CPUE values seem unexpectantly high compared with the headboat CPUE data, particularly in 1983 and 1984. Recreational catch rate for vermilion snapper peaked in 1984 (17.5 fish/angler trip), dropped to 9.7 in 1985, and then remained at 3-5 vermilion snapper/ angler trip from 1986-1989, and rose to over 9 from 1990 to 1991. CPUE has increased slightly during the past two years.

Table 12. Recreational (MRFSS) catch-per-effort for vermilion snapper from the southeastern United States.

| Year | Total Catch | TotalAngler Trips | CPUE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1981 | 19559 | 9241 | 2.12 |
| 1982 | 346610 | 42058 | 8.24 |
| 1983 | 313300 | 22017 | 14.23 |
| 1984 | 261112 | 14890 | 17.54 |
| 1985 | 399446 | 41220 | 9.69 |
| 1986 | 35193 | 10718 | 3.28 |
| 1987 | 91572 | 17656 | 5.19 |
| 1988 | 150289 | 40634 | 3.70 |
| 1989 | 293619 | 70940 | 4.14 |
| 1990 | 159240 | 17089 | 9.32 |
| 1991 | 213375 | 22907 | 9.31 |
| 1992 | 137516 | 30710 | 4.48 |
| 1993 | 138801 | 33237 | 4.18 |
| 1994 | 119410 | 45320 | 2.63 |
| 1995 | 171040 | 51114 | 3.35 |
| 1996 | 108932 | 23747 | 4.59 |

Figure 9. Recreational (MRFSS) catch-per-effort for vermilion snapper.


## Fishery Independent Data (SCDNR)

From 1981 through 1996 South Carolina Department of Natural Resources personnel used hook and line and baited traps (Florida snapper traps and Chevron traps) to capture vermilion snapper and other species of reef fish (Table 13; Figure 10). Data are reported for CPUE and size (age) distributions in the catch by year. Although sampling efforts are concentrated off.South Carolina, collections were also made off North Carolina, Georgia, and northeast Florida. Catch per unit effort was recorded in number per angler hour or trap hour. CPUE for hook and line was highest in 1981, 1986-1987, 1989, and 1994, all over 4.0 vermilion snapper/angler hour. The highest CPUE for traps was in 1983, 1985-1986, and 1988-1989 (Table 13; Figure 10).

Table 13. Fishery independent CPUE for vermilion snapper collected by hook and line and baited traps in the South Atlantic Bight (SCDNR, MARMAP, Charleston, SC).

| Year Source |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Hook and Line |  |  |  |
|  | N | CPUE | Florida Traps and Chevron Traps |  |
|  | 27 | 4.621 |  | N |
| 82 | 13 | 2.719 |  |  |
| 83 | 14 | 2.396 |  |  |
| 84 | 20 | 3.624 | 107 | 17.82 |
| 85 | 15 | 2.763 | 158 | 8.86 |
| 86 | 17 | 4.239 | 122 | 15.05 |
| 87 | 27 | 4.041 | 165 | 17.24 |
| 88 | 264 | 2.979 | 232 | 6.43 |
| 89 | 201 | 4.85 | 171 | 18.09 |
| 90 | 85 | 1.192 | 131 | 12.19 |
| 91 | 24 | 1.000 | 292 | 1.62 |
| 92 | 15 | 0.933 | 247 | 8.10 |
| 93 | 33 | 1.808 | 282 | 3.36 |
| 94 | 33 | 4.747 | 323 | 2.50 |
| 95 | 12 | 2.500 | 340 | 5.79 |
| 96 | No sampling with hook and line | 253 | 4.09 |  |

Figure 10. Fishery independent CPUE for vermilion snapper collected by hook and line and Florida snapper traps and Chevron traps in the South Atlantic Bight (SCDNR, MARMAP, Charleston, SC).


Trends - Mean Weights

## Comercial

Mean size data are available for the commercial fishery from 1983 through 1996 and are presented in Table 14 and Figure 11 by lengths and weights. Mean size for vermilion snapper was largest in 1983 and smallest in 1988. Mean sizes were larger for 1983-1985, decreased from 1986-1988, and increased slightly and remained relatively stable (1.31.5 pounds) for 1989-1996. It appears that the commercial fishery typically catches vermilion snapper larger than the size limit imposed in 1992, therefore mean size landed has not changed drastically.

Table 14. Vermilion snapper commercial mean total lengths (mm) and whole weights ( kg ) weighted by sample size of gear types.

| Year | NC/SC |  | GA/NFL |  | SFL |  | overall TL | Weighted Mean lbs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TL | 1bs. | TL | 1bs. | TL | Lbs. |  |  |
| 1983 | 410 | 2.02 | - | - | - | - | 410 | 2.02 |
| 1984 | 393 | 3.80 | - | - | - | - | 393 | 1.80 |
| 1985 | 395 | 1.80 | 309 | 0.86 | - | - | 389 | 1.74 |
| 1986 | 367 | 1.47 | 316 | 0.92 | - | - | 359 | I. 39 |
| 1987 | 345 | 1.25 | 283 | 0.77 | - | - | 336 | 1.19 |
| 1988 | 336 | 1.19 | 321 | 0.99 | 282 | 0.59 | 334 | 1.14 |
| 1989 | 356 | 1.32 | 353 | 1.30 | - | - | 356 | 1.32 |
| 1990 | 353 | 1.30 | 366 | 1.43 | 477 | 2.93 | 352 | 1.30 |
| 1991 | 351 | 1.32 | 333 | 1.10 | 262 | 0.48 | 349 | 1.30 |
| 1992 | 363 | 1.34 | 355 | 1.28 | 368 | 1.39 | 362 | 1.34 |
| 1993 | 378 | 1.56 | 359 | 1.32 | 375 | 1.54 | 373 | 1.50 |
| 1994 | 377 | 1.54 | 377 | 1.54 | 385 | 1.65 | 377 | 1.54 |
| 1995 | 379 | 1.56 | 361 | 1.32 | - | - | 370 | 1.45 |
| 1996 | 364 | 1.39 | 347 | 1.17 | - | - | 357 | 1.30 |

Figure 11. Mean weight and mean total length of vermilion snapper landed commercially in the southeastern U.S.


## Headboat

The mean weights of vermilion snapper caught by headboat anglers have generally increased since 1991 (Table 15; Figure 12) for all geographic areas combined. This increase is most probably caused by the size restrictions intended to reduce the harvest of smaller fish. Mean weight, which had been about one pound in 1982 , declined to 0.5 to 0.6 pound from 1989-1991, and increased to 0.7 to 0.8 pound from 1992 through 1996. Overall, there has not been much change in mean weight in 15 years (Table 15).

The same pattern of moderate increase in mean weights did not prevail for each geographic area (Tables 16-19; Figures 13-16). The decrease in mean size of vermilion snapper landed in North Carolina is dramatic since 1979, but has remained about the same for 1986-1996 (Figure 13). The species averaged two-three pounds from 1976 through 1982, and has declined to about one pound from 1985-1996. Vermilion snapper landed in South Carolina revealed a similar mean size pattern by year as those from North Carolina, except the mean size of South Carolina fish increased in the most recent years, 1992-1996 (Table 17). Mean size for the NEFL-GA and SEFL areas increased from 1991-1996 (Tables 18 and 19), again reflecting the 10 -inch minimum size regulation. As sizes increased, numbers of fish sampled generally decreased.

Table 15. Mean weight (Ibs) of vermilion snapper from headboats for all areas combined.

| Year | Mean Weight | $N$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1982 | 0.94 | 2782 |
| 1983 | 0.77 | 4506 |
| 1984 | 0.80 | 4548 |
| 1985 | 0.71 | 5925 |
| 1986 | 0.66 | 6191 |
| 1987 | 0.64 | 6332 |
| 1988 | 0.64 | 4774 |
| 1989 | 0.52 | 4776 |
| 1990 | 0.51 | 5333 |
| 1991 | 0.45 | 4042 |
| 1992 | 0.64 | 2835 |
| 1993 | 0.71 | 3325 |
| 1994 | 0.69 | 5738 |
| 1995 | 0.73 | 4811 |
| 1996 | 0.77 | 3867 |

Figure 12. Vermilion snapper mean weight from headboat landings in the southeastern U.S.


Table 16. Vermilion snapper mean weights (ibs) from North Carolina headboats.

|  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| 1972 | 1.22 | 753 |
| 1973 | 2.18 | 320 |
| 1974 | 1.51 | 527 |
| 1975 | 1.53 | 686 |
| 1976 | 2.15 | 450 |
| 1977 | 3.01 | 144 |
| 1978 | 3.12 | 221 |
| 1979 | 3.37 | 269 |
| 1980 | 2.77 | 322 |
| 1981 | 2.10 | 174 |
| 1982 | 1.90 | 591 |
| 1983 | 1.55 | 864 |
| 1984 | 1.44 | 542 |
| 1985 | 1.18 | 816 |
| 1986 | 0.99 | 1175 |
| 1987 | 0.91 | 1250 |
| 1988 | 0.86 | 1307 |
| 1989 | 0.83 | 871 |
| 1990 | 0.91 | 838 |
| 1991 | 0.77 | 1047 |
| 1992 | 0.87 | 530 |
| 1993 | 0.79 | 613 |
| 1994 | 0.90 | 565 |
| 1995 | 0.89 | 700 |
| 1996 | 0.85 | 747 |

Figure 13. Vermilion snapper mean weights from North Carolina headboats.


Table 17. Vermilion snapper mean weights (Ibs) from South Carolina headboats.

|  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| 1972 | 1.06 | 344 |
| 1973 | 1.29 | 250 |
| 1974 | 1.10 | 714 |
| 1975 | 1.21 | 604 |
| 1976 | 1.16 | 293 |
| 1977 | 1.33 | 214 |
| 1978 | 1.27 | 219 |
| 1979 | 0.81 | 52 |
| 1980 | 1.22 | 171 |
| 1981 | 1.19 | 137 |
| 1982 | 0.88 | 686 |
| 1983 | 0.83 | 587 |
| 1984 | 0.80 | 1516 |
| 1985 | 0.90 | 627 |
| 1986 | 0.75 | 691 |
| 1987 | 0.74 | 1022 |
| 1988 | 0.87 | 728 |
| 1989 | 0.65 | 914 |
| 1990 | 0.65 | 1196 |
| 1991 | 0.61 | 868 |
| 1992 | 0.72 | 1575 |
| 1993 | 0.78 | 2002 |
| 1994 | 0.72 | 3979 |
| 1995 | 0.73 | 3684 |
| 1996 | 0.80 | 2708 |

Figure 14. Vermilion snapper mean weights from South Carolina headboats.


Table 18. Vermilion snapper mean weights (Ibs) from Northeast Florida-Georgia headboats.

| Year |  | Mean Weight |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | N |  |
| 1976 | 0.57 | 400 |
| 1977 | 0.46 | 669 |
| 1978 | 0.50 | 864 |
| 1979 | 0.42 | 898 |
| 1980 | 0.40 | 601 |
| 1981 | 0.50 | 853 |
| 1982 | 0.57 | 1331 |
| 1983 | 0.50 | 1574 |
| 1984 | 0.65 | 1918 |
| 1985 | 0.55 | 3012 |
| 1986 | 0.51 | 3211 |
| 1987 | 0.47 | 3103 |
| 1988 | 0.42 | 2193 |
| 1989 | 0.37 | 2156 |
| 1990 | 0.35 | 2726 |
| 1991 | 0.32 | 1477 |
| 1992 | 0.61 | 234 |
| 1993 | 0.63 | 307 |
| 1994 | 0.64 | 376 |
| 1995 | 0.61 | 181 |
| 1996 | 0.61 | 202 |

Figure 15. Vermilion snapper mean weights from Northeast FloridaGeorgia headboats.


Table 19. Vermilion snapper mean weight (lbs) from southeast Florida headboats.

| Year Mean Weight |  | N |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| 1982 | 0.76 | 167 |
| 1983 | 0.57 | 1478 |
| 1984 | 0.66 | 569 |
| 1985 | 0.68 | 1466 |
| 1986 | 0.72 | 1092 |
| 1987 | 0.75 | 940 |
| 1988 | 0.65 | 536 |
| 1989 | 0.55 | 652 |
| 1990 | 0.63 | 339 |
| 1991 | 0.42 | 172 |
| 1992 | 0.53 | 156 |
| 1993 | 0.66 | 194 |
| 1994 | 0.68 | 508 |
| 1995 | 0.69 | 123 |
| 1996 | 0.72 | 63 |

Figure 16. Vermilion snapper mean weights from Southeast Florida headboats.


Recreational (MRFSS)
Mean size data are available for the recreational fishery from 1981 through 1996 (Table 20; Figure 17). The data could not be stratified by geographic area because of small sample sizes. Less than 20 vermilion snapper were sampled for the entire southeastern United States for each of the years: 1981, 1986, and 1996 ( $\mathrm{N}=10,19$, and 19, respectively), and less than 100.fish were sampled in 1982, 1987, 1989, 1990, 1991, 1993, 1994, and 1995. Mean fish length derived from length frequency sampling, for the entire area was relatively small, averaging less 350 mm TL (13.8 inches) for all years except 1994 ( 360 mm ; 14inches and 1.4 pounds). For most of the years, vermilion snapper averaged about a pound or slightly less (Table 20; Figure 17).

Table 20. Recreational (MRFSS) mean weights of vermilion snapper landed in the southeastern United States, generated from the length samples (sample size is in parenthesis)and l-w relationship and from the landings.

|  | Mean weight (lbs) | Source |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Length samples (N) | Landings |
| 1981 | $0.49(10)$ | 0.23 |
| 1982 | $0.91(91)$ | 0.71 |
| 1983 | $0.72(148)$ | 1.31 |
| 1984 | $0.93(608)$ | 0.85 |
| 1985 | $0.72(187)$ | 0.65 |
| 1986 | $0.41(19)$ | 0.23 |
| 1987 | $1.09(37)$ | 0.57 |
| 1988 | $1.06(145)$ | 1.08 |
| 1989 | $0.79(80)$ | 0.45 |


| 1990 | $1.17(75)$ | 1.01 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1991 | $0.95(53)$ | 0.61 |
| 1992 | $0.93(120)$ | 1.44 |
| 1993 | $0.97(75)$ | 1.05 |
| 1994 | $1.37(77)$ | 1.25 |
| 1995 | $0.78(74)$ | 0.69 |
| 1996 | $1.15(19)$ | 1.03 |

Figure 17. Mean weights of vermilion snapper landed recreationally (MRFSS) in the southeastern U.S.


## Age/Growth

Potts (1997) conducted an age and growth study of vermilion snapper because two previous studies were either outdated (Grimes 1978), or of questionable usefulness for a regionwide stock assessment (Zhao et al. 1997). Vermilion snapper were aged 1-14 years, although few fish lived longer than nine years (Potts 1997). Back-calculated length at the last annulus for each individual was used to estimate the von Bertalanffy growth parameters to avoid violating the assumption of independence (Vaughan and Burton 1994): $I_{t}=670\left(1-e^{-0.117(t+0.613)}\right.$ ) (Potts 1997) (Figure 18). Fish lengths were converted into fish weights and vice versa using the following equation; $W=9.55 \times 10^{-9}(L)^{3.04}$, where $W=$ whole weight in kilograms and $L=$ total length in millimeters (Potts 1997) (Figure 19). Fish total lengths in millimeters at time of capture were used to create a fish age-fish length key (Table 21).

Figure 18. Comparison of theoretical growth curves for vermilion snapper from the southeastern U.S. (Potts 1997), the Carolinas (Grimes 1978), and the South Atlantic Bight (zhao et al. 1997).


Figure 19. Whole weight - total length relationship for vermilion snapper from the southeastern U.S. (Potts 1997).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{W}=9.55 \times 10^{-9}(L)^{3.04} \\
& r^{2}=0.95, \mathrm{MSE}=0.026 \\
& \mathrm{n}=443
\end{aligned}
$$



Table 21. Age-total length key of the vermilion snapper collected from the southeastern U.S. Total fish in age class (percent) from Potts (1997).

| Age (yr) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lenglit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 175 | 2(10.53) | $14(73.68)$ | $3(15.79)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200 | 3 (5.36) | $11(19.64)$ | 40 (71.43) | 2(3.57) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 225 |  | $8(8.89)$ | 62 (68.89) | 19 (22.11) | 1(1.11) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 251) |  | $5(4.95)$ | $52(51.49)$ | $32(31.68)$ | $111(10.89)$ | 1(0.99) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27.5 |  | $4(3.13)$ | $52(40.63)$ | 51 (39.84) | 15(11.72) | 5(3.9) | 1 (0.78) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300 |  | 1 (0.66) | 70(46.36) | 60 (39.74) | 16 (10.60) | $1(0.66)$ | $3(1.99)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 325 |  | 2(1.27) | 28(17.72) | $88(55.70)$ | $31(19.62)$ | 6 (3.80) | 3 (1.90) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 350 |  |  | 12 (10.17) | 45 (38.14) | 44 (37.29) | 15 (12.71) | 2(1.6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 375 |  |  | 2(2.60) | 13(16.88) | 40 (51.95) | 17(22.08) | $5(6.49)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400 |  |  |  | 6 (10.53) | 16 (28.07) | 19(33.33) | 15 (26.32) | 1(1.75) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 425 |  |  |  | 2(3.77) | $10(18.87)$ | 22 (41.51) | 15 (28.30) | 4(7.55) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 450 | $\cdots$ |  |  |  | S(10.42) | 10 (20.83) | 23 (47.92) | 9 (18.75) | $1(2.08)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 475 |  |  | , |  |  | 3(7.69) | 18 (46.15) | $14(35.90)$ | 2(5.13) | 2(5.13) |  |  |  |  |
| 500 |  |  |  |  |  | 1(3.13) | 9 (28.13) | $11134.38)$ | $7(21.88)$ | 2(6.25) | 2(6.25) |  |  |  |
| 525 |  |  |  |  |  | 1(3.03) | 5 (15.15) | 10 (30.30) | 10 (30.30) | 4 (12.12) | $1(3.03)$ | $113.03)$ |  | 1(3.03) |
| 550 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $3(50.00)$ | $1(16.67)$ | $1(16.67)$ | 1(16.67) |  |  |
| 575 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1(33.33)$ | $2(66.67)$ |  |
| 600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1(000.0)$ |  |

## Development of Catch-in-Numbers-at-Age Matrix


#### Abstract

Annual application of the catch-in-numbers-at-age matrix equation (see Methods section) to each fishery (commercial, recreational, and headboat) was performed separately and tabulated for each year to obtain annual estimates of catch in numbers for different ages for 1986-1996. This is the catch matrix.


## Mortality Estimates

## Total Instantaneous Mortality

Catch curves using data for 1986-1991 were different from those calculated for 1992-1996. We believe this to be mainly attributable to minimum size regulation differences for the two time periods. Smaller (younger) fish could be landed in the earlier period than the later.

Catch curves for 1986-1991 were based on vermilion snapper aged 3-
12 years; those produced for 1992-1996 were based on fish aged 4-14 years (Figures 20 and 21). Therefore, total instantaneous mortality estimates were different for the two periods: Z $=0.77$ for 1986-1991 and $Z=0.86$ for 1992-1996 computed as means for the two time periods.

Figure 20. Natural log of the catch-at-age for vermilion snapper from the southeastern U.S. landed from 1986 through 1991.


Figure 21. Natural log of the catch-at-age for vermilion snapper from the southeastern U.S. landed from 1992 through 1996.


## Natural Mortality

There is often great uncertainty in deriving a value for natural mortality, M. Yet this is an important parameter input into stock assessment analysis, and ultimately dictates the selection of the initial values of fishing mortality, $F$, to be used in the analyses. Caution suggests using a range of possible values for $M$ in the analyses, and that is what we have done in this assessment. We estimated natural mortality using several methods, and then four values were chosen as a range to use in the VPA runs. Methods used to estimate M and their resulting values are:

| Hoenig (1983) - original equation - | 0.30 |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| adjusted for sample size - | 0.60 |
| Rauly (1979) - | 0.31 |
| Roff (1984) - unrealistically high ( $>0.90$ ) |  |
| Rikhter and Efanov (1977)- unrealistically high |  |

Alverson and Carney (1975) - 0.41
Both Hoenig (1983) and Alverson and Carney (1975) use maximum age in their equations for calculating $M$. Using a maximum observed age of 14 years from the Potts (1997) study, the two methods return relatively similar values of M . The Hoenig method relates maximum observed age to total mortality and sample size, and assumes random sampling. Since most of the samples from this age-growth study came from the South Atlantic headboat survey and the NMFS commercial sampling program, we feel this assumption is met. The Alverson and Carney (1975) method uses von Bertalanffy growth equation parameters as
well as the oldest fish in the population to estimate $T_{\max }$, the age at which a cohort has its maximum biomass in the absence of fishing. Since our data came from a fished stock, the estimate of $M=0.41$ is probably high.

The Rikhter and Efanov (1977) method produced estimates of $M$ that were unrealistically high (0.53 and 0.77). However, these estimates were not unexpected for an equation that is based solely on age at sexual maturity. Early age at maturity, such as that demonstrated for vermilion snapper, usually applies to a faster-growing, shorter-lived species (herring for example).

Our value for the Pauly (1979) estimate of $M=0.31$ compares favorably with the value (0.23) reported by Ault et al. (1998) for the Florida Keys and 0.25 presented by Schirripa (1996) for the Gulf of Mexico. Our mean seawater temperature input into Pauly's (1979) equation was $21.95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Roff (1984) predicts $M$ using the Brody growth coefficient $K$ and the age at maturity. He does not define age at maturity, so we used ages corresponding to both $50 \%$ and $75 \%$ maturity. It seems improbable that a fish with a maximum age of at least 14 years would have a natural mortality value as high 0.94 or 0.99 as the Roff (1984) method estimates using 50\% maturity.

Our estimates of M generally fall into the range 0.30 to 0.60 . It seems unlikely that a reef fish would have an $M$ greater than 0.40 . And, we believe that the true value of $M$ for vermilion snapper probably falls between 0.30 and 0.35 . To be conservative in our interpretations, we choose to run the analyses with a range of values for natural
mortality including $0.20,0.25,0.30$, and 0.35 .

## Fishing Mortality and Virtual Population Analysis

For the separable VPA runs, two catch matrices were analyzed consisting of catch in numbers for ages 1 through 12 for fishing years 1986-1991 (modal age generally 3) and ages 1 through 12 for 1992-1996 (modal age 4). For the VPA, starting values for $F$ were based on the mean estimates of Z from the two time periods (0.77 $\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ for 1986-1991 and $0.86 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ for 1992-1996). Sensitivity of estimated $F$ to uncertainty in $M$ was investigated by conducting the above VPAs with alternate values of $\mathrm{M}(0.20,0.25,0.30$, and 0.35$)$.

Because of the short duration of the catch matrix and large number of ages, mean values only for the pre- and post-minimum size limit are considered. Mean values of age-specific estimates of $F$ were obtained from the separable VPA applied to the catch at age data (Table 22) using the uncalibrated separable (VPA). Estimates of $F$ were averaged over fully-recruited ages (ages 3-12 for 1986-1991 and ages 4-12 for 1992-1996), weighted by catch in numbers for those ages (referred to as fuil F).

Using the uncalibrated separable approach (VPA) with $M$ of 0.30 , mean estimates of full F (ages $3+$ ) tended to be lower for the period 1986-1991 (mean of 0.42 for full F) compared to the period 1992-1996 (mean of 0.51 for full. F; ages 4+) (Table 23).

Table 22. Catch-at-age for vermilion snapper landed in all fisheries operating in the southeastern United States from 1986 to 1996.

| Year/Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 8231 | 77069 | 467817 | 362700 | 180768 | 83262 | 65731 | 23289 | 9286 | 3558 | 1822 | 1167 | 973 | 264 |
| 1987 | 17616 | 140725 | 600330 | 409733 | 189172 | 80408 | 60048 | 19879 | 6322 | 2524 | 1191 | 671 | 661 | 136 |
| 1988 | 21494 | 153772 | 639559 | 430359 | 216984 | 100598 | 76282 | 25509 | 8219 | 3201 | 1581 | 913 | 1185 | 184 |
| 1989 | 12088 | 101991 | 638005 | 493617 | 264334 | 132259 | 101289 | 32524 | 9514 | 3739 | 1696 | 1004 | 696 | 242 |
| 1990 | 5756 | 66946 | 659778 | 596153 | 349258 | 179267 | 146967 | 50615 | 11663 | 5757 | 1435 | 888 | 1273 | 354 |
| 1991 | 7169 | 80189 | 669650 | 529624 | 299220 | 151747 | 113395 | 35673 | 11879 | 4706 | 2216 | 1468 | 2473 | 326 |
| 1992 | 356 | 18489 | 271113 | 324281 | 194495 | 91020 | 62839 | 16531 | 4125 | 1610 | 744 | 376 | 205 | 70 |
| 1993 | 397 | 15393 | 243034 | 294038 | 183195 | 96462 | 78167 | 25397 | 6878 | 2771 | 1156 | 440 | 196 | 167 |
| 1994 | 209 | 14873 | 255249 | 326367 | 211945 | 109686 | 89369 | 30248 | 9503 | 3756 | 1806 | 1012 | 5408 | 188 |
| 1995 | 118 | 13412 | 250470 | 346078 | 229127 | 114896 | 84473 | 23734 | 6288 | 2497 | 1108 | 726 | 422 | 127 |
| 1996 | 176 | 14023 | 266324 | 348113 | 197647 | 87324 | 63001 | 17594 | 4448 | 1773 | 763 | 308 | 613 | 85 |

## Yield Per Recruit

Yield per recruit increased for the later years due to the imposition of the minimum size limits. Data are presented graphically in Figures $22 a-d$. We incorporated an adjustment for released fish mortality to determine what impact this would have on yield at entry to the fishery. The value $27 \%$, obtained from field studies conducted by NMFS researchers (Bob Dixon and Pete Parker, NMFS, Beaufort Laboratory, Beaufort, NC), was used. At this level of release mortality, the age of recruitment to the fishery in order to obtain a $30 \%$ SPR is increased from four to six. To reach a SPR of $40 \%$, the age of recruitment is increased from five to seven.

Table 23. Spawning potential ratio (SPR) and yield per recruit ( $Y / R$ ) of female vermilion snapper based on mean age-specific fishing mortality rates for two time periods (1986-1991 and 1992-1996) and two maturity schedules ( $50 \%$ mature at age 1 and $50 \%$ mature at age 2 )from separable virtual population analysis.

|  |  | Natural | Mortality | (M) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period |  | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 |
| 1986-1991 | Full F | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0.42 | 0.38 |
|  | SPR (50\% mature | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.26 |
|  | at age 1) |  |  |  |  |
|  | SPR (50\% mature | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.25 |
|  | at age 2) |  |  |  |  |
|  | YPR (50\% mature | 88 | 72 | 58 | 46 |
|  | at age 1) |  |  |  |  |
|  | YPR (50\% mature | 88 | 72 | 58 | 46 |
|  | at age 2) |  |  |  |  |
| 1992-1996 | Full F | 0.61 | 0.55 | 0.51 | 0.46 |
|  | SPR (50\% mature | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.28 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SPR (50\% mature | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.27 |
|  | at age 2) |  |  |  |  |
|  | YPR (50\% mature | 99 | 81 | 65 | 51 |
|  | at age 1) |  |  |  |  |
|  | YPR (50\% mature | 99 | 81 | 65 | 51 |
|  | at age 2) |  |  |  |  |

## Spawning Potential Ratio

We received vermilion snapper reproductive data from SCDNR personnel collected throughout the year for 1990-1993. A total of 1,676 fish were collected by hook and line and fish traps; 1,546 were sexed. Of the sexed fish, 332 (21\%) were males, and 1,214 (79\%) were females. All females that were aged were. sexually mature; the smallest was 186 mm TL. Since the sample sizes of age-1 females were very small for the published Cuellar et al. (1996) study, and these SCDNR data, we decided to conduct our analyses using two relatively conservative sexual maturity schedules. One considered no (0\%) age-1 females mature, $50 \%$ age-2 mature, and $100 \%$ ages $-3-14$ mature (our preferred schedule). The other included $50 \%$ of the age-1 females as mature, and $100 \%$ of the females aged 2-14 years as mature. Both are conservative because Cuellar (1996) and the SCDNR data indicate that all age-1 vermilion snapper are sexually mature.

Spawning potential ratio, or percent maximum spawning potential, of female vermilion snapper was calculated for two time periods (19861991 and 1992-1996) based on mean age specific fishing mortality from separable virtual population analysis using four different levels of natural mortality ( $M=0.20,0.25,0.30$, and 0.35 ) (Table 23). Percent maximum spawning potential was greater for the more recent time period, particularly for $M=0.30$, and $M=0.35 ; S P R=0.21$ and 0.28 (Figure 23a-d). These values are slightly higher than those which have been previously presented to the SAFMC (SAFMC 1997): SPR $=0.16$ for data through 1991; and $S P R=0.19$ for data through 1994.

Estimates of equilibrium spawning potential ratio (static SPR) using estimated $F$ from the two VPA approaches are summarized by time period and assumed level of $M$ (Table 23). Using separable VPA estimates of $F$. (with $M$ of 0.30 ) for two periods, SPR estimates based on female biomass are compared (Table 23). Note that even though full $F$ may be higher for the latter time period, it is applied to fewer older ages, so that SPR is actually. lower.

Two management options are evaluated in Table 24 that would each increase $S P R$ to $30 \%$ and $40 \%$. The two options are reduce $F$ and increase minimum size, thus raising the age at entry to the fisheries.

Table 24. Two management actions that could each increase vermilion snapper SPR to 20\%, 30\%, and 40\%, based on 1992-1996 data. $\mathrm{RM}=$ release mortality.

| Action | Current SPR | $\begin{gathered} \text { Current } \\ \mathrm{F} \end{gathered}$ | \% Reduction in F to Achieve |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Reduce $\mathrm{F}^{\text {' }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}=0.30$ | 21\% | 0.51 | N/A | $\begin{gathered} 31 \% \\ (F=0.35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51 \% \\ =0.25) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{M}=0.35$ | 27\% | 0.46 | N/A | $\begin{gathered} 11 \% \\ (F=0.41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \% \\ (F=0.28) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2. Raise Minimum <br> To Achieve SPR Level |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}=0.30$ |  | N/A. | $12.7{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | (5 yrs) 1 | 2" (6 yrs) |
| [RM $=27 \%$ |  |  | $12.7{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $(5 \mathrm{yrs}) 15$ | 5" (7 yrs) |
| $\mathrm{M}=0.35$ |  | N/A | 11.0 " | (4 yrs) 12 | 7" (5.yrs) |
| $[\mathrm{RM}=27 \%$ |  |  | 11.01 | (4 yrs) 14 | $2^{\prime \prime}$ (6 yrs) |

Figure 22. Ricker yield -per-recruit and spawning potential ratio for vermilion snapper landed in the southeastern U.S. during two time periods: 1986-1991 and 1992-1996, and two levels of M: 0.30 and 0.35.
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Figure 23. Spawning potential ratio of the vermilion snapper population from the southeastern U.S. during two time periods: 1986-1991 and 1992-1996, and two levels of M: 0.30 and 0.35.
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We believe that our assessment of vermilion snapper is on the one hand conservative, and on the other flexible enough in its presentation to allow the reader to independently judge the status of the stock. It is conservative in that our use of the MRFSS data, which often include inadequate sample sizes for length frequency analysis (Mays and Manooch 1997), and present questionably large estimates of small fish landed, would tend to underestimate age of fish at entry to the fishery, thus erroneously lowering SPR. Also, the sexual maturity schedules that we used do not consider all age-1 fish mature, but rather conservatively scale the maturity schedule. More younger, sexually mature snapper would result in a greater spawning potential for the species throughout life.

Although landings have generally decreased, the mean size of vermilion snapper landed and catch per unit effort have generally increased during the past several years. These are positive indications that the minimum size limits are having an effect on landings, and are increasing age at entry to the fishery. Fully recruited age and age at entry are age- $3^{\circ}$ and age-1 for 1986-1991, and age-4 and age-1, respectively, for 1992-1996.

SPR values were derived using natural mortality (M) values of $0.20,0.25,0.30$, and 0.35 . We believe that the most accurate estimate of $M$ is between 0.30 and 0.35 . This would result in an SPR ranging from 0.21 to 0.27 for the most recent time period, 1992-1996, depending on M. SPR could be improved to $30 \%$ with a $31 \%$ reduction in


#### Abstract

$F$, if $M=0.30$, and to $40 \%$ with a $51 \%$ reduction. If $M=0.35$, $S P R$ could be increased to $30 \%$ with a $11 \%$ reduction in $F$ and to $40 \%$ with a $30 \%$ reduction (Table 24). Age-at-entry could be increased if fishermen, particularly recreational, comply fully with the newly imposed 11-inch minimum size regulation. However, released fish mortality (RM) will continue to make the achievement of higher levels of $S P R$ more difficult.

We conclude that the vermilion snapper stock is in a "transitional" condition. That is, the status is less than desirable, but does appear to be responsive to recent management actions. The recent management action taken by the SAFMC should certainly accelerate the process of rebuilding the stock.
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