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This is the sixth annual Large Pelagic Logbook Newsletter. The primary purpose of this report is to summarize data and activities related to the mandatory large pelagics logbook and observer programs. This newsletter serves as a vehicle for dissemination of information to those directly involved in the fishery. In addition to updating catch, effort, CPUE, and location information, and detailing revisions to logbook reporting in 1997, this year's newsletter includes sections pertaining to swordfish stock status, bycatch, mandatory dealer reporting, the longline observer program, and other related studies.

Comments and suggestions are invited; see section "WHOM TO CONTACT FOR WHAT."

COMPARISON OF 1993-1995 LOGBOOK CATCH AND EFFORT DATA

Nine summary tables are included in this newsletter. The numbers of swordfish, tunas, and billfish reported caught, by area, for 1993, 1994 and 1995 (preliminary) are given for longline (Tables la-Ic), gillnet (Tables $2 \mathrm{a}-2 \mathrm{c}$ ) and pairtrawl boats (Tables 3a-3c). Longline effort is reported in hooks and numbers of boats, gillnet and pairtrawl effort is reported in sets and numbers of boats. The longline boat statistics are from logbook reports that were considered to represent all pelagic longline sets including summary records; bottom longline records were excluded.

The gillnet and pairtrawl boat statistics represent all sets that reported fishing those gear types. Some changes in the tabulated data for earlier years and reported previously were due to additional revisions in the database.

Locations of areas are shown in Figure 1. Definitions are as follows: area 1-Caribbean ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ (CAR), area 2 - Gulf of Mexico (GOM), area 3 Florida East Coast ${ }^{1}$ (FEC), area 4 - South Atlantic Bight ${ }^{1}$ (SAB), area 5 - Mid Atlantic Bight ${ }^{1}$ (MAB),

[^0]area 6 - Northeast Coastal ${ }^{1}$ (NEC), area 7 Northeast Distant' (NED), area 8 - Sargasso ${ }^{1}$ (SAR), area 9 -North Central Atlantic (NCA), area 10-Tuna North' - (TUN), and area 11 - Tuna South ${ }^{1}$ (TUS).


Figure 1. Map designating the eleven areas used in analysis of the swordfish logbook data.

Between 1994 and 1995 reported longline effort (hooks) decreased ( $6 \%, 9 \%$ and $6 \%$ ) in the CAR, FEC, and NED respectively while reported effort increased slightly ( $7 \%, 3 \%$ and $7 \%$ ) in the GOM, SAB and MAB respectively. A larger (37\%) increase was reported in the NEC and a very large decrease ( $800 \%$ ) was reported in the SAR. In the southern off shore areas, NCA and TUN, reported effort increased by $300 \%$. (Tables la-lc). It should be noted, though, that reported effort in these regions has been relatively lower in other areas.

Preliminary reported longline effort for 1995 was higher than reported for 1994 . The total number of longline boats increased in 1995 to the same level as reported for 1993.

The reported yellowfin tuna catch for the three-year period was approximately $63,000,70,000$ and 83,000 fish, respectively. This represents a $11 \%$ increase in numbers of reported yellowfin catch from 1994 to 1995.

In the GOM, the reported catch of yellowfin in numbers increased annually from 1990 through 1992; this trend has reversed from 1992 to 1995. In the MAB, the reported yellowfin catch in
numbers increased annually from 1992 through 1995.

In 1993 there were approximately 97,000 swordfish tabulated from longline records (caught $=$ kept + discarded). There were approximately 104,000 swordfish reported in 1994; and 103,000 reported in 1995 (preliminary). The corresponding reported fishing effort for the three years was roughly $8.5,8.9$, and 10 million hooks, respectively (Tables la-1c). Reported swordfish catch was similar in 1994 and 1995 while the number of reported hooks fished increased by $12 \%$.

With the exception of the GOM, near shore areas (Figure 1), reported decreases in annual swordfish catch by longline boats while offshore areas reported increases.

The number of yellowfin tuna reported caught by gillnet boats increased from 1993 to 1994 and then decreased in 1995 while the number of swordfish reported caught by gillnet boats decreased from 1993 (1,154 swordfish and 29 yellowfin) to 1994 (1,042 swordfish and 292 yellowfin) to 1995 ( 1,007 swordfish and 141 yellowfin) (Tables 2a-2c).

Pairtrawl vessels reporting large pelagic fishing effort dropped from 13 boats in 1993 to 11 boats in 1994 and 1995 (Tables 3a-3c). Tables 3a through 3 c do not contain information from all pair trawl boats since all boats did not submit individual set records. Reported pairtrawl effort occurred in SAB, MAB and NEC. Reported catches by pairtrawl vessels of bigeye tuna increased while catch of swordfish, yellowfin tuna and albacore were stable from 1994 ( 1,996 bigeye, 463 swordfish, 1,828 yellowfin, and 8,269 albacore) to 1995 ( 3,132 bigeye, 437 swordfish, 1,420 yellowfin, and 7,280 albacore).

REPORTED FISHING LOCATIONS IN 1993, 1994, AND 1995

The location of reported commercial pelagic fishing effort by year for 1993-1995 is shown in Figures 2-4. The general pattern for reported sets is
similar across the three years along the U.S. coastline. Fishing effort increased and expanded geographically in the southern offshore areas (NCA, TUN, and TUS).

## CPUE DATA

Tables 4a-4c represent 1993, 1994, and 1995 (preliminary) data, respectively, for swordfish and yellowfin tuna. These data are yearly totals, by areas as (defined in Figure 1) for: number of fish Kept; number Discarded dead and Discarded alive; Kept+Discarded; effort in HOOKS; the Number of sets; and the average of the individual catch rates, $\mathrm{AV}(\mathbf{C / E})$ (equivalent to average CPUE). This summary includes all gears that reported fishing with hooks that were not thought to be summary records.

The totals reported in tables la through lc are different from the totals in tables 4 a through 4 c because different criteria were used in selecting the records to be used. Tables la through Ic represent data from longline boats only, including summary reports filed by longline boats. Tables 4 a through $4 c$ represent all records that reported hooks except summary reports. Gears represented include, but are not limited to, longline, bottom longline, and rod and reel boats.

The data summarized here are considered to represent nominal CPUE. No attempt has been made in this summary to standardize the data for factors not related to fish abundance, but known to affect the CPUE values. Those analyses are carried out for the purpose of stock assessments, and are reported elsewhere.

The reported swordfish catch rates in 1993 for the CAR, FEC, SAB, NED and the NCA were, respectively, approximately 2.5 fish/ 100 hooks, 3.0 fish/100 hooks, 2.3 fish/ 100 hooks, 2.9 fish/ 100 hooks, and 1.7 fish $/ 100$ hooks (Table 4a); in 1994 approximately 2.7 fish/100 hooks, 2.8 fish/ 100 hooks, 2.0 fish/ 100 hooks, 2.6 fish/ 100 hooks and 1.9 fish/100 hooks (Table 4b); and in 1995 (preliminary) approximately 2.3 fish/ 100 hooks, 2.4


Figure 2. Location and density of reported longline effort in 1993.


Figure 3. Location and density of reported longline effort in 1994.


Figure 4. Location and density of reported longline effort in 1995.
fish/ 100 hooks, 1.3 fish/ 100 hooks, 2.8 fish/ 100 hooks and 1.9 fish/ 100 hooks (Table 4c).

Average reported CPUEs for yellowfin, on an annual basis, were consistently high from the GOM fishery. The reported catch rates in the GOM in 1993 were approximately 1.3 fish/ 100 hooks (Table 4a); in 1994 approximately 2.7 fish $/ 100$ hooks (Table 4b); and in 1995 approximately 2.7 fish/ 100 hooks (Table 4c). The highest CPUE reported for 1993 was 2.4 fish/ 100 hooks in NCA. The CPUE in NCA in 1994 and 1995 was 0.4 fish/ 100 hooks and 2.4 fish/ 100 hooks.

Monthly reported CPUEs for swordfish, yellowfin, bigeye, and albacore from 1987 to 1995 are shown in Figures 5a -5d. The error bars represent $\pm 2$ standard deviations from the mean.

## NUMBERS OF PERMITTED VESSELS

A compilation of activity related to the vessels permitted during the period 1987 through 1995 is presented in Table 5. "Fished" implies a vessel submitted at least one positive fishing report during that year, "Caught Swordfish" means the vessel reported catching at least one swordfish during that year and "Caught Swordfish in 5 months" means the vessel reported catching at least one swordfish per month in at least five months of that year. "Hooks Reported" includes all submitted logbooks whether or not they represented single pelagic longline sets, summary records, bottom longline records, or sets with less than 100 hooks fished. For this reason, these numbers are higher than the numbers in Tables la-lc.

## SWORDFISH STOCK STATUS

In 1996, the status of the North Atlantic swordfish resource was assessed by ICCAT using both non-equilibrium stock production models and virtual population analyses (VPA) based on catch and CPUE data through 1995. The current base case assessments indicate that the North Atlantic swordfish resource has continued to decline despite reductions in total reported North Atlantic landings from peak values in 1987. Although some fleets
have reduced catch levels and partial fishing mortality rates, the status of the resource continued to decline because recent landings have exceeded surplus production. The decline in stock size is reflected in declining CPUE's for several fisheries. An updated estimate of maximum sustainable yield from production model analyses is 28.6 million lbs ( $13,000 \mathrm{MT}$ ) whole wt (with an $80 \%$ confidence range from 11.7 to 36.3 million lbs. whole $w t$ ). Since 1982, only in one year (1984) have north Atlantic swordfish catches been less than 28.6 million lbs; preliminary estimates of catches in 1995 were about 37.2 million lbs. ( $16,900 \mathrm{MT}$ ).
A.summary of the resource status as estimated by the 1996 ICCAT is shown in the Table 6. In the North Atlantic, ICCAT estimated that at the beginning of 1996, the exploitable swordfish biomass was about $58 \%$ of the level needed to produce MSY ( $80 \%$ confidence intervals ranged from 41-104\%). Furthermore, the estimates of fishing mortality rates for the most recent year (1995) were 2.05 times the fishing mortality rate at MSY. ICCAT estimated that catches in 1995 and anticipated landings in 1996, were too high to prevent further declines in the North Atlantic swordfish resource status.

The South Atlantic reported catch was relatively low, generally less than 11 million lbs. (About $5,000 \mathrm{MT}$ ) until the early 1980s. Since then, landings have increased continuously to 38 million lbs. ( $17,308 \mathrm{MT}$ ) in 1994 and 43.8 million lbs ( $19,900 \mathrm{MT}$ ) in 1995, levels that match peak North Atlantic harvests. Since 1988, reported South Atlantic landings have exceeded 26 million lbs. ( $12,000 \mathrm{MT}$ ). The historic peak in reported landings for 1995 ( 43.8 million lbs) is $15 \%$ higher than reported landings in 1994 ( 38 million lbs.) And $17 \%$ higher than reported landings in 1990 ( 37.5 million lbs.). ICCAT expressed concern about the rapid increase in catch and declining CPUE in some South Atlantic fisheries and advised that strict harvest measures may be necessary in the near future if fishing mortality is not reduced.


Figure 5a. Monthly Swordfish CPUE's


Figure 5b. Monthly Yellowfin CPUE's


Figure 5c. Monthly Bigeye CPUE's 1987-1995


Figure 5d. Monthly Albacore CPUE's

## ALBACORE STOCK STATUS

The state of the northern albacore stock was analyzed using an age structured production model and a VPA based on catch and CPUE data through 1995. Equilibrium yield per recruit and spawning potential ratio analysis indicated that the northern stock is at or near full exploitation (Table 7). Assuming the fishing mortality rate as estimated by the VPA for 1995, the analysis reflects the current $\mathrm{F}_{1995}(0.702)$ close to $\mathrm{F}_{\max }(0.880)$ and greater than $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}(0.375)$. Assuming current F for older ages is closer to the level estimated for 1990-1992, then current $F$ would be closer to $F_{0.1}$. The current level of spawning biomass for these analyses is estimated as $16.5 \%$ and $20 \%$ of the unexploited level respectively. ICCAT recommended that attention be given to implementing effective controls to limit fishing effort to current levels.

An age structured production model was used for assessment of South Atlantic albacore abundance. The assesment indicated that MSY is about 58.5 million lbs ( $26,600 \mathrm{MT}$ ) and the current (1995) replacement yield is 58.3 million lbs ( $26,500 \mathrm{MT}$ ). The estimate of the ratio of current biomass to that at which MSY is achieved is 0.82 . The fishing mortality rate is $119 \%$ of that needed to achieve MSY (Table 7). ICCAT has recommended limiting catches of South Atlantic albacore to $90 \%$ of the 1989-1993 levels.

## BIGEYE STOCK STATUS

Since 1993, total Atlantic bigeye catch has been near or greater than 220 million lbs ( 100,000 MT), an increase from the 1989-1990 level of more than 66 million lbs ( $30,000 \mathrm{MT}$ ). This increase was due primarily to increases in catch by purse seine of small fish and longline fisheries of large fish. The MSYs estimated by the production models and the VPA were much smaller than the current catch ( 60 $70,0000 \mathrm{MT}$ ). Although MSY levels were not well determined, it is highly likely that the current catch level cannot be sustained in the long term and current catches may lead to a large reduction in recruitment. Reduction of the total catch by 66-88 million lbs, to below the most likely MSY level
(132- 154 million lbs, $60,000-70,000 \mathrm{MT}$ ) was recommended by ICCAT (Table 8).

## YELLOWFIN STOCK STATUS

In 1994, the status of the total Atlantic yellowfin stock was assessed by ICCAT using equilibrium and non-equilibrium production models. In 1996, ICCAT applied only an equilibrium model to updated data through 1995 and the 1994 VPA was projected forward using recent catch data. The 1994, 1995, and 1996 analyses all indicate that the stock of Atlantic yellowfin is at a level close to full exploitation (Table 9). ICCAT concluded these analyses imply that any increase in effort is likely to result in a fishing mortality rate that exceeds the level corresponding to MSY and a stock biomass below the mimmum level that can support MSY.

## MANDATORY REPORTING IN THE ATLANTIC LARGE PELAGIC FISHERY

Federal regulations require that both fishermen and dealers assist the conservation and management of large pelagic species by providing statistics on fishing activity and seafood production respectively. Fishermen are required to submit data on daily fishing activity and catch, which includes individual carcass weights for the swordfish and other large pelagic species. Dealers are required to provide summary data on the landings (purchases) by market or size category and the price or value for the respective categories. Both fishermen and dealers are required to maintain an active Federal permit to fish for or purchase swordfish.

## Fishermen Reporting.

All fishermen that fish for and land swordfish are required to have an active permit and report the catches from every set or daily trip. In addition to a completed logbook sheet for every set, fishermen are required to submit a copy of the weigh-out or sales receipt that provide the weights for the individual swordfish and other large pelagic species that are caught on the fishing trip. If either of these requirements are not met, the vessel is not in
compliance and the vessel's permit can be revoked or denied at the annual renewal.

If the vessel did not fish during a calendar month, a "no-fishing" report must be submitted.

All logbook reports and weigh-outs are to be submitted to the

Southeast Fisheries Science Center
Logbook Program
P.O. Box 491740

Key Biscayne, Florida 33149-9915
Questions or requests for clarifications can be directed to Logbook Program at the Southeast Fisheries Science Center, telephone number (305) 361-4581 or (305) 361-4463.

During 1995, an active permit for the large pelagic fishery was issued to 1,178 vessels. These permits were not necessarily active during the entire calendar year, nor did all of these vessels actively fish for or catch large pelagic species. During this year, the National Marine Fisheries Service intensified efforts to assure that $100 \%$ of the active permit holders complied with the logbook reporting required. If logbooks and weighouts were not submitted for the catch of the 12 months in the reporting period prior to the expiration of the permit, the application for renewal was denied until all reporting was brought up to date.

## Dealer Reporting.

Permitted dealers are required to provide reports twice a month to the Science and Research Director for either the Northeast Region or the Southeast Region, depending on the dealer's geographical location. Complete and timely information from dealers is critical because these data are used to monitor the fishery quota for swordfish. Dealers are instructed to provide the U.S. Coast Guard documentation or state registration number for every vessel from which they purchased swordfish during each two week reporting period. This information is used to check
the dealer data against the daily catch data submitted by fishermen. This cross reference helps the SEFSC determine that all landings are included in the quota monitoring process and it also guards against potential double counting.

Reports should be mailed to:
Science and Research Director
Southeast Fisheries Science Center
National Marine Fisheries Service
75 Virginia Beach Drive
Miami, Florida 33149
Attention: A. Bertolino
except for a dealer whose principal place of business is in an Atlantic coastal state from Maine through Virginia. The appropriate address for those dealers is:

> Northeast Regional Office
> National Marine Fisheries Service
> 1 Blackburn Dr, Gloucester, MA 01930

Attention: Greg Power

At sometime during calendar year 1995, a Federal dealer permit was held by 154 dealers. Of this total, 55 dealers had their primary location in the Northeast Region and the remaining 99 dealers had their primary location in the Southeast Region, which includes the Caribbean. Overall, compliance with the reporting requirements has been good in this area. However, dealers that do not cooperate with the NMFS and do not submit the required bimonthly reports will have their application for a permit renewal denied, and NMFS Law Enforcement will be notified. It should be noted that a report is required for every two week period, even if large pelagic species were not purchased. If no purchases were made, the respective Center Director must be informed. In the Southeast Region, a form so-stating must be submitted.

## SWORDFISH LANDINGS

The Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC), Miami Laboratory, is responsible for compiling the landings of U.S caught Atlantic swordfish from mandatory reporting data. The monthly reported landings for 1990-1995 may be found in Table 10. U.S. Atlantic swordfish landings decreased each year from 1990 to 1994, but increased somewhat in 1995 compared to 1994.

Monthly cumulative annual landings of U.S. Atlantic swordfish are compared in Figure 6 for years 1990-1995. Yearly U.S. Atlantic swordfish landings from 1991 to 1995 were lower than 1990 landings. These lower levels are, in part, the result of the 1991, 41 pound minimum size regulation.


Figure 6. SWORDFISH LANDINGS

SWORDFISH LANDED IN THE U.S.
$1,000 \mathrm{lbs} \quad 1,000 \mathrm{lbs}$.
Year
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
Dressed wt. Whole wt.

10,582 14,075
9,107
12,112
7,142
9,499
6,383 8,489
6,274 8,345
5,578 7,419
8,996
Dressed wt. Whole wt.
6,764 8,996

## SWORDFISH < 41 LBS. DRESSED WEIGHT PERCENT LANDED

The proportion of U.S. Atlantic swordfish landed which were smaller than 41 lbs dressed weight has decreased since 1990 (Figure 7). In 1990 the highest number of fish landed were in the $21-41 \mathrm{lb}$ category. In 1991 this peak shifted to the $41-60 \mathrm{lb}$ category where it has since remained.

## SWORDFISH < 41 LBS. DRESSED WEIGHTNUMBER AND PERCENT LANDED BY MONTH BY AREA

The cumulative percent of fish landed less than 41 lbs dressed weight from all areas and all months fell from $41 \%$ in 1990 to $13 \%$ in 1993 and to $12 \%$ in 1995 (Table 12). The within area percentage landed catch of fish less than 41 lbs decreased in most areas between 1991 and 1995 (Table 12). The highest numbers of undersize fish landed in 1995 were from the GOM and CAR regions (Tables 11, 12 \& 13).


Figure 7. U.S. CATCH AT SIZE

## SWORDFISH SIZE FREQUENCY

The proportion of swordfish landed which were less than 41 lbs dressed weight in size frequency samples from U.S. longline vessels, decreased from 1989 through 1995 (Figure 8). This decrease resulted from the minimum size measure put in place in mid 1991.


Figure 8. SWORDFISH SIZE FREQUENCY

## BYCATCH ESTIMATION

Several methods were investigated and documented in a manuscript provided to the ICCAT swordfish species group for estimating the number of swordfish which were discarded dead by the U.S. fleet after implementation of minimum size regulations mid-way through 1991 (Cramer et al, 1995). All methods made use of the observer sampling data. The method recommended by the ICCAT in 1994 was applied to the 1995 observer and logbook records to estimate the magnitude of dead discarded swordfish by the U.S. fleet in 1995.

## DATA COLLECTION AND ANAL YSES

Biological material for swordfish reproduction analysis, as well as other forms of biological analyses (i.e. age and growth, stock identification, étc.) have been collected with the assistance of the Louisiana State University and the National Marine Fisheries Service observer programs, and cooperative vessel captains and crews.

About 4,200 paired ovaries were collected for use in analysis of ovarian development, maturity stages, and fecundity estimates for female swordfish. Fecundity estimates were was based on microscopic examination of whole oocytes (Arocha and Lee, 1995).

Morphometric (length and weight) and biological data have been collected since 1990 within the range of U.S. vessels operating in the
western Atlantic Ocean, the Gulf of Mexico, and the Caribbean Sea. And since 1991, by a Venezuelan observer program aboard Venezuelan longline vessels fishing the lower Caribbean Sea.

Sex ratio information has been collected from over 14,500 Atlantic swordfish specimens sampled from 1990 through June 1995. The available sex-ratio at size information was used to estimate the catch at age separately for female and male swordfish in the U.S. catch (Turner et al, in press). This methodology was applied to catch at size data from 1985 through 1995 in support of assessment analyses designed to accommodate sexually dimorphic growth patterns. This approach may provide a basis for improved stock status evaluations, especially for evaluations of the female spawning biomass component of the stock.

Research into the genetic diversity of swordfish is continuing through cooperative work undertaken by FISHTEC, a research consortium involving the Southeast Fisheries Science Center, Charleston Laboratory, and several university research laboratories. A progress report on this research indicating possible stock differences between northern and southern Atlantic swordfish was provided to the 1996 ICCAT swordfish working group (Alvarado et al, in press)

Average annual releases of tagged swordfish averaged about 350 fish for 1988-1990. Since the U.S. implemented minimum size regulations in 1991, the number of tagged swordfish, released by U.S. longline vessels has averaged about 1,200 fish per year. Most of these fish were smaller than the minimum size at time of release.

Reported recoveries of tagged swordfish have also increased since implementation of the minimum size. Since 1991, the annual number of swordfish tag recoveries reported has averaged more than 22 fish (in 1994 a total of 54 tagged swordfish were reported recaptured), while the annual average number reported from the period 1988-1990 was about 10 (Jones, in press).

PELAGIC OBSERVER PROGRAM

The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) continues its scientific observer sampling of the U.S. large pelagic fleet, as mandated by the U.S. Swordfish Fisheries Management Plan. Scientific observers are placed aboard vessels participating in the Atlantic large pelagic fisheries by the Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC) and the Northeast Fisheries Science Center NEFSC) since 1992. Over this time period, coverage by the SEFSC Pelagic Observer Program (POP) took place, but is not limited, to vessels fishing in the Atlantic south of Virginia. The scientific observer program contracted and monitored by the NEFSC was responsible for large pelagic fleet fishing the waters of the Mid-Atlantic Bight ${ }^{1}$ to the Grand Banks. Beginning in 1996, the SEFSC assumed the responsibility of covering all of the geographical areas of the northwest Atlantic.


Figure 9. NMFS observer.

A scientific observer is placed on board the vessel to record detailed information on gear characteristics, the location and time of the gear set and retrieval, environmental conditions, the condition and status of the animals caught by the gear (alive or dead, kept or discarded), as well as morphometric measurements (length and weight) and sex identification when possible (Figure 9). Observers also record the occasional interaction of
marine mammals and sea turtles. The collection of biological samples (anal finrays, heads, reproductive, heart tissue, etc.) from some animals are used to support research studies to learn more about fish biology and life history behavior.

Catch data collected between May of 1992 and December of 1994 by the POP has been summarized in two published newsletter (NOAA Technical Memorandum, NMFS-SEFSC-347 and 377) which are available upon request. The POP continued its coverage through 1996 and data through mid 1996 are now computerized for analysis. Of the fish recorded by observers from 1992-1995 and summarized in various species groups, (Figure 10), swordfish was the highest percent occurrence of all species.


1992-1995

Figure 10. Catch reported by scientific observers on U.S. longline vessels: swordfish (a), billfish (b), yellowfin, bigeye and bluefin tuna (c), other tunas (d), sharks and rays (e), unknown species (f), finfish (g), marine turtles ( $h$ ), and marine mammals (i).

## REVISIONS TO LOGBOOK REPORTING FOR 1997

Four forms will be used for pelagic logbook reports in 1997: (1) a "Trip Summary" Form, (2) a voluntary cost and earnings form, (3) a "Set" Form, and (4) "No Fishing" Form. The "Trip Summary" Form is designed to collect information pertaining to
an entire trip such as port of landing and the dealers to which the fish were sold. Following each "Trip Summary" Form is a carbon copy of the "Trip Summary" Form with an additional section for collecting voluntary cost and earnings information. This form is not mandatory. The "Set "Form is the same as the 1996 "Set" Form except that additional spaces have been added to allow reporting catch of sharks and other species in pounds as well as numbers. The "No Fishing" Form is the same as in 1996 and may be used to report no fishing activity for a month under the following permits: swordfish, shark, gulf reef fish and South Atlantic snappergrouper (Figures 11-17).

Monthly reporting for individuals holding a Swordfish permit will be considered complete and in compliance with the regulations only if 1) the trip summaries for each trip completed during the month, individual set records for each set made during the trip(s), and tally records for all fish sold are provided or 2) a no fishing report is provided.

Again, as noted on the new logbook forms, use of the current year forms will be necessary for compliance. Further, all old forms should be destroyed upon receipt of the 1997 forms.

## WHOM TO CONTACT FOR WHAT

Any questions concerning Atlantic large pelagic resources swordfish projects at the Southeast Fisheries Science Center, NMFS, can be directed to Dr. Gerald Scott at (305) 361-4596. Questions concerning processing and analyzing the logbook data can be directed to Dr. Jean Cramer at (305) 361-4493. Information concerning permits can be directed to Ed Burgess at (813) 893-3722. Those needing 1993 logbooks can contact Ernie Snell at (305) 361-4462. Questions about the observer program should be directed to Dennis Lee (305) 361-4247 or Cheryl Brown (305) 361-4275. If you have comments on this newsletter, or other comments, you can write them on your logbook reports or send them to Dr. Jean Cramer, SEFSC, NMFS, 75 Virginia Beach Drive, Miami, FL 33149.
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Figure 11. 1996 Pelagic Logbook - Trip Summary Form (The Blue Book)

MAIL THIS COPY TO: NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE

## 1997 Pelagic Logbook Trip Summary Form

Vessel Name: $\qquad$
Official No: $\qquad$
Date of Departure: $\qquad$
Date of First Set: $\qquad$
Date of Last Set: $\qquad$
Date of Landing: $\qquad$

Dealer(s) Name:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Do not write on this section of the form. Please complete the cost and earnings information on the second page of the 3-page set. Although this information is voluntary, it will assist the National Marine Fisheries Service to determine the effect of future regulations on the swordfish and other large pelagic fisheries.

Attach tally sheet and set forms to the Trip Summary form and mail in the pre-addressed envelop to National Marine Fisheries Service. Forms are to be post marked not later than 7th day after off loading (landing) date.

Figure 12. INSTRUCTIONS FOR PELAGIC LOGBOOK TRIP SUMMARY FORMS

DATA PROVIDED ARE CONFIDENTIAL

Monthly reporting for individuals holding a Swordfish permit will be considered complete and in compliance with the regulations only if 1) the trip summaries for each trip completed during the month, individual set records for each set made during the trip(s), and tally records for all fish sold are provided or 2) a no fishing report is provided. This booklet includes pelagic logbook summary forms and no-fishing forms.

IMPORTANT INSTRUCTIONS
FOR PELAGIC LOGBOOK TRIP SUMMARY FORMS
A single trip report consists of a completed summary form, individual set forms for each set made during the trip, and tally records for all fish sold.

Please print all information clearly.
Record the following:
Vessel Name, Captain's Signature
Official Number (U.S. Coast Guard documentation or state registration number as recorded on permit application)

Contact telephone (telephone number of person responsible for vessel's records)
vessel's Port and State of departure
date of departure (calender date on which the trip started)
date of first set (first calendar day that fishing gear was used on this trip)
date of last set (last calendar day that fishing gear was set on this trip)
number of days fished (number of days that fishing gear was used during this trip)
number of sets made (number of time fishing gear was set during this trip)
date of landing (calender date that vessel returned to port)
vessel's Port and State of landing

Attach tally sheet and set forms. Mail should be postmarked not later than 7th day after offloading of fish

IMPORTANT INSTRUCTIONS
FOR NO-FISHING FORMS

If you did no fishing for which a Swordfish permit was required during an entire month, a No fishing form must be completed for that month and mailed to the Southeast Fisheries Service. One No-fishing form may be used to report no fishing in the Swordfish/large pelagic, South Atlantic snapper-grouper, Gulf of Mexico reef fish, and shark fisheries. Please check the space in front of each fishery in which you hold an active permit and in which you did not fish.

Figure 13. 1996 Pelagic Logbook - Voluntary Cost and Earnings Form

## 1997 Pelagic Logbook Trip Summary Form

Vessel Name: $\qquad$
Official No.: $\qquad$
Date of Departure: $\qquad$
Date of First Set: $\qquad$
Date of Last Set: $\qquad$
Date of Landing: $\qquad$

Dealer(s) Name:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Cost and Earnings Information (Voluntary)



## Capt. Signature:

$\qquad$
Contact Telephone: $\qquad$
Port and State of Departure:

Number of sets made: $\qquad$
Port and State of Landing:
$\qquad$

Federal Dealer Permit Number:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

$$
\text { Total Cost of Trip: } \$ \ldots \text { Fuel: ___ Gals ___ } \$ / \mathrm{Gal}
$$

Owner's Share: \$___ Bait:___ Lbs ___ $\mathbf{\$}$ Lbs
Capt's Share: \$__ Ice:_____ Lbs ___ \$/bs
Number of Crew: $\qquad$ Groceries: $\qquad$ Total $\$$

Average Crew Share: $\qquad$ \$/crew

Light Sticks: $\qquad$ Number Used $\qquad$ \$/ight stick

Freight/Handling Expenses: $\qquad$ Total cost

Figure 14. INSTRUCTIONS FOR Voluntary Cost and Earnings Form
The cost and earnings form immediately follows the rips summary form and should be used to record information on the costs related to the trip that is reported on the Trip Summary form. The trip summary information on the form is transferred directly to the top portion of the cost and earnings form, and this information is does not need to be recorded twice. Please use a ball point pen to ensure that the information is copied to the cost and earnings form as it is entered on the trip summary form.

To ensure the accuracy of the cost and earnings information, please enter the information on the form as the costs are incurred or the quantities are purchased. As other information becomes available (at trip settlement time, for example) please enter it for the appropriate trip on the cost and earnings form. This information should be submitted as soon as the form has been completed, but mail should be postmarked not later than 45 days after the sale of fish.

The cost and earnings information is voluntary, but it is of great importance to the management process in this fishery to ensure that the objective of increasing net benefits to this fishery as stated in the relevant fishery management plans is met.

Enter the amounts and unit price in U.S. dollars of the following items:
Fuel - gallons purchased for trip and price per gallon
Bait - pounds purchased for trip and price per pound
Ice - pounds purchased for trip and price per pound
Light Sticks - number purchased for trip and price per light stick
Enter the cost in U.S. dollars of the following:

[^1]Figure 15. PELAGIC LOGBOOK SET FORM (The White Book)


[^2]Figure 16. INSTRUCTIONS FOR PELAGIC LOGBOOK SET FORM

IMPORTANT INSTRUCTIONS
Please print all information clearly.

DESTROY OLD EORMS. USE ONIY CURRENT YEAR FORMS.
$\rightarrow \rightarrow$ Please use a separate log sheet for each set.
Record the, Official Vessel Number.
Designate primary Target species.

## Record Gear Used.

Record Set Date (calendar day when set began) and Haulback Date.
Enter Times when using longlines or gillnets for:

- Begin Set and Begin Haulback (designate AM or PM)
- End Set and End IIaulback (desiguate AM or PM)

At the start of each set, record the location to the nearest degree of LAT (Latitude) and LON (Longitude), and the Surface Water Tenuperature, in degrees Fahrenheit.

Enter the following data for each set if using Longline gear:
-- Number of hooks set

- Number of hooks between floats
- Number of light sticks
- Length of Mainline (in miles)
- Length of Gaugions (in fathoms)
- Leugth of Floatline (in fathoms)
- Did you use a line thrower?
-- Were you teuding or rebaiting hooks before haulback? If yes, specify how many hooks were rebaited.
- Bait: indicate Live, Dead or Artificial.

Enter the following data for each set if using Gillnet:

- Mesh Size (in inches)
- Total drift gillnet net length (in fathoms)
- Fishing Depth Range (Depth of top and of Bottom of net in fathoms)

Euter the following data for each set if using Pair Trawl
Pair trawl vessels should fill out a dilily form for each set wade. Species information should be filled out only by the vessel that hauls back the net.

- $\quad$ Fishing Circle Mesh Size (in centineters)
- Number of Meshes Around Fisling Circle (do not iuclude gores)
-- Smallest Mesh Size (in ceutineters)
- Cod End Mesh Size (in centimeters)
- Official number of other vessel in pair

Record Estimated total dressed weight (in pounds) of fish kept.
Record NUMBERS OF SWORDFISII, TUNAS, SIIARKS AND OTHER SPECIES KEPT AND TIIROWN BACK. Specify the number of fish that were throwi back Alive and the number thrown back Dead.

## Record NUMBERS OF SEA TURTLES INVOLVED

- Total Number Involved. Write down the total number of each sea turtle species that were caught in, or interacted with, your fishing gear for the period of your report.
-- Number Injured. Write down the number of each sea turtle species that were injured while in, or by, your fishing gear.
- Number Dead. Write dows the uumber of each sea turtle species that were observed to be dead while in, or by, your fishing gear.

Mail original logs to NMFS at the end of the fishing trip in pre-addressed envelopes aloug with the Trip Sunmary Form and weighout slip.
Mailing should be postmarked not later than the 7th day after the sale of the catch.
Monthly reporting for individuals holding a Swordfish permit will be considered complete and in compliance with the regulations only if 1) the trip summaries for each trip completed during the month, individual set records for each set made during the trip(s), and tally records for all fish sold are provided or 2 ) a no fishing report is provided.

Figure 17. NO FISHING FORM.

## NO-FISHING REPORTING FORM SOUTHEAST REGION LOGBOOK PROGRAM

VESSEL NUMBER: $\qquad$ VESSEL NAME: $\qquad$
During the MONTH of $\qquad$ , 199 , the above vessel did not fish in the following fisheries (more than one can be checked):
$\qquad$ Swordfish/large pelagic
$\qquad$ South Atlantic snapper-grouper
$\qquad$ Gulf of Mexico reef fish

Shark

Captain/Owner Signature: $\qquad$
Telephone No. 1 1

[^3]Table 1. TOTAL NUMBER OF SWORDFISH, TUNA, AND BLLLFISH REPORTED CAUGHT BY LONGLINE BOATS, BY AREA, AND EFFORT IN NUMBER OF HOOKS, FROM THE SWORDFISH MANDATORY LOGBOOKS, FOR (a) 1993, (b) 1994 and (c) 1995 (PRELIMINARY). NUMBERS CAUGHT REPRESENT KEPT PLUS DISCARDED (DEAD OR ALIVE). SEE FIGURE 1 FOR DESIGNATION OF AREAS. (SWD=SWORDFISH; YFT=YELLOWFIN; BET=BIGEYE; BFT=BLUEFIN;


| 领 1993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | SWD | YFT | BET | BFI | ALB | WHM | BUM | SAI | HOOKS | BOATS |
| CAR | 11004 | 737 | 608 | 4 | 236 | 286 | 713 | 94 | 451345 | 47 |
| GOM | 12741 | 39665 | 650 | 151 | 190 | 839 | 825 | 955 | 2670010 | 141 |
| FEC | 17093 | 900 | 985 | 16 | 371 | 244 | 379 | 751 | 629786 | 94 |
| SAB | 14452 | 3563 | 184 | 48 | 68 | 114 | 443 | 370 | 662624 | 93 |
| MAB | 8538 | 12896 | 10287 | 206 | 5650 | 784 | 275 | 32 | 1840869 | 103 |
| NEC | 4197 | 3486 | 4093 | 966 | 1742 | 645 | 260 | 12 | 921213 | 70 |
| NED | 23641 | 270 | 4113 | 249 | 471 | 40 | 16 | 1 | 811551 | 32 |
| SAR | 2309 | 303 | 671 | 22 | 928 | 95 | 24 | 1 | 198693 | 37 |
| NCA | 3293 | 718 | 284 | 5 | 197 | 73 | 81 | 5 | 211380 | 30 |
| TUN | 163 | 853 | 86 | 0 | 13 | 104 | 151 | 56 | 61912 | 7 |
| TUS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 97431 | 63391 | 21961 | 1667 | 9866 | 3224 | 3167 | 2277 | 8459383 | 298 |

$40 \% 9 \%$

| Area | SWD | YFT | BET | BFI | AlB | WHM | BUM | SAI | HOOKS | BOATS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | 16479 | 1554 | 894 | 10 | 189 | 202 | 881 | 56 | 629690 | 42 |
| GOM | 12642 | 31963 | 316 | 135 | 128 | 545 | 622 | 995 | 2459872 | 115 |
| FEC | 17696 | 805 | 1849 | 43 | 423 | 205 | 333 | 478 | 696754 | 85 |
| SAB | 15072 | 4418 | 139 | 133 | 115 | 199 | 429 | 308 | 826025 | 83 |
| MAB | 8847 | 22480 | 10817 | 219 | 4896 | 831 | 106 | 30 | 2189715 | 105 |
| NEC | 4043 | 5595 | 5154 | 904 | 2739 | 235 | 63 | 4 | 768585 | 59 |
| NED | 20967 | 462 | 2190 | 52 | 930 | 14 | 15 | 0 | 810118 | 35 |
| SAR | 2289 | 280 | 801 | 78 | 1070 | 109 | 45 | 3 | 206554 | 37 |
| NCA | 5367 | 1309 | 521 | 2 | 653 | 51 | 119 | 23 | 286300 | 34 |
| TUN | 201 | 1213 | 147 | 1 | 26 | 159 | 316 | 62 | 70523 | 7 |
| TUS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 103603 | 70079 | 22828 | 1577 | 11169 | 2550 | 2929 | 1959 | 8944136 | 282 |

## 1. 1995

| Area | SWD | YFT | BET | BFT | AlB | WHM, | BUM | SAI | HOOKS | BOATS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | 13411 | 1837 | 1308 | 0 | 179 | 272 | 681 | 89 | 591604 | 42 |
| GOM | 16576 | 25676 | 879 | 116 | 128 | 644 | 552 | 666 | 2634073 | 139 |
| FEC | 13328 | 1017 | 1355 | 44 | 494 | 120 | 134 | 159 | 636791 | 67 |
| SAB | 10219 | 7362 | 125 | 41 | 116 | 191 | 262 | 164 | 852535 | 77 |
| MAB | 7035 | 34245 | 8164 | 1764 | 5130 | 834 | 166 | 18 | 2342639 | 107 |
| NEC | 4026 | 7132 | 6345 | 1163 | 4184 | 363 | 63 | 1 | 1052033 | 55 |
| NED | 21615 | 526 | 3731 | 22 | 323 | 22 | 16 | 0 | 766685 | 24 |
| SAR | 206 | 40 | 88 | 18 | 142 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 25480 | 12 |
| NCA | 14987 | 1599 | 954 | 13 | 3534 | 338 | 385 | 42 | 854809 | 38 |
| TUN | 1154 | 3215 | 351 | 0 | 173 | 378 | 594 | 97 | 224784 | 15 |
| TUS | 8 | 75 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 3000 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 102565 | 82724 | 23307 | 3181 | 14403 | 3165 | 2878 | 1238 | 9984433 | 298 |

Table 2. TOTAL NUMBER OF SWORDFISH, TUNA, AND BILLFISH REPORTED CAUGHT BY GILLNET BOATS, BY AREA, AND EFFORT IN NUMBER OF SETS AND NUMBER OF BOATS, FROM THE SWORDFISH MANDATORY LOGBOOKS, FOR (a) 1993, (b) 1994 and 1995 (PRELIMINARY). NUMBERS CAUGHT REPRESENT KEPT PLUS DISCARDED (DEAD OR ALIVE). SEE FIGURE 1 FOR DESIGNATION OF AREAS. (SWD=SWORDFISH; YFT=YELLOWFIN; BET=BIGEYE; BFT=BLUEFIN; ALB=ALBACORE; WHM=WHITE MARLIN; BUM=BLUE MARLIN; SAI=SAILFISH.)
$2 \mathrm{~m}, 1923$

| Area | SWD | YFT | BET | BFT | ALB | WHM | BUM | SAI | SETS | BOATS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAB | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| NEC | 180 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 1 |
| NED | 946 | 28 | 13 | 0 | 144 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 11 |
| SAR | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 1154 | 29 | 14 | 0 | 144 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 153 | 12 |

21. 21994

| Area | SWD | YFI | BET | BFT | ALB | WHM | BUM | SAI | SETS | BOATS |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAB | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| NEC | 203 | 216 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 5 |
| NED | 839 | 76 | 43 | 14 | 143 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 131 | 11 |
| TOTAL | 1042 | 292 | 44 | 15 | 149 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 189 | 12 |


| Area | SWD | YFT | BET | BFT | ALB | WHM | BUM | SAI | SETS | BOATS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NEC | 1007 | 141 | 61 | 108 | 129 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 12 |
| TOTAL | 1007 | 141 | 61 | 108 | 129 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 12 |

Table 3. TOTAL NUMBER OF SWORDFISH, TUNA, AND BILLFISH CAUGHT BY PAIR TRAWLS, BY AREA, AND EFFORT IN NUMBER OF SETS AND NUMBER OF BOATS, FROM THE SWORDFISH MANDATORY LOGBOOKS, FOR (a) 1993, (b) 1994 and C 1995 (PRELIMINARY). NUMBERS CAUGHT REPRESENT KEPT PLUS DISCARDED (DEAD OR ALIVE). SEE FIGURE 1 FOR DESIGNATION OF AREAS. (SWD=SWORDFISH; YFT=YELLOWFIN; BET=BIGEYE; BFT=BLUEFIN; ALB=ALBACORE; WHM=WHITE MARLIN; BUM=BLUE MARLIN; SAI=SAILFISH.)
$8,10 \% 3$

| AREA | SWD | YFT | BET | BFT | ALB | WHM | BUM | SAI | SETS | BOATS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAB | 184 | 631 | 636 | 0 | 3134 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 239 | 13 |
| NEC | 79 | 300 | 320 | 4 | 1017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147 | 11 |
| TOTAL | 263 | 931 | 956 | 4 | 4151 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 386 | 13 |

410* $199 \%$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| AREA, | SWD | YFT, | BET | BFT, | ALB | WHM | BUM | SAI | SETS | BOATS |
| SAB_, | 3 | 8 | 36 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| MAB_, | 453 | 1814 | 1952 | 5 | 8140 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 354 | 11 |
| NEC, | 7 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 7 |
| TOTAL | 463 | 1828 | 1996 | 5 | 8269 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 371 | 11 |

\$4, 1095

| AREA | SWD | YFT | BET | BFT | ALB | WHM | BUM | SAI | SETS | BOATS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MAB | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| NEC | 435 | 1420 | 3130 | 0 | 7274 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 409 | 11 |
| TOTAL | 437 | 1420 | 3132 | 0 | 7280 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 410 | 11 |

Table 4. YEARLY TABULATIONS FOR SWORDFISH AND YELLOWFIN TUNA FOR (a) 1993, (b) 1994 AND (c) 1995 (PRELIMINARY). THE AREAS ARE DEFINED IN FIGURE 1. INFORMATION INCLUDES NUMBER OF FISH KEPT PLUS DISCARDED (K\&D); PERCENTAGE KEPT (\%K), PERCENTAGE DISCARDED DEAD (\%D DEAD, PERCENTAGE DISCARDED ALIVE (\%D LIVE); EFFORT IN HOOKS (HOOKS); NUMBER OF SETS (N); AND AVERAGE OF THE INDIVIDUAL CATCH RATES [AVG(C/E)], EQUIVALENT TO CPUE IN \# OF FISH/100 HOOKS.

| 4, |  |  | SWORDFISH |  |  |  |  | YELLOWEIN |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AREA | HOOKS | N | K\&D | \%K. | DEAD | $\begin{array}{r} \% \mathrm{D} \\ \text { LIVE } \end{array}$ | AVG C/E | Ken | $\% \mathrm{~K}$ | DEAD | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{\% D} \\ \text { Live } \end{gathered}$ | Avoce |
| CAR | 452045 | 1149 | 10994 | 79 | 12 | 7 | 2.530 | 732 | 81 | 6 | 11 | 0.168 |
| GOM | 3038888 | 4120 | 12556 | 51 | 34 | 13 | 0.762 | 38725 | 94 | 2 | 3 | 1.298 |
| FEC | 673490 | 2223 | 17064 | 45 | 38 | 15 | 3.04 | 897 | 91 | 1 | 7 | 0.113 |
| SAB | 844438 | 1838 | 14455 | 53 | 32 | 14 | 2.333 | 3602 | 94 | 1 | 3 | 0.445 |
| MAB | 1950594 | 2964 | 8570 | 45 | 31 | 22 | 0.491 | 12884 | 87 | 4 | 7 | 0.787 |
| NEC | 921213 | 1288 | 4185 | 68 | 17 | 13 | 0.503 | 3478 | 93 | 2 | 4 | 0.390 |
| NED | 810801 | 1102 | 23637 | 86 | 7 | 6 | 2.893 | 226 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0.411 |
| SAR | 200443 | 341 | 2290 | 86 | 7 | 6 | 1.181 | 306 | 95 | 0 | 3 | 0.155 |
| NCA | 213780 | 335 | 3298 | 96 | 0 | 3 | 1.706 | 733 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 2.376 |
| TUN | 61912 | 102 | 163 | 60 | 10 | 28 | 1.264 | 853 | 95 | 4 | 0 | 1.365 |
| TUS | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 9167604 | 15462 | 97212 | 64 | 22 | 12 | 1.510 | 62436 | 92 | 2 | 4 | 0.704 |


| 4). 1 |  |  | SWORDFISH |  |  |  |  | YELLOWFIN |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AREA | HOOKS | N | K\&D |  | $\begin{array}{r} \% \mathrm{D} \\ \mathrm{DEAD} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \%D } \\ & \text { LIVE } \end{aligned}$ | AVGC/E | K\&D | \%K | DEAD | \%D | AVGCIE |
| CAR | 632318 | 1423 | 16534 | 80 | 11 | 8 | 2.703 | 1558 | 94 | 2 | 3 | 0.246 |
| GOM | 2999968 | 4041 | 13922 | 39 | 38 | 22 | 0.959 | 33719 | 96 | 2 | 1 | 2.705 |
| FEC | 777558 | 2393 | 17675 | 40 | 41 | 17 | 2.861 | 815 | 94 | 0 | 5 | 0.095 |
| SAB | 1058246 | 2157 | 15507 | 46 | 38 | 14 | 2.021 | 4428 | 92 | 1 | 5 | 0.407 |
| MAB | 2425091 | 3531 | 8903 | 47 | 27 | 25 | 0.399 | 22807 | 91 | 3 | 4 | 1.047 |
| NEC | 792255 | 1086 | 4050 | 46 | 26 | 26 | 0.554 | 5758 | 91 | 1 | 7 | 1.057 |
| NED | 818797 | 1065 | 21174 | 82 | 10 | 7 | 2.629 | 1084 | 73 | 25 | 0 | 0.195 |
| SAR | 207054 | 367 | 2289 | 86 | 5 | 8 | 1.148 | 280 | 94 | 2 | 3 | 0.132 |
| NCA | 290984 | 440 | 5409 | 93 | 2 | 3 | 1.90 | 1198 | 96 | 2 | 1 | 0.382 |
| TUN | 71123 | 107 | 201 | 70 | 8 | 20 | 0.289 | 1220 | 94 | 4 | 0 | 1.698 |
| TUS | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 10073394 | 16610 | 105664 | 60 | 25 | 14 | 1.507 | 72867 | 94 | 2 | 3 | 1.074 |



Table 5. NUMBERS OF PERMITTED VESSELS

|  | YEAR | FISIIED | CAUGHT SWORDFISH | CAUGHT SWORDFISH IN 5 MONTHS | HoOKS REPORTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1987 | 296 | 273 | 180 | 6,556,416 |
|  | 1988 | 387 | 337 | 210 | 7,009,508 |
|  | 1989 | 455 | 415 | 250 | 7,941,675 |
|  | 1990 | 416 | 362 | 209 | 7,500,450 |
|  | 1991 | 342 | 308 | 175 | 7,744,997 |
|  | 1992 | 337 | 303 | 183 | 9,075,451 |
|  | 1993 | 432 | 305 | 175 | 9,724,645 |
|  | 1994 | 498 | 304 | 176 | 10,323,542 |
| $\square \times$ | 1995 | 480 | 309 | 194 | 11,120,474 |

Table 6. ATLANTIC SWORDFISH RESOURCE STATUS SUMMARY

|  | North Atlantic | South Atlantic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Sustainable Y Yeld | $13,000(5,300-16,500 \mathrm{MT})^{3}$ | 14,200 MT ( $5,200-16,900 \mathrm{MT}$ ) |
| Current (1995) Yield | 16,934 MT | 19,900 MT |
| Current (1996) Replacement Yield | 11,300 MT ( $7,120-16,710 \mathrm{MT}$ ) | 14,620 MT (8,400-17,140 MT) |
| Relative Biomass $\left(\mathrm{B}_{n} / \mathrm{B}_{\boldsymbol{l}}\right)^{1}$ | 0.58 (0.41-1.04 MT) | 0.99 (0.82-1.18) |
| Relative Fishing Mortality, |  |  |
| Fins $/$ Feve | 2.05 (1.07-3.82)' | 1.24 (0.94-1.93) |
| $\mathrm{F}_{100} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {ma }}$ | 2.4 | not estimated ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1909} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{L}}{ }^{2}$ | 3.5 | not estimated ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Management Measures in Effect | 25 kg minimum size; Country-specific quotas | Limit catch to 1993 or 1994 levels |

${ }^{1}$ Base case producion model results based on catch data 1950-1995
${ }^{2}$ Base case VPA results based on catch data through 1995
${ }^{3} 80 \%$ confidence intervals are shown

- Production model results do not provide basis for these estimates

Table 7. ATLANTIC AND MEDITERRANEAN ALBACORE RESOURCE STATUS SUMMARY

|  | North Atlantic | South Atlantic | Mediterrancan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Sustainable Yield | poorly estimated ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 26,600 (19,700-28,100) | - |
| Current (1995) Yield | 38,825 | 26,018 | unknown |
| Current (1995) Replacement Yield | poorly estimated ${ }^{1}$ | 26,500 (18,600-27,900) | - |
| Relative Biomass |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}_{190} / \mathrm{B}_{\text {msr }}$ | poorly estimated | 0.82 (0.42-1.19) | - |
| SPR | 0.165 | - | - |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\operatorname{son} 9} / \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{~m}^{4}$ | 0.782 | - | - |
| Relative Fishing Mortality: |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {Sop }} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {ser }}$ | poorly estimated ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.19 (0.78-2.86) | - |
| $\mathrm{F}_{109} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {com }}$ | 0.798 | - |  |
| Management Measures in Effect | none | Limit catches to $90 \%$ of the average 1989-1993 levels | none |

[^4]Table 8. BIGEYE TUNA RESOURCE STATUS SUMMARY

| Maximum Sustainable Yield | 60,000-70,000 MT* |
| :---: | :---: |
| Current (1995) Yield | 105,275 MT |
| Current (1995) Replacement Yield (Non-equilibrium model) | 51,000-74,000 MT |
| Relative Biomase( $\mathrm{B}_{\text {sos }} / \mathrm{B}_{\text {wy }}$ ) (Non equilibfium model) | 0.7-1.2 |
| Relative Fishing Mortality F Fisolf wry (Non-equilibrium model) | 1.2-2.9 |
| Management Measures in Effect | 3.2 kg minimum size |

* Since MSY could not be precisely estimated by the production model, a most likely range of MSY is given instead of the actual estimates by the model.

Table 9. YELLOWFIN TUNA RESOURCE STATUS SUMMARY

|  | Results of the 1994 Assessment | Results of the 1996 Assessment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Sustainable Yield |  |  |
| Equilibrium model | $153.7{ }^{1}$ |  |
| Non-equlibrium model | $149.0(123.0-164.0)^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150.0^{2} \\ & \text { not estimated } \end{aligned}$ |
| Current (1995) Yield |  | 123.5 |
| Current (1994) Replacement Yield | $(123.0-164.0)^{4}$ | not available |
| Relative Biomass ( $\mathrm{B}_{190} / \mathrm{B}_{\text {ryp }}$ ) | 1.05 (0.81-1.30) | not estimated |
| Relative Fishing Mortality ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {w }} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {won }}$ | 0.92 (0.67-1.34) | not estimated |
| Management Measures in Effect | 3.2 kg minimum size <br> Effective effort not to exceed 1992 level | 3.2 kg minimum size <br> Effective effort not to exceed 1992 level |

1. Equilibrium model assuming shape parameter for production function ( $m=1$ ) calculated at 1994 SCRS using data from $1969-93$.
2. Equilibrium model assuming shape parameter ( $\mathrm{m}=1$ ) calculated at 1996 SCRS using data from 1969-95.
3. Non-equilibrium production model fit to data $1969-93$ at the 1994 SCRS. Assumes production function shape parameter $m=2.80 \%$ confidence bounds.
4. Replacement yield in 1994 estimated within the $80 \%$ confidence interval estimated MSY from the non-equilibrium production model since $\mathrm{B}_{199} / \mathrm{B}_{\text {my }}$ was estimated at 1.05 .

Table 10. MONTHLY SWORDFISH LANDINGS IN LBS DRESSED WEIGHT FROM 1990 TO 1994.

MONTA

| YEAR | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | IUN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 839,178 | 794,926 | 760,177 | 631,254 | 493,183 | 449,220 |
| 1991 | 613,177 | 619,188 | 554,422 | 465,789 | 416,747 | 432,630 |
| 1992 | 514,101 | 575,942 | 520,299 | 374,432 | 358,252 | 317,612 |
| 1993 | 561,698 | 648.585 | 470,918 | 341,690 | 365,752 | 337,134 |
| 1994 | 484,972 | 472,599 | 458,475 | 327,608 | 299,262 | 383,626 |
| 1995 | 889,512 | 811,460 | 630,410 | 488,293 | 554,793 | 467,913 |

MONTH

|  | JuL | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOY | DEC | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 895.303 | 888,258 | 851,158 | 1,053,476 | 806,843 | 644,159 | 9,107,135 |
| 1991 | 709,718 | 773,515 | 816,558 | 766,909 | 527,175 | 446,311 | 7,142,139 |
| 1992 | 561,906 | 731,830 | 727,037 | 891,336 | 423,457 | 387,010 | 6,383,214 |
| 1993 | 582.835 | 585,084 | 647,994 | 755,021 | 589,865 | 387,627 | 6,274,203 |
| 1994 | 290,811 | 539,202 | 560,993 | 672,465 | 592,585 | 495,542 | 5,578,140 |
| 1995 | 493,062 | 651,421 | 654,380 | 850,667 | 145,897 | 126,307 | 6,764,115 |

Table 11. PERCENTAGE OF ANNUAL U.S. SWORDFISH LANDED CATCH < 41 LBS BY AREAS (TOTAL ANNUAL CATCH OF SWORDFISH IN AREA TOTAL ANNUAL CATCH OF SWORDFISH IN ALL AREAS).

| YEAR | CAR | GOM | FEC | SAB | MAB | NEC | NED | SUM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1989 | 13 | 18 | 24 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 23 | 100 |
| 1990 | 15 | 12 | 30 | 5 | 14 | 11 | 14 | 101 |
| 1991 | 16 | 21 | 23 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 21 | 101 |
| 1992 | 15 | 18 | 20 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 25 | 100 |
| 1993 | 18 | 14 | 15 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 30 | 100 |
| 1994 | 28 | 9 | 14 | 10 | 9 | 4 | 25 | 99 |
| 1995 | 26 | 25 | 10 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 22 | 99 |

Table 12. PERCENTAGE OF ANNUAL US SWORDFISH LANDED CATCH < 41 LBS BY AREAS (ANNUAL OF CATCH OF SWORDFISH < 41 LBS IN AREA / TOTAL ANNUAL CATCH OF SWORDFISH IN ALL AREAS).

| YEAR | CAR | ¢0M | IEC | SAB |  | NEC | NED | sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1989 | 5 | 9 | 13 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 45 |
| 1990 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 41 |
| 1991 | 2 | 10 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 28 |
| 1992 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 16 |
| 1993 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 13 |
| 1994 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 13 |
| 1993 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 12 |

Table 13. PERCENTAGE OF SWORDFISH LANDED CATCH < 41 LBS WITHIN AREAS (ANNUAL CATCH OF SWORDFISH <41 LBS IN AREA / ANNUAL CATCH OF SWORDFISH IN AREA).

| YEAR | CAR | COM | TEC | SAB | MAB | NEC | NED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1989 | 36 | 53 | 55 | 66 | 61 | 33 | 32 |
| 1990 | 23 | 60 | 52 | 60 | 50 | 24 | 22 |
| 1991 | 15 | 51 | 39 | 53 | 22 | 10 | 8 |
| 1992 | 9 | 26 | 21 | 24 | 10 | 11 | 10 |
| 1993 | 9 | 20 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 8 | 11 |
| $1994$ | 13 | 21 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 9 |
| 1995 | 11 | 23 | 15 | 17 | 12 | 16 | 15 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ These are arbitrary areas and do not constitute official geographic areas

[^1]:    Freight and Handling Expenses - total cost of freight and handling expenses for trip
    Groceries - total cost of groceries purchased for trip
    Owner's share - total payment made to owner of fishing vessel (if not owner operated) for this trip
    Captain's share - total payment made to captain for this fishing trip
    Average crew share - total payment made to the entire crew (excluding captain) divided by the number of crew
    Total cost of trip - total of all costs incurred for this fishing trip including but not limited to all items listed on this form plus expenditures for gear and vessel maintenance.

[^2]:    COMIIESTS

[^3]:    MAIL TIIIS COPY TO National marine fisileries service

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Results of the ASPM were very sensitive for the north. Therefore, estimaaates are not included in the table.
    $295 \%$ Confidence interval range in parenthesis
    ${ }^{3}$ Spewning Pltential Ratio.
    ${ }^{4}$ Recrutment level during 1989-1993 compared to 1975-1980

    - = not estimated

