

Maryland's
Coastal Zone
Management Program
1988




MARYLAND
NATURAL
HERITAGE
PROGRAM

MANAGEMENT PLANS FOR SIGNIFICANT PLANT AND WILDLIFE HABITAT AREAS OF MARYLAND'S WESTERN SHORE: CHARLES COUNTY


QH 76.2 .M3 M4337 1988

Prepared by

Katharine A. McCarthy
Judith L. Robertson
Richard H. Wiegand
J. Christopher Ludwig



QH
76.2
.M3
M4337
1988



Helonias bullata
SWAMP PINK

MANAGEMENT PLANS FOR
SIGNIFICANT PLANT AND WILDLIFE HABITAT AREAS OF
MARYLAND'S WESTERN SHORE: CHARLES COUNTY

FINAL REPORT

SUBMITTED TO:

Coastal Resources Division
Tidewater Administration

SUBMITTED BY:

Katharine A. McCarthy
Judith L. Robertson
Richard H. Wiegand
J. Christopher Ludwig

Maryland Natural Heritage Program
Forest, Park and Wildlife Service
Department of Natural Resources

December 31, 1988

Preparation of this report was partially
funded by the Office of Ocean and Coastal
Resources Management, National Oceanic
and Atmospheric Administration

MANAGEMENT PLANS FOR SIGNIFICANT
PLANT AND WILDLIFE HABITAT AREAS OF
MARYLAND'S WESTERN SHORE: CHARLES COUNTY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	1
SECTION 1: Procedures of site selection, methods of protection implementation, and the long-term framework established by this project	
Introduction.....	3
Site Identification.....	3
Field Inventory.....	5
Strategy for Selecting Significant Sites.....	5
Site Protection Implementation Methods.....	6
Long-Term Framework.....	9
SECTION 2: Protection Area Summaries	
Introduction.....	10
County Map.....	12
Protection Area Summaries	
Brentland Woods.....	14
Bryantown Swamp.....	17
Cat Pond.....	20
County Line Trail Seep.....	23
Doncaster Forest.....	25
Maryland Point Swamp.....	28
REFERENCES.....	31
APPENDIX A: Regulations under COMAR 08.03.08 Threatened and Endangered Species	

US Department of Commerce
NOAA Coastal Services Center Library
2234 South Hobson Avenue
Charleston, SC 29405-2413

INTRODUCTION

In 1986 this project was initiated by the Coastal Resources Division of the Department of Natural Resources' Tidewater Administration. The task was designed to develop the information base and to determine the management mechanisms needed to implement an alternative approach to the State Critical Area Program for addressing the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act's requirement to designate Geographic Areas of Particular Concern (GAPC) and Areas for Preservation and Restoration (APR). Under the GAPC requirements, coastal states are to inventory and develop management measures to protect the integrity of "areas of unique, scarce, fragile or vulnerable natural habitat" and "areas of high natural productivity or essential habitat for living resources, including fish, wildlife, and endangered species and the various trophic levels in the food web critical to their well-being." Under the APR requirement, coastal states are to include in their Coastal Zone Management Programs "provisions for procedures whereby specific areas may be designated for the purpose of preserving or restoring them for their conservation, recreational, ecological or aesthetic values." This project covers the Coastal Plain Counties of Maryland excluding land within the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area.

To accomplish this task, a contract was awarded to the Maryland Natural Heritage Program, a division of the Forest, Park and Wildlife Service. The mission of the Natural Heritage Program is to identify and help preserve the biological and ecological diversity of Maryland. Since 1979, this program has been devoted to the collection of information about the State's rare, threatened, and endangered species and habitats. The program's extensive data base provided the basis for the identification of outstanding habitat examples on Maryland's Eastern and Western Shores.

By January 1987, the Coastal Resources Division and the Maryland Natural Heritage Program established specific objectives to accomplish the first phase of this project. These objectives were:

1. identify criteria for the selection of significant plant and wildlife habitat areas;
2. undertake field inventory of areas identified in existing studies and data files of the Maryland Natural Heritage Program that are likely to be of ecological significance, in order to identify species and habitats

associated with each site;

3. undertake field inventory of potentially significant habitats not previously identified in the database of the Maryland Natural Heritage Program in order to determine if rare species or habitats are associated with these sites;
4. determine threats to each area and determine management mechanisms for protecting the integrity of these areas;
5. determine protection boundaries for each site including needed buffer areas; and
6. collect other locational information needed in order to implement management mechanisms for each site.

These objectives combine to produce a protection package in which significant habitats (referred to as areas or sites) are assigned management mechanisms within a designated boundary. In accordance with the Natural Heritage Program's methodology, this area is then labeled a protection area.

In December 1987, the Natural Heritage Program reported on protection areas identified on Maryland's Eastern Shore from Kent County south. With financial assistance from the Coastal Resources Division, Baltimore and Harford Counties hired personnel in 1987 and 1988 to identify protection areas in their counties. In 1988, Prince Georges County funded a staff member (with financial assistance from the Coastal Resources Division) to identify protection areas on private property. Therefore, the Natural Heritage Program did not include Baltimore and Harford Counties in its survey and report of protection areas on the Western Shore, and focused only on public land in Prince Georges County.

Section 1 of this report provides a detailed description of the project methodology, scope of work, and the long-term framework established through the project. Section 2 provides Protection Area Summaries for significant habitat areas which have been identified. The Protection Area Summary contains information needed for site protection. A selection of applicable references follows Section 2. Appendix A contains a copy of the Department of Natural Resource's Regulations [COMAR 08.03.08] concerning the State's Threatened and Endangered Species.

SECTION 1

Procedures of Site Selection, Methods of Protection Implementation, and the Long-term Framework Established by this Project

INTRODUCTION:

This section provides all technical information on the project procedures from the planning stages, when habitat areas were selected for field survey, through the site visit, to the selection of the site for protection. Following this information, the report presents methods of implementing protection for selected sites. Finally, the long-term framework established by this project is discussed.

SITE IDENTIFICATION:

Sites identified for inventory were located throughout the Coastal Plain Counties excluding the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area. Significant plant and wildlife habitats were identified from the following categories of sites employing the methods described for each type.

1. Sites potentially inhabited by State Endangered or Threatened Species.

Methods: Data concerning the habitat, phenology, and taxonomy of each listed species were gathered from regional floristic surveys and scientific literature. Sites were located by using the habitat data in conjunction with National Wetland Inventory maps, aerial infrared photographs, and county soil surveys. These sites were surveyed when the rare species potentially inhabiting the sites could be identified accurately.

2. Sites with historical occurrences (reported prior to 1980) of species determined to be rare by the Natural Heritage Program and found in their publication, Threatened and Endangered Plants and Animals of Maryland (Norden et al., 1984).

Methods: For each species, data were gathered concerning habitat, phenology, and taxonomy. Many of the historical records provided only general locations for rare species. For these records,

more specific locations for survey were selected based upon habitat data supplemented by National Wetland Inventory maps, aerial infrared photographs, and county soil surveys. The field staff surveyed sites when the rare species could be accurately identified if found.

3. Non-tidal wetlands.

Methods: National Wetland Inventory maps and aerial infrared photographs were used to locate non-tidal wetlands. Particular attention was given to wetlands in State Parks, Forests and Wildlife Management Areas. Based upon the findings of "The Functional Assessment of Non-tidal Wetlands," a report completed for the Coastal Resources Division by the Maryland Natural Heritage Program (Bartgis 1986), these wetlands were assigned priorities for survey. High and intermediate priority wetlands listed below were candidates for intensive survey.

- a. Non-tidal Wetland Complex, i.e., two or more contiguous wetland communities with one of the following traits:
 - i. For complexes under 10 acres, presence of at least two wetland communities;
 - ii. For 10- to 100-acre complexes, presence of at least four wetland communities; or
 - iii. For complexes greater than 100 acres, presence of at least six communities.
- b. Seasonal Ponds: wetlands occurring mainly on Pocomoke soils in centripetally-drained, seasonally flooded basins dominated by Walter's Sedge or Twigrush.
- c. Bogs: highly acidic wetlands characterized by highly organic soils and/or sphagnum.
- d. Palustrine Forested Deciduous Wetlands (PFO1) with at least one of the following characteristics:
 - i. Seeps
 - ii. Vernal pools
 - iii. Well-developed stratification

- e. Palustrine Forested Evergreen Wetlands (PF04) dominated by Bald Cypress or Atlantic White Cedar.

FIELD INVENTORY:

Observations and data were collected in the field concerning the general character of each site, the degree of unnatural disturbance, and, if present, the condition of the rare species populations. Prior to surveying sites on private land, permission was obtained from landowners.

First, the natural features of each site were described, noting the dominant vegetation, aquatic features, physical relief, and natural disturbances (such as insect defoliation or trees felled by high winds). A list of the common plant species was developed and unique communities were identified and mapped.

When rare species were found, the size and extent of their populations were estimated. Staff members also estimated the proportion of the population that was flowering and fruiting, and marked the population on the general map of the site. The microhabitats of the rare species were described. If a population was large, voucher specimens of the rare species were collected and deposited with the Natural Heritage Program. Small populations of rare species were photographed for verification. If rare species were absent from historical locations, the habitat was assessed to determine if it could still support the species or if the habitat had been altered such that the species could no longer survive.

Finally, the habitat integrity of each site was assessed. Staff members recorded unnatural disturbances and their current and potential future effects on the habitat. For example, the presence of ditches in non-tidal wetlands was reported, and the effects of the ditches on wetland hydrology and vegetation were reviewed. Threats to the integrity of the habitat were discussed. Current and potential future uses of surrounding land were considered. In light of these threats, staff members recommended management activities intended to maintain the habitat and sustain the populations of rare species.

STRATEGY FOR SELECTING SIGNIFICANT SITES:

The selection of ecologically significant sites for protection was based on the following criteria which were assessed during the field inventory:

1. Site contains species that are considered by the Maryland Natural Heritage Program as Rare, Threatened or Endangered in Maryland (see Norden, et al, 1984). Many of these species are listed in the revised Department of Natural Resource's Regulations under COMAR 08.03.08.
2. Site contains one or more rare or ecologically unique natural communities.
3. Overall ecologic integrity of the site is high. Unnatural disturbances must be minimal or must be such that their effects simulate natural forces of disturbance.
4. Human-induced threats which could lead to the loss of the rare species or habitat(s) must be minimal.
5. Regulation and monitoring must be feasible so that activities (both on-site and nearby) can be limited to those that do not negatively impact the rare species and natural habitat(s). Required buffer zones must be available to ensure site protection.
6. Ecologic, scenic, or historic values other than those related to rare species and habitat protection may be present.

SITE PROTECTION IMPLEMENTATION METHODS:

Protection may be implemented in a variety of ways depending upon ecological significance of the site, type of ownership (public vs. private), seriousness of threats, degree of management required, and landowner preference. The various options confer varying degrees of protection security and of landowner control. They range from designations that afford no legal protection to acquisition by a conservation organization. The following list describes the available options and the degree of protection that they provide. Because the significance and consequences of each mechanism vary, some sites may be protected by a combination of methods.

Natural area protection may be accomplished by several types of organizations. Federal, State, and local governments (at the County as well as the municipal levels) have specific tools and mechanisms by which they may set aside or regulate land for conservation purposes. In addition, there are private organizations that can either protect lands on their own or

facilitate the efforts of the public sector. Many of the protection mechanisms listed below may be implemented by any of the aforementioned conservation organizations, while others may only be available to certain agencies or organizations.

The following methods afford protection to rare species habitat by outlining and assigning management responsibilities to a particular party:

1. Voluntary management agreement - landowner informally agrees to protect the rare species and habitat by not disturbing the site.
2. Registration - landowner signs a written, nonbinding agreement with the State's Department of Natural Resources, a county government, The Nature Conservancy, or another private conservation organization, officially recognizing the ecological significance of the site. Management needs are outlined and the landowner agrees to perform specified tasks to protect rare species and habitat.
3. Legally binding protection agreement - landowner enters a legally binding management agreement or leases the land to a conservation organization for management purposes. Conservation easements granted by the Maryland Environmental Trust, local government, and other private trusts (including The Nature Conservancy) impose certain land-use restrictions while conferring tax benefits to the landowner.
4. Zoning - the site may be zoned or rezoned as a conservation area in which land-use is restricted. Development may be highly regulated or prohibited. Such protection is usually accomplished on a county level through local ordinances.
5. Bequest or Right of First Refusal - landowner agrees to will land or give right of first refusal for acquisition to a State, county, or private conservation organization at some undetermined time in the future.
6. Acquisition - landowner conveys property to a conservation organization or public agency. The transfer may be a donation, a bargain sale (i.e., below market value) or a fee simple (i.e., full market value) transaction. The first two types of transaction confer tax benefits to the landowner. All rights to the land belong to the buyer and

management is directed toward the protection of rare species and habitat(s). In some cases, acquisition may occur with the retention of a life estate for the owner. This allows the landowner to continue to live on and have restricted use of the property until death, at which time the buyer obtains full control.

The following methods are designations which afford no current protection but which serve to acknowledge the ecological significance of a site and which may be used to stimulate further protection efforts:

1. National Registry of Natural Landmarks - land which is determined to be a nationally significant example of the Nation's natural heritage may be designated a National Natural Landmark by the Secretary of the Interior.
2. Sensitive Management Areas - land within the State Park System which is considered in need of special protection because of its unique and fragile physiography, flora, and fauna may be designated a "Sensitive Management Area" and is reserved for only those activities compatible with preservation.
3. Maryland Wildlands Preservation System - land which has retained its wilderness character or which has rare species or similar features of interest worthy of preservation for use of present and future residents of the State may be termed "wildland."
4. Natural Heritage Area - land which meets all three of the criteria listed in the revised Regulations under COMAR 08.03.08 Threatened and Endangered Species may be designated a Natural Heritage Area subject to the approval of the Secretary of Natural Resources.

Information provided in the Protection Area Summaries of this report is used to assess the degree of protection needed.

LONG-TERM FRAMEWORK:

This project provides a foundation for tasks to begin in 1989. These tasks, described below, involve the further identification and protection of significant habitats within the coastal zone.

In 1989 the focus of this project will be the protection of significant habitats identified in 1987 and 1988. Efforts were initiated in 1988 to protect significant habitats imminently threatened by development or other human-induced habitat alterations. These efforts will be expanded in 1989 to include additional significant habitats of highest priority for protection. Substantial effort will be required to protect each site, and this task should continue into the 1990s.

Next year the methodology developed in this project will be used to continue to identify significant plant and wildlife habitats in the Coastal Plain of Maryland. Protection Area Summaries identical in format to those prepared in 1987 and 1988 will be completed for significant habitats. These sites will be candidates for protection within the framework of this project.

SECTION 2

Protection Area Summaries

INTRODUCTION:

The remainder of this report contains site-specific protection information for all selected areas. Each of these areas is reviewed in a Protection Area Summary (PAS) that describes the protection area, its values, and its protection needs. The PAS is composed of several parts, each of which is discussed below. Format and content are best understood with the insight provided in this section.

Protection Area Name - An identifying name has been assigned to each protection area. This is usually based on the site's location and/or habitat type.

County - The county in which the protection area is located is given.

USGS Quad(s) - Identifies the United States Geological Survey topographic map(s) on which the protection area occurs.

SUMMARY OF ECOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE - States the major reasons for protecting the site. The features of greatest ecological significance are described, such as the presence of rare species or unique habitat.

OTHER SIGNIFICANCE AND VALUES - This section describes other important aspects of the protection area.

The value of the protection area to wildlife and for ecosystem maintenance may be discussed. In setting aside rare species habitat (which includes additional buffer land), a safe haven is provided for wildlife and for the perpetuation of the natural processes that sustain the ecosystem.

Many of the proposed protection areas are adjacent to or part of designated management areas. They may overlap with or abut State Forests or Parks, State Scenic Rivers, Natural Heritage Areas or Nature Conservancy preserves. By increasing the size and/or protection of these areas, their ecologic and scenic values may be enhanced.

THREATS AND MANAGEMENT NEEDS - Both potential and current threats to the rare species or to the natural habitat are described. These are generally related to human-induced habitat alterations, such as forest cutting, hydrologic alteration, vehicular traffic, or powerline maintenance practices. In some cases, however,

there are natural threats such as insect infestation or natural succession.

Specific management recommendations are then given. Voluntary management agreements are often suggested. In some cases, monitoring of rare species populations is recommended. Such studies are needed in order to learn more about the demographics and ecological requirements of the rare plants and to provide warnings of serious population declines.

BOUNDARY RECOMMENDATIONS - The proposed protection area is delineated by a line termed the protection area boundary. The habitats to be included within this boundary are described and the reasons for their inclusion are given. Within this boundary the threats listed in the previous section should be avoided to protect the significant habitat and rare species. Land within the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area is not included within the boundaries of the protection areas.

Within the protection area boundary, a buffer has been placed around the core rare species habitat. This zone consists of adjacent land needed to protect the critical habitat from the impacts of land use in surrounding areas. When the critical habitat is a wetland, lands which drain into it are included as buffer. Surrounding forest may be designated for many reasons. These include maintaining canopy cover to prevent the invasion of weedy or non-native species, stabilizing soils to prevent sedimentation of waterways, filtering out chemicals or excess nutrients, and maintaining hydrology.


The delineation of buffers varies depending on the type of habitat, surrounding land use, habitat requirements of the rare species, local hydrology, and possible future threats. Reasonable and effective buffers were determined after careful consideration of these factors.


Maps (with a scale of 1:24000) and additional information concerning boundary locations are available from the Natural Heritage Program.

SITE DESCRIPTION SUMMARY - Finally, a general description of the protection area is given. Each natural community is discussed and its relationship to surrounding communities is described. Often the hydrologic regime of the community and the range of seasonal variability of water table depth are provided. Dominant trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants are listed.

Note: Common names for species are used throughout the Protection Area Summary except when no common name is available. When a specific species is named, the common name is capitalized.

CHARLES COUNTY



 = Locations of Protection Areas of significant habitat. Sites are numbered in order from north to south. (See page 13 for Protection Area names corresponding to numbers given above.)

CHARLES COUNTY: Protection Area Locations

<u>Protection Area</u>	<u>Site # on County Map</u>
Brentland Woods.....	5
Bryantown Swamp.....	3
Cat Pond.....	2
County Line Trail Seep.....	1
Doncaster Forest.....	4
Maryland Point Swamp.....	6

<u>Site # on County Map</u>	<u>Protection Area</u>
1	County Line Trail Seep
2	Cat Pond
3	Bryantown Swamp
4	Doncaster Forest
5	Brentland Woods
6	Maryland Point Swamp

PROTECTION AREA SUMMARY

Protection Area Name: Brentland Woods

County: Charles

USGS Quad: Mathias Point

SUMMARY OF ECOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE:

Diverse habitats, including bottomland forest, mesic deciduous woods, and xeric deciduous woods, occur in the ravine and adjacent uplands of Brentland Woods. Many large, old trees remain in the forest, especially north of the main stream. The upland woods, dominated by oaks, Beech, and American Holly, are as fine an example of this habitat as remains in the county. Much of the watershed of the stream remains forested. Most areas of similar habitat in Charles County have been destroyed by clearing for residential and commercial development, agriculture, and silviculture.

The spring herbaceous cover is unusually lush and diverse, especially along the floodplain of the stream and on the moist lower slopes. Among the numerous wildflowers is a species that, in Maryland, is known from only three sites in Charles County. None of these sites is protected. This population appears vigorous; hundreds of flowering and fruiting plants were observed. Because the population is large and the rare species' habitat is extensive, Brentland Woods provides an excellent opportunity to preserve this species in Maryland.

OTHER VALUES AND SIGNIFICANCE:

This large, contiguous tract of forest provides excellent habitat for forest interior birds. Sightings and signs of fox, deer, woodchuck, squirrels, and owls were noted, indicating that the area is rich in wildlife.

THREATS AND MANAGEMENT NEEDS:

Threats

Logging is the most immediate threat to this area. Portions of the slopes on the south side of the stream have already been logged. The intrusion of non-native, weedy species, such as Japanese Honeysuckle, is a problem on these southern slopes. If logging continues, these weedy species may exclude the rare species and other native plants. Erosion of the logged slopes could cause sedimentation of the rare species' floodplain habitat and could destroy the rare species' population.

Recently, residential and commercial development have increased dramatically in Charles County. The clearing of land for development within the protection area poses threats similar to those of logging, erosion and the invasion of weedy plants. Development poses additional threats to the rare species, including pollution of the stream caused by runoff from the uplands and trampling and destruction of the vegetation caused by increased use of the area by local residents.

Management Needs

Clearing of the forest for timber harvest or other purposes should not occur within the protection area.

Trash and garbage should be removed from the protection area. Roads providing access into the area should be blocked, thereby assuring more trash will not be dumped after cleanup is completed.

The size and reproductive success of the rare species population should be monitored regularly. The spread of non-native, weedy species into the area should also be watched carefully. The removal of these weedy plants may be recommended after further observation.

BOUNDARY RECOMMENDATIONS:

The protection area boundary includes the main stream and its tributaries, the bottomland forest habitat of the rare species, and the adjacent uplands that drain into the stream.

SITE DESCRIPTION SUMMARY:

The 483 acre stream/ravine complex is composed of diverse habitats and plant communities. The focal point is the floodplain of an unnamed stream. This bottomland forest is dominated by Tulip Tree, Red Maple, and Sweet Gum; the lush understory is dominated by Spicebush, Pawpaw, and numerous herbs. The rare plant species occurs in several areas along the floodplain of this stream and its tributaries. The lower slopes of the ravine are covered by rich deciduous woods dominated by Tulip Tree, Sweet Gum, and various shrubs. The drier upper slopes are dominated by oaks, Beech, and American Holly. Few shrubs and herbaceous species inhabit the dry upland. Numerous small seeps and springs occur along the ravine slopes, creating lush patches where Skunk Cabbage, Jack-in-the-pulpit, and Touch-me-not are common.

Although parts of the area were recently selectively logged, many large trees remain and the scenic quality is exceptional. Many non-native, weedy species are invading these logged areas and Japanese Honeysuckle is particularly aggressive.

Prepared by: Richard H. Wiegand

Date: October 1988

PROTECTION AREA SUMMARY

Protection Area Name: Bryantown Swamp

County: Charles

USGS Quad: Hughesville

SUMMARY OF ECOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE:

Scattered within this large swamp forest are shrub swamps, emergent marshes, and wet, grassy meadows. The area is uncommonly diverse in its habitats and wetland plant communities. Emergent marsh borders a slightly higher, drier floodplain forest; open areas dominated by herbaceous growth intermingle with wooded swamp. A rare plant species occurs in several of the more open, grassy meadows. Although this species is known from fourteen other sites in Maryland, only five populations are known on the Upper Coastal Plain. The other four populations known on the Upper Coastal Plain occur in vulnerable sites and will be difficult to maintain. This protection area provides the greatest potential for successfully preserving this rare species on the Upper Coastal Plain.

OTHER VALUES AND SIGNIFICANCE:

It is likely that other rare species occur in this wetland complex. A second rare plant species was reported from this area but was not observed in 1988. Because the plant species differ from one season to the next, several more visits are required to complete a species list.

This diverse wetland complex provides fine habitat for migratory and resident songbirds, amphibians, reptiles, deer, and other mammals.

THREATS AND MANAGEMENT NEEDS:

Threats

Recent road construction at the southern border of the protection area may change the hydrology of this site. If the water table is lowered or raised, or if the seasonal water regime is altered by this construction, the vegetative composition of the swamp will change. It is likely that hydrological change will be detrimental to the rare plant species.

Any activity that alters the area's hydrology, such as ditching, drainage, damming, or channeling, would be detrimental to the rare species.

Logging of the protection area, especially with heavy machinery, would disrupt the water regime. This activity would also disturb the soil and open the canopy, creating ideal conditions for the invasion of non-native, weedy plants.

Runoff of salts, chemicals, petroleum residues and other pollutants from the road on the southern edge of the protection area could have long-term, adverse effects on the water quality and vegetation, especially in those areas nearest the road. Runoff of pesticides and sediments from cultivated fields to the west could compromise water quality.

Management Needs

Logging or clearing should not be conducted within the wetlands. Plans for logging or clearing on the adjacent uplands should be reviewed to assess the potential impacts on the rare species. Only selective cutting should be permitted. Plans should be designed to prevent the erosion of soil into the wetlands. Any activity that would alter the hydrology or reduce the water quality of the protection area should not be conducted.

Hydrology of the wetland complex should be monitored at intervals to determine what effects, if any, road construction is having on water level and quality.

The rare plant species should be monitored regularly to determine the population's size and reproductive success.

BOUNDARY RECOMMENDATIONS:

The protection area boundary incorporates all of the area known to harbor the rare plant species, adjacent areas of potential habitat for the rare species, and a forested buffer required to protect the hydrology of the swamp.

SITE DESCRIPTION SUMMARY:

This 133 acre protection area is bounded on the south by a road and on the east and west by cultivated uplands. The variety of wetland habitats within this relatively small area supports a wide variety of plants. Scattered meadows dominated by Rice Cut-grass, sedges, and Stinging Nettle are surrounded by shrub swamps of Smooth Alder and Buttonbush. Intermingled with these are bottomland hardwood forests dominated by Red Maple, Sweet Gum, and River Birch. Along the streams are areas of emergent marsh dominated by Broad-leaved Arrowhead, Lizard's-tail, Slender Bur-

reed, sedges, and grasses. A rare plant species is scattered in several of the meadows and marshes.

Prepared by: Richard H. Wiegand

Date: November 1988

PROTECTION AREA SUMMARY

Protection Area Name: Cat Pond

County: Charles

USGS Quad: La Plata

SUMMARY OF ECOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE:

This is the only seasonal pond dominated by herbaceous vegetation that is known on the Western Shore, and it is a superb example of this habitat. In Maryland, the majority of seasonal ponds occur on the Eastern Shore and are dominated by woody vegetation. Approximately two acres of Cat Pond is dominated by grasses, sedges, and other herbaceous plants. Shrubs and deciduous forest border this grassy area. During the fall, winter, and spring the pond fills with water. This long period of flooding discourages the growth of most woody species. In late spring, the water recedes and exposes mud flats along the pond edge. By late summer there is very little water in the pond. The exposed flats are covered by plants that germinate after the water recedes and complete their life cycles before the pond refills. The fluctuating water regime creates a unique habitat in which plants must endure long periods of both flooding and drought.

OTHER VALUES AND SIGNIFICANCE:

Although no rare species were observed in 1988, the pond provides suitable habitat for rare species of plants and amphibians. Further survey may reveal populations of these species at Cat Pond. Similar ponds on the Eastern Shore harbor several rare plants and two rare amphibians. Because the flora and fauna of the pond vary seasonally, several visits are required to obtain a complete species list for the site.

Cat Pond provides excellent resting and feeding grounds for migratory waterfowl. The pond also provides habitat for amphibians.

THREATS AND MANAGEMENT NEEDS:

Threats

The unique herbaceous cover of this seasonal pond is maintained by the fluctuating water table. Any hydrological changes that alter the frequency or amplitude of the groundwater fluctuations may destroy this unique habitat by allowing the

establishment of woody species or preventing the growth of herbaceous species.

Logging the forest surrounding the pond could adversely alter the hydrology and compromise water quality. It would also open the canopy and disturb the soil, creating ideal conditions for the invasion of non-native, weedy species into the area.

Numerous non-native, weedy plant species inhabit the roadside at the southeastern end of the protection area. Some of these species, such as Long-bracted Beggar-ticks, are extremely aggressive and may outcompete the native vegetation if they become established in the pond.

Management Needs

It is imperative that the pond's hydrology be protected. Ditching, logging, or the clearing of forest should not be conducted within the protection area. Any proposed logging, forest clearing, development, or road construction in the immediate vicinity of the protection area should be reviewed and plans should be designed to prevent effects on the pond's water regime and water quality.

Runoff of salts, chemicals, petroleum residues, and other pollutants from the adjacent road should be minimized. A drainage barrier could be constructed on the bank between the road and pond, diverting runoff from the road into an existing ditch near the northeast corner of the pond that drains away from the pond.

A monitoring plan should be implemented to check water quality, water level, and the encroachment of non-native, weedy plant species.

BOUNDARY RECOMMENDATIONS:

The protection area boundary includes the pond, the perimeter of shrubs and lowland woods and a 1000 ft. forested buffer needed to protect the pond's water regime. A 300 ft. buffer on the southeast side of the pond is included to protect the pond's hydrological regime.

SITE DESCRIPTION SUMMARY:

This 105 acre protection area encompasses a 1.5-2 acre seasonal pond, its border of shrubs, and adjacent lowland woods. The herbaceous cover in the pond is dominated by numerous sedges, grasses, and a few wildflowers such as Virginia Meadow-beauty and Water Purslane. The thin border of shrubs is dominated by

Buttonbush and Sweet Pepperbush. The shrub border is more extensive on the north side of the pond. This complex is surrounded by lowland woods dominated by Red Maple and Sweet Gum. During years of normal rainfall, a small section of the pond approximately 15 ft. by 30 ft., maintains shallow standing water throughout the year.

An old ditch originates in the forest across the road to the east and drains into the northeast corner of the pond. This ditch is elevated slightly above the level of the pond and probably effects the water level only when the pond is full. A paved road borders the pond to the southeast and represents the most obvious unnatural influence on the site.

Prepared by: Richard H. Wiegand

Date: November 1988

PROTECTION AREA SUMMARY

Protection Area Name: County Line Trail Seep

Counties: Charles, Prince Georges USGS Quad: Brandywine

SUMMARY OF ECOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE:

At least three hydrologically different wetland types occur within this mature Tulip Tree-Red Maple Forest. These wetlands provide habitat for diverse vegetation. The absence of non-native species in this forest is particularly significant. Seepage from adjacent gravelly slopes raises the water level locally in small depressions. These groundwater-fed wetlands are excellent examples of a community that is more common in the mountains. They have received little artificial disturbance recently, and the soil is rich in nutrients.

Two rare plant species inhabit the seepage wetlands. These are disjunct populations of both species; both plants usually occur in the mountains. This is Maryland's only known population of one species. The population appears to be stable and successfully reproducing.

OTHER VALUES AND SIGNIFICANCE:

The forested swamp and adjacent slope provide habitat for native and migratory songbirds. The swamp also provides habitat for amphibians and reptiles. Numerous deer inhabit the forest.

THREATS AND MANagements NEEDS:

Threats

Hydrological change, either increasing or reducing the water level of the swamp, would destroy the rare species and alter the vegetative composition of the site. The rare species are intolerant of extended flooding but require very wet, boggy soil.

The clearing of trees on adjacent uplands and subsequent erosion would produce sedimentation of the wetland and may destroy the rare species. In addition, the cutting of trees on the upland or in the wetlands would increase the amount of sunlight available to shrubs and herbaceous species. This increase in sunlight would promote the establishment of non-native, weedy species and may exclude the rare, native species.

Management Needs

Any activities that would alter the hydrology of wetlands within the protection area should not be permitted. Activities proposed near the protection area should be reviewed for potential effects on the rare species' habitat.

The cutting of trees and clearing of vegetation should not occur within the protection area.

The size and reproductive success of the rare species should be monitored regularly to assess the stability of the populations. Similar habitat in nearby wetlands should be surveyed for rare species. If more plants of these or other rare species are found, steps should be taken to protect those plants.

BOUNDARY RECOMMENDATIONS:

The population of the rare species and adjacent potential habitat are included within the protection area. Associated wetlands and uplands that drain into this habitat are included in order to protect the hydrology of the site. A forested buffer extending 100 feet west from the crest of the slope is recommended for the slope nearest to the rare species' populations. The purpose of this buffer is to protect the wetlands from sedimentation and the intrusion of non-native species that would occur if trees were cut.

SITE DESCRIPTION SUMMARY:

Two streams flow through the Tulip Tree-Red Maple Forest within this 87 acre protection area. Sweet Pepperbush and Southern Arrowwood are abundant in the wetlands along the streams. Sedges and New York Fern are frequent near the streams. Small depressions fed by groundwater are scattered near the streams and are much wetter than surrounding wetlands. Sphagnum and Skunk Cabbage dominate those seepage wetlands. Ferns are abundant, including Cinnamon Fern, Netted Chain Fern, and Virginia Chain Fern. Red Maple is more abundant in these wetlands than in the surrounding forest. Nearby, oaks and American Holly inhabit the upland. Pine is abundant in the eastern portion of the protection area.

To the west of the protection area is a pine plantation. Dirt roads form the borders of the protection area to the south and east. The protection boundary follows a stream and a road to the north. Nearly all of the surrounding land is forested.

Prepared by: Katharine A. McCarthy

Date: November 1988

PROTECTION AREA SUMMARY

Protection Area Name: Doncaster Forest

County: Charles

USGS Quad: Nanjemoy

SUMMARY OF ECOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE:

A mature forest of Sweet Gum, Tulip Tree, and Red Maple borders the stream that flows through the protection area. The adjacent uplands support a mature forest of oaks and hickory with Virginia Pine dominant in two areas. Trees greater than two feet in diameter inhabit these forests. The presence of large well-decayed logs and the developing structure of the forest canopy also reveal the maturity of the forests. Forests of similar age are rare on the Upper Coastal Plain. The clearing of forests for commercial and residential development and for agriculture has nearly eliminated mature forests from this region.

The mature forests within this protection area provide a variety of habitats that are absent from young forests. Cavity-nesting birds such as woodpeckers inhabit large, old trees. Insects thrive on the decaying logs and dead standing trees, and provide food for a variety of forest-dwelling birds. Warblers and other songbirds feed on the insects that inhabit the well-developed canopy. The decaying logs and leaf litter return nutrients to the soil. If allowed to mature further, a greater diversity of herbaceous species, including numerous wildflowers, will grow in the developing soil of this forest than will inhabit repeatedly cleared, young forests.

OTHER VALUES AND SIGNIFICANCE:

The mature forests provide excellent opportunities for hiking, birdwatching, and natural history education.

THREATS AND MANAGEMENT NEEDS:

Threats

The cutting of trees in or near the mature forests would promote the growth of non-native, weedy species within the forest to the exclusion of native species. These weedy species thrive in the light of the canopy openings created by cutting trees. Already, weedy species are invading the northern edges of the forest adjacent to clearcuts. The cutting of trees in the mature forests would eliminate the deep shade, well-developed canopy structure, and nesting cavities, and inhibit the decaying

process; these are essential elements contributing to the diverse, uncommon habitats of the forests.

The clearing of land surrounding the mature forests would reduce access to the forest for wildlife. If all or most of the surrounding land is cleared, species of wildlife that require large forested tracts will be unable to survive in the mature forest.

Management Needs

The cutting of trees should not occur within the protection area.

In order to maintain habitat for species of wildlife that require large, forested tracts, it is essential to maintain a large tract of forest adjacent to the protection area so that the area is never surrounded by cleared land. The large tract of continuous forest should be shaped so as to minimize edge effects in order to maintain high quality habitat for forest interior species.

BOUNDARY RECOMMENDATIONS:

The protection area includes the mature forest plus a forested buffer a minimum of 500 ft. wide surrounding the mature forest. This forested buffer is required to prevent the establishment of non-native, weedy species in the mature forest and to insulate the mature forest from impacts of activities on the surrounding land.

SITE DESCRIPTION SUMMARY:

Several intermittent streams flow through this 76 acre protection area. The adjacent bottomlands are dominated by Red Maple, Tulip Tree, and Sweet Gum. Spicebush, New York Fern, and Club-moss are abundant near the streams. The forest is mature along the western-most stream and younger along other streams in the protection area. Mature oaks and hickory inhabit the adjacent uplands. Virginia Pine is abundant in two upland sections of the protection area. Blueberry is scattered on the uplands. There is little herbaceous cover in the dry, upland forest.

The forest at the northeastern edge of the protection area was cleared recently. Also, trees were cleared recently at the western border of the protection area. Pine plantations are

present nearby. Most of the immediately surrounding land is managed for timber harvest.

Prepared by: Katharine A. McCarthy

Date: November 1988

PROTECTION AREA SUMMARY

Protection Area Name: Maryland Point Swamp

County: Charles

USGS Quad: Nanjemoy

SUMMARY OF ECOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE:

This diverse wetland complex contains fine examples of forested swamp, shrub swamp, emergent marsh, and bottomland forest. Six rare plant species inhabit these wetlands. Three of these species are known from fewer than six sites in Maryland. The dominant aquatic plant in most of the shrub swamp and emergent marsh is an extremely rare species known from no other site in the State. Growing on the northern fringe of its range, the presence of numerous flowering and fruiting plants indicates that this is a thriving and viable population of this rare species.

This wetland is, at least in part, influenced by beaver activity, which aids in maintaining consistent water levels throughout the growing season. Historically, beaver played an important role in the creation of freshwater wetlands required by many rare species. Unfortunately, the decline of beaver populations has resulted in the loss of many of these important habitats. Many more have been destroyed by drainage for logging, development, and agricultural purposes.

OTHER VALUES AND SIGNIFICANCE:

Further survey of the area will likely reveal additional rare plant species in this wetland complex. The potential for rare amphibians is also high. This wetland provides excellent habitat for resident waterbirds and migratory waterfowl. Signs of recent beaver and deer activity provide evidence that varied forms of wildlife inhabit this area.

THREATS AND MANAGEMENT NEEDS:

Threats

Potential disturbance of the hydrology is the foremost concern for this site. Removal of the beaver, ditching, or draining of the wetland would change the water regime with potentially devastating effects on the rare species found here. These plant species require saturated to inundated conditions and would not survive in a drier environment.

Logging of the wetlands, especially with heavy machinery, would rut the surface, alter the drainage pattern, and result in detrimental changes to the hydrology. Opening of the forest canopy in the swamp and bottomland forest areas would be deleterious to at least one of the shade-loving rare species. It would also create ideal conditions for invasion of non-native, weedy species.

New road construction or improvement to the road that crosses the southern end of the protection area could alter the hydrology of the entire area. Deepening or dredging of the roadside ditch would destroy the rare plant populations found there and threaten the groundwater level. Runoff of salts, chemicals, petroleum residues and other pollutants from the adjacent roadside could compromise water quality, at least in the areas immediately adjacent to the road. Incursion of non-native, weedy plant species from the roadside could also pose a threat to native species.

The encroachment of woody vegetation, particularly trees, into the areas of open marsh could eventually reduce the populations of the rare species. Already some areas are being invaded by Red Maple saplings.

Management Needs

Plans for ditching or drainage in close proximity to this area should be reviewed for potential adverse effects on the groundwater table. Plans should be designed to prevent hydrological change in the protection area.

Logging or clearing should not occur in the wetlands. Plans for logging or clearing on uplands should be reviewed to determine the effects on the rare species, only selective cutting should be permitted within the protection area. Plans should be designed to prevent hydrological change in the protection area, to prevent the erosion of sediment into the wetland, and to maintain high water quality in the wetlands and their tributaries.

The water level of the wetlands should be monitored to determine the effects of beaver activity on the rare species.

A management agreement with the State Highway Administration should be implemented to ensure that the dredging of the adjacent ditch does not harm the rare species habitat.

A monitoring program for this site should be established. The rare plant species should be checked regularly to assess the size and vigor of the population. The intrusion of woody or non-native vegetation in the open marsh should be monitored. Measures to maintain an open canopy may be necessary in the future.

BOUNDARY RECOMMENDATIONS:

The protection area boundary incorporates all rare species habitat, including emergent marsh, shrub swamp, forested swamp, and bottomland forest. In addition, the adjacent forested uplands have been included to insure that logging or clearing will not result in siltation, hydrological changes, or reduced water quality of the wetland complex. It is likely that further survey will reveal additional populations of rare plants south of the protection area. If more rare plants are found, the protection boundary will be expanded to include those populations.

SITE DESCRIPTION SUMMARY:

This 160 acre non-tidal wetland complex includes a large shrub swamp, areas of open marsh, and small waterways fringed by emergent marsh. Smooth Alder and Buttonbush, mixed with Red Maple saplings, are the dominant shrubs in the more open areas. The waterways and pools are dominated by a rare species found nowhere else in Maryland, in association with Water-shield, Humped Bladderwort, and Southern Pond Lily. Four of the rare species at this site occur in the shrub swamp, pools, and waterways. Surrounding this extensive unforested wetland are a swamp forest and bottomland woods dominated by Red Maple and Sweet Gum. Two rare species inhabit the bottomland forest. The lowland woods give way to upland hardwood forest on the northern boundary of the area. The diversity of wetland types here has resulted in a variety of wetland plant communities and a remarkable diversity of herbaceous vegetation.

A two-lane highway bounds much of the wetland complex on the south. Areas of the wetland near this highway were logged recently. Several houses and trailers are located on the south side of the highway a short distance west of the protection boundary. Cultivated fields and private residences occur near the western and eastern borders of the swamp.

Prepared by: Richard H. Wiegand

Date: November 1988

REFERENCES

The following general references are provided as background material and suggested reading to supplement this report.

- Bartgis, R.L. 1986. Functional assessment of non-tidal wetlands. Natural Heritage Program, Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Annapolis, MD. Unpublished report to Coastal Resources Division.
- Bushman, E.S. and G.D. Therres. 1988. Habitat management guidelines for forest interior breeding birds. Wildlife Tech. Publ. 88-1. Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Annapolis, MD. 50 pp.
- Fernald, M.L. 1970. Gray's manual of botany. Eighth ed., Corrected Printing. D. Van Nostrand Co., New York. 1632 pp.
- Glaser, J.D. 1968. Coastal Plain geology of Southern Maryland. Guidebook No. 1. Maryland Geologic Survey, Baltimore, MD. 56 pp.
- _____. 1971. Geology and mineral resources of Southern Maryland. Report of Investigations No. 15. Maryland Geologic Survey, Baltimore, MD. 85 pp.
- Gleason, H.A. 1952. The new Britton and Brown illustrated flora of the northeastern United States and Canada. Vols. 1-3. Hafner Press, New York. 1732 pp.
- Godrey, R.K. and J.W. Wooten. 1979. Aquatic and wetland plants of the southeastern United States, Monocotyledons. Univ. of Georgia Press, Athens, GA. 712 pp.
- _____. 1981. Aquatic and wetland plants of the southeastern United States, Dicotyledons. Univ. of Georgia Press, Athens, GA. 933 pp.
- Norden, A.W., D.C. Forester, and G.H. Fenwick, eds. 1984. Threatened and endangered plants of Maryland. Natural Heritage Program Spec. Publ. 84-1. Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Annapolis, MD. 473 pp.
- Radford, A.E., H.E. Ahles, and C.R. Bell. 1968. Manual of the vascular flora of the Carolinas. Univ. of North Carolina Press, Chapel Hill, NC. 1183 pp.
- Tiner, R.W. Jr. 1988. Field guide to nontidal wetland identification. Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Annapolis, MD and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Newton Corner, MA; Cooperative Publication. 283 pp. + plates.

U.S. Soil Conservation Service. Soil Survey. U.S. Govt.
Printing Office, Washington, DC. (available for each
Maryland county).

Whitcomb, R.F. 1977. Island biogeography and "habitat islands"
of eastern forest. *American birds* 31:1, pp.3-23, 91-93.

_____ et al. 1981. Effects of forest fragmentation on
avifauna of the eastern deciduous forest. Vol 41: 125-292
in Forest island dynamics in man-dominated landscapes. R.L.
Burgess and D.M. Sharpe, eds. Springer-Verlag, New York.

Final Action On Regulations

1471

For information concerning Final Action on Regulations, see inside front cover.

Symbol Key

Roman type indicates text already existing at the time of the proposed action. *Italic type* indicates new text added at the time of proposed action. A single underline indicates text added at the time of final action. [Single brackets] indicate deleted text. [[Double brackets]] indicate text deleted at the time of final action.

Title 07
DEPARTMENT OF
HUMAN RESOURCES

Subtitle 03 INCOME MAINTENANCE
ADMINISTRATION

07.03.05 General Public Assistance to Em-
ployables

Authority: Article 88A, §§17, 17A-1 — 17A-3, 65B,
Annotated Code of Maryland

Notice of Final Action
[87-110-F]

On May 26, 1987, the Secretary of Human Resources adopted amendments to Regulations .09 and .11 under COMAR 07.03.05 General Public Assistance to Employables. These amendments, which were proposed for adoption in 14:8 Md. R. 941 (April 10, 1987), have been adopted as proposed. (DHR Transmittal Number 87-12)
Effective Date: June 29, 1987.

RUTH MASSINGA
Secretary of Human Resources

Title 08
DEPARTMENT OF
NATURAL RESOURCES

Subtitle 03 WILDLIFE

08.03.08 Threatened and Endangered Species

Authority: Natural Resources Article, §§ 4-2A-01 — 4-2A-09,
10-2A-01 — 10-2A-09,
Annotated Code of Maryland

Notice of Final Action
[87-061-F]

On June 9, 1987, new Regulations .01 — .11 under a new chapter, COMAR 08.03.08 Threatened and Endangered Species, were adopted by the Secretary of Natural Resources. Existing Regulations .01 and .02 under COMAR 08.03.08 Nongame and Endangered Species were repealed. These actions, which were proposed for adoption in

14:6 Md. R. 719 — 726 (March 13, 1987), have been adopted as proposed.

Effective Date: June 29, 1987.

TORREY C. BROWN, M.D.
Secretary of Natural Resources

Subtitle 05 WATER RESOURCES
ADMINISTRATION

08.05.03 Construction on Non-Tidal Waters
and Floodplains

Authority: Natural Resources Article, §§8-801 — 8-814,
Annotated Code of Maryland

Notice of Final Action
[87-060-F]

On June 9, 1987, amendments to Regulation .03 under COMAR 08.05.03 Construction on Non-Tidal Waters and Floodplains, were adopted by the Secretary of Natural Resources. These amendments, which were proposed for adoption in 14:6 Md. R. 726 — 728 (March 13, 1987), have been adopted with the non-substantial changes shown below.

Effective Date: June 29, 1987.

Attorney General's Certification

In accordance with State Government Article, §10-113, Annotated Code of Maryland, the Attorney General certifies that the following changes do not differ substantively from the proposed text. The nature of each change and the basis for this conclusion are as follow:

Regulation .03D(3)(b): The new language is added to restate the fact that tidal floodplains are not covered by this regulation and precludes any misunderstanding by prospective applicants on this issue. The State's regulatory authority pursuant to Natural Resources Article, Title 8, is specifically limited to the 100-year floodplain of free flowing streams and does not encompass federally designated tidal special flood hazard areas. Regulation .03 restates this limitation on the State's jurisdiction.

.03 Requirements for a Permit.

A. — C. (proposed text unchanged)

D. Exemptions. *The following activities are exempted from the requirements for a permit from the Administration under this chapter:*

(1) — (2) (proposed text unchanged)

(3) *A person who proposes to change in any manner the course, current, or cross-section of any waters of the State other than those referenced in §D(1) and (2) of this regulation does not need a permit from the Administration if the:*

**Title 08
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL
RESOURCES**

Subtitle 03 WILDLIFE

08.03.08 Threatened and Endangered Species

Authority: Natural Resources Article, §§4-2A-01 — 4-2A-09 and
§§10-2A-01 — 10-2A-09,
Annotated Code of Maryland

Notice of Proposed Action
[87-061-P]

The Secretary of Natural Resources proposes to repeal existing Regulations .01 and .02 under COMAR 08.03.08 Nongame and Endangered Species and to adopt new Regulations .01 — .11 under COMAR 08.03.08 Threatened and Endangered Species.

The proposed action does not affect any threatened and endangered species regulation or designations under COMAR 08.02.12 Tidewater Administration. The proposed action includes an increase in the number of wildlife species on the lists and for the first time includes plants. In addition, some species which meet the statutory definition of fish because they spend part of their life cycle in water, namely, amphibians, reptiles, crustaceans, mollusks and only those finfish of the species Blackbanded Sunfish (*Enneacanthus chaetodon*), Maryland Darter (*Etheostoma sellare*), Glassy Darter (*Etheostoma vitreum*), Stripeback Darter (*Percina notogramma*) and Trout-Perch (*Percopsis omiscomaycus*) are added. The latter species are not game or sport fish, therefore, are of no commercial significance. The lists also contain, for the first time, the names of all those species which are federally listed and, therefore, are required by Maryland law to be listed in Maryland.

The criteria for listing and delisting species are set out and the process for petitioning the Department to list and delist a species as allowed by law is specified. The proposal also clarifies how to apply for the various permits which are allowed by law and what factors are considered before they are issued.

Maryland law authorizes the Secretary to prohibit certain acts with respect to threatened and endangered plants in addition to those set out in the statute. The added prohibitions are: taking threatened and endangered plants from private property without the permission of the owner and from State property without the permission of the Director; and exporting, possessing, processing, selling, offering for sale, delivering, carrying, transporting or shipping threatened plant species. The latter acts are already prohibited by statute with respect to endangered plants.

Maryland law also authorizes the Secretary to prohibit by regulation certain acts with respect to all other threatened species besides plants. Since there were no threatened species listed in the previous regulation, there were no additional prohibitions specified; thus, these regulations implement that section of the law for the first time. Included in the added prohibitions is an "incidental taking." This is a taking of a species which is caused by another otherwise lawful act, for example, the killing of a pond dwelling species by filling in a pond for other reasons. The landowner is

required to give the Department 30 days notice before starting any action which would result in an "incidental taking." Within that 30 day time period the Department must either salvage the species or issue a permit for the "incidental take." The other added prohibitions are simply the same acts prohibited by statute with respect to endangered species.

This proposal defines for the first time what criteria are considered for designating Natural Heritage Areas. These Areas are an integral feature of the Critical Areas Criteria (set forth under COMAR 14.15.01 — .11) and by adding this regulation the Department hopes to aid the counties and the Critical Areas Commission in the protection of these Areas. Before Areas are designated the Department will notify all landowners of the proposed designation. There will be maps made available along with other pertinent and useful information. The Department hopes to work out management agreements with the landowners or buy conservation easements for property included in an Area if necessary.

The Critical Areas Criteria rely heavily on the Department's Threatened and Endangered Species Program to aid the counties in determining which species within the Critical Area need protection. The Department has available maps which locate listed species by planning zones and will make all this information as readily available as possible. The Department has always considered cooperative management agreements with private property owners to be the best way to preserve and protect habitat critical to threatened and endangered species, and intends to continue to use these agreements and other mutually agreeable management arrangements as much as possible.

Estimate of Economic Impact

I. Summary of Economic Impact. Administrative costs for units of the Department of Natural Resources will increase in terms of more staff time to address protection of these species, and some land acquisition costs will be incurred. Local governments will bear some costs in addressing protection of the listed species as part of their Critical Areas programs.

II. Types of Economic Impacts:	Revenue (+) Expense (-)	Amount
A. On issuing agency:		
1. Increased staff and support for threatened and endangered species Program	(-)	\$193,497
2. Increased land acquisition staff and support	(-)	\$74,106
3. Additional acquisition of interests in land	(-)	Indeterminable
B. On other State or local agencies affected:		
Local jurisdictions protect threatened and endangered species as part of Critical Areas programs	(-)	\$40,000 — \$100,000
C. On regulated industries or trade groups:	NONE	
	Benefit (+) Cost (-)	Amount
D. On other industries or trade groups affected:	NONE	

E. Direct and indirect effects on public:

1. Prohibition on taking endangered wildlife may affect some real estate development (-) Indeterminable
2. Protect species' diversity (+) Indeterminable

III. Assumptions. (Identified by Impact Letter and Number from Section II):

A1. The amount indicated is a budget enhancement request for six new positions plus support for the Threatened and Endangered Species program. While not all attributable to the listing of species represented by this regulation, a significant portion of the additional staff time for which the new resources will be needed is to meet the needs of an expanded list of threatened and endangered species.

A2. The amount indicated is a budget enhancement request for two new positions plus support for acquisition of interests in land that may prove necessary to protect threatened and endangered species.

A3. At this time, it is impossible to calculate how much could be spent for acquisition of interests in land. The figure indicated is the amount budgeted in FY 1987 for acquisition of interests in property for protection of lands that support diverse ecological communities of plants or animals, including forestlands, habitats of rare, threatened or endangered species, and areas necessary for watershed protection. A similar amount has been requested for FY 1988.

B. The costs of local governments to develop Critical Area programs will be approximately \$2,150,000 for FY 1987. A similar amount has been requested for FY 1988. The Director of the Critical Areas program estimates that between 2 percent and 5 percent of these costs may be attributable to that portion of the work involving threatened and endangered species.

E1. and E2. There is presently no trade in Maryland in any of the listed species, and therefore no impact is anticipated as a result of prohibiting such commerce. The prohibition on taking endangered species of wildlife in any manner will have some localized impacts on land use, but the impacts are indeterminable at this time. As to endangered or threatened species of plants, threatened species of wildlife, and wildlife species in need of conservation, the regulation prohibits only directed efforts to take the species; incidental impacts on the species from legitimate uses of land are not prohibited. Therefore, the listing of these species will not have an impact. Finally, there will be a long-term, positive, but incalculable benefit to the people of Maryland by protecting the diversity of species in the State.

Opportunity for Public Comment

Written comments may be sent to James Mallow, Forest, Park and Wildlife Service, Department of Natural Resources, Tawes State Office Building, Annapolis, MD 21401 or call 974-3771 Monday through Friday, 9 a.m. to 4 p.m. Public comment must be received not later than April 20, 1987 at 4 p.m.

If sufficient interest is shown a public hearing will be held. Copies of this proposal are available from James Mallow at the address given above.

.01 Definitions.

A. "Director" means the Director of the Maryland Forest, Park and Wildlife Service.

B. "Endangered extirpated species" means any species that was once a viable component of the flora or fauna of the State but for which no naturally occurring populations are known to exist in the State. Most of these species have not been recorded in Maryland since 1950.

C. "Endangered species" means any species whose continued existence as a viable component of the State's flora or fauna is determined to be in jeopardy including any species determined to be an "endangered species" pursuant to the federal Endangered Species Act of 1973, 16 U.S.C. §§1531 — 1543.

D. "Incidental taking" means takings of listed species that are incidental to, and not the purpose of, the carrying out of an otherwise lawful activity conducted by a person on private property.

E. "Jeopardize the continued existence of" means to engage in an action which reasonably would be expected, directly or indirectly, to reduce appreciably the likelihood of either the survival or recovery of a listed species in the wild by reducing the reproduction, numbers, or distribution of a listed species or otherwise adversely affecting the species.

F. "Listed species" means a species of flora or fauna deemed endangered, threatened or in need of conservation in this chapter due to any of the following factors:

- (1) Present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of the species' habitat or range;
- (2) Overutilization for commercial, sporting, scientific, educational, or other purposes;
- (3) Disease or predation;
- (4) Inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms; or
- (5) Other natural or manmade factors affecting the species' continued existence within the State.

G. "Natural heritage area" means any natural community of species designated in Regulation .10 in this chapter.

H. "Person" means any county, municipal corporation, or other political subdivision of the State, an individual, corporation, receiver, trustee, guardian, executor, administrator, fiduciary, or representative.

I. "Secretary" means the Secretary of the Department of Natural Resources.

J. "Service" means the Maryland Forest, Park and Wildlife Service.

K. "Species" means any species of wildlife or plant and reptiles, amphibians, crustaceans, mollusks and the following finfish: *Enneacanthus chaetodon*, *Etheostoma sellare*, *Etheostoma vitreum*, *Percina notogramma*, *Percopsis omiscomaycus* or any part, egg, offspring, or dead body of any of them.

L. "Species in need of conservation" means any species determined by the Secretary to be in need of conservation measures for its continued ability to sustain itself successfully.

M. "Take" means to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or attempt to engage in any such conduct.

N. "Threatened species" means any species of flora or fauna which appears likely, within the foreseeable future, to become endangered including any species determined to be a "threatened species" pursuant to the federal Endangered Species Act of 1973, 16 U.S.C. §§1531 — 1543.

.02 Petitioning.

A. Except for species determined to be threatened or endangered pursuant to the federal Endangered Species Act of 1973, 16 U.S.C. §§1531 — 1543, any interested person may petition the Director to add or remove a species or natural heritage area to or from a list in this chapter. The Director shall review the evidence regarding the requested action and make a recommendation to the Secretary whether or not to list or delist the species or natural heritage area.

B. In a petition to list or delist a natural heritage area, the following information shall be provided:

- (1) A map of the proposed natural heritage area.
- (2) A description of the physical boundaries of the proposed area, total acreage, landowner name and address.
- (3) A description of the biological community represented by the natural heritage area including, as far as practical, a list of the fauna and flora there, and other geologic,

hydrologic, or other features which blend together to make this area unique.

(4) A description of all major threats to the continued existence of the area, or if petitioning to delist an area, a description of how the natural features and species composition of the area have changed so it is no longer suitable to be designated as a natural heritage area.

(5) A statement indicating why the area should or should not be considered as among the best statewide examples of its kind.

(6) Other relevant information which might assist the Director in making a determination.

C. All sites used for evidence of current abundance shall be extant and all sitings shall be documented with appropriate vouchers. In a petition to list or delist a species, the following information shall be provided:

(1) A description of the biological distribution of the species in Maryland.

(2) Its life needs and habitat requirements.

(3) Evidence of its decline or evidence that it is more common than previously believed and documented.

(4) All known threats which jeopardize its continued existence.

(5) Other relevant biological and ecological data or other life history information pertinent to its status.

(6) The species shall be presently recognized as a valid species, or infraspecific taxa of regional or national significance. There shall be adequate documentation that it occurs naturally and is permanently established in Maryland.

.03 Permits.

A. Permits to take, transport, possess, sell, offer for sale, export or import any listed species may be obtained from the Director only after written application on a form provided by the Service, and upon payment of a fee of \$25.

B. Each permit shall be subject to an expiration date and other limitations as may be prescribed by the Director.

C. Each permit application requesting permission to take a listed species from private property shall be accompanied by a signed statement from the landowner granting the applicant permission to enter the property to take the species.

D. A permit application shall describe the purpose of the request in such detail that the Director can determine whether it is in the best interest of the species and the State to issue it.

E. The Director shall consider, but not be limited to, the following information:

(1) The number of other known occurrences of the species in the State;

(2) Which of the occurrences of the species in §E(1) exist on:

(a) Private lands;

(b) Public lands; and

(c) What protection there is for the species' continued existence.

(3) The number of individuals in the occurrences of the species in §E(1) and the relative state of ecological stability.

F. Violation of any provision or restriction of the permit shall constitute a violation of this regulation and may result, at the discretion of the Director, in the revocation of the permit and confiscation of the species taken or possessed.

.04 Endangered Species of Wildlife, Reptiles, Amphibians, Mollusks, Crustaceans and Finfish.

A. Listing Criteria. The following factors shall be considered for listing any species other than plants as endangered:

(1) Whether the species is restricted to a minimal geographic area within Maryland;

(2) Whether the species has experienced a rapid, substantial decline in Maryland, and if the decline continues, the species' extirpation from Maryland is imminent;

(3) Whether the species' essential habitat has been rapidly lost and that loss is likely to continue;

(4) Whether the species' biology makes it highly susceptible to changes in its environment; or

(5) Whether the species' essential habitat is easily altered by even relatively minor activities.

B. Permits. The permit procedures to be followed are set forth in Regulation .03. The following apply:

(1) Permits shall be issued only for scientific research designed to enhance the recovery of the species or population.

(2) A person may not take, export, possess, process, sell or offer for sale, deliver, carry, transport, or ship by any means any endangered wildlife, reptile, amphibian, mollusk, crustacean or finfish species except by special permit from the Director.

C. The following wildlife, reptile, amphibian, mollusk, crustacean and finfish species are considered endangered throughout Maryland unless a smaller range is indicated:

(1) Platyhelminthes. A Planarian (*Procotyla typhlops*).

(2) Mollusks. Ancient Floater (*Alasmidonta heterodon*).

(3) Crustaceans.

(a) Dearolf's Cave Amphipod (*Crangonyx dearolfi*);

(b) Greenbriar Cave Amphipod (*Stygobromus emarginatus*);

(c) Shenandoah Cave Amphipod (*Stygobromus gracilipes*).

(4) Insects.

(a) Northeastern Beach Tiger-Beetle (*Cicindela dorsalis*);

(b) Puritan Tiger-Beetle (*Cicindela puritana*);

(c) Six-Banded Longhorn-Beetle (*Dryobius sexnotatus*);

(d) Regal Fritillary (*Speyeria idalia*).

(5) Fish. Maryland Darter (*Etheostoma sellare*).

(6) Amphibians.

(a) Eastern Tiger Salamander (*Ambystoma tigrinum*);

(b) Green Salamander (*Aneides aeneus*);

(c) Hellbender (*Cryptobranchus alleganiensis*);

(d) Eastern Narrow-Mouthed Toad (*Gastrophryne carolinensis*).

(7) Reptiles.

(a) Atlantic Leatherback Turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*);

(b) Atlantic Hawksbill Turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*);

(c) Northern Coal Skink (*Eumeces anthracinus*);

(d) Atlantic Ridley Turtle (*Lepidochelys kempi*);

(e) Mountain Earth Snake (*Virginia valeriae pulchra*).

(8) Birds.

(a) Piping Plover (*Charadrius melodus*);

(b) Peregrine Falcon (*Falco peregrinus*);

(c) Bald Eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*);

(d) Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*);

(e) Bewick's Wren (*Thryomanes bewickii*).

(9) Mammals.

(a) Black Right Whale (*Balaena glacialis*);

(b) Sei Whale (*Balaenoptera borealis*);

(c) Blue Whale (*Balaenoptera musculus*);

(d) Finback Whale (*Balaenoptera physalus*);

- (e) Humpback Whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*);
- (f) Indiana Bat (*Myotis sodalis*);
- (g) Sperm Whale (*Physeter catodon*);
- (h) Delmarva Fox Squirrel (*Sciurus niger cinereus*);
- (i) Water Shrew (*Sorex palustris*).

.05 Endangered Species of Plants.

A. Listing Criteria. The following factors shall be considered for listing a plant species as endangered:

- (1) Whether only a few populations are known in Maryland and they cover only a small portion of land;
- (2) Whether the species is restricted to a minimal geographic area;
- (3) Whether the species has experienced a substantial decline in Maryland, and if the decline continues, the species' extirpation from Maryland is imminent;
- (4) Whether the species' essential habitat has been rapidly lost and that loss is likely to continue;
- (5) Whether the species' biology makes it highly susceptible to changes in its environment; or
- (6) Whether the species' essential habitat is easily altered by even relatively minor activities.

B. Permits. The permit procedures to be followed are set forth in Regulation .03. The following apply:

- (1) Permits shall be issued only for scientific research designed to enhance the recovery of the species or population;
- (2) A person may not:
 - (a) Export, possess, process, sell, offer for sale, deliver, carry, transport, or ship by any means any endangered plant species without a special permit from the Director, the federal government, or another state government;
 - (b) Take any endangered plant species from State property except by special permit from the Director; and
 - (c) Take any endangered plant species from private property without the written permission of the landowner.

C. The following plant species are considered endangered throughout Maryland unless a smaller range is indicated:

- (1) Sensitive Joint-Vetch (*Aeschynomene virginica*);
- (2) Sandplain Gerardia (*Agalinis acuta*);
- (3) *Agalinis fasciculata*;
- (4) Thread-Leaved Gerardia (*Agalinis setacea*);
- (5) Woolly Three-Awn (*Aristida lanosa*);
- (6) Virginia Heartleaf (*Asarum virginicum*);
- (7) Red Milkweed (*Asclepias rubra*);
- (8) Serpentine Aster (*Aster depauperatus*);
- (9) Tickseed Sunflower (*Bidens coronata*);
- (10) Small Beggar-Ticks (*Bidens discoides*);
- (11) *Bidens mitis*;
- (12) Aster-Like Boltonia (*Boltonia asteroides*);
- (13) Grass-Pink (*Calopogon tuberosus*);
- (14) Long's Bittercress (*Cardamine longii*);
- (15) Barratt's Sedge (*Carex barrattii*);
- (16) Buxbaum's Sedge (*Carex buxbaumi*);
- (17) Coast Sedge (*Carex exilis*);
- (18) Giant Sedge (*Carex gigantea*);
- (19) *Carex jooorii*;
- (20) Dark Green Sedge (*Carex venusta*);
- (21) Marsh Wild Senna (*Cassia fasciculata* var. *macroperma*);
- (22) Spreading Pogonia (*Cleistes divaricata*);
- (23) Wrinkled Jointgrass (*Coelorachis rugosa*);
- (24) Wister's Coralroot (*Corallorhiza wisteriana*);
- (25) Fraser's Sedge (*Cymophyllus fraseri*);
- (26) Smooth Tick-Trefoil (*Desmodium laevigatum*);
- (27) Linear-Leaved Tick-Trefoil (*Desmodium lineatum*);

- (28) Cream-Flowered Tick-Trefoil (*Desmodium ochroleucum*);
- (29) Rigid Tick-Trefoil (*Desmodium rigidum*);
- (30) Pineland Tick-Trefoil (*Desmodium strictum*);
- (31) Pink Sundew (*Drosera capillaris*);
- (32) Log Fern (*Dryopteris celsa*);
- (33) Knotted Spikerush (*Eleocharis equisetoides*);
- (34) Black-Fruited Spikerush (*Eleocharis melanocarpa*);
- (35) Robbins' Spikerush (*Eleocharis robbinsii*);
- (36) Water Horsetail (*Equisetum fluviatile*);
- (37) Bent-Awn Plumegrass (*Erianthus contortus*);
- (38) Parker's Pipewort (*Eriocaulon parkeri*);
- (39) White-Bracted Boneset (*Eupatorium leucolepis*);
- (40) Darlington's Spurge (*Euphorbia purpurea*);
- (41) Harper's Fimbristylis (*Fimbristylis perpusilla*);
- (42) Box Huckleberry (*Gaylussacia brachycera*);
- (43) Swamp-Pink (*Helonias bullata*);
- (44) Featherfoil (*Hottonia inflata*);
- (45) Creeping St. John's-Wort (*Hypericum adpressum*);
- (46) Coppery St. John's-Wort (*Hypericum denticulatum*);
- (47) Dwarf Iris (*Iris verna*);
- (48) Red-Root (*Lachnanthes caroliniana*);
- (49) *Leersia hexandra*;
- (50) Star Duckweed (*Lemna trisulca*);
- (51) Downy Bushclover (*Lespedeza stuevei*);
- (52) Mudwort (*Limosella subulata*);
- (53) Sandplain Flax (*Linum intercursum*);
- (54) Pondspice (*Litsea aestivalis*);
- (55) Canby's Lobelia (*Lobelia canbyi*);
- (56) *Ludwigia glandulosa*;
- (57) Hairy Ludwigia (*Ludwigia hirtella*);
- (58) Sessile-Leaved Water-Horehound (*Lycopus amplexifolius*);
- (59) Erect Water-Hyssop (*Mecardonia acuminata*);
- (60) Torrey's Dropseed (*Muhlenbergia torreyana*);
- (61) Low Water-Milfoil (*Myriophyllum humile*);
- (62) Floating-Heart (*Nymphaoides cordata*);
- (63) Virginia False-Gromwell (*Onosmodium virginianum*);
- (64) Canby's Dropwort (*Oxypolis canbyi*);
- (65) Tall Swamp Panicgrass (*Panicum scabriusculum*);
- (66) Wright's Panicgrass (*Panicum wrightianum*);
- (67) Kidneyleaf Grass-of-Parnassus (*Parnassia asarifolia*);
- (68) Yellow Nailwort (*Paronychia virginica*);
- (69) Walter's Paspalum (*Paspalum dissectum*);
- (70) Canby's Mountain Lover (*Paxistima canbyi*);
- (71) Blue Scorpion-Weed (*Phacelia ranunculacea*);
- (72) Jacob's-Ladder (*Polemonium van-bruntiae*);
- (73) Cross-Leaved Milkwort (*Polygala cruciata*);
- (74) Dense-Flowered Knotweed (*Polygonum densiflorum*);
- (75) Slender Rattlesnake-Root (*Prenanthes autumnalis*);
- (76) Alleghany Plum (*Prunus alleghaniensis*);
- (77) Short-Beaked Baldrush (*Psilocarya nitens*);
- (78) Long-Beaked Baldrush (*Psilocarya scirpoides*);
- (79) Harperella (*Ptilimnium nodosum*);
- (80) One-Sided Pyrola (*Pyrola secunda*);
- (81) Yellow Water-Crowfoot (*Ranunculus flabellaris*);
- (82) *Rhynchosia tomentosa*;
- (83) Short-Bristled Hornedrush (*Rhynchospora corniculata*);
- (84) Thread-Leaved Beakrush (*Rhynchospora filifolia*);
- (85) Grass-Like Beakrush (*Rhynchospora globularis*);

- (86) Clustered Beakrush (*Rhynchospora glomerata*);
- (87) Drowned Hornedrush (*Rhynchospora inundata*);
- (88) Torrey's Beakrush (*Rhynchospora torreyana*);
- (89) Sacciolepis (*Sacciolepis striata*);
- (90) Sessile-Fruited Arrowhead (*Sagittaria rigida*);
- (91) Sandbar Willow (*Salix exigua*);
- (92) Canby's Bulrush (*Scirpus etuberculatus*);
- (93) Water Clubrush (*Scirpus subterminalis*);
- (94) Slender Nutrush (*Scleria minor*);
- (95) Pink Bog-Button (*Sclerolepis uniflora*);
- (96) Halberd-Leaved Greenbrier (*Smilax pseudo-china*);
- (97) Red-Berried Greenbrier (*Smilax walteri*);
- (98) Showy Goldenrod (*Solidago speciosa*);
- (99) Two-Flowered Bladderwort (*Utricularia biflora*);
- (100) Fringed Yelloweyed-Grass (*Xyris fimbriata*);
- (101) Small's Yelloweyed-Grass (*Xyris smalliana*).

.06 Endangered Extirpated Species.

A. Listing Criteria. The following factors shall be considered for listing a species as endangered extirpated:

- (1) The species was once a viable component of the State's flora and fauna and there are no records of it naturally occurring in Maryland after 1950; or
- (2) The species was once a viable component of the State's flora or fauna and recent scientific investigations have documented the loss of its habitat or disappearance of its population in Maryland.

B. Permits. Upon the discovery of a viable, naturally occurring population of any species in §8C — H, that species will be considered an endangered species and shall require the permits and conditions afforded to that status.

C. The following plant species are considered endangered extirpated throughout Maryland:

- (1) Pine-Barren Gerardia (*Agalinis virgata*);
- (2) Rough-Stemmed Wheatgrass (*Agropyron trachycalum*);
- (3) Golden Colicroot (*Aletris aurea*);
- (4) Beach Pigweed (*Amaranthus pumilus*);
- (5) Canada Anemone (*Anemone canadensis*);
- (6) Great Angelica (*Angelica atropurpurea*);
- (7) Filmy Angelica (*Angelica triquinata*);
- (8) Arethusa (*Arethusa bulbosa*);
- (9) Lake Cress (*Armoracia aquatica*);
- (10) Bradley's Spleenwort (*Asplenium bradleyi*);
- (11) Steele's Aster (*Aster concinnus*);
- (12) Silvery Aster (*Aster concolor*);
- (13) Showy Aster (*Aster spectabilis*);
- (14) (*Axonopus furcatus*);
- (15) Mat-Forming Water-Hyssop (*Bacopa stragula*);
- (16) Sea Ox-Eye (*Borrchia frutescens*);
- (17) Triangle Grape-Fern (*Botrychium lanceolatum*);
- (18) Leathery Grape-Fern (*Botrychium multifidum*);
- (19) Small Grape-Fern (*Botrychium simplex*);
- (20) Blue-Hearts (*Buchnera americana*);
- (21) Great Indian-Plantain (*Cacalia muhlenbergii*);
- (22) (*Carex careyana*);
- (23) Cypress-Knee Sedge (*Carex decomposita*);
- (24) (*Carex foenea*);
- (25) (*Carex glaucescens*);
- (26) Lake-Bank Sedge (*Carex lacustris*);
- (27) New England Sedge (*Carex novae-angliae*);
- (28) Variable Sedge (*Carex polymorpha*);
- (29) (*Carex striatula*);
- (30) (*Carex tenera*);
- (31) (*Carex tetanica*);
- (32) Wood's Sedge (*Carex woodii*);

- (33) Chaffweed (*Centunculus minimus*);
- (34) Purple Clematis (*Clematis occidentalis*);
- (35) Curly-Heads (*Clematis oroleuca*);
- (36) Rose Coreopsis (*Coreopsis rosea*);
- (37) Pygmyweed (*Crassula aquatica*);
- (38) Hazel Dodder (*Cuscuta coryli*);
- (39) (*Cyperus plukenetii*);
- (40) Showy Ladies'-Slipper (*Cypripedium reginae*);
- (41) Few-Flowered Tick-Trefoil (*Desmodium pauciflorum*);
- (42) (*Digitaria villosa*);
- (43) (*Eleocharis halophila*);
- (44) Three-Ribbed Spikerush (*Eleocharis tricostata*);
- (45) Downy Willowherb (*Epilobium strictum*);
- (46) Seven-Angled Pipewort (*Eriocaulon septangulare*);
- (47) Tall Rattlesnake Master (*Eryngium yuccifolium*);
- (48) (*Festuca paradoxa*);
- (49) Pumpkin Ash (*Fraxinus profunda*);
- (50) Small Bedstraw (*Galium trifidum*);
- (51) (*Gentiana puberula*);
- (52) Sea Milkwort (*Glaux maritima*);
- (53) Sharp-Scaled Mannagrass (*Glyceria acutiflora*);
- (54) Dwarf Rattlesnake-Plantain (*Goodyera repens*);
- (55) Tesselated Rattlesnake-Plantain (*Goodyera tessellata*);
- (56) (*Gratiola ramosa*);
- (57) Rough Heuchera (*Heuchera villosa*);
- (58) Sea-Beach Sandwort (*Honkenya peploides*);
- (59) Nits-and-Lice (*Hypericum drummondii*);
- (60) Claspng-Leaved St. John's-Wort (*Hypericum gymnanthum*);
- (61) Great St. John's-Wort (*Hypericum pyramidatum*);
- (62) Bloodleaf (*Iresine rhizomatosa*);
- (63) Small Whorled Pogonia (*Isotria medeoloides*);
- (64) Small-Headed Rush (*Juncus brachycephalus*);
- (65) New Jersey Rush (*Juncus caesariensis*);
- (66) (*Juncus megacephalus*);
- (67) Bayonet Rush (*Juncus militaris*);
- (68) Torrey's Rush (*Juncus torreyi*);
- (69) Common Juniper (*Juniperus communis*);
- (70) Narrow-Leaved Pinweed (*Lechea tenuifolia*);
- (71) Catchfly-Grass (*Leersia lenticularis*);
- (72) Long-Awned Diplanche (*Leptochloa fascicularis*);
- (73) Fall Witchgrass (*Leptoloma cognatum*);
- (74) Scaly Blazing-Star (*Liatris squarrosa*);
- (75) American Lovage (*Ligusticum canadense*);
- (76) American Frog's-Bit (*Limnobiium spongia*);
- (77) Twinflower (*Linnaea borealis*);
- (78) Florida Yellow Flax (*Linum floridanum*);
- (79) Heartleaf Twayblade (*Listera cordata*);
- (80) (*Lobelia glandulosa*);
- (81) Carolina Clubmoss (*Lycopodium carolinianum*);
- (82) Large-Flowered Barbara's Buttons (*Marshallia grandiflora*);
- (83) (*Matelea decipiens*);
- (84) (*Matelea obliqua*);
- (85) Broad-Leaved Bunchflower (*Melanthium latifolium*);
- (86) Nuttall's Micranthemum (*Micranthemum micranthemoides*);
- (87) Evergreen Bayberry (*Myrica heterophylla*);
- (88) Thread-Like Naiad (*Najas gracillima*);
- (89) Northern Panicgrass (*Panicum boreale*);
- (90) May Grass (*Pharlaris caroliniana*);
- (91) (*Phlox carolina*);

- (92) *Phlox glaberrima*;
 (93) *Mountain Phlox (Phlox latifolia)*;
 (94) *Downy Phlox (Phlox pilosa)*;
 (95) *Heart-Leaved Plantain (Plantago cordata)*;
 (96) *Slender Plantain (Plantago pusilla)*;
 (97) *(Poa saltuensis)*;
 (98) *Clammyweed (Polansia dodecandra)*;
 (99) *America Ipecac (Porteranthus stipulatus)*;
 (100) *Redheadgrass (Potamogeton richardsonii)*;
 (101) *Robbins' Pondweed (Potamogeton robbinsii)*;
 (102) *Flatstem Pondweed (Potamogeton zosteriformis)*;
 (103) *Pale Mannagrass (Puccinellia pallida)*;
 (104) *Awned Mountain-Mint (Pycnanthemum setosum)*;
 (105) *Greenish-Flowered Pyrola (Pyrola virens)*;
 (106) *(Ranunculus hederaceus)*;
 (107) *Bristly Crowfoot (Ranunculus pennsylvanicus)*;
 (108) *Awned Meadow-Beauty (Rhexia aristosa)*;
 (109) *Tiny-Headed Beakrush (Rhynchospora microcephala)*;
 (110) *Few-Flowered Beakrush (Rhynchospora rariflora)*;
 (111) *Wild Black Currant (Ribes americanum)*;
 (112) *Hairy Wild Petunia (Ruellia humilis)*;
 (113) *Pursh's Ruellia (Ruellia purshiana)*;
 (114) *Slender Marsh Pink (Sabatia campanulata)*;
 (115) *Lance-Leaved Sabatia (Sabatia difformis)*;
 (116) *Slender Arrowhead (Sagittaria teres)*;
 (117) *Shining Willow (Salix lucida)*;
 (118) *(Salvia urticifolia)*;
 (119) *Hard-Stem Bulrush (Scirpus acutus)*;
 (120) *Torrey's Clubrush (Scirpus torreyi)*;
 (121) *Shining Nutrush (Scleria nitida)*;
 (122) *Veined Skullcap (Scutellaria nervosa)*;
 (123) *Small Skullcap (Scutellaria parvula)*;
 (124) *Sand Blueeyed-Grass (Sisyrinchium arenicola)*;
 (125) *Mountain Goldenrod (Solidago roanensis)*;
 (126) *Rock Goldenrod (Solidago rupestris)*;
 (127) *(Sorghastrum elliotii)*;
 (128) *Indian-Pink (Spigelia marilandica)*;
 (129) *(Stachys aspera)*;
 (130) *Trailing Stitchwort (Stellaria alsine)*;
 (131) *(Tephrosia spicata)*;
 (132) *Coastal False Asphodel (Tofieldia racemosa)*;
 (133) *Auricled Gerardia (Tomanthera auriculata)*;
 (134) *Buffalo Clover (Trifolium reflexum)*;
 (135) *(Triglochin striatum)*;
 (136) *Tall Cornsalad (Valerianella umbilicata)*;
 (137) *Purple Vetch (Vicia americana)*;
 (138) *Wolffiella (Wolffiella floridana)*.

D. The following fish species are considered endangered extirpated throughout Maryland:

- (1) *Glassy Darter (Etheostoma vitreum)*;
 (2) *Stripeback Darter (Percina notogramma)*;
 (3) *Trout-Perch (Percopsis omiscomaycus)*.

E. The following amphibian species is considered endangered extirpated throughout Maryland: *Greater Siren (Siren lacertina)*.

F. The following reptile species is considered endangered extirpated throughout Maryland: *Rainbow Snake (Farancia erytrogramma)*.

G. The following bird species are considered endangered extirpated throughout Maryland:

- (1) *Bachman's Sparrow (Amphispiza aestivalis)*;
 (2) *Ivory-Billed Woodpecker (Campephilus principalis)*;
 (3) *Lark Sparrow (Chondestes grammacus)*;
 (4) *Eskimo Curlew (Numenius borealis)*;

- (5) *Red-Cockaded Woodpecker (Picoides borealis)*;
 (6) *Roseate Tern (Sterna dougallii)*;
 (7) *Greater Prairie Chicken (Tympanuchus cupido)*.

H. The following mammal species are considered endangered extirpated throughout Maryland:

- (1) *Gray Wolf (Canis lupus)*;
 (2) *American Elk (Cervus canadensis)*;
 (3) *Eastern Mountain Lion (Felis concolor)*;
 (4) *Snowshoe Hare (Lepus americanus)*;
 (5) *Marten (Martes americana)*.

.07 Threatened Species of Wildlife, Reptiles, Amphibians, Mollusks, Crustaceans, and Finfish.

A. Listing Criteria. The following factors shall be considered for listing species other than plant species as threatened:

- (1) Whether the species has experienced a steady, substantial decline in Maryland, and if the decline continues, the species is likely to become endangered;
 (2) Whether there has been steady, widespread loss of the species' essential habitat; or
 (3) Whether protection measures already taken have significantly reduced the chances of the species becoming extirpated from Maryland.

B. Permits. The permit procedures to be followed are set forth in Regulation .03. The following apply:

(1) Except by special permit from the Director a person may not take, export, possess, process, sell, offer for sale, deliver, carry, transport or ship by any means any threatened wildlife, reptile, amphibian, mollusk, crustacean or finfish species.

(2) Permits to take threatened species shall be issued only for:

- (a) Scientific research designed to enhance the recovery of the species or population;
 (b) Other valid scientific research; or
 (c) Educational purposes designed to further public awareness regarding the species.

(3) Incidental taking of a threatened wildlife, reptile, amphibian, mollusk, crustacean or finfish species shall be allowed only after the Director has been notified 30 days in advance of the change in land use or other action by a private landowner which shall result in the incidental taking. The Maryland Forest, Park and Wildlife Service, upon receipt of the application for an incidental taking permit from the landowner, shall within 30 days either:

- (a) Take action to salvage the threatened species; or
 (b) Issue to the landowner an incidental taking permit authorizing the landowner to proceed with the action which will result in the incidental taking of the species.

C. The following species are considered to be threatened throughout Maryland unless a smaller range is indicated:

- (1) *Crustaceans. Allegheny Cave Amphipod (Stygobromus allegheniensis)*.
 (2) *Insects. Rare Skipper (Problema bulenta)*.
 (3) *Reptiles.*

- (a) *Atlantic Loggerhead Turtle (Caretta caretta)*;
 (b) *Atlantic Green Turtle (Chelonia mydas)*.
 (4) *Birds. Black Skimmer (Rynchops niger)*.

.08 Threatened Species of Plants.

A. Listing Criteria. The following factors shall be considered for listing a plant species as threatened:

- (1) Whether the species has experienced a substantial decline in Maryland, and if the decline continues, the species is likely to become endangered;

(2) Whether there has been a steady widespread loss of the species' essential habitat; or

(3) Whether the species has been listed as endangered but it has been shown that protection measures taken have significantly reduced the chances of the species becoming extirpated from Maryland.

B. Permits. The permit procedures to be followed are set forth in Regulation .03. The following apply:

(1) Permits shall be issued only for scientific research designed to enhance the recovery of the species or population.

(2) A person may not:

(a) Export, possess, process, sell, offer for sale, deliver, carry, transport, or ship by any means any threatened plant species except by a special permit from the Director;

(b) Take any threatened plant species from State property except by special permit from the Director; and

(c) Take any threatened plant species from private property without the written permission of the landowner.

C. The following plant species are considered threatened throughout Maryland unless a smaller range is indicated:

- (1) Single-Headed Pussytoes (*Antennaria solitaria*);
- (2) Giant Cane (*Arundinaria gigantea*);
- (3) Glade Fern (*Athyrium pycnocarpon*);
- (4) Maryland Bur-Marigold (*Bidens bidentoides*);
- (5) Button Sedge (*Carex bullata*);
- (6) Shoreline Sedge (*Carex hyalinolepis*);
- (7) Inflated Sedge (*Carex vesicaria*);
- (8) Leatherleaf (*Chamaedaphne calyculata*);
- (9) Red Turtlehead (*Chelone obliqua*);
- (10) Goldenseal (*Hydrastis canadensis*);
- (11) Deciduous Holly (*Ilex decidua*);
- (12) Narrow-Leaved Bushclover (*Lespedeza angustifolia*);
- (13) Wild Lupine (*Lupinus perennis*);
- (14) Climbing Fern (*Lygodium palmatum*);
- (15) American Lotus (*Nelumbo lutea*);
- (16) Red Bay (*Persea borbonia*);
- (17) Pale Green Orchis (*Platanthera flava*);
- (18) Purple Fringeless Orchis (*Platanthera peramoena*);
- (19) Spongy Lophocarpus (*Sagittaria calycina*);
- (20) Engelmann's Arrowhead (*Sagittaria engelmanniana*);
- (21) Northern Pitcher-Plant (*Sarracenia purpurea*);
- (22) Virginia Mallow (*Sida hermaphrodita*);
- (23) Featherbells (*Stenanthium gramineum*);
- (24) Mountain Pimpernel (*Taenidia montana*);
- (25) Steele's Meadowrue (*Thalictrum steeleanum*);
- (26) Kate's-Mountain Clover (*Trifolium virginicum*);
- (27) Dwarf Trillium (*Trillium pusillum*);
- (28) Purple Bladderwort (*Utricularia purpurea*).

.09 Species in Need of Conservation.

A. Listing Criteria. The following factors shall be considered for listing a species as in need of conservation:

(1) Whether the population is limited or declining within Maryland; and

(2) Whether the species may become threatened in the foreseeable future, if current trends or conditions persist.

B. Permits. The permit procedures to be followed are set forth in Regulation .03. The following apply:

(1) Except by special permit, a person may not take, export, possess, process, sell, offer for sale, deliver, carry, transport, or ship by any means any species in need of conservation.

(2) Permits to take species in need of conservation shall be issued only for:

(a) Scientific research designed to enhance the recovery of the species or population;

(b) Other valid scientific research; or

(c) Educational purposes designed to further public awareness regarding the species.

(3) Incidental taking permits are not required for species in need of conservation.

C. The following species are considered to be in need of conservation throughout Maryland unless a smaller range is indicated:

(1) Insects. King's Hairstreak (*Satyrium kingi*).

(2) Fish. Blackbanded Sunfish (*Enneacanthus chaetodon*).

(3) Amphibians. Carpenter Frog (*Rana virgatipes*).

(4) Reptiles. Map Turtle (*Graptemys geographical*).

(5) Birds.

(a) Henslow's Sparrow (*Ammodramus henslowii*);

(b) Short-Eared Owl (*Asio flammeus*);

(c) American Bittern (*Botaurus lentiginosus*);

(d) Sedge Wren (*Cistothorus platensis*);

(e) Little Blue Heron (*Egretta caerulea*);

(f) Common Moorhen (*Gallinula chloropus*);

(g) American Oystercatcher (*Haematopus palliatus*);

(h) Least Bittern (*Ixobrychus exilis*);

(i) Black Rail (*Laterallus jamaicensis*);

(j) Swainson's Warbler (*Limnothlypis swainsonii*);

(k) Least Tern (*Sterna antillarum*).

(6) Mammals.

(a) Porcupine (*Erethizon dorsatum*);

(b) Bobcat (*Lynx rufus*);

(c) Least Weasel (*Mustela nivalis*);

(d) Small-Footed Bat (*Myotis leibii*);

(e) Southeastern Shrew (*Sorex longirostris*).

.10 Natural Heritage Areas.

A. Listing Criteria. In order to qualify as a natural heritage area a natural community shall:

(1) Contain one or more threatened or endangered species or wildlife species in need of conservation;

(2) Be a unique blend of geological, hydrological, climatological or biological features; and

(3) Be considered to be among the best Statewide examples of its kind.

B. The Forest, Park and Wildlife Service shall prepare maps describing the location of all natural heritage areas. The maps shall be filed in the office of the Director of the Forest, Park and Wildlife Service, Department of Natural Resources, Tawes State Office Building, Annapolis, MD 21401.

C. The following areas are designated natural heritage areas:

- (1) Kasecamp Shale Barrens Allegany County;
- (2) Maple Run Allegany County;
- (3) Outdoor Club Shale Barrens Allegany County;
- (4) Sideling Hill Creek . . Allegany, Washington County;
- (5) Cypress Creek Swamp Anne Arundel County;
- (6) Eagle Hill Bog Anne Arundel County;
- (7) Upper Patuxent Marshes . . Anne Arundel, Prince George's County;
- (8) Black Marsh Baltimore County;
- (9) Robert E. Lee Park Baltimore County;
- (10) Camp Roosevelt Cliffs Calvert County;
- (11) Cove Point Marsh Calvert County;
- (12) Flag Ponds Calvert County;
- (13) Randle Cliff Beach Calvert County;

- (14) Grove Neck Cecil County;
- (15) Plum Creek Cecil County;
- (16) Allen's Fresh Charles County;
- (17) Chicamuxen Creek Charles County;
- (18) Popes Creek Charles County;
- (19) Upper Nanjemoy Creek Charles County;
- (20) Chicone Creek Dorchester County;
- (21) Mill Creek Dorchester County;
- (22) Savanna Lake Dorchester County;
- (23) Upper Blackwater River Dorchester County;
- (24) Upper Nanticoke River, Marshes
and Swamps Dorchester, Wicomico County;
- (25) High Rock Garrett County;
- (26) Toliver Run Garrett County;
- (27) Great Falls Montgomery County;
- (28) Irish Grove Somerset County;
- (29) Hickory Point Cypress Swamp ... Worcester County;
- (30) Lower Nassawango Creek Worcester County;
- (31) Mattaponi Worcester County;
- (32) North Sinepuxent Bay Dunes Worcester County.

.11 Violation of Regulations.

Violation of these regulations is a misdemeanor punishable under Natural Resources Articles, §§10-2A-07, 10-1101 et seq., 4-2A-07, and 4-1201 et seq., Annotated Code of Maryland.

TORREY C. BROWN, M.D.
Secretary of Natural Resources

**Subtitle 05 WATER RESOURCES
ADMINISTRATION**

**08.05.03 Construction on Non-Tidal Waters
and Floodplains**

Authority: Natural Resources Article §§8-801 thru 8-814,
Annotated Code of Maryland

Notice of Proposed Action
[87-060-P]

The Secretary of Natural Resources proposes to amend Regulation .03 under COMAR 08.05.03 **Construction on Non-Tidal Waters and Floodplains**. The purpose of this amendment is to delete certain exemptions for projects in environmentally sensitive areas of the State's waterways.

Estimate of Economic Impact

I. Summary of Economic Impact. Natural Resources Article, §8-803, Annotated Code of Maryland, requires that any person wishing to change in any manner the course, current, or cross-section of any stream or body of water, first obtain a permit from the Department. Permits are obtained following the submittal of an application and accompanying documentation prescribed in COMAR. Regulations governing these activities have existed since the 1930's and have been amended from time-to-time in order to keep pace with goals and objectives of the Department of Natural Resources. The regulatory changes proposed at this time are necessary in order to incorporate those items the General Assembly recognized as necessary in order to preserve and enhance the quality of the State's water resources as they relate to the Chesapeake Bay.

**II. Types of
Economic Impacts.**

	Revenue (+) Expense (-)	Magnitude
A. On issuing agency: The Department expects an increase in workload as a result of the deletion of certain exemptions.	(-)	\$141,000
B. On other State or local agencies affected: Additional cost to prepare submittals to the Department for review and approval.	(-)	Indeterminable. Depends on amount of applications received from other agencies.
C. On regulated industries or trade groups:		
1. Additional cost to prepare engineered submittals to the Department for review and approval.	(-)	\$500,000
2. Cost to persons obtaining a permit due to processing time.	(-)	\$87,250
3. Time delay for those projects that require an administrative opportunity for a public hearing.	(-)	\$105,000
D. On other industries or trade groups affected: Certain delays in starting the intended works may be incurred to the permit applicant as a result of the regulatory process. These delays could be borne by trade groups or subcontractors as a result of scheduling problems.	(-)	Determined on a case-by-case basis but could result in lost earnings to trade groups.
E. Direct and indirect effects on public:	(+)	Could be very large.

III. Assumptions. (Identified by Impact Letter and Number from Section II):

A. A 20 percent increase in applications received is anticipated which would bring the total number of files reviewed by WRA to 1,200 yearly. Each engineer reviews an average of 174 files per year and an inspector inspects an average of 72 waterway permit projects yearly. Based upon the current staff available, it is projected that 1 engineering and 2 inspector positions will be required.

B. An estimated expense to other State and local agencies would be based upon the time and material required to prepare permit applications.

C.1. Given an estimated increase in permit applications of 200 per year, an estimated project cost of \$25,000, and an average application preparation fee of 10 percent of the project cost.

C.2. This cost is based on a minimum time to obtain a permit of one month and interest of 12 percent per annum on an average project cost of \$25,000.

C.3. This cost is based on a minimum time delay of 2 additional months in permit processing time due to an expected 50 percent increase in the number of applications received. Also included is an average hearing notice publication cost of \$100 per permit.

D. Depending on the amount of detailed submittals required for a particular project, time delays will result to the construction industry. In addition, improper implementation of the construction drawings, which cannot be anticipated, can result in time delays to the contractor.

NOAA COASTAL SERVICES CENTER LIBRARY



3 6668 14103 2815