

PERSPECTIVE • OPEN ACCESS

The International Global Atmospheric Chemistry project comments on the revised WHO air quality guidelines

To cite this article: Clare Paton-Walsh *et al* 2023 *Environ. Res. Lett.* **18** 111001

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [JET machine operations in T&D-T](#)
The JET Operations Team (presented by D.B. King), E. Abdelrahman, A. Abdul Hamid *et al.*
- [The Inter-Agency Committee on Radiation Safety—30 years of international coordination of radiation protection and safety matters](#)
Stefan Mundigl, Carl Blackburn, Miroslav Pinak *et al.*
- [Drinking water quality from rural handpump-boreholes in Africa](#)
D J Lapworth, A M MacDonald, S Kebede *et al.*

UNITED THROUGH SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY



The Electrochemical Society
Advancing solid state & electrochemical science & technology

248th ECS Meeting

Chicago, IL
October 12-16, 2025
Hilton Chicago



**Science +
Technology +
YOU!**

**SUBMIT
ABSTRACTS by
March 28, 2025**

SUBMIT NOW

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH
LETTERS

PERSPECTIVE

OPEN ACCESS

RECEIVED
8 August 2023ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION
16 October 2023PUBLISHED
26 October 2023

Original content from
this work may be used
under the terms of the
[Creative Commons
Attribution 4.0 licence](#).

Any further distribution
of this work must
maintain attribution to
the author(s) and the title
of the work, journal
citation and DOI.

The International Global Atmospheric Chemistry project
comments on the revised WHO air quality guidelines

Clare Paton-Walsh^{1,*}, R Subramanian^{2,*} , James H Crawford³, Laura Dawidowski⁴, H Langley DeWitt⁵,
Lisa Emberson⁶, Louisa Emmons⁷ , Rebecca M Garland⁸, Yugo Kanaya⁹, Aderiana Mbandi¹⁰,
Kerri A Pratt¹¹, Nestor Y Rojas¹², Abdus Salam¹³ , Kateřina Šindelářová¹⁴, Vinayak Sinha¹⁵,
N'Datchoh Evelynne Touré¹⁶ , Liya E Yu¹⁷ and Mei Zheng¹⁸

¹ School of Earth Atmospheric and Life Sciences, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, New South Wales, Australia

² Center for Study of Science, Technology, and Policy, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

³ NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, United States of America

⁴ Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica, Buenos Aires, Argentina

⁵ CIRES, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, United States of America

⁶ Environment & Geography Department, University of York, York, North Yorkshire, United Kingdom

⁷ National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, United States of America

⁸ Department of Geography Geoinformatics and Meteorology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa

⁹ Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Yokohama, Kanagawa, Japan

¹⁰ School of Engineering and Technology, Department of Mechanical Engineering, South Eastern Kenya University, Kwa Vonza, Kitui County, Kenya

¹¹ Departments of Chemistry and Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States of America

¹² Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia

¹³ Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Dhaka, Dhaka 1000, Bangladesh

¹⁴ Department of Atmospheric Physics, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

¹⁵ Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Mohali, Mohali, Punjab, India

¹⁶ LASMES, Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny, Abidjan, Ivory Coast

¹⁷ Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore

¹⁸ College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing, People's Republic of China

* Authors to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: clarem@uow.edu.au and subu@cmu.edu

Keywords: atmospheric chemistry, WHO interim targets, PM_{2.5}, ozone, NO₂, WHO air quality guidelines, air pollution

In September 2021, the World Health Organization (WHO) announced updated global air quality guidelines providing health-based targets for six key air pollutants [1]. The annual targets for pollutant concentrations were reduced fourfold for nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) to 10 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ and by 50% and 25% respectively for the mass concentration of particles smaller than and equal to 2.5 μm (PM_{2.5}) and 10 μm (PM₁₀) to 5 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ and 15 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$. New targets were introduced for peak season ozone (O₃) at 60 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ and daily carbon monoxide (CO) at 4 mg m^{-3} . In contrast, the target for sulfur dioxide (SO₂) was relaxed by a factor-of-two [1] to 40 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$. The new guidelines also have updated interim targets.

As we show in the following paragraphs, because of atmospheric chemistry and meteorology, these new guideline values can be unattainably low for some pollutants, are too high for other pollutants, and may be jointly unattainable for a key pair of pollutants for some cities. The International Global Atmospheric Chemistry project (IGAC) [2] provides a platform through which in-country scientists can be

supported to help their local air quality management agencies determine if any of these specific conditions apply and if so, how to set appropriate national standards.

Currently, it is estimated that just 0.001% of the global population breathes air that meets the new WHO guidelines for annual PM_{2.5} [3]. Reaching the new WHO air quality guidelines for PM_{2.5} and O₃ will be extremely challenging (or even unattainable) in many places due to natural sources and background levels [4]. Naturally occurring exposure levels (due to natural dust and fires) could exceed the annual PM_{2.5} guideline for more than half of the Earth's population [3]. Similarly, background or non-locally-controllable ozone levels for some regions may be comparable to or even exceed the new guideline values for ozone [5–8].

Despite being unachievable for so many, the WHO has set their target at the lowest levels of exposure for which there is evidence of adverse health effects, without considering background levels [1]. When developing air quality standards background

levels must be considered—a fact that is mentioned only in a single sentence on page 177 of the new WHO guideline report [1].

In-country scientific expertise is essential to develop and apply the evidence base such as identifying sources and quantifying background levels. In many places, the WHO interim target may be more appropriate than the final guideline value. Local air quality management agencies or available officials should be encouraged to strategize with and support scientific experts in their country on the locally relevant modifiable factors causing air pollution. Regardless of how unobtainable the WHO standards may seem, it is important to recognize that any reduction in pollution will have positive impacts on health [1, 9], especially if reductions are focused on combustion and industrial emissions that also emit carcinogenic air toxics [10].

The words ‘chemistry’ or ‘photochemistry’ appear just three times in the new 273-page WHO guideline report. However, many pollutants are co-emitted by the same source or are intertwined, necessitating a holistic, integrated approach to air quality management. NO₂ and O₃ are linked by atmospheric chemistry involving volatile organic compounds (some of which are also toxic), which means that reducing NO₂ may increase O₃ in some cities [11–14]. This could make it impossible for such cities to simultaneously achieve the new guidelines for these two critical pollutants. Confirming or rejecting this possibility requires further, localized research. It may be extremely challenging to successfully reduce O₃ and PM_{2.5} without more stringent controls on SO₂ (a precursor for PM) and CO (as a proxy for combustion-produced volatile organic compounds, which are also precursors for PM) than are set under the new WHO guidelines. A lower SO₂ target may also be beneficial for human health [15]. In-country scientists should be supported to pursue research at the regional and city scales to examine atmospheric chemistry (such as any NO₂/O₃ tradeoffs) and toxic emissions in their regional context through scenario-based simulations, which can lead to appropriate recommendations for modifiable anthropogenic emissions for their local environmental agencies to consider.

In our opinion, global focus should be on improving air quality in the most polluted cities on Earth where the greatest health gains can be made, and air pollution management may be nascent or even non-existent. More than 30% of countries have not set any ambient air quality standards and over 40% have no legal definition for air pollution [16]. A significant majority of low- and middle-income countries lack monitoring networks for even the six basic air pollutants [17], with many estimated to suffer from air pollution significantly higher than even the WHO first interim target [9, 18] for PM_{2.5}. Furthermore, even in these regions with poor monitoring networks there is an added element of inequality where the

poorest and most vulnerable are most exposed and thus most impacted [19]. We strongly encourage the establishment of basic air quality monitoring infrastructure in regions with little or no monitoring. These new networks could also include monitoring of ultrafine particles and black carbon, recommended as best practices under the WHO guidelines [1] due to emerging evidence on the health effects of PM chemical composition and particle number [20, 21]. Monitoring aerosol size distribution (made possible by aerosol size spectrometers which can also be certified for regulatory PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ monitoring), PM chemical speciation, and carcinogenic air toxics will also help improve our understanding of global atmospheric chemistry while aiding air quality management. This improved understanding, led by local researchers in collaboration with policymakers and organizations such as IGAC, will provide the evidence required to set and achieve locally-relevant air quality standards for their country [22].

IGAC was formed in 1990 in recognition of the need for scientific leadership and improved collaboration in atmospheric chemistry across disciplinary and geographical boundaries towards a sustainable world [2] (see <https://igacproject.org/>). IGAC has regional working groups that bring together experts focusing on the air quality and atmospheric chemistry challenges specific to certain areas. Examples include the African Group on Atmospheric Sciences, the Monsoon Asian and Oceania Networking Group [23], the Americas Working Group (focused on Latin America) [24], and the Southern Hemisphere Working Group [25]. IGAC also sponsors activities such as the recent Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report [26] and facilitates regional and international collaboration through meetings held all over the world, including a biennial IGAC science conference. As current members of the IGAC Scientific Steering Committee, we urge scientists from all countries to join our existing working groups and activities, or to propose new efforts if necessary, to address the scientific challenges your country faces in improving air quality as far as is possible towards the new WHO guidelines.

Data availability statement

No new data were created or analysed in this study.

Acknowledgments

The International Global Atmospheric Chemistry (IGAC) Project would like to thank the continued support of the US National Science Foundation (NSF), National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) for funding the IGAC International Project Office since 1990.

We also thank our co-sponsors, iCACGP and Future Earth.

ORCID iDs

R Subramanian  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5553-5913>

Louisa Emmons  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2325-6212>

Abdus Salam  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5609-6828>

N'Datchoh Evelyne Touré  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3139-6581>

Liya E Yu  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9182-6593>

References

- [1] World Health Organization 2021 *WHO Global Air Quality Guidelines: Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀), Ozone, Nitrogen Dioxide, Sulfur Dioxide and Carbon Monoxide* (World Health Organization)
- [2] Melamed M L, Monks P S, Goldstein A H, Lawrence M G and Jennings J 2015 The International Global Atmospheric Chemistry (IGAC) project: facilitating atmospheric chemistry research for 25 years *Anthropocene* **12** 17–28
- [3] Yu W *et al* 2023 Global estimates of daily ambient fine particulate matter concentrations and unequal spatiotemporal distribution of population exposure: a machine learning modelling study *Lancet Planet. Health* **7** e209–18
- [4] Pai S J, Carter T S, Heald C L and Kroll J H 2022 Updated World Health Organization air quality guidelines highlight the importance of non-anthropogenic PM_{2.5} *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **9** 501–6
- [5] Colombi N K, Jacob D J, Yang L H, Zhai S, Shah V, Grange S K, Yantosca R M, Kim S and Liao H 2023 Why is ozone in South Korea and the Seoul metropolitan area so high and increasing? *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **23** 4031–44
- [6] Cooper O R, Langford A O, Parrish D D and Fahey D W 2015 Challenges of a lowered US ozone standard *Science* **348** 1096–7
- [7] Jaffe D A, Cooper O R, Fiore A M, Henderson B H, Tonnesen G S, Russell A G, Henze D K, Langford A O, Lin M and Moore T 2018 Scientific assessment of background ozone over the US: implications for air quality management *Elem. Sci. Anth.* **6** 56
- [8] Nagashima T, Ohara T, Sudo K and Akimoto H 2010 The relative importance of various source regions on East Asian surface ozone *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **10** 11305–22
- [9] Evangelopoulos D, Perez-Velasco R, Walton H, Gumy S, Williams M, Kelly F J and Künzli N 2020 The role of burden of disease assessment in tracking progress towards achieving WHO global air quality guidelines *Int. J. Public Health* **65** 1455–65
- [10] US EPA *Urban Air Toxics* (available at: www.epa.gov/urban-air-toxics)
- [11] Keller C A *et al* 2021 Global impact of COVID-19 restrictions on the surface concentrations of nitrogen dioxide and ozone *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **21** 3555–92
- [12] Liu Y, Wang T, Stavrakou T, Elguindi N, Doumbia T, Granier C, Bouarar I, Gaubert B and Brasseur G P 2021 Diverse response of surface ozone to COVID-19 lockdown in China *Sci. Total Environ.* **789** 147739
- [13] Sicard P, De Marco A, Agathokleous E, Feng Z, Xu X, Paoletti E, Rodriguez J J D and Calatayud V 2020 Amplified ozone pollution in cities during the COVID-19 lockdown *Sci. Total Environ.* **735** 139542
- [14] Siciliano B, Dantas G, da Silva C M and Arbilla G 2020 Increased ozone levels during the COVID-19 lockdown: analysis for the city of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil *Sci. Total Environ.* **737** 139765
- [15] O'Brien E *et al* 2023 Short-term association between sulfur dioxide and mortality: a multicountry analysis in 399 cities *Environ. Health Perspect.* **131** 037002
- [16] UN Environment Programme 2021 *Regulating Air Quality: The First Global Assessment of Air Pollution Legislation* (UNEP) (available at: www.unep.org/resources/report/regulating-air-quality-first-global-assessment-air-pollution-legislation) (Accessed 31 August 2021)
- [17] Clean Air Fund 2022 *Strengthening Air Quality Management Guidance* (available at: www.cleanairfund.org/resource/strengthening-air-quality-management-guidance/) (Accessed 30 March 2023)
- [18] Malings C, Westervelt D M, Haurlyuk A, Presto A A, Grieshop A, Bittner A and Beekmann M 2020 Application of low-cost fine particulate mass monitors to convert satellite aerosol optical depth to surface concentrations in North America and Africa *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **13** 3873–92
- [19] Rentschler J and Nadia A L 2022 *Air Pollution and Poverty: PM_{2.5} Exposure in 211 Countries and Territories (Policy Research Working Paper)* (World Bank) p 10005 (available at: <http://hdl.handle.net/10986/37322>)
- [20] Cassee F R, Héroux M E, Gerlofs-Nijland M E and Kelly F J 2013 Particulate matter beyond mass: recent health evidence on the role of fractions, chemical constituents and sources of emission *Inhal. Toxicol.* **25** 802–12
- [21] Strak M *et al* 2012 Respiratory health effects of airborne particulate matter: the role of particle size, composition, and oxidative potential-the RAPTES project *Environ. Health Perspect.* **120** 1183–9
- [22] Garland R M, Werneck B, Feig G and Langerman K 2021 The new WHO global air quality guidelines: what do they mean for South Africa? *Clean Air J.* **31** 1–2
- [23] Tanimoto H *et al* 2020 Atmospheric chemistry research in Monsoon Asia and Oceania: current status and future prospects *APN Sci. Bull.* **10** 126–31
- [24] Andrade-Flores M *et al* 2016 Fostering a collaborative atmospheric chemistry research community in the Latin America and Caribbean Region *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* **97** 1929–39
- [25] Paton-Walsh C *et al* 2022 Key challenges for tropospheric chemistry in the Southern Hemisphere *Elem. Sci. Anth.* **10** 00050
- [26] Gaudel A *et al* 2018 Tropospheric ozone assessment report: present-day distribution and trends of tropospheric ozone relevant to climate and global atmospheric chemistry model evaluation *Elem. Sci. Anth.* **6** 39