



NOAA
FISHERIES

National Marine
Fisheries Service

Pacific Islands
Fisheries
Science Center

Updating Length-weight Relationships for 22 Reef and Bottomfish Species from Guam

Toby Matthews, Eva Schemmel, and Eric Cruz



Updating Length-weight Relationships for 22 Reef and Bottomfish Species from Guam

Toby Matthews¹, Eva Schemmel¹, and Eric Cruz¹

¹ Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center
National Marine Fisheries Service
1845 Wasp Boulevard
Honolulu, HI 96818

NOAA Administrative Report H-24-03

October, 2024



U.S. Department of Commerce
Gina Raimondo, Secretary

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
Richard W. Spinrad, Ph.D., NOAA Administrator

National Marine Fisheries Service
Janet Coit, Assistant Administrator for Fisheries

About this report

Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center (PIFSC) Administrative Reports are issued to promptly distribute scientific and technical information to marine resource managers, scientists, and the general public. Their contents cover a range of topics including biological and economic research, stock assessment, trends in fisheries, and other subjects. Administrative reports typically have not been reviewed outside PIFSC; therefore, they are considered informal publications. The material presented in administrative reports may later be published in the formal scientific literature after more rigorous verification, editing, and peer review.

Other publications are allowed to cite PIFSC Administrative Reports as they wish, provided the informal nature of the contents is clearly indicated and proper credit is given to the author(s).

Recommended citation

Matthews T., Schemmel E., Cruz, E. (2024). Updating length-weight relationships for 22 reef and bottomfish species from Guam. Department of Commerce. NOAA Administrative Report. H-24-03. doi: 10.25923/7pgs-1c15

Copies of this report are available from

Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center
National Marine Fisheries Service
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
1845 Wasp Boulevard, Building #176
Honolulu, Hawai'i 96818

Or online at

<https://repository.library.noaa.gov/>

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	iv
List of Tables	v
Executive Summary.....	vi
Introduction	1
Materials and Methods.....	2
Data source	2
Data manipulation	2
Results.....	4
Discussion.....	7
Acknowledgments.....	8
Literature Cited	9

List of Tables

Table 1. Length-weight relationships for 22 bottomfish management unit species and coral reef ecosystem component species from Guam. Sample size (n), minimum and maximum fork lengths (L_{\min} , L_{\max}), minimum and maximum weights (W_{\min} , W_{\max}), allometric growth parameters (a , b), 95% confidence intervals for the growth parameters ($[a_{0.025}$, $a_{0.975}]$ and $[b_{0.025}$, $b_{0.975}]$), and the coefficient of determination (r^2) are given. 5

Executive Summary

Length-weight relationships are a valuable resource when working with survey data, and a necessary input for many stock assessment models. Data from the Guam Commercial Fisheries Biosampling Program were used to generate length-weight relationships for 13 bottomfish management unit species and 9 coral reef ecosystem component species. These represent considerable improvements over previously available values, with much greater sample sizes and expanded size ranges.

Introduction

Length and weight are two fundamental metrics used in studying fishes and almost any other living organism. The allometric growth equation ($Weight = a * Length^b$) is typically used to define the relationship between increases in fish length and weight. Once developed, this formula can be used to estimate weight from length, or vice versa.

In Guam, creel surveys conducted by the Department of Agriculture, Division of Aquatic and Wildlife Resources are a major source of fishery information (Ma et al. 2022). To limit the time requested of participating fishers, surveyors will often only measure the length of fish from the catch. Length-weight coefficients are then used to estimate the weight of the measured fish, allowing the total catch weight to be calculated. Length-weight coefficients are also used in other surveys (e.g. diver surveys (Ayotte et al. 2015)) and in stock assessments (Bohaboy and Matthews 2024). The availability of Guam-specific length-weight coefficients can improve the reliability of estimates across these applications.

The 2023 Mariana Archipelago Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation report identifies species of particular interest to managers (WPRFMC 2024). These include 13 bottomfish management unit species and 9 priority coral reef ecosystem component species, which are the subject of this report. Guam-specific length-weight coefficients are available for all but one of these species (Kamikawa et al. 2015). However, over a decade worth of new length and weight data are available, presenting a timely opportunity to update the existing length-weight coefficients.

Materials and Methods

Data source

Data collected in Guam through the Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center Commercial Fisheries Biosampling Program (Sundberg et al. 2015) were retrieved from a database maintained by the Western Pacific Fishery Information Network. The data were collected from August 2009 through July 2024. Over this period, 3644 fishing trips were sampled. In most cases, the entire catch from these trips was identified to species and fork-length measurements in centimeters were obtained for every fish, while weight in grams was recorded for most specimens. Generally, weights of individual fish were not collected once a sufficient number of paired length-weight data were collected. Only paired length-weight measurements for fish identified to species were included in the present analysis.

Length-weight data for three species were filtered to account for potential species misidentifications. First, *Etelis carbunculus* is visually similar to a recently identified cryptic species, *E. boweni*, except that the newly identified species reaches much greater size (Andrews et al. 2021). A maximum length filter of 55 cm was applied to the *E. carbunculus* data to remove probable *E. boweni*. While this would retain smaller *E. boweni*, Dahl et al. (2024) demonstrated that *E. boweni* represent only about 8% of previously identified *E. carbunculus* samples from the Guam biosampling program and are disproportionately present in the larger size classes. Thus, very few *E. boweni* likely remain after the filtering.

Maximum length filters were also applied for *Pristipomoides flavipinnis* and *P. sieboldii* due to misidentification with *P. filamentosus*, which reaches greater size. Fishers have reported difficulty distinguishing *P. filamentosus* from large *P. flavipinnis* and all *P. sieboldii* (Iwane et al. 2023). A maximum length filter of 50 cm was applied to *P. flavipinnis* and 44 cm for *P. sieboldii*, representing values 20% greater than local estimates of their asymptotic length.

Data manipulation

Paired length-weight measurements were analyzed by species using the statistical language R (R Core Team, 2022). Code used in a similar analysis of Guam biosampling data (Kamikawa et al. 2015) to automatically remove apparent outliers and produce parameters of the length-weight regressions was replicated for the present Guam biosampling data. The general methodology is described below.

For each species, paired length-weight measurements were fit to the model:

$$W = a * L^b$$

where W is the weight (g), L is the fork length (cm), and a and b are model parameters. To estimate the parameters via linear regression, a natural log transformation was applied, yielding:

$$\ln(W) = \ln(a) + b * \ln(L)$$

Linear regression of the logged weight onto the logged length measurements produced estimates of $\ln(a)$ and b .

After running the initial regression for each species, outliers were identified in ln-ln space as those points farther than four residual standard error measurements away from the regression line. Outliers were removed and the model was re-fit to the remaining paired length-weight measurements.

Although the code produced length-weight regression parameters for all species, only species deemed to have sufficient data for a reliable regression are reported. This was determined based on two criteria, as previously adopted when deriving length-weight relationships from CNMI biosampling data (Matthews et al. 2019):

- There must be a minimum of 100 paired length-weight measurements.
- The coefficient of determination (r^2) of the linear regression must be greater than or equal to 0.9.

Results

All 22 species had sufficient paired data to produce reliable length-weight regressions (Table 1). This represents all of the bottomfish management unit species and coral reef ecosystem component species.

Table 1. Length-weight relationships for 22 bottomfish management unit species and coral reef ecosystem component species from Guam. Sample size (n), minimum and maximum fork lengths (L_{\min} , L_{\max}), minimum and maximum weights (W_{\min} , W_{\max}), allometric growth parameters (a, b), 95% confidence intervals for the growth parameters ($[a_{0.025}, a_{0.975}]$ and $[b_{0.025}, b_{0.975}]$), and the coefficient of determination (r^2) are given.

Scientific Name	n	L_{\min} (cm)	L_{\max} (cm)	W_{\min} (g)	W_{\max} (g)	a	b	$a_{0.025}$	$a_{0.975}$	$b_{0.025}$	$b_{0.975}$	r^2
Bottomfish species												
<i>Aphareus rutilans</i>	526	15.8	97.6	64	12200	0.0248	2.85	0.0233	0.0264	2.83	2.87	1.00
<i>Caranx ignobilis</i>	503	14.5	120.4	71	32205	0.0246	2.96	0.0236	0.0257	2.95	2.97	1.00
<i>Caranx lugubris</i>	467	10.6	80.8	190	10659	0.0313	2.88	0.0282	0.0349	2.85	2.91	0.99
<i>Etelis carbunculus</i>	1790	12.0	52.1	28	2370	0.0160	3.03	0.0154	0.0166	3.02	3.04	0.99
<i>Etelis coruscans</i>	910	15.4	95.0	394	13471	0.0379	2.78	0.0341	0.0421	2.75	2.80	0.98
<i>Lethrinus rubrioperculatus</i>	3375	15.4	57.4	67	2569	0.0266	2.89	0.0254	0.0279	2.88	2.91	0.98
<i>Lutjanus kasmira</i>	2121	6.5	30.3	12	502	0.0143	3.08	0.0131	0.0155	3.06	3.11	0.96
<i>Pristipomoides auricilla</i>	10224	10.7	39.0	20	1208	0.0119	3.15	0.0116	0.0122	3.14	3.15	0.98
<i>Pristipomoides filamentosus</i>	367	16.6	67.4	73	4937	0.0262	2.89	0.0240	0.0285	2.86	2.91	0.99
<i>Pristipomoides flavipinnis</i>	1031	13.2	48.2	40	1941	0.0158	3.04	0.0149	0.0169	3.02	3.05	0.99
<i>Pristipomoides sieboldii</i>	527	17.2	41.5	92	1258	0.0205	2.96	0.0176	0.0238	2.91	3.00	0.97
<i>Pristipomoides zonatus</i>	1872	11.5	44.5	28	1404	0.0155	3.09	0.0149	0.0162	3.07	3.10	0.99
<i>Variola louti</i>	1103	20.3	49.7	122	2084	0.0141	3.07	0.0125	0.0160	3.03	3.10	0.96
Coral reef species												
<i>Caranx melampygus</i>	1408	12.5	75.6	38	8580	0.0237	2.93	0.0228	0.0247	2.92	2.95	0.99
<i>Chlorurus frontalis</i>	516	18.2	48.5	121	2714	0.0169	3.09	0.0153	0.0186	3.06	3.12	0.99
<i>Epinephelus fasciatus</i>	2422	14.0	35.9	38	508	0.0134	3.05	0.0120	0.0149	3.01	3.08	0.93
<i>Lethrinus harak</i>	600	13.3	35.5	53	912	0.0258	2.92	0.0224	0.0297	2.88	2.97	0.96
<i>Lethrinus olivaceus</i>	681	20.2	72.2	135	6638	0.0189	2.94	0.0177	0.0201	2.92	2.96	0.99
<i>Lutjanus fulvus</i>	410	16.6	34.4	89	700	0.0164	3.06	0.0128	0.0210	2.98	3.14	0.93
<i>Naso unicornis</i>	8447	17.9	57.2	138	3993	0.0280	2.91	0.0274	0.0287	2.90	2.92	0.99

Scientific Name	n	L_{min} (cm)	L_{max} (cm)	W_{min} (g)	W_{max} (g)	a	b	a_{0.025}	a_{0.975}	b_{0.025}	b_{0.975}	r²
<i>Scarus rubroviolaceus</i>	2236	18.2	47.8	129	2848	0.0116	3.18	0.0110	0.0122	3.16	3.19	0.99
<i>Siganus spinus</i>	1563	9.1	27.0	14	447	0.0297	2.85	0.0272	0.0325	2.82	2.88	0.95

Discussion

These 22 length-weight relationships represent notable improvements for 21 of the species and an entirely new set of estimates for *Caranx ignobilis*. Considerably greater sample sizes were available than during the previous assessment by Kamikawa et al. (2015), particularly for the bottomfish management unit species. Increased availability of small and large specimens expanded the size range over which the regression coefficients should be applied. However, caution should be exercised for *Siganus spinus*, which exhibits differential growth across its size range. Its regression coefficients should only be applied to lengths between 13 and 24 cm.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge and thank the participation of Guam's local fishing community and the Guam Fishermen's Cooperative Association in the Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center Commercial Fisheries Biosampling Program. Contracting partners and on the ground biosampling staff from Arc Environmental, Lynker, Ocean Associates, and Freestone Environmental Services. Collaborations with the Western Pacific Regional Fisheries Management Council, Guam Department of Agriculture Division of Aquatic and Wildlife Resources, and other local partners.

Literature Cited

- Andrews, K. R., Fernandez-Silva, I., Randall, J. E., & Ho, H. (2021). *Etelis boweni* sp. nov., a new cryptic deepwater eteline snapper from the Indo-Pacific (Perciformes: Lutjanidae). *Journal of Fish Biology*, 99(2), 335–344. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.14720>
- Ayotte, P., McCoy, K., Heenan, A., Williams, I., & Zamzow, J. (2015). Coral reef ecosystem program standard operating procedures: data collection for rapid ecological assessment fish surveys. Department of Commerce, NOAA Administrative Report. H-15-07. <https://doi.org/10.7289/v5sn06zt>
- Bohaboy, E. C. & Matthews, T. (2024). Stock assessment update of the bottomfish management species of Guam, 2024. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum. NMFS-PIFSC-162. <https://doi.org/10.25923/rmxw-gh78>
- Dahl, K., O'Malley, J., Barnett, B., Kline, B., & Widdrington, J. (2024). Otolith morphometry and Fourier transform near-infrared (FT-NIR) spectroscopy as tools to discriminate archived otoliths of newly detected cryptic species, *Etelis carbunculus* and *Etelis boweni*. *Fisheries Research*, 272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2023.106927>
- Iwane, M., Cruz, E., & Sabater, M. (2023). 2023 Guam bottomfish management unit species data workshops. Department of Commerce, NOAA Administrative Report. H-23-07. <https://doi.org/10.25923/6ghm-dn93>
- Kamikawa, K. T., Cruz, E., Essington, T. E., Hospital, J., Brodziak, J. K. T., & Branch, T. A. (2015). Length-weight relationships for 85 fish species from Guam. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 31(6), 1171-1174. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.12877>
- Ma, H., Matthews, T., Nadon, M., & Carvalho, F. Shore-based and boat-based fishing surveys in Guam, the CNMI, and American Samoa: survey design, expansion algorithm, and a case study. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum. NMFS-PIFSC-126. <https://doi.org/10.25923/c9hn-5m88>
- Matthews, T., Gourley, J., Flores, A., Ramon, M., & Trianni, M. (2019). Length-weight relationships for 83 reef and bottomfish species from the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands. Department of Commerce, NOAA Administrative Report. H-19-04. <https://doi.org/10.25923/vh7r-0906>
- R Core Team. (2022). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Sundberg, M., Humphreys, R., Lowe, M. K., Cruz, E., Gourley, J., & Ochavillo, D. (2015). Status of life history sampling conducted through the commercial fisheries bio-sampling programs in the Western Pacific Territories of American Samoa and Guam and in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands. Department of Commerce, NOAA Administrative Report. H-15-08. <http://doi.org/10.7289/V5XD0ZP5>

WPRFMC. (2024). Annual stock assessment and fishery evaluation report for the Mariana Archipelago fishery ecosystem plan 2023. T. Remington, J. DeMello, A. Ishizaki (Eds.). Honolulu: Western Pacific Regional Fishery Management Council.