Forest Ecology and Management

Mechanisms of forest resilience.

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	FORECO-D-21-02023R1
Article Type:	Tamm Review
Section/Category:	TAMM Review
Keywords:	persistence; recovery; reorganization; disturbance; alternative states; ecosystem change
Corresponding Author:	Donald A Falk The University of Arizona Tucson, AZ UNITED STATES
First Author:	Donald A Falk
Order of Authors:	Donald A Falk
	Philip J van Mantgem, PhD
	Jon E Keeley, Phd
	Rachel M Gregg, PhD
	Christopher H Guiterman
	Alan J Tepley, PhD
	Derek JN Young, PhD
	Laura A Marshall, PhD
Abstract:	Ecosystems are dynamic systems with complex responses to environmental variation. In response to pervasive stressors of changing climate and disturbance regimes, many ecosystems are realigning rapidly across spatial scales, in many cases moving outside of their observed historical range of variation into alternative ecological states. In some cases, these new states are transitory and represent successional stages that may ultimately revert to the pre-disturbance condition; in other cases, alternative states are persistent and potentially self-reinforcing, especially under conditions of altered climate, disturbance regimes, and influences of non-native species. These reorganized states may appear novel, but reorganization is a characteristic ecosystem response to environmental variation that has been expressed and documented throughout the paleoecological record. Resilience, the ability of an ecosystem to recover or adapt following disturbance, is an emergent property that results from the expression of multiple mechanisms operating across levels of organism, population, and community. We outline a unifying framework of ecological resilience based on ecological mechanisms that lead to outcomes of persistence, recovery, and reorganization. Persistence is the ability of individuals to tolerate exposure to environmental stress, disturbance, or competitive interactions. As a direct expression of life history evolution and adaptation to environmental variation and stress, persistence is manifested most directly in survivorship and continued growth and reproduction of established individuals. When persistence has been overcome (e.g., following dispersal from the parent plant. When recovery fails to re-establish the pre-disturbance community, to ecosystem will assemble into a new state. Reorganization occurs along a gradient of magnitude, from changes in the relative dominance of species present in a community, to individual species replacements within an essentially intact community, to complet species turnover and s

Falk et al., Mechanisms of Forest Resilience

Highlights

- Resilience is an emergent outcome of persistence, recovery, and reorganization processes, reflecting mechanisms specific to each type of response
- Persistence and recovery are most closely associated with return to pre-disturbance conditions
- Reorganization is a natural process of ecosystem adaptation to changing conditions
- Projected environmental changes make ecosystem reorganization increasingly likely
- Managers can use understanding of resilience to guide ecosystem transitions

1 Abstract

Ecosystems are dynamic systems with complex responses to environmental variation. In 2 response to pervasive stressors of changing climate and disturbance regimes, many ecosystems 3 are realigning rapidly across spatial scales, in many cases moving outside of their observed 4 historical range of variation into alternative ecological states. In some cases, these new states are 5 6 transitory and represent successional stages that may ultimately revert to the pre-disturbance condition; in other cases, alternative states are persistent and potentially self-reinforcing, 7 especially under conditions of altered climate, disturbance regimes, and influences of non-native 8 9 species. These reorganized states may appear novel, but reorganization is a characteristic 10 ecosystem response to environmental variation that has been expressed and documented throughout the paleoecological record. Resilience, the ability of an ecosystem to recover or adapt 11 following disturbance, is an emergent property that results from the expression of multiple 12 13 mechanisms operating across levels of organism, population, and community. We outline a unifying framework of ecological resilience based on ecological mechanisms that lead to 14 outcomes of persistence, recovery, and reorganization. Persistence is the ability of individuals to 15 tolerate exposure to environmental stress, disturbance, or competitive interactions. As a direct 16 expression of life history evolution and adaptation to environmental variation and stress, 17 18 persistence is manifested most directly in survivorship and continued growth and reproduction of established individuals. When persistence has been overcome (e.g., following mortality from 19 stress, disturbance, or both), populations must recover by reproduction. Recovery requires the 20 establishment of new individuals from seed or other propagules following dispersal from the 21 22 parent plant. When recovery fails to re-establish the pre-disturbance community, the ecosystem will assemble into a new state. Reorganization occurs along a gradient of magnitude, from 23 changes in the relative dominance of species present in a community, to individual species 24 replacements within an essentially intact community, to complete species turnover and shift to 25 26 dominance by plants of different functional types, e.g. transition from forest to shrub or grass 27 dominance. When this latter outcome is persistent and involves reinforcing mechanisms, the resulting state represents a vegetation type conversion (VTC), which in this framework 28 29 represents an end member of reorganization processes. We explore reorganization in greater 30 detail as this phase is increasingly observed but the least understood of the resilience responses. This resilience framework provides a direct and actionable basis for ecosystem management in a 31 rapidly changing world, by targeting specific components of ecological response and managing 32

33 for sustainable change.

1 Mechanisms of forest resilience

2 **1. Introduction**

3 Ecological communities, and the species that comprise them, are always in a state of flux in response to environmental variation. Nonetheless, the pace and magnitude of observed 4 ecosystem change in recent decades appears to exceed recorded rates of change over recent 5 6 centuries and even millennia (Barnosky et al. 2011, Scheffers et al. 2016, IPCC 2018, Nolan et 7 al. 2018, Turner et al. 2020). Observed and projected changes for forest ecosystems include accelerated mortality among long-lived organisms such as trees (Bennett et al. 2015, McDowell 8 et al. 2016), tree recruitment and forest regeneration failure in established populations (Stevens-9 10 Rumann et al. 2018, Davis et al. 2019), and rapid changes in species distributions (Chen et al. 11 2011, Burrows et al. 2014, Talluto et al. 2017). Because of the broad spatial scale of climatic impacts, and the increasing cumulative extent of ecosystem disturbances and non-native species 12 invasions (including pests and pathogens), projected changes in ecological communities 13 represent a massive upheaval and reorganization of ecological communities over broad regions 14 of the globe (McDowell et al. 2020). 15

One consequence of increasing acute and chronic stress from these profound 16 environmental changes is that forest ecosystems are being pushed outside of their recent 17 observed ranges of variation into alternative ecological states, such as conversion of forest or 18 19 shrub-steppe to self-reinforcing flammable grasslands (Balch et al. 2013, Syphard et al. 2019, Batllori et al. 2020, O'Connor et al. 2020, Landesmann et al. 2021). In some instances, these new 20 21 states are transitory and represent successional stages that may ultimately lead back toward the 22 pre-disturbance condition; elsewhere, alternative states are persistent and potentially self-23 reinforcing, especially under prevailing conditions of altered climate, disturbance regimes, and presence of non-native species. 24

Community-level change in forest vegetation is driven largely by individual species
responses and interspecific interactions across spatial scales (Gleason 1926). In some cases,
communities change as certain species are excluded by disturbance, competitive interactions,
loss of key symbionts or mutualists, or persistent changes in key soil or hydrological resources.
Community-level change can also occur when species reach a limit of tolerance in key niche
parameters driven by shifting climatic zones (Notaro et al. 2012, Shirk et al. 2018), ultimately

altering demographic balances of local extirpation and colonization (Comte et al. 2014, Talluto 31 et al. 2017). This filtering process of change and adaptation, abundantly evident in the 32 paleoecological record, includes adaptation to variable and novel environments and novel 33 disturbances (Jackson et al. 2009, Crausbay et al. 2017). In the current era of rapid climatic 34 change, the pace of change over the landscape (climate velocity) may exceed the capacity of 35 species to adapt through either geographic movement or evolutionary change (Davis et al. 2005, 36 Colwell and Rangel 2009, Loarie et al. 2009, Brito-Morales et al. 2018). The result is an 37 increasing occurrence of potentially irreversible change and reorganizing of ecological 38 communities. 39

40 *1.1 A framework for ecological resilience*

Ecological resilience can be decomposed into three distinct components operating at different 41 levels of biological organization: persistence, recovery, and reorganization, each with a distinct 42 set of underlying mechanisms (Millar et al. 2007, Falk 2017, Falk et al. 2019). Persistence is the 43 ability of individuals to tolerate exposure to environmental stress, disturbance, or competitive 44 interactions. Persistence is the most direct expression of life history evolution and adaptation to 45 environmental variation and stress, and is manifested in survivorship and continued growth and 46 reproduction of established individuals. Persistence also provides the highest degree of 47 continuity with the pre-disturbance community, maintaining a wide range of ecological legacies 48 (Higgs et al. 2014, Johnstone et al. 2016). 49

50 When persistence has been overcome (e.g., following widespread mortality events), populations 51 must *recover* by reproduction. Recovery requires the establishment of new individuals from seed 52 or other propagules following dispersal from the parent plant. Population recovery is particularly 53 sensitive to the environmental conditions required for germination, establishment, and growth of 54 young individuals, as well as inter- and intra-specific interactions. Both persistence and recovery 55 tend to result in a community with a high degree of similarity to the pre-disturbance state.

56 When recovery fails to re-establish the pre-disturbance community, the ecosystem will

57 *reorganize* into a new state (Beisner et al. 2003). Community reorganization occurs along a

58 gradient of magnitude, from changes in the relative dominance of species already present in a

59 community, to individual species replacements within an essentially intact community, to

60 complete species turnover and a shift to dominance by plants of different functional types, e.g.

transition from forest to shrub or grass dominance (Fletcher et al. 2014, Guiterman et al. 2018,

- 62 Miller et al. 2019). These reorganized states can be persistent or transient depending on ongoing
- 63 disturbance, climatic suitability, and competitive relationships. When reorganization is persistent
- 64 and involves reinforcing mechanisms, the resulting state is termed a *vegetation type conversion*
- 65 (VTC), which in this framework represents an end member of reorganization processes
- 66 (Kitzberger et al. 2016, Syphard et al. 2019, O'Connor et al. 2020).
- 67 Resilience, the ability of a vegetation community to recover or adapt following disturbance, is
- thus an emergent outcome that results from the expression of multiple mechanisms operating at
- 69 levels of organism, population, and community interaction (Figure 1). Each primary element of
- resilience (persistence, recovery, reorganization) reflects a set of mechanistic processes that must
- ⁷¹ be understood in order to interpret and predict ecosystem responses.
- In this paper, we summarize existing observations of forest vegetation persistence, recovery, and reorganization across levels of biological organization, and explore the primary mechanisms that regulate these processes (Table 1). Our objective is to provide a detailed, mechanistic framework for the science of ecological resilience in forests and its application to ecosystem management (Millar et al. 2007, Falk 2017, Coop et al. 2020, Stevens et al. 2021, Guiterman et al. *in rev.*). We provide examples of these processes in the literature, focusing on forests of western North America but with additional cases from other regions.
- 79

80 2. Mechanisms of Persistence

Persistence is the ability of individuals to survive disturbance, be it stressful droughts or
biophysical destruction such as fire. This section deals with mechanisms of how individuals in a
population survive these disturbances. We illustrate the mechanistic basis of persistence using
two widespread stressors: wildfire and drought.

85 2.1 Individual tree persistence: Fire

Fire is one of the more ubiquitous forms of disturbance in forest ecosystems, and a significant
influence on species evolution (Gagnon et al. 2010, Pausas et al. 2017). However, even plant
communities dominated by fire-adapted species encounter perturbations that are outside the fire

regime to which they are adapted, which can result in mortality and loss of reproductive

90 capacity, coupled with community reorganization (Keeley and Pausas 2019). During wildfire,

91 heat is transferred to all parts of the plant by various energy transfer mechanisms, requiring

92 specific anatomical and physiological adaptations (Michaletz and Johnson 2007).

93 The evolutionary response to fire generally follows one of two pathways: through niche selection 94 for environments that avoid fire, or by developing morphological characteristics that allow the 95 plant to survive fire (Rowe 1983, Clarke et al. 2015). In relatively productive environments, 96 especially those with strong seasonality that provide a dry period sufficient to convert biomass to 97 flammable fuel, fire is a predictable feature of the environment, and traits that confer resistance 98 to fire are selected. These traits include thick insulating bark, self-pruning of dead branches, or

resprouting from protected buds (Keeley and Zedler 1998, Pausas 2015).

100 The temporal scale of predictability of fire varies with climate and biome. For example,

101 Mediterranean climate ecosystems experience conditions that potentially allow fire every

summer and fall; dry temperate forests generally carry fire during dry spring or early summer

103 weather (Arizpe 2016), whereas mesic and boreal forests are fire-prone primarily during multi-

annual to decadal scale climate events (Gedalof et al. 2005). These regimes represent very

different evolutionary environments: trees in the former areas have higher levels of persistence
following fire (at least when fire burns within its historical range of variation in fire behavior),

whereas in the latter areas, individuals are generally killed by fire, and populations rely primarilyon post-mortality reproduction.

109 2.1.1 Surface fire regimes

Western North American mixed conifer forests are moderately productive ecosystems where 110 growth rates are sufficient for the canopy to outgrow surface fuels. This creates a spatial gap 111 between surface and canopy fuels, increasing the likelihood of tree survival. This persistence 112 strategy is globally widespread in seasonal environments where natural lightning ignitions are 113 predictable during the dry season (Williams et al. 1999, Hoffmann et al. 2003). Discontinuity 114 between surface and canopy fuels is a common feature of both coniferous forests and oak 115 116 woodlands in the northern hemisphere, as well as in tropical savanna trees (Hoffmann et al. 2003) and the drivers of persistence are tied to multiple morphological and ecological parameters 117 (Hood et al. 2018, Furniss et al. 2019, van Mantgem et al. 2020b). 118

Frequent fire regimes select for traits that enhance survival. The abscission of lower branches is a 119 critically important adaptation that increases the spatial gap between surface fuels and the tree 120 121 canopy, placing live branches above characteristic flame length and plume of combustion gases, as well as protecting the lower stem cambium (Figure 2.a) (Keeley and Zedler 1998, Schwilk and 122 Ackerly 2001). This trait is well developed in many trees adapted to frequent surface fire 123 regimes, but is largely absent in those species found in high intensity crown fire regimes (Keeley 124 2012). The exposure of aboveground plant parts (stems, branches, foliage) to aboveground 125 flaming combustion may be relatively short (minutes), but residence time of belowground 126 smoldering combustion can extend to hours or days, exposing fine roots to extensive mortality 127

128 (Michaletz and Johnson 2007).

Since the lower stems of trees cannot escape direct exposure to surface fires, a critical potential 129 stress is heat penetration, which can be lethal to the underlying cambium cells at temperatures 130 above 60° C (Bauer et al. 2010). Many fire-adapted pines have developed heat-resistant bark that 131 132 protects the critical growth layers of the cambium (Figure 2.b). The insulative capacity of bark is affected most strongly by bark thickness, although other characteristics such as surface structure 133 and density play a role (Michaletz and Johnson 2007, Wei et al. 2020). Thick bark is more 134 common in fire-prone ecosystems (Rosell et al. 2017) and is associated with higher survival 135 (Ryan and Reinhardt 1988), although this depends largely on the fire regime. The importance of 136 bark thickness increases with age, as survivorship of young trees is favored more by height 137 growth (Kidd and Varner 2019). For example, arborescent oaks subject to frequent surface fires 138 have relatively thick bark (Pellegrini et al. 2017), distinctly unlike the thin bark of scrub oaks in 139 infrequent-fire regimes (Zedler 1995); this is also true of pines (Keeley and Zedler 1998) and a 140 variety of other tree species (Pausas 2015). 141

Bark thickness evolves in response to other environmental drivers, such as protection from heat, cold, and insects; however, in pines it is associated strongly with species in surface fire regimes and is not found in species adapted to arid or alpine conditions (Keeley and Zedler 1998). Across a wide spectrum of tree clades, thick bark is not markedly associated with climate (Rosell 2016, Schubert et al. 2016, Rosell et al. 2017). Complicating our understanding of the role of bark in affecting persistence is the marked changes in bark characteristics with growth form and tree size. Allometric relationships of tree size and bark thickness produce divergent patterns in

different climates, further complicating interpretations (Jackson et al. 1999, Schwilk et al. 2013).
Despite its importance to persisting through fire disturbances, bark characteristics are just one set
of traits that characterize species in surface fire regimes, along with physiological properties such
as rapid wound response (Smith et al. 2016, Varner et al. 2016).

Although there are clear species-specific differences in capacity to persist in the face of recurrent 153 154 surface fires, there is finer scale variation both spatially and temporally. Within a forest there are microsites with anomalous fuel accumulation that can be lethal to even the most fire-resistant 155 species, especially as a result of recent forest management practices (Jeronimo et al. 2020). 156 157 Conversely, topography and spatial variation in fuels can create refugia that allow the persistence 158 even of fire-sensitive species. Persistence of individual trees following fire is also affected by environmental conditions (such as drought), biotic interactions, and topographic patterns 159 160 (Schwilk and Keeley 2006, van Mantgem et al. 2018a, Furniss et al. 2021). Thus, while persistence traits vary with different fire regimes, those regimes are not static and vary both 161 162 spatially and temporally.

This effect is further complicated by changes in climate, which in some regions have led to 163 longer fire seasons and increasingly severe fire weather, resulting in higher fire intensities, larger 164 areas burned, and larger high-severity patch sizes (Kitzberger et al. 2017, Williams et al. 2019). 165 However, the complexity of wildland fire across spatial scales makes it difficult to separate the 166 relative importance of a more than century of fire exclusion from climate change (Collins et al. 167 168 2019). Nor is it clear how climate change will affect tree persistence through changing fire regimes; some research predicts that increasing temperatures will produce more intense fires 169 with greater tree mortality (Halofsky et al. 2020), whereas other models forecast changes in 170 vegetation structure that will decrease fuel mass and availability and thus decrease fire intensity 171 172 (Pausas and Paula 2012, Batllori et al. 2013, Hurteau et al. 2019).

173 2.1.2 Crown fire adaptations

174 Crown fires by definition involve exposure of apical meristems and foliage to radiant and

175 convective heat and potential tissue necrosis (Michaletz and Johnson 2007). Resprouting is a

176 mechanism that allows individual regrowth from buds following major biomass loss (Clarke et

al. 2015). Many woody angiosperm genera (e.g., *Eucalyptus* L'Her., *Quercus* L., *Populus* L.)

178 have the capacity to resprout, but this trait is far less common in gymnosperms (McDonald and

Tappeiner 1996, Bond and Van Wilgen 2012). Resprouting is often viewed as an adaptation to 179 high-severity fire (Clarke et al. 2013b, Pausas et al. 2016), although resprouting can occur 180 181 following other disturbances such as drought or grazing (Zeppel et al. 2015). Because resprouting allows plants to take advantage of surviving tissues (e.g., roots, lignotubers), 182 recovery of the individual may be relatively rapid and less uncertain compared to regeneration 183 from seed. Recovery times may also be influenced by the position of the resprouting buds 184 (below-ground, basal, or aerial); species that maintain epicormic buds on the existing skeleton of 185 the main stem may recover forest structure and function more rapidly compared to basally 186 resprouting species (Pausas and Keeley 2017), assuming the buds are not damaged by the 187 disturbance. Large, high-severity disturbance can thus shift species composition toward 188 dominance of sprouting species if these species are present prior to disturbance (§3.4, Recovery: 189 190 *Competitive effects and community interactions*).

In crown-fire ecosystems there are many examples of persistence through resprouting. Basal 191 192 resprouting is widespread in fire-prone shrublands throughout the world (Keeley et al. 2011a) as well as shrubs and small trees that form forest understories (Figure 3a), whereas epicormic 193 194 resprouting along the stems of burned trees is less widely distributed (Pausas and Keeley 2017). Epicormic resprouting arises from latent buds along tree stems that are typically protected by 195 196 thick bark, although in some *Eucalyptus* they are embedded in the woody tissues beneath relatively thin bark. The primary distinction between these two modes is that basal resprouting 197 allows for persistence of the genet, whereas epicormic resprouting ensures persistence of 198 individual ramets. The latter facilitates retention of the arborescent skeleton, thus allowing for 199 200 rapid recovery under recurrent high intensity fires (Collins 2020). Indeed, certain Eucalyptus species exhibit over 99% epicormic resprouting, such that the forest recovers relatively rapidly to 201 its original composition and structure (Figure 3b). In most temperate forests, we generally think 202 of crown fires as stand-replacing events where recovery is primarily from reproduction, but in 203 certain Australian forest types, as well as North American and European forests dominated by 204 Quercus and other resprouting broadleaved trees, even crown fires are not stand-replacing; 205 rather, the individual trees persist. This is in contrast to Pinus in the Northern Hemisphere, where 206 the resprouting strategy is almost unknown (although there are notable exceptions, e.g., *Pinus* 207 canariensis C. Sm. Ex. D.C. (Pausas and Keeley 2017)). 208

Counterintuitively, many woody plants subject to crown-fires lack resprouting traits for 209 persistence. In those species commonly associated with crown-fire regimes, such as lodgepole 210 211 pine (Pinus contorta Douglas), this is perhaps not unexpected since resprouting is a rare trait in the genus. However, within Mediterranean-climates, most shrublands that are dominated by 212 angiosperm shrubs include entire clades that lack the capacity for persistence through 213 resprouting, and there is evidence that this is a derived trait (Keeley et al. 2011a). This is 214 surprising since basal resprouting is nearly ubiquitous in woody angiosperms (Wells 1969). 215 Hypotheses for this loss of persistence center on the capacity to adapt to new climates through 216 increased number of sexual generations, and on ecological effects, e.g., resprouts may also 217 increase competition with new seedlings (Keeley 2012). Considering the widespread distribution 218 of resprouting capacity, it likely represents a persistence strategy in response to multiple forms of 219 disturbance, including intense browsing or extreme climatic events including freezing and 220 drought (Keeley et al. 2011a). 221

222 2.2 Individual tree persistence: Drought

Drought is a common ecosystem disturbance, and most climate change models suggest a future 223 of increasingly severe droughts worldwide (Trenberth et al. 2014). The ecological impacts of 224 drought (an extended period of unusually low rainfall, leading to shortage in soil moisture) are 225 exacerbated by elevated temperatures, leading to the "warm drought" syndrome that is becoming 226 increasingly pervasive (Lloret and Batllori 2021). Extreme droughts can alter community 227 228 composition through differential survival, which is well documented worldwide for both angiosperms and gymnosperms (McDowell et al. 2008), especially in arid and semi-arid 229 ecosystems. During the 1950s a severe drought in the semi-arid southwest U.S. resulted in a 2 230 km shift in the distribution of ponderosa pine, which was replaced by more drought tolerant 231 232 piñon-juniper woodland (Allen and Breshears 1998). Such rapid climate-driven shifts occur 233 mostly by differences in persistence; during this episode, the ecotone shift was driven largely by mortality of ponderosa pine compared to persistence of piñon and juniper. On a similar 234 235 landscape during a 15-month drought between 2002-2003, the piñon-juniper community was altered dramatically by mortality of more than 90% of the dominant tree, Pinus edulis Engelm., 236 whereas 75% of the associated Juniperus monosperma (Engelm.) Sarg. survived (Breshears et al. 237 2005). 238

239 Persistence during severe droughts is highly dependent on characteristics of the plant hydraulic

system (Choat et al. 2018). Within the same plant community, coexisting woody species

commonly have diverse hydraulic strategies, and as a consequence may express different

242 patterns of persistence during severe droughts (Johnson et al. 2018). The ecological and

243 physiological effects of drought *per se* (precipitation anomalies) also interact strongly with

changes in temperature regimes; warmer droughts are more likely to induce widespread mortality

than droughts that occur under normal temperatures (Adams et al. 2009, McDowell 2011),

although mechanisms remain a matter of debate (Sala et al. 2010, Anderegg et al. 2012).

Woody plants prevent desiccation injury by xylem transport of water from the roots, but xylem
fluid under tension is vulnerable to sudden shifts from water to gas; these air bubbles block water

transport in the xylem, a process known as *cavitation* (Davis et al. 2002, Maherali et al. 2004).

Adaptations to drought revolve around avoiding embolism by anatomical features of the xylem

cell and porosity of cell walls. Resistance to cavitation is a key determinant of persistence in the

face of severe drought (Vilagrosa et al. 2012). Stomatal conductance, rooting depth and cellular
osmotic conditions are also key plant functions that determine drought persistence (Markesteijn

et al. 2011).

255 Understanding mechanisms of tolerance to drought is complicated by the range of plant hydraulic strategies for dealing with this stress. Even in the absence of catastrophic failure in 256 water transport, persistence can be threatened by changes in carbohydrate reserves necessary for 257 258 respiratory function and osmotic regulation (Hammond et al. 2019, Sapes et al. 2021). Many plants regulate water loss by stomatal closure, which reduces water loss but also limits carbon 259 uptake necessary for photosynthesis and Calvin cycle processes that convert CO₂ to glucose via 260 carbon fixation and reduction reactions. Reduced xylem flow also reduces a key tree defense 261 262 against insects, leading to increased vulnerability to these mortality agents (Bentz et al. 2010, 263 Huang et al. 2020).

Plant functional traits play a key role in determining persistence through severe drought, which
can confer different advantages dependent on the pattern of drought (Trugman et al. 2020).
McDowell et al. (2008) proposed a model explaining persistence patterns in the context of

267 different water use strategies in ways that affect carbon balance and pathogen resistance.

Although water use strategies comprise a continuum within and among species, their model

suggests different outcomes for isohydric *vs*. anisohydric strategies in the face of different patterns of drought. Isohydric strategies maintain constant leaf water potentials by regulating stomatal conductance, whereas anisohydric plants maintain high stomatal conductance in the face of diminished leaf water potentials (Sade et al. 2012). Associated with these strategies are differences in water availability due to rooting depth, and cellular tolerance to desiccation through osmotic adjustments.

There is circumstantial evidence that global warming is changing persistence traits resulting in 275 coordinated shifts toward communities with more drought-tolerant traits driven by selective 276 277 mortality (Trugman et al. 2020, Roos and Guiterman 2021). Global warming is altering fire 278 regimes in the North American boreal forest (Kelly et al. 2013), resulting in increased drought impacts that are changing plant assemblages, moving conifer forests to broadleaf deciduous 279 280 forests (Keeley and Pausas 2019). However, drought is a broad term that is quantified by over 100 different indices to describe its properties and impact (Zargar et al. 2011). With respect to 281 282 plant communities, there are species-specific differences dependent on the pattern of drought, and traits favorable for persisting in the face of short-term extreme droughts may differ from 283 284 traits favoring persistence in the face of long-term droughts. The primary mechanisms of drought-induced tree mortality, carbon starvation (resulting from stomatal closure) and hydraulic 285 286 failure, vary widely across taxa (Adams et al. 2017). Loss of xylem hydraulic conductivity is the most prevalent cause of mortality, although simultaneous loss of carbon reserves is widespread, 287 particularly in gymnosperms (McDowell and Sevanto 2010). Selection may increasingly favor 288 traits that confer the capacity to maintain plant hydraulic function, photosynthesis, and non-289 290 structural carbohydrate reserves during periods of low productivity, and mechanisms to maintain resistance biotic stressors such as insects and pathogens (McDowell et al. 2008). 291

292

293 3. Mechanisms of Recovery

If persistence mechanisms are overcome, vegetation *recovery* is the next potential pathway of ecological resilience. Recovery represents the replacement of the pre-disturbance population through recruitment or colonization; thus, recovery processes occur at the level of populations (Figure 1). The post-disturbance period is a critical stage in community development, during which the persistence and coexistence of multiple species is determined in part by life history

- adaptations to the unique properties of such environments (the 'regeneration niche', sensu Grubb
- 300 (1977)). Recovery processes determine the initial density, establishment success, and
- 301 survivorship of recruits. For example, how propagules or recruits arrive at sites following
- disturbance is of critical importance for recovery processes, whether from a persistent seed bank,
- 303 or via seed dispersal (Bond and Van Wilgen 2012, Pausas and Keeley 2014, 2017).
- 304 Understanding the mechanisms that underly recovery strategies is essential to assessing the
- 305 potential for resilience following major disturbances.
- 306 *3.1 Seed banks, serotiny, and advance regeneration*

Populations may not be solely dependent on the survivorship of reproductive individuals, but 307 308 may rely instead on the survivorship of seeds or propagules. Seeds that are developed and stored 309 in the soil or other protected structures (e.g., closed arboreal cones) prior to disturbance represent an *in situ* seed bank, allowing for recovery of populations without the need for dispersal from 310 surviving individuals elsewhere. A large literature has been developed around the dynamics of 311 soil seedbanks (Leck 2012, Saatkamp et al. 2014). Although few conifer species have persistent 312 (longevity > 1 yr) soil seedbanks, soil seed banking is common in many other taxa, especially 313 among angiosperm shrub, grass, and forb species (Knapp et al. 2012, USDA-USFS 2021). The 314 availability of soil-stored seed following disturbance can allow a variety of plant functional types 315 to dominate previously forested post-fire landscapes rapidly, at least initially. Some shrub and 316 herbaceous species exhibit seedbank-mediated adaptation to disturbance, such as smoke- (Keeley 317 318 1997, Abella 2009) and heat-induced germination (Keeley and Keeley 1987) of seeds that otherwise remain dormant in soil. 319

320 As an alternative to soil seed banking, some conifer species possess aerial seedbanks (Figure 4). A common mode of aerial seed banking is serotiny, in which trees store viable seeds in closed 321 cones for one to many years and release them following disturbance, even when that disturbance 322 323 kills the adult trees (Schwilk and Ackerly 2001). Serotiny in response to fire appears across 324 many unrelated taxa (Bond and Van Wilgen 2012). Some conifer species that are not generally serotinous may display facultative serotiny if a fire burns in the late summer or fall, when cones 325 have matured, and kills the tree but does not destroy its seeds (Larson and Franklin 2005, 326 327 Pounden et al. 2014). Relative to species that rely on residual live reproductive individuals to 328 disperse seeds into a disturbed site (§3.2: Dispersal), serotinous species are well adapted to

recruit following large disturbances with extensive high-severity patches (Turner et al. 1997).

330 Large high-severity wildfires can thus shift composition in favor of serotinous species (Donato et

al. 2009, Hansen et al. 2018).

Under some circumstances, large, mature individuals may be killed by disturbance while small, 332 immature individuals survive. The surviving juvenile cohort functions as advance regeneration 333 and may allow for relatively rapid recovery (Greene et al. 1999). Advance regeneration may be 334 particularly important following drought, windstorms, or pest outbreaks where mortality may be 335 concentrated in large individuals (Kayes and Tinker 2012, Redmond et al. 2018). Because 336 advance regeneration becomes established in the forest understory prior to disturbance, it is often 337 338 enriched in shade-tolerant species, which may lead recovering communities to be similarly enriched in such species (Veblen et al. 1991, Kayes and Tinker 2012, Young et al. 2019b). 339

340 *3.2 Dispersal*

Seed dispersal is of critical importance for obligate seeding species that do not create persistent
seed banks. Recovery in ecosystems dominated by these species in disturbed sites generally
depends on wind or animal dispersal of seeds into the site from nearby surviving reproductive
trees (McCaughey et al. 1986)(Figure 5).

345 The influence of seed source proximity on seed input has been confirmed by numerous empirical studies of post-fire seedling recruitment patterns, which, for non-serotinous species, consistently 346 347 find a strong negative relationship between tree seedling density and distance to the nearest surviving reproductive tree(s) or low-severity/unburned patch (Donato et al. 2009, Welch et al. 348 2016, Owen et al. 2017, Stevens-Rumann and Morgan 2019, Stewart et al. 2021). Most conifer 349 seeds are initially wind-dispersed and exhibit relatively limited dispersal distances; the majority 350 351 of species studied disperse seeds < 50-75 m (Burns and Honkala 1990, Greene et al. 2004, 352 Bonnet et al. 2005, Rother and Veblen 2016, Halpern and Antos 2021) (Figure S-1), although longer-distance dispersal does occur, often aided by secondary dispersal by rodents or birds 353 (Vander Wall 1992, 2008). 354

355 Short dispersal distances may be beneficial in the context of historic high-frequency, low-

severity disturbance regimes that produce spatially heterogeneous mortality patterns, such that

357 few high-mortality areas are far from residual reproductive trees (Safford and Stevens 2017). In

contrast, the increasingly common large patches of high-severity area lie beyond the reliable 358 dispersal range of the nearest surviving reproductive trees (Tautenhahn et al. 2016, Stevens et al. 359 360 2017a, Steel et al. 2018, Falk et al. 2019). However, fires that burn large areas of forest at high severity do not necessarily create completely dispersal-limited patches. Many large fires are 361 spatially heterogeneous, leaving a patchy distribution of surviving trees that can serve as seed-362 source islands in post-fire landscapes (Figure 6). Patches of surviving trees (*fire refugia*) may 363 play an important role in determining recovery in many coniferous forests (Turner et al. 1998, 364 Coop et al. 2019). 365

Infrequent long-distance dispersal may be an important mechanism behind tree population 366 367 expansion (Allen 1987, Clark 1998, Clark et al. 1998). Birds may play a keystone role in longdistance seed dispersal of certain conifer species, such as dispersal of *Pinus albicaulis* Engelm. 368 seeds by Clark's nutcrackers (Nucifraga columbiana Wilson) (Williams et al. 2020). While 369 substantial long-distance (e.g., > 200 m) dispersal is relatively rare for western U.S. dry forest 370 371 tree species, it is sometimes recorded. For example, Kemp et al. (2016) estimated the probability of observing at least one non-serotinous conifer seedling in a 600 m² area 300 m from the nearest 372 seed source more than 10 years following fire to be approximately 20%. However, even a 300-m 373 dispersal range is short relative to the scale of contiguous high-severity patches spanning more 374 375 than 2 km in the shortest dimension in many recent large wildfires (Eidenshink et al. 2007)(Figure 6). Shade-tolerant conifer species (e.g., true firs, Abies Mill spp.) tend to have 376 smaller seeds and longer dispersal ranges than shade-intolerant species such as pines 377 (McCaughey et al. 1986, Burns and Honkala 1990), so sites far from residual forest may receive 378 379 seed rain more heavily dominated by shade-tolerant species. Within conifers, seed size tends to be larger for species with animal-dispersed seeds compared to those with seeds dispersed 380 primarily by wind (Leslie et al. 2017), but animal dispersed species may be able to more easily 381 colonize large, high severity patches in post-fire landscapes. In the case of *Pinus albicaulis*, 382 animal dispersal of seeds into post-fire landscapes may be key to its ability to persist under 383 historical fire regimes (Keane et al. 2012). 384

Conceptually, seed input should depend on not only the proximity of seed sources but also their density and spatial pattern (Greene and Johnson 1996, Clark et al. 1999, Peeler and Smithwick 2020). Empirical approaches that incorporate seed source density and/or spatial arrangement into

seed availability metrics also detect the expected relationship between seed source density and 388 seedling recruitment (Shive et al. 2018, Stewart et al. 2021), further supporting the idea that 389 390 recovery from more spatially heterogeneous disturbances may be more likely and/or rapid (Haire and McGarigal 2010, Tepley et al. 2017) The generally high spatial heterogeneity associated 391 with drought-related mortality may also help to explain the high densities of seedlings sometimes 392 found following drought-related mortality events (Young et al. 2019b, Fettig et al. 2019), as 393 could instances where seed production is unaffected during drought (Wright et al. 2021); 394 alternatively, these seedlings may largely represent advance regeneration. Other spatially 395 heterogeneous drought-related mortality events in areas with presumably little advance 396 regeneration have not exhibited substantial post-drought seedling recruitment (Allen and 397 Breshears 1998). 398

When disturbed areas are beyond the scale of long-distance dispersal, large disturbed patches 399 may be re-colonized over time by multiple successive generations of trees, each reaching 400 401 maturity before dispersing seeds further into the patch (Falk et al. 2019). In a severely burned patch approximately 500 m wide, Nagel and Taylor (2005) observed trees that recruited in the 402 403 center of the patch were on average 22 years younger than trees recruited near the edge of the patch; similarly, Schwilk and Keeley (2006) observed a decrease in mean age of Pinus sabiniana 404 405 Douglas ex D. Don recruits with distance from a fire refugium. Similar recruitment patterns have been observed in conifer invasions of grasslands and alpine meadows (Coop and Givnish 2007, 406 Halpern et al. 2010). In these ecosystems conifer invasions may subsequently change 407 microenvironments and fuel composition, discouraging fire and promoting further invasions 408 409 (Engber et al. 2011). These observations are consistent with progressive multi-generational recruitment into burned areas, although they are also consistent with initial tree reestablishment, 410 and exclusion of later recruits, where seed rain is strongest. 411

412 *3.3 Germination, seedling survivorship, and growth*

413 After seeds arrive at a site, they must germinate, and seedlings must establish and grow, for

414 forest recovery to proceed (Harper 1977, Dobrowski et al. 2015). Many conifer species—

especially, but not exclusively, shade-intolerant pines—establish more successfully on bare

416 mineral soil than on litter-covered soil (McDonald 1976, Gray and Spies 1997, Safford and

417 Stevens 2017). Therefore, the potential for seed rain to translate into forest recovery will depend

partially on the type of disturbance: for example, fire may consume litter and duff layers, leaving
mineral soil exposed (although also vulnerable to surface erosion), while drought-related
overstory mortality is often associated with additional litter deposition.

Following successful germination (or resprouting) after disturbance, survivorship and growth of 421 422 juvenile plants are particularly sensitive to environmental conditions. Seedling establishment following disturbance tends to be more limited closer to the arid extremes of forest and/or tree 423 species distributions (Rodman et al. 2020, Stewart et al. 2021), although fine-scale climatic and 424 topographic refugia such as those afforded by woody debris can ameliorate otherwise harsh 425 conditions and promote seedling recruitment (Dobrowski et al. 2015, Owen et al. 2020, Marsh et 426 427 al. 2022). Weather in the years immediately following disturbance can also strongly influence forest recovery (Littlefield et al. 2020). The effects of short-term post-disturbance climatic stress 428 429 are corroborated by numerous studies that find reduced post-fire conifer recruitment under unusually hot and/or dry post-fire conditions (Harvey et al. 2016, Urza and Sibold 2017, 430 431 Stevens-Rumann et al. 2018, Davis et al. 2019, Hansen and Turner 2019, Young et al. 2019b, Stewart et al. 2021) Low-elevation forests in the western U.S. are increasingly experiencing 432 433 years in which weather conditions do not meet minimum thresholds for successful forest regeneration (Figure 7) (Stevens-Rumann et al. 2018, Davis et al. 2019). Tree species recovery in 434 435 the context of weather and climate variation is constrained by the dependence of tree regeneration on seed rain from the residual reproductive trees (Young et al. 2019a). When post-436 fire weather and climate do not match the requirements of the available seed, the system may be 437 vulnerable to reorganization. 438

439 *3.4 Competitive effects and community interactions*

440 Successful recovery is determined partially by the capacity of recruits to compete for resources within the post-disturbance community. In ecosystems with strong post-disturbance shrub 441 442 growth and poor initial conifer regeneration, sites often become dominated by shrubs and remain 443 so for decades (Russell et al. 1998, Lauvaux et al. 2016). Comparable self-reinforcing dynamics have been observed in shrublands that have been invaded by exotic grasses (Keeley and Brennan 444 2012). Nonetheless, continued seed dispersal and seedling recruitment over time may allow for 445 446 delayed recovery. Tree seedling establishment is often observed over at least a 10-year period 447 following fire (Harvey et al. 2016, Stevens-Rumann et al. 2017, Davis et al. 2019) and

sometimes over multiple decades (Russell et al. 1998, Nagel and Taylor 2005, Savage and Mast 448 2005, Haire and McGarigal 2010, Lauvaux et al. 2016), even when little recruitment was 449 450 observed in the first 10 or more years (although other studies have found regeneration pulses limited to the first 3-10 years post-fire (Tepley et al. 2017, Urza and Sibold 2017, Davis et al. 451 2019). Long-term recruitment may be particularly important for recovery in sites far from seed 452 sources, as multiple years of low-density, long-distance seed rain may accumulate to support 453 meaningful tree establishment that lags behind sites closer to seed sources (Turner et al. 1998, 454 Haire and McGarigal 2010). Delayed (e.g., > 10-year post-disturbance) tree recruitment appears 455 more often in shade-tolerant species (Nagel and Taylor 2005, Lauvaux et al. 2016), likely due to 456 the need for establishing trees to tolerate competition from other vegetation that establishes in the 457 intervening years (Tepley et al. 2017, Werner et al. 2019, Tubbesing et al. 2021). 458

459 Despite the potential for delayed forest establishment far from seed sources, regeneration is likely to become increasingly difficult as competing vegetation (often shrubs) becomes 460 461 established over time (Figure 8). This may explain why some studies find the strongest pulse of recruitment relatively soon after disturbance (Harvey et al. 2016, Tepley et al. 2017, Urza and 462 463 Sibold 2017, Davis et al. 2019). For example, following fire in dry coniferous forests of the southwestern U.S., vigorously resprouting Gambel oak (*Quercus gambelii* Nutt.) shrubs may 464 465 outcompete P. ponderosa Douglas ex D. Lawson seedlings for light and water, limiting their capacity for recovery (Guiterman et al. 2018). In areas where trembling aspen (Populus 466 tremuloides Michx.) co-occurs with conifer species, aspen often initially dominates the 467 recovering tree community, likely a consequence of its resprouting ability, but over subsequent 468 469 decades composition generally shifts toward conifer dominance due to their relative juvenile shade tolerance and greater adult height and longevity; this constitutes a transient reorganization 470 (Figure 9) (Smith and Smith 2005). A wide range of other perennial early successional species 471 472 have been observed to dominate landscapes in the years initially following fire, including bracken fern (Pteridium aquilinum (L.) Kuhn); whitethorn, deerbrush, and buckbrush 473 (Ceanothus L. spp.); New Mexico locust (Robinia neomexicana A. Gray); bigleaf maple (Acer 474 macrophyllum Pursh.) and others. High-severity fire may facilitate invasions of native and exotic 475 ruderal species, which may lead to self-reinforcing changes in community composition and fire 476 hazards (Keeley and Brennan 2012, Coop et al. 2016). However, in some cases topoclimate (e.g., 477 478 aspect, elevation) may be more important than cover of competing vegetation for predicting

regeneration success following fire (Rother and Veblen 2016). Transient vegetation communities
may also alter fire regimes, encouraging further vegetation change (e.g., Engber et al. 2011).

481 The preceding sections highlight the capacity of species to recover from disturbance. However,

recent literature suggests that recovery is becoming more difficult under current conditions in

483 many ecosystems regardless of species adaptions to disturbance regimes (Fairman et al. 2019,

Davis et al. 2019, Turner et al. 2019). If a population's capacity to recover from disturbance is

485 overwhelmed, the next phase of resilience is community reorganization.

486

487 **4. Reorganization**

When mechanisms of persistence have been overcome, and recovery processes fail or are 488 compromised, an ecological system will reorganize. Ecological reorganization can take a wide 489 variety of forms, from temporary shuffling of species dominance relationships, to persistent type 490 conversion involving major changes in plant functional types (Figure 1) (Falk et al. 2019, Keeley 491 et al. 2019, Steel et al. 2021). Individual disturbance events, or interactions between two or more 492 disturbances, may act as triggers for abrupt change; however, the ecosystem is unlikely to persist 493 in the alternative state unless subsequent disturbances, climate, or plant-disturbance interactions 494 495 function as an equilibrating force to promote stability of the new state, while limiting the potential to return to the previous condition or transition to another state. 496

Observations and definition: Reorganization (referred to variously as realignment, ecosystem 497 transition, transformation and conversion (van Mantgem et al. 2020a)) is expressed in changes at 498 499 the community level along a spectrum of magnitude. In some cases, reorganization consists primarily of changes in relative abundance of existing species in the community; these changes 500 can be transient, or they can represent a persistent vegetation shift (Barton and Poulos 2018). 501 More extensive reorganization can involve turnover in community composition, structure, and 502 physiognomy, as when new species enter the community, and other formerly present species are 503 eliminated (Figure 10). More fundamental forms of reorganization are expressed by a change in 504 505 dominant plant functional types, such as forest-shrub, forest-grass, or shrub-grass conversions (Guiterman et al. 2018, Batllori et al. 2020, Armenteras et al. 2021). Vegetation type conversion 506 (VTC) is notable as a special case of reorganization in which the change in community type and 507

dominant plant functional types are extensive, and the alternative state is persistent andreinforced by novel interactions among climate, vegetation, and disturbances.

Time scales: There is no single time threshold at which reorganization is delineated; indeed, the 510 multiple expressions of reorganization can progress at different rates (Falk et al. 2019). On 511 512 centennial to millennial and longer time scales, reorganization occurs in response to changing climate; in this context it is understood as an inherently adaptive Earth system process expressed 513 over the history of life (Jackson and Overpeck 2000, Pausas and Keeley 2009, Keeley 2012). On 514 shorter ecological time scales (years to centuries), reorganization is more likely to be driven by 515 disturbance events, episodes of extreme climate (droughts or heat waves), or other triggering 516 517 events (Ruthrof et al. 2018).

518 To understand the mechanisms that drive ecological reorganization, we examine the following

questions: (1) What ecological changes constitute reorganization, and which factors drive these

520 changes across time scales? (2) What are the mechanisms and ecological dynamics of

521 reorganization? (3) What factors (external drivers and internal feedbacks) reinforce these

changes, causing them to persist as alternative metastable states instead of transient successionalchange?

524 4.1 Observations: where is ecosystem reorganization being observed and documented?

Ecosystems are inherently dynamic, so the observation of change over space and time does not 525 526 necessarily indicate that a system is reorganizing permanently or uncharacteristically. The study of seral ecology was foundational to the development of modern ecological theory, including 527 528 species interactions and community assembly rules (Whittaker 1960, Chase 2003). One of the earliest debates in ecology concerned mechanisms and temporal patterns of post-disturbance 529 530 succession: Clements (1936) argued that plant communities are holistic and essentially integrated entities in which succession is an orderly and well-regulated process analogous to an organism 531 healing to return to its canonical state, while Henry Gleason (1926) contended that communities 532 are transient associations among species acting individualistically. These arguments are 533 surprisingly relevant today as we consider the emergent patterns and mechanisms of ecosystem 534 535 reorganization.

Gradual reorganization in response to changes in mean conditions. Evidence of ecosystem 536 reorganization is a salient feature in the paleoecological record (Iglesias and Whitlock 2020), 537 538 reflecting recombination and reorganization of ecological communities as species ranges shift due to changes in climate (Colwell and Rangel 2009, Jackson and Blois 2015, Crausbay et al. 539 540 2017, Fernandez et al. 2021). Processes of ecosystem transformation driven by climatic variation continue into the present, and are likely to accelerate under projected future climate change 541 scenarios (Parmesan 2006, Nolan et al. 2018). Superimposed on background processes of 542 climate-driven ecosystem reorganization, we may expect anthropogenic activity to promote or 543 alter trajectories and rates of reorganization through anthropogenic climate change and other 544 global change pressures including landscape fragmentation, human alteration of disturbance 545 regimes, altered global biogeochemical cycles, and proliferation of non-native species that alter 546 disturbance-feedback dynamics (Dale et al. 2001, Jackson et al. 2009, McWethy et al. 2010, 547 Falk 2017). 548

549 While community change in the paleoecological record may seem less relevant to understanding ecological resilience today, there is no clear line that divides the processes or mechanisms at 550 551 work. Reorganization can (and does) occur over decadal and longer time scales, through demographic processes and turnover in species composition, even without abrupt episodes of 552 553 mortality, reflecting the inherent inertia of plant communities (Westman 1978, Erikkson 1996, Lloret et al. 2012). Expressed changes in species distributions reflect changes in the ratio of 554 colonization to extinction at the leading and trailing margins of a species range (Loarie et al. 555 2009). When the rate of climate change exceeds species dispersal rates, lags or disequilibria may 556 557 occur in species ranges (e.g., where long-lived adults persist after the climate has become unsuitable for new seedling establishment of the same species). This ecological inertia creates 558 lags in local extinction and colonization that over time determine the trajectory of species 559 turnover and community change on the landscape (Webb 1986, Jackson and Sax 2010, Talluto et 560 al. 2017). Extinction debt represents local persistence with population vital rates below 561 replacement, resulting ultimately in recruitment failure and local extirpation. In contrast, 562 colonization credits occur in unoccupied locations fitting existing niche requirements, as well as 563 areas open for some species in the regional pool potentially better adapted to emerging climate. 564 Ultimately, changes in fundamental demographic parameters (birth, immigration, death, and 565 566 emigration) underlie all shifts in species distributions. There is abundant evidence of climate-

driven species range shifts leading to community reorganization (Chen et al. 2011), reflecting the species-individualistic nature of community organization. Contemporary observations are largely associated with accelerated shifting of climatic zones in relation to species niche space (Colwell and Rangel 2009, Thomas 2010, Hannah et al. 2014, Shirk et al. 2018). It is likely that similar processes have been at work for millions of years as species have adapted to changing climate.

572 *Reorganization in response to the loss of formerly frequent disturbance.* Reorganization can proceed along different time scales beyond gradual reorganization in response to changing 573 climate. Ecosystems can reorganize rapidly in response to the elimination or a reduced frequency 574 of an ecosystem's characteristic disturbances. In some regions, wildfire area burned has been 575 576 decreasing due to human fire suppression and landscape fragmentation (Andela et al. 2017). Such changes would be most consequential for communities in which characteristic wildfire 577 578 plays a stabilizing role in community structure and composition (Parsons and DeBenedetti 1979, Binkley 2021). For example, reduction in the frequency of burning in dry oak and oak-pine 579 580 forests of eastern North America led to increases in the abundance of mesophytic species (e.g., maples, beech, ashes, and basswood); increasing abundance of these species in turn altered forest 581 582 microclimate and fuel characteristics, producing a self-perpetuating feedback that made the forests less conducive to fire and more favorable to the persistence of these species at the 583

expense of oaks and pines (Nowacki and Abrams 2008, Kreye et al. 2013, McDaniel et al. 2021).

In drier western North American conifer forests, exclusion of fire has led similarly to changes in 585 586 community composition, viz. increased abundance of shade-tolerant species such as white fir, Abies concolor [Gordon & Glend.] Lindl. ex Hildebr. (Dolanc et al. 2014). These changes have 587 made forests more prone to high-severity fire, and increasingly vulnerable to drought-induced 588 mortality (Earles et al. 2014, Restaino et al. 2019). Because these shade-tolerant conifers 589 590 typically have longer seed dispersal distances than their shade-intolerant counterparts, they may be better suited to re-establish and become dominant in large patches of high-severity fire. For 591 example, shade-tolerant conifers or drought-tolerant oaks have become more abundant in many 592 formerly ponderosa pine and dry mixed-conifer forests. In a southwestern Sky Island forest, 593 O'Connor et al. (2017) found a shift from disturbance-adapted species to competition- and 594 595 productivity-mediated recruitment as fire intervals increased beyond the historical mean.

Reduction in fire frequency can also lead to type conversion of savannahs and grasslands to 596 shrubland or closed forest (Bond 2019). The high flammability and rapid post-fire recovery of 597 598 grasses puts them at an evolutionary advantage over woody vegetation in systems that are exposed frequently to fire (annually to every few years); human-driven reductions in burning 599 have led to woody plant encroachment in many of these systems globally (Luger and Moll 1993, 600 Ratajczak et al. 2012, Stevens et al. 2017b). Restoring fire regimes can thus play a key role in 601 602 maintaining the spatial extent and ecological functions of grass-dominated and savannah ecosystems (Smit et al. 2010). 603

Rapid reorganization in response to novel disturbance. Unlike decadal range shifts driven by 604 605 climate, many observations of ecosystem reorganization represent *abrupt change*, especially when triggered by disturbance episodes that are larger, more severe, or occur more frequently 606 607 than background temporal variability (Ratajczak et al. 2018, Jentsch and White 2019, Turner et al. 2020). Among the most common triggers of abrupt change in terrestrial systems are wildfires 608 609 (Holz et al. 2015, Hansen et al. 2021), heat waves and episodes of extreme drought (Batllori et al. 2020, Senf et al. 2020), and insect outbreaks (Weed et al. 2013, Kautz et al. 2017). In some 610 611 cases, combinations of two or more stress or disturbance types, or a sequence of successive disturbances, may drive more substantial change with greater potential to persist compared to 612 613 that expected from individual disturbances (Batllori et al. 2019). Abrupt ecological change may also reflect the cumulative effect of other factors that have been at work for much longer periods, 614 such as decades of invasion by non-native species that create the conditions for a tipping point 615 616 event.

Conversions from forest to shrubland or grassland, or from shrubland to grassland, are among the 617 most widely observed type conversions on contemporary landscapes following severe wildfire 618 619 and drought (Walker et al. 2018). Globally, approximately one-quarter of forest loss is attributable to wildfire (Curtis et al. 2018), a trend that is likely to increase as fire severities (van 620 Mantgem et al. 2018b, Singleton et al. 2019, Parks and Abatzoglou 2020), sizes (Westerling 621 2016), and area burned (Abatzoglou and Kolden 2013, Kitzberger et al. 2017) increase under the 622 influence of changing climate and fuel accumulation. As climate simultaneously becomes less 623 624 favorable to tree establishment (Savage et al. 2013, Rother and Veblen 2016, Tepley et al. 2017), wildfires are likely to trigger widespread ecosystem conversion. 625

Reorganization also may occur in response to introductions of non-native plants, insects, or 626 pathogens. In deciduous forests of eastern North America, where tree species diversity tends to 627 628 be higher than western conifer forests, several former canopy dominants have been lost or converted to short-lived, smaller trees by invasive insects and pathogens introduced over the last 629 century (e.g., American chestnut due to the chestnut blight, American elm due to Dutch elm 630 disease, ash species due to emerald ash borer). In the late 20th to early 21st century, the hemlock 631 632 wooly adelgid (Adelgis tsugae Annand) drove extensive mortality of eastern hemlock trees throughout much of its range. By 2007 in Shenandoah National Park, Virginia USA, adelgids 633 had eliminated hemlock from all permanent plots where it was present in 1991 (Anderson-634 Teixeira et al. 2021). Invasive insects and pathogens have also caused extensive mortality of 635 some of the dominant tree species in swamp forests (e.g., American elm and ashes) (Barnes 636 1976, Abella et al. 2019). In many cases, however, the loss of these canopy dominants was 637 compensated by increases in other tree species already present in the forests, leading to less 638 substantial changes in ecosystem processes (e.g., carbon sequestration, litter decomposition, and 639 water and nutrient cycling) than would be expected following persistent physiognomic change 640 641 (Ellison et al. 2005).

642 4.2 Mechanisms: what are the drivers and dynamics of reorganization?

Observations can provide valuable insights into the expression of ecosystem reorganization.
However, reorganization is an emergent outcome of numerous mechanistic processes.
Understanding the mechanisms that underly reorganization is key to predicting when and where
such outcomes may occur (Figure 11).

647 *4.2.1 Trigger events*

648 Abrupt reorganization is triggered most commonly by severe disturbance, particularly wildfire and climatic episodes that cause locally extensive mortality of dominant vegetation. 649 Uncharacteristic disturbances can also serve as triggers, such as fire driven by the proliferation of 650 flammable invasive species, or an unusual sequence of disturbances, e.g., severe, multi-year 651 652 drought after fire, or reburns before obligate seeder species can grow old enough to produce new 653 seeds (Coop et al. 2016, Batllori et al. 2019, Whitman et al. 2019). Large, high-severity disturbances can accelerate the pace of landscape transformation from decades to days, affecting 654 not only overstory vegetation, but also ground cover, soils, and hydrology (Figure 12). The 655

energy output during extreme wildfire behavior can exceed the adaptive capacity of even fireadapted species, overcoming their resistance to thermal stress and leading to extensive individual
mortality through loss of photosynthetic apparatus, destruction of meristems, cambial and xylem
damage, and damage to root systems.

660 Changes to the fire regime (i.e., changes in the distribution of fire intervals, severities, sizes, seasonality, etc.) can also trigger ecosystem reorganization (Hagmann et al. 2021). Vandewater 661 and Safford (2011, 2014) documented fire intervals in coastal and montane ecosystems in 662 southern California 50-100% shorter than the historical reference. Bowman and colleagues 663 (2014) documented rapid population collapse of alpine ash (Eucalyptus delegatensis R. T. 664 665 Baker), an obligate seeder, in the Australian Alps after extensive wildfires burned more than ³/₄ of the species range in Victoria and southeast Australia in the early 2000s. Despite abundant 666 667 post-fire seedling establishment, large portions of these fires reburned within a decade, killing nearly all of the regenerating seedlings and driving conversion to grasses and shrubs. Short-668 interval fires, i.e. less than the characteristic fire interval, have also been documented to 669 overwhelm the resprouting capacity of moderate sized (22 to 36 cm diameter) fire-tolerant 670 671 *Eucalyptus* (Fairman et al. 2019). Even in forest types with a high representation of serotinous species such as *Pinus contorta* var. *latifolia* (interior lodgepole pine), high frequency fire may 672 673 reduce post-fire recruitment dramatically if fire return intervals are significantly shorter than mean time to first reproduction (Turner et al. 2019). Similar effects of short return intervals on 674 recruitment have been observed in Mediterranean-climate shrublands in southwestern Australia 675 (Enright et al. 2014) and boreal Picea mariana [Mill] BSP in Yukon Territory (Brown and 676 677 Johnstone 2012). As climate warms and becomes increasingly conducive to widespread burning, the intervals between successive high-severity fire could become shorter; at the same time, a 678 harsh post-fire climate could lengthen the time needed for forests to recover after severe fire, 679 creating the "interval squeeze", when fire re-occurs before the juvenile cohort reaches 680 reproductive maturity (Enright et al. 2015). Modifying Baker's (2006) equation, post-disturbance 681 reproduction could be constrained if: 682

 $683 \quad \frac{FRI_p}{R_a} \ll 1,$

where FRI_p is the point (tree-scale) fire return interval and R_a is mean time to first reproduction.

Reorganization and resulting large-scale type conversion can be triggered directly by widespread 685 die-off driven by extended severe drought and associated hotter temperatures (Adams et al. 2009, 686 687 Adams et al. 2010, Allen et al. 2010, Sankaran 2019), often covering wide geographic areas (Fettig et al. 2019, Senf et al. 2020), and sometimes triggered by extreme climate episodes such 688 as heat waves (Lloret and Batllori 2021). Drought can cause tree mortality directly (via 689 physiological stress, cavitation, and/or carbon starvation), or by weakening tree defenses to 690 biotic agents such as bark beetles, defoliators, and plant pathogens (DeSoto et al. 2020); these 691 mortality episodes can then have persistent cascading demographic effects (Law et al. 2019). 692 Like wildfire, biotic disturbance agents are natural and essential components of forest ecosystem 693 dynamics. However, changes in climate may affect insect and disease activity, as well as tree 694 defenses and susceptibility (Weed et al. 2013, Anderegg et al. 2015, Hicke et al. 2016, Kautz et 695 al. 2017). Drought and temperature stress can interact with wildfire exposure, resulting in 696 elevated mortality rates for a given level of fire behavior (van Mantgem et al. 2020b). 697

698 *4.2.2 Mortality creates open resource space*

Once widespread mortality has occurred, resources (space, light, water, nutrients) become 699 available for multiple species, not only the prior dominants. Species that are able to resprout 700 from belowground structures after being top-killed may have an advantage in the ability to 701 recapture growing space, both above- and below-ground (§1, *Persistence*) (Lawes and Clarke 702 2011, Clarke et al. 2013a) provided that fire return intervals allow replenishment of non-703 704 structural carbohydrates used for tissue growth (Nolan et al. 2021). In a study of community assembly after a large high-severity landscape fire in southern Colorado, USA, Abella and 705 Fornwalt (2015) found that species that either persisted or recolonized quickly constituted 62-706 83% of species present in the post-fire community. Similarly, 71-82% of pre-existing understory 707 708 species persisted following clearcutting and broadcast burning in western Oregon, USA, whereas a smaller number of species (n = 14) were lost temporarily before eventually re-colonizing, and 709 colonization by new species (n = 11-19 species/yr) occurred primarily within the first two years 710 (Halpern 1988, 1989). In addition to the capacity for some species to resprout following fire-711 driven mortality of their above-ground parts, some species have seeds that can persist in the soil 712 713 long after the adult plants that produced those seeds have been lost (Knapp et al. 2012).

Persistent dormant seedbanks likely contribute to the large increases in shrub species following
wildfire and prescribed burning in many mixed-conifer forests (Webster and Halpern 2010).

716

4.2.3 Recolonization failure

717 Initially, pre-disturbance dominants may have an inherent advantage during the recolonization phase (Abella and Fornwalt 2015). They tend to be well adapted to local environmental 718 conditions and typically provide local seed sources, depending on the extent and severity of 719 disturbance. In some cases, however, population-level recovery of prior dominant species can 720 721 fail or be severely limited due to soil moisture deficit and excessive evaporative demand, or disturbance-altered soil properties including the loss of soil organic matter, key limiting nutrients 722 723 (Adkins et al. 2019), or essential symbionts such as mycorrhizae (Remke et al. 2020) (§3, 724 *Recovery*). The primary mechanisms that may limit or inhibit post-fire tree seedling 725 establishment following mortality include (1) post-disturbance landscape structure, which may limit propagule availability, especially in the case of large contiguous high-severity or treeless 726 patches, limiting the spatial distribution of surviving mature trees and species-specific seed 727 production and seed-dispersal capacity (Stevens et al. 2021); (2) limitations to germination, 728 influenced by soil condition and microclimate (Chambers et al. 2016, Law et al. 2019, Burrell et 729 al. 2021); (3) severe soil and hydrological effects following wildfire, which may prevent 730 successful recolonization even where seed sources are available (Sidman et al. 2016, Niemever 731 et al. 2020), including soil hydrophobicity, loss of soil organic matter and key limiting nutrients 732 733 (Adkins et al. 2019), or essential symbionts such as mycorrhizae (Remke et al. 2020); and (4) failure of seedling establishment, driven by soil microorganisms, plant functional traits, 734 competitive environment, and species capacity to cope with competition and climatic stress 735 (Tercero-Bucardo et al. 2007, Enright et al. 2014, Rother and Veblen 2016, Davis et al. 2018, 736 737 Simeone et al. 2019).

Flammability of the vegetation that develops after severe burning can either amplify or buffer the effects of a climate that is warming and becoming more conducive to fire (Tepley et al. 2018).
Where the post-fire vegetation has low flammability, as in many boreal landscapes, resistance to reburning may limit the degree to which climate change drives increases in annual area burned, thereby extending the time available for forests to recover after severe fire (Héon et al. 2014). By contrast, where highly flammable vegetation develops after severe fire, relatively small increases

in climatic potential for fire could drive extensive increases in high-severity reburns at intervals

too short for forests to recover (Pausas et al. 2017). Landscapes colonized aggressively by

746 pyrophilic and flammable shrubs after severe fire can become particularly vulnerable to

extensive and persistent conversion from forest to non-forest cover (Odion et al. 2010,

Kitzberger et al. 2016, Tepley et al. 2017, Batllori et al. 2019, Miller et al. 2019).

749

4.2.4 Landscape species pool

Individual species life history and functional traits shape their responses in the post-fire
environment, and ultimately drive community reorganization (Gleason 1926, Diamond 1975).
Following disturbance, communities reassemble reflecting functional trait-based species
responses, including intraspecific variation (Laughlin et al. 2012). Both stochastic and
deterministic assembly processes guide the particular trajectory of reorganization, and new
competitive hierarchies become established based on multiple species interactions that shape the
new community (Temperton et al. 2004).

757 In the absence of significant persistence by resprouting or protected local seedbanks, community reassembly is largely dependent on species dispersing into the site, creating competitive 758 advantages for different life history traits (e.g., dispersal ability, tolerance of poor site quality or 759 high light levels) than those that are favored under more stable conditions (Mittelbach and 760 Schemske 2015). Nearby undisturbed refugia and other ecological legacies can play a key role as 761 a source of propagules into the reorganizing community (Johnstone et al. 2016). The relative 762 increase in available space and physical resources following a large mortality event creates 763 opportunities for species within the regional pool to establish new populations that may alter the 764 environment for species that arrive subsequently ("priority effect") (Fukami 2015, Helsen et al. 765 766 2016).

Reorganization is dependent on the presence of species that are available to colonize and adapted
to the disturbance-modified environment (Belyea and Lancaster 1999, Fukami 2015). In
demographic terms, the failure of persistence and recovery of pre-disturbance dominants
(Crotteau et al. 2013, Davis et al. 2020) create colonization opportunities for species better
adapted to emerging climate space, e.g., drought or temperature tolerance, in the unique
characteristics of post-disturbance environments (Butaye et al. 2002, Jain et al. 2012, Burrell et

al. 2021). For example, Barton and Poulos (2018) found that the conversion of Madrean pine-oak

forest to oak shrubland after high-severity wildfire in Arizona, USA was triggered initially by 774 775 uncharacteristically high-severity fires, but oak species increased because they are better adapted 776 to emerging conditions of drought and increasing incidence of fire. Species such as Robinia neomexicana (New Mexico locust), Populus tremuloides (aspen), Quercus gambelii Liebm. 777 (Gambel oak) and shrubs in the genus *Ceanothus* are all present in pre-fire landscapes, but 778 increase opportunistically in fire modified environments, whereas prior dominant species that 779 depend on persistence or seed dispersal from surviving adults may experience mortality and 780 recruitment failure (Guiterman et al. 2018). 781

782

4.2.5 Community assembly processes

783 During the post-disturbance period, the community re-assembles from species that either are able to persist through disturbance, or others in the landscape species pool that are able to disperse 784 and establish successfully. Community assembly epitomizes a stochastic process: some 785 processes, such as dispersal, are highly variable depending on dispersal mode and the landscape 786 787 availability of propagules, whereas other processes (such as the environmental template or pairwise interspecific interactions) are more predictable or systematic, typically viewed as a set 788 of successive filters (Davis et al. 2018). The net result is that community assembly is historically 789 contingent and can be influenced strongly by priority effects, leading to niche pre-emption and 790 niche modification by early arrivals, as well as the presence of metapopulations across the 791 landscape (Belyea and Lancaster 1999, Fukami 2015, Mittelbach and Schemske 2015). 792 Outcomes of community assembly processes may be better predicted by plant functional types 793 than by species per se (Laughlin et al. 2012) (Figures 10, 13). 794

795 The net result of these post-disturbance assembly processes may create multiple reorganization 796 pathways leading to alternative metastable states (AMS) (Elmqvist et al. 2003, Falk 2013). Two 797 or more community types (e.g., forest and shrubland) may co-exist in the same landscape (e.g., 798 in a shifting mosaic that varies in response to changing disturbance frequency and patterns); alternatively, one type may become dominant under the prevailing climate and disturbance 799 800 regime. Climate or an unusual disturbance event (either an uncharacteristically large or severe disturbance, or an unusual disturbance sequence) can push the landscape toward one community 801 802 type, which may then persist even after the climate or disturbance regime shifts back to the conditions where both communities previously persisted. Such persistence would depend in part 803

on stabilizing feedbacks between the community type and the climate or disturbance regime that
perpetuate the existing community at the expense of the other (Miller et al. 2019). Evidence of
alternative states has been demonstrated in tropical prairie-savannah-grassland mosaics in
regions climatically and edaphically conducive to forest (Hoffmann et al. 2002, Staver et al.
2011, Hoffmann et al. 2012); there is increasing evidence that similar mechanisms are operating
in temperate and boreal regions, or could soon operate in response to climate change (Kitzberger
et al. 2016, Keyser et al. 2020, Hansen et al. 2021).

811 Alternative states may themselves not persist indefinitely, but there is no inherent time scale to community reorganization (Falk et al. 2019, Pausas and Bond 2020). Following type conversion, 812 813 return pathways may differ from the pathway of degradation; due to altered community interactions, recovery pathways are not simply reverse travel of degradation pathways, creating 814 815 the emergent property of *hysteresis* (Suding and Hobbs 2009, Litzow and Hunsicker 2016, Ratajczak et al. 2018). For example, an increase in the frequency of high-severity fire could drive 816 817 extensive conversion from forest to shrubland, but a reduction in burning does not necessarily lead to a similarly abrupt return to forest cover (Tepley et al. 2018) (Figure 13). In addition to the 818 819 decades typically required for trees to grow large enough to become resistant to fire (e.g., by developing thick bark or elevated crown bases), fire-vegetation feedbacks may alter substantially 820 821 the rate of return to forest cover. Where post-fire shrublands are dominated by highly flammable species, once this vegetation occupies a large portion of the landscape, much less fire may be 822 required to maintain its dominance than was needed to initially drive the conversion from forest 823 to shrubland. In such cases, the transition from one community type to the other may be 824 825 asymmetrical: the magnitude of change in the environment or disturbance regime needed to drive the shift in one direction may be greater than that needed to drive the shift in the other direction. 826 From an evolutionary perspective, the very fact that many species are adapted to the 827 opportunities presented by disturbed ecosystems is a reminder that these states represent space in 828 the evolutionary environment of species (Keeley et al. 2011b, Keeley 2012). 829

830

4.2.6 Reinforcing feedbacks

Some of the factors that initially trigger reorganization (§4.2.1) can also reinforce alternative
states, effectively locking in reorganization and making it more likely to persist for extended

time (Figure 11). Here we examine two factors that can create strong self-reinforcing feedbacksin reorganized systems.

Disturbance. Disturbances, such as wildfire, can be particularly effective in reinforcing an 835 altered community state through selection for different flammability and tolerance strategies 836 837 (Dantas et al. 2016, Pausas et al. 2017). In cases in which the species dominating the reorganized community are more fire-tolerant (i.e., more flammable and better able to survive or re-colonize 838 after fire) than the pre-disturbance dominants, altered fire cycles can effectively preclude return 839 to the prior community (Newberry et al. 2020). This dynamic is observed widely in cases of 840 conversion from woody (tree or shrub) to grass dominance. Most grasses are highly flammable at 841 842 some stage in their life cycle and have evolutionary adaptations to surviving, and even promoting, frequent fire (Gagnon et al. 2010, Pausas et al. 2017). By altering fuel types, mass, 843 844 continuity, and seasonality, non-native grasses cause persistent change in the dominant fire regime type to a grass-fire cycle, creating an invasive fire regime that excludes woody plant 845 846 recruitment (Brooks et al. 2004, Gaertner et al. 2014). This reinforcing feedback makes the reorganized community highly resilient in its altered state, and resistant to return to the pre-847 848 disturbance community (Brooks and Chambers 2011). Notable examples of fire-reinforced alternative states include conversion of Great Basin sage steppe to annual cheatgrass (Bromus 849 850 Scop.) grassland (Balch et al. 2013), invasion of Sonoran upland by cool season non-native 851 grasses (Stevens and Falk 2009, McDonald and McPherson 2011, Chambers et al. 2014), chaparral-grassland conversion in southern CA (Syphard et al. 2018, 2019) (Figure S-2), and 852 conversions in southwestern ponderosa pine forests (Coop et al. 2020). Fire can thus play a dual 853 854 role in reorganization, acting first as a trigger for initial destabilization (by causing extensive mortality and modifying the post-disturbance environment), and then as a positive feedback 855 factor reinforcing the AMS, especially where life history adaption to fire (including flammability 856 857 and the capacity to survive or re-colonize after fire) differs among species and plant functional 858 types.

Climate. Like disturbance, climate can play a dual role as both a trigger and subsequent
reinforcing mechanism for community reorganization (Figure 11). Shorter (annual to
multiannual) climate episodes can cause extensive mortality, creating open niche space and
allowing new species to enter the community (Ruthrof et al. 2018). If the climate episode is

brief, and conditions return to the normal range of variation, these effects may be transient if
conditions are suitable for recovery. However, extreme climate episodes superimposed on
rapidly changing mean conditions can effectively prevent prior dominants from re-establishing,
even for species with long-lived individuals (Matusick et al. 2018).

867 Abundant evidence is accumulating of persistent species realignments and geographic shifts in response to changing climate (Chen et al. 2011, Iverson and McKenzie 2013, Burrows et al. 868 2014, Shirk et al. 2018). Altered climate conditions can favor different species once 869 reorganization begins, if they are better adapted in terms of climate tolerance to persistent 870 emerging conditions. For instance, field experiments evaluating seedling survival and growth 871 872 under experimental warming indicate that many tree species could soon lose the capacity to regenerate in areas currently occupied by conspecific adults (Tercero-Bucardo et al. 2007, Rother 873 874 et al. 2015, Hansen and Turner 2019). The degree to which these differences are projected to increase with increasing climatic warming becomes more pronounced with reduction of the 875 876 buffering effect of the forest canopy, which may be expected under increasing rates of forest disturbance (Dobrowski et al. 2015, Wolf et al. 2021). Even in the absence of triggering events, 877 878 changes in mean, variance, and seasonality of climate parameters can drive widespread type conversion (Williams et al. 2007, Nolan et al. 2018). 879

880

881 **5. Management Implications**

In this synthesis, we have outlined a range of ecological resilience processes and associated 882 883 mechanisms leading to persistence, recovery, and reorganization. These phases are dynamic and can occur at the scale of individuals, populations, and communities, occurring synchronously or 884 885 asynchronously within and among stands. Land managers are tasked to maintain the integrity of ecosystems as dynamic entities, but there is currently no clear roadmap for how to manage these 886 887 trajectories, nor what the objectives should be in a rapidly changing world. Managers are challenged to recognize the history of an area, including how it was managed by Indigenous 888 889 peoples, what were its historical keystone processes, when and how these may have been interrupted, and what has transpired since then to promote or erode resilience, including logging, 890 891 development, wildfire, and post-fire management. Understanding these influences on present 892 ecosystem conditions, and how they direct a range of potential trajectories in composition and

structure, is vital to devising strategies that steer ecosystem trajectories toward a desired
condition (Chazdon et al. 2021). Management actions can be undertaken at any point along this
sequence of resilience, and can be targeted toward a single species, groups of species (e.g., a
particular plant functional group), or the community as a whole.

897 Forest management has long emphasized managing for persistence through restoration efforts aimed toward historical conditions (Stoddard et al. 2021). As large and severe disturbances such 898 as droughts and fire have become more common and extensive, greater emphasis has been 899 placed on post-disturbance recovery efforts to reverse these changes. However, in many cases 900 recovery efforts are challenged by increasingly stressful environmental conditions and/or 901 902 economic restraints and agency mandates (Guiterman et al. in rev.), or long-term changes to ecosystem function and disturbance regimes, such as mesophication of forests in eastern North 903 904 America (Nowacki and Abrams 2008). Past fire management practices in many forests have distorted historical fire regimes through fire exclusion, such that fuel accumulation now makes it 905 906 less likely that even fire-adapted trees will be able to regenerate and persist over large areas of high-severity fire (Keeley 2009, Stephens et al. 2018, Hagmann et al. 2021, Hagmann et al. 907 908 2022). In many areas where non-native grasses have established (Balch et al. 2013), changes can be so difficult to reverse that accepting alternative states may be the only viable pathway (Hobbs 909 910 et al. 2006, Kerns et al. 2020, Lynch et al. 2021). These factors are already driving managers to 911 consider alternative states as potential management objectives. In the sections that follow, we outline some modes of management that align with the primary resilience processes, explored 912 further in a companion article by Guiterman et al. (in rev.) as well as other recent work 913 914 (Schuurman et al. 2020, Lynch et al. 2021).

915 5.1 Managing for persistence

Managing for persistence takes advantage of the adaptation of species capacity to tolerate
disturbance without major change. Persistence is often assumed to be the preferred means of
maintaining ecosystem services, is usually the most socially acceptable, and may be mandated by
land-management agencies (Lynch et al. 2021). Fuel treatments can reduce the risk of mortality
due to fire (Stephens and Moghaddas 2005, Prichard and Kennedy 2012) and/or drought (van
Mantgem et al. 2016, Restaino et al. 2019), and help post-disturbance communities to align more
closely with the historical range of variation (Young et al. 2019a, Prichard et al. 2021). Stand

density or basal area reduction may also assist areas adapting to the drier and warmer climate

projected for coming decades (Young et al. 2019b, Tepley et al. 2020). These areas should also

be able to support recurrent low- to moderate-severity fire, thus enabling persistence of keystone

disturbance processes (Huffman et al. 2020). Especially at the warm/dry ecotone (Parks et al.

2019), greater moisture stress among dominant conifers (Allen and Breshears 1998, van

- 928 Mantgem et al. 2009) could leave fewer large, old trees to maintain desired stand structure and
- 929 composition.

930 Traditionally, persistence measures have focused on restoring historical conditions or dynamics
931 (Falk 1990, Reynolds et al. 2013). Recent emphasis has included resisting changes in highly

valued, culturally important areas and/or landscape refugia, and developing greater landscape

heterogeneity through a mix of management practices. Refugia provide multiple benefits to

sustaining biodiversity and promoting long-term recovery; often a stochastic result of

heterogeneity in landform, hydrology, forest structure and composition, and recurrent fire,

refugia can be created strategically ahead of disturbance through localized management actions

to enhance persistence (Michalak et al. 2018, Krawchuk et al. 2020, Doxa et al. 2022). Another

938 important strategy to generate a diverse mosaic across many landscapes is to reinstate or emulate

939 indigenous fire use (Kimmerer and Lake 2001). Small-scale, frequent burning that was common

historically among many indigenous communities in North America (and has been returned to

some areas) limits the intensity and spread of wildfires through fuel reduction, aiding in the

persistence of overstory structure and composition (Lake et al. 2017, Roos et al. 2021).

Managing at large spatial scales, taking advantage of resilience mechanisms among species and communities, integrating indigenous styles of management through collaborations with

945 knowledge-keepers, and utilizing the refugia of past disturbances can help to promote persistence

in the face of extreme events and changing baseline climate conditions (Stevens et al. 2021).

947 5.2 Managing for recovery

As more forested area is affected by large and severe disturbance, management is turning

949 increasingly toward recovery efforts to recoup potential losses to resources and values.

950 Optimizing recovery following major disturbance draws on the deep knowledge of land

951 managers to initiate and facilitate recruitment and colonization of desired pre-disturbance

952 dominant species through various species- and site-level strategies. Natural regeneration of seed-

obligate species can occur relatively quickly on some sites when conditions are favorable

(Figures 5, 6, S-1) (Harvey et al. 2016, Tepley et al. 2017, Urza and Sibold 2017). Forest 954 955 managers can often anticipate the trajectory of a stand based on initial post-disturbance vegetation. For example, initial dominance of shrubs with little tree regeneration may indicate 956 that a site may remain shrub-dominated for decades or longer (Airey Lauvaux et al. 2016, 957 Guiterman et al. 2018). One of the primary indicators of likely recovery failure following 958 959 disturbance is the absence of a nearby seed source for the previously dominant species (§4.3 *Recolonization failure*). New tools allow managers to predict conifer re-establishment (or lack 960 thereof) following fire (Stewart et al. 2021, Tubbesing et al. 2021), and the success of post-fire 961 tree planting relative to natural tree establishment based on residual tree seed source maps and 962 other environmental variables. 963

964 The spatial scale of the recovery site is critical, because smaller sites may have adequate parent trees nearby (within ~60-100 m), alleviating the need for planting (Ouzts et al. 2015, Owen et al. 965 966 2017, Stevens-Rumann and Morgan 2019). Site conditions following high-severity fire, in particular, can be challenging for regeneration (Feddema et al. 2013), especially in large patches 967 $(10^3 - 10^4 ha)$ or following salvage operations (Lindenmayer et al. 2012). Analysis of the spatial 968 arrangement of refugia as a seed source and other environmental conditions can highlight areas 969 970 that may require planting in order for pre-disturbance species to recover rapidly (Stevens-Rumann and Morgan 2019, Stevens et al. 2021, Stewart et al. 2021). North et al. (2019) 971 972 introduced a zonal framework for post-disturbance reforestation efforts that includes utilizing selective planting locations to establish "founder stands." This may be effective because it (i) 973 974 capitalizes on advantageous conditions for seedlings from variability in soil moisture and topography (Rother and Veblen 2016), and (ii) does not require the extensive resources needed 975 for continuous planting of a large area. Residual or newly accumulated fuel loads following 976 high-severity fire or other disturbances may be high, which can both facilitate regeneration by 977 providing moisture retention while also posing a threat to recovery if the site should burn again 978 (Keyser et al. 2020). Seeds for focal reforestation species can be obtained from non-local 979 ecotypes believed to be better adapted to the new conditions at the planting site (Aitken and 980 Bemmels 2016, Young et al. 2020). 981

982 *5.3 Managing reorganization*
Mechanisms of forest resilience, Final 15 February 2022, p.34

The capacity of a system to reorganize is an expression of ecological resilience in the face of 983 changing conditions. In some cases, reorganization may benefit both the ecosystem and society. 984 985 For example, pushing a site toward a non-forest state could be an act of restoration, as in the case of montane meadows encroached upon by conifers (Matonis and Binkley 2018). However, in 986 other cases sites may reorganize into less desirable conditions, such as shrublands in formerly 987 forested areas, which could persist for centuries (Guiterman et al. 2018). At broader spatial 988 scales, diverse landscape mosaics appear to have been characteristic of resilient ecosystems 989 under historical conditions, creating a complex balance among the forces of soils, climate, and 990 disturbance (Hessburg et al. 1999, Cansler et al. 2018). In recent years, uncharacteristically large 991 and/or severe wildfire events have affected landscape forest structure, triggering transitions 992 across large areas; it remains unclear whether these events will enhance landscape diversity and 993 resilience, or erode it. Anticipating major transitions could help increase chances of success, 994 enhance the resilience of refugia areas, and protect ecosystem services (Millar and Stephenson 995 2015). Promoting a diverse landscape-scale mosaic of forest, shrubland, and grassland ahead of 996 997 major disturbance events including wildfire can moderate changes associated with widespread 998 vegetation type conversion (Lynch et al. 2021, Stevens et al. 2021).

In many areas of western North America, extensive transitions are already underway, including 999 1000 chaparral, sagebrush, and desert systems converting to non-native grasses, and many forests converting to shrublands (Guiterman et al. in rev.). Type conversions are now a common 1001 1002 consequence of anthropogenic stressors on ecological communities (Stevens-Rumann et al. 2018, Batllori et al. 2020, Coop et al. 2020). Managing these areas is challenging due to the frequency 1003 1004 and spatial scale of change, with limited funding or social support to attempt large-scale recovery. Moreover, managers can be overwhelmed by uncertainties regarding the trajectory of 1005 natural recovery, efficacy of recovery efforts (many of which may fail or are experimental), or 1006 whether there is social license to introduce species that could be more tolerant of emerging 1007 conditions. In many areas, these hurdles have slowed or stalled management actions in type-1008 converted areas, with the result that active management may occur on only a relatively small 1009 fraction of affected areas (Guiterman et al. in rev.). In cases where persistence and recovery are 1010 unlikely and reorganization is inevitable, managers could view this as an opportunity to direct 1011 reorganization toward a more desirable future state, instead of as a strictly negative outcome. 1012

Mechanisms of forest resilience, Final 15 February 2022, p.35

- 1013 Given the frequency and scale of type conversions across western North America, further
- 1014 research is needed to resolve uncertainties by documenting successes and failures. Experiments
- and trials present key opportunities for co-production between scientists and managers
- 1016 (Krawchuk et al. 2020), and avenues to apply indigenous knowledge of long-term ecosystem
- 1017 resilience. Resilience frameworks described here and elsewhere (Schuurman et al. 2020, Lynch
- 1018 et al. 2021) can offer crucial guidelines and for planning and decision making in an era of
- 1019 widespread and rapid ecological change.

1020

1021	Literature cited.
1022	
1023	Abatzoglou, J. T., and C. A. Kolden. 2013. Relationships between climate and macroscale area burned in
1024	the western United States. International Journal of Wildland Fire 22:1003-1020.
1025	Abella, S. R. 2009. Smoke-cued emergence in plant species of ponderosa pine forests: contrasting
1026	greenhouse and field results. Fire Ecology 5:22-37.
1027	Abella, S. R., and P. J. Fornwalt. 2015. Ten years of vegetation assembly after a North American mega
1028	fire. Global Change Biology 21 :789-802.
1029	Abella, S. R., C. E. Hausman, J. F. Jaeger, K. S. Menard, T. A. Schetter, and O. J. Rocha. 2019. Fourteen
1030	years of swamp forest change from the onset, during, and after invasion of emerald ash borer.
1031	Biological Invasions 21:3685-3696.
1032	Adams, H. D., M. Guardiola-Claramonte, G. A. Barron-Gafford, J. C. Villegas, D. D. Breshears, C. B.
1033	Zou, P. A. Troch, and T. E. Huxman. 2009. Temperature sensitivity of drought-induced tree
1034	mortality portends increased regional die-off under global-change-type drought. Proceedings of
1035	the National Academy of Sciences 106 :7063-7066.
1036	Adams, H. D., A. K. Macalady, D. D. Breshears, C. D. Allen, N. L. Stephenson, S. R. Saleska, T. E.
1037	Huxman, and N. G. McDowell. 2010. Climate-induced tree mortality: Earth system
1038	consequences. Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union 91:153-154.
1039	Adams, H. D., M. J. B. Zeppel, W. R. L. Anderegg, H. Hartmann, S. M. Landhäusser, D. T. Tissue, T. E.
1040	Huxman, P. J. Hudson, and N. G. McDowell et al. 2017. A multi-species synthesis of
1041	physiological mechanisms in drought-induced tree mortality. Nature Ecology & Evolution
1042	1:1285-1291.
1043	Adkins, J., J. Sanderman, and J. Miesel. 2019. Soil carbon pools and fluxes vary across a burn severity
1044	gradient three years after wildfire in Sierra Nevada mixed-conifer forest. Geoderma 333:10-22.
1045	Airey Lauvaux, C., C. N. Skinner, and A. H. Taylor. 2016. High severity fire and mixed conifer forest-
1046	chaparral dynamics in the southern Cascade Range, USA. Forest Ecology and Management
1047	363 :74-85.
1048	Aitken, S. N., and J. B. Bemmels. 2016. Time to get moving: assisted gene flow of forest trees.
1049	Evolutionary applications 9 :271-290.
1050	Allen, C. D., and D. D. Breshears. 1998. Drought-induced shift of a forest/woodland ecotone: Rapid
1051	landscape response to climate variation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
1052	95 :14839-14842.
1053	Allen, C. D., A. K. Macalady, H. Chenchouni, D. Bachelet, N. McDowell, M. Vennetier, T. Kitzberger,
1054	A. Rigling, D. D. Breshears, E. H. Hogg, P. Gonzalez, R. Fensham, Z. Zhang, J. Casto, N.
1055	Demidova, JH. Lim, G. Allard, S. W. Running, A. Semerci, and N. Cobb. 2010. A global
1056	overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change risks for
1057	forests. Forest Ecology & Management 259:660-684.
1058	Allen, R. 1987. Ecology of Nothofagus menziesii in the catlins ecological region, South-east Otago, New
1059	Zealand (I) seed production, viability, and dispersal. New Zealand Journal of Botany 25:5-10.
1060	Andela, N., D. Morton, L. Giglio, Y. Chen, G. Van Der Werf, P. Kasibhatla, R. DeFries, G. Collatz, S.
1061	Hantson, and S. Kloster. 2017. A human-driven decline in global burned area. Science 356:1356-
1062	1362.
1063	Anderegg, W. R., J. A. Berry, D. D. Smith, J. S. Sperry, L. D. Anderegg, and C. B. Field. 2012. The roles
1064	of hydraulic and carbon stress in a widespread climate-induced forest die-off. Proceedings of the
1065	National Academy of Sciences 109:233-237.
1066	Anderegg, W. R., J. A. Hicke, R. A. Fisher, C. D. Allen, J. Aukema, B. Bentz, S. Hood, J. W. Lichstein,
1067	A. K. Macalady, and N. McDowell. 2015. Tree mortality from drought, insects, and their
1068	interactions in a changing climate. New Phytologist 208 :674-683.
1069	Anderson-Teixeira, K. J., V. Herrmann, W. B. Cass, A. B. Williams, S. J. Paull, E. B. Gonzalez-Akre, R.
1070	Helcoski, A. J. Tepley, N. A. Bourg, and C. T. Cosma. 2021. Long-Term Impacts of Invasive

- 1071 Insects and Pathogens on Composition, Biomass, and Diversity of Forests in Virginia's Blue
 1072 Ridge Mountains. Ecosystems 24:89-105.
- Arizpe, A. H. 2016. Widespread Fire Years in Conifer Forests are Contingent on Both Winter and
 Monsoon Precipitation in the US-Mexico Sky Islands. MS Thesis. University of Arizona, Tucson,
 AZ.
- Armenteras, D., L. M. Dávalos, J. S. Barreto, A. Miranda, A. Hernández-Moreno, C. Zamorano-Elgueta,
 T. M. González-Delgado, M. C. Meza-Elizalde, and J. Retana. 2021. Fire-induced loss of the
 world's most biodiverse forests in Latin America. Science Advances 7:eabd3357.
- 1079 Baker, W. L. 2006. Fire and restoration of sagebrush ecosystems. Wildlife Society Bulletin 34:177-185.
- Balch, J. K., B. A. Bradley, C. M. D'Antonio, and J. Gómez-Dans. 2013. Introduced annual grass
 increases regional fire activity across the arid western USA (1980–2009). Global Change Biology
 19:173-183.
- Barnes, B. V. 1976. Succession in deciduous swamp communities of southeastern Michigan formerly
 dominated by American elm. Canadian Journal of Botany 54:19-24.
- Barnosky, A. D., N. Matzke, S. Tomiya, G. O. Wogan, B. Swartz, T. B. Quental, C. Marshall, J. L.
 McGuire, E. L. Lindsey, and K. C. Maguire. 2011. Has the Earth's sixth mass extinction already arrived? Nature 471:51-57.
- Barton, A. M., and H. M. J. F. e. Poulos. 2018. Pine vs. oaks revisited: Conversion of Madrean pine-oak
 forest to oak shrubland after high-severity wildfire in the Sky Islands of Arizona. Forest Ecology
 and Management 414:28-40.
- Batllori, E., M. De Cáceres, L. Brotons, D. D. Ackerly, M. A. Moritz, and F. Lloret. 2019. Compound
 fire-drought regimes promote ecosystem transitions in Mediterranean ecosystems. Journal of
 Ecology 107:1187-1198.
- Batllori, E., F. Lloret, T. Aakala, W. R. Anderegg, and E. Aynekulu et al. 2020. Forest and woodland
 replacement patterns following drought-related mortality. Proceedings of the National Academy
 of Sciences 117:29720-29729.
- Batllori, E., M. A. Parisien, M. A. Krawchuk, and M. A. Moritz. 2013. Climate change-induced shifts in
 fire for Mediterranean ecosystems. Global Ecology and Biogeography 22:1118-1129.
- Bauer, G., T. Speck, J. Blömer, J. Bertling, and O. Speck. 2010. Insulation capability of the bark of trees
 with different fire adaptation. Journal of Materials Science 45:5950-5959.
- Beisner, B., D. Haydon, and K. Cuddington. 2003. Alternative stable states in ecology. Frontiers in
 Ecology and the Environment 1:376–382.
- 1103 Belyea, L. R., and J. Lancaster. 1999. Assembly rules within a contingent ecology. Oikos 86:402-416.
- Bennett, A. C., N. G. McDowell, C. D. Allen, and K. J. Anderson-Teixeira. 2015. Larger trees suffer most
 during drought in forests worldwide. Nature Plants 1:15139.
- Bentz, B. J., J. Régnière, C. J. Fettig, E. M. Hansen, J. L. Hayes, J. A. Hicke, R. G. Kelsey, J. F. Negrón,
 and S. J. Seybold. 2010. Climate change and bark beetles of the western United States and
 Canada: direct and indirect effects. Bioscience 60:602-613.
- 1109 Binkley, D. 2021. Forest Ecology: An Evidence-based Approach. Wiley-Blackwell, Hoboken. NJ, USA.
- Bond, W. J. 2019. Open ecosystems: ecology and evolution beyond the forest edge. Oxford University
 Press.
- 1112 Bond, W. J., and B. W. Van Wilgen. 2012. Fire and plants. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Bonnet, V. H., A. W. Schoettle, and W. D. Shepperd. 2005. Postfire environmental conditions influence
 the spatial pattern of regeneration for Pinus ponderosa. Canadian Journal of Forest Research Revue Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere 35:37-47.
- Bowman, D. M., B. P. Murphy, D. L. Neyland, G. J. Williamson, and L. D. Prior. 2014. Abrupt fire
 regime change may cause landscape-wide loss of mature obligate seeder forests. Global Change
 Biology 20:1008-1015.
- Breshears, D. D., N. S. Cobb, P. M. Rich, K. P. Price, C. D. Allen, R. G. Balice, W. H. Romme, J. H.
 Kastens, M. L. Floyd, and J. Belnap. 2005. Regional vegetation die-off in response to globalchange-type drought. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 102:15144-15148.

- 1122 Brito-Morales, I., J. G. Molinos, D. S. Schoeman, M. T. Burrows, E. S. Poloczanska, C. J. Brown, S. 1123 Ferrier, T. D. Harwood, C. J. Klein, and E. McDonald-Madden. 2018. Climate velocity can 1124 inform conservation in a warming world. Trends in ecology & evolution 33:441-457. 1125 Brooks, M. L., and J. C. Chambers. 2011. Resistance to invasion and resilience to fire in desert 1126 shrublands of North America. Rangeland Ecology & Management 64:431-438. 1127 Brooks, M. L., C. M. D'Antonio, D. M. Richardson, J. B. Grace, J. E. Keeley, J. M. DiTomaso, R. J. 1128 Hobbs, M. Pellant, and D. Pyke. 2004. Effects of invasive alien plants on fire regimes. 1129 BioScience 54:677-688. 1130 Brown, C. D., and J. F. Johnstone. 2012. Once burned, twice shy: Repeat fires reduce seed availability and alter substrate constraints on Picea mariana regeneration. Forest Ecology and Management 1131 1132 266:34-41. 1133 Burns, R. M., and B. H. Honkala. 1990. Silvics of North America. Volume 1. Conifers. USDA Forest 1134 Service (Washington). Burrell, A., E. Kukavskava, R. Baxter, O. Sun, and K. Barrett, 2021, Post-fire recruitment failure as a 1135 driver of forest to non-forest ecosystem shifts in boreal regions. Pages 69-100 Ecosystem 1136 1137 Collapse and Climate Change. Springer. Burrows, M. T., D. S. Schoeman, A. J. Richardson, J. G. Molinos, A. Hoffmann, L. B. Buckley, P. J. 1138 1139 Moore, C. J. Brown, J. F. Bruno, and C. M. Duarte. 2014. Geographical limits to species-range 1140 shifts are suggested by climate velocity. Nature 507:492. 1141 Butaye, J., H. Jacquemyn, O. Honnay, and M. Hermy. 2002. The species pool concept applied to forests 1142 in a fragmented landscape: dispersal limitation versus habitat limitation. Journal of vegetation Science 13:27-34. 1143 1144 Cansler, C. A., D. McKenzie, and C. B. Halpern. 2018. Fire enhances the complexity of forest structure in 1145 alpine treeline ecotones. Ecosphere 9:e02091. 1146 Chambers, J. C., B. A. Bradley, C. S. Brown, C. D'Antonio, M. J. Germino, J. B. Grace, S. P. Hardegree, 1147 R. F. Miller, and D. A. Pyke. 2014. Resilience to Stress and Disturbance, and Resistance to 1148 Bromus tectorum L. Invasion in Cold Desert Shrublands of Western North America. Ecosystems 1149 17:360-375. Chambers, M. E., P. J. Fornwalt, S. L. Malone, and M. A. Battaglia. 2016. Patterns of conifer 1150 1151 regeneration following high severity wildfire in ponderosa pine – dominated forests of the 1152 Colorado Front Range. Forest Ecology and Management 378:57-67. 1153 Chase, J. M. 2003. Community assembly: when should history matter? Oecologia 136:489-498. 1154 Chazdon, R. L., D. A. Falk, L. F. Banin, M. Wagner, S. Wilson, R. C. Grabowski, and K. N. Suding. 2021. The intervention continuum in restoration ecology: rethinking the active-passive 1155 dichotomy. Restoration Ecology. 1156 1157 Chen, I. C., J. K. Hill, R. Ohlemüller, D. B. Roy, and C. D. Thomas. 2011. Rapid range shifts of species associated with high levels of climate warming. Science 333:1024-1026. 1158 1159 Choat, B., T. J. Brodribb, C. R. Brodersen, R. A. Duursma, R. Lopez, and B. E. Medlyn. 2018. Triggers 1160 of tree mortality under drought. Nature 558:531-539. Clark, J. S. 1998. Why trees migrate so fast: Confronting theory with dispersal biology and the 1161 paleorecord. American Naturalist 152:204-224. 1162 Clark, J. S., B. Beckage, P. Camill, B. Cleveland, J. HilleRisLambers, J. Lichter, J. McLachlan, J. Mohan, 1163 1164 and P. Wyckoff. 1999. Interpreting recruitment limitation in forests. American Journal of Botany 1165 **86**:1-16. Clark, J. S., J. Lynch, B. J. Stocks, and J. G. Goldammer. 1998. Relationships between charcoal particles 1166 in air and sediments in west-central Siberia. The Holocene 8:19-29. 1167 1168 Clarke, P. J., M. Lawes, J. J. Midgley, B. Lamont, F. Ojeda, G. Burrows, N. Enright, and K. Knox. 2013a.
 - Resprouting as a key functional trait: how buds, protection and resources drive persistence after
 fire. New Phytologist **197**:19-35.

- Clarke, P. J., M. J. Lawes, J. J. Midgley, B. B. Lamont, F. Ojeda, G. E. Burrows, N. J. Enright, and K. J.
 E. Knox. 2013b. Resprouting as a key functional trait: how buds, protection and resources drive persistence after fire. New Phytologist **197**:19-35.
- Clarke, P. J., M. J. Lawes, B. P. Murphy, J. Russell-Smith, C. E. Nano, R. Bradstock, N. J. Enright, J. B.
 Fontaine, C. R. Gosper, and I. Radford. 2015. A synthesis of postfire recovery traits of woody
 plants in Australian ecosystems. Science of The Total Environment 534:31-42.
- 1177 Clements, F. E. 1936. Nature and structure of the climax. Journal of Ecology 24:252-284.
- 1178 Collins, B. M., J. D. Miller, E. E. Knapp, and D. B. Sapsis. 2019. A quantitative comparison of forest
 1179 fires in central and northern California under early (1911–1924) and contemporary (2002–2015)
 1180 fire suppression. International journal of wildland fire 28:138-148.
- 1181 Collins, L. 2020. Eucalypt forests dominated by epicormic resprouters are resilient to repeated canopy
 1182 fires. Journal of Ecology 108:310-324.
- Colwell, R. K., and T. F. Rangel. 2009. Hutchinson's duality: The once and future niche. Proceedings of
 the National Academy of Sciences 106:19651-19658.
- Comte, L., J. Murienne, and G. Grenouillet. 2014. Species traits and phylogenetic conservatism of
 climate-induced range shifts in stream fishes. Nature Communications 5:1-9.
- 1187 Coop, J. D., and T. J. Givnish. 2007. Spatial and temporal patterns of recent forest encroachment in
 1188 montane grasslands of the Valles Caldera, New Mexico, USA: Valles Caldera tree-line shift.
 1189 Journal of Biogeography 34:914-927.
- Coop, J. D., S. A. Parks, S. R. McClernan, and L. M. Holsinger. 2016. Influences of prior wildfires on vegetation response to subsequent fire in a reburned southwestern landscape. Ecological Applications 26:346-354.
- Coop, J. D., S. A. Parks, C. S. Stevens-Rumann, S. D. Crausbay, P. E. Higuera, M. D. Hurteau, A.
 Tepley, E. Whitman, T. Assal, B. M. Collins, K. T. Davis, S. Z. Dobrowski, D. A. Falk, P. J.
 Fornwalt, P. Z. Fule, B. J. Harvey, V. R. Kane, C. E. Littlefield, E. Q. Margolis, M. North, M.-A.
 Parisien, S. Prichard, and K. C. Rodmen. 2020. Wildfire-driven forest conversion in western
 North American landscapes. BioScience **70**:659-673.
- Crausbay, S. D., P. E. Higuera, D. G. Sprugel, and L. B. Brubaker. 2017. Fire catalyzed rapid ecological
 change in lowland coniferous forests of the Pacific Northwest over the past 14,000 years. Ecology
 98:2356-2369.
- Crotteau, J. S., J. M. Varner III, and M. W. Ritchie. 2013. Post-fire regeneration across a fire severity
 gradient in the southern Cascades. Forest Ecology and Management 287:103-112.
- Curtis, P. G., C. M. Slay, N. L. Harris, A. Tyukavina, and M. C. Hansen. 2018. Classifying drivers of
 global forest loss. Science 361:1108-1111.
- 1205 Dale, V. H., L. A. Joyce, S. McNulty, R. P. Neilson, M. P. Ayres, M. D. Flannigan, P. J. Hanson, L. C.
 1206 Irland, A. E. Lugo, C. J. Peterson, D. Simberloff, F. J. Swanson, B. J. Stocks, and B. M. Wotton.
 1207 2001. Climate change and forest disturbances. BioScience 51:723-734.
- Dantas, V. d. L., M. Hirota, R. S. Oliveira, and J. G. Pausas. 2016. Disturbance maintains alternative biome states. Ecology letters 19:12-19.
- Davis, K. T., S. Z. Dobrowski, P. E. Higuera, Z. A. Holden, T. T. Veblen, M. T. Rother, S. A. Parks, A.
 Sala, and M. P. Maneta. 2019. Wildfires and climate change push low-elevation forests across a
 critical climate threshold for tree regeneration. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
 116:6193-6198.
- Davis, K. T., P. E. Higuera, S. Z. Dobrowski, S. A. Parks, J. T. Abatzoglou, M. T. Rother, and T. T.
 Veblen. 2020. Fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts in ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir forests of the
 western United States. Environmental Research Letters 15:1040b1048.
- Davis, K. T., P. E. Higuera, and A. Sala. 2018. Anticipating fire-mediated impacts of climate change
 using a demographic framework. Functional ecology 32:1729-1745.
- Davis, M. B., R. G. Shaw, and J. R. Etterson. 2005. Evolutionary responses to changing climate. Ecology
 86:1704-1714.

1221 Davis, S. D., F. W. Ewers, J. S. Sperry, K. A. Portwood, M. C. Crocker, and G. C. Adams. 2002. Shoot 1222 dieback during prolonged drought in Ceanothus (Rhamnaceae) chaparral of California: a possible 1223 case of hydraulic failure. American Journal of Botany 89:820-828. 1224 DeSoto, L., M. Cailleret, F. Sterck, S. Jansen, K. Kramer, E. M. R. Robert, T. Aakala, M. M. Amoroso, C. Bigler, J. J. Camarero, K. Čufar, G. Gea-Izquierdo, S. Gillner, L. J. Haavik, A.-M. Heres, J. M. 1225 Kane, V. I. Kharuk, T. Kitzberger, T. Klein, T. Levanič, J. C. Linares, H. Mäkinen, W. 1226 Oberhuber, A. Papadopoulos, B. Rohner, G. Sangüesa-Barreda, D. B. Stojanovic, M. L. Suárez, 1227 1228 R. Villalba, and J. Martínez-Vilalta. 2020. Low growth resilience to drought is related to future 1229 mortality risk in trees. Nature Communications 11:545. Diamond, J. M. 1975. Assembly of species communities. Pages 342-444 in M. L. Cody and J. M. 1230 Diamond, editors. Ecology & evolution of communities. Belknap Press, Cambridge, MA. 1231 1232 Dobrowski, S. Z., A. K. Swanson, J. T. Abatzoglou, Z. A. Holden, H. D. Safford, M. K. Schwartz, and D. 1233 G. Gavin. 2015. Forest structure and species traits mediate projected recruitment declines in western US tree species. Global Ecology and Biogeography 24:917-927. 1234 Dolanc, C. R., H. D. Safford, S. Z. Dobrowski, and J. H. Thorne. 2014. Twentieth century shifts in 1235 1236 abundance and composition of vegetation types of the S ierra N evada, CA, US. Applied Vegetation Science 17:442-455. 1237 1238 Donato, D. C., J. B. Fontaine, J. L. Campbell, W. D. Robinson, J. B. Kauffman, and B. E. Law. 2009. 1239 Conifer regeneration in stand-replacement portions of a large mixed-severity wildfire in the 1240 Klamath-Siskiyou Mountains. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 39:823-838. 1241 Doxa, A., Y. Kamarianakis, and A. D. Mazaris. 2022. Spatial heterogeneity and temporal stability characterize future climatic refugia in Mediterranean Europe. Global change biology **00** 1242 1243 (https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16072):1-12. Earles, J. M., M. P. North, and M. D. Hurteau. 2014. Wildfire and drought dynamics destabilize carbon 1244 stores of fire-suppressed forests. Ecological applications 24:732-740. 1245 1246 Ellison, A. M., M. S. Bank, B. D. Clinton, E. A. Colburn, K. Elliott, C. R. Ford, D. R. Foster, B. D. 1247 Kloeppel, J. D. Knoepp, and G. M. Lovett. 2005. Loss of foundation species: consequences for 1248 the structure and dynamics of forested ecosystems. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 1249 **3**:479-486. Elmqvist, T., C. Folke, M. Nyström, G. Peterson, J. Bengtsson, B. Walker, and J. Norberg. 2003. 1250 1251 Response diversity, ecosystem change, and resilience. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 1:488-494. 1252 1253 Engber, E. A., J. M. Varner, L. A. Arguello, and N. G. Sugihara. 2011. The Effects of Conifer Encroachment and Overstory Structure on Fuels and Fire in an Oak Woodland Landscape. Fire 1254 1255 Ecology 7:32-50. 1256 Enright, N. J., J. B. Fontaine, D. M. Bowman, R. A. Bradstock, and R. J. Williams. 2015. Interval squeeze: altered fire regimes and demographic responses interact to threaten woody species 1257 persistence as climate changes. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 13:265-272. 1258 Enright, N. J., J. B. Fontaine, B. B. Lamont, B. P. Miller, and V. C. Westcott. 2014. Resistance and 1259 resilience to changing climate and fire regime depend on plant functional traits. Journal of 1260 Ecology 102:1572-1581. 1261 Erikkson, O. 1996. Regional dynamics of plants: a review of evidence for remnant, source-sink, and 1262 metapopulations. Oikos 77:248-258. 1263 1264 Falk, D. A. 1990. Discovering the past, creating the future. Restoration & Management Notes 8:71-72. Falk, D. A. 2013. Are Madrean ecosystems approaching tipping points? Anticipating interactions of 1265 landscape disturbance and climate change.in Merging science and management in a rapidly 1266 1267 changing world: Biodiversity and management of the Madrean Archipelago III. . U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fort Collins, CO., 1268 1269 Tucson, AZ. Falk, D. A. 2017. Restoration Ecology, Resilience, and the Axes of Change. Annals of the Missouri 1270 Botanical Garden **102**:201-217. 1271

- Falk, D. A., A. C. Watts, and A. E. Thode. 2019. Scaling ecological resilience. Frontiers in Ecology and
 Evolution 7:275.
- Feddema, J. J., J. N. Mast, and M. Savage. 2013. Modeling high-severity fire, drought and climate change
 impacts on ponderosa pine regeneration. Ecological Modelling 253:56-69.
- Fernandez, M. C., F. S. Hu, D. G. Gavin, G. de Lafontaine, and K. D. Heath. 2021. A tale of two conifers:
 Migration across a dispersal barrier outpaced regional expansion from refugia. Journal of
 Biogeography 48:2133-2143.
- Fettig, C. J., L. A. Mortenson, B. M. Bulaon, and P. B. Foulk. 2019. Tree mortality following drought in
 the central and southern Sierra Nevada, California, U.S. Forest Ecology and Management
 432:164-178.
- Fletcher, M.-S., S. W. Wood, and S. G. Haberle. 2014. A fire-driven shift from forest to non-forest:
 evidence for alternative stable states? Ecology 95:2504-2513.
- Fukami, T. 2015. Historical contingency in community assembly: integrating niches, species pools, and
 priority effects. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 46:1-23.
- Furniss, T. J., A. J. Das, P. J. van Mantgem, N. L. Stephenson, and J. A. Lutz. 2021. Crowding, climate,
 and the case for social distancing among trees. Ecological Applications In press.
- Furniss, T. J., A. J. Larson, V. R. Kane, and J. A. Lutz. 2019. Multi-scale assessment of post-fire tree
 mortality models. International Journal of Wildland Fire 28:46.
- Gaertner, M., R. Biggs, M. Te Beest, C. Hui, J. Molofsky, and D. M. Richardson. 2014. Invasive plants as
 drivers of regime shifts: identifying high-priority invaders that alter feedback relationships.
 Diversity and Distributions 20:733-744.
- Gagnon, P. R., H. A. Passmore, W. J. Platt, J. A. Myers, C. T. Paine, and K. E. Harms. 2010. Does
 pyrogenicity protect burning plants? Ecology 91:3481-3486.
- Gedalof, Z., D. L. Peterson, and N. Mantua. 2005. Atmospheric, climatic, and ecological controls on
 extreme wildfire. Ecological Applications 15:154-174.
- Gleason, H. A. 1926. The individualistic concept of the plant association. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical
 Club 53:7-26.
- Greene, D. F., C. D. Canham, K. D. Coates, and P. T. Lepage. 2004. An evaluation of alternative dispersal functions for trees. Journal of Ecology 92:758-766.
- Greene, D. F., and E. A. Johnson. 1996. Wind Dispersal of Seeds from a Forest Into a Clearing. Ecology 1302 77:595-609.
- Greene, D. F., J. C. Zasada, L. Sirois, D. Kneeshaw, H. Morin, I. Charron, and M.-J. Simard. 1999. A
 review of the regeneration dynamics of North American boreal forest tree species. Canadian
 Journal of Forest Research 29:824-839.
- Grubb, P. J. 1977. The maintenance of species-richness in plant communities: The importance of the
 regeneration niche. Biological Review 52:107-145.
- Guiterman, C. H., R. M. Gregg, L. A. E. Marshall, J. J. Beckmann, P. van Mantgem, D. A. Falk, and J. E.
 Keeley, et al. *in rev.* Vegetation type conversion in the US Southwest: Drivers, management
 responses, future directions. Fire Ecology.
- Guiterman, C. H., E. Q. Margolis, C. D. Allen, D. A. Falk, and T. W. Swetnam. 2018. Long-Term
 Persistence and Fire Resilience of Oak Shrubfields in Dry Conifer Forests of Northern New
 Mexico. Ecosystems 21:943-959.
- Hagmann, R., P. Hessburg, S. Prichard, N. Povak, P. Brown, P. Fulé, R. Keane, E. Knapp, J. Lydersen, K.
 Metlen, M. Reilly, A. S. Meador, S. Stephens, J. Stevens, A. Taylor, L. Yocom, M. Battaglia, D.
 Churchill, L. Daniels, D. Falk, M. Krawchuk, J. Johnston, C. Levine, G. Meigs, A. Merschel, M.
 North, H. Safford, T. Swetnam, and A. Waltz. 2021. Evidence for widespread changes in the
 structure, composition, and fire regimes of western North American forests. Ecological
 applications **31**:e02431.
- Hagmann, R., P. Hessburg, R. Salter, A. Merschel, and M. Reilly. 2022. Contemporary wildfires further
 degrade resistance and resilience of fire-excluded forests. Forest Ecology and Management
 506:119975.

- Haire, S. L., and K. McGarigal. 2010. Effects of landscape patterns of fire severity on regenerating
 ponderosa pine forests (Pinus ponderosa) in New Mexico and Arizona, USA. Landscape Ecology
 25:1055-1069.
- Halofsky, J. E., D. L. Peterson, and B. J. Harvey. 2020. Changing wildfire, changing forests: the effects of
 climate change on fire regimes and vegetation in the Pacific Northwest, USA. Fire Ecology 16:4.
- Halpern, C. B. 1988. Early successional pathways and the resistance and resilience of forest communities.
 Ecology 69:1703-1715.
- Halpern, C. B. 1989. Early successional patterns of forest species: Interactions of life history traits and disturbance. Ecology **70**:704-720.
- Halpern, C. B., and J. A. Antos. 2021. Rates, patterns, and drivers of tree reinvasion 15 years after large scale meadow-restoration treatments. Restoration Ecology:e13377.
- Halpern, C. B., J. A. Antos, J. M. Rice, R. D. Haugo, and N. L. Lang. 2010. Tree invasion of a montane
 meadow complex: temporal trends, spatial patterns, and biotic interactions. Journal of Vegetation
 Science 21:717-732.
- Hammond, W. M., K. Yu, L. A. Wilson, R. E. Will, W. R. Anderegg, and H. D. Adams. 2019. Dead or
 dying? Quantifying the point of no return from hydraulic failure in drought-induced tree
 mortality. New Phytologist 223:1834-1843.
- Hannah, L., L. Flint, A. D. Syphard, M. A. Moritz, L. B. Buckley, and I. M. McCullough. 2014. Finegrain modeling of species' response to climate change: holdouts, stepping-stones, and
 microrefugia. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 29:390-397.
- Hansen, W. D., K. H. Braziunas, W. Rammer, R. Seidl, and M. G. Turner. 2018. It takes a few to tango:
 changing climate and fire regimes can cause regeneration failure of two subalpine conifers.
 Ecology 99:966-977.
- Hansen, W. D., R. Fitzsimmons, J. Olnes, and A. P. Williams. 2021. An alternate vegetation type proves
 resilient and persists for decades following forest conversion in the North American boreal
 biome. Journal of Ecology 109:85-98.
- Hansen, W. D., and M. G. Turner. 2019. Origins of abrupt change? Postfire subalpine conifer
 regeneration declines nonlinearly with warming and drying. Ecological Monographs 89:e01340.
- 1351 Harper, J. L. 1977. Population biology of plants. Academic Press, London, UK.
- Harvey, B. J., D. C. Donato, and M. G. Turner. 2016. High and dry: post-fire tree seedling establishment
 in subalpine forests decreases with post-fire drought and large stand-replacing burn patches.
 Global Ecology and Biogeography 25:655-669.
- Helsen, K., M. Hermy, and O. Honnay. 2016. A test of priority effect persistence in semi-natural
 grasslands through the removal of plant functional groups during community assembly. BMC
 ecology 16:22.
- Héon, J., D. Arseneault, and M.-A. Parisien. 2014. Resistance of the boreal forest to high burn rates.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111:13888-13893.
- Hessburg, P. F., B. G. Smith, and S. G. Kreiter. 1999. Historical and current forest and range landscapes
 in the Interior Columbia River basin including portions of the Klamath and Great Basins. Part I:
 Linking vegetation patterns and landscape vulnerability to potential insect and pathogen
 disturbances. General Technical Report General Technical Report PNW-GTR-458, USDA Forest
 Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR.
- Hicke, J. A., A. J. Meddens, and C. A. Kolden. 2016. Recent tree mortality in the western United States
 from bark beetles and forest fires. Forest Science 62:141-153.
- Higgs, E., D. A. Falk, A. Guerrini, M. Hall, J. Harris, R. J. Hobbs, S. T. Jackson, J. M. Rhemtulla, and W.
 Throop. 2014. The changing role of history in restoration ecology. Frontiers in Ecology and the
 Environment 12:499-506.
- Hobbs, R. J., S. Arico, J. Aronson, J. S. Baron, P. Bridgewater, V. A. Cramer, P. R. Epstein, J. J. Ewel, C.
 A. Klink, A. E. Lugo, D. Norton, D. Ojima, D. M. Richardson, E. W. Sanderson, F. Valladares,
 M. Vilà, R. Zamora, and M. Zobel. 2006. Novel ecosystems: Theoretical and management
 aspects of the new ecological world order. Global Ecology and Biogeography 15:1-7.

- Hoffmann, W. A., E. L. Geiger, S. G. Gotsch, D. R. Rossatto, L. C. Silva, O. L. Lau, M. Haridasan, and
 A. C. Franco. 2012. Ecological thresholds at the savanna-forest boundary: how plant traits,
 resources and fire govern the distribution of tropical biomes. Ecology letters 15:759-768.
- Hoffmann, W. A., B. Orthen, and P. K. V. Do Nascimento. 2003. Comparative fire ecology of tropical
 savanna and forest trees. Functional Ecology:720-726.
- Hoffmann, W. A., W. Schroeder, and R. B. Jackson. 2002. Positive feedbacks of fire, climate, and
 vegetation and the conversion of tropical savanna. Geophysical research letters 29:9-1-9-4.
- Holz, A., S. W. Wood, T. T. Veblen, and D. M. Bowman. 2015. Effects of high-severity fire drove the
 population collapse of the subalpine Tasmanian endemic conifer Athrotaxis cupressoides. Global
 Change Biology 21:445-458.
- Hood, S. M., J. M. Varner, P. van Mantgem, and C. A. Cansler. 2018. Fire and tree death: understanding
 and improving modeling of fire-induced tree mortality. Environmental Research Letters
 1386
 13:113004.
- Huang, J., M. Kautz, A. M. Trowbridge, A. Hammerbacher, K. F. Raffa, H. D. Adams, D. W. Goodsman,
 C. Xu, A. J. Meddens, and D. Kandasamy. 2020. Tree defence and bark beetles in a drying world:
 carbon partitioning, functioning and modelling. New Phytologist 225:26-36.
- Huffman, D. W., M. L. Floyd, D. P. Hanna, J. E. Crouse, P. Z. Fulé, A. J. S. Meador, and J. D. Springer.
 2020. Fire regimes and structural changes in oak-pine forests of the Mogollon Highlands
 ecoregion: Implications for ecological restoration. Forest Ecology and Management 465:118087.
- Hurteau, M. D., S. Liang, A. L. Westerling, and C. Wiedinmyer. 2019. Vegetation-fire feedback reduces
 projected area burned under climate change. Scientific reports 9:1-6.
- Iglesias, V., and C. Whitlock. 2020. If the trees burn, is the forest lost? Past dynamics in temperate forests
 help inform management strategies. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B
 375:20190115.
- 1398 IPCC. 2018. Global Warming of 1.5°C, an IPCC special report on the impacts of global warming of
 1399 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels (SR1.5). Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC),
 1400 Geneva, Switzerland.
- Iverson, L. R., and D. McKenzie. 2013. Tree-species range shifts in a changing climate: detecting, modeling, assisting. Landscape ecology 28:879-889.
- Jackson, J. F., D. C. Adams, and U. B. Jackson. 1999. Allometry of constitutive defense: a model and a comparative test with tree bark and fire regime. The American Naturalist 153:614-632.
- Jackson, S. T., J. L. Betancourt, R. K. Booth, and S. T. Gray. 2009. Ecology and the ratchet of events:
 Climate variability, niche dimensions, and species distributions. Proceedings of the National
 Academy of Sciences 106:19685-19692.
- Jackson, S. T., and J. L. Blois. 2015. Community ecology in a changing environment: Perspectives from
 the Quaternary. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112:4915-4921.
- Jackson, S. T., and J. T. Overpeck. 2000. Responses of plant populations and communities to
 environmental changes of the late Quaternary. Paleobiology 26:194-220.
- Jackson, S. T., and D. F. Sax. 2010. Balancing biodiversity in a changing environment: extinction debt,
 immigration credit and species turnover. Trends in ecology & evolution 25:153-160.
- Jain, T. B., D. S. Pilliod, R. T. Graham, L. B. Lentile, and J. E. Sandquist. 2012. Index for characterizing post-fire soil environments in temperate coniferous forests. Forests 3:445-466.
- 1416 Jentsch, A., and P. White. 2019. A theory of pulse dynamics and disturbance in ecology. Ecology:e02734.
- Jeronimo, S. M. A., J. A. Lutz, V. R. Kane, A. J. Larson, and J. F. Franklin. 2020. Burn weather and
 three-dimensional fuel structure determine post-fire tree mortality. Landscape Ecology.
- Johnson, D. M., J. C. Domec, Z. Carter Berry, A. M. Schwantes, K. A. McCulloh, D. R. Woodruff, H.
 Wayne Polley, R. Wortemann, J. J. Swenson, and D. Scott Mackay. 2018. Co-occurring woody
 species have diverse hydraulic strategies and mortality rates during an extreme drought. Plant,
 Coll & Environment 41:576, 588
- 1422 Cell & Environment 41:576-588.

- Johnstone, J. F., C. D. Allen, J. F. Franklin, L. E. Frelich, B. J. Harvey, P. E. Higuera, M. C. Mack, R. K.
 Meentemeyer, M. R. Metz, and G. L. Perry. 2016. Changing disturbance regimes, ecological
 memory, and forest resilience. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 14:369-378.
- Kautz, M., A. J. Meddens, R. J. Hall, and A. Arneth. 2017. Biotic disturbances in Northern Hemisphere
 forests-a synthesis of recent data, uncertainties and implications for forest monitoring and
 modelling. Global Ecology and Biogeography 26:533-552.
- Kayes, L. J., and D. B. Tinker. 2012. Forest structure and regeneration following a mountain pine beetle
 epidemic in southeastern Wyoming. Forest Ecology and Management 263:57-66.
- 1431 Keane, R. E., D. F. Tomback, C. A. Aubry, A. D. Bower, E. M. Campbell, C. L. Cripps, M. B. Jenkins,
 1432 M. F. Mahalovich, M. Manning, S. T. McKinney, M. P. Murray, D. L. Perkins, D. P. Reinhart, C.
 1433 Ryan, A. W. Schoettle, and C. M. Smith. 2012. A range-wide restoration strategy for whitebark
 1434 pine (<i>Pinus albicaulis</i>). RMRS-GTR-279, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service,
 1435 Rocky Mountain Research Station, Ft. Collins, CO.
- 1436 Keeley, J. E. 1997. Trace gas emissions and smoke-induced seed germination. Science 276:1248-1250.
- Keeley, J. E. 2009. Ecological foundations for fire management in North American forest and shrubland
 ecosystems. US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station.
- 1439 Keeley, J. E. 2012. Ecology and evolution of pine life histories. Annals of Forest Science **69**:445-453.
- Keeley, J. E., W. J. Bond, R. A. Bradstock, J. G. Pausas, and P. W. Rundel. 2011a. Fire in Mediterranean
 ecosystems: ecology, evolution and management. Cambridge University Press.
- Keeley, J. E., and T. J. Brennan. 2012. Fire-driven alien invasion in a fire-adapted ecosystem. Oecologia
 1443 169:1043-1052.
- Keeley, J. E., and S. C. Keeley. 1987. Role of fire in the germination of chaparral herbs and suffrutescents. Madroño:240-249.
- Keeley, J. E., and J. G. Pausas. 2019. Distinguishing disturbance from perturbations in fire-prone
 ecosystems. International Journal of Wildland Fire 28:282-287.
- Keeley, J. E., J. G. Pausas, P. W. Rundel, W. J. Bond, and R. A. Bradstock. 2011b. Fire as an
 evolutionary pressure shaping plant traits. Trends in Plant Science 16:406-411.
- Keeley, J. E., P. van Mantgem, and D. A. Falk. 2019. Fire, climate and changing forests. Nature Plants
 5:774-775.
- Keeley, J. E., and P. H. Zedler. 1998. Evolution of life histories in pines. Pages 219-249 *in* D. Richardson,
 editor. Ecology and biogeography of Pinus. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- 1454 Kelly, R., M. L. Chipman, P. E. Higuera, I. Stefanova, L. B. Brubaker, and F. S. Hu. 2013. Recent
 1455 burning of boreal forests exceeds fire regime limits of the past 10,000 years. Proceedings of the
 1456 National Academy of Sciences 110:13055-13060.
- 1457 Kerns, B. K., C. Tortorelli, M. A. Day, T. Nietupski, A. M. Barros, J. B. Kim, and M. A. Krawchuk.
 1458 2020. Invasive grasses: A new perfect storm for forested ecosystems? Forest Ecology and
 1459 Management 463:117985.
- Keyser, A. R., D. J. Krofcheck, C. C. Remy, C. D. Allen, and M. D. Hurteau. 2020. Simulated increases
 in fire activity reinforce shrub conversion in a southwestern US forest. Ecosystems 23:1702-1713.
- Kidd, K. R., and J. M. Varner. 2019. Differential relative bark thickness and aboveground growth
 discriminates fire resistance among hardwood sprouts in the southern Cascades, California. Trees
 33:267-277.
- Kimmerer, R. W., and F. K. Lake. 2001. The Role of Indigenous Burning in Land Management. Journal
 of Forestry 99:36-41.
- Kitzberger, T., D. A. Falk, A. L. Westerling, and T. W. Swetnam. 2017. Direct and indirect climate
 controls predict heterogeneous early-mid 21st century wildfire burned area across western and
 boreal North America. PLoS One 12:e0188486.
- 1470 Kitzberger, T., G. Perry, J. Paritsis, J. Gowda, A. Tepley, A. Holz, and T. Veblen. 2016. Fire-vegetation
 1471 feedbacks and alternative states: common mechanisms of temperate forest vulnerability to fire in
 1472 southern South America and New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Botany 54:247-272.

- 1473 Knapp, E. E., C. P. Weatherspoon, and C. N. Skinner. 2012. Shrub seed banks in mixed conifer forests of
 1474 northern California and the role of fire in regulating abundance. Fire Ecology 8:32-48.
- 1475 Krawchuk, M. A., G. W. Meigs, J. M. Cartwright, J. D. Coop, R. Davis, A. Holz, C. Kolden, and A. J. H.
 1476 Meddens. 2020. Disturbance refugia within mosaics of forest fire, drought, and insect outbreaks.
 1477 Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 18:235-244.
- 1478 Kreye, J. K., J. M. Varner, J. K. Hiers, and J. Mola. 2013. Toward a mechanism for eastern North
 1479 American forest mesophication: differential litter drying across 17 species. Ecological
 1480 applications 23:1976-1986.
- Lake, F. K., V. Wright, P. Morgan, M. McFadzen, D. McWethy, and C. Stevens-Rumann. 2017.
 Returning Fire to the Land: Celebrating Traditional Knowledge and Fire. Journal of Forestry 1483 115:343-353.
- Landesmann, J. B., F. Tiribelli, J. Paritsis, T. T. Veblen, and T. Kitzberger. 2021. Increased fire severity
 triggers positive feedbacks of greater vegetation flammability and favors plant community-type
 conversions. Journal of Vegetation Science 32:e12936.
- Laughlin, D. C., C. Joshi, P. M. van Bodegom, Z. A. Bastow, and P. Z. Fulé. 2012. A predictive model of
 community assembly that incorporates intraspecific trait variation. Ecology letters 15:1291-1299.
- Law, D. J., H. D. Adams, D. D. Breshears, N. S. Cobb, J. B. Bradford, C. B. Zou, J. P. Field, A. A.
 Gardea, A. P. Williams, and T. E. Huxman. 2019. Bioclimatic envelopes for individual
 demographic events driven by extremes: plant mortality from drought and warming. International
 Journal of Plant Sciences 180:53-62.
- Lawes, M. J., and P. J. Clarke. 2011. Ecology of plant resprouting: populations to community responses
 in fire-prone ecosystems. Plant Ecology 212:1937-1943.
- 1495 Leck, M. A. 2012. Ecology of soil seed banks. Elsevier.
- Leslie, A. B., J. M. Beaulieu, and S. Mathews. 2017. Variation in seed size is structured by dispersal
 syndrome and cone morphology in conifers and other nonflowering seed plants. New Phytologist
 216:429-437.
- Lindenmayer, D. B., P. J. Burton, and J. F. Franklin. 2012. Salvage logging and its ecological
 consequences. Island Press.
- Littlefield, C. E., S. Z. Dobrowski, J. T. Abatzoglou, S. A. Parks, and K. T. Davis. 2020. A climatic
 dipole drives short-and long-term patterns of postfire forest recovery in the western United States.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 117:29730-29737.
- Litzow, M. A., and M. E. Hunsicker. 2016. Early warning signals, nonlinearity, and signs of hysteresis in real ecosystems. Ecosphere 7:e01614.
- Lloret, F., and E. Batllori. 2021. Climate-Induced Global Forest Shifts due to Heatwave-Drought. Pages
 1507 155-186 *in* J. G. Canadell and R. B. Jackson, editors. Ecosystem Collapse and Climate Change.
 Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Lloret, F., A. Escudero, J. M. Iriondo, J. Martínez-Vilalta, and F. Valladares. 2012. Extreme climatic
 events and vegetation: the role of stabilizing processes. Global Change Biology 18:797-805.
- Loarie, S. R., P. B. Duffy, H. Hamilton, G. P. Asner, C. B. Field, and D. D. Ackerly. 2009. The velocity
 of climate change. Nature 462:1052-1055.
- Luger, A., and E. Moll. 1993. Fire protection and afromontane forest expansion in Cape fynbos.
 Biological Conservation 64:51-56.
- Lynch, A. J., L. M. Thompson, E. A. Beever, D. N. Cole, A. C. Engman, C. Hawkins Hoffman, S. T.
 Jackson, T. J. Krabbenhoft, D. J. Lawrence, D. Limpinsel, R. T. Magill, T. A. Melvin, J. M.
 Morton, R. A. Newman, J. O. Peterson, M. T. Porath, F. J. Rahel, G. W. Schuurman, S. A. Sethi,
 and J. L. Wilkening. 2021. Managing for RADical ecosystem change: applying the ResistAccept-Direct (RAD) framework. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment:fee.2377.
- Maherali, H., W. T. Pockman, and R. B. Jackson. 2004. Adaptive variation in the vulnerability of woody
 plants to xylem cavitation. Ecology 85:2184-2199.

- Markesteijn, L., L. Poorter, F. Bongers, H. Paz, and L. Sack. 2011. Hydraulics and life history of tropical
 dry forest tree species: coordination of species' drought and shade tolerance. New phytologist
 1524
 191:480-495.
- Marsh, C., D. Krofcheck, and M. Hurteau. 2022. Identifying microclimate tree seedling refugia in post wildfire landscapes. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 313:108741.
- Matonis, M. S., and D. Binkley. 2018. Not just about the trees: Key role of mosaic-meadows in
 restoration of ponderosa pine ecosystems. Forest Ecology and Management 411:120-131.
- Matusick, G., K. X. Ruthrof, J. Kala, N. C. Brouwers, D. D. Breshears, and G. E. S. J. Hardy. 2018.
 Chronic historical drought legacy exacerbates tree mortality and crown dieback during acute heatwave-compounded drought. Environmental Research Letters 13:095002.
- McDaniel, J. K., H. D. Alexander, C. M. Siegert, and M. A. Lashley. 2021. Shifting tree species
 composition of upland oak forests alters leaf litter structure, moisture, and flammability. Forest
 Ecology and Management 482:118860.
- McDonald, C. J., and G. R. McPherson. 2011. Fire behavior characteristics of buffelgrass-fueled fires and
 native plant community composition in invaded patches. Journal of Arid Environments 75:1147 1154.
- McDonald, P. M., and J. C. Tappeiner. 1996. Silviculture-ecology of forest-zone hardwoods in the Sierra
 Nevada. Pages 621-636 *in* D. C. Erman and D. C. Erman, editors. Sierra Nevada Ecosystem
 Project: Final report to Congress, Vol. III, Assessments and scientific basis for management
 options.
- McDowell, N., W. T. Pockman, C. D. Allen, D. D. Breshears, N. Cobb, T. Kolb, J. Plaut, J. Sperry, A.
 West, and D. G. Williams. 2008. Mechanisms of plant survival and mortality during drought: why do some plants survive while others succumb to drought? New Phytologist **178**:719-739.
- McDowell, N. G. 2011. Mechanisms linking drought, hydraulics, carbon metabolism, and vegetation
 mortality. Plant physiology 155:1051-1059.
- McDowell, N. G., C. D. Allen, K. Anderson-Teixeira, B. H. Aukema, B. Bond-Lamberty, L. Chini, J. S.
 Clark, M. Dietze, C. Grossiord, and A. Hanbury-Brown. 2020. Pervasive shifts in forest dynamics in a changing world. Science 368:eaaz9463.
- McDowell, N. G., and S. Sevanto. 2010. The mechanisms of carbon starvation: how, when, or does it
 even occur at all? The New Phytologist 186:264-266.
- McDowell, N. G., A. Williams, C. Xu, W. Pockman, L. Dickman, S. Sevanto, R. Pangle, J. Limousin, J.
 Plaut, and D. Mackay. 2016. Multi-scale predictions of massive conifer mortality due to chronic temperature rise. Nature Climate Change 6:295.
- McWethy, D. B., C. Whitlock, J. M. Wilmshurst, M. S. McGlone, M. Fromont, X. Li, A. Dieffenbacher Krall, W. O. Hobbs, S. C. Fritz, and E. R. Cook. 2010. Rapid landscape transformation in South
 Island, New Zealand, following initial Polynesian settlement. Proceedings of the National
 Academy of Sciences 107:21343-21348.
- Michalak, J. L., J. J. Lawler, D. R. Roberts, and C. Carroll. 2018. Distribution and protection of climatic
 refugia in North America. Conservation Biology 32:1414-1425.
- Michaletz, S. T., and E. A. Johnson. 2007. How forest fires kill trees: a review of the fundamental
 biophysical processes. Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research 22:500-515.
- Millar, C. I., and N. L. Stephenson. 2015. Temperate forest health in an era of emerging megadisturbance.
 Science 349:823-826.
- Millar, C. I., N. L. Stephenson, and S. L. Stephens. 2007. Climate change and forests of the future:
 Managing in the face of uncertainty. Ecological Applications 17:2415-2151.
- Miller, A. D., J. R. Thompson, A. J. Tepley, and K. J. Anderson-Teixeira. 2019. Alternative stable
 equilibria and critical thresholds created by fire regimes and plant responses in a fire-prone
 community. Ecography 42:55-66.
- Mittelbach, G. G., and D. W. Schemske. 2015. Ecological and evolutionary perspectives on community
 assembly. Trends in ecology & evolution 30:241-247.

- Newberry, B. M., C. R. Power, R. C. Abreu, G. Durigan, D. R. Rossatto, and W. A. Hoffmann. 2020.
 Flammability thresholds or flammability gradients? Determinants of fire across savanna–forest transitions. New phytologist 228:910-921.
- Niemeyer, R. J., K. D. Bladon, and R. D. Woodsmith. 2020. Long-term hydrologic recovery after wildfire
 and post-fire forest management in the interior Pacific Northwest. Hydrological Processes
 34:1182-1197.
- Nolan, C., J. T. Overpeck, J. R. Allen, P. M. Anderson, J. L. Betancourt, H. A. Binney, S. Brewer, M. B.
 Bush, B. M. Chase, and R. Cheddadi. 2018. Past and future global transformation of terrestrial
 ecosystems under climate change. Science 361:920-923.
- Nolan, R. H., L. Collins, A. Leigh, M. K. Ooi, T. J. Curran, T. A. Fairman, V. Resco de Dios, and R.
 Bradstock. 2021. Limits to post-fire vegetation recovery under climate change. Plant, cell &
 environment 44:3471-3489.
- North, M. P., J. T. Stevens, D. F. Greene, M. Coppoletta, E. E. Knapp, A. M. Latimer, C. M. Restaino, R.
 E. Tompkins, K. R. Welch, R. A. York, and D. J. N. Young et al. 2019. Tamm Review:
 Reforestation for resilience in dry western U.S. forests. Forest Ecology and Management
 432:209-224.
- Notaro, M., A. Mauss, and J. W. Williams. 2012. Projected vegetation changes for the American
 Southwest: combined dynamic modeling and bioclimatic-envelope approach. Ecological
 Applications 22:1365-1388.
- Nowacki, G. J., and M. D. Abrams. 2008. The demise of fire and "mesophication" of forests in the eastern
 United States. BioScience 58:123-138.
- O'Connor, C. D., D. A. Falk, A. M. Lynch, T. W. Swetnam, and C. P. Wilcox. 2017. Disturbance and
 productivity interactions mediate stability of forest composition and structure. Ecological
 Applications 27:900-915.
- O'Connor, C. D., D. A. Falk, and G. M. Garfin. 2020. Projected climate-fire interactions drive forest to
 shrubland transition on an Arizona Sky Island. Frontiers in Environmental Science. 8: Article
 137. 8:137.
- Odion, D. C., M. A. Moritz, and D. A. DellaSala. 2010. Alternative community states maintained by fire
 in the Klamath Mountains, USA. Journal of Ecology 98:96-105.
- Ouzts, J., T. Kolb, D. Huffman, and A. Sánchez Meador. 2015. Post-fire ponderosa pine regeneration
 with and without planting in Arizona and New Mexico. Forest Ecology and Management
 354:281-290.
- 1604 Owen, S. M., C. H. Sieg, P. Z. Fulé, C. A. Gehring, L. S. Baggett, J. M. Iniguez, P. J. Fornwalt, and M. A.
 1605 Battaglia. 2020. Persistent effects of fire severity on ponderosa pine regeneration niches and
 1606 seedling growth. Forest Ecology and Management 477:118502.
- 1607 Owen, S. M., C. H. Sieg, A. J. Sánchez Meador, P. Z. Fulé, J. M. Iniguez, L. S. Baggett, P. J. Fornwalt,
 1608 and M. A. Battaglia. 2017. Spatial patterns of ponderosa pine regeneration in high-severity burn
 1609 patches. Forest Ecology and Management 405:134-149.
- Parks, S. A., and J. Abatzoglou. 2020. Warmer and drier fire seasons contribute to increases in area
 burned at high severity in western US forests from 1985 to 2017. Geophysical Research Letters
 47:e2020GL089858.
- Parks, S. A., S. Z. Dobrowski, J. D. Shaw, and C. Miller. 2019. Living on the edge: trailing edge forests at risk of fire-facilitated conversion to non-forest. Ecosphere 10:e02651.
- Parmesan, C. 2006. Ecological and evolutionary responses to recent climate change. Annual Review of
 Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 637-669.
- Parsons, D. J., and S. H. DeBenedetti. 1979. Impact of fire supression on a mixed-conifer forest. Forest
 Ecology & Management 2:21-33.
- 1619 Pausas, J. G. 2015. Bark thickness and fire regime. Functional Ecology 29:315-327.
- Pausas, J. G., and W. J. Bond. 2020. Alternative biome states in terrestrial ecosystems. Trends in Plant
 Science 25:250-263.

- Pausas, J. G., and J. E. Keeley. 2009. A burning story: the role of fire in the history of life. BioScience
 59:593-601.
- Pausas, J. G., and J. E. Keeley. 2017. Epicormic resprouting in fire-prone ecosystems. Trends in Plant
 Science 22:1008-1015.
- Pausas, J. G., J. E. Keeley, and D. W. Schwilk. 2017. Flammability as an ecological and evolutionary
 driver. Journal of Ecology 105:289-297.
- Pausas, J. G., and S. Paula. 2012. Fuel shapes the fire–climate relationship: evidence from Mediterranean
 ecosystems. Global Ecology and Biogeography 21:1074-1082.
- Pausas, J. G., R. B. Pratt, J. E. Keeley, A. L. Jacobsen, A. R. Ramirez, A. Vilagrosa, S. Paula, I. N.
 Kaneakua-Pia, and S. D. Davis. 2016. Towards understanding resprouting at the global scale.
 New Phytologist 209:945-954.
- Peeler, J. L., and E. A. Smithwick. 2020. Seed source pattern and terrain have scale-dependent effects on
 post-fire tree recovery. Landscape Ecology 35:1945-1959.
- Pellegrini, A. F., W. R. Anderegg, C. T. Paine, W. A. Hoffmann, T. Kartzinel, S. S. Rabin, D. Sheil, A. C.
 Franco, and S. W. Pacala. 2017. Convergence of bark investment according to fire and climate
 structures ecosystem vulnerability to future change. Ecology Letters 20:307-316.
- Prichard, S. J., P. F. Hessburg, R. K. Hagmann, N. A. Povak, S. Z. Dobrowski, M. D. Hurteau, V. R.
 Kane, R. E. Keane, L. N. Kobziar, and C. A. Kolden. 2021. Adapting western North American forests to climate change and wildfires: 10 common questions. Ecol. Appl 31.
- Prichard, S. J., and M. C. Kennedy. 2012. Fuel treatment effects on tree mortality following wildfire in
 dry mixed conifer forests, Washington State, USA. International Journal of Wildland Fire
 21:1004-1013.
- 1644 Ratajczak, Z., S. R. Carpenter, A. R. Ives, C. J. Kucharik, T. Ramiadantsoa, M. A. Stegner, J. W.
 1645 Williams, J. Zhang, and M. G. Turner. 2018. Abrupt change in ecological systems: inference and diagnosis. Trends in ecology & evolution 33:513-526.
- 1647 Ratajczak, Z., J. B. Nippert, and S. L. Collins. 2012. Woody encroachment decreases diversity across
 1648 North American grasslands and savannas. Ecology 93:697-703.
- Redmond, M. D., P. J. Weisberg, N. S. Cobb, and M. J. Clifford. 2018. Woodland resilience to regional
 drought: Dominant controls on tree regeneration following overstorey mortality. Journal of
 Ecology 106:625-639.
- 1652 Remke, M. J., T. Hoang, T. Kolb, C. Gehring, N. C. Johnson, and M. A. Bowker. 2020. Familiar soil
 1653 conditions help Pinus ponderosa seedlings cope with warming and drying climate. Restoration
 1654 Ecology doi: 10.1111/rec.13144
- 1655 Restaino, C., D. J. N. Young, B. Estes, S. Gross, A. Wuenschel, M. Meyer, and H. Safford. 2019. Forest
 1656 structure and climate mediate drought-induced tree mortality in forests of the Sierra Nevada,
 1657
 USA. Ecological Applications 29:e01902.
- Reynolds, R. T., A. J. S. Meador, J. A. Youtz, T. Nocolet, M. S. Matonis, P. L. Jackson, D. G.
 DeLorenzo, and A. D. Graves. 2013. Restoring composition and structure in Southwestern
 frequent-fire forests: A science-based framework for improving ecosystem resiliency. Gen. Tech.
 Rep. RMRS- GTR-310. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky
 Mountain Research Station.
- Rodman, K. C., T. T. Veblen, T. B. Chapman, M. T. Rother, A. P. Wion, and M. D. Redmond. 2020.
 Limitations to recovery following wildfire in dry forests of southern Colorado and northern New Mexico, USA. Ecological applications 30:e02001.
- Roos, C. I., and C. H. Guiterman. 2021. Dating the origins of persistent oak shrubfields in northern New
 Mexico using soil charcoal and dendrochronology. The Holocene:09596836211003255.
- Roos, C. I., T. W. Swetnam, T. Ferguson, M. J. Liebmann, R. A. Loehman, J. R. Welch, E. Q. Margolis,
 C. H. Guiterman, W. C. Hockaday, and M. J. Aiuvalasit. 2021. Native American fire management
 at an ancient wildland–urban interface in the Southwest United States. Proceedings of the
 National Academy of Sciences 118.

- 1672 Rosell, J. A. 2016. Bark thickness across the angiosperms: more than just fire. New Phytologist 211:90 102.
- 1674 Rosell, J. A., M. E. Olson, T. Anfodillo, and N. Martínez-Méndez. 2017. Exploring the bark thickness–
 1675 stem diameter relationship: clues from lianas, successive cambia, monocots and gymnosperms.
 1676 New Phytologist 215:569-581.
- Rother, M. T., and T. T. Veblen. 2016. Limited conifer regeneration following wildfires in dry ponderosa
 pine forests of the Colorado Front Range. Ecosphere 7:e01594.
- Rother, M. T., T. T. Veblen, and L. G. Furman. 2015. A field experiment informs expected patterns of
 conifer regeneration after disturbance under changing climate conditions. Canadian Journal of
 Forest Research 45:1607-1616.
- 1682 Rowe, J. S. 1983. Concepts of fire effects on plant individuals and species. Pages 135-154 *in* R. W. Wein
 1683 and D. A. Maclean, editors. The role of fire in northern circumpolar ecosystems. John Wiley &
 1684 Sons, New York.
- Ruthrof, K. X., D. D. Breshears, J. B. Fontaine, R. H. Froend, G. Matusick, J. Kala, B. P. Miller, P. J.
 Mitchell, S. K. Wilson, and M. van Keulen. 2018. Subcontinental heat wave triggers terrestrial
 and marine, multi-taxa responses. Scientific reports 8:13094.
- 1688 Ryan, K. C., and E. D. Reinhardt. 1988. Predicting postfire mortality of seven western conifers. Canadian
 1689 Journal of Forest Research 18:1291-1297.
- Saatkamp, A., P. Poschlod, and D. L. Venable. 2014. The Functional Role of Soil Seed Banks in Natural
 Communities. Pages 263-295 *in* R. S. Gallagher, editor. The ecology of regeneration in plant
 communities. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
- Sade, N., A. Gebremedhin, and M. Moshelion. 2012. Risk-taking plants: anisohydric behavior as a stress resistance trait. Plant signaling & behavior 7:767-770.
- Safford, H. D., and K. M. Van de Water. 2014. Using fire return interval departure (FRID) analysis to
 map spatial and temporal changes in fire frequency on national forest lands in California. Res.
 Pap. PSW-RP-266. Albany, CA: US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific
 Southwest Research Station. 59 p 266.
- Sala, A., F. Piper, and G. Hoch. 2010. Physiological mechanisms of drought-induced tree mortality are far
 from being resolved. New Phytologist 186:274-281.
- Sankaran, M. 2019. Droughts and the ecological future of tropical savanna vegetation. Journal of Ecology 1702 107:1531-1549.
- Sapes, G., P. Demaree, Y. Lekberg, and A. Sala. 2021. Plant carbohydrate depletion impairs water
 relations and spreads via ectomycorrhizal networks. New Phytologist 229:3172-3183.
- Savage, M., J. N. Mast, and J. J. Feddema. 2013. Double whammy: high-severity fire and drought in
 ponderosa pine forests of the Southwest. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 43:570-583.
- Scheffers, B. R., L. De Meester, T. C. L. Bridge, A. A. Hoffmann, J. M. Pandolfi, R. T. Corlett, S. H. M.
 Butchart, P. Pearce-Kelly, K. M. Kovacs, and D. Dudgeon. 2016. The broad footprint of climate
 change from genes to biomes to people. Science 354:aaf7671.
- Schubert, A., C. Nano, P. J. Clarke, and M. Lawes. 2016. Evidence for bark thickness as a fire-resistance
 trait from desert to savanna in fire-prone inland Australia. Plant ecology 217:683-696.
- Schuurman, G. W., C. Hawkins-Hoffman, D. N. Cole, D. J. Lawrence, J. M. Morton, D. R. Magness, A.
 E. Cravens, S. Covington, R. O'Malley, and N. A. Fisichelli. 2020. Resist-Accept-Direct (RAD)
 A Framework for the 21st-century Natural Resource Manager. Natural Resource Report
 NPS/NRSS/CCRP/NRR—2020/ 2213. National Park Service, Fort Collins, Colorado.
- Schwilk, D. W., and D. D. Ackerly. 2001. Flammability and serotiny as strategies: correlated evolution in pines. Oikos 94:326-336.
- Schwilk, D. W., M. S. Gaetani, and H. M. Poulos. 2013. Oak bark allometry and fire survival strategies in
 the Chihuahuan Desert Sky Islands, Texas, USA. PLoS One 8:e79285.
- Schwilk, D. W., and J. E. Keeley. 2006. The role of fire refugia in the distribution of Pinus sabiniana
 (Pinaceae) in the southern Sierra Nevada. Madrono 53:364-372.

- Senf, C., A. Buras, C. S. Zang, A. Rammig, and R. Seidl. 2020. Excess forest mortality is consistently
 linked to drought across Europe. Nature communications 11:1-8.
- Shirk, A. J., S. A. Cushman, K. M. Waring, C. A. Wehenkel, A. Leal-Sáenz, C. Toney, and C. A. LopezSanchez. 2018. Southwestern white pine (Pinus strobiformis) species distribution models project
 a large range shift and contraction due to regional climatic changes. Forest Ecology and
 Management 411:176-186.
- Shive, K. L., H. K. Preisler, K. R. Welch, H. D. Safford, R. J. Butz, K. L. O'Hara, and S. L. Stephens.
 2018. From the stand scale to the landscape scale: predicting the spatial patterns of forest
 regeneration after disturbance. Ecological Applications.
- Sidman, G., D. P. Guertin, D. C. Goodrich, D. Thoma, D. Falk, and I. S. Burns. 2016. A coupled
 modelling approach to assess the effect of fuel treatments on post-wildfire runoff and erosion.
 International Journal of Wildland Fire 25:351-362.
- Simeone, C., M. P. Maneta, Z. A. Holden, G. Sapes, A. Sala, and S. Z. Dobrowski. 2019. Coupled
 ecohydrology and plant hydraulics modeling predicts ponderosa pine seedling mortality and
 lower treeline in the US Northern Rocky Mountains. New Phytologist 221:1814-1830.
- Singleton, M. P., A. E. Thode, A. J. S. Meador, and J. M. Iniguez. 2019. Increasing trends in highseverity fire in the southwestern USA from 1984 to 2015. Forest Ecology and Management
 433:709-719.
- Smit, I. P., G. P. Asner, N. Govender, T. Kennedy-Bowdoin, D. E. Knapp, and J. Jacobson. 2010. Effects
 of fire on woody vegetation structure in African savanna. Ecological Applications 20:1865-1875.
- Smith, A. E., and F. W. Smith. 2005. Twenty-year change in aspen dominance in pure aspen and mixed
 aspen/conifer stands on the Uncompany Plateau, Colorado, USA. Forest Ecology and
 Management 213:338-348.
- Smith, K. T., E. Arbellay, D. A. Falk, and E. K. Sutherland. 2016. Macroanatomy and
 compartmentalization of recent fire scars in three North American conifers. Canadian Journal of
 Forest Research 46:535-542.
- Staver, A. C., S. Archibald, and S. A. Levin. 2011. The Global Extent and Determinants of Savanna and
 Forest as Alternative Biome States. Science 334:230-232.
- Steel, Z. L., D. Foster, M. Coppoletta, J. M. Lydersen, S. L. Stephens, A. Paudel, S. H. Markwith, K.
 Merriam, and B. M. Collins. 2021. Ecological resilience and vegetation transition in the face of two successive large wildfires. Journal of Ecology.
- Steel, Z. L., M. J. Koontz, and H. D. Safford. 2018. The changing landscape of wildfire: burn pattern trends and implications for California's yellow pine and mixed conifer forests. Landscape Ecology 33:1-18.
- Stephens, S. L., B. M. Collins, C. J. Fettig, M. A. Finney, C. M. Hoffman, E. E. Knapp, M. P. North, H.
 Safford, and R. B. Wayman. 2018. Drought, tree mortality, and wildfire in forests adapted to
 frequent fire. BioScience 68:77-88.
- Stephens, S. L., and J. J. Moghaddas. 2005. Experimental fuel treatment impacts on forest structure,
 potential fire behavior, and predicted tree mortality in a California mixed conifer forest. Forest
 Ecology and Management 215:21-36.
- Stevens-Rumann, C. S., K. B. Kemp, P. E. Higuera, B. J. Harvey, M. T. Rother, D. C. Donato, P.
 Morgan, and T. T. Veblen. 2018. Evidence for declining forest resilience to wildfires under climate change. Ecology Letters 21:243-252.
- Stevens-Rumann, C. S., and P. Morgan. 2019. Tree regeneration following wildfires in the western US: a
 review. Fire Ecology 15:1-17.
- Stevens-Rumann, C. S., K. B. Kemp, P. E. Higuera, B. J. Harvey, M. T. Rother, D. C. Donato, P.
 Morgan, and T. T. Veblen. 2018. Evidence for declining forest resilience to wildfires under climate change. Ecology letters 21:243-252.
- Stevens, J., and D. A. Falk. 2009. Can buffelgrass invasions be controlled in the American Southwest?
 Using invasion ecology theory to understand buffelgrass success and develop comprehensive
 restoration and management. Ecological Restoration 27:417-427.

- Stevens, J. T., B. M. Collins, J. D. Miller, M. P. North, and S. L. Stephens. 2017a. Changing spatial
 patterns of stand-replacing fire in California conifer forests. Forest Ecology and Management
 406:28-36.
- Stevens, J. T., C. M. Haffey, J. D. Coop, P. J. Fornwalt, L. Yocom, C. D. Allen, A. Bradley, O. T.
 Burney, D. Carril, and M. E. Chambers, et al. 2021. Tamm Review: Postfire landscape
 management in frequent-fire conifer forests of the southwestern United States. Forest Ecology
 and Management 502:119678.
- Stevens, N., C. E. Lehmann, B. P. Murphy, and G. Durigan. 2017b. Savanna woody encroachment is
 widespread across three continents. Global change biology 23:235-244.
- Stewart, J. A., P. J. van Mantgem, D. J. Young, K. L. Shive, H. K. Preisler, A. J. Das, N. L. Stephenson,
 J. E. Keeley, H. D. Safford, and M. C. Wright. 2021. Effects of postfire climate and seed
 availability on postfire conifer regeneration. Ecological Applications 31:e02280.
- Stoddard, M. T., J. P. Roccaforte, A. J. S. Meador, D. W. Huffman, P. Z. Fulé, A. E. M. Waltz, and W.
 W. Covington. 2021. Ecological restoration guided by historical reference conditions can increase
 resilience to climate change of southwestern U.S. Ponderosa pine forests. Forest Ecology and
 Management 493:119256.
- Suding, K. N., and R. J. Hobbs. 2009. Threshold models in restoration and conservation: a developing
 framework. Trends in ecology & evolution 24:271-279.
- Syphard, A. D., T. J. Brennan, and J. E. Keeley. 2018. Chaparral landscape conversion in southern
 California. Pages 323-346 Valuing Chaparral. Springer.
- Syphard, A. D., T. J. Brennan, and J. E. Keeley. 2019. Drivers of chaparral type conversion to herbaceous
 vegetation in coastal Southern California. Diversity and Distributions 25:90-101.
- Talluto, M. V., I. Boulangeat, S. Vissault, W. Thuiller, and D. Gravel. 2017. Extinction debt and
 colonization credit delay range shifts of eastern North American trees. Nature Ecology &
 Evolution 1:0182.
- Tautenhahn, S., J. W. Lichstein, M. Jung, J. Kattge, S. A. Bohlman, H. Heilmeier, A. Prokushkin, A.
 Kahl, and C. Wirth. 2016. Dispersal limitation drives successional pathways in Central Siberian forests under current and intensified fire regimes. Global Change Biology 22:2178-2197.
- Temperton, V. M., R. J. Hobbs, T. Nuttle, and S. Halle, editors. 2004. Assembly rules and restoration
 ecology. Island Press, Washington, DC.
- Tepley, A. J., S. M. Hood, C. R. Keyes, and A. Sala. 2020. Forest restoration treatments in a ponderosa
 pine forest enhance physiological activity and growth under climatic stress. Ecological
 Applications 30:e02188.
- Tepley, A. J., E. Thomann, T. T. Veblen, G. L. Perry, A. Holz, J. Paritsis, T. Kitzberger, and K. J.
 Anderson-Teixeira. 2018. Influences of fire-vegetation feedbacks and post-fire recovery rates on forest landscape vulnerability to altered fire regimes. Journal of Ecology 106:1925-1940.
- Tepley, A. J., J. R. Thompson, H. E. Epstein, and K. J. Anderson-Teixeira. 2017. Vulnerability to forest
 loss through altered postfire recovery dynamics in a warming climate in the Klamath Mountains.
 Global Change Biology 23:4117-4132.
- Tercero-Bucardo, N., T. Kitzberger, T. T. Veblen, and E. Raffaele. 2007. A field experiment on climatic
 and herbivore impacts on post-fire tree regeneration in north-western Patagonia. Journal of
 Ecology 95:771-779.
- Thomas, C. D. 2010. Climate, climate change and range boundaries. Diversity and Distributions 16:488495.
- 1817 Trenberth, K. E., A. Dai, G. Van Der Schrier, P. D. Jones, J. Barichivich, K. R. Briffa, and J. Sheffield.
 1818 2014. Global warming and changes in drought. Nature Climate Change 4:17-22.
- Trugman, A. T., L. D. Anderegg, J. D. Shaw, and W. R. Anderegg. 2020. Trait velocities reveal that
 mortality has driven widespread coordinated shifts in forest hydraulic trait composition.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 117:8532-8538.

- Tubbesing, C. L., D. J. Young, R. A. York, S. L. Stephens, and J. J. Battles. 2021. Incorporating Shrub
 Neighborhood Dynamics to Predict Forest Succession Trajectories in an Altered Fire Regime.
 Ecosystems:1-19.
- Turner, M. G., K. H. Braziunas, W. D. Hansen, and B. J. Harvey. 2019. Short-interval severe fire erodes
 the resilience of subalpine lodgepole pine forests. Proceedings of the National Academy of
 Sciences 116:11319-11328.
- Turner, M. G., W. J. Calder, G. S. Cumming, T. P. Hughes, A. Jentsch, S. L. LaDeau, T. M. Lenton, B.
 N. Shuman, M. R. Turetsky, and Z. Ratajczak. 2020. Climate change, ecosystems and abrupt change: science priorities. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B 375:20190105.
- 1831 Urza, A. K., and J. S. Sibold. 2017. Climate and seed availability initiate alternate post-fire trajectories in
 a lower subalpine forest. Journal of Vegetation Science 28:43-56.
- USDA-USFS. 2021. Fire Effects Information Service. US Department of Agriculture, US Forest Service,
 Ft. Collins, CO.
- 1835 Van de Water, K. M., and H. D. Safford. 2011. A summary of fire frequency estimates for California
 1836 vegetation before Euro-American settlement. Fire Ecology 7:26-58.
- van Mantgem, E. F., P. v. Mantgem, D. Falk, and J. Keeley. 2020a. Linking diverse terminology to
 vegetation type-conversion, a complex emergent property: Research Synthesis for Resource
 Managers, . California Fire Science Consortium.
- van Mantgem, P. J., A. C. Caprio, N. L. Stephenson, and A. J. Das. 2016. Does prescribed fire promote
 resistance to drought in low elevation forests of the Sierra Nevada, California, USA? Fire
 Ecology 12:13-25.
- van Mantgem, P. J., D. A. Falk, E. C. Williams, A. J. Das, and N. L. Stephenson. 2018a. Pre-fire drought
 and competition mediate post-fire conifer mortality in western U.S. National Parks. Ecological
 Applications 28:1730-1739.
- van Mantgem, P. J., D. A. Falk, E. C. Williams, A. J. Das, and N. L. Stephenson. 2018b. Pre-fire drought
 and competition mediate post-fire conifer mortality in western US National Parks. Ecological
 Applications 28:1730-1739.
- van Mantgem, P. J., D. A. Falk, E. C. Williams, A. J. Das, and N. L. Stephenson. 2020b. The influence of
 pre-fire growth patterns on post-fire tree mortality for common conifers in western US parks.
 International Journal of Wildland Fire 29:513-518.
- van Mantgem, P. J., N. L. Stephenson, J. C. Byrne, L. D. Daniels, J. F. Franklin, P. Z. Fule, M. E.
 Harmon, A. J. Larson, J. M. Smith, and A. H. Taylor. 2009. Widespread increase of tree mortality
 rates in the western United States. Science 323:521-524.
- Vander Wall, S. B. 1992. The Role of Animals in Dispersing a 'Wind-Dispersed' Pine. Ecology 73:614 621.
- 1857 Vander Wall, S. B. 2008. On the relative contributions of wind vs. animals to seed dispersal of four Sierra
 1858 Nevada pines. Ecology 89:1837-1849.
- 1859 Varner, J. M., J. M. Kane, J. K. Hiers, J. K. Kreye, and J. W. Veldman. 2016. Suites of fire-adapted traits
 1860 of oaks in the southeastern USA: multiple strategies for persistence. Fire Ecology 12:48-64.
- 1861 Vilagrosa, A., E. Chirino, J.-J. Peguero-Pina, T. S. Barigah, H. Cochard, and E. Gil-Pelegrin. 2012.
 1862 Xylem cavitation and embolism in plants living in water-limited ecosystems. Pages 63-109 Plant
 1863 responses to drought stress. Springer.
- Walker, R. B., J. D. Coop, S. A. Parks, and L. Trader. 2018. Fire regimes approaching historic norms
 reduce wildfire-facilitated conversion from forest to non-forest. Ecosphere 9.
- Webb, T. I. 1986. Is vegetation in equilibrium with climate? How to interpret late-Quaternary pollen data.
 Vegetatio 67:75-91.
- 1868 Webster, K. M., and C. B. Halpern. 2010. Long-term vegetation responses to reintroduction and repeated
 1869 use of fire in mixed-conifer forests of the Sierra Nevada. Ecosphere 1:1-27.
- Weed, A. S., M. P. Ayres, and J. A. Hicke. 2013. Consequences of climate change for biotic disturbances
 in North American forests. Ecological Monographs 83:441-470.

- 1872 Wei, R., G. Yang, J. Zhang, X. Wang, and X. Zhou. 2020. The thermal insulation properties of oak
 1873 (Quercus mongolica) bark and the applicability of stem heating models. International Journal of
 1874 Wildland Fire 28:969-980.
- 1875 Welch, K. R., H. D. Safford, and T. P. Young. 2016. Predicting conifer establishment post wildfire in
 1876 mixed conifer forests of the North American Mediterranean-climate zone. Ecosphere 7:e01609.
- 1877 Wells, P. V. 1969. The relation between mode of reproduction and extent of speciation in woody genera
 1878 of the California chaparral. Evolution 23:264-267.
- Werner, C. M., D. J. Young, H. D. Safford, and T. P. Young. 2019. Decreased snowpack and warmer
 temperatures reduce the negative effects of interspecific competitors on regenerating conifers.
 Oecologia 191:731-743.
- Westerling, A. L. 2016. Increasing western US forest wildfire activity: sensitivity to changes in the timing
 of spring. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 371:20150178.
- 1884 Westman, W. E. 1978. Measuring the inertia and resilience of ecosystems. BioScience 28:705-710.
- Whitman, E., M.-A. Parisien, D. K. Thompson, and M. D. Flannigan. 2019. Short-interval wildfire and drought overwhelm boreal forest resilience. Scientific Reports 9:1-12.
- 1887 Whittaker, R. H. 1960. Vegetation of the Siskiyou mountains, Oregon and California. Ecological
 1888 monographs 30:279-338.
- Williams, A. P., J. T. Abatzoglou, A. Gershunov, J. Guzman-Morales, D. A. Bishop, J. K. Balch, and D.
 P. Lettenmaier. 2019. Observed impacts of anthropogenic climate change on wildfire in
 California. Earth's Future 7:892-910.
- Williams, J. W., S. T. Jackson, and J. E. Kutzbach. 2007. Projected distributions of novel and
 disappearing climates by 2100 AD. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 104:5738 5742.
- 1895 Williams, R., G. Cook, A. Gill, and P. Moore. 1999. Fire regime, fire intensity and tree survival in a
 1896 tropical savanna in northern Australia. Australian Journal of Ecology 24:50-59.
- 1897 Williams, T. J., D. F. Tomback, N. Grevstad, and K. Broms. 2020. Temporal and energetic drivers of seed
 1898 resource use by Clark's nutcracker, keystone seed disperser of coniferous forests. Ecosphere
 1899 11:e03085.
- Wolf, K. D., P. E. Higuera, K. T. Davis, and S. Z. Dobrowski. 2021. Wildfire impacts on forest
 microclimate vary with biophysical context. Ecosphere 12:e03467.
- Wright, M. C., P. van Mantgem, N. L. Stephenson, A. J. Das, and J. E. Keeley. 2021. Seed production
 patterns of surviving Sierra Nevada conifers show minimal change following drought. Forest
 Ecology and Management 480:118598.
- Young, D., M. Meyer, B. Estes, S. Gross, A. Wuenschel, C. Restaino, and H. Safford. 2019a. Forest
 recovery following extreme drought in California, USA: natural patterns and effects of predrought management. Ecological Applications 1:e2002.
- Young, D. J., T. D. Blush, M. Landram, J. W. Wright, A. M. Latimer, and H. D. Safford. 2020. Assisted
 gene flow in the context of large-scale forest management in California, USA. Ecosphere
 11:e03001.
- Young, D. J., C. M. Werner, K. R. Welch, T. P. Young, H. D. Safford, and A. M. Latimer. 2019b. Postfire forest regeneration shows limited climate tracking and potential for drought-induced type conversion. Ecology 100:e02571.
- 1914 Zargar, A., R. Sadiq, B. Naser, and F. I. Khan. 2011. A review of drought indices. Environmental
 1915 Reviews 19:333-349.
- 1916 Zedler, P. H. 1995. Are some plants born to burn? Trends in Ecology & Evolution 10:393-395.
- Zeppel, M. J. B., S. P. Harrison, H. D. Adams, D. I. Kelley, G. Li, D. T. Tissue, T. E. Dawson, R.
 Fensham, B. E. Medlyn, A. Palmer, A. G. West, and N. G. McDowell. 2015. Drought and resprouting plants. New Phytologist 206:583-589.
- 1920

Falk et al., Mechanisms of forest resilience

Figure captions

Figure 1. A unified framework for ecological resilience. Individuals persist through levels of disturbance to which they are adapted, but mortality occurs when these thresholds are exceeded. Recovery is a population-level process that requires establishment of new individuals from seed or other propagules following dispersal from a parent plant, eventually creating a new replacement population. When recovery fails or is impaired, community-level reorganization occurs, involving different species or functional groups. Reorganization can be transient, leading to eventual re-establishment of the pre-disturbance community, or leading to alternative metastable states that are reinforced by disturbance and/or climate.

Figure 2. Anatomical adaptations to resisting effects of fire include (a) lifted crowns (*Pinus ponderosa* Douglas ex D. Lawson, Valles Caldera National Preserve, New Mexico, USA, and (b) thick heat-resistant bark in *P. sylvestris* L., Mongolia). Photos: PM Brown, Rocky Mountain Tree-Ring Research.

Figure 3. A. Silverleaf oak (*Quercus hypoleucoides* A. Camus) basal resprouting one year after 2020 Bighorn Fire, Coronado NF, Arizona, USA. Photo JR Malusa, University of Arizona. B. Epicormic resprouting in *Eucalyptus* L'Her. 11 months after the 2009 Victoria fires. Photo: JE Keeley.

Figure 4. Post-fire reproduction of serotinous species. Left: knobcone pine (*P. attenuata* Lemmon), Mendocino National Forest, California USA. Photo: DJN Young. Right: interior Lodgepole pine (*P. contorta* var. *latifolia* Engelm.), following 2016 Cold Springs Fire, Arapaho-Roosevelt NF, Colorado, USA. Photo: C Rhoades, Rocky Mountain Research Station, US Forest Service.

Figure 5. Dense post-disturbance *P. ponderosa* seedling recruitment. Monument Canyon Research Natural Area, Santa Fe National Forest, NM. Photo: DA Falk.

Figure 6. Severity map of the 2007 Moonlight Fire, Plumas National Forest, California, USA. Severity is indicated by colors: red indicates high severity (75-100 % overstory mortality); yellow, light and dark green indicate moderate, low, and unburned areas respectively within the fire perimeter. The five pairs of concentric circles show dispersal radii of 60 m and 300 m around 5 hypothetical residual trees. The inset map shows the location of the severity map within the state of California. Severity map from MTBS (www.mtbs.org).

Figure 7. Climate space for the persistence and recruitment niches, in axes of minimum growing season soil water potential and maximum growing season temperature. The climate space for established trees (E_0) is broader than the recruitment niche (R), which is limited to the cooler, more mesic conditions within E_0 . Projections of future climate (E_1) are moving toward warmer, drier conditions, potentially problematic for seedling and sapling growth stages.

Figure 8. Intense competition may inhibit successful establishment of prior dominant species. Dense post-fire *Quercus gambellii* Liebm. thicket, Sandia Mountains, Cibola National Forest, NM, USA. Photo: DA Falk.

Figure 9. Shade-tolerant conifer recruitment in the understory a century after stand-replacing fire, indicating transient reorganization. The overstory is currently dominated by aspen (*Populus tremuloides* Michx.) that initiated post-fire from basal sprouts. San Francisco Peaks, Coconino NF, Arizona, USA. Photo: DA Falk.

Figure 10. Observations of post-fire ecological reorganization with varying degrees of persistence involving plants of four different functional groups: (upper left) dense post-fire growth of bracken fern (*Pteridium aquilinum* (L.) Kuhn) nine years post-fire in a previous mixed-conifer stand, Chiricahua Mountains, Coronado NF, Arizona, USA. Photo: DA Falk; (upper right) bunchgrass post-fire dominance of previous dry conifer stand nine years post-fire, Chiricahua Mountains, Coronado NF, Arizona, USA. Photo: DA Falk; (lower left) *Ceanothus velutinus* Douglas dominance of former mixed-conifer forest 11 years after the 2007 Moonlight Fire, Plumas NF, California, USA. Photo: DJN Young; (lower right) conversion of conifer (Douglas-fir, white fir) forest to mixed species hardwood-shrub dominated forest, Klamath NF, California, USA 14 years after the 2001 Happy Camp Complex. Photo: AJ Tepley.

Figure 11. Mechanisms of ecological reorganization. Abrupt reorganization typically follows a triggering climatic or disturbance event leading to extensive mortality. If climate and subsequent disturbance constrain recovery, community reassembly processes may result in an alternative metastable state, which is reinforced by the new climate and disturbance regime. See text for details.

Figure 12. "Suspended succession" 20 years post-fire at Devil's Postpile National Monument, California, USA. Photo: Sequoia-Kings Canyon National Park Fire Effects Program, National Park Service.

Figure 13. Forest-shrubland conversion 28 years after the 1989 Layman Fire, Plumas NF, California, USA. *Ceanothus velutinus* dominance of former Jeffrey pine-white fir forest. Photo: DJN Young.

Figure S1. Density of post-fire recruiting tree seedlings (trees m⁻², all species) as a function of distance to the nearest surviving reproductive tree in plots surveyed 4-5 years following fires in yellow pine and mixed-conifer forests across California (Welch et al. 2016, Young et al. 2019).

Figure S2. Chaparral-grassland conversion, southern CA. Photo A Jacobsen, courtesy A Syphard, Conservation Biology Institute.



Figure 2.a, b



Figure 3.



Figure 4.



Figure 5.



Figure 6



Figure 7.



Figure 8.



Figure 9.



Figure 10.





Figure 12



Figure 13.



Figure S1.




Figure S2.

Outcome (level of organization)	Process	Mechanisms
Persistence (individual)	Anatomical and physiological resistance to heat exposure from fire	Thick bark insulates cambium cells from heat flux, preventing necrosis; abscission of lower branches prevents spreading combustion into canopy
	Wound responses to fire damage	Wound compartmentalization prevents infection of lesions and damaged tissue; growth closure repairs cambial continuity
	Vegetative regrowth capabilities	Basal and epicormic resprouting capacity; non-structural carbohydrate storage and mobilization
	Drought survival, maintenance of essential functions	Plant hydraulic traits prevent xylem cavitation and embolisms; isohydric and anisohydric stomatal regulation
Recovery (population)	Post-fire propagules from <i>in</i> situ sources	Recruitment from soil and canopy seed banks, advance regeneration. Heat- and smoke-cued germination.
	Post-fire dispersing propagules	Wind-, gravity-, and animal-mediated seed dispersal from nearby unburned areas or refugia; continued seed rain
	Germination, seedling survivorship, and growth	Species-specific seed and seedling climate envelopes and microhabitat; post-disturbance weather; rooting access to mineral soil
	Interspecific and community interactions	Interspecific interactions may facilitate (e.g. amelioration of microclimate) or inhibit (resource competition) seedling and sapling survivorship and growth; interactions may be temporally variable
Reorganization	Gradual reorganization in	
(community)	response to changes in mean conditions	Shifting species geographic ranges during periods of rapid climate change
	Reorganization in response to the loss of formerly frequent disturbance	Increased relative abundance of mesophytic species in deciduous forests, increased forest density in dry conifer forests following fire exclusion; conversion of grasslands and savannahs to closed-canopy woodlands
	Rapid reorganization in response to novel disturbance	Forest loss from extensive tree mortality, followed by recruitment failure
	Trigger events	Mortality over large contiguous areas from uncharacteristically high-severity wildfire in ecosystems adapted to frequent low-severity fire regimes. Widespread forest die-off from extended drought and episodic heat waves and associated insect outbreaks.
		Recruitment failure in fire-tolerant and serotinous forests and chaparral due to uncharacteristically short fire return intervals
	Open resource space following extensive mortality	Shuffling of species relative dominance based on plant functional capacity (resprouting, obligate seed recruitment) and disturbance-cued germination Dispersal limitations in large high-severity patches beyond seed dispersal distance; germination failure of dispersed seed in severely altered post-fire environments (soil
	Recolonization failure	microclimate, microbial symbionts); short fire return intervals
	Landscape species pool	Pre-disturbance species depend on dispersal from limited remaining refugia; rapid dispersing and early successional species able to establish in post-fire environment Interactions among species colonizing the post-disturbance environment through biotic and
	Community reassembly, hysteresis	abiotic filters, leading to novel community assemblages and potential alternative metastable state
	Reinforcing feedbacks	perturbed, leading to conversion to persistent novel grass-fire cycle. Soil and hydrological alteration prevents recolonization
		Climate trends (temperature, precipitation, VPD) and episodes (e.g. heat waves) prevent recruitment of prior dominant species and favor better adapted species, creating novel community assemblages

Table 1. Main components of resilience operating at varying levels of biological organization, primary processes, and key mechanisms of ecological resilience. Literature references provided in main text.

Declaration of interests

⊠The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Falk et al, Tamm Review: Draft author contributions

PVM, DAF and JEK secured funding for the project. DAF, PVM, JEK, and RMG conceived the paper. All authors contributed to writing, review, and editing. DAF supervised final editing of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

Click here to access/download Supporting File ACKNOWLEDGMENTS final.pdf