Projecting marine fish distributions during early life stages under future climate scenarios

Alternate title 1: Marine fish demonstrate shifts in spawning distributions under future climate scenarios

Alternate title 2: Projected fish spawning distributions vary spatially in response to next-century oceanographic change

Running title: Ocean change affects fish spawning

Authors: Rebecca A. Howard¹, Lauren A. Rogers², Kelly A. Kearney³, Laura L. Vary¹, Lorenzo Ciannelli¹

Correspondence Contact Information:

Rebecca A. Howard Email: howardre@oregonstate.edu

Affiliations:

¹College of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, USA ²Alaska Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Seattle, WA, USA ³Cooperative Institute for Climate, Ocean, and Ecosystem Studies, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

Key words: Bering Sea, groundfish, projections, ROMS, spawning, species distribution models

Abstract

Changes to Earth's climate affect organisms globally; in marine systems these impacts are seen through warming water temperatures, ocean acidification, hypoxia, and frequent marine heatwaves. These effects may lead to movement of species to more favorable conditions. While climate-driven movement is well studied at the adult stage, how early life stages of marine fish will respond to future variability is less clear. Many fish species are constrained by specific spawning locations or phenology. Spawning in certain locations allows for local retention of offspring while precise timing can facilitate transport of offspring to nursery locations through seasonal circulation patterns. Our research investigates how changing oceans impact the location and timing of spawning of Bering Sea groundfishes over the next century. We used ROMS SST and SSS model output and NOAA survey data in species distribution models to hindcast and project distributions and center of gravity for eggs and larvae of six groundfish species. Our analyses found that most of our study species exhibit flexible geography. However, the speed and direction of egg and larval movement did not track the speed and direction of their respective thermal niches. Hence, projected distributional patterns of adult stages may be limited by their early life stages. This response is likely to be mirrored globally by other species with planktonic eggs and larvae. These results indicate that life history considerations are critical for management of commercially important species, as effects on early life stages are strongly connected to the success or failure of adult populations.

Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Materials & Methods
 - 2.1 Biological data
 - 2.2 Environmental data
 - 2.3 Model parameterization
 - 2.4 Distribution projections
- 3. Results
 - 3.1 Hindcast
 - **3.2** Projections
- 4. Discussion
- 5. Acknowledgements
- 6. Data Availability Statement
- 7. Conflict of Interest Statement
- 8. References
- 9. Tables
- 10. Figure Legends

1 **1 Introduction**

2 Climate change resulting from rising atmospheric carbon dioxide and subsequent increases in 3 global temperature has been documented to have significant physical and biological impacts on 4 marine ecosystems (Bindoff et al., 2019; Bograd et al., 2023; Poloczanska et al., 2016). Specific impacts on ocean conditions include rising ocean temperatures in the Northeast Atlantic (Belkin, 5 2009), as well as extreme events like marine heatwaves in the North Pacific (Litzow et al., 2024). 6 7 Climate change may also be connected to the recent warming observed along the west coast of 8 Australia (Wernberg et al., 2013) and record low winter sea ice extent in the Bering Sea region in 2018 and 2019 (Stabeno & Bell, 2019). Physical oceanographic changes like these have 9 10 ecological implications such as reductions in suitable habitat for certain species (Lynch et al., 11 2015; Morato et al., 2020), mass die-offs (Szuwalski et al., 2023), and the spatial expansion or contraction of community assemblages (Kleisner et al., 2016). These effects on marine biota are 12 13 expected to continue and thus will impact coastal communities that depend on these systems (Rogers et al., 2019). It is therefore imperative to understand more thoroughly how species 14 respond to changes in their environment, allowing for insight into the biological consequences of 15 a changing ocean. 16

17

It is well established that the environment in which egg production and larval fish development occurs strongly affects the survival of the early life stages and, subsequently, the adult population (Cushing, 1975, 1990; Hjort, 1914). Beginning with Hjort's (1914) critical period hypothesis, early life history research has continued to provide important insights into these vulnerable stages. For example, small fluctuations in temperature are known to reduce egg survival and development (Blaxter, 1991). At higher temperatures, larval metabolic, developmental, and

growth rates are enhanced (Blaxter, 1991; Houde, 1989; Pepin, 1991) but time spent in the water 24 column and during metamorphosis are reduced (O'Connor et al., 2007; Sponaugle et al., 2006). 25 26 The effects of temperature on eggs and larvae impose a constraint, potentially explaining why spawning adults evolve to reproduce in specific locations and at certain times. There are 27 additional evolutionary constraints on fish spawning habitats, linked to the developmental phases 28 29 of the embryo, species' social structure, and reproductive ecology of spawning adults (Ciannelli et al., 2015). However, it is unclear how those constraints will be affected in the future as ocean 30 31 conditions change and areas previously suitable for spawning become less optimal for eggs and 32 larvae (Pankhurst & Munday, 2011). Understanding how early life stages will respond to climate change expands upon and enhances previous research focused on adult stages, providing a better 33 foundation for managers and policymakers to anticipate impacts on commercial fisheries. 34

35

Predicting future distributions of marine species under different climate change scenarios is 36 37 possible with use of large-scale climate projections and can provide general insight into how species may be affected (Cheung et al., 2009; Pinsky et al., 2020). Species distribution models 38 (SDMs) are a statistical tool commonly used to create long-term projections for terrestrial, 39 40 freshwater, and marine species. In marine long-term models, climate projections are often used with fishery survey data to predict how species will generally respond to environmental change, 41 42 whether fishing grounds may shift, and which species are most likely to survive over the next 43 century (Cote et al., 2021; Moltó et al., 2021). Marine SDMs have primarily focused on adult stages and in only a few instances have models been developed to project future larval 44 45 distributions (Muhling et al., 2020) and suitable spawning habitat (Bigman et al., 2023; 46 Erauskin-Extramiana et al., 2019; Lima et al., 2022; Maynou et al., 2020; Sandø et al., 2020).

Developing projections of spawning distributions and early life stages therefore have the
potential to provide additional information about how the most critical point in the fish life cycle
may be affected by climate change. While data on adults are more abundant than that for early
life stages, long time series of egg and larval data are available in regions such as the Bering Sea,
thus providing an opportunity to fill this research gap.

52

Some of the world's most productive commercial fishing grounds are found in the Bering Sea 53 54 (Figure 1), including the largest fishery in North America: walleye pollock (Gadus 55 *chalcogrammus*). It is also the location of a 30+ year time-series of biennial scientific surveys targeting fish early life stages. Understanding how the eggs and larvae of commercially 56 important species of the Bering Sea will be affected by climate change is crucial for the 57 sustainability of the region's fisheries and will provide insight into potential applications of 58 59 similar methods to other regions and species. Distributions of early life stages are often used to 60 study spawning location and timing (Bacheler et al., 2010; Rogers & Dougherty, 2019), and cumulatively reflect the contributions of both spawning output and early life stage survival 61 processes. Understanding changes in spawning distributions can provide insight into whether the 62 63 offspring of marine species will continue to be retained in suitable nursery habitat or have access to specific currents needed to transport them to favorable locations. In this study, we investigate 64 65 how changing oceanographic conditions have historically, and may in the future, impact the 66 location and timing of spawning of Bering Sea groundfishes. Here, we use early life stages of groundfishes as a proxy for spawning location and timing. We examine whether species are more 67 likely to be flexible in their location or timing of spawning, whether egg and larval centers of 68 69 gravity (COG) follow similar trajectories, and how these species track climate velocity.

Evaluation of COG and climate velocity were conducted to determine whether separation
between life stages will occur due to climate change and if these species are likely to adapt to
warming waters by shifting at the speed of their thermal niche. We expected to see differences in
types of flexibility between species with different life histories but similarities in trajectory and
climate velocity tracking between eggs and larvae of the same species.

75

76 **2 Materials & Methods**

77 2.1 Biological data

Ichthyoplankton data for six species were obtained from the NOAA Alaska Fisheries Science 78 Center's (AFSC) and Pacific Marine Environmental Lab's joint research program: the 79 80 Ecosystems and Fisheries-Oceanography Coordinated Investigations (EcoFOCI) Bering Sea surveys. Data used for this research were collected between 1988 – 2017 from February to early 81 October using a fixed station grid, though sampling is primarily between April and June. The 82 surveys conducted by EcoFOCI use oblique tows to depths 5 m from the seafloor with 60-cm 83 diameter bongo nets with 333 or 505 µm mesh to capture fish eggs and larvae. Difference in 84 mesh size has not been found to affect ichthyoplankton catch rates for this survey (Boeing & 85 Duffy-Anderson, 2008; Shima & Bailey, 1994). The volume of water filtered was measured by a 86 flowmeter mounted at the opening of each net. Ichthyoplankton preserved at sea in formalin 87 88 were identified to species at the Plankton Sorting and Identification Center in Szczecin, Poland. Taxonomic verifications were conducted by the EcoFOCI Program. Catch per unit effort (CPUE) 89 at each station for each species was calculated as count of larvae or eggs per 10 m². 90

The six species selected for the present analyses are all commercially important fishes abundant 92 in the survey time series and exhibit differing life history strategies. Four Pleuronectids (flatfish) 93 94 were selected: Alaska plaice (*Pleuronectes quadrituberculatus*), yellowfin sole (*Limanda* aspera), northern rock sole (Lepidopsetta polyxystra), and flathead sole (Hippoglossoides 95 *elassodon*). Two species of Gadid, Pacific cod (*Gadus macrocephalus*) and walleye pollock 96 97 (hereafter, "pollock"), were also chosen. Sufficient egg data were only available for pollock, flathead sole, and Alaska plaice, as both northern rock sole and Pacific cod produce demersal 98 99 eggs while yellowfin sole eggs were not captured in significant quantities. Larval data were 100 available for all six species. For each species, the ichthyoplankton data were trimmed to ensure our analysis focused on the habitat of the species during the time of year eggs and larvae are 101 present. Stations further than 30 km from an observation of the species were removed from the 102 final prediction dataset, as were data collected in months during which few eggs or larvae were 103 collected. 104

105

106 **2.2 Environmental data**

The explanatory variables in the models included temperature (°C) and salinity. These covariates 107 108 were obtained from a reanalysis-forced hindcast simulation of the Bering10K model, a Bering Sea implementation of the Regional Ocean Modeling System (ROMS). This model has 109 110 demonstrated skill in reproducing the thermal environment of the Bering Sea shelf; see Kearney 111 et al. (2020) and citations within for a full description, history, and validation of this regional model and the hindcast simulations. The Bering10K model has a 10 km horizontal grid 112 resolution with 30 vertical layers. The Bering10K model was also used to dynamically 113 114 downscale six simulations from Phase 6 of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP6;

O'Neill et al., 2016). Three Earth System Models (ESM) and two emission scenarios were used. 115 The ESMs were chosen to span the range of projections within the full CMIP6 suite, choosing 116 models with high, low, and near-median thermal sensitivities. These include the CESM version 2 117 with Community Atmospheric Model version 6 (Danabasoglu et al., 2020), GFDL Earth System 118 Model version 4.1 (Dunne et al., 2020), and the MIROC Earth System version 2 for long-term 119 120 simulations. These will hereafter be referred to as CESM, GFDL, and MIROC. The emission scenarios used are two of the Shared Socio-economic Pathways (SSPs) - a low carbon mitigation 121 122 scenario, SSP5-8.5, and a high carbon mitigation scenario, SSP1-2.6 - which describe possible 123 combinations of future mitigation and adaptation challenges that lead to different levels of radiative forcing (Riahi et al., 2017). 124

125

Temperature and salinity from the model's top layer, or surface, provided model-generated co-126 127 located values of sea surface temperature (SST) and sea surface salinity (SSS). Hindcasted SST 128 and SSS values for specific locations were matched to the nearest EcoFOCI survey station using nearest neighbor search through the 'nn2' function in the RANN R package (Arya et al., 2019). 129 Downscaled projections of SST and SSS for each ESM-forced model and SSP were bias 130 131 corrected using the delta method, as described in Holsman et al. (2020). This bias correction method compares each ESM and the hindcast during a reference period to correct for differences 132 133 in time-series means and variances. In doing so, SDMs parameterized with hindcast output can 134 provide more realistic future predictions of fish spatial distributions when applied to the bias corrected projections compared to the raw projections. The equation used here is as follows: 135 136

137
$$T'_{fut,y} = \overline{T}_{hind,ref} + \frac{\sigma_{hind,\overline{ref}}}{\sigma_{fut,\overline{ref}}} (T_{fut,y} - \overline{T}_{fut,\overline{ref}})$$
(1)

139	where $T'_{fut,y}$ provides the adjusted projected SST or SSS values while $T_{fut,y}$ represents the
140	original projected values and $\overline{T}_{fut, \overline{ref}}$ represents a projected mean for the reference years, which
141	is derived from the historical period. $\bar{T}_{hind,ref}$ represents the reference year period hindcast mean
142	while σ refers to the standard deviation during the reference period for either the hindcast or
143	projections. A reference period of $1980 - 2014$ and projected SST and SSS from $2015 - 2099$ for
144	each SSP and ESM combination were used. Both SSPs and all ESMs indicate a trend in
145	increasing temperature over the next century (Figure 2).

146

147 **2.3 Model parameterization**

Generalized additive models (GAM), a type of regression model that can capture nonlinear
relationships, were used to estimate the abundance of fish eggs and larvae as a function of
environmental variables. Models were first parameterized using hindcasts in order to determine
the best model for each species and life stage. The best model was then used to predict future
fish distributions using Bering10K-derived projections of SST and SSS.

153

Multiple GAM formulations were evaluated and models using a Tweedie response distribution were ultimately selected due to the zero-inflated nature of the egg and larval data. The Tweedie distribution is a form of a compound Poisson-gamma distribution due to the use of a power parameter, estimated in this case through the 'tw' function in the *mgcv* R package (Tweedie, 1984; Wood, 2017). Use of a Tweedie distribution allowed for better handling of an abundance of zeroes in these data, which is common in fisheries data (Shono, 2008). To determine the best model, several formulations were compared. These included a base model with only spatial and

temporal covariates and a set of additional models with progressively more complex 161 specifications of environmental covariates. The base model formulation included only latitude 162 163 and longitude (ϕ, λ) as a tensor product and day of the year (1) as nonparametric thin plate splines (s). Latitude and longitude were included due to the ability of spatial covariates to 164 improve SDM projections for organisms that are not highly mobile, such as eggs and larvae 165 (Brodie et al., 2022). Day of the year was included as a way to correct for possible effects of the 166 differences in timing of survey across years and to characterize the phenology of egg or larval 167 168 production. Year (y) was included as a random effect in order to allow removal when projecting. 169 A log-link (q) provided the link between CPUE and each predictor. This model is described as follows: 170

172
$$g(CPUE) = re(y) + te(\phi, \lambda) + s(J)$$
(2)

173

Varying coefficient terms were incorporated into additional models to allow for increased 174 flexibility in spawning location or timing (Bacheler et al., 2012; Hastie et al., 2001). In this case, 175 location and timing were allowed to vary linearly with temperature, which was a mean index 176 value for each year. The mean temperature index (T) was calculated using SST values from 177 178 February 1 to April 30 for each year for an area over the continental shelf with dimensions of latitude 56°N to 58°N and longitude -165°W to -170°W. This area was chosen to provide an 179 annual index of cold and warm years over the Bering Sea continental shelf. Thus, the time frame 180 and spatial dimensions for T remained the same for each species. Collocated SST, SSS, and the 181 varying coefficient terms were included as thin plate splines for each independent variable. The 182 183 two equations used are as follows:

185
$$g(CPUE) = re(y) + te(\phi, \lambda) + s_1(J) + s_2(SST) + s_3(SSS) + s_4(\phi, \lambda, by = T)$$
 (3)

186

187
$$g(CPUE) = re(y) + te(\phi, \lambda) + s_1(J) + s_2(SST) + s_3(SSS) + s_4(J, by = T)$$
 (4)

188

Equation 3 allows for the effect of location to vary as a function of the temperature index while 189 190 Equation 4 allows for the effect of time to vary as a function of the temperature index. For each 191 model, the maximum number of knots were specified as five for the SST and SSS splines and as nine for the day of the year. Day of the year was allowed to have a higher number of knots to 192 characterize the potential multiple spawning peaks. To prevent inappropriate extrapolation in the 193 194 projection beyond the covariate ranges existing in the hindcast, each term was penalized based on their first derivative (Barnes et al., 2022). We used Akaike's information criterion (AIC) to 195 196 select the best model for each species and life stage (Akaike, 1974). The base models and 197 varying coefficient models were also compared to models that included the splines for SST and 198 SSS, but excluded the varying coefficient term (Table S1). To further evaluate the benefit of 199 including varying coefficient terms, overall model mean square error (MSE) was calculated for 200 all models and percent reduction in MSE compared to the base model was calculated. In each 201 case, MSE was reduced for the models containing a varying coefficient term and the same model was selected as through AIC, with the exception of flathead sole larvae (Table S2). 202

203

204 **2.4 Distribution projections**

Once model parameterization was complete, the final models were used to project future
distributions of eggs and larvae in the Bering Sea. The projection period was divided into three

time periods: early (2015-2039), middle (2040-2069), and late century (2070-2099). For each 207 year within a period, a prediction grid of latitudes and longitudes with approximately a 10 km 208 resolution covering the study region, similar to the ROMS grid, was generated using a month and 209 day of year that corresponded approximately to the peak of abundance of fish larvae and/or eggs 210 for each species. At this point, the grids for each year were identical for each species and stage 211 212 combination. Then, monthly SST and SSS values from the top layer of each year of the ROMS projection model output was matched to the nearest location on a given year's prediction grid 213 214 using the 'nn2' function in the RANN package in R (Arya et al., 2019). The temperature index 215 was also calculated for each year within the period for use in the varying coefficient term. Year, which was included as a random effect during model parameterization, was excluded from the 216 projection models. Predictions of egg and larval abundance for each period were scaled from 217 zero to one based on minimum and maximum values of abundance each year to allow for 218 219 comparison between time periods and to focus only on changes in distribution.

220

221 Center of gravity (COG) for each species and stage along with thermal niche were calculated to 222 assess potential connectivity changes over time between life stages and determine if these 223 species are overall projected to track suitable thermal conditions. COG in latitude and longitude 224 (ϕ, λ) units was calculated for the scaled predictions (*S*) for each year within each time period, 225 then averaged across the time period. Latitude and longitude were calculated separately using the 226 following:

227

228
$$COG_{\phi} = \frac{\sum_{i}^{n} \phi_{i} \cdot s_{i}}{\sum_{i}^{n} s_{i}}$$
, $COG_{\lambda} = \frac{\sum_{i}^{n} \lambda_{i} \cdot s_{i}}{\sum_{i}^{n} s_{i}}$ (5)

The same prediction grid spatial dimensions were used for all species, stages, time periods, and scenarios to allow for comparison. As with the hindcast prediction grid, cells more than 30 km from a positive sample in the survey data were removed for all grids. The haversine distance (km) between COG of eggs and larvae of the same species was calculated for each time period.

Thermal COG for SST was calculated using a similar formula to Equation 5. SST values were determined to be inside or outside the thermal range of a given species using the 95% confidence interval of the SST partial dependence curves generated by the species' final parameterized model. Values with significant positive effects on abundance for a given species and stage were assigned a value of one and all others assigned zero. Thermal COG in latitude and longitude units was calculated separately for each species grid. Using the assigned values (*A*), thermal COG was calculated for each year as follows:

242

243
$$COG_{\phi} = \frac{\sum_{i}^{n} \phi_{i} \cdot A_{i}}{\sum_{i}^{n} A_{i}}$$
, $COG_{\lambda} = \frac{\sum_{i}^{n} \lambda_{i} \cdot A_{i}}{\sum_{i}^{n} A_{i}}$ (6)

244

To determine if species track thermal habitat, rate of change per year in COG location was calculated for both species distribution and thermal COG. Following the methods of Pinsky et al. (2013), latitude was regressed against year to calculate the slope, or rate of change, for each time period. The sign of slope values indicate the direction of movement of COG during a given time period, with negative rates indicating southward movement and positive rates indicating northward movement. Then, to evaluate if species distribution COGs (*S*) are expected to track thermal COGs (*T*), bias was calculated as follows for each species and time period (*t*):

253
$$B_t = sign(COG_{\phi,T,t}) \times (COG_{\phi,S,t} - COG_{\phi,T,t})$$
(7)

254

Positive biases indicate that a species tracks thermal COG, while negative biases indicate a lag or
potential movement away from thermal COG. A t-test of bias for all species and stages was used
to determine if species distribution COGs lag thermal COG for each time period. All analyses
described above are publicly available (Howard, 2024).

259

260 **3 Results**

261 **3.1 Hindcast**

All models selected during parameterization with the hindcast output included a varying coefficient term (Table S1). For all species except Pacific cod, the best fit model included the location varying coefficient (Equation 3; Tables 1, S2). The model with the time varying coefficient was the best fit for Pacific cod larvae.

266

267 Temporal and spatial patterns in abundance throughout the survey period varied by species and 268 life stage, illustrating phenological differences across species, as well as how different life stages may be affected by the mean temperature index (Figure 3). In general, seasonal peaks in larval 269 270 abundance followed periods of high egg abundance for the species for which both stages were 271 available. There were very clear peaks in abundance at different points in the year for pollock 272 eggs (March), flathead sole eggs (May), and Alaska plaice larvae (June). Multiple peaks in 273 abundance throughout the year were apparent for flathead sole larvae (May and July), yellowfin sole (July and September), Pacific cod (April and June), and northern rock sole (April, June, and 274 August). Pollock larvae and Alaska plaice eggs had peaks in abundance at the beginning and end 275

of the sampled period, respectively, and thus their full temporal distribution each year was notwell sampled.

278

In terms of phenology, for most species an increase in the mean temperature index led to 279 increases in abundance throughout the sampled period (Figure 3). In many cases, these 280 281 directional shifts in abundance were most apparent during seasonal periods in which abundance peaks. For example, for Alaska plaice eggs, there was a decrease in abundance with a 1°C 282 increase in temperature at the beginning of the seasonal series. In contrast, an increase in 283 temperature led to increased abundance at some phenological peaks or high points for larvae of 284 pollock, Alaska plaice, flathead sole, and yellowfin sole. Effects of mean temperature on 285 phenology were mixed for Pacific cod and northern rock sole larvae while there was no change 286 for pollock and flathead sole eggs. For Pacific cod, warmer temperatures resulted in contraction 287 of the larval period. A clear peak in Pacific cod abundance was apparent near the middle of the 288 289 season with a 1°C increase in temperature but decreases or no change were seen during other times of the year. Spatially, positive effects of a 1°C increase in temperature on abundance were 290 clear for larvae of pollock, flathead sole, Alaska plaice, yellowfin sole, and Pacific cod. Negative 291 292 effects were apparent for pollock eggs and northern rock sole, though positive effects on abundance were found in some areas. Spatial effects were mixed for flathead sole and Alaska 293 294 plaice eggs. In general, egg abundance seemed more likely to decrease with increases in mean 295 shelf temperature while larval abundance typically increased, though maps of change indicate 296 effects of temperature may be location dependent.

Relationships with SST and SSS were variable depending on species and life stage, though the 298 lowest temperatures were often associated with negative effects on abundance (Figure 3). Partial 299 300 dependence plots showed either peaks where temperature had a positive effect on abundance, like for Alaska plaice larvae, or one clear transition from negative to positive effects on 301 abundance, like flathead sole larvae. SSS relationships with abundance were also variable. Some 302 303 species stages, like pollock larvae and flathead sole eggs, exhibited little change over the full range of salinity values. For others, like Alaska plaice larvae, lower salinities were related to 304 positive effects on abundance, while conversely, for species such as northern rock sole larvae, 305 higher salinities were found to correlate with higher abundance. 306

307

Maps of the location varying coefficient term (Figures 4, S1-S4) illustrated the spatial effects of 308 mean temperature on abundance for all species except Pacific cod, for which the time varying 309 310 coefficient term was selected, with positive effects primarily on the inner shelf and negative 311 effects located along the Alaska Peninsula for most species. Nonetheless, effects of the varying coefficient term were different for each species and stage. For example, pollock egg and larval 312 maps showed positive effects of increases in temperature on abundance over the northeastern 313 314 continental shelf, near Unimak Pass, and northeast of Unalaska (Figure 4). Negative effects on pollock abundance as a result of increased temperature were found along the Alaska Peninsula 315 316 and southeast of the Pribilof Islands. There were additional negative effects on the abundance of 317 pollock eggs to the southeast of the Pribilof Islands. Flathead sole varying coefficient maps 318 showed clear differences between stages, with both negative and positive effects on egg 319 abundances (Figure S1). For example, negative effects of increases in temperature on egg 320 abundances were evident along the Alaska Peninsula and east of the Pribilof Islands. Positive

effects for flathead sole eggs were found to be concentrated in the middle of the study region but 321 for larvae they were dispersed across the shelf. In contrast to other species, direction of 322 temperature effects for Alaska plaice were generally specific to stage: negative effects were 323 primarily seen for eggs whereas positive effects were seen for larvae (Figure S2). Yellowfin sole 324 exhibited mainly positive effects from increases in temperature, particularly in the southeast 325 326 along the Alaska Peninsula (Figure S3). Northern rock sole positive effects were greatest near 327 Unalaska Island and between 56 and 58°N on the inner shelf, while negative effects were 328 dispersed across the outer shelf and along the inner Alaska Peninsula (Figure S4). Location 329 varying coefficient maps for Pacific cod were not created as the best fit model was that containing the time varying coefficient term (Table 1, S2). 330

331

332 **3.2 Projections**

The projected distributions for each stage and species showed varied responses to changes in 333 334 temperature and salinity over the next century, both in terms of overall spatial patterns and trajectories of each COG. Pollock eggs, for example, were predicted to be concentrated around 335 the Pribilof Islands at the beginning of the century (2015-2039) but by the end (2070-2099) were 336 337 dispersed across the continental shelf and were expected to become more concentrated near Unimak Pass in the future (Figure 5). Pollock larvae, in contrast, appeared to have a less 338 339 noticeable change in spatial patterns and areas of high abundance remained consistent over time, 340 albeit with decreases in abundance. When comparing emission scenarios, pollock egg and larval abundances appeared to be higher throughout the region for the high emission scenario by the 341 342 end of the century. Little change in distributional pattern over time was observed for flathead 343 sole eggs, which appeared to further concentrate at 56°N (Figure S5). Flathead sole larvae also

became more concentrated in a smaller area by the end of the century, but were instead predicted 344 to be located at 58°N. These effects were seen for both emission scenarios. Alaska plaice eggs 345 346 and larvae were predicted to be distributed on the shelf near the boundary of the study area, centered at about 60°N (Figure S6). In both cases, the distributions became more concentrated at 347 the northern edge of the study area over the next century, possibly indicating movement into the 348 349 Northern Bering Sea. For larvae, the high abundance near the Alaska Peninsula all but 350 disappeared by the end of the century. Yellowfin sole larvae were located along much of the 351 inner shelf at the beginning of the century but were predicted to become highly concentrated in 352 Bristol Bay by the end of the century (Figure S7). Northern rock sole larvae became more concentrated at 56°N above the Alaska Peninsula by the end of the century, though an area of 353 high abundance remained in Unimak Pass (Figure S8). Pacific cod larvae distributions changed 354 very little over the three time periods, with the highest abundances also remaining through 355 Unimak Pass and around Unimak Island (Figure S9). For yellowfin sole, northern rock sole, and 356 357 Pacific cod, differences between the two emission scenarios were not apparent.

358

359 Movement of species distribution COG over the next century was evaluated for all species and 360 distance between life stage COG was compared for pollock, flathead sole, and Alaska plaice. Pollock, flathead sole, and Alaska plaice were the three species for which predicted average 361 362 COG trajectory over the next century was northward (Table 2, Figure 6). Yellowfin sole 363 movement was predicted to be southward, while northern rock sole were expected to move east and Pacific cod to move west. The COGs for the eggs and larvae of pollock and Alaska plaice 364 365 moved closer together by the end of the century, which was in contrast to flathead sole for which 366 the COGs moved slightly further apart (Table S3). While the average direction of movement for

pollock and Alaska plaice life stage COGs differed slightly, the reduced distance between each
COG by the end of the century may indicate more overlap of the life stages of these species.

By evaluating thermal COG, we found that thermal habitat of all species and life stages moved 370 northward despite only half of the species exhibiting shifts in COG in this direction. In all cases, 371 372 the thermal habitat COG moved further than the species COG. Hence, species distribution COGs lagged slightly behind thermal COGs for all projected time periods, as indicated through a t-test 373 374 (Figure 6, Table S4). The strongest evidence for lags were during the first and last projection 375 periods (p < 0.05, mean first period = -0.010 °N/year, mean last period = -0.012 °N/year), while there was less evidence of a lag during the middle projection period (p = 0.08, mean = -0.018 376 $^{\circ}$ N/year). As expected, there was no indication of a lag during the hindcast time period (p > 0.1, 377 mean = 0.003 °N/year). 378

379

380 **4 Discussion**

Climate change is already impacting oceanographic conditions in the Bering Sea (Stabeno & 381 Bell, 2019) and our results show that distributions of groundfish eggs and larvae in the Bering 382 Sea will continue to be affected by these changes over the next century. Early life stages of all 383 study species except Pacific cod are expected to shift geographically over time in response to 384 385 environmental shifts. Much of this change is seen through increased abundances of eggs and larvae to the north or over the inner shelf area and Unimak Pass by the end of the century 386 (Figures 5, S5-S9). And, for many species, those regions were where initial analyses indicated 387 388 that increases in mean temperature would lead to positive effects on abundance. The direction of movement or areas of high abundance are not consistent among species. Pollock, flathead sole, 389

and Alaska plaice are predicted to move northward in terms of the COG of their distributions, 390 which contrasts with the directions predicted for yellowfin sole (south), northern rock sole (east), 391 392 and Pacific cod (west; Table 2). These shifts, however, may still result in a mismatch between species distributions and ideal habitat over time, as the species studied here overall lag behind 393 their thermal niche (Table S4). In addition, the distance between COGs for different life stages of 394 395 pollock, Alaska plaice, and flathead sole are predicted to change over time, possibly indicating a shift in the overlap of egg and larval distributions and reduced connectivity between the two 396 397 habitats. Similar mismatches or increases in overlap may occur with predators or competitors, 398 and are predicted to occur globally (Bindoff et al., 2019). Our study provides new insight into how groundfish species may be impacted by next-century changes in temperature and salinity, 399 though there are a number of other factors such as climate effects on ocean currents, fishing 400 pressure changes, and altered species interactions that were not evaluated here. In addition, we 401 were limited by the seasons during which data was collected, the uncertainty associated with 402 403 long-term climate projections (Brodie et al., 2022), and the application of correlative methods like SDMs rather than mechanistic models. In the case of model method choice, mechanistic 404 models were not used due to their tendency to be computationally expensive and a lack of 405 406 knowledge for all study species needed to predefine the requisite parameters. Therefore, these results provide initial context into how spawning distributions may change long-term in the 407 408 Bering Sea and give insight into effects on their associated fisheries, but also provide a 409 foundation for further methodological development.

410

Early life stages of many marine fish species occupy a dynamic, pelagic environment and theplanktonic egg and larval stages in particular are largely unable to control their movement and

thus are strongly influenced by the prevailing oceanography. This means that the environment 413 they inhabit during these critical life stages primarily depends on where or when adults choose to 414 415 spawn, given this may influence what currents eggs are larvae are subjected to, prey availability, and whether early-stage juveniles can later access nursery grounds (Ciannelli et al., 2015). Our 416 results indicate that spawners of multiple groundfish populations in the Bering Sea may be 417 418 flexible with where they spawn more so than when, hence why we see predicted changes in spatial distributions of eggs and larvae. This is consistent with results from recent research using 419 420 in situ data for Bering Sea groundfish distributions (Vary et al., 2023), but other studies have 421 shown phenological flexibility for some species during recent years (Nichol et al., 2019) and earlier spawning in response to rising temperatures has been predicted broadly for spring 422 spawning species (Pauly & Liang, 2022). Geographic flexibility may be seen through shifts in 423 distribution across the Bering Sea shelf, as with pollock, or through increasingly concentrated 424 425 abundances of eggs or larvae in specific areas, as seen with flathead sole and yellowfin sole. 426 These end-of-century locations are often areas where increases in abundance were observed when the effects of a rise in mean temperature were revealed by the spatial varying coefficient 427 term (Figures 4, S1-S4). For instance, the visible geographic shift of pollock may be primarily in 428 429 response to temperature changes; plots showed positive effects on abundance with increases in temperature in areas where pollock eggs and larvae are predicted to move over the next century 430 431 (Figures 4, 5). Northern rock sole larvae provide another example of this, with their distribution 432 predicted to become concentrated north of the Alaska Peninsula by the end of the century (Figure S8). This area is where positive temperature effects on northern rock sole occurred (Figure S4). 433 434 Given the more dynamic relationships many species have with temperature in contrast to salinity 435 (Figure 3), it is not unexpected that both collocated and annual thermal conditions play an

important role in driving distributions for most species. Consequently, warming in the Bering
Sea may lead to spatial shifts in groundfish spawning distributions that then alter food webs and
result in cascading effects throughout the ecosystem. There may be increased mismatches with
prey distributions along with new competition for resources and predation pressure if species
composition changes. Ultimately, thermally driven changes in pelagic early life stage
distributions and the potential geographic flexibility of groundfish spawning distributions have
broader implications for the Bering Sea region.

443

Despite the importance of temperature and the likelihood of future spatial shifts for early life 444 stages, thermal habitat is not always the primary driver nor are geographic shifts always the 445 predicted response. In the case of yellowfin sole, the reason for future shifts southeastward 446 toward Bristol Bay still appear somewhat related to temperature, but other factors may be 447 involved. High magnitude positive effects of temperature on yellowfin sole abundance were 448 449 located in the southeast Bering Sea near Bristol Bay despite present-day high abundances near St. Matthew Island (Figures S3, S7). These areas with current high abundances of yellowfin sole 450 were associated with lower magnitude or no positive effects with increases in temperature. 451 452 Therefore, temperature may drive shifts in distribution in part but currently, other oceanographic features such as higher salinity or the need for larval retention in specific areas may be more 453 454 influential. Yellowfin sole prefer shallow, coastal areas to spawn and are vulnerable to high 455 temperatures (Ciannelli et al., 2022; Wilderbuer et al., 1992), but given that salinity may decrease in those areas following increased terrestrial ice melt (Pilcher et al., 2022), where 456 457 yellowfin sole move over the next century may also be associated with preferred, higher 458 salinities. They, and other species, may also prefer specific substrate and this could constrain

movement to new spawning locations. For many species, like yellowfin sole, complex
relationships between habitat characteristics are likely to drive distributional changes over the
next century (Vary et al., 2023).

462

Future loss of spawning habitat has been predicted for numerous species globally (Dahlke et al., 463 464 2020), though many species have been shown to shift phenologically rather than geographically. Here, Pacific cod was the only species for which the phenological variability model was selected, 465 466 but this is possibly due to data limitations. The EcoFOCI surveys typically occur during a several-week period in late spring, and again in late summer, but may not have the intra-seasonal 467 frequency necessary to capture temporal shifts, leading to increased selection of the 468 geographically flexible model. For example, in contrast to our results, pollock stocks in the Gulf 469 of Alaska and Bering Sea have historically been shown to shift their spawn timing and retain 470 471 specific spawning sites (Bacheler et al., 2012; Rogers & Dougherty, 2019). In the Bering Sea, it 472 is possible that our inclusion of additional years of data compared to Bacheler et al. (2012) led to this discrepancy in results. However, recent studies on adult pollock have found evidence of 473 northward shifts (Eisner et al., 2020; Stevenson & Lauth, 2019). Additionally, in many cases past 474 475 studies have focused on either phenological shifts or changes in geography, not both. Regardless, the differences between these studies and our own warrant further research into using these data 476 477 to predict spawning distributions. This may involve the inclusion of additional criteria like egg 478 and larval developmental stage or use of joint SDMs for a full life cycle analysis, both of which 479 may reduce prediction uncertainty about the future distributions of marine fishes. Also, 480 mechanistic models, particularly those that predict where eggs or larvae are likely to drift given

changes in current patterns, may provide valuable insight by incorporating physicaloceanographic features that impact retention or transport.

483

The projected spawning distributions of our six study species combined with previous research 484 provides initial context as to how they will be affected by climate change throughout their life 485 486 cycle. A study on juvenile and adult stages for these species showed that direction of movement was generally northward for pollock, flathead sole, yellowfin sole, and northern rock sole, while 487 488 Alaska plaice shifted southward and little discernible movement was seen for Pacific cod 489 (Rooper et al., 2021). Directional trends were consistent with our results for pollock, flathead sole, and Pacific cod but not for Alaska plaice, yellowfin sole, and northern rock sole. This may 490 be due to species' use of specific spawning grounds while non-spawning adult distributions 491 differ in location. For example, yellowfin sole use the Bering Sea inner shelf to reproduce, 492 493 flathead sole spawn on the mid-shelf, and northern rock sole spawn in areas on the mid-shelf, 494 along the Alaska Peninsula, and near Unalaska Island (Lanksbury et al., 2007; Porter, 2021; Porter & Ciannelli, 2018; Wilderbuer et al., 1992). Climate change may lead to spatial mismatch 495 between areas suitable to spawners and areas conducive to survival of early life stages. Previous 496 497 work found that for young-of-the-year pollock, the projected warmer conditions that support greater abundances of eggs and larvae prevent the appearance of necessary food sources, leading 498 499 to poor recruitment (Mueter et al., 2011). Higher temperatures are also expected to benefit 500 pollock adult stages (Bacheler et al., 2012), but a bottleneck appears to occur at the juvenile 501 stage. However, decreases in the southern extent of sea ice coverage associated with warm 502 surface temperatures may be driving a shift in the northern Bering Sea from a benthic ecosystem 503 to one that is more often pelagic like the eastern Bering Sea (Grebmeier et al., 2006). Changes to 504 community structure may lead to more prey availability for juveniles to the north, which is also 505 the direction eggs and larvae are expected to shift over the next century. This further illustrates 506 the importance of evaluating climate change effects on all life stages, as habitat requirements 507 often change throughout the life of marine species. These types of contrasting responses to 508 environmental conditions at different points in an organism's life cycle are likely exhibited by 509 other marine species.

510

511 Positive effects on abundance in response to higher water temperatures were seen during model parameterization and spawning distributions are expected to have geographic flexibility in the 512 future. However, there was evidence for a lag in spawning distributions behind suitable thermal 513 habitat location during the projection time periods, albeit this was somewhat less evident during 514 the middle time period. This contrasts with the results of Pinsky et al. (2013), where the authors 515 found that marine species in multiple regions tend to track climate velocity. However, their focus 516 517 was on historic range shifts and later life stages, which are capable of directed movement toward more favorable conditions unlike the planktonic early life stages that were examined in this 518 study. Future changes to ocean temperature may be more extreme than in recent decades and 519 520 here we explore a much longer time period, both of which may partially explain our observation of lags. Additionally, it is possible that given the narrow thermal requirements of early life stages 521 522 and spawning adults, these stages may not be able to track climate as well as the non-spawning 523 adults and juveniles that were the focus of Pinsky et al. (2013). This may also illustrate future 524 mismatches and reduced connectivity between life stages and their stage-specific habitats, 525 possibly preventing life cycle completion for some species. Moreover, our study did not include 526 other important oceanographic conditions such as current velocity, water density, and salinity in

our assessments of changing suitable early life history habitats over time, all of which may affect
ichthyoplankton distributions (Bashevkin et al., 2020). And for spawning adults, conditions at
the seafloor may not continue to match appropriate early life stage habitats in the near-surface,
pelagic environment. Despite this, determining projected species intersections with thermal
habitat provides important insight into the future ability of these species to inhabit areas with
biologically suitable temperatures.

533

Projecting species distributions remains an active area of research and it remains challenging to 534 predict how species will respond to climate change. Our research provides insight into potential 535 changes in spawning distributions but methodological improvements are needed. As pointed out 536 by Barnes et al. (2022) there are issues with using complex SDMs that include terms like 537 spatially varying coefficients, which allow abundance over time or space to vary with ocean 538 conditions. However, use of these terms allowed us to investigate tradeoffs between geographic 539 540 and phenological variability at the spawning stage, something less relevant to the adult populations of the two species examined by Barnes et al. (2022). We constrained our models 541 using a similar methodological approach to prevent extrapolating beyond conditions experienced 542 543 in the hindcast time period. While this prevents overfitting, it also prevents us from understanding how species will respond to novel conditions like higher water temperatures. 544 545 Inclusion of extreme years has been shown to improve predictions for some species and life 546 stages (Muhling et al., 2020), but when using conditions derived from the higher emissions 547 scenario, this may not fully alleviate the issues associated with extrapolation or certain life stages 548 of some species. More mechanistic studies may be useful for pinpointing suitable habitat for 549 each life stage, along with further development of SDMs for use in projections.

550

Our research not only adds to a small body of literature on early life stage distributional 551 552 responses to climate change, but it also has implications for future fishery management and applications to other regions. Understanding potential changes to spawning distributions 553 connects directly to many fisheries, including pollock. This fishery operates with two seasons, 554 555 the first occurring during the winter months and focused on targeting spawning aggregations to 556 harvest roe (egg masses). Previous work found that variations in fishery spatial distribution for 557 pollock are more strongly associated with overall fish abundance, financial factors, and annual 558 temperature fluctuations rather than climate regimes, and that shifts for the roe fishery are typically temporal (Haynie & Pfeiffer, 2013). While there have yet to be observed northward 559 shifts in the pollock roe fishery (Haynie & Pfeiffer, 2013; Watson & Haynie, 2018), if spawning 560 aggregations indeed move northward as suggested by our results, the fleet may eventually 561 follow. This response by the fishery is uncertain due to the numerous factors influencing fleet 562 563 dynamics, but could occur in other fisheries where spawning aggregations are targeted and range shifts are observed. For example, Atlantic mackerel spawning distributions expanded northward 564 over several decades, resulting in potential challenges for transboundary fishery management 565 566 (Bruge et al., 2016; Chust et al., 2023). Shifts in spawning or adult distributions may also lead to difficulty accessing fishing grounds, in part from increased fuel costs due to increases in distance 567 568 traveled. Our study showed that multiple species are expected to shift northward, away from the 569 Alaska Peninsula and Aleutian Islands, where most of the ports for these fisheries are located. 570 These shifts may lead to changes in fishing ground locations. Future analyses using methods like 571 these in other regions with sufficient early life stage fishery data can provide important insights 572 into future fishery and ecosystem effects as our oceans continue to change. While there are

limitations to our methodologies, our results illustrate the importance of evaluating climate
effects on early life stages of marine species and the need for further research beyond the Bering
Sea.

Acknowledgements

We thank the NOAA EcoFOCI survey teams, survey volunteers, and the captains and crews who have collected the data used here, as well as the Plankton Sorting and Identification Center (Szczecin, Poland) and the AFSC (Seattle, WA, USA) for ichthyoplankton taxonomic identification. We also thank the ACLIM project for developing and providing use of the Bering10K ROMS projections and hindcasts for the Bering Sea. Funding was provided by NPRB #1909-A. This paper is contribution EcoFOCI-1052 to NOAA's EcoFOCI Program.

Data Availability Statement

The scripts that support the findings of this study are openly available in Zenodo at DOI:

10.5281/zenodo.10565114.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

Akaike, H. (1974). A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 19(6), 716–723. https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.1974.1100705

- Arya, S., Kemp, S.E., & Jeffries, G. (2019). *RANN: Fast Nearest Neighbour Search (Wraps ANN Library) using L2 metric.* (2.6.1).
- Bacheler, N.M., Ciannelli, L., Bailey, K.M., & Bartolino, V. (2012). Do walleye pollock exhibit flexibility in where or when they spawn based on variability in water temperature? *Deep*

Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 65–70, 208–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2012.02.001

- Bacheler, N.M., Ciannelli, L., Bailey, K.M., & Duffy-Anderson, J.T. (2010). Spatial and temporal patterns of walleye pollock (*Theragra chalcogramma*) spawning in the eastern Bering Sea inferred from egg and larval distributions. *Fisheries Oceanography*, 19(2), 107–120. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2419.2009.00531.x
- Barnes, C.L., Essington, T.E., Pirtle, J.L., Rooper, C.N., Laman, E.A., Holsman, K.K., Aydin, K.Y., & Thorson, J.T. (2022). Climate-informed models benefit hindcasting but present challenges when forecasting species–habitat associations. *Ecography*, e06189. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.06189
- Bashevkin, S.M., Dibble, C.D., Dunn, R.P., Hollarsmith, J.A., Ng, G., Satterthwaite, E.V., & Morgan, S.G. (2020). Larval dispersal in a changing ocean with an emphasis on upwelling regions. *Ecosphere*, *11*(1), e03015. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3015
- Belkin, I.M. (2009). Rapid warming of large marine ecosystems. *Progress in Oceanography*, 81(1–4), 207–213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2009.04.011
- Bigman, J.S., Laurel, B.J., Kearney, K., Hermann, A.J., Cheng, W., Holsman, K.K., & Rogers, L.A. (2023). Predicting Pacific cod thermal spawning habitat in a changing climate. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, fsad096. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsad096
- Bindoff, N.L., Cheung, W.W., Kairo, J.G., Arístegui, J., Guinder, V.A., Hallberg, R., Hilmi, N.J.M., Jiao, N., Karim, M.S., & Levin, L. (2019). Changing ocean, marine ecosystems, and dependent communities. *IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate [H.-O. Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, M. Tignor, E. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Nicolai, A. Okem, J. Petzold, B. Rama, N.M. Weyer (Eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 477–587.*
- Blaxter, J.H.S. (1991). The effect of temperature on larval fishes. *Netherlands Journal of Zoology*, 42(2–3), 336–357. https://doi.org/10.1163/156854291X00379
- Boeing, W.J., & Duffy-Anderson, J.T. (2008). Ichthyoplankton dynamics and biodiversity in the Gulf of Alaska: Responses to environmental change. *Ecological Indicators*, 8(3), 292– 302. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2007.03.002
- Bograd, S.J., Jacox, M.G., Hazen, E.L., Lovecchio, E., Montes, I., Pozo Buil, M., Shannon, L.J., Sydeman, W.J., & Rykaczewski, R.R. (2023). Climate change impacts on eastern boundary upwelling systems. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 15, 303–328. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-032122-021945
- Brodie, S., Smith, J.A., Muhling, B.A., Barnett, L.A.K., Carroll, G., Fiedler, P., Bograd, S.J.,
 Hazen, E.L., Jacox, M.G., Andrews, K.S., Barnes, C.L., Crozier, L.G., Fiechter, J.,
 Fredston, A., Haltuch, M.A., Harvey, C.J., Holmes, E., Karp, M.A., Liu, O.R., ... Kaplan,
 I.C. (2022). Recommendations for quantifying and reducing uncertainty in climate
 projections of species distributions. *Global Change Biology*, 28(22), 6586–6601.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16371
- Bruge, A., Alvarez, P., Fontán, A., Cotano, U., & Chust, G. (2016). Thermal niche tracking and future distribution of Atlantic mackerel spawning in response to ocean warming. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 3. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmars.2016.00086

- Cheung, W.W.L., Lam, V.W.Y., Sarmiento, J.L., Kearney, K., Watson, R., & Pauly, D. (2009). Projecting global marine biodiversity impacts under climate change scenarios. *Fish and Fisheries*, 10(3), 235–251. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-2979.2008.00315.x
- Chust, G., Taboada, F.G., Alvarez, P., & Ibaibarriaga, L. (2023). Species acclimatization pathways: Latitudinal shifts and timing adjustments to track ocean warming. *Ecological Indicators*, *146*, 109752. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109752
- Ciannelli, L., Bailey, K., & Olsen, E.M. (2015). Evolutionary and ecological constraints of fish spawning habitats. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 72(2), 285–296. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsu145
- Ciannelli, L., Smith, E., Kearney, K., Hunsicker, M., & McGilliard, C. (2022). Greater exposure of nearshore habitats in the Bering Sea makes fish early life stages vulnerable to climate change. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 684, 91–102. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps13977
- Cote, D., Konecny, C.A., Seiden, J., Hauser, T., Kristiansen, T., & Laurel, B.J. (2021). Forecasted shifts in thermal nabitat for cod species in the Northwest Atlantic and Eastern Canadian Arctic. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.764072
- Cushing, D.H. (1975). Marine Ecology and Fisheries. Cambridge University Press.
- Cushing, D.H. (1990). Plankton production and year-class strength in fish populations: An update of the match/mismatch hypothesis. *Advances in Marine Biology*, *26*, 249–293. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2881(08)60202-3
- Dahlke, F.T., Wohlrab, S., Butzin, M., & Pörtner, H.-O. (2020). Thermal bottlenecks in the life cycle define climate vulnerability of fish. *Science*, 369(6499), 65–70. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz3658
- Danabasoglu, G., Lamarque, J.-F., Bacmeister, J., Bailey, D.A., DuVivier, A.K., Edwards, J., Emmons, L.K., Fasullo, J., Garcia, R., Gettelman, A., Hannay, C., Holland, M.M., Large, W.G., Lauritzen, P.H., Lawrence, D.M., Lenaerts, J.T.M., Lindsay, K., Lipscomb, W.H., Mills, M.J., ... Strand, W.G. (2020). The Community Earth System Model Version 2 (CESM2). *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, *12*(2), e2019MS001916. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001916
- Dunne, J.P., Horowitz, L.W., Adcroft, A.J., Ginoux, P., Held, I.M., John, J.G., Krasting, J.P., Malyshev, S., Naik, V., Paulot, F., Shevliakova, E., Stock, C.A., Zadeh, N., Balaji, V., Blanton, C., Dunne, K.A., Dupuis, C., Durachta, J., Dussin, R., ... Zhao, M. (2020). The GFDL Earth System Model Version 4.1 (GFDL-ESM 4.1): Overall Coupled Model Description and Simulation Characteristics. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, *12*(11), e2019MS002015. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS002015
- Eisner, L.B., Zuenko, Y.I., Basyuk, E.O., Britt, L.L., Duffy-Anderson, J.T., Kotwicki, S., Ladd, C., & Cheng, W. (2020). Environmental impacts on walleye pollock (*Gadus* chalcogrammus) distribution across the Bering Sea shelf. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 181–182, 104881. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2020.104881
- Erauskin-Extramiana, M., Alvarez, P., Arrizabalaga, H., Ibaibarriaga, L., Uriarte, A., Cotano, U., Santos, M., Ferrer, L., Cabré, A., Irigoien, X., & Chust, G. (2019). Historical trends and future distribution of anchovy spawning in the Bay of Biscay. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 159, 169–182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2018.07.007

- Grebmeier, J.M., Overland, J.E., Moore, S.E., Farley, E.V., Carmack, E.C., Cooper, L.W., Frey, K.E., Helle, J.H., McLaughlin, F.A., & McNutt, S.L. (2006). A major ecosystem shift in the northern Bering Sea. *Science*, *311*(5766), 1461–1464. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1121365
- Hastie, T., Friedman, J., & Tibshirani, R. (2001). *The Elements of Statistical Learning*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-21606-5
- Haynie, A.C., & Pfeiffer, L. (2013). Climatic and economic drivers of the Bering Sea walleye pollock (*Theragra chalcogramma*) fishery: Implications for the future. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 70(6), 841–853. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2012-0265
- Hjort, J. (1914). Fluctuations in the great fisheries of northern Europe viewed in the light of biological research. *ICES*, 20, 1–13.
- Holsman, K.K., Haynie, A.C., Hollowed, A.B., Reum, J.C.P., Aydin, K.Y., Hermann, A.J., Cheung, W.W.L., Faig, A.D., Ianelli, J.N., Kearney, K.A., & Punt, A.E. (2020).
 Ecosystem-based fisheries management forestalls climate-driven collapse. *Nature Communications*, 11(1), 4579. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18300-3
- Houde, E.D. (1989). Comparative growth, mortality, and energetics of marine fish larvae: Temperature and implied latitudinal effects. *Fishery Bulletin*, 87(3), 471–495.
- Howard, R.A. (2024). *howardre/Bering_LarvalForecast: Manuscript Code* (v1.0.0) [Zenodo]. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10565114
- Kearney, K., Hermann, A., Cheng, W., Ortiz, I., & Aydin, K. (2020). A coupled pelagic– benthic–sympagic biogeochemical model for the Bering Sea: Documentation and validation of the BESTNPZ model (v2019.08.23) within a high-resolution regional ocean model. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 13(2), 597–650. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-597-2020
- Kleisner, K.M., Fogarty, M.J., McGee, S., Barnett, A., Fratantoni, P., Greene, J., Hare, J.A., Lucey, S.M., McGuire, C., Odell, J., Saba, V.S., Smith, L., Weaver, K.J., & Pinsky, M.L. (2016). The effects of sub-regional climate velocity on the distribution and spatial extent of marine species assemblages. *PLOS ONE*, *11*(2), e0149220. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0149220
- Lanksbury, J.A., Duffy-Anderson, J.T., Mier, K.L., Busby, M.S., & Stabeno, P.J. (2007). Distribution and transport patterns of northern rock sole, *Lepidopsetta polyxystra*, larvae in the southeastern Bering Sea. *Progress in Oceanography*, 72(1), 39–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2006.09.001
- Lima, A.R.A., Garrido, S., Riveiro, I., Rodrigues, D., Angélico, M.M.P., Gonçalves, E.J., Peck, M.A., & Silva, G. (2022). Seasonal approach to forecast the suitability of spawning habitats of a temperate small pelagic fish under a high-emission climate change scenario. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.956654
- Litzow, M.A., Malick, M.J., Kristiansen, T., Connors, B.M., & Ruggerone, G.T. (2024). Climate attribution time series track the evolution of human influence on North Pacific sea surface temperature. *Environmental Research Letters*, 19(1), 014014. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ad0c88
- Lynch, P.D., Nye, J.A., Hare, J.A., Stock, C.A., Alexander, M.A., Scott, J.D., Curti, K.L., & Drew, K. (2015). Projected ocean warming creates a conservation challenge for river herring populations. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 72(2), 374–387. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsu134

- Maynou, F., Sabatés, A., Ramirez-Romero, E., Catalán, I.A., & Raya, V. (2020). Future distribution of early life stages of small pelagic fishes in the northwestern Mediterranean. *Climatic Change*, *161*(4), 567–589. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-020-02723-4
- Moltó, V., Palmer, M., Ospina-Álvarez, A., Pérez-Mayol, S., Benseddik, A.B., Gatt, M., Morales-Nin, B., Alemany, F., & Catalán, I.A. (2021). Projected effects of ocean warming on an iconic pelagic fish and its fishery. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 8803. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-88171-1
- Morato, T., González-Irusta, J.-M., Dominguez-Carrió, C., Wei, C.-L., Davies, A., Sweetman, A.K., Taranto, G.H., Beazley, L., García-Alegre, A., Grehan, A., Laffargue, P., Murillo, F.J., Sacau, M., Vaz, S., Kenchington, E., Arnaud-Haond, S., Callery, O., Chimienti, G., Cordes, E., ... Carreiro-Silva, M. (2020). Climate-induced changes in the suitable habitat of cold-water corals and commercially important deep-sea fishes in the North Atlantic. *Global Change Biology*, 26(4), 2181–2202. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14996
- Mueter, F.J., Bond, N.A., Ianelli, J.N., & Hollowed, A.B. (2011). Expected declines in recruitment of walleye pollock (*Theragra chalcogramma*) in the eastern Bering Sea under future climate change. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 68(6), 1284–1296. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsr022
- Muhling, B.A., Brodie, S., Smith, J.A., Tommasi, D., Gaitan, C.F., Hazen, E.L., Jacox, M.G., Auth, T.D., & Brodeur, R.D. (2020). Predictability of species distributions deteriorates under novel environmental conditions in the California Current System. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 7, 589. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00589
- Nichol, D.G., Kotwicki, S., Wilderbuer, T.K., Lauth, R.R., & Ianelli, J.N. (2019). Availability of yellowfin sole *Limanda aspera* to the eastern Bering Sea trawl survey and its effect on estimates of survey biomass. *Fisheries Research*, 211, 319–330. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2018.11.017
- O'Connor, M.I., Bruno, J.F., Gaines, S.D., Halpern, B.S., Lester, S.E., Kinlan, B.P., & Weiss, J.M. (2007). Temperature control of larval dispersal and the implications for marine ecology, evolution, and conservation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 104(4), 1266–1271. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0603422104
- O'Neill, B.C., Tebaldi, C., van Vuuren, D.P., Eyring, V., Friedlingstein, P., Hurtt, G., Knutti, R., Kriegler, E., Lamarque, J.-F., Lowe, J., Meehl, G.A., Moss, R., Riahi, K., & Sanderson, B.M. (2016). The Scenario Model Intercomparison Project (ScenarioMIP) for CMIP6. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 9(9), 3461–3482. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-3461-2016
- Pankhurst, N.W., & Munday, P.L. (2011). Effects of climate change on fish reproduction and early life history stages. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 62(9), 1015. https://doi.org/10.1071/MF10269
- Pauly, D., & Liang, C. (2022). Temperature and the maturation of fish: A simple sine-wave model for predicting accelerated spring spawning. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 105(10), 1481–1487. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-022-01212-0
- Pepin, P. (1991). Effect of temperature and size on development, mortality, and survival rates of the pelagic early life history stages of marine fish. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 48(3), 503–518. https://doi.org/10.1139/f91-065
- Pilcher, D.J., Cross, J.N., Hermann, A.J., Kearney, K.A., Cheng, W., & Mathis, J.T. (2022). Dynamically downscaled projections of ocean acidification for the Bering Sea. *Deep Sea*

Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, *198*, 105055. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2022.105055

- Pinsky, M.L., Selden, R.L., & Kitchel, Z.J. (2020). Climate-driven shifts in marine species ranges: Scaling from organisms to communities. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 12(1), 153–179. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010419-010916
- Pinsky, M.L., Worm, B., Fogarty, M.J., Sarmiento, J.L., & Levin, S.A. (2013). Marine taxa track local climate velocities. *Science*, 341, 1239–1242. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1239352
- Poloczanska, E.S., Burrows, M.T., Brown, C.J., García Molinos, J., Halpern, B.S., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Kappel, C.V., Moore, P.J., Richardson, A.J., Schoeman, D.S., & Sydeman, W.J. (2016). Responses of marine organisms to climate change across oceans. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, *3*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2016.00062
- Porter, S.M. (2021). Variation in the distribution of yellowfin sole *Limanda aspera* larvae in warm and cold years in the eastern Bering Sea. *Fisheries Oceanography*, fog.12565. https://doi.org/10.1111/fog.12565
- Porter, S.M., & Ciannelli, L. (2018). Effect of temperature on flathead sole (*Hippoglossoides elassodon*) spawning in the southeastern Bering Sea during warm and cold years. *Journal of Sea Research*, *141*, 26–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2018.08.003
- Riahi, K., van Vuuren, D.P., Kriegler, E., Edmonds, J., O'Neill, B.C., Fujimori, S., Bauer, N., Calvin, K., Dellink, R., Fricko, O., Lutz, W., Popp, A., Cuaresma, J.C., Kc, S., Leimbach, M., Jiang, L., Kram, T., Rao, S., Emmerling, J., ... Tavoni, M. (2017). The Shared Socioeconomic Pathways and their energy, land use, and greenhouse gas emissions implications: An overview. *Global Environmental Change*, 42, 153–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2016.05.009
- Rogers, L.A., & Dougherty, A.B. (2019). Effects of climate and demography on reproductive phenology of a harvested marine fish population. *Global Change Biology*, 25(2), 708–720. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14483
- Rogers, L.A., Griffin, R., Young, T., Fuller, E., St. Martin, K., & Pinsky, M.L. (2019). Shifting habitats expose fishing communities to risk under climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, 9(7), 512–516. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0503-z
- Rooper, C.N., Ortiz, I., Hermann, A.J., Laman, N., Cheng, W., Kearney, K., & Aydin, K. (2021). Predicted shifts of groundfish distribution in the Eastern Bering Sea under climate change, with implications for fish populations and fisheries management. *ICES Journal* of Marine Science, 78(1), 220–234. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsaa215
- Sandø, A.B., Johansen, G.O., Aglen, A., Stiansen, J.E., & Renner, A.H.H. (2020). Climate change and new potential spawning sites for Northeast Arctic cod. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00028
- Shima, M., & Bailey, K.M. (1994). Comparative analysis of ichthyoplankton sampling gear for early life stages of walleye pollock (*Theragra chalcogramma*). *Fisheries Oceanography*, 3(1), 50–59. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2419.1994.tb00047.x
- Shono, H. (2008). Application of the Tweedie distribution to zero-catch data in CPUE analysis. *Fisheries Research*, 93(1), 154–162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2008.03.006
- Sponaugle, S., Grorud-Colvert, K., & Pinkard, D. (2006). Temperature-mediated variation in early life history traits and recruitment success of the coral reef fish *Thalassoma bifasciatum* in the Florida Keys. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 308, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps308001

- Stabeno, P.J., & Bell, S.W. (2019). Extreme conditions in the Bering Sea (2017–2018): Recordbreaking low sea-ice extent. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46(15), 8952–8959. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL083816
- Stevenson, D.E., & Lauth, R.R. (2019). Bottom trawl surveys in the northern Bering Sea indicate recent shifts in the distribution of marine species. *Polar Biology*, 42(2), 407–421. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-018-2431-1
- Szuwalski, C.S., Aydin, K., Fedewa, E.J., Garber-Yonts, B., & Litzow, M.A. (2023). The collapse of eastern Bering Sea snow crab. *Science*, 382(6668), 306–310. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adf6035
- Tweedie, M.C. (1984). An index which distinguishes between some important exponential families. 579, 579–604.
- Vary, L., Rogers, L.A., Harte, M., Howard, R.A., & Ciannelli, L. (2023). Temperaturedependent spawning behaviour and larval thermohaline associations of Bering Sea groundfish. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, fsad113. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsad113
- Watson, J.T., & Haynie, A.C. (2018). Paths to resilience: The walleye pollock fleet uses multiple fishing strategies to buffer against environmental change in the Bering Sea. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 75(11), 1977–1989. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2017-0315
- Wernberg, T., Smale, D.A., Tuya, F., Thomsen, M.S., Langlois, T.J., de Bettignies, T., Bennett, S., & Rousseaux, C.S. (2013). An extreme climatic event alters marine ecosystem structure in a global biodiversity hotspot. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(1), 78–82. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1627
- Wilderbuer, T.K., Walters, G.E., & Bakkala, R.G. (1992). Yellowfin sole, *Pleuronectes asper*, of the Bering Sea: Biological characteristics, history of exploitation, and management. *Marine Fisheries Review*, 54(4), 1–19.
- Wood, S.N. (2017). *Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R* (2nd ed.). Chapman and Hall/CRC Press.

Tables

Species	Stage	Best Model	ΔΑΙΟ	Deviance
Dollook	Eggs	$g(CPUE) = re(y) + te(\phi, \lambda) + s_1(J) + s_2(SST) + s_3(SSS) + s_4(\phi, \lambda, by = T)$	368	52.9%
Pollock -	Larvae	$g(CPUE) = re(y) + te(\phi, \lambda) + s_1(J) + s_2(SST) + s_3(SSS) + s_4(\phi, \lambda, by = T)$	1009	53.1%
Flathead	Eggs	$g(CPUE) = re(y) + te(\phi, \lambda) + s_1(J) + s_2(SST) + s_3(SSS) + s_4(\phi, \lambda, by = T)$	217	52.9%
Sole	Larvae	$g(CPUE) = re(y) + te(\phi, \lambda) + s_1(J) + s_2(SST) + s_3(SSS) + s_4(\phi, \lambda, by = T)$	603	68.6%
Alaska	Eggs	$g(CPUE) = re(y) + te(\phi, \lambda) + s_1(J) + s_2(SST) + s_3(SSS) + s_4(\phi, \lambda, by = T)$	156	56.5%
Plaice	Larvae	$g(CPUE) = re(y) + te(\phi, \lambda) + s_1(J) + s_2(SST) + s_3(SSS) + s_4(\phi, \lambda, by = T)$	702	68.8%
Yellowfin Sole	Larvae	$g(CPUE) = re(y) + te(\phi, \lambda) + s_1(J) + s_2(SST) + s_3(SSS) + s_4(\phi, \lambda, by = T)$	211	76.7%
Northern Rock Sole	Larvae	$g(CPUE) = re(y) + te(\phi, \lambda) + s_1(J) + s_2(SST) + s_3(SSS) + s_4(\phi, \lambda, by = T)$	260	52.0%
Pacific Cod	Larvae	$g(CPUE) = re(y) + te(\phi, \lambda) + s_1(J) + s_2(SST) + s_3(SSS) + s_4(J, by = T)$	160	54.9%

Table 1: This table shows the best fit model selected during the parameterization process. The difference in AIC was calculated between Equation 2 and the best model. Deviance is the percent deviance explained by the best model.

Table 2: Change in COG for each species and life stage over time. The direction of movement indicates the COG location during the 2070-2099 time period relative to the hindcast time period. The distance reflects how far the COG moved in kilometers between the hindcast and 2070-2099.

Species	Stage	Direction	∆ Distance (km)
Dallash	Egg	NE	119.5
POHOCK	Larvae	NW	109.8
	Egg	NE	78.4
Flathead Sole	Larvae	NW	145.7
Alaska Distas	Egg	Ν	66.1
Alaska Flaice	Larvae	NW	216.8
Yellowfin Sole	Larvae	SE	247.5
Northern Rock Sole	Larvae	Е	135.3
Pacific Cod	Larvae	W	26.1

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Map of the study region with relevant locations labeled.

Figure 2: Average change in temperature over the Bering Sea shelf during the historical period (1980-2014) and the projection period (2015-2099). Each plot is for an ESM and shows the historical values (yellow) as well as both SSPs (red and blue). Shading indicates minimum and maximum values of temperature.

Figure 3: The first three columns depict partial dependence plots for day of year, SST, and SSS for hindcast models for each species and stage, with the same axis ranges for a given species. The last two columns represent an estimate of the varying coefficient terms with regard to temperature. The fourth column depicts how phenology would change with a 1°C increase (purple) in mean shelf temperature. The fifth column depicts how a 1°C increase in temperature would change the spatial distribution of a species. Purple indicates a decrease in abundance; orange indicates an increase.

Figure 4: Maps of the effect of an increase in mean temperature on abundance of pollock eggs and larvae imposed over teal shading depicting their average predicted spatial distribution during the hindcast time period. Red bubbles indicate increases in abundance with an increase in temperature while blue bubbles indicate a decrease in abundance with a decrease in temperature. Bubble size indicates the magnitude of the effect of temperature. Areas without bubbles indicate no statistically significant change in abundance at that location with a change in temperature.

Figure 5: Maps of projected distributions of pollock eggs (top) and larvae (bottom) over the next century for three time periods for each SSP. Abundance is scaled from 0 to 1, with lighter colors indicating higher abundance. The projections using the three ESMs were averaged for each SSP and time period to create the final maps.

Figure 6: Plots of COG for each species and stage (solid line) as well as their corresponding thermal niche (dotted line) over the hindcast and projection time periods. Solid circles represent different COGs, with colors corresponding to the time periods.