Projecting marine fish distributions during early life stages under future climate scenarios

 Alternate title 1: Marine fish demonstrate shifts in spawning distributions under future climate scenarios

Alternate title 2: Projected fish spawning distributions vary spatially in response to next-century oceanographic change

Running title: Ocean change affects fish spawning

Authors: Rebecca A. Howard¹, Lauren A. Rogers², Kelly A. Kearney³, Laura L. Vary¹, Lorenzo $Ciannelli¹$

Correspondence Contact Information:

Rebecca A. Howard Email: howardre@oregonstate.edu

Affiliations:

¹College of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, *USA* 2 *Alaska Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Seattle, WA, USA 3 Cooperative Institute for Climate, Ocean, and Ecosystem Studies, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA*

Key words: Bering Sea, groundfish, projections, ROMS, spawning, species distribution models

Abstract

Changes to Earth's climate affect organisms globally; in marine systems these impacts are seen through warming water temperatures, ocean acidification, hypoxia, and frequent marine heatwaves. These effects may lead to movement of species to more favorable conditions. While climate-driven movement is well studied at the adult stage, how early life stages of marine fish will respond to future variability is less clear. Many fish species are constrained by specific spawning locations or phenology. Spawning in certain locations allows for local retention of offspring while precise timing can facilitate transport of offspring to nursery locations through seasonal circulation patterns. Our research investigates how changing oceans impact the location and timing of spawning of Bering Sea groundfishes over the next century. We used ROMS SST and SSS model output and NOAA survey data in species distribution models to hindcast and project distributions and center of gravity for eggs and larvae of six groundfish species. Our analyses found that most of our study species exhibit flexible geography. However, the speed and direction of egg and larval movement did not track the speed and direction of their respective thermal niches. Hence, projected distributional patterns of adult stages may be limited by their early life stages. This response is likely to be mirrored globally by other species with planktonic eggs and larvae. These results indicate that life history considerations are critical for management of commercially important species, as effects on early life stages are strongly connected to the success or failure of adult populations.

Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Materials & Methods
	- 2.1 Biological data
	- 2.2 Environmental data
	- 2.3 Model parameterization
	- 2.4 Distribution projections
- 3. Results
	- 3.1 Hindcast
	- 3.2 Projections
- 4. Discussion
- 5. Acknowledgements
- 6. Data Availability Statement
- 7. Conflict of Interest Statement
- 8. References
- 9. Tables
- 10. Figure Legends

1 **1 Introduction**

Climate change resulting from rising atmospheric carbon dioxide and subsequent increases in global temperature has been documented to have significant physical and biological impacts on marine ecosystems (Bindoff et al., 2019; Bograd et al., 2023; Poloczanska et al., 2016). Specific impacts on ocean conditions include rising ocean temperatures in the Northeast Atlantic (Belkin, 2009), as well as extreme events like marine heatwaves in the North Pacific (Litzow et al., 2024). Climate change may also be connected to the recent warming observed along the west coast of Australia (Wernberg et al., 2013) and record low winter sea ice extent in the Bering Sea region in 2018 and 2019 (Stabeno & Bell, 2019). Physical oceanographic changes like these have ecological implications such as reductions in suitable habitat for certain species (Lynch et al., 2015; Morato et al., 2020), mass die-offs (Szuwalski et al., 2023), and the spatial expansion or contraction of community assemblages (Kleisner et al., 2016). These effects on marine biota are expected to continue and thus will impact coastal communities that depend on these systems (Rogers et al., 2019). It is therefore imperative to understand more thoroughly how species respond to changes in their environment, allowing for insight into the biological consequences of a changing ocean. 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

17

It is well established that the environment in which egg production and larval fish development occurs strongly affects the survival of the early life stages and, subsequently, the adult population (Cushing, 1975, 1990; Hjort, 1914). Beginning with Hjort's (1914) critical period hypothesis, early life history research has continued to provide important insights into these vulnerable stages. For example, small fluctuations in temperature are known to reduce egg survival and development (Blaxter, 1991). At higher temperatures, larval metabolic, developmental, and 18 19 20 21 22 23

24 growth rates are enhanced (Blaxter, 1991; Houde, 1989; Pepin, 1991) but time spent in the water column and during metamorphosis are reduced (O'Connor et al., 2007; Sponaugle et al., 2006). The effects of temperature on eggs and larvae impose a constraint, potentially explaining why spawning adults evolve to reproduce in specific locations and at certain times. There are additional evolutionary constraints on fish spawning habitats, linked to the developmental phases of the embryo, species' social structure, and reproductive ecology of spawning adults (Ciannelli et al., 2015). However, it is unclear how those constraints will be affected in the future as ocean conditions change and areas previously suitable for spawning become less optimal for eggs and larvae (Pankhurst & Munday, 2011). Understanding how early life stages will respond to climate change expands upon and enhances previous research focused on adult stages, providing a better foundation for managers and policymakers to anticipate impacts on commercial fisheries. 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34

35

Predicting future distributions of marine species under different climate change scenarios is possible with use of large-scale climate projections and can provide general insight into how species may be affected (Cheung et al., 2009; Pinsky et al., 2020). Species distribution models (SDMs) are a statistical tool commonly used to create long-term projections for terrestrial, freshwater, and marine species. In marine long-term models, climate projections are often used with fishery survey data to predict how species will generally respond to environmental change, whether fishing grounds may shift, and which species are most likely to survive over the next century (Cote et al., 2021; Moltó et al., 2021). Marine SDMs have primarily focused on adult stages and in only a few instances have models been developed to project future larval distributions (Muhling et al., 2020) and suitable spawning habitat (Bigman et al., 2023; Erauskin-Extramiana et al., 2019; Lima et al., 2022; Maynou et al., 2020; Sandø et al., 2020). 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46

47 Developing projections of spawning distributions and early life stages therefore have the potential to provide additional information about how the most critical point in the fish life cycle may be affected by climate change. While data on adults are more abundant than that for early life stages, long time series of egg and larval data are available in regions such as the Bering Sea, thus providing an opportunity to fill this research gap. 48 49 50 51

52

Some of the world's most productive commercial fishing grounds are found in the Bering Sea (Figure 1), including the largest fishery in North America: walleye pollock (*Gadus chalcogrammus*). It is also the location of a 30+ year time-series of biennial scientific surveys targeting fish early life stages. Understanding how the eggs and larvae of commercially important species of the Bering Sea will be affected by climate change is crucial for the sustainability of the region's fisheries and will provide insight into potential applications of similar methods to other regions and species. Distributions of early life stages are often used to study spawning location and timing (Bacheler et al., 2010; Rogers & Dougherty, 2019), and cumulatively reflect the contributions of both spawning output and early life stage survival processes. Understanding changes in spawning distributions can provide insight into whether the offspring of marine species will continue to be retained in suitable nursery habitat or have access to specific currents needed to transport them to favorable locations. In this study, we investigate how changing oceanographic conditions have historically, and may in the future, impact the location and timing of spawning of Bering Sea groundfishes. Here, we use early life stages of groundfishes as a proxy for spawning location and timing. We examine whether species are more likely to be flexible in their location or timing of spawning, whether egg and larval centers of gravity (COG) follow similar trajectories, and how these species track climate velocity. 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69

70 Evaluation of COG and climate velocity were conducted to determine whether separation between life stages will occur due to climate change and if these species are likely to adapt to warming waters by shifting at the speed of their thermal niche. We expected to see differences in types of flexibility between species with different life histories but similarities in trajectory and climate velocity tracking between eggs and larvae of the same species. 71 72 73 74

75

2 Materials & Methods 76

2.1 Biological data 77

Ichthyoplankton data for six species were obtained from the NOAA Alaska Fisheries Science Center's (AFSC) and Pacific Marine Environmental Lab's joint research program: the Ecosystems and Fisheries-Oceanography Coordinated Investigations (EcoFOCI) Bering Sea surveys. Data used for this research were collected between 1988 – 2017 from February to early October using a fixed station grid, though sampling is primarily between April and June. The surveys conducted by EcoFOCI use oblique tows to depths 5 m from the seafloor with 60-cm diameter bongo nets with 333 or 505 μm mesh to capture fish eggs and larvae. Difference in mesh size has not been found to affect ichthyoplankton catch rates for this survey (Boeing & Duffy-Anderson, 2008; Shima & Bailey, 1994). The volume of water filtered was measured by a flowmeter mounted at the opening of each net. Ichthyoplankton preserved at sea in formalin were identified to species at the Plankton Sorting and Identification Center in Szczecin, Poland. Taxonomic verifications were conducted by the EcoFOCI Program. Catch per unit effort (CPUE) at each station for each species was calculated as count of larvae or eggs per 10 m^2 . 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90

91

92 The six species selected for the present analyses are all commercially important fishes abundant in the survey time series and exhibit differing life history strategies. Four Pleuronectids (flatfish) were selected: Alaska plaice (*Pleuronectes quadrituberculatus*), yellowfin sole (*Limanda aspera*), northern rock sole (*Lepidopsetta polyxystra*), and flathead sole (*Hippoglossoides elassodon*). Two species of Gadid, Pacific cod (*Gadus macrocephalus*) and walleye pollock (hereafter, "pollock"), were also chosen. Sufficient egg data were only available for pollock, flathead sole, and Alaska plaice, as both northern rock sole and Pacific cod produce demersal eggs while yellowfin sole eggs were not captured in significant quantities. Larval data were available for all six species. For each species, the ichthyoplankton data were trimmed to ensure our analysis focused on the habitat of the species during the time of year eggs and larvae are present. Stations further than 30 km from an observation of the species were removed from the final prediction dataset, as were data collected in months during which few eggs or larvae were collected. 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104

105

2.2 Environmental data 106

The explanatory variables in the models included temperature $({}^{\circ}C)$ and salinity. These covariates were obtained from a reanalysis-forced hindcast simulation of the Bering10K model, a Bering Sea implementation of the Regional Ocean Modeling System (ROMS). This model has demonstrated skill in reproducing the thermal environment of the Bering Sea shelf; see Kearney et al. (2020) and citations within for a full description, history, and validation of this regional model and the hindcast simulations. The Bering10K model has a 10 km horizontal grid resolution with 30 vertical layers. The Bering10K model was also used to dynamically downscale six simulations from Phase 6 of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP6; 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114

115 O'Neill et al., 2016). Three Earth System Models (ESM) and two emission scenarios were used. The ESMs were chosen to span the range of projections within the full CMIP6 suite, choosing models with high, low, and near-median thermal sensitivities. These include the CESM version 2 with Community Atmospheric Model version 6 (Danabasoglu et al., 2020), GFDL Earth System Model version 4.1 (Dunne et al., 2020), and the MIROC Earth System version 2 for long-term simulations. These will hereafter be referred to as CESM, GFDL, and MIROC. The emission scenarios used are two of the Shared Socio-economic Pathways (SSPs) - a low carbon mitigation scenario, SSP5-8.5, and a high carbon mitigation scenario, SSP1-2.6 - which describe possible combinations of future mitigation and adaptation challenges that lead to different levels of radiative forcing (Riahi et al., 2017). 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124

125

Temperature and salinity from the model's top layer, or surface, provided model-generated colocated values of sea surface temperature (SST) and sea surface salinity (SSS). Hindcasted SST and SSS values for specific locations were matched to the nearest EcoFOCI survey station using nearest neighbor search through the 'nn2' function in the *RANN* R package (Arya et al., 2019). Downscaled projections of SST and SSS for each ESM-forced model and SSP were bias corrected using the delta method, as described in Holsman et al. (2020). This bias correction method compares each ESM and the hindcast during a reference period to correct for differences in time-series means and variances. In doing so, SDMs parameterized with hindcast output can provide more realistic future predictions of fish spatial distributions when applied to the bias corrected projections compared to the raw projections. The equation used here is as follows: 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136

137
$$
T'_{fut,y} = \overline{T}_{hind,ref} + \frac{\sigma_{hind,ref}}{\sigma_{fut,ref}} (T_{fut,y} - \overline{T}_{fut,ref})
$$
(1)

146

138

2.3 Model parameterization 147

Generalized additive models (GAM), a type of regression model that can capture nonlinear relationships, were used to estimate the abundance of fish eggs and larvae as a function of environmental variables. Models were first parameterized using hindcasts in order to determine the best model for each species and life stage. The best model was then used to predict future fish distributions using Bering10K-derived projections of SST and SSS. 148 149 150 151 152

153

Multiple GAM formulations were evaluated and models using a Tweedie response distribution were ultimately selected due to the zero-inflated nature of the egg and larval data. The Tweedie distribution is a form of a compound Poisson-gamma distribution due to the use of a power parameter, estimated in this case through the 'tw' function in the *mgcv* R package (Tweedie, 1984; Wood, 2017). Use of a Tweedie distribution allowed for better handling of an abundance of zeroes in these data, which is common in fisheries data (Shono, 2008). To determine the best model, several formulations were compared. These included a base model with only spatial and 154 155 156 157 158 159 160

161 temporal covariates and a set of additional models with progressively more complex specifications of environmental covariates. The base model formulation included only latitude and longitude (ϕ, λ) as a tensor product and day of the year (*I*) as nonparametric thin plate splines (s) . Latitude and longitude were included due to the ability of spatial covariates to improve SDM projections for organisms that are not highly mobile, such as eggs and larvae (Brodie et al., 2022). Day of the year was included as a way to correct for possible effects of the differences in timing of survey across years and to characterize the phenology of egg or larval production. Year (y) was included as a random effect in order to allow removal when projecting. A log-link (g) provided the link between CPUE and each predictor. This model is described as follows: 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170

$$
171
$$

$$
172 \t g(CPUE) = re(y) + te(\phi, \lambda) + s(J) \t (2)
$$

173

Varying coefficient terms were incorporated into additional models to allow for increased flexibility in spawning location or timing (Bacheler et al., 2012; Hastie et al., 2001). In this case, location and timing were allowed to vary linearly with temperature, which was a mean index value for each year. The mean temperature index (T) was calculated using SST values from February 1 to April 30 for each year for an area over the continental shelf with dimensions of latitude 56°N to 58°N and longitude -165°W to -170°W. This area was chosen to provide an annual index of cold and warm years over the Bering Sea continental shelf. Thus, the time frame and spatial dimensions for T remained the same for each species. Collocated SST, SSS, and the varying coefficient terms were included as thin plate splines for each independent variable. The two equations used are as follows: 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183

185
$$
g(CPUE) = re(y) + te(\phi, \lambda) + s_1(J) + s_2(SST) + s_3(SSS) + s_4(\phi, \lambda, by = T)
$$
 (3)

186

187
$$
g(CPUE) = re(y) + te(\phi, \lambda) + s_1(J) + s_2(SST) + s_3(SSS) + s_4(J, by = T)
$$
 (4)

188

Equation 3 allows for the effect of location to vary as a function of the temperature index while Equation 4 allows for the effect of time to vary as a function of the temperature index. For each model, the maximum number of knots were specified as five for the SST and SSS splines and as nine for the day of the year. Day of the year was allowed to have a higher number of knots to characterize the potential multiple spawning peaks. To prevent inappropriate extrapolation in the projection beyond the covariate ranges existing in the hindcast, each term was penalized based on their first derivative (Barnes et al., 2022). We used Akaike's information criterion (AIC) to select the best model for each species and life stage (Akaike, 1974). The base models and varying coefficient models were also compared to models that included the splines for SST and SSS, but excluded the varying coefficient term (Table S1). To further evaluate the benefit of including varying coefficient terms, overall model mean square error (MSE) was calculated for all models and percent reduction in MSE compared to the base model was calculated. In each case, MSE was reduced for the models containing a varying coefficient term and the same model was selected as through AIC, with the exception of flathead sole larvae (Table S2). 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202

203

2.4 Distribution projections 204

Once model parameterization was complete, the final models were used to project future distributions of eggs and larvae in the Bering Sea. The projection period was divided into three 205 206

207 time periods: early (2015-2039), middle (2040-2069), and late century (2070-2099). For each year within a period, a prediction grid of latitudes and longitudes with approximately a 10 km resolution covering the study region, similar to the ROMS grid, was generated using a month and day of year that corresponded approximately to the peak of abundance of fish larvae and/or eggs for each species. At this point, the grids for each year were identical for each species and stage combination. Then, monthly SST and SSS values from the top layer of each year of the ROMS projection model output was matched to the nearest location on a given year's prediction grid using the 'nn2' function in the *RANN* package in R (Arya et al., 2019). The temperature index was also calculated for each year within the period for use in the varying coefficient term. Year, which was included as a random effect during model parameterization, was excluded from the projection models. Predictions of egg and larval abundance for each period were scaled from zero to one based on minimum and maximum values of abundance each year to allow for comparison between time periods and to focus only on changes in distribution. 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219

220

Center of gravity (COG) for each species and stage along with thermal niche were calculated to assess potential connectivity changes over time between life stages and determine if these species are overall projected to track suitable thermal conditions. COG in latitude and longitude (ϕ, λ) units was calculated for the scaled predictions (S) for each year within each time period, then averaged across the time period. Latitude and longitude were calculated separately using the following: 221 222 223 224 225 226

227

$$
228 \quad COG_{\phi} = \frac{\sum_{i}^{n} \phi_{i} \cdot s_{i}}{\sum_{i}^{n} s_{i}} \quad , \quad COG_{\lambda} = \frac{\sum_{i}^{n} \lambda_{i} \cdot s_{i}}{\sum_{i}^{n} s_{i}} \tag{5}
$$

229

230 The same prediction grid spatial dimensions were used for all species, stages, time periods, and scenarios to allow for comparison. As with the hindcast prediction grid, cells more than 30 km from a positive sample in the survey data were removed for all grids. The haversine distance (km) between COG of eggs and larvae of the same species was calculated for each time period. 231 232 233 234

Thermal COG for SST was calculated using a similar formula to Equation 5. SST values were determined to be inside or outside the thermal range of a given species using the 95% confidence interval of the SST partial dependence curves generated by the species' final parameterized model. Values with significant positive effects on abundance for a given species and stage were assigned a value of one and all others assigned zero. Thermal COG in latitude and longitude units was calculated separately for each species grid. Using the assigned values (A) , thermal COG was calculated for each year as follows: 235 236 237 238 239 240 241

242

$$
243 \quad COG_{\phi} = \frac{\sum_{i}^{n} \phi_{i} A_{i}}{\sum_{i}^{n} A_{i}} , \quad COG_{\lambda} = \frac{\sum_{i}^{n} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{\sum_{i}^{n} A_{i}}
$$
(6)

244

To determine if species track thermal habitat, rate of change per year in COG location was calculated for both species distribution and thermal COG. Following the methods of Pinsky et al. (2013), latitude was regressed against year to calculate the slope, or rate of change, for each time period. The sign of slope values indicate the direction of movement of COG during a given time period, with negative rates indicating southward movement and positive rates indicating northward movement. Then, to evaluate if species distribution $COGs (S)$ are expected to track thermal COGs (T) , bias was calculated as follows for each species and time period (t) : 245 246 247 248 249 250 251

252

$$
253 \t Bt = sign(COG\phi, T, t) \times (COG\phi, S, t - COG\phi, T, t)
$$
\n(7)

254

Positive biases indicate that a species tracks thermal COG, while negative biases indicate a lag or potential movement away from thermal COG. A t-test of bias for all species and stages was used to determine if species distribution COGs lag thermal COG for each time period. All analyses described above are publicly available (Howard, 2024). 255 256 257 258

259

3 Results 260

3.1 Hindcast 261

All models selected during parameterization with the hindcast output included a varying coefficient term (Table S1). For all species except Pacific cod, the best fit model included the location varying coefficient (Equation 3; Tables 1, S2). The model with the time varying coefficient was the best fit for Pacific cod larvae. 262 263 264 265

266

Temporal and spatial patterns in abundance throughout the survey period varied by species and life stage, illustrating phenological differences across species, as well as how different life stages may be affected by the mean temperature index (Figure 3). In general, seasonal peaks in larval abundance followed periods of high egg abundance for the species for which both stages were available. There were very clear peaks in abundance at different points in the year for pollock eggs (March), flathead sole eggs (May), and Alaska plaice larvae (June). Multiple peaks in abundance throughout the year were apparent for flathead sole larvae (May and July), yellowfin sole (July and September), Pacific cod (April and June), and northern rock sole (April, June, and August). Pollock larvae and Alaska plaice eggs had peaks in abundance at the beginning and end 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275

276 of the sampled period, respectively, and thus their full temporal distribution each year was not well sampled. 277

278

In terms of phenology, for most species an increase in the mean temperature index led to increases in abundance throughout the sampled period (Figure 3). In many cases, these directional shifts in abundance were most apparent during seasonal periods in which abundance peaks. For example, for Alaska plaice eggs, there was a decrease in abundance with a 1°C increase in temperature at the beginning of the seasonal series. In contrast, an increase in temperature led to increased abundance at some phenological peaks or high points for larvae of pollock, Alaska plaice, flathead sole, and yellowfin sole. Effects of mean temperature on phenology were mixed for Pacific cod and northern rock sole larvae while there was no change for pollock and flathead sole eggs. For Pacific cod, warmer temperatures resulted in contraction of the larval period. A clear peak in Pacific cod abundance was apparent near the middle of the season with a 1°C increase in temperature but decreases or no change were seen during other times of the year. Spatially, positive effects of a 1°C increase in temperature on abundance were clear for larvae of pollock, flathead sole, Alaska plaice, yellowfin sole, and Pacific cod. Negative effects were apparent for pollock eggs and northern rock sole, though positive effects on abundance were found in some areas. Spatial effects were mixed for flathead sole and Alaska plaice eggs. In general, egg abundance seemed more likely to decrease with increases in mean shelf temperature while larval abundance typically increased, though maps of change indicate effects of temperature may be location dependent. 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296

297

298 Relationships with SST and SSS were variable depending on species and life stage, though the lowest temperatures were often associated with negative effects on abundance (Figure 3). Partial dependence plots showed either peaks where temperature had a positive effect on abundance, like for Alaska plaice larvae, or one clear transition from negative to positive effects on abundance, like flathead sole larvae. SSS relationships with abundance were also variable. Some species stages, like pollock larvae and flathead sole eggs, exhibited little change over the full range of salinity values. For others, like Alaska plaice larvae, lower salinities were related to positive effects on abundance, while conversely, for species such as northern rock sole larvae, higher salinities were found to correlate with higher abundance. 299 300 301 302 303 304 305 306

307

Maps of the location varying coefficient term (Figures 4, S1-S4) illustrated the spatial effects of mean temperature on abundance for all species except Pacific cod, for which the time varying coefficient term was selected, with positive effects primarily on the inner shelf and negative effects located along the Alaska Peninsula for most species. Nonetheless, effects of the varying coefficient term were different for each species and stage. For example, pollock egg and larval maps showed positive effects of increases in temperature on abundance over the northeastern continental shelf, near Unimak Pass, and northeast of Unalaska (Figure 4). Negative effects on pollock abundance as a result of increased temperature were found along the Alaska Peninsula and southeast of the Pribilof Islands. There were additional negative effects on the abundance of pollock eggs to the southeast of the Pribilof Islands. Flathead sole varying coefficient maps showed clear differences between stages, with both negative and positive effects on egg abundances (Figure S1). For example, negative effects of increases in temperature on egg abundances were evident along the Alaska Peninsula and east of the Pribilof Islands. Positive 308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320

321 effects for flathead sole eggs were found to be concentrated in the middle of the study region but for larvae they were dispersed across the shelf. In contrast to other species, direction of temperature effects for Alaska plaice were generally specific to stage: negative effects were primarily seen for eggs whereas positive effects were seen for larvae (Figure S2). Yellowfin sole exhibited mainly positive effects from increases in temperature, particularly in the southeast along the Alaska Peninsula (Figure S3). Northern rock sole positive effects were greatest near Unalaska Island and between 56 and 58°N on the inner shelf, while negative effects were dispersed across the outer shelf and along the inner Alaska Peninsula (Figure S4). Location varying coefficient maps for Pacific cod were not created as the best fit model was that containing the time varying coefficient term (Table 1, S2). 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 330

331

3.2 Projections 332

The projected distributions for each stage and species showed varied responses to changes in temperature and salinity over the next century, both in terms of overall spatial patterns and trajectories of each COG. Pollock eggs, for example, were predicted to be concentrated around the Pribilof Islands at the beginning of the century (2015-2039) but by the end (2070-2099) were dispersed across the continental shelf and were expected to become more concentrated near Unimak Pass in the future (Figure 5). Pollock larvae, in contrast, appeared to have a less noticeable change in spatial patterns and areas of high abundance remained consistent over time, albeit with decreases in abundance. When comparing emission scenarios, pollock egg and larval abundances appeared to be higher throughout the region for the high emission scenario by the end of the century. Little change in distributional pattern over time was observed for flathead sole eggs, which appeared to further concentrate at 56°N (Figure S5). Flathead sole larvae also 333 334 335 336 337 338 339 340 341 342 343

344 became more concentrated in a smaller area by the end of the century, but were instead predicted to be located at 58°N. These effects were seen for both emission scenarios. Alaska plaice eggs and larvae were predicted to be distributed on the shelf near the boundary of the study area, centered at about 60°N (Figure S6). In both cases, the distributions became more concentrated at the northern edge of the study area over the next century, possibly indicating movement into the Northern Bering Sea. For larvae, the high abundance near the Alaska Peninsula all but disappeared by the end of the century. Yellowfin sole larvae were located along much of the inner shelf at the beginning of the century but were predicted to become highly concentrated in Bristol Bay by the end of the century (Figure S7). Northern rock sole larvae became more concentrated at 56°N above the Alaska Peninsula by the end of the century, though an area of high abundance remained in Unimak Pass (Figure S8). Pacific cod larvae distributions changed very little over the three time periods, with the highest abundances also remaining through Unimak Pass and around Unimak Island (Figure S9). For yellowfin sole, northern rock sole, and Pacific cod, differences between the two emission scenarios were not apparent. 345 346 347 348 349 350 351 352 353 354 355 356 357

358

Movement of species distribution COG over the next century was evaluated for all species and distance between life stage COG was compared for pollock, flathead sole, and Alaska plaice. Pollock, flathead sole, and Alaska plaice were the three species for which predicted average COG trajectory over the next century was northward (Table 2, Figure 6). Yellowfin sole movement was predicted to be southward, while northern rock sole were expected to move east and Pacific cod to move west. The COGs for the eggs and larvae of pollock and Alaska plaice moved closer together by the end of the century, which was in contrast to flathead sole for which the COGs moved slightly further apart (Table S3). While the average direction of movement for 359 360 361 362 363 364 365 366

367 pollock and Alaska plaice life stage COGs differed slightly, the reduced distance between each COG by the end of the century may indicate more overlap of the life stages of these species. 368 369

By evaluating thermal COG, we found that thermal habitat of all species and life stages moved northward despite only half of the species exhibiting shifts in COG in this direction. In all cases, the thermal habitat COG moved further than the species COG. Hence, species distribution COGs lagged slightly behind thermal COGs for all projected time periods, as indicated through a t-test (Figure 6, Table S4). The strongest evidence for lags were during the first and last projection periods ($p < 0.05$, mean first period = -0.010 °N/year, mean last period = -0.012 °N/year), while there was less evidence of a lag during the middle projection period ($p = 0.08$, mean = -0.018 \degree N/year). As expected, there was no indication of a lag during the hindcast time period (p > 0.1, mean = $0.003 \degree N/year$). 370 371 372 373 374 375 376 377 378

379

4 Discussion 380

Climate change is already impacting oceanographic conditions in the Bering Sea (Stabeno & Bell, 2019) and our results show that distributions of groundfish eggs and larvae in the Bering Sea will continue to be affected by these changes over the next century. Early life stages of all study species except Pacific cod are expected to shift geographically over time in response to environmental shifts. Much of this change is seen through increased abundances of eggs and larvae to the north or over the inner shelf area and Unimak Pass by the end of the century (Figures 5, S5-S9). And, for many species, those regions were where initial analyses indicated that increases in mean temperature would lead to positive effects on abundance. The direction of movement or areas of high abundance are not consistent among species. Pollock, flathead sole, 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 389

390 and Alaska plaice are predicted to move northward in terms of the COG of their distributions, which contrasts with the directions predicted for yellowfin sole (south), northern rock sole (east), and Pacific cod (west; Table 2). These shifts, however, may still result in a mismatch between species distributions and ideal habitat over time, as the species studied here overall lag behind their thermal niche (Table S4). In addition, the distance between COGs for different life stages of pollock, Alaska plaice, and flathead sole are predicted to change over time, possibly indicating a shift in the overlap of egg and larval distributions and reduced connectivity between the two habitats. Similar mismatches or increases in overlap may occur with predators or competitors, and are predicted to occur globally (Bindoff et al., 2019). Our study provides new insight into how groundfish species may be impacted by next-century changes in temperature and salinity, though there are a number of other factors such as climate effects on ocean currents, fishing pressure changes, and altered species interactions that were not evaluated here. In addition, we were limited by the seasons during which data was collected, the uncertainty associated with long-term climate projections (Brodie et al., 2022), and the application of correlative methods like SDMs rather than mechanistic models. In the case of model method choice, mechanistic models were not used due to their tendency to be computationally expensive and a lack of knowledge for all study species needed to predefine the requisite parameters. Therefore, these results provide initial context into how spawning distributions may change long-term in the Bering Sea and give insight into effects on their associated fisheries, but also provide a foundation for further methodological development. 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409

410

Early life stages of many marine fish species occupy a dynamic, pelagic environment and the planktonic egg and larval stages in particular are largely unable to control their movement and 411 412

413 thus are strongly influenced by the prevailing oceanography. This means that the environment they inhabit during these critical life stages primarily depends on where or when adults choose to spawn, given this may influence what currents eggs are larvae are subjected to, prey availability, and whether early-stage juveniles can later access nursery grounds (Ciannelli et al., 2015). Our results indicate that spawners of multiple groundfish populations in the Bering Sea may be flexible with where they spawn more so than when, hence why we see predicted changes in spatial distributions of eggs and larvae. This is consistent with results from recent research using *in situ* data for Bering Sea groundfish distributions (Vary et al., 2023), but other studies have shown phenological flexibility for some species during recent years (Nichol et al., 2019) and earlier spawning in response to rising temperatures has been predicted broadly for spring spawning species (Pauly & Liang, 2022). Geographic flexibility may be seen through shifts in distribution across the Bering Sea shelf, as with pollock, or through increasingly concentrated abundances of eggs or larvae in specific areas, as seen with flathead sole and yellowfin sole. These end-of-century locations are often areas where increases in abundance were observed when the effects of a rise in mean temperature were revealed by the spatial varying coefficient term (Figures 4, S1-S4). For instance, the visible geographic shift of pollock may be primarily in response to temperature changes; plots showed positive effects on abundance with increases in temperature in areas where pollock eggs and larvae are predicted to move over the next century (Figures 4, 5). Northern rock sole larvae provide another example of this, with their distribution predicted to become concentrated north of the Alaska Peninsula by the end of the century (Figure S8). This area is where positive temperature effects on northern rock sole occurred (Figure S4). Given the more dynamic relationships many species have with temperature in contrast to salinity (Figure 3), it is not unexpected that both collocated and annual thermal conditions play an 414 415 416 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435

436 important role in driving distributions for most species. Consequently, warming in the Bering Sea may lead to spatial shifts in groundfish spawning distributions that then alter food webs and result in cascading effects throughout the ecosystem. There may be increased mismatches with prey distributions along with new competition for resources and predation pressure if species composition changes. Ultimately, thermally driven changes in pelagic early life stage distributions and the potential geographic flexibility of groundfish spawning distributions have broader implications for the Bering Sea region. 437 438 439 440 441 442

443

Despite the importance of temperature and the likelihood of future spatial shifts for early life stages, thermal habitat is not always the primary driver nor are geographic shifts always the predicted response. In the case of yellowfin sole, the reason for future shifts southeastward toward Bristol Bay still appear somewhat related to temperature, but other factors may be involved. High magnitude positive effects of temperature on yellowfin sole abundance were located in the southeast Bering Sea near Bristol Bay despite present-day high abundances near St. Matthew Island (Figures S3, S7). These areas with current high abundances of yellowfin sole were associated with lower magnitude or no positive effects with increases in temperature. Therefore, temperature may drive shifts in distribution in part but currently, other oceanographic features such as higher salinity or the need for larval retention in specific areas may be more influential. Yellowfin sole prefer shallow, coastal areas to spawn and are vulnerable to high temperatures (Ciannelli et al., 2022; Wilderbuer et al., 1992), but given that salinity may decrease in those areas following increased terrestrial ice melt (Pilcher et al., 2022), where yellowfin sole move over the next century may also be associated with preferred, higher salinities. They, and other species, may also prefer specific substrate and this could constrain 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 456 457 458

459 movement to new spawning locations. For many species, like yellowfin sole, complex relationships between habitat characteristics are likely to drive distributional changes over the next century (Vary et al., 2023). 460 461

462

Future loss of spawning habitat has been predicted for numerous species globally (Dahlke et al., 2020), though many species have been shown to shift phenologically rather than geographically. Here, Pacific cod was the only species for which the phenological variability model was selected, but this is possibly due to data limitations. The EcoFOCI surveys typically occur during a several-week period in late spring, and again in late summer, but may not have the intra-seasonal frequency necessary to capture temporal shifts, leading to increased selection of the geographically flexible model. For example, in contrast to our results, pollock stocks in the Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea have historically been shown to shift their spawn timing and retain specific spawning sites (Bacheler et al., 2012; Rogers & Dougherty, 2019). In the Bering Sea, it is possible that our inclusion of additional years of data compared to Bacheler et al. (2012) led to this discrepancy in results. However, recent studies on adult pollock have found evidence of northward shifts (Eisner et al., 2020; Stevenson & Lauth, 2019). Additionally, in many cases past studies have focused on either phenological shifts or changes in geography, not both. Regardless, the differences between these studies and our own warrant further research into using these data to predict spawning distributions. This may involve the inclusion of additional criteria like egg and larval developmental stage or use of joint SDMs for a full life cycle analysis, both of which may reduce prediction uncertainty about the future distributions of marine fishes. Also, mechanistic models, particularly those that predict where eggs or larvae are likely to drift given 463 464 465 466 467 468 469 470 471 472 473 474 475 476 477 478 479 480

481 changes in current patterns, may provide valuable insight by incorporating physical

oceanographic features that impact retention or transport. 482

483

The projected spawning distributions of our six study species combined with previous research provides initial context as to how they will be affected by climate change throughout their life cycle. A study on juvenile and adult stages for these species showed that direction of movement was generally northward for pollock, flathead sole, yellowfin sole, and northern rock sole, while Alaska plaice shifted southward and little discernible movement was seen for Pacific cod (Rooper et al., 2021). Directional trends were consistent with our results for pollock, flathead sole, and Pacific cod but not for Alaska plaice, yellowfin sole, and northern rock sole. This may be due to species' use of specific spawning grounds while non-spawning adult distributions differ in location. For example, yellowfin sole use the Bering Sea inner shelf to reproduce, flathead sole spawn on the mid-shelf, and northern rock sole spawn in areas on the mid-shelf, along the Alaska Peninsula, and near Unalaska Island (Lanksbury et al., 2007; Porter, 2021; Porter & Ciannelli, 2018; Wilderbuer et al., 1992). Climate change may lead to spatial mismatch between areas suitable to spawners and areas conducive to survival of early life stages. Previous work found that for young-of-the-year pollock, the projected warmer conditions that support greater abundances of eggs and larvae prevent the appearance of necessary food sources, leading to poor recruitment (Mueter et al., 2011). Higher temperatures are also expected to benefit pollock adult stages (Bacheler et al., 2012), but a bottleneck appears to occur at the juvenile stage. However, decreases in the southern extent of sea ice coverage associated with warm surface temperatures may be driving a shift in the northern Bering Sea from a benthic ecosystem to one that is more often pelagic like the eastern Bering Sea (Grebmeier et al., 2006). Changes to 484 485 486 487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495 496 497 498 499 500 501 502 503

504 community structure may lead to more prey availability for juveniles to the north, which is also the direction eggs and larvae are expected to shift over the next century. This further illustrates the importance of evaluating climate change effects on all life stages, as habitat requirements often change throughout the life of marine species. These types of contrasting responses to environmental conditions at different points in an organism's life cycle are likely exhibited by other marine species. 505 506 507 508 509

510

Positive effects on abundance in response to higher water temperatures were seen during model parameterization and spawning distributions are expected to have geographic flexibility in the future. However, there was evidence for a lag in spawning distributions behind suitable thermal habitat location during the projection time periods, albeit this was somewhat less evident during the middle time period. This contrasts with the results of Pinsky et al. (2013), where the authors found that marine species in multiple regions tend to track climate velocity. However, their focus was on historic range shifts and later life stages, which are capable of directed movement toward more favorable conditions unlike the planktonic early life stages that were examined in this study. Future changes to ocean temperature may be more extreme than in recent decades and here we explore a much longer time period, both of which may partially explain our observation of lags. Additionally, it is possible that given the narrow thermal requirements of early life stages and spawning adults, these stages may not be able to track climate as well as the non-spawning adults and juveniles that were the focus of Pinsky et al. (2013). This may also illustrate future mismatches and reduced connectivity between life stages and their stage-specific habitats, possibly preventing life cycle completion for some species. Moreover, our study did not include other important oceanographic conditions such as current velocity, water density, and salinity in 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526

527 our assessments of changing suitable early life history habitats over time, all of which may affect ichthyoplankton distributions (Bashevkin et al., 2020). And for spawning adults, conditions at the seafloor may not continue to match appropriate early life stage habitats in the near-surface, pelagic environment. Despite this, determining projected species intersections with thermal habitat provides important insight into the future ability of these species to inhabit areas with biologically suitable temperatures. 528 529 530 531 532

533

Projecting species distributions remains an active area of research and it remains challenging to predict how species will respond to climate change. Our research provides insight into potential changes in spawning distributions but methodological improvements are needed. As pointed out by Barnes et al. (2022) there are issues with using complex SDMs that include terms like spatially varying coefficients, which allow abundance over time or space to vary with ocean conditions. However, use of these terms allowed us to investigate tradeoffs between geographic and phenological variability at the spawning stage, something less relevant to the adult populations of the two species examined by Barnes et al. (2022). We constrained our models using a similar methodological approach to prevent extrapolating beyond conditions experienced in the hindcast time period. While this prevents overfitting, it also prevents us from understanding how species will respond to novel conditions like higher water temperatures. Inclusion of extreme years has been shown to improve predictions for some species and life stages (Muhling et al., 2020), but when using conditions derived from the higher emissions scenario, this may not fully alleviate the issues associated with extrapolation or certain life stages of some species. More mechanistic studies may be useful for pinpointing suitable habitat for each life stage, along with further development of SDMs for use in projections. 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549

550

Our research not only adds to a small body of literature on early life stage distributional responses to climate change, but it also has implications for future fishery management and applications to other regions. Understanding potential changes to spawning distributions connects directly to many fisheries, including pollock. This fishery operates with two seasons, the first occurring during the winter months and focused on targeting spawning aggregations to harvest roe (egg masses). Previous work found that variations in fishery spatial distribution for pollock are more strongly associated with overall fish abundance, financial factors, and annual temperature fluctuations rather than climate regimes, and that shifts for the roe fishery are typically temporal (Haynie & Pfeiffer, 2013). While there have yet to be observed northward shifts in the pollock roe fishery (Haynie & Pfeiffer, 2013; Watson & Haynie, 2018), if spawning aggregations indeed move northward as suggested by our results, the fleet may eventually follow. This response by the fishery is uncertain due to the numerous factors influencing fleet dynamics, but could occur in other fisheries where spawning aggregations are targeted and range shifts are observed. For example, Atlantic mackerel spawning distributions expanded northward over several decades, resulting in potential challenges for transboundary fishery management (Bruge et al., 2016; Chust et al., 2023). Shifts in spawning or adult distributions may also lead to difficulty accessing fishing grounds, in part from increased fuel costs due to increases in distance traveled. Our study showed that multiple species are expected to shift northward, away from the Alaska Peninsula and Aleutian Islands, where most of the ports for these fisheries are located. These shifts may lead to changes in fishing ground locations. Future analyses using methods like these in other regions with sufficient early life stage fishery data can provide important insights into future fishery and ecosystem effects as our oceans continue to change. While there are 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572

573 limitations to our methodologies, our results illustrate the importance of evaluating climate 574 effects on early life stages of marine species and the need for further research beyond the Bering 575 Sea.

Acknowledgements

We thank the NOAA EcoFOCI survey teams, survey volunteers, and the captains and crews who have collected the data used here, as well as the Plankton Sorting and Identification Center (Szczecin, Poland) and the AFSC (Seattle, WA, USA) for ichthyoplankton taxonomic identification. We also thank the ACLIM project for developing and providing use of the Bering10K ROMS projections and hindcasts for the Bering Sea. Funding was provided by NPRB #1909-A. This paper is contribution EcoFOCI‐1052 to NOAA's EcoFOCI Program.

Data Availability Statement

The scripts that support the findings of this study are openly available in Zenodo at DOI:

10.5281/zenodo.10565114.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

Akaike, H. (1974). A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, *19*(6), 716–723. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.1974.1100705>

- Arya, S., Kemp, S.E., & Jeffries, G. (2019). *RANN: Fast Nearest Neighbour Search (Wraps ANN Library) using L2 metric.* (2.6.1).
- Bacheler, N.M., Ciannelli, L., Bailey, K.M., & Bartolino, V. (2012). Do walleye pollock exhibit flexibility in where or when they spawn based on variability in water temperature? *Deep*

Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, *65–70*, 208–216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2012.02.001>

- Bacheler, N.M., Ciannelli, L., Bailey, K.M., & Duffy-Anderson, J.T. (2010). Spatial and temporal patterns of walleye pollock (*Theragra chalcogramma*) spawning in the eastern Bering Sea inferred from egg and larval distributions. *Fisheries Oceanography*, *19*(2), 107–120.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2419.2009.00531.x>
- Barnes, C.L., Essington, T.E., Pirtle, J.L., Rooper, C.N., Laman, E.A., Holsman, K.K., Aydin, K.Y., & Thorson, J.T. (2022). Climate-informed models benefit hindcasting but present challenges when forecasting species–habitat associations. *Ecography*, e06189. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.06189>
- Bashevkin, S.M., Dibble, C.D., Dunn, R.P., Hollarsmith, J.A., Ng, G., Satterthwaite, E.V., & Morgan, S.G. (2020). Larval dispersal in a changing ocean with an emphasis on upwelling regions. *Ecosphere*, *11*(1), e03015.<https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3015>
- Belkin, I.M. (2009). Rapid warming of large marine ecosystems. *Progress in Oceanography*, *81*(1–4), 207–213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2009.04.011>
- Bigman, J.S., Laurel, B.J., Kearney, K., Hermann, A.J., Cheng, W., Holsman, K.K., & Rogers, L.A. (2023). Predicting Pacific cod thermal spawning habitat in a changing climate. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, fsad096.<https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsad096>
- Bindoff, N.L., Cheung, W.W., Kairo, J.G., Arístegui, J., Guinder, V.A., Hallberg, R., Hilmi, N.J.M., Jiao, N., Karim, M.S., & Levin, L. (2019). Changing ocean, marine ecosystems, and dependent communities. *IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate [H.-O. Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, M. Tignor, E. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Nicolai, A. Okem, J. Petzold, B. Rama, N.M. Weyer (Eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA*, 477–587.
- Blaxter, J.H.S. (1991). The effect of temperature on larval fishes. *Netherlands Journal of Zoology*, *42*(2–3), 336–357.<https://doi.org/10.1163/156854291X00379>
- Boeing, W.J., & Duffy-Anderson, J.T. (2008). Ichthyoplankton dynamics and biodiversity in the Gulf of Alaska: Responses to environmental change. *Ecological Indicators*, *8*(3), 292– 302.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2007.03.002>
- Bograd, S.J., Jacox, M.G., Hazen, E.L., Lovecchio, E., Montes, I., Pozo Buil, M., Shannon, L.J., Sydeman, W.J., & Rykaczewski, R.R. (2023). Climate change impacts on eastern boundary upwelling systems. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, *15*, 303–328. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-032122-021945>
- Brodie, S., Smith, J.A., Muhling, B.A., Barnett, L.A.K., Carroll, G., Fiedler, P., Bograd, S.J., Hazen, E.L., Jacox, M.G., Andrews, K.S., Barnes, C.L., Crozier, L.G., Fiechter, J., Fredston, A., Haltuch, M.A., Harvey, C.J., Holmes, E., Karp, M.A., Liu, O.R., … Kaplan, I.C. (2022). Recommendations for quantifying and reducing uncertainty in climate projections of species distributions. *Global Change Biology*, *28*(22), 6586–6601. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16371>
- Bruge, A., Alvarez, P., Fontán, A., Cotano, U., & Chust, G. (2016). Thermal niche tracking and future distribution of Atlantic mackerel spawning in response to ocean warming. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, *3*. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmars.2016.00086>
- Cheung, W.W.L., Lam, V.W.Y., Sarmiento, J.L., Kearney, K., Watson, R., & Pauly, D. (2009). Projecting global marine biodiversity impacts under climate change scenarios. *Fish and Fisheries*, *10*(3), 235–251. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-2979.2008.00315.x>
- Chust, G., Taboada, F.G., Alvarez, P., & Ibaibarriaga, L. (2023). Species acclimatization pathways: Latitudinal shifts and timing adjustments to track ocean warming. *Ecological Indicators*, *146*, 109752.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109752>
- Ciannelli, L., Bailey, K., & Olsen, E.M. (2015). Evolutionary and ecological constraints of fish spawning habitats. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, *72*(2), 285–296. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsu145>
- Ciannelli, L., Smith, E., Kearney, K., Hunsicker, M., & McGilliard, C. (2022). Greater exposure of nearshore habitats in the Bering Sea makes fish early life stages vulnerable to climate change. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *684*, 91–102. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps13977>
- Cote, D., Konecny, C.A., Seiden, J., Hauser, T., Kristiansen, T., & Laurel, B.J. (2021). Forecasted shifts in thermal nabitat for cod species in the Northwest Atlantic and Eastern Canadian Arctic. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, *8*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.764072>
- Cushing, D.H. (1975). *Marine Ecology and Fisheries*. Cambridge University Press.
- Cushing, D.H. (1990). Plankton production and year-class strength in fish populations: An update of the match/mismatch hypothesis. *Advances in Marine Biology*, *26*, 249–293. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2881\(08\)60202-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2881(08)60202-3)
- Dahlke, F.T., Wohlrab, S., Butzin, M., & Pörtner, H.-O. (2020). Thermal bottlenecks in the life cycle define climate vulnerability of fish. *Science*, *369*(6499), 65–70. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz3658>
- Danabasoglu, G., Lamarque, J.-F., Bacmeister, J., Bailey, D.A., DuVivier, A.K., Edwards, J., Emmons, L.K., Fasullo, J., Garcia, R., Gettelman, A., Hannay, C., Holland, M.M., Large, W.G., Lauritzen, P.H., Lawrence, D.M., Lenaerts, J.T.M., Lindsay, K., Lipscomb, W.H., Mills, M.J., … Strand, W.G. (2020). The Community Earth System Model Version 2 (CESM2). *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, *12*(2), e2019MS001916. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001916>
- Dunne, J.P., Horowitz, L.W., Adcroft, A.J., Ginoux, P., Held, I.M., John, J.G., Krasting, J.P., Malyshev, S., Naik, V., Paulot, F., Shevliakova, E., Stock, C.A., Zadeh, N., Balaji, V., Blanton, C., Dunne, K.A., Dupuis, C., Durachta, J., Dussin, R., … Zhao, M. (2020). The GFDL Earth System Model Version 4.1 (GFDL-ESM 4.1): Overall Coupled Model Description and Simulation Characteristics. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, *12*(11), e2019MS002015. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS002015>
- Eisner, L.B., Zuenko, Y.I., Basyuk, E.O., Britt, L.L., Duffy-Anderson, J.T., Kotwicki, S., Ladd, C., & Cheng, W. (2020). Environmental impacts on walleye pollock (*Gadus chalcogrammus*) distribution across the Bering Sea shelf. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, *181–182*, 104881. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2020.104881>
- Erauskin-Extramiana, M., Alvarez, P., Arrizabalaga, H., Ibaibarriaga, L., Uriarte, A., Cotano, U., Santos, M., Ferrer, L., Cabré, A., Irigoien, X., & Chust, G. (2019). Historical trends and future distribution of anchovy spawning in the Bay of Biscay. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, *159*, 169–182. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2018.07.007>
- Grebmeier, J.M., Overland, J.E., Moore, S.E., Farley, E.V., Carmack, E.C., Cooper, L.W., Frey, K.E., Helle, J.H., McLaughlin, F.A., & McNutt, S.L. (2006). A major ecosystem shift in the northern Bering Sea. *Science*, *311*(5766), 1461–1464. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1121365>
- Hastie, T., Friedman, J., & Tibshirani, R. (2001). *The Elements of Statistical Learning*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-21606-5>
- Haynie, A.C., & Pfeiffer, L. (2013). Climatic and economic drivers of the Bering Sea walleye pollock (*Theragra chalcogramma*) fishery: Implications for the future. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, *70*(6), 841–853.<https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas>-2012-0265
- Hjort, J. (1914). Fluctuations in the great fisheries of northern Europe viewed in the light of biological research. *ICES*, *20*, 1–13.
- Holsman, K.K., Haynie, A.C., Hollowed, A.B., Reum, J.C.P., Aydin, K.Y., Hermann, A.J., Cheung, W.W.L., Faig, A.D., Ianelli, J.N., Kearney, K.A., & Punt, A.E. (2020). Ecosystem-based fisheries management forestalls climate-driven collapse. *Nature Communications*, *11*(1), 4579.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18300-3>
- Houde, E.D. (1989). Comparative growth, mortality, and energetics of marine fish larvae: Temperature and implied latitudinal effects. *Fishery Bulletin*, *87*(3), 471–495.
- Howard, R.A. (2024). *howardre/Bering_LarvalForecast: Manuscript Code* (v1.0.0) [Zenodo]. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10565114>
- Kearney, K., Hermann, A., Cheng, W., Ortiz, I., & Aydin, K. (2020). A coupled pelagic– benthic–sympagic biogeochemical model for the Bering Sea: Documentation and validation of the BESTNPZ model ([v2019.08.23\)](https://v2019.08.23) within a high-resolution regional ocean model. *Geoscientific Model Development*, *13*(2), 597–650.<https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd>-13-597-2020
- Kleisner, K.M., Fogarty, M.J., McGee, S., Barnett, A., Fratantoni, P., Greene, J., Hare, J.A., Lucey, S.M., McGuire, C., Odell, J., Saba, V.S., Smith, L., Weaver, K.J., & Pinsky, M.L. (2016). The effects of sub-regional climate velocity on the distribution and spatial extent of marine species assemblages. *PLOS ONE*, *11*(2), e0149220. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0149220>
- Lanksbury, J.A., Duffy-Anderson, J.T., Mier, K.L., Busby, M.S., & Stabeno, P.J. (2007). Distribution and transport patterns of northern rock sole, *Lepidopsetta polyxystra*, larvae in the southeastern Bering Sea. *Progress in Oceanography*, *72*(1), 39–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2006.09.001>
- Lima, A.R.A., Garrido, S., Riveiro, I., Rodrigues, D., Angélico, M.M.P., Gonçalves, E.J., Peck, M.A., & Silva, G. (2022). Seasonal approach to forecast the suitability of spawning habitats of a temperate small pelagic fish under a high-emission climate change scenario. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, *9*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.956654>
- Litzow, M.A., Malick, M.J., Kristiansen, T., Connors, B.M., & Ruggerone, G.T. (2024). Climate attribution time series track the evolution of human influence on North Pacific sea surface temperature. *Environmental Research Letters*, *19*(1), 014014. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ad0c88>
- Lynch, P.D., Nye, J.A., Hare, J.A., Stock, C.A., Alexander, M.A., Scott, J.D., Curti, K.L., & Drew, K. (2015). Projected ocean warming creates a conservation challenge for river herring populations. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, *72*(2), 374–387. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsu134>
- Maynou, F., Sabatés, A., Ramirez-Romero, E., Catalán, I.A., & Raya, V. (2020). Future distribution of early life stages of small pelagic fishes in the northwestern Mediterranean. *Climatic Change*, *161*(4), 567–589. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-020-02723-4>
- Moltó, V., Palmer, M., Ospina-Álvarez, A., Pérez-Mayol, S., Benseddik, A.B., Gatt, M., Morales-Nin, B., Alemany, F., & Catalán, I.A. (2021). Projected effects of ocean warming on an iconic pelagic fish and its fishery. *Scientific Reports*, *11*(1), 8803. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-88171-1>
- Morato, T., González-Irusta, J.-M., Dominguez-Carrió, C., Wei, C.-L., Davies, A., Sweetman, A.K., Taranto, G.H., Beazley, L., García-Alegre, A., Grehan, A., Laffargue, P., Murillo, F.J., Sacau, M., Vaz, S., Kenchington, E., Arnaud-Haond, S., Callery, O., Chimienti, G., Cordes, E., … Carreiro-Silva, M. (2020). Climate-induced changes in the suitable habitat of cold-water corals and commercially important deep-sea fishes in the North Atlantic. *Global Change Biology*, *26*(4), 2181–2202. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14996>
- Mueter, F.J., Bond, N.A., Ianelli, J.N., & Hollowed, A.B. (2011). Expected declines in recruitment of walleye pollock (*Theragra chalcogramma*) in the eastern Bering Sea under future climate change. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, *68*(6), 1284–1296. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsr022>
- Muhling, B.A., Brodie, S., Smith, J.A., Tommasi, D., Gaitan, C.F., Hazen, E.L., Jacox, M.G., Auth, T.D., & Brodeur, R.D. (2020). Predictability of species distributions deteriorates under novel environmental conditions in the California Current System. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, *7*, 589. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00589>
- Nichol, D.G., Kotwicki, S., Wilderbuer, T.K., Lauth, R.R., & Ianelli, J.N. (2019). Availability of yellowfin sole *Limanda aspera* to the eastern Bering Sea trawl survey and its effect on estimates of survey biomass. *Fisheries Research*, *211*, 319–330. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2018.11.017>
- O'Connor, M.I., Bruno, J.F., Gaines, S.D., Halpern, B.S., Lester, S.E., Kinlan, B.P., & Weiss, J.M. (2007). Temperature control of larval dispersal and the implications for marine ecology, evolution, and conservation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *104*(4), 1266–1271. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0603422104>
- O'Neill, B.C., Tebaldi, C., van Vuuren, D.P., Eyring, V., Friedlingstein, P., Hurtt, G., Knutti, R., Kriegler, E., Lamarque, J.-F., Lowe, J., Meehl, G.A., Moss, R., Riahi, K., & Sanderson, B.M. (2016). The Scenario Model Intercomparison Project (ScenarioMIP) for CMIP6. *Geoscientific Model Development*, *9*(9), 3461–3482.<https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-3461>- 2016
- Pankhurst, N.W., & Munday, P.L. (2011). Effects of climate change on fish reproduction and early life history stages. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, *62*(9), 1015. <https://doi.org/10.1071/MF10269>
- Pauly, D., & Liang, C. (2022). Temperature and the maturation of fish: A simple sine-wave model for predicting accelerated spring spawning. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, *105*(10), 1481–1487. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-022-01212-0>
- Pepin, P. (1991). Effect of temperature and size on development, mortality, and survival rates of the pelagic early life history stages of marine fish. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, *48*(3), 503–518. <https://doi.org/10.1139/f91-065>
- Pilcher, D.J., Cross, J.N., Hermann, A.J., Kearney, K.A., Cheng, W., & Mathis, J.T. (2022). Dynamically downscaled projections of ocean acidification for the Bering Sea. *Deep Sea*

Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, *198*, 105055. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2022.105055>

- Pinsky, M.L., Selden, R.L., & Kitchel, Z.J. (2020). Climate-driven shifts in marine species ranges: Scaling from organisms to communities. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, *12*(1), 153–179. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010419-010916>
- Pinsky, M.L., Worm, B., Fogarty, M.J., Sarmiento, J.L., & Levin, S.A. (2013). Marine taxa track local climate velocities. *Science*, *341*, 1239–1242. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1239352>
- Poloczanska, E.S., Burrows, M.T., Brown, C.J., García Molinos, J., Halpern, B.S., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Kappel, C.V., Moore, P.J., Richardson, A.J., Schoeman, D.S., & Sydeman, W.J. (2016). Responses of marine organisms to climate change across oceans. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, *3*.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2016.00062>
- Porter, S.M. (2021). Variation in the distribution of yellowfin sole *Limanda aspera* larvae in warm and cold years in the eastern Bering Sea. *Fisheries Oceanography*, fog.12565. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fog.12565>
- Porter, S.M., & Ciannelli, L. (2018). Effect of temperature on flathead sole (*Hippoglossoides elassodon*) spawning in the southeastern Bering Sea during warm and cold years. *Journal of Sea Research*, *141*, 26–36.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2018.08.003>
- Riahi, K., van Vuuren, D.P., Kriegler, E., Edmonds, J., O'Neill, B.C., Fujimori, S., Bauer, N., Calvin, K., Dellink, R., Fricko, O., Lutz, W., Popp, A., Cuaresma, J.C., Kc, S., Leimbach, M., Jiang, L., Kram, T., Rao, S., Emmerling, J., … Tavoni, M. (2017). The Shared Socioeconomic Pathways and their energy, land use, and greenhouse gas emissions implications: An overview. *Global Environmental Change*, *42*, 153–168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2016.05.009>
- Rogers, L.A., & Dougherty, A.B. (2019). Effects of climate and demography on reproductive phenology of a harvested marine fish population. *Global Change Biology*, *25*(2), 708– 720.<https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14483>
- Rogers, L.A., Griffin, R., Young, T., Fuller, E., St. Martin, K., & Pinsky, M.L. (2019). Shifting habitats expose fishing communities to risk under climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, *9*(7), 512–516.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0503-z>
- Rooper, C.N., Ortiz, I., Hermann, A.J., Laman, N., Cheng, W., Kearney, K., & Aydin, K. (2021). Predicted shifts of groundfish distribution in the Eastern Bering Sea under climate change, with implications for fish populations and fisheries management. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, *78*(1), 220–234.<https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsaa215>
- Sandø, A.B., Johansen, G.O., Aglen, A., Stiansen, J.E., & Renner, A.H.H. (2020). Climate change and new potential spawning sites for Northeast Arctic cod. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, *7*.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00028>
- Shima, M., & Bailey, K.M. (1994). Comparative analysis of ichthyoplankton sampling gear for early life stages of walleye pollock (*Theragra chalcogramma*). *Fisheries Oceanography*, *3*(1), 50–59. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2419.1994.tb00047.x>
- Shono, H. (2008). Application of the Tweedie distribution to zero-catch data in CPUE analysis. *Fisheries Research*, *93*(1), 154–162.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2008.03.006>
- Sponaugle, S., Grorud-Colvert, K., & Pinkard, D. (2006). Temperature-mediated variation in early life history traits and recruitment success of the coral reef fish *Thalassoma bifasciatum* in the Florida Keys. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *308*, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps308001>
- Stabeno, P.J., & Bell, S.W. (2019). Extreme conditions in the Bering Sea (2017–2018): Recordbreaking low sea-ice extent. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *46*(15), 8952–8959. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL083816>
- Stevenson, D.E., & Lauth, R.R. (2019). Bottom trawl surveys in the northern Bering Sea indicate recent shifts in the distribution of marine species. *Polar Biology*, *42*(2), 407–421. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-018-2431-1>
- Szuwalski, C.S., Aydin, K., Fedewa, E.J., Garber-Yonts, B., & Litzow, M.A. (2023). The collapse of eastern Bering Sea snow crab. *Science*, *382*(6668), 306–310. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adf6035>
- Tweedie, M.C. (1984). *An index which distinguishes between some important exponential families*. *579*, 579–604.
- Vary, L., Rogers, L.A., Harte, M., Howard, R.A., & Ciannelli, L. (2023). Temperaturedependent spawning behaviour and larval thermohaline associations of Bering Sea groundfish. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, fsad113. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsad113>
- Watson, J.T., & Haynie, A.C. (2018). Paths to resilience: The walleye pollock fleet uses multiple fishing strategies to buffer against environmental change in the Bering Sea. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, *75*(11), 1977–1989. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2017-0315>
- Wernberg, T., Smale, D.A., Tuya, F., Thomsen, M.S., Langlois, T.J., de Bettignies, T., Bennett, S., & Rousseaux, C.S. (2013). An extreme climatic event alters marine ecosystem structure in a global biodiversity hotspot. *Nature Climate Change*, *3*(1), 78–82. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1627
- Wilderbuer, T.K., Walters, G.E., & Bakkala, R.G. (1992). Yellowfin sole, *Pleuronectes asper*, of the Bering Sea: Biological characteristics, history of exploitation, and management. *Marine Fisheries Review*, *54*(4), 1–19.
- Wood, S.N. (2017). *Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R (2nd ed.)*. Chapman and Hall/CRC Press.

Tables

 Table 1: This table shows the best fit model selected during the parameterization process. The difference in AIC was calculated between Equation 2 and the best model. Deviance is the percent deviance explained by the best model.

 Table 2: Change in COG for each species and life stage over time. The direction of movement indicates the COG location during the 2070-2099 time period relative to the hindcast time period. The distance reflects how far the COG moved in kilometers between the hindcast and 2070-2099.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Map of the study region with relevant locations labeled.

Figure 2: Average change in temperature over the Bering Sea shelf during the historical period (1980-2014) and the projection period (2015-2099). Each plot is for an ESM and shows the historical values (yellow) as well as both SSPs (red and blue). Shading indicates minimum and maximum values of temperature.

Figure 3: The first three columns depict partial dependence plots for day of year, SST, and SSS for hindcast models for each species and stage, with the same axis ranges for a given species. The last two columns represent an estimate of the varying coefficient terms with regard to temperature. The fourth column depicts how phenology would change with a 1°C increase (purple) in mean shelf temperature. The fifth column depicts how a 1°C increase in temperature would change the spatial distribution of a species. Purple indicates a decrease in abundance; orange indicates an increase.

Figure 4: Maps of the effect of an increase in mean temperature on abundance of pollock eggs and larvae imposed over teal shading depicting their average predicted spatial distribution during the hindcast time period. Red bubbles indicate increases in abundance with an increase in temperature while blue bubbles indicate a decrease in abundance with a decrease in temperature. Bubble size indicates the magnitude of the effect of temperature. Areas without bubbles indicate no statistically significant change in abundance at that location with a change in temperature.

Figure 5: Maps of projected distributions of pollock eggs (top) and larvae (bottom) over the next century for three time periods for each SSP. Abundance is scaled from 0 to 1, with lighter colors indicating higher abundance. The projections using the three ESMs were averaged for each SSP and time period to create the final maps.

Figure 6: Plots of COG for each species and stage (solid line) as well as their corresponding thermal niche (dotted line) over the hindcast and projection time periods. Solid circles represent different COGs, with colors corresponding to the time periods.