THE SUBSEASONAL TO SEASONAL (S2S) PREDICTION PROJECT DATABASE

F. VITART, C. ARDILOUZE, A. BONET, A. BROOKSHAW, M. CHEN, C. CODOREAN, M. DÉQUÉ, L. FERRANTI, E. FUCILE, M. FUENTES, H. HENDON, J. HODGSON, H.-S. KANG, A. KUMAR, H. LIN, G. LIU, X. LIU, P. MALGUZZI, I. MALLAS, M. MANOUSSAKIS, D. MASTRANGELO, C. MACLACHLAN, P. MCLEAN, A. MINAMI, R. MLADEK, T. NAKAZAWA, S. NAJM, Y. NIE, M. RIXEN, A. W. ROBERTSON, P. RUTI, C. SUN, Y. TAKAYA, M. TOLSTYKH, F. VENUTI, D. WALISER, S. WOOLNOUGH, T. WU, D.-J. WON, H. XIAO, R. ZARIPOV, AND L. ZHANG

A database containing subseasonal to seasonal forecasts from 11 operational centers is available to the research community and will help advance our understanding of predictability at the subseasonal to seasonal time range.

emands are growing rapidly in the operational prediction and applications communities for forecasts that fill the gap between medium-range weather (up to 15 days) and long-range or seasonal (3-6 months) forecasts. Skillful subseasonal to seasonal prediction (forecast range more than 2 weeks but less than a season) provides an important opportunity to inform decision-makers of, for example, changes in risks of extreme events or opportunities for optimizing resource management decisions. Although many challenges remain to make subseasonal forecasts sufficiently reliable, skillful, and tailored for users, a great return on investment in weather and climate science and model development is to be expected if the science and forecast products of subseasonal to seasonal prediction can be successfully connected to societal applications.

Weather-related hazards, including the slow onset of long-lasting events such as drought and extended periods of extreme cold or heat, trigger and account for a large proportion of disaster losses, even during years with other very large geophysical events (e.g., Haitian and Chilean earthquakes; Munich Re 2015). While many end users have benefited by applying weather and climate forecasts in their decision-making, there remains ample evidence to suggest that such information is underutilized across a wide range of economic sectors (e.g., Morss et al. 2008; Rayner et al. 2005; O'Connor et al. 2005; Pielke and Carbone 2002; Hansen 2002). This may be explained in part by the presence of "gaps" in our forecasting capabilities at the subseasonal time scale and in part by the complexity of processes and the numerous facets involved in decision-making. Developing countries are most affected by major gaps in access to forecasts and knowledge. The goal of the Subseasonal to Seasonal (S2S) Prediction Project and its associated database is to help fill these gaps.

THE S2S PROJECT. Subseasonal forecasting, bridging a gap between the more mature weather and climate prediction communities, is at a relatively early stage of development. Forecasting the day-to-day weather is often considered as an atmospheric initial-condition problem. Most of the current operational mediumrange forecasting systems (forecasts up to day 15) are not coupled to an ocean model, although there can be an influence from ocean (e.g., Bender and Ginis 2000) and land conditions (e.g., Koster et al. 2010). Forecasting at the multiseason to multiannual range depends strongly on the slowly evolving components of the Earth system, such as the sea surface temperature. In between these two time scales is subseasonal to seasonal variability (defined here as the time range between 2 weeks and 2 months). Forecasting for this time range has so far received much less attention than mediumrange and multiseason prediction despite the considerable socioeconomic value that could be derived from such forecasts. This time scale is critical for proactive disaster mitigation efforts. It is considered a difficult time range since the lead time is sufficiently long that much of the memory of the atmospheric initial conditions is lost and it is too short for the variability of the ocean to have a strong influence. However, recent research has indicated important potential sources of predictability for this time range, such as the Madden-Julian oscillation (MJO), the state of El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), soil moisture, snow cover and sea ice, stratosphere-troposphere interactions, ocean conditions, and tropical-extratropical teleconnections (see, e.g., review in Vitart et al. 2015).

The fundamental goals of the S2S Prediction research project are to improve forecast skill and understanding on the subseasonal to seasonal time scales and to promote its uptake by operational centers and by the applications community (Vitart et al. 2015). An extensive database containing subseasonal (up to 60 days) forecasts and reforecasts (sometimes known as hindcasts) has been created to enable research of operational pathways to accomplish these goals. It is modeled in part on The Observing System Research and Predictability Experiment (THORPEX) Interactive Grand Global Ensemble (TIGGE) database for mediumrange forecasts (up to 15 days; Bougeault et al. 2010) and the Climate-System Historical Forecast Project (CHFP; http://wcrp-climate.org/index.php/wgsip-chfp /chfp-overview) for seasonal forecasts. The research is organized around a set of six topics (MJO, monsoons, Africa, extremes, teleconnections, and verification), each intersected by cross-cutting research and modeling issues and applications and user needs. The latest science plans of each subproject are available online (www .s2sprediction.net/static/documents#reports). Some of the main research questions include the following:

- What is the benefit of a multimodel forecast for subseasonal to seasonal prediction and how can it be constructed and implemented?
- What is the predictability of extreme events and how can we identify windows of opportunity for subseasonal to seasonal prediction?
- What is the best initialization strategy for a forecasting system that includes ocean, land, and cryosphere? What is the optimal way to generate an ensemble of subseasonal to seasonal forecasts?
- What is the impact of horizontal and vertical resolution of atmosphere and ocean models on subseasonal to seasonal forecasts?
- What are the origins of the systematic errors affecting subseasonal to seasonal forecasts?
- How well do state-of-the-art models represent tropical-extratropical teleconnections?
- What forecast quality attributes are important when verifying S2S forecasts and how should they be assessed?
- What are the current S2S forecasting capabilities for daily weather characteristics relevant to agriculture, water resource management, and public

AFFILIATIONS: VITART, BONET, CODOREAN, FERRANTI, FUCILE, FUENTES, MALLAS, MANOUSSAKIS, MLADEK, NAJM, AND VENUTI—ECMWF, Reading, United Kingdom; Ardilouze and Déqué—Météo-France/CNRM, Toulouse, France; BROOKSHAW—ECMWF, Reading, and Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, United Kingdom; Chen and Kumar—NCEP, College Park, Maryland; Hendon and G. Liu—Bureau of Meteorology, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; Hodgson and Lin—Environment and Climate Change Canada, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; Kang, Nakazawa, and Won—Korea Meteorological Agency, Seoul, South Korea; X. Liu, Nie, Sun, Wu, Xiao, and Zhang—China Meteorological Administration, Beijing, China; MALGUZZI AND MASTRANGELO— CNR-ISAC, Bologna, Italy; MacLachlan and McLean—Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, United Kingdom; Minami and Takaya—Japan Meteorological Agency, Tokyo, Japan; Rixen and Ruti—World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland; ROBERTSON—International Research Institute for Climate and Society, Columbia University, Palisades, New York; Tolstykh—Institute of Numerical Mathematics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia; WALISER—Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California; WOOLNOUGH—National Centre for Atmospheric Science, Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, United Kingdom; ZARIPOV—Hydrometeorological Research Center, Moscow, Russia

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR E-MAIL: Frédéric Vitart, frederic .vitart@ecmwf.int

The abstract for this article can be found in this issue, following the table of contents. DOI:10.1175/BAMS-D-16-0017.1

In final form 10 June 2016 ©2017 American Meteorological Society

ոժ time ut sriod fear tes if	ea ice unling		S	0	0			0	0	0	S	S	S	SS	-
ude ar podel br rfc pe ar to) of ens indica	S S	Ž	× ا	Ž	Ž			Ž	Ž	Ž	Ye	¥	Ye	Ye	
n is longit pers in the recast mo cast. The cast. The s from ye e number coupling	Ocean	Yes .	Yes	٩	Yes			Ŷ	٩	٩	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
resolutio r of memt e actual fore tctual fore ears varie el. Sea ice	Rfc size	33	4	4	=			0	_	5	3	15	4	3	-
it lead time (days), size is the numbel s) are run using the d to calibrate the a ed, but the list of y re run, and the rfc namics ocean mode	Rfc frea	Six per month	Daily	Weekly	Two per week			Weekly	Every 5 days	Three per month	Four per month	Two per month	Daily	Four per month	
ange is forecas cical levels, ens s (rfc; hindcasts forecast is usec ast years is fixe e reforecasts a oupled to a dyn	Rfc period	1981–2013	1994-2014	1995–2012	Past 20 years			1985-2010	1981–2010	1981–2010	1996–2009	1993–2014	1999–2010	1996–2009	
where time r Imber of vert Reforecasts ecast. The ref per of reforec how often th mponent is cc	Rfc ^a	Fixed	Fixed	On the fly	On the fly			On the fly	Fixed	Fixed	On the fly	Fix	Fixed	On the fly	
2S database, resents the nu ecasts are rur day as the ford ers, the numt The rfc freq is mospheric cor	Frea	Twice weekly	Daily	Weekly	Twice weekly			Weekly	Weekly	Twice weekly	Daily	Weekly	Daily	Daily	
ons to the S etter L rep ncy) the for) calendar (1 some cent 1 some cent ces if the atr not.	Ens size	33	4	21	51	I	I	20	41	25	4	51	16	4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
tics of the II contributi d the number after the req is how often (freque on the same (or nearby e reforecasts are run. Ir ECMWF cover the past . Ocean coupling indicat ce model is included or	Resolution	~2° × 2°, LI7	~I° × I°, L40	0.45° × 0.45°, L40	0.25° × 0.25° days 0–10	0.5° × 0.5° after day 10	L91	I.I° × I.4°, L28	0.8° × 0.56°, L54	~0.5° × 0.5°, L60	~0.5° × 0.5°, L85	~0.7° × 0.7°, L9I	~I° × I°, L64	~0.5° × 0.8°, L85	
ain characteris solution (°) and nsemble, and fr it several years ber of years th ecast years at I for reforecasts ynamical sea i	Time range	Days 0–62	Days 0–60	Days 0–32	Days 0–46			Days 0–61	Days 0–31	Days 0–33	Days 0–60	Days 0–61	Days 0–44	Days 0–60	
TABLE I. M: latitude re forecast er for the pas is the num (e.g., refor members I an active d	Model	ВоМ	CMA	ECCC	ECMWF			HMCR	CNR-ISAC	JMA	KMA	CNRM	NCEP	ИКМО	

real-time S2S forecasts over a period of several years (typically 4–5 years). Therefore, the reforecasts are produced once, often before the first real-time forecast is produced, and used for several years to calibrate the real-time forecasts. In on-the-fly reforecasts, other operational centers (e.g., ECWMF) update their model version several times per year. To ensure model consistency between real-time forecasts and reforecasts, the reforecasts are produced continuously just before the real-time forecast they will be used to calibrate. For example, There are two types of reforecasts: fixed and on the fly. In fixed reforecasts, some operational centers (e.g., NCEP) use the same version of their model ("frozen" version) to produce at ECMWF, every week a reforecast set is produced starting the same day and same month as the next real-time forecast (e.g., I Jan 2015) but for the past 20 years (I Jan 1995–2014).

Brought to you by NOAA Central Library | Unauthenticated | Downloaded 08/28/24 03:03 PM UTC

TABLE 2. The 3D parameters available on 10 pressure levels (1000, 925, 850, 700, 500, 300, 200, 100, 50, 10 hPa) from all models.

			,					
Name	Abbre- viation	Unit	Frequency					
Geopotential height	gh	gpm	Instantaneous once per day (0000 UTC)					
Temperature	t	К	Instantaneous once per day (0000 UTC)					
U velocity	и	m s⁻¹	Instantaneous once per day (0000 UTC)					
V velocity	υ	m s⁻¹	Instantaneous once per day (0000 UTC)					

health, such as heavy rainfall events, dry spells, and monsoon onset and cessation dates?

• How well do we understand the fundamentals of predictability and dynamical processes of the subseasonal variability?

DESCRIPTION OF THE S2S DATABASE. The

S2S database builds on the experience of creating the TIGGE database and can be seen as its extension to the longer forecast ranges. The S2S database includes near-real-time ensemble forecasts and reforecasts up to 60 days from 11 centers: the Australian Bureau of Meteorology (BoM), the China Meteorological Administration (CMA), the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF), Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC), the Institute of Atmospheric Sciences and Climate of the National Research Council (CNR-ISAC), the Hydrometeorological Centre of Russia (HMCR), the Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA), the Korea Meteorological Administration (KMA), Météo-France/Centre National de Recherche Meteorologiques (CNRM), the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP), and the Met Office (UKMO). A key difference with the TIGGE database is that the S2S database includes reforecasts, whereas none are included in the TIGGE database. For short-range weather forecasts, model error is not usually so dominant that a reforecast set is needed, but for the subseasonal to seasonal range the model error is too large to be ignored. Therefore, an extensive reforecast set spanning several years is needed to calculate model bias. Such reforecasts in some cases can also be used to evaluate skill. The models are also generally different from the TIGGE models. For instance, S2S models can have the atmospheric component coupled to an ocean model and an active sea ice model (Table 1).

Because S2S is a research project, the real-time forecasts are only available with a 3-week delay. Table 1 displays the main characteristics of the S2S models. Tables 2–6 show the list of variables that have been requested for the S2S archive, which include standard variables at many pressure levels together with a large number of single-level variables, including thermodynamic, hydrological, and surface flux fields. However, some models are providing just a subset of the requested variables (the list of variables provided by each model can

be found at https://software.ecmwf.int/wiki/display/S2S /Provided+parameters). Pressure-level fields are available in the stratosphere at 50 and 10 hPa to facilitate the diagnostic of sudden stratospheric warming events and their downward propagation. The frequency of archiving is once a day, except for maximum and minimum near-surface temperature and total precipitation, which are available four times a day (computed over 6-h periods). The data are archived in Gridded Binary 2 (GRIB2) format, and a conversion to Network Common Data Form (netCDF) will be made available. There are plans to add some oceanic variables in the near future from the coupled ocean-atmosphere models: sea surface salinity, depth of the 20° isotherm, heat content in the top 300 m, salinity in the top 30 m, horizontal (U)and vertical (V) surface current, and sea surface height. It is also planned to include sea ice thickness for the models that have a dynamical sea ice model.

The S2S database is a database of "opportunity," which means that the forecasts have not been produced specifically for the S2S project following an agreed-upon protocol. Table 1 highlights differences in model setup between the operational centers. The main differences between real-time forecasts from different centers include the following:

- The forecast time range varies from 32 to 60 days.
- The horizontal resolution of the atmospheric model varies from several-hundred-kilometer resolution to about 30 km.
- The ensemble size varies from 4 to 51 members. This reflects a difference in strategy between operational centers. The centers producing a low number of ensemble members typically produce forecasts in lag mode (combining ensemble members from different start dates to produce an ensemble forecast).

 TABLE 3. The 3D parameter available on seven pressure levels

 (1000, 925, 850, 700, 500, 300, 200) from all models.

 Name
 Abbreviation
 Unit
 Frequency

Name	Abbreviation	Unit	Frequency
Specific humidity	q	kg kg⁻¹	Instantaneous

• The frequency of initializing forecasts varies. Some models are run in burst mode on a subweekly basis with a large ensemble size (e.g., ECMWF, BoM, ECCC), whereas other models are run

TABLE 4. The parameter available at 500 hPa.NameAbbreviationUnitFrequencyVertical pressure velocitywPa s⁻¹Once per day

in continuous mode on a daily basis with a smaller ensemble size (e.g., NCEP, UKMO, CMA, KMA).

• Some models have an atmosphere component coupled to an ocean and a sea ice model (e.g., UKMO, NCEP, CNRM, CMA), while others use a combination of persistence of initial conditions and climatology to define the oceanic and sea ice boundary conditions (e.g., JMA, ECCC).

The configuration of the reforecasts also varies greatly between the models:

- Some models have a reforecast set covering a period exceeding 30 years (e.g., JMA, BoM), while other reforecast sets span a much shorter number of years (e.g., NCEP, UKMO).
- Some reforecasts are produced progressively "on the fly" (as at ECMWF), while others are computed all at once prior to operational implementation (e.g., BoM, NCEP).
- The ensemble size can vary from just 1 member (e.g., CNR-ISAC) to 33 members (BoM).
- Some models have reforecasts produced on a daily basis (e.g., NCEP), some on a subweekly basis (e.g., BoM, ECMWF), and some on a monthly basis (e.g., CNRM).

There is much greater diversity between the various S2S forecast systems than in other databases for medium and seasonal time ranges [e.g., TIGGE, European Multimodel Seasonal to Interannual Prediction (EUROSIP), CHFP]. Very different strategies are currently in use. For example, some centers take advantage of their seasonal and climate systems, while other centers employ systems used for weather forecasting. This highlights the current lack of consensus on the best practice for subseasonal prediction, unlike for medium-range and seasonal forecasting and diversity of priorities of operational centers. One of the goals of the S2S project is to make recommendations on the optimal configuration of subseasonal systems. The S2S database will enable these issues to be addressed by clustering the models sharing similar characteristics

TABLE 5. The following parameter is available only at 320 K.						
Name Abbreviation		Unit	Frequency			
Potential vorticity	P۷	K m² kg ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	Once per day			

(e.g., coupled ocean–atmosphere models vs atmosphere-only models and lag vs burst initialization) and comparing their forecast skill scores.

Despite the differences in system setup, there are enough commonalities between them to make intercomparisons or multimodel combinations possible. For instance, almost all of the S2S systems produce real-time ensemble forecasts every Thursday and have reforecasts covering the period 1999–2010. Therefore, it is possible to create a multimodel combination of the S2S models every Thursday, calibrated using the common period 1999–2010.

The database is currently updated routinely with near-real-time forecasts and reforecasts from nine data providers, namely, JMA, NCEP, BoM, ECMWF, UKMO, CMA, CNRM, CNR-ISAC, and HMCR. Data from ECCC and KMA will be available soon. The S2S database is hosted by two archiving centers, ECMWF and CMA, and was opened to the public on 6 May 2015 at ECMWF via the Data Portal and ECMWF web Application Programming Interface (API) and in November 2015 at CMA. Users can register, visit the data portal, and browse the contents of the database, and they are encouraged to use the ECMWF web API to download data in batches.

By the end of 2015, about 300 users from 42 countries had registered and had already executed over 200,000 requests to extract about 30 TB of data from ECMWF. ECMWF and CMA are working together closely to ensure the timely synchronization of the two databases. The S2S database at ECMWF can be accessed online (at http://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data /s2s; http://s2s.ecmwf.int) for the reforecasts. The S2S database at CMA can be accessed at http://s2s.cma.cn/.

At CMA, about 22 TB of forecast and reforecast data have been collected from ECMWF. S2S data are archived on tapes in the Meteorological Archive and Retrieval System (MARS) (same archiving system as at ECMWF) and also stored in a large online storage system with a preprocessed unified form. The CMA data portal, like the ECMWF data portal, provides descriptions of the models from the different centers and S2S data parameters, in addition to the data download

> service. Two ways of searching and accessing the data are supported: free text search and faceted search. The method of downloading data is through a "data cart," similar to an online "shopping cart." All

the S2S data can be accessed by hypertext transport protocol (HTTP) currently and Open-Source Project for a Network Data Access Protocol (OPeNDAP) in the near future. The S2S data in GRIB2 format can be directly downloaded at CMA, and data in netCDF format can be obtained through online conversion. EXAMPLES OF USE OF THE S2S DATA-

BASE. *Multimodel prediction.* To monitor the S2S forecasts, a basic set of products has been developed, including ensemble mean anomalies for a few meteorological parameters and some atmospheric indices. These products are generated routinely at ECMWF

TABLE 6. List of single-level parameters.						
Name	Abbreviation	Unit	Frequency			
10-m <i>u</i>	l0u	m s ⁻¹	Instantaneous once per day (0000 UTC)			
10-m <i>v</i>	10v	m s ⁻¹	Instantaneous once per day (0000 UTC)			
CAPE	cape	kg⁻'	Daily average			
Skin temperature	skt	К	Daily average			
Snow depth water equivalent	sd	kg m⁻²	Daily average			
Snow density	rsn	kg m⁻³	Daily average			
Snowfall water equivalent	sf	kg m⁻²	Accumulated once per day			
Snow albedo	asn	%	Daily average			
Soil moisture top 20 cm	sm20	kg m⁻³	Daily average			
Soil moisture top 100 cm	sm100	kg m⁻³	Daily average			
Soil temperature to 20 cm	st20	К	Daily average			
Soil temperature top 100 cm	stl00	К	Daily average			
Surface air max temperature	mx2t6	К	Instantaneous four times per day			
Surface air min temperature	mn2t6	К	Instantaneous four times per day			
Surface air temperature	2t	К	Daily average			
Surface air dewpoint temperature	2d	К	Daily average			
Sea surface temperature	wtmp	К	Daily average			
Sea ice cover	ci	Proportion	Daily average			
Surface pressure	sp	Pa	Instantaneous once per day (0000 UTC)			
Mean sea level pressure	msl	Pa	Instantaneous once per day (0000 UTC)			
Total cloud cover	tcc	%	Daily average			
Total column water	tcw	kg m⁻²	Daily average			
Total precipitation	tp	kg m⁻²	Accumulated four times per day			
Convective precipitation	ср	kg m⁻²	Accumulated once per day			
Northward turbulent surface stress	nsss	N m ⁻² s	Accumulated once per day			
Eastward turbulent surface stress	ewss	N m ⁻² s	Accumulated once per day			
Water runoff and drainage	ro	kg m⁻²	Accumulated once per day			
Surface water runoff	sro	kg m⁻²	Accumulated once per day			
Land-sea mask	lsm	Proportion of land	Instantaneous once per day (0000 UTC)			
Orography	orog	gpm	Instantaneous once per day (0000 UTC)			
Soil type	slt	Categorical	Instantaneous once per day (0000 UTC)			
Top net thermal radiation	ttr	W m ⁻² s	Accumulated once per day			
Surface latent heat flux	slhf	W m ⁻² s	Accumulated once per day			
Surface net solar radiation	ssr	W m ⁻² s	Accumulated once per day			
Surface net thermal radiation	str	W m ⁻² s	Accumulated once per day			
Surface sensible heat flux	sshf	W m ⁻² s	Accumulated once per day			
Solar radiation downward	ssrd	W m ⁻² s	Accumulated once per day			
Surface thermal radiation downward	strd	W m ⁻² s	Accumulated once per day			

from each individual forecast system and for a multimodel combination. Figure 1 shows an example of multimodel prediction of 2-m temperature anomalies from three S2S models, along with the verification. This figure shows that a cold event in the northeastern United States and Canada in February 2015 was well predicted for days 12–18. These S2S products are available online (at www.ecmwf.int/en/research/projects /s2s/charts/s2s/) to support the S2S community, with a 3-week delay.

The strong March 2015 MJO event. The S2S dataset can be used to assess the performance of current state-ofthe-art subseasonal to seasonal forecasting systems to predict recent extreme events. For instance, 2015 witnessed an exceptional MJO event in March; it exhibited record amplification resulting in the largest amplitude ever recorded (above four standard deviations; Marshall et al. 2016) and triggered the formation of twin tropical cyclones, one on each side of the equator. The amplification was promoted by the unusually warm waters near the date line (Marshall et al. 2016), which preceded the development of strong El Niño conditions in the eastern Pacific later in the year. The surface westerly winds that developed in the western Pacific as a result of this March MJO event with twin cyclones likely enhanced the development of the strong El Niño later in the year. It is encouraging to see that all the models and the multimodel combination (black line in Fig. 2a) forecasted a strong MJO event more than 2 weeks in advance (Fig. 2a). Most models also predicted the occurrence of an MJO event 3 weeks in advance (black line in Fig. 2b), although the amplitude is generally underestimated, and no ensemble member predicted such a strong amplitude event.

This record-strength MJO event also contributed to the formation of Tropical Cyclone Pam, which intensified to category 5 strength and hit the islands of Vanuatu in the South Pacific on 13 March with devastating effects. Around 15 people were killed and many buildings were destroyed. The cyclone was the second strongest on record in the southern Pacific, second only to Zoe (2002). It is regarded as the worst natural disaster in Vanuatu's history. The cyclone formed on 6 March east of the Solomon Islands and was classified as a tropical storm on 9 March.



Fig. 1. Multimodel comparisons. A possible use of the database is to make comparisons between the outputs of different forecasting centers. The figure shows forecasts of 2-m temperature anomalies from three S2S ensemble-mean forecasts and a verification panel based on the ECMWF interim reanalysis (ERA-Interim; Dee et al. 2011). The forecast start date is 22 Jan 2015 and the forecast range is days 12–18. The areas where the ensemble forecast is not significantly different from the ensemble climatology, according to a Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test (e.g., Wonacott and Wonacott 1977), are blanked.

Previous studies (e.g., Vitart 2009) have demonstrated that state-of-the-art extended-range forecasting systems can simulate the modulation of tropical cyclone activity by the MJO, with an increased risk of tropical cyclone activity over the southwestern Pacific when the MJO is in phases 6 and 7. To assess the skill of the S2S models to predict the probability of a tropical cyclone hitting Vanuatu, tropical cyclones have been tracked in each ensemble forecast member from CMA, JMA, NCEP, ECMWF, and BoM using the algorithm described in Vitart et al. (1997). Figure 3 shows the probability of a tropical cyclone strike within a 300-km radius for the multimodel combination of the five realtime forecasts starting on 19 and 26 February 2015 and verifies it during the weekly period 9-15 March 2015 when Pam hit the islands of Vanuatu. Figure 3 suggests that this event had some extended-range predictability, with the multimodel combination indicating an increased risk of tropical cyclone strike probability in the vicinity of Vanuatu (indicated by a black dot in Fig. 3) 2-3 weeks in advance. The multimodel also predicted the possibility of a tropical cyclone strike in the western Pacific, which is consistent with the twin tropical cyclone genesis associated with the strong MJO event of March 2015. The multimodel forecast from 26 February also predicted an increased risk of tropical cyclone strike east of Madagascar and over the northwestern

coast of Australia, which could correspond to Tropical Storm Haliba (7–10 March 2015) and Tropical Cyclone Olwyn (8–14 March 2015), respectively.

MIO teleconnections in the northern extratropics. Accurate predictions of MJO events are not sufficient for successful subseasonal forecasts. The ability to predict the impact of MJO events on the global circulation is crucial. By acting to excite the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), the MJO affects European weather (Cassou 2008; Lin et al. 2009) and North Atlantic significant ocean wave heights (Marshall et al. 2015). Cassou (2008) and Lin et al. (2009) showed that the probability of a positive phase of the NAO is significantly increased about 10 days after the MJO is in phase 3 (phase 3 + 10 days) and is significantly decreased about 10 days after the MJO is in phase 6 (phase 6 + 10 days). The probability of a negative phase of the NAO is decreased (increased) about 10 days after the MJO is in phase 3 (phase 6). The impact of the MJO on two other Euro-Atlantic weather regimes, the Atlantic Ridge and Scandinavian blocking, is much weaker.

Vitart and Molteni (2010) showed that a set of ECMWF reforecasts using cycle 32R3 displayed realistic MJO teleconnections over the northern extratropics, consistent with the observed impacts (Cassou 2008; Lin et al. 2009). Lin et al. (2010) further found





that the MJO has a significant impact on the intraseasonal NAO skill scores using the ECCC model. This section evaluates whether the MJO teleconnections in the northern extratropics are adequately simulated in the reforecasts from the S2S database. We do this by forming 500-hPa geopotential height composites 10 days after an MJO is in phase 3 for all cases when the predicted MJO has an amplitude larger than one standard deviation. Only the reforecasts covering the period from January to April have been considered.

Figure 4 shows that the models generally capture the spatial pattern of the teleconnection but tend to overestimate the intensity of the MJO teleconnections in the North Pacific and underestimate its projection onto the positive phase of the NAO over the North Atlantic basin. This underestimation could be explained by the analysis being based on a single observed realization, whereas the model composites are averaged over several ensemble members. Since not a single ensemble member reproduced the intensity of the teleconnection in the North Atlantic sector as strongly as in the analysis, it follows that underestimation of the MJO impact over the Atlantic is a real deficiency, common to several models (Vitart and Molteni 2010). The underrepresentation of the MJO impact over the Euro-Atlantic sector is likely to limit the predictability and predictive skill over the North Atlantic and Europe in the subseasonal time range and therefore is an important aspect to be analyzed.



Fig. 3. Probability anomalies of a tropical storm strike within a 300-km radius from the multimodel ensemble (combination of ECMWF, NCEP, CMA, JMA, and BoM forecasts). The forecasts were initialized on (a) 26 Feb and (b) 19 Feb 2015 and cover the weekly period 9–15 Mar 2015, which corresponds to a forecast range of days 12–18 in (a) and days 19–26 in (b). The black dot in each panel represents the location of landfall of Tropical Cyclone Pam over Vanuatu.

OTHER ACTIVITIES. The above examples give a flavor of the potential scope for research that the database offers. This database will also help to assess the potential of current operational S2S systems to forecast the extreme events around the globe, which are discussed in the BAMS special report "Explaining Extreme Events of 2015 from a Climate Perspective," and other events that have led to major humanitarian aid responses. Three important aspects of the S2S database-namely, that it contains 1) an archive of real-time forecasts (delayed 3 weeks) and 2) accompanying reforecast sets, and 3) that these outputs are from World Meteorological Organization (WMO)-recognized systems used currently for operational forecasts-make it a uniquely powerful tool for improving operational forecasts and exploring and prototyping decision support elements based on S2S forecast information. The WMO Lead Centre for Long-Range Forecast Multi-Model Ensembles (LC-LRFMME) will have access to the S2S database and will obtain the real-time forecasts without the 3-week embargo, enabling national meteorological and hydrological services to utilize real-time forecast information in a few years' time once the necessary research has been done to estimate and document skill and approval has been obtained by WMO. The S2S database will augment the resources available to developing countries to enable the research in early warning system products. The S2S project is using the database to train young scientists from developing countries to access the data, perform the necessary research, and collaborate with international experts.

CONCLUSIONS. The S2S database, a key component of the World Weather Research Programme (WWRP)/World Climate Research Programme (WCRP) Subseasonal to Seasonal Prediction Project science plan, is currently open to the public. It contains reforecasts and also near-real-time subseasonal to seasonal forecasts from all the major operational centers. This database represents an important tool to advance our understanding of the subseasonal to



seasonal time range that has been considered for a long time as a "desert of predictability." Use of this database by the research community can include

- assessing the average forecast skill of subseasonal to seasonal predictions in a statistical way through the large number of reforecasts and near-real-time forecasts;
- assessing the potential predictability of the S2S models and identifying forecast windows of opportunity;
- performing case studies to assess the skill of the model during a specific period or event;
- identifying sources of predictability, dynamical processes, and their impact on the forecast skill scores (e.g., sudden stratospheric warmings, MJO and its teleconnections, sea ice, soil initial conditions);
- assessing the models' capability to represent these key dynamical processes that are sources of subseasonal predictability so as to guide ongoing model development;
- assessing the benefit of a multimodel approach on subseasonal time scales and estimating the

effective ensemble size of the multimodel ensemble as in Pennell and Reichler (2011) for climate models;

- assessing the representation of model uncertainty in the current operational systems;
- assessing the potential benefit of subseasonal to seasonal forecasts in applications; and
- comparing the strategies for model initialization (e.g., burst vs lag ensemble initialization).

Work is ongoing to extend the list of oceanic and sea ice variables and to improve the conversion of the data into netCDF. There are also plans to automatically compute some products from the database (e.g., MJO, NAO, ENSO, sudden stratospheric warming indices, weather regimes, and tropical cyclone tracks) and to make them available to the community to avoid multiple computations of the same indices. For example, the International Research Institute for Climate and Society at Columbia University also plans to make available a user-oriented subset of products from the S2S database hosted at ECMWF and CMA. **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** Duane Waliser's contribution was carried out on behalf of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with NASA. The part of Mikhail Tolstykh's contribution (HMCR model diagnostics) was funded by the Russian Science Support Foundation (Grant 14-37-00053). The authors thank Gilbert Brunet and two anonymous reviewers for their suggestions and comments, which helped improve this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Bender, M. A., and I. Ginis, 2000: Real-case simulations of hurricane-ocean interaction using a high-resolution coupled model: Effects on hurricane intensity. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **128**, 917–946, doi:10.1175/1520 -0493(2000)128<0917:RCSOHO>2.0.CO;2.
- Bougeault, P., and Coauthors, 2010: The THORPEX Interactive Grand Global Ensemble. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **91**, 1059–1072, doi:10.1175/2010BAMS 2853.1.
- Cassou, C., 2008: Intraseasonal interaction between the Madden–Julian Oscillation and the North Atlantic Oscillation. *Nature*, **455**, 523–527, doi:10.1038 /nature07286.
- Dee, D. P., and Coauthors, 2011: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 137, 553–597, doi:10.1002/qj.828.
- Hansen, J. W., 2002: Realizing the potential benefits of climate prediction to agriculture: Issues, approaches, challenges. *Agric. Syst.*, **74**, 309–330, doi:10.1016 /S0308-521X(02)00043-4.
- Koster, R. D., and Coauthors, 2010: The contribution of land surface initialization to subseasonal forecast skill: First results from a multi-model experiment. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37, L02402, doi:10.1029/2009GL041677.
- Lin, H., G. Brunet, and J. Derome, 2009: An observed connection between the North Atlantic Oscillation and the Madden–Julian oscillation. *J. Climate*, 22, 364–380, doi:10.1175/2008JCLI2515.1.
- —, —, and J. S. Fontecilla, 2010: Impact of the Madden-Julian oscillation on the intraseasonal forecast skill of the North Atlantic Oscillation. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **37**, L19803, doi:10.1029/2010GL044315.
- Marshall, A. G., H. H. Hendon, T. H. Durrant, and M. A. Hemer, 2015: Madden Julian Oscillation impacts on global ocean surface waves. *Ocean Modell.*, **96**, 136–147, doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2015.06.002.
- , -----, and G. Wang, 2016: On the role of anomalous ocean surface temperatures for promoting the record

Madden-Julian Oscillation in March 2015. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **43**, 472–481, doi:10.1002/2015GL066984.

- Morss, R., J. Lazo, B. Brown, H. Brooks, P. Ganderton, and B. Mills, 2008: Societal and economic research and applications for weather forecasts: Priorities for the North American THORPEX program. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **89**, 335–346, doi:10.1175/BAMS-89-3-335.
- Munich Re, 2015: NAT CATS 2014: What's going on with the weather? Munich Re, 74 pp. [Available online at www.iii.org/sites/default/files/docs/pdf/ munichre-010715.pdf.]
- O'Connor, R. E., B. Yarnal, K. Dow, C. L. Jocoy, and G. L. Carbone, 2005: Feeling at risk matters: Water managers and decision to use forecasts. *Risk Anal.*, 25, 1265–1275, doi:10.1111/j.1539-6924.2005.00675.x.
- Pennell, C., and T. Reichler, 2011: On the effective number of climate models. *J. Climate*, **24**, 2358–2367, doi:10.1175/2010JCLI3814.1.
- Pielke, R., Jr., and R. E. Carbone, 2002: Weather, impacts, forecasts, and policy: An integrated perspective. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **83**, 393–403, doi:10.1175/1520 -0477(2002)083<0393:WIFAP>2.3.CO;2.
- Rayner, S., D. Lach, and H. Ingram, 2005: Weather forecasts are for wimps: Why water resource managers do not use climate forecasts. *Climatic Change*, 69, 197–227, doi:10.1007/s10584-005-3148-z.
- Vitart, F., 2009: Impact of the Madden Julian Oscillation on tropical storms and risk of landfall in the ECMWF forecast system. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **36**, L15802, doi:10.1029/2009GL039089.
- , and F. Molteni, 2010: Simulation of the MJO and its teleconnections in the ECMWF forecast system. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **136**, 842–855, doi:10.1002/qj.623.
- —, J. L. Anderson, and W. F. Stern, 1997: Simulation of interannual variability of tropical storm frequency in an ensemble of GCM integrations. *J. Climate*, 10, 745–760, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(1997)010<0745:SO IVOT>2.0.CO;2.
- —, A. W. Robertson, and S2S Steering Group, 2015: Sub-seasonal to seasonal prediction: Linking weather and climate. Seamless Prediction of the Earth System: From Minutes to Months, G. Brunet, S. Jones, and P. M. Ruti, Eds., WMO-1156, World Meteorological Organization, 385–401. [Available online at http:// library.wmo.int/pmb_ged/wmo_1156_en.pdf.]
- Wheeler, M., and H. Hendon, 2004: An all-season realtime multivariate MJO index: Development of an index for monitoring and prediction. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **132**, 1917–1932, doi:10.1175/1520-0493(2004)132<1917:AA RMMI>2.0.CO;2.
- Wonacott, T. H., and R. J. Wonacott, 1977: *Introductory Statistics*. John Wiley, 650 pp.

AMS BOOKS RESEARCH APPLICATIONS HISTORY

AMS MEMBERS GET FREE

CLIMATE

The Thinking Person's Guide to Climate Change

ROBERT HENSON

This fully updated and expanded revision of The *Rough Guide to Climate Change* combines years of data with recent research. It is the most comprehensive overview of climate science, acknowledging controversies but standing strong in its stance that the climate is changing—and something needs to be done.

© 2014, PAPERBACK, 520 PAGES, ISBN: 978-1-935704-73-7 LIST \$30 MEMBER \$20



AMERICAN METEOROLOGICAL SOCIETY





Climate Conundrums: What the Climate Debate Reveals about Us

WILLIAM B. GAIL

This is a journey through how we think, individually and collectively, about humanity's relationship with nature, and more. Can we make nature better? Could science and religion reconcile? Gail's insights on such issues help us better understand who we are and find a way forward.

© 2014, PAPERBACK, 240 PAGES, ISBN: 978-1-935704-74-4 LIST \$30 MEMBER \$20



GOROLOGICAL SOCIET

Living on the Real World: How Thinking and Acting Like Meteorologists Will Help Save the Planet

WILLIAM H. HOOKE

Meteorologists focus on small bits of information while using frequent collaboration to make decisions. With climate change a reality, William H. Hooke suggests we look to the way meteorologists operate as a model for how we can solve the 21st century's most urgent environmental problems.

© 2014, PAPERBACK, 272 PAGES, ISBN 978-1-935704-56-0 LIST \$30 MEMBER \$22

GUIDES

An Observer's Guide to Clouds and Weather:



AN OBSERVER'S GUIDE to CLOUDS AND WEATHER A NORTHEASTERN PRIMER ON PREDICTION TOBY CARLSON, PAUL KNIGHT, AND CELIA WYCKOFF A Northeastern Primer on Prediction

TOBY CARLSON, PAUL KNIGHT, AND CELIA WYCKOFF

With help from Penn State experts, start at the beginning and go deep. This primer, intended for both serious enthusiasts and new meteorology students, will leave you with both refined observation skills and an understanding of the complex science behind the weather: the ingredients for making reliable predictions of your own. It connects fundamental meteorological concepts with the processes that shape

weather patterns, and will make an expert of any dedicated reader.

© 2014, PAPERBACK, 210 PAGES, ISBN: 978-1-935704-58-4 LIST \$30

MEMBER \$20

Eloquent Science: A Practical Guide to Becoming a Better Writer, Speaker, and Atmospheric Scientist

DAVID M. SCHULTZ

The ultimate communications manual for undergraduate and graduate students as well as researchers in the atmospheric sciences and their intersecting disciplines.

© 2009, PAPERBACK, 440 PAGES, ISBN 978-1-878220-91-2

LIST \$45 MEMBER \$30



ТЕХТВООК

Midlatitude Synoptic Meteorology: Dynamics, Analysis, and Forecasting

GARY LACKMANN

This textbook links theoretical concepts to modern technology, facilitating meaningful application of concepts, theories, and techniques using real data.

©2011, PAPERBACK, 360 PAGES, ISBN 978-1-878220-10-3 LIST \$100 MEMBER \$75 STUDENT MEMB. \$65



Midlatitude Synoptic Meteorology Teaching CD More than 1,000 PowerPoint Slides. © 2013, CD, ISBN 978-1-878220-27-1 LIST \$100 MEMBER \$75

To order: bookstore.ametsoc.org, 617-226-3998, or use the order form in this magazine

SHIPPING + DISCOUNTS AT BOOKSTORE.AMETSOC.ORG



A Scientific Peak:

How Boulder Became a World Center for Space and Atmospheric Science

JOSEPH P. BASSI

How did big science come to Boulder, Colorado? Joe Bassi introduces us to the characters, including Harvard sun-Earth researcher Walter Orr Roberts, and the unexpected brew of politics, passion, and sheer luck that during the Cold War era transformed this "Scientific Siberia" to home of NCAR and NOAA.

© 2015, PAPERBACK, 264 PAGES, ISBN: 978-1-935704-85-0 LIST PRICE: \$35.00 MEMBER PRICE: \$25.00

METEOROLOGICAL MONOGRAPH SERIES

AMS Meteorological Monographs Vols. 1-55 (1947-2010) are available via Springer at springer.com/ams, with select volumes available in print via AMS at bookstore.ametsoc.org. Starting in 2016 with Vol. 56, new monographs will be open access, available via the AMS Journals Online site.



Synoptic-Dynamic Meteorology and Weather Analysis and Forecasting: A Tribute to Fred Sanders EDITED BY LANCE F. BOSART AND HOWARD B. BLUESTEIN



Northeast Snowstorms (Volume I: Overview, Volume II: The Cases) PAUL J. KOCIN AND LOUIS W. UCCELLINI

Booksellers, groups,

or for examination copies:

773-702-7000 (all others)

The University of Chicago Press:

1-800-621-2736 (US & Canada)

custserv@press.uchicago.edu



Severe Convective Storms EDITED BY CHARLES A. DOSWELL III

HISTORY



Taken by Storm, 1938:

A Social and Meteorological History of the Great New England Hurricane LOURDES B. AVILÉS

The science behind the 1938 Hurricane, which hit New England unannounced, is

presented here for the first time along with new data that sheds light on the motivations of the Weather Bureau forecasters. This compelling history successfully weaves science, historical accounts, and social analyses to create a comprehensive picture of the most powerful and devastating hurricane to hit New England to date.

© 2013, HARDCOVER, 288 PAGES, ISBN: 978-1-878220-37-0 LIST \$40 MEMBER \$30

Father Benito Viñes:

The 19th-Century Life and Contributions of a Cuban Hurricane Observer and Scientist

LUIS E. RAMOS GUADALUPE TRANSLATED BY OSWALDO GARCIA

Before Doppler radar and weather broadcasts, Spanish Jesuit Benito Viñes (1837-1893) spent decades observing the skies at Belen Observatory in

colonial Cuba. Nicknamed "the Hurricane Priest," Viñes taught the public about the weather and developed the first network of weather observation stations in the Caribbean, groundwork for the hurricane warning systems we use today.

© 2014, PAPERBACK, 172 PAGES ISBN: 978-1-935704-62-1 LIST \$20

MEMBER \$16



Hurricane Pioneer: Memoirs of Bob Simpson ROBERT H. SIMPSON AND NEAL DORST

In 1951, Bob Simpson rode a plane into a hurricane—just one of the many pioneering exploits you'll find in these memoirs. Bob and his wife Joanne are meteorological icons: Bob was the first director of the National Hurricane Research Project and a director of the National Hurricane Center. He helped to create the Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Scale; the

public knows well his Categories 1–5. Proceeds from this book help support the AMS's K. Vic Ooyama Scholarship Fund.

© 2015, PAPERBACK, 156 PAGES ISBN: 978-1-935704-75-1 LIST \$25

MEMBER \$20



EF



enito

Science at Your Fingertips



AMS Journals are now optimized for viewing on your mobile device.

0

MONOGRA

Θ

O

O

Ø

2

RICAN EOROLOGICAL

AMS BOOKS

Journals

Favorites

Saved Documents

 \square

AD .

Latest

Access journal articles, monograph titles, and BAMS content using your iOS, Android, or Blackberry phone, or tablet.

Features include:

- Saving articles for offline reading
- Sharing of article links via email and social networks
- Searching across journals, authors, and keywords

And much more...



Scan code to connect to journals.ametsoc.org

AMERICAN METEOROLOGICAL SOCIETY

Brought to you by NOAA Central Library | Unauthenticated | Downloaded 08/28/24 03:03 PM UTC