

NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS WR-125

STATISTICAL GUIDANCE ON THE PREDICTION OF EASTERN NORTH PACIFIC TROPICAL CYCLONE MOTION - PART 2

Preston W. Leftwich and Charles J. Neumann

National Weather Service Western Region Salt Lake City, Utah August 1977



noaa NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

National Weather Service

#### NOAA TECHNICAL MEMORANDA National Weather Service, Western Region Subseries

The National Weather Service (NWS) Western Region (WR) Subseries provides an informal medium for the documentation and quick dissemination of results not appropriate, or not yet ready, for formal publication. The series is used to report on work in progress, to describe technical procedures and practices, or to relate progress to a limited audience. These Technical Memoranda will report on investigations devoted primarily to regional and local problems of interest mainly to personnel, and hence will not be widely distributed.

Papers I to 25 are in the former series, ESSA Technical Memoranda, Western Region Technical Memoranda (WRTM); papers 24 to 59 are in the former series, ESSA Technical Memoranda, Weather Bureau Technical Memoranda (WBTM). Beginning with 60, the papers are part of the series, NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS. Qut-of-print memoranda are not listed (inclusive, I-115).

Papers 2 to 22, except for 5 (revised edition), are available from the National Weather Service Western Region, Scientific Services Division, P. O. Box 1188, Federal Building, 125 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utan 84147. Paper 5 (revised edition), and all others beginning with 25 are available from the National Technical Information Service. U. S. Department of Commerce, Sills Building, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22151. Prices vary for all paper copy; \$2.25 microfiche. Order by accession number shown in parentheses at end of each entry.

#### ESSA Technical Memoranda (WRTM)

- Climatological Precipitation Probabilities. Compiled by Lucianne Miller, December 1965. Western Region Pre- and Post-FP-3 Program, December 1, 1965, to February 20, 1966. Edward D. Diemer, March 1966. Station Descriptions of Local Effects on Synoptic Weather Patterns. Philip Williams, Jr., April 1966 (revised November 1967, October 1969). (PB-17800) Final Report on Precipitation Probability Test Programs. Edward D. Diemer, May 1966. Interpreting the RAREP. Herbert P. Benner, May 1966 (revised January 1967). Some Electrical Processes in the Atmosphere. J. Latham, June 1966. A Digitalized Summary of Radar Echoes Within 100 Miles of Sacramento, California. J. A. Youngberg and L. B. Overaas, December 1966. Limitations of Selected Meteorological Data. December 1966. An Dijective Aid for Forecasting the End of East Winds in the Columbia Gorge, July through October. D. John Coparanis, April 1967.
- - Derivation of Radar Horizons in Mountainous Terrain. Roger G. Pappas, April 1967.
    - ESSA Technical Memoranda, Weather Bureau Technical Memoranda (WBTM)
- Verification of Operational Probability of Precipitation Forecasts, April 1966-March 1967. W. W. Dickey, October

- 1967. (PB-176240) A Study of Winds in the Lake Mead Recreation Area. R. P. Augulis, January 1968. (PB-177830) Weather Extremes. R. J. Schmidli, April 1968 (revised July 1968). (PB-178928) Small-Scale Analysis and Prediction. Philip Williams, Jr., May 1968. (PB-178825) Numerical Weather Prediction and Synoptic Meteorology. Capt. Thomas D. Murphy, U.S.A.F., May 1968. (AD-673365) Precipitation Datection Probabilities by Sait Lake ARTC Radars. Robert K. Belosky, July 1968. (PB-179084) Probability Forecasting--A Problem Analysis with Reference to the Portland Fire Weather District. Harold S. Aver, July 1968. (FB-179289) Joint ESSA/FAA ARTC Redar Weather Surveillance Program. Herbert P. Benner and DeVon B. Smith, December 1968 (rev. June 1970). (AD-681857) Temperature Trends in Sacramento--Another Heat Island. Anthony D. Lentini, February 1969. (PB-183055) Disposal of Logging Residues without Damage to Air Quality. Owen P. Cramer, March 1969. (PB-183057) Climate of Phoenix, Arizona. R. J. Schmidli, P. C. Kangleser, and R. S. Ingram, April 1969. (Rev. July 1971; May 1976.) (PB-184295) Upper-Air Lows over Northwestern United States. A. L. Jacobson, April 1969. (PB-184296)

- Upper-Air Lows over Northwestern United States, A. L. Jacobson, April 1969. (PB-184295)
  Upper-Air Lows over Northwestern United States, A. L. Jacobson, April 1969. (PB-184296)
  The Man-Machine Mix in Applied Weather Forecasting in the 1970s. L. W. Sneilman, August 1969. (PB-185081)
  Analysis of the Southern California Santa Ana of January 15-17, 1966. Barry B. Anonovitch, August 1969. (PB-185081)
  Analysis of the Southern California Santa Ana of January 15-17, 1966. Barry B. Anonovitch, August 1969. (PB-185081)
  Analysis of the Southern California Santa Ana of January 15-17, 1966. Barry B. Anonovitch, August 1969. (PB-185762)
  Estimated Return Pariods for Short-Duration Precipitation in Arizona. Paul C. Kanglesen, October 1969. (PB-18763)
  Applications of the Net Radiometer to Short-Range Fog and Stratus Forecasting at Eugene, Oregon, L. Yee and E. Bates, December 1969. (PB-180767)
  Statistical Analysis as a Flood Routing Tool. Robert J. C. Burnash, December 1969. (PB-188744)
  Tsunami, Richard P. Augulis, February 1970. (PB-190157)
  Predicting Precipitation Type. Robert J. C. Burnash and Floyd E. Hug, March 1970. (PB-190962)
  Statistical Report on Aeroallergens (Pollens and Molds) Fort Huachuca, Arizona, 1959. Wayne S. Jonnson, April 1970. (PB-191743)
  Western Region Sae State and Surf Forecaster's Manual. Gordon C. Shields and Gerald B. Burdwell, July 1970. (PB-19302)
  Sacamento Weather Radar Climatology. R. G. Pappas and C. M. Veliquette, July 1970. (PB-193247)
  A Refinement of the Vorticity Field to Delineate Areas of Significant Precipitation. Barry B. Aronovitch, August 1970. Application of the SSARR Model to a Basin without Discharge Record. Vall Schermerhorn and Donald W. Kuehl, August 1970. (PB-193494)
  Areal Coverage of Precipitation in Northwestern Utah. Philip Williams, Jong and Werner J. Hest. Scot. 1970. (PB-1970.)
- 1970: (H=194394) Areal Coverage of Precipitation in Northwestern Utah. Philip Williams, Jr., and Werner J. Heck, Sept. 1970.(P8-194389) Preliminary Report on Agricultural Field Burning vs. Atmospheric Visibility in the Willamatte Valley of Oregon. Earl M. Bates and David G. Chilcore, September 1970. (P8-194710) Air Follution by Jet Aircraft at Seattle-Tacoma Airport. Wallace R. Donaldson, October 1970. (COM-71-00017) Application of PE Model Forecast Parameters to Local-Area Forecasting. Leonard W. Snellman, Oct. 1970. (COM-71-00016)
- - NOAA Technical Memoranda (NWS WR)

- An Aid for Forecasting the Minimum Temperature at Medford, Oregon. Arthur W. Fritz, October 1970. (COM-71-00120) Forecasting the Catalina Eddy. Arthur L. Elchelberger, February 1971. (COM-71-00223) 700-mm Werm Air Advection as a Forecasting Tool for Montana and Northern Idaho. Norris E. Woerner, February 1971.
- (COM-71-00349) Wind and Weather Regimes at Great Falls, Montana. Warren B. Price, March 1971. A Preliminary Report on Correlation of ARTCC Radar Echoes and Procipitation. Wilbur K. Hall, June 1971. (COM-71-00825 National Weather Service Support to Soaring Activities. Ellis Burton, August 1971. (COM-71-00956) Western Region Synoptic Analysis-Problems and Methods. Philip Williams, Jr., Ebbruary 1972. (COM-72-10433). Thunderstorms and Hail Days Probabilities in Nevada. Clarence M. Sakamoto, April 1972. (COM-72-10554) A Study of the Low Level Jet Stream of the San Joaquin Vailey. Ronald A. Willis and Philip Williams, Jr., May 1972. (Control, Clarence III).

- (COM-72-10707) Monthly Climatological Charts of the Behavior of Fog and Low Stratus at Los Angeles International Airport. Donaid M. Gales, July 1972. (COM-72-11140) A Study of Radar Echo Distribution in Arizona During July and August. John E. Hales, Jr., July 1972. (COM-72-11136) Forecasting Precipitation at Bakersfield, California, Using Pressure Gradient Vectors. Earl T. Riddlough, July 1972. (COM-72-11146) Climate of Stockton, California. Robert C. Nelson, July 1972. (COM-72-10920) Estimation of Number of Days Above or Below Selected Temperatures. Clarence M. Sakamoto, October 1972. (COM-72-10021) An Aid for Forecasting Summer Maximum Temperatures at Seattle, Washington. Edgar G. Johnson, Nov. 1972. (COM-73-10150) A Comparison of Manual and Semiauromatic Methods of Digitizing Analog Wind Records. Glenn E. Rasch, March 1973. (COM-73-10669)

- Southwestern United States Summer Monscon Source-+Gulf of Mexico or Pacific Ocean? John E. Hales, Jr., March 1973. (COM-73-10769)
- Conditional Probabilities for Sequences of Wet Days at Phoenix, Arizona, Paul C. Kangleser, June 1973, (COM-73-11264) Refinement of the Use of K-Values in Forecasting Thunderstorms in Washington and Dregon. Robert Y. G. Lee, June 973. (COM-73-11276)
- A Net Theman Of the Open File of the Second Control of the United States. Julia N. Paegle and Larry P. (J973. (COM-73-11/276) Objective Forecast of Precipitation over the Western Region of the United States. Julia N. Paegle and Larry P. Kisruiff, Sestember 1973. (COM-73-11946/3AS) A Thunderstorm "Warm Wake" at Midland, Texas. Richard A. Wood, September 1973. (COM-73-11845/AS) Arizona "Eddy" Tornadoes. Robert S. Ingram, October 1975. (COM-75-10465)

## NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS WR-125

## STATISTICAL GUIDANCE ON THE PREDICTION OF EASTERN NORTH PACIFIC TROPICAL CYCLONE MOTION - PART 2

### Preston W. Leftwich and Charles J. Neumann

National Weather Service National Hurricane Center Coral Gables, Florida August 1977

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Juanita M. Kreps, Secretary

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION Richard Frank, Administrator

NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE George P. Cressman, Director



## CONTENTS

Abstra	ct 1
I.	Introduction 1
11.	Dependent Data 2
III.	Predictions Based on Synoptic Data 2
IV.	EPHC77 8
۷.	Operational Implementation 11
VI.	Future Refinements
VII.	Summary
VIII.	References
Append	ix I
Append	ix II

## Page

# FIGURES AND TABLES

Page

	· · · ·
Figure 1.	The grid system
Figure 2.	Linear correlation coefficient fields between 24-hour meridional tropical cyclone motion and 500-mb geopotential heights for (a) Eastern Pacific and (b) Atlantic regions
Figure 3.	Linear correlation coefficient fields between 24-hour zonal tropical cyclone motion and 500-mb geopotential heights , 4
Figure 4.	Location of 500-mb predictors of meridional tropical cyclone motion 6
Figure 5.	Location of 500-mb predictors for zonal tropical cyclone motion 6
Figure 6.	Schematic of EPHC77 prediction algorithm 8
Figure 7.	Bivariate normal distribution fitted to 12- hourly motion vectors for tropical cyclones in the Eastern Pacific 9
Figure 8.	Total reduction of variance of meridional (M) and zonal (Z) components of EPCLPR, SYNOPTIC, and combined (EPHC77) systems 10
Table 1.	Variance analyses on meridional and zonal motions for SYNOPTIC forecasts 7
Table 2.	Sources of synoptic data used in EPHÇ77 system

### STATISTICAL GUIDANCE FOR THE PREDICTION OF EASTERN NORTH PACIFIC TROPICAL CYCLONE MOTION PART-2

### Preston W. Leftwich and Charles J. Neumann National Weather Service, National Hurricane Center Coral Gables, Florida

The EPHC77 system is one of three ABSTRACT. components of a statistical prediction package developed for the Eastern Pacific Hurricane Center (EPHC), San Francisco, California, to provide objective guidance for the forecasting of tropical cyclone motion over the Eastern North Pacific Ocean. EPHC77 forecasts are statistical combinations of forecasts made by a simulated analog model (EPCLPR) and forecasts made by predictors derived from synoptic data. This paper describes the formulation and application of the EPHC77 model.

### I. INTRODUCTION

The EPHC77 prediction model is the third component of a statistical prediction package developed to provide objective guidance for forecasting tropical cyclone motion over the Eastern North Pacific Ocean. Description and discussion of two earlier components, an analog model (EPANLG) and a simulated analog model (EPCLPR), are contained in Part 1 of this Technical Memorandum (Neumann and Leftwich 1977).

EPHC77 is a statistical-synoptic model, that is, it utilizes both empirical and synoptic predictors to produce forecasts of tropical cyclone motion. Two sets of displacements are independently computed. One set is obtained from the EPCLPR model. A second set, hereafter referred to as the SYNOPTIC set, is obtained from regression equations using 500-mb geopotential heights as predictors. These two sets of displacements are then combined statistically to produce a final forecast. Development of regression equations for the EPHC77 system generally followed procedures discussed by Neumann, Hope, and Miller (1972).





### II. DEPENDENT DATA

Dependent data for 2290 forecast situations during the period 1949-1976 are stored on magnetic tapes maintained at the National Hurricane Center (NHC), Miami, Florida. Data include 500-mb heights (current and 24 hours ago), best track storm positions at 12-hour intervals, and corresponding EPCLPR forecasts for periods of 12, 24, 36, 48, and 72 hours. Height fields are defined by a storm-centered, 8x15 grid system as illustrated in Figure 1. This grid is identical to that used in development of earlier statistical-synoptic prediction models for storms in the Atlantic basin.

#### III. PREDICTIONS BASED ON SYNOPTIC DATA

Potential synoptic predictors include current and 24-hour-old 500-mb heights at each of 120 grid points shown in Figure 1. Thus, 240 possible synoptic predictors are defined. Standard stepwise screening regression techniques, as discussed by Efroymson (1964) eliminate nonsignificant or redundant predictors.

During each screening regression run, linear correlation coefficients relating each synoptic data value to various storm displacements were computed. Fields of linear correlation coefficients for 24-hour meridional and zonal motions are shown in Figures 2a and 3a, respectively. For comparison, similar correlation coefficient fields obtained for the Atlantic region are shown in Figures 2b and 3b.





-3-



-4-

For both meridional and zonal motion, patterns of correlation coefficients relative to indicated storm centers for the Eastern North Pacific are similar to patterns for the Atlantic basin. These figures illustrate and confirm subjectively derived "steering" principles. Zonal motion is strongly influenced by geopotential heights north of the storm, while meridional motion is primarily controlled by heights northwest of the storm center. Thus, the 500-mb topography north through northwest of a storm is extremely important insofar as future motion is concerned. Reduced values of linear correlation coefficients found in the Eastern North Pacific as compared to the Atlantic can be at least partially attributed to poor 500-mb analyses over remote tropical oceanic regions, especially prior to the availability of satellite data.

At the completion of the screening regression procedure, separate sets of geopotential heights had been chosen as predictors for both meridional and zonal motion for periods of 12, 24, 36, 48, and 72 hours. This gave a total of 10 sets of predictors. Each individual predictor was then tested for statistical significance, and only significant predictors were retained. Also, some predictors were subjectively eliminated when that data point had been frequently assigned a climatological value. Final selection of predictors was made subjectively in order to retain a common set of predictors for a given motion component at all time periods. This procedure was followed because operational experience has shown that a common set of predictors produces smoother forecast storm tracks than predictor sets of variable size for different time periods. Selected predictors were thus included for time periods when they produced both small and large reductions of variance. For example predictor P3 for meridional motion contributed no incremental reduction of variance for the 12-hour period, but it was the first predictor selected for 72-hour meridional motion.

Grid-point locations of 500 mb geopotential heights retained as predictors of meridional and zonal motions are given in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. Six predictors were retained for meridional motion--five from the current analysis and one from the analysis made 24 hours prior to the current time. All four predictors retained for zonal motion are current 500-mb heights. Primary predictors for meridional motion are indicated by circles numbered 1 and 2 in Figure 4. Locations of less significant current heights are indicated by smaller circles, and the location of the height from the analysis made 24 hours ago is given by the square. Predictors for zonal motion are similarly noted in Figure 5. The two primary predictors were chosen first and second for all time periods by the screening regression procedure.

~5-



Figure 5. Same as Figure 4, but for zonal tropical cyclone motion. Here, all predictors are current 500-mb heights.

-6-

Selected predictors for each component of motion and associated reductions of variance (RV) are listed in Table 1. Predictors P1 through P5 for meridional motion and predictors R1 through R4 for zonal motion are current 500-mb heights. Predictor P6 for meridional motion is the 500-mb height from 24 hours ago.

Table l.	Variance	analyses	on	meridional	and	zonal	motions	for	SYNOPTIC
	forecasts	з.						·	

,				<u></u>						······
		VARIA	NCE ANAI	YSIS ON	MERIDIC	NAL MOT	ION	:		
PREDICTOR SELECTION	12 HOUR	L FCŞT	24 HOUI	FCST	36 11008	L FCST	48 HOU	R FCST	72 1:0UR	FCST
ORDER	PREDICT	OR RV	PREDICT	ORRV	PREDICT	OR RV	PREDIC	FOR BV	PREDICT	OR RV
I⇔I	P4	.048	P2	.050	РŚ	.045	P3'	.045	P3	.039
2	P5	.032	_P5	,058	P5	.047	P5	.039	P6	,033
3	P2	.031	Р6	.017	P1	.018	P1	.029	191	.032
4	PG	.015	P1	.011	Pó	.013	P6	.016	- P.5	.012
5	P1 .	.008	P4	.015	P2	.014	P4	.010	P4	.008
	23	.000	P3 -	.001	· P4	.010	P2	.010	P2	.002
TOTAL	[(J=1)	,	(J≖2) .		(J=3)		(J=4)		(J=5)	- · ·
REDUCTION	· ·	.134		.151	1	.147	}	.149		,126
						· · ·				
		. VAR	IANCE AN	ALYSIS	ON ZONAL	MOTION		·	<u></u>	•
PREDICTOR	12 .11001	VAR	24 HOUE	ALYSIS	ON ZONAL	. MOTION	48 HOU	R FCST	72 HOUR	FCST
PREDICTOR SELECTION ORDER	12 HOUR	VAR FCST	24 HOUI	ALYSIS FCST	ON ZONAL 36 HOUR PREDICT	FCST	48 HOU	R FCST	72 HOUR	FCST
PREDICTOR SELECTION ORIALR I=1	12 .1100F	VAR FCST OR RV .256	24 HOUH PREDICT R1	ALYSIS FCST OR RV . 302	ON ZONAL 36 HOUR PREDICT R1	. MOTION FCST	48 HOU PREDIC R1	R FCST TOR RV ,255	72 HOUR PREDICT R1	FCST
PREDICTOR SELECTION ORULE I=1 2	12 .1100F	VAR FCST OR RV .256 ,034	24 HOUF 24 HOUF PREDICT R1 R3	ALYSIS FCST TOR RV . 302 .043	ON ZONAL 36 HOUF PREDICT R1 R3	. MOTION C FCST OR RV . 285 .053	48 HOU PREDIC R1 R3	R FCST TOK KV .255 .062	72 HOUR PREDICT R1 R3	FCST
PREDICTOR SELECTION ORDER I=1 2 3	12 .HOUF I REDICT R1 R3 R2	VAR VCST <u>VOR RV</u> 256 ,034 ,008	24 HOUF 24 HOUF PREDICT R1 R3 R4	ALYSIS FCST OR RV . 302 .043 .011	ON ZONAL 36 HOUR PREDICT R1 R3 R4	. MOTION C FCST OR RV .285 .053 .020	48 HOU PREDIC R1 R3 R4	R FCST TOR RV .255 .062 .024	72 HOUR PREDICT R1 R3 R4	FCST OR RV .222 .672 .023
PREDICTOR SELECTION ORIALS I=1 2 3 4	12 .HOUF i REDICT R1 R3 R2 R4	VAR R VCST 256 ,034 .008 .008	24 HOUH PREDICT R1 R3 R4 R2	ALYSIS FCST OR RV . 302 .043 .011 .014	ON ZONAI 36 HOUR PREDICT R1 R3 R4 R2	MOTION FCST OR RV . 285 .053 .020 .015	48 HOU PREDIC R1 R3 R4 R2	R FCST TOK KV .255 .062 .024 .015	72 HOUR PREDICT R1 R3 R4 R2	FCST OR RV .222 .672 .023 .010
PREDICTOR SELECTION ORALS I=1 2 3 4 TOTAL	12 .1/005 i REDICT R1 R3 R2 R4 (J=1)	VAR R FCST 256 ,034 .008 .008	24 HOUH PREDICT R1 R3 R4 R2 (J=2)	ALYSIS FCST OR RV .302 .043 .011 .014	ON ZONAI 36 HOUI <u>PREDICT</u> R1 R3 R4 R2 (J=3)	. MOTION R FCST . 285 .053 .020 .015	48 HOU PREDIC R1 R3 R4 R2 (J=4)	R FCNT <u>10K KV</u> .255 .062 .024 .015	72 HOUR <u>PREDICT</u> R1 R3 R4 R2 (J=5)	CR RV .222 .C72 .023 .010

In the formulation of desired prediction equations, meridional  $(\Delta Y_1)$ and zonal  $(\Delta X_i)$  displacements are taken as linear functions, f, of selected synoptic predictors such that

$$\Delta Y_{j} = f_{j}(P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6) \qquad j=1,5 \qquad (2)$$

and

 $\Delta X_{i} = g_{i}(R1, R2, R3, R4)$ j=1,5

where j refers to the five forecast periods of 12, 24, 36, 48, and 72 hours. Regression coefficients determined by a least-squares fit of the linear functions are given in Appendix I. Then, (2) and (3) are defined by

$\Delta Y_{i} = C_{0} + A$	+ Σ(C, ,•P,)	i=1,6	(4)
1 0,1	ـد ا_ر⊥	j=1,5	

i=1,4

 $\Delta X_{j} = Q_{0,j} + \Sigma(C_{i,j} \cdot R_{i})$ 

(5)j=1,5 •

(3)

-7-

and

Here,  $C_{0,j}(Q_{0,j})$  are intercept values,  $C_{i,j}(Q_{i,j})$  are regression coefficients, and  $P_{i,j}(R_{i,j})$  are predictors for meridional (zonal) displacement. As a complete example, predicted 72-hour meridional displacement is given by

(6)

 $\Delta Y_{72}^{=} -17001.6 + 1.17 \cdot P1 - 0.49 \cdot P2 \\ -1.11 \cdot P3 - 0.29 \cdot P4 + 1.27 \cdot P5 \\ +2.39 \cdot P6.$ 

Solutions of the above equations are given in nautical miles. These equations thus provide an estimate of tropical cyclone motion based entirely on predictors derived from synoptic data.

IV. EPHC77

Once predictions have been made utilizing synoptic data alone, two sets of forecasts exist--one set from the simulated analog model (EPCLPR) and one set from the synoptic predictors (SYNOPTIC). As discussed in Thompson (1977), error variances of final forecasts appear capable of being reduced by the optimum combination of two or more independent predictions. An analogous procedure by which EPHC77 statistically combines the separate EPCLPR and SYNOPTIC forecasts is illustrated schematically in Figure 6.





-8-

As demonstrated by Neumann and Hope (1972), any weighting factors used in this combination must be both time and space dependent. Also, it was found that results can be improved by stratification of data according to initial motion. In the current development, forecast cases were stratified according to the mean motion over the past 12 hours. A bivariate normal distribution fitted to these motions is shown in Figure 7.





Four sets of regression equations were formulated using cases with motions lying in each quadrant of the given distribution. The form of equations used to combine EPCLPR(CF) and SYNOPTIC (SF) forecasts is

$$NF = f(SF, CF)$$
(7)

where NF is the displacement forecast and f is a quadratic function. Regression coefficients for these equations are listed in Appendix II. At each forecast time four forecasts are made with these sets of equations. These four separate forecasts are then weighted for combination into one forecast.

-9-

Weight assigned to each of the four forecasts is the proportion of the area of the dotted circle shown in Figure 7 lying within the associated quadrant of the fitted bivariate normal distribution. The center of this circle is located at the tip of the initial motion vector. Experience with the NHC-72 model indicates that such a procedure eliminates undesirably large changes in successively predicted storm tracks. The radius of the dotted circle was chosen as the mean distance between the origin and the 30% probability ellipse.



Figure 8 depicts reduction of variance on dependent data for the EPCLPR, SYNOPTIC, and final EPHC77 components of the model. Although reduction of variance attributed solely to the SYNOPTIC system is relatively low, inclusion of this component does lead to increased reduction of variance for the combination forecasts. At all times and for each component, there was a larger reduction of variance for zonal motion than for meridional motion. Effects of the SYNOPTIC system are greatest for longer time periods. This result is consistent with decreasing importance of empirical predictors found in development of the NHC-72 statistical model for Atlantic storms (Neumann and Hope 1972).

### V. OPERATIONAL IMPLEMENTATION

The EPHC77 model was programmed in Fortran IV computer language and included in the Eastern Pacific Statistical Prediction Package catalogued in the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) IBM 360/195 computer system at Suitland, Maryland. Access to this computer system is through the user terminal located at NHC, Miami. A description of the operational procedure may be found in Part 1 of this Technical Memorandum (Neumann and Leftwich 1977).

Synoptic data required in the program are retrieved from current NMC data files routinely stored in the computer system at Suitland. At present, NMC analyses and prognoses made from data at 0000 GMT and/or 1200 GMT are utilized. For tropical storm forecasts made from 0000 GMT (1200 GMT), the RADAT analysis provides current heights, and the previous final NMC analysis for 0000 GMT (1200 GMT) provides data from 24 hours ago. When forecasts are made at 0600 GMT (1800 GMT), current heights are obtained from the 6-hour NMC PE forecast from Q000 GMT (1200 GMT), and heights for 24 hours ago are mean values of final NMC analyses made 18 and 30 hours prior to the forecast run. An example indicating data sources is given in Table 2.

shown is	for 15 August, <sup>1</sup>	Indiff System: Indipie
Initial Time (GMT)	Current Data	Data for 24-hour ago
8/15/00	8/15/00 RADAT Analysis	8/14/00 NMC Final Analysis
8/15/06	Six-hour PE Forecast from 8/15/00	Mean of Final Analyses for 8/14/00 and 8/14/12
8/15/12	8/15/12 RADAT Analysis	8/14/12 NMC Final Analysis
8/15/18	Six-hour PE Forecast from 8/15/12	Mean of Final Analyses for 8/14/12 and 8/15/00

<sup>1</sup>Proposed procedural changes at NMC may require revisions of data sources given in Table 2.

-11-

Forecasts produced by an operational run are transmitted via National Weather Service teletypewriter and CRT circuits to EPHC, San Francisco, and NHC, Miami. Computer printout that includes diagnostic information in addition to predicted storm tracks is also received at NHC, Miami. Content of transmitted messages is discussed in Part 1 of this Technical Memorandum (Neumann and Leftwich 1977).

## VI. FUTURE REFINEMENTS

计算法 化乙酸盐 化乙酸乙酸

Operational experience in the Atlantic and Pacific tropical cyclone basins has confirmed the utility of probability ellipses associated with predicted storm tracks. Accordingly, production of such probability ellipses (similar to those accompanying EPANLG forecasts) will be included in the EPHC77 system.

Performance of EPHC77 will be evaluated during its initial season of operational usage, and any needed modification will be made. Possible refinements include (1) change the statistical procedure used to combine EPCLPR and SYNOPTIC forecasts, (2) change the weighting scheme that combines four quadrant forecasts into a final forecast, and (3) include numerically predicted data as predictors.

Examination of characteristics of the current NMC spectral objective analyses has indicated that tropical storms which have been "bogused" at either 300 mb or 1000 mb are often inadequately reflected in the 500-mb height field. This occurrence will have detrimental effects on the performance of EPHC77. Also, investigations at NHC during the 1976 Eastern Pacific storm season indicated considerable predictive potential in mean layer values of winds and geopotential heights. Accordingly, further studies are being made of possible utilization of mean layer values as predictors rather than 500-mb heights.

### VII. SUMMARY

This paper discussed development of the EPHC77 statistical-synoptic prediction model. In the forecast procedure, two separate forecasts are combined statistically to produce final predictions of tropical cyclone motion. Synoptic data are acquired from current NMC data files at the beginning of each forecast run. After each run is completed, predicted storm tracks for periods up to 72 hours are transmitted to both EPHC, San Francisco, and NHC, Miami.

-12-

### VIII. REFERENCES

Efroymson, M. A., 1964: Multiple Regression Analysis. <u>Mathematical</u> <u>Methods for Digital Computers</u>, edited by A. Ralston and H. S. Wilf, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 293 pp.

Neumann, Charles J., and J. R. Hope, 1972: A Diagnostic Study on the Statistical Predictability of Tropical Cyclone Motion. Journal of Applied Meteorology, 12, 62-73.

\_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, and B. I. Miller, 1972: A Statistical Method of Combining Synoptic and Empirical Tropical Cyclone Prediction. <u>NOAA Technical</u> <u>Memorandum</u>, NWS SR-63, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U. S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C.

, and P. W. Leftwich, 1977: Statistical Guidance for the Prediction of Eastern North Pacific Tropical Cyclone Motion, Part 1. <u>NOAA</u> <u>Technical Memorandum</u>, NWS WR-124, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U. S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C., 32 pp.

Thompson, P. D., 1977: How to Improve Accuracy by Combining Independent Forecasts. Monthly Weather Review, 105, 228-229.

-13-

## APPENDIX I

REGRESSION COEFFICIENTS FOR EQUATIONS THAT PRODUCE SYNOPTIC FORECASTS. DY AND DX REPRESENT MERIDIONAL AND ZONAL DISPLACEMENTS, RESPECTIVELY.

		PREDIC	CTAND .		
PREDICTOR	DY12	DY24	DY36	DY48	DY72
INTERCEPT	2634.1900	4637.1110	-8003.9550	14260.5000	-17001.6400
(P1)	0.1147	0.3041	0.5774	- 1.1006	1:1676
(P2)	-0.3327	-0.6988	-0.7796	-0.6151	-0.4919
(P3)	0.2275	-0.0899	-0.2970	-0.8124	-1.1063
(P4)	-0.0871	-0.1379	-0.1937		-0.2900
(P5)	0.4213	0.8830	1.1163	1.3530	1.2670
(P6)	0.3372	0.5488	0.9151	1.7351	2.3925
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		PREDIC	CTAND		
PREDICTOR	DX12	DX24	DX36	DX48	DX72
INTERCEPT	-6794.0540	-13746.8000	-20438.8800	-26213.3200	-30138.1100
(R1)	0.5109	0.9934	1.3215	1.5860	1.8547
(R2)	0.4128	0.8074	1.2320	1.5654	1.5929
(R3)	0.1523	0.3122	0.5039	0.7174	0.9625
(R4)	0.0946	0.2558	0.4654	0.6517	0.7931

-14-

Regression coefficients which combine SYNOPTIC and EPCLPR forecasts of (a) meridional and (b) zonal displacements. Quadrant is determined by position of motion vector in bivariate normal distribution shown in Figure 7.

				PREDICTAN	)	
	PREDICTOR	DY12	DY24	DY 36	D¥48	DY 72
QUADRANT	INTERCEPT	-19.5417	-50.8075	-99.8179	-187.2854	-14,7286
1	(CF)	0.9634	1,0854	1.0852	1.6190	0.3638
	(SF)	0.7409	0,7671	1.0589	1.0245	0.295
	(CF) (CF)	-0.0003	8000.0-	-0.0016	-0.0033	-0.0002
	(SF) (SF)	-0.0047	-0.0008	-0.0031	-0.0027	-0.0006
	(CF) (SF)	0.0004	-0.0005	0.0025	0.0035	0.0029
QUADRANT	INTERCEPT	21,2031	88.1648	87.1321	46.4062	3,8169
2	(CF)	0,8291	0.2246	0.1504	0.7745	1.3435
	(SF)	-1.0439	] -1.7010	-0.9996	-1.1335	-1.2917
	(CF) (CF)	-0.0007	0.0003	0.0018	-0,0006	-0.0017
	(SF) (SF)	0.0142	0.0084	0.0056	0.0045	0.0041
	(CF) (SF)	0.0034	0.0081	0.0019	0.0029	0.0017
QUADRANT	INTERCEPT	-12.3663	-22.5307	-43,3790	-61.4366	-16,9915
3	(CF)	1.0769	1.0443	1.0307	1.3086	0.9828
	(SF)	0.3127	-0.0183	0.2171	0.1046	0,5801
	(CF)(CF)	0.0055	0.0032	0.0018	0.0010	0.0005
	(SF) (SF)	-0.0019	0.0032	0.0012	0.0023	-0.0035
	(CF) (SF)	-0.0024	-0.0019	-0.0015	-0.0039	-0.0003
QUADRANT	INTERCEPT	-16,9915	-34.5868	-56.1177	-87.3978	-50.4654
4	(CF)	0.9828	0.9559	1.1053	0.6903	0.4489
	(SF)	0,5841	0.5609	0.4720	1.0729	0.5780
	(CF) (CF)	0.0005	0.0011	0.0010	0.0012	0.0017
	(SF) (SF)	-0.0035	-0.0014	0.0006	-0.0021	0:0006
	(CF)(SF)	-0.0003	-0.0008	-0.0025	-0,000}	-0.0012
					· ·	1

				PREDICTANI	)	
•	PREDICTOR	DX12	DX24	DX36	DX48	DX72
QUADRANT	INTERCEPT	-,22.1646	-46.8825	-84.4167	-123.7997	-163.660
· 1	(CF)	0.5246	0,4669	0.4826	0.7969	0.969
	(SF)	0.5308	0.7117	0,7481	0.3731	0.031
1	(CF) (CF)	0,0014	0.0017	0.0021	0.0023	0.001
	(SF)(SF)	-0.0016	-0.0010	-0.0001	0.0015	0.001
:	(CF) (SF)	0.0038	0,0003	-0.0012	-0.0030	-0.002
QUADRANT	INTERCEPT	-8.4627	-48.0992	-165.8327	-135.2563	-545.158
2	(CF)	1.5796	1.3666	2.2852	1.7428	2.203
	(SF)	-0,4368	0.0638	-0,3466	-0.1106	0.629
	(CF) (CF)	-0,0062	-0.0015	-0.0034	-0.0019	-0.001
	(SF) (SF)	-0.0018	~0.0003	-0.0005	-0.0002	-0.000
	(CF) (SF)	0.0080	0.0011	0.0028	0.0016	0.000
OUADRANT	INTERCEPT	-4.6973	111.0324	68,8516	96.5753	-171.397
3	(CF)	1.0552	0.2387	0,7339	0.8479	0.689
	(SF)	0.2709	-0.2021	0.0458	-0.0614	1.086
	(CF) (CF)	-0.0015	0.0004	-0.0004	-0.0007	0.000
	(SF)(SF)	-0.0012	-0.0003	-0.0004	-0.0004	-0.000
	(CF) (SF)	0.0005	0,0021	0.0009	0.0012	0,000
QUADRANT	INTERCEPT	-10.7962	-35,9077	-61.4194	-85.2630	-142.401
- 4	(CF)	0.8942	0.8929	0.8169	0.7205	0.450
	(SF)	0,1276	0.1654	0.2356	0.2820	0.423
	(CF) (CF)	0.0014	0.0017	0.0013	0.0011	0.001
	(SF) (SF)	0.0003	-0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.000
	(CF)(SF)	-0.0006	-0.0002	-0.0003	-0.0002	1 -0.001

-15-

NOAA Technical Memoranda NWSWR: (Continued) No. 92 Smoke Management in the Willamette Valley. Earl M. Bates, May 1974. No. 93 An Operational Evaluation of 500-mb Type Stratified Regression Equations. Alexander E. MacDonald, June 1974. (COM-74-11407/AS) No. 94 Conditional Probability of Visibility Less than One-half Mile in Radiation Fog at Fresno, California. John D. Thomas, August 1974. (COM-74-11555/AS) No. 95 Climate of Flagstaff, Arizona. Paul W. Sorenson, August 1974. (COM-74-11678/AS) Map Type Precipitation Probabilities for the Western Region. Glenn E. Rasch and Alexander E. MacDonald, February 1975. (COM-75-10428/AS) No. 97 Eastern Pacific Cut-off Low of April 21-28, 1974. William J. Alder and George R. Miller, January 1976. (PB-250-711/AS) Study on a Significant Precipitation Episode in the Western United States. No. 98 Ira S. Brenner, April 1975. (COM-75-10719/AS). A Study of Flash Flood Susceptibility--A Basin in Southern Arizona. No. 99 Gerald Williams, August 1975. (COM-75-11360/AS) A Study of Flash-flood Occurrences at a Site versus Over a Forecast Zone. No. 100 Gerald Williams, August 1975. (COM-75-11404/AS) No. 101 Digitized Eastern Pacific Tropical Cyclone Tracks. Robert A. Baum and Glenn E. Rasch, September 1975. (COM-75-11479/AS) No. 102 A Set of Rules for Forecasting Temperatures in Napa and Sonoma Counties. Wesley L. Tuft, October 1975. (PB-246-902/AS) No. 103 Application of the National Weather Service Flash-flood Program in the Western Region. Gerald Williams, January 1976. (PB-253-053/AS) No. 104 Objective Aids for Forecasting Minimum Temperatures at Reno, Nevada, During the Summer Months. Christopher D. Hill, January 1976. (PB252866/AS) No. 105 Forecasting the Mono Wind. Charles P. Ruscha, Jr., February 1976.(PB254650) No. 106 Use of MOS Forecast Parameters in Temperature Forecasting. John C. Plankinton, Jr., March 1976. (PB254649) No. 107 Map Types as Aid in Using MOS PoPs in Western U. S. Ira S. Brenner, August 1976. (PB259594) No. 108 Other Kinds of Wind Shear. Christopher D. Hill, August 1976.(PB260437/AS) Forecasting North Winds in the Upper Sacramento Valley and Adjoining No. 109 Forests. Christopher E. Fontana, September 1976. Cool Inflow as a Weakening Influence on Eastern Pacific Tropical Cyclones. No. 110 William J. Denney, November 1976. (PB 264655/AS) Operational Forecasting Using Automated Guidance. Leonard W. Snellman, No. 111 February 1977. No. 112 The MAN/MOS Program. Alexander E. MacDonald, February 1977. (PB265941/AS) No. 113 Winter Season Minimum Temperature Formula for Bakersfield, California, Using Multiple Regression. Michael J. Oard, February 1977. Tropical Cyclone Kathleen. James R. Fors, February 1977. No. 114 Program to Calculate Winds Aloft Using a Hewlett-Packard 25 Hand Calculator. Brian Finde, February 1977. No. 116 A Study of Wind Gusts on Lake Mead. Bradley Colman, April 1977. No. 117 The Relative Frequency of Cumulonimbus Clouds at the Nevada Test Site as a Function of K-Value. R. F. Quiring, April 1977. No. 118 Moisture Distribution Modification by Upward Vertical Motion. Ira S. Brenner, April 1977. No. 119 Relative Frequency of Occurrence of Warm Season Echo Activity as a Function of Stability Indices Computed from the Yucca Flat, Nevada, Rawinsonde. Darryl Randerson, June 1977. Some Meteorological Aspects of Air Pollution in Utah with Emphasis on the No. 120 Salt Lake Valley. Dean N. Jackman and William T. Chapman, June 1977. Climatological Prediction of Cumulonimbus Clouds in the Vicinity of the Yucca Flat Weather Station. R. F. Quiring, June 1977. A Method for Transforming Temperature Distributions to Normality. Morris No. 122 S. Webb, Jr., June 1977. Study of a Heavy Precipitation Occurrence in Redding, California. Christopher E. Fontana, June 1977. No. 124 Statistical Guidance for Prediction of Eastern North Pacific Tropical

Cyclone Motion - Part I. Charles J. Neumann and Preston W. Leftwich, August 1977.

# NOAA SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

NOAA, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, was established as part of the Department of Commerce on October 3, 1970. The mission responsibilities of NOAA are to monitor and predict the state of the solid Earth, the oceans and their living resources, the atmosphere, and the space environment of the Earth, and to assess the socioeconomic impact of natural and technological changes in the environment.

The six Major Line Components of NOAA regularly produce various types of scientific and technical information in the following kinds of publications:

PROFESSIONAL PAPERS — Important definitive research results, major techniques, and special investigations.

TECHNICAL REPORTS—Journal quality with extensive details, mathematical developments, or data listings.

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUMS — Reports of preliminary, partial, or negative research or technology results, interim instructions, and the like.

CONTRACT AND GRANT REPORTS—Reports prepared by contractors or grantees under NOAA sponsorship. TECHNICAL SERVICE PUBLICATIONS—These are publications containing data, observations, instructions, etc. A partial listing: Data serials; Prediction and outlook periodicals; Technical manuals, training papers, planning reports, and information serials; and Miscellaneous technical publications.

ATLAS—Analysed data generally presented in the form of maps showing distribution of rainfall, chemical and physical conditions of oceans and atmosphere, distribution of fishes and marine mammals, ionospheric conditions, etc.



Information on availability of NOAA publications can be obtained from:

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE INFORMATION CENTER ENVIRONMENTAL DATA SERVICE NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

> 3300 Whitehaven Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20235