1	
2	Received Date : 10-Dec-2014
3	Revised Date : 06-Mar-2016
4	Accepted Date : 22-Mar-2016
5	Article type : Original Article
6	0
7	<ul><li>O</li></ul>
8	Corresponding author mail id : <u>alana.alexander@ku.edu</u>
9	RESEARCH ARTICLE: Molecular Ecology
10	
11	What influences the worldwide genetic structure of sperm whales (Physeter macrocephalus)?
12	ð
15 14	Alana Alexander <sup>1,2,3*</sup> , Debbie Steel <sup>1,2</sup> , Kendra Hoekzema <sup>2</sup> , Sarah Mesnick <sup>4</sup> , Daniel Engelhaupt <sup>5</sup> ,
15	Iain Kerr <sup>6</sup> , Roger Payne <sup>6</sup> , C. Scott Baker <sup>1,2,7</sup>
16	
17	<sup>1</sup> Marine Mammal Institute, Hatfield Marine Science Center, Oregon State University, 2030 SE
18	Marine Science Drive, Newport, OR 97365, USA
19	<sup>2</sup> Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Oregon State University, 104 Nash Hall, Corvallis, OR
20	97330, USA
21	<sup>3</sup> Biodiversity Institute, 1345 Jayhawk Blvd, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045, USA
22	<sup>4</sup> Southwest Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA, 8901 La Jolla
23	Shores Drive, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA
24	<sup>5</sup> HDR Inc., 1209 Independence Blvd, Virginia Beach, VA 23455, USA
25	<sup>6</sup> Ocean Alliance, 32 Horton Street, Gloucester MA 01930, USA

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> 10.1111/mec.13638

<sup>7</sup> School of Biological Sciences, University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland 1142,

- 27 New Zealand
- 28

29 Keywords: Population genetics, population expansion, sex-biased dispersal, mtDNA,

30 microsatellite genotypes, Cetacea

31

32 \* Corresponding author: Alana Alexander. Ph.: +785-864-9886; E-mail:

33 alana.alexander@.ku.edu

**1**11

34

35 **Running title:** Genetic structure driven by female philopatry

#### 36 **1. Abstract (287 words)**

The interplay of natural selection and genetic drift, influenced by geographic isolation, mating 37 systems, and population size, determines patterns of genetic diversity within species. The sperm 38 whale provides an interesting example of a long-lived species with few geographic barriers to 39 dispersal. Worldwide mtDNA diversity is relatively low, but highly structured among geographic 40 regions and social groups, attributed to female philopatry. However, it is unclear if this female 41 philopatry is due to geographic regions or social groups, or how this might vary on a worldwide 42 43 scale. To answer these questions, we combined mtDNA information for 1,091 previously 44 published samples with 542 newly obtained DNA profiles (394 bp mtDNA, sex, 13 microsatellites) including the previously un-sampled Indian Ocean, and social group information 45 for 541 individuals. We found low mtDNA diversity ( $\pi$ =0.430%) reflecting an expansion event 46 47 <80,000 years bp, but strong differentiation by ocean, among regions within some oceans, and 48 among social groups. In comparison, microsatellite differentiation was low at all levels, presumably due to male-mediated gene flow. A hierarchical AMOVA showed that regions were 49 50 important for explaining mtDNA variance in the Indian Ocean, but not Pacific, with social group sampling in the Atlantic too limited to include in analyses. Social groups were important in 51 partitioning mtDNA and microsatellite variance within both oceans. Therefore, both geographic 52 and social philopatry influence genetic structure in the sperm whale, but their relative importance 53 54 differs by sex and ocean, reflecting breeding behavior, geographic features, and perhaps a more 55 recent origin of sperm whales in the Pacific. By investigating the interplay of evolutionary forces

56 operating at different temporal and geographic scales, we show that sperm whales are perhaps a

57 unique example of a worldwide population expansion followed by rapid assortment due to female

#### 58 social organization.

## 59 **2. Introduction**

60 Despite the absence of obvious geographic barriers, striking patterns of genetic differentiation and diversity are evident in many marine megafauna. This includes low genetic diversity due to 61 past population bottlenecks/expansions (e.g., giant squid, Winkelmann *et al.* 2013; killer whales, 62 Moura *et al.* 2014); strong patterns of genetic differentiation due to prey specialization (e.g., 63 killer whales, Riesch et al. 2012) or habitat specialization (e.g., harbor porpoises, Fontaine et al. 64 2014; sea lions, Lowther et al. 2012); genetic differentiation due to maternal or natal fidelity to 65 breeding locations and migration routes (e.g., turtles, Bowen *et al.* 1992; baleen whales, Baker *et* 66 al. 2013); and male-biased gene flow, as reflected in biparentally inherited nuclear markers and 67 maternally inherited mitochondrial DNA (e.g., great white sharks, Pardini *et al.* 2001; humpback 68 whales, Baker *et al.* 2013). In some species (e.g., killer whales, sperm whales), social groups also 69 influence genetic differentiation, potentially reinforced by culture such as vocal dialects (Cantor 70 et al. 2015: Cantor & Whitehead 2015; Gero et al. 2015; Hoelzel et al. 2007; Whitehead et al. 71 2012). While studies often investigate single factors that influence genetic diversity, teasing apart 72 73 different mechanisms requires an assessment of genetic diversity patterns over multiple spatial and temporal time scales. 74

75

Due to its worldwide distribution (Gosho et al. 1984), social behavior (Gero et al. 2015), and 76 77 acoustically mediated culture (Cantor et al. 2015; Cantor & Whitehead 2015), the sperm whale 78 presents an interesting case study for this type of hierarchical analysis. Although whaling removed hundreds of thousands of individuals (Best 1979; Whitehead 2002; Whitehead 2003), 79 80 the sperm whale is relatively abundant in comparison with other large whale species (~360,000 81 individuals worldwide; Whitehead 1998; 2002). Given the sperm whale's abundance and wide geographic range, mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) diversity in sperm whales is relatively low 82 compared with many other cetacean species (Alexander et al. 2013; Lyrholm et al. 1996; 83 84 Whitehead 1998), yet marked by moderate-to-strong differentiation between oceans (Lyrholm & Gyllensten 1998), among marginal seas within the Atlantic (Drouot et al. 2004; Engelhaupt et al. 85

2009), and among social groups within the Pacific (Lyrholm & Gyllensten 1998; Rendell *et al.* 

87 2012). In an analysis of mitogenomes (Alexander *et al.* 2013), three previously proposed

88 hypotheses were considered as the most likely causes of the low mtDNA diversity in sperm

89 whales: a population bottleneck and/or expansion (Lyrholm & Gyllensten 1998; Lyrholm *et al.* 

- 90 1996); a selective sweep due to a favorable substitution in a mtDNA-encoded protein (Janik
- 91 2001); or a selective sweep due to beneficial cultural traits transmitted matrilineally in parallel

92 with the mitogenome – cultural hitchhiking (Whitehead 1998; Whitehead 2005). In comparison,

although significant nuclear differentiation (based on microsatellite genotypes) has been observed

among social groups in the Pacific (Lyrholm *et al.* 1999), there is only weak differentiation

among regions within oceans (Engelhaupt *et al.* 2009; Mesnick *et al.* 2011), and no significant

96 nuclear differentiation between ocean basins (Lyrholm *et al.* 1999). The contrast between

97 mtDNA and microsatellite differentiation has been interpreted as male dispersal and female

98 philopatry at three hierarchical levels: oceanic scales (Lyrholm *et al.* 1999), between regions

within oceans (e.g., the Atlantic; Engelhaupt *et al.* 2009), and at the social group level (Lyrholm
& Gyllensten 1998).

101

Female philopatry and male-biased dispersal is consistent with behavioral observations of sperm 102 103 whale social structure. Males disperse from their natal social units at an age of 3-15 years (Best 104 1979; Richard *et al.* 1996a; Whitehead 2003) and become increasingly solitary as they age, extending their latitudinal range into polar waters (Allen 1980; Best 1979; Whitehead 2003). 105 After reaching social maturity (at 25-27 years, Best 1979), males associate with females for the 106 107 purposes of mating, but do not permanently remain with any given female social group (Richard 108 et al. 1996a; Whitehead 1993; Whitehead 1994). Female social groups contain adult females that 109 show long-term social bonds with one another, as well as juveniles of both sexes, and are confined to low-latitude tropical and temperate waters (Best 1979; Christal et al. 1998; Coakes & 110 111 Whitehead 2004; Dufault & Whitehead 1998; Dufault et al. 1999; Richard et al. 1996a). However, there are substantial differences by ocean in vocal dialects, female social group size, 112 and proportion of calves within social groups (Gero et al. 2015; Whitehead et al. 2012), 113 114 suggesting that the relative importance of female social groups in partitioning genetic diversity 115 might vary by ocean.

116

Here, we investigate the cause of the rapid radiation of maternal lineages in sperm whales, and 117 how social group and geographic factors partition genetic diversity in different oceans. We 118 119 hypothesize that the low but highly structured mtDNA diversity observed in the sperm whale is consistent with a recent, rapid radiation of a single mtDNA lineage, followed by genetic drift due 120 121 to female philopatry at regional and social group levels. For this, we assembled the largest sperm whale genetic dataset to date, including both published and previously unpublished data. 122 Previously unpublished data included stranding samples, and samples collected by the 'Voyage 123 of the Odvssev<sup>2</sup>: a five year expedition that collected biopsy samples (skin and blubber) from 124 under-sampled equatorial regions (Figure 1), including the previously un-sampled Indian Ocean 125 (Godard et al. 2003). Previously published data included mtDNA control region (CR) haplotypes 126 127 from 1,091 samples (Engelhaupt et al. 2009; Lyrholm & Gyllensten 1998; Mesnick et al. 2011; Rendell et al. 2012; Richard et al. 1996a; Whitehead et al. 1998). Using this unprecedented 128 dataset, we first explicitly test the hypothesis that a past population expansion could explain the 129 low mtDNA diversity seen in the sperm whale. We then evaluate the importance of geographic 130 regions versus social groups in determining genetic structure within the Pacific and Indian 131 Oceans (where sufficient individuals with social group information were available), using the 132 unique circumequatorial collection of samples from social groups and within-ocean regions. 133 134 Finally, we also examine sex-specific differences by carrying out these analyses for both biparentally inherited nuclear markers (13 microsatellite loci) and the maternally inherited 135 mtDNA. This study demonstrates how different factors shape patterns of genetic diversity at 136 137 multiple scales in a broadly distributed marine organism.

138

# 139 **3. Materials and Methods**

# 140 3.1. Assembly of mtDNA dataset and definition of spatial scales

141

Using the definitions developed by Mesnick *et al.* (2005, also see Supplementary Material 1), we
summarized mtDNA haplotype information from previous publications by ocean and withinocean region (Supplementary Material 2). Regions were defined by aggregating samples that
were obtained within ~500 km of each other, with the exception of the Mediterranean that was

146 pooled over the entire sea for consistency with previous publications (Engelhaupt et al. 2009). Areas included in regional analyses were restricted to those sampled by the *Odyssey* (and 147 augmented by samples from previous studies, where available), and tropical/subtropical areas 148 (38°S to 38°N) sampled in previous studies, as these were the latitudes primarily sampled by the 149 *Odyssey.* Regions were also required to have five or more sampled individuals to limit the effect 150 of low sample sizes. Aggregation of datasets from different publications was possible because of 151 the concerted efforts of the Cachalote Consortium (Mesnick et al. 2005) to standardize 152 nomenclature for sperm whale mtDNA CR haplotypes. A lack of standardized nuclear markers 153 154 did not allow for identification and removal of potential between-study replicates. However, we removed within-study replicates where identified. 155

156

'Voyage of the *Odyssey*' samples were collected from 1999-2005 in circum-equatorial regions 157 (Figure 1) using a biopsy dart. As detailed in Godard et al. (2003), total genomic DNA was 158 extracted from the *Odyssey* samples using a high-salt procedure. DNA aliquots of 895 samples 159 were then provided by Ocean Alliance, sponsor of the 'Voyage of the Odyssey'. New Zealand 160 sperm whale skin and tissue samples (n = 89) were collected from strandings by New Zealand 161 Department of Conservation staff from 1994 to 2008 and archived in the New Zealand Cetacean 162 163 Tissue Archive (CeTA) at the University of Auckland. One sample originating in Samoa, 164 archived in CeTA, was also included. Oregon sperm whale skin and tissue samples (n = 3) were provided by the Oregon Marine Mammal Stranding Network. DNA was extracted from samples 165 of stranded animals following a standard phenol/chloroform technique (Sambrook et al. 1989) as 166 167 modified by Baker et al. (1994).

168

We carried out amplification of the mtDNA CR using the primers M13dlp1.5 and tphe, and sequenced a 619 bp consensus length of this fragment on an ABI3730xl DNA analyzer, as described in Alexander *et al.* (2013). Sequences were trimmed using PHRED scores and by eye in *Sequencher v. 4.6* (Gene Codes). After trimming, sequences with more than 10% of bases showing a PHRED score of <20 were re-sequenced or removed from the dataset (Morin *et al.* 2010). We visually confirmed variable sites between haplotypes in each sequence using *Sequencher*. After removal of replicates, we trimmed the *Odyssey* and stranding samples to the

shorter consensus length of 394 bp and combined them with the previously published mtDNA

data. This 394 bp fragment has the highest level of diversity across the sperm whale mitogenome,

and accurately reflects intraspecific phylogenies based on the full mitogenome sequence

179 (Alexander *et al.* 2013).

180

# 181 3.2. Sex identification of Odyssey and stranding samples

182

We sexed samples using a multiplexed PCR amplifying 152 bp of the SRY on the Y chromosome 183 184 of males (Richard et al. 1994; primers: sperm-whale specific SRY primers), and a 442-445 bp fragment of the ZFX/ZFY fragment present in both males and females (Aasen and Medrano 1990; 185 primers: P1-5EZ and P2-3EZ). Each reaction consisted of 1 µL of sample DNA, and a final 186 concentration of 0.9× Platinum Taq buffer (Invitrogen), 0.36 µM of each of the four primers, 2.27 187 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.18 mM dNTP and 0.25 U of Platinum *Taq* polymerase (Invitrogen), with ddH<sub>2</sub>O 188 to 11  $\mu$ L total volume. The temperature profile consisted of an initial denaturing step of 3 min at 189 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 45 s, 58°C for 45 s and 72°C for 60 s, followed by a 190 final extension step of 72°C for 10 min. The PCR products were run on a 1.6% agarose gel 191 (buffer: TBE), stained with ethidium bromide, and visualized under UV light. Presence of two 192 193 bands indicated a male sample, one band a female sample, and no bands PCR failure.

194

## 195 3.3. Microsatellite genotyping, identification of replicates and kin

196 Thirteen microsatellite loci were selected based on previous genotyping in the sperm whale 197 (Engelhaupt et al. 2009), and other cetacean species (Supplementary Material 3). We amplified 198 each locus in an individual reaction, with 1  $\mu$ L of the sample DNA, a final concentration of 0.9× Platinum Taq buffer (Invitrogen), 0.36 µM of each primer and 0.18 mM dNTP. MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 199 200 Platinum Taq polymerase (Invitrogen) concentrations varied by locus as detailed in 201 Supplementary Material 3, and we added ddH<sub>2</sub>O to 11 µL total volume. Temperature profiles consisted of an initial denaturing step of 3-5 minutes at 94-95°C, followed by 35-40 cycles of 94-202 95°C for 30-40 s, the locus-specific annealing temperature (as detailed in Supplementary 203 204 Material 3) for 30-60 s, and 72°C for 30-60 s, followed by a final extension step of 72°C for 8-30 205 min. Multiple microsatellite loci were combined based on differing size range and fluorescent

label (Supplementary Material 3) and co-loaded on an ABI3730xl DNA Analyzer with GS500 LIZ
ladder. Output was processed using *GeneMapper v. 3.7* (Applied Biosystems), with a minimum
signal strength detection threshold of 50 units. All automated calls were checked by eye, with a
subset of samples cross-checked by a second researcher (D. Steel) to ensure consistency in allele
calling.

211

11 M H

Other quality control (QC) measures were then carried out following Morin et al. (2010). 212 Samples were only included if they were genotyped for at least eight microsatellite loci, and 213 214 successfully sexed or sequenced for mtDNA CR. This QC was to limit the inclusion of samples likely affected by low DNA quantity/quality. Identification of replicate samples was carried out 215 using Cervus v. 3.0 (Kalinowski et al. 2007). To compensate for genotyping error, we used 216 relaxed matching allowing for mismatches at up to four microsatellite loci, with mismatching loci 217 corrected or repeated. If remaining mismatches were consistent with allelic dropout, samples 218 were considered replicates if they matched at sex and mtDNA CR. Probability of identity  $(p_{(ID)})$ 219 220 was calculated using Cervus for pairs showing exact matches, and Genalex v. 6.501 (Peakall & Smouse 2006. Peakall & Smouse 2012) for those with mismatches (average  $p_{(ID)}$  for the 221 combination of exactly matching markers). The per-allele microsatellite error rate (Pompanon et 222 223 al. 2005) was estimated using intentional duplicates for 110 samples, selected randomly with 224 respect to DNA quality and quantity. Tests for deviation from Hardy Weinberg equilibrium and 225 for linkage disequilibrium were conducted using Genepop v. 4.2 (Raymond & Rousset 1995a), and tests for the presence of large allele dropout and null alleles using MICRO-CHECKER v. 226 227 2.2.3 (van Oosterhout et al. 2004), following the methods of Carroll et al. (2011). We excluded 228 microsatellite loci from population genetic analyses if they showed departures from Hardy-229 Weinberg equilibrium. A 'kin restricted' dataset was created to account for the sampling of first-230 order relatives within social groups. We followed Mesnick et al.'s (2011) approach of removing 231 one member of every first-order kin pair (defined as samples sharing at least one allele at every microsatellite locus) identified using SOLOMON v. 1.0-1 (Christie et al. 2013). We retained the 232 sample with the most complete genotype from each pair. We conducted analyses on both the 233 234 'full' and 'restricted' version of this dataset.

235

#### 236 *3.4. Testing for a population expansion*

- We tested for a population expansion in the mtDNA dataset by assessing Fu's *F*s (Fu 1997) and the mismatch distribution (Harpending 1994; Rogers & Harpending 1992; Slatkin & Hudson 1991) under a demographic expansion scenario through *Arlequin v. 3.5* with 10,000 permutations to assess significance (Excoffier *et al.* 2005; Schneider & Excoffier 1999). We carried out these tests at the worldwide, oceanic and regional levels.
- 242

## 243 3.5. mtDNA diversity, differentiation, and phylogeography

244 Haplotype and nucleotide diversity (using the Tamura and Nei (1993) correction) were calculated using Arlequin. Differences in haplotype diversity and nucleotide diversity between oceans were 245 assessed using a custom R v. 3.0.2 (R Core Team 2013) script, to conduct a permutation test with 246 10,000 replicates (Alexander 2015). We inferred the number of substitutions between the mtDNA 247 CR haplotypes with a parsimony network created using TCS v. 1.2.1 (Clement et al. 2000). We 248 examined oceanic differentiation using  $F_{ST}$  and  $\Phi_{ST}$  (with the Tamura and Nei (1993) correction), 249 with 10,000 replicates to assess significance in Arlequin. To evaluate the potential influence of 250 phylogeographic structure (i.e., divergence as well as drift), we used *PERMUT v. 2.0* (Petit 2010) 251 to test for differences between  $G_{ST}$  and  $N_{ST}$  (analogs of  $F_{ST}$  and  $\Phi_{ST}$ : Pons and Petit 1996). 252

253

#### 254 3.6. Microsatellite diversity and differentiation

Observed and expected heterozygosity were calculated using *Cervus*, and allelic richness using 255 FSTAT v. 2.9.3 (Goudet 2001). We tested for significant differences in observed heterozygosity 256 257 and allelic richness between oceans using a custom R script (Hamner 2014) that implemented t-258 tests or Wilcoxon signed rank tests depending on equality of variances and normality of differences between areas (Supplementary Material 4). We calculated F<sub>IS</sub> by region and ocean 259 260 (using oceanic and worldwide microsatellite allele frequencies, respectively) using FSTAT, with 261 10,000 replicates to assess significance. To examine oceanic differentiation, we calculated  $F_{ST}$ through Genepop (Raymond & Rousset 1995b; Rousset 2008), using the exact test to assess 262 significance (Raymond & Rousset 1995a). G"<sub>ST</sub>, an index that compensates for the diversity of 263 264 microsatellites (Meirmans & Hedrick 2011), was calculated with Genodive v. 2.ob25 (Meirmans & van Tienderen 2004), using 10,000 permutations to assess significance. The presence of 265

- 266 population structure independent of our *a priori* partitions was assessed for the regional microsatellite dataset using Structure v 2.3.4 (Falush et al. 2003; Pritchard et al. 2000). 267 Following Engelhaupt et al. (2009), we assumed admixture and correlated allele frequencies with 268 269 500,000 burn-in steps, followed by 1,000,000 steps. Twenty replicates (following the recommendations of Gilbert *et al.* 2012) were carried out for K = 1 to K = 13. The Evanno *et al.* 270 271 (2005) method was used to assess the best fitting K through STRUCTURE HARVESTER (Earl & vonHoldt 2012). Using STRUCTURE HARVESTER output, CLUMPP (Jakobsson & Rosenberg) 272 2007) was used to align cluster assignment across replicates. 273
- 274

3.7. Evaluating the relative importance of social group versus geographic regions by ocean 275 We used field data on spatial and temporal proximity of *Odyssey* biopsy samples to identify 276 277 samples collected during a single encounter with a social group. To account for previously sampled groups that were unintentionally re-encountered, we combined groups that had genetic 278 replicates between them. Our groups likely correspond to a mix of 'social groups' and 'social 279 units' as defined in previous publications (Christal et al. 1998; Gero et al. 2015; Whitehead 280 2003). We included social groups from the literature where mtDNA data were available 281 (Supplementary Material 5). Tests of genetic differentiation were conducted partitioning the 282 283 dataset by social group, for groups where two or more individuals passed QC. Hierarchical 284 analyses nesting social group within ocean and region at the worldwide scale, and within region for the Pacific and Indian Oceans (where adequate numbers of groups were available) were 285 conducted through Arlequin for mtDNA ( $F_{ST}$  and  $\Phi_{ST}$ ), and GDA v 1.0 (Lewis & Zaykin 2001) 286 287 for microsatellites (F<sub>ST</sub> only). To limit the effect of small sample sizes, nested analyses were 288 restricted to a subset of social groups that had five or more individuals pass QC.

289

## 290 3.8. Testing for female philopatry: sex-biased gene flow and dispersal

We restricted analyses of sex-biased gene flow and dispersal to samples genotyped in this study, where sex information was available. Analyses were carried out at the oceanic and within-ocean regional levels (including all oceans/regions with at least 2 individuals of each sex) following the methods of Oremus *et al.* (2007). Due to limited numbers of social groups with two or more sampled males (Supplementary Material 5), no analyses were conducted at the social group level.

296 We investigated sex-biased dispersal using two methods in *FSTAT*: (1) a comparison of sexspecific F<sub>ST</sub> values for both mtDNA (coding the mtDNA CR as a homozygote locus) and 297 microsatellites and (2) calculation of the sex-specific variance of assignment index (vAIc) based 298 299 on microsatellites (Goudet et al. 2002). We tested the difference between sex-specific values using 10,000 permutations. The more dispersive sex is expected to have a lower F<sub>ST</sub> value 300 (method 1), but higher variance (method 2), than the more philopatric sex (Oremus et al. 2007). 301 We note that males in this dataset included immature males that had not dispersed from their 302 natal social group that could conservatively bias the tests against finding male-biased dispersal. 303 304 As well as sex-biased dispersal, we obtained sex-specific gene flow estimates using the formulas presented in Hedrick et al. (2013) and microsatellite/mtDNA CR F<sub>ST</sub> as the input values. 305

306

#### 307 **4. Results**

#### 308 4.1. Assembly of mtDNA dataset

We summarized sequence information for 1,091 samples from previous studies (Engelhaupt et al. 309 310 2009; Lyrholm & Gyllensten 1998; Mesnick et al. 2011; Rendell et al. 2012; Richard et al. 1996a; Whitehead et al. 1998; as detailed in Supplementary Material 2). After removal of 311 312 replicates identified by genotyping, mtDNA sequences were available for 496 individuals in the 313 collection from the *Odyssey* and from strandings. These sequences were trimmed to a consensus 314 sequence length of 394 bp and combined with the previously published information resulting in 315 1,587 sequences included in analyses of mtDNA differentiation and diversity at the worldwide and oceanic level. Of these, 998 samples were included in analyses of 16 regions within oceans 316 (Table 1). 317

318

#### 319 4.2. Assembly of microsatellite dataset and quality control

Of the 988 total samples genotyped in this study, 671 passed quality control, with a minimum of 8 microsatellite loci each. On average, the samples passing QC had microsatellite genotypes that were 92.8% complete (S.D. = 9.58%), representing 12 out of a potentially complete genotype of 13 microsatellite loci. We identified replicates using between 6 and 13 overlapping loci with p<sub>(ID)</sub>s between 3.39E-21 and 1.76E-06, and p<sub>(ID-sibs)</sub> between 2.16E-06 and 4.50E-03. The perallele microsatellite error rate was 1.27% based on 74 intentional duplicate pairs that passed QC.
This error was largely due to allelic drop out (>95%) that was then identified and corrected, and
was similar in magnitude to previous studies on sperm whales and other cetaceans (Baker *et al.*2013; Carroll *et al.* 2011; Mesnick *et al.* 2011). Using the known duplicates, there was no
detectable error in designation of mtDNA haplotypes (i.e., an error rate of <0.7%), and only one</li>
male/female discrepancy between a duplicate pair (e.g., an error rate of 1.69%).

After removal of replicates, the 671 genotypes that passed OC represented 542 individuals. Using 332 SOLOMON to identify pairs of individuals sharing an allele at every locus, we found 12 likely 333 first-order kin relationships. One pair from the Chagos Archipelago consisted of two males, with 334 the remaining relationships involving at least one female. Given the small number of identified 335 336 potential-first order kin, results for the 'full' and 'kin-restricted' datasets were very similar for all analyses. Consequently, we provide results of the 'restricted' dataset only as Supplementary 337 Materials 6-7. We defined 13 within-ocean regions in the microsatellite dataset (Table 1). In 338 contrast with the mtDNA dataset, three regions were not represented as they were not genotyped 339 in this current study/had insufficient numbers of individuals pass QC: Hawai'i, western North 340 Atlantic, and the Gulf of Mexico. We did not detect consistent significant deviations from Hardy-341 342 Weinberg equilibrium or linkage disequilibrium across the within-ocean regions. In addition, we 343 found no consistent evidence of scoring error, preferential large-allele dropout, or null alleles for any microsatellite loci across the within-ocean regions. Therefore, we retained all loci (Table 2) 344 for analyses of microsatellite diversity and differentiation. 345

346

## 347 4.3. Testing for a population expansion

For the worldwide dataset, Fu's Fs was strongly and significantly negative (Fs = -25.4, p = 0.0002), and the mtDNA mismatch distribution appeared unimodal (Figure 2; parameter estimates and *p*-values for all comparisons listed in Supplementary Material 8). Along with a star-like mtDNA network (Figure 3), these results are strong indicators of a worldwide population expansion in female lineages of the sperm whale (Slatkin & Hudson 1991). Based on  $\tau = 1.625$  and a control region substitution rate of 2.6%/million years (Alexander *et al.* 2013), the age of the expansion event was estimated at 78,300 years ago (95% CI: 72,300 – 97,900), 355 although the SSD and raggedness indices suggest the expansion model simulated by Arlequin was not a good fit for the data (p < 0.0109). Because inference of population expansions can be 356 affected by population structure (Pannell & Whitlock 2003; Ptak & Przeworski 2002), we also 357 358 looked for population expansions at the oceanic and within-ocean regional levels. The Pacific Ocean had a strongly significant negative Fu's Fs value (Fs = -21.5, p = 0.0003), and both the 359 Pacific and Atlantic gave qualitatively unimodal mismatch distributions (Figure 2), with the 360 population expansion model supported for the Atlantic Ocean (p > 0.1547). In contrast, the 361 Indian Ocean showed a multi-modal mismatch distribution (Figure 2) and the Fu's Fs value was 362 363 not significant (Supplementary Material 8). Using estimates of tau for each ocean, the time at expansion within the Pacific was estimated at 66,900 years before present (95% CI: 60,800 -364 87,300); 67,200 years before present in the Atlantic (95% CI: 55,200 – 86,700), and 94,000 years 365 before present in the Indian Ocean (95% CI: 37,100 – 150,000). These data suggest a more recent 366 population expansion event in the Pacific, also supported by the large number of within-Pacific 367 regions with negative Fu's Fs results (Supplementary Material 8). 368

369

## 370 4.4. mtDNA diversity, differentiation, and phylogeography

We resolved a total of 39 mtDNA CR haplotypes in the worldwide dataset (Table 1), including 371 372 twelve previously unreported haplotypes (Figure 3). Except for KK, these new haplotypes were 373 rare (n < 5) and only found in one region (Figure 4). The maximum distance between any two 374 haplotypes was two substitutions and this only occurred twice on the haplotype network (Figure 3). Of the 31 variable sites found over the 394 bp mtDNA CR, all were transitions 375 376 (Supplementary Material 1). To investigate the potential for resolving further mtDNA diversity, 377 we sequenced 400 samples for 619 bp of the mtDNA CR. A comparison of the two consensus lengths indicated 394 bp captured the majority of variation (Supplementary Material 6). 378 Therefore, even with the addition of Indian Ocean samples, the level of mtDNA CR diversity in 379 380 the sperm whale is still among the lowest in Cetacea (Table 1 vs. cetacean mtDNA diversity estimates in Alexander et al. 2013). The Atlantic Ocean had significantly lower nucleotide 381 diversity than the Indian and Pacific Oceans, and significantly lower haplotype diversity than the 382 383 Pacific (Table 1, *p*-values for all significant diversity comparisons summarized in Supplementary Material 9), which appeared to be partly driven by the lack of mtDNA CR variation within theMediterranean (Table 1).

386

387 There was some sharing of mtDNA haplotypes across all three ocean basins, particularly of A, B, 388 and C: the three most common haplotypes (Figure 3, Figure 4). Despite this, geographic structure 389 was evident at the oceanic scale, with four haplotypes found at reasonably high frequencies (in >20 individuals) yet restricted to a single ocean basin (haplotype X in the Atlantic, KK in the 390 Indian Ocean, E and D in the Pacific Ocean: Figure 3, Figure 4). Values for  $F_{ST}$  and  $\Phi_{ST}$  showed 391 392 similar patterns at oceanic and regional levels (Figure 4, Supplementary Material 10), albeit with  $\Phi_{ST}$  tending to exceed the magnitude of  $F_{ST}$ . An explicit test of these two indices (using N<sub>ST</sub> as 393 an analog of  $\Phi_{ST}$ . Pons and Petit, 1996), indicated a small but significant (p < 0.05) influence of 394 phylogeographic structure at the oceanic level (i.e., haplotype lineages sorted by ocean) and 395 worldwide regional level (i.e., haplotype lineages sorted by region over a worldwide scale). 396 However, these results were contingent on the inclusion of the Gulf of Mexico, which has the 397 closely related haplotypes X and Y present in high frequencies (Figure 3, Figure 4). 398

399

## 400 4.5. Microsatellite diversity and differentiation

401 No significant differences in microsatellite heterozygosity or allelic richness were found between 402 oceans (using Wilcoxon signed rank or t-tests depending on equality of variances and normality 403 of differences between pairs; locus by locus results Supplementary Material 7). Significant differentiation was detected among oceans ( $F_{ST}$  0.003,  $G''_{ST}$  0.015, p < 0.05), but this was far 404 405 lower in magnitude than that seen for mtDNA (Figure 4). Our Structure results gave the highest 406 likelihood to K = 1 (mean Ln Pr(X|K) = -18,855.3 cf. -18,908.9 for K = 2). Visual inspection of the structure results for K = 2 (Supplementary Material 11) showed no obvious population 407 408 structure, offering further support for K = 1. This is not surprising given the low levels of differentiation found in the *a priori* analyses partitioning the dataset by region (e.g.,  $F_{ST} < 0.02$ : 409 Supplementary Material 10, 12) (Waples & Gaggiotti 2006). 410

411

412 4.6. Evaluating the relative importance of social group versus geographic regions by ocean

413 Among the *Odyssey* dataset, 67 social groups (n = 420 individuals) had more than two individuals pass genetic QC measures. After inclusion of published mtDNA information for 28 414 social groups from Ecuador and the Gulf of Mexico (Engelhaupt 2004; Ortega-Ortiz et al. 2012; 415 Richard *et al.* 1996a), we included 95 social groups (n = 541 individuals), representing 16 416 regions and all 3 oceans (Supplementary Material 5). In non-nested analyses, differentiation 417 418 among social groups was extremely high: social group consistently explained greater levels of variation than partitioning by regions and oceans (Figure 4). This is expected, given the more 419 420 fine-scale partitioning of social groups compared to higher-level geographic scales.

421

To account for this fine-scale partitioning in a hierarchical AMOVA, we nested social groups 422 with  $\geq$  5 sampled individuals within ocean and region for the worldwide dataset, and within 423 424 regions for the Pacific and Indian Oceans (the Atlantic did not have enough regions for each genetic marker type with social groups of five or more individuals). For the worldwide dataset, 425 social group explained a greater amount of mtDNA variance than either ocean or region, but all 426 levels were significant (Table 3). In the Pacific, only social group (compared with region) 427 explained any significant amount of mtDNA variance (Table 3). In the Indian Ocean, however, 428 region explained a larger percentage of variance than social group, although social group was 429 430 significant (Table 3). Social group was the only hierarchical level that explained any significant variance in the microsatellite dataset (Table 3). 431

432

#### 433 4.7. Testing for female philopatry: sex-biased gene flow and dispersal

434 Most equatorial regions showed a significant skew towards females, consistent with the 435 assumption that the Odyssey largely targeted social groups dominated by females (Table 1). Sexspecific estimates of gene flow, calculated from microsatellite and mtDNA (Hedrick et al. 2013), 436 437 were low for females and high for males (Table 4). Given the evidence for sex-biased gene flow, 438 it is not surprising that tests for sex-biased dispersal indicated males are the more dispersive sex (Table 4). At all hierarchical levels, female-specific F<sub>ST</sub> for mtDNA exceeded that of males, and 439 was significantly greater when partitioning by regions over the worldwide dataset (Table 4). For 440 441 microsatellites, the magnitude of female-specific  $F_{ST}$  was greater than male-specific 442 differentiation except among regions within the Pacific (however, neither sex's F<sub>ST</sub> was

significantly different from zero). Female-specific microsatellite  $F_{ST}$  significantly exceeded that of males at the oceanic scale (Table 4). Surprisingly, the sex-specific variance of assignment tests were not significant.

446

#### 447 **5. Discussion**

We have shown evidence for multiple forces operating on genetic diversity and differentiation in 448 the sperm whale, a marine species with a worldwide distribution, over different temporal and 449 geographic scales. We suggest the relatively low mtDNA diversity of sperm whales is consistent 450 451 with a recent population expansion or sweep. However, despite the low mtDNA diversity, we detected marked patterns of maternal structure in the Indian Ocean, similar to that seen in the 452 Atlantic Ocean (this study; Engelhaupt et al. 2009), but in the absence of obvious geographic 453 454 boundaries. In contrast, the Pacific Ocean showed far less regional mtDNA differentiation. Even after accounting for social group in a nested AMOVA, region remained an important level in 455 describing genetic structure within the Indian Ocean, but not the Pacific. This is consistent with 456 previous studies that found no geographically based mtDNA structure in the Pacific (Lyrholm & 457 Gyllensten 1998: Lyrholm et al. 1999; Rendell et al. 2012; Whitehead et al. 1998), or significant, 458 but low levels of differentiation (Mesnick et al. 2011), suggesting the Pacific is unusual in its 459 460 lack of geographic structure in comparison with the Atlantic and Indian Oceans. In contrast with 461 the high levels of maternal structure found at various hierarchical scales, nuclear structure was far less pronounced (albeit significant at the oceanic level, in contrast with previous studies e.g., 462 Lyrholm et al. 1999, likely due to our larger sample sizes). In fact, within the nested AMOVA, 463 464 social group was the only important level for describing microsatellite variance. Although the 465 lack of nuclear structure could be influenced by the recent population expansion/sweep, it is also likely affected by the presence of male-biased dispersal and gene flow. 466

467

#### 468 5.1. A recent worldwide expansion of sperm whales

469 The mismatch analysis conducted in this study was consistent with a worldwide expansion of a

- 470 single maternal lineage that began ~80,000 years ago. It is important to point out that this
- 471 estimate is provisional due to the problems of model fitting and phylogenetically derived
- 472 substitution rates (Grant 2015; Ho *et al.* 2011a). Indeed, the use of a faster substitution rate

derived from ancient DNA sampling (e.g., 20%/million year for bowhead whales: Ho et al. 473 474 2011b) would lead to an estimate of the expansion beginning  $\sim 10,000$  years ago, consistent with the end of the last glacial maximum (LGM) (Lambeck *et al.* 2014). In a remarkable parallel, 475 another abyssal predator (and one of the sperm whale's prey), the giant squid (Architeuthis spp.), 476 477 also shows extremely low mitogenomic diversity (Winkelmann et al. 2013), and a similar time to most recent common maternal ancestor, depending on the substitution rate used. This raises the 478 479 possibility that a worldwide expansion of sperm whales could have been predicated on a recent expansion of their prev, especially as other squid species have also shown signatures of 480 481 demographic/range expansions that appear to be associated with the LGM (e.g., *Doryteuthis gahi*, Ibáñez et al. 2012; Dosidicus gigas, Ibáñez et al. 2011; Ibáñez & Poulin 2014). Further support 482 for this hypothesis comes from other deep-diving, squid-feeding cetaceans which show similar 483 484 patterns of expansion, including the gray's beaked whale (*Mesoplodon gravi*) and pilot whales (Globicephala spp.) (Oremus et al. 2009; Thompson et al. 2016). However, as the squid species 485 mentioned are only some of the many cephalopod and fish species preyed on by the sperm whale 486 (Whitehead 2003), future research should establish whether other prey species show the same 487 patterns. This is especially pertinent as other cetacean species with diverse prey bases have also 488 shown signatures of population expansions associated with the LGM (e.g., white-beaked 489 dolphins, Banguera-Hinestroza et al. 2010; harbor porpoises, Fontaine et al. 2014; killer whales, 490 491 Morin et al. 2015).

492

In addition, the population expansions of the squid species mentioned could also support the 493 494 cultural hitchhiking hypothesis, if the use of these squid as prey was restricted to a few initial 495 sperm whale matrilines. The inclusion of population-level nuclear genetic markers in future studies could distinguish between a selective sweep and a population expansion as the cause of 496 low mtDNA diversity. A selective sweep (either due to cultural hitchhiking, or functional 497 498 selection acting on the mtDNA) will reduce the genetic diversity of the mitogenome, but not of the nuclear genome (Charlesworth et al. 2003; Rokas et al. 2001). Given the limited 499 phylogeographic structure (i.e., divergence) observed for sperm whale mtDNA, whatever the 500 501 ultimate cause of the low mtDNA diversity, time since this point has been insufficient for unique 502 ocean-specific or region-specific haplotype lineages (with the exception of the Gulf of Mexico) to be established through mutation and lineage sorting. However, the marked female philopatry
present in the sperm whale at regional and social group levels has worked on post-expansion
mtDNA diversity to establish strong patterns of mtDNA differentiation within oceans.

506

ليساد

11 M

# 507 5.2. Female philopatry at the geographic vs social group level varies by ocean

508

Levels of regional differentiation in mtDNA were much higher in the Atlantic and Indian Oceans 509 510 than in the Pacific. Geographic region persisted as an important factor in partitioning mtDNA 511 diversity within the Indian Ocean, even after accounting for social group. In contrast, within the Pacific, social group was the only level that described any significant amount of variation. The 512 lack of regional structure in the Pacific is consistent with behavioral evidence: female whales in 513 514 the Pacific appear to range further than in the Atlantic, up to  $\sim 4000$  km in the Pacific and only up to ~700 km in the Atlantic (Jaquet et al. 2003; Mizroch & Rice 2013; Ortega-Ortiz et al. 2012; 515 Whitehead et al. 2012; Whitehead et al. 2008). Previously, differences in geographic structure 516 and social group composition between the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans have been attributed to 517 oceanography, predation, whaling or culture (Whitehead et al. 2012). Our results suggest that a 518 consideration of the factors driving differences in geographic structure should also be extended to 519 the Indian Ocean. 520

521

Oceanography can influence differentiation through geographic isolation (e.g., the 522 Mediterranean, Gulf of Mexico: Engelhaupt et al. 2009). However, geographic isolation cannot 523 524 explain the large degree of mtDNA differentiation seen within the Indian Ocean, particularly 525 illustrated by the mtDNA haplotype frequency differences in comparison with Sri Lanka. Instead a potential oceanographic explanation lies in the bathymetry of Sri Lanka: there are a large 526 527 number of submarine canyons that lead to enhanced productivity of this region (de Vos et al. 528 2012). Female sperm whales utilizing the Sri Lankan canyons might not need to range as widely to satisfy nutritional requirements (Gordon 1987; Moors-Murphy 2014). This 'enhanced 529 philopatry' could then lead to the striking geographic differentiation in mtDNA observed. A 530 531 similar process of local fidelity has been proposed for insular communities of otherwise pelagic 532 dolphins, due to an 'island mass' effect (Martien et al. 2012; Oremus et al. 2012).

533

534 Another potential explanation for the difference in geographic structure between oceans lies in the acoustic culture of sperm whales. It has been previously hypothesized that acoustic clans, 535 which comprise of social groups with similar repertoires of acoustic codas (stereotypical series of 536 clicks), shape patterns of genetic differentiation in the sperm whale (Rendell et al. 2012; Watkins 537 538 & Schevill 1977; Whitehead et al. 1998). In the Atlantic, coda patterns vary based on geographic 539 regions and acoustic clans are allopatric (Whitehead et al. 2012). This correlates with the heightened patterns of geographically based mtDNA differentiation seen in this ocean. In the 540 541 Pacific, acoustic clans are distributed sympatrically across broad geographic ranges (Cantor et al. 2015; Rendell et al. 2012; Whitehead et al. 1998). It has been previously proposed that the lack 542 543 of geographically based mtDNA differentiation in the Pacific is because maternal dispersal and gene flow occurs within acoustic clans, but across broad geographic scales (i.e., females are 544 545 socially philopatric rather than geographically philopatric; Cantor et al. 2015; Rendell et al. 2012; Whitehead et al. 1998). This hypothesis is consistent with our nested AMOVA results for 546 547 the Pacific, where social group was the only level that explained any significant amount of genetic variation. However, genetic structure driven by oceanography or culture are not 548 549 necessarily mutually exclusive hypotheses: differences in resource use could be reinforced by 550 differences in coda repertoire between acoustic clans (Cantor et al. 2015; Cantor & Whitehead 551 2015; Gero et al. 2015).

552

Local population declines due to whaling could have also reduced geographic structure in the 553 554 Pacific (Whitehead et al. 2012). Large-scale movements from the Galapagos to Peru have been 555 documented, where whaling-related declines left the productive Humboldt Current 556 underpopulated (Whitehead et al. 1997). Indeed, the Pacific, particularly the North Pacific, was subjected to high levels of both legal and illegal whaling (Ivashchenko et al. 2013). Alternately, 557 perhaps there has been insufficient time in the Pacific for geographic structure to evolve, for 558 either genetic diversity or vocalization patterns, given our results suggested a more recent 559 560 expansion in the Pacific. Distinguishing between whaling and pre-human causes of the Pacific-561 wide expansion will require additional genetic data to establish tighter confidence-intervals on

the relative timing of population expansions between the oceans. Whether the signature of a population expansion has been exacerbated by whaling or not, an expansion would be expected to tightly correlate both the maternally inherited mtDNA and maternally influenced coda type (Cantor *et al.* 2015; Whitehead *et al.* 2012). This could be further tested using linked acoustic and genetic sampling in the Indian Ocean (e.g., Rendell *et al.* 2012). We would predict that in the Indian Ocean, mtDNA genetic variation would be at equilibrium with both coda and geographic structure, as it is in the Atlantic.

569

## 570 5.3. Female philopatry and male-biased dispersal

Our findings confirm the importance of female philopatry and male-biased dispersal in the sperm 571 572 whale (Engelhaupt et al. 2009; Lyrholm et al. 1999). In addition to sex-biased dispersal, we 573 demonstrated that the sperm whale shows male-biased gene flow. Male-biased gene flow could 574 explain the significant among-group microsatellite differentiation detected in this study: differing paternal contributions to the alleles present within each female social group would enhance 575 576 nuclear genetic drift between social groups (Richard et al. 1996a). This mechanism could be investigated in the future using a gametic mark-recapture framework to detect paternities among 577 578 different social groups (Carroll et al. 2012; Garrigue et al. 2004). However, despite the overall 579 patterns of male-biased dispersal and gene flow, significant microsatellite differentiation between 580 oceans indicates some restriction in oceanic dispersal and gene flow, even of males. There is also 581 some evidence for breeding fidelity of males at even finer spatial scales than at the oceanic level, as suggested by a possible first-order kinship between two males in the Chagos Archipelago. 582 Evidence for male fidelity has also been found in the Californian Current by Mesnick et al. 583 584 (2011) using genetic assignment. These findings could indicate that sex-biased dispersal in sperm 585 whales is facultative rather than obligate e.g., some males show philopatry to specific areas while others disperse. A re-examination of other species (e.g., great white sharks, Pardini *et al.* 2001; 586 humpback whales, Baker et al. 2013) that show apparent signatures of male-biased gene flow 587 could be of interest to establish whether this phenomenon is found in other taxa. 588

589

#### 590 5.4. Management implications

591 Sex-biased dispersal and strong maternal population structure in the sperm whale argues for management units based on the more philopatric females, rather than the wider ranging males, 592 requiring female-specific estimates of population size similar to male-specific effective 593 594 population size estimates in humpbacks (Constantine et al. 2012). In addition, when defining 595 female-based population structure in the sperm whale, it is important to aggregate samples at appropriate spatial scales (Donovan 1991; Dufault et al. 1999). Given the clustered sample 596 collection of the *Odyssey*, we chose to group samples that occurred within 500 km of another 597 sample. This could have inadvertently either split regions that were truly one population, or 598 599 alternately 'lumped' areas with more than one distinct population. Both of these alternatives present problems. 'Splitting' regional populations could mean that the strong differences between 600 social groups detected in our current research, as well as previous studies (Lyrholm & Gyllensten 601 602 1998; Rendell et al. 2012), are conflated with regional differentiation. The alternative of 'clumping' can also be problematic as regions could represent areas of different importance for 603 males and females (e.g., the Maldives/Chagos Archipelago region, where only males were 604 605 sampled around the Chagos Archipelago, but both sexes around the Maldives). Although we attempted to assess these *a priori* divisions against a non-*a priori* clustering method (*Structure*), 606 this was limited by low levels of differentiation and the relatively small number of microsatellite 607 608 loci.

609

Continuing to investigate patterns of genetic differentiation in the sperm whale is important, as
high degrees of differentiation (i.e., isolation) could indicate susceptibility to population declines
resulting from various ongoing anthropogenic threats, including: entanglement in marine debris
and ship strike (Notarbartolo-Di-Sciara 2014); exposure to pollutants (Savery *et al.* 2013a;
Savery *et al.* 2013b; Wise Sr *et al.* 2009; Wise Sr. *et al.* 2011), as well as pollution associated
with oil extraction (e.g., 2010 *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill), and negative interactions with
anthropogenic sound (Mate *et al.* 1994).

617

#### 618 5.5. Conclusion

In this study, we demonstrated that low mtDNA diversity in the sperm whale is likely due to arecent population expansion. Despite low mtDNA diversity, we demonstrated high levels of

regional structure within some ocean basins. However, social group was also an important level 621 in describing mtDNA variance. The importance of social group and geographic philopatry 622 differed by ocean, with only social group explaining any significant amount of mtDNA variance 623 624 in the Pacific Ocean. Being able to distinguish between geographic and social group philopatry is important as a restriction in movement between local populations could indicate that there is a 625 real risk of long-term declines in response to current anthropogenic threats, despite the sperm 626 whale's large worldwide population size. The approach we have used in this study for 627 partitioning the effects of social group and geographic regions will also be useful for other 628 629 species that show strong social structure, yet are of conservation concern, such as elephants, the long-finned pilot whale, and the killer whale (Archie et al. 2008; Hoelzel et al. 2007; 630 Ottensmeyer & Whitehead 2003). 631

632

The specific mechanism(s) driving the differing contributions to genetic structure within oceans 633 require further study: particularly whether the expansion within the Pacific is more recent than in 634 635 other oceans, and how acoustic codas are structured in the Indian Ocean. However, overall, the high levels of mtDNA structure observed in the sperm whale appear to be driven by female 636 philopatry at multiple hierarchical levels, contrasting with male-biased dispersal and gene flow. 637 638 By investigating the interplay of evolutionary forces operating at different temporal and 639 geographic scales, we have shown that sperm whales are perhaps a unique example of a global population expansion followed by rapid assortment due to female social organization. 640

641

#### 642 **6. Acknowledgements**

643 Genetic samples from the 'Voyage of the *Odyssey*' were collected under permit #0751-1614 from the US National Marine Fisheries Service. Principle Investigator: Iain Kerr. The authors thank all 644 645 who served as staff and crew during the Voyage of the Odyssey, as well as J. Wise Sr. and C. 646 LaCerte for curation of DNA from samples collected by the Odyssey. We thank R. Constantine and K. Thompson for curation of the CeTA database, and New Zealand Department of 647 Conservation staff for collecting NZ samples used in this study; J. Rice for curation of the 648 649 OMMSN database that supplied the Oregon samples; Oregon State University Cetacean 650 Conservation and Genomics Laboratory for additional lab support; C. Sislak for assistance with

651 DNA extraction, S. Pierszalowski and R. Hamner for analysis recommendations and assistance, and M. Smith for assistance summarizing *Odyssey* toxicology results. We thank E. Carroll, A. 652 Liston, K. O'Malley, B. Taylor, R. Glor; S. Palumbi; L. Rieseberg and three anonymous 653 reviewers for valuable comments on this manuscript. This work was supported by a Mamie 654 Markham Award and a Lylian Brucefield Reynolds Award from the Hatfield Marine Science 655 Center; a 2008–2011 International Fulbright Science & Technology award to A.A.; and co-656 funded by the ASSURE program of the Department of Defense in partnership with the National 657 Science Foundation REU Site program to K.H. and C.S.B. [grant number NSF OCE-1004947]. 658 659 Publication of this paper was supported, in part, by the Thomas G. Scott Publication Fund. 7. References 660 661 Aasen E, Medrano JF (1990) Amplification of the ZFY and ZFX genes for sex identification in 662 humans, cattle, sheep and goats. Nature Biotechnology 8, 1279-1281. 663 Alexander A (2015) genetic\_diversity\_diffs v1.0.0. Available from 664 https://github.com/laninsky/genetic\_diversity\_diffs. 665 Alexander A, Steel D, Slikas B, et al. (2013) Low diversity in the mitogenome of sperm whales 666 667 revealed by next-generation sequencing. Genome Biology and Evolution 5, 113–129. 668 Allen KR (1980) Size distribution of male sperm whales in the pelagic catches. *Report of the* 669 International Whaling Commission, Special Issue 2. SC/SPC/9, 51-56. Archie EA, Maldonado JE, Hollister-Smith JA, et al. (2008) Fine-scale population genetic 670 671 structure in a fission-fusion society. *Molecular Ecology* 17, 2666-2679. Baker CS, Slade RW, Bannister JL, et al. (1994) Hierarchical structure of mitochondrial DNA 672 gene flow among humpback whales *Megaptera novaeangliae*, world-wide. *Molecular* 673 *Ecology* **3**, 313-327. 674 Baker CS, Steel D, Calambokidis J, et al. (2013) Strong maternal fidelity and natal philopatry 675 676 shape genetic structure in North Pacific humpback whales. Marine Ecology Progress Series 494, 291-306. 677 Banguera-Hinestroza E, Bjørge A, Reid R, Jepson P, Hoelzel A (2010) The influence of glacial 678 679 epochs and habitat dependence on the diversity and phylogeography of a coastal dolphin species: Lagenorhynchus albirostris. Conserv Genet 11, 1823-1836. 680

- 681Bérubé M, Jørgensen H, McEwing R, Palsbøll PJ (2000) Polymorphic di-nucleotide
- microsatellite loci isolated from the humpback whale, *Megaptera novaeangliae*. *Molecular Ecology* 9, 2181-2183.
- Best PB (1979) Chapter 7: Social organization in sperm whales, *Physeter macrocephalus*. In: *Behavior of Marine Animals. Volume 3: Cetaceans* (eds. Winn HE, Olla BL), pp. 277289. Plenum Press, New York-London.
- Bowen BW, Meylan AB, Ross JP, *et al.* (1992) Global population structure and natural history of
  the green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) in terms of matriarchal phylogeny. *Evolution* 46, 865881.
- Buchanan FC, Friesen MK, Littlejohn RP, Clayton JW (1996) Microsatellites from the beluga
  whale *Delphinapterus leucas*. *Molecular Ecology* 5, 571-575.
- Cantor M, Shoemaker LG, Cabral RB, *et al.* (2015) Multilevel animal societies can emerge from
   cultural transmission. *Nat Commun* 6.
- Cantor M, Whitehead H (2015) How does social behavior differ among sperm whale clans?
   *Marine Mammal Science*, n/a-n/a.
- 696 Carroll E, Patenaude NJ, Alexander A, *et al.* (2011) Population structure and individual
  697 movement of southern right whales around New Zealand and Australia. *Marine Ecology*698 *Progress Series* 432, 257-268.
- Carroll EL, Childerhouse SJ, Christie M, *et al.* (2012) Paternity assignment and demographic
  closure in the New Zealand southern right whale. *Molecular Ecology* 21, 3960-3973.
- Charlesworth B, Charlesworth D, Barton NH (2003) The effects of genetic and geographic
   structure on neutral variation. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol S* 34, 99-125.
- Christal J, Whitehead H, Letteval E (1998) Sperm whale social units: variation and change.
   *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 76, 1431-1440.
- Christie MR, Tennessen JA, Blouin MS (2013) Bayesian parentage analysis with systematic
   accountability of genotyping error, missing data and false matching. *Bioinformatics* 29,
   707 725-732.
- Clement M, Posada D, Crandall KA (2000) TCS: a computer program to estimate gene
   genealogies. *Molecular Ecology* 9, 1657-1660.

- Coakes AK, Whitehead H (2004) Social structure and mating system of sperm whales off
  northern Chile. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 82, 1360-1369.
- Constantine R, Jackson JA, Steel D, *et al.* (2012) Abundance of humpback whales in Oceania
   using photo-identification and microsatellite genotyping. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 453, 249-261.
- de Vos A, Clark R, Johnson C, *et al.* (2012) Cetacean sightings and acoustic detections in the
   offshore waters of Sri Lanka: March-June 2003. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management* 12, 185-193.
- Donovan GP (1991) A review of IWC stock boundaries. *Report of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue 13)*, 39-68.
- Drouot V, Bérubé M, Gannier A, *et al.* (2004) A note on genetic isolation of Mediterranean
   sperm whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*) suggested by mitochondrial DNA. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management* 6, 29-32.
- Dufault S, Whitehead H (1998) Regional and group-level differences in fluke markings and
   notches of sperm whales. *Journal of Mammalogy* 79, 514-520.
- Dufault S, Whitehead H, Dillon M (1999) An examination of the current knowledge on the stock
   structure of sperm whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*) worldwide. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management* 1, 1-10.
- Duncan SI, Crespi EJ, Mattheus NM, Rissler LJ (2015) History matters more when explaining
   genetic diversity within the context of the core–periphery hypothesis. *Molecular Ecology* 24, 4323-4336.
- 731 Earl DA, vonHoldt BM (2012) STRUCTURE HARVESTER: a website and program for
- visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. *Conservation Genetics Resources* 4, 359-361.
- Engelhaupt D, Hoelzel AR, Nicholson C, *et al.* (2009) Female philopatry in coastal basins and
  male dispersion across the North Atlantic in a highly mobile marine species, the sperm
- whale (*Physeter macrocephalus*). *Molecular Ecology* **18**, 4193-4205.
- 737Engelhaupt DT (2004) Phylogeography, kinship and molecular ecology of sperm whales
- 738 (*Physeter macrocephalus*) PhD, University of Durham.

- Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J (2005) Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the
   software structure: a simulation study. *Molecular Ecology* 14, 2611-2620.
- Excoffier L, Laval G, Schneider S (2005) Arlequin ver 3.0: an integrated software package for
   population genetics data analysis. *Evolutionary Bioinformatics Online* 1, 47-50.
- Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard JK (2003) Inference of population structure using multilocus
   genotype data: linked loci and correlated allele frequencies. *Genetics* 164, 1567-1587.
- Fontaine MC, Roland K, Calves I, *et al.* (2014) Postglacial climate changes and rise of three
  ecotypes of harbour porpoises, *Phocoena phocoena*, in western Palearctic waters. *Molecular Ecology* 23, 3306-3321.
- Fu Y-X (1997) Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations against population growth, hitchhiking
  and background selection. *Genetics* 147, 915-925.
- Garrigue C, Dodemont R, Steel D, Baker CS (2004) Organismal and 'gametic' capture-recapture
   using microsatellite genotyping confirm low abundance and reproductive autonomy of
   humpback whales on the wintering grounds of New Caledonia. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 274, 251-262.
- Gero S, Gordon J, Whitehead H (2015) Individualized social preferences and long-term social
  fidelity between social units of sperm whales. *Animal Behaviour* 102, 15-23.
- Gilbert KJ, Andrew RL, Bock DG, *et al.* (2012) Recommendations for utilizing and reporting
   population genetic analyses: the reproducibility of genetic clustering using the program
   structure. *Molecular Ecology* 21, 4925-4930.
- Godard C, Clark R, Kerr I, Madsen PT, Payne R (2003) Preliminary report on the sperm whale
   data collected during the *Voyage of the Odyssey*. *Paper SC/55/O17 presented to the IWC Scientific Committee, May 2003, Berlin, 8pp.*
- Gordon JCD (1987) Sperm whale groups and social behavior observed off Sri Lanka. *Report of the International Whaling Commission* 37, 205-217.
- Gosho ME, Rice DW, Breiwick JM (1984) The sperm whale, *Physeter macrocephalus. Marine Fisheries Review* 46, 54-64.
- Goudet J (2001) FSTAT, a program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation indices
   (version 2.9.3). Available from <a href="http://www2.unil.ch/popgen/softwares/fstat.htm">http://www2.unil.ch/popgen/softwares/fstat.htm</a>.

- Goudet J, Perrin N, Waser P (2002) Tests for sex-biased dispersal using bi-parentally inherited
   genetic markers. *Molecular Ecology* 11, 1103-1114.
- Grant WS (2015) Problems and cautions with sequence mismatch analysis and Bayesian skyline
   plots to infer historical demography. *Journal of Heredity* 106, 333-346.
- Hamner RM (2014) All in a DNA's work: conservation genetics and monitoring of the New
  Zealand endemic Maui's and Hector's dolphins. PhD dissertation, Oregon State
  University.
- Harpending HC (1994) Signature of ancient population growth in a low-resolution mitochondrial
   DNA mismatch distribution. *Human Biology* 66, 591-600.
- Hedrick PW, Allendorf FW, Baker CS (2013) Estimation of male gene flow from measures of
   nuclear and female genetic differentiation. *Journal of Heredity* 104, 713-717.
- Ho SYW, Lanfear R, Bromham L, *et al.* (2011a) Time-dependent rates of molecular evolution.
   *Molecular Ecology* 20, 3087-3101.
- Ho SYW, Lanfear R, Phillips MJ, *et al.* (2011b) Bayesian estimation of substitution rates from
  ancient DNA sequences with low information content. *Systematic Biology* 60, 366-375.
- Hoelzel AR, Hey J, Dahlheim ME, *et al.* (2007) Evolution of population structure in a highly
  social top predator, the killer whale. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 24, 1407-1415.
- Ibáñez CM, Argüelles J, Yamashiro C, *et al.* (2012) Spatial genetic structure and demographic
  inference of the Patagonian squid *Doryteuthis gahi* in the south-eastern Pacific Ocean. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 92, 197-203.
- Ibáñez CM, Cubillos LA, Tafur R, *et al.* (2011) Genetic diversity and demographic history of
   *Dosidicus gigas* (Cephalopoda: Ommastrephidae) in the Humboldt Current System. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 431, 163-171.
- 791 Ibáñez CM, Poulin E (2014) Genetic structure and diversity of squids with contrasting life
  792 histories in the Humboldt Current system. *Hidrobiológica* 24, 1-10.
- Ivashchenko YV, Clapham PJ, Brownell Jr. RL (2013) Soviet catches of whales in the North
   Pacific: revised totals. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management* 13, 59-71.
- Jakobsson M, Rosenberg NA (2007) CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation program for
   dealing with label switching and multimodality in analysis of population structure.
   *Bioinformatics* 23, 1801-1806.

- Janik VM (2001) Is cetacean social learning unique? *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 24, 337-338.
- Jaquet N, Gendron D, Coakes A (2003) Sperm whales in the Gulf of California: residency,
- movements, behavior, and the possible influence of variation in food supply. *Marine Mammal Science* 19, 545-562.
- Kalinowski ST, Taper ML, Marshall TC (2007) Revising how the computer program CERVUS
   accommodates genotyping error increases success in paternity assignment. *Molecular Ecology* 16, 1099-1006.
- Lambeck K, Rouby H, Purcell A, Sun Y, Sambridge M (2014) Sea level and global ice volumes
  from the Last Glacial Maximum to the Holocene. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 111, 15296-15303.
- Lewis PO, Zaykin D (2001) Genetic Data Analysis: Computer program for the analysis of allelic
   data v 1.0, available from <a href="http://hydrodictyon.eeb.uconn.edu/people/plewis/software.php">http://hydrodictyon.eeb.uconn.edu/people/plewis/software.php</a>.
- Lowther AD, Harcourt RG, Goldsworthy SD, Stow A (2012) Population structure of adult female
  Australian sea lions is driven by fine-scale foraging site fidelity. *Animal Behaviour* 83,
  691-701.
- Lyrholm T, Gyllensten U (1998) Global matrilineal population structure in sperm whales as
   indicated by mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Proceedings of the Royal Society - Biological Sciences (Series B)* 265, 1679-1684.
- Lyrholm T, Leimar O, Gyllensten U (1996) Low diversity and biased substitution patterns in the
  mitochondrial DNA control region of sperm whales: implications for estimates of time
  since common ancestry. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 13, 1318-1326.
- Lyrholm T, Leimar O, Johanneson B, Gyllensten U (1999) Sex-biased dispersal in sperm whales:
   contrasting mitochondrial and nuclear genetic structure of global populations.
- 821 *Proceedings of the Royal Society Biological Sciences (Series B)* **266**, 347-347.
- 822 Martien KK, Baird RW, Hedrick NM, et al. (2012) Population structure of island-associated
- 823 dolphins: evidence from mitochondrial and microsatellite markers for common bottlenose
- 824 dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) around the main Hawaiian Islands. *Mar Mammal Sci* 28,
- 825 E208-E232.

826 Mate BR, Stafford KM, Ljungblad DK (1994) A change in sperm whale (*Physeter* 

- *macrocephalus*) distribution correlated to seismic surveys in the Gulf of Mexico. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 96, 3268-3269.
- Meirmans PG, Hedrick PW (2011) Assessing population structure: F<sub>ST</sub> and related measures.
   *Molecular Ecology Resources* 11, 5-18.
- Meirmans PG, van Tienderen PH (2004) GENOTYPE and GENODIVE: two programs for the
   analysis of genetic diversity of asexual organisms. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 4, 792-794.
- Mesnick SL, Engelhaupt D, Lyrholm T, Taylor BL, Escorza-Trevino S (2005) *Population structure and the social animal: global genetic differentiation in sperm whales, Physeter*
- 835 macrocephalus. Cachalot Assessment Research Planning (CARP) Workshop.
- Mesnick SL, Taylor BL, Archer FI, *et al.* (2011) Sperm whale population structure in the eastern
  and central North Pacific inferred by the use of single-nucleotide polymorphisms,
- 838 microsatellites and mitochondrial DNA. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **11**, 278-298.
- Mizroch SA, Rice DW (2013) Ocean nomads: distribution and movements of sperm whales in
  the North Pacific shown by whaling data and Discovery marks. *Marine Mammal Science*29, E136-E165.
- Moors-Murphy HB (2014) Submarine canyons as important habitat for cetaceans, with special
  reference to the Gully: A review. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* 104, 6-19.
- Morin PA, Martien KK, Archer FI, *et al.* (2010) Applied conservation genetics and the need for
  quality control and reporting of genetic data used in fisheries and wildlife management. *Journal of Heredity* 101, 1-10.
- Morin PA, Parsons KM, Archer FI, *et al.* (2015) Geographic and temporal dynamics of a global
  radiation and diversification in the killer whale. *Molecular Ecology* 24, 3964-3979.
- 850 Moura AE, Janse van Rensburg C, Pilot M, et al. (2014) Killer Whale Nuclear Genome and
- mtDNA Reveal Widespread Population Bottleneck during the Last Glacial Maximum. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **31**, 1121-1131.
- Notarbartolo-Di-Sciara G (2014) Sperm whales, *Physeter macrocephalus*, in the Mediterranean
  Sea: a summary of status, threats, and conservation recommendations. *Aquatic*
- 855 *Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* **24**, 4-10.

856 Oremus M, Gales R, Dalebout ML, et al. (2009) Worldwide mitochondrial DNA diversity and phylogeography of pilot whales (*Globicephala* spp.). Biol J Linn Soc 98, 729-744. 857 Oremus M, Poole MM, Albertson GR, Baker CS (2012) Pelagic or insular? Genetic 858 differentiation of rough-toothed dolphins in the Society Islands, French Polynesia. 859 860 *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* **432-433**, 37-46. Oremus M, Poole MM, Steel D, Baker CS (2007) Isolation and interchange among insular 861 spinner dolphin communities in the South Pacific revealed by individual identification 862 and genetic diversity. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **336**, 275-289. 863 864 Ortega-Ortiz JG, Engelhaupt D, Winsor M, Mate BR, Hoelzel AR (2012) Kinship of long-term associates in the highly social sperm whale. *Molecular Ecology* **21**, 732-744. 865 Ottensmeyer CA, Whitehead H (2003) Behavioural evidence for social units in long-finned pilot 866 867 whales. Canadian Journal of Zoology 81, 1327-1338. Palsbøll PJ, Bérubé M, Larsen AH, Jørgensen H (1997) Primers for the amplification of tri-and 868 869 tetramer microsatellite loci in baleen whales. *Molecular Ecology* **6**, 893-895. Pannell JR, Whitlock M (2003) Coalescence in a metapopulation with recurrent local extinction 870 and recolonization. Evolution 57, 949-961. 871 Pardini AT, Jones CS, Noble LR, et al. (2001) Sex-biased dispersal of great white sharks. Nature 872 873 **412**, 139-140. 874 Peakall R, Smouse PE (2006) GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 6, 288-295. 875 Peakall R, Smouse PE (2012) GenAlEx 6.5: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic 876 877 software for teaching and research - an update. Bioinformatics 28, 2537-2539. Petit R (2010) PERMUT v 2.0. Available from 878 http://www.pierroton.inra.fr/genetics/labo/Software/PermutCpSSR/index.html. 879 880 Pompanon F, Bonin A, Bellemain E, Taberlet P (2005) Genotyping errors: causes, consequences and solutions. Nature Reviews Genetics 6, 847-859. 881 Pons O, Petit RJ (1996) Measuring and Testing Genetic Differentiation With Ordered Versus 882 Unordered Alleles. Genetics 144, 1237-1245. 883 884 Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P (2000) Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics 155, 945-959. 885

- Ptak SE, Przeworski M (2002) Evidence for population growth in humans is confounded by finescale population structure. *Trends in Genetics* 18, 559-563.
- R Core Team (2013) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. URL
   http://www.R-project.org/. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Raymond M, Rousset F (1995a) An exact test for population differentiation. *Evolution* 49, 12801283.
- Raymond M, Rousset F (1995b) GENEPOP (Version 1.2): Population genetics software for exact
   tests and ecumenicism. *Journal of Heredity* 86, 248-249.
- Rendell L, Mesnick S, Dalebout M, Burtenshaw J, Whitehead H (2012) Can genetic differences
   explain vocal dialect variation in sperm whales, *Physeter macrocephalus? Behavior Genetics* 42, 332-343.
- Richard KR, Dillon MC, Whitehead H, Wright JM (1996a) Patterns of kinship in groups of freeliving sperm whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*) revealed by multiple molecular genetic
  analyses. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, United States of America* 93,
  8792-8795.
- Richard KR, McCarrey SW, Wright JM (1994) DNA sequence from the *SRY* gene of the sperm
  whale (*Physeter macrocephalus*) for use in molecular sexing. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 72, 873-877.
- Richard KR, Whitehead H, Wright JM (1996b) Polymorphic microsatellites from sperm whales
   and their use in the genetic identification of individuals from naturally sloughed pieces of
   skin. *Molecular Ecology* 5, 313-315.
- Riesch R, Barrett-Lennard LG, Ellis GM, Ford JKB, Deecke VB (2012) Cultural traditions and
  the evolution of reproductive isolation: ecological speciation in killer whales? *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 106, 1-17.
- Rogers AR, Harpending H (1992) Population growth makes waves in the distribution of pairwise
  genetic differences. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 9, 552-569.
- Rokas A, Atkinson RJ, Brown GS, West SA, Stone GN (2001) Understanding patterns of genetic
  diversity in the oak gallwasp *Biorhiza pallida*: demographic history or a *Wolbachia*selective sweep? *Heredity* 87, 294-304.

- Rousset F (2008) GENEPOP'007: a complete re-implementation of the genepop software for
  Windows and Linux. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 8, 103-106.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual*, 2nd edn.
  Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
- Savery LC, Evers DC, Wise SS, *et al.* (2013a) Global mercury and selenium concentrations in
   skin from free-ranging sperm whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*). Science of the Total
   *Environment* 450-451, 59-71.
- Savery LC, Wise SS, Falank C, *et al.* (2013b) Global assessment of silver pollution using sperm
   whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*) as an indicator species. *Environmental & Analytical Toxicology* 3, 169.
- Schlötterer C, Amos B, Tautz D (1991) Conservation of polymorphic simple sequence loci in
   cetacean species. *Nature* 354, 63-65.
- Schneider S, Excoffier L (1999) Estimation of past demographic parameters from the distribution
   of pairwise differences when the mutation rates vary among sites: application to human
   mitochondrial DNA. *Genetics* 152, 1079-1089.
- Slatkin M, Hudson RR (1991) Pairwise comparisons of mitochondrial DNA sequences in stable
  and exponentially growing populations. *Genetics* 129, 555-562.
- Tamura K, Nei M (1993) Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control
  region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 10, 512-526.
- Thompson KF, Patel S, Baker CS, Constantine R, Millar CD (2016) Bucking the trend: genetic
  analysis reveals high diversity, large population size and low differentiation in a deep
  ocean cetacean. *Heredity* 116, 277-285.
- Valsecchi E, Amos W (1996) Microsatellite markers for the study of cetacean populations.
   *Molecular Ecology* 5, 151-156.
- 940 van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DPM, Shipley P (2004) MICRO-CHECKER: software
- 941 for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Molecular Ecology*942 *Notes* 4, 535-538.
- Waldick RC, Brown MW, White BN (1999) Characterization and isolation of microsatellite loci
  from the endangered North Atlantic right whale. *Molecular Ecology* 8, 1763.

- Waples RS, Gaggiotti O (2006) What is a population? An empirical evaluation of some genetic
  methods for identifying the number of gene pools and their degree of connectivity. *Molecular Ecology* 15, 1419-1439.
- Watkins WA, Schevill WE (1977) Sperm whale codas. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 62, 1485-1490.
- Whitehead H (1993) The behaviour of mature male sperm whales on the Galápagos Islands
  breeding grounds. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **71**, 689-699.
- Whitehead H (1994) Delayed competitive breeding in roving males. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 166, 127-133.
- Whitehead H (1998) Cultural selection and genetic diversity in matrilineal whales. *Science* 282, 1708-1711.
- Whitehead H (2002) Estimates of the current global population size and historical trajectory for
  sperm whales. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 242, 295-304.
- Whitehead H (2003) Sperm whales: social evolution in the ocean The University of Chicago
  Press, Chicago.
- Whitehead H (2005) Genetic diversity in the matrilineal whales: models of cultural hitchhiking
  and group-specific non-heritable demographic variation. *Mar Mammal Sci* 21, 58-79.
- Whitehead H, Antunes R, Gero S, *et al.* (2012) Multilevel societies of female sperm whales
  (*Physeter macrocephalus*) in the Atlantic and Pacific: why are they so different?
- 964 International Journal of Primatology **33**, 1142-1164.
- Whitehead H, Christal J, Dufault S (1997) Past and distant whaling and the rapid decline of
  sperm whales off the Galápagos Islands. *Conservation Biology* 11, 1387-1396.
- Whitehead H, Coakes A, Jaquet N, Lusseau S (2008) Movements of sperm whales in the tropical
  Pacific. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 361, 291-300.
- 969 Whitehead H, Dillon M, Dufault S, Weilgart L, Wright J (1998) Non-geographically based
- population structure of South Pacific sperm whales: dialects, fluke-markings and genetics. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 67, 253-262.
- Winkelmann I, Campos PF, Strugnell J, *et al.* (2013) Mitochondrial genome diversity and
  population structure of the giant squid *Architeuthis*: genetics sheds new light on one of the

- Proceedings of the Powel Society P. Piclosical S
- 974 most enigmatic marine species. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*975 **280**, 20130273.
- Wise Sr JP, Payne R, Wise SS, *et al.* (2009) A global assessment of chromium pollution using
  sperm whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*) as an indicator species. *Chemosphere* **75**, 14611467.
- Wise Sr. JP, Thompson WD, Wise SS, *et al.* (2011) A global assessment of gold, titanium,
  strontium and barium pollution using sperm whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*) as an
  indicator species. *Journal of Ecosystem & Ecography* 1, 101.
- 982
- 983
- 984

## 985 8. Data accessibility statement

- 986 -- For each sample genotyped in this study, general location (as well as latitude/longitude where
- 987 available), individual ID code, social group code, sex, microsatellite genotype, and a letter code
- denoting the mtDNA CR haplotype (defined in Supplementary Material 1) are archived with
- 989 Dryad (doi:10.5061/dryad.2q4r0).
- 990 -- Sequences of each defined haplotype have been archived on NCBI GenBank (accession
  991 numbers: KU719571 KU719622).
- 992 -- Scripts used in analyses are available with online Supplementary Materials or at
- 993 https://github.com/laninsky/genetic\_diversity\_diffs
- 994
- 995

# 996 9. Author contributions

- A. A. performed genetic analyses, wrote analysis scripts, analyzed data, and wrote the paper. D.S.
- and K.H. performed genetic analyses and analyzed data. S.M. and D.E. provided analysis
- 999 recommendations. I.K. and R.P. designed the *Odyssey* sample collection strategy, performed field
- 1000 research and collected field data. C.S.B. supervised the research design and provided analysis
- 1001 recommendations. All authors provided editorial input to writing of the paper.

1002
1000

т	υ	υ	3	

**Table 1:** Regional, oceanic and worldwide sample sizes (*n*) and diversity metrics for mtDNA and microsatellites. For mtDNA, number of haplotypes (k), haplotype diversity (h) and nucleotide diversity (in %,  $\pi$ ) is presented, with standard deviations calculated in Arlequin. For microsatellites, numbers of individuals are given by sex (F, M) and total sample size (n). A binomial exact test was used to identify areas with a significant bias of females (asterisk after female sample size) or males (asterisk after male sample size), where \* significant at p < 0.05; \*\* significant at p < 0.001. F<sub>IS</sub> values are indicated as significant where \* significant at p < 0.05. Regional allelic richness is adjusted by minimum regional sample size, with oceanic allelic richness adjusted by minimum ocean sample size. Regions ordered from east to west. 'Unassigned' includes samples not originating from tropical/subtropical regions, from areas with samples sizes too small to include in regional analyses, or those samples without a specific ~500 km regional location. References for data: [1] This study; [2] Lyrholm & Gyllensten (1998); [3] Rendell et al. (2012); [4] Richard et al. (1996a); [5] Whitehead et al. (1998); [6] Mesnick et al. (2011); [7] Engelhaupt et al. (2009). 
 Table 1 (Continued)
 

	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
mtDNA	microsatellites

	Geographic area	п	k	h (s. d.)	π (s.d.)	F	М	п	Allelic richness	H <sub>0</sub>	F <sub>IS</sub>	References
	Gulf of California	122	11	0.788 (0.024)	0.368 (0.250)	93**	20	122	5.2	0.702	0.016	1
	Galapagos/Ecuador	285	16	0.744 (0.012)	0.352 (0.240)	0	23**	23	5.1	0.677	0.031	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
	Pacific Crossing	36	8	0.679 (0.071)	0.301 (0.220)	20	13	37	5.1	0.704	0.013	1
ific	Hawai'i	28	4	0.643 (0.068)	0.195 (0.164)							6
Pac	Kiribati	13	4	0.718 (0.089)	0.381 (0.276)	10*	2	13	5.3	0.684	0.092*	1
	Papua New Guinea	63	8	0.720 (0.036)	0.299 (0.216)	54**	8	65	5.1	0.687	0.031	1
	Unassigned Pacific	478				22	31	66				1, 2, 3, 5, 6
	Total	1025	33	0.780 (0.008)	0.385 (0.256)	199**	97	326	8.9	0.704	0.021*	
	Southwestern Australia	21	5	0.791 (0.044)	0.305 (0.226)	9	4	21	5.1	0.697	0.007	1
	Cocos Island	18	3	0.451 (0.117)	0.229 (0.187)	18**	0	18	5.2	0.712	0.001	1
an	Sri Lanka	42	3	0.382 (0.076)	0.131 (0.125)	42**	6	56	5.1	0.671	0.040*	1
	Maldives/ Chagos Archipelago	33	4	0.570 (0.061)	0.300 (0.220)	9	15	34	5.3	0.700	0.041	1
Indi	Seychelles	31	6	0.716 (0.066)	0.407 (0.276)	17**	2	31	5.3	0.697	0.020	1
	Aldabras	12	3	0.712 (0.069)	0.362 (0.267)	6	2	12	5.1	0.677	0.027	1
	Unassigned Indian	2				1	1	3				1
	Total	159	8	0.788 (0.015)	0.426 (0.280)	102**	30	175	8.8	0.686	0.035*	
	Mediterranean	40	1	0.000 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	1	8*	9	4.6	0.631	0.086	1,7
	Canary Islands	14	3	0.648 (0.081)	0.329 (0.246)	14	8	25	5.2	0.690	0.014	1
ntic	Western North Atlantic	87	6	0.616 (0.028)	0.271 (0.200)							1,7
Atlaı	Gulf of Mexico	153	5	0.500 (0.044)	0.211 (0.167)							7
7	Unassigned Atlantic	68				3	0	7				1, 2
	Total	362	8	0.748 (0.010)	0.333 (0.231)	18	16	41	8.8	0.669	0.051*	
	Unassigned Worldwide	41										2

	Worldwide total	1587	39	0.818 (0.005)	0.430 (0.279)	319**	143	542	14.0	0.696	0.029	
1021												
1022	$\bigcirc$											
						= 1 a ·						
1023	Table 2: Summary of microsate	ellite lo	cus-sp	becific characte	eristics for the	542 inc	dividua	als gen	otyped 1	in this st	udy. <i>n</i> gi	ves the

1024 number of individuals successfully typed at each locus. *Ho* and *He* (observed and expected heterozygosity, respectively) 1025 calculated in *Cervus*.  $F_{ST}$  calculated in *Genepop* for oceanic and regional subsets of data (see Table 1). Statistically significant 1026  $F_{ST}$  values are bolded and italicized, with \* significant at p < 0.05; \*\* significant at p < 0.001.

1027

Locus	n	Reference	Size range (bp)	No of alleles	Но	He	Oceanic F <sub>ST</sub>	Regional F <sub>S</sub>
EV1	521	Valsecchi & Amos (1996)	118 - 142	12	0.599	0.641	0.0034	0.0061
EV5	529	Valsecchi & Amos (1996)	148 - 174	11	0.711	0.708	0.0100*	0.0071
EV14	483	Valsecchi & Amos (1996)	121 - 155	14	0.687	0.716	0.0032	0.0120*
EV37	504	Valsecchi & Amos (1996)	177 - 250	32	0.855	0.905	0.0029*	0.0050*
EV94	534	Valsecchi & Amos (1996)	193 - 225	17	0.82	0.804	0.0017	0.0025
GATA417	438	Palsbøll et al. (1997)	172 - 202	7	0.509	0.532	0.0107	0.0019
GT23	523	Bérubé et al. (2000)	75 - 99	12	0.511	0.499	0.0034	0.0000
GT575	487	Bérubé et al. (2000)	131 - 137	4	0.61	0.611	0.0011	0.0104
rw4-10	461	Waldick et al. (1999)	177 - 213	14	0.72	0.768	0.0028*	0.0037
SW13	523	Richard et al. (1996b)	134 - 176	14	0.824	0.835	0.0000	0.0092*
464/465	404	Schlötterer et al. (1991)	141 - 145	3	0.527	0.541	0.0035	0.0000

1028

Average	494.9			14.5	0.696	0.717	0.0032**	0.0048**
FCB1	519	Buchanan et al. (1996)	107 - 145	16	0.792	0.835	0.0018	0.0032
SW19	508	Richard <i>et al.</i> (1996b)	89 - 167	32	0.88	0.921	0.0017*	0.0029**

**Table 3:** Degrees of freedom (*d. f.*) and percentage of variation (%) explained by ocean, region, social group, and among individuals for hierarchical AMOVAs, nesting social group within ocean for the worldwide dataset, and social group within region for the worldwide, Pacific and Indian Ocean datasets. (a) mtDNA  $F_{ST}$ ; (b) mtDNA  $\Phi_{ST}$ ; and (c) microsatellite  $F_{ST}$ . Levels which explain a significant percentage of variation are bolded and italicized, with \* significant at p < 0.05; \*\* significant at p < 0.001. The social groups these results are based on are summarized in Supplementary Material 5.

1036	

(a)		World	lwide		P	acific	I	ndian			
mtDNA F <sub>ST</sub>	d.f.	%	d.f.	%	d.f.	%	d.f.	%			
Among oceans	2	15.1**									
Among regions			7	22.7**	2	-3.0	3	44.4**			
Among social groups	31	32.0**	22	26.9**	9	34.4**	6	12.3**			
Among individuals	350	52.9**	292	50.4**	162	68.6**	90	43.2*			
<b>(b</b> )		World	lwide		Р	acific	Indian				
mtDNA $\Phi_{ST}$	d.f.	%	d.f.	%	d.f.	%	d.f.	%			
Among oceans	2	25.0**									
Among regions			7	34.9**	2	-1.3	3	51.9**			
Among social groups	31	<i>29.8</i> **	22	21.5**	9	31.9**	6	10.6*			
Among individuals	350	45.2**	292	43.6**	162	69.4**	90	37.6*			
					_		-				
(c)		World	lwide		P	acific	I	ndian			
microsatellite $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}$	d.f.	%	d.f.	%	d.f.	%	d.f.	%			
Among oceans	2	0.27									
Among regions			6	0.07	1	0.03	3	-0.25			
Among social groups	23	1.32**	15	1.49**	7	1.25**	7	1.66**			
Among individuals	281	98.4	222	98.4	110	98.7	104	98.6			

1037

**Table 4:** Sex-specific  $F_{ST}$  comparisons by marker and estimates of sex-biased gene flow (*Nm*,  $m_M/m_F$ , Hedrick *et al.*, 2013). Regional analyses of sex-specific  $F_{ST}$  were limited to

areas with more than two identified females and males as summarized in Table 1. Note, 1040

that although male-specific microsatellite F<sub>ST</sub> appears to exceed that of females among 1041

regions in the Pacific, neither estimate is significantly different from zero. Due to limited 1042

sample sizes, a within-ocean regional F<sub>ST</sub> analysis was not conducted for the Atlantic. 1043

Hedrick et al.'s (2013) estimates of sex-specific gene flow are based on the fixation indices 1044

presented in Figure 4. As all variance in assignment tests (vAIc) were not significant, 1045

1046 results of these tests are not displayed. Statistically significant values (for the *p*-values for

the difference in  $F_{ST}$  between sexes) are bolded and italicized, with \* significant at p <1047

1040 0.05, significant at $p < 0.00$	1048	0.05;	**	significant	at <i>p</i> <	0.00
--------------------------------------	------	-------	----	-------------	---------------	------

1049

Area Say		For		mtDNA CR	2		Microsatellit	es	Gene flow		
Area		Sex		$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{ST}}$	p -value	n	F <sub>ST</sub>	p -value	Nm	$m_M/m_F$	
Dacifia	Ву	F	175	0.1145	0 1622	177	0.0004	0.8438	7.75	22.01	
Facilic	region	М	42	0.0640	0.1032	43	0.0103	0.8438	170.57	22.01	
Indian	Ву	F	70	0.4892	0 1666	83	0.0061	0.4400	1.09	12 56	
mutan	region	М	27	0.2878	0.1000	29	0.0050	0.4499	47.68	43.30	
Atlantia	By	F							0.57	25.00	
Attantic	region	region M	gion M							14.71	23.39
	Ву	F	253	0.2735	0 0366*	274	0.0063	0 2745	1.45	34 87	
Worldwide	region	М	72	0.1426	0.0300	80	0.0028	0.2743	50.39	34.82	
() offatilite	Ву	F	289	0.1259	0.0725	319	0.0068	0.0251*	4.38	16 77	
	ocean	М	118	0.0673	0.0725	143	0.0008	0.0551*	73.49	10.//	
1050	+										

1051

YU, 1052

1053



- 1059 included in analyses originating from previous studies collected over similar spatial scales are circled (references in Table 1). Gray
- 1060 labels show regions not included in regional analyses due to small sample sizes.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved



Figure 2: Mismatch distributions for mtDNA at worldwide, oceanic and regional levels. Abbreviations: Gulf of California (GCA), Galapagos/Ecuador (GPG), 'Pacific Crossing' (PX), Hawai'i (HAW), Kiribati (KR), Papua New Guinea (PNG), SW Australia (AUS), Cocos Island (COC), Sri Lanka (SRI), Maldives/Chagos Archipelago (MAL), Seychelles (SEY), Aldabras (ALD), Canary Island (CNI), Western North Atlantic (WNAO), Gulf of Mexico (GMX). A mismatch distribution was not generated for the Mediterranean due to lack of mtDNA variation within this region. Figure 3: Maximum parsimony network based on 394 bp of the mtDNA CR (haplotype definitions in Supplementary Material 1). Haplotypes are colored by ocean, with the exception of haplotypes V and W that were not localized to a specific ocean in previous studies. Size of haplotype pie is proportional on a log scale to the total number of samples with the haplotype. Lines represent substitutions (one or two between haplotypes as defined by the key). New haplotypes characterized in this study are outlined. Figure 3 (Continued) 

# ------Author Manuscrip

1089

- 1090
- 1091Figure 4: Differentiation of mtDNA and microsatellites at oceanic, regional and social group levels with regional/oceanic mtDNA1092haplotype frequencies. Where a range in number of social groups/regions are given, the smaller number corresponds to the1093microsatellite sample size and the larger number to the mtDNA sample size. No social group analysis was conducted for the Atlantic1094due to limited sample sizes. Braces to right of table give scale of each analysis (worldwide, and by each ocean). See Table 1 for sample1095sizes used in regional analyses and Supplementary Material 5 for sample sizes used in social group analyses. Pairwise comparisons at1096oceanic and regional levels are given in Supplementary Material 12. Haplotype key ordered by worldwide abundance of haplotype. \*1097significant at p < 0.05; \*\* significant at p < 0.001.
- 1098 Figure 4 (Continued)

Author Ma



1099



mec\_13638\_f1.png

Author Manus







Author Ma

mec\_13638\_f4.png