

1 Received 25 April 2017

2 Accepted 24 August 2017

3

4 **BRIEF COMMUNICATION**

5 **Cannibalism in non-native brown trout *Salmo trutta* and rainbow trout**
6 ***Oncorhynchus mykiss* stream-dwelling populations**

7 C. MUSSEAU*†‡#, S. VINCENZI§||, D. JESENŠEK¶ AND A. J. CRIVELLI**

8

9

10 *Department of Biology, Chemistry, Pharmacy, Institute of Biology, Freie Universität Berlin,
11 Königin-Luise-Strasse 1–3, 14195 Berlin, Germany, †Berlin-Brandenburg Institute of
12 Advanced Biodiversity Research (BBIB), Altensteinstrasse 34, 14195 Berlin, Germany,
13 ‡Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries (IGB), Müggelseedamm 310,
14 12587 Berlin, Germany, §Institute of Marine Sciences, University of California, Santa Cruz,
15 110 Shaffer Road, Santa Cruz, CA 95060, U.S.A., //Fisheries Ecology Division, Southwest
16 Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, 110 Shaffer Road, Santa Cruz,
17 CA 95060, U.S.A., ¶Tolmin Angling Association, Modrej 26a, 65216 Most na Soci, Slovenia
18 and **Station Biologique de la Tour du Valat, Le Sambuc, 13200 Arles, France

19

20 This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but
21 has not been through the copyedit/typset/checked stage. It is in its final form, which
22 may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article
as doi: 10.18117/jb.13477

23

24 Running headline: CANNIBALISM IN INVASIVE *S. TRUTTA* POPULATIONS

25

26 Introduced and allopatric populations of brown trout *Salmo trutta* and rainbow trout
27 *Oncorhynchus mykiss* were sampled in Slovenia for stable isotope analysis to assess dietary
28 niche shifts through ontogeny and estimate the propensity for cannibalism. Both *S. trutta* and
29 *O. mykiss* are cannibals, with higher average relative contribution of conspecific assimilated
30 energy for *S. trutta* (27.9%) compared with *O. mykiss* (7.7%). The smallest cannibal was 166
31 mm in the *S. trutta* population and 247 mm in the *O. mykiss* population.

32 Key words: biological invasion; niche; ontogeny; piscivory; stable-isotope analysis.

33

34

35 Cannibalistic organisms kill and eat an individual belonging to the same species (Fox, 1975),
36 have been found in a broad range of animal species (Smith & Reay, 1991; Pereira *et al.*, 2017)
37 and are recognized as one of the main drivers of population dynamics. Theoretical and
38 empirical studies have found that cannibalism can stabilize or induce population cycles, or
39 lead to chaotic dynamics (Claessen *et al.*, 2004). Cannibalism is common in fishes (Smith &
40 Reay, 1991; Pereira *et al.*, 2017), but most studies have focused on cannibalism in captive
41 populations (Pereira *et al.*, 2017). In the context of biological invasions, it has been observed
42 that introduced populations show a higher propensity for cannibalism than native populations
43 (Tayeh *et al.*, 2014). While fish are among the most introduced organisms outside their native
44 range, few studies have explored the rates and the effects of cannibalism in introduced
45 populations of fish species (Gomiero & Braga, 2004).

46 Brown trout *Salmo trutta* L. 1758 and rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum
47 1792) are two of the most widely introduced invasive species in the world (Lowe *et al.*, 2000).
48 Cannibalistic behaviour is common in lake-dwelling populations of salmonids (Grey *et al.*,
49 2002; Berg *et al.*, 2010; Florø-Larsen *et al.*, 2014), but is much less reported in stream-
50 dwelling populations (Vik *et al.*, 2001). When living in streams in their native ranges, both *S.*
51 *trutta* and *O. mykiss* feed mostly on invertebrates, with only a few observations of
52 cannibalism on eggs (Aymes *et al.*, 2010) or juveniles (young-of-the-year; Vik *et al.*, 2001).
53 Outside their native ranges, they often eat native fish and are a main threat to native
54 populations (Lintermans, 2000; Young *et al.*, 2010; Meredith *et al.*, 2015; Stanković *et al.*,
55 2015). It has been shown that the proportion of fish from other species in the diet of stream-
56 dwelling *S. trutta* populations outside their native range is much higher than in the native
57 European populations, especially at older ages (Budy *et al.*, 2013). Only a few studies have
58 shown cannibalism in non-native and stream-dwelling populations of *S. trutta* and *O. mykiss*.
59 Huryn (1996) reported a small consumption of young-of-the-year (YOY) by larger

60 conspecifics in a population of *S. trutta* in New Zealand and two cases of predation upon
61 YOY for *O. mykiss* have been observed in Hawaii (Kido *et al.*, 1999) and Italy (Candiotto *et*
62 *al.*, 2011).

63 The goal of the present study was to estimate the rate of cannibalism in introduced *S.*
64 *trutta* and *O. mykiss* populations living in allopatry in Slovenia, south-eastern Europe. The
65 study of the diet of exotic *Salmo* spp. in these streams is crucial to understanding their roles as
66 exotic top predators in food webs. The trophic ecology of one of the few European self-
67 sustaining populations of *O. mykiss* and one of the introduced population of *S. trutta* of
68 western Slovenia were examined and potential dietary shifts to cannibalism were assessed
69 using stable-isotope analysis.

70 Trout were sampled in June 2011 in the headwaters of the upper Volaja and Godi a
71 Rivers for *S. trutta* and *O. mykiss*, respectively. Both the upper Volaja and Godi a catchments
72 are covered mainly by deciduous forests with neither legal fishing nor poaching. Mean
73 summer water temperature (July–August) in the upper Volaja was $11.10 \pm 0.32^\circ \text{C}$ ($\pm \text{S.D.}; n =$
74 12 years) and in Godi a $14.40 \pm 0.87^\circ \text{C}$ ($n = 6$ years). Trout were sampled using a petrol-
75 powered portable backpack electrofishing unit. Each individual was anaesthetized with
76 phenoxy-ethanol and was measured and weighed to the nearest mm and g. Age was
77 determined by reading scales. In June 2011, pectoral fin samples for the stableisotope
78 analysis to evaluate potential ontogenetic diet shift were collected from 77 fish: 40 from *S.*
79 *trutta* and 37 from *O. mykiss*. Fin clips are a good non-lethal surrogate for muscle tissue in
80 salmonids and are thus appropriate for stable-isotope analysis (Hanisch *et al.*, 2010).

81 Benthic and terrestrial invertebrates of different trophic groups (decomposers, grazers,
82 predators) were collected for stable-isotope analyses (Supporting Information Methods).

83 Ratios of ^{15}N and ^{13}C isotopes provide information on the trophic position and the origin of
84 resources, respectively (Fry, 2006; Layman *et al.*, 2012; Supporting Information Methods).

85 In the following analysis, trout were grouped according to their size: < 100 mm, 100–49
86 mm, 150–199 mm, 200–249 mm and > 250 mm (Supporting Information Fig. S1). Since *S.*
87 *trutta* individuals < 100 mm and > 250 mm were not present among the sampled fish, those
88 categories were not included in the niche analysis for *S. trutta*. To evaluate dietary niche shift,
89 a probabilistic method for quantifying multi-dimensional niches was used to estimate niche
90 region for each size group and intraspecific diet overlap among size groups in both species
91 (Swanson *et al.*, 2015; package *nicheROVER*). The estimated niches based on ^{15}N and ^{13}C
92 were bi-dimensional and the niche region of each size group was estimated from randomly
93 chosen individuals from a given size group within species ($n = 1000$). Niche overlap between
94 two groups was estimated as the probability of an individual from group A being found in the
95 niche region of group B; overlap metrics were estimated from Monte Carlo simulations ($n =$
96 1000). The niche region is the bi-dimensional space in which the individual has a probability
97 $\pm = 0.95$ of being found (Supporting Information Methods, Fig. S1 and Table SI). Differences
98 in ^{15}N and ^{13}C density distributions between size groups were tested using Kolmogorov-
99 Smirnov tests ($\pm = 0.05$).

100 Mixing models for stable-isotope data were used to estimate the relative dietary
101 contribution (%) of each food resource assimilated by each individual (Parnell & Jackson,
102 2013, package SIAR). For each individual, a mixing model was run with three groups of prey
103 (500 000 iterations): freshwater invertebrates, terrestrial invertebrates and fish (Supporting
104 Information Methods). Since mixing models are sensitive to trophic enrichment factors (TEF),
105 equations from Caut *et al.* (2009) were used. TEF for ^{15}N used in this study were 4.22 ± 0.23
106 ‰ (*O. mykiss*) and 3.61 ± 0.18 ‰ (*S. trutta*). For ^{13}C , TEF were 2.34 ± 0.23 ‰ (*O. mykiss*)

107 and $2.55 \pm 0.12\%$ (*S. trutta*). Generalized linear models (GLM, *quasibinomial* distribution
108 with *logit* as link function) were used to test the effects of the total length (L_T) of consumer
109 and the species on the relative contribution of the different food categories to the diet. R 3.3.0
110 was used for all statistical analyses (www.r-project.org).

111 For *S. trutta*, the ^{15}N density distribution was more depleted in ^{15}N in the 100–149 mm
112 than in the 150–199 mm and 200–249 mm trout (Fig. 1 and Table I). Density distributions of
113 ^{15}N for the 150–199 mm and 200–249 mm categories were not significantly different (Table
114 I). ^{13}C density distributions of the three size categories were similar (Table I). The
115 probability of an individual *S. trutta* being in the niche region of a different size category was
116 low for the 100–149 mm group and high for the 150–199 mm and 200–249 mm groups (Table
117 II). These results indicate a trophic niche shift to higher trophic positions in *S. trutta* $L_T > 150$
118 mm. The trophic shift is consistent with the results of Bayesian mixing models which revealed
119 that conspecifics were a main part of *S. trutta* diet. Relative contribution of conspecifics in *S.*
120 *trutta* diet increased with predator size. The smallest cannibal was aged 3+ years and 166 mm
121 *S. trutta* with 36.6% of assimilated energy coming from cannibalism. In the *S. trutta*
122 population, the average relative contribution of conspecifics to trout assimilated energy was
123 27.9% ($\pm 16.6\%$ S.D.), ranging from 0.0% to 43.7% (Fig. 2).

124 In *O. mykiss*, pair-wise comparisons of ^{15}N density distributions showed non-
125 significant differences between 100–149 mm and 150–199 mm, 150–199 mm and 200–249
126 mm fish (Fig. 1 and Table I). Other pairwise comparisons were significantly different (Table
127 I). *Oncorhynchus mykiss* 100–149 mm showed more depleted ^{13}C density distributions than
128 150–199 mm, 200–249 mm and > 250 mm fish (Table I). Other pairwise comparisons showed
129 no significant differences (Table I). The smallest *O. mykiss* cannibal was of age 2+ years and
130 247 mm in size. Energy assimilated through cannibalism in *O. mykiss* increased with total

131 length of the consumer and ranged from 0.0 to 50.5% in *O. mykiss* (average: $7.7\% \pm 16.4\%$
132 S.D.).

133 *Salmo trutta* had a higher propensity for cannibalism than *O. mykiss* ($t = -5.7$, $P <$
134 0.001). The proportion of cannibalism increased through ontogeny in both species (slope
135 estimate = 0.86, $t = 9.8$, $P < 0.001$). Relative contributions of benthic and terrestrial
136 invertebrates to diet decreased with L_T (slope estimate = -0.21, $t = -5.1$, $P < 0.001$ and slope
137 estimate = -0.35, $t = -12.6$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 2).

138 In this study, *S. trutta* was more cannibalistic than *O. mykiss*, which is consistent with
139 previous findings on piscivory in introduced populations in Patagonian lakes (Macchi *et al.*,
140 1999). The few reports of cannibalistic behaviour in introduced *S. trutta* and *O. mykiss*
141 populations have focused on predation upon YOY. In New Zealand, cannibalism on small
142 individuals was estimated to be a small part of large *S. trutta* diet (Huryn, 1996). Two
143 examples of cannibalism in *O. mykiss* have been reported; the first one in Hawaii, regarding a
144 262 mm *O. mykiss* eating a juvenile (Kido *et al.*, 1999) and the second in Italy, where a 244
145 mm *O. mykiss* was found with the remains of two small fish in its gut (Candiotto *et al.*, 2011).

146 In this study, *S. trutta* and *O. mykiss* populations were sampled in mid-June, before the
147 emergence of YOY; thus, it was possible to estimate rates of cannibalism only on age 1+ year
148 and older trout. For *S. trutta*, Vik *et al.* (2001) found in a stream dwelling population in
149 Norway that the smallest cannibalistic trout had a size of 170 mm, which is similar to the size
150 of the smallest cannibalistic *S. trutta* found in the upper Volaja. This size threshold is much
151 smaller than the size at which *S. trutta* starts cannibalism in lake-living populations, between
152 200 and 250 mm (Grey *et al.*, 2002) and > 300 mm (Jensen *et al.*, 2012), but similar to the
153 size at which the species shifts to fish feeding on other salmonids in northern European lakes

154 (Jonsson *et al.*, 1999). Despite the variation in the size at which *S. trutta* becomes piscivorous,
155 *c.* 160 mm may be the threshold size at which the species can handle small salmonids as prey.

156 In the present study, the overall proportions of cannibals among the sampled individuals
157 were 75.0% and 18.9% in *S. trutta* and *O. mykiss* populations, respectively. This study
158 showed that cannibalism is a sizeable part of the diet of *S. trutta* and *O. mykiss* in introduced
159 populations. Owing to its effects on mortality rates, cannibalism can have large effects on
160 population dynamics (Vik *et al.*, 2001) and thus be a crucial determinant of invasion success
161 and of persistence and expansion of species already established outside their native ranges.
162 Further study will aim at estimating the number of trout eaten using a bioenergetic model and
163 at developing a model of population dynamics for these two non-native species living in a
164 system of great conservation interest.

165
166
167
168 Thanks to the field team of the Tolmin Angling Association for their hard work
169 undertaken within an enjoyable atmosphere. We thank the two anonymous reviewers for
170 valuable comments on a previous version of the paper. All sampling work was approved by
171 the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Food of Republic of Slovenia and the Fisheries
172 Research Institute of Slovenia. This study has been funded by MAVA Foundation.

173 Supporting Information

174 Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this paper:
175 **S.I.** Methods: field methods, stable-isotope analysis, quantifying niche overlaps, mixing
176 model.

177 **TABLE SI.** Analysis of sensitivity of overlap probability: posterior means and 95% C.I. of
178 the probability of overlap (%) for niche region with \pm ranging from $\pm = 0.80, 0.90, 0.95$ and
179 0.99 for each pairwise comparison.

180 **FIG. S1.** Relationship between age and total length (L_T) of trout (a) and (b) and distribution of
181 analysed trout's L_T in the different size-categories considered (c) and (d) for *Salmo trutta* (a)
182 and (c) and *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (b) and (d).

183

184

185 **References**

186 Aymes, J. C., Larrieu, M., Tentelier, C. & Labonne, J. (2010). Occurrence and variation of
187 egg cannibalism in brown trout *Salmo trutta*. *Naturwissenschaften* **97**, 435–439.

188 Berg, O. K., Finstad, A. G., Olsen, P. H., Arnekleiv, J. V. & Nilssen, K. (2010). Dwarfs and
189 cannibals in the Arctic: production of Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus* (L.)) at two
190 trophic levels. *Hydrobiologia* **652**, 337–347.

191 Budy, P., Thiede, G. P., Lobón-Cerviá, J., Fernandez, G. G., Mchugh, P., McIntosh, A.,
192 Vøllestad, L. A., Becares, E. & Jellyman, P. (2013). Limitation and facilitation of one
193 of the world's most invasive fish: an intercontinental comparison. *Ecology* **94**, 356–
194 367.

195 Candiotto, A., Bo, T. & Fenoglio, S. (2011). Biological and ecological data on an established
196 rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) Population in an Italian stream. *Fundamental
197 and Applied Limnology* **179**, 67–76.

198 Caut, S., Angulo, E. & Courchamp, F. (2009). Variation in discrimination factors ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and
199 $\delta^{13}\text{C}$): The effect of diet isotopic values and applications for diet reconstruction.
200 *Journal of Applied Ecology* **46**, 443–453.

201 Claessen, D., de Roos, A. M. & Persson, L. (2004). Population dynamic theory of size-
202 dependent cannibalism. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **271**, 333–340.

203 Florø-Larsen, B., Finstad, A. G., Berg, O. K. & Olsen, P. H. (2014). Otolith size differences
204 during early life of dwarf and cannibal Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*). *Ecology of
205 Freshwater Fish* **25**, 203–210.

206 Fox, L. R. (1975). Cannibalism in natural populations. *Annual Review of Ecology and
207 Systematics* **6**, 87–106.

208 Fry, B. (2006). *Stable Isotope Ecology*. New York, NY: Springer.

209 Gomiero, L. M. & Braga, F. M. S. (2004). Cannibalism as the main feeding behaviour of
210 tucunares introduced in Southeast Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Biology* **64**, 625–632.

211 Grey, J., Thackeray, S. J., Jones, R. I. & Shine, A. (2002). Ferox trout (*Salmo trutta*) as
212 ‘Russian Dolls’: complementary gut content and stable isotope analyses of the Loch
213 Ness food web. *Freshwater Biology* **47**, 1235–1243.

214 Hanisch, J. R., Tonn, W. M., Paszkowski, C. A. & Scrimgeour, G. J. (2010). $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$
215 signatures in muscle and fin tissues: nonlethal sampling methods for stable isotope
216 analysis of salmonids. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* **30**, 1–11.

217 Huryn, A. D. (1996). An appraisal of the Allen paradox in a New Zealand trout stream.
218 *Limnology and Oceanography* **41**, 243–252.

219 Jensen, H., Kiljunen, M., Amundsen, P. A. (2012). Dietary ontogeny and niche shift to
220 piscivory in lacustrine brown trout *Salmo trutta* revealed by stomach content and
221 stable isotope analyses. *Journal of Fish Biology* **80**, 2448–2462.

222 Jonsson, N., Næsje, T. F., Jonsson, B., Saksgård, R., Standlund, O. T. (1999). The influence
223 of piscivory on life history traits of brown trout. *Journal of Fish Biology* **55**, 1129–
224 1141.

225 Kido, M. H., Heacock, D. E. & Asquith, A. (1999). Alien rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus*
226 *mykiss*) (Salmoniformes: Salmonidae) diet in Hawaiian streams. *Pacific Science* **53**,
227 242–251.

228 Layman, C. A., Araújo, M. S., Boucek, R., Hammerschlag-Peyer, C. M., Harrison, E., Jud, Z.
229 R., Matich, P., Rosenblatt, A. E., Vaudo, J. J., Yeager, L. A, *et al.* (2012). Applying
230 stable isotopes to examine food-web structure: an overview of analytical tools.
231 *Biological Reviews* **87**, 542–562.

232 Lintermans, M. (2000). Recolonization by the mountain galaxias *Galaxias olidus* of a
233 montane stream after the eradication of rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Marine
234 and Freshwater Research* **51**, 799–804.

235 Macchi, P., Cussac, V., Alonso, M. & Denegri, M. (1999). Predation between introduced
236 salmonids and the native fish fauna in lakes and reservoirs in northern Patagonia.
237 *Ecology of Freshwater Fish* **8**, 227–236.

238 Meredith, C. S., Budy, P. & Thiede, G. P. (2015). Predation on native sculpin by exotic brown
239 trout exceeds that by native cutthroat trout within a mountain watershed (Logan, UT,
240 USA). *Ecology of Freshwater Fish* **24**, 133–147.

241 Pereira, L. S., Agostinho, A. A. & Winemiller, K. O. (2017). Revisiting cannibalism in fishes.

242 *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries*, 10.1007/s11160-017-9469-y.

243 Smith, C. & Reay, P. (1991). Cannibalism in teleost fish. *Reviews in Fish Biology and*

244 *Fisheries* **1**, 41–64.

245 Stanković, D., Crivelli, A. J. & Snoj, A. (2015). Rainbow trout in Europe: introduction,

246 naturalization and impacts. *Reviews in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture* **23**, 39–71.

247 Swanson, H. K., Lysy, M., Power, M., Stasko, A. D., Johnson, J. D. & Reist, J. D. (2015). A

248 new probabilistic method for quantifying n-dimensional ecological niches and niche

249 overlap. *Ecology* **96**, 318–324.

250 Tayeh, A., Estoup, A., Lombaert, E., Guillemaud, T., Kirichenko, N., Lawson-Handley, L.,

251 De Clercq, P. & Facon, B. (2014). Cannibalism in invasive, native and biocontrol

252 populations of the harlequin ladybird. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **14**, 1–9.

253 Vik, J. O., Borgstrøm, R. & Skaala, Ø. (2001). Cannibalism governing mortality of juvenile

254 brown trout, *Salmo Trutta*, in a regulated stream. *Regulated Rivers: Research &*

255 *Management* **17**, 583–594.

256 Young, K. A., Dunham, J. B., Stephenson, J. F., Terreau, A., Thailly, A. F. & Gajardo, G.

257 (2010). A trial of two trouts: comparing the impacts of rainbow and brown trout on a

258 native galaxiid. *Animal Conservation* **13**, 399–410.

259

260

Electronic References

261

262 Lowe, S., M., B., Boudjelas, S. & De Poorter, M. (2000). 100 of the world's worst invasive
263 alien species: a selection from the global invasive species database. *Aliens* 12. Geneva:
264 IUCN. Available at <https://portals.iucn.org/library/sites/library/files/documents/2000-126.pdf>

265

266 Parnell, A. C. & Jackson, A. (2013). SIAR: Stable Isotope Analysis in R. *R package version*
267 4.2. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available at <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/siar/siar.pdf>

268

269

270

Transmittal Form for MS 17-198R1

Please complete any additional fields appearing in the form below. For figure files, click on the Item Metadata link to fill in the figure information. The Accepted date must be filled in -- this is the date you consider the paper to have been accepted, which may not match the date you set the Final Disposition.

Source Files

Item Type	Item Description	File Name	Last Modified	Original Item QC Results	Substitute Item Artwork Quality Results
PDF	PDF			N/A	N/A
Manuscript	Manuscript	MS 17-198_text_SJLjfc.docx	06/09/2017	N/A	N/A
Table	Table I	MS 17-198_Table I_SJLjfc.docx	06/09/2017	N/A	N/A
Table	Table II	MS 17-198_Table II_SJLjfc.docx	06/09/2017	N/A	N/A
Figure Captions	Figure Captions	MS 17-198_FigcapsSJLjfc.docx	06/09/2017	N/A	N/A
Figure	Figure 1	Musseau_Fig1.tif	29/06/2017	Pass with Warning	N/A
	Metadata Name	Metadata Value			
	Figure Number				
Figure	Figure 2	Musseau_Fig2.tif	29/06/2017	Pass with Warning	N/A
	Metadata Name	Metadata Value			
	Figure Number				
Supporting information e.g. additional data	Supporting information data	MS 17-198_SI_Methods_SJLjfc.doc	06/09/2017	N/A	N/A
Supporting information e.g. additional data	Supporting information Table SI	MS 17-198_SI_Table_SI_SJLjfc.doc	06/09/2017	N/A	N/A
Supporting information e.g. additional data	Supporting information Figure SI	MS 17-198_SI_Fig_SI1_SJLjfc.docx	06/09/2017	N/A	N/A

Submission Metadata

Include metadata in release to production

Item Description	Value
Manuscript Number	MS 17-198
All Authors	Camille Musseau; Simone Vincenzi; Dusan Jesenšek; Alain Jean Crivelli
Article Title	Cannibalism in non-native brown trout <i>Salmo trutta</i> and rainbow trout <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> stream-dwelling populations
Running head	CANNIBALISM IN INVASIVE S. TRUTTA POPULATION
Number of Color Figures	1
Number of B&W Figures	0
Number of Line Figures	1
Initial Date Submitted	25/04/2017
Accepted	08/24/2017
CORRESPONDING AUTHOR INFORMATION	

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Title	Dr.
First Name	Camille
Middle Name	
Last Name	Musseau
Position	Post Doctoral Research
Department	
Institution	IGB - FU Berlin
Address Line 1	Königin-Luise-Str. 1-3
Address Line 2	Institut für Biologie
Address Line 3	AG Jeschke
Address Line 4	
City	Berlin-Dahlem
State	
Zip	14195
Country	GERMANY
Primary Phone Number	0049 30 838-53959
Fax Number	
E-mail Address	musseau@igb-berlin.de
Comments to Production	Acc. 24 August 2017
Manuscript Geographic Region Of Origin	SLOVENIA

Please complete any additional fields appearing in the form above. For figure files, click on the Item Metadata link to fill in the figure information. The Accepted date must be filled in -- this is the date you consider the paper to have been accepted, which may not match the date you set the Final Disposition.

[Cancel](#) [Back](#) [Print](#)