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## Preface to the

## Environmental Assessment for

## 2013 Ocean Salmon Fisheries Management Measures

 (XRIN 0648-XC438)The development of annual management measures for west coast salmon fisheries is a well documented and public process. Alternatives for annual management measures are developed at the March meeting of the Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council). At this meeting, the previous year's fisheries are reviewed, and alternatives are developed for the current year's fisheries after considering projected stock abundances, conservation objectives in the Fishery Management Plan (FMP), and compliance with the Endangered Species Act (ESA), Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA), and other relevant laws, as well as international agreements under the Pacific Salmon Treaty (PST). Public meetings are held in Washington, Oregon, and California in late March to give the public the opportunity to provide comments on the alternatives. The Council meets again in April to consider public and agency input on the alternatives and to develop and adopt a preferred alternative. Environmental impacts of the preferred alternative are within the range of impacts analyzed for the preliminary alternatives, although new fisheries data developed between March and April, especially regarding fisheries north of Cape Falcon, may require modification of the range of impacts.

During this process, the Council and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) develop a series of documents that describe the development and analysis of the alternatives. These documents collectively form the Environmental Assessment (EA) for NMFS' analysis of the proposed action of adopting the 2013 ocean salmon fisheries management measures under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). This Preface is provided to guide the reader through the three documents that, collectively, form the EA (see Table 1, below). These documents are available to the public on the Council's website (www.pcouncil.org):

Preseason Report I (PRE I): Stock Abundance Analysis and Environmental Assessment Part 1 for 2013 Ocean Salmon Fishery Regulations (February 2013).

PRE I describes Purpose and Need, Affected Environment, and the no-action alternative.

Preseason Report II (PRE II): Proposed Alternatives and Environmental Assessment Part 2 for 2013 Ocean Salmon Fishery Regulations (March 2013).

PRE II describes the analysis of the action alternatives.
Preseason Report III (PRE III): Analysis of Council Adopted Management Measures for 2013 Ocean Salmon Fisheries (April 2013).

PRE III describes the final preferred alternative adopted by the Council.

A fourth document, also available on the Council's website, is referenced in the above and describes some aspects of the affected environment, especially related to salmon stocks:

Review of 2012 Ocean Salmon Fisheries (February 2013).
Table 1. Directory of NEPA elements in the Environmental Assessment for 2013 Ocean Salmon Fisheries Management Measures (XRIN 0648-XC438).

| NEPA Element | Location |
| :---: | :---: |
| Purpose and Need | PRE I: Pages 1_through 2 |
| Affected Environment | PRE I |
| Description of the Affected Environment | PRE I: Chapter 1, pages 3-11 |
| Affected Environment: Chinook Salmon | PRE I: Chapter II, pages 12-4445 |
| Affected Environment: Coho Salmon | PRE I: Chapter III, pages 46-71 |
| Affected Environment: Pink Salmon | PRE I: Chapter IV, page 72 |
| Alternatives | PRE I and PRE II |
| Description of No action alternative | PRE I: Chapter V, pages 74-91 |
| Description of Action alternatives | PRE II: Chapter 7, pages 7-9, and Tables 1-7 |
| Analysis of Impacts (Environmental | PRE II: Chapter 8 |
| Consequences) |  |
| Impacts on salmon stocks | PRE II: Chapter 8, pages 9-18 |
| Socioeconomics | PRE II: Chapter 8, pages 15-18, Tables 9-10, Figures 1-2 |
| Non-target Species | PRE II: Chapter 8, pages 18-19 |
| Marine Mammals | PRE II: Chapter 8, page 19 |
| ESA Listed Species | PRE II: Chapter 8, pages 19-20 |
| Seabirds | PRE II: Chapter 8, page 20 |
| Biodiversity and Ecosystem Function | PRE II: Chapter 8, page 20 |
| Ocean and Coastal Habitats | PRE II: Chapter 8, page 20 |
| Public Health and Safety | PRE II: Chapter 8, page 20 |
| Cumulative Impacts | PRE II: Chapter 8, pages 20-21 |
| Final Preferred Alternative: | PRE III |
| Description | PRE III: Tables 1-4, and Figures 1-2 |
| Socioeconomic Impacts | PRE III: Chapter 10, pages 14-15 |
| Environmental Effects | PRE III: Chapter 11, page 15-16 |
| Compliance with other Applicable Law | Addendum |
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## LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

| ABC | acceptable biological catch |
| :---: | :---: |
| ACL | annual catch limit |
| BY | brood year |
| CDFW | California Department of Fish and Wildlife |
| CoTC | Coho Technical Committee (of the PSC) |
| Council | Pacific Fishery Management Council |
| CRFMP | Columbia River Fishery Management Plan |
| CWT | coded-wire tag |
| EA | Environmental Assessment |
| EEZ | exclusive economic zone (from 3-200 miles from shore) |
| EIS | Environmental Impact Statement |
| EMAP | Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program |
| ESA | Endangered Species Act |
| ESU | evolutionarily significant unit |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ABC }}$ | exploitation rate associated with ABC |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ACL }}$ | exploitation rate associated with ACL ( $=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$ ) |
| FMP | fishery management plan |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ | MSY exploitation rate |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {OFL }}$ | exploitation rate associated with the overfishing limit (= $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$, MFMT) |
| FONSI | Finding of No Significant Impacts |
| FRAM | Fishery Regulatory Assessment Model |
| GAM | generalized additive models |
| ISBM | individual stock-based management |
| Jack CR | Columbia River jacks (coho) |
| Jack OC | Oregon coastal and Klamath River Basin jacks (coho) |
| Jack OPI | Jack CR + Jack OC (coho) |
| KMZ | Klamath management zone (ocean zone between Humbug Mountain and Horse Mountain where management emphasis is on Klamath River fall Chinook) |
| KOHM | Klamath Ocean Harvest Model |
| KRFC | Klamath River fall Chinook |
| KRTT | Klamath River Technical Team |
| LCN | lower Columbia River natural (coho) |
| LCR | lower Columbia River (natural tule Chinook) |
| LRB | lower Columbia River bright (Chinook) |
| LRH | lower Columbia River hatchery (tule fall Chinook returning to hatcheries below Bonneville Dam) |
| LRW | lower Columbia River wild (bright fall Chinook spawning naturally in tributaries below Bonneville Dam) |
| MCB | mid-Columbia River brights (bright hatchery fall Chinook released below McNary Dam) |
| MFMT | maximum fishery mortality threshold |
| MOC | mid-Oregon coast |
| MSST | minimum stock size threshold |
| MSM | mixed stock model |
| MSA | Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act |
| MSY | maximum sustainable yield |
| NA | not available |

## LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (continued)

| NEPA | National Environmental Policy Act |
| :---: | :---: |
| NMFS | National Marine Fisheries Service |
| NOC | north Oregon coast |
| NS1G | National Standard 1 Guidelines |
| OCN | Oregon coast natural (coho) |
| OCNL | Oregon coast natural lake (coho) |
| OCNR | Oregon coast natural river (coho) |
| ODFW | Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife |
| OFL | overfishing limit |
| OPI | Oregon Production Index (coho salmon stock index south of Leadbetter Point) |
| OPIH | Oregon Production Index public hatchery |
| OPITT | Oregon Production Index Technical Team |
| OY | Optimum Yield |
| PDO | Pacific Decadal Oscillation |
| PFMC | Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) |
| PRIH | Private hatchery |
| PSC | Pacific Salmon Commission |
| PST | Pacific Salmon Treaty |
| RER | rebuilding exploitation rate |
| RK | Rogue/Klamath (coho) |
| RMP | Resource Management Plan (for exemption from ESA section 9 take prohibitions under limit 6 of the 4(d) rule) |
| ROPI | Rogue Ocean Production Index (Chinook) |
| SAB | Select Area brights |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ABC }}$ | spawning escapement associated with ABC |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ACL }}$ | spawning escapement associated with ACL ( $=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$ ) |
| SCH | Spring Creek Hatchery (tule fall Chinook returning to Spring Creek Hatchery) |
| SHM | Sacramento Harvest Model |
| SI | Sacramento Index |
| SJF | Strait of Juan de Fuca |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\text {MSY }}$ | MSY spawning escapement |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\text {OFL }}$ | spawning escapement associated with the overfishing limit ( $=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ) |
| SOC | south Oregon Coast |
| SRFC | Sacramento River fall Chinook |
| SRS | Stratified Random Sampling |
| SRWC | Sacramento River winter Chinook |
| STEP | Salmon Trout Enhancement Program |
| STT | Salmon Technical Team (formerly the Salmon Plan Development Team) |
| TAC | Technical Advisory Committee (U.S. v. Oregon) |
| URB | upper river brights (naturally spawning bright fall Chinook normally migrating past McNary Dam) |
| VSI | visual stock identification |
| WCVI | West Coast Vancouver Island |
| WDFW | Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife |

## INTRODUCTION

This is the second report in an annual series of four reports prepared by the Salmon Technical Team (STT) of the Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) to document and help guide salmon fishery management off the coasts of Washington, Oregon, and California. The report focuses on Chinook, coho, and pink salmon stocks that have been important in determining Council fisheries in recent years, and on stocks listed under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) with established National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) ESA consultation standards. This report will be formally reviewed at the Council's March 2013 meeting.

This report provides 2013 salmon stock abundance forecasts, and an analysis of the impacts of 2012 management measures, or regulatory procedures, on the projected 2013 abundance. This analysis is intended to give perspective in developing 2013 management measures. This report also constitutes the first part of an Environmental Assessment (EA) to comply with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requirements for the 2013 ocean salmon management measures. An EA is used to determine whether an action being considered by a Federal agency has significant impacts. This part of the EA includes a statement of the purpose and need, a summary description of the affected environment, a description of the No-Action Alternative, and an analysis of the No-Action Alternative effects on the salmon stocks included in the Council's Salmon Fishery Management Plan (FMP).

The STT and Council staff will provide two additional reports prior to the beginning of the ocean salmon season to help guide the Council's selection of annual fishery management measures: Preseason Report II and Preseason Report III. These reports will analyze the impacts of the Council's proposed alternatives and adopted fishery management recommendations, respectively. Preseason Report II will constitute the second part of the EA, and will include additional description of the affected environment relevant to the alternative management measures considered for 2013 ocean salmon fisheries, a description of the alternatives, and an analysis of the environmental consequences of the alternatives. Preseason Report II will analyze the potential impacts of a reasonable range of alternatives, which will inform the final fishery management measures included in Preseason Report III. Preseason Report III will describe and analyze the effects of the Council's final proposed action. Together, these parts of the EA will provide the necessary components to determine if a finding of no significant impact (FONSI) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is warranted.

Chapter I provides a summary of stock abundance forecasts. Chapters II and III provide detailed stock-by-stock analyses of abundance, a description of prediction methodologies, and accuracy of past abundance forecasts for Chinook and coho salmon, respectively. Chapter IV summarizes abundance and forecast information for pink salmon. Chapter V provides an assessment of 2012 regulations applied to 2013 abundance forecasts. Four appendices provide supplementary information as follows: Appendix A provides a summary of Council stocks and their management objectives; Appendix B contains the Council's current harvest allocation schedules, Appendix C provides a description of the Sacramento River winter Chinook (SRWC) control rule, and Appendix D contains pertinent data for Oregon Production Index (OPI) area coho. For NEPA purposes, Chapters I-IV of this document describe the affected environment and Chapter V provides a description and analysis of the No-Action Alternative.

## Purpose and Need

The purpose of this action, implementation of the 2013 ocean salmon fishery management measures, is to allow fisheries to harvest surplus production of healthy natural and hatchery salmon stocks within the constraints specified under the Salmon FMP, the Pacific Salmon Treaty (PST), and consultation standards established for ESA-listed salmon stocks. In achieving this purpose, management measures must take into account the allocation of harvest among different user groups and port areas. Without this action, 2012 management measures would be in effect, which do not consider changes in abundance of stocks in
the mixed stock ocean salmon fisheries. Therefore, this action is needed to ensure constraining stocks are not overharvested and that harvest of abundant stocks can be optimized and achieve the most overall benefit to the nation.

The Salmon FMP also establishes nine more general harvest-related objectives:

1. Establish ocean exploitation rates for commercial and recreational salmon fisheries that are consistent with requirements for stock conservation objectives and ACLs, specified ESA consultation standards, or Council-adopted rebuilding plans.
2. Fulfill obligations to provide for Indian harvest opportunity as provided in treaties with the United States, as mandated by applicable decisions of the Federal courts, and as specified in the October 4, 1993, opinion of the Solicitor, Department of Interior, with regard to federally-recognized Indian fishing rights of Klamath River Tribes.
3. Maintain ocean salmon fishing seasons supporting the continuance of established recreational and commercial fisheries, while meeting salmon harvest allocation objectives among ocean and inside recreational and commercial fisheries that are fair and equitable, and in which fishing interests shall equitably share the obligations of fulfilling any treaty or other legal requirements for harvest opportunities.
4. Minimize fishery mortalities for those fish not landed from all ocean salmon fisheries as consistent with achieving optimum yield (OY) and bycatch management specifications.
5. Manage and regulate fisheries so that the OY encompasses the quantity and value of food produced, the recreational value, and the social and economic values of the fisheries.
6. Develop fair and creative approaches to managing fishing effort, and evaluate and apply effort management systems as appropriate to achieve these management objectives.
7. Support the enhancement of salmon stock abundance in conjunction with fishing effort management programs to facilitate economically viable and socially acceptable commercial, recreational, and tribal seasons.
8. Achieve long-term coordination with the member states of the Council, Indian tribes with federallyrecognized fishing rights, Canada, the North Pacific Fishery Management Council, Alaska, and other management entities which are responsible for salmon habitat or production. Manage consistent with the Pacific Salmon Treaty and other international treaty obligations.
9. In recommending seasons, to the extent practicable, promote the safety of human life at sea.

These objectives, along with the consultation standards established under the ESA, provide "sideboards" for setting management measures necessary to implement the Salmon FMP, which conforms to the terms and requirements of the MSA and the National Standard 1 Guidelines (NS1G).

Implementation of 2013 management measures will allow fisheries to harvest surplus production of healthy natural and hatchery salmon stocks within the constraints specified under the Salmon FMP and consultation standards established for ESA-listed salmon stocks.

The reauthorization of the MSA in 2006 established new requirements to end and prevent overfishing through specification of overfishing limits (OFL), acceptable biological catch (ABC), annual catch limits (ACLs) and accountability measures (AMs). Because OFLs, ABCs, and ACLs are based on annual abundance forecasts, Preseason Report I also specifies OFLs, ABCs, and ACLs for 2013 fisheries.

## CHAPTER I: DESCRIPTION OF THE AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

The affected environment relevant to establishing the 2013 ocean salmon fishery management measures consists of the following components:

- Target Species - Chinook, coho, and pink salmon
- ESA-listed salmon stocks
- Socioeconomic aspects of coastal communities, federally recognized Tribes, and states

A description of the historical baseline for these components of the affected environment is presented in the Review of 2012 Ocean Salmon Fisheries (PFMC 2013). The current status (2013 ocean abundance forecasts) of the environmental components expected to be affected by the 2013 ocean salmon fisheries regulation alternatives (FMP salmon stocks) are described in this report (Part 1 of the 2013 salmon EA); the Review of 2012 Ocean Salmon Fisheries (PFMC 2013) provides an historical description of the salmon fishery-affected environment, including stock status and socioeconomic impacts, and represents the current status of the socioeconomic component of the affected environment.

The No-Action alternative was assessed in the 2012 NEPA process for ocean salmon regulations (Preseason Reports II and III; PFMC 2012a and 2012b). In those analyses, several components of the affected environment were determined to have no significant impacts. These components included:

- Non-target species - Pacific Halibut, groundfish (NMFS 2003; PFMC 2006, 2012a)
- Marine mammals - pinnipeds, killer whales (NMFS 2003, 2008; PFMC 2006, 2012a)
- Seabirds (NMFS 2003; PFMC 2006, 2012a)
- Ocean and coastal habitats, ESA critical habitat, and essential fish habitat (NMFS 2003; PFMC 2006, 2012a)
- Biodiversity and ecosystem function (NMFS 2003; PFMC 2006, 2012a)
- Unique characteristics of the geographic area (NMFS 2003; PFMC 2006, 2012a)
- Cultural, scientific, or historical resources such as those eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NMFS 2003; PFMC 2006, 2012a)
- Public health or safety (NMFS 2003; PFMC 2006, 2012a)

The 2013 No-Action alternative and its effects are described fully in Chapter V of this document (Preseason Report I).

Because salmon stocks in the FMP are an element of the environment on which the effects of the 2013 no-action alternative may differ from the effects of the 2012 action, due to annual variability in stock abundance, this component of the affected environment that is analyzed in this document consists only of the salmon stocks identified in the FMP (Appendix A). The 2013 forecast abundance of the FMP salmon stocks represents this component of the affected environment. The surviving stock after fishery-related mortality is generally referred to as spawning escapement (S), and the proportion of the stock that succumbs to fishing-related mortality is generally referred to as the exploitation rate ( F ); these are the metrics that constitute conservation objectives for FMP stocks, and by which effects of the alternatives to this part of the affected environment are evaluated. Thus, application of management measures (alternatives) to the abundance forecasts (affected environment) results in projected exploitation rates and spawning escapements (effects).

A description of the other components of the affected environment considered for 2013 ocean salmon fishery regulation alternatives, including socioeconomic components and updated additional information on the biological components of the environment, will be presented in Preseason Report II, to be issued after the March Council meeting.

## ABUNDANCE FORECASTS

Abundance forecasts in 2013 are summarized for key Chinook and coho salmon stocks in Tables I-1 and I-2, respectively. A cursory comparison of preseason forecast and postseason abundance estimates for selected stocks is presented in Figures II-4 and III-1. More detailed analyses of this subject are covered in Chapters II (Chinook) and III (coho). Information on pink salmon abundance and forecasts, which are only of interest in odd-numbered years, is contained in Chapter IV. Council Salmon FMP conservation objectives are presented in Appendix A; allocation objectives are presented in Appendix B.

In addition to the key stocks with abundance forecasts listed in Tables I-1 and I-2, Council management decisions for the 2013 ocean salmon fishing seasons may be constrained by other stocks, such as those listed under the ESA or subject to PSC agreements, which may not have abundance forecasts made, or do not have abundance forecasts available in time for inclusion in this report. These include the following Evolutionarily Significant Units (ESUs): Sacramento River Winter, Central Valley Spring, California Coastal, Lower Columbia River (LCR) natural tule, and Snake River Fall Chinook; and Central California and Southern Oregon/Northern California coho, as well as Interior Fraser (including Thompson River) coho.

## ACCEPTABLE BIOLOGICAL CATCH, ANNUAL CATCH LIMITS, AND OVERFISHING LIMITS

Amendment 16 to the Salmon FMP was approved in December 2011 to comply with the requirements of the 2006 MSA reauthorization, including specification of acceptable biological catch (ABC) and annual catch limits (ACLs), overfishing limits (OFLs), and Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) recommendations for ABC. Amendment 16 established that ABC and ACLs were required for two stocks, Sacramento River fall Chinook (SRFC) and Klamath River fall Chinook (KRFC), which serve as indicator stocks for the Central Valley Fall and Southern Oregon/Northern California Chinook complexes, respectively. Several stocks in the FMP do not have ACLs because they are managed under an international agreement, the Pacific Salmon Treaty. A number of stocks are listed under the ESA or are hatchery stocks; for these stocks, impacts are managed according to ESA Section 7 consultation standards described in biological opinions, or hatchery goals, respectively.

Preseason OFLs are determined for all non-ESA-listed and non-hatchery stocks with an estimate of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ (or Maximum Fishery Mortality Threshold, MFMT) and sufficient information available to make abundance forecasts.

## Overfishing Limit

For salmon, OFL is defined in terms of spawner escapement ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{OfL}}$ ), which is consistent with the common practice of using spawner escapement to assess stock status for salmon. $\mathrm{S}_{\text {ofl }}$ is determined annually based on stock abundance, in spawner equivalent units ( N ) and the exploitation rate $\mathrm{F}_{\text {oft }}$.
$\mathrm{F}_{\text {OFL }}$ is defined as being equal to $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ (or MFMT) and
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{OFL}}=\mathrm{Nx}\left(1-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}\right)$.

## Acceptable Biological Catch

For salmon, $A B C$ is defined in terms of spawner escapement $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ABC}}\right)$, which is determined annually based on stock abundance, in spawner equivalent units $(\mathrm{N})$ and the exploitation rate $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$.
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ABC}}=\mathrm{Nx}\left(1-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}\right)$

The $A B C$ control rule defines $F_{A B C}$ as a fixed exploitation rate reduced from $F_{M S Y}$ to account for scientific uncertainty. The degree of the reduction in $F$ between $F_{A B C}$ and $F_{\text {MSY }}$ depends on whether $F_{\text {MSY }}$ is directly estimated (tier 1 stock) or a proxy value is used (tier 2 stock). For tier 1 stocks, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$ equals $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}$ reduced by five percent. For tier 2 stocks, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$ equals $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}$ reduced by ten percent.

Tier-1: $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}} \times 0.95$.
Tier-2: $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}} \times 0.90$.

## Annual Catch Limit

ACLs are also defined in terms of spawner escapement ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ ) based on N and the corresponding exploitation rate $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ACL}}\right)$, where the exploitation rate is a fixed value that does not change on an annual basis.
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$ and
$S_{\mathrm{ACL}}=\mathrm{NX}\left(1-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ACL}}\right)$,
which results in $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$ for each management year.
During the annual preseason salmon management process, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ is estimated using the fixed $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ exploitation rate and the preseason forecast of N . Thus, fishery management measures must result in an expected spawning escapement greater than or equal to this preseason estimate of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$.

## STATUS DETERMINATION CRITERIA

In 2011, the Council also adopted new status determination criteria (SDC) for overfishing, approaching an overfished condition, overfished, not overfished/rebuilding, and rebuilt under FMP Amendment 16. These criteria, approved and implemented in December 2011, were:

- Overfishing occurs when a single year exploitation rate exceeds the maximum fishing mortality threshold (MFMT), which is based on the maximum sustainable yield exploitation rate ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ );
- Approaching an overfished condition occurs when the geometric mean of the two most recent postseason estimates of spawning escapement, and the current preseason forecast of spawning escapement, is less than the minimum stock size threshold (MSST);
- Overfished status occurs when the most recent 3-year geometric mean spawning escapement is less than the MSST;
- Not overfished/rebuilding status occurs when a stock has been classified as overfished and has not yet been rebuilt, and the most recent 3 -year geometric mean spawning escapement is greater than the MSST but less than $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$;
- A stock is rebuilt when the most recent 3-year geometric mean spawning escapement exceeds $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$.

Status determinations for overfishing, overfished, not overfished/rebuilding, and rebuilt were reported in the annual SAFE document, Review of 2012 Ocean Salmon Fisheries (PFMC 2013). Because approaching an overfished condition relies on a preseason forecast and proposed fishing regulations, that status determination is reported in Chapter V of this document. All SDC rely on the most recent estimates available, which in some cases may be a year or more in the past because of incomplete broods or data availability; however, some status determinations reported in the SAFE document may be updated if more recent spawning escapement or exploitation rate estimates become available between the time the SAFE document and this document are published.

TABLE I-1. Preseason adult Chinook salmon stock forecasts in thousands of fish. (Page 1 of 4)

| Production Source and Stock or Stock Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Methodology for 2013 Prediction and Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |  |
| Sacramento Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall | - | - | - | $54.6{ }^{\text {a/ }}$ | 122.2 | 245.5 | 729.9 | 819.4 | 834.2 | Linear regression analysis of jack escapement on SI of the follow ing year. STT. |
| Klamath River (Ocean Abundance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall | 239.8 | 110.0 | 546.2 | 190.7 | 505.7 | 331.5 | 371.1 | 1,651.8 | 727.7 | Linear regression analysis of age-specific ocean abundance estimates on river runs of same cohort. STT. |
| Oregon Coast |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North and South/Local Migrating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None. |
| Columbia River (Ocean Escapement) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upriver Spring | $254.1^{\text {b/ }}$ | 88.4 | 78.5 | 269.3 | 298.9 | 470.0 | 198.4 | 314.2 | 141.4 | Log-normal sibling regressions of cohort returns in previous run years. WDFW staff. |
| Willamette Spring | 116.9 | 46.5 | 52.0 | 34.0 | 37.6 | 62.7 | 104.1 | 83.4 | 59.8 | Age-specific linear regressions of cohort returns in previous run years. ODFW staff. |
| Sandy Spring | 7.4 | 8.2 | 7.9 | 6.8 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 6.1 | Recent year average. ODFW staff. |
| Cow litz Spring | 12.7 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 12.5 | 6.6 | 8.7 | 5.5 | Age-specific linear regressions of cohort returns in previous run years. WDFW. |
| Kalama Spring | 4.5 | 1.5 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | Age-specific linear regressions of cohort returns in previous run years. WDFW. |
| Lew is Spring | 7.6 | 1.8 | 5.9 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 6.0 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 1.6 | Age-specific linear regressions of cohort returns in previous run years. WDFW. |
| Upriver Summer | $62.4{ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | 49.0 | 45.6 | 52.0 | 70.7 | 88.8 | 91.9 | 91.2 | 73.5 | Age-specific average cohort ratios/cohort regressions. Columbia River TAC subgroup and WDFW. |
| URB Fall | 352.2 | 253.9 | 182.4 | 162.5 | 259.9 | 310.8 | 398.2 | 353.5 | 432.5 | Age-specific average cohort ratios/cohort regressions. Columbia River TAC subgroup and WDFW. |
| SCH Fall | 114.1 | 50.0 | 21.8 | 87.2 | 59.3 | 169.0 | 116.4 | 63.8 | 38.0 | Age-specific average cohort ratios/cohort regressions. Columbia River TAC subgroup and WDFW. |
| LRW Fall | 20.2 | 16.6 | 10.1 | 3.8 | 8.5 | 9.7 | 12.5 | 16.2 | 14.2 | Age-specific average cohort ratios/cohort regressions. Columbia River TAC subgroup and WDFW. |
| LRH Fall | 74.1 | 55.8 | 54.9 | 59.0 | 88.8 | 90.6 | 133.5 | 127.0 | 88.0 | Age-specific average cohort ratios/cohort regressions. Columbia River TAC subgroup and WDFW. |
| MCB Fall | 89.4 | 88.3 | 68.0 | 54.0 | 94.5 | 72.6 | 100.0 | 90.8 | 105.2 | Age-specific average cohort ratios/cohort regressions. Columbia River TAC subgroup and WDFW. |
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TABLE -1. Preseason adult Chinook salmon stock forecasts in thousands of fish. (Page 4 of 4)
a/ Does not include the river harvest component. SI forecasts after 2008 include river harvest.
b/ Beginning in 2005, the upriver spring/summer designation w as changed, w ith stream type Snake Basin summer fish being combined with the spring stock
c/ Unless otherw ise noted, forecasts are for Puget Sound run size (4B) available to U.S. net fisheries. Does not include fish caught in troll and recreational fisheries.
$\mathrm{d} /$ Terminal run forecast.
e/ Expected spaw ning escapement without fishing

TABLE I-2. Preseason adult coho salmon stock forecasts in thousands of fish. (Page 1 of 2 )

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Production Source and Stock or Stock Group \& \& 2005 \& 2006 \& 2007 \& 2008 \& 2009 \& 2010 \& 2011 \& 2012 \& 2013 \& Methodology for 2013 Prediction and Source <br>
\hline OPI Area (Total Abundance) (California and Oregon Coasts and Columbia River) \& \& 542.9 \& 460.2 \& 849.2 \& 276.1 \& 1,284.7 \& 556.0 \& 624.5 \& 632.7 \& 716.4 \& Abundance of all OPI components based on cohort reconstruction including all fishery impacts using Mixed Stock Model (MSM); prior to 2008 only fishery impacts south of Leadbetter Point were used (traditional OPI accounting). OPITT, see Chapter III for details. <br>
\hline OPI Public Columbia River Early Columbia River Late Coastal N. of Cape Blanco Coastal S. of Cape Blanco \& Hatchery \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
389.9 \\
284.6 \\
78.0 \\
11.5 \\
15.8
\end{array}
$$ \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
398.8 \\
245.8 \\
113.8 \\
8.6 \\
30.6
\end{array}
$$ \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
593.6 \\
424.9 \\
139.5 \\
7.0 \\
22.2
\end{array}
$$ \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
216.1 \\
110.3 \\
86.4 \\
1.7 \\
17.7
\end{array}
$$ \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
1,073.1 \\
672.7 \\
369.7 \\
7.3 \\
23.4
\end{array}
$$ \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
408.0 \\
245.3 \\
144.2 \\
4.4 \\
14.1
\end{array}
$$ \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
375.1 \\
216.0 \\
146.5 \\
3.6 \\
9.0
\end{array}
$$ \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
341.7 \\
229.8 \\
87.4 \\
6.4 \\
18.1
\end{array}
$$ \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
525.4 \\
331.6 \\
169.5 \\
5.6 \\
18.7
\end{array}
$$ \& OPIH: 1969-2011 Columbia River jacks adjusted for delayed smolt releases and total OPI jacks regressed on 1970-2012 adults. Columbia/Coastal proportions based on jacks; Columbia early/late proportions based on jacks; Coastal N/S proportions based on smolts. <br>
\hline Low er Columbia River \& Natural \& NA \& NA \& 21.5 \& 13.4 \& 32.7 \& 15.1 \& 22.7 \& 30.1 \& 46.5 \& Oregon: recent three year average; Washingtion: natural smolt production multiplied by 2010 brood marine survival rate. Abundance is subset of early/late hatchery abundance above. <br>
\hline Oregon Coast (OCN)
STEP ${ }^{\text {a/a }}$ \& Natural
Hatchery \& 152.0

1.0 \& 60.8
0.6 \& 255.4

0.2 \& 60.0 \& 211.6 \& 148.0 \& 249.4 \& 291.0 \& 191.0 \& | Rivers: Generalized additive model (GAM) relating ocean recruits to parental spaw ners and marine environmental variables. See text in Chapter III for details. Lakes: recent three year average return. |
| :--- |
| No forecast since 2007; releases discontinued. | <br>

\hline Washington Coast Willapa \& Natural Hatchery \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 35.9 \\
& 56.4
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 30.3 \\
& 37.7
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 24.4 \\
& 37.2
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 35.1 \\
& 25.5
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 33.5 \\
& 59.4
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 20.4 \\
& 78.7
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 47.8 \\
& 64.7
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 81.3 \\
& 88.8
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 58.6 \\
& 37.1
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& A variety of methods were used for 2013, primarily based on smolt production and survival. See text in Chapter III for details. <br>

\hline Grays Harbor \& Natural Hatchery \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 91.1 \\
& 54.4
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 67.3 \\
& 52.4
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 59.4 \\
& 74.0
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 42.7 \\
& 53.1
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 59.2 \\
& 63.5
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 67.9 \\
& 33.3
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 89.1 \\
& 44.0
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
150.2 \\
47.8
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
196.8 \\
85.2
\end{array}
$$
\] \& <br>

\hline Quinault \& Natural Hatchery \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 44.9 \\
& 33.6
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 28.8 \\
& 34.5
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 18.6 \\
& 22.7
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 17.4 \\
& 24.5
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 16.3 \\
& 26.2
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 16.7 \\
& 26.6
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 22.9 \\
& 35.5
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 27.3 \\
& 35.4
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 32.1 \\
& 42.0
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& <br>

\hline Queets Supp \& Natural Hatchery lemental ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ \& \[
$$
\begin{array}{r}
17.1 \\
17.4 \\
2.4
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
8.3 \\
11.9
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 13.6

19.1 \& 10.2

10.3 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 31.4 \\
& 13.5
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 21.8 \\
& 11.9
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 13.3

16.3 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 37.2 \\
& 25.3
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 24.5 \\
& 19.8
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& <br>

\hline Hoh \& Natural \& 7.6 \& 6.4 \& 5.4 \& 4.3 \& 9.5 \& 7.6 \& 11.6 \& 14.3 \& 8.6 \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| Production Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Methodology for 2013 Prediction and Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| and Stock or Stock Group |  | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |  |
| Quillayute Fall | Natural | 18.6 | 14.6 | 10.8 | 10.5 | 19.3 | 22.0 | 28.2 | 33.5 | 17.2 |  |
|  | Hatchery | 22.1 | 10.4 | 18.1 | 13.0 | 39.5 | 17.7 | 31.0 | 16.9 | 12.4 |  |
| Quillayute Summer | Natural | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 5.7 | 0.5 |  |
|  | Hatchery | 6.1 | 4.0 | 6.4 | 4.2 | 12.9 | 3.2 | 5.4 | 4.3 | 3.3 |  |
| North Coast Independent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tributaries | Natural | 8.5 | 8.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 11.1 | 4.2 | 21.6 | 15.7 | 17.8 |  |
|  | Hatchery | 5.6 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 14.1 | 5.7 | 11.8 | 11.4 | 6.3 |  |
| WA Coast Total | Natural | 224.5 | 164.9 | 136.4 | 124.5 | 182.5 | 163.4 | 237.3 | 365.2 | 356.1 |  |
|  | Hatchery | 198.0 | 154.1 | 181.6 | 135.7 | 229.1 | 177.1 | 208.7 | 229.9 | 206.1 |  |
| Puget Sound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A variety of methods were used for 2013, primarily |
| Strait of Juan de Fuca | Natural | 20.7 | 26.1 | 29.9 | 24.1 | 20.5 | 8.5 | 12.3 | 12.6 | 12.6 | based on smolt production and survival. See text in |
|  | Hatchery | $26.5{ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | 20.5 | 18.4 | 9.5 | 7.0 | 7.8 | 15.2 | 18.6 | 17.6 | Chapter III and Joint WDFW and tribal annual reports on |
| Nooksack-Samish | Natural | 17.0 | 18.3 | 5.2 | 14.8 | 7.0 | 9.6 | 29.5 | 25.2 | 45.4 | details. |
|  | Hatchery | 89.5 | 81.1 | 53.1 | 47.1 | 25.5 | 36.0 | 45.7 | 62.8 | 49.2 |  |
| Skagit | Natural | 61.8 | 106.6 | 26.8 | 61.4 | 33.4 | 95.9 | 138.1 | 48.3 | 137.2 |  |
|  | Hatchery | 9.1 | 22.5 | 8.9 | 18.3 | 11.7 | 9.5 | 16.7 | 14.9 | 16.3 |  |
| Stillaguamish | Natural | 56.7 | 45.0 | 69.2 | 31.0 | 13.4 | 25.9 | 66.6 | 47.5 | 33.1 |  |
|  | Hatchery | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 3.1 |  |
| Snohomish | Natural | 241.6 | 139.5 | 98.9 | 92.0 | 67.0 | 99.4 | 180.0 | 109.0 | 163.8 |  |
|  | Hatchery | 59.1 | 96.4 | 25.7 | 53.5 | 53.6 | 24.5 | 55.0 | 45.7 | 111.5 |  |
| South Sound | Natural | 45.7 | 45.3 | 18.2 | 27.3 | 53.6 | 25.3 | 98.9 | 43.1 | 36.0 |  |
|  | Hatchery | 222.2 | 256.1 | 181.7 | 170.0 | 188.8 | 186.4 | 173.3 | 162.9 | 151.0 |  |
| Hood Canal | Natural | 98.4 | 59.4 | 42.4 | 30.4 | 48.6 | 33.2 | 74.7 | 73.4 | 36.8 |  |
|  | Hatchery | $60.6{ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | 57.9 | 54.8 | 35.0 | 52.0 | 51.2 | 74.9 | 62.6 | 68.6 |  |
| Puget Sound Total | Natural | 541.9 | 440.2 | 290.6 | 281.0 | 243.5 | 297.8 | 600.1 | 359.1 | 464.9 |  |
|  | Hatchery | 465.2 | 535.7 | 342.6 | 333.5 | 338.6 | 320.8 | 381.4 | 371.6 | 417.3 |  |

[^0]b/ Strait of Juan de Fuca and Hood Canal Hatchery numbers in 2002-2005 include natural coho from secondary (hatchery) management zones.
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## CHAPTER II: AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT - CHINOOK SALMON ASSESSMENT

## CHINOOK STOCKS SOUTH OF CAPE FALCON

## Sacramento River Fall Chinook

The Council's Salmon FMP sets the escapement goal for SRFC as a range from 122,000 to 180,000 hatchery and natural area adults. This stock comprises a large proportion of the Chinook spawners returning to Central Valley streams and hatcheries. SRFC are designated as the indicator stock for the Central Valley fall Chinook stock complex, which was established under FMP Amendment 16 to facilitate setting and assessing compliance with ABC and ACLs, as required by the 2006 revision of the MSA.

## Predictor Description and Performance

The Sacramento Index (SI) is the sum of (1) SRFC ocean fishery harvest south of Cape Falcon, OR between September 1 and August 31, (2) SRFC impacts from non-retention ocean fisheries when they occur, (3) the recreational harvest of SRFC in the Sacramento River Basin, and (4) the SRFC adult spawner escapement (Table II-1, Figure II-1).

In 2012, the STT based the forecast of the SI on a zero-intercept linear model relating the previous year $(t-1)$ SRFC jack escapement to the SI in year $t$, for $t=2009-2011$. Data from years $t=2009-2011$ were used because, for this year range, the most recent jack escapement estimate (year $t-1$ ) exceeded the jack escapement estimate from the previous year (year $t-2$ ) by large margins. Under such conditions, when using the full complement of data ( $t=1990$-forward), the SI has tended to be over forecast. Using the truncated dataset in 2012 resulted in a preseason forecast of 819,400, which was 1.3 times its postseason value of 618,258 .

The 2013 SI forecast was made using a zero-intercept linear model relating the previous year ( $t-1$ ) SRFC jack escapement to the SI in year $t$, for $t=1990-2012$. The pattern of jack escapement that led to the use of the truncated dataset for forecasting the SI in 2012 does not exist in 2013. In 2013, the most recent jack escapement is of moderate size, and the jack escapement from two years prior was very large. Under such conditions in the past, the SI forecast model fitted to data from 1990-forward has better approximated the jack escapement to SI relationship.

## Stock Forecast and Status

A total of 35,505 SRFC jacks were estimated to have escaped to Sacramento River basin hatcheries and natural spawning areas in 2012. The resulting 2013 SI forecast is 834,208 (Figure II-2).

In 2013, invoking de minimis fishing rates under Amendment 16 will be unnecessary because SRFC potential spawner abundance is projected to be greater than 162,667 hatchery and natural area adults. Therefore, projected escapement will meet or exceed the $S_{\text {MSY }}$ of 122,000 with an exploitation rate greater than 0.25 .
$O F L, A B C$, and $A C L$
The OFL, ABC, and ACL are defined in terms of spawner escapement ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{OFL}}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$, and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ ). For SRFC $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}=0.78$, the proxy value for Tier-2 Chinook stocks that do not have estimates of this rate derived from a stock-specific spawner-recruit analysis. The OFL for SRFC is $\mathrm{S}_{\text {OFL }}=834,208 \times(1-0.78)=$ 183,526 . Because SRFC is a Tier-2 stock, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}} \times 0.90=0.70$, and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ACL}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$. The 2013 preseason ABC for SRFC is: $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ABC}}=834,208 \times(1-0.70)=250,262$, with $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$. Therefore, fisheries impacting SRFC must be crafted to achieve, in expectation, a minimum of 250,262 hatchery and
natural area adult spawners in 2013. These preseason estimates will be recalculated with postseason abundance estimates (when available) to assess ACL and OFL compliance.

## Sacramento River Winter Chinook

ESA-listed endangered SRWC are harvested incidentally in ocean fisheries, primarily off the central California coast. A two-part consultation standard for endangered SRWC was first implemented in 2012.

The first component of the consultation standard is the season and size limit provisions that have been in place since the 2004 Biological Opinion. These provisions state that the recreational salmon fishery between Point Arena and Pigeon Point shall open no earlier than the first Saturday in April and close no later than the second Sunday in November. The recreational salmon fishery between Pigeon Point and the U.S.-Mexico Border shall open no earlier than the first Saturday in April and close no later than the first Sunday in October. The minimum size limit shall be at least 20 inches total length. The commercial salmon fishery between Point Arena and the U.S.-Mexico border shall open no earlier than May 1 and close no later than September 30, with the exception of an October fishery conducted Monday through Friday between Point Reyes and Point San Pedro, which shall end no later than October 15. The minimum size limit shall be at least 26 inches total length.

The second component of the consultation standard is specified by a control rule that limits the maximum age-3 impact rate for the area south of Point Arena, California (allowable as a preseason forecast) based on the geometric mean of the most recent three years of spawner escapement (see Appendix C for a description of the control rule).

The geometric mean of SRWC escapement from years 2010-2012 is 1,521. Application of the control rule results in a maximum forecast age-3 impact rate of 12.9 percent for 2013 fisheries (Table II-2).

## Klamath River Fall Chinook

## Predictor Description

For Klamath River fall Chinook, linear regressions are used to relate September 1 ocean abundance estimates of age-3, age-4, and age- 5 fish to that year's river run size estimates of age-2, age-3, and age-4 fish, respectively (Table II-3). Historical abundance estimates were derived from a cohort analysis of CWT information (brood years 1979-2008). The y-intercept of the regressions is constrained to zero, which gives the biologically reasonable expectation that a river run size of zero predicts an ocean abundance remainder of zero for the same cohort. The abundance of age-2 fish is not forecasted because no precursor to age-2 fish of that brood is available. Ocean fisheries harvest nominal numbers of age-2 KRFC.

## Predictor Performance

Since 1985, the preseason ocean abundance forecasts for age-3 fish have ranged from 0.33 to 2.72 times the postseason estimates; for age- 4 fish from 0.47 to 2.60 times the postseason estimates; and for the adult stock as a whole from 0.34 to 2.03 times the postseason estimates (Table II-4). The September 1, 2011 age-3 forecast $(1,567,600)$ was 1.35 times its postseason estimate $(1,157,189)$. The age-4 forecast $(79,600)$ was 0.98 times its postseason estimate $(81,123)$; and the age- 5 forecast $(4,600)$ was 0.88 times its postseason estimate $(5,254)$ (Table II-4). The preseason forecast of the adult stock as a whole was 1.33 times the postseason estimate.

Management of KRFC harvest since 1986 has attempted to achieve specific harvest rates on fullyvulnerable age-4 and age-5 fish in ocean and river fisheries (Table II-5). The Council has used a combination of quotas and time/area restrictions in ocean fisheries in an attempt to meet the harvest rate
objective set each year. Since 1992, fisheries have been managed to achieve 50/50 allocation between tribal and non-tribal fisheries. Tribal and recreational river fisheries have been managed on the basis of adult Chinook quotas.

The Council's FMP conservation objective for KRFC (Amendment 16) permits an average natural spawner reduction rate via fisheries of no more than 0.68 , with a minimum escapement of 40,700 natural spawning adults. The FMP allows for any ocean and river harvest allocation that meets the spawner reduction rate constraint, provided it also meets the minimum escapement goal. The regulations adopted in 2012 were expected to result in 86,300 natural-area spawning adults and an age-4 ocean harvest rate of 16.0 percent. Postseason estimates of these quantities were 122,018 natural-area adult spawners and an age-4 ocean harvest rate of 7.8 percent (Table II-5 and Table II-6).

## Stock Forecast and Status

The 2013 forecast for the ocean abundance of KRFC as of September 1, 2012 (preseason) is 390,700 age3 fish, the age- 4 forecast is 331,200 , and the age- 5 forecast is 5,700 fish.

Late-season ocean fisheries in 2012 (September through November) were estimated to have harvested 3,707 adult KRFC, including 3,170 age-4 (1.0 percent age-4 ocean harvest rate), which will be deducted from the ocean fishery's allocation in determining the 2013 allowable ocean harvest.

In 2013, invoking de minimis fishing rates under Amendment 16 will be unnecessary because KRFC potential spawner abundance is projected to be substantially greater than 54,267 natural-area adults.

## OFL, ABC, and ACL

The OFL, ABC, and ACL are defined in terms of spawner escapement ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{OFL}}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$, and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ ), and are calculated using potential spawner abundance forecasts and established exploitation rates. Given the ocean abundance forecasts, and accounting for ocean natural mortality, age-specific maturation rates, stray rates, and the proportion of escapement expected to spawn in natural areas, the potential spawner abundance forecast is 230,473 natural-area adults. For KRFC $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}=0.71$, the value estimated from a stock-specific spawner-recruit analysis (STT 2005). The OFL for KRFC is $\mathrm{S}_{\text {OFL }}=230,473 \times(1-0.71)=$ 66,837 . Because KFRC is a Tier-1 stock, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}} \times 0.95=0.68$, and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ACL}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$. The 2013 KRFC $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ABC}}=230,473 \times(1-0.68)=73,751$, with $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$. Therefore, fisheries impacting KRFC must be crafted to achieve, in expectation, a minimum of 73,751 natural-area adult spawners in 2013. These preseason estimates will be recalculated with postseason abundance estimates (when available) to assess ACL and OFL compliance.

## Other California Coastal Chinook Stocks

Other California coastal streams that support fall Chinook stocks which contribute to ocean fisheries off Oregon and California, include the Smith, Little, Mad, Eel, and Mattole rivers, and Redwood Creek. Except for the Smith River, these stocks are included in the California coastal Chinook ESU, which is listed as threatened under the ESA. Current information is insufficient to forecast the ocean abundance of these stocks, however, the NMFS ESA consultation standard restricts the KRFC age-4 ocean harvest rate to no more than 16.0 percent to limit impacts on these stocks. In 2012 the age- 4 ocean harvest rate was estimated to be 7.8 percent. The Klamath River spring, Smith River, Rogue River, Umpqua River, and other Oregon Chinook stocks south of the Elk River are components of the Southern Oregon/Northern California (SONC) Chinook complex, and as such, specification of ACLs is deferred to KRFC, the indicator stock for the SONC complex.

## Oregon Coast Chinook Stocks

Oregon coast Chinook stocks are categorized into three major subgroups based on ocean migration patterns; the North Oregon Coast (NOC) Chinook aggregate, the Mid Oregon Coast (MOC) Chinook aggregate, and the South Oregon Coast (SOC) Chinook aggregate. Although their ocean harvest distributions overlap somewhat, they have been labeled as far-north, north, or south/local migrating, respectively.

## Far-North and North Migrating Chinook (NOC and MOC groups)

Far-north and north migrating Chinook stocks include spring and fall stocks north of and including the Elk River, with the exception of Umpqua River spring Chinook. Based on CWT analysis, the populations from ten major NOC river systems from the Nehalem through the Siuslaw Rivers are harvested primarily in ocean fisheries off British Columbia and Southeast Alaska, and to a much lesser degree in Council area and terminal area (state waters) fisheries off Washington and Oregon. CWT analysis indicates populations from five major MOC systems, from the Coos through the Elk Rivers, are harvested primarily in ocean fisheries off British Columbia, Canada, Washington, Oregon, and in terminal area fisheries. Minor catches occur in California fisheries, and variable catches have been observed in southeast Alaska troll fisheries.

NOC and MOC Chinook stocks are components of the Far-North-Migrating Coastal (FNMC) Chinook complex, which is an exception to the ACL requirements of the MSA because they are managed under an international agreement (the PST); therefore, specification of ACLs is not necessary for stocks in the FNMC complex.

## Predictor Description

Quantitative abundance predictions are made for all three of the coastal Chinook groups (NOC, MOC, and SOC), but are not used in annual development of Council area fishery regulations. Quantitative forecasts of abundance are based on sibling regression analyses from individual basins’ escapement assessment data and scale sampling, which occurs coast-wide. Forecast data for the NOC are used in the PSC management process in addition to terminal area management actions.

Natural spawner escapement is assessed yearly from the Nehalem through Sixes rivers. Peak spawning counts of adults are obtained from standard index areas on these rivers and monitored to assess stock trends (PFMC 2013, Chapter II, Table II-5 and Figure II-3). Natural fall Chinook stocks from both the NOC and MOC dominate production from this subgroup. Also present in lesser numbers are naturallyproduced spring Chinook stocks from several rivers, and hatchery fall and/or spring Chinook released in the Trask, Nestucca, Salmon, Alsea, and Elk rivers.

Basin-specific forecasts constitute the overall aggregate forecasts and are derived in conjunction with annual PSC Chinook model input and calibration activities; however, they were not available at publication time.

## Predictor Performance

There was no information available to evaluate performance of predictors for NOC and MOC stocks.

## Stock Forecast and Status

## North Oregon Coast

Since 1977, the Salmon River Hatchery production has been tagged for use primarily as a PSC indicator stock for the NOC stock component. Because these fish are primarily harvested in fisheries north of the Council management area, the STT has not reviewed the procedure by which this indicator stock is used
in estimating annual stock status. The annual spawner counts have been gradually increasing since 2007. The 2012 spawner counts were a 38 percent increase from 2011 (PFMC 2013, Appendix B, Table B-11).

Based on the density index of total spawners, the generalized expectation for NOC stocks in 2013 is above recent years’ average abundance. Specifically, the 2012 spawner density in standard survey areas for the NOC averaged 152 spawners per mile, the highest since 2004.

## Mid Oregon Coast

Since 1977, the Elk River Hatchery production has been tagged for potential use as a PSC indicator stock for the MOC stock aggregate. Age-specific ocean abundance forecasts for 2013 are not currently available, but are being developed. The STT has not undertaken a review of the methods used by Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) staff in developing these abundance forecasts.

The 2012 MOC density from standard survey areas averaged 76 adult spawners per mile, above recent years' average abundance (PFMC 2013, Appendix B, Table B-11). Fall Chinook escapement goals are currently under development for the South Umpqua and Coquille basins of the MOC.

## South/Local Migrating Chinook (SOC group)

South/local migrating Chinook stocks include Rogue River spring and fall Chinook, fall Chinook from smaller rivers south of the Elk River, and Umpqua River spring Chinook. These stocks are important contributors to ocean fisheries off Oregon and northern California. Umpqua River spring Chinook contribute to a lesser degree to fisheries off Washington, British Columbia, and southeast Alaska.

SOC stocks are components of the Southern Oregon/Northern California (SONC) Chinook complex, and as such, specification of ACLs is deferred to KRFC, the indicator stock for the SONC complex.

## Rogue River Fall Chinook

Rogue River fall Chinook contribute to ocean fisheries principally as age-3 through age-5 fish. Mature fish enter the river each year from mid-July through October, with the peak of the run occurring during August and September.

## Predictor Description

Carcass recoveries in Rogue River index surveys covering a large proportion of the total spawning area were available for 1977-2004. Using Klamath Ocean Harvest Model (KOHM) methodology, these carcass numbers, allocated into age-classes from scale data, were used to estimate the Rogue Ocean Population Index (ROPI) for age-3 to age-5 fish. A linear regression was developed using the escapement estimates (all ages) in year $t$ based on seining at Huntley Park (1976-2004) to predict the ROPI in year $t+1$ (1977-2005). The 2012 Huntley Park escapement estimate and the resulting 2013 ROPI forecast was then scaled to the historical carcass survey-based ROPI. The 2013 ROPI forecast $(19,900)$ consisting of age-3 $(11,200)$, age-4 $(7,400)$ and age-5 $(7,400)$ are based on the average annual age-class strengths of the carcass-based ROPIs from 1991-2004. This data set was truncated at 1991 because substantial harvest restrictions that could affect age structure began that year.

## Predictor Performance

The ROPI is based on cohort reconstruction methods with index values predicted from regression equations. Because postseason estimates of the ROPI are not available, it is not possible to assess predictor performance.

## Stock Forecast and Status

The 2013 ROPI is above recent years' average and the second highest since 2003 ( Table II-7).

## Other SOC Stocks

Umpqua and Rogue spring Chinook contribute to ocean fisheries primarily as age-3 fish. Mature Chinook enter the rivers primarily during April and May and generally prior to annual ocean fisheries. Quantitative abundance predictions are not made for these stocks.

Natural fall Chinook stocks from river systems south of the Elk River and spring Chinook stocks from the Rogue and Umpqua rivers dominate production from this subgroup. Substantial releases of hatchery spring Chinook occur in both the Rogue and Umpqua rivers, although also present in lesser numbers are hatchery fall Chinook, primarily from the Chetco River.

Fall Chinook escapement goals and forecasts are currently under development for stocks south of the Elk River. These stocks are minor contributors to general season mixed stock ocean fisheries. Standard fall Chinook spawning index escapement data were available for the smaller SOC rivers (Winchuck, Chetco, and Pistol rivers). The 2012 average density from standard survey areas was 39 adult spawners per mile (PFMC 2013, Appendix B, Table B-8).

Quantitative abundance predictions are not made for these stocks, although general trends in stock abundance for SOC Chinook stocks are assessed through escapement indices (PFMC 2013, Chapter II, Table II-5 and Figure II-3).

## CHINOOK STOCKS NORTH OF CAPE FALCON

## Columbia River Chinook

Columbia River fall Chinook stocks typically form the largest contributing stock group to Council Chinook fisheries north of Cape Falcon. Abundance of these stocks is a major factor in determining impacts of fisheries on weak natural stocks critical to Council area management, particularly ESA-listed Lower Columbia River (LCR) natural tule Chinook. Abundance predictions are made for five major fall stock units characterized as being hatchery or natural production, and originating above or below Bonneville Dam. The upriver brights (URB) and lower river wild (LRW) are primarily naturallyproduced stocks, although the upriver brights do have a substantive hatchery component. The lower river hatchery (LRH) tule, Spring Creek Hatchery (SCH) tule, and mid-Columbia brights (MCB) are primarily hatchery-produced stocks. The MCB include the lower river bright (LRB) stock as a small naturallyproduced component. LRB spawn in the mainstem Columbia River near Beacon Rock and are believed to have originated from MCB hatchery strays. The tule stocks generally mature at an earlier age than the bright fall stocks and do not migrate as far north. Minor fall stocks include the Select Area brights (SAB), a stock originally from the Rogue River.

Columbia Upper River summer Chinook also contribute to Council area fisheries, although like URB and LRW, most ocean impacts occur in B.C. and SEAK fisheries. Columbia River summer Chinook have both natural and hatchery components, and originate in areas upstream from Rock Island Dam.

URB and Columbia summer Chinook are exceptions to the ACL requirements of the MSA because they are managed under an international agreement (the PST); therefore, specification of ACLs is not necessary for URB and Columbia summer Chinook. ESA consultation standards serve the purpose of ACLs for ESA-listed stocks like LRW Chinook. Broodstock goals serve the purpose of ACLs for hatchery origin stocks like LRH, SCH, and MCB.

## Predictor Description

Preseason forecasts of Columbia River fall and summer Chinook stock abundance, used by the STT to assess the Council's adopted fishery regulations, are based on age-specific and stock-specific forecasts of
annual ocean escapement (return to the Columbia River). These forecasts are developed by WDFW and a subgroup of the U.S. v Oregon Technical Advisory Committee (TAC). Columbia River return forecast methodologies used for Council management are identical to those used for planning Columbia River fall season fisheries, although minor updates to Council estimates of inriver run size may occur prior to finalization of the inriver fishery plans, based on results of planned ocean fisheries.

The 2013 return of summer and each fall Chinook stock group is forecasted using relationships between successive age groups within a cohort. The database for these relationships was constructed by combining age-specific estimates of escapement and inriver fishery catches for years since 1964 (except for MCB, which started in the 1980s). Typically, only the more recent broods are used in the current predictions. Fall Chinook stock identification in the Columbia River mixed stock fisheries is determined by sampling catch and escapement for CWTs and visual stock identification (VSI). Age composition estimates are based on CWT data and scale reading of fishery and escapement samples, where available. These stock and age data for Columbia River fall Chinook are the basis for the return data presented in the Review of 2012 Ocean Salmon Fisheries (Appendix B, Tables B-15 through B-20). The 2012 returns for summer Chinook and the five fall Chinook stocks listed in this report may differ somewhat from those provided in the Review of 2012 Ocean Salmon Fisheries, since ocean escapement estimates may have been updated after that report was printed.

Summer and fall Chinook ocean escapement forecasts developed for the March Council meeting do not take into account variations in marine harvest. The STT combines the initial inriver run size (ocean escapement; Table II-8) with expected Council area fishery harvest levels and stock distribution patterns to produce adjusted ocean escapement forecasts based on the proposed ocean fishing regulations. These revised forecasts are available at the end of the Council preseason planning process in April and are used for preseason fishery modeling in the Columbia River.

## Predictor Performance

Performance of the preliminary inriver run size estimation methodology can be assessed, in part, by examining the differences between preseason forecasts and postseason estimates ( Table II-8;
Figure II-4). The recent 10 -year average March preliminary preseason forecasts as a percentage of the postseason estimates for the URB, LRW, LRH, SCH, and MCB are 1.10, 1.10, 1.08, 1.21, and 1.07 respectively. None of the fall Chinook stocks had a notable bias in the recent time series of March preliminary forecasts. The recent 5 -year average March preliminary preseason forecasts as a percentage of the postseason estimates for SUM is 1.23 with a bias toward over-forecasting.

## Stock Forecasts and Status

The preliminary forecast for 2013 URB fall Chinook ocean escapement is 432,500 adults, about 145 percent of last year's return and about 160 percent of the recent 10-year average of 270,880. This forecast is similar to the record high forecast in 1988 and slightly higher than the record high return to the Columbia River of 420,700 in 1987. This forecast is well above the FMP $\mathrm{S}_{\text {MSY }}$ conservation objective of 39,625 natural area spawners in the Hanford Reach, Yakima River, and areas above Priest Rapids Dam, and should allow opportunity for both ocean and in-river fisheries.

The preliminary forecast for 2013 ocean escapement of ESA-listed Snake River wild fall Chinook is 31,600 , nearly double last year's preliminary return estimate of 16,983 , which is a record high since the construction of dams in the lower Snake River.

Ocean escapement of LRW fall Chinook in 2013 is forecast at 14,200 adults, about 102 percent of last year's return, and about the same as the recent 10 -year average return of 14,200 . The forecast is greater
than last year's actual return, and the spawning escapement goal of 5,700 in the North Fork Lewis River should be achieved this year.

The preliminary forecast for 2013 ocean escapement of LRH fall Chinook is for a return of 88,000 adults, about 104 percent of last year's return and 101 percent of the recent 10 -year average of 86,700 . Based on this abundance forecast, the total allowable LCR natural tule exploitation rate for 2013 fisheries is no greater than 41.0 percent under the matrix developed by the Tule Chinook Workgroup in 2011, which is used by NMFS in developing ESA guidance for this stock (Appendix A Table A-6). This is the highest exploitation rate allowed under the recommended matrix.

The preliminary ocean escapement forecast of SCH fall Chinook in 2013 is 38,000 adults, about 67 percent of last year's return and 43 percent of the 10-year average of 89,010 .

The preliminary forecast for the 2013 ocean escapement of MCB fall Chinook is 105,200 adults, about 179 percent of last year's return and about 122 percent of the recent 10 -year average of 86,480 .

The preliminary forecast for summer Chinook in 2013 is 73,500 adults, about 126 percent of last year's return and about 115 percent of the recent 5 -year average of 64,127 . This escapement is well above the FMP $\mathrm{S}_{\text {MSY }}$ conservation objective of 12,143 escapement above Rock Island Dam, and should allow opportunity for both ocean and in-river fisheries.

## Washington Coast Chinook

Washington Coast Chinook consist of spring, summer, and fall stocks from Willapa Bay through the Hoko River. Based on limited CWT analysis, these populations are harvested primarily in ocean fisheries off British Columbia and Southeast Alaska, and to a lesser degree in Council-area fisheries off Washington and Oregon.

Washington Coast Chinook stocks are components of the FNMC Chinook complex, which is an exception to the ACL requirements of the MSA because it is managed under an international agreement (the PST); therefore, specification of ACLs is not necessary for stocks in the FNMC complex.

## Predictor Description and Past Performance

Council fisheries have negligible impacts on Washington coast Chinook stocks, and except for Willapa Bay fall Chinook, Queets River fall Chinook, Hoh River Chinook, and Quillayute River Chinook, forecast data is unavailable in time for publication of this report; therefore, preseason abundance estimates are not presented. However, abundance estimates are provided for Washington Coastal fall stocks in subsequent preseason fishery impact assessment reports prepared by the STT (e.g., Preseason Report III).

## Stock Forecasts and Status

The 2013 Willapa Bay hatchery fall Chinook ocean escapement forecast is 22,195 , which is lower than the 2012 prediction of 40,518 . The 2013 natural fall Chinook ocean escapement forecast is 4,917 , which is lower than last year's prediction of 5,222 , and is above the FMP $\mathrm{S}_{\text {MSY }}$ conservation objective of 3,393.

The 2013 Queets River natural fall Chinook forecast is for an ocean escapement of 3,782 , which is lower than the 2012 forecast of 5,800 . The ocean escapement is greater than the 2,500 FMP $\mathrm{S}_{\text {MSY }}$ conservation objective, which should allow limited flexibility in structuring 2013 ocean and river fisheries. The 2013 Queets River hatchery fall Chinook forecast is for an ocean escapement of 928, which is less than the 2012 forecast of 1,835 .

For the Hoh River, the 2013 natural spring/summer Chinook spawning escapement is 856, below the FMP conservation objective of 900 . The natural fall Chinook forecast is 3,095 , which is above the FMP $\mathrm{S}_{\text {MSY }}$ conservation objective of 1,200 .

The 2013 Quillayute hatchery spring Chinook ocean escapement forecast is 2,109 and the natural summer/fall Chinook forecast is 6,592 ( 767 summer and 5,815 fall). The FMP S mSy conservation objectives are spawning escapements of 1,200 summer Chinook and 3,000 fall Chinook.

## Puget Sound Chinook

Puget Sound Chinook stocks include all fall, summer, and spring stocks originating from U.S. tributaries in Puget Sound and the eastern Strait of Juan de Fuca (east of Salt Creek, inclusive). Puget Sound Chinook consists of numerous natural Chinook stocks of small to medium-sized populations and substantive hatchery production. The Puget Sound ESU was listed under the ESA as threatened in March 1999.

Southern U.S. fisheries that impact Puget Sound Chinook are constrained by terms of a Resource Management Plan (RMP), and are exempted from ESA Section 9 take prohibitions under Limit 6 of the 4(d) rule. Puget Sound stocks contribute to fisheries off B.C., are present to a lesser degree off SEAK, and are impacted to a minor degree by Council-area ocean fisheries. Because Council-area fishery impacts to Puget Sound Chinook stocks are negligible, ocean regulations are not generally used to manage these stocks.

## Predictor Description

Methodologies for estimates are described in the annual Puget Sound management reports (starting in 1993, reports are available by Puget Sound management unit, not by individual species). Forecasts for Puget Sound stocks generally assume production is dominated by age-4 adults. The STT has not undertaken a review of the methods employed by state and tribal staffs in preparing these abundance forecasts. Run-size expectations for various Puget Sound stock management units are listed in Table I-1.

## Predictor Performance

There was no information available to evaluate performance of predictors for Puget Sound Chinook stocks.

## Stock Forecasts and Status

ACLs are undefined in the FMP for ESA-listed stocks like Puget Sound Chinook, and are deferred to ESA consultation standards.

## Spring Chinook

Spring Chinook originating in Puget Sound are expected to remain depressed. Runs in the Nooksack and Dungeness rivers are of particular concern.

## Summer/Fall Chinook

The 2013 preliminary forecast for Puget Sound summer/fall stocks is for a return of 200,600 Chinook, a 19.5 percent increase from the 2012 preseason forecast of 167,900 . The 2013 natural Chinook return forecast of 16,100 (includes supplemental category forecasts) is lower than the 2012 forecast of 18,400 .

Since ESA listing and development of the RMP, fishery management for Puget Sound Chinook has changed from an escapement goal basis to the use of stock-specific exploitation rates and "critical abundance thresholds." This new approach is evaluated on an annual basis through the RMP.

## STOCK STATUS DETERMINATION UPDATES

The SAFE document reported a 2010 to 2012 geometric mean spawning escapement of 161,471 for SRFC, well above the $\mathrm{S}_{\text {MSY }}$ value of 122,000 . SRFC are therefore rebuilt. No Chinook stocks were subject to overfishing, or met the criteria for approaching an overfished condition (Table V-4).

## SELECTIVE FISHERY CONSIDERATIONS FOR CHINOOK

As the North of Falcon region has moved forward with mass marking of hatchery Chinook salmon stocks, the first mark selective fishery for Chinook salmon in Council waters was implemented in June 2010 in the recreational fishery north of Cape Falcon. In 2012, the mark selective Chinook quota season of 8,000 occurred from June 9-22 (14 days). Selective fishing options for non-Indian fisheries are likely to be under consideration again in the ocean area from Cape Falcon, Oregon to the U.S./Canada border. Observed mark rates on Chinook in 2012 ocean fisheries in this area ranged from 59 to 71 percent. Based on preseason abundance forecasts, the expected mark rate for Chinook in this area for 2013 should be similar to those observed in 2012.

TABLE II-1. Harvest and abundance indices for Sacramento River fall Chinook in thousands of fish.

|  | SRFC Ocean Harvest South of Cape Falcon ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |  |  |  | River Harvest | Spaw ning Escapement |  |  | Sacramento | Exploitation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Troll | Sport | Non-Ret ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | Total |  | Natural | Hatchery | Total | Index (SI) ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | Rate (\%) ${ }^{\text {d/ }}$ |
| 1983 | 248.1 | 86.5 | 0.0 | 334.6 | 18.0 | 91.4 | 18.8 | 110.2 | 462.9 | 76 |
| 1984 | 266.8 | 87.1 | 0.0 | 353.9 | 25.9 | 119.5 | 39.5 | 159.0 | 538.8 | 70 |
| 1985 | 359.0 | 159.3 | 0.0 | 518.4 | 39.1 | 209.5 | 29.9 | 239.3 | 796.7 | 70 |
| 1986 | 620.1 | 137.5 | 0.0 | 757.6 | 39.2 | 216.3 | 23.8 | 240.1 | 1,036.9 | 77 |
| 1987 | 686.6 | 173.8 | 0.0 | 860.4 | 31.8 | 174.8 | 20.3 | 195.1 | 1,087.3 | 82 |
| 1988 | 1,163.0 | 188.3 | 0.0 | 1,351.3 | 37.1 | 198.0 | 29.5 | 227.5 | 1,615.9 | 86 |
| 1989 | 605.9 | 158.9 | 0.0 | 764.8 | 24.9 | 126.7 | 25.9 | 152.6 | 942.3 | 84 |
| 1990 | 507.5 | 150.8 | 0.0 | 658.3 | 17.2 | 83.2 | 21.9 | 105.1 | 780.5 | 87 |
| 1991 | 301.0 | 90.7 | 0.0 | 391.7 | $26.0{ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 91.4 | 27.5 | 118.9 | 536.6 | 78 |
| 1992 | 233.3 | 70.2 | 0.0 | 303.5 | $13.3{ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 59.5 | 22.1 | 81.5 | 398.3 | 80 |
| 1993 | 342.8 | 115.5 | 0.0 | 458.3 | $27.7{ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 110.6 | 26.8 | 137.4 | 623.4 | 78 |
| 1994 | 303.3 | 164.8 | 0.0 | 468.1 | $28.9{ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 133.0 | 32.6 | 165.6 | 662.5 | 75 |
| 1995 | 730.4 | 387.9 | 0.0 | 1,118.3 | 48.2 | 253.5 | 41.8 | 295.3 | 1,461.8 | 80 |
| 1996 | 426.8 | 157.0 | 0.0 | 583.8 | 49.2 | 267.1 | 34.6 | 301.6 | 934.6 | 68 |
| 1997 | 579.7 | 210.3 | 0.0 | 790.0 | 56.3 | 279.6 | 65.2 | 344.8 | 1,191.2 | 71 |
| 1998 | 292.8 | 113.9 | 0.0 | 406.7 | $69.8{ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 168.1 | 77.8 | 245.9 | 722.5 | 66 |
| 1999 | 308.1 | 76.7 | 0.0 | 384.8 | $68.9{ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 353.7 | 46.1 | 399.8 | 853.5 | 53 |
| 2000 | 432.7 | 153.2 | 0.0 | 585.8 | $59.5{ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 369.2 | 48.3 | 417.5 | 1,062.8 | 61 |
| 2001 | 285.2 | 94.3 | 0.0 | 379.5 | 97.4 | 537.4 | 59.4 | 596.8 | 1,073.7 | 44 |
| 2002 | 454.2 | 185.2 | 0.0 | 639.4 | $89.2{ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 682.7 | 87.2 | 769.9 | 1,498.5 | 49 |
| 2003 | 506.5 | 106.9 | 0.0 | 613.4 | 85.4 | 413.4 | 109.6 | 523.0 | 1,221.7 | 57 |
| 2004 | 622.0 | 213.0 | 0.0 | 835.0 | 46.8 | 203.5 | 83.4 | 286.9 | 1,168.7 | 75 |
| 2005 | 370.3 | 127.7 | 0.0 | 498.0 | 64.6 | 210.7 | 185.3 | 396.0 | 958.7 | 59 |
| 2006 | 149.9 | 107.8 | 0.0 | 257.7 | 44.9 | 195.1 | 79.9 | 275.0 | 577.6 | 52 |
| 2007 | 120.0 | 32.2 | 0.0 | 152.2 | $14.3{ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 70.0 | 21.4 | 91.4 | 257.9 | 65 |
| 2008 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 4.1 | $0.1{ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 46.9 | 18.5 | 65.4 | 69.6 | 6 |
| 2009 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | $0.0{ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 23.3 | 17.5 | 40.9 | 41.1 | 1 |
| 2010 | 11.8 | 11.4 | 0.3 | 23.5 | $2.5{ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 84.6 | 39.7 | 124.3 | 150.3 | 17 |
| 2011 | 46.7 | 22.8 | 0.0 | 69.5 | $17.4{ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 76.5 | 42.9 | 119.3 | 206.2 | 42 |
| $2012{ }^{\text {f/ }}$ | 180.7 | 91.2 | 0.3 | 272.2 | $62.2{ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 162.9 | 121.0 | 283.9 | 618.3 | 54 |

a/ Ocean harvest for the period September 1 (t-1) through August 31 ( t ).
b/ Mortalities estimated from non-retention ocean fisheries (e.g., coho-only fisheries, non-retention GSI sampling).
c/ The SI is the sum of (1) SRFC ocean fishery harvest south of Cape Falcon betw een September 1 and August 31, (2) SRFC impacts from non-retention ocean fisheries w hen they occur, (3) the recreational harvest of SRFC in the Sacramento River Basin, and (4) the SRFC adult spaw ner escapement.
d/ Total ocean harvest, non-retention ocean fishery mortalities, and river harvest of SRFC as a percentage of the SI.
e/ Estimates derived from CDFW Sacramento River Basin angler survey. Estimates not marked with a footnote are inferred from escapement data and the mean river harvest rate estimate.
f/ Preliminary.

TABLE II-2. Sacramento River winter Chinook escapement, allowable age-3 impact rates, and management performance.

| Year | Escapement ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-\mathrm{yr} \text { GM } \\ \text { Escapement }{ }^{\text {b/ }} \end{gathered}$ | Age-3 impact rate south of Point Arena, CA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Maximum <br> Allow able (\%) | Preseason <br> Forecast (\%) | Postseason <br> Estimate (\%) |
| 2000 | -- | -- | - | - | 21.4 |
| 2001 | 8,224 | -- | - | - | 22.9 |
| 2002 | 7,464 | -- | - | - | 21.8 |
| 2003 | 8,218 | -- | - | - | 10.3 |
| 2004 | 7,869 | 7,960 | - | - | 24.8 |
| 2005 | 15,839 | 7,844 | - | - | 17.2 |
| 2006 | 17,149 | 10,080 | - | - | 15.1 |
| 2007 | 2,533 | 12,881 | - | - | 17.8 |
| 2008 | 2,725 | 8,828 | - | - | 0.0 |
| 2009 | 4,416 | 4,910 | - | - | 0.0 |
| 2010 | 1,596 | 3,124 | - | - | - ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ |
| 2011 | 824 | 2,678 | - | - | $26.8{ }^{\text {d/ }}$ |
| 2012 | 2,674 | 1,797 | 13.7 | 13.7 | NA ${ }^{\text {e/ }}$ |
| 2013 | NA | 1,521 | 12.9 | NA | NA |

a/ Escapement includes jacks and adults spaw ning in natural areas and fish used for broodstock at Livingston Stone National Fish Hatchery.
b/ Geometric mean of escapement for the three prior years (e.g., 2013 GM computed from 2010-2012 escapement). c/ Insufficient data for postseason estimate.
d/ Preliminary: incomplete cohort data (age-4 escapement unavailable).
e/ Incomplete cohort data (age-3 and age-4 escapement unavailable).

TABLE II-3. Klamath River fall Chinook ocean abundance (thousands), harvest rate, and river run size estimates (thousands) by age.

| Year (t) | Annual Ocean Harvest RateSept. 1 (t-1) - Aug. 31 (t) |  |  |  |  | Klamath Basin River Run (t) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age-3 | Age-4 | Total | Age-3 | Age-4 | Age-2 | Age-3 | Age-4 | Age-5 | Total Adults |
| 1981 | 493.2 | 57.0 | 550.2 | 0.21 | 0.53 | 28.2 | 64.1 | 14.4 | 1.8 | 80.3 |
| 1982 | 561.1 | 133.4 | 694.5 | 0.30 | 0.52 | 39.4 | 30.1 | 33.9 | 2.6 | 66.6 |
| 1983 | 313.3 | 114.2 | 427.5 | 0.19 | 0.60 | 3.8 | 35.9 | 20.7 | 0.9 | 57.5 |
| 1984 | 157.3 | 82.8 | 240.1 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 8.3 | 21.7 | 24.4 | 1.1 | 47.2 |
| 1985 | 374.8 | 56.9 | 431.7 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 69.4 | 32.9 | 25.7 | 5.8 | 64.4 |
| 1986 | 1,304.4 | 140.8 | 1,445.2 | 0.18 | 0.46 | 44.6 | 162.9 | 29.8 | 2.3 | 195.0 |
| 1987 | 781.2 | 341.9 | 1,123.1 | 0.16 | 0.43 | 19.1 | 89.7 | 112.6 | 6.8 | 209.1 |
| 1988 | 756.3 | 234.8 | 991.0 | 0.20 | 0.39 | 24.1 | 101.2 | 86.5 | 3.9 | 191.6 |
| 1989 | 369.8 | 177.2 | 547.1 | 0.15 | 0.36 | 9.1 | 50.4 | 69.6 | 4.3 | 124.3 |
| 1990 | 176.1 | 104.0 | 280.1 | 0.30 | 0.55 | 4.4 | 11.6 | 22.9 | 1.3 | 35.9 |
| 1991 | 69.4 | 37.2 | 106.6 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 1.8 | 10.0 | 21.6 | 1.1 | 32.7 |
| 1992 | 39.5 | 28.2 | 67.7 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 13.7 | 6.9 | 18.8 | 1.0 | 26.7 |
| 1993 | 168.5 | 15.0 | 183.5 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 7.6 | 48.3 | 8.2 | 0.7 | 57.2 |
| 1994 | 119.9 | 41.7 | 161.6 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 14.4 | 37.0 | 26.0 | 1.0 | 64.0 |
| 1995 | 784.3 | 28.7 | 813.0 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 22.8 | 201.9 | 18.3 | 2.6 | 222.8 |
| 1996 | 192.3 | 225.5 | 417.8 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 9.5 | 38.8 | 136.7 | 0.3 | 175.8 |
| 1997 | 140.2 | 62.8 | 203.0 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 8.0 | 35.0 | 44.2 | 4.6 | 83.7 |
| 1998 | 154.8 | 44.7 | 199.5 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 4.6 | 59.2 | 29.7 | 1.7 | 90.6 |
| 1999 | 129.1 | 30.5 | 159.5 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 19.2 | 29.2 | 20.5 | 1.3 | 51.0 |
| 2000 | 617.1 | 44.2 | 661.3 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 10.2 | 187.1 | 30.5 | 0.5 | 218.1 |
| 2001 | 356.1 | 133.8 | 489.9 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 11.3 | 99.1 | 88.2 | 0.2 | 187.4 |
| 2002 | 513.6 | 98.9 | 612.5 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 9.2 | 94.6 | 62.5 | 3.7 | 160.8 |
| 2003 | 400.2 | 192.2 | 592.4 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 3.8 | 94.3 | 96.8 | 0.9 | 191.9 |
| 2004 | 159.6 | 105.1 | 264.6 | 0.12 | 0.34 | 9.7 | 33.2 | 40.7 | 5.3 | 79.2 |
| 2005 | 190.0 | 38.1 | 228.1 | 0.02 | 0.20 | 2.3 | 43.8 | 17.5 | 3.9 | 65.2 |
| 2006 | 90.6 | 63.4 | 154.0 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 26.9 | 18.5 | 41.6 | 1.3 | 61.4 |
| 2007 | 376.8 | 33.6 | 410.5 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 1.7 | 113.7 | 16.8 | 1.6 | 132.1 |
| 2008 | 68.0 | 81.4 | 149.4 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 25.2 | 18.6 | 50.2 | 1.7 | 70.6 |
| 2009 | 240.7 | 21.1 | 261.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.9 | 78.6 | 16.4 | 5.6 | 100.6 |
| 2010 | 193.1 | 62.1 | 255.2 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 16.6 | 46.1 | 44.3 | 0.4 | 90.9 |
| 2011 | $252.3^{\text {a/ }}$ | 64.8 | 317.1 | $0.03^{\text {a/ }}$ | 0.08 | 84.9 | 59.0 | 41.0 | 2.0 | 102.0 |
| 2012 | 1,157.2 ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | $81.1^{\text {a/ }}$ | 1,238.3 | $N A^{\text {c/ }}$ | $0.08{ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | 21.5 | 248.5 | 51.4 | 2.2 | 302.1 |

a/ Preliminary: incomplete cohort data (age-5 unavailable).
b/ Preliminary: incomplete cohort data (age-4 and age-5 unavailable).
c/ Not estimated: incomplete cohort data (age-4 and age-5 unavailable).
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a/ Original preseason forecasts for years 1985-2001 were for May 1 ( t ; converted to Sept. 1 ( $\mathrm{t}-1$ ) forecasts by dividing the assumed May 1 (t) number by
the Sept. 1 (t-1) through May 1 (t) survival rate in those years: 0.5 age-3, 0.8 age-4, 0.8 age-5. the Sept. $1(\mathrm{t}-1)$ through May $1(\mathrm{t})$ survival rate in those years: 0.5 age-3, 0.8 age- $4,0.8$ age- 5 .
b/ A scalar of 0.75 w as applied to the jack count to produce the forecast because, (1) most jacks returned to the Trinity River, and (2) the jack count was outside the database range.
c/ Postseason estimates are preliminary.
d/ Does not include age-5 adults.

TABLE II-5. Summary of management objectives and predictor performance for Klamath River fall Chinook.

|  | Preseason Ocean Abundance Forecast ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ Sept. 1 (t-1) |  | Postseason Ocean Abundance Estimate Sept.$1(t-1)$ |  | Preseason Age-4 <br> Harvest Rate Forecast ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ |  | Postseason Age-4 Harvest Rate Estimate ${ }^{\mathrm{c} /}$ |  | Preseason Adult Harvest Forecast |  | Postseason Adult Harvest Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year(t) | Age-3 | Age-4 | Age-3 | Age-4 | Ocean | River | Ocean | River | Ocean | River | Ocean | River |
| 1986 | 426,000 | 66,250 | 1,304,409 | 140,823 | 0.28 | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0.67 | 72,000 | 37,700 | 301,999 | 46,154 |
| 1987 | 511,800 | 206,125 | 781,198 | 341,875 | 0.28 | 0.53 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 121,200 | 78,200 | 277,224 | 73,265 |
| 1988 | 370,800 | 186,375 | 756,261 | 234,772 | 0.31 | 0.53 | 0.39 | 0.52 | 114,100 | 65,400 | 253,905 | 73,854 |
| 1989 | 450,600 | 215,500 | 369,828 | 177,245 | 0.30 | 0.49 | 0.36 | 0.70 | 128,100 | 67,600 | 125,117 | 54,340 |
| 1990 | 479,000 | 50,125 | 176,133 | 103,951 | 0.30 | 0.49 | 0.55 | 0.36 | 85,100 | 31,200 | 114,786 | 11,459 |
| 1991 | 176,200 | 44,625 | 69,424 | 37,172 | 0.13 | 0.28 | 0.18 | 0.45 | 16,700 | 12,800 | 9,872 | 13,581 |
| 1992 | 50,000 | 44,750 | 39,502 | 28,169 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.27 | 4,200 | 4,200 | 3,142 | 6,787 |
| 1993 | 294,400 | 39,125 | 168,473 | 15,037 | 0.12 | 0.43 | 0.16 | 0.49 | 20,100 | 22,500 | 11,355 | 12,808 |
| 1994 | 138,000 | 86,125 | 119,913 | 41,736 | 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.29 | 10,400 | 14,300 | 7,961 | 13,524 |
| 1995 | 269,000 | 47,000 | 784,260 | 28,725 | 0.07 | 0.32 | 0.14 | 0.19 | 13,500 | 18,500 | 32,233 | 21,637 |
| 1996 | 479,800 | 268,500 | 192,272 | 225,521 | 0.17 | 0.66 | 0.16 | 0.39 | 88,400 | 129,100 | 45,155 | 69,241 |
| 1997 | 224,600 | 53,875 | 140,153 | 62,820 | 0.10 | 0.43 | 0.06 | 0.26 | 17,600 | 26,500 | 8,656 | 17,764 |
| 1998 | 176,000 | 46,000 | 154,799 | 44,733 | 0.07 | 0.29 | 0.09 | 0.30 | 10,200 | 14,800 | 4,891 | 17,897 |
| 1999 | 84,800 | 78,750 | 129,066 | 30,456 | 0.10 | 0.28 | 0.09 | 0.45 | 12,300 | 18,100 | 5,116 | 16,942 |
| 2000 | 349,600 | 38,875 | 617,098 | 44,176 | 0.11 | 0.53 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 24,000 | 32,400 | 42,050 | 35,066 |
| 2001 | 187,200 | 247,000 | 356,128 | 133,801 | 0.14 | 0.61 | 0.09 | 0.29 | 45,600 | 105,300 | 21,747 | 50,780 |
| 2002 | 209,000 | 143,800 | 513,561 | 98,928 | 0.13 | 0.57 | 0.15 | 0.26 | 30,000 | 70,900 | 28,895 | 35,069 |
| 2003 | 171,300 | 132,400 | 400,242 | 192,156 | 0.16 | 0.50 | 0.21 | 0.28 | 30,600 | 52,200 | 70,684 | 39,715 |
| 2004 | 72,100 | 134,500 | 159,560 | 105,051 | 0.15 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.48 | 26,500 | 35,800 | 63,885 | 29,807 |
| 2005 | 185,700 | 48,900 | 189,976 | 38,079 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 7,100 | 9,600 | 12,826 | 10,001 |
| 2006 | 44,100 | 63,700 | 90,606 | 63,383 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,401 | 10,345 |
| 2007 | 515,400 | 26,100 | 376,841 | 33,615 | 0.16 | 0.63 | 0.21 | 0.56 | 30,200 | 51,400 | 30,244 | 33,884 |
| 2008 | 31,600 | 157,200 | 68,003 | 81,366 | 0.02 | 0.43 | 0.10 | 0.38 | 4,500 | 49,500 | 8,679 | 24,180 |
| 2009 | 474,900 | 25,200 | 240,726 | 21,124 | 0.00 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 100 | 61,700 | 51 | 34,040 |
| 2010 | 223,400 | 106,300 | 193,109 | 62,099 | 0.12 | 0.49 | 0.04 | 0.40 | 22,600 | 46,600 | 4,506 | 32,920 |
| 2011 | 304,600 | 61,600 | 252,308 | 64,768 | 0.16 | 0.54 | 0.08 | 0.34 | 26,900 | 42,700 | 12,270 | 30,502 |
| $2012{ }^{\text {d/ }}$ | 1,567,600 | 79,600 | 1,157,189 | 81,123 | 0.16 | 0.65 | 0.08 | 0.51 | 92,400 | 227,600 | 42,410 | 115,051 |
| 2013 | 390,700 | 331,200 | , | , | - | - | - | - | , | , | , | , |

a/ Original preseason forecasts for years 1986-2001 were for May 1 ( t ; converted to Sept. 1 ( $\mathrm{t}-1$ ) forecasts by dividing the May 1 ( t ) number by the assumed Sept. 1 ( t -1) through May 1 (t) survival rate assumed in those years: 0.5 age- $3,0.8$ age- $4,0.8$ age- 5 .
b/ Ocean harvest rate forecast is the fraction of the predicted ocean abundance expected to be harvested Sept. $1(t-1)$ through August 31(t). River harvest rate forecast is the fraction of the predicted river run expected to be harvested in river fisheries. Original ocean harvest rate forecasts for year ( $t$ ), 1986-2001, were based on a May 1 ( $t$ ) ocean abundance denominator; converted to Sept. $1(t-1)$ abundance denominator by multiplying former values by 0.8 (the assumed age-4 survival rate betw een Sept. 1 ( $t-1$ ) and May 1 ( t ) in those years).
c/ Ocean harvest rate is the fraction of the postseason ocean abundance harvested Sept. 1 ( $\mathrm{t}-1$ ) through August 31 ( t ). River harvest rate is the fraction of the river run harvested by river fisheries.
d/ Postseason estimates are preliminary.

TABLE II-6. Harvest levels and rates of age-3 and age-4 Klamath River fall Chinook. (Page 1 of 4)

| Year (t) | Ocean Fisheries (Sept. 1 (t-1) - Aug. 31 (t) ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | River Fisheries (t) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | KMZ |  |  | North of KMZ | South of KMZ | Subtotal | Ocean Total |  |  |  |
|  | Troll | Sport | Subtotal |  |  |  |  | Net | Sport | Total |
|  | HARVEST (numbers of fish) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 35,632 | 4,876 | 40,508 | 73,777 | 122,913 | 196,690 | 237,198 | 8,100 | 18,100 | 26,200 |
| 1987 | 17,240 | 5,083 | 22,323 | 43,439 | 56,378 | 99,817 | 122,140 | 11,400 | 11,400 | 22,800 |
| 1988 | 15,999 | 5,165 | 21,164 | 24,317 | 107,971 | 132,288 | 153,452 | 12,500 | 15,600 | 28,100 |
| 1989 | 6,456 | 11,783 | 18,239 | 15,315 | 23,729 | 39,044 | 57,283 | 2,700 | 900 | 3,600 |
| 1990 | 81 | 4,357 | 4,438 | 36,579 | 11,006 | 47,585 | 52,023 | 1,300 | 1,400 | 2,700 |
| 1991 | 0 | 1,022 | 1,022 | 344 | 810 | 1,154 | 2,176 | 2,123 | 1,277 | 3,400 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 972 | 0 | 972 | 972 | 970 | 251 | 1,221 |
| 1993 | 0 | 822 | 822 | 833 | 6,424 | 7,257 | 8,079 | 5,426 | 2,917 | 8,343 |
| 1994 | 42 | 604 | 646 | 0 | 3,387 | 3,387 | 4,033 | 4,543 | 965 | 5,508 |
| 1995 | 0 | 999 | 999 | 12,213 | 14,810 | 27,023 | 28,022 | 11,840 | 5,536 | 17,376 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,314 | 9,314 | 9,314 | 12,363 | 3,661 | 16,024 |
| 1997 | 0 | 232 | 232 | 620 | 1,215 | 1,835 | 2,067 | 2,166 | 2,736 | 4,902 |
| 1998 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 298 | 466 | 764 | 770 | 2,231 | 5,781 | 8,012 |
| 1999 | 63 | 180 | 243 | 1,262 | 433 | 1,695 | 1,938 | 4,981 | 1,748 | 6,729 |
| 2000 | 404 | 3,282 | 3,686 | 8,604 | 25,203 | 33,807 | 37,493 | 22,458 | 4,893 | 27,351 |
| 2001 | 113 | 105 | 218 | 2,749 | 6,082 | 8,831 | 9,049 | 17,885 | 7,294 | 25,179 |
| 2002 | 220 | 784 | 1,004 | 1,501 | 9,915 | 11,416 | 12,420 | 11,734 | 6,258 | 17,992 |
| 2003 | 173 | 679 | 852 | 1,885 | 27,309 | 29,194 | 30,046 | 6,996 | 5,061 | 12,057 |
| 2004 | 402 | 971 | 1,373 | 9,719 | 7,331 | 17,050 | 18,423 | 4,679 | 2,051 | 6,730 |
| 2005 | 0 | 568 | 568 | 619 | 2,381 | 3,000 | 3,568 | 4,394 | 1,641 | 6,035 |
| 2006 | 0 | 477 | 477 | 32 | 341 | 373 | 850 | 2,388 | 13 | 2,401 |
| 2007 | 770 | 8,099 | 8,869 | 4,193 | 9,365 | 13,558 | 22,427 | 17,543 | 5,734 | 23,277 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,225 | 608 | 3,833 |
| 2009 | 0 | 51 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 19,820 | 4,715 | 24,535 |
| 2010 | 112 | 28 | 140 | 0 | 1,667 | 1,667 | 1,807 | 13,132 | 1,884 | 15,016 |
| $2011{ }^{\text {a/ }}$ | 345 | 1,176 | 1,521 | 36 | 5,019 | 5,055 | 6,576 | 13,286 | 2,630 | 15,916 |
| $2012{ }^{\text {a/ }}$ | 1,428 | 14,581 | 16,009 | 1,231 | 16,737 | 17,968 | 33,977 | 74,905 | 11,874 | 86,779 |
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| Year (t) | Ocean Fisheries (Sept. 1 (t-1) - Aug. 31 (t) ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | River Fisheries (t) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | KMZ |  |  | North of KMZ | South of KMZ | Subtotal | Ocean Total |  |  |  |
|  | Troll | Sport | Subtotal |  |  |  |  | Net | Sport | Total |
|  | HARVEST (numbers of fish) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 7,745 | 1,113 | 8,858 | 23,486 | 31,913 | 55,399 | 64,257 | 17,000 | 2,900 | 19,900 |
| 1987 | 21,736 | 4,427 | 26,163 | 70,645 | 48,832 | 119,477 | 145,640 | 41,000 | 8,500 | 49,500 |
| 1988 | 11,870 | 3,596 | 15,466 | 26,381 | 50,296 | 76,677 | 92,143 | 38,600 | 6,200 | 44,800 |
| 1989 | 6,064 | 9,735 | 15,799 | 32,116 | 16,608 | 48,724 | 64,523 | 41,000 | 7,700 | 48,700 |
| 1990 | 3,997 | 2,919 | 6,916 | 39,627 | 10,624 | 50,251 | 57,167 | 6,000 | 2,200 | 8,200 |
| 1991 | 0 | 1,001 | 1,001 | 1,513 | 4,135 | 5,648 | 6,649 | 7,593 | 2,016 | 9,609 |
| 1992 | 171 | 55 | 226 | 1,783 | 12 | 1,795 | 2,021 | 4,360 | 723 | 5,083 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 849 | 1,616 | 2,465 | 2,465 | 3,786 | 243 | 4,029 |
| 1994 | 0 | 1,124 | 1,124 | 1,168 | 1,499 | 2,667 | 3,791 | 6,666 | 818 | 7,484 |
| 1995 | 0 | 242 | 242 | 1,879 | 1,771 | 3,650 | 3,892 | 2,957 | 480 | 3,437 |
| 1996 | 773 | 3,464 | 4,237 | 10,337 | 20,741 | 31,078 | 35,315 | 43,959 | 9,080 | 53,039 |
| 1997 | 3 | 172 | 175 | 463 | 2,994 | 3,457 | 3,632 | 8,734 | 2,586 | 11,320 |
| 1998 | 0 | 105 | 105 | 3,942 | 0 | 3,942 | 4,047 | 7,164 | 1,822 | 8,986 |
| 1999 | 15 | 381 | 396 | 1,657 | 696 | 2,353 | 2,749 | 8,789 | 494 | 9,283 |
| 2000 | 117 | 895 | 1,012 | 2,327 | 1,076 | 3,403 | 4,415 | 6,733 | 756 | 7,489 |
| 2001 | 1,312 | 1,604 | 2,916 | 5,819 | 3,926 | 9,745 | 12,661 | 20,759 | 4,819 | 25,578 |
| 2002 | 1,938 | 827 | 2,765 | 2,811 | 9,416 | 12,227 | 14,992 | 11,929 | 4,063 | 15,992 |
| 2003 | 834 | 918 | 1,752 | 7,855 | 30,007 | 37,862 | 39,614 | 22,754 | 4,592 | 27,346 |
| 2004 | 1,421 | 1,215 | 2,636 | 11,504 | 21,949 | 33,453 | 36,089 | 17,623 | 1,751 | 19,374 |
| 2005 | 247 | 317 | 564 | 5,243 | 1,909 | 7,152 | 7,716 | 3,048 | 304 | 3,352 |
| 2006 | 196 | 725 | 921 | 4,192 | 985 | 5,177 | 6,098 | 7,569 | 42 | 7,611 |
| 2007 | 270 | 2,336 | 2,606 | 1,991 | 2,472 | 4,463 | 7,069 | 8,987 | 502 | 9,489 |
| 2008 | 6,376 | 1,105 | 7,481 | 546 | 113 | 659 | 8,140 | 17,891 | 1,260 | 19,151 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,831 | 706 | 6,537 |
| 2010 | 43 | 112 | 155 | 889 | 1,485 | 2,374 | 2,529 | 16,630 | 1,134 | 17,764 |
| 2011 | 418 | 176 | 594 | 1,046 | 3,791 | 4,837 | 5,431 | 12,587 | 1,466 | 14,053 |
| $2012^{\text {a }}$ | 348 | 2,158 | 2,506 | 787 | 3,065 | 3,852 | 6,358 | 24,763 | 1,646 | 26,409 |

TABLE ll-6. Harvest levels and rates of age-3 and age-4 Klamath River fall Chinook. (Page 3 of 4)

| Year (t) | Ocean Fisheries (Sept. 1 (t-1) - Aug. 31 (t) ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | River Fisheries (t) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | KMZ |  |  | North of KMZ | South of KMZ | Subtotal | Ocean Total |  |  |  |
|  | Troll | Sport | Subtotal |  |  |  |  | Net | Sport | Total |
|  | HARVEST RATE ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-3 $0.00{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.16 |
| 1987 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.25 |
| 1988 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.28 |
| 1989 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.07 |
| 1990 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.21 | 0.06 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.23 |
| 1991 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.21 | 0.13 | 0.34 |
| 1992 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.18 |
| 1993 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.17 |
| 1994 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.15 |
| 1995 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.09 |
| 1996 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.32 | 0.09 | 0.41 |
| 1997 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.14 |
| 1998 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.14 |
| 1999 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.23 |
| 2000 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.15 |
| 2001 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 0.25 |
| 2002 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.19 |
| 2003 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.13 |
| 2004 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.20 |
| 2005 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.14 |
| 2006 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.13 |
| 2007 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.20 |
| 2008 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.21 |
| 2009 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.06 | 0.31 |
| 2010 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.28 | 0.04 | 0.33 |
| $2011{ }^{\text {a/ }}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.23 | 0.04 | 0.27 |
| $2012^{\text {a/ }}$ | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.30 | 0.05 | 0.35 |

TABLE ll-6. Harvest levels and rates of age-3 and age-4 Klamath River fall Chinook. (Page 4 of 4)

| Year (t) | Ocean Fisheries (Sept. 1 (t-1) - Aug. 31 (t) ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | River Fisheries (t) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | KMZ |  |  | North ofKMZ | South of KMZ | Subtotal | Ocean Total |  |  |  |
|  | Troll | Sport | Subtotal |  |  |  |  | Net | Sport | Total |
|  | HARVEST RATE ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.39 | 0.46 | 0.57 | 0.10 | 0.67 |
| 1987 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.36 | 0.08 | 0.44 |
| 1988 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.33 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.07 | 0.52 |
| 1989 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.59 | 0.11 | 0.70 |
| 1990 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.38 | 0.10 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 0.26 | 0.10 | 0.36 |
| 1991 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.09 | 0.45 |
| 1992 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.04 | 0.27 |
| 1993 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.46 | 0.03 | 0.49 |
| 1994 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.26 | 0.03 | 0.29 |
| 1995 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.03 | 0.19 |
| 1996 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.07 | 0.39 |
| 1997 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.26 |
| 1998 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.30 |
| 1999 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.43 | 0.02 | 0.45 |
| 2000 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.02 | 0.25 |
| 2001 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.05 | 0.29 |
| 2002 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.26 |
| 2003 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.05 | 0.28 |
| 2004 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.04 | 0.48 |
| 2005 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.02 | 0.19 |
| 2006 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.18 |
| 2007 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.53 | 0.03 | 0.56 |
| 2008 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.03 | 0.38 |
| 2009 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.04 | 0.40 |
| 2010 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.37 | 0.03 | 0.40 |
| 2011 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.31 | 0.04 | 0.34 |
| $2012^{\text {a/ }}$ | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.48 | 0.03 | 0.51 |

a/ Preliminary (incomplete cohort).
b/ Ocean harvest rates are the fraction of Sept. 1 (t-1) ocean abundance harvested in these fisheries. River harvest rates are the fraction of the river run (t) harvested in these fisheries.

TABLE II-7. Rogue River fall Chinook in river run and ocean population indices

| Return <br> Year | Inriver Run Index in Thousands of Fish ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |  |  |  |  | Ocean Impact Rate by Age ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ |  | Rogue Ocean Population Index (ROPI) in Thousands of Fish ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age-2 | Age-3 | Age-4 | Age-5 | Total ${ }^{\text {d/ }}$ | Age-3 | Age-4-5 | Age-3 | Age-4 | Age-5 | Total |
| 1982 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 0.30 | 0.52 | 9.8 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 13.0 |
| 1983 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.19 | 0.60 | 8.6 | 4.4 | 0.1 | 13.1 |
| 1984 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 3.5 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 9.9 | 4.7 | 0.2 | 14.8 |
| 1985 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 7.9 | 0.11 | 0.25 | 9.7 | 6.3 | 0.9 | 16.9 |
| 1986 | 3.1 | 12.5 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 18.4 | 0.18 | 0.46 | 71.3 | 5.9 | 1.0 | 78.2 |
| 1987 | 2.6 | 7.8 | 18.1 | 0.4 | 28.9 | 0.16 | 0.43 | 80.3 | 36.3 | 0.6 | 117.2 |
| 1988 | 1.4 | 4.8 | 25.2 | 1.5 | 32.9 | 0.20 | 0.39 | 17.3 | 47.9 | 2.5 | 67.7 |
| 1989 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 7.8 | 0.15 | 0.36 | 8.4 | 7.2 | 3.2 | 18.8 |
| 1990 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 0.30 | 0.55 | 6.0 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 11.2 |
| 1991 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 7.3 |
| 1992 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 4.4 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 7.4 |
| 1993 | 0.3 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 5.8 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 16.1 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 19.9 |
| 1994 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 5.8 | 0.9 | 8.0 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 3.0 | 9.5 | 0.9 | 13.4 |
| 1995 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 4.3 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 8.3 |
| 1996 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 2.4 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 5.3 |
| 1997 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 5.2 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 7.0 |
| 1998 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 8.0 |
| 1999 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 4.8 |
| 2000 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 9.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 11.4 |
| 2001 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 14.1 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 20.0 |
| 2002 | 0.9 | 4.0 | 7.1 | 0.8 | 12.7 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 32.2 | 9.1 | 0.9 | 42.2 |
| 2003 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 12.0 | 0.4 | 15.6 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 14.4 | 22.1 | 0.5 | 37.0 |
| 2004 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 4.9 | 2.9 | 8.8 | 0.12 | 0.34 | 3.9 | 9.7 | 4.4 | 18.0 |
| $2005{ }^{\text {f/ }}$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.02 | 0.20 | 7.6 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 13.4 |
| $2006{ }^{\text {f/ }}$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.01 | 0.10 | 4.9 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 8.6 |
| $2007{ }^{\text {f/ }}$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.06 | 0.21 | 5.8 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 10.2 |
| $2008{ }^{\text {f/ }}$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.00 | 0.10 | 6.9 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 12.2 |
| 2009 ${ }^{\text {// }}$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.1 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 10.7 |
| $2010^{\text {f/ }}$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.01 | 0.04 | 9.8 | 6.5 | 1.1 | 17.3 |
| $2011{ }^{\text {f/ }}$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.08 | $9.5{ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 6.3 | 1.0 | $16.8{ }^{\text {e/ }}$ |
| $2012{ }^{\text {f/ }}$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | - | - | $25.4{ }^{\text {g/ }}$ | $16.8{ }^{\text {g/ }}$ | 2.7 | $45.0{ }^{9 /}$ |
| $2013{ }^{\text {f/ }}$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | - | - | $11.2{ }^{\text {g/ }}$ | $7.4^{9 /}$ | $1.2^{9 /}$ | $19.9{ }^{\text {g/ }}$ |

a/ Index based on carcass counts in spaw ning survey index areas. Carcass counts in 1978, 1979, and 1980 adjusted for prespaw ning mortality. Age composition developed from carcass scale sampling
b/ Exploitation rates since 1981 are based on Klamath River fall Chinook cohort analysis, 1977-1980 based on 1981-1983 average.
c/ Based on cohort reconstruction methods. Index values for 2011 predicted from regression equations; postseason estimates are not available
d/ Excludes age-6 fish.
e/ Preliminary, complete cohort not available, mean maturity rate used to derive estimate.
f/ Spaw ning surveys w ere discontinued 2005
$\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{Preseason}$ forecast.

TABLE II-8. Predicted and postseason returns of Columbia River adult fall Chinook in thousands of fish. (Page 1 of 3 )

|  | March Preseason | April STT Modeled |  | March | April |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Forecast $^{\text {al/ }}$ | Forecast ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | Postseason Return | Pre/Postseason | Pre/Postseason |


|  |  |  | URB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 285.90 | 286.10 | 281.60 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| 1987 | 436.40 | 436.40 | 420.70 | 1.04 | 1.04 |
| 1988 | 450.70 | 446.50 | 339.90 | 1.33 | 1.31 |
| 1989 | 234.00 | 231.80 | 261.30 | 0.90 | 0.89 |
| 1990 | 127.20 | 126.90 | 153.60 | 0.83 | 0.83 |
| 1991 | 88.80 | 88.90 | 103.30 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| 1992 | 68.40 | 66.30 | 81.00 | 0.84 | 0.82 |
| 1993 | 84.50 | 82.70 | 102.90 | 0.82 | 0.80 |
| 1994 | 85.40 | 94.70 | 132.80 | 0.64 | 0.71 |
| 1995 | 103.70 | 125.00 | 106.50 | 0.97 | 1.17 |
| 1996 | 88.90 | 94.20 | 143.20 | 0.62 | 0.66 |
| 1997 | 166.40 | 158.00 | 161.70 | 1.03 | 0.98 |
| 1998 | 150.80 | 141.80 | 142.30 | 1.06 | 1.00 |
| 1999 | 147.50 | 102.10 | 166.10 | 0.89 | 0.61 |
| 2000 | 171.10 | 208.20 | 155.70 | 1.10 | 1.34 |
| 2001 | 127.20 | 132.70 | 232.60 | 0.55 | 0.57 |
| 2002 | 281.00 | 273.80 | 276.90 | 1.01 | 0.99 |
| 2003 | 280.40 | 253.20 | 373.20 | 0.75 | 0.68 |
| 2004 | 292.20 | 287.00 | 367.90 | 0.79 | 0.78 |
| 2005 | 352.20 | 354.60 | 268.70 | 1.31 | 1.32 |
| 2006 | 253.90 | 249.10 | 230.40 | 1.10 | 1.08 |
| 2007 | 182.40 | 185.20 | 112.60 | 1.62 | 1.64 |
| 2008 | 162.50 | 165.90 | 196.90 | 0.83 | 0.84 |
| 2009 | 259.90 | 269.80 | 212.00 | 1.23 | 1.27 |
| 2010 | 310.80 | 319.10 | 324.90 | 0.96 | 0.98 |
| 2011 | 398.20 | 399.50 | 324.10 | 1.23 | 1.23 |
| 2012 | 353.50 | 353.00 | 298.10 | 1.19 | 1.18 |
| $2013{ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | 432.50 |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  | LRW |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 15.70 | NA | 24.50 | 0.64 | NA |
| 1987 | 29.20 | NA | 37.90 | 0.77 | NA |
| 1988 | 43.30 | 42.10 | 41.70 | 1.04 | 1.01 |
| 1989 | 27.30 | 26.90 | 38.60 | 0.71 | 0.70 |
| 1990 | 23.70 | 23.40 | 20.30 | 1.17 | 1.15 |
| 1991 | 12.70 | 12.70 | 19.80 | 0.64 | 0.64 |
| 1992 | 17.40 | 16.70 | 12.50 | 1.39 | 1.34 |
| 1993 | 12.50 | 11.90 | 13.30 | 0.94 | 0.89 |
| 1994 | 14.70 | 13.20 | 12.20 | 1.20 | 1.08 |
| 1995 | 12.40 | 11.50 | 16.00 | 0.78 | 0.72 |
| 1996 | 8.80 | 8.10 | 14.60 | 0.60 | 0.55 |
| 1997 | 7.50 | 7.20 | 12.30 | 0.61 | 0.59 |
| 1998 | 8.10 | 7.00 | 7.30 | 1.11 | 0.96 |
| 1999 | 2.60 | 2.50 | 3.30 | 0.79 | 0.76 |
| 2000 | 3.50 | 2.70 | 10.20 | 0.34 | 0.26 |
| 2001 | 16.70 | 18.50 | 15.70 | 1.06 | 1.18 |
| 2002 | 18.70 | 18.30 | 24.90 | 0.75 | 0.73 |
| 2003 | 24.60 | 23.40 | 26.00 | 0.95 | 0.90 |
| 2004 | 24.10 | 24.20 | 22.30 | 1.08 | 1.09 |
| 2005 | 20.20 | 21.40 | 16.80 | 1.20 | 1.27 |
| 2006 | 16.60 | 16.60 | 18.10 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| 2007 | 10.10 | 10.00 | 4.30 | 2.35 | 2.33 |
| 2008 | 3.80 | 3.80 | 7.10 | 0.54 | 0.54 |
| 2009 | 8.50 | 8.60 | 7.50 | 1.13 | 1.15 |
| 2010 | 9.70 | 10.00 | 10.90 | 0.89 | 0.92 |
| 2011 | 12.50 | 13.10 | 15.20 | 0.82 | 0.86 |
| 2012 | 16.20 | 16.20 | 13.90 | 1.17 | 1.17 |
| $2013{ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | 14.20 |  |  |  |  |

TABLE II-8. Predicted and postseason returns of Columbia River adult summer and fall Chinook in thousands of fish. (Page 2 of 3)

| Year | March Preseason Forecast ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ | April STT Modeled Forecast ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | Postseason Return | March Pre/Postseason | April Pre/Postseason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LRH |  |  |
| 1986 | 171.60 | 173.90 | 154.80 | 1.11 | 1.12 |
| 1987 | 294.90 | 298.70 | 344.10 | 0.86 | 0.87 |
| 1988 | 267.70 | 246.50 | 309.90 | 0.86 | 0.80 |
| 1989 | 104.90 | 97.50 | 130.90 | 0.80 | 0.74 |
| 1990 | 68.50 | 65.50 | 60.00 | 1.14 | 1.09 |
| 1991 | 71.40 | 73.10 | 62.70 | 1.14 | 1.17 |
| 1992 | 113.20 | 121.50 | 62.60 | 1.81 | 1.94 |
| 1993 | 79.30 | 77.70 | 52.30 | 1.52 | 1.49 |
| 1994 | 36.10 | 46.50 | 53.60 | 0.67 | 0.87 |
| 1995 | 35.80 | 42.40 | 46.40 | 0.77 | 0.91 |
| 1996 | 37.70 | 48.30 | 75.50 | 0.50 | 0.64 |
| 1997 | 54.20 | 68.70 | 57.40 | 0.94 | 1.20 |
| 1998 | 19.20 | 22.50 | 45.30 | 0.42 | 0.50 |
| 1999 | 34.80 | 38.20 | 40.00 | 0.87 | 0.96 |
| 2000 | 23.70 | 26.40 | 27.00 | 0.88 | 0.98 |
| 2001 | 32.20 | 30.50 | 94.30 | 0.34 | 0.32 |
| 2002 | 137.60 | 133.00 | 156.40 | 0.88 | 0.85 |
| 2003 | 115.90 | 116.90 | 155.00 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 2004 | 77.10 | 79.00 | 108.90 | 0.71 | 0.73 |
| 2005 | 74.10 | 78.44 | 78.30 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| 2006 | 55.80 | 57.50 | 58.30 | 0.96 | 0.99 |
| 2007 | 54.90 | 54.40 | 32.70 | 1.68 | 1.66 |
| 2008 | 59.00 | 55.90 | 60.30 | 0.98 | 0.93 |
| 2009 | 88.80 | 88.20 | 76.70 | 1.16 | 1.15 |
| 2010 | 90.60 | 85.60 | 103.00 | 0.88 | 0.83 |
| 2011 | 133.50 | 128.90 | 109.00 | 1.22 | 1.18 |
| 2012 | 127.00 | 128.40 | 84.80 | 1.50 | 1.51 |
| $2013{ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | 88.00 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  | SCH |  |  |
| 1986 | 16.00 | 16.20 | 16.60 | 0.96 | 0.98 |
| 1987 | 9.10 | 9.20 | 9.10 | 1.00 | 1.01 |
| 1988 | 6.50 | 5.90 | 12.00 | 0.54 | 0.49 |
| 1989 | 29.50 | 23.00 | 26.80 | 1.10 | 0.86 |
| 1990 | 27.30 | 23.70 | 18.90 | 1.44 | 1.25 |
| 1991 | 56.30 | 61.40 | 52.40 | 1.07 | 1.17 |
| 1992 | 40.90 | 41.30 | 29.50 | 1.39 | 1.40 |
| 1993 | 19.90 | 18.20 | 16.80 | 1.18 | 1.08 |
| 1994 | 20.20 | 28.90 | 18.50 | 1.09 | 1.56 |
| 1995 | 17.50 | 22.50 | 33.80 | 0.52 | 0.67 |
| 1996 | 27.60 | 35.40 | 33.10 | 0.83 | 1.07 |
| 1997 | 21.90 | 25.70 | 27.40 | 0.80 | 0.94 |
| 1998 | 14.20 | 14.20 | 20.20 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
| 1999 | 65.80 | 61.00 | 50.20 | 1.31 | 1.22 |
| 2000 | 21.90 | 26.90 | 20.50 | 1.07 | 1.31 |
| 2001 | 56.60 | 61.90 | 125.00 | 0.45 | 0.50 |
| 2002 | 144.40 | 136.00 | 160.80 | 0.90 | 0.85 |
| 2003 | 96.90 | 101.90 | 180.60 | 0.54 | 0.56 |
| 2004 | 138.00 | 150.00 | 175.30 | 0.79 | 0.86 |
| 2005 | 114.10 | 115.79 | 93.10 | 1.23 | 1.24 |
| 2006 | 50.00 | 51.80 | 27.90 | 1.79 | 1.86 |
| 2007 | 21.80 | 21.30 | 14.60 | 1.49 | 1.46 |
| 2008 | 87.20 | 86.20 | 91.90 | 0.95 | 0.94 |
| 2009 | 59.30 | 56.50 | 49.00 | 1.21 | 1.15 |
| 2010 | 169.00 | 162.90 | 130.80 | 1.29 | 1.25 |
| 2011 | 116.40 | 116.70 | 70.10 | 1.66 | 1.66 |
| 2012 | 63.80 | 60.00 | 56.80 | 1.12 | 1.06 |
| $2013{ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | 38.00 | - | - | - | - |

TABLE II-8. Predicted and postseason returns of Columbia River adult summer and fall Chinook in thousands of fish.

| (Page 3 of 3) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | March Preseason Forecast ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ | April STT Modeled Forecast ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | Postseason Return | March Pre/Postseason | April Pre/Postseason |
|  | MCB |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 69.50 | 69.30 | 58.90 | 1.18 | 1.18 |
| 1991 | 48.40 | 48.50 | 35.40 | 1.37 | 1.37 |
| 1992 | 42.50 | 40.70 | 31.10 | 1.37 | 1.31 |
| 1993 | 33.00 | 32.30 | 27.50 | 1.20 | 1.17 |
| 1994 | 23.90 | 26.70 | 33.70 | 0.71 | 0.79 |
| 1995 | 25.00 | 30.00 | 34.20 | 0.73 | 0.88 |
| 1996 | 40.80 | 43.20 | 59.70 | 0.68 | 0.72 |
| 1997 | 72.10 | 61.90 | 59.00 | 1.22 | 1.05 |
| 1998 | 47.80 | 44.90 | 36.80 | 1.30 | 1.22 |
| 1999 | 38.30 | 27.70 | 50.70 | 0.76 | 0.55 |
| 2000 | 50.60 | 61.60 | 36.80 | 1.38 | 1.67 |
| 2001 | 43.50 | 45.30 | 76.40 | 0.57 | 0.59 |
| 2002 | 96.20 | 91.80 | 108.40 | 0.89 | 0.85 |
| 2003 | 104.80 | 94.60 | 150.20 | 0.70 | 0.63 |
| 2004 | 90.40 | 88.80 | 117.60 | 0.77 | 0.76 |
| 2005 | 89.40 | 89.73 | 98.00 | 0.91 | 0.92 |
| 2006 | 88.30 | 86.60 | 80.40 | 1.10 | 1.08 |
| 2007 | 68.00 | 69.10 | 46.90 | 1.45 | 1.47 |
| 2008 | 54.00 | 55.10 | 75.50 | 0.72 | 0.73 |
| 2009 | 94.40 | 97.90 | 73.10 | 1.29 | 1.34 |
| 2010 | 79.00 | 74.60 | 79.00 | 1.00 | 0.94 |
| 2011 | 100.00 | 100.40 | 85.40 | 1.17 | 1.18 |
| 2012 | 90.80 | 90.70 | 58.70 | 1.55 | 1.55 |
| $2013{ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | 105.20 |  | - | - | - |
|  | SUMMER |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 52.00 |  | 55.53 | 0.94 |  |
| 2009 | 70.70 |  | 53.88 | 1.31 |  |
| 2010 | 88.80 |  | 72.35 | 1.23 |  |
| 2011 | 91.10 |  | 80.57 | 1.13 |  |
| 2012 | 91.20 | 92.60 | 58.30 | 1.56 | 1.59 |
| $2013{ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | 73.50 |  | - | - |  |

a/ March preseason forecasts are ocean escapements based on terminal run size and stock-specific cohort relationships affected by the historical "normal" ocean fisheries, generally betw een 1979 and the most recent complete broods.
b/ STT-modeled forecasts adjust March preseason forecasts for Council-adopted ocean regulations each year, and should provide a more accurate estimate of expected ocean escapement.
c/ Postseason estimates are preliminary.

TABLE II-9. Comparison of preseason forecasts and postseason estimates of Puget Sound run size for summer/fall Chinook in thousands of fish. ${ }^{\text {a. }}$ (Page 1 of 4)

| Year | Preseason Forecast | Postseason Return | Pre/Postseason | Preseason Forecast | Postseason Return | Pre/Postseason | Preseason Forecast | Postseason Return | Pre/Postseason | Preseason Forecast | Postseason Return | Pre/Postseason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nooksack-Samish |  |  | East Sound Bay |  |  | Skagit |  |  |  | Skagit |  |
|  | Hatchery and Natural |  |  | Hatchery |  |  | Hatchery |  |  | Natural |  |  |
| 1993 | 50.4 | 32.3 | 1.53 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 0.84 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.71 | 14.0 | 6.9 | 2.00 |
| 1994 | 46.6 | 28.1 | 1.66 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 4.00 | 1.3 | 5.5 | 0.30 | 8.4 | 5.9 | 1.27 |
| 1995 | 38.5 | 22.3 | 1.73 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 17.50 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 0.48 | 5.0 | 9.2 | 0.52 |
| 1996 | 27.0 | 29.2 | 0.92 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 2.43 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.83 | 7.1 | 10.9 | 0.58 |
| 1997 | 34.0 | 41.7 | 0.99 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.00 | 0.1 | 0.0 | - | 6.4 | 6.1 | 1.03 |
| 1998 | 28.0 | 31.5 | 0.95 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.67 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 6.6 | 15.0 | 0.44 |
| 1999 | 27.0 | 42.1 | 0.66 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 7.67 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 7.6 | 5.3 | 1.46 |
| 2000 | 19.0 | 32.6 | 0.57 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 50.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 7.3 | 17.3 | 0.42 |
| 2001 | 34.9 | 65.6 | 0.55 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 16.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 9.1 | 14.1 | 0.65 |
| 2002 | 52.8 | 57.0 | 0.99 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 2.29 | 0.0 | 0.1 | - | 13.8 | 20.0 | 0.69 |
| 2003 | 45.8 | 30.0 | 1.51 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 8.00 | 0.0 | 0.3 | - | 13.7 | 10.3 | 1.38 |
| 2004 | 34.2 | 18.1 | 1.83 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 200.00 | 0.5 | 0.0 | - | 20.3 | 24.3 | 0.83 |
| 2005 | 19.5 | 16.5 | 1.07 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 13.33 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 3.50 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 0.99 |
| 2006 | 16.9 | 31.9 | 0.53 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 25.00 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.51 | 24.1 | 22.5 | 1.07 |
| 2007 | 18.8 | 26.5 | 0.71 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 66.67 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 2.75 | 15.0 | 13.0 | 1.15 |
| 2008 | 35.3 | 29.1 | 1.21 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 3.50 | 23.8 | 15.0 | 1.59 |
| 2009 | 23.0 | 20.9 | 1.10 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 25.00 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 6.00 | 23.4 | 12.5 | 1.87 |
| 2010 | 30.3 | 41.2 | 0.74 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 3.29 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 11.25 | 13.0 | 10.0 | 1.30 |
| $2011{ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | 37.5 | 40.9 | 0.92 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.57 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 15.00 | 14.3 | 9.2 | 1.55 |
| 2012 | 44.0 | NA | NA | 0.4 | NA | NA | 1.3 | NA | NA | 8.3 | NA | NA |
| 2013 | 47.2 | - | - | 1 2.0 | - | - | \| 0.3 | - | - | 12.9 | - | - |

TABLE I-9. Comparison of preseason forecasts and postseason estimates of Puget Sound run size for summer/fall Chinook in thousands of fish. ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ (Page 2 of 4)

| Year | Preseason Forecast | Postseason Return | Pre/Postseason | Preseason Forecast | Postseason Return | Pre/Postseason | Preseason Forecast | Postseason Return | Pre/Postseason | Preseason Forecast | Postseason Return | Pre/Postseason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stillaguamish ${ }^{\text {cl }}$ |  |  | Snohomish ${ }^{\text {cl }}$ Hatchery |  |  | Snohomish ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ |  |  | Tulalip ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Natural | Hatchery |  |  |
| 1993 | NA | 1.3 | - |  |  |  | 1.6 | 2.7 | 0.58 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 0.89 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 2.03 |
| 1994 | NA | 1.3 | - | 1.8 | 5.4 | 0.33 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 0.90 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 1.59 |
| 1995 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 1.92 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 0.54 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 1.08 | 2.3 | 8.5 | 0.27 |
| 1996 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.04 | 6.7 | 4.6 | 1.47 | 4.2 | 5.9 | 0.71 | 2.7 | 11.5 | 0.24 |
| 1997 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.36 | 7.7 | 12.0 | 0.64 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 1.19 | 4.0 | 8.7 | 0.46 |
| 1998 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.03 | 6.5 | 4.7 | 1.37 | 5.6 | 6.4 | 0.88 | 2.5 | 7.2 | 0.35 |
| 1999 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.36 | 7.8 | 4.7 | 1.65 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 1.16 | 4.5 | 15.2 | 0.30 |
| 2000 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.21 | 6.2 | 1.9 | 3.20 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 0.98 | 5.0 | 8.3 | 0.60 |
| 2001 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.22 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 4.57 | 5.8 | 8.4 | 0.69 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 1.08 |
| 2002 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.25 | 6.8 | 2.6 | 2.66 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 0.92 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 1.12 |
| 2003 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.98 | 9.4 | 5.8 | 1.63 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 0.99 | 6.0 | 8.7 | 0.69 |
| 2004 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 1.19 | 10.1 | 6.4 | 1.58 | 15.7 | 11.2 | 1.40 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 1.05 |
| 2005 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.42 | 9.9 | 4.0 | 2.48 | 14.2 | 5.0 | 2.84 | 6.4 | 7.4 | 0.86 |
| 2006 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.26 | 9.6 | 4.3 | 2.23 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 0.99 | 9.3 | 5.8 | 1.60 |
| 2007 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 2.38 | 8.7 | 6.6 | 1.32 | 12.3 | 4.0 | 3.08 | 8.4 | 6.1 | 1.38 |
| 2008 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.61 | 8.8 | 6.3 | 1.40 | 6.5 | 8.7 | 0.75 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 0.84 |
| 2009 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.42 | 4.9 | 2.2 | 2.23 | 8.4 | 2.3 | 3.65 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 2.35 |
| 2010 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.40 | 5.6 | 2.7 | 2.07 | 9.9 | 4.8 | 2.06 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 1.06 |
| $2011{ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.38 | 5.2 | 3.1 | 1.68 | 7.4 | 2.0 | 3.70 | 3.5 | 5.8 | 0.60 |
| 2012 | 0.9 | NA | NA | 3.9 | NA | NA | 2.8 | NA | NA | 5.9 | NA | NA |
| 2013 | 1.3 | - | - | 5.9 | - | - | 3.6 | - | - | \\| 10.9 | - | - |

TABLEII-9. Comparison of preseason forecasts and postseason estimates of Puget Sound run size for summer/fall Chinook in thousands of fish. ${ }^{2 /}$ (Page 3 of 4)

| Year | Preseason Forecast | Postseason Return | Pre/Postseason | Preseason Forecast | Postseason Return | Pre/Postseason | Preseason Forecast | Postseason Return | Pre/Postseason | Preseason Forecast | Postseason Return | Pre/Postseason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | South Puget Sound |  |  | South Puget Sound |  |  | Strait of Juan de Fuca |  |  | Strait of Juan de Fuca |  |  |
|  |  | Hatchery |  |  | Natural |  |  | Hatchery |  |  | Natural |  |
| 1993 | 61.8 | 43.1 | 1.68 | 26.5 | 9.6 | 1.34 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 3.50 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 1.29 |
| 1994 | 52.7 | 49.9 | 1.08 | 18.0 | 10.5 | 0.60 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 2.44 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.00 |
| 1995 | 49.6 | 75.4 | 0.67 | 21.7 | 24.9 | 0.63 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 30.00 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 0.33 |
| 1996 | 51.9 | 53.2 | 0.89 | 19.0 | 16.5 | 0.53 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 14.00 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 0.29 |
| 1997 | 65.1 | 38.3 | 1.40 | 18.2 | 15.9 | 0.88 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 7.33 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 0.23 |
| 1998 | 67.8 | 49.6 | 1.24 | 21.8 | 14.6 | 0.79 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.00 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 0.47 |
| 1999 | 59.4 | 67.3 | 0.71 | 19.6 | 33.5 | 1.15 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 2.71 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 0.33 |
| 2000 | 77.5 | 47.4 | 1.39 | 17.5 | 39.5 | 1.26 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.67 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.65 |
| 2001 | 73.7 | 76.6 | 0.76 | 16.2 | 60.6 | 0.80 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.00 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 1.75 |
| 2002 | 90.8 | 69.3 | 1.07 | 16.9 | 57.0 | 0.79 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.00 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 0.97 |
| 2003 | 86.6 | 57.2 | 1.14 | 19.6 | 38.6 | 1.28 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.00 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 0.72 |
| 2004 | 86.5 | 66.6 | 1.16 | 17.5 | 42.3 | 0.61 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.00 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 0.85 |
| 2005 | 83.1 | 73.9 | 0.95 | 17.7 | 19.0 | 0.46 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.00 | 4.2 | 2.1 | 2.00 |
| 2006 | 85.8 | 104.1 | 0.82 | 21.3 | 37.0 | 0.58 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.00 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 1.31 |
| 2007 | 83.0 | 140.3 | 0.59 | 17.0 | 30.1 | 0.56 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.00 | 4.4 | 1.3 | 3.38 |
| 2008 | 101.6 | 90.6 | 1.12 | 21.1 | 32.2 | 0.65 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.00 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 2.67 |
| 2009 | 93.0 | 72.7 | 1.28 | 17.2 | 13.3 | 1.29 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.00 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.85 |
| 2010 | 97.4 | 82.9 | 1.17 | 12.7 | 13.9 | 0.91 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.00 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 0.73 |
| $2011{ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | 118.6 | 83.9 | 1.41 | 8.9 | 5.6 | 1.59 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.00 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 0.86 |
| 2012 | 95.8 | NA | NA | 8.9 | NA | NA | 0.0 | NA | NA | 2.9 | NA | NA |
| 2013 | 102.0 | - | - | 5.0 | - | - | 2.7 | - | - | 1.6 | - | - |

TABLE II-9. Comparison of preseason forecasts and postseason estimates of Puget Sound run size for summer/fall Chinook in thousands of fish. ${ }^{\text {al }}$ (Page 4 of 4)

a/ Puget Sound run size is defined as the run available to Puget Sound net fisheries. Does not include fish caught by troll and recreational fisheries inside Puget Sound.
b/ Postseason returns are preliminary.
c/ These numbers are in terms of terminal run of Chinook returning to area 8A. This includes all adult Chinook harvested in the net fisheries in Areas 8A, 8D, the
Stillaguamish and Snohomish Rivers; harvest in sport fisheries in Area 8D and the Stillaguamish and Snohomish Rivers; and escapement.


FIGURE II-1. The Sacramento Index (SI) and relative levels of its components. The Sacramento River fall Chinook $S_{\text {MSY }}$ of 122,000 adult spawners is noted on the vertical axis.


FIGURE II-2. Regression estimator for the SI based on previous year's escapement of Sacramento River fall Chinook jacks. Years shown are SI years.


FIGURE II-3. Regression estimators for Klamath River fall Chinook ocean abundance (September 1) based on that year's river return of same cohort. Numbers in plots denote brood years.


Lower Columbia Hatchery Tule Chinook


FIGURE II-4. Selected preseason vs. postseason forecasts for Chinook stocks with substantive contribution to Council area fisheries.
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## CHAPTER III - COHO SALMON ASSESMENT

## COLUMBIA RIVER AND OREGON/CALIFORNIA COAST COHO

## OREGON PRODUCTION INDEX AREA

The majority of coho harvested in the OPI area originate from stocks produced in rivers located within the OPI area (Leadbetter Point, Washington, to the U.S./Mexico border). These stocks include hatchery and natural production from the Columbia River, Oregon Coast, and northern California, and are divided into the following components: (1) public hatchery (OPIH), (2) Oregon coastal natural (OCN), including river and lake components, (3) Lower Columbia natural (LCN), and (4) natural and hatchery stocks south of Cape Blanco, Oregon, which include the Rogue, Klamath, and Northern California coastal stocks. Direct comparisons of 2013 abundance forecasts with recent year preseason abundance forecasts and postseason estimates are reported in Table III-1.

Beginning in 2008, a new method was developed to estimate coho abundances for both the natural and hatchery components of the Columbia River and the Oregon coast. The traditional method of stock abundance estimation used only catch data from Leadbetter Point, Washington, to the U.S./Mexico border. The assumption prior to 2008 was that OPI stocks that were caught north of the OPI area were balanced by northern stocks that were caught inside the OPI area. This assumption was valid as long as fisheries north and south were balanced. However, in recent years, fisheries to the south have been more restrictive than those to the north, leading to underestimation of harvest of OPI area stocks. In addition, the estimation technique was not consistent with the methods used in Coho FRAM. The Mixed Stock Model (MSM) used for constructing the FRAM base period data was used to estimate the contribution of various coho stocks, including the OPI area stocks, to ocean fisheries and was based on CWT recoveries and associated tag rates. The MSM includes all fisheries that impact a particular stock, and therefore should provide a better overall accounting of total harvest and mortality of both Columbia River and Oregon coast coho stocks. The new run size estimates are based on the 1986-1997 base period and backwards FRAM run reconstructions for more recent years. The Oregon Production Index Technical Team (OPITT) decided to use the MSM run reconstruction database for future accounting and forecasts. The MSM estimates were refined for use in 2009, with particular attention to the base period reconstruction for OCN coho. In 2010, the relationship between the MSM and previous time series was reconsidered. The changes in fishery effort patterns that resulted in biased harvest estimates began in the mid- to late-1990s, so the first few years of the MSM time series should be equivalent to the previous time series. This was used as justification to use the MSM data set as a continuation of the previous time series starting in 1986. In 2013, the OPI hatchery and OCN predictors used the longer, merged time series. This results in a higher level of statistical significance for the predictors, as shown below, and lower residuals in most recent years.

## Hatchery Coho

OPI area public hatchery coho smolt production occurs primarily in Columbia River facilities and net pens. Several facilities located in Oregon coastal rivers and in the Klamath River Basin, California, collectively produce fewer coho. Salmon Trout Enhancement Hatchery Coho Smolt Program (STEP) program releases were discontinued after the 2004 brood. OPI area smolt releases since 1960 are reported by geographic area in Appendix D, Table D-1.

There have been no Oregon coastal private hatchery coho (PRIH) coho smolt releases since 1990.

## Predictor Description

Prior to 2008, the OPIH stock predictor was a multiple linear regression with the following variables: (1) Columbia River jacks (Jack CR), (2) Oregon coastal and Klamath River Basin jacks (Jack OC), and (3) a
correction term for the proportion of delayed smolts released from Columbia River hatcheries (Jack CR * [SmD/SmCR]).

In 2008, the stock predictor was modified slightly from that used in previous years. Because of the shorter data set (1986-2007 vs. 1970-2007) and the near-total phase-out of coastal coho salmon hatcheries, the factor for Oregon and California jacks (Jack OC) was not significant in the regression. A simplified model with all OPI jacks combined into one term (Jack OPI) was used, and all parameters were significant. In 2011, the longer (1970-2010) time series was used with the simplified model.

The OPIH stock predictor is partitioned into Columbia River early and late stocks based on the proportion of the 2012 jack returns of each stock adjusted for stock-specific maturation rates. The coastal hatchery stock is partitioned into northern and southern coastal stock components. The northern OPIH coastal stock is comprised of hatchery production from the central Oregon Coast. The southern OPIH coastal stock is comprised of hatchery production from the Rogue River basin in southern Oregon and the Klamath and Trinity basins in northern California. The 2013 partition was based on the proportion of the smolt releases in 2012.

For the 2013 abundance forecast, the data base includes 1970-2012 recruits and 1969-2011 jack returns (in thousands of fish). The model was:

$$
\operatorname{OPIH}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{a} * \operatorname{Jack} \operatorname{OPI}(\mathrm{t}-1)+\mathrm{b} *(\operatorname{Jack} \mathrm{CR}(\mathrm{t}-1) *[\operatorname{SmD}(\mathrm{t}-1) / \operatorname{SmCR}(\mathrm{t}-1)])
$$

Where:

| a | $=$ | 18.37 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| b | $=$ | 26.99 |
| djusted $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ | $=$ | 0.98 |

The OPIH stock data set and a definition of the above terms are presented in Appendix D, Table D-2.

## Predictor Performance

Recent year OPIH stock preseason abundance forecasts, partitioned by production area, stock, and as a total, are compared with postseason estimates in Table III-1. The 2012 preseason abundance prediction of 341,700 OPIH coho was 1.87 times the preliminary postseason estimate of 182,300 coho.

Since 1983, the OPIH predictor has performed well (Figure III-1a). The years with the highest variations were due principally to high interannual variability in the jack-to-adult ratios.

## Stock Forecast and Status

Using the appropriate values from Appendix D, Table D-2, the OPIH abundance forecast for 2013 is 525,400 coho, 1.54 times the 2012 prediction and 2.88 times the preliminary 2012 postseason estimate.

## Oregon Coastal Natural Coho

The OCN stock is composed of natural production north of Cape Blanco, Oregon from river (OCNR) and lake (OCNL) systems, which are forecasted independently.

Impacts to ESA-listed stocks like OCN (and SONCC and CCC) coho are managed consistent with ESA consultation standards.

## Predictor Description

## Oregon Coastal Natural Rivers

Prior to 2010 a variety of methods were used to forecast OCNR coho abundance. Beginning in 2011, generalized additive models (GAMs) were used to relate OCNR recruitment to ocean environment indices. Nine variables were evaluated, ranging from indices of large-scale ocean patterns (e.g., Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO)) to local ecosystem variables (e.g., sea surface temperature at Charleston, OR). It was found that high explanatory power and promising forecast skill could be achieved when the mean May-July PDO averaged over the four years prior to the return year was used in combination with two other variables in a GAM. The multi-year average of the PDO, in essence, explains the lower frequency (multi-year) variability in recruitment and can be viewed as a replacement of the Regime Index used previously. A final set of six models using six different environmental indices plus parent spawner abundance was chosen from the possible model combinations. When averaging the predictions from the set of models (the ensemble mean), a higher skill (in terms of variance explained or cross-validation) was achieved than by selecting any single model. Making multiple forecasts from a set of models also provides a range of possible outcomes that reflects, to some degree, the uncertainty in understanding how salmon productivity is driven by ocean conditions.

The GAM with 3 predictor variables can be expressed in the following general form:
$\hat{Y}=f\left(X_{1}\right)+f\left(X_{2}\right)+f\left(X_{3}\right)+\varepsilon$

Where $\hat{Y}$ is the prediction, $X_{1}$ through $X_{3}$ are the predictor variables, and $\varepsilon$ is the deviation of $\hat{Y}$ from the observation $Y$. For the prediction, $Y$ was the log-transformation of annual recruit abundance. The term $f$ represents a smooth function, which in this case is a cubic spline.

GAM Model Predictor used for 2013 forecast was:

Ensemble Mean of six forecasts based on environmental conditions and spawners.

| Variables |  | Prediction | $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ | OCV $^{\text {al }}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PDO | Spring Transition (Julian date; t-1) | Log Spawners (t-3) | 174,600 | 0.76 | 0.66 |
| PDO | Multivariate ENSO Index (Oct-Dec; t-1) | Upwelling (July-Sept; t-1) | 152,300 | 0.75 | 0.65 |
| PDO | Spring Transition (Julian date; t-1) | Multivariate ENSO Index (Oct-Dec; t-1) | 170,300 | 0.74 | 0.66 |
| PDO | Upwelling (July-Sept; t-1) | Sea Surface Temperature (May-Jul; t-1) | 171,200 | 0.73 | 0.63 |
| PDO | Sea Surface Height (Apr-June; t-1) | Upwelling (July-Sept; t-1) | 130,500 | 0.74 | 0.64 |
| PDO | Upwelling (Sept-Nov; t-1) | Sea Surface Temperature (Jan; t) | 199,800 | 0.72 | 0.63 |
| Ensemble Mean <br> (90\% prediction intervals) | 165,100 | 0.78 | 0.69 |  |  |

a/ OCV - ordinary cross-validation score
The OCNR stock data set and a definition of the above terms are presented in Appendix D, Table D-4.

## Oregon Coastal Natural Lakes

Since 1988, except for 2008, the abundance of OCNL index coho has been predicted using the most recent three-year average adult stock abundance. OCNL coho production occurs from three lake systems (Tenmile, Siltcoos, and Tahkenitch). Production from these systems has declined substantially from the levels observed during 1950-1973, but has steadily increased in recent years. Following the same reasoning used for the OCN Rivers predictor in 2008, OPITT chose to use the 2007 postseason abundance estimate of 10,000 coho for the 2008 preseason prediction instead of using the most recent three-year average.

For 2013, OPITT chose to use the most recent three-year average adult stock abundance, which predicts 25,900 coho.

## Predictor Performance

Recent year OCN preseason abundance predictions are compared to postseason estimates in Table III-1. Since 2000, the OCN predictor has underestimated abundance except for 2005 and 2007. The 2012 preseason abundance prediction of 291,000 OCN coho was 2.35 times the preliminary postseason estimate of 123,800 coho.

## Stock Forecasts and Status

The 2013 preseason prediction for OCN (river and lake systems combined) is 191,000 coho, 66 percent of the 2012 preseason prediction and 1.54 times the 2012 postseason estimate (Table III-1). The 2013 preseason prediction for OCNR and OCNL components are 165,100 and 25,900 coho, respectively.

Based on parent escapement levels and observed OPI smolt-to-jack survival for 2010 brood OPI smolts, the total allowable OCN coho exploitation rate for 2013 fisheries is no greater than 20.0 percent under the Salmon FMP (Amendment 13) and no greater than 15.0 percent under the matrix developed by the OCN Coho Work Group during their review of Amendment 13 (Table V-8; Appendix A, Tables A-2 and A-3, respectively). The work group recommendation was accepted by the Council as expert biological advice in November 2000.

In November 2012, the Council approved a methodology change for a new marine survival index for the OCN coho harvest matrix that uses OCN jack returns to Mill Creek on the Yaquina River for preseason planning in $2013^{1}$. Based on this methodology the marine survival index of 6.8 percent allows for a total allowable exploitation rate for 2013 fisheries that is no greater than 30.0 percent (Table V-8: Appendix Table A-4).

## Lower Columbia River Natural

LCN coho consist of naturally produced coho mostly from Columbia River tributaries below Bonneville dam; however, coho produced in the upper Willamette are not part of the ESA-listed ESU and are not included in the LCN coho forecast. LCN coho were listed as endangered under the Oregon State ESA in 2002, and as threatened under the Federal ESA on June 28, 2005. Impacts to ESA-listed stocks like LCN coho are managed consistent with ESA consultation standards.

## Predictor Description

The 2013 prediction for the Clackamas River is based on the recent 3-year cohort average counts at North Fork dam. The Clackamas ocean abundance forecast for 2013 is 3,000 . The forecast for other Oregon lower Columbia natural (LCN) populations, including the Sandy River, are 3-year averages of recent year abundances based on spawning ground counts. The 2013 LCN coho ocean abundance forecast for all Oregon areas combined is 7,200 coho.

The 2013 prediction for the Washington LCN coho populations are derived by combining estimates of the 2010 brood year natural smolt production based on watershed area and the 5 -year average ocean survival rate of 7.0 percent. The 2013 adult ocean abundance forecast for Washington LCN coho is 39,300 coho.

[^1]
## Predictor Performance

The LCN stock predictor methodology was developed in 2007. The preseason abundance compared to the postseason estimate is presented in Table III-1. The 2012 preseason abundance prediction of 30,100 LCN coho was 1.52 times the preliminary postseason estimate of 19,800 coho.

## Stock Forecast and Status

The 2013 prediction for LCN coho is 46,500 coho (Table III-1). This ocean abundance estimate includes both Oregon and Washington LCN components.

NMFS ESA guidance for harvest of LCN coho in marine and mainstem Columbia River fisheries in recent years has been based on the allowable marine exploitation rate in a matrix developed by ODFW, similar to the OCN matrix. Based on parent escapement levels in the Sandy and Clackamas and observed OPI smolt-to-jack survival for 2010 brood OPI smolts, the allowable LCN coho marine exploitation rate in the ODFW matrix for 2013 fisheries is no greater than 15.0 percent; therefore, if the NMFS guidance is consistent with recent years, the total allowable marine and mainstem Columbia River exploitation rate for LCN coho in 2013 fisheries would be no more than 15.0 percent.

## Oregon Production Index Area Summary of 2013 Stock Forecasts

The 2013 combined OPI area stock abundance is predicted to be 716,400 coho, which is 1.13 times the 2012 preseason prediction of 632,700 coho and 2.34 times the 2012 preliminary postseason estimate of 306,100 coho. The historical OPI abundances are reported in Table III-2.

## WASHINGTON COAST COHO

Washington coastal coho stocks include all natural and hatchery stocks originating in Washington coastal streams north of the Columbia River to the western Strait of Juan de Fuca (west of the Sekiu River). The stocks in this group most pertinent to ocean salmon fishery management are Willapa Bay (hatchery), Grays Harbor, Quinault (hatchery), Queets, Hoh, and Quillayute coho. These stocks contribute primarily to ocean fisheries off Washington and B.C.

A variety of preseason abundance estimators currently are employed for Washington coast and Puget Sound coho stocks, primarily based on smolt production and survival (Table I-2). These estimators are used to forecast preseason abundance of adult ocean (age-3) recruits.

A comparison was made of preseason ocean age-3 forecasts with postseason estimates derived from run reconstructions using FRAM ("Backwards" mode) to expand observed escapements to ocean abundance from CWT recovery data. It should be noted that forecast methodology has changed over time, and the overall trends and biases may not reflect the current methods.

Washington Coast coho are exceptions to the ACL requirements of the MSA because they are managed under an international agreement (the PST); therefore, specification of ACLs is not necessary for these stocks.

## Willapa Bay

## Predictor Description

The hatchery forecast is based on the marine survival rate of 2.83 percent calculated from a regression using PDO (May-Nov) applied to the 2010 brood year smolts. The natural forecast is based on a calculated marine survival rate of 6.72 percent using a regression of wild run size to minimum PDO (Jan-

July) then applied to the 2010 escapement. It was then expanded to ocean age-3 recruits using an average of SUS pre-terminal recoveries of CWT'ed coho for brood years 2003-2008.

## Predictor Performance

There was no information available to evaluate performance of predictors for Willapa coho stocks.

## Stock Forecasts and Status

The 2013 Willapa Bay hatchery coho abundance forecast is 37,089 ocean recruits compared to a 2012 preseason forecast of 88,774 . The 2013 natural coho forecast is 58,648 ocean recruits, compared to a 2012 preseason forecast of 81,325 .

## Grays Harbor

Preseason abundance forecasts are made for natural fish throughout the system and for hatchery fish returning to three freshwater rearing complexes and three saltwater net-pen sites. The forecasts include fish originating from numerous volunteer production projects.

## Predictor Description

The natural coho forecast consists of an estimate of smolt production in the Humptulips and Chehalis basins multiplied by a PDO-based marine survival rate.

The 2013 hatchery coho forecast is an estimate of smolt releases from on- and off-station sites, multiplied by the average return per release for five years (2005-2009 BY) and then expanded to ocean recruit abundance based on CWT recoveries for 2003-2007 return years.

## Predictor Performance

A comparison of preseason ocean age-3 forecasts with postseason estimates for Grays Harbor natural coho derived from Backwards FRAM run reconstruction indicated no notable bias (Table III-3, Figure III-1).

## Stock Forecasts and Status

The abundance forecast for Grays Harbor natural stock coho for 2013 is 196,777 ocean age- 3 recruits. This ocean abundance results in an allowable exploitation rate of 65 percent under the FMP and the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan (Table III-5).

The forecast for hatchery stock ocean abundance is 85,208 ocean age- 3 recruits.
OFL
The OFL is defined in terms of spawner escapement ( $\mathrm{S}_{\text {OfL }}$ ). For Grays Harbor coho MFMT $=0.65$ and the OFL is $\mathrm{S}_{\text {OfL }}=196,777 \times(1-0.65)=68,872$. The preseason $\mathrm{S}_{\text {OFL }}$ value will be recalculated with postseason abundance estimates (when available) to assess OFL compliance.

## Quinault River

## Predictor Description

The Quinault River natural coho forecast is based on the average of the estimated adult abundances from return years 2005, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 using terminal run reconstruction expanded by hatchery ocean harvest rates.

The 2013 hatchery coho forecast is based on the average return per smolt released from 2007 to 2011 with terminal run size expanded for ocean CWT harvest rates.

## Predictor Performance

There was no information available to evaluate performance of predictors for these stocks.

## Stock Forecasts and Status

The 2013 forecast for Quinault natural coho is 32,060 age-3 ocean recruits, an increase of 17 percent from the 2012 forecast of 27,278 .

The Quinault hatchery coho forecast is 42,171 age-3 ocean recruits, including 36,547 marked coho and 5,410 unmarked coho.

## Queets River

## Predictor Description

The natural coho forecast represents the estimated smolt production $(326,403)$ multiplied by an expected survival rate of 7.51 percent. The survival rate estimate is based on a binomial logistic regression model developed by Quinault Fisheries Department. This model consists of a regression of Queets survival rates from return years 1992-2010 as estimated using backward FRAM run reconstructions, and the standardized monthly mean Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) values from January through August for the corresponding years the smolts entered salt water.

The hatchery forecast is based on the average return per smolt released from 2007 to 2011 with terminal run size expanded for ocean cwt harvest rates.

Approximately 88 percent of the fish released from the Salmon River facility were marked with an adipose fin clip.

## Predictor Performance

A comparison of preseason ocean age-3 forecasts with postseason estimates derived from Backwards FRAM run reconstruction indicated no persistent tendency to under- or over- predict abundance. The 2011 forecast was slightly higher than the postseason estimate (Table III-3; Figure III-1).

## Stock Forecasts and Status

The 2013 Queets natural coho forecast is 24,520 ocean recruits, a decrease of 34 percent compared to the 2012 forecast level of 37,228 . This ocean abundance results in an allowable exploitation rate of 65 percent under the FMP and the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan (Table III-5).

The 2011 Queets hatchery (Salmon River) coho forecast is 19,747 ocean recruits, a decrease of 22 percent compared to the 2012 forecast of 25,327 .

OFL
The OFL is defined in terms of spawner escapement $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\text {ofL }}\right)$. For Queets River coho MFMT $=0.65$, and the OFL is $\mathrm{S}_{\text {OFL }}=24,520 \times(1-0.65)=8,582$. The preseason $\mathrm{S}_{\text {OfL }}$ value will be recalculated with postseason abundance estimates (when available) to assess OFL compliance.

## Hoh River

## Predictor Description

The natural coho forecast is based on estimated average smolt production per square mile of watershed from the Clearwater tributary to the Queets River during 31 years of trapping ( 496.5 smolts/square mile), multiplied by the size of the Hoh watershed (299 square miles), for a total of 148,454 smolts. The total natural smolt production estimate was then multiplied by an expected marine survival rate of 5.8 percent. This is the survival rate that emerged from the Queets forecasting work when recruits were regressed against a PDO indicator, $\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.56$, and the resulting estimate of recruits was divided by the Queets smolt estimate.

The $5.8 \%$ estimate seems to be a reasonable estimator for the Hoh system wild coho, and when coupled with an average freshwater production, yields a runsize forecast that is comparable to last year's actual return.

No hatchery production is projected for the Hoh system for 2013.

## Predictor Performance

A comparison of preseason ocean age-3 forecasts with postseason estimates derived from Backwards FRAM run reconstruction indicated a tendency to under-predict actual run-size (Table III-3; Figure III-1). In 2011, the preseason forecast was lower than the postseason return.

## Stock Forecasts and Status

The 2013 Hoh River natural coho forecast is 8,610 ocean recruits, a decrease of 40 percent compared to the 2012 forecast of 14,322 . This ocean abundance results in an allowable exploitation rate of 65 percent under the FMP and the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan (Table III-5).

## OFL

The OFL is defined in terms of spawner escapement $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\text {ofl }}\right)$. For Hoh River coho MFMT $=0.65$, and the OFL is $\mathrm{S}_{\text {OFL }}=8,610 \times(1-0.65)=3,014$. The preseason $\mathrm{S}_{\text {OfL }}$ value will be recalculated with postseason abundance estimates (when available) to assess OFL compliance.

## Quillayute River

Quillayute River coho consist of a summer run that is managed primarily for hatchery production, and a fall run that is managed primarily for natural production. Quillayute River coho have both natural and hatchery components to both runs.

## Predictor Description

The Clearwater/Queets 2012 estimate of coho smolt production was less precise than for many years, but was estimated using alternative means at 326,403 (Quinault Fisheries Department), which is above its average production of 268,826 (Zimmerman using Quinault Fisheries Dept. data). Given this uncertainty in the estimate, and below average smolt production estimated for the Strait streams to the north, we decided to use for this forecast the average estimated smolt production seen during the years smolt trapping was conducted in the system: the Bogachiel was trapped in 1987, 88, and 90, and the Dickey in 1992 - 94. The average smolt production of the Quillayute System excluding the Dickey was estimated at 217,257 , and the Dickey production at 88,344 smolts, yielding a system average of 301,601 smolts. Separating these into summer and fall coho smolts by the relative number of spawners in brood year 2010
yields estimates of 8,311 summer coho smolts and 297,290 fall coho smolts. Wild summer coho spawning has been documented to be temporally and spatially isolated from spawning wild fall coho.

## Summer Coho

The summer natural coho forecast is based on the estimated total summer coho smolt production $(8,311)$ and a projected ocean survival rate of 5.8 percent. This is a lower ocean survival rate than the 6.0 percent used in 2012.

An examination of the return rates of both hatchery releases and natural smolts indicates that hatchery return rates are 1.5 to 2.0 percent below natural returns. Thus, for the hatchery component, an ocean survival rate of 3.0 percent was selected. The survival rate of 3.0 percent was multiplied by a release of 109,270 smolts.

## Fall Coho

The forecast for the natural component was based on the estimated total fall coho smolt production $(297,290)$ multiplied by an expected marine survival rate of 5.8 percent, which was the same as used for the summer natural returns.

The fall hatchery production forecast was based on the same prediction of marine survival (3.0 percent) used for the summer hatchery coho forecast, multiplied by a release of 414,537 smolts.

## Predictor Performance

A comparison of preseason ocean age-3 forecasts with postseason estimates for fall natural coho derived from Backwards FRAM run reconstruction indicated no notable bias (Table III-3; Figure III-1). The 2011 preseason forecast exceeded the postseason estimate by a factor of 2.14.

## Stock Forecasts and Status

The 2013 Quillayute River summer natural and hatchery coho forecasts are 482 and 3,278 ocean recruits, respectively. Approximately 100 percent of the hatchery smolts were marked with an adipose fin clip. The 2013 forecast abundance of natural summer coho is 91 percent lower than the 2012 forecast, while the hatchery forecast is 23 percent lower than the 2012 forecast.

The 2013 Quillayute River fall natural and hatchery coho forecasts are 17,243 and 12,436 ocean recruits, respectively. The 2013 forecast abundance of natural Quillayute fall coho is 49 percent lower, and the hatchery forecast is 26 percent lower, than their respective 2012 forecasts. The hatchery smolts were marked as follows: 252,044 with adipose fin-clip only; 81,441 with adipose fin-clip and CWT; 81,052 with CWT only.

The ocean abundance forecast for Quillayute fall natural coho results in an allowable exploitation rate of 65 percent under the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan (Table III-5). The MFMT for Quillayute coho is 59 percent in the FMP.

## North Washington Coast Independent Tributaries

## Predictor Description

Production from several smaller rivers and streams along the North Washington Coast (Waatch River, Sooes River, Ozette River, Goodman Creek, Mosquito Creek, Cedar Creek, Kalaloch Creek, Raft River, Camp Creek, Duck Creek, Moclips River, Joe Creek, Copalis River, Conner Creek), which flow directly into the Pacific Ocean, is forecast as an aggregate. Generally, stock assessment programs on these systems are minimal.

The 2013 forecast of natural coho production for these independent streams is based on a prediction of 600 smolts per square mile of watershed drainage, 424 square miles of watershed, and an expected marine survival rate of 7.0 percent. This rate was the average of the jack-based and the PDO models.

The hatchery forecast is based on the relationship between the log-transformed jack return rate to Makah National Fish Hatchery and the log-transformed marine survival rate from smolt to January age-3. The predicted marine survival of 4.28 percent for the brood year 2010 was multiplied by brood year smolt release $(181,245)$ from the Makah National Fish Hatchery.

## Predictor Performance

There was no information available to evaluate performance of predictors for these stocks.

## Stock Forecasts and Status

The 2013 forecast of natural coho production for these independent streams is 17,780 age- 3 ocean recruits. The hatchery forecast is 7,765 age- 3 ocean recruits, and approximately 63 percent of the smolts released were marked with an adipose fin clip.

## PUGET SOUND COHO STOCKS

Puget Sound coho salmon stocks include natural and hatchery stocks originating from U.S. tributaries in Puget Sound and the Strait of Juan de Fuca. The primary stocks in this group that are most pertinent to ocean salmon fishery management are Strait of Juan de Fuca, Hood Canal, Skagit, Stillaguamish, Snohomish, and South Puget Sound (hatchery) coho. These stocks contribute primarily to ocean fisheries off Washington and B.C.

A variety of preseason abundance estimators currently are employed for Puget Sound coho stocks, primarily based on smolt production and survival (Table I-2). These estimators are used to forecast preseason abundance of adult ocean age-3 (OA3) recruits. Forecasts for natural Puget Sound coho stocks were generally derived by measured or predicted smolt production from each major watershed or region, multiplied by stock-specific marine survival rate predictions based on a jack return model from the WDFW Big Beef Creek Research Station in Hood Canal, natural coho CWT tagging programs at Baker Lake (Skagit River basin) and South Fork Skykomish River, adult recruits/smolt data generated from the WDFW Deschutes River Research Station, or other information. Puget Sound hatchery forecasts were generally the product of 2010 brood year (BY) smolt releases from each facility, and a predicted marine survival rate for each program. Hatchery marine survival rates were typically based on recent year average survival rates derived from CWT recovery information and/or run reconstructions.

The 2013 total hatchery and natural coho ocean recruit forecast for the Puget Sound region of is 882,100 , compared to a 2012 forecast of 731,000 . The hatchery coho forecast is 417,200 compared to the 2012 forecast of 371,800 , and the natural coho forecast for 2013 of 464,900 is much higher than the 2012 forecast of 359,100.

A comparison was made of preseason ocean age-3 forecasts with postseason estimates derived from run reconstructions using FRAM ("Backwards" mode). This method expands observed escapements and actual catch to produce a FRAM estimate of post-season ocean abundance. This post-season FRAM estimate is dependent upon Base Period (1986-1992 fishing years) CWT recovery data. It should be noted that forecast methodology has changed over time, and the overall trends and biases may not reflect the current methods.

Puget Sound coho are exceptions to the ACL requirements of the MSA because they are managed under an international agreement (the PST); therefore, specification of ACLs is not necessary for these stocks.

## Strait of Juan de Fuca

## Predictor Description

As in past years, the natural and hatchery coho forecasts include both Eastern and Western Strait of Juan de Fuca drainages. This year a new method was used to directly predict the OA3 abundance of the JDF natural stock. This forecast is based upon the relationships between historic OA3 stock abundance and ocean variables. The weighted mean of OA3 predictions from three regression models produced the final forecast. The ocean variables utilized were:

- Coho juvenile catches from NOAA trawl surveys
- Winter icthyoplankton biomass, and
- Copepod species-richness

The hatchery forecasts were based on applying hatchery-specific marine survival rate predictions (2.09 percent for Dungeness, 0.89 percent for Elwha) to the 2010 BY smolt releases for each hatchery. The marine survival rate predictions for the hatchery stocks were based on 3year averages of estimated return rates of adults in 2009-2011.

## Predictor Performance

A comparison of preseason ocean age-3 forecasts with postseason estimates derived from Backwards FRAM run reconstruction indicated a tendency to under-predict actual run-size in recent years (Table III4; Figure III-1b). The 2011 preseason forecast underestimated the postseason estimate by a factor of 0.65 .

## Stock Forecasts and Status

The 2013 forecasts for Strait of Juan de Fuca natural and hatchery coho age-3 ocean recruits are 12,600 and 17,600 , respectively.

The preseason forecast of 12,558 age-3 ocean recruits places Strait of Juan de Fuca natural coho in the Low abundance based status category, which results in an allowable total exploitation rate of no more than 40 percent under both the Council adopted exploitation rate matrix (Appendix A, Table A-5) the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan (Table III-5).

OFL
The OFL is defined in terms of spawner escapement ( $\mathrm{S}_{\text {OFL }}$ ). For Strait of Juan de Fuca coho MFMT = 0.60 , and the OFL is $\mathrm{S}_{\text {OfL }}=12,588 \times(1-0.60)=5,023$. The preseason $\mathrm{S}_{\text {OFL }}$ value will be recalculated with postseason abundance estimates (when available) to assess OFL compliance.

## Nooksack-Samish

## Predictor Description

The natural coho forecast is the product of projected natural smolt production from each stream basin in the region, multiplied by stock-specific marine survival rate expectations that ranged from 6 percent to 9 percent.

The hatchery forecasts are based on a long term median marine survival rate of 1.6 percent (Lummi Bay Hatchery) or 3.3 percent (Skookum Hatchery) multiplied by the number of smolts released.

Predictor Performance
There was no information available to evaluate performance of predictors for Nooksack-Samish coho stocks.

## Stock Forecasts and Status

The 2013 forecasts for Nooksack-Samish natural and hatchery coho ocean recruits are 45,400 and 49,200 respectively.

## Skagit

## Predictor Description

The natural coho forecast is the product of measured smolt production from the Skagit basin multiplied by a marine survival rate expectation of 11.09 percent. This natural coho marine survival rate was based upon the ten year average SF Skykomish River natural coho marine survival.

The hatchery forecasts are based on Marblemount Hatchery CWT recoveries. The last seven even year (1996-2008) brood years produced an average marine survival rate of 4.7 percent, this was multiplied by the total number of smolts released from all regional hatcheries.

## Predictor Performance

A comparison of preseason ocean age-3 forecasts with postseason estimates derived from Backwards FRAM run reconstruction indicated a tendency to over-predict actual run-size, especially early in the time series (Table III-4; Figure III-1b). However, the 2011 preseason forecast exceeded the postseason estimate by a factor of 2.01 .

## Stock Forecasts and Status

The 2013 forecasts for Skagit River natural and hatchery coho ocean recruits are 137,200 and 16,300 respectively.

The preseason forecast of 137,200 age-3 ocean recruits places Skagit natural coho in the Normal abundance based status category, which results in an allowable total exploitation rate of no more than 60 percent under both the Council adopted exploitation rate matrix (Appendix A, Table A-5) and the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan (Table III-5).

OFL
The OFL is defined in terms of spawner escapement $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{OFL}}\right)$. For Skagit River coho MFMT $=0.60$, and the OFL is $\mathrm{S}_{\text {OfL }}=137,200 \times(1-0.60)=54,880$. The preseason $\mathrm{S}_{\text {OFL }}$ value will be recalculated with postseason abundance estimates (when available) to assess OFL compliance.

## Stillaguamish

## Predictor Description

The natural coho forecast was based upon an adjusted smolt trap catch per unit effort (CPUE) regressed against adult terminal returns, for brood years 1999-2009. To capture the variability of marine survival, the CPUE was adjusted with South Fork Skykomish River natural coho marine survival observations. The resulting terminal runsize estimate was then expanded by a pre-terminal Puget Sound exploitation rate.

## Predictor Performance

A comparison of preseason ocean age-3 forecasts with postseason estimates derived from Backwards FRAM run reconstruction indicated a tendency to over-predict actual run-size (Table III-4; Figure III-1b). The 2011 preseason forecast exceeded the postseason estimate by a factor of 1.05 .

## Stock Forecasts and Status

The preseason forecast of 33,100 age-3 ocean recruits places Stillaguamish natural coho in the Normal abundance based status category, which results in an allowable total exploitation rate of no more than 50 percent under both the Council adopted exploitation rate matrix (Appendix A, Table A-5) and the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan (Table III-5).

## OFL

The OFL is defined in terms of spawner escapement $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\text {OfL }}\right)$. For Stillaguamish coho MFMT $=0.50$, and the OFL is $\mathrm{S}_{\text {OFL }}=33,100 \times(1-0.50)=16,550$. The preseason $\mathrm{S}_{\text {OfL }}$ value will be recalculated with postseason abundance estimates (when available) to assess OFL compliance.

## Snohomish

The natural coho forecast used the estimated 2010 brood year smolt production multiplied by an 11 percent marine survival rate expectation, which is a ten year average for South Fork Skykomish River natural coho.

The hatchery forecasts were based on brood year 2010 releases multiplied by a 6.0 percent marine survival rate of Wallace Hatchery CWT releases (1997-2008 brood year average).

## Predictor Performance

A comparison of preseason ocean age-3 forecasts with postseason estimates derived from Backwards FRAM run reconstruction indicated no persistent tendency to under- or over- predict abundance (Table III-4; Figure III-1b) . The 2011 forecast was higher than the postseason estimate by a factor of 1.27.

## Stock Forecasts and Status

The 2013 forecast for Snohomish River natural coho ocean recruits is 163,800 . The Snohomish regional hatchery coho forecast is 111,500 .

The preseason forecast of 163,800 age-3 ocean recruits places Snohomish natural coho in the Normal abundance based status category, which results in an allowable total exploitation rate of no more than 60 percent under the Council adopted exploitation rate matrix (Appendix A, Table A-5) and 60 percent with an abundant status under the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan (Table III-5).

## OFL

The OFL is defined in terms of spawner escapement ( $\mathrm{S}_{\text {OfL }}$ ). For Snohomish coho MFMT $=0.60$, and the OFL is $S_{\text {OFL }}=163,800 \times(1-0.60)=65,520$. The preseason $S_{\text {OFL }}$ value will be recalculated with postseason abundance estimates (when available) to assess OFL compliance.

## Hood Canal

## Predictor Description

The natural coho forecast is based on a regression of CWT natural Big Beef Creek jacks on Hood Canal December age-2 recruits, using brood years 1983-1998 and 2002-2008. The 1999-2001 broods were
excluded because of the unusually high recruit per tagged jack ratio, which is not expected to occur this year.

The hatchery coho forecasts are based on average cohort reconstruction-based December age-2 recruits/smolt for the 2003-2008 broods from each facility, applied to the 2010 brood smolt releases for each facility. The December age-2 marine survival rates used for these forecasts were 9.0 percent for George Adams Hatchery, 3.1 percent for Port Gamble Net Pens, 10.9 percent for the Quilcene National Fish Hatchery, and 3.2 percent for the Quilcene Bay Net Pens.

## Predictor Performance

A comparison of preseason ocean age-3 forecasts with postseason estimates derived from Backwards FRAM run reconstruction indicated no persistent tendency to under- or over- predict abundance in recent years. The 2011 forecast was slightly higher than the postseason estimate by a factor of 1.28 (Table III-4; Figure III-1b).

## Stock Forecasts and Status

Converted to ocean age-3 forecasts, the Hood Canal region natural and hatchery coho ocean recruits are 36,800 and 68,574 respectively.

The preseason forecast of 36,800 age-3 ocean recruits places Hood Canal natural coho in the Low abundance based status category, which results in an allowable total exploitation rate of no more than 45 percent under both the Council adopted exploitation rate matrix (Appendix A, Table A-5) and the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan (Table III-5).

OFL
The OFL is defined in terms of spawner escapement $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\text {OfL }}\right)$. For Hood Canal coho MFMT $=0.65$, and the OFL is $\mathrm{S}_{\text {OFL }}=36,800 \times(1-0.65)=12,880$. The preseason $\mathrm{S}_{\text {OFL }}$ value will be recalculated with postseason abundance estimates (when available) to assess OFL compliance.

## South Sound

## Predictor Description

The natural coho forecast is the product of projected smolt production from each of the stream basins in the region multiplied by a marine survival rate expectation of 5.2 percent for natural coho in the region. The upper South Sound natural stocks’ marine survival rate ( 5.23 percent) was based upon a five year average rate of return (return years 2008-2012) of Lake Washington natural smolts. The deep South Sound stocks' marine survival prediction ( 5.2 percent) was selected as the average marine survival rate observed between 1995 and 2012 for Deschutes River natural-origin coho.

Almost all the hatchery coho forecasts used a two year (2006 and 2008 brood year) average CWT-based recruits/smolt rate for each facility, applied to the 2010 brood smolt releases. The 2007 brood year survival rates were excluded as they were exceptionally low and indications are that the 2010 brood year smolts experienced favorable ocean conditions. These expected survival rates range from 0.7 to 6.4 percent. The marine survival rate exception to the two year average approach was used for the South Sound (Peale Pass) net pens; which used a four year (2005-2008 brood year) average marine survival rate of 2.1 percent

## Stock Forecasts and Status

The 2013 preseason forecast of age-3 ocean recruits for South Sound region natural and hatchery coho are 36,000 and 151,000 respectively.

## STOCK STATUS DETERMINATION UPDATES

No coho stocks were subject to overfishing in 2011, or met the criteria for approaching an overfished condition in 2013 (Table V-4). Status determination criteria for Willapa Bay coho have not yet been identified, so the status of this stock relative to these criteria cannot be assessed.

## SELECTIVE FISHERY CONSIDERATIONS FOR COHO

As the region has moved forward with mass marking of hatchery coho salmon stocks, selective fishing options have become an important consideration for fishery managers. Projected coho mark rates in Canadian, Puget Sound and north Washington Coast fisheries are similar to 2012 projections. Table III-6 summarizes projected 2013 mark rates for coho fisheries by month from Southern British Columbia, Canada to the Oregon Coast, based on preseason abundance forecasts.

TABLE III-1. Preliminary preseason and postseason coho stock abundance estimates for Oregon production index area stocks in thousands of fish. (Page 1 of 2)

| Stock | Year | Preseason | Postseason ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ | Preseason/Postseason ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oregon Production Index Area Hatchery Total ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | 1996 | 309.2 | 182.6 | 1.69 |
|  | 1997 | 376.1 | 215.3 | 1.75 |
|  | 1998 | 118.4 | 203.6 | 0.58 |
|  | 1999 | 559.2 | 319.6 | 1.75 |
|  | 2000 | 671.4 | 677.1 | 0.99 |
|  | 2001 | 1,707.6 | 1,454.2 | 1.17 |
|  | 2002 | 361.7 | 660.1 | 0.55 |
|  | 2003 | 863.1 | 952.5 | 0.91 |
|  | 2004 | 623.9 | 634.6 | 0.98 |
|  | 2005 | 389.9 | 443.1 | 0.88 |
|  | 2006 | 398.8 | 440.6 | 0.91 |
|  | 2007 | 593.6 | 476.5 | 1.25 |
|  | 2008 | 216.1 | 565.4 | 0.38 |
|  | 2009 | 1,073.1 | 1,066.2 | 1.01 |
|  | 2010 | 408.0 | 551.3 | 0.74 |
|  | 2011 | 375.1 | 442.3 | 0.85 |
|  | 2012 | 341.7 | 182.3 | 1.87 |
|  | 2013 | 525.4 | - | - |
| Columbia River Early | 1996 | 142.2 | 98.0 | 1.45 |
|  | 1997 | 206.9 | 129.8 | 1.59 |
|  | 1998 | 63.8 | 126.4 | 0.50 |
|  | 1999 | 325.5 | 174.9 | 1.86 |
|  | 2000 | 326.3 | 378.0 | 0.86 |
|  | 2001 | 1,036.5 | 873.0 | 1.19 |
|  | 2002 | 161.6 | 324.7 | 0.50 |
|  | 2003 | 440.0 | 645.7 | 0.68 |
|  | 2004 | 313.6 | 389.0 | 0.81 |
|  | 2005 | 284.6 | 282.7 | 1.01 |
|  | 2006 | 245.8 | 251.4 | 0.98 |
|  | 2007 | 424.9 | 291.0 | 1.46 |
|  | 2008 | 110.3 | 333.9 | 0.33 |
|  | 2009 | 672.7 | 681.4 | 0.99 |
|  | 2010 | 245.3 | 274.3 | 0.89 |
|  | 2011 | 216.0 | 288.5 | 0.75 |
|  | 2012 | 229.8 | 114.7 | 2.00 |
|  | 2013 | 331.6 | - | - |
| Columbia River Late | 1996 | 114.4 | 30.8 | 3.71 |
|  | 1997 | 86.5 | 53.7 | 1.61 |
|  | 1998 | 24.9 | 47.3 | 0.53 |
|  | 1999 | 140.9 | 120.7 | 1.17 |
|  | 2000 | 278.0 | 260.1 | 1.07 |
|  | 2001 | 491.8 | 488.3 | 1.01 |
|  | 2002 | 143.5 | 271.8 | 0.53 |
|  | 2003 | 377.9 | 248.0 | 1.52 |
|  | 2004 | 274.7 | 203.0 | 1.35 |
|  | 2005 | 78.0 | 111.6 | 0.70 |
|  | 2006 | 113.8 | 156.3 | 0.73 |
|  | 2007 | 139.5 | 171.0 | 0.82 |
|  | 2008 | 86.4 | 207.6 | 0.42 |
|  | 2009 | 369.7 | 374.1 | 0.99 |
|  | 2010 | 144.2 | 263.6 | 0.55 |
|  | 2011 | 146.5 | 141.2 | 1.04 |
|  | 2012 | 87.4 | 55.6 | 1.57 |
|  | 2013 | 169.5 | - | - |

TABLE III-1. Preliminary preseason and postseason coho stock abundance estimates for Oregon production index area stocks in thousands of fish. (Page 2 of 3 )

| Stock | Year | Preseason | Postseason ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Preseason/Postseason ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oregon Coast North of Cape Blanco | 1996 | 38.5 | 28.0 | 1.38 |
|  | 1997 | 60.4 | 19.0 | 3.18 |
|  | 1998 | 21.6 | 19.7 | 1.10 |
|  | 1999 | 59.4 | 14.4 | 4.13 |
|  | 2000 | 48.5 | 23.4 | 2.07 |
|  | 2001 | 127.3 | 46.9 | 2.71 |
|  | 2002 | 36.6 | 41.6 | 0.88 |
|  | 2003 | 29.3 | 34.5 | 0.85 |
|  | 2004 | 16.6 | 21.7 | 0.76 |
|  | 2005 | 11.5 | 10.7 | 1.07 |
|  | 2006 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 1.09 |
|  | 2007 | 7.0 | 1.3 | 5.38 |
|  | 2008 | 1.7 | 7.1 | 0.24 |
|  | 2009 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 0.97 |
|  | 2010 | 4.4 | 8.6 | 0.51 |
|  | 2011 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 1.00 |
|  | 2012 | 6.4 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
|  | 2013 | 5.6 | - | - |
| Oregon and California Coast South of Cape Blanco |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1996 | 14.2 | 25.8 | 0.55 |
|  | 1997 | 22.3 | 12.8 | 1.74 |
|  | 1998 | 8.1 | 10.2 | 0.79 |
|  | 1999 | 33.4 | 9.6 | 3.48 |
|  | 2000 | 18.6 | 15.6 | 1.19 |
|  | 2001 | 52.0 | 46.0 | 1.13 |
|  | 2002 | 20.0 | 22.0 | 0.91 |
|  | 2003 | 15.9 | 24.3 | 0.65 |
|  | 2004 | 19.0 | 29.9 | 0.64 |
|  | 2005 | 15.8 | 38.1 | 0.41 |
|  | 2006 | 30.6 | 25.0 | 1.22 |
|  | 2007 | 22.2 | 13.2 | 1.68 |
|  | 2008 | 17.7 | 16.8 | 1.05 |
|  | 2009 | 23.4 | 3.1 | 7.55 |
|  | 2010 | 14.1 | 4.8 | 2.94 |
|  | 2011 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 1.00 |
|  | 2012 | 18.1 | 8.8 | 2.06 |
|  | 2013 | 18.7 | - | - |
| Lower Columbia River Natural | 2007 | 21.5 | 19.4 | 1.11 |
|  | 2008 | 13.4 | 27.2 | 0.49 |
|  | 2009 | 32.7 | 40.4 | 0.81 |
|  | 2010 | 15.1 | 30.8 | 0.49 |
|  | 2011 | 22.7 | 23.4 | 0.97 |
|  | 2012 | 30.1 | 19.8 | 1.52 |
|  | 2013 | 46.5 | - | - |

TABLE III-1. Preliminary preseason and postseason coho stock abundance estimates for Oregon production index area stocks in thousands of fish. (Page 3 of 3 )

| Stock | Year | Preseason | Postseason ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ | Preseason/Postseason ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oregon Coast Natural | 1996 | 63.2 | 86.1 | 0.73 |
| (Rivers and Lakes) | 1997 | 86.4 | 27.8 | 3.11 |
|  | 1998 | 47.2 | 29.2 | 1.62 |
|  | 1999 | 60.7 | 51.9 | 1.17 |
|  | 2000 | 55.9 | 69.0 | 0.81 |
|  | 2001 | 50.1 | 163.2 | 0.31 |
|  | 2002 | 71.8 | 304.5 | 0.24 |
|  | 2003 | 117.9 | 278.8 | 0.42 |
|  | 2004 | 150.9 | 197.0 | 0.77 |
|  | 2005 | 152.0 | 150.1 | 1.01 |
|  | 2006 | 60.8 | 116.4 | 0.52 |
|  | 2007 | 255.4 | 60.0 | 4.26 |
|  | 2008 | 60.0 | 170.9 | 0.35 |
|  | 2009 | 211.6 | 257.0 | 0.82 |
|  | 2010 | 148.0 | 266.8 | 0.55 |
|  | 2011 | 249.4 | 311.6 | 0.80 |
|  | 2012 | 291.0 | 123.8 | 2.35 |
|  | 2013 | 191.0 | - | - |
| Salmon Trout Enhancement Program ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | 1996 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.33 |
|  | 1997 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 4.33 |
|  | 1998 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.67 |
|  | 1999 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.75 |
|  | 2000 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.20 |
|  | 2001 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.71 |
|  | 2002 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 0.20 |
|  | 2003 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 1.00 |
|  | 2004 | 3.1 | 1.0 | 3.10 |
|  | 2005 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 2.50 |
|  | 2006 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 6.00 |
|  | 2007 | 0.2 | 0.0 | - |

a/ Postseason estimates are based on preliminary data, and not all stocks have been updated with final estimates. b/ LCN abundance is included as a subset of early/late hatchery abundance beginning in 2007. STEP estimates not included. c/ Program was discontinued in 2005.

TABLE III-2. Oregon production index (OPI) area coho harvest impacts, spawning, abundance, and exploitation rate estimates in thousands of fish. ${ }^{\text {./ }}$

| Year or Avg. | Oregon and California Coastal Returns |  |  |  |  | Columbia River Returns | Abundance ${ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | Ocean Exploitation Rate Based on OPI Abundance ${ }^{f /}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ocean Fisheries ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ |  | Hatcheries and Freshw ater |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Troll | Sport | Harvest ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | OCN Spaw ners ${ }^{\text {d/ }}$ | Private Hatcheries |  |  |  |
| 1970-1975 | 1,629.6 | 558.4 | 45.8 | 55.2 | - | 460.4 | 2,749.3 | 0.80 |
| 1976-1980 | 1,253.6 | 555.0 | 31.2 | 31.1 | 26.1 | 263.3 | 2,154.2 | 0.85 |
| 1981-1985 | 451.2 | 274.0 | 37.2 | 56.0 | 176.8 | 305.3 | 1,328.6 | 0.63 |
| 1986 | 638.9 | 320.6 | 79.3 | 70.0 | 332.0 | 1,549.1 | 3,195.4 | 0.34 |
| 1987 | 468.2 | 296.2 | 45.1 | 30.1 | 453.7 | 316.5 | 1,272.4 | 0.93 |
| 1988 | 844.7 | 297.2 | 61.1 | 56.8 | 119.3 | 670.9 | 1,918.9 | 0.63 |
| 1989 | 645.1 | 425.5 | 61.1 | 46.4 | 116.1 | 709.0 | 2,176.5 | 0.52 |
| 1990 | 275.9 | 357.1 | 28.7 | 22.5 | 46.9 | 196.7 | 987.4 | 0.67 |
| 1991 | 448.4 | 469.9 | 77.8 | 38.1 | 35.6 | 955.1 | 2,040.4 | 0.46 |
| 1992 | 67.4 | 256.5 | 51.0 | 44.2 | - | 216.1 | 629.6 | 0.51 |
| 1993 | 13.1 | 140.8 | 38.6 | 56.1 | - | 114.2 | 315.9 | 0.49 |
| 1994 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 28.2 | 48.5 | - | 169.2 | 267.5 | 0.02 |
| 1995 | 5.4 | 43.5 | 37.5 | 57.3 | - | 74.8 | 204.1 | 0.24 |
| 1996 | 7.0 | 31.8 | 45.7 | 79.3 | - | 113.0 | 260.3 | 0.15 |
| 1997 | 5.5 | 22.4 | 26.9 | 31.6 | - | 149.1 | 230.5 | 0.12 |
| 1998 | 3.5 | 12.8 | 29.4 | 34.3 | - | 168.4 | 270.8 | 0.06 |
| 1999 | 3.6 | 36.5 | 22.6 | 51.2 | - | 274.1 | 432.0 | 0.09 |
| 2000 | 25.2 | 74.6 | 33.2 | 81.1 | - | 548.2 | 762.4 | 0.13 |
| 2001 | 38.1 | 216.8 | 75.8 | 185.2 | - | 1,108.3 | 1,673.2 | 0.15 |
| 2002 | 15.0 | 118.7 | 54.0 | 269.0 | - | 499.9 | 972.2 | 0.14 |
| 2003 | 28.8 | 252.4 | 45.1 | 235.3 | - | 677.7 | 1,266.9 | 0.22 |
| 2004 | 26.2 | 159.3 | 38.1 | 197.2 | - | 442.6 | 904.5 | 0.21 |
| 2005 | 10.5 | 58.2 | 42.8 | 164.6 | - | 341.0 | 629.9 | 0.11 |
| 2006 | 4.5 | 47.5 | 29.6 | 132.7 | - | 386.2 | 674.1 | 0.08 |
| 2007 | 26.2 | 128.5 | 10.9 | 71.4 | - | 336.9 | 631.3 | 0.25 |
| 2008 | 0.6 | 26.4 | 16.0 | 180.1 | - | 494.3 | 769.8 | 0.04 |
| 2009 | 27.7 | 201.2 | 16.7 | 265.3 | - | 729.8 | 1,341.3 | 0.17 |
| 2010 | 5.8 | 48.8 | 19.6 | 286.5 | - | 440.7 | 848.4 | 0.06 |
| 2011 | 4.2 | 54.7 | 20.2 | 360.2 | - | 352.4 | 836.4 | 0.07 |
| $2012^{\text {g/ }}$ | 4.7 | 45.5 | 18.1 | 106.8 | - | 133.8 | 313.0 | 0.16 |

$\mathrm{a} /$ The OPI area includes ocean and inside harvest impacts and escapement to streams and lakes south of Leadbetter Pt., Washington.
b/ Incl. est. nonretention mort.: troll: release mort.(1982-present) and drop-off mort.(all yrs.); sport --release mort.(1994-present) and drop-off mort.(all yrs.).
c/ Includes STEP smolt releases through the 2007 return year, after which the program was terminated.
d/ Includes Rogue River.
e/ FRAM post season runs used after 1985 and includes OPI origin stock catches in all fisheries.
$\mathrm{f} /$ Private hatchery stocks are excluded in calculating the OPI area stock aggregate ocean exploitation rate index.
g/ Preliminary.

TABLE III-3. Preseason forecasts and postseason estimates of ocean escapements for selected Washington coastal adult natural coho stocks in thousands of fish.

| Year | Preseason Forecast | Postseason Return | Pre/Postseason | Preseason Forecast | Postseason Return | Pre/Postseason | Preseason Forecast | Postseason Return | Pre/Postseason | Preseason Forecast | Postseason Return | Pre/Postseason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quillayute River Fall |  |  | Hoh River |  |  | Queets River |  |  | Grays Harbor ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |  |  |
| 1986 | 11.6 | 36.3 | 0.32 | 4.1 | 18.1 | 0.23 | 9.8 | 24.6 | 0.40 | 93.8 | 123.3 | 0.76 |
| 1987 | 27.3 | 33.8 | 0.81 | 13.0 | 14.2 | 0.91 | 20.6 | 15.9 | 1.29 | 218.6 | 66.3 | 3.30 |
| 1988 | 23.0 | 13.5 | 1.70 | 4.4 | 19.4 | 0.23 | 10.3 | 17.9 | 0.57 | 55.7 | 96.8 | 0.58 |
| 1989 | 28.2 | 18.8 | 1.50 | 11.0 | 9.2 | 1.19 | 13.6 | 12.0 | 1.13 | 82.3 | 156.5 | 0.53 |
| 1990 | 45.5 | 11.7 | 3.91 | 8.1 | 8.7 | 0.93 | 13.6 | 27.3 | 0.50 | 81.2 | 96.1 | 0.84 |
| 1991 | 16.3 | 26.4 | 0.62 | 6.3 | 11.6 | 0.55 | 16.1 | 26.6 | 0.60 | 244.6 | 139.1 | 1.76 |
| 1992 | 22.8 | 15.8 | 1.44 | 8.9 | 15.4 | 0.58 | 11.7 | 17.7 | 0.66 | 60.4 | 58.0 | 1.04 |
| 1993 | 13.2 | 10.5 | 1.26 | 8.3 | 3.4 | 2.47 | 12.9 | 12.7 | 1.01 | 144-153 | 58.5 | 2.46-2.62 |
| 1994 | 11.6 | 8.4 | 1.38 | 5.0 | 2.2 | 2.31 | 6.9 | 2.5 | 2.78 | 53.8-60.2 | 14.0 | 3.84-4.30 |
| 1995 | 13.1 | 19.8 | 0.66 | 6.8 | 9.7 | 0.70 | 12.1 | 10.7 | 1.13 | 103.4 | 70.2 | 1.47 |
| 1996 | 13.0 | 20.3 | 0.64 | 4.2 | 7.7 | 0.54 | 8.3 | 22.6 | 0.37 | 121.4 | 89.7 | 1.35 |
| 1997 | 8.9 | 5.8 | 1.53 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 0.68 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 1.92 | 26.1 | 20.2 | 1.29 |
| 1998 | 8.0 | 17.4 | 0.46 | 3.4 | 5.6 | 0.61 | 4.2 | 6.3 | 0.66 | 30.1 | 46.4 | 0.65 |
| 1999 | 14.5 | 16.1 | 0.90 | 3.2 | 6.8 | 0.47 | 4.3 | 8.6 | 0.50 | 57.7 | 42.7 | 1.35 |
| 2000 | 8.7 | 16.5 | 0.53 | 3.5 | 9.3 | 0.38 | 2.7 | 12.1 | 0.22 | 47.8 | 51.9 | 0.92 |
| 2001 | 23.0 | 28.4 | 0.81 | 8.5 | 16.2 | 0.52 | 12.0 | 35.8 | 0.33 | 51.3 | 103.2 | 0.50 |
| 2002 | 22.3 | 33.2 | 0.67 | 8.5 | 13.2 | 0.64 | 12.5 | 26.3 | 0.47 | 55.4 | 142.0 | 0.39 |
| 2003 | 24.9 | 22.5 | 1.11 | 12.5 | 8.7 | 1.44 | 24.0 | 15.7 | 1.52 | 58.0 | 108.4 | 0.54 |
| 2004 | 21.2 | 20.7 | 1.02 | 8.1 | 6.9 | 1.17 | 18.5 | 13.3 | 1.39 | 117.9 | 90.8 | 1.30 |
| 2005 | 18.6 | 20.9 | 0.89 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 0.93 | 17.1 | 11.9 | 1.43 | 91.1 | 65.9 | 1.38 |
| 2006 | 14.6 | 9.9 | 1.48 | 6.4 | 2.7 | 2.36 | 8.3 | 9.2 | 0.90 | 67.3 | 30.6 | 2.20 |
| 2007 | 10.8 | 10.7 | 1.01 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 0.93 | 13.6 | 7.1 | 1.92 | 59.4 | 34.6 | 1.72 |
| 2008 | 10.5 | 11.1 | 0.95 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 1.00 | 10.2 | 7.4 | 1.39 | 42.7 | 49.0 | 0.87 |
| 2009 | 19.3 | 15.5 | 1.24 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 1.00 | 31.4 | 16.0 | 1.97 | 59.2 | 104.6 | 0.57 |
| 2010 | 22.0 | 16.4 | 1.34 | 7.6 | 10.9 | 0.70 | 21.8 | 16.5 | 1.32 | 67.9 | 126.1 | 0.54 |
| 2011 | 28.2 | 12.8 | 2.20 | 11.6 | 12.1 | 0.96 | 13.3 | 11.9 | 1.12 | 89.1 | 100.9 | 0.88 |
| 2012 | 33.5 | NA | NA | 14.3 | NA | NA | 37.2 | NA | NA | 150.2 | NA | NA |
| 2013 | 33.4 | - | - | 8.6 | - | - | 24.5 | - | - | 196.8 | - | - |

a/ Coho FRAM w as used to estimate post season ocean abundance.

TABLE III-4. Preseason forecasts and postseason estimates of ocean escapements for selected Puget Sound adult natural coho stocks in thousands of fish. (Page 1 of 2)


TABLE III-4. Preseason and postseason estimates of ocean abundance ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ for selected Puget Sound adult natural coho stocks in thousands of fish. (Page 2 of 2)

| Year | Preseason <br> Forecast | Postseason Return | Pre/Postseason | Preseason Forecast | Postseason Return | Pre/Postseason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Snohomish |  |  | rait of Juan | Fuca |
| 1986 | NA | 293.0 | - | 24.7 | 50.6 | 0.49 |
| 1987 | NA | 46.3 | - | 17.8 | 24.4 | 0.73 |
| 1988 | NA | 35.4 | - | 19.5 | 26.3 | 0.74 |
| 1989 | NA | 13.5 | - | 17.0 | 29.3 | 0.58 |
| 1990 | 308.8 | 276.5 | 1.12 | 25.8 | 29.4 | 0.88 |
| 1991 | 308.8 | 163.4 | 1.89 | 24.1 | 22.0 | 1.10 |
| 1992 | 389.7 | 192.5 | 2.02 | 25.7 | 28.6 | 0.90 |
| 1993 | 394.4 | 142.3 | 2.77 | 20.8 | 11.6 | 1.79 |
| 1994 | 256.7 | 293.6 | 0.87 | 20.8 | 11.5 | 1.81 |
| 1995 | 358.3 | 211.3 | 1.70 | 11.4 | 23.0 | 0.50 |
| 1996 | 338.1 | 132.3 | 2.55 | 10.7 | 19.4 | 0.55 |
| 1997 | 186.6 | 106.4 | 1.75 | 6.5 | 20.3 | 0.32 |
| 1998 | 165.3 | 193.9 | 0.85 | 16.8 | 21.0 | 0.80 |
| 1999 | 141.6 | 82.2 | 1.72 | 14.7 | 9.9 | 1.48 |
| 2000 | 53.0 | 154.6 | 0.34 | 13.5 | 28.6 | 0.47 |
| 2001 | 129.6 | 360.1 | 0.36 | 21.4 | 43.9 | 0.49 |
| 2002 | 123.1 | 185.5 | 0.66 | 21.3 | 26.3 | 0.81 |
| 2003 | 203.0 | 198.0 | 1.03 | 25.6 | 22.9 | 1.12 |
| 2004 | 192.1 | 287.9 | 0.67 | 35.7 | 23.8 | 1.50 |
| 2005 | 241.6 | 133.4 | 1.81 | 20.7 | 12.5 | 1.66 |
| 2006 | 139.5 | 94.2 | 1.48 | 26.1 | 4.6 | 5.65 |
| 2007 | 98.9 | 156.4 | 0.63 | 29.9 | 10.2 | 2.92 |
| 2008 | 92.0 | 49.5 | 1.86 | 24.1 | 3.9 | 6.25 |
| 2009 | 67.0 | 133.4 | 0.50 | 20.5 | 24.7 | 0.83 |
| 2010 | 99.4 | 53.9 | 1.84 | 8.5 | 19.9 | 0.43 |
| 2011 | 180.0 | 141.8 | 1.27 | 12.3 | 18.9 | 0.65 |
| 2012 | 109.0 | - | - | 12.6 | - | - |
| 2013 | 163.8 |  |  | 12.6 |  |  |

a/ Coho FRAM w as used to estimate post season ocean abundance.
b/ Preseason forecasts in 1986-1996 w ere based on accounting system that signficantly underestimated escapement and are not comparable to post season.

TABLE III-5. Status categories and constraints for Puget Sound and Washington Coast coho under the FMP and PST Southern Coho Management Plan.

| FMP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FMP Stock | Total Exploitation Rate Constraint ${ }^{a /}$ | ${\text { Categorical Status }{ }^{a / 2}}^{\text {Skagit }}$ |
| Stillaguamish | $60 \%$ | normal |
| Snohomish | $50 \%$ | normal |
| Hood Canal | $60 \%$ | normal |
| Strait of Juan de Fuca | $45 \%$ | low |
| Quillayute Fall | $40 \%$ | low |
| Hoh | $59 \%$ |  |
| Queets | $65 \%$ |  |
| Grays Harbor | $65 \%$ |  |
|  | $65 \%$ |  |

PST Southern Coho Management Plan

| U.S. Management Unit | Total Exploitation Rate Constraint $^{\mathrm{b} /}$ | Categorical Status $^{\mathrm{c} /}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skagit | $60 \%$ | Abundant |
| Stillaguamish | $50 \%$ | Abundant |
| Snohomish | $60 \%$ | Abundant |
| Hood Canal | $45 \%$ | Moderate |
| Strait of Juan de Fuca | $40 \%$ | Moderate |
| Quillayute Fall $^{c /}$ | $40 \%$ | Moderate |
| Hoh $^{c /}$ | $65 \%$ | Abundant |
| Queets $^{c /}$ | $65 \%$ | Abundant |
| Grays Harbor $^{\text {Gra }}$ | $65 \%$ | Abundant |

a/ Preliminary. For Puget Sound stocks, the exploitation rate constraints and categorical status (normal, low, critical) reflect application of Comprehensive Coho Agreement rules, as adopted in the FMP. For Washington Coast stocks, exploitation rate constraints represent MFMT. Note that under U.S. v. Washington and Hoh v. Baldrige case law, the management objectives can differ from FMP objectives provided there is an annual agreement among the state and tribal comanagers; therefore, the exploitation rates used to report categorical status do not necessarily represent maximum allow able rates for these stocks. b/ Preliminary. For Puget Sound and Washington Coast management units, the exploitation rate constraints reflect application of the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan.
c/ Categories (abundant, moderate, low) correspond to the general exploitation rate ranges depicted in paragraph 3(a) of the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan. For Washington Coast stocks, categorical status is determined by taking the midpoint of the range of exploitation rates associated $w$ ith achieving the escapement goal ranges. The exploitation rate ranges are based on preseason abundance forecasts and the upper and lower ends of the escapement goal ranges. Maximum exploitation rates are computed using the low er end of the escapement range; minimum exploitation rates are computed using the upper end of the escapement range.

TABLE III-6. Projected coho mark rates for 2013 fisheries under base period fishing patterns (percent marked).

| Area | Fishery | June | July | August | Sept |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |
| Johnstone Strait | Recreational | - | $28 \%$ | $28 \%$ | - |
| West Coast Vancouver Island | Recreational | $37 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| North Georgia Strait | Recreational | $38 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| South Georgia Strait | Recreational | $39 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| Juan de Fuca Strait | Recreational | $40 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| Johnstone Strait | Troll | $44 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| NW Vancouver Island | Troll | $37 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| SW Vancouver Island | Troll | $41 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Georgia Strait | Troll | $43 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Puget Sound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strait of Juan de Fuca (Area 5) | Recreational | $47 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| Strait of Juan de Fuca (Area 6) | Recreational | $47 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| San Juan Island (Area 7) | Recreational | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| North Puget Sound (Areas 6 \& 7A) | Net | - | $49 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Council Area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Neah Bay (Area 4/4B) | Recreational | $37 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| LaPush (Area 3) | Recreational | $48 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| Westport (Area 2) | Recreational | $53 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Columbia River (Area 1) | Recreational | $58 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| Tillamook | Recreational | $51 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| New port | Recreational | $48 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Coos Bay | Recreational | $41 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Brookings | Recreational | $36 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Neah Bay (Area 4/4B) | Troll | $42 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| LaPush (Area 3) | Troll | $43 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| Westport (Area 2) | Troll | $44 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| Columbia River (Area 1) | Troll | $52 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| Tillamook | Troll | $48 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| New port | Troll | $46 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| Coos Bay | Troll | $40 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Brookings | Troll | $31 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Columbia River |  |  |  | - | - |
| Buoy 10 |  |  |  |  |  |



FIGURE III-1a. Selected preseason vs. postseason forecasts for coho stocks with significant contribution to Council area fisheries.


FIGURE III-1b. Selected preseason vs. postseason forecasts for coho stocks with significant contribution to Council area fisheries.

## CHAPTER IV: AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT - PINK SALMON ASSESSMENT

Two major runs comprise the pink salmon population available to Council fisheries during odd-numbered years: the Fraser River (British Columbia) run, which is more abundant, and the Puget Sound run. The 2011 run size forecast for Fraser pinks was 17.50 million fish; the actual run size was estimated at 20.5 million. The 2011 Puget Sound pink salmon run size forecast was 5.98 million, with 5.97 million natural and 4,100 hatchery fish. The actual run size estimate for 2011 was 5.27 million fish.

Table IV-1 provides a summary of recent run sizes and forecasts.

TABLE IV-1. Estimated annual (odd-numbered years) run sizes and forecasts for Fraser River and Puget Sound pink salmon in millions of fish.

| Year | Puget Sound |  | Fraser River ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Forecast | Actual | Forecast | Actual |
| 1977 | NA | 0.88 | NA | 8.21 |
| 1979 | NA | 1.32 | NA | 14.40 |
| 1981 | NA | 0.50 | NA | 18.69 |
| 1983 | NA | 1.01 | NA | 15.35 |
| 1985 | NA | 1.76 | NA | 19.10 |
| 1987 | NA | 1.57 | NA | 7.17 |
| 1989 | NA | 1.93 | NA | 16.63 |
| 1991 | NA | 1.09 | NA | 22.18 |
| 1993 | NA | 1.06 | NA | 16.98 |
| 1995 | 3.4 | 2.08 | NA | 12.90 |
| 1997 | NA | 0.44 | 11.40 | 8.18 |
| 1999 | NA | 0.96 | NA | 3.59 |
| 2001 | 2.92 | 3.56 | 5.47 | 21.17 |
| 2003 | 2.32 | 2.90 | 17.30 | 26.00 |
| 2005 | 1.98 | 1.23 | 16.30 | 10.00 |
| 2007 | 3.34 | 2.45 | 19.60 | 11.00 |
| 2009 | 5.16 | 9.84 | 17.54 | 19.50 |
| 2011 | 5.98 | 5.27 | 17.50 | 20.65 |
| $2013{ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | 6.27 | NA | 8.93 | NA |
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## CHAPTER V: DESCRIPTION AND ANALYSIS OF THE NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE

## DESCRIPTION OF THE NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE

Management measures for 2012 remain in effect until superseded by the 2013 management measures; therefore, the No-Action Alternative consists of the preseason management measures adopted by the Council and approved by the Secretary of Commerce for the 2012 ocean salmon management season between the U.S./Canada border and the U.S./Mexico border. The management measures relate to three fishery sectors: non-Indian commercial (Table V-1), recreational (Table V-2), and treaty Indian (Table V-3). A description of the 2012 preseason management measures and analyses of their projected effects on the biological and socioeconomic environment are presented in Preseason Report III (PFMC 2012b). A description of the 2012 management measures as implemented, including inseason modifications, and an analysis of their effects on the environment, including an historical perspective, is presented in the SAFE document - Review of 2012 Ocean Salmon Fisheries (PFMC 2013).

## ANALYSIS OF EFFECTS ON THE ENVIRONMENT OF THE NO-ACTION alternative

## Overview

Table V-4 provides a summary of Salmon FMP stock spawning escapement and exploitation rate projections for 2013 under the No-Action Alternative (2012 regulations, which remain in effect until superseded by the 2013 management measures), as well as postseason estimates of these quantities for earlier years, which are compared to FMP conservation objectives. For some stocks, postseason estimates of these metrics were either incomplete or unavailable when the Review of 2012 Ocean Salmon Fisheries was published. A preliminary determination of stock status under the FMP SDC was available for some of these stocks in time for this report; however, some estimates are still unavailable. The STT will report to the Council on the status of stocks at the March 2013 Council meeting, and may further update the status of stocks present in Table V-4 at that time.

Chinook escapements and fishery impacts were estimated using the Sacramento Harvest Model, the Winter Run Harvest Model, and the Klamath Ocean Harvest Model for SRFC, SRWC and KRFC, respectively. Assessment of effects under the No-Action Alternative for Oregon Coast Chinook are not available; for Columbia River Chinook stocks assessments were based on qualitative assessment of the magnitude of forecasts, if available, in relation to escapement goals.

Coho escapements and fishery impacts were estimated using Coho FRAM. Abundance forecasts for 2013 were updated for Washington and Oregon stocks, but forecasts for Canadian stocks are unchanged from those employed for 2012 planning. Updated forecasts for Canadian stocks are expected to become available in March 2013. To provide information on the effect of changes in abundance forecasts, the final 2012 pre-season regulatory package for ocean and inside fisheries was applied to 2013 projections of abundance.

## Sacramento River Fall Chinook

A repeat of 2012 regulations would be expected to result in an escapement of 442,767 natural-area and hatchery SRFC adults, which is well above the 122,000 to 180,000 natural area and hatchery adult escapement goal range, and exceeds the 2013 preseason $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ of 250,262 (Tables V-4 and V-5). The geometric mean of the 2011 and 2012 spawning escapement estimates, and the 2013 forecast spawning escapement under the No-Action Alternative, is greater than $\mathrm{S}_{\text {MSY }}$; therefore the stock is not approaching an overfished condition. The predicted SRFC exploitation rate under the No-Action Alternative is 0.47 , well below the MFMT (Table V-4).

The 2012 estimate of SRFC escapement was 283,871 , which exceeds the 2012 postseason $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ of 185,477 (Table V-5).

## Sacramento River Winter Chinook

A repeat of 2012 regulations would be expected to result in an age-3 impact rate of 15.9 percent for the area south of Point Arena. The 2013 forecast age-3 impact under the No-Action Alternative exceeds the 2013 maximum allowable rate of 12.9 percent.

## Klamath River Fall Chinook

A repeat of 2012 fishery regulations, which included a river recreational harvest allocation of 42 percent of the non-tribal harvest and a tribal allocation of 50 percent of the overall adult harvest, would be expected to result in 57,669 natural-area adult spawners. This projection exceeds the $S_{\text {msy }}$ of 40,700 natural area adults, but falls below the 2013 preseason $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ of 73,751 (Tables V-4 and V-5). The geometric mean of the 2011 and 2012 natural-area adult spawner escapement estimates, and the 2013 forecast spawning escapement under the No-Action Alternative, is greater than $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$; therefore the stock is not approaching an overfished condition. The predicted KRFC exploitation rate under the No-Action Alternative is 0.75 , which exceeds the MFMT (Table V-4).

The inability to meet $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ and MFMT benchmarks with a repeat of the 2012 fishing regulation is mainly a result of the large recreational river fishery allocation. This sizable allocation was made possible by a large 2012 abundance forecast and constraints to ocean fisheries that resulted in a very large run size projection. Under a more typical river recreational allocation of 15 percent of the non-tribal harvest, the expected natural-area escapement would be 116,708 adults and the exploitation rate would be 0.49 . If the ocean fisheries were closed from January through August 2013 between Cape Falcon and Point Sur, and the Klamath River fisheries (tribal and recreational) were closed in 2013, the expected number of natural area adult spawners would be 228,269 .

The 2012 estimate of KRFC escapement was 122,018 natural-area adults, which exceeds the 2012 postseason $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ of 72,103 (Table V-5).

## California Coastal Chinook Stocks

The NMFS ESA consultation standard restricts the Klamath River fall Chinook age-4 ocean harvest rate to no more than 16.0 percent to limit impacts on these stocks. As indicated in Chapter II, the postseason estimate of this rate for 2012 is 7.8 percent. Applying 2012 regulations to the 2013 KRFC abundance results in an age- 4 ocean harvest rate forecast of 15.5 percent. If the ocean fisheries were closed from January through August 2013 between Cape Falcon and Point Sur, the expected age-4 ocean harvest rate for 2013 would be one percent ( 3,170 age-4 KRFC were harvested during the September through November 2012 period).

## Oregon Coast Chinook Stocks

The FMP conservation objective for Oregon coast Chinook is based on a total coast goal of 150,000 to 200,000 natural adult spawners. For the two stock complexes, northern and central coast, and southern coast, attainment of goals are assessed using peak spawner counts observed in standard index reaches for the respective complexes. No forecasts are available for these stocks, but given recent trends, it seems likely that escapement goals would be met again in 2013 under 2012 fishing seasons.

## Columbia River Chinook Stocks

The 2013 forecasts are lower than the 2012 forecasts for all stocks except for Sandy River spring Chinook and MCB and URB stocks. Despite the lower forecasts for many Columbia River Chinook stocks, applying 2012 regulations to the forecasted 2013 abundance of Columbia River Chinook would result in ocean escapements meeting spawning escapement goals for all major fall Chinook stocks, including SCH, and summer Chinook (Table V-4).

## Washington Coast and Puget Sound Chinook Stocks

Council fisheries north of Cape Falcon have only a minor impact on most stocks that originate in Washington coastal and Puget Sound rivers. These stocks have northerly marine distribution patterns, and are therefore impacted primarily by Canadian and Alaskan fisheries. An evaluation of 2012 Council area management measures on projected 2013 abundance would not provide a useful comparison of fishery impacts in relation to conservation objectives.

## Oregon Production Index Area Coho Stocks

Ocean fisheries were modeled with 2012 Council regulations and 2013 expectations for non-Council area fisheries. Under this scenario, expected exploitation rates are 18.5 percent on OCN coho and 6.8 percent on Rogue/Klamath hatchery coho. Expected spawner escapement is 156,300 for OCN coho (Tables V-5 and V-6). For Columbia River hatchery coho stocks, the predicted ocean exploitation rate (excluding Buoy 10) is 15.9 percent on the Columbia River early stock and 24.0 percent on the Columbia River late stock. Predicted ocean escapements (after Buoy 10) into the Columbia River in 2013 under this exercise show that under 2012 ocean regulations, Columbia River early and late coho would be expected to meet egg take goals.

As noted in Chapter III, the total allowable OCN coho exploitation rate for 2013 fisheries is no greater than 30.0 percent in the revised OCN coho matrix (Table V-8; Appendix A, Table A-4), and the total allowable RK hatchery coho marine exploitation rate is 13.0 percent (NMFS ESA consultation standard). Under 2012 fishery regulations and 2013 abundance forecasts, these exploitation rates are predicted to be 18.5 percent for OCN, and 6.8 percent for RK coho. The 2013 allowable LCN coho exploitation rate is 15.0 percent in the marine area and mainstem Columbia River fisheries combined. Under 2012 fishery regulations and 2013 abundance forecasts, the exploitation rate is predicted to be 10.0 percent for marine fisheries (excluding the Buoy 10 fishery) using combined unmarked Columbia River hatchery stocks as the proxy. Given the 2012 inriver sharing arrangement, the total exploitation rate on LCN coho would be 13.7 percent.

## Washington Coast, Puget Sound, and Canadian Coho Stocks

Exploitation rate and ocean escapement expectations in relation to management goals for selected naturally-spawning coho stocks, given 2013 preseason abundance forecasts and 2012 preseason projections for fishing patterns, are presented in Table V-6. The 2013 forecasts for Canadian coho stocks are not available, but are assumed to be at 2012 levels for this analysis. More detailed fishery management goals for Council area coho stocks are listed in Appendix A.

Under 2012 regulations, 2013 exploitation rates are expected to meet the allowable 2013 FMP conservation objectives for Puget Sound coho stocks. Ocean escapements for Washington Coast natural coho stocks are expected to be at levels that would permit attainment of FMP spawning escapement conservation objectives. In addition, all annual management objectives for U.S. stocks subject to the PSC agreement would be met. The exploitation rate by U.S. fisheries south of the Canadian border on Interior Fraser (B.C.) coho is projected to be 9.7 percent, which is slightly under the anticipated 10.0 percent allowable exploitation rate under the 2002 PST Coho Agreement. The Council area fisheries portion would be 4.3 percent.

Coho bycatch during Puget Sound fisheries directed at chum, pink and sockeye salmon will also be a consideration for preseason planning.

## Summary

The effects of projected impacts (where available) under 2012 fishery regulations and 2013 abundance forecasts are as follows:

- All stocks would achieve $\mathrm{S}_{\text {MSY }}$ spawning escapement objectives except that the Hoh River spring/summer Chinook 3-year geometric mean escapement for the years 2010-2012 (856) is below the MSST (900).
- SRFC hatchery and natural-area adult escapement would exceed the preseason $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$.
- KRFC natural-area adult escapement would fall short of the preseason $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ which is mainly a result of the large recreational river fishery allocation in 2012.
- All stocks would have projected exploitation rates less than MFMT or ESA consultation standards except KRFC and SRWC.
- All Puget Sound coho would have exploitation rates less than the annual rates allowed under the FMP harvest rate matrix and the PST 2002 Southern Coho Management Plan except Hood Canal natural coho which exceeds the FMP management objective of an exploitation rate of no more than 45 percent.
- All Washington Coast coho would have exploitation rates less than the annual rates allowed under the PST 2002 Southern Coho Management Plan.
- No stocks would be approaching an overfished condition.


## Conclusion

The No-Action Alternative would not meet the Purpose and Need for the proposed action because KRFC would not comply with 2013 preseason ACL requirements and has a projected exploitation rate that exceeds the MFMT. Additionally, SRWC would not meet the terms of its ESA consultation standard, and the Hood Canal natural coho exploitation rate would exceed the FMP management objectives.

The No-Action Alternative does not reflect consideration of changes in the status of salmon stocks from the previous year; therefore, over- or under- harvest of some salmon stocks would occur if this alternative were implemented. The analysis of the No-Action Alternative does, however, provide perspective that is useful in the planning process for 2013 ocean salmon fishery management measures. An understanding of stock shortfalls and surpluses under the No-Action Alternative helps managers, advisors, and constituents construct viable alternatives to the No-Action management measures.

TABLE V-1. Commercial troll management measures adopted by the Council for non-Indian ocean salmon fisheries, 2012. (Page 1 of 5)

## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS <br> North of Cape Falcon <br> Supplemental Management Information

1. Overall non-Indian TAC: 99,000 (non-mark-selective equivalent of 95,000) Chinook and 83,000 coho marked with a healed adipose fin clip (marked).
2. Non-Indian commercial troll TAC: 47,500 Chinook and 13,280 marked coho.

## U.S./Canada Border to Cape Falcon

- May 1 through earlier of June 30 or 31,700 Chinook quota.

Seven days per week (C.1). All salmon except coho (C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 28 inches total length (B). Cape Flattery, Mandatory Yelloweye Rockfish Conservation Area, and Columbia Control Zones closed (C.5). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). An inseason conference call will occur when it is projected that 24,975 Chinook have been landed to consider modifying the open period to five days per week and adding landing and possession limits to ensure the guideline is not exceeded (C.8.f). Cape Flattery, Mandatory Yelloweye Rockfish Conservation Area, and Columbia Control Zones closed (C.5). Vessels must land and deliver their fish within 24 hours of any closure of this fishery. Under state law, vessels must report their catch on a state fish receiving ticket. Vessels fishing or in possession of salmon while fishing north of Leadbetter Point must land and deliver their fish within the area and north of Leadbetter Point. Vessels fishing or in possession of salmon while fishing south of Leadbetter Point must land and deliver their fish within the area and south of Leadbetter Point, except that Oregon permitted vessels may also land their fish in Garibaldi, Oregon. Oregon State regulations require all fishers landing salmon into Oregon from any fishery between Leadbetter Point, Washington and Cape Falcon, Oregon must notify ODFW within one hour of delivery or prior to transport away from the port of landing by either calling 541-867-0300 Ext. 271 or sending notification via e-mail to nfalcon.trollreport@state.or.us. Notification shall include vessel name and number, number of salmon by species, port of landing and location of delivery, and estimated time of delivery. Inseason actions may modify harvest guidelines in later fisheries to achieve or prevent exceeding the overall allowable troll harvest impacts.

## U.S./Canada Border to Cape Falcon

- July 1 through earlier of September 17 or 15,800 preseason Chinook guideline (C.8) or a 13,280 marked coho quota (C.8)

July 1-4 then Friday through Tuesday July 6-August 21 with a landing and possession limit of 40 Chinook and 35 coho per vessel per open period; Friday through Monday August 24-September 17, with a landing and possession limit of 20 Chinook and 40 coho per vessel per open period (C.1, C.8.f). No earlier than September 1, if at least 5,000 marked coho remain on the quota, inseason action may be considered to allow non-selective coho retention (C.8.e). All Salmon except no chum retention north of Cape Alava, Washington in August and September (C.7). All coho must be marked except as noted above (C.8.e). Chinook minimum size limit of 28 inches total length; coho minimum size limit of 16 inches total length (B).See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Mandatory Yelloweye Rockfish Conservation Area, Cape Flattery and Columbia Control Zones, and beginning August 1, Grays Harbor Control Zone Closed (C.5). Vessels must land and deliver their fish within 24 hours of any closure of this fishery. Vessels fishing or in possession of salmon while fishing north of Leadbetter Point must land and deliver their fish within the area and north of Leadbetter Point. Vessels fishing or in possession of salmon while fishing south of Leadbetter Point must land and deliver their fish within the area and south of Leadbetter Point, except that Oregon permitted vessels may also land their fish in Garibaldi, Oregon. Under state law, vessels must report their catch on a state fish receiving ticket. Oregon State regulations require all fishers landing salmon into Oregon from any fishery between Leadbetter Point, Washington and Cape Falcon, Oregon must notify ODFW within one hour of delivery or prior to transport away from the port of landing by either calling 541-867-0300 Ext. 271 or sending notification via e-mail to nfalcon.trollreport@state.or.us. Notification shall include vessel name and number, number of salmon by species, port of landing and location of delivery, and estimated time of delivery. Inseason actions may modify harvest guidelines in later fisheries to achieve or prevent exceeding the overall allowable troll harvest impacts.

TABLE V-1. Commercial troll management measures adopted by the Council for non-Indian ocean salmon fisheries, 2012.

## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS <br> South of Cape Falcon

Supplemental Management Information

1. Sacramento River fall Chinook spawning escapement of 455,800 adults.
2. Sacramento Index exploitation rate of $44.4 \%$
3. Sacramento River fall Chinook projected 3-year geometric mean spawning escapement of 186,600 adults.
4. Klamath River recreational fishery allocation: 67,600 adult Klamath River fall Chinook.
5. Klamath tribal allocation: 160,000 adult Klamath River fall Chinook.

## Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt.

- April 1-August 29
- September 5-October 31 (C.9).

Seven days per week (C.1). All salmon except coho (C.7). Landing and possession limit of 100 Chinook per vessel per calendar week in September and October. Chinook minimum size limit of 28 inches total length (B). All vessels fishing in the area must land their fish in the State of Oregon. See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3) and Oregon State regulations for a description of special regulations at the mouth of Tillamook Bay.

In 2013 the season will open March 15 for all salmon except coho with a 28 inch minimum Chinook size limit and the same gear restrictions as in 2012. This opening could be modified following Council review at its March 2013 meeting.

## Humbug Mt. to ORICA Border (Oregon KMZ)

- April 1-May 31;
- June 1 through earlier of June 30, or a 2,000 Chinook quota;
- July 1 through earlier of July 31, or a 1,500 Chinook quota;
- Aug. 1 through earlier of Aug. 29, or a 1,000 Chinook quota;
- Sept. 5 through earlier of Sept. 30, or a 1,000 Chinook quota (C.9).

Seven days per week (C.1). All salmon except coho (C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 28 inches total length (B). June 1 through September 30, landing and possession limit of 30 Chinook per vessel per day (C.8.f). Any remaining portion of the June and/or July Chinook quotas may be transferred inseason on an impact neutral basis to the next open quota period (no transfer to September quota allowed) (C.8.b). Prior to June 1, all fish caught in this area must be landed and delivered in the State of Oregon. Beginning June 1, all vessels fishing in this area must land and deliver all fish within this area or Port Orford, within 24 hours of any closure in this fishery, and prior to fishing outside of this area (C.1, C.6). Oregon State regulations require all fishers landing salmon from any quota managed season within this area to notify Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) within 1 hour of delivery or prior to transport away from the port of landing by either calling (541) 867-0300 ext. 252 or sending notification via e-mail to KMZOR.trollreport@state.or.us. Notification shall include vessel name and number, number of salmon by species, port of landing and location of delivery, and estimated time of delivery. See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

- June 1-October 31

When otherwise closed to Chinook retention, collection of 200 genetic stock identification samples per week will be permitted (C.4). All salmon must be released in good condition after collection of biological samples.

In 2013 the season will open March 15 for all salmon except coho with a 28 inch minimum Chinook size limit and the same gear restrictions as in 2012. This opening could be modified following Council review at its March 2013 meeting.

## OR/CA Border to Humboldt South Jetty (California KMZ)

- May 1-September 14

Closed except for sufficient impacts to collect 200 genetic stock identification samples per week (C.4). All salmon must be released in good condition after collection of biological samples.

- September 15 through earlier of September 30, or 6,000 Chinook quota (C.9).

Seven days per week (C.1). All salmon except coho (C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 27 inches total length (B). Landing and possession limit of 25 Chinook per vessel per day (C.8.f). All fish caught in this area must be landed within the area and within 24 hours of any closure of the fishery and prior to fishing outside of this area. See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Klamath Control Zone closed (C.5.e). See California State regulations for additional closures adjacent to the Smith and Klamath rivers. When the fishery is closed between the OR/CA border and Humbug Mt. and open to the south, vessels with fish on board caught in the open area off California may seek temporary mooring in Brookings, Oregon prior to landing in California only if such vessels first notify the Chetco River Coast Guard Station via VHF channel 22A between the hours of 0500 and 2200 and provide the vessel name, number of fish on board, and estimated time of arrival (C.6).

## Humboldt South Jetty to Horse Mt.

- May 1-September 30

Closed except for collection of the genetic stock identification samples noted above (C.4). All salmon must be released in good condition after collection of biological samples.
TABLE V-1.
(Page 3 of 5 ) Commercial troll management measures adopted by the Council for non-Indian ocean salmon fisheries, 2012.

## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS

## Horse Mt. to Point Arena (Fort Bragg)

- May 1-July 10

Closed except for sufficient impacts to collect 200 genetic stock identification samples per week (C.4). All salmon must be released in good condition after collection of biological samples.

- July 11 through Aug. 29;
- Sept. 1-30 (C.9).

Seven days per week (C.1). All salmon except coho (C.7). Chinook 27 inch total length minimum size limit (B). All fish must be landed in California and offloaded within 24 hours of the August 29 closure. During September, all fish caught in the area must be landed north of Point Arena; all fish caught in the area when the California KMZ fishery is open must be landed between Horse Mt. and Point Arena. (C.1). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

In 2013, the season will open April 16-30 for all salmon except coho, with a 27 inch minimum Chinook size limit and the same gear restrictions as in 2012. All fish caught in the area must be landed in the area. This opening could be modified following Council review at its March 2013 meeting.

Pt. Arena to Pigeon Pt. (San Francisco)

- May 1-June 4,
- June 27 through August 29;
- September 1-30 (C.9).

Seven days per week (C.1). All salmon except coho (C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 27 inches total length prior to September 1,26 inches thereafter (B). All fish must be landed in California and offloaded within 24 hours of the August 29 closure. During September, all fish caught in the area must be landed south of Point Arena. See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

- June 5-26

Closed except for sufficient impacts to collect 400 genetic stock identification samples per week (C.4). All salmon must be released in good condition after collection of biological samples.

## Pt. Reyes to Pt. San Pedro (Fall Area Target Zone)

- October 1-12

Monday through Friday. All salmon except coho (C.7). Chinook minimum size limit 26 inches total length (B). All vessels fishing in this area must land and deliver all fish between Point Arena and Pigeon Point (C.1). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

## Pigeon Pt. to Point Sur (Monterey)

Same as Pt. Arena to Pigeon Pt.
Pt. Sur to U.S./Mexico Border (South of Monterey)

- May 1 through August 29
- September 1-30 (C.9).

Seven days per week (C.1). All salmon except coho (C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 27 inches total length prior to September 1,26 inches thereafter (B). All fish must be landed in California and offloaded within 24 hours of the August 29 closure. All fish caught in the area June 5-26 must be landed south of Pt. San Pedro; during September, all fish caught in the area must be landed south of Point Arena. See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

California State regulations require all salmon be made available to a CDFG representative for sampling immediately at port of landing. Any person in possession of a salmon with a missing adipose fin, upon request by an authorized agent or employee of the CDFG, shall immediately relinquish the head of the salmon to the state. (California Fish and Game Code §8226)
B. MINIMUM SIZE (Inches) (See C.1)

| Area (when open) | Chinook |  | Coho |  | Pink |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Length | Head-off | Total Length | Head-off |  |
| North of Cape Falcon | 28.0 | 21.5 | 16.0 | 12.0 | None |
| Cape Falcon to OR/CA Border | 28.0 | 21.5 | - | - | None |
| OR/CA Border to Humboldt South Jetty | 27.0 | 20.5 | - | - | None |
| Horse Mt. to Pt. Arena | 27.0 | 20.5 | - | - | None |
| Pt. Arena to U.S./Mexico Border |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prior to Sept. 1 | 27.0 | 20.5 | - | - | None |
| Sept. 1 to October 12 | 26.0 | 19.5 | - | - | None |

## C. REQUIREMENTS, DEFINITIONS, RESTRICTIONS, OR EXCEPTIONS

C.1. Compliance with Minimum Size or Other Special Restrictions: All salmon on board a vessel must meet the minimum size, landing/possession limit, or other special requirements for the area being fished and the area in which they are landed if the area is open. Salmon may be landed in an area that has been closed more than 96 hours only if they meet the minimum size, landing/possession limit, or other special requirements for the area in which they were caught. Salmon may be landed in an area that has been closed less than 96 hours only if they meet the minimum size, landing/possession limit, or other special requirements for the areas in which they were caught and landed.

States may require fish landing/receiving tickets be kept on board the vessel for 90 days after landing to account for all previous salmon landings.
C.2. Gear Restrictions:
a. Salmon may be taken only by hook and line using single point, single shank, barbless hooks.
b. Cape Falcon, Oregon, to the OR/CA border: No more than 4 spreads are allowed per line.
c. OR/CA border to U.S./Mexico border: No more than 6 lines are allowed per vessel, and barbless circle hooks are required when fishing with bait by any means other than trolling.

## C.3. Gear Definitions:

Trolling defined: Fishing from a boat or floating device that is making way by means of a source of power, other than drifting by means of the prevailing water current or weather conditions.

Troll fishing gear defined: One or more lines that drag hooks behind a moving fishing vessel. In that portion of the fishery management area (FMA) off Oregon and Washington, the line or lines must be affixed to the vessel and must not be intentionally disengaged from the vessel at any time during the fishing operation.

Spread defined: A single leader connected to an individual lure and/or bait.
Circle hook defined: A hook with a generally circular shape and a point which turns inward, pointing directly to the shank at a $90^{\circ}$ angle.
C.4. Vessel Operation in Closed Areas with Salmon on Board:
a. Except as provided under C.4.b below, it is unlawful for a vessel to have troll or recreational gear in the water while in any area closed to fishing for a certain species of salmon, while possessing that species of salmon; however, fishing for species other than salmon is not prohibited if the area is open for such species, and no salmon are in possession.
b. When Genetic Stock Identification (GSI) samples will be collected in an area closed to commercial salmon fishing, the scientific research permit holder shall notify NOAA OLE, USCG, CDFG and OSP at least 24 hours prior to sampling and provide the following information: the vessel name, date, location and time collection activities will be done. Any vessel collecting GSI samples in a closed area shall not possess any salmon other than those from which GSI samples are being collected. Salmon caught for collection of GSI samples must be immediately released in good condition after collection of samples.
C.5. Control Zone Definitions:
a. Cape Flattery Control Zone - The area from Cape Flattery ( $48^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.) to the northern boundary of the U.S. EEZ; and the area from Cape Flattery south to Cape Alava ( $48^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.) and east of $125^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
b. Mandatory Yelloweye Rockfish Conservation Area - The area in Washington Marine Catch Area 3 from $48^{\circ} 00.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 14.00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. to $48^{\circ} 02.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 14.00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. to $48^{\circ} 02.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 16.50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. to $48^{\circ} 00.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 16.50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. and connecting back to $48^{\circ} 00.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 14.00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
c. Grays Harbor Control Zone - The area defined by a line drawn from the Westport Lighthouse ( $46^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ}$ $07^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ W. long.) to Buoy \#2 ( $46^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ N. lat., $124^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ W. long.) to Buoy \#3 ( $46^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ W. long.) to the Grays Harbor north jetty ( $46^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.).
d. Columbia Control Zone - An area at the Columbia River mouth, bounded on the west by a line running northeast/southwest between the red lighted Buoy \#4 ( $46^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.) and the green lighted Buoy \#7 ( $46^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.); on the east, by the Buoy \#10 line which bears north/south at $357^{\circ}$ true from the south jetty at $46^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. to its intersection with the north jetty; on the north, by a line running northeast/southwest between the green lighted Buoy $\# 7$ to the tip of the north jetty ( $46^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.), and then along the north jetty to the point of intersection with the Buoy $\# 10$ line; and, on the south, by a line running northeast/southwest between the red lighted Buoy \#4 and tip of the south jetty ( $46^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.), and then along the south jetty to the point of intersection with the Buoy \#10 line.
e. Klamath Control Zone - The ocean area at the Klamath River mouth bounded on the north by $41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ N. lat. (approximately six nautical miles north of the Klamath River mouth); on the west, by $124^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. (approximately 12 nautical miles off shore); and on the south, by $41^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (approximately six nautical miles south of the Klamath River mouth).

TABLE V-1. Commercial troll management measures adopted by the Council for non-Indian ocean salmon fisheries, 2012. (Page 5 of 5 )

## C. REQUIREMENTS, DEFINITIONS, RESTRICTIONS, OR EXCEPTIONS (continued)

C.6. Notification When Unsafe Conditions Prevent Compliance with Regulations: If prevented by unsafe weather conditions or mechanical problems from meeting special management area landing restrictions, vessels must notify the U.S. Coast Guard and receive acknowledgment of such notification prior to leaving the area. This notification shall include the name of the vessel, port where delivery will be made, approximate amount of salmon (by species) on board, the estimated time of arrival, and the specific reason the vessel is not able to meet special management area landing restrictions.

In addition to contacting the U.S. Coast Guard, vessels fishing south of the Oregon/California border must notify CDFG within one hour of leaving the management area by calling 800-889-8346 and providing the same information as reported to the U.S. Coast Guard. All salmon must be offloaded within 24 hours of reaching port.
C.7. Incidental Halibut Harvest: During authorized periods, the operator of a vessel that has been issued an incidental halibut harvest license may retain Pacific halibut caught incidentally in Area 2A while trolling for salmon. Halibut retained must be no less than 32 inches in total length, measured from the tip of the lower jaw with the mouth closed to the extreme end of the middle of the tail, and must be landed with the head on. License applications for incidental harvest must be obtained from the International Pacific Halibut Commission (phone: 206-634-1838). Applicants must apply prior to April 1 of each year. Incidental harvest is authorized only during May and June troll seasons and after June 30 if quota remains and if announced on the NMFS hotline (phone: 800-662-9825). ODFW and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) will monitor landings. If the landings are projected to exceed the 30,568 pound preseason allocation or the total Area 2A non-Indian commercial halibut allocation, NMFS will take inseason action to prohibit retention of halibut in the non-Indian salmon troll fishery.

Beginning May 1, license holders may land or possess no more than one Pacific halibut per each four Chinook, except one Pacific halibut may be possessed or landed without meeting the ratio requirement, and no more than 20 halibut may be possessed or landed per trip. Pacific halibut retained must be no less than 32 inches in total length (with head on).
a. "C-shaped" yelloweye rockfish conservation area is an area to be voluntarily avoided for salmon trolling. NMFS and the Council request salmon trollers voluntarily avoid this area in order to protect yelloweye rockfish. The area is defined in the Pacific Council Halibut Catch Sharing Plan in the North Coast subarea (Washington marine area 3), with the following coordinates in the order listed: $48^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.; $48^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.; $48^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.; $48^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$ W. long.; $48^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.; $48^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.; $48^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.; $48^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.; and connecting back to $48^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
C.8. Inseason Management: In addition to standard inseason actions or modifications already noted under the season description, the following inseason guidance is provided to NMFS:
a. Chinook remaining from the May through June non-Indian commercial troll harvest guideline north of Cape Falcon may be transferred to the July through September harvest guideline if the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks.
b. Chinook remaining from the June and/or July non-Indian commercial troll quotas in the Oregon KMZ may be transferred to the Chinook quota for the next open period if the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks.
c. NMFS may transfer fish between the recreational and commercial fisheries north of Cape Falcon if there is agreement among the areas' representatives on the Salmon Advisory Subpanel (SAS), and if the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks.
d. At the March 2013 meeting, the Council will consider inseason recommendations for special regulations for any experimental fisheries (proposals must meet Council protocol and be received in November 2012).
e. If retention of unmarked coho is permitted by inseason action, the allowable coho quota will be adjusted to ensure preseason projected impacts on all stocks is not exceeded.
f. Landing limits may be modified inseason to sustain season length and keep harvest within overall quotas.
C.9. State Waters Fisheries: Consistent with Council management objectives:
a. The State of Oregon may establish additional late-season fisheries in state waters.
b. The State of California may establish limited fisheries in selected state waters.

Check state regulations for details.
C.10. For the purposes of California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) Code, Section 8232.5, the definition of the Klamath Management Zone (KMZ) for the ocean salmon season shall be that area from Humbug Mt., Oregon, to Horse Mt., California.

TABLE V-2. Recreational management measures adopted by the Council for non-Indian ocean salmon fisheries, 2012.
(Page 1 of 4)


## Queets River to Leadbetter Point

- June 9 through earlier of June 23 or a coastwide marked Chinook quota of 8,000 (C.5).

Seven days per week. Two fish per day, all salmon except coho, all Chinook must be marked with a healed adipose fin clip (C.1). Chinook 24 -inch total length minimum size limit (B). See gear restrictions (C.2). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).

## Leadbetter Point to Cape Falcon

- June 9 through earlier of June 22 or a coastwide marked Chinook quota of 8,000 (C.5).

Seven days per week. Two fish per day, all salmon except coho, all Chinook must be marked with a healed adipose fin clip (C.1). Chinook 24 -inch total length minimum size limit (B). See gear restrictions (C.2). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).

## U.S./Canada Border to Cape Alava (Neah Bay)

- July 1 through earlier of September 23 or 7,250 marked coho subarea quota with a subarea guideline of 4,700 Chinook (C.5).

Seven days per week. All salmon except no chum beginning August 1; two fish per day. All coho must be marked (C.1). Beginning August 1, Chinook non-retention east of the Bonilla-Tatoosh line (C.4.a) during Council managed ocean fishery. See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook and coho recreational TACs for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).

## Cape Alava to Queets River (La Push Subarea)

- July 1 through earlier of September 23 or 1,760 marked coho subarea quota with a subarea guideline of 2,050 Chinook (C.5).
- September 29 through earlier of October 14 or 50 marked coho quota or 50 Chinook quota (C.5) in the area north of $47^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 00 \mathrm{~N}$. lat. and south of $48^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.
Seven days per week. All salmon; two fish per day. All coho must be marked (C.1). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook and coho recreational TACs for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).

Queets River to Leadbetter Point (Westport Subarea)

- June 24 through earlier of September 23 or 25,800 marked coho subarea quota with a subarea guideline of 25,600 Chinook (C.5).

Sunday through Thursday. All salmon; two fish per day, no more than one of which can be a Chinook. All coho must be marked (C.1). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook and coho recreational TACs for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).

## Leadbetter Point to Cape Falcon (Columbia River Subarea)

- June 23 through earlier of September 30 or 34,860 marked coho subarea quota with a subarea guideline of 11,100 Chinook (C.5).

Seven days per week. All salmon; two fish per day, no more than one of which can be a Chinook. All coho must be marked (C.1). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Columbia Control Zone closed (C.4). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook and coho recreational TACs for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).

TABLE V-2. Recreational management measures adopted by the Council for non-Indian ocean salmon fisheries, 2012.

## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS

## South of Cape Falcon

## Supplemental Management Information

1. Sacramento River fall Chinook spawning escapement of 455,800 adults.
2. Sacramento Index exploitation rate of $44.4 \%$
3. Sacramento River fall Chinook projected 3-year geometric mean spawning escapement of 186,600 adults.
4. Klamath River recreational fishery allocation: 67,600 adult Klamath River fall Chinook.
5. Klamath tribal allocation: 160,000 adult Klamath River fall Chinook.
6. Overall recreational TAC: 8,000 marked coho and 10,000 unmarked coho.

## Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt.

- Except as provided below during the all-salmon mark-selective and non-mark-selective coho fisheries, the season will be March 15 through October 31 (C.6).
All salmon except coho; two fish per day (B, C.1). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).
- Cape Falcon to OR/CA border all-salmon mark-selective coho fishery: July 1 through earlier of July 31 or a landed catch of 8,000 marked coho.
Seven days per week. All salmon, two fish per day. All retained coho must be marked (C.1). Any remainder of the mark selective coho quota may be transferred on an impact neutral basis to the September non-selective coho quota listed below (C.5.e). The all salmon except coho season reopens the earlier of August 1 or attainment of the coho quota, through August 31.
- Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt. non-mark-selective coho fishery: September 1 through the earlier of September 22 or a landed catch of 10,000 non-mark-selective coho quota (C.5).
Sept. 1-3, then Thursday through Saturday thereafter; all salmon, two fish per day (C.5)
Sept. 4-5, then Sunday through Wednesday thereafter; all salmon except coho, two fish per day. The all salmon except coho season reopens the earlier of September 23 or attainment of the coho quota. Open days may be adjusted inseason to utilize the available coho quota (C.5).

Fishing in the Stonewall Bank yelloweye rockfish conservation area restricted to trolling only on days the all depth recreational halibut fishery is open (call the halibut fishing hotline 1-800-662-9825 for specific dates) (C.3.b, C.4.d).

In 2013, the season between Cape Falcon and Humbug Mt. opens March 15 for all salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 24 inches total length (B); and the same gear restrictions as in 2012 (C.2, C.3). This opening could be modified following Council review at its March 2013 meeting.

## Humbug Mt. to OR/CA Border. (Oregon KMZ)

- Except as provided above during the all-salmon mark-selective coho fishery, the season will be May 1 through September 9 (C.6).

All salmon except coho, except as noted above in the all-salmon mark-selective coho fishery. Seven days per week, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 24 inches total length (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

## OR/CA Border to Horse Mt. (California KMZ)

- May 1 through September 9 (C.6).

All salmon except coho. Seven days per week, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 20 inches total length (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Klamath Control Zone closed in August (C.4.e). See California State regulations for additional closures adjacent to the Smith, Eel, and Klamath rivers.

## Horse Mt. to Point Arena (Fort Bragg)

- April 7 through November 11.

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 20 inches total length (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

In 2013, season opens April 6 for all salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 20 inches total length (B); and the same gear restrictions as in 2012 (C.2, C.3). This opening could be modified following Council review at its March 2013 meeting.

## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS

Point Arena to Pigeon Point (San Francisco)

- April 7 through November 11.

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 24 inches total length through July 5; 20 inches thereafter (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

In 2013, season opens April 6 for all salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 24 inches total length (B); and the same gear restrictions as in 2012 (C.2, C.3). This opening could be modified following Council review at its March 2013 meeting.
Pigeon Point to U.S.IMexico Border (Monterey)

- April 7 through October 7.

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 24 inches total length through July 5; 20 inches thereafter (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

In 2013, season opens April 6 for all salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 24 inches total length (B); and the same gear restrictions as in 2012 (C.2, C.3). This opening could be modified following Council review at its March 2013 meeting.
California State regulations require all salmon be made available to a CDFG representative for sampling immediately at port of landing. Any person in possession of a salmon with a missing adipose fin, upon request by an authorized agent or employee of the CDFG, shall immediately relinquish the head of the salmon to the state. (California Fish and Game Code §8226)

## B. MINIMUM SIZE (Inches) (See C.1)

| Area (when open) |  | Chinook | Coho | Pink |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North of Cape Falcon |  | 24.0 | 16.0 | None |
| Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt. |  | 24.0 | 16.0 | None |
| Humbug Mt. to OR/CA Border |  | 24.0 | 16.0 | None |
| OR/CA Border to Horse Mountain |  | 20.0 | - | 20.0 |
| Horse Mt. to Pt. Arena |  | 20.0 | - | 20.0 |
| Pt. Arena. to U.S./Mexico Border: | Apr. 7 to July 5 | 24.0 | - | 24.0 |
|  | July 6 to Nov. 11 | 20.0 | - | 20.0 |

## C. REQUIREMENTS, DEFINITIONS, RESTRICTIONS, OR EXCEPTIONS

C.1. Compliance with Minimum Size and Other Special Restrictions: All salmon on board a vessel must meet the minimum size or other special requirements for the area being fished and the area in which they are landed if that area is open. Salmon may be landed in an area that is closed only if they meet the minimum size or other special requirements for the area in which they were caught.
Ocean Boat Limits: Off the coast of Washington, Oregon, and California, each fisher aboard a vessel may continue to use angling gear until the combined daily limits of salmon for all licensed and juvenile anglers aboard has been attained (additional state restrictions may apply).
C.2. Gear Restrictions: Salmon may be taken only by hook and line using barbless hooks. All persons fishing for salmon, and all persons fishing from a boat with salmon on board, must meet the gear restrictions listed below for specific areas or seasons.
a. U.S./Canada Border to Point Conception, California: No more than one rod may be used per angler; and no more than two single point, single shank barbless hooks are required for all fishing gear. [Note: ODFW regulations in the state-water fishery off Tillamook Bay may allow the use of barbed hooks to be consistent with inside regulations.]
b. Horse Mt., California, to Point Conception, California: Single point, single shank, barbless circle hooks (see gear definitions below) are required when fishing with bait by any means other than trolling, and no more than two such hooks shall be used. When angling with two hooks, the distance between the hooks must not exceed five inches when measured from the top of the eye of the top hook to the inner base of the curve of the lower hook, and both hooks must be permanently tied in place (hard tied). Circle hooks are not required when artificial lures are used without bait.

## C. REQUIREMENTS, DEFINITIONS, RESTRICTIONS, OR EXCEPTIONS

C.3. Gear Definitions:
a. Recreational fishing gear defined: Angling tackle consisting of a line with no more than one artificial lure and/or natural bait attached. Off Oregon and Washington, the line must be attached to a rod and reel held by hand or closely attended; the rod and reel must be held by hand while playing a hooked fish. No person may use more than one rod and line while fishing off Oregon or Washington. Off California, the line must be attached to a rod and reel held by hand or closely attended; weights directly attached to a line may not exceed four pounds ( 1.8 kg ). While fishing off California north of Point Conception, no person fishing for salmon, and no person fishing from a boat with salmon on board, may use more than one rod and line. Fishing includes any activity which can reasonably be expected to result in the catching, taking, or harvesting of fish.
b. Trolling defined: Angling from a boat or floating device that is making way by means of a source of power, other than drifting by means of the prevailing water current or weather conditions.
c. Circle hook defined: A hook with a generally circular shape and a point which turns inward, pointing directly to the shank at a $90^{\circ}$ angle.
C.4. Control Zone Definitions:
a. The Bonilla-Tatoosh Line: A line running from the western end of Cape Flattery to Tatoosh Island Lighthouse ( $48^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ N. lat., $124^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.) to the buoy adjacent to Duntze Rock ( $48^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.), then in a straight line to Bonilla Point ( $48^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ N. lat., $124^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ W. long.) on Vancouver Island, British Columbia.
b. Grays Harbor Control Zone - The area defined by a line drawn from the Westport Lighthouse ( $46^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ}$ $07^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ W. long.) to Buoy \#2 ( $46^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ N. lat., $124^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ W. long.) to Buoy \#3 ( $46^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ N. lat., $124^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ W. long.) to the Grays Harbor north jetty ( $46^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ N. lat., $124^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ W. long.).
c. Columbia Control Zone: An area at the Columbia River mouth, bounded on the west by a line running northeast/southwest between the red lighted Buoy \#4 ( $46^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.) and the green lighted Buoy \#7 ( $46^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.); on the east, by the Buoy \#10 line which bears north/south at $357^{\circ}$ true from the south jetty at $46^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. to its intersection with the north jetty; on the north, by a line running northeast/southwest between the green lighted Buoy $\# 7$ to the tip of the north jetty $\left(46^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}\right.$. lat., $124^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. and then along the north jetty to the point of intersection with the Buoy \#10 line; and on the south, by a line running northeast/southwest between the red lighted Buoy \#4 and tip of the south jetty ( $46^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.), and then along the south jetty to the point of intersection with the Buoy \#10 line.
d. Stonewall Bank Yelloweye Rockfish Conservation Area: The area defined by the following coordinates in the order listed:
$44^{\circ} 37.46^{\prime}$ N. lat.; $124^{\circ} 24.92^{\prime}$ W. long.;
$44^{\circ} 37.46^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 23.63^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.;
$44^{\circ} 28.71^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 21.80^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.;
$44^{\circ} 28.71^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 24.0^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.;
$44^{\circ} 31.42^{\prime}$ N. lat.; $124^{\circ} 25.47^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.;
and connecting back to $44^{\circ} 37.46{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 24.92^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
e. Klamath Control Zone: The ocean area at the Klamath River mouth bounded on the north by $41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (approximately six nautical miles north of the Klamath River mouth); on the west, by $124^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. (approximately 12 nautical miles off shore); and, on the south, by $41^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} N$. lat. (approximately 6 nautical miles south of the Klamath River mouth).
C.5. Inseason Management: Regulatory modifications may become necessary inseason to meet preseason management objectives such as quotas, harvest guidelines, and season duration. In addition to standard inseason actions or modifications already noted under the season description, the following inseason guidance is provided to NMFS:
a. Actions could include modifications to bag limits, or days open to fishing, and extensions or reductions in areas open to fishing.
b. Coho may be transferred inseason among recreational subareas north of Cape Falcon to help meet the recreational season duration objectives (for each subarea) after conferring with representatives of the affected ports and the Council's SAS recreational representatives north of Cape Falcon, and if the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks.
c. Chinook and coho may be transferred between the recreational and commercial fisheries north of Cape Falcon if there is agreement among the representatives of the Salmon Advisory Subpanel (SAS), and if the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks.
d. Fishery managers may consider inseason action permitting the retention of unmarked coho. Such a consideration may also include a change in bag limit of two salmon, no more than one of which may be a coho. If retention of unmarked coho is permitted by inseason action, the allowable coho quota will be adjusted to ensure preseason projected impacts on all stocks is not exceeded.
e. Marked coho remaining from the July Cape Falcon to OR/CA border recreational coho quota may be transferred inseason to the September Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt. non-mark-selective recreational fishery if the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks.
C.6. Additional Seasons in State Territorial Waters: Consistent with Council management objectives, the States of Washington, Oregon, and California may establish limited seasons in state waters. Check state regulations for details.

| TABLE V-3. <br> (Page 1 of 1) | Treaty Indian ocean troll management measures adopted by the Council for ocean salmon fisheries, 2012. |
| :--- | :--- |
| A. SEASON DESCRIPTIONS |  |
| Supplemental Management Information |  |
| 1. Overall Treaty-Indian TAC: 55,000 Chinook and 47,500 coho. |  |
| May 1 through the earlier of June 30 or 27,500 Chinook quota. <br> All salmon except coho. If the Chinook quota for the May-June fishery is not fully utilized, the excess fish may be transferred into <br> the later all-salmon season (C.5.a). If the Chinook quota is exceeded, the excess will be deducted from the later all-salmon season <br> (C.5). See size limit (B) and other restrictions (C). <br> - July 1 through the earlier of September 15, or 27,500 preseason Chinook quota (C.5), or 47,500 coho quota. <br> All Salmon. See size limit (B) and other restrictions (C). |  |


| B. MINIMUM SIZE (Inches) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area (when open) | Chinook |  | Coho |  | Pink |
|  | Total Length | Head-off | Total Length | Head-off |  |
| North of Cape Falcon | 24.0 ( 61.0 cm ) | 18.0 (45.7 cm) | 16.0 ( 40.6 cm ) | 12.0 (30.5 cm) | None |

## C. REQUIREMENTS, DEFINITIONS, RESTRICTIONS, OR EXCEPTIONS

C.1. Tribe and Area Boundaries. All boundaries may be changed to include such other areas as may hereafter be authorized by a Federal court for that tribe's treaty fishery.
S'KLALLAM - Washington State Statistical Area 4B (All).
MAKAH - Washington State Statistical Area 4B and that portion of the FMA north of $48^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (Norwegian Memorial) and east of $125^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.

QUILEUTE - That portion of the FMA between $48^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (Sand Pt.) and $47^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (Queets River) and east of $125^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.

HOH - That portion of the FMA between $47^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (Quillayute River) and $47^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (Quinault River) and east of $125^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.

QUINAULT - That portion of the FMA between $47^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (Destruction Island) and $46^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime N}$. lat. (Point Chehalis) and east of $125^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 000^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
C.2. Gear restrictions
a. Single point, single shank, barbless hooks are required in all fisheries.
b. No more than eight fixed lines per boat.
c. No more than four hand held lines per person in the Makah area fishery (Washington State Statistical Area 4B and that portion of the FMA north of $48^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (Norwegian Memorial) and east of $125^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.)
C.3. Quotas
a. The quotas include troll catches by the S'Klallam and Makah tribes in Washington State Statistical Area 4B from May 1 through September 15.
b. The Quileute Tribe will continue a ceremonial and subsistence fishery during the time frame of September 15 through October 15 in the same manner as in 2004-2011. Fish taken during this fishery are to be counted against treaty troll quotas established for the 2012 season (estimated harvest during the October ceremonial and subsistence fishery: 100 Chinook; 200 coho).

## C.4. Area Closures

a. The area within a six nautical mile radius of the mouths of the Queets River ( $47^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.) and the Hoh River ( $47^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.) will be closed to commercial fishing.
b. A closure within two nautical miles of the mouth of the Quinault River ( $47^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 00$ " N. lat.) may be enacted by the Quinault Nation and/or the State of Washington and will not adversely affect the Secretary of Commerce's management regime.
C.5. Inseason Management: In addition to standard inseason actions or modifications already noted under the season description, the following inseason guidance is provided to NMFS:
a. Chinook remaining from the May through June treaty-Indian ocean troll harvest guideline north of Cape Falcon may be transferred to the July through September harvest guideline if the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks.

TABLE V-4. Stock status relative to overfished and overfishing criteria. A stock is approaching an overfished condition if the 3-year geometric mean of the most recent two years and the forecast spawning escapement is less than the minimum stock size threshold (MSST); a stock would experience overfishing in any year that the total exploitation rate exceeds the maximum fishing mortality threshold (MFMT). 2013 spawning escapement and exploitation rate estimates are based on preliminary 2013 preseason abundance forecasts and 2012 Council regulations

|  | Spaw ning Escapement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Exploitation Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Forecast 3-yr Geo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012^{\text {a/ }}$ | $2013{ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | Mean | MSST | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {MSY }}$ | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | $2013{ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | MFMT |
| Chinook |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sacramento Fall | 40,873 | 124,270 | 119,342 | 283,871 | 442,767 | 246,621 | 91,500 | 122,000 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.47 | 0.78 |
| Klamath River Fall | 44,409 | 37,225 | 46,763 | 122,018 | 57,669 | 69,038 | 30,525 | 40,700 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.75 | 0.71 |
| Southern Oregon ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | 66 | 52 | 35 | 39 | NA | NA | 30-45 | 150,000 to | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.78 |
| Central and Northern $\mathrm{OR}^{\text {c/ }}$ | 61 | 87 | 109 | 146 | NA | NA | 30-45 | 200,000 | 0.68 | 0.69 | NA | NA | NA | 0.78 |
| Upper River Bright - Fall ${ }^{\text {d/ }}$ | 62,428 | 114,230 | 93,510 | 94,615 | 231,000 | 126,904 | 19,182 | 39,625 | 0.70 | 0.42 | NA | NA | NA | 0.86 |
| Upper River - Summer ${ }^{\text {d/ }}$ | 44,295 | 47,220 | 44,432 | 52,528 | 68,000 | 54,142 | 6,072 | 12,143 | 0.50 | 0.55 | NA | NA | NA | 0.75 |
| Willapa Bay - Falle/ | 2,847 | 3,395 | 3,119 | 2,158 | NA | NA | 1,696 | 3,393 | 0.59 | 0.64 | NA | NA | NA | 0.78 |
| Grays Harbor Fall ${ }^{\text {// }}$ | 7,215 | 14,531 | 18,311 | 17,308 | NA | NA | 5,694 | 11,388 | 0.59 | 0.64 | NA | NA | NA | 0.78 |
| Grays Harbor Spring | 1,132 | 3,495 | 2,563 | 959 | NA | NA | 546 | 1,092 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.78 |
| Queets - Fall ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 3,106 | 4,031 | 3,857 | 3,586 | NA | NA | 1,250 | 2,500 | 0.59 | 0.64 | NA | NA | NA | 0.87 |
| Queets - Sp/Su | 495 | 259 | 373 | 764 | NA | NA | 350 | 700 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.78 |
| Hoh - Fall ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ | 2,081 | 2,599 | 1,293 | 1,937 | NA | NA | 600 | 1,200 | 0.59 | 0.64 | NA | NA | NA | 0.90 |
| Hoh Sp/Su | 880 | 828 | 827 | 915 | NA | NA | 450 | 900 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.78 |
| Quillayute - Fall ${ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 3,130 | 4,635 | 3,993 | 3,181 | NA | NA | 1,500 | 3,000 | 0.59 | 0.64 | NA | NA | NA | 0.87 |
| Quillayute - Sp/Su | 555 | 772 | 569 | 731 | NA | NA | 600 | 1,200 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.78 |
| Hoko -Su/Fa ${ }^{\text {d/ }}$ | 385 | 793 | 1,504 | 653 | NA | NA | 425 | 850 | 0.28 | 0.12 | NA | NA | NA | 0.78 |
| Coho |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Willapa Bay | 47,333 | 84,565 | 26,122 | 20,024 | NA | NA | Undef | Undef | 0.59 | 0.27 | 0.46 | NA | 0.69 | Undef |
| Grays Harbor | 69,222 | 102,237 | 64,433 | 64,562 | 98,182 | 75,202 | 18,320 | 24,426 | 0.33 | 0.22 | 0.42 | NA | 0.50 | 0.65 |
| Queets | 9,404 | 11,261 | 8,588 | NA | 9,472 | 9,712 | 4,350 | 5,800 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.36 | NA | 0.62 | 0.65 |
| Hoh | 6,595 | 7,864 | 8,043 | 4,179 | 4,637 | 5,382 | 1,890 | 2,520 | 0.52 | 0.33 | 0.39 | NA | 0.46 | 0.65 |
| Quillayute Fall | 7,863 | 9,837 | 8,070 | 5,526 | 8,432 | 7,218 | 4,725 | 6,300 | 0.50 | 0.43 | 0.42 | NA | 0.51 | 0.59 |
| Juan de Fuca | 14,957 | 19,282 | 43,042 | NA | 10,962 | 20,876 | 7,000 | 11,000 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 0.09 | NA | 0.13 | 0.60 |
| Hood Canal | 26,927 | 4,697 | 24,388 | 28,096 | 17,176 | 12,531 | 10,750 | 14,350 | 0.59 | 0.68 | 0.52 | NA | 0.54 | 0.65 |
| Skagit | 60,798 | 31,090 | 43,042 | NA | 98,156 | 50,833 | 14,875 | 25,000 | 0.31 | 0.50 | 0.37 | NA | 0.29 | 0.60 |
| Stillaguamish | 22,179 | 15,172 | 49,991 | 45,085 | 24,257 | 26,399 | 6,100 | 10,000 | 0.28 | 0.09 | 0.21 | NA | 0.27 | 0.50 |
| Snohomish | 98,945 | 49,100 | 111,374 | 130,649 | 125,845 | 88,288 | 31,000 | 50,000 | 0.26 | 0.09 | 0.21 | NA | 0.23 | 0.60 |

a/ Preliminary.
b/ Preliminary approximations based on preseason abundance projections and last year's regulations or season structures. For an indication of stock status relative to MSST for stocks w ithout a 2013 forecast of escapement, see the Review of 2012 Ocean Salmon Fisheries (PFMC 2013), Table II-6 and Table III-7.
c/ Spaw ning escapement and MSST are espressed in fish per mile. $\mathrm{S}_{\text {MSY }}$ is in total number of fish.
d/ CWT based exploitation rates from annual catch and escapement distribution from PSC-CTC 2012 Exploitation Rate Analysis.
e/ Queets River fall Chinook coded-w ire-tag (CWT) exploitation rates used as a proxy. Exploitation rates in the terminal fisheries will differ from those calculated for Queets fall

TABLE V-5. Postseason $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ and spawner escapement estimates for Sacramento River fall Chinook (SRFC) and Klamath River fall Chinook (KRFC). For the current year, preseason $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ values are reported and escapements are predictions based on current year abundance forecasts and previous year fishing regulations.

|  | SRFC |  |  | KRFC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $S_{\text {ACL }}$ | Escapement $^{a /}$ |  | S $_{\text {ACL }}$ | Escapement $^{\mathrm{b} /}$ |
| 2012 | 185,477 | 283,871 | 72,103 | 122,018 |  |
| 2013 | 250,262 | 442,767 | 73,751 | 57,669 |  |

a/ Hatchery and natural area adult spaw ners.
b/ Natural area adult spaw ners.

TABLE V-6. Estimated ocean escapements and exploitation rates for critical natural and Columbia River hatchery coho stocks (thousands of fish) based on preliminary 2013 preseason abundance forecasts and 2012 Council management measures. ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$

| Stock | Ocean Escapement and ER Estimates Under 2012 Regulations ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ |  |  |  | 2013 FMP ConservationObjective ${ }^{c /}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 Preseason |  | 2012 Preseason |  |  |
|  | Abundance | Exploitation Rate | Abundance |  |  |



## Hatchery Coho Stocks

| Columbia Early | 296.0 | $15.9 \%$ | 184.6 | $20.3 \%$ | 6.2 Hatchery Escapement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Columbia Late | 148.5 | $24.0 \%$ | 56.3 | $35.2 \%$ | 14.2 Hatchery Escapement |

a/ Quota levels include harvest and hooking mortality estimates used in planning the Council's 2012 ocean fisheries and a coho catch for the Canadian troll fishery off the West Coast of Vancouver Island (WCVI).
b/ 2012 preseason regulations include the follow ing coho quota fisheries: U.S. Canada Border to Cape Falcon: Treaty Indian troll - 55,000 non-selective; non-Indian troll - 13,280 selective; recreational - 69,720 selective; Cape Falcon to OR/CA border: recreational $-8,000$ selective and 10,000 non-selective; troll - none. Ocean escapement is generally the estimated number of coho escaping ocean fisheries and entering freshw ater. For Puget Sound stocks, ocean escapement is the estimated number of coho entering Puget Sound (Area 4B) which are available for U.S. net fisheries in Puget Sound and spaw ning escapement after impacts associated with the Canadian and Puget Sound troll and recreational fisheries have been deducted. For the OCN coho stock, this value represents the estimated spaw ner escapement in SRS accounting. For Columbia River hatchery and LCN stocks, ocean escapement represents the number of coho before the Buoy 10 fishery; the LCN exploitation rate shown is the Council fisheries exploitation rate, which had an ER forecast of $11.1 \%$ and an ESA limit of $15 \%$ including mainstem Columbia River fisheries.
c/ Goals represent Salmon FMP conservation objectives, ESA consultation standards, or hatchery escapement needs. Spaw ning escapement goals are not directly comparable to ocean escapement because the latter occur before inside fisheries. d/ Assumed exploitation rate based on preliminary abundance forecasts.

TABLE V-7. Comparison of Lower Columbia natural (LCN), Oregon coastal natural (OCN), and Rogue/Klamath (RK) coho projected harvest mortality and exploitation rates by fishery under Council-adopted 2012 management measures and preliminary 2013 preseason abundance estimates.

| Fishery | Projected Harvest Mortality and Exploitation Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LCN |  | OCN |  | $\mathrm{RK}^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| SOUTHEAST ALASKA | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| BRITISH COLUMBIA | 19 | 0.0\% | 197 | 0.1\% | 6 | 0.0\% |
| PUGET SOUND/STRAITS | 104 | 0.2\% | 193 | 0.1\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| NORTH OF CAPE FALCON |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recreational | 1,871 | 4.1\% | 1,314 | 0.7\% | 5 | 0.0\% |
| Treaty Indian Troll | 936 | 2.0\% | 856 | 0.4\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Non-Indian Troll | 730 | 1.6\% | 772 | 0.4\% | 1 | 0.0\% |
| SOUTH OF CAPE FALCON |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recreational: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt. | 528 | 1.1\% | 7,503 | 3.9\% | 23 | 0.1\% |
| Humbug Mt. to Horse Mt. (KMZ) | 43 | 0.1\% | 1,200 | 0.6\% | 339 | 2.6\% |
| Fort Bragg | 17 | 0.0\% | 768 | 0.4\% | 159 | 1.2\% |
| South of Pt. Arena | 15 | 0.0\% | 650 | 0.3\% | 107 | 0.8\% |
| Troll: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt. | 266 | 0.6\% | 1,425 | 0.7\% | 16 | 0.1\% |
| Humbug Mt. to Horse Mt. (KMZ) | 5 | 0.0\% | 196 | 0.1\% | 48 | 0.3\% |
| Fort Bragg | 8 | 0.0\% | 805 | 0.4\% | 136 | 1.0\% |
| South of Pt. Arena | 15 | 0.0\% | 662 | 0.3\% | 37 | 0.3\% |
| BUOY 10 | 234 | 0.5\% | 70 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| ESTUARYIFRESHWATER | 1,677 | 3.6\% | 18,798 | 9.8\% | 32 | 0.2\% |
| TOTAL | 6,468 | 13.6\% | 35,409 | 18.5\% | 909 | 6.8\% |

a/ Unmarked hatchery production used as a surrogate for Rogue/Klamath natural stock coho.

TABLE V-8 Maximum allowable fishery impact rate for OCN coho under Amendment 13 matrix (Appendix A, Table A-2) and the revised OCN work group matrix (Appendix A, Table A-4) based on parent escapement levels by stock component and marine survival category. ${ }^{\text {al }}$

|  | Est. OCN Coho Spaw ners by Stock Component |  |  |  | Marine Survival Indicator |  | Amendment 13 Matrix |  |  | OCN Work Group Matrix ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fishery <br> Year (t) | Parent Spaw ner Year (t-3) | Northern | North- <br> Central | South- <br> Central | Hatchery Jack Survival | Predicted OCN Adult Survival | Marine <br> Survival <br> Category | Parental Spaw ner Category | Maximum <br> Allow able Impacts | Marine Survival Category ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | Parental Spaw ner Category | Maximum <br> Allow able Impacts |
| 1998 | 1995 | 3,900 | 13,600 | 36,500 | 0.04\% | - | Low | Very Low | $\leq 10-13 \%$ | Extremely Low | Very Low | $\leq 8 \%$ |
| 1999 | 1996 | 3,300 | 18,100 | 52,600 | 0.10\% | - | Med | Very Low | $\leq 15 \%$ | Low | Critical | 0-8\% |
| 2000 | 1997 | 2,100 | 2,800 | 18,400 | 0.12\% | - | Med | Very Low | $\leq 15 \%$ | Low | Critical | 0-8\% |
| 2001 | 1998 | 2,600 | 3,300 | 25,900 | 0.27\% | - | Med | Very Low | <15\% | Medium | Critical | 0-8\% |
| 2002 | 1999 | 8,900 | 11,800 | 29,200 | 0.09\% | - | Med | Low | <15\% | Low | Low | $\leq 15 \%$ |
| 2003 | 2000 | 17,900 | 14,300 | 36,500 | 0.20\% | - | Med | Low | <15\% | Med | Low | <15\% |
| 2004 | 2001 | 33,500 | 25,200 | 112,000 | 0.14\% | - | Med | Low | <15\% | Med | Low | <15\% |
| 2005 | 2002 | 52,500 | 104,000 | 104,100 | 0.11\% | - | Med | High | $\leq 20 \%$ | Low | High | <15\% |
| 2006 | 2003 | 59,600 | 68,900 | 99,800 | 0.12\% | - | Med | High | $\leq 20 \%$ | Low | High | <15\% |
| 2007 | 2004 | 28,800 | 42,100 | 101,900 | 0.17\% | - | Med | Med | <20\% | Med | Med | $\leq 20 \%$ |
| 2008 | 2005 | 16,500 | 51,400 | 86,700 | 0.07\% | - | Low | High | <15\% | Extremely Low | High | <8\% |
| 2009 | 2006 | 24,100 | 21,200 | 83,500 | 0.27\% | - | Med | Low | <15\% | Med | Low | <15\% |
| 2010 | 2007 | 17,500 | 12,300 | 36,500 | 0.12\% | - | Med | Low | <15\% | Low | Low | <15\% |
| 2011 | 2008 | 25,600 | 68,100 | 86,000 | 0.12\% | - | Med | High | $\leq 20 \%$ | Low | High | <15\% |
| 2012 | 2009 | 48,100 | 86,400 | 128,200 | 0.09\% | - | Med | High | $\leq 20 \%$ | Low | High | $\leq 15 \%$ |
| 2013 | 2010 | 55,000 | 56,500 | 171,900 | 0.14\% | 6.8\% | Med | High | $\leq 20 \%$ | Med | High | $\leq 30 \%$ |
| 2014 | 2011 | 45,900 | 119,100 | 191,300 | - | - | - | High | - | - | High | - |
| 2015 | 2012 | 7,100 | 37,300 | 56,900 | - | - | - | Low | - | - | Low | - |

a/ Under the NMFS ESA consultation standards, the southern stock component is managed for a total allow able Marine Exploitation rate of $13 \%$, as represented by Rogue/Klamath hatchery stocks, which is separate from these OCN coho impact rates.
b/ Developed by the OCN Coho Work Group as a result of the 2000 Review of Amendment 13.
c/ OCN w orkgroup matrix w as modified during the 2012 methodology review. For 2013, the marine survival category is determined by a predicted OCN adult survival rate that is based on th natural smolt to jack relationship at Mill Creek in the Yaquina River basin.
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TABLE A-1. Conservation objectives and reference points governing harvest control rules and status determination criteria for salmon stocks and stock complexes ${ }^{a /}$. (Page 1 of 7 )

| CHINOOK |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stocks In The Fishery | Conservation Objective | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {MSY }}$ | MSST | MFMT <br> ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ) | ACL |
| Sacramento River Fall Indicator stock for the Central Valley fall (CVF) Chinook stock complex. | 122,000-180,000 natural and hatchery adult spawners (MSY proxy adopted 1984). This objective is intended to provide adequate escapement of natural and hatchery production for Sacramento and San Joaquin fall and late-fall stocks based on habitat conditions and average run-sizes as follows: Sacramento River 1953-1960; San Joaquin River 1972-1977 (ASETF 1979; PFMC 1984; SRFCRT 1994). The objective is less than the estimated basin capacity of 240,000 spawners (Hallock 1977), but greater than the 118,000 spawners for maximum production estimated on a basin by basin basis before Oroville and Nimbus Dams (Reisenbichler 1986). | 122,000 | 91,500 | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ \text { Proxy } \\ \text { (SAC } \\ \text { 2011a) } \end{gathered}$ | Based on <br> $F_{A B C}$ and annual ocean abundance. $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$ is $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ reduced by Tier 2 (10\%) uncertainty |
| Sacramento River Spring ESA Threatened | NMFS ESA consultation standard/recovery plan: Conform to Sacramento River Winter Chinook ESA consultation standard (no defined objective for ocean management prior to listing). | Undefined | Undefined | Undefined |  |
| Sacramento River Winter ESA Endangered | NMFS ESA consultation standard/recovery plan: Recreational seasons: Point Arena to Pigeon Point between the first Saturday in April and the second Sunday in November; Pigeon Point to the U.S./Mexico Border between the first Saturday in April and the first Sunday in October. Minimum size limit $\geq 20$ inches total length. Commercial seasons: Point Arena to the U.S./Mexico border between May 1 and September 30, except Point Reyes to Point San Pedro between October 1 and 15 (Monday through Friday). Minimum size limit $\geq 26$ inches total length. In addition to these season and minimum size limit restrictions, annual limits to the preseason-predicted age-3 impact rate south of Point Arena, defined by a control rule, were implemented beginning in 2012. | Undefined | Undefined | Undefined | ESA consultation standard applies. |
| California Coastal Chinook ESA Threatened | NMFS ESA consultation standard/recovery plan: Limit ocean fisheries to no more than a 16.0\% age-4 ocean harvest rate on Klamath River fall Chinook. | Undefined | Undefined | Undefined |  |
| Klamath River Fall Indicator stock for the Southern Oregon Northern California (SONC) Chinook stock complex. | At least $32 \%$ of potential adult natural spawners, but no fewer than 40,700 naturally spawning adults in any one year. Brood escapement rate must average at least 32\% over the long-term, but an individual brood may vary from this range to achieve the required tribal/nontribal annual allocation. Natural area spawners to maximize catch estimated at 40,700 adults (STT 2005). | 40,700 | 30,525 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 71 \% \\ & \text { (STT } \\ & \text { 2005) } \end{aligned}$ | Based on $F_{A B C}$ and annual ocean abundance. $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$ is $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ reduced by Tier 1 (5\%) uncertainty |
| Klamath River - Spring | Undefined | Undefined | Undefined | Undefined |  |
| Smith River | Undefined | Undefined | Undefined | $\begin{gathered} \hline 78 \% \\ \text { Proxy } \\ \text { (SAC } \\ \text { 2011a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Component stock of SONC |
| Southern Oregon | Unspecified portion of an aggregate 150,000 to 200,000 natural adult spawners for Oregon coast (Thompson 1977 and McGie 1982) measured by 60-90 fish per mile in index streams. ODFW developing specific conservation objectives for spring and fall stocks that may be implemented without plan amendment upon approval by the Council. | 60 fish per mile in index streams | 30 fish per mile in index streams | 78\% Proxy (SAC 2011a) | complex; ACL indicator stock is KRFC |

TABLE A-1. Conservation objectives and reference points governing harvest control rules and status determination criteria for salmon stocks and stock complexes ${ }^{a}$. (Page 2 of 7 )

| CHINOOK |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stocks In The Fishery | Conservation Objective |  | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {MSY }}$ | MSST | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MFMT } \\ & \left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ACL |
| Central and Northern Oregon | Unspecified portion of an aggregate 150,000 to 200,000 natural adult spawners for Oregon coast (Thompson 1977 and McGie 1982) measured by 60-90 fish per mile in index streams. ODFW developing specific conservation objectives for spring and fall stocks that may be implemented without plan amendment upon approval by the Council. |  | 60 Fish per mile in index streams | 30 Fish per mile in index streams | $\begin{gathered} \text { 78\% Proxy } \\ \text { (SAC } \\ \text { 2011a) } \end{gathered}$ | Component stock(s) of FNMC complex; international exception applies, |
| Willapa Bay Fall | Undetermined in FMP. WDFW spawning escapement objective of 4,350. |  | 3,393 | 1,697 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 78 \% \text { Proxy } \\ \text { (SAC } \\ \text { 2011a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ACLs are not applicable |
| Grays Harbor Fall Indicator stock for the Far North Migrating Coastal (FNMC) Chinook stock complex | 14,600 natural adult spawners--MSP based on full seeding of spawning and rearing habitat (WDF 1979). |  | 11,388 | 5,694 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 78\% Proxy } \\ \text { (SAC } \\ \text { 2011a) } \end{gathered}$ | FNMC complex; international exception applies, ACLs are not applicable.. |
| Queets Fall Indicator stock for the FNMC Chinook stock complex | Manage terminal fisheries for 40\% harvest rate, but no less than 2,500 natural adult spawners, the MSY level estimated by Cooney (1984). |  | 2,500 | 1,250 | $87 \%$ (Cooney 1984) |  |
| Hoh Fall Indicator stock for the FNMC Chinook stock complex | Manage terminal fisheries for 40\% harvest rate, but no less than 1,200 natural adult spawners, the MSY level estimated by Cooney (1984). | spawning escapement targets may vary from FMP | 1,200 | 600 | 90\% (Cooney 1984) |  |
| Quillayute Fall Indicator stock for the FNMC Chinook stock complex | Manage terminal fisheries for 40\% harvest rate, but no less than 3,000 natural adult spawners, the MSY level estimated by Cooney (1984). | FMP conservation objectives if agreed to by | 3,000 | 1,500 | 87\% (Cooney 1984) |  |
| Hoko Summer/Fall Indicator stock for the FNMC Chinook stock complex | 850 natural adult spawners, the MSP level estimated by Ames and Phinney (1977). May include adults used for supplementation program. | WDFW and treaty tribes under the provisions of Hoh $v$. <br> Baldrige and subsequent U.S. District Court orders. | 850 | 425 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 78\% Proxy } \\ \text { (SAC } \\ \text { 2011a) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Grays Harbor Spring | 1,400 natural adult spawners. |  | 1,092 | 546 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 78\% Proxy } \\ \text { (SAC } \\ \text { 2011a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Queets Sp/Su | Manage terminal fisheries for 30\% harvest rate, but no less than 700 natural adult spawners. |  | 700 | 350 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 78\% Proxy } \\ \text { (SAC } \\ \text { 2011a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | FNMC complex; international |
| Hoh Spring/Summer | Manage terminal fisheries for 31\% harvest rate, but no less than 900 natural adult spawners. |  | 900 | 450 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 78\% Proxy } \\ \text { (SAC } \\ \text { 2011a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ACLs are not applicable. |
| Quillayute Spring/Summer | 1,200 natural adult spawners for summer component (MSY). |  | 1,200 | 600 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 78\% Proxy } \\ \text { (SAC } \\ \text { 2011a) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Willapa Bay Fall (hatchery) | 8,200 adult return to hatchery. WDFW spawning escapement objective of 9,800 hatchery spawners. |  | Not applicable to hatchery stocks |  |  |  |
| Quinault Fall (hatchery) | Hatchery production. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE A-1. Conservation objectives and reference points governing harvest control rules and status determination criteria for salmon stocks and stock complexes ${ }^{a}$. (Page 3 of 7 )

| CHINOOK |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stocks In The Fishery | Conservation Objective | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {MSY }}$ | MSST | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MFMT } \\ & \left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}\right) \end{aligned}$ | ACL |
| North Lewis River Fall | NMFS consultation standard/recovery plan. Mclsaac (1990) stock-recruit analysis supports MSY objective of 5,700 natural adult spawners. | 5,700 | ESA consultation standard applies. | 76\% | ESA consultation standard applies. |
| Snake River Fall | NMFS consultation standard/recovery plan. No more than 70.0\% of 19881993 base period AEQ exploitation rate for all ocean fisheries. | Undefined |  | Undefined |  |
| Upper Willamette Spring | NMFS consultation standard/recovery plan. Not applicable for ocean fisheries. | Undefined |  | Undefined |  |
| Columbia Upper River Spring | NMFS consultation standard/recovery plan. Not applicable for ocean fisheries. | Undefined |  | Undefined |  |
| Snake River Spring/Summer | NMFS consultation standard/recovery plan. Not applicable for ocean fisheries. | Undefined |  | Undefined |  |
| Columbia Lower River Hatchery - Fall | 10,300 adults for hatchery egg-take. | Not applicable to hatchery stocks |  |  |  |
| Columbia Lower River Hatchery Spring | 4,700 adults to meet Cowlitz, Kalama, and Lewis Rivers broodstock needs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Columbia Mid-River Bright Hatchery Fall | 4,700 adults for Bonneville Hatchery and 2,000 for Little White Salmon Hatchery egg-take. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Columbia Spring Creek Hatchery Fall | 7,000 adults to meet hatchery egg-take goal. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Columbia Upper River Bright Fall | 40,000 natural bright adults above McNary Dam (MSY proxy adopted in 1984 based on CRFMP). The management goal has been increased to 60,000 by Columbia River managers in recent years. | 39,625 (Langness and Reidinger 2003) | 19,812 | $85.91 \%$ (Langness and Reidinger 2003) | International exception applies, ACLs are not applicable. |
| Columbia Upper River Summer | Hold ocean fishery impacts at or below base period; recognize CRFMP objective - MSY proxy of 80,000 to 90,000 adults above Bonneville Dam, including both Columbia and Snake River stocks (state and tribal management entities considering separate objectives for these stocks). | $\begin{gathered} 12,143 \\ \text { (CTC } \\ 1999) \end{gathered}$ | 6,071 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 75 \% \\ & \text { (CTC } \\ & 1999) \end{aligned}$ |  |
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| CHINOOK |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stocks In The Fishery | Conservation Objective |  | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ | MSST | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MFMT } \\ & \left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ACL |
| Eastern Strait of Juan de Fuca Summer/Fall |  |  |  | ESA consultati on standard applies | Undefined | ESA <br> Consultation standard applies. |
| Skokomish Summer/Fall |  |  |  | Undefined |  |
| Mid Hood Canal Summer/Fall |  |  |  | Undefined |  |
| Nooksack Spring early |  |  |  | Undefined |  |
| Skagit Summer/Fall |  |  |  | Undefined |  |
| Skagit Spring |  |  |  | Undefined |  |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall |  |  |  | Undefined |  |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall |  |  |  | Undefined |  |
| Cedar River Summer/Fall |  |  |  | Undefined |  |
| White River Spring |  |  |  | Undefined |  |
| Green River Summer/Fall |  |  |  | Undefined |  |
| Nisqually River Summer/Fall |  |  |  | Undefined |  |
| Puyallup Summer/Fall |  |  |  | Undefined |  |


| COHO |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stocks In The Fishery | Conservation Objective | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ | MSST | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MFMT } \\ & \left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}\right) \end{aligned}$ | ACL |
| Central California Coast ESA Threatened | NMFS ESA consultation standard/recovery plan: No retention of coho south of the OR/CA border. | Undefined | ESA consultation standard applies | Undefined | ESA <br> consultation standard applies. |
| Southern Oregon/Northern California Coast ESA Threatened | NMFS ESA consultation standard/recovery plan: No more than a 13.0\% AEQ exploitation rate in ocean fisheries on Rogue/Klamath hatchery coho. | Undefined |  | Undefined |  |
| Oregon Coastal Natural ESA Threatened | NMFS ESA consultation standard/recovery plan: Total AEQ exploitation rate limit based on parental seeding level and marine survival matrix in FMP Table 3-2. | Undefined |  | Undefined |  |
| Lower Columbia Natural ESA Threatened | NMFS ESA consultation standard/recovery plan: AEQ exploitation rate limit on ocean and mainstem Columbia fisheries indentified in annual NMFS guidance. | Undefined |  | Undefined |  |
| Oregon Coast Hatchery | Hatchery production. | Not applicable to hatchery stocks |  |  |  |
| Columbia River Late Hatchery | Hatchery rack return goal of 6,000 adults. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Columbia River Early Hatchery | Hatchery rack return goal of 14,300 adults. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Willapa Bay - Hatchery | Hatchery rack return goal of 6,100 adults. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quinault - Hatchery | Hatchery production. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quillayute - Summer Hatchery | Hatchery production. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Puget Sound Hatchery | Hatchery rack return goal of 52,000 adults. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Willapa Bay Natural | Undefined ${ }^{\text {U }}$ ( Undefined | Undefined | Undefined | Undefined | Undefined |
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| COHO |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stocks In The Fishery | Conservation Objective |  | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {MSY }}$ | MSST | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MFMT } \\ & \left(F_{\text {MSY }}\right) \end{aligned}$ | ACL |
| Grays Harbor | 35,400 natural adult spawners (MSP based on WDF [1979]) | Annual natural spawning escapement | 24,426 S MSP $^{*}$ (FMP) *F $\mathrm{F}_{\text {SMY }}$ (SAC 2010b) | 18,320 (Johnstone et al. 2011) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MFMT=65\% } \\ & \text { (Johnstone } \\ & \text { et al. 2011) } \\ & \text { F }_{\text {MSY }}=69 \% \\ & \text { (SAC 2011b) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | International exception applies, ACLs are not applicable. |
| Queets | MSY range of 5,800 to 14,500 natural adult spawners (Lestelle et al 1984) |  | 5,800 (Johnston et al. 2011) | 4,350 (Johnstone et al. 2011) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MFMT=65\% } \\ & \text { (Johnstone } \\ & \text { et al. 2011) } \\ & \text { F }_{\text {MSY }}=68 \% \\ & \text { (SAC 2011b) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Hoh | MSY range of 2,000 to 5,000 natural adult spawners (Lestelle et al. 1984) | targets may vary from FMP conservation objectives if | 2,520 $(S A C 2010 b)$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,890 \\ \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{MSY}}{ }^{*} 0.75 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MFMT=65\% } \\ \text { (Johnstone } \\ \text { et al. 2011) } \\ \text { F }_{\text {MSY }}=69 \% \\ \text { (SAC 2011b) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Quillayute - Fall | MSY range of 6,300 to 15,800 natural adult spawners (Lestelle et al. 1984) | agreed to by WDFW and treaty tribes | 6,300 (Johnston et al. 2011) | 4,725 (Johnstone et al. 2011) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MFMT=59\%; } \\ \text { F }_{\text {MSY }}=59 \% \\ \text { (SAC 2011b) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Strait of Juan de Fuca | Total allowable MSY exploitation rate of: 0.60 for ocean age-3 abundance $>27,445$; 0.40 for ocean age- 3 abundance $>11,679$ and $\leq 27,445$; 0.20 for ocean age-3 abundance $\leq 11,679$ | under the provisions of Hoh v. | 11,000 (Bowhay et al. 2009) | 7,000 (Bowhay et al. 2009) | 60\% (Bowhay et al. 2009) |  |
| Hood Canal | Total allowable MSY exploitation rate of: 0.65 for ocean age-3 abundance $>41,000$; 0.45 for ocean age-3 abundance $>19,545$ and $\leq 41,000 ; 0.20$ for ocean age-3 abundance $\leq 19,545$ | Baldrige, U.S. v. Washington, | 14,350 (Bowhay et al. 2009) | 10,750 (Bowhay et al. 2009) | 65\% (Bowhay et al. 2009) |  |
| Skagit | Total allowable MSY exploitation rate of: 0.60 for ocean age-3 abundance $>62,500$; 0.35 for ocean age-3 abundance $>22,857$ and $\leq 62,500 ; 0.20$ for ocean age-3 abundance $\leq 22,857$ | or subsequent U.S. District | $\begin{gathered} 25,000 \\ \text { (Bowhay et al. } \\ 2009 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | 14,857 (Bowhay et al. 2009) | 60\% (Bowhay et al. 2009) |  |
| Stillaguamish | Total allowable MSY exploitation rate of: 0.50 for ocean age-3 abundance $>20,000$; 0.35 for ocean age- 3 abundance $>9,385$ and $\leq 20,000$; 0.20 for ocean age- 3 abundance $\leq 9,385$ | rs | 10,000 <br> (Bowhay et al. 2009) | $6,100$ <br> (Bowhay et al. 2009) | 50\% (Bowhay et al. 2009) |  |
| Snohomish | Total allowable MSY exploitation rate of: 0.60 for ocean age-3 abundance > 125,000; 0.40 for ocean age-3 abundance $>51,667$ and $\leq 125,000 ; 0.20$ for ocean age- 3 abundance $\leq 51,667$ |  | 50,000 (Bowhay et al. 2009 ) | 31,000 <br> (Bowhay et al. 2009) | 60\% <br> (Bowhay et al. 2009) |  |


| PINK (odd-numbered years) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stocks In The Fishery | Conservation Objective | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {MSY }}$ | MSST | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MFMT } \\ & \left(F_{\text {MSY }}\right) \end{aligned}$ | ACL |
| Puget Sound | 900,000 natural spawners or consistent with provisions of the Pacific Salmon Treaty (Fraser River Panel). | 900,000 | 450,000 | Undefined | International exception applies, ACLs are not applicable. |

a/ Some hatchery goals and ESA consultation standards have been updated relative to the version of this table in the FMP

TABLE A-2. Allowable fishery impact rate criteria for OCN coho stock components under the Salmon Fishery Management Plan Amendment 13.

a/ When a stock component achieves a medium or high parent spawner status under a medium or high marine survival index, but a major basin within the stock component is less than $10 \%$ of full seeding, (1) the parent spawner status will be downgraded one level to establish the allowable fishery impact rate for that component, and (2) no coho-directed harvest impacts will be allowed within that particular basin.
b/ This exploitation rate criteria applies when (1) parent spawners are less than 38\% of the Level \#1 rebuilding criteria, or (2) marine survival conditions are projected to be at an extreme low as in 1994-1996 (<0.0006 jack per hatchery smolt). If parent spawners decline to lower levels than observed through 1998, rates of less than $10 \%$ would be considered, recognizing that there is a limit to further bycatch reduction opportunities.

TABLE A-3. Fishery impact rate criteria for OCN coho stock components based on the harvest matrix resulting from the OCN work group 2000 review of Amendment 13.

| Parent Spawner Status ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Marine Survival Index(based on return of jacks per hatchery smolt) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Extremely Low <br> (<0.0008) | Low <br> (0.0008 to 0.0014 ) | Medium <br> (>0.0014 to 0.0040) | $\begin{gathered} \text { High } \\ (>0.0040) \end{gathered}$ |
| High | E | $J$ | 0 | $\because \cdot \mathrm{T}$ |
| Parent Spawners > 75\% of full seeding | $\leq 8 \%$ | $\leq 15 \%$ | $\leq 30 \%$ | $\because \leq 45 \%$. |
| Medium | D | I | N | $\because \mathbf{S}^{\prime}$ |
| Parent Spawners > 50\% \& < <br> $75 \%$ of full seeding | $\leq 8 \%$ | $\leq 15 \%$ | $\leq 20 \%$ | $\because \leq 38 \%$ |
| Low | C | H | M | $\because \because \mathrm{R}$ |
| Parent Spawners > 19\% \& $\leq$ $50 \%$ of full seeding | $\leq 8 \%$ | $\leq 15 \%$ | $\leq 15 \%$ | $\because \leq 25 \%$ |
| Very Low | B | $\because G$ | $\cdots$ | $\because Q$ |
| Parent Spawners > 4 fish per mile \& $\leq 19 \%$ of full seeding | $\leq 8 \%$ | $\because \leq 11 \%$ | $\leq 11 \%$ | $\because \leq 11 \%$ |
| Critical ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | A | F | K | P |
| Parental Spawners $\leq 4$ fish per mile | 0-8\% | 0-8\% | 0-8\% | 0-8\% |

## Sub-aggregate and Basin Specific Spawner Criteria Data

| Sub-aggregate | Miles of Available Spawning Habitat | $100 \%$ of Full Seeding | "Critical" |  | Very Low, Low, Medium \& High |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 4 Fish per Mile | 12\% of Full Seeding | $19 \%$ of Full Seeding | $50 \%$ of Full Seeding | $75 \%$ of full Seeding |
| Northern | 899 | 21,700 | 3,596 | NA | 4,123 | 10,850 | 16,275 |
| North - Central | 1,163 | 55,000 | 4,652 | NA | 10,450 | 27,500 | 41,250 |
| South - Central | 1,685 | 50,000 | 6,740 | NA | 9,500 | 25,000 | 37,500 |
| Southern | 450 | 5,400 | NA | 648 | 1,026 | 2,700 | 4,050 |
| Coastwide Total | 4,197 | 132,100 | 15,636 |  | 25,099 | 66,050 | 99,075 |

a/ Parental spawner abundance status for the OCN aggergate assumes the status of the weakest sub-aggregate.
b/ "Critical" parental spawner status is defined as 4 fish per mile for the Northern, North-Central, and South-Central subaggergates. Because the ratio of high quality spawning habitat to total spawning habitat in the Rogue River Basin differs significantly from the rest of the basins on the coast, the spawner density of 4 fish per mile does not represent "Critical" status for that basin. Instead. "Critical" status for the Rogue Basin (Southem Sub-aggergate) is estimated as $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ of full seeding of high quality

TABLE A-4. Fishery impact rate criteria for OCN coho stock components based on the harvest matrix resulting from the OCN work group 2000 review of Amendment 13 including modifications to the marine survival index adopted during the 2012 methodology review.

| Parent Spawner Status ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |  | Marine Survival Index <br> (Wild adult coho survival as predicted by Mill Cr (Yaquina Basin) jack/smolt ratios) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Extremely } \\ & \text { Low } \\ & \text { <2\% } \end{aligned}$ |  | Low$2 \%-4.5 \%$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Medium } \\ & >4.5 \%-8 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | High <br> >8\% |
| High <br> Parent Spawners > 75\% of full seeding |  | $\begin{gathered} E \\ \leq 8 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  | J 15\% | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ \leq 30 \% \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{T} \\ \leq 45 \% \end{array}$ |
| Medium <br> Parent Spawners > 50\% \& $\leq 75 \%$ of full seeding |  | $\begin{gathered} D \\ \leq 8 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  | I 15\% | N $\leq 20 \%$ |  | $\begin{gathered} S \\ \leq 38 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Low <br> Parent Spawners > 19\% \& $\leq 50 \%$ of full seeding |  | C $\leq 8 \%$ |  |  | H 15\% | M $\leq 15 \%$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & R \\ & \leq 25 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Very Low <br> Parent Spawners > 4 fish per mile $\& \leq 19 \%$ of full seeding |  | B $\leq 8 \%$ |  |  | G 11\% | L $\leq 11 \%$ |  | $\begin{gathered} Q \\ \leq 11 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Critical <br> Parent Spawners $\leq 4$ fish per mile |  | $\begin{gathered} A \\ 0-80 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | F $-8 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} K \\ 0-8 \% \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} P \\ 0-8 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Sub-aggregate and Basin Specific Spawner Criteria Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-aggregate | Miles of Available Spawning Habitat | 100\% <br> of Full Seeding | "Critical" |  |  | Very Low, Low, Medium \& High |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $12 \%$ of Full Seeding | 19\% of Full Seeding | 50\% of Full Seeding | 75\% of Full Seeding |
| Northern | 899 | 21,700 |  |  | NA | 4,123 | 10,850 | 16,275 |
| North-Central | 1,163 | 55,000 |  |  | NA | 10,450 | 27,500 | 41,250 |
| South-Central | 1,685 | 50,000 |  |  | NA | 9,500 | 25,000 | 37,500 |
| Southern (Removed per adoption of Amendment 16) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastwide Total | 3,747 | 126,700 |  | 4,9 |  | 24,073 | 63,350 | 95,025 |

a/ Parental spawner abundance status for the OCN aggregate assumes the status of the weakest sub-aggregate.

TABLE A-5. Council adopted management objectives for Puget Sound natural coho management units, expressed as exploitation rate ceilings for critical, low and normal abundance based status categories, with runsize breakpoints (abundances expressed as ocean-age 3).

|  | Management Unit |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Status | Strait of Juan de Fuca | Hood Canal | Skagit | Stillaguamish | Snohomish |
| Critical/Low runsize breakpoint | 11,679 | 19,545 | 22,857 | 9,385 | 51,667 |
| Critical exploitation rate | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| Low/normal runsize breakpoint | 27,445 | 41,000 | 62,500 | 20,000 | 125,000 |
| Low exploitation rate | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.40 |
| Normal exploitation rate | 0.60 | 0.65 | 0.60 | 0.50 | 0.60 |

TABLE A-6. Council recommended management objectives for Lower Columbia River natural tule Chinook, expressed as exploitation rate ceilings for abundance based status categories, with runsize forecast bins expressed as adult river mouth return forecasts of Lower Columbia River hatchery tule Chinook.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Runsize Forecast Bins | $<30,000$ | 30,000 <br> to <br> 40,000 | 40,000 <br> to <br> 85,000 | $>85,000$ |
| Maximum Exploitation Rate | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.41 |

Page Intentionally Left Blank

## APPENDIX B SALMON HARVEST ALLOCATION SCHEDULES

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
HARVEST ALLOCATION - SECTION 5.3 OF THE PACIFIC COAST SALMON FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN ..... 111
5.3 ALLOCATION ..... 111
5.3.1 Commercial (Non-Tribal) and Recreational Fisheries North of Cape Falcon ..... 111
5.3.1.1 Goal, Objectives, and Priorities ..... 111
5.3.1.2 Allocation Schedule Between Gear Types ..... 112
5.3.1.3 Recreational Subarea Allocations ..... 113
5.3.2 Commercial and Recreational Fisheries South of Cape Falcon ..... 114
5.3.3 Tribal Indian Fisheries ..... 117
5.3.3.1 California ..... 117
5.3.3.2 Columbia River ..... 117
5.3.3.3 U.S. v. Washington Area ..... 117
MEASURES TO MANAGE THE HARVEST - SECTION 6.5 OF THE PACIFIC COAST SALMON FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN ..... 118
6.5 SEASONS AND QUOTAS ..... 118
6.5.2 Procedures for Calculating Seasons ..... 118
6.5.3 Species-Specific and Other Selective Fisheries ..... 118
6.5.3.1 Guidelines ..... 118
6.5.3.2 Selective Fisheries Which May Change Allocation Percentages North of Cape Falcon ..... 119
6.5.4 Procedures for Calculating Quotas ..... 120
6.5.5 Procedures for Regulating Ocean Harvests of Pink and Sockeye ..... 120

Page Intentionally Left Blank

### 5.3 ALLOCATION

"" Conservation and management measures shall not discriminate between residents of different states. If it becomes necessary to allocate or assign fishing privileges among various United States fishermen, such allocation shall be (A) fair and equitable to all such fishermen; (B) reasonably calculated to promote conservation; and (C) carried out in such manner that no particular individual, corporation, or other entity acquires an excessive share of such privileges."

Magnuson-Stevens Act, National Standard 4
Harvest allocation is required when the number of fish is not adequate to satisfy the perceived needs of the various fishing industry groups and communities, to divide the catch between non-Indian ocean and inside fisheries and among ocean fisheries, and to provide Federally recognized treaty Indian fishing opportunity. In allocating the resource between ocean and inside fisheries, the Council considers both inriver harvest and spawner escapement needs. The magnitude of in-river harvest is determined by the states in a variety of ways, depending upon the management area. Some levels of in-river harvests are designed to accommodate federally recognized in-river Indian fishing rights, while others are established to allow for non-Indian harvests of historical magnitudes. Several fora exist to assist this process on an annual basis. The North of Cape Falcon Forum, a state and tribal sponsored forum, convenes the pertinent parties during the Council's preseason process to determine allocation and conservation recommendations for fisheries north of Cape Falcon. The individual states also convene fishery industry meetings to coordinate their input to the Council.

### 5.3.1 Commercial (Non-Tribal) and Recreational Fisheries North of Cape Falcon

### 5.3.1.1 Goal, Objectives, and Priorities

Harvest allocations will be made from a total allowable ocean harvest, which is maximized to the largest extent possible but still consistent with PST and treaty-Indian obligations, state fishery needs, and spawning escapement requirements, including consultation standards for stocks listed under the ESA. The Council shall make every effort to establish seasons and gear requirements that provide troll and recreational fleets a reasonable opportunity to catch the available harvest. These may include singlespecies directed fisheries with landing restrictions for other species.

The goal of allocating ocean harvest north of Cape Falcon is to achieve, to the greatest degree possible, the objectives for the commercial and recreational fisheries as follows:

- Provide recreational opportunity by maximizing the duration of the fishing season while minimizing daily and area closures and restrictions on gear and daily limits.
- Maximize the value of the commercial harvest while providing fisheries of reasonable duration.

The priorities listed below will be used to help guide establishment of the final harvest allocation while meeting the overall commercial and recreational fishery objectives.

At total allowable harvest levels up to 300,000 coho and 100,000 Chinook:

- Provide coho to the recreational fishery for a late June through early September all-species season. Provide Chinook to allow (1) access to coho and, if possible, (2) a minimal Chinook-only fishery prior to the all-species season. Adjust days per week and/or institute area restrictions to stabilize season duration.
- Provide Chinook to the troll fishery for a May and early June Chinook season and provide coho to (1) meet coho hooking mortality in June where needed and (2) access a pink salmon fishery in odd years. Attempt to ensure that part of the Chinook season will occur after June 1.

At total allowable harvest levels above 300,000 coho and above 100,000 Chinook:

- Relax any restrictions in the recreational all-species fishery and/or extend the all-species season beyond Labor Day as coho quota allows. Provide Chinook to the recreational fishery for a Memorial Day through late June Chinook-only fishery. Adjust days per week to ensure continuity with the allspecies season.
- Provide coho for an all-salmon troll season in late summer and/or access to a pink fishery. Leave adequate Chinook from the May through June season to allow access to coho.


### 5.3.1.2 Allocation Schedule Between Gear Types

Initial commercial and recreational allocation will be determined by the schedule of percentages of total allowable harvest as follows:

TABLE 5-1. Initial commercial/recreational harvest allocation schedule north of Cape Falcon.

| Coho |  |  | Chinook |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harvest (thousands of fish) | Percentage ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |  | Harvest (thousands of fish) | Percentage ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |  |
|  | Troll | Recreational |  | Troll | Recreational |
| 0-300 | 25 | 75 | 0-100 | 50 | 50 |
| >300 | 60 | 40 | $>100-150$ | 60 | 40 |
|  |  |  | >150 | 70 | 30 |

a/ The allocation must be calculated in additive steps when the harvest level exceeds the initial tier.
This allocation schedule should, on average, allow for meeting the specific fishery allocation priorities described above. The initial allocation may be modified annually by preseason and inseason trades to better achieve (1) the commercial and recreational fishery objectives and (2) the specific fishery allocation priorities. The final preseason allocation adopted by the Council will be expressed in terms of quotas, which are neither guaranteed catches nor inflexible ceilings. Only the total ocean harvest quota is a maximum allowable catch.

To provide flexibility to meet the dynamic nature of the fisheries and to assure achievement of the allocation objectives and fishery priorities, deviations from the allocation schedule will be allowed as provided below and as described in Section 6.5.3.2 for certain selective fisheries.

1. Preseason species trades (Chinook and coho) that vary from the allocation schedule may be made by the Council based upon the recommendation of the pertinent recreational and commercial SAS representatives north of Cape Falcon. The Council will compare the socioeconomic impacts of any such recommendation to those of the standard allocation schedule before adopting the allocation that best meets FMP management objectives.
2. Inseason transfers, including species trades of Chinook and coho, may be permitted in either direction between recreational and commercial fishery allocations to allow for uncatchable fish in one fishery to be reallocated to the other. Fish will be deemed "uncatchable" by a respective commercial or recreational fishery only after considering all possible annual management actions to allow for their
harvest which meet framework harvest management objectives, including single species or exclusive registration fisheries. Implementation of inseason transfers will require (1) consultation with the pertinent recreational and commercial SAS members and the STT, and (2) a clear establishment of available fish and impacts from the transfer.
3. An exchange ratio of four coho to one Chinook shall be considered a desirable guideline for preseason trades. Deviations from this guideline should be clearly justified. Inseason trades and transfers may vary to meet overall fishery objectives. (The exchange ratio of four coho to one Chinook approximately equalizes the species trade in terms of average ex-vessel values of the two salmon species in the commercial fishery. It also represents an average species catch ratio in the recreational fishery.)
4. Any increase or decrease in the recreational or commercial total allowable catch (TAC), resulting from an inseason restructuring of a fishery or other inseason management action, does not require reallocation of the overall north of Cape Falcon non-Indian TAC.
5. The commercial TACs of Chinook and coho derived during the preseason allocation process may be varied by major subareas (i.e., north of Leadbetter Point and south of Leadbetter Point) if there is a need to do so to decrease impacts on weak stocks. Deviations in each major subarea will generally not exceed 50 percent of the TAC of each species that would have been established without a geographic deviation in the distribution of the TAC. Deviation of more than 50 percent will be based on a conservation need to protect weak stocks and will provide larger overall harvest for the entire fishery north of Cape Falcon than would have been possible without the deviation. In addition, the actual harvest of coho may deviate from the initial allocation as provided in Section 6.5.3.2 for certain selective fisheries.
6. The recreational TACs of Chinook and coho derived during the preseason allocation process will be distributed among four major recreational port areas as described for coho and Chinook distribution in Section 5.3.1.3. The Council may deviate from subarea quotas (1) to meet recreational season objectives based on agreement of representatives of the affected ports and/or (2) in accordance with Section 6.5.3.2 with regard to certain selective fisheries. Additionally, based on the recommendations of the SAS members representing the ocean sport fishery north of Cape Falcon, the Council will include criteria in its preseason salmon management recommendations to guide any inseason transfer of coho among the recreational subareas to meet recreational season duration objectives. Inseason redistributions of quotas within the recreational fishery or the distribution of allowable coho catch transfers from the commercial fishery may deviate from the preseason distribution.

### 5.3.1.3 Recreational Subarea Allocations

## Coho

The north of Cape Falcon preseason recreational TAC of coho will be distributed to provide 50 percent to the area north of Leadbetter Point and 50 percent to the area south of Leadbetter Point. The distribution of the allocation north of Leadbetter point will vary, depending on the existence and magnitude of an inside fishery in Area 4B, which is served by Neah Bay.

In years with no Area 4B fishery, the distribution of coho north of Leadbetter Point ( 50 percent of the total recreational TAC) will be divided to provide 74 percent to the area between Leadbetter Point and the Queets River (Westport), 5.2 percent to the area between Queets River and Cape Flattery (La Push), and 20.8 percent to the area north of the Queets River (Neah Bay). In years when there is an Area 4B (Neah Bay) fishery under state management, the allocation percentages north of Leadbetter Point will be modified to maintain more equitable fishing opportunity among the ports by decreasing the ocean harvest
share for Neah Bay. This will be accomplished by adding 25 percent of the numerical value of the Area 4B fishery to the recreational TAC north of Leadbetter Point prior to calculating the shares for Westport and La Push. The increase to Westport and La Push will be subtracted from the Neah Bay ocean share to maintain the same total harvest allocation north of Leadbetter Point. Table 5-2 displays the resulting percentage allocation of the total recreational coho catch north of Cape Falcon among the four recreational port areas (each port area allocation will be rounded to the nearest hundred fish, with the largest quotas rounded downward if necessary to sum to the TAC).

| TABLE 5-2. Percentage allocation of total allowable coho harvest among the four recreational port areas north of Cape Falcon. ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Port Area | Without Area 4B Add-on | With Area 4B Add-on |  |
| Columbia River | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |  |
| Westport | 37.0\% | 37.0\% | plus $17.3 \%$ of the Area 4B add-on |
| La Push | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | plus $1.2 \%$ of the Area 4 B add-on |
| Neah Bay | 10.4\% | 10.4\% | minus $18.5 \%$ of the Area 4B add on |

TABLE 5-3. Example distributions of the recreational coho TAC north of Leadbetter Point.

| Sport TAC | Without Area 4B Add-On |  |  |  | With Area 4B Add-On ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cape <br> Falcon | Columbia River | Westport | La Push | Neah Bay | Columbia River | Westport | La Push | Ocean | Neah Bay <br> Add-on | Total |
| 50,000 | 25,000 | 18,500 | 1,300 | 5,200 | 25,000 | 19,900 | 1,400 | 3,700 | 8,000 | 11,700 |
| 150,000 | 75,000 | 55,500 | 3,900 | 15,600 | 75,000 | 57,600 | 4,000 | 13,600 | 12,000 | 25,600 |
| 300,000 | 150,000 | 111,000 | 7,800 | 31,200 | 150,000 | 114,500 | 8,000 | 27,500 | 20,000 | 47,500 |

a/ The add-on levels are merely examples. The actual numbers in any year would depend on the particular mix of stock abundances and season determinations.

## Chinook

Subarea distributions of Chinook will be managed as guidelines and shall be calculated by the STT with the primary objective of achieving all-species fisheries without imposing Chinook restrictions (i.e., area closures or bag limit reductions). Chinook in excess of all-species fisheries needs may be utilized by directed Chinook fisheries north of Cape Falcon or by negotiating a Chinook/coho trade with another fishery sector.

Inseason management actions may be taken by the NMFS NW Regional Administrator to assure that the primary objective of the Chinook harvest guidelines for each of the four recreational subareas north of Cape Falcon are met. Such actions might include: closure from 0 to 3 , or 0 to 6 , or 3 to 200, or 5 to 200 nautical miles from shore; closure from a point extending due west from Tatoosh Island for 5 miles, then south to a point due west of Umatilla Reef Buoy, then due east to shore; closure from North Head at the Columbia River mouth north to Leadbetter Point; change species that may be landed; or other actions as prescribed in the annual regulations.

### 5.3.2 Commercial and Recreational Fisheries South of Cape Falcon

The allocation of allowable ocean harvest of coho salmon south of Cape Falcon has been developed to provide a more stable recreational season and increased economic benefits of the ocean salmon fisheries at varying stock abundance levels. When coupled with various recreational harvest reduction measures or the timely transfer of unused recreational allocation to the commercial fishery, the allocation schedule is
designed to help secure recreational seasons extending at least from Memorial Day through Labor Day when possible, assist in maintaining commercial markets even at relatively low stock sizes, and fully utilize available harvest. Total ocean catch of coho south of Cape Falcon will be treated as a quota to be allocated between troll and recreational fisheries as provided in Table 5-4.
(Note: The allocation schedule provides guidance only when coho abundance permits a directed coho harvest, not when the allowable impacts are insufficient to allow coho retention south of Cape Falcon. At such low levels, allocation of the allowable impacts will be accomplished during the Council's preseason process.)

TABLE 5-4. Allocation of allowable ocean harvest of coho salmon (thousands of fish) south of Cape Falcon. ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$

| Total Allowable Ocean Harvest | Recreational Allocation |  | Commercial Allocation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |
| $\leq 100$ | $\leq 100^{\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{c} /}$ | $100^{\text {b/ }}$ | b/ | b/ |
| 200 | $167^{\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{c} /}$ | $84^{\text {b/ }}$ | $33^{\text {b/ }}$ | $17^{\text {b/ }}$ |
| 300 | 200 | 67 | 100 | 33 |
| 350 | 217 | 62 | 133 | 38 |
| 400 | 224 | 56 | 176 | 44 |
| 500 | 238 | 48 | 262 | 52 |
| 600 | 252 | 42 | 348 | 58 |
| 700 | 266 | 38 | 434 | 62 |
| 800 | 280 | 35 | 520 | 65 |
| 900 | 290 | 32 | 610 | 68 |
| 1,000 | 300 | 30 | 700 | 70 |
| 1,100 | 310 | 28 | 790 | 72 |
| 1,200 | 320 | 27 | 880 | 73 |
| 1,300 | 330 | 25 | 970 | 75 |
| 1,400 | 340 | 24 | 1,060 | 76 |
| 1,500 | 350 | 23 | 1,150 | 77 |
| 1,600 | 360 | 23 | 1,240 | 78 |
| 1,700 | 370 | 22 | 1,330 | 78 |
| 1,800 | 380 | 21 | 1,420 | 79 |
| 1,900 | 390 | 21 | 1,510 | 79 |
| 2,000 | 400 | 20 | 1,600 | 80 |
| 2,500 | 450 | 18 | 2,050 | 82 |
| 3,000 | 500 | 17 | 2,500 | 83 |

a/ The allocation schedule is based on the following formula: first 150,000 coho to the recreational base (this amount may be reduced as provided in footnote b); over 150,000 to 350,000 fish, share at $2: 1,0.667$ to troll and 0.333 to recreational; over 350,000 to 800,000 the recreational share is 217,000 plus $14 \%$ of the available fish over 350,000 ; above 800,000 the recreational share is 280,000 plus $10 \%$ of the available fish over 800,000 . Note: The allocation schedule provides guidance only when coho abundance permits a directed coho harvest, not when the allowable impacts are insufficient to allow general coho retention south of Cape Falcon. At such low levels, allocation of the allowable impacts will be determined in the Council=s preseason process. Deviations from the allocation may also be allowed to meet consultation standards for ESA-listed stocks (e.g., the 1998 biological opinion for California coastal coho requires no retention of coho in fisheries off California).
b/ If the commercial allocation is insufficient to meet the projected hook-and-release mortality associated with the commercial all-salmon-exceptcoho season, the recreational allocation will be reduced by the number needed to eliminate the deficit.
c/ When the recreational allocation is 167,000 coho or less, special allocation provisions apply to the recreational harvest distribution by geographic area (unless superseded by requirements to meet a consultation standard for ESA-listed stocks); see text of FMP as modified by Amendment 11 allocation provisions.

The allocation schedule is designed to give sufficient coho to the recreational fishery to increase the probability of attaining no less than a Memorial Day to Labor Day season as stock sizes increase. This increased allocation means that, in many years, actual catch in the recreational fishery may fall short of its allowance. In such situations, managers will make an inseason reallocation of unneeded recreational coho to the south of Cape Falcon troll fishery. The reallocation should be structured and timed to allow the commercial fishery sufficient opportunity to harvest any available reallocation prior to September 1, while still assuring completion of the scheduled recreational season (usually near mid-September) and, in any event, the continuation of a recreational fishery through Labor Day. This reallocation process will occur no later than August 15 and will involve projecting the recreational fishery needs for the remainder of the summer season. The remaining projected recreational catch needed to extend the season to its scheduled closing date will be a harvest guideline rather than a quota. If the guideline is met prior to Labor Day, the season may be allowed to continue if further fishing is not expected to result in any significant danger of impacting the allocation of another fishery or of failing to meet an escapement goal.

The allocation schedule is also designed to assure there are sufficient coho allocated to the troll fishery at low stock levels to ensure a full Chinook troll fishery. This hooking mortality allowance will have first priority within the troll allocation. If the troll allocation is insufficient for this purpose, the remaining number of coho needed for the estimated incidental coho mortality will be deducted from the recreational share. At higher stock sizes, directed coho harvest will be allocated to the troll fishery after hooking mortality needs for Chinook troll fishing have been satisfied.

The allowable harvest south of Cape Falcon may be further partitioned into subareas to meet management objectives of the FMP. Allowable harvests for subareas south of Cape Falcon will be determined by an annual blend of management considerations including:

1. abundance of contributing stocks
2. allocation considerations of concern to the Council
3. relative abundance in the fishery between Chinook and coho
4. escapement goals
5. maximizing harvest potential

Troll coho quotas may be developed for subareas south of Cape Falcon consistent with the above criteria. California recreational catches of coho, including projections of the total catch to the end of the season, would be included in the recreational allocation south of Cape Falcon, but the area south of the OregonCalifornia border would not close when the allocation is met; except as provided below when the recreational allocation is at 167,000 or fewer fish.

When the south of Cape Falcon recreational allocation is equal to or less than 167,000 coho:

1. The recreational fisheries will be divided into two major subareas, as listed in \#2 below, with independent quotas (i.e., if one quota is not achieved or is exceeded, the underage or overage will not be added to or deducted from the other quota; except as provided under \#3 below).
2. The two major recreational subareas will be managed within the constraints of the following impact quotas, expressed as a percentage of the total recreational allocation (percentages based on avoiding large deviations from the historical harvest shares):
a. Central Oregon (Cape Falcon to Humbug Mountain) - 70\%
b. South of Humbug Mountain -

30\%

In addition,
(1) Horse Mountain to Point Arena will be managed for an impact guideline of 3 percent of the south of Cape Falcon recreational allocation, and
(2) there will be no coho harvest constraints south of Point Arena. However, the projected harvest in this area (which averaged 1,800 coho from 1986-1990) will be included in the south of Humbug Mountain impact quota.
3. Coho quota transfers can occur on a one-for-one basis between subareas if Chinook constraints preclude access to coho.

### 5.3.3 Tribal Indian Fisheries

### 5.3.3.1 California

On October 4, 1993 the Solicitor, Department of Interior, issued a legal opinion in which he concluded that the Yurok and Hoopa Valley Indian tribes of the Klamath River Basin have a federally protected right to the fishery resource of their reservations sufficient to support a moderate standard of living or 50 percent of the total available harvest of Klamath-Trinity basin salmon, whichever is less. The Secretary of Commerce recognized the tribes' federally reserved fishing right as applicable law for the purposes of the MSA (58 FR 68063, December 23, 1993). The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals upheld the conclusion that the Hoopa Valley and Yurok tribes have a federally reserved right to harvest fish in Parravano v. Babbitt and Brown, 70 F.3d 539 (1995) (Cert. denied in Parravano v. Babbitt and Brown 110, S.Ct 2546 [1996]). The Council must recognize the tribal allocation in setting its projected escapement level for the Klamath River.

### 5.3.3.2 Columbia River

Pursuant to a September 1, 1983 Order of the U.S. District Court, the allocation of harvest in the Columbia River was established under the "Columbia River Fish Management Plan" which was implemented in 1988 by the parties of U.S. v. Oregon. This plan replaced the original 1977 plan (pages 16-20 of the 1978 FMP). Since the Columbia River Fishery Management Plan expired on December 31, 1998, fall Chinook in Columbia River fisheries were managed through 2007 under the guidance of annual management agreements among the U.S. v. Oregon parties. In 2008, a new 10 year management agreement was negotiated through the U.S. v. Oregon process, which included revisions to some in-river objectives. This most recent plan is the "2008-2017 U.S. v Oregon Management Agreement". The plan provides a framework within which the relevant parties may exercise their sovereign powers in a coordinated and systematic manner in order to protect, rebuild, and enhance upper Columbia River fish runs while providing harvest for both treaty Indian and non-Indian fisheries. The parties to the agreement are the United States, the states of Oregon, Washington, and Idaho, and four Columbia River treaty Indian tribes-Warm Springs, Yakama, Nez Perce, and Umatilla.

### 5.3.3.3 U.S. v. Washington Area

Treaty Indian tribes have a legal entitlement to the opportunity to take up to 50 percent of the harvestable surplus of stocks which pass through their usual and accustomed fishing areas. The treaty Indian troll harvest which would occur if the tribes chose to take their total 50 percent share of the weakest stock in the ocean, is computed with the current version of the Fishery Regulation Assessment Model (FRAM), assuming this level of harvest did not create conservation or allocation problems on other stocks. A quota may be established in accordance with the objectives of the relevant treaty tribes concerning allocation of the treaty Indian share to ocean and inside fisheries. The total quota does not represent a guaranteed ocean harvest, but a maximum allowable catch.

The requirement for the opportunity to take up to 50 percent of the harvestable surplus determines the treaty shares available to the inside/outside Indian and all-citizen fisheries. Ocean coho harvest ceilings off the Washington coast for treaty Indians and all-citizen fisheries are independent within the constraints that (1) where feasible, conservation needs of all stocks must be met; (2) neither group precludes the other from the opportunity to harvest its share, and; (3) allocation schemes may be established to specify outside/inside sharing for various stocks.

### 6.5SEASONS AND QUOTAS

For each management area or subarea, the Council has the option of managing the commercial and recreational fisheries for either coho or Chinook using the following methods: (1) fixed quotas and seasons; (2) adjustable quotas and seasons; and (3) seasons only. The Council may also use harvest guidelines within quotas or seasons to trigger inseason management actions established in the preseason regulatory process.

Quotas provide very precise management targets and work best when accurate estimates of stock abundance and distribution are available, or when needed to ensure protection of depressed stocks from potential overfishing. The Council does not view quotas as guaranteed harvests, but rather the maximum allowable harvest, which assures meeting the conservation objective of the species or stock of concern. While time and area restrictions are not as precise as quotas, they allow flexibility for effort and harvest to vary in response to abundance and distribution.

### 6.5.1 Preferred Course of Action

Because of the need to use both seasons and quotas, depending on the circumstances, the Council will make the decision regarding seasons and quotas annually during the preseason regulatory process, subject to the limits specified below. Fishing seasons and quotas also may be modified during the season as provided under Section 10.2.

### 6.5.2 Procedures for Calculating Seasons

Seasons will be calculated using the total allowable ocean harvest determined by procedures described in Chapter 5, and further allocated to the commercial and recreational fishery in accordance with the allocation plan presented in Section 5.3, and after consideration of the estimated amount of effort required to catch the available fish, based on past seasons.

Recreational seasons will be established with the goal of encompassing Memorial Day and/or Labor Day weekends in the season, if feasible. Opening dates will be adjusted to provide reasonable assurance that the recreational fishery is continuous, minimizing the possibility of an in-season closure.

Criteria used to establish commercial seasons, in addition to the estimated allowable ocean harvests, the allocation plan, and the expected effort during the season, will be: (1) bycatch mortality; (2) size, poundage, and value of fish caught; (3) effort shifts between fishing areas; (4) harvest of pink salmon in odd-numbered years; and (5) protection for weak stocks when they frequent the fishing areas at various times of the year.

### 6.5.3 Species-Specific and Other Selective Fisheries

### 6.5.3.1 Guidelines

In addition to the all-species and single or limited species seasons established for the commercial and recreational fisheries, other species-limited fisheries, such as "ratio" fisheries and fisheries selective for marked or hatchery fish, may be adopted by the Council during the preseason regulatory process. In adopting such fisheries, the Council will consider the following guidelines:

1. Harvestable fish of the target species are available.
2. Harvest impacts on incidental species will not exceed allowable levels determined in the management plan.
3. Proven, documented, selective gear exists (if not, only an experimental fishery should be considered).
4. Significant wastage of incidental species will not occur or a written economic analysis demonstrates the landed value of the target species exceeds the potential landed value of the wasted species.
5. The selective fishery will occur in an acceptable time and area where wastage can be minimized and target stocks are maximally available.
6. Implementation of selective fisheries for marked or hatchery fish must be in accordance with U.S. v. Washington stipulation and order concerning co-management and mass marking (Case No. 9213, Subproceeding No. 96-3) and any subsequent stipulations or orders of the U.S. District Court, and consistent with international objectives under the PST (e.g., to ensure the integrity of the coded-wire tag program).

### 6.5.3.2 Selective Fisheries Which May Change Allocation Percentages North of Cape Falcon

As a tool to increase management flexibility to respond to changing harvest opportunities, the Council may implement deviations from the specified port area allocations and/or gear allocations to increase harvest opportunity through mark-selective fisheries. The benefits of any mark-selective fishery will vary from year to year and fishery to fishery depending on stock abundance, the mix of marked and unmarked fish, projected hook-and-release mortality rates, and public acceptance. These factors should be considered on an annual and case-by-case basis when utilizing mark-selective fisheries. The deviations for mark-selective fisheries are subordinate to the allocation priorities in Section 5.3.1.1 and may be allowed under the following management constraints:

1. Mark-Selective fisheries will first be considered during the months of May and/or June for Chinook and July through September for coho. However, the Council may consider mark-selective fisheries at other times, depending on year to year circumstances identified in the preceding paragraph.
2. The total impacts within each port area or gear group on the critical natural stocks of management concern are not greater than those under the original allocation without the mark-selective fisheries.
3. Other allocation objectives (i.e., treaty Indian, or ocean and inside allocations) are satisfied during negotiations in the North of Cape Falcon Forum.
4. The mark-selective fishery is assessed against the guidelines in Section 6.5.3.1.
5. Mark-selective fishery proposals need to be made in a timely manner in order to allow sufficient time for analysis and public comment on the proposal before the Council finalizes its fishery recommendations.

If the Council chooses to deviate from specified port and/or gear allocations, the process for establishing a mark-selective fishery would be as follows:

1. Allocate the TAC among the gear groups and port areas according to the basic FMP allocation process described in Section 5.3.1 without the mark-selective fishery.
2. Each gear group or port area may utilize the critical natural stock impacts allocated to its portion of the TAC to access additional harvestable, marked fish, over and above the harvest share established in step one, within the limits of the management constraints listed in the preceding paragraph.

### 6.5.4 Procedures for Calculating Quotas

Quotas will be based on the total allowable ocean harvest and the allocation plan as determined by the procedures of Chapter 5 .

To the extent adjustable quotas are used, they may be subject to some or all of the following inseason adjustments:

1. For coho, private hatchery contribution to the ocean fisheries in the OPI area.
2. Unanticipated loss of shakers (bycatch mortality of undersized fish or unauthorized fish of another species that have to be returned to the water) during the season. (Adjustment for coho hooking mortality during any all-salmon-except-coho season will be made when the quotas are established.)
3. Any catch that take place in fisheries within territorial waters that are inconsistent with federal regulations in the EEZ.
4. If the ability to update inseason stock abundance is developed in the future, adjustments to total allowable harvest could be made, where appropriate.
5. The ability to redistribute quotas between subareas depending on the performance toward achieving the overall quota in the area.

Changes in the quotas as a result of the inseason adjustment process will be avoided unless the changes are of such magnitude that they can be validated by the STT and Council, given the precision of the original estimates.

The basis for determining the private hatchery contribution in (1) above will be either coded-wire tag analysis or analysis of scale patterns, whichever is determined by the STT to be more accurate, or another more accurate method that may be developed in the future, as determined by the STT and Council.

In reference to (4) and (5) above, if reliable techniques become available for making inseason estimates of stock abundance, and provision is made in any season for its use, a determination of techniques to be applied will be made by the Council through the Salmon Methodology Review process and discussed during the preseason regulatory process.

### 6.5.5 Procedures for Regulating Ocean Harvests of Pink and Sockeye

Sockeye salmon are only very rarely caught in Council-managed ocean salmon fisheries and no specific procedures have been established to regulate their harvest. Procedures for pink salmon are as follows:

1. All-species seasons will be planned such that harvest of pink salmon can be maximized without exceeding allowable harvests of Chinook and/or coho and within conservation and allocation constraints of the pink stocks.
2. Species specific or ratio fisheries for pink salmon will be considered under the guidelines for species specific fisheries presented in Section 6.5.3, and allocation constraints of the pink stocks.
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## SACRAMENTO RIVER WINTER CHINOOK CONTROL RULE

The first component of the SRWC consultation standard consists of time/area/fishery closure and size limit provisions described in Chapter II and Table A-1.

The second component of the SRWC consultation standard is a control rule that specifies the maximum forecast age-3 impact rate for the area south of Point Arena, California, as a function of the geometric mean of escapement from the most recent three years. This control rule is depicted in Figure C-1, and a description follows.

When the three-year geometric mean of spawner escapement is in excess of 5,000, a maximum forecast age-3 impact rate is not specified and the consultation standard reduces to only the first component. When the three-year geometric mean is between 4,000 and 5,000 , the maximum forecast age- 3 impact rate is 0.20 . Between 3 -year geometric mean values of 4,000 and 500 , the maximum forecast age- 3 impact rate decreases linearly from 0.20 to 0.10 . Finally, at 3 -year geometric mean spawner levels less than 500 , the maximum forecast age- 3 impact rate is zero.


FIGURE C-1. Sacramento River winter Chinook impact rate control rule; maximum forecast age-3 impact rate for the area south of Point Arena, California, as a function of the geometric mean of escapement from the most recent three years.
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TABLE D-1. Millions of coho smolts ${ }^{a /}$ released annually into the OPI area by geographic area and rearing agency.

| Year or | Columbia River |  |  |  |  |  | Oregon Coast |  |  | California | Total OPI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Washington |  |  |  | Federal | Total | Private |  |  |  |  |
| Average | Oregon | Early | Late | Combined |  |  | ODFW ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | Yearlings | Total |  |  |
| 1960-1965 | 5.6 | - | - | 6.1 | 4.5 | 16.2 | 2.0 | - | 2.0 | 0.4 | 18.6 |
| 1966-1970 | 6.0 | 10.2 | 4.9 | 15.1 | 6.5 | 27.6 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 31.8 |
| 1971-1975 | 6.8 | 10.7 | 6.8 | 17.5 | 4.5 | 28.8 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 33.9 |
| 1976-1980 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 10.1 | 17.4 | 4.7 | 30.1 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 5.2 | 0.7 | 36.0 |
| 1981-1985 | 7.1 | 4.3 | 14.4 | 18.7 | 3.2 | 29.0 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 7.2 | 0.7 | 36.9 |
| 1986-1990 | 7.3 | 3.1 | 15.6 | 18.7 | 4.1 | 30.1 | 5.2 | 1.9 | 7.1 | 1.4 | 38.6 |
| 1991 | 10.4 | 3.7 | 15.3 | 19.0 | 5.9 | 35.2 | 5.3 | - | 5.3 | 1.5 | 42.0 |
| 1992 | 11.5 | 4.3 | 14.3 | 18.6 | 2.7 | 32.8 | 6.2 | - | 6.2 | 0.7 | 39.7 |
| 1993 | 11.1 | 4.3 | 14.8 | 19.1 | 4.1 | 34.3 | 4.3 | - | 4.3 | 0.8 | 39.4 |
| 1994 | 9.1 | 2.5 | 12.0 | 14.5 | 3.0 | 26.6 | 5.2 | - | 5.2 | 0.6 | 32.4 |
| 1995 | 7.1 | 3.4 | 12.9 | 16.3 | 1.7 | 25.1 | 3.7 | - | 3.7 | 0.7 | 29.5 |
| 1996 | 8.4 | 3.4 | 12.9 | 16.3 | 3.4 | 28.1 | 3.3 | - | 3.3 | 0.3 | 31.7 |
| 1997 | 6.1 | 3.2 | 7.8 | 11.0 | 3.9 | 21.0 | 2.9 | - | 2.9 | 0.7 | 24.6 |
| 1998 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 11.4 | 17.2 | 3.6 | 26.8 | 1.7 | - | 1.7 | 0.6 | 29.1 |
| 1999 | 7.6 | 4.0 | 11.5 | 15.5 | 4.8 | 27.9 | 1.0 | - | 1.0 | 0.7 | 29.6 |
| 2000 | 7.8 | 6.2 | 10.8 | 17.0 | 5.9 | 30.7 | 0.9 | - | 0.9 | 0.6 | 32.2 |
| 2001 | 7.6 | 4.2 | 9.7 | 13.9 | 3.7 | 25.2 | 0.9 | - | 0.9 | 0.6 | 26.7 |
| 2002 | 7.5 | 3.3 | 8.6 | 11.9 | 4.3 | 23.7 | 1.0 | - | 1.0 | 0.6 | 25.3 |
| 2003 | 8.2 | 3.3 | 8.7 | 12.0 | 3.1 | 23.3 | 0.8 | - | 0.8 | 0.5 | 24.6 |
| 2004 | 6.7 | 3.0 | 8.8 | 11.8 | 3.6 | 22.1 | 0.8 | - | 0.8 | 0.6 | 23.5 |
| 2005 | 6.1 | 2.5 | 9.1 | 11.6 | 2.8 | 20.6 | 0.8 | - | 0.8 | 0.6 | 22.0 |
| 2006 | 6.1 | 2.8 | 9.0 | 11.7 | 2.6 | 20.4 | 0.8 | - | 0.8 | 0.6 | 21.8 |
| 2007 | 6.2 | 3.1 | 9.0 | 12.1 | 3.1 | 21.4 | 0.7 | - | 0.7 | 0.6 | 22.6 |
| 2008 | 6.9 | 2.8 | 9.2 | 12.0 | 2.9 | 21.9 | 0.4 | - | 0.4 | 0.5 | 22.8 |
| 2009 | 6.9 | 2.5 | 8.3 | 10.8 | 3.2 | 20.9 | 0.4 | - | 0.4 | 0.6 | 21.8 |
| 2010 | 5.9 | 2.0 | 7.5 | 9.5 | 3.1 | 18.6 | 0.3 | - | 0.3 | 0.5 | 19.4 |
| 2011 | 5.8 | 1.8 | 8.4 | 10.2 | 3.0 | 19.0 | 0.4 | - | 0.4 | 0.5 | 19.8 |
| $2012{ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | 5.9 | 2.2 | 7.4 | 9.7 | 2.7 | 18.2 | 0.4 | - | 0.4 | 0.6 | 19.3 |

a/ Defined here as 30 fish per pound or larger and released in February or later
b/ Beginning in 1989, does not include minor releases from STEP projects.
c/ Preliminary.

TABLE D-2. Data set used in predicting Oregon production index hatchery (OPIH) adult coho. Adults and jacks shown in thousands of fish and smolts in millions of fish.

| Year (t) |  |  | Jacks (t-1) |  |  | Columbia River Smolts (t-1) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adults (t) |  | Total OP9 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Columbia | OR Coast/ |  | Normal | Adjustment |
|  | $\mathrm{OPIH}^{\text {a }}$ | MSM ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ |  | River ${ }^{\text {d/ }}$ | $\mathrm{CA}^{\text {e/ }}$ | Delayed ${ }^{\text {t/ }}$ | Timed ${ }^{\text {g/ }}$ | Proportion ${ }^{\text {h/ }}$ |
| 1970 | 2,765.1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 3,365.0 | - | 179.4 | 172.8 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 24.0 | 0.0000 |
| 1972 | 1,924.8 | - | 103.7 | 100.8 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 28.3 | 0.0000 |
| 1973 | 1,817.0 | - | 91.4 | 85.7 | 5.7 | 1.8 | 29.9 | 5.1592 |
| 1974 | 3,071.1 | - | 144.2 | 132.0 | 12.1 | 2.9 | 28.5 | 13.4316 |
| 1975 | 1,652.8 | - | 76.2 | 75.1 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 27.8 | 4.8626 |
| 1976 | 3,885.3 | - | 171.5 | 146.2 | 25.3 | 2.0 | 29.0 | 10.0828 |
| 1977 | 987.5 | - | 53.8 | 46.3 | 7.5 | 0.2 | 28.9 | 0.3204 |
| 1978 | 1,824.1 | - | 103.2 | 99.2 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 31.4 | 0.0000 |
| 1979 | 1,476.7 | - | 72.5 | 64.1 | 8.4 | 5.0 | 32.6 | 9.8313 |
| 1980 | 1,224.0 | - | 57.7 | 51.6 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 28.9 | 11.9626 |
| 1981 | 1,064.5 | - | 48.7 | 40.6 | 8.1 | 5.6 | 28.1 | 8.0911 |
| 1982 | 1,266.8 | - | 61.3 | 55.0 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 32.4 | 11.5432 |
| 1983 ${ }^{\text {// }}$ | 599.2 | - | 68.3 | 61.0 | 7.2 | 5.0 | 27.7 | 11.0108 |
| 1984 | 691.3 | - | 31.6 | 28.0 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 27.0 | 5.2889 |
| 1985 | 717.5 | - | 26.0 | 18.2 | 7.8 | 9.1 | 29.2 | 5.6719 |
| 1986 | 2,435.8 | 2,412.0 | 77.5 | 64.6 | 12.9 | 12.2 | 28.8 | 27.3653 |
| 1987 | 887.2 | 779.4 | 32.9 | 24.2 | 8.7 | 9.0 | 32.9 | 6.6201 |
| 1988 | 1,669.3 | 1,467.8 | 85.2 | 72.3 | 12.9 | 7.7 | 28.8 | 19.3302 |
| 1989 | 1,720.2 | 1,922.0 | 60.8 | 55.0 | 5.8 | 7.2 | 29.5 | 13.4237 |
| 1990 | 718.4 | 713.6 | 46.6 | 37.1 | 9.6 | 8.5 | 29.6 | 10.6537 |
| 1991 | 1,874.8 | 1,816.5 | 68.6 | 60.7 | 7.9 | 7.1 | 30.3 | 14.2234 |
| 1992 | 543.6 | 512.6 | 25.6 | 19.9 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 35.3 | 3.3824 |
| 1993 | 261.7 | 223.3 | 27.1 | 19.6 | 7.5 | 5.5 | 32.8 | 3.2866 |
| 1994 | 202.3 | 214.1 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 1.3 | 6.0 | 34.4 | 0.6802 |
| 1995 | 147.2 | 139.4 | 11.8 | 9.1 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 26.6 | 1.0605 |
| 1996 | 185.2 | 176.5 | 17.4 | 14.1 | 3.2 | 4.2 | 25.2 | 2.3500 |
| 1997 | 200.7 | 195.6 | 20.4 | 15.8 | 4.6 | 3.4 | 28.0 | 1.9186 |
| 1998 | 207.5 | 228.3 | 9.7 | 6.8 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 21.0 | 0.7976 |
| 1999 | 334.5 | 372.5 | 29.5 | 23.6 | 5.9 | 3.0 | 26.8 | 2.6418 |
| 2000 | 673.2 | 673.1 | 34.8 | 31.3 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 27.9 | 4.5996 |
| 2001 | 1,417.1 | 1,478.7 | 87.4 | 71.7 | 15.7 | 2.0 | 30.6 | 4.6863 |
| 2002 | 649.8 | 689.5 | 25.2 | 18.9 | 6.3 | 1.4 | 23.5 | 1.1260 |
| 2003 | 936.6 | 1,009.9 | 49.9 | 41.7 | 8.2 | 0.3 | 23.7 | 0.5278 |
| 2004 | 622.1 | 693.6 | 35.4 | 29.4 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 23.2 | 2.5345 |
| 2005 | 443.2 | 454.0 | 25.0 | 21.2 | 4.7 | 0.8 | 22.0 | 0.7709 |
| 2006 | 440.6 | 523.4 | 25.9 | 20.9 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 20.6 | 0.4058 |
| 2007 | 476.6 | 545.3 | 36.3 | 34.2 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 20.4 | 0.1676 |
| 2008 | 565.3 | 576.9 | 16.0 | 14.0 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 21.4 | 0.3925 |
| 2009 | 1,066.2 | 1,051.0 | 60.4 | 58.4 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 21.9 | 2.9333 |
| 2010 | 551.3 | 546.5 | 25.1 | 23.8 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 21.3 | 0.2235 |
| 2011 | 442.3 | 454.2 | 23.3 | 22.2 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 18.5 | 0.3600 |
| 2012 | 182.3 | 182.3 | 17.8 | 13.8 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 19.0 | 0.6584 |
| 2013 |  | $525.4{ }^{\text {j/ }}$ | 26.6 | 24.3 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 18.2 | 1.4687 |

a/ Adult OPIH = Harvest impacts plus escapement for public hatchery stocks originating in the Columbia River, Oregon coastal rivers, and the Klamath River, California.
b/ Adult MSM = Harvest impacts plus escapement for public hatchery stocks originating in the Columbia River, Oregon coastal
rivers, and the Klamath River. Estimates derived from the MSM and used for prediction beginning in 2008.
c/ Jack OPI = Total Jack CR and Jack OC.
d/ Jack CR = Columbia River jack returns corrected for small adults.
e/ Jack OC=Oregon coastal and California hatchery jack returns corrected for small adults.
$\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{SmD}=$ Columbia River delayed smolt releases from the previous year expected to return as adults in the year listed.
g/ $\mathrm{SmCR}=$ Columbia River smolt release from the previous year expected to return as adults in the year listed.
h/ Correction term for delayed smolts released from Columbia River hatcheries (proportion).
i/ Data not used in subsequent predictions due to $\boxminus$ Niño impacts.
j/ Preseason predicted adults.

TABLE D-3. Estimated coho salmon natural spawner abundance in Oregon coastal basins for each OCN coho management component.

| Component and Basin ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1997- \\ 2012 \\ \text { Avg. } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NORTHERN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Necanicum | 253 | 946 | 728 | 474 | 5,247 | 2,896 | 3,068 | 2,198 | 1,218 | 750 | 431 | 1,055 | 3,827 | 4,445 | 2,120 | 664 | 1,895 |
| Nehalem | 1,173 | 1,190 | 3,713 | 14,285 | 22,310 | 20,903 | 33,059 | 18,736 | 10,451 | 11,614 | 14,033 | 17,205 | 21,753 | 32,215 | 15,322 | 2,289 | 15,016 |
| Tillamook | 388 | 271 | 2,175 | 1,983 | 1,883 | 15,715 | 14,584 | 2,532 | 1,995 | 8,774 | 2,295 | 4,828 | 16,251 | 14,890 | 19,250 | 2,266 | 6,880 |
| Nestucca | 271 | 169 | 2,201 | 1,171 | 3,940 | 13,003 | 8,929 | 4,695 | 686 | 1,876 | 394 | 1,844 | 4,252 | 1,947 | 7,857 | 1,686 | 3,433 |
| Ind. Tribs. | 61 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 71 | 16 | 0 | 661 | 2,116 | 1,121 | 376 | 639 | 2,052 | 1,473 | 1,341 | 198 | 636 |
| TOTAL | 2,146 | 2,576 | 8,864 | 17,913 | 33,451 | 52,533 | 59,640 | 28,822 | 16,466 | 24,135 | 17,529 | 25,571 | 48,135 | 54,970 | 45,890 | 7,103 | 27,859 |
| NORTH CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salmon | 237 | 8 | 175 | 0 | 310 | 372 | 0 | 1,642 | 79 | 513 | 59 | 652 | 753 | 1,382 | 3,636 | 196 | 626 |
| Siletz | 336 | 394 | 706 | 3,553 | 1,437 | 2,252 | 9,736 | 8,179 | 14,567 | 5,205 | 2,197 | 20,634 | 24,070 | 6,283 | 33,094 | 5,494 | 8,634 |
| Yaquina | 384 | 365 | 2,588 | 647 | 3,039 | 23,981 | 13,254 | 5,539 | 3,441 | 4,247 | 3,158 | 10,913 | 11,182 | 8,589 | 19,074 | 5,810 | 7,263 |
| Beaver Ck. | 425 | 1,041 | 3,366 | 738 | 5,274 | 8,754 | 5,812 | 4,569 | 2,264 | 1,950 | 611 | 1,218 | 3,575 | 2,072 | 2,389 | 1,591 | 2,853 |
| Alsea | 680 | 213 | 2,050 | 2,465 | 3,339 | 6,170 | 8,957 | 5,233 | 13,907 | 1,972 | 2,146 | 13,320 | 14,638 | 9,688 | 28,337 | 7,158 | 7,517 |
| Siuslaw | 668 | 1,089 | 2,724 | 6,767 | 11,024 | 57,129 | 29,257 | 8,729 | 16,907 | 5,869 | 3,552 | 17,491 | 30,607 | 25,983 | 28,082 | 16,579 | 16,404 |
| Ind. Tribs. | 112 | 173 | 150 | 91 | 816 | 5,308 | 1,852 | 8,179 | 242 | 1,468 | 547 | 3,910 | 1,610 | 2,548 | 4,487 | 507 | 2,000 |
| TOTAL | 2,842 | 3,283 | 11,759 | 14,261 | 25,239 | 103,966 | 68,868 | 42,070 | 51,407 | 21,224 | 12,270 | 68,138 | 86,435 | 56,545 | 119,099 | 37,335 | 45,296 |
| SOUTH CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Umpqua | 2,960 | 9,153 | 7,685 | 12,233 | 35,702 | 37,591 | 29,607 | 29,920 | 42,532 | 18,092 | 11,783 | 37,868 | 57,984 | 70,019 | 94,655 | 20,110 | 32,368 |
| Coos | 1,127 | 3,167 | 4,945 | 5,386 | 43,301 | 35,688 | 29,559 | 23,337 | 17,048 | 11,266 | 1,329 | 14,881 | 26,979 | 27,658 | 10,999 | 8,813 | 16,593 |
| Coquille | 5,720 | 2,466 | 3,001 | 6,130 | 13,310 | 8,610 | 23,909 | 22,138 | 11,806 | 28,577 | 13,968 | 8,791 | 22,286 | 23,564 | 55,667 | 6,593 | 16,034 |
| Floras Ck. | - | 252 | 164 | 1,440 | 1,945 | 20 | 310 | 7,446 | 506 | 1,104 | 340 | 786 | 3,203 | 11,329 | 9,217 | 2,474 | 2,702 |
| Sixes R. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 403 | 105 | 294 | 97 | 43 | 176 | 100 | 334 | 39 | 177 |
| Coastal Lakes | 8,603 | 11,107 | 13,442 | 12,747 | 19,669 | 22,162 | 16,688 | 18,642 | 14,725 | 24,127 | 8,955 | 23,608 | 17,349 | 38,744 | 20,281 | 18,845 | 18,106 |
| Ind. Tribs. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 188 | 484 | 101 | 29 | 160 |
| TOTAL | 18,410 | 26,145 | 29,237 | 37,936 | 113,927 | 104,071 | 100,073 | 101,886 | 86,722 | 83,460 | 36,472 | 85,977 | 128,165 | 171,898 | 191,254 | 56,903 | 85,784 |
| SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rogue ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | 8,213 | 2,257 | 1,389 | 10,978 | 12,579 | 8,403 | 6,754 | 24,486 | 9,957 | 3,937 | 5,242 | 414 | 2,566 | 3,073 | 3,917 | 5,440 | 6,850 |


a/ The sum of the individual basins may not equal the aggregate totals due to the use of independent estimates at different geographic scales.
b/ Mark recapture estimate based on seining at Huntley Park in the low er Rogue River.

TABLE D-4. Data set used in predicting Oregon coastal natural river (OCNR) coho ocean recruits with random survey sampling and Mixed Stock Model (MSM) accounting. Al environmental data in year of ocean entry ( $\mathrm{t}-1$ ) except SST-J, which is January of adult return year ( t ). Spawners is parent brood ( $\mathrm{t}-3$ ). Recruits shown in thousands of fish.
(Page 1 of 2)

|  | Recruits |  | Environmental Index-Month(s) ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year (t) | Adults | Spaw ners | PDO-MJJ | UWI-JAS | UWI-SON | SSH-AMJ | SST-AMJ | SST-J | ME-ON | SPR.TRN |
| 1970 | 183.1 | 204.7 | -0.33 | 51.67 | -16.67 | -143.50 | 10.90 | - | -1.10 | 78 |
| 1971 | 416.3 | 198.9 | -0.50 | 32.33 | -10.33 | -62.90 | 11.68 | 8.67 | -1.36 | 106 |
| 1972 | 185.5 | 129.2 | -0.82 | 42.33 | -3.67 | -56.40 | 11.85 | 8.44 | 1.67 | 107 |
| 1973 | 235.0 | 51.2 | -1.08 | 60.67 | -15.33 | -149.83 | 12.24 | 9.46 | -1.61 | 80 |
| 1974 | 196.4 | 65.6 | -1.05 | 41.33 | -8.00 | -70.80 | 10.95 | 9.30 | -1.15 | 102 |
| 1975 | 208.4 | 24.1 | -0.82 | 48.67 | -29.67 | -147.97 | 10.84 | 9.49 | -1.90 | 83 |
| 1976 | 451.7 | 37.8 | -0.52 | 18.00 | -5.67 | -110.20 | 10.71 | 9.07 | 0.72 | 103 |
| 1977 | 161.2 | 28.1 | -0.26 | 40.33 | -22.33 | -134.53 | 11.21 | 9.78 | 0.99 | 74 |
| 1978 | 111.6 | 34.8 | -0.22 | 33.33 | -1.33 | -85.77 | 11.58 | 11.24 | 0.09 | 97 |
| 1979 | 188.8 | 39.2 | 0.17 | 20.33 | -45.00 | -90.90 | 11.23 | 8.74 | 0.69 | 73 |
| 1980 | 108.3 | 13.7 | 0.34 | 69.33 | -43.67 | -63.70 | 12.05 | 10.50 | 0.22 | 78 |
| 1981 | 174.5 | 18.2 | 0.62 | 48.67 | -36.33 | -81.27 | 12.15 | 11.72 | 0.02 | 88 |
| 1982 | 185.7 | 38.4 | 0.57 | 33.67 | -26.67 | -68.60 | 10.99 | 9.86 | 2.24 | 109 |
| 1983 | 96.0 | 25.6 | 1.03 | 26.00 | -47.33 | -4.97 | 12.13 | 11.10 | -0.09 | 126 |
| 1984 | 94.7 | 30.1 | 1.04 | 53.67 | -52.00 | -63.33 | 11.43 | 10.65 | -0.17 | 112 |
| 1985 | 124.9 | 68.3 | 0.79 | 47.00 | 0.00 | -80.57 | 10.97 | 9.99 | -0.10 | 48 |
| 1986 | 114.3 | 19.4 | 1.14 | 53.33 | -4.33 | -82.27 | 11.51 | 10.04 | 0.93 | 89 |
| 1987 | 77.8 | 59.7 | 0.88 | 50.33 | -23.00 | -80.50 | 11.43 | 10.58 | 1.43 | 81 |
| 1988 | 152.5 | 66.3 | 0.99 | 51.33 | -25.00 | -63.07 | 11.49 | 9.89 | -1.41 | 68 |
| 1989 | 114.9 | 57.2 | 1.02 | 46.00 | 5.00 | -65.63 | 11.62 | 9.43 | -0.19 | 97 |
| 1990 | 63.3 | 25.3 | 0.83 | 54.00 | -3.00 | -64.40 | 12.01 | 9.97 | 0.31 | 81 |
| 1991 | 84.1 | 45.7 | 0.28 | 54.67 | 7.33 | -110.90 | 10.94 | 8.96 | 1.11 | 99 |
| 1992 | 107.6 | 40.7 | 0.45 | 53.33 | -11.00 | -30.80 | 12.70 | 10.11 | 0.64 | 123 |
| 1993 | 74.9 | 16.9 | 0.88 | 57.00 | 13.00 | 58.73 | 13.22 | 9.38 | 0.94 | 161 |
| 1994 | 41.0 | 30.4 | 0.93 | 57.33 | -6.00 | -64.87 | 11.45 | 11.04 | 1.36 | 87 |
| 1995 | 47.8 | 40.2 | 1.48 | 33.33 | -24.33 | -65.33 | 11.19 | 10.57 | -0.49 | 95 |
| 1996 | 64.5 | 45.2 | 1.42 | 83.67 | 4.67 | -48.13 | 11.44 | 11.66 | -0.27 | 120 |
| 1997 | 16.3 | 38.3 | 1.43 | 20.00 | -38.00 | -15.43 | 12.10 | 10.76 | 2.44 | 146 |
| 1998 | 22.4 | 42.8 | 1.37 | 73.67 | -37.33 | -42.17 | 11.37 | 12.26 | -1.01 | 105 |
| 1999 | 38.3 | 60.5 | 0.78 | 70.33 | -17.33 | -111.87 | 10.67 | 9.54 | -1.05 | 91 |
| 2000 | 58.7 | 14.8 | 0.35 | 45.00 | -11.00 | -55.80 | 11.35 | 10.00 | -0.57 | 72 |

TABLE D-4. Data set used in predicting Oregon coastal natural river (OCNR) coho ocean recruits with random survey sampling and Mixed Stock Model (MSM) accounting. All environmental data in year of ocean entry ( $\mathrm{t}-1$ ) except SST-J, which is January of adult return year ( t ). Spaw ners is parent brood ( $\mathrm{t}-3$ ). Recruits show n in thousands of fish. (Page 2 of 2)

|  | Recruits |  | Environmental Index-Month(s) ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year (t) | Adults | Spaw ners | PDO-MJJ | UWI-JAS | UWI-SON | SSH-AMJ | SST-AMJ | SST-J | MEI-ON | SPR.TRN |
| 2001 | 156.5 | 20.9 | -0.40 | 60.67 | -29.67 | -125.73 | 10.68 | 10.17 | -0.23 | 61 |
| 2002 | 246.1 | 36.4 | -0.60 | 72.67 | -26.00 | -148.20 | 10.11 | 10.07 | 1.01 | 80 |
| 2003 | 227.3 | 57.4 | -0.17 | 65.33 | -7.33 | -62.97 | 11.13 | 11.01 | 0.51 | 112 |
| 2004 | 164.0 | 152.9 | 0.04 | 30.33 | 6.33 | -62.13 | 11.86 | 10.30 | 0.63 | 110 |
| 2005 | 146.3 | 238.4 | 0.52 | 73.33 | 6.00 | -25.13 | 12.54 | 10.21 | -0.29 | 145 |
| 2006 | 113.1 | 211.9 | 0.79 | 84.00 | -14.00 | -35.80 | 11.15 | 11.46 | 1.09 | 112 |
| 2007 | 64.8 | 156.7 | 0.64 | 23.67 | 5.00 | -123.13 | 10.62 | 9.85 | -1.16 | 74 |
| 2008 | 157.0 | 139.4 | 0.16 | 33.33 | -2.33 | -112.63 | 9.62 | 8.92 | -0.70 | 89 |
| 2009 | 262.9 | 104.5 | -0.29 | 36.33 | -39.67 | -95.37 | 10.45 | 9.37 | 1.04 | 82 |
| 2010 | 255.7 | 57.2 | -0.50 | 57.00 | -15.33 | -47.83 | 11.67 | 10.76 | -1.77 | 100 |
| 2011 | 352.5 | 245.4 | -0.81 | 41.67 | -12.67 | -45.50 | 10.68 | 10.12 | -0.97 | 100 |
| 2012 | 100.8 | 241.6 | -0.75 | 74.00 | -11.67 | -33.70 | 11.02 | 9.19 | 0.13 | 121 |
| $2013{ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | 165.1 | - | - | - |  |  |  | 9.93 | - | - |

a/ Environmental Index descriptions:
PDO - Pacific Decadal Oscillation
UWI - Upw elling wind index (mean upw elling winds index in months of ocean migration year at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 125^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ )
SSH - Sea surface height (South Beach, OR at $44^{\circ} 37.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 124^{\circ} 02.6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ )
SST - Sea surface temperature (mean sea surface temperature in January of return year at Charleston, OR)
MEI - Multi-variate ENSO index
SPR.TRN - Spring transition date (Julian)
b/ Forecast.
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This document has been prepared by the staff of the Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) and the Salmon Technical Team (STT) to describe the Council's proposed ocean salmon management Alternatives for 2013 and characterize their expected impacts on ocean salmon fisheries and the stocks which support them. The Council solicits public comments on the proposed management Alternatives in preparation for adopting final management recommendations at its April meeting. Oral and written comments may be presented at public hearings at the times and locations displayed on the inside front cover of this report. Additional comment will be accepted during the April Council meeting at the Sheraton Portland Airport Hotel, 8235 NE Airport Way, Portland, Oregon. Written comments received at the Council office by March 31, 2013 will be copied and distributed to all Council members (Council staff cannot assure distribution of comments received after March 31).

This report also constitutes the second part of an Environmental Assessment (EA) to comply with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requirements for the 2013 ocean salmon regulations. An EA is used to determine whether an action being considered by a Federal agency has significant environmental impacts. This part of the EA includes a description of the affected environment, a description of 2013 ocean salmon regulation Alternatives being considered, and an analysis of the effects of those Alternatives on the affected environment. The first part of the EA (Preseason Report I; PFMC 2013b) included a description of the No-Action Alternative and an analysis of the effects of the NoAction Alternative on salmon stocks managed under the Pacific Coast Salmon Fishery Management Plan (FMP), which is one component of the affected environment. Along with the description and analysis of the Proposed Action in Preseason Report III (developed after the Council makes a final recommendation in April 2013), these three parts of the EA will provide the necessary components to determine if a finding of no significant impact (FONSI) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is warranted.

### 2.0 SELECTION OF FINAL MANAGEMENT MEASURES

The Council's final ocean salmon season recommendations will be based on the range of Alternatives presented in this report and guidance received from deliberations at management fora such as the north of Cape Falcon planning process (sponsored by the States of Washington and Oregon and the treaty Indian tribes in that area), Pacific Salmon Commission (PSC), and from public hearings sponsored by the Council and the States of Washington, Oregon, and California. Final recommendations concerning season dates, catch quotas, and exploitation rates may vary from the range of Alternatives presented in this report depending upon determination of allocations, allowable harvest levels, public comment, or the final impact analyses completed by the STT. Elements of the Alternatives may be recombined to alter season patterns and quotas, or measures such as bag limits, days of fishing per week, special landing restrictions, and other specific regulatory details may also change. In addition, inseason modification of management measures may be used to ensure achievement of the Council's management objectives.

Specific details pertaining to season structure and special management measures for the treaty Indian troll fishery north of Cape Falcon are established in tribal regulations. Chinook and coho quota levels for the treaty Indian troll fishery may be adjusted if significant changes in incidental fishing mortality result from tribal regulations, preseason or inseason.

The impact analyses presented in this document reflect uncertainties and limitations of information available at the time of the March 2013 Council meeting. At this point in the planning cycle, the STT's impact assessments reflect four key assumptions relative to stocks impacted by Canadian and Alaskan fisheries: (1) abundance levels for Canadian Chinook and coho stocks identical to 2012 forecasts; (2) fishing effort for southeast Alaskan (SEAK), north-central British Columbia, and West Coast Vancouver Island (WCVI) fisheries equal to the levels under the 2012 catch ceilings established under the aggregate abundance based management (AABM) provisions of the 2009 PST Agreement, with minimum size
limits identical to those in place for 2012; (3) 2012 observed catch levels and size limits for Canadian fisheries operating under individual stock based management (ISBM) regimes pursuant to the 2009 PST Agreement; and (4) base packages for management of Southern U.S. inside fisheries. In mid-March, U.S. and Canadian fishery managers will exchange information regarding preseason expectations for fisheries and the status of Chinook and coho stocks. Following this exchange, the PSC's Chinook Model will be calibrated by the PSC Chinook Technical Committee to determine the allowable catch ceilings under the 2009 PST Agreement. Abundances and fishery expectations will be adjusted in the Council's fishery planning models prior to the April Council meeting, and inside fisheries will be shaped by state and tribal co-managers both prior to and during the April Council meeting.

The adjustments of stock abundances and fishery expectations, and the shaping of inside fisheries as described above, may result in estimated stock impacts in the final regulations adopted by the Council that differ from those presented in this report. The final regulations adopted by the Council in April are intended to be consistent with Council's Salmon FMP objectives (including rebuilding plans), guidance provided by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), obligations under the PST, and other applicable law. This part of the EA analyzes the range of effects within which the final management measures are expected to fall; however, the final recommendations will be analyzed in Preseason Report III (the final part of this EA), whether or not they fall outside the range of Alternatives analyzed in this Report.

Any Alternative considered for adoption that deviates from Salmon FMP objectives or other applicable laws will require implementation by emergency rule. If an emergency rule appears to be necessary, the Council must clearly identify and justify the need for such an action consistent with emergency criteria established by the Council and NMFS.

### 3.0 SALMON TECHNICAL TEAM CONCERNS

### 3.1 Short Closures

Alternative I for the recreational fishery south of Point Arena, California contains closures for very short periods on a weekly basis (i.e., Monday and Tuesday closed). In quota managed fisheries, such season structures are used primarily to allow for better monitoring of the catch. However, in days-open fisheries, such seasons could contribute to forecasting errors.

Harvest models forecast effort in non-quota fisheries based on the number of open days per month, area, and fishery. These effort forecasts do not specifically account for different patterns of open and closed days within the month. The STT is concerned that very short closures, on the order of 1-3 days per week, will have negligible effects on actual fishing effort. Such season structures could lead to substantial effort forecast errors and under predicted catch and exploitation rates.

### 3.2 Need for Landing Requirements

The STT recommends that landing restrictions be employed to require landings within the area where the fish are caught. Unless such restrictions are adopted, fleet mobility increases the difficulty of inseason management by compromising catch accountability and interpretation of biological data such as genetic stock identification (GSI) samples or coded-wire-tag (CWT) recoveries.

### 4.0 SALMON FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN REQUIREMENTS

The Council's Salmon FMP includes objectives for setting annual management measures to regulate ocean salmon fisheries between the U.S./Canada border and the U.S./Mexico border. The objectives include biological, administrative, and allocation requirements. In recommending final management
measures, the Council attempts to meet all objectives in a fair and balanced manner, while maintaining established priorities.

Biological objectives for stocks originating in the Council area and impacted by Council area ocean fisheries are listed in Table 3-1 of the Salmon FMP. The objectives generally consist of meeting spawning escapement numbers associated with maximum sustainable yield ( $\mathrm{S}_{\text {MSY }}$ ), overfishing limits (OFL), acceptable biological catch (ABC), and annual catch limits (ACL), or exploitation rate limits designed to support recovery of depressed stocks or to rebuild overfished stocks, while encompassing a long term average harvest approximating MSY.

Administrative objectives are requirements for meeting other applicable law outside of the Salmon FMP. These requirements include ESA consultation standards, international treaties, and tribal trust responsibilities. The Salmon FMP defers to NMFS consultation standards for salmon stocks listed under the ESA in regards to biological conservation objectives. Section 5.0 of this document provides greater detail on ESA listed stocks, while impacts of the Council adopted salmon management measures on ESA listed stocks are included in Table 5.

The Salmon FMP requires compliance with relevant terms of the PST. Section 6.0 of this document provides greater detail on PST provisions and stocks, while impacts of the Council adopted salmon management measures on those stocks are included in Table 5.

Treaty trust responsibilities of the Salmon FMP require the Council to abide by Court orders in the U.S. v. Washington (Puget Sound), Hoh v. Baldrige (Washington coast), and U.S. v. Oregon (Columbia River) cases, and the Solicitor General opinion (Klamath River) governing allocation and management of shared salmon resources. Much of the North of Falcon forum is dedicated to annual negotiations establishing allocation among the tribes, non-Indian fishing sectors, and ocean and inside interests. The results of these negotiations allow the Council to complete final management measure recommendations while meeting its biological, administrative, and allocation objectives.

The Columbia River treaty tribes establish periodic management agreements with the state co-managers and Federal agencies. These agreements are approved pursuant to provisions of U.S. v. Oregon procedures. Recent agreements have included an entitlement for the treaty tribes of 50 percent of the coho return destined for areas upstream from Bonneville Dam. Council area fisheries are shaped in order to meet this requirement in some years.

The Yurok and Hoopa Valley tribes are entitled to 50 percent of the total Klamath River fall Chinook (KRFC) harvest, which is calculated as a harvest of KRFC equal to that taken in all non-Indian fisheries. The Council must account for all harvest impacts when assessing the achievement of KRFC conservation objectives.

In addition to the allocation objectives associated with sharing between treaty Indian and non-Indian sectors, the Salmon FMP includes formulas for sharing Chinook and coho quotas north of Cape Falcon between commercial and recreational sectors, and among recreational port subareas, and for coho south of Cape Falcon between commercial and recreational sectors. Alternatives for the 2013 salmon management measures adopted by the Council meet the allocation requirements for fisheries north of Cape Falcon in the Salmon FMP. There are insufficient coho available for directed commercial harvest south of Cape Falcon; therefore, the FMP allocation schedule guidance is to determine allocation during the preseason process.

### 5.0 SPECIES LISTED UNDER THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT

Since 1989, NMFS listed the following 17 Evolutionarily Significant Units (ESUs) of salmon under the ESA:

| ESU | Status | Federal Register Notice |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Most Recent |  | Original Listing |  |
| Chinook |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sacramento River Winter | Endangered | 76 FR 50447 | 8/15/2011 | 54 FR 32085 | 8/1/1989 |
| Snake River Fall | Threatened | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 57 FR 14653 | 4/22/1992 |
| Snake River Spring/Summer | Threatened | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 57 FR 14653 | 4/22/1992 |
| Puget Sound | Threatened | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 64 FR 14308 | 3/24/1999 |
| Low er Columbia River | Threatened | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 64 FR 14308 | 3/24/1999 |
| Upper Willamette River | Threatened | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 64 FR 14308 | 3/24/1999 |
| Upper Columbia River Spring | Endangered | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 64 FR 14308 | 3/24/1999 |
| Central Valley Spring | Threatened | 76 FR 50447 | 8/15/2011 | 64 FR 50394 | 9/16/1999 |
| California Coastal | Threatened | 76 FR 50447 | 8/15/2011 | 64 FR 50394 | 9/16/1999 |
| Chum |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hood Canal Summer-Run | Threatened | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 64 FR 14508 | 3/25/1999 |
| Columbia River | Threatened | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 64 FR 14508 | 3/25/1999 |
| Coho |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central California Coastal | Endangered | 76 FR 50447 | 8/15/2011 | 61 FR 56138 | 10/31/1996 |
| S. Oregon/ N. California Coastal | Threatened | 76 FR 50447 | 8/15/2011 | 62 FR 24588 | 5/6/1997 |
| Oregon Coastal | Threatened | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 63 FR 42587 | 8/10/1998 |
| Low er Columbia River | Threatened | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 |  |  |
| Sockeye |  |  |  |  |  |
| Snake River | Endangered | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 56 FR 58619 | 11/20/1991 |
| Ozette Lake | Threatened | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 64 FR 14528 | 3/25/1999 |

As the listings have occurred, NMFS has initiated formal consultations and issued biological opinions (BOs) that consider the impacts resulting from implementation of the Salmon FMP, or from annual management measures, to listed salmonid species. NMFS has also reinitiated consultation on certain ESUs when new information has become available on the status of the stocks or on the impacts of the Salmon FMP on the stocks. The consultation standards referred to in this document include (1) reasonable and prudent alternatives, (2) conservation objectives for which NMFS conducted Section 7 consultations and arrived at a no-jeopardy conclusion, and (3) NMFS requirements under Section 4(d) determinations.

A list of current BOs in effect, the species they apply to, and their duration follows:

| Date | Evolutionarily Significant Unit covered and effective period |
| :---: | :--- |
| 8-Mar-96 | Snake River spring/summer and fall Chinook and sockeye (until reinitiated) |
| 28-Apr-99 | Oregon Coastal natural coho, Southern Oregon/ Northern California coastal coho, Central California coastal coho (until <br> reinitiated) <br> Central Valley spring Chinook (until reinitiated) |
| 27-Apr-00 | Hood Canal summer chum 4(d) limit (until reinitiated) |
| 30-Apr-01 | Upper Willamette Chinook, Upper Columbia spring Chinook, Lake Ozette sockeye, Columbia River chum, and 10 steelhead <br> 20-Apr-10Sacramento River winter Chinook (until reinitiated) <br> 30-Apr-04Puget Sound Chinook (until reinitiated) <br> 13-Jun-05California coastal Chinook (until reinitiated) <br> 28-Apr-08Lower Columbia River natural coho (until reinitiated) <br> 26-Apr-12 Lower Columbia River Chinook (until reinitiated) |

Amendment 12 to the Salmon FMP added the generic category "species listed under the ESA" to the list of stocks in the salmon management unit and modified respective escapement goals to include "manage consistent with NMFS jeopardy standards or recovery plans to meet immediate conservation needs and
long-term recovery of the species." Amendment 14 specified those listed ESUs and clarified which stocks in the FMP management unit were representative of the ESUs.

In a letter received by the Council on February 28, 2013, NMFS provided guidance on protective measures for species listed under the ESA during the 2013 fishing season. The letter summarized the requirements of NMFS' BOs on the effects of potential actions under the salmon FMP on listed salmon and provided the anticipated consultation standards of the BOs in preparation for the 2013 management season, as well as further guidance and recommendations for the 2013 management season.

The ESA consultation standards, exploitation rates, and other criteria in place for the 2013 management season are presented in Table 5. Some listed stocks are either rarely caught in Council fisheries (e.g., spring Chinook from the upper Columbia River) or already receive sufficient protection from other salmon FMP and ESA standards (e.g., Central Valley spring Chinook). NMFS has determined that management actions designed to limit catch from these ESUs, beyond what will be provided by harvest constraints for other stocks, are not necessary.

Of the listed Chinook and coho, Council-managed fisheries have a substantive impacts on Sacramento River winter Chinook (SRWC), Central Valley spring Chinook, California coastal Chinook, Snake River wild (SRW) fall Chinook, lower Columbia River (LCR) fall Chinook, and all of the coho stocks. Additional listed salmonid ESUs found within the Council area, but not substantively impacted by Council managed fisheries, include:

## Chinook

Snake River spring/summer (threatened)
Upper Willamette (threatened)

Sockeye
Snake River (endangered)

Chum
Columbia River (threatened)

Steelhead
Southern California (endangered)
South-central California coast (threatened)
Upper Columbia River (endangered)
Middle Columbia River (threatened)
Snake River Basin (threatened)
Puget Sound (threatened)

Puget Sound (threatened)
Upper Columbia River spring (endangered)

Ozette Lake Sockeye (threatened)

Hood Canal summer (threatened)

Central Valley, California (threatened)
Central California coast (threatened)
Upper Willamette River (threatened)
Lower Columbia River (threatened)
Northern California (threatened)

### 6.0 OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE PACIFIC SALMON TREATY

In 1985 the PST was signed, setting long-term goals for the benefit of the shared salmon resources of the United States and Canada. The PSC is the body formed by the governments of Canada and the United States to implement the Pacific Salmon Treaty.

### 6.1 Chinook Salmon Management

A new agreement under the PST was negotiated in 2008 and formally accepted by both the U.S. and Canada in December of 2008. This new agreement took effect on January 1, 2009, and includes a 30 percent reduction in the catch ceilings for AABM fisheries off the West Coast Vancouver Island and a 15 percent reduction in the catch ceilings for AABM fisheries in Southeast Alaska Chinook relative to the catch ceilings in effect for these fisheries since 1999. Under the terms of the 2009 PST Agreement, Council fisheries for Chinook salmon continue to be subject to the ISBM provisions of Annex 4, Chapter 3, adopted in 1999. These provisions require the combined adult equivalent (AEQ) exploitation rate by
all U.S. fisheries south of the U.S./Canada border be reduced by 40 percent from the 1979-1982 base period for a specified set of Chinook indicator stocks, substantively impacted in U.S. ISBM fisheries, if they are not expected to achieve their management objectives.

Many Chinook stocks of concern to the Council are affected by fisheries off Canada and Alaska. Maximum allowable catches by AABM fishery complexes off the WCVI, Northern British Columbia, and Southeast Alaska are determined through the annual calibration of the PSC Chinook Model. Canadian fisheries that are not included in AABM complexes are managed under ISBM constraints, which require a 36.5 percent reduction in AEQ exploitation rates relative to the 1979-1982 base period on specified Chinook indicator stocks that are not expected to achieve their management objectives. Expectations for Canadian and Alaskan fisheries harvest and stock abundance forecasts are incorporated into the Chinook Fishery Regulation Assessment Model (FRAM) to estimate total exploitation rate impacts from all marine fisheries (Table 5).

Key considerations for Canadian domestic fishery management for Chinook in 2013 include, (1) meeting domestic conservation obligations for WCVI, Strait of Georgia, and Fraser River spring stocks; (2) Chinook harvests by native fisheries; and (3) incidental impacts during commercial and native fisheries directed at sockeye, and chum salmon. It is anticipated that the details of the fishery regulatory package off WCVI will be driven by levels of allowable impact on WCVI and Lower Strait of Georgia Chinook and Interior Fraser (Thompson River) coho.

### 6.2 Coho Salmon Management

In 2002, the PSC adopted a management plan for coho salmon originating in Washington and Southern British Columbia river systems. The plan is directed at the conservation of key management units, four from Southern British Columbia (Interior Fraser, Lower Fraser, Strait of Georgia Mainland, and Strait of Georgia Vancouver Island) and nine from Washington (Skagit, Stillaguamish, Snohomish, Hood Canal, Strait of Juan de Fuca, Quillayute, Hoh, Queets, and Grays Harbor). Exploitation rate limits for intercepting fisheries are established for individual management units through formulas specified in the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan, and are based on total allowable fishery exploitation rates.

The categorical status of U.S. coho management units is reported to comply with obligations pursuant to the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan. Categorical status is employed by the PSC under the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan to indicate general ranges of allowable total exploitation rates for U.S. and Canadian coho management units. Three categories are employed: low (total exploitation rate less than 20 percent), moderate (total exploitation rate 20 percent to 40 percent), and abundant (total exploitation rate greater than 40 percent). For the Puget Sound management units, the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan uses the thresholds and stepped harvest rate goals from the Comprehensive Coho Agreement, developed by Washington and the Puget Sound tribes, and adopted by the Council as FMP conservation objectives in November 2009. Actual exploitation rate constraints for Canadian fisheries on U.S. coho management units are determined by formulas that specify sharing of allowable exploitation rates and a "composite rule." The composite rule adjusts constraints for Canadian fishery exploitation rates based on the number of U.S. management units which fall in a given category. For example, if only one Washington coastal coho management unit is in low status, Canadian fisheries are constrained to a total exploitation rate on that unit of 12 percent; if two or more Washington coastal management units are in low status, the constraint becomes 10 percent. The most restrictive exploitation rate limit for Canadian fishery impacts on U.S. coho management units is 10 percent.

For Washington coastal coho management units, a range is reported for the allowable exploitation rates based on the relationship between the pre-season abundance forecast and the upper and lower values of the spawning escapement ranges corresponding to MSY production. Maximum exploitation rates are
computed using the lower end of the escapement range and minimum exploitation rates are computed using the upper end of the escapement range. For purposes of reporting the categorical status, an allowable exploitation rate is computed using the mid-point of the MSY escapement range. However, the maximum allowable exploitation rate allowed under the PST is 65 percent.

For 2013, Puget Sound and Washington coast coho constraints are as follows:

| PST Southern Coho Management Plan |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Management Unit | ${\text { Total Exploitation Rate Constraint }{ }^{\text {a/ }}}^{\text {Categorical Status }^{\mathrm{b} /}}$ |  |
| Skagit | $60 \%$ | Abundant |
| Stillaguamish | $50 \%$ | Abundant |
| Snohomish | $60 \%$ | Abundant |
| Hood Canal | $45 \%$ | Moderate |
| Strait of Juan de Fuca | $40 \%$ | Moderate |
| Quillayute Fall | $40 \%$ | Moderate |
| Hoh | $65 \%$ | Abundant |
| Queets | $65 \%$ | Abundant |
| Grays Harbor | $65 \%$ | Abundant |

a/ Preliminary. For Puget Sound and Washington Coast management units, the exploitation rate constraints reflect application of the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan.
b/ Categories (abundant, moderate, low) correspond to the general exploitation rate ranges depicted in paragraph 3(a) of the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan. For Washington Coast stocks, categorical status is determined by taking the midpoint of the range of exploitation rates associated with achieving the escapement goal ranges. The exploitation rate ranges are based on preseason abundance forecasts and the upper and lower ends of the escapement goal ranges. Maximum exploitation rates are computed using the low er end of the escapement range; minimum exploitation rates are computed using the upper end of the escapement range.

Key considerations for Canadian fishery management for coho in 2013 are expected to include, (1) meeting domestic conservation obligations for Interior Fraser (including Thompson River) coho; (2) coho harvests by First Nations fisheries; (3) incidental impacts during commercial and First Nations fisheries directed at pink, Chinook, sockeye, and chum salmon; and (4) the desire to provide increased opportunity for sport fisheries through mark-selective retention regulations. The Canadian fishery regimes affecting coho will be driven by Canadian domestic allowable impacts on the Thompson River component of the Interior Fraser management unit (in previous years, Canadian fisheries were managed so as not to exceed a three percent maximum exploitation rate).

The projected status of Canadian coho management units in 2013 indicates continuing concerns for the condition of Interior Fraser coho. The Interior Fraser coho management unit is anticipated to remain in low status, resulting in a requirement to constrain the total mortality fishery exploitation rate for 2013 Southern U.S. fisheries to a maximum of 10.0 percent.

### 7.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE ALTERNATIVES

The No-Action Alternative and its impacts are described in the first part of this EA (Preseason Report I, Chapter V). Detailed information on the proposed ocean salmon regulation Alternatives are presented in Tables 1 (non-Indian commercial), 2 (recreational), and 3 (treaty Indian). Notable changes from recent seasons are highlighted below.

### 7.1 Commercial

Alternatives for the area north of Cape Falcon reflect a lower relative abundance of Chinook and a slightly higher relative abundance of coho compared to 2012, with low abundance of Lower Columbia River hatchery coho. In 2013, allowable catch of Chinook will likely be decreased due to a lower relative abundance of LCR natural tule Chinook with an exploitation rate limit identical to 2012. Coho catch quotas will be similar to 2012.

All Alternatives north of Cape Falcon assign two-thirds of the troll Chinook quota to the May-June Chinook directed fishery. In all Alternatives, the May-June fishery opens initially seven days per week with no landing and possession limit. The summer all-salmon fisheries for all Alternatives include Chinook and coho landing and possession limits. Coho retention regulations are similar to recent years, except that Alternative I includes a possible non-mark-selective period after September 1 if sufficient quota remains.

Large Sacramento River fall Chinook (SRFC) and KRFC abundance forecasts will allow for substantial commercial fishing opportunity south of Cape Falcon in 2013. Constraints on commercial fishing opportunity in this region include the California coastal Chinook consultation standard that limits the KRFC age-4 ocean harvest rate to a maximum of 16 percent and the exploitation rate limit on ESA listed LCR tule Chinook. Commercial fisheries south of Point Arena will also be constrained by the maximum allowable age-3 impact rate of 12.9 percent on ESA listed SRWC.

For the North and Central Oregon coast south of Cape Falcon, all Alternatives for Chinook fisheries open on April 1 and generally run through October. All Alternatives have weekly landing and possession limits for the months of September and October.

For the Oregon Klamath Management Zone (KMZ), all Alternatives have April and May open, and then have monthly quota fisheries with daily landing and possession limits for June, July, and August. All Alternatives also allow transfer of unused or exceeded quota to subsequent quota periods through August on an impact neutral basis. Alternatives II and III have quota fisheries in September with daily landing and possession limits.

For the California KMZ, Alternatives I and III are limited to September quota fisheries. Alternative II features monthly quotas with daily landing and possession limits for May through September. Inseason transfer of unused or exceeded quota to subsequent quota periods through August on an impact neutral basis may occur. The May and June quotas in Alternative II would represent the first commercial fisheries during these months in the California KMZ since the late 1980s.

All Alternatives in the Fort Bragg area include open fisheries for portions of May through September with differences in the allocation of fishing opportunity for the months of May, June, and July. May and June fisheries in Fort Bragg have occurred very infrequently since the late 1980s.

In the San Francisco and Monterey areas, the fishery will open in May and run through September, with closures in June and July that vary in timing and duration among the Alternatives. The October fall area target zone fishery from Point Reyes to Point San Pedro is included in all Alternatives.

### 7.2 Recreational

In the area between the U.S. Canada Border and the Queets River, Alternatives I and II include Chinook directed recreational fisheries in May and June; Alternatives I and II include Chinook directed recreational fisheries in June only in the area between the Queets River and Cape Falcon. Both Alternatives have an area-wide mark-selective Chinook quota.

Alternatives I and II for subareas north of the Queets River are open seven days per week, Alternative III is open five days per week. For the Westport subarea, all Alternatives are open five days per week; the Grays Harbor Control Zone is open all season in Alternatives I and II due to the high forecast of Grays Harbor coho. For the Columbia River subarea, all Alternatives are open seven days per week. In Alternative III in all subareas, beginning September 1, any remaining subarea coho quota converts to non-mark-selective coho retention.

For the North and Central Oregon coast south of Cape Falcon, all Alternatives for Chinook fisheries open March 15 and run through October. All Alternatives have a mark-selective coho quota fishery in July including the Oregon KMZ area and a non-mark-selective coho fishery in September for the Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt. area. Non-mark-selective coho quotas are being considered because of the relatively high Oregon Coast natural (OCN) coho and moderate Oregon Production Index (OPI) hatchery coho forecasts, which tend to reduce expected mark rates and increase the number of release mortalities on natural stocks. A modeling run was performed for Alternative I to assess fishery impacts from a potential rollover of coho from the Cape Falcon to Oregon/California Border hatchery mark-selective recreational fishery in July to the Cape Falcon to Humbug Mountain non-mark-selective recreational fishery in September. Alternative I was modeled with the entire 12,000 marked coho quota in July rolled into the 16,000 non-mark-selective coho quota in September. The resulting 28,000 non-mark-selective coho quota in September in this simulation did not result in an increase to the projected impacts for LCN coho, but impacts for OCN coho increased by 2.5 percent for a total of 24.0 percent. The primary purpose of this preseason modeling exercise was to quantify the impact of a potential future inseason rollover action to ensure that Alternative I would remain impact neutral on the most limiting stock (LCN coho), would remain under the preseason expected exploitation rate for OCN coho ( 24 percent), and meets the OCN coho ESA consultation standard of less than 30 percent should any or all of the July quota be rolled into the September fishery.

Chinook fishing in both the Oregon and California KMZ will run at least from Memorial Day weekend through Labor Day (Alternative III). Alternatives I and II allow for longer seasons, beginning earlier in May and lasting later into September. Minimum size limits range from 22 to 24 inches in the Oregon KMZ and 20 to 24 inches in the California KMZ.

South of the KMZ, the season will begin on April 6. In the Fort Bragg area, the seasons extend into October or November, depending on the Alternative, with a 20 inch minimum size limit. For both the San Francisco and Monterey areas, Alternative I specifies a 24 inch size limit for the entire season, which would run through either November 10 (San Francisco) or October 6 (Monterey). Fishing would be limited to Wednesday through Sunday between the dates of June 1 and July 9, and seven days per week outside of this June/July period. For Alternative II, the San Francisco area season duration is the same as Alternative I, but fishing would be allowed for seven days per week and the minimum size limit would be reduced from 24 to 20 inches on August 1. In the Monterey area, Alternative II would also feature seven days per week fishing, but the size limits would be 24 inches in April and May, 26 inches in June and July, and 20 inches from August through October. For Alternative III, the San Francisco and Monterey areas would have the same season start and end dates as the other Alternatives, with the exception of a five day closure for San Francisco in June and a 17 day closure for Monterey in July. The size limits under this Alternative would be 24 inches through July and 20 inches thereafter.

### 7.3 Treaty Indian

Alternatives are generally similar in structure and quotas to recent years. All Alternatives have the provision that if the Chinook quota for the May-June fishery is exceeded, the excess will be deducted from the later all-salmon season.

### 8.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ANALYSIS OF IMPACTS

Based on National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Administrative Order (NAO) 2166 Section 6.02, the affected environment may consist of the following components:

- Target (FMP) species
- Social or economic environments
- Non-target species
- Essential Fish Habitat
- Public health or safety
- ESA listed (non-salmon) species or critical habitat
- Marine mammals
- Biodiversity or ecosystem function


### 8.1 Salmon Stocks in the Fishery

Target stocks include Chinook, coho, and pink salmon stocks identified in Appendix A, Table A-1 of Preseason Report I (Part 1 of this EA; PFMC 2013b), which includes several ESA listed Chinook and coho stocks. These ESA listed stocks are not targeted in Council area salmon fisheries, but are included in the analysis of effects on target species because they are impacted coincidentally with targeted salmon stocks and frequently constrain access to targeted stocks. Environmental impacts to other ESA listed species (e.g., marine mammals) from the Alternatives will be analyzed in a later section of this EA.

A description of the historical baseline for this component of the affected environment is presented in the Review of 2012 Ocean Salmon Fisheries (PFMC 2013a). A more general description of salmon life history and population characteristics is presented in PFMC 2006. The current status (2013 ocean abundance forecasts) of the environmental components expected to be affected by the 2013 ocean salmon fisheries regulation Alternatives (FMP salmon stocks) are described in PFMC 2013b. The criteria used to evaluate whether there are significant effects from the Alternatives on target stocks are achievement of conservation objectives, rebuilding criteria, and ESA consultation standards for salmon FMP stocks. The Salmon FMP conservation objectives are based on the best available science and are intended to prevent overfishing while achieving optimum yield from West Coast salmon fisheries as required by the MSA. The ESA consultation standards are likewise based on the best available science and are intended to ensure that fishery impacts do not appreciably reduce the likelihood of survival and recovery of listed species in the wild. FMP conservation objectives also include criteria for rebuilding overfished stocks. Therefore conservation objectives and consultation standards are appropriate indicators for determining the significance of fishery management actions referred to in NAO 216-6, Section 6.02.

### 8.1.1 Chinook Salmon

### 8.1.1.1 North of Cape Falcon

Abundance projections important to Chinook harvest management north of Cape Falcon in 2013 are:

- Columbia River hatchery tules. Combined production of Lower River Hatchery (LRH) and Spring Creek Hatchery (SCH) stocks returning to the Columbia River is predicted to be 126,000, which is lower than the 2012 preseason expectation of 190,800. The 2013 LRH forecast abundance is 88,000 , lower than the forecast of 127,000 in 2011. The 2013 SCH forecast abundance is 38,000 , which is lower than last year's forecast of 63,800 .

The primary Chinook salmon management objectives shaping the Alternatives north of Cape Falcon are:

- NMFS consultation standards and annual guidance for ESA listed stocks as provided in Section 5.0 above. Relevant stocks for the area north of Cape Falcon include LCR natural tule Chinook, Columbia Lower River Wild (LRW) fall Chinook, and SRW fall Chinook.

Fishery quotas under the Alternatives are presented in Table 4. Stock-specific management criteria and their forecast values under the Alternatives are provided in Table 5. Projected fishery landings, bycatch, and bycatch mortality under the Alternatives are summarized in Table 6. Table 7 provides a breakdown
of impacts by fishery and area for LCR natural tule Chinook. Descriptions pertaining to the achievement of key objectives for Chinook salmon management north of Cape Falcon are found below.

- LCR natural tule fall Chinook. The Alternative 1 exploitation rate of 43.3 percent exceeds the 41.0 percent NMFS consultation standard maximum for all fisheries. The exploitation rates in Alternatives II and III are less than the maximum, assuming river fisheries are structured similarly to last year. Additional shaping of PSC fisheries prior to the April Council meeting may result in Alternative I reaching compliance with the ESA consultation standard. LCR tules are the constraining Chinook stock for fisheries north of Cape Falcon in 2013.
- LRW fall Chinook: Alternatives have projected spawning escapements of at least 14,000 adults in the North Fork Lewis River, which exceeds the ESA consultation standard of an adult spawning escapement of at least 5,700 in the North Fork Lewis River. LRW Chinook will not constrain ocean fisheries north of Cape Falcon in 2013.
- SRW fall Chinook. Alternatives have ocean exploitation rates of 53.3 percent or less of the base period exploitation rates, which is less than the ESA consultation standard of no more than 70 percent of the 1988-1993 base period exploitation rate for all ocean fisheries. SRW Chinook will not constrain ocean fisheries north of Cape Falcon in 2013.

All of the Alternatives for Chinook fisheries north of Cape Falcon satisfy NMFS ESA consultation standards and guidance, FMP conservation objectives, and all other objectives for relevant Chinook stocks other than those listed above (Table 5).

### 8.1.1.2 South of Cape Falcon

Status of Chinook stocks important to 2013 Chinook harvest management south of Cape Falcon are:

- SRFC. The Sacramento Index (SI) forecast is 834,200 , which is slightly higher than the average postseason-estimated SI for years 1983-2012.
- KRFC. The age- 3 forecast is 390,700 KRFC, which is above average. The age- 4 forecast is 331,200 fish, which is well above average; since 1985 the postseason estimate of age-4 abundance has only exceeded this level once. The age- 5 forecast is 5,700 . Last year's preseason forecast was 1,567,600 age-3, 79,600 age-4, and 4,600 age-5 fish.
- SRWC. No abundance forecast is made for this stock. The geometric mean of the most recent three years of escapement is 1,521 fish. The geometric mean of the previous three years of escapement has been in decline since 2007.

Key Chinook salmon management objectives shaping the Alternatives south of Cape Falcon are:

- NMFS consultation standards and annual guidance for ESA listed stocks as provided in Section 5.0 above. Relevant stocks for the area south of Cape Falcon include SRWC, California coastal Chinook, SRW fall Chinook, and LCR natural tule Chinook.
- SRFC hatchery and natural-area spawner escapement goal of 122,000 to 180,000 adults (FMP conservation objective). Fisheries must also be designed to achieve, in expectation, an escapement greater than or equal to the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$. For 2013, the preseason $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ is 250,262 hatchery and natural area adult spawners.
- KRFC natural area spawning escapement of at least 40,700 adults, a spawner reduction rate not to exceed 68 percent (FMP conservation objective), and 50:50 tribal-non-tribal sharing of adult harvest (Department of Interior Solicitor Opinion). Fisheries must be designed to achieve, in expectation, an escapement greater than or equal to the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$. For 2013, the preseason $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ is 73,751 natural area adult spawners.

Fishery quotas under the Alternatives are presented in Table 4. Stock-specific management criteria and their forecast values under the Alternatives are provided in Table 5. Projected fishery landings, bycatch, and bycatch mortality under the Alternatives are summarized in Table 6. Table 7 provides a breakdown of impacts by fishery and area for LCR tule Chinook. Appendix A presents tables of SRWC impacts and age-4 KRFC harvest, by fishery/time/area under the three Alternatives. Descriptions pertaining to the achievement of key objectives for Chinook salmon management south of Cape Falcon are found below.

- California coastal Chinook. The ESA consultation standard that limits the forecast KRFC age-4 ocean harvest rate to a maximum of 16.0 percent is met by each of the Alternatives.
- SRWC. The ESA consultation standard that (1) limits the forecast age-3 impact rate in 2013 fisheries south of Point Arena to a maximum of 12.9 percent and (2) specifies time/area closures and minimum size limit constraints south of Point Arena, is met by each of the Alternatives.
- KRFC. The preseason $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ of 73,751 natural area adult spawners, as well as the conservation objective, is met by each of the Alternatives.
- SRFC. The preseason $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ of 250,262 hatchery and natural area adult spawners, as well as the conservation objective, is met by each of the Alternatives.
- LCR natural tule fall Chinook. The Alternative 1 exploitation rate of 43.3 percent exceeds the 41.0 percent NMFS consultation standard maximum for all fisheries. The exploitation rates in Alternatives II and III are less than the maximum, assuming river fisheries are structured similarly to last year. Additional shaping of PSC fisheries prior to the April Council meeting may result in Alternative I reaching compliance with the ESA consultation standard.
- SRW fall Chinook. SRW Chinook will not constrain ocean fisheries south of Cape Falcon in 2013.

All of the Alternatives for Chinook fisheries south of Cape Falcon satisfy NMFS ESA consultation standards and guidance, FMP conservation objectives, and all other objectives for relevant Chinook stocks other than those listed above (Table 5).

### 8.1.2 Coho Salmon

Abundance projections important to coho harvest management in Council area fisheries are:

- OPI Hatchery coho. The 2013 forecast for hatchery coho from the Columbia River and the coast south of Cape Falcon of 525,400 is higher than the 2012 forecast of 341,700 . The Columbia River early coho forecast is 331,600 compared to the 2012 forecast of 229,800 and the Columbia River late coho forecast is 169,500 , compared to the 2012 forecast of 87,400 .
- OCN coho. The 2013 OCN forecast is 191,000 compared to the 2012 forecast of 291,000.
- LCN coho. The 2013 LCN forecast is 46,500 compared to the 2012 forecast of 30,100.
- Puget Sound coho. Among Puget Sound natural stocks, Skagit, Snohomish, and Stillaguamish are in the normal category in 2013, and Hood Canal and Strait of Juan de Fuca are in the low category.
- Interior Fraser (Thompson River) coho. This Canadian stock continues to be depressed, and will continue to constrain 2013 ocean coho fisheries north of Cape Falcon.

Key coho salmon management objectives shaping the Alternatives are:

- NMFS consultation standards and annual guidance for ESA listed stocks as provided in Section 5.0 above. Relevant stocks include Central California Coast coho (south of the Oregon/California border), Southern Oregon/Northern California Coastal (SONCC) coho, OCN coho, and LCN coho. Based on this guidance, the maximum allowable exploitation rates for 2013 are: a combined marine/freshwater exploitation rate not to exceed 30.0 percent for OCN coho, a combined exploitation rate in marine-area and mainstem Columbia River fisheries not to exceed 15.0 percent for LCN coho, and a marine exploitation rate not to exceed 13.0 percent for Rogue/Klamath hatchery coho, used as a surrogate for the SONCC coho ESU. Furthermore, coho retention is prohibited in all California ocean fisheries.
- Salmon FMP conservation objectives and obligations under the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan for stocks originating along the Washington coast, Puget Sound, and British Columbia as provided in Section 6.2 above. Because of the generally favorable forecasts for coho stocks in 2013, Interior Fraser and Hood Canal coho are the only key management stocks for ocean fisheries north of Cape Falcon. The majority of the exploitation on these stocks occur in Puget Sound and will be addressed in development of fishing seasons for inside waters during the North of Falcon co-management process by the State and Tribes prior to the April Council meeting. Because of their abundance status, Interior Fraser coho are subject to an exploitation rate ceiling of 10.0 percent in southern U.S. fisheries under the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan. The allowable harvest rate on Hood Canal coho is 45\% in 2013.

Fishery quotas under the Alternatives are presented in Table 4. Stock-specific management criteria and their forecast values under the Alternatives are provided in Table 5. Projected fishery landings, bycatch, and bycatch mortality under the Alternatives are summarized in Table 6. Table 7 provides a breakdown of impacts by fishery and area for LCN, OCN, and RK coho. Table 8 provides expected coho mark rates for west coast fisheries by month.

- LCN coho. Alternatives II and III satisfy the maximum 15.0 percent exploitation rate when 2013 projected marine impacts are combined with the 2012 preseason modeled impacts for mainstem Columbia River fisheries. Marine exploitation rates projected for 2013 Alternatives range from 11.7 percent in Alternative I to 9.5 percent in Alternative III. However, exploitation rates in ocean fisheries and the mainstem Columbia River fisheries combined are limited to 15.0 percent and further shaping will occur before final management measures are adopted.
- Hood Canal coho. Southern U.S. exploitation rates in all Alternatives exceed the 45.0 percent maximum required by the PST Southern Coho Management Plan. Shaping of the State and Tribal inside fisheries will occur during the North of Falcon process, and ocean fisheries may require further shaping before final management measures are adopted in order to comply with the PST limit.
- Interior Fraser coho. Southern U.S. exploitation rates in all Alternatives exceed the 10.0 percent maximum required by the PST Southern Coho Management Plan. Shaping of the State and Tribal inside fisheries will occur during the North of Falcon process, and ocean fisheries may require further shaping before final management measures are adopted in order to comply with the PST limit.

All of the Alternatives for coho fisheries satisfy NMFS ESA consultation standards and guidance, FMP conservation objectives, and all other objectives for relevant coho stocks other than those listed above (Table 5).

### 8.1.3 Pink Salmon

Pink salmon are sufficiently abundant to merit management consideration in 2013. Impacts on Chinook and coho in pink-directed fisheries may be part of negotiations to reach a final agreement in North of Cape Falcon ocean and Puget Sound fisheries.

### 8.1.4 Summary of Environmental Impacts on Stocks in the FMP

Stock forecasts for some Canadian stocks and the actual PST limits on AABM fisheries are not known at this time, and preliminary values have been used in the analyses presented in this report. These forecasts and limits will be available prior to the April Council meeting. Negotiations in the North of Falcon process will not be completed until the April Council meeting. These negotiations affect allocation of stock impacts primarily among inside fisheries (State, Tribal, recreational, various commercial sectors, etc.) but also between inside and ocean fisheries.

Environmental impacts on salmon stocks are assessed based on compliance with conservation objectives, ACLs, rebuilding plans, and ESA consultation standards. As noted in the description of the Alternatives (Tables 1, 2, and 3), if analyses using the updated values and the results of these negotiations do not result in compliance with FMP conservation objectives or ESA consultation standards, some Alternatives will not be viable and impacts in Council-area fisheries will need to be reduced to comply with all applicable objectives and standards. If updated values and negotiations result in compliance with applicable objectives and standards, Council area fishery impacts would not increase; therefore, the analysis of effects would include the upper bound of a reasonable range of effects under the Alternatives considered for 2013 Council area salmon fisheries.

### 8.1.4.1 Targeted Salmon Stocks

Based on current assumptions regarding Canadian, Alaskan, and inside fishery impacts, all target salmon stocks (non-ESA listed) meet their FMP conservation objectives under Alternatives I, II, and III except Interior Fraser (Thompson River) coho (Table 5). Impacts in Council area fisheries alone are well below maximum allowed exploitation rates for Interior Fraser coho, and further shaping of inside fisheries will be required to comply with the PST Southern Coho Management Plan.

### 8.1.4.2 ESA Listed Salmon Stocks

Based on current assumptions regarding Canadian, Alaskan, and inside fishery impacts, all ESA listed salmon stocks meet their ESA consultation standards under Alternative I except LCR natural tule Chinook and the ocean exploitation rate for LCN coho under Alternative I, which, when combined with 2012 preseason freshwater harvest rates, will exceed the total allowable exploitation rate of 15.0 percent (Table 5). Impacts in ocean fisheries alone are less than the maximum allowed exploitation rates for both stocks; however, under current assumptions for northern and inside fisheries, total exploitation rates exceed the allowed rates. Further shaping of Canadian, Alaskan, and inside fisheries may result in compliance with the ESA consultation standard; however, additional restrictions to Council area fisheries may be necessary to meet both consultation standards and inside fishery needs.

ESA consultation standards are met for all stocks under Alternative II; however, additional restrictions to Council area fisheries may be necessary to meet both ESA consultation standards for LCN coho and inside fishery needs (Table 5). Impacts on LCN coho necessary to prosecute Columbia River mainstem fisheries have not yet been estimated, although currently available impacts under Alternative II are greater than the impacts allocated in 2012.

ESA consultation standards are met for all stocks under Alternative III and impacts on LCN coho available to shape Columbia River mainstem fisheries are greater than the impacts allocated in 2012 (Table 5).

Council-area fisheries have a minor impact on ESA-listed Puget Sound Chinook and on most Chinook stocks subject to the 2009 PST Agreement. At this point there appears to be sufficient flexibility within Council and inside area fisheries as a whole to achieve protection for the Puget Sound Chinook ESU.

### 8.2 Socioeconomics

In general the Council manages the salmon fishery to meet escapement objectives for rebuilding stocks and stocks that are expected to achieve optimum yields. While analysis of biological impacts is organized around salmon stocks that spawn in particular rivers, socioeconomic impacts under the regulatory Alternatives are analyzed by ocean fishery management areas as described in the Salmon FMP. These areas correlate to some extent with the ocean distribution of salmon stocks, although the various stocks are mixed in offshore waters. From north to south, the fishery management areas are (1) from the U.S./Canada border to Cape Falcon ( $45^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.), which is on the Oregon coast south of the Columbia River mouth; (2) between Cape Falcon and Humbug Mountain ( $42^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ N. lat.) on Oregon’s southern coast; (3) the Klamath Management Zone, which covers ocean waters from Humbug Mountain in southern Oregon to Horse Mountain ( $40^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.) in northern California; and (4) from Horse Mountain to the U.S./Mexico border. There are also numerous subdivisions within these areas that are used to further balance stock conservation and harvest allocation needs. A map of the boundaries of these areas, also showing the main salmon ports, appears on the inside back cover of this report. The following analysis of impacts on fishing communities is organized around these broad management areas.

The Review of 2012 Ocean Salmon Fisheries (PFMC 2013a) provides an historical description of the salmon fishery affected environment. In addition to stock status assessments, the document reports socioeconomic impacts of historical fisheries and analyzes the current socioeconomic status of West Coast salmon fisheries. For the purpose of characterizing the economic impact of Council-area ocean salmon fisheries, commercial exvessel value and community level personal income impacts resulting from economic activity generated by commercial salmon landings and recreational angler fishing trips are used.

The short-term economic effects of the proposed Alternatives for non-Indian fisheries are shown in Tables 9 and 10. Table 9 shows projected commercial troll impacts expressed in terms of estimated potential exvessel value. Table 10 shows projected recreational fisheries impacts in terms of the number of projected angler-trips and community personal income impacts generated by those activities. Note that exvessel values shown under the Alternatives for the commercial troll fishery in Table 9 and income impact values shown for the recreational fishery in Table 10 are not directly comparable. More directly comparable measures of short-term economic impacts from commercial and recreational salmon fisheries appear in Figures 1 and 2, which show estimated community income impacts under the commercial troll and recreational fishery Alternatives, respectively, compared to historic impacts in inflation-adjusted dollars. These income impacts are estimates of the total amount of personal income generated by the economic linkages associated with fisheries activities. Although reductions in income impacts may not
necessarily measure net losses in a community, they do likely indicate losses to businesses and individuals engaged in fisheries activities for livelihood.

Total economic effects under the Alternatives may vary more or less than is indicated by the short-term impacts on ocean fisheries reported below. Salmon that are not harvested in the ocean do not necessarily represent an economic loss, as they may become available to augment inside harvest or provide additional spawning escapement. Alternatives that restrict ocean harvests may increase opportunities for inside harvesters (e.g., higher commercial revenue or more angler trips) or contribute to higher inside catch per unit effort (CPUE) (i.e., lower costs for commercial harvesters and/or higher success rates for recreational fishers). Harvest forgone by both ocean fisheries and inside fisheries may impact future production, although the magnitude of that effect is uncertain depending on the resulting escapement level compared to MSY escapement and the nature of the spawner-recruit relationship.

Fishing effort estimates for the recreational fishery south of Cape Falcon are based on measures developed by the STT for modeling biological impacts. STT estimates for south of Cape Falcon use multi-year averages to predict effort for the coming year. Consequently, if the multi-year average for a particular time period and area happens to be higher than for the previous year, then the model may forecast an increase in effort for the coming year even though the fishery management measures may actually be relatively more constraining, or vice-versa. Recreational fishery effort north of Cape Falcon was estimated using historical CPUE estimates applied to the salmon quotas under the Alternatives. For the summer mark-selective coho fishery, average 2010-2012 summer coho CPUE by area was applied to the coho quota under each Alternative. For the June Chinook fisheries under Alternatives I and II, the average 2010-2012 Washington June Chinook CPUE was used.

The expected harvests used to model commercial fishery impacts are taken from Table 6. The prior year's exvessel prices were assumed to be the best indicator of prices expected in the coming season. The 2012 average West Coast ocean harvest Chinook price of $\$ 5.31$ per pound was the fifth highest in nominal terms reported since 1979, but trending lower over the past four years. Relaxation of supply constraints in 2011 and 2012 contributed to increased commercial harvests and lower average exvessel prices. Total commercial Chinook harvests are projected to be somewhat higher under the Alternatives than in 2012, although the distribution of catch will vary regionally. If average exvessel prices this year exceed last year's level then income impacts reported below may be underestimates, and vice versa.

### 8.2.1 Alternative I

Under Alternative I, coastwide community personal income impacts from commercial salmon fisheries are projected to exceed last year's (2012) level by 21 percent and the recent (2008-2012) inflationadjusted average by more than three times (+206 percent). Coastwide recreational income impacts are projected to exceed last year's level by 12 percent and the inflation-adjusted 2008-2012 average by 71 percent.

Commercial fisheries income impacts are projected to exceed the inflation-adjusted 2008-2012 average in all management areas, and to exceed last year's level in all areas except south of Point Arena. Recreational fisheries income impacts are projected to exceed the inflation-adjusted 2008-2012 average in all management areas, and to exceed last year's level in all areas except KMZ and south of Point Arena.

Commercial fisheries income impacts north of Cape Falcon are projected to be 9 percent higher than in 2012 and 41 percent above the 2008-2012 inflation-adjusted average. Similarly, projected income
impacts from recreational fisheries north of Cape Falcon are 29 percent higher than in 2012 and 32 percent above the 2008-2012 inflation-adjusted average.

The area south of Cape Falcon would see commercial fisheries income impacts that are 270 percent above the 2008-2012 inflation-adjusted average and 23 percent above last year. However the area south of Point Arena would see a 28 percent reduction compared with last year. Income impacts from recreational salmon fisheries south of Cape Falcon are three percent above last year, and more than double, the 20082012 inflation-adjusted average (+112 percent). However compared with last year recreational income impacts south of Cape Falcon are projected to be lower in KMZ (-13 percent) and south of Point Arena (1 percent).

Income impacts under Alternative I are not projected to be significant. Compared with recent years aggregate commercial and recreational income impacts in all management areas are either positive or are within the observed historical range of impact levels.

### 8.2.2 Alternative II

Under Alternative II, coastwide community personal income impacts from commercial salmon fisheries are projected to exceed last year's level by 16 percent and the recent 2008-2012 inflation-adjusted average by nearly three times ( +195 percent). Coastwide recreational income impacts are projected to exceed last year's level by 13 percent and the inflation-adjusted 2008-2012 average by 72 percent.

Commercial fisheries income impacts are projected to exceed the inflation-adjusted 2008-2012 average in all management areas, and to exceed last year's level in all areas except north of Cape Falcon and south of Point Arena. Recreational fisheries income impacts are projected to exceed the inflation-adjusted 20082012 average in all management areas, and to exceed last year’s level in all areas except KMZ.

Commercial fisheries income impacts north of Cape Falcon are projected to be 13 percent lower than in 2012 but 13 percent higher than the 2008-2012 inflation-adjusted average. Projected income impacts from recreational fisheries north of Cape Falcon are 22 percent higher than in 2012 and 25 percent above their 2008-2012 inflation-adjusted average.

While the area south of Cape Falcon would see total commercial fisheries income impacts that are 265 percent above the 2008-2012 inflation-adjusted average, the area south of Point Arena would see a 29 percent reduction compared with last year. Recreational income impacts south of Cape Falcon are 121 percent higher than the 2008-2012 inflation-adjusted average, and seven percent above last year, although 15 percent lower than last year in KMZ.

Income impacts under Alternative II are not projected to be significant. Compared with recent years aggregate commercial and recreational income impacts in all management areas are either positive or are within the observed historical range of impact levels.

### 8.2.3 Alternative III

Under Alternative III, coastwide community personal income impacts from commercial salmon fisheries are projected to exceed last year's level by 15 percent and the recent 2008-2012 inflation-adjusted average by nearly three times (+192 percent). Coastwide recreational income impacts are projected to be one percent below last year's level but to exceed the inflation-adjusted 2008-2012 average by 52 percent.

Commercial fisheries income impacts are projected to exceed the inflation-adjusted 2008-2012 average in all management areas except north of Cape Falcon, and to exceed last year's level in all areas except north of Cape Falcon and south of Point Arena. Recreational fisheries income impacts are projected to
exceed the inflation-adjusted 2008-2012 average in all management areas except north of Cape Falcon, and to exceed last year’s level in all areas except north of Cape Falcon and KMZ.

Commercial fisheries income impacts north of Cape Falcon are projected to be 30 percent lower than in 2012 and 9 percent below the 2008-2012 inflation-adjusted average. Projected income impacts from recreational fisheries north of Cape Falcon are six percent lower than in 2012 and four percent below their 2008-2012 inflation-adjusted average.

While the area south of Cape Falcon would see total commercial fisheries income impacts that are 270 percent above the 2008-2012 inflation-adjusted average, and 22 percent higher than last year, the area south of Point Arena is projected to see a reduction of 23 percent compared with last year. Projected recreational income impacts south of Cape Falcon are two percent higher than last year and more than double ( +111 percent) the 2008-2012 inflation-adjusted average. However income impacts from recreational fisheries in KMZ are projected to be 24 percent lower than last year.

Income impacts under Alternative III are not projected to be significant. Compared with recent years aggregate commercial and recreational income impacts in all management areas are either positive or are within the observed historical range of impact levels.

### 8.2.4 Summary of Impacts on the Socioeconomic Environment

The commercial fishery Alternatives are projected to generate higher coastwide aggregate income impacts than in 2012 and compared with the 2008-2012 inflation-adjusted average. However this result masks regional differences along the coast. Income impacts from commercial fisheries south of Cape Falcon are projected to be substantially higher than the 2008-2012 inflation-adjusted averages in all areas under all three Alternatives, and above last year's levels in all areas except south of Point Arena, which is projected to be lower under all three Alternatives. North of Cape Falcon income impacts from commercial fisheries are projected to increase compared with last year under Alternative I but decrease under Alternatives II and III. Compared with the north of Cape Falcon 2008-2012 inflation-adjusted average, increases are projected under Alternatives I and II, but a decrease is projected under Alternative III.

Aggregate coastwide income impacts from recreational fisheries are projected to be higher than the 20082012 inflation-adjusted average under all three Alternatives, but higher than last year's level only under Alternatives I and II (decreasing slightly under Alternative III). Income impacts from recreational fisheries north of Cape Falcon are projected to decrease under Alternative III compared with both last year and the 2008-2012 inflation-adjusted average. South of Cape Falcon, the area south of Point Arena is projected to see a small reduction from last year under Alternative I. The KMZ is projected to see reduced income impacts from recreational fisheries compared with last year under all three Alternatives, although the levels are substantially above the 2008-2012 inflation-adjusted average.

### 8.3 Non-target Fish Species

Prior NEPA analyses have considered the effects of the ocean salmon fisheries on non-target fish species. Since then, ocean salmon fisheries have not changed substantially in terms of season length, areas, depth, bag limits, etc. Nor is there any new information to suggest that the incidental nature of encounters of non-target species in ocean salmon fisheries has changed. Therefore, conclusions from previous environmental analyses indicating that effects on non-target fish species are low and not significant are still applicable, as discussed below. The differences between the Alternatives for the 2013 salmon fishery are not discernible with respect to their effect on non-target fish species.

Impacts to groundfish stocks from salmon troll fisheries continue to be managed as part of the open access groundfish fishery sector, and are at similar levels compared to recent years. Previous
environmental analysis concluded that the amount of groundfish taken incidentally in the salmon fishery is very low and is not substantially altered by changes in the salmon fishery. (NMFS 2003; Appendix B). The 2013 ocean salmon regulation Alternatives are not expected to differ substantially from fisheries analyzed previously with respect to groundfish impacts; therefore, effects from the Alternatives to groundfish stocks are not significant.

Impacts to Pacific halibut from salmon troll fisheries continue to be managed under limits established through the International Pacific Halibut Commission (IPHC) process and under the Area 2A (Council area) catch sharing plan. Previous environmental analysis stated that data on the commercial segment of salmon fisheries show the co-occurrence rates for salmon and halibut, coastal pelagic species, highly migratory species, and non-Council managed fish species are low (NMFS 2003; Appendix B). The 2013 ocean salmon regulation Alternatives include Pacific halibut landing restrictions within the range enacted in the past, and are not expected to differ substantially from earlier analyses with respect to Pacific halibut impacts; therefore, effects from the Alternatives to Pacific Halibut are not significant. Likewise, there are no changes to the salmon fishery for 2013 that would change impacts to other non-salmon fish species compared to previous analyses, therefore, effects from the Alternatives to these species are not expected to be significant.

### 8.4 Marine Mammals

The commercial salmon troll fisheries off the coasts of Washington, Oregon, and California are classified as Category III fisheries, indicating a remote or no likelihood causing of incidental mortality or serious injury to marine mammals ( 75 FR 68468). Recreational salmon fisheries use similar gear and techniques as the commercial fisheries and are assumed to have similar encounter rates and impacts. The non-ESA listed marine mammal species that are known to interact with ocean salmon fisheries are California sea lion and harbor seals. Populations of both these species are at stable and historically high levels. There is no new information to suggest that the nature of interactions between California sea lions or harbor seals in ocean salmon fisheries has changed since the Category III determination. Therefore, the impacts from the 2013 salmon regulation Alternatives to non-ESA listed marine mammals are not expected to be significant, and there is no discernible difference between the effects of the Alternatives on these resources.

### 8.5 ESA Listed Species

Steller sea lion interaction with the Pacific Coast salmon fisheries is rare and NMFS has determined mortality and serious injury incidental to commercial salmon troll fishing operations have a negligible effect on this species (NMFS 2003; Appendix B). Salmon fisheries likely have negligible if any effects on the Guadalupe fur seal, and listed sea turtles. There is no discernible difference between the effects of the Alternatives on Steller sea lions, Guadalupe fur seals, and listed sea turtles.

In 2009, NMFS consulted on the effects of fishing under the Salmon FMP on the endangered Southern Resident Killer Whale Distinct Population Segment (SRKW) and concluded the salmon fisheries were not likely to jeopardize SRKW. The 2013 ocean salmon regulations are covered by the NMFS 2009 BO, and on that basis it is expected that the 2013 regulations would not have significant impacts to Southern Resident killer whales. There is no discernible difference between the effects of the Alternatives on killer whales.

Other ESA listed salmonid species present in Council area waters include sockeye and chum salmon, and steelhead trout. These species are rarely encountered in ocean salmon fisheries, and Alternatives for 2013 Council area ocean salmon fisheries are in compliance with applicable BOs for listed ESUs of these species as listed in Chapter 5 of this document. Because anticipated impacts are negligible, there are no significant impacts expected on listed sockeye or chum salmon or steelhead trout from the Alternatives
analyzed in this EA, and there is no discernible difference between the effects of the Alternatives on these resources.

### 8.6 Seabirds

The types of vessels used in ocean salmon fisheries and the conduct of the vessels are not conducive to collisions or the introduction of rats other non-indigenous species to seabird breeding colonies. Other types of accidental bird encounters are a rare event for commercial and recreational ocean salmon fisheries (NMFS 2003; Appendix B). Therefore, there are no significant impacts expected on seabirds from the Alternatives analyzed in this EA, and there is no discernible difference between the effects of the Alternatives on seabirds.

### 8.7 Biodiversity and Ecosystem Function

The removal of adult salmon by the ocean fisheries is not considered to substantially affect the lower trophic levels or the overall marine ecosystem because salmon are not the only or primary predator in the marine environment (NMFS 2003; Appendix B). Therefore, no significant impacts are expected on biodiversity or ecosystem function from the Alternatives analyzed in this EA, and there is no discernible difference between the effects of the Alternatives on these resources.

### 8.8 Ocean and Coastal Habitats

Council Area salmon fisheries do not employ bottom contact gear, and there is no evidence of direct gear effects on fish habitat from Council-managed salmon fisheries on EFH for salmon or other managed species (PFMC 2006; Appendix B). Critical habitat for ESA listed salmon does not include Council area ocean water. Because Council area salmon fisheries are conducted at sea and without bottom contact gear, there is no interaction with unique geographic characteristics or other cultural, scientific, or historical resources such as those that might be listed on the National Register of Historical Places.

### 8.9 Public Health and Safety

Fisheries management can affect safety if, for example, season openings make it more likely that fishermen will have to go out in bad weather because fishing opportunities are limited. The Salmon FMP, however, has provisions to adjust management measures if unsafe weather affected fishery access. The Alternatives for 2013 ocean salmon regulations have season structures similar to those employed in previous salmon seasons and are not expected to result in any notable increase in the risk to human health or safety at sea (PFMC 2006; Appendix B). There are also no discernible differences between the effects of the Alternatives on the risk to human health or safety at sea.

### 8.10 Cumulative Impacts

Cumulative effects are caused by the aggregate of past, present, and reasonably foreseeable actions, including impacts outside the scope of the proposed action (in this case annual management measures). Two broad categories of cumulative impacts can be identified for salmon species affected by Council managed ocean commercial and recreational fisheries. The first category includes other ocean fisheries, some of which are managed by the Council, and inside fisheries prosecuted in internal waters (like Puget Sound) and in rivers as salmon migrate towards their spawning grounds. Fishing mortality also has some broader ecological effects, since it removes salmon that might otherwise be consumed by other ecosystem components. The second category comprises human activities that affect the sustainability of salmon populations. Because salmon spend part of their life cycle in fresh water, they are more vulnerable to a broad range of human activities (since humans spend most of their time on land) that affect the quantity and quality of these freshwater environments. These effects are generally well known and diverse. They include physical barriers to migration (dams), changes in water flow and temperature (often a secondary effect of dams or water diversion projects), and degradation of spawning environments (such as increased
silt in the water from adjacent land use). A very large proportion of the long-term, and often permanent, declines in salmon stocks is attributable to this class of impacts. (For a detailed summary of non-fishing impacts to salmon habitat see Section 3.2.5 of the EFH Appendix A to Amendment 14.)

Consideration of cumulative effects is intrinsic to fishery management. When developing management measures, fishery managers try to account for all sources of mortality in a given population and the productivity of that population. This accounting does not have to be explicit, in that total mortality is exactly partitioned among each cause, except that natural and fishing mortality are distinguished. The aggregation accounts for a wide variety of effects, including past fishing mortality. Fishing mortality beyond the upcoming season is not accounted for in population models, but it can be broadly anticipated based on limits set by the management regime. Other actions (e.g., habitat degradation) are accounted for in estimates of natural mortality and population productivity. In the case of salmon, fishing mortality is reasonably accounted for because historical harvest is used to forecast expected harvest impacts based on proposed management Alternatives and quotas or allocations to other fisheries are known or foreseeable. Natural mortality is estimated and accounts for non-fishing impacts to a given population. By the same token, productivity estimates include reproductive success and recruitment to the adult, fishable population. This accounts for short- and long-term changes to spawning habitat, among other things. Although salmon's anadromous life cycle exposes key life stages to human-induced impacts, it makes the task of stock assessment much easier because spawning escapement can be estimated with a fair degree of certainty. Marine survival is harder to measure. But taken together, as part of the stock assessment, these measures effectively account for cumulative effects to salmon targeted by the proposed action. However, the effect of fishing on the ecosystem, due to the shift in balance between fishing and natural mortality, is much harder to predict. Fish removed by fishermen are unavailable to other trophic levels, to be eaten by predators or recycled by decomposers for example. These effects cannot be readily assessed, but there is no indication fishing mortality substantially contributes to ecosystem-wide effects.

Despite the effectiveness of these management models in accounting for cumulative impacts, uncertainty by itself can be considered an additional source of cumulative impacts. Although easier for salmon than other marine species, it is inherently difficult to precisely measure many population parameters. These multiple uncertainties have a compound effect, and in this sense, uncertainty produces cumulative effects that must be accounted for in decision making. For example, drop-off mortality cannot be measured directly and must be estimated. Similarly, estimating mortality from recreational fishing may be less precise then from commercial fishing because it is logistically more difficult to monitor fisheries with many thousands of participants fishing in the ocean, rivers, and streams. The cumulative effect of error in parameter estimates ultimately determines managers' success in setting management targets that ensure sustained exploitation across all users. The discussion of abundance predictors and comparison of preseason predictions with postseason estimates, found in the Preseason Report I, shows predictions are generally accurate. In comparison to other fisheries, these cumulative errors have not detracted from management performance.

The Alternatives do not differ greatly in the context of cumulative impacts, since all other impacts besides those resulting from the proposed action, discussed here, apply equally to each of the Alternatives. For this reason, the direct impacts of the Alternatives, in this case the level of fishing mortality that would result, correlates directly with cumulative impacts. As a result, Alternatives that allow greater harvest produce a greater cumulative impact.

Cumulative impacts on salmon stocks and their habitat could be significant if conservation objectives are not met, which could result in adversely affecting the productivity of those stocks and associated economic benefits of fisheries, and could diminish the quality of habitat used by juvenile salmon and other terrestrial organisms. The final action, which will be analyzed in Preseason Report III, is expected to meet conservation objectives for all Salmon stocks in the FMP.

### 9.0 CONCLUSION

This analysis has identified no significant environmental impacts that would result from the 2013 ocean salmon regulation Alternatives, from final regulations selected from within the range presented in these Alternatives.

### 10.0 LIST OF AGENCIES AND PERSONS CONSULTED

The following public meetings were held as part of the salmon management process (Council-sponsored meetings in bold):
October 10-11, 2012: Salmon Technical Team/Scientific and Statistical Committee Salmon Subcommittee joint meeting, Portland, Oregon.
January 11-12: Washington Fish and Wildlife Commission meeting, Olympia, Washington.
January 22-25, 2013: Salmon Technical Team (Review preparation), Portland, Oregon.
February 6: California Fish and Game Commission meeting, Sacramento, California.
February 19-22: Salmon Technical Team (Preseason Report I preparation), Portland, Oregon.
February 28: California Department of Fish and Wildlife public meeting, Santa Rosa, California.
Oregon Salmon Industry Group meeting, Newport, Oregon.
March 1: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife public meeting, Olympia, Washington.
Washington Fish and Wildlife Commission meeting, Moses Lake, Washington.
March 6: California Fish and Game Commission meeting, Mount Shasta, California.
March 6-11: Pacific Fishery Management Council meeting, Tacoma, Washington.
March 8: Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission meeting, Salem, Oregon.
March 12: North of Falcon and U.S. v. Oregon Forums, Vancouver, Washington.
March 13-14: North of Falcon, Ocean fisheries, Puget Sound, and U.S. v. Oregon Forums, Olympia, Washington.
March 25-26: Public hearings on management options in Westport, Washington; Coos Bay, Oregon; and Eureka, California.
March 26-28: North of Falcon, Ocean fisheries, Puget Sound, and U.S. v. Oregon Forums, Lynnwood, Washington.
April 1: North of Falcon, Ocean fisheries, and U.S. v. Oregon Forums, Olympia, Washington.
April 5-11: Pacific Fishery Management Council meeting, Portland, Oregon.
April 12-13: Washington Fish and Wildlife Commission meeting, Olympia, WA.
April 17-18: California Fish and Game Commission meeting, Santa Rosa, California.
May 10: Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission meeting, Salem, Oregon.

The following organizations were consulted and/or participated in preparation of supporting documents:
California Department of Fish and Wildlife
Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
National Marine Fisheries Service, Sustainable Fisheries Division, Northwest Region
National Marine Fisheries Service, Sustainable Fisheries Division, Southwest Region
National Marine Fisheries Service, Northwest Fisheries Science Center
National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Fisheries Science Center
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Columbia River Fisheries Program Office

Northwest Indian Fish Commission
Columbia River Intertribal Fish Commission
West Coast Indian Tribes
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## TABLE 1. Commercial troll management Alternatives adopted by the Council for non-Indian ocean salmon fisheries, 2013 (Page 1 of 10)

## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS

| ALTERNATIVE I |
| :---: |
| North of Cape Falcon |
| Supplemental Management Information |
| 1. Overall non-Indian TAC: 99,000 (non-mark-selective <br> equivalent of 95,000) Chinook and 90,000 coho marked |

equivalent of 95,000 ) Chinook and 90,000 coho marked with a healed adipose fin clip (marked).
2. Non-Indian commercial troll TAC: 47,500 Chinook and 14,400 marked coho.
3. Trade: May be considered at the April Council meeting.
4. Overall Chinook and/or coho TACs may need to be reduced or fisheries adjusted to meet NMFS ESA guidance, FMP requirements, upon conclusion of negotiations in the North of Falcon forum, or upon receipt of preseason catch and abundance expectations for Canadian and Alaskan fisheries.

## U.S./Canada Border to Cape Falcon

- May 1 through earlier of June 30 or 31,700 Chinook quota.
Seven days per week (C.1). All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 28 inches total (B), C.1). Cape Flattery, Mandatory Yelloweye Rockfish Conservation Area, and Columbia Control Zones closed (C.4, C.5, C.6). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). An inseason conference call will occur when it is projected that 23,775 Chinook have been landed to consider modifying the open period to five days per week and adding landing and possession limits to ensure the guideline is not exceeded.

ALTERNATIVE II
North of Cape Falcon

## Supplemental Management Information

1. Overall non-Indian TAC: 79,000 (non-mark-selective equivalent of 75,000 ) Chinook and 85,000 coho marked with a healed adipose fin clip (marked).
2. Non-Indian commercial troll TAC: 37,500 Chinook and 13,600 marked coho.
3. Trade: May be considered at the April Council meeting.
4. Overall Chinook and/or coho TACs may need to be reduced or fisheries adjusted to meet NMFS ESA guidance, FMP requirements, upon conclusion of negotiations in the North of Falcon forum, or upon receipt of preseason catch and abundance expectations for Canadian and Alaskan fisheries.

## U.S./Canada Border to Cape Falcon

- May 1 through earlier of June 30 or 25,000 Chinook quota.
Seven days per week (C.1). All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 28 inches total (B), C.1). Cape Flattery, Mandatory Yelloweye Rockfish Conservation Area, and Columbia Control Zones closed (C.4, C.5, C.6). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). An inseason conference call will occur when it is projected that 18,750 Chinook have been landed to consider modifying the open period to five days per week and adding landing and possession limits to ensure the guideline is not exceeded.

ALTERNATIVE III

## North of Cape Falcon

## Supplemental Management Information

1. Overall non-Indian TAC: 60,000 Chinook and 75,000 coho marked with a healed adipose fin clip (marked).
2. Non-Indian commercial troll TAC: 30,000 Chinook and 12,000 marked coho.
3. Trade: May be considered at the April Council meeting.
4. Overall Chinook and/or coho TACs may need to be reduced or fisheries adjusted to meet NMFS ESA guidance, FMP requirements, upon conclusion of negotiations in the North of Falcon forum, or upon receipt of preseason catch and abundance expectations for Canadian and Alaskan fisheries.

## U.S./Canada Border to Cape Falcon

- May 1 through earlier of June 30 or 20,000 Chinook quota.
Seven days per week (C.1). All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 28 inches total (B), C.1). Cape Flattery, Mandatory Yelloweye Rockfish Conservation Area, and Columbia Control Zones closed (C.4, C.5, C.6). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). An inseason conference call will occur when it is projected that 15,000 Chinook have been landed to consider modifying the open period to five days per week and adding landing and possession limits to ensure the guideline is not exceeded.

Cape Flattery, Mandatory Yelloweye Rockfish Conservation Area, and Columbia Control Zones closed (C.5). Vessels must land and deliver their fish within 24 hours of any closure of this fishery. Under state law, vessels must report their catch on a state fish receiving ticket. Vessels fishing or in possession of salmon while fishing north of Leadbetter Point must land and deliver their fish within the area and north of Leadbetter Point. Vessels fishing or in possession of salmon while fishing south of Leadbetter Point must land and deliver their fish within the area and south of Leadbetter Point, except that Oregon permitted vessels may also land their fish in Garibaldi, Oregon. Oregon State regulations require all fishers landing salmon into Oregon from any fishery between Leadbetter Point, Washington and Cape Falcon, Oregon must notify ODFW within one hour of delivery or prior to transport away from the port of landing by either calling 541-867-0300 Ext. 271 or sending notification via e-mail to nfalcon.trollreport@state.or.us. Notification shall include vessel name and number, number of salmon by species, port of landing and location of delivery, and estimated time of delivery. Inseason actions may modify harvest guidelines in later fisheries to achieve or prevent exceeding the overall allowable troll harvest impacts.

## TABLE 1. Commercial troll management Alternatives adopted by the Council for non-Indian ocean salmon fisheries, 2013. (Page 2 of 10)

## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS

| ALTERNATIVE I | ALTERNATIVE II | ALTERNATIVE III |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S./Canada Border to Cape Falcon <br> - July 1 through earlier of September 17 or 15,800 preseason Chinook guideline (C.8) or a 14,400 marked coho quota (C.8.d) | U.S./Canada Border to Cape Falcon <br> - July 5 through earlier of September 30 or 12,500 preseason Chinook guideline (C.8) or a 13,600 marked coho quota (C.8.d) | U.S./Canada Border to Cape Falcon <br> - July 6 through earlier of September 18 or 10,000 preseason Chinook guideline (C.8) or a 12,000 marked coho quota (C.8.d) |
| July 1-9 then Friday through Tuesday July 12-August 27 | Friday through Tuesday through August 27 with a landing | Saturday through Wednesday through August 28 with a |
| with a landing and possession limit of 60 Chinook and | and possession limit of 40 Chinook and 40 coho per | landing and possession limit of 35 Chinook and 40 |
| 40 coho per vessel per open period; Friday through | vessel per open period; Friday through Tuesday August | coho per vessel per open period; Saturday through |
| Tuesday August 30-September 17 with a landing and | 30-September 30, with a landing and possession limit | Wednesday August 31-September 18, with a landing and |
| possession limit of 20 Chinook and 50 coho per vessel | of 20 Chinook and 50 coho per vessel per open period | possession limit of 10 Chinook and $\mathbf{3 0}$ coho per vessel |
| per open period (C.1). No earlier than September 1, if at | (C.1). All Salmon except no chum retention north of Cape | per open period (C.1). All Salmon except no chum |
| least 5,000 marked coho remain on the quota, inseason | Alava, Washington in August and September (C.7). | retention north of Cape Alava, Washington in August and |
| action may be considered to allow non-selective coho | Chinook minimum size limit of 28 inches total (B), C.1). All | September (C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 28 inches |
| retention (C.8). All Salmon except no chum retention north | coho must be marked (C.8.d). See compliance | total (B), C.1). All coho must be marked (C.8.d). See |
| of Cape Alava, Washington in August and September (C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 28 inches total (B), | requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). | compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). |
| C.1). All coho must be marked except as noted above (C.8.d). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). |  |  |

Mandatory Yelloweye Rockfish Conservation Area, Cape Flattery and Columbia Control Zones, and beginning August 1, Grays Harbor Control Zone Closed (C.5). Vessels must land and deliver their fish within 24 hours of any closure of this fishery. Vessels fishing or in possession of salmon while fishing north of Leadbetter Point must land and deliver their fish within the area and north of Leadbetter Point. Vessels fishing or in possession of salmon while fishing south of Leadbetter Point must land and deliver their fish within the area and south of Leadbetter Point, except that Oregon permitted vessels may also land their fish in Garibaldi, Oregon. Under state law, vessels must report their catch on a state fish receiving ticket. Oregon State regulations require all fishers landing salmon into Oregon from any fishery between Leadbetter Point, Washington and Cape Falcon, Oregon must notify ODFW within one hour of delivery or prior to transport away from the port of landing by either calling 541-867-0300 Ext. 271 or sending notification via email to nfalcon.trollreport@state.or.us. Notification shall include vessel name and number, number of salmon by species, port of landing and location of delivery, and estimated time of delivery. Inseason actions may modify harvest guidelines in later fisheries to achieve or prevent exceeding the overall allowable troll harvest impacts.

## TABLE 1. Commercial troll management Alternatives adopted by the Council for non-Indian ocean salmon fisheries, 2013. (Page 3 of 10)

## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS

| ALTERNATIVE I | A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS | ALTERNATIVE II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South of Cape Falcon | South of Cape Falcon | South of Cape Falcon |
| Supplemental Management Information | Supplemental Management Information | Supplemental Management Information |
| 1. Sacramento River Basin recreational fisery | Satch |  |

1. Sacramento River Basin recreational fishery catch assumption: 74,988 adult Sacramento River fall Chinook.
2. Sacramento River fall Chinook spawning escapement of 460,643 adults.
3. Klamath River recreational fishery allocation: 39,617 adult Klamath River fall Chinook.
4. Klamath tribal allocation: 114,913 adult Klamath River fall Chinook.
5. Fisheries may need to be adjusted to meet NMFS ESA consultation standards, FMP requirements, other management objectives, or upon receipt of new allocation recommendations from the California Fish and Game Commission.

## Cape Falcon to Humbug Mountain

## - April 1-August 29;

- September 1-October 31 (C.9).

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7) Chinook minimum size limit of 28 inches total (B, C.1). All vessels fishing in the area must land their fish in the State of Oregon. See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3) and Oregon State regulations for a description of special regulations at the mouth of Tillamook Bay.

Beginning September 1, no more than 150 Chinook per vessel per calendar week.

In 2014, the season will open March 15 for all salmon except coho. Chinook minimum size limit of 28 inches total length (B, C.1) Gear restrictions same as in 2013. This opening could be modified following Council review at its March 2014 meeting.

1. Sacramento River Basin recreational fishery catch assumption: 74,526 adult Sacramento River fall Chinook.
2. Sacramento River fall Chinook spawning escapement of 457,800 adults.
3. Klamath River recreational fishery allocation: 39,945 adult Klamath River fall Chinook
4. Klamath tribal allocation: 114,831 adult Klamath River fall Chinook.
5. Fisheries may need to be adjusted to meet NMFS ESA consultation standards, FMP requirements, other management objectives, or upon receipt of new allocation recommendations from the California Fish and Game Commission

## Cape Falcon to Humbug Mountain

- April 1-August 29;
- September 1-October 31 (C.9).

Seven day per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 28 inches total length ( $B$, C.1). All vessels fishing in the area must land their fish in the State of Oregon. See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3) and Oregon State regulations for a description of special regulations at the mouth of Tillamook Bay.

Beginning September 1, no more than 100 Chinook per vessel per calendar week.

In 2014, same as Alternative I

1. Sacramento River Basin recreational fishery catch assumption: 73,968 adult Sacramento River fall Chinook.
2. Sacramento River fall Chinook spawning escapement of 454,377 adults.
3. Klamath River recreational fishery allocation: 39,553 adult Klamath River fall Chinook.
4. Klamath tribal allocation: 114,957 adult Klamath River fall Chinook.
5. Fisheries may need to be adjusted to meet NMFS ESA consultation standards, FMP requirements, other management objectives, or upon receipt of new allocation recommendations from the California Fish and Game Commission

## Cape Falcon to Humbug Mountain

- April 1-August 29;
- September 4-October 31 (C.9).

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7) Chinook minimum size limit of 28 inches total length (B, C.1). All vessels fishing in the area must land their fish in the State of Oregon. See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3) and Oregon State regulations for a description of special regulations at the mouth of Tillamook Bay.

Beginning September 1, landing and possession limit of 75 Chinook per vessel per landing week (Wed.-Tues.).

In 2014, same as Alternative I

## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS

## ALTERNATIVE I

- April 1- May 31;
- June 1 through earlier of June 30, or a 4,000 Chinook quota;
- July 1 through earlier of July 31, or a 3,000 Chinook quota;
- August 1 through earlier of August 29, or a 2,000 Chinook quota (C.9).
Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook 28 inch total length minimum size limit (B, C.1).
Prior to June 1, all fish caught in this area must be landed and delivered in the State of Oregon. June 1 through August 29 landing and possession limit of 30 Chinook per vessel per day. Any remaining portion of the June and/or July Chinook quotas may be transferred inseason on an impact neutral basis to the next open quota period (C.8). All vessels fishing in this area must land and deliver all fish within this area or Port Orford, within 24 hours of any closure in this fishery, and prior to fishing outside of this area (C.1, C.6). Oregon State regulations require all fishers landing salmon from any quota managed season within this area to notify Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) within 1 hour of delivery or prior to transport away from the port of landing by either calling (541) 867-0300 ext. 252 or sending notification via e-mail to KMZOR.trollreport@state.or.us. Notification shall include vessel name and number, number of salmon by species, port of landing and location of delivery, and estimated time of delivery. See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

In 2014, the season will open March 15 for all salmon except coho, with a 28 inch Chinook minimum size limit. This opening could be modified following Council review at its March 2014 meeting.

## ALTERNATIVE II

## Humbug Mountain to OR/CA Border (Oregon KMZ)

- April 1 - May 31;
- June 1 through earlier of June 30, or a 3,000 Chinook quota;
- July 1 through earlier of July 31, or a 2,000 Chinook quota;
- August 1 through earlier of August 29, or a 1,500 Chinook quota;
- September 1 through earlier of September 30 or a 1,000 Chinook quota (C.9).
Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook 28 inch total length minimum size limit (B, C.1). Prior to June 1, all fish caught in this area must be landed and delivered in the State of Oregon. June 1 through August 29, landing and possession limit of 30 Chinook per vessel per day. September 1-30 landing and possession limit of 25 Chinook per vessel per day. Any remaining portion of the June and/or July Chinook quotas may be transferred inseason on an impact neutral basis to the next open quota period (C.8). All vessels fishing in this area must land and deliver all fish within this area or Port Orford, within 24 hours of any closure in this fishery, and prior to fishing outside of this area. Oregon State regulations require all fishers landing salmon from any quota managed season within this area to notify Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) within 1 hour of delivery or prior to transport away from the port of landing by calling (541) 867-0300 ext. 252. Notification shall include vessel name and number, number of salmon by species, port of landing and location of delivery, and estimated time of delivery. See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

In 2014, same as Alternative I

ALTERNATIVE III
Humbug Mountain to ORICA Border (Oregon KMZ)

- April 1 - May 31;
- June 1 through earlier of June 30, or a 2,000 Chinook quota;
- July 1 through earlier of July 31, or a 1,500 Chinook quota;
- August 1 through earlier of August 29, or a 1,000 Chinook quota;
- September 16 through earlier of September 30 or a 1,000 Chinook quota (C.9).
Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook 28 inch total length minimum size limit (B, C.1). Prior to June 1, all fish caught in this area must be landed and delivered in the State of Oregon. June 1 August 29 landing and possession limit of 30 Chinook per vessel per day. September 16-30 landing and possession limit of 20 Chinook per vessel per day Any remaining portion of the June and/or July Chinook quotas may be transferred inseason on an impact neutral basis to the next open quota period (C.8). All vessels fishing in this area must land and deliver all fish within this area or Port Orford, within 24 hours of any closure in this fishery, and prior to fishing outside of this area. State regulations require fishers intending to transport and deliver their catch to other locations after first landing in one of these ports notify ODFW prior to transport away from the port of landing by calling (541) 867-0300 Ext. 252, with vessel name and number, number of salmon by species, location of delivery, and estimated time of delivery. See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

[^3]
## TABLE 1. Commercial troll management Alternatives adopted by the Council for non-Indian ocean salmon fisheries, 2013. (Page 5 of 10)

## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS

## ALTERNATIVE I

## OR/CA Border to Humboldt South Jetty (California KMZ)

- September 1 through earlier of September 30, or 10,000 Chinook quota (C.9).
Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 27 inches total length (B, C.1). Landing and possession limit of 30 Chinook per vessel per day (C.8.g). All fish caught in this area must be landed within the area and within 24 hours of any closure of the fishery and prior to fishing outside the area (C.10) See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Klamath Control Zone closed (C.5.e). See California State regulations for additional closures adjacent to the Smith and Klamath rivers. When the fishery is closed between the OR/CA border and Humbug Mountain and open to the south, vessels with fish on board caught in the open area off California may seek temporary mooring in Brookings, Oregon prior to landing in California only if such vessels first notify the Chetco River Coast Guard Station via VHF channel 22A between the hours of 0500 and 2200 and provide the vessel name, number of fish on board, and estimated time of arrival (C.6.).


## ALTERNATIVE II

## ORICA Border to Humboldt South Jetty (California KMZ)

- May 1 through earlier of May 31, or a 3,000 Chinook quota;
- June 1 through earlier of June 30, or a 3,000 Chinook quota;
- July 1 through earlier of July 31, or a 2,000 Chinook quota;
- August 1 through earlier of August 29, or a 1,500 Chinook quota;.
- September 1 through earlier of September 30, or 6,000 Chinook quota (C.9).
Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 27 inches total length ( $B$, C.1). Landing and possession limit of 20 Chinook per vessel per day (C.8.g). Any remaining portion of the May, June and/or July Chinook quotas may be transferred inseason on an impact neutral basis to the next open quota period (C.8.c). All fish caught in this area must be landed within the area and within 24 hours of any closure of the fishery and prior to fishing outside the area (C.10). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Klamath Control Zone closed (C.5.e). See California State regulations for additional closures adjacent to the Smith and Klamath rivers. When the fishery is closed between the OR/CA border and Humbug Mountain and open to the south, vessels with fish on board caught in the open area off California may seek temporary mooring in Brookings, Oregon prior to landing in California only if such vessels first notify the Chetco River Coast Guard Station via VHF channel 22A between the hours of 0500 and 2200 and provide the vessel name, number of fish on board, and estimated time of arriva (C.6.). Humboldt South Jetty to Horse Mountain Closed.

KMZ)

- September 16 through earlier of September 30, or 3,000 Chinook quota (C.9).
Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 27 inches total length ( $B$, C.1). Landing and possession limit of 15 Chinook pe vessel per day (C.8.g). All fish caught in this area must be landed within the area and within 24 hours of any closure of the fishery and prior to fishing outside the area (C.10) See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Klamath Control Zone closed (C.5.e). See California State regulations for additional closures adjacent to the Smith and Klamath rivers. When the fishery is closed between the OR/CA border and Humbug Mountain and open to the south, vessels with fish on board caught in the open area off California may seek temporary mooring in Brookings, Oregon prior to landing in California only if such vessels first notify the Chetco River Coast Guard Station via VHF channel 22A between the hours of 0500 and 2200 and provide the vessel name number of fish on board, and estimated time of arriva (C.6.).
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| A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ALTERNATIVE I | ALTERNATIVE II |

- May 15-31;
- June 1-9 and 22-30
- July 10-31;
- August 1-29;
- September 1-30 (C.9).

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7) Chinook minimum size limit of 27 inches total length ( $B$, C.1). All fish must be landed in California and offloaded within 24 hours of the August 29 closure (C.6). When the CA KMZ fishery is open, all fish caught in the area must be landed south of Horse Mountain (C.6). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

In 2014, the season will open April 16-30 for all salmon except coho, with a 27 inch Chinook minimum size limit and the same gear restrictions as in 2013. All fish caught in the area must be landed in the area. This opening could be modified following Council review at its March 2014 meeting.

## Point Arena to Pigeon Point (San Francisco)

- May 1-31;
- June 1-9 and 22-30;
- July 10-31;
- August 1-29;
- September 1-30 (C.9)

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 27 inches total length (B, C.1). All fish must be landed in California and offloaded within 24 hours of the August 29 closure (C.6). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

Point Reyes to Point San Pedro (Fall Area Target Zone)

- October 1-4, 7-11, and 14-15

All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 26 inches total length (B, C.1). All fish caught in this area must be landed between Point Arena and Pigeon Point (C.6). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

## - May 21-31;

- June 1-8 and 23-30
- July 13-31;
- August 1-29
- September 1-30 (C.9)

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7) Chinook minimum size limit of 27 inches total length ( $B$ C.1). All fish must be landed in California and offloaded within 24 hours of the August 29 closure (C.6). When the CA KMZ fishery is open, all fish caught in the area must be landed south of Horse Mountain (C.6). During September, all fish must be landed north of Point Arena (C.6). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

Same as Alternative I

## Point Arena to Pigeon Point (San Francisco)

- May 1-31;
- June 1-8 and 23-30
- July 13-31;
- August 1-29;
- September 1-30 (C.9).

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 27 inches total length prior to September 1, 26 inches thereafter (B, C.1). All fish must be landed in California and offloaded within 24 hours of the August 29 closure (C.6). During September, all fish must be landed south of Point Arena (C.6). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3)

Point Reyes to Point San Pedro (Fall Area Target

## Zone)

- October 1-4, 7-11, and 14-15

All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 26 inches total length (B, C.1). All fish caught in this area must be landed between Point Arena and Pigeon Point (C.6). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).
ALTERNATIVE III

## Horse Mountain to Point Arena (Fort Bragg)

- May 24-31;
- June 1-5, 14-18, 24-30;
- July 6-31;
- August 1-29
- September 1-30 (C.9)

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 27 inches total length ( $B$, C.1). All fish must be landed in California and offloaded within 24 hours of the August 29 closure (C.6). When the CA KMZ fishery is open, all fish caught in the area must be landed south of Horse Mountain (C.6). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

Same as Alternative I

## Point Arena to Pigeon Point (San Francisco)

- May 1-31;
- June 1-5, 14-18, 24-30;
- July 6-31,
- August 1-29;
- September 1-30 (C.9)

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7) Chinook minimum size limit of 27 inches total length (B C.1). All fish must be landed in California and offloaded within 24 hours of the August 29 closure (C.6). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3)

Point Reyes to Point San Pedro (Fall Area Target Zone)

- October 1-4, 7-11, and 14-15

All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 26 inches total length (B, C.1). All fish caught in this area must be landed between Point Arena and Pigeon Point (C.6). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).
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## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS

| AL | ALTERNATIVE II | ALTERNATIVE III |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pigeon Point to U.S.IMexico Border (Monterey South) <br> - May 1-31; <br> - June 1-9 and 22-30; <br> - July 10-31; <br> - August 1-29; <br> - September 1-30 (C.9). <br> Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 27 inches total length (B, C.1). All fish must be landed in California and offloaded within 24 hours of the August 29 closure (C.6). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). | Pigeon Point to U.S.IMexico Border (Monterey South) <br> - May 1-31; <br> - June 1-8 and 23-30; <br> - July 13-31; <br> - August 1-29; <br> - September 1-30 (C.9). <br> Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 27 inches total length prior to September 1, 26 inches thereafter (B, C.1). All fish must be landed in California and offloaded within 24 hours of the August 29 closure (C.6). During September, all fish must be landed south of Point Arena (C.6). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). | Pigeon Point to U.S.IMexico Border (Monterey South) <br> - May 1-31; <br> - June 1-5, 14-18, 24-30; <br> - July 6-31, <br> - August 1-29; <br> - September 1-30 (C.9). <br> Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7) Chinook minimum size limit of 27 inches total length (B C.1). All fish must be landed in California and offloaded within 24 hours of the August 29 closure (C.6). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). |
| California State regulations require all salmon be made available to a CDFW representative for sampling immediately at port of landing. Any person in possession of a salmon with a missing adipose fin, upon request by an authorized agent or employee of the CDFW, shall immediately relinquish the head of the salmon to the state. (California Fish and Game Code |  |  | missing adipose fin, upon request by an authorized agent or employee of the CDFW, shall immediately relinquish the head of the salmon to the state. (California Fish and Game Code §8226)


| B. MINIMUM SIZE (Inches) (see C.1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Chinook |  | Coho |  |  |
| Area (when open) |  | Total Length | Head-off | Total Length | Head-off | Pink |
| North of Cape Falcon |  | 28.0 | 21.5 | 16.0 | 12.0 | None |
| Cape Falcon to OR/CA Border |  | 28.0 | 21.5 | - | - | None |
| OR/CA Border to Humboldt South Jetty |  | 27.0 | 20.5 | - | - | None |
| Horse Mountain to Point Arena |  | 27.0 | 20.5 | - | - | None |
| Point Arena to Pigeon Point | Alt. I \& Alt. III $\leq$ September 30 | 27.0 | 20.5 | - | - | None |
|  | Alt. I \& Alt. III $\geq$ Oct. 1 | 26.0 | 19.5 | - | - | None |
|  | Alt. II $\leq$ August 29 | 27.0 | 20.5 | - | - | None |
|  | Alt. II $\geq$ September 1 | 26.0 | 19.5 | - | - | None |
| Pigeon Point to U.S./Mexico Border | Alt. I \& Alt. III | 27.0 | 20.5 | - | - | None |
|  | Alt. II $\leq$ August 29 | 27.0 | 20.5 | - | - | None |
|  | Alt. II $\geq$ September 1 | 26.0 | 19.5 | - | - | None |

## C. REQUIREMENTS, DEFINITIONS, RESTRICTIONS, OR EXCEPTIONS

C.1. Compliance with Minimum Size or Other Special Restrictions: All salmon on board a vessel must meet the minimum size, landing/possession limit, or other special requirements for the area being fished and the area in which they are landed if the area is open or has been closed less than 96 hours for that species of salmon. Salmon may be landed in an area that has been closed for a species of salmon more than 96 hours only if they meet the minimum size, landing/possession limit, or other special requirements for the area in which they were caught. Salmon may be landed in an area that has been closed less than 96 hours only if they meet the minimum size, landing/possession limit, or other special requirements for the areas in which they were caught and landed

States may require fish landing/receiving tickets be kept on board the vessel for 90 days after landing to account for all previous salmon landings.

## C. REQUIREMENTS, DEFINITIONS, RESTRICTIONS, OR EXCEPTIONS (continued)

C.2. Gear Restrictions:
a. Salmon may be taken only by hook and line using single point, single shank, barbless hooks
b. Cape Falcon, Oregon, to the OR/CA border: No more than 4 spreads are allowed per line.
c. OR/CA border to U.S./Mexico border: No more than 6 lines are allowed per vessel, and barbless circle hooks are required when fishing with bait by any means other than trolling.
C.3. Gear Definitions:

Trolling defined: Fishing from a boat or floating device that is making way by means of a source of power, other than drifting by means of the prevailing water current or weather conditions.

Troll fishing gear defined: One or more lines that drag hooks behind a moving fishing vessel. In that portion of the fishery management area (FMA) off Oregon and Washington, the line or lines must be affixed to the vessel and must not be intentionally disengaged from the vessel at any time during the fishing operation.

Spread defined: A single leader connected to an individual lure and/or bait.
Circle hook defined: A hook with a generally circular shape and a point which turns inward, pointing directly to the shank at a $90^{\circ}$ angle.
C.4. Vessel Operation in Closed Areas with Salmon on Board:
a. Except as provided under C.4.b below, it is unlawful for a vessel to have troll or recreational gear in the water while in any area closed to fishing for a certain species of salmon, while possessing that species of salmon; however, fishing for species other than salmon is not prohibited if the area is open for such species, and no salmon are in possession.
b. When Genetic Stock Identification (GSI) samples will be collected in an area closed to commercial salmon fishing, the scientific research permit holder shall notify NOAA OLE, USCG, CDFW and OSP at least 24 hours prior to sampling and provide the following information: the vessel name, date, location and time collection activities will be done. Any vessel collecting GSI samples in a closed area shall not possess any salmon other than those from which GSI samples are being collected. Salmon caught for collection of GSI samples must be immediately released in good condition after collection of samples.
C.5. Control Zone Definitions:
a. Cape Flattery Control Zone - The area from Cape Flattery ( $48^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.) to the northern boundary of the U.S. EEZ; and the area from Cape Flattery south to Cape Alava ( $48^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.) and east of $125^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
b. Mandatory Yelloweye Rockfish Conservation Area - The area in Washington Marine Catch Area 3 from $48^{\circ} 00.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 14.00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. to $48^{\circ} 02.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 14.00^{\prime}$ W. long. to $48^{\circ} 02.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 16.50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. to $48^{\circ} 00.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 16.50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. and connecting back to $48^{\circ} 00.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 14.00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
c. Grays Harbor Control Zone - The area defined by a line drawn from the Westport Lighthouse ( $46^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.) to Buoy \#2 ( $46^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ W. long.) to Buoy \#3 ( $46^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.) to the Grays Harbor north jetty ( $46^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., 124 ${ }^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.).
d. Columbia Control Zone - An area at the Columbia River mouth, bounded on the west by a line running northeast/southwest between the red lighted Buoy $\# 4$ ( $46^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} N^{\prime}$ lat., $124^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.) and the green lighted Buoy $\# 7\left(46^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{N}\right.$. lat., $124^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.); on the east, by the Buoy $\# 10$ line which bears north/south at $357^{\circ}$ true from the south jetty at $46^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. to its intersection with the north jetty; on the north, by a line running northeast/southwest between the green lighted Buoy \#7 to the tip of the north jetty ( $46^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.), and then along the north jetty to the point of intersection with the Buoy \#10 line; and, on the south, by a line running northeast/southwest between the red lighted Buoy \#4 and tip of the south jetty ( $46^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.), and then along the south jetty to the point of intersection with the Buoy \#10 line.
e. Klamath Control Zone - The ocean area at the Klamath River mouth bounded on the north by $41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (approximately six nautical miles north of the Klamath River mouth); on the west, by $124^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. (approximately 12 nautical miles off shore); and on the south, by $41^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (approximately six nautical miles south of the Klamath River mouth).
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## C. REQUIREMENTS, DEFINITIONS, RESTRICTIONS, OR EXCEPTIONS (continued)

C.6. Notification When Unsafe Conditions Prevent Compliance with Regulations: If prevented by unsafe weather conditions or mechanical problems from meeting special management area landing restrictions, vessels must notify the U.S. Coast Guard and receive acknowledgment of such notification prior to leaving the area. This notification shal include the name of the vessel, port where delivery will be made, approximate amount of salmon (by species) on board, the estimated time of arrival, and the specific reason the vessel is not able to meet special management area landing restrictions.

In addition to contacting the U.S. Coast Guard, vessels fishing south of the Oregon/California border must notify CDFW within one hour of leaving the management area by calling 800-889-8346 and providing the same information as reported to the U.S. Coast Guard. All salmon must be offloaded within 24 hours of reaching port.
C.7. Incidental Halibut Harvest: During authorized periods, the operator of a vessel that has been issued an incidental halibut harvest license may retain Pacific halibut caught incidentally in Area 2A while trolling for salmon. Halibut retained must be no less than 32 inches in total length, measured from the tip of the lower jaw with the mouth closed to the extreme end of the middle of the tail, and must be landed with the head on. License applications for incidental harvest must be obtained from the International Pacific Halibut Commission (phone: 206-634-1838). Applicants must apply prior to April 1 April 1, 2013 for 2013 permits and mid-March 2014 (exact date to be set by the IPHC in early 2014) for 2014 permits of each year. Incidental harvest is authorized only during May and June of the 2013 troll seasons and April, May, and June of the 2014 troll seasons and after June 30 in 2013 or 2014 if quota remains and if announced on the NMFS hotline (phone: 800-662-9825). ODFW and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) will monitor landings. If the landings are projected to exceed the 30,568 pound preseason allocation or the total Area 2 A non-Indian commercial halibut allocation, NMFS will take inseason action to prohibit retention of halibut in the non-Indian salmon troll fishery.

Alternative I-Beginning May 1, 2013, license holders may land or possess no more than one Pacific halibut per each three Chinook, except one Pacific halibut may be possessed or landed without meeting the ratio requirement, and no more than 20 halibut may be possessed or landed per trip. Pacific halibut retained must be no less than 32 inches in total length (with head on).
Alternative II - Beginning May 1, 2013, license holders may land or possess no more than one Pacific halibut per each four Chinook, except one Pacific halibut may be possessed or landed without meeting the ratio requirement, and no more than 15 halibut may be possessed or landed per trip. Pacific halibut retained must be no less than 32 inches in total length (with head on).
Alternative III - Beginning May 1, 2013, license holders may land or possess no more than one Pacific halibut per each five Chinook, except one Pacific halibut may be possessed or landed without meeting the ratio requirement, and no more than 10 halibut may be possessed or landed per trip. Pacific halibut retained must be no less than 32 inches in tota length (with head on).
Incidental Pacific halibut catch regulations in the commercial salmon troll fishery adopted for 2013 will be in effect when incidental Pacific halibut retention opens on April 1,2014 unless otherwise modified by inseason action.
a. "C-shaped" yelloweye rockfish conservation area is an area to be voluntarily avoided for salmon trolling. NMFS and the Council request salmon trollers voluntarily avoid this area in order to protect yelloweye rockfish. The area is defined in the Pacific Council Halibut Catch Sharing Plan in the North Coast subarea (Washington marine area 3), with the following coordinates in the order listed:
$48^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 18^{\prime}$ W. long.;
$48^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.;
$48^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
$48^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
$48^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
$48^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
$48^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
$48^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
and connecting back to $48^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
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## C. REQUIREMENTS, DEFINITIONS, RESTRICTIONS, OR EXCEPTIONS (continued)

C.8. Inseason Management: In addition to standard inseason actions or modifications already noted under the season description, the following inseason guidance is provided to NMFS:
a. Chinook remaining from the May through June non-Indian commercial troll harvest guideline north of Cape Falcon may be transferred to the July through September harvest guideline if the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks.
b. Chinook remaining from the June and/or July non-Indian commercial troll quotas in the Oregon KMZ may be transferred to the Chinook quota for the next open period if the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks
c. Chinook remaining from the May, June and/or July non-Indian commercial troll quotas in the California KMZ may be transferred to the Chinook quota for the next open period if the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks.
d. NMFS may transfer fish between the recreational and commercial fisheries north of Cape Falcon if there is agreement among the areas' representatives on the Salmon Advisory Subpanel (SAS), and if the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks.
e. At the March 2014 meeting, the Council will consider inseason recommendations for special regulations for any experimental fisheries (proposals must meet Council protoco and be received in November 2013).
. If retention of unmarked coho is permitted by inseason action, the allowable coho quota will be adjusted to ensure preseason projected impacts on all stocks is not exceeded.
g. Landing limits may be modified inseason to sustain season length and keep harvest within overall quotas.
C.9. State Waters Fisheries: Consistent with Council management objectives:
a. The State of Oregon may establish additional late-season fisheries in state waters.
b. The State of California may establish limited fisheries in selected state waters.

Check state regulations for details.
C.10. For the purposes of California Fish and Game Code, Section 8232.5, the definition of the Klamath Management Zone (KMZ) for the ocean salmon season shall be that area from Humbug Mountain, Oregon, to Horse Mountain, California.
TABLE 2. Recreational management Alternatives adopted by the Council for non-Indian ocean salmon fisheries, 2013. (Page 1 of 9)
A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS

| ALTERNATIVE I | ALTERNATIVE II |
| :---: | :---: |
| North of Cape Falcon | North of Cape Falcon |
| Supplemental Management Information | Supplemental Management Information |
| 1. Overall non-Indian TAC: 99,000 (non-mark-selective equivalent of 95,000 ) Chinook and 90,000 coho marked with a healed adipose fin clip (marked). | 1. Overall non-Indian TAC: 79,000 (non-mark-selective equivalent of 75,000 ) Chinook and 85,000 coho marked with a healed adipose fin clip (marked). |
| 2. Recreational TAC: 51,500 (non-mark selective | 2. Recreational TAC: 41,500 (non-mark selective |

2. Recreational TAC: 51,500 (non-mark selective equivalent of 47,500 ) Chinook and 75,600 marked coho, all retained coho must be marked.
3. Trade: May be considered at the April Council meeting.
4. No Area 4B add-on fishery.
5. Buoy 10 fishery opens August 1 with an expected landed catch of 12,000 marked coho in August and September.
6. Overall Chinook and/or coho TACs may need to be reduced or fisheries adjusted to meet NMFS ESA guidance, FMP requirements, upon conclusion of negotiations in the North of Falcon forum, or upon receipt of preseason catch and abundance expectations for Canadian and Alaskan fisheries.

## U.S./Canada Border to Queets River

- May 10-12, May 17-19, and June 15-28 or a coastwide marked Chinook quota of 8,000 (C.5).
Seven days per week. Two fish per day, all salmon except coho, all Chinook must be marked with a healed adipose fin clip (C.1). Chinook 24 -inch total length minimum size limit (B). See gear restrictions (C.2). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).


## Queets River to Leadbetter Point

- June 8 through earlier of June 22 or a coastwide marked Chinook quota of 8,000 (C.5).
Seven days per week. Two fish per day, all salmon except coho, all Chinook must be marked with a healed adipose fin clip (C.1). Chinook 24 -inch total length minimum size limit (B). See gear restrictions (C.2), Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).

2. Recreational TAC: 41,500 (non-mark selective equivalent of 37,500 ) Chinook and 71,400 marked coho; all retained coho must be marked.
3. Trade: May be considered at the April Council meeting. 4. No Area 4B add-on fishery.
4. Buoy 10 fishery opens August 1 with an expected landed catch of 13,000 marked coho in August and September.
5. Overall Chinook and/or coho TACs may need to be reduced or fisheries adjusted to meet NMFS ESA guidance, FMP requirements, upon conclusion of negotiations in the North of Falcon forum, or upon receipt of preseason catch and abundance expectations for Canadian and Alaskan fisheries.

## U.S./Canada Border to Queets River

- May 17-19, and June 15-21 or a coastwide marked Chinook quota of 8,000 (C.5).
Seven days per week. Two fish per day, all salmon except coho, all Chinook must be marked with a healed adipose fin clip (C.1). Chinook 24 -inch total length minimum size limit (B). See gear restrictions (C.2). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).


## Oueets River to Leadbetter Point

- June 15 through earlier of June 29 or a coastwide marked Chinook quota of 8,000 (C.5).
Seven days per week. Two fish per day, all salmon except coho, all Chinook must be marked with a healed adipose fin clip (C.1). Chinook 24 -inch total length minimum size limit (B). See gear restrictions (C.2), Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).
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## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS

## ALTERNATIVE I

## Leadbetter Point to Cape Falcon

- June 8 through earlier of June 21 or a coastwide marked Chinook quota of 8,000 (C.5).
Seven days per week. Two fish per day, all salmon except coho, all Chinook must be marked with a healed adipose fin clip (C.1). Chinook 24 -inch total length minimum size limit (B). See gear restrictions (C.2) Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).


## U.S.ICanada Border to Cape Alava (Neah Bay)

- June 29 through earlier of September 22 or 7,860
marked coho subarea quota with a subarea guideline of 5,300 Chinook (C.5).
Seven days per week. All salmon except no chum beginning August 1; two fish per day, plus one additional pink salmon. All coho must be marked (C.1). Beginning August 1, Chinook non-retention east of the BonillaTatoosh line (C.4.a) during Council managed ocean fishery. See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook and coho recreational TACs for north of Cape Falcon (C.5)


## Cape Alava to Queets River (La Push Subarea)

- June 29 through earlier of September 22 or 1,970
marked coho subarea quota with a subarea guideline of 1,800 Chinook (C.5)

Seven days per week. All salmon, two fish per day; two fish per day, plus one additional pink salmon. All coho must be marked (see Ocean Boat Limits, C.1). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook and coho recreational TACs for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).

## ALTERNATIVE II

## Leadbetter Point to Cape Falcon

June 15 through earlier of June 21 or a coastwide marked Chinook quota of 8,000 (C.5).
Seven days per week. Two fish per day, all salmon except coho, all Chinook must be marked with a healed adipose fin clip (C.1). Chinook 24-inch total length minimum size limit (B). See gear restrictions (C.2) Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).

## U.S.ICanada Border to Cape Alava (Neah Bay)

- June 22 through earlier of September 22 or 7,430
marked coho subarea quota with a subarea guideline of 4,100 Chinook (C.5).
Seven days per week. All salmon except no chum beginning August 1. Two fish per day, only one of which can be a Chinook, plus two additional pink salmon. Al retained coho must be marked (C.1). Beginning August 1, Chinook non-retention east of the Bonilla-Tatoosh line (C.4.a) during Council managed ocean fishery. See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).


## Cape Alava to Queets River (La Push Subarea)

- June 22 through earlier of September 22 or 1,810
marked coho subarea quota with a subarea guideline of 1,350 Chinook (C.5).
- September 28 through earlier of October 13 or 50 marked coho quota or 50 Chinook quota (C.5) in the area north of $47^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 00 \mathrm{~N}$. lat. and south of $48^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.
Seven days per week. All salmon, two fish per day, only one of which can be a Chinook, plus two additional pink salmon. All retained coho must be marked (see Ocean Boat Limits, C.1). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).


## ALTERNATIVE III

## Leadbetter Point to Cape Falcon

## U.S.ICanada Border to Cape Alava (Neah Bay)

- June 28 through earlier of September 15 or a 6,550 marked coho subarea quota with a subarea guideline of 3,700 Chinook. Beginning September 1 any remaining subarea coho quota converts to non-mark-selective coho retention. (C.5).
Five days per week (Tues.-Sat.). All salmon except no chum beginning August 1. Two fish per day, only one of which can be a Chinook, plus three additional pink salmon. All retained coho must be marked (C.1). Beginning August 1, Chinook non-retention east of the Bonilla-Tatoosh line (C.4.a) during Council managed ocean fishery. See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).


## Cape Alava to Queets River (La Push Subarea)

- June 28 through earlier of September 15 or 1,590
marked coho subarea quota with a subarea guideline of 1,150 Chinook. Beginning September 1 any remaining subarea coho quota converts to non-mark-selective coho retention. (C.5).
- September 21 through earlier of October 6 or 50 nonmarked coho quota or 50 Chinook quota (C.5) in the area north of $47^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 00 \mathrm{~N}$. lat. and south of $48^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.
Five days per week (Tues.-Sat.). All salmon, two fish per day, only one of which can be a Chinook, plus three additional pink salmon. All retained coho must be marked (see Ocean Boat Limits, C.1). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).
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## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS

| ALTERNATIVE I |
| :--- |
| Queets River to Leadbetter Point (Westport Subarea) |
| $\bullet$ June 23 through earlier of September 30 or 27,970 |

marked coho subarea quota with a subarea guideline of 25,600 Chinook (C.5).
Sunday through Thursday. All salmon; two fish per day, no more than one of which can be a Chinook. All coho must be marked (C.1). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook and coho recreational TACs for north of Cape Falcon (C.5)

## Leadbetter Point to Cape Falcon (Columbia River Subarea)

- June 22 through earlier of September 30 or 37,800 marked coho subarea quota with a subarea guideline of 10,800 Chinook (C.5)
Seven days per week. All salmon, two fish per day, only one of which can be a Chinook. All coho must be marked (C.1). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Columbia Control Zone closed (C.4). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook and coho recreational TACs for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).

ALTERNATIVE II
Queets River to Leadbetter Point (Westport Subarea)

- June 30 through earlier of September 22 or 26,410 marked coho subarea
Sunday through Thursday. All salmon, two fish per day only one of which can be a Chinook. All retained coho must be marked (C.1). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).


## Leadbetter Point to Cape Faicon (Columbia River

 Subarea)- June 22 through earlier of September 30 or 35,700 marked coho subarea quota with a subarea guideline of 8,300 Chinook (C.5).
Seven days per week. All salmon, two fish per day, only one of which can be a Chinook. All retained coho must be marked (C.1). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Columbia Control Zone closed (C.4). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).


## ALTERNATIVE III

Queets River to Leadbetter Point (Westport Subarea)

- June 30 through earlier of September 22 or 23,310
marked coho subarea quota with a subarea guideline of 17,700 Chinook. Beginning September 1 any remaining subarea coho quota converts to non-mark-selective coho retention. (C.5)
Sunday through Thursday. All salmon, two fish per day, only one of which can be a Chinook. All retained coho must be marked (C.1). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Grays Harbor Control Zone closed beginning August 1 (C.4). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).

Leadbetter Point to Cape Falcon (Columbia River Subarea)

- June 29 through earlier of September 30 or 31,500 marked coho subarea quota with a subarea guideline of 7,400 Chinook. Beginning September 1 any


## remaining subarea coho quota converts to non-

 mark-selective coho retention. (C.5)Seven days per week. All salmon, two fish per day, only one of which can be a Chinook. All retained coho must be marked (C.1). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Columbia Control Zone closed (C.4). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).
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## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS

| South of Cape Falcon |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ALTERNATIVE I |  |
| Supplemental Management Information |  |
| 1. Sacramento River Basin recreational fishery catch <br> assumption: 74,988 adult Sacramento River fall <br> Chinook. | 1. |

2. Sacramento River fall Chinook spawning escapement of 460,643 adults.
3. Klamath River recreational fishery allocation: 39,617 adult Klamath River fall Chinook.
4. Klamath tribal allocation: 114,913 adult Klamath River fall Chinook.
5. Overall recreational coho TAC: 12,000 mark-selective coho fishery and 16,000 in the non-mark-selective coho fishery.
6. Fisheries may need to be adjusted to meet NMFS ESA consultation standards, FMP requirements, other management objectives, or upon receipt of new allocation recommendations from the California Fish and Game Commission.

## Cape Falcon to Humbug Mountain

- March 15 through October 31 (C.6), except as provided below during the July all-salmon mark-selective and September non-mark-selective coho fisheries
Seven days per week. All salmon except coho; two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 24 inches total length. See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).
- Non-mark-selective coho fishery: September 1 through the earlier of September 30 or a landed catch of 16,000 non-mark-selective coho quota (C.5).
September 1-2, then Thursday through Saturday thereafter; all salmon, two fish per day (C.5); September 3-4, then Sunday through Wednesday thereafter; all salmon except coho, two fish per day. The all salmon except coho season reopens the earlier of October 1 or attainment of the coho quota. Open days may be adjusted inseason to utilize the available coho quota (C.5).

In 2014, the season between Cape Falcon and Humbug Mountain will open March 15 for all salmon except coho,
two fish per day (B, C.1, C.2, C.3).
Fishing in the Stonewall Bank yelloweye rockfish conservation area restricted to trolling only on days the all depth recreational halibut fishery is open (call the halibut fishing hotline 1-800-662-9825 for specific dates) (C.3.b, C.4.d).
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## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS

| ALTERNATIVE I |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cape Falcon to OR/CA Border |  |
| - All-salmon mark-selective coho fishery: July 1 |  |
| through earlier of July 31 or a |  |

through earlier of July 31 or a landed catch of 12,000 marked coho.
Seven days per week. All salmon, two fish per day. All retained coho must be marked (C.1). Any remainder of the mark selective coho quota will be transferred on an impact neutral basis to the September non-selective coho quota from Cape Falcon to Humbug Mountain. The all salmon except coho season reopens the earlier of August 1 or attainment of the coho quota.

Fishing in the Stonewall Bank yelloweye rockfish conservation area restricted to trolling only on days the al depth recreational halibut fishery is open (call the halibut fishing hotline 1-800-662-9825 for specific dates) (C.3.b, C.4.d).

## Humbug Mountain to ORICA Border (Oregon KMZ)

- May 1 through September 8 except as provided above
during the all-salmon mark-selective coho fishery (C.6). All salmon except coho, except as noted above in the allsalmon mark-selective coho fishery. Seven days per week, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 24 inches total length (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).
OR/CA Border to Horse Mountain (California KMZ)
- May 1 through September 8 (C.6).

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 20 inches total length (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Klamath Control Zone closed in August (C.4.e). See California State regulations for additional closures adjacent to the Smith, Eel, and Klamath rivers.

## ALTERNATIVE II

## Cape Falcon to ORICA Border

- All-salmon mark-selective coho fishery: July 1 through earlier of July 31 or a landed catch of 10,000 marked coho.
Seven days per week. All salmon, two fish per day. All retained coho must be marked (C.1). Any remainder of the mark selective coho quota will be transferred on an impact neutral basis to the September non-selective coho quota from Cape Falcon to Humbug Mountain. The all salmon except coho season reopens the earlier of August 1 or attainment of the coho quota.

Fishing in the Stonewall Bank yelloweye rockfish conservation area restricted to trolling only on days the all depth recreational halibut fishery is open (call the halibut fishing hotline 1-800-662-9825 for specific dates) (C.3.b, C.4.d).

Humbug Mountain to OR/CA Border (Oregon KMZ)

- May 4 through September 8 except as provided above
during the all-salmon mark-selective coho fishery (C.6).
All salmon except coho, except as noted above in the allsalmon mark-selective coho fishery Seven days per week, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 24 inches total length (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3)
OR/CA Border to Horse Mountain (California KMZ)
- May 4 through September 8 (C.6).

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 24 inches total length (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Klamath Control Zone closed in August (C.4.e). See California State regulations for additional closures adjacent to the Smith, Eel, and Klamath rivers.

## ALTERNATIVE III

## Cape Falcon to ORICA Border

- All-salmon mark-selective coho fishery: July 1 through earlier of July 31 or a landed catch of 10,000 marked coho
Seven days per week. All salmon, two fish per day. All retained coho must be marked (C.1). Any remainder of the mark selective coho quota will be transferred on an impact neutral basis to the September non-selective coho quota from Cape Falcon to Humbug Mountain. The all salmon except coho season reopens the earlier of August 1 or attainment of the coho quota.

Fishing in the Stonewall Bank yelloweye rockfish conservation area restricted to trolling only on days the all depth recreational halibut fishery is open (call the halibut fishing hotline 1-800-662-9825 for specific dates) (C.3.b, C.4.d).

Humbug Mountain to OR/CA Border (Oregon KMZ)

- May 25 through September 2 except as provided above during the all-salmon mark-selective coho fishery. (C.6). All salmon except coho, except as noted above in the all salmon mark-selective coho fishery. Seven days per week, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 22 inches total length (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3)
OR/CA Border to Horse Mountain (California KMZ)
- May 25 through September 2 (C.6).

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 24 inches total length (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Klamath Control Zone closed in August (C.4.e). See California State regulations for additional closures adjacent to the Smith, Eel, and Klamath rivers.
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## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS

| ALTERNATIVE I | ALTERNATIVE II |
| :---: | :---: |
| Horse Mountain to Point Arena (Fort Bragg) <br> - April 6 through November 10. <br> Seven days per week. All salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 20 inches total length (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). <br> In 2014, season opens April 5 for all salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 20 inches total length (B); and the same gear restrictions as in | Horse Mountain to Point Arena (Fort Bragg) <br> - April 6 through October 27. <br> Seven days per week. All salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 20 inches total length (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). <br> In 2014, same as Alternative 1. |

## Horse Mountain to Point Arena (Fort Bragg)

## - April 6 through October 13

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho, two fish pe day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 20 inches total length (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).
n 2014, same as Alternative 1.

## Point Arena to Pigeon Point (San Francisco)

- April 6 through November 10

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho, two fish pe day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 24 inches tota ength through July 31; 20 inches thereafter (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3)

In 2014, same as Alternative 1.
Point Arena to Pigeon Point (San Francisco)

- April 6 through June 2;
- June 8 through November 10

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 24 inches total length through July 31; 20 inches thereafter (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3)

In 2014, same as Alternative 1.

## Pigeon Point to U.S./Mexico Border (Monterey)

- April 6 through July 14
- August 1 through October 6.

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 24 inches total length through July 14; 20 inches thereafter (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3)

In 2014, same as Alternative 1
In 2014 season opens April 5 for all salmon except coho two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 24 inches total length (B); and the same gear restrictions as in 2013 (C.2, C.3)
California State regulations require all salmon be made available to a CDFW representative for sampling immediately at port of landing. Any person in possession of a salmon with a missing adipose fin, upon request by an authorized agent or employee of the CDFW, shall immediately relinquish the head of the salmon to the state. (California Fish and Game Code §8226)
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B. MINIMUM SIZE (Inches) (see C.1)

| Area (when open) |  | Chinook | Coho | Pink |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North of Cape Falcon |  | 24.0 | 16.0 | None |
| Cape Falcon to Humbug Mountain | Alt. I \& II | 24.0 | 16.0 | None |
|  | Alt. III | 22.0 | 16.0 | None |
| Humbug Mountain to OR/CA Border | Alt. I \& II | 24.0 | 16.0 | None |
|  | Alt. III | 22.0 | 16.0 | None |
| OR/CA Border to Horse Mountain | Alt. I | 20.0 | - | 20.0 |
|  | Alt. II \& III | 24.0 | - | 24.0 |
| Horse Mountain to Point Arena |  | 20.0 | - | 20.0 |
| Point Arena to Pigeon Point | Alt I | 24.0 | - | 24.0 |
|  | Alt II \& III $\leq$ July 31 | 24.0 | - | 24.0 |
|  | Alt II \& III $\geq$ August 1 | 20.0 | - | 20.0 |
| Pigeon Point to U.S./Mexico Border: | Alt I | 24.0 | - | 24.0 |
|  | Alt II $\leq$ May 31 | 24.0 | - | 24.0 |
|  | Alt II June 1-July 31 | 26.0 | - | 26.0 |
|  | Alt II $\geq$ August 1 | 20.0 | - | 20.0 |
|  | Alt III $\leq$ July 14 | 24.0 | - | 24.0 |
|  | Alt III $\geq$ August 1 | 20.0 | - | 20.0 |

## C. REQUIREMENTS, DEFINITIONS, RESTRICTIONS, OR EXCEPTIONS

C.1. Compliance with Minimum Size and Other Special Restrictions: All salmon on board a vessel must meet the minimum size or other special requirements for the area being fished and the area in which they are landed if that area is open. Salmon may be landed in an area that is closed only if they meet the minimum size or other special requirements for the area in which they were caught.

Ocean Boat Limits: Off the coast of Washington, Oregon, and California, each fisher aboard a vessel may continue to use angling gear until the combined daily limits of Chinook and coho salmon for all licensed and juvenile anglers aboard has have been attained (additional state restrictions may apply).
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## C. REQUIREMENTS, DEFINITIONS, RESTRICTIONS, OR EXCEPTIONS

C.2. Gear Restrictions: Salmon may be taken only by hook and line using barbless hooks. All persons fishing for salmon, and all persons fishing from a boat with salmon on board, must meet the gear restrictions listed below for specific areas or seasons
a. U.S./Canada Border to Point Conception, California: No more than one rod may be used per angler; and no more than two single point, single shank barbless hooks are required for all fishing gear. [Note: ODFW regulations in the state-water fishery off Tillamook Bay may allow the use of barbed hooks to be consistent with inside regulations.]
b. Horse Mountain, California, to Point Conception, California: Single point, single shank, barbless circle hooks (see gear definitions below) are required when fishing with bait by any means other than trolling, and no more than two such hooks shall be used. When angling with two hooks, the distance between the hooks must not exceed five inches when measured from the top of the eye of the top hook to the inner base of the curve of the lower hook, and both hooks must be permanently tied in place (hard tied) Circle hooks are not required when artificial lures are used without bait.

## C.3. Gear Definitions:

a. Recreational fishing gear defined: Angling tackle consisting of a line with no more than one artificial lure and/or natural bait attached. Off Oregon and Washington, angling tackle consists of a single line that the line must be attached to a rod and reel held by hand or closely attended; the rod and reel must be held by hand while playing a hooked fish. No person may use more than one rod and line while fishing off Oregon or Washington. Off California, the line must be attached to a rod and reel held by hand or closely attended; weights directly attached to a line may not exceed four pounds ( 1.8 kg ). While fishing off California north of Point Conception, no person fishing for salmon, and no person fishing from a boat with salmon on board, may use more than one rod and line. Fishing includes any activity which can reasonably be expected to result in the catching, taking, or harvesting of fish
b. Trolling defined: Angling from a boat or floating device that is making way by means of a source of power, other than drifting by means of the prevailing water current or weather conditions.
c. Circle hook defined: A hook with a generally circular shape and a point which turns inward, pointing directly to the shank at a $90^{\circ}$ angle.
C.4. Control Zone Definitions
a. The Bonilla-Tatoosh Line: A line running from the western end of Cape Flattery to Tatoosh Island Lighthouse ( $48^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. Iong.) to the buoy adjacent to Duntze Rock ( $48^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ W. long.), then in a straight line to Bonilla Point ( $48^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. Iong.) on Vancouver Island, British Columbia.
b. Grays Harbor Control Zone - The area defined by a line drawn from the Westport Lighthouse ( $46^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. Iong.) to Buoy \#2 ( $46^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ W. long.) to Buoy \#3 ( $46^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ W. long.) to the Grays Harbor north jetty ( $46^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.).
c. Columbia Control Zone: An area at the Columbia River mouth, bounded on the west by a line running northeast/southwest between the red lighted Buoy \#4 ( $46^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.) and the green lighted Buoy \#7 ( $46^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.); on the east, by the Buoy \#10 line which bears north/south at $357^{\circ}$ true from the south jetty at $46^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. to its intersection with the north jetty; on the north, by a line running northeast/southwest between the green lighted Buoy \#7 to the tip of the north jetty ( $46^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. and then along the north jetty to the point of intersection with the Buoy \#10 line; and on the south by a line running northeast/southwest between the red lighted Buoy \#4 and tip of the south jetty ( $46^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.), and then along the south jetty to the point of intersection with the Buoy \#10 line
d. Stonewall Bank Yelloweye Rockfish Conservation Area: The area defined by the following coordinates in the order listed
$44^{\circ} 37.46^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 24.92^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.;
$44^{\circ} 37.46^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 23.63^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.;
$44^{\circ} 28.71^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 21.80^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
$44^{\circ} 28.71^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 24.1^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.;
$44^{\circ} 31.42^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 25.47{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
and connecting back to $44^{\circ} 37.46$ ' N. lat.; $124^{\circ} 24.9^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
e. Klamath Control Zone: The ocean area at the Klamath River mouth bounded on the north by $41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (approximately six nautical miles north of the Klamath River mouth); on the west, by $124^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. (approximately 12 nautical miles off shore); and, on the south, by $41^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (approximately 6 nautical miles south of the Klamath River mouth)

## C. REQUIREMENTS, DEFINITIONS, RESTRICTIONS, OR EXCEPTIONS

C.5. Inseason Management: Regulatory modifications may become necessary inseason to meet preseason management objectives such as quotas, harvest guidelines, and season duration. In addition to standard inseason actions or modifications already noted under the season description, the following inseason guidance is provided to NMFS:
a. Actions could include modifications to bag limits, or days open to fishing, and extensions or reductions in areas open to fishing.
b. Coho may be transferred inseason among recreational subareas north of Cape Falcon to help meet the recreational season duration objectives (for each subarea) after conferring with representatives of the affected ports and the Council's SAS recreational representatives north of Cape Falcon, and if the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks.
c. Chinook and coho may be transferred between the recreational and commercial fisheries north of Cape Falcon if there is agreement among the representatives of the Salmon Advisory Subpanel (SAS), and if the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks.

Alternative I \&II:
d. Fishery managers may consider inseason action permitting the retention of unmarked coho. Such a consideration may also include a change in bag limit of two salmon, no more than one of which may be a coho. If retention of unmarked coho is permitted by inseason action, the allowable coho quota will be adjusted to ensure preseason projected impacts on all stocks is not exceeded.

Alternative III
d. Fishery managers may consider inseason action permitting the retention of unmarked cohe modifying regulations restricting retention of unmarked coho. To ensure that preseason projected impacts of the fishery are not exceeded, any inseason action shall consider, if significant, the difference between observed and preseason forecasted mark rates. Such a consideration may also include a change in bag limit of two salmon, no more than one of which may be a coho- If retention of unmarked coho is permitted by inseason action, the allowable coho quota will be adjusted to ensure preseason projected impacts on all stocks is not exceeded.
e. Marked coho remaining from the July Cape Falcon to OR/CA border recreational coho quota may be transferred inseason to the September Cape Falcon to Humbug Mountain non-mark-selective recreational fishery if the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks.
C.6. Additional Seasons in State Territorial Waters: Consistent with Council management objectives, the States of Washington, Oregon, and California may establish limited seasons in state waters. Check state regulations for details.

## TABLE 3. Treaty Indian troll management Alternatives adopted by the Council for ocean salmon fisheries, 2013. (Page 1 of 2)

## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS

| ALTERNATIVE I | ALTERNATIVE II | ALTERNATIVE III |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supplemental Management Information | Supplemental Management Information | Supplemental Management Information |
| 1. Overall Treaty-Indian TAC: 55,000 Chinook and 50,000 coho. <br> 2. Overall Chinook and/or coho TACs may need to be reduced or fisheries adjusted to meet NMFS ESA guidance, FMP requirements, upon conclusion of negotiations in the North of Falcon forum, or upon receipt of preseason catch and abundance expectations for Canadian and Alaskan fisheries. | 1. Overall Treaty-Indian TAC: 47,500 Chinook and 47,500 coho. <br> 2. Overall Chinook and/or coho TACs may need to be reduced or fisheries adjusted to meet NMFS ESA guidance, FMP requirements, upon conclusion of negotiations in the North of Falcon forum, or upon receipt of preseason catch and abundance expectations for Canadian and Alaskan fisheries. | 1. Overall Treaty-Indian TAC: 40,000 Chinook and 40,000 coho. <br> 2. Overall Chinook and/or coho TACs may need to be reduced or fisheries adjusted to meet NMFS ESA guidance, FMP requirements, upon conclusion of negotiations in the North of Falcon forum, or upon receipt of preseason catch and abundance expectations for Canadian and Alaskan fisheries. |
| - May 1 through the earlier of June 30 or 33,000 Chinook quota. <br> All salmon except coho. If the Chinook quota is exceeded, the excess will be deducted from the later all-salmon season (C.5). See size limit (B) and other restrictions (C). <br> - July 1 through the earlier of September 15, or 22,000 preseason Chinook quota, or 50,000 coho quota. <br> All Salmon. See size limit (B) and other restrictions (C). | - May 1 through the earlier of June 30 or 23,750 Chinook quota. <br> All salmon except coho. If the Chinook quota is exceeded, the excess will be deducted from the later all-salmon season. See size limit (B) and other restrictions (C). <br> - July 1 through the earlier of September 15, or 23,750 preseason Chinook quota, or 47,500 coho quota. <br> All salmon. See size limit (B) and other restrictions (C). | - May 1 through the earlier of June 30 or 20,000 Chinook quota. <br> All salmon except coho. If the Chinook quota is exceeded, the excess will be deducted from the later all-salmon season. See size limit (B) and other restrictions (C). <br> - July 1 through the earlier of September 15, or 20,000 preseason Chinook quota, or 40,000 coho quota. <br> All salmon. See size limit (B) and other restrictions (C) |
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| Area (when open) | Chinook |  | Coho |  | Pink |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Length | Head-off | Total Length | Head-off |  |
| North of Cape Falcon | 24.0 (61.0 cm) | 18.0 ( 45.7 cm ) | 16.0 (40.6 cm) | 12.0 (30.5 cm) | None |

## C. REQUIREMENTS, DEFINITIONS, RESTRICTIONS, OR EXCEPTIONS

C.1. Tribe and Area Boundaries. All boundaries may be changed to include such other areas as may hereafter be authorized by a Federal court for that tribe's treaty shery.
S'KLALLAM - Washington State Statistical Area 4B (All).
MAKAH - Washington State Statistical Area 4B and that portion of the FMA north of $48^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ N. lat. (Norwegian Memorial) and east of $125^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ W. long
QUILEUTE - That portion of the FMA between $48^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (Sand Pt.) and $47^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (Queets River) and east of $125^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
$\underline{\mathrm{HOH}}$ - That portion of the FMA between $47^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (Quillayute River) and $47^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (Quinault River) and east of $125^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long

QUINAULT - That portion of the FMA between $47^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (Destruction Island) and $46^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (Point Chehalis) and east of $125^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long
C.2. Gear restrictions
a. Single point, single shank, barbless hooks are required in all fisheries.
b. No more than eight fixed lines per boat.
c. No more than four hand held lines per person in the Makah area fishery (Washington State Statistical Area 4 B and that portion of the FMA north of $48^{\circ} 02{ }^{\prime} 15{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat (Norwegian Memorial) and east of $125^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.)
c.3. Quotas
a. The quotas include troll catches by the S'Klallam and Makah tribes in Washington State Statistical Area 4B from May 1 through September 15.
b. The Quileute Tribe will continue a ceremonial and subsistence fishery during the time frame of September 15 through October 15 in the same manner as in $2004-2012$. Fish taken during this fishery are to be counted against treaty troll quotas established for the 2013 season (estimated harvest during the October ceremonial and subsistence fishery: 100 Chinook; 200 coho).
.4. Area Closures
a. The area within a six nautical mile radius of the mouths of the Queets River ( $47^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.) and the Hoh River ( $47^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.) will be closed to commercial fishing.
b. A closure within two nautical miles of the mouth of the Quinault River ( $47^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.) may be enacted by the Quinault Nation and/or the State of Washington and will not adversely affect the Secretary of Commerce's management regime.
C.5. Inseason Management: In addition to standard inseason actions or modifications already noted under the season description, the following inseason guidance is provided to NMFS:
a. Chinook remaining from the May through June treaty-Indian ocean troll harvest guideline north of Cape Falcon may be transferred to the July through September harvest guideline on a fishery impact equivalent basis.

TABLE 4. Chinook and coho harvest quotas and guidelines (*) for 2013 ocean salmon fishery management Alternatives adopted by the Council

| Fishery or Quota Designation | Chinook for Alternative |  |  | Coho for Alternative |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | II | III | 1 | II | III |
|  | NORTH OF CAPE FALCON |  |  |  |  |  |
| TREATY INDIAN OCEAN TROLL ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S./Canada Border to Cape Falcon (All Except Coho) | 33,000 | 23,750 | 20,000 | - | - | - |
| U.S./Canada Border to Cape Falcon (All Species) | 22,000 | 23,750 | 20,000 | 50,000 | 47,500 | 40,000 |
| Subtotal Treaty Indian Ocean Troll | 55,000 | 47,500 | 40,000 | 50,000 | 47,500 | 40,000 |
| NON-INDIAN COMMERCIAL TROLL ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S./Canada Border to Cape Falcon (All Except Coho) | 31,700 | 25,000 | 20,000 | - | - | - |
| U.S./Canada Border to Cape Falcon (All Species) | 15,800 | 12,500 | 10,000 | 14,400 | 13,600 | 12,000 |
| Subtotal Non-Indian Commercial Troll | 47,500 | 37,500 | 30,000 | 14,400 | 13,600 | 12,000 |
| RECREATIONAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S./Canada Border to Cape Falcon (All Except Coho) ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | 8,000 * | 8,000 * | - | - | - | - |
| U.S./Canada Border to Cape Alava ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | 5,300 * | 4,100 * | 3,700 | 7,860 | 7,430 | 6,550 |
| Cape Alava to Queets River ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | 1,800 * | 1,400 * | 1,200 | 1,970 | 1,860 | 1,640 |
| Queets River to Leadbetter Pt. ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | 25,600 * | 19,700 * | 17,700 | 27,970 | 26,410 | 23,310 |
| Leadbetter Pt. to Cape Falcon ${ }^{\text {b/d/ }}$ | 10,800 * | 8,300 * | 7,400 | 37,800 | 35,700 | 31,500 |
| Subtotal Recreational | 51,500 | 41,500 | 30,000 | 75,600 | 71,400 | 63,000 |
| TOTAL NORTH OF CAPE FALCON | 154,000 | 126,500 | 100,000 | 140,000 | 132,500 | 115,000 |
|  |  |  | SOUTH OF | ALCON |  |  |
| COMMERCIAL TROLL ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Humbug Mt. to OR/CA Border | 9,000 | 7,500 | 5,500 | - | - | - |
| OR/CA Border to Humboldt South Jetty | 10,000 | 15,500 | 3,000 | - | - | - |
| Subtotal Commercial Troll | 19,000 | 23,000 | 8,500 | - | - | - |
| RECREATIONAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cape Falcon to Oregon/California Border | - | - | - | 28,000 e/ | 25,000 ${ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 22,000 ${ }^{\text {e/ }}$ |
| TOTAL SOUTH OF CAPE FALCON | 19,000 | 23,000 | 8,500 | 28,000 | 25,000 | 22,000 |

a/ Quotas are non-mark selective for both Chinook and coho.
b/ Quotas are non-mark-selective for Chinook and mark-selective for coho.
c/ Quotas are mark-selective for Chinook, equivalent to unmarked quotas of 4,000.
d/ Does not include Buoy 10 fishery. Expected catch in August and September: Alternative I-12,000 marked coho; Alternative II-13,000 marked coho; Alternative III - 14,000 marked coho.
e/ The quota consists of both mark-selective and non-mark-selective quotas: 12,000 and 16,000 in Alternative $1 ; 10,000$ and 15,000 in Alternative $\mathrm{Il} ; 10,000$ and 12,000 in
Alternative III, respectively.

TABLE 5. Projected key stock escapements (thousands of fish) or management criteria for 2013 ocean fishery Alternatives adopted by the Council. ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ (Page 1 of 3 )

| Key Stock/Criteria | Projected Ocean Escapement ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ or Other Criteria (Council Area Impacts in Parens) |  |  | Spaw ner Objective or Other Comparative Standard as Noted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alternative I | Alternative II | Alternative III |  |  |
| CHINOOK |  |  |  |  |  |
| Columbia Upriver Brights | 420.8 | 421.7 | 422.4 |  | Minimum ocean escapement to attain 60.0 adults over McNary Dam, with normal distribution and no mainstem harvest. |
| Mid-Columbia Brights | 102.4 | 102.6 | 102.8 |  | Minimum ocean escapement to attain 4.7 adults for Bonneville Hatchery and 2.0 for Little White Salmon Hatchery egg-take, assuming average conversion and no mainstem harvest. |
| Columbia Low er River Hatchery Tules | 84.8 | 87.4 | 90.7 | 23.8 N | Minimum ocean escapement to attain 10.3 adults for hatchery egg-take, with average conversion and no low er river mainstem or tributary harvest. |
| Columbia Low er River Natural Tules (threatened) | 43.3\% | 40.7\% | 38.5\% | $\leq 41.0 \%$ T | Total adult equivalent fishery exploitation rate (2013 NMFS ESA guidance). |
| Columbia Low er River Wild ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ (threatened) | 14.1 | 14.2 | 14.2 |  | Minimum ocean escapement to attain MSY spaw ner goal of 5.7 for N. Lew is River fall Chinook (NMFS ESA consultation standard). |
| Spring Creek Hatchery Tules | 35.0 | 37.5 | 39.8 |  | Minimum ocean escapement to attain 7.0 adults for Spring Creek Hatchery eggtake, assuming average conversion and no mainstem harvest. |
| Snake River Fall (threatened) SRFI | 53.3\% | 51.0\% | 47.5\% | $\leq 70.0 \%$ | Of 1988-1993 base period exploitation rate for all ocean fisheries (NMFS ESA consultation standard). |
| Klamath River Fall | 73.8 | 73.8 | 73.8 | $\geq 73.8$ | 2013 preseason ACL. |
| Federally recognized tribal harvest | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% E | Equals 114.9, 114.8, and 115.0 (thousand) adult fish for Yurok and Hoopa Valley tribal fisheries. |
| Spaw ner Reduction Rate | 68.0\% | 68.0\% | 68.0\% | $\leq 68.0 \%$ | FMP; equals 156.7, 156.7, and 156.7 (thousand) fewer natural area adult spaw ners due to fishing. |
| Adult river mouth return | 272.1 | 272.3 | 272.1 | NA | Total adults. |
| Age 4 ocean harvest rate | 16.0\% | 16.0\% | 16.0\% | $\leq 16.0 \%$ | NMFS ESA consultation standard for threatened California Coastal Chinook. |
| KMZ sport fishery share | 9.6\% | 9.4\% | 8.7\% |  | No Council guidance for 2013. |
| River recreational fishery share | 34.5\% | 34.8\% | 34.4\% | NA Eq | Equals 39.6, 39.9, and 39.6 (thousand) adult fish for recreational inriver fisheries. |
| Sacramento River Winter (endangered) | 12.9\% | 12.5\% | 12.8\% | $\leq 12.9 \%$ | Age-3 ocean impact rate in fisheries south of Pt. Arena. In addition, the following season restrictions apply: Recreational- Pt. Arena to Pigeon Pt. betw een the first Saturday in April and the second Sunday in November; Pigeon Pt. to the U.S./Mexico Border between the first Saturday in April and the first Sunday in October. Minimum size limit $\geq 20$ inches total length. Commercial- Pt. Arena to the U.S./Mexico border betw een May 1 and September 30, except Pt. Reyes to Pt. San Pedro between October 1 and 15. Minimum size limit $\geq 26$ inches total length (NMFS 2013 ESA Guidance). |
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Projected Ocean Escapement ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ or Other

| Key Stock/Criteria | Projected Ocean Escapement or Other Criteria (Council Area Impacts in Parens) |  |  | Spaw ner Objective or Other Comparative Standard as Noted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alternative I | Alternative II | Alternative III |  |  |
| Sacramento River Fall | 460.6 | 457.8 | 454.4 | $\geq 250.3$ | 2013 preseason ACL. |
| Sacramento Index exploitation rate | 44.8\% | 45.1\% | 45.5\% | $\leq 70.0 \%$ | FMP. |
| Ocean commercial impacts | 202.0 | 198.6 | 208.9 |  | All Alternatives include fall (Sept-Dec) 2012 impacts (23.5 thousand SRFC). |
| Ocean recreational impacts | 96.6 | 103.3 | 97.0 |  | All Alternatives include fall 2012 impacts (7.8 thousand SRFC). |
| River recreational impacts | 75.0 | 74.5 | 74.0 |  | No guidance in 2013. |
| Hatchery spaw ner goal | Met | Met | Met |  | Aggregate number of adults to achieve egg take goals at Coleman, Feather River, and Nimbus hatcheries. |
| COHO |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interior Fraser (Thompson River) | 12.0\% (4.8\%) | 11.6\% (4.5\%) | 10.9\% (3.8\%) | $\leq 10.0 \%$ | 2013 Southern U.S. exploitation rate ceiling; 2002 PSC coho agreement. |
| Skagit | 37.2\% (4.5\%) | 37.0\% (4.2\%) | 36.5\% (3.5\%) | $\leq 60.0 \%$ | 2013 total exploitation rate ceiling; FMP matrix ${ }^{\text {d/ }}$ |
| Stillaguamish | 29.9\% (3.1\%) | 29.7\% (2.9\%) | 29.3\% (2.4\%) | $\leq 50.0 \%$ | 2013 total exploitation rate ceiling; FMP matrix ${ }^{\text {d/ }}$ |
| Snohomish | 27.2\% (3.1\%) | 27.0\% (2.9\%) | 26.6\% (2.4\%) | $\leq 60.0 \%$ | 2013 total exploitation rate ceiling; FMP matrix ${ }^{\text {d/ }}$ |
| Hood Canal | 52.3\% (5.0\%) | 52.0\% (4.6\%) | 51.6\% (3.9\%) | $\leq 45.0 \%$ | 2013 total exploitation rate ceiling; FMP matrix ${ }^{\text {d/ }}$ |
| Strait of Juan de Fuca | 16.2\% (4.0\%) | 16.0\% (3.8\%) | 15.4\% (3.1\%) | $\leq 40.0 \%$ | 2013 total exploitation rate ceiling; FMP matrix ${ }^{\text {d/ }}$ |


| Quillayute Fall | 15.9 | 15.9 | 16.1 | 6.3 | FMP MSY adult spaw ner estimate ${ }^{\mathrm{d} /}$. Value depicted is ocean escapement. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hoh | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 2.5 | FMP MSY adult spaw ner estimate ${ }^{\mathrm{d} /}$. Value depicted is ocean escapement. |
| Queets Wild | 18.9 | 19.2 | 19.5 | 5.8 | FMP MSY adult spaw ner estimate ${ }^{\text {d/ }}$. Value depicted is ocean escapement. |
| Grays Harbor | 178.7 | 179.4 | 180.7 | 24.4 |  |
| Low er Columbia River Natural (threatened) | 11.7\% | 10.9\% | 9.5\% | $\leq 15.0 \%$ | Total marine and mainstem Columbia River fishery exploitation rate (2013 NMFS ESA guidance). Value depicted is ocean fishery exploitation rate only. Bolded values identify ocean exploitation rates that, when combined with 2012 freshw ater harvest rates, w ill exceed the total allow able exploitation rate of 15.0 percent. |
| Upper Columbia ${ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | >50\% | >50\% | >50\% | $\geq 50 \%$ | Minimum percentage of the run to Bonneville Dam. |
| Columbia River Hatchery Early | 255.2 | 258.2 | 263.3 | 36.7 | Minimum ocean escapement to attain hatchery egg-take goal of 14.3 early adult coho, w ith average conversion and no mainstem or tributary fisheries. |
| Columbia River Hatchery Late | 119.4 | 122.0 | 126.7 | 9.6 | Minimum ocean escapement to attain hatchery egg-take goal of 6.0 late adult coho, w ith average conversion and no mainstem or tributary fisheries. |
| Oregon Coastal Natural | 24.0\% ${ }^{\text {f/ }}$ | 20.7\% | 19.5\% | $\leq 30.0 \%$ | Marine and freshwater fishery exploitation rate (NMFS ESA consultation standard). |
| Southern Oregon/Northern California Coast (threatened) | 7.6\% | 7.7\% | 7.1\% | $\leq 13.0 \%$ | Marine fishery exploitation rate for R/K hatchery coho (NMFS ESA consultation standard). |

Environmental Assessment: Preseason Report II 2013 Ocean Salmon Fisheries Management Measures

[^4]TABLE 6. Preliminary projections of Chinook and coho harvest impacts for 2013 ocean salmon fishery management Alternatives adopted by the Council. (Page 1 of 2)

| Area and Fishery | 2013 Catch Projection |  |  | 2013 Bycatch Mortality ${ }^{\text {a/ Projection }}$ |  |  | 2013 Bycatch Projection ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ |  |  | Observed in 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Bycatch |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | II | III |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | III | 1 | 1 | III | Catch | Mortality |
| OCEAN FISHERIES ${ }^{\text {c/: }}$ | CHINOOK (thousands of fish) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NORTH OF CAPE FALCON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treaty Indian Ocean Troll | 55.0 | 47.5 | 40.0 | 7.9 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 22.9 | 19.8 | 16.6 | 56.2 | 8.6 |
| Non-Indian Commercial Troll | 47.5 | 37.5 | 30.0 | 12.7 | 10.0 | 7.9 | 42.7 | 33.6 | 26.8 | 45.3 | 10.3 |
| Recreational | 51.5 | 41.5 | 30.0 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 3.3 | 38.3 | 33.4 | 14.2 | 35.4 | 4.7 |
| CAPE FALCON TO HUMBUG MT. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Troll | 147.9 | 147.8 | 146.7 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 27.0 | 74.5 | 74.5 | 73.9 | 59.2 | 18.4 |
| Recreational | 9.4 | 9.3 | 9.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 7.8 | 1.5 |
| HUMBUG MT. TO HORSE MT. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Troll | 20.2 | 24.2 | 9.7 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 1.8 | 10.2 | 12.2 | 4.9 | 10.7 | $3.8{ }^{\text {d/ }}$ |
| Recreational | 31.4 | 30.5 | 27.3 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 13.3 | 12.9 | 11.6 | 48.6 | $4.8{ }^{\text {d/ }}$ |
| SOUTH OF HORSE MT. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 196.0 | 187.9 | 205.5 | 36.1 | 34.6 | 37.8 | 98.7 | 94.7 | 103.5 | 209.6 | $36.0{ }^{\text {d } /}$ |
| Recreational | 94.2 | 101.8 | 96.9 | 11.1 | 12.0 | 11.4 | 34.8 | 37.5 | 35.8 | 83.6 | $10.6{ }^{\text {d/ }}$ |
| TOTAL OCEAN FISHERIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Troll | 466.6 | 444.9 | 431.9 | 87.5 | 83.0 | 80.2 | 249.0 | 234.7 | 225.6 | 381.0 | 77.1 |
| Recreational | 186.5 | 183.1 | 163.6 | 23.5 | 23.1 | 19.0 | 90.4 | 87.8 | 65.5 | 175.4 | 21.6 |

INSIDE FISHERIES:

| Area 4B | - | - | - | - | - | NA | - | - | NA | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buoy 10 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 18.6 | $1.8{ }^{\text {d/ }}$ |

TABLE6. Preliminary projections of Chinook and coho harvest impacts for 2012 ocean salmon fishery management Alternatives adopted by the Council. (Page 2 of 2 )

| Area and Fishery | 2013 Catch Projection |  |  | 2013 Bycatch Mortality ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ Projection |  |  | 2013 Bycatch Projection ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ |  |  | Observed in 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Bycatch |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | II | III |  |  |  | 1 | II | III | 1 | II | III | Catch | Mortality |
|  | COHO (thousands of fish) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NORTH OF CAPE FALCON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treaty Indian Ocean Trolll ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ | 50.0 | 47.5 | 40.0 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 8.1 | 6.4 | 5.4 | 37.3 | 2.8 |
| Non-Indian Commercial Troll ${ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 14.4 | 13.6 | 12.0 | 16.1 | 13.6 | 11.3 | 57.4 | 48.0 | 39.8 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| Recreational ${ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 75.6 | 71.4 | 63.0 | 19.4 | 18.3 | 15.4 | 91.3 | 86.0 | 70.9 | 33.1 | 12.4 |
| SOUTH OF CAPE FALCON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Troll | - | - | - | 9.8 | 10.0 | 9.4 | 37.9 | 38.6 | 36.2 | 0.0 | 8.7 |
| Recreational ${ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 28.0 | 25.0 | 22.0 | 11.6 | 11.0 | 10.4 | 57.4 | 54.6 | 52.3 | 14.4 | 8.3 |
| TOTAL OCEAN FISHERIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Troll | 64.4 | 61.1 | 52.0 | 29.9 | 27.0 | 23.6 | 103.4 | 93.0 | 81.4 | 41.2 | 15.3 |
| Recreational | 103.6 | 96.4 | 85.0 | 31.0 | 29.3 | 25.8 | 148.7 | 140.6 | 123.2 | 47.5 | 20.7 |
| INSIDE FISHERIES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area 4B | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Buoy 10 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 14.0 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 10.1 | 10.8 | 11.5 | 7.4 | $2.2{ }^{\text {d/ }}$ |

a/ The bycatch mortality reported in this table consists of drop-off mortality (includes predation on hooked fish) plus hook-and-release mortality of Chinook and coho salmon in Council-area fisheries. Drop-off mortality for both Chinook and coho is assumed to be equal to $5 \%$ of total encounters. The hook-and-release mortality (HRM) rates used for both chinook and coho are:
Commercial: 26\%.
Recreational, north of Pt. Arena: 14\%.
Recreational, south of Pt. Arena: $18 \%$ (based on the expected proportion of fish that will be caught using mooching versus trolling gear, and the HRMs of $42.2 \%$ and $14 \%$ for these tw o respective gear types).
b/ Bycatch calculated as dropoff mortality plus fish released.
c/ Includes Oregon territorial water, late season Chinook fisheries.
d/ Based on reported released Chinook or coho
e/ Includes fisheries that allow retention of all legal sized coho.

TABLE 7. Expected coastwide lower Columbia Natural (LCN), Oregon coastal natural (OCN), and Rogue/Klamath (RK) coho, and Lower Columbia River (LCR) natural tule Chinook exploitation rates by fishery for 2013 ocean fisheries management Alternatives adopted by the Council.

| Fishery | Exploitation Rate (Percent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LCN Coho |  |  | OCN Coho |  |  | RK Coho |  |  | LCR Tule Chinook |  |  |
|  | 1 | 11 | III | I | 11 | III | 1 | II | III | 1 | II | III |
| SOUTHEAST ALASKA | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% |
| BRITISH COLUMBIA | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 12.1\% | 12.4\% | 12.6\% |
| PUGET SOUND/STRATT | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% |
| NORTH OF CAPE FALCON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treaty Indian Ocean Troll | 2.2\% | 2.1\% | 1.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.2\% | 6.1\% | 5.2\% |
| Recreational | 4.5\% | 4.2\% | 3.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 2.9\% | 2.3\% |
| Non-Indian Troll | 1.9\% | 1.6\% | 1.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.8\% | 6.2\% | 5.0\% |
| SOUTH OF CAPE FALCON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recreational: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% |
| Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt. | 1.8\% | 1.6\% | 1.4\% | 8.5\% | 5.6\% | 4.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% |  |  |  |
| Humbug Mt. to OR/CA border (KMZ) | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% |  |  |  |
| OR/CA border to Horse Mt. (KMZ) | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 2.2\% | 2.1\% | 1.9\% |  |  |  |
| Fort Bragg | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% |  |  |  |
| South of Pt. Arena | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% |  |  |  |
| Troll: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% |
| Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt. | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% |  |  |  |
| Humbug Mt. to OR/CA border (KMZ) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |
| OR/CA border to Horse Mt. (KMZ) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% |  |  |  |
| Fort Bragg | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 1.6\% | 1.3\% | 1.5\% |  |  |  |
| South of Pt. Arena | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% |  |  |  |
| BUOY 10 | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 7.9\% | 8.2\% |
| ESTUARY/FRESHWATER | N/A | N/A | N/A | 10.0\% | 9.8\% | 10.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 7.5\% | 7.9\% | 8.2\% |
| TOTAL ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ | 11.7\% | 10.9\% | 9.5\% | 24.0\% ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | 20.7\% | 19.5\% | 7.6\% | 7.7\% | 7.1\% | 43.3\% | 40.7\% | 38.5\% |

TOTAL ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ exceed the total allow able exploitation rate of 15.0 percent
b/ Modeled as if the 12,000 marked coho quota in July w as rolled into the 16,000 non-mark-selective coho quota in September. The resulting 28,000 non-mark-selective coho quota in September in this simulation did not result in an increase to the projected impacts for LCN coho, but impacts for OCN coho increased by 2.5 percent for a total exploitation rate of 24.0 percent.

TABLE 8. Projected coho mark rates for 2013 fisheries under base period fishing patterns (percent marked).

| Area | Fishery | June | July | August | September |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |
| Johnstone Strait | Recreational | - | 29\% | 28\% | - |
| West Coast Vancouver Island | Recreational | 37\% | 32\% | 30\% | 29\% |
| North Georgia Strait | Recreational | 38\% | 39\% | 38\% | 34\% |
| South Georgia Strait | Recreational | 39\% | 42\% | 37\% | 41\% |
| Juan de Fuca Strait | Recreational | 40\% | 42\% | 44\% | 39\% |
| Johnstone Strait | Troll | 44\% | 37\% | 33\% | 37\% |
| NW Vancouver Island | Troll | 37\% | 36\% | 35\% | 36\% |
| SW Vancouver Island | Troll | 41\% | 41\% | 41\% | 42\% |
| Georgia Strait | Troll | 43\% | 44\% | 45\% | 42\% |
| Puget Sound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strait of Juan de Fuca (Area 5) | Recreational | 49\% | 46\% | 43\% | 46\% |
| Strait of Juan de Fuca (Area 6) | Recreational | 48\% | 44\% | 44\% | 43\% |
| San Juan Island (Area 7) | Recreational | 30\% | 40\% | 38\% | 32\% |
| North Puget Sound (Areas 6 \& 7A) | Net | - | 48\% | 38\% | 37\% |
| Council Area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Neah Bay (Area 4/4B) | Recreational | 37\% | 46\% | 44\% | 47\% |
| LaPush (Area 3) | Recreational | 50\% | 49\% | 51\% | 45\% |
| Westport (Area 2) | Recreational | 56\% | 54\% | 51\% | 44\% |
| Columbia River (Area 1) | Recreational | 64\% | 62\% | 58\% | 60\% |
| Tillamook | Recreational | 55\% | 50\% | 45\% | 34\% |
| New port | Recreational | 51\% | 47\% | 44\% | 32\% |
| Coos Bay | Recreational | 43\% | 40\% | 30\% | 18\% |
| Brookings | Recreational | 37\% | 27\% | 24\% | 11\% |
| Neah Bay (Area 4/4B) | Troll | 43\% | 44\% | 44\% | 42\% |
| LaPush (Area 3) | Troll | 44\% | 49\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Westport (Area 2) | Troll | 45\% | 47\% | 49\% | 47\% |
| Columbia River (Area 1) | Troll | 56\% | 55\% | 52\% | 53\% |
| Tillamook | Troll | 51\% | 49\% | 49\% | 45\% |
| New port | Troll | 49\% | 47\% | 45\% | 43\% |
| Coos Bay | Troll | 42\% | 40\% | 35\% | 23\% |
| Brookings | Troll | 32\% | 33\% | 36\% | 50\% |
| Columbia River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buoy 10 | Recreational | - | - | - | 61\% |

TABLE 9. Preliminary projected exvessel value under Council-adopted 2013 non-Indian commercial troll regulatory Alternatives compared to 2012 and the 2008-2012 average (in inflation adjusted dollars).

| Management Area | Alternative | Exvessel Value (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2013 Projected ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | 2012 Actual | Percent Change from 2012 | $\begin{gathered} 2008-2012 \\ \text { Average }^{\text {c/ }} \end{gathered}$ | Percent Change From 2008-2012 Average |
| North of Cape Falcon | I | 3,016 | 2,847 | +6\% | 2,313 | +30\% |
|  | 11 | 2,411 |  | -15\% |  | +4\% |
|  | III | 1,944 |  | -32\% |  | -16\% |
| Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt. | 1 | 8,897 | 3,463 | +157\% | 1,534 | +480\% |
|  | \\| | 8,892 |  | +157\% |  | +480\% |
|  | III | 8,826 |  | +155\% |  | +475\% |
| Humbug Mt. to Horse Mt. | 1 | 1,126 | 584 | +93\% | 188 | +498\% |
|  | II | 1,350 |  | +131\% |  | +616\% |
|  | III | 541 |  | -7\% |  | +187\% |
| Horse Mt. to Pt. Arena | 1 | 3,958 | 2,043 | +94\% | 1,174 | +237\% |
|  | 11 | 3,627 |  | +77\% |  | +209\% |
|  | III | 3,969 |  | +94\% |  | +238\% |
| South of Pt. Arena | 1 | 8,952 | 10,712 | -16\% | 2,660 | +237\% |
|  | 11 | 8,808 |  | -18\% |  | +231\% |
|  | III | 9,625 |  | -10\% |  | +262\% |
| Total South of Cape Falcon | 1 | 22,934 | 16,802 | +36\% | 5,557 | +313\% |
|  | 11 | 22,677 |  | +35\% |  | +308\% |
|  | III | 22,961 |  | +37\% |  | +313\% |
| West Coast Total | I | 25,950 | 19,649 | +32\% | 7,870 | +230\% |
|  | 11 | 25,088 |  | +28\% |  | +219\% |
|  | III | 24,905 |  | +27\% |  | +216\% |

a/ Exvessel values are not comparable to the community income impacts show $n$ in Table 10.
b/ Dollar value estimates are based on expected catches in the Council management area, 2012 exvessel prices and 2012 average w eight per fish.
c/ Values are inflation-adjusted to 2012 dollars.

TABLE 10. Preliminary projected angler trips and coastal community income impacts generated under Council-adopted 2013 recreational ocean salmon fishery regulatory Alternatives compared to 2012 and the 2008-2012 average (in inflation adjusted dollars).

| Management Area | Alternative | Angler Trips (thousands) |  |  | Community Income Impacts (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |  |  | Percent Change in Income Impacts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Estimates Based on the Options | 2012 <br> Actual | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2008-2012 } \\ \text { Avg. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Estimates Based on the Options | $2012$ <br> Actual | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2008-2012 } \\ \text { Avg. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Compared to 2012 Actual | Compared to 2008-2012 Avg. |
| North of Cape Falcon | 1 | 103 | 80 | 80 | 10,498 | 8,165 | 7,969 | +29\% | +32\% |
|  | II | 98 |  |  | 9,989 |  |  | +22\% | +25\% |
|  | III | 75 |  |  | 7,641 |  |  | -6\% | -4\% |
| Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt. | 1 | 51 | 44 | 41 | 3,072 | 2,647 | 2,511 | +16\% | +22\% |
|  | II | 51 |  |  | 3,072 |  |  | +16\% | +22\% |
|  | III | 51 |  |  | 3,072 |  |  | +16\% | +22\% |
| Humbug Mt. to Horse Mt. | 1 | 43 | 50 | 19 | 2,409 | 2,773 | 1,066 | -13\% | +126\% |
|  | II | 43 |  |  | 2,368 |  |  | -15\% | +122\% |
|  | III | 38 |  |  | 2,113 |  |  | -24\% | +98\% |
| Horse Mt. to Pt. Arena | 1 | 21 | 15 | 7 | 1,598 | 1,122 | 557 | +42\% | +187\% |
|  | II | 21 |  |  | 1,598 |  |  | +42\% | +187\% |
|  | III | 21 |  |  | 1,598 |  |  | +42\% | +187\% |
| South of Pt. Arena | 1 | 100 | 101 | 40 | 9,118 | 9,197 | 3,507 | -1\% | +160\% |
|  | II | 108 |  |  | 9,882 |  |  | +7\% | +182\% |
|  | III | 102 |  |  | 9,349 |  |  | +2\% | +167\% |
| Total South of Cape Falcon | 1 | 215 | 209 | 108 | 16,197 | 15,740 | 7,640 | +3\% | +112\% |
|  | II | 222 |  |  | 16,919 |  |  | +7\% | +121\% |
|  | III | 212 |  |  | 16,132 |  |  | +2\% | +111\% |
| West Coast Total | 1 | 317 | 289 | 188 | 26,696 | 23,904 | 15,610 | +12\% | +71\% |
|  | II | 320 |  |  | 26,908 |  |  | +13\% | +72\% |
|  | III | 287 |  |  | 23,773 |  |  | -1\% | +52\% |

a/ Income impacts are not comparable to the exvessel values show $n$ in Table 9. All dollar values are inflation-adjusted to 2012 dollars.


FIGURE 1. Projected community income impacts associated with the Council adopted 2013 commercial fishery Alternatives compared to 2012 and the 2008-2012 average (in inflation adjusted dollars).


FIGURE 2. Projected community income impacts associated with the Council adopted 2013 recreational fishery Alternatives compared to 2012 and the 2008-2012 average (in inflation adjusted dollars).

## APPENDIX A: PROJECTED IMPACT RATES AND HARVEST FOR AGE-3 SACRAMENTO RIVER WINTER CHINOOK AND AGE-4 KLAMATH RIVER FALL CHINOOK

TABLE A-1. Sacramento River winter Chinook age-3 impact rate forecasts, stratified by fishery, Alternative, management area, and month.


SF = Pt. Arena to Pigeon Pt. (San Francisco)
MO = Pigeon Pt. to the U.S./Mexico Border (Monterey)

TABLE A-2. Klamath River fall Chinook age-4 ocean harvest forecasts by month, area, fishery, and Alternative. In 2013 , a harvest of 53,000 age- 4 KRFC results in a 16\% ocean harvest rate.

| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alternative I 16.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Port | Fall | 2012 |  |  | Summe | 2013 |  |  | Summer | Year |
| Area | Sept | Oct-Dec | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Total | Total |
| NO | 395 | 132 | - | 513 | 1,002 | 343 | 338 | 1,084 | 3,280 | 3,807 |
| CO | 396 | 0 | - | 1,296 | 1,547 | 1,138 | 1,779 | 3,183 | 8,943 | 9,339 |
| KO | 159 | 0 | - | - | 124 | 921 | 818 | 499 | 2,362 | 2,521 |
| KC | 739 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 739 |
| FB | 0 | - | - | - | 2,603 | 5,759 | 10,845 | 3,369 | 22,576 | 22,576 |
| SF | 0 | $0 \mid$ | - | - | 1,326 | 1,725 | 3,497 | 439\| | 6,987 | 6,987 |
| MO | 0 | - | - | - | 325 | 195 | 335 | 4 | 859 | 859 |
| Total | 1,689 | 132 | 1,808 |  | 6,928 10,081 |  | 17,612 | 8,579 | 45,008 | 46,829 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alternative II 16.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Port <br> Area | Fall 2012 |  | Summer 2013 |  |  |  |  | Summer |  | Year |
|  | Sep | Oct-Dec | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Total | Total |
| NO | 395 | 132 | - | 513 | 1,002 | 342 | 336 | 1,087 | 3,280 | 3,807 |
| CO | 396 | 0 | - | 1,296 | 1,547 | 1,133 | 1,769 | 3,190 | 8,935 | 9,331 |
| KO | 159 | 0 | - | - | 124 | 688 | 545 | 374 | 1,731 | 1,890 |
| KC | 739 |  | - |  | 1,987 | 1,297 | 551 | 467 | 4,302 | 5,041 |
| FB | 0 | - 1 | - | - | 1,685 | 5,097 | 9,313 | 3,376 | 19,471 | 19,471 |
| SF | 0 | 0 | - | - | 1,396 | 1,526 | 3,004 | 440 | 6,366 | 6,366 |
| MO | 0 | - | - | - | 362 | 172 | 288 | 4 | 826 | 826 |
| Total | 1,689 | 132 | - | 1,808 | 8,103 | 10,254 | 15,806 | 8,938 | 44,909 | 46,730 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.1\% |
| Alternative III 16.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Port | Fall | 2012 |  |  | Summe | 2013 |  |  | Summer | Year |
| Area | Sep | Oct-Dec | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Total | Total |
| NO | 395 | 132 | - | 513 | 1,002 | 345 | 342 | 1,084 | 3,286 | 3,813 |
| CO | 396 | 0 | - | 1,296 | 1,547 | 1,145 | 1,796 | 3,181 | 8,965 | 9,361 |
| KO | 159 | 0 | - | - | 124 | 463 | 410 | 250 | 1,247 | 1,406 |
| KC | 739 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 739 |
| FB | 0 | - | - | - | 1,225 | 5,473 | 12,941 | 3,367 | 23,006 | 23,006 |
| SF | 0 | 0 | - |  | 1,431 | 1,639 | 4,174 | 439 | 7,683 | 7,683 |
| MO | 0 | -1 | - | - | 380 | 185 | 400 | 4 | 969 | 969 |
| Total | 1,689 | 132 | - | 1,808 | 5,710 | 9,250 | 20,062 | 8,324 | 45,154 | 46,975 |



## APPENDIX B: NEPA AND ESA ANALYSES INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Several documents supporting the analyses of effects to the environment from the Alternatives have been incorporated by reference. Those documents are described and passages relevant to analyses contained in this EA are excerpted below.

## NMFS 2003: West Coast Salmon Harvest Programmatic EIS

This document evaluates how NMFS reviews annual salmon fishery plans in three jurisdictions, the North Pacific Fishery Management Council for Southeast Alaska; the Pacific Fishery Management Council for the Washington, Oregon, and California coast; and U.S. v. Oregon for the Columbia River Basin. In general, NMFS seeks to implement fisheries that are consistent with a variety of statutory and legal obligations related to resource conservation, socioeconomic benefits associated with resource use, and treaty trust obligations. Fishery plans are developed annually within the context of framework plans to meet the year-specific circumstances related to the status of stocks affected by the fisheries. This final PEIS evaluates different ways to balance these objectives and different strategies that can be used that may provide better solutions for meeting the obligations and objectives of the respective framework plans. The alternatives considered in this final PEIS are programmatic in nature and are designed to provide an overview of fishery management methods and strategies that can be implemented as part of the annual planning processes.

This document includes the following statements relative to Council area salmon fisheries:
While the levels of salmon catch fluctuate from year to year, the amount of groundfish taken as incidental catch is very low so that changes in the salmon fishery do not substantially alter the projections for harvest-related mortality in the groundfish fishery.

Other Council managed species such as halibut, highly migratory species (draft FMP), and coastal pelagic species are also landed jointly with salmon. For all of these stocks, fish caught on the same trip with salmon are documented. Data on the commercial segment of these fisheries show the co-occurrence rates for salmon and these other Council-managed species is low, as well as for non-Council-managed species. Changes in the salmon fishery are not expected to have a substantial impact on the directed fisheries for the non-salmon stocks

The commercial troll fishery off the coasts of Washington, Oregon, and California is classified as a Category III fishery, indicating a remote or no likelihood of known incidental mortality or serious injury of marine mammals. In general, recreational fishery uses the same gear and techniques as the commercial fisheries and can be assumed to have similar rates of encounters and results.

After excluding ESA listed marine mammals, only three species of marine mammals are defined as strategic under MMPA within the coverage area: short-finned pilot whales, mesoplodont beaked whales, and Minke whales (Barlow et al. 1997). This strategic classification denotes that projected human-caused mortality exceeds the species’ annual potential biological removal estimate under MMPA standards. As with ESA listed marine mammal species, there is no record of these three species being affected by the ocean salmon fisheries managed by the Council.

Steller sea lion interaction with the Pacific Coast salmon fisheries is rare and NMFS has determined mortality and serious injury incidental to commercial fishing operations would have a negligible effect. Available information indicates that Pacific Coast salmon fisheries are not likely to jeopardize the existence of the Guadalupe fur seal. No sea turtles have been reported
taken by the ocean salmon fisheries off Washington, Oregon, or California. NMFS has determined that commercial fishing by Pacific Coast fisheries would pose a negligible threat to the Pacific species.

Short-term effects on seabirds are minimal, if any. The types of vessels used in the fishery and the conduct of the vessels are not conducive to collisions or the introduction of rats other nonindigenous species to seabird breeding colonies. Anecdotal information suggests accidental bird encounters are a rare event for commercial and recreational ocean salmon fisheries (Council 1999a). Long-term effects on seabirds from the ocean salmon fisheries are also minimal.

The removal of adult salmon by the ocean fisheries is not considered to significantly affect the lower trophic levels or the overall marine ecosystem because salmon are not the only or primary predator in the marine environment.

## PFMC 2006: EA for 2006 Ocean Salmon Management Measures

The 2006 regulations EA analyzes the environmental and socioeconomic impacts of proposed management measures for ocean salmon fisheries occurring off the coasts of Washington, Oregon, and California. The document evaluated the 2006 annual salmon ocean harvest management measures with respect to compliance with the terms of the Salmon FMP, obligations under the Pacific Salmon Treaty (PST), and the level of protection required by all consultation standards for salmon species listed under the ESA. The range of alternatives analyzed in the 2006 Regulations EA included the effects of three levels of de minimis fishing strategies on KRFC when the stock was projected to fall below the 35,000 natural spawner floor for the third consecutive year. The escapement floor for naturally spawning KRFC was projected to not be attained even with complete closure of ocean salmon fisheries between Cape Falcon, Oregon, and Point Sur, California; therefore, the management measures required implementation by emergency rule. The NMFS-recommended 2006 salmon fishery management measures did not completely close fisheries between Cape Falcon and Point Sur, but limited fisheries to provide a minimum of 21,100 natural spawning adult KRFC in 2006. The 2006 EA supported NMFS’ Finding of No Significant Impacts (FONSI) for the 2006 ocean salmon regulations.

Appendix A of Amendment 14 (EFH Appendix A) describes salmon EFH and fishing and nonfishing impacts to this habitat. It found no evidence of direct gear effects on this habitat from Council-managed salmon fisheries. ... Because EFH impacts are extensively described and analyzed in EFH Appendix A, and this analysis demonstrates the fishery has no significant impacts, EFH will not be considered further in this environmental assessment.

Fisheries management can affect safety if, for example, season openings make it more likely that fishermen will have to go out in bad weather because fishing opportunities are limited. The EA incorporated into Amendment 8 to the Salmon FMP analyzed alternatives to adjust management measures if unsafe weather affected fishery access. The range of management measures considered for the proposed action would be within the range described in that EA. Since these types of potential impacts have been previously analyzed and found not to be significant, they are not discussed in this EA.

## NMFS 2008: Biological Opinion on 2008 Ocean Fisheries Effects on Southern Resident Killer Whales

This document constitutes the National Marine Fisheries Service's (NMFS) biological opinion regarding the effects of the 2008-2009 Pacific coast salmon fisheries on the Southern Resident killer whale distinct population segment. The fisheries assessed by this Opinion are fisheries are managed under the
jurisdiction of the Pacific Fisheries Management Council (PFMC) and target primarily Chinook and coho salmon, and pink salmon.

After reviewing the current status of the endangered population of Southern Resident killer whales and their critical habitat, the environmental baseline for the action area, the effects of the proposed actions, and cumulative effects, it is NMFS's biological opinion that the proposed action is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of the Southern Resident killer whales or adversely modify critical habitat.
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## APPENDIX C: KLAMATH OCEAN HARVEST MODEL CONTACT RATE PER UNIT EFFORT PREDICTOR DATA MODIFICATION

In 2006, owing to three consecutive years of under forecasting the Klamath River fall Chinook age-4 harvest rate, an adjustment was made to the data used in the Klamath Ocean Harvest Model (KOHM) contact rate per unit effort predictor module. ${ }^{1}$ It was noted that for the commercial fishery in the Fort Bragg (FB), San Francisco (SF), and Monterey (MO) management areas, contact rates per unit effort were fairly consistently above predicted values for 2003-2005. As a result, beginning in the 2006 management year, the commercial fishery contact rate per unit effort forecasts for the FB, SF, and MO areas in months May-August were made using a ratio estimator fit to data from 2003-2005 instead of the full 1983-2005 dataset. This modification to the data range resulted in substantial increases in predicted age-4 contact rates per unit effort in those areas and months. The practice of using data from 2003-forward to predict commercial fishery contact rates per unit effort in the aforementioned areas and months continued through the 2012 management year.

In the three most recent years (2010-2012), the age-4 ocean harvest rate has been over forecast, prompting an investigation into factors that could have led to these prediction errors. The two major components of harvest rate forecasting in the KOHM are the effort forecast component and the contact rate per unit effort forecast component.

Effort forecasts for many of the month, management area, and fishery strata were over predicted in 2010, 2011, and to a lesser degree in 2012. The problem of over prediction of fishing effort was addressed prior to the 2012 management year by limiting the effort forecasting dataset to years 1998-forward; prior to this change, effort was forecast using data from 1991-forward. This modification to the effort forecast module had the effect of lowering effort per day open forecasts for most month, management area, and fishery strata.

The 2013 re-evaluation of the KOHM contact rate per unit effort forecast module was focused on the practice of limiting the dataset used for prediction to years 2003-forward in FB, SF, and MO commercial fisheries. Individual area- and month-specific forecast errors (observed / predicted) for commercial fisheries occurring from 2006-2012 are displayed in Figure C-1. For the MO management area, observed contact rates per unit effort for most month and year combinations were zero. Because contact rate per unit effort predictions made using the 2003-forward dataset are larger than those made using the 1983forward dataset, the magnitude of over prediction would have been lower in most cases if the 1983forward dataset were used. Use of the 1983-forward dataset in SF for May and June would also have resulted in better predictions. In contrast, use of the 2003-forward dataset for SF and FB in July and August appears to still be warranted at this time. Fisheries in FB have not been open in May and June since 2006 which precluded an evaluation of contact rates per unit effort for those months.

Based on results described above, in 2013 the STT returned to using a ratio estimator fit to 1983-2012 data for forecasting contact rate per unit effort for MO, May-August, and for SF, May-June. Data from 2003-2012 were used for forecasting contact rates per unit effort for FB, May-August, and for SF, JulyAugust. Figure C-2 displays the age-4 contact rate per unit effort predictors used for the 2013 management year. Black lines in Figure C-2 depict age-4 contact rate per unit effort estimated from 1983-2012 data and grey lines are predictors based on 2003-2012 data in the month and area strata where these predictors were employed.

[^5]

FIGURE C-1. Observed / predicted contact rates per unit effort for the commercial fishery in Fort Bragg (FB), San Francisco (SF), and Monterey (MO). Open circles denote values associated with prediction of contact rates per unit effort using data from 2003 up to management year -1 . Filled circles denote values derived from using data from 1983 to management year -1 . The horizontal dashed line indicates when observed equals predicted.


FIGURE C-2. Contact rate and effort estimates, and contact rate per unit effort predictors, used in the 2013 Klamath Ocean Harvest Model. Grey circles denote contact rate and effort data for 2003-2012 and black circles denote data from 1983-2012. Grey lines are contact rate per unit effort predictors fit to 2003-2012 data for strata in which this data range was used for prediction. Black lines are predictors fit to all data.
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This is the last in a series of three preseason reports prepared by the Pacific Fishery Management Council's (Council) Salmon Technical Team (STT) and staff. The reports document and help guide salmon fishery management in the exclusive economic zone (EEZ) from 3 to 200 nautical miles off the coasts of Washington, Oregon, and California, and within state territorial waters. This report summarizes the STT analysis of the 2013 ocean salmon fishery management measures adopted by the Council for submission to the U.S. Secretary of Commerce and characterizes their expected impacts on ocean salmon fisheries and the stocks which support them.

This report also constitutes the third and final part of an Environmental Assessment (EA) to comply with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requirements for the 2013 ocean salmon regulations and includes a description and analysis of a Proposed Action. An EA is used to determine whether an action being considered by a Federal agency has significant environmental impacts. The second part of the EA (Preseason Report II; PFMC 2013c) presented a statement of the purpose and need, a description of the affected environment, a description of 2013 ocean salmon regulation Alternatives being considered, and an analysis of the effects of those Alternatives on the affected environment. The first part of the EA (Preseason Report I; PFMC 2013b) included a description of the No-Action Alternative and an analysis of the effects of the No-Action Alternative on salmon stocks managed under the Pacific Coast Salmon Fishery Management Plan (FMP), which is one component of the affected environment. Along with the description and analysis of the Proposed Action in this report, these three parts of the EA will provide the necessary components to determine if a finding of no significant impact (FONSI) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is warranted.

The Council's recommendations for the 2013 ocean salmon fishery regulations meet all objectives of the Pacific Coast Salmon Plan (Salmon FMP) (Section 3), including Annual Catch Limits (ACLs) set according to the FMP and described in Preseason Report I; the level of protection required by all consultation standards for salmon species listed under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) (Section 4), and; the obligations under the Pacific Salmon Treaty (PST) (Section 5).

### 2.0 SELECTION OF FINAL MANAGEMENT MEASURES

The following figures and tables describe the Council-adopted management measures covering the period from May 1, 2013, to April 30, 2014 :

Table 1 - Non-Indian commercial ocean salmon management measures;
Figure 1 - Geographic outline of commercial troll (non-Indian) ocean salmon seasons;
Table 2 - Recreational ocean salmon management measures;
Figure 2 - Geographic outline of recreational ocean salmon seasons;
Table 3 - Treaty Indian commercial ocean management measures; and
Table 4 - Allowable catch quotas for Chinook and coho.
In addition, Tables 5,6 , and 7 provide information on the biological impacts and landing estimates for the Council's management recommendations. Table 8 displays the expected mark (healed adipose fin-clip) rate for coho encountered in Council adopted mark-selective fisheries. Tables 9 and 10, and Figures 3 and 4, provide information on the economic impacts of the proposed fisheries. Table 11 summarizes environmental effects of the Proposed Action and Alternatives.

The 2013 seasons are constrained primarily by: (1) threatened California coastal Chinook south of Cape Falcon, (2) endangered Sacramento River winter Chinook south of Point Arena, (3) threatened lower Columbia River (LCR) natural tule fall Chinook north of Cape Falcon, (4) threatened Lower Columbia natural (LCN) coho north of the Oregon/California border, and (5) Upper Fraser (Thompson River) coho north of Cape Falcon.

Regulations and expected fishing patterns for the treaty Indian ocean fisheries were developed by the Hoh, S'Klallam, Makah, Quileute, and Quinault tribes for their respective fisheries.

### 2.1 Inseason Management

Inseason changes are made to meet the preseason intent of the management measures described in this document, but must also meet the Council's Salmon FMP goals, especially in regard to conservation and allocation goals, Federally-recognized Indian fishing rights, consultation standards for ESA-listed salmon stocks, and obligations under the PST.

Inseason actions that are anticipated for the 2013-2014 management season include, but are not limited to, the following possibilities:

1. Adjustments in landing limits and days open for non-Indian commercial fisheries.
2. Changing the days or number of days of fishing allowed per calendar week for recreational fisheries.
3. Transfer of coho quotas among recreational port areas north of Cape Falcon.
4. Trading portions of Chinook and coho quotas between recreational and non-Indian commercial sectors north of Cape Falcon.
5. Routine openings and closings, and other management measures associated with quota management, including modifying open areas, bag limits, species retention limits, and mark-selective retention restrictions.
6. Transferring unused or exceeded quota to subsequent fisheries on an impact neutral, fishery equivalent, basis for the north of Cape Falcon treaty Indian Chinook fisheries, the north of Cape Falcon non-Indian commercial Chinook fisheries, the north of Cape Falcon recreational Chinook fisheries, the Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt. recreational coho fisheries, the Oregon Klamath Management Zone (KMZ) commercial Chinook fisheries, and the California KMZ commercial Chinook fisheries.
7. Closing Oregon recreational and commercial fisheries scheduled to open March 15, 2014, if necessary to meet 2014 management objectives.
8. Closing California recreational fisheries scheduled to open April 5, 2014, or commercial fisheries scheduled to open April 16, 2014, if necessary to meet 2014 management objectives.

Management measures were modeled to assess fishery impacts from a potential rollover of coho from the Cape Falcon to Oregon/California Border hatchery mark-selective recreational fishery in July to the Cape Falcon to Humbug Mountain non-mark-selective recreational fishery in September. Impacts were modeled with the entire 10,500 marked coho quota in July rolled into the 16,000 non-mark-selective coho quota in September. The resulting 26,500 non-mark-selective coho quota in September in this simulation did not result in a significant increase to the projected impacts for LCN coho, but impacts for OCN coho increased by 2.1 percent for a total of 23.1 percent. The primary purpose of this preseason modeling exercise was to quantify the maximum impacts of a potential inseason rollover action to ensure that impacts would remain neutral on the most limiting stock (LCN coho), would remain under the preseason expected exploitation rate for OCN coho ( 23.1 percent), and would meet the OCN coho ESA consultation standard of less than 30.0 percent. It is likely that an inseason rollover from the July fishery to the September fishery will be substantially lower than 10,500 marked coho resulting in an OCN impact less than modeled.

Regarding inseason actions relative to the retention of unmarked coho in recreational fisheries, the Council adopted the following language under the requirements, definitions, restrictions, or exceptions for the recreational management measures (see Table 2, Section C.5.b): "Fishery managers may consider inseason action modifying regulations restricting retention of unmarked coho. To remain consistent with
preseason expectations, any inseason action shall consider, if significant, the difference between observed and preseason forecasted mark rates. Such a consideration may also include a change in bag limit of two salmon, no more than one of which may be a coho." At the April Council meeting, the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) presented drafts of a letter aimed at confirming the agency’s intent of the Section C.5.b language and to provide insight into how NMFS would apply the language to inseason actions in 2013 and beyond. The final letter, dated April 16, 2013, is included in this report as Appendix A.

Inseason action will generally be accomplished through NMFS sponsored conference calls attended by representatives of affected state and tribal management agencies, the Council, the Salmon Advisory Subpanel (SAS), and the STT. The Council may also make recommendations for inseason actions at any of its regularly scheduled meetings.

### 2.2 State Waters Fisheries

In addition to the seasons shown in Tables 1 and 2, the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) may permit fall fisheries for salmon in certain areas within state marine waters. Potential seasons off the Oregon coast include commercial and recreational fisheries at the mouths of the Chetco and Elk Rivers. Washington may also establish limited recreational salmon fisheries in state marine waters if additional impacts on critical coho and/or Chinook stocks can be accommodated within management constraints. California will not establish any additional state marine water salmon fisheries in 2013.

### 3.0 SALMON FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN REQUIREMENTS

The Council's Salmon FMP includes objectives for setting annual management measures to regulate ocean salmon fisheries between the U.S./Canada border and the U.S./Mexico border. The objectives include biological, administrative, and allocation requirements. In recommending final management measures, the Council attempts to meet all objectives in a fair and balanced manner, while maintaining established priorities.

Biological objectives for stocks originating in the Council area and impacted by Council area ocean fisheries are listed in Table 3-1 of the Salmon FMP. The objectives generally consist of meeting spawning escapement numbers associated with maximum sustainable yield ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ), overfishing limits (OFL), acceptable biological catch (ABC), and annual catch limits (ACL), or exploitation rate limits designed to support recovery of depressed stocks or to rebuild overfished stocks, while encompassing a long-term average harvest approximating MSY.

Administrative objectives are requirements for meeting other applicable law outside of the Salmon FMP. These requirements include ESA consultation standards, international treaties, and tribal trust responsibilities. The Salmon FMP defers to NMFS consultation standards for salmon stocks listed under the ESA in regards to biological conservation objectives. Section 4.0 of this document provides greater detail on ESA listed stocks, while impacts of the Council-adopted salmon management measures on ESA listed stocks are included in Table 5.

The Salmon FMP requires compliance with relevant terms of the PST. Section 5.0 of this document provides greater detail on PST provisions and stocks, while impacts of the Council-adopted salmon management measures on those stocks are included in Table 5.

The Salmon FMP also requires compliance with treaty fishing rights as described in Court orders in the U.S. v. Washington (Puget Sound), Hoh v. Baldrige (Washington coast), and U.S. v. Oregon (Columbia River) cases, and the Solicitor General opinion (Klamath River) governing allocation and management of shared salmon resources. Much of the North of Falcon forum is dedicated to annual negotiations
establishing allocation among the tribes, non-Indian fishing sectors, and ocean and inside interests. The results of these negotiations allow the Council to complete final management measure recommendations while meeting its biological, administrative, and allocation objectives.

The Columbia River treaty tribes establish periodic management agreements with the state co-managers and Federal agencies. These agreements are approved pursuant to provisions of U.S. v. Oregon procedures. Recent agreements have included an entitlement for the treaty tribes of 50 percent of the coho return destined for areas upstream from Bonneville Dam. Council area fisheries are shaped to meet this requirement in some years.

The Yurok and Hoopa Valley tribes are entitled to 50 percent of the total Klamath River fall Chinook (KRFC) harvest, which is calculated as a harvest of KRFC equal to that taken in all non-tribal fisheries. The Council must account for all harvest impacts when assessing the achievement of KRFC conservation objectives.

In addition to the allocation objectives associated with sharing between treaty Indian and non-Indian sectors, the Salmon FMP includes formulas for sharing Chinook and coho quotas north of Cape Falcon between commercial and recreational sectors, and among recreational port subareas, and for coho south of Cape Falcon between commercial and recreational sectors. The 2013 salmon management measures adopted by the Council meet the allocation requirements for fisheries north of Cape Falcon in the Salmon FMP. There were insufficient coho available for a directed commercial harvest south of Cape Falcon; therefore, the FMP allocation schedule guidance was to determine allocation of allowable impacts during the preseason process.

### 4.0 SPECIES LISTED UNDER THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT

Since 1989, NMFS listed 17 Evolutionarily Significant Units (ESUs) of salmon under the ESA:

| Species | ESU | Status | Federal Register Notice |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Most Recent |  | Original Listing |  |
| Chinook Salmon | Sacramento River Winter | Endangered | 76 FR 50447 | 8/15/2011 | 54 FR 32085 | 8/1/1989 |
| (O. tshawytscha) | Snake River Fall | Threatened | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 57 FR 14653 | 4/22/1992 |
|  | Snake River Spring/Summer | Threatened | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 57 FR 14653 | 4/22/1992 |
|  | Puget Sound | Threatened | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 64 FR 14308 | 3/24/1999 |
|  | Lower Columbia River | Threatened | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 64 FR 14308 | 3/24/1999 |
|  | Upper Willamette River | Threatened | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 64 FR 14308 | 3/24/1999 |
|  | Upper Columbia River Spring | Endangered | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 64 FR 14308 | 3/24/1999 |
|  | Central Valley Spring | Threatened | 76 FR 50447 | 8/15/2011 | 64 FR 50394 | 9/16/1999 |
|  | California Coastal | Threatened | 76 FR 50447 | 8/15/2011 | 64 FR 50394 | 9/16/1999 |
| Chum Salmon | Hood Canal Summer-Run | Threatened | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 64 FR 14508 | 3/25/1999 |
| (O. keta) | Columbia River | Threatened | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 64 FR 14508 | 3/25/1999 |
| Coho Salmon | Central California Coastal | Endangered | 76 FR 50447 | 8/15/2011 | 61 FR 56138 | 10/31/1996 |
| (O. kisutch) | S. Oregon/ N. California Coastal | Threatened | 76 FR 50447 | 8/15/2011 | 62 FR 24588 | 5/6/1997 |
|  | Oregon Coastal | Threatened | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 63 FR 42587 | 8/10/1998 |
|  | Lower Columbia River | Threatened | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 |  |  |
| Sockeye Salmon | Snake River | Endangered | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 56 FR 58619 | 11/20/1991 |
| (O. nerka) | Ozette Lake | Threatened | 76 FR 50448 | 8/15/2011 | 64 FR 14528 | 3/25/1999 |

As the listings have occurred, NMFS has initiated formal consultations and issued biological opinions (BOs) that consider the impacts resulting from implementation of the Salmon FMP, or from annual management measures, to listed salmonid species. NMFS has also reinitiated consultation on certain ESUs when new information has become available on the status of the stocks or on the impacts of the Salmon FMP on the stocks. The consultation standards referred to in this document include (1) reasonable and prudent alternatives, (2) conservation objectives for which NMFS conducted Section 7 consultations and arrived at a no-jeopardy conclusion, and (3) NMFS requirements under Section 4(d) determinations. A list of current BOs in effect, the species they apply to, and their duration follows:

| Date | Evolutionarily Significant Unit covered and effective period |
| :---: | :--- |
| 8-Mar-96 | Snake River spring/summer and fall Chinook and sockeye (until reinitiated) |
| 28-Apr-99 | Oregon Coastal natural coho, Southern Oregon/ Northern California coastal coho, Central California coastal coho (until <br> reinitiated) <br> Central Valley spring Chinook (until reinitiated) |
| 27-Apr-01 | Hood Canal summer chum 4(d) limit (until reinitiated) |
| 30-Apr-01 | Upper Willamette Chinook, Upper Columbia spring Chinook, Lake Ozette sockeye, Columbia River chum, and 10 steelhead <br> ESUs (until reinitiated) |
| 30-Apr-10 | Sacramento River winter Chinook (until reinitiated) |
| 30-Apr-04 | Puget Sound Chinook (until reinitiated) |
| 13-Jun-05 | California coastal Chinook (until reinitiated) |
| 28-Apr-08 | Low er Columbia River natural coho (until reinitiated) |
| 26-Apr-12 | Low er Columbia River Chinook (until reinitiated) |

Amendment 12 to the Salmon FMP added the generic category "species listed under the ESA" to the list of stocks in the salmon management unit and modified respective escapement goals to include "manage consistent with NMFS jeopardy standards or recovery plans to meet immediate conservation needs and
long-term recovery of the species." Amendment 14 specified those listed ESUs and clarified which stocks in the FMP management unit were representative of the ESUs.

In a letter received by the Council on February 28, 2013, NMFS provided guidance on protective measures for species listed under the ESA during the 2013 fishing season. The letter summarized the requirements of NMFS' BOs on the effects of potential actions under the salmon FMP on listed salmon and provided the anticipated consultation standards of the BOs in preparation for the 2013 management season, as well as further guidance and recommendations for the 2013 management season.

The ESA consultation standards, exploitation rates, and other criteria in place for the 2013 management season are presented in Table 5. Some listed stocks are either rarely caught in Council fisheries (e.g., spring Chinook from the upper Columbia River) or already receive sufficient protection from other salmon FMP and ESA standards (e.g., Central Valley spring Chinook). NMFS has determined that management actions designed to limit catch from these ESUs, beyond what will be provided by harvest constraints for other stocks, are not necessary.

Of the listed Chinook and coho, Council-managed fisheries have substantive impacts on Sacramento River winter Chinook (SRWC), Central Valley spring Chinook, California coastal Chinook, Snake River wild (SRW) fall Chinook, lower Columbia River (LCR) fall Chinook, and all of the coho stocks. Additional listed salmonid ESUs found within the Council area, but not substantively impacted by Council-managed fisheries, include:

## Chinook

Snake River spring/summer (threatened)
Upper Willamette (threatened)

Sockeye
Snake River (endangered)

Chum
Columbia River (threatened)

Steelhead
Southern California (endangered)
South-central California coast (threatened)
Upper Columbia River (endangered)
Middle Columbia River (threatened)
Snake River Basin (threatened)
Puget Sound (threatened)

Puget Sound (threatened)
Upper Columbia River spring (endangered)

Ozette Lake Sockeye (threatened)

Hood Canal summer (threatened)

Central Valley, California (threatened)
Central California coast (threatened)
Upper Willamette River (threatened)
Lower Columbia River (threatened)
Northern California (threatened)

### 5.0 OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE PACIFIC SALMON TREATY

In 1985, the PST was signed, setting long-term goals for the benefit of the shared salmon resources of the United States and Canada. The PSC is the body formed by the governments of Canada and the United States to implement the Pacific Salmon Treaty.

### 5.1 Chinook Salmon Management

A new agreement under the PST was negotiated in 2008 and formally accepted by both the U.S. and Canada in December of 2008. This new agreement took effect on January 1, 2009, and includes a 30 percent reduction in the catch ceilings for AABM fisheries off the West Coast Vancouver Island (WCVI) and a 15 percent reduction in the catch ceilings for AABM fisheries in Southeast Alaska Chinook relative to the catch ceilings in effect for these fisheries since 1999. Under the terms of the 2009 PST Agreement, Council fisheries for Chinook salmon continue to be subject to the ISBM provisions of Annex 4, Chapter 3, adopted in 1999. These provisions require the adult equivalent (AEQ) exploitation rate by all U.S.
fisheries south of the U.S./Canada border be reduced by 40 percent from the 1979-1982 base period for Chinook indicator stocks identified in Attachment V of the Pacific Salmon Treaty that fail to achieve their management objectives..

Many Chinook stocks of concern to the Council are affected by fisheries off Canada and Alaska. Maximum allowable catches by AABM fishery complexes off the WCVI, Northern British Columbia, and Southeast Alaska are determined through the annual calibration of the PSC Chinook Model. Canadian fisheries that are not included in AABM complexes are managed under ISBM constraints, which require a 36.5 percent reduction in AEQ exploitation rates relative to the 1979-1982 base period on Chinook indicator stocks identified in Attachment V of the Pacific Salmon Treaty that are not expected to their management objectives. Expectations for Canadian and Alaskan fisheries harvest and stock abundance forecasts are incorporated into the Chinook Fishery Regulation Assessment Model (FRAM) to estimate total exploitation rate impacts from all marine fisheries (Table 5).

Key considerations for Canadian domestic fishery management for Chinook in 2013 include, (1) meeting domestic conservation obligations for WCVI, Strait of Georgia, and Fraser River spring stocks; (2) Chinook harvests by native fisheries; and (3) incidental impacts during commercial and native fisheries directed at sockeye, and chum salmon. The fishery regulatory package off WCVI was driven by levels of allowable impact on WCVI and Lower Strait of Georgia Chinook and Interior Fraser (Thompson River) coho.

### 5.2 Coho Salmon Management

In 2002, the PSC adopted a management plan for coho salmon originating in Washington and Southern British Columbia river systems. The plan is directed at the conservation of key management units, four from Southern British Columbia (Interior Fraser, Lower Fraser, Strait of Georgia Mainland, and Strait of Georgia Vancouver Island) and nine from Washington (Skagit, Stillaguamish, Snohomish, Hood Canal, Strait of Juan de Fuca, Quillayute, Hoh, Queets, and Grays Harbor). Exploitation rate limits for intercepting fisheries are established for individual management units through formulas specified in the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan, and are based on abundance of the management units.

The categorical status of U.S. coho management units is reported to comply with obligations pursuant to the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan. Categorical status is employed by the PSC under the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan to indicate general ranges of allowable total exploitation rates for U.S. and Canadian coho management units. Three categories are employed: low (total exploitation rate less than 20 percent), moderate (total exploitation rate 20 percent to 40 percent), and abundant (total exploitation rate greater than 40 percent). For the Puget Sound management units, the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan uses the thresholds and stepped exploitation rate goals from the Comprehensive Coho Agreement, developed by Washington and the Puget Sound tribes, and adopted by the Council as FMP conservation objectives in November 2009. Actual exploitation rate constraints for Canadian fisheries on U.S. coho management units are determined by formulas that specify sharing of allowable exploitation rates and a "composite rule." The composite rule adjusts constraints for Canadian fishery exploitation rates based on the number of U.S. management units which fall in a given category. For example, if only one Washington coastal coho management unit is in low status, Canadian fisheries are constrained to a total exploitation rate on that unit of 12 percent; if two or more Washington coastal management units are in low status, the constraint becomes 10 percent. The most restrictive exploitation rate limit for Canadian fishery impacts on U.S. coho management units is 10 percent.

For Washington coastal coho management units, a range is reported for the allowable exploitation rates based on the relationship between the preseason abundance forecast and the upper and lower values of the spawning escapement goal ranges. Maximum exploitation rates are computed using the lower end of the
escapement range, and minimum exploitation rates are computed using the upper end of the escapement range. For purposes of reporting the categorical status, an allowable exploitation rate is computed using the mid-point of the escapement goal range. However, the maximum allowable exploitation rate allowed under the PST is 65 percent.

For 2013, Puget Sound and Washington coast coho constraints are as follows:

| PST Southern Coho Management Plan | Total Exploitation Rate Constraint ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ | Categorical Status ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Management Unit | $60 \%$ | Abundant |
| Skagit | $50 \%$ | Abundant |
| Stillaguamish | $60 \%$ | Abundant |
| Hood Canal | $45 \%$ | Moderate |
| Strait of Juan de Fuca | $40 \%$ | Moderate |
| Quillayute Fall | Moderate |  |
| Hoh | $40 \%$ | Abundant |
| Queets | $65 \%$ | Abundant |
| Grays Harbor | $65 \%$ | Abundant |
| F/ Preliminary. For Puget Sound and Washington Coast management units, the exploitation rate constraints reflect application of |  |  |
| the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan. |  |  |
| b/ Categories (abundant, moderate, low) correspond to the general exploitation rate ranges depicted in paragraph $3(a)$ of the |  |  |
| 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan. For Washington Coast stocks, categorical status is determined by taking the |  |  |
| midpoint of the range of exploitation rates associated w ith achieving the escapement goal ranges. The exploitation rate ranges |  |  |
| are based on preseason abundance forecasts and the upper and low er ends of the escapement goal ranges. Maximum |  |  |
| exploitation rates are computed using the lower end of the escapement range; minimum exploitation rates are computed using the |  |  |

Key considerations for Canadian fishery management for coho in 2013 are expected to include, (1) meeting domestic conservation obligations for Interior Fraser (including Thompson River) coho; (2) coho harvests by First Nations fisheries; (3) incidental impacts during commercial and First Nations fisheries directed at Chinook, sockeye, and chum salmon; and (4) the desire to provide increased opportunity for sport fisheries through mark-selective retention regulations. The Canadian fishery regimes affecting coho will be driven by Canadian domestic allowable impacts on the Thompson River component of the Interior Fraser management unit (in previous years, Canadian fisheries were managed so as not to exceed a three percent maximum exploitation rate).

The projected status of Canadian coho management units in 2013 indicates continuing concerns for the condition of Interior Fraser coho. The Interior Fraser coho management remains in low status, constraining the total mortality fishery exploitation rate for 2013 Southern U.S. fisheries to a maximum of 10.0 percent.

### 6.0 CHINOOK SALMON MANAGEMENT

### 6.1 North of Cape Falcon

Abundance projections important to Chinook harvest management north of Cape Falcon in 2013 are:

- Columbia River hatchery tules. Combined production of Lower River Hatchery (LRH) and Spring Creek Hatchery (SCH) stocks returning to the Columbia River is predicted to be 126,000, which is lower than the 2012 preseason expectation of 190,800 . The 2013 LRH forecast abundance is 88,000 , lower than the forecast of 127,000 in 2012. The 2013 SCH forecast abundance is 38,000 , which is lower than last year's forecast of 63,800 .


### 6.1.1 Objectives

Key Chinook salmon management objectives shaping management measures north of Cape Falcon are:

- NMFS consultation standards and annual guidance for ESA listed stocks as provided in Section 4.0 above. Relevant stocks for the area north of Cape Falcon include LCR natural tule Chinook, Columbia Lower River Wild (LRW) fall Chinook, and SRW fall Chinook.


### 6.1.2 Achievement of Objectives

Fishery quotas under the adopted management measures are presented in Table 4. Stock-specific management criteria and their forecast values are provided in Table 5. Projected fishery landings, bycatch, and bycatch mortality estimates are summarized in Table 6 . Table 7 provides a breakdown of impacts by fishery and area for LCR tule Chinook.

- LCR natural tule fall Chinook. The projected exploitation rate in the adopted management measures is equal to the 41.0 percent maximum for 2013. LCR tules are the constraining Chinook stock for fisheries north of Cape Falcon in 2013.
- LRW fall Chinook: The adopted management measures have a projected spawning escapement of 14,300 adults in the North Fork Lewis River, which exceeds the ESA consultation standard of an adult spawning escapement of at least 5,700 in the North Fork Lewis River. LRW Chinook will not constrain ocean fisheries north of Cape Falcon in 2013.
- SRW fall Chinook. The adopted management measures have an ocean exploitation rate of 51.9 percent of the base period exploitation rate, which is less than the ESA consultation standard of no more than 70 percent of the 1988-1993 base period exploitation rate for all ocean fisheries. SRW Chinook will not constrain ocean fisheries north of Cape Falcon in 2013.

The adopted management measures for Chinook fisheries north of Cape Falcon satisfy NMFS ESA consultation standards and guidance, FMP conservation objectives, and all other objectives for relevant Chinook stocks other than those listed above (Table 5).

### 6.2 South of Cape Falcon

Status of Chinook stocks important to 2013 Chinook harvest management south of Cape Falcon are:

- Sacramento River Fall Chinook (SRFC). The 2013 Sacramento Index (SI) forecast is 834,200 SRFC adults, which is slightly higher than the average postseason-estimated SI for years 19832012.
- KRFC. The age-3 forecast is 390,700 KRFC, which is above average. The age-4 forecast is 331,200 fish, which is well above average; since 1985 the postseason estimate of age- 4 abundance has only exceeded this level once. The age- 5 forecast is 5,700 . Last year's preseason forecast was 1,567,600 age-3, 79,600 age-4, and 4,600 age-5 fish.
- SRWC. No abundance forecast is made for this stock. The geometric mean of the most recent three years of escapement is 1,521 fish. The geometric mean of the previous three years of escapement has been in decline since 2007.


### 6.2.1 Objectives

Key Chinook salmon management objectives shaping management measures south of Cape Falcon are:

- NMFS consultation standards and annual guidance for ESA listed stocks as provided in Section 4.0 above. Relevant stocks for the area south of Cape Falcon include SRWC, California coastal Chinook, SRW fall Chinook, and LCR natural tule Chinook.
- SRFC hatchery and natural-area spawner escapement goal of 122,000 to 180,000 adults (FMP conservation objective). Fisheries must also be designed to achieve, in expectation, an escapement greater than or equal to the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$. For 2013, the preseason $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ is 250,262 hatchery and natural area adult spawners.
- KRFC natural area spawning escapement of at least 40,700 adults, a spawner reduction rate not to exceed 68 percent (FMP conservation objective), and 50:50 tribal-non-tribal sharing of adult harvest (Department of Interior Solicitor Opinion). Fisheries must be designed to achieve, in expectation, an escapement greater than or equal to the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$. For 2013, the preseason $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ is 73,751 natural area adult spawners.


### 6.2.2 Achievement of Objectives

Fishery quotas under the adopted management measures are presented in Table 4. Stock-specific management criteria and their forecast values under the adopted management measures are provided in Table 5. Projected fishery landings, bycatch, and bycatch mortality estimates are summarized in Table 6. Table 7 provides a breakdown of impacts by fishery and area for LCR tule Chinook. Descriptions pertaining to the achievement of key objectives for Chinook salmon management south of Cape Falcon are found below.

- California coastal Chinook. The ESA consultation standard that limits the forecast KRFC age-4 ocean harvest rate to a maximum of 16.0 percent is met by the adopted management measures.
- SRWC. The ESA consultation standard that (1) limits the age-3 impact rate in 2013 fisheries south of Point Arena to a maximum of 12.9 percent and (2) specifies time/area closures and minimum size limit constraints south of Point Arena, is met by the adopted management measures.
- KRFC. The preseason $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ of 73,751 natural-area adult spawners, as well as the conservation objective, is met by the adopted management measures.
- SRFC. The preseason $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ACL}}$ of 250,262 hatchery and natural area adult spawners, as well as the conservation objective, is met by the adopted management measures.
- LCR natural tule fall Chinook. The exploitation rate in the adopted management measures is equal to the 41.0 percent maximum for 2013.
- SRW fall Chinook. SRW Chinook will not constrain ocean fisheries south of Cape Falcon in 2013.

The adopted management measures for Chinook fisheries south of Cape Falcon satisfy NMFS ESA consultation standards and guidance, FMP conservation objectives, and all other objectives for relevant Chinook stocks other than and including those listed above (Table 5).

### 7.0 COHO SALMON MANAGEMENT

Abundance projections relevant to coho harvest management in Council area fisheries are:

- OPI Hatchery coho. The 2013 forecast for hatchery coho from the Columbia River and the coast south of Cape Falcon of 525,400 is higher than the 2012 forecast of 341,700 . The Columbia River early coho forecast is 331,600 compared to the 2012 forecast of 229,800 , and the Columbia River late coho forecast is 169,500 , compared to the 2012 forecast of 87,400 .
- OCN coho. The 2013 OCN forecast is 191,000 compared to the 2012 forecast of 291,000.
- LCN coho. The 2013 LCN forecast is 46,500 compared to the 2012 forecast of 30,100.
- Puget Sound coho. Among Puget Sound natural stocks, Skagit, Snohomish, and Stillaguamish are in the normal category in 2013, and Hood Canal and Strait of Juan de Fuca are in the low category.
- Interior Fraser (Thompson River) coho. This Canadian stock continues to be depressed and will continue to constrain 2013 ocean coho fisheries north of Cape Falcon.


### 7.1 Objectives

Key coho management objectives shaping management measures in 2013 Council area fisheries are:

- NMFS consultation standards and annual guidance for ESA listed stocks as provided in Section 4.0 above. Relevant stocks include Central California Coast coho (south of the Oregon/California border), Southern Oregon/Northern California Coastal (SONCC) coho, OCN coho, and LCN coho. Based on this guidance, the maximum allowable exploitation rates for 2013 are: a combined marine/freshwater exploitation rate not to exceed 30.0 percent for OCN coho, a combined exploitation rate in marine-area and mainstem Columbia River fisheries not to exceed 15.0 percent for LCN coho, and a marine exploitation rate not to exceed 13.0 percent for Rogue/Klamath (RK) hatchery coho, used as a surrogate for the SONCC coho ESU. Furthermore, coho retention is prohibited in all California ocean fisheries.
- Salmon FMP conservation objectives and obligations under the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan for stocks originating along the Washington coast, Puget Sound, and British Columbia as provided in Section 5.2 above. Because of the generally favorable forecasts for coho stocks in 2013, Interior Fraser coho is the only key management stock for ocean fisheries north of Cape Falcon. Because of their abundance status, Interior Fraser coho are subject to an exploitation rate ceiling of 10.0 percent in southern U.S. fisheries under the 2002 PST Southern Coho Management Plan.


### 7.2 Achievement of Objectives

Fishery quotas under the adopted management measures are presented in Table 4. Stock-specific management criteria and their forecast values are provided in Table 5. Projected fishery landings, bycatch, and bycatch mortality are summarized in Table 6. Table 7 provides a breakdown of impacts by fishery and area for LCN, OCN, and RK coho. Table 8 provides expected coho mark rates for west coast fisheries by month.

- LCN coho. The adopted management measures satisfy the maximum 15.0 percent exploitation rate for combined marine and mainstem Columbia River fisheries, with a marine exploitation rate of 11.0 percent and a mainstem Columbia River exploitation rate of 4.0 percent.
- OCN coho. The adopted management measures satisfy the maximum 30.0 percent exploitation rate for combined marine and freshwater fisheries, with a marine exploitation rate of 13.1 percent and a freshwater exploitation rate of 10.0 percent.
- Interior Fraser coho. The Southern U.S. exploitation rates in the adopted management measures comply with the 10.0 percent maximum required by the PST Southern Coho Management Plan.

The adopted management measures for coho fisheries satisfy NMFS ESA consultation standards and guidance, FMP conservation objectives, and all other objectives for relevant coho stocks other than and including those listed above (Table 5).

### 8.0 PINK SALMON MANAGEMENT

Pink salmon are sufficiently abundant to merit management consideration only in odd numbered years. Abundance projections relevant to pink salmon harvest management in 2013 Council area fisheries are:

- Puget Sound pink. The 2013 forecast is 6.27 million, a record high forecast.
- Fraser River pink. The 2013 forecast is 8.93 million, a decline from the forecast of 17.5 million in 2011.


### 8.1 Objectives

Key pink salmon management objectives shaping management measures in 2013 Council area fisheries are:

- Salmon FMP conservation objective of 900,000 natural spawners for Puget Sound pink salmon.
- PST Fraser River Panel objective of 6 million spawning escapement target for Fraser River pink salmon in 2013.


### 8.2 Achievement of Objectives

Council area fisheries have negligible impacts on pink salmon stocks, although recreational regulations generally provide additional opportunity to retain pink salmon in odd numbered years. Inside fisheries are managed primarily through the Fraser River Panel of the PSC in order to achieve conservation objectives established by Fisheries and Oceans Canada. The adopted management measures provide sufficient ocean escapement of pink salmon to meet conservation objectives for Puget Sound and Fraser River pink salmon and to support substantial inside fishing opportunity.

### 9.0 IMPORTANT FEATURES OF THE ADOPTED MANAGEMENT MEASURES

Changes from recent seasons are highlighted below, but this section is not intended to be a comprehensive description of the adopted management measures. For detailed information on the adopted ocean salmon seasons see Table 1 (non-Indian commercial), Table 2 (recreational), and Table 3 (treaty Indian).

### 9.1 Commercial

Adopted management measures in the area north of Cape Falcon are similar to those in 2012 despite the higher abundance of OPI hatchery coho and lower abundance of tule fall Chinook. In 2013, the allowable catch of Chinook is similar to 2012 due in part to decreased impacts in Alaskan and Canadian fisheries relative to 2012. Overall non-Indian coho catch quotas are similar to 2012.

Two-thirds of the non-Indian troll Chinook quota is assigned to the May-June fishery, which opens initially seven days per week with no landing and possession limit. The summer all-salmon fishery is open Friday through Tuesday and includes Chinook and coho landing and possession limits similar to recent years. In both fisheries, Chinook sub-quotas of no more than 8,700 in the spring and 6,100 in the summer were applied to the area between the U.S./Canada border and the Queets River.

Large SRFC and KRFC abundance forecasts allow for substantial commercial fishing opportunity south of Cape Falcon in 2013. Constraints on the commercial fishery in this region include the California coastal Chinook consultation standard that limits the forecast KRFC age-4 ocean harvest rate to a maximum of 16 percent and the exploitation rate limit on ESA-listed LCR tule Chinook. Commercial fisheries south of Point Arena are also constrained by the maximum allowable age-3 impact rate of 12.9 percent on ESA-listed SRWC.

For the north and central Oregon coast south of Cape Falcon, Chinook fisheries opened on April 1 and will run through the end of October, with a short closure between late August and early September. Weekly landing and possession limits will be in place for September and October fisheries.

For the Oregon KMZ, the Chinook fishery opened April 1 and will run through May. The months of June, July, and August have monthly quota fisheries with daily landing and possession limits. Unused or exceeded quota from June and/or July can be transferred to the following quota period on an impact neutral, fishery equivalent basis. The Chinook fishery will reopen September 16 through September 27 or attainment of the quota with daily landing and possession limits.

For the California KMZ, the adopted management measures specify monthly quota fisheries for May through September with daily landing and possession limits. Unused or exceeded quota from May, June and/or July can be transferred to the following quota period on an impact neutral basis.

Fort Bragg area fisheries will be open for portions of May through August, and the entire month of September. Season dates for the months of June through September match those for the areas south of Point Arena.

Fisheries from Point Arena to the U.S./Mexico border will be open for the entire months of May and September. The June fishery will be open for a total of 18 days, with fishing time at both the beginning and end of the month. July will be open for 17 days, and August will be open for 29 days.

In addition, an early October fishery will be open Monday through Friday in the region between Point Reyes and Point San Pedro.

### 9.2 Recreational

In the area between the U.S. Canada Border and Cape Falcon, an area-wide mark-selective Chinook fishery was adopted; starting and ending dates differ between subareas, starting on May 11 in the Neah Bay and La Push subareas and June 8 in the Westport and Columbia River subareas. The fishery will be open for a total of 11 to 15 days depending on subarea and is operating under a coastwide quota of 8,000 marked Chinook.

The all species recreational fisheries in the subareas between the U.S. Canada Border and Cape Falcon have opening dates ranging from June 22 to June 29, and operate under regulations similar to recent years. Chinook guidelines and coho subarea quotas are similar to those in 2012. No Area 4B add-on fishery is scheduled in 2013.

For the north and central Oregon coast south of Cape Falcon, the Chinook fishery opened March 15 and will run through October. Coho fisheries consist of a mark-selective coho quota fishery in the month of July for the area from Cape Falcon to the Oregon/California border and a non-mark-selective coho quota fishery in September for the area from Cape Falcon to Humbug Mountain. Quota remaining from the July mark-selective coho quota may be transferred to the September non-mark-selective quota on an impact neutral, fishery equivalent basis. The September coho fishery will allow coho retention September 1 through 2 then Thursdays through Saturdays or until the quota is attained. The fishery will remain open for all salmon except coho on all other days in September. After the September coho fishery closes, the all salmon except coho fishery will reopen seven days per week through October.

Chinook fishing in both the Oregon and California KMZ will open May 1 and run through September 8. In July, a mark-selective coho quota fishery will allow for marked coho retention in the Oregon KMZ. The Chinook minimum size limit will be 24 inches in the Oregon KMZ and 20 inches in the California KMZ.

South of the KMZ, all areas opened on April 6. The fishery in the Fort Bragg area will be open continuously until November 10 with a 20 inch minimum size limit. For the San Francisco and Monterey areas, the season will be closed on Mondays and Tuesdays for the period between June 1 and July 9, but open seven days per week otherwise. In the San Francisco area, the minimum size limit will be 24 inches through July 31, then 20 inches until the end of the season on November 10. In the Monterey area, the minimum size limit will be 24 inches for the entire season, which ends on October 6.

### 9.3 Treaty Indian

The adopted management measures are generally similar in structure as in recent years although Chinook quotas were slightly decreased due to a small decrease in Chinook abundance. As in 2012, the Treaty Indian Chinook fishery allows for Chinook remaining from the May through June Chinook-directed quota to be transferred to the July to September all-salmon Chinook quota provided the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks.

### 10.0 SOCIOECONOMIC IMPACTS OF THE ADOPTED MANAGEMENT MEASURES

The short-term economic effects of the Council-adopted management measures for non-Indian fisheries are shown in Tables 9 and 10. Table 9 shows projected commercial troll impacts expressed in terms of estimated potential exvessel value. Table 10 shows projected recreational fisheries impacts in terms of the number of projected angler-trips and community personal income impacts generated by those activities. Note that exvessel values shown for the commercial troll fishery in Table 9 and income impact values shown for the recreational fishery in Table 10 are not directly comparable. More directly comparable measures of short-term economic impacts from commercial and recreational salmon fisheries are presented in Figures 3 and 4, which show estimated community income impacts under the Counciladopted commercial troll and recreational fishery management measures, respectively, compared to historic levels in real (inflation-adjusted) dollars. Income impacts indicate the amount of income generated by the economic linkages associated with an activity. While reductions in income impacts may not necessarily reflect a net loss coastwide, they likely do indicate losses to businesses and individuals in communities that depend on that activity for livelihood.

Total economic effects may vary more or less than what is indicated by the short-term impacts on ocean fisheries reported in Tables 9 and 10 and Figures 3 and 4. Salmon that remain unharvested in the ocean do not necessarily represent an economic loss, as they may augment inside harvests or provide additional spawning escapement. Restricting ocean harvests may increase opportunities for inside harvesters (e.g., higher commercial revenue or more angler trips) or contribute to higher inside catch per unit effort
(CPUE) representing lower costs for commercial harvesters and/or higher success rates for recreational fishers. Salmon that remain unharvested by both ocean fisheries and inside fisheries may impact future production, although the magnitude of this effect varies depending on the biology of the affected stocks, habitat, and other environmental conditions.

Fishing effort estimates for the recreational fishery are based on measures developed by the STT for modeling biological impacts. Estimates for south of Cape Falcon use multi-year averages to predict effort for the coming year. Recreational salmon trips for areas north of Cape Falcon were forecast by applying historical angler success rates to the adopted salmon quotas. For the summer mark-selective coho fishery, average 2010-2012 coho angler success rates were applied to the adopted coho area quotas. For the June Chinook fishery, the average 2010-2012 June Chinook angler success rate for Washington was applied to the adopted May-June north of Cape Falcon marked Chinook fishery quota. If actual average angler effort or success rates differ substantially from recent averages then actual recreational fishing impacts may differ from the values predicted in Table 10 and Figure 4.

The projected salmon harvests used to model commercial fishery impacts in this section are taken from Table 6. Revenue and income impacts on the commercial fishery depend on the number and size of fish harvested and exvessel prices received. The prior year's exvessel prices are assumed to be the best indicator of prices expected in the coming season, but conflicting estimates of average weight per fish can be derived from data in Chapter 4 and Appendices A and D of the Review of 2012 Ocean Salmon Fisheries. The choice between different average weight values may affect the magnitude of the "Projected" and "Modeled" values reported in Table 9, but not the nature of the relationship between them. The 2012 average West Coast ocean harvest Chinook price of $\$ 5.31$ per pound was the fifth highest (in nominal terms) since 1979, but trending noticeably lower over the past four years. Relaxation of supply constraints in 2011 and 2012 contributed to increased commercial harvests but lower average exvessel prices. Total commercial Chinook harvests are projected to be somewhat higher under the adopted alternative than in 2012, although the distribution of catch and landings will vary regionally. If actual exvessel prices and/or average weight per fish harvested diverge substantially from the values assumed for these projections, then actual commercial fisheries revenue and associated income impacts may differ from the values predicted in Table 9 and Figure 3.

### 11.0 ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION

The Proposed Action, adoption of the 2013 ocean salmon regulations, was assessed relative to the environmental components and criteria established in Preseason Report II (Part 2 of this EA). The impacts of the Proposed Action on most target stocks and ESA-listed salmon fall within the range of impacts analyzed for the Alternatives in Preseason Report II. For those stocks where the impacts of the Proposed Action fall outside the range of impacts (Hood Canal coho, Interior Fraser coho, and OCN coho) determined for the Alternatives, such impacts differ only in small amounts from those of the Alternatives and are within the impact limitations of the FMP, ESA consultation standards, and Pacific Salmon Treaty (Table 11). Economic impacts of the Proposed Action generally fall within the range projected for the Alternatives in Preseason Report II, although for some areas, economic impacts projected for the Proposed Action are greater than those under the other Action Alternatives.

The No-Action Alternative would result in at least two stocks not meeting conservation objectives, and thus would not meet the purpose and need of the Proposed Action. While there were no direct estimates of the economic impacts of the No-Action Alternative, a qualitative assessment using 2012 community impact estimates and the number of days open to salmon fishing as proxies indicates that the Proposed Action would have similar or greater economic benefits than the No-Action Alternative for all areas except South of Point Arena for commercial and recreational impacts, and the KMZ for recreational impacts.

As stated in Preseason Report II, it was not possible to discern differences in the effects of the Alternatives on other components of the environment (non-target fish species, marine mammals, other ESA listed species, sea birds, biodiversity and ecosystem function, and public health and safety), and the effects were not expected to be significant.

TABLE 1. Commercial troll management measures adopted by the Council for non-Indian ocean salmon fisheries, 2013. (Page 1 of 5)

## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS <br> North of Cape Falcon <br> Supplemental Management Information

1. Overall non-Indian TAC: 92,000 (non-mark-selective equivalent of 88,000 ) Chinook and 89,000 coho marked with a healed adipose fin clip (marked).
2. Non-Indian commercial troll TAC: 44,000 Chinook and 14,220 marked coho.

## U.S./Canada Border to Cape Falcon

- May 1 through earlier of June 30 or 29,300 Chinook, no more than 8,700 of which may be caught in the area between the U.S./Canada border and the Queets River.

Seven days per week (C.1). All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 28 inches total length (B, C.1). Vessels in possession of salmon north of the Queets River may not cross the Queets River line without first notifying WDFW at 360-902-2739 with area fished, total Chinook and halibut catch aboard, and destination. Vessels in possession of salmon south of the Queets River may not cross the Queets River line without first notifying WDFW at 360-902-2739 with area fished, total Chinook and halibut catch aboard, and destination. Cape Flattery, Mandatory Yelloweye Rockfish Conservation Area, and Columbia Control Zones closed (C.4, C.5, C.6). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). An inseason conference call will occur when it is projected that 21,975 Chinook have been landed overall, or 6,525 Chinook have been landed in the area between the U.S/Canada border and the Queets River, to consider modifying the open period to five days per week and adding landing and possession limits to ensure the guideline is not exceeded. Vessels must land and deliver their fish within 24 hours of any closure of this fishery. Under state law, vessels must report their catch on a state fish receiving ticket. Vessels fishing or in possession of salmon while fishing north of Leadbetter Point must land and deliver their fish within the area and north of Leadbetter Point. Vessels fishing or in possession of salmon while fishing south of Leadbetter Point must land and deliver their fish within the area and south of Leadbetter Point, except that Oregon permitted vessels may also land their fish in Garibaldi, Oregon. Oregon State regulations require all fishers landing salmon into Oregon from any fishery between Leadbetter Point, Washington and Cape Falcon, Oregon must notify ODFW within one hour of delivery or prior to transport away from the port of landing by either calling 541-867-0300 Ext. 271 or sending notification via e-mail to nfalcon.trollreport@state.or.us. Notification shall include vessel name and number, number of salmon by species, port of landing and location of delivery, and estimated time of delivery. Inseason actions may modify harvest guidelines in later fisheries to achieve or prevent exceeding the overall allowable troll harvest impacts (C.8).
U.S./Canada Border to Cape Falcon

- July 1 through earlier of September 17 or attainment of the quota of 14,700 Chinook, no more than 6,100 of which may be caught in the area between the U.S./Canada border and the Queets River, or 14,220 marked coho (C.8.d).
July 1-9 then Friday through Tuesday July 12-August 27 with a landing and possession limit of 50 Chinook and 40 coho per vessel per open period; Friday through Tuesday August 30 -September 17 with a landing and possession limit of 20 Chinook and 50 coho per vessel per open period (C.1). Vessels in possession of salmon north of the Queets River may not cross the Queets River line without first notifying WDFW at 360-902-2739 with area fished, total Chinook, coho, and halibut catch aboard, and destination. Vessels in possession of salmon south of the Queets River may not cross the Queets River line without first notifying WDFW at 360-902-2739 with area fished, total Chinook, coho, and halibut catch aboard, and destination. No earlier than September 1, if at least 5,000 marked coho remain on the quota, inseason action may be considered to allow non-selective coho retention (C.8). All salmon except no chum retention north of Cape Alava, Washington in August and September (C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 28 inches total length (B, C.1). All coho must be marked except as noted above (C.8.d). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Mandatory Yelloweye Rockfish Conservation Area, Cape Flattery and Columbia Control Zones, and beginning August 9, Grays Harbor Control Zone closed (C.5). Vessels must land and deliver their fish within 24 hours of any closure of this fishery. Vessels fishing or in possession of salmon while fishing north of Leadbetter Point must land and deliver their fish within the area and north of Leadbetter Point. Vessels fishing or in possession of salmon while fishing south of Leadbetter Point must land and deliver their fish within the area and south of Leadbetter Point, except that Oregon permitted vessels may also land their fish in Garibaldi, Oregon. Under state law, vessels must report their catch on a state fish receiving ticket. Oregon State regulations require all fishers landing salmon into Oregon from any fishery between Leadbetter Point, Washington and Cape Falcon, Oregon must notify ODFW within one hour of delivery or prior to transport away from the port of landing by either calling 541-867-0300 Ext. 271 or sending notification via e-mail to nfalcon.trollreport@state.or.us. Notification shall include vessel name and number, number of salmon by species, port of landing and location of delivery, and estimated time of delivery. Inseason actions may modify harvest guidelines in later fisheries to achieve or prevent exceeding the overall allowable troll harvest impacts.
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## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS <br> South of Cape Falcon <br> Supplemental Management Information

1. Sacramento River fall Chinook spawning escapement of 462,563 adults.
2. Sacramento Index exploitation rate of 44.6\%.
3. Klamath River recreational fishery allocation: 40,006 adult Klamath River fall Chinook.
4. Klamath tribal allocation: 114,828 adult Klamath River fall Chinook.

## Cape Falcon to Humbug Mountain

- April 1-August 29;
- September 4-October 31 (C.9.a).

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 28 inches total length (B, C.1). All vessels fishing in the area must land their fish in the State of Oregon. See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3) and Oregon State regulations for a description of special regulations at the mouth of Tillamook Bay.

Beginning September 4, no more than 100 Chinook per vessel per landing week (Wed.-Tues.).
In 2014, the season will open March 15 for all salmon except coho. Chinook minimum size limit of 28 inches total length (C.1) Gear restrictions same as in 2013. This opening could be modified following Council review at its March 2014 meeting.

## Humbug Mountain to OR/CA Border (Oregon KMZ)

- April 1-May 31;
- June 1 through earlier of June 30, or a 4,000 Chinook quota;
- July 1 through earlier of July 31, or a 3,000 Chinook quota;
- August 1 through earlier of August 29, or a 2,000 Chinook quota;
- September 16 through earlier of September 27 or a 1,000 Chinook quota (C.9.a).

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 28 inches total length (B, C.1). Prior to June 1, all fish caught in this area must be landed and delivered in the State of Oregon. June 1 - August 29 landing and possession limit of 30 Chinook per vessel per day. September 16-27 landing and possession limit of 20 Chinook per vessel per day. Any remaining portion of the June and/or July Chinook quotas may be transferred inseason on an impact neutral basis to the next open quota period (C.8). All vessels fishing in this area must land and deliver all fish within this area or Port Orford, within 24 hours of any closure of this fishery, and prior to fishing outside of this area. Oregon State regulations require all fishers landing salmon from any quota managed season within this area to notify Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) within 1 hour of delivery or prior to transport away from the port of landing by either calling (541) 867-0300 ext. 252 or sending notification via e-mail to KMZOR.trollreport@state.or.us. Notification shall include vessel name and number, number of salmon by species, port of landing and location of delivery, and estimated time of delivery. See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

In 2014, the season will open March 15 for all salmon except coho, with a 28 inch Chinook minimum size limit. (C.1) Gear restrictions same as in 2013. This opening could be modified following Council review at its March 2014 meeting.

## OR/CA Border to Humboldt South Jetty (California KMZ)

- May 1 through earlier of May 31, or a 3,000 Chinook quota;
- June 1 through earlier of June 30, or a 3,000 Chinook quota;
- July 15 through earlier of July 31, or a 2,000 Chinook quota;
- August 1 through earlier of August 29, or a 1,500 Chinook quota;
- September 16 through earlier of September 30, or 6,000 Chinook quota (C.9.b).

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 27 inches total length (B, C.1). Landing and possession limit of 20 Chinook per vessel per day (C.8.g). Any remaining portion of the May, June and/or July Chinook quotas may be transferred inseason on an impact neutral basis to the next open quota period (C.8.c). All fish caught in this area must be landed within the area and within 24 hours of any closure of the fishery and prior to fishing outside the area (C.10). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Klamath Control Zone closed (C.5.e). See California State regulations for additional closures adjacent to the Smith and Klamath rivers. When the fishery is closed between the OR/CA border and Humbug Mountain and open to the south, vessels with fish on board caught in the open area off California may seek temporary mooring in Brookings, Oregon prior to landing in California only if such vessels first notify the Chetco River Coast Guard Station via VHF channel 22A between the hours of 0500 and 2200 and provide the vessel name, number of fish on board, and estimated time of arrival (C.6.).
Humboldt South Jetty to Horse Mountain
Closed.
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## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS

## Horse Mountain to Point Arena (Fort Bragg)

- May 22-31;
- June 1-8 and 21-30;
- July 15-31;
- August 1-29;
- September 1-30 (C.9.b).

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 27 inches total length (B, C.1). All fish must be landed in California and offloaded within 24 hours of the August 29 closure (C.6). When the CA KMZ fishery is open, all fish caught in the area must be landed south of Horse Mountain (C.6). During September, all fish must be landed north of Point Arena (C.6). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

In 2014, the season will open April 16-30 for all salmon except coho, with a 27 inch Chinook minimum size limit and the same gear restrictions as in 2013. All fish caught in the area must be landed in the area. This opening could be modified following Council review at its March 2014 meeting.

## Point Arena to Pigeon Point (San Francisco)

- May 1-31;
- June 1-8 and 21-30;
- July 15-31;
- August 1-29;
- September 1-30 (C.9.b).

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 27 inches total length prior to September 1, 26 inches thereafter (B, C.1). All fish must be landed in California and offloaded within 24 hours of the August 29 closure (C.6). During September, all fish must be landed south of Point Arena (C.6). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

Point Reyes to Point San Pedro (Fall Area Target Zone)

- October 1-4, 7-11, and 14-15.

All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 26 inches total length (B, C.1). All fish caught in this area must be landed between Point Arena and Pigeon Point (C.6). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

Pigeon Point to U.S.IMexico Border (Monterey)

- May 1-31;
- June 1-8 and 21-30;
- July 15-31;
- August 1-29;
- September 1-30 (C.9.b).

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho (C.4, C.7). Chinook minimum size limit of 27 inches total length prior to September 1,26 inches thereafter (B, C.1). All fish must be landed in California and offloaded within 24 hours of the August 29 closure (C.6). During September, all fish must be landed south of Point Arena (C.6). See compliance requirements (C.1) and gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).
California State regulations require all salmon be made available to a California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) representative for sampling immediately at port of landing. Any person in possession of a salmon with a missing adipose fin, upon request by an authorized agent or employee of the CDFW, shall immediately relinquish the head of the salmon to the state. (California Fish and Game Code §8226)

## B. MINIMUM SIZE (Inches)

| Area (when open) | Chinook |  | Coho |  | Pink |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Length | Head-off | Total Length | Head-off |  |
| North of Cape Falcon | 28.0 | 21.5 | 16.0 | 12.0 | None |
| Cape Falcon to OR/CA Border | 28.0 | 21.5 | - | - | None |
| OR/CA Border to Humboldt South Jetty | 27.0 | 20.5 | - | - | None |
| Horse Mountain to Point Arena | 27.0 | 20.5 | - | - | None |
| Point Arena to U.S./Mexico Border |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prior to September 1 | 27.0 | 20.5 | - | - | None |
| September 1 to October 15 | 26.0 | 19.5 | - | - | None |

## C. REQUIREMENTS, DEFINITIONS, RESTRICTIONS, OR EXCEPTIONS

C.1. Compliance with Minimum Size or Other Special Restrictions: All salmon on board a vessel must meet the minimum size, landing/possession limit, or other special requirements for the area being fished and the area in which they are landed if the area is open or has been closed less than 96 hours for that species of salmon. Salmon may be landed in an area that has been closed for a species of salmon more than 96 hours only if they meet the minimum size, landing/possession limit, or other special requirements for the area in which they were caught.

States may require fish landing/receiving tickets be kept on board the vessel for 90 days after landing to account for all previous salmon landings.
C.2. Gear Restrictions:
a. Salmon may be taken only by hook and line using single point, single shank, barbless hooks.
b. Cape Falcon, Oregon, to the OR/CA border: No more than 4 spreads are allowed per line.
c. OR/CA border to U.S./Mexico border: No more than 6 lines are allowed per vessel, and barbless circle hooks are required when fishing with bait by any means other than trolling.

## C.3. Gear Definitions:

Trolling defined: Fishing from a boat or floating device that is making way by means of a source of power, other than drifting by means of the prevailing water current or weather conditions.
Troll fishing gear defined: One or more lines that drag hooks behind a moving fishing vessel. In that portion of the fishery management area off Oregon and Washington, the line or lines must be affixed to the vessel and must not be intentionally disengaged from the vessel at any time during the fishing operation.
Spread defined: A single leader connected to an individual lure and/or bait.
Circle hook defined: A hook with a generally circular shape and a point which turns inward, pointing directly to the shank at a $90^{\circ}$ angle.
C.4. Vessel Operation in Closed Areas with Salmon on Board:
a. Except as provided under C.4.b below, it is unlawful for a vessel to have troll or recreational gear in the water while in any area closed to fishing for a certain species of salmon, while possessing that species of salmon; however, fishing for species other than salmon is not prohibited if the area is open for such species, and no salmon are in possession.
b. When Genetic Stock Identification (GSI) samples will be collected in an area closed to commercial salmon fishing, the scientific research permit holder shall notify NOAA OLE, USCG, CDFW and OSP at least 24 hours prior to sampling and provide the following information: the vessel name, date, location and time collection activities will be done. Any vessel collecting GSI samples in a closed area shall not possess any salmon other than those from which GSI samples are being collected. Salmon caught for collection of GSI samples must be immediately released in good condition after collection of samples.

## C.5. Control Zone Definitions:

a. Cape Flattery Control Zone - The area from Cape Flattery ( $48^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.) to the northern boundary of the U.S. EEZ; and the area from Cape Flattery south to Cape Alava ( $48^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.) and east of $125^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
b. Mandatory Yelloweye Rockfish Conservation Area - The area in Washington Marine Catch Area 3 from $48^{\circ} 00.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 14.00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. to $48^{\circ} 02.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 14.00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. to $48^{\circ} 02.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 16.50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. to $48^{\circ} 00.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 16.50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. and connecting back to $48^{\circ} 00.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 14.00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
c. Grays Harbor Control Zone - The area defined by a line drawn from the Westport Lighthouse ( $46^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ}$ $07^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ W. long.) to Buoy \#2 ( $46^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ W. long.) to Buoy \#3 ( $46^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.) to the Grays Harbor north jetty ( $46^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ W. long.).
d. Columbia Control Zone - An area at the Columbia River mouth, bounded on the west by a line running northeast/southwest between the red lighted Buoy \#4 ( $46^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.) and the green lighted Buoy \#7 ( $46^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.); on the east, by the Buoy \#10 line which bears north/south at $357^{\circ}$ true from the south jetty at $46^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. to its intersection with the north jetty; on the north, by a line running northeast/southwest between the green lighted Buoy \#7 to the tip of the north jetty ( $46^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.), and then along the north jetty to the point of intersection with the Buoy \#10 line; and, on the south, by a line running northeast/southwest between the red lighted Buoy \#4 and tip of the south jetty ( $46^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.), and then along the south jetty to the point of intersection with the Buoy \#10 line.
e. Klamath Control Zone - The ocean area at the Klamath River mouth bounded on the north by $41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (approximately six nautical miles north of the Klamath River mouth); on the west, by $124^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. (approximately 12 nautical miles off shore); and on the south, by $41^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (approximately six nautical miles south of the Klamath River mouth).
C.6. Notification When Unsafe Conditions Prevent Compliance with Regulations: If prevented by unsafe weather conditions or mechanical problems from meeting special management area landing restrictions, vessels must notify the U.S. Coast Guard and receive acknowledgment of such notification prior to leaving the area. This notification shall include the name of the vessel, port where delivery will be made, approximate amount of salmon (by species) on board, the estimated time of arrival, and the specific reason the vessel is not able to meet special management area landing restrictions.

In addition to contacting the U.S. Coast Guard, vessels fishing south of the Oregon/California border must notify CDFW within one hour of leaving the management area by calling 800-889-8346 and providing the same information as reported to the U.S. Coast Guard. All salmon must be offloaded within 24 hours of reaching port.

TABLE 1. Commercial troll management measures adopted by the Council for non-Indian ocean salmon fisheries, 2013.
C.7. Incidental Halibut Harvest: During authorized periods, the operator of a vessel that has been issued an incidental halibut harvest license may retain Pacific halibut caught incidentally in Area 2A while trolling for salmon. Halibut retained must be no less than 32 inches in total length, measured from the tip of the lower jaw with the mouth closed to the extreme end of the middle of the tail, and must be landed with the head on. License applications for incidental harvest must be obtained from the International Pacific Halibut Commission (phone: 206-634-1838). Applicants must apply prior to April 1, 2013 for 2013 permits and mid-March 2014 (exact date to be set by the IPHC in early 2014) for 2014 permits. Incidental harvest is authorized only during May and June of the 2013 troll seasons and April, May, and June of the 2014 troll seasons and after June 30 in 2013 or 2014 if quota remains and if announced on the NMFS hotline (phone: 800-662-9825). WDFW, ODFW, and CDFW will monitor landings. If the landings are projected to exceed the 30,600 pound preseason allocation or the total Area 2A non-Indian commercial halibut allocation, NMFS will take inseason action to prohibit retention of halibut in the non-Indian salmon troll fishery.

Beginning May 1, 2013 through April 30, 2014, license holders may land or possess no more than one Pacific halibut per each three Chinook, except one Pacific halibut may be possessed or landed without meeting the ratio requirement, and no more than 15 halibut may be possessed or landed per trip. Pacific halibut retained must be no less than 32 inches in total length (with head on).

Incidental Pacific halibut catch regulations in the commercial salmon troll fishery adopted for 2013 will be in effect when incidental Pacific halibut retention opens on April 1, 2014 unless otherwise modified by inseason action.
a. "C-shaped" yelloweye rockfish conservation area is an area to be voluntarily avoided for salmon trolling. NMFS and the Council request salmon trollers voluntarily avoid this area in order to protect yelloweye rockfish. The area is defined in the Pacific Council Halibut Catch Sharing Plan in the North Coast subarea (Washington marine area 3), with the following coordinates in the order listed:
$48^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.;
$48^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.;
$48^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.;
$48^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.;
$48^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.;
$48^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.;
$48^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.;
$48^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.; and connecting back to $48^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $125^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
C.8. Inseason Management: In addition to standard inseason actions or modifications already noted under the season description, the following inseason guidance is provided to NMFS:
a. Chinook remaining from the May through June non-Indian commercial troll harvest guideline north of Cape Falcon may be transferred to the July through September harvest guideline if the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks.
b. Chinook remaining from the June and/or July non-Indian commercial troll quotas in the Oregon KMZ may be transferred to the Chinook quota for the next open period if the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks.
c. Chinook remaining from the May, June and/or July non-Indian commercial troll quotas in the California KMZ may be transferred to the Chinook quota for the next open period if the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks.
d. NMFS may transfer fish between the recreational and commercial fisheries north of Cape Falcon if there is agreement among the areas' representatives on the Salmon Advisory Subpanel (SAS), and if the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks.
e. At the March 2014 meeting, the Council will consider inseason recommendations for special regulations for any experimental fisheries (proposals must meet Council protocol and be received in November 2013).
f. If retention of unmarked coho is permitted by inseason action, the allowable coho quota will be adjusted to ensure preseason projected impacts on all stocks is not exceeded.
g. Landing limits may be modified inseason to sustain season length and keep harvest within overall quotas.
C.9. State Waters Fisheries: Consistent with Council management objectives:
a. The State of Oregon may establish additional late-season fisheries in state waters.
b. The State of California may establish limited fisheries in selected state waters.

Check state regulations for details.
C.10. For the purposes of California Fish and Game Code, Section 8232.5, the definition of the Klamath Management Zone (KMZ) for the ocean salmon season shall be that area from Humbug Mountain, Oregon, to Horse Mountain, California.


FIGURE 1. Council-adopted non-Indian commercial salmon seasons for 2013. Dates are the first or last days of the month unless otherwise specified.
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## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS

## North of Cape Falcon

## Supplemental Management Information

1. Overall non-Indian TAC: 92,000 (non-mark-selective equivalent of 88,000) Chinook and 89,000 coho marked with a healed adipose fin clip (marked).
2. Recreational TAC: 48,000 (non-mark selective equivalent of 44,000) Chinook and 74,760 marked coho; all retained coho must be marked.
3. No Area 4B add-on fishery.
4. Buoy 10 fishery opens August 1 with an expected landed catch of 13,000 marked coho in August and September.

## U.S./Canada Border to Queets River

- May 10-11, May 17-18, and June 22-28 or a coastwide marked Chinook quota of 8,000 (C.5).

Seven days per week. Two fish per day, all salmon except coho, all Chinook must be marked with a healed adipose fin clip
(C.1). Chinook 24-inch total length minimum size limit (B). See gear restrictions (C.2). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).

## Queets River to Leadbetter Point

- June 8 through earlier of June 22 or a coastwide marked Chinook quota of 8,000 (C.5).

Seven days per week. Two fish per day, all salmon except coho, all Chinook must be marked with a healed adipose fin clip (C.1). Chinook 24-inch total length minimum size limit (B). See gear restrictions (C.2). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).

## Leadbetter Point to Cape Falcon

- June 8 through earlier of June 21 or a coastwide marked Chinook quota of 8,000 (C.5).

Seven days per week. Two fish per day, all salmon except coho, all Chinook must be marked with a healed adipose fin clip
(C.1). Chinook 24-inch total length minimum size limit (B). See gear restrictions (C.2). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook recreational TAC for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).

## U.S./Canada Border to Cape Alava (Neah Bay)

- June 29 through earlier of September 22 or 7,780 marked coho subarea quota with a subarea guideline of 4,900 Chinook (C.5). Seven days per week. All salmon except no chum beginning August 1; two fish per day, plus two additional pink salmon. All coho must be marked (C.1). Beginning August 1, Chinook non-retention east of the Bonilla-Tatoosh line (C.4.a) during Council managed ocean fishery. See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook and coho recreational TACs for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).


## Cape Alava to Queets River (La Push Subarea)

- June 29 through earlier of September 22 or 1,890 marked coho subarea quota with a subarea guideline of 1,650 Chinook (C.5).
- September 28 through earlier of October 13 or 50 marked coho quota or 50 Chinook quota (C.5) in the area north of $47^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 00 \mathrm{~N}$. lat. and south of $48^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.
Seven days per week. All salmon, two fish per day, plus two additional pink salmon. All coho must be marked (see Ocean Boat Limits, C.1). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook and coho recreational TACs for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).


## Queets River to Leadbetter Point (Westport Subarea)

- June 23 through earlier of September 30 or 27,660 marked coho subarea quota with a subarea guideline of 23,500 Chinook (C.5).

Sunday through Thursday. All salmon; two fish per day, no more than one of which can be a Chinook. All coho must be marked (C.1). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Grays Harbor Control Zone closed beginning August 11 (C.4). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook and coho recreational TACs for north of Cape Falcon (C.5)
Leadbetter Point to Cape Falcon (Columbia River Subarea)

- June 22 through earlier of September 30 or 37,380 marked coho subarea quota with a subarea guideline of 9,900 Chinook (C.5). Seven days per week. All salmon, two fish per day, only one of which can be a Chinook. All coho must be marked (C.1). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Columbia Control Zone closed (C.4). Inseason management may be used to sustain season length and keep harvest within the overall Chinook and coho recreational TACs for north of Cape Falcon (C.5).
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## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS

## South of Cape Falcon

Supplemental Management Information

1. Sacramento River fall Chinook spawning escapement of 462,563 adults.
2. Sacramento Index exploitation rate of $44.6 \%$.
3. Klamath River recreational fishery allocation: 40,006 adult Klamath River fall Chinook.
4. Klamath tribal allocation: 114,828 adult Klamath River fall Chinook.
5. Overall recreational TAC: 10,500 marked coho and 16,000 unmarked coho.

## Cape Falcon to Humbug Mountain

- March 15 through October 31 (C.6), except as provided below during the July all-salmon mark-selective and September non-mark-selective coho fisheries.
Seven days per week. All salmon except coho; two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 24 inches total length (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).
- Non-mark-selective coho fishery: September 1 through the earlier of September 30 or a landed catch of 16,000 non-markselective coho quota (C.5).
September 1-2, then Thursday through Saturday thereafter; all salmon, two fish per day (C.5);
September 3-4, then Sunday through Wednesday thereafter; all salmon except coho, two fish per day. The all salmon except coho season reopens the earlier of October 1 or attainment of the coho quota. Open days may be adjusted inseason to utilize the available coho quota (C.5).

In 2014, the season between Cape Falcon and Humbug Mountain will open March 15 for all salmon except coho, two fish per day (B, C.1, C.2, C.3). This opening could be modified following Council review at its March 2014 meeting.

Fishing in the Stonewall Bank yelloweye rockfish conservation area restricted to trolling only on days the all depth recreational halibut fishery is open (call the halibut fishing hotline 1-800-662-9825 for specific dates) (C.3.b, C.4.d).

## Cape Falcon to ORICA Border

- All-salmon mark-selective coho fishery: July 1 through earlier of July 31 or a landed catch of 10,500 marked coho.

Seven days per week. All salmon, two fish per day. All retained coho must be marked (C.1). Any remainder of the mark selective coho quota will be transferred on an impact neutral basis to the September non-selective coho quota from Cape Falcon to Humbug Mountain (C.5). The all salmon except coho season reopens the earlier of August 1 or attainment of the coho quota.

Fishing in the Stonewall Bank yelloweye rockfish conservation area restricted to trolling only on days the all depth recreational halibut fishery is open (call the halibut fishing hotline 1-800-662-9825 for specific dates) (C.3.b, C.4.d).

## Humbug Mountain to ORICA Border (Oregon KMZ)

- May 1 through September 8 except as provided above during the all-salmon mark-selective coho fishery (C.6). All salmon except coho, except as noted above in the all-salmon mark-selective coho fishery. Seven days per week, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 24 inches total length (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).


## ORICA Border to Horse Mountain (California KMZ)

- May 1 through September 8 (C.6).

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 20 inches total length (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3). Klamath Control Zone closed in August (C.4.e). See California State regulations for additional closures adjacent to the Smith, Eel, and Klamath rivers.

## Horse Mountain to Point Arena (Fort Bragg)

- April 6 through November 10.

Seven days per week. All salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 20 inches total length (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

In 2014, season opens April 5 for all salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 20 inches total length (B); and the same gear restrictions as in 2013 (C.2, C.3). This opening could be modified following Council review at its March 2014 meeting.

## A. SEASON ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTIONS

Point Arena to Pigeon Point (San Francisco)

- April 6 through November 10

Open five days per week (Weds.-Sun.) June 1 through July 9, seven days per week otherwise. All salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 24 inches total length through July 31; 20 inches thereafter (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

In 2014, season opens April 5 for all salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 24 inches total length (B); and the same gear restrictions as in 2013 (C.2, C.3). This opening could be modified following Council review at its March 2014 meeting.
Pigeon Point to U.S.IMexico Border (Monterey)

- April 6 through October 6.

Open five days per week (Weds.-Sun.) June 1 through July 9, seven days per week otherwise. All salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 24 inches total length (B). See gear restrictions and definitions (C.2, C.3).

In 2014, season opens April 5 for all salmon except coho, two fish per day (C.1). Chinook minimum size limit of 24 inches total length (B); and the same gear restrictions as in 2013 (C.2, C.3). This opening could be modified following Council review at its March 2014 meeting.
California State regulations require all salmon be made available to a CDFW representative for sampling immediately at port of landing. Any person in possession of a salmon with a missing adipose fin, upon request by an authorized agent or employee of the CDFW, shall immediately relinquish the head of the salmon to the state. (California Fish and Game Code §8226)

## B. MINIMUM SIZE (Inches) (See C.1)

| Area (when open) | Chinook | Coho | Pink |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North of Cape Falcon | 24.0 | 16.0 | None |
| Cape Falcon to Humbug Mountain | 24.0 | 16.0 | None |
| Humbug Mountain to OR/CA Border | 24.0 | 16.0 | None |
| OR/CA Border to Horse Mountain | 20.0 | - | 20.0 |
| Horse Mountain to Point Arena | 20.0 | - | 20.0 |
| Point Arena to Pigeon Point: Through July 31 | 24.0 | - | 24.0 |
| After July 31 | 20.0 | - | 20.0 |
| Pigeon Point to U.S./Mexico Border: | 24.0 | - | 24.0 |

## C. REQUIREMENTS, DEFINITIONS, RESTRICTIONS, OR EXCEPTIONS

C.1. Compliance with Minimum Size and Other Special Restrictions: All salmon on board a vessel must meet the minimum size or other special requirements for the area being fished and the area in which they are landed if that area is open. Salmon may be landed in an area that is closed only if they meet the minimum size or other special requirements for the area in which they were caught.

Ocean Boat Limits: Off the coast of Washington, Oregon, and California, each fisher aboard a vessel may continue to use angling gear until the combined daily limits of Chinook and coho salmon for all licensed and juvenile anglers aboard have been attained (additional state restrictions may apply).
C.2. Gear Restrictions: Salmon may be taken only by hook and line using barbless hooks. All persons fishing for salmon, and all persons fishing from a boat with salmon on board, must meet the gear restrictions listed below for specific areas or seasons.
a. U.S./Canada Border to Point Conception, California: No more than one rod may be used per angler; and no more than two single point, single shank barbless hooks are required for all fishing gear. [Note: ODFW regulations in the state-water fishery off Tillamook Bay may allow the use of barbed hooks to be consistent with inside regulations.]
b. Horse Mountain, California, to Point Conception, California: Single point, single shank, barbless circle hooks (see gear definitions below) are required when fishing with bait by any means other than trolling, and no more than two such hooks shall be used. When angling with two hooks, the distance between the hooks must not exceed five inches when measured from the top of the eye of the top hook to the inner base of the curve of the lower hook, and both hooks must be permanently tied in place (hard tied). Circle hooks are not required when artificial lures are used without bait.

TABLE 2. Recreational management measures adopted by the Council for non-Indian ocean salmon fisheries, 2013. (Page 4 of 4)

## C. REQUIREMENTS, DEFINITIONS, RESTRICTIONS, OR EXCEPTIONS

C.3. Gear Definitions:
a. Recreational fishing gear defined: Off Oregon and Washington, angling tackle consists of a single line that must be attached to a rod and reel held by hand or closely attended; the rod and reel must be held by hand while playing a hooked fish. No person may use more than one rod and line while fishing off Oregon or Washington. Off California, the line must be attached to a rod and reel held by hand or closely attended; weights directly attached to a line may not exceed four pounds ( 1.8 kg ). While fishing off California north of Point Conception, no person fishing for salmon, and no person fishing from a boat with salmon on board, may use more than one rod and line. Fishing includes any activity which can reasonably be expected to result in the catching, taking, or harvesting of fish.
b. Trolling defined: Angling from a boat or floating device that is making way by means of a source of power, other than drifting by means of the prevailing water current or weather conditions.
c. Circle hook defined: A hook with a generally circular shape and a point which turns inward, pointing directly to the shank at a $90^{\circ}$ angle.
C.4. Control Zone Definitions:
a. The Bonilla-Tatoosh Line: A line running from the western end of Cape Flattery to Tatoosh Island Lighthouse ( $48^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ N. lat., $124^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.) to the buoy adjacent to Duntze Rock ( $48^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.), then in a straight line to Bonilla Point ( $48^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ W. long.) on Vancouver Island, British Columbia.
b. Grays Harbor Control Zone - The area defined by a line drawn from the Westport Lighthouse ( $46^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ}$ $07^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ W. long.) to Buoy \#2 ( $46^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ N. lat., $124^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ W. long.) to Buoy \#3 ( $46^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 000^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ W. long.) to the Grays Harbor north jetty ( $46^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.).
c. Columbia Control Zone: An area at the Columbia River mouth, bounded on the west by a line running northeast/southwest between the red lighted Buoy \#4 ( $46^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.) and the green lighted Buoy \#7 ( $46^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.); on the east, by the Buoy \#10 line which bears north/south at $357^{\circ}$ true from the south jetty at $46^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. to its intersection with the north jetty; on the north, by a line running northeast/southwest between the green lighted Buoy $\# 7$ to the tip of the north jetty $\left(46^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}\right.$. lat., $124^{\circ} 05^{\prime 2} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. and then along the north jetty to the point of intersection with the Buoy \#10 line; and on the south, by a line running northeast/southwest between the red lighted Buoy \#4 and tip of the south jetty ( $46^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat., $124^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.), and then along the south jetty to the point of intersection with the Buoy \#10 line.
d. Stonewall Bank Yelloweye Rockfish Conservation Area: The area defined by the following coordinates in the order listed:
$44^{\circ} 37.46^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 24.92^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.;
$44^{\circ} 37.46^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 23.63^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.;
$44^{\circ} 28.71^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 21.80^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.;
$44^{\circ} 28.71^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 24.10^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.;
$44^{\circ} 31.42^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 25.47^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.;
and connecting back to $44^{\circ} 37.46^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.; $124^{\circ} 24.92^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
e. Klamath Control Zone: The ocean area at the Klamath River mouth bounded on the north by $41^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (approximately six nautical miles north of the Klamath River mouth); on the west, by $124^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long. (approximately 12 nautical miles off shore); and, on the south, by $41^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (approximately 6 nautical miles south of the Klamath River mouth).
C.5. Inseason Management: Regulatory modifications may become necessary inseason to meet preseason management objectives such as quotas, harvest guidelines, and season duration. In addition to standard inseason actions or modifications already noted under the season description, the following inseason guidance is provided to NMFS:
a. Actions could include modifications to bag limits, or days open to fishing, and extensions or reductions in areas open to fishing.
b. Coho may be transferred inseason among recreational subareas north of Cape Falcon to help meet the recreational season duration objectives (for each subarea) after conferring with representatives of the affected ports and the Council's SAS recreational representatives north of Cape Falcon, and if the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks.
c. Chinook and coho may be transferred between the recreational and commercial fisheries north of Cape Falcon if there is agreement among the representatives of the Salmon Advisory Subpanel (SAS), and if the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks.
d. Fishery managers may consider inseason action modifying regulations restricting retention of unmarked coho. To remain consistent with preseason expectations, any inseason action shall consider, if significant, the difference between observed and preseason forecasted mark rates. Such a consideration may also include a change in bag limit of two salmon, no more than one of which may be a coho.
e. Marked coho remaining from the July Cape Falcon to OR/CA border recreational coho quota may be transferred inseason to the September Cape Falcon to Humbug Mountain non-mark-selective recreational fishery if the transfer would not result in exceeding preseason impact expectations on any stocks.
C.6. Additional Seasons in State Territorial Waters: Consistent with Council management objectives, the States of Washington, Oregon, and California may establish limited seasons in state waters. Check state regulations for details.


FIGURE 2. Council-adopted recreational salmon seasons for 2013. Dates are the first or last days of the month unless otherwise specified.

| TABLE 3. Treaty Indian ocean troll management measures adopted by the Council for ocean salmon fisheries, 2013. <br> (Page 1 of 1) |
| :--- |
| A. SEASON DESCRIPTIONS |
| Supplemental Management Information |
| 1. Overall Treaty-Indian TAC: 52,500 Chinook and 47,500 coho. |
| - May 1 through the earlier of June 30 or 26,250 Chinook quota. <br> All salmon except coho. If the Chinook quota for the May-June fishery is not fully utilized, the excess fish may be transferred into <br> the later all-salmon season (C.5.a). If the Chinook quota is exceeded, the excess will be deducted from the later all-salmon season <br> (C.5). See size limit (B) and other restrictions (C). <br> - July 1 through the earlier of September 15, or 26,250 preseason Chinook quota (C.5), or 47,500 coho quota. <br> All Salmon. See size limit (B) and other restrictions (C). |


| B. MINIMUM SIZE (Inches) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chinook |  | Coho |  | Pink |
| Area (when open) | Total Length | Head-off | Total Length | Head-off |  |
| North of Cape Falcon | 24.0 (61.0 cm) | 18.0 ( 45.7 cm ) | 16.0 ( 40.6 cm ) | 12.0 (30.5 cm) | None |

## C. REQUIREMENTS, DEFINITIONS, RESTRICTIONS, OR EXCEPTIONS

C.1. Tribe and Area Boundaries. All boundaries may be changed to include such other areas as may hereafter be authorized by a Federal court for that tribe's treaty fishery. S'KLALLAM - Washington State Statistical Area 4B (All).

MAKAH - Washington State Statistical Area 4B and that portion of the FMA north of $48^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (Norwegian Memorial) and east of $125^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.

QUILEUTE - That portion of the FMA between $48^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (Sand Pt.) and $47^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (Queets River) and east of $125^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
$\underline{\mathrm{HOH}}$ - That portion of the FMA between $47^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (Quillayute River) and $47^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (Quinault River) and east of $125^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.

QUINAULT - That portion of the FMA between $47^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (Destruction Island) and $46^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime N}$. lat. (Point Chehalis) and east of $125^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 000^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.
C.2. Gear restrictions
a. Single point, single shank, barbless hooks are required in all fisheries.
b. No more than eight fixed lines per boat.
c. No more than four hand held lines per person in the Makah area fishery (Washington State Statistical Area 4B and that portion of the FMA north of $48^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat. (Norwegian Memorial) and east of $125^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. long.)
C.3. Quotas
a. The quotas include troll catches by the S'Klallam and Makah tribes in Washington State Statistical Area 4B from May 1 through September 15.
b. The Quileute Tribe will continue a ceremonial and subsistence fishery during the time frame of September 15 through October 15 in the same manner as in 2004-2012. Fish taken during this fishery are to be counted against treaty troll quotas established for the 2013 season (estimated harvest during the October ceremonial and subsistence fishery: 100 Chinook; 200 coho).

## C.4. Area Closures

a. The area within a six nautical mile radius of the mouths of the Queets River ( $47^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$. lat.) and the Hoh River ( $47^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 12$ " N . lat.) will be closed to commercial fishing.
b. A closure within two nautical miles of the mouth of the Quinault River ( $47^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 00$ " N. lat.) may be enacted by the Quinault Nation and/or the State of Washington and will not adversely affect the Secretary of Commerce's management regime.
C.5. Inseason Management: In addition to standard inseason actions or modifications already noted under the season description, the following inseason guidance is provided to NMFS:
a. Chinook remaining from the May through June treaty-Indian ocean troll harvest guideline north of Cape Falcon may be transferred to the July through September harvest guideline on a fishery impact equivalent basis.

TABLE 4. Chinook and coho harvest quotas and guidelines (*) for 2013 ocean salmon fishery management measures adopted by the Council.

| Fishery or Quota Designation | Chinook | Coho |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NORTH OF CAPE FALCON |  |  |
| TREATY INDIAN OCEAN TROLL ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |  |  |
| U.S./Canada Border to Cape Falcon (All Except Coho) | 26,250 | - |
| U.S./Canada Border to Cape Falcon (All Species) | 26,250 | 47,500 |
| Subtotal Treaty Indian Ocean Troll | 52,500 | 47,500 |
| NON-INDIA ${ }^{\text {Commercial }}$ TROLL ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ |  |  |
| U.S./Canada Border to Cape Falcon (All Except Coho) | 29,300 | - |
| U.S./Canada Border to Cape Falcon (All Species) | 14,700 | 14,220 |
| Subtotal Non-Indian Commercial Troll | 44,000 | 14,220 |
| RECREATIONAL |  |  |
| U.S./Canada Border to Cape Falcon (All Except Coho) ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | 8,000 * | - |
| U.S./Canada Border to Cape Alava ${ }^{\text {// }}$ | 4,900 * | 7,780 |
| Cape Alava to Queets River ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | 1,700 * | 1,940 |
| Queets River to Leadbetter Pt. ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | 23,500 * | 27,660 |
| Leadbetter Pt. to Cape Falcon ${ }^{\text {b/d/ }}$ | 9,900 * | 37,380 |
| Subtotal Recreational | 48,000 | 74,760 |
| TOTAL NORTH OF CAPE FALCON | 144,500 | 136,480 |
| COMMERCIAL TROLL ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ ( |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Humbug Mt. to OR/CA Border | 10,000 | - |
| OR/CA Border to Humboldt South Jetty | 15,500 | - |
| Subtotal Troll | 25,500 | - |
| RECREATIONAL |  |  |
| Cape Falcon to Oregon/California Border | - | 26,500 e/ |
| TOTAL SOUTH OF CAPE FALCON | 25,500 | 26,500 |

a/ Quotas are non-mark selective for both Chinook and coho.
b/ Quotas are non-mark-selective for Chinook and mark-selective for coho.
c/ Quotas are mark-selective for Chinook, equivalent to unmarked quota of 4,000.
d/ Does not include Buoy 10 fishery. Expected catch in August and September of 20,000 Chinook and 13,000 marked coho.
e/ The quota consists of both mark-selective and non-mark-selective quotas of 10,500 and 16,000 , respectively.
Key Stock/Criteria (Council Area Fisheries) Spaw ner Objective or Other Comparative Standard as Noted

| PUGET SOUND: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ew ha Summer/Fall | 3.5\% |
| Dungeness Spring | 3.5\% |
| Mid-Hood Canal Summer/Fall | 11.9\% |
| Skokomish Summer/Fall | 50.0 (5.1\%) |
| Nooksack Spring | 6.9\% |
|  | 33.0\% |
| Skagit Summer/Fall | 48.6\% |
|  | 101.5\% |
| Skagit Spring | 27.4\% |
|  | 33.7\% |
| Stillaguamish Summer/Fall | 12.1\% |
|  | 21.3\% |
| Snohomish Summer/Fall | 11.8\% |
|  | 23.0\% |
| Lake Washington Summer/Fall | 17.4\% |
|  | 40.5\% |
| Green River Summer/Fall | 10.2\% |
|  | 1.7 |
|  | 33.2\% |
| White River Spring | 19.9\% |
| Puyallup Summer/Fall | 50.0 (5.1\%) |
| Nisqually River Summer/Fall | 55.9 (5.8\%) |
| WASHINGTON COAST: |  |
| Hoko Fall | 1.1 |
|  | 60.8\% |
| Quillayute Fall | d/ |
|  | 144.2\% |
| Hoh Fall | d/ |
|  | 80.1\% |
| Queets Fall | d/ |
|  | 53.2\% |
| Grays Harbor Fall | d/ |
|  | 54.7\% |

CHINOOK
$\leq 10.0 \%$ Southern U.S. Rebuilding Exploitation Rate (NMFS ESA consultation standard)
$\leq 10.0 \%$ Southern U.S. Rebuilding Exploitation Rate (NMFS ESA consultation standard)
$\leq 12.0 \%$ Preterminal Southern U.S. CERC (NMFS ESA consultation standard)
$\leq 50.0 \%$ Total Rebuilding Exploitation Rate (NMFS ESA consultation standard)
$\leq 7.0 \%$ Southern U.S. CERC, not to exceed in four out of five years (NMFS ESA consultation standard)
$\leq 60.0 \%$ ISBM Index (PSC general obligation) not applicable for 2013 because management objective met $\leq 50.0 \%$ Total Rebuilding Exploitation Rate (NMFS ESA consultation standard)
$\leq 60.0 \%$ ISBM Index (PSC general obligation) not applicable for 2013 because management objective met
$\leq 38.0 \%$ Total Rebuilding Exploitation Rate (NMFS ESA consultation standard)
$\leq 60.0 \%$ ISBM Index (PSC general obligation) not applicable for 2013 because management objective met
$\leq 15.0 \%$ Southern U.S. CERC (NMFS ESA consultation standard)
$\leq 60.0 \%$ ISBM Index (PSC general obligation) not applicable for 2013 because management objective met $\leq 15.0 \%$ Southern U.S. CERC (NMFS ESA consultation standard)
$\leq 60.0 \%$ ISBM Index (PSC general obligation) not applicable for 2013 because management objective met $\leq 20.0 \%$ Southern U.S. Rebuilding Exploitation Rate (NMFS ESA consultation standard)
$\leq 60.0 \%$ ISBM Index (PSC general obligation) not applicable for 2013 because management objective met $\leq 12.0 \%$ Preterminal Southern U.S. CERC (NMFS ESA consultation standard)
$\geq 5.800$ Natural spaw ning escapement (NMFS ESA consultation standard)
$\leq 60.0 \%$ ISBM Index (PSC general obligation) not applicable for 2013 because management objective met $\leq 20.0 \%$ Total Rebuilding Exploitation Rate (NMFS ESA consultation standard)
$\leq 50.0 \%$ Total Rebuilding Exploitation Rate (NMFS ESA consultation standard)
$\leq 56.0 \%$ Total Rebuilding Exploitation Rate (NMFS ESA consultation standard)
0.85 FMP MSY spaw ning escapement objective
$\leq 60.0 \%$ ISBM Index (PSC general obligation) not applicable for 2013 because management objective met 3.0 FMP MSY spaw ning escapement objective
$\leq 60.0 \%$ ISBM Index (PSC general obligation) not applicable for 2013 because PSC escapement goal met 1.2 FMP MSY spaw ning escapement objective
$\leq 60.0 \%$ ISBM Index (PSC general obligation) not applicable for 2013 because PSC escapement goal met 2.5 FMP MSY spaw ning escapement objective
$\leq 60.0 \%$ ISBM Index (PSC general obligation) not applicable for 2013 because PSC escapement goal met 11.4 FMP MSY spaw ning escapement objective
$\leq 60.0 \%$ ISBM Index (PSC general obligation) not applicable for 2013 because management objective met

TABLE 5. Projected key stock escapements (thousands of fish) or management criteria for 2013 ocean fishery management measures adopted by the Council. ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ (Page 2 of 4) Projected Ocean Escapement ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ or Other Criteria
Key Stock/Criteria (Council Area Fisheries) Spaw ner Objective or Other Comparative Standard as Noted

| COLUMBIA RIVER |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Columbia Upriver Brights | 427.0 |
|  | $97.1 \%$ |
| Deschutes Upriver Brights | $71.8 \%$ |
| Mid-Columbia Brights | 103.9 |
|  |  |
| Columbia Low er River Hatchery Tules ${ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 86.9 |
|  |  |
| Columbia Low er River Natural Tules | $41.0 \%$ |
| (threatened) | 14.3 |
| Columbia Low er River Wild ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ |  |
| (threatened) | $53.8 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Spring Creek Hatchery Tules | 36.3 |
| Snake River Fall (threatened) SRFI | $51.9 \%$ |
| Columbia Upriver Summers | 78.5 |
| OREGON COAST: | $157.1 \%$ |
| Nehalem Fall | $147.5 \%$ |
| Siuslaw Fall | $67.9 \%$ |

74.0 Minimum ocean escapement to attain 60.0 adults over McNary Dam, with normal distribution and no mainstem harvest.
$\leq 60.0 \%$ ISBM Index (PSC general obligation) not applicable for 2013 because PSC escapement goal met
$\leq 60.0 \%$ ISBM Index (PSC general obligation) not applicable for 2013 because PSC escapement goal met
11.0 Minimum ocean escapement to attain 4.7 adults for Bonneville Hatchery and 2.0 for Little White Salmon Hatchery egg-take, assuming average conversion and no mainstem harvest.
23.8 Minimum ocean escapement to attain 10.3 adults for hatchery egg-take, with average conversion and no low er river mainstem or tributary harvest
$\leq 41.0 \%$ Total adult equivalent fishery exploitation rate (2013 NMFS ESA guidance).
6.9 Minimum ocean escapement to attain MSY spaw ner goal of 5.7 for N. Lew is River fall Chinook (NMFS ESA consultation standard).
$\leq 60.0 \%$ ISBM Index (PSC general obligation) not applicable for 2013 because PSC escapement goal met
8.2 Minimum ocean escapement to attain 7.0 adults for Spring Creek Hatchery egg-take, assuming average conversion and no mainstem harvest
$\leq 70.0 \%$ Of 1988-1993 base period exploitation rate for all ocean fisheries (NMFS ESA consultation standard).
29.0 Minimum ocean escapement to attain 12.1 adults over Rock Island Dam.
$\leq 60.0 \%$ ISBM Index (PSC general obligation) not applicable for 2013 because PSC escapement goal met
$\leq 60.0 \%$ ISBM Index (PSC general obligation) not applicable for 2013 because PSC escapement goal met $\leq 60.0 \%$ ISBM Index (PSC general obligation) not applicable for 2013 because PSC escapement goal me $\leq 60.0 \%$ ISBM Index (PSC general obligation) not applicable for 2013 because PSC escapement goal met

| Krojected Ocean Escapement ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ or Other Criteria <br> (Council Area Fisheries) |  |  | Spaw ner Objective or Other Comparative Standard as Noted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | CHINOOK |
| CALIFORNIA |  |  |  |
| Klamath River Fall | 73.8 |  | 2013 preseason ACL. |
| Federally recognized tribal harvest | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | Equals 114.8 (thousand) adult fish for Yurok and Hoopa Valley tribal fisheries. |
| Spaw ner Reduction Rate | 68.0\% | $\leq 68.0 \%$ | FMP; equals 156.7 (thousand) few er natural area adult spaw ners due to fishing. |
| Adult river mouth return | 272.4 |  | Total adults. |
| Age 4 ocean harvest rate | 16.0\% | $\leq 16.0 \%$ | NMFS ESA consultation standard for threatened California Coastal Chinook. |
| KMZ sport fishery share | 9.6\% |  | No Council guidance for 2013. |
| River recreational fishery share | 34.8\% |  | Equals 40.0 (thousand) adult fish for recreational inriver fisheries. |
| Sacramento River Winter (endangered) | 12.9\% | $\leq 12.9 \%$ | Age-3 ocean impact rate in fisheries south of Pt. Arena. In addition, the follow ing season restrictions apply: Recreational- Pt. Arena to Pigeon Pt. betw een the first Saturday in April and the second Sunday in November; Pigeon Pt. to the U.S./Mexico Border betw een the first Saturday in April and the first Sunday in October. Minimum size limit $\geq 20$ inches total length. Commercial- Pt. Arena to the U.S./Mexico border between May 1 and September 30, except Pt. Reyes to Pt. San Pedro betw een October 1 and 15. Minimum size limit $\geq 26$ inches total length (NMFS 2013 ESA Guidance). |
| Sacramento River Fall | 462.6 | $\geq 250.3$ | 2013 preseason ACL |
| Sacramento Index Exploitation Rate | 44.6\% | $\leq 70.0 \%$ |  |
| Ocean commercial impacts | 199.8 |  | Includes fall 2012 impacts (23.5 thousand SRFC). |
| Ocean recreational impacts | 96.6 |  | Includes fall 2012 impacts ( 7.8 thousand SRFC). |
| River recreational impacts | 75.3 |  | No guidance in 2013. |
| Hatchery spaw ner goal | Met | 22.0 | Aggregate number of adults to achieve egg take goals at Coleman, Feather River, and Nimbus hatcheries. |

TABLE 5. Projected key stock escapements (thousands of fish) or management criteria for 2013 ocean fishery management measures adopted by the Council. ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ (Page 4 of 4)
Krojected Ocean Escapement ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ or Other Criteria
Key Stock/Criteria
Key Stock/Criteria (Council Area Fisheries) Spaw ner Objective or Other Comparative Standard as Noted

| COHO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interior Fraser (Thompson River) | 10.0\% (4.5\%) | $\leq 10.0 \% 2013$ Southern U.S. exploitation rate ceiling; 2002 PSC coho agreement. |
| Skagit | 36.1\% (4.1\%) | $\leq 60.0 \% 2013$ total exploitation rate ceiling; FMP matrix ${ }^{\text {d/ }}$ |
| Stillaguamish | 27.8\% (2.9\%) | $\leq 50.0 \% 2013$ total exploitation rate ceiling; FMP matrix ${ }^{\text {d/ }}$ |
| Snohomish | 25.1\% (2.9\%) | $\leq 60.0 \% 2013$ total exploitation rate ceiling; FMP matrix ${ }^{\text {d/ }}$ |
| Hood Canal | 45.0\% (4.6\%) | $\leq 45.0 \% 2013$ total exploitation rate ceiling; FMP matrix ${ }^{\text {d/ }}$ |
| Strait of Juan de Fuca | 12.9\% (3.8\%) | $\leq 40.0 \% 2013$ total exploitation rate ceiling; FMP matrix ${ }^{\text {d/ }}$ |
| Quillayute Fall | 16.0 | 6.3 FMP MSY adult spaw ner estimate ${ }^{\text {g/ }}$. Value depicted is ocean escapement. |
| Hoh | 7.3 | 2.5 FMP MSY adult spaw ner estimate ${ }^{\text {g/ }}$. Value depicted is ocean escapement. |
| Queets Wild | 19.3 | 5.8 FMP MSY adult spaw ner estimate ${ }^{\text {g/ }}$. Value depicted is ocean escapement. |
| Grays Harbor | 180.9 | 24.4 FMP MSY adult spaw ner estimate ${ }^{\mathrm{g} /}$. Value depicted is ocean escapement. |
| Low er Columbia River Natural (threatened) | 15.0\% (11.0\%) | $\leq 15.0 \%$ Total marine and mainstem Columbia River fishery exploitation rate (2013 NMFS ESA guidance). |
| Upper Columbia ${ }^{\text {e/ }}$ | 70\% | $\geq 50 \%$ Minimum percentage of the run to Bonneville Dam. |
| Columbia River Hatchery Early | 275.2 | 36.7 Minimum ocean escapement to attain hatchery egg-take goal of 14.3 early adult coho, with average conversion and no mainstem or tributary fisheries. |
| Columbia River Hatchery Late | 143.0 | 9.6 Minimum ocean escapement to attain hatchery egg-take goal of 6.0 late adult coho, with average conversion and no mainstem or tributary fisheries. |
| Oregon Coastal Natural ${ }^{\text {f/ }}$ | 23.1\% ${ }^{\text {f/ }}$ | $\leq 30.0 \%$ Marine and freshw ater fishery exploitation rate (NMFS ESA consultation standard). |
| Southern Oregon/Northern California Coast (threatened) | 7.3\% | $\leq 13.0 \%$ Marine fishery exploitation rate for R/K hatchery coho (NMFS ESA consultation standard). |

Coast (threatened)
a/ Reflects 2013 fisheries and abundance estimates.
b/ Ocean escapement is the number of salmon escaping ocean fisheries and entering freshw ater with the follow ing clarifications. Ocean escapement for Puget Sound stocks is the estimated number of salmon entering Area 4B that are available to U.S. net fisheries in Puget Sound and spaw ner escapement after impacts from the Canadian, U.S. ocean, and Puget Sound troll and recreational fisheries have been deducted. Numbers in parentheses represent Council area exploitation rates for Puget sound coho stocks. For Columbia River early and late coho stocks, ocean escapement represents the number of coho after the Buoy 10 fishery. Exploitation rates for LCN coho include all marine impacts prior to the Buoy 10 fishery. Exploitation rates for OCN coho include impacts of freshw ater fisheries. Values reported for Klamath River fall Chinook are natural area adult spaw ners. Values reported for Sacramento River fall Chinook are hatchery and natural area adult spaw ners.
c/ Includes minor contributions from East Fork Lew is River and Sandy River.
d/ Annual management objectives may be different than FMP goals, and are subject to agreement betw een WDFW and the treaty tribes under U.S. District Court orders. It is anticipated that fishery management w ill be adjusted by state and tribal comanagers during the preseason planning process to comply with stock management objectives.
e/ Includes projected impacts of inriver fisheries that have not yet been shaped.
f/ Modeled as if the maximum amount of marked coho quota from July is rolled into the non-mark-selective coho quota in September without increasing the projected impacts on OCN coho by more than 2.1 percent $w$ hile remaining impact neutral on LCN coho.

TABLE 6. Preliminary projections of Chinook and coho harvest impacts for 2013 ocean salmon fishery management measures adopted by the Council.

| Area and Fishery | Bycatch |  |  | Observed in 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch Projection | Mortality ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ <br> Projection | Bycatch Projection ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | Catch | Bycatch Mortality |
| OCEAN FISHERIES ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ : | CHINOOK (thousands of fish) |  |  |  |  |
| NORTH OF CAPE FALCON |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treaty Indian Ocean Troll | 52.5 | 7.6 | 22.2 | 56.2 | 8.6 |
| Non-Indian Commercial Troll | 44.0 | 12.1 | 41.0 | 45.3 | 10.3 |
| Recreational | 48.0 | 7.2 | 36.8 | 35.4 | 4.7 |
| CAPE FALCON TO HUMBUG MT. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Troll | 147.8 | 27.2 | 74.5 | 59.2 | 18.4 |
| Recreational | 9.3 | 1.1 | 4.0 | 7.8 | 1.5 |
| HUMBUG MT. TO HORSE MT. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Troll | 26.7 | 4.9 | 13.4 | 10.7 | $3.8{ }^{\text {c/ }}$ |
| Recreational | 31.3 | 3.7 | 13.3 | 48.6 | $4.8{ }^{\text {c/ }}$ |
| SOUTH OF HORSE MT. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 187.9 | 34.6 | 94.7 | 209.6 | $36.0{ }^{\text {c/ }}$ |
| Recreational | 94.2 | 11.1 | 34.8 | 83.6 | $10.6{ }^{\text {c/ }}$ |
| TOTAL OCEAN FISHERIES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Troll | 458.9 | 86.4 | 245.8 | 381.0 | 77.1 |
| Recreational | 182.8 | 23.1 | 88.9 | 175.4 | 21.6 |
| INSIDE FISHERIES: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area 4B | - | - | - | - | - |
| Buoy 10 | 20.0 | NA | NA | 18.6 | $1.8{ }^{\text {c/ }}$ |
|  | COHO (thousands of fish) |  |  |  |  |
| NORTH OF CAPE FALCON |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treaty Indian Ocean Troll | 47.5 | 3.5 | 6.9 | 37.3 | 2.8 |
| Non-Indian Commercial Troll | 14.2 | 15.8 | 56.3 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| Recreational | 74.8 | 21.0 | 100.4 | 33.1 | 12.4 |
| SOUTH OF CAPE FALCON |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Troll | - | 10.0 | 38.6 | 0.0 | 8.7 |
| Recreational | 26.5 | 11.3 | 56.2 | 14.4 | 8.3 |
| TOTAL OCEAN FISHERIES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Troll | 61.7 | 29.3 | 101.8 | 17.1 | 13.3 |
| Recreational | 101.3 | 32.3 | 156.6 | 58.7 | 24.0 |
| INSIDE FISHERIES: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area 4B | - | - | - | - | - |
| Buoy 10 | 13.0 | 3.1 | 12.5 | 7.4 | $2.2{ }^{\text {d/ }}$ |

a/ The bycatch mortality reported in this table consists of drop-off mortality (includes predation on hooked fish) plus hook-and-release mortality of Chinook and coho salmon in Council-area fisheries. Drop-off mortality for both Chinook and coho is assumed to be equal to $5 \%$ of total encounters south of Cape Falcon and $5 \%$ of legal encounters north of Cape Falcon. The hook-and-release mortality (HRM) rates used for both Chinook and coho are:
Commercial: 26\%.
Recreational, north of Pt. Arena: 14\%.
Recreational, south of Pt. Arena: 18\% (based on the expected proportion of fish that will be caught using mooching versus trolling gear, and the HRMs of $42.2 \%$ and $14 \%$ for these tw o respective gear types).
b/ Bycatch calculated as dropoff mortality plus fish released.
c/ Based on reported released Chinook.
d/ Based on reported released Chinook or coho.

TABLE 7. Expected coastwide lower Columbia Natural (LCN), Oregon coastal natural (OCN), and Rogue/Klamath (RK) coho, and Lower Columbia River (LCR) natural tule Chinook exploitation rates by fishery for 2013 ocean fisheries management measures adopted by the Council.

| Fishery | Exploitation Rate (Percent) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LCN Coho | OCN Coho | RK Coho | LCR Tule |
| SOUTHEAST ALASKA | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% |
| BRITISH COLUMBIA | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 10.7\% |
| PUGET SOUND/STRAIT | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% |
| NORTH OF CAPE FALCON |  |  |  |  |
| Treaty Indian Ocean Troll | 2.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 6.9\% |
| Recreational | 4.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% |
| Non-Indian Troll | 1.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 7.4\% |
| SOUTH OF CAPEFALCON |  |  |  |  |
| Recreational: |  |  |  | 0.1\% |
| Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt. | 1.7\% | 8.1\% | 0.2\% |  |
| Humbug Mt. to OR/CA border (KMZ) | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% |  |
| OR/CA border to Horse Mt. (KMZ) | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 2.1\% |  |
| Fort Bragg | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% |  |
| South of Pt. Arena | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% |  |
| Troll: |  |  |  | 2.0\% |
| Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt. | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.1\% |  |
| Humbug Mt. OR/CA border (KMZ) | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |  |
| OR/CA border to Horse Mt. (KMZ) | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% |  |
| Fort Bragg | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 1.3\% |  |
| South of Pt. Arena | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% |  |
| BUOY 10 | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% |
| ESTUARY/FRESHWATER | 3.3\% | 10.0\% ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ | 0.2\% |  |
| TOTAL ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | 15.0\% | 23.1\% ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | 7.3\% | 41.0\% |

a/ Includes adult mortalities associated with PSC funded Chinook escapement monitoring studies in Oregon.
b/ Totals do not include estuary/freshw ater or Buoy 10 for RK coho.
c/ Modeled as if the maximum amount of marked coho quota from July is rolled into the non-mark-selective coho quota in September w ithout increasing the projected impacts on OCN coho by more than 2.1 percent $w$ hile remaining impact neutral on LCN coho.

TABLE 8. Projected coho mark rates for 2013 mark-selective fisheries under Council adopted management measures (percent marked).

| Area | Fishery | June | July | August | Sept |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Puget Sound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strait of Juan de Fuca (Area 5) | Recreational | -- | 45\% | 42\% | 42\% |
| Strait of Juan de Fuca (Area 6) | Recreational | -- | 43\% | 43\% | 40\% |
| San Juan Island (Area 7) | Recreational | -- | -- | 37\% | 28\% |
| North Puget Sound (Areas 6 \& 7A) | Net | -- | -- | -- | 32\% |
| Council Area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Neah Bay (Area 4/4B) | Recreational | -- | 44\% | 41\% | 41\% |
| LaPush (Area 3) | Recreational | -- | 47\% | 48\% | 38\% |
| Westport (Area 2) | Recreational | 52\% | 51\% | 47\% | 40\% |
| Columbia River (Area 1) | Recreational | 58\% | 56\% | 52\% | 51\% |
| Tillamook | Recreational | -- | 47\% | -- | -- |
| New port | Recreational | -- | 44\% | -- | -- |
| Coos Bay | Recreational | -- | 37\% | -- | -- |
| Brookings | Recreational | -- | 25\% | -- | -- |
| Neah Bay (Area 4/4B) | Troll | -- | 43\% | 42\% | 38\% |
| LaPush (Area 3) | Troll | -- | 47\% | 43\% | 42\% |
| Westport (Area 2) | Troll | -- | 45\% | 45\% | 42\% |
| Columbia River (Area 1) | Troll | -- | 51\% | 47\% | 47\% |
| Tillamook | Troll | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| New port | Troll | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Coos Bay | Troll | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Brookings | Troll | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Columbia River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buoy 10 | Recreational | -- | -- | 53\% | 53\% |

TABLE 9. Preliminary projected exvessel value under Council-adopted 2013 non-Indian commercial troll management measures compared to estimated 2012 and two five year averages (2003-2007 and 2008-2012) (inflation adjusted).

|  | Exvessel Value (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2003-2007 <br> Average ${ }^{c /}$ | 2008-2012 <br> Average ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | Percent Change |  |  |
|  | 2013 Projected ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | 2012 Modeled ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ |  |  | From 2012 <br> Modeled | From 2003-2007 <br> Average | From 2008-2012 <br> Average |
| North of Cape Falcon | 2,805 | 2,847 | 1,824 | 2,313 | -1\% | +54\% | +21\% |
| Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt. | 8,890 | 3,463 | 6,794 | 1,534 | +157\% | +31\% | +479\% |
| Humbug Mt. to Horse Mt. | 1,490 | 584 | 466 | 188 | +155\% | +220\% | +690\% |
| Horse Mt. to Pt. Arena | 3,567 | 2,043 | 3,207 | 1,174 | +75\% | +11\% | +204\% |
| South of Pt. Arena | 8,887 | 10,712 | 9,444 | 2,660 | -17\% | -6\% | +234\% |
| Total South of Cape Falcon | 22,834 | 16,802 | 19,910 | 5,557 | +36\% | +15\% | +311\% |
| West Coast Total | 25,639 | 19,649 | 21,734 | 7,870 | +30\% | +18\% | +226\% |

a/ Exvessel values are not comparable to the community income impacts show n in Table 10.
b/ Dollar value estimates are based on expected catches in the Council management areas, 2012 exvessel prices, and 2012 average weight per fish. Note there is some discrepancy betw een different estimates of average w eight per fish derived from data in Chapter 4 and Appendices A and D of the Review document. The choice betw een different average weight values may affect the magnitude of the "Projected" and "Modeled" values reported in the table, but not the nature of the relationship betw een them.
c/ All dollar amounts are inflation adjusted to 2012 values.
d/ The 2008-2012 average includes two years in which there w ere no commercial fisheries in California (2008 and 2009), and no (2008) or minimal (2009) commercial fisheries in Oregon south of Cape Falcon.

TABLE 10. Preliminary projected angler trips and state level personal income impacts generated under Council-adopted 2013 recreational ocean salmon fishery management measures compared to estimated 2012 and two five year averages (2003-2007 and 2008-2012) (inflation adjusted).

Coastal Community Income Impacts

|  | Angler Trips (thousands) |  |  |  | (thousands of dollars)a/ |  |  |  | Percent Change in Income Impacts |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Management Area | $2013$ <br> Projected | 2012 Actual | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2003-2007 } \\ \text { Avg. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2008-2012 \\ \text { Avg. }^{\text {b/ }} \end{gathered}$ | $2013$ <br> Projected | 2012 Actual | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2003-2007 } \\ \text { Avg. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2008-2012 \\ \text { Avg. }^{\text {b/ }} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Compared to 2012 Actual | Compared to 2003-2007 Avg. | Compared to 2008-2012 Avg. |
| North of Cape Falcon | 101.7 | 79.8 | 105.6 | 80.2 | 10,397 | 8,165 | 10,970 | 7,969 | +27\% | -5\% | +30\% |
| Cape Falcon to Humbug Mt. | 51.0 | 43.6 | 75.5 | 40.8 | 3,093 | 2,647 | 5,048 | 2,511 | +17\% | -39\% | +23\% |
| Humbug Mt. to Horse Mt. | 43.6 | 49.9 | 32.6 | 19.5 | 2,420 | 2,773 | 1,733 | 1,066 | -13\% | +40\% | +127\% |
| Horse Mt. to Pt. Arena | 21.0 | 14.7 | 23.3 | 7.2 | 1,601 | 1,122 | 1,926 | 557 | +43\% | -17\% | +188\% |
| South of Pt. Arena | 100.2 | 100.6 | 109.1 | 40.2 | 9,155 | 9,197 | 10,364 | 3,507 | -0\% | -12\% | +161\% |
| Total South of Cape Falcon | 215.7 | 208.9 | 240.6 | 107.7 | 16,268 | 15,740 | 19,071 | 7,640 | +3\% | -15\% | +113\% |
| West Coast Total | 317.4 | 288.8 | 346.2 | 187.9 | 26,665 | 23,904 | 30,040 | 15,610 | +12\% | -11\% | +71\% |

$\mathrm{a} /$ Income impacts are sums of the impacts for individual communities within each management area. Income impacts are not comparable to exvessel values show $n$ in Table 9.
All dollar amounts are inflation adjusted to 2012 values.
b/ The 2008-2012 average includes tw o years in which there w ere virtually no recreational fisheries south of Horse Mt. (2008 and 2009 ), and three years of historically low effort in the KMZ (Humbug Mt. to Horse Mt., 2008-2010).

TABLE 11. Environmental effects of the Proposed Action relative to criteria and Alternatives analyzed in Preseason Reports I and II. Bold entries represent estimates that were not in compliance at the time the Alternative was adopted. ${ }^{\text {ad }}$

a/ Impacts assumed when Alternatives were adopted in March may have changed due to updated information from the PSC, North of Falcon process, or other sources. For example, the March impact rate of $43.3 \%$ on LCR natural tules for Alternative I w as revised to $41.9 \%$ at the April Council meeting.
b/ Did not include freshw ater impacts, which w ere unavailable in March.


FIGURE 3. Projected coastal community personal income impacts associated with the 2013 commercial troll fishery under Council-adopted management measures compared to estimated 2012 and the 2003-2007 and 2008-2012 averages in inflation-adjusted dollars.


FIGURE 4. Projected coastal community personal income impacts associated with the 2013 recreational fishery under Council-adopted management measures compared to estimated 2012 and the 2003-2007 and 2008-2012 averages in inflation-adjusted dollars.
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UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE
Northwest Region
7600 Sand Point Way N.E., Bldg. 1
Seattle, WA 98115
April 16, 2013

Dr. Don McIsaac, Executive Director
Pacific Fishery Management Council
8235 Airport Way
Portland, Oregon 97220
Dearpr. Olecsaac:
The Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) adopted changes to the language in its recommended regulations for 2013 salmon fisheries related to NOAA Fisheries' consideration of inseason actions. NOAA Fisheries is writing to confirm our understanding of the intent of the new language and provide insight into how NOAA Fisheries would apply that language in 2013 and beyond. The language, as modified during the preseason process and adopted by the Councilis found in Preseason Report III: Council Adopted Management Measures and Environmental Assessment Part 3 for 2013 Ocean Salmon Fishery Regulations, Table 2, Section C.5.d (C.5.d, and addresses potential circumstances where inseason observed data differ from preseason expectations when considering modifying regulations related to the retention of coho. These circumstances may arise when considering the conversion of a mark-selective coho into a fishery that may retain unmarked coho.

First, NOAA Fisheries understands and confirms that the phrase "if significant" is included in the C.5.d language to indicate that under most circumstances the Council anticipates that NOAA Fisheries in-season management determinations will be based upon the preseason expectations established during the season-setting process. Insignificant differences noticed between preseason expectations and in-season data should not give rise to undue concern. NOAA Fisheries understands that only unusual circumstances are intended to be addressed by the new language presented in C.5.d.

Second, there appears to be appreciation among Council members and advisors that it is difficult, if not unwise, to prescribe the variety of factors that may cause a preseason/inseason difference to be "significant." In fact, circumstances in one year may lead to the conclusion that differences are significant when similar factors applied in the next year may be found to be insignificant. Yet all parties want to avoid adding uncertainty to the inseason management process. NOAA Fisheries understands this, and that the objective of C.5.d is to maintain the existing balance between the joint desires to meet fishery and conservation objectives when faced with an inseason management decision. The new language is interpreted to advise NOAA Fisheries to maintain its current practice relative to management objectives, but to do so without being blind
to "significant" differences in data that would suggest, in unique circumstances, that preseason conservation expectations are likely to be exceeded.

True to this understanding, NOAA Fisheries suggests, for example, that large differences between preseason expectations and observed data may be considered insignificant if ocean quotas and stock impact limits are not expected to be reached, regardless of the inseason action.

NOAA Fisheries appreciates the Council's willingness to address the circumstances that gave rise to consideration of the C.5.d language. Thank you for fostering robust discussion of the issue with and among your advisors. In that regard, this letter formalizes the understandings expressed in earlier drafts of the letter made available to the Council and its advisors during their deliberations on C.5.d and which can be found in the Council's record of the April meeting in Portland, Oregon. NOAA Fisheries will work hard to smoothly implement C.5.d should circumstances bring the provision into consideration.

Sincerely,


Robert Turner
Assistant Regional Administrator
Salmon Management Division


## ADDENDUM: CONSISTENCY WITH OTHER APPLICABLE LAW

## Magnuson-Stevens Conservation and Management Act

The MSA provides parameters and guidance for Federal fisheries management. Overarching principles for fisheries management are found in the MSA's National Standards, which articulate a broad set of policies governing fisheries management. In crafting fisheries management regimes, the Councils and NMFS must balance their recommendations to meet these different national standards.

The purpose of this action is to develop annual management measures for Pacific salmon under the salmon FMP. National Standard 1 (NS1) requires that "Conservation and management measures shall prevent overfishing while achieving on a continuing basis, the optimum yield from each fishery for the United States fishing industry." The alternatives for the management measures are designed to ensure that conservation objectives and ACLs are met. These reference points are in turn designed to prevent overfishing while achieving optimum yield on a continuing basis. Therefore, the alternatives are consistent with NS1.

National Standard 2 requires the use of the best available scientific information. The Council's Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) reviews and recommends the methods used to develop alternatives for salmon management measures. The no-action alternative (see PRE I, Chapter V) would not meet this standard, as it does not take into account current abundance projections for salmon stocks. However, the other alternatives are crafted based on up to date scientific information regarding abundance and the methods approved by the SSC.

National Standard 3 requires individual stocks of fish to be managed as a unit throughout their ranges and interrelated stocks of fish to be managed as a unit. The conservation objectives and ACLs are established for individual stocks in the Salmon FMP and are based on either escapement or on total exploitation rate, both of which account for impacts to stocks throughout their range. All Salmon FMU stocks are managed as a unit in Council-area fisheries to ensure all conservation objectives are met. The alternatives were developed to be consistent with the conservation objectives and ACLs in the FMP.

National Standard 4 requires that "Conservation and management measures shall not discriminate between residents of different States." And that "allocation shall be: (A) fair and equitable...; (B) reasonably calculated to promote conservation; and (C) carried out in such manner that no...entity acquires an excessive share." The alternatives were developed to be consistent with the allocation guidelines in the FMP.

National Standard 5 requires efficiency, where practicable, in the utilization of fishery resources. All alternatives in this EA meet this standard.

National Standard 6 requires conservation objectives and management measures to take into account and allow for variations among, and contingencies in, fisheries, fishery resources, and catches. All alternatives allow for inseason management of Council-area salmon fisheries to meet conservation objectives and preseason management objectives.

National Standard 7 requires that conservation and management measures shall, where practicable, minimize costs and avoid unnecessary duplication. All alternatives in this EA meet this standard.

National Standard 8 requires that conservation and management measures shall, consistent with the conservation requirements of the MSA, take into account the importance of fishery resources to fishing communities in order to "(A) provide for the sustained participation of such communities, and (B) to the extent practicable, minimize adverse economic impacts on such communities." The alternatives represent a range of management measures with various economic impacts. The Final Preferred Alternative (see PRE III) was developed to provide the optimum balance between the short term needs of the communities and the long term needs of the communities, needs which rely on long term health of the salmon stocks.

National Standard 9 requires the reduction, to the extent practicable, of bycatch or bycatch mortality. All alternatives in this EA are expected to have no significant effects due to bycatch mortality on non-target species.

National Standard 10 requires, to the extent practicable, conservation and management measures to promote the safety of human life at sea. The Alternatives in this EA are not expected to impact risks to salmon fishermen.

## Paperwork Reduction Act

The purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) are to minimize the burden of information collection by the Federal Government on the public; maximize the utility of any information thus collected; improve the quality of information used in Federal decision making, minimize the cost of collection, use and dissemination of such information; and improve accountability. The PRA requires Federal agencies to obtain clearance from the Office of Management and Budget before collecting information. This clearance requirement is triggered if certain conditions are met. "Collection of information" is defined broadly. In summary it means obtaining information from third parties or the public by or for an agency through a standardized method imposed on 10 or more persons. Collection of information need not be mandatory to meet the trigger definition. Even information collected by a third party, if at the behest of a Federal agency, may trigger the clearance requirement. Within NMFS the Office of the Chief Information Officer is responsible for PRA compliance. Obtaining clearance can take up to 9 months and is one aspect of NMFS review and approval of Council decisions.

The proposed action includes an existing approved collection-of-information requirement which is being implemented under Federal regulations. A specific requirement on when and where to land fish is imposed when necessary to ensure timely and accurate assessment of catches in specific regulatory areas. If fishermen are unable to comply with this landing requirement because of unsafe weather or mechanical problems, they must notify the Coast Guard of their problem, and advise of the name of the vessel, the port where delivery will be made, the approximate amount of salmon on board, and the estimated time of arrival. This emergency provision is rarely used, but is important to be retained for safety purposes. Authorization under the PRA for this information collection was extended on July 8, 2011 and will expire on July 31, 2014 (OMB Control No. 0648-0433).

## Marine Mammal Protection Act

The MMPA of 1972 is the principle Federal legislation that guides marine mammal species protection and conservation policy in the United States. Under the MMPA, NMFS is responsible for the management and conservation of 153 stocks of whales, dolphins, porpoise, as well as seals, sea lions, and
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fur seals; while the US Fish and Wildlife Service is responsible for walrus, sea otters, and the West Indian manatee.

Off the west coast, the Southern Resident Puget Sound killer whale stock (SRKW) is listed as endangered under the Endangered Species Act (ESA); the Steller sea lion eastern stock, Guadalupe fur seal, and Southern sea otter California stock are listed as threatened under the ESA. The sperm whale (WA, OR, CA stock), humpback whale (WA, OR, CA, Mexico stock), blue whale eastern north Pacific stock, and Fin whale (WA, OR, CA stock) are listed as depleted under the MMPA. Any species listed as endangered or threatened under the ESA is automatically considered depleted under the MMPA.

The commercial salmon troll fisheries off the west coast are classified as Category III fisheries, indicating a remote or no likelihood of causing incidental mortality or serious injury to marine mammals (76 FR 73912, November 29, 2011). Recreational salmon fisheries are assumed to have similar impacts as they use similar gear and techniques. The only depleted marine mammal with which the salmon fishery has known interaction is the Steller sea lion; however, interaction is rare and NMFS has determined mortality and serious injury incidental to commercial salmon troll fishing operations have a negligible effect on this species (NMFS 2003; Appendix B). The proposed action is not expected to have impacts to marine mammals.

## NEPA

This EA is intended to meet the NEPA requirements that apply to the proposed action.

## Endangered Species Act (ESA)

Ocean salmon fisheries conducted under the FMP do affect ESA-listed salmon species. The alternatives analyzed in this EA were developed under the guidance of biological opinions issued by NMFS. The proposed action is consistent with consultation standards established by NMFS.

Council-managed fisheries also impact listed Southern Resident Killer Whales. Fisheries are managed consistent with the biological opinion for killer whales (NMFS, May 5, 2009). Effects on listed Puget Sound yelloweye rockfish, canary rockfish, and bocaccio and Pacific eulachon were addressed in a 2010 biological opinion (NMFS 2010b). The effects to ESA-listed North American green sturgeon were considered in a 2007 biological opinion (NMFS 2007b).

The following BOs and Section 4(d) determinations have been prepared for West Coast stocks by NMFS.

Table 1. NMFS ESA Biological Opinions regarding Evolutionarily Significant Units (ESUs) and Distict Population Segments (DPSs) affected by PFMC Fisheries.

| Date (decision type) | Duration | Species Considered |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Salmonid Species |  |  |
| March 8, 1996 (BO) | until reinitiated | Snake River spring/summer and fall Chinook <br> Snake River sockeye |
| April 28, 1999 (BO) | until reinitiated | S. Oregon/N. California Coastal coho <br> Central California Coast coho <br> Oregon Coast natural coho |
| April 28, 2000 (BO) | until reinitiated | Central Valley Spring-run Chinook <br> California Coastal Chinook |
| April 27, 2001 (BO, 4(d) <br> Limit) | until withdrawn | Hood Canal summer-run chum |
| April 30, 2001 (BO) | until reinitiated | Upper Willamette River Chinook <br> Columbia River chum <br> Ozette Lake sockeye <br> Upper Columbia River spring-run Chinook <br> Ten listed steelhead DPSs |
| June 13, 2005 (BO) | until reinitiated | California Coastal Chinook |
| April 29, 2008 (BO) | until reinitiated | Lower Columbia River coho |
| April 30, 2010 (BO) | until reinitiated | Sacramento River winter-run Chinook |
| May 24, 2011 (BO) | until April 2014 | Puget Sound Chinook <br> Puget Sound steelhead |
| April 26, 2012 | until reinitiated | Lower Columbia River Chinook |
| April 30, 2007 (BO) | until reinitiated | North American Green Sturgeon |
| December 22, 2008 <br> (BO) | until December | Eastern and Western DPS Steller Sea Lion |
| May 5, 2009 (BO) | until reinitiated | Southern Resident Killer Whales |
| April 30, 2011 (BO) | until reinitiated | Puget Sound/Georgia Basin Rockfish |
| April 30, 2011 (BO) | until reinitiated | Pacific Eulachon |

Many of these documents are available from the NMFS Northwest Region website at: http://www.nwr.noaa.gov/Salmon-Habitat/ESA-Consultations/Biological-Opinions.cfm

## Coastal Zone Management Act

Section 307(c)(1) of the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA) of 1972 requires all Federal activities that directly affect the coastal zone be consistent with approved state coastal zone management programs to the maximum extent practicable. These management measures are based primarily on the Salmon FMP and its amendments, which were previously found to be consistent to the maximum extent practicable with the approved coastal zone management programs of the affected States.

## Migratory Bird Treaty Act

The Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918 was designed to end the commercial trade of migratory birds and their feathers that, by the early years of the 20th century, had diminished populations of many native bird species. The act states it is unlawful to take, kill, or possess migratory birds and their parts (including
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eggs, nests, and feathers) and is a shared agreement between the United States, Canada, Japan, Mexico, and Russia to protect a common migratory bird resource. The Migratory Bird Treaty Act prohibits the directed take of seabirds, but the incidental take of seabirds does occur. None of the alternatives directly affect any seabirds protected by the Migratory Bird Treaty Act.

## Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments

Executive Order 13175 is intended to ensure regular and meaningful consultation and collaboration with tribal officials in the development of Federal policies that have tribal implications, to strengthen the United States government-to-government relationships with Indian tribes, and to reduce the imposition of unfunded mandates upon Indian tribes.

The Secretary recognizes the sovereign status and co-manager role of Indian tribes over shared Federal and tribal fishery resources. At Section 302(b)(5), the MSA reserves a seat on the Council for a representative of an Indian tribe with Federally-recognized fishing rights from California, Oregon, Washington, or Idaho.

The U.S. government formally recognizes that the four Washington Coastal Tribes (Makah, Quileute, Hoh, and Quinault) have treaty rights to fish for salmon within the Council-managed area. Each of the treaty tribes has the discretion to administer their fisheries and to establish their own policies to achieve program objectives. In addition, other tribes with Federally-recognized fishing rights may be impacted by Council-area fisheries, including tribes from Puget Sound, the Columbia River, and the Klamath River. Accordingly, effects of the proposed action and other alternatives have been developed in consultation with the affected tribe(s) and, insofar as possible, with tribal consensus.

## Executive Order 12898: Environmental Justice

Executive Order 12898 obligates Federal agencies to identify and address "disproportionately high adverse human health or environmental effects of their programs, policies, and activities on minority and low-income populations in the United States" as part of any overall environmental analysis associated with an action. NOAA guidance, NAO 216-6, at 7.02, states that "consideration of Executive Order 12898 should be specifically included in the NEPA documentation for decision making purposes." Agencies should also encourage public participation "especially by affected communities" as part of a broader strategy to address environmental justice issues.

The environmental justice analysis must first identify minority and low-income groups that live in the project area and may be affected by the action. Typically, census data are used to document the occurrence and distribution of these groups. Agencies should be cognizant of distinct cultural, social, economic or occupational factor that could amplify the adverse effects of the proposed action. (For example, if a particular kind of fish is an important dietary component, fishery management actions affecting the availability or price of that fish could have a disproportionate effect.) In the case of Indian tribes, pertinent treaty or other special rights should be considered. Once communities have been identified and characterized, and potential adverse impacts of the alternatives are identified, the analysis must determine whether these impacts are disproportionate. Because of the context in which environmental justice developed, health effects are usually considered and three factors may be used in an evaluation: whether the effects are deemed significant, as the term is employed by NEPA; whether the rate or risk of exposure to the effect appreciably exceeds the rate for the general population or some other
comparison group; and whether the group in question may be affected by cumulative or multiple sources of exposure. If disproportionately high adverse effects are identified, mitigation measures should be proposed. Community input into appropriate mitigation is encouraged.

Fisheries conducted under the FMP are not expected to disproportionally affect minority and low-income communities. West Coast Indian tribes are part of the Council's decision-making process on salmon management issues, and tribes with treaty rights to salmon, groundfish, or halibut have a seat on the Council. Available demographic data detailed in the Salmon FMP Amendment 14, Appendix B show that coastal counties where fishing communities are located are variable in terms of social indicators like income, employment, and race and ethnic composition. As a result, the alternatives are not expected to have notable effects on fishing communities in general, nor on minority and low income groups in particular.

## Executive Order 13132: Federalism

Executive Order 13132 enumerates eight "fundamental federalism principles." The first of these principles states "Federalism is rooted in the belief that issues that are not national in scope or significance are most appropriately addressed by the level of government closest to the people." In this spirit, the Executive Order directs agencies to consider the implications of policies that may limit the scope of or preempt states' legal authority. Preemptive action having such "federalism implications" is subject to a consultation process with the states; such actions should not create unfunded mandates for the states; and any final rule published must be accompanied by a "federalism summary impact statement."

The Council process offers many opportunities for states and Indian tribes (through their agencies, Council appointees, consultations, and meetings) to participate in the formulation of management frameworks and management measures implementing the framework. This process encourages states and tribes to institute complementary measures to manage fisheries under their jurisdiction that may affect federally managed stocks.

The proposed action would not have federalism implications subject to Executive Order 13132.

## REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ACT (RFA)

This rule is exempt from the procedures of the RFA because the rule is issued without opportunity for prior public comment.

# FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT FOR 2013 OCEAN SALMON FISHERIES MANAGEMENT MEASURES (XRIN 0648-XC438) 

National Marine Fisheries Service

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Administrative Order 216-6 (NAO 216-6) (May 20, 1999) contains criteria for determining the significance of the impacts of a proposed action. For the 2013 salmon management measures, three alternatives were developed and analyzed (see Environmental Assessment (EA) "Preseason Report II"), in addition to the No-Action Alternative (see "Preseason Report I" part of the EA). The final action is described and analyzed in the "Preseason Report III" part of the EA and was developed within the range of the original three action alternatives, but also with consideration to updated information with respect to unanticipated changes in northern fisheries that affect available quotas in Pacific Fishery Management Council area fisheries.

In addition to the criteria for determining significance described above, the Council on Environmental Quality regulations at 40 C.F.R. 1508.27 state that the significance of an action should be analyzed both in terms of "context" and "intensity." Each criterion listed below is relevant in making a finding of no significant impact and has been considered individually, as well as in combination with the others. The significance of this action is analyzed based on the NAO 216-6 criteria and CEQ's context and intensity criteria. These include:

## 1) Can the proposed action reasonably be expected to jeopardize the sustainability of any target species that may be affected by the action?

Response: No, the proposed action will not significantly impact the sustainability of target species due to preseason planning analyses by the Salmon Technical Team (STT) and Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC) to structure fisheries that are consistent with the MSA, conservation objectives, annual catch limits, accountability measures, control rules, and status determination criteria in the Salmon FMP. The Salmon FMP conservation objectives are based on the best available science and are intended to prevent overfishing while achieving optimum yield from West Coast salmon fisheries as required by the MSA.
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## 2) Can the proposed action reasonably be expected to jeopardize the sustainability of any non-target species?

Response: This proposed action will not result in significant impacts to the sustainability of non-target species. For ESA-listed salmon species, the fisheries are structured such that impacts on listed species are consistent with the applicable ESA consultation standards articulated in the biological opinions analyzing the impacts on those species, as discussed in response to Question 5 below. For non-salmon species, regulations are in place under the groundfish FMP and the Halibut Act and Area 2A Catch Sharing Plan to limit incidental catch of halibut and groundfish to ensure that impacts to these species are sustainable. These regulations include landing/possession limits, quotas, size limits, gear restrictions, and time/area closures. Encounters of non-target salmonid species (e.g., chum and sockeye salmon, and steelhead) in the ocean salmon fisheries are generally minimal.

## 3) Can the proposed action reasonably be expected to cause substantial damage to ocean and coastal habitats and/or essential fish habitat as defined under the Magnuson-Stevens Act and identified in Fisheries Management Plans?

Response: The proposed action will not cause substantial damage to the ocean or coastal habitats or essential fish habitat based on previous analysis (e.g., Appendix A of FMP Amendment 14). Council area ocean salmon fisheries are hook-and-line fisheries. Hook-and-line gear does not adversely affect the ocean floor and thus, does not damage ocean or coastal habitats. Nets and bottom contact gear are not permitted in the salmon fishery.

## 4) Can the proposed action be reasonably expected to have a substantial adverse impact on public health or safety?

Response: This proposed action would not impact public health or safety because the proposed action, consistent with the Salmon FMP, has provisions to adjust management measures if unsafe weather affects fishery access and is consistent with previously analyzed management measures used since the FMP was adopted.

## 5) Can the proposed action reasonably be expected to adversely affect endangered or threatened species, marine mammals, or critical habitat of these species?

Response: This proposed action would not significantly affect any endangered or threatened species or its habitat. Several salmonid species that are potentially caught in the fishery are listed as threatened or endangered under the ESA. NMFS has issued biological opinions or 4(d) rules addressing the effects of the fishery on all of these species. The alternatives for the 2013 fishery were developed consistent with the biological opinions and 4(d) rules for these species. In addition, Southern Resident Killer Whales are listed as endangered under the ESA. Alternatives were developed consistent with ESA Section 7 consultation on the Effects of the Pacific Coast Salmon Plan on the Southern Resident Killer Whale Distinct Population Segment (SRKW). This consultation, dated May 5, 2009, concluded that fisheries conducted under the Salmon FMP were not likely to jeopardize SRKW or adversely modify its critical habitat.

Ocean salmon fisheries are classified under the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) as Category III (76 FR 73912), indicating there is "a remote likelihood of or no known incidental mortality or serious injury of marine mammals" (MMPA 118(c) I).

## 6) Can the proposed action be expected to have a substantial impact on biodiversity and/or ecosystem function within the affected area (e.g., benthic productivity, predator-prey relationships, etc)?

Response: Substantial impacts to biodiversity and ecosystem function are not anticipated because higher trophic level species affected by the salmon fishery are primarily marine mammals, which generally are opportunistic feeders with various available prey options, and their populations have been stable or increasing. Considerations specifically related to SRKW are more complicated but are addressed in more detail through NMFS' ESA Section 7 consultation on the fishery, as noted above. Overall, Pacific Coast salmon fisheries have a minimal impact on marine mammals, as noted above. Direct salmon fishery impacts on seabirds are minimal to non-existent. Harvest removes animals that otherwise would have remained in the ecosystem to prey on lower trophic levels; however, salmon fishery removals are not significant in this respect and wide-scale changes in oceanographic conditions, resulting from EI Niño events for example, are the primary determinants of abundance and structure of

[^7]lower trophic level populations. In addition, maintaining biodiversity by conserving salmon evolutionarily significant units is a key management goal.

## 7) Are significant social or economic impacts interrelated with natural or physical environmental effects?

Response: Social and economic impacts are responsive to the level of fishing, and the level of fishing is directly related to forecasts of salmon stock abundance in relation to required conservation measures. Projections for 2013 suggest a substantial increase in the economic value of 2013 salmon fisheries as compared to recent averages. Projected economic value for 2013 commercial salmon fisheries is $\$ 25.6$ million (compared with the 2008-2012 average of $\$ 7.9$ million). Projected economic value for 2013 recreational salmon fisheries is $\$ 26.7$ million (compared with the 2008-2012 average of $\$ 15.6$ million).

There are no significant natural or physical environmental effects expected to result from the proposed action. Therefore, there are no significant social or economic impacts interrelated with significant natural or physical environmental effects.
8) Are the effects on the quality of the human environment likely to be highly controversial?

Response: The impacts of the proposed action are not expected to be controversial due to use of the best available science by the STT and SSC in advising the PFMC during alternative development.
9) Can the proposed action reasonably be expected to result in substantial impacts to unique areas, such as historic or cultural resources, park land, prime farmlands, wetlands, wild and scenic rivers or ecologically critical areas?

Response: No significant impacts are expected to occur on any of the above areas. No ground disturbing activity is part of this proposed action.
10) Are the effects on the human environment likely to be highly uncertain or involve unique or unknown risks?

Response: The effects of this proposed action are not anticipated to be highly uncertain or involve unknown risks. The proposed 2013 ocean salmon fishery would be comparable to previous fisheries developed under the FMP, which has been in place for many years. Salmon fisheries conducted under the FMP have been monitored and analyzed in the pre-season process for many years and thus, risks from this fishery are relatively well known. There is some uncertainty involved in projecting stock abundance in a given year; however, such uncertainty is addressed through precautionary management measures and weak stock management, which results in lower impacts on healthy stocks that are intermixed with weak stocks in the fishery. Thus, there are no expected unknown risks associated with this proposed action.

## 11) Is the proposed action related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant impacts?

Response: The action would not have any significant cumulative effects. Fisheries are managed in a sustainable manner. Managers account for impacts from other fisheries in developing the alternatives. Fisheries are conducted consistent with ESA consultations, which serve to protect multiple stocks in the mixed-stock ocean salmon fishery, especially where ocean distributions overlap.
12) Is the proposed action likely to adversely affect districts, sites, highways, structures, or objects listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places or may cause loss or destruction of significant scientific, cultural, or historical resources?

Response: No significant effects of this proposed action are anticipated on cultural, scientific, or historical resources. No ground disturbing activity is anticipated. In addition, tribes have representation on the PFMC and are involved in the preseason planning process.
13) Can the proposed action reasonably be expected to result in the introduction or spread of non-indigenous species?

Response: The proposed action is not expected to import, introduce, or contribute to the spread of nonindigenous species. The fishing vessels participating in the proposed action would not increase the risk of introduction through ballast water or hull fouling. Disposition of the catch does not include any translocation of living marine resources, nor use of any nonindigenous species as bait.
14) Is the proposed action likely to establish a precedent for future actions with significant effects or represents a decision in principle about a future consideration?

Response: The action will not be setting precedents for future actions with significant effects because fishery management measures are structured each year based on best available scientific information.
15) Can the proposed action reasonably be expected to threaten a violation of Federal, state, or local law or requirements imposed for the protection of the environment?

Response: This proposed action will not threaten a violation any federal, state, or local law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.
16) Can the proposed action reasonably be expected to result in cumulative adverse effects that could have a substantial effect on the target species or non-target species?

Response: Cumulative effects were analyzed in the "Preseason Report II" part of the EA. While several actions and events are described that could impact cumulative effects related to the proposed action, none were determined to result in substantial cumulative adverse effects.
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## Determination

In view of the information presented in the EA and analysis prepared for the 2013 Ocean Salmon Fisheries Management Measures, including consistency with the Salmon FMP (PFMC 2012), it is hereby determined that the approval by NMFS of this the action will not significantly impact the quality of the human environment as described above and in the supporting EA. In addition, all beneficial and adverse impacts of the proposed action have been addressed to reach the conclusion of no significant impacts. Accordingly, preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement for this action is not necessary.


Northwest Region Administrator


[^0]:    a/ Program ended in 2005.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ For additional information see the November 2012 PFMC Briefing Book, Agenda Item C.3.a, Attachment 3: Technical Revision to the Oregon Coastal Natural (OCN) Coho Work Group Harvest Matrix.

[^2]:    a/ Total run size.
    b/ Preliminary forecast.

[^3]:    In 2014, same as Alternative I

[^4]:    TABLE5. Projected key stock escapements (thousands of fish) or management criteria for 2013 ocean fishery Alternatives analyzed by the STT. ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ (Page 3 of 3)
    a/ Projections in the table assume a WCVI mortality for coho of the 2012 preseason level. Chinook fisheries in Southeast Alaska, North Coast BC, and WCVI troll and outside sport fisheries were assumed to have the same exploitation rates as expected preseason in 2012, as modified by the 2008 PST agreement. Assumptions for these Chinook fisheries will be changed prior to the April meeting when allow able catch levels for 2013 under the PST are know n.
    b/ Ocean escapement is the number of salmon escaping ocean fisheries and entering freshw ater with the follow ing clarifications. Ocean escapement for Puget Sound stocks is the estimated number of salmon entering Area 4B that are available to U.S. net fisheries in Puget Sound and spaw ner escapement after impacts from the Canadian, U.S. ocean, and Puget Sound troll and recreational fisheries have been deducted. Numbers in parentheses represent Council area exploitation rates for Puget sound coho stocks. For Columbia River early and late coho stocks, ocean escapement represents the number of coho after the Buoy 10 fishery. Exploitation rates for LCN coho include all marine impacts prior to the Buoy 10 fishery. Exploitation rates for OCN coho include impacts of freshw ater fisheries. Values reported for Klamath River fall Chinook are natural area adult spaw ners. Values reported for Sacramento River fall Chinook are hatchery and natural area adult spaw ners.
    c/ Includes minor contributions from East Fork Lew is River and Sandy River.
    d/ Annual management objectives may be different than FMP goals, and are subject to agreement betw een WDFW and the treaty tribes under U.S. District Court orders. Total exploitation rate includes Alaskan, Canadian, Council area, Puget Sound, and freshw ater fisheries and is calculated as total fishing mortality divided by total fishing mortality plus spaw ning escapement. These total exploitation rates reflect the initial base package for inside fisheries developed by state and tribal comanagers. It is anticipated that total exploitation rates will be adjusted by state and tribal comanagers during the preseason planning process to comply with stock specific exploitation rate constraints.
    e/ Includes projected impacts of inriver fisheries that have not yet been shaped.
    $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{Modeled}$ as if the 12,000 marked coho quota in July was rolled into the 16,000 non-mark-selective coho quota in September. The resulting 28,000 non-mark-selective coho quota in September in this simulation did not result in an increase to the projected impacts for LCN coho, but impacts for OCN coho increased by 2.5 percent.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pacific Fishery Management Council (PFMC). 2006. Preseason Report II: Analysis of Proposed Regulatory Options for 2006 Ocean Salmon Fisheries. (Document prepared for the Council and its advisory entities.) Pacific Fishery Management Council, 7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 200, Portland, Oregon 97220-1384.
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