**Title:** Whiskers provide time-series of toxic and essential trace elements, Se:Hg molar ratios, and stable isotope values of an apex Antarctic predator, the leopard seal

**Authors**: Patrick Charapata<sup>1\*</sup>, Casey T. Clark<sup>2</sup>, Nathan Miller<sup>3</sup>, Sarah S. Kienle<sup>1</sup>, Daniel P. Costa<sup>4</sup>, Michael E. Goebel<sup>5,4</sup>, Heather Gunn<sup>3,6</sup>, Emily S. Sperou<sup>1</sup>, Shane B. Kanatous<sup>7</sup>, Daniel E. Crocker<sup>8</sup>, Renato Borras-Chavez<sup>9</sup>, and Stephen J. Trumble<sup>1</sup>

\*Corresponding Author

<sup>2</sup>Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Marine Mammal Research Division, Olympia, WA

<sup>3</sup> Department of Geological Sciences, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX

<sup>4</sup>Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, The University of California at Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA

<sup>5</sup>Antarctic Ecosystem Research Division, Southwest Fisheries Science Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)

Fisheries, La Jolla, CA, United States

<sup>6</sup>Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY

<sup>7</sup>Department of Biology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO

<sup>8</sup>Department of Biology, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park, CA

<sup>9</sup>Department of Ecology (Departamento de Ecología), Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile

Patrick Charapata\* 101 Bagby Ave. Waco, TX 76706 Patrick\_charapata1@baylor.edu

Casey T. Clark 7801 Phillips Rd SW Lakewood, WA 98498

Nathan Miller 2275 Speedway Stop C9000 Austin, TX 78712-1722

Sarah S. Kienle 101 Bagby Ave. Waco, TX 76706

Daniel P. Costa 1156 High St. Santa Cruz, CA 95064

Michael E. Goebel 8901 La Jolla Shores Dr. La Jolla, CA 92037

Heather Gunn 2275 Speedway Stop C9000 Austin, TX 78712-1722

Shane B. Kanatous Fort Collins, CO 80523-1878 Emily S. Sperou 101 Bagby Ave. Waco, TX 76706

Daniel E. Crocker 1801 East Cotati Ave Rohnert Park, CA 94928

Renato Borras-Chavez Avenida Libertador Bernardo O'Higgins 340 Santiago, Chile

Stephen J. Trumble 101 Bagby Ave. Waco, TX 76706

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Baylor University, Biology Department, Waco, TX

#### 1 ABSTRACT

2 In an era of rapid environmental change and increasing human presence, researchers need 3 efficient tools for tracking contaminants to monitor the health of Antarctic flora and fauna. Here, 4 we examined the utility of leopard seal whiskers as a biomonitoring tool that reconstructs time-5 series of significant ecological and physiological biomarkers. Leopard seals (*Hydrurga leptonyx*) 6 are a sentinel species in the Western Antarctic Peninsula due to their apex predator status and 7 top-down effects on several Antarctic species. However, there are few data on their contaminant 8 loads. We analyzed leopard seal whiskers (n = 18 individuals, n = 981 segments) collected during 9 2018 - 2019 field seasons to acquire longitudinal profiles of non-essential (Hg, Pb, and Cd) and essential (Se, Cu, and Zn) trace elements, stable isotope ( $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\delta^{13}$ C) values and to assess Hg 10 risk with Se:Hg molar ratios. Whiskers provided between 46 and 286 cumulative days of growth 11 12 with a mean  $\sim 125$  days per whisker (n = 18). Adult whiskers showed variability in non-essential trace elements over time that could partly be explained by changes in diet. Whisker Hg levels 13 14 were insufficient (< 20 ppm) to consider most seals being at "high" risk for Hg toxicity. 15 Nevertheless, maximum Hg concentrations observed in this study were greater than that of 16 leopard seal hair measured two decades ago. However, variation in the Se:Hg molar ratios over 17 time suggest that Se may detoxify Hg burden in leopard seals. Overall, we provide evidence that 18 the analysis of leopard seal whiskers allows for the reconstruction of time-series ecological and 19 physiological data and can be valuable for opportunistically monitoring the health of the leopard 20 seal population and their Antarctic ecosystem during climate change.

- 21
- 22 KEYWORDS: Mercury, Antarctica, Trace Elements, Leopard Seal, Stable Isotopes, Whisker

#### 23 1.1 INTRODUCTION

24 Trace elements have concentrations  $\leq 1000$  ppm that originate from natural and 25 anthropogenic activities, are transported to areas based on atmospheric and geochemical 26 processes, and are made bioavailable to organisms through uptake at base trophic levels (Bradl, 27 2005; De Moreno et al., 1997; Lambert et al., 1990). While exposure to trace elements can occur 28 through various pathways, diet is the main route of exposure for mammals (Kershaw and Hall, 29 2019; Tchounwou et al., 2012). Trace elements include those that are non-essential to 30 physiological processes and may disrupt normal biochemical processes, such as, heavy metals 31 mercury (Hg), lead (Pb), and cadmium (Cd) (Tchounwou et al., 2012). In contrast, essential trace 32 elements play key roles in biological processes (Tchounwou et al., 2012) and include selenium 33 (Se), zinc (Zn), and copper (Cu). Due to their important roles in either disruption (non-essential) 34 or vital function (essential) of health, trace elements are an important research topic in wildlife 35 assessments.

36 Pinnipeds (Pinnipedia; seals, sea lions, and walruses) are considered sentinel species in 37 marine ecosystems and therefore can be used to assess trace element exposure and 38 population/ecosystem health (Bossart, 2011; Clark et al., 2021; Lehnert et al., 2017; Rea et al., 39 2020). Mercury, specifically in its methylated form of methylmercury (MeHg), bioaccumulates 40 up through trophic levels and can cross the placental and brain-blood barriers to expose both 41 developing fetuses and adults to high Hg concentrations (Das et al., 2002; De Moreno et al., 42 1997; Gray et al., 2008; Sun et al., 2020). Although not known to bioaccumulate up marine food 43 webs (Cardwell et al., 2013; Dehn et al., 2006), high concentrations of Pb and Cd may disrupt 44 neurological and cellular activities (Carpenter, 2001; Martelli et al., 2006). Pinnipeds have 45 mechanisms to detoxify non-essential trace elements by binding them to specific essential trace 46 elements (Ikemoto et al., 2004). For example, Se can form biologically inert Se-Hg granules as a way to mitigate negative Hg effects (Ikemoto et al., 2004; Nigro and Leonzio, 1996). The ideal
tissues to assess acute exposure to trace elements are internal organs, liver, kidneys, and muscle,
where trace elements are processed, metabolized, and/or stored (Das et al., 2002; Ikemoto et al.,
2004b, 2004a; Szefer et al., 1994), however, collecting these tissues from live free-ranging
pinnipeds is highly invasive and unethical, requiring collection of alternative tissues.

52 Whiskers, or vibrissae, are keratinous hard tissues that grow over discrete periods of time 53 and have been used to assess various ecological and physiological biomarkers in pinnipeds 54 (Hirons et al., 2001; Keogh et al., 2021, 2020; Rogers et al., 2016). Trace elements are stored and 55 remain inert in keratinous tissues and have been measured in mammal hair, nails, claws, baleen, 56 and whiskers (Ethier et al., 2013; Ferdinando, 2019; Legrand et al., 2004; Noël et al., 2016; 57 Rodushkin et al., 2000; Shore et al., 2022). Assessing relative changes in trace element 58 concentrations throughout whisker growth provides a time-series of non-essential and essential 59 trace elements in pinnipeds (e.g., Noël et al., 2016). Addition of stable isotope (nitrogen  $[\delta^{15}N]$ and carbon  $[\delta^{13}C]$ ) time-series can provide information on trophic level and foraging location 60 61 (Ben-David and Flaherty, 2012), respectively, relative to trace element concentrations. For 62 example, pairing trace elements and stable isotopes in teeth helped determine that Uruguayan 63 pinnipeds feeding on benthic prey in a coastal environment resulted in higher exposure to Pb and 64 Cu (De María et al., 2021). Thus, an integrative time-series of trace elements and stable isotopes 65 measured in sentinel species may provide clarity on top predator and ecosystem health during environmental changes. 66

Leopard seals (*Hydrurga leptonyx*) are apex predators that reside year-round in
circumpolar Antarctica (Rogers, 2018; Siniff and Stone, 1985). However, recently, leopard seals
have been expanding their distribution as a possible response to climate change with increased

70 sightings in urban areas, such as New Zealand (Hupman et al., 2020), which may bring them into 71 greater contact with humans and anthropogenically sourced contaminants (e.g., trace elements). 72 Generally, leopard seal foraging ecology varies depending on seasons, locations, prey 73 availability, size, and sex (Hall-Aspland and Rogers, 2004; Krause et al., 2020; Walker et al., 74 1998). Leopard seals are generalists, foraging on Antarctic fur seals (Arctocephalus gazella, 75 Boveng et al., 1998), Adelie penguins (Pygoscelis adeliae), crabeater seals (Lobodon 76 carcinophagus, Hall-Aspland and Rogers, 2004), Antarctic krill (Euphausia superba, herein 77 krill, Botta et al., 2018; Casaux et al., 2009) and demersal fish (Hall-Aspland and Rogers, 2004; 78 Krause et al., 2020, 2015). These life-history characteristics makes them a candidate for a 79 sentinel species and could provide data on the health of the Antarctic ecosystem (Aguirre and Tabor, 2004). 80

81 Leopard seal habitat has dramatically changed over the past 65+ years. For example, in the Western Antarctic Peninsula (WAP), temperature and salinity of the coastal upper water 82 83 columns has increased resulting in decreased sea ice extent and plankton diversity (Alexander 84 Haumann et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2021). This warming has led to an increase in glacial melting 85 leading to a subsequent flux of non-essential and essential trace elements into WAP waters (Kim 86 et al., 2015). Early studies on trace elements in Antarctic wildlife revealed a "pristine" 87 environment (De Moreno et al., 1997); however, since the start of the industrial revolution, 88 Antarctica has been greatly affected by the transport of trace elements from various industrial 89 activities (e.g., coal burning) (Bargagli, 2008; De Castro-Fernández et al., 2021). Trace elements 90 have been measured in leopard seal tissues (Beck, 1955; Gray et al., 2008; Szefer et al., 1994, 91 1993) and provide a baseline of trace element data, however, changes in trace elements as a 92 function of time has not been measured in individual leopard seals. Leopard seal whiskers

contain up to 1-year of growth before being asynchronously shed during their molt (Rogers et al., 2016) and they have been used to assess temporal changes in  $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\delta^{13}$ C values (Botta et al., 2018; Hall-Aspland et al., 2005). Here, we expand the utility of leopard seal whiskers by using a novel approach of assessing trace element concentrations and corresponding stable isotope values in whisker segments to monitor and contextualize the levels of trace elements in the leopard seal population and Antarctic ecosystem.

The objectives of this study were to characterize trace elements (Hg, Pb, Cd, Se, Cu, and Zn) and stable isotopes ( $\delta^{15}N$  and  $\delta^{13}C$ ) in leopard seal whiskers to; 1) provide additional baseline data on leopard seal trace elements and foraging ecology in relation to sex and biometrics; 2) assess the suitability of whiskers as a biomonitoring tissue of trace element changes over time with stable isotope values ( $\delta^{15}N$  and  $\delta^{13}C$ ) while accounting for sex and biometrics; and 3) assess Hg risk in leopard seals and determine if Se is utilized to buffer Hg loads by analyzing Se:Hg molar ratios.

106

#### 107 **2.1 METHODS**

108 2.1.1 Whisker collection

109 Leopard seal whiskers were collected between April - May in 2018 and 2019 during field 110 work conducted at the U.S. Antarctic Marine Living Resources (AMLR) Program research 111 station on Cape Shirreff, Livingstone Island, Antarctic Peninsula (National Marine Fisheries 112 Service permit #19439 and Antarctic Conservation Act permit #2018-016) (Fig. 1). Leopard 113 seals were chemically immobilized using a butorphanol-midazolam protocol administered with a 114 jab stick following Pussini and Goebel, (2015). While sedated, morphometric data were collected 115 (e.g., mass, kg; length, cm; girth, cm). The longest whisker was plucked with the root intact from 116 the muzzle of each seal and stored in a Whirl-Pak® (Madison, WI, USA) at ambient temperature.

117 The final study collection consisted of 18 whiskers from 15 females (n = 1 juvenile and n = 14

adults) and 3 males (n = 3 adults). Following shipping to Baylor University, whiskers were

119 stored at -80 °C until analysis. Whisker length and mass were measured using digital calipers (±

120 0.01 mm, Neiko 01407A) and a Mettler Toledo microbalance.

121

122 2.1.2 Scaled body mass index (SBM index)

Scaled body mass (SBM) index was calculated for each sampled leopard seal using the
equation (Peig and Green, 2009):

125 
$$\widehat{M\iota} = Mi \left(\frac{Lo}{Li}\right)^{bsma}$$

Where *Mi* was the mass (kg) of the seal, *Li* was the seal's standard length (cm), *Lo* was the mean standard length of the different age classes (juvenile n = 1 female) or adult (n = 17 [n = 3 males and n = 14 females]), and  $b_{sma}$  was the scaling exponent from plotting natural log transformed mass by standard length for all seals and the  $\widehat{Mi}$  was the predicted mass of the individual seal when standardized to *Lo*. This SBM index has been shown to scale more accurately with growth and mass compared to other condition indices used in wildlife studies, including pinnipeds (DeRango et al., 2019; Peig and Green, 2009).

133

## 134 2.1.3 LA-ICP-MS analysis of trace elements along whiskers

135 Whiskers were prepared for laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry

136 (LA-ICP-MS) analysis by removing visible surface contaminants (e.g., external root sheath

- 137 fragments) by wiping with a Kimwipe moistened with a 2:1 chloroform methanol solution
- 138 (Keogh et al., 2021; Rea et al., 2015), and drying for  $\geq 24$  hours in a ventilation hood. Cleaned

139

140

whiskers were shipped in sealed Whirl-Pak<sup>®</sup> bags to The University of Texas at Austin, and then stored in a desiccator until LA-ICP-MS analysis.

141 Continuous elemental (Hg, Pb, Cd, Se, Cu, Zn) base-to-tip whisker concentrations were measured by LA-ICP-MS, using an ESI NWR193 excimer laser ablation system (193 nm, 4 ns 142 143 pulse width) coupled to an Agilent 7500ce ICP-MS. Whiskers, ranging from 48.3 – 99.4 mm in 144 length, were mounted on double-sided stick tape. The most stable mounts were achieved by 145 allowing whiskers to best retain their natural curvatures in 2D; whiskers mounted in a straight 146 line were found to move over time. Coordination of whisker transects involved establishing long 147 segmented lines with 1-2 nodes placed per mm, and each node adjusted in x-y to follow the 148 central growth axis. The z axis was adjusted to maintain laser focus along the surface of the 149 traverse as whiskers greatly taper from base-to-tip. The LA-ICP-MS system was optimized for sensitivity across the atomic mass unit (AMU) mass range and low oxide production (ThO/Th: 150 151  $0.28 \pm 0.01$ ) by tuning on a standard (NIST 612). Final parameters where whisker ablations were 152 obtained from trial transects on representative areas of trial whisker samples (via iterative tests of 153 energy density, repetition rate, and gas flow) to obtain robust and consistent elemental signals free from spectral skew). Following pre-ablation (100 µm spot, 100 µm/s scan rate, 2.7 J/cm<sup>2</sup> 154 155 energy density [fluence]) to remove shallow superficial contaminants, a single base-to-tip 156 transect was performed along the center of each whisker, using a 90  $\mu$ m diameter spot, 100  $\mu$ m/s 157 scan rate,  $2.44 \pm 0.07$  J/cm<sup>2</sup> energy density, 10 Hz repetition rate, and carrier gas flows (L/min) 158 of 0.85 for Ar and 0.85 for He. The quadrupole time-resolved method measured eight masses with integration times of 10 ms (<sup>34</sup>S, <sup>63</sup>Cu, <sup>64</sup>Zn, <sup>83</sup>Zr), 205 ms (<sup>202</sup>Hg), and 100 ms (<sup>82</sup>Se, <sup>114</sup>Cd, 159 160 <sup>208</sup>Pb). Measured intensities were converted to elemental concentrations (ppm) using iolite software (Paton et al., 2011), with <sup>34</sup>S as the internal standard and a S index value of 5 wt % for 161

162	whisker unknowns (Legrand et al., 2004; Noël et al., 2016; Rodushkin and Axelsson, 2003;
163	Stadlbauer et al., 2005). Signals were converted to base-to-tip distance ( $\mu$ m) along the whisker
164	based on the scan rate and duty cycle. Any data points that fell below detectable concentrations
165	were assigned 1/2 the concentration of the limit of detection calculated for the analytical run of
166	each whisker (Clark et al., 2021; Gilbert, 1987, Table S1). Outliers were defined as
167	concentrations measured along the whisker greater than 4 standard deviations above the mean
168	and removed from statistical analysis (Clark et al., 2021; Tukey, 1977).
169	Previous researchers have used commercially available human hair as an appropriate
170	reference standard (wt % S content, keratin matrix) for mammal hair and whisker LA-ICP-MS
171	studies (e.g., Noël et al., 2016, 2014). However, these standards have only sub-ppm
172	concentrations for several of the metals assessed (Se, Hg) during this study. Thus, we made and
173	validated reliable standards using methods described in the Supplementary Material.
174	
175	2.1.4 Stable isotope analysis and timestamps
176	After whiskers were analyzed for trace elements using LA-ICP-MS analysis they were
177	returned to Baylor University for sampling of bulk carbon ( $\delta^{13}$ C) and nitrogen ( $\delta^{15}$ N) stable
178	isotope analysis (Fig. 2). Lipids were removed by cleaning each whisker with a 1:1 ethanol:
179	methanol solution, following previous leopard seal whisker stable isotope ratios studies (Botta et
180	al., 2018; Rogers et al., 2016). After allowing whiskers to dry in a ventilation hood ( $\leq$ 24 hr.),
181	whiskers were sectioned into $0.50 \pm 0.01$ mm lengths (using digital calipers and a hand chisel) to
182	enable fine-scale comparison with the LA-ICP-MS trace element time-series; segment lengths
183	were somewhat longer near the frayed tip of whiskers to obtain the minimum required mass
184	(~0.3 mg) for stable isotope analysis.

185 Carbon and nitrogen stable isotope analysis was performed in the Baylor University 186 Stable Isotope Facility, using an Elemental Analyzer (EA) Costech 4010 Elemental Combustion 187 System (ECS) paired with a Conflow IV interphase (Thermo Scientific) and Thermo Delta V 188 Advantage continuous flow Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer (EA-IRMS). Prior to combustion 189 and isotopic analysis, whisker segments were placed into pre-weighed tin capsules (Costech 5 x 190 9 mm), tin capsules reweighed with a Mettler Toledo XP26 digital scale ( $\pm 0.001$  mg). Whisker nitrogen ( $\delta^{15}$ N) and carbon ( $\delta^{13}$ C) isotope values are reported as the ratio of the heavy to light 191 192 isotope relative to international standards; atmospheric nitrogen and Vienna Peedee Belemnite 193 (VPDB), respectively, using the following equation:

 $\delta X = [(R_{sample}/R_{standard}) - 1] * 1000,$ 

195 where X is the targeted isotope (nitrogen or carbon) ratio expressed in delta notation ( $\delta$ ) with units per mil (%),  $R_{sample}$  is the isotopic ratio of heavy to light isotopes (<sup>15/14</sup> N or <sup>13/12</sup>C) of the 196 197 sample, and R<sub>standard</sub> is the isotopic ratio of heavy to light isotopes measured in the standard. A 198 two-point calibration curve for calculating nitrogen  $\delta^{15}N$  and  $\delta^{13}C$  values of samples was 199 established using USGS-40 and USGS-41A international standards. The accuracy and precision 200 of isotopic measurements was calculated based on the long-term mean and standard deviation of 105 replicates of an internal lab standard (Acetanilide, reported  $\delta^{13}C = -29.53 \pm 0.01 \%$ ,  $\delta^{15}N =$ 201 202  $1.18 \pm 0.02 \%$ ) measured during each analytical run (n = 3 replicates/run). The replicate grand 203 averages obtained are within ( $\delta^{15}N = 1.28 \pm 0.17 \%$ ) or very close to ( $\delta^{13}C = -29.45 \pm 0.05 \%$ ) 204 analytical uncertainty of reported values.

Acceptable atomic C:N ratios of whisker segments ranged from approximately 3.0 - 3.8based on previous leopard seal whisker isotope studies (Botta et al., 2018; Rogers et al., 2016). Nearly all whisker segments had acceptable atomic C:N ratios ( $3.47 \pm 0.13$ , 3.03 - 4.00, mean  $\pm$ 

10

208 standard deviation (SD), min - max, respectively (Fig. S1); one segment with anomalously low 209 atomic C:N ratio < 2.8, was excluded from statistical analysis. 210 Whisker segments were assigned approximate timestamps relative to date of collection 211 based on leopard seal whisker growth characteristics and the application of a discrete Von Bertalanffy growth model (Hall-Aspland et al., 2005; Rogers et al., 2016; von Bertalanffy, 212 213 1938). The discrete Von Bertalanffy equation can be written as:  $\delta L/\delta T = K(L_a - L_p - 1),$ 214 215 216 where  $\delta L/\delta T$  is growth rate for sections <sub>p-1</sub> to <sub>p</sub>. This can be rearranged to  $\delta L = (L_p - L_{p-1})$  and  $\delta T = (T_p - T_{p-1})$  allowing calculation of time intervals for whisker section(s) <sub>p-1</sub> to <sub>p</sub> as done in 217 218 Hall-Aspland et al., (2005) and Rogers et al., (2016):  $(T_p - T_{p-1}) = (L_p - L_{p-1}) / [K(L_a - L_{p-1})],$ 219 220 where  $L_p$  is the total length of the whisker,  $L_{p-1}$  is the remaining length of the whisker after 221 222 sampling section p, K is the growth coefficient, and La is the asymptotic length of leopard seal 223 whisker. We used the recommended K value of 0.013 and La of 101.2 mm developed by Rogers 224 et al., (2016) for leopard seal whiskers and applied this equation to each section to acquire 225 approximate segment growth in days. Cumulative  $\delta T$  values over respective segment intervals 226 were then subtracted from the collection date of each whisker to develop an approximate 227 timestamp for individual segments. 228 229 2.1.5 Aligning trace element and stable isotope data 230 The accurate alignment of trace element and stable isotope data per whisker was 231 standardized to the segment lengths submitted for stable isotope analysis. For example, the first

232 section of a whisker (i.e., section "1") with a length of 0.50 mm submitted for isotope analysis

233 meant a number "1" was assigned to all trace element data obtained during the 0.0 - 0.50 mm of 234 whisker sampled during LA-ICP-MS (Fig. 2). This was repeated for subsequent sections until 235 trace element data were assigned a section number that directly corresponded with stable isotope 236 data. Mean and standard deviation values were calculated for trace element data based on its 237 respective section number to accurately be paired with stable isotope data (Fig. 2). This approach 238 worked for all but three whiskers (n = 3), where cumulative segment lengths for stable isotope 239 analysis were greater than the trace element whisker length data. This most likely was due to 240 error when measuring and sampling individual segments for stable isotope analysis. To correct 241 this error in the three whiskers, the total sampling error was calculated (i.e., total whisker length 242 prior to sampling minus cumulative segment lengths post sampling) for these three whiskers and 243 the total error was divided by the total number of whisker segments. The resulting values ranged 244 from 0.06 - 0.08 mm and were subtracted from section lengths for all segments. The process of 245 integrating trace element with stable isotope data was rerun with the corrected segment lengths 246 and resulted in all trace element data being assigned to a corresponding stable isotope segment.

247

248 2.1.6 Statistical analysis

Type II ANOVAs (F-tests for linear models) were used to determine significant differences in mean log10 transformed whole whisker trace elements,  $\delta^{15}$ N, and  $\delta^{13}$ C values between sexes, standard length, and SBM index in respective linear models (Garcia-Cegarra et al., 2021). Due to only having one juvenile, age class was not assessed among these whole whisker trace element and stable isotope data. Whole whisker analyte concentrations calculated means across all whisker segments per individual, whereas segment analyte concentrations are averaged only across segment length (Fig. 2). 256 Pearson correlations of transformed trace elements were performed within and among 257 individuals of pooled sexes (n = 15 females, n = 3 males) using segmented and whole whisker 258 trace element data, respectively. Among individual correlations were calculated using average 259 whole whisker trace element concentrations (i.e., correlations among mean trace element 260 concentrations for each individual seal) and can provide general insight into trace element 261 associations within leopard seal whiskers (e.g., individuals with high concentrations of element 262 "A" tend to have low concentrations of element "B"). In contrast, within individual correlation 263 coefficients were calculated using a smoothed time series of trace element concentrations across 264 the whisker of each individual. The resulting Pearson correlation coefficients were then Fisher-Z 265 transformed, averaged across all individuals, and transformed back to a mean Pearson correlation 266 coefficient. This approach reveals correlations among trace elements through time (i.e., along the 267 whisker) that are consistent across seals, thus are likely to represent processes or phenomena that 268 affect most or all leopard seals in this study, which may include things like physiological, 269 temporal, and spatial intrinsic influences on trace element intake or uptake (e.g., Clark et al., 270 2021).

271 The R package SIBER was used to calculate intraindividual standard ellipse area (SEA) corrected for small sample sizes (SEAc) across whisker segment  $\delta^{13}C$  and  $\delta^{15}N$  values for each 272 273 seal (Jackson et al., 2011; Scholz et al., 2020). The SEAc calculates the variability among  $\delta^{13}$ C 274 and  $\delta^{15}N$  values across whisker segments to provide insight into range of trophic level and 275 foraging locations (i.e., trophic niche) of an individual seal. We used SEAc values to understand 276 how trophic niche width related to whole whisker trace element concentrations. We also calculated a "population" SEAc using whole whisker  $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\delta^{13}$ C values from all whiskers (n 277 278 = 18) to compare with a previous leopard seal whisker study (Botta et al., 2018). Bivariate linear models of log10 transformed trace element data and ANOVAs (F-tests for linear models) were
used to determine relationships of trace elements with intraindividual SEAc values. Linear
models and Type II ANOVAs (F-tests for linear models) were used to assess intraindividual
SEAc with sex, standard length, and SBM index.

283 Linear mixed models (LMMs) were constructed to determine relationships among trace 284 elements with changes in  $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\delta^{13}$ C over time while incorporating sex and biometrics 285 (standard length and SBM index) as covariates. All trace element data were log10 transformed to 286 approximate normal distribution to meet LMM assumptions. Trace element data from adult 287 leopard seal whiskers were modeled (n = 17 adults [n = 3 males and n = 14 females]). Full 288 models were constructed using the R Studio software (RStudio Team, 2020) and the package 289 lme4 (Bates et al., 2015) based on our objectives to assess temporal relationships among trace 290 elements with changes in diet ( $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\delta^{13}$ C values), that also incorporated sex and biometric 291 data (Zuur and Ieno, 2016). A numbered "Week" of the year (1-52) was assigned to individual 292 segments relative to the earliest segment timestamp and only retained "Weeks" that included a 293 minimum of three unique seals (total n = 17 seals, n = 834 segments, and n = 28 consecutive 294 weeks). The full model took the form of: log10(trace element) ~ Standard Length (numeric)+ 295 SBM index (numeric)+Sex (factor, "Male" or "Female") + Week (numeric)+Carbon (numeric,  $\delta^{13}$ C of segment) + Nitrogen (numeric,  $\delta^{15}$ N of segment) + Carbon\*Week + Nitrogen\*Week + 296 297 (1|FieldSeason) (random intercept, controlling for whisker collection year) +(1|Seal.ID) (random 298 intercept, controlling for differences in average concentrations among individuals). Biologically 299 relevant permutations with the fixed effects of the full model were constructed to compare with 300 the full model (Table S2). The selected model was determined based on lowest AICc and highest 301 AIC weight (Burnham et al., 2011; Table S3). We assessed the full and selected models for each

trace element by plotting residuals with fitted values, residuals with all covariates, and assessed
the distribution of residuals (Zuur and Ieno, 2016).

304 Selected LMMs for each trace element had fitted and 95% confidence intervals constructed using the "bootpredictlme4" package in R using n = 500 iterations to estimate the fit 305 306 of selected models with the trace element data (Clark et al., 2021; Duursma, 2021). If the 307 interaction terms were retained in the selected model, we predicted trace element concentrations over time keeping  $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\delta^{13}$ C at biologically relevant "lower", "median", and "upper" values, 308 309 while keeping the other isotope and/or main effects at their median values, if applicable. For 310  $\delta^{15}$ N, the lower value was 10.15 % (12.5 % range of our  $\delta^{15}$ N values, between 0 and 1<sup>st</sup> quartile), median value was 10.83 ‰, and upper value was 12.47 ‰ (87.5 % range of data, between 3<sup>rd</sup> 311 and 4<sup>th</sup> quartiles of our  $\delta^{15}$ N values). For  $\delta^{13}$ C, the lower value was -22.74 (12.5 % range of data, 312 between 0 and 1<sup>st</sup> quartile of our  $\delta^{13}$ C values), median value = -21.98, and upper value was = -313 21.41 % (87.5 % range of data, between 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> quartiles of our  $\delta^{13}$ C values). We then 314 315 visually assessed the fit of model predictions with the leopard seal whisker trace element data from the four seals that fell within those stable isotope categories (i.e., "lower", "median", and 316 "upper"  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N values) (Clark et al., 2021; Zuur and Ieno, 2016). 317

Mercury is a relatively well-studied toxin in pinnipeds with published Hg toxicological thresholds from hair concentrations (McHuron et al., 2019; O'Hara and Hart, 2018; Rea et al., 2020), which also correlate with whisker Hg concentrations (Noël et al., 2016). Previous studies suggest different toxicity thresholds for hair Hg concentrations that are associated with deleterious effects to wildlife and humans including; 5.4 ppm [ $\mu$ g/g dw] in brain tissue of polar bears (*Ursus maritimus*) which correlated with a reduction in genomic DNA methylation and NMDA receptors, 10 ppm in human infants was correlated with delayed development, and ~30 325 ppm in mink (*Neogale vison*) hair that had resulted in acute Hg toxicity and death in some 326 individuals (Van Hoomissen et al., 2015; Yates et al., 2005). Since hair and whisker Hg 327 thresholds are unknown for leopard seals, we followed Rea et al., (2020) and used Hg 328 toxicological thresholds of < 10 ppm to assign whole whisker and individual segment 329 concentrations as "Low" risk, 10 to 20 ppm as "Moderate", and "High" risk of Hg toxicity if Hg 330 concentrations were > 20 ppm (O'Hara and Hart, 2018; Rea et al., 2020). Additionally, molar 331 Se:Hg ratios were calculated for each segment using the formula: (Se ppm /78.96)/(Hg 332 ppm/200.59) following McCormack et al., (2021). An ANOVA with Tukey's Post Hoc honestly 333 significant difference (HSD) was used to determine overall differences in mean Se:Hg molar 334 ratios among risk groups ("low", "moderate", and "high"). We then visually assessed how Se:Hg 335 molar ratios patterns changed over time with respect to Hg risk classification to analyze the 336 potential importance of Se to leopard seals as a Hg detoxicant (Rea et al., 2020). An alpha level 337 of 0.05 was used for threshold of significance for ANOVAs (F-tests for linear models). All data 338 presented in figures are median ± interquartile range (IQR) due to high variability among data. 339

### **340 3.1 RESULTS**

Timestamps of whiskers represented a mean ~125 days per whisker (n = 18), representing ~4.5 months of paired trace element and stable isotope ( $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\delta^{13}$ C) data for each individual leopard seal. The time represented by whiskers ranged from approximately 1.5 months (46 days) to 10 months (286 days) of growth, which falls within previous estimates (max ~1 year) of whisker growth in another leopard seal study (Rogers et al., 2016). These timestamps were based on whisker length; mean whisker length was 74.3 ± 14.1 mm (mean ± standard deviation, SD) 347 with a range from 48.3 - 99.4 mm; this equated to a mean mass of  $21.7 \pm 7.3$  mg and ranged 348 from 12.3 - 33.8 mg.

349

350 *3.1.1* Whole whisker trace element, stable isotope, and trace element correlation data

All trace elements had detectable concentrations above their limits of detection (LOD) along the lengths of all whiskers (Table S1). All trace elements (Hg, Pb, Cd, Se, Cu, and Zn) had similar whole whisker mean concentrations among sexes and exhibited no significant relationships with standard length or SBM index (Table 1, ANOVAs, p > 0.05 all trace elements).

Whole whisker  $\delta^{15}$ N,  $\delta^{13}$ C, and trophic niche width (SEAc) were compared between 356 sexes and assessed with biometric data. Mean  $\delta^{15}$ N values did not significantly differ between 357 sexes (female  $\delta^{15}N = 11.38 \pm 0.99$  %, male  $\delta^{15}N = 9.89 \pm 1.32$  %). Further,  $\delta^{15}N$  values did not 358 359 exhibit significant relationships with standard length or SBM index (ANOVAs, p > 0.05 for all). 360 Similarly, mean  $\delta^{13}$ C values were not significantly different between sexes (female  $\delta^{13}$ C = -22.02 361  $\pm 0.71$  %, male  $\delta^{13}$ C = -22.08  $\pm -0.62$  %) with no significant relationships with standard length and SBM index (ANOVAs; sex: p > 0.05 for all). Trophic niche width (SEAc) did not differ 362 363 between sexes or exhibit significant relationships with standard length and SBM index (ANOVAs, p > 0.05 for all, Fig. S2). The population level SEAc trophic niche width value 364 365 derived from whole whisker  $\delta^{15}N$  and  $\delta^{13}C$  variability was 2.45 (Fig. S3). Summary data of  $\delta^{15}N$ , 366  $\delta^{13}$ C, and SEAc values from whole whiskers are presented in Table 1. 367 Trace elements were not significantly related to trophic niche width (ANOVA, p > 0.05368 for all trace elements, Fig. S4). However, visual assessment of Hg with trophic niche width 369 demonstrates that seals with a narrow trophic niche width had generally higher  $\delta^{15}$ N, enriched

 $\delta^{13}$ C, and high Hg concentrations (Fig. S4, Fig. S5). Seals showed positive and negative correlations among and within individuals' trace element data, which can be found in Fig. 3 and Table S4.

373

374 3.1.2 Trace element changes over time with nitrogen and carbon isotopes, sex, and biometrics
375 3.1.2.1 Non – essential trace elements (Hg, Pb, and Cd)

Linear mixed models were used to assess the relationships of trace elements, including the non-essential Hg, Pb, and Cd, in whiskers with changes over time with  $\delta^{15}N$  values,  $\delta^{13}C$ values, sex, and biometrics. Mercury, Pb, and Cd concentrations were dependent on the following fixed effects; SBM index (Hg only), Week,  $\delta^{15}N$ ,  $\delta^{13}C$ , and the interaction effect of Week\* $\delta^{15}N$  and Week\* $\delta^{13}C$  (Table S3).

381 General trends in monthly median Hg were relatively constant from November to January 382 before increasing during February and March then decreasing in April and increasing in May 383 (Table 2, Fig. S6). Seals with a greater SBM index had higher Hg concentrations (0.00081 log10 384 ppm/kg, Table S3). Mercury LMM results showed differences in accumulation over time based 385 on different  $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\delta^{13}$ C values.

386 Generally, Hg concentrations in seal whiskers with lower  $\delta^{15}N$  (~10.15 % $_{o}$ ) values 387 decrease throughout the year at approximately -0.0023 log10 ppm/week equating to a decrease of 388 approximately 1.46 ppm (Fig. 4). For seals with median  $\delta^{15}N$  values (~10.83 % $_{o}$ ), models 389 predicted Hg would accumulate more slowly (0.00036 log10 ppm/week) equating to a 0.23 ppm 390 increase compared to the seals with higher  $\delta^{15}N$  (Fig. 4). Seals that maintained high  $\delta^{15}N$  (~12.47 391 % $_{o}$ ) values throughout the year accumulated Hg at the greatest rate of 0.0044 log10 ppm/week 392 with a total increase of 2.84 ppm (Fig. 4). Mercury concentrations in seal whiskers with lower

393	$\delta^{13}$ C values (~ -22.74 ‰) increased over time at approximately 0.0032 log10 ppm/week with
394	approximately a 2.00 ppm increase in Hg concentrations (Fig. 4). Seal whiskers with median
395	$\delta^{13}$ C values (~ -21.98 ‰) accumulated Hg over time, however, at a slower rate (0.00035 log10
396	ppm/week) and resulted in a slight increase of ~0.22 ppm of Hg over time compared to seals
397	with lower $\delta^{13}$ C values (Fig. 4). Seals with the highest $\delta^{13}$ C values (assuming -21.41 ‰)
398	exhibited a decline in Hg concentrations over time at approximately -0.0030 log10 ppm/week
399	and had an overall decrease of 1.90 ppm over the study period (Fig. 4). Overall, model
400	predictions of Hg over time with changes in $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{13}C$ captured general, not fine-scale
401	(possibly non-linear), trends in observed data (Fig. 4, Table S3).
402	Whisker Pb and Cd concentrations decreased over time, given low, median, or high $\delta^{15}N$
403	values ([low] ~10.15 %, -0.054 log10 ppm/week; Pb, -0.082 log10 ppm/week; Cd, [median]
404	~10.83 ‰, -0.055 log10 ppm/week; Pb, -0.081 log10 ppm/week; Cd, [high] ~12.47 ‰, -0.059
405	log10 ppm/week; Pb, -0.078 log10 ppm/week) (Fig. 4). Lead and Cd concentrations were highest
406	near the end of January (Fig. S6) and decreased until April for all seals, regardless of their $\delta^{15}N$
407	values (Fig. 4). Seal whiskers with low (~-22.74 %), median (~-21.98 %), or high (~ -21.41 %)
408	$\delta^{13}$ C values exhibited high Pb and Cd concentrations in January, which slowly decreased over
409	time, with Pb and Cd concentrations in whiskers of high $\delta^{13}$ C values declining at a faster rate (-
410	0.063 log10 ppm/week; Pb, -0.089 log10 ppm/week; Cd) than in whiskers with median (-0.055
411	log10 ppm/week; Pb, -0.081 log10 ppm/week; Cd) and low (-0.045 log10 ppm/week; Pb, -0.070
412	log10 ppm/week; Cd) $\delta^{13}$ C values (Fig. 4). Model predictions fit observed data when sample
413	sizes reached above $n = 6$ seals per week (January – April) but fit poorly when sample sizes
414	dropped below $n = 6$ seals per week (November – December, Fig. 4). General trends in median
415	Pb and Cd exhibited high variability throughout the study period (Table 2, Fig. S6).

# *3.1.2.2 Essential trace elements (Se, Cu, and Zn)*

418	Selenium concentrations were dependent on sex and the interaction terms Week* $\delta^{15}N$
419	and Week* $\delta^{13}C$ (Table S3). The selected model predicted that whiskers from male leopard seals
420	contained greater Se compared to those of females throughout the study period (Fig. 5). In
421	general, model predictions did not capture the variability in male leopard seal Se levels over time
422	but fit the data from female seals from January to April (Fig. 5). Females with higher $\delta^{15}N$ (~
423	12.47 ‰, 0.033 log10 ppm/week; female Se) accumulated Se the slowest compared to females
424	with other $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values (~ -22.74 ‰ and 10.83 ‰, 0.038 log10 ppm/week; lower $\delta^{13}C$
425	values and median $\delta^{15}$ N values, respectively, -21.98 %, 0.038 log10 ppm/week; median $\delta^{13}$ C
426	values, -21.41 ‰, 0.037 log10 ppm/week; higher $\delta^{13}$ C values, ~10.15 ‰, 0.039 log10
427	ppm/week; lower $\delta^{15}$ N values) (Fig. 5). Nevertheless, females maintaining higher $\delta^{15}$ N values
428	from mid-February through mid-March had higher median Se concentrations compared to seals
429	with median and lower $\delta^{15}N$ values (Fig. 5). For males, model fit was poor for both $\delta^{15}N$ and
430	$\delta^{13}$ C values (Fig. 5). Overall trends in Se over time showed relatively low and stable Se from
431	November through March before increasing during April (Table 2, Fig. S6).
432	Copper concentrations were dependent on the interaction of time (Week) with $\delta^{15}N$ and
433	$\delta^{13}$ C values (Table S3). Copper, like all other trace elements, displayed high variability
434	throughout time (Fig. S6, Fig. S7). Overall, regardless of the $\delta^{15}N$ or $\delta^{13}C$ values seals exhibited,
435	models predicted declines in Cu concentrations over time; however, rates of decline differed
436	based on $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{13}C$ values. Differences in rates of decline over time were similar with
437	varying $\delta^{13}$ C values (~-22.74 % [lower], ~-21.98 % [median], ~-21.41 % [higher] values, -
438	0.0093 log10 ppm/week; lower $\delta^{13}$ C values, -0.012 log10 ppm/week; median $\delta^{13}$ C values, -0.013

439 log10 ppm/week; higher  $\delta^{13}$ C values) compared to different  $\delta^{15}$ N values (~10.15 % [lower],

- 440 ~10.83 % [median], ~12.47 % [higher] values, -0.010 log10 ppm/week; lower  $\delta^{15}$ N values, -
- 441 0.012 log10 ppm/week; median  $\delta^{15}$ N values, -0.016 log10 ppm/week; higher  $\delta^{15}$ N values, Fig.
- 442 S7). These model predictions only fit observed trends from January April (Fig. S7).
- 443 Zinc concentrations were dependent on the interactions of time of year (Week) with  $\delta^{13}C$
- 444 and  $\delta^{15}N$  values (Table S3). Seals with median  $\delta^{13}C$  (~-21.98 ‰) and  $\delta^{15}N$  (~10.83 ‰) values
- 445 accumulated Zn comparably over time (0.000010 log10 ppm/week; median  $\delta^{13}$ C, 0.0000020
- 446 log10 ppm/week; median  $\delta^{15}$ N, Fig. S8). However, seals that maintained higher  $\delta^{13}$ C (~21.09 ‰,
- 447 -0.0020 log10 ppm/week) or lower  $\delta^{15}$ N values (~10.15 %, -0.0020 log10 ppm/week) exhibited
- 448 decreases in Zn over time (Fig. S8), while seals with higher  $\delta^{15}N$  (~12.47 %, 0.0050 log10

449 ppm/week) and lower  $\delta^{13}$ C (~-22.74 %, 0.0027 log10 ppm/week) values accumulated Zn at the

450 fastest rates (Fig. S8). Zinc concentrations oscillated throughout the study period, with peaks in

451 December and February through April (Table 2, Fig. S6).

452

# 453 3.1.3 Hg risk and Se:Hg molar ratios over time

454 Based on whole whisker Hg concentrations, leopard seals in this study were classified as 455 having a "low" (Hg < 10 ppm;  $n = 11, 6.73 \pm 1.61$  ppm, mean  $\pm$  SD), "moderate" (Hg 10 - 20 456 ppm; n = 5, 13.40  $\pm$  2.47 ppm) and "high" (Hg > 20 ppm; n = 2) Hg toxicity risks. The two high 457 risk seals were both adult females with whole whisker Hg concentrations of 21.05 and 22.98 458 ppm. When assessing whole whisker Se:Hg molar ratios with Hg risk, mean Se:Hg molar ratios 459 were similar among "low"  $(2.22 \pm 0.75 \text{ (SD)})$ , "moderate"  $(2.50 \pm 0.95)$ , and "high"  $(1.66 \pm 0.23)$ Hg risk thresholds (ANOVA, p = 0.06). However, our whisker transect/segment time-series 460 461 allowed us to assess temporal changes in molar Se:Hg in relation to Hg risk classifications.

462 Mercury concentrations show leopard seals were classified as either "low" or "moderate" 463 Hg toxicity risk from August through December and maintained a Se:Hg molar ratios > 1.0 464 (Table 2, Fig. 6). However, after December, Hg in whisker segments from these two individuals 465 increased above 20 ppm and were classified as "high" risk. The first Hg segments to drop below 466 Se:Hg molar ratios of 1.0 were from seals that were classified as "moderate" and "low" risk 467 based on their whole whisker Hg concentrations (Fig. 6). From February through March, seals 468 categorized as "low" Hg risk maintained Se:Hg molar ratios > 1.0, while "moderate" risk seals 469 had whisker segments above and below Se:Hg molar ratios of 1.0, and "high" risk seals 470 maintained Se:Hg molar ratios < 1.0. Although data are limited in May (Table 2), Se:Hg molar 471 ratios for all three risk groups rose well above 1.0 (Fig. 6). Se: Hg molar ratios exhibited a 472 possible exponential decrease with increasing Hg concentrations, where "low" Hg risk seal 473 whisker segments maintained Se:Hg molar ratios > 1.0 and "high" Hg risk whisker segments 474 with the greatest Hg concentrations (> 20 ppm) were mostly below Se:Hg molar ratios < 1.0475 (Fig. S9).

476

#### 477 **4.1 DISCUSSION**

478 Leopard seal whiskers recorded paired time-series trace element and stable isotope data. 479 Some non-essential (Hg) and essential (Se and Zn) trace element concentrations increased at 480 different rates over time depending on variations in trophic level and foraging location (paired 481  $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\delta^{13}$ C values, respectively), whereas other non-essential (Pb and Cd) and essential (Cu) 482 trace element concentrations decreased over time at varying rates based on trophic group patterns 483 (i.e.,  $\delta^{15}$ N values). Leopard seal whiskers can therefore be used to monitor trace element 484 concentrations and accrual of trace elements is partly explained by changes in general trophic 485 level and foraging location. Leopard seals were generally classified as "low" or "moderate" risk for Hg toxicity risk based on whole whisker Hg. Yet, fine-scale changes in Se:Hg molar ratios 486 487 over time in whiskers relative to Hg toxicity risk and paired Hg concentrations supports the 488 hypothesis Se is a detoxifier of Hg in leopard seals.

489

507

490

### 4.1.1 Relationships of diet with sex and biometrics

Mean trophic level ( $\delta^{15}$ N values), foraging location ( $\delta^{13}$ C values), and trophic niche width 491 492 (SEAc values) data from whiskers did not reveal any significant relationships with sex or 493 biometric data, similar to previous whisker assessments in leopard seals (Botta et al., 2018). Whole whisker mean  $\delta^{15}N$  values ranged from 10.07 – 13.14 % for females and 8.37 – 10.70 %494 for males; however, similar mean  $\delta^{15}$ N values suggest females and males foraged at similar 495 496 trophic levels (Table 1). Consistent with this interpretation are similar mean whole whisker  $\delta^{13}C$ 497 values between sexes, suggesting that both sexes foraged in similar areas (Table 1). However, 498 other studies have shown females and males exhibit diverse summer foraging strategies (Krause 499 et al., 2020, 2015). The warming of the WAP has possibly altered  $\delta^{13}$ C isoscapes based on changes in primary producer composition (Kerr et al., 2018; Seyboth et al., 2018) possibly 500 converging  $\delta^{13}$ C values of different foraging areas of different sexes resulting in similar whole 501 whisker  $\delta^{13}$ C values. The paired  $\delta^{13}$ C (and  $\delta^{15}$ N) in whisker segments may better represent 502 503 individual foraging strategies of males and females compared to assessing their whole whisker 504 values. Additionally, similarities of  $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\delta^{13}$ C values between sexes should be cautiously 505 interpreted due to our disparate sample sizes between males (n = 3) and females (n = 14). While leopard seal trophic niche width has been assessed at population and subgroup 506

levels using whiskers (Botta et al., 2018), this is the first study assessing intraindividual trophic

508 niche width in leopard seals. Leopard seals exhibit wide ranges of intraindividual trophic niche 509 widths (SEAc, Table 1, Fig. S2). The median intraindividual trophic niche width of females 510 (SEAc = 1.02) was not within 95% confidence intervals of leopard seal trophic niche width at a 511 population (SEAc = 2.51 - 3.13) nor high or low trophic level groupings of leopard seals (1.87 -2.44 and 1.38 - 2.02, SEAc values respectively (Botta et al., 2018)); however two of the three 512 513 male intraindividual trophic niche widths (SEAc = 1.64 and 2.74) did fall within the low trophic 514 and population level groupings, respectively. The greater SEAc ranges reported in Botta et al., 515 (2018) are most likely due to the increase in trophic niche width at the population compared to 516 an individual level (Scholz et al., 2020). The population SEAc value (SEAc = 2.45), fell just 517 below the population level trophic niche width range (SEAc = 2.51 - 3.13) reported by Botta et 518 al., (2018). This suggests leopard seals exhibited comparable population level trophic niche widths in 2018 and 2019 (this study) similar to WAP leopard seals during 2011, 2014, and 2016 519 520 (Botta et al., 2018). Overall, female and male leopard seals in this study exhibited a wide range 521 of intraindividual trophic niche widths (Table 1), indicating leopard seals are capable of dynamic 522 foraging strategies which may be beneficial with reduced sea ice habitat and changing prey 523 abundance (Botta et al., 2018; Krause et al., 2020; Meade et al., 2015).

524

# 525 4.1.2 Trace element relationships with trophic niche width

Leopard seal trophic niche width was not related to whole whisker trace element concentrations (Fig. S4). Few studies are available as a comparison, but similar results have been found for different cetacean species with Hg measured in skin (Pinzone et al., 2019). We sampled three seals (n = 3) whose SEAc values reflected a greater change in  $\delta^{13}$ C and/or  $\delta^{15}$ N values during whisker growth, resulting in higher SEAc values compared to other seals (e.g., Fig. 531 S10 and Fig. S11), which potentially made them their own "prey/location switching" subgroup. 532 When the "prey switching" seals were excluded, the remaining seals that displayed a more 533 specialized foraging strategy (i.e., low SEAc) had higher Hg concentrations as well as higher  $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\delta^{13}$ C values (Fig. S5). These specialized seals (SEAc values ~ 0.5), mostly adult 534 535 females, appeared to have fed almost exclusively at higher trophic levels, which might explain 536 the higher Hg concentrations compared to more generalist (i.e., high SEAc) seals with lower  $\delta^{15}$ N values (Fig. S5, Aubail et al., 2011; Das et al., 2002). Although no other trace elements 537 538 displayed a clear relationship with SEAc, prey choice rather than trophic niche width appears to 539 be a more important factor for accumulating trace elements as demonstrated by Hg (Pinzone et 540 al., 2019). Mercury did not exhibit strong positive correlations with other trace elements which 541 could also explain why no other trace elements exhibited a similar relationship with SEAc (Fig. 542 3, Fig. S5).

543

544 4.1.3 Model results - trace element changes over time with nitrogen and carbon stable isotope
545 ratios, sex, and biometrics

A main objective of this study was to assess suitability of leopard seal whiskers as a tool for biomonitoring of trace elements over time. Model predictions for trace elements did not closely fit the data, most likely due to small sample sizes; however, these predictions revealed general trends in trace element accumulation of adult, mostly female, leopard seals (Fig. 4). Sample sizes were greatest during January – April ( $n \ge 6$  seals), thus, we discuss results during these months. Results from November – December and May ( $n \ge 3$  and  $\le 6$  seals) are included for reference.

553

#### 554 4.1.3.1 Non-essential trace elements (Hg, Pb, and Cd)

555 Leopard seals in better body condition (i.e., high SBM index) had higher Hg compared to 556 seals in poorer body condition (i.e., low SBM index) (Table S3). The SBM index is a measure of 557 an animal's energy reserves (Peig and Green, 2009). The lipid-rich blubber tissue is where 558 pinnipeds store the majority of their surplus resources, (Noren and Mangel, 2004), however, 559 previous blubber Hg studies in phocids indicate it is not a storage site for Hg (~3.5 % total Hg 560 burden in grey seals (Halichoerus grypus) (Habran et al., 2013, 2012). Instead, muscle, liver, and 561 hair are the major Hg reservoirs for phocids (Correa et al., 2014; Ikemoto et al., 2004b). In 562 elephant seals (*Mirounga angustirostris*), females in good body condition after foraging trips 563 exhibited greater muscle mass and Hg concentrations compared to fasting periods (i.e., low body 564 condition) (Peterson et al., 2018). Our results suggest adult leopard seals in better body condition 565 may have greater muscle stores and Hg concentrations compared to leopard seals in lower body 566 conditions. Scaled body mass index explained a relatively small amount of variability of Hg in 567 leopard seal whiskers compared to changes in their  $\delta^{15}N$  and  $\delta^{13}C$  values (Table S3). Leopard seals with higher  $\delta^{15}$ N values accumulated greater Hg concentrations in their 568 whiskers than did seals with lower  $\delta^{15}$ N values (Fig. 4). Considering Hg exhibits 569 570 biomagnification with increasing trophic levels (Bargagli et al., 1998; Das et al., 2002; Sun et al., 571 2020), it was expected that Hg would accumulate over time if seals maintained high  $\delta^{15}$ N values 572 (~ 12.47 ‰, Fig. 4). Although leopard seals have demonstrated dynamic "prey switching" 573 behavior between lower and higher trophic levels throughout a year (Botta et al., 2018; Hall-574 Aspland et al., 2005; Rogers et al., 2016), we provide additional evidence (Botta et al., 2018; 575 Krause et al., 2015) that individuals may reduce their trophic niche width and feed mainly at high 576 trophic levels over time relative to whisker growth (mean = 152 days total), resulting in higher

577 Hg concentrations (Fig. S4 and Fig. S11). During January – February of the austral summer, 578 adult females finish mating activity and their annual molt, which requires energy dense prey, 579 such as the Antarctic fur seals (Arctocephalus gazella) and penguins (Krause et al., 2020; Rogers, 2018). Feeding at higher trophic levels could explain the higher whisker  $\delta^{15}$ N values and 580 581 Hg concentrations during this time period (Fig. 4). Despite the higher  $\delta^{15}$ N values of these seals, 582 leopard seals are known to supplement their diet during the summer with lower trophic prey, 583 mainly krill, with additional supplements of demersal fish (Hall-Aspland and Rogers, 2004; 584 Krause et al., 2020, 2016, 2015), which could help explain the variability in Hg relative to  $\delta^{15}$ N 585 on an estimated weekly basis (Fig. 4). 586 Mercury concentrations during March - April generally decreased across assumed low (10.15 %), median (10.83 %), and higher (12.47 %)  $\delta^{15}$ N values (Fig. 4), despite whisker stable 587 588 isotope data implying seals were generally foraging at higher trophic levels (Fig. S6). This 589 decline in Hg concentrations may correspond with physiological and ecological processes 590 occurring during this period. The fall season comes after lactation in December (i.e., finished 591 weaning pups) and molting in February (Rogers, 2018), for which adult females in Cape Shireff 592 require large amounts of available energy dense prey (e.g., Antarctic fur seal pups) to meet these 593 energetic activities (Krause et al., 2020). Intake of higher trophic level prey may decline during 594 the following months (March – April), which could explain the declines in Hg concentrations 595 across varying trophic levels (Fig. 4). The gestation period for pregnant female leopard seals 596 begins in March – April (Atkinson, 1997; Boyd, 1991). The decreases in whisker Hg during this 597 time could be due to transplacental transfer to developing fetuses (Castellini et al., 2012; Noël et 598 al., 2016). Blood Hg or female reproductive status were not available, so further studies are 599 needed to confirm these interpretations.

600 Variability in whisker  $\delta^{13}$ C values may further explain variation in Hg during January – April (Table S3). The LMM model predicting Hg concentrations based on varying  $\delta^{13}$ C values fit 601 poorly for seals with lower  $\delta^{13}$ C values compared to seals with higher and median  $\delta^{13}$ C values 602 603 (Fig. 4). Recent research along coastal areas in the Western Antarctic Peninsula and South 604 Shetland Islands, indicates that trace elements are evenly distributed by local biological 605 processes at the base of the food chain (De Castro-Fernández et al., 2021). This makes it difficult 606 to predict trace element accumulation in whiskers based on general foraging location from  $\delta^{13}C$ 607 values, but availability of paired tracking data could help contextualize  $\delta^{13}$ C and trace element 608 relationships (Peterson et al., 2015; Walters et al., 2020). Leopard seals are generally shallow 609 divers in coastal habitats, but expand their range as sea ice increases (Krause et al., 2015; Meade 610 et al., 2015). The lower median  $\delta^{13}$ C in leopard seal whisker values suggests offshore foraging 611 compared to seals with higher whisker median  $\delta^{13}$ C values that may be feeding closer to shore or 612 near sea ice (Jia et al., 2016; Mincks et al., 2008).

613 Lead and Cd were positively correlated within individual whiskers and exhibited similar 614 general accumulation patterns over time relative to whisker growth (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). Positive 615 correlations among Pb and Cd are documented in muscle of Antarctic seals, in leopard seals, and 616 in growth layers in teeth of male and female Pacific walruses (Odobenus rosmarus divergens) 617 (Clark et al., 2021; Szefer et al., 1994). Median Pb and Cd concentrations were highest during 618 January then declined continuously until mid-March regardless of  $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\delta^{13}$ C values (Fig. 4). 619 Pb and Cd are not consistently biomagnified up trophic levels in aquatic ecosystems (Cardwell et 620 al., 2013; Dehn et al., 2006), thus, these decreases over time may not be associated with changes in trophic level even though the interaction of  $\delta^{15}$ N and time was retained in the top Pb and Cd 621 622 models (Table S3). Instead, seals may be switching foraging locations or encountering changing

- 623 food web components during this time resulting in changing exposure to Pb and Cd (Alekseev
- and Abakumov, 2020; De Castro-Fernández et al., 2021; De María et al., 2021). Lead and Cd
- 625 increased during mid-March April, regardless of their diet (Fig. 4).
- 626 Most leopard seals examined in this study were adult females (n = 14) and therefore 627 physiological processes may influence excretion or accretion pathways of Pb and Cd in whiskers 628 over time. Molting during January - February provides a pathway to excrete toxic non-essential 629 trace elements while newly grown hair may accumulate trace elements (Gray et al., 2008; 630 Ikemoto et al., 2004b), instead of whiskers. Females are likely pregnant during March – April 631 (Rogers, 2018, 2009). As Pb and Cd are non-essential trace elements, they could be transferred 632 through the placenta to the fetus, providing another excretion mechanism for Pb and Cd. This 633 would decrease the amount of circulating levels of Pb and Cd, thus reducing their availability for 634 incorporation into the whiskers (Habran et al., 2013, 2012; Noël et al., 2016; Rea et al., 2013). 635 This is consistent with the marginally lower Pb and Cd in females compared to males (Table 1). 636 In summary, changes in  $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\delta^{13}$ C as well as physiological mechanisms likely explain a Pb 637 and Cd variability and decreases during January – March (Fig. 4).
- 638
- 639 *4.1.3.2 Essential trace elements (Selenium)*

There were differences between Se in male and female whiskers over time (Table S3,
Fig. 5). During November – December, males retained greater Se concentrations compared to
females, until January – April when Se concentrations dropped into a similar range as the female
Se levels (Fig. 5). Selenium is mobilized and transferred to pups during lactation in seals
(Habran et al., 2013, 2012) which is thought to occur during this time (Southwell et al., 2003)
and differences in feeding intakes at different trophic levels occur between adult female and male

leopard seals (Krause et al., 2020). These factors, alone or in combination, may explain the lower
Se in females compared to males (Fig. 5). However, this is a cautious interpretation of this
significant difference between sexes due to unequal sample sizes (n = 3 males and n = 14
females).

650 Diet changes may explain Se variability in whiskers (Fig. 5). In female leopard seal 651 whiskers, Se concentrations remained relatively low and stable from January until mid-February, 652 despite changing diet during the same time period (Fig. 5 and Fig. S6). However, average Se in 653 key prey items of different trophic levels are higher in Antarctic fur seals (~13.1 – 26.6 ppm 654 [hair]) (Yin et al., 2007) compared to krill (~2.93 ppm wet weight [whole body]) (Mirzoeva et 655 al., 2022). This could indicate that something other than trophic level or foraging location 656 controls Se accumulation in whiskers during this time. Because females increase their food 657 intake during mid-February through April, higher Se in whiskers could follow from greater 658 consumption of higher trophic level prey with elevated Se or other physiological processes that 659 influence Se uptake during this time (Fig. 5, Krause et al., 2020; Majer et al., 2014). Selenium 660 was the only studied trace element that exhibited stable concentrations during portions of 661 whisker growth, independent of diet, and then increased at different rates depending on trophic 662 level and foraging location (Fig. 5 and Table S3).

663

## 664 *4.1.4 Leopard seal whiskers as a biomonitoring tool of Hg risk*

Most leopard seals in this study were not exposed to neurotoxic levels of Hg. The whole whisker mean of < 20 ppm Hg for most seals (n = 16 of 18; 89%), suggests they were not exposed to or did not accumulate harmful levels of Hg for pinnipeds (O'Hara and Hart, 2018). Two adult females (n = 2), however, had whole whisker Hg means of 21.05 and 22.98 ppm, 669 which are twice the maximum Hg concentration measured in leopard seal hair during 1999 -670 2001 (max hair Hg concentration =  $10.04 \,\mu$ g/g dry weight or ppm, Gray et al., 2008). This 671 contrast in whisker Hg levels may indicate an increase in background levels over the past 17 672 years or regional differences in Hg, as the seals sampled in Gray et al., (2008) were from a 673 different area of Antarctica (Prydz Bay) compared to Cape Shirreff (Fig. 1). Additionally, while 674 Mercury measured in paired whiskers and hair are related (Noël et al., 2016), greater Hg in 675 whiskers from this study compared to hair in Gray et al., (2008) may in part be due to using 676 different methods for measuring Hg in different matrices. Although Antarctica was historically considered to be a "pristine" environment (De Moreno et al., 1997), heavy metal concentrations 677 678 (e.g., Hg) have been increasing in organisms throughout trophic levels over the past two decades 679 attributed to human emissions (e.g., coal burning) (Bargagli, 2008; Cossa et al., 2011; De Castro-680 Fernández et al., 2021).

681 We provide evidence that Se is a detoxifier of Hg in leopard seals. When assessing 682 general patterns in Se:Hg molar ratios over time from whisker segments among seals we found 683 leopard seals exhibited median Se:Hg molar ratios > 1.0 when assigned as "low" Hg risk, but 684 those of "moderate" Hg risk had weeks where their molar ratios fell below 1.0 (Fig. 6). This 685 could mean "low" Hg risk seals accumulated sufficient Se to neutralize potential Hg burden, 686 whereas "moderate" risk seals undergo Se-deficit periods where uptake is limited, and they 687 experience potentially harmful Hg levels (Rea et al., 2020). The two "high" risk seals maintained 688 median Se:Hg molar ratios below 1.0, indicating they were deficient in Se and at risk of potential 689 harmful effects of Hg (Correa et al., 2014) or utilize a different Hg detoxifying mechanism, such 690 as other metallothionein proteins (Ikemoto et al., 2004a) (Fig. 6 and Fig. S9). All seals, 691 irrespective of Hg risk classification including "high" risk seals, had Se:Hg molar ratios that

increased during mid-April through May well above 1.0 (Fig. 6, Table 2), suggesting that this
may be an important time for seals to accumulate Se to help deal with detoxifying non-essential
trace elements (Ikemoto et al., 2004). While liver Se:Hg molar ratios provide the best assessment
on availability of protective Se in a seal, our results suggest whisker Se:Hg molar ratios are a
reasonable proxy for assessing general patterns in bioavailable Se (Correa et al., 2014).

697

## 698 4.1.5 Considerations and conclusions

699 Our results show that a combination of stable isotope and trace metal analysis along the 700 length of whiskers can provide important information on the variation of trace elements relative to foraging location and diet. While we discuss changes in  $\delta^{15}N$  values in relation to trace 701 702 elements as mostly seals foraging at different trophic levels, baseline differences in  $\delta^{15}$ N in 703 different foraging locations could also contribute to variability in leopard seal  $\delta^{15}$ N whisker 704 values. Although these elemental components are incorporated during growth, maximum 705 whisker growth durations are limited by seasonal molting cycles. Average growth durations in 706 the present study were 152 days. This means that whisker records will generally be limited to 707 monthly and not yearly timescales. Although physiological processes (e.g., lactating, molting, 708 gestation) may be excretion or accumulation mechanisms for trace elements, addition of 709 reproductive status information and paired blood data is critically important to strengthen these 710 interpretations. Additionally, elements may accumulate differently over time, or with age, 711 depending on trace element, species, and tissue (Clark et al., 2021; Ikemoto et al., 2004b). Our 712 sample population was largely restricted to adult females, leaving a gap in knowledge of how 713 trace elements accumulate over time in pups, juveniles, subadults of both sexes and adult males. 714 Although toxicity thresholds for Hg used in this study were developed for other pinniped species 715 (O'Hara and Hart, 2018), assessment of Hg effects on leopard seals has not been conducted.

- 716 Thus, Hg of 20 ppm or above may or may not impose deleterious effects on leopard seals.
- 717 Leopard seals live in a remote habitat where they play a crucial ecological role in their
  718 Antarctic ecosystem and whiskers may provide a means for continuously monitoring trace
- elements in their population and the Antarctic ecosystem. Further, leopard seals are being
- 720 commonly sighted in more urban areas (e.g., New Zealand) (Hupman et al., 2020), resulting in
- possibly greater exposure to non-essential trace elements. Analysis of trace elements with stable
- isotope value data in whiskers provides a method to assess exposure to heavy metals over time in
- seals inhabiting either Antarctic or urban environments.
- 724

## 725 CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare there are no conflicts of interest.

727

#### 728 FUNDING

729 This research was funded by the National Science Foundation (grant #1644256) awarded to

730 DPC, SJT, MEG, DEC, and SBK. Supplemental funds were provided by the C. Gus Glasscock,

- 731 Jr. Endowed Fund for Excellence in Environmental at Baylor University awarded to PC.
- 732

### 733 AKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the US Antarctic Program, the crew of the Lawrence M. Gould.

- Thanks to Drs. A. Hirons and T. Rogers who provided invaluable guidance on assigning
- timestamps to whisker segments. A special thanks to Dr. R. Zhang at the Baylor University
- 737 Stable Isotope Facility for his expertise and help running whisker samples for stable isotopes.

738	Thank you to Dr. K. J. Smith, B. Morris, and M. Smith at Baylor University for your support
739	throughout this project. A special thank you to A.W. Kirkpatrick for your time, effort, and
740	artistic skills instilled in the experimental design figure illustrations and design. Thank you to the
741	MyStandards personal for your help in developing standards for LA-ICP-MS analysis. Thanks to
742	The Chilean Antarctic Institute (INACH) for their support at Cape Shirreff and the ANID
743	PIA/BASAL FB0002.
744	
745	LITERATURE CITED
746	Aguirre, A.A., Tabor, G., 2004. Introduction: Marine Vertebrates as Sentinels of Marine
747	Ecosystem Health. Ecohealth 1. doi:10.1007/s10393-004-0091-9
748	Alekseev, I., Abakumov, E., 2020. The content and distribution of trace elements and polycyclic
749	aromatic hydrocarbons in soils of Maritime Antarctica. Environ. Monit. Assess. 192.
750	doi:10.1007/s10661-020-08618-2
751	Alexander Haumann, F., Gruber, N., Münnich, M., Frenger, I., Kern, S., 2016. Sea-ice transport
752	driving Southern Ocean salinity and its recent trends. Nature 537, 89–92.
753	doi:10.1038/nature19101
754	Atkinson, S., 1997. Reproductive biology of seals. Rev. Reprod. 2, 175–194.
755	Aubail, A., Teilmann, J., Dietz, R., Rigét, F., Harkonen, T., Karlsson, O., Rosing-Asvid, A.,
756	Caurant, F., 2011. Investigation of mercury concentrations in fur of phocid seals using
757	stable isotopes as tracers of trophic levels and geographical regions. Polar Biol. 34, 1411-
758	1420. doi:10.1007/s00300-011-0996-z
759	Bargagli, R., 2008. Environmental contamination in Antarctic ecosystems. Sci. Total Environ.
760	400, 212–226. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.06.062

762	mercury in an Antarctic marine coastal food web. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 169, 65-76.
763	doi:10.3354/meps169065
764	Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B.M., Walker, S.C., 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models
765	using lme4. J. Stat. Softw. 67. doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01
766	Beck, A., 1955. The copper content of the liver and blood of some vertebrates. Aust J Zool 4, 1–
767	18.
768	Ben-David, M., Flaherty, E.A., 2012. Stable isotopes in mammalian research: a beginner's guide.
769	J. Mammal. 93, 312–328. doi:10.1644/11-mamm-s-166.1
770	Bossart, G.D., 2011. Marine mammals as sentinel species for oceans and human health. Vet.
771	Pathol. 48, 676–690. doi:10.1177/0300985810388525
772	Botta, S., Secchi, E.R., Rogers, T.L., Prado, J.H.F., de Lima, R.C., Carlini, P., Negrete, J., 2018.
773	Isotopic niche overlap and partition among three Antarctic seals from the Western Antarctic
774	Peninsula. Deep. Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr. 149, 240-249.

Bargagli, R., Monaci, F., Sanchez-Hernandez, J.C., Cateni, D., 1998. Biomagnification of

775 doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2017.11.005

761

- 776 Boveng, P.L., Hiruki, L.M., Schwartz, M.K., Bengtson, J.L., 1998. Population growth of
- Antarctic fur seals: Limitation by a top predator, the leopard seal? Ecology 79, 2863–2877.
- 778 doi:10.1890/0012-9658(1998)079[2863:PGOAFS]2.0.CO;2
- 779 Boyd, I.L., 1991. Environmental and physiological factors controlling the reproductive cycles of
- 780 pinnipeds. Can. J. Zool. 69, 1135–1148. doi:10.1139/z91-162
- 781 Bradl, H.B., 2005. Sources and origins of heavy metals, in: Bradl, H. (Ed.), Heavy Metals in the
- 782 Environment. Elsevier Akademic Press, pp. 1–27. doi:10.1016/S1573-4285(05)80020-1
- 783 Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R., Huyvaert, K.P., 2011. AIC model selection and multimodel

- inference in behavioral ecology: Some background, observations, and comparisons. Behav.
- 785 Ecol. Sociobiol. 65, 23–35. doi:10.1007/s00265-010-1029-6
- 786 Cardwell, R.D., Deforest, D.K., Brix, K. V., Adams, W.J., 2013. Do Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb, and Zn
- biomagnify in aquatic ecosystems? Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 226, 101–122.
- 788 doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-6898-1\_4
- Carpenter, D.O., 2001. Effects of metals on the nervous system of humans and animals. Int. J.
  Occup. Med. Environ. Health 14, 209–218.
- 791 Casaux, R., Baroni, A., Ramón, A., Carlini, A., Bertolin, M., DiPrinzio, C.Y., 2009. Diet of the
- 792 Leopard Seal *Hydrurga leptonyx* at the Danco Coast, Antarctic Peninsula. Polar Biol. 32,
- 793 307–310. doi:10.1007/s00300-008-0567-0
- 794 Castellini, J.M., Rea, L.D., Lieske, C.L., Beckmen, K.B., Fadely, B.S., Maniscalco, J.M.,
- 795 O'Hara, T.M., 2012. Mercury concentrations in hair from neonatal and juvenile Steller sea
- <sup>796</sup>lions (*Eumetopias jubatus*): Implications based on age and region in this Northern Pacific
- 797 marine sentinel piscivore. Ecohealth 9, 267–277. doi:10.1007/s10393-012-0784-4
- 798 Clark, C.T., Horstmann, L., Misarti, N., 2021. Walrus teeth as biomonitors of trace elements in
- Arctic marine ecosystems. Sci. Total Environ. 772, 145500.
- 800 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145500
- 801 Correa, L., Rea, L.D., Bentzen, R., O'Hara, T.M., 2014. Assessment of mercury and selenium
- tissular concentrations and total mercury body burden in 6 Steller sea lion pups from the
- 803 Aleutian Islands. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 82, 175–182. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.02.022
- 804 Cossa, D., Heimbürger, L.E., Lannuzel, D., Rintoul, S.R., Butler, E.C.V., Bowie, A.R., Averty,
- B., Watson, R.J., Remenyi, T., 2011. Mercury in the Southern Ocean. Geochim.
- 806 Cosmochim. Acta 75, 4037–4052. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2011.05.001

- 807 Das, K., Debacker, V., Pillet, S., Bouquehneau, J., 2002. Heavy metals in marine mammals, in:
- 808 Toxicology of Marine Mammals. CRC Press, pp. 147–179.
- 809 doi:10.1201/9780203165577.ch7
- 810 De Castro-Fernández, P., Cardona, L., Avila, C., 2021. Distribution of trace elements in benthic
- 811 infralittoral organisms from the western Antarctic Peninsula reveals no latitudinal gradient
- 812 of pollution. Sci. Rep. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-95681-5
- 813 De María, M., Szteren, D., García-Alonso, J., de Rezende, C.E., Araújo Gonçalves, R., Godoy,
- 814 J.M., Barboza, F.R., 2021. Historic variation of trace elements in pinnipeds with spatially
- 815 segregated trophic habits reveals differences in exposure to pollution. Sci. Total Environ.
- 816 750, 141296. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141296
- B17 De Moreno, J.E.A., Gerpe, M.S., Moreno, V.J., Vodopivez, C., 1997. Heavy metals in Antarctic
  818 organisms. Polar Biol 17, 131–140.
- 819 Dehn, L.-A., Follmann, E.H., Thomas, D.L., Sheffield, G.G., Rosa, C., Duffy, L.K., O'Hara,
- 820 T.M., 2006. Trophic relationships in an Arctic food web and implications for trace metal
- 821 transfer. Sci. Total Environ. 362, 103–23. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.11.012
- 822 DeRango, E.J., Greig, D.J., Gálvez, C., Norris, T.A., Barbosa, L., Elorriaga-Verplancken, F.R.,
- 823 Crocker, D.E., 2019. Response to capture stress involves multiple corticosteroids and is
- 824 associated with serum thyroid hormone concentrations in Guadalupe fur seals
- 825 (Arctocephalus philippii townsendi). Mar. Mammal Sci. 35, 72–92. doi:10.1111/mms.12517
- 826 Duursma, R., 2021. bootpredictlme4: Predict method for lme4 with bootstrap.
- 827 Ethier, D.M., Kyle, C.J., Kyser, T.K., Nocera, J.J., 2013. Trace elements in claw keratin as
- temporally explicit indicators of geographic origin in terrestrial mammals. Ann. Zool.
- 829 Fennici 50, 89–99. doi:10.5735/086.050.0108

- Ferdinando, P.M., 2019. Assessment of Heavy Metals in Subsistence- Harvested Alaskan Marine
  Mammal Body Tissues and Vibrissae. Nova Southeastern University.
- 832 Garcia-Cegarra, A.M., Jung, J.L., Orrego, R., Padilha, J. de A., Malm, O., Ferreira-Braz, B.,
- 833 Santelli, R.E., Pozo, K., Pribylova, P., Alvarado-Rybak, M., Azat, C., Kidd, K.A., Espejo,
- 834 W., Chiang, G., Bahamonde, P., 2021. Persistence, bioaccumulation and vertical transfer of
- 835 pollutants in long-finned pilot whales stranded in Chilean Patagonia. Sci. Total Environ.
- 836 770, 145259. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145259
- 837 Gilbert, R., 1987. Statistical Methods for Environmental Pollution Monitoring. Van Nostrand
  838 Reinhold Company, New York City.
- 839 Gray, R., Canfield, P., Rogers, T., 2008. Trace element analysis in the serum and hair of
- 840 Antarctic leopard seal, *Hydrurga leptonyx*, and Weddell seal, *Leptonychotes weddellii*. Sci.
- 841 Total Environ. 399, 202–215. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.03.039
- 842 Habran, S., Crocker, D.E., Debier, C., Das, K., 2012. How are trace elements mobilized during
- the postweaning fast in Northern elephant seals? Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 31, 2354–2365.
  doi:10.1002/etc.1960
- Habran, S., Pomeroy, P.P., Debier, C., Das, K., 2013. Changes in trace elements during lactation
  in a marine top predator, the grey seal. Aquat. Toxicol. doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2012.08.011
- 847 Hall-Aspland, S., Rogers, T., Canfield, R., 2005. Stable carbon and nitrogen isotope analysis
- reveals seasonal variation in the diet of leopard seals. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 305, 249–259.
- 849 doi:10.3354/meps305249
- 850 Hall-Aspland, S.A., Rogers, T.L., 2004. Summer diet of leopard seals (*Hydrurga leptonyx*) in
- 851 Prydz Bay, Eastern Antarctica. Polar Biol. 27, 729–734. doi:10.1007/s00300-004-0662-9
- Hirons, A.C., Schell, D.M., St. Aubin, D.J., 2001. Growth rates of vibrissae of harbor seals

853 (*Phoca vitulina*) and steller sea lions (*Eumetopias jubatus*). Can. J. Zool. 79, 1053–1061.

doi:10.1139/cjz-79-6-1053

- Hupman, K., Visser, I.N., Fyfe, J., Cawthorn, M., Forbes, G., Grabham, A.A., Bout, R., Mathias,
- 856 B., Benninghaus, E., Matucci, K., Cooper, T., Fletcher, L., Godoy, D., 2020. From Vagrant
- to Resident: occurrence, residency and births of leopard seals (*Hydrurga leptonyx*) in New
- 858 Zealand waters. New Zeal. J. Mar. Freshw. Res. 54, 1–23.
- 859 doi:10.1080/00288330.2019.1619598
- 860 Ikemoto, T., Kunito, T., Tanaka, H., Baba, N., Miyazaki, N., Tanabe, S., 2004a. Detoxification
- 861 mechanism of heavy metals in marine mammals and seabirds: Interaction of selenium with
- 862 mercury, silver, copper, zinc, and cadmium in liver. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 47,
- 863 402–413. doi:10.1007/s00244-004-3188-9
- 864 Ikemoto, T., Kunito, T., Watanabe, I., Yasunaga, G., Baba, N., Miyazaki, N., Petrov, E.A.,
- 865 Tanabe, S., 2004b. Comparison of trace element accumulation in Baikal seals (*Pusa*
- sibirica), Caspian seals (*Pusa caspica*) and northern fur seals (*Callorhinus ursinus*).
- 867 Environ. Pollut. 127, 83–97. doi:10.1016/S0269-7491(03)00251-3
- 868 Jackson, A.L., Inger, R., Parnell, A.C., Bearhop, S., 2011. Comparing isotopic niche widths
- among and within communities: SIBER Stable Isotope Bayesian Ellipses in R. J. Anim.
- 870 Ecol. 80, 595–602. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2656.2011.01806.x
- Jia, Z., Swadling, K.M., Meiners, K.M., Kawaguchi, S., Virtue, P., 2016. The zooplankton food
- 872 web under East Antarctic pack ice A stable isotope study. Deep. Res. Part II Top. Stud.
- 873 Oceanogr. 131, 189–202. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2015.10.010
- Keogh, M.J., Charapata, P., Fadely, B.S., Zeppelin, T., Rea, L., Waite, J.N., Burkanov, V.,
- 875 Marshall, C., Jones, A., Sprowls, C., Wooller, M.J., 2021. Whiskers as a novel tissue for

- tracking reproductive and stress-related hormones in North Pacific otariid pinnipeds.
- 877 Conserv. Physiol. 9, 1–19. doi:10.1093/conphys/coaa134
- Keogh, M.J., Charapata, P., Karpovich, S., Jones, A., Sprowls, C., Marshall, C.D., 2020.
- 879 Reproductive and stress-related hormones in whiskers from two North Pacific phocids:
- 880 Harbor and ringed seals. Mar. Mammal Sci. 36, 1322–1333. doi:10.1111/mms.12709
- 881 Kerr, R., Mata, M.M., Mendes, C.R.B., Secchi, E.R., 2018. Northern Antarctic Peninsula: a
- 882 marine climate hotspot of rapid changes on ecosystems and ocean dynamics. Deep. Res.
- 883 Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr. 149, 4–9. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2018.05.006
- Kershaw, J.L., Hall, A.J., 2019. Mercury in cetaceans: Exposure, bioaccumulation and toxicity.
- 885 Sci. Total Environ. 694, 133683. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133683
- Kim, I., Kim, G., Choy, E.J., 2015. The significant inputs of trace elements and rare earth
  elements from melting glaciers in Antarctic coastal waters. Polar Res. 34.
- 888 doi:10.3402/polar.v34.24289
- Krause, D.J., Goebel, M.E., Kurle, C.M., 2020. Leopard seal diets in a rapidly warming polar
- region vary by year, season, sex, and body size. BMC Ecol. 20, 1–15. doi:10.1186/s12898020-00300-y
- 892 Krause, D.J., Goebel, M.E., Marshall, G.J., Abernathy, K., 2016. Summer diving and haul-out
- 893 behavior of leopard seals (*Hydrurga leptonyx*) near mesopredator breeding colonies at
- Livingston Island, Antarctic Peninsula. Mar. Mammal Sci. 32, 839–867.
- 895 doi:10.1111/mms.12309
- 896 Krause, D.J., Goebel, M.E., Marshall, G.J., Abernathy, K., 2015. Novel foraging strategies
- 897 observed in a growing leopard seal (*Hydrurga leptonyx*) population at Livingston Island,
- 898 Antarctic Peninsula. Anim. Biotelemetry 3, 24. doi:10.1186/s40317-015-0059-2

899	Lambert, G., Ardouin, B., Sanak, J., 1990. Atmospheric transport of trace elements toward
900	Antarctica. Tellus, Ser. B 42 B, 76–82. doi:10.3402/tellusb.v42i1.15193
901	Legrand, M., Lam, R., Jensen-Fontaine, M., Salin, E.D., Chan, H.M., 2004. Direct detection of
902	mercury in single human hair strands by laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass
903	spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS). J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 19, 1287–1288. doi:10.1039/b406733a
904	Lehnert, K., Weirup, L., Harding, K.C., Härkönen, T., Karlsson, O., Teilmann, J., 2017.
905	Antarctic seals: Molecular biomarkers as indicators for pollutant exposure, health effects
906	and diet. Sci. Total Environ. 599-600, 1693-1704. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.04.224
907	Lin, Y., Moreno, C., Marchetti, A., Ducklow, H., Schofield, O., Delage, E., Meredith, M., Li, Z.,
908	Eveillard, D., Chaffron, S., Cassar, N., 2021. Decline in plankton diversity and carbon flux
909	with reduced sea ice extent along the Western Antarctic Peninsula. Nat. Commun. 12.
910	doi:10.1038/s41467-021-25235-w
911	Majer, A.P., Petti, Mô.A.V., Corbisier, T.N., Ribeiro, A.P., Theophilo, C.Y.S., Ferreira, P.A. de
912	L., Figueira, R.C.L., 2014. Bioaccumulation of potentially toxic trace elements in benthic
913	organisms of Admiralty Bay (King George Island, Antarctica). Mar. Pollut. Bull. 79, 321-
914	325. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.12.015
915	Martelli, A., Rousselet, E., Dycke, C., Bouron, A., Moulis, J.M., 2006. Cadmium toxicity
916	in animal cells by interference with essential metals. Biochimie 88, 1807–1814.
917	doi:10.1016/j.biochi.2006.05.013
918	McCormack, M.A., Nowlin, W.H., Dutton, J., 2021. Effect of trophic position on mercury
919	concentrations in bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops truncatus) from the northern Gulf of
920	Mexico. Environ. Res. 204, 112124. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2021.112124
921	McHuron, E.A., Castellini, J.M., Rios, C.A., Berner, J., Gulland, F.M.D., Greig, D.J., O'hara,

.

923	mercury concentrations in stranded California pinnipeds. J. Wildl. Dis. 55, 823-833.
924	doi:10.7589/2018-11-276
925	Meade, J., Ciaglia, M.B., Slip, D.J., Negrete, J., Márquez, M.E.I., Mennucci, J., Rogers, T.L.,
926	2015. Spatial patterns in activity of leopard seals <i>Hydrurga leptonyx</i> in relation to sea ice.
927	Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 521, 265–275. doi:10.3354/meps11120
928	Mincks, S.L., Smith, C.R., Jeffreys, R.M., Sumida, P.Y.G., 2008. Trophic structure on the West
929	Antarctic Peninsula shelf: Detritivory and benthic inertia revealed by $\delta 13C$ and $\delta 15N$
930	analysis. Deep. Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr. 55, 2502–2514.
931	doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.06.009
932	Mirzoeva, N., Tereshchenko, N., Paraskiv, A., Proskurnin, V., Stetsiuk, A., Korotkov, A., 2022.
933	Metals and metalloids in Antarctic krill and water in deep Weddell Sea areas. Mar. Pollut.
934	Bull. 178, 113624. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2022.113624
935	Nigro, M., Leonzio, C., 1996. Intracellular storage of mercury and selenium in different marine
936	vertebrates, Marine Ecology Progress Series.
937	Noël, M., Jeffries, S., Lambourn, D.M., Telmer, K., Macdonald, R., Ross, P.S., 2016. Mercury
938	Accumulation in Harbour Seals from the Northeastern Pacific Ocean: The Role of
939	Transplacental Transfer, Lactation, Age and Location. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 70,

T.M., 2019. Hair, whole blood, and blood-soaked cellulose paper-based risk assessment of

940 56–66. doi:10.1007/s00244-015-0193-0

922

- 941 Noël, M., Spence, J., Harris, K.A., Robbins, C.T., Fortin, J.K., Ross, P.S., Christensen, J.R.,
- 942 2014. Grizzly bear hair reveals toxic exposure to mercury through salmon consumption.
- 943 Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 7560–7567. doi:10.1021/es500631g
- 944 Noren, D.P., Mangel, M., 2004. Energy reserve allocation in fasting Northern Elephant Seal

- 945 Pups: Inter-relationships between body condition and fasting duration. Funct. Ecol. 18,
- 946 233–242. doi:10.1111/j.0269-8463.2004.00840.x
- 947 O'Hara, T.M., Hart, L., 2018. Environmental toxicology, in: CRC Handbook of Marine Mammal
  948 Medicine. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp. 297–318.
- 949 Peig, J., Green, A.J., 2009. New perspectives for estimating body condition from mass/length
- 950 data: The scaled mass index as an alternative method. Oikos 118, 1883–1891.
- 951 doi:10.1111/j.1600-0706.2009.17643.x
- 952 Peterson, S.H., Ackerman, J.T., Costa, D.P., 2015. Marine foraging ecology influences mercury
- bioaccumulation in deep-diving northern elephant seals. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 282.
- 954 doi:10.1098/rspb.2015.0710
- Peterson, S.H., Ackerman, J.T., Crocker, D.E., Costa, D.P., 2018. Foraging and fasting can
- 956 influence contaminant concentrations in animals: An example with mercury contamination
- 957 in a free-ranging marine mammal. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. doi:10.1098/rspb.2017.2782
- 958 Pinzone, M., Damseaux, F., Michel, L.N., Das, K., 2019. Stable isotope ratios of carbon,
- 959 nitrogen and sulphur and mercury concentrations as descriptors of trophic ecology and
- 960 contamination sources of Mediterranean whales. Chemosphere 237, 124448.
- 961 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124448
- 962 Pussini, N., Goebel, M.E., 2015. A safer protocol for field immobilization of leopard seals
  963 (*Hydrurga leptonyx*). Mar. Mammal Sci. 31, 1549–1558. doi:10.1111/mms.12232
- 964 Rea, L.D., Castellini, J.M., Avery, J.P., Fadely, B.S., Burkanov, V.N., Rehberg, M.J., O'Hara,
- 965 T.M., 2020. Regional variations and drivers of mercury and selenium concentrations in
- 966 Steller sea lions. Sci. Total Environ. 744, 140787. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140787
- 967 Rea, L.D., Castellini, J.M., Correa, L., Fadely, B.S., O'Hara, T.M., 2013. Maternal Steller sea

- 968 lion diets elevate fetal mercury concentrations in an area of population decline. Sci. Total
  969 Environ. 454–455, 277–282. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.02.095
- 970 Rea, L.D., Christ, A.M., Hayden, A.B., Stegall, V.K., Farley, S.D., Stricker, C.A., Mellish, J.-
- 971 A.E., Maniscalco, J.M., Waite, J.N., Burkanov, V.N., Pitcher, K.W., 2015. Age-specific
- 972 vibrissae growth rates: A tool for determining the timing of ecologically important events in
- 973 Steller sea lions. Mar. Mammal Sci. 31, 1213–1233. doi:10.1111/mms.12221
- 974 Rodushkin, I., Axelsson, M.D., 2003. Application of double focusing sector field ICP-MS for
- 975 multielemental characterization of human hair and nails. Part III. Direct analysis by laser
- ablation, The Science of the Total Environment.
- 977 Rodushkin, I., Axelsson, M.D., Sweden, S., 2000. Application of double focusing sector field
- 978 ICP-MS for multielemental characterization of human hair and nails. Part I. Analytical
  979 methodology, The Science of the Total Environment.
- 980 Rogers, T., 2018. Leopard Seal, in: Encyclopedia of Marine Mammals. Elsevier, pp. 550–552.
  981 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-804327-1.00163-1
- Rogers, T., 2009. Leopard Seal, in: Encyclopedia of Marine Mammals. Elsevier, pp. 673–674.
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-373553-9.00155-3
- 984 Rogers, T.L., Fung, J., Slip, D., Steindler, L., O'Connell, T.C., 2016. Calibrating the time span of
- 985 longitudinal biomarkers in vertebrate tissues when fine-scale growth records are
- 986 unavailable. Ecosphere 7, 1–22. doi:10.1002/ecs2.1449
- 987 RStudio Team (2020). RStudio: Integrated Development for R. RStudio, Inc.,
- 988 Boston, MA URL http://www.rstudio.com/.
- 989 Scholz, C., Firozpoor, J., Kramer-Schadt, S., Gras, P., Schulze, C., Kimmig, S.E., Voigt, C.C.,
- 990 Ortmann, S., 2020. Individual dietary specialization in a generalist predator: A stable

- isotope analysis of urban and rural red foxes. Ecol. Evol. 10, 8855–8870.
- 992 doi:10.1002/ece3.6584
- 993 Seyboth, E., Botta, S., Mendes, C.R.B., Negrete, J., Dalla Rosa, L., Secchi, E.R., 2018. Isotopic
- 994 evidence of the effect of warming on the northern Antarctic Peninsula ecosystem. Deep.
- 995 Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr. 149, 218–228. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2017.12.020
- 996 Shore, S.L., Giarikos, D.G., Duffy, L.K., Edwards, M.R., Hirons, A.C., 2022. Temporal baseline
- 997 of essesntial and non-essential elements recorded in baleen of Western Arctic bowhead
- 998 whale (*Balaena mysticetus*). Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 108, 641–645.
- 999 doi:10.1007/s00128-021-03394-2
- 1000 Siniff, D., Stone, S., 1985. The role of the leopard seal in the tropho-dynamics of the Antarctic
- 1001 marine ecosystem, in: Antarctic Nutrient Cycles and Food Webs. pp. 555–560.
- 1002 doi:10.1007/978-3-642-82275-9\_18
- Southwell, C., Kerry, K., Ensor, P., Woehler, E.J., Rogers, T., 2003. The timing of pupping by
  pack-ice seals in East Antarctica. Polar Biol. 26, 648–652. doi:10.1007/s00300-003-0534-8
- 1005 Stadlbauer, C., Prohaska, T., Reiter, C., Knaus, A., Stingeder, G., 2005. Time-resolved
- monitoring of heavy-metal intoxication in single hair by laser ablation ICP-DRCMS. Anal.
  Bioanal. Chem. 383, 500–508. doi:10.1007/s00216-005-3283-4
- 1008 Sun, T., Wu, H., Wang, X., Ji, C., Shan, X., Li, F., 2020. Evaluation on the biomagnification or
- 1009 biodilution of trace metals in global marine food webs by meta-analysis. Environ. Pollut.
- 1010 264, 113856. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113856
- 1011 Szefer, P., Czarnowski, W., Pempkowiak, J., Holm, E., 1993. Mercury and major essential
- 1012 elements in seals, penguins, and other representative fauna of the Antarctic. Arch. Environ.
- 1013 Contam. Toxicol. 25, 422–427. doi:10.1007/BF00214330

- 1014 Szefer, P., Szefer, K., Pempkowiak, J., Skwarzec, B., Bojanowski, R., Holm, E., 1994.
- 1015 Distribution and coassociations of selected metals in seals of the Antarctic. Environ. Pollut.
- 1016 83, 341–349. doi:10.1016/0269-7491(94)90156-2
- 1017 Tchounwou, P.B., Yedjou, C.G., Patlolla, A.K., Sutton, D.J., 2012. Heavy metal toxicity and the 1018 environment. EXS 101, 133–164. doi:10.1007/978-3-7643-8340-4\_6
- Tukey, J., 1977. Exploratory data analysis, in: Communications in Computer and Information
  Science. pp. 110–126. doi:10.1007/978-3-662-45006-2\_9
- 1021 Van Hoomissen, S., Gulland, F.M.D., Greig, D.J., Margaret Castellini, J., O'Hara, T.M., 2015.
- 1022 Blood and Hair Mercury Concentrations in the Pacific Harbor Seal (*Phoca vitulina*
- *richardii*) Pup: Associations with Neurodevelopmental Outcomes. Ecohealth 12, 490–500.
- 1024 doi:10.1007/s10393-015-1021-8
- 1025 von Bertalanffy, L. Von, 1938. A quantitative theory of organic growth (inquiries on growth
- 1026 laws. II). Hum. Biol. 10, 181–213. doi:10.1080/15505340.201
- 1027 Walker, T.R., Boyd, I.L., McCafferty, D.J., Huin, N., Taylor, R.I., Reid, K., 1998. Seasonal
- 1028 occurrence and diet of leopard seals (*Hydrurga leptonyx*) at Bird Island, South Georgia.
- 1029 Antarct. Sci. 10, 75–81. doi:10.1017/s0954102098000108
- 1030 Walters, A., Hindell, M., Goebel, M.E., Bester, M.N., Trathan, P.N., Oosthuizen, W.C., Lea, M.-
- 1031 A., 2020. Southern Ocean isoscapes derived from a wide-ranging circumpolar marine
- 1032 predator, the Antarctic fur seal. Ecol. Indic. 118, 106694.
- 1033 doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.106694
- 1034 Yates, D.E., Mayack, D.T., Munney, K., Evers, D.C., Major, A., Kaur, T., Taylor, R.J., 2005.
- 1035 Mercury levels in mink (*Mustela vison*) and river otter (*Lontra canadensis*) from
- 1036 northeastern North America. Ecotoxicology 14, 263–274. doi:10.1007/s10646-004-6273-y

- 1037 Yin, X., Sun, L., Zhu, R., Liu, X., Ruan, D., Wang, Y., 2007. Mercury-selenium association in
- 1038 antarctic seal hairs and animal excrements over the past 1,500 years. Environ. Toxicol.
- 1039 Chem. doi:10.1897/06-128.1
- 1040 Zuur, A.F., Ieno, E.N., 2016. A protocol for conducting and presenting results of regression-type
- 1041 analyses. Methods Ecol. Evol. 7, 636–645. doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12577

1042



**Fig. 1:** Map of leopard seal whisker collection sites in Cape Shirreff, Antarctica. Inset map depicts Western Antarctic Peninsula with select area (black rectangle) showing Cape Shirreff (enlarged map) and capture locations (gold stars) of individual seals (n = 18 seals).



**Fig. 2:** Conceptual illustration of sampling design of leopard seal whiskers to obtain paired trace element and stable isotope data. Whiskers were first sampled beginning at the root (left red rectangle) for LA-ICP-MS analysis to obtain essential and non-essential trace elements of whisker sections (top zoomed in rectangle) by ablating surface of whiskers (dark line in middle of section within top red rectangle) using a laser (yellow line within top red rectangle) (A). Examples of human (coal mining) and natural (volcano) sources of non-essential and functions (e.g., Zn finger and Se:Hg bound molecule) of essential elements are provided for reference. After trace element analysis, ablated whiskers were sectioned for stable isotope analysis (B). Sections of various lengths (e.g., 0.50 mm near base) were submitted for stable isotope analysis including  $\delta^{15}$ N which provides information on leopard seal trophic level (e.g., krill, benthic fish, and Antarctic fur seal pup) and  $\delta^{13}$ C values giving insight into foraging location (e.g., offshore vs. inshore). Trace element data were averaged across the respective lengths of sections submitted for stable isotope analysis to acquire paired trace element and stable isotope data. All illustrations done by A. W. Kirkpatrick.



**Fig. 3:** Pearson's correlation plots for trace elements within and among leopard seal whiskers (n = 18 seals). Bubble size is representative of the correlation coefficient value (r) and the darkness of the color inside represents the strength of the correlation (-1.0 = dark blue, 1.0 = dark red). Mean correlation coefficients calculated from an individual's respective whisker segment trace element data and then averaged across individuals are on the left ("Within Individuals") and correlations of whole whisker trace elements among individuals is on the right ("Among Individuals"). Numeric values for Pearson correlations are found in Table S4.



**Fig. 4:** Median ± interquartile range of log10 transformed non-essential (Hg [top], Pb [middle], and Cd [lower]) trace element concentrations (ppm) for select adult leopard seal whisker segments plotted by week. Seals that exhibited "lower"  $\delta^{15}$ N (n = 4 seals) or  $\delta^{13}$ C (n = 4 seals) values between the 0 and 1 quartiles (8.37 – 10.56 % for  $\delta^{15}$ N and -23.26 - -22.28 % for  $\delta^{13}$ C, light blue circles), "median"  $\delta^{15}$ N (n = 4 seals) or  $\delta^{13}$ C (n = 4 seals) values between 1 and 3 quartiles (10.56 – 11.60 % for  $\delta^{15}$ N and -22.28 - -21.48 % for  $\delta^{13}$ C, orange circles) and "upper"  $\delta^{15}$ N (n = 4 seals) or  $\delta^{13}$ C (n = 4 seals) values between 3 and 4 quartiles (11.60 – 13.14 % for  $\delta^{15}$ N and -21.48 - -20.74 % for  $\delta^{13}$ C, purple circles) are plotted by week. Lines represent predictions from linear mixed models (LMMs, n = 17 seals, n = 834 segments), and shaded ribbons represent the 95% confidence intervals of fitted values.  $\delta^{15}$ N for model predictions (left panel) were held constant at 10.15 % for "lower", 10.83 % for "median", and 12.47 % for "upper." For  $\delta^{13}$ C model predictions (right panel), values were held constant at -22.74 % ("lower"), -21.98 % ("median"), and -21.41 % ("upper"). For Hg, SBM index was held constant at 404 kg (median value of dataset).



**Fig. 5:** Predictive modeling results of the top candidate linear mixed model for Se. Median ± interquartile range of log10 transformed Se concentrations (ppm) for adult male (n = 3) and female (n = 14) leopard seal whisker segments plotted by week ("Sex", far right panel). Median ± interquartile range of log10 transformed Se concentrations for adult male and female leopard seal whisker segments based on  $\delta^{15}$ N ("Nitrogen", far left panels) and  $\delta^{13}$ C ("Carbon", middle panels) values by week. Seals that exhibited "lower"  $\delta^{15}$ N (n = 4 females and n = 1 male) or  $\delta^{13}$ C (n = 4 females and n = 1 male) values between the 0 and 1 quartiles (8.37 – 10.56 ‰ for  $\delta^{15}$ N and - 23.26 - 22.28 ‰ for  $\delta^{13}$ C, light blue circles), "median"  $\delta^{15}$ N (n = 4 females and n = 1 male) or  $\delta^{13}$ C (n = 4 females and n = 1 male) values between the 0 and 1 quartiles (8.37 – 10.56 ‰ for  $\delta^{15}$ N and - 23.26 - 22.28 ‰ for  $\delta^{13}$ C, light blue circles), "median"  $\delta^{15}$ N (n = 4 females and n = 1 male) or  $\delta^{13}$ C (n = 4 females and n = 1 male) values between 1 and 3 quartiles (10.56 – 11.60 ‰ for  $\delta^{15}$ N and -22.28 - 21.48 ‰ for  $\delta^{13}$ C, orange circles) and "upper"  $\delta^{15}$ N (n = 4 females and n = 1 male) or  $\delta^{13}$ C (n = 4 females and n = 1 male) values between 3 and 4 quartiles (11.60 – 13.14 ‰ for  $\delta^{15}$ N and -21.48 - 20.74 ‰ for  $\delta^{13}$ C, purple circles) are plotted by week. Since low sample sizes for males in stable isotope categories (n = 1 per category), only weekly datum points are plotted. Lines represent predictions from linear mixed models (LMMs, n = 17 seals, n = 834 segments), and shaded ribbons represent the 95% confidence intervals of fitted values.  $\delta^{15}$ N for model predictions (right panel), values were held constant at 10.15 ‰ for "lower", 10.83 ‰ for "median", and 12.47 ‰ for "upper." For  $\delta^{13}$ C model predictions (right panel), values were held constant at -22.74 ‰ ("lower"), -21.98 ‰ ("median"), and -21.41 ‰ ("upper").



**Fig. 6:** Se: Hg molar ratios plotted by week for all leopard seals grouped by Hg risk. Thick lines and shaded areas represent overall weekly median  $\pm$  interquartile range Se: Hg molar ratios, respectively, from all whisker segments classified as either "low" (green, n = 574), "moderate" (orange, n = 311), or "high" (purple, n = 96) Hg risk. More transparent lines with points represent individual seals' (n = 18 total) weekly median Se: Hg molar ratios colored by their Hg risk based on their whole whisker Hg concentrations (n = 11 low, n = 5 moderate, and n = 2 high). Se: Hg molar ratio equal to one is plotted for reference (dashed black line). Note: Se: Hg molar ratio limits 0 – 10 to capture overall trends. Some ratios went well above 10 during April – May, thus, the breaks at Se: Hg molar ratios = 10.

**Table 1:** Summary of whole whisker trace element and foraging ecology data from leopard seals. All trace element data are in ppm, carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios have units per mil (%), and Se: Hg molar ratios and standard ellipse area corrected for small sample size (SEAc) are unitless. Whole whisker values are averages of respective data across the entire whisker for each individual and then summary data calculated for females (n = 15) and data from all males (n = 3) given due to low sample size.

							Se:Hg			
Females (n =	Mercury	Lead	Cadmium	Selenium	Copper	Zinc	Molar	Carbon	Nitroge	
15)	(Hg)	(Pb)	(Cd)	(Se)	(Cu)	(Zn)	Ratios	(δ <sup>13</sup> C)	n (δ <sup>15</sup> N)	SEAc
Median	9.05	0.07	0.13	7.17	14.85	195.04	2.04	-22.02	11.27	1.02
SD	5.83	1.45	0.09	2.66	6.45	37.45	1.30	0.71	0.99	0.85
Min	4.32	0.02	0.05	4.08	12.35	116.28	0.71	-23.26	10.07	0.29
Max	22.98	5.71	0.35	13.84	39.25	250.23	4.91	-20.74	13.14	3.28
$M_{alas} (n - 2)$										
Males (n = 3)										
Male 1	11.54	0.09	0.24	13.21	13.97	152.09	2.97	-22.74	10.59	1.64
Male 2	6.72	1.54	0.10	6.27	20.12	263.47	2.46	-21.98	10.70	0.83
Male 3	6.48	0.26	0.16	19.36	15.80	162.00	8.09	-21.51	8.37	2.74

**Table 2:** Summary of monthly trace element and stable isotope ratios from all leopard seal whiskers. All trace element data are in ppm, carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios have units per mil (%*c*), and Se: Hg molar ratios are unitless. Monthly summary data are based on timestamps applied to each individual seals' (N) whisker segments (n).

Sample Size (Unique Seals,	Mercury	Lead	Cadmium	Selenium	Copper	Zinc	Se:Hg Molar	Carbon	Nitrogen
Segments)	(Hg)	(Pb)	(Cd)	(Se)	(Cu)	(Zn)	Ratios	$(\delta^{13}C)$	$(\delta^{15}N)$
(N = 2, n = 7)									
Median	7.15	0.20	0.52	3.58	21.69	179.52	1.26	-23.90	10.06
SD	1.39	0.10	0.22	1.29	6.05	60.07	1.02	0.83	1.10
Min	4.44	0.06	0.14	3.38	14.39	41.37	1.13	-24.76	8.39
Max	8.04	0.31	0.65	6.63	33.06	204.09	3.79	-22.50	11.89
(N = 2, n = 24)									
Median	7.24	0.07	0.19	4.10	15.00	185.10	1.34	-23.23	10.56
SD	2.24	0.10	0.26	0.84	4.48	34.52	0.34	0.55	1.05
Min	5.86	0.02	0.09	3.15	13.00	75.06	1.03	-24.26	8.02
Max	13.65	0.29	0.79	5.79	27.37	201.90	2.51	-21.97	11.23
(N = 3, n = 33)									
Median	8.15	0.05	0.10	4.92	14.07	197.02	1.39	-22.91	10.46
SD	2.24	0.08	0.24	1.21	7.04	24.67	0.81	0.63	0.66
Min	3.55	0.01	0.04	3.25	8.80	103.53	1.01	-23.74	9.35
Max	12.23	0.30	0.90	8.14	52.52	220.93	5.83	-20.87	11.90
(N = 5 n = 50)									
Median	8 10	0.05	0.16	4 99	12.96	169 61	1.82	-22.21	11.05
SD	2.86	0.16	0.23	2.39	6.22	38.56	0.56	0.56	0.85
	Sample Size (Unique Seals, Segments) $(N = 2, n = 7)$ Median SD Min Max $(N = 2, n = 7)$ Median SD 	Sample Size (Unique Seals, Segments)       Mercury (Hg) $(N = 2, n = 7)$ Median         Median       7.15         SD       1.39         Min       4.44         Max       8.04 $(N = 2, n = 24)$ Median         Median       7.24         SD       2.24         Min       5.86         Max       13.65 $(N = 3, n = 33)$ Median         Median       8.15         SD       2.24         Min       3.55         Max       12.23 $(N = 5, n = 50)$ Median         Median       8.10         SD       2.86	Sample Size (Unique Seals, Segments)Mercury (Hg)Lead (Pb) $(N = 2, n = 7)$ (Hg)(Pb)Median7.150.20SD1.390.10Min4.440.06Max8.040.31(N = 2, n = 24)(NedianMedian7.240.07SD2.240.10Min5.860.02Max13.650.29(N = 3, n = 33)(N = 3, n = 33)Median8.150.05SD2.240.08Min3.550.01Max12.230.30(N = 5, n = 50)2.860.16	Sample Size (Unique Seals, Segments)Mercury (Hg)Lead (Pb)Cadmium (Cd) $N = 2, n = 7$ )Median7.150.200.52SD1.390.100.22Min4.440.060.14Max8.040.310.65(N = 2, n = 24)Median7.240.070.19SD2.240.100.26Min5.860.020.09Max13.650.290.79(N = 3, n = 33)Median8.150.050.10SD2.240.080.24Min3.550.010.04Max12.230.300.90(N = 5, n = 50)Median8.100.050.16SD2.860.160.23	Sample Size (Unique Seals, Segments)Mercury (Hg)Lead (Pb)Cadmium (Cd)Selenium (Se) $(N = 2, n = 7)$ $(Hg)$ $(Pb)$ $(Cd)$ $(Se)$ Median7.150.200.523.58SD1.390.100.221.29Min4.440.060.143.38Max8.040.310.656.63Nedian7.240.070.194.10SD2.240.100.260.84Min5.860.020.093.15Max13.650.290.795.79(N = 3, n = 33)Median8.150.010.04Max12.230.300.908.14(N = 5, n = 50)2.860.160.232.39	Sample Size (Unique Seals, Segments)Mercury (Hg)Lead (Pb)Cadmium (Cd)Selenium (Se)Copper (Cu) $(N = 2, n = 7)$ Median7.150.200.523.5821.69SD1.390.100.221.296.05Min4.440.060.143.3814.39Max8.040.310.656.6333.06(N = 2, n = 24) $(N = 2, n = 24)$ $(N = 2, n = 24)$ $(N = 3, n = 33)$ Max13.650.290.795.7927.37(N = 3, n = 33) $(N = 3, n = 33)$ $(N = 3, n = 50)$ $(N = 5, n = 50)$ $(N = 5, n = 50)$ Median8.100.050.164.9912.96SD2.2860.160.232.396.22	Sample Size (Unique Seals, Segments)Mercury (Hg)Lead (Pb)Cadmium (Cd)Selenium (Se)Copper (Cu)Zinc (Zn) $(N = 2, n = 7)$	Sample Size (Unique Seals, Segments)Mercury (Hg)Lead (Pb)Cadmium (Cd)Selenium (Se)Copper (Cu)Zinc (Zn)Molar Ratios $(N = 2, n = 7)$ Median7.150.200.523.5821.69179.521.26SD1.390.100.221.296.0560.071.02Min4.440.060.143.3814.3941.371.13Max8.040.310.656.6333.06204.093.79(N = 2, n = 24)Median7.240.070.194.1015.00185.101.34SD2.240.100.260.844.4834.520.34Min5.860.020.093.1513.0075.061.03Max13.650.290.795.7927.37201.902.51(N = 3, n = 33)Median8.150.050.104.9214.07197.021.39SD2.240.080.241.217.0424.670.81Min3.550.010.043.258.80103.531.01Max12.230.300.908.1452.52220.935.83(N = 5, n = 50)2.860.160.232.396.2238.560.56	Sample Size (Unique Seals, Segments)Mercury (Hg)Lead (Pb)Cadmium (Cd)Selenium (Se)Copper (Cu)Zinc (Zn)Molar RatiosCarbon ( $\delta^{13}C$ )(N = 2, n = 7)Median7.150.200.523.5821.69179.521.26-23.90SD1.390.100.221.296.0560.071.020.83Min4.440.060.143.3814.3941.371.13-24.76Max8.040.310.656.6333.06204.093.79-22.50(N = 2, n = 24)Median7.240.070.194.1015.00185.101.34-23.23SD2.240.100.260.844.4834.520.340.55Min5.860.020.093.1513.0075.061.03-24.26Max13.650.290.795.7927.37201.902.51-21.97(N = 3, n = 33)Median8.150.050.104.9214.07197.021.39-22.91SD2.240.080.241.217.0424.670.810.63Min3.550.010.043.258.80103.531.01-23.74Max12.230.300.908.1452.52220.935.83-20.87(N = 5, n = 50)2.860.160.232.396.2238.56

	Min	4.02	0.01	0.03	2.73	8.16	57.47	0.61	-23.43	9.24
	Max	14.77	0.64	0.85	12.96	40.19	251.86	3.08	-21.30	11.89
December	(N = 6, n = 66)									
	Median	8.16	0.08	0.17	4.35	14.15	184.80	1.38	-22.71	9.43
	SD	4.22	0.12	0.12	2.43	3.20	58.53	0.90	1.10	1.55
	Min	4.58	0.00	0.01	2.68	8.92	38.56	0.43	-23.99	7.34
	Max	21.38	0.74	0.51	11.49	25.78	281.56	4.72	-20.68	13.10
	(N = 13, n = 91)									
January	Median	8.17	0.07	0.09	4.59	15.29	167.08	1.41	-22.01	11.04
	SD	4.78	0.25	0.24	5.86	6.13	57.38	1.50	0.93	1.79
	Min	2.27	0.00	0.01	1.82	8.40	37.30	0.39	-23.95	6.95
	Max	23.17	0.89	1.03	38.29	36.50	288.01	8.26	-20.24	14.13
	(N = 17, n = 197)									
	Median	9.92	0.06	0.15	4.80	15.18	201.39	1.33	-22.14	11.29
Eahmann	SD	6.39	0.55	0.23	5.28	5.21	56.11	1.29	0.89	1.59
February	Min	3.43	0.00	0.01	1.88	5.89	52.26	0.27	-24.43	7.15
	Max	30.34	6.16	1.23	36.72	45.13	365.23	7.89	-20.42	13.87
	(N = 18, n = 293)									
	Median	9.69	0.03	0.04	5.92	12.74	213.41	1.59	-21.98	11.42
March	SD	6.88	2.13	0.13	10.52	11.53	59.07	5.83	0.89	1.57
march	Min	2.55	0.00	0.00	2.15	4.56	40.35	0.24	-24.30	6.96
	Max	34.24	27.63	0.76	115.17	92.22	412.86	80.46	-19.83	14.05

Table 2 cont:

	(N = 18, n = 205)									
	Median	8.09	0.03	0.03	7.29	13.77	204.53	2.48	-21.59	11.58
April	SD	6.07	2.28	0.15	18.34	12.28	59.94	6.36	0.79	1.04
	Min	3.08	0.00	0.00	2.36	4.85	23.48	0.39	-23.82	8.00
	Max	33.30	15.40	1.13	100.72	141.93	432.71	35.13	-20.56	13.48
	(N = 4, n = 15)									
	Median	12.12	0.05	0.14	21.13	16.42	221.37	3.18	-21.76	11.92
May	SD	5.07	0.47	0.08	34.82	7.20	72.92	17.22	0.50	0.55
wiay	Min	4.36	0.01	0.02	3.99	9.29	63.85	1.41	-22.96	10.96

