*18 -00627*

*Hazard/Risk Assessment*

L. Jasperse et al.

Transgenerational effects of PAHs on sheepshead minnows

Transgenerational Effects of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Exposure on Sheepshead

Minnows (*Cyprinodon variegatus* ) 1

Lindsay Jasperse,<sup>a,\*</sup> Milton Levin,<sup>a</sup> Kara Rogers,<sup>a</sup> Christopher Perkins,<sup>b</sup> Thijs Bosker,<sup>c</sup> Robert J.

Griffitt,<sup>d</sup> Maria S. Sepúlveda,<sup>e</sup> and Sylvain De Guise<sup>a,f</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Pathobiology and Veterinary Science, University of Connecticut, Storrs,

Connecticut, USA

<sup>b</sup>Center for Environmental Sciences and Engineering, University of Connecticut, Storrs,

# Connecticut, USA

<sup>c</sup>Leiden University College/Institute of Environmental Sciences, Leiden University, The Hague,

The Netherlands

ment of Pathobiology and Veterinary Science, University<br>
Connecticut, USA<br>
for Environmental Sciences and Engineering, University<br>
Connecticut, USA<br>
iversity College/Institute of Environmental Sciences, Leid<br>
The Netherlan <sup>d</sup>Department of Coastal Sciences, University of Southern Mississippi, Ocean Springs,

Mississippi, USA

<sup>e</sup>Department of Forestry and Natural Resources, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana,

USA

<sup>f</sup>Connecticut Sea Grant College Program, Groton, Connecticut, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi[:10.1002/etc.4340](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/etc.4340)

*(Submitted 17 October 2018; Returned for Revision 27 November 2018; Accepted 9 December* 

*2018)*

Author Manuscript

#### Abstract

as well as 2 generations of offspring ( $F_1$  and  $F_2$ ) without<br>n exposed to HEWAF had increased liver somatic indices,<br>ed fertilization. Several developmental endpoints in the  $F_1$ <br>posure. Adult  $F_1$  demonstrated decre The Deepwater Horizon oil spill resulted in the release of over 640 million L of crude oil into the Gulf of Mexico, affecting over 2000 km of shoreline, including estuaries that serve as important habitats and nurseries for aquatic species. *Cyprinodon variegatus* (sheepshead minnow) are small -bodied fish that inhabit northern Gulf of Mexico estuaries, are easily adaptable to laboratory conditions, and are commonly used in toxicological assessment studies. The purpose of the present study was to determine the somatic, reproductive, and developmental effects of an environmentally relevant polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) mixture, the oil high -energy water accommodated fraction (HEWAF), on experimentally exposed sheepshead minnow ( $F_0$ ) as well as 2 generations of offspring ( $F_1$  and  $F_2$ ) without additional exposure. The F <sup>0</sup> generation exposed to HEWAF had increased liver somatic indices, altered egg production, and decreased fertilization. Several developmental endpoints in the  $F_1$  were altered by  $F_0$ HEWAF exposure. Adult  $F_1$  demonstrated decreased weight and length. Both the  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ generations derived from high HEWAF -exposed F <sup>0</sup> had deficits in prey capture compared to control F <sup>1</sup> and F <sup>2</sup>, respectively. Correlations between endpoints and tissue PAHs provide evidence that the physiological effects observed were associated with hydrocarbon exposure. These data demonstrate that PAHs were capable of causing physiological changes in exposed adult sheepshead minnow and transgenerational effects in unexposed offspring, both of which could have population -level consequences.

**Keywords:** Sheepshead minnow; *Cyprinodon variegatus*; Deepwater Horizon oil spill; High energy water accommodated fraction; Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon; Aquatic toxicology; Transgenerational toxicity

This article contains online -only Supplemental Data.

\* Address correspondence to lindsay.jasperse@uconn.edu

Published online 17 December 2018 in Wiley Online Library (www.wileyonlinelibrary.com). DOI: 10.1002/etc.4340

## **INTRODUCTION**

The explosion of the Deepwater Horizon oil exploration platform on 20 April 2010 initiated the release of approximately 640 million L of crude oil into the Gulf of Mexico, creating oil slicks that covered more than  $100000 \text{ km}^2$  of the ocean surface (Beyer et al. 2016). More than 2000 km of shoreline were affected, including estuaries that serve as important habitats and nurseries for aquatic species (Beyer et al. 2016). By the time the wellhead was officially sealed on 19 September 2010, an estimated  $1.7 \times 10^{11}$  g of C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> hydrocarbons were released into Gulf of Mexico waters (Reddy et al. 2012), reaching shorelines along the coasts of Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, and Florida (Nixon et al. 2016) .

mber 2010, an estimated  $1.7 \times 10^{11}$  g of C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> hydrocarbo<br>vaters (Reddy et al. 2012), reaching shorelines along the co<br>Alabama, and Florida (Nixon et al. 2016).<br>cyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are organic pollu Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are organic pollutants of particular concern in oil spills because of their toxicity and carcinogenicity in humans and wildlife (Allan et al. 2012). They enter marine environments through oil spills and combustion of fossil fuels (Walker et al. 2012). The structure and molecular size of PAHs determine both their toxicity and bioavailability in the water column. Smaller and lighter PAHs are more water -soluble and therefore more readily taken up by marine organisms through the gills or the digestive tract (Hylland 2006; Walker et al. 2012). Heavier PAHs are less soluble and tend to partition into lipid-rich tissues (Shukla et al. 2007). Once inside the host, PAHs can be metabolized by cyclooxygenases, such as cytochrome p450 1a1, into polar metabolites and then into conjugates, which are more readily excreted (Ma and Lu 2007; Walker et al. 2012) .

hon et al. 2015; Raimondo et al. 2016). Although these stuonal effects following hydrocarbon exposure, they either<br>
1, limiting the environmental relevance of the exposure, or<br>
ional observations to the early life stages Although many studies have established the toxicity of hydrocarbons (individual or mixtures) on fish reproductive and developmental functions (Villeneuve et al. 2001; Incardona et al. 2004; Reynaud and Deschaux 2006; Dubansky et al. 2013; Booc et al. 2014; Brown -Peterson et al. 2015; Beyer et al. 2016; Hedgpeth and Griffitt 2016; Raimondo et al. 2016), few have investigated multi - or transgenerational effects. Furthermore, most generational studies use a chronic exposure across all generations tested, which may not be an environmentally relevant parallel to exposure during an oil spill when only the parental generation (F <sup>0</sup>) is exposed. To date, there have been only 4 studies that have investigated the effects on unexposed generations of offspring following F <sup>0</sup> exposure (transgenerational effects; White et al. 1999; Corrales et al. 2014; Perrichon et al. 2015; Raimondo et al. 2016). Although these studies have demonstrated transgenerational effects following hydrocarbon exposure, they either focus on one specific hydrocarbon, limiting the environmental relevance of the exposure, or restrict their transgenerational observations to the early life stages of the  $F_1$  generation. There is a need for more extensive research on the transgenerational effects of environmentally relevant hydrocarbon mixtures, specifically with more extensive evaluation of reproductive endpoints in the  $F_1$  generation, as well as monitoring the  $F_2$  generation.

In the present study, F<sub>0</sub> adult sheepshead minnow were exposed to a crude oil highenergy water accommodated fraction (HEWAF), an environmentally relevant PAH mixture, and analyzed for somatic and reproductive changes. The F <sup>1</sup> generation was examined for developmental, somatic, and reproductive effects; and the unexposed F <sup>2</sup> generation was evaluated for developmental effects. The present study tested the following hypotheses: 1) oil exposure will impact somatic, reproductive, and developmental endpoints in adult F<sub>0</sub> sheepshead minnow and 2) oil exposure will result in transgenerational effects on  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations.

These hypotheses were tested using the following objectives: 1) to determine the effect of oil exposure on somatic, reproductive, and developmental endpoints; 2) to determine the correlation between body burden of PAHs and somatic and reproductive endpoints; and 3) to determine changes in somatic and reproductive endpoints in the adult F <sup>1</sup> generation and changes in developmental endpoints in the unexposed embryonic F <sup>2</sup> generation.

#### **METHODS**

#### *Test species*

relow and Schroeder 1953), including estuaries along the relation and Schroeder 1953), including estuaries along the relation<br>thermore, sheepshead minnow produce transparent dement of embryonic developmental endpoints. The Sheepshead minnow, *Cyprinodon variegatus*, are an abundant small-bodied fish (<8 cm long) found in shallow waters along the Atlantic coast of the United States, from Cape Cod to Mexico (Bigelow and Schroeder 1953), including estuaries along the northern Gulf of Mexico coastline. Furthermore, sheepshead minnow produce transparent demersal eggs, allowing for easy assessment of embryonic developmental endpoints. Their hardiness, environmental relevance and abundance, adaptability to laboratory conditions, and short developmental period made them an ideal species for the present study.

Sheepshead minnow were obtained from the University of Southern Mississippi and bred to establish a colony at the University of Connecticut. All fish housing and standard operating and experimental procedures were approved under the University of Connecticut's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol A15 -059).

## *Fish holding*

Fish were housed in the University of Connecticut's Aquatic Facility in 35 -L glass aquaria and/or a 1890 -L Mini Fish Farm (Pentair Aquatic Ecosystems). Routine water quality tests were performed, including daily testing for dissolved oxygen, temperature, and salinity and weekly testing for pH, ammonia, nitrite, and nitrate. All measurements of pH, ammonia, nitrite,

and nitrate were within normal ranges. During housing, adult fish were fed standard commercial flaked food once a day (Zeigler Aquatox Fish Diet; Zeigler Bros.). During reproductive experiments, adult fish were fed flaked food twice a day and freshly hatched brine shrimp (*Artemia salina*) nauplii once a day.

#### *HEWAF preparation*

across a wide range of dilutions (Forth et al. 2017). Briefl<br>as mixed with 3 L artificial seawater (Instant Ocean®) in a<br>blender at 1 g oil/L seawater for 1 min on low speed. The<br>7 batches (21-L HEWAF), poured into a 23-L The HEWAF was prepared according to protocols previously described (Incardona et al. 2013). The HEWAF has been demonstrated to produce a chemical composition more similar to whole oil than traditional water accommodated fraction methods can generate (Sandoval et al. 2017). Furthermore, dilutions of HEWAF preparations have been shown to preserve chemical composition across a wide range of dilutions (Forth et al. 2017). Briefly, surrogate oil (BP - AECOM) was mixed with 3 L artificial seawater (Instant Ocean ®) in a Waring CB15 high -speed commercial blender at 1 g oil/L seawater for 1 min on low speed. The HEWAF mixtures were prepared in 7 batches (21 -L HEWAF), poured into a 23 -L glass carboy, and allowed to settle for 1 h. A peristaltic pump was used to collect HEWAF from the carboy, avoiding the oil –water interface. The HEWAF was mixed with artificial seawater in header tanks to produce dilutions (v/v) of 0% (no HEWAF, control), 1.25% (low HEWAF), and 12.5% (high HEWAF), which flowed into individual exposure tanks by passive flow. The flow-through system required approximately 80 L of HEWAF daily; therefore, several 21 -L carboys of HEWAF were prepared each day of the reproductive test.

## *Chemical analyses*

For a subset of HEWAF preparations  $(n = 5)$ , a 500-mL sample was kept for chemical analysis by gas chromatography tandem mass spectroscopy (GC -MS/MS) at the University of Connecticut's Center for Environmental Science and Engineering to determine the PAH

composition using methods previously described (Rodgers et al. 2018). Briefly, HEWAF samples were passed across a methanol-conditioned Waters HLB solid-phase extraction cartridge, eluted with acetonitrile, then analyzed using an Agilent 6890 GC with a Restek Rxi - 5Sil MS column using splitless injection coupled to a Waters Quattro Micro tandem MS. An internal standard was used to quantify all peaks, and efficiency of extraction was assessed using surrogate standards. Standard quality assurance procedures were utilized, including analysis of duplicate samples, method blanks, matrix spike duplicates, and laboratory control samples. Reporting limits are shown in Supplemental Data, Table S1.

bllected daily from each tank and added to a 20-mL glass s<br>
il, which was then stored at 4 °C. Water samples were son<br>
on to the glass vial and then analyzed on a fluorescence sp<br>
avelength of 270 nm and an emission range To evaluate the hydrocarbon exposure in the experimental system, a 9 -mL sample of water was collected daily from each tank and added to a 20 -mL glass scintillation vial containing 9 mL ethanol, which was then stored at 4 °C. Water samples were sonicated for 3 min to reduce PAH adhesion to the glass vial and then analyzed on a fluorescence spectrophotometer using an excitation wavelength of 270 nm and an emission range of 280 to 500 nm to detect total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs), including 2- to 4-ring aromatic hydrocarbons (Kim et al. 2010). This fluorescence method of measuring TPHs is a fast and cost-effective alternative to GC/MS that has been routinely used to monitor and characterize oil exposure (Kim et al. 2010) . *Experimental conditions —Reproductive test*

Adult sheepshead minnow (>120 d posthatch [dph]) were randomly allocated to 20 -L glass aquaria with 3 females and 2 males per tank (Cripe et al. 2009), as assessed by sexually dimorphic coloration. Tanks were set up on a flow -through system, similar to systems previously described (Manning et al. 1999). The flow -through system facilitates 2 turnovers of water per day, which allows maintenance of water quality as well as consistent exposure levels of HEWAF.

Prior to the start of the experiment, the fish were acclimated to laboratory conditions for 10 d. Fish were maintained on a 16:8 -h light: dark cycle (Manning et al. 1999), and water was kept at 29.8  $\pm$  0.2 °C, dissolved oxygen at 5.57  $\pm$  0.87 mg/L, and salinity at 15  $\pm$  1 ppt. These conditions were chosen based on published sheepshead minnow exposures at 15 ppt salinity (Brown - Peterson et al. 2013; Hedgpeth and Griffitt 2016) and normoxic dissolved oxygen conditions. Temperature was controlled by placing the tanks in a heated water bath, which was monitored daily. Artificial seawater was prepared using Instant Ocean sea salt. Salinity was determined using a Sybon Opticon Series FG100sa refractometer.

eline egg production and determine exposure groups (Bososure period, one breeding net made of a PVC ring with fi<br>nto each tank to provide a spawning substrate for female f<br>ed daily, allowing for enumeration of eggs in each After acclimation to the environmental conditions, a 10 -d pre -exposure was performed to establish baseline egg production and determine exposure groups (Bosker et al. 2009). During this pre-exposure period, one breeding net made of a PVC ring with fine nylon mesh (335 µm) was placed into each tank to provide a spawning substrate for female fish. The breeding nets were removed daily, allowing for enumeration of eggs in each tank, then rinsed to remove eggs, and returned to the tank. Tanks with actively spawning fish were selected for the exposure and distributed among treatment groups (6 tanks per treatment). During this pre -exposure period, eggs were not assessed for fertilization or hatching success.

## *Reproductive and developmental endpoints*

Egg production data were expressed per female to account for discrepancies in the ratio of 3 females to 2 males, from either mortality of a fish during exposure or misidentification of sex based on dimorphic coloration. More specifically, adult male fish lacking the traditional blue coloration and caudal fin black stripe of mature male sheepshead minnow were on occasion misidentified as females during tank assignment. Sex was confirmed during necropsy when

reproductive organs could be dissected and visualized, and egg production values from each tank were then divided by the number of females in each tank.

Six tanks were assigned to each of 3 exposure groups based on average egg production over the 10 d (control  $25.3 \pm 3.4$ , low HEWAF 26.1  $\pm 2.9$ , high HEWAF 27.0  $\pm 3.2$ ). Tank assignments were performed following guidelines set forth in Bosker et al. (2009) to ensure that any changes in egg production between groups during the exposure phase were attributable to the HEWAF, not to natural variation in egg production between fish.

Eggs were collected daily from breeding nets throughout the 14 -d exposure to determine cumulative egg production during exposure. On days 7 and 10 of the exposure, eggs were kept in embryo cups (a cylinder of nylon mesh adhered to a Petri dish) in tanks with clean, aerated artificial seawater for determination of fertilization rate, as well as developmental endpoints. A maximum of 50 eggs were placed per embryo cup, with one embryo cup per tank. Fertilization rate was determined 2 d after egg collection by visualizing developing embryos using an inverted microscope (Axiovert 200M; Zeiss).

Solution of nylon mesh adhered to a Petri dish) in tank<br>water for determination of fertilization rate, as well as dev<br>f 50 eggs were placed per embryo cup, with one embryo c<br>ermined 2 d after egg collection by visualizing Eggs used to determine  $F_0$  fertilization success were maintained for 10 dph in tanks of clean, aerated seawater to assess developmental endpoints, including heart rate, percentage of hatch, time-to-hatch, larval length at hatch, larval length at 10 dph (LL10), prey capture at 10 dph, and survival. Heart rates were determined 2 d after egg collection using an inverted microscope ( $\times$ 25 magnification) and counting heart beats over a 30-s period. Eggs were then returned to embryo cups and monitored daily for hatching to determine percentage of hatch and time -to -hatch. Once hatched, larvae were collected and photographed on a microscope to determine standard length using AxioVision 4.8.1 software (Zeiss) and then returned to the embryo cups. At 10 dph, larvae were counted to determine survival and photographed on the

microscope to determine length. Prey capture ability was also assessed in the larvae at 10 dph, in a manner similar to methods previously described (Weis et al. 2003). Briefly, larvae were placed individually into a well of a 48 -well plate containing 5 or 6 brine shrimp, with a minimum of 10 replicates per exposure. The number of remaining brine shrimp was monitored at 2 and 5 min to determine ability to capture prey.

## *Somatic endpoints*

Following the 14-d exposure,  $F_0$  fish were netted and anesthetized using buffered tricaine methanesulfonate (MilliporeSigma) at a concentration of 0.1 g/L. Standard length and wet weight were determined, and subsequently fish were euthanized by spinal severance (American Veterinary Medical Association 2013). After euthanasia, fish were dissected to remove the liver and gonads, which were weighed for the calculation of liver somatic index (LSI) and gonad somatic index (GSI) for each individual fish. Somatic index was calculated as (organ wt/body wt) × 100.<**ZAQ;1>** 

#### *PAH body burden*

Aedical Association 2013). After euthanasia, fish were dis<br>
which were weighed for the calculation of liver somatic in<br>
ex (GSI) for each individual fish. Somatic index was calcu<br> **ZAQ;1>**<br>
urden<br>
carcass samples (without Fish carcass samples (without livers and gonads) were analyzed for 16 parent PAHs and 8 alkyl PAHs. The PAHs were extracted using the quick, easy, cheap, effective, rugged, and safe (QuEChERs) method (Johnson 2012) in water, followed by addition of acetonitrile, cleanup with magnesium sulfate and sodium acetate, and centrifugation. Extracts were then analyzed by ultra performance liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry photodiode array detection, as described (Paruk et al. 2013; Yeudakimau et al. 2013; Seegar et al. 2015). All quality control data were within acceptable limits. Reporting limits of parent PAHs are listed in Supplemental Data, Table S1. Bioconcentration factors (BCFs) were calculated as described (Jonsson et al.

2004) using the equation  $BCF = C_F/C_W$ , where  $C_F$  is the PAH concentration measured in fish tissue (without liver and gonads) and  $C_W$  is the concentration of PAHs in the seawater.

## *F<sup>1</sup> generation —somatic and reproductive endpoints*

Eggs collected during the F <sup>0</sup> generation exposure were used to measure reproductive and developmental endpoints and maintained in clean, artificial seawater through development to the adult stage (125 dph). The F <sup>1</sup> generation was only directly exposed to HEWAF during the period between when eggs were spawned and when eggs were removed during daily egg collection (<24 h). Somatic and reproductive endpoints were assessed using the same reproductive test as described **<ZAQ;2>**above but without any additional exposure to HEWAF. In addition, the pre exposure phase used to determine baseline egg production was extended from 10 to 14 d because many of the tanks did not produce any eggs for the first several days. The control F<sub>1</sub> group had 7 replicate tanks for the reproductive test, whereas the low and high HEWAF F<sub>1</sub> groups each had 6 replicate tanks.

#### *F<sup>2</sup> generation*

ase used to determine baseline egg production was extend<br>tanks did not produce any eggs for the first several days. The several days of<br>the reproductive test, whereas the low and high HE<br>is.<br>Fig. 2. Als.<br>The manuscript of Eggs  $(F_2)$  collected from each replicate tank during the  $F_1$  generation reproductive test on days 7, 10, and 13 were maintained in clean artificial seawater (maximum of 50 eggs per tank) to determine developmental endpoints, as described **<ZAQ;3>**above. Because the F <sup>1</sup> reproductive test did not include oil exposure, developmental endpoints were not expected to vary between the 3 egg collections. Therefore, data for F <sup>2</sup> developmental endpoints were combined across egg collections to achieve a higher sample size for statistical analyses.

#### *Statistical analyses*

One -way analyses of variance (ANOVAs) with Dunnett's test were used to compare differences between exposed and nonexposed fish in somatic and reproductive endpoints, as well

as developmental endpoints in the F <sup>2</sup> generation. Normality was tested using the Kolmogorov - Smirnov test, and equal variance was assessed with the Levene median test. A one-way ANOVA on ranks was used when data violated normality assumptions. A 2 -way ANOVA with the Holm - Sidak test was used to compare differences in fertilization success and all developmental endpoints for the F <sup>1</sup> generation.

Body burdens of parent and alkyl PAHs were used for correlation analyses with all experimental endpoints. Body burden data were pooled separately for males and females of each tank. Control fish were not included in the correlation analyses. Correlation analyses of PAH body burdens and experimental endpoints were performed using Pearson's product moment correlation. All analyses were performed using SigmaStat 3.5 software (Systat Software), using an alpha level of 0.05 for statistical significance. All data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean, unless indicated otherwise.

## **RESULTS**

#### *Chemical analyses*

All analyses were performed using SigmaStat 3.5 software<br>
el of 0.05 for statistical significance. All data are presented<br>
unless indicated otherwise.<br>
<br>
adyses<br>
I PAHs (tPAHs) of the 5 HEWAF samples averaged 136.9<br>
<br>
viat Total PAHs (tPAHs) of the 5 HEWAF samples averaged  $136.9 \pm 30$  ng/mL (mean  $\pm$ standard deviation, Table 1). Based on this measured mean tPAH concentration, the 1.25% HEWAF dilution ("low HEWAF") was determined to be 1.7 ng/mL tPAH and the 12.5% HEWAF dilution ("high HEWAF"), 17 ng/mL tPAH. Daily quantification of TPH in individual tanks of fish measured using fluorescence indicated that exposure remained steady throughout the 14 -d exposure and consistent between tanks of the same dilution (Supplemental Data, Table S2). Also, fluorescence values indicated that the high HEWAF exposure was approximately 11 times higher in TPH concentration compared to the low HEWAF exposure (low HEWAF, 75 au; high HEWAF, 807 au).

Body burdens of parent PAHs and alkyl PAHs in F<sub>0</sub> sheepshead minnow following the 14-d HEWAF exposure are summarized in Table 1. Fish from control tanks were pooled by tank, and all PAHs analyzed were below the limit of detection. Body burdens of PAHs were relatively similar in composition to the stock HEWAF; the most abundant PAHs were naphthalene, fluorene, anthracene, and several methylated naphthalene alkyl PAHs (Table 1).

Bioconcentration factors (based on whole fish without livers and gonads) are reported in Supplemental Data, Table S3. In both low and high HEWAF exposures, BCFs varied widely among hydrocarbons, ranging from 0 to over 18 000, though BCFs tended to be less variable for alkyl PAHs than they were for the parent PAHs. The BCFs were larger in low HEWAF F <sup>0</sup> than high HEWAF F<sub>0</sub> for the parent PAHs naphthalene and fluorene, as well as 3 of 8 alkyl PAHs. Alternatively, BCFs were larger in high HEWAF  $F_0$  than low HEWAF  $F_0$  for the parent PAHs acenaphthene, phenanthrene, anthracene, chrysene, benzo[ *a*]anthracene, as well as 4 of 8 alkyl PAHs.

#### *F<sup>0</sup> generation —somatic endpoints*

F F<sub>0</sub> for the parent PAHs naphthalene and fluorene, as we<br>y, BCFs were larger in high HEWAF F<sub>0</sub> than low HEWAH<br>e, phenanthrene, anthracene, chrysene, benzo[*a*]anthracen<br>*n*—somatic endpoints<br>ng the 14-d HEWAF exposure, During the 14 -d HEWAF exposure, minimal mortality of adult sheepshead minnow was observed. Survival rates were 100, 93, and 97% for control, low, and high HEWAF fish, respectively. Following a 14 -d HEWAF exposure, sheepshead minnow had no significant differences in length, body weight, gonad weight, or GSI (Table 2). However, female fish exposed to high HEWAF for 14 d had significantly higher liver weight than unexposed female fish, and both males and females exposed to high HEWAF had significantly higher LSI than unexposed fish. Liver somatic indices were positively correlated with tissue tPAHs for 4 of the 7 parent PAHs detected in tissues, as well as for 6 of the 8 alkyl PAHs (Table 3).

*F<sup>0</sup> generation—reproductive endpoints*

There were no significant differences in average daily egg production between exposure groups in the pre -exposure period (Figure 1A). However, during the exposure period daily egg production was significantly lower in high HEWAF exposure compared to low HEWAF exposure (Figure 1A). Moreover, high HEWAF exposure significantly reduced the average egg production per day compared to the same tanks during the pre -exposure period (Figure 1A). After a 14-d exposure period, the cumulative egg production per female averaged  $350 \pm 31$ (mean  $\pm$  standard error) for controls, 444  $\pm$  36 for low HEWAF, and 234  $\pm$  36 for high HEWAF (Figure 1B). Low HEWAF exposure resulted in a 27% increase in cumulative egg production compared to control fish, but this was not statistically significant (Figure 1B). High HEWAF exposure resulted in a 33% decrease in cumulative egg production, but this was significantly different only from low HEWAF, not control (Figure 1B).

ulted in a 33% decrease in cumulative egg production, bu<br>y from low HEWAF, not control (Figure 1B).<br>collected on days 7 and 10 of exposure were kept for eva<br>ure of male reproductive capacity. Overall, fertilization sue<br>h H Eggs collected on days 7 and 10 of exposure were kept for evaluation of egg fertilization rate, a measure of male reproductive capacity. Overall, fertilization success was significantly lower in high HEWAF eggs compared to low HEWAF eggs, although neither was significantly different from control (Figure 1C). In addition, among eggs collected on day 7 of exposure only, there was a significant decrease in fertilization rate in eggs from high HEWAF fish compared to low HEWAF. Fertilization rate on day 7 of exposure was negatively correlated with tissue tPAHs, including 4 of the 7 parent PAHs detected in tissues as well as all 8 alkyl PAHs (Table 3).

## *F<sup>1</sup> generation —developmental endpoints*

There were no statistically significant changes in larvae survival to 10 dph, which ranged between 65 and 89% (data not shown). Among embryos collected on day 10, the heart rate of embryos exposed to low HEWAF was significantly higher (by 10%) than it was for control

embryos (Figure 2A). In addition, heart rate was 34% higher in embryos collected on day 10 compared to embryos collected on day 7 in all 3 exposure groups. The HEWAF treatment and time of egg collection had a significant interaction on embryo hatch rate (Figure 2B). Within the high HEWAF exposure, embryos on day 7 of exposure had a 48% lower hatch rate than embryos collected on day 10. Time -to -hatch was not significantly affected by HEWAF exposure or time of egg collection (Figure 2C).

Among eggs collected on day 7, embryos from low and high HEWAF exposures were significantly shorter at hatch (larval length at hatch) than control embryos, with reductions of 10 and 11%, respectively (Figure 2D). Overall, high HEWAF exposure also reduced larval length at hatch by 7% compared to controls. In addition, control embryos from eggs collected on day 10 were significantly shorter at hatch (8% reduction) than control embryos from eggs collected on day 7.

compared to controls. In addition, control embryos from cantly shorter at hatch (8% reduction) than control embryond EMB and the MAF exposure significantly reduced LL10 by 13%, with WAF exposure significantly increased LL1 Low HEWAF exposure significantly reduced LL10 by 13%, whereas high HEWAF exposure resulted in an 18% increase in larval length (Figure 2E). Within eggs collected on day 7, high HEWAF exposure significantly increased LL10 by 21% compared to control and by 31% compared to low HEWAF exposures. Within eggs collected on day 10, low HEWAF significantly decreased LL10 by 17%, whereas high HEWAF significantly increased length by 16%. In addition, there was an overall effect of time of egg collection because embryos from eggs collected on day 7 of exposure were significantly shorter at 10 dph than those from eggs collected on day 10, with a reduction in length of 15%. This was also statistically significant within control and high HEWAF exposures individually.

The HEWAF exposure and day of egg collection had a significant interaction on prey capture ability of F <sup>1</sup> larvae. Within eggs collected on day 7 of exposure, prey capture ability of larvae from high HEWAF tanks was 80% lower than that of larvae from control tanks during the first 2 min of the assay and 71% lower than that of control larvae after 5 min, both of which were statistically significant reductions in prey capture (Figure 3B).

## *F<sup>1</sup> generation—somatic endpoints*

There were no statistically significant differences in survival rates of  $F_1$  to 10 dph from the 3 different  $F_0$  groups (data not shown). Of the  $F_1$  larvae that survived, 77, 84, and 95% survived to the adult stage (125 dph) in the control, low HEWAF, and high HEWAF groups, respectively.

There was minimal mortality during the  $F_1$  reproductive test. Only one male fish died on day 13 in the high HEWAF group. Analyses of somatic endpoints at the end of the 14 -d reproductive test indicated that female  $F_1$  from the low HEWAF group were significantly smaller compared to F <sup>1</sup> controls (Table 4). There were no statistically significant changes in liver or gonad weight or somatic indices.

# *F<sup>1</sup> generation—reproductive endpoints*

Example HEWAF group. Analyses of somatic endpoints at the test indicated that female  $F_1$  from the low HEWAF group  $F_1$  controls (Table 4). There were no statistically significant or somatic indices.<br>  $m$ —reproductive e During the 14 -d reproductive test, the cumulative egg production per female averaged 50  $\pm$  17 for F<sub>1</sub> fish from F<sub>0</sub> controls, 36  $\pm$  21 for F<sub>1</sub> fish from F<sub>0</sub> low HEWAF, and 24  $\pm$  6 for F<sub>1</sub> fish from  $F_0$  high HEWAF (Figure 4). Although there were 29 and 51% decreases in  $F_1$  egg production in the low and high HEWAF groups, respectively, this effect was not statistically significant ( $p = 0.521$ ), though low power of the ANOVA limits the interpretation of these data. *F<sup>2</sup> generation—developmental endpoints*

In the F <sup>2</sup> generation, there were no statistically significant changes in heart rate, hatch rate, time to hatch, length at hatch, length 10 dph, or survival to 10 dph (data not shown). However, prey capture ability of larvae from the high HEWAF group was significantly reduced compared to larvae from the control and low HEWAF groups after 2 min (Figure 5), but there were no significant differences among groups at 5 min.

#### **DISCUSSION**

The present transgenerational study demonstrated that exposure of sheepshead minnow to HEWAF resulted in somatic and reproductive effects in a PAH-exposed F<sub>0</sub> generation, developmental changes in the F <sup>1</sup> generation, and minimal developmental effects in the unexposed F <sup>2</sup> generation. The PAH exposure levels used in the present study were 1.7 and 17  $\mu$ g/L for low and high HEWAF, respectively, which fall within the range of concentrations measured in the Gulf of Mexico during and after the Deepwater Horizon oil spill, as reported in the largest publicly available database of water chemistry data from the Gulf of Mexico (BP Gulf Science Data 2016). The HEWAF exposures performed in the present study therefore represent environmentally relevant exposures of Gulf of Mexico sheepshead minnow, in terms of both composition and concentration.

ublicly available database of water chemistry data from the a 2016). The HEWAF exposures performed in the present ally relevant exposures of Gulf of Mexico sheepshead minimal concentration.<br>
V burdens of PAHs were analyzed Body burdens of PAHs were analyzed only at the end of the 14 -d exposure and, therefore, only provide a snapshot of exposure levels inside the fish. It is likely that actual PAH burdens fluctuated throughout the exposure because PAHs were absorbed, metabolized, and excreted (Heath 2018). Importantly, liver and gonad tissues were removed for further molecular analyses and, therefore, could not be included in hydrocarbon body burden analyses. The reported concentrations in the present study may therefore underestimate the actual body burden of exposed fish. Moreover, removal of gonads before PAH analyses limited the ability to make appropriate comparisons of body burden between males and females. Adult sheepshead minnow tended to have higher concentrations of alkyl PAHs than parent PAHs in their tissues. Alkyl PAHs are generally more lipophilic than parent PAHs and, therefore, experience increased

absorption and bioaccumulation (Irwin et al. 1997). In addition, most alkyl PAHs are more toxic than the parent compound (Irwin et al. 1997). Body burdens of tPAHs were approximately 10 times higher in fish exposed to high HEWAF (12.5% stock) than fish exposed to low HEWAF (1.25% stock), indicating that overall body burdens of tPAHs were proportional to water concentrations of tPAHs, at least for the 2 concentrations of HEWAF tested.

uality (Nagler and Cyr 1997) and delay spermiation (Khan<br>ere not assessed in the present study. Correlation analyses<br>ns of parent and alkyl PAHs were negatively correlated w<br>tion rate, providing additional supportive evide Our data bolster the growing body of evidence that hydrocarbon exposure can alter egg production in female fish (Brown -Peterson et al. 2013; Perrichon et al. 2015; Raimondo et al. 2016) and decrease reproductive fitness in male fish by reducing fertilization rate (Booc et al. 2014). Hydrocarbons have also been shown to reduce sperm quantity (Sundt and Bjorkblom 2011) and quality (Nagler and Cyr 1997) and delay spermiation (Khan 2013), though those endpoints were not assessed in the present study. Correlation analyses revealed that tissue concentrations of parent and alkyl PAHs were negatively correlated with both egg production and fertilization rate, providing additional supportive evidence that the changes observed in egg production and fertilization rate in the present study are a result of hydrocarbon exposure and not natural variation between tanks. Importantly, it has been demonstrated that laboratory sheepshead minnow have higher reproductive potential than wild sheepshead minnow and may underestimate the ecological effects on wild fish (Rutter et al. 2012). It is possible that the reproductive effects reported in the present study of laboratory sheepshead minnow may have been even more severe in wild populations of sheepshead minnow that experienced oil exposure in the Gulf of Mexico.

Although low HEWAF exposure increased  $F_0$  egg production, the eggs that were produced experienced developmental deficits, which may affect survival in a natural habitat. The biphasic dose –response pattern ("hormesis") observed in F <sup>0</sup> egg production in the present study

is a well -documented phenomenon in toxicology (Calabrese et al. 2007) and has been demonstrated in fish reproduction studies (Pawlowski et al. 2004; Tilton et al. 2005; Bosker et al. 2010; van den Heuvel et al. 2010). High variability between tanks lowered statistical power and limited interpretation of F <sup>1</sup> egg production data. Although there is no consensus on critical effect size for fish reproductive tests, a commonly used size is 25%, indicating that a 25% change in egg production would cause adverse effects on the fish population (Bosker et al. 2009; Munkittrick et al. 2009). This suggests that the changes observed in egg production in the present study, although not statistically significant, could be biologically significant and lead to population -level consequences in sheepshead minnow.

present study demonstrated an array of transgenerational e<br>tal changes and impaired  $F_2$  prey capture ability. Other stu<br>tal effects in fish embryos and larvae exposed to PAHs (W<br>Booc et al. 2014), including reduced hatch The present study demonstrated an array of transgenerational effects, including F 1 developmental changes and impaired F <sup>2</sup> prey capture ability. Other studies have demonstrated developmental effects in fish embryos and larvae exposed to PAHs (White et al. 1999; Incardona et al. 2004; Booc et al. 2014), including reduced hatch frequency, decreased survival, and cardiac abnormalities. A study investigating the developmental effects of PAH exposure on zebrafish embryos found that phenanthrene, a 3-ring PAH, caused cardiac dysfunction, including bradycardia and arrhythmias, and pyrene, a 4 -ring PAH, caused reduced blood circulation, anemia, and neuronal cell death (Incardona et al. 2004). Embryonic cardiac effects resulting from hydrocarbon exposure, as demonstrated in the  $F_1$  embryos from sheepshead minnow exposed to low HEWAF in the present study, is a well -established phenomenon in fish. It has been demonstrated that PAHs can cause altered cardiac functioning directly, in PAH -exposed embryos (Incardona et al. 2004, 2009, 2014; Bosker et al. 2017), and indirectly, in embryos collected from PAH-exposed parental fish (Corrales et al. 2014; Perrichon et al. 2015).

Unlike the other developmental endpoints in the present study that measured morphological alterations, prey capture is a behavioral endpoint that requires coordination of several physiological systems, making it a highly sensitive sublethal endpoint to assay. The 2 time points reported for this assay, 2 and 5 min, may simulate environmental situations of limited and abundant prey availability, respectively. Diminished prey capture ability, demonstrated in the  $F_1$ and F <sup>2</sup> generations from high HEWAF -exposed F <sup>0</sup>, could impair growth, delay development, increase risk of predation, and decrease survivorship (Zhou et al. 2001). Although the mechanism of these transgenerational effects of hydrocarbons in fish is unclear, it is possible that epigenetics may play a role because Fang et al. (2010) reported that PAH exposure may alter DNA methylation patterns in mummichog embryos.

lation patterns in mummichog embryos.<br>
ult F<sub>0</sub> sheepshead minnow exposed to high HEWAF, LSI<br>
d positively correlated with body burdens of parent and al<br>
monstrated to be a physiologic response to hydrocarbon ex<br>
e a hype In adult F <sup>0</sup> sheepshead minnow exposed to high HEWAF, LSI values were significantly increased and positively correlated with body burdens of parent and alkyl PAHs. Increased LSI has been demonstrated to be a physiologic response to hydrocarbon exposure in fish and is thought to be a hyperplastic or hypertrophic response to increase capacity to metabolize hydrocarbons (Heath 2018) .

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that short-term (14-d) exposure of sheepshead minnow to an environmentally relevant mixture of PAHs (HEWAF) resulted in a range of somatic and reproductive effects in the exposed  $F_0$  generation as well as developmental effects in the  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations. The  $F_1$  generation was maintained through the adult phase and observed to have reproductive effects that, though not statistically significant, may be of biological significance. These data suggest that HEWAF exposure can cause a range of physiological effects in exposed adult fish, in addition to transgenerational effects in offspring without additional HEWAF exposure, both of which could have population -level consequences. Correlations between tissue PAHs and observed endpoints provide evidence that the physiological effects observed were caused by hydrocarbon exposure. These data demonstrate that oil from the Deepwater Horizon oil spill was capable of causing significant effects in exposed sheepshead minnow.

*Supplemental Data* —The Supplemental Data are available on the Wiley Online Library at DOI: 10.1002/etc.4340.

ometer. The authors also thank the University of Connection<br>time of the Aquatic Animal Facility. The present study was<br>also the Aquatic Animal Facility. The present study was<br>also the GMRI-009).<br>ibility—Data are publicly a *Acknowledgment*—The authors acknowledge the many undergraduate and graduate students who assisted with the present project, including M. Christianson, K. McLeod, E. Gagnon, E. Gebhard -Cote, and B. Jasperse. Special thanks to C. Brückner for use of his fluorescence spectrophotometer. The authors also thank the University of Connecticut Office of Animal Care for maintenance of the Aquatic Animal Facility. The present study was made possible by a grant from the Gulf of Mexico Research Initiative (SA-13-01/GoMRI-009).

*Data* Accessibility—Data are publicly available through the Gulf of Mexico Research Initiative Information & Data Cooperative at https://data.gulfresearchinitiative.org/data/R2.x213.000:0003 (DOI: 10.7266/N74M92WR).

#### References

Allan SE, Smith BW, Anderson KA. 2012. Impact of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill on bioavailable polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in Gulf of Mexico coastal waters. *Environ Sci Technol* 46:2033 –2039.

American Veterinary Medical Association. 2013. AVMA guidelines for the euthanasia of animals: 2013 edition. [cited YYYY Month Day]. Available from: https://www.avma.org/KB/Policies/Documents/euthanasia.pdf**<ZAQ;4>**

Beyer J, Trannum HC, Bakke T, Hodson PV, Collier TK. 2016. Environmental effects of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill: A review. *Mar Pollut Bull* 110:28 –51.

Bigelow HB, Schroeder WC. 1953. Fishes of the Gulf of Maine. Fishery Bulletin of the Fish and Wildlife Service, Vol 53. US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC.

Booc F, Thornton C, Lister A, MacLatchy D, Willett KL. 2014. Benzo[ *a*]pyrene effects on reproductive endpoints in *Fundulus heteroclitus*. *Toxicol Sci* 140:73 –82.

Bosker T, Hewitt LM, Doyle MA, MacLatchy DL. 2010. Effects of neutral sulfite semichemical pulp mill effluent in the mummichog (*Fundulus heteroclitus*) adult fish reproductive test. *Water Quality Research Journal of Canada* 45:201 –208.

Bosker T, Munkittrick KR, MacLatchy DL. 2009. Challenges in current adult fish laboratory reproductive tests: Suggestions for refinement using a mummichog (*Fundulus heteroclitus*) case study. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 28:2386 –2396.

Iunkittrick KR, MacLatchy DL. 2009. Challenges in curre<br>tests: Suggestions for refinement using a mummichog (*F*<br>on Toxicol Chem 28:2386–2396.<br>In Balen L, Walsh B, Sepúlveda MS, DeGuise S, Perkins<br>fect of Macondo oil and c Bosker T, van Balen L, Walsh B, Sepúlveda MS, DeGuise S, Perkins C, Griffitt RJ. 2017. The combined effect of Macondo oil and corexit on sheepshead minnow (*Cyprinodon variegatus*) during early development. *J Toxicol Environ Health A* 80:477 –484.

BP Gulf Science Data. 2016. Chemistry data associated with water column samples collected in the Gulf of Mexico from May 2010 through July 2012. [cited YYYY Month Day]. Available from: https://data.gulfresearchinitiative.org/data/BP.x750.000:0016**<ZAQ;5>**

Brown -Peterson NJ, Krasnec M, Takeshita R, Ryan CN, Griffitt KJ, Lay C, Mayer GD, Bayha KM, Hawkins WE, Lipton I, Morris J, Griffitt RJ. 2015. A multiple endpoint analysis of the effects of chronic exposure to sediment contaminated with Deepwater Horizon oil on juvenile southern flounder and their associated microbiomes. *Aquat Toxicol* 165:197 –209.

Brown -Peterson NJ, Manning CS, Brouwer M, Griffitt RJ. 2013. Effects of pyrene exposure on sheepshead minnow (*Cyprinodon variegatus*) reproduction. *J Toxicol Environ Health A* 76:842 – 852.

Calabrese EJ, Bachmann KA, Bailer AJ, Bolger PM, Borak J, Cai L, Cedergreen N, Cherian MG, Chiueh CC, Clarkson TW. 2007. Biological stress response terminology: Integrating the concepts of adaptive response and preconditioning stress within a hormetic dose –response framework. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 222:122 –128.

Corrales J, Thornton C, White M, Willett KL. 2014. Multigenerational effects of benzo[ *a*]pyrene exposure on survival and developmental deformities in zebrafish larvae. *Aquat Toxicol* 148:16 – 26.

Hemmer BL, Goodman LR, Vennari JC. 2009. Developme<br>
ultigeneration life-cycle testing of the estuarine sheepshea<br>
Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 56:500–508.<br>
, Whitehead A, Miller JT, Rice CD, Galvez F. 2013. Mult<br>
d developm Cripe GM, Hemmer BL, Goodman LR, Vennari JC. 2009. Development of a methodology for successful multigeneration life -cycle testing of the estuarine sheepshead minnow, *Cyprinodon variegatus*. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 56:500 –508.

Dubansky B, Whitehead A, Miller JT, Rice CD, Galvez F. 2013. Multitissue molecular, genomic, and developmental effects of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill on resident gulf killifish (*Fundulus grandis*). *Environ Sci Technol* 47:5074–5082.

Fang X, Dong W, Thornton C, Scheffler B, Willett KL. 2010. Benzo[ *a*]pyrene induced glycine n -methyltransferase messenger RNA expression in *Fundulus heteroclitus* embryos. *Mar Environ Res* 69(Suppl.):S74 –S76.

Forth HP, Mitchelmore CL, Morris JM, Lipton J. 2017. Characterization of oil and water accommodated fractions used to conduct aquatic toxicity testing in support of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill natural resource damage assessment. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 36:1450 –1459. Heath AG. 2018. *Water Pollution and Fish Physiology*. CRC, Boca Raton, FL, USA.

Hedgpeth BM, Griffitt RJ. 2016. Simultaneous exposure to chronic hypoxia and dissolved polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons results in reduced egg production and larval survival in the sheepshead minnow ( *Cyprinodon variegatus*). *Environ Toxicol Chem* 35:645 –651.

Hylland K. 2006. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) ecotoxicology in marine ecosystems. *J Toxicol Environ Health A* 69:109 –123.

Incardona JP, Carls MG, Day HL, Sloan CA, Bolton JL, Collier TK, Scholz NL. 2009. Cardiac arrhythmia is the primary response of embryonic pacific herring (*Clupea pallasi*) exposed to crude oil during weathering. *Environ Sci Technol* 43:201 –207.

Incardona JP, Collier TK, Scholz NL. 2004. Defects in cardiac function precede morphological abnormalities in fish embryos exposed to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 196:191 –205.

is in fish embryos exposed to polycyclic aromatic hydroca<br>196:191–205.<br>
P, Gardner LD, Linbo TL, Brown TL, Esbaugh AJ, Mager<br>
IS, Laetz CA, Tagal M, Sloan CA, Elizur A, Benetti DD,<br>
2014. Deepwater Horizon crude oil impact Incardona JP, Gardner LD, Linbo TL, Brown TL, Esbaugh AJ, Mager EM, Stieglitz JD, French BL, Labenia JS, Laetz CA, Tagal M, Sloan CA, Elizur A, Benetti DD, Grosell M, Block BA, Scholz NL. 2014. Deepwater Horizon crude oil impacts the developing hearts of large predatory pelagic fish. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111:E1510 –E1518.

Incardona JP, Swarts TL, Edmunds RC, Linbo TL, Aquilina -Beck A, Sloan CA, Gardner LD, Block BA, Scholz NL. 2013. *Exxon Valdez* to Deepwater Horizon: Comparable toxicity of both crude oils to fish early life stages. *Aquat Toxicol* 142 –143:303 –316.

Irwin RJ, VanMouwerik M, Stevens L, Seese M, Basham W. 1997. Environmental contaminants encyclopedia entry on alkyl PAHs (alkyl homologs of PAHs). 18.**<ZAQ;6>**

Johnson YS. 2012. Determination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in edible seafood by QuEChERS -based extraction and gas chromatography -tandem mass spectrometry. *J Food Sci* 77:T131 –T137.

Jonsson G, Bechmann RK, Bamber SD, Baussant T. 2004. Bioconcentration, biotransformation, and elimination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in sheepshead minnows (*Cyprinodon variegatus*) exposed to contaminated seawater. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 23:1538 –1548.

Khan RA. 2013. Effects of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons on sexual maturity of Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*, following chronic exposure. *Environ Pollut* 2:1 –10.

Kim M, Yim UH, Hong SH, Jung JH, Choi HW, An J, Won J, Shim WJ. 2010. *Hebei Spiri t* oil spill monitored on site by fluorometric detection of residual oil in coastal waters off Taean, Korea. *Mar Pollut Bull* 60:383 –389.

Ma Q, Lu AY. 2007. Cyp1a induction and human risk assessment: An evolving tale of in vitro and in vivo studies. *Drug Metab Dispos* 35:1009 –1016.

Manning C, Schesny A, Hawkins W, Barnes D, Barnes C, Walker W. 1999. Exposure methodologies and systems for long -term chemical carcinogenicity studies with small fish species. *Toxicol Mech Methods* 9:201 –207.

Munkittrick KR, Arens CJ, Lowell RB, Kaminski GP. 2009. A review of potential methods of determining critical effect size for designing environmental monitoring programs. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 28:1361 –1371.

studies. *Drug Metab Dispos* 35:1009–1016.<br>
Schesny A, Hawkins W, Barnes D, Barnes C, Walker W.<br>
ies and systems for long-term chemical carcinogenicity sticol Mech Methods 9:201–207.<br>
KR, Arens CJ, Lowell RB, Kaminski GP. Nagler JJ, Cyr DG. 1997. Exposure of male American plaice (*Hippoglossoides platessoides*) to contaminated marine sediments decreases the hatching success of their progeny. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 16:1733 –1738.

Nixon Z, Zengel S, Baker M, Steinhoff M, Fricano G, Rouhani S, Michel J. 2016. Shoreline oiling from the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *Mar Pollut Bull* 107:170 –178.

Paruk JD, Long DI, Perkins C, East A, Sigel BJ, Evers DC. 2013. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons detected in common loons (*Gavia immer*) wintering off coastal Louisiana. *Waterbirds* 37:85 –93.

Pawlowski S, van Aerle R, Tyler CR, Braunbeck T. 2004. Effects of 17alpha -ethinylestradiol in a fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) gonadal recrudescence assay. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* 57:330 –345.

Perrichon P, Akcha F, Le Menach K, Goubeau M, Budzinski H, Cousin X, Bustamante P. 2015. Parental trophic exposure to three aromatic fractions of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the zebrafish: Consequences for the offspring. *Sci Total Environ* 524 –525:52 –62.

Raimondo S, Hemmer BL, Lilavois CR, Krzykwa J, Almario A, Awkerman JA, Barron MG. 2016. Effects of Louisiana crude oil on the sheepshead minnow (*Cyprinodon variegatus*) during a life -cycle exposure to laboratory oiled sediment. *Environ Toxicol* 31:1627 –1639.

, Hemmer BL, Lilavois CR, Krzykwa J, Almario A, Awkes of Louisiana crude oil on the sheepshead minnow (*Cypr* exposure to laboratory oiled sediment. *Environ Toxicol* 31 Arey JS, Seewald JS, Sylva SP, Lemkau KL, Nelson RK Reddy CM, Arey JS, Seewald JS, Sylva SP, Lemkau KL, Nelson RK, Carmichael CA, McIntyre CP, Fenwick J, Ventura GT, Van Mooy BA, Camilli R. 2012. Composition and fate of gas and oil released to the water column during the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 109:20229 –20234.

Reynaud S, Deschaux P. 2006. The effects of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons on the immune system of fish: A review. *Aquat Toxicol* 77:229 –238.

Rodgers ML, Jones ER, Klinkhamer C, Mahapatra CT, Serafin J, Bosker T, Perkins C, Griffitt RJ, De Guise S, Sepúlveda MS. 2018. Combined effects of Deepwater Horizon crude oil and environmental stressors on *Fundulus grandis* embryos. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 37:1916 –1925.

Rutter H, Norberg MJ, Raimondo S. 2012. Comparison of cultured and wild sheepshead minnow (*Cyprinodon variegatus*) health condition metrics used in toxicity effects assessment. *Gulf Mex Sci* 1 –2:60 –64.

Sandoval K, Ding Y, Gardinali P. 2017. Characterization and environmental relevance of oil water preparations of fresh and weathered MC -252 Macondo oils used in toxicology testing. *Sci Total Environ* 576:118 –128.

Seegar WS, Yates MA, Doney GE, Jenny JP, Seegar TC, Perkins C, Giovanni M. 2015. Migrating tundra peregrine falcons accumulate polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons along Gulf of Mexico following Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *Ecotoxicology* 24:1102 –1111.

opalani M, Ramteke DS, Wate SR. 2007. Influence of sali<br>e fraction of crude oil in *Tilapia mossambica. Bull Enviro*<br>ijorkblom C. 2011. Effects of produced water on reproduc<br>atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). *J Toxicol Enviro* Shukla P, Gopalani M, Ramteke DS, Wate SR. 2007. Influence of salinity on PAH uptake from water soluble fraction of crude oil in *Tilapia mossambica*. *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol* 79:601 – 605.

Sundt RC, Bjorkblom C. 2011. Effects of produced water on reproductive parameters in prespawning atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). *J Toxicol Environ Health A* 74:543 –554.

Tilton SC, Foran CM, Benson WH. 2005. Relationship between ethinylestradiol-mediated changes in endocrine function and reproductive impairment in Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). *Environ Toxicol Chem* 24:352 –359.

van den Heuvel MR, Martel PH, Kovacs TG, MacLatchy DL, Van Der Kraak GJ, Parrott JL, McMaster ME, O'Connor BI, Melvin SD, Hewitt LM. 2010. Evaluation of short -term fish reproductive bioassays for predicting effects of a Canadian bleached kraft mill effluent. *Water Quality Research Journal of Canada* 45:175 –186.

Villeneuve DL, Khim JS, Kannan K, Giesy JP. 2001. In vitro response of fish and mammalian cells to complex mixtures of polychlorinated naphthalenes, polychlorinated biphenyls, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Aquat Toxicol* 54:125 –141.

Walker CH, Sibly RM, Hopkin SP, Peakall DB. 2012. *Principles of Ecotoxicology*. CRC, Boca Raton, FL, USA.

Weis JS, Samson J, Zhou T, Skurnick J, Weis P. 2003. Evaluating prey capture by larval mummichogs (*Fundulus heteroclitus*) as a potential biomarker for contaminants. *Mar Environ Res* 55:27 –38.

White PA, Robitaille S, Rasmussen JB. 1999. Heritable reproductive effects of benzo[ *a*]pyrene on the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*). *Environ Toxicol Chem* 18:1843 –1847.

Yeudakimau AV, Provatas AA, Perkins CR, Stuart JD. 2013. Solid phase extraction and

QuEChERS sample preparation methods for rapid screening of polycyclic aromatic

hydrocarbons in avian blood and egg tissue by UPLC -UV. *Anal Lett* 46:999 –1011.

Zhou T, Scali R, Weis JS. 2001. Effects of methylmercury on ontogeny of prey capture ability

and growth in three populations of larval *Fundulus heteroclitus*. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol*

41:47 –54.

Musical Manuscript Chemistry Chemistry Automatical Chemistry AV, Provatas AA, Perkins CR, Stuart JD. 2013. Solid ph<br>
sample preparation methods for rapid screening of polycy<br>
is in avian blood and egg tissue by UPLC-UV. An Figure 1. Effect of high -energy water accommodated fraction (HEWAF) exposure on reproductive endpoints in F <sup>0</sup> sheepshead minnow. ( **A**) Average egg production per female per day during the pre -exposure (10 d) and exposure (14 d) periods. Different letters indicate significant differences within the pre-exposure and exposure periods, using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the Holm-Sidak test ( $p < 0.05$ ). (B) Cumulative egg production per female during exposure to HEWAF. Different letters indicate significant differences at the end of the exposure period, using one-way ANOVA with the Holm-Sidak test  $(p < 0.05)$ . (C) Fertilization success of eggs collected from tanks on days 7 and 10 of exposure. Fertilization rate of embryos from high HEWAF exposure was significantly lower than that from low HEWAF exposure. Different letters indicate significant differences within the collection day, using 2-way ANOVA with the Holm-Sidak method ( $p < 0.05$ ). (A–C) All data are expressed as mean  $\pm$ 

standard error,  $n = 6$  tanks per treatment. Low = low HEWAF (1.7 ng/mL total PAHs); High = high HEWAF (17 ng/mL total PAHs). Figure 2. Effect of high -energy water accommodated fraction (HEWAF) exposure on developmental endpoints of  $F_1$  sheepshead minnow. All data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error and were analyzed by 2-way analysis of variance with Holm-Sidak test  $(p < 0.05)$ . Different letters indicate significant differences within factors. Significant main effects and interactions are described in *Results*. ( **A**) Embryo heart rates measured 2 d postcollection. ( **B**) Hatch success of embryos. ( **C**) Average time to hatch of embryos. ( **D**) Embryo length at hatch. **(E)** Length of larvae 10 d posthatch (dph). Low = low HEWAF  $(1.7 \text{ ng/mL}$  total PAHs); High = high HEWAF (17 ng/mL total PAHs).

fect of high-energy water accommodated fraction (HEWA<br>
ina) capture ability of F<sub>1</sub> sheepshead minnow, measured a<br>
in (**B**). All data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error and<br>
variance with the Holm-Sidak test ( $p < 0$ Figure 3. Effect of high -energy water accommodated fraction (HEWAF) exposure on prey (*Artemia salina*) capture ability of F <sup>1</sup> sheepshead minnow, measured at 10 d posthatch for 2 min (A) and 5 min (B). All data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error and were analyzed by 2-way analysis of variance with the Holm-Sidak test  $(p < 0.05)$ . Different letters indicate significant differences within factors. Significant main effects and interactions are described in *Results*. Low  $=$  low HEWAF (1.7 ng/mL total PAHs); High  $=$  high HEWAF (17 ng/mL total PAHs). Figure 4. Effect of F<sub>0</sub> high-energy water accommodated fraction (HEWAF) exposure on cumulative egg production of F <sup>1</sup> sheepshead minnow. Cumulative egg production per female during the 14 -d reproductive test. Data are expressed as mean ± standard error. Letters indicate no significant differences using one-way analysis of variance  $(p = 0.521)$ . Sample sizes are  $n = 7$ tanks for control and low HEWAF and  $n = 6$  tanks for high HEWAF. Low = low HEWAF (1.7 ng/mL total PAHs); High = high HEWAF (17 ng/mL total PAHs).

Figure 5. Effect of F<sub>0</sub> high-energy water accommodated fraction (HEWAF) exposure on prey (*Artemia salina*) capture ability of F <sup>2</sup> sheepshead minnow, measured at 10 d posthatch for 2 and 5 min. Different letters indicate statistical significance using one-way analysis of variance on ranks with Dunn's test ( $p < 0.05$ ) within each time point. Low = low HEWAF (1.7 ng/mL total PAHs); High = high HEWAF (17 ng/mL total PAHs).

**<<ENOTE>>AQ1:** Parentheses OK as added (organ weight/body weight) ?

**<<ENOTE>>AQ2:** Please add the name of the cross-ref to specific subheading.

**<<ENOTE>>AQ3:** Please add the name of the cross-ref to specific subheading.

**<<ENOTE>>AQ4:** AVMA 2013: For all online sources please add date accessed: "[cited year month date]."

**<<ENOTE>>AQ5:** Please add date cited.

**<<ENOTE>>AQ6:** Irwin et al. 1997: Unclear what this source is. Please complete the entry. Is this an article/entry in a book titled *Environmental Contaminants Encyclopedia*? If so, please give entry title, book editors' names, name and location of publisher, and page no. or range (18?).

**Author Manuscript** 









Author Manuscript



