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#### Abstract

Total harvest, bycatch, catch utilization, and discards are currently the subjects of considerable attention and debate worldwide. This report documents reported catch, bycatch, utilization, and discard data and attempts to identify patterns and trends in the commercial groundfish fisheries of the Gulf of Alaska (GOA), eastern Bering Sea, and Aleutian Islands (BSAI) (areas which currently make up the United States' Exclusive Economic Zone off Alaska). The report identifies existing data sources and examines the historical catch record, as well as current domestic groundfish fisheries in these areas.

Many factors have contributed to the increased interest in this issue. Among these are: 1) improvements in understanding of basic ecological relationships and fish stock dynamics; 2) changes in fishing effort, capacity, and technology; 3) the increasing economic and market importance of these fisheries; and 4) changes in management capability and authority (e.g., extension by the United States of exclusive management authority under the Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976).

There are many reasons why groundfish fisheries discard groundfish. Among these are: 1) the directed fishery for a given species, say species A, may be closed (due to quota or other restrictions) forcing all other fisheries which catch species A as bycatch to discard it; 2) individual fish in the catch are too small or large for mechanical processors, or are the wrong sex (e.g., males in the rock sole roe fishery); 3) to change the species composition of their total catch for the reporting week, preventing the vessel from being considered a "participant" in a particular fishery for that week, and as such, subject to different, possibly more stringent, prohibited species bycatch rate standards set by the North Pacific Fishery Management Council; 4) a lack of handling or processing capacity aboard the vessel; or 5) market limitations on the utilization or retention of certain species. Particularly for various roundfish fisheries (e.g. walleye pollock, Pacific cod, Atka mackerel and rockfish), the size composition of the target species population can greatly affect the rate of discard by the fishery. If a prerecruited year class is very strong, large catches of fish too small for market may be unavoidable, increasing the rate of discard. Discards are subtracted from catch tonnage prior to calculation of product recovery rates, but discarded fish are included as part of the total harvest.


An analysis, based upon Weekly Product Reports for 1994, suggest that for all GOA and BSAI groundfish fisheries combined, approximately $15 \%$ of the total catch was discarded in-theround. Significantly, the weight of offal returned to the sea was nearly four times as great as the weight of discards. About 70\%) by weight, of "target" catch is returned to the sea as offal; offal discharges make up almost $60 \%$ of "total" catch. Thus, when considering energy transfer in the ecosystem, offal production vastly overshadows discard amounts.

Groundfish discards may have unanticipated and/or undesirable economic implications. Bycatch discards may, for example, impose direct economic costs on competing groundfish fisheries in the form of foregone catches. Through a series of simplifying assumptions, it was possible to estimate the "opportunity cost" (as measured at the first wholesale level) to target fisheries of the foregone catch, attributable to groundfish bycatch discards in individual BSAI and GOA fisheries.

In 1994, all BSAI groundfish fisheries discarded an aggregate total of 162,161 metric tons $(\mathrm{t})$ of allocated groundfish species for which the total allowable catch was binding. The opportunity cost of these discards exceeded $\$ 91,848,000$. The total retained catch of all groundfish species in these fisheries was just over $1,699,500 \mathrm{t}$ and had a value which exceeded $\$ 925,229,800$. Thus, the ratio of the value of retained catch to discards (Retained/Discard Value Ratio), weighted by fishery, across all BSAI groundfish fisheries, was 10.1. That is,
for each dollar of bycatch "opportunity cost" imposed, $\$ 10.10$ of output was produced from retained catch. Individual rates varied from a high of $\$ 29.2$ in the pollock target fishery, to a low of $\$ 2.4$ in the "other" groundfish target fishery. In the GOA groundfish fisheries, equivalent discards totaled $15,685 \mathrm{t}$. The opportunity cost of these discards exceeded $\$ 14,661,597$. Total retained catch of all groundfish species in these fisheries was just over $196,588 \mathrm{t}$ and had a value which exceeded $\$ 235,825,000$. Thus, the Retained/Discard Value Ratio, weighted by fishery across all GOA groundfish fisheries, was 16.1. That is, for each dollar of bycatch "opportunity cost" imposed, $\$ 16.10$ of output was produced from retained catch. Individual rates varied from a high of 45.4 in the sablefish target fishery, to a low of 3.4 in the arrowtooth flounder target fishery.

Groundfish discards may also impact markets by affecting product form, supply, and price which, in turn, influence international seafood trade and U.S. market share.
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## Introduction

Total harvest, catch utilization, bycatch, and discard levels have become increasingly important considerations in the monitoring and management of the commercial fisheries in the Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea. This report documents reported catch, bycatch, utilization, and discard data and attempts to identify patterns and trends in the commercial groundfish fisheries of the Gulf of Alaska (GOA), eastern Bering Sea, and Aleutian Islands area (combined in BSAI), areas which currently make up the U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) off Alaska.The report also identifies existing data sources and examines the historical catch record, as well as current domestic groundfish fisheries in these areas.

Concern about total catch; bycatch, and discards in the groundfish fisheries of the North Pacific and Bering Sea has increased over time. Many factors have contributed to the progressive increase in interest in this issue, including improvements in our understanding of basic ecological relationships and fish stock dynamics; changes in fishing effort, capacity, and technology; the increasing economic and market importance of these fisheries; and changes in management capability and authority, (e.g., extension by the United States of exclusive management authority under the Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976).

Because changes in fishery management and fishing practices have occurred in the last 20 years, empirical data on catch, utilization, and discards are not of uniform quality or detail and come from a variety of sources. For example, data sources for the U.S. groundfish fisheries off Alaska include the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) Observer Program, the State of Alaska Fish Ticket Database, and the Weekly Production Reports (submitted by the industry). In general, however, these data increase in detail and reliability with time. This report relies upon these various and variable data sources. The relative strengths and limitations of particular data sources are noted.

Finally, we attempt to identify patterns and trends in the important commercial groundfish fisheries in the EEZ off Alaska, and place them within a broader ecological, biological, and economic context. In this way, the possible implications of changes in total catch, bycatch, utilization, and discards may be more fully understood in terms of 1) their impacts on individual fish stocks, 2) the linkages to domestic and world markets and, 3) the general health of the North Pacific ecosystem.

Our report is intended for a general audience, including participants in these fisheries, resource managers, and U.S. citizens, who have an ownership stake in the wise and efficient utilization of these valuable living marine resourceSthe report reveals, in general terms,
how and by whom these resources have historically been exploited; how these patterns have evolved over time; and what ecological, biological, and economic trade-offs may be involved in their future use. The analysis presented and conclusions drawn should be regarded as preliminary and subject to modification as improved data become available.

There is an array of data available on the North Pacific groundfish fisheries. These sources include processor catch reports, processor production reports, State of Alaska fish tickets, and NMFS observer data. While there are several data sources available on historic catch, none stands alone as the definitive source of groundfish harvest amounts. For example, State of Alaska fish tickets provide a detailed synopsis of groundfish landings from Alaska waters, but do not cover all of these vessels which process their fish at sea. In turn, observer data is very useful, but observer coverage is not $100 \%$ for all vessels, nor are all fish observed on any given vessel. Assumptions must be made about unobserved catch. Each year since records have been kept on the groundfish fisheries, NMFS has used available data sources to estimate the annual groundfish catch. This paper relies heavily on those annual estimates. All catch estimates presented represent the best estimates based on the data available from various sources during any given year. In general terms, data on bycatch, utilization, and discard have also improved over time, yet continue to have some limitations,

Historically, Alaska groundfish were taken primarily by distant-water fishing fleets from several nations with only limited United States participation in the Pacific cod (Gadus mucrocephalus) fishery. Initial estimates of catch for the foreign vessels were from the vessel's own reports of catch amounts. While data exist for the years prior to 1977, they are unverified and should be used with appropriate caution. In 1977, an onboard United States observer program was implemented to collect independent data on catch quantities and composition. This program developed and expanded such that by the early 1980s, large portions of the fishing fleets were covered by observers. This increased coverage provided two sources of catch data: observer catch estimates combined with the results of catch composition sampling and vessel catch reports. Both reports were incorporated into models to best estimate catches (Nelson et al. 1981).

The nature of the participants in the fisheries changed in the early 1980s as joint venture (JV) operations between United States and foreign nations developed. In JV fisheries, domestic catcher vessels harvested fish and delivered them to foreign vessels for processing. These JV operations eventually displaced the directed foreign vessels as the fishery moved toward "Americanization." The data sources used to estimate total catch, however, remained essentially the same. Vessel catch reports were used in concert with onboard observer reports to estimate catch by species.

By the mid-1980s a domestic processing fleet began to develop. Initially, this domestic-fleet was not required by regulation to carry observers and the only catch estimates available were from unverified vessel reports and a limited voluntary observer program. In 1990, a mandatory domestic observer program was implemented to provide observer coverage over a broad segment of the fleet. The implementation of this domestic observer program coincided with regulations mandating production and discard reporting for all processors. In the transition to the domestic fleet, observer data recording procedures were modified to include estimations of the portions of the catches by species and species group which were discarded and retained.

Throughout the course of the foreign, JV, and domestic groundfish fisheries, the primary focus of data collection activities has been on ascertaining the total catch by species or species group. The emphasis on total catches is due to the need to quantify removals and manage fishery quotas--this focus in data collection continues today.

Less emphasis has been placed on the disposition of catch after harvest. The data available to distinguish between retained and discarded components of catch is limited and only provides a rough approximation of what happened to the components of the total catch.

Prior to 1990, no verified data were collected on discard amounts of catch although we can make certain assumptions about particular species groups. For example, we assume that species whose retention was prohibited were routinely discarded. These include Pacific salmon (O ncorhynchus spp.), Pacific halibut (Hippoglossus stenolepis), king (Paralithodes spp.) and Tanner (Lithodes spp.) crabs, and Pacific herring (Clupea pallasii). Similarly, catches of species lumped together in the "other" groundfish species category, which includes sharks and skates (Elasmobranchi), sculpins (Cottidae), grenadiers (Macrouridae), and smelts (Osmeridae) are assumed to have been largely discarded.

Since 1990, two sources of discard and retained catch data are available; weekly production reports and domestic observer data. The derivation of discard and retained amounts of catch from each source warrants explanation. Weekly production reports are submitted by industry and report the amounts and types of fish products produced for that reporting week, by management area and gear type. The fish products are converted by NMFS to a round weight equivalent by dividing them by an appropriate product recovery rate (PRR). This provides an estimate of retained catch. Included with the production information is the vessel's own estimate of the round weight of fish discarded. Summing the two components, discard and retained, provides an estimate of total catch by species from processor information.

Observers, in turn, first estimate the total catch by tow or set. They then sample a subset of these catches to estimate the species composition. NMFS applies the sampled composition to the catch estimates to provide an estimate of catch by species. Within the composition sampling, observers also report the proportions of fish, by species and species groups, that they judge were discarded by the vessel's personnel. These observer discard/retained proportions are also applied to the total catch estimates. It is important to note that the observer estimates of the retained/discard percentages are roughly gauged based on what they see happening, on the vessel. Discard percentages are difficult to estimate because discards occur in many ways and places on vessels. Deriving this discard percentage is secondary to the primary work of an observer, which is obtaining total catch and composition sampling data. Thus, observer-derived discard estimates are provided as a rough gauge and are not to be considered absolute. Vessel estimates of discard suffer from similar difficulties in estimation.

In the 1990 domestic data, total domestic groundfish catch and discard amounts are based only on the weekly production reports submitted by industry. The amounts of prohibited species are estimated by applying observed rates to the total catch estimate derived from the production reports. All of the prohibited species amounts were discarded.

Catch estimates from 1991-1994 utilize both observer data and vessel data in a "blend" model. Thus, the catch data represent a combination of the two data sources. However, changes have been made to the blend model over time. Catch reported in this paper for these 4 years is based on the 1994 blend model applied to each year's respective data. Thus, these catch estimates may differ from those used to manage the fishery, and those reported in a variety of other sources. Last, this 1994 model uses observer data from shoreside delivering vessels to estimate the proportion of catch which is discarded at sea prior to delivery. This proportion is added to reported landings to estimate total catch.

## Trends in Bycatch, Utilization, and Discards, 1972-94

## Foreign, Joint Venture, and Domestic Fisheries, 1972-90

Data Sources and Table Summaries -- The data presented are NMFS' best estimates of catch for each year that data exist for the foreign and joint venture fisheries. Foreign data for the years 1972-1976 are as reported by Murai et al. (1981) and Forrester et al. (1983). Foreign and JV catch statistics for the years 1977-1990 are as reported in Berger et al. (1986), Berger et al. (1987), Berger et al. (1988), Berger and Weikart (1988), Berger and Weikart (1989), Guttormsen et al. (1990), and Guttormsen et al. (1992). Prior to 1990, domestic catch is
based on the Pacific Fisheries Information Network (project of the Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission, Gladstone, OR) landings data derived from processor vessel estimates and State of Alaska fish tickets as reported in Kinoshita et al. (1995); domestic catches of Pacific cod from 1977-83 were obtained from Thompson (1994) and Thompson and Zenger (1994). Since 1977, observer data were available and incorporated in catch estimation. These procedures are described by Nelson et al. (1981), with updates by Berger et al. (1986). These estimates of total catch (both retained and discarded) are summarized in Tables 1 and 2; no data exist on the proportion discarded. Since the catch data from. 1972-1976 rely solely on numbers supplied by foreign nations, data on fish removals should not be considered as accurate as data collected from foreign, joint-venture, and domestic operations when U.S. observers were on a large percentage of the fishing vessels. Catches of prohibited species by foreign and JV groundfish fisheries are listed in Tables 3 and 4. All catches of herring and shrimp in the BSAI and GOA, and most of the halibut catches from the GOA in Tables 3 and 4 were from the directed, non-groundfish fisheries of the United States and Canada (combined in the category called All Others). These data were included because it was impossible to separate the directed catches of herring, shrimp, and halibut from the bycatch of these species in the small domestic directed groundfish fisheries (principally for Pacific cod).

Discussion of Trends -- During the 1970s, groundfish off Alaska were caught almost exclusively. by the distant-water fleets of Japan, Russia (formerly the Soviet Union), Republic of Korea (South Korea), Poland, and Republic of China. In the BSAI (Tables 1 and 3), Japan and Russia targeted on the same species of groundfish currently sought by domestic fisheries: walleye pollock (Theragra chalcogramma), Pacific cod (Gadus macrocephalus), sablefish (Anoplopoma fimbria), and various flatfish (Pleuronectiformes) and rockfish (Sebastes spp.) species. In these fisheries, Japan and Russia had "bycatches" of Pacific halibut and herring, the latter of which for the Russians were as high as 54,000 metric tons ( t ) in 1972. Catches of "other" species were also considerably higher by foreign fisheries in the 1970s than they currently are for domestic fisheries, due in part to inclusion of current groundfish targets such as Atka mackerel (Pleurogrammus monopterygius) in the "other" species category, but also presumably because of greater reliance on bottom trawls to catch semi-demersal species such as pollock. In the GOA (Tables 2 and 4), total fish removals were about 5-10 times less than in the BSAI. Japanese trawl vessels in the GOA chiefly targeted Pacific ocean perch (Sebastes alutus) through 1976, when they switched to pollock, cod, and flatfish; Japanese longliners targeted sablefish and Pacific cod in the GOA through the 1970s and 1980s. Russian vessels primarily targeted pollock and Atka mackerel using trawls. Interestingly, there were only small reported catches of Pacific halibut by Russian vessels, and no reported catches of herring by any foreign vessels fishing the GOA in the 1970s, which is clearly an inaccurate representation of their total catch.

Joint-ventures between foreign processing ships and U.S. domestic catcher vessels began operating in 1978 in the GOA, and in 1980 in the BSAI. Targeted groundfish species remained the same throughout the 1980s. Allocations of pollock, cod, Atka mackerel, flatfish and rockfish to domestic production elements (which included JV) in both the BSAI and GOA increased through the 1980s. Bycatch of prohibited species was increasingly controlled through time and area groundfish fishery closures, exclusion of certain gear types from critical areas, and ultimately, caps on the amounts of halibut, crab, salmon, and herring that could be incidentally caught by groundfish fisheries.

Fritz (in press) reviewed the bycatch rates of juvenile pollock by groundfish fisheries in the BSAI and GOA from 1964-1991. The current U.S. domestic fishery and the joint-venture fisheries of the 1980s in the BSAI generally retained pollock greater than 30 cm in length, but targeted larger fish, and discarded fish smaller-than 30 cm (Wespestad and Dawson 1991). Catch rates (catch in numbers of 2-3 year-olds divided by their population size) of 2-3 year-old BSAI pollock (approximately $20-35 \mathrm{~cm}$ in length) averaged only about $2 \%$ from 1980-90. Foreign fisheries in the mid- to late 1970s (1973-79), however, caught an average of $20 \%$ of the 2-3 year-old BSAI pollock each year. Targeting on small fish, particularly the strong 1972 year class, may have occurred during this period, but the amount of discards is unknown.

## Catch. Bycatch, and Discard By the Domestic Groundfish Fisheries 1990-94

Data Sources and Table Summaries -- The data presented are NMFS' best estimates of catch from 1990-1994 for domestic fisheries. Data since 1991 is based on a blend model incorporating observer data and weekly processor reports. The blend model has developed over time. For the 1991-1994 data, the 1994 blend model is applied to all years. A blend model was not constructed in 1990. Instead, weekly production reports serve as the basis for the groundfish catch data. For all years since 1990, the estimated catch of prohibited species is based on observed bycatch rates applied to the total catch estimate.

The blended catch statistics contain the estimated amounts (in metric tons) of each allocated groundfish species and species group that was retained or discarded by each target fishery, processing mode (at-sea or shoreside processor, or mothership), and gear type, and within each area (three-digit statistical area) and week. Data on total and discarded catch, and discard rates (discarded/total catch) of each groundfish species and species group by year (1990-94), region (BSAI and GOA), target species fishery, and gear type from these sources are summarized in Tables 5-34, and in Figures 1-2. In these and the tables summarizing prohibited and "other species" bycatches (Tables 35-52), the following guidelines were used for reporting and aggregating data into target species/gear groups:
the target fishery definitions developed by the NMFS Alaska Regional Office (AKR) are used; to ensure this, the "blend" (1991-94) or the weekly processor report data (1990) were run through a target fishery algorithm (which uses the same criteria established by AKR, except for rock sole (Pleuronectes bilineatus) - other flatfish fisheries) at the NMFS Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Seattle. This ensured a data set with consistent target fishery assignments;
all catches of rockfish and thornyheads (Sebastolobus spp.) were summed and reported as one category (Rockfish);

- AKR assigns pollock target fishery types (pelagic or bottom trawl) to catch data based on the percentage of pollock in the catch rather than by reported gear type. If the total catch is composed of at least $95 \%$ pollock then a pelagic trawl pollock target is (assigned; if pollock is the major species caught but comprises less than $95 \%$ of the retained catch, then bottom pollock fishery is assigned;
- squid (molluscan order Decapoda) and other species are combined in the "other" category;
recently created flatfish target fisheries in the GOA (rex sole (Errex zachirus) and flathead sole (Hippglossoides elassodon)) were included with Deepwater Flatfish. Atka mackerel in the GOA was included with "other" species until 1993.

Catches of prohibited species by each target fishery in the BSAI and GOA by gear in 1990-94 are summarized in Tables 35-44. Halibut and herring are listed in these tables by weight ( t ) of catch, while salmon and crab are listed in numbers of animals caught. For halibut, the weight listed is that caught, not the estimated mortality.

The "other" species category listed in Tables 5-34 consists of squids; octopi (molluscan order $\mathbf{O}$ ctopoda), smelts, sharks, skates, and sculpins, among others. These species have a collective allocation or catch quota in both the BSAI and the GOA. Currently there is no significant directed fishing on these species in the BSAI and GOA. Records of catches of "other" species exist in observer sample data as well as in weekly processor reports and fish tickets. To investigate the species composition of the "other" species category and how this is affected by gear and target fishery, catch rates of each of the species groups listed above (and more, including grenadiers, eelpouts (Zoarcidae), snipe eels (Macroramphosidae), greenlings (Hexagrammidae), lumpsuckers (Cyclopteridae), hagfish (Myxinidae), ratfish (Chimaeriformes), and poachers (Agonidae)) by each target fishery and gear were obtained from the observer database. These rates were then applied to the target species/gear catches in the "blend" file to obtain estimates of the catch weights of each "other" species group in the BSAI and GOA in 1990-93 (Tables 45-52). In theory, the total obtained using this method should be similar to the total listed in the "other" species category in Tables 5-34 for the same time/area/fishery/gear cell.

Groundfish and other allocated species: catch trends -- Total discard rates (sum of total discards/sum of total catch) by the domestic groundfish fisheries in the BSAI ranged between $12 \%$ and $16 \%$ in 1990-94. In the GOA, discard rates for the same period were slightly higher, ranging between $17 \%$ and $21 \%$ (this may, in part, be due to the classification as discards of some pollock from shoreside plants that was converted to fish meal). However, the total tonnage discarded has been much greater in the BSAI (ranging between 197,660 $t$ and $314,585 \mathrm{t}$ in 1990-94) than in the GOA (ranging between 41,360 t and $60,760 \mathrm{t}$ ) due to the much larger size of the fishery. In the BSAI, the majority of the discards (by weight) has been pollock (between $37 \%$ and $60 \%$ of the total discards), with rock sole discards generally the second largest by weight ( $6-14 \%$ ). Pollock and rock sole discards combined have accounted for not less than $50 \%$ of the total groundfish and "other" species discards each year from 1990 to 1994 in the BSAI. In the GOA, discards of arrowtooth flounder (Atheresthes stomias) comprised more than one-third of the total discards each year from 1990 to 1994 (34-50\%), with pollock discards generally second, ranging from $16 \%$ to $30 \%$.

Pollock fisheries in the BSAI and GOA have had the lowest total discard rates (discards of all allocated groundfish and "other" species divided by total catches) of any North Pacific groundfish fishery from 1990 to 1994, ranging from only $3 \%$ to $9 \%$ in the BSAI and $4 \%$ to $10 \%$ in the GOA. In the BSAI, the rock sole fishery has had the highest rate of total discard, ranging from 60-70\% in 1990-94, while in the GOA, it has been the deepwater flatfish fishery that has had the highest total discard rates, ranging from $52 \%$ to $72 \%$ of their total annual catch.

Despite their low rates of total discard, BSAI pollock fisheries have discarded the most groundfish and "other" species of any BSAI groundfish fishery, averaging over 93,000 t per year in 1990-94. Trailing the BSAI pollock fishery in total discards were the BSAI yellowfm sole and Pacific cod fisheries, which have each averaged about 60,000 t per year. In the GOA, total discards by the deepwater flatfish (average of 13,000 t per year) and Pacific cod (average of $12,200 \mathrm{t}$ per year) fisheries have accounted for about half of the total annual discards by all GOA groundfish fisheries in 1990-94.

Target species discard rates have generally been higher for flatfish than roundfish fisheries in both the BSAI and GOA. In the BSAI, target species discard rates in 1990-94 by each of the two largest flatfish fisheries, yellowfin and rock sole, ranged from $21 \%$ to $28 \%$ ) and $34 \%$ to $58 \%$ ) respectively. In fact, the BSAI directed rock sole fishery had the highest rates of target species discard of any fishery in the BSAI or GOA. By contrast, target species discard rates in the same period-by each of the two largest directed BSAI roundfish fisheries, pollock and Pacific cod, ranged from $2 \%$ to $6 \%$, and $2 \%$ to $9 \%$ ) respectively. In the GOA, 1990-94 target species discard rates by deepwater and shallow flatfish fisheries ranged from $9 \%$ to $18 \%$, and $6 \%$ to $26 \%$ ) respectively, while the two largest GOA roundfish fisheries, pollock and Pacific
cod, discarded between $2 \%$ and $8 \%$, and $2 \%$ and $4 \%$ ) respectively of their target species catches. In the GOA, the rockfish fishery has had the highest rate of target species discard relative to its total catch, discarding between $11 \%$ and $30 \%$ in 1990-94. For this report, however, the rockfish fishery includes all Sebastes and Sebastolobus spp. targets, and as such, the reported discard rates for individual species or group targets may be misleading. The lowest rates of target species discard have been achieved by the sablefish fisheries in the BSAI and GOA, which have discarded less than $2 \%$ (by weight) of all their sablefish caught in both areas each year from 1990-94.

Prohibited Species: Catch Trends -- Total catch and discard amounts and rates listed in Tables 5-34 do not include the mandatory discards of Pacific halibut, Pacific herring, salmon, and all king and Tanner crabs by groundfish fisheries (Tables 3544). Groundfish fisheries are prohibited from retaining these species' to eliminate any incentive to target on them. In 1994, inclusion of the discards of prohibited species with the discards of groundfish and other species by all BSAI groundfish fisheries increases the estimates of total discards and total catch by $18,812 \mathrm{t}$ (to 313,551 and $2,013,081 \mathrm{t}$, respectively ${ }^{2}$ ), and the total discard rate by $1 \%$ (to $16 \%$ ). Similarly, in the GOA, the estimates of total discards and total catch increase by 10,889 t (to 54,315 and $250,904 \mathrm{t}$, respectively) and the total discard rate by $4 \%$ (to $22 \%)^{3}$.

In groundfish fisheries, trawls capture not only the majority of the groundfish catch, but also most of the bycatch of herring (primarily pelagic trawl pollock), salmon (trawl fisheries for pollock and cod) and crabs (bottom trawl fisheries for flatfish, cod and pollock). Halibut are caught as bycatch principally in the trawl fisheries for pollock, cod and some flatfish, but in the hook and line fisheries for cod as well.

Other Species: Catch Trends -- In both the BSAI and GOA, almost, all of the "other" species caught are discarded (Tables 25-34). As listed in Tables 45-52, the "other" species category consists primarily of skates and sculpins in the BSAI (with lesser amounts of grenadiers,

[^0]squid, octopus, and smelts), while in the GOA, grenadiers have been the principal "other" species caught followed by skates and sculpins. The total "other" species catches listed in Tables 45-52 do not match those in Tables 5-34 because they were not computed in the same manner. The data in Tables 5-34 represent the blend estimates of total "other" species catches, which are not broken out by species or species groups. The observer data in Tables 45-52 were obtained by looking specifically at catch rates of individual species or species groups, and multiplying the observed rate per target species catch by the target species catch.

In the BSAI, annual blend estimates and expanded observer estimates of other species catches were similar, both varying between about 16,000-33,000 t for 1990-93. Squid was caught primarily by the pelagic pollock trawl fishery, octopuses by the bottom pollock and cod fisheries, smelts by the yellowfin sole trawl fishery, grenadiers by the hook and line fisheries for sablefish and Greenland turbot (Reinhardtius hippoglossoides), and skates and sculpins by almost every fishery, particularly those using trawls, in the BSAI This fairly close agreement between the two data sets may reflect the relatively high rate of observer coverage on the BSAI fishing fleet.

In the GOA, blend estimates were always less than the expanded observer estimates of "other" species catches: in 1992 and 1993 the blend estimate was considerably less than one-half the expanded observer estimate. Based on the data in Tables 49-52 and 10-14, almost all of the differences between the two totals is due to sablefish hook and line fishery bycatches of grenadiers. In 1990-93, the blend estimates of total "other" species bycatches by the GOA sablefish fishery (almost all hook and line) totaled $688 \mathrm{t}, 709 \mathrm{t}, 815 \mathrm{t}$, and $1,109 \mathrm{t}$, respectively. By contrast, the expanded observer estimates of grenadier bycatch alone by the GOA sablefish fishery for these years were $8,386 \mathrm{t}, 4,724 \mathrm{t}, 11,843 \mathrm{t}$, and $15,522 \mathrm{t}$, respectively. Apparently, there may be under-reporting of the catch of other species in the GOA by unobserved vessels. The GOA sablefish fishery has had one of the lowest rates of observer coverage (about $10 \%$ or less of the target species catch has been observed) in the North Pacific because of the large number of vessels that are either exempt from observer coverage ( $<65 \mathrm{ft}$ in length) or are only required to have an observer on $30 \%$ of their fishing days ( $65-125 \mathrm{ft}$ in length). Thus, the expansion factors to correct "other" species catches for that caught by the unobserved portion of the fleet were large ( 10 or larger) for the GOA sablefish fishery, which could have introduced an upward bias in the "other" species catch estimates. However, using these expanded estimates, grenadier bycatch by the sablefish hook and line fishery has accounted for $50 \%$ or more of the estimated "other" species bycatch in the GOA in 1990-93. For the remaining "other" species; squid has been caught principally by the rockfish and pollock trawl fisheries; octopus by the cod pot fishery; smelts by trawl fisheries for rockfish and pollock; and skates, sharks, and sculpins by a wide variety of primarily-trawl fisheries.

Table 1. Total catches (t) of groundfish and other species by foreign and joint-venture fisheries from 1972-90. and domestic vessels from 1984-89 from the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands region. 1972-76 data by nation from Murai et al. (1981) and Forrester et al. (1983): "All Others" includes primarily landings of shrimp in the directed fisheries of the United States and Canada
1977-90 foreign and JV data is NMFS blend from various publications (see text). 1984-89 domestic data are from Kinoshita et al. (in press). Other species includes
octopus, squid, and other fish not listed in Table 3.

|  | Year | Country | Pollock | Cod | Sablefish | mackerel | Flacfish | Rockfish | Shrimp | Species | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1972 | Japan | 1,652,518 | 39,934 | 15,770 | a | 151,626 | 15,802 | 5,805 | 31,659 | 1,913,114 |
|  |  | Russia | 214,761 | 7,028 | 2,406 | a | 61,006 | 24,745 | . | 109.487 | 419.433 |
|  |  | S. Korea | 9.180 | - | - | a | - | - | - | - | 9,180 |
| , |  | Total | 1,876,459 | 46,962 | 18,176 | a | 212,632 | 40,547 | 5,805 | 141,146 | 2,341,727 |
|  | 1973 | Japan | 1,477,001 | 45,588 | 8,774 | 27 | 161,794 | 13,942 | 152 | 44,903 | 1,752,181 |
|  |  | Russia | 289,633 | 12,980 | 1,254 | - | 21,178 | 3.491 | - | 32,113 | 360,649 |
|  |  | S. Korea | 3,080 | : | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3,080 |
|  |  | Total | 1,769,714 | 58,568 | 10.028 | 27 | 182,972 | 17.433 | 152 | 76,065 | 2.114.959 |
|  | 1974 | Јарап | 1,256,201 | 50,422 | 7,194 | 91 | 118,145 | 30,580 | 102 | 65,340 | 1,528,075 |
|  |  | Russia | 330,959 | 16,592 | 91 | 1,377 | 38,709 | 32,701 | - | 14,496 | 434,925 |
|  |  | S. Korea | 28,600 | . | - | - | - |  | - | 5,963 | 34,563 |
|  |  | Total | 1,615,760 | 67,014 | 7,285 | 1,468 | 156,854 | 63,281 | 102 | 85,799 | 1,997,563 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square}$ | 1975 | Japan | 1;067,711 | 38,837 | 5.357 | 3 | 115,292 | 14,516 | 3,556 | 65,481 | 1,310,753 |
|  |  | Russia | 228,829 | 18,486 | 117 | 13,326 | 40,415 | 24,612 | - | 12,492 | 338,277 |
|  |  | S. Korea | 3,438 | 49 | 9 | - | . | 27 | - | 1,244 | 4,767 |
|  |  | All Others | - | - | - | , ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | 405 | 300 | 705 |
|  |  | Total | 1,299,978 | 57,372 | 5,483 | 13,329 | 155,707 | 39,155 | 3,961 | 79,517 | 1,654,502 |
|  | 1976 | Japan | 991,039 | 39,453 | 4,537 | 92 | 105,534 | 15,683 | 2,213 | 65,670 | 1,224,221 |
|  |  | Russia | 179,212 | 18,068 | 90 | 20,737 | 30,480 | 19,075 | - | 11,975 | 279,637 |
|  |  | S. Korea | 85,478 | 736 | 186 | - | 1,334 | 616 | - | 1,605 | 89,955 |
|  |  | Total | 1,255,729 | 58,257 | 4,813 | 20,829 | 137,348 | 35,374 | 2,213 | 79,250 | 1,593,813 |
|  | 1977 | Foreign | 978,400 | 35,900 | 4,600 | - | 136,700 | 10,800 | - | 103,500 | 1,269,900 |
|  |  | Domestic | - | 15 | - - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 |
|  |  | Total | 978.400 | 35,915 | 4,600 | - | 136,700 | 10,800 | - | 103,500 | 1,269,915 |
|  | 1978 | Foreign | 979,400 | 47,400 | 2,000 | 24,200 | 235,800 | 7,500 | - | 83,400 | 1,379,700 |
|  |  | Domestic | - | 35 | - | - | - - | - | - | - | 35 |
|  |  | Total | 979,400 | 47.435 | 2,000 | 24,200 | 235.800 | 7,500 | - | 83.400 | 1,379,735 |

Table 1. (continued).


Table 1. (continued)


Table 2. Total catches ( t ) of groundfish and other species by foreign, joint-venture and domestic vessels from the Gulf of Alaska, 1972-1989. 1972-76 data by nation From Murai et al. (1981): "All Others" includes primarily landings of shrimp in the directed fisheries of the United States and Canada. 1977-89 Foreign and JV data are NMFS blend from various publications (see text) 1984-89 domestic data are From Kinoshita et al. (in press). Other species includes octopus, squid, and other fish not included in Table 4.

|  | Year | Country | Pollock | Pacific Cod | Sablefish | Atka mackerel | Elatfish | Rockfish | Shrimp | Other Species | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1972 | Japan | 13,696 | 816 | 35.626 | a | 7,522 | 52,605 | - | 2,494 | 112,759 |
|  |  | Russia | 20,385 | 2,696 | 535 | a | 1,363 | 24,011 | - | 13,290 | 62,280 |
|  |  | S. Korea | 717 | - | 380 | a | . | 2,945 | - | - | 4,042 |
|  |  | All Others | - | 1 | 741 | a | 69 | 2 | 38,036 | , - | 38,849 |
|  |  | Total | 34,798 | 3,513 | 37,282 | a | 8,954 | 79,563 | 38,036 | 15,784 | 217,930 |
|  | 1973 | Japan | 6,706 | 2,605 | 27,351 | a | 18,502 | 54,692 | - | 4,155 | 114,011 |
|  |  | Russia | 34,138 | 3,300 | 109 | 10,998 | 1,061 | 5,646 | - | 4,096 | 59,348 |
|  |  | S. Kotea | 858 | - | 42 | - | - | 3,432 | - | - | 4,332 |
|  |  | All Others | - | 58 | 882 | - | 450 | 118 | 52,933 | 70 | 54,511 |
|  |  | Total | 41,702 | 5,963 | 28,384 | 10,998 | 20,013 | 63,888 | 52,933 | 8,321 | 232,202 |
|  | 1974 | Japan | 30,433 | 2,972 | 24,283 | a | 7,107 | 41,010 | - | 6,501 | 112,306 |
|  |  | Russia | 31,000 | 2,136 | 38 | 17,531 | 2,334 | 17,194 | - | 7,649 | 77,882 |
| $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\star}$ |  | S. Korea | - | - | 3,000 | - | - | - | - | 2,237 | 5,237 |
|  |  | All Others | - | 74 | 787 | - | 325 | 30 | 49,319 | 403 | 50,938 |
|  |  | Total | 61,433 | 5,182 | 28,108 | 17,531 | 9,766 | 58,234 | 49,319 | 16,790 | 246,363 |
|  | 1975 | Japan | 13,032 | 3,280 | 22,157 | a | 2,697 | 43,746 | - | 6,230 | 91,142 |
|  |  | Russia | 39.949 | 2,551 | 33 | 27,776 | 2,766 | 15,071 | - | 7,269 | 95,415 |
|  |  | Poland | 631 | 784 | - | 619 | 67 | - | - | - | 2,101 |
|  |  | S. Korea | 5,900 | - | 2,188 | a | - | 2,950 | - | 2,950 | 13,988 |
|  |  | Taiwan | - | - | 100 | - | - | - | - | 50 | 150 |
|  |  | All Others | - | 130 | 1,104 | - | 2 | 98 | 44,893 | 50 | 46,277 |
|  |  | Total | 59,512 | 6,745 | 25,582 | 28,395 | 5,532 | 61,865 | 44,893 | 16,549 | 249,073 |
|  | 1976 | Japan | 11,796 | 3,308 | 21,998 | a | 2,283 | 45,005 | - | 5,548 | 89,938 |
|  |  | Russia | 37,825 | 2,995 | 41 | 19,933 | 2,601 | 9.697 | - | 6,755 | 79,847 |
|  |  | S. Korea | 29,720 | 244 | 3,700 | - | 1,052 | 1,615 | - | 1,083 | 37,414 |
|  |  | All Others | 60 | 217 | 806 | - | 153 | 189 | 58,542 | 158 | 60,125 |
|  |  | Total | 79,401 | 6,764 | 26,545 | 19,933 | 6,089 | 56,506 | 58,542 | 13,544 | 267,324 |
|  | 1977 | Foreign | 117,834 | 1,988 | 15,957 | 19,455 | 16.038 | 23,578 | - | 4,642 | 199,492 |
|  |  | Domestic | - | 280 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 280 |
|  |  | Total | 117,834 | 2,268 | 15,957 | 19,455 | 16,038 | 23,578 | - | 4,642 | 199,772 |



Table 2. (continued)

|  | Year | Country | Pollock | Pacific Cod | Sablefish | Atka mackere! | Elatfish | Rockfish | Shrimp | Other Species | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 | Foreign | 114 | 15,211 | 1 | 1 | 71 | 4 | - | 146 | 15,548 |
|  |  | - JV | 62,591 | 1,357 | 45 | 4 | 961 | 67 | - | 262 | 65,287 |
|  |  | Domestic | 21,300 | 8,000 | 21,700 | - | 1,500 | 7,900 | - | - | 60,400 |
|  |  | Total | 84,005 | 24,568 | 21,746 | 5 | 2,532 | 7,971 | - | 408 | 141,235 |
|  | 1987 | Foreign | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - |
|  |  | JV | 22,823 | 1,978 | 180 | 1 | 7,208 | 154 | - | 182 | 32,526 |
|  |  | Domestic | 39,900 | 29,500 | 26,300 | - | 2,700 | 12,700 | - | - | 111,100 |
|  |  | Total | 62,723 | 31,478 | 26,480 | 1 | 9,908 | 12,854 | - | 182 | 143,626 |
|  | 1988 | Foreign | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | JV | 152 | 1,661 | 37 | . 1 | 1,781 | 11 | - | 129 | 3.772 |
|  |  | Domestic | 55,600 | 30,900 | 31,000 | 100 | 7,000 | 18,400 | - | - | 143,000 |
| $\leftharpoondown$ |  | Total | 55,752 | 32,561 | 31,037 | 101 | 8,781 | 18,411 | - | 129 | 146,772 |
|  | 1989 | Foreign | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\therefore$ |  | JV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Domestic | 66,600 | 41,800 | 29,800 | 200 | 5,200 | 23,400 | - | - | 167,000 |
|  |  | Total | 66,600 | 41,800 | 29,800 | 200 | 5,200 | 23,400 | - | - | 167,000 |

a. Atka mackerel included with Other Species

Table 3. Catches ( t ) of halibut, herring, salmon, and crabs by foreign and joint-venture fisheries from 1972-90 from the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands. 1972-76 data by nation from Murai et al. (1981); "All Others" includes primarily landings of halibut and herring in the directed fisheries of the United States and Canada. 1977-90 foreign and JV data is NMFS blend from various publications (see text).

| Year | Country | Halibut | Herring | Salmon | King Crab | Tanner Crab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | Japan | 4,199 | 6,458 | - | - | - |
|  | Russia | 491 | 54,000 | - | - | - |
|  | S. Korea | - | ' - | - | - | - |
|  | All Others | 347 | 88 | - | , - | - |
|  | Total | 5,037 | 60,546 | - | - | - |
| 1973 | Japan | 3,761 | 2,395 | - | - | - |
|  | Russia | 300 | 34,361 | - | - | - |
|  | S. Korea | - | 285 | - | - | - |
|  | All Others | 151 | 78 | - | - | - |
|  | Total | 4,212 | 37,119 | - | - | - |
| 1974 | Japan | 1,849 | 7,185 | - | - | - |
|  | Russia | 127 | 19,800 | - | - | - |
|  | S. Korea | - | 200 | - | - | - |
|  | All Others | 246 | 114 | - | - | - |
|  | Total | 2,222 | 27,299 | - | - | - |
| 1975 | Japan | 1,210 | 1,839 | - | - | - - |
|  | - Russia | 140 | 14,206 | - | - | - |
|  | S. Korea | - | . | - | - | - |
|  | All Others | 241 | 51 | - | - | - |
|  | Total | 1,591 | 16,096 | - | - | - |
| 1976 | Japan | 670 | 15,928 | - | - | - |
|  | Russia | 60 | 16,812 | - | - | - |
|  | S. Korea | - | . - | - | - | - |
|  | All Others | 288 | 8 | - | - | - |
|  | Total | 1,018 | 32,748 | - | - | - |
| 1977 | Foreign | - | 19,300 | - | - | - |
| 1978 | Foreign | - | 8,400 | - | - | - |
| 1979 | Foreign | - | 7,500 | - | - | - |
| 1980 | Foreign | 4,311 | 783 | 381 | 781 | 2,058 |
|  | JV | 286 | - | 7 | 241 | 56 |
|  | Total | 4,597 | 783 | 388 | 1,022 | 2,114 |

Table 3. (continued).

| Year | Country | Halibut | Herring | Salmon | King Crab | Tanner Crab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | Foreign | 2,704 | 287 | 137 | 666 | 1,196 |
|  | JV | 232 | - | 3 | 642 | 276 |
|  | Total | 2,936 | 287 | 140 | 1,308 | 1,472 |
| 1982 | Foreign | 1,609 | 1,949 | 85 | 343 | 425 |
|  | JV | 563 | 37 | 8 | 90 | 24 |
|  | Total | 2,172 | 1,986 | 93 | 433 | 449 |
| 1983 | Foreign | 1,872 | 1,398 | 66 | 353 | 501 |
|  | JV | 438 | 1,115 | 54 | 337 | 171 |
|  | Total | 2,310 | 2,513 | 120 | 690 | 672 |
| 1984 | Foreign | 2,128 | 1,257 | 51 | 309 | 527 |
|  | JV | 617 | - | 160 | 283 | 119 |
|  | Total | 2,745 | 1,257 | 211 | 592 | 646 |
| 1985 | Foreign | 1,789 | 1,480 | 33 | 191 | 263 |
|  | JV | 1,026 | 3,059 | 30 | 678 | 134 |
|  | Total | 2,815 | 4,539 | 63 | 869 | 397 |
| 1986 | Foreign | 1,192 | 254 | 5 | 19 | 280 |
|  | JV | 1,711 | 3,764 | 66 | 332 | 370 |
|  | Total | 2,903 | 4,018 | 71 | 351 | 650 |
| 1987 | Foreign | 1,077 | 19 | 13 | 9 | 101 |
|  | JV | 1,485 | 468 | 41 | 169 | 537 |
|  | Total | 2,562 | 487 | 54 | 178 | 638 |
| 1988 | JV | 2,579 | 351 | 35 | 119 | 464 |
| 1989 | JV | 874 | 2,527 | 45 | 315 | 672 |
| 1990 | JV | 799 | 127 | 1 | 254 | 945 |

Table 4. Catches ( t ) of halibut, herring, salmon, and crabs by foreign and joint-venture fisheries from 1972-88 from the Gulf of Alaska. 1972-76 data by nation from Murai et al. (1981); "All Others" includes primarily landings of halibut and herring in the directed fisheries of the United States and Canada. 1977-88 foreign and JV data is NMFS blend from various publications (see text).

| Year | Country | Halibut | Herring | Salmon | King Crab | Tanner Crab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | Japan | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Russia | 302 | - | - | - | - |
|  | S. Korea | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | All Others | 14,316 | 8,217 | - | - | - |
|  | Total | 14,618 | 8,217 | - | - | - |
| 1973 | Japan | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Russia | 174 | - | - | - | - |
|  | S. Korea | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | All Others | 11,095 | 7,998 | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
|  | Total | 11,269 | 7,998 | - | - | - |
| 1974 | Japan | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Russia | 60 | - | - | - | - |
|  | S. Korea | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | All Others | 7,239 | 17,663 | - | - | - |
|  | Total | 7,299 | 17,663 | - | - | - |
| 1975 | Japan | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Russia | 50 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Poland | 31 | - | - | - | - |
|  | S. Korea | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Taiwan | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | All Others | 8,985 | 16,081 | - | - | - |
|  | Total | 9,066 | 16,081 | - | - | - |
| 1976 | - Japan | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Russia | 26 | - | - | - | - |
|  | S. Korea | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | All Others | 8,862 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | 8,888 | - | - | - | - ' |
| 1977 | Total | a | a | a | a | a |
| 1978 | Foreign | 1,289 | - | 131 | 135 | 14 |
|  | JV | b | b | b | b | b |
|  | Total | 1,289 | - | 131 | 135 | 14 |

Table 4. (continued).

| Year | Country | Halibut | Herring | Salmon | King Crab | Tanner Crab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | Foreign | 2,576 | ' - | 69 | 40 | 11 |
|  | JV | 22 | - | 2 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Total | 2,598 | - | 71 | 41 | 12 |
| 1980 | Foreign | 3,205 | - | 107 | 9 | 17 |
|  | JV | 49 | - | 1 | 13 | 14 |
|  | Total | 3,254 | - | 108 | 22 | 31 |
| 1981 | Foreign | 2,499 | - | 96 | 8 | 70 |
|  | JV | 5 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | 2,504 | - | 96 | 8 | 70 |
| 1982 | Foreign | 2,690 | - | 19 | 6 | 35 |
|  | JV | 4 | - | 3 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 2,694 | - | 22 | 6 | 36 |
| 1983 | Foreign | 3,235 | - | 32 | 3 | 22 |
|  | JV | 357 | - | 12 | 15 | 55 |
|  | Total | 3,592 | - | 44 | 18 | 77 |
| 1984 | Foreign | 1,506 | - | 36 | 5 | 6 |
|  | JV | 590 | - | 169 | 20 | 27 |
|  | Total | 2,096 | - | 205 | 25 | 33 |
| 1985 | Foreign | 241 | - | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  | JV | 300 | - | 39 | 8 | 17 |
|  | Total | 541 | - | 41 | 8 | 17 |
| 1986 | Foreign | 384 | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | JV | 89 | - | 54 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Total | 473 | - | 54 | 0 | 6 |
| 1987 | JV | 656 | - | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| 1988 | JV | 245 | - | 1 | 1 | 4 |

Foreign data not available for 1977.
No estimates were made of PSC bycatch in the 1978 joint-venture fishery.

Table 6. Total catch of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands, 1991.
Target species catches by fishery are listed in bold. The Other category is described in detail in Table 46.

| Allocated Species or Species Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Targel fishery | Gear | Atka mackerel | rrowtooth flounder | Yellowfin sole | Greenland turbot | Rock sole | Other Ilatish | Pacific cod | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockfish | Other | Grand Total |
| Atka mackerel | Trawl | 24,975 | 172 | - | 46 | 122 | 56 | 2,411 | 926 | 55 | 814 | 884 | 30,460 |
| Arrowtooth flounde' | Hook\&line | - | 5 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
|  | Trawl | 2 | 1,463 | 0 | 403 | 2 | 126 | 25 | 171 | 30 | 99 | 113 | 2,434 |
|  | total | 2 | 1,468 | 0 | 404 | 2 | 126 | 25 | 171 | 33 | 99 | 113 | 2,443 |
| Yeilowfin sole | Trawl | 1 | 175 | 104,596 | 0 | 9,665 | 13,410 | 3,995 | 8,063 | 1 | 29 | 3.803 | 143.736 |
| Rock sole | Trawl | 1 | 712 | 7.231 | 1 | 36,283 | 6.157 | 6.365 | 20.040 | 8 | 88 | 2,830 | 79.715 |
| Other flatfish | Trawl | 70 | 2,597 | 4,276 | 5,069 | 1,243 | 4,179 | 1.071 | 3,333 | 258 | 125 | 1,104 | 23,325 |
| Pacific cod | Hook\&line | 3 | 2,156 | 3 | 575 | 22 | 328 | 79,387 | 2,576 | 358 | 288 | 7,225 | 92,922 |
|  | Pot | 2 | 1 | 39 | 0 | . 0 | 1 | 6,673 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 224 | 6,943 |
|  | Trawl | 898 | 3,466 | 592 | 190 | 6,560 | 4,510 | 90,141 | 41,060 | 17 | 2,648 | 4,799 | 154,881 |
|  | TOTAL | 902 | 5,623 | 634 | 765 | 6,583 | 4,838 | 176,201 | 43,639 | 376 | 2,937 | 12,248 | 254,746 |
| Pollock | Bot. Irawl | 562 | 7,792 | 856 | 208 | 2,581 | 5,744 | 21,908 | 327,528 | 28 | 645 | 4,165 | 372,018 |
|  | Pel trawl | 8 | 598 | 52 | 125 | 238 | 1,425. | 4,725 | 1,224,008 | 1 | 289 | 1,492 | 1,232,963 |
|  | TOTAL | 570 | 8,390 | 908 | 333 | 2,819 | 7,169 | 26,634 | 1,551,537 | 30 | 934 | 5,657 | 1,604,981 |
| Sablefish | Hook\&line | 0 | 196 | - | 1,300 | - | 26 | 283 | 8 | 2,528 | 280 | 125 | 4,745 |
|  | Pot | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
|  | Trawl | - | 155 | - | 189 | - | 19 | 12 | 28 | 97 | 29 | 23 | 551 |
|  | TOTAL | 0 | 351 | - | 1,489 | - | 45 | 295 | 35 | 2,625 | 309 | 149 | 5,297 |
| Rockfish | Hook\&line | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | 3 | 2 | - | 9 | 13 | 0 | 30 |
|  | Trawl | 215 | 1,497 | 6 | 127 | 106 | 361 | 1,028 | 809 | 47 | 5,270 | 603 | 10,069 |
|  | TOTAL | 215 | 1,498 | 6 | 129 | 106 | 364 | 1,030 | 809 | 56 | 5,283 | 603 | 10,099 |
| Other | AlL | - | 46 | - | 0 | 0 | 5 | 27 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 55 | 138 |
| Grand Total |  | 26,737 | 21,031 | 117,652 | 8,236 | 56,824 | 36,348 | 218,053 | 1,628,554 | 3,442 | 10,617 | 27,445 | 2,154,939 |
| Percent |  | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.4\% | 2.6\% | 1.7\% | 10.1\% | 75.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 1.3\% | 100.0\% |

Table 7. Total catch of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and, gear in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands, 1992.
Target species catches by fishery are listed in bold. The Other category is described in detail in Table 47.

|  | Allocated Species or Species Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Target fishery | Gear | Atka mackere! | Arrowtooth flounder | Yellowfin sole | Greenland turbot | Rock sole | Other <br> flatfish | Pacific cod | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockfish | Other | Grand Total |
|  | Alka mackerel | Trawl | 44,447 | 205 | 0 | 34. | 44 | 39 | 3,411 | 566 | 5 | 3,496 | 193 | 52,440 |
|  | Arrowtooth flounder | Trawl | 11 | 108 | 0 | 10 | 13 | 44 | 24 | 127 | 1 | 11 | 24 | 374 |
|  | Yellowfin sole | Trawl | 1 | 438 | 138,102 | 1 | 14,479 | 17,149 | 8,542 | 12,866 | 0 | 0 | 7.954 | 199,531 |
|  | Greenland turbot | Hook\&line | - | 4 | - | 75 | - | 0 | 12 | 0 | 28 | 4 | 10 | 134 |
|  | Rock sole | Trawl | 8 | 642 | 4,927 | 3 | 25,266 | 4,694 | 4,858 | 10,307 | - | 22 | 1.824 | 52,550 |
|  | Other flatish | Trawl | 0 | 126 | 2,598 | 1 | 1,577 | 1,297 | 899 | 987 | - | 0 | 386 | 7,871 |
| N | Pacific cod | Hook\&line | 57 | 1,655 | 91 | 576 | 28 | 275 | 100,896 | 3,190 | 179 | 838 | 11,165 | 118,950 |
|  |  | Jig | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 0 | - | 0 . | - | 1 |
|  |  | Other | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 116 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 117 |
|  |  | Pot | 12 | 3 | 24 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 13,680 | 7 | 13 | 3 | 670 | 14,423 |
|  | $\because$ | Trawl | 3.071 | 2,865 | 276 | 81 | 3,501 | 2,485 | 47,913 | 16,617 | 10 | 1,176 | 3,002 | 80,998 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 3,140 | 4,524 | 391 | 667 | 3,532 | 2,762 | 162,605 | 19,814 | 201 | 2,017 | 14,837 | 214,489 |
| Pollock |  | Bot. trawl | 19 | 1,265 | 653 | 57 | 3,715 | 2,970 | 9,673. | 96,374 | 0 | 424. | 1,758 | 116,908 |
|  |  | Pel. trawl | 242 | 2,804 | 186 | 251 | 3,268 | 5,638 | 13,565 | 1,295,993 | 8 | 205 | 4,165 | 1,326,325 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 261 | 4,069 | 839 | 308 | 6,983 | 8,608 | 23,238 | 1,392,367 | 8 | 629 | 5,924 | 1,443,234 |
| Sablefish |  | Hook\&line | - | 268 | - | 1,445 | - | 6 | 139 | 1 | 1,807 | 304 | 146 | 4:116 |
|  |  | Other | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
|  |  | Pot | - | 0 | - | - |  | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | Trawl | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 26 | 2 | 1 | 31 |
|  |  | TOTAL | - | 269 | - - | 1.447 | - | 6 | 139 | 1 | 1,834 | 305 | 147 | 4,149 |
| Rockfish |  | Hook\&line | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
|  |  | Other | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | -- | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
|  |  | Trawl | 2,164 | 1,556 | $-0$ | 220 | 61 | 243 | 1,241 | 1,338 | 25 | 11,944 | 552 | 19,345 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 2,164 | 1,556 | 0 | 222 | 61 | 243 | 1.241 | 1,338 | 26 | 11,946 | 552 | 19,350 |
|  | Other | ALL | 0 | 15 | 74 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 341 | 32 | 0 | - 36 | 688 | 1,207 |
|  | Grand Total |  | 50,033 | 11,956 | 146,931 | 2,769 | 51,955 | 34,862 | 205,310 | 1,438,406 | 2,104 | 18,466 | 32,538 | 1,995,330 |
|  | Percent |  | 2.5\% | 0.6\% | 7.4\% | 0.1\% | 2.6\% | 1.7\% | 10.3\% | . $72.1 \%$ | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 1.6\% | 100.0\% |

Table 8. Total catch of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands, 1993. Target species catches by fishery are listed in bold. The Other category is described in detail in Table 48


Table 9. Total catch of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands. 1994 Target species catches by fishery are listed in bold.

| Allocated Species or Species Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Target Fishery | Gear | Atka mackerel | Arrowtooth flounder | Yellowfin sole | Greenland turbol | Rock sole | Other flounder | Pacific cod | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockfish | Olher | Grand Total |
| Atka mackerel | Trawl | 67,821 | 148 | 0 | 49 | 63 | 21 | 7,375 | 320 | 1 | 6,169 | 434 | 82.400 |
| Yellowfin sole | Trawl | - | 1,719 | 135,775 | 6 | 8,682 | 14,426 | 18,086 | 41,287 | - | 0 | 4,294 | 224,276 |
| Greenland turbot. | Hook\&line | 0 | 88 | - | 1,281 | 0 | 2 | 65 | 1 | 153 | 44 | 73 | 1,708 |
|  | Trawl | 1 | 1.297 | 0 | 5,456 | 1 | 67 | 45 | 20 | 346 | 90 | - 115 | 7,437 |
|  | TOTAL | 1 | 1,385 | 0 | 6,737 | 1 | 69 | 110 | 21 | 499 | 134 | 188 | 9.145 |
| Rock sole | Trawl | 0 | 649 | 5,370 | 12 | 40,335 | 3,586 | 5,643 | 15,377 | 2 | 1 | 2,728 | 73,703 |
| Other flounder | Trawl | 4 | 3,969 | 634 | 246 | 708 | 5,427 | 1.675 | 2,447 | 31 | 279 | 2,393 | 17.812 |
| Pacific cod | Hook\&line | 46 | 1,465 | - 152 | 314 | 25 | 216 | 87,051 | 2,822 | 113 | 194 | 10,555 | 102,953 |
|  | Jig | 69 | 0 | - | - | - | 13 | 730 | 14 | - | 9 | 0 | 836 |
|  | Pot | 6 | 1 | 14 | -. | 0 | 1 | 8,247 | 4 | - | 1 | 196 | 8.469 |
|  | Trawl | 240 | 1,919 | 1,244 | 60 | 8,104 | 3,043 | 53,096 | 22,015 | 3 | 337 | 2,401 | 92,464 |
|  | TOTAL | 362 | 3,385 | 1,410 | 374 | 8,129 | 3,273. | 149,124 | 24,854 | 117 | 540 | 13,153 | 204,721 |
| Pollock | Bot. trawl | 3 | 891 | 913 | 8 | 2,533 | 1.237 | 5,003 | - 66,781 | 0 | 110 | 577 | 78,056 |
|  | Pel. trawl | 62 | 1,073 | 166 | 53 | 419 | 1,654 | 9,068 | 1,270,431 | 2 | 108 | 859 | 1,283,896 |
|  | TOTAL | 64 | 1,964 | 1,079 | 61 | 2,952 | 2,892 | 14,071 | 1,337,212 | 2 | 218 | 1,437 | 1,361,952 |
| Sablefish | Hook\&line | - | 236 | 0 | 1,315 | 1 | 1. | 22 | 0 | 1,633 | 258 | 128 | 3,594 |
|  | Jig | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 0 | - | 3 |
|  | Pot | - | 13 | - - | 2 | - | 0 | - | - | 24 | 8 | 3 | 50 |
|  | Trawl | 0 | 159 | - | 193 | - | 15 | 4 | 7 | 75 | 22 | 15 | 491 |
|  | TOTAL | 0 | 409 | 0 | 1,510 | 1 | 17 | 25 | 7 | 1,735 | 289 | 146 | 4.138 |
| Rockfish | Hook\&line | - | 0 | - | 6 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 2 | 4 | 1 | 14 |
|  | Trawl | 1,339 | 625 | 96 | 349 | 18 | 43 | 470 | 449 | 76 | 11,789 | 151 | 15,404 |
| - | TOTAL | 1,339 | 625 | 96 | 355 | 18 - | 43 | 470 | 449 | 78 | 11,793 | 152 | 15,418 |
| Other | ALL | - | 14 | 212 | 5 | 7 | 25 | 85 | 120 | - | 2 | 235 | 706 |
| Grand Total |  | 69,590 | 14,267 | 144,577 | 9,354 | 60.896 | 29,778 | 196,665 | 1,422,094 | 2,463 | 19,425 | 25,159 | 1,994,269 |
| Percent |  | 3.5\% | 0.7\% | 7.2\% | 0.5\% | 3.1\% | 1.5\% | 9.9\% | 71.3\% | 0.1\% | 1.0\% | 1.3\% | 100.0\% |

Table 10. Total catch of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Gulf of Alaska, 1990.
Target species catches by fishery are listed in bold. 1990 data are based on weekly processor reports, not NMFS blend used in 1991-94, The Other category is described in detail in Table 49.


Table 11. Total catch of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Gulf of Alaska, 1991. Target species catches by fishery are listed in bold. The Other category is described in detail in Table 50.

| Allocated Species or Species Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Target fishery | Gear | Atka mackerel | Arrowtooth flounder | Deepwater flatfish | Shallow flaffish | Pacific cod | Pollock | Sablerish | Rockfish | Other | Grand Total |
| Arrowtooth flounder | Trawl | - | 1,595 | 353 | 5 | 104 | 382 | 85 | 367 | 42 | 2.933 |
| Deepwater flatfish | Trawl | - | 10,679 | 7,054 | 1,068 | 971 | 1,666 | 812 | 1,064 | 592 | 23,908 |
| Shallow flatish | Trawl | - | 327 | 269 | 516 | 186 | 194 | 50 | 74 | 56 | 1,672 |
| Pacific cod | Hook\&line | 0 | 13 | 7 | 2 | 7,324 | 32 | 24 | 79 | 116 | 7,598 |
| . | Jig | - | 0 | - | 0 | 75 | 1 | - | 8 | - | 85 |
|  | Other | - | 0 | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | 0 | 10 |
|  | Pot | 0 | 1 | - | 3 | 10,487 | 95 | . | 3 | 133 | 10,722 |
|  | Trawl | 110 | 2,211 | 1,688 | 4,536 | 54,832 | 9,202 | 111 | 607 | -1,787 | 75,083 |
|  | TOTAL | 110 | 2,226 | 1,695 | 4,540 | 72,729 | 9,330 | 136 | 697 | 2,036 | 93,498 |
| Pollock | Bot. trawl | 0 | 1,315 | 963 | 552 | 993 | 13,966 | 212 | 244 | 142 | 18,387 |
|  | Pel. trawl | 0 | 153 | 11 | 35 | 205 | 80,497 | 6 | 95 | 45 | 81,048 |
|  | TOTAL | 0 | 1,467 | 974 | 587 | 1,199 | 94,463 | 219 | 339 | 187 | 99,435 |
| Sablefish | Hook\&line | 0 | - 1,093 | 115 | 2 | 778 | 11 | 20,399 | 1,339 | 706 | 24,443 |
|  | Trawl | - | 73 | 68 | - | 9 | 9 | 64 | 29 | 3 | 255 |
|  | TOTAL | 0 | 1,166 | 182 | 2 | 787 | 20 | 20,463 | 1,368 | 709 | 24,698 |
| Rockfish | Hook\&line | 0 | 5 | 28 | 1 | 48 | 2 | 24 | 525 | 9 | 642 |
|  | Jig | - | - | - | - | 28 | 0 | 6 | 395 | 0 | 429 |
|  | Trawi | 21 | 3,985 | 769 | 181 | 659 | 1,389 | 1.318 | 15,998 | 281 | 24,600 |
|  | TOTAL | 21 | 3,990 | 798 | 181 | 735 | 1,390 | 1,348 | 16,918 | 290 | 25,671 |
| Other | ALL | 1,263 | 120 | 145 | 35 | 266 | 61 | 21 | 372 | 1,809 | 4,093 |
| Grand Total |  | 1,395 | 21,570 | 11,469 | 6,935 | 76,977 | 107.506 | 23,134 | 21,200 | 5,721 | 275,907 |
| Percent |  | 0.5\% | 7.8\% | 4.2\% | 2.5\% | 27.9\% | 39.0\% | 8.4\% | 7.7\% | 2.1\% | 100.0\% |

Table 12. Total catch of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Gulf of Alaska. 1992
Target species catches by fishery are listed in bold. The Other category is described in detail in Table 51

| Allocated Species or Species Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Target fishery | Gear | Alka mackerel | Arrowtooth flounder | Deepwater flatfish | Shaliow !latfish | Pacífic cod | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockfish | Other | Grand Total |
| Arrowtooth flounder | Hook\&lin | - | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 10 | 35 |
|  | Pot | - | 0 | - - |  | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Trawl | - | 113 | 17 | 0 | 39 | 56 | 52 | 16 | 3 | 297 |
|  | total | ? | 118 | 18 | 1 | 46 | 60 | 61 | 16 | 13 | 332 |
| Deepwater flatfish | Jig | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | 1 |
|  | Trawl | 2 | 9,378 | 7,197 | 485. | 1,113 | 1,404 | 619 | 1,000 | 672 | 21,870 |
|  | total | 2 | 9,378 | 7,198 | 485 | 1,113 | 1,404 | 619 | 1,000 | 672 | 21,871 |
| Shallow flatish | Hook\&lin | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | 4 |
|  | Trawl | - | 1,660 | 945 | 3,903 | 1,116 | 711 | 125 | 168 | 574 | 9,201 |
|  | tọtal | - | 1,660 | 945 | 3,906 | 1.117 | 711 | 125 | 168 | 574 | 9,205 |
| Pacific cod | Hork\&lin | 1 | 209 | 18 | 11 | 14,892 | 60 | 138 | 119 | 618 | 16,065 |
|  | Jig | - | - | - | - | 154 | 0 | - | 3 | 0 | 157 |
|  | Other | $\because$ | - | - | 0 | 171 | 2 | - | - | 0 | 173 |
|  | Pot | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10,009 | 2 | 0 | 2. | 174 | 10.190 |
|  | Trawl | 3 | 2,303 | 881 | 3,874 | 49,458 | 7,921 | 74 | 401 | 1,281 | 66,196 |
|  | total | 3 | 2,513 | 900 | 3,886 | 74,683 | 7,985 | 211 | 525 | 2,073 | 92,780 |
| Potlock | Bot. trawl | - | 675 | 436 | 309 | 789 | 20,846 | 67 | 138 | 153 | 23,413 |
|  | Pel. Irawl | - | 301 | 37 | 77 | 252 | 62,116 | 11 | 16 | 352 | 63,163 |
|  | total | - | 976 | 473 | 386 | 1,041 | 82,962 | 78 | 154 | 505 | 86,576 |
| Sablefish | Hook\&lin | 0 | 1,266 | 3.240 | 2 | 510 | 13 | 20,477 | 1,707 | 815 | 28,029 |
|  | Trawl | - | 15 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 42 |
|  | Total | 0 | 1,282 | 3.243 | 2 | 512 | 25 | 20,485 | 1,707 | 815 | 28,071 |
| Rockfish | Hook\&lin | . | 3 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 44 | 739 | 4 | 845 |
|  | Jig | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 336 | - | 338 |
|  | Other | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 |
|  | Pot | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
|  | Trawl | 115 | 4,176 | 485 | 64 | 580 | 545 | 1.717 | 18,780 | 393 | 26,855 |
|  | TOTAL | 115 | 4,178 | 485 | 64 | 638 | 545 | 1,760 | 19,858 | 396 | 28,041 |
| Other | ALL | 8,463 | 920 | 389 | 30 | 950 | 212 | 36 | 1.499 | 5,034 | 17,532 |
| Grand Total |  | 8,583 | 21,025 | 13,650 | 8,761 | 80,100 | 93,905 | 23,376 | 24,927 | 10,081 | 284,408 |
| Percent |  | 3.0\% | 7.4\% | 4.8\% | 3.1\% | 28.2\% | 33.0\% | 8.2\% | 8.8\% | 3.5\% | 100.0\% |

Table 13. Total catch of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Gulf of Alaska, 1993.
Target species catches by fishery are listed in bold. The Other category is described in detail in Table 52.


Table 14. Total catch of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Gulf of Alaska, I994
Target species catches by fishery are listed in bold


Table 15. Discarded catch of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands, 1990 .
Target species discards by fishery are listed in bold. 1990 data are based on weekly processor reports, not NMFS blend used in 1991-94.

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Allocated Sp | cies or Spe | Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Targel fishery | Gear | mackerel | Arrowtooth flounder | Yellowfin sole | Greenland turbot | Rock sole | Other flatfish | Pacific $\operatorname{cod}$ | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockfish | Other | Grand Total |
|  | Alka mackerel | Trawl | 2,247 | 134 | - | 18 | 47 | 88 | 456 | 2,248 | 0 | 774 | 2,546 | 8,558 |
|  | Arrowtooth flounder | Trawl | - | 17 | - | 235 | - | 193 | 11 | 178 | - | 1 | 39 | 673 |
|  | Yellowfin sole | Trawl | - | 97 | 2,824 | - | 517 | 1.712 | 112 | 1.870 | - | 37 | 947 | 8.114 |
|  | Greenland turbot. | Hook\&line | - | 1 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | 8 | - | 5 | 14. |
|  |  | Trawl | 4 | 1,506 | - | 38 | 1 | 473 | 39 | 514 | 3 | 48 | 1.944 | 4,572 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 4 | 1,507 | - | 38 | 1 | 473 | 39 | 514 | 12 | 48 | 1,949 | 4,586 |
|  | Rock sole | Trawl | - | 335 | 1,653 | 1 | 4,027 | 2,986 | 456 | 5,834 | - | 5 | 2,433 | 17,731 |
|  | Other flatish | Trawl | - | 118 | 433 | 14 | 75 | 360 | 30 | 344 | - | 3 | 297 | 1,675 |
| $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega}$ | Pacific cod | Hook\&line | 0 | 385 | 3 | 62 | 2 | 64 | 45 | 401 | 6 | - 1 | 1,819 | 2,786 |
|  |  | Pot | - | 0 . | 10 | - 3 | - | 8 | 4 | 0 | - | $\therefore$ | 9 | 35 |
|  |  | Trawl | 256 | 2,051 | 847 | 7 | 4,785 | 4,733 | 3,080 | 21,795 | - | 668 | 4,637 | 42,859 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 256 | 2,436 | 860 | 72 | 4,787 | 4,805 | 3,129 | 22,196 | 6 | 669 | 6,465 | 45,680 |
|  | Pollock | Bot. trawl | 62 | 474 | 71 | 16 | 618 | - 929 | 549 | 4,201 | 6 | 46 | 957 | 7,929 |
|  |  | Pel, trawl | 82 | 1,589 | 67 | 117 | 959 | 2,302 | 6,672 | 77,206 | 0 | 190 | 2,447 | 91,631 |
|  |  | Total | 144 | 2,063 | 138 | 133 | $\checkmark 1,577$ | 3,231 | 7,221 | 81,406 | 7 | 236 | 3,404 | 99,560 |
|  | Sablefish | Hook\&line | - | 37 | - | 75 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 2 | 1 | 77 | 192 |
|  |  | Trawl | 60 | 66 | - | 36 | - | 103 | - | 130 | - |  | 57 | 451 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 60 | 103 | - | 110 | 0 | 103 | - | 130 | 2 | 1 | 134 | 644 |
|  | Rockfish | Hook\&line | - | 0 | - | 8 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 0 | 11 |
|  |  | Trawl | 303 | 1,332 | - | 104 | 51 | 345 | 245 | 3,984 | 3 | 1,980 | 1,776 | 10,123 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 303 | 1,333 | - | 112 | 51 | 346 | 245 | 3,985 | 3 | 1,980 | 1,776 | 10,134 |
|  | Other | ALL | 3 | 12 | - | 2 | 13 | 13 | 51 | 33 | 5 | 1 | 175 | 306 |
|  | Grand Total |  | 3,017 | 8,155 | 5,909 | 736 | 11,096 | 14,310 | 11,749 | 118,738 | 33 | 3,754 | 20,164 | 197,660 |
|  | Percent |  | 1.5\% | 4.1\% | 3.0\% | 0.4\% | 5.6\% | 7.2\% | 5.9\% | 60.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 10.2\% | 100.0\% |

Table 16. Discarded catch of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands, 1991 Target species discards by fishery are listed in bold.


Table 17. Discarded catch of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands, 1992
Target species discards by fishery are listed in bold


Table 18. Discarded catch of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands, 1993 Target species discards by fishery are listed in bold.

| Allocated Species or Species Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Targed | Gear | Alka mackerel | Arrowtooth flounder | Yellowfin sole | Greenland surbot | Rock sole | Other flatiosh | Pacific cod | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockfish | Other | Grand Total |
| Atka mackerel | Trawl | 11,655 | 161 | - | 116 | 91 | 4 | 2,010 | 104 | 0 | 4,336 | 312 | 18,788 |
| Arrowtooth flounder | Hookdeline | - | 4 | - | 2 | - | 0 | 4 | - | 0 | - | 4 | 14 |
|  | Trawl | - | 2 | - | - | 0 | 1 | - | 3 | - | 1 | 0 | 7 |
|  | TOTAL | - | 6 | - | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 21 |
| Yellowfin sole | Trawl | 0 | 980 | 21,115 | 5 | 4,493 | 6,979 | 5,250 | 13,902 | 0 | 6 | 3,790 | 56,521 |
| Greenland turbot | Hook\&line | - | 467 | : - | 330 | 0 | 100 | 27 | 1 | 10 | 50 | 492 | 1,476 |
|  | Trawl | - | 7 | - | 3 | 0 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 13 |
|  | TOTAL | - | 474 | - | 332 | 0 | 102 | 27 | 2 | 10 | 50 | 492 | 1,489 |
| Rock sole | Trawl | 8 | 1,143 | 3,799 | 26 | 23,284 | 4,031 | 5,633 | 17,331 | 3 | 18 | 3,030 | 58,307 |
| Other flatfish | Trawl | 0 | 1,167 | 2,303 | 152 | 1,055 | 1,523 | 756 | 2,074 | 6 | 93 | 959 | 10,088 |
| Pacific cod | Hook\&line | 17 | 675 | 5 | 67 | 18 | 200 | 4,388 | 1,905 | 12 | 207 | 7,173 | 14,666 |
|  | Jig | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Pot | 3 | 0 | 7 | - | 0 | - | 25 | 2 | - | 0 | 44 | 82 |
|  | Trawl | 2,808 | 1,662 | 814 | 41 | 5,122 | 2,516 | 6,820 | 26,872 | 0 | 773 | 2,733 | 50,160 |
|  | TOTAL | 2,828 | 2,337 | 826 | 108 | 5,140 | 2,715 | 11,233 | 28,778 | 12 | 980 | 9,950 | 64,908 |
| Pollock | Bot. trawl | 5 | 507 | 409 | 12 | 5,403 | 1,085 | 4,691 | 6,996 | 0 | 101 | 1,248 | 20,459 |
|  | Pel. trawl | 34 | 497 | 556 | 6 G | 2,068 | 2,508 | 7.052 | 41,359 | 0 | 227 | 2,252 | 56,619 |
|  | TOTAL | 40 | 1,004 | 966 | 78 | 7,472 | 3,593 | 11,743 ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 48,354 | 0 | 327 | 3,501 | 77,078 |
| Sablefish | Hook\&line | 0 | 178 | - | 860 | 0 | 22 | 15 | 0 | 23 | 112 | 182 | 1,391 |
|  | Trawl . | - . | 13 | - | 20 | - | 0 | - | 15 | - | 2 | 5 | 55 |
|  | TOTAL | 0 | 191 | - | 880 | 0 | 22 | 15 | 15 | 23 | 114 | 186 | 1,446 |
| Rockfish | Hook\&line | - | 13 | - | 8 | - | - | 14 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 6 | 64 |
|  | Trawl | 1,209 | 1,124 | 0 | 78 | 59 | 139 | 262 | 1,476 | 5 | 2,246 | 595 | 7,193 |
| ' | TOTAL | 1,209 | 1,136 | 0 | 87 | 59 | 139 | 275 | 1,476 | 7 | 2,267 | 601 | 7,257 |
| Other | ALL | 18 | 37 | 3 | 0 | 75 | 50 | 121 | 86 | - | 10 | 28 | 428 |
| Grand Total |  | 15,758 | 8.635 | 29,011 | 1,786 | 41,669 | 19,160 | 37,068 | 112,127 | 60 | 8,202 | 22,854 | 296,331 |
| Percent |  | 5.3\% | 2.9\% | 9.8\% | 0.6\% | 14.1\% | 6.5\% | $12.5 \%$ | 37.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 7.7\% | 100.0\% |

Table 19. Discarded catch of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands. 1994 Target species discards by fishery are listed in bold.


Table 20. Discarded catch of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Gulf of Alaska, 1990. Target species discards by fishery are listed in bold. 1990 data are based on weekly processor reports, not NMFS blend used in 1991-94.

|  |  | Allocated Species or Species Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Targes fishery | Gear | Arrowtooth flounder | Deepwater flatfish | Shallow flatfish | Pacific cod | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockfish | Other | Grand Total |
| Arrowtooth flounder | Trawl | 161 | 1 | 13 | 7 | 120 | 32 | 151 | 69 | 553 |
| Deepwater flatish | Hook\&line | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Pot | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Trawl | 3,423 | 314 | 202 | 31 | 300 | 17 | 566 | 230 | 5,082 |
|  | TOTAL | 3,423 | 314 | 202 | 31 | 300 | 17 | 566 | 230 | 5,082 |
| Shallow flatish | Pot | 3 | 22 | 20 | 0 | 4 | - | - | 9 | 58 |
|  | Trawl | 149 | 8 | 121 | 3 | 164 | 0 | 21 | 65 | 531 |
|  | TOTAL | 152 | 30. | 141 | 3 | 168 | 0 | 21 | 74 | 589 |
| Pacific cod | Hook\&line | 6 | 3 | 1 | 235 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 222 | 473 |
|  | Pot | 27 | - | - | 2 | 0 | - | - | 2 | 31 |
|  | Trawl | 4,701 | 419 | 2,197 | 831 | 2,384 | 20 | 183 | 871 | 11,606 |
|  | TOTAL | 4,733 | 422 | 2,197 | 1,068 | 2,390 | 21 | 183 | 1,094 | 12,109 |
| Pollock | Bot. trawl | 856 | 55 | 93 | 4 | 348 | 35 | 53 | 95 | 1,540 |
|  | Pel. Irawl | 1,515 | 29 | 135 | 88 | 1,342 | 3 | 43 | 195 | 3,350 |
|  | TOTAL | 2,371 | 84 | 228 | 92 | 1,690 | 38 | 97 | 290 | 4,890 |
| Sablefish | Hook\&line | 89 | 94 | 5 | 27 | 3 | 47 | 81 | 592 | 938 |
|  | Pot | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Trawl | 99 | 29 | 5 | - | 49 | 6 | 108 | 84 | 380 |
|  | TOTAL | 187 | 124 | 10 | 27 | 51 | 53 | 189 | 676 | 1,318 |
| Rockfish | Hook\&line | 1 | - | - | 7 | - | 6 | 6 | 5 | 25 |
|  | Pot | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Trawl | 5,982 | 1,107 | 794 | 96 | 1,935 | 514 | 2,154 | 2,574 | 15,157 |
|  | TOTAL | 5,983 | 1,107 | 794 | 103 | 1,935 | 520 | 2,160 | 2,580 | 15,182 |
| Other | ALL | 108 | 46 | 18 | 427 | 147 | 0 | 724 | 164 | 1,634 |
| Grand Total |  | 17,119 | 2,127 | 3,603 | 1,758 | 6,801 | 682 | 4,090 | 5,178 | 41,357 |
| Percent |  | 41.4\% | 5.1\% | 8.7\% | 4.3\% | 16.4\% | 1.6\% | 9.9\% | 12.5\% | 100.0\% |

Table 21. Discarded catch of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Gulf of Alaska, 1991.
Target species discards by fishery are listed in bold.


Table 22. Discarded catch of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Gulf of Alaska, 1992.
Target species discards by fishery are listed in bold.


Table 23. Discarded catch of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Gulf of Alaska, 1993 Target species discards by fishery are listed in bold.

| Allocated Species or Species Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Target | Gear | Atka mackerel | Arrowtooth flounder | Deepwater flatish | Shallow flatfish | Pacific cod | Pollock | Sablefisb | Rackfish | Other | nd Total |
| Arrowtonth flounder | HookRline | - | 1 | 0 | - | 6 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 27 |
|  | Trawl | - | 534 | 61 | 31 | 23 | 38 | 23 | 104 | 75 | 888 |
|  | TOTAL | - | 535 | 61 | 31 | 29 | 38 | 36 | 109 | 76 | 915 |
| Deepwater flatish | Hook\&line | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Trawl | - | 8,498 | 950 | 90 | 544 | 312 | 252 | 504 | 684 | 11,832 |
|  | TOTAL | - | 8,498 | 950 | 90 | 544 | 312 | 252 | 504 | 684 | 11,832 |
| Shallow flatish | Trawl | 7 | 2,554 | 376 | 1,621 | 1,025 | 498 | 66 | 212 | 816 | 7,176 |
| Pacific $\operatorname{cod}$ | Ilook\&line | 0 | 147 | 0 | 1 | 205 | 25 | 4 | 4 | 656 | 1,043 |
|  | Jig | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Other | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - |
|  | Pot | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 81 | 14 | - | 0 | 124 | 227 |
|  | Trawl | 0 | 1,841 | 169 | 743 | 1,400 | 1,058 | 20 | 363 | 420 | 6,014 |
|  | TOTAL | 0 | 1,991 | 169 | 749 | 1,686 | 1,097 | 24 | 367 | 1,200 | 7,285 |
| Pollock | Bot. trawl | - - | 575 | 189 | 832 | 1,226 | 1,741 | 9 | 18 | 549 | 5,137 |
|  | Pel. trawl | 62 | 258 | 16 | 52 | 315 | 4,350 | 1 | 12 | 287 | 5,354 |
|  | TOTAL | 62 | 833 | 205 | 884 | 1,541 | 6,091 | 10 | 30 | 836 | 10,491 |
| Sablefish | Hook\&line | - | 1,707 | 1,087 | 3. | 484 | 2 | 361 | 657 | 1,087 | 5,389 |
|  | Trawl | - | 71 | 14 | - | 1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 107 |
|  | TOTAL | - | 1,778 | 1,102 | 3 | 485 | 8 | 367 | 662 | 1,092 | 5,496 |
| Rockfish | Hook\&line | - | 2 | 0 | - | 5 | 0 | 13 | 8 | 12 | 40 |
|  | Jig | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Trawl | 77 | 900 | 188 | 12 | 217 | 164 | 41 | 4,426 | 118 | 6,143 |
|  | TOTAL | 77 | 901 | 188 | 12 | 223 | 164 | 54 | 4,434 | 130 | 6,183 |
| Other | ALL | 261 | 580 | 65 | 47 | 352 | 56 | 2 | 1,022 | 185 | 2,571 |
| Grand Total |  | 408 | 17,671 | 3,116 | 3,436 | 5,885 | 8,264 | 810 | 7,340 | 5,019 | 51,949 |
|  |  | 0.8\% | 34.0\% | 6.0\% | 6.6\% | 11.3\% | 15.9\% | 1.6\% | 14.1\% | 9.7\% | 100.0\% |

Table 24. Discarded catch of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Gulf of Alaska, 1994 Target species discards by fishery are listed in bold


Table 25. Discard rates (discarded/total catch) of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands, 1990.
Target species discard rates by fishery are listed in bold. 1990 data are based on weekly processor reports, not NMFS blend used in 1991-94.

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Allocated Sp | ies or Spe | Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Target fishery | Gear | Atka mackerel | Arrowtooth flounder | Yellowfin sole | Greenland turbot | Rock sole | Other <br> flarfish | Pacific cod | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockfish | Other | Grand Tetal |
|  | Atka mackerel | Trawl | 10.6\% | 53.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 16.2\% | 85.4\% | . $12.6 \%$ | 98.3\% | 0.3\% | 53.4\% | 100.0\% | 26.7\% |
|  | Arrowtooth floun | Trawl | - | 2.1\% | - | 98.6\% | 0.0\% | 97.4\% | 23.8\% | 98.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 92.5\% | 41.0\% |
|  | Yellowfin sole | Trawl | - | 97.7\% | 24.6\% | - | 45.5\% | 86.7\% | 20.6\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 90.6\% | 98.5\% | 44.8\% |
|  | Greenland turbot | Hook\&line | - | 5.5\% | - | 0.0\% | - | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 18.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 3.3\% |
|  |  | Trawl | 14.3\% | 80.4\% | - | 0.6\% | 38.8\% | 57.5\% | 34.7\% | 93.7\% | 0.6\% | 12.1\% | 99.8\% | 35.1\% |
|  |  | TOTAL | 14.3\% | $79.9 \%$ | - | 0.5\% | 38.8\% | 57.5\% | 26.2\% | 93.7\% | 1.7\% | 12.1\% | 99.8\% | 34.1 \% |
|  | Rock sole | Trawl | - | 96.6\% | 74.7\% | 97.9\% | 33.8\% | 93.3\% | 16.1\% | 92.6\% | - | 100.0\% | 96.4\% | 60.4\% |
|  | Other flatfish | Trawl | - | 74.6\% | 33.0\% | 99.6\% | 14.6\% | 51.5\% | 21.6\% | 98.4\% | 0.0\% | 19.3\% | 90.1\% | 47.3\% |
| + | Pacific cod | Hook\&line | 100.0\% | 90.3\% | 100.0\% | 33.1\% | 28.6\% | 78.7\% | 0.1\% | 96.8\% | 3.2\% | 1.5\% | 74.4\% | 5.4\% |
| $\mapsto$ |  | Pot | - | 100.0\% | 99.4\% | 85.4\% | - | 100.0\% | 0.3\% | 100.0\% | - | - | 89.9\% | 2.4\% |
|  |  | Trawl | 20.1\% | 99.3\% | 99.6\% | 15.1\% | 65.2\% | 92.5\% | 3.4\% | 85.4\% | 0.0\% | 76.7\% | 97.3\% | 30.9\% |
|  |  | TOTAL | 20.1\% | 97.7\% | 99.6\% | 30.3\% | 65.2\% | 92.3\% | 2.2\% | 85.6\% | 2.8\% | 71.1\% | 89.6\% | 23.9\% |
|  | Pollock | Bot. trawl | 96.9\% | 97.0\% | 100.0\% | 85.3\% | 70.7\% | 80.7\% | 6.3\% | 10.2\% | 6.3\% | 49.6\% | 99.7\% | 14.7\% |
|  |  | Pel. trawl | 69.5\% | 99.4\% | 98.5\% | 92.0\% | 97.8\% | 85.3\% | 68.3\% | 5.9\% | 2.2\% | 85.9\% | 97.2\% | 6.9\% |
|  |  | TOTAL | 79.3\% | 98.9\% | 99.3\% | 91.1\% | 85.0\% | 83.9\% | 39.0\% | 6.0\% | 5.8\% | 75.2\% | 97.9\% | 7.2\% |
|  | Sablefish | Hook\&line | - | 97.9\% | $-$ | 17.1\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 99.6\% | 4.9\% |
|  |  | Trawl | 98.0\% | 71.6\% | - | 49.0\% | 0.0\% | 90.4\% | 0.0\% | 99.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 99.1\% | 65.4\% |
|  |  | TOTAL | 98.0\% | 79.2\% | - | 21.7\% | 93.8\% | 90.4\% | 0.0\% | 99.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 99.4\% | 14.0\% |
|  | Rockfish | Hook\&line | - | 100.0\% | - | 94.4\% | - | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 26.0\% |
|  |  | Trawl | 48.6\% | 69.9\% | - | 21.4\% | 36.1\% | 72.0\% | 15.7\% | 96.9\% | 1.7\% | 9.8\% | 94.2\% | 32.0\% |
|  |  | TOTAL | 48.6\% | 69.9\% | - | 22.7\% | $36.1 \%$ | 72.1\% | 15.7\% | 96.9\% | 1.6\% | 9.8\% | 94.2\% | 32.0\% |
|  | Other | ALL | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | - | 90.9\% | 100.0\% | 97.6\% | 61.6\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 73.7\% | 48.0\% | 57.9\% |
|  | Grand Total |  | 12.9\% | 80.0\% | 36.9\% | 8.3\% | 47.7\% | 85.9\% | 7.0\% | 8.5\% | 0.7\% | 15.8\% | 94.1\% | 11.6\% |

Table 26. Discard rates (discarded/total catch) of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands, 1991 Target species discard rates by fishery are listed in bold.


Table 27. Discard rates (discarded/total catch) of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands, 1992 Target species discard rates by fishery are listed in bold.


Table 28. Discard rates (discarded/total catch) of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands, 1993. Target species discard rates by fishery are listed in bold.

| Allocated Species or Species Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Target | Gear | Atka mackerel | Arrowtooth flounder | Yellowfin sole | Greenland turbot | Rock sole | Other <br> flatish | Pacific - cod | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockrish | Other | detal |
| Atka mackerel | Trawl | 19.5\% | 100.0\% | - | 98.1\% | 90.1\% | 79.5\% | 49.1\% | 73.9\% | 0.1\% | 79.4\% | 100.0\% | 26.8\% |
| Arrowtooth flounder | Hookscline | - | 52.7\% | - | 76.0\% | - | 85.2\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 86.2\% | 68.4\% |
|  | Trawl | - | 56.9\% | - | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 88.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 58.0\% | 100.0\% | 64.6\% |
|  | TOTAL | - | 54.0\% | - | 66.6\% | 100.0\% | 87.9\% | 97.2\% | 98.8\% | 0.9\% | 33.3\% | 86.4\% | 67.1\% |
| Yellowfin sole | Trawl | 100.0\% | 96.4\% | 23.0\% | 100.0\% | 61.5\% | 72.5\% | 60.2\% | 91.1\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 98.5\% | 41.0\% |
| Greenland turbot | Hook\&line | - | 84.5\% | - | 5.5\% | 100.0\% | 98.2\% | 24.2\% | 25.0\% | 1.7\% | 18.2\% | 93.1\% | 18.1\% |
|  | Trawl | - | 100.0\% | - | 24.3\% | 100.0\% | 28.2\% | 0.0\% | 86.9\% | 0.0\% | 20.0\% | 98.1\% | 45.1\% |
|  | TOTAL | - | 84.7\% | - | 5.5\% | 100.0\% | 95.1\% | 23.9\% | 37.1\% | 1.7\% | 18.2\% | 93.1\% | 18.2\% |
| Rock sole | Trawl | 53.7\% | 100.0\% | 60.5\% | 92.9\% | 58.4\% | 55.4\% | 69.0\% | 93.3\% | 68.2\% | 89.8\% | 98.0\% | 69.0\% |
| Other flatfish | Trawl . | 100.0\% | 99.6\% | 40.6\% | 90.3\% | 43.2\% | 32.9\% | 52.9\% | 80.6\% | 63.7\% | 92.6\% | 93.1\% | 52.5\% |
| Pacific cod | Hook\&line | 82.6\% | 88.6\% | 98.1\% | 32.6\% | 98.1\% | 95.4\% | 6.7\% | 88.3\% | 16.4\% | 69.7\% | 87.3\% | 18.8\% |
|  | Jig | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
|  | Pot | 97.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | - | 100.0\% | - | 1.2\% | 100.0\% | - | 100.0\% | 95.4\% | 3.8\% |
|  | Trawl | 88.4\% | 95.3\% | 99.6\% | 64.6\% | $90.1 \%$ | 94.0\% | 12.5\% | 91.7\% | 5.0\% | 66.4\% | 93.8\% | 49.1\% |
|  | TOTAL | 88.3\% | 93.3\% | 99.5\% | 40.2\% | 90.1\% | 94.1\% | 9.2\% | 91.5\% | 16.0\% | 67.0\% | 89.0\% | 35.6\% |
| Pollock | Bot. trawl | 98.3\% | 76.1\% | 78.3\% | 62.7\% | 81.6\% | 68.4\% | 38.1\% | 8.0\% | 28.4\% | 89.4\% | 84.7\% | 18.5\% |
|  | Pel. trawl | 98.0\% | 89.2\% | 96.0\% | 99.6\% | 99.0\% | 94.3\% | 81.5\% | 3.4\% | 15.9\% | 96.9\% | 96.0\% | 4.5\% |
|  | TOTAL | 98.1\% | 82.1\% | 87.6\% | 91.4\% | 85.7\% | 84.6\% | 56.1\% | 3.7\% | 26.8\% | 94.5\% | 91.6\% | 5.7\% |
| Sablefish | Hook\&line | 100.0\% | 99.0\% | - | 78.6\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 47.6\% | 100.0\% | 1.1\% | 29.2\% | 97.7\% | 35.9\% |
|  | Trawl | - | 100.0\% | - | 100.0\% | - | 100.0\% | - | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 90.2\% | 100.0\% | 74.1\% |
|  | TOTAL | 100.0\% | 99.0\% | - | 79.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 47.6\% | 100.0\% | 1.1\% | 29.6\% | 97.7\% | 36.6\% |
| Rockfish | Hook\& line | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | - | 58.8\% | - | - | 74.0\% | 100.0\% | 7.5\% | 26.1\% | 100.0\% | 41.3\% |
|  | Trawl | 41.5\% | 88.9\% | 100.0\% | 12.1\% | 93.3\% | 55.3\% | 26.7\% | 91.0\% | 9.1\% | 13.5\% | 83.5\% | 28.7\% |
|  | TOTAL | 41.5\% | 89.0\% | 100.0\% | 13.2\% | 93.3\% | 55.3\% | 27.6\% | 91.0\% | 8.7\% | 13.6\% | 83.7\% | 28.8\% |
| Other | ALL | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 99.4\% | 89.1\% | 95.6\% | 67.2\% | - | 100.0\% | 58.3\% | 85.3\% |
| Grand Total |  | 23.9\% | 92.9\% | 27.4\% | 21.1\% | 64.8\% | 65.9\% | 22.1\% | 8.1\% | 2.2\% | 33.2\% | 92.3\% | 15.7\% |

Table 29. Discard rates of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands, 1994
Target species discard rates by fishery are listed in bold.


Table 30. Discard rates (discarded/total catch) of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Gulf of Alaska, 1990. Target species discard rates by fishery are listed in bold. 1990 data are based on weekly processor reports, not NMFS blend used in 1991-94.


Table 31. Discard rates (discarded/total catch) of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Gulf of Alaska, 1991.
Target species discard rates by fishery are listed in bold

| Allocated Species or Species Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Target fishery | Gear | Atka mackerel | Arrowtooth flounder | Deepwater flatilish | Shallow flatfish | Pacific cad | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockfish | Other | Grand Total |
| Arrowtooth flounder | Trawl | - | 34.9\% | 25.7\% | 36.4\% | 13.7\% | 73.1\% | 27.3\% | 33.2\% | 83.8\% | 38.3\% |
| Deepwater flatish | Trawl | - | 98.9\% | 10.3\% | 27.0\% | 13.1\% | 72.5\% | 19.8\% | 58.7\% | 98.5\% | 59.8\% |
| Shallow flatish | Trawl | - | 96.4\% | 11.1\% | 6.4\% | 9.5\% | 19.5\% | 39.0\% | 41.0\% | 100.0\% | 32.3\% |
| Pacific cod | Hook\&line | 100.0\% | 99.6\% | 83.1\% | 81.2\% | 0.9\% | 84.7\% | 7.8\% | 11.3\% | 95.2\% | 3.1\% |
|  | Jig | - | 100.0\% | - | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.4\% |
|  | Other | - | 100.0\% | - | - | 0.0\% | - | - | - | 100.0\% | 4.9\% |
|  | Pot | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | - | 74.6\% | 0.5\% | 99.1\% | - | 54.7\% | 74.4\% | 2.3\% |
|  | Trawl | 99.8\% | 98.2\% | 24.3\% | 74.5\% | 2.8\% | 93.0\% | 3.8\% | 41.6\% | 99.7\% | 24.2\% |
|  | TOTAL | 99.8\% | 98.2\% | 24.5\% | 74.5\% | 2.3\% | 93.0\% | 4.5\% | 37.7\% | 97.8\% | 20.0\% |
| Pollock | Bot. trawl | 100.0\% | 87.5\% | 19.0\% | '52.8\% | 6.3\% | 14.8\% | 2.9\% | 21.4\% | 98.3\% | 21.5\% |
|  | Pel. trawl | 100.0\% | 99.2\% | 97.9\% | 58.7\% | 9.6\% | 3.1\% | 67.8\% | 62.2\% | 95.4\% | 3.4\% |
|  | TOTAL | 100.0\% | 88.8\% | 19.9\% | 53.2\% | 6.8\% | 4.8\% | 4.8\% | 32.8\% | 97.6\% | 6.8\% |
| Sablefish | Hook\&line | 100.0\% | 99.9\% | 99.7\% | 100.0\% | 85.7\% | 100.0\% | 1.3\% | 53.6\% | 100.0\% | 14.6\% |
|  | Trawl |  | $88.8 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |  | $51.2 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | 11.7\% | 28.9\% | 100.0\% | 58.1\% |
|  | TOTAL | 100.0\% | 99.2\% | 90.5\% | 100.0\% | 85.3\% | 100.0\% | 1.3\% | 53.1\% | 100.0\% | 15.1\% |
| Rockfish | Hook\&line | 100.0\% | 96.3\% | 70.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 7.7\% | 100.0\% | 12.1\% |
|  | Jig | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | Trawl | 89.3\% | 80.0\% | 60.1\% | 76.9\% | 13.1\% | 89.1\% | 4.5\% | 13.1\% | 92.5\% | 30.7\% |
|  | total | 89.3\% | 80.0\% | 60.5\% | 76.6\% | 12.0\% | 89.0\% | 4.5\% | 12.6\% | 92.7\% | 29.7\% |
| Other | ALL | 1.0\% | 99.1\% | 11.0\% | 57.9\% | 4.0\% | 70.5\% | 5.6\% | 66.3\% | 7.9\% | 15.0\% |
| Grand Total |  | 10.2\% | 89.9\% | 18.5\% | 60.2\% | 3.5\% | 14.9\% | 2.4\% | 20.1\% | 69.4\% | 19.3\% |

Table 32. Discard rates (discarded/total catch) of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Gulf of Alaska, 1992 Target species discard rates by fishery are listed in bold

|  | Allocated Species or Species Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Targel fishery | Gear | Atka mackere | Arrowtooth flounder | Deepwater Llatfish | Shallow flatfish | Pacific cod | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockfish | Other | Grand Total |
|  | Arrowtooth flounder | Hook\&lin | - | 95.8\% | 43.8\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 68.1\% | 100.0\% | 98.2\% |
|  | . | Pot | - | 100.0\% | - | - | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | . | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Trawl | - | 21.4\% | 56.3\% | 3.4\% | 68.8\% | 96.6\% | 91.6\% | 23.7\% | 97.0\% | 57.1\% |
|  |  | TOTAL | - | 24.3\% | 55.9\% | 58.8\% | 73.7\% | 96.8\% | 92.8\% | 25.2\% | 99.3\% | 61.4\% |
|  | Deepwater flatish | Jig | - | - | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% |
|  |  | Trawl | 0.0\% | 98.9\% | 12.7\% | 17.3\% | 21.3\% | 77.3\% | 20.5\% | 48.0\% | 99.7\% | 58.9\% |
|  |  | TOTAL | 0.0\% | 98.9\% | 12.7\% | 17.3\% | 21.3\% | 77.3\% | 20.5\% | 48.0\% | 99.7\% | 58.9\% |
|  | Shallow flatfish | Hook\&lin | - | - | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | - | - | 0.0\% |
|  |  | Trawl | - | 100.0\% | 19.8\% | 10.5\% | 29.8\% | 55.6\% | 10.1\% | 13.6\% | 99.5\% | 39.0\% |
|  |  | TOTAL | - | 100.0\% | 19.8\% | 10.5\% | 29.8\% | 55.6\% | 10.1\% | 13.6\% | 99.5\% | 39.0\% |
|  | Pacific cod | Hook\&lin | 100.0\% | 99.7\% | 89.6\% | 99.6\% | 1.3\% | 86.0\% | 58.9\% | 23.4\% | 98.7\% | 7.5\% |
|  |  | Jig | - | - | - | - | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | - . | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |
| $\pm$ |  | Other | - | - | - | 100.0\% | 0.1\% | 4.9\% | - , | - | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |
|  |  | Pot | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 74.9\% | 1.6\% | 48.1\% | 100.0\% | 23.1\% | 56.3\% | 2.6\% |
|  |  | Trawl | 10.3\% | 98.8\% | 29.7\% | 61.9\% | 3.3\% | 86.3\% | 28.4\% | 51.5\% | 99.8\% | 22.5\% |
|  |  | TOTAL | 27.0\% | 98.9\% | $30.9 \%$ | 62.0\% | ( $2.6 \%$ | 86.3\% | 48.3\% | 44.8\% | 95.8\% | 17.6\% |
|  | Pollock | Bot. trawl | - | 98.4\% | 17.8\% | 28.1\%. | 8.5\% | 7.8\% | 14.3\% | 76.0\% | 96.7\% | 11.9\% |
|  |  | Pel. trawl | - | 96.0\% | 90.5\% | 97.8\% | 21.5\% | 8.0\% | 2.9\% | 48.7\% | 97.0\% | 9.2\% |
|  |  | TOTAL | - | 97.7\% | 23.5\% | 42.1\% | 11.7\% | 8.0\% | 12.7\% | 73.1\% | 96.9\% | 9.9\% |
|  | Sablefish | Ilook\&lin | 100.0\% | 99.4\% ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | 99.4\% | 100.0\% | 65.7\% | 100.0\% | 1.4\% | 36.3\% | 99.8\% | 23.4\% |
|  |  | Trawl | - | 68.8\% | 4.8\% | 100.0\% | 39.2\% | 100.0\% | 6.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 60.2\% |
|  |  | TOTAL | 100.0\% | $99.1 \%$ | 99.3\% | 100.0\% | 656\% | 100.0\% | 1.4\% | 36.3\% | 99.8\% | 23.4\% |
|  | Rockfish | Hook\&lin | - | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 100.0\% | 22.1\% | 0.2\% | 53.1 \% | 2.1\% |
|  |  | Jig | - | . | - | - | 0.0\% | - | - | 0.0\% | , | 0.0\% |
|  |  | Other | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% |
|  |  | Pot | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% | - | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% |
|  |  | Trawl | 55.1\% | 94.3\% | 53.4\% | 47.2\% | 31.4\% | 74.5\% | 21.5\% | 18.1\% | 76.5\% | 33.3\% |
|  | . | total | 55.1\% | 94.3\% | 53.4\% | 47.2\% | 28.9\% | 74.5\% | 21.5\% | 17.1\% | 76.2\% | 31.9\% |
|  | Other | ALL | 4.0\% | 98.1\% | 59.6\% | 53.3\% | 57.3\% | 87.1\% | 36.5\% | 65.8\% | 7.8\% | 20.6\% |
|  | Grand Total |  | 4.7\% | 97.6\% | 38.2\% | 35.6\% | 4.7\% | 16.7\% | 4.2\% | 23.5\% | 51.9\% | 21.4\% |

Table 33. Discard rates (discarded/total catch) of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Gulf of Alaska, 1993.
Target species discard rates by fishery are listed in bold

| Allocated Species or Species Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Targes | Gear | Atka mackerel | Arrowtooth flounder | Deepwater flatish | Shallow flatilish | Pacific cod | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockfish | Oiher | Grand Total |
| Arrowtooth flounder | Hook\&line | - | 5.5\% | 100.0\% | - | 58.9\% | 100.0\% | 50.9\% | 79.4\% | 100.0\% | 45.2\% |
|  | Trawl | - | 33.5\% | $36.9 \%$ | 30.8\% | 18.8\% | 95.7\% | 28.7\% | 56.0\% | 94.0\% | 37.5\% |
|  | TOTAL | - | 33.2\% | 36.9\% | 30.8\% | 22.1\% | 95.8\% | 34.2\% | 56.8\% | 94.1\% | 37.7\% |
| Deepwater flatfish | Hook\&line | - | - | 0.0\% | - | - | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% |
|  | Trawl | - | 98.6\% | 15.8\% | 23.1\% | 45.9\% | 95.7\% | 33.2\% | 47.4\% | 98.0\% | 62.1\% |
|  | TOTAL | - | 98.6\% | 15.8\% | 23.1\% | 45.9\% | 95.7\% | 33.1\% | 47.4\% | 98.0\% | 62.1\% |
| Shallow flatish | Trawl | 100.0\% | 95.0\% | 23.9\% | 25.7\% | 49.6\% | 72.2\% | 37.0\% | 75.5\% | 99.6\% | 49.1\% |
| Pacific cod | Hook\&line | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 2.5\% | 87.6\% | 13.2\% | 7.5\% | 99.6\% | 11.4\% |
|  | Jig | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% | -- | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% |
|  | Other | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% |
|  | Pot | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.8\% | 99.6\% | 0.0\% | 53.5\% | 63.1\% | 2.3\% |
|  | Trawl | 54.5\% | 100.0\% | 52.8\% | 61.8\% | 4.6\% | 45.0\% | 36.6\% | 93.4\% | 99.6\% | 16.2\% |
|  | TOTAL | 88.8\% | 100.0\% | 52.9\% | 62.0\% | 3.5\% | 45.9\% | 28.5\% | 82.5\% ${ }^{\text { }}$ | 94.0\% | 12.9\% |
| Pollock | Bot. trawl | - | 100.0\% | 27.2\% | 55.1\% | 50.1\% | 9.4\% | 8.0\% | 35.7\% | 99.9\% | 21.0\% |
|  | Pel. Irawl | 100.0\% | 90.1\% | 74.7\% | 44.5\% | 62.4\% | 5.0\% | 7.2\% | 59.6\% | 98.7\% | 6.1\% |
|  | TOTAL | 100.0\% | 96.7\% | 28.6\% | 54.4\% | 52.2\% | 5.8\% | 7.9\% | 42.7\% | 99.5\% | 9.3\% |
| Sablefish | Hook\&line | - | 98.1\% | 99.7\% | 100.0\% | 72.5\% | 97.7\% | 1.6\% | 43.0\% | 98.4\% | 19.0\% |
|  | Trawl | - | 100.0\% | 89.7\% | - | 23.4\% | 100.0\% | 5.7\% | 50.1\% | 100.0\% | 51.7\% |
|  | TOTAL | - | 98.2\% | 99.6\% | 100.0\% | 72.3\% | 99.3\% | 1.6\% | 43.1\% | 98.4\% | 19.2\% |
| Rockfish | Hook\&line | - | 65.8\% | 95.7\% | 0.0\% | 12.9\% | 55.6\% | 32.1\% | 1.3\% | 89.3\% | 5.2\% |
|  | Jig | - | - | - | - | 0.0\% | - | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% |
|  | Trawl | 48.9\% | 86.4\% | 75.4\% | 73.1\% | 70.7\% | 100.0\% | 3.8\% | 31.2\% | 64.0\% | 35.3\% |
|  | TOTAL | 48.9\% | 86.4\% | 75.4\% | 65.0\% | 63.3\% | 100.0\% | 4.8\% | 29.6\% | 65.7\% | 33.8\% |
| Other | ALL | 5.3\% | 99.6\% | 15.9\% | 68.7\% | 62.1\% | 95.2\% | 8.4\% | 92.0\% | 10.0\% | 26.8\% |
| Grand Total |  | 7.9\% | 92.0\% | 29.5\% | 35.3\% | 10.4\% | 7.6\% | 3.3\% | 37.3\% | 73.1\% | 19.9\% |

Table 34. Discard rates (discard/total catch) of allocated groundfish species and species groups by target fishery and gear in the Gulf of Alaska, 1994. Target species discard rates by fishery are listed in bold.


Table 35. Bycatch of prohibited species by target fishery and gear type in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands region in 1990 1990 data are based on weekly processor reports and observed bycatch rates, not NMFS blend data (used in 1991-94). Halibut are listed as tons of catch, not tons of mortality.

| Target <br> Eishery | Gear | Halibuld | Herrins | Chinook salmon H | Other salmon\# | Red king crab ${ }^{\text {H }}$ | Other king crab | Bairdi tanner crab fi | Other tanner crab \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alka mackerel | Trawl | 138 | - | 90 | 234 | 259 | - | 252 | - |
| Arrowtooth flounder | Trawl | 3 | - | 5 | 2 | 14 | -. | 5,717 | - |
| Yelluwfin sute | Trawl | 53 | 15 | 19 | 14 | 900 | - | 112,976 | - |
| Greenland turboi | Hook + line | 22 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - |
|  | Trawl | 159 | 1 | 88 | 81 | 1,184 | - | 2,955 | - |
|  | TOTAL | 181 | 1 | 88 | 81 | 1,184 | - | 2,962 | - |
| Rock sole | Trawl | 283 | 1 | 197 | 11 | 58,105 | - | 403,197 | - |
| Other flatfish | Trawl | 2 | - | - 1 | 1 | 484 | - | 4.792 | - |
| Pacific cod | Hook + line | 1,736 | - | 4 | 22 | 2 | - | 1,496 | - |
|  | Other | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 9 | - | 137 | - |
|  | Pot | 21 | - | ,- | - | 9,762 | - | 20,023 | - |
|  | Trawl | 1,455 | 3 | 3,264 | 107 | 18,912 | - | 431,222 | - |
|  | TOTAL | 3,214 | 3 | 3,270. | 130 | 28,685 | - | 452,877 | - |
| Pollock | Bot. trawl | 665 | 58 | 1,344 | 1,723 | 7,339 | - | 176,204 | - |
|  | Pel. Lrawl | 2,181 | 3,174 | 8,694 | 13,807 | 11,526 | - | 566,404 | - |
|  | TOTAL | 2,846 | 3,232 | 10,039 | 15,530 | 18,865 | - | 742,608 | - |
| Sablefish | Hook+line | 332 | - | , - | 0 | - | - | 46 | - |
|  | Trawl | 5 | - | 5 | 0 | 47 | - | 2,120 | - |
|  | TOTAL | 337 | - | - 5 | 0 | 47. | - | 2,167 | - |
| Rockfish | Hook + line | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
|  | Trawl | 126 | 0 | 93 | 206 | 583 | - | 2.909 | - |
|  | TOTAL | 128 | 0 | 93 | 206 | 583 | - | 2,909 | - |
| Other | ALL | 19 | - | 7 | 28 | 76 | - | 1,268 | - |
| Grand Total |  | 7.206 | 3,252 | 13,813 | 16,239 | 109,201 | - | 1,731,725 | - |

Table 36. Bycatch of prohibited species by target fishery and gear type in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands region 1991. Halibut are listed as tons of catch, not tons of mortality.


Table 37. Bycatch of prohibited species by target fishery and gear type in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands region 1992.
Halibut are listed as tons of catch, not tons of mortality.

| Target Eishery | Gear | Halibul | Herring. | Chinook <br> salmon H | Other salmon t | Red king crab | Other king crab \# | Bairdi tanner crab \# | Other tanner crab ${ }^{t}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alka mackerel | Trawl | 109 | - | 38 | 8 | 130 | 12,289 | 564 | - |
| Arrowtooth flounder | Trawl | 1 | 0 | - | - | - | 482 | 12,237 | 744 |
| Yellowfin sole | Trawl | 846 | 409 | 185 | 1,042 | 62,660 | 58.544 | 1,547,867 | 11,215;706 |
| Greenland wurbot | Hook + line | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| Rock sole | Trawl | 804 | 7 | 51 | - | 60.728 | 15,743 | 632.421 | 913,163 |
| Other flation | Hook + line | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
|  | Trawl | 42 | 3 | 2 | - | 1,520 | 2,407 | 280.318 | 1,366,023 |
|  | TOTAL | 42 | 3 | 2 | - | 1,520 | 2,407 | 280.318 | 1,366,023 |
| Pacific cod | Hook + line | 7,848 | - | 55 | 113 | 2,987 | 1,048 | 22,971 | 12,456 |
|  | Jig | 0 | - | - | - | . | . | - | . |
|  | Pot | 112 | - | - | - | 10.551 | 17.121 | 240.536 | 135,338 |
|  | Trawl | 1,777 | 9 | 4,869 | 32 | 122 | 394 | 198,286 | 98,130 |
|  | TOTAL | 9.737 | 9 | 4,924 | 145 | 13,660 | 18,562 | 461,793 | 245.924 |
| Pollock | Bot. Urawl | 583 | 17 | 4.974 | 432 | 35.874 | 8.047 | 467.714 | 1.033.404 |
|  | Pel. Lrawl | 1,677 | 630 | 31,095 | 39.870 | 8.029 | 12,871 | 1,056,902 | 368,476 |
|  | TOTAL | 2,259 | 648 | 36.069 | 40,302 | 43,903 | 20,918 | 1,524,616 | 1,401,880 |
| Sablefish | Hook+line | 222 | - | - | - | 45 | 487 | 3 | 997 |
|  | Trawl | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | total | 223 | - | - | - | 45 | 487 | 3 | 997 |
| Rockilish | Hook + line | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
|  | Trawl | 235 | 0 | 1,167 | 5 | 873 | 2,809 | 4,188 | 802 |
|  | TOTAL | 235 | 0 | 1,167 | 5 | 873 | 2.809 | 4,188 | 805 |
| Other | ALL ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 19 | - | 4,196 |
| Grand Total |  | 14,279 | 1,076 | 42,438 | 41,502 | 183,527 | 132,261 | 4,464,007. | 15,149,446 |

Table 38. Bycatch of prohibited species by target fishery and gear type in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands region 1993.
Halibut are listed as tons of catch. not tons of mortality.-

| Target Eishery | Gear | Halibus | Herring | Chinook salmont | Other salmon* | Red king crab䒜 | Other king crab 卉 | Bairdi tanner crab H | Other tanner crab ${ }^{\text {E }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atka mackerel | Trawl | 296 | - | 2 | 176 | - | 5,542 | 119 | 10 |
| Arrowlooth flounder | Hook + line | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Trawl | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | TOTAL | 5 | -. | - | - | - | - | $\bullet$ | - |
| Yellowfin sole | Trawl | 874 | 220 | 210 | 146 | 18,706 | 5.969 | 1,021,074 | 9,818,766 |
| Greenland turbot | Hook + line | 612 | - | - | 4. | 3 | 1,260 | 34 | 2,282 |
|  | Trawl | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 83 |
|  | TOTAL | 612 | - | - | 4 | 3 | 1,260 | 34 | 2,365 |
| Rock sole | Trawl | 692 | 7 | 27 | 357 | 171,549 | 77,951 | 455,376 | 2.513.713 |
| Other flatish | Trawl | 136 | 13 | 79 | 203 | 2,785 | 29,073 | 103,045 | 1,958,677 |
| Pacifie cod | Hook + line | 2,433 | - | 62 | - | 428 | 978 | 8,840 | 145,508 |
|  | Pot | 8 | - | - | - | 12 | - | 1,595 | 1,218 |
|  | Trawl | 1,862 | 24 | 6,246 | 142 | 1,324 | 1,172 | 230,590 | 184,936 |
|  | TOTAL | 4,302 | 24 | 6,308 | 142 | 1,765 | 2,150 | 241,025 | 331,661 |
| Pollock | Bot. uawl | 702 | 8 | 4,188 | 2,609 | 49,370 | 1,628 | 1,279,103 | 534,152 |
|  | Pel. trawl | 641 | 520 | 34,450 | 239,654 | 9,550 | 405 | 392,461 | 217,946 |
|  | TOTAL | 1,344 | 528 | 38,638 | 242,263 | 58,920 | 2,033 | 1,671,565 | 752,098 |
| Sablefish | Hook + line | 287 | - | - | 5 | 6 | 2,450 | 8 | 621 |
|  | Trawl | 1 | - | - | 14 | - | 530 | - | 163 |
|  | TOTAL | 289 | - | - | 19 | 6 | 2,980 | 8 | 785 |
| Rockfish | Hook + line | 62 | - | - | - | 6 | 32 | - | 1 |
|  | Trawl | 211 | 0 | 1,121 | 74 | 140 | 6,169 | 902 | 512 |
|  | 'TOTAL | 273 | 0 | 1,121 | 74 | 146 | 6,201 | 902 | 513 |
| Other | ALL | 23 | 0 | - | - | 1,618 | 4 | 8,893 | 861 |
| Grand Toual |  | 8,845 | 792 | 46,384 | 243,384 | 255,499 | 133,165 | 3,502,040 | 15,379,450 |

Table 39. Bycatch of prohibited species by target fishery and gear type in the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands region 1994. Halibut are listed as tons of catch, not tons of mortality.

| Target <br> Fishery | Gear ${ }^{\prime}$ | Halibut | Herring: | Chinook salmon h | Other salmon \# | Red king - crab \# | Other king crab \# | Bairdi tanner crab \# | Other tanner crab H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alka mackerel | Trawl | 246 | - | 2,272 | 231 | - | 1,422 | 6 | - |
| Yellowfin sole | Trawl | 827 | 86 | 53 | 237 | 16,881 | '12,898 | 1,142,486 | 8,673,012 |
| Greenland turbol | Hook+line | 328 | - | 7 | - | 18 | 233 | - | 206 |
|  | Trawl | 926 | - | 58 | - | 329 | 6,028 | 1,916 | 278,043 |
|  | TOTAL | 1,254 | - | 64 | - | 347 | 6,261 | 1,916 | 278,249 |
| Rock sole | Trawl | 947 | 13 | 342 | - | 216,819 | 23,106 | 603,838 | 855,096 |
| Other flatfish | Trawl | 209 | 4 | 669 | 53 | - . | 491 | 125,381 | 1,414,360 |
| Pacific cod | Hook + line | 6,982 | - . | 25 | 13 | 155 | 425 | 24,523 | 15,841 |
|  | Jig | 96 | - | 92 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Pot | 52 | - | - | - | 628 | 2,322 | 23,513 | 23,062 |
|  | Trawl | 2,090 | 3 | 6,855 | 1,218 | 1,269 | 2,160 | 273,486 | 312,707 |
|  | TOTAL | 9,220 | 3 | 6,972 | 1.231 | 2,052 | 4,906 | 321,522 | 351,610 |
| Pollock | Bot. trawl | 359 | 81 | 1,842 | 7,452 | 42,430 | 616 | 225,246 | 551,276 |
|  | Pel. trawl | 619 | 1,576 | 32,080 | 87,085 | 1,451 | 612 | 150,567 | 356,086 |
|  | TOTAL | 979 | 1,657 | 33,923 | 94,537 | 43,881 | 1,228 | 375,813 | 907,361 |
| Sablefish | Hook+line | 257 | - | 2 | 27 | 119 | 1,314 | 21 | 656 |
|  | Pot | 6 | - | - | 0 | - | 11 | - | 7 |
|  | Trawl. | 9 | - | - | 3 | - | 270 | 590 | 6,954 |
|  | TOTAL | 272 | - | 2 | 30 | 119 | 1,594 | 611 | 7.618 |
| Rockfish | Hook + line | 4 | - | - | - | 0 | 21 | - | 0 |
|  | Trawl | 74 | - | 118 | 94 | 1,636 | 25,771 | 136 | 9 |
|  | TOTAL | 78 | - | 118 | 94 | 1,637 | 25,792 | 136 | 9 |
| Other | ALL | 0 | - | - | 0 | - . | - | 2 | 2 |
| Grand Total |  | 14,032 | 1,762 | 44,414 | 96,414 | 281,736 | 77,700 | 2,571,711 | 12,487,317 |

Table 40. Bycatch of prohibited species by target fishery and gear type in the Gulf of Alaska region in 1990.
1990 data are based on weekly processor reports and observed bycatch rates, not NMFS blend data (used in 1991-94). Halibut are listed as tons of catch, not tons of mortality.

| Target Fishery | Gear | Halibuı | Herring- | Chinook salmon. | Other salmon $\frac{H}{}$ | Red king crab \# | Other king crab\# \# | Bairdi tanner crab \# | Other tanner crab H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arrowtooth flounder | Trawl | 95 | - | 323 | 25 | 22 | - | 250 | - |
| Deepwater flatfish | Hook + line | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Pot | 0 | ,- | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Trawl | 355 | - | 4,444 | 91 | 122 | - | 5,160 | - |
|  | TOTAL | 357 | - | 4,444 | 91 | 122 | - | 5,160 | - |
| Shallow flatfish | Pot | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 976 | - |
|  | Trawl | 187 | - | 266 | 28 | 3 | - | 2,822 | - |
|  | TOTAL | 189 | - | 266 | 28 | 3 | - | 3,798 | - |
| Pacific cod | Hook+line | 720 | - | - | - | 5 | - | 27 | - |
|  | Other | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | 52 | - |
|  | Pot | 261 | - | 455 | - | 15,369 | - | 100,424 | - |
|  | Trawl | 1,688 | - | 3,543 | 1,376 | 187 | - | 22,111 | - |
|  | TOTAL | 2,670 | - | 3,999 | 1,376 | 15,564 | - | 122,614 | - |
| Pollock | Bot, trawl | 506 | - | 1,482 | 1,121 | 25 | - | 24,111 | - |
|  | Pel. trawl | 427 | - | 3,401 | 514 | 20 | - | 22,823 | - |
|  | TOTAL | 933 | - | 4,883 | 1,635 | 44 | - | 46,935 | - |
| Sablefish | Hook + line | 6,594 | - | - | 62 | $89^{\circ}$ | - | 290 | - |
|  | Pot | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Trawl | 15 | - | 10 | 8 | 0 | - | 520 | - |
|  | TOTAL | 6,609 | - | 10 | 69 | 89 | - | 810 | - |
| Rockfish | Hook+line | 89 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
|  | Jig | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | - |
|  | Pot | 0 |  | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
|  | Trawl | 925 | - | 2,186 | 866 | 45 | - | 9,935 | - |
|  | TOTAL | 1,015 | - | 2,186 | 866 | 45 | - | 9,937 | - |
| Other | ALL | 36 | - | 110 | 42 | 0 | , - | 40 | - |
| Grand Total , |  | 11,904 | - | 16,220 | 4,133 | 15,888 | - | 189,543 | - |

Table 41. Bycatch of prohibited species by target fishery and gear type in the Gulf of Alaska region in 1991. Halibut are listed as tons of catch. not tons of mortality.

| Target <br> Eishery | Gear | Halibut | Herring! | Chinook <br> salmon H | Other salmon \# | Red king crab \# | Other king <br>  | Bairdi tanner crab \# | Other tanner crab\# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arrowtooth flounder | Trawl | 19 | - | 66 | 0 | - | 45 | 5 | 449 |
| Deepwater flatish | Hook+line | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Trawl | 1,349 | 0 | 4,008 | 464 | 88 | 963 | 11,913 | 2,913 |
|  | TOTAL | 1,351 | 0 | 4,008 | 464 | 88 | 963 | 11,913 | 2,913 |
| Shallow. flatfish | Trawl | 75 | - | 125 | 17 | 5 | 2 | 7,791 | 15 |
| Pacific cod | Hook+line | 1,009 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 55 |
|  | Jig | 9 | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Other | - | - - | . | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Pot | 56 | 0 | - | - | 119 | - | 32,462 | - |
|  | Trawl | 939 | 0 | 3,797 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 50,854 | 493 |
|  | TOTAL | 2,013 | 0 | 3,797 | 20 | 123 | 0 | 83.324 | 548 |
| Pollock | Bot. crawl | 266 | - | 4,026 | 134 | - | - | 17,712 | 586 |
|  | Pel. trawl | 55 | 1 | 4,661 | 12,735 | - | - | 782 | 2 |
|  | TOTAL | 321 | 1 | 8.687 | 12,869 | - | - | 18,494 | 588 |
| Sablefish | Hook+line | 4,254 | - | $\cdot$ | 6 | - | 436 | 340 | 1,553 |
|  | Traw] | 5 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 681 | - |
|  | TOTAL | 4,259 | - | - | 6 | - | 441 | 1.021 | 1,553 |
| Rockfish | Hook + line | 58 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 |
|  | Jig | 44 | - | - | - | $\cdot$ | - | - | - |
|  | Trawl | 1,438 | 0 | 21,080 | 1,037. | 2 | 569 | 10.992 | 2,985. |
|  | TOTAL | 1,541 | 0 | 21,080 | 1,037 | 2 | 569 | 10,992 | 2,985 |
| Other | ALL | 56 | - | 64 | 126 | - | 1 | 112 | 7 |
| Grand Total |  | 9;635 | 1 | 37.827 | 14,538 | 218 | 2,022 | 133,651 | 9,057 |

Table 42. Bycatch of prohibited species by target fishery and gear type in the Gulf of Alaska region in 1992. Halibut are listed as tons of catch, not tons of mortality.

| Target Eishery | Gear | Halibus | Herrings | Chinook salmon \# | Other | Red king crab H | Other king crab ${ }^{H}$ | Bairdi tanner crab H | Other tanner crab \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arrowtooth flounder | Hook + line | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Trawl | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | TOTAL | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Deepwater flatish | Jig | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Traw | 1,076 | $\cdot$ | 1,996 | 625 | 47 | - | 39,750 | 593 |
|  | TOTAL | 1.076 | - | 1,996 | 625 | 47 | - | 39,750 | 593 |
| Shallow flatish | Hook + line | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Trawl | 316 | 0 | 102 | 88 | 10 | 62 | 20.554 | 21 |
|  | TOTAL | 316 | 0 | 102 | 88 | 10 | 62 | 20,554 | 21 |
| Pacific cod | Hook+line | 3,245 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 278 | 117 |
|  | Jig | 16 | - | - | - | - | . | - | - |
|  | Other | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Pot | 101 | - | - | - | 22 | - | 25,239 | 7 |
|  | Trawl | 886 | - | 4,439 | 55 | 18 | - | 40,997 | 1.846 |
|  | TOTAL | 4.248 | - | 4.439 | 55 | 40 | 4 | 66,514 | 1.971 |
| Pollock | Bot. trawi | 163 | 0 | 4,520 | 157 | - | 184 | 3.548 | 744 |
|  | Pel. trawl | 13 | 26 | 3.761 | 6.633 | - | 0 | 1,892 | 1 |
|  | TOTAL | 177 | 27 | 8,282 | 6,790 | - | 184 | 5.439 | 745 |
| Sablefish | Hook+line | 4,095 | - | 19 | 144 | - | 395 | 167 | 10,000 |
|  | Trawl | 2 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 14 | - |
|  | total | 4,097 | - | 21 | 144 | - | 395 | 181 | 10,000 |
| Rockfish | Hook+line | 83 | - | - | - | $\because$ | - | 0 | - |
|  | Jig | 33 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Other | - | $\cdot$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Pot | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Trawl | 821 | 0 | 2,187 | 3,600 | - | 545 | 8,045 | 434 |
|  | total | 938 | 0 | 2.187 | 3,600 | - | 545 | 8,045 | 434 |
| Other | ALL | 180 | - | 110 | - | - | - | 365 | 173 |
| Grand Total |  | 11,034 | 27 | 17,137 | 11.301 | 97 | 1.190 | 140,847 | 13,938 |

Table 43. Bycatch of prohibited species by target fishery and gear type in the Gulf of Alaska region in 1993. Halibut are listed as tons of catch, not tons of mortality.

| Target Eishery | Gear | Halibut | Herring ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | Chinook salmon \# | Other salmon \# | Red king crab b | Other king crab ${ }^{H}$ | Bairdi tanner cгab \# | Other tanner crab \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arrowtooth flounder | Hook+line | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13. |
|  | Trawl | 97 | 0 | 34 | - | - - | 1 | 411 | - |
|  | TOTAL | 112 | 0 | 34 | - | - | 1 | 411 | 13 |
| Deepwater flatfish | Hook+line | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Trawl | 964 | - | 2,786 | 195 | - | 49 | 7,394 | 2,542 |
|  | TOTAL | 965 | - | 2,786 | 195 | - . | 49 | 7,394 | 2,542 |
| Shallow flatfish | Trawl | 945 | - | 1,207 | 1,021 | 868 | 3 | 27,304 | 2,737 |
| Pacific cod | Hook+line | - 580 | - | . - | - | - . | - | 62 | 185 |
|  | Pot | 48 | - | - | - | - | 22 | 20,367 | 3,622 |
|  | Trawl | 702 | 0 | 1,234 | 626 | 197 | 2 | 21,696 | . - |
|  | TOTAL | 1,330 | 0 | 1,234 | 626 | 197 | 23 | 42,125 | 3,807 |
| Pollock | Bot. trawl | 138 | 0 | 924 | 34,134 | - | - - | 640 | - |
|  | Pel. trawl | 2 | 6 | 12.743 | 51.391 | - | - | - | - - |
|  | TOTAL | 140 | 6 | 13,667 | 85,525 | - | - | 640 | - |
| Sablefish | Hook+line | 8.630 | - | 69 | 275 | - | 1,468 | 1,078 | 19,169 |
|  | Trawl | 4 | - | 33 | - | - | - | - | 23 |
|  | TOTAL | 8,635 | - | 101 | 275 | - | 1,468 | 1.078 | 19.192 |
| Rockfish | Hook + line | 121 | $i$ | - | - - | - | 3 | - | - |
|  | Trawl | 465 | $\cdot$ | 237 | 439 | - | 1,320 | 1 | 2,798 |
|  | TOTAL | 586 | - | 237 | 439 | - | 1,323 | 1. | 2,798 |
| Other | ALL | . 96 | - | 302 | 152 | - | - | 5 | 266 |
| Grand Total |  | 12,808 | 6 | 19,569 | 88,234 | 1,065 | 2,868 | 78,958 | 31,355 |

Table 44. Bycatch of prohibited species by target fishery and gear type in the Gulf of Alaska region in 1994. Halibut are listed as tons of catch, not tons of mortality.

| Target Eishery | Gear | Halibuts | Herring | Chinook salmon \# | Other salmon ${ }^{H}$ | Red king scrab H | Other king crab \# | Bairdi tanner crab.\# | Other tanner crab ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alka mackere! | Trawl | 23 | - | 56 | 28 | - | - | 10 | 44 |
| Arrowtooth flounder | Trawl | 139 | 0 | 139 | - | - | - | 3,766 | 11 |
| Deepwater flatfish | Trawl | 1,905 | - | 4,126 | 165 | - | 295 | 15,761 | 1,130 |
| Shallow flatish | Trawl | 394 | 0 | 102 | 114 | 46 | 10 | 8,806 | 35 |
| Pacific cod | Hook + line | 1,011 | , - | 2 | - | - | - | 173 | 123 |
|  | Pot | 84 | - | - | - | 18 | - | 15,315 | 1,577 |
|  | Trawl | 1,100 | 0 | 1,852 | 144 | 2 | - | 4,512 | 22 |
|  | TOTAL | 2,196 | 0 | 1,854 | 144 | 21 | - | 20,000 | 1,723 |
| Pollock | Bot. [rawl | 73 | 2 | 1,715 | 8,215 | - | 164 | 1,211 | 654 |
|  | Pel. trawl | 19 | 100 | 5,877 | 31.157 | - | - | 8 | - |
|  | TOTAL | 92 | 102 | 7,592 | 39,373 | - | 164 | 1,219 | 654 |
| Sablefish | Hook + line | 5,104 | - | - | 124 | 6 | 567 | 810 | 6,888 |
|  | Trawl | 22 | - | 22 | - | - | - | 3 | 9 |
|  | TOTAL | 5,126 | - | 22 | 124 | 6 | 567 | 813 | 6,897 |
| Rockfish | Hook + line | 497 | - | - | - | - | 18 | - | - |
|  | Trawl | 205 | - | 136 | 345 | - | 5,088 | 2,921 | 2,914 |
|  | TOTAL | 702 | - | 136 | 345 | - | 5,106 | 2.921 | 2,914 |
| Other | ALL | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 |
| Grand Total |  | 10,577 | 102 | 14,027 | 40,292 | 73 | 6,142 | 53,295 | 13,409 |

Table 45. Estimated catches ( t ) of other species by the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands groundfish fisheries in 1990 using observer target fishery, gear and subarea bycatch rates and weekly processor reported target species catches. "-" < 0.5 mt of estimated catch. This is essentially a detailed breakdown of the Other Species category in Table 5, but resulting in different totals.


* Misc. = eelpouts, snipe eels, greenlings, and lumpsuckers.

Table 46. Estimated catches ( $t$ ) of other species by the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands groundfish fisheries in 1991 using observer target fishery, gear and subarea bycatch rates and NMFS blend target species catches. "-" < 0.5 mt of estimated catch.
This is essentially a detailed breakdown of the Other Species category in Table 6, but resulting indifferent totals (see text).


* Misc. = eelpouts, snipe eels, greenlings, and lumpsuckers.

Table 47. Estimated catches ( t ) of other species by the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands groundfish fisheries in 1992 using observer target fishery, gear and subarea bycatch rates and NMFS blend target species catches. "-" < 0.5 mt of estimated catch.
This is essentially a detailed breakdown of the Other Species category in Table 7, but resulting in different totals (see text).


Table 48. Estimated catches ( t ) of other species by the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands groundfish fisheries in 1993 using observer target fishery, gear and subarea bycatch rates and NMFS blend target species catches. "-" $<0.5 \mathrm{mt}$ of estimated catch.
This is essentially a detailed breakdown of the Other Species category in Table 8, but resulting in different totals (see text).


Table 49. Estimated catches ( t ) of other species by the Gulf of Alaska groundfish fisheries in 1990 using observer target fishery, gear and subarea bycatch rates and weekly processor reported target species catches. "-" < 0.5 mt of estimated catch. This is essentially a detailed breakdown of the Other Species category in Table 10, but resulting in different totals (see text).

| Fishery | Gear | Squid | Octopus | Smelts | Sharks | Skates | Sculpins | Grenadiers | Misc. * | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arrowtooth | Trawl | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 37 |
| Bottom Pollock | Hook\&line | - | - | - | 3 | 10 | - | - | 0 | 13 |
|  | Trawl | 1 | 1 | 22. | 131 | 124 | 68 | 12 | 6 | 365 |
| Cod | Hook\&line | - | 4 | 0 | 16 | 139 | 44 | 4 | 4 | 210 |
|  | Pot | - | 69 | 4 | 0 | - | 48 | - | 0 | 122 |
|  | Trawl | 0 | 6 | 7 | 49 | 465 | 656 | 0 | 13 | 1,197 |
| Deepwater Flatfish | Trawl | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - |
| Other | Trawl | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | 1 |
| Pelagic Pollock | Trawl | 0 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 59 |
| Rockfish | Hook\&line | 3 | - | 0 | 10 | 42 | - | 462 | - | 518 |
|  | Trawl | 54 | 8 | 66 | 16 | 58 | 118 | 623 | 3 | 946 |
| Sablefish | Hook\&line | 0 | 1 | 0 | 74 | 280 | 1 | 8,386 | 0 | 8,742 |
|  | Trawl | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 1 | 128 | 0 | 181 |
| Shallow flatfish | Trawl | - | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 13 | - | 0 | 25 |
| Total | $\cdots$ | 60 | 90 | 127 | 330 | 1,197 | 954 | 9,630 | 28 | 12,415 |

* Misc. = hagfish, ratfish, eelpouts, snipe eels, greenlings, poachers, and lumpsuckers.

Table 50. Estimated catches ( t ) of other species by the Gulf of Alaska groundfish fisheries in 1991 using observer target fishery, gear and subarea bycatch rates and NMFS blend target species catches. "-" < 0.5 mt of estimated catch.
This is essentially a detailed breakdown of the Other Species category in Table 11, but resulting in different totals (see text).


Table 51. Estimated catches ( t ) of other species by the Gulf of Alaska groundfish-fisheries in 1992 using observer target fishery, gear and subarea bycatch rates and NMFS blend target species catches. "-" < 0.5 mt of estimated catch.
This is essentially a detailed breakdown of the Other Species category in Table 12, but resulting in different totals (see text).

| Fishery | Gear | Squid | Octopus | Smelts | Sharks | Skates | Sculpins Grenadiers |  | Misc. * | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arrowtooth | Hook\&line | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | 3 | - | 3 |
|  | Trawl | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1. | 5 | 0 | 9 |
| Bottom Pollock | Hook\&line | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | - | 1 |
|  | Trawl | 7 | 4 | 156 | 150 | 131 | 180 | 2 | 7 | 637 |
| Cod | Hook\&line | - | 8 | 109 | 146 | 1,031 | 114 | 4 | 1 | 1,412 |
|  | Pot | - | 102 | 15 | - | 0 | 70 | - | 0 | 187 |
|  | Trawl | 0 | 12 | 6 | 19 | 226 | 761 | 13 | 12 | 1,050 |
| Deepwater Flatfish | Trawl | 89 | - | - | - | 43 | 27 | 6,618 | - | 6,777 |
| Other | Trawl | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 6 | 47 | - | 3 | 59 |
| Pelagic Pollock | Trawl | 27 | 0 | 72 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 156 |
| Rockfish | Hook\&line | - | 0 | 3 | 8 | 28 | 0 | 218 | 0 | 257 |
|  | Trawl | 18 | 2 | 165 | 3 | 73 | 45 | 292 | 8 | 604 |
| Sablefish | Hook\&line | 1 | 3 | 2 | 46 | 346 | 0 | 11,843 | 0 | 12,243 |
| Shallow Flatfish | Hook\&line | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | Trawl | - | 3 | 3 | 13 | 129 | 182 | - | 1 | 330 |
| Total |  | 142 | 135 | 531 | 421 | 2,019 | - 1,427 | 19,016 | 35 | 23,725 |

* Misc. = hagfish, ratfish, eelpouts, snipe eels, greenlings, poachers, and lumpsuckers.

Table 52. Estimated catches ( t ) of other species by the Gulf of Alaska groundfish fisheries in 1993 using observer target fishery, gear and subarea bycatch rates and NMFS blend target species catches. "-" < 0.5 mt of estimated catch.
This is essentially a detailed breakdown of the Other Species category in Table 13, but resulting in different totals (see text).


* Misc. = hagfish, ratfish, eelpouts, snipe eels, greenlings, poachers, and lumpsuckers.

Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands Fixed Gear Fisheries


Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands Roundfish Trawl Fisheries


Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands Flatfish Trawl Fisheries


Figure 1. Total discard rates of Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands groundfish fisheries from 1991-94 (using blend data). Total discard rate equals discards of all allocated groundfish and other species divided by total catch of allocated groundfish and other species (not including prohibited species).


Figure 2. Total discard rates of Gulf of Alaska groundfish fisheries from 1991-94 (using blend data). Total discard rate equals discards of all allocated groundfish and other species divided by total catch of allocated groundfish and other species (not including prohibited species).

## Bycatch Discard Mortality

## Review of Literature on Discard Mortality

Discarded catch, whether an undesirable species or size, contributes to the fishing-related mortality of many marine living resources. Discard mortalities vary-with gear types and deployment duration, surface exposure duration and sorting methods, weight of catch for net fisheries, and environmental conditions (Alverson et al. 1994). In general, fish species mortality rates decrease with increasing size, and crustacean species mortality rates are relatively high during molting or softshell stages (Wassenberg and Hill, 1989; Stevens, 1990). High discard mortality rates also tend to increase energy flows to the scavenger species that consume fisheries-generated waste.

Quantitative estimates of discard mortality rates are fairly limited in number and in geographical representation (Table 53). A large amount of discard mortality research has been generated by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), which focuses on the northern Atlantic Ocean. Outside of the North Atlantic, much of the relevant research is dedicated to incidental catch in shrimp and prawn fisheries, primarily because those fisheries have been associated with some of the highest bycatch rates in the world. In domestic fisheries off the coast of Alaska, fisheries managers and scientists have addressed the mortality rates of halibut, salmon, and king and Tanner crab in groundfish fisheries. This research pattern follows the North Pacific trend of separating and protecting halibut, salmon, and crab from fisheries bycatch and discard. However, assessment scientists now include discards in their estimates of total catch for all managed groundfish species in the GOA and eastern Bering Sea, assuming $100 \%$ mortality rates for the discards. Expanded discard research in the North Pacific would provide estimates of mortality effects on a given marine ecosystem, and improve long-term fish population productivity through fishing gear and method modification suggestions.

## Gear-Related Factors Influencing_Mortality

Trawl gear is often associated with high discard mortality rates. Fish caught in trawl nets may be fatigued from trying to swim with the speed of the net, crushed by the weight of the towed catch, have scales removed by net abrasions, or suffer damage under close proximity to spiny or predatory fish. Discard mortality rate increases are often directly related to the length of trawl time primarily because long tow times raise the overall weight of the catch and the
pressure of that weight on each individual in the catch (van Beek et al. 1989). Hard-shell crustaceans generally suffer less discard mortality than fish or cephalopods, particularly if they do not lose limbs during the trawl (Wassenberg and Hill, 1990).

Longline gear does not cause as much overall body damage to fish as trawl gear, but the hooks do inflict puncture wounds to the head area (Neilson et al. 1989). Hook injuries have also been noted in troll fisheries for Pacific salmon, where survival rates were greatly improved with the introduction of barbless hooks (Alverson et al. 1994). One advantage of longline gear in reducing discard mortalities is that fish caught by longlines tend, to have shorter handling times than those caught by trawl gear. The length of time that bycatch lies exposed on deck usually relates directly to discard mortality rates (Neilson et al. 1989; van Beek et al. 1989; Evans et al. 1994). Longline gear allows fishing crews to deal with each individual as it comes out of the water, limiting deck exposure for both target and non-target species. However, longline gear may have longer capture times and increased mortality may result.

Pot gear is associated with low discard mortality rates for fish and crab, although the rates vary with the frequency of pot retrieval. Once fish begin to gather inside the pots, their close proximity to each other increases the spread of scale infections or puncture wounds inflicted by spiny fish. Death by scale. infections may also be a pitfall, for researchers holding incidentally caught fish in tanks in order to determine discard mortality over time (Millner et al., 1993). Direct body damage, however, is limited in pot fisheries, because the crushing weight of the trawl and the puncture of the hook are eliminated. In the intensive North Pacific crab pot fisheries, the effects of repeated captures and surface exposures may compound crab mortality rates (Shirley, 1990). Although discard mortality rates for crab in pot gear are low, the total number of discarded crab in Bering Sea pot fisheries is very high, and total mortality of discarded crab in pot fisheries may exceed that of trawl fisheries (Stevens and MacIntosh, 1993).

Table 53. Summary of literature relating to estimates of bycatch mortality rates.

Reference
Fishery/Gear
Bycatch species
\% Mortality/Time


Table 53 (continued).

| Reference | Fishery/Gear | Bycatch species | \% Mortality/Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kennelly, et al. (1990) | Australian Spanner Crab/Tangle-net | Spanner Crab | $35 \% / 24$ hours if "pulled off" net <br> $5 \% / 24$ hours if "quickly removed" <br> 0 if "carefuly removed" <br> $62 \%$ of those $w / 2$ legs removed/ 24 hours |
| Millner, et al. (1993) | North Sea Shrimp/Trawl | Plaice | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \% \text { for } 13-25 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & 65 \% \text { for }<8 \mathrm{~cm} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | North Sea Plaice/Otter Trawl | Plaice | $20 \% / 9$ days from 1 hour tow $37 \%$ / 9 days from 2 hour tow |
| Murawski and Serchuk (1989) | NW Atlantic Scallop/Dredge | Scallop | < 5\% uncaught scallops crushed $10 \%$ for discarded |
|  | NW Atlantic Qhahog/Dredge | Quahog | 40-60\% uncaught clams crushed $10 \%$ for discarded |
|  | NW Atlantic Surf Clam/Dredge | Surf Clam | $50 \%$ for discarded |
| Neilson, et al (1989) | NW Atlantic Groundfish/Trawl | Atlantic Halibut | 65\% / 48 hours from 2 hour trawl |
|  | NW Atlantic Groundfish/Longline | Atlantic Halibut | 23\% / 48 hours |
| van Beek, et al. (1989) | North Sea Groundfish/Trawl | Sole | . $40 \%$ for those that escape net |
|  |  | Sole \& Plaice | 90\% for 2 hour trawl |
|  |  | Sole \& Plaice | 75\% for 1 hour trawl |
| - |  |  |  |
| Wassenberg and Hill (1989) | Australia Prawn/Trawl | Fish | $50 \% / 0.25$ hours <br> "few still alive" / 8 hours in holding tanks |
|  |  | Crabs | 12-30\% |

A gear type that is particularly damaging to crabs is the tangle-net, used in the Australian spanner crab fishery and formerly used in the Alaska crab fisheries. This fishing method uses bait to entice crabs to walk across an entangling mesh net, where they are trapped until they are brought to the surface. If the crabs are removed from the net with care, they are far more likely to survive the discard process. Unfortunately, researchers noted that fishermen generally took less care in removing undersized crabs from the tangle nets, since they were unmarketable (Kennelly et al., 1990). This experiment is relevant to other gear types because it shows increased mortality rates for those crabs that had lost legs or dactyli due to brusque removal from the net. Fisheries that risk entangling or removing crustacean limbs should be viewed as inherently dangerous to crustacean bycatch survival rates.

Murawski and Serchuk (1989) experimented with the mortality rates associated with the dredge gear fisheries for U.S. Atlantic scallop (Phacopecten magellanicus), quahog (Arctica islandica) and surf clam (Spisula solidissima) fisheries. Dredges that skim just above the bottom for scallops tend to either catch or miss the scallops without crushing them. The hydraulic dredges used to harvest quahogs and surf clams, however, can be quite destructive and crush many of the bivalves that are not caught in the dredges. Dredges and certain types of trawl gear have also been noted for their destructive impacts to benthic environments. (Murawski and Serchuk, 1989; van Beek et al., 1989).

## Other Factors Influencing Discard Mortality

Fisheries discard mortality rates can be affected by post-catch sorting methods, particularly with regard to the length of time organisms spend out of the water. Neilson et al. (1989) found that for Atlantic halibut survival as incidental catch, the length of handling time had a multiplicative effect with the length of trawl tow time in determining mortality rates. Long tow times coupled with long handling times were exponentially more likely to result in halibut death than short tow times coupled with short handling times. Conversely, Wassenberg and Hill (1989) found that, in an Australian prawn fishery, handling time had little effect on mortality because the extreme mortality rate caused by the trawling process preempted any measurement of the effects of prolonged deck exposure. Once deck-time begins to affect Pacific halibut survival, survival rates of Pacific halibut decrease to zero after about 20 minutes (Pikitch and Erickson, 1993).

Evans et al. (1994) discovered that crustaceans are also affected by long handling times in a study on the fate of bycatch in the North Sea Nephrops fishery. Experimentally caught
Nephrops that were left on deck for 1 hour suffered a $15 \%$ mortality rate. Commercial Nephrops fishing vessels tend to leave their catch on deck for 24 hours, which increases the mortality rate to $79 \%$. These authors also noted that $70 \%$ of the discarded catch was eaten by seabirds immediately upon reaching the sea surface: Thus, even the discarded Nephrops that had survived extended deck exposure were unlikely to reach their sea floor habitat.

Associated species in the catch tend to contribute to mortality rates if they are particularly tenacious predators, or if their bodies have sharp spikes to puncture other fish in the catch (Neilson et al. 1989). Non-commercial animals associated with the target species may have an affect on mortality rates; surface scavengers, like seabirds, prevent discarded organisms from re-entering their proper habitats. Environmental conditions may also have an indirect effect on discard mortality by influencing fishing methods. Fishermen may be inclined to sort their catch more quickly in rough weather, or to leave fixed gear, like pots, in place longer to avoid struggling with the weather.

## Discard Mortalities in North Pacific Groundfish Fisheries

North Pacific groundfish fisheries inflict mortality on the following categories of species, 1) target groundfish species, 2) prohibited species, and 3) non-target groundfish species. For in-season catch and fishing mortality monitoring, it is assumed that all groundfish and other species, except for halibut, caught and discarded at-sea are returned to the sea dead. For most of the trawl-caught fish, both flatfish and roundfish, this assumption is probably valid for discards. Similarly, most roundfish, but particularly those inhabiting slope and outer shelf environments, would probably not survive the ordeal of capture by any gear and the subsequent return to the ocean. For prohibited species, all herring, salmon, and crab are assumed to die after capture, but Pacific halibut are not. Based on research on survival of halibut released after capture by trawls (Hoag, 1975) and numerous observations of halibut on-deck condition prior to release by fisheries using all gear types, estimates were made of the percentage mortality of halibut in each groundfish fishery (Williams, 1989). Based on additional years of data collection in 1990-93 in both the BSAI and GOA, Williams (1994) recommended halibut mortality rates for each gear, fishery and area for 1995 (Table 54). As expected, halibut mortality rates associated with fixed gear (longline and pot) fisheries were lower than those from trawl fisheries. Variation in mortality rates between trawl fisheries was
associated with size of hauls (larger hauls associated with greater mortality) and time-on-deck (or out of water). Application of these mortality rates to the 1994 halibut bycatch amounts in Tables 39 and 44 results in a reduction to $5,712 \mathrm{t}$ of estimated halibut mortality associated with the BSAI groundfish fisheries, and to $3,892 \mathrm{t}$ of estimated halibut mortality associated with the GOA groundfish fisheries.

Catch weights of discards for targeted species in groundfish fisheries can be compared to population biomass and to total catches (discards + retained) in the BSAI and GOA (Tables 55-56). As noted before, these discards are accounted for in stock assessments of the main groundfish species targets. Discard amounts relative to population biomass are low, ranging from 5\% of biomass for arrowtooth flounder to less than $1 \%$ of population biomass for Atka mackerel and sablefish in the BSAI and less than $2 \%$ of any of the allocated species biomasses in the Gulf of Alaska. Highest discard amounts relative to total catch occur for arrowtooth flounder and the miscellaneous species category "other." Rock sole and other flatfish in the Bering Sea also have high discard percentages of around 60-75\% of total catch. Intermediate discard rates ( $2540 \%$ of total catch) are seen in the rockfish, yellowfin sole, Greenland turbot, deepwater flatfish, and shallow flatfish groups. The lowest discard rates ( $2 \%-17 \%$ ) are seen for sablefish, Atka mackerel, pollock and cod.

Discard mortalities for prohibited species in groundfish fisheries can be compared to the amounts landed of each prohibited species in their respective target fisheries and to population size (Table 57). The weight of dead halibut discarded in groundfish fisheries in the Bering Sea is approximately equal to landed weight in the Bering Sea halibut fishery but is only about $3.5 \%$ of the estimated population biomass in that area. Many of the halibut caught in groundfish fisheries in the BSAI are juveniles, which might have recruited to other areas such as the Gulf of Alaska or even off the coast of British Columbia. The amount of dead halibut discarded in groundfish fisheries in the Gulf of Alaska is only about $11 \%$ of halibut landings in that area. Herring bycatch in groundfish fisheries in the eastern Bering Sea during 1993 was only $3 \%$ of herring landings in the eastern Bering Sea. The amount of chinook and other (primarily chum) salmon caught in groundfish fisheries could be around $20 \%$ of the landed catch by number in the Bering Sea and less than $4 \%$ in the Gulf of Alaska. However, because chum salmon are wide-ranging, the rivers of origin for chum salmon intercepted by groundfish fisheries could be rivers that empty into the Gulf of Alaska, Bering Sea, and also the western side of the North Pacific Ocean. Mortality of crab in the eastern Bering Sea groundfish fisheries is' around $18 \%$ of the landed number of bairdi Tanner crab and $9 \%$ of the red king
crab landings in that area. Because some of the bairdi Tanner crab caught by groundfish fisheries are pre-recruit crab, the actual number of those pre-recruits that would have survived to enter the directed crab fishery is less than the number caught by groundfish fisheries.

Table 54. Halibut discard mortality rates recommended by the International Pacific Halibut Commission for the 1995 Bering Sea-Aleutian Islands and Gulf of Alaska groundfish fisheries (from Williams 1994).

| Area | Target | Gear | Discard Mortality Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BSAI | Pollock | Pelagic Trawl | 89\% |
|  |  | Bottom Trawl | 77 |
|  | Atka mackerel | Trawl | 59 |
|  | Rock sole/Other flatfish | Trawl | 75 |
|  | Pacific cod | Trawl | 65 |
|  |  | Hook\&line | 18 |
|  |  | Pot | 8 |
|  | Rockfish | Trawl | 69 |
|  |  | Hook\&line | 24 |
|  | Yellowfin sole | Trawl | 76 |
|  | Arrowtooth flounder | Trawl | 49 |
|  | Greenland turbot | Trawl | 48 |
|  |  | Hook\&line | 19 |
|  | Sablefish | Hook\&line | 17 , |
| GOA | Pollock | Pelagic Trawl | 66 |
|  |  | Bottom Trawl | 70 |
|  | Rockfish | Trawl | 66 |
|  |  | Hook\&line | 18 |
|  | Shallow flatfish | Trawl | 64 |
|  | Deepwater flatfish | Trawl | 59 |
|  | Pacific cod | Trawl | 58 |
|  |  | Hook\&line | 20 |
|  |  | Pot | 18 |
|  | Sablefish | Hook\&line | 25 |

Table 55.-- Groundfish fishery discards and total catch (retained + discarded) biomass as a fraction of population biomass and discards as a fraction of total catch biomass in the eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands for 1990 to 1994.

| Year | Allocated Groundfish Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Atka mackerel | Arrowtooth Ilounder | Yellowfin sole | Greenland turbot | Rock sole | Other <br> flatfish | Pacific cod | Pollock | Sablerish | Rockfish |
| 1990* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discard/Biomass | 0.003 | 0.017 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.013 | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.001 | 0.012 |
| Total catch/Biomass | 0.024 | 0.021 | 0.006 | 0.064 | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.162 | 0.152 | 0.075 | 0.073 |
| Discard/Total catch | 0.129 | 0.800 | \%) 0.369 | 0.083 | 0.477 | 0.859 | 0.070 | 0.085 | 0.007 | 0.158 |
| 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discard/Biomass | 0.002 | 0.050 | 0.011 | 0.024 | 0.017 | 0.024 | 0.020 | 0.023 | 0.002 | 0.010 |
| Total catch/Biomass | 0.020 | 0.059 | 0.043 | 0.068 | 0.031 | 0.032 | 0.254 | 0.238 | 0.083 | 0.034 |
| Discard/Total catch | 0.122 | 0.842 | 0.243 | 0.350 | 0.553 | 0.746 | 0.079 | 0.097 | 0.019 | 0.292 |
| 1992 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discard/Biomass | 0.007 | 0.025 | 0.016 | 0.020 | 0.015 | 0.025 | 0.031 | 0.016 | 0.001 | 0.014 |
| Total catch/Biomass | 0.034 | 0.027 | 0.054 | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.030 | 0.265 | 0.178 | 0.056 | 0.055 |
| Discard/Total catch | 0.194 | 0.938 | 0.292 | 0.753 | 0.590 | 0.823 | 0.117 | 0.091 | 0.022 | 0.251 |
| 1993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discard/Biontass | 0.012 | 0.015 | 0.011 | 0.018 | 0.019 | 0.017 | 0.045 | 0.015 | 0.002 | 0.025 |
| Total catch/Biomass | 0.050 | 0.016 | 0.039 | 0.087 | 0.030 | 0.026 | 0.204 | 0.181 | 0.092 | 0.076 |
| Discard/Total catch | 0.239 | 0.929 | 0.274 | 0.211 | 0.648 | 0.659 | 0.221 | 0.081 | 0.022 | 0.332 |
| 1994 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discard/Biomass | 0.009 | $0.023{ }^{-}$ | 0.014 | 0.026 | 0.018 | 0.014 | 0.035 | 0.016 | 0.004 | 0.021 |
| Total catch/Biomass | 0.060 | 0.024 | 0.053 | 0.110 | 0.027 | 0.022 | 0.203 | 0.208 | 0.082 | 0.063 |
| Discard/Total catch | 0.149 | 0.956 | 0.256 | 0.239 | 0.656 | 0.630 | 0.171 | 0.077 | 0.047 | 0.340 |
| 91.94 avg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discard/Biomass | 0.007 | 0.028 | 0.013 | 0.022 | 0.017 | 0.020 | 0.033 | 0.017 | 0.002 | 0.018 |
| Total catch/Biomass | 0.041 | 0.032 | 0.047 | 0.073 | 0.028 | 0.028 | 0.231 | 0.201 | 0.078 | 0.057 |
| Discard/Total catch | 0.176 | 0.916 | 0.266 | 0.388 | 0.612 | 0.715 | 0.147 | 0.086 | 0.027 | 0.304 |

* 1990 discard data are based on weekly processor reports, not NMFS blend used in 1991-1994.

Table 56.-- Groundfish fishery discards and total catch (retained + discarded) biomass as a fraction of population biomass and discards as a proportion of total catch biomass in the Gulf of Alaska for 1990 to 1994. ( - means no biomass or discard estimate was available.)

| Year | Allocated Groundfish Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Atka mackerel | Arrowtooth Mounder | Deepwater Clatfish | Shallow flatfish | Pacific cod | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockfish |
| 1990* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discard/Biomass | - | 0.014 | 0.005 | 0.014 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.010 |
| Total catch/Biomass | - | 0.015 | 0.017 | 0.025 | 0.142 | 0.069 | 0.121 | 0.059 |
| Discard/Total catch | - | 0.905 | 0.271 | 0.553 | 0.025 | 0.084 | 0.026 | 0.176 |
| 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discard/Biomass | - | 0.015 | - | : | 0.006 | 0.012 | 0.002 | - |
| Total catch/Biomass | - | 0.017 | - | - | 0.173 | 0.077 | 0.105 | - |
| Discard/Total catch | 0.10 | 0.90 | 0.18 | 0.60 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.20 |
| 1992 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discard/Biomass | - | 0.016 | - | - | 0.009 | 0.013 | 0.005 | - |
| Total catch/Bionass | - | 0.016 | - | - | 0.199 | 0.076 | 0.115 | - |
| Discard/Total catch | 0.047 | 0.976 | 0.382 | 0.356 | 0.047 | 0.167 | 0.042 | 0.235 |
| 1993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discard/Biomass | 0.019 | 0.013 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.016 | 0.008 | 0.004 | 0.016 |
| Total catch/Biomass | 0.239 | 0.015 | 0.026 | 0.027 | 0.153 | 0.111 | 0.111 | 0.043 |
| Discard/Total catch | 0.079 | 0.920 | 0.295 | 0.353 | 0.104 | 0.076 | 0.033 | 0.373 |
| 1994 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discard/Biomass | - | 0.017 | - | - | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.004 | 0.019 |
| Total catch/Biomass | - | 0.017 | - | - | 0.132 | 0.149 | 0.113 | 0.065 |
| Discard/Total catch | 0.077 | 0.980 | 0.214 | 0.275 | 0.064 | 0.061 | 0.038 | 0.294 |
| 1991-94 avg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discard/Biomass | 0.019 | 0.015 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.004 | 0.017 |
| Total catch/Biomass | 0.239 | 0.016 | 0.026 | 0.027 | 0.164 | 0.103 | 0.111 | 0.054 |
| Discard/Total catch | 0.076 | 0.944 | 0.269 | 0.396 | 0.062 | 0.113 | 0.034 | 0.276 |

* 1990 discard data are based on weekly processor report, not NMFS blend used in 1991-1994.

Table 57. - Groundfish fishery discard mortality of prohibited species, expressed as a fraction of target fishery landings and population size in 1993, assuming mortality rates for halibut from Williams and Wilderbuer (1993), 100\% mortality for other fish and $80 \%$ mortality for crab.

| Area | Prohibited species | Units | Discard mortality/ <br> Target catch ${ }^{1}$ | Discard mortality/ population ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BSAI |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pacific halibut | metric tons | 1.09 | 0.035 |
|  | Pacific herring | metric tons | 0.03 | 0.006 |
|  | Chinook salmon | number | 0.21 | 0.073 |
|  | Other salmon ${ }^{3}$ | number | 0.21 | 0.061 |
|  | Red king crab | number | 0.09 | 0.005 |
|  | Bairdi Tanner crab | number | 0.18 | 0.014 |
| GOA | ` |  |  |  |
|  | Pacific halibut | metric tons | 0.11 | 0.006 |
|  | Chinook salmon | number | 0.04 | 0.065 |
|  | Other salmon ${ }^{3}$ | number | 0.01 | 0.021 |
${ }^{1}$ Target fishery landings do not include estimates of at-sea discards in the target fishery.
${ }^{2}$ Halibut biomass is from trawl survey estimates of $<80 \mathrm{~km}$ fish and IPHC CAGEAN model estimates of age $8+$ fish (round weight).
Salmon run size estimates are from D. Rogers, Fisheries Research Institute, University of Washington, 1993 estimates for Chum salmon run size were used for other salmon population. Chinook population estimates were the average of 1990-92 run sizes.
${ }^{3}$ Assumes most other salmon are chum salmon-target fishery landings are of chum salmon. Although chum salmon caught in one region could have rivers of origin from another region the population numbers used are those from the region of capture.

Estimates of mortality due to discards in the target fisheries or in other non-groundfish fisheries are not available for all prohibited species. However, these discard mortalities can be larger than those induced by groundfish fisheries. For example, the bycatch of bairdi Tanner crab in crab pots during the 1993 bairdi crab season was estimated to be $68,910,000$ crabs. If a mortality factor of $8 \%$ (from Table 53) is applied to these, then discard mortality of bairdi crab in crab pot fisheries was about $36 \%$ of the landed number of bairdi crab, which was $15,317,000$ crabs. Similarly, for red king crab in 1992 the crab pot fishery discarded around $7,320,000$ crabs. If mortality is again assumed to be around $8 \%$ ) then crab pot fishery discard mortality of red king crab was around $40 \%$ of the red king crab landings, which were $1,415,000$ crabs, or about four times larger than the mortality induced by groundfish fishery discards shown in Table 57.

Finally, it is possible that the amount of "other" species caught and discarded in groundfish fisheries could be an important source of mortality for those species. The mortality of groups such as skates, sculpins and grenadiers, which make up the largest bycatch amount for "other" species in groundfish fisheries, has not been explicitly considered in the past. The amount of these "other" species groups discarded in groundfish fisheries can be compared to biomass estimates of these species to get an idea of the impact of fisheries on these groups (Table 58). Exploitation rates (catch biomass/population biomass) are low for skates and sculpins in the BSAI and GOA areas, ranging from $14 \%$. The exploitation rate for grenadiers in the Gulf of Alaska appears high ( $32 \%$ ) but biomass estimates of grenadiers are severely underestimated by bottom trawl surveys in the GOA that only cover bottom depths up to 500 m since the majority of grenadier biomass is found in deeper waters. It is likely the true exploitation rate of grenadiers is close to those of skates and sculpins.

Table 58. Bycatches of three "other" species groups in the groundfish fisheries of the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands region (BSAI) and Gulf of Alaska (GOA) as percentages of the estimated biomasses of each group in each region. For the BSAI, percentages represent ranges from 1990-1993; for the GOA, data are from 1993.

## "Other" species groups

|  |  |  | "Other" species groups |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region | Period | Skates | Sculpins | Grenadiers $^{1}$ |
| BSAI | $1990-93$ | $1.0-4.3 \%$ | $2.1-4.5 \%$ | $0.5-2.0 \%$ |
| GOA | 1993 | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $32 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Grenadier biomass is largely underestimated in recent trawl surveys that cover depths of less than 500 m .

## Ecological Impacts

Several aspects of the current fishing, discarding, and processing practices of North Pacific groundfish fisheries have the potential to alter the regular paths of energy flow and balance in the BSAI and GOA. Although estimated mortality due to direct removals and discard of utilized groundfish species is accounted for in the stock assessment process, little is known about the ecosystem-level effects, of selective harvesting on only a small number of species. Also, fishing removes biomass from the system but discarding and fish processing return some biomass back to the system. The recipients, locations, and forms of this returned biomass may differ from those in an unfished system. Finally, the fishing process itself may cause unobserved mortalities in animals escaping through the trawl mesh or caught by abandoned pots or longlines. Mortality of bottom-dwelling animals can also be caused by the mechanical, action or weight of fishing gear on the bottom.

## Effects of Selective Fishing

The fishing process selectively removes certain species and sizes of fish. This selection process could alter the balance between predators and prey and thus the species composition of the ecosystem. If the species composition is much different from the "natural" state the system could be more unstable. Another concern expressed in recent years is the negative effects of "fishing-down" the food web, or the practice of intensively harvesting top-predators and then moving down the food web to harvest forage of top-predators (Christie, 1993). Yield enhancements expected through this fishing-down process have not materialized in regions where this practice has been implemented. In fact, the recent steps taken toward multispecies management in the northwest Atlantic Ocean (Shelton, 1992) and in the Southern Ocean have been directed at limiting the target catches of forage species such as capelin (Mallotus villosus) and krill (Euphausiidae).

In a review of marine regions where species replacement changes occurred, Daan (1980) noted that overfishing was a likely trigger. He found that changes in species composition in other regions where overfishing was not occurring were more likely environmentally driven cyclic fluctuations. An analysis of trends in species composition in the eastern Bering Sea (Livingston et al., 1994) showed that although there have been fluctuations in species biomass in some groups over the last 15 years, these fluctuations do not appear to be linked to exploitation rates. Exploitation rates in this region have been conservative when compared to regions where replacement changes due to overfishing have occurred.

To determine whether North Pacific fisheries were "fishing-down" the food web, the trophic level of the catch in the eastern Bering Sea, Aleutian Islands, and Gulf of Alaska areas was calculated bydetermining the trophic level of each species in the catch from published accounts of diet for non-groundfish species and the food habits database of the Alaska Fisheries Science Center for groundfish species. Trophic level (e.g., 1 for phytoplankton, 2 for consumers of primary production, 3 for consumers of secondary production) of the total catch was determined by weighting the trophic level of each species in the catch by the proportion (by weight) of that species in the total catch and summing the weighted trophic levels in each year. Stability in the trophic level of the total fish and invertebrate catches in the eastern Bering Sea, Aleutian Islands, and Gulf of Alaska (Fig. 3) are an indication that the "fishing-down" effect is not occurring in these regions. Catch biomass in the eastern Bering Sea has consisted mainly of pollock since the late 1960s. In the Aleutian Islands area catches were mostly Pacific ocean perch in the 1960s and walleye pollock, Pacific cod, and Atka mackerel in the late 1970s to the present. Gulf of Alaska catches in the 1960s were dominated by rockfish and changed to pollock-dominated catches in the 1980s with declining contributions of pollock to the total catch in the 1990s. Although, there has been a general increase in the amount of catch since the late 1960s in all areas, the trophic level of the catch has been high and stable over the last 25 years. A trophic level of 4 indicates the dominance of top-level predators in the catch.

The combination of relatively conservative exploitation rates and high trophic level of the catches over the last 15 years, at least in the eastern Bering Sea, could be responsible for the relative stability of overall community composition over this recent period shown by Livingston et al. (1994). A study of the trophic levels of the catch in the North Sea (Yang, 1982) showed the apparent stability of the North Sea ecosystem during a period when the trophic level of the catch was high. Recent analysis of the North Sea community structure (Anon., 1994) confirms the stability of community diversity of that area even though fishing has apparently changed the shape of the size spectrum via the removal of large predators. However, these factors cannot explain the obvious changes that have occurred in the abundance of several species in the North Pacific, notably the declines in red king crab and some piscivorous bird species in the Bering Sea and Steller sea lions in the Gulf of Alaska. There have also been large declines in whale and fur seal populations prior to 1979. Environmental changes or localized habitat alteration by fishing have been suggested as possible explanations but no conclusive evidence exists to identify the causative factor(s).
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Figure 3. --Historical estimates of the total biomass and trophic level of the fish and invertebrate catch (excluding salmon) in the eastern Bering Sea, Aleutian Islands region, and Gulf of Alaska.

## Consumers of Discards and Fish_Processing Offal

Several years of groundfish food habits data collected by the Trophic Interactions Program at the Alaska Fisheries Science Center confirm the consumption of fish processing offal by fish in the eastern Bering Sea, Aleutian Islands region, and Gulf of Alaska. Estimates of groundfish consumption of offal in the Bering Sea during the main feeding season show a level of offal consumption by several species of groundfish approaching $200,000 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$ (Table 59). Although the estimated total amount of offal consumed by pollock is fairly high at around $45,000 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{yr}$, the percentage of offal in the diet is less than $1 \%$ by weight. It is the large biomass of pollock relative to other predators that brings its estimated consumption up to this level. Pacific cod consumed the most offal compared to other groundfish in 1990 and 1991. The percentage by weight of offal in the diets of Pacific cod and skates is higher than the other groundfish species sampled in the eastern Bering Sea.

Diet information on groundfish from the Gulf of Alaska and Aleutian Islands region (Yang, 1993 and 1995) also show several species consuming unground offal (Table 60). In the Gulf of Alaska, sablefish had the largest percentage by weight of offal in the diet ( $29 \%$ ), followed by Pacific cod (13\%) and Pacific halibut (7\%). The amount of offal in the diet of groundfish from the Aleutian Islands region is low, except for northern rockfish (Sebastes polyspinis) (9\% of the diet by weight). It should be noted that the diet percentages for the Gulf of Alaska and Aleutian Islands region were derived from grouping all food habits data for a species over the whole region. Lower percentages would likely result from predator-size and area stratification of the diet information.

An estimate of the amount of offal returned to the sea by at-sea and onshore processors can be obtained from subtracting the total round weight of the groundfish catch retained and processed from the product weight, which is available for 1994 (see Table 61). Estimated atsea offal production in the GOA and BSAI is $862,483 \mathrm{t}$ (= round weight of the catch $(1,240,858 \mathrm{t})$ - product weight ( $378,375 \mathrm{t}$ ) ) and shoreside offal production is $477,312 \mathrm{t}$. Presumably, the majority of offal produced at sea is in the Bering Sea and consists of pollock parts. Based on the estimates in Table 59, it appears that groundfish in the eastern Bering Sea consume at least $20 \%$ of offal production. This compares to an estimate of about $11 \%$ of total discards consumed by fish and crab in a study area off Australia (Wassenburg and Hill, 1990).

Table 59.- Estimated amounts of offal consumed (metric tons) by groundfish on the eastern Bering Sea shelf during the main feeding season, May through September. (ns - not sampled).

| Groundfish predator | Year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 90 | 91 | 92 | Avg |
|  |  | : |  |  |
| Pacific cod | 86,789 | 82,577 | 35,067 | 68,144 |
| Walleye pollock | 45,117 | 51,851 | 37,023 | 44,664 |
| Arrowtooth flounder | 21,350 | 3,933 | 2,977 | 9,420 |
| Flathead sole | 28,656 | 7,067 | 32,351 | 22,692 |
| Yellowfin sole | 114 | 35,853 | 13,477 | 16,481 |
| Pacific halibut | 1,029 | - 0 | 2,466 | 1,165 |
| Skates | ns | ns | 36,192 | 12,064 |
| Total | 183,055 | 181,281 | 159,553 | 174,630 |

> Table 60 .-- Estimates of percentages by weight of offal in the diets of groundfish in the Gulf of Alaska during 1990 and the Aleutian Islands region in 1991 (from Yang (1993) and Yang (1995), ns - not sampled).
Groundfish predator Gulf of Alaska Aleutian Islands
Pacific cod ..... 13 ..... $<1$
Walleye pollock ..... 0 ..... 0
Arrowtooth flounder ..... 1 ..... 0
Pacific halibut ..... 7 ..... 1
Sablefish ..... 29 ..... nsAtka mackerelnsns
Northern rockfish ..... ns ..... 91

Other upper-trophic level scavenger species likely to benefit from offal production include sculpins, crabs, other predatory invertebrates, and marine birds such as gulls, kittiwakes, and fulmars. Studies performed in, the North Sea and Australia indicate that birds are a likely recipient of discards and offal thrown overboard during daytime and which do not immediately sink (Anon., 1994; Evans et al., 1994; Wassenburg and Hill, 1990), while crabs may be the first to arrive in areas when discards reach the bottom (Wassenburg and Hill, 1987). Offal not consumed by these predators would presumably be decomposed by bacteria and also become available as detritus for benthic filter-feeding invertebrates.

Estimates are not available for groundfish consumption of whole animal discards in the BSAI and GOA areas. When analyzing stomach contents of groundfish, it is impossible to discern whether a whole animal in the stomach contents was consumed when alive or dead. Presumably, whole discards are consumed by many of the same scavengers that consume unground offal.

Table 61 provides a summary of the magnitude of offal and discard amounts relative to catch in the BSAI and GOA groundfish fisheries. The weight of offal returned to the sea is almost four times as large as the weight of discards. About $70 \%$ of the target catch is returned as,
offal. Almost $60 \%$ of the total catch becomes offal while only $15 \%$ of the total catch is discarded whole. Obviously, when considering energy transfer in the ecosystem, offal production overshadows discard amounts. The large proportion of the total catch returned to the sea as offal and discards could reduce any potential impacts of fishing to energy loss in these areas. However, availability of the returned energy (as offal and discards) to various ecosystem components may differ from that of the undisturbed energy form (live fish).

Ecosystem level concerns about discards and offal production primarily center on the possibility that these fishery practices might alter the regular paths of energy flow and enhance the growth of scavenger populations. In the eastern Bering Sea, at least one-half of the discards and most of the offal produced are from pollock. Most of the remaining discards tends to be flatfish such as yellowfin sole (Pleuronectes asper) and rock sole. All of the groundfish species found to be consumers of offal (Table 60) are also predators of pollock, and some of them (Pacific cod and halibut) also consume flatfish (Livingston et al., 1993). The scavenging birds (gulls, fulmars, kittiwakes), are also documented predators of pollock (Hunt et al., 1981). The annual consumptive capacity of these scavenging birds, groundfish, and crab in the eastern Bering Sea alone is over an order of magnitude larger than the total amount of offal and discards in the BSAI and GOA (Livingston, unpublished data). Since many of the main predators of pollock are consuming offal and discards, it appears that the practice of returning them to the ocean may not significantly disrupt regular paths of energy flow when the geographic location of the return to the sea is close to the capture location. Although fishing removes some biomass from the system, the actual amount removed in the BSAI and GOA is much less than the total catch would indicate. A large proportion of the total catch is, in-fact, returned and apparently consumed by predators.

Even if offal and discards are not used by the upper trophic level scavengers that are a regular part of the energy pathway for pollock and flatfish, the total amount of dead organic material (detritus) that would reach the bottom is' small relative to other natural sources of detritus. Walsh and McRoy (1986) estimate detrital flow to the middle and outer shelf of the eastern Bering Sea to be $188 \mathrm{gCm}^{2} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ and $119 \mathrm{gCm}^{2} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, respectively. When converted to biomass over the whole area ${ }^{4}$, an estimated 337.7 million $t$ of naturally-occurring detritus goes to the bottom each year. Approximately $40 \%$ ( 142.9 million t), is unused (Walsh and McRoy, op.

[^1]cit.). The total offal and discard production in the BSAI and GOA as estimated for 1994 (1.3 million t ; Table 61 ) is only $1 \%$ of the estimate of unused detritus already going to the bottom. Simulation model results of discard effects on energy cycling in the Gulf of Mexico (Browder, 1983) confirmed that discards tend to be a small portion of the dead organic material on the bottom. However, depending on model assumptions, changing the amount of discards through full utilization or through selective fishing methods had the potential to change populations of shrimp and its fish competitors. Uncertainty about the predation rates and assumptions about alternate prey utilization indicated a need for further research to fully understand and predict responses of populations to changes in food availability.

Table 61 .-Summary of offal and discard amounts in the BSAI and GOA groundfish fisheries for 1994 compared to total and retained catch amounts.

## Category

 Amount (metric tons) or fractionRetained catch
(round weight)
Discarded catch ..... $338,166 \mathrm{t}$
Total catch ..... $2,256,111 \mathrm{t}$(retained + discards).
Offal$1,339,795 \mathrm{t}$(retained round wt - product wt)
Offal + discards ..... 1,677,961 t
Discard/Retained catch ..... 0.18
Discard/Total catch ..... 0.15
Offal/Total catch ..... 0.59
Offal/Retained catch ..... 0.70
(Offal + discard)/Total catch ..... 0.74
Offal/Discards ..... 3.96

Local enrichment and change in species composition in some areas might occur if discards or offal returns are concentrated there. There is evidence that such effects have been seen in Orca Inlet in Prince William Sound and in Dutch Harbor, Alaska. Poor water quality and undesirable species composition have been cited (Thomas, 1994) as the result of the current policy for grinding fish offal released in inshore areas and the inadequate tidal flushing in that region. However, deepwater waste disposal of offal in Chiniak Bay of Kodiak Island has not shown such problems (Stevens and Haaga, 1994). No apparent species composition changes, anaerobic conditions, or large accumulations of offal occurred in Chiniak Bay where such wastes have been dumped for over a decade. Local ocean properties (water depth and flow) and amount of waste discharged per year could be important factors determining the effect of nearshore disposal on local marine habitat and communities.

So far, most of the scavenger populations are not showing obvious signs of increase related to offal production. Kittiwake populations that nest on the Pribilof Islands have apparently declined from 1979 to 1989 (Hatch et al., 1993). Decline in food availability has been cited as a possible reason for the decrease in productivity for both kittiwake species. The distribution and timing of the pollock catch processing has shifted away from a predominance of fishing during summer around the outer shelf to a winter (A season) and summer (B season) fishery that occurs farther south in the outer and middle shelf areas (Fritz, 1993). This shift in fishing distribution away from summer bird foraging areas did not occur until about 1987 (Fritz et al., 1994) and cannot explain the population decline. Northern fulmar (Fulmarus glacialis) population size at the Pribilof Islands is showing a possible increase, particularly from 1989 to 1992. However, there is large variability around fulmar counts that makes determination of the population trend uncertain (Climo, 1993; Dragoo and Sundseth, 1993). Kittiwake population increases have been noted in Chiniak Bay, the site of offal disposal at Kodiak Island. The increases there occurred between the late 1970s and mid-1980s (Hatch et al., op cit.); apparently before offal disposal at that site began. Some of the main scavengers in the groundfish community of the eastern Bering Sea such as Pacific cod, skates, halibut and sculpins have shown a combined biomass of around 1.2 million t in 1979 to over 1.3 million t in 1993 (Livingston et al., 1994). The only member of that group that might be exhibiting a constant increasing trend in biomass is the skates, whose biomass has doubled between 1982 and 1993. Little is known about the skate population, such as size or age-frequency over time, that might provide clues to why this change in biomass has occurred.

## Unobserved Mortalities

The fishing process itself may cause unobserved mortalities in animals that escape through the mesh of trawls or that are damaged by the action of the trawl passing over them. In addition, longline and pot gear may continue to fish after being lost or abandoned. A recent review of studies on the condition of fish escaping from fishing gear (Chopin and Arimoto, 1995) found a wide range of estimated mortalities. The percent age mortality of fish escaping from trawl gear ranged' from $9 \%$ to $90 \%$ depending on the fish species, size of fish, and conditions of the experiment. Fish with an opercular circumference of the same or larger size as the mesh may sustain more physical damage than smaller fish but stress inflicted due to the capture process (e.g., long sustained periods of swimming) can also be an important source of mortality for all fish. The authors suggest that standard protocol for conducting survival experiments, including longer term studies to estimate survival due to stress 'are required before knowledgeable decisions regarding the effect of mesh size restrictions can be evaluated. They advise that management measures undertaken to increase escapement of immature fish by increasing minimum mesh size could also increase mortality and conclude that such measures may not be the best method for protecting immature fish.

The evidence regarding the mortalities of animals in or on the bottom and possible long-term changes in the sea floor due to fishing gear shows mixed conclusions. Comparison of gearinduced mortality rates with natural mortality rates of the benthos in the heavily fished North Sea indicated that natural mortality rates were much larger than those from fishing (Daan, 1991), suggesting that fisheries exert a relatively small influence on the biomass of benthos. Most studies agree, however, that the larger, longer-lived animals in the sediments such as some clams are likely to be the most affected (Daan, 1991; Anon., 1994). Long-term changes in the benthos and persistence of trawl tracks have been found particularly in very deep water (Jones, 1992). Even though direct contact with gear may not inflict direct mortality, the gear action can expose burrowing animals and make them more vulnerable to predation (Kaiser and Spencer, 1994). It has been hypothesized that intensive fishing in an area could promote longterm changes in benthic communities by promoting populations of opportunistic fish species that migrate into fished areas to feed on animals disturbed by the fishing process.

Diet of a benthic-feeder, yellowfin sole, was examined during the period from 1984 to 1991 to determine if any changes have been apparent and could be linked to fishing activities in the eastern Bering Sea (Fig. 4). Prey composition was analyzed in two adjacent areas, a no-trawl
zone (North Pacific Fishery Management Council area 512 where trawling has been excluded since 1986) and a trawl zone ( a similar size area just west of area 512 where trawling still occurs in the eastern Bering Sea). No definitive trends in diet composition could be seen between the two areas. Polychaete worm consumption was similar between the two areas and consumption of echiuran worms increased in the trawled area compared to the no-trawl area. Echiurans are relatively short-lived worms that burrow into the sediment. Trawling could expose these animals and make them more vulnerable to predation immediately after a trawl passed through. If trawling were responsible for the increase in predation on echiurans, it would have been expected that the fraction of echiurans in the diet would have been consistently high in the trawl zone over the whole time series and would have declined in the no-trawl zone during the years when no-trawling was in effect (1986-91). Other studies have found an increase in amphipod predation due to the effects of trawling (Kaiser and Spencer, 1994) but our data indicate slightly higher predation on amphipods in the no-trawl zone than in the trawl zone. It is difficult to know whether changes have occurred in the eastern Bering Sea benthos without detailed study of the benthos and its biomass and composition before and after trawling. Yellowfin sole do not consume large, longer-lived clams or colonial ascidians that could be more sensitive indicators of the effects of fishing. However, there does not appear to be any major changes in certain species based on their amounts in the yellowfin sole diet.


Figure 4. --Diet composition of yellowfin sole in the eastern Bering Sea from 1984 to 1991 in two adjacent areas, one with no trawling from 1986 to 1991 and one with trawling from 1984 to 1991.

## Catch Utilization

## Product Forms

Groundfish harvested in the commercial fisheries of the GOA and BSAI are utilized in a wide variety of ways. The range of product forms extend from relatively "high unit value" products (e.g., roe, individually quick-frozen fillets), to industrial products (oils and meals) and bait. New product forms continue to emerge in response to market opportunities. Indeed, many products which are economically very important to the U.S. industry today were not regarded as products in which U.S. fishers and processors were interested, nor suited to produce, only a relatively few years ago (e.g., surimi or pollock roe). Thus, the list of groundfish products contained in Table 62 should not be regarded as exhaustive or final. Instead, the list reflects the best current information on the variety of products which are presently being prepared by U.S. processors from groundfish harvested in the GOA and BSAI.

Table 62 lists all product forms reported to NMFS from 1994 groundfish harvests off Alaska (the most recent year for which complete data are available). Products are divided among "primary", "ancillary" and "industrial" product forms, based on current regulatory definitions. The list of "primary products" includes outputs such as whole fish, headed-and-gutted product, fillets of various forms, surimi, and minced fish. In commercial practice in these fisheries, the proportion of the whole fish utilized in the production of these "primary" products reportedly range from $13 \%$ to $100 \%$.

Products defined as principally "ancillary" (e.g., roe, heads, and cheeks) are assumed to be produced in addition to a primary product. For example, "cod heads" are presumed to be an ancillary product to headed-and-gutted cod. Processors could, if not explicitly prohibited by regulation, choose to produce traditionally "ancillary" product forms as there "primary" product, under some circumstances. This practice could result in utilization of 5\% or less of the whole fish, by weight, based upon the reported product recovery rates (PRRs), by species, in these fisheries.

Economic, logistic, regulatory, and biological considerations would dictate the extent of such activities. For example, markets forces could induce this behavior for some species and/or product forms over some period of the fishing season. Similarly, logistical considerations within an individual processing facility (e.g., breakdowns, excess deliveries, conflicts with
other fisheries such as salmon, halibut, and crab) could result in diverting fish into product forms generally regarded as "ancillary" (or discarding them altogether). Regulations may also dictate such production decisions. For example, a short duration opening may result in processors maximizing "through put" of a particular species, rather than "utilization" (e.g., roe stripping of pollock), before it was banned. In other circumstances, the "poor condition" of a species during some periods of the year may prompt its use only for "ancillary" product forms.

The specific output form and product mix in the BSAI and GOA groundfish fisheries is highly variable. Production characteristics (i.e., form, grade, and product mix) may vary in response to, among other factors, the type of processing operation (e.g., m-shore or at-sea); the season of the year (e.g., the presence or absence of roe); regulatory restrictions (e.g., roe-stripping prohibition, bycatch-only or non-discretionary discard status); and the nature of the market (e.g., surimi prices are low relative to fillets). It would be incorrect, therefore, to attribute, for example, "high" recovery rates, particular product forms, or specific quality or grades with 'one specific operational type or configuration. Influenced by these biological, technological, regulatory, and economic factors, performance may diverge from operation to operation between and within each category, and even within any given operation, from season to season, and fishery to fishery.

It should be noted that the comparison of physical measures of product output (e.g., PRRs or quantity of output) as a measure of utilization may be misleading. The appropriate comparison is the value of the product produced. For example, if the greatest product recovery from each fish were the correct measure by which to compare alternative product forms, then fish "sold-in-the-round" would always be the preferred form of utilization. Clearly, this is not the case. Instead, the unit value of each product provides an efficient means of making comparisons across product forms and across species. Product price serves as an efficient mechanism to compare the "value" of utilizing a fish to produce, say, a unit of pollock fillets and a unit of pollock headed and gutted (II\&G).

[^2]Table 62 - Reported processed product for all groundfish retained and processed at-sea in the GOA and BSAI in 1994 ( t ).

| Product Form | PRR | Product Wt. | Round Wt. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| "Primary" products |  |  |  |
| Whole fish | 1.0 | 54,338 | 54,338 |
| Bled only | 0.98 | 1 | 1 |
| Gutted only | . $80-.90$ | 12 | 17 |
| H\&G w/roe | . $55-.80$ | 12,182 | 15,231 |
| H\&G western | . $50-.78$ | 11,621 | 18,758 |
| H\&G eastern | . 32 - . 65 | 87,743 | 165,931 |
| H\&G tail removed | . 44 - . 62 | 3,064 | 5,002 |
| Kirimi | 0.48 | 17,251 | 35,914 |
| Salted/split | 0.45 | 61 | 134 |
| Wings | 0.32 | 373 | 1,164 |
| Fillets w/skin, ribs | . 32 - . 45 | 564 | 1,320 |
| Fillets w/skin, no ribs | . 27 - . 38 | 694 | 2,430 |
| Fillets w/ribs, no skin | . 25 - . 35 | 130 | 497 |
| Fillets, no skin, ribs | . $21-.25$ | 25,685 | 143,195 |
| Fillets, deep-skin | 0.13 | 22,872 | 174,039 |
| Surimi | . 15 - . 18 | 92,303 | 573,623 |
| Minced | . 22 - . 50 | 12,771 | 30,866 |
| Mantles | . $75-.85$ | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Other retained |  | 31 | 30 |
| Total |  | 341,695 | 1,222,490 |
| "Ancillary" products |  |  |  |
| Roe | 0.08 | 8,718 | 1,556 |
| Pectoral girdle | 0.05 | 18 | 0 |
| Heads | . $15-.20$ | 73 | 0 |
| Cheeks | 0.05 | 8 | 0 |
| Chins | 0.05 | 72 | 0 |
| Belly | . $01-.10$ | 21 | 0 |
| Fish oil | na | 1,134 | 0 |
| Milt | nа | 266 | 0 |
| Stomachs | na | 389 | 0 |
| Total |  | 10,701 | 1,556 |
| "Industrial" products |  |  |  |
| Bait (primary) | 1.0 | 326 | 326 |
| Fish meal (ancillary) | . 17 - . 22 | 22,839 | 0 |
| (primary) | . $17-.22$ | 2,816 | 16,486 |
| Total |  | 25,980 | 16,812 |
| At-sea |  |  |  |
| Total, all product forms |  | 378,375 | 1,240,858 |

Table 62 (continued). - Reported processed product for all groundfish processed by shoreside plants in the GOA and BSAI in 1994 (t).

| Product Form | PRR | Product Wt. | Round Wt. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| "Primary" products |  |  |  |
| Whole fish | 1.0 | 7,040 | 7,040 |
| Bled only | 0.98 | 828 | 835 |
| Gutted only | . $80-.90$ | 131 | 155 |
| Gutted only | . $80-.90$ | 100 | 117 |
| H\&G w/roe | . $55-.80$ | 133 | 162 |
| H\&G western | . $50-.78$ | 3,069 | 5,372 |
| H\&G eastern | . 32 - . 65 | 14,091 | 22,765 |
| H\&G tail removed | . 44 - . 62 | 184 | 304 |
| Kirimi | - 0.48 | 268 | 543 |
| Salted/split | 0.45 | 4,300 | 9,477 |
| Wings | 0.32 | 2 | 6 |
| Fillets w/skin, ribs | . 32 -. 45 | 116 | 332 |
| Fillets w/skin, no ribs | . $27-.38$ | 148 | 508 |
| Fillets, no skin, ribs | . 21 - . 25 | 137 | 557 |
| Fillets w/ribs, no skin | . $25-.35$ | 226 | 842 |
| Fillets, no skin, ribs | . 21 - . 25 | 24,273 | 126,699 |
| Fillets, deep-skin | 0.13 | 489 | 3,762 |
| Surimi | . $15-.18$ | 89,226 | 488,657 |
| Minced | . 22 - . 50 | 2,590 | 1,171 |
| Mantles | . $75-.85$ | 2 | 2 |
| Butterfly, no backbone | 0.43 | , | 1 |
| Other retained |  | 0.01 | 0 |
| Total |  | 147,352 | 669,308 |
| "Ancillary" products |  |  |  |
| Roe | 0.08 | 5,160 | 219 |
| Pectoral girdle | - 0.05 | 22 | 0 |
| Heads | . $15-.20$ | 107 | 0 |
| Chins | 0.05 | 3 | 0 |
| Belly | . $01-.10$ | 28 | 1,106 |
| Fish oil | па | 8,021 | 0 |
| Stomachs | na | 10 | 0 |
| Milt | na | 408 | 0 |
| Bones | na | 4,061 | 0 |
| Total |  | 17,820 | 1,325 |
| "Industrial" products |  |  |  |
| Bait (primary) | 1.0 | 932 | 932 |
| Fish meal (ancillary) | . 17 - . 22 | 32,732 | 0 |
| (primary) | . $17-.22$ | 939 | 5,522 |
| Total |  | 34,603 | 6,454 |
| Shoreside |  |  |  |
| Total, all product forms |  | 199,775 | 677,087 |
| AGGREGATE TOTAL (At-sea and Shoreside) |  | 578,150 | 1,917,945 |

## Limits on Production

## Technical_Limitations

Changes in industry standards of retention and utilization of catch involve adjustment costs. Historically some groundfish discards in the BSAI and GOA fisheries have been required by regulations, economic considerations (e.g., lack of markets or lower values than the primary target species, etc.), or other discards that may have occurred for "technical" reasons.

Existing mechanical processing technology imposes both effective and absolute limits on the size (and to perhaps a lesser extent, species) of fish which can be efficiently converted into a marketable product form (excluding, of course, meal reduction). From the standpoint of assessing changes in processed product form or recovery rates, existing production capacity and technology can be regarded as fixed, in the short-run, and only marginally flexible in the intermediate-run. While each operation in the BSAI and GOA groundfish fisheries is unique in terms of configuration, capacity, and technology, all are constrained by similar technological and market limitations on what can be produced from the raw catch. These limitations may be useful indicators of the potential for change in catch retention and utilization patterns.

Size frequencies and species composition in the BSAI and GOA fisheries vary significantly. Some of the most pronounced year-to-year variability can be explained by the presence of an exceptionally strong (or weak) cohort, which can be seen to move through the fishery in successive years. So, for example, when an unusually abundant year class first recruits into a fishery, the proportion of "small fish" to total catch of a given species may increase dramatically. As this year class grows and matures, it represents a greater share of each year's total catch of that species. Over time, the proportion of "small fish" to total landings falls. Therefore, in any given year, for any given species, the range of size of fish, and the proportional composition of each size class to the total may be different. Because size and maturity are important aspects of some product forms for some species, these biologicallyrelated externalities can directly affect catch utilization, product mix, and value,

An example of how the population dynamics of a groundfish stock can affect catch composition, and thus utilization, is presented below. While the example selected focuses on
the BSAI mid-water pollock fishery, a similar dynamic would apply to most other directed groundfish fisheries in this region.

In the case of the BSAI mid-water pollock fishery, utilizing a 5 -year mean from 1989 through 1993, pollock ranging in size from 11 cm (about 12 gm ) to over 83 cm (more than $4,000 \mathrm{gm}$ ) are reported in the catch. For the same period, approximately $25 \%$ of the catch was equal to or less than 40 cm (approximately 465 gm ) in size, approximately $25 \%$ was between 41 cm and 45 cm (up to 650 gm ), $25 \%$ was between 46 cm and 49 cm (up to 830 gm ), and roughly the final $25 \%$ was greater than 50 cm (up to $3,800 \mathrm{gm}$ ),

Industry sources and others knowledgeable about this sector of the fish processing industry, report that, at the present time, the at-sea processing sector fillet and surimi production relies heavily on Baader processing technology (e.g., Baader 182, 190, and 212 filleting machines). The shorebased operators rely upon the same technology, although additional Toyo processing capacity exists in this sector.

Technical information, provided by Baader Fish Processing Machinery, suggests that each of these filleting machines have absolute limits on the size of pollock which can be processed. For the Baader 190, the limits range from 33 to 66 cm . For the Baader 212, which also allows the extraction of roe, the bounds are 35 to 55 cm . The 182 Baader machine, in its standard configuration, can process pollock in the range of 27 to 42 cm ; although in its more commonly used alternative configuration, with mechanical modifications, the machine can process fish of 35 cm to 52 cm .

These mechanical limits define the boundaries of possible production for specific product forms without modification to the machines. Utilizing these technical limits, in combination with historical size composition data for the BSAI mid-water pollock fishery, it appears that, on average, approximately $1.75 \%$ of the catch (in numbers of fish) will be below the minimum size for mechanical processing for operations employing the factory configured Baader 182 machines. With the more common modification to utilize 35 to 55 cm fish, $7.4 \%$ of the pollock catch would be too small for the Baader 182. Just over 5\% of total pollock catch will be too small to process using Baader 190s and $7.4 \%$ will be below the lower size limit for use of the Baader 212 machine. Reportedly, Toyo machines will process pollock as small as 27 cm , equivalent to the lower bound of the standard Baader 182 configuration.

Technology, currently available to the industry, does not provide a means to utilize a fish at the lower end of the size range taken in the pelagic trawl fishery for anything but meal reduction purposes. ${ }^{6}$ One operator reported that, "you put the really small fish into the system and they just fall through the grates 'in the machines. "

At the upper-limits, using the standard factory configuration of the Baader 182 would mean that, in the pelagic trawl pollock fishery, nearly $59.5 \%$ of the total pollock catch would be too large for these machines. In the modified configuration which accommodates fish as large as 52 cm , just over $10 \%$ of the pollock catch would be too large for the machines. For operators with Baader 190 machines, less than $0.25 \%$ of the catch could not be processed by machine. The Baader 212, with an upper bound of 55 cm , could handle all but about $4.4 \%$ of the pollock caught. Toyo machines reportedly have an upper-bound limit of $2,000 \mathrm{gm}$ or about 66 cm . This is equivalent to the Baader 196 limit. Very large fish, which cannot be mechanically processed, could perhaps be processed by hand. ${ }^{7}$

## Market Limitations

Beyond the technological limits of the existing physical plant in these fisheries, there are limits which dictate how (or if) a particular processor will utilize delivered catch. In a sense, the technological limits describe what "can" be processed, while markets define what "should" be processed, at least in the short-run, from the perspective of the plant operator.

If a profit-maximizing firm expends scarce productive resources (e.g., labor, capital), to produce a product for which there is no market, that firm will not remain in business for long. It is important, therefore, to consider what "market" limitations (in addition to the

[^3]"technological limitation") may confront the domestic groundfish industry in the short-run.

Continuing to use the BSAL mid-water pollock fishery as an example, industry sources suggest that current markets dictate the following limits. For pollock fillet production the "minimum" size fish that can be used to produce a marketable product is about 350 gm round weight (or roughly 36 cm ). For surimi production, the lower limit is about 300 gm (approximately 34 $\mathrm{cm})$. Pollock H\&G requires a fish of no less than 350 gm . Another industry source reported that, as a rule, his operation did not buy pollock of less than 450 gm (approximately 40 cm ), although fish of as small as 400 gm (about 38 cm ) would be the lower limit for surimi production. Deep-skin blocks and individually quick frozen fillets required fish of 600 gm (roughly 44 cm ). Small fish under the identified minimums could not be utilized to produce a "saleable" product (other than meal) in existing markets.

These market limits, when compared to average size composition data for the BSAI mid-water pollock fishery, cited above, suggest that the range of useable pollock is somewhat narrower than the range dictated by technology, all else equal. Operations producing fillets or H\&G product may not be able to market "primary" product from the smallest $9.4 \%$ of the total catch, that is, fish less than 36 cm in length. Surimi producers may not be able to produce marketable primary product from as much as $6 \%$ of the pollock catch. Obviously, for those products and producers which "require" larger fish, even more of the average pollock production would be unsuited to primary product production, (e.g., deep-skin block).

While the BSAI mid-water trawl fishery catch is consistently made up of $99 \%$ pollock, the catch does contain other species. Pacific cod with small amounts of flatfish make up most of the catch balance. Species other than that "targeted" by an operator potentially present significant additional problems, both technical and market-related. For example, a processing facility configured and equipped to process, say, pollock surimi would be ill-equipped to convert flatfish into a marketable product form. Likewise, that same surimi processor might discover that handling, packaging, and marketing an unfamiliar product form, that is, flatfish fillets, is unprofitable.

## Product Recovery Rates (PRRs)

Historically, NMFS found it necessary, for management, monitoring, and enforcement purposes, to define, in formal regulation, standard product types and associated PRRs for the groundfish fisheries of the BSAI and GOA. Used to estimate round weight equivalents of groundfish catches, standardized PRRs assume a fixed proportion of the amount of primary processed product to be derived during processing. Additional products obtained from the same fish are classified as ancillary products.

Beginning in 1990 and continuing through 1991, NMFS monitored groundfish catches in the Bering Sea and Gulf fisheries solely on the basis of standard PRRs, by applying the appropriate "standard" recovery rate to the amount of processed product reported by industry through the Weekly Production Reports. In 1992, NMFS began the monitoring of deliveries of groundfish to onshore processors on the basis of landed weight, thus avoiding for the most part the use of PRRs for this sector of the industry. However, catches, of groundfish in the offshore processing sector continued to be monitored on the basis of product weights and standard PRRs.

An important exception to this rule was made in for the pollock fishery. In 1992, NMFS utilized a "blend" system for at-sea pollock catches which compared observer estimates of total catch with that reported by the operator in the Weekly Production Report. If the two sources were within $10 \%$ of one another, the Weekly Production Report was employed. If they varied by more than $10 \%$ ) the observer estimate was used. The single exception to this rule came in the case where the Weekly Production Report was more than $20 \%$ higher than the observer estimate, in which case the Weekly Production Reportwas accepted. While the "blend" procedure reduced the relative importance of standardized PRRs, they continue to be employed, in combination with the Weekly Production Report data, in this estimation method. The following year, 1993, the "blend" estimation procedure was adopted for all at-sea groundfish processors. The "decision threshold" which dictated the use of observer information or the Weekly Production Report was lowered to 5\%. In 1994, the "blend" model was again modified, such that, in the pollock fishery only, the threshold for selecting the Weekly Production Report estimates when the observer data are significantly lower, was changed from $20 \%$ to $30 \%$. For all other species, the threshold remained at $20 \%$.

Consistent estimation of total catch remains a critical consideration in assuring optimum utilization of the groundfish resource, over tune. While direct use of PRRs has diminished in importance for catch monitoring, accurate determination of round weight equivalent catch estimates, derived by backcasting from processed product utilizing PRRS, continues to be a necessary means of in-season catch monitoring and enforcement. In this way the fullest possible harvest may be obtained, while minimizing the risk of overfishing these valuable resources.

Standardized PRRs are also used to estimate the round weight equivalent of retained species for purposes of assigning vessels to specific fisheries for monitoring bycatch allowances of prohibited species (PSC), or monitoring compliance with fishery specific standards under Vessel Incentive Programs to reduce PSC rates.

At present, NMFS has established a total of 30 standard product types for these fisheries. With each standard product type, for each listed species, there is associated a single standard PRR (with the exception of pollock surimi for which two PRRs are prescribed: one for the Aseason and one for the B-season). The official PRRs are listed in Table 63.

Table 63. Species categories, product codes and descriptions, and standard product recovery rates for groundfish species referenced in 50 CFR $672.20(a)(1)$ and/or 50 CFR $675,20(a)(1)$.

|  | FMP SPECIES |  | PRODUCT CODE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
|  |  |  | Whole | WHOLE | BLED | GUTTED | HEADED | HEADED | HEADED | HEADED | KIRIMI | SALTED | WINGS | ROE |
|  |  |  | FOOD | BAIT |  |  | \& GUTTED | \& GUTTED | \& GUTTED | \& GUTTED |  | \& SPLIT |  |  |
|  | . | SPECIES | FISH | FISH | . |  | WITH | WESTERN | EASTERN | W/O TAIL |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CODE |  |  |  |  | ROE | CUT | CUT |  | - |  |  | . |
|  | Pacific cod | 110 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.85 | 0.63 | 0.57 | 0.47 | 0.44 | .... | 0.45 | ... | 0.05 |
|  | Arrowtooth flounder | 121 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.72 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.48 | $\cdots$ | ... | 0.08 |
|  | Rockfish 1/ |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.88 | .... | 0.60 | 0.50 | .... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .. |  |
|  | Sculpins | . 160 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.87 | .. | 0.50 | 0.40 | $\ldots$ | .... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |
|  | Atka mackerel | 193 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.87 | 0.67 | 0.64 | 0.61 | .... | . | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
|  | Pollock | 270 | 1.00 | 100 | 098 | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.65 | 0.56 | 0.50 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.04 |
|  | Smelts | 510 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.82 | $\ldots$ | 0.71 | ... | .... | $\ldots$. | $\ldots$ | .... | .... |
|  | Eulachon | 511 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.82 | $\ldots$. | 0.71 | $\ldots$. | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
|  | Capelin | 516 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.89 | $\ldots$ | 0.78 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | .... |
|  | Sharks | 689 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.83 | . | 0.72 | ... | $\ldots$ | .... | $\ldots$ | .... | .... |
|  | Skates | 700 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.90 | .... | $\ldots$ | 0.32 | $\ldots$. | ... | $\ldots$ | 0.32 | $\ldots$ |
|  | Sablefish | 710 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.89 | $\cdots$. | 0.68 | 0.63 | 0.50 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .... |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ | Octopus | '870 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.69 | . | . | . 63 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .... |
|  | Species categories only at 50 CFR 672.20(a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
|  | Deep water flatfish | 118 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.72 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.48 | $\ldots$ | .... | 0.08 |
|  | Flathead sole | 122 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.72 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.48 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.08 |
|  | Rex sole | 125 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.72 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.48 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.08 |
|  | Shallow water flatfish | 119 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.72 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.48 | .. | .... | 0.08 |
|  | Thornyhead rockfish | 143 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.88 | 0.55 | 0.60 | 0.50 | $\cdots$ | . | $\cdots$ | .... | .... |
|  | Species categories only at 50 CFR 675.20(a) |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other flatfish | 120 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.72 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.48 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.08 |
|  | Rock sole | 123 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.72 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.48 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots \cdot$ | 0.08 |
|  | Yellowfin sole | 127 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.72 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.48 | $\ldots$ | .... | 0.08 |
|  | Greenland turbot | 134 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.72 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.48 | $\ldots$ | .... | 0.08 |
|  | Squid | 875 | 1.00 | -1.00 | 0.98 | 0.69 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - .... | .... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 9. |

1/ Rockfish means all species of Sebastes and Sebastolobus

|  | Table 63. (continued). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PRODUCT CODE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SPECIES CODE |  | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
|  |  |  | PECTORAL GIRDLE | HEADS | CHEEKS | CHINS | BELLY | FILLETS: WITH SKIN $\&$ RIBS | FILLETS: <br> SKIN ON <br> NO RIRS | FILLETS: WITH RIBS NO SKIN | FILLETS: SKINLESS, boneless | FILLETS: <br> DEEP <br> SKIN | SURIMI | mince | MEAL |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |
|  | Pacific cod | 110 | 0.05 | .... | 0.05 | .... | 0.01 | 0.45 | 0.35 | 0.25 | 0.25 | .... | 0.15 | 0.50 | 0.17 |
|  | Arrowtooth flounder | 121 | .... | .... | ... | $\ldots$ | .... | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.22 | .... | ... | .... | 0.17 |
|  | Rockfish |  | $\ldots$ | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 0.25 | .... | .... | .... | 0.17 |
|  | Sculpins | 160 | `.... | .... | .... | .... | .... | .... | .... | .... | .... | ... | .... | $\ldots$. | 0.17 |
|  | Alka mackerel | 193 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | .... | .... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .... | 0.15 | $\ldots$ | 0.17 |
|  | Pollock | 270 | .... | 0.15 | .... | .... | .... | 0.35 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 0.16 | $0.161 /$ | 0.22 | 0.17 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . | 0.1721 |  |  |
|  | Smelts | 510 | $\ldots$ | .... | .... | .... | $\ldots$ | .... | 0.38 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .... | $\ldots$ | 0.22 |
|  | Eulachon | 511 | ... | .... | .... | .... | $\ldots$ | .... | 0.38 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | .... | .... | 0.22 |
|  | Capelin | 516 | $\ldots$ | .... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .... | .... | .... | .... | .... | .... | $\ldots$ | .... | 0.22 |
|  | Sharks | 689 | .... | $\ldots$ | .... | .... | $\ldots$ | .... | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.25 | .... | .... | ... | 0.17 |
|  | Skates | 700 | -... | .... | $\ldots$ | .... | .... | .... | .... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0.17 |
|  | Sablefish | 710 | .... | .... | 0.05 | .... | ... | 0.35 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.25 | .... | .... | $\ldots$ | 0.22 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}$ | Octopus | 870 | .... | .... | .... | ... | $\ldots$ | .... | . | .... | $\ldots$ | .... | $\ldots$. | $\ldots$ | 0.17 |
|  | I/ Standard pollock surimi rate during January through June <br> 2/ Standard pollock surimi rate during July through December |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Species categories only at 50 CFR 672.20(a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Deep water flatish | 118 | $\ldots$ | .... | .... | .... | .... | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.22 | $\ldots$ | .... | .... | 0.17 |
|  | Flathead sole | 122 | .... | .... | .... | .... | .... | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.22 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .... | 0.17 |
|  | Rex sole | 125 | .... | .... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.22 | .... | .... | .... | 0.17 |
|  | Shallow water flatfish | - 119 | $\cdots$ | .... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .... | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.22 - | .... | $\ldots$ | .... | 0.17 |
|  | Thomyhead rockfish | 143 | .... | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.25 | .... | .... | .... | 0.17 |
|  | Species categories only at 50 CFR 675.20(a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other flatish | 120 | .... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.22 | .... | .... | $\ldots$ | 0.17 |
|  | Rock sole | 123 | .... | $\ldots$ | .... | .... | .... | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.22 | .... | .... | .... | 0.17 |
|  | Yellowfin sole | 127 | .... | .... | .... | .... | $\ldots$ | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.22 | .... | 0.18 | .... | 0.17 |
|  | Greenland turbot | 134 | $\ldots$ | .... | $\ldots$ | .... | .... | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.22 | .... | .... | .... | 0.17 |
|  | Squid | 875 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | .... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .... | $\ldots$ | 0.17 |

Table 63. (continued).
PRODUCT CODE


## Product Recovery Rates Variability in the Field

The foregoing discussion suggests the importance of establishing accurate "standard" PRRs, by species and product form. A standard PRR set too high has the potential to result in the overharvesting of the resource, while if set too low could inappropriately restrict catches and impose unjustified costs on users.

However, because the groundfish resources in the North Pacific and Bering Sea are so diverse, numerous product forms have emerged to take advantage of specific attributes of the catch and to meet particular market needs and demands. Operationally, PRRs are highly variable and may differ from operation to operation, or period to period, depending on such factors as product mix, fish size and condition, market demand, and species/gender composition.

Some PRRs, by definition, are very low (e.g., heads, cheeks, and milt). Others may be variable over the course of a fishing season or in response to biological or market conditions (e.g., roe or surimi). The actual rate of recovery attained in an operation may be linked to a number of competing considerations. For example, the "maximum" PRR attainable is 1.0 (i.e., a "whole" fish). This product form may not, however, yield the highest product value.

Even within a particular product type, there may be a direct trade-off between recovery rate and value. Consider the case of pollock surimi. A processor which has the capability to produce a range of grades of surimi will find that the recovery rate for very high grade product (e.g., SA grade), may be somewhat lower than that for a lower grade product (e.g., A or B grade). However, the value of the higher quality product may more than off-set the reduction in output. In this case, reliance on comparative PRRs to judge the relative efficiency of an operation may be inappropriate. As suggested, the physical measure of output, in the absence of information on relative economic value, ignores the inherent trade-off between quantity and quality, and may lead to inefficient decisions.

The groundfish biomass in the BSAI and GOA is relatively abundant and diverse. This resource base has traditionally provided a wide array of production opportunities and product options. It is reasonable to assume that new uses and product forms will continue to emerge in response to market opportunities and changing consumer preferences. As new markets, innovative uses of groundfish, or additional products develop, managers will be challenged to respond with programs which simultaneously facilitate development opportunities, while ensuring the sustainability of the resource base.

## Markets and Estimated Product Value

## Groundfish Exports

The majority of the groundfish harvested in the United States EEZ off Alaska finds its way into export markets (Kinoshita et al., 1994). Many of the principal groundfish products are exported after undergoing only primary processing in the United States and are reprocessed into final products, by secondary processors, outside the United States.

Groundfish from the U.S. EEZ off Alaska are exported to many countries. The principal export markets include Japan, the Republic of Korea, Canada, the People's Republic of China, and the European community ${ }^{8}$. Numerous other countries also purchase Alaska groundfish products, but in much smaller quantities.

In 1990, exports of groundfish products, deriving from fish harvested in the U.S. EEZ off Alaska, totaled more than $432,700 \mathrm{t}$, with an estimated value of $\$ 775,180,000$. In 1994 , the total quantity of these exports had risen only slightly, to $433,959 \mathrm{t}$, but with an estimated value of $\$ 870,275,000$.

The following tables summarizes 1) the reported quantities of these groundfish products, by primary product form and species category, exported to each of the principal markets, for 1990 through 1994, and 2) their associated value. These data are drawn from United States Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census sources for customs districts in Alaska and Washington. To the maximum extent practicable, only products deriving from groundfish harvested off Alaska are included in the reported export quantities.

The world seafood market was fundamentally changed with the. wide-spread implementation of Extended Jurisdiction Zones in the early-to-mid 1970s (Queirolo, Johnston and Zhang, 1995). The U.S. fishing industry, and particularly those of the. Pacific Northwest and Alaska, was among the principal beneficiaries of much of this change, expanding into new markets and supplying new product forms.

[^4]In an effort to respond to these institutional changes, official statistical data on U.S. export product categories have changed over time, although there often is a significant lag in this response. These changes have been made ostensibly to provide greater detail by species and product form. However, as a result, not all products appear as distinct export categoriesin each year, although the product may have been present in substantial quantities. For example, "surimi" was not a separate product category until 1992. Prior to that time, export quantities of surimi may have been recorded under product categories, "fish, meat/minced", "fish, minced", or "fish balls, cake, pudding". Despite these difficulties, these export data demonstrate the wide variety of product forms which derive from the utilization of groundfish harvested in the U.S. EEZ off Alaska. They also demonstrate the important contribution these groundfish resources make to U.S. seafood export trade, and by extension to the economic well-being of the region, and the world's supply of seafood products.

Groundfish exports from fisheries in the U.S. EEZ off Alaska varied between 1990 and 1994, both in terms of specific product categories and total quantity. As the data in Table 64 illustrate, total 1990 groundfish exports were $432,744 \mathrm{t}$ for these fisheries. This total increased by $8.62 \%$ in 1991, to $470,061 \mathrm{t}$, and by $2.71 \%$, to $482,807 \mathrm{t}$, in 1992. In both 1993 and 1994, total groundfish exports, attributable to the U.S. fisheries in the EEZ off Alaska, actually declined, first by $5.62 \%$ in 1993, then by $7.18 \%$ in 1994 .

An indication of the increasing product value associated with these groundfish exports is revealed in Table 65. For example, while the quantity of total exports of these products grew by $8.62 \%$ from 1990 to 1991 , the total value increased by $40 \%$. A portion of this increase can be attributed to a general increase in the world price for groundfish products. In particular, the price of Alaska-origin exports in categories "roe" (up on average $\$ 1 / 1 \mathrm{~b}$., from $\$ 2.79$ to $\$ 3.79$ ), and the "other products" category (primarily surimi, nearly doubling from $\$ .76 / \mathrm{lb}$. to $\$ 1.33 / \mathrm{lb}$.) were sharply higher. ${ }^{9}$ Additional factors influencing this sharp increase in total export value may have included growth in U.S. processing capacity and capability to produce outputs with higher "value-added" characteristics, as well as the changing structural relationship in seafood trade between, in particular, the United States and Japan, its principal
${ }^{9}$ Source: R. Kinoshita, A. Greig, and J. Terry, Economic status of the groundfish fisheries off Alaska, 1994. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech Memo. NMFS-AFSC-00, xx P. (In prep).
market (see, Sproul and Queirolo, 1994).

Total export value for this region increased again, by $3.36 \%$, between 1991 and 1992. In 1993, however, the estimated export value moved sharply lower, declining in aggregate by $24 \%$, The principal source of this decline was attributable to a $36.6 \%$ drop in surimi prices (from an average $\$ 1.45 / \mathrm{lb}$. to $\$ .92 / \mathrm{lb}$.) in that year ${ }^{10}$ The value of exports rose only slightly, by approximately
$2.5 \%$, in 1994.
${ }^{10}$ Currency exchange rates and world supply of "substitute" products, including among others meat, poultry, and seafood, influence international trade, and thus U.S. exports, in a major way. Over the period of interest, currency, exchange rates have changed rapidly, especially between the U.S. dollar and the Japanese yen. Because Japan is the largest single market for groundfish products deriving from the fisheries off Alaska, the influence has be magnified with respect to this region's export statistics.

Table 64. - Principal Alaska groundfish product exports, 1990-94 (in metric tons).

| Product | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | "Whole or dressed" |  |  |  |  |
| Flatfish |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 168 | 123 | 59 | 113 | 222 |
| Europe | 25 | 1,059 | 558 | 67 | 199 |
| Japan | 18,199 | 50,087 | 23,3972 | 1,9833 | 9,282 |
| P.R. China | . | 2,268 | 1,571 | 1,353 | 14,723 |
| Rep. Korea | 4,861 | 15,437 | 20,809 | 30,127 | 20,932 |
| Other | 2 | 2,551 | 5,680 | 6,832 | 1,960 |
| Cod |  |  |  | , |  |
| Canada | 298 | 976 | 981 | 511 | 1,035 |
| Europe | 23,966 | 30,700 | 16,689 | 4,086 | 2,346 |
| Japan | 33,307 | 32,221 | 27,415 | 18,559 | 32,876 |
| P.R. China | . |  | . . | 31 | 249 |
| Rep. Korea | 15,225 | 16,479 | 11,580 | 9,866 | 2,402 |
| Other | 45 | 11 | 29 | 112 | 46 |
| Pollock |  |  |  |  |  |
| Europe | 330 | 192 | 193 | 6 | 7 |
| Japan | 211 | 26 | 182 | 192 | 144 |
| P.R. China |  | 16 | . | . |  |
| Rep. Korea | 772 |  | 689 | 754 | 1,149 |
| Other | 165 | 50 | . | 20 | 58 |
| Mackerel (Atka) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 35 | 53 | 74 | 39 | 31 |
| Japan | 8,540 | 1,741 | 2,751 | 8,891 | 12,233 |
| Rep. Korea | 1,269 | 20 | 2,751 | 10,212 | 5,041 |
| Sablefish |  |  |  |  |  |
| Europe | 197 | 15 | 137 | 19 | - |
| Japan | 14,912 | 17,508 | 14,273 | 19,805 | 14,945 |
| P.R. China | : | - . | . | . | 16 |
| Rep. Korea | 1,456 | 424 | 291 | . | 20 |
| Other | 15 | 34 | 127 | 182 | 221. |

Table 64. - Continued.

| Product | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other, nspf |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 681 | 860 | 746 | 988 | 1,743 |
| Europe | 16,628 | 4,803 | 611 | 1,272 | 338 |
| Japan | 45,218 | 26,495 | 64,135 | 45,227 | 27,120 |
| P.R. China |  | . | 20 | 68 | 280 |
| Rep. Korea | 3,155 | 9,803 | 19,923 | 9,495 | 5,289 |
| Other | 580 | 135 | 781 | 313 | 280 |
|  |  | "Roe products" |  |  |  |
| Pollock roe |  |  |  |  |  |
| Europe | . | 83 | 4 |  | . |
| Japan |  | 15,041 | 15,847 | 10,490 | 7.975 |
| P.R. China |  |  | 41 | 17 |  |
| Rep. Korea |  | 2,947 | 1,659 | 307 | 937 |
| Other |  |  |  | 2 |  |

Fish roe, other, nspf

| Canada | 4 | 18 | 116 | 51 | 59 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Europe | 114 | 28 | 183 | 88 | 161 |
| Japan | 13,696 | 3,920 | 3,104 | 3,819 | 2,597 |
| Rep. Korea | 2,767 | 524 | 178 | 41 | 831 |
| Other | . | 11 | . | 1 | 42 |

## "Fillets"

## Cod

| Canada | 202 | 247 | 183 | 327 | 545 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Europe | 369 | 399 | 516 | 85 | 197 |
| Japan | 312 | 161 | 186 | 338 | 524 |
| Rep. Korea | 260 | 172 | 551 | 14 | 92 |
| Other | 18 | 26 |  | 6 | 35 |

Pollock

| Canada | . | 440 | 412 | 41 | 16 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Europe | . | 5,221 | 583 | 1,390 | 3,091 |
| Japan | . | 147 | 1,080 | 229 | 1,037 |
| P.R. China | . | 34 | . | . | . |
| Rep. Korea | . | 524 | 740 | 231 | 158 |
| Other | . | 1 | 14 | 260 | 41 |

Table 64. - Continued.

| Product | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other, nspf |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 1,609 | 1,164 | 1,653 | 2,009 | 1,463 |
| Europe | 4,714 | 2,912 | 1,593 | 236 | 342 |
| Japan | 200 | 985 | 235 | 1,783 | 471 |
| P.R. China |  |  |  | 19 | 10 |
| Rep. Korea | 132 | 121 |  |  | 4 |
| Other | 32 | 103 | 90 | 34 | 48 |
| "Other products" |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fish, meat/minced |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 744 |  | . |  |  |
| Europe | 2,685 | . | . | . |  |
| Japan | 96,945 | . | . | . |  |
| Rep. Korea | 14,548 | . | . | . |  |
| Other | 769 | . | . | . | . |
| Surimi |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | . | . | 101 | 68 | 61 |
| Europe | ' |  | 802 | 695 | 1,195 |
| Japan | . | . | 94,379 | 120,178 | 119,635 |
| P.R. China | . | . | - | 335 | 161 |
| Rep. Korea |  |  | 9,586 | 23,063 | 12,909 |
| Other | . | . | 277 | 3,739 | 5,480 |
| Fish, minced |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | . | . | 6 | 359 | 39 |
| Europe | . | 3,566 | 268 | 14 | 218 |
| Japan | . | 61,233 | 3,059 | 2,796 | 1,238 |
| Rep. Korea | . | 9,252 | 1,614 | . | 178 |
| Other | . | 379 | 43 | 90 |  |
| Fish, meat |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | . | 1,001 | 659 | 537 | 690 |
| Europe | . | 1,578 | 728 | 490 | 366 |
| Japan |  | 18,467 | 5,486 | 3,006 | 9,923 |
| P.R. China |  |  |  | 113 |  |
| Rep. Korea |  | 3,990 | 905 | 1,143 | 3,654 |
| Other |  |  | 155 | 1,182 | 1,059 |

Table 64. - Continued:

| Product | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fish balls, cake, pudding |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 1 | 1 | 13 | 22 |  |
| Europe | 44 | 58 | 49 | . |  |
| Japan | 1,375 | 11,890 | 19,893 | 12 | 64 |
| P.R. China | 40 | . | 23 | 21 | . |
| Rep. Korea | 3,438 | 4,468 | 3,608 | 574 | 100 |
| Other | 130 | 250 | 300 | 20 | 9 |

Fish sticks, minced

| Canada | 2,202 | 2,729 | 3,043 | 3,287 | 3,530 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Europe | 503 | . | 0 | 100 | . |
| Japan | 23,798 | 7,182 | 4,237 | 6,580 | 2,854 |
| P.R. China | 18 | . | . | . | 0 |
| Rep. Korea | 5,095 | 4,219 | 365 | 160 | 23 |
| Other | 750 | 155 | 678 | 581 | 586 |

Fish meal for human consumption
Canada . 10

10
Europe
Japan
P.R. China

2,084
3,348
2,487
2,370
4,273
Rep. Korea
368
Other
5,887
251
15
80.

Cod, salted, dried

| Canada | 27 | 1 | 60 | 72 | 85 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Europe | 4,848 | 6,796 | 669 | 570 | 933 |
| Japan | 315 | . | . | 35 | . |
| Rep. Korea | 133 | . | . | . | 17 |
| Other | 26 | . | 32 | . | . |

Fish liver oils
Canada
Rep. Korea
5

196
Fish oils

| Canada | 80 | 990 | 4,896 | 4,237 | 5,944 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Japan | 10 | 290 | 270 | 1,080 | . |
| Other | 31 | 54 | 50 | 260 | 409 |

Table 64. - Continued.

| Product | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fish meal |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 3,307 | 6,582 | 4,621 | 1,381 | 712 |
| Europe | 2 |  | 73 | . | . |
| Japan | 11,224 | 27,778 | 22,156 | 17,279 | 12,492 |
| P.R. China | 548 | 1,131 | 2,690 | 4,857 | 3,482 |
| Rep. Korea | 697 | 2,890 | 1,501 | 1,184 | 1,701 |
| Other | 35,550 | 39,685 | 47,507 | 40,154 | 33,147 |

[^5]Table 65. - Value of principal Alaska groundfish product exports, 1990-94 (in \$1,000).

| Product | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | "Whole or dressed" |  |  |  |  |
| Flatish |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 550 | 529 | 255 | 482 | 892 |
| Europe | 49 | 755 | 576 | 126 | 218 |
| Japan | 31,015 | 84,025 | 32,196 | 36,608 | 72,011 |
| P.R. China |  | 1,596 | 984 | 946 | 12,653 |
| Rep. Korea | 4,461 | 11,308 | 17,680 | 18,521 | 15,273 |
| Other | 8 | 2,366 | 4,716 | 5,773 | 1,996 |
| Cod |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 882 | 2,335 | 2,820 | 2,005 | 2,587 |
| Europe | 38,250 | 55,376 | 26,688 | 6,547. | 4,923 |
| Japan | 60,356 | 66,042 | 50,864 | 33,971 | 62,812 |
| P.R. China |  |  |  | 14 | 132 |
| Rep. Korea | 16,380 | 20,614 | 13,949 | 11,950 | 5,335 |
| Other | 69 | 22 | 206 | 527 | 256 |
| Pollock |  |  |  |  |  |
| Europe | 715 | 183 | 393 | 14 | 24 |
| Japan | 294 | 26 | 439 | 374 | 166 |
| P.R. China |  | 12 |  |  |  |
| Rep. Korea | 1,057 |  | 462 | 560 | 875 |
| Other | 311 | 238 |  | 50 | 147 |
| Mackerel (Atka) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 47 | 70 | 138 | 61 | 50 |
| Japan | 11,736 | 3,372 | 5,168 | 11,329 | 12,053 |
| Rep. Korea | 1,568 | 17 | 3,181 | 6,957 | 4,221 |
| Sablefish |  |  |  |  |  |
| Europe | 340 | 17 | 431 | 43 |  |
| Japan | 59,477 | 92,772 | 75,782 | 87,389 | 85,386 |
| P.R. China |  |  |  |  | 69 |
| Rep. Korea | 1,650 | 1,252 | 129 | . | 83 |
| Other | 66 | 171 | 694 | 1,058 | 1,626 |

Table 65. - Continued.

| Product | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other, nspf |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 1,600 | 2,417 | 2,233 | 3,534 | 5,127 |
| Europe | 32,249 | 10,013 | 1,549 | 1,914 | 492 |
| Japan Y | 84,486 | 52,393 | 114,083 | 83,308 | 48,092 |
| P.R. China | . | . | 26 | 67 | 67 |
| Rep. Korea | 3,334 | 13,855 | 21,968. | 8,827 | 3,959 |
| Other | 873 | 379 | 1,089 | 521 | 736 |
| "Roe products" |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pollock roe |  |  |  |  |  |
| Europe | , | 317 | 111 | . | . |
| Japan |  | 129,335 | 160,979 | 100,384 | 79,924 |
| P.R. China | . | . | 473 | 79 | . |
| Rep. Korea |  | 22,921 | 16,470 | 3,326 | 8,503, |
| Other |  | . | . . | 4 | . |
| Fish roe, other, nspf |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 34 | 197 | 588 | 386 | 639 |
| Europe | 189 | 178 | 3,438 | 2,426 | 2,693 |
| Japan | 78,756 | 29,501 | 19,540 | 17,891 | 13,643 |
| Rep. Korea | 20,013 | 3,775 | 772 | 284 | 3,621 |
| Other | . | 90 | . | 9 | 117 |
|  |  | "Fillets" |  |  |  |
| Cod |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 625 | 888 | 588 | 1,318 | 1,455 |
| Europe | 984 | 837 | 1,190 | 108 | 226 |
| Japan | 818 | 416 | 627 | 1,632 | 1,331 |
| Rep. Korea | 535 | 382 | 750 | 34 | 213 |
| Other | 44 | 83 | . | 14 | 86 |
| Pollock |  |  |  |  | - |
| Canada | . | 1,413 | 395 | 95 | 37 |
| Europe | . | 9,882 | 1,208 | 2,537 | 5,377 |
| Japan | . | 420 | 2,049 | 1,135 | 2,663 |
| P.R. China | . | 89 | - . | . | . |
| Rep. Korea | . | 1,345 | 530 | 115 | 280 |
| Other | - | 5 | 57 | 157 | 143 |

Table 65. - Continued.

| Product | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other, nspf |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 5,347 | 4,902 | 5,764 | 7,649 | 5,546 |
| Europe | 9,023 | 6,746 | 5,244 | 816 | 1,412 |
| Japan | 860 | 2,520 | 852 | 5,955 | 1,619 |
| P.R. China | . |  |  | 34 | 31 |
| Rep. Korea | 52 | 36 | . | . | 57 |
| Other | 59 | 134 | 421 | 202 | 139 |
| "Other products" |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fish, meat/minced |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 2,179 | . | - |  |  |
| Europe | 5,817 | . | . |  | . |
| Japan | 163,285 | . |  |  | . |
| Rep. Korea | 21,426 | . |  |  | . |
| Other | 1,415 | . | . | . | . |
| Surimi |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | . | . | 273 | 159 | 147 |
| Europe | . | . | 1,438 | 1,362 | 2,747 |
| Japan | . | . | 328,560 | 253,102 | 273,742 |
| P.R. China | . | . |  | 610 | 324 |
| Rep. Korea |  |  | 29,285 | 36,265 | 25,577 |
| Other |  |  | 655 | 6,804 | 10,346 |

Fish, minced

| Canada |  |  | 24 | 688 | 63 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Europe | . | 9,736 | 803 | 17 | 291 |
| Japan | . | 190,227 | 7,415 | 3,585 | 2,064 |
| Rep. Korea |  | 28,207 | 4,296 | . | 380 |
| Other | . | 516 | 77 | 164 | . |

Fish, meat


Table 65. - Continued.

| Product | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fish balls, cake, pudding |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 4 | 3 | 62 | 95 |  |
| Europe | 164 | 195 | 130 | . |  |
| Japan | 2,285 | 34,507 | 57,039 | 71 | 323 |
| P.R. China | 91 | . | 112 | 51 |  |
| Rep. Korea | 5,637 | 16,881 | 5,896 | 635 | 187 |
| Other | 200 | 331 | 673 | 42 | 42 |
| Fish sticks, minced |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 7,240 | 8,689 | 9,930 | 9,766 | 10,351 |
| Europe | 1,538 | . | . | 162 |  |
| Japan | 33,425 | 18,420 | 16,230 | 14,876 | 6,905 |
| P.R. China | 42 | . | . | . | . |
| Rep. Korea | 8,770 | 8,385 | 915 | 254 | 42 |
| Other | 1,818 | 340 | 995 | 445 | 725 |
| Fish meal for human consumption |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | . | 13 | . | - | - 3 |
| Europe | . | . | 27 | . | . |
| Japan | 1,133 | 3,139 | 1,555 | 1,282 | 3,135 |
| P.R. China | . | . | . |  | 263 |
| Rep. Korea | . | 279 | . | 48 | . |
| Other | 3,960 | 190 | 80 | . | 235 |
| Cod, salted, dried |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 61 | 4 | 129 | 144 | 263 |
| Europe | 14,380 | 22,319 | 3,086 | 1,979 | 2,782 |
| Japan | 308 | . | . | 191 | . |
| Rep. Korea | - 139 | . | . | $\therefore$ | 29 |
| Other | 60 | - | 72 | . | . |
| Fish liver oils |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 21 | 27 | 14 | 28 | 22 |
| Rep. Korea | 439 | 465 | 289 | 137 | 506 |
| Fish oils |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 40 | 543 | 1;805 | 1,730 | 2,367 |
| Japan | 27 | 117 | 308 | 240 | . |
| Other | 24 | 27 | 29 | 186 | 558 |

Table 65. - Continued.

| Product | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fish meal |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 1,755 | 4,209 | 2,670 | 627 | 322 |
| Europe | 26 | . | 703 | . |  |
| Japan | 4,738 | 12,212 | 10,013 | 8,598 | 5,555 |
| P.R. China | 177 | 559 | 1,822 | 2,077 | 1,164 |
| Rep. Korea | 442 | 3,871 | 837 | 951 | 588 |
| Other | 22,952 | 22,923 | 23,125 | 18,513 | 17,071 |

Sources: U.S. Dep. Commer., Bur. of the Census: database from Natl. Mar.
Fish: Serv., Fish. Stat. Div., Silver Spring, MD 20910: and Alaska Fish. Sci. Cent., 7600 Sand Point Way NE, BIN C15700, Seattle, WA 98115-0090.

All values are reported in "nominal" U.S. dollars.

## Factors Influencing Trade

Several exogenous factors influence international seafood trade. Among the most significant are currency exchange rates and world supplies of fishery products. The large quantities of groundfish products exported by U.S. producers, especially from fisheries off Alaska, as well as the substantial quantities of fish products supplied by foreign producers (a significant amount of which is imported into U.S. markets) place the U.S. and foreign seafood suppliers in competition with one another in the world marketplace. International currency exchange rates play a very important role in determining the respective economic performance (i.e., market-share) of each by affecting relative prices.

International exchange rates, particularly between the U.S. dollar and the currencies of its major seafood trading partners, have been extremely volatile over the period 1990 to the present (Table 66). In 1990, for example, the U.S. dollar was relatively strong against the Japanese yen, with the dollar buying as many as 158.5 yen in April of that year. However, from that relative high point, the dollar has moved in an almost uninterrupted downward slide, reaching post-World War II historical low levels against the yen, in recent years. By the end of the first quarter of 1995 , the U.S. dollar would buy only 90.8 yen, a decline in purchasing power of almost $43 \%$. Because Japan has traditionally been the principal market for fishery products from the United States (and particularly from Alaska and the Pacific Northwest), the yen-dollar volatility has been especially important. In general, the U.S. dollar's relative weakness against the yen has the effect of making U.S. exports, such as groundfish from the EEZ off Alaska, appear relatively "inexpensive" to Japanese purchasers.

Over the same period, however, the U.S. dollar actually strengthened against the currencies of other major seafood trading partners (and competitors). In January of 1990, for example, the U.S. dollar would buy 1.171 Canadian dollars. Over the next 5 years, the U.S. dollar strengthened relative to the Canadian currency until, in January of 1995, the U.S. dollar purchased 1.413 Canadian dollars, an increase of nearly $21 \%$. Similarly, the relative value of the U.S. dollar and Republic of Korea won changed markedly over this period. In early 1990, the U.S. dollar bought 683.4 Korean won. By 1994, the dollar was trading at more than 800 won, up roughly $18 \%$ in relative value.

While the dollar moved cyclically down, then up, then back down over this period against the British pound, it ended at about the same level in the first quarter of 1995 as it had in January of 1990; 0.625 pounds per dollar and 0.606 pounds, respectively. The dollar's performance against the Norwegian kroner was very similar, moving from 6.54 kroners per dollar in January of 1990, principally downward through the early-1991, recovering through late-1991,
then moving lower through late-1992. During 1993 and 1994, the U.S. dollar was relatively strong. By the end of the first quarter of 1995; the kroner/dollar exchange rate was once again in the range seen in early 1990. Indeed, this general pattern is reflected in a number of other currency exchange rates from western Europe.

The effect of these relative exchange rate changes has been, 1) to make U.S. exports (including groundfish products) relatively more expensive in Canada and South Korea, 2) to make Canadian and Korean exports into the U.S. market relatively "less expensive", and 3) to make Canadian and Korean products more "price competitive" with U.S. products in the world seafood marketplace.

International exchange rate volatility introduces an important external factor of "uncertainty" into the decision-making process for domestic groundfish producers as they assess utilization, product mix, and marketing options and opportunities.

There is also uncertainty concerning future harvest quotas because of the condition of the resource base. These concerns are associated both with the condition of stocks for the target groundfish species themselves, as well as those of "prohibited bycatch species", such as Pacific halibut, king and Tanner crabs, Pacific salmon, and Pacific herring.

Uncertainty about access to harvestable groundfish resources in the EEZ off Alaska also surrounds the interaction of these fish stocks and marine mammals, especially those marine mammal species which are listed as "depleted" or "threatened" under the U.S. Marine Mammal Protection Act.

All of these exogenous factors, and perhaps others not yet apparent, will influence the pattern of development and continued growth of the U.S. groundfish industry harvesting, processing, and exporting product from the EEZ off Alaska. Historical trends may provide some indication of where this important industry has come from, and where it may be headed. Nonetheless, the natural volatility in the ecological, biological, and economic environments demand continued careful examination of this important natural resource of the United Stat\&.

Table 66. - International Currency Exchange Rates for Selected Countries, 1990-1995 *. (Expressed in national currency units per U. S. dollar).

| Month | Japan <br> (yen) | Canada (dollar) | Republic of Korea (won) | United Kingdom (pound) | Norway (kroner) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 145.1 | 1.171 | 683.4 | . 606 | 6.54 |
| Feb. | 145.5 | 1.196 | 689.9 | . 590 | 6.47 |
| Mar. | 153.1 | 1.180 | 697.8 | . 615 | 6.59 |
| Apr. | 158.5 | 1.164 | 706.0 | . 611 | 6.55 |
| May | 153.5 | 1.175 | 709.3 | . 596 | 6.44 |
| Jun. | 153.8 | 1.173 | 715.3 | . 585 | 6.47 |
| Jul. | 149.3 | 1.157 | 715.9 | . 553 | 6.30 |
| Aug. | 147.4 | 1.144 | 715.5 | . 527 | 6.08 |
| Sep. | 139.0 | 1.158 | 715.0 | . 532 | 6.07 |
| Oct. | 129.7 | 1.160 | 715.0 | . 514 | 5.92 |
| Nov. | 129.1 | 1.163 | 715.0 | . 509 | 5.80 |
| Dec. | 133.6 | 1.160 | 715.8 | . 519 | 5.86 |
| 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 133.9 | 1.156 | 718.1 | . 517 | 5.91 |
| Feb. | 130.5 | 1.155 | 721.6 | . 509 | 5.77 |
| Mar. | 137.2 | 1.157 | 725.1 | . 546 | 6.22 |
| Apr. | 134.1 | 1.153 | 725.5 | . 571 | 6.62 |
| May | 138.0 | 1.150 | 725.1 | . 579 | 6.68 |
| Jun. | 139.8 | 1.144 | 725.4 | . 607 | 6.96 |
| Jul. | 138.0 | 1.149 | 729.0 | . 607 | 6.98 |
| Aug. | 138.9 | 1.145 | 731.1 | . 594 | 6.82 |
| Sep. | 134.6 | 1.137 | 739.7 | . 580 | 6.64 |
| Oct. | 130.7 | 1.128 | 749.2 | . 581 | 6.62 |
| Nov. | 129.7 | 1.130 | 753.1 | . 563 | 6.38 |
| Dec. | 128.1 | 1.146 | 757.3 | . 548 | 6.18 |
| 1992 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 125.1 | 1.156 | 762.7 | . 552 | 6.20 |
| Feb. | 127.5 | 1.182 | 765.7 | . 563 | 6.35 |
| Mar. | 132.7 | 1.193 | 771.1 | . 589 | 6.52 |
| Apr. | 133.5 | 1.187 | 778.3 | . 569 | 6.45 |
| May | 130.6 | 1.199 | 782.8 | . 552 | 6.32 |
| Jun. | 126.8 | 1.196 | 789.1 | . 540 | 6.16 |
| Jul. | 125.6 | 1.192 | 787.2 | . 521 | 5.86 |
| Aug. | 126.3 | 1.191 | 789.2 | . 516 | 5.72 |
| Sep. | 122.7 | 1.222 | 785.6 | . 538 | 5.79 |
| Oct. | 121.0 | 1.245 | 783.6 | . 603 | 6.04 |
| Nov. | 123.9 | 1.268 | 784.0 | . 655 | 6.47 |
| Dec. | 124.0 | 1.273 | 788.6 | . 644 | 6.68 |

Table 66. - (continued).


* 1995 first quarter only


## Estimating the Opportunity Cost of Bycatch

As noted in the foregoing discussion, the ecological and biological impacts of groundfish discards in the GOA and BSAI groundfish fisheries, while difficult to quantify, may be minimal. Fish which are intercepted as unwanted bycatch by one fishery, and ultimately discarded, may, absent their loss as bycatch, have been harvested and utilized to produce a marketable product in another fishery. Their capture and subsequent disposal, therefore, may impose direct economic costs on segments of the industry which target the species.

If a series of simplifying assumptions are made, it is possible to estimate the approximate value of the foregone catch of fish taken as bycatch and discarded in BSAI and GOA groundfish fisheries. For purposes of the following analysis, it is assumed that the 1994 catch utilization and discard patterns in the BSAI and GOA groundfish fisheries are typical, and that prices and product mixes remain constant.; Further, it is assumed that bycatch mortality for discarded groundfish is $100 \%$.

It must be acknowledged that not all groundfish discards represent foregone catches in alternative fisheries. Two categories where this is so can be immediately identified. First, at present some species (e.g., bearded eel pout) are not regarded as having economic value as retained catch. Therefore, the discard of these bycatch species cannot reasonably be considered to have imposed costs on other fisheries. Second, some groundfish species for which markets do exist, nonetheless, are not fully utilized by fishermen, e.g., arrowtooth flounder. Thus, if the Total Allowable Catch (TAC) of a species is not taken during the fishing year, bycatch discards of that species in one fishery can be regarded as surplus to the needs of any other fishery targeting that species. Therefore, such discards cannot be said to impose economic costs on the target fisheries for the discarded species. For all other species, however, it is reasonable to conclude that bycatch mortality does impose economic costs on target fisheries, and these costs should be recognized and accounted for, if possible.

One caveat must precede the derivation of these estimated losses. The economic value of bycatch discards can be thought of as having two components. The first is the opportunity cost of the bycatch mortality, measured, in this case, as the value of the products foregone in target fisheries which would have harvested and utilized the fish, had it not been taken as bycatch. The second component is the. value of the bycatch as a variable input to production
of the target species catch (and subsequent product output), in the intercepting fishery. That is, if Pacific cod, for example, is caught in the bottom pollock fishery and discarded as unwanted bycatch, the value of that discarded cod has two economic components. First, if the discarded cod would have been harvested and utilized in a cod target fishery, the value of the foregone cod in that use is its opportunity cost. ${ }^{11}$ In addition, however, if some cod bycatch is unavoidable in order to catch pollock, then the cod used as bycatch also has an economic value as an input in the harvest and utilization of pollock. It should be noted that these economic value components are not additive.

The value of bycatch discards as a variable input to production may be larger or smaller than the estimated opportunity cost derived below, depending upon the species discarded, the target fisheries in question, and the respective market values of the outputs produced and foregone. It is not possible at this time to estimate the value of the bycatch discard as an input to production for the BSAI and GOA groundfish fisheries. It is possible, however, by employing a series of simplifying assumptions, to make a crude estimate of the opportunity cost of the groundfish discards in these fisheries.

By utilizing 1994 catch, production, and product price data, an estimate was made of the first wholesale value (for a round weight equivalent ton), weighted by product form and mix, for

[^6]each groundfish species, with an allocated TAC for which that TAC was binding. ${ }^{12}$ These round weight equivalent wholesale values were then applied to the estimated discards, by species, by target fishery, under the assumption that, absent the bycatch loss, an equal quantity of catch of the discarded species would have accrued to other fisheries targeting and retaining that species. That is, if a ton of sablefish was-caught and discarded, for example, in the GOA "deepwater flatfish" fishery, by assumption, the opportunity cost of that ton of discarded sablefish would be the weighted product value per ton of retained sablefish catch in the GOA target sablefish fishery. All groundfish discards, by species, for each directed fishery were treated in the same way. They were then summed across species to yield the total estimated value of the foregone groundfish production attributable to that target fishery. These estimates are reported, by target fishery, by BSAI and GOA management areas, in Tables 67 and 68, respectively.

To place these bycatch discard opportunity cost, estimates in context, a ratio was prepared for each target fishery, which portrays the relationship between the value of the total product of the target fishery, with the opportunity cost imposed by that fishery on other target fisheries, as a result of bycatch discards. These ratios are also presented in Tables 67 and 68 for the respective management areas.. They may be interpreted, subject to the limitations of the estimation procedure cited above, as the value of output obtained per dollar of bycatch opportunity cost imposed. That is, say fishery A has a retained/discard value ratio of 5.5. This suggests that, for target fishery A, for each dollar of bycatch opportunity cost it imposes on other fisheries, target fishery A produces $\$ 5.50$ of product output value from its retained catch.

In 1994, there were six target groundfish fisheries in the BSAI management area for which the TAC was binding. There were five target groundfish fisheries in GOA which met this criterion. Since, by assumption, opportunity costs attributable to bycatch discards can only accrue if a species TAC is attained (and thus no unutilized surplus quantity of that species is available to the fishery), these eleven target fisheries are the only ones for which an

[^7]opportunity cost estimate, and retained/discard value ratio can be made. ${ }^{13}$

In 1994, all BSAI groundfish fisheries taken together, discarded $162,161 \mathrm{mt}$ of allocated groundfish species for which the TAC was binding (hereafter referred to as AGFS). The opportunity cost of the AGFS discards exceeded $\$ 91,848,000$. Total retained catch of all groundfish species in these fisheries taken as a whole was just over 1,699,500 t. The aggregate retained value of this catch exceeded $\$ 925,229,800$. Thus, the Retained/Discard Value Ratio, weighted by fishery across all BSAI groundfish fisheries, was 10.1. That is, for each dollar of bycatch opportunity cost imposed, $\$ 10.10$ of output was produced from retained catch. Individual rates varied from a high of 29.2 in the pollock target fishery, to a low of 2.4 in the "other" groundfish target fishery.

In the GOA groundfish fisheries, taken as a whole, AGFS discards totaled $15,685.4 \mathrm{t}$. The opportunity cost of the AGFS discards exceeded $\$ 14,661,597$. Total retained catch of all groundfish species in these fisheries, taken as a whole, was just over 196,588 t. The aggregate retained value of this catch exceeded $\$ 235,825,000$. Thus, the Retained/Discard Value Ratio, weighted by fishery across all GOA groundfish fisheries, was 16.1. That is, for each dollar of bycatch opportunity cost imposed, $\$ 16.10$ of output was produced from retained catch. Individual rates varied from a high of 45.4 in the sablefish target fishery, to a low of 3.4 in the arrowtooth flounder target fishery.

The individual estimates presented in these tables should be regarded as only "preliminary." However, they do suggest that the value of the retained catch associated with current levels of bycatch discards consistently, exceeds the estimated attributable opportunity cost imposed on target groundfish fisheries. This should not be interpreted to suggest that current discard levels are somehow "optimal." Indeed, if bycatch discards could be reduced without adversely affecting total retained catch, the Retained/Discard Value Ratio would be even higher than estimated below. Because some bycatch is virtually unavoidable, given available fishing technology, it is not clear by how much bycatch discards can be reduced, without a

[^8]simultaneous reduction in the value of the retained catch. This trade-off between the foregone value of discards and the revenue stream deriving from retained catch is key to maximizing the benefits that flow from this resource.

Table 67. - Derivation of "Opportunity Cost" and Retained/Discard Value Ratios for BSAI Groundfish Fisheries
[Part A]
Discard Allocated Species or Species Groups

| Target | Atka | Greenland | Pacific |  |  |  | Allocated |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Eishery | mackerel | turbol | cod | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockfish | total |
| Atka mackerel | $9,596.6$ | 13.5 | $2,210.1$ | 266.1 | 0.0 | $4,585.5$ | $16,671.7$ |
| Yellowfin sole | 0.0 | 5.8 | $9,691.3$ | $39,245.8$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $48,942.9$ |
| Greenland turbot | 0.8 | 369.0 | 4.1 | 21.1 | 47.2 | 23.3 | 465.4 |
| Rock sole | 0.0 | 8.8 | $, 3,759.1$ | $14,407.6$ | 0.4 | 1.1 | $18,176.9$ |
| Other flounder | 3.8 | 221.9 | 611.0 | $2,379.9$ | 15.8 | 254.1 | $3,486.5$ |
| Pacific cod | 297.1 | 210.6 | $8,816.8$ | $23,401.0$ | 8.3 | 381.3 | $33,115.0$ |
| Pollock | 58.7 | 60.6 | $8,326.4$ | $28,920.7$ | 0.7 | 184.9 | $37,552.0$ |
| Sablefish | 0.1 | $1,305.5$ | 13.5 | 7.0 | 32.4 | 48.5 | $1,407.0$ |
| Rockfish | 393.7 | 38.3 | 173.2 | 432.5 | 10.4 | $1,128.2$ | $2,176.4$ |
| Other | 0.0 | 1.1 | 45.4 | 120.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 167.6 |
| Grand total | $10,350.7$ | $2,235.0$ | $33,650.8$ | $109,201.9$ | 115.1 | $6,607.8$ | $162,161.3$ |

[Part B]
Estimated First Wholesale Price /metric ton Round Weight Equivalent

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
562.53 & 1,275.62 & 847.34 & 447.83 & 4,597.82 & 791.23
\end{array}
$$

[Part C]
Opportunity Cost Estimates of Discards in U.S.\$

| Target | Atka | Greenland | Pacific |  |  |  | Allocated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fishery | mackerel | turbot | cod | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockfish | total |
| Atka mackerel | 5,398,370. | 17,195 | 1,872,689 | 119,150 | 138 | 3,628,154 | 11,035,695 |
| Yellowfin sole | 0 | 7,335 | 8,211,826 | 17,575,433 | 0 | 24 | 25,794,618 |
| Greenland turbot | 450 | 470,729 | 3,457 | 9,454 | 216,787 | 18,420 | 719,297 |
| Rock sole | 0 | 11,174 | 3,185,210 | 6,452,147 | 1,609 | 862 | 9,651,003 |
| Other flounder | 2,138 | 283,086 | 517,750 | 1,065,786 | 72,416 | 201,083 | 2,142,258 |
| Pacific cod | 167,111 | 268,633 | 7,470,793 | 10,479,679 | 38,024 | 301,664 | 18,725,904 |
| Pollock | 33,009 | 77,341 | 7,055,258 | 12,951,557 | 3,172 | 146,314 | 20,266,652 |
| Sablefish | 34 | 1,665,271 | 11,439 | 3,144 | 149,153 | 38,359 | 1,867,400 |
| Rockfish | 221,491 | 48,907 | 146,785 | 193,669 | 47,817 | 892,689 | 1,551,358 |
| Other | 0 | 1,339 | 38,435 | 53,887 | 0 | 712 | 94,374 |
| Grand total | 5,822,602 | 2,851,011 | 28,513,643 | 48,903,905 | - 529,117 | 5,228,282 | 91,848,560 |

Table 67. - (continued).
[Part D]
Retained Allocated Species or Species Groups

| Target <br> Fishery |  | Arrowtooth flounder | Yellowfin sole | Greenland turbot | Rock sole | Other flounder |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atka mackerel | 58,224.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.1 | 10.5 | 0.0 |
| Yellowfin sole | 0.0 | 66.1 | 104,385.1 | 0.0 | 2,629.4 | 4,629.4 |
| Greenland turbot | 0.3 | 65.2 | 0.0 | 6,368.1 | 0.1 | 28.3 |
| Rock sole | 0.1 | 28.3 | 1,862.4 | 2.8 | 16,804.8 | 847.2 |
| Other flounder | 0.0 | 0.0 | 306.3 | 24.0 | 424.1 | 3,787.0 |
| Pacific cod | 64.6 | 231.3 | 642.9 | 163.8 | 487.4 | 710.1 |
| Pollock | 5.8 | 177.4 | 283.4 | 0.3 | 592.1 | 994.2 |
| Sablefish | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 204.3 | 1.1 | 6.8 |
| Rockfish | 944.8 | 57.6 | 46.0 | 316.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0 | 102.9 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 0.9 |
| Grand total | 59,239.5 | 625.9 | 107,628.9 | 7,119.3 | 20,951.1 | 11,005.4 |


| Target | Pacific |  |  |  |  | Grand |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fishery | $\underline{\text { cod }}$ | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockfish | Other | total |
| Atka mackerel | $5,165.0$ | 54.0 | 0.7 | $1,583.5$ | 23.1 | $65,096.0$ |
| Yellowfin sole | $8,395.1$ | $2,040.9$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 135.6 | $122,281.6$ |
| Greenland turbot | 105.5 | 0.1 | 452.1 | 110.9 | 0.7 | $7,131.2$ |
| Rock sole | $1,884.0$ | 969.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 41.1 | $22,440.9$ |
| Other flounder | $1,063.9$ | 67.0 | 15.0 | 24.8 | 5.0 | $5,717.1$ |
| Pacific cod | $140,307.1$ | $1,453.4$ | 108.5 | 159.2 | $1,349.5$ | $145,677.7$ |
| Pollock | $5,744.6$ | $1,308,291.6$ | 1.0 | 33.1 | 167.6 | $1,316,290.9$ |
| Sablefish | 11.8 | 0.0 | $1,702.4$ | 240.5 | $\ddots 3.1$ | $2,170.1$ |
| Rockfish | 297.2 | 16.5 | 67.5 | $10,664.8$ | 0.6 | $12,414.4$ |
| Other | 39.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 161.3 | 310.1 |
| Grand total | $163,014.0$ | $1,312,892.4$ | $2,348.3$ | $12,817.4$ | $1,887.7$ | $1,699,530.0$ |

Table 67. - (continued).
[Part E]
Estimated First Wholesale Price/(t) of Catch [for all retained species] ${ }^{14}$

| Target | Atka <br> mackerel | Arrowtooth <br> flounder | Yellowfin <br> sole | Greenland <br> turbot | Rock sole | Other <br> flounder |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atka mackerel | 562.53 | 165.06 | 0.00 | $1,252.90$ | $2,806.74$ | $1,435.71$ |
| Yellowfin sole | 0.00 | 86.96 | 645.58 | $1,256.61$ | 674.49 | 824.05 |
| Greenland turbot | 397.00 | 168.78 | 694.53 | $1,275.62$ | 708.35 | $2,052.19$ |
| Rock sole | 583.68 | 129.42 | 679.83 | $1,257.20$ | $2,800.51$ | $1,506.58$ |
| Other flounder | 623.14 | 164.04 | 668.70 | $1,273.06$ | $1,036.32$ | $1,384.28$ |
| Pacific cod | 599.77 | 163.51 | 513.05 | $1,280.87$ | $2,356.77$ | $1,557.12$ |
| Pollock | 601.18 | 75.08 | 611.71 | $1,341.60$ | $2,382.08$ | 227.20 |
| Sablefish | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | $1,306.53$ | 862.68 | $1,998.95$ |
| Rockfish | 554.29 | 164.02 | 674.28 | $1,259.43$ | $2,850.04$ | $1,446.54$ |
| Other | 0.00 | 164.21 | 645.37 | $1,265.78$ | $2,475.73$ | $1,527.81$ |


| Target | Pacific |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fishery | cod | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockfish | Other |
| Atka mackerel | 854.78 | $1,820.25$ | $3,800.56$ | 840.91 | 523.53 |
| Yellowfin sole | 784.10 | 373.96 | $3,895.87$ | 829.09 | 149.89 |
| Greenland turbot | 845.54 | 0.00 | $4,099.53$ | $2,971.01$ | 529.11 |
| Rock sole | 856.11 | $2,034.13$ | $3,555.46$ | 750.66 | 563.78 |
| Other flounder | 817.30 | 744.86 | $4,299.36$ | 850.99 | 820.96 |
| Pacific cod | 847.34 | 631.25 | $4,463.25$ | $1,936.33$ | 562.87 |
| Pollock | 765.28 | 447.83 | $4,623.53$ | $1,720.32$ | 148.69 |
| Sablefish | 805.53 | 905.41 | $4,597.82$ | $3,545.23$ | 510.79 |
| Rockfish | 837.72 | $2,567.85$ | $3,738.18$ | 791.23 | 568.43 |
| Other | 841.31 | $1,661.32$ | 0.00 | $3,334.99$ | 729.51 |

[^9]Table 67. - (continued).
[Part F]
Total Retained Value

| Target Fishery | Aka <br> mackerel | Arrowtooth flounder | Yellowfin sole | Greenland turbot | Rock sole | Other flounder |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atka mackerel | 32,752,758 | 0 | 0 | 43,977 | 29,527 | 57 |
| Yellowfin sole | 0 | 5,745 | 67,388,913 | 0 | 1,773,524 | 3,814,832 |
| Greenland turbot | 103 | 11,006 | 21 | 8,123,301 | 35 | 57,995 |
| Rock sole | 41 | 3,665 | 1,266,115 | 3,482 | 47,062,122 | 1,276,360 |
| Other flounder | 0 | 0 | 204,816 | 30,541 | 439,503 | 5,242,324 |
| Pacific cod | 38,727 | 37,821 | 329,830 | 209,807 | 1,148,595 | 1,105,633 |
| Pollock | 3,463 | 13,320 | 173,340 | 402 | 1,410,453 | 225,871 |
| Sablefish | 0 | 0 | 0 | 266,963 | 906 | 13,633 |
| Rockfish | 523;715 | 9,449 | 30,990 | 398,597 | 3,905 | 2,242 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 66,389 | 5,620 | 743 | 1,406 |
| Grand total | 33,318,807 | 81,007 | 69,460,415 | 9,082,691 | 51,869,314 | 11,740,352 |


| Target | Pacific |  |  |  |  | Grand Retained/Discard |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fishery | $\underline{\text { cod }}$ | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockfish | Other | total | Value Ratio |
| Atka mackerel | $4,414,947$ | 98,275 | 2,774 | $1,331,598$ | 12,094 | $38,686,007$ | 3.5 |
| Yellowfin sole | $6,582,629$ | 763,204 | 0 | 0 | 20,328 | $80,349,177$ | 3.1 |
| Greenland turbot | 89,196 | 0 | $1,853,357$ | 329,396 | 392 | $10,464,802$ | 14.5 |
| Rock sole | $1,612,920$ | $1,971,174$ | 4,089 | 0 | 23,160 | $53,223,128$ | 5.5 |
| Other flounder | 869,550 | 49,868 | 64,275 | 21,122 | 4,138 | $6,926,137$ | 3.2 |
| Pacific cod | $118,887,827$ | 917,471 | 484,129 | 308,206 | 759,599 | $124,227,644$ | 6.6 |
| Pollock | $4,396,250$ | $585,892,214$ | 4,624 | 56,857 | 24,919 | $592,201,713$ | 29.2 |
| Sablefish | 9,521 | 0 | $7,827,513$ | 852,486 | 1,604 | $8,972,626$ | 4.8 |
| Rockfish | 248,996 | 42,344 | 252,290 | $8,438,310$ | 318 | $9,951,155$ | 6.4 |
| Other | 33,349 | 0 | 0 | 2,268 | 117,655 | 227,430 | 2.4 |
| Grand total | $137,145,186$ | $589,734,550$ | $10,493,050$ | $11,340,241$ | 964,206 | $925,229,819$ | 10.1 |

Table 68. - Derivation of "Opportunity Cost" and Retained/Discard Value Ratios for GOA Groundfish Fisheries [Part A]
Discard Allocated Species or Species Groups (t)

| Target | Atka | Pacific |  |  |  | Allocated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fishery | mackerel | cod | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockfish | total |
| Atka mackerel | 245.4 | 96.8 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 265.3 | 621.5 |
| Arrowtooth flounder | 0.0 | 75.7 | 129.6 | 21.0 | 6.7 | 233.0 |
| Deepwater flatfish | 1.5 | 1,087.5 | 1,302.6 | 514.8 | 820.5 | 3,726.8 |
| Shallow flatish | 0.0 | 474.7 | 365.3 | 13.6 | 27.2 | 880.8 |
| Pacific cod | 0.5 | 776.2 | 2,012.1 | 12.8 | 113.1 | 2,914.8 |
| Pollock | 0.4 | 178.2 | 2,853.7 | 4.8 | 8.8 | 3,045.9 |
| Sablefish | 0.0 | 227.1 | 10.4 | 203.9 | 972.3 | 1,413.6 |
| Rockfish | 26.2 | 138.4 | 97.2 | 93.2 | 2,492.7 | 2,847.7 |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| Grand total | 273.9 | 3,054.5 | 6,785.2 | 864.0 | 4,707.7 | 15,685.4 |

[Part B]
Estimated First Wholesale Price / metric ton Round Weight Equivalent (t)
$687.55 \quad 1,032.03 \quad 473.48 \quad 4,508.26$
894.92

## [Part C]

Opportunity Cost Estimates of Discards in U.S.\$

| Targct | Atka <br> mackerel | Pacific | cod | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockfish |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Table 68. - (continued).
[Part D]

| Target fishery | Atka mackerel | Arrowtooth flounder | Deepwater flatfish | Shallow flatfish | Pacific cod |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atka mackerel | 3,018.3 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 51.9 |
| Arrowtooth flounder | 0.0 | 284.3 | 173.6 | 75.0 | 1.5 |
| Deepwater flatfish | 2.4 | 28.4 | 6,062.2 | 386.0 | 358.3 |
| Shallow flatfish | 0.0 | 34.6 | 563.1 | 1,853.5 | 21.1 |
| Pacific cod | 1.3 | 0.8 | 339.2 | 411.7 | 43,525.0 |
| Pollock | 8.2 | 47.8 | 119.3 | 131.8 | 702.2 |
| Sablefish | 0.0 | 22.6 | 15.1 | 0.0 | 63.8 |
| Rockfish | 231.6 | 39.1 | 106.4 | 14.1 | 97.4 |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Grand total | 3,261.8 | 457.6 | 7,387.6 | 2,872.4 | 44,821.2 |


| Target |  |  |  |  | Grand |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| fishery | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockfish | Other | total |
| Atka mackerel | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | $3,079.8$ |
| Arrowtooth flounder | 56.6 | 27.5 | 6.4 | 17.4 | 642.3 |
| Deepwater flatfish | 63.8 | 735.7 | 519.4 | 11.3 | $8,167.4$ |
| Shallow flatfish | 150.1 | 105.0 | 40.7 | 4.4 | $2,772.4$ |
| Pacific cod | 217.0 | 49.7 | 151.3 | 14.2 | $44,710.1$ |
| Pollock | $103,966.4$ | 11.2 | 10.1 | 11.1 | $105,008.2$ |
| Sablefish | 0.0 | $20,072.2$ | 696.7 | 3.9 | $20,874.3$ |
| Rockfish | 3.2 | 950.4 | $9,887.3$ | 0.3 | $11,329.7$ |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 4.4 |
| Grand total | $104,457.1$ | $21,952.4$ | $11,313.3$ | 65.3 | $196,588.5$ |

Table 68. - (continued).

## [Part E]

Estimated First Wholesale Price/(t) of Catch, [for all retained species] (\$) ${ }^{15}$

| Target | Atka | Arrowtooth | Deepwater | Shallow | Pacific |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fishery | mackerel | flounder | flatisish | flatfish | $\underline{\text { cod }}$ |
| Atka mackerel | 687.55 | 0.00 | 3,013.96 | 985.74 | 696.48 |
| Arrowtooth flounder | 0.00 | 251.19 | 2,953.95 | 1,117.37 | 564.04 |
| Deepwater flatish | 736.32 | 393.47 | 3,270.00 | 1,138.39 | 812.35 |
| Shallow flatish | 0.00 | 340.99 | 2,777.69 | 1,211.93 | 833.30 |
| Pacific cod | 691.06 | 271.10 | 2,980.49 | 1,361.39 | 1,032.03 |
| Pollock | 691.06 | 404.26 | 2,546.36 | 1,283.76 | 1,055.58 |
| Sablefish | 0.00 | 164.17 | 3,629.70 | 1,233.13 | 826.84 |
| Rockfish | 736.32 | 164.10 | 3,241.77 | 783.13 | 825.17 |
| Other | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3,200.12 | 1,222.20 | 1,029.40 |

Target

| fishery | Pollock |  | Sablefish |  | Rockfish |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |$\quad$ Other

[^10]Table 68. - (continued).
[Part F]
Total Retained Value (\$)

| Target | Atka | Arrowtooth | Deepwater | Shallow | Pacific |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| fishery | $\underline{\text { mackerel }}$ | $\underline{\text { flounder }}$ | flatfish | $\underline{\text { flatfish }}$ | $\underline{\text { cod }}$ |
| Atka mackerel | $2,075,218$ | 0 | 23,388 | 0 | 36,133 |
| Arrowtooth flounder | 0 | 71,403 | 512,747 | 83,803 | 852 |
| Deepwater flatfish | 1,775 | 11,171 | $19,823,231$ | 439,362 | 291,033 |
| Shallow flatfish | 0 | 11,791 | $1,564,228$ | $2,246,264$ | 17,616 |
| Pacific cod | 878 | 222 | $1,011,072$ | 560,416 | $44,919,106$ |
| Pollock | 5,660 | 19,336 | 303,755 | 169,212 | 741,260 |
| Sablefish | 0 | 3,715 | 54,772 | 0 | 52,761 |
| Rockfish | 170,561 | 6,411 | 344,795 | 11,003 | 80,355 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 3,104 | 562 | 0 |
| Grand total | $2,254,092$ | 124,050 | $23,641,092$ | $3,510,622$ | $46,139,115$ |


| Target fishery | Pollock | Sablefish | Rockfish | Other | Grand total | Retained/Discard Value Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atka mackerel | 0 | 2,279 | 3,017 | 0 | 2,140,036 | 4.2 |
| Arrowtooth flounder | 20,384 | 126,354 | 8,746 | 3,836 | 828,125 | 3.4 |
| Deepwater flatiish | 39,897 | 3,242,561 | 1,189,930 | 2,489 | 25,041,446 | 5.2 |
| Shallow flatish | 61,788 | 493,830 | 77,339 | 1,131 | 4,473,986 | 6.0 |
| Pacific cod | 134,374 | 214,193 | 205,404 | 15,552 | 47,061,217 | 24.6 |
| Pollock | 49,225,997 | 50,789 | 15,754 | 2,711 | 50,534,474 | 32.3 |
| Sablefish | 0 | 90,490,696 | 1,565,032 | 2,246 | 92,169,222 | 45.4 |
| Rockfish | 1,223 | 4,102,761 | 8,848,360 | 166 | 13,565,636 | 4.7 |
| Other | 0 | 540 | 32 | 6,797 | 11,034 | 9.8 |
| Grand total | 49,483,663 | 98,724,004 | 11,913,613 | 34,927 | 235,825,177 | 16.1 |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Beginning in January 1993, Pacific salmon bycatches have been retained in the BSAI groundfish trawl fisheries under an experimental program whereby it is processed and delivered to agencies which distribute food to the needy through food bank programs.
    ${ }^{2}$ Applying average weights of $4.3 \mathrm{~kg} /$ chinook salmon (O ncorhynchus tshaw ytscha), $2.8 \mathrm{~kg} /$ other salmon, $1.6 \mathrm{~kg} /$ red king crab (Paralithodes camtschaticus), $1.1 \mathrm{~kg} /$ other king crab, $0.3 \mathrm{~kg} /$ bairdi Tanner crab (Chionoecetes bairdi), and $0.1 \mathrm{~kg} /$ other Tanner crab in BSAI to numbers caught in Table 39; 1994 NMFS observer data.
    ${ }^{3}$ Applying average weights of $3.6 \mathrm{~kg} /$ chinook salmon, $3.2 \mathrm{~kg} /$ other salmon, 1.4 $\mathrm{kg} /$ red king crab, $0.2 \mathrm{~kg} /$ other king crab, $0.4 \mathrm{~kg} /$ bairdi Tanner crab, and $0.6 \mathrm{~kg} /$ other Tanner crab in GOA to numbers caught in Table 44; 1994 NMFS observer data.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ Assuming $0.4 \mathrm{gC} / 1 \mathrm{~g}$ dry weight and 0.5 g dry weight/lg wet weight, and total middle shelf area $=4 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ and outer shelf area $=2.2 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~km}^{2}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ Value net of production costs would be the theoretically correct measure with which to make these comparisons. Often net values are not available, in which case gross values are employed.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ Obviously, very small fish could be frozen in-the-round, however, it is unlikely a market could be found for such a product.
    ${ }^{7}$ A vessel may undertake actions which exert some control over the size composition of its catch, although trawling is; by definition, a non-selective technology. Further, if space were no limitation, a mixture of machines could permit mechanical processing of most of the pollock. However, physical limitations do constrain the options available to most vessels and cost considerations, touched on in the next section, also dictate utilization decisions.

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ The European community, in this context, is distinct from the European Union (EU), here including Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Germany, United Kingdom, Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, France, Italy, and Ireland.

[^5]:    Sources: U.S. Dep. Commer., Bur. of the Census: database from Natl. Mar.
    Fish. Serv., Fish. Stat. Div., Silver Spring, MD 20910: and
    Alaska Fish. Sci. Cent., 7600 Sand Point Way NE, BIN C15700,
    Seattle, WA 98115-0090.

[^6]:    ${ }^{11}$ By definition, "opportunity cost" is the value of the resource in its next best use. It is a measure of "social", not "private" cost. As the groundfish fisheries in the U.S. EEZ of the North Pacific and Bering Sea are currently managed, it is reasonable to assume that the "opportunity cost" of bycatch of a given species is appropriately measured as the foregone revenue from its use in the fishery targeting that species. This is so because the TAC is the sum of both retained and discarded catch. Thus, when a ton of catch is discarded, it reduces by a ton the total amount that can be retained. Only in the case where, for example, the bycatch occurs in fishery A, the target fishery is B, and a third fishery, say C, is limited in the catch of its target because the TAC for the species targeted in fishery B and discarded in fishery A is taken, would it be possible for the "opportunity cost" to be other than as assumed. Few such examples exist in the BSAI or GOA groundfish fisheries.

[^7]:    ${ }^{12}$ "Wholesale" product value was employed rather than "exvessel" value because many of these fisheries have significant participation by catcher/processors, for which an exvessel price is neither available nor particularly relevant. Use of wholesale values also avoids the problem of "post-season" price adjustments from processor to fisherman, which may not be captured in the available exvessel price data.

[^8]:    ${ }^{13}$ Discard and retained quantity estimates are derived from 1994 "blend" data. Weighted "first wholesale" price estimates are derived from Alaska Region product value files, which utilize the National Marine Fisheries Service-Alaska Department of Fish and Game Processor Survey. These data are reported annual prices, by species and product form, by either BSAI or GOA management area, No gear-type differentiation has been included.

[^9]:    ${ }^{14}$ A weighted average price/ton, for all priced tons, by species, was used when no price was available for a particular target fishery and non-target retained species combination. In BSAI these included: arrowtooth flounder in the rock sole target fishery; yellowfin sole, rock sole, and cod in the "other" groundfish fishery; and "other" groundfish in the sablefish target ficherv

[^10]:    ${ }^{15}$ A weighted average price/metric ton, for all priced tons, by species, was used when no price was available for a particular target fishery and non-target retained species combination. In GOA these included: Atka mackerel in the pollock and cod target fisheries; arrowtooth in the cod fishery; deepflats, shallowflats, sablefish, and rockfish in the "other" groundfish fishery; and "other" groundfish in the rockfish target fishery.

