Generated using the official AMS LATEX template v6.1

# Diagnosing Radial Ventilation in Dropsonde Observations of Hurricane Sam (2021)

Brian H. Tang,<sup>a</sup> Rosimar Rios-Berrios,<sup>b</sup> Jun A. Zhang,<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Atmospheric and Environmental Sciences, University at Albany, State University of New York, Albany, New York <sup>b</sup> National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado

<sup>c</sup> NOAA/AOML/Hurricane Research Division, University of Miami/CIMAS, Miami, Florida

Corresponding author: Brian H. Tang, btang@albany.edu

1

**Early Online Release:** This preliminary version has been accepted for publication in *Monthly Weather Review*, may be fully cited, and has been assigned DOI 10.1175/MWR-D-23-0224.1. The final typeset copyedited article will replace the EOR at the above DOI when it is published.

© 2024 American Meteorological Society. This is an Author Accepted Manuscript distributed under the terms of the default AMS reuse license. For information regarding reuse and general copyright information, consult the AMS Copyright Policy (www.ametsoc.org/RUBSReuseLicenses)authenticated | Downloaded 06/20/24 06:44 PM UTC

ABSTRACT: This study presents a method to diagnose radial ventilation, the horizontal flux of relatively low- $\theta_e$  air into tropical cyclones, from dropsonde observations. We used this method to investigate ventilation changes over three consecutive sampling periods in Hurricane Sam (2021), which underwent substantial intensity changes over three days. During the first and last periods, coinciding with intensification, the ventilation was relatively small due to a lack of spatial correlation between radial flow and  $\theta_e$  azimuthal asymmetries. During the second period, coinciding with weakening, the ventilation was relatively large. The increased ventilation was caused by greater shear associated with an upper-level trough, tilting the vortex, along with dry, low- $\theta_e$  air wrapping in upshear. The spatial correlation of the radial inflow and anomalously low- $\theta_e$  air resulted in large ventilation at mid-to-upper levels. Additionally, at low-to-mid levels, there was evidence of mesoscale inflow of low- $\theta_e$  air in the stationary band complex. The location of these radial ventilation pathways and their effects on Sam's intensity are consistent with previous idealized and real-case modeling studies. More generally, this method offers a way to monitor ventilation changes in tropical cyclone's core.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT: Ventilation, the injection of relatively dry and/or cool air into a tropical cyclone, may weaken a storm. In contrast, the lack of ventilation is favorable for intensification. The purpose of this study is to present a method to diagnose ventilation using aircraft dropsonde observations. Using dropsonde observations collected in Hurricane Sam (2021), there was a period of increased lateral ventilation in two regions around the storm that coincided with when the storm rapidly weakened. The results suggest that monitoring ventilation from dropsonde observations, when available, may be useful for anticipating ventilation-induced intensity changes in tropical cyclones and further studying ventilation pathways.

## 1. Introduction

Environmental vertical wind shear and ventilation, the intrusion of low-equivalent potential temperature  $\theta_e$  air into a tropical cyclone (TC), is an important environmental constraint on tropical cyclones (Emanuel et al. 2004; Tang and Emanuel 2010; Riemer et al. 2010, 2013; Alland et al. 2021a,b; Alland and Davis 2022). Tropical cyclones tend to develop and intensify when ventilation is limited and weaken when ventilation is substantial (Tang and Emanuel 2012b; Chavas 2017; Lin et al. 2017).

There are two types of ventilation pathways: downdraft ventilation and radial ventilation. Downdraft ventilation is the flushing of low- $\theta_e$  air into the boundary layer by evaporatively-driven downdrafts in both deep convection and stratiform precipitation (Powell 1990; Riemer et al. 2010, 2013; Zhang et al. 2013; Alland et al. 2021a; Wadler et al. 2021; Yu et al. 2023a). Radial ventilation is the horizontal transport of low- $\theta_e$  air from the near environment into the inner core, where it dilutes the high- $\theta_e$  inner core and is entrained into buoyant updrafts (Simpson and Riehl 1958; Cram et al. 2007; Tang and Emanuel 2010, 2012a; Gu et al. 2015; Alland et al. 2021b; Fischer et al. 2023). The inner core is roughly the innermost 100 km around the low-level center of a tropical cyclone, encompassing the eye, eyewall, and inner rainbands (Weatherford and Gray 1988; Houze 2010). In addition to the import of relatively low- $\theta_e$  air, the export of relatively high- $\theta_e$  air may weaken the radial  $\theta_e$  gradient near the radius of maximum wind and reduce the intensity (Gu et al. 2015). In this study, we will focus on diagnosing radial ventilation and its structure.

Radial ventilation may be induced by the vortex tilt, the storm-relative flow, and/or midlevel inflow in rainbands (Riemer and Montgomery 2011; Davis and Ahijevych 2012; Didlake and

Houze 2013; Alland et al. 2021b). First, vertical wind shear tilts the TC vortex, oftentimes resulting in a stable tilt orientation in the downshear-left direction (Jones 1995; Reasor et al. 2004, 2013; Reasor and Montgomery 2015; Fischer et al. 2022). The misaligned vortex produces wavenumber-one asymmetries in the radial flow that are of opposite sign at upper and lower levels (Zhang et al. 2013; DeHart et al. 2014; Boehm and Bell 2021). For example, for a downshear-left tilt direction, there is anomalous inflow upshear and anomalous outflow downshear at upper levels. Such a wavenumber-one asymmetry in the radial flow may import low- $\theta_e$  air into the TC. Second, the storm-relative flow, associated with the vertically sheared environmental flow, also has a wavenumber-one projection onto the radial flow above and below the steering level. Third, shear acts to organize rainband convection (e.g., Corbosiero and Molinari 2002; Hence and Houze 2012) and the stationary band complex (Riemer 2016). Mesoscale descending inflow, found in the stratiform portion of these shear-organized rainbands, may import low- $\theta_e$  air inward (Didlake and Houze 2013; Alland et al. 2021a).

The vertical structure of radial ventilation also varies across TCs. Tang and Emanuel (2012a) hypothesized that radial ventilation in the middle troposphere (around 600 hPa) near the climato-logical  $\theta_e$  minimum would be most detrimental to a TC. The import of low- $\theta_e$  air from around this level by mesoscale descending inflow in rainbands would potentially tap into this large  $\theta_e$  deficit. Recent studies (Fu et al. 2019; Ryglicki et al. 2019; Finocchio and Rios-Berrios 2021; Alland and Davis 2022; Fischer et al. 2023; Shi and Chen 2023) have found that radial ventilation above that level and below the outflow (around 300–600 hPa) is also important, as a result of radial inflow pathways despite smaller  $\theta_e$  deficits higher up in the troposphere. The spatial distribution of  $\theta_e$  or relative humidity around the TC also affects the ventilation structure, especially upshear (Zawislak et al. 2016; Rios-Berrios and Torn 2017; Alvey et al. 2020; Richardson et al. 2022). Here, we seek to further explore the vertical structure of radial ventilation pathways.

Ventilation may be viewed from both Lagrangian and Eulerian perspectives. In Lagrangian perspectives, trajectories are examined in model simulations of sheared TCs to study the transport of low- $\theta_e$  air and mixing with high- $\theta_e$  air within the TC. Lagrangian approaches are useful for quantifying how ventilation directly affects convection and the secondary circulation (Cram et al. 2007; Riemer and Laliberté 2015; Euler et al. 2019; Yu et al. 2023a). Eulerian perspectives quantify ventilation as an eddy flux of  $\theta_e$ . For example, radial ventilation may be quantified as

 $u'\theta'_e$ , where *u* is the storm-relative radial wind (Tang and Emanuel 2010). The primes denote perturbations from the azimuthal mean in a cylindrical coordinate system moving with the low-level center. Eulerian approaches are useful for visualizing the structure of ventilation pathways and quantifying ventilation magnitude at a system scale (Alland et al. 2021a,b). Given the reliance on observations in this study, our methods to diagnose ventilation will be motivated by Eulerian approaches.

Diagnosing ventilation directly from observations is challenging, as it requires observations of both the wind and thermodynamic variables in and around a system, preferably over the full troposphere. As a proxy, Tang and Emanuel (2012b) devised a ventilation index that is assumed to scale with the actual ventilation. The ventilation index has been primarily used to model and understand bulk TC genesis and intensity behaviors (Lin et al. 2017; Hoogewind et al. 2020; Hsieh et al. 2020). In contrast, here we demonstrate a way to diagnose ventilation via dropsonde observations, which may be useful for monitoring and anticipating ventilation-induced intensity changes in individual TCs.

The goals of this study are to 1) devise a framework to diagnose radial ventilation from dropsonde observations, 2) apply this framework to investigate radial ventilation in Hurricane Sam (2021), and 3) link radial ventilation structures to aspects of the intensity change and structure of Sam. Section 2 gives an overview of Hurricane Sam and the environmental evolution during the time period of interest. Section 3 describes the diagnostic radial ventilation framework. Section 4 presents the radial ventilation in Sam. Section 5 offers a way to calculate a summary ventilation metric, and section 6 ends with conclusions.

# 2. Hurricane Sam

## a. Storm evolution

Hurricane Sam traversed over open waters of the central part of the North Atlantic from 22 Sep to 5 Oct 2021. The period of interest in our study is from 25 Sep 2021 to 28 Sep 2021. Figure 1 shows a summary of Sam's satellite and intensity evolution during this time period, which was characterized by rapid changes. Satellite snapshots were created from the NCEP/CPC Merged Infrared dataset (Janowiak et al. 2017). Sam rapidly intensified during the first 24 h of the period, developing a symmetric and compact inner core. Sam reached peak intensity (135 kt) at 18 UTC



FIG. 1. Intensity time series of Hurricane Sam from 00 UTC 25 Sep 2021 to 12 UTC 28 Sep 2021. Shaded regions correspond to dropsonde sampling periods for the G-IV (red), P-3 (blue), and both aircraft (purple). Snapshots of infrared satellite imagery, centered on the storm over a  $2^{\circ}$  radius disc, are shown in 12-h increments along the top of the figure. The 850–200-hPa shear vector and magnitude (m s<sup>-1</sup>), from the Statistical Hurricane Intensity Prediction Scheme developmental database, are shown in 6-h increments along the bottom of the figure.

26 Sep 2021. There was a rapid deterioration of the satellite presentation over the next 24 h with the eye disappearing and the deep convection becoming asymmetric. During this period, Sam's intensity decreased by 30 kt, satisfying the criterion for over-water rapid weakening (Wood and Ritchie 2015). On 28 Sep 2021, Sam's inner core became better organized and the storm reintensified by 10 kt.

While not the focus of our study, an eyewall replacement cycle commenced at the start of the rapid weakening period (Pasch and Roberts 2022). A larger eyewall reestablished itself on 28 Sep 2021, associated with the reorganization of the inner core.

## b. Environment

Figure 2 shows the main mid-to-upper level features of interest interacting with Hurricane Sam, as depicted in the ERA5 reanalysis (Hersbach et al. 2020). On 00 UTC 26 Sep 2021, there was an upper-level trough, given by the area of larger 200-hPa potential vorticity (PV) to the west of

Brought to you by NOAA Central Library | Unauthenticated | Downloaded 06/20/24 06:44 PM UTC Accepted for publication in *Monthly Weather Review*. DOI 10.1175/MWR-D-23-0224.1.



FIG. 2. 300–500-hPa saturation fraction (shading) and layer-average winds (streamlines), along with 200-hPa potential vorticity (0.5 PVU thin, blue contour and 1 PVU thick, blue contour) at (a) 00 UTC 26 Sep 2021, (b) 00 UTC 27 Sep 2021, and (c) 00 UTC 28 Sep 2021. The red dot indicates the best-track location of Sam at each time.

Sam in Fig. 2a. Additionally, dry air at 300–500 hPa was present to the north of the system. The 850–200-hPa wind shear, however, was only  $1.7 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ , and this low shear was conducive for Sam's rapid intensification (Fig. 1).

On 00 UTC 27 Sep 2021 (Fig. 2b), the TC moist envelope became more asymmetric, with the dry air wrapping around the west side of the system and getting closer to the TC center. Additionally, the southwesterly shear increased, peaking at 5.5 m s<sup>-1</sup> at 06 UTC 27 Sep 2021 (Fig. 1). The increase in shear was due to Sam approaching the upper-level trough, seen by the area of > 0.5 PVU impinging on the circulation, which imparted stronger southerly upper-level flow on the TC. The combination of the increasing shear and the dry-air intrusion indicated the likely presence of ventilation due to an unfavorable trough interaction (Leroux et al. 2016; Peirano et al. 2016).

As quickly as the shear increased, it abated in the subsequent 12 h and was variable thereafter. While dry air and the deformed trough still remained in the vicinity of Sam, the moist envelope became more symmetric (Fig. 2c).

#### c. Dropsonde sampling

Sam represents a good observational case because of three consecutive days of sampling by both G-IV and P-3 aircraft during overlapping time periods (Figs. 1 and 3), as part of the joint NOAA Hurricane Field Program and ONR Tropical Cyclone Rapid Intensification initiative, providing



FIG. 3. Dropsonde launch locations for the sampling periods around (a) 00 UTC 26 Sep 2021, (b) 00 UTC 27 Sep 2021, and (c) 00 UTC 28 Sep 2021. G-IV drop locations are given by the red x's, and P-3 drop locations are given by the blue +'s. The infrared satellite image at 00 UTC on each day is also shown.

an augmented number of dropsonde observations. Additionally, as shown in Fig. 3, the flight patterns were consistent day-to-day with the G-IV conducting circumnavigation patterns around the storm and the P-3 conducting rotated figure-4 patterns through the storm. As will be shown, this consistency and coverage of observations are advantageous for examining radial ventilation changes.

## 3. Methods

#### a. Dropsonde processing

Dropsonde data was obtained from NOAA and quality controlled using the Atmospheric Sounding Processing Environment software (Martin and Suhr 2021). The G-IV dropsondes typically sample from about 200 hPa to the surface, and the P-3 dropsondes typically sample from about 700 hPa to the surface, so there were more observations taken in the lower troposphere.

For each of the three sampling periods (Fig. 3), the dropsonde observations were transformed into a cylindrical grid in a storm-relative frame of reference. First, the storm motion was subtracted from the horizontal wind to obtain the storm-relative winds. The storm motion was calculated from the 2-min TC position estimates from NOAA. Observation locations were determined relative to the time-interpolated TC position. Second, the transformation of scalar and vector variables into a cylindrical grid followed the methods of Ahern and Cowan (2018). In doing so, we assumed that

the observations collected over the sampling period could be analyzed as if they were collected instantaneously. This assumption was necessary to gain azimuthal coverage for the radial ventilation calculation, described next.

## b. Radial ventilation calculation

Radial ventilation was quantified using the eddy flux of  $\theta_e$ :

 $u'\theta'_{\rho}$ .

The calculation required an estimate of the azimuthal mean of u and  $\theta_e$  in order to calculate the perturbations. To estimate the azimuthal mean, we constructed a 150-km wide, 10-hPa deep annulus centered on *each* observation. Then, all observations within this annulus were retained, excluding inner-core observations within 1.5 times the radius of maximum wind. We tested different annulus widths, and an 150-km width was a good compromise between retaining a sufficient number of observations without encompassing too large of an area. The inner-core mask was needed due to large radial gradients in the inner-core u and  $\theta_e$  that produced excessively large perturbation values. An initial check was done to ensure there was dropsonde data in all four quadrants around the annulus. Provided this check was passed, observations were binned by quadrant and averaged. Then, the four quadrants were averaged to yield an azimuthal average. This method reduced bias in the azimuthal average due to inhomogeneous sampling. Once an azimuthal average was obtained, the perturbation quantities were calculated to diagnose the radial ventilation. The calculation preserved the vertical resolution of the dropsonde data.

# 4. Results

## a. Horizontal structure

Figure 4 shows the components of the ventilation calculation for the first sampling period around the end of Sam's rapid intensification. The calculation is split into three layers: 300–500 hPa, 500–700 hPa, and 700–900 hPa, which we will refer to as the upper, middle, and lower layer. In each layer, there was a general wavenumber-one pattern in u' (Figs. 4a, d, and g); however, there were less coherent asymmetries in  $\theta'_e$  (Figs. 4b, e, and h). As a result, the radial ventilation in each layer was generally weak and disorganized (Figs. 4c, f, and i). The ventilation magnitude was predominately  $< 5 \text{ m s}^{-1} \text{ K}$  with a few isolated outliers. Sam's rapid intensification was consistent with a lack of ventilation, which could otherwise constrain intensification.

Figure 5 shows a similar ventilation analysis for the second sampling period around the start of rapid weakening. In contrast to the first sampling period, there were distinct wavenumber-one asymmetries in both u' and  $\theta'_e$ . The shear was southwesterly, and the tilt was toward the north-northwest. We will discuss the role of the tilt later in the manuscript. In the upper layer, there was anomalous inflow upshear or left of tilt, and there was anomalous outflow downshear or right of tilt (Fig. 5a). Additionally, the background, storm-relative flow was north-northwesterly (not shown), projecting onto radial flow anomalies at > 300 km radius. Anomalies in  $\theta_e$  mimicked the pattern in radial flow anomalies, with relatively low  $\theta_e$  upshear or left of tilt and relatively high  $\theta_e$  downshear or right of tilt (Fig. 5b). As a result of this positive spatial correlation between u' and  $\theta'_e$ ,  $u'\theta'_e$  was predominately positive, with the largest values (20–25 m s<sup>-1</sup> K) upshear or left of tilt (Fig. 5c). The upshear ventilation signature, where u' < 0 and  $\theta'_e < 0$ , coincided with the dry-air intrusion seen in Fig. 2b. When azimuthally averaged, the positive  $u'\theta'_e$  would have contributed to a decrease in  $\theta_e$  in and around the inner core due to an eddy flux divergence between the center and the radius of the inner circumnavigation.

Similar wavenumber-one asymmetries in u' and  $\theta'_e$  were seen in the middle layer (Figs. 5d and e). The background, storm-relative flow was north-northeasterly (not shown) and was consistent with the radial flow anomalies at > 300 km radius. The largest radial ventilation was in the downtilt-left quadrant or left of shear (Fig. 5f). This spiralling inward structure of the radial ventilation was reminiscent of the 5-km altitude radial ventilation structure seen in the idealized simulations of Alland et al. (2021b) (see their Fig. 4). Additionally, anomalous outward fluxes of relatively high- $\theta_e$  air occurred in the uptilt-right quadrant. While this signal is not ventilation per se, other studies have noted this signature in sheared TCs and hypothesized it could be relevant for intensity change (Gu et al. 2015; Riemer and Laliberté 2015).

In the lower layer, there was anomalous inflow downshear or right of tilt and anomalous outflow upshear or left of tilt (Fig. 5g), opposite of that in the upper layer, which is consistent with other observational composites of TCs in shear (DeHart et al. 2014; Boehm and Bell 2021). Anomalies in  $\theta_e$  were less coherent, but there was a tendency for positive perturbations to cluster in the uptilt-



FIG. 4. Radial ventilation analysis for the first sampling period around 00 UTC 26 Sep 2021: (a) anomalous storm-relative radial wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>), (b) anomalous  $\theta_e$  (K), and (c)  $u'\theta'_e$  (m s<sup>-1</sup> K) averaged over the 300–500-hPa layer. (d–f) and (g–i) are similar, except averaged over 500–700 hPa and 700–900 hPa, respectively. The black arrow shows the 1–6.5-km tilt direction with tilt-relative quadrants demarcated. Range rings are every 100 km, and the dashed, black circle indicates 1.5 times the radius of maximum wind. The gray arrow indicates the shear direction.



FIG. 5. Similar to Fig. 4, but for the second sampling period around 00 UTC 27 Sep 2021.

right quadrant and negative perturbations to cluster in the downtilt semicircle between 100–300 km (Fig. 5h). From a shear-relative perspective, the location of these  $\theta_e$  anomalies was consistent with the dropsonde composite analyses of Zhang et al. (2013) and Nguyen et al. (2019). The overlap between the region of anomalous inflow and negative  $\theta_e$  anomalies resulted in radial ventilation in the downtilt-right quadrant (Fig. 5i), consistent with the location of radial ventilation outside 100 km radius in Alland et al. (2021b). The combined, stronger ventilation signatures, particularly

downtilt right in the lower layer and left of tilt in the middle and upper layers, were consistent with ventilation-induced weakening of Sam.

Figure 6 shows the ventilation analysis for the third sampling period around the start of reintensification. In contrast to the second sampling period, the wavenumber-one patterns in u' and  $\theta'_e$  in the upper and middle layers within 250 km radius have rotated counterclockwise (Figs. 6a, b, d, and e), following the counterclockwise rotation of the tilt. While there was still a coherent area of radial ventilation to the south of the center in the upper layer, the overall radial ventilation signal was diminished in both the upper and middle layers (Figs. 6c and f). In the lower layer, the downtilt-right ventilation signal weakened. The diminished radial ventilation in all layers was consistent with the subsequent reintensification of Sam.

## b. Vertical structure

We only focused on the second sampling period, when the radial ventilation was relatively large. We grouped dropsondes within 100–300-km radius by tilt-relative quadrants to explore thermodynamic and radial ventilation structure differences between quadrants. Since the number of dropsondes per quadrant was small, particularly ones that sampled the deep troposphere, we did not assess whether differences between quadrants were statistically significant. Instead, we looked for vertically coherent radial ventilation layers, where u' < 0 and  $\theta'_e < 0$ , and where there was some consistency between dropsondes.

Figures 7a and c show dry layers in the mid-to-upper troposphere in the left-of-tilt quadrants. Associated with these dry layers were negative perturbations in  $\theta_e$  (Figs. 8a and c). Additionally, u' < 0 in the mid-to-upper troposphere, resulting in a coherent layer of radial ventilation with a mean around 15–25 m s<sup>-1</sup> K between 300–600 hPa.

Large differences existed between individual dropsonde profiles in the downtilt-left quadrant (Figs. 7a and 8a). These large differences were attributed to dropsondes sampling both the relatively moist, high- $\theta_e$  air ascending in rainbands and the relatively dry, low- $\theta_e$  air radially outward of rainbands. One profile about 200 km northwest of the center had radial ventilation values approaching 75 m s<sup>-1</sup> K (see rightmost gray line in Fig. 8a), indicating potential for rainbands to be ventilated and downdrafts to be produced via evaporation and sublimation. A caveat is that it is unknown how much farther inward this radial ventilation extended.



FIG. 6. Similar to Fig. 4, but for the third sampling period around 00 UTC 28 Sep 2021.

In contrast to the left-of-tilt quadrants, the right-of-tilt quadrants had profiles that were more moist (Figs. 7b and d). In the downtilt-right quadrant, the profile was nearly saturated and moist adiabatic in the mid-to-upper troposphere. Between 750–950 hPa, the thermodynamic profile had hints of an onion-type structure, which is associated with mesoscale downdrafts (Zipser 1977). Within this layer, there were negative perturbations in  $\theta_e$  (Fig. 8b). Storm-relative radial inflow also existed below 700 hPa, resulting in a coherent layer of radial ventilation with a mean around

Brought to you by NOAA Central Library | Unauthenticated | Downloaded 06/20/24 06:44 PM UTC Accepted for publication in *Monthly Weather Review*. DOI 10.1175/MWR-D-23-0224.1.



FIG. 7. Skew-T, log-p diagrams of the temperature (red lines) and dewpoint (blue lines) for the (a) downtilt-left,(b) downtilt-right, (c) uptilt-left, and (d) uptilt-right quadrants. The mean profile within each quadrant is given by the darker, thicker lines, and the individual dropsonde profiles are given by the lighter, thinner lines.

10–20 m s<sup>-1</sup> K between 750–900 hPa, although a few profiles had radial ventilation > 25 m s<sup>-1</sup> K. The uptilt-right quadrant did not have any consistent, coherent layers of radial ventilation (Fig. 8d). Between 500–700 hPa, however, positive perturbations of u' and  $\theta'_e$  resulted in a positive eddy flux in this layer, consistent with what was observed in Fig. 5f.

Brought to you by NOAA Central Library | Unauthenticated | Downloaded 06/20/24 06:44 PM UTC Accepted for publication in *Monthly Weather Review*. DOI 10.1175/MWR-D-23-0224.1.



FIG. 8. Profiles of the anomalous storm-relative radial wind (m s<sup>-1</sup>; blue lines), anomalous  $\theta_e$  (K; red lines), and  $u'\theta'_e$  (m s<sup>-1</sup> K; black lines) for the (a) downtilt-left, (b) downtilt-right, (c) uptilt-left, and (d) uptilt-right quadrants. The mean profile within each quadrant is given by the darker, thicker lines, and the individual dropsonde profiles are given by the lighter, thinner lines.

We summarize a couple of key points based on this analysis. First, it is difficult to deduce radial ventilation from the skew-T, log-p profiles alone. Dry, low- $\theta_e$  layers are clearly evident left of tilt, but require coinciding anomalous inward flow to ventilate the TC. Dewpoint depressions need not be as large in the lower troposphere, such as in the downtilt-right quadrant, to achieve substantial  $\theta_e$  deficits. Second, there is interquadrant variability in both the height and depth in which radial ventilation occurs. The radial ventilation in Hurricane Sam during this period was focused between 300–600 hPa in the left-of-tilt quadrants and 750–900 hPa in the downtilt-right quadrant, suggesting distinct radial ventilation pathways related to different aspects of the TC structure (Alland et al. 2021b).

#### c. Relationship to TC structure

We used the Tropical Cyclone Radar Archive of Doppler Analyses with Recentering (TC-RADAR; Fischer et al. 2022) merged analyses from the P-3 tail Doppler radar to explore the link between the observed ventilation patterns in Sam with the tilt evolution and the stationary band complex. The horizontal resolution of the TC center data, used to calculate the tilt, is 2 km.

## 1) TILT EVOLUTION AND UPPER-LAYER VENTILATION

The tilt was diagnosed through TC-RADAR estimates of the vortex center location at various heights, as shown in Fig. 9 for the three P-3 missions of interest into Sam. During the first mission, the tilt was relatively small and in the same direction as the shear (Fig. 4). The tilt direction was consistent with the asymmetries in the radial flow seen in Fig. 4a - a tilt toward the northeast induced a radial flow component from the northwest (left of tilt) to the southeast (right of tilt) at upper levels.

Between the first and second P-3 mission, the tilt direction precessed cyclonically and increased in magnitude. For example, the 1–3-km tilt increased from 4 to about 9 km, and the 1–10-km tilt increased from 7 to 14 km. This increase in tilt was consistent with the increase in shear. The tilt during the second period pointed toward the north-northwest or in the downshear-left direction, a common tilt orientation relative to the shear vector (Jones 1995; Reasor et al. 2004, 2013; Reasor and Montgomery 2015; Fischer et al. 2022; Yu et al. 2023b). As a result, the radial wind anomaly dipole in the upper layer was oriented from the southwest to northeast (Fig. 5a),



FIG. 9. Tilt hodographs derived from the merged TC-RADAR data for the P-3 missions for the first (blue), second (black), and third (red) sampling periods. Tilts are relative to the 1-km center at the origin, where the hodograph begins. The portion of the tilt hodograph from 1–3 km is in the lightest shading, 3–6 km in the medium shading, and 6–10 km in the darkest shading. Specific levels (3, 6, and 10 km) are annotated. Range rings are every 2 km.

and large ventilation resulted from the combination of the anomalous radial inflow and the low- $\theta_e$ , dry-air intrusion on the western side of the TC (Figs. 5b and c).

Between the second and third P-3 mission, the tilt magnitude decreased and the tilt direction continued to precess cyclonically, particularly below 8 km height. This progress toward realignment and continued precession was consistent with the decrease in shear/ventilation and reestablishment of more symmetric deep convection around the center, leading to reintensification (Tao and Zhang 2016; Rios-Berrios et al. 2018; Chen et al. 2019; Alvey et al. 2020; Schecter and Menelaou 2020). Upper-layer radial wind anomalies were likewise consistent with the tilt direction (Fig. 6a), resulting in some continued ventilation to the south of the center (Fig. 6c).

What role did the background, storm-relative flow, versus the tilt, play in radial ventilation? Given Hurricane Sam was intense, and the storm-relative flow was weak (not shown), the tilt-induced radial wind anomalies likely dominated within 200–300 km of the center. Outward of 300 km radius, the storm-relative flow could have been more influential where the TC flow was weaker,

consistent with simple kinematic models of environmental flow interacting with TCs (Riemer and Montgomery 2011). The main role of the background, shear flow was to force the TC tilt, rather than directly ventilate the TC.

In summary, the tilt evolution in Sam, induced by the shear changes, seemed to have played an important role in allowing for the transient period of upper-layer ventilation. The ventilation was maximized when the radial flow anomalies predominately induced by the tilt became spatially correlated with the  $\theta_e$  anomalies. This correlation implied a more effective transport of low- $\theta_e$  air inward, which could negatively affect convection. Although that effect is hard to discern with these dropsonde observations alone. From a system-scale perspective, the period of greater azimuthally averaged  $u'\theta'_e > 0$  would be consistent with a reduction in the inner-core  $\theta_e$ , especially in the middle and upper layers.

#### 2) STATIONARY BAND COMPLEX AND LOWER-LAYER VENTILATION

The shear-induced tilt also organizes the stationary band complex (Riemer 2016). The stratiform region of the stationary band complex is characterized by mid-to-low-level mesoscale inflow (Didlake and Houze 2013), which is hypothesized to be the mesoscale feature responsible for lower-layer ventilation downshear and left of shear (Alland et al. 2021a). We now investigate the relationship between the inflow associated with the stationary band complex and lower-layer ventilation diagnosed during the second sampling period.

Figure 10 shows the stationary band complex spiraled in from the eastern side of the storm toward the northwest side, consisting of a primary rainband and secondary rainbands, connecting with the inner-core precipitation. Along and outward of the spine of the stationary band complex was an area of radar-derived, storm-relative radial inflow that matched the area of anomalous lower-layer inflow diagnosed in the dropsonde observations, particularly northeast of the center (Fig. 5g). The  $\theta_e$  was anomalously low within this same area (Fig. 5h), presumably due to lower  $\theta_e$  air being transported into this layer due to evaporative cooling. As a result, lower-layer ventilation was apparent within this part of the stationary band complex. This lower-layer ventilation pathway could possibly be detrimental to the intensity, although  $\theta_e$  recovery may occur through surface enthalpy fluxes upon low- $\theta_e$  air reaching the boundary layer (Zhang et al. 2013; Alland and Davis 2022).



FIG. 10. Radar reflectivity (shaded) and storm-relative radial inflow (contoured at -5 and -10 m s<sup>-1</sup>), averaged between 1–3 km height, from the merged TC-RADAR data for the second sampling period. Overlaid circles show the lower-layer ventilation diagnosed from dropsonde observations, only shown where there is storm-relative radial inflow in the dropsonde data.

## 5. Ventilation metric

Given the potential operational utility of diagnosing ventilation, we suggest a scalar ventilation metric to measure the overall radial ventilation strength. The metric is the mass-weighted, volume average of  $u'\theta'_e$  from 300 to 900 hPa and between 100 and 250 km radius. This metric is based off the original definition of ventilation in Tang and Emanuel (2010), which is the mass-weighted surface integral of the radial eddy flux of moist entropy. The chosen radial region is motivated by practical considerations, specifically to encompass the full-tropospheric sampling along the innermost G-IV circumnavigation.

In calculating this ventilation metric in practice, there are a couple of additional considerations. To include low-level P-3 observations and examine ventilation in specific layers, the vertical integral may be split into layers, such as the 700–900 hPa, 500–700 hPa, and 300–500 hPa layers that we have used herein. Then, a step should be taken to reduce biases in the azimuthal averaging caused by spatial sampling inhomogeneities. For example, a simple method is to bin and average values



FIG. 11. The ventilation metric for the three sampling periods in Sam. The black bars show the ventilation metric averaged over 300–900 hPa; and the blue, orange, and green bars show the ventilation metric averaged over 700–900 hPa, 500–700 hPa, and 300–500 hPa. The 12-h intensity change from 18 to 06 UTC surrounding each observation period is also indicated.

by quadrant. Then, the quadrant-averaged values may themselves be averaged together to estimate the horizontal average in each layer, as we have done here.

Figure 11 shows the ventilation metric for the three sampling periods. The overall ventilation strength was consistent with the intensity changes: relatively small ventilation during the rapid intensification period, relatively large ventilation during the rapid weakening period, and reduction of the ventilation at the start of reintensification. The individual layer averages show that the radial ventilation was largest in mid-to-upper levels of the troposphere, consistent with what was seen in Fig. 5, and the subsequent reduction in ventilation was driven by mid-to-upper level reductions seen in Fig. 6. Such summary ventilation statistics, which can be calculated in near real-time upon the completion of a mission, may give forecasters clues of ventilation-induced intensity changes that may be occurring or about to occur. The spatial structure of ventilation, however, should be considered, as there may be locally large ventilation that is not captured in the averaged metric, such as radial ventilation associated with the stationary band complex in the lower layer (Fig. 10).

# 6. Conclusion

This study offers a method to diagnose radial ventilation using dropsonde observations in TCs. The requirement is measurements of storm-relative radial wind and  $\theta_e$  in some reasonably confined annulus around the storm center. Provided such a requirement is met, the storm-relative radial eddy flux of  $\theta_e$  may be calculated to estimate the radial ventilation at the dropsonde observation locations. It is preferable to have dropsonde sampling over as deep of a layer as possible, such as with the high-altitude G-IV circumnavigation pattern in the outer-core or near-environment region of a TC.

Hurricane Sam offered an excellent case to evaluate radial ventilation changes due to the three consecutive days of sampling by both G-IV and P-3 aircraft. Over these three days, Sam underwent substantial fluctuations in structure and intensity – rapidly intensifying, rapidly weakening, and then slowly reintensifying.

During the first sampling period, there was little radial ventilation in Sam, despite there being some small vortex tilt toward the northwest. Even though this tilt induced some asymmetry in the storm-relative radial flow, there was little asymmetry in  $\theta_e$  to produce radial ventilation of significance.

In contrast, the second sampling period featured much larger radial ventilation, particularly between 700–300 hPa. As the shear increased in magnitude, due to the approach of an upper-level trough, the tilt increased. Simultaneously, low- $\theta_e$ , dry air wrapped in on the left-of-tilt (upshear) side of the storm. The correlation of the area of storm-relative radial inflow and anomalously low- $\theta_e$  air resulted in large radial ventilation at mid-to-upper levels. Additionally, in the stationary band complex on the downtilt-right (downshear) side of the TC, there was evidence of mesoscale inflow of low- $\theta_e$  air at low-to-mid levels. The location of these radial ventilation pathways, along with their effect on modulating Sam's intensity, is consistent with idealized and real-case modeling studies (e.g., Alland et al. 2021b; Fischer et al. 2023).

The third sampling period was characterized by a reduction in the ventilation, as the shear abated and as the tilt decreased and precessed upshear. The asymmetries in the storm-relative radial flow and  $\theta_e$  were less correlated and generally not as large in magnitude, resulting in less radial ventilation. It is important to keep in mind that ventilation variability is not the only cause of intensity changes in TCs. As mentioned previously, Sam underwent an eyewall replacement cycle around the same time that the ventilation increased. How both factors might have interacted to result in the rapid weakening and subsequent reintensification is a complicated question that we do not address here, but it raises intriguing questions about how eyewall replacement cycles and intensity respond to ventilation during different TC stages (Finocchio and Rios-Berrios 2021; Yu et al. 2021).

Additionally, while radial ventilation was clearly present around Sam between 125–250 km radius, radial ventilation pathways inward of 125 km and above 700 hPa were less evident due to fewer observations. To address this issue, one possibility is to design specific flight pattern modules, or perform targeted observations (Torn 2014), that would sample this region. Motivated by the analysis of Hurricane Sam, we proposed a Ventilation Module for the NOAA Hurricane Field Program (HFP) for mature-stage TCs. Figure 12 shows the proposed G-IV circumnavigation pattern for the Ventilation Module in the 2024 HFP, which includes a tighter circumnavigation and extra leg to sample inward of 125 km when safety allows. This module would build upon G-IV sampling strategies that benefit both research and operations (Sippel et al. 2024). Another possibility is to examine ventilation in numerical weather prediction model output, particularly high-resolution TC models that assimilate the aircraft observations. Observations of other fields may also reveal the effects of ventilation, such as a weakening of convection and presence of downdrafts. The weakening of convection may also be brought about by subsidence and warming on the uptilt side associated with the dynamical response to increasing tilt. In addition to radial ventilation, downdraft ventilation is an important ventilation pathway that may also be examined with dropsondes and aircraft Doppler radar, which we aim to do in follow-on work. The scope here was to provide a way to diagnose radial ventilation with dropsonde observations.

A radial ventilation analysis over a larger sample of cases, particularly cases with G-IV circumnavigation patterns, would establish if there is consistency in radial ventilation locations and vertical structure, and evaluate the degree of statistical relationship between the ventilation metric and intensity changes. When combined with TC-RADAR or other observations, a more comprehensive analysis would be promising for relating multiple aspects of TC structure with ventilation pathways and effects.



FIG. 12. Proposed G-IV flight pattern from the Ventilation Module, consisting of a double circumnavigation with an extra leg to sample the upshear ventilation pathway. Dropsonde release points are given by the circles, with the initial point (IP) and final point (FP) indicated. Conceptual radial ventilation pathways are indicated by the brown regions. Background image of the tropical cyclone structure in shear adapted from Hence and Houze (2012).

*Acknowledgments.* This research was supported by the Office of Naval Research grants N000142012071 and N000142312443, and National Science Foundation grant AGS1748779. We thank three anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments.

*Data availability statement.* All datasets used in this study (ERA5, IR satellite, SHIPS output, dropsonde, and TC-RADAR data) are publicly available from their respective sources. Dropsonde data are from the NOAA/Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory/Hurricane Research Division. The Atmospheric Sounding Processing Environment software is available from NCAR/EOL.

# References

- Ahern, K., and L. Cowan, 2018: Minimizing common errors when projecting geospatial data onto a vortex-centered space. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45, 12,032–12,039, https://doi.org/10.1029/ 2018GL079953.
- Alland, J. J., and C. A. Davis, 2022: Effects of surface fluxes on ventilation pathways and the intensification of Hurricane Michael (2018). J. Atmos. Sci., 79, 1211–1229, https://doi.org/ 10.1175/JAS-D-21-0166.1.
- Alland, J. J., B. H. Tang, K. L. Corbosiero, and G. H. Bryan, 2021a: Combined effects of midlevel dry air and vertical wind shear on tropical cyclone development. Part I: Downdraft ventilation. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **78**, 763–782, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-20-0054.1.
- Alland, J. J., B. H. Tang, K. L. Corbosiero, and G. H. Bryan, 2021b: Combined effects of midlevel dry air and vertical wind shear on tropical cyclone development. Part II: Radial ventilation. J. *Atmos. Sci.*, **78**, 783–796, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-20-0055.1.
- Alvey, G. R., E. Zipser, and J. Zawislak, 2020: How does Hurricane Edouard (2014) evolve toward symmetry before rapid intensification? A high-resolution ensemble study. J. Atmos. Sci., 77, 1329–1351, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-18-0355.1.
- Boehm, A. M., and M. M. Bell, 2021: Retrieved thermodynamic structure of Hurricane Rita (2005) from airborne multi–Doppler radar data. J. Atmos. Sci., 78, 1583–1605, https://doi.org/ 10.1175/JAS-D-20-0195.1.

- Chavas, D. R., 2017: A simple derivation of tropical cyclone ventilation theory and its application to capped surface entropy fluxes. J. Atmos. Sci., 74, 2989–2996, https://doi.org/ 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0061.1.
- Chen, X., J. A. Zhang, and F. D. Marks, 2019: A thermodynamic pathway leading to rapid intensification of tropical cyclones in shear. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 46 (15), 9241–9251, https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2019GL083667.
- Corbosiero, K. L., and J. Molinari, 2002: The effects of vertical wind shear on the distribution of convection in tropical cyclones. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **130**, 2110–2123, https://doi.org/10.1175/ 1520-0493(2002)130(2110:TEOVWS)2.0.CO;2.
- Cram, T. A., J. Persing, M. T. Montgomery, and S. A. Braun, 2007: A Lagrangian trajectory view on transport and mixing processes between the eye, eyewall, and environment using a highresolution simulation of Hurricane Bonnie (1998). J. Atmos. Sci., 64, 1835–1856, https://doi.org/ 10.1175/JAS3921.1.
- Davis, C. A., and D. A. Ahijevych, 2012: Mesoscale structural evolution of three tropical weather systems observed during PREDICT. J. Atmos. Sci., 69, 1284–1305, https://doi.org/10.1175/ JAS-D-11-0225.1.
- DeHart, J. C., R. A. Houze, and R. F. Rogers, 2014: Quadrant distribution of tropical cyclone innercore kinematics in relation to environmental shear. J. Atmos. Sci., 71, 2713–2732, https://doi.org/ 10.1175/JAS-D-13-0298.1.
- Didlake, A. C., and R. A. Houze, 2013: Dynamics of the stratiform sector of a tropical cyclone rainband. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **70**, 1891–1911, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-12-0245.1.
- Emanuel, K., C. DesAutels, C. Holloway, and R. Korty, 2004: Environmental control of tropical cyclone intensity. J. Atmos. Sci., 61, 843–858, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2004)061(0843: ECOTCI)2.0.CO;2.
- Euler, C., M. Riemer, T. Kremer, and E. Schömer, 2019: Lagrangian description of air masses associated with latent heat release in Tropical Storm Karl (2016) during extratropical transition. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **147**, 2657–2676, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-18-0422.1.

Brought to you by NOAA Central Library | Unauthenticated | Downloaded 06/20/24 06:44 PM UTC Accepted for publication in *Monthly Weather Review*. DOI 10.1175/MWR-D-23-0224.1.

- Finocchio, P. M., and R. Rios-Berrios, 2021: The intensity- and size-dependent response of tropical cyclones to increasing vertical wind shear. J. Atmos. Sci., 78, 3673–3690, https://doi.org/ 10.1175/JAS-D-21-0126.1.
- Fischer, M. S., P. D. Reasor, R. F. Rogers, and J. F. Gamache, 2022: An analysis of tropical cyclone vortex and convective characteristics in relation to storm intensity using a novel airborne Doppler radar database. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **150**, 2255–2278, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-21-0223.1.
- Fischer, M. S., P. D. Reasor, B. H. Tang, K. L. Corbosiero, R. D. Torn, and X. Chen, 2023: A tale of two vortex evolutions: Using a high-resolution ensemble to assess the impacts of ventilation on a tropical cyclone rapid intensification event. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **151**, 297–320, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-22-0037.1.
- Fu, H., Y. Wang, M. Riemer, and Q. Li, 2019: Effect of unidirectional vertical wind shear on tropical cyclone intensity change—Lower-layer shear versus upper-layer shear. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 124, 6265–6282, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030586.
- Gu, J.-F., Z.-M. Tan, and X. Qiu, 2015: Effects of vertical wind shear on inner-core thermodynamics of an idealized simulated tropical cyclone. J. Atmos. Sci., 72, 511–530, https://doi.org/10.1175/ JAS-D-14-0050.1.
- Hence, D. A., and R. A. Houze, 2012: Vertical structure of tropical cyclone rainbands as seen by the TRMM precipitation radar. J. Atmos. Sci., 69, 2644–2661, https://doi.org/ 10.1175/JAS-D-11-0323.1.
- Hersbach, H., and Coauthors, 2020: The ERA5 global reanalysis. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **146**, 1999–2049, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803.
- Hoogewind, K. A., D. R. Chavas, B. A. Schenkel, and M. E. O'Neill, 2020: Exploring controls on tropical cyclone count through the geography of environmental favorability. *J. Climate*, 33, 1725–1745, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0862.1.
- Houze, R. A., 2010: Clouds in tropical cyclones. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **138**, 293–344, https://doi.org/ 10.1175/2009MWR2989.1.

- Hsieh, T.-L., G. A. Vecchi, W. Yang, I. M. Held, and S. T. Garner, 2020: Large-scale control on the frequency of tropical cyclones and seeds: A consistent relationship across a hierarchy of global atmospheric models. *Climate Dyn.*, 55, 3177–3196, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-020-05446-5.
- Janowiak, J., B. Joyce, and P. Xie, 2017: NCEP/CPC L3 Half Hourly 4km Global (60S 60N) Merged IR V1. Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center, accessed 1 Aug 2023, https://doi.org/10.5067/P4HZB9N27EKU.
- Jones, S. C., 1995: The evolution of vortices in vertical shear. I: Initially barotropic vortices. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **121**, 821–851, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49712152406.
- Leroux, M.-D., M. Plu, and F. Roux, 2016: On the sensitivity of tropical cyclone intensification under upper-level trough forcing. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 144, 1179–1202, https://doi.org/10.1175/ MWR-D-15-0224.1.
- Lin, N., R. Jing, Y. Wang, E. Yonekura, J. Fan, and L. Xue, 2017: A statistical investigation of the dependence of tropical cyclone intensity change on the surrounding environment. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 145, 2813–2831, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-16-0368.1.
- Martin, C., and I. Suhr, 2021: Atmospheric Sounding Processing ENvironment (ASPEN) software (Version 3.4.9). NCAR/EOL, https://www.eol.ucar.edu/content/aspen.
- Nguyen, L. T., R. Rogers, J. Zawislak, and J. A. Zhang, 2019: Assessing the Influence of convective downdrafts and surface enthalpy fluxes on tropical cyclone intensity change in moderate vertical wind shear. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **147**, 3519–3534, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-18-0461.1.
- Pasch, R. J., and D. P. Roberts, 2022: Hurricane Sam (AL182021). National Hurricane Center Tropical Cyclone Report, 19 pp. https://www.nhc.noaa.gov/data/tcr/AL182021\_Sam.pdf.
- Peirano, C. M., K. L. Corbosiero, and B. H. Tang, 2016: Revisiting trough interactions and tropical cyclone intensity change. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **43**, 2016GL069040, https://doi.org/ 10.1002/2016GL069040.
- Powell, M. D., 1990: Boundary layer structure and dynamics in outer hurricane rainbands. Part II: Downdraft modification and mixed layer recovery. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **118**, 918–938, https://doi.org/ 10.1175/1520-0493(1990)118(0918:BLSADI)2.0.CO;2.

Brought to you by NOAA Central Library | Unauthenticated | Downloaded 06/20/24 06:44 PM UTC Accepted for publication in *Monthly Weather Review*. DOI 10.1175/MWR-D-23-0224.1.

- Reasor, P. D., and M. T. Montgomery, 2015: Evaluation of a heuristic model for tropical cyclone resilience. J. Atmos. Sci., 72, 1765–1782, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-14-0318.1.
- Reasor, P. D., M. T. Montgomery, and L. D. Grasso, 2004: A new look at the problem of tropical cyclones in vertical shear flow: Vortex resiliency. J. Atmos. Sci., 61, 3–22, https://doi.org/ 10.1175/1520-0469(2004)061(0003:ANLATP)2.0.CO;2.
- Reasor, P. D., R. Rogers, and S. Lorsolo, 2013: Environmental flow impacts on tropical cyclone structure diagnosed from airborne doppler radar composites. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 141, 2949–2969, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00334.1.
- Richardson, J. C., R. D. Torn, and B. H. Tang, 2022: An analog comparison between rapidly and slowly intensifying tropical cyclones. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **150**, 2139–2156, https://doi.org/ 10.1175/MWR-D-21-0260.1.
- Riemer, M., 2016: Meso-beta-scale environment for the stationary band complex of vertically sheared tropical cyclones. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **142**, 2442–2451, https://doi.org/10.1002/ qj.2837.
- Riemer, M., and F. Laliberté, 2015: Secondary circulation of tropical cyclones in vertical wind shear: Lagrangian diagnostic and pathways of environmental interaction. J. Atmos. Sci., 72, 3517–3536, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-14-0350.1.
- Riemer, M., and M. T. Montgomery, 2011: Simple kinematic models for the environmental interaction of tropical cyclones in vertical wind shear. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **11**, 9395–9414, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-9395-2011.
- Riemer, M., M. T. Montgomery, and M. E. Nicholls, 2010: A new paradigm for intensity modification of tropical cyclones: Thermodynamic impact of vertical wind shear on the inflow layer. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **10**, 3163–3188, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-3163-2010.
- Riemer, M., M. T. Montgomery, and M. E. Nicholls, 2013: Further examination of the thermodynamic modification of the inflow layer of tropical cyclones by vertical wind shear. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **13**, 327–346, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-327-2013.

Brought to you by NOAA Central Library | Unauthenticated | Downloaded 06/20/24 06:44 PM UTC Accepted for publication in *Monthly Weather Review*. DOI 10.1175/MWR-D-23-0224.1.

- Rios-Berrios, R., C. A. Davis, and R. D. Torn, 2018: A hypothesis for the intensification of tropical cyclones under moderate vertical wind shear. J. Atmos. Sci., 75, 4149–4173, https://doi.org/ 10.1175/JAS-D-18-0070.1.
- Rios-Berrios, R., and R. D. Torn, 2017: Climatological analysis of tropical cyclone intensity changes under moderate vertical wind shear. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **145**, 1717–1738, https://doi.org/ 10.1175/MWR-D-16-0350.1.
- Ryglicki, D. R., J. D. Doyle, D. Hodyss, J. H. Cossuth, Y. Jin, K. C. Viner, and J. M. Schmidt, 2019: The unexpected rapid intensification of tropical cyclones in moderate vertical wind shear. Part III: Outflow–environment interaction. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **147**, 2919–2940, https://doi.org/ 10.1175/MWR-D-18-0370.1.
- Schecter, D. A., and K. Menelaou, 2020: Development of a misaligned tropical cyclone. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **77**, 79–111, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-19-0074.1.
- Shi, D., and G. Chen, 2023: Modulation of asymmetric inner-core convection on midlevel ventilation leading up to the rapid intensification of Typhoon Lekima (2019). *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 128, e2022JD037 952, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD037952.
- Simpson, R. H., and H. Riehl, 1958: Mid-tropospheric ventilation as a constraint on hurricane development and maintenance. Amer. Meteor. Soc., Miami Beach, FL, d4-1–D4-10.
- Sippel, J. A., S. D. Ditchek, K. Ryan, and C. W. Landsea, 2024: The G-IV inner circumnavigation: A story of successful organic interactions between research and operations at NOAA. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **105**, E218–E232, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-23-0084.1.
- Tang, B. H., and K. A. Emanuel, 2010: Midlevel ventilation's constraint on tropical cyclone intensity. J. Atmos. Sci., 67, 1817–1830, https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JAS3318.1.
- Tang, B. H., and K. A. Emanuel, 2012a: Sensitivity of tropical cyclone intensity to ventilation in an axisymmetric model. J. Atmos. Sci., 69, 2394–2413, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-11-0232.1.
- Tang, B. H., and K. A. Emanuel, 2012b: A ventilation index for tropical cyclones. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 93, 1901–1912, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00165.1.

Brought to you by NOAA Central Library | Unauthenticated | Downloaded 06/20/24 06:44 PM UTC Accepted for publication in *Monthly Weather Review*. DOI 10.1175/MWR-D-23-0224.1.

- Tao, D., and F. Zhang, 2016: Effects of vertical wind shear on the predictability of tropical cyclones: Practical versus intrinsic limit. J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 7, 1534–1553, https://doi.org/10.1002/ 2015MS000474.
- Torn, R. D., 2014: The impact of targeted dropwindsonde observations on tropical cyclone intensity forecasts of four weak systems during PREDICT. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 142, 2860–2878, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-13-00284.1.
- Wadler, J. B., D. S. Nolan, J. A. Zhang, and L. K. Shay, 2021: Thermodynamic characteristics of downdrafts in tropical cyclones as seen in idealized simulations of different intensities. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **78**, 3503–3524, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-21-0006.1.
- Weatherford, C. L., and W. M. Gray, 1988: Typhoon structure as revealed by aircraft reconnaissance. Part I: Data analysis and climatology. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **116**, 1032–1043, https://doi.org/ 10.1175/1520-0493(1988)116(1032:TSARBA)2.0.CO;2.
- Wood, K. M., and E. A. Ritchie, 2015: A definition for rapid weakening of North Atlantic and eastern North Pacific tropical cyclones. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **42**, 10,091–10,097, https://doi.org/ 10.1002/2015GL066697.
- Yu, C.-L., A. C. Didlake Jr., J. D. Kepert, and F. Zhang, 2021: Investigating axisymmetric and asymmetric signals of secondary eyewall formation using observations-based modeling of the tropical cyclone boundary layer. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 126, e2020JD034027, https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2020JD034027.
- Yu, C.-L., B. Tang, and R. G. Fovell, 2023a: Diverging behaviors of simulated tropical cyclones in moderate vertical wind shear. J. Atmos. Sci., 80, 2837–2860, https://doi.org/ 10.1175/JAS-D-23-0048.1.
- Yu, C.-L., B. Tang, and R. G. Fovell, 2023b: Tropical cyclone tilt and precession in moderate shear: Precession hiatus in a critical shear regime. J. Atmos. Sci., 80, 909–932, https://doi.org/ 10.1175/JAS-D-22-0200.1.
- Zawislak, J., H. Jiang, G. R. Alvey, E. J. Zipser, R. F. Rogers, J. A. Zhang, and S. N. Stevenson, 2016: Observations of the structure and evolution of Hurricane Edouard (2014) during intensity

Brought to you by NOAA Central Library | Unauthenticated | Downloaded 06/20/24 06:44 PM UTC Accepted for publication in *Monthly Weather Review*. DOI 10.1175/MWR-D-23-0224.1.

change. Part I: Relationship between the thermodynamic structure and precipitation. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **144**, 3333–3354, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-16-0018.1.

- Zhang, J. A., R. F. Rogers, P. D. Reasor, E. W. Uhlhorn, and F. D. Marks, 2013: Asymmetric hurricane boundary layer structure from dropsonde composites in relation to the environmental vertical wind shear. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 141, 3968–3984, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00335.1.
- Zipser, E. J., 1977: Mesoscale and convective–scale downdrafts as distinct components of squallline structure. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **105**, 1568–1589, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1977) 105(1568:MACDAD)2.0.CO;2.