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## Abstract

The Alaska Fisheries Science Center conducted bottom trawl surveys of Pacific west coast upper continental slope groundfish resources from 21 April to 3 May and from 8 September to 6 October, 1984. Two vessels were deployed in the International North Pacific Fisheries Commission Columbia statistical area from Coquille Point (4300'N) to Cape Falcon (45 $\left.45^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right)$, Oregon. A Soviet research trawler, the Poseydon, was conducting hydroacoustic, midwater, and demersal trawling surveys along the west coast during the spring and was available opportunistically to collect demersal trawl samples from slope stations. The fishing vessel Half Moon Bay was chartered during the autumn to collect trawl samples from slope waters). Bottom trawl hauls were completed at 54 stations in waters $110-549 \mathrm{~m}$ deep in the spring. During the autumn, 93 stations in waters $110-915 \mathrm{~m}$ deep were successfully trawled and 4 stations were fished using vertically deployed fish traps to investigate--'the vertical distribution of sablefish, Anoplopoma fimbria.

This report describes the sampling and analytical methods used and summarizes the data collected during both surveys. The contents include temperature data, catch species composition, distribution and relative abundance of commercially important groundfish species, and rankings of groundfish species by geographic area and depth strata in terms of catch per unit effort. Biomass, population, and size composition estimates are
presented for the commercially more important species. Estimates of age composition by depth stratum and the results of vertical distribution investigations for sablefish; and length-weight relationships for sablefish, Dover sole, Microstomus pacificus, and arrowtooth flounder, Atheresthes stomias are included. Seasonal temperature differences are compared and we also compare seasonal differences in species incidence and bathymetric data thought to be least likely affected by variability in gear selectivity and catchability.
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Groundfish resources along the U.S. Pacific Coast have been surveyed triennially since 1977. These surveys have been conducted by the Resource Assessment and Conservation Engineering Division of the Alaska Fisheries Science Center (AFSC). These assessments have focused on the distribution and abundance of Pacific whiting (Merluccius productus) and rockfish (Sebastes spp.) stocks inhabiting the continental shelf and have provided information to supplement data on the commercial catch used by management in annual stock assessments (Gunderson and Sample 1980; Dark et al. 1983; Weinberg et al. 1984). The surveys, however, were not designed to provide comprehensive quantitative information on groundfish resources such as Dover sole (Microstomus pacificus), arrowtooth flounder (Atheresthes stomias), sablefish (Anoplopoma fimbria), and certain rockfish species which primarily inhabit continental slope waters.

Previous studies have examined the distribution and abundance of individual continental slope groundfish species (Heyamoto and Alton 1965; Demory 1971, 1975; Pruter et al. 1971; Pearcy 1978); and multispecies similarity indices and cluster analysis techniques have been used to investigate continental slope species assemblages and describe species associations (Day and Pearcy 1968; Gabriel and Tyler 1980). Additionally, demersal trawl surveys have been used to investigate and describe the bathymetric distribution, abundance, seasonal changes in availability, and size distributions of groundfish species
inhabiting continental slope waters (Alton 1972; Pearcy et al. 1982).

The impetus for scheduling upper continental slope surveys in 1984 was provided by: rising commercial landings of arrowtooth flounder: the increasing importance of sablefish, Dover sole, and continental slope rockfish resources along the Pacific coast; and requests from the Pacific Fishery Management Council's (PFMC) groundfish management team for additional background information on continental slope stocks of these resources.

Two continental slope groundfish surveys were completed during 1984. In the spring, a Soviet research trawler sampled stations in continental slope waters 110-549 m (60-300 fathoms) deep. In the autumn, a chartered U.S. commercial trawling vessel sampled stations in waters 110-915 m (60-500 fm) deep. Stations sampled in the autumn were essentially identical to those surveyed during the spring, but additional stations were sampled in the 549-915 m zone.

The objectives for the 1984 slope surveys were 1) to determine the feasibility of utilizing demersal trawl gear in deep water with diverse bottom topography to assess abundance, geographic and bathymetric distribution, and biological characteristics of major groundfish resources inhabiting the upper continental slope; 2) to update status of stock documents for these resources for management purposes: and 3) to investigate the feasibility of using vertically deployed fish
traps to examine the distribution and availability of sablefish to demersal trawl sampling.

Although survey design and catch processing procedures were similar during the spring and autumn surveys, the vessels used to conduct the surveys differed significantly in size and horsepower, and the sampling trawls differed in size, construction, and rigging. Fishing power coefficients could not be developed for the survey vessels because comparative fishing tows were not made. Consequently, we are unable to account for differences in catch rates for individual species which may be solely due to differences in fishing power between the two survey vessels. Therefore, the survey results presented here, especially intersurvey (seasonal) comparisons, should be examined cautiously.

## METHODS

Survey Area and Sampling Period
The survey region included the portion of the International North Pacific Fisheries Commission (INPFC) Columbia statistical area from Coquille Point (lat. $43^{\circ} 00^{\prime} N$ ) to Cape Falcon (lat. $45^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ ), Oregon between the depths of 110 and 915 m (60-500 fm) (Figs. 1 and 2). This region was selected because it contains stocks of the principal species of interest, it is an area of known economic importance, and it contains areas of diverse bottom relief.

The survey region contains a total surface area of
$13,961 \mathrm{~km}^{2}\left(4,071 \mathrm{nmi}^{2}\right)$ between the depths of 110 and 915 m . Within this region the continental shelf (<l83 m) varies from 20.9 to 36.2 km in width and the upper slope (>183 m) varies from 4.6 to 54.3 km . The average inclination of the Oregon continental slope varies from $1^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ to $7^{\circ} 14^{\prime}$ (Pearcy et al. 1982), with $2-3^{\circ}$ being the most common inclination (Byrne 1962). The topographically complex slope is characterized by deeply incised valleys, ridges, steep escarpments, benches, hills, rocky outcrops, and depressions. Upper slope substrates vary from sand and sand-silt on topographic highs to clayey silts in the lows (Kulm and Scheidegger 1979). Rock, mud, and hard clay areas are abundant, and substrate composition can change rapidly within short distances.

Surface current flow over this part of the slope and shelf is generally southward along the coast during the summer (Ingraham and Love 1977). Flow at depths greater than 100 m consists of a northward countercurrent denoted as the California Undercurrent (Favorite et al. 1976).

Survey Design and Sampling Station Allocation
A Soviet research vessel, the Poseydon, conducted demersal and midwater trawl investigations in the survey region from 21 April to 3 May 1984. U.S. investigations were conducted in autumn between 8 September and 6 October 1984 by the chartered fishing vessel Half Moon Bay under contract to the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). This report is based on samples obtained from the geographic region sampled by both vessels.

A systematic, random sampling design was followed in the selection of trawl stations. To investigate the bathymetric distribution of target species, the survey area was stratified into five depth intervals: $110-183 \mathrm{~m}, 183-366 \mathrm{~m}, 366-549 \mathrm{~m}$, 549-732 m, and 732-915 m.

Sampling stations were placed randomly along tracklines. The tracklines were situated $16.7 \mathrm{~km}(9 \mathrm{nmi})$ apart and were drawn roughly perpendicular to the slope isobaths. The number of stations within each depth stratum were allocated proportionally to the trackline length across each depth interval (determined from National Ocean Service charts 18520 and 18580). Intervals less than 13 km wide were allocated one station, intervals 13.1 to 25.9 km wide received two sampling stations, and intervals exceeding 26 km received three randomly situated stations. A total of 68 stations were designated as potential sampling sites in waters 110-549 m deep for the spring slope survey. Those 68 stations plus an additional 36 stations in waters $549-915 \mathrm{~m}$ deep were designated as potential sampling sites for the autumn survey. The geographic locations of the successful bottom tows are shown in Figures 1 and 2.

Trackline placement and sampling density were selected to sample as much of the survey region as available vessel time would allow. During the autumn survey, an additional 4-5 days were allocated to investigate sablefish vertical distribution. In this supplemental survey vertically deployed sablefish traps were situated on tracklines where bottom trawl sampling had
demonstrated the presence of sablefish within each depth stratum the traps were to sample (Fig. 3).

To investigate geographic differences in abundance and species composition, the entire survey area was divided into north and south subareas, using lat. $44^{\circ} 22.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ as the boundary. The geographical area $\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)$ of each stratum used in the analysis of the 1984 slope survey data is summarized in Table 1.

Throughout the spring survey two trained U.S. technicians were aboard the Poseydon to assist the Soviet scientists with processing catches and with collecting, compiling, and processing data in accordance with standardized procedures.

## Vessels and Sampling Gears

In 1984 the Poseydon was used opportunistically during the spring to collect demersal trawl samples from slope stations shallower than 549 m , the maximum depth limit of their trawling capability. The chartered Half Moon Bay was used in the autumn to obtain samples from stations in the $110-915 \mathrm{~m}$ depth range.

The Poseydon is an $84.7 \mathrm{~m}(278 \mathrm{ft})$ long Soviet BMRT research trawler. It has a single 2,000 horsepower main engine, and deck equipment includes split trawl winches with $1,200 \mathrm{~m}$ of steel trawl cable. Electronic equipment includes a satellite navigation system, depth sounder, and radar. The crabber/trawler Half Moon Bay is $32.9 \mathrm{~m}(108 \mathrm{ft})$ long and has a single diesel engine of approximately 850 continuous horsepower. Deck equipment includes hydraulically powered split trawl winches, $2,195 \mathrm{~m}$ of 15.9 mm steel trawl cable, three net reels situated
above the stern and another just aft of the house, and a hydraulic articulated deck crane. Electronic equipment includes loran-C receivers, a loran-C video plotter, dual radars, echo sounders, and a netsonde with multiple transducers.

## Trawl Gear

Two sets of demersal trawl gear were used for assessing groundfish resources in the slope survey area. During the spring survey, a Soviet commercial bottom trawl equipped with roller gear fabricated from 17 spherical, 50 cm-diameter steel bobbins and $6.0 \times 6.0 \mathrm{~m}, 1,750 \mathrm{~kg}$ oval doors was used to sample demersal groundfish populations (Table 2). The Soviets reported that their trawl has a vertical opening of $6.0 \mathrm{~m}(19.7 \mathrm{ft})$ and a horizontal opening of $18.0 \mathrm{~m}(59.1 \mathrm{ft})$; however, it is unknown whether these figures were derived from static measurements or from measurements made as the trawl was being fished. Mesh size for the Soviet trawl tapered from 100 mm to 80 mm in the wing and body, from 55 mm to 30 mm in the intermediate, and was 30 mm in the codend. No codend liner was used in the Soviet trawl.

During the autumn survey, the Half Moon Bay was equipped with a modified AFSC 90/105 Noreastern bottom trawl which was rigged with 75 deep-sea headrope floats 20 cm in diameter and was constructed with heavy (i.e., 72 thread) nylon mesh in the belly for use on rough grounds. Mesh size was 127 mm in the wing and body. Mesh size was 89 mm in the intermediate section and the codend, and a 32 mm codend liner was used at all times (Table 2). The Noreastern trawl was fished exclusively with $1.8 \times 2.7 \mathrm{~m}$,

907 kg steel V-doors and roller gear constructed of 20 cm-diameter solid rubber disks strung from wing to wing on 15.8 mm high tensile chain for added weight and increased bottom tending capability. Net dimensions while fishing were not available, so we estimated the net opening using measurements made on similarly sized and powered vessels that were equipped with a standard AFSC Noreastern net but rigged with different roller gear and smaller ( $1.5 \times 2.1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) doors. Such gear has a mean horizontal opening of 13.4 m and a mean vertical opening of 9.2 m . Limited observations obtained with the netsonde transducer attached to the headrope of the modified Noreastern trawl indicated a vertical opening that ranged between 6.7 and 9.1 m. Table 2 summarizes the dimensions and construction details of the Soviet and U.S. bottom trawls.

## Sablefish Traps

Pruter et al. (1971) employed strings of vertically deployed fish traps off the Columbia River mouth to study the vertical distribution of fishes on the upper continental slope. During the autumn survey, strings of identical fish traps were deployed to investigate the vertical distribution of sablefish. These traps were fabricated by lashing two 76 x 102 cm elliptical plastic lobster traps to two central 102 x 102 cm metal frames constructed from 1.27 cm-diameter steel rod and covered with 6.35 cm mesh nylon webbing. When completely assembled, the traps formed a cloverleaf-shaped sablefish trap with four tunnels
(Fig. 4). The traps were fished with a single steel ballast weight attached to the floor of each trap and with two 25 cm deep-sea trawl floats attached to the upper surface to float the trap horizontally in the water column (confirmed by direct observations made by scuba divers during tests done before the autumn survey).

Each trap was attached to a buoyant 1.59 cm polypropylene mainline by a gangion of 1.27 cm polypropylene line and a Ghook. Assembled traps were not pre-conditioned in seawater before they were used. Figure 5 shows the components of an assembled trap string when fishing and Table 3 lists the components required to assemble trap strings for fishing at various deployment depths.

Trawling Procedures
During the spring survey, trawling operations began on the northern tracklines and proceeded southward. During the autumn, operations began near Coquille Point, Oregon, and proceeded northward. Sampling procedures were similar on both the U.S. and Soviet vessels.

Tow duration at each sampling site was 30 minutes; between 6 and 36 minutes (depending on the depth being fished) was allowed between setting the trawl winch brakes and beginning the tow to ensure the net had settled to the bottom. The net sink rate was established at the beginning of the autumn survey for the modified Noreastern trawl by intermittently deploying the netsonde and observing the actual time required for the net to
reach bottom (time to equilibrium) after the winch brakes had been applied.

Prior to setting the trawl, each potential sampling site was surveyed with the echosounder to determine the starting and ending position of each tow, station depth, trawlability of the bottom, and the orientation and variation of the depth contour. Trawling was conducted following the established depth contour as closely as practical for the duration of each tow. Towing speed was approximately $5.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ (3 knots). When untrawlable bottom was encountered at a preselected station, an attempt was made to locate an alternative site within a 1 nmi radius. If an alternative site could not be located, the site was noted as untrawlable, and the next station was surveyed. During the autumn survey, the echosounder and loran-C plotter were used in combination to establish the direction of the selected depth contour and the course to follow to maximize the probability of keeping the trawl at a constant depth for the duration of each tow. During many of the tows, however, steep inclines precluded maintaining a constant fishing depth. Tracklines on which substantial sablefish catches were obtained from each depth stratum were noted as stations for subsequent sablefish vertical trap sampling.

Sablefish Trap Sampling Procedures
Baited sablefish traps attached to vertically deployed strings were used to investigate vertical distribution and the vulnerability of the species to the Noreastern trawl on the upper
continental slope during the autumn survey. Trap strings were deployed at sites located on a trackline in waters approximately 110, 379 , 647, and 915 m deep to sample the maximum and minimum depths of the trawl survey range and two depths equidistant from the extremes. Each site was sampled twice during daylight and twice at night to ascertain optimal diel sampling periods when sablefish are more apt to be off bottom and consequently less vulnerable to trawl gear. Trap strings were fished for approximately 11-12 hours.

Each string included five sablefish traps positioned on the vertical mainline to fish on the bottom and at heights of 5,10 , 20, and 35 m off the bottom (Fig. 5). These heights were selected to sample the vertical opening range of the Noreastern trawl, as well as waters substantially above the headrope.

Traps were secured to gangions attached to the mainline and the entire string was deployed from the stern ramp on the Half Moon Bay. The string anchor was deployed first and was followed by the traps; the necessary shots ( 91.4 m lengths) of buoyant polypropylene line; sinking nylon line; and the surface buoy, flag, and light array (Table 3). Trap strings were retrieved with the hydraulic crab pot pulling block.

Temperature Data Collection
Sea surface temperature (SST) was obtained with a bucket thermometer at all sampling stations. Bottom water temperature (BWT) was obtained at all sampling stations using a reversing thermometer during the spring survey. Expendable
bathythermograph (XBT) probes were used at preselected stations on tracklines which traversed the 110-915 m depth range during the autumn slope survey. Sites for $X B T$ s were selected to sample the entire geographic and bathymetric range within the survey region.

## Deck Sampling Procedures

Standard AFSC catch sampling procedures (Smith and Bakkala 1982; Wakabayashi et al. 1985) were used. These procedures were modified slightly during the spring survey to accommodate factory processing of large catches. A brief summary follows.

Trawl catches less than $1,200 \mathrm{~kg}$ were released from the codend directly onto the sorting table and processed completely; before the larger catches were processed, they were randomly subsampled following procedures described by Hughes (1976). Processed catches were sorted by species, and weights and numbers of each species were recorded. Catch weights and numbers from subsamples were extrapolated to the entire catch. Most fish and invertebrates occurring in the trawl catches were identified to species; organisms that were difficult to identify were grouped by genus or combined within a more general taxonomic category. After the catch was weighed and counted a randomly selected sample of target species was selected for further biological processing. Similar procedures were used to process sablefish trap samples; however, care was taken to ensure that data on the catch from each trap was recorded separately for later analysis of vertical distribution.

During the spring survey, large catches were sorted and subsampled directly from the factory processing lines rather than from sorting tables on deck. Catches less than $1,200 \mathrm{~kg}$, however, were released directly on the deck and sorted; commercially desirable species not needed for scientific investigations were removed and sent to the factory for processing.

## Biological Data Collection Procedures

Age structures and length, sex, and weight information, were collected from target species in the catches. The primary target species for the 1984 west coast upper continental slope survey were sablefish, arrowtooth flounder, and Dover sole. Secondary target species were Tanner crab (Chionoecetes tanneri) and those species which comprise the slope rockfish complex (Pacific ocean perch (Sebastes alutus), darkblotched rockfish (S. crameri), sharpchin rockfish (S. zacentrus), splitnose rockfish (S. diplooroa), and shortspine and longspine thornyhead (Sebastolobus alascanus and S. altivelis). Data were also obtained from non-target species when they were a major component of the catch and time was available after processing target species.

Otoliths were collected from target and nontarget species for age determination (Table 5). To obtain an even geographical distribution of age samples, we established three subregions by dividing the survey region into three latitudinally equal biological sampling areas (Coos Bay, Newport, and Cape Lookout)
and three depth strata (110-183, 183-549, 549-915 m). Generally, length-weight data were obtained whenever otoliths were collected.

A variety of samples were collected for special studies conducted by the AFSC and other scientific organizations. These collections included: tissue samples from sablefish and jack mackerel (Trachurus symmetricus) for genetic stock identification studies (AFSC), tissue samples for species divergence studies (Yale University), Tanner crab muscle for yield-recovery and chemical composition analyses (AFSC), and whole cephalopods and selected rare deepsea fish specimens for distribution and abundance studies (Oregon State University). During the autumn survey, juvenile (<40 cm FL) sablefish were tagged and released to continue AFSC investigations of juvenile migration and recruitment.

Data Analysis Procedures
A detailed description of the analytical procedures applied to demersal trawl data is given by Smith and Bakkala (1982) and Wakabayashi et al. (1985). In general, catches at each station were standardized to a single sampling unit: the weight of catch per kilometer of trawling ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$ ). Mean catch per unit of effort (CPUE) by major taxonomic group and species were computed from the standardized catch rates for the overall survey area, for individual geographic areas, and by depth stratum. Standing stock (biomass) estimates were made using the "area swept" methods described by Alverson and Pereyra (1969). Vulnerability
of all species to both the Soviet and U.S. trawl was assumed to be 1.0. Spring biomass estimates were derived using the measure of horizontal opening ( 18.0 m ) supplied by the Soviets for their trawl. Biomass estimates derived from the autumn survey data were based on the assumption that the modified Noreastern bottom trawl used during the survey had a horizontal opening identical to a standard AFSC Noreastern trawl (13.4 m).

We must caution that estimates of biomass may include uncorrected biases. Factors such as lower vulnerability of a species to sampling gear than assumed, possible overestimation of the horizontal openings of the U.S. and Soviet trawls, and imprecise calculations of minimum area of the depth strata will result in overall conservative estimates of groundfish abundance. The calculated geographic area $\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)$ of a depth stratum is the sea surface area within the boundaries of the stratum. However, due to topographic irregularities and variation in inclination, the actual area of sea floor within a stratum might differ substantially from the calculated sea surface area. Measurements of the sea floor area would produce more accurate estimates in the computation of biomass. Unfortunately, it is not possible for us to derive such sea floor area estimates.

To estimate population size composition within a stratum, the estimated total population numbers were apportioned into individual sex and 1 cm size classes based on the lengthfrequency samples weighted by CPUE.

Figures 6-31 and 46-72 showing the geographic distribution and relative abundance for primary and secondary target species and other economically important species were prepared as follows. Abundance levels for each species were geographically depicted using three density levels when sufficient catch data were available. Nonzero station CPUE values (densities) were ranked from smallest to largest for each species. The range of CPUE values in the lowest $60 \%$ of the stations was selected to represent the lowest densities. Moderate densities correspond to the range of CPUE values in the next higher $30 \%$ and the highest range of CPUE values comprise the remaining $10 \%$ of the stations. Exceptionally large CPUE values (i.e., isolated, extremely large catches) were depicted in the figures by a star symbol.

Otoliths were collected from target species and returned to the AFSC for age determinations. Sablefish age composition was estimated by apportioning the population length-frequency distribution into ages using one age-length key for all depths and areas that were stratified by sex and size categories. Age structure samples for other species have not been analyzed.

Length-weight data were collected from individuals within each 1 cm length class by sex. Whenever age structures were collected, the whole, freshly caught individual fish were weighed to the nearest gram on a double beam balance. The power function relationship between length and weight described by Ricker (1975) was used to depict these data.

## RESULTS

## Spring Survey

## Sampling

Of 68 potential trawl sampling sites surveyed with the echo sounder, 57 bottom trawl hauls were attempted. Fifty-four of these were completed successfully--11 in the $110-183 \mathrm{~m}$ depth stratum, 21 at 183-366 m, and 22 at $366-549 \mathrm{~m}$ (Fig. 1). The maximum variation in bottom depth within a tow was 82 m ; however, most depth variation did not exceed 18 m .

Most catches were less than $1,500 \mathrm{~kg}$ in total weight and were processed in their entirety. Two tows heavier than $2,400 \mathrm{~kg}$ were subsampled and processed using procedures previously described.

## Temperature Data

Sea surface temperature and BWT were obtained at each trawl station during the spring survey (Figs. 6 and 7). Throughout most of the survey region, spring SSTs ranged between $10.2^{\circ}$ and $10.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Slightly warmer surface temperatures were encountered offshore between Coos Bay and Coquille Point, Oregon. Spring BWTs ranged between $5.0^{\circ}$ and $7.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and warmer temperatures typically occurred in the inshore waters.

The Northwest Ocean Service Center (NOSC) Sea Surface Thermal Analysis charts which were produced from shipboard and satellite images during the 1984 spring survey period indicated that upwelling within the survey region was nonexistent.

## Species Composition

During the spring survey, 69 fish species from 43 genera and 33 families and 12 invertebrate taxa were recorded from the successful trawl samples (Tables 4 and 5). Figures 8 and 9 list the fish and invertebrate taxa recorded during the spring survey and summarize the observed bathymetric distributions. The upper continental slope fauna, as sampled with a Soviet commercial bottom trawl, was dominated by fish species which made up 99\%, by weight, of the mean total CPUE. The incidence of major fish families and invertebrate taxa is summarized in Table 8. Twenty scorpaenid (rockfish) species, sablefish, and Pacific whiting accounted for almost 85\%, by weight, of the mean total CPUE in the 110-549 m depth stratum. High catch rates of fish (primarily Pacific whiting, rockfishes, and sablefish), up to 5,707 kg/km trawled, were taken southeast (183-366 m depth stratum) and west (366-549 m) of Heceta Bank, and in the $183-366 \mathrm{~m}$ waters west of Cape Lookout (Fig. 8).

Aboard the Poseydon, invertebrates were assigned to broad taxonomic categories (i.e., crabs and squids) and $94 \%$ of the invertebrate taxa taken were species of sponges, crabs, and squids. Combined invertebrate taxa accounted for only 1\%, by weight, of the mean total CPUE.

## Principal Species

During the spring, the most frequently occurring species were sablefish (found at $96 \%$ of the 54 stations sampled), Pacific whiting (91\%), rex sole (Errex zachirus) (87\%), Dover sole (80\%),
arrowtooth flounder (80\%), and shortspine thornyhead (76\%). The frequency of occurrence of these six species did not vary significantly between the north and south subareas.

Individually, the remaining fish and invertebrate taxa occurred in less than $60 \%$ of the 54 spring trawl samples (Table 9). Relative Population Densities

The relative spring abundance of the 20 most dominant fish taxa samples for all depths and by individual depth stratum in the survey area are summarized in Tables 10-13. Pacific whiting, sablefish, darkblotched rockfish (Sebastes crameri), and jack mackerel were the most abundant species and collectively accounted for almost $80 \%$ of the average CPUE. Dover sole, arrowtooth flounder, Pacific ocean perch, shortspine thornyhead, and splitnose rockfish collectively made up just 8.6\% of the overall mean total catch (Table 10).

Population densities of target species varied considerably among the three depth strata sampled during this survey. In the shallow (110-183 m) stratum (Table 11), sablefish was the only target species found in the top 10 species caught, with a mean CPUE of $4.71 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{km}$ (2.2\% of the overall total CPUE). In the intermediate ( $183-366 \mathrm{~m}$ ) depth stratum, darkblotched rockfish (9.3\%), sablefish (9.1\%), and splitnose rockfish (1.8\%) were the most abundant target species. Average catch rates for target species in the intermediate depth stratum ranged from $65.08 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{km}$ for darkblotched rockfish to $12.38 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{km}$ for splitnose rockfish (Table 12). In the deepest stratum, sablefish ranked first with
a mean CPUE of $76.09 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{km}$, $34.9 \%$ of the average CPUE for all species (Table 13). Pacific whiting (23\%), a nontarget species, was ranked second, followed by shortspine thornyhead (8.2\%), Dover sole (8.0\%), Pacific ocean perch (6.0\%), darkblotched rockfish (5.4\%), and splitnose rockfish (4.6\%).

Average catch rates for target and nontarget species also varied geographically during the spring. Tables 14 and 15 summarize observed average catch rates in the north and south subareas, by depth stratum, for fish species which collectively comprised $90 \%$ of the overall mean total CPUE. Four species (Pacific whiting, sablefish, darkblotched rockfish, and Dover sole) accounted for $90 \%$ of the overall mean total catch in the south subarea (Table 15). South subarea catch rates for Pacific whiting, Dover sole, and sablefish exceeded those observed in the overall (110-549 m) north subarea (Table 14). In comparing the catch rates for all species for the same stratum between subareas we found that for most species, catches were lower in the north subarea. There were exceptions to this trend: catch rates for sablefish and Pacific sanddab in the shallow stratum were lower in the southern subarea, darkblotched and splitnose rockfish in the intermediate stratum, and darkblotched rockfish in the deep stratum (Tables 14-15). The geographic distributions and relative abundances of target species and other species of economic importance taken during the spring survey in waters 110549 m deep are illustrated in Figures 9-31.

## Biomass Estimates

Biomass estimates (metric tons, t) for major fish species are summarized by depth stratum in Table 16. During the spring, total area biomass estimates were highest for Pacific whiting $(118,150$ t), sablefish (25,527 t), darkblotched rockfish (13,764 t), and rex sole (5,706 t).

Spring biomass estimates can be summarized as follows: in the shallow stratum Pacific whiting, Pacific sanddab, and yellowtail rockfish were largest with estimates of $21,269 \mathrm{t}$, 4,045 t, and 3,123 t, respectively; in the intermediate stratum Pacific whiting (88,128 t), darkblotched rockfish (11,371 t), and sablefish (11,025 t) were dominant; and in the deepest stratum sablefish (13,272 t), Pacific whiting (8,753 t), shortspine thornyhead (3,137 t), and Dover sole (3,057 t) were dominant. Population Size Composition

Length-frequency data were collected form 10 fish species. Figures 32-41 illustrate the size composition and mean size, by sex and depth stratum, observed for these 10 species within the north and south subareas and for the total survey area. Blank spaces within figures indicate areas and depth strata where length data were not obtained. Size data by sex were not available for some species.

The overall size distribution for the spring sablefish population was trimodal: modes were at $32 \mathrm{~cm}, 38 \mathrm{~cm}$, and 51 cm ; larger fish (mean length $=51.6 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) were dominant in the south
subarea (Fig. 32). Sablefish mean length increased with increased depth.

Dover sole size distribution was unimodal with one mode at 31 cm (Fig. 33), though larger fish were found in the north subarea. A trend of increased mean size with increased sampling depth was also observed.

Arrowtooth flounder was not taken in significant quantities during the spring survey, and the minimum sample sizes required for taking length-frequency data were not obtained in the trawl catches sampled.

Pacific ocean perch were taken primarily in the north subarea, in waters 183-549 m deep. The overall distribution was bimodal ( 27 cm and 37 cm ) and mean size increased with depth (Fig. 34).

Darkblotched rockfish were primarily taken in the intermediate and deepest depth strata in the north subarea (Fig. 35). Mean length increased with depth and the overall distribution was unimodal with the mode at 30 cm . Sablefish Age Composition

We estimated sablefish age composition by depth stratum for the entire survey area (Tables 18-20). Sablefish age composition and mean age, by sex and depth stratum, for the total survey area and by subareas are illustrated in Figures 42 and 43. During the spring, the 1981 and 1982 year classes were dominant in the intermediate stratum, and the 1978 and 1979 year classes dominated the 366-549 m stratum (Tables 18-19). The 1979 year
class was the strongest group overall (183-549 m) (Table 20). Sablefish age samples were not obtained from the shallow stratum during the spring survey.

## Autumn Survey

## Sampling

Of 104 potential sampling sites surveyed with the echo sounder during the autumn survey, bottom tows were attempted at 97 sites; 93 were successfully completed (15 in the 110-183 m depth stratum, 27 in $183-366 \mathrm{~m}, 17$ in $366-549 \mathrm{~m}, 19$ in $549-732 \mathrm{~m}$, and 15 in 732-915 m). Bottom depth during several tows varied as much as 37 m and the maximum observed variation was 64 m . During most tows, however, depth varied less than 9 m . The netsonde was used on the earlier autumn tows and it provided graphic evidence that the net was tending bottom throughout each tow. The netsonde also indicated that bottom contact could be maintained even though the bottom depth changed 64 m over the course of a half-hour tow. The geographic locations of successful trawl hauls are shown in Figure 2.

Autumn trawl catches were generally less than $1,100 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{trawl}$ and were completely processed. Only three trawl hauls exceeded $1,100 \mathrm{~kg}$ and required subsampling prior to standard processing.

Inclement weather during the autumn survey limited the use of vertical strings of sablefish traps to 16 of 32 planned deployments. Figure 3 shows the locations where sablefish traps strings were deployed successfully.

Temperature Data
A total of 93 sea surface and 43 bottom water temperatures were taken. During the autumn, SSTs of inshore waters 110-183 m deep generally ranged between $13.0^{\circ}$ and $14.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; offshore waters $183-915 \mathrm{~m}$ deep typically ranged between $15.0^{\circ}$ and $17.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The warmest autumn SSTs were encountered in the offshore waters from Coos Bay to Coquille Point, Oregon (Fig. 44).

Bottom water temperatures ranged between $3.8^{\circ}$ and $8.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and warmer temperatures primarily occurred in the inshore waters (Fig. 45). National Ocean Service Center Sea Surface Thermal Analysis charts, produced during the 1984 autumn survey period, indicated that upwelling within the survey region was weak to nonexistent.

## Species Composition

A total of 95 fish species distributed among 64 genera and 43 families, and 74 invertebrate taxa were recorded during the autumn survey. Tables 21 and 22 list the fish and invertebrate taxa recorded and summarize their observed bathymetric distributions. The upper slope fauna, sampled with the Noreastern trawl, was dominated by fish species which comprised 93\%, by weight, of the mean total CPUE. The apparent abundance of major fish families and invertebrate taxa during the 1984 autumn survey period is summarized in Table 23. Sablefish, Pacific whiting, 22 species of Scorpaenidae, and 8 species of Pleuronectidae, made up $86 \%$ of the total mean CPUE for the entire range of depths (110-915 m) sampled. Fish catch rates did not
exceed 1,250 kg/km trawled and consisted primarily of Dover sole, rockfishes; sablefish, and Pacific whiting. The largest catches were found west at depths of 183 to 732 m and north (183-366 m) of Heceta Bank, in the shallower depth strata northwest of Newport, and in shallower depths northwest of Cape Lookout (Fig. 46).

Invertebrate taxa made up $7.5 \%$, by weight, of the total mean CPUE in waters 110-915 m deep. Approximately 88\% of the total invertebrate catch comprised sponge, crab, seastar, sea urchin, brittle star, and sea cucumber taxa.

## Principal Species

Occurrences of the 25 most common fish and crab taxa recorded during the autumn are summarized in Table 24 for the entire survey area, for north and south geographical subareas, and by depth stratum. In the samples for the total survey area, the species that occurred most frequently were Dover sole (found at $97 \%$ of the 93 stations sampled), sablefish (96\%), shortspine thornyhead (85\%), Pacific whiting (81\%), and rex sole (70\%). The remaining species occurred in less than 53\% of the 93 total trawl samples. The five dominant species of the total survey area also occurred as frequently in the south subarea. However, in the north subarea, sablefish (98\%) were taken slightly more frequently than Dover sole (96\%). Species composition changed in the deeper (549-732 m and 732-915 m) strata with longspine thornyhead, Tanner crab, two grenadier species, Pacific flatnose (Antimora microlepis), and broadfin lanternfish (Lampanyctus
ritteri) being taken in $78 \%$ or more of the samples from each depth stratum (Table 24).

Relative Population Densities
The relative abundance of the 20 most dominant fish and crab taxa recorded from autumn samples of the total depth range is summarized in Table 25. Pacific whiting, sablefish, Dover sole, shortspine thornyhead, sharpchin rockfish, rex sole, and darkblotched rockfish collectively accounted for $72 \%$ of the mean total CPUE in the total survey area. Mean catch rates for primary target species were $41.91 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{km}$ for sablefish (23\% of the mean total CPUE), $18.12 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{km}$ for Dover sole (10\%), and $2.91 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{km}$ for arrowtooth flounder (2\%).

The observed relative abundances of fish and crab taxa recorded from the five depth strata sampled are summarized in Tables 26-30. In the two shallowest (110-183 m and 183-366 m) strata, the mean CPUE for Pacific whiting accounted for $45 \%$ $(90.53 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{km})$ and $21 \%(48.72 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{km})$, respectively, of the total mean CPUE of all fish and invertebrates (Tables 26-27). In the three deepest strata, sablefish was the most abundant species. Sablefish mean catch rates were $36.70 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{km}$ (25\%) in the $366-549 \mathrm{~m}$ stratum, $77.69 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{km}(46 \%)$ at $549-732 \mathrm{~m}$, and $38.36 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{km}(34 \%)$ at $732-915 \mathrm{~m}$ (Tables $28-30$ ). Dover sole was among the five most abundant species in each of the depth strata sampled. Arrowtooth flounder ranked 12th (1\%) at 110-183 m, 9th (2\%) at 183-366 m, and 6th (3\%) at 366-549 m. Arrowtooth
flounder was not among the 20 most abundant species in. the two deepest strata sampled.

Additional differences observed in relative densities of the species complexes of the shallow and deeper strata include the increased abundance of shortspine thornyhead caught in waters 183-732 m deep, the increased density of longspine thornyhead in waters $366-919 \mathrm{~m}$ deep, larger catches (10.12 and $10.41 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{km}$ ) of Tanner crab in the two deepest strata, decrease in relative abundance of Pacific whiting as sampling depth increased, the increased catches of giant grenadier (Albatrossia Pectoralis) and Pacific grenadier (Coryohaenoides acrolepis) with increased sampling depth, and the decreased representation of Sebastes spp. rockfishes in catches as sampling depth increased.

Differences in mean catch rates between north and south subareas were observed for target and nontarget species. Tables 31 and 32 summarize observed mean catch rates for fish and crab species which collectively accounted for $90 \%$ of the mean total catch, by depth stratum, for the $110-915 \mathrm{~m}$ survey area. Mean catch rates for the primary target species, sablefish and arrowtooth flounder, were larger in north subarea samples (Table 31) but Dover sole were more abundant in the south subarea (Table 32). All secondary target species (shortspine and longspine thornyhead; Tanner crab; darkblotched, sharpchin, and splitnose rockfish; Pacific ocean perch) had higher total catch rates for the 110-915 m depth range in the north subarea.

In comparing catch rates of species for the same depth stratum, we found that catch rates of most species taken in both the north and south subareas were greater in the north subarea. There were exceptions to this trend: Dover and rex sole catches in 110-183 m were larger in the south subarea; sablefish, rex sole, and lingcod (ophiodon elongatus) at $183-366 \mathrm{~m}$; sablefish and rex sole at $366-549 \mathrm{~m}$; Dover sole and giant grenadier at 549-732 m; and Dover sole and shortspine thornyhead at 732-915 m (Tables 31-32). Figures 47-72 illustrate the geographic distributions and relative abundances of target species and other economically important species taken during the autumn survey in waters 110-915 m deep.

## Biomass Estimates

Biomass estimates (t) by depth stratum for major fish species and Tanner crab are presented in Table 33. During the autumn survey, biomass estimates for the total area (110-915 m) were largest for Pacific whiting (48,249 t), sablefish $(43,755 \mathrm{t})$, Dover sole $(18,834 \mathrm{t})$, and shortspine thornyhead (9,912t).

The following is a summary of the relative biomass estimates by depth stratum: in the shallowest stratum (110-183 m), Pacific whiting (31,700 t), sablefish (10,746 t), and Dover sole $(5,763 \mathrm{t})$ were dominant; in the $183-366 \mathrm{~m}$ stratum, Pacific whiting (11,400 t), sablefish (11,213 t), sharpchin rockfish ( $6,050 \mathrm{t})$, and darkblotched rockfish $(4,663 \mathrm{t})$ were most abundant: in the $366-549 \mathrm{~m}$ stratum, sablefish (8,737 t), Pacific
whiting (4,980 t), and shortspine thornyhead (4,241 t) were most prevalent; in the 549-732 m stratum, sablefish, Dover sole, and longspine thornyhead were most abundant with estimates of 9,367 t, 3,697 t, and 2,242 t, respectively; and in the deepest stratum (732-915 m), sablefish (3,692 t), longspine thornyhead $(2,930 \mathrm{t})$, Dover sole (1,800 t), and Tanner crab (1,002 t) were the dominant species.

Table 34 summarizes the percentage of the total estimated biomass for commercially important fish species and Tanner crab. During the autumn, sablefish and Dover sole biomass was relatively evenly distributed across the entire 110-732 m depth range, whereas arrowtooth flounder was taken only in waters 110-549 m deep. Pacific whiting (66\% of total whiting biomass): canary (98\%), yellowtail (97\%), greenstriped (83\%), and stripetail (76\%) rockfish: English sole (84\%); and Pacific sanddab (100\%) were most prevalent in the shallow (110-183 m) stratum. Splitnose (94\%), sharpchin (88\%), and darkblotched ( $85 \%$ ) rockfish occurred prominently in the $183-366 \mathrm{~m}$ stratum. Pacific ocean perch (89\%) and shortspine thornyhead (43\%) were prominent in the $366-549 \mathrm{~m}$ stratum and Tanner crab (98\%), longspine thornyhead (97\%), and giant grenadier (94\%) were primarily found in the deepest (549-915 m) waters sampled. Population Size Composition

During the autumn, length-frequency data were collected for 13 fish species. Figures $73-85$ illustrate the size composition and mean size, by sex and depth stratum, observed for these 13
species within the north and south subareas and for the total survey area.

The overall size distribution for the sablefish population was trimodal with modes at $28 \mathrm{~cm}, 38 \mathrm{~cm}$, and 52 cm . Larger fish were observed in the south subarea (Fig. 73). Generally, sablefish mean length increased as depth increased: however, a slight decrease in mean length was observed in the 732-915 m depth stratum.

Dover sole size distribution was unimodal with one mode at 34 cm (Fig. 74); larger fish were dominant in the north subarea and the trend of increased mean size with increased sampling depth was also observed.

Arrowtooth flounder samples were primarily obtained in the north subarea at depths of $110-549 \mathrm{~m}$. The overall size composition was bimodal, primarily due to the influence of larger female fish. Modes were observed at 39 cm and 58 cm , and average size increased with sampling depth (Fig. 75).

Pacific ocean perch were taken primarily in the north subarea in waters $183-549 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. The overall distribution was bimodal ( 26 cm and 39 cm ) and mean length increased with depth (Fig. 76).

Darkblotched rockfish were taken primarily in the $183-366 \mathrm{~m}$ stratum in both north and south subareas (Fig. 77). The overall size distribution was unimodal (29 cm). Mean size increased with sampling depth from 23 cm to 39 cm in the north subarea and from

25 to 32 cm in the south subarea. Mean length was 29.5 cm in the south and 28.6 cm in the north subarea.

Shortspine thornyhead were predominantly taken in waters 183-732 m deep in the north subarea (Fig. 80). The overall size distribution was unimodal ( 35 cm ) but fish $40-70 \mathrm{~cm}$ in length were taken in significant numbers in the $549-732 \mathrm{~m}$ stratum of the north subarea. Mean size increased with sampling depth from 24 to 35 cm in the north subarea.

Longspine thornyhead were taken mainly in waters $366-915 \mathrm{~m}$ deep throughout the sampling area (Fig. 56) but length frequency samples were taken primarily in the north subarea (Fig. 81). The overall distribution was bimodal (10 and 21 cm ) and mean length increased with sampling depth from 19 to 21 cm in the north subarea.

## Sablefish Age Composition

Sablefish from the 1983 and 1982 year classes were dominant in waters 110-183 m deep (Table 35). Waters $183-366 \mathrm{~m}$ deep were dominated by the 1979-82 year classes that accounted for $68 \%$ of the sablefish population in those waters (Table 36). In the 366-549 m depth stratum, the 1979 year class was strongest (Table 37). The 1980 and 1979 year classes were most prominent in waters 549-732 m deep (Table 38). In the deepest depth stratum (732-915 m), the 1979 year class was dominant (Table 39). The three most prominent year classes in the total survey area (110-915 m) were 1983, 1979 and 1982. Collectively, the 1977-83 year classes accounted for over $92 \%$ of the sablefish population
in waters 110-915 m deep (Table 40). Figures 86 and 87 illustrate the age composition and mean age for the total area and the north and south subareas.

## Length-weight Relationships

Individual fish weights for selected species were obtained at the time when age structures were collected. For sablefish, Dover sole, and arrowtooth flounder (Figs. 88-90), we fit the data to length-weight regression curves. The following equations describe the relationship for sablefish, Dover sole, and arrowtooth flounder:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{W}^{\wedge}=0.0000019697 & \mathrm{~L}^{3.259739} & \text { \{sablefish \}} \\
\mathrm{W}^{\wedge}=0.0000016260 & \mathrm{~L}^{3.306944} & \text { \{Dover sole\} } \\
\mathrm{W}^{\wedge}=0.0000019111 & \mathrm{~L}^{3.261454} & \text { \{arrowtooth }
\end{array}
$$

wherein $\mathrm{w}^{\wedge}$ is fresh whole weight in grams and L is fork length in millimeters.

Table 41 summarizes the length-weight relationships for seven fish species by sex and for all sexes combined. Predicted mean weights-at-length were generally greatest for females of all species except Dover sole and longspine thornyhead (Table 41). Sablefish Vertical Distribution

Only four sablefish were taken in 16 trap sets. Strings of cloverleaf-shaped fish traps were deployed vertically 16 times during the 1984 autumn survey. One Tanner crab and four sablefish were taken from 4 of 16 sets as follows: the Tanner crab (3.1 lb) was taken on a string set during the day at 915 m
from the trap positioned to fish on the sea floor; one sablefish (51 cm, male) was taken on a string set during the night at 379 m from the trap 20 m off bottom: one sablefish (61 cm, female) was taken on a string set during the day at 647 m from the on-bottom trap: two sablefish were taken on a string set during the night at 379 m , one each from traps $5 \mathrm{~m}(50 \mathrm{~cm}$, male) and 20 m ( 50 cm , male) off bottom.

## Tanner Crab Studies

During the autumn survey, Tanner crab were taken in large numbers only from-the deep (>549 m) stations. Because of their potential as an exploitable commercial resource, an investigation of the responses of the species to trawl capture was initiated. When suitable numbers were obtained during trawling operations, samples were placed into live tanks filled with circulating surface temperature seawater and observed over a period of $8-24$ hours. Movements of the captured crabs were sluggish and limbs generally hung limply when the animals were picked up. None recovered from the trauma of trawl capture and subsequent confinement in surface temperature water. Captured crabs did not spontaneously cast off limbs but many continued to move their mouthparts (thereby circulating water over their gills) for 2 to 6 hours. This lack of viability implies that commercial exploitation of the species will require technology designed to overcome capture trauma. We did not have the capability to determine whether crabs placed into chilled
seawater (closer in temperature to that found in their deepwater habitat) could recover.

A small sample (15-20 individuals) was cleaned immediately after capture, washed in seawater, cooked for 10 minutes, frozen, and returned to the AFSC Utilization Research laboratory. According to $H$. Barnett, ${ }^{1}$ recovery-yield and chemical analyses indicated a good quality product could be obtained if the species were processed at sea immediately after capture.

Some Seasonal Comparisons
Surveys designed to provide data over a long time series are usually scheduled for the same season each year in order to avoid confounding seasonal effects. As a result, data documenting seasonal differences in distribution, abundance, and biological parameters of west coast groundfish populations are sparse. The opportunistic nature of the 1984 spring survey precluded sampling standardization, so seasonal comparisons had to be limited and qualified. We have focused on 1984 species incidence and bathymetric data which are thought to be least likely affected by differences in catchability and gear selectivity. We also compared size and age compositions, recognizing that inter-survey differences may be partly due to dissimilarities in the sampling gears used.

Water Temperature

[^0]We summarized the mean sea surface and bottom water temperatures for the two cruises and the observed percentage differences by depth stratum, by geographic subarea, and for the entire survey area (Table 42). Throughout the survey area spring SSTs averaged $10.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the $110-549 \mathrm{~m}$ depth stratum, whereas mean autumn SSTs were $15.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This $43.8 \%$ difference in overall mean SSTs was typical of the percentage differences observed between spring and autumn SSTs for each depth stratum and for each subarea. Mean spring SSTs were, in all cases, lower than autumn SSTs. Mean spring BWT was $6.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and mean autumn BWT was $6.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(3.2 \%$ difference) for the entire survey area. In all cases, except the $366-549 \mathrm{~m}$ depth stratum in the south subarea, mean spring BWTs were lower than autumn BWTs.

## Species Composition

We compared observed differences in the bathymetric distributions of major and minor fish species taken in waters 110-549 m deep during the spring and autumn surveys (Tables 6 and 21).

For many species it is not possible to attribute observed changes in bathymetric distribution solely to seasonal factors because of differential vulnerability to trawls. Therefore, we noted only a limited selection of observed changes in bathymetric distributions for fish species taken from depths sampled in common (110-549 m) during both surveys. The species we selected for seasonal comparisons are thought to respond to seasonal variability in food availability or in spawning requirements by
changing their bathymetric distributions (Alverson et al. 1964; Alton 1972).

In the spring, English sole were taken in 110-549 m waters; in the autumn, they were only taken in waters $110-366 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Pacific ocean perch were taken in waters $183-549 \mathrm{~m}$ deep in the spring but occurred in waters $110-549 \mathrm{~m}$ deep in the autumn. Splitnose rockfish and shortspine thornyhead occurred in waters 183-549 m deep during the spring but were also taken in shallower waters (110-549 m) during the autumn. Longspine thornyhead were not taken in the spring but were found in 183-549 m waters during the autumn. Our primary target species--sablefish, Dover sole, and arrowtooth flounder--had identical spring and autumn bathymetric distributions (110-549 m).

Some species were taken only during one of the surveys (Tables 6 and 21). In the spring, bigfin eelpout (Aprodon cortezianus) was the only Zoarcid taken in waters $110-549 \mathrm{~m}$ deep but in autumn, bigfin, black (Lycodes diapterus), and blackbelly (Lycodoosis pacifica) eelpouts were taken in 110-549 m waters. Similar relationships were observed for many other minor fish species.

Principal Species and Relative Frequencies of Occurrence
Spring catches were dominated by sablefish, Pacific whiting, rex sole, Dover sole, arrowtooth flounder and shortspine thornyhead (Table 9). In the autumn, Dover sole and Pacific whiting were found at $98.3 \%$ of the stations sampled in waters 110-549 m deep. Sablefish (96.6\%), rex sole (93.2\%), arrowtooth
flounder (83.1\%), slender sole (Lyopsetta exilis) (79.7\%), and shortspine thornyhead (79.7\%) also occurred frequently in autumn catches (Table 43). The remaining fish and crab taxa occurred in less than $60 \%$ of the spring trawl stations and in less than $73 \%$ of the autumn trawl stations.

## Population Size Composition

Figures 32-41 and 73-85 illustrate size composition and mean size for selected fish species in the north and south subareas and in the total survey area for the surveys. Overall size distributions for target species that occurred in both surveys have been described earlier: therefore, only differences between spring and autumn distributions are noted.

In the spring, modes in the sablefish length distribution occurred at 32,38 , and 51 cm ; in the autumn, modes occurred at 28, 38, and 52 cm . In the spring, small fish represented a larger percentage of the population than they did in the autumn (Figs. 32 and 73), which suggests that adult fish move up the continental slope into shallower waters in the autumn. However, this might also be caused by differences in the selectivity of the trawls used during the spring and autumn surveys. Mean length increased as sampling depth increased and larger fish were dominant in the south subarea during both surveys.

Dover sole mean length was larger during the autumn survey (30.8 cm in the spring survey and 34.2 cm in the autumn) and the length mode increased from 31 to 34 cm . This suggests that adult Dover sole also move into shallower waters in the autumn. Mean
size increased with sampling depth and larger fish were dominant in the north subarea during both spring and autumn surveys (Figs. 33 and 74).

During both surveys, Pacific ocean perch were primarily taken in waters 183-549 m deep in the north subarea. Overall distributions were bimodal (27 cm and 37 cm , spring; 26 cm and 39 cm , autumn), mean length increased with sampling depth, and average male and female lengths were essentially identical (approximately 35.5 and 37.5 cm , respectively) in both seasons (Figs. 34 and 76).

Darkblotched rockfish were taken primarily in north subarea waters 183-366 m deep in both seasons (Figs. 35 and 77). Mean length increased with sampling depth. The largest fish were taken in north subarea waters $366-549 \mathrm{~m}$ deep (mean length being 35.8 cm , spring; and 39.4 cm , autumn), but the majority of samples were obtained from shallower waters and average size was smaller (mean length being 29.8 cm , spring: and 28.8 cm , autumn). Sablefish Age Composition

Spring sablefish population estimates by age, year class, and depth stratum, and for the comparative (183-549 m) survey area are summarized in Tables 16-18. Autumn population estimates are presented by depth stratum in Tables 35-37 and estimates are presented for the comparative 183-549 m area in Table 44.

The 1979-82 year classes (83\% and $68 \%$ of the respective spring and autumn sablefish population estimates) were dominant in the intermediate depth stratum (183-366 m) during both surveys
(Tables 18 and 36). The 1978 and 1979 year classes (58\%) were most prominent in the spring, and the 1978-80 year classes (54\%) were dominant in the autumn in waters $366-549 \mathrm{~m}$ deep (Tables 19 and 37). Tables 20 and 44 summarize the spring and autumn population estimates for the combined intermediate and deep strata because age data were not obtained from the shallow (110-183 m) stratum during the spring survey. The 1978-82 year classes accounted for $84 \%$ of the estimated spring sablefish population, and the 1977-82 year classes accounted for $86 \%$ of the autumn population in waters $183-549 \mathrm{~m}$ deep.

## DISCUSSION

Past AFSC resource assessment surveys along the west coast have focused on obtaining distribution and abundance data characteristic of continental shelf populations (Dark et al. 1983; Weinberg et al. 1984; Coleman 1986). These surveys did not concentrate on obtaining comprehensive information on the groundfish species which primarily inhabit continental slope waters. Groundfish stocks from shallower waters have undergone increased exploitation, and commercial trawling operations have been gradually extended into deeper waters. Recent increases in commercial landings of sablefish, Dover sole, arrowtooth flounder, and several slope rockfish species prompted the need for increased information regarding these resources.

The 1984 spring and autumn groundfish surveys of the upper continental slope provided current, noncommercial data on demersal trawl catches. The data are useful indicators of
population characteristics within the limitations of the survey's design. We compared the results of the two surveys in order to examine the distribution and abundance characteristics of the populations of commercial and potentially commercial groundfish resources inhabiting slope waters off the coast of Oregon. We examined species composition, frequency of occurrence, relative abundance, geographic and bathymetric distribution, biomass, size composition, and sablefish age composition and vertical distribution by depth, geographic subarea, and (where appropriate) by season.

The successful completion of both surveys demonstrates the practicability of using demersal trawl gear to sample groundfish populations in the deep waters on the topographically complex terrain of the west coast continental slope.

## Factors Affecting Sampling

## Trawling Operations

Bathymetric and geographic coverage of waters up to 549 m deep was the same during both surveys: however, waters greater than 549 m deep were only sampled during the autumn.

Spring and autumn survey vessel sizes and sampling gears were dissimilar. The Soviet vessel was 2.6 times longer than the U.S. vessel and generated 2.4 times the horsepower. The horizontal opening of the Soviet trawl was 1.3 times that of the U.S. Noreastern trawl. The vertical opening of the Noreastern trawl was 1.1-1.5 times that of the Soviet trawl. Mesh sizes in the component parts of the Noreastern were 1.3 times the mesh
sizes in the Soviet wing, 1.6 times the size of the Soviet body mesh, 1.6-3.0 times the mesh sizes in the Soviet intermediate, and 3.0 times the mesh size of the Soviet codend. The U.S. codend liner was 1.1 times the size of the Soviet codend. The Noreastern trawl was fished with a codend liner and roller gear. This gear was closely attached to the trawl footrope so as to keep the footrope in close contact with the bottom and to prevent escapement beneath the trawl. The Soviet trawl was fished without a codend liner and used roller gear constructed of alternating sections of large and small bobbins. Consequently, we believe the Soviet gear had the greater potential for allowing groundfish escapement under the footrope. We also believe the Soviet gear had the greater potential for retaining smaller individuals given its smaller mesh sizes.

Because comparative trawl data for the two survey vessels and their trawl gears were unavailable, we were unable to establish relative fishing power coefficients for the survey vessels. Consequently, we have not attempted to compare biomass estimates between surveys. Nonetheless, we examined seasonal differences in sea surface and bottom water temperatures and in species composition, frequency of occurrence, population size composition, and sablefish age composition, recognizing that different trawl efficiencies could confound some comparisons.

Some of the limitations of the sampling gears used during the surveys should be noted. First, use of demersal trawls precluded sampling components of fish populations that inhabited
waters more than 6 m off bottom during the spring and 9.2 m off bottom during the autumn. This gear efficiency influenced the sampling of slope rockfish species which are known to respond to diurnal movements of prey organisms (Feldman and Rose 1981). Also, since sablefish feed on a variety of benthic and pelagic organisms and appear to make significant vertical movements, only a portion of the population was sampled by the bottom trawl. The effects of this bias may be mitigated by the characteristic behavior of these species to move closer to the bottom during the hours of daylight when all our samples were obtained.

Second, some areas were unsuitable for bottom trawling, and stocks in these areas are inadequately represented in the survey results. Third, flatfish and other species that are primarily demersal may have been undersampled during the spring due to the escapement of fish under the Soviet net. Escapement may have a gear selection component associated with the different behavioral characteristics of individual groundfish species (Carrothers 1981). Differences in mesh sizes between the Soviet and U.S. nets and the use of a codend liner during the autumn survey also were contributing factors to the seasonal differences noted previously.

## Sablefish Traps

Pruter et al. (1971) used vertically deployed fish traps to investigate sablefish vertical distribution. They found the traps to be highly selective for sablefish, with 145 fish being taken from 28 trap sets. They also found that most sablefish
were taken in traps set within 3.7 m of the bottom. Our attempt to utilize traps of similar construction to investigate sablefish vertical distribution during the autumn survey was not successful.

The reasons for our lack of success are uncertain. Commercial trap fishermen soak their traps in seawater for several weeks prior to use to obtain better catch rates. Because our traps were constructed of new materials and could not be soaked prior to use during the survey, we believe the lack of trap conditioning may have contributed to our low catch rates. Otherwise, the traps we used were constructed of identical materials and in the same cloverleaf design as those used during the 1970 study.

In Pruter et al.'s study in 1970, traps were usually fished from 10 to 13 hours, whereas in 1984 the traps were fished 11 to 14 hours prior to retrieval. Chopped herring was used for bait during both surveys. During the 1970 survey, traps were set in waters 384-421 m deep, and in 1984 we fished strings in waters 110, 379, 647, and 915 m deep. We also used light-wire, freeswinging tunnel triggers during 1984 to reduce escapement when it became obvious that the traps were not catching fish. Because catch rates did not increase after triggers were installed, we concluded that fish simply were not entering the traps.

Groundfish Population Characteristics
Primary target species for the 1984 slope surveys were Dover sole, arrowtooth flounder, and sablefish. Secondary target
species were Tanner crab and the slope rockfishes: Pacific ocean perch; darkblotched, sharpchin, and splitnose rockfish; and shortspine and longspine thornyhead.

Dover sole was characterized by a wide bathymetric distribution with samples being taken from the entire range of depths sampled during both spring and autumn surveys. The species shows a strong seasonal inshore and offshore movement. Tagging studies (Barss and Demory 1988) show that Dover sole move into deep water in the fall where they concentrate for spawning from November through March. In the summer they move inshore and disperse for feeding. During the 1984 surveys, the availability (CPUE) of Dover sole was highest during the autumn (the largest catches were from waters $549-732 \mathrm{~m}$ deep) and lowest in the spring. Similar trends in Dover sole abundance and availability by season and depth were reported by Alton (1972) who also noted a larger size range of Dover sole in shallower waters (91-320 m) than in deeper waters. We also noted an increase in mean size of autumn versus spring fish which may reflect both the seasonal spawning migration of the species into deeper waters during the winter (Barss and Demory 1988), and variability in gear selectivity caused by differences in trawl mesh sizes. Spring and autumn catches were dominated by males, although of the females taken a larger percentage was taken in the autumn, particularly from deeper waters. This supports the conclusions of Barss and Demory (1988) that juveniles and females primarily
undertake inshore-offshore movements, whereas mature males remain in the deep water spawning areas throughout the year.

Arrowtooth flounder were found in waters of moderate depth (110-549 m) during both spring and autumn surveys. The autumn catches, though small in terms of overall mean CPUE, were consistently larger than spring catches. Alverson et al. (1964) and Alton (1972) attributed shifts in availability of arrowtooth flounder from deep water in the winter to shallower depths of the continental shelf in the summer to reproductive and feeding cycles. Spawning on the west coast occurs on the upper slope $(366 \mathrm{~m})$ from December to March (Fargo et al. 1981). We believe that differences in trawl gears used during the 1984 surveys contributed to the observed decrease in catch of this species during the spring survey. Arrowtooth flounder mean length increased with depth during both surveys. This was consistent with Hosie's (1976) observations of Oregon coast arrowtooth flounder that adults were most abundant at depths of 146 to 219 m and juveniles from 91 to 183 m .

Sablefish had a bathymetric distribution similar to Dover sole and were found throughout all depths sampled during both surveys. Sablefish migrate up the continental slope into shallower shelf and slope waters during the summer upwelling and move back into deeper waters in October (Klein 1984). During 1984, spring sablefish catches in waters 183-549 m deep were similar in magnitude to autumn catches in waters $549-732 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Also, during the spring, immature (smaller that 43 cm ) fish were
abundant in shallower waters and the number of males and females were approximately equal. Mature fish were taken primarily in deeper waters and catches were also equally divided by sex. During the autumn, immature fish were found in shallow (110-183 m) waters with the number of males and females equal, but in deeper water the larger fish were predominantly males. These results are similar to those of Alton (1972) who also found that the large, older fish inhabit the deeper portion of the overall bathymetric range.

Rockfish species are noted for their extremely contagious distributions and the large variances and wide confidence intervals associated with mean catch rates and abundance estimates determined from trawl catch data (Wilkins and Weinberg 1987). A large number of tows are required to reduce the effects of this variability. However, during the 1984 slope surveys the number of tows was restricted because of limited time and the extended depth range we wanted to sample. Differences observed in the abundance, size composition, and distribution of Pacific ocean perch and darkblotched, sharpchin, and splitnose rockfish are related to the contagious. nature of these species. Differences in the selectivity of the trawl gears used for each survey and differences in the schooling and behavioral characteristics of these species were also contributing factors.

We believe the data obtained from the 1984 spring and autumn surveys provide useful, current information about the groundfish
resources that inhabit the upper continental slope off the Oregon coast. The distribution, abundance, species composition, size, age (sablefish only), and length-weight data presented provide reasonable characterizations of target populations within the survey area given the limitations of the sampling gear and survey design. These data are fishery independent and should prove to be more representative than data derived from commercial sources since commercial fisheries select fish the basis of size and marketability.

The 1984 slope survey work indicates that it may be feasible to use demersal trawl gear to assess abundance and geographic and bathymetric distribution and to track periodic changes in the population characteristics of target populations if a standardized approach is utilized. Future investigations are needed to extend survey coverage to the Washington and northern California coasts because of the increased commercial interest and exploitation these areas are experiencing.

Investigations should also be continued to establish whether sablefish are entirely demersal, and if not, to establish the percentage of the population that resides off-bottom. Once this is clarified, it will be possible to improve the precision of population estimates because sampling effort can be better allocated to increase the efficiency of sampling the target population. We also believe that a passive (i.e., nonbaited) sampling gear such as a sunken gill-net might be effectively used to investigate this question.

Future studies should also attempt to characterize the physical structure of the sea floor, including its inclination and composition (e.g., rock, cobble, sand, and mud) in an effort to establish whether particular groundfish species of the continental slope are more likely to be associated with distinct bottom habitat types. Such information would also assist in establishing more efficient and precise survey designs and sampling patterns.

Table 1.-- Geographic areas $\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right) *$ of depth strata used during the spring and autumn 1984 west coast upper continental slope trawl surveys.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & \text { stratum } \\ & (m / f m) \end{aligned}$ | Total area | $\begin{gathered} \text { south } \\ \text { subarea } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { North } \\ \text { subarea } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 110-183/60-100 | 4,705 | 2,517 | 2,188 |
| 183-366/100-200 | 3, 144 | 1,144 | 2,000 |
| 366-549/200-300 | 3,139 | 839 | 2,300 |
| 549-732/300-400 | 1,680 | 735 | 945 |
| 732-915/400-500 | 1.293 | 496 | 797 |
| Total | 13,961 | 5,731 | 8, 230 |

"Latitudinal limits of geographic areas: total area $=43^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ $45^{\circ} 45^{\prime N}$; south subarea $=43^{\circ} 00^{\prime} N-44^{\circ} 22.5^{\prime N}$; north subarea $=$ $44^{\circ} 22.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-45^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$.

Table 2.--Demersal trawl gears used during the 1984 west coast upper continental slope surveys.

| Vessel | Headrope length (m) | Footrope length (m) | Mesh size |  |  |  | Accessory gear |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | wing and body (mm) | Inter. mediate (mm) | codend <br> (mm) | Codend <br> liner <br> (mm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Door } \\ & \text { width and } \\ & \text { length (m) } \end{aligned}$ | Dandyline length (m) |
| Poseydon | 31.0 | 45.0 | $100 / 80^{2}$ | $55 / 30^{3}$ | 30 | none | $6.0 \times 6.0$ | 60.0 |
| Half Moon Bay | y 27.4 | 32.0 | 127 | 89 | 89 | 32 | $1.8 \times 2.7$ | 54.9 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Trawl doors used on the poseydon weighed approximately $1,750 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{(3,860} \mathrm{lb)} \mathrm{each;} \mathrm{doors}$ used on the Half Moon Bay weighed approximately $907 \mathrm{~kg}(2,000$ (b) each. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Net mesh tapers from 100 mm to 80 mm . $3_{\text {Net }}$ mesh tapers from 55 mm to 30 mm . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.--Components utilized in assembling vertically deployed
sablefish trap strings ${ }^{1}$ used during the 1984 autumn west
coast upper continental slope survey.

| Mainline components | Deployment depth (m) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 110 | 379 | 647 | 915 |
| 200 lb anchor and chain | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Sablefish traps ${ }^{2}$ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Bait jars ${ }^{3}$ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 50 fm shots polypropylene line ${ }^{4}$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 11 |
| 50 fm shots nylon line ${ }^{5}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Surface buoy, flag, and light array | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

[^1]Table 4...Number of length-frequency measurements obtained during the 1984 spring and autumn west coast upper continental slope surveys.

| Species | $\begin{aligned} & \text { spring } \\ & \text { survey } \end{aligned}$ | Autumn survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arrowtooth flounder |  | 483 |
| Pacifichalibut | 25 | 23 |
| English sole | 200 |  |
| Dover sole | 823 | 6.767 |
| Rex sole |  | 357 |
| Sablefish | 2,437 | 5,466 |
| Jack mackerel | 447 | 22 |
| chub mackerel | 409 |  |
| Pacific whiting | 1,148 | 944 |
| shortspine thornyhead | - - | 1, 235 |
| Longspine thornyhead |  | 1,562 |
| Pacific ocean perch | 453 | 1,043 |
| Darkblotched rockfish | 598 | 1,728 |
| Splitnoserockfish | 752 | 35 |
| Greenstriped rockfish | 306 | -. |
| Yellowtail rockfish | 123 |  |
| Canary rockfish | - - | 143 |
| Redstripe rockfish | - | 54 |
| Stripetail rockfish | 73 | - - |
| Sharpchin rockfish | - - | 924 |
| Total | 7,794 | 20,786 |



Table 6.-- List of fish taxa collected and their observed bathymetric distribution during the
1984 spring West Coast upper continental slope groundfish survey.

| Scientific name ${ }^{1}$ | Cormon name ${ }^{9}$ | Depth stratum (m) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 110-183 | 183-366 | 366-549 ${ }^{2}$ |
| Petromyzontidae |  |  |  |  |
| Lampetra tridentata | Pacific lamprey |  |  | ------- |
| Scyliorhinidae |  |  |  |  |
| Apristurus brunneus | Brown cat shark |  | ---... | -..--- |
| Squalidae |  |  |  |  |
| Squalus acanthias | Spiny dogfish | ...---- | . | ----- |
| Rajidae |  |  |  |  |
| Raja spp. | Skates | ----. | ----- | --.... |
| R. kincaidi | Bering skate | -..---- |  |  |
| R. rhina | Longnose skate | ---. | ---- | --.--- |
| Chimaeridae |  |  |  |  |
| Hydrolagus solliei | Spotted ratfish | -----. | , | ---..- |
| Bothidae |  |  |  |  |
| Citharichthys sordidus | Pacific sanddab | ------- |  | ------ |
| Pleuronectidae ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Atheresthes stomias | Arrowtcoth flounder | -...-... |  |  |
| Eopsetta iordani | Petrale sole | ----... |  |  |
| Errex zachirus | Rex sole |  |  |  |
| Hippoglossoides elassodon | Flarhead sole |  |  |  |
| Hippoglossus stenolepis | Pacific halibut |  |  |  |
| Lyopsetta exilis | Slender sole |  |  |  |
| Microstomus pacificus | Dover sole |  |  |  |
| Pleuronectes verulus | English sole |  |  |  |
| Agonidae ${ }^{3}$ | Poachers |  | ----... |  |
| Xeneretmus latifrons | Blacktip poacher |  | ....-.- |  |
| Clupeidae |  |  |  |  |
| Alosa sapidissima | American shad | ------- | ....- |  |
| Clupea harangus pallasi | Pacific herring | ------ |  |  |
| Sardinops sagax | Pacific sardine | ------ |  |  |
| Embiotocidae |  |  |  |  |
| Cymatogaster aggregata | Shiner perch | .-..... |  |  |
| Engraulidae |  |  |  |  |
| Engraul is mordax | Northern anchovy | ------- | ....-- |  |
| Bathylagidae |  |  |  |  |
| Bathylagus milleri ${ }^{4}$ | Robust blacksmelt |  |  | ------- |

Table 6.-- Continued.

| Scientific name ${ }^{1}$ | Cormon name ${ }^{1}$ | Depth stratum (m) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 110-183 | 183-366 | 366-549 ${ }^{2}$ |
| Chauliodontidae |  |  |  |  |
| Chauliodus macouni | Pacific viperfish |  |  | -.----- |
| Carangidae |  |  |  |  |
| Trachurus symmetricus | Jack mackerel | ------ |  |  |
| Cottidae | Sculpins | ------- |  | ------- |
| Icelinus filamentosus | Threadfin sculpin | ------- | --.--- |  |
| 1. temuis | Spotfin sculpin |  | ----.-- |  |
| Gadidiae |  |  |  |  |
| Iheragra chalcogramma | Walleye pollock | - | --..--- |  |
| Hexagramidae |  |  |  |  |
| Ophiodon elongatus | Lingcod | .-.... | ----- | ----.- |
| Anopl opomat idae |  |  |  |  |
| Anoplogoma fimbria | Sablefish | -...-. |  | -....- |
| Cyclopteridae ${ }^{3}$ | Snailfishes |  |  | ----..- |
| Careproctus sp. | Snailfish |  | -...-.. | ---.-- |
| c. melanurus | Blacktail snailfish |  |  |  |
| Melanostomilidae |  |  |  |  |
| Tactostoma macropus | Longfin dragonfish |  |  | -----. |
| Myctophidae ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Lampanyctus regal is | Pinpoint lampfish |  |  | .......- |
| Stenobrachius leucopsarus | Northern lampfish |  |  | ------ |
| Paralepididae |  |  |  |  |
| Notolepis risso ${ }^{4}$ | Ribbon barracudina |  |  | -.-.--- |
| Nemichthyididae |  |  |  |  |
| Nemichthys scolopaceus | Slender snipe eel |  | --..-. | .-..--- |
| Searsiidae |  |  |  |  |
| Sagamichthys abei ${ }^{4}$ | Shining tubeshoulder |  | ----- | ---- |
| Cryptacanthodidae |  |  |  |  |
| byconectes aleutensis | Dwarf wrymouth | - |  |  |
| Merlucci idae |  |  |  |  |
| Merluccius productus | Pacific whiting |  |  |  |

Table 6. -- Conti nued.

| Scientific name ${ }^{1}$ | Cormon name ${ }^{1}$ | Depth stratum (m) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 110-183 | 183-366 | $366-549^{2}$ |
| Osmeridae ${ }^{3}$ | Smelt | ------- |  |  |
| Thaleichthys pacificus | Eulachon | ...... | ..... |  |
| Salmonidae |  |  |  |  |
| Oncorhynchus tshawytscha | Chinook salmon | .-...-. | --.... |  |
| Scombridae ${ }^{3}$ | Mackerel | ---- | ----- |  |
| Scomber japonicus | Chub mackerel | ------ |  |  |
| Sternoptychidae ${ }^{\text {3,4 }}$ | Hatchetfishes |  |  | ------ |
| Trachipteridae |  |  |  |  |
| Trachipterus altivelis | King-of-the-salmon | --.-... |  |  |
| Zoarcidae ${ }^{3}$ | Eelpouts | ---- | ---- | ------ |
| Aprodon cortezianus | Bigfin eelpout |  | .-... | --... |
| Scorpaenidae |  |  |  |  |
| Sebastes spp. | Rockfish |  |  | -...... |
| S. aleutianus | Rougheye rockfish | ----- | -- | ---... |
| S. alutus | Pacific ocean perch |  | --- | ----- |
| S. aurora | Aurora rockfish |  | ----- |  |
| S. babcocki | Recbanded rockfish | -.-.... | ----- | -....- |
| S. boreal is | Shortraker rockfish |  | ------ |  |
| S. brevispinis | Silvergray rockfish | ----- | , |  |
| S. crameri | Darkblotched rockfish | --.-.- | ---- |  |
| S. diploproa | Splitnose rockfish |  | --...-. |  |
| S. elongatus | Greenstriped rockfish | ---.... | ---- |  |
| S. entomelas | Widow rockfish | ------- | ...--. |  |
| S. flavidus | Yellowtail rockfish | -----. | ------- |  |
| S. goodei | Chilipepper |  |  |  |
| S. helvomaculatus | Rosethorn rockfish | ..... | . |  |
| S. jordani | Shortbelly rockfish | ........ |  |  |
| S. paucispinis | Bocaceio | .-...... | ----- |  |
| S. pinniger | Canary rockfish | --..-.- | -..... |  |
| S. proriger | Redstripe rockfish | ------- | .-...- |  |
| S. reedi | Yellowmouth rockfish |  | -....... |  |
| S. ruberrimus | Yelloweye rockfish |  | -...... |  |
| S. saxicola | Stripetail rockfis | .-..-. |  |  |
| S. wilsoni | Pygmy rockfish |  | -.----- |  |
| S. zacentrus | Sharpchin rockfish |  |  |  |

[^2]Table 7.-- List of invertebrate taxa collected and their observed bathymetric distribution during the 1984 spring West Coast upper continental slope groundfish survey.

| Scientific name ${ }^{1}$ | Common name ${ }^{1}$ | Depth stratum (m) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 110-183 | 183-366 | $366.549^{2}$ |
| Arthropoda ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Pandalidae | Pandal id shrimp | ------- | ------- | -...--- |
| Cancridae |  |  |  |  |
| Cancer magister | Dungeness crab | -.---.- | ------- |  |
| Paguridae | Hermit crab | -- |  | ---.--- |
| Lithodidae |  |  |  |  |
| Lopholithodes foraminatus | Box crab | ------- | ------ | --.---- |
| Mollusca ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Gastropoda:Prosobranchia ${ }^{1}$ | Snails |  |  | --..--- |
| Cephalopoda: Octopadidae | Octopus | ---...- |  | ---.--- |
| Cephalopoda:Sepiolidae | Squid | - |  | ---- |
| Cephalopoda:Gonat idae |  |  |  |  |
| Loligo opalescens | Market squid | ------ |  | ------- |
| Echinodermata |  |  |  |  |
| Asteroidea ${ }^{5}$ | Seastars | - |  |  |
| Ech inoidea | Sea urchins | -...-... |  |  |
| Holothuroidea | Sea cucumbers |  | - ---. |  |
| Porifera | Sponges |  |  | ...-.... |

1Nomencl at ure from Kozl off (1974) unl ess otherwise noted.
${ }^{2}$ Poseydon carried only enough traw cable to fish to 549 m
${ }^{3}$ Nomenclature for shrimp from Butler (1980).
${ }^{4}$ Nomenclature for mollusca from Abbott (1974) unl ess otherwise noted.
${ }^{5}$ Nomenclature for seastars from Fisher (1911, 1928, 1930).

Table 8... Summary of average catch per unit fishing effort (kg/km) for major taxonomic groups during the spring 1984 west coast upper continental slope trawl survey'.

| Taxa ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | Mean Cpue ${ }^{2}$ for entire survey area | Proportion of total catch | Mean CPUE by depth stratum (m) |  |  | Proportion of total catch by depth (m) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 110 | 183. | 366- | 110- | 183 - | 366 - |
|  |  |  | 183 | 366 | 549 | 183 | 366 | 549 |
| Squalidae | 0.46 | 0.001 | 0.13 | 0.51 | 0.91 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.004 |
| Rajidae | 0.33 | 0.001 | 0.01 | 0.55 | 0.60 | $<0.001$ | 0.001 | 0.003 |
| Bothidae | 6.68 | 0.019 | 15.51 | ... | 0.14 | 0.073 | ... | 0.001 |
| Pleuronectidae | 24.09 | 0.068 | 19.77 | 27.10 | 27.54 | 0.093 | 0.039 | 0.126 |
| Anoplopomatidae | 41.81 | 0.118 | 4.71 | 63.10 | 76.09 | 0.022 | 0.090 | 0.348 |
| Hexagrammidae | 0.85 | 0.002 | 1.68 | 0.38 | 0.06 | 0.008 | 0.001 | <0.001 |
| Merlucciidae | 193.51 | 0.548 | 81.35 | 504.40 | 50.19 | 0.380 | 0.724 | 0.230 |
| Zoarcidae | 0.19 | 0.001 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.49 | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | 0.002 |
| Carangidae | 22.67 | 0.064 | 52.92 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.248 | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ |
| scombridae | 2.73 | 0.008 | 6.30 | 0.11 |  | 0.030 | $<0.001$ |  |
| Scorpaenidae | 53.32 | 0.151 | 18.91 | 98.10 | 60.05 | 0.089 | 0.140 | 0.275 |
| Sebastes spp. | 45.73 | 0.129 | 18.90 | 89.55 | 42.06 | 0.089 | 0.128 | 0.193 |
| Sebastolobus spp. | . 7.59 | 0.021 | 0.01 | 8.55 | 17.99 | $<0.001$ | 0.012 | 0.082 |
| other fish | 5.45 | 0.015 | 70.19 | 1.80 | 1.00 | 0.328 | 0.003 | 0.005 |
| Total fish | 352.09 | 0.996 | 212.29 | 696.06 | 217.07 | 0.994 | 0.999 | 0.994 |
| Porifera | 0.24 | 0.001 |  |  | 0.83 |  | --. | 0.004 |
| Crustacea | 0.13 | $<0.001$ | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.001 | $<0.001$ | <0.001 |
| Total crabs | 0.09 | $<0.001$ | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.001 | $<0.001$ | <0.001 |
| Total shrimps | 0.04 | $<0.001$ | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.06 | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | <0.001 |
| Mollusca. |  | 0.002 | 1.12 | 0.29 |  | 0.005 | $<0.001$ | 0.002 |
| Gastropoda | $t r^{3}$ | $<0.001$ |  | --. | $t r^{3}$ |  |  | <0.001 |
| Cephalopoda | 0.71 | 0.002 | 1.12 | 0.29 | 0.52 | 0.005 | <0.001 | 0.002 |
| Echinodermata | 0.01 | $<0.001$ | .-. | 0.03 | -.. | ... | $<0.001$ | . . - |
| Asteroidea | ... | ..- | --- | ... | --- | -. | .-. | -.. |
| Echinoidea | --- | $\cdots$ | -.. | -. - | --. | -.. | --- | -.. |
| Holothuroidea | 0.01 | $<0.001$ | -- | 0.03 | - |  | $<0.001$ | ... |
| Total invertebrates | s 1.09 | 0.004 | 1.29 | 0.47 | 1.42 | 0.006 | 0.001 | 0.006 |
| Total eatch | 353.14 | 1.000 | 213.56 | 696.52 | 218.49 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total effort (km) | 150.8 |  | 30.1 | 56.3 | 64.5 |  |  |  |
| Total trawls | 54 |  | 11 | 21 | 22 |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ See Figure 1.
${ }^{2}$ Mean CPUE and proportion of total catch for the overall (110.549 m) spring survey area.
$3 \mathrm{tr}=$ CPUE <0.01 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$.

Table 9 , . The 25 most common fish taxa recorded during the spring 1984 west coast continental slope demersal trawle survey, in order of percentage frequency of occurrence.

| Rank | species coder | ```All strata combined``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & \hline 110 \\ & 183 \end{aligned}$ | stratum* (m) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { strata } \\ & \text { south } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Alt } \\ \text { strata } \\ \text { north } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 183- | 366 - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 366 | 549 |  |  |
| 1 | Sablefish | 96.3 | 90.9 | 95.2 | 100.0 | 91.7 | 100.0 |
| 2 | Pacific whiting | 90.7 | 90.9 | 85.7 | 95.5 | 91.7 | 90.0 |
| 3 | Rex sole | 87.0 | 90.9 | 95.2 | 77.3 | 91.7 | 83.3 |
| 4 | Dover sole | 79.6 | 54.5 | 81.0 | 90.9 | 75.0 | 83.3 |
| 5 | Arrowtooth flounder | 79.6 | 54.5 | 90.5 | 81.8 | 70.8 | 86.7 |
| 6 | Shortspine thornyhead | d 75.9 | 9.1 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 83.3 |
| 7 | Pacific ocean perch | 59.3 |  | 71.4 | 77.3 | 50.0 | 66.7 |
| 8 | Darkblotched rockfish | h 59.3 | 27.3 | 81.0 | 54.5 | 58.3 | 60.0 |
| 9 | Redbanded rockfish | 57.4 | 18.2 | 71.4 | 63.6 | 62.5 | 53.3 |
| 10 | slender sole | 55.6 | 72.7 | 76.2 | 27.3 | 58.3 | 53.3 |
| 11 | Splitnose rockfish | 48.1 |  | 71.4 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 46.7 |
| 12 | Greenstriped rockfish | ค 37.0 | 45.5 | 57.1 | 13.6 | 45.8 | 30.0 |
| 13 | American shad | 37.0 | 81.8 | 52.4 | -.. | 45.8 | 30.0 |
| 14 | English sole | 33.3 | 81.8 | 33.3 | 9.1 | 54.2 | 16.7 |
| 15 | Spotted ratfish | 31.5 | 27.3 | 28.6 | 36.4 | 29.2 | 33.3 |
| 16 | Bigfin eelpout | 27.8 |  | 19.0 | 50.0 | 33.3 | 23.3 |
| 17 | Aurora rockfish | 25.9 |  | 9.5 | 54.5 | 16.7 | 33.3 |
| 18 | Spiny dogfish | 24.1 | 18.2 | 38.1 | 13.6 | 29.2 | 20.0 |
| 19 | Petrale sole | 24.1 | 63.6 | 14.3 | 13.6 | 25.0 | 23.3 |
| 20 | Pacific halibut | 24.1 | 36.4 | 33.3 | 9.1 | 20.8 | 26.7 |
| 21 | Rougheye rockfish | 22.2 |  | 9.5 | 45.5 | 12.5 | 30.0 |
| 22 | Unidentified eelpout | 20.4 | 18.2 | 14.3 | 27.3 | 25.0 | 16.7 |
| 23 | Rosethorn rockfish | 20.4 | 9.1 | 14.3 | 31.8 | 20.8 | 20.0 |
| 24 | Pacific sanddab | 20.4 | 90.9 | --- | 4.5 | 25.0 | 16.7 |
| 25 | Brown cat shark | 20.4 | -- | 9.5 | 40.9 | 29.2 | 13.3 |
|  | Total trawls | 54 | 11 | 21 | 22 | 24 | 30 |

[^3] 1984 west coast upper continental slopecrawl survey, in order of observed abundance, all depth strata 110.549 m ( 60.300 fm) combined'.

| R a nk | Taxon | $\begin{gathered} C P U E \\ (k g / k m)^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Proportion of } \\ & \text { total CpuE } \end{aligned}$ | cumulative proportion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Pacific whiting | 191.65 | 0.546 | 0.546 |
| 2 | Sablefish | 41.85 | 0.119 | 0.665 |
| 3 | Jack mackerel | 22.65 | 0.065 | 0.730 |
| 4 | Darkblotched rockfish | 22.33 | 0.064 | 0.793 |
| 5 | Rex sole | 9.33 | 0.027 | 0.820 |
| 6 | Dover sole | 8.06 | 0.023 | 0.843 |
| 7 | shortspine thornyhead | 7.63 | 0.022 | 0.864 |
| 8 | Pacific sanddab | 6.68 | 0.019 | 0.883 |
| 9 | Splitnose rockfish | 6.41 | 0.018 | 0.902 |
| 10 | pacific ocean perch | 5.46 | 0.016 | 0.917 |
| 11 | Yellowtail rockfish | 5.26 | 0.015 | 0.932 |
| 12 | Pacificherring | 3.07 | 0.009 | 0.941 |
| 13 | Chub mackerel | 2.70 | 0.008 | 0.949 |
| 14 | Arrowtooth flounder | 2.44 | 0.007 | 0.956 |
| 15 | Greenstriped rockfish | 2.34 | 0.007 | 0.962 |
| 16 | English sole | 1.74 | 0.005 | 0.967 |
| 17 | Pacific halibut | 1.12 | 0.003 | 0.970 |
| 18 | Slender sole | 1.02 | 0.003 | 0.973 |
| 19 | American shad | 0.93 | 0.003 | 0.976 |
| 20 | Rougheye rockfish | 0.92 | 0.003 | 0.979 |

[^4]Table 11...The 20 most abundant fish taxa recorded during the spring 1984 west coast upper continental slopecrawleurvey, in order of observed abundance, 110.183 m (60.100 fm) ${ }^{1}$.

| R a n k | Taxon | $\begin{gathered} C P \cup E \\ (k g / k m)^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Proportion of frot cpue } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | Cumulative proportion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Pacificthiting | 81.35 | 0.381 | 0.381 |
| 2 | Jack mackerel. | 52.92 | 0.248 | 0.629 |
| 3 | Pacific sanddab | 15.51 | 0.073 | 0.701 |
| 4 | Yellowtail rockfish | 11.94 | 0.056 | 0.757 |
| 5 | Rex sole | 9.97 | 0.047 | 0.804 |
| 6 | Pacificherring | 7.18 | 0.034 | 0.838 |
| 7 | Chub mackerel | 6.30 | 0.030 | 0.867 |
| 8 | Sablefish | 4.71 | 0.022 | 0.889 |
| 9 | Greenstriped rockfish | 4.10 | 0.019 | 0.908 |
| 10 | English sole | 2.76 | 0.013 | 0.921 |
| 11 | Dover sole | 2.60 | 0.012 | 0.933 |
| 12 | Eulachon | 1.80 | 0.008 | 0.942 |
| 13 | Lingeod | 1.68 | 0.008 | 0.950 |
| 14 | American shad | 1.42 | 0.007 | 0.956 |
| 15 | Arrowtooth flounder | 1.31 | 0.006 | 0.962 |
| 16 | Darkblotched rockfish | 1.27 | 0.006 | 0.968 |
| 17 | slender sole | 1.21 | 0.006 | 0.974 |
| 18 | Pacific halibut | 1.08 | 0.005 | 0.979 |
| 19 | Canary rockfish | 0.91 | 0.004 | 0.988 |
| 20 | Petralesole | 0.79 | 0.004 | 0.992 |

${ }^{1}$ See Figure 1.
${ }^{2}$ Overall mean catch per unit effort, kg/kmerawled. Total effort $=30.1 \mathrm{~km}$.
proportion of total mean catch per unit effort, all fish and


Table 12.. $\operatorname{the} 20$ most abundant fish taxa recorded during the spring 1984 west coast upper continental slope trawl survey, in order of observed abundance, 183.366 m (100.200 fm) ${ }^{11}$.

| Rank | Taxon | $\begin{gathered} C P U E \\ (k g / k m)^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { proportion of } \\ & \text { total cpue } \end{aligned}$ | cumulative proportion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Pacific whiting | 504.40 | 0.724 | 0.724 |
| 2 | Darkblotched rockfish | 65.08 | 0.093 | 0.818 |
| 3 | Sablefish | 63.10 | 0.091 | 0.908 |
| 4 | Splitnose rockfish | 12.38 | 0.018 | 0.926 |
| 5 | Rex sole | 9.93 | 0.014 | 0.940 |
| 6 | Shortspine thornyhead | 8.55 | 0.013 | 0.953 |
| 7 | Dover sole | 6.60 | 0.009 | 0.962 |
| 8 | Pacific ocean perch | 5.83 | 0.008 | 0.970 |
| 9 | Arrowtooth flounder | 4.98 | 0.007 | 0.978 |
| 10 | Pacific halibut | 2. 18 | 0.003 | 0.989 |
| 11 | Greenstriped rockfish | 2.03 | 0.003 | 0.983 |
| 12 | English sole | 1.87 | 0.003 | 0.986 |
| 13 | stender sole | 1. 50 | 0.002 | 0.988 |
| 14 | American shad | 1. 14 | 0.002 | 0.990 |
| 15 | Stripetail rockfish | 0.73 | 0.001 | 0.991 |
| 16 | Redbanded rockfish | 0.60 | 0.001 | 0.992 |
| 17 | Aurora rockfish | 0.56 | 0.001 | 0.993 |
| 18 | Yellawtail rockfish | 0.55 | 0.001 | 0.994 |
| 19 | Spiny dogfish | 0.48 | 0.001 | 0.994 |
| 20 | Longnose skate | 0.43 | 0.001 | 0.995 |

${ }^{1}$ see Figure 1.
${ }^{2}$ Overall mean catch per unit effort, kg/km trawled. Total effort $=56.3 \mathrm{~km}$.
Proportion of total mean catch per unit effort, all fish and invertebrates combined. Total mean CPUEq696.50 kg/km.

Table 13...the 20 most abundant fish taxa recorded during the spring 1984 west coast upper continental slope trawl survey, in order of observed abundance, $366.549 \quad \mathrm{~m}(200.300 \mathrm{fm})^{1}$.

| Rank | Taxon | $\begin{gathered} C P U E \\ (k g / k m)^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Proportion of } \\ \text { total cpue } \end{gathered}$ | Cumulative proportion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sablefish | 76.09 | 0.349 | 0.349 |
| 2 | Pacific whiting | 50.99 | 0.230 | 0.579 |
| 3 | Shortspine thornyhead | 17.99 | 0.082 | 0.661 |
| 4 | Dover sole | 17.53 | 0.080 | 0.741 |
| 5 | Pacific ocean perch | 13.15 | 0.060 | 0.802 |
| 6 | Darkblotched rockfish | 11.82 | 0.054 | 0.856 |
| 7 | Splitnose rockfish | 10.07 | 0.046 | 0.902 |
| 8 | Rex sole | 7.82 | 0.036 | 0.938 |
| 9 | Rougheye rockfish | 3.15 | 0.014 | 0.952 |
| 10 | Arrowtooth flounder | 1.64 | 0.007 | 0.960 |
| 11 | shortraker rockfish | 1.45 | 0.007 | 0.966 |
| 12 | Aurora rockfish | 1.33 | 0.006 | 0.972 |
| 13 | Brown cat shark | 0.80 | 0.004 | 0.980 |
| 14 | Redbanded rockfish | 0.79 | 0.004 | 0.984 |
| 15 | Longnose skate | 0.51 | 0.002 | 0.988 |
| 16 | Bigfin eelpout | 0.33 | 0.002 | 0.992 |
| 17 | stender sole | 0.26 | 0.001 | 0.993 |
| 18 | Eelpout unidentified | 0.15 | 0.001 | 0.994 |
| 19 | Pacific sanddab | 0.14 | 0.001 | 0.995 |
| 20 | Pacific halibut | 0.13 | 0.001 | 0.995 |

${ }^{1}$ See Figure 1.
2overall mean catch per unit effort, kg/km trawled. Total effort $=64.5 \mathrm{~km}$.
${ }^{3}$ proportion of total mean catch per unit effort, all fish and invertebrates combined. Total mean CPUE q 218.23 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$.
by depth stratum, observed for major fish species' during
the spring 1984 west coast upper continental slope
groundfish survey, north subarea?



Table 16...Estimated biomass (metric tons) of major species, by depth stratum and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (absolute and as a percentage of the biomass estimatel for the total survey area, from the 1984 spring west coast upper continental slope survey.

| Species | Depth stratum (m) |  |  | Total ${ }^{2}$ | 95\% C | onfidence interval (total) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 110- \\ & 183 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 183- \\ & 366 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 366-1 \\ & 5499 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sablefish | 1,230 | 11,025 | 13,272 | 25,527 | 16,734 |  | 34,320 | ( $+34 \%$ ) |
| Dover sole | 680 | 1,153 | 3,05 | 4,889 | 2,294 |  | 7,484 | ( $+53 \%$ ) |
| Arrowtooth flounder | 343 | 870 | 285 | 1,498 | 1,036 |  | 1,961 | ( $+31 \%$ ) |
| Pacific whiting | 21.269 | 88,128 | 8,753 | 118,150 | 17,381 |  | 218.918 | ( $+85 \%$ ) |
| Rex sole | 2,606 | $1.735^{\text {- }}$ | 1,365 | 5,706 | 2,061 |  | 9.350 | ( $+64 \%$ ) |
| Shortspine thornyhead | 1 | 1,494 | 3,137 | 4,632 | 3,286 |  | 5,978 | ( $+29 \%$ ) |
| Pacific ocean perch | - -- | 1.018 | 2,294 | 3,312 | 1,047 |  | 5,576 | ( $+68 \%$ ) |
| Darkblotched rockfish | 332 | 11,371 | 2,061 | 13.764 | 2,969 |  | 24,558 | ( $+78 \%$ ) |
| Splitnose rockfish | --. | 2,163 | 1,756 | 3,919 | 1,326 |  | 6,512 | ( $+66 \%$ ) |
| Canary rockfish | 238 | 50 |  | 288 | 0 | - | 671 | ( $+133 \%$ ) |
| Redstripe rockfish |  | 12 |  | 12 | 0 |  | 27 | ( $+125 \%$ ) |
| Sharpchin rockfish | -..- | 28 | 2 | 30 | 0 |  | 78 | ( $+160 \%$ ) |
| Greenstriped rockfish | 1,073 | 355 | 9 | 1,437 | 0 |  | 3,471 | ( $+142 \%$ ) |
| Pacific sanddab | 4,054 | -.-- | 25 | 4,079 | 0 |  | 9,870 | ( $+142 \%$ ) |
| Lingcod | 440 | 67 | 11 | 518 | 62 | - | 974 | ( $+88 \%$ ) |
| Chilipepper rockfish |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 2 | ( $+100 \%$ ) |
| Yellowtail rockfish | 3,123 | 95 |  | 3,218 | 0 | - | 9.268 | ( $+188 \%$ ) |
| Stripetail rockfish | 2 | 127 | 2 | 131 | 0 |  | 263 | ( $+101 \%$ ) |
| Bocaccio | 108 | 63 | --- | 171 | 0 |  | 395 | ( $+130 \%$ ) |
| Spiny dogfish | 33 | 84 | 19 | 137 | 32 |  | 241 | ( $+76 \%$ ) |
| English sole | 721 | 327 | 19 | 1,067 | 235 | - | 1,898 | ( $+78 \%$ ) |

 and overall totals. Total area q $43^{\circ} 00^{\prime} N$. $45^{\circ} 45^{\prime} N$ Iat.

```
Table 17, .Percent of estimated biomass of major species,
    by depth stratum, from the 1984 spring west
    coast upper continental slope survey.
```



Table lb, ..population estimates for sablefish by year class and mean length at age during the spring 1984 vest coast upper continental slope survey, 183.366 m (100.200 fm).

| Age | Population |  |  | Mean |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | class | ( $\times 1000$ ) | proportion | proportion | (cm) |
| 1 | 1982 | 3,726 | 0.266 | 0.266 | 31.2 |
| 2 | 1981 | 3,223 | 0.230 | 0.450 | 37.4 |
| 3 | 1980 | 2,210 | 0.158 | 0.653 | 43.7 |
| 4 | 1979 | 2,512 | 0.179 | 0.833 | 48.0 |
| 5 | 1978 | 1, 257 | 0.090 | 0.922 | 51.8 |
| 6 | 1977 | 450 | 0.032 | 0.954 | 54.4 |
| 7 | 1976 | 225 | 0.016 | 0.970 | 58.9 |
| 8 | 1975 | 86 | 0.006 | 0.977 | 55.2 |
| 9 | 1974 | 62 ? | 0.004 | 0.981 | 63.4 |
| 10 | 1973 | 40 | 0.003 | 0.984 | 63.7 |
| 11 | 1972 | 62 | 0.004 | 0.988 | 59.3 |
| 12 | 1971 | 46 | 0.003 | 0.991 | 59.8 |
| 13 | 1970 | 54 | 0.004 | 0.995 | 62.0 |
| 14 | 1969 | 31 | 0.002 | 0.998 | 64.0 |
| 18 | 1965 | 5 | $<0.001$ | 0.998 | 63.0 |
| 19 | 1964 | 3 | $<0.001$ | 0.998 | 68.0 |
| Between key |  |  |  |  |  |
| lengths |  | 27 | $<0.009$ | 1.000 | 66.0 |
|  | Total | 14,018 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 41.5 |

Table 19 ...population estimates for sablefish by year class and mean length at age during the spring 1984 west coast upper continental slope survey, 366.549 m (200.300 fm).


Table 20...population estimates for sablefish by year class and mean length at age during the spring 1984 west coast upper continental slope survey, 183.549 m (100.300 fm)

| Age | Population |  |  |  | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | number |  | cumulat.ive | length |
|  | class | ( $\times 1000$ ) | Proportion | proportion | ( c (I) |
| 1 | 1982 | 3,739 | 0.160 | 0.160 | 31.2 |
| 2 | 1981 | 3,399 | 0.146 | 0.306 | 37.5 |
| 3 | 1980 | 3,405 | 0.146 | 0.452 | 44.5 |
| 4 | 1979 | 5,635 | 0.242 | 0.694 | 48.8 |
| 5 | 1978 | 3,485 | 0.150 | 0.844 | 52.2 |
| 6 | 1977 | 1,474 | 0.063 | 0.907 | 54.5 |
| 7 | 1976 | 765 | 0.033 | 0.940 | 59.5 |
| 8 | 1975 | 281 | 0.012 | 0.952 | 55.8 |
| 9 | 1974 | 176 | 0.008 | 0.960 | 63.6 |
| 10 | 1973 | 116 | 0.005 | 0.964 | 63.9 |
| 11 | 1972 | 208 | 0.009 | 0.973 | 59.2 |
| 12 | 1971 | 198 | 0.009 | 0.982 | 60.7 |
| 13 | 1970 | 194 | 0.008 | 0.990 | 62.0 |
| 14 | 1969 | 66 | 0.003 | 0.993 | 64.0 |
| 18 | 1965 | 34 | 0.001 | 0.994 | 63.0 |
| 19 | 1964 | 28 | 0.001 | 0.996 | 72.0 |
| Between key |  |  |  |  |  |
| lengths |  | 106 | 0.001 | 1.000 | 67.7 |
|  | Total | 23,307 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 45.7 |

*Age samples were not obtained in the 110.183 m (60.100 fm) depth stratum during the spring survey.

Table 21,..List of fish taxa collected and their observed bathymetric distribution during the 1984 a utumn west coast upper continental slope groundfish surveys.

| Scientific name ${ }^{1}$ | Common name ${ }^{1}$ | Depth stratum (m) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 110-183 | 183-366 | 366-549 | 549-732 ${ }^{2}$ | $732-915^{2}$ |
| Myxinidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eptatretus spp. | Hagf ish |  |  |  |  |  |
| E. stouti | Pacific hagfish |  | -..----- |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| Petromyzontidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lampetra tridentata | Pacific lamprey |  | ------ |  |  |  |
| Carcharhinidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Galeorhinus zyopterus | Soupfin shark | ------- |  |  |  |  |
| Scyliorhinidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apristurus brunneus | Brown cat shark | ------- | , |  |  | ....... |
| Squal idae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Squalus acanthias | Spiny dogfish | ......... | ......... | ------ |  |  |
| Rajidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raja binoculata | Big skate | ........ |  |  |  |  |
| R. kincaidi | Sandpaper skate | --- |  |  |  |  |
| R. rhina | Longnose skate | --- |  |  |  |  |
| R. trachura | Roughtail skate |  |  |  | --.... |  |
| Torpedinidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Torpedo californica | Pacific electric ray | ------ | ------ |  |  |  |
| Chimaeridae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydrolagus colliei | Spotted ratfish | -----. | , |  |  |  |
| Bothidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Citharichthys sordidus | Pacific sanddab | --.-- |  |  |  |  |
| Pleuronectidae ${ }^{3}$ | Righteye flounders |  |  | --...- |  |  |
| Atheresthes stomias | Arroutooth flounder | ----... | ------- |  |  |  |
| Embassichthys bathybius | Deepsea sole |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eopsetta jordani | Petrale sole |  | ....... | .... |  |  |
| Errex zachirus | Rex sole |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hippoglossoides elassodon | Flathead sole |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hippoglossus stenolepis. | Pacific halibut | -----. | ........ | ------ |  |  |
| Lyopsetta exilis | slender sole | ------- | .---. | ------ |  |  |
| Microstomus pacificus | Dover sole | -....... |  |  |  |  |
| Pleuronectes vetulus | English sole | ---... | ------ |  |  |  |

Table 21...Continued.

| Scientific name ${ }^{1}$ | Cormon name ${ }^{1}$ | Depth strarum (m) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 110-183 | 183-366 | 366-549 | 549-732 ${ }^{2}$ | 732-915 ${ }^{2}$ |
| Agonidae ${ }^{3}$ | Poachers |  |  | -...--- | ------ |  |
| Agonops is vulsa | Northern spearnose poacher |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bathyagonus alascanus | Gray starsnout | .-..... | - |  |  |  |
| B. nigripinnis | Blackfin poacher |  |  | ------. |  |  |
| Xeneretmus latifrons | Blacktip poacher | -.-.-- | - - . | -..--- |  |  |
| Clupeidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alosa sapidissima | American shad | ----... |  |  |  |  |
| Clupea harengus pallasi | Pacific herring | -...-.-- |  |  |  |  |
| Bathylagidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bathylagus spp. | Deepsea smelts |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. milleri ${ }^{4}$ | Robust blacksmelt |  |  | ------ |  |  |
| Chauliodontidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chauliodus macouni | Pacific viperfish |  |  |  | --.---. | ...--- |
| Carangidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irachurus symmetricus | Jack mackerel | ----... | -...- |  |  |  |
| Macrouridae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Albatrossia pectoralis ${ }^{4}$ | Giant grenadier |  |  |  | --. |  |
| Coryphaenoides acrolepis ${ }^{4}$ | Pacific grenadier |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cottidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lcelinus filamentosus | Threadfin sculpin | ------. | , | ----- |  |  |
| Gadidiae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gadus macrocephalus | Pacific cod | -.---- |  |  |  |  |
| Microgacus proximus | Pacific tomeod | -...... |  |  |  |  |
| Theragra chalcogramma | Walleye pollock |  | -----. |  |  |  |
| Moridae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimora microlepis ${ }^{4}$ | Pacific flatnose |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physiculus rastrelliger ${ }^{4}$ | Hundred- fathom codl ing |  |  |  | ------ |  |
| Hexagrammidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ophiodon elongatus | Lingeod | -..----- | ----- |  |  |  |
| Gonostomat idae ${ }^{\text {3,4 }}$ | Bristlemouths |  |  |  |  | .-..... |
| Al epocephalidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alepocephalus tenebrosus ${ }^{4}$ | California slickhead |  |  |  |  |  |
| Talismania bifurcata ${ }^{4}$ | Threadfin slickhead |  |  |  | -.-.--- |  |
| Anarhichadidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anarrhichthys ocellatus | Wolf-eel |  | -----. |  |  |  |

Table 21...Continued.

| Scientific name ${ }^{1}$ | Cormon name ${ }^{1}$ | Depth stratum (m) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 110-183 | 183-366 | 366.549 | 549-732 ${ }^{2}$ | $732-915^{2}$ |
| Anoplopomatidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anoplopoma fimbria | Sablefish | -..-- | .--- | ----. | .-.-.- | ---.-. |
| Icosteidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lcosteus aenigmaticus | Ragfish |  |  | . |  |  |
| Cyciopteridae ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Careproctus melanurus | Blacktail snailfish |  | ...... | ----- | ----- | ------ |
| Melanostani idae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tactostoma macropus | Longfin dragonfish |  | --..... | ....--- | ---.-. | ------ |
| Myctophidae ${ }^{3}$ | Lanternfishes |  | ----- | , |  | ---...- |
| Diaphus theta | California headlightfish |  |  |  |  | ----.. |
| Lampanyctus ritteri ${ }^{3}$ | Broadfin lanternfish |  | -....-. | ------- |  | --.-... |
| Stenobrachius leucopsarus | Northern lampfish |  |  | ------- |  | ---.... |
| Oneirodidae | Dreamers |  |  |  |  | --..... |
| Paralepididae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lestidiops ringens ${ }^{4}$ | Slender barracudina |  |  |  |  | -----. |
| Merlucci idae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Merluccius productus | Pacific whiting | ----... | ....... |  | .-... | .-... |
| Osmeridae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thaleichthys pacificus | Eulachon | ------- |  |  |  |  |
| Salmonidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oncorhynchus tshawytscha | Chinook salmon | ------- |  |  |  |  |
| Scombr idae ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scomber japonicus | Chub mackerel | --..... |  |  |  |  |
| Stromateidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Icichthys lockingtoni | Medusafish |  |  | ----- |  | $\cdots$ |
| Scomberesocidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cololabis saira | Pacific saury |  |  |  | -...-. | ----- |
| Alepisauridae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alepisaurus ferox | Longnose lancetfish |  |  |  | ------- | ------ |
| Scopel arch idae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benthalbella dentata | Northern pearleye |  |  |  | -.-.... |  |

Table 21,..Continued.

| Scientific name ${ }^{1}$ | Cormon name ${ }^{1}$ | Depth stratum (m) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 110-183 | 183-366 | 366-549 | 549-732 ${ }^{2}$ | 732-915 ${ }^{2}$ |
| Sternoptychidae ${ }^{3,4}$ | Hatchetfishes |  | ------ |  |  |  |
| Trachipteridae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irachipterus altivelis | King-of-the-salmon | ------ | ...-- |  |  |  |
| 2oarcidae ${ }^{3}$ | Eelpouts | ------ | ....- |  |  | ---.... |
| Aprodon cortezianus | Bigfin eelpout | ------ |  | .... | ---... |  |
| Bothrocara brunneum ${ }^{4}$ | Twol ine eelpout |  |  | ----- |  |  |
| Enbryx crotalinus ${ }^{4}$ | Snakehead eelpout |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lycodapus fierasfer | Blackmouth eelpout |  |  |  |  | --..... |
| L. mandibularis | Pallid eelpout |  |  |  | ---... |  |
| Lycodes diapterus | Black eelpout |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lycodopsis pacifica | Blackbelly eelpout |  | ---.... | ------- |  |  |
| Stylephoridae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stylephorus chordatus | Tube-eye |  |  |  |  | ------ |
| Scorpaenidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sebastes spp. | Rockfish |  |  |  | ---.... |  |
| S. aleutianus | Rougheye rockfish | -..... |  |  |  |  |
| s. alutus | Pacific ocean perch | ----- | --. | -...- |  |  |
| S. aurora | Aurora rockfish |  | -....... |  |  |  |
| S. babcocki | Redbanded rockfish | ------- | ....-. | ----.. |  |  |
| S. boreal is | Shortraker rockfish |  |  | ------- |  |  |
| S. brevispinis | Silvergray rockfish | ------ | ...--- |  |  |  |
| S. chlorostictus | Greenspotted rockfish | ------ |  |  |  |  |
| S. crameri | Darkblotched rockfish | ----... | ------ | -...-- |  |  |
| S. diploproa | Splitnose rockfish | - | ....... | ------ |  |  |
| S. elongatus | Greenstriped rockfish | -----. | .......- |  |  |  |
| S. entomelas | Widow rockfish |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. flavidus | Yellowtail rockfish |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. goodei | chilipepper | --.... | ---- |  |  |  |
| S. helvomaculatus | Rosethorn rockfish | . |  | --. |  |  |
| S. iordani | Shortbelly rockfish | --...... | .....-- |  |  |  |
| S. paucispinis | Bocaccio | - | --..- |  |  |  |
| S. pimiger | Canary rockfish | ---..-- | ---- |  |  |  |
| S. proriger | Redstripe rockfish | - | --.-. |  |  |  |
| S. reedi | Yellowmouth rockfish |  | -...--- |  |  |  |
| S. ruberrimus | Yelloweye rockfish | -....-. |  |  |  |  |
| S. saxicola | Stripetail rockfish | .....-- | ----- |  |  |  |
| S. wilsoni | Pygmy rockfish | .-...... | ---- |  |  |  |
| S. zacentrus | Sharpchin rockfish |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 21...Centinued.

| Scientific name ${ }^{1}$ | Common name ${ }^{1}$ | Depth stratum (m) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 110-183 | 183-366 | 366-549 | 549-732 ${ }^{2}$ | $732 \cdot 915^{2}$ |
| Sebastolobue alascanus | Shortspine thornyhead |  |  |  |  |  |
| s. altivelis | Longspine thornyhead |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Nomenclature from Robins (1980) unless otherwise noted.
${ }^{2}$ U.S. vessel Half Moon Bay only.
${ }^{3}$ Common name associated with family name indicates unidentified metiers were encountered.
${ }^{4}$ Nomenclature from Hubbs and Dempster (1979).

Table 22...List of invertebrate taxa collected and their observed bathymetric distributions during the 1984 autumm west coast upper continental slops groundfish survey.

| Scientific name ${ }^{1}$ | Common name ${ }^{1}$ | Depth stratum (m) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 110-183 | 183-366 | 366-549 | 549-732 | 732-915 |
| Cnidaria |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scyphozoa | Jellyfish |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alcyonaria | Soft coral |  | -...-. |  |  | --- |
| Pennatulacea | Sea pen |  | ------ |  |  |  |
| Stylatula gracile ${ }^{3}$ | Roughstem seawhip | -- | .- |  | ------- |  |
| Zoantharia | Sea anenome | ---... |  |  |  | -....- |
| Metridium senile | Sea anenome |  | -. - |  |  |  |
| Annelida |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aphroditidae | Sea mouse | ---.-.- |  |  |  |  |
| Arthropoda ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crangonidae | Crangonid shrimp | ------- |  |  |  |  |
| Pasiphaeidae | Pasiphaeid shrimp |  |  |  | -..-.-- |  |
| Pasiphaea pacifica | Glass shrimp |  | ---. |  |  |  |
| P. tarda | Crimson pasiphaeid |  |  |  | -...-- | ------ |
| Pandalidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pandalus borealis | Pink shrimp | ----.- | ------- |  |  |  |
| P. jordani | Ocean pink shrimp | ------- | ----.-- |  |  |  |
| Pandalopsis dispar | Sidestripe shrimp |  | ------- |  |  |  |
| Opl ophoridae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notostomus japonicus | Spiny ridge shrimp |  |  |  |  | ---- |
| Cancridae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cancer magister | Dungeness crab | ------ | --.-... |  |  |  |
| Majidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chionoecetes tanneri | Tanner crab |  |  |  |  | --- |
| Oregonia bifurca | Crab |  |  |  | -.-.-.- |  |
| Q. gracilis | Decorator crab |  |  | -- |  | ------ |
| Paguridae | Hermit crab | --.-. | ------ |  | ----.- |  |
| Lithodidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lithodes covesi | Deepsea king crab |  |  |  |  | ------ |
| Lopholithodes foraminatus | Box crab |  |  |  |  |  |
| Galatheidae | Galatheid crab |  |  |  |  | ------- |
| Mollusca ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gastropoda:Nudibranichia | Nudibranchs |  | ------- |  | ------- | ------ |
| Tritonia spp. | Tritonid nudibranch | - |  |  | -.-.--- |  |
| Gastropoda: Prosobranchia ${ }^{1}$ | Snails |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bathybembix bairdii | Baird's margarite |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buccinum spp. | Snail |  |  |  |  | ----.- |
| Fusitriton oregonensis | Oregon triton |  | ------- |  |  |  |
| Neptunea amianta | Unspotted whelk |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polinices lewisis | Lewis' moon snail |  | - |  |  |  |
| Cephalopoda: Octopodidae | Dctopus |  |  |  |  | --- |
| Cephalopoda:Opi sthoteuthidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Opisthoteuthis californiana | Flapjack devilfish |  | --- |  |  | - - - - |

Table 22...Continued.

| Scientific name ${ }^{1}$ | Cormon name ${ }^{1}$ | Depth stratum (m) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 110-183 | 183-366 | 366-549 | $549 \cdot 732^{2}$ | $732-915^{2}$ |
| Cephalopoda:Sepiolidae | Squid |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rossia pacifica | Pacific bobtail squid | ---.... |  |  |  |  |
| Cephal opoda:Gonatidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gonatus spp. | Squid |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cephalopoda:Onychoteuth idae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moroteuthis robusta | Giant squid |  | ------ |  |  |  |
| Echinodermata |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asteroidea ${ }^{6}$ | Seastars |  | -.....- | -.-- | ---- |  |
| Ctenodiscus crispatus | Seastar |  | ------- | -.--- |  |  |
| Diplopteraster multipes | Seastar |  | --...-- |  |  | ---- |
| Henricia spp. | Seastar |  | - |  | ----- |  |
| H. aspera | Seastar |  | --.... |  | -..... |  |
| Heterozonias alternatus | Seastar |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hippasteria spinosa, | Seastar | ...... | --. |  |  | --- |
| Luidia spp. | Seastar |  |  |  |  | - --.... |
| $\underline{\text { b. foliata }}$ | Seastar | .......- |  |  |  |  |
| Luidiaster dawsoni | Seastar |  | --. |  | ------ | --. |
| Mediaster aequal is | Seastar | ------ |  |  |  |  |
| Pisaster brevispinus | Seastar | -.-.-- |  |  |  |  |
| Poraniopsis inflata | Seastar |  |  |  |  | - $\cdot$-...- |
| Pseudarchaster parelli | Seastar |  | ------ |  |  |  |
| P. parelif alascensis | Seastar | ----. | ------ |  |  |  |
| Psilaster pectinatus | Seastar | ....-.. |  |  |  |  |
| Pteraster tesselatus | Seastar |  |  |  |  | ------ |
| Rathbunaster californica | Seastar |  |  | ----. |  |  |
| Solaster borealis | Seastar |  |  | ------ | ----- | --- |
| Stylasterias forreri | Seastar | ...... |  |  |  |  |
| Zoraster ophirus | Seastar |  | ---.-. |  |  |  |
| Echinoidea | Sea urchins | ------ |  | -... | --- |  |
| Allocentrotus fragilis | Sea urchin |  | - |  |  |  |
| 8risaster spp. | Heart urch in | -.... | ----- | --- |  |  |
| B. Latifrons | Heart urchin | -...- |  |  |  |  |
| Ophiuroidea | Brittle stars | ---- | --- | ---- |  | - |
| Gorgonocephalus caryi | Basket star | ---- | ----- |  | ------ |  |
| Ophiura sarsi | Brittle star |  |  |  | .....- |  |
| Hol othuroidea | Sea cucumbers |  |  |  |  |  |
| Molpadia spp. | Sea cucumber |  |  | ------ |  |  |
| Pannychia moseleyi | Sea cucunber |  |  |  | ---.-- |  |
| Pseudostichopus moll is | Sea cucumber |  | -....... | -..... |  |  |
| Psolus spp. | Sea cucumber |  |  |  |  | -.. |
| Porifera | Sponges |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hexactinellida | Glass sponge |  | -...-.. |  |  |  |

Table 22...Continued.

| Scientific name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 23...Summary of average catch per unit fishing effort (kg/km) for major taxonomic groups during autumn 1984 west coast upper continental slops trawl survey'.

| Taxa ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Mean CPUE ${ }^{2}$ <br> for entire <br> survey area | Proportion of total catch | Mean CPUE by depth stratum (m) |  |  |  |  | Proportion of total catch by depth |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 110- \\ & 183 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 183- \\ & 366 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 366- \\ & 549 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 549- \\ & 732 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 732- \\ & 915 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 110- \\ & 183 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 183- \\ & 366 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 366- \\ & 549 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 549- \\ & 732 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 732- \\ & 915 \end{aligned}$ |
| Squalidae | 2.31 | 0.012 | 3.64 | 4.18 | 1.33 | 0.81 | 0.31 | 0.018 | 0.018 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.003 |
| Rajidae | 3.13 | 0.017 | 4.44 | 2.92 | 3.10 | 2.08 | 0.33 | 0.022 | 0.012 | 0.020 | 0.013 | 0.003 |
| Bothidae | 1.29 | 0.007 | 3.84 | -.- |  |  |  | 0.019 |  |  |  |  |
| Pleuronectidae | 28.56 | 0.155 | 29.94 | 31.30 | 26.10 | 31.16 | 19.51 | 0.150 | 0.132 | 0.172 | 0.189 | 0.170 |
| Anoplopomatidae | 42.11 | 0.228 | 30.69 | 47.92 | 37.41 | 74.90 | 38.36 | 0.154 | 0.203 | 0.246 | 0.455 | 0.335 |
| Macrouridae | 0.91 | 0.005 | --- | ... | 0.20 | 4.53 | 3.47 | --- |  | 0.001 | 0.028 | 0.030 |
| Hexagrammidae | 1.42 | 0.008 | 2.10 | 3.16 | --- |  | --- | 0.010 | 0.013 | -.- |  | --- |
| Merlucciidae | 46.43 | 0.251 | 90.53 | 48.72 | 21.32 | 1.27 | 0.10 | 0.454 | 0.206 | 0.140 | 0.008 | 0.001 |
| Zoarcidae | 0.60 | 0.003 | 0.25 | 0.89 | 1.18 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| Scorpaenidae | 43.46 | 0.235 | 23.11 | 85.79 | 40.77 | 31.32 | 36.86 | 0.116 | 0.363 | 0.268 | 0.190 | 0.322 |
| Sebastes spp. | 28.78 | 0.156 | 22.23 | 72.63 | 21.91 | 0.02 . | --- | 0.112 | 0.308 | 0.144 | <0.001 |  |
| Sebastolobus spp. | . 14.68 | 0.080 | 0.88 | 13.16 | 18.86 | 31.30 | 36.86 | 0.004 | 0.056 | 0.124 | 0.190 | 0.322 |
| Carangidae | 0.47 | 0.003 | 1.16 | 0.34 | --- | -.- |  | 0.006 | 0.001 |  |  |  |
| Scombridae | $t r^{3}$ | $<0.001$ | 0.01 | -..- | ... | -.. | --. | $<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |
| Other fish | 2.24 | 0.012 | 4.44 | 1.65 | 1.76 | 2.33 | 1.82 | 0.022 | 0.007 | 0.012 | 0.014 | 0.016 |
| Total fish | 172.93 | 93.6 | 192.98 | 226.53 | 133.17 | 148.80 | 100.83 | 0.966 | 0.958 | 0.876 | 0.904 | 0.881 |
| Coelenterata | 0.85 | 0.005 | 0.43 | 1.24 | 1.45 | 0.49 | 0.38 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.010 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| Porifera | 3.03 | 0.096 |  | 0.18 | 11.87 | 1.79 | 1.18 |  | 0.001 | 0.078 | 0.011 | 0.010 |
| Crustacea | 3.12 | 0.017 | 1.28 | 2.32 | 0.16 | 9.65 | 10.49 | 0.006 | 0.010 | 0.001 | 0.059 | 0.092 |
| Total crabs | 3.06 | 0.017 | 1.18 | 2.21 | 0.15 | 9.62 | 10.48 | 0.006 | 0.009 | 0.001 | 0.058 | 0.092 |
| Total shrimps | 0.06 | $<0.001$ | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ |
| Mollusca | 0.64 | 0.004 | 0.01 | 1.36 | 0.78 | 0.88 | 0.55 | $<0.001$ | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| Gastropoda | 0.17 | 0.001 | $t r^{3}$ | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.24 | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| Cephalopoda | 0.47 | 0.003 | $t r^{3}$ | 1.28 | 0.43 | 0.49 | 0.31 | $<0.001$ | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| Echinodermata | 3.96 | 0.021 | 4.69 | 4.51 | 4.61 | 1.98 | 0.90 | 0.024 | 0.019 | 0.030 | 0.012 | 0.008 |
| Asteroidea | 0.71 | 0.004 | 0.32 | 0.54 | 0.77 | 1.77 | 0.80 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.011 | 0.007 |
| Echinoidea | 2.61 | 0.014 | 3.54 | 3.58 | 2.62 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.018 | 0.015 | 0.017 | 0.001 | $<0.001$ |
| Ophiuroidea | 0.16 | 0.001 | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.36 | 0.02 | tr ${ }^{3}$ | 0.001 | $<0.001$ | 0.002 | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ |
| Holothuroidea | 0.48 | 0.003 | 0.64 | 0.35 | 0.80 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.005 | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ |
| Other invertebrates | s 0.11 | 0.001 | $t r^{3}$ | --- | $t r^{3}$ | 0.86 | 0.01 | $<0.001$ | -.- | $<0.001$ | 0.005 | $<0.001$ |
| Total invertebrates | s 11.71 | 0.064 | 6.41 | 9.61 | 18.87 | 15.65 | 13.51 | 0.034 | 0.042 | 0.124 | 0.096 | 0.119 |
| Total catch | 184.66 | 1.000 | 199.39 | 236.14 | 152.04 | 164.45 | 114.34 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total effort (km) | 251.8 |  | 43.2 | 75.6 | 47.8 | 47.1 | 38.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total trawls | 93 |  | 15 | 27 | 17 | 19 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{2}^{1}$ See Figure 2. Mean CPUE and propor $\mathrm{tr}=$ CPUE $<0.01 \mathrm{~kg} /$ | portion of g/km. | otal catch | h for t | he overa | all 1110 | $0.915 \mathrm{~m} /$ | survey |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 24...The 25 most common fish and crab taxa recorded during the autumn 1984 west coast continental slope demersal trawl survey, in order of percentage frequency of occurrence.

| Rank | Species cond | Al! <br> strata combined | Depth stratum (m)* |  |  |  |  | All <br> strata south | All <br> strata <br> north |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 110- | 183 - | 366- | 549. | 732 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 183 | 366 | 549 | 732 | 915 |  |  |
| 1 | Dover sole | 96.8 | 93.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 86.7 | 97.8 | 95.7 |
| 2 | Sablefish | 95.7 | 93.3 | 100.0 | 94.1 | 100.0 | 86.7 | 93.5 | 97.9 |
| 3 | Shortspine thornyhead | d 84.9 | 40.0 | 92.0 | 94.1 | 100.0 | 86.7 | 82.6 | 87.2 |
| 4 | Pacific whiting | 80.6 | 100.0 | 96.2 | 100.0 | 57.9 | 40.0 | 78.3 | 83.0 |
| 5 | Rex sole | 70.0 | 100.0 | 92.6 | 88.2 | 47.4 | 6.7 | 63.0 | 76.6 |
| 6 | Arrowtooth flounder | 52.7 | 73.3 | 88.9 | 82.4 | --. | -.. | 39.1 | 66.0 |
| 7 | Slender sole | 50.5 | 100.0 | 92.6 | 41.2 | --- | --- | 43.5 | 57.4 |
| 8 | Bigfin eelpout | 48.4 | 66.7 | 77.8 | 70.6 | 10.5 | -. - | 37.0 | 59.6 |
| 9 | Longnose skate | 47.3 | 53.3 | 63.0 | 70.6 | 36.8 | --- | 32.6 | 61.7 |
| 10 | Spotted ratfish | 44.1 | 86.7 | 74.1 | 23.5 | 21.1 | --. | 34.8 | 53.2 |
| 11 | Spiny dogfish | 43.0 | 80.0 | 81.5 | 35.3 | --- | --- | 32.6 | 53.2 |
| 12 | Brown cat shark | 43.0 | 6.7 | 11.1 | 52.9 | 89.5 | 66.7 | 52.2 | 34.0 |
| 13 | Darkblotched rockfish | ¢ 43.0 | 60.0 | 85.2 | 47.1 | -.. | --- | 28.3 | 57.4 |
| 14 | Longspine thornyhead | 40.9 | -. - | 3.7 | 23.5 | 100.0 | 93.3 | 52.2 | 29.8 |
| 15 | Tanner crab | 38.7 | -.. | 11.1 | 11.8 | 89.5 | 93.3 | 45.7 | 31.9 |
| 16 | Sandpaper skate | 38.7 | 26.7 | 66.7 | 52.9 | 21.1 | 6.7 | 28.3 | 48.9 |
| 17 | Giant grenadier | 36.6 |  | --- | 29.4 | 78.9 | 93.3 | 47.8 | 25.5 |
| 18 | Redbanded rockfish | 36.6 | 26.7 | 85.2 | 41.2 | --- | --- | 26.1 | 46.8 |
| 19 | Splitnose rockfish | 35.5 | 26.7 | 92.6 | 23.5 | --- |  | 32.6 | 38.3 |
| 20 | Pacific grenadier | 35.5 | --- | - | 23.5 | 78.9 | 93.3 | 43.5 | 27.7 |
| 21 | Pacific flatnose | 32.3 | --- | - | 29.4 | 94.7 | 46.7 | 41.3 | 23.4 |
| 22 | Broadfin lanternfish | 32.3 | -.- | --- | 11.8 | 84.2 | 73.3 | 43.5 | 21.3 |
| 23 | Black eelpout | 32.3 | --- | 37.0 | 70.6 | 36.8 | 6.7 | 26.1 | 38.3 |
| 24 | Pacific ocean perch | 31.2 | 13.3 | 70.4 | 47.1 | -- - | --- | 17.4 | 44.7 |
| 25 | Greenstriped rockfish | h 29.0 | 100.0 | 44.4 | --- | --- | - - | 30.4 | 27.7 |
|  | Total trawls | 93 | 15 | 27 | 17 | 19 | 15 | 46 | 47 |

* See Figure 2.

Table 25... The 20 most abundant fish and crab taxa recorded during the autumn 1984 west coast upper continental slope trawl survey in order of observed abundance, all depth strata 110.915 m (60.500 fm) combined).

| R a n k | Taxon | $\begin{gathered} C P U E \\ (k g / k \pi)^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Proportion of } \\ \text { total CPUE } \end{gathered}$ | Cumulative proportion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Pacific whiting | 46.57 | 0.253 | 0.253 |
| 2 | Sablefish | 41.91 | 0.227 | 0.480 |
| 3 | Dover sole | 18.12 | 0.098 | 0.578 |
| 4 | shortspine thornyhead | 9.63 | 0.052 | 0.630 |
| 5 | Sharpchin rockfish | 6.31 | 0.034 | 0.665 |
| 6 | Rex sole | 5. 12 | 0.028 | 0.692 |
| 7 | Darkblotched rockfish | 5.09 | 0.028 | 0.720 |
| 8 | Longspine thornyhead | 4.89 | 0.027 | 0.747 |
| 9 | Pacific ocean perch | 3.93 | 0.021 | 0.768 |
| 10 | Greenstriped rockfish | 3. 14 | 0.017 | 0.785 |
| 19 | Splitnose rockfish | 3.01 | 0.016 | 0.801 |
| 12 | Arroutooth flounder | 2.91 | 0.016 | 0.817 |
| 13 | canary rockfish | 2. 57 | 0.094 | 0.831 |
| 14 | Spiny dogfish | 2.29 | 0.012 | 0.843 |
| 15 | Tanner crab | 2.07 | 0.011 | 0.866 |
| 16 | Longnose skate | 1.70 | 0.009 | 0.875 |
| 17 | Lingcod | 1.39 | 0.008 | 0.900 |
| 18 | Pacific sanddab | 1.31 | 0.007 | 0.907 |
| 19 | Big skate | 0.99 | 0.005 | 0.913 |
| 20 | slender sole | 0.97 | 0.005 | 0.918 |

${ }^{3}$ See Figure 2.
${ }^{2}$ Overall mean catch per unit effort, kg/km trawled. Total effort $=251.8 \mathrm{~km}$.
Proportion of total mean catch per unit effort, all fish and invertebrates combined. Total mean CPUE=184.36 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$.

| Table | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \text {. } \quad \text { The } 20 \text { most abund } \\ 1984 \quad \text { west coast } \\ \quad \text { order of observed } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nt fish t } \\ & \text { pper cont } \\ & \text { abundance } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc} \text { axa recorded } & \text { d } \\ \text { nental slope } & \text { t } \\ 110 \cdot 183 & \text { m } & (6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ing the au } \\ & \text { wl survey } \\ & 100 \text { fml'. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | TaxOn | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ (\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km})^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Proportion of } \\ & \text { total CPUE } \end{aligned}$ | Cumulative proportion |
| 1 | Pacific whiting | 90.53 | 0.453 | 0.453 |
| 2 | Sablefish | 30.69 | 0.153 | 0.606 |
| 3 | Dover sole | 16.46 | 0.082 | 0.688 |
| 4 | Greenstriped rockfish | 7.73 | 0.039 | 0.727 |
| 5 | canary rockfish | 7.40 | 0.037 | 0.764 |
| 6 | Rex sole | 6.94 | 0.035 | 0.799 |
| 7 | Pacific sanddab | 3.84 | 0.019 | 0.818 |
| 8 | spiny dogfish | 3.63 | 0.018 | 0.836 |
| 9 | Big skate | 2.91 | 0.015 | 0.868 |
| 10 | slender sole | 2.27 | 0.011 | 0.879 |
| 11 | Sharpchin rockfish | 2.26 | 0.011 | 0.891 |
| 12 | Arrowtooth flounder | 2.13 | 0.011 | 0.901 |
| 13 | Lingeod | 2. 11 | 0.011 | 0.911 |
| 14 | Spottedratfish | 1.63 | 0.008 | 0.920 |
| 15 | Longnose skate | 1.42 | 0.007 | 0.927 |
| 16 | Jack mackerel | 1.16 | 0.006 | 0.933 |
| 17 | Darkblotched rockfish | 1.14 | 0.006 | 0.939 |
| 18 | stripetail rockfish | 0.94 | 0.005 | 0.943 |
| 19 | Pacific halibut | 0.89 | 0.004 | 0.948 |
| 20 | shortspine thornyhead | 0.88 | 0.004 | 0.952 |

${ }^{1}$ See Figure 2.
${ }^{2} 0$ verall mean catch per unit effort, $k g / k m$ trawled. Total effort $=43.2 \mathrm{~km}$.
Proportion of total mean catch per unit effort, all fish and invertebrates combined. Total mean CPUE = $200.02 \quad \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{km}$.

Table 27...The 20 most abundant fish and crab taxa recorded during the autumn 1984 vest coast upper continental slope trawl survey in order of observed abundance, 183.366m (100.200 fm) .

| Rank | Taxon | $\begin{gathered} C P U E \\ (k g / k m)^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Proportion of } \\ & \text { total CPUE } \end{aligned}$ | cumulative proportion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Pacific whiting | 48.72 | 0.206 | 0.206 |
| 2 | Sablefish | 47.92 | 0.203 | 0.409 |
| 3 | Sharpchin rockfish | 25.85 | 0.109 | 0.519 |
| 4 | Darkblotehed rockfish | 19.93 | 0.084 | 0.603 |
| 5 | Dover sole | 15.07 | 0.064 | 0.667 |
| 6 | Splitnose rockfish | 13.19 | 0.056 | 0.723 |
| 7 | shortspine thornyhead | 13.14 | 0.056 | 0.778 |
| 8 | Rex sole | 8.56 | 0.036 | 0.815 |
| 9 | Arrowtooth flounder | 4.84 | 0.020 | 0.835 |
| 10 | Spiny dogfish | 4. 16 | 0.018 | 0.853 |
| 11 | Lingcod | 3. 17 | 0.013 | 0.866 |
| 12 | Greenstriped rockfish | 2.38 | 0.010 | 0.887 |
| 13 | silvergrey rockfish | 2.36 | 0.010 | 0.897 |
| 14 | Longnose state | 2.36 | 0.010 | 0.907 |
| 15 | Box crab | 1.95 | 0.008 | 0.915 |
| 16 | Pacific ocean perch | 1.72 | 0.007 | 0.923 |
| 17 | Pacific halibut | 1.63 | 0.007 | 0.929 |
| 18 | Yellowmouth rockfish | 1.58 | 0.007 | 0.936 |
| 19 | Redbanded rockfish | 1.47 | 0.006 | 0.942 |
| 20 | Bocaccio | 1.27 | 0.005 | 0.948 |

'See Figure 2.
'Overall mean catch per unit effort, kg/km trawled. Total effort $=75.6 \mathrm{~km}$.
' Proportion of total mean catch per unit effort, all fish and invertebrates combined. Total mean CPuE=236.13 kg/km.
 1984 west coast upper continental slopertawleurvey in order of observed abundance, $366.549 \quad \mathrm{~m}$ ( 200.300 fm) ${ }^{1}$.

|  |  | CPUE | Proportion of | Cumulative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R a п k | TaxOn | $(k g / k m)^{2}$ | total CPUE ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | proportion |


| Sablefish | 36.70 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pacific whiting | 20.48 |
| Shortspine thornyhead | 17.18 |
| Dover sole | 16.51 |
| Pacific ocean perch | 13.88 |
| Arrowrooth flounder | 4.48 |
| Rex sole | 3.17 |
| Longnose skate | 2.53 |
| Oarkblotched rockfish | 1.71 |
| Aurora rockfish | 1.64 |
| Shortraker rockfish | 1.29 |
| Rougheye rockfish | 0.99 |
| Brown cat shark | 0.73 |
| Redbanded rockfish | 0.70 |
| Longspine thornyhead | 0.67 |
| Bigfin eelpout | 0.66 |
| spiny dogfish | 0.62 |
| Pacific halibut | 0.62 |
| sandpaper skate | 0.57 |
| Splitnose rockfish | 0.44 |


| 0.252 | 0.252 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.141 | 0.393 |
| 0.118 | 0.511 |
| 0.114 | 0.625 |
| 0.095 | 0.720 |
| 0.031 | 0.828 |
| 0.022 | 0.850 |
| 0.017 | 0.868 |
| 0.012 | 0.879 |
| 0.011 | 0.891 |
| 0.009 | 0.909 |
| 0.007 | 0.924 |
| 0.005 | 0.941 |
| 0.005 | 0.946 |
| 0.005 | 0.950 |
| 0.005 | 0.954 |
| 0.004 | 0.959 |
| 0.004 | 0.963 |
| 0.004 | 0.967 |
| 0.003 | 0.974 |

${ }^{1}$ seerigure 2.
${ }^{2} 0$ verall mean catch per unit effort, kg/km tramled. Total effort $=50.4 \mathrm{~km}$.
Proportion of total mean catch per unit effort, all fish and invertebrates combined. Total mean CPUE = $\quad 145.40 \quad k g / k m$.

Table 29...The 20 most abundant fish and crab taxa recorded during the autumn 1984 west coast upper continental slope trawl survey in order of observed abundance, 549.732 m (300.400 fm) ${ }^{1}$.


| 1 | Sablefish | 77.69 | 0.456 | 0.456 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Dover sole | 31.05 | 0.182 | 0.638 |
| 3 | Longspine thornyhead | 18.91 | 0.117 | 0.750 |
| 4 | shortspine thornyhead | 14.08 | 0.083 | 0.832 |
| 5 | Tanner crab | 10.12 | 0.059 | 0.892 |
| 6 | Giant grenadier | 4.45 | 0.026 | 0.918 |
| 7 | Rex sole | 1. 12 | 0.007 | 0.924 |
| 8 | Longnose skate | 1.01 | 0.006 | 0.936 |
| 9 | Pacific whiting | 1.00 | 0.006 | 0.942 |
| 10 | Roughtail skate | 0.72 | 0.004 | 0.957 |
| 11 | Brown cat shark | 0.71 | 0.004 | 0.961 |
| 12 | California slickhead | 0.54 | 0.003 | 0.968 |
| 13 | Deepsea sole | 0.51 | 0.003 | 0.974 |
| 14 | Pacific flatnose | 0.48 | 0.003 | 0.976 |
| 15 | Pacific grenadier | 0.34 | 0.002 | 0.983 |
| 16 | Sandpaper skate | 0.30 | 0.002 | 0.985 |
| 17 | Twoline eelpout | 0.27 | 0.002 | 0.986 |
| 18 | Blacktail snailfish | 0.13 | 0.001 | 0.992 |
| 19 | Black eelpout | 0.19 | 0.001 | $0.9 \% 3$ |
| 20 | bongnose lancetfish | 0.09 | 0.001 | 0.994 |

${ }^{1}$ see Figure 2.
${ }^{2}$ overall mean catch per unit effort, kg/km trauled. Total effort $=44.5 \mathrm{~km}$.
${ }^{3}$ proportion of total mean catch per unit effort, all fish and invertebrates combined. Total mean Cpueq 170.31 kg/km.
 the autumn 1984 west coast upper continental sloperamer survey in order of observed abundance, 732 .915 m (400.500 f m) ${ }^{1}$.

| R a n k | Taxon | $\begin{gathered} C P \cup E \\ (k g / k m)^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Proportion of } \\ & \text { total CPUE } \end{aligned}$ | Cumulative proportion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sablefish | 38.36 | 0.336 | 0.336 |
| 2 | Longspine thornyhead | 30.45 | 0.267 | 0.603 |
| 3 | Dover sole | 18.71 | 0.164 | 0.767 |
| 4 | Tanner crab | 10.41 | 0.091 | 0.859 |
| 5 | shortspine thornyhead | 6.41 | 0.056 | 0.915 |
| 6 | Giant grenadier | 1.93 | 0.017 | 0.932 |
| 7 | Pacific grenadier | 1.54 | 0.013 | 0.945 |
| 8 | Deepsea sole | 0.80 | 0.007 | 0.961 |
| 9 | california slickhead | 0.79 | 0.007 | 0.968 |
| 10 | Threadfin slickhead | 0.36 | 0.003 | 0.971 |
| 11 | Roughtail skate | 0.31 | 0.003 | 0.974 |
| 12 | Brown cat shark | 0.22 | 0.002 | 0.978 |
| 13 | Pacific whiting | 0.10 | 0.001 | 0.990 |
| 14 | Stout blacksmelt | 0.10 | 0.001 | 0.991 |
| 15 | Pacificflatrose | 0.08 | 0.001 | 0.992 |
| 16 | Lithodes couesi | 0.07 | 0.001 | 0.993 |
| 17 | Broadfin lanternfish | 0.06 | 0.001 | 0.994 |
| 18 | Pacifichagfish | 0.06 | 0.001 | 0.995 |
| 19 | Twoline eelpout | 0.05 | 0.001 | 0.996 |
| 20 | Unidentified hagfish | 0.04 | 0.001 | 0.997 |

${ }^{1}$ see Figure 2.
${ }^{2}$ Overall mean catch per unit effort, kg/km trawled. Total effort $=38.0 \mathrm{~km}$.
Proportion of total mean catch per unit effort, all fish and invertebrates combined. Total mean CPUE = $114.04 \quad \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{km}$.


| species | Depth stratum (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $110 \cdot$ | 183 - | 366 - |  | 732 - |  |  |
|  | 183 | 366 | 549 | 732 | 915 | 915 | 549 |
| Sablefish | 55.71 | 38.68 | 36.24 | 116.10 | 60.83 | 54.97 | 42.98 |
| Dover sole | 13.97 | 16.57 | 18.81 | 16.53 | 10.18 | 15.68 | 16.25 |
| Pacific whiting | 176.30 | 52.27 | 26.30 | .-. | -. - | 77.65 | 82.10 |
| Greenstriped rockfish | 10.23 | 3.66 | . | -. | -.- | 4.27 | 4.82 |
| Rex sole | 6.37 | 7.07 | - | -.- | --- | 4.14 | 5.91 |
| Spiny dogfish | 6.05 | 7.05 | -.. | --- | --- | 3.79 | 5.67 |
| sharpchin rockfish | 4.21 | 44.85 | -.. | -.- | --- | 11.04 | 25.47 |
| Canary rockfish | 15.09 | --- | -. | -. - | -. . | 5.19 | 4.33 |
| Shortspine thornyhead | ... | 18.38 | 23.23 | 19.42 | -. | 12.52 | 14.27 |
| Darkblotched rockfish | -. | 24.41 | 2.57 | --- | ... | 6.13 | 13.92 |
| Arrowtooth flounder | --- | 6.62 | 6.52 | --. | --- | 4.36 | 5.88 |
| Longnose skate |  | 3.68 | 3.12 | --. | --- | -.- | 3.05 |
| Splitnose rockfish | --- | 18.25 | -.. | --- | -.- | 4.07 | 10.01 |
| Lingcod |  | 2.97 | --- | -.. | --- | -.. | .-. |
| Pacific ocean perch | -- | -.. | 20.82 | -. | --- | 5.65 | 5.31 |
| Aurora rockfish | - . | - - | 2.21 | --- | ... | --- | ... |
| Longspine thornyhead | . . . | -. - | --- | 21.23 | 32.73 | 5.51 | --- |
| Tanner crab | -.- | -.- | --- | 12.11 | 11.59 | 2.45 |  |
| Giant grenadier | -- | --- | -- | 2.80 | - | - | --- |

${ }^{1}$ Species listed in each depth stratum collectively comprised $90 \%$ of the overall mean total catch.
${ }^{2}$ North subarea is that portion of the overall survey area north of $44^{\circ} 22.5{ }^{\prime} N$ Lat. See Figure 2.

Table $32, \ldots$ summary of average catch per unit fishing effort, by depth stratum,
observed for major fish and crab species during the autumn 1984
west coast upper continental slope trawl survey, south subarea ${ }^{2}$.

| Species | Depth stratum (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $110-$ | $183-$ | $366-$ | 549 - | $732-$ | $190-$ | $110-$ |
|  | 183 | 366 | 549 |  | 915 |  | 549 |
| Sablefish | 8.80 | 59.46 | 37.61 | 53.25 | 23.38 | 33.46 | 35.55 |
| Dover sole | 18.64 | 13.20 | 11.92 | 40.29 | 24.39 | 18.98 | 15.23 |
| Shortspine thornyhead | 1.22 | 6.59 | 5.08 | 10.68 | 6.78 | 5.02 | 4.56 |
| Pacific whiting | 15.49 | 44.28 | 8.83 | -.- | --- | 17.24 | 22.20 |
| Rex sole | 7.44 | 10.42 | 6.13 | --- | - - | 6.67 | 8.25 |
| Darkblotched rockfish | 1.40 | 14.32 | --- | --- | --- | 3.54 | 4.89 |
| Lingcod | 1.18 | 3.41 | -- - | --- | -•• | 1.13 | 1.45 |
| Longnose skate | 1.13 | --- | 1.35 | --- | --- | 0.79 | --- |
| Pacific sanddab | 5.99 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2.04 | 2.02 |
| Greenstriped rockfish | 5.54 | --- | -.- | --- | --- | 2.05 | 2.11 |
| Big skate | 5.38 | --- | --- | - - - | -- | 1.83 | 1.82 |
| Slender sole | 2.91 | --- | --- | - - | --- | 1.21 | 1.30 |
| Spiny dogfish | 1.51 | -- | - - | - - | - - - | --- | -. |
| Spotted ratfish | 1.35 | --- | -.- | -.- | --- | --- | --- |
| Pacific halibut | 0.93 |  |  | --- | --- | --- | -- |
| Splitnose rockfish |  | 6.87 | --- | -- | -- | 1.61 | 2.26 |
| Silvergray rockfish | -- | 4.22 | --- | -- | --- | 0.90 | 1.30 |
| Bocaceio |  | 2.85 | - | - - | -- | 0.80 | --- |
| Arrowtooth flounder | - - - | 2.61 | --- | --- | -.- | 0.84 | 1.13 |
| Brown cat shark |  |  | 1.66 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Longspine thornyhead | --- | - - | - - | 17.45 | 28.92 | 4.44 | -- - |
| Tanner crab | --- | - - | - - | 8.85 | 9.62 | 1.85 | - - |
| Giant grenadier | -.- | --- | --- | 5.49 | - | 0.97 | --- |

${ }^{1}$ Species Listed in each depth stratum collectively comprised $90 \%$ of the overall mean total catch.
${ }^{2}$ South subarea is that portion of the overall survey area south of $44^{\circ} 22.51 N$ Lat. See Figure 2.

Table 33 ...Estimated biomass (metric tons) of major species, by depth stratum and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (absolute and as a percentage of the biomass estimate) for the total survey area, from the 1984 autumn west coast upper continental slope survey.

| Species | Depth stratum (m) |  |  |  |  | Total ${ }^{1}$ | 95\% Con | onfidence interval (total) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 110- \\ & 183 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 183- \\ & 366 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 366^{-} \\ & 549 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 549- \\ & 732 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 732- \\ & 915 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Sablefish | 10,746 | 11,213 | 8,737 | 9,367 | 3,692 | 43,755 | 26,645 | 60,865 | ( $+39 \%$ ) |
| Dover sole | 5,763 | 3,527 | 4,046 | 3,697 | 1,800 | 18,834 | 14,310 | 23,358 | ( $+24 \%$ ) |
| Arrowtooth flounder | 747 | 1,132 | 1,108 | .... | --- | 2,987 | 1,917 | 4,056 | ( $+36 \%$ ) |
| Pacific whiting | 31.700 | 11.400 | 4.980 | 159 | 10 | 48.249 | 976 | 95,522 | ( $+98 \%$ ) |
| Rex sole | 2,431 | 2,002 | 770 | 139 | $t r^{2}$ | 5,342 | 3,970 | 6,715 | ( $+26 \%$ ) |
| Shortspine thornyhead | 307 | 3,074 | 4,241 | 1,672 | 617 | 9.912 | 7,706 | 12,118 | ( $+22 \%$ ) |
| Longspine thornyhead | --- | 6 | 164 | 2,242 | 2,930 | 5,342 | 3,654 | 7,031 | ( $+32 \%$ ) |
| Pacific ocean perch | 7 | 402 | 3,432 | --- | -.-. | 3,841 | 1,133 | 6,550 | ( $+71 \%$ ) |
| Darkblotched rockfish | 400 | 4,663 | 424 | ---- | ---- | 5,487 | 2,404 | 8,571 | ( $+56 \%$ ) |
| Splitnose rockfish | 82 | 3,087 | 109 | -... | -... | 3,278 | 1,731 | 4,826 | ( $+47 \%$ ) |
| Canary rockfish | 2,591 | 54 | --- | ---- | -... | 2,646 | 0 | 7,918 | ( $+199 \%$ ) |
| Redstripe rockfish | 48 | 97 | .... | ---- | --- | 145 | 0 | 332 | ( $+129 \%$ ) |
| Sharpchin rockfish | 792 | 6,050 | 6 | --- | --- | 6,847 | 0 | 18,271 | ( $+167 \%$ ) |
| Greenstriped rockfish | 2,706 | 557 | --. | --. | -... | 3,263 | 1,899 | 4.627 | (+42\%) |
| Pacific sanddab | 1,344 | ---' | --- | $\cdots$ | - $\cdot$ - | 1,344 | 0 | 2,949 | ( $+119 \%$ ) |
| Lingcod | 737 | 741 | --. | --. | -.- | 1,478 | 652 | 2,305 | ( $+56 \%$ ) |
| Chilipepper rockfish | 2 | 3 | --- | ---- | -... | 5 | 0 | 12 | ( $+140 \%$ ) |
| Yellowtail rockfish | 327 | 105 | --- | .... | .-. | 297 | 0 | 713 | ( $+140 \%$ ) |
| Stripetail rockfish | 327 | 105 | ---- | ---- | --- | 432 | 0 | 1,111 | ( $+157 \%$ ) |
| Bocaccio | 226 | 296 | -..- | ---- | ---- | 522 | 0 | 1,124 | ( $+115 \%$ ) |
| Spiny dogfish | 1,271 | 975 | 152 | ---- | - -- | 2,398 | 1,132 | 3,664 | ( $+53 \%$ ) |
| English sole | 259 | 48 | ...- | --- | --- | 307 | 88 | 527 | ( $+72 \%$ ) |
| Giant grenadier | ---- | ---- | 43 | 527 | 186 | 756 | 385 | 1,126 | ( $+49 \%$ ) |
| Tanner crab | ...- | 25 | 20 | 1,199 | 1,002 | 2,246 | 911 | 3,581 | ( $+59 \%$ ) |

${ }^{1}$ Rounding accounts for minor discrepancies betwen sums of individual depth strata and overall area totals.
${ }^{2} \mathrm{tr}=$ biomass estimate of 0.1.0.9 mt.

Table 34. ..percent of estimated biomass of major species, by depth stratum, from the 1984 autumn west coast, upper continental slope trawl survey.

| Species | Depth stratum (m) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 110 - | 183 - | 366 - | 549 - | 732 - |
|  | 183 | 366 | 549 | 732 | 915 |
| Sablefish | 24.6 | 25.6 | 20.0 | 21.4 | 8.4 |
| Dover sole | 30.6 | 18.7 | 21.5 | 19.6 | 9.6 |
| Arroutooth flounder | 25.0 | 37.9 | 37.1 | -.- | -.. |
| Pacific whiting | 65.7 | 23.6 | 10.3 | -.- | - - |
| Rex sole | 45.5 | 37.5 | 14.4 | 2.6 | $<0.1$ |
| Shortspine thornyhead | 3.1 | 31.0 | 42.8 | 16.9 | 6.2 |
| Longspine thornyhead | -. | 0.1 | 3.1 | 42.0 | 54.8 |
| Pacific ocean perch | 0.2 | 10.5 | 89.4 | -.. | -. |
| Darkblotched rockfish | 7.3 | 85.0 | 7.7 | -- - | -•• |
| splitnose rockfish | 2.5 | 94.2 | 3.3 | -- - | - - |
| canary rockfish | 98.0 | 2.0 | -. | - - | - - |
| Redstripe rockfish | 33.1 | 66.9 | - - | --- | -•• |
| sharpchin rockfish | 33.1 | 66.9 | -•• | --- | -•• |
| Greenstriped rockfish | 82.9 | 17.1 | -•• | --- | -•• |
| Pacific sanddab | 100.0 |  | $\cdots$ | -. | -•• |
| Lingcod | 49.9 | 50.1 |  | -. | -- |
| Chilipepper rockfish | 40.0 | 60.0 | -- | - - | - - |
| Yellowtail rockfish | 97.3 | 2. 7 | - - | -- | -- |
| Stripetail rockfish | 75.7 | 24.3 | -- - | - - | -. |
| Bocaccio | 43.3 | 56.7 | $\cdots$ | - - | - - |
| Spiny dogfish | 53.0 | 40.7 | 6.3 | --- | -•• |
| English sole | 84.4 | 15.6 | -•• | --• |  |
| Giant grenadier | ... | -. | 5.7 | 69.7 | 24.6 |
| ranner crab | -. - | 1.1 | 0.9 | 53.4 | 44.6 |

Table 3 5.. population estimates for sablefish by year class and mean length at age during the autumn 1984 west coast upper continental slope survey, 110.183 m (60.100 fm).


Table 36 , . Population estimates for sablefish by year class and men length at age during the autumn 1984 west coast upper continental slope survey, 183.366 m (100.200 fm).

| Age | Population |  |  | Cumulative proportion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { length } \\ (c m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | class | (x9000) | Proportion |  |  |
| Belowminimum |  |  |  |  |  |
| key | ths | 32 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 35.5 |
| 1 | 1983 | 182 | 0.024 | 0.029 | 40.1 |
| 2 | 1982 | 1. 215 | 0.162 | 0.191 | 44.2 |
| 3 | 1981 | 1. 264 | 0.169 | 0.360 | 46.8 |
| 4 | 1980 | 1.187 | 0.159 | 0.519 | 49.8 |
| 5 | 1979 | 1.420 | 0.190 | 0.708 | 52.4 |
| 6 | 1978 | 784 | 0.105 | 0.813 | 54.2 |
| 7 | 1977 | 635 | 0.085 | 0.898 | 56.1 |
| 8 | 1976 | 217 | 0.029 | 0.927 | 55.2 |
| 9 | 1975 | 200 | 0.027 | 0.953 | 60.7 |
| 10 | 1974 | 51 | 0.007 | 0.960 | 59.7 |
| 11 | 1973 | 44 | 0.006 | 0.966 | 56.5 |
| 12 | 1972 | 45 | 0.006 | 0.972 | 59.3 |
| 13 | 1971 | 32 | 0.004 | 0.976 | 58.0 |
| 14 | 1970 | 35 | 0.005 | 0.981 | 62.5 |
| 15 | 1969 | 23 | 0.003 | 0.984 | 62.5 |
| 16 | 1968 | 16 | 0.002 | 0.986 | 52.0 |
| Above and between |  |  |  |  |  |
| key | ths | 103 | 0.014 | 1.000 | - - |
|  | Total | 7,486 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 50.7 |

Table 37 ... Population estimates for sablefish by year class and mean length at age during the autumn 1984 west coast upper continental slope survey, 366.549 m (200.300 fm).


Table 38 , . Population estimates for sablefish by year class and mean length at age during the autumn 1984 uest coast upper continental slope survey, 549.732 m (300.400 fm).



| Age | Population |  |  | cumulative proportion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { length } \\ (c m) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | class | ( $\times 1000$ ) | Proportion |  |  |
| 2 | 1982 | 72 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 47.2 |
| 3 | 1981 | 246 | 0.101 | 0.131 | 49.5 |
| 4 | 1980 | 448 | 0.185 | 0.316 | 51.3 |
| 5 | 1979 | 671 | 0.277 | 0.592 | 52.7 |
| 6 | 1978 | 396 | 0.163 | 0.755 | 53.7 |
| 7 | 1977 | 312 | 0.129 | 0.884 | 54.7 |
| 8 | 1976 | 108 | 0.044 | 0.928 | 53.9 |
| 9 | 1975 | 63 | 0.026 | 0.954 | 56.2 |
| 10 | 1974 | 18 | 0.007 | 0.962 | 58.0 |
| 11 | 1973 | 16 | 0.007 | 0.968 | 50.8 |
| 12 | 1972 | 16 | 0.007 | 0.975 | 54.4 |
| 13 | 1971 | 13 | 0.006 | 0.980 | 56.5 |
| 14 | 1970 | 16 | 0.007 | 0.987 | 51.1 |
| 15 | 1969 | 8 | 0.004 | 0.990 | 58.1 |
| 16 | 1968 | 14 | 0.006 | 0.996 | 52.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Between } \\ & \text { lengths } \end{aligned}$ | key |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10 | 0.004 | 1.000 | 77.0 |
|  | Total | 2.429 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 52.7 |




Table $41, \ldots$ Lengtheweight relationship ${ }^{1}$ observed for fish species obtained during the autumn 1984 west coast upper continental slope survey.

| Species | $5 \mathrm{ex}{ }^{2}$ | Number sampled |  | Length-weight coefficients predicted weight at lengrh |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 30 cm | 40 cm | 60 cm |
| Sablefish | M | 337 | 0.00000265470 | 3.210525 | 238.2 | 599.8 | 2204.6 |
|  | F | 202 | 0.00000164500 | 3.290563 | 233.0 | 600.4 | 2279.5 |
|  | C | 539 | 0.00000196970 | 3.259739 | 234.0 | 597.6 | 2241.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 20 cm | 35 cm | 50 cm |
| Dover | M | 526 | 0.00000091919 | 3.405323 | 63.0 | 423.4 | 1426.5 |
| sole | F | 303 | 0.00000333960 | 3.183464 | 70.6 | 419.4 | 1305.5 |
|  | C | 829 | 0.00000162600 | 3.306944 | 66.1 | 420.9 | 1369.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 30 cm | 45 cm | 60 cm |
| Arroutooth | M | 99 | 0.00004025100 | 2.745227 | 254.1 | 773.5 | 1703.9 |
| flounder | F | 70 | 0.00000116990 | 3.348677 | 230.8 | 897.2 | 2351.9 |
|  | C | 169 | 0.00000191110 | 3.261454 | 229.2 | 860.2 | 2198.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 20 cm | 30 cm | 45 cm |
| Pacific ocean | M | 69 | 0.00001151300 | 3.027986 | 106.8 | 364.7 | 1244.7 |
| perch | F | 146 | 0.00005077300 | 2.785956 | 130.7 | 404.4 | 1251.3 |
|  | c | 215 | 0.00001279900 | 3.015041 | 110.9 | 376.5 | 1278.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 20 cm | 30 cm | 45 cm |
| Darkblotched | M | 258 | 0.00007964400 | 2.744068 | 164.2 | 499.5 | 1519.7 |
| rockfish | F | 278 | 0.00004223800 | 2.859754 | 160.7 | 512.5 | 1633.9 |
|  | c | 536 | 0.00004736000 | 2.837899 | 160.5 | 507.3 | 1603.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 20 cm | 30 cm | 45 cm |
| Sharpchin | M | 140 | 0.00001903300 | 2.953330 | 118.9 | 393.8 | 1304.1 |
| rockfish | F | 158 | 0.00002563100 | 2.909955 | 127.3 | 414.1 | 1347.4 |
|  | c | 298 | 0.00001140400 | 3.050693 | 119.3 | 411.1 | 1416.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 10 cm | 20 cm | 30 cm |
| Longspine | M | 93 | 0.00001587800 | 2.960081 | 13.2 | 102.8 | 341.4 |
| thornynead | F | 72 | 0.00000957550 | 3.048000 | 11.9 | 98.8 | 340.0 |
|  | c | 165 | 0.00001453600 | 2.974213 | 12.9 | 101.4 | 338.8 |
| ' Regression equation |  | sed on | $=a^{b}$ where: | $w=\mathrm{fresh}$ | whole | weight in grams; coefficients. |  |
| ${ }^{2} M^{\prime}=$ males; $F=$ females; $C=$ sexes combined. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Table 43...The 25 most common fish and crab taxa recorded during the autumn 1984 west coast continental slope demersal trawl survey, in order of percentage frequency of occurrence, for total area waters 110.549 m deep, by depth stratum and geographic subarea.

| Rank | species | $\begin{aligned} & 110- \\ & 549 \end{aligned}$ | Depth stratum (m)* |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { strata } \\ & \text { south } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 183 | 366 | 549 |  |  |
| 1 | Dover sole | 98.3 | 93.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.0 | 100.0 |
| 2 | Pacific whiting | 98.3 | 100.0 | 96.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 97.1 |
| 3 | Sablefish | 96.6 | 93.3 | 100.0 | 94.1 | 92.0 | 100.0 |
| 4 | Rex sole | 93.2 | 100.0 | 92.6 | 88.2 | 92.0 | 94.1 |
| 5 | Arrowtooth flounder | 83.1 | 73.3 | 88.9 | 82.4 | 72.0 | 91.2 |
| 6 | slender sole | 79.7 | 100.0 | 92.6 | 41.2 | 80.0 | 79.4 |
| 7 | Shortspine thornyhead | 79.7 | 40.0 | 92.6 | 94.1 | 72.0 | 85.3 |
| 8 | Bigfin eelpout | 72.9 | 66.7 | 77.8 | 70.6 | 64.0 | 79.4 |
| 9 | Darkblotched rockfish | 67.8 | 60.0 | 85.2 | 47.1 | 52.0 | 79.4 |
| 10 | spiny dogfish | 67.8 | 80.0 | 81.5 | 35.3 | 60.0 | 73.5 |
| 11 | Spotted ratfish | 62.7 | 86.7 | 74.1 | 23.5 | 52.0 | 70.6 |
| 12 | Longnose skate | 62.7 | 53.3 | 63.0 | 70.6 | 48.0 | 73.5 |
| 13 | Redbanded rockfish | 57.6 | 26.7 | 85.2 | 41.2 | 48.0 | 64.7 |
| 14 | Splitnose rockfish | 55.9 | 26.7 | 92.6 | 23.5 | 60.0 | 53.9 |
| 15 | Sandpaper skate | 52.5 | 26.7 | 66.7 | 52.9 | 40.0 | 61.8 |
| 16 | Pacific ocean perch | 49.2 | 13.3 | 70.4 | 47.1 | 32.0 | 61.8 |
| 17 | Greenstriped rockfish | 45.8 | 100.0 | 44.4 | ... | 56.0 | 38.2 |
| 18 | Box crab | 42.4 | 73.3 | 40.7 | 17.6 | 64.0 | 26.5 |
| 19 | Black eelpout | 37.3 | -- | 37.0 | 70.6 | 28.0 | 44.1 |
| 20 | Threadfin sculpin | 32.2 | 60.0 | 29.6 | 11.8 | 52.0 | 17.6 |
| 21 | Sharpchin rockfish | 30.5 | 20.0 | 44.4 | 17.6 | 24.0 | 35.3 |
| 22 | Rosethorn rockfish | 28.8 | 26.7 | 44.4 | 5.9 | 24.0 | 32.4 |
| 23 | English sole | 27.1 | 66.7 | 22.3 | ... | 36.0 | 20.6 |
| 24 | Dungeness crab | 25.4 | 66.7 | 18.5 | -. | 40.0 | 14.7 |
| 25 | Stripetail rockfish | 25.4 | 40.0 | 33.3 | -. | 40.0 | 14.7 |
|  | Total trawls | 59 | 15 | 27 | 17 | 25 | 34 |

*See Figure 2.



Figure 1.--Location of successful bottom trawling stations during the spring 1984 west coast upper continental slope groundfish survey.


Figure 2.--Location of successful bottom trawling stations during the autumn 1984 west coast upper continental slope groundfish survey.


Figure 3.--Location of vertically deployed sablefish trap sampling stations during the 1984 autumn slope survey.


Figure 4.--The cloverleaf shaped trap used to investigate the vertical distribution of sablefish during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 5.--Assembled components of the vertically deployed sablefish trap string used during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 6.--Distribution of sea surface temperatures during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 7.--Distribution of bottom water temperatures during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 8.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of total fish during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 9.--Distribution and relative abundance ( $\mathbf{k g} / \mathbf{k m}$ trawled) of sablefish during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 10.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of Dover sole during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 11.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of arrowtooth flounder during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 12.--Distribution and relative abundance ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$ trawled) of Pacific ocean perch during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 13.--Distribution and relative abundance ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$ trawled) of darkblotched rockfish during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 14.--Distribution and relative abundance ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$ trawled) of sharpchin rockfish during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 15.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of splitnose rockfish during the 1984 spring survey.

| 1984 Continental |
| :--- |
| Siope Survey |
| SPRING |
| SHORTSPINE THORNYHEAD |
| Catch in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$ |
| 0 no catch |
| - $0.1-14.4$ |
| $14.5-31.4$ |
| $31.5-55$ |



Figure 17.-Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of yellowtail rockfish during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 18.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of greenstriped rockfish during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 19.--Distribution and relative abundance ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$ trawled) of redstripe rockfish during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 20.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of silvergray rockfish during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 21.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of canary
rockfish during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 22.--Distribution and relative abundance $(\mathbf{k g} / \mathrm{km}$ trawled) of stripetail
rockfish during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 23.--Distribution and relative abundance ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$ trawled) of
bocaccio during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 24.-- Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of chilipepper rockfish during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 25.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of Pacific whiting during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 26.--Distribution and relative abundance ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$ trawled) of rex


Figure 27.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of English
sole during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 28.--Distribution and relative abundance ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$ trawled) of petrale sole during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 29.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of Pacific sanddab during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 30.--Distribution and relative abundance ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$ trawled) of lingcod during the 1984 spring survey.


Figure 31.-Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of spiny dogfish during the 1984 spring survey.
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Figure 32.--Sablefish size composition during the 1984 spring slope survey by sex and geographic subarea.
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Figure 33.--Dover sole size composition during the 1984 spring slope survey by sex and geographic subarea.
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Figure 34.--Pacific ocean perch size composition during the 1984 spring slope survey by sex and geographic
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Figure 35.--Darkblotched rockfish size composition during the 1984 spring slope survey by sex and geographic
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Figure 36.--Splitnose rockfish size composition during the 1984 spring slope survey by sex and geographic subarea.
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Figure 37.--Stripetail rockfish size composition during the 1984 spring slope survey by sex and geographic subarea.
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Figure 38.--Yellowtail rockfish size composition during the 1984 spring slope survey by sex and geographic subarea.
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Figure 39.--Greenstriped rockfish size composition during the 1984 spring slope survey by sex and geographic
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Figure 40.--Pacific whiting size composition during the 1984 spring slope survey by sex and geographic subarea.
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Figure 41.--English sole size composition during the 1984 spring slope survey by sex and geographic subarea.
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Figure 42.--Estimated sablefish age composition, by sex and depth stratum, for the entire 1984 spring slope survey area.
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Figure 43.--Estimated sablefish age composition, by sex and depth stratum, for the 1984 spring slope survey, north and south subareas.


Figure 44.--Distribution of sea surface temperatures during the 1984 autumn
survey.


Figure 45.--Distribution of bottom water temperatures during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 46.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of total fish during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 47.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of sablefish during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 48.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of Dover sole during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 49.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of arrow-tooth
flounder during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 50.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of Tanner crab during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 51.--Distribution and relative abundance ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$ trawled) of Pacific
ocean perch during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 52.--Distribution and relative abundance ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$ trawled) of darkblotched rockfish during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 53.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of sharpchin rockfish during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 54.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of splitnose rockfish during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 55.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of shortspine thornyhead during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 56.--Distribution and relative abundance ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$ trawled) of longspine
thornyhead during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 57.--Distribution and relative abundance $(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$ trawled) of greenstriped rockfish during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 58.--Distribution and relative abundance ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$ trawled) of redstripe rockfish during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 59.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of yellowtail rockfish during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 60.--Distribution and relative abundance ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$ trawled) of silvergray rockfish during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 61.--Distribution and relative abundance ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$ trawled) of canary rockfish during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 62.--Distribution and relative abundance ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$ trawled) of stripetail rockfish during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 63.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of bocaccio during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 64.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of chilipepper during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 65.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of Pacific whiting during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 66.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of rex sole during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 67.-- Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of English sole during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 68.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of petrale sole during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 69.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of Pacific sanddab during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 70.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of lingcod during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 71.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of spiny dogfish during the 1984 autumn survey.


Figure 72.--Distribution and relative abundance (kg/km trawled) of giant grenadier during the 1984 autumn survey.
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Figure 73.--Sablefish size composition during the 1984 autumn slope survey by sex and geographic subarea.


Figure 74.--Dover sole size composition during the 1984 autumn slope survey by sex and geographic subarea.
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Figure 76.--Pacific ocean perch size composition during the 1984 autumn slope survey by sex and geographic subarea.
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Figure 77.--Darkblotched rockfish size composition during the 1984 autumn slope survey by sex and geographic subarea.
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Figure 78.--Sharpchin rockfish size composition during the 1984 autumn slope survey by sex and geographic subarea.
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Figure 79.--Splitnose rockfish size composition during the 1984 autumn slope survey by sex and geographic subarea.
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Figure 80.--Shortspine thornyhead size composition during the 1984 autumn slope survey by sex and geographic subarea.
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Figure 81.--Longspine thornyhead size composition during the 1984 autumn slope survey by sex and geographic subarea.
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Figure 82.--Redstripe rockfish size composition during the 1984 autumn slope survey by sex and geographic subarea.
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Figure 83.--Canary rockfish size composition during the 1984 autumn slope survey by sex and geographic subarea.
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Figure 84.--Pacific whiting size composition during the 1984 autumn slope survey by sex and geographic
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Figure 85.--Rex sole size composition during the 1984 autumn slope survey by sex and geographic


Figure 86.--Estimated sablefish age composition, by sex and depth stratum, for the entire 1984 autumn slope survey area.


Figure 87.--Estimated sablefish age composition, by sex and depth stratum, for the 1984 autumn slope survey, north subarea.

## SABLEFISH <br> 1984 Auturnn



Figure 88.--Estimated sablefish age composition, by sex and depth stratum, for the 1984 autumn slope survey, south subarea.


Figure 89.--Sablefish length-weight relationship from the 1984 autumn slope survey.


Figure 90.--Dover sole length-weight relationship from the 1984 autumn slope survey.


Figure 91.--Arrowtooth flounder length-weight relationship from the 1984 autumn slope survey.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Harold Barnett, Utilization Research Division, Northwest and Alaska Fisheries Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, 2725 Montlake Blvd. E., Seattle, WA 98112. Pers. commun., March 1985.

[^1]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ See Figure 5.
    ${ }^{2}$ One trap attached at $0,5,10,20$, and 35 m off bottom to gangions secured through the mainline at specified positions.
    ${ }^{3}$ Each bait jar was filled with 1 kg of chopped Pacific herring.
    ${ }^{4}$ Buoyant line, 1.59 cm ( $5 / 8 \mathrm{in}$ ) in diameter. G-hooks attached to 5 gangions for securing trap bridles on the lowermost shot of line. Sinking line, $1.27 \mathrm{~cm}(1 / 2 \mathrm{in})$ in diameter.

[^2]:    ' Nomencl at ure from Robi ns (1980) unl ess otherwi se noted.
    ${ }^{2}$ Poseydon carried only enough traw cable to fish to 549 m
    ${ }^{3}$ Common name associated with family name indicates unidentified menters were encountered.
    ${ }^{4}$ Nomenclature from Hubbs and Dempster(1979).

[^3]:    *See Figure 1 .

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Figure 1 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Overall mean catch per unit effort, kg/kmytraled. Total effort $=150.8 \mathrm{~km}$.
    3 proportion of total mean catch per unit effort, all fish and invertebrates combined. Total mean CPUE = 35.1.11 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{km}$.

