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## INIRODUCTION

Concerns about over exploitation of fishery resources by purse seines were raised by Moe in 1967. His warnings followed Ingle's (1967) report on experimental purse seining supervised by Florida's Board of Conservation between 1964 and 1967. Approximately 100,000 pounds of king mackerel were caught in the winter of 1965-66, and about 44,000 pounds were caught the following winter with purse seines. Ingle concluded that purse seining was feasible and that it was quicker, more efficient, and the equipment was easier to use than a gill net, but that it had limitations in use over rough bottom. Moe warned that unregulated exploitation with purse seines could result in the depletion of Florida's fish stocks and the ultimate loss of valuable fisheries.

Similar concerns were expressed during the development of the Fishery Management Plan for Coastal Migratory Pelagic Resources by members of the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council and the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Thus, when the plan was implemented in February 1983, it required that for three years, observers be placed on any purse seine vessel fishing for king mackerel or Spanish mackerel. The plan stated, "There are two main reasons why observers are necessary: (1) to accurately count the total harvest and (2) to obtain an accurate and unbiased report on purse seine activites."

This report summarizes the observations made, and data collected on fishing activities, species in the catches, and size and sex composition of mackerels, while aboard purse seine vessels from March 1983 through March 1986.

PURSE SEINE OPERATIONS, TRIPS, OBSERVATIONS, AND CATCHES
As one might expect, variation in purse seining gear and methods are common. The purse seine vessels on which the observers served were 60-75 feet long, but varied in their use of a drum to store their net aboard the vessel, and their use of the services of a spotter plane. In general, the netting operation occurs as follows.

Purse seining begins with the strike boat holding one end of the net while the large vessel (the seiner) encircles the fish and returns to the strike boat to pick up the other end of the net. At this time, either with or without a tom weight, the vessel begins to draw in the purse line (which is along the leadline) thereby closing the bottom of the net. In mackerel purse seining, the bottom of the net actually is on the sea floor. As the net is pursed or hardened, the floatline is also brought in-although more slowly than the purse line.

Once the purse line is brought in enough to close the bottom of the net, the entire purse line and leadline is brought on board the vessel.

Depending on the water depth, this has probably lifted the net off the bottom and in effect raised the fish more to the surface. The slow retrieval of the floatline has forced the fish into the bunt, which is made of a heavier mesh designed to hold large quantities of fish. When the net is pulled in to the point that the fish are tightly concentrated in the bunt, brailing begins. After the fish have been brailed out of the purse seine, the net then is restacked aboard the vessel (or wound on the drum of a drum-seiner) and readied for the next set.

One of the primary concerns of the management councils was whether or not fish that had been encircled could be released unharmed if the fishermen decided they were not wanted (for whatever reason). This is especially crucial in the case of mackerels because they are extremely delicate fishes. It has been documented in Pawson and Lockwood (1980) and Lockwood, Pawson and Eaton (1983) that another scombrid (Scomber scombrus) is subject to very high mortality rates after being "dried up" and then "slipped" or released from a purse seine. There is a major difference, however, in releasing fish when they are first clearly visible and still swimming in the net (as the observers in our project reported) and releasing fish after being "dried up" (as the preceeding authors described).

The observers believe that if fish are released at the point at which they are first clearly seen in the net, chances of survival are $90 \%$ or more. Many factors, such as the quantity of fish, species behavior, water clarity and depth, etc. affect the point at which fish can first be seen, but observers report that when mackerel are visible enough to identify species and approximate size, they are still swimming and have not come in contact with the net. This is the point at which they would be releasd if they were unwanted, not after the net was "dried up". When the fish are seen, the operations from the release of the strike boat in the water to the commencement of brailing is from 70 to $85 \%$ completed. The diameter of the area encompassed by the float line at this point is about 25 to 50 feet.

From March 1983 through March 1986, observers were placed on 305 purse seine trips (departure from a port and return to a port by a vessel is considered a trip; a trip may last one day or several days). Of those 305 trips (Table 1), 252 were in the Atlantic and 53 in the Gulf of Mexico. King mackerel were caught on only 22 (all in the Atlantic) of those trips. Spanish mackerel were caught on only 30 ( 23 in the Atlantic, 7 in the Gulf) of those trips. As is evident, $83 \%$ of the trips were made in the Atlantic.

During the three-year period, 33 persons served as observers. Only 13 of the 33 observed king mackerel catches and only 17 of the 33 observed Spanish mackerel catches. Of the 22 king mackerel catches, seven persons observed only one catch, four observed two catches, one observed three catches, and one observed four catches. Of the 30 Spanish mackerel catches, eleven observed one catch, three observed two catches, two observed three catches, and one observed seven catches.

The landings have never equalled the annual quota allotted to the purse seiners. Even the aggregate landings for the entire period from March 1983 through March 1986 have not equalled the first year's king mackerel quota. The data on king mackerel (Gulf Migratory Group) and Spanish mackerel shown in Tables 2 and 3 indicate the percentages of the total commercial catches that purse seining took. For each species in this three-year period, purse seining accounted for less than $3 \%$ of the commercial landings.

Although mackerel landings have been low, purse seine vessel operators have demonstrated their ability to identify fish schools correctly prior to making a set. Of 267 observed seine sets, the primary species in the catch was correctly identified 231 times ( $86 \%$ ) before the net was deployed. In 13 cases ( $5 \%$ ) the observer recorded no particular anticipated catch by the vessel operator, and in 23 cases (9\%) the species in the catch was misidentified prior to the set.

## SPECIES COMPOSITION

Clean catches, that is, when by-catches of other species were negligible, were more frequent in king mackerel catches than in Spanish mackerel catches (Tables 4 and 5). (Negligible is here defined as less than five percent of the total poundage in a set of the purse seine.) Sixteen of the 22 catches of king mackerel were clean, and fifteen of the 30 catches of Spanish mackerel were clean. Spanish mackerel was a bycatch (not the most abundant species in the set) in seven of the 30 catches, whereas king mackerel was so in only four instances. In three instances, king mackerel was a by-catch in Spanish mackerel catches. Mackerels were caught simultaneously in various mixtures and in appreciable amounts with at least eleven other species (Tables 4 and 5).

Often times, large catches with no mackerels were made by purse seiners. Sixty-four trips yielded no mackerels but still produced 3,146,865 lbs of fishes (Table 6).

## SIZE COMPOSITION

Mean fork lengths of king mackerel ranged from 425.0 mm to $1,143.8$ mm in the purse seine catches (Table 7). Individual fork lengths ranged from 370 mm to $1,550 \mathrm{~mm}$. Of the fish measured in the twenty-four sets, one group had its mode at 450 (mid-point of 100 mm classes), one had its mode at 550, five had modes at 650 , four at 750 , five at 850 , six at 950 , one at 1,050 , and one at 1,150 (Table 8 ). The data from Table 8 were combined into an overall length-frequency distribution of purse seined king mackerel which was corrected for the weight of the landings (Table 9 and Figure 1). The correction for weight was made so that data from small landings when combined with data from large landings would not distort the overall length frequency distribution.

The adjustments for the weight of landings were done as follows: the percentage of the total weight of landings (by species) that each catch from Tables 8 and 12 made up, was calculated (e.g., the 3,350 lb catch of Spanish mackerel shown at the top of Table 12 was $0.62 \%$ of the $537,185 \mathrm{lb}$ total catch). The percentage of each individual length frequency size class within a catch was multiplied by the percentage of the weight of that catch in the total (e.g., $41.00 \%$ of the $3,350 \mathrm{lb}$ catch was in the 325 mm mid-point size class and its percentage of the whole was $41.00 \%$ X $0.62 \%$, or $0.25 \%$ ). Finally, the percentages of all similar size classes in all catches were added together and presented in Tables 9 and 13.

These data indicate that almost equal amounts of king mackerel were landed in both the 750,850 , and 950 mm FL midpoint groups. About $75 \%$ of all fish were between 600 and $1,000 \mathrm{~mm}$ FL; fish of these sizes range in weight from four to 18 pounds and are from two to nine years old, depending on their sex. The smallest measured fish ( 370 mm FL) was probably in its first year, while the largest measured fish ( $1,550 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{FL}$ ) was probably over 12 years old. When the purse seine length data are compared to historical landings data from other fishing gear (Table 10), it is apparent that larger king mackerel make up a higher percentage of purse seine catches than of any other gear.

Mean lengths of Spanish mackerel ranged from 322.7 to 576.2 mm FL (Table ll). Two catches had modes at 625 (midpoint of 50 mm classes), one at 525, two at 475, thirteen at 425, eight at 375, and two at 325 mm (Table 12). When all the Spanish mackerel catches were combined and corrected for the weight of landings (Table 13), about $59 \%$ of all the mackerel measured between 350 and 450 mm FL (Figure 2). About $90 \%$ of all fish were between 300 and 550 mm FL ; these fish weighed from a half pound to three pounds. The smallest measured Spanish mackerel was 260 mm FL. This fish weighed approximately one-third of a pound and was probably less than one year old. The largest fish was 745 mm FL, approximately six pounds, and seven to eight years old.

King mackerel attain sexual maturity between 700 and 900 mm FL, depending upon sex, whereas both sexes of Spanish mackerel attain sexual maturity between 350 and 400 mm FL. Thus, about $20 \%$ of the purse-seined king mackerel and about $10 \%$ of the purse-seined Spanish mackerel were sexually immature.

In an effort to estimate the numbers of mackerels landed in purse seine catches, mean fork lengths and poundage from each catch were converted, using length-weight equations, into numbers of fish (Tables 14 and 15). Since March 1983, 23,470 king mackerel and 369,833 Spanish mackerel were estimated to have been taken in purse seine catches.

Sex determinations were made on nine king mackerel catches (= schools) and thirteen Spanish mackerel catches. Chi-square analyses (Simpson et al. 1960) indicated that unequal sex ratios were not uncommon (Table 16). Of the nine king mackerel schools, four sex ratios departed significantly from a one-to-one ratio. Males were more numerous in two schools and females were more numerous in seven. Two of the thirteen Spanish mackerel schools had a sex ratio significantly different from a one-to-one ratio with a preponderance of males (Table 16). No explanation of these divergent sex ratios is readily available.

## CONCLUSIONS

l. Catches by purse seines have been less than 38 of the commercial catch of Gulf Migratory Group king mackerel, and less than 3\% of the commercial catch of Spanish mackerel.
2. At the point when the size and species of the seined fish can be determined, the observers believe that the fish can be released unharmed. The maximum mortality that may occur at this release point is subjectively estimated to be about ten percent.
3. King mackerel catches, all of which have been made in the Atlantic, most often have little by-catch. When large mixed-species catches are made, king mackerel may be the by-catch rather than the target species. Spanish mackerel occur more often in large mixed-species catches and as by-catches than king mackerel do.
4. King mackerel caught by purse seines included fish of larger sizes than those caught in both the recreational and commercial (both hook-and-line and gill net) catches of southeast Florida.
5. About $20 \%$ of king mackerel and about $10 \%$ of Spanish mackerel in purse seine catches are likely to be immature fish.
6. Significant differences from $1: 1$ sex ratios occur in purseseined mackerel catches.
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Table 1. Summary of data on observed purse seine trips.

| Fishing year | No. vessels |  | No. trips |  |  | No. tripscatching mackerel |  | Catch (pounds) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. trips } \\ & \text { catching other spp. } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. trips } \\ & \text { with no catch } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Registered seine seine | On which observers served | Atlantic (A) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gulf } \\ \text { (G) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Both | King | Spanish | King | Spanish | Atlantic | Gulf | Atlantic | Gulf |
| Mar-Jun '83 | 18 | 4 | 36* | 8 | 44 | 2(A) | 1 (A) | 20,102(A) | 500(A) | 8 | 5 | 25 | 3 |
| Jul '83-Jun '84 | 12 | 7 | 92** | 35 | 127 | $11(\mathrm{~A})$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4(\mathrm{~A}) \\ & 6(\mathrm{G}) \end{aligned}$ | 134,643(A) | $\begin{aligned} & 68,366(\mathrm{~A}) \\ & 37,055(\mathrm{G}) \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 19 | 63 | 10 |
| Jul '84-Jun ${ }^{\text {' }} 85$ | 11 | 4 | 81*** | 10 | 91 | 7(A) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{g ( A )} \\ & \mathbf{1 ( G )} \end{aligned}$ | 56,620(A) | $\begin{array}{r} 137,994(\mathrm{~A}) \\ 9,300(\mathrm{G}) \end{array}$ | 9 | 3 | 58 | 6 |
| Jul '85-Mar ${ }^{\text {d }} 8$ | 11 | 1 | $43^{* * * *}$ | 0 | 43 | 2(A) | $9(\mathrm{~A})$ | 30,819(A) | 283,970 (A) | 5 | 0 | 28 | 0 |
| total |  |  | 252 | 53 | 305 | $22!$ | $301 /$ | 242,184 | 537,185 | 37 | 27 | 174 | 19 |

*FL DNR placed observers on 7.5 of these trips (one trip had one DNR observer and one NMFS observer).
**FL DNR placed observers on 19 of these trips.
***FL DNR placed observers on 25 of these trips.
****FL DNR placed observers on 6 of these trips
1/ Four trips caught both king and Spanish mackerel simultaneously.

Table 2. Commercial landings (pounds) of Gulf Migratory Group king mackerel (from E. Snell).

|  | $3 / 1 / 83-6 / 30 / 83$ | $7 / 1 / 83-6 / 30 / 84$ | $7 / 1 / 84-6 / 30 / 85$ | $7 / 1 / 85-2 / 28 / 86$ | $3 / 1 / 83-2 / 28 / 86$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hook and line | 669,000 | $1,678,000$ | $1,809,000$ | $1,806,000$ | $5,962,000$ |
| Gill net | 908,000 | $1,069,000$ | 968,000 | $1,185,000$ | $4,130,000$ |
| Purse seine | 20,102 | 134,643 | 56,620 | $32,486 \%$ | 243,851 |
| Total commercial | $1,597,102$ | $2,881,643$ | $2,833,620$ | $3,023,486$ | $10,335,000$ |
| Percentage of total <br> commercial catch by <br> purse seine | $1.26 \%$ | $4,67 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $1.07 \%$ | $2.36 \%$ |
| Purse seine quota | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 284,000 |  |

* Includes 1,667 lbs taken in northern gulf as a by-catch. No observer was present or required since king mackerel were less than $1 \%$ of the total catch.

Table 3. Commercial landings (pounds) of Spanish mackerel (from E. Snell).

|  | $\begin{array}{r} 3 / 1 / 83- \\ 12 / 31 / 83 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1984 | 1985 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 / 1 / 86- \\ & 2 / 28 / 86 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 / 1 / 83- \\ & 2 / 28 / 86 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlantic total | 2,911,000 | 4,835,000 | 3,840,000 | 1,939,000 | 13,525,000 |
| Purse seine catch | 21,250 | 62,986 | 189,224 | 175,370 | 448,830 |
| Purse seine \% | 0.73 | 1.30 | 4.93 | 9.04 | 3.32 |
| Purse seine quota | 300,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 |  |
| Gulf total | 912,000 | 1,224,000 | 1,954,000 | 700,000 | 4,790,000 |
| Purse seine catch | 37,055 | 9,300 | 11,567* | 0 | 57,922 |
| Purse seine \% | 4.06 | 0.76 | 0.59 | 0 | 1.21 |
| Purse seine quota | 300,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 |  |
| Overall total | 3,823,000 | 6,059,000 | 5,794,000 | 2,639,000 | 18,315,000 |
| Purse seine catch | 58,305 | 72,286 | 200,791 | 175,370 | 506,752 |
| Purse seine \% | 1.53 | 1.19 | 3.47 | 6.65 | 2.77 |

* Taken in northern gulf as by-catch. No observer was present or required since Spanish mackerel were less than $10 \%$ of total catch.

Table 4. Species composition of observed king mackerel catches.

| Date of catch | Area of catch $\quad$ mack | ch of king kerel (lbs) | Catch of other species (lbs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mar '83 | Ft. Pierce | 12,057 | Negligible |
| Mar 183 | Ft. Pierce | 8,045 | Negligible |
| Jan ${ }^{\prime} 84$ | Ft. Pierce | 1,880 | Negligible |
| Jan ' 84 | Ft. Pierce | 7,120 | Negligible |
| Feb ' 84 | Atlantic side of Keys | 1,515 | Negligible |
| Feb '84 | Ft. Pierce | 7,500 | Negligible |
| Feb ' 84 | Ft. Pierce | 16,023 | Negligible |
| Feb ' 84 | Ft. Pierce | 9,000 | Negligible |
| Feb '84 | Ft. Pierce | 28,100 | Negligible |
| Feb ' 84 | Ft. Pierce | 15,121 | 3,500 Blue runner |
| Mar 184 | Ft. Pierce | 1,454 | 4,546 Spanish mackerel |
| Mar 184 | Ft. Pierce | 12,500 | 5,000 Blue runner |
| Mar '84 | Ft. Pierce | 34,430 | Negligible |
| Dec ' 84 | Ft. Pierce | 170 | 8,370 Crevalle jack, <br> 7,070 Spanish mackerel, <br> 6,000 Atlantic bumper, and <br> 1,500 Little tunny |
| Feb ' 85 | Ft. Pierce | 280 | 28,000 Spanish mackerel, 600 Croaker, 550 Seatrout |
| Mar '85 | Ft. Pierce | 6,621 | Negligible |
| Mar '85 | Ft. Fierce | 3,276 | Negligible |
| Mar ' 85 | Ft. Pierce | 23,693 | Negligible |
| Mar ' 85 | Ft. Pierce | 12.280 | Negligible |
| Mar '85 | Ft. Pierce | 10,300 | Negligible |
| Jan 186 | Ft. Pierce | 30,309 | Negligible |
| Feb ' 86 | Ft. Pierce | 510 | 20,378 Spanish mackerel 1,500 Blue runner |
| TOTAL POUNDS |  | 242,184 | 87,014 |

Table 5. Species composition of observed Spanish mackerel catches.

| Date of catch | Area of  <br> catch Catch | of Spanish erel (lbs) | ```Catch of other species (lbs)``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apr 183 | Ft. Pierce | 500 | 80,000 Crevalle jack |
| Jul 183 | Louisiana | 3,350 | ```35,000 Red drum, 11,000 Blue runner, 6,000 Little tunny, and 3,300 Crevalle jack``` |
| Jul 183 | Louisiana | 1,500 | 65,000 Black drum |
| Jul '83 | Louisiana | 3,593 | 20,000 "other fishes" |
| Nov '83 | Gulf side of Keys | 16,400 | 2,500 Atlantic thread herring |
| Nov '83 | Gulf side of Keys | 10,893 | Negligible |
| Dec ' 83 | Gulf side of Keys | 1,319 | Negligible |
| Dec ' 83 | Ft. Pierce | 20,750 | Negligible |
| Jan '84 | Ft. Pierce | 600 | 23,000 Blue runner |
| Mar 184 | Ft. Pierce | 42,470 | Negligible |
| Mar : 84 | Ft. Pierce | 4,546 | 1,454 King mackerel |
| Aug '84 | Louisiana | 9,300 | 23,000 Little tunny, <br> 8,000 Crevalle jack, <br> 6,000 Butterfish, and 600 Shark |
| Dec ' 84 | Ft. Pierce | 7,070 | 8,370 Crevalle jack, <br> 6,000 Atlantic bumper, <br> 1,500 Little tunny, and 170 King mackerel |
| Dec ${ }^{1} 84$ | Ft. Pierce | 8,300 | 1,141 Blue runner |
| Jan '85 | Ft. Pierce | 5,800 | Negligible |
| Jan '85 | Ft. Pierce | 1,500 | Negligible |
| Feb '85 | Ft. Pierce | 38,100 | 6,000 Herrings |
| Feb '85 | Ft. Pierce | 300 | Negligible |
| Feb '85 | Ft. Pierce | 28,000 | 600 Croaker, 550 Seatrout, 280 King mackerel |
| Feb '85 | Ft, Pierce | 24,624 | Negligible |
| Mar '85 | Ft. Pierce | 24,300 | Negligible |

Table 5. Continued

| Date of catch | Area of catch | Catch of Spanish mackerel (1bs) | Catch of other species (lbs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dec 185 | Ft. Pierce | 66,600 | Negligible |
| Jan ' 86 | Ft. Pierce | 19,175 | Negligible |
| Jan ' 86 | Ft. Pierce | 56,650 | Neglibible |
| Feb ' 86 | Ft. Pierce | 14,017 | Negligible |
| Feb ' 86 | Ft. Pierce | 51,750 | Negligible |
| Feb ' 86 | Ft. Pierce | 13,400 | 1,000 Herrings |
| Feb ' 86 | Ft. Pierce | 20,378 | 1,500 Blue runner 510 King mackerel |
| Mar 186 | Ft. Pierce | 16,500 | 1,083 Blue runner |
| Mar 186 | Ft. Pierce | 25,500 | Negligible |
| TOTAL POUNDS |  | 537,185 | 312,658 |

Table 6. Species and quantities (lbs) landed on observed purse seine trips on which no mackerels were landed. Quantities may be actual weights or observers' estimates.

| Date of <br> catch | Area of <br> catch | Species and quantities (lbs) landed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

Mar ' 83
Ft. Pierce
Mar ' 83
Mar 183
Mar 183
Mar 183
Ft. Pierce
Mar 183
Ft. Pierce
Mar 183
Apr 183
Apr 183
May ' 83 Northern Gulf
May ' 83 Northern Gulf
Jun ' 83 Northern Gulf
Jun ' 83 Northern Gulf
Jul ' 83 Northern Gulf

Jul ' 83 Northern Gulf
Aug ' 83 Northern Gulf

Aug ' 83
Aug ' 83 Northern Gulf
Aug ' 83 Northern Gulf
Aug 183 Northern Gulf
Sep ' 83 Northern Gulf
Sep ' 83 Northern Gulf
Sep ' 83 Northern Gulf
Sep 183 Northern Gulf

Blue runner $(4,000)$
Blue runner $(4,700)$
Blue runner $(3,400)$
Blue runner $(2,000)$
Blue runner $(2,452)$
Crevalle jack $(68,000)$
Crevalle jack $(28,000)$
Crevalle jack $(21,000)$
Scaled sardine $(12,000)$
Blue runner $(50,000)$, Little tunny $(20,000)$
Red drum $(75,400)$, Blue runner $(6,500)$
Blue runner $(7,000)$
Blue runner, Little tunny, Bumper mixed $(16,000)$
Blue runner $(4,600)$, Little tunny $(1,400)$
Bumper $(1,500)$, Thread herring $(1,500)$
Red drum $(40,000)$, Blue runner $(3,500)$, Little tunny $(2,000)$

Red drum $(20,000)$
Red drum $(85,000)$, Blue runner $(10,500)$
Red drum $(80,000)$, Blue runner $(32,000)$
Red drum $(60,000)$, Blue runner $(60,000)$
Black drum $(135,000)$
Black drum $(65,000)$, Red drum $(15,000)$
Blue runner $(10,800)$, Little tunny $(3,240)$
Red drum $(111,000)$, Blue runner $(12,500)$

Table 6. Continued

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Date of } \\ \text { catch } \end{gathered}$ | Area of catch | Species and quantities (1bs) landed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sep ' 83 | Northern Gulf | Red drum $(50,000)$, Blue runner $(21,500)$ |
| Oct ${ }^{\text {d }} 8$ | Northern Gulf | Black drum $(125,000)$ |
| Nov ' 83 | Florida Keys | Crevalle jack (33,150) |
| Dec 183 | Northern Gulf | Blue runner $(123,000)$, Little tunny $(11,500)$, Red drum ( 1,000 ) |
| Dec ' 83 | Ft. Pierce | Blue runner (7,000) |
| Dec 183 | Northern Gulf | Blue runner ( 82,000 ) |
| Dec 183 | Ft. Pierce | Mullet (20,000) |
| Dec 183 | Ft. Pierce | Crevalle jack (50,000) |
| Jan ' 84 | Northern Gulf | Black drum $(135,000)$ |
| Jan ' 84 | Ft. Pierce | Blue runner (91,350) |
| Feb 184 | Florida Keys | Blue runner ( 1,000 ) |
| Feb ' 84 | Ft. Pierce | Crevalle jack (40,000) |
| Feb 184 | Florida Keys | Crevalle jack (11,000) |
| Feb ' 84 | Ft. Pierce | Crevalle jack (35, 673 ) |
| Feb 184 | Ft. Pierce | Crevalle jack (15,000) |
| Mar 184 | Ft. Pierce | Blue runner ( 5,000 ) |
| Mar 184 | Ft. Pierce | Crevalle jack (93,000) |
| Mar 184 | Ft. Pierce | Little tunny $(30,000)$, Blue runner $(5,000)$ |
| Mar 184 | Ft. Pierce | Blue runner ( 28,000 ) |
| Mar 184 | Ft. Pierce | Crevalle jack (65,000) |
| Mar ' 84 | Ft. Pierce | Blue runner (l, 000) |
| Mar 184 | Ft. Pierce | Crevalle jack (34,000) |
| May 184 | Northern Gulf | Red drum $(100,000)$, Blue runner $(3,500)$ |
| Aug ' 84 | Northern Gulf | Black drum $(60,000)$, Red drum $(25,000)$ |
| Sep ' 84 | Northern Gulf | Little tunny ( 12,000 ) , Blue runner $(6,000)$ |
| Dec 184 | Northern Gulf | Black drum $(125.000)$, Red drum $(14,200)$ |

Table 6. Continued

| Date of cat゙ch | Area of catch | Species and quantities (1bs) landed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dec 184 | Ft. Pierce | Bigeye scad ( 35,000 ) |
| Jan 185 | Ft. Pierce | Crevalle jack (15,000) |
| Jan ' 85 | Ft. Pierce | Crevalle jack ( 30,000 ) |
| Jan ' 85 | Ft. Pierce | Crevalle jack ( 70,000 ) |
| Feb ' 85 | Ft. Pierce | Blue runner ( 9,900 ) |
| Feb ' 85 | Ft. Pierce | Blue runner ( 60,000 ) |
| Feb ' 85 | Ft. Pierce | Blue runner ( 35,000 ) |
| Feb '85 | Ft. Pierce | Blue runner ( 25,000 ) |
| Apr 185 | Ft. Pierce | Crevalle jack ( 55,000 ) |
| Dec ' 86 | Ft. Pierce | Crevalle jack (64,300) |
| Dec ' 86 | Ft. Pierce | Crevalle jack ( 32,000 ) |
| Dec 186 | Ft. Pierce | Crevalle jack ( 75,000 ) |
| Dec ' 86 | Ft. Pierce | Crevalle jack ( 90,000 ) |
| Jan '86 | Ft. Pierce | Crevalle jack (15,800) |
| TOTAL |  | 3,146,865 16s |

Table 7. Summary of fork length data on observed king mackerel purse seine catches. All catches made in Atlantic.

| Month/Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & (1 \mathrm{bs}) \end{aligned}$ |  | Fork length (mm) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | र | S.D. | Range |
| Mar 1983 | 8,045 |  | 204 | 777.5 | 56.9 | 611-915 |
| Mar 1983 | 12,057 |  | 301 | 748.7 | 58.5 | 554-1,215 |
| Jan 1984 | 7,120 |  | 205 | 730.0 | 89.7 | 606-1,254 |
| Jan 1984 | 1,880 |  | 177 | 816.0 | 74.0 | 575-945 |
| Feb 1984 | 1,515 |  | 192 | 784.9 | 85.3 | 620-1,004 |
| Feb 1984 | 7,500 |  | 259 | 687.3 | 57.0 | 586-851 |
| Feb 1984 | 16,023 |  | 215 | 712.4 | 68.0 | 635-855 |
| Feb 1984 | 9,000 |  | 65 | 786.4 | 132.8 | 601-1,072 |
| Feb 1984 | 15,121 |  | 416 | 809.7 | 100.2 | 595-1,155 |
| Feb 1984 | 28,100 |  | 485 | 962.8 | 102.1 | 620-1,380 |
| Mar 1984 | 1,454 |  | 35 | 688.1 | 178.4 | 465-1,090 |
| Mar 1984 | 12,500 |  | 295 | 920.2 | 162.6 | 585-1,250 |
| Mar 1984 | 34,430 |  | 453 | 911.7 | 110.5 | 580-1,140 |
| Dec 1984 | 170 |  |  | (Data | $t$ avail |  |
| Feb 1985 | 280 |  | 56 | 425.0 | 25.2 | 370-510 |
| Mar 1985 | 6,621 | Set 1 | 54 | 913.0 | 51.3 | 800-1,020 |
|  |  | Set 2 | 172 | 811.0 | 103.4 | 600-1,120 |
| Mar 1985 | 3,276 | Set 1 | 13 | 909.6 | 102.8 | 790-1,170 |
|  |  | Set 2 | 185 | 991.2 | 86.8 | 810-1,270 |
| Mar 1985 | 23,693 |  | 350 | 781.4 | 105.5 | 560-1,005 |
| Mar 1985 | 12,280 | Set 1 | 141 | 892.0 | 100.9 | 625-1,110 |
|  |  | Set 2 | 304 | 907.6 | 83.1 | 700-1,150 |
| Mar 1985 | 10,300 |  | 13 | 949.7 | 102.4 | 740-1,075 |
| Jan 1986 | 30,309 |  | 211 | 1,143.8 | 96.2 | 850-1,550 |
| Feb 1986 | 510 |  | 57 | 539.5 | 79.5 | 390-680 |
| TOTAL CATCH | 242,184 |  | 4,858 |  |  | 370-1,550 |

Table 8. Length-frequency tables of purse-seined king mackerel. The tables represent the catches in the same sequence shown in Table 7.



| ---Class Limits---- | Frequency | Percent | --.--Cumulative----- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $601.00<701.00$ | 90 | 43.90 | 90 | 43.90 |
| $701.00<801.00$ | 80 | 39.02 | 170 | 82.93 |
| $801.00<901.00$ | 26 | 12.68 | 196 | 95.61 |
| $901.00<1001.00$ | 8 | 3.90 | 204 | 99.51 |
| $1201.00<1301.00$ | 1 | . 49 | 205 | 100.00 |
| Total | 205 | 100.00 |  |  |


| ---Class Limits--- | Frequency | Percent | -----Cumulative----- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $501.00<601.00$ | 1 | . 56 | 1 | . 56 |
| $601.00<701.00$ | 11 | 6.21 | 12 | 6.78 |
| $701.00<801.00$ | 51 | 28.81 | 63 | 35.59 |
| $801.00<901.00$ | 100 | 56.50 | 163 | 92.09 |
| $901.00<1001.00$ | 14 | 7.91 | 177 | 100.00 |
| Total | 177 | 100.00 |  |  |


| ---Class Limits---- | Frequency | Percent | --.-.Cumulative--.-.- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $601.00<701.00$ | 28 | 14.58 | 28 | 14.58 |
| 701.00 < 801.00 | 90 | 46.88 | 118 | 61.46 |
| $801.00<901.00$ | 56 | 29.17 | 174 | 90.63 |
| $901.00<1001.00$ | 17 | 8.85 | 191 | 99.48 |
| 1001.00 < 1101.00 | 1 | . 52 | 192 | 100.00 |
| Total | 192 | 100.00 |  |  |


| ---Class Limits-- |  | Frequency | Percent | ----Cumulative----- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  | Percent |
| $501.00<$ | 601.00 |  | 8 | 3.09 | 8 | 3.09 |
| $601.00<$ | 701.00 | 153 | 59.07 | 161 | 62.16 |
| $701.00<$ | 801.00 | 94 | 36.29 | 255 | 98.46 |
| $801.00<$ | 901.00 | 4 | 1.54 | 259 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 259 | 100.00 |  |  |


| ---Class Limits--- |  | Frequency | Percent | ----Cumulative--m- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  | Percent |
| 601.00 < | 701.00 |  | 107 | 49.77 | 107 | 49.77 |
| 701.00 < | 801.00 | 84 | 39.07 | 191 | 88.84 |
| 801.00 < | 901.00 | 24 | 11.16 | 215 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 215 | 100.00 |  |  |

Table 8. Continued.

| ---Class Limits--- | Frequency | Percent | ----Cumulative---.- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $501.00<601.00$ | 2 | 3.08 | 2 | 3.08 |
| $601.00<701.00$ | 19 | 29.23 | 21 | 32.31 |
| $701.00<801.00$ | 17 | 26.15 | 38 | 58.46 |
| $801.00<901.00$ | 13 | 20.00 | 51 | 78.46 |
| $901.00<1001.00$ | 9 | 13.85 | 60 | 92.31 |
| $1001.00<1101.00$ | 5 | 7.69 | 65 | 100.00 |
| Total | 65 | 100.00 |  |  |


| --Class Limits--- | Frequency | Percent | -.-.-Cumulative-m-m |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $501.00<601.00$ | 2 | . 48 | 2 | . 48 |
| $601.00<701.00$ | 57 | 13.70 | 59 | 14.18 |
| $701.00<801.00$ | 135 | 32.45 | 194 | 46.63 |
| $801.00<901.00$ | 150 | 36.06 | 344 | 82.69 |
| $901.00<1001.00$ | 62 | 14.90 | 406 | 97.60 |
| $1001.00<1101.00$ | 9 | 2.16 | 415 | 99.76 |
| $1101.00<1201.00$ | 1 | . 24 | 416 | 100.00 |
| Total | 416 | 100.00 |  |  |


| Class Limits--- | Frequency | Percent | ----Cumulative---- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $601.00<701.00$ | 1 | . 21 | 1 | . 21 |
| $701.00<801.00$ | 14 | 2.89 | 15 | 3.09 |
| $801.00<901.00$ | 118 | 24.33 | 133 | 27.42 |
| $901.00<1001.00$ | 196 | 40.41 | 329 | 67.84 |
| $1001.00<1101.00$ | 116 | 23.92 | 445 | 91.75 |
| $1101.00<1201.00$ | 31 | 6.39 | 476 | 98.14 |
| $1201.00<1301.00$ | 8 | 1.65 | 484 | 99.79 |
| $1301.00<1401.00$ | 1 | . 21 | 485 | 100.00 |
| Total | 485 | 100.00 |  |  |

Table 8. Continued.

| -_Class Limits-_- | Frequency | Percent | --.--Cumulative---- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $401.00<501.00$ | 6 | 17.14 | 6 | 17.14 |
| $501.00<601.00$ | 4 | 11.43 | 10 | 28.57 |
| $601.00<701.00$ | 14 | 40.00 | 24 | 68.57 |
| $701.00<801.00$ | 2 | 5.71 | 26 | 74.29 |
| $801.00<901.00$ | 1 | 2.86 | 27 | 77.14 |
| $901.00<1001.00$ | 7 | 20.00 | 34 | 97.14 |
| $1001.00<1101.00$ | 1 | 2.86 | 35 | 100.00 |
| Total | 35 | 100.00 |  |  |
|  | -12,500 | catch |  | - |
|  |  |  | -..--Cumulative---m |  |
| ---Class Limits---- | Frequency | Percent | Frequency | Percent |
| $501.00<601.00$ | 7 | 2.37 | 7 | 2.37 |
| $601.00<701.00$ | 42 | 14.24 | 49 | 16.61 |
| $701.00<801.00$ | 21 | 7.12 | 70 | 23.73 |
| $801.00<901.00$ | 28 | 9.49 | 98 | 33.22 |
| $901.00<1001.00$ | 96 | 32.54 | 194 | 65.76 |
| $1001.00<1101.00$ | 72 | 24.41 | 266 | 90.17 |
| $1101.00<1201.00$ | 26 | 8.81 | 292 | 98.98 |
| $1201.00<1301.00$ | 3 | 1.02 | 295 | 100.00 |
| Total | 295 | 100.00 |  |  |


|  | Frequency | Percent | ----Cumulative---m |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ---Class Limits--- |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $501.00<601.00$ | 6 | 1.32 | 6 | 1.32 |
| $601.00<701.00$ | 25 | 5.52 | 31 | 6.84 |
| 701.00 < 801.00 | 28 | 6.18 | 59 | 13.02 |
| $801.00<901.00$ | 103 | 22.74 | 162 | 35.76 |
| $901.00<1001.00$ | 214 | 47.24 | 376 | 83.00 |
| $1001.00<1101.00$ | 74 | 16.34 | 450 | 99.34 |
| $1101.00<1201.00$ | 3 | . 66 | 453 | 100.00 |
| Total | 453 | 100.00 |  |  |

Table 8. Continued.

| ---Class | Limits---- | Frequency | Percent | --.-Cumulative--.-- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $301.00<$ | 401.00 | 6 | 10.71 | 6 | 10.71 |
| $401.00<$ | 501.00 | 49 | 87.50 | 55 | 98.21 |
| 501.00 < | 601.00 | 1 | 1.79 | 56 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 56 | 100.00 |  |  |


| Class Limits--- | Frequency | Percent | --Cumulative------ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $801.00<901.00$ | 23 | 42.59 | 23 | 42.59 |
| $901.00<1001.00$ | 28. | 51.86 | 51 | 94.44 |
| $1001.00<1101.00$ | 3 | 5.56 | 54 | 100.00 |
| Total | 54 | 100.00 |  |  |


| ---Class Limits--- | Frequency | Percent | ---Cumulative----- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $601.00<701.00$ | 22 | 12.79 | 22 | 12.79 |
| $701.00<801.00$ | 65 | 37.79 | 87 | 50.58 |
| $801.00<901.00$ | 52 | 30.24 | 139 | 80.81 |
| $901.00<1001.00$ | 26 | 15.12 | 165 | 95.93 |
| $1001.00<1101.00$ | 4 | 2.33 | 169 | 98.26 |
| $1101.00<1201.00$ | 3 | 1.74 | 172 | 100.00 |
| Total | 172 | 100.00 |  |  |


| --Class Limits--- | Frequency <br> Percent |  | -----Cumulative----- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $701.00<801.00$ | 1 | 7.69 | 1 | 7.69 |
| $801.00<901.00$ | 7 | 53.84 | 8 | 61.54 |
| $901.00<1001.00$ | 3 | 23.07 | 11 | 84.62 |
| $1001.00<1101.00$ | 1 | 7.69 | 12 | 92.31 |
| $1101.00<1201.00$ | 1 | 7.69 | 13 | 100.00 |
| Total | 13 | 100.00 |  |  |

Table 8. Continued.


| ---Class Limits--- | Frequency | Percent | --_--Cumulative------ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $601.00<701.00$ | 3 | 2.13 | 3 | 2.13 |
| $701.00<801.00$ | 25 | 17.73 | 28 | 19.86 |
| $801.00<901.00$ | 50 | 35.46 | 78 | 55.32 |
| $901.00<1001.00$ | 37 | 26.24 | 115 | 81.56 |
| $1001.00<1101.00$ | 25 | 17.73 | 140 | 99.29 |
| $1101.00<1201.00$ | 1 | . 71 | 141 | 100.00 |
| Total | 141 | 100.00 |  |  |

Table 8. Continued

| ----Class Limits--- |  | Frequency | Percent | ------Cumulative------ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  | Percent |
| $701.00<$ | 801.00 |  | 33 | 10.86 | 33 | 10.86 |
| $801.00<$ | 901.00 | 102 | 33.55 | 135 | 44.41 |
| $901.00<$ | 1001.00 | 129 | 42.43 | 264 | 86.84 |
| $1001.00<$ | 1101.00 | 38 | 12.50 | 302 | 99.34 |
| $1101.00<$ | 1201.00 | 2 | . 66 | 304 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 304 | 100.00 |  |  |


| ----Class | Limits---- | Frequency | Percent | ------Cumulative------ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $701.00<$ | 801.00 | 2 | 15.38 | 2 | 15.38 |
| $801.00<$ | 901.00 | 2 | 15.38 | 4 | 30.76 |
| $901.00<$ | 1001.00 | 4 | 30.77 | 8 | 61.53 |
| $1001.00<$ | 1101.00 | 5 | 38.46 | 13 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 13 | 100.00 |  |  |


| ----Class Limits---- | Frequency | Percent | ------Cumulative------ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $801.00<901.00$ | 1 | . 47 | 1 | . 47 |
| $901.00<1001.00$ | 11 | 5.21 | 12 | 5.68 |
| $1001.00<1101.00$ | 51 | 24.17 | 63 | 29.85 |
| $1101.00<1201.00$ | 95 | 45.02 | 158 | 74.87 |
| $1201.00<1301.00$ | 46 | 21.80 | 204 | 96.67 |
| $1301.00<1401.00$ | 5 | 2.37 | 209 | 99.04 |
| $1401.00<1501.00$ | 1 | . 47 | 210 | 99.51 |
| $1501.00<1601.00$ | 1 | . 47 | 211 | 100.00 |
| Total | 211 | 100.00 |  |  |

510 lb catch


Table 9. Length frequency distribution of purse seined king mackerel (adjusted for weight of landings).

| Fork length midpoint | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: |
| 350 | 0.02 |
| 450 | 0.27 |
| 550 | 1.28 |
| 650 | 13.12 |
| 750 | 21.29 |
| 850 | 19.57 |
| 950 | 21.13 |
| 1050 | 12.73 |
| 1150 | 7.12 |
| 1250 | 3.03 |
| 1350 | 0.32 |
| 1450 | 0.06 |
| 1550 | 0.06 |

Table 10. King mackerel length frequency distributions by various gear types. Purse seine catches are adjusted for weight of landings. Other data from Trent, Godcharles, Palko, Collins, and Trimble (manuscript in preparation).

| Fork length midpoint (mm) | Purse seine | Commercial hook and line | Gill net | Headboat | Recreational hook and line |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 350 | 0.02 |  | 0.55 | 0.35 | 0.31 |
| 450 | 0.27 |  | 0.72 | 1.73 | 2.20 |
| 550 | 1.28 | 2.91 | 0.09 | 9. 34 | 12.44 |
| 650 | 13.12 | 25.74 | 10.24 | 24.22 | 22.05 |
| 750 | 21.29 | 44.05 | 47.67 | 23.94 | 24.25 |
| 850 | 19.57 | 20.19 | 30.26 | 24.65 | 20.58 |
| 950 | 21.13 | 5.39 | 7.39 | 11.11 | 12.44 |
| 1050 | 12.73 | 1.25 | 2.31 | 3.61 | 4.67 |
| 1150 | 7.12 | 0.28 | 0.61 | 0.71 | 1.00 |
| 1250 | 3.03 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.06 |
| 1350 | 0.32 |  | 0.02 | 0.04 |  |
| 1450 | 0.06 |  |  | 0.08 |  |
| 1550 | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |
| 1650 |  |  |  | 0.04 |  |

Table 11. Summary of fork length data on observed Spanish mackerel purse seine catches.

| Month/Year | (1bs) |  | Fork length (mm) |  |  |  | Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | S.D. | Range |  |
| Apr 1983 | 500 |  | (Data not available) |  |  |  | Atlantic |
| Jul 1983 | 3,350 |  | 400 | 362.6 | 40.4 | 300-560 | Gulf |
| Jul 1983 | 1,500 |  | 259 | 364.6 | 31.1 | 306-458 | Gulf |
| Jul 1983 | 3,593 |  | 400 | 363.6 | 30.7 | 260-450 | Gulf |
| Nov 1983 | 16,400 |  | 432 | 439.3 | 44.6 | 355-570 | Gulf |
| Nov 1983 | 10,893 |  | 405 | 422.8 | 35.0 | 327-545 | Gulf |
| Dec 1983 | 1,319 |  | 100 | 432.5 | 34.6 | 362-510 | Gulf |
| Dec 1983 | 20,750 |  | 437 | 400.3 | 40.9 | 320-570 | Atlantic |
| Jan 1984 | 600 |  | 107 | 322.7 | 29.4 | 272-386 | Atlantic |
| Mar 1984 | 42,470 |  | 338 | 412.5 | 32.5 | 355-525 | Atlantic |
| Mar 1984 | 4,546 |  | 238 | 452.5 | 42.5 | 390-625 | Atlantic |
| Aug 1984 | 9,300 |  | (Data not available) |  |  |  | Gulf |
| Dec 1984 | 7,070 |  | 199 | 443.3 | 71.9 | 326-726 | Atlantic |
| Dec 1984 | 8,300 |  | 208 | 437.8 | 42.8 | 326-526 | Atlantic |
| Jan 1985 | 5,800 |  | (Data not available) |  |  |  | Atlantic |
| Jan 1985 | 1,500 |  | 197 | 425.2 | 50.1 | 323-573 | Atlantic |
| Feb 1985 | 38,100 |  | 360 | 386.9 | 33.2 | 303-538 | Atlantic |
| Feb 1985 | 300 |  | 100 | 363.5 | 24.4 | 313-438 | Atlantic |
| Feb 1985 | 28,000 |  | 496 | 416.2 | 40.7 | 330-640 | Atlantic |
| Feb 1985 | 24,624 | Set | 1210 | 365.0 | 40.9 | 310-480 | Atlantic |
|  |  | Set 2 | 2237 | 367.0 | 38.2 | 290-490 | Atlantic |
| Mar 1985 | 24,300 |  | 343 | 394.7 | 33.3 | 310-500 | Atlantic |
| Dec 1985 | 66,600 |  | 198 | 523.0 | 43.3 | 430-630 | Atlantic |
| Jan 1986 | 19,175 |  | 208 | 576.2 | 92.1 | 370-740 | Atlantic |
| Jan 1986 | 56,650 |  | 232 | 465.3 | 77.2 | 330-720 | Atlantic |
|  |  |  |  | 26 |  |  |  |

Table ll. Continued

| Month/Year | (1bs) | Fork length (mm) |  |  |  | Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | $\overline{\bar{x}}$ | S.D. | Range |  |
| Feb 1986 | 14,017 | 204 | 506.2 | 51.3 | 360-630 | Atlantic |
| Feb 1986 | 51,750 | 458 | 405.6 | 35.7 | 330-500 | Atlantic |
| Feb 1986 | 13,400 | 209 | 476.0 | 63.0 | 320-630 | Atlantic |
| Feb 1986 | 20,378 | 206 | 411.6 | 45.6 | 330-570 | Atlantic |
| Mar 1986 | 16,500 | 214 | 575.0 | 73.0 | 420-740 | Atlantic |
| Mar 1986 | 25,500 | 211 | 425.7 | 50.2 | 340-630 | Atlantic |
| TOTAL CATCH | 537,185 | 7,606 |  |  | 260-740 |  |

Table 12. Length-frequency tables of purse-seined Spanish mackerel. The tables represent the catches in the same sequence shown in Table 11.

| ---Class Limits---- |  | Frequency | Percent | -----Cumulative--m- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  | Percent |
| $251.00<$ | 301.00 |  | 1 | . 25 | 1 | . 25 |
| $301.00<$ | 351.00 | 164 | 41.00 | 165 | 41.25 |
| $351.00<$ | 401.00 | 197 | 49.25 | 362 | 90.50 |
| $401.00<$ | 451.00 | 25 | 6.25 | 387 | 96.75 |
| $451.00<$ | 501.00 | 7 | 1.75 | 394 | 98.50 |
| $501.00<$ | 551.00 | 4 | 1.00 | 398 | 99.50 |
| $551.00<$ | 601.00 | 2 | . 50 | 500 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.00 |  |  |


| -Class Limits---- |  | Frequency | Percent | -----Cumulative----- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  | Percent |
| $301.00<$ | 351.00 |  | 84 | 32.43 | 84 | 32.43 |
| $351.00<$ | 401.00 | 152 | 58.69 | 236 | 91.12 |
| $401.00<$ | 451.00 | 21 | 8.11 | 257 | 99.23 |
| 451.00 < | 501.00 | 2 | . 77 | 259 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 259 | 100.00 |  |  |


| Class Limits--- |  | Frequency | Percent | -----Cumulative----- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  | Percent |
| $251.00<$ | 301.00 |  | 8 | 2.00 | 8 | 2.00 |
| $301.00<$ | 351.00 | 113 | 28.25 | 121 | 30.25 |
| $351.00<$ | 401.00 | 249 | 62.25 | 370 | 92.50 |
| 401.00 < | 451.00 | 30 | 7.50 | 400 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.00 |  |  |

Table 12. Continued.

| --Class Limits---- |  | Frequency | Percent | ----Cumulative---..- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  | Percent |
| $351.00<$ | 401.00 |  | 78 | 18.06 | 78 | 18.06 |
| $401.00<$ | 451.00 | 204 | 47.22 | 282 | 65.28 |
| $451.00<$ | 501.00 | 115 | 26.62 | 397 | 91.90 |
| $501.00<$ | 551.00 | 27 | 6.25 | 424 | 98.15 |
| $551.00<$ | 601.00 | 8 | 1.85 | 432 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 432 | 100.00 |  |  |


| -Class Limits |  | Frequency | Percent | ---Cumulative---- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  | Percent |
| $301.00<$ | 351.00 |  | 3 | . 74 | 3 | . 74 |
| $351.00<$ | 401.00 | 99 | 24.44 | 102 | 25.19 |
| $401.00<$ | 451.00 | 229 | 56.54 | 331 | 81.73 |
| $451.00<$ | 501.00 | 69 | 17.04 | 400 | 98.77 |
| $501.00<$ | 551.00 | 5 | 1.23 | 405 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 405 | 100.00 |  |  |


| ---Class Limits---- |  | Frequency | Percent | --.--Cumulative---- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  | Percent |
| $351.00<$ | 401.00 |  | 16 | 16.00 | 16 | 16.00 |
| $401.00<$ | 451.00 | 57 | 57.00 | 73 | 73.00 |
| $451.00<$ | 501.00 | 25 | 25.00 | 98 | 98.00 |
| $501.00<$ | 551.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.00 |  |  |

Table 12. Continued.

| --Class Limits--- |  | Frequency | Percent | ----Cumulative--m- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  | Percent |
| $301.00<$ | 351.00 |  | 26 | 5.95 | 26 | 5.95 |
| $351.00<$ | 401.00 | 223 | 51.03 | 249 | 56.98 |
| $401.00<$ | 451.00 | 148 | 33.87 | 397 | 90.85 |
| $451.00<$ | 501.00 | 32 | 7.32 | 429 | 98.17 |
| $501.00<$ | 551.00 | 5 | 1.14 | 434 | 99.31 |
| $551.00<$ | 601.00 | 3 | . 69 | 437 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 437 | 100.00 |  |  |


| -Class Limits--- |  | Frequency | Percent | -_--Cumulative---m |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  | Percent |
| $251.00<$ | 301.00 |  | 22 | 20.56 | 22 | 20.56 |
| $301.00<$ | 351.00 | 70 | 65.42 | 92 | 85.98 |
| $351.00<$ | 401.00 | 15 | 14.02 | 107 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 107 | 100.00 |  |  |


| ---Class Limits--- |  | Frequency | Percent | ---Cumulative---- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  | Percent |
| $351.00<$ | 401.00 |  | 126 | 37.28 | 126 | 37.28 |
| $401.00<$ | 451.00 | 180 | 53.25 | 306 | 90.53 |
| $451.00<$ | 501.00 | 29 | 8.58 | 335 | 99.11 |
| $501.00<$ | 551.00 | 3 | . 89 | 338 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 338 | 100.00 |  |  |

Table 12. Continued.

| ---Class Limits---- |  | Frequency | Percent | -.-n--Cumulative------ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  | Percent |
| $351.00<$ | 401.00 |  | 10 | 4.20 | 10 | 4.20 |
| $401.00<$ | 451.00 | 126 | 52.94 | 136 | 57.14 |
| $451.00<$ | 501.00 | 77 | 32.35 | 213 | 89.50 |
| $501.00<$ | 551.00 | 19 | 7.98 | 232 | 97.48 |
| $551.00<$ | 601.00 | 3 | 1.26 | 235 | 98.74 |
| $601.00<$ | 651.00 | 3 | 1.26 | 238 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 238 | 100.00 |  |  |


| ---Class Limits---- |  | Frequency | Percent | ----Cumulative--m- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  | Percent |
| $301.00<$ | 351.00 |  | 3 | 1.51 | 3 | 1.51 |
| $351.00<$ | 401.00 | 60 | 30.15 | 63 | 31.66 |
| $401.00<$ | 451.00 | 69 | 34.67 | 132 | 66.33 |
| $451.00<$ | 501.00 | 28 | 14.07 | 160 | 80.40 |
| $501.00<$ | 551.00 | 21 | 10.55 | 181 | 90.95 |
| $551.00<$ | 601.00 | 11 | 5.53 | 192 | 96.48 |
| $601.00<$ | 651.00 | 4 | 2.01 | 196 | 98.49 |
| $651.00<$ | 701.00 | 2 | 1.01 | 198 | 99.50 |
| $701.00<$ | 751.00 | 1 | . 50 | 199 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 199 | 100.00 |  |  |


| ---Class Limits---- |  | Frequency | Percent | ----Cumulative------ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  | Percent |
| $301.00<$ | 351.00 |  | 1 | . 48 | 1 | . 48 |
| $351.00<$ | 401.00 | 37 | 17.79 | 38 | 18.27 |
| $401.00<$ | 451.00 | 99 | 47.60 | 137 | 65.87 |
| $451.00<$ | 501.00 | 54 | 25.96 | 191 | 91.83 |
| $501.00<$ | 551.00 | 17 | 8.17 | 208 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 208 | 100.00 |  |  |

Table 12. Continued.

| -Class Limits---- |  | Frequency | Percent | -_-_Cumulative-_--- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  | Percent |
| $301.00<$ | 351.00 |  | 4 | 2.03 | 4 | 2.03 |
| $351.00<$ | 401.00 | 58 | 29.44 | 62 | 31.47 |
| 401.00 < | 451.00 | 78 | 39.60 | 140 | 71.07 |
| $451.00<$ | 501.00 | 41 | 20.81 | 181 | 91.88 |
| 501.00 < | 551.00 | 13 | 6.61 | 194 | 98.48 |
| $551.00<$ | 601.00 | 3 | 1.52 | 197 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 197 | 100.00 |  |  |


| ---Class Limits--- |  | Frequency | Percent | -----Cumulative---- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  | Percent |
| $301.00<$ | 351.00 |  | 47 | 13.06 | 47 | 13.06 |
| $351.00<$ | 401.00 | 195 | 54.16 | 242 | 67.22 |
| $401.00<$ | 451.00 | 104 | 28.89 | 346 | 96.11 |
| $451.00<$ | 501.00 | 13 | 3.61 | 359 | 99.72 |
| $501.00<$ | 551.00 | 1 | . 28 | 360 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 360 | 100.00 |  |  |


| ---Class Limits--- |  | Frequency | Percent | -----Cumulative----- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  | Percent |
| $301.00<$ | 351.00 |  | 29 | 29.00 | 29 | 29.00 |
| $351.00<$ | 401.00 | 65 | 65.00 | 94 | 94.00 |
| $401.00<$ | 451.00 | 6 | 6.00 | 100 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 100 | 100.00 |  |  |

Table 12. Continued.

| --Class Limits--- |  | Frequency | Percent | -_-Cumulative--m- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  | Percent |
| $301.00<$ | 351.00 |  | 10 | 2.02 | 10 | 2.02 |
| $351.00<$ | 401.00 | 159 | 32.06 | 169 | 34.07 |
| $401.00<$ | 451.00 | 255 | 51.41 | 424 | 85.48 |
| 451.00 < | 501.00 | 56 | 11.29 | 480 | 96.77 |
| 501.00 < | 551.00 | 14 | 2.82 | 494 | 99.60 |
| 601.00 < | 651.00 | 2 | . 40 | 496 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 496 | 100.00 |  |  |



| -Class Limits-- |  | Frequency | Percent | --Cumulative-- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  | Percent |
| $251.00<$ | 301.00 |  | 1 | . 42 | 1 | . 42 |
| $301.00<$ | 351.00 | 84 | 35.44 | 85 | 35.86 |
| $351.00<$ | 401.00 | 108 | 45.57 | 193 | 81.43 |
| 401.00 < | 451.00 | 40 | 16.88 | 233 | 98.31 |
| 451.00 < | 501.00 | 4 | 1.69 | 237 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 237 | 100.00 |  |  |


| --Class Limits-- |  | Frequency | Percent | --Cumulative---m |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency |  | Percent |
| $301.00<$ | 351.00 |  | 23 | 6.71 | 23 | 6.71 |
| $351.00<$ | 401.00 | 174 | 50.73 | 197 | 57.43 |
| $401.00<$ | 451.00 | 134 | 39.07 | 331 | 96.50 |
| 451.00 < | 501.00 | 12 | 3.50 | 343 | 100.00 |
|  | Total | 343 | 100.00 |  |  |


| Class Limits | Frequency | Percent | ------Cumulative------ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $401.00<451.00$ | 2 | 1.01 | 2 | 1.01 |
| $451.00<501.00$ | 64 | 32.32 | 66 | 33.33 |
| $501.00<551.00$ | 80 | 40.40 | 146 | 73.73 |
| $551.00<601.00$ | 44 | 22.22 | 190 | 95.95 |
| $601.00<651.00$ | 8 | 4.04 | 198 | 100.00 |
| Total | 198 | 100.00 |  |  |


| -Class Limits---- | Frequency | Percent | ------Cumulative----- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $351.00<401.00$ | 5 | 2.40 | 5 | 2.40 |
| $401.00<451.00$ | 17 | 8.17 | 22 | 10.57 |
| $451.00<501.00$ | 36 | 17.31 | 58 | 27.88 |
| $501.00<551.00$ | 22 | 10.58 | 80 | 38.46 |
| $551.00<601.00$ | 19 | 9.13 | 99 | 47.59 |
| $601.00<651.00$ | 54 | 25.96 | 153 | 73.55 |
| $651.00<701.00$ | 49 | 23.55 | 202 | 97.10 |
| $701.00<751.00$ | 6 | 2.88 | 208 | 100.00 |
| Total | 208 | 100.00 |  |  |


| Class Limits | Frequency | Percent | ------Cumulative------ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $301.00<351.00$ | 3 | 1.29 | 3 | 1.29 |
| $351.00<401.00$ | 35 | 15.09 | 38 | 16.38 |
| $401.00<451.00$ | 88 | 37.93 | 126 | 54.31 |
| $451.00<501.00$ | 47 | 20.26 | 173 | 74.57 |
| $501.00<551.00$ | 19 | 8.19 | 192 | 82.76 |
| $551.00<601.00$ | 21 | 9.05 | 213 | 91.81 |
| $601.00<651.00$ | 16 | 6.90 | 229 | 98.71 |
| $651.00<701.00$ | 2 | 0.86 | 231 | 99.57 |
| $701.00<751.00$ | 1 | 0.43 | 232 | 100.00 |
| Total | 232 | 100.00 |  |  |

14,017 1b catch

| ----Class Limits---- | Frequency | Percent | ------Cumu <br> Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $351.00<401.00$ | 4 | 1.96 | 4 | 1.96 |
| $401.00<451.00$ | 18 | 8.82 | 22 | 10.78 |
| $451.00<501.00$ | 76 | 37.25 | 98 | 48.03 |
| $501.00<551.00$ | 65 | 31.86 | 163 | 79.89 |
| $551.00<601.00$ | 35 | 17.16 | 198 | 97.05 |
| $601.00<651.00$ | 6 | 2.94 | 204 | 100.00 |
| Total | 204 | 100.00 |  |  |

Table 12. Continued

| -Class Limits---- | Frequency | Percent | ------Cumulative------ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $301.00<351.00$ | 15 | 3.28 | 15 | 3.28 |
| $351.00<401.00$ | 192 | 41.92 | 207 | 45.20 |
| $401.00<451.00$ | 210 | 45.85 | 417 | 91.05 |
| $451.00<501.00$ | 38 | 8.30 | 455 | 99.35 |
| $501.00<551.00$ | 3 | 0.66 | 458 | 100.00 |
| Total | 458 | 100.00 |  |  |


| -Class Limits---- | Frequency | Percent | ------Cumulative------ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $301.00<351.00$ | 3 | 1.44 | 3 | 1.44 |
| $351.00<401.00$ | 16 | 7.66 | 19 | 9.10 |
| $401.00<451.00$ | 58 | 27.75 | 77 | 36.85 |
| $451.00<501.00$ | 62 | 29.67 | 139 | 66.52 |
| $501.00<551.00$ | 43 | 20.57 | 182 | 87.09 |
| $551.00<601.00$ | 21 | 10.05 | 203 | 97.14 |
| $601.00<651.00$ | 6 | 2.87 | 209 | 100.00 |
| Total | 209 | 100.00 |  |  |

20,378 lb catch
----Class Limits----
Frequency
Percent
Frequency Percent

| 301.00 | $<351.00$ | 12 | 5.83 | 12 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $351.00<401.00$ | 73 | 35.44 | 85 | 5.83 |
| $401.00<451.00$ | 88 | 42.72 | 173 | 41.27 |
| $451.00<501.00$ | 26 | 12.62 | 199 | 93.99 |
| $501.00<551.00$ | 5 | 2.43 | 204 | 96.61 |
| $551.00<601.00$ | 2 | 0.97 | 206 | 100.00 |

16,500 lb catch-

| ----Class Limits---- | Frequency | Percent | ------Cumulative------ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $401.00<451.00$ | 14 | 6.54 | 14 | 6.54 |
| $451.00<501.00$ | 25 | 11.68 | 39 | 18.22 |
| $501.00<551.00$ | 31 | 14.49 | 70 | 32.71 |
| $551.00<601.00$ | 55 | 25.70 | 125 | 58.41 |
| $601.00<651.00$ | 58 | 27.10 | 183 | 85.51 |
| $651.00<701.00$ | 28 | 13.08 | 211 | 98.59 |
| $701.00<751.00$ | 3 | 1.40 | 214 | 100.00 |
| Total | 214 | 100.00 |  |  |

Table 12. Continued

| ----Class Limits---- | Frequency | Percent | -----Cumulative------ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Frequency | Percent |
| $301.00<351.00$ | 6 | 2.84 | 6 | 2.84 |
| $351.00<401.00$ | 56 | 26.54 | 62 | 29.38 |
| $401.00<451.00$ | 100 | 47.39 | 162 | 76.77 |
| $451.00<501.00$ | 31 | 14.69 | 193 | 91.46 |
| $501.00<551.00$ | 13 | 6.16 | 206 | 97.62 |
| 551.00 < 601.00 | 4 | 1.90 | 210 | 99.52 |
| $601.00<651.00$ | 1 | 0.47 | 211 | 100.00 |
| Total | 211 | 100.00 |  |  |

Table 13. Length frequency distribution of purse seined Spanish mackerel (adjusted for weight of landings).

| Fork length midpoint | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: |
| 275 | 0.04 |
| 325 | 5.07 |
| 375 | 26.68 |
| 425 | 32.22 |
| 475 | 15.48 |
| 525 | 9.61 |
| 575 | 6.01 |
| 625 | 3.31 |
| 675 | 1.38 |
| 725 | 0.20 |

Table 14. Estimated numbers of king mackerel landed from observed purse seine catches. Calculations based on mean fork lengths and length-weight relationship where $W=$ weight (gm), $L=$ length ( $\mathrm{mm} F \mathrm{FL}$ ), $W=0.8464 \times$ $10^{-5} \mathrm{FL} 2.9881$ (formula in Johnson, Fable, Williams, and Barger, 1983).

| Month/Year | Location | Catch (lbs) | $\bar{x} \mathrm{FL}$ | Number of fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mar 1983 | Ft. Pierce | 8,045 | 777.5 | 993 |
| Mar 1983 | Ft. Pierce | 12,057 | 748.7 | 1,668 |
| Jan 1984 | Ft. Pierce | 7,120 | 730.0 | 1,061 |
| Jan 1984 | Ft. Pierce | 1,880 | 816.0 | 201 |
| Feb 1984 | Atlantic side of Keys | 1,515 | 784.9 | 182. (calc.) <br> 192 (actual) |
| Feb 1984 | Ft. Pierce | 7,500 | 687.3 | 1,339 |
| Feb 1984 | Ft. Pierce | 16,023 | 712.4 | 2,572 |
| Feb 1984 | Ft. Pierce | 9,000 | 786.4 | 1,074 |
| Feb 1984 | Ft. Pierce | 15,121 | 809.7 | 1,654 |
| Feb 1984 | Ft. Pierce | 28,100 | 962.8 | 1,833 |
| Mar 1984 | Ft. Pierce | 1,454 | 638.1 | 259 |
| Mar 1984 | Ft. Pierce | 12,500 | 920.2 | 934 |
| Mar 1984 | Ft. Pierce | 34,430 | 911.7 | 2,642 |
| Dec 1984 | Ft. Pierce | 170 | -- | 20 (est.) |
| Feb 1985 | Ft. Pierce | 280 | 425.0 | 211 |
| Mar 1985 | Ft. Pierce | 6,621 | 913.0 | 76\% |
|  |  |  | 811.0 | 610\% |
| Mar 1985 | Ft. Pierce | 3,276 | 909.6 | 13 (actual) |
|  |  |  | 991.2 | 186 |
| Mar 1985 | Ft. Pierce | 23,693 | 781.4 | 2,882 |
| Mar 1985 | Ft. Pierce | 12,280 | 892.0 | 503* |
|  |  |  | 907.6 | 478* |
| Mar 1985 | Ft. Pierce | 10,300 | 949.7 | 700 |

Table 14. Continued

| Month/Year | Location | Catch (lbs) | $\bar{x}$ FL | Number of fish |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan 1986 | Ft. Pierce | 30,309 | $1,143.8$ | 1,181 |
| Feb 1986 | Ft. Pierce | 510 | 539.5 | $\frac{188}{}$ |

* Indicates numbers estimated from observers' estimates of the proportion of total catch within each set.

Table 15. Estimated numbers of Spanish mackerel landed from observed purse seine catches. Calculations based on mean fork lengths and lengthweight relationship where $W=$ weight (gm), $L=$ length ( mm FL ) , $W=$ $9.9632 \times 10^{-6}$ SL $3.0076(S L=0.9321 \mathrm{FL}-2.2619$; formula in Powell, 1975).

| Month/Year | Location | Catch (1bs) | $\overline{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{FL}$ | Number of fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apr 1983 | Ft. Pierce | 500 | -- | 424 (est.) |
| Jul 1983 | Louisiana | 3,350 | 362.6 | 3,851 |
| Jul 1983 | Louisiana | 1,500 | 364.6 | 1,705 |
| Jul 1983 | Louisiana | 3,593 | 363.6 | 4,130 |
| Nov 1983 | Gulf side of Keys | 16,400 | 439.3 | 10,581 |
| Nov 1983 | Gulf side of Keys | 10,893 | 422.8 | 7,893 |
| Dec 1983 | Gulf side of Keys | 1,319 | 432.5 | 891 |
| Dec 1983 | Ft. Pierce | 20,750 | 400.3 | 17,735 |
| Jan 1984 | Ft. Pierce | 600 | 322.7 | 984 |
| Mar 1984 | Ft. Pierce | 42,470 | 412.5 | 33,180 |
| Mar 1984 | Ft. Pierce | 4,546 | 452.5 | 2,674 |
| Aug 1984 | Louisiana | 9,300 | -- | 7,881 (est.) |
| Dec 1984 | Ft. Pierce | 7,070 | 443.3 | 4,447 |
| Dec 1984 | Ft. Pierce | 8,300 | 437.8 | 5,425 |
| Jan 1985 | Ft. Pierce | 5,800 | -- | 4,915 (est.) |
| Jan 1985 | Ft. Pierce | 1,500 | 425.2 | 1,064 |
| Feb 1985 | Ft. Pierce | 38,100 | 386.9 | 35,943 |
| Feb 1985 | Ft. Pierce | 300 | 363.5 | 345 |
| Feb 1985 | Ft. Pierce | 28,000 | 416.2 | 21,212 |
| Feb 1985 | Ft. Pierce | 24,624 | 365.0 | 16,600\% |
|  |  |  | 367.0 | 10,944* |
| Mar 1985 | Ft. Pierce | 24,300 | 394.7 | 21,696 |

Table 15. Continued

| Month/Year | Location | Catch (lbs) | $\bar{x}$ FL | Number of fish |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dec 1985 | Ft. Pierce | 66,600 | 523.0 | 25,323 |
| Jan 1986 | Ft. Pierce | 19.175 | 576.2 | 5,447 |
| Jan 1986 | Ft. Pierce | 56,650 | 465.3 | 30,622 |
| Feb 1986 | Ft. Pierce | 14,017 | 506.2 | 5,890 |
| Feb 1986 | Ft. Pierce | 51,750 | 405.6 | 42,418 |
| Feb 1986 | Ft. Pierce | 13,400 | 476.0 | 6,768 |
| Feb 1986 | Ft. Pierce | 20,378 | 411.6 | 16,046 |
| Mar 1986 | Ft. Pierce | 16,500 | 575.0 | 4,714 |
| Mar 1986 | Ft. Pierce | 25,500 | 425.7 | 18,085 |
|  |  |  |  | 369,833 TOTAL |

[^0]Table 16. Chi-square tests of $1: 1$ sex ratios of mackerels.

| Species | Catch date | Number of males | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of females } \end{gathered}$ | Chi-square | Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| King mackerel | Feb 1984 | 93 | 99 | 0.1875 | $>0.5<0.7$ |
| King mackerel | Feb 1984 | 149 | 110 | 5.8726 | $>0.01<0.02$ |
| King mackerel | Feb 1984 | 137 | 78 | 16.1930 | $<0.01$ |
| King mackerel | Feb 1984 | 19 | 85 | 41.8846 | <0.01 |
| King mackerel | Mar 1984 | 25 | 175 | 112.5000 | $<0.01$ |
| King mackerel | Mar 1985 | 8 | 13 | (1.1904) | $>0.2<0.3$ |
| King mackerel | Mar 1985 | 26 | 31 | 0.4386 | $>0.5<0.7$ |
| King mackerel | Mar 1985 | 21 | 24 | 0.2000 | $>0.5<0.7$ |
| King mackerel | Jan 1986 | 1 | 5 | (2.6667) | $>0.1<0.2$ |
| Spanish mackerel | Nov 1983 | 46 | 23 | 7.6667 | $<0.01$ |
| Spanish mackerel | Mar 1984 | 50 | 46 | 1.6667 | $>0.1<0.2$ |
| Spanish mackerel | Feb 1985 | 16 | 12 | (0.5714) | $>0.3<0.5$ |
| Spanish mackerel | Feb 1985 | 13 | 21 | (1.8824) | $>0.1<0.2$ |
| Spanish mackerel | Feb 1985 | 32 | 24 | 1.1428 | $>0.2<0.3$ |
| Spanish mackerel | Mar 1985 | 25 | 12 | (4.5676) | $>0.02<0.05$ |
| Spanish mackerel | Jan 1986 | 9 | 15 | (1.5000) | $>0.2<0.3$ |
| Spanish mackerel | Jan 1986 | 19 | 20 | (0.0256) | $>0.8<0.9$ |
| Spanish mackerel | Feb 1986 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 1.0 |
| Spanish mackerel | Feb 1986 | 26 | 15 | 2.9512 | $>0.05<0.1$ |
| Spanish mackerel | Feb 1986 | 17 | 10 | (1.8148) | $>0.1<0.2$ |
| Spanish mackerel | Mar 1986 | 9 | 15 | ( 1.5000 ) | $>0.2<0.3$ |
| Spanish mackerel | Mar 1986 | 17 | 15 | (0.1250) | $>0.7<0.8$ |

Chi-square values in parentheses were determined when the total number of sexed fish was less than 40 , and are therefore suspect.


Figure 1. Length-frequency distribution of purse seined king mackerel
(adjusted for weight of landings).


Figure 2. Length-frequency distribution of purse seined Spanish mackerel (adjusted for weight of landings).


[^0]:    * Indicates numbers estimated from observers' estimates of the proportion of total catch within each set.

