

Sea surface carbon dioxide at the Georgia time series site (2006-2007): air-sea flux and
controlling processes

Liang Xue^{a, b}, Wei-Jun Cai^{b, *}, Xinping Hu^c, Christopher Sabine^d, Stacy Jones^d, Adrienne J. Sutton^{d, e}, Li-Qing Jiang^f, Janet J. Reimer^b

^a Center for Ocean and Climate Research, First Institute of Oceanography, State Oceanic Administration, Qingdao 266061, China

^b School of Marine Science and Policy, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA

^c Department of Physical and Environmental Sciences, Texas A&M University – Corpus Christi, Corpus Christi, TX 78412, USA

^d Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, NOAA, Seattle, WA 98115, USA

^e Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98115, USA

^f Cooperative Institute for Climate and Satellites–Maryland, Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20740, USA

*Corresponding author. Tel.: 1-302-831-2839; Email addresses: wcai@udel.edu

Abstract

Carbon dioxide partial pressure ($p\text{CO}_2$) in surface seawater was continuously recorded every three hours from 18 July 2006 through 31 October 2007 using a moored autonomous $p\text{CO}_2$ (MAPCO₂) system deployed on the Gray's Reef buoy off the coast of Georgia, USA. Surface water $p\text{CO}_2$ (average $373 \pm 52 \mu\text{atm}$) showed a clear seasonal pattern, undersaturated with respect to the atmosphere in cold months and generally oversaturated in warm months. High temporal resolution observations revealed important events not captured in previous ship-based observations, such as sporadically occurring biological CO₂ uptake during April-June 2007. In addition to a qualitative analysis of the primary drivers of $p\text{CO}_2$ variability based on property regressions, we quantified contributions of temperature, air-sea exchange, mixing, and biological processes to monthly $p\text{CO}_2$ variations using a 1-D mass budget model. Although temperature played a dominant role in the annual cycle of $p\text{CO}_2$, river inputs especially in the wet season, biological respiration in peak summer, and biological production during April-June 2007 also substantially influenced seawater $p\text{CO}_2$. Furthermore, sea surface $p\text{CO}_2$ was higher in September-October 2007 than in September-October 2006, associated with increased river inputs in fall 2007. On an annual basis this site was a moderate atmospheric CO₂ sink, and was autotrophic as revealed by monthly mean net community production (NCP) in the mixed layer. If the sporadic short productive events during April-May 2007 were missed by the sampling schedule, one would conclude erroneously that the site is heterotrophic. While previous ship-based $p\text{CO}_2$ data collected around this buoy site agreed with the buoy CO₂ data on seasonal scales, high resolution buoy observations revealed that the cruise-based surveys undersampled temporal variability in coastal waters, which could greatly bias the estimates of air-sea CO₂ fluxes or annual NCP, and even produce contradictory results.

Keywords:

Carbon dioxide; Time series; Rivers; Air-sea exchanges; Coastal ocean; South Atlantic Bight

1. Introduction

Determining mechanisms that control variations of sea surface partial pressure of carbon dioxide ($p\text{CO}_2$) and estimating the strength of oceanic CO_2 sink are vital for predicting future atmospheric CO_2 levels and global climate changes (Benway and Doney, 2014). Given the dynamic nature of the oceanic environment particularly in terms of temporal variability, increasing research efforts have been focused on time series measurement of carbonate parameters in various parts of the global ocean (Bates et al., 2014). Time series studies have been playing an increasingly important role in understanding the ocean carbon biogeochemical cycle and the biological pump by providing concepts and observations that can be used in predictive models (Ducklow et al., 2009; Sutton et al., 2014a).

Time series observational efforts start much later and on smaller scales in coastal oceans than open ocean basins (e.g. Bates et al., 2014; Vandemark et al., 2011). Although the coastal ocean plays a disproportionately important role in the uptake of atmospheric CO_2 (0.2-0.5 Pg C yr^{-1} , 1Pg = 10^{15} g) (Bauer et al., 2013; Borges et al., 2005; Cai, 2011; Chen et al., 2013; Fennel and Wilkin, 2009; Gattuso et al., 1998; Gruber, 2014; Laruelle et al., 2014; Muller-Karger et al., 2005), there are large uncertainties associated with estimates of air-sea CO_2 fluxes, consequently preventing accurate estimates of the global carbon budget and meaningful predictions of the effect of climate change on future fluxes (Bauer et al., 2013). The uncertainty is due primarily to poor spatio-temporal resolutions of field measurements, especially poor temporal coverage (e.g. Gruber, 2014) and partly to an insufficient understanding of the mechanism controlling CO_2 that also impedes modelling studies. Coastal CO_2 systems, particularly those directly influenced by riverine inputs of terrestrial carbon and nutrients, exhibit highly temporal and spatial heterogeneity (e.g. Gypens et al., 2011; Vandemark et al., 2011). Therefore, it is vital to continue

and expand long-term high-resolution monitoring efforts in coastal oceans for better understanding the underlying mechanisms that control the coastal CO₂ system and how the system (e.g. status of CO₂ sink) is affected by terrestrial inputs and climate change (e.g. Bauer et al., 2013; Cai, 2011; Gruber, 2014).

It is also crucial to develop analytical methods to interpret data in complex coastal systems (e.g. Boehme et al., 1998; Chierici et al., 2006), given the fact that our capacity to separate the biological signal from physical mixing is limited in dynamic coastal oceans. For instance, in recent studies the contribution from horizontal transports (mixing) was often not separated from other controlling processes (e.g. Schiettecatte et al., 2006; Shadwick et al., 2015) or was simply quantified on the basis of a constant linear relationship between dissolved inorganic carbon (which is biologically non-conservative) and salinity observed during cruises (e.g. Shadwick et al., 2011). Mixing could greatly influence the interpretation of the *in situ* biological signal occurring in shelf waters (Jiang et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2005), and thus this issue must be properly addressed.

The South Atlantic Bight (SAB) is a low- to mid-latitude continental shelf bordered by abundant salt-marshes to the west and by the Gulf Stream, a western Atlantic boundary current, to the east (Menzel, 1993). The SAB and its associated marsh-surrounded estuarine and nearshore areas have been a focus of coastal ocean air-sea CO₂ exchange studies over the past decades (Cai, 2011; Cai and Wang, 1998; Cai et al., 2003; Jiang et al., 2008a, 2008b, 2013; Signorini et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2005). Progress has been made in exploring the mechanism of *p*CO₂ variability and identifying the sink/source status with respect to atmospheric CO₂. Strong CO₂ outgassing in estuaries and inner shelf areas was attributed to direct transport of CO₂ from marshes and estuaries and to respiration of marsh-exported organic carbon (Cai et al., 1999; Cai

and Wang, 1998; Jiang et al., 2008b, 2013; Wang et al., 2005). In the entire SAB temperature played a dominant role in seasonal variation of sea surface $p\text{CO}_2$ (Jiang et al., 2008a). More recently, Jiang et al. (2013) improved the mechanistic understanding of $p\text{CO}_2$ variability in the SAB based on seasonal cruise observations and identified the relative contributions of temperature, terrestrial inputs, and air-sea CO_2 exchange to $p\text{CO}_2$ variation.

Previous studies in the SAB were generally based on shipboard surveys and focused on mapping spatial distributions of $p\text{CO}_2$ using several cruises in an annual cycle (Jiang et al., 2008a, 2013; Wang et al., 2005). The result obtained during one cruise, a snapshot, was usually used to represent the state of two or more months. This can result in large uncertainties in air-sea CO_2 fluxes estimates and misinterpret controlling processes. For instance, during early studies Cai and coworkers inferred that the SAB was a CO_2 source to the atmosphere based on very limited cruise investigations along a cross-shelf transect (Cai et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2005). A more recent six-cruise whole shelf study showed that while the inner shelf was a source of CO_2 to the atmosphere, the combined shelf regions were actually a net CO_2 sink on an annual basis (Jiang et al., 2008a). The SAB has no annual spring bloom, but there are multiple small productive events throughout the year as a result of Gulf Stream eddies and meanders (Lee et al., 1991; Menzel, 1993) that can easily not be observed in limited surveys. The influence of these small productive events on $p\text{CO}_2$ has not been reported to date in the SAB.

In this study, we report the first full annual record of sea surface $p\text{CO}_2$ from 18 July 2006 through 31 October 2007 in the SAB and estimate air-sea CO_2 fluxes based on high-frequency (every three hours) observations from a buoy (Station 41008) located at Gray's Reef National Marine Sanctuary, Georgia, USA (Fig. 1). Our main focus is to present a 1-D time series $p\text{CO}_2$ model with multiple controlling processes and to evaluate its applicability at a seasonally varying

dynamic site. We identify the major processes that control surface $p\text{CO}_2$ via both property regressions and model analysis. In addition, considering the high temporal variability of physical and biogeochemical processes in river-influenced coastal systems, we assess the influence of enhanced river inputs (decreased salinity) on seawater $p\text{CO}_2$ and potential limitations associated with shipboard surveys in estimating air-sea CO_2 fluxes and annual net community production (NCP) in dynamic coastal waters such as the SAB. In a follow-up work, we will extend the method presented here to all data since 2006 and further explore the impact of extreme events, interannual variation, and the secular trend at sub-decadal scale.

2. Site description and Methods

2.1 Study site

The SAB is shallow (average depth of 28 m), and is divided into inner, middle, and outer shelf with boundaries at 20 m, 40 m, and 60 m depths extending from 27° to 35°N. It is generally warm (10-28°C) with salinities ranging from 30 to 37 (Atkinson et al., 1983). There are many small rivers entering the SAB and extensive salt marshes between the barrier islands and the shorelines, the majority of which are located in the central SAB (between 31° and 33°N) (Menzel, 1993). The SAB receives a total annual river discharge of approximately 66 km³ (2.7% of the SAB volume) (Menzel, 1993). The Altamaha River, one of the largest rivers along the US southeastern coast (Fig. 1) has an average annual discharge of 4.3-19.5 km³ (U.S. Geological Survey, USGS) with the greatest discharge in February-April (Menzel, 1993). On the inner shelf circulation is largely driven by freshwater inputs, local wind, and tide forcing; on the middle shelf the influence from river discharge decreases and circulation is occasionally influenced by

the Gulf Stream; and on the outer shelf circulation is primarily controlled by the Gulf Stream (Lee et al., 1991; Menzel, 1993).

On 17 July 2006, a moored autonomous $p\text{CO}_2$ (MAPCO₂) system (Willcox et al., 2010) was installed on a buoy (Station 41008, owned and maintained by the National Data Buoy Center, NDBC) located at the Gray's Reef National Marine Sanctuary (GRNMS, 31.402°N, 80.869°W, water depth 18.3 m), 74 km southeast of Savannah, Georgia, USA (Fig. 1). This location is roughly on the boundary between the inner and middle shelf of central SAB (Menzel, 1993). It can be representative of the inner shelf and experience strong terrestrial inputs during high river discharge; however, it may also represent the middle shelf during low discharge with limited river influences. Thus, this site provides us a good opportunity to examine both the terrestrial and oceanic influences on shelf biogeochemistry and carbon fluxes.

2.2 Time series monitoring

A suite of physical (sea surface temperature-SST, salinity-SSS, wind speed, and barometric pressure) and chemical parameters (CO_2 concentration or mole fraction in the water and air, and dissolved oxygen-DO) were continuously measured at the buoy site from 18 July 2006 through 31 October 2007 (<http://pmel.noaa.gov/co2/story/Grays+Reef>). Occasionally, during ground-truthing events, the frequency of data collection was increased to hourly or every 30 min. SST and SSS were measured every three hours using a Seabird Microcat C-T at a water depth of ~0.6 m. Data of the Altamaha River discharge (http://gce-lter.marsci.uga.edu/portal/usgs_doctortown/multiyear/data/index.xml) were used to explore the influence of river discharge on SSS. The Altamaha River discharge was recorded every 15 min at

a USGS hydrological station in Doctortown, Georgia (31°39'16 "N, 81°49'41" W). Hourly wind speed data at 5 m above sea level (measured by an RM Young anemometer) and hourly barometric pressure data at sea level (measured by a Serta barometer) from the buoy were used as well.

Overlying air and surface water $p\text{CO}_2$ were determined every three hours using a MAPCO₂ system (see Sutton et al. (2014b) for technical details). Briefly, CO₂ concentrations in the air, and in the air at equilibrium with sea surface water via a bubble type equilibrator were determined by a non-dispersive infrared analyzer (Licor 820). The Licor 820 was calibrated before every measurement with CO₂-free air (passing through a soda lime plug) and a ~500 ppm (parts per million) CO₂ standard traceable to World Meteorological Organization references prepared by NOAA's Earth System Research Laboratory (Sutton et al., 2014b). Both seawater and atmospheric CO₂ concentrations were converted to $p\text{CO}_2$ in 100% H₂O saturated air (as at sea surface) using the water vapor pressure of Weiss and Price (1980) and the atmospheric pressure as by Sutton et al. (2014b).

Uncertainty of the MAPCO₂ system was estimated to be $\pm 2 \mu\text{atm}$ (Sutton et al., 2014b). We also measured surface water $p\text{CO}_2$ around the buoy on 20 June and 27 July 2007 (Fig. 2) using an underway CO₂ system (Licor-7000 infrared gas analyzer coupled to a gas-water equilibrator) similar to that described by Jiang et al. (2008a). During these two short cruises, mean underway $p\text{CO}_2$ and buoy $p\text{CO}_2$ agreed well ($391 \pm 1 \mu\text{atm}$ vs. $392 \pm 3 \mu\text{atm}$ and $419 \pm 4 \mu\text{atm}$ vs. $419 \pm 5 \mu\text{atm}$, respectively). More extensive underway measurements after this study period also showed consistent results with the buoy data (W.-J. Cai unpub.).

Atmospheric and surface seawater oxygen partial pressures were measured from the air intake and equilibrator using a motion stable galvanic cell oxygen sensor (MAX-250, Maxtec, Inc.). This was not a standard oceanographic method for measuring oxygen, and these data were primarily used as a diagnostic tool for the MAPCO₂ system. The O₂ saturation level (DO%) was calculated from the ratio of surface seawater to atmospheric oxygen partial pressures. DO content in surface water was then calculated from the DO% using the formula of oxygen solubility in seawater (Garcia and Gordon, 1992). Also one-day composite Aqua MODIS (Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer) chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*) data (from <http://coastwatch.pfeg.noaa.gov/erddap/griddap/erdMEchla1day.graph>) were used as a qualitative measure of biological activity. Although satellite Chl *a* data in the coastal ocean will be more affected by suspended solids compared with the open ocean, it can be used to roughly reflect biological activity in combination with DO% data.

2.3 Calculating air-sea CO₂ flux

Air-sea CO₂ flux, F_{CO₂}, was calculated according to the bulk flux equation:

$$F_{\text{CO}_2} = k \times K_0 \times (p\text{CO}_{2\text{water}} - p\text{CO}_{2\text{air}}) \quad (1)$$

where *k* is the gas transfer velocity; *K*₀ is the solubility coefficient of CO₂ (Weiss, 1974); and *p*CO_{2water} and *p*CO_{2air} are the *p*CO₂ in the surface water and the atmosphere, respectively. In this paper, a positive value of F_{CO₂} denotes CO₂ releases from water to the atmosphere.

Gas transfer velocity, k , was estimated using the parameterization of the gas transfer velocity with wind speeds proposed by Wanninkhof (1992) and revised by Ho et al. (2006) and Sweeney et al. (2007) as expressed in Eq. 2.

$$k = 0.27 \times U_{10}^2 \times (Sc / 660)^{-0.5} \quad (2)$$

where U_{10} (m s^{-1}) is the wind speed at the height of 10 m above sea surface. Since our wind speed was measured at 5 m above sea surface, it was converted to U_{10} by multiplying a factor of 1.06 (Jiang et al., 2008a). Sc is the Schmidt number, which can be calculated after Wanninkhof (1992).

2.4 Determination of total alkalinity (TA) and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC)

TA measurements were made in the central SAB primarily in 2005-2006 and less often in recent years. Some of these data have been published (Cai et al., 2010). Briefly, water samples were collected using a Conductivity, Temperature and Depth (CTD) rosette sampler, preserved with a saturated HgCl_2 solution and stored in borosilicate glass bottles. TA was measured by open cell Gran titration with a measurement precision of $\pm 0.1\%$ (Cai et al., 2010). Certified Reference Materials from A. Dickson of Scripps Institution of Oceanography were used during the analysis for reference and validation (Cai et al., 2010).

Considering the shallow water depth at the buoy site (Fig. 1), we only used the TA data in the upper 30 m along the C transect with a salinity range of 31.7-36.5 to derive the TA-salinity (S) relationship (Fig. 3). These data were collected from six cruises that covered all seasons, i.e.

in January 2005, March 2005, July 2005, October 2005, December 2005, and May 2006 cruises (Jiang et al., 2008a). The relationship of TA and S is as follows:

$$\text{TA} = 49.66 (\pm 0.83) \times \text{S} + 573.63 (\pm 29.67) \quad (r^2 = 0.93, n = 277, p < 0.001) \quad (3)$$

The uncertainty associated with TA was estimated from the residual values of the predicted alkalinity and was $\sim 13 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ ($< 1\%$), larger than that in the Southern Ocean ($5 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$, Shadwick et al., 2015), but similar to that in the Scotian Shelf region of the Canadian northwestern Atlantic Ocean (Shadwick et al., 2011). This TA and salinity relationship was very similar to that given by Cai et al. (2010) using a more extended database including those from deep waters (also see Fig. s1 in the supplementary materials). The relationship was robust, and was further validated by measured data around the buoy from the U.S. Gulf of Mexico and East Coast Cruise (GOMECC) in 2007 and from a buoy maintenance cruise in 2008 (Fig. 3).

Subsequently, high temporal-resolution TA values (three-hourly) at the buoy site were calculated using the buoy salinity data based on the conservative behavior of TA in the SAB (Fig. 3, except in the very nearshore area with salinity < 30) as revealed by Wang et al. (2005) and Cai et al. (2010). While contributions of other acid-base species such as organic matter could be important within the estuaries, they were negligible in offshore surface waters (Cai et al., 1998). Then, we calculated high-resolution DIC concentrations at the buoy site based on the salinity-derived TA and sea surface $p\text{CO}_2$ data using the program CO2SYS (Lewis and Wallace, 1998) according to the equilibrium constants of Mehrbach et al. (1973) refit by Dickson and Millero (1987).

2.5 A 1-D mass budget model for separating $p\text{CO}_2$ controlling processes

To determine the contribution of temperature, air-sea exchange, mixing, and biological activity to $p\text{CO}_2$ variability, we developed a simple 1-D mass budget model similar to that of Boehme et al. (1998). We first set the starting time as time t_1 , at which SST, SSS, and carbonate parameters (DIC, TA, and $p\text{CO}_2$) were T_1 , S_1 , TA_1 , DIC_1 and $(p\text{CO}_2)_1$, respectively. Then after a time increment to time t_2 , these parameters changed to T_2 , S_2 , TA_2 , DIC_2 , and $(p\text{CO}_2)_2$. In the following calculations, subscripts “tem”, “a-s”, “mix”, and “bio” denote temperature, air-sea exchange, mixing, and in situ biological activity, respectively; and the sign “ Δ ” denotes the change of a parameter during the time step. For example, “ $\Delta p\text{CO}_{2\text{tem}}$ ” denotes $p\text{CO}_2$ change caused by temperature change between time 2 and time 1.

Total $p\text{CO}_2$ change ($\Delta p\text{CO}_2$) from t_1 to t_2 caused by a combination of $p\text{CO}_2$ controlling processes can be expressed by Eq. 4a. Similarly, changes in both DIC and TA can be expressed in Eqs. 4b and 4c. Note that changes in carbonate parameters caused by calcium carbonate dissolution/precipitation were neglected in our calculation given the conservative behavior of TA in this area (Fig. 3).

$$\Delta p\text{CO}_2 = (p\text{CO}_2)_2 - (p\text{CO}_2)_1 = \Delta p\text{CO}_{2\text{tem}} + \Delta p\text{CO}_{2\text{a-s}} + \Delta p\text{CO}_{2\text{mix}} + \Delta p\text{CO}_{2\text{bio}} + \Delta p\text{CO}_{2\text{non}} \quad (4a)$$

$$\Delta \text{DIC} = \Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{a-s}} + \Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{mix}} + \Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{bio}} \quad (4b)$$

$$\Delta \text{TA} = \Delta \text{TA}_{\text{mix}} + (-17/106 * \Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{bio}}) \quad (4c)$$

where $\Delta p\text{CO}_{2\text{non}}$ is a non-linear term, the difference between $\Delta p\text{CO}_2$ and the sum of $p\text{CO}_2$ change due to each process, considering that $p\text{CO}_2$ response to SST or DIC changes is not linear. Note that while on a short time scale (three-hourly or daily), the non-linear term is essentially zero, a small but noticeable non-linear term appears as we used a monthly time scale for the mixing and

biological terms (see below). Here $(-17/106 * \Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{bio}})$ is the expected TA change during biological use or release of DIC if the Redfield ratio is assumed (Redfield et al., 1963). In practice we ignored the biological term in Eq. 4c, because: 1) it is expected to be rather small as TA and salinity show a conservative relationship, and 2) in the current approach we take the biological term to close the budget (i.e., it is estimated as the remainder of the other terms).

Each of the four different contributing factors in Eq. 4 can be determined through the following steps:

a. Temperature: we used the $p\text{CO}_2$ temperature dependence coefficient (0.0423) proposed by Takahashi et al. (1993) to calculate the thermal effect on a three-hour time scale.

$$\Delta p\text{CO}_{2\text{tem}} = (p\text{CO}_2)_1 \times \exp(0.0423 \times (T_2 - T_1)) - (p\text{CO}_2)_1 \quad (5)$$

Therefore, the three subsequent processes (air-sea exchange, mixing, and biological activity) during each time step ($t_2 - t_1$) were dealt with under isothermal conditions.

b. Air-sea exchange: air-sea CO_2 exchange changes DIC, but not TA.

$$\Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{a-s}} = -F \times (t_2 - t_1) / (D \times H) \quad (6a)$$

$$(\text{DIC}_2)_{\text{a-s}} = \text{DIC}_1 + \Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{a-s}} \quad (6b)$$

$$\Delta p\text{CO}_{2\text{a-s}} = f((\text{DIC}_2)_{\text{a-s}}, \text{TA}_1, S_1, T_1) - (p\text{CO}_2)_1, \quad (6c)$$

where F is the air-sea CO_2 flux (see Section 2.3); D is the seawater density (kg m^{-3}); and H is the mixed layer depth. From October to May, it is assumed that the mixed layer extends to the bottom (H is 18 m). In July however, H is reduced to 8 m (Fig. s2 in the supplementary materials), and in September and June to 13 m (the mean between the depth in October-May and

the depth in July); $(DIC_2)_{a-s}$ is the predicted DIC at time t_2 due solely to air-sea exchange from time t_1 to t_2 ; $f(DIC, TA, S, T)$ denoted the pCO_2 as a function of DIC and TA at a given temperature and salinity and was calculated using the program CO2SYS (Lewis and Wallace, 1998) according to the equilibrium constants of Mehrbach et al. (1973) refit by Dickson and Millero (1987). A three-hour time scale was applied in the calculation of air-sea flux, which was also aggregated into monthly time scale for presentation and for subsequent calculations (see below).

c. Mixing: we attributed salinity changes solely to nearshore and open-ocean mixing (horizontal mixing), neglecting the other processes including evaporation and precipitation. As salinity is the only parameter characterizing mixing, our mixing term in fact should include both horizontal and vertical mixing. However, due to the shallowness of the site, in reality, horizontal water movement should be the dominant form of mixing, except for mixed layer deepening in September. In addition, at this site and its immediate seaward stations, even during July (the stratified time), bottom water DIC values, together with the surface water DIC values were located on the same DIC-salinity line (Figs. s1-s3). Thus, salinity alone is adequate to measure both horizontal and vertical mixing (and they are not differentiated hereafter). Using the linear relationship of mixing between a low salinity end-member and the seawater end-member (Friis et al., 2003), we obtained the DIC change due to mixing (ΔDIC_{mix}) during time interval ($t_2 - t_1$) (Eq. 7a).

$$\Delta DIC_{mix} = (DIC_{oce} - DIC_{ns}) / (S_{oce} - S_{ns}) \times (S_2 - S_1) \quad (7a)$$

$$(DIC_2)_{mix} = DIC_1 + \Delta DIC_{mix} \quad (7b)$$

where DIC_{oce} and DIC_{ns} are DIC at the ocean and nearshore end-members, respectively; S_{oce} and S_{ns} are salinity at the ocean and at nearshore end-members, respectively; and S_2 and S_1 are

salinity at time t_2 and t_1 , respectively. $(DIC_2)_{mix}$ is the predicted DIC at time t_2 due to mixing from time t_1 to t_2 . For the calculations, we use the slope of the DIC-salinity relationship, i.e., $(DIC_{oce} - DIC_{ns}) / (S_{oce} - S_{ns})$. We used the monthly varying DIC values at the nearshore and open ocean end-members determined by Jiang et al. (2013) to calculate the slope (see their Table 1). Considering that only the slopes in January, March, May, July, and October were provided, slope values in other months were obtained by linear interpolation. (Fig. s4 in the supplementary materials). During the months of November to May, the slope value presented a very good positive relationship with monthly mean discharge of Altamaha River, whereas during the months of June to October, the slope value presented a negative relationship with river discharge (Fig. 3b). In this work, we obtained the monthly slope value during our buoy data period (2006-2007) using the relationships shown in Fig. 3b (see more details in Fig. s4) and quantified the contribution of mixing to monthly pCO_2 changes. Monthly changes were defined here as the difference of the parameters between the first day and the last day in each month.

TA changes due to mixing can be estimated directly from the TA-S relationship (Fig. 3).

$$\Delta TA_{mix} = 49.66 \times (S_2 - S_1) \quad (7c)$$

$$(TA_2)_{mix} = TA_1 + \Delta TA_{mix} \quad (7d)$$

where $(TA_2)_{mix}$ is the predicted TA at time t_2 due only to mixing from time t_1 to t_2 .

We then obtained the ΔpCO_{2mix} :

$$\Delta pCO_{2mix} = f((DIC_2)_{mix}, (TA_2)_{mix}, S_2, T_1) - (pCO_2)_1 \quad (7e)$$

d. Biology:

We assigned the rest of DIC changes to biological processes to close the budget.

Thus,

$$\Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{bio}} = \Delta\text{DIC} - (\Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{a-s}} + \Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{mix}}) \quad (8a)$$

$$(\text{DIC}_2)_{\text{bio}} = \text{DIC}_1 + \Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{bio}} \quad (8b)$$

where $(\text{DIC}_2)_{\text{bio}}$ is the predicted DIC at time t_2 only due to biological activity from time t_1 to t_2 .

We then obtained $\Delta p\text{CO}_{2\text{bio}}$ in Eq. 8c:

$$\Delta p\text{CO}_{2\text{bio}} = f((\text{DIC}_2)_{\text{bio}}, \text{TA}_1, \text{S}_1, \text{T}_1) - (p\text{CO}_2)_1 \quad (8c)$$

Also, by definition, we derived NCP:

$$\text{NCP} = - \Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{bio}} \times H / (t_2 - t_1) \quad (8d)$$

3. Results

3.1 Hydrographic data

SST at the buoy site showed large seasonal variations, with the highest value of 29-31°C in the summer (July-August) of 2006 and 2007, and the lowest value of ~12°C in the winter (February 2007) (Fig. 4a). SSS exhibited high values (> 36) between mid-July and November 2006, and low values (< 35) between mid-February and June 2007 (Fig. 4b). SSS was particularly low during February-April 2007 and showed large fluctuations (Fig. 4b). SSS and Altamaha River discharge showed a negative relationship ($r = -0.62$, $p < 0.05$, $n = 455$) after considering a lag time of up to 42 days (Fig. s5 in the supplementary materials). SSS thus can be

used as a proxy for the influence of river inputs to the buoy site because local precipitation/evaporation events do not have a direct or strong influence at this site (unpublished data). Mean SSS was lower during September-October 2007 than September-October 2006 (Fig. 4b and Table 1). Vertically observations show that the water column was well mixed throughout most of a year, except in summer (July 2005) (Fig. s2 in the supplementary materials).

3.2 Dissolved Oxygen and chlorophyll a

During September 2006-February 2007, DO was slightly undersaturated with respect to the atmosphere, and exhibited small fluctuations (Fig. 4c). In contrast, there were large short-term fluctuations in DO% between 95 and 109% during April-September 2007 when several peaks of DO% occurred (Fig. 4c). Some peaks in DO% coincidentally corresponded to Chl *a* peaks (Fig. 4c). Particularly between late April and early May 2007, a DO% peak of 109% and a Chl *a* peak of 9.5 mg m⁻³ were concurrently observed, indicating the occurrence of a bloom.

3.3 Atmospheric and sea surface pCO₂, TA, and DIC

The average atmospheric pCO₂ during the study period was 377 ± 10 μatm, with slightly lower values observed in May-October and higher values in November-April (Fig. 4a). In contrast, seawater pCO₂ showed large temporal variations (Fig. 4a). The average surface water pCO₂ was 373 ± 52 μatm, with values greater than 400 μatm in July-August and values less than 330 μatm in November-February. The highest seawater pCO₂ of 507 μatm was observed in August 2007 and the lowest value of 233 μatm in early May 2007 (Fig. 4a). In general, surface

$p\text{CO}_2$ was undersaturated in cold months (November-March) with respect to the atmosphere and oversaturated in warm months (April-October) (Figs. 4a and 5a). This seasonal pattern of buoy $p\text{CO}_2$ in 2006-2007 was generally consistent with underway observations made during several cruises in 2005-2006 around this buoy location, although underway surface $p\text{CO}_2$ values in summer 2005 and spring 2006 were somewhat higher than buoy CO_2 values in summer 2006 and spring 2007 (Fig. 4a, blue points). Compared with previous ship-based surveys, however, very low $p\text{CO}_2$ of 233-290 μatm between April and June 2007 was observed by the high temporal resolution monitoring of the buoy (Fig. 4a), possibly associated with biological production as indicated by high DO% and Chl a values (Fig. 4c). Furthermore, mean surface $p\text{CO}_2$ was higher in September-October 2007 than in the same period of 2006 (Fig. 4a and Table 1).

To remove the temperature effect on $p\text{CO}_2$, we normalized $p\text{CO}_2$ to a constant temperature of 23.24°C (the mean temperature during the study period) following the approach of Takahashi et al. (1993). The temperature normalized $p\text{CO}_2$ ($np\text{CO}_2$) reflects $p\text{CO}_2$ variability due to non-temperature factors such as mixing, air-sea exchange and biological activity (e.g. Körtzinger et al., 2008; Xue et al., 2012). We found that $np\text{CO}_2$ also had a wide range of 230-500 μatm , with higher values in cold months and lower values in warm months (Fig. 4a).

As described in Section 2.4 TA and DIC at the buoy site were estimated (Fig. 4d). By definition TA strictly followed SSS variability, with high values corresponding to high salinity values and vice versa. Estimated DIC was high from December 2006 to February 2007 and the lowest DIC values occurred during April-June 2007 due to the occurrence of biological production events (Fig. 4).

3.4 Air-sea CO₂ flux and NCP

Air-sea CO₂ fluxes at this site showed great temporal variability on different timescales (Fig. 5). Especially during October-December 2006, every three-hour (black line) or one-day averaged (green line) air-sea CO₂ fluxes varied from -20 to -5 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ within several hours or days due mainly to the frequent occurrence of high wind speed greater than 10 m s⁻¹ (Fig. 5b). The study site even switched rapidly between being a CO₂ source and sink within a few days during October 2006 and April-June 2007. There was also obvious monthly and seasonal variability (Fig. 5). During July-September 2006 the sea to air CO₂ flux ranged from < 1 to ~9 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹, representing a CO₂ source to the atmosphere, while the study site was a CO₂ sink during most of the time between October 2006 and mid-June 2007. In addition, air-sea CO₂ flux in September-October 2006 was different from that in the same calendar months of 2007 (Fig. 5c and Table 1). For example, in October this site was a CO₂ sink in 2006 and a CO₂ source in 2007 (Fig. 5 and Table 1). Overall, the buoy site was a net CO₂ sink with respect to the atmosphere (-0.65 mol m⁻² yr⁻¹ during 18 July 2006-18 July 2007, or -0.43 mol m⁻² yr⁻¹ during 31 October 2006- 31 October 2007).

Monthly mean NCP in the mixed layer is listed in Table 2. During July-September of 2006 and 2007 there were negative NCP values, meaning that this site was heterotrophic, while during January to June 2007 there were either positive or near zero NCP values, meaning it was autotrophic or nearly at a metabolic balance. These results were in general agreement with Jiang et al. (2013)'s inference. In particular, a high NCP of 44.5 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ was observed during April 2007 when a bloom occurred resulting in high DO% and high Chl *a* levels (Fig. 4c). Integrating the monthly NCP (Table 2), we found that this site was autotrophic on an annual basis with NCP varying from 0.44 mol m⁻² yr⁻¹ (November 2006- October 2007) to 0.99 mol m⁻²

yr⁻¹ (September 2006-August 2007). NCP during September-October 2006 was also different from that in 2007 (Table 2). For example, NCP was positive (1.7 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) in October 2006 and negative in October 2007 (-9.1 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹).

4. Discussion

4.1 Controlling processes of surface pCO₂ based on property regressions

Sea surface pCO₂ and SST showed strong positive relationships on an annual basis ($r^2 = 0.64$) and on monthly basis in most months (Fig. 6a and Table 3). Thus, SST played a dominant role in pCO₂ seasonal variations and could explain a majority of pCO₂ variability. This seasonal cycle feature was particularly clear during the cooling period from September 2006 to February 2007 and the warming period from late February to early April 2007 (Figs. 4 and 6). These results were consistent with previous findings in this region (Jiang et al., 2008a). However, it was also clear that gas exchange, mixing, and biology altered the carbonate chemistry in this open system at the buoy site over the annual cycle, which led to multiple pCO₂-SST evolving paths (rather than along one path predicted by Eq. 5 in a closed system). Deterioration or complete breakdown of pCO₂-SST relationships occurred on various occasions (Fig. 6a and Table 3). For example, sea surface pCO₂ increased with SST as predicted during the warming period from late February to April 2007, which was followed by lower and sharply changing pCO₂ between April and June 2007 (Fig. 6a). This behavior was very likely associated with high biological production and subsequent respiration as illustrated by high DO% and subsequent rapid decay of the signal (Fig. 4c). Therefore, episodic biological events had a strong impact on pCO₂ even though it is assumed that SST would be the most influential control on variability. In

addition, the positive $p\text{CO}_2$ -temperature relationship completely deteriorated during the peak winter period of February 2007 and peak summer period (July-August) of 2006 and 2007 (Fig. 6a and Table 3). Thus, despite the dominant role of SST in the annual cycle of $p\text{CO}_2$ variation, other factors also influenced $p\text{CO}_2$.

Sea surface $np\text{CO}_2$ and SSS showed significant, yet weaker, negative relationships than $p\text{CO}_2$ -SST on an annual basis ($r^2 = 0.36$) and occasionally on monthly basis (Fig. 6b and Table 3). Thus, SSS variability, or river inputs, also played an important role in the annual cycle of $p\text{CO}_2$ aside from SST (Fig. s5 in the supplementary materials). Rivers transported terrestrial carbon and CO_2 produced in the salt-marshes and within the estuaries to the shelf (Jiang et al., 2008b, 2013; Wang and Cai, 2004), which to a large extent explained the relatively high $np\text{CO}_2$ during low SSS in February-April 2007 and low $np\text{CO}_2$ during high SSS in summer (July-August) (Fig. 4). Negative relationships between $np\text{CO}_2$ and SSS also broke down on some occasions, for example, during April-June 2007 when biological production was episodically high (Figs. 6b and 4c). On monthly scales, surface $np\text{CO}_2$ and SSS showed strong negative relationships during the wet season in December 2006-April 2007 and the dry summertime (July-August) (Fig. 6b and Table 3). For instance, in February 2007 when an increase in $p\text{CO}_2$ of 48 μatm occurred together with a decrease in SSS of 3.54, we observed a strong negative relationship between $np\text{CO}_2$ and SSS with $r^2=0.86$ (Fig. 6b and Table 3), indicating that $p\text{CO}_2$ increase was likely associated with river inputs. While no relationship was observed between SSS and local precipitation at the buoy site and that the estimated SSS change was < 0.05 units due to impact of daily rain events (data not presented), extreme precipitation events could have an impact on SSS and $p\text{CO}_2$ data. We defer a discussion of this issue to future examination of the entire dataset from 2006 through the present.

As demonstrated by $npCO_2$ and $DO\%$ ($r^2 < 0.1$, Fig. 6c and Table 3), the role of biological activity in the annual cycle of pCO_2 was relatively weak compared with SST and SSS. Surface $npCO_2$ and $DO\%$, however, showed strong negative relationships during spring and summer months (April-August) (Fig. 6c and Table 3), suggesting important influences of biological activity on surface pCO_2 during these periods. For example, during April-May 2007 when a bloom occurred as reflected by Chl *a* level and $DO\%$ (Fig. 4c), $npCO_2$ and $DO\%$ showed strong negative relationships (Table 3). This bloom began in late April, peaked in early May, and almost disappeared by late May. During this period, surface water pCO_2 followed the evolution of the bloom (Fig. 4), reflecting the dominance of biological activity on pCO_2 . From the onset to the peak of the bloom (i.e., from late April to early May), surface pCO_2 decreased by $\sim 150 \mu atm$ and reached a minimum of $233 \mu atm$ (the lowest observed value during the study period) (Fig. 4). While in August of both 2006 and 2007 we observed high pCO_2 values, as well as a strong negative relationship between $npCO_2$ and $DO\%$ ($r^2 = 0.79$), implying the possible influence of respiration (Fig. 6c and Table 3).

Overall although SST explains the main feature of the annual cycle and the dominant seasonal pattern of sea surface pCO_2 , other non-thermal factors such as river inputs and biological activity are also important as suggested by the relationships of $npCO_2$ with $DO\%$ and SSS (Fig. 6 and Table 3). However, it is difficult to quantitatively identify the relative importance of these processes to pCO_2 variations based on property regressions alone due to their interactions (especially the river input and biological signals), which will be discussed further in Section 4.2.

4.2 Processes influencing monthly $p\text{CO}_2$ variability revealed by the 1-D model

4.2.1 Quantitative identification of $p\text{CO}_2$ controls

Contribution and relative importance of each driving factor to monthly DIC and $p\text{CO}_2$ variability are shown in Table 2 and Fig. 7. While the conclusions derived from the 1-D model are in general agreement with those derived from the property regressions, at times, they also illustrate how various processes enhance or cancel out each other. SST played an important role in $p\text{CO}_2$ variability during rapid cooling from September to November 2006 and during warming from March to June 2007 (Fig. 7). During September-November 2006 monthly $p\text{CO}_2$ decrease was 39-76 μatm due to cooling (Fig. 7b), and during March-June 2007 monthly $p\text{CO}_2$ increase was 44-73 μatm due to warming. SST changes during the rapid cooling or warming periods could account for most of the seasonal variability in $p\text{CO}_2$ between winter and summer, and thus SST was the most important factor of $p\text{CO}_2$ seasonal variation. In contrast, during the peak winter period in December-February and the peak summer period in July-August, the temperature effect was weak (less than 25 μatm , Fig. 7a).

Mixing between nearshore and open-ocean waters (primarily due to horizontal advection), characterized by salinity changes, had an important influence on $p\text{CO}_2$ variability, mainly during January-April and July-October 2007 with monthly SSS changes larger than 0.32 (Fig. 7 and Table 2). During these periods, monthly $p\text{CO}_2$ changes were 13-83 μatm due to mixing (Fig. 7a). This effect was especially notable in February 2007 when SSS decreased by 3.54 due to increased river inputs and thus $p\text{CO}_2$ increased by 83 μatm due to mixing with CO_2 rich nearshore waters. While in August 2007, SSS increased by 0.60, resulting in a $p\text{CO}_2$ decrease of 23 μatm due to mixing with open ocean waters.

Biological activity also played an important role in monthly $p\text{CO}_2$ variations (Fig. 7). During August-September 2006 and July-October 2007 monthly $p\text{CO}_2$ increase was 37-77 μatm due to biological respiration, while in January and February 2007 monthly $p\text{CO}_2$ decrease was 22-31 μatm due to biological production. Particularly during the bloom period in April 2007 $p\text{CO}_2$ decreased by 116 μatm due to strong photosynthetic uptake of CO_2 (Fig. 4c). While biological production was still strong in early May, it began to decay and disappeared at the end of May with the overall biological effect of increasing $p\text{CO}_2$ on a monthly scale.

Finally, the effect of air-sea exchange was relatively minor when compared with the influence of SST, mixing, and biological activity on $p\text{CO}_2$ (Fig. 7). The maximum monthly $p\text{CO}_2$ decrease was 20-32 μatm due to high CO_2 release to the atmosphere in August 2006 and July-October 2007, whereas the maximum monthly $p\text{CO}_2$ increase was 14-23 μatm due to CO_2 uptake by the ocean from November 2006 to February 2007.

We also find that the primary $p\text{CO}_2$ controlling processes varied with time of year (Fig. 7). Cooling during September-November 2006, January 2007, and October 2007, and warming in March 2007, May 2007, and June 2007 were the most important processes (temperature). Biological respiration (producing CO_2) during peak summer (July-August of 2006 and 2007), as well as in September 2007, and biological production (consuming CO_2) in April 2007 were the most important processes (biology). Mixing was the most important in February 2007 at the peak winter time when river discharge was moderately high but biological production was low and temperature was low but stable, and air-sea exchange (uptake) was the most important in December 2006. In fact, several processes often combined to influence $p\text{CO}_2$. For example, in September 2006 while cooling was the most important process, this effect was almost completely offset by biological respiration (Fig. 7).

Overall our work pointed out the importance of mixing (primarily horizontal transports) on surface water $p\text{CO}_2$, especially in river-influenced coastal oceans and our method made improvements in quantifying the contribution of mixing. Considering that the waters at the buoy site were influenced by rivers (Menzel, 1993), which transport terrestrial carbon and CO_2 produced by the salt-marshes and within the estuaries to the shelf (Jiang et al., 2008b, 2013; Wang and Cai, 2004), we used monthly varying slope values of DIC-S, which are associated with river discharge (Fig. 3b), to estimate the contribution from horizontal transports. This estimate captured the signal of $p\text{CO}_2$ variability due to horizontal transports and better separated the biological signal produced in the estuarine and nearshore zone that was brought to the buoy site. In contrast, in recent studies this contribution from horizontal transports was often not separated from other controlling processes (e.g. Schiettecatte et al., 2006; Vandemark et al., 2011; Shadwick et al., 2015) or was simply quantified on the basis of a constant linear relationship of DIC and salinity observed during cruises (e.g. Shadwick et al., 2011). The method we used to separate the contributions of various processes to $p\text{CO}_2$ variation should be useful in exploring the mechanisms that drive coastal ocean CO_2 variations.

4.2.2 Uncertainty assessment of the 1-D model

Here we estimated the uncertainty associated with key terms in the model (Table 2). First, the uncertainty of DIC calculated from the $p\text{CO}_2$ and salinity-derived TA was estimated to be $\sim 13 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ or $< 1\%$ via the program CO2SYS (Lewis and Wallace, 1998) (without considering the uncertainty induced by carbonate acid dissociation constants during calculations), given the uncertainty of $\sim 13 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ for salinity-derived TA (Fig. 3a) and the uncertainty of 2

μatm for $p\text{CO}_2$ measurement (Sutton et al., 2014b). The DIC uncertainty will lead to an uncertainty of $18.4 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$, or $(13^2 + 13^2)^{0.5}$, for monthly DIC changes ($\Delta\text{DIC} = \text{DIC}_2 - \text{DIC}_1$). This uncertainty, which will propagate into $\Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{bio}}$ and NCP, was very large considering that ΔDIC was often very small (Table 2), though the uncertainty associated with DIC was minor (< 1%). Second, to separate mixing and biological effects, the slope values of DIC-Salinity between the composite nearshore and open-ocean end-members during 2006-2007 was estimated from the relationship between slope values and monthly mean discharge of Altamaha River during 2005-2006 (Fig. 3b). The uncertainty associated with slope values estimated from the residual values of predicted slope values was 3% during November-May and 23% during June-October; similar uncertainties will propagate into $\Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{mix}}$. The positive relationship during the relatively cold and wet months of November-May is strong with a $\pm 3\%$ uncertainty and could be due to a dilution of nearshore DIC by high discharges (thus DIC-S slope is greater as the nearshore DIC decreases). The negative relationship during the warm and dry months of June-October is somewhat weak with a large relative uncertainty ($\pm 23\%$). While it is not entirely clear what drives the negative correlation during June-October, overall a high nearshore DIC and thus a low DIC-S slope is expected during the period of low discharge and high marsh export and estuarine respiration. Third, the uncertainty in air-sea CO_2 exchange (up to 20%), resulting from quantifying gas transfer velocity (Wanninkhof et al., 2009; Watson et al., 2009) and the uncertainty associated with mixed layer depth (up to 15%, e.g. Shadwich et al., 2015) will act together to induce an uncertainty of $\sim 25\%$ for $\Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{a-s}}$.

The uncertainties associated with $\Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{bio}}$ and NCP are estimated by propagating the uncertainty in each term on the right hand of Eqs. 8a and 8d ($\sqrt{\sum(\text{uncertainty})^2}$, Table 2). We find that the uncertainties associated with $\Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{mix}}$ (< $2.4 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) and $\Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{a-s}}$ (< $4.8 \mu\text{mol}$

kg⁻¹) are relatively small, and in contrast there are large uncertainties associated with $\Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{bio}}$ (> 18.4 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) and NCP (> 5.0 $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$) (Table 2), originating from the large uncertainty in ΔDIC . Therefore, the primary source of uncertainty can be traced back to the TA estimated from salinity. Apart from the complexity of coastal ocean (e.g. terrestrial inputs), the uncertainty in estimated TA was also associated with the seasonality of the TA-S relationship, which, if there is any (we assume none in this work), will cause greater uncertainty for low-salinity data and lower uncertainty for high-salinity data (Fig. s6) (Fig. s6). For the lowest salinity of 31.73 observed during 2005-2006, the difference of predicted TA by different linear fits will be up to 57 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ (Fig. s6). Fortunately, the salinity at the buoy site was generally high with an annual average of 35.47. For a salinity of 35.47, the difference of predicted TA by different linear fits will be 12 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$. Thus, more efforts in terms of improving TA estimates should be attempted to reduce the model uncertainty in future. Of course, all the uncertainties mentioned above will also propagate into $p\text{CO}_2$ (not shown). However, we have to point out that the uncertainty associated with $\Delta p\text{CO}_{2\text{bio}}$, the closing term, will be smaller than $\Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{bio}}$ due to the relatively small uncertainty in $p\text{CO}_2$ measurement and in estimates of $\Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{mix}}$ and $\Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{a-s}}$, even though the non-linear effect of $p\text{CO}_2$ was considered. The uncertainty associated with the non-linear effect (calculated from Eq. 4a) was relatively small (1-13%) and was negligible in comparison with other uncertainties described here ($\Delta p\text{CO}_{2\text{non}}$, the open columns in Fig. 7).

Besides the uncertainties mentioned above that have been quantified, uncertainties may also be induced by some processes that do not exist all the time or are not important at this site, and that thus are not considered in the model. For example, the effect from vertical entrainment during mixed layer deepening periods (in September) was ignored and may disturb the biological signal (the closing term). If it is assumed that the water column state in both August of 2006 and

2007 was the same as that in July 2005 (available data) and that the shift from a stratified water column to vertically well mixed waters was finished in September, an increase of $36 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ in DIC, $34 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ in TA, and $16 \mu\text{atm}$ in $p\text{CO}_2$ will be induced by vertical entrainment based on the method used by Shadwick et al. (2011). However, a slight increase of 0.04 in salinity during September 2006 and a great decrease of 0.79 in salinity during September 2007 (Table 2) indicate that this assumption may not be correct or that the vertical entrainment signal was masked by other process such as horizontal mixing. Since the buoy sits on a broad shallow shelf, the impact of such vertical mixing was likely very small. Using field survey data from 2005 as an example, while a slight stratification develops, DIC values in surface and bottom waters at and near the buoy site are all located on the same DIC-salinity line (Fig. s1). Therefore, in this case, vertical mixing would not introduce a signal different from horizontal mixing. However, waters below 200 m depths are clearly enriched in DIC. Occasional strong onshore advection of deep water during the summer time (which would result in lower SST and higher SSS at the buoy site, but were not observed in our data during this period; Lee et al., 1991; Menzel 1993) thus could affect the buoy $p\text{CO}_2$ data in a way that is not considered in our current simple 1-D model. Finally, as noted earlier, extreme precipitation events could potentially impact our interpretation of mixing scenario and should be included in future model efforts.

4.3 Influence of enhanced river input on interannual changes in surface $p\text{CO}_2$

Since SSS can be a proxy for river influence at the buoy site (Fig. s5), lower SSS in September-October 2007 compared with September-October 2006 (Fig. 4b and Table 1) indicated enhanced river input to the buoy site occurring in fall 2007. Considering that rivers

transport waters with excess CO₂ and organic matter from the marshes and within the estuaries to the shelf (Jiang et al., 2008b, 2013; Wang and Cai, 2004), increased surface *p*CO₂ in September-October 2007 was thus expected. This was confirmed by higher sea surface *p*CO₂ in September-October 2007 than in the comparable period of 2006 (Fig. 4 and Table 1).

Changes in river inputs resulted in different processes that control *p*CO₂ between September-October of 2006 and the same period of 2007 (Fig. 7). Specifically, cooling was the most important process in September 2006, and in contrast biological respiration became the most important in September 2007. Although cooling was the most important process in both October 2006 and 2007, the contribution from cooling to *p*CO₂ decrease was much smaller in October 2007 than in October 2006, and on the contrary, contribution to *p*CO₂ increase from biological respiration was greater in October 2007 than in October 2006. Although the mixing effect was not the most important process between September-October 2006 and 2007, the *p*CO₂ increase due to river inputs characterized by SSS was clearly enhanced in September-October 2007 compared with that in September-October 2006. Simultaneously, there were differences in monthly average NCP in September-October of 2006 and 2007 (Table 2). This site was more heterotrophic in September-October 2007 than in September-October 2006. For instance, NCP shifted from a positive value of 1.7 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ in October 2006 to a negative value of -9.1 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ in October 2007. Thus, in addition to direct lateral transport of high CO₂ water from salt-marshes and estuaries, higher *p*CO₂ in September-October 2007 was to a large extent (or even dominantly) due to greater contributions from local biological decomposition of organic matter possibly transported from the marshes and estuary (Jiang et al., 2008b, 2013; Wang and Cai, 2004).

Overall, on a river-influenced shelf river input may cause large and less predictable variability in surface water $p\text{CO}_2$ and thus in air-sea CO_2 flux, highlighting the necessity of good temporal coverage for accurate estimates of air-sea CO_2 fluxes. This variability can be caused by variability in the transport of estuarine CO_2 via remote respiration occurring in the estuarine zone or respiration of organic carbon transported from the estuarine zone. The comparison of $p\text{CO}_2$ in September-October 2006 and 2007 indicated that there will be large interannual variability in CO_2 at the buoy site, which can be due to varying river loading. If precipitation or wind patterns were altered by climate change and variability, the CO_2 system and CO_2 sink/source status to the atmosphere at the buoy site would also be affected by changes in these terrestrial inputs (e.g. Gypens et al., 2011).

4.4 Uncertainties in estimating air-sea CO_2 exchange and annual NCP due to under-sampling

Due to high temporal variability in CO_2 flux in this river-influenced coastal ocean (e.g. Leinweber et al., 2009; Vandemark et al., 2011; Fig. 5), we are compelled to discuss uncertainties in estimates of air-sea CO_2 fluxes and NCP due to under-sampling. We find that even a contradictory result could be obtained when ship-based surveys were conducted during seasonal transition periods or periods with episodic biological production events. During these periods sea surface $p\text{CO}_2$ varied rapidly between being oversaturated and undersaturated (Fig. 5). Conclusions regarding whether the study site was a CO_2 sink or source would depend on the investigation time. For instance, during early October 2006 it was a CO_2 source and later a sink (Fig. 5). During April-June 2007, it was a CO_2 sink during short periods of biological production, and otherwise it became a source (Fig. 5). This could explain why during early studies the SAB

was thought to be a CO₂ source to the atmosphere (Cai et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2005), and later the entire SAB shelf was found to be a net CO₂ sink with respect to the atmosphere on an annual basis (Jiang et al., 2008a). A similar phenomenon was also found in the Southern Bight of the North Sea by Schiettecatte et al. (2007), who stated that whether or not the bloom peak in April 2002 could be captured directly determined the CO₂ sink/source status of this region on an annual basis. Under-sampling also exerted an important influence on estimates of NCP. In our case, if the short biological production events during April-May 2007 were not captured, the buoy site would become net heterotrophic even though it was still a CO₂ sink (but a smaller one). The annual NCP would be negative (from -1.04 to -0.49 mol m⁻² yr⁻¹), if the bloom in April 2007 were not observed, and instead we used average NCP from March and May 2007 of -4.2 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ as the NCP value in April 2007.

In all, high sampling frequency is needed in a coastal system that is susceptible to terrestrial inputs, particularly during seasonal transition periods or biological production periods for ship-based investigations. However, although the flux estimated at the buoy site should be more reliable than previous infrequent cruise-based flux estimations, it is spatially limited. A combination of high temporal resolution sampling with infrequent but whole-shelf survey cruises and synoptic satellite remote sensing approaches (e.g., Lohrenz et al., 2010; Bai et al., 2015) will give us a more complete picture of the spatial and temporal variations in the CO₂ dynamics.

5. Conclusions

Three-hour resolution observations during July 2006-October 2007 indicated that surface *p*CO₂ at the SAB buoy site was generally undersaturated with respect to the atmosphere in cold

months (November-March) and oversaturated in warm months (April-October). These buoy observations were generally consistent with the seasonal pattern reported by previous shipboard studies (Jiang et al., 2008a). The high temporal resolution observations also revealed important events not reported in previous ship-based observations, such as the sporadically occurring biological production-induced $p\text{CO}_2$ drawdown during April-June 2007. Although temperature played a dominant role in an annual cycle of $p\text{CO}_2$, river inputs especially in the wet season, biological respiration in peak summer, and biological production during April-June 2007 also had important influences on $p\text{CO}_2$. The 1-D model was able to quantify the contributions of $p\text{CO}_2$ controlling processes and had significant implications in understanding the mechanisms driving coastal ocean CO_2 variability, in favoring modeling work, although uncertainties existed in the model and further improvements were needed.

In addition, higher $p\text{CO}_2$ during September-October 2007 than during the same period a year earlier was primarily caused by increased river inputs in fall 2007. It indicated that river-influenced coastal CO_2 system was susceptible to terrestrial inputs and will exhibit large temporal variability. Thus, future research in similar coastal systems should seek to further constrain the influences on the CO_2 system and the CO_2 sink/source status from terrestrial inputs, which are modulated by human activity or climate change and variability. During the study period, on an annual basis this study site was a net CO_2 sink with respect to the atmosphere and was moderately autotrophic as shown by NCP in the mixed layer when the bloom in April-May 2007 was included during calculation. We find that temporal under-sampling could greatly bias the estimate of air-sea CO_2 fluxes or annual NCP, and even produce contradictory results, indicating the necessity of good temporal coverage in complex coastal systems.

Acknowledgement

The study was supported by the NOAA Global Carbon Cycle Program, proposal GC05-208, Ocean Acidification Program, project OAPFY11.01.NGI.003, and NSF OCE- 0425153. PMEL funding was provided by the NOAA Climate Program Office and the NOAA Ocean Acidification Program. This is PMEL contribution #4260 and JISAO contribution #2399. LX thanks the China Scholarship Council for providing the scholarship fund (No.201404180014) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 41506099). We acknowledge NDBC for servicing the mooring platform. We thank Rik Wanninkhof for leading NOAA's efforts in carbon cycle research and ocean acidification monitoring in the US Gulf and East Coasts and for helpful comments on an earlier version of this paper. Finally, we acknowledge our late friend and colleague Y. Wang, who had installed and serviced the sensors on the Gray's Reef NDBC buoy during the period that data were collected and presented here.

References

- Atkinson, L.P., Blanton, J.O., Chandler, W.S., Lee, T.N., 1983. Climatology of the southeastern United States continental shelf waters. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 88, 4705-4718.
- Bai, Y., Cai, W.-J., He, X., Zhai, W., Pan, D., Dai, M., Yu, P., 2015. A mechanistic semi-analytical method for remotely sensing sea surface $p\text{CO}_2$ in river-dominated coastal oceans: A case study from the East China Sea. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, doi: 10.1002/2014JC010632.
- Bates, N., Astor, Y., Church, M., Currie, K., Dore, J., Gonaález-Dávila, M., Lorenzoni, L., Muller-Karger, F., Olafsson, J., Santa-Casiano, M., 2014. A Time-Series View of Changing Ocean Chemistry Due to Ocean Uptake of Anthropogenic CO_2 and Ocean Acidification. *Oceanography* 27, 126-141.
- Bauer, J.E., Cai, W.-J., Raymond, P.A., Bianchi, T.S., Hopkinson, C.S., Regnier, P.A., 2013. The changing carbon cycle of the coastal ocean. *Nature* 504, 61-70.
- Benway, H.M., Doney, S.C., 2014. Scientific outcomes and future challenges of the Ocean Carbon and Biogeochemistry Program. *Oceanography* 27 (1):106–107, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2014.13>.
- Boehme, S.E., Sabine, C.L., Reimers, C.E., 1998. CO_2 fluxes from a coastal transect: a time-series approach. *Marine Chemistry*, 63, 49-67.
- Borges, A.V., Delille, B., Frankignoulle, M., 2005. Budgeting sinks and sources of CO_2 in the coastal ocean: Diversity of ecosystems counts. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 32, L14601, doi:10.1029/2005GL023053.
- Cai, W.-J., 2011. Coastal Ocean Carbon Paradox: CO_2 Sinks or Sites of Terrestrial Carbon Incineration. *Annual Review of Marine Science* 3: 123-145.

- Cai, W.-J., Hu, X., Huang, W., Jiang, L.-Q., Wang, Y., Peng, T., Zhang, X., 2010. Alkalinity distribution in the western North Atlantic Ocean margins. *J. Geophys. Res.* 115, C08014, doi:10.1029/2009JC005482.
- Cai, W.-J., Wang, Y., Hodson, R.E., 1998. Acid-base properties of dissolved organic matter in the estuarine waters of Georgia, USA. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 62, 473-483.
- Cai, W.-J., Pomeroy, L.R., Moran, M.A., Wang, Y., 1999. Oxygen and carbon dioxide mass balance for the estuarine-intertidal marsh complex of five rivers in the southeastern U.S. *Limnology and Oceanography* 44, 639-649.
- Cai, W.-J., Wang, Y., 1998. The chemistry, fluxes, and sources of carbon dioxide in the estuarine waters of the Satilla and Altamaha Rivers, Georgia. *Limnology and Oceanography* 43, 657-668.
- Cai, W.-J., Wang, Z.A., Wang, Y., 2003. The role of marsh-dominated heterotrophic continental margins in transport of CO₂ between the atmosphere, the land-sea interface and the ocean. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 30 (16), 1849, doi: 10.1029/2003GL017633.
- Chen, C.T.A., Huang, T.H., Chen, Y.C., Bai, Y., He, X., Kang, Y., 2013. Air-sea exchanges of CO₂ in the world's coastal seas. *Biogeosciences* 10, 6509-6544.
- Chierici, M., Fransson, A., Nojiri, Y., 2006. Biogeochemical processes as drivers of surface *f*CO₂ in contrasting provinces in the subarctic North Pacific Ocean. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 20, GB1009, doi:10.1029/2004GB002356.
- Dickson, A.G., Millero, F.J., 1987. A comparison of the equilibrium constants for the dissociation of carbonic acid in seawater media. *Deep Sea Research Part A.* 34, 1733-1743.
- Ducklow, H.W., Doney, S.C., Steinberg, D.K., 2009. Contributions of Long-Term Research and Time-Series Observations to Marine Ecology and Biogeochemistry. *Annual Review of*

- Marine Science 1, 279-302.
- Fennel, K., Wilkin, J., 2009. Quantifying biological carbon export for the northwest North Atlantic continental shelves. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 36, L18605, doi: 10.1029/2009GL039818.
- Friis, K., Körtzinger, A., Wallace, D.W.R., 2003. The salinity normalization of marine inorganic carbon chemistry data. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 30(2), 1085, doi: 10.1029/2002GL015898.
- Garcia, H.E., Gordon, L.I., 1992. Oxygen Solubility in Seawater: Better Fitting Equations. *Limnology and Oceanography* 37, 1307-1312.
- Gattuso, J.P., Frankignoulle, M., Wollast, R., 1998. CARBON AND CARBONATE METABOLISM IN COASTAL AQUATIC ECOSYSTEMS. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 29, 405-434.
- Gruber, N., 2014. Ocean biogeochemistry: Carbon at the coastal interface. *Nature: News & Views*, doi: 10.1038/nature14082.
- Gypens, N., Lacroix, G., Lancelot, C., Borges, A.V., 2011. Seasonal and inter-annual variability of air-sea CO₂ fluxes and seawater carbonate chemistry in the Southern North Sea. *Progress in Oceanography*, 88, 59-77.
- Ho, D.T., Law, C.S., Smith, M.J., Schlosser, P., Harvey, M., Hill, P., 2006. Measurements of air-sea gas exchange at high wind speeds in the Southern Ocean: Implications for global parameterizations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 33, L16611, doi:10.1029/2006GL026817.
- Jiang, L.-Q., Cai, W.-J., Wanninkhof, R., Wang, Y., Lüger, H., 2008a. Air-sea CO₂ fluxes on the U.S. South Atlantic Bight: Spatial and seasonal variability. *J. Geophys. Res.* 113, C07019, doi: 10.1029/2007JC004366.
- Jiang, L.-Q., Cai, W.-J., Wang, Y., 2008b. A comparative study of carbon dioxide degassing in river- and marine-dominated estuaries. *Limnology and Oceanography* 53, 2603-2615.

- Jiang, L.-Q., Cai, W.-J., Wang, Y., Bauer, J.E., 2013. Influence of terrestrial inputs on continental shelf carbon dioxide. *Biogeosciences* 10, 839-849.
- Körtzinger, A., Send, U., Wallace, D.W.R., Karstensen, J., DeGrandpre, M., 2008. Seasonal cycle of O₂ and *p*CO₂ in the central Labrador Sea: Atmospheric, biological, and physical implications. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 22, GB1014, doi: 10.1029/2007GB003029.
- Laruelle, G.G., Lauerwald, R., Pfeil, B., Regnier, P., 2014. Regionalized global budget of the CO₂ exchange at the air-water interface in continental shelf seas. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 28, doi:10.1002/2014GB004832.
- Lee, T.N., Yoder, J.A., Atkinson, L.P. 1991. Gulf Stream Frontal Eddy Influence on Productivity of the Southeast U.S. Continental Shelf. *J. Geophys. Res.* 96, 22191-22205.
- Leinweber, A., Gruber, N., Frenzel, H., Friederich, G.E., Chavez, F.P., 2009. Diurnal carbon cycling in the surface ocean and lower atmosphere of Santa Monica Bay, California. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 36, L08601, doi:10.1029/2008GL037018.
- Lewis, E., Wallace, D.W.R., 1998. Program developed for CO₂ systems calculations. ORNL/CDIAC 105, Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory US Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Lohrenz, S.E., Cai, W.-J., Chen, F., Chen, X., Tuel, M., 2010. Seasonal variability in air-sea fluxes of CO₂ in a river-influenced coastal margin. *J. Geophys. Res.* 115, C10034, doi: 10.1029/2009JC005608.
- Mehrbach, C., Culbertson, C.H., Hawley, J.E., Pytkowicz, R.M., 1973. Measurement of the Apparent Dissociation Constants of Carbonic Acid in Seawater at Atmospheric Pressure. *Limnology and Oceanography* 18, 897-907.
- Menzel, D.W., 1993. Ocean processes: U. S. Southeast Continental Shelf: A summary of

- research conducted in the south Atlantic Bight under the Auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy from 1977-1991. U.S. DOE.
- Muller-Karger, F.E., Varela, R., Thunell, R., Luerssen, R., Hu, C., Walsh, J.J., 2005. The importance of continental margins in the global carbon cycle. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 32, L01602, doi: 10.1029/2004GL021346.
- Redfield, A.C., Ketchum, B.H., Richards, F.A., 1963. The influence of organisms on the composition of sea water. in: M.N. Hill (Ed.) *The Sea*. Interscience, New York, pp. 26-77.
- Schiettecatte, L., Gazeau, F., van der Zee, C., Brion, N., Borges, A.V., 2006. Time series of the partial pressure of carbon dioxide (2001–2004) and preliminary inorganic carbon budget in the Scheldt plume (Belgian coastal waters). *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 7(6), Q06009, doi: 10.1029/2005GC001161.
- Schiettecatte, L.S., Thomas, H., Bozec, Y., Borges, A.V., 2007. High temporal coverage of carbon dioxide measurements in the Southern Bight of the North Sea. *Marine Chemistry* Special issue: Dedicated to the memory of Professor Roland Wollast 106, 161-173.
- Shadwick, E.H., Thomas, H., Azetsu-Scott, K., Greenan, B.J.W., Head, E., Horne, E., 2011. Seasonal variability of dissolved inorganic carbon and surface water $p\text{CO}_2$ in the Scotian Shelf region of the Northwestern Atlantic. *Marine Chemistry* 124(1-4): 23-37.
- Shadwick, E.H., Trull, T.W., Tilbrook, B., Sutton, A.J., Schulz, E., Sabine, C.L., 2015. Seasonality of biological and physical controls on surface ocean CO_2 from hourly observations at the Southern Ocean Time Series site south of Australia. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 29, 223–238, doi: 10.1002/2014GB004906.
- Signorini, S.R., Mannino, A., Najjar, R.G., Friedrichs, M.A.M., Cai, W.-J., Salisbury, J., Wang, Z.A., Thomas, H., Shadwick, E., 2013. Surface ocean $p\text{CO}_2$ seasonality and sea-air CO_2 flux estimates for the North American east coast. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 118, 1–22, doi:10.1002/jgrc.20369.

- Sutton, A.J., Feely, R.A., Sabine, C.L., McPhaden, M.J., Takahashi, T., Chavez, F.P., Friederich, G.E., Mathis, J.T., 2014a. Natural variability and anthropogenic change in equatorial Pacific surface ocean $p\text{CO}_2$ and pH. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 28, 131-145, doi : 10.1002/2013GB004679.
- Sutton, A.J., Sabine, C.L., Maenner-Jones, S., Lawrence-Slavas, N., Meinig, C., Feely, R.A., Mathis, J.T., Musielewicz, S., Bott, R., McLain, P.D., Fought, H.J., Kozyr, A. 2014b. A high-frequency atmospheric and seawater $p\text{CO}_2$ data set from 14 open-ocean sites using a moored autonomous system. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* 6, 353-366.
- Sweeney, C., Gloor, E., Jacobson, A.R., Key, R.M., McKinley, G., Sarmiento, J.L., Wanninkhof, R., 2007. Constraining global air-sea gas exchange for CO_2 with recent bomb ^{14}C measurements. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 21, GB2015, doi: 10.1029/2006GB002784.
- Takahashi, T., Olafsson, J., Goddard, J.G., Chipman, D.W., Sutherland, S.C., 1993. Seasonal Variation of CO_2 and Nutrients in the High-Latitude Surface Oceans: a Comparative Study. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 7, 843-878.
- Vandemark, D., Salisbury, J.E., Hunt, C.W., Shellito, S.M., Irish, J.D., McGillis, W.R., Sabine, C.L., Maenner, S.M., 2011. Temporal and spatial dynamics of CO_2 air-sea flux in the Gulf of Maine. *J. Geophys. Res.* 116, C01012, doi: 10.1029/2010JC006408.
- Wang, Z.A., Cai, W.-J., Wang, Y., Ji, H., 2005. The southeastern continental shelf of the United States as an atmospheric CO_2 source and an exporter of inorganic carbon to the ocean. *Continental Shelf Research* 25, 1917-1941.
- Wang, Z.A., Cai, W.-J., 2004. Carbon dioxide degassing and inorganic carbon export from a marsh-dominated estuary (the Duplin River): A marsh CO_2 pump. *Limnology and Oceanography* 49, 341-354.

- Wanninkhof, R., 1992. Relationship between Wind Speed and Gas Exchange Over the Ocean. *J. Geophys. Res.* 97, 7373-7382.
- Wanninkhof, R., Asher, W.E., Ho, D.T., Sweeney, C., McGillis, W.R., 2009. Advances on quantifying air-sea gas exchange and environmental forcing. *Annu.Rev.Mar.Sci*, 1, 213-244.
- Watson, A.J., Schuster, U., Bakker, D.C.E., Bates, N.R., Corbière, A., González-Dávila, M., Friedrich, T., Hauck, J., Heinze, C., Johannessen, T., Körtzinger, A., Metzl, N., Olafsson, J., Olsen, A., Oschlies, A., Padin, X.A., Pfeil, B., Santana-Casiano, J.M., Steinhoff, T., Telszewski, M., Rios, A.F., Wallace, D.W.R., Wanninkhof, R., 2009. Tracking the Variable North Atlantic Sink for Atmospheric CO₂. *Science*, 326, 1391 -1393.
- Weiss, R.F., 1974. CARBON DIOXIDE IN WATER AND SEAWATER: THE SOLUBILITY OF A NON-IDEAL GAS. *Marine Chemistry* 2, 203-215.
- Weiss, R.F., Price, B.A., 1980. Nitrous oxide solubility in water and seawater. *Marine Chemistry* 8, 347-359.
- Willcox, S., Meinig, C., Sabine, C.L., Lawrence-Slavas, N., Richardson, T., Hine, R., Manley, J., 2010. An autonomous mobile platform for underway surface carbon measurements in open-ocean and coastal waters OCEANS 2009, MTS/IEEE Biloxi-Marine Technology for Our Future: Global and Local Challenges. *IEEE*, pp. 1-8.
- Xue, L., Xue, M., Zhang, L., Sun, T., Guo, Z., Wang, J., 2012. Surface partial pressure of CO₂ and air-sea exchange in the northern Yellow Sea. *Journal of Marine Systems* 105-108, 194-206.

Figure captions

Fig. 1. Study area. (a) the location of the study area in the USA east coast (within the black box); (b) the location of buoy 41008 in the South Atlantic Bight (SAB). In (b), the black dots denotes the stations along transect C investigated by Jiang et al. (2008a) during 2005-2006, and the red dot represents the location of the buoy.

Fig. 2. Comparison of buoy $p\text{CO}_2$ and underway $p\text{CO}_2$ on 26 June 2007 (a) and 20 July 2007 (b), respectively. For comparison, underway CO_2 data on both days were selected from ± 0.02 degrees (~ 2 km) in longitude and latitude from the buoy. The MAP CO_2 system was set to measure more rapidly during these comparisons at 30 min intervals as opposed to the standard three hour intervals.

Fig. 3. Relationship between total alkalinity (TA) and salinity (S) (a) and relationship between DIC-salinity slope values and monthly mean discharge of Altamaha River (b). The TA-S relationship was determined from six cruises covering all seasons, i.e., January 2005, March 2005, July 2005, October 2005, December 2005, and May 2006 (black circle) and validated using the measured data along transect C during the GOMECC GA cruise in July 2007 (red square, see Cai et al. (2010)) as well as in August and December 2008 near the buoy (green triangle). Note that only the data with the salinity range of 31.7-36.7 (buoy salinity range) in the upper 30 m were used to build the TA-S relationship. In (b), the DIC-salinity slope values were calculated from the monthly varying DIC values at the nearshore and open ocean end-members determined by Jiang et al. (2013) (see their Table 1). Considering that only the slopes in January, March, May, July, and October were provided, slope values in other months were obtained by

linear interpolation (Fig. s4 in the supplementary materials). In addition, data in April (red dot) was not considered during the linear regression due to extremely high river discharge of $1636 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$. The Altamaha River discharge data recorded at a USGS hydrological station in Doctortown, Georgia ($31^\circ 39' 16'' \text{ N}$, $81^\circ 49' 41'' \text{ W}$) are from http://gce-lter.marsci.uga.edu/portal/usgs_doctortown/multiyear/data/index.xml.

Fig. 4. Time series of sea surface $p\text{CO}_2$ (black), temperature normalized $p\text{CO}_2$ ($np\text{CO}_2$, green), atmospheric $p\text{CO}_2$ (cyan), and sea surface temperature (SST, red) (a), sea surface salinity (SSS, black) and Altamaha River discharge (red) (b), dissolved oxygen saturation level (DO%, black) and one-day composite Aqua MODIS chlorophyll a (Chl *a*, red) (c), and total alkalinity (TA, black) estimated by TA –S relationship and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) calculated from estimated TA and sea surface $p\text{CO}_2$ (d) from 18 July 2006 through 31 October 2007. In addition, the cruise $p\text{CO}_2$ data from January, March, April, May, June, July, October and December 2005 (in blue), May 2006 (in yellow) and June and July 2007 (in magenta, also shown in Fig. 2) were also shown. For comparison, underway CO_2 data from January, March, July, October, and December 2005, and May 2006, which are from Jiang et al. (2008a), are used from ± 0.05 degrees ($\sim 5 \text{ km}$) in longitude and latitude from the buoy. Data shown in this figure in April, May, and June 2005 are 0.15 ($\sim 15 \text{ km}$), 0.1 ($\sim 10 \text{ km}$) and 0.3 ($\sim 30 \text{ km}$) degrees in longitude and latitude from the buoy, respectively. CO_2 data in June and July 2007 are selected from ± 0.02 degrees ($\sim 2 \text{ km}$) in longitude and latitude from the buoy.

Fig. 5. Time series of $p\text{CO}_2$ difference between the ocean and the atmosphere ($\Delta p\text{CO}_2 = p\text{CO}_{2\text{water}} - p\text{CO}_{2\text{air}}$, a), wind speed at height of 10 m above sea surface (U_{10} , b), and air-sea CO_2 flux (c) from 18 July 2006 through 31 October 2007. The black line, green line, and the red circles denote every three hour, one-day averaged and monthly averaged data, respectively.

Fig. 6. Property-property plots of $p\text{CO}_2$ versus SST (a), temperature normalized $np\text{CO}_2$ (or $np\text{CO}_2$) versus SSS (b), and $np\text{CO}_2$ versus DO% (c). Regression results for each month can be found in Table 3.

Fig. 7. Contribution (a) and relative importance (b) of temperature, $\Delta p\text{CO}_{2\text{tem}}$, air-sea exchange, $\Delta p\text{CO}_{2\text{a-s}}$, mixing, $\Delta p\text{CO}_{2\text{mix}}$ and biological activity, $\Delta p\text{CO}_{2\text{bio}}$ to $p\text{CO}_2$ changes as well as the non-linear term, $\Delta p\text{CO}_{2\text{non}}$ in each month during 2006-2007. The relative contribution was calculated as: $100 \times Xi / \sum_{i=1}^5 |Xi|$. Xi is the $p\text{CO}_2$ change due to one process (the non-linear term included). Positive values denote the $p\text{CO}_2$ increase.

Table 1. Surface water properties (sea surface salinity (SSS), temperature (SST, °C), dissolved oxygen saturation level (DO%), surface $p\text{CO}_2$ (μatm) and temperature normalized $p\text{CO}_2$ ($np\text{CO}_2$, μatm)), atmospheric $p\text{CO}_2$ (μatm), wind speed at the height of 10 m above sea surface (U_{10} , ms^{-1}), and air-sea CO_2 flux (Flux, $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$) during September and October of 2006 and 2007. Values in the table are in the form of mean \pm one standard deviation.

Period	SSS	SST	DO%	Surface $p\text{CO}_2$	Surface $np\text{CO}_2$	Atmospheric $p\text{CO}_2$	U_{10}	Flux
Sep 2006	36.24 ± 0.09	28.29 ± 0.78	98.31 ± 0.83	393 ± 14	317 ± 9	367 ± 5	5.70 ± 2.60	2.08 ± 2.35
Sep 2007	35.58 ± 0.43	28.02 ± 0.90	99.04 ± 1.00	427 ± 17	350 ± 24	368 ± 5	4.75 ± 2.72	3.42 ± 3.25
Oct 2006	36.26 ± 0.10	24.75 ± 1.63	98.88 ± 0.48	353 ± 25	330 ± 6	374 ± 6	5.90 ± 2.82	-2.18 ± 4.31
Oct 2007	34.86 ± 0.15	25.44 ± 0.76	98.56 ± 0.73	414 ± 12	378 ± 10	373 ± 6	5.32 ± 2.29	2.84 ± 2.30

Table 2. Monthly changes in dissolved inorganic carbon (ΔDIC), its components due to various processes, salinity (ΔS), and NCP. Components of DIC changes include air-sea exchange ($\Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{as}}$), mixing ($\Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{mix}}$), and biological activity ($\Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{bio}}$). ΔDIC is the difference of DIC between the first day and the last day in each month; positive (or negative) values denote the increase (or decrease) during each month. Unit of ΔDIC and NCP is in $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ and $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$, respectively. Uncertainties associated with monthly DIC changes and NCP are presented in the parenthesis.

Month	ΔDIC	$\Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{as}}$	$\Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{mix}}$	$\Delta\text{DIC}_{\text{bio}}$	NCP	ΔS
Jul 2006	3.4 (18.4)	-4.1 (1.0)	-1.7 (0.4)	9.2 (18.4)	-4.3 (8.7)	-0.13
Aug 2006	-0.6 (18.4)	-19.2 (4.8)	-2.3 (0.5)	21.0 (19.0)	-5.6 (5.1)	-0.18
Sep 2006	10.3 (18.4)	-7.6 (1.9)	0.4 (0.1)	17.5 (18.5)	-7.8 (8.4)	0.04
Oct 2006	0.3 (18.4)	3.7 (0.9)	-0.5 (0.1)	-2.9 (18.4)	1.7 (11.0)	-0.04
Nov 2006	30.9 (18.4)	15.9 (4.0)	-0.3 (<0.1)	15.3 (18.8)	-9.5 (11.8)	-0.02
Dec 2006	10.4 (18.4)	10.6 (2.6)	-2.1 (0.1)	2.0 (18.6)	-1.2 (11.1)	-0.16
Jan 2007	9.9 (18.4)	9.6 (2.4)	12.7 (0.4)	-12.5 (18.5)	7.5 (11.2)	0.59
Feb 2007	-92.9 (18.4)	9.7 (2.4)	-80.8 (2.4)	-21.9 (18.7)	14.6 (12.7)	-3.54
Mar 2007	49.5 (18.4)	3.8 (0.9)	41.4 (1.2)	4.4 (18.4)	-2.6 (11.1)	1.71
Apr 2007	-78.4 (18.4)	-0.1 (0.1)	-6.6 (0.2)	-71.7 (18.4)	44.5 (13.2)	-0.42
May 2007	11.7 (18.4)	2.4 (0.6)	-0.5 (<0.1)	9.7 (18.4)	-5.8 (11.1)	-0.04
Jun 2007	-9.2 (18.4)	-6.4 (1.6)	0.7 (0.2)	-3.5 (18.5)	1.6 (8.3)	0.06
Jul 2007	13.5 (18.4)	-11.5 (2.9)	6.4 (1.5)	18.6 (18.7)	-5.0 (5.0)	0.51
Aug 2007	11.6 (18.4)	-16.2 (4.1)	7.6 (1.7)	20.2 (18.9)	-5.4 (5.1)	0.60
Sep 2007	11.2 (18.4)	-12.9 (3.2)	-9.6 (2.2)	33.8 (18.8)	-15.1 (8.7)	-0.79
Oct 2007	0.8 (18.4)	-10.3 (2.6)	-4.0 (0.9)	15.1 (18.6)	-9.1 (11.2)	-0.32

Table 3. Regression results for $p\text{CO}_2\text{-SST}$, $np\text{CO}_2\text{-SSS}$, and $np\text{CO}_2\text{-DO}\%$ in each month from July 2006 to October 2007. The plots of $p\text{CO}_2\text{-SST}$, $np\text{CO}_2\text{-SSS}$, and $np\text{CO}_2\text{-DO}\%$ are shown in Fig. 6. n is the number of observations in each month.

Month	n	$p\text{CO}_2\text{-SST}$		$np\text{CO}_2\text{-SSS}$		$np\text{CO}_2\text{-DO}\%$	
		r^2	p	r^2	p	r^2	p
Jul 2006	106	0.18	<0.001	0.38	<0.001	0.37	<0.001
Aug 2006	246	0.11	<0.001	0.55	<0.001	0.79	<0.001
Sep 2006	230	0.42	<0.001	0.03	<0.05	0.20	<0.001
Oct 2006	227	0.94	<0.001	-	ns	0.12	<0.001
Nov 2006	224	0.71	<0.001	0.22	<0.001	0.14	<0.001
Dec 2006	238	0.10	<0.001	0.58	<0.001	0.03	<0.05
Jan 2007	215	0.77	<0.001	0.72	<0.001	0.22	<0.001
Feb 2007	213	0.03	<0.05	0.86	<0.001	0.42	<0.001
Mar 2007	217	0.71	<0.001	0.45	<0.001	0.09	<0.001
Apr 2007	215	0.11	<0.001	0.23	<0.001	0.80	<0.001
May 2007	218	0.07	<0.001	0.34	<0.001	0.69	<0.001
Jun 2007	226	0.03	<0.05	-	ns	0.49	<0.001
Jul 2007	242	0.27	<0.001	0.60	<0.001	0.28	<0.001
Aug 2007	246	0.11	<0.001	0.55	<0.001	0.79	<0.001
Sep 2007	257	0.35	<0.001	0.76	<0.001	0.05	<0.001
Oct 2007	244	0.43	<0.001	-	ns	-	ns
all data	3581	0.64	<0.001	0.36	<0.001	0.02	<0.001

*ns means “not significant” ($p > 0.05$).




Fig. 1.




Fig. 2.




Fig. 3.




Fig. 4.




Fig. 5.




Fig. 6.




Fig. 7.