

1

2

3

4

**A MULTIDISCIPLINARY COASTAL VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT FOR  
LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOCUSED ON ECOSYSTEMS, SANTA BARBARA  
AREA, CALIFORNIA**

6

7

8

*Monique R. Myers<sup>1\*</sup>, Patrick L. Barnard<sup>2</sup>, Edward Beighley<sup>3</sup>, Daniel R. Cayan<sup>4</sup>,*

9

*Jenifer E. Dugan<sup>5</sup>, Dongmei Feng<sup>6</sup>, David M. Hubbard<sup>5</sup>, Sam F. Iacobellis<sup>4</sup>, John M.*

10

*Melack<sup>7</sup> and Henry M. Page<sup>5</sup>*

11

12

<sup>1</sup>California Sea Grant, University of California San Diego, San Diego, California USA

13

14

<sup>2</sup>United States Geological Survey, Pacific Coastal and Marine Science Center, Santa Cruz, California USA

15

16

<sup>3</sup> Civil and Environmental Engineering, Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts USA

17

18

<sup>4</sup>Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California USA

19

20

<sup>5</sup>Marine Science Institute, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California USA

21

22

<sup>6</sup>Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA USA

23

24

<sup>7</sup>Bren School of Environmental Science and Management, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa

25

Barbara, California USA

26

27

\*Corresponding author

28

29

30

31 **A MULTIDISCIPLINARY COASTAL VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT FOR**  
32 **LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOCUSED ON ECOSYSTEMS, SANTA BARBARA**  
33 **AREA, CALIFORNIA**

34

35

36

**ABSTRACT**

37 Incorporating coastal ecosystems in climate adaptation planning is needed to maintain the

38 well-being of both natural and human systems. Our vulnerability study uses a

39 multidisciplinary approach to evaluate climate change vulnerability of an urbanized

40 coastal community that could serve as a model approach for communities worldwide,

41 particularly in similar Mediterranean climates. We synthesize projected changes in

42 climate, coastal erosion and flooding, watershed runoff and impacts to two important

43 coastal ecosystems, sandy beaches and coastal salt marshes. Using downscaled climate

44 models along with other regional models, we find that temperature, extreme heat events,

45 and sea level are expected to increase in the future, along with more intense rainfall

46 events, despite a negligible change in annual rainfall. Consequently, more droughts are

47 expected but the magnitude of larger flood events will increase. Associated with the

48 continuing rise of mean sea level, extreme coastal water levels will occur with

49 increasingly greater magnitudes and frequency. Severe flooding will occur for both

50 natural (wetlands, beaches) and built environments (airport, harbor, freeway, and

51 residential areas). Adaptation actions can reduce the impact of rising sea level, which will

52 cause losses of sandy beach zones and salt marsh habitats that support the highest

53 biodiversity in these ecosystems, including regionally rare and endangered species, with

54 substantial impacts occurring by 2050. Providing for inland transgression of coastal

55 habitats, effective sediment management, reduced beach grooming and removal of  
56 shoreline armoring are adaptations that would help maintain coastal ecosystems and the  
57 beneficial services they provide.

58

59 **Keywords:** vulnerability assessment, coastal ecosystems, local government, climate  
60 change, Santa Barbara

61

62

## 1. INTRODUCTION

63 The world is experiencing a growing set of impacts from a warming climate that include  
64 sea level rise (SLR), coastal flooding, fires, erosion and changes in weather patterns that  
65 threaten coastal communities and ecosystems (IPCC, 2013). These impacts are projected  
66 to increase throughout future decades, depending on the amount of greenhouse gas  
67 emissions (IPCC 2013, 2018). Communities across the world are assessing their  
68 vulnerability to climate change and preparing for future impacts through a variety of  
69 planning avenues including land use, emergency response, hazard mitigation, climate  
70 adaptation plans, and infrastructure investments (Measham et al., 2011 (Australia);  
71 Heidrich et al., 2013 (UK); IPCC, 2013; Reckien et al., 2018 (EU); Kantamaneni et al.,  
72 2018 (UK); Keenan, 2018 (US); Kantamaneni et al., 2019 (India); Serafim et al., 2019  
73 (Brazil). While there are constraints to local governments' abilities to adapt to climate  
74 change (Moser and Luers, 2007; Tribbia and Moser, 2008; Measham et al., 2011; Baker  
75 et al., 2012) and climate change adaptation cannot solely be addressed at the local level  
76 (Lindseth 2004), local government and regional adaptation is recognized as an important  
77 avenue to large-scale climate adaptation planning (Shi, 2019; Rauken et al., 2015;  
78 Roberts 2008; Heidrich et al., 2013).

79

80 Generally, local adaptation planning addresses the built and/or natural physical  
81 environment, with little attention to ecosystems (Wilson, 2006; Guyadeen et al., 2019).  
82 While certain ecosystems, such as wetlands, are afforded special status at the federal,  
83 state or local levels, most ecosystems are only protected by local statutes. The fate of  
84 ecosystems thus is largely dependent on local government decisions. When planning for a  
85 future with an altered climate, changes to ecosystems should be part of the purview of  
86 local governments, along with impacts to the built and natural physical environments.  
87  
88 Local government officials often have inadequate access to information on climate  
89 change and ecosystem functioning (Pasquini et al., 2013; Pasquini and Cowling, 2015) or  
90 an understanding of how ecosystems will respond to climate change (Reid, 2016). Local  
91 governments need multidisciplinary scientific information to plan climate change  
92 adaptation, including projections of physical impacts and an understanding of ecosystem  
93 response to climate change. Providing local governments with information for adaptation  
94 at the scale of the area they manage (Bourne et al., 2016), and enabling close  
95 collaboration between scientists and municipal staff (Wamsler et al, 2014) are vital to  
96 achieving practical implementation of ecosystem-based management.  
97  
98 Coastal ecosystems are among the most threatened by humans (Worm et al, 2006;  
99 Halpern et al.; 2008). Major losses of coastal Mediterranean ecosystem services have  
100 occurred as a result of urbanization (Santana-Cordero et al., 2016), with climate change  
101 increasingly adding to adverse impacts (Gitay et al., 2002; Lovejoy and Hannah, 2005;  
102 IPCC 2013). In urban areas, coastal ecosystems are often surrounded by coastal  
103 development on the landward side and rising sea level, so subject to increasingly

104 shrinking and modified habitat (Defeo et al 2009; Mooney et al., 2009; Moelslund et al.,  
105 2011; Valiela et al., 2018).

106

107 There is a need to incorporate coastal ecosystems in local government climate  
108 vulnerability assessments and adaptation plans as part of the broader societal adaptation  
109 process (Mawdsley et al., 2009; Runting et al., 2017). This will prevent loss of  
110 biodiversity and ecosystem services while helping communities adapt to climate change  
111 (Ojea, 2015; Reid, 2016) and promote a shift from infrastructure to ecosystem-based  
112 adaptation (EbA) - defined as ‘the use of biodiversity and ecosystem services to help  
113 people adapt to the adverse effects of climate change as part of an overall adaptation  
114 strategy’ (CBD, 2009) - as a way of preparing coastlines for climate change (Jones et al.,  
115 2012).

116

117 EbA solutions, which utilize the natural environment to provide an adaptation benefit  
118 (Jones et al., 2012; Munroe et al., 2012), provide a sustainable, ecologically sound and  
119 economically feasible approach to coastal defense with the potential to protect cities at  
120 risk of flooding (Temmerman et al, 2013). Maintaining ecosystems as ‘green  
121 infrastructure’ for EbA purposes, in addition to providing similar flood protection  
122 benefits as grey infrastructure, has multiple benefits including: greenhouse gas  
123 mitigation, water purification, sediment trapping, conservation of biodiversity, provision  
124 of natural recreational areas, and improved well-being of human communities. (Roberts  
125 et al., 2012; Munang et al., 2013; IPCC, 2013). Although no community has reported a  
126 comprehensive EbA approach, a variety of EbA measures are in use (Zolch et al., 2018).

127 Shifting from grey infrastructure to ecosystem-based adaptation is recognized as key to  
128 achieving a future with sustainable development (Jones et al. 2012; Scarano, 2017).

129

130 We present the results of a multidisciplinary vulnerability study of climate change  
131 impacts to watersheds, shorelines and ecosystems in Santa Barbara County, California  
132 aimed at informing EbA for local governments in this region. In this case study, we  
133 assess projected changes in climate, coastal erosion and flooding, watershed runoff and  
134 impacts to beaches and a coastal salt marsh ecosystem.

135

136

### **Study Area**

137 The focal study region is in Santa Barbara County, California (USA), and lies in a narrow  
138 coastal plain, bordered to the north by the Santa Ynez Mountains, a steep east-west  
139 trending mountain range (>1200 m), and to the south by the Santa Barbara Channel  
140 (Figure 1.1). The study region includes the cities of Goleta (population 31,100), Santa  
141 Barbara (population 92,100), and Carpinteria (population 13,600) and unincorporated  
142 areas in southeast Santa Barbara County (US Census Bureau, 2017).

143

144 The Santa Barbara region is characterized by a Mediterranean climate with mild  
145 intermittently wet winters and moderately warm, generally rainless summers (Ryan,  
146 1994). Winter storms provide the majority of freshwater input to rivers, streams, and the  
147 nearshore marine environments. The coastal ecosystems of the Santa Barbara region are  
148 exposed to highly variable rainfall and to periodic El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO)  
149 and other short period climate variations that affect stream runoff and ocean conditions in  
150 the Santa Barbara Channel, including water temperature, wave height and period, and sea  
151 level (Wolter, 1987). Atmospheric and oceanic conditions that develop during El Niño  
152 create elevated sea levels that can persist for several months; these shorter period  
153 fluctuations will exacerbate the effects of longer term SLR. In addition, enhanced rainfall

154 driven by El Niño and other atmospheric patterns may increase terrestrial runoff and the  
155 associated transport of sediments, nutrients, and pollutants to the coastal zone (Storlazzi  
156 et al., 2000).

157

158 Large scale patterns of ocean circulation also change, and storm disturbance from waves  
159 is often considerable, which coupled with elevated sea level increases the risk of coastal  
160 hazards across the entire U.S. West Coast (Barnard et al., 2015). El Niño events can drive  
161 elevated sea levels and more powerful waves without increased precipitation. This was  
162 the case during the El Niño winter 2015-2016, when ocean levels reached or exceeded 10  
163 cm above normal and wave conditions were 50% more energetic than the average winter  
164 despite a continuing drought in the Santa Barbara region (Barnard et al., 2017).

165

166 The region has diverse watersheds, which vary widely in the proportion of natural,  
167 agricultural and urban development (Aguilera and Melack, 2018). Steep montane slopes  
168 composed of readily eroded fractured sedimentary rock and strongly seasonal, often  
169 intense, episodic rainfall, result in large sediment loads to the ocean (Warrick et al.,  
170 2015). The intermittent occurrence of fire in the catchments further enhances temporal  
171 variation in flooding and the export of sediments and nutrients.

172

### 173 **Sandy Beach and Coastal Wetland Ecosystems**

174 Typical of much of the world's coasts, most of the study area's shoreline is composed of  
175 sandy beaches (>70%) (Habel and Armstrong, 1977). Coastal wetlands, lagoons, coastal  
176 dunes, vegetated coastal strand zones, rocky intertidal reefs and creeks and riparian areas  
177 are present in smaller proportions in the study area.

178

179 Sandy beaches are composed of unconsolidated sand from watersheds and coastal bluffs  
180 that are shaped by wind, waves and tides (McLachlan and Brown, 2018). Sandy beach  
181 ecosystems are affected by wave action and sediment transport and thus vulnerable to  
182 climate change and SLR (Figure 1.2). Ecosystem services and functions of beaches and  
183 dunes in the study area include absorption of wave energy, the filtration of large volumes  
184 of seawater, nutrient recycling, rich endemic invertebrate communities that are important  
185 prey resources for shorebirds and fish, and the provision of critical habitat for pinnipeds,  
186 and declining and endangered wildlife, such as shorebirds, as well as beach-nesting fish  
187 (Martin, 2015; Dugan and Hubbard 2016). Wider beaches in the study area also can  
188 support sand-trapping pioneering vegetation, including unique plants and coastal strand  
189 communities (Dugan and Hubbard 2010). Beaches in the study area exhibit considerable  
190 seasonal and interannual variation in profile and width (Revell and Griggs, 2006; Revell  
191 et al., 2011, Barnard et al., 2012). Episodic storms and El Niño events can strongly  
192 influence the morphodynamics of local beaches due to erosion from increased wave  
193 energy (Barnard et al., 2009a, 2011, 2017).

194

195 Beach ecosystems are generally not well protected by local regulations and their  
196 ecological function is rarely considered in climate adaptation planning. The widespread  
197 practices of shoreline armoring, beach grooming, beach filling, winter berm building, and  
198 vehicle use that degrade these ecosystems (Defeo et al., 2009) impact sandy beaches in  
199 the Santa Barbara study region.

200

201 Sixty-two percent of estuarine wetlands in the study region have been lost since 1850  
202 (Stein et al., 2014). Those estuaries that remain are small, isolated systems that provide  
203 valuable ecosystem functions including: the preservation of native estuarine-dependent



204 biodiversity, habitat for regionally rare and endangered plants and animals, food chain  
205 support for fish and birds, and the provision of habitat for recreationally and  
206 commercially important fish. They can provide storm protection, and buffering of coastal  
207 development from coastal erosion, surface water runoff filtration and attenuation, and  
208 carbon sequestration. Estuarine wetlands also provide socioeconomic values that include  
209 their use by the public and educational institutions for bird watching, nature walks,  
210 research and teaching (Onuf et al., 1979; Ferren et al., 1997).

211

212 Sandy beaches and wetlands are important to the economy, culture and character of the  
213 Santa Barbara area, contributing open space, aesthetic qualities, recreational  
214 opportunities, tourism support and spiritual and cultural values (King et al., 2018). The  
215 services provided by coastal ecosystems that mitigate physical impacts of climate change  
216 (e.g., carbon sequestration, storm buffering, runoff attenuation), can provide the basis of  
217 ecosystem-based adaptation for coastal communities.

218

219

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

220 This manuscript is derived from the Santa Barbara Area Coastal Ecosystem Vulnerability  
221 Assessment (SBA CEVA), a regional study conducted to inform climate change  
222 adaptation of both human and natural communities of Santa Barbara County, California,  
223 USA, with local government officials as the target audience (Myers et al., 2017). Five  
224 multidisciplinary research components included: downscaled climate projections,  
225 shoreline change and coastal hazards, watershed runoff, estuarine ecosystems and  
226 sandy beach ecosystems. Regional downscaled climate projections and models of  
227 shoreline change and coastal hazards informed local level impacts to watersheds  
228 and coastal ecosystems. The relationship between global datasets, regional

229 downscaling and local ecosystem vulnerability is represented in Figure 2.1. Methods  
230 for study components are summarized in Table 2.1.

231

### 232 **Climate Change Projections**

233 Downscaled global model projections were employed to provide an envelope of possible  
234 climate changes for the Santa Barbara region over the 21<sup>st</sup> Century. This study utilized  
235 ten global climate models (GCMs) from the Fifth Assessment (IPCC AR5, 2013) that  
236 were selected as best representing the historical climate of California (Climate Change  
237 Technical Advisory Committee, California Department of Water Resources 2015; Pierce  
238 et al., 2018). Downscaled daily maximum temperature (Tmax), minimum temperature  
239 (Tmin) and precipitation using the Localized Constructed Analogs (LOCA) statistical  
240 technique (Pierce et al., 2014) were employed for two sets of GCM simulations, based on  
241 the RCP4.5, a moderate greenhouse gas emission scenario, and RCP8.5 a relatively high  
242 emissions scenario. The LOCA downscaled data covered the Santa Barbara region at ~6-  
243 km (1/16th degree) resolution covering the period extending from 1950 - 2100.

244

245 Projections of sea level were produced using modeled short period sea level variations  
246 superimposed on selected 21<sup>st</sup> Century SLR scenarios. Modeled hourly coastal water  
247 levels along the Santa Barbara County coastline included astronomical tide,  
248 meteorological and influences of short period climate variability, and long-term global  
249 SLR, following the method described in Cayan et al., 2008. This study employed low-,  
250 mid-, and high-range estimates of SLR from the National Research Council (NRC) report  
251 (2012), covering the 2005-2100 period. The short period sea level fluctuation (the  
252 meteorological component of residual water level) is estimated using multi-linear  
253 regression model following Cayan et al., (2008), constructed with water level

254 observations at Santa Barbara Harbor and historical NCEP meteorological reanalysis  
255 data. Input to the model consisted of non-tide variables, including daily climate model  
256 data, local surface pressure and (together called  $H_{MET}$ ) local offshore surface wind  
257 stresses, local sea surface temperature (SST), and SST in the central tropical Pacific  
258 Ocean as a measure of El Niño variability. The climate model data were first bias  
259 corrected with the method used by the Localized Constructed Analogue (LOCA)  
260 downscaling technique (Pierce et al., 2014). Local and equatorial Pacific Niño 3.4  
261 regional average SST were detrended since large-scale global SLR arising from long-  
262 term temperature change is included as a separate term in the projection of the total water  
263 level. To produce hourly regressed estimates of  $H_{MET}$ , the daily forcing data from the  
264 CMIP5 climate models is disaggregated to hourly values using the method described in  
265 Cayan et al., (2008). Historical and future values of the non-tide water level residuals  
266 were projected for each of eight GCMs, which supplied the necessary meteorological and  
267 ocean temperature variables. The non-tide estimates were superimposed upon predicted  
268 astronomical tides and projected long-term SLR scenarios to produce values of total  
269 water level at each of the sites.

270

271

### **Watershed Runoff Modeling**

272 Watershed runoff was simulated using the Hillslope River Routing (HRR) model  
273 (Beighley et al., 2009), which utilizes an irregular computational grid and parallel  
274 computing to simulate water fluxes and energy balance through vegetation and soil  
275 layers, lateral hydraulic transport from upland areas and channel hydraulics. Daily  
276 precipitation and temperature are the meteorological forcings for runoff generation in  
277 HRR. A binary-runoff-coefficient approach is used to simulate surface runoff, which  
278 assumes that runoff is proportional to precipitation rate and the runoff coefficient

279 switches between dry and wet modes based on soil moisture conditions. Subsurface  
280 runoff is estimated as a function of soil moisture and saturation hydraulic conductivity.  
281 Potential evapotranspiration (PET) was used to quantify evaporation from land surface  
282 and transpiration through vegetation, which was estimated using Priestley and Taylor  
283 method (Priestley and Taylor, 1972) with the Food and Agriculture Organization of the  
284 United Nations (FAO) limited climate data approximations (Raoufi and Beighley, 2017).  
285 After the runoff excess was generated from each grid, it is transported over hillslopes  
286 using a kinematic approximation approach; after the runoff reaches channels, diffusion  
287 wave routing is used to simulate the hydraulics of channel flow.

288 A Monte Carlo-based calibration procedure was implemented to estimate the optimal  
289 model parameters in HRR. Gridded precipitation and temperature estimates derived from  
290 gauged observations (Livneh et al., 2015) were used as model forcings. In situ discharge  
291 measurements obtained from five USGS gauge stations were used for model performance  
292 evaluation. Based on the availability of streamflow data, the calibration period was 1984-  
293 2013. Six parameters governing lateral and vertical transport and surface runoff  
294 generation processes were calibrated (the definition and description of these parameters  
295 can be found in Feng et al., 2019). During calibration, thousands of parameter sets are  
296 randomly selected from predefined parameter ranges. The best parameter set for each  
297 gauged-watershed was selected based on objective functions at each gauge location. To  
298 estimate the model parameters at non-calibrated watersheds, the optimal values from each  
299 gauge were then related to upstream watershed characteristics (e.g., land cover features).  
300 For those that are not significantly correlated with any hydrogeologic characteristics,  
301 their values are estimated when the overall cost function (i.e., average of error metrics  
302 from all calibrated watersheds) is minimized.

303 After HRR was calibrated, it was forced with downscaled daily precipitation and  
304 temperature from hindcast simulations and future projections of 10 GCMs to simulate  
305 watershed runoff during historical (1961-2000) and future (2021-2100) periods. The  
306 differences in streamflow volumes and extremes and seasonality between historical  
307 (1961-2000) and future (2021-2060 and 2061-2100) periods under both emission  
308 scenarios were quantified. The Mann-Whitney U test was applied to detect the  
309 significance of the changes in these variables.

310

311

### **Coastal Hazards**

312 To assess the exposure of ecosystems to coastal hazards associated with climate change,  
313 the Coastal Storm Modeling System (CoSMoS) was applied to the study region (Barnard  
314 et al., 2014, 2019; Erikson et al., 2018a, 2018b; O'Neill et al., 2018). CoSMoS is a  
315 dynamic modeling approach that allows detailed predictions of coastal flooding due to  
316 projected SLR and future storms integrated with long-term coastal evolution (i.e., beach  
317 changes and cliff/bluff retreat) over large geographic areas (100s of kilometers). The  
318 prototype system of CoSMoS was developed for the California coast using the global  
319 WAVEWATCH III wave model, the TOPEX/Poseidon satellite altimetry-based global  
320 tide model, and atmospheric forcing data from Global Climate Models to determine  
321 regional wave and water-level boundary conditions. These regional conditions are then  
322 dynamically downscaled using a set of nested Delft3D wave (SWAN) and tide (FLOW)  
323 models, and are then linked at the coast to river discharge projections, fine-scale estuary  
324 models, and along the open coast to closely spaced XBeach (eXtreme Beach) cross-shore  
325 profile models.

326

327 Projections of multiple storm scenarios (daily conditions, annual storm, 20-year- and  
328 100-year-return intervals) were developed under a suite of sea-level rise scenarios  
329 ranging from 0 to 2 meters, along with an extreme 5-meter scenario. All the relevant  
330 physics of coastal storms (e.g., tides, waves, and storm surge) were modeled then scaled  
331 down to local, 2 meter-scale flood projections for use in community-level coastal  
332 planning and decision-making. Rather than relying on historic storm records, wind and  
333 pressure from global climate models were used to simulate coastal storms under changing  
334 climatic conditions during the 21<sup>st</sup> century (Erikson et al., 2015, 2018a; O’Neill et al.,  
335 2017). For locally-generated seas and surge within the Santa Barbara Channel,  
336 downscaled wind and pressure fields were utilized (Pierce et al., 2014, 2018). Further, the  
337 hydrodynamic modeling resolution, which is typically on the order of ~50-100 m, was  
338 enhanced to ~10 m to feed directly into the detailed ecosystem vulnerability assessments  
339 for the beaches and tidal wetlands at Carpinteria, and Goleta (e.g. Goleta Slough and  
340 Devereux Slough).

341

342 Long-term shoreline change and cliff retreat projections also are provided, including  
343 uncertainty, using state-of-the-art approaches for each of the 10 SLR scenarios.

344 Predictions of sandy shoreline change were produced by CoSMoS-COAST (Coastal One-  
345 line Assimilated Simulation Tool; Vitousek et al., 2017). The model accounts for the  
346 dynamical processes of wave-driven alongshore and cross-shore transport, shoreline  
347 retreat due to scenarios of sea-level rise, and natural and anthropogenic sources of  
348 sediment estimated via data assimilation of historical shoreline data. The model is  
349 “trained” with historical wave and shoreline data through 2010, and the calibrated model  
350 is used to produce a prediction of shoreline evolution by 2100. Historical shoreline data  
351 used to tune the model parameters in Santa Barbara comes from 3 aerial LIDAR surveys

352 (Fall 1997, Spring 1998, and Fall 2009) (NOAA, 2012) as well as semi-annual USGS  
353 GPS surveys conducted in Goleta and Carpinteria from 2005-2010.  
354  
355 Up to 7 numerical models were used to predict future cliff position at each transect  
356 (Limber et al., 2018). All models related breaking wave height and period to rock or  
357 substrate erosion, based on the idea that as sea level rises, waves will break closer to the  
358 cliff and accelerate sea cliff retreat relative to existing or historic rates of change. The  
359 models varied in complexity and each made slightly different assumptions about how  
360 waves and SLR drive future cliff retreat. However, using the models as an ensemble  
361 provides improved predictive capacity over any single model. The main sources of  
362 uncertainty in the cliff projections arise from the base error of the historic retreat rates  
363 (measured between 1933-2010) that the predictions are based on, how well the individual  
364 models agree with one another, and difficulties estimating unknown model coefficients.  
365  
366 For each of the 40 SLR and storm scenarios, products include: flood extent, depth,  
367 duration, elevation, and uncertainty based on sustained flooding projections; maximum  
368 wave run-up locations; maximum wave height and current speed; and detailed population  
369 demographic and economic exposure (Jones et al., 2017). All the model results can be  
370 downloaded in native GIS formats (Barnard et al., 2016) or viewed interactively in  
371 publicly available web tools to analyze the coastal hazards (Ballard et al., 2019) and  
372 associated socioeconomic impacts (Jones et al., 2017).

373

374

### **Coastal Wetland Ecosystem Methods**

375 This study focused on Carpinteria Salt Marsh, a fully tidal wetland of 93 ha located ~12  
376 km east of Santa Barbara, California, USA. The regularly flooded middle tidal marsh is

377 vegetated primarily by a salt tolerant succulent, pickleweed *Sarcocornia pacifica*  
378 (= *Salicornia virginica*). Other species, including the succulents *Arthrocnemum*  
379 *subterminale* and *Jaumea carnosa*, saltgrass *Distichlis spicata*, and alkali heath  
380 *Frankenia salina*, are found along with *Sarcocornia* at higher tidal elevations. Regionally  
381 rare and endangered plant species that include *Cordylandthus maritimus* (= *Chloropyron*  
382 *maritimum*), *Lasthenia glabrata*, *Sueada calceoliformis*, and *Astragalus pycnostachyus*  
383 var. *lanosissimus* are also found in the high marsh and upland transition habitats. The  
384 wetland is surrounded by urban and residential development that includes railroad tracks,  
385 roads, housing, and business development. The amount of freshwater runoff entering the  
386 wetland is highly variable both within and among years and coincides with seasonal  
387 storm events that are generally restricted to December through March (Beighley et al.,  
388 2003). Tidal waters from the Santa Barbara Channel enter the wetland through an inlet at  
389 the southern border maintained open through a rock revetment.

390

391 The distribution and area of existing habitats in Carpinteria Salt Marsh were identified  
392 using a multispectral aerial image. Vegetation classification algorithms were run on the  
393 georeferenced image to produce a simplified vegetation/habitat classification. The  
394 habitats and grouping criteria consisted of: 1) open water subtidal, 2) mudflat - divided  
395 into high mudflat (frequently exposed, inundated < 50% of the time) and low mudflat  
396 (frequently flooded, inundated  $\geq$  50% of the time, 3) coastal salt marsh - vegetated by  
397 halophytic plants, further divided into middle and high/mixed marsh on the basis of  
398 general plant species composition, with *S. pacifica* dominant in the middle marsh at  
399 lower elevations, and a mixture of species at the higher elevations, 4) salt marsh – upland  
400 transition habitat that encompasses a gradient from salt marsh to terrestrial vegetation  
401 infrequently hit by the tides, and 5) undeveloped upland.



402

403 A digital elevation model (DEM) constructed from data acquired by the California  
404 Coastal Conservancy Coastal Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) was used to link  
405 elevation and habitat distributions (based on vegetation). LiDAR elevations are  
406 influenced by plant canopy cover and were adjusted downward for each habitat using  
407 average Real Time Kinematic Global Positioning System (RTK GPS) elevation survey  
408 data from each habitat. Five complete years of tide data (2006, 2009, 2011, 2013 and  
409 2014) acquired from the NOAA tide station at Santa Barbara, California  
410 (<http://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov/>), were used together with the DEM and habitat  
411 classification to link elevation, inundation frequency and habitat (average deviation of  
412 tide data from long-term MSL= -2 cm). Habitat evolution scenarios were derived for  
413 SLR ranging from 0 (no SLR) to 2.5 m relative to the marsh surface by raising the  
414 elevation reached by tidal waters and computing habitat change based on the habitat –  
415 inundation frequency relationship.

416

417 Outcomes pertaining to the possible timing of habitat evolution were derived for the high  
418 and low SLR NRC (2012) scenarios. The scenario affects the timing of habitat evolution,  
419 but not the changes *per se* predicted to occur to habitats eventually with SLR. Reynolds  
420 et al. (2018) estimated accretion rates of 3.7 mm year in the top 30 cm of sediment using  
421 <sup>210</sup>Pb. Therefore, we explored how an average annual accretion rate of 4 mm yr<sup>-1</sup> would  
422 influence the timing of evolution of marsh habitats.

423

424 The highest positive sea level anomalies associated with the El Niño of 2015 occurred  
425 within Carpinteria Salt Marsh July – October 2015 (Myers et al. 2017). On October 23,  
426 2015, marsh elevation was measured using a RTK GPS at 1 m intervals along transects

427 crossing mudflat and salt marsh habitat recording the condition of vegetation at each  
428 measurement point. We then compared the observed changes in vegetation condition  
429 associated with the short-term sea level anomaly associated with the El Niño of 2015 to  
430 the habitat conversion predicted to occur with longer-term SLR.

431

432

### **Sandy Beach Ecosystem Methods**

433 A critical impediment to assessing the vulnerability of sandy beach ecosystems to climate  
434 change has been a lack of information that can be used to integrate standard elevational  
435 metrics (MSL, MHW) with key ecological components and habitat zones of beach  
436 ecosystems (Dugan et al., 2013). To address this issue, standard elevational metrics were  
437 related with key ecological components and habitat zones of beaches to generate  
438 predictions of the ecological responses and resulting vulnerability of sandy beach  
439 ecosystems to pressures from climate change, with a focus on SLR. Seven study beaches  
440 including beaches with different landward backings: three bluff-backed beaches, one  
441 dune-backed beach, one armored beach, one groomed and filled beach and one beach  
442 with a mixture of dunes, armoring and grooming (Figure 1.1). We measured and modeled  
443 an ecologically important feature of beach ecosystems, the upper intertidal zone for our  
444 analyses (Figure 2.2). Located closest to the landward boundaries of the beach, upper  
445 intertidal zones are edge habitats that are highly vulnerable to SLR.

446

447 Total Water Level (TWL) datum (Moore et al., 2006; Ruggeiro and List, 2009) was used  
448 as a proxy for defining the dynamic seaward boundary, the daily High Tide Strand line  
449 (HTS), of the upper intertidal zone of the study beaches (see Figure 2.3) (see Dugan et  
450 al., 2013). Total Water Level (TWL) on a beach is the sum of the tide level, plus the  
451 elevation above the tide level reached by wave runup, including wave setup (Ruggeiro

452 and List, 2009). The TWL datum, where available, can provide a closer approximation of  
453 the 24-hour High Tide Strand line (HTS) feature that bounds the upper beach zone and is  
454 followed by key beach biota (see Dugan et al, 2013 for rationale), than the Mean High  
455 Water (MHW) datum. Assuming a moderate beach slope (est. 4-8 °), the mean elevations  
456 of typical upper beach species for the study region yielded an estimated TWL of 11 to 22  
457 m above MHW datum, bracketing the proxy data bias estimates between MHW and TWL  
458 (average 18 m with a bias uncertainty of 9 m) for California beaches from Ruggiero and  
459 List (2009). Results of Dugan et al (2013) for a Santa Barbara beach indicated that the  
460 tidal datums of mean sea level (MSL) and mean high water (MHW), were located well  
461 below the ecological envelope of upper intertidal talitrid amphipods that burrow at the  
462 HTS. These comparisons suggest that TWL can be applied to mapping of ecologically  
463 relevant upper intertidal zone features in the study region. The use of TWL was validated  
464 as a proxy for the elevation and location of the 24-hour High Tide Strand line (HTS) for  
465 use in modeling projected responses of beach ecosystems to climate change using data on  
466 beach profiles, elevations, widths and coastal processes (Barnard et al., 2009b; Griggs  
467 and Russell, 2012). This modeled datum combined with data on study area beaches  
468 (Dugan et al., 2003, 2008, 2011, 2013; Hubbard and Dugan, 2003) was then used to  
469 develop a predictive framework of potential changes in the widths of upper beach zones  
470 at selected beaches that represented the range of conditions present in the study area.

471

472 Projections of changes in upper beach zone widths under different SLR levels (50 cm,  
473 100 cm, 150 cm, 200 cm, 500 cm) were generated using projections from CoSMoS  
474 (O'Neill et al., 2018). The CoSMoS runup (TWL) outputs for ambient and one-  
475 year/annual storm conditions were used as a proxy for the location of the High Tide  
476 Strand (HTS) under future sea level conditions allowing for an estimate of the upper

477 beach zone widths. The distance from the back beach location, defined by the CoSMoS  
478 non-erodible shoreline, to the runup point along each cross-shore transect (CST) was  
479 measured using ArcMap 10.2 and Matlab R2015b. The same method was used to  
480 measure the distance from the back beach to the location of the CoSMoS projected  
481 shoreline, represented by the mean high water (MHW) elevation (Vitousek et al., 2017).  
482 In some bluff-backed areas, the upper beach zone width was estimated using the location  
483 of the CoSMoS projected mean high water shoreline when its location was landward of  
484 the runup projection. In certain locations where the CoSMoS model did not produce a  
485 runup position, the upper beach zone width was interpolated based on adjacent CSTs as  
486 well as the preceding and successive sea level conditions.

487

488

### 3. RESULTS

489 Results, lessons learned and implications for local government ecosystem-based  
490 adaptation are summarized in Tables 3.1 and 3.2.

491

#### 492 **Projected Climate and Sea Level Changes in the Santa Barbara Region**

493 All climate models examined are consistent in projecting increasing temperatures across  
494 Santa Barbara County throughout the 21<sup>st</sup> Century. The average magnitude of the  
495 projected temperature increases using the RCP8.5 emission scenario is about 1.5°F by  
496 2030, 3°F by 2050, and 6-7°F by 2090. The temperature increases are more pronounced  
497 in the inland and mountain areas of the county and less along the coast and offshore  
498 islands.

499

500 The number of extremely hot days (as measured by current historical values) in the Santa  
501 Barbara region is projected to increase significantly with more than a doubling by 2050

502 and a nearly 10-fold increase by 2090 (Figure 3.1), consistent with previous findings over  
503 a broader domain (Gershunov and Guirguis, 2012).

504

505 The median of the ten model ensemble of projections suggests that annual precipitation  
506 amounts in Santa Barbara County will not change significantly during the 21<sup>st</sup> Century.  
507 However, the individual model projections were inconsistent with some showing reduced  
508 multi-decade average annual precipitation and others increased annual precipitation  
509 relative to current historical average values. As a result, there is considerable uncertainty  
510 in this result. The model projections are in greater agreement indicating fewer but more  
511 intense storms, a reduction in the number of rainy days (also see Polade et al., 2017)  
512 (Figure 3.2) Additionally, the models indicate a decrease in the length of the wet season  
513 (also see Pierce et al. 2018) that would heighten the risk of wildfire during the longer dry  
514 season. A majority of the models project an increase in the year-to-year variability of  
515 annual precipitation by the second half of the 21<sup>st</sup> Century that would increase the  
516 likelihood of extended periods of drought.

517

518 Sea level heights are projected to increase substantially, under different scenarios of SLR  
519 during the 21<sup>st</sup> Century (Figure 3.3). Even the most optimistic SLR scenario examined  
520 produced non-linear increases in both the frequency and duration of high water levels,  
521 which are accentuated during storm events that mostly occur during winter months.  
522 During the historical period, extreme water level events are primarily limited to months  
523 June-August and November-February. This is due to the highest astronomical tides that  
524 occur in these months as well as strong winter storms that impact water level that occur  
525 during the winter months. By mid-century, the number of extreme water level events  
526 increases and occur more broadly throughout the year. With the high-range SLR scenario,

527 extreme water level events occur in all months. By the end of the century, the number of  
528 hours with extreme water levels increases dramatically in all months.

529

530

### **Watershed Projections**

531 Under future climate conditions, watershed runoff and the resulting river discharges in  
532 the Santa Barbara area are likely to increase in both volume and extreme magnitude  
533 (Feng et al., 2019) (Fig. 3.4). From averages of the hydrologic model simulations driven  
534 by the 10 downscaled GCM projections, in the second half of the 21<sup>st</sup> Century (2061-  
535 2100), mean annual discharge will increase by 19% under RCP 4.5 and by 37% under  
536 RCP 8.5, as compared to the historical period (1960-2000). The increases in discharge  
537 extremes are even higher: 28% and 65% for annual peak discharge during 2061-2100  
538 under RCP 4.5 and 8.5, respectively. These changes mainly result from nonlinear  
539 hydrologic response to precipitation alterations. Although the changes in annual  
540 precipitation are minimal (within  $\pm 2\%$ ), the rainfall events under future climate tend to  
541 transform from low to moderate ( $< 36$  mm/day) to high ( $> 36$  mm/day) intensities. Under  
542 RCP 8.5, rainfall events with high intensities during 2061-2100 will increase by 28%  
543 compared to historical period, in contrast, the small rainfall events ( $< 16$  mm/day) will  
544 decrease by 18%. In addition to changes in precipitation events, the seasonality of  
545 precipitation will also be impacted. During 2061-2100, the wet season length will shrink  
546 by 11 and 18 days, respectively, under RCP 4.5 and 8.5, mainly due to a late onset. This  
547 alteration in precipitation (i.e., more intensified rainfall events concentrated in a shorter  
548 period) leads to the more pronounced changes in watershed runoff and river discharges.  
549 More frequent intense rainfall events lead to wetter soil conditions during the rainy  
550 season which leads to more efficient runoff generated contributing to increase in  
551 streamflow, especially the extremes (e.g., annual peak flow).

552

553

### **Coastal Hazards Projections**

554 CoSMoS flooding projections indicate considerable changes in coastal hazards across the  
555 Santa Barbara region over the coming decades, including areas comprising sensitive  
556 coastal ecosystems, such as the region's coastal estuaries and creeks, narrow, often bluff-  
557 backed beaches, and dune fields. Several of these locations, such as Goleta Slough and  
558 Carpinteria, are vulnerable to coastal flooding from a major storm at present, while the  
559 vulnerability of other locations is more acute later in the century (Fig 3.5). The East  
560 Beach area adjacent to Santa Barbara Harbor, for example, does not reach a critical  
561 threshold for extreme storm impacts until between 0.5 and 1 m of SLR, expected between  
562 the middle and the end of the century (Sweet et al., 2017); exposure to flooding then  
563 increases progressively through the higher SLR scenarios. Conversely, the projected  
564 flooding for Carpinteria during an extreme storm, including the salt marsh, already is  
565 high today, but does not begin to increase appreciably until higher SLR scenarios are  
566 reached (e.g., 1.5 m). Goleta Slough and Carpinteria Salt Marsh, in addition to the  
567 region's many narrow beaches and small creek mouths, would be vulnerable to everyday  
568 flooding independent of storm conditions for SLR scenarios expected later this century  
569 (i.e., 0.5 to 1 m), indicating a complete displacement of existing ecosystems.

570

571 The proportion of coastal flooding affecting developed vs. undeveloped land is roughly  
572 equivalent across scenarios, with wetlands and open space generally being most  
573 vulnerable to present-day and future coastal flooding among the undeveloped land cover  
574 types. However, the undeveloped flooded areas that are designated as shrubs/grassland  
575 and barren/open space increase the most as SLR increases. While the area of wetland  
576 flooding does not change significantly, wetland habitat is projected to change by mid-

577 century. Overall, there is little change in flooding exposure when transitioning from the 0  
578 to 0.5 m SLR scenarios, but there is a significant change from 0.5 to 1 m, particularly for  
579 the no-storm scenarios, and another significant change from 1 to 2 m SLR for the 100-  
580 year storm scenarios. In almost all cases extreme storms significantly increase the areas  
581 exposed to flooding, especially for the 0.5 and 2 m SLR scenarios, where land area  
582 exposed to flooding can more than double during storms compared to SLR alone. Up to  
583 ~10 km<sup>2</sup> of undeveloped land in the study area could be exposed to flooding over the next  
584 century, with wetlands, shrubs/grassland and open space being the most extensively  
585 flooded land cover types.

586

587 The CoSMoS-COAST model predicts that the sandy beaches in the study area will  
588 narrow considerably; eroding on average by more than 25 m by 2100, and 50 to 75% may  
589 experience complete erosion (up to infrastructure or cliffs) by 2100 without interventions.  
590 The further narrowing and/or loss of future beaches (and the ecosystems supported by  
591 those beaches) will primarily result from accelerating SLR combined with a lack of  
592 ample sediment in the system, which together will continue to drive the landward erosion  
593 of beaches, effectively drowning them between the rising ocean and the backing cliffs  
594 and/or urban hardscape. Many sandy beaches are already narrow and some are almost  
595 completely devoid of dry sand at high tide, which was particularly notable following the  
596 El Niño of 2015-16 that stripped significant volumes of sand off beaches due to elevated  
597 sea level and wave energy. The marginal sand supply both stresses existing sandy beach  
598 ecosystems and leaves the cliffs more vulnerable to wave attack, further placing cliff top  
599 ecosystems and structures at risk. Mean historical cliff retreat rates across Santa Barbara  
600 average ~0.2 m/yr. Model results suggest that a 1 m rise in sea level will accelerate  
601 retreat rates to 0.31 m/yr during the 21<sup>st</sup> Century, an increase of 55%.



602

603

### Coastal Wetland Ecosystem Impacts

604 Carpinteria Salt Marsh is currently comprised primarily of mid (35%) and high (38%)  
605 vegetated marsh habitat with smaller amounts of high mudflat (9%) and subtidal (8%),  
606 mostly confined to the deeper portions of tidal creeks and channels (Fig. 3.6). There is  
607 also a narrow upland transition zone bordering the intertidal portions of the wetland,  
608 which is restricted in landward extent by surrounding residential and urban development.

609

610 High marsh and the upland transition are initially the most vulnerable to SLR,  
611 continuously declining in area and evolving into mid marsh with rising sea level. Mid-  
612 marsh would initially increase in area, but begin converting to high and eventually low  
613 elevation mudflat as SLR exceeds  $\sim +25$  cm, relative to the marsh surface (Myers et al.  
614 2017). Approximately one-half of the existing high mudflat experiences an inundation  
615 regime that supports cordgrass, *Spartina foliosa*, characteristic of low marsh in other  
616 southern California wetlands (Myers et al. 2017). Thus, a caveat to the sequence of  
617 habitat evolution proposed above is the possible creation of vegetated low marsh if the  
618 high mudflat becomes colonized by cordgrass.

619

620 Less certain is the actual timing of habitat evolution, which is dependent on the  
621 interaction between future rates of SLR and accretion of the marsh surface. For example,  
622 an average net accretion rate of  $4 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$  keeps pace with SLR under the minimum year  
623 2050 SLR scenario (Fig. 3.6). However, an accretion rate of  $4 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$  only slows habitat  
624 conversion under the maximum 2050 SLR scenario. In this case, mudflat habitat would  
625 comprise 56% of habitat with accretion compared with 70% without accretion. However,  
626 under the longer term maximum 2100 SLR scenario, accretion rates of up to  $4 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$  do

627 not appreciably slow the rate of evolution of vegetated marsh to mudflat; the wetland  
628 could consist of > 80% mudflat by the end of the 21<sup>st</sup> Century with or without 4 mm yr<sup>-1</sup>  
629 accretion (Figs. 3.6). Little change in area of mudflat is expected by the end of the  
630 century under the minimum SLR scenario if the marsh surface accretes at 4 mm yr<sup>-1</sup>.

631

632 Higher water levels associated with the El Niño of 2015 increased inundation frequencies  
633 (as proportion of tides hitting a particular elevation) in the marsh relative to pre-El Niño  
634 values, providing a possible preview of the effects of increased inundation on marsh  
635 habitats. For example, inundation frequency estimated for mid marsh habitat, at a tidal  
636 elevation of 1.4 m NAVD88 for the months July – December 2015, was double that  
637 (0.29) of the five year average pre- El Niño value (0.14) (Myers et al. 2017). This  
638 increase in inundation frequency corresponded to a pre-El Niño frequency typical of a  
639 tidal elevation of 1.1 m NAVD88 and mudflat habitat. *Sarcocornia* at this elevation  
640 appeared stressed or dying. Consequently, one might expect habitat conversion over time  
641 from *Sarcocornia* dominated mid marsh to high mudflat over time if the higher  
642 inundation regime was prolonged.

643

644

### **Sandy Beach Ecosystem Impacts**

645 Results from CoSMoS modeling indicated that the majority of sandy beaches in the study  
646 area are projected to decline in overall width with increasing SLR. However, the loss of  
647 beach width will not be evenly distributed across intertidal zones. Upper beach zones  
648 were projected to experience the greatest declines in width and losses with SLR. Model  
649 results projected significant declines (average >70%, range: 51%-98%) in the widths of  
650 upper intertidal zones with 50 cm of SLR for the study beaches (Figure 3.8). The  
651 projected responses of sandy beach ecosystems to SLR were strongly affected by the

652 potential for the shoreline to retreat. This means the type of landward boundary and the  
653 degree of human alterations in the form of coastal armoring and development are  
654 important factors in the vulnerability of beach ecosystems to climate change.

655

656 For bluff-backed beaches a rapid loss of upper beach and mid beach zones with  
657 increasing SLR was projected with <15% of this critical upper beach zone estimated to  
658 remain with 50 cm SLR at the study beaches (West Isla Vista, East Campus, Arroyo  
659 Burro) (Figure 3.7). The limited accommodation space for retreat of bluff-backed  
660 beaches restricts their ability to adjust and makes them extremely vulnerable to SLR. The  
661 majority of sandy beaches are bluff-backed in the study area (Habel and Armstrong,  
662 1977) with limited scope for retreat. With projected climate change and SLR, our  
663 projections suggest that upper beach zones will become increasingly rare and vanish from  
664 much of the bluff-backed beaches, resulting in major declines in biodiversity and  
665 ecosystem function for the majority of the Santa Barbara coast.

666

667 Dune-backed beaches, such as the study beach at Sands/Ellwood, were projected to have  
668 the greatest resilience to increasing SLR for upper and mid intertidal zones, maintaining  
669 narrow zones of upper (9%) and mid-intertidal habitats even with 200 cm SLR (Figure  
670 3.7). However even this dune-backed beach lost >60% of the width of the upper beach  
671 zone with 50 cm of SLR. Dune-backed beaches although more resilient, are now rare in  
672 the study area making up less than 3% of the sandy beaches.

673

674 Beaches with shoreline armoring that occupies upper beach zones and limits potential  
675 migration of the shoreline were projected to have the most rapid loss of upper and mid  
676 beach zones with SLR (~99% for upper zone at Santa Claus Lane with 50 cm SLR)

677 (Figure 3.7). Beaches with a mix of armored and unarmored shorelines and management,  
678 such as the adjacent Carpinteria beaches, showed some variation in projected responses  
679 to SLR in the different sections. The dune-backed section of Carpinteria State Beach was  
680 projected to maintain more upper beach zone width at 50 cm SLR (Figure 3.7) compared  
681 to the armored and groomed section. However, with 100 cm of SLR, upper beach zones  
682 were not detectable on this study beach.

683

684 The groomed and filled study beach which has an artificially wide upper intertidal zone  
685 was also projected to have some resilience to SLR but still lost >50% of the upper beach  
686 zone width with 50 cm SLR (Figure 3.7). Regular mechanized grooming and sand  
687 contouring with heavy equipment inhibits the development of coastal strand and dune  
688 vegetation above the reach of tides and the beach fills from harbor dredging periodically  
689 increase the width of the beach. The behavior of this beach under SLR reflects the retreat  
690 of the intertidal beach into the wide unvegetated and degraded dune zone created by the  
691 combination of grooming, flattening and filling activities. This beach was projected to  
692 maintain some width in the upper beach zone for much of the shoreline segment for both  
693 50 and 100 cm SLR, but with 150 cm SLR the upper beach zone was projected to shrink  
694 to <5 m in width.

695

696

## 4. DISCUSSION

697

### Watershed Impacts

698 Increased runoff and peak event streamflows in a shortened wet season, which starts  
699 later, and decreased runoff in a lengthened dry season is expected. Under a warmer future  
700 climate, less precipitation and watershed runoff and higher potential evapotranspiration  
701 during an elongated dry season would lead to a drier soil condition, which increases the

702 probability of droughts and wildfires. The majority of nutrients and sediment fluxes  
703 occur at the beginning of wet season (Homyak et al., 2014), and the fluxes of nutrients  
704 and sediment are significantly and positively associated with hydrologic variability  
705 (Aguilera and Melack, 2018). Therefore, increased runoff in a delayed wet season will  
706 result in changes in the timing and quantity of nutrients and sediment export to the  
707 coastal ecosystems.

708

709 Drier and longer dry seasons increase wildfire occurrence and more intense rainfall  
710 events stacked closer together increase runoff and erosion. The combination of these can  
711 lead to massive debris flows. For example in January 2018 a debris flow was caused by  
712 intense rainfall (Oakley et al., 2018) following the massive Thomas wildfire.

713

714

### **Coastal Wetland Ecosystems**

715 Biological resources supported by small, urbanized Pacific coast estuaries will change as  
716 rising water levels due to SLR alter key physical and biological properties known to  
717 structure marsh plant communities and habitats. The distribution of marsh plant species  
718 typically varies with tidal inundation along an elevational gradient, although considerable  
719 overlap of species can occur (Zedler et al., 1999). Because these estuaries, are  
720 surrounded by buildings and infrastructure, and are unable to transgress inland, the  
721 habitat “zones”, occupied by characteristic vegetation that extend from low to high  
722 elevations in most southern California estuaries (Ferren ,1985; Page et al., 2003; Sadro et  
723 al., 2007), without intervention, will evolve towards more subtidal habitat as sea level  
724 rises.

725

726 Although little net change in the overall area of vegetated marsh is predicted up to about  
727 20 cm of relative SLR (Myers et al., 2017), the most landward - high/mixed salt marsh  
728 and transition habitats- are the most immediately vulnerable. As water levels rise, these  
729 habitats will continuously decrease area and evolve into mid marsh habitat. Loss of  
730 transition/high marsh has dramatic consequences for native salt marsh plant diversity,  
731 typically highest in these habitats that include the most rare, threatened and endangered  
732 species (Zedler et al., 1992). Fourteen of sixteen plant species of conservation concern  
733 reported from Carpinteria Salt Marsh are found in the high marsh and transition habitat  
734 and initially the most vulnerable to SLR (Myers et al., 2017). Of particular interest is the  
735 Federally listed endangered Salt Marsh Birds-beak, restricted to higher elevations with  
736 sandier soils, and Coulter's Goldfields, a species of Federal Management Concern also  
737 found in areas with sandier soils and alluvial deposits (Ferren, 1985). In addition, the  
738 Federal and California listed endangered Ventura Marsh Milkvetch has been planted in  
739 the wetland as part of a recovery plan for the species and is vulnerable to increased  
740 inundation with SLR.

741

742 Middle marsh, vegetated primarily by *Sarcocornia pacifica*, including foraging and  
743 perching habitat for the endangered Belding's Savannah Sparrow (*Passerculus*  
744 *sandwichensis beldingi*) is less immediately vulnerable to relative SLR and is expected to  
745 initially increase in area as it shifts landward. Eventually middle marsh converts to  
746 mudflat, which along with subtidal habitats are the least vulnerable to the adverse impacts  
747 of SLR. Shorebirds and wading and water birds could benefit from the expansion in  
748 mudflat, as it would increase loafing and foraging area.

749

750 Currently, sedimentation and the conversion of mudflat to vegetated marsh is a priority  
751 management concern because of the importance of mudflat as feeding and loafing habitat  
752 for shorebirds (Ferren et al., 1997). Over the short term, an increase in the rate of SLR  
753 may stabilize existing habitats, offsetting sediment accretion, currently a management  
754 concern in Carpinteria Salt Marsh that is leading to a loss of mudflat habitat (Myers et al.  
755 2017). Over the longer term, if accretion is unable to keep pace with accelerating SLR,  
756 marshes will evolve to be more mudflat-dominated then, eventually, subtidal systems,  
757 decreasing both habitat and the potential for attenuation of storm events.

758

759 The development of policy-making and long-term climate change adaptation planning  
760 based on projections, modeling and monitoring (Filho et al., 2018) is challenging given  
761 the uncertainty in rates and thus timing of SLR, the effects of other climatic factors on the  
762 evolution of marsh habitats, and surrounding urban and agricultural development that  
763 limits adaptation options. Ecological monitoring of rates of SLR and sediment accretion  
764 of the marsh surface will be required to inform the timing of adaptation measures, which  
765 may involve alterations of surrounding infrastructure to allow wetland habitats to  
766 transgress into upland, and/or manipulation of sediment delivery to elevate the marsh  
767 surface.

768

769

### **Sandy Beach Ecosystems**

770 Sandy beach ecosystems and the biodiversity and ecosystem functions and services they  
771 provide are extremely vulnerable to projected SLR in southern Santa Barbara County and  
772 elsewhere in the world (Schlacher et al., 2007). The upper intertidal zones of beaches are  
773 already limited along the study coastline and are projected to be most immediately  
774 vulnerable to SLR. Loss of these zones will strongly reduce intertidal biodiversity (losses

775 of 40-50% of endemic upper beach species), decrease the prey available for birds and fish  
776 and eliminate nesting habitat for species of concern (California Grunion and Western  
777 Snowy Plover) (Dugan et al 2003; Hubbard et al 2014; Martin, 2015; Dugan and  
778 Hubbard 2016; Schooler et al., 2017).

779 Although often narrow in width, upper intertidal zones are ecologically vital and  
780 critically important to biodiversity and ecosystem function. Upper intertidal zones have  
781 already been lost to erosion or altered by management practices and armoring on many  
782 beaches in the study area. Loss of upper beach zones will affect the resilience of both  
783 beach ecosystems and coastal communities by impacting the existence of sand-trapping  
784 coastal strand vegetation and dynamic topography that accumulates sand. In the absence  
785 of upper beach zones, sand accumulation (Dugan and Hubbard 2010), wrack retention  
786 (Revell et al 2011) and nutrient cycling (Dugan et al 2011) are impacted, and the buffer  
787 areas that both protect coastal communities and are required by the mobile intertidal  
788 animals of lower intertidal zones to survive high waves and storm conditions (Dugan et  
789 al., 2013) are greatly diminished.

790

791 Projected responses of sandy beach ecosystems to SLR were strongly affected by the  
792 potential for the existing shoreline to retreat or migrate landward. Thus the type of  
793 landward boundary, (e.g. armored, developed, bluff-backed, dune-backed), significantly  
794 affects the vulnerability of beaches to SLR, with dune-backed beaches having the greatest  
795 resilience. As sea level rises, armored beaches are projected to disappear first, bluff-  
796 backed beaches with no room to retreat will disappear next and dune-backed beaches will  
797 be the most resilient but dune area will shrink as beaches retreat landward.



798 The majority of beaches in the study area are backed by resistant sea bluffs that provide  
799 limited scope for migration of the shoreline to adjust to SLR. These bluff-backed beaches  
800 were projected to have a rapid loss of upper beach and mid beach zones with increasing  
801 SLR with <15% of the critical upper beach zone estimated to remain with 50 cm SLR.  
802 The limited scope for retreat and habitat migration of bluff-backed beaches and their  
803 associated ecosystems restricts their ability to adjust and makes them extremely  
804 vulnerable to SLR. Thus with projected climate change and SLR, upper beach zones are  
805 projected to become increasingly rare and vanish from the majority of the Santa Barbara  
806 coast, resulting in major declines in biodiversity and ecosystem function.

807 Shoreline armoring is already widespread and its use is expected to increase with erosion  
808 and threats to infrastructure caused by rising sea levels in the study area and elsewhere  
809 (Dugan et al., 2018). Beaches with shoreline armoring that occupies upper beach zones  
810 have already lost ecologically important upper beach habitat (Dugan and Hubbard, 2006;  
811 Dugan et al., 2008). Armoring structures, such as seawalls and revetments, greatly limit  
812 the potential migration of the shoreline. For this reason, armored beaches were projected  
813 to have the most rapid loss of upper and mid beach zones with SLR.

814 Dune-backed beaches were projected to be more resilient to SLR but have an extremely  
815 limited distribution in southern Santa Barbara County. The dune-backed beach we  
816 studied maintained a narrow zone of upper beach even with 200 cm SLR. However even  
817 the dune-backed beach lost >60% of the width of the upper beach zone with 50 cm of  
818 SLR.

819 Our projections indicated that some of the relatively wide beaches in the study region,  
820 currently managed for recreation and tourism, have the potential to maintain some upper  
821 and mid beach habitats with increasing SLR. These zones were projected to persist for

822 much longer on these altered beaches than on the bluff-backed or armored beaches in the  
823 study area. These beaches are currently subject to frequent mechanized maintenance  
824 activities, such as beach grooming, that have reduced or eliminated dune habitat,  
825 significantly reduced biodiversity and degraded ecosystem functioning. Changing  
826 management of these beaches to restore dunes, biodiversity and function of these  
827 degraded beaches could provide an opportunity to enhance their resilience to SLR and to  
828 conserve more area of intact beach ecosystems as sea levels rise.

829

830

### **Future Management**

831 The threat of frequent flooding, permanent inundation, beach loss and wetland  
832 conversion to predominantly un-vegetated mudflats increases significantly around 0.5 m  
833 of SLR (~2050). Applying effective sediment management practices will be a key factor  
834 in conserving the region's coastal ecosystems and mitigating future coastal hazards. Sand  
835 is a valuable resource, especially for a sediment-starved stretch of coastline like southern  
836 Santa Barbara County (Patsch and Griggs, 2008). Maintaining the existing supply of sand  
837 to beaches in the littoral cell, allowing more sand to flow from watersheds to beaches and  
838 wetlands and providing accommodation space for coastal ecosystems to accumulate sand  
839 wherever possible will be key components of future coastal management efforts to  
840 maintain the dynamics of sandy beach widths and ecosystems, and to protect adjacent  
841 communities from flooding. However, in some highly vulnerable areas of the coast, the  
842 most effective action may be to focus development away from the coastline and allow  
843 space for coastal ecosystems and cliffs to retreat.

844

845 In southern Santa Barbara County, opportunities to maintain sandy beaches and remnant  
846 estuaries into the future are few. Efforts to design and implement suitable coastal  
847 management actions to mitigate projected impacts should be prioritized, as post-tipping  
848 point responses are more costly and less effective (Selkoe et al., 2015). An adaptation  
849 approach not prioritizing ecosystems, which may involve the installation of barriers or  
850 walls along the shoreline to protect urban development, will result in estuary habitat  
851 evolution running its course and beaches drowning with rising sea levels, with  
852 consequent loss of biodiversity and important ecosystem services vital to wildlife and  
853 coastal communities. An EbA approach would enable the shoreline and habitats to  
854 transgress, which may involve the establishment of landward migration corridors through  
855 removing or elevating some infrastructure and providing land to permit wetland and  
856 beach transgression (King et al., 2018); increasing sediment supply, either directly or  
857 indirectly to ameliorate SLR; and reducing shoreline armoring and mechanized beach  
858 grooming.

859

860

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

861 Shorelines and coastal ecosystems in southern Santa Barbara County are highly  
862 vulnerable to climate change impacts from multiple drivers, both landward - changes in  
863 both dry and wet extremes of precipitation and watershed runoff- and seaward –  
864 heightened water levels that result from SLR. Effects upon coastal ecosystems are  
865 projected to grow increasingly severe, with impacts to biodiversity and storm buffering  
866 capacity becoming significant around 2050, and reaching more dramatic levels of  
867 severity (e.g. area flooded) by 2100. Extreme short period events, including heat waves,  
868 high coastal ocean levels and storm rainfall-driven floods, will occur with increasing  
869 frequency and severity. These impacts are projected to be significant even under

870 moderate scenarios of greenhouse gas emission and attendant climate changes. Although  
871 little can be done to maintain some coastal ecosystems, such as bluff-backed beaches,  
872 there are opportunities to attenuate climate change related impacts on wide beaches and  
873 wetlands. Local governments can manage these ecosystems and the surrounding area so  
874 they more effectively sustain ecosystem services and the beneficial services they provide  
875 into the future (e.g. stopping beach grooming and restoring wide beaches so dunes can  
876 form; allowing both wetlands and beaches to transgress inland; removal of shoreline  
877 armoring and effective sediment management), contributing to an ecosystem-based  
878 adaptation approach.

879

880

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

881

882 This work was supported by NOAA Coastal and Ocean Climate Applications grant  
883 number NA13OAR4310235 and the NOAA Sea Grant College Program grant number  
884 NA13OAR4170155. Additional support for DRC and SI was provided by the NOAA  
885 RISA Program through the California Nevada Applications Program, grant number  
886 NA17OAR4310284, and through the Department of Interior's (U.S. Geological Survey)  
887 Southwest Climate Science Center, grant USGS G12AC20518. We thank the land use  
888 planners, academics and other coastal decision makers from the Cities of Goleta, Santa  
889 Barbara and Carpinteria and County of Santa Barbara who provided useful input during  
890 the Santa Barbara Area Coastal Ecosystem Vulnerability Assessment workshops and  
891 meetings. Oceanography colleagues Dr. David Pierce and Dr. Julie Kalansky (Scripps  
892 Institution of Oceanography) provided important contributions to downscaling and sea  
893 level rise projections. We thank Carey Batha, Helen Chen, Brandon Doheny, Kyle  
894 Emery, Li Erikson, Juliette Finzi Hart, Amy Foxgrover, Justin Hoesterey, Daniel Hoover,  
895 Russel Johnston, Patrick Limber, Andy O'Neil, Daniel Reed, Nicholas Schooler, Steve  
896 Schroeter, Alexander Snyder, and Sean Vitousek for their contributions and expert  
897 assistance with mapping, modeling, stakeholder coordination and field data collections.  
898 Aaron Howard contributed to report preparation.

## REFERENCES

902 Aguilera, R., Melack, J. M., 2018. Relationships Among Nutrient and Sediment Fluxes,  
 903 Hydrological Variability, Fire, and Land Cover in Coastal California Catchments. *Journal*  
 904 *of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*. 123(8), 2568–2589.  
 905 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JG004119>.

907 Baker, I., Peterson, A., Brown, G., McAlpine, C., 2012. Local government response to  
 908 the impacts of climate change: an evaluation of local climate adaptation plans. *Landscape*  
 909 *and Urban Planning*. 107 (2), 127–136.  
 910 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2012.05.009>.

912 Ballard, G., Barnard, P. L., Erikson, L., Fitzgibbon, M., Higgason, K., Psaros, M., Veloz,  
 913 S., Wood, J., 2019. Our Coast Our Future (OCOF). Petaluma, California.  
 914 [www.pointblue.org/ocof](http://www.pointblue.org/ocof).

916 Barnard, P. L., Allan, J., Hansen, J. E., Kaminsky, G. M., Ruggiero, P., Doria, A., 2011.  
 917 The impact of the 2009-10 El Niño Modoki on U.S. West Coast beaches. *Geophysical*  
 918 *Research Letters*. 38 (13). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL047707>.

920 Barnard, P. L., Erikson, L. H., Foxgrover, A. C., Hart, J. A. F., Limber, P., O’Neill, A.  
 921 C., van Ormondt, M., Vitousek, S., Wood, N., Hayden, M. K., Jones, J. M., 2019.  
 922 Dynamic flood modeling essential to assess the coastal impacts of climate change.  
 923 *Scientific Reports*. 9 (1), 4309. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-40742-z>.

925 Barnard, P. L., Erikson, L. H., Foxgrover, A. C., O’Neill, A. C., Herdman, L. M., 2016.  
 926 CoSMoS (Coastal Storm Modeling System) Southern California v3.0 Phase 2 storm-  
 927 hazard projections. U.S. Geological Survey Data Release.  
 928 <https://doi.org/10.5066/F7T151Q4>.

930 Barnard, P. L., Hoover, D., Hubbard, D. M., Snyder, A., Ludka, B. C., Allan, J.,  
 931 Kaminsky, G. M., Ruggiero, P., Gallien, T. W., Gabel, L., McCandless, D., Weiner, H.  
 932 M., Cohn, N., Anderson, D. L., Serafin, K. A., 2017. Extreme oceanographic forcing and  
 933 coastal response due to the 2015-2016 El Niño. *Nature Communications*. 8, 14365.  
 934 <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14365>.

936 Barnard, P. L., Hubbard, D. M., Dugan, J. E., 2012. Beach response dynamics of a littoral  
 937 cell using a 17-year single-point time series of sand thickness. *Geomorphology*. 139–140,  
 938 588–598. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2011.12.023>.

940 Barnard, P. L., O’Reilly, B., van Ormondt, M., Elias, E., Ruggiero, P., Erikson, L. H.,  
 941 Hapke, C., Collins, B. D., Guza, R. T., Adams, P. N., Thomas, J. T., 2009a. The  
 942 framework of a coastal hazards model: A tool for predicting the impact of severe storms.  
 943 U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2009-1073, 21.  
 944 <http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2009/1073/>.

946 Barnard, P. L., Revell, D. L., Hoover, D. J., Warrick, J., Brocatus, J., Draut, A. E.,  
947 Dartnell, P., Elias, E., Mustain, N., Hart, P. E., Ryan, H. F., 2009b. Coastal processes  
948 study of Santa Barbara and Ventura County, California. U.S. Geological Survey Open-  
949 File Report 2009–1029, <http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2009/1029/>.  
950

951 Barnard, P. L., Short, A. D., Harley, M. D., Splinter, K. D., Vitousek, S., Turner, I. L.,  
952 Allan, J., Banno, M., Bryan, K. R., Doria, A., Hansen, J. E., Kato, S., Kuriyama, Y.,  
953 Randall-Goodwin, E., Ruggiero, P., Walker, I. J., Heathfield, D. K., 2015. Coastal  
954 vulnerability across the Pacific dominated by El Niño/Southern Oscillation. *Nature*  
955 *Geoscience*. 8 (10), 801–807. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2539>.  
956

957 Barnard, P. L., van Ormondt, M., Erikson, L. H., Eshleman, J., Hapke, C., Ruggiero, P.,  
958 Adams, P. N. and Foxgrover, A. C., 2014. Development of the Coastal Storm Modeling  
959 System (CoSMoS) for predicting the impact of storms on high-energy, active-margin  
960 coasts. *Natural Hazards*. 74 (2), 1095-1125. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11069-014-1236->  
961 [y](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11069-014-1236-y).  
962

963 Beighley, R. E., Eggert, K. G., Dunne, T., He, Y., Gummadi, V., Verdin, K. L., 2009.  
964 Simulating hydrologic and hydraulic processes throughout the Amazon River  
965 Basin. *Hydrological Processes*. 23 (8), 1221–1235. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.7252>.  
966

967 Beighley, R. E., Melack, J. M., & Dunne, T., 2003. Impacts of California’s climatic  
968 regimes and coastal land use change on streamflow characteristics. *Journal of the*  
969 *American Water Resources Association*. 39 (6), 1419–1433.  
970 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2003.tb04428.x>.  
971

972 Bourne, A., Holness, S., Holden, P., Scorgie, S., Donatti, C. I., Midgley, G., 2016. A  
973 socio-ecological approach for identifying and contextualising spatial ecosystem-based  
974 adaptation priorities at the sub-national level. *PLoS ONE*. 11 (5).  
975 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0155235>.  
976

977 California Department of Water Resources, 2015. Perspectives and guidance for climate  
978 change analysis. Climate Change Technical Advisory Group. California Department of  
979 Water Resources Technical Information Record, 142.  
980 California Climate Adaptation Strategy, 2009 (updated in 2013). California Natural  
981 Resources Agency.  
982 [http://resources.ca.gov/docs/climate/Statewide\\_Adaptation\\_Strategy.pdf](http://resources.ca.gov/docs/climate/Statewide_Adaptation_Strategy.pdf).  
983

984 Cayan, D. R., Bromirski, P. D., Hayhoe, K., Tyree, M., Dettinger, M. D., Flick, R. E.,  
985 2008. Climate change projections of sea level extremes along the California coast.  
986 *Climatic Change*. 87 (1), 57-73. doi:10.1007/s10584-007-9376-7.  
987

988 Convention of Biological Diversity, 2009. Connecting biodiversity and climate change  
989 mitigation and adaptation: report of the second ad hoc technical expert group on  
990 biodiversity and climate change. CBD Technical Series 41.  
991

992 Defeo, O., McLachlan, A., Schoeman, D. S., Schlacher, T. A., Dugan, J., Jones, A.,  
993 Lastra, M., Scapini, F., 2009. Threats to sandy beach ecosystems: a review. *Estuarine,*  
994 *Coastal and Shelf Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2008.09.022>.

995  
996 Dugan, J. E., Emery, K. A., Alber, M., Alexander, C. R., Byers, J. E., Gehman, A. M.,  
997 McLenaghan, N., Sojka, S. E., 2018. Generalizing Ecological Effects of Shoreline  
998 Armoring Across Soft Sediment Environments. *Estuaries and Coasts*. 41, 180–196.  
999 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-017-0254-x>.

1000  
1001 Dugan, J. E., Hubbard, D. M., 2006. Ecological Responses to Coastal Armoring on  
1002 Exposed Sandy Beaches. *Shore & Beach*. 74 (1), 10–16. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0485.2008.00231.x)  
1003 [0485.2008.00231.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0485.2008.00231.x).

1004  
1005 Dugan, J. E., Hubbard, D. M., 2010. Loss of coastal strand habitat in Southern California:  
1006 the role of beach grooming. *Estuaries and Coasts*. 33 (1), 67–77.  
1007 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-009-9239-8>.

1008  
1009 Dugan, J. E., Hubbard, D. M., 2016. Sandy beach ecosystems. *Ecosystems of California*.  
1010 20, 389-408.

1011  
1012 Dugan, J. E., Hubbard, D. M., McCrary, M. D., Pierson, M. O., 2003. The response of  
1013 macrofauna communities and shorebirds to macrophyte wrack subsidies on exposed  
1014 sandy beaches of southern California. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*. 58, 25–40.  
1015 Academic Press. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7714\(03\)00045-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7714(03)00045-3).

1016  
1017 Dugan, J. E., Hubbard, D. M., Page, H. M., Schimel, J. P., 2011. Marine Macrophyte  
1018 Wrack Inputs and Dissolved Nutrients in Beach Sands. *Estuaries and Coasts*. 34 (4), 839–  
1019 850. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-011-9375-9>.

1020  
1021 Dugan, J. E., Hubbard, D. M., Quigley, B. J., 2013. Beyond beach width: steps toward  
1022 identifying and integrating ecological envelopes with geomorphic features and datums for  
1023 sandy beach ecosystems. *Geomorphology*. 199, 95–105.  
1024 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.04.043>.

1025  
1026 Dugan, J. E., Hubbard, D. M., Rodil, I. F., Revell, D. L., Schroeter, S., 2008. Ecological  
1027 effects of coastal armoring on sandy beaches. *Marine Ecology*. 29 (1), 160–170.  
1028 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0485.2008.00231.x>.

1029  
1030 Erikson, L. H., Barnard, P. L., O’Neill, A. C., Wood, N., Jones, J., Finzi-Hart, J.,  
1031 Vitousek, S., Limber, P. W., Fitzgibbon, M., Hayden, M., Lovering, J. and Foxgrover, A.  
1032 C., 2018a. Projected 21<sup>st</sup> Century coastal flooding in the Southern California Bight. Part  
1033 2: tools for assessing climate change driven coastal hazards and socio-economic impacts.  
1034 *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*. 6 (3), 76.  
1035 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jmse6030076>.

1036  
1037 Erikson, L. H, Espejo, A., Barnard, P. L., Serafin, K. A., Hegermiller, C. A, O’Neill, A.,  
1038 Ruggiero, P., Limber, P. W. and Mendez, F. J., 2018b. Identification of storm events and  
1039 contiguous coastal sections for deterministic modeling of extreme coastal flood events in  
1040 response to climate change. *Coastal Engineering*. 140, 316-330.  
1041 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2018.08.003>.

1042

1043 Erikson, L. H., Hegermiller, C. A., Barnard, P. L., Ruggiero, P. and van Ormondt, M.,  
1044 2015. Projected wave conditions in the Eastern North Pacific under the influence of two  
1045 CMIP5 climate scenarios. *Ocean Modeling*, 96, 171–185.  
1046 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2015.07.004>.  
1047

1048 Feng, D., Beighley, E., Raoufi, R., Melack, J., Zhao, Y., Iacobellis, S., Cayan, D., 2019.  
1049 Propagation of future climate conditions into hydrologic response from coastal southern  
1050 California watersheds. *Climatic Change*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-019-02371-3>.  
1051

1052 Ferren, W. R., 1985. Carpinteria Salt Marsh: environment, history, and botanical  
1053 resources of a Southern California estuary. Herbarium, Dept. of Biological Sciences,  
1054 University of California, Santa Barbara. <https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.63944>.  
1055

1056 Ferren, W. R., Page, H. M., Saley, P., 1997. Management Plan for Carpinteria Salt Marsh  
1057 Reserve- A Southern California Estuary. Prepared for the University of California  
1058 Natural Reserve System, Oakland, California. Museum of Systematics and Ecology,  
1059 Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Marine Biology, Environmental Report No. 5.  
1060

1061 Filho, W. L., Modesto, F., Nagy, G. J., Saroar, M., YannickToamukum, N., & Ha'apio,  
1062 M., 2018. Fostering coastal resilience to climate change vulnerability in Bangladesh,  
1063 Brazil, Cameroon and Uruguay: a cross-country comparison. *Mitigation and Adaptation*  
1064 *Strategies for Global Change*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-017-9750-3>.  
1065

1066 Gitay, H., Suárez, R. T., Watson, R. T., Dokken., D. J., 2002. Climate change and  
1067 biodiversity. IPCC Technical Paper V. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1551672>.  
1068

1069 Gershunov, A., Guirguis, K., 2012. California heat waves in the present and  
1070 future. *Geophysical Research Letters*. 39 (17). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL052979>.  
1071

1072 Griggs, G. B., Russell, N. L., 2012. City of Santa Barbara: sea-level rise vulnerability  
1073 study. California Energy Commission Public Interest Energy Research Program.  
1074

1075 Guyadeen, D., Thistlethwaite, J., Henstra, D., 2019. Evaluating the quality of municipal  
1076 climate change plans in Canada. *Climatic Change*. 152 (1), 121–143.  
1077 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-018-2312-1>.  
1078

1079 Habel, J. S., Armstrong, G. A., 1977. Assessment and atlas of shoreline erosion along the  
1080 California coast. State of California, Department of Navigation and Ocean Development.  
1081

1082 Halpern, B. S., Walbridge, S., Selkoe, K. A., Kappel, C. V., Micheli, F., D'Agrosa, C.,  
1083 Bruno, J., Casey, K., Ebert, C., Fox, H., Fujita, R., Heinemann D., Lenihan H., Madin, E.,  
1084 Perry M., Selig E., Spalding M., Steneck R., Watson, R., 2008. A global map of human  
1085 impact on marine ecosystems. *Science*, 319 (5865), 948–952.  
1086 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1149345>.  
1087

1088 Heidrich, O., Dawson, R. J., Reckien, D., Walsh, C. L., 2013. Assessment of the climate  
1089 preparedness of 30 urban areas in the UK. *Climatic Change*, 120 (4), 771–784.  
1090 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-013-0846-9>.  
1091



1092 Homyak, P. M., Sickman, J. O., Miller, A. E., Melack, J. M., Meixner, T., Schimel, J. P.,  
1093 2014. Assessing nitrogen-saturation in a seasonally dry chaparral watershed: limitations  
1094 of traditional indicators of N-saturation. *Ecosystems*. 17 (7).  
1095 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-014-9792-2>.  
1096

1097 Hubbard, D. M., Dugan, J. E., 2003. Shorebird use of an exposed sandy beach in southern  
1098 California. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*. 58, 41–54.  
1099 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7714\(03\)00048-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7714(03)00048-9)  
1100

1101 Hubbard, D. M., Dugan, J. E., Schooler, N. K., Viola, S. M., 2014. Local extirpations and  
1102 regional declines of endemic upper beach invertebrates in southern California. *Estuarine,  
1103 Coastal and Shelf Science*. 150, 67–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2013.06.017>  
1104

1105 IPCC, 2013. Climate change 2013: the physical science basis. Contribution of Working  
1106 Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate  
1107 Change.  
1108

1109 IPCC, 2018. Global warming of 1.5°C. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.  
1110

1111 Jones, H. P., Hole, D. G., Zavaleta, E. S., 2012. Harnessing nature to help people adapt to  
1112 climate change. *Nature Climate Change*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1463>.  
1113

1114 Jones, J. M., Henry, K., Wood, N., Ng, P., Jamieson, M., 2017. HERA: a dynamic web  
1115 application for visualizing community exposure to flood hazards based on storm and sea  
1116 level rise scenarios. *Computers & Geosciences*. 109, 124-133.  
1117 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2017.08.012>.  
1118

1119 Kantamaneni, K., Sudha Rani, N., Rice, L., Sur, K., Thayaparan, M., Kulatunga, U.,  
1120 Rege, R., Yenneti, K., Campos, L.C. 2019 A Systematic Review of Coastal Vulnerability  
1121 Assessment Studies along Andhra Pradesh, India: A Critical Evaluation of Data  
1122 Gathering, Risk Levels and Mitigation Strategies. *Water* 2019, 11, 393.  
1123 <https://doi.org/10.3390/w11020393>  
1124

1125 Kantamaneni, K., Phillips, M., Thomas, T., Jenkins, R., 2018 Assessing coastal  
1126 vulnerability: development of a combined physical and economic index *Ocean Coast.  
1127 Manag.*, 158, 164-175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2018.03.039>  
1128

1129 Keenan, J. M., 2018. Types and forms of resilience in local planning in the U.S.: who  
1130 does what? *Environmental Science and Policy*. 88, 116–123.  
1131 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2018.06.015>.  
1132

1133 King, P. G., Nelsen, C., Dugan, J. E., Hubbard D. M., Martin, K. L., 2018. Valuing beach  
1134 ecosystems in an age of retreat. *Shore & Beach* 86 (4), 45-59.  
1135

1136 Limber, P., Barnard, P. L., Vitousek, S. and Erikson, L. H., 2018. A model ensemble for  
1137 projecting multi-decadal coastal cliff retreat during the 21st century. *Journal of  
1138 Geophysical Research-Earth Surface*. 123 (7), 1566-1589.  
1139 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2017JF004401>.  
1140

1141 Lindseth, G., 2004. The Cities for Climate Protection Campaign (CCPC) and the framing  
1142 of local climate policy. *Local Environment*. 9 (4), 325–336.  
1143 <https://doi.org/10.1080/1354983042000246252>.  
1144

1145 Livneh, B., Bohn, T. J., Pierce, D. W., Munoz-Arriola, F., Nijssen, B., Vose, R., Cayan,  
1146 D. R., Brekke, L., 2015. A spatially comprehensive, hydrometeorological data set for  
1147 Mexico, the U.S., and Southern Canada 1950-2013. *Scientific Data*, 2.  
1148 <https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2015.42>.  
1149

1150 Lovejoy, T. E., Hannah, L., 2005. *Climate change and biodiversity*. TERI Press.  
1151

1152 Martin, K., 2015. *Beach-Spawning fishes: reproduction in an endangered ecosystem*.  
1153 CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b17410>.  
1154

1155 Mawdsley, J. R., O'Malley, R., Ojima, D. S., 2009. A review of climate-change  
1156 adaptation strategies for wildlife management and biodiversity  
1157 conservation. *Conservation Biology*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2009.01264.x>.  
1158

1159 McLachlan A., Defeo, O., 2018. *The ecology of sandy shores*. 2nd Edition, Academic  
1160 Press.  
1161

1162 Measham, T. G., Preston, B. L., Smith, T. F., Brooke, C., Gorrdard, R., Withycombe, G.,  
1163 Morrison, C. 2011. Adapting to climate change through local municipal planning:  
1164 barriers and challenges. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*. 16 (8),  
1165 889–909. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-011-9301-2>.  
1166

1167 Moeslund, J. E., Arge, L., Bøcher, P. K., Nygaard, B., Svenning, J. C., 2011.  
1168 Geographically comprehensive assessment of salt-meadow vegetation-elevation relations  
1169 using LiDAR. *Wetlands*. 31(3), 471–482. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13157-011-0179-2>.  
1170

1171 Mooney, H., Larigauderie, A., Cesario, M., Elmquist, T., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Lavorel,  
1172 S., Mace, G. M., Palmer, M., Scholes R., Yahara, T., 2009. Biodiversity, climate change,  
1173 and ecosystem services. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*.  
1174 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2009.07.006>.  
1175

1176 Moore, L. J., Ruggiero, P., List, J. H., 2006. Comparing mean high water and high water  
1177 line shorelines: should proxy-datum offsets be incorporated into shoreline change  
1178 analysis? *Journal of Coastal Research*, 224, 894–905. <https://doi.org/10.2112/04-0401.1>.  
1179

1180 Moser, S. C., Luers, A. L., 2007. Managing climate risks in California: The need to  
1181 engage resource managers for successful adaptation to change. *Climatic Change*. 87 (1).  
1182 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-007-9384-7>.  
1183

1184 Munang, R., Thiaw, I., Alverson, K., Liu, J., Han, Z., 2013. The role of ecosystem  
1185 services in climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction. *Current Opinion in*  
1186 *Environmental Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2013.02.002>.  
1187

1188 Munroe, R., Roe, D., Doswald, N., Spencer, T., Möller, I., Vira, B., Reid, H., Kontoleon,  
1189 A., Giuliani, A., Castelli, I., Stephens, J., 2012. Review of the evidence base for

1190 ecosystem-based approaches for adaptation to climate change. *Environmental Evidence*.  
1191 BioMed Central Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2047-2382-1-13>.  
1192  
1193 Myers, M. R., Cayan, D. R., Iacobellis, S. F., Melack, J. M., Beighley, R. E., Barnard, P.  
1194 L., Dugan, J. E. Page, H. M., 2017. Santa Barbara Area Coastal Ecosystem Vulnerability  
1195 Assessment. CASG-17-009.  
1196  
1197 National Research Council, 2012. Sea level rise for the coasts of California, Oregon and  
1198 Washington: past, present and future. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.  
1199  
1200 NOAA, 2012. 2009-2011 California Coastal Conservancy Coastal Lidar Project.  
1201 Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National  
1202 Ocean Service, Office for Coastal Management.  
1203 [http://www.coast.noaa.gov/dataviewer/index.html?action=advsearch&qType=in&qFld=I](http://www.coast.noaa.gov/dataviewer/index.html?action=advsearch&qType=in&qFld=ID&qVal=1124#)  
1204 [D&qVal=1124#](http://www.coast.noaa.gov/dataviewer/index.html?action=advsearch&qType=in&qFld=ID&qVal=1124#).  
1205  
1206 Oakley, N. S., Cannon, F., Munroe, R., Lancaster, J. T., Gomberg, D., Martin Ralph, F.,  
1207 2018. Brief communication: meteorological and climatological conditions associated  
1208 with the 9 January 2018 post-fire debris flows in Montecito and Carpinteria, California,  
1209 USA. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*. 18 (11), 3037–3043.  
1210 <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-18-3037-2018>.  
1211  
1212 Ojea, E., 2015. Challenges for mainstreaming ecosystem-based adaptation into the  
1213 international climate agenda. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*. 14, 41-48.  
1214 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2015.03.006>.  
1215  
1216 O'Neill, A. C., Erikson, L. H., Barnard, P. L., 2017. Downscaling wind and wavefields  
1217 for 21st century coastal flood hazard projections in a region of complex terrain. *Earth and*  
1218 *Space Science*. 4 (5), 314–334. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016EA000193>.  
1219  
1220 O'Neill, A.C., Erikson, L.H., Barnard, P.L., Limber, P.W., Vitousek, S., Warrick, J.A,  
1221 Foxgrover, A.C. and Lovering, J., 2018. Projected 21st century coastal flooding in the  
1222 Southern California Bight. Part 1: development of the third generation CoSMoS model.  
1223 *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*. 6 (2), 59.  
1224 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jmse6020059>.  
1225  
1226 Onuf, C. P., Quammen, M. L., Shaffer G. P., Peterson, C. H., Chapman J. W., Cermak, J.,  
1227 Holmes, R. W., 1979. An analysis of the values of central and southern California coastal  
1228 wetlands. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*.  
1229  
1230 Page, H. M., Schroeter, S. C., Reed, D., Ambrose, R. F., Callaway, J., Dixon, J., 2003.  
1231 An inexpensive method to identify the elevation of tidally inundated habitat in coastal  
1232 wetlands. *Bulletin of Southern California Academy of Sciences*. 102, 130-142.  
1233  
1234 Pasquini, L., Cowling, R. M., Ziervogel, G., 2013. Facing the heat: barriers to  
1235 mainstreaming climate change adaptation in local government in the Western Cape  
1236 Province, South Africa. *Habitat International*, 40, 225–232.  
1237 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2013.05.003>.  
1238

1239 Pasquini, L., Cowling, R. M., 2015. Opportunities and challenges for mainstreaming  
1240 ecosystem-based adaptation in local government: evidence from the Western Cape, South  
1241 Africa. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*. 17(5), 1121–1140.  
1242 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-014-9594-x>.  
1243

1244 Patsch, K., Griggs, G., 2008. A sand budget for the Santa Barbara Littoral Cell,  
1245 California. *Marine Geology*. 252 (1–2), 50–61.  
1246 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2008.01.013>  
1247

1248 Pierce, D. W., Cayan, D. R., Kalansky, J. F., (Scripps Institution of Oceanography),  
1249 2018. Climate, Drought, and Sea Level Rise Scenarios for the Fourth California Climate  
1250 Assessment. California’s Fourth Climate Change Assessment, California Energy  
1251 Commission. Publication number: CCCA4-CEC-2018-006.  
1252

1253 Pierce, D. W., Cayan, D. R., Thrasher, B. L., 2014. Statistical downscaling using  
1254 localized constructed analogs (LOCA). *Journal of Hydrometeorology*. 15 (6), 2558–2585.  
1255 <https://doi.org/10.1175/jhm-d-14-0082.1>.  
1256

1257 Polade, S. D., Gershunov, A., Cayan, D. R., Dettinger, M. D., Pierce, D. W., 2017.  
1258 Precipitation in a warming world: assessing projected hydro-climate changes in  
1259 California and other Mediterranean climate regions. *Scientific Reports*. 7 (1).  
1260 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-11285-y>.  
1261

1262 Priestley, C. H. B., Taylor, R. J., 1972. On the assessment of surface heat flux and  
1263 evaporation using large-scale parameters. *Monthly Weather Review*. 100 (2). 81-92.  
1264 DOI: 10.1175/1520-0493(1972)100<0081:OTAOSH>2.3.CO;2  
1265

1266 Raoufi, R., Beighley, E., 2017. Estimating daily global evapotranspiration using penman-  
1267 monteith equation and remotely sensed land surface temperature. *Remote Sensing*. 9 (11).  
1268 <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9111138>.  
1269

1270 Rauken, T., Mydske, P. K., Winsvold, M., 2015. Mainstreaming climate change  
1271 adaptation at the local level. *Local Environment*. 20 (4), 408–423.  
1272 <https://doi.org/10.1080/13549839.2014.880412>.  
1273

1274 Reckien, D., Salvia, M., Heidrich, O., Church, J. M., Pietrapertosa, F., De Gregorio-  
1275 Hurtado, S., D'Alonzo V., Foley, A., Simoes, S., Krkoška Lorencová, E., Orru, H.,  
1276 Orru, K., Wejs, A., Flacke, J., Olazabal, M., Geneletti, D., Feliu, E., Vasilie, S., Nador,  
1277 C., Krook-Riekkola, A., Matosović, M., Fokaides, P., Ioannou, B., Flamos, A., Spyridaki,  
1278 N., Balzan, M., Fülöp, O., Paspaldzhiev, I., Grafakos, S., Dawson, R., 2018. How are  
1279 cities planning to respond to climate change? Assessment of local climate plans from 885  
1280 cities in the EU-28. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 191, 207–219.  
1281 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.03.22>.  
1282

1283 Reid, H., 2016. Ecosystem- and community-based adaptation: learning from community-  
1284 based natural resource management. *Climate and Development*. 8 (1), 4–9.  
1285 <https://doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2015.1034233>.  
1286

1287 Revell, D. L., Griggs, G. B., 2006. Beach width and climate oscillations in Isla Vista,  
1288 Santa Barbara, California. *Shore & Beach*. 74, 8-16.  
1289

1290 Revell, D. L., Dugan, J. E., Hubbard, D. M., 2011. Physical and ecological responses of  
1291 sandy beaches to the 1997–98 El Niño. *Journal of Coastal Research*. 27 (4), 718.  
1292 <https://doi.org/10.2112/jcoastres-d-09-00179.1>.  
1293

1294 Reynolds, L. C., Simms, A. R., Ejarque, A., King, B., Anderson, R. S., Carlin, J. A.,  
1295 Bentz, J. M., Rockwell, T. K., Peters, R. 2018. Coastal flooding and the 1861-2  
1296 California storm season. *Marine Geology*. 400, 49–59.  
1297 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2018.02.005>.  
1298

1299 Roberts, D. 2008. Thinking globally, acting locally - institutionalizing climate change at  
1300 the local government level in Durban, South Africa. *Environment and Urbanization*. 20  
1301 (2), 521–537. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247808096126>.  
1302

1303 Roberts, D., Boon, R., Diederichs, N., Douwes, E., Govender, N., Mcinnes, A., Mclean,  
1304 C., O’Donoghue, S., Spires, M., 2012. Exploring ecosystem-based adaptation in Durban,  
1305 South Africa: “Learning-by-doing” at the local government coal face. *Environment and*  
1306 *Urbanization*. 24 (1), 167–195. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247811431412>.  
1307

1308 Ruggiero, P., List, J. H., 2009. Improving accuracy and statistical reliability of shoreline  
1309 position and change rate estimates. *Journal of Coastal Research*. 255, 1069–1081.  
1310 <https://doi.org/10.2112/08-1051.1>.  
1311

1312 Runting, R. K., Bryan, B. A., Dee, L. E., Maseyk, F. J. F., Mandle, L., Hamel, P., Wilson,  
1313 K. A., Yetka, K., Possingham, H. P., Rhodes, J. R., 2017. Incorporating climate change  
1314 into ecosystem service assessments and decisions: a review. *Global Change Biology*. 23  
1315 (1), 28-41. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13457>.  
1316

1317 Ryan, G., 1994. Climate of Santa Barbara, California. NOAA Technical Memorandum,  
1318 NWS WR-225, U.S. Department of Commerce.  
1319

1320 Sadro, S., Gastil-Buhl, M., Melack, J. M., 2007. Characterizing patterns of plant  
1321 distribution in a southern California salt marsh using remotely sensed topographic and  
1322 hyperspectral data and local tidal fluctuations. *Remote Sensing of Environment*. 110 (2),  
1323 226–239. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2007.02.024>.  
1324

1325 Santana-Cordero, A.M., Monteiro-Quintana, M.L., Hernandez-Calveto, L., 2016.  
1326 Reconstruction of the termination of an arid coastal dune system: The case of the  
1327 Guantarteme dune system (Canary Islands, Spain), 1834-2012. 55, 73-85  
1328 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2016.02.021>  
1329

1330

1331 Scarano, F. R., 2017. Ecosystem-based adaptation to climate change: concept, scalability  
1332 and a role for conservation science. *Perspectives in Ecology and Conservation*.  
1333 *Associacao Brasileira de Ciencia Ecologica e Conservacao*.  
1334 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecon.2017.05.003>.  
1335

1336 Schlacher, T. A., Dugan, J., Schoeman, D. S., Lastra, M., Jones, A., Scapini, F.,  
1337 McLachlan, A., Defeo, O., 2007. Sandy beaches at the brink. *Diversity and*  
1338 *Distributions*. 13 (5), 556–560. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2007.00363.x>.  
1339  
1340 Schooler, N. K., Dugan, J. E., Hubbard, D. M., Straughan, D., 2017. Local scale  
1341 processes drive long-term change in biodiversity of sandy beach ecosystems. *Ecology*  
1342 *and Evolution*. 7 (13), 4822–4834. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.3064>.  
1343  
1344 Selkoe, K. A., Blenckner, T., Caldwell, M. R., Crowder, L. B., Erickson, A. L.,  
1345 Essington, T. E., Estes, J. A., Fujita, R. M., Halpern, B. S., Hunsicker, M. E., Kappel, C.  
1346 V., Kelly, R. P., Kittinger, J. N., Levin, P. S., Lynham, J. M., Mach M. E., Martone, R.  
1347 G., Mease, L. A., Salomon, A. K., Samhuri, J. F., Scarborough C., Stier, A. C., White,  
1348 C., Zedler, J., 2015. Principles for managing marine ecosystems prone to tipping points.  
1349 *Ecosystem Health and Sustainability*. 1 (5), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1890/ehs14-0024.1>.  
1350  
1351 Serafim, M.B., Siegle, E., Corsi, A.C. and Bonetti, J., 2019. Coastal vulnerability to wave  
1352 impacts using a multi-criteria index: Santa Catarina (Brazil). *Journal of environmental*  
1353 *management*, 230, 21-32.  
1354  
1355 Shi, L., 2019. Promise and paradox of metropolitan regional climate adaptation.  
1356 *Environmental Science and Policy*. 92, 262–274.  
1357 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2018.11.002>.  
1358  
1359 Stein, E. D., Cayce, K., Salomon, M., Bram, D. L., Grossinger, R., Dark, S., 2014.  
1360 Wetlands of the Southern California coast: historical extent and change over  
1361 time. Southern California Coastal Water Research Project Technical Report. 826, 1–50.  
1362  
1363 Storlazzi, C. D., Willis, C. M., Griggs, G. B., 2000. Comparative impacts of the 1982-83  
1364 1997-98 El Niño winters on the central California coast. *Journal of Coastal Research*. 16  
1365 (4), 1022–1036.  
1366  
1367 Sweet, W. V., Kopp, R. E., Weaver, C. P., Obeysekera, J., Horton R. M., Thieler, R. E.,  
1368 Zervas, C., 2017. Global and regional sea level rise scenarios for the United States.  
1369 NOAA Technical Report NOS CO-OPS 083,  
1370 NOAA/NOS Center for Operational Oceanographic Products and Services.  
1371  
1372 Temmerman, S., Meire, P., Bouma, T. J., Herman, P. M. J., Ysebaert, T., De Vriend, H.  
1373 J., 2013. Ecosystem-based coastal defence in the face of global change. *Nature*. 504  
1374 (7478), 79-83. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12859>.  
1375  
1376 Tribbia, J. Moser, S. C., 2008. More than information: what coastal managers need to  
1377 plan for climate change. *Environmental Science and Policy*. 11 (4), 315–328.  
1378 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2008.01.003>.  
1379  
1380 US Census Bureau, 2017. Retrieved from <https://www.census.gov> April 7, 2019  
1381  
1382 Valiela, I., Lloret, J., Bowyer, T., Miner, S., Remsen, D., Elmstrom, E., Cogswell, C.,  
1383 Robert Thieler, E., 2018. Transient coastal landscapes: rising sea level threatens salt

1384 marshes. *Science of the Total Environment*. 640–641, 1148–1156.  
1385 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.235>.  
1386  
1387 Vitousek, S., Barnard, P. L., Limber, P., Erikson, L., Cole, B., 2017. A model integrating  
1388 longshore and cross-shore processes for predicting long-term shoreline response to  
1389 climate change. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*. 122 (4), 782–806.  
1390 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JF004065>.  
1391  
1392 Wamsler, C., Luederitz, C., Brink, E., 2014. Local levers for change: mainstreaming  
1393 ecosystem-based adaptation into municipal planning to foster sustainability transitions.  
1394 *Global Environmental Change*. 29, 189–201.  
1395 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.09.008>.  
1396  
1397 Warrick, J. A., Melack, J. M., Goodridge, B. M., 2015. Sediment yields from small, steep  
1398 coastal watersheds of California. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, 4, 516–534.  
1399 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2015.08.004>.  
1400  
1401 Wilson, E., 2006, December. Adapting to climate change at the local level: the spatial  
1402 planning response. *Local Environment*. 11 (6), 609–625.  
1403 <https://doi.org/10.1080/13549830600853635>.  
1404  
1405 Wolter, K., 1987. The Southern Oscillation in surface circulation and climate over the  
1406 tropical Atlantic, Eastern Pacific, and Indian Oceans as captured by cluster analysis.  
1407 *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*. 26, 540–558.  
1408  
1409 Worm, B., Barbier, E. B., Beaumont, N., Duffy, J. E., Folke, C., Halpern, B. S., Jackson,  
1410 J. B. C., Lotze, H. K., Micheli, F., Palumbi, S. R., Sala, E., Selkoe, K. A., Stachowicz, J.  
1411 J., Watson, R., 2006. Impacts of biodiversity loss on ocean ecosystem  
1412 services. *Science*. 314 (5800), 787–790. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1132294>.  
1413  
1414 Zedler, J. B., Callaway, J. C., Desmond, J. S., Vivian-Smith, G., Williams, G. D.,  
1415 Sullivan, G., Brewster, A. E., Bradshaw, B. K., 1999. Californian salt-marsh vegetation:  
1416 An improved model of spatial pattern. *Ecosystems*. 2 (1), 19–35.  
1417 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s100219900055>.  
1418  
1419 Zedler, J., Nordby, C., Kus, B., 1992. The ecology of Tijuana Estuary, California: a  
1420 national estuarine research reserve. *Ecosystems*. 164.  
1421 <http://ceic.resources.ca.gov/catalog/SouthernCAWetlandPublications/TheEcologyOfTijuanaEstuaryCAANationalEstuarineResearchReserve.html>.  
1422  
1423  
1424 Zölch, T., Wamsler, C., Pauleit, S., 2018. Integrating the ecosystem-based approach into  
1425 municipal climate adaptation strategies: the case of Germany. *Journal of Cleaner  
1426 Production*. 170, 966–977. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.09.146>.  
1427  
1428

1429 **LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES**

1430 **Table 2.1.** Summary of methods for each of the five components of the study

1431 **Table 3.1.** Results and implications for local government

1432 **Table 3.2.** Lessons learned and implications for local government

**Figure 1.1.** Study area (for watershed map see Figure 3.4)

1433

**Figure 1.2.** Concept diagram representing the interaction of climate change, physical drives and responses and ecological responses.

1434 **Figure 2.1.** Relationship between global, regional and local vulnerability study

1435 components. Circles and ovals represent the five research components of the study.

1436 Hexagons represent global datasets that informed regional models.

1437

1438 **Figure 2.2.** Illustration of the major zones and ecological features for a bluff-backed

1439 sandy beach in Santa Barbara, CA (HTS = High tide strand, WTO = Water table outcrop)

1440

1441 **Figure 3.1.** Ensemble mean number (days/year), from ten downscaled GCMs, of

1442 extremely hot days per year during 2020-2039 (left column), 2040-2059 (middle

1443 column), 2080-2099 (right column) for emission scenarios RCP4.5 (top row) and RCP8.5

1444 (bottom row). An extremely hot day is defined as day with daily temperature maximum

1445 meeting or exceeding the 99%-percentile value of daily temperature maximums during

1446 the 1985-2014 historical period.

1447

1448 **Figure 3.2.** Change in the number of wet days per year, averaged over the 10

1449 downscaled GCMs. Change values are differences of 2020-2039 (left column), 2040-

1450 2059 (middle column), 2080-2099 (right column) vs. the 1985-2014 historical period for

1451 emission scenarios RCP4.5 (top row) and RCP8.5 (bottom row).

1452

**Figure 3.3.** Annual sea level anomalies modeled for Santa Barbara. Model produced values during the 1950-2005 historical period (grey lines) and modeled projections during the 2005-2100 period (colored lines) are derived from output of eight GCMs for each of three NRC SLR scenarios, shown as green, blue and red. The black curve fragments between 1990 and 2014 are based on a limited set of observations at Santa Barbara Harbor.



1453

1454 **Figure 3.4.** Relative changes (upper and lower bounds of boxes are 25% and 75%  
1455 respectively; whiskers are max and min, and heavy line as median) of annual  
1456 precipitation, annual streamflow and annual peak flow in the major Santa Barbara  
1457 watersheds (indicated by the grey watersheds in the map) during 2061-2100 based on 10  
1458 GCM output simulations for scenario RCP 8.5; only watersheds with drainage areas  
1459 larger than 7 km<sup>2</sup>, which account for roughly 83% of the study area, are shown. The  
1460 figure is adapted from Feng et al. (2019).

1461

1462 **Figure 3.5.** Example of future flood hazards in Goleta (top), Santa Barbara Harbor/East  
1463 Beach (middle) and Carpinteria (bottom), showing the 1 m SLR scenario coupled with  
1464 the 100-year coastal storm. (Basemap: 2012 NAIP Imagery)

1465

1466 **Figure 3.6.** A) Location of Carpinteria Salt Marsh and present distribution and areas of  
1467 modeled habitats and B) scenarios of change in habitat areas by years 2050 and 2100  
1468 assuming no accretion of the marsh surface and an average annual accretion rate of 4 mm  
1469 yr<sup>-1</sup>.

1470

1471 **Figure 3.7.** Projected values of the proportion of upper beach zone widths (above HTS)  
1472 remaining for armored, bluff-backed, groomed/filled, and dune-backed beaches in  
1473 southern Santa Barbara County based on the CoSMoS 3.0 model results under different  
1474 levels of SLR (50 cm, 100 cm, 150 cm, 200 cm and 500 cm).

1475

1476 **Table 2.1.** Summary of methods for each of the five components of the study

Component	Method	Data Timeframes	Scale/ resolution	Output	Geographic range
Climate	Localized Climate Analogue (LOCA) 10 GCMS (8 GCMS for hourly sea level) RCP 4.5 and 8.5	Historical: 1985-2014, Future: 2020—2039, 2040-2059, 2060-2099	6 km grid	# Extreme hot days/yr, # wet days/yr, daily max T, difference in daily min and max, difference in median annual precip, length of wet season, hourly sea level	Santa Barbara County @ 6km resolution, entire CA coast?

Component	Method	Data Timeframes	Scale/ resolution	Output	Geographic range
Watershed	Hillslope River Routing (HRR) Simulated surface and groundwater runoff from hill slope to channels	Historical: 1961-2000 Future: 2021-2060; 2061-2100	~ 1km <sup>2</sup> sub-basins	Daily discharge, annual maximum daily discharge, 100-year flood discharge, hydrological seasonality (timing and length)	Watersheds draining into Santa Barbara Channel from west of the Ventura River to east of Point Conception, in southern Santa Barbara County.
Coastal Hazards	Coastal Storm Modeling System 3.0 (CoSMoS)		2 m digital elevation model (DEM)	Water level (m NAVD88), flood extent, wave conditions, shoreline evolution, average beach loss (m), cliff retreat	California Coast
Wetlands	Habitat evolution model based on elevation (LIDAR DEMs and in situ measurements), inundation (NOAA tide data), and habitat (multispectral aerial images)			Habitat categories (upland, transition, high marsh, mid marsh, low marsh, high mudflat, low mudflat and subtidal) For SLR of +0 – 254 cm sea	Carpinteria Salt Marsh,
Beaches	Predictive framework for ecological features based on relationships between ecological measurements of the daily beach high tide strand line level (HTS) and projections of physical measurements of total water level (TWL) and beach profiles from			Projections of Profiles of and widths of beach zone widths above TWL (dry sand) for ambient conditions, annual storm conditions, and above mean high water for SLR of 0cm, 50cm, 100cm, 150cm, 200cm, 500cm (MHW)	Seven beaches in southern Santa Barbara County including: Sands/Elwood, West Isla Vista, East Campus, Arroyo Burro, East Beach, Santa Claus Lane, and Carpinteria City/State Beach

Component	Method	Data Timeframes	Scale/ resolution	Output	Geographic range
	measured by CoSMoS 3.0USGS)				

1477

1478

1479

1480 **Table 3.1.** Results and implications for local government

<b>Results</b>	<b>Implications for local government</b>
Temperature will increase through the 21st century. RCP 8.5 projections: 1.5°C by 2030 3°C by 2050 6-7°C by 2090 Number of extremely hot days will double by 2050 and 10x by 2090.	Warmer temperatures would increase demand for water and energy related to air conditioning, and increase the exposure to certain health issues (e.g. mosquito-borne diseases). More frequent, more intense and longer lasting heat waves would cause detrimental impacts on health and ecosystems.
Precipitation amount may not change. Storms would be fewer but more intense with decreased length of the wet season and fewer wet days. Increased likelihood of extended droughts.	Longer dry spells and more frequent drought would impact ecosystems along with municipal, industrial and agricultural water supplies. In particular, longer dry seasons would increase vulnerability to wildfires. Heavy precipitation events would cause floods and erosion.
Sea level will increase significantly over the 21st century. Extreme water level events will occur in more months, eventually year-round.	SLR and more frequent and higher extreme sea levels would cause increased coastal and estuarine flooding and inundation, and increase coastal erosion.
Under future climate, more intense rainfall events during a shorter and delayed wet season, would lead to changes in seasonality of streamflow (i.e., increase in wet season streamflow and decrease in dry season streamflow) and pronounced increase in the magnitudes of low-frequency flows (e.g., 100-yr flood).	Informs flood hazard planning. Changes in streamflow seasonality may increase the risk of severe droughts and wildfire events, and also impact the nutrients/sediment export to the Santa Barbara coastal ecosystems.
Storms and the higher-end SLR scenarios (i.e., 0.5 m+) expected in the latter half of the century pose the greatest risk to ecosystems.	Plan for SLR and storm-related impacts to intensify

Dramatic changes in beaches and wetlands are projected for mid century with severe impacts to structure and function occurring earlier	Immediate need to incorporate model projections in both short and long-term planning
Vegetated salt marsh would turn to predominantly mudflat with 30 cm slr (accretion rates affect rate of change). Loss of habitat impacts animals who live in the marsh and those that forage and nest in the marsh (e.g. loss of upland habitat impacts endangered Beldings Savannah Sparrow in Carpinteria Salt Marsh).	Wetland ecosystems will change and habitat for endangered/sensitive species will be reduced unless opportunities are provided for habitat to transgress inland.
The timing of marsh changes are affected by multiple factors- rate of SLR, accretion of sediment on the marsh surface, estuarine tidal dynamics, - making it uncertain when in the future changes to habitat will occur.	It is difficult to plan/implement adaptation strategies for the future without adversely affecting existing estuary function. Need to work with ecologists to monitor changes to the marsh and identify trigger points and create an adaptive management plan that is implemented when triggers are reached.
Many already narrow beaches (backed by infrastructure or cliffs) would narrow considerably; eroding on average by more than 25m by 2100. Without interventions, 50 to 75% may experience complete erosion by 2100.	Plan for a future with less dry sand space on beaches and carefully balance human and ecosystem needs. A first step is to identify beaches that can transgress inland and/or are wide enough to support ecosystems and recreation in the future.

1481  
1482  
1483  
1484  
1485

**Table 3.2** Lessons learned and implications for local government

<b>Lessons learned</b>	<b>Implications for local government</b>
Temperature and precipitation projections, from an ensemble of global climate models run under moderate and high emissions scenarios, downscaled to a 6 km grid are useful for local decision makers	Informs city and county long range planning and flood hazard mapping at a scale useful to local-level planning
For local government planning purposes, climate projections can be translated from native climate grid output into GIS.	GIS is a format commonly used by local governments in city and county planning documents.
Downscaled climate, coastal hazards and shoreline change, and watershed runoff	During climate adaptation planning the same models used for anticipating impacts to the

model projections are useful for both natural and built environments	built environment can be used to anticipate ecosystem impacts
Extreme events, superimposed on changing climate and rising sea levels, are a critically important component of regional climate changes. Extreme high sea level events combined with terrestrial flooding are projected to double the land area exposed to flooding.	Extreme events are important to consider for long-range planning because they deliver high impacts to both natural and built environments.
Regional changes projected for Santa Barbara County were similar to other southern California and Mediterranean regions, with substantial warming, shorter wet seasons, longer dry spells and, occasionally larger rainfall events.	Many of the results exhibited by climate simulations downscaled to the Santa Barbara region may apply to municipalities and ecosystems elsewhere in Southern California and other Mediterranean regions. Furthermore, southern California temperature projections are close to global averages
El Niño events provide a window to future conditions. For example, during the 2015-2016 El Niño a 10 cm above normal sea level and 50% wave energy increase resulted in all except the widest Santa Barbara area beaches to be devoid of dry sand. These sea level conditions are projected to occur on a regular basis before mid-century.	El Niños are an opportunity for local governments to get firsthand experience of projected climate change impacts (and consequences of management actions).
Large-scale wildfire and debris flow events suggest there are synergies between climate-related impacts that may result in larger events than anticipated.	Plan for large-scale disasters in the future
The most landward (upper) part of both beach and wetland ecosystems are the most vulnerable to climate change. Upper beach and wetland habitat, areas with the greatest biodiversity and most rare/endangered species, are lost first with SLR. Coastal ecosystems, including beaches and wetlands have already “lost ground” and the remaining habitats are severely threatened by SLR from climate change. Adaptive management and conservation of these irreplaceable ecosystems is urgently needed to restore and enhance their resilience and preserve their biodiversity and ecosystem function.	Conservation of beaches, dune systems and estuaries can occur by discouraging development adjacent to these dynamic ecosystems and encouraging open space buffers and restoring native vegetation and landforms on public and private land. Incorporation of SLR into restoration activities provides opportunities for ecosystems to transgress inland.

Extent of wetland flooding does not indicate amount of habitat change	Expert knowledge of ecosystems is needed to project future impacts. Physical data (e.g. SLR maps) alone are not sufficient
Surrounding infrastructure is an impediment to marsh transgression	Focus development away from the coast. Remove old structures
Beach ecosystem response to projected SLR is strongly affected by beach landward boundary (e.g. armored, developed, bluff-backed, dune-backed), with dune backed beaches having the greatest resilience to slr. As sea level rises, armored beaches will disappear first , bluff-backed beaches with no room to retreat will disappear next; dune backed beaches are the most resilient but dune area will shrink as beaches retreat landward.	Prevent the installation of new armoring and identify opportunities to remove armoring on beaches and wetlands. City parks and open space can provide buffers for beaches. Require setbacks for beachfront and beach-adjacent properties. Restore and Protect dunes.
Wide beaches that are intensively managed are an unrealized opportunity for providing refuge for beach biodiversity (conserving beach ecosystems) and rare species (Dugan et al., in progress).	Stopping or strategically changing beach grooming practices can conserve/enhance beach ecosystem biodiversity and allow formation of dunes that contribute to storm protection.
SLR impacts to upper beach ecosystems can be approximated using total water level (TWL) projections.	Local governments and others can use Total water level projections on USGS maps to anticipate future impacts to the ecologically sensitive, biodiverse upper beach ecosystem

1486

1487



























