# 1 Massive oyster kill in Galveston Bay caused by prolonged low-salinity exposure after

# 2 Hurricane Harvey

- 3
- 4 Jiabi Du<sup>a,\*</sup>, Kyeong Park<sup>b</sup>, Christine Jensen<sup>c</sup>, Timothy M. Dellapenna<sup>b</sup>, Weifeng G. Zhang<sup>a</sup>, and
- 5 Yong Shi<sup>d</sup>
- 6
- 7 <sup>a</sup> Applied Ocean Physics and Engineering Department, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution,
- 8 Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA
- 9 <sup>b</sup> Department of Marine and Coastal Environmental Science, Texas A&M University at
- 10 Galveston, Galveston, TX 77554, USA
- <sup>11</sup> <sup>c</sup> Coastal Fisheries Division, Texas Parks & Wildlife Department, Dickinson, TX 77539, USA
- <sup>12</sup> <sup>d</sup> School of Geography and Ocean Science, Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Coast and
- 13 Island Development, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China
- 14
- 15 \* Corresponding author, jdu@whoi.edu; jiabi.du@gmail.com (J. Du)

#### 17 Abstract

18 Extreme precipitation events are projected to occur more frequently under a warming climate, 19 posing increasing threats to coastal ecosystems. Hurricane Harvey (2017), the wettest tropical 20 cyclone in the U.S. history that caused a 1000-year flood in the Houston metropolitan area, 21 provides an opportunity to study the response of coastal ecosystems to extreme events. As 22 sessile, epibenthic filter-feeding organisms, oysters are inherently sensitive to changes in 23 environmental and water quality conditions, making them a good indicator for ecosystem health. 24 Oyster measurements at 130 sites in Galveston Bay show that the mean oyster mortality 25 drastically increased from 11% before Harvey to 48% after Harvey. Post-Harvey oyster mortality 26 exhibited large spatial variability and was up to 100% at some major reef complexes. For all the 27 oyster sampling sites, brown shells were dominant, while black shells indicating mud burial were 28 rare. Considering the little impact from sediment deposit, we hypothesized the low-salinity 29 exposure as the main cause for the massive oyster kill. We conducted a multidisciplinary 30 (biological-geological-physical) investigation combining the oyster data with bay-wide sediment 31 core data and results of a previously-validated high-resolution numerical model. Oyster mortality 32 was found to be significantly and positively correlated with the bottom low-salinity exposure 33 time (duration of bottom salinity continuously less than 5 PSU), while there was no significant 34 relationship with the thickness of storm-induced sediment deposit. The physiological aspects for 35 the impact of low-salinity exposure, the underlying physical mechanisms for the prolonged 36 salinity recovery, and wider implications of oyster kill in Galveston Bay in the context of global 37 oyster reef conditions were discussed. The worldwide reported oyster kill events due to extreme 38 weather events suggest additional pressure posed by future climate on the native coastal oyster

reefs that are already at the brink of functional extinction worldwide due to centuries of resourceextraction and coastal habitat degradation.

41 *Keywords*: oyster mortality; low-salinity exposure; extreme precipitation; Galveston Bay;

42 Hurricane Harvey

43

# 44 **1. Introduction**

45 Extreme precipitation and subsequent flooding resulting from intense tropical cyclones 46 have become more frequent over the past few decades (Knight and Davis, 2009; Donat et al., 47 2016; Pfahl et al., 2017). Historic amounts of rainfall have been reported more often recently. 48 For example, Hurricane Floyd (1999) brought up to 61 cm of precipitation in North Carolina 49 (Atallah and Bosart, 2003), Hurricane Harvey (2017) 130 cm in Texas (Du et al., 2019a) and 50 Hurricane Florence (2018) 91 cm in North Carolina (Feaster et al., 2018). The likelihood of 51 extreme precipitation events is projected to increase under a warming climate due to increased 52 upper ocean heat content and atmospheric humidity (Knight and Davis, 2009; Donat et al., 2016; 53 Pfahl et al., 2017). In the future, the climate is likely going to be characterized by more extreme 54 intra-annual precipitation regimes (Knight and Davis, 2009; Risser and Wehner, 2017; Trenberth 55 et al., 2018).

Extreme precipitation events and the resulting flooding in coastal areas are posing increasing threats to human society and have acute impacts or long-lasting influences on coastal ecosystems (Paerl et al., 2001; Holmgren et al., 2007; Wetz and Yoskowitz, 2013; Biggs et al., 2018). In addition to the dramatic responses in hydrodynamic processes, significant shifts in phytoplankton and fish communities have been widely observed after heavy floods (Hughes, 2000; Weyhenmeyer et al., 2004; Cardoso et al., 2008; Steichen et al., 2020). The timescales of their influence on coastal ecosystems range from days to years and vary from one system to
another, depending on the event's intensity and the resiliency of the system in both
hydrodynamic and biological processes. Advancing our understanding of the ecosystem's
response and resiliency to extreme perturbation is of urgent importance in guiding living
resource management and can be facilitated by establishing and assessing appropriate indicators
for ecosystem function and health.

68 As sessile, epibenthic, filter-feeding organisms, oysters are inherently sensitive to 69 changes in environmental and water quality conditions (Lowe et al., 2017), making them an 70 excellent sentinel organism to examine how extreme weather events may impact the ecosystem 71 health (Volety et al., 2003). Oysters play an important role in the ecosystem by filtering 72 phytoplankton, removing particles in the water column, providing food, shelter, and habitat for 73 fish and invertebrates (Newell and Jordan, 1983; Lenihan et al., 2001), and protecting the 74 shoreline from erosion (Piazza et al., 2005). Along the northern coast of Gulf of Mexico and the 75 Atlantic coast of the United States, the eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica) is the primary 76 commercially valuable species of oyster (MacKenzie et al., 1997; zu Ermgassen et al., 2013). 77 Hurricane Harvey (hereinafter referred to as Harvey) provides an ideal opportunity to

investigate how eastern oysters in natural waters respond to acute external perturbations. The
oyster industry in Galveston Bay made up as much as 22% of the total U.S. harvest and brought
in \$14.7 million ex-vessel value in 2004 (NOAA Fisheries landings data:

81 https://foss.nmfs.noaa.gov/apexfoss/f?p=215:200:641675228657, accessed on November 17,

82 2020), but oyster landings have significantly decreased since that time. An average annual ex-

83 vessel value was just \$7.7 million for 2007-2016 with the landings accounting for only 8.7% of

84 the national oyster harvest (Texas Parks & Wildlife Department, unpublished data). In 2017,

85 Harvey (Fig. 1a) brought record-breaking precipitation (maximum 130 cm over a 5-d period) 86 and, together with wind-induced storm surge, it caused unprecedented compound flooding in the 87 Houston-Galveston area (Valle-Levinson et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2020). It delivered a huge volume of freshwater  $(14 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3)$  and sediment  $(9.86 \times 10^7 \text{ metric tons})$  into Galveston Bay (Du 88 89 et al., 2019a, 2019b). The enormous volume of freshwater input, about 3.7 times the bay volume, 90 made the entire bay virtually fresh for days (Fig. 1), exerting potentially high pressure on the 91 wild eastern oysters in the bay. Eastern oyster populations thrive at intermediate salinities (10-20 92 PSU) in most estuaries (Cake, 1983), even though adults can survive under a wider salinity range 93 (0-42.5 PSU) (Menzel et al., 1966). Prolonged exposure to low-salinity water has been observed 94 to cause substantial oyster mortality, and oysters are more vulnerable to low-salinity exposure in 95 warmer water (Levinton et al., 2011; Pollack et al., 2011; Munroe et al., 2013).

96 We conducted a multidisciplinary (biological-geological-physical) investigation to 97 identify the underlying mechanism(s) for the massive oyster kill after Harvey. The observed 98 post-Harvey mortality rate of eastern oyster at 130 sampling sites is high with large spatial 99 variability. Previous studies have shown that low-salinity exposure and/or sediment deposit 100 (smothering) can increase oyster mortality (Volety et al., 2003; Munroe et al., 2013; Colden and 101 Lipcius, 2015). We applied a validated hydrodynamic model to calculate the "low-salinity 102 exposure time," the duration when bottom salinity was continuously below a given threshold, 103 and examined the relationship between the oyster mortality and the low-salinity exposure time. 104 We found a significant relationship between the oyster mortality and the low-salinity exposure 105 time but not with the storm sediment deposit estimated from 56 push cores collected throughout 106 the entire bay. The physiological mechanisms for the impact of low-salinity exposure and how 107 the Harvey case implicates coastal ecosystems' response to extreme weather events were

discussed. Our findings explain how hydrodynamic processes affect oyster survival under natural
setting during an extreme precipitation event.

110

# 111 **2. Material and Methods**

### 112 2.1. Measurement of eastern oyster mortality

113 Texas Parks & Wildlife Department measured oyster mortality at 130 sampling sites, 114 covering most of the harvestable public oyster reefs in Galveston Bay on October 2 and 11, 115 2017, about one month after Harvey made landfall along the mid-Texas coast. Ten samples were collected at each of the 12 major reef complexes (Fig. 2a) using a 0.5 m-wide "Biloxi style" 116 oyster dredge, with each dredge pulled at 4.8 km h<sup>-1</sup> for 30 sec. All oysters greater than 25 mm 117 were categorized as live or "boxes". Boxes here mean recently dead oysters with shells still 118 119 attached at the hinge (articulated) and thus were presumably alive before Harvey. Mortality was 120 determined for each sample based on the number of boxes relative to the total number of live 121 oysters before Harvey (i.e., live + boxes). 122 For comparison, the oyster mortality rate was also determined for pre-Harvey normal 123 conditions using another 130 samples collected in the fall of 2016. Of them, 100 sites were 124 sampled in September 2016, but the other 30 sites in the middle of the bay were sampled in 125 November 2016. Nonetheless, the results are typical of what we usually observed in those areas 126 prior to Harvey.

127

## 128 2.2. Storm sediment deposit thickness

From October 2017 to May 2018, 56 push cores were collected using 7.62-cm diameter,
0.6-cm long polycarbonate core barrels. Upon returning to the lab, the polycarbonate push cores

131 were x-rayed using a large animal veterinarian x-ray machine with a digital panel. The thickness 132 of the Harvey flood layer was visually determined from the x-radiographs based on textural 133 variations in the strata (see Fig. 5 in Dellapenna et al., 2020). For most cores, the base of the 134 Hurricane Harvey deposit was easily identified from the x-radiographs as an anomalous 135 erosional surface with a shell and sand layer (representing the bedload deposit) sitting atop of it and above this a high-water content mud deposit (representing the suspended load deposit). The 136 137 sand layer was clearly evident as a lighter tone in the x-rays and is an anomalous feature within 138 these cores as they were all collected in areas where the remainder of the cores were mud 139 dominated. We examined the relationship between the oyster mortality rate and the storm deposit 140 thickness.

141

#### 142 2.3. Numerical model and simulations

143 We employed a pre-calibrated 3D hydrodynamic model (Du et al., 2019c), with the 144 model domain covering the northwestern Gulf of Mexico (Fig. 1a). The purpose of using the 145 hydrodynamic model is to overcome the limitation of salinity observations as only a handful of water quality monitoring stations are maintained for the entire Galveston Bay and data from 146 147 these monitoring stations are insufficient to cover the widely-spreading oyster reef areas. The 148 model is based on the Semi-implicit Cross-scale Hydroscience Integrated System Model 149 (SCHISM: Zhang et al., 2015, 2016). The model grid contains 142,972 horizontal elements, with 150 the horizontal resolution ranging from 40 m in the narrow ship channel (surface width of 150 m) 151 of Galveston Bay (Fig. 1b) to 2.5 km on the shelf and 10 km in the open ocean. The fine grid for 152 the ship channel is carefully aligned with the channel orientation to faithfully capture the 153 sharply-changing bathymetry near the channel edge, which is important to accurately simulate

layers for depths less than 20 m and another 30 *z* layers for depths from 20 to 4000 m. When
forced by realistic boundary conditions, including the open boundary conditions from FES2014
global tide (Carrere et al., 2015) and global HYCOM model output
(https://www.hycom.org/data/glbu0pt08), atmospheric forcing from the European Centre for
Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF: https://www.ecmwf.int), and river discharges
from 15 USGS gaging stations, the model gives a satisfactory reproduction of the observed

the salt intrusion process (Ye et al., 2018). Vertically, a hybrid s-z grid is used, with 10 sigma

154

hydrodynamic conditions in 2007-2008 inside the Galveston Bay and over the Texas-Louisiana
shelf in terms of water level, salinity, temperature, vertical stratification, and shelf currents. A
more detailed description of the model configuration, including the grid system, bathymetry, and
forcing boundary conditions, and the 2007-2008 model validation results can be found in Du et
al. (2019c).

166 This model was applied to simulate the hydrodynamic response of Galveston Bay to 167 Harvey (Du and Park, 2019). For the Harvey simulation, not only the surface runoff but also the 168 groundwater discharged were carefully incorporated in the model. Based on a freshwater-fraction 169 method using measured salinity and velocity at the bay entrance, Du et al. (2019a, 2019b) estimated that 47% (6.63×10<sup>9</sup> m<sup>3</sup>) was through diffusive surface runoff and groundwater 170 171 discharge along the bay's coastline. By adding point sources of freshwater along the bay's 172 coastline line to account for this part of freshwater load, the model reproduced well the notable 173 estuarine responses to Harvey, including long-lasting elevated water level, extraordinarily strong 174 along-channel velocity with the seaward speed exceeding 3 m s<sup>-1</sup>, sharp decreases and long 175 recovery of salinity, and huge river plumes on the shelf. Particularly, this model application reproduces the temporal and spatial variation of salinity during Harvey very well, with the mean 176

absolute error of 1.4 PSU at MIDG at the middle of the bay and TRIN inside Trinity Bay (see
Fig. 1b for their locations) (Du and Park, 2019). The hydrodynamic simulation for Harvey has
been used to examine the dispersion of leaked pollutants in Galveston Bay (Du et al., 2020). The
output of the validated hydrodynamics, specifically the bottom salinity, was used in this study to
quantify the spatially-varying low-salinity exposure.

A salinity of 5 PSU has been suggested as a critical threshold value for oyster recruitment, survival, and growth, although the threshold value varies with water temperature. Soaking in water with salinity at 5 PSU and temperature of 23-25°C can lead to very high mortality of juvenile oysters, 75% and 96% after 2 and 9 weeks, respectively (Volety et al., 2003). Even for adult oysters, prolonged exposure to low-salinity (<5 PSU) water can lead to high moralities (Chanley, 1957; Powell et al., 1994).

188 We used the validated hydrodynamic model to estimate the low-salinity exposure time 189  $(T_{E5})$ , defined as the duration for bottom salinity to be continuously lower than 5 PSU, during 190 Harvey and examined the relationship between the oyster mortality rate and  $T_{E5}$ . We then 191 conducted seven numerical experiments with 0%, 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, and 200% of 192 Harvey's stormwater  $\Delta Q$  (the freshwater load due to Harvey, defined as the difference between 193 the discharge during Harvey and the pre-storm condition: see Fig. 4 in Du and Park, 2019) and 194 calculated the corresponding  $T_{E5}$  to further investigate the system's response to different amounts 195 of stormwater input. The extraordinary amount of Harvey's stormwater ( $\Delta Q$ ) is rare, with the 196 return period exceeding 1,000 years (van Oldenborgh et al., 2018), but precipitation events with 197 smaller intensities (e.g., 10%-50% of  $\Delta Q$ ) are likely to occur more frequently. The results from 198 model scenario runs were used to examine the relationship between  $T_{E5}$  and stormwater input. 199

### 200 **3. Results**

201 3.1. High oyster mortality after Harvey

202 Relative to the pre-Harvey surveys, oyster mortality rate increased dramatically after 203 Harvey (Fig. 2). Of the 130 sampling sites at 12 major reef complexes, oysters (boxes or live) 204 were found at 117 sites, while old dead shells (disarticulated shell halves) and some buried shells 205 were found at the remaining 13 sites. The mean mortality rate for all sites increased from 11% in 206 the pre-Harvey surveys to 48% in the post-Harvey surveys. The mortality rates after Harvey 207 exhibit a very large spatial variability, ranging from 10% to 100% (Fig. 2b). Mortality rates 208 higher than 75% were found at several reef complexes in East Bay (reefs #10 and #11) and west 209 of the Houston Ship Channel (reefs #1 and #3). In particular, no live oyster was found at reef #11 210 in the inner part of East Bay. Oyster reefs in Galveston Bay have been recovering since Harvey, 211 which also varies spatially, with a rapid recovery along the ship channel and a slow recovery in 212 East Bay, such as reefs #9, #10, and #11 (Texas Parks & Wildlife Department, unpublished 213 data).

The disarticulation rates of boxes, which depend on salinity and temperature, have been found to take less than a year (Ford et al., 2006). However, for the overwhelming majority of the boxes in the post-Harvey samples, their insides were relatively clean with no fouling organisms or oyster spat observed, indicating that the oysters had been dead for only a short period of time prior to sampling. Additionally, there was a dramatic increase in the number of these clean, empty boxes observed in the post-Harvey samples, indicating a massive mortality event after Harvey.

#### 221 3.2. Oyster mortality vs. low-salinity exposure time and storm sediment deposit

222 It has been shown that low-salinity exposure and/or sediment deposit (smothering) can 223 increase the oyster mortality (Volety et al., 2003; Munroe et al., 2013; Colden and Lipcius, 224 2015). The observed Harvey flood deposit sediment thickness exhibit large spatial variability 225 (Fig. 3b). For all oyster sampling sites, brown shells were dominant, while black shells that have 226 been buried by mud were rare, indicating little impact from sediment smothering despite the 227 large input of sediment during Harvey. This is confirmed by regression analysis between oyster 228 mortality rate and the storm sediment deposit thickness, which shows no significant relationship 229 between them (Fig. 3d). This is likely because oyster reefs are bathymetrically high features, i.e., 230 they have positive bathymetric relief. The storm flood deposits were mud dominated and settled 231 out from the suspended load of the flood waters on the seabed, primarily within bathymetrically 232 low areas. Therefore, there was not much deposition on top of the oyster reefs, with the noted 233 exception of the area just upstream of the Texas City Dike, where flood deposit was thickest 234 (Fig. 3b) (Du et al., 2019a; Dellapenna et al., 2020).

235 We hypothesized the controlling factor for the spatially varying oyster mortality is the 236 low-salinity exposure. To quantify the impact of low-salinity exposure, we calculated the low-237 salinity exposure time based on bottom salinity outputs of the validated numerical model (Du 238 and Park, 2019). The calculated low-salinity exposure time with a critical threshold of 5 PSU 239  $(T_{E5})$  exhibits a very large spatial variability, ranging from a few days to >30 d, with the longest 240  $T_{E5}$  in East Bay, Trinity Bay and the upper Galveston Bay (Fig. 3a). Regression analysis revealed a significant positive linear relationship ( $r^2 = 0.51$ ; p-value = 0.02), indicating a strong spatial 241 242 coherence between oyster mortality and  $T_{E5}$  (Fig. 3c). Note that reefs #1 and #12 were regarded 243 as outliers (see below) and excluded from the regression analysis. We also conducted a

regression analysis between the mortality and low-salinity exposure time using a critical salinity ranging from 1 to 10 PSU. A critical salinity of 5-10 PSU gave similar significance of positive relationship, but no significant relationship was found for a critical salinity of 1-4 PSU with all p-values > 0.17.

Of the 12 reef complexes, reefs #1 and #12 were identified as outliers for the linear 248 regression between oyster mortality and  $T_{E5}$ . Reef #1 is located close to the Texas City Dike, a 249 250 levee extending 8 km southeast into the mouth of Galveston Bay. It was constructed in 1930s to 251 protect the Texas City Channel from siltation by sediments transported downstream in the bay. 252 The sediment core data show that more than 35 cm of Harvey sediment deposited just upstream 253 of the dike in the area around reef #1 (Fig. 3b). It is at least in part due to the presence of the 254 Texas City Dike, which hinders the transport of sediments from the main bay to West Bay and 255 thus facilitates the accumulation of sediments on the upstream side of the dike. The main reason 256 for the oyster kill at reef #1 is therefore likely sediment smothering rather than freshwater 257 soaking. As for reef #12 in Trinity Bay, poor conditions have been observed for many years prior 258 to Harvey with low abundance of live oysters and large amounts of black, buried shells (Texas 259 Parks & Wildlife Department, unpublished data). Our post-Harvey survey data also show that 260 most shells were empty and old at reef #12, for which a recent event like Harvey was not likely 261 to be responsible.

262

#### 263 **4. Discussion**

264 *4.1. Cause(s) for the massive oyster kill* 

265 Oyster kill in the coastal sea can be caused by a variety of processes, including viral
266 infection, sediment smothering (or siltation), reduction of river flow (leading to high salinity),

267 flooding (leading to low salinity), extreme weather, habitat destruction, and hypoxia (Mackenzie, 268 2007; La Peyre et al., 2013). During a storm event, the storm-induced sediment deposition and 269 flooding are presumably regarded as the two prominent causes for the subsequent oyster kill in 270 Galveston Bay. Field surveys suggest little evidence of sediment smothering, since black shells 271 (indicting mud deposition) were rare. The most probable reason accounting for the massive 272 oyster kill is the flooding-induced low-salinity exposure. This hypothesis is confirmed by the 273 significant positive relationship and spatial coherence between oyster mortality and low-salinity 274 exposure time (Fig. 3c). While it is always risky to use correlation analysis to indicate the 275 causality, the major conclusion regarding the cause of oyster kill during the storm events is still 276 valid as other prominent causes (e.g., hypoxia, viral infection) can be excluded. Hypoxia is rarely 277 observed in Galveston Bay, mostly because of the bay's shallow bathymetry (mean depth of 278 about 2.5m, see the bay's bathymetry in Fig. 1b). Viral infections are more frequently seen after 279 severe droughts and high salinity conditions, ant thus unlikely to happen following the 280 extraordinary freshwater load during Harvey.

281 The influence of exposure to low-salinity water has been examined in previous studies 282 with laboratory experiments that usually examined the response to constant salinities (Loosanoff 283 1953; Volety et al., 2003). The laboratory setting is unnatural as salinity in natural coastal water 284 fluctuates at tidal and subtidal time scales. The  $T_{E5}$ -mortality curve in Fig. 3c, therefore, would 285 be closer to the response in natural systems. Despite uncertainties associated with spatially 286 varying storm deposits, this curve can serve as an efficient guideline for a quick assessment of 287 the stress imposed on oysters by flooding events during the warm summer months. For example, 288 a 14-d exposure of low-salinity (5 PSU) may lead to 50% mortality under the water temperature 289 of 28±2.6°C (measured at the bay entrance in September 2017). For flooding events during the

290 cooler winter months or in cooler environments, the mortality under the same duration of low-291 salinity exposure is expected to be lower.

The impact of low-salinity exposure is also manifested in the typical spatial distribution of oysters along the salinity gradient in estuaries. Reefs located near the head of an estuary where salinity is low are sparsely populated due to frequent flooding and high mortality rates; the population is dense in the middle of estuaries with intermediate salinity; and the population becomes sparse toward the higher salinity waters near the mouth of the estuary where parasitic infection and predation pressure are high (Bergquist et al., 2006; Volety et al., 2009).

298 The effect of low-salinity exposure on oyster mortality depends highly on seawater 299 temperature. In explaining the summer mortality event of the Pacific oyster in France, Samain 300 and McCombie (2008) showed that mortalities occurred only when seawater temperature 301 exceeded a threshold of 19-20°C. Once temperatures exceed this threshold, oysters usually reach 302 the pre-spawning stage characterized by a negative energy budget: energetic resources attain 303 their lowest level whereas energy demand and reproductive effort are at their highest. In 304 addition, the haemocytes, the invertebrate blood cells involved in defense mechanisms, also 305 show their lowest performance when the temperature is high (Gagnaire et al., 2006). As a result, 306 the flooding event during the summertime, such as the case of Harvey, will have a more negative 307 impact on oyster survival compared to those during cooler seasons. A comparison study (La 308 Peyre et al., 2013) also showed dramatically different impacts on oysters at Breton Sound (near 309 Mississippi River outflow) of two flooding events, one during the hot summer month of 2010 310 (temperature >  $25^{\circ}$ C, high mortality) and the other during the spring of 2011 (temperature < 311 25°C, low mortality). It is worth noting that the influence of temperature during Harvey is 312 unlikely contribute to the spatial heterogeneity of oyster mortality, since the air and water

temperature are usually identical among different regions due to the limited length (~40 km) and
width (~40 km) of the bay.

315 Bivalves including oysters can cope with low salinity for a short period by releasing a 316 suite of stress response genes, closing their valves, and shifting to anaerobic metabolism to 317 isolate their internal tissues in order to maximize their survival under extreme conditions 318 (Michaelidis et al., 2005; Zhang and Zhang, 2012). Short-term exposure to low salinity 319 sometimes may even enhance the population by reducing predators (e.g., oyster drill, whelk) and 320 parasites (e.g., Perkinsus marinus) (La Peyre et al., 2003; Levinton et al., 2011; Pollack et al., 321 2011). However, if they need to close their valves for too long, the accumulation of toxic 322 compounds and the unfavorable energetic balance inside the shell will eventually lead to their 323 mortality.

324

# 325 4.2. Mechanisms for spatially-varying low-salinity exposure time

326 This study shows the important physical control (low-salinity exposure) on the oyster reef 327 ecosystem (oyster mortality). Then, what are the mechanisms for the low-salinity exposure to 328 vary spatially over a wide range from a few days to >30 d? Based on the decomposition of salt 329 flux at the bay entrance, Du and Park (2019) showed that it was the tidal pumping that was most 330 important for the salinity recovery in the bay after Harvey. The salt flux induced by two-layer 331 exchange flow was at least one order of magnitude smaller, with the exception immediately after 332 the input of extraordinarily large freshwater when a large horizontal salinity gradient existed 333 between the fresh bay and the salty coastal water (Fig. 9 in Du and Park, 2019). Because of a 334 small tidal range and the resultant weak tidal pumping, the overall salinity recovery was slow in

335 Trinity Bay and East Bay (recovery time > 3 months), while the salinity in the lower bay and336 near the ship channel recovered much more quickly.

Besides the weak tidal exchange, the highly confined geometry of the coastal bay system also hinders the estuary-shelf exchange. Galveston Bay is connected to the shelf seas with three narrow outlets. Even the main entrance that accounts for 80% of the water exchange is just 2.5 km wide. The narrow outlets and confined geometry amplify the impact of flooding events. The prolonged salinity recovery after major precipitations events is likely to occur for estuaries with similar geometry in the northern Gulf of Mexico, e.g., Apalachicola Bay, Mobile Bay,

343 Matagorda Bay, Aransas Bay, and Corpus Christi Bay.

344

# 345 4.3. Impact of stormwater input: Lessons learned from Harvey

346 Interesting and important questions for resource assessment and management are: (1) 347 how the bay will respond to different amounts of stormwater input; and (2) how much 348 stormwater the bay can receive without significantly increasing oyster mortality. The results for 349  $T_{E5}$  from a series of scenario runs with different amount of freshwater input (Fig. 4) provide 350 valuable information for these questions. As stormwater enters from the main rivers,  $T_{E5}$  starts to 351 increase first in the San Jacinto Estuary in the upper bay and at the mouth of Trinity River where 352 its discharge enters Trinity Bay, and high  $T_{E5}$  expands quickly in Trinity Bay with increasing 353 stormwater. With 50% of Harvey's stormwater (i.e.,  $0.5 \times \Delta Q$ ), the entire Trinity Bay has  $T_{E5}$ 354 larger than two weeks. The results of numerical experiments also suggest that most of the oyster 355 reefs in the bay may be able to sustain 20-30% of  $\Delta Q$  (i.e., 2.8-4.2×10<sup>9</sup> m<sup>3</sup> discharge over a 356 similar duration as with Harvey). With 20%-30% of  $\Delta Q$ ,  $T_{E5}$  are larger than 7 d only in the regions where there are no reefs with live oysters (Fig. 4c,d). 357

358 The relationship between the bay bottom area with  $T_{E5} > 7$  or 14 d and the amount of 359 stormwater input (Fig. 4i) provides another valuable information for the two important questions. 360 The bay bottom area with  $T_{E5} > 7$  or 14 d increases as stormwater input increases but in a 361 nonlinear way.  $\Delta Q$  is about 3.7 times of bay's volume, meaning that 1/3.7 (27%) of  $\Delta Q$  would be large enough to flush the entire bay. As a result, the area with  $T_{E5} > 7$  or 14 d increases rapidly 362 and almost linearly when stormwater increases from 10% to 40% of  $\Delta Q$ , beyond which the rate 363 364 of increase reduces. When exceeding 27% of  $\Delta Q$ , more freshwater coming in will not make the 365 bay fresher, but it can result in fresher shelf water (Du and Park, 2019) and hence will increase 366  $T_{E5}$  but with a slower rate.

367 It is worthy noting that about half of the freshwater load into Galveston Bay during 368 Harvey was through surface runoff along the coastline and groundwater (Du et al., 2019a). 369 Groundwater is increasingly recognized as an important freshwater and nutrient source to coastal waters (Moore et al., 2010; Marazuela et al., 2018; Luijendijk et al., 2020). While it is hard to 370 371 isolate the groundwater's contribution, the influence of groundwater input is presumably more 372 long-lasting than the surface runoff. However, considering the intensive urbanization around 373 Galveston Bay, the impact of groundwater is likely less than what one would expect in a rural 374 coastal area.

375 4.4. Flooding induced oyster kill: a worldwide problem

Massive oyster kill caused by prolonged exposure to low-salinity water is not unique to Galveston Bay. It has been reported worldwide (Fig. 5). For example, Hurricane Irene and Tropical Storm Lee (2011) generated extreme flooding in the Delaware River and led to high oyster mortality (10-50%) in the upper Delaware Bay (Munroe et al., 2013). Most of the documented oyster kills were caused by prolonged low-salinity exposure after flooding (Table 381 1). Besides hurricanes, other processes could also cause flooding in coastal waters. Oyster 382 mortality due to opening of flood-control spillways has been reported in multiple coastal waters 383 (e.g., La Peyre et al., 2013; Gledhill et al., 2020). For instance, after the Deep Horizon drilling 384 platform explosion in April 2010, a large volume of Mississippi River freshwater was released 385 from diversion structures to keep oil from reaching sensitive coastal wetlands. As a consequence, oyster densities in coastal waters near the Mississippi Delta reached an extreme low in 2010, 386 387 with little recovery in 2011 and 2012 (Grabowski et al., 2017; Powers et al., 2017). Note that the 388 documented oyster kill events in Table 1 likely represent a small portion of the impact of floods 389 on oyster reefs around the world, since oyster mortality in many coastal systems is not as 390 systematically monitored as is done for Galveston Bay by Texas Parks & Wildlife Department. 391 The future climate, characterized with more frequency of extreme weather events (e.g.,

marine heat wave, severe drought, intense storms), is expected to pose additional pressure to the native coastal oyster reefs that are already at the brink of functional extinction worldwide due to centuries of resource extraction and coastal habitat degradation (Beck et al., 2011). More restoration and management efforts are needed to reverse the loss of oyster reefs and restore the vital ecosystem services provided by oyster reefs.

397

## 398 Concluding Remarks

The massive oyster kill in Galveston Bay after Harvey sheds light on how an extreme weather event could impact coastal ecosystems and resources. Changes in physical conditions, particularly the salinity, after either natural or human-controlled flooding events, could greatly affect the survival of benthic organisms, such as oysters. The impact of flooding events is particularly drastic for coastal systems with low flushing capacity (e.g., a lagoon-type estuary).

404 Such coastal systems are widely distributed around the world. With the increasing frequency of

405 extreme weather events as projected by climate models, ecosystems in this type of coastal

406 environment will likely face more challenges in the future.

407

# 408 Acknowledgements

- 409 This study is funded in part by the Texas Coastal Management Program, the Texas General Land
- 410 Office and NOAA for partial funding of this project through CMP Contract #19-040-000-B074.
- 411 J. Du is supported by NSF OCE-1634965. The numerical simulation was performed on the high-
- 412 performance computer cluster at the College of William and Mary. Data for oyster mortality rate
- 413 and Harvey flood sediment thickness are presented in the Supplementary materials. The
- 414 hydrodynamic model outputs are available upon request to the corresponding author.
- 415

# 416 **References:**

- 417 Atallah, E. H., & Bosart, L. F. (2003). The extratropical transition and precipitation distribution
- 418 of Hurricane Floyd (1999). *Monthly Weather Review*, *131*, 1063-1081.
- 419 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(2003)131<1063:TETAPD>2.0.CO;2
- Beck, M. W., Brumbaugh, R. D., Airoldi, L., Carranza, A., Coen, L. D., Crawford, C., Defeo, O.,
  Edgar, G. J., Hancock, B. Kay, M. C., Lenihan, H. S., Luckenbach, M. W., Toropova, C. L.,
  Zhang, G., & Guo, X. (2011). Oyster reefs at risk and recommendations for conservation,
- 423 restoration, and management. *BioScience*, *61*(2), 107-116.
- 424 https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2011.61.2.5
- Bergquist, D. C., Hale, J. A., Baker, P., & Baker, S. M. (2006). Development of ecosystem
  indicators for the Suwannee River estuary: Oyster reef habitat quality along a salinity
  gradient. *Estuaries and Coasts*, 29, 353-360. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02784985
- Biggs, C. R., Lowerre-Barbieri, S. K., & Erisman, B. (2018). Reproductive resilience of an
  estuarine fish in the eye of a hurricane. *Biology Letters*, 14, 20180569.
- 430 https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2018.0579

- Burge, C. A., Griffin, F. J., & Friedman, C. S. (2006). Mortality and herpesvirus infections of the
   Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* in Tomales Bay, California, USA. *Diseases of Aquatic* Organisms, 72(1), 31-43. <u>https://doi.org/10.3354/dao072031</u>
- 434 Cake, Jr., E.W. (1983). Habitat suitability index models: Gulf of Mexico American oyster. U.S.
- 435 Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, FWS/OBS-82/10.57, 37 p.
- 436 https://www.yumpu.com/en/document/read/30478865/habitat-suitability-index-models-gulf-
- 437 of-mexico-american-oyster
- 438 Cardoso, P. G., Raffaelli, D., Lillebø, A. I., Verdelhos, T., & Pardal, M. A. (2008). The impact of
   439 extreme flooding events and anthropogenic stressors on the macrobenthic communities'
- 440 dynamics. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 76(3), 553-565.
- 441 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2007.07.026
- 442 Carrere, L., Lyard, F., Cancet, M., & Guillot, A. (2015). FES 2014, a new tidal model on the
- 443 global ocean with enhanced accuracy in shallow seas and in the Arctic region. In: Abstracts
- 444 of the EGU General Assembly 2015, Vienna, Austria, April 12-17, 2015.
- 445 http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015EGUGA..17.5481C
- Chanley, P. E. (1957). Survival of some juvenile bivalves in water of low salinity. *Proceedings of the National Shellfisheries Association*, 48, 52-65.
- Cheney, D. P., MacDonald, B. F., & Elston, R. A. (2000). Summer mortality of Pacific oysters, *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg): Initial finding on multiple environmental stressors in Puget
  Sound, Washington, 1998. *Journal of Shellfish Research*, 19, 353-359.
- 451 Cheng, B. S., Chang, A. L., Deck, A., & Ferner, M. C. (2016). Atmospheric rivers and the mass
  452 mortality of wild oysters: insight into an extreme future? *Proceedings of the Royal Society*453 *B: Biological Sciences*, 283(1844), 20161462. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.1462
- 454 Colden, A. M., & Lipcius, R. N. (2015). Lethal and sublethal effects of sediment burial on the
  455 eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 527, 105-117.
  456 http://doi.org/10.3354/meps11244
- de Kantzow, M. C., Hick, P. M., Dhand, N. K., & Whittington, R. J. (2017). Risk factors for
  mortality during the first occurrence of Pacific Oyster Mortality Syndrome due to *Ostreid herpesvirus 1* in Tasmania, 2016. *Aquaculture*, 468, 328-336.
- 460 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.10.025
- 461 Dellapenna, T. M., Hoelscher, C., Hill, L., Al Mukaimi, M. E., & Knap, A. (2020). How tropical
   462 cyclone flooding caused erosion and dispersal of mercury-contaminated sediment in an
- 463 urban estuary: The impact of Hurricane Harvey on Buffalo Bayou and the San Jacinto
- 464 Estuary, Galveston Bay, USA. *Science of the Total Environment*, 748, 141226.
- 465 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141226

- 466 Diggles, B. K. (2013). Historical epidemiology indicates water quality decline drives loss of
   467 oyster (*Saccostrea glomerata*) reefs in Moreton Bay, Australia. *New Zealand Journal of* 468 *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 47(4), 561-581.
- 469 https://doi.org/10.1080/00288330.2013.781511
- Donat, M. G., Lowry, A. L., Alexander, L. V., O'Gorman, P. A., & Maher, N. (2016). More
  extreme precipitation in the world's dry and wet regions. *Nature Climate Change*, 6(5), 508513. http://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2941
- 473 Du, J., Park, K., Dellapenna, T. M., & Clay, J. M. (2019a). Dramatic hydrodynamic and
  474 sedimentary responses in Galveston Bay and adjacent inner shelf to Hurricane Harvey.
  475 Science of the Total Environment, 653, 554-564.
  476 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.403
- 477 Du, J., Park, K., Dellapenna, T. M., & Clay, J. M. (2019b) Corrigendum to "Dramatic
  478 hydrodynamic and sedimentary responses in Galveston Bay and adjacent inner shelf to
  479 Hurricane Harvey" [*Sci. Total Environ.* 653 (2019), 554-564]. *Science of the Total*480 *Environment*, 697, 134219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134219
- 481 Du, J., Park, K., Shen, J., Zhang, Y. J., Yu, X., Ye, F., Wang, Z., & Rabalais, N. N. (2019c). A
  482 hydrodynamic model for Galveston Bay and the shelf in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico.
  483 *Ocean Science*, 15, 951-966. https://doi.org/10.5194/os-2019-9
- 484 Du, J., & Park, K. (2019). Estuarine salinity recovery from an extreme precipitation event:
  485 Hurricane Harvey in Galveston Bay. *Science of the Total Environment*, 670, 1049-1059.
  486 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.265B
- 487 Du, J., Park, K., Yu, X., Zhang, Y.J., Ye, F. (2020). Massive pollutants released to Galveston
  488 Bay during Hurricane Harvey: Understanding their retention and pathway using Lagrangian
  489 numerical simulations. Science of the Total Environment,704, 135364.
  490 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135364
- Feaster, T. D., Weaver, J. C., Gotvald, A. J., & Kolb, K. R. (2018). Preliminary peak stage and
  streamflow data for selected U.S. Geological Survey streamgaging stations in North and
  South Carolina for flooding following Hurricane Florence, September 2018. U.S. Geological
  Survey Open-File Report 2018-1172. https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr20181172
- Ford, S. E., Cummings, M. J., & Powell, E. N. (2006). Estimating mortality in natural
  assemblages of oysters. *Estuaries and Coasts*, 29(3), 361-374.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02784986
- 498 Gagnaire, H., Frouin, K., Moreau, K., Thomas-Guyon, H., & Renault, T. (2006). Effects of
- 499 temperature and salinity on haemocyte activities of the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*
- 500 (Thunberg). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 20, 536-547.
- 501 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2005.07.003

- Gledhill, J. H., Barnett, A. F., Slattery, M., Willett, K. L., Easson, G. L., Otts, S. S., & Gochfeld,
  D. J. (2020). Mass mortality of the eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica* in the western
  Mississippi Sound following unprecedented Mississippi River flooding in 2019. *Journal of Shellfish Research*, 39(2), 235-244. https://doi.org/10.2983/035.039.0205
- Grabowski, J. H., Powers, S. P., Roman, H., & Rouhani, S. (2017). Potential impacts of the 2010
   Deepwater Horizon oil spill on subtidal oysters in the Gulf of Mexico. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 576, 163-174. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12208
- 509 Holmgren, M., Stapp, P., Dickman, C. R., Gracia, C., Graham, S., Gutiérrez, J. R., Hice, C.,
- 510 Jaksic, F., Kelt, D. A., Letnic, M., Lima, M., López, B. C., Meserve, P. L., Milstead, W. B.,
- 511 Polis, G. A., Previtali, M. A., Richter, M., Sabaté, S., & Squeo, F. A. (2007). Extreme
- 512 climatic events shape arid and semiarid ecosystems. *Frontiers in Ecology and the*
- 513 *Environment*, 4, 87-95. http://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295(2006)004[0087:ecesaa]2.0.co;2
- 514 Huang, W., Ye, F., Zhang, Y. J., Park, K., Du, J., Moghimi, S., Myers, E., Pe'eri, S., Calzada, J.
- 515 R., Yu, H.C., Nunez, K., & Liu, Z. (2021). Compounding factors for extreme flooding
- around Galveston Bay during Hurricane Harvey. *Ocean Modelling*, 158, 101735.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2020.101735
- 518 Hughes, L. (2000). Biological consequences of global warming: Is the signal already apparent?
- 519
   Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 15(2), 56-61. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(99)01764-520">http://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(99)01764-520</a>

   519
   <u>4</u>
- Imai, T., Numachi, K. I., Oizumi, J., & Sato, S. (1965). Studies on the mass mortality of the
  oyster in Matsushima Bay: II. Search for the cause of mass mortality and the possibility to
  prevent it by transplantation experiment. *Bulletin of the Tohoku National Fisheries Research Institute*, 25, 27-38.
- 525 King, W. L., Jenkins, C., Go, J., Siboni, N., Seymour, J. R., & Labbate, M. (2019).
- 526 Characterisation of the Pacific oyster microbiome during a summer mortality event.
   527 *Microbial Ecology*, 77(2), 502-512. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-018-1226-9
- Knight, D. B., & Davis, R. E. (2009). Contribution of tropical cyclones to extreme rainfall events
  in the southeastern United States. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *114*,
  D23102. http://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD012511
- Lacoste, A., Jalabert, F., Malham, S., Cueff, A., Gélébart, F., Cordevant, C., Lange, M. & Poulet,
  S. A. (2001). A *Vibrio splendidus* strain is associated with summer mortality of juvenile
  oysters *Crassostrea gigas* in the Bay of Morlaix (North Brittany, France). *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 46(2), 139-145. https://doi.org/10.3354/dao046139
- La Peyre, M. K., Nickens, A. D., Volety, A. K., Tolley, G. S., & La Peyre, J. F. (2003).
  Environmental significance of freshets in reducing *Perkinsus marinus* infection in eastern
  oysters *Crassostrea virginica*: Potential management applications. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 248, 165-176. http://doi.org/10.3354/meps248165

- 539 La Peyre, M. K., Eberline, B. S., Soniat, T. M., & La Peyre, J. F. (2013). Differences in extreme low salinity timing and duration differentially affect eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*) 540 541 size class growth and mortality in Breton Sound, LA. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science,
- 542 135, 146-157. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2013.10.001
- 543 Lenihan, H. S., Peterson, C. H., Byers, J. E., Grabowski, J. H., Thayer, G. W., & Colby, D. R. (2001). Cascading of habitat degradation: Oyster reefs invaded by refugee fishes escaping 544 545 stress. Ecological Applications, 11(3), 764-782. http://doi.org/10.1890/1051-
- 546 0761(2001)011[0764:COHDOR]2.0.CO;2
- Levinton, J., Doall, M., Ralston, D., Starke, A., & Allam, B. (2011). Climate change, 547 precipitation and impacts on an estuarine refuge from disease. *PLoS ONE*, 6(4), e18849. 548 549 http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0018849
- Loosanoff, V. L. (1953). Behavior of oysters in water of low salinities. Proceedings of the 550 551 National Shellfish Association, 43, 135-151.

Lowe, M., Sehlinger, T., Soniat, T., & La Peyre, M. (2017). Interactive effects of water 552 temperature and salinity on growth and mortality of eastern oysters, Crassostrea virginica: 553 A meta-analysis using 40 years of monitoring data. Journal of Shellfish Research, 36(3), 554 683-697. http://doi.org/10.2983/035.036.0318 555

- 556 Luijendijk, E., Gleeson, T., & Moosdorf, N. (2020). Fresh groundwater discharge insignificant 557 for the world's oceans but important for coastal ecosystems. *Nature Communications*, 11(1), 558 1260. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15064-8
- 559 MacKenzie, C. L., Jr., Burrell, V. G., Jr., Rosenfield, A. & Hobart, W. L. (1997). The history, 560 present condition, and future of the molluscan fisheries of North and Central America and
- Europe, Vol. 1, Atlantic and Gulf Coasts. NOAA Technical Report NMFS 127, U.S. 561
- Department of Commerce, Seattle, Washington. 562
- 563 https://spo.nmfs.noaa.gov/sites/default/files/tr127opt.pdf
- 564 Clyde L. Machkenzie, JR., C. L. M. (2007). Causes underlying the historical decline in Eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginia Gmelin, 1791) landings. Journal of Shellfish Research, 26(4), 565
- 566 927-938. https://doi.org/10.2983/0730-8000(2007)26[927:CUTHDI]2.0.CO;2
- Malham, S. K., Cotter, E., O'Keeffe, S., Lynch, S., Culloty, S. C., King, J. W., Latchford, J. W., 567
- & Beaumont, A. R. (2009). Summer mortality of the Pacific oyster, Crassostrea gigas, in 568
- 569 the Irish Sea: The influence of temperature and nutrients on health and survival.
- 570 Aquaculture, 287, 128-138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2008.10.006
- 571 Marazuela, M. A., Vázquez-Suñé, E., Custodio, E., Palma, T., García-Gil, A., & Ayora, C.
- (2018). 3D mapping, hydrodynamics and modelling of the freshwater-brine mixing zone in 572 salt flats similar to the Salar de Atacama (Chile). Journal of Hydrology, 561, 223–235. 573 574 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.04.010

- Moore, W. S. (2010). The Effect of Submarine Groundwater Discharge on the Ocean. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 2(1), 59–88. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-120308 081019
- Menzel, R. W., Hulings, N. C., & Hathaway, R. R. (1966). Oyster abundance in Apalachicola
  Bay, Florida in relation to biotic associations influenced by salinity and other factors. *Gulf Research Reports*, 2(2), 73-96. http://doi.org/10.18785/grr.0202.01
- Michaelidis, B., Haas, D., & Grieshaber, M. K. (2005). Extracellular and intracellular acid-base
  status with regard to the energy metabolism in the oyster *Crassostrea gigas* during exposure
  to air. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, 78(3), 373-383.
  https://doi.org/10.1086/430223
- Munroe, D., Tabatabai, A., Burt, I., Bushek, D., Powell, E. N., & Wilkin, J. (2013). Oyster
  mortality in Delaware Bay: Impacts and recovery from Hurricane Irene and Tropical Storm
  Lee. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 135*, 209-219.
- 588 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2013.10.011
- Newell, R. I. E., & Jordan, S. J. (1983). Preferential ingestion of organic material by the
   American oyster *Crassostrea virginica*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 13, 47-53.
   <a href="http://doi.org/10.3354/meps013047">http://doi.org/10.3354/meps013047</a>
- Ogburn, D. M., White, I., & Mcphee, D. P. (2007). The disappearance of oyster reefs from
   eastern Australian estuaries—Impact of colonial settlement or mudworm invasion? *Coastal Management*, 35(2–3), 271-287. https://doi.org/10.1080/08920750601169618
- Paerl, H. W., Bales, J. D., Ausley, L. W., Buzzelli, C. P., Crowder, L. B., Eby, L. A., Fear, J. M.,
  Go, M., Peierls, B. L., Richardson, T. L., & Ramus, J. S. (2001). Ecosystem impacts of
  three sequential hurricanes (Dennis, Floyd, and Irene) on the United States' largest lagoonal
  estuary, Pamlico Sound, NC. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*,
  98(10), 5655-5660. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.101097398
- Pernet, F., Barret, J., Marty, C., Moal, J., Le Gall, P., & Boudry, P. (2010). Environmental
  anomalies, energetic reserves and fatty acid modifications in oysters coincide with an
  exceptional mortality event. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 401, 129-146.
  https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08407
- Pfahl, S., O'Gorman, P. A., & Fischer, E. M. (2017). Understanding the regional pattern of
  projected future changes in extreme precipitation. *Nature Climate Change*, 7(6), 423-427.
  http://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3287
- Piazza, B. P., Banks, P. D., & La Peyre, M. K. (2005). The potential for created oyster shell reefs
  as a sustainable shoreline protection strategy in Louisiana. *Restoration Ecology*, *13*(3), 499506. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1526-100X.2005.00062.x

- Pollack, J. B., Kim, H.-C., Morgan, E. K., & Montagna, P. A. (2011). Role of flood disturbance
  in natural oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*) population maintenance in an estuary in south
  Texas, USA. *Estuaries and Coasts*, 34(1), 187-197. http://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-0109338-6
- Powell, E. N., Klinck, J. M., Hofmann, E. E., & Ray, S. M. (1994). Modeling oyster populations.
  IV: Rates of mortality, population crashes, and management. *Fishery Bulletin*, 92(2), 347-
- 616 373. https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/ccpo\_pubs/84
- Powers, S. P., Grabowski, J. H., Roman, H., Geggel, A., Rouhani, S., Oehrig, J., & Baker, M.
  (2017). Consequences of large-scale salinity alteration during the Deepwater Horizon oil
  spill on subtidal oyster populations. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 576, 175-187.
  https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12147
- Risser, M. D., & Wehner, M. F. (2017). Attributable human-induced changes in the likelihood
   and magnitude of the observed extreme precipitation during Hurricane Harvey. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(24), 12457-12464. http://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL075888
- Samain, J.-F., & McCombie, H. (editors) (2008). Summer Mortality of Pacific Oyster
   *Crassostrea gigas*: The Morest Project (Synthèses). Ifremer/Quæ Editions, Versailles.
- Steichen, J. L., Labonté, J. M., Windham, R., Hala, D., Kaiser, K., Setta, S., Faulkner, P. C.,
  Bacosa, H., Yan, G., Kamalanathan, M., & Quigg, A. (2020) Microbial, physical, and
  chemical changes in Galveston Bay following an extreme flooding event, Hurricane Harvey. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 7, 186. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00186
- Trenberth, K. E., Cheng, L., Jacobs, P., Zhang, Y., & Fasullo, J. (2018). Hurricane Harvey links
  to ocean heat content and climate change adaptation. *Earth's Future*, *6*, 730-744.
  http://doi.org/10.1029/2018EF000825
- Turner, R. E. (2006). Will lowering estuarine salinity increase Gulf of Mexico oyster landings?
   *Estuaries and Coasts*, 29(3), 345-352. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02784984
- Valle-Levinson, A., Olabarrieta, M., & Heilman, L. (2020). Compound flooding in HoustonGalveston Bay during Hurricane Harvey. *Science of the Total Environment*, 747, 141272.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141272
- van Oldenborgh, G. J., van der Wiel, K., Sebastian, A., Singh, R., Arrighi, J., Otto, F., Haustein,
  K., Li, S., Vecchi, G., & Cullen, H. (2018). Corrigendum: Attribution of extreme rainfall
- 640 from Hurricane Harvey, August 2017 (2017 *Environ. Res. Lett.*, *12*, 124009).
- 641 Environmental Research Letters, 13, 019501. https://doi.org/doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aaa343
- Volety, A. K., Tolley, S. G., & Winstead, J. T. (2003). Effects of seasonal and water quality
  parameters on oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*) and associated fish populations in the
  Caloosahatchee River. Interpretive / Final Report (Award No.: C-12412-A1) submitted to
- 645 the South Florida Water Management District.

- Volety, A., Savarese, S., Tolley, S. G., Arnold, W. S., Sime, P., Goodman, P., Chamberlain, R.
  H., & Doering, P. H. (2009). Eastern oysters (Crassostrea virginica) as an indicator for restoration of Everglades Ecosystems. Ecological Indicators, 9(6), S120-S136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2008.06.005
- Wetz, M. S., & Yoskowitz, D. W. (2013). An 'extreme' future for estuaries? Effects of extreme
  climatic events on estuarine water quality and ecology. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 69(1–2),
  7–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.01.020
- Weyhenmeyer, G. A., Willén E., & Sonesten, L. (2004). Effects of an extreme precipitation
  event on water chemistry and phytoplankton in the Swedish Lake Mälaren. *Boreal Environment Research*, 9, 409-420. http://www.borenv.net/BER/pdfs/ber9/ber9-409.pdf
- Wilber, D. H. (1992). Associations between freshwater inflows and oyster productivity in
  Apalachicola Bay, Florida. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 35*, 179-190.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7714(05)80112-X\_
- Ye, F., Zhang, Y. J., Wang, H. V., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Irby, I. D., Alteljevich, E., ValleLevinson, A., Wang, Z., Huang, H., Shen, J., & Du, J. (2018). A 3D unstructured-grid model
  for Chesapeake Bay: Importance of bathymetry. *Ocean Modelling*, *127*, 16–39.
  http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2018.05.002
- Kang, Y. J., Ateljevich, E., Yu, H. C., Wu, C. H., & Yu, J. C. S. (2015). A new vertical
  coordinate system for a 3D unstructured-grid model. *Ocean Modelling*, 85, 16–31.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2014.10.003
- Zhang, Y. J., Ye, F., Stanev, E. V, & Grashorn, S. (2016). Seamless cross-scale modeling with
   SCHISM. *Ocean Modelling*, *102*, 64–81. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2016.05.002
- Kang, Z., & Zhang, Q. (2012). Molecular cloning, characterization and expression of heat shock
  protein 70 gene from the oyster *Crassostrea hongkongensis* responding to thermal stress and
  exposure of Cu<sup>2+</sup> and malachite green. *Gene*, 497(2), 172–180.
  http://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2012.01.058
- zu Ermgassen, P. S. E., Spalding, M. D., Grizzle, R. E., & Brumbaugh, R. D. (2013).
  Quantifying the loss of a marine ecosystem service: Filtration by the eastern oyster in US
  estuaries. *Estuaries and Coasts*, *36*(1), 36–43. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-012-9559-v
- 675
- 676

677

**Fig. 1**: Salinity variation during Hurricane Harvey. (a) Track of Hurricane Harvey with the

679 model domain (red dashed rectangle), (b) the zoom-in of Galveston Bay showing the nodes of

680 the unstructured model grid (colored dots showing depths), names of sub-bays, and locations of

681 four salinity monitoring stations (solid green squares), and (**c-f**) time series of salinity at the four

- stations. Salinity data in (c-f) clearly show the entire bay had been virtually fresh over several
  days, with the blue shades denoting the period when salinity was continuously lower than a
- 683 days, with the blue shades denoting the period684 critical threshold value of 5 PSU.
- 685

**Fig. 2**: Observed oyster mortality rate. (a) The oyster mortality rate at 130 sampling sites in

687 October 2017, a month after the extreme precipitation by Hurricane Harvey, and (b) changes in

- 688 oyster mortality rate before and after Hurricane Harvey at 12 major reefs. In (a), the numbers
- 689 indicate the 12 major oyster reef complexes with different symbols indicating different reef

690 complexes. In (b), the post-Harvey mortality rates were measured in October 2017, a month after691 Hurricane Harvey, while the pre-Harvey values were based on measurements in the fall of 2016.

692

693 Fig. 3: Relationship between oyster mortality vs. low-salinity exposure time and storm sediment

694 deposit. (a) Low-salinity exposure time for bottom water with a threshold of 5 PSU ( $T_{E5}$ ) based

695 on simulations from a previously calibrated 3D hydrodynamic model and (b) sediment deposit

696 thickness based on the x-ray analysis of sediment cores collected from 56 locations ( $\bullet$ ), and (**c-d**) 607 their relationships with the system mortality rate with the linear regression (collid line) and 0.5%

their relationships with the oyster mortality rate with the linear regression (solid line) and 95%confidence interval (dashed lines). Note that the regression analysis in (c) does not include the

699 two outliers, reefs #1 and #12. At reef #1, sediment deposit is thicker than 35 cm, and the high

700 mortality was likely to be caused mostly by sediment smothering (see the text). At reef #12, most

701 of the oysters collected were old shells.

702

**Fig. 4**: Results of model scenario runs with different stormwater input. (**a-h**) Distribution of the low-salinity exposure time with a threshold salinity of 5 PSU ( $T_{E5}$ ) for different amounts of stormwater, i.e., 0%, 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 100% and 200% of the Harvey's stormwater  $\Delta Q$  and (**i**) the bay bottom area with  $T_{E5} > 7$  d (solid line) and 14 d (dashed line) as a function of stormwater input.

708

709 Fig. 5: Documented oyster kill (red text) and the health condition of worldwide oyster reefs

- 710 (filled circles) from Beck et al. (2011).
- 711

Location	Time	Description	References
Moreton Bay, Australia	Late 19 <sup>th</sup> century	Declined oyster reefs after major flood events in the late 19 <sup>th</sup> century.	Diggles (2013)
Matsushima Bay, Japan	1958	Severe moralities were reported following the 1958 El Nino.	Imai et al. (1965)
Apalachiocola Bay, US	1960 -1984	Oyster landing was low in years when flows exceeded 30,000 cfs for 100 days or more.	Wilber (1992)
Northern Gulf of Mexico, US	1950 -2003	Oyster harvests in five major estuaries were inversely related to freshwater inflow. Most of the lows in landing (17 of 19) coincided with peaks in discharge of major rivers feeding their estuaries. Opening of Bonnet Carré spillway lowered oyster landing in Mississippi.	Turner (2006)
Puget Sound, US	1998	Oyster mortality was high in the late summer when dissolved oxygen was low.	Cheney et al. (2000)
Morlaix Bay, France	1999	The mortality was associated with <i>Vibrio</i> strain, whose effect was more serious under higher temperature.	Lacoste et al. (2001)
Wonboyn Lake, Australia	2002	An unprecedented mortality (15-100%) of Sydney rock oyster in aquaculture zones was associated with severe inflammation, which was possibly caused by bloom of dinoflagellate.	Ogburn et al. (2007)
Tomales Bay, US	2003	High levels of mortality were observed and related to the presence of <i>Ostreid herpesirus</i> , whose impact appeared to be stronger when temperature was higher.	Burge et al. (2006)
Bannow Bay and Dungarvan Harbour, Ireland	2003	Mass mortality event (>20%) of oyster occurred and was associated with high temperature and high nutrient.	Malham et al. (2009)
Mission–Aransas Estuary, US	2007	Flood event caused reduction of oyster abundance. Oyster population recovered within 1 year.	Pollack et al. (2011)
Thau lagoon, France	2008	A major oyster mortality event coincided with a nationwide increase of ~1.5°C in winter seawater temperature.	Pernet et al. (2010)
Breton Sound, Louisiana, US	2010	Management responses to Deepwater Horizon oil spill caused an extended low-salinity (<5 PSU) in hot summer months, which led to a high mortality and low recruitment of oyster.	La Peyre et al. (2013)
Delaware Bay, US	2011	Two storm events (Hurricane Irene and Tropical Storm Lee) generated extreme flooding in Delaware River and caused prolonged baywide low salinity. Monthly mortality was up to 55%.	Munroe et al. (2013)
Sanfrancisco Bay, US	2011	A series of atmospheric river made landfall within California, driving an extreme freshwater discharge and leading to nearly 100% of oyster mortality at the northern bay.	Cheng et al. (2016)
Port Stephens, Australia	2013 -2014	Spatial variations in oyster microbiome were characterized by the relative abundance of pathogenic bacteria.	King et al. (2019)
Tasmania, Australia	2016	Ostreid herpesvirus-derived mortality linked with the long and intense marine heat wave.	de Kantzow et al. (2017)
Mississippi Sound, US	2019	The mortality event was caused by opening of Bonnet Carré Spillway to release pressure from high discharge of Mississippi River on New Orleans.	Gledhill et al. (2020)

**Table 1:** A list of reported major oyster kill events.













