Optimization of Residential Green Space for Environmental Sustainability and Property Appreciation in Metropolitan Phoenix, Arizona

Chuyuan Wang^a, V. Kelly Turner^b, Elizabeth A. Wentz^{c,d}, Qunshan Zhao^e, Soe W. Myint^c

^a Department of Geography and Environmental Planning, College of Liberal Arts, Towson

University, Towson, MD 21252, USA

^b Urban Planning Department, Luskin School of Public Affairs and Luskin Center for Innovation, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

^c School of Geographical Sciences and Urban Planning, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287, USA

^d Knowledge Exchange for Resilience, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287, USA

^e Urban Big Data Centre, School of Social and Political Sciences, University of Glasgow,

Glasgow, G12 8RZ, UK

* Corresponding author. E-mail: cwang@towson.edu

1Optimization of Residential Green Space for Environmental Sustainability and Property2Appreciation in Metropolitan Phoenix, Arizona

3

5 **1. Introduction**

6 Urban regions in the United States are dominated by residential land, which creates challenges 7 and opportunities for sustainable land management due to the preponderance of outdoor space in yards. Studies estimated that approximately 65% of all urban land is devoted to single-family 8 9 residential neighborhoods and it is the most prevalent zoning in areas slated for future 10 development (Burchell & Shad, 1998; Burchell & Mukherji, 2003; Hirt, 2014). Residential land 11 use is often associated with proliferating turf grass in the continental U.S., which in many regions require extensive irrigation to maintain (Milesi et al., 2005; Cook and Faeth, 2006). This 12 13 is particularly true in the arid U.S. Southwest, where precipitation can be 18 cm or less per year 14 (Sheppard et al., 2002). Nevertheless, irrigated landscaping provides both environmental benefits such as lower temperatures (Wang et al., 2016; Wang, 2018) and economic benefits such as 15 higher home values (Kestens et al., 2004, Mei et al., 2018). Research is therefore needed to better 16 17 understand both the relationships and tradeoffs between vegetation cover, land surface temperature, water use, and home values. 18

19 Generally, green infrastructure contributes to a range of ecosystem services in cities (e.g., 20 habitat provisioning, stormwater regulation, carbon sequestration), though the mix and extent of 21 services depends on vegetative type and management, and homogenous turf landscapes likely 22 provide nominal ecological benefits (Larson et al., 2016; Groffman et al., 2017). Green 23 infrastructure can also provide socioeconomic and health benefits. For illustration, large public 24 green spaces can influence social capital by providing an environmental-friendly gathering place 25 for residents to develop and maintain neighborhood social ties (Kweon et al., 1998; Kuo et al., 26 1998; Maas et al., 2009). The presence of green vegetation can also significantly contribute to 27 residents' sense of social safety and adjustment (Kuo et al., 1998). In addition, neighborhood parks and views of natural landscapes have positive contributions to home values (Lo and Faber, 28 29 1997; Escobedo et al. 2015). From a public health perspective, urban green spaces can not only 30 help maintain physical health, but also improves mental functioning, mental health and wellbeing 31 (Sugiyama et al., 2008).

32 Despite all the environmental, socioeconomic and health benefits of urban green 33 infrastructure, vegetation requires a significant amount of water for irrigation, adding demand for 34 scarce water resources, especially in hot, arid desert cities. Research has shown that Americans irrigate more acres of turf than its largest three crops-corn, wheat, and soy-combined (Milesi 35 36 et al., 2005). In desert cities, Myint et al. (2013) studied the impacts of grass fraction and tree 37 fraction on surface temperature for the City of Phoenix and found that trees had a stronger 38 cooling effect than grass. Middel et al. (2015) reported that a targeted 25% tree cover in Phoenix 39 residential neighborhoods would yield a reduction of up to 2 °C at the canopy layer (2 meters 40 above the surface). Moreover, vegetation is correlated with higher property values both at the 41 individual parcel and within the neighborhood (Bark et al., 2011; Escobedo et al., 2015), which 42 provides an economic benefit for property owners, but creates a trade-off with housing 43 affordability and homeownership attainment. Resolving these trade-offs will require better 44 understanding of the interrelationships among vegetation structure, temperature, water use, and 45 property value.

46 Multiple studies have examined relationships among environmental and economic 47 variables, but never in a single study and without the focus on residential neighborhoods. For 48 instance, several studies examined the relationship between the composition and configuration of 49 urban land use land cover and land surface temperature (LST), finding that the relationship 50 varies depending on land use and region (Connors et al., 2013; Rotem-Mindali et al., 2015, 51 Schwarz and Manceur, 2015; Li et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019). However, most studies analyzed 52 the cooling effect of vegetation at global or regional scales regardless of various vegetation 53 types, with a few exceptions that examined trees only (Myint et al., 2013, Middel et al., 2015). 54 Similarly, studies have examined relationships between vegetative cover, LST, and outdoor 55 water use (OWU) finding that small decreases in temperature are associated with large increases 56 in water use (Guhathakurta and Gober, 2007; Kaplan et al., 2014; Wang, 2018). These studies do 57 not disambiguate vegetative cover type but have shown that native shrubs are well adapted to the 58 desert climate that can thrive without much rainfall or irrigation (Martin, 2001; Stabler and 59 Martin, 2002). Additionally, vegetation with large canopy and structure, such as mature trees, 60 can also provide shade to reduce temperature for better thermal comfort (Armson et al., 2012; Armson et al., 2013; Middel et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2018a). Finally, another subset of studies 61 62 examined relationships between urban vegetation and property sales value (PSV), generally 63 finding a positive relationship, and suggest that trees may have the most positive effect (Kestens 64 et al., 2004, Mei et al., 2018). Given variability in effect of different types of vegetative cover 65 (i.e., trees, shrubs, grass) on urban cooling, water use, and property values, understanding the 66 outcomes associated with different vegetative mixes in arid desert urban residential 67 neighborhoods is essential for minimizing trade-offs and maximizing co-benefits.

68 To better understand the related dynamics between environmental and economic 69 tradeoffs, this study examines single-family residential neighborhoods with homeowner 70 associations (HOAs) in the Phoenix metropolitan area (PMA), Arizona, USA. HOAs are entities 71 that dictate minimum landscaping requirements and claim to maintain property values over time 72 (McKenzie, 1994; Wentz et al., 2016). The first objective is to examine the impacts of spatial 73 composition of different vegetation cover types on LST, OWU and PSV in major residential 74 communities in the PMA. The second objective is to optimize the spatial composition of 75 residential green spaces in order to achieve a relatively lower LST and OWU and to maintain 76 PSV at the same time. The third objective is to propose residential landscaping strategies for 77 urban sustainability of desert cities in terms of water conservation and urban heat mitigation 78 based on the optimization results.

79

80

81 **2. Materials and Methods**

82 2.1 Study Area

The PMA is located in Maricopa County, Arizona, USA. The total population is about 4.67 83 84 million residents with nearly 1.66 million households, as estimated by the 2018 American 85 Community Survey (ACS) (U.S. Census Bureau, 2019). As of 2019, the housing stock consists 86 predominantly (~76.2%) of single-family homes with an increasing number of multi-family 87 structures and mobile/manufactured homes (MAG, 2019). The 2018 mean household income of 88 PMA was \$87,435, which was lower than the national mean of \$87,864 (U.S. Census Bureau, 2019). PMA residents, therefore, need to be conscious of the costs associated with cooling 89 90 homes, caring for landscaping, and resale values.

91 The PMA is part of the northeastern Sonoran Desert featuring a subtropical semi-arid hot 92 desert climate (Köppen climate classification: BWh) (Figure 1). It is characterized by long, hot 93 summers, but short, mild winters. The daily high exceeds 37.8 °C for an average of 110 days 94 every year, which normally occurs between early June and early September (Wang et al., 2016). 95 The highest temperature can reach over 43.3 °C (110 °F) for an annual average of 18 days (Wang et al., 2016). The mean annual precipitation in the past 30 years is merely 204 mm (8.03 inch) 96 97 with most rainfall taking place during the summer monsoon season (U.S. Climate Data, 2020). 98 This means that residential vegetation is largely managed through a combination of automated 99 irrigation systems (e.g., drip, sprinkler), flood irrigation (in older neighborhoods), and drought 100 tolerant vegetation.

To study the economic and environmental tradeoffs, we selected a sample of 302 local single-family residential communities that are managed by HOAs (Figure 1). Selecting only neighborhoods managed by HOAs provides continuity in the structure and governance of landscaping. The 302 communities were derived from a random sample of single-family residential subdivisions in Maricopa County using Maricopa County Assessor's Subdivision and Parcel Data. Detailed sample selection methods can be found in Minn et al. (2015), Ye et al. (2018) and Turner & Stiller (2020).

108

109 2.2 Data

Figure 2 shows the flowchart of research design. Four data sets were used to evaluate the tradeoffs among LST, OWU and PSV with regards to residential green space composition. The data sets include land cover classification, remotely sensed surface temperature imagery, modelpredicted actual evapotranspiration (ET_a), and property sales records from 2010. The reason why 114 2010 data sets were used is because all the data and products used were available from this year.
115 Although it sounds out of date, the purpose of this study is to generalize empirical trade-off
116 relationships and we assume these relationships would hold over time and space for small local
117 residential communities.

118

119 2.2.1 Land surface temperature

120 We calculated a summer daytime mean LST for each residential community using a combination 121 of Landsat 5 Thematic Mapper and Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection 122 Radiometer (ASTER) data for June through September in 2010. The reason why both Landsat 123 and ASTER images were used is because of the poor temporal resolution of single satellite data. 124 The LST data set from Landsat 5 was obtained from Level-2 provisional surface temperature product that has a 30-m spatial resolution, which is resampled from thermal bands of 120-m 125 126 spatial resolution, and has a relative accuracy of 0.19 K (Cook et al., 2014). We also acquired 127 ASTER surface kinetic temperature product (AST08) that has 90-meter spatial resolution and a relative accuracy of 0.3 K (JPL Propulsion Laboratory, 2001). Both Landsat and ASTER LST 128 129 products are calibrated, processed, and distributed by NASA and USGS. We calculated 130 summertime mean LST value for each residential community using 23 cloud-free images, within 131 which 7 were from ASTER and 16 were from Landsat 5.

132

133 2.2.2 Outdoor water use

The municipal water delivery system in the PMA does not have separate water meters for indoor
and outdoor water use. We therefore estimated OWU using ET_a as a proxy (Singh et al., 2014).
ET_a was modeled using a surface energy balance model named METRIC (Mapping

Evapotranspiration at high spatial Resolution with Internalized Calibration) (Allen et al., 2007a).
Surface energy balance model is an essential approach for heat flux and evaporation estimation
in applied meteorology and hydrology. More specifically, the METRIC model computes the
latent heat flux as the residue of the surface energy balance, which can be written as:

- 141
- 142

 $LE = R_n - G - H,\tag{1}$

143

144 where R_n is the net incoming radiation, G is the ground heat flux, H is the sensible heat flux, and 145 LE is the latent heat flux. METRIC has been successfully applied to Landsat and MODIS images 146 to predict ET_a at various spatial scales (e.g. Trezza, 2002; Hendrickx and Hong, 2005; Allen et 147 al., 2007b; Zheng et al., 2015). Research also demonstrated ET_a prediction accuracy of 15%, 148 10% and 5% for daily, monthly, and seasonal timescales (Plaza et al., 2009; Shao and Lunetta, 149 2012). Model predictions can effectively represent ET_a for both urban and non-urban areas with 150 or without irrigation (Allen et al., 2007b). More detailed model calculation and implementation 151 procedures can be found in Allen et al. (2007a).

152 Model predicted ET_a maps were created using 22 time-series cloud-free Landsat 5 images 153 and meteorological data collected from the weather stations in the Arizona Meteorological 154 Network (AZMET, 2020) that covered the entire year of 2010. Gaps between each two adjacent 155 image acquisition dates were filled using a polynomial curve-fitting method at every single 156 image pixel location, which finally resulted in 365 daily ET_a maps of 30-meter resolution. A 157 summertime total ET_a map was created by aggregating all the daily images in June, July, August, and September. We calculated a mean ET_a value for each selected residential community. Model 158 159 predicted ET_a values were validated using actual water usage data acquired from 49 community parks in the PMA as described in Kaplan et al. (2014). Detailed validation procedure and resultscan be found in Wang (2018).

162

163 2.2.3 Property sales value

We obtained property sales records between 2009 to 2011 at parcel level from the Maricopa County Assessor's Office (2020). Multiple years' records were used because the number of sales records from one single year was relatively small and some communities show no record in 2010. In addition, using three-year data can reduce the large variation caused by the economic recession in 2008-2009. We calculated a mean PSV (U.S. Dollars in thousands, \$k) using all the sales records within each selected residential community.

170

171 2.2.4 Land cover classification

172 Land cover classification for the PMA was performed by the Central Arizona – Phoenix Long-173 Term Ecological Research (CAP-LTER) at Arizona State University using 2010 National 174 Agriculture Imagery Program (NAIP) imagery and an object-based image classification technique. Detailed classification procedure and metadata can be found at the CAP-LTER 175 176 website (CAP-LTER, 2015) and in Li et al. (2014). This land cover map has 1-meter spatial 177 resolution and 12 land cover classes with an overall accuracy of nearly 92%. We selected four 178 green space classes that include grass, shrubs, trees, and open soils, and then calculated percent 179 area of each class within each selected residential community.

^{181 2.3} Analysis

We first performed a linear regression analysis to explore the empirical relationships between landscaping factors and LST, OWU, and PSV. An optimization analysis was subsequently used to examine the tradeoffs between these variables.

185

186 2.3.1 Regression analysis

We used simple linear regression to examine the interrelationship among three dependent variables: LST, OWU and PSV. We then used multivariate linear regression analysis to quantify the empirical relationship between three dependent variables and percent land cover (grass%, shrub%, tree% and soil%) as independent variables. The regression equation is formulated as:

191

192

$$y_j = \beta_{0j} + \sum \beta_{ij} x_i + \varepsilon_j \tag{2}$$

- 193
- 194 where:

195 i = index of four independent variables (grass%, shrub%, trees% and soil%);

196 j =index of three dependent variables (LST, OWU and PSV);

- 197 x_i = area percentage of land cover type *i*;
- 198 β_{0j} = intercept term of the regression model for dependent variable *j*;
- 199 β_{ij} = coefficient estimate for land cover type *i* in relation to dependent variable *j*;
- 200 $\varepsilon_j = \text{error term of the regression model for dependent variable } j$.
- 201

202 2.3.2 Optimization

203	We formulated the optimization question as an integer programming problem with an objective
204	function to minimize the summation of model predicted LST and OWU. Consider the following
205	notations:
206	
207	I = set of all land cover types (grass, shrub, tree and soil);
208	J = set of established empirical relationships for LST, OWU and PSV;
209	Φ = set of vegetation land cover types (grass, shrub and tree);
210	Ψ = set of established empirical relationships for LST and OWU;
211	m_{x_i} = observed minimum of x_i ;
212	u_{x_i} = observed mean of x_i ;
213	σ_{x_i} = observed standard deviation of x_i ;
214	$m_{\sum_{i \in \Phi} x_i}$ = observed minimum of percent all vegetation cover;
215	$u_{\sum_{i \in \Phi} x_i}$ = observed mean of percent all vegetation cover;
216	$\sigma_{\sum_{i \in \Phi} x_i}$ = observed standard deviation of percent all vegetation cover;
217	$m_{\sum_{i \in I} x_i}$ = observed minimum of percent all land cover;
218	$u_{\sum_{i \in I} x_i}$ = observed mean of percent all land cover;
219	$\sigma_{\sum_{i \in I} x_i}$ = observed standard deviation of percent all land cover;
220	μ_{y_j} = observed mean of y_j ;
221	m_{y_j} = observed minimum of y_j ;
222	
223	The objective function is formulated as:
224	

225		Minimize $\sum_{j\in\Psi} y_j$,	(3)
226			
227	which is subject to:		
228			
229		$y_j \le \mu_{y_j} \forall j \in \Psi,$	(4)
230			
231		$y_j \ge m_{y_j} \; \forall \; j \in J,$	(5)
232			
233		$x_i \leq u_{x_i} + 2\sigma_{x_i} \forall i \in I,$	(6)
234			
235		$x_i \geq m_{x_i} \forall i \in I,$	(7)
236			
237		$\sum_{i\in\Phi} x_i \leq u_{\sum_{i\in\Phi} x_i} + 2\sigma_{\sum_{i\in\Phi} x_i},$	(8)
238			
239		$\sum_{i\in\Phi} x_i \ge m_{\sum_{i\in\Phi} x_i},$	(9)
240			
241		$\sum_{i\in I} x_i \le u_{\sum_{i\in I} x_i} + 2\sigma_{\sum_{i\in I} x_i},$	(10)
242			
243		$\sum_{i\in I} x_i \ge m_{\sum_{i\in I} x_i},$	(11)
244			
245		$x_i \text{ integer } \forall i \in I.$	(12)
246			

247 The objective function (3) is to minimize the summation of empirical estimations of LST and 248 OWU that are derived from regression equation (2). Constraint (4) is defined to force model 249 predicted LST and OWU to be less than the observed mean, and constraint (5) is to restrict 250 predicted LST, OWU and PSV to be greater than the observed minimum. Constraints (6) and (7) 251 restrict the percent area of each land cover to be between the observation minimum and +2252 standard deviations from the observed mean. Similar to (6) and (7), constraints (8)-(9) and (10)-253 (11) restrict the area percentage of vegetation cover and all land cover between the observation 254 minimum and +2 standard deviations of the observed mean, respectively. Integer restrictions on 255 area percentage of land cover types are stipulated in Constraint (12).

The optimization procedure was implemented using Gurobi 9.0 Python API (Gurobi Optimization, 2020) in the Jupyter Notebook environment. We selected top 100 sub-optimal solutions to the objective function (3) that generated the smallest possible summation of LST and OWU, and then searched for the highest predicted PSV values within these 100 solutions. The top 5 best scenarios were finally selected as the optimal solutions.

- 261
- 262

264 3.1 Summary statistics

The summary statistics of land cover types, LST, OWU, and PSV are shown in Table 1. The total OWU that was estimated using ET_a ranges from 105 mm to nearly 800 mm with a mean value of 453 mm for the summer months of 2010. LST ranges from 41.5 °C to 55.6 °C with a mean LST of 50.3 °C. PSV ranges from \$6.1k to \$4,700k with a mean PSV of \$340.6k and a large standard deviation of \$431.3k. For all the 302 residential neighborhoods, open soil has a 270 mean percent area of 38.8%, which is the largest among four land cover types. This could 271 include desert style or unfinished landscaping. This is followed by trees ($\mu_{T\%} = 12.1\%$), grass 272 $(\mu_{G\%} = 8.1\%)$, and finally shrubs $(\mu_{S\%} 3.2\%)$. This land cover profile in residential communities 273 in the PMA is generally consistent with 'xeriscaped' and other low vegetative cover yard 274 structure types prevalent in the region. This is fairly typical too of properties in HOA 275 neighborhoods, where vegetation composition can be regulated. Even in residential communities 276 with relatively higher vegetative land cover, the mean percent vegetated area is only 21.1% with 277 a maximum cover of 52.7%.

278

279 3.2 Regression results

Figure 3 shows the relationship among three dependent variables (LST, OWU and PSV) using simple linear regression. A statistically significant negative relationship was found between LST and OWU and between LST and PSV, while a statistically significant positive relationship existed between PSV and OWU. This implies that higher surface temperatures are generally found in residential communities of lower water use and lower home values. On the other hand, higher water use is often associated with lower surface temperatures and higher home values. We believe the underlying cause of these relationships is the variation of vegetation coverage.

Multiple regression results of LST, OWU, and PSV with percent vegetation cover are presented in Table 2. Model A shows that percent vegetation cover variables can be used to explain nearly 60% (adjusted $R^2 = 0.598$) of the total variation in LST, and the model is statistically significant at the 0.01 level. Except percent soils, all the other coefficient estimates are statistically significant and have negative contributions to LST, which means increasing percent vegetation cover can effectively lower LST in a residential community. According to the value of standardized coefficients, the cooling efficiency is ranked as: Trees > Grass > Shrubs. Theoretically speaking, a 10% increase in percent area of grass, shrubs and trees can result in an average decrease in LST of 1.4 °C, 1.2 °C and 2.4 °C, respectively. In other words, replacing grass, shrubs and open soils with trees can potentially minimize the heating effect in local residential communities in the PMA.

298 Model B in Table 2 shows regression results of OWU as the dependent variable. This 299 model is also statistically significant (*p*-value < 0.01) and meaning that vegetation cover can 300 explain nearly 50% of the total variation in OWU (adjusted $R^2 = 0.495$). Percent grass and trees 301 have significant, positive relationships with OWU, and the coefficient estimate of percent grass 302 is much larger than trees, which means increasing percent grass area can result in more OWU 303 than increasing the same percent area of trees. Percent soils have a negative relationship with 304 OWU, which means increasing the percentage of open soils can potentially reduce OWU. 305 Percent shrub is insignificant in this model.

306 Model C in Table 2 shows the regression results of PSV. Although this model has a 307 relatively lower goodness-of-fit (adjusted $R^2 = 0.228$), it is statistically significant at the 0.01 level. We anticipate a lower R^2 because studies using hedonic models of home price are complex 308 309 and show that individual factors such as house size and lot size as well as regional factors such as 310 parks, transportation, and schools influence home prices (Glaesener and Caruso, 2015; Seo et al., 311 2019). For our model, the coefficient estimates are positive and statistically significant at the 312 0.05 level (p-value < 0.05). The relative contribution of vegetation land cover types to PSV is 313 ranked as: Grass > Shrubs > Trees > Soils. This result implies that increasing vegetation cover, 314 especially grass and shrubs, can effectively maintain a relatively higher PSV.

315 In summary, increasing percent tree cover alone can efficiently lower LST and OWU, but 316 its contribution to PSV is relatively low. On the other hand, increasing percent grass cover alone 317 can lower LST and help maintain a relatively higher PSV, but it would also largely increase 318 OWU, which is not an ideal practice for water conservation. Although shrub has a moderate 319 contribution to PSV, its cooling efficiency is the lowest and it does not significantly lower OWU. 320 It becomes evident that different spatial composition of vegetation cover has varying effects on 321 urban residential microclimate. Understanding these effects can help address the trade-off issue 322 among LST, OWU and PSV.

323

324 3.3 Optimization results

We first solved the integer programming problem and obtained the top 100 sub-optimal solutions for the lowest possible summation of LST and OWU values and their corresponding land cover compositions, and then searched for the highest predicted PSV values within these solutions. These records are therefore considered as our final optimization solutions.

329 We present top 5 optimization scenarios in Table 3. These five scenarios suggest that 330 shrubs should be given the largest weight within all the vegetation types to maximize its 331 environmental and economic benefits. On the other hand, minimizing the use of grass but 332 maximizing open soil coverage can also contribute to lower LST and OWU. PSV can be higher 333 if a larger percent grass cover is given, but OWU would also be higher as well. As suggested, a 334 residential landscape that is composed of 1-2% grass, 11-13% shrubs, 7-9% trees, and 62-64% 335 soils can result in the lowest possible LST and OWU and help maintain a relatively higher PSV at the same time. Within these scenarios, predicted LST varies from 49.8 °C to 50.2 °C, which is 336 337 less than the observed mean LST (Table 1, μ_{LST} = 50.26 °C). Predicted OWU ranges from 327.5 mm to 334.4 mm, which is around the mean minus one standard deviation ($\mu - \sigma = 329.7$ mm) of observed OWU. Predicted PSV in these scenarios varies from \$728.6k to \$761.6k, which is higher than observed mean ($\mu_{PSV} = $340.6k$) but lower than the mean plus one standard deviation ($\mu + \sigma = $771.9k$).

342

343

344 **4. Discussion**

345 4.1 Effect of vegetation cover on LST, OWU and PSV

346 Our analysis shows that trees provide the greatest cooling efficiency, followed by the 347 combination of grass and shrubs. This implies that planting more trees or replacing other land 348 cover with trees in a desert residential neighborhood has the potential lower LST to its maximum. This result is consistent with prior studies of the effect of the urban heat island effect 349 350 in Phoenix and other areas that show this relationship between vegetation and land surface 351 temperature (see Myint et al., 2013 and Middell et al., 2015). Additionally, trees provide shade and thermal comfort co-benefits (Zhao et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2018b). These studies support 352 353 efforts by the City of Phoenix, which initiated a Tree and Shade Master Plan in 2010 to 354 ameliorate extreme heat during the summer months by increasing tree canopy from 10% in 2010 355 to 25% by 2030 (City of Phoenix, 2010). Our study is the first to consider shrubs, which is the 356 most populated native vegetation in a desert environment (Martin, 2001). Shrubs had the lowest 357 cooling efficiency among all the vegetative types, meaning that shrubs are the least efficient way 358 to achieve cooling as measured by LST in our study. They also do not provide the shade cobenefit of trees. 359

360 The rankings for water use efficiency are different than for cooling. Our result suggests 361 that grass is the least water efficient vegetation type, while shrub has no significant contribution 362 to OWU (Table 2). This finding is consistent with other studies that find that grass requires a 363 large water inputs to survive in a hot, semi-arid desert climate (Vickers 2006) and that native 364 shrubs are well adapted to desert climates (Odening et al., 1974; Bamberg et al., 1975; Martin et 365 al., 2001; Stabler and Martin, 2002). Trees are species specific: most desert-adapted trees do not 366 rely on irrigation, while fruit trees and deciduous trees that are also widely populated in local 367 residential communities in the PMA heavily depend on irrigation to survive in a desert 368 environment. Our result suggests that overall trees have higher water use efficiency than grass 369 (Table 2), which can be considered as a landscaping alternative to lawn and turf.

370 Our results are consistent with other studies showing that vegetation increases property 371 values in residential neighborhoods (Kestens et al., 2004, Bark et al., 2011, Escobedo et al., 372 2015) Generally, percent vegetative cover in desert neighborhoods also had a significant positive 373 relationship with PSV with grass cover having the greatest contribution, followed by shrubs and 374 trees (Table 2). However, the goodness-of-fit of the regression model is relatively low (adj. R^2 = 375 (0.228) because we did not include other factors shown to influence home values such as property 376 size, home size, school districts, etc. While adding such variables can potentially increase R^2 377 value, it's not relevant for this study. Rather, our goal was to examine the combined effect of 378 different types of vegetation cover on PSV. Our study, however, shows trees have much lower 379 contribution to PSV than grass and shrubs. This result likely deviates from previous studies 380 conducted in Québec City and Florida because PMA has a much lower percent tree cover (only 381 12%) and annual precipitation than temperate and humid regions (Escobedo et al., 2015; Kestens 382 et al., 2004). We therefore suggest that it is necessary to take climate background and dominant native vegetation into consideration when examining the effect of vegetation cover on PSV because experiences and findings from some cities may not apply to the others. Moreover, trees had the least effect on property value among three vegetation types, which could be considered a benefit in some regions given that low income communities currently have the greatest need for shade trades, but are also vulnerable to displacement if housing costs increased (Landry and Chakraborty, 2009). Overall, regional social and ecological context are important in assessing the relative benefits of trees versus grass and shrubs.

390

391 4.2 Implications of optimization result and policy recommendation

392 Five optimization scenarios in Table 3 suggest that minimizing the use of grass in residential 393 landscaping in a desert city can contribute to a lower LST and OWU, while PSV maintains 394 relatively high. In face of severe drought in the Southwestern U.S., California Department of 395 Water Resources initiated the Institutional Turf Replacement Program (ITRP) to replace more 396 than 165,000 square feet of turf with California native and water-efficient landscaping to provide 397 long-term water savings, and each eligible household can receive a rebate of approximately \$2 398 per square foot of removed and replaced turf (CDWR, 2009). Tull et al. (2016) used 545 unique 399 single-family residential turf rebates and found that the mean water savings were estimated at 400 about 1 m³ per square meter of turf removal per year for each household. Another study by 401 Matlock et al. (2019) studied 227 participating customers in southern California and found the 402 average reduced water usage was approximately 392 m³ per year after turf removal. Both studies 403 confirmed the effectiveness of ITRP in California, and our study further provides the theoretical 404 basis of a similar program that can be potentially implemented in the PMA. Completely 405 removing large grass cover or replacing grass with desert-adapted shrubs or trees can become a 406 sustainable development practice for residential communities in desert cities to mitigate heat and407 conserve water.

408 Another recommendation is to widely adopt xeric landscape style that mostly include 409 individually watered and low water-use exotic and native plants as a sustainable landscaping strategy as suggested by the XeriscapeTM movement that began in Denver, Colorado in 1981 410 411 (Martin, 2001). Xeriscape is a water-efficient landscaping method that has become increasingly 412 popular in the arid southwestern U.S. (Sovocool and Morgan, 2006). Research has shown that in 413 southern Nevada, Xeriscape can save an average of 55.8 gal/sq. ft (or 2.27 m³/m²) per year 414 resulting from replacing turf grass with xeric landscape (Sovocool and Morgan, 2006). 415 Households realized a 30% annual water use reduction after converting to xeric landscape that 416 equals approximately 363 m³ annually (Sovocool and Morgan, 2006). Xeriscape can also save 417 labor and money for maintenance because of water-efficient and desert-adapted plants and 418 efficient irrigation. On the other hand, Martin (2008) compared four landscape design archetypes 419 and proposed an oasis landscape design that consists of a mixture of small areas of well-irrigated 420 turf grass interspersed with drip-irrigated landscape trees and shrubs and decomposed granite 421 mulch has an overall better performance in water conservation than the traditional xeric style 422 landscape in Phoenix, Arizona.

423

424 4.3 Limitations and future research

This study only used summer daytime remotely sensed data for the analysis because the PMA experiences extreme heat in the summer months that has brought various concerns to its residents and sustainability. To better quantify the effect of percent vegetation cover on LST and OWU, one should also consider nighttime and other seasons. Due to the limitation of data, our study 429 only used three inclusive vegetation types of grass, shrubs, and trees, which cannot reflect the 430 real landscaping situation. Different vegetation species have various drought resistant 431 capabilities. It would be ideal if major local vegetation species were identified and used in the 432 analyses instead of using these three inclusive vegetation types. In addition, we did not have 433 more detailed data at parcel or household level, and the analysis was performed using the entire 434 residential community as a study unit. Urban sustainability is broadly influenced by policy 435 makers and urban planners at larger spatial scales, but household behaviors also have a 436 significant influence on landscape sustainability at smaller spatial scales (Cook et al., 2011).

Further research can be focused on two topics. First is to study the effect of different types of desert residential landscaping, such as mesic, xeric, and oasis, on LST, OWU and PSV at parcel level. This analysis requires extensive field surveys and very high spatial resolution remotely sensed data. The second direction can be the research on the combined effect of vegetation cover on LST, OWU and PSV for cities in other climate regions because the regional climate background also has a significant influence on the relationship.

443

444

445 **5.** Conclusions

Green infrastructure is a well-known and efficient urban heat mitigation strategy that can effectively lower ambient and surface temperatures, provide thermal comfort, and have various socio-economic and health benefits. Despite its ecosystem service values and benefits, increasing vegetated area in a desert city can also lead to a significant increase of outdoor water use, which is not ideal for long-term urban sustainable development. Moreover, landscaping is linked to property values, a central socio-economic concern in residential neighborhoods. It therefore 452 becomes crucial for residents to balance the tradeoffs between green infrastructure in order to 453 maximize the heat mitigation effect, to minimize water usage, while also considering property 454 value at the lowest cost of water use.

455 This study has made four significant contributions to the sustainability of desert cities. 456 First, we find that even though trees can efficiently reduce LST, its contribution to PSV is the 457 lowest in a semi-arid desert environment. One implication of this finding is that trees might be a 458 water effective means to mitigate urban heat and address income-based shade disparities in the 459 city, while minimizing property value increases that could drive unintended consequences like 460 gentrification. Second, minimizing the use of grass in a semi-arid desert city is crucial because it 461 is the least water use efficient vegetation type, although it contributes to a higher PSV. Third, 462 desert-adapted shrubs and trees can be widely promoted because they not only have higher water use efficiency, can significantly lower LST, but also have a relatively higher contribution to 463 464 PSV. Paired, these findings suggest a slight trade-off between the most environmentally efficient 465 landscape type (e.g., xeriscaping) and property value maximization (e.g., grass) in some existing 466 residential neighborhoods. Nevertheless, there are multiple yard landscaping market types in 467 Phoenix. Therefore, more work is needed to understand the extent to which the observed positive 468 relationship between grass and property value is moderated by homeowner preferences across 469 different style neighborhoods. Fourth, our results and findings provide strong evidence and a 470 theoretical basis for the environmental benefits of turf removal programs and xeric or oasis style 471 landscaping design, which can be used as a guideline by desert cities for a better design of 472 residential landscaping for urban sustainable development in the future.

473

475 **References**

483

- 476 Allen, R.G., Tasumi, M., & Trezza, R. (2007a). Satellite-based energy balance for mapping
- 477 evapotranspiration with internalized calibration (METRIC) Model. *Journal of*478 *Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*, *133*(4), 380-394.
- 479 Allen, R.G., Tasumi, M., Morse, A., Trezza, R., Wright, J.L., Bastiaanssen, W., Kramber, W.,
- 480 Lorite, I., & Robison, C.W. (2007b). Satellite-based energy balance for mapping
- 481 evapotranspiration with internalized calibration (METRIC) Applications. *Journal of*482 *Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*, *133*(4), 395-406.
- 484 and globe temperatures in an urban area. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, *11*(3), 245485 255.

Armson, D., Stringer, P., & Ennos, A. R. (2012). The effect of tree shade and grass on surface

- 486 Armson, D., Rahman, M. A., & Ennos, A. R. (2013). A comparison of the shading effectiveness
 487 of five different street tree species in Manchester, UK. *Arboriculture & Urban*
- 488 *Forestry*, *39*(4), 157-164.
- Bamberg, S. A., Kleinkopf, G. E., Wallace, A., & Vollmer, A. (1975). Comparative
 photosynthetic production of Mojave Desert shrubs. *Ecology*, 56(3), 732-736.
- 491 Bark, R. H., Osgood, D. E., Colby, B. G., & Halper, E. B. (2011). How do homebuyers value
 492 different types of green space? *Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*, 395493 415.
- Burchell, R. W., & Shad, N. A. (1998). The evolution of the sprawl debate in the United
 States. *Hastings W.-Nw. J. Envtl. L. & Pol'y*, *5*, 137.
- Burchell, R. W., & Mukherji, S. (2003). Conventional development versus managed growth: the
 costs of sprawl. *American Journal of Public Health*, *93*(9), 1534-1540.

- 498 California Department of Water Resources (CDWR). (2009). Institutional Turf Replacement
- 499 *Program.* Retrieved from <u>https://water.ca.gov/Work-With-Us/Grants-And-Loans/Turf-</u>
 500 Replacement (last access March 22, 2020).
- 501 Central Arizona-Phoenix Long-Term Ecological Research (CAP-LTER). (2015). CAP LTER
- 502 *land cover classification using 2010 National Agriculture Imagery Program (NAIP)*
- 503 *Imagery*. Retrieved from <u>https://sustainability.asu.edu/caplter/data/data-catalog/view/knb-</u>
 504 lter-cap.623.1/ (last accessed March 19, 2020)
- 505 City of Phoenix (2010). *Tree and Shade Master Plan*. Retrieved from
- 506 https://www.phoenix.gov/parkssite/Documents/PKS_Forestry/PKS_Forestry_Tree_and_
- 507 <u>Shade_Master_Plan.pdf</u> (last access March 22, 2020).
- 508 Connors, J. P., Galletti, C. S., & Chow, W. T. (2013). Landscape configuration and urban heat
 509 island effects: assessing the relationship between landscape characteristics and land
- 510 surface temperature in Phoenix, Arizona. *Landscape Ecology*, 28(2), 271-283.
- 511 Cook, W. M., & Faeth, S. H. (2006). Irrigation and land use drive ground arthropod community
 512 patterns in an urban desert. *Environmental Entomology*, *35*(6), 1532-1540.
- 513 Cook, E. M., Hall, S. J., & Larson, K. L. (2012). Residential landscapes as social-ecological
- 514 systems: a synthesis of multi-scalar interactions between people and their home
 515 environment. *Urban Ecosystems*, 15(1), 19-52.
- 516 Cook, M., Schott, J. R., Mandel, J., & Raqueno, N. (2014). Development of an operational
- 517 calibration methodology for the Landsat thermal data archive and initial testing of the
- 518 atmospheric compensation component of a Land Surface Temperature (LST) product
- 519 from the archive. *Remote Sensing*, *6*(11), 11244-11266.

- 520 Escobedo, F. J., Adams, D. C., & Timilsina, N. (2015). Urban forest structure effects on property
 521 value. *Ecosystem Services*, *12*, 209-217.
- 522 Glaesener, M. L., & Caruso, G. (2015). Neighborhood green and services diversity effects on
- 523 land prices: Evidence from a multilevel hedonic analysis in Luxembourg. *Landscape and*524 *Urban Planning*, *143*, 100-111.
- Groffman, P. M., Avolio, M., Cavender-Bares, J., et al. (2017). Ecological homogenization of
 residential macrosystems. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 1(7), 1-3.
- Guhathakurta, S., & Gober, P. (2007). The impact of the Phoenix urban heat island on residential
 water use. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, *73*(3), 317-329.
- 529 Gurobi Optimization, L. (2020). *Gurobi Optimizer Reference Manual*. Retrieved from

530 http://www.gurobi.com (last access June 23, 2020).

- 531 Hendrickx, J.M., & Hong, S.H. (2005, May). Mapping sensible and latent heat fluxes in arid
- areas using optical imagery. In *Targets and Backgrounds XI: Characterization and*
- 533 *Representation* (Vol. 5811, pp. 138-147). International Society for Optics and Photonics.
- 534 Hirt, S. A. (2014). Zoned in the USA: The origins and implications of American land-use
- 535 *regulation*. Cornell University Press.
- 536 JPL Propulsion Laboratory. (2001). ASTER Higher-Level Product User Guide (Version 2.0).
- 537 Retrieved from <u>https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/documents/64/AST_08_User_Guide_V3.pdf</u> (last
 538 accessed March 19, 2020)
- 539 Kaplan, S., Myint, S. W., Fan, C., & Brazel, A. J. (2014). Quantifying outdoor water
- 540 consumption of urban land use/land cover: sensitivity to drought. *Environmental*
- 541 *Management*, 53(4), 855-864.

542	Kestens, Y., Theriault, M., & Des Rosiers, F. (2004). The impact of surrounding land use and
543	vegetation on single-family house prices. Environment and Planning B: Planning and
544	Design, 31(4), 539-567.
545	Kuo, F. E., Sullivan, W. C., Coley, R. L., & Brunson, L. (1998). Fertile ground for community:
546	Inner-city neighborhood common spaces. American Journal of Community
547	Psychology, 26(6), 823-851.
548	Kweon, B. S., Sullivan, W. C., & Wiley, A. R. (1998). Green common spaces and the social
549	integration of inner-city older adults. Environment and Behavior, 30(6), 832-858.
550	Landry, S. M., & Chakraborty, J. (2009). Street trees and equity: evaluating the spatial
551	distribution of an urban amenity. Environment and Planning A: Economy and
552	<i>Space</i> , <i>41</i> (11), 2651-2670.
553	Larson, K. L., Nelson, K. C., Samples, S. R., et al. (2016). Ecosystem services in managing
554	residential landscapes: priorities, value dimensions, and cross-regional patterns. Urban
555	Ecosystems, 19(1), 95-113.
556	Li, X., Myint, S. W., Zhang, Y., Galletti, C., Zhang, X., & Turner II, B. L. (2014). Object-based
557	land-cover classification for metropolitan Phoenix, Arizona, using aerial photography.
558	International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 33, 321-330.
559	Li, X., Li, W., Middel, A., Harlan, S. L., Brazel, A. J., & Turner Ii, B. L. (2016). Remote sensing
560	of the surface urban heat island and land architecture in Phoenix, Arizona: Combined
561	effects of land composition and configuration and cadastral-demographic-economic
562	factors. Remote Sensing of Environment, 174, 233-243.
563	Lo, C. P., & Faber, B. J. (1997). Integration of Landsat Thematic Mapper and census data for

564 quality of life assessment. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 62(2), 143-157.

565	Maas, J., Van Dillen, S. M., Verheij, R. A., & Groenewegen, P. P. (2009). Social contacts as a
566	possible mechanism behind the relation between green space and health. Health &
567	Place, 15(2), 586-595.
568	Maricopa Association of Governments. (2019). Phoenix Metro Residential Real Estate Data
569	Explorer. Retrieved from
570	https://azmag.maps.arcgis.com/apps/MapSeries/index.html?appid=cac744bd26094291a6
571	<u>0497766322efed</u> (last access June 14, 2020).
572	Maricopa County Assessor's Office. (2020). GIS Mapping Applications. Retrieved from
573	https://www.maricopa.gov/3942/GIS-Mapping-Applications (last accessed March 19,
574	2020).
575	Martin, C. A. (2001). Landscape Water Use in Phoenix, Arizona. Desert Plants, 17(2), 26-31.
576	Martin, C. A. (2008). Landscape sustainability in a Sonoran Desert city. Cities and the
577	Environment, 1(2), 5.
578	Matlock, M., Whipple, R., & Shaw, R. (2019). Just for the turf of it: Turf replacement as a water
579	conservation tool. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation, 74(5), 449-455.
580	McKenzie, E. (1994). Privatopia: Homeowner associations and the rise of residential private
581	government. Yale University Press.
582	Mei, Y., Zhao, X., Lin, L., & Gao, L. (2018). Capitalization of urban green vegetation in a
583	housing market with poor environmental quality: Evidence from Beijing. Journal of
584	Urban Planning and Development, 144(3), 05018011.
585	Middel, A., Chhetri, N., & Quay, R. (2015). Urban forestry and cool roofs: Assessment of heat
586	mitigation strategies in Phoenix residential neighborhoods. Urban Forestry & Urban
587	Greening, 14(1), 178-186.
	26

588	Milesi, C., Running, S. W., Elvidge, C. D., Dietz, J. B., Tuttle, B. T., & Nemani, R. R. (2005).
589	Mapping and modeling the biogeochemical cycling of turf grasses in the United
590	States. Environmental Management, 36(3), 426-438.
591	Minn, M., Cutts, B. B., Greenberg, J. A., Pavlovic, N., Fraterrigo, J. M., & Turner, V. K. (2015).
592	Detection of foreclosure-related landscape management changes using Landsat. Applied
593	<i>Geography</i> , 62, 217-224.
594	Myint, S. W., Wentz, E. A., Brazel, A. J., & Quattrochi, D. A. (2013). The impact of distinct
595	anthropogenic and vegetation features on urban warming. Landscape Ecology, 28(5),
596	959-978.
597	Odening, W. R., Strain, B. R., & Oechel, W. C. (1974). The effect of decreasing water potential
598	on net CO2 exchange of intact desert shrubs. <i>Ecology</i> , 55(5), 1086-1095.
599	Plaza, A., Benediktsson, J.A., Boardman, J.W., Brazile, J., Bruzzone, L., Camps-Valls, G., & et

- al. (2009). Recent advances in techniques for hyperspectral image processing. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 113, S110-S122.
- Rotem-Mindali, O., Michael, Y., Helman, D., & Lensky, I. M. (2015). The role of local land-use

on the urban heat island effect of Tel Aviv as assessed from satellite remote
sensing. *Applied Geography*, 56, 145-153.

- Schwarz, N., & Manceur, A. M. (2015). Analyzing the influence of urban forms on surface urban
 heat islands in Europe. *Journal of Urban Planning and Development*, *141*(3), A4014003.
- 607 Seo, K., Salon, D., Kuby, M., & Golub, A. (2019). Hedonic modeling of commercial property
- 608 values: distance decay from the links and nodes of rail and highway infrastructure.
- 609 *Transportation*, 46(3), 859-882.

- 610 Shao, Y., & Lunetta, R.S. (2012). Comparison of support vector machine, neural network, and
- 611 CART algorithms for the land-cover classification using limited training data points.
 612 *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 70, 78-87.
- Sheppard, P. R., Comrie, A. C., Packin, G. D., Angersbach, K., & Hughes, M. K. (2002). The
 climate of the US Southwest. *Climate Research*, *21*(3), 219-238.
- 615 Singh, R. K., Senay, G. B., Velpuri, N. M., Bohms, S., Scott, R. L., & Verdin, J. P. (2014).
- 616 Actual evapotranspiration (water use) assessment of the Colorado River Basin at the
- 617 Landsat resolution using the Operational Simplified Surface Energy Balance
- 618 Model. *Remote Sensing*, 6(1), 233-256.
- 619 Sovocool, K. A., Morgan, M., & Bennett, D. (2006). An in-depth investigation of Xeriscape as a
 620 water conservation measure. *Journal-American Water Works Association*, 98(2), 82-93.
- 621 Stabler, L. B., & Martin, C. A. (2002). Irrigation and pruning affect growth water use efficiency

622 of two desert-adapted shrubs. In XXVI International Horticultural Congress:

623 Sustainability of Horticultural Systems in the 21st Century 638 (pp. 255-258).

- 624 Sugiyama, T., Leslie, E., Giles-Corti, B., & Owen, N. (2008). Associations of neighbourhood
- 625 greenness with physical and mental health: do walking, social coherence and local social
- 626 interaction explain the relationships? *Journal of Epidemiology & Community*
- 627 *Health*, 62(5), e9.
- The Arizona Meteorological Network (AZMET). (2020). AZMET Weather Data. Retrieved from
 https://cals.arizona.edu/azmet/az-data.htm (last accessed March 19, 2020).
- 630 Trezza, R. (2002). Evapotranspiration using a satellite-based surface energy balance with
- 631 *standardized ground control.* Dissertation, Utah State University, Logan, Utah.

634 In KDD Workshop on Data Science for Food, Energy and Water. San Francisco, CA. 635 Turner, V. K., & Stiller, M. (2020). How Do Homeowners Associations Regulate Residential 636 Landscapes? An Analysis of Rule Structure and Content in Maricopa County (AZ). Journal of the American Planning Association, 86(1), 25-38. 637 638 U.S. Climate Data. (2020). Phoenix weather averages. Retrieved from 639 https://www.usclimatedata.com/climate/phoenix/arizona/united-states/usaz0166 (last 640 accessed March 19, 2020). 641 U.S. Census Bureau. (2019). 2014-2018 ACS 5-year Estimates. Retrieved from 642 https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/technical-documentation/table-and-643 geography-changes/2018/5-year.html (last accessed May 22, 2020). 644 Vickers, A. (2006). New directions in lawn and landscape water conservation. Journal -645 American Water Works Association, 98(2), 56-156. 646 Wang, C. (2018). The Long-term Impact of Land Use Land Cover Change on Urban Climate: 647 Evidence from the Phoenix Metropolitan Area, Arizona. (Doctoral dissertation, Arizona 648 State University, Tempe, AZ, USA). Retrieved from 649 https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/The-Long-term-Impact-of-Land-Use-Land-650 Cover-Change-Wang/2e834578d5b63d56831e42f60612dcafef719388?p2df (last 651 accessed September 22, 2020) 652 Wang, C., Myint, S. W., Wang, Z., & Song, J. (2016). Spatio-temporal modeling of the urban 653 heat island in the Phoenix metropolitan area: Land use change implications. Remote

Tull, C., Schmitt, E., & Atwater, P. (2016). How Much Water Does Turf Removal Save?

Applying Bayesian Structural Time-Series to California Residential Water Demand.

654 *Sensing*, 8(3), 185.

632

655	Wang, C., Li, Y., Myint, S. W., Zhao, Q., & Wentz, E. A. (2019). Impacts of spatial clustering of
656	urban land cover on land surface temperature across Köppen climate zones in the
657	contiguous United States. Landscape and Urban Planning, 192, 103668.
658	Wentz, E. A., Rode, S., Li, X., Tellman, E. M., & Turner, B. L. (2016). Impact of Homeowner
659	Association (HOA) landscaping guidelines on residential water use. Water Resources
660	Research, 52(5), 3373-3386.
661	Ye, X., Turner, V. K., & She, B. (2019). Automating land parcel classification for neighborhood-
662	scale urban analysis. International Journal of Digital Earth, 12(12), 1396-1405.
663	Zhao, Q., Wentz, E. A., & Murray, A. T. (2017). Tree shade coverage optimization in an urban
664	residential environment. Building and Environment, 115, 269-280.
665	Zhao, Q., Sailor, D. J., & Wentz, E. A. (2018a). Impact of tree locations and arrangements on
666	outdoor microclimates and human thermal comfort in an urban residential
667	environment. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 32, 81-91.
668	Zhao, Q., Yang, J., Wang, ZH., & Wentz, E. (2018b). Assessing the Cooling Benefits of Tree
669	Shade by an Outdoor Urban Physical Scale Model at Tempe, AZ. Urban Science, 2(1), 4.
670	Zheng, B., Myint, S.W., Thenkabail, P.S., & Aggarwal, R.M. (2015). A support vector machine
671	to identify irrigated crop types using time-series Landsat NDVI data. International
672	Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 34, 103-112.



5 Figure 1. Map of study area and locations of selected residential communities.



678 Figure 2. Flowchart of research design.





681 Figure 3. Simple linear regression analysis among three dependent variables: (a) LST vs. OWU,

682 (b) LST vs. PSV, and (c) OWU vs. PSV.

Table 1. Summary statistics of all the independent and dependent variables. These values were

685 calculated based on all the selected single-family residential communities (*n*=302).

686

Variable	In	dependent	Variable	8	Dependent Variables			
variable	Grass%	Shrub%	Tree%	Soil%	LST ^a (°C)	OWU ^b (mm)	PSV ^c (\$k)	
Min.	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.3	41.5	104.9	32.0	
Max.	34.6	17.8	42.7	97.0	55.6	800.0	4,700.0	
Mean (μ)	8.0	3.2	12.1	38.8	50.3	452.8	341.4	
Std. Dev. (σ)	4.8	4.5	8.1	12.8	2.5	123.0	429.2	
$\mu + \sigma$	12.8	7.7	20.2	51.6	52.8	575.8	770.6	
$\mu + 2\sigma$	17.6	12.1	28.3	64.4	55.3	698.8	1,199.8	
μ - σ	3.15	-	4.06	26.02	47.7	329.7	-	
μ - 2σ	-	-	_	-	45.2	206.7	-	

687

- ^a Land surface temperature
- 689 ^b Outdoor water use
- ^c Property sales value

691

692

693

696												
Model (Dependent variable)	$\begin{array}{c c} ndent & \mathbf{A} (LST^{1}) \\ le) \end{array}$			B (OWU ²)				\mathbf{C} (PSV ³)				
R^2		0.6	16		0.517			0.264				
Adj. R^2		0.5	98		0.495			0.228				
р		< 0.	.01			< 0.0)1		< 0.01			
RMSE ^a		1.6	26	1		77.1	13	n		429.540)	
		1	1									
Independent variable	B ^b	<i>SE</i> ^c	р	$eta^{ ext{d}}$	В	SE	р	β	В	SE	р	β
Grass%	-0.135*	0.042	0.002	-0.242	10.172*	1.997	0.000	0.432	52.638*	13.595	0.000	0.442
Shrub%	-0.118*	0.046	0.012	-0.206	-1.588	2.175	0.467	-0.065	27.657*	12.881	0.035	0.247
Tree%	-0.243*	0.029	0.000	-0.689	3.680*	1.390	0.010	0.247	19.698*	7.926	0.015	0.300
Soil%	-0.009	0.020	0.646	-0.042	-2.114*	0.942	0.027	-0.229	12.297*	5.491	0.028	0.293
Cons.	54.183*	1.121	0.000	-	410.5*	53.139	0.000	-	-615.858	317.402	0.056	-
697 698 699	¹ Land surface temperature ² Outdoor water use											
700	Property sa	iles valu	e									
701	Root mean	Root mean square error										
702	Unstandard	Unstandardized coefficients										
703	Standard er	Standard error										
704	Standardized coefficients											
705	Statistically significant at the 0.05 level											

Table 2. Multiple regression results of *LST*, *OWU* and *PSV* with percent vegetation cover

Table 3. Optimization results with top 5 scenarios

708

Sameria	Grage	Shrub	Chrub Trac Soil		Predicted	Predicted	Predicted
Scenario	Ulass	Sillub	1166	3011	LST ^a (°C)	OWU ^b (mm)	PSV ^c (\$k)
а	2%	13%	7%	63%	50.1	331.3	761.6
b	2%	13%	7%	62%	50.1	333.2	749.3
с	2%	11%	8%	64%	50.2	334.4	738.2
d	1%	13%	9%	62%	49.8	334.2	736.0
e	1%	13%	8%	63%	50.0	327.5	728.6

709 ^a Land surface temperature

710 ^b Outdoor water use

^c Property sales value



A Sample Residential Community with Land Cover Types and Land Parcels



🛄 HOA boundary 🔲 Land parcel 🔳 Tree 💻 Soil 💻 Shrub 📃 Grass

Land Surface Temperature

50 100

0

200 Meters

Outdoor Water Use (evapotranspiration)

