- 1 Effectiveness of a deep-water coral conservation area: evaluation of its boundaries and
- 2 changes in octocoral communities over 13 years
- 3
- 4
- 5 Swaantje Bennecke (1)<sup>1</sup>, Anna Metaxas (2)
- 6 (1) GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Wischhofstr. 1-3, 24148 Kiel,
- 7 Germany; sbennecke@geomar.de
- 8 (2) Department of Oceanography, Dalhousie University, 1355 Oxford Street, PO Box 15000,
- 9 Halifax, Nova Scotia, B3H 4R2, Canada; metaxas@dal.ca
- 10
- 11
- 12 Key words: Paragorgia, Primnoa, abundance, size, distribution, video analysis, Gulf of Maine
- 13
- 14 Subtheme 1: Conservation topics and stewardship
- 15

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Corresponding author

 $<sup>\</sup>hfill \ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  2016 published by Elsevier. This manuscript is made available under the Elsevier user license https://www.elsevier.com/open-access/userlicense/1.0/

#### 16 Abstract

17

18 Over the past 15 years, multiple areas in the North Atlantic have been closed to destructive 19 fishing practices to protect vulnerable deep-water coral ecosystems, known to provide habitat 20 for diverse associated fauna. Despite the growing number of conservation measures, long-21 term studies on the recovery of deep-water coral communities from fisheries impacts remain 22 scarce. In the Gulf of Maine, the Northeast Channel Coral Conservation Area (NECCCA)<sup>2</sup> 23 was established in 2002 to protect dense aggregations of the two numerically dominant 24 octocoral species in the region, Primnoa resedaeformis and Paragorgia arborea. To evaluate 25 the effectiveness of the conservation measures, we monitored shifts in abundance and size 26 of these two coral species in the shallow section (400 -700 m) of the NECCCA for 12 years after the fisheries closure. We also evaluated the appropriateness of the location of the deep 27 28 boundaries of the NECCCA that were placed based on a precautionary approach with limited 29 information on coral distribution at depths > 500 m. Video transects were conducted with 30 ROV "ROPOS" in 2001, 2006, 2010 and 2014. We found potential signs of recovery from 31 fisheries impact at some of the shallow locations in 2014: higher coral abundance and the 32 presence of some very large colonies as well as recruits compared to 2001 and 2006. 33 However, spatial heterogeneity was pronounced and small colonies (< 20 cm) indicative of 34 successful recruitment were not found at all sites, underscoring the need for long-term 35 protection measures to allow full recovery of impacted coral communities. At 700 - 1500 m 36 different coral taxa were dominant than at the shallow locations and coral abundance peaked 37 between 700 and 1200 m. High abundance and diversity of corals at this depth range, 8 - 10 38 km southwest of the NECCCA, suggest that an extension of the southwest boundary should 39 be considered. Comparably low coral abundance was found at depths of 1200 - 1500 m inside the NECCCA indicating an appropriate initial placement of the southeast boundary. 40 These are the first long-term observations of protected deep-water octocoral communities 41 42 which are needed for the effective management of deep-water coral conservation areas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> NECCCA: Northeast Channel Coral Conservation Area

43

44

## 45 1. Introduction

46

Deep-water corals enhance the structural complexity of the seafloor and provide 47 habitat for a diverse associated fauna (Roberts et al., 2006). Fish and invertebrates, such as 48 49 echinoderms and crustaceans, are found on and among the colonies for protection, feeding 50 and attachment (De Clippele et al., 2015; Du Preez and Tunnicliffe, 2011; Husebø et al., 2002; Krieger and Wing, 2002; Stone, 2006). The detrimental effects of bottom fishing 51 52 activities on deep-water coral ecosystems have been widely demonstrated (Clark et al., 53 2015). Bottom trawling is known to impact coral communities severely (Althaus et al., 2009; 54 Fosså et al., 2002; Hall-Spencer et al., 2002; Krieger, 2001), but colonies can also get 55 damaged by long lines (Fosså et al., 2002; Mortensen et al., 2005) and are often brought up 56 as bycatch (Breeze et al., 1997; Edinger et al., 2007; Taylor et al., 2013).

57 Due to longevity and slow growth rates, many benthic deep-water communities, such 58 as corals and sponges, are expected to show slow recovery from fishing impacts (Clark et 59 al., 2015). The recognition of deep-water coral ecosystems as vulnerable habitats has led to 60 increasing efforts in conservation measures in the last 15 years (Brock et al., 2009; Davies et 61 al., 2007; Hall-Spencer et al., 2009; Hourigan, 2009) and multiple areas in the North Atlantic 62 have been closed to destructive fishing practices to protect these habitats (ICES, 2007). 63 Many of these areas were established to protect scleractinian coral aggregations including 64 several reefs of Lophelia pertusa in Norwegian waters. In the first deep-water coral 65 conservation area, Oculina varicosa was protected from bottom fishing and anchoring off the 66 coast of Florida in 1984 (ICES, 2007; Reed et al., 2007). An example of protection measures 67 for coral gardens is the Northeast Channel Coral Conservation Area (NECCCA) in Atlantic 68 Canada, which harbours dense aggregations of gorgonian corals (Breeze and Fenton, 2007; Mortensen et al., 2005). Coral gardens were added to the OSPAR list of threatened and/or 69 70 declining species & habitats in 2008, encouraging their consideration in future conservation

measures (OSPAR Commission, 2008, 2010). Recent efforts in deep-water coral
conservation in the USA include the proposal of the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management
Council in 2015 to protect an area of ~98000 km<sup>2</sup> from destructive fishing in the U.S.
Exclusive Economic Zone.

75 Effective management of a conservation area requires a management plan with clear 76 objectives; effectiveness of a conservation action should then be evaluated based on the 77 defined targets (Halpern, 2003; Pomeroy et al., 2005). The response of an ecosystem to 78 protection measures is strongly linked to the biology of the targeted species. While some 79 reserves can show increased biomass, density and diversity of species within a few years, 80 the response of slow-growing organisms to protection is expected to require a much longer 81 time frame (Halpern and Warner, 2002). Information on life history traits and recovery times 82 of protected species is essential to ensure a powerful link between biological responses and 83 policy that is often not achieved (Gnanalingam and Hepburn, 2015). Recovery of an 84 impacted system is regarded as the return of that system to conditions similar to the pre-85 disturbance state (Clark et al., 2015; Williams et al., 2010). Since deep-water ecosystems generally harbour slow-growing and long-lived species (Clark et al., 2015), protection 86 87 measures need to be long-lasting to ensure recovery and retention of these habitats. In 88 addition to the duration of protection, size, location, extent of ongoing fisheries and 89 enforcement of regulations can influence the success of a conservation area (Edgar et al., 90 2014). Despite the growing number of conservation measures, long-term studies on the 91 recovery of benthic deep-water communities from fisheries impacts remain scarce (Clark et 92 al., 2015).

To protect dense aggregations of the two dominant octocoral species, *Primnoa resedaeformis* and *Paragorgia arborea*, a 424-km<sup>2</sup> conservation area was established in 2002 by the Canadian government in the Northeast Channel in Atlantic Canada. A "restricted bottom fishing zone" covers ~ 90% of the NECCCA and is completely closed to bottom fishing gear, while the remaining 10% is a "limited bottom fishing zone", open to bottom longline fishing with an at-sea observer (ESSIM Planning Office, 2006). The legislation of the

99 NECCCA is provided by the Fisheries Act that prohibits the destruction and detrimental 100 alteration of fish habitat (Department of Justice Canada, 1985). While dense coral 101 aggregations were recorded at depths < 500 m prior to the establishment of the NECCCA 102 (Mortensen et al., 2005), distribution patterns of corals in deeper ranges remained largely 103 unknown at that time and the deep boundaries of the conservation area were placed on a 104 precautionary approach.

105 The deep-water coral communities in the NECCCA were sampled on 3 occasions 106 since 2001 (Lacharité and Metaxas, 2013; Mortensen and Buhl-Mortensen, 2004; Mortensen 107 and Buhl-Mortensen, 2005; Mortensen et al., 2005; Watanabe et al., 2009). Here, we 108 combine these datasets with new data collected in 2014 to explore changes in the deep-109 water coral assemblages over 13 years. In a resilient ecosystem, we would expect coral 110 aggregations to return to pre-impacted conditions after the fisheries impact ceased (Williams 111 et al., 2010). However, since fishing activities have a long history in the Gulf of Maine and 112 coral bycatch has been reported over the last century (Breeze et al., 1997), the pre-113 disturbance state of the monitored coral assemblages remains unknown.

114 To assess whether "highest densities of coral communities are effectively protected" in the NECCCA, we investigated whether: (1) signs of recovery of coral communities were 115 116 present at different sampling locations 12 years after the fisheries closure; and whether (2) 117 the boundaries of the NECCCA were placed appropriately. Firstly, we monitored shifts in 118 abundance and size of the two dominant octocoral species at depths < 700 m over 13 years. 119 Coral size is regarded a fundamental life-history trait and changes over time can provide 120 information on responses of coral populations to disturbances such as from fishing activities 121 (McClanahan et al., 2008). We present the first long-term observations of population 122 dynamics in these protected deep-water octocoral communities. We assumed the 123 observations in 2001 to represent the impacted state of coral communities, while the dataset 124 in 2014 could potentially show first indications of recovery 12 years after the establishment of 125 the NECCCA. Secondly, we analysed coral distribution in the offshore deeper margins of the 126 conservation area (> 900 m depth) which have not been studied before, to evaluate the

127 current placement of the NECCCA boundaries. Dives conducted off the southwest boundary 128 of the NECCCA provided information on coral communities immediately outside the 129 conservation area. These data were used to assess the potential of a possible extension of 130 the boundaries of the conservation area.

131

132

133 2. Materials and Methods

134

135 2.1 Study Area and Sites

The Northeast Channel is situated between Browns Bank and Georges Bank and provides the only deep passage into the Gulf of Maine (Ramp et al., 1985). Water circulation is largely influenced by tidal currents, where the inflowing water into the Gulf is composed of Warm Slope Water and Labrador Slope Water, while the outflow mainly consists of Maine Intermediate Water (Ramp et al., 1985). Along this Channel multiple canyons are found at depths of ~ 300 – 1100 m (Twomey and Signell, 2013) that harbour deep-water coral aggregations (Metaxas and Davis, 2005; Mortensen and Buhl-Mortensen, 2004).

143 Coral communities in and around the NECCCA in the Gulf of Maine were sampled 144 with the ROV ROPOS in August 2001, July 2006, August 2010 and June 2014 (Fig. 1). Data 145 collected in 2001 were used to establish the NECCCA in 2002 (Mortensen et al., 2005) and 146 this is considered the baseline dataset.

Abundance of the two dominant octocoral species, *Primnoa resedaeformis* and *Paragorgia arborea*, was documented at three locations (site 1, site 2, site X; Fig. 2, Table 1) and size frequency distributions at four sites (site 1, 2, X, Z) inside the NECCCA between 26 and 27 June 2014. Mortensen and Buhl-Mortensen (2004) reported coral abundances for ROV dives in 2001, the location of which partially overlapped with our sites 1 and 2; we measured size frequency distributions at these locations using video collected in 2001. Both parameters were also investigated at site X and site Z in 2006 (Watanabe et al., 2009).

154 Details on the methods and data collection can be found in Mortensen and Buhl-Mortensen 155 (2004) and Watanabe et al. (2009).

Additional dives were conducted inside (Fig. 1, Table 2; R1359, 2010) the NECCCA, along the deep boundary (R979, 2006 and R1358, 2010) and outside the NECCCA (R1705, 2014) to determine coral distribution and abundance at depths of 685 – 1583 m.

159 In 2014, ROV tracks were reconstructed with 1 Hz positioning data. The Ocean Floor 160 Observation Protocol 3.3.5.i (OFOP) was used to remove obvious outliers and smooth the 161 tracks. Using OFOP, dive tracks were linked to videos and all coral locations were annotated.

162

163 2.2 Abundance

164 In 2014, we measured coral abundance using 10 (site 1, site 2) or 8 (site X) parallel 165 video transects of ~100 m in length taken by a downward-oriented HD camera at altitudes of 2.7 - 4.3 m above the seafloor. The downward-looking position was adjusted during the 166 167 transects to account for changes in the slope of the seafloor. To avoid underestimation of the 168 field of view due to slightly varying angles of the camera, corals were only counted if they 169 appeared on the same plane as 2 scaling lasers. To minimize perspective distortion towards the sides of the 16:9 frame, 1/6 on both sides of the video frame were not included in the 170 171 analysis, leading to a 10.7:9 aspect ratio. Every coral colony that was inside the remaining 172 2/3 of the frame width was counted, but colonies were excluded if their base was outside the 173 defined area. For dense aggregations of *P. resedaeformis*, individual bases were often 174 indistinguishable and the extent of colonies was estimated based on their shape and the 175 assumed position of the bases.

The width of field of view was measured every 10 m along transects and averaged for each 100-m transect. In strong currents, the ROV moved laterally which also altered the camera angle (e.g. in case of a 90° change of direction of the ROV the camera aspect ratio would change from 16:9 to 9:16). The angle for lateral movement of the ROV was calculated using the forward and sideward velocity vectors provided by ROPOS, which relate the course over ground to the heading. This angle was used to calculate an angle-corrected field of

view. The actual length of each transect was measured in ArcGIS 10.1. The area analysed
per transect was the product of the width of the field of view and transect length. Abundance
of coral colonies was calculated for each transect relative to the transect area.

185 For the first transect at site 1 and site 2, the positioning signal was not stable. For 186 these sections, contiguous frame grabs were taken from the video and the analysed area 187 was measured for each image. At site X, recording of the downward-looking camera failed 188 during the first 5 transects. Additionally, the downward-looking setup could not be maintained 189 during sections of the remaining 3 transects because of a rapid change in topography. Only 3 190 transects (54, 55 and 71 m in length, respectively) on top of the steep feature were suitable 191 for analysis. To better represent coral patterns for the entire site, video footage from the 192 forward-looking camera was also utilised at this site. In this case, width of field of view was 193 measured every 5 m. Overall, 9 transects of different lengths were analysed that were 194 divided into three categories: lower plateau, wall and upper plateau. For two transects along 195 the wall, only the middle 40% of the frame area could be used due to the camera angle. For 196 sections where the ROV was moving vertically along the steep wall, the 3D transect length was calculated in ArcGIS. Since the forward-facing camera was laterally adjustable, the 197 198 width of field of view was not angle-corrected. A higher smoothing level was applied to the 199 positioning data to minimize overestimation of transect length by erratic positioning signals 200 that occurred particularly at the wall parts.

201 Sections of transects in dives R639 and R640 in 2001 were done at site 1. Dive R640 directly intersected the transect area sampled in 2014, while dive R639 only reached the 202 203 northwest corner of site 1 at the end of the dive. Dives R637 and R642 in 2001 directly 204 intersected the abundance transects done at site 2 in 2014, while dives R636 and R637 were 205 done at a maximum distance of 150 m from the measurements in 2014. Abundances of 206 corals were reported by Mortensen and Buhl-Mortensen (2004) for the entire length of each 207 dive in 2001. Watanabe et al. (2009) presented abundance of corals around site X (dive 208 R974-NEC3) and site Z (dive R974-NEC4 and R978-NEC4) in 25-m depth intervals, without

providing precise locations of the analysed area. For those sites, we used abundances thatwere in the same depth range as the dives in 2014.

211

212 For the deeper dives in 2010 (R1358, R1359) and 2014 (R1705) a different approach 213 was used to determine coral abundance because these dives covered large areas and were 214 done along individual long transects. Images from the downward-looking camera were taken 215 every 10 m along transects and reduced by 1/6 on each side. Coral abundance was related 216 to the analysed area measured in each frame. Additionally, every coral seen in the forward-217 facing camera was annotated to detect potentially rare coral species. Visual identification 218 was particularly difficult in case taxa were rare ( $n \le 3$ ) and no close-ups of the colonies could 219 be obtained. These corals are not included here.

Image subsamples taken every minute along transects during a ROV dive (R979) at the southeast boundary of the NECCCA between 1410 and 1583 m depth in 2006 were not analysed in detail and only used to confirm the presence of corals annotated in the live logs during the dive.

224

## 225 *2.3* Size frequency

226 Size frequency distribution of *P. resedaeformis* and *P. arborea* was measured at two 227 sites in 2001 (site 1, site 2) and at four sites in 2014 (site 1, site 2, site X, site Z). In 2014, the 228 ROV landed on the seafloor and the forward-looking camera including scaling lasers (10 cm apart) directly pointed onto the coral colony to be measured. If the lasers could not be 229 230 projected onto the colony, they were placed onto the same plane, e.g. the substrate. To 231 minimize the error of 2D measurements in a 3D environment, only colonies growing in a ~ 232 90° (± 20°) angle to the camera were measured. Overturned but alive colonies were 233 included, while colonies with mainly dead branches were excluded. Corals growing on a wall 234 were measured while the ROV was moving. A few colonies of P. arborea were measured 235 when the ROV was not touching the ground, but the  $90^{\circ} \pm 20^{\circ}$  requirement was maintained. 236 Colony length was defined as the linear extension along the main direction of growth starting at the base of the coral. A box was projected around each colony and its dimensions
specified length and width of the colony. For tall colonies of *P. arborea* with very large bases,
length was measured from the estimated origin of the main stem. Frame grabs of every
colony were taken with OFOP and size was measured with the image processing software
ImageJ.

For 2001, Mortensen and Buhl-Mortensen (2005) reported size frequency distributions pooled along different locations in the Northeast Channel, including data from ROV ROPOS dives. We extracted coral images from these videos for our specific locations at site 1 and site 2 that met the same requirements for camera angle and laser pointers as in 2014. A 150-m radius was drawn around the location of coral measurements in 2014 and only corals within this radius were measured for 2001. Size frequency distribution was measured at site 1 and site 2 mostly while the ROV was moving.

Watanabe et al. (2009) reported size frequency distributions around site X and site Z in 50-m depth intervals. At site X, we extracted size data at the corresponding depth range of 600 - 700 m. Since this yielded only 7 measurements for *P. arborea*, data from 700 - 750 m depth were added to increase sample size. At site Z, coral sizes measured at depths of 650 -700 m were extracted for comparison with sizes in 2014.

During abundance transects at site 2, a fishing line was observed in 2014. In 2001, a fishing line was documented 50 – 80 m northwest of the 2014 observations. No line was found there in 2014. Since both lines seem to follow a similar pattern, we assume it is the same fishing line and that there was a positional offset in the 2001 dataset. Based on this offset, we believe that the 150-m radius around the 2014 measurement locations should include all colonies in the direct proximity measured in 2001.

260

## 261 2.4 Statistical Analyses

Mean coral sizes were compared between 2001 and 2014 at site 1 and 2 and between 2006 and 2014 at site X and Z with Student's t-tests, if variances were homogenous and distributions were normal. Welch's t-test was used in cases of heterogeneous variances.

265 If distribution was not normal, data were In-transformed. This led to an approximate normal 266 distribution only for *P. resedaeformis* at site Z. If normal distribution could not be achieved 267 using transformations, Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon tests were conducted instead.

Since size frequency distributions were not normal for all sampling locations, we tested differences in coral size among site 1, site 2, site X and site Z using Kruskal-Wallis rank sum tests. Pairwise comparisons were done using Wilcoxon rank sum tests with Bonferroni adjusted p-values.

All analyses were conducted in R Studio (Version 0.98.1103).

- 273
- 274

275 **3. Results** 

276

## 277 3.1 Spatial and temporal patterns in abundance

Abundance of corals ranged between 2.06 and 53.66 colonies 100 m<sup>-2</sup> for P. 278 279 resedaeformis, and 0.12 and 7.54 colonies 100 m<sup>-2</sup> for *P. arborea* in 2014 (Table 3). The 280 minimum detectable size was 4 cm and although two smaller colonies of P. arborea were 281 observed during one transect at site 2, they were not included in the analysis. Abundance 282 was lowest at site 1 for both species where no corals were found on two transects, and a 283 total of 3 colonies of *P. arborea* were recorded (Fig. 3A). Abundance was similar to 2001 for 284 P. resedaeformis and slightly lower for P. arborea at this site. Highest abundances were found at site 2 for both species where a maximum of 234 P. resedaeformis and 30 P. 285 286 arborea occurred per transect (Fig. 3B). Abundance at this site was much higher in 2014 287 than in 2001 for both species (Table 3). At site X, abundance of P. resedaeformis was 288 slightly higher in 2014 compared to the same depth range in 2006. Abundance of P. arborea was > 10x higher in 2014 than in 2006, when Watanabe et al. (2009) observed only 2 289 290 colonies. Most colonies of P. arborea (55 out of 87, Table 4) were found on the steep wall 291 (Fig. 3C). The ROV transect in 2006 crossed through the observed steep features only once.

*P. resedaeformis* was by far the dominant species at all locations, but at the wall it was only
slightly more abundant than *P. arborea*.

294 Statistical comparisons of abundance data among the different years were not 295 possible due to different sampling and analysis approaches in 2001, 2006 and 2014.

296

297 3.2 Size frequency distribution

Across all years, length of *P. resedaeformis* ranged from 8 to 134 cm (Table 5). There was a single colony < 10 cm in length (site 2, 2014), and the tallest colony was found at site X in 2014. Colonies were significantly larger at site X than all other sites in 2014 (Wilcoxon rank sum test, site X vs. 1: p = 0.012; site X vs. 2 and Site X vs. Z: p < 0.001) and were significantly smaller at site 2 than at site X and site 1 (p = 0.032).

303 Colonies of *P. resedaeformis* at site 1 covered a similar size range in 2001 and 2014, 304 lacking colonies < 20 cm in length. Colonies were on average larger in 2001 than in 2014, 305 but not significantly so (Student's t-test, p = 0.233) and the sample size was low (n = 12) in 306 2001. At site 2, mean colony length did not change significantly between 2001 and 2014 307 (Welch's t-test, p = 0.874), but the range of size classes was wider in 2014 (Table 5). At site 308 X, colonies of P. resedaeformis were significantly larger in 2014 than in 2006 (Mann-309 Whitney-Wilcoxon test, p < 0.001) and the skewness of the distribution was lower due to a 310 larger number of large corals in 2014 (Fig. 4). This led to an approximately normal 311 distribution in 2014, while the few colonies in larger size classes caused a right-tailed distribution in 2006. Significant positive skewness was indicated for the distribution in 2006 312 (Table 5), but the large sample sizes (here n = 159) suggest caution in the interpretation 313 314 (Field et al., 2012). At site Z, corals were slightly larger in 2014 than in 2006, with a broader 315 size range because of a small number of large corals in the high size classes. Both 316 distributions were right tailed.

The length of *P. arborea* over all sites ranged from 4 to 227 cm (Fig. 5, Table 6). The smallest colonies on average were found at site 2 and the largest at site 1 in 2014. Colonies were significantly smaller at site 2 than at site 1 and site Z in 2014 (Wilcoxon rank sum test,

320 site 2 vs. 1: p = 0.041, site 2 vs. Z: p = 0.017). At site 1, mean size did not vary significantly 321 between years (Student's t-test, p = 0.970) and was highest of all sampling sites, although 322 sample sizes were small for both years. At site 2, colonies were significantly smaller in 2014 323 than in 2001 (Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test, p = 0.013). Multiple colonies were observed in 324 the smallest size classes in 2014, including 3 colonies < 10 cm. Skewness of the distribution 325 changed from slightly negative in 2001 to positive in 2014 due to the prevalence of small 326 colonies in 2014. At site X, colony size did not change significantly over time. At 600 - 700 m 327 depth, only 7 colonies were measured that were on average larger in 2006than in 2014 but 328 not significantly so (Student's t-test, p = 0.746). Colony size at 600 - 750 m in 2006 was 329 smaller than in 2014 (Welch's t-test, p = 0.473). Size range was wider in 2014 (178 cm) than 330 in 2006 (78 and 107 cm), including multiple colonies < 10 cm long and a few very large 331 individuals (133, 162 and 185 cm). At site Z, colonies were significantly larger in 2014 than 332 colonies at 650 - 700 m in 2006 (Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test, p = 0.005). The distribution 333 was shifted towards larger colonies in 2014 where the smallest colony was 47 cm long, 334 whereas in 2006, the smallest coral colony was 25 cm (Fig. 5).

335

### 336 3.3 Patterns in coral abundance at the deeper margins of the NECCCA

337 Seventeen coral taxa were recorded during the three dives between 700 and 1500 m 338 depth (Fig. 6, Table 7) and Acanella sp. and Anthomastus sp. were among the numerically most dominant corals. Coral abundance and diversity was lowest at the deepest dive (1239 -339 340 1521 m), and most of the corals were present at the shallower (~1300 m), southern section 341 of the dive (Fig. 7). In contrast, corals were present throughout the other deep dive within the 342 NECCCA (Fig. 8). Abundance was greatest for Anthomastus, but this taxon was sparse in 343 the southwest section of the dive. Many colonies (> 200) of Acanella, the whip coral 344 Radicipes sp., a Keratoisis-like bamboo coral and the sea pen Halipteris sp. were recorded, 345 mostly in the deeper section of the dive (transect 2, > 1000 m). During dive R1705, 346 Anthomastus and colonies of the family Nephtheidae were the numerically dominant taxa 347 (Table 7), with most Nephtheidae occurring on the most shallow transect (700 - 800 m, Fig.

9). Due to their transparent texture, corals of the family Nephtheidae were difficult to detect and we likely underestimated their abundance. *Acanella* and other Isidids were observed in high numbers ( $n \ge 195$ ) over the entire dive with only a few *Keratoisis*-like colonies at the shallow transect (Fig. 9D). A small white sea pen (Order Pennatulacea) was present on this dive but was difficult to see resulting in underestimates of abundance.

353 All coral taxa were identified visually by their morphotype from HD videos of the two 354 cameras. For corals showing very characteristic features or occurring frequently (Acanella, 355 Anthomastus, Halipteris, Paragorgia, Primnoa, Pennatula) identification was more accurate than for rare and small taxa. Acanthogorgia-like, Anthothela-like, Clavularia-like and 356 357 Radicipes-like corals were observed and are also presented with the genus name. Due to 358 their shape, smaller size or low abundance detailed identification was difficult for some 359 observations. Close-ups for some of these records were obtained and corals were identified 360 as belonging to these taxa. Similar looking colonies were labelled accordingly. Many 361 observations of white bamboo corals were obtained and most of the larger colonies were 362 likely Keratoisis sp., but especially small corals of 1 - 2 branches could not be identified in 363 detail, although they could be recruits of Keratoisis. These observations are grouped as 364 "Isididae b". Since another taxa of the family Isididae could clearly be distinguished, they are 365 listed separately as "Isididae a" (Table 7). Small corals, such as the cup corals Javania sp. 366 and *Desmophyllum* sp. are often difficult to distinguish visually and are easily missed on 367 videos taken by the wide angled forward-looking camera and actual abundance could thus 368 be higher than presented.

369

The presence of *Acanella* and *Anthomastus* was confirmed from images taken along the southeast boundary of the Coral Conservation Area at 1410 – 1583 m depth in 2006.

371

370

372

## 373 4. Discussion

374

375 4.1 Fisheries impact and recovery potential of coral communities

We found signs of potential recovery at some of our sampling locations 12 years after the fisheries closure, as indicated by higher coral abundance and the presence of some very large colonies and of recruits. However, the absence of small colonies at other sampling sites indicated slow recovery potential from fisheries impact which is generally assumed to be the case for benthic deep-sea ecosystems (Clark et al., 2015). We assumed that abundance and size distributions at site 1 and site 2 in 2001 (1 year before the closure came into effect) indicate the impacted state of coral communities.

383

384 The presence of higher numbers of large colonies in 2014 than in previous years 385 could indicate recovery from fisheries impact. Bycatch and damage of corals by lines and 386 nets likely has a bigger impact on large colonies, since they are more easily entangled in 387 fishing gear than small recruits (Krieger, 2001). In Atlantic Canada, Mortensen et al. (2005) 388 observed a lower average size for colonies of P. arborea in 2001 than reported by Verrill 389 (1922) for the late 19th century. They speculated that Verrill's dataset may have been biased 390 towards large specimens, since their sampling material was mainly collected as bycatch from longlines. The establishment of the NECCCA in 2002 should have enabled the growth of 391 392 large colonies that were experiencing fisheries-induced mortality previously. The largest 393 colonies of both species were found at all sites (except for *P. resedaeformis* at site 1) in 394 2014. To a certain extent, this may be explained by the continued growth of tall colonies that 395 were not damaged by fishing gear inside the conservation area. This can be regarded as a 396 sign of recovery of the system now harbouring colonies in large size classes that likely were 397 abundant at the pre-impact state. However, growth rates for *P. resedaeformis* are assumed 398 to decrease with age (Mortensen and Buhl-Mortensen, 2005; Sherwood and Edinger, 2009) 399 and full regrowth of tall colonies requires a much longer time frame than the study period of 400 13 years.

The significantly higher average size of *P. resedaeformis* in 2014 at site X than at all other sampling locations, and the presence of colonies > 90 cm in 2006 and 2014 could indicate low fisheries impact in terms of removal of large colonies. The steep terrain features

404 might have limited access of bottom fishing gear to this area. Similarly, Clark et al. (2010)
405 found intact coral colonies on a section of a seamount that was too heterogeneous for
406 fishing.

407

408 Signs of recovery of coral communities in terms of abundance and recruitment were 409 variable among our four sampling sites. Reproductive output in some octocorals is known to 410 increase with size (Coma et al., 1995; Santangelo et al., 2003) and thus the removal of large 411 colonies could have led indirectly to an overall lower recruitment rate of the coral populations. 412 Without fisheries-induced mortality, signs of recovery would be manifested as increased 413 coral abundance over time due to successful recruitment, in turn indicated by the presence of 414 small colonies. Growth rates of small-sized colonies of P. resedaeformis can only be 415 estimated from very few observations, while data for *P. arborea* are lacking completely. Growth rates of ~2 cm yr<sup>-1</sup> were reported for colonies of *P. resedaeformis* < 30 years old 416 417 (Buhl-Mortensen and Mortensen, 2005) and the largest recruit of *P. resedaeformis* found on 418 settlement collectors deployed for 4 years in the NECCCA was 1.2 cm in size (Lacharité and 419 Metaxas, 2013) leading to a minimum possible growth rate of 0.3 cm yr<sup>-1</sup>. A recruit of P. 420 resedaeformis in the NECCCA at 863 m grew from ~1.7 to ~23.2 cm in 8 years leading to a growth rate of ~2.7 cm yr<sup>-1</sup> (Bennecke et al., in press). From these observations, we assume 421 422 a time span of ~15 years to be sufficient to yield newly recruited colonies of *P. resedaeformis* 423  $\sim$ 20 cm in size. However, this assumption is based on single observation and certainly not 424 every colony < 20 cm will have recruited in the past 15 years.

In 2014, successful recruitment was indicated by the presence of small colonies (< 20 cm) at two of our four sites for each of the two coral species. In striking contrast were the patterns at the two shallow sites (440 - 490 m) between 2001 and 2014. With low abundance of both species before and after the NECCCA establishment and the lack of small colonies, site 1 seems to harbour comparably old coral communities. The smallest colony of *P. arborea* was 72 cm long. Assuming growth rates of about 1.6 cm yr<sup>-1</sup> (Sherwood and Edinger, 2009), the last recruitment event of *P. arborea* must have occurred ~45 years ago. Since

432 only a few colonies of *P. arborea* were found overall, recruitment appears to be very sporadic at this location. Over the study period, the presence of large colonies alone was not sufficient 433 434 to suggest successful recruitment of both coral species. At this location, we found the lowest 435 coral abundance of all sampling sites. Abundance may have been too low to sustain a 436 community and ensure recovery capacity. Contrasting patterns were found at site 2, where 437 the presence of colonies < 20 cm and higher abundances of P. resedaeformis and P. 438 arborea in 2014 than in 2001 suggested successful recruitment. These observations indicate 439 some recovery capacity of these coral communities.

440 Spatial variation among coral communities is likely influenced by various parameters 441 including larval supply, substrate composition and availability or biological disturbances, such 442 as by the high abundance of brittle stars observed in the Northeast Channel (Metaxas and 443 Giffin, 2004). Predictive habitat models have shown P. arborea and P. resedaeformis to 444 preferably inhabit slopes or topographic highs (Bryan and Metaxas, 2007; Tong et al., 2012). 445 While the abundance of *P. resedaeformis* was lower at the steep wall features at site X 446 compared to areas of lower slope in 2014, P. arborea occurred more frequently on these 447 features than at plateaus. These small-scale terrain features may reflect different preferred 448 habitats of these two species that often, but not always, co-occur. Site 1, where coral 449 abundance was extremely low and no recruits were found, and site X are located 1 km apart 450 and separated by a 200-m depth gradient. These observations indicate the important 451 influence of small-scale patterns on population dynamics of deep-water octocorals. The 452 critical role of local patterns has been suggested previously based on differences in size and 453 abundance of coral recruits at site X and Z between 2006 and 2010 (Lacharité and Metaxas, 454 2013).

455

The low frequency of colonies of *P. resedaeformis* < 20 cm (except at Site 2 in 2014) could indicate low recruitment overall. However, recruitment of *P. resedaeformis* may be cryptic and at least some of the colonies in our study may have been aggregations of multiple smaller colonies. In dense aggregations of *P. resedaeformis*, it was often difficult to

460 identify individual colonies and coral branches without a distinguishable separate base were regarded as belonging to a single colony. However, basal structures of old colonies of 461 462 Primnoa spp. can provide settlement substrate for recruits (Andrews et al., 2002; Krieger, 463 2001), and a single specimen may be composed of colonies of different ages. We observed 464 recruits of *P. resedaeformis* at the base of an old colony at site X, but could not measure 465 them accurately and did not include them in our analysis. The complete lack of small corals 466 at site 1 and X in 2014 could have been the result of a limitation of the non-invasive sampling 467 method. In contrast, the presence of multiple colonies < 20 cm at sites 2 and Z indicated recent recruitment. Continuous recruitment of P. resedaeformis was suggested for our 468 469 sampling sites X and Z, where P. resedaeformis recruited between 2006 and 2010 (Lacharité 470 and Metaxas, 2013). Most recruits were in the primary polyp stage and high mortality of this 471 life stage was assumed (Lacharité and Metaxas, 2013). High post-settlement mortality and 472 cryptic recruitment may explain the apparent lack of small colonies at some of our sites.

473

474 Overall, most size-frequency distributions of P. resedaeformis were normal with few 475 very small and few very large colonies. Similarly, Watanabe et al. (2009) found that the most 476 abundant size class for the same species in Northeast Channel was 50 cm. Approximate 477 normal distributions of size frequency measurements were also found for some populations 478 of the gorgonian corals *Paramuricea clavata* and *Eunicella singularis* in the Mediterranean 479 Sea (Gori et al., 2011; Linares et al., 2008). For P. resedaeformis, decreasing growth rates 480 with age (Mortensen and Buhl-Mortensen, 2005; Sherwood and Edinger, 2009) and low 481 mortality of large colonies may explain the accumulation of corals in a size range of 40 - 70482 cm. Reduced mortality rates with size have been observed for *Paramuricea* spp. in the Gulf 483 of Mexico (Doughty et al., 2014). In contrast, determinate colony size may explain the low 484 frequency of very large corals, as in the shallow-water Caribbean octocoral 485 Pseudopterogorgia elisabethae (Lasker et al., 2003). Drag forces over-turning large corals 486 (Mortensen and Buhl-Mortensen, 2005; Tunnicliffe and Syvitski, 1983; Watanabe et al., 487 2009) could also induce a maximum size limit of colonies (Lasker et al., 2003). However,

488 observations of broken colonies of *P. resedaeformis* were infrequent at our sampling489 locations.

- 490
- 491
- 492

# 4.2 Limitations of temporal comparisons

493 Abundance was estimated in 2001 (Mortensen and Buhl-Mortensen, 2004), 2006 494 (Watanabe et al., 2009) and 2014 (this study) using different methods, possibly leading to 495 uncertainties in the comparisons. In 2001, coral abundance was reported for entire dives and 496 was not restricted to our sampling locations but rather intersecting them (Mortensen and 497 Buhl-Mortensen, 2004). Still, similar trends were observed in 2001 as in 2014 with lower 498 coral abundance around site 1 and higher at site 2. The higher abundances we observed at 499 site 2 in 2014 compared to 2001 may partly be explained by better video quality. The 500 minimum detectable coral size during abundance transects was 4 cm in 2014, and 7 cm in 501 2001 (Mortensen and Buhl-Mortensen, 2004). However, if we only include observations of 502 corals > 7 cm in 2014, abundances were still higher than in 2001 (52 colonies 100 m<sup>-2</sup> for P. resedaeformis and 6.5 colonies 100 m<sup>-2</sup> for *P. arborea*). Although site 2 could also have been 503 504 an area of particularly high abundance, while other areas had lower coral numbers in 2001, 505 most sections of the four dives in 2001 were conducted < 150 m away from the transects in 506 2014. We therefore maintain that the higher coral abundance in 2014 is likely explained by 507 successful recruitment since 2001. Watanabe et al. (2009) reported a detection limit of 8 cm for the measurements of abundance and size at sites X and Z in 2006. We observed only 508 509 one colony of *P. arborea* < 8 cm at site X in 2014 and thus assume that the error induced by 510 varying detection limits is negligible for abundance. At site X, transects crossed the wall 511 features exhibiting high densities of P. arborea multiple times in 2014, while the ROV 512 transect in 2006 only intersected this area once, which may explain the low abundance of P. 513 arborea in that year (Watanabe et al., 2009).

515 Differences in minimum detectable size between the three sampling years could have influenced size frequency measurements. Corals < 10 cm of either species were not 516 517 observed in 2001. In 2014, a single colony of P. arborea and no colony of P. resedaeformis 518 were found below the 2001 detection limit of 7 cm. The influence of the different detection 519 limits in 2001 and 2014 is thus assumed to be minimal for size frequency distributions. In 520 2006 and 2014, no colonies of *P. resedaeformis* < 10 cm were found at site X and Z during 521 size measurements, while four colonies of *P. arborea* < 8 cm were present at site X in 2014. 522 It is possible that colonies in that size class were also abundant but were not detected in 2006. 523

The significant size increase of *P. resedaeformis* in 2014 compared to 2006 at site X might be attributed to the different sampling tracks. While we measured corals around the steep features, Watanabe et al. (2009) likely included many corals from the upper and lower plateau that could have had a different size structure.

These potential impacts of varying sampling methods demonstrate the importance of regular and consistent monitoring in the NECCCA to evaluate the effectiveness of the protection measures over time. Consistent monitoring practices will be needed to be able to document fine-scale changes in the coral communities.

532

533

534 *4.3* Effectiveness of coral conservation – coral recovery

In general, the effectiveness of conservation areas is associated with large size, long 535 closure periods and good enforcement (Claudet et al., 2008; Edgar et al., 2014), when 536 537 beneficial relationships of conservation areas with species richness, biomass, density and 538 size of fish and invertebrates are often observed in shallow-water reserves (Lester et al., 539 2009). The vast majority of these studies focus on fish abundance, while only few include 540 benthic sessile communities. Protection of deep-water coral habitats mainly occurred over 541 the past 15 years (Davies et al., 2007) and long-term datasets for their recovery potential and 542 conservation efficiency remain scarce.

543 The effectiveness of a specific conservation management action needs to be evaluated in relation to its conservation objectives. The NECCCA was established to protect 544 545 dense communities of mainly two octocoral species (ESSIM Planning Office, 2006). While 546 fisheries can have detrimental effects on deep-water coral ecosystems (Edinger et al., 2007; 547 Krieger, 2001; Mortensen et al., 2005), other factors such as temperature, substrate 548 composition and water flow also affect coral distribution (Roberts et al., 2009). Many of these 549 factors, including globally rising temperatures, cannot be managed locally and thus the 550 management of conservation areas is largely restricted to local human activities. In addition to the fisheries management, research activities in the NECCCA are regulated by Fisheries 551 552 and Oceans Canada and a moratorium on oil and gas exploration for the Georges Bank area 553 comprising the Northeast Channel currently adds to the coral protection. Fisheries 554 management is enforced by surveillance overflights and vessel-based controls (Breeze and 555 Fenton, 2007).

556 The signs of recovery from fisheries impact observed at site 2 (increased abundance, 557 presence colonies < 20 cm and of very large colonies) suggest that the fisheries closure can 558 be effective in protecting and sustaining coral communities. However, the variability in 559 patterns among sampling locations within the NECCCA calls for long-term protection to allow 560 full recovery of all coral habitats. For the octocoral Corallium rubrum, it was estimated that a time span of 14 years of protection was not sufficient for its recovery from commercial 561 562 harvesting in shallow waters (Tsounis et al., 2006) and recovery of seamount ecosystems harbouring aggregations of Solenosmilia variabilis failed 5 - 10 years after trawling had 563 564 ceased (Williams et al., 2010). Watanabe et al. (2009) observed extremely low coral 565 abundance at a distance of 6 km from the southwest boundary of the NECCCA at 650 - 900 566 m depths and suggested that the effects of continuing fishing activities may prevent 567 settlement of recruits. This underscores the value of the NECCCA as an area without 568 fisheries disturbance that can allow the growth of coral communities. Documented coral 569 locations outside the NECCCA in 2000 and 2001 could be used as further reference points

570 for comparisons with protected communities. However, the densest coral aggregations 571 observed at that time were inside the NECCCA.

572 Since deep-water ecosystems are influenced by multiple factors, the fisheries ban 573 alone can only explain partially the observed patterns. Measurements of *in situ* growth rates 574 and drivers of recruitment and connectivity of coral aggregations inside the conservation area 575 that may explain the variation in community structure at our sampling sites are necessary to 576 accurately estimate recovery times.

577 In summary, partial recovery was indicated by the presence of large colonies at all sampling sites, while indications of recovery through recruitment and increased abundance 578 579 were only found at some of the locations. Thus, the conservation measures were effective in 580 protecting existing coral communities and allowing the growth of large colonies. However, for a system to fully recover from fisheries induced mortality, successful recruitment is 581 necessary. Higher abundance and small colonies were only documented at some of our 582 583 sampling sites in 2014. The studied time-span was not sufficient to allow recovery of all 584 studied coral communities.

585

586

587

#### 4.4 Effectiveness of coral conservation - placement of boundaries

588 In 2002, abundance of *P. resedaeformis* and *P. arborea* had been mainly 589 documented at depths < 500 m (Mortensen et al., 2005) and the placement of the southeast 590 boundary of the NECCCA was based on a precautionary approach to protect potential coral 591 habitats at greater depths (Breeze and Fenton, 2007; ESSIM Planning Office, 2006). After 592 the fisheries closure, Watanabe et al. (2009) reported these corals to occur in depths of 865 593 and 910 m, respectively. In our study, the deepest P. arborea colony was found at 1074 m 594 inside and at 909 m outside the NECCCA, while *P. resedaeformis* outside the NECCCA was 595 only observed at a maximum depth of 757 m. Abundance of both species is reported to 596 decline with depth in the Northwest Atlantic (Quattrini et al., 2015; Watanabe et al., 2009) 597 and although they have a similar depth range, abundance of *P. arborea* peaks at greater

598 depths than P. resedue formis (Bryan and Metaxas, 2006; Watanabe et al., 2009). In this 599 study, the abundance of *P. resedaeformis* decreased below 700 m and other coral species 600 occurred more frequently with diverse coral communities at 900 - 1200 m inside the 601 NECCCA. Changes in coral communities with depth likely occur in relation to patterns in 602 environmental parameters (Baker et al., 2012). In the northeast Pacific, the composition of 603 the most abundant coral families varied with depth (Etnoyer and Morgan, 2005) suggesting 604 differences in habitat preferences. Protection of these diverse coral habitats is needed for 605 conservation measures to follow a holistic approach (Baker et al., 2012). The newly documented coral assemblages other than the comparably shallow aggregations of P. 606 607 resedaeform is and P. arborea have been successfully protected in the NECCCA due to the 608 precautionary placement of the southeast boundary.

Although corals were present along the southeast boundary of the NECCCA between 1239 and 1521 m, their abundance and diversity was lower than at shallower dives. Due to their morphology the dominant taxa in these areas, *Anthomastus* and *Acanella*, are considered to be of lower sensitivity to physical disturbance than sea fans (Clark et al., 2015) found along shallower transects. If the main purpose of the NECCCA is to protect regions of high diversity and abundance of corals, the placement of the southeast boundary of this area appears to be adequate.

616

617 There has been a global trend for fisheries to extend to increasing depths over the last centuries (Morato et al., 2006), including in the North Atlantic. Fishing with bottom 618 619 contacting gear currently occurs mainly at depths < 800 m in the Canadian Maritimes region 620 (S. Coffen-Smout, DFO, pers. communication). This, however, may change in the future, highlighting the need for precautionary conservation measures. Coral diversity was high 621 622 along our transects at 685 - 1021 m depth at ~ 8 - 10 km from the southwest boundary of 623 the conservation area, harbouring some coral taxa that were not present inside the 624 NECCCA. We also observed lost fishing gear at depths of 874 and 1001 m during this dive. 625 A possible extension of the conservation area towards the southwest should be considered and could be regarded as a precautionary measure based on the anticipation that fisheriesefforts will increase at these depths in the future.

628 While the shallow northwest boundary has been studied prior to the establishment of 629 the NECCCA, the exploration of the northeast section is encouraged to further evaluate the 630 boundaries of the conservation area.

631

632

633 4.5 Management implications

634 Spatial heterogeneity was pronounced both in the temporal comparisons of coral size 635 and abundance and in the deeper parts of the conservation area. Drivers of the observed 636 heterogeneity are complex, likely influenced by small-scale patterns, and remain largely 637 unknown. The NECCCA encompasses a complex network of different habitat types, which 638 needs to be afforded full protection.

639 The precautionary approach is regarded as a fundamental tool for the conservation of 640 deep-water coral habitats (Auster, 2001, 2005; Clark et al., 2015). It is presented as one of 641 the management principles in the Coral Conservation Plan for the Maritimes (ESSIM 642 Planning Office, 2006) and was successful in protecting coral aggregations in the NECCCA. 643 Video surveys are widely used in deep-sea research and allow fine scale observations, while 644 their spatial coverage is limited. Thus, conservation measures often need to be based on a 645 precautionary approach using locally gathered data and management needs to be adaptive 646 to respond to new findings.

647 Conservation measures targeting deep-water corals need to be long-lasting due to slow 648 growth rates and mostly unknown recruitment patterns that to date have not allowed 649 accurate estimations of recovery times. Our results show that management actions with time-650 frames of < 10 years will not be sufficient to ensure full recovery of impacted deep-water 651 coral ecosystems (s. also Williams et al., 2010) and effectiveness of deep-water conservation 652 measures needs to be evaluated on a much longer time-frame. Following a holistic 653 conservation approach, a variety of coral habitats that are representative for different depth

654 ranges should be protected. Representative coral communities need to be monitored 655 consistently over time to further investigate the effectiveness of the conservation measures. 656 The NECCCA is a unique set-up for future monitoring of population dynamics in protected 657 deep-water coral communities where the recovery capacity of these systems can be studied 658 over a long time frame.

659

660

## 661 Acknowledgements

662

We thank the crews of NOAA vessel Henry B. Bigelow and ROV ROPOS for their support at 663 664 sea. Funding was provided by the Helmholtz Graduate School HOSST and GEOMAR Helmholtz Institute for Ocean Research Kiel to SB, NSERC Discovery and Shiptime 665 Allocation grants to AM and by the NOAA/NMFS Northeast Fisheries Science Center, NOAA 666 Office of Marine and Aviation Operations, and NOAA Deep-Sea Coral Research and 667 668 Technology program to Martha Nizinksi. We thank Jens Greinert (GEOMAR) for provision of 669 and assistance with the OFOP software, Kelsey Desilets, Lia Domke and Liz Nagel for their 670 assistance with video analysis and Martha Nizinksi (Smithsonian Institution) and Scott 671 France (University of Louisiana at Lafayette) for assistance with coral identification. We thank 672 two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments on an earlier version of the manuscript.

673

674

## 675 Supplementary material

676

677 S1 Locations of size frequency measurements at site 1

678 S2 Locations of size frequency measurements at site 2

679 S3 Locations of size frequency measurements at site X

680 S4 Locations of size frequency measurements at site Z

681 S5 Images of colonies of *P. resedaeformis* < 20 cm

682 S6 Images of colonies of *P. arborea* < 20 cm

- 684 References
- 685
- Althaus, F., Williams, A., Schlacher, T.A., Kloser, R.J., Green, M.A., Barker, B.A., Bax, N.J.,
   Brodie, P., Hoenlinger-Schlacher, M.A., 2009. Impacts of bottom trawling on deep coral ecosystems of seamounts are long-lasting. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 397, 279-294.
- Andrews, A.H., Cordes, E.E., Mahoney, M.M., Munk, K., Coale, K.H., Cailliet, G.M., Heifetz, J.,
  2002. Age, growth and radiometric age validation of a deep-sea, habitat-forming
  gorgonian (*Primnoa resedaeformis*) from the Gulf of Alaska. Hydrobiologia 471, 101110.
- Auster, P.J., 2001. Defining thresholds for precautionary habitat management actions in a
   fisheries context. N. Am. J. Fish. Manage. 21, 1-9.
- Auster, P.J., 2005. Are deep-water corals important habitats for fishes?, in: Freiwald, A.,
   Roberts, J.M. (Eds.), Cold-water corals and ecosystems. Springer-Verlag, Berlin
   Heidelberg, pp. 747-760.
- Baker, K.D., Wareham, V.E., Snelgrove, P.V.R., Haedrich, R.L., Fifield, D.A., Edinger, E.N.,
  Gilkinson, K.D., 2012. Distributional patterns of deep-sea coral assemblages in three
  submarine canyons off Newfoundland, Canada. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 445, 235-249.
- Bennecke, S., Kwasnitschka, T., Metaxas, A., Dullo, W.-C., in press. *In situ* growth rates of
   deep-water octocorals determined from 3D photogrammetric reconstructions. Coral
   Reefs.
- Breeze, H., Butler, M., Davis, D.S., 1997. Distribution and status of deep sea corals off Nova
   Scotia. Ecology Action Centre Halifax, Marine Issues Committee, Special Publication 1,
   Halifax, Nova Scotia.
- Breeze, H., Fenton, D.G., 2007. Designing management measures to protect cold-water
   corals off Nova Scotia, Canada. Bull. Mar. Sci. 81, 123-133.
- Brock, R., English, E., Kenchington, E., Tasker, M., 2009. The alphabet soup that protects
   cold-water corals in the North Atlantic. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 397, 355-360.
- Bryan, T.L., Metaxas, A., 2006. Distribution of deep-water corals along the North American
   continental margins: relationships with environmental factors. Deep-Sea Res. Part I
   Oceanogr. Res. Pap. 53, 1865-1879.
- Bryan, T.L., Metaxas, A., 2007. Predicting suitable habitat for deep-water gorgonian corals on
  the Atlantic and Pacific Continental Margins of North America. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.
  330, 113–126.
- Clark, M.R., Althaus, F., Schlacher, T.A., Williams, A., Bowden, D.A., Rowden, A.A., 2015. The
   impacts of deep-sea fisheries on benthic communities: a review. ICES J. Mar. Sci.,
   fsv123.
- Clark, M.R., Bowden, D.A., Baird, S.J., Stewart, R., 2010. Effects of Fishing on the Benthic
   Biodiversity of Seamounts of the "Graveyard" Complex, Northern Chatham Rise. New
   Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report. 46, 1 40.
- Claudet, J., Osenberg, C.W., Benedetti-Cecchi, L., Domenici, P., García-Charton, J.A., Pérez Ruzafa, Á., Badalamenti, F., Bayle-Sempere, J., Brito, A., Bulleri, F., 2008. Marine
   reserves: size and age do matter. Ecol. Lett. 11, 481-489.
- Coma, R., Zabala, M., Gili, J.-M., 1995. Sexual reproductive effort in the Mediterranean
   gorgonian *Paramuricea clavata*. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 117, 185-192.
- Davies, A.J., Roberts, J.M., Hall-Spencer, J., 2007. Preserving deep-sea natural heritage:
   emerging issues in offshore conservation and management. Biol. Conserv. 138, 299 312.

- De Clippele, L.H., Buhl-Mortensen, P., Buhl-Mortensen, L., 2015. Fauna associated with cold
   water gorgonians and sea pens. Cont. Shelf Res. 105, 67-78.
- Department of Justice Canada, 1985. Fisheries Act, Chapter F-14. Minister of Justice,
   available at http://laws.justice.gc.ca/en/F-14/.
- Doughty, C.L., Quattrini, A.M., Cordes, E.E., 2014. Insights into the population dynamics of
   the deep-sea coral genus *Paramuricea* in the Gulf of Mexico. Deep-Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr. 99, 71-82.
- Du Preez, C., Tunnicliffe, V., 2011. Shortspine thornyhead and rockfish (Scorpaenidae)
   distribution in response to substratum, biogenic structures and trawling. Mar. Ecol.
   Prog. Ser 425, 217-231.
- Edgar, G.J., Stuart-Smith, R.D., Willis, T.J., Kininmonth, S., Baker, S.C., Banks, S., Barrett, N.S.,
  Becerro, M.A., Bernard, A.T., Berkhout, J., 2014. Global conservation outcomes
  depend on marine protected areas with five key features. Nature 506, 216-220.
- Edinger, E.N., Baker, K.D., Devillers, R., Wareham, V., 2007. Coldwater corals off
   Newfoundland and Labrador: distribution and fisheries impacts. World Wildlife Fund,
   Toronto.
- ESSIM Planning Office, 2006. Coral conservation plan Maritimes region (2006 2010).
  Oceans and Coastal Management Report 2006-01,. 1-59.
- Etnoyer, P., Morgan, L.E., 2005. Habitat-forming deep-sea corals in the Northeast Pacific
  Ocean, in: Freiwald, A., Roberts, J.M. (Eds.), Cold-water corals and ecosystems.
  Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 331-343.
- 752 Field, A., Miles, J., Field, Z., 2012. Discovering statistics using R. Sage, London.
- Fosså, J.H., Mortensen, P.B., Furevik, D.M., 2002. The deep-water coral *Lophelia pertusa* in
   Norwegian waters: distribution and fishery impacts. Hydrobiologia 471, 1-12.
- Gnanalingam, G., Hepburn, C., 2015. Flexibility in temporary fisheries closure legislation is
   required to maximise success. Mar. Policy 61, 39-45.
- Gori, A., Rossi, S., Linares, C., Berganzo, E., Orejas, C., Dale, M.R., Gili, J.-M., 2011. Size and
   spatial structure in deep versus shallow populations of the Mediterranean gorgonian
   *Eunicella singularis* (Cap de Creus, northwestern Mediterranean Sea). Mar. Biol. 158,
   1721-1732.
- Hall-Spencer, J., Allain, V., Fossa, J.H., 2002. Trawling damage to Northeast Atlantic ancient
   coral reefs. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 269, 507-511.
- Hall-Spencer, J.M., Tasker, M., Soffker, M., Christiansen, S., Rogers, S., Campbell, M., Hoydal,
  K., 2009. Design of Marine Protected Areas on high seas and territorial waters of
  Rockall Bank. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 397, 305-308.
- Halpern, B.S., 2003. The impact of marine reserves: do reserves work and does reserve size
   matter? Ecol. Appl. 13, 117-137.
- Halpern, B.S., Warner, R.R., 2002. Marine reserves have rapid and lasting effects. Ecol. Lett.
  5, 361-366.
- Hourigan, T.F., 2009. Managing fishery impacts on deep-water coral ecosystems of the USA:
   emerging best practices. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 397, 333-340.
- Husebø, Å., Nøttestad, L., Fosså, J.H., Furevik, D.M., Jørgensen, S.B., 2002. Distribution and
  abundance of fish in deep-sea coral habitats. Hydrobiologia 471, 91-99.
- ICES, 2007. Report of the Working Group on Deep-water Ecology (WGDEC). ICES CM
   2007/ACE:01 Ref. LRC. 61p.
- Krieger, K.J., 2001. Coral (*Primnoa*) impacted by fishing gear in the Gulf of Alaska, in:
   Willison, J.H., Hall, J., Gass, S.E., Kenchington, E., Butler, M., Doherty, P. (Eds.), 1st Int

- Symp Deep-Sea Corals. Ecology Action Centre and Nova Scotia Museum, Halifax, pp.106-116.
- 780 Krieger, K.J., Wing, B.L., 2002. Megafauna associations with deepwater corals (*Primnoa* spp.)
  781 in the Gulf of Alaska. Hydrobiologia 471, 83-90.
- Lacharité, M., Metaxas, A., 2013. Early life history of deep-water gorgonian corals may limit
   their abundance. Plos One 8, e65394.
- Lasker, H.R., Boller, M.L., Castanaro, J., Sánchez, J.A., 2003. Determinate growth and
   modularity in a gorgonian octocoral. Biol. Bull. 205, 319-330.
- Lester, S.E., Halpern, B.S., Grorud-Colvert, K., Lubchenco, J., Ruttenberg, B.I., Gaines, S.D.,
  Airamé, S., Warner, R.R., 2009. Biological effects within no-take marine reserves: a
  global synthesis. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 384, 33-46.
- Linares, C., Coma, R., Garrabou, J., Díaz, D., Zabala, M., 2008. Size distribution, density and
   disturbance in two Mediterranean gorgonians: *Paramuricea clavata* and *Eunicella singularis*. J. Appl. Ecol. 45, 688-699.
- McClanahan, T.R., Ateweberhan, M., Omukoto, J., 2008. Long-term changes in coral colony
   size distributions on Kenyan reefs under different management regimes and across
   the 1998 bleaching event. Mar. Biol. 153, 755-768.
- Metaxas, A., Davis, J., 2005. Megafauna associated with assemblages of deep-water
  gorgonian corals in Northeast Channel, off Nova Scotia, Canada. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc.
  U.K. 85, 1381-1390.
- Metaxas, A., Giffin, B., 2004. Dense beds of the ophiuroid *Ophiacantha abyssicola* on the
  continental slope off Nova Scotia, Canada. Deep-Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.
  51, 1307-1317.
- Morato, T., Watson, R., Pitcher, T.J., Pauly, D., 2006. Fishing down the deep. Fish Fish. 7, 24-34.
- Mortensen, P.B., Buhl-Mortensen, L., 2004. Distribution of deep-water gorgonian corals in
   relation to benthic habitat features in the Northeast Channel (Atlantic Canada). Mar.
   Biol. 144, 1223-1238.
- Mortensen, P.B., Buhl-Mortensen, L., 2005. Morphology and growth of the deep-water
   gorgonians *Primnoa resedaeformis* and *Paragorgia arborea*. Mar. Biol. 147, 775-788.
- Mortensen, P.B., Buhl-Mortensen, L., Gordon, D.C.J., Fader, G.B.J., McKeown, D.L., Fenton,
   D.G., 2005. Effects of fisheries on deepwater gorgonian corals in the Northeast
   Channel, Nova Scotia. Am. Fish. Soc. Symp. 41, 369–382.
- OSPAR Commission, 2008. OSPAR list of threatened and/or declining species and habitats.
   Reference number 2008-6.
- 813 OSPAR Commission, 2010. Background document for coral gardens. Publication number814 486/2010.
- Pomeroy, R.S., Watson, L.M., Parks, J.E., Cid, G.A., 2005. How is your MPA doing? A
  methodology for evaluating the management effectiveness of marine protected
  areas. Ocean Coast. Manage. 48, 485-502.
- Quattrini, A.M., Nizinski, M.S., Chaytor, J.D., Demopoulos, A.W., Roark, E.B., France, S.C.,
   Moore, J.A., Heyl, T., Auster, P.J., Kinlan, B., 2015. Exploration of the canyon-incised
   continental margin of the northeastern United States reveals dynamic habitats and
   diverse communities. PloS One 10, e0139904.
- Ramp, S.R., Schlitz, R.J., Wright, W.R., 1985. The deep flow through the Northeast Channel,
  Gulf of Maine. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 15, 1790-1808.
- Reed, J.K., Koenig, C.C., Shepard, A.N., 2007. Impacts of bottom trawling on a deep-water
   *Oculina* coral ecosystem off Florida. Bull. Mar. Sci. 81, 481-496.

- Roberts, J.M., Wheeler, A.J., Freiwald, A., 2006. Reefs of the deep: the biology and geology
   of cold-water coral ecosystems. Science 312, 543-547.
- Roberts, J.M., Wheeler, A.J., Freiwald, A., Cairns, S., 2009. Cold-water corals: the biology and
   geology of deep-sea coral habitats. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Santangelo, G., Carletti, E., Maggi, E., Bramanti, L., 2003. Reproduction and population
  sexual structure of the overexploited Mediterranean red coral *Corallium rubrum*.
  Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 248, 99-108.
- Sherwood, O.A., Edinger, E.N., 2009. Ages and growth rates of some deep-sea gorgonian and
  antipatharian corals of Newfoundland and Labrador. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 66, 142152.
- Stone, R.P., 2006. Coral habitat in the Aleutian Islands of Alaska: depth distribution, finescale species associations, and fisheries interactions. Coral Reefs 25, 229-238.
- Taylor, M.L., Yesson, C., Agnew, D.J., Mitchell, R.E., Rogers, A.D., 2013. Using fisheries bycatch data to predict octocoral habitat suitability around South Georgia. J. Biogeogr.
  40, 1688-1701.
- Tong, R., Purser, A., Unnithan, V., Guinan, J., 2012. Multivariate statistical analysis of
  distribution of deep-water gorgonian corals in relation to seabed topography on the
  Norwegian margin. PLoS One 7, e43534.
- Tsounis, G., Rossi, S., Gili, J.-M., Arntz, W., 2006. Population structure of an exploited benthic
  cnidarian: the case study of red coral (*Corallium rubrum* L.). Mar. Biol. 149, 10591070.
- Tunnicliffe, V., Syvitski, J.P., 1983. Corals move boulders: an unusual mechanism of sediment
   transport. Limnol. Oceanogr. 28, 564-568.
- Twomey, E.R., Signell, R.P., 2013. Construction of a 3-arcsecond Digital Elevation Model for
   the Gulf of Maine: Open-File Report 2011-1127. U.S. Geological Survey, Coastal and
   Marine Geology Program.
- Verrill, A.E., 1922. The Alcyonaria of the Canadian Arctic Expedition, 1913-1918, with a
  revision of some other Canadian genera and species. Report of the Canadian Arctic
  Expedition 8: Mollusks, Echinoderms, Coelonterates, etc. Part G: Alcyonaria and
  Actinaria. F. A. Acland, Ottawa.
- Watanabe, S., Metaxas, A., Sameoto, J., Lawton, P., 2009. Patterns in abundance and size of
   two deep-water gorgonian octocorals, in relation to depth and substrate features off
   Nova Scotia. Deep-Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap. 56, 2235-2248.
- Williams, A., Schlacher, T.A., Rowden, A.A., Althaus, F., Clark, M.R., Bowden, D.A., Stewart,
  R., Bax, N.J., Consalvey, M., Kloser, R.J., 2010. Seamount megabenthic assemblages
  fail to recover from trawling impacts. Mar. Ecol. 31, 183-199.
- 862 863

864 Figure captions

865

**Fig. 1** Dive locations for measurements of coral abundance and/or size frequency (white stars) and ROV tracks (black lines) with associated dive numbers for deep dives in and around the Northeast Channel Coral Conservation Area (restricted (solid polygon) and limited (dashed line) bottom fisheries zones) in the Gulf of Maine between 2001 and 2014. A 3-arcsecond bathymetry grid was provided by the U.S. Geological Survey (Twomey & Signell, 2013). All maps were created in ArcGIS 10.1 and are displayed in a projected WGS 1984 UTM 20N coordinate system.

872

Fig. 2 Locations of measurements of coral abundance and/or size frequency during ROV dives in
2001 (site 1, 2; white lines), 2006 (site X, Z; white lines) and 2014 (site 1, 2, X, Z; black lines) inside
the Coral Conservation Area in the Gulf of Maine. Detailed maps of coral locations used for size
frequency measurements at each site can be found in the appendix (A1 – A4). Bathymetry by U.S.
Geological Survey (Twomey & Signell, 2013).

878

**Fig. 3** *Paragorgia arborea* and *Primnoa resedaeformis*. Coral locations along abundance transects at site 1 (A), site 2 (B) and site X (C) inside the Coral Conservation Area in 2014. At site 1 and 2, the bathymetry grid was interpolated from ROV depth and altitude along 10 transects and at site X it was interpolated from ROV depth along 8 transects in ArcGIS.

883

**Fig. 4** *Primnoa resedaeformis.* Size frequency distributions at each of four sites inside the Coral Conservation Area in 2014 (white), 2001 (black) and 2006 (grey). For 2001, measured colonies included those  $\leq$  150 m from colonies measured in 2014. In 2006, corals at depth ranges of 600 - 700 m (site X) and 650 – 700 m (site Z) were included (see methods).

888

Fig. 5 Paragorgia arborea. Size frequency distribution at four sites inside the Coral Conservation Area
in 2014 (white), 2006 (grey) and 2001 (black). For 2001, measured colonies included those ≤ 150 m
from colonies measured in 2014 (solid black). In 2006, corals at 600-750 m (site X, 2006a, grey
stripes), 600 - 700 m (site X, 2006b, solid grey) and 650 - 700 m (site Z) depth were included.

893

Fig. 6 Coral observations along deep dives at 685 – 1583 m depth. Acanella sp. (A), Acanthogorgia
sp. (B), Anthomastus sp. (C), Anthothela sp. (D), Clavularia sp. (E), cup coral, likely Desmophyllum sp.
(F), Halipteris sp. (G), cup coral, likely Javania sp. (H), Isididae, likely Isidella sp. (I), Isididae, likely *Keratoisis* sp. (J), Paragorgia sp. (K), Pennatula sp. (L), Primnoa sp. (M), Radicipes sp. (N),
Nephtheidae (O), Pennatulacea (P), Stolonifera (Q)

Fig. 7 Coral locations along video transects of the forward-looking camera (solid black line) during dive
R1358 at 1239 – 1521 m depth inside the Coral Conservation Area in 2010 for all corals (A), *Acanella*sp. (B) and *Anthomastus* sp. (C). Bathymetry grid lines were extracted from a 3-arcsecond digital
elevation model of the Gulf of Maine (Twomey & Signell, 2013) and do not necessarily follow smallscale patterns observed during the dives.

Fig. 8 Coral locations along two transects during dive R1359 at 900 – 1187 m depth inside the Coral
Conservation Area in 2010 for all corals (A), *Acanella* sp. (B), *Anthomastus* sp. (C), *Halipteris* sp. (D),
white *Keratoisis*-like Isididae (E), *Radicipes* sp. (F). Bathymetry grid lines were extracted from a 3arcsecond digital elevation model of the Gulf of Maine (Twomey & Signell, 2013) and do not
necessarily follow small-scale patterns observed during the dives.

Fig. 9 Coral locations along four transects during dive R1705 at 685 – 1021 m depth outside the Coral
Conservation Area in 2014 for all corals (A), *Acanella* sp. (B), *Anthomastus* sp. (C), white *Keratoisis*like Isididae (D), Nephtheidae (E). Bathymetry grid lines were extracted from a 3-arcsecond digital
elevation model of the Gulf of Maine (Twomey & Signell, 2013) and do not necessarily follow smallscale patterns observed during the dives.











![](_page_37_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_0.jpeg)

Table 1. Characteristics of dive sites and dives conducted with the ROV ROPOS in the Northeast Channel Coral Conservation Area for

Location	Dive	Date	Bottom time (h:mm)	Latitude start	Longitude start	Latitude end	Longitude end	Depth (m)
Site 1	R639	21.08.2001	1:04	41.998874	-65.648410	41.998274	-65.649306	421 - 427
Site 1	R640	21./22.08.2001	2:28	41.996730	-65.647590	41.998504	-65.649316	422 - 455
Site 1	R1703-1	26.06.2014	2:46	41.997481	-65.647985	41.997553	-65.648040	428 - 466
Site 2	R636	20.08.2001	1:45	42.047578	-65.574066	42.045780	-65.574944	462 - 498
Site 2	R637	20./21.08.2001	2:21	42.046800	-65.574960	42.048236	-65.577870	463 - 486
Site 2	R638	21.08.2001	1:36	42.046262	-65.576256	42.047702	-65.577170	457 - 476
Site 2	R642	22.08.2001	2:24	42.047084	-65.576382	42.047980	-65.577188	457 - 486
Site 2	R1704	26./27.06.2014	5:12	42.047321	-65.575948	42.047489	-65.576358	466 - 489
Site X	R974-NEC3	16.07.2006	2:03	41.982731	-65.645815	41.989855	-65.647565	600 - 751
Site X	R1703-x	26.06.2014	5:41	41.987527	-65.647713	41.986971	-65.647098	636 - 691
Site Z	R974-NEC4	16./17.07.2006	0:44	41.971073	-65.640457	41.969837	-65.642763	650 - 701
Site Z	R978-NEC4	19.07.2006	5:33	41.971546	-65.641575	41.970372	-65.642393	651 - 702
Site Z	R1703-z	26.06.2014	1:12	41.970133	-65.641751	41.970515	-65.641763	664 - 699

measurements of coral abundance and size frequency distributions in 2001, 2006 and 2014

Positions, depths and bottom times for the dives in 2001 are given for dive sections inside a 150-m radius from measurements in 2014.

In 2006, dive parts inside the same depth ranges as in 2014 are listed.

 Table 2. Characteristics of ROPOS dives in deeper regions inside and outside the Coral Conservation Area to measure coral distribution and abundance in 2006, 2010 and 2014

Dive	Date	Bottom time (h:mm)	Latitude start	Longitude start	Latitude end	Longitude end	Depth (m)	Area analysed (m²)
R979	19.07.2006	2:01	41.834937	-65.559166	41.823001	-65.572213	1410 - 1583	223
R1358	09.08.2010	4:46	41.902352	-65.521091	41.882977	-65.532942	1239 - 1521	376
R1359	10.08.2010	3:19	41.902442	-65.577759	41.928051	-65.597321	900 - 1187	470
R1705	27.06.2014	4:23	41.809348	-65.665125	41.798851	-65.684938	685 - 1021	426

Bottom time is the total dive time spent on transects for coral abundance.

 Table 3. Primnoa resedaeformis and Paragorgia arborea. Mean abundance at four locations inside the Coral Conservation Area in

 2001 (site 1 and site 2), 2006 (site X and site Z) and 2014 (site 1, site 2, site X). Ranges for multiple dives are provided at site 1 and

 site 2 in 2001. For 2014, the weighted mean abundance and weighted SD are given.

Site	Year	Depth	Area analysed	Primnoa resedaeformis			Paragor	gia arborea	Reference	
		m	m <sup>2a</sup>	n	100 m <sup>-2</sup>	SD <sup>a</sup>	n	100 m <sup>-2</sup>	SD <sup>a</sup>	
1	2001	387 - 452	na	12 - 36	1.9 - 3.6	na	1 - 5	0.2 - 1.1	na	Mortensen & Buhl- Mortensen, 2004
1	2014	431 - 459	2473	51	2.06	1.79	3	0.12	0.19	this study Mortensen & Buhl-
2	2001	457 - 498	na	162 - 582	10.6 - 37.6	na	16 - 89	0.9 - 4.0	na	Mortensen, 2004
2	2014	467 - 487	2678	1437	53.66	20.21	202	7.54	2.96	this study Watanabe et al
х	2006	625 - 700	446	183	41.03	30.85	2	0.45	1.90	2009
х	2014	639 - 691	1174	604	51.45	22.65	87	7.41	11.56	this study Watanabe et al
z	2006	650 - 700	381	139	36.47	36.63	30	7.87	13.94	2009

n = number of recorded colonies, SD = standard deviation

<sup>a</sup> na = not available in Mortensen & Buhl-Mortensen (2004)

Table 4.Primnoa resedaeformis and Paragorgia arborea.

Weighted mean coral abundance at three sub-sections of site X

in 2014

	Analysed	P. re	sedaeformis	P. arborea		
Habitat,feature	area (m²)	n	(100 m <sup>-2</sup> )	n	(100 m <sup>-2</sup> )	
lower plateau	158	92	58.14	13	8.22	
wall	263	71	27.04	55	20.95	
upper plateau	753	441	58.56	19	2.52	

**Table 5.** *Primnoa resedaeformis*. Size frequency parameters at four locations inside the Coral Conservation Area in 2001 (site 1 and site 2), 2006 (site X and site Z) and 2014 (all sites).

Site <sup>a</sup>	Year	n	Mean	SD	Median	Min	Max	Skewness*
			(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	
Site 1	2001	12	63	24	60	27	105	0.24
Site 1	2014	47	54	21	52	21	92	0.10
Site 2	2001	39	42	14	41	16	68	-0.05
Site 2	2014	73	43	21	45	8	93	0.35
Site X	2006	159	58	21	57	13	120	0.46*
Site X	2014	51	70	24	68	27	134	0.15
Site Z	2006	58	41	14	40	17	88	0.83*
Site Z	2014	51	48	20	48	11	113	0.80*

<sup>a</sup> For 2001, coral colonies  $\leq$  150 m away from colonies measured in 2014 were analysed. In 2006, corals at depth ranges of 600 - 700 m (site X) and 650 - 700 m (site Z) were included.

\* Significant skewness: Skewness divided by its standard error > 2 (Field et al., 2012)

**Table 6.** *Paragorgia arborea.* Size frequency parameters at four locations inside the Coral Conservation Area in 2001 (site 1 and site 2), 2006 (site X and site Z) and 2014 (all sites).

Site <sup>a</sup>	Year	n	Mean	SD	Median	Min	Max	Skewness*
			(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	
Site 1	2001	4	147	23	143	127	176	0.27
Site 1	2014	5	148	58	149	72	227	0.03
Site 2	2001	21	86	44	91	10	156	-0.26
Site 2	2014	15	47	49	22	5	167	1.08
Site Xa	2006	7	75	27	89	21	99	-0.96
Site Xb	2006	26	64	30	66	14	121	-0.09
Site X	2014	40	71	47	70	4	182	0.22
Site Z	2006	14	69	25	81	25	103	-0.49
Site Z	2014	18	93	22	99	47	128	-0.59

<sup>a</sup> For 2001 coral colonies  $\leq$  150 m away from colonies measured in 2014 were analysed (site 1, site 2b). In 2006, corals at depth ranges of 600 - 700 m (site Xa), 600 - 750 m (site Xb) and 650 - 700 m (site Z) were included.

\* Significant skewness: Skewness divided by its standard error > 2 (Field et al., 2012)

 Table 7. Occurrences of corals obtained from forward- and downward-looking cameras and coral abundance for deep dives R1358 (1239 - 1521 m), R1359 (900 - 1187 m)

 and R1705 (685 - 1021 m) inside (R1358, R1359) and outside (R1705) the Coral Conservation Area. Weighted mean abundance and weighted SD are given.

	R1358 Coral observations				R1359 Coral observations				R1705				
									Coral observations				
	Forward	Downward	Abundance	SD	Forward	Downward	Abundance	SD	Forward	Downward	Abundance	SD	
	n	n	(100 m <sup>-2</sup> )		n	n	(100 m <sup>-2</sup> )		n	n	(100 m <sup>-2</sup> )		
Acanella	60	3	0.80	8.36	276	8	1.70	12.14	310	16	3.76	11.44	
Acanthogorgia					36	2	0.43	4.71	47	1	0.23	3.72	
Anthomastus	23	13	3.45	50.79	2603	95	20.21	54.47	805	80	18.80	58.66	
Anthothela									2				
Clavularia					13	1	0.21	4.96	3				
Desmophyllum									8				
Halipteris	2				235	8	1.70	12.62	40	2	0.47	3.51	
Javania					36	7	1.49	13.56	1				
Paragorgia					9				10				
Primnoa									25				
Pennatula					15				1	1	0.23	1.87	
Radicipes	4				262	20	4.25	21.66	28	11	2.58	33.09	
Isididae <sup>a</sup>					6	1	0.21	3.75					
Isididae <sup>b</sup>	1				549	22	4.68	20.33	195	12	2.82	11.71	
Nephtheidae		1	0.27	5.68					825	168	39.47	110.11	
Pennatulacea <sup>c</sup> Stolonifera,					7				6	2	0.47	3.57	

n = number of recorded colonies, SD = standard deviation

<sup>a</sup>White colonies of the family Isididae, likely *Isidella* sp.

<sup>b</sup>White colonies of the family Isididae, most of them likely *Keratoisis* sp.

°Small white sea pen

<sup>d</sup>Aggregations of white stoloniferous colonies could not be identified visually in more detail than the suborder