

1                                   **Exposure of rangia clams to hypoxia enhances blue crab predation**  
2  
3

4                                   Annie C. Howard, Michael A. Poirrier\*, and Claire E. Caputo  
5                                   Department of Biological Sciences, University of New Orleans, 2000 Lakeshore Drive, New  
6                                   Orleans, LA 70148, USA  
7

8   \*Corresponding author  
9   Email: mpoirrie@uno.edu  
10    2000 Lakeshore Drive, New Orleans, LA 70148, USA  
11    504-452-1183  
12  
13

14   **Abstract**

15                   This experimental study questions whether exposure of non-mobile prey to episodic  
16   hypoxia might enhance predation by a mobile normoxic predator, which moves into the former  
17   hypoxic area immediately after a shift back to normoxia. We used *Rangia cuneata* (common  
18   rangia clams) and *Callinectes sapidus* (blue crabs) from an oligohaline estuary where mature  
19   clams are rare in areas subject to episodic anoxia and hypoxia. Clams were exposed to severe  
20   laboratory hypoxia for 72 hours. One clam stressed by hypoxia and another clam maintained  
21   under aeration (normoxia) were placed in aerated aquaria containing a crab. Feeding choice of  
22   hypoxic vs. normoxic clams was then monitored for 12 hours. We conducted forty trials that  
23   used twenty different crabs. To test for homogeneity of the feeding response, we used a 1-tail  
24   binomial test with 0.5 expected probabilities. Fourteen of the twenty crabs fed (70%), and  
25   nineteen out of twenty one hypoxia-stressed clams were eaten compared to two out of twenty  
26   one clams kept under normoxic conditions ( $p < 0.001$ ). The significant choice of stressed clams  
27   indicates that in this experimental study, exposure of clams to hypoxia enhanced crab predation.  
28

29   Key Words: *Callinectes sapidus*, *Rangia cuneata*, feeding, dissolved oxygen, Lake  
30   Pontchartrain.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

## 38 1. Introduction

39 Hypoxia ( $\text{DO} < 2 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ ) is known to cause stress and mortality in benthic organisms  
40 (Diaz and Rosenberg, 1995) and after hypoxic and anoxic episodes, mobile predators can take  
41 advantage of weakened, sedentary prey (Pihl et al., 1992, Long and Seitz, 2008, Powers et al.,  
42 2005, Riedel et al., 2014). *Rangia cuneata* (Sowerby, 1831), the common rangia (also called  
43 Atlantic rangia or wedge clam), occurs in Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico estuaries at salinities  
44 below 19 (La Salle and de la Cruz, 1985) and dominates the benthos of Lake Pontchartrain  
45 (Darnell, 1961). This study was prompted by long-term field studies of the decline in *R. cuneata*  
46 density in Lake Pontchartrain, a large shallow, oligohaline estuary located north of New Orleans,  
47 Louisiana.

48 Through predation, *Callinectes sapidus* (Rathbun, 1895), the blue crab, can influence the  
49 community structure of bivalves (Laughlin, 1982) and other biota (Micheli, 1995). *Callinectes*  
50 *sapidus* feeds on small *R. cuneata* by crushing shells, but larger clams can withstand cheliped  
51 crushing power (Blundon and Kennedy, 1982) so crabs use a combination of chipping and  
52 wedging to open large clams (Linton et al., 2007). Since *C. sapidus* is sensitive to hypoxia  
53 (Hines, 2007), and *R. cuneata* can withstand persistent levels of moderate hypoxia, under some  
54 conditions hypoxia might provide a refuge from predation similar to that described for the  
55 quahog, *Mercenaria mercenaria* (Altieri, 2008). Although *C. sapidus* avoid hypoxic areas (Bell  
56 et al., 2003), they are abundant and active foragers (Clark et al., 1999). Therefore, blue crabs  
57 may move into areas after severe episodic hypoxia or anoxia to take advantage of stressed clams  
58 as easy prey before the clams have a chance to recover from hypoxia. This is similar to the  
59 hypoxia-enhanced foraging described by Long and Seitz (2008) for several prey species.

60 In 1998 large (>20 mm) *R. cuneata* were abundant in most of Lake Pontchartrain except  
61 for a 250 km<sup>2</sup> episodic, anoxic/hypoxic zone caused by stratification from higher salinity bottom  
62 water entering from the Gulf of Mexico through navigation canals (Poirrier, 1978, Abadie and  
63 Poirrier, 2000, 2001). The overall density of large clams declined from 2001 to 2014, which  
64 appeared to have been caused by an El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) shift that produced a  
65 local drought and was then followed by a period of increased hurricane frequency and intensity,  
66 which introduced high salinity water into the Lake (Poirrier and Caputo, 2015). Patchy episodes  
67 of severe hypoxia were associated with storm surges (Poirrier et al., 2008) which appeared to be  
68 increasing due to relative sea level rise, coastal erosion and wetland loss (Poirrier and Caputo,  
69 2015). If hypoxia enhanced crab predation, this interaction may have been a contributing factor  
70 in the reduction of the density of large clams.

71 Salinity shifts can increase the stress of hypoxia on *R. cuneata*. Henry et al. (1980)  
72 found 50% mortality in rangia clams after 5–7 days of hypoxia, and clams that survived did not  
73 recover after being returned to normoxic conditions. They also found that exposure to a hypo or  
74 hypersaline shock during hypoxia produced 25% mortality at 2 days and 100% at 3 days.

75 This experimental study was conducted to determine if predation by *C. sapidus* on *R.*  
76 *cuneata* is enhanced by clam exposure to hypoxic stress. The specific goal was to determine  
77 whether *C. sapidus* would have a significant feeding preference for *R. cuneata* exposed to  
78 hypoxia over clams kept under normoxic conditions.

## 79 **2. Materials and methods**

### 80 **2.1. Experimental Design**

81 Choice experiments were devised to determine if crabs fed on hypoxia-stressed over non-  
82 hypoxic stressed clams. Each experiment had five trials (Table 1) using five aerated 42 l glass

83 aquaria containing one crab and one hypoxic and one normoxic clam that were added at the  
84 beginning of each trial. A replicate experiment was performed with the same five crabs used in  
85 the first experiment after 6 or 7 days (Table 1) giving a total of eight experiments based on four  
86 experiments and four crab replicate experiments designated *a* for the initial experiment and *b* for  
87 the crab replicate experiment in Table 1. This resulted in eight experiments (four + four crab  
88 replicates with five trials) with forty trials using twenty crabs (each used twice), forty hypoxia-  
89 stressed clams, and forty clams kept under normoxic conditions (Table 1). Crabs were not used  
90 more than twice to avoid possible pseudoreplication from learning after numerous trials, changes  
91 in crab condition and feeding performance. Only crabs that fed are presented in Table 1.

92 Clam hypoxia exposures and crab choice experiments were conducted at room  
93 temperature (22-25°C). Hypoxic stress was produced by exposing clams to DO between 0.3 to  
94 0.8 mg l<sup>-1</sup> for 72 hours by continuous nitrogen sparging in a covered 42 l aquarium. Longer  
95 exposure periods were not used, because in other unpublished studies, some mortality occurred  
96 after 96 hours. Hypoxic clams were distinguished from normoxic clams by etching two  
97 horizontal lines or vertical lines in the periostraca depending on the treatment. Markings were  
98 alternated among experiments to eliminate any potential effect of marking on feeding. Feeding  
99 experiments were run for 12 hours to determine whether a hypoxic or a normoxic clam was eaten  
100 and if both were eaten, which one was eaten first.

## 101 **2.2. Study Organisms and Collection Sites**

102 Experiments were conducted from October 2007 through April 2008 (Table 1). Clams  
103 (30–35 mm long) were collected by hand while wading in southeastern Lake Pontchartrain and  
104 replaced after each experiment. Crabs were captured using crab traps from Pirate's Bayou, a

105 canal connected to Lake Pontchartrain south of Slidell, LA. Male crabs (130–160 mm carapace  
106 width) with all appendages including cutter and crusher chelae were used.

### 107 **2.3. Maintenance of Study Organisms**

108 Crabs were held separately in the five 42 l experimental aquaria connected to a 189 l  
109 closed recirculating, biological filtration tank. Ammonia levels were monitored twice a week to  
110 ensure safe levels of biological filtration. Clams were kept in aerated 42 l aquaria and held for a  
111 week to a month before each experiment. Both crabs and clams were held in de-chlorinated,  
112 aged New Orleans tap water with synthetic sea salts added to maintain the salinity at 5, the mean  
113 salinity of eastern Lake Pontchartrain. Between experiments, crabs were fed Wardley shrimp  
114 pellets supplemented with shucked rangia clams. Crabs were not fed for 48 hours prior to each  
115 experiment.

### 116 **2.4. Statistical analysis**

117 To test for homogeneity of feeding response, we employed a 1-tail binomial test with 0.5  
118 expected probabilities (Moore DS, McCabe GP, 1998). We used the twenty one trials (Table 1)  
119 in which crabs fed. All trials were analyzed together, but we also conducted separate tests on  
120 initial and replicate experiments conducted a week later to help evaluate if the outcome of the  
121 second experiment was affected by the first. A paired samples t-test was conducted to determine  
122 if there was a significant change in the number of crabs that fed between the first and second set  
123 of experiments.

## 124 **3. Results**

125 In the eight experiments, fourteen crabs fed (70%) and six did not feed (Table 1). Out of  
126 the twenty one clams that were eaten, nineteen were hypoxia stressed and two were not stressed  
127 (Table 1). The results of the feeding choice test showed crabs significantly preferred hypoxic

128 clams over normoxic clams ( $p < 0.001$ ). A separate analysis of results of the initial experiment  
129 using the twelve crabs (labeled *a* in Table 1) that ate eleven hypoxic and one normoxic clam  
130 produced significant results ( $p = 0.006$ ). The result of the set of replicate experiments where the  
131 same crabs were used a week later (labeled *b* in Table 1) had eight of nine clams eaten by nine  
132 crabs being hypoxic and was also significant ( $p = 0.039$ ). There was no significant change (12 vs  
133 9) in the number of crabs that fed ( $p = 0.426$ ) between the initial and replicate experiments.

134 The methods that crabs used to open clams were consistent with feeding methods  
135 described by Ebersole and Kennedy (1995) and Linton et al. (2007) for large *R. cuneata*. The  
136 clams were too large to be crushed by the size class crabs used. Instead crabs chipped the  
137 posterior edge of the shell with mandibles to create a gap that allowed chelipeds to enter and  
138 widen the gap to cut adductor muscles.

#### 139 **4. Discussion**

140 The use of the same crabs in replicate experiments the week after the initial experiment  
141 did not appear to affect the independence of choice in the replicate experiments. We regard the  
142 high significance of the combined data as a valid interpretation of the results. Even if this was  
143 not the case, the separate analyses of the two experimental data sets both independently support a  
144 significant choice for hypoxic clams. Crabs recognized and fed on hypoxia stressed clams  
145 because they are easier to open. This makes them a more profitable food item than normoxic  
146 clams which are more difficult to open.

147 In nature, crab predation on large hypoxia stressed clams is at least as significant as our  
148 experimental results because periods of hypoxia are often longer (Poirrier et al., 2009), crabs  
149 larger than those used in our experiments are present, and salinity shifts often coupled with

150 hypoxia may contribute to total clam stress (Henry et al., 1980). In general, study results should  
151 also apply to similar predator-prey outcomes in diverse aquatic systems.

152 An interesting result was 30% of the crabs did not feed. Our experiments were limited to  
153 12 hours because when hypoxic clams are not handled by crabs they open their valves, extend  
154 their siphons and ventilate their gills and possibly recover from hypoxia. In other studies, clams  
155 were exposed to crab predation for several days (Ebersole and Kennedy, 1995, Linton et al.,  
156 2007). The short duration of our experiments, individual variation in the degree of clam hypoxic  
157 stress and recovery, small test aquaria, the biological condition of the crabs, and prior feeding  
158 attempts could have contributed to 30% of the crabs not feeding. Overall, these results support  
159 field studies which indicate that a legacy of hypoxia can enhance predation by mobile predators  
160 on sedentary prey (Pihl et al., 1992, Long and Seitz, 2008, Powers et al., 2005, Riedel et al.,  
161 2014).

## 162 **Acknowledgments**

163 We thank Beth Spalding and Ashley Ferguson for their help with maintenance of aquaria  
164 and Philip DeVries for his review of an earlier draft of this manuscript. This work was supported  
165 by the NOAA Pontchartrain Restoration Program.

## 166 **References**

- 167 Abadie, S.W., Poirrier, M.A., 2000. Increased density of large *Rangia* clams in Lake  
168 Pontchartrain after the cessation of shell dredging. J. Shellfish Res. 19, 481–485.
- 169 Abadie, S.W., Poirrier, M.A., 2001. *Rangia* clams as indicators of hypoxia in Lake  
170 Pontchartrain. In: Penland S, Beall A, Waters J (eds) Environmental Atlas of the Lake  
171 Pontchartrain Basin. United States Geological Survey, pp. 166.

- 172 Altieri, A.H., 2008. Dead zones enhance key fisheries species by providing predation refuge.  
173 Ecology 89, 2808–2818. doi:10.1890/07-0994.1
- 174 Bell, G.W., Eggleston, D.B., Wolcott, T.G., 2003. Behavioral responses of free-ranging blue  
175 crabs to episodic hypoxia. I. Movement. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 259, 215–225.  
176 doi:10.3354/meps259227
- 177 Blundon, J.A., Kennedy, V.S., 1982. Mechanical and behavioral aspects of blue crab, *Callinectes*  
178 *sapidus* (Rathbun), predation on Chesapeake Bay bivalves. J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 65, 47–  
179 65. doi:10.1016/0022-0981(82)90175-7
- 180 Clark, M.E., Wolcott, T.G., Wolcott, D.L., Hines, A.H., 1999. Intraspecific interference among  
181 foraging blue crabs *Callinectes sapidus*: Interactive effects of predator density and prey  
182 patch distribution. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 178, 69–78. doi:10.3354/meps178069
- 183 Darnell, R.M., 1961. Trophic spectrum of an estuarine community, based on studies of Lake  
184 Pontchartrain, Louisiana. Ecology 42(3), 553-568. doi:10.2307/1932242
- 185 Diaz, R.J., Rosenberg, R., 1995. Marine benthic hypoxia: A review of its ecological effects and  
186 the behavioural responses of benthic macrofauna. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. - an Annu. Rev. 33,  
187 245–303.
- 188 Ebersole, E.L., Kennedy, V.S., 1995. Prey preferences of blue crabs *Callinectes sapidus* feeding  
189 on three bivalve species. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 118, 167–178. doi:10.3354/meps118167



- 190 Henry, R.P., Mangum, C.P., Webb, K.L., 1980. Salt and water balance in the oligohaline clam,  
191 *Rangia cuneata*: accumulation of intracellular free amino acids during high salinity  
192 adaptation. J Exp. Zool. A Comp. Exp. Biol. 211, 11-24. doi:10.1002/jez.1402110103
- 193 Hines, A.H., 2003. Ecology of juvenile and adult blue crabs, in: Kennedy, V.S., Cronin, L.E.  
194 (Eds.), The Blue Crab, *Callinectes sapidus*. Maryland Sea Grant College, Maryland, pp.  
195 565-654.
- 196 LaSalle, M.W., de la Cruz, A.A., 1985. Species profile: life histories and environmental  
197 requirements of coastal fishes and invertebrates (Gulf of Mexico) – common rangia. U.S.  
198 Fish Wildl. Serv. Biol. Rep. 82(11.31). U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, TR EL-82-4. 16 pp.
- 199 Laughlin, R.A., 1982. Feeding habits of the blue crab, *Callinectes sapidus* Rathbun, in the  
200 Apalachicola Estuary, Florida. Bull. Mar. Sci. 32, 807–822.
- 201 Linton, C.M., Rebach, S., Kennedy, V.S., 2007. Notes on the behavior of blue crabs, *Callinectes*  
202 *sapidus* Rathbun, 1896 feeding on two morphologically dissimilar clams. Crustaceana 80,  
203 779–792. doi:10.1163/156854007781363088
- 204 Long, W.C., Seitz, R.D., 2008. Trophic interactions under stress: Hypoxia enhances foraging in  
205 an estuarine food web. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 362, 59–68. doi:10.3354/meps07395
- 206 Micheli, F., 1995. Behavioural plasticity in prey-size selectivity of the blue crab *Callinectes*  
207 *sapidus* feeding on bivalve prey. J. Anim. Ecol. 64, 63–74. doi:10.2307/5827
- 208 Moore, D.S., McCabe, G.P., 1998. Introduction to Practice of Statistics. WH Freeman and  
209 Company, New York.

- 210 Pihl, L., Baden, S.P., Diaz, R.J., Schaffner, L.C., 1992. Hypoxia-induced structural changes in  
211 the diet of bottom feeding fish and Crustacea. *Mar. Biol.* 112, 349-361.
- 212 Poirrier, M.A., 1978. Studies of salinity stratification in southern Lake Pontchartrain near the  
213 Inner Harbor Navigation Canal. *Louisiana Acad. Sci.* 41, 26-35.
- 214 Poirrier, M.A., Rodriguez del Rey, Z., Spalding, E.A., 2008. Acute disturbance of Lake  
215 Pontchartrain benthic communities by Hurricane Katrina. *Estuar Coasts* 31, 1221–1228.
- 216 Poirrier, M.A., Spalding, E.A., Franze, C.D., 2009. Lessons learned from a decade of assessment  
217 and restoration studies of benthic invertebrates and submersed aquatic vegetation in Lake  
218 Pontchartrain. *J. Coast. Res.* 10054, 88–100. doi:10.2112/SI54-005.1
- 219 Poirrier, M.A., Caputo, C.E., 2015. *Rangia cuneata* clam decline in Lake Pontchartrain from  
220 2001 to 2014 due to an El Niño Southern Oscillation shift coupled with a period of high  
221 hurricane intensity and frequency. *Gulf Caribb. Res.* 26, 9–20. doi:10.18785/gcr.2601.04
- 222 Powers, S.P., Peterson, C.H., Christian, R.R., Sullivan, E., Powers, M.J., Bishop, M.J., Buzzelli,  
223 C.P., 2005. Effects of eutrophication on bottom habitat and prey resources of demersal  
224 fishes. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 302, 233–243. doi:10.3354/meps302233
- 225 Riedel, B., Pados, T., Pretterebner, K., Schiemer, L., Steckbauer, A., Haselmair, A., Zuschin, M.,  
226 Stachowitsch, M., 2014. Effect of hypoxia and anoxia on invertebrate behaviour: ecological  
227 perspectives from species to community level. *Biogeosciences* 11, 1491-1518. doi:  
228 10.5194/bg-11-1491-2014
- 229  
230

Experiment (Date run)	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3	Trial 4	Trial 5	Total Hypoxic	Total Normoxic
1 (10/09/07)	(1a) H	(2a) N	--	--	--	1	1
2 (10/16/07)	--	(2b) H	--	--	--	1	0
3 (10/29/07)	(3a) H	(4a) H	--	(5a) H	(6a) H	4	0
4 (11/06/07)	(3b) H	(4b) H	--	(5b) N	(6b) H	3	1
5 (02/05/08)	--	(7a) H	--	(9a) H	(10a) H	3	0
6 (02/21/08)	--	(7b) H	(8b) H	--	(10b) H	3	0
7 (04/14/08)	--	(11b) H	--	--	--	1	0
8 (04/21/08)	--	(12a) H	--	(13a) H	(14a) H	3	0
<b>TOTALS</b>						<b>19</b>	<b>2</b>

231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238

Table 1: Results of the choice experiments: 8 experiments with 5 trials were run at different times. Numbers (1) to (14) refer to the individual crab that fed. The crab number with *a*, or *b* indicate experiments in which the same crab was used twice. Results were: N = normoxic clam eaten, H = hypoxic clam eaten, -- = no clam eaten. Total of hypoxic or normoxic clams eaten are for the 5 trials in each experiment.