1	An Introduction and Overview of the Bering Sea Project: Volume IV
2	
3	
4 5	Thomas I. Van Pelt ^{1*} , Jeffrey M. Napp ² , Carin J. Ashjian ³ , H. Rodger Harvey ⁴ , Michael W. Lomas ⁵ , Michael F. Sigler ⁶ , and Phyllis J. Stabeno ⁷
6	
7	¹ North Pacific Research Board, Anchorage, Alaska, USA
8	² Alaska Fisheries Science Center, NOAA, Seattle, Washington, USA
9	³ Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts, USA
10	⁴ Ocean, Earth, and Atmospheric Sciences, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia, USA
11	⁵ Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Sciences, East Boothbay, Maine, USA
12	⁶ Alaska Fisheries Science Center, NOAA, Juneau, Alaska, USA
13	⁷ Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, NOAA, Seattle, Washington, USA
14	*Corresponding author, tvanpelt@transboundary.net
15	
16	
17	August 18, 2016
18	
19	
20	For submission to
21	Deep Sea Research Part II
22	

22

23

24 **1. Introduction**

25

26 The seasonal rhythm of sea-ice advance and retreat in the eastern Bering Sea (EBS) moves ice hundreds of kilometers across the broad continental shelf and exerts a powerful influence 27 28 on the ecology of these waters. In winter, the combination of latitude, geology, winds, and ocean currents produces ice cover extending far into the southern Bering Sea. In the spring 29 and summer, retreating ice, longer daylight hours, and nutrient-rich ocean water result in 30 exceptionally high marine production, vital to both sea life and people. The intense burst of 31 spring production, together with more episodic summer and early fall production, provides the 32 33 energy that powers the complex food web and ultimately sustains nearly half of the US 34 annual commercial fish landings, as well as providing food and cultural value to thousands of 35 Bering Sea coastal and island residents.

36

These spatial and temporal changes in seasonal ice cover act as the major organizing feature and driver of the eastern Bering Sea ecosystem. We know that the timing and extent of the seasonal ice play essential roles in the productivity and community structure of this ecosystem, that sea-ice dynamics have been changing over recent decades (e.g. Stabeno et al., 2012), and that major changes in ice cover are predicted in the near future (e.g. Wang et al., 2012). Past and present changes in Bering Sea ice have attracted the curiosity of scientists, but it is the potential for future change and its consequences that are an increasing

cause of concern for scientists, resource managers, and a broad array of Native, local, and
 regional stakeholders.

46

47 In response, the National Science Foundation (NSF) and the North Pacific Research Board (NPRB) created a novel partnership in 2007 to support an ecosystem-scale study to examine 48 how a changing climate and changing sea-ice conditions affect the EBS ecosystem, from 49 50 physics and chemistry to lower trophic level organisms (e.g. plankton) to humans. The "Bering Sea Project" (Fig. 1) integrated two major research programs, the NSF-funded Bering 51 Ecosystem Study (BEST) and the NPRB-funded Bering Sea Integrated Ecosystem Research 52 53 Program (BSIERP), and was underpinned by substantial in-kind contributions from National 54 Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and additional contributions from other agencies, universities, and institutions. The Bering Sea Project brought together nearly 100 55 56 principal investigators, leading a sprawling team of several hundred postdocs, graduate 57 students, technicians, ship officers and crew, and many others. Over its seven-year course 58 of activity (2007-2014, with primary fieldwork taking place during 2008-2010), the Bering Sea 59 Project has provided new insights into the functioning of the EBS ecosystem, particularly in the north-central region ($\sim 59^{\circ} - \sim 62^{\circ}$ N) where data sets and temporal coverage previously 60 61 had been sparse.

62

Since the final full-project meeting in early 2014, Bering Sea Project participants have
focused on discussion, collaboration, data analysis, and publications, culminating in nearly
200 peer-reviewed Bering Sea Project papers to date published across a broad spectrum of

journals. This growing publication library includes 76 papers in the previous three Bering Sea
Project special issues in Deep-Sea Research II— volumes 65-70 published in 2012, volume
94 published in 2013, and volume 109 published in 2014. These special issues provide a
home for sharing peer-reviewed results across a broad audience, and facilitate project
integration and synthesis. On behalf of all Bering Sea Project participants and supporters,
we are pleased to share this collection of papers in the fourth and final Bering Sea Project
special issue.

73

74 The first two special issues presented papers that described new information about the EBS 75 ecosystem, focusing on how change will affect individual species and trophic levels (Wiese et 76 al., 2012, Harvey and Sigler, 2013). Papers in those issues placed new data in historical context and assessed implications for the future of the Bering Sea ecosystem. They 77 78 addressed one or more of the core program hypotheses that guided the entire field program 79 and provide a framework for ongoing synthesis activities: 1) physical forcing, including 80 climate, affects food availability; 2) ocean conditions structure trophic relationships through 81 bottom-up processes; 3) ecosystem controls are dynamic; 4) location matters; and 5) 82 commercial and subsistence fisheries reflect climate.

83

The third special issue (Lomas and Stabeno, 2014) continued to address the core hypotheses and had an increased focus on mid-level synthetic activities, striving to advance our understanding of the ecosystem as an integrated whole, and how it might respond to changes in climate. The third issue also featured a suite of papers focused on marine

ecosystem connections to regional human communities and other stakeholders, within the
scope of the Bering Sea Project's explicit consideration of humans as part of the EBS
ecosystem

91

This fourth and final special issue continues that evolution, with further focus on synthesis of information across broader ranges of disciplines and inclusion of new collaborative author teams. This issue also presents information on some of the extensive model-based research directions within the project. In the following paragraphs, we provide some context and brief summaries of each of the papers appearing in this fourth and final Bering Sea Project special issue, grouped by broad topic or trophic level.

98

99 2. Current and future Bering Sea physical conditions

100 Stabeno and coauthors assembled a suite of physical measures to better understand the 101 complex pattern of current transport across the EBS shelf (Stabeno et al., this issue). 102 Extensive data sets were required to capture the multiplicity of sources impacting the 103 northward flow of waters to the Bering Strait. Their analyses relied on data collected over two 104 decades originating from physical moorings, satellite-tracked drifters, and shipboard hydrographic transects to develop integrated maps of flow patterns on the eastern shelf. 105 106 These estimates were then combined with data sets of sea ice and wind fields to calculate 107 annual estimates of flow paths and velocity for the region. Results showed that large 108 horizontal spatial scales and low bathymetric relief contribute to the relatively weak (< 0.1 109 Sv), but organized, transport. Winds were an important influence on surface flow fields, but

were variable and complicated by seasonal shifts in direction, ice, and varied bottom 110 111 topography. Other dynamics influenced the bottom flow on the southern middle shelf and on the northern shelf near the M8 mooring. Stabeno and colleagues determined the waters that 112 113 transit the more shallow areas (especially along the 50-m and 100-m isobaths) are important 114 contributors to transport through Bering Strait, including the waters from the Gulf of Alaska that flow through Unimak Pass. The relatively long (8-14 months) residence times estimated 115 for waters flowing north also implies that most of the heat entering into the Chukchi Sea 116 through Bering Strait originates from air-sea interactions in the Bering Sea, rather than from 117 118 the Gulf of Alaska. The impact of sea ice remained difficult to quantify, but important 119 differences were seen in currents in cold versus warm years.

120

121 **Hermann et al.** (this issue) used three global climate simulations to predict future trends in 122 temperature over the EBS shelf. Their research used the Intergovernmental Panel on 123 Climate Change Fourth Assessment (AR4) as physical forcing to drive a regional model that 124 included both physical and biological elements of the Bering Sea. They found considerable 125 variation among the three simulations, but each downscaled projection indicated a warming 126 of 1–2 °C between 2010 and 2040 on the Bering Sea shelf. In a forecast to at least 2040, 127 Hermann et al. found that the magnitude of presently-observed interannual variability of bottom temperatures and ice cover is expected to be maintained, but with a steadily 128 129 increasing probability of warm years with less ice on the southern shelf. Overall, their 130 modeling work indicates a trend toward warmer ocean temperatures, and reduced ice in the southeastern Bering Sea, but continued ice cover in the northeastern Bering Sea. Hermann 131 et al. (this issue) also attempted to determine which factors were responsible for the modeled 132

increases in temperature. Sensitivity analyses suggest both increasing air temperature and
 northward wind as primary drivers of future increases in water-column temperatures.

135

136 Durski et al. (this issue) used a high-resolution 2-km model to capture the regional 137 oceanographic processes and flow patterns. In general, their model simulations compared 138 well to observations, particularly in the passes in the Aleutian arc, where flow has been 139 difficult to model because of the narrowness of most of the passes compared to the spatial resolution of the models. The model simulations replicated both temporal variability, including 140 141 the fortnightly signal, and the magnitude of flow. The Aleutian passes play an important role 142 in mixing nutrients into the surface waters via tidal mixing, and as a pathway for oceanic 143 zooplankton. These nutrients support local production, and are also advected eastward in the Bering Slope Current and onto the shelf. In addition, the Durski et al. model provided 144 145 insight into the decay of the cold pool during the summer, which occurs on multiple time 146 scales. This model's very high resolution (2 km x 2 km) allowed the examination of processes 147 at spatial and temporal scales not previously possible.

148

The reliability and utility of temperature and salinity data collected by instrumenting research fishing trawls on the annual NOAA Fisheries bottom trawl surveys in the EBS was explored in **Cokelet** (this issue). Equipping each trawl made on the regular grid of the annual groundfish survey with conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) instruments permits an evaluation of frontal structure, stratification and temporal variability, using gridded observations from

roughly 1000 CTD casts over three summers on the EBS shelf. These gridded data provide
 information on both along- and across-shelf spatial patterns in temperature and salinity.

157 **3. Phytoplankton abundance and productivity**

The Marginal Ice Zone (MIZ), where ice breakup and melt occurs, has long been known to be 158 an important Bering Sea physiographic feature for enhanced primary production. This is due 159 160 to stabilization of the water column by ice melt and seeding of the water column with ice algae melting out of the ice. Sambrotto et al. (this issue) show that while the contribution of 161 ice algal seed populations and the shallowing of the mixed layer depth were contributory 162 163 factors to the establishment of MIZ blooms, those factors were by themselves not sufficient to 164 explain the spatial variations in bloom intensity. Rather, in situ observations suggest that ice melt was releasing additional growth factors (e.g. particulate and dissolved iron and dissolved 165 166 organics) into the salinity stratified water column that were leading to enhanced primary 167 production and phytoplankton growth. On the western regions of the shelf and near the St. 168 Lawrence Island polynya, advection of MIZ water under the ice, coupled with light 169 transmission through the ice, allowed for continued primary production that was not readily 170 observed in ocean color data by satellites. This 'hidden' production may account for nearly 171 one third of the annual production in the non-shelf break regions of the EBS.

172

Physical and chemical control of phytoplankton production and abundance occur year round,
and the Bering Sea Project aimed to encompass studies that examine control mechanisms
across all seasons. **Eisner et al.** (this issue) analyzed a 10-year record (2003-2012) of late
summer/early fall phytoplankton abundance (estimated by chlorophyll-*a*) on the EBS, and

177 observed that warm years in the early part of the record (2003-2005) were characterized by 178 higher chlorophyll-a concentrations on the southern outer and middle shelf domains than those same regions in cold years (2007-2012). These elevated chlorophyll-a conditions in 179 180 warm years were also associated with a higher prevalence of larger phytoplankton cells. 181 Eisner et al. observed that the frequency of wind-mixing and sea surface temperature were 182 positively correlated with both total chlorophyll-a and the large size fraction of phytoplankton chlorophyll-a, suggesting that a combination of repetitive nutrient injection and temperature-183 enhanced growth supported this accumulation of phytoplankton biomass on the southern 184 185 shelf. In a companion study, **Gann et al.** (this issue) examined processes during a single, 186 cold year (2007) when there was a particularly obvious absence of wind mixing and thus nutrient entrainment. During that summer of 2007, they observed some of the lowest 187 188 measured rates of primary production on the southern shelf. While the differences in chlorophyll-a between warm and cold temperature stanzas were obvious over the 189 190 southeastern Bering Sea shelf, they were not observed on the northern shelf— consistent 191 with prior Bering Sea Project studies that showed a decoupling of change between the northern and southern shelf (e.g. Stabeno et al., 2012). These patterns in phytoplankton 192 abundance and productivity in the late summer are curiously out of phase with patterns in 193 194 large crustacean zooplankton abundance and biomass, which are highest during cold stanzas, suggesting a trophic connection complicated not only by physics, but by biology as 195 well. 196

197

Previous field observations indicate that spring and fall phytoplankton blooms on the EBS
continental shelf co-vary, so that a year with a strong spring bloom also tends to have a
strong fall bloom (Sigler et al., 2014). Similar co-variability of primary production is also seen

201 in the multi-year (1987–2007) integration of a coupled physical-biological model described in 202 earlier Bering Sea Project publications (e.g. Gibson and Spitz, 2011; Gibson et al., 2013; 203 Hermann et al., 2013). Cheng et al. (this issue) used a coupled, physical-biological model 204 simulation to examine the relative contributions to this co-variability of wind mixing, local 205 nutrient recycling/regeneration, horizontal nutrient advection, and water-column stability. 206 They found no significant correlation between the spring and fall surface wind mixing, and concluded that although wind mixing is an important mechanism for bringing nutrients in the 207 lower water column to the surface layers in the EBS, it is not the mechanism tying the two 208 209 seasons' productivity together. They highlight the importance of local recycling and 210 regeneration of nutrients assimilated during spring phytoplankton bloom in linking together 211 the spring and fall primary productions on EBS shelf region.

212

The work by Liu et al. (this issue) examined phytoplankton carbon cycling using an analytical 213 214 model to estimate daily, mixed-layer gross primary production (GPP) together with 8-day, 215 chlorophyll-a composite satellite images of phytoplankton biomass fields to describe the total 216 phytoplankton loss from the mixed layer. The model was applied to five regions of the EBS 217 shelf over the major domains to quantify GPP and total phytoplankton losses, and to compare 218 differences between warm and cold stanzas of years. Additional information including 219 calculated SST and mixed layer depth were obtained from database and field archives. The 220 annual shelf-wide mean modeled Chl-a concentration was slightly higher in warm years than 221 in cold years, but the difference was not significant. This is consistent with findings for 222 August-September by Eisner et al. (this issue), who saw higher Chl-a during warm years, but 223 results were not significant over the majority of the shelf. Although the dynamic nature of 224 phytoplankton blooms over the water column and their spatial extent complicate the

225 observations, maximum GPP values were seen during the spring bloom and minimum values 226 in the summer. Warm years were slightly higher than cold years for annual GPP and carbon 227 loss terms, but differences between climate regimes were not significant in most instances. 228 Modeled estimates of losses from microzooplankton grazers were consistent with published 229 field observations that microzooplankton grazing loss is a relatively small fraction of phytoplankton standing biomass (<10%), but can be a significant impact on daily primary 230 production, with the percent grazed higher in summer than spring. Overall, respiration 231 dominated total loss, following by the losses due to zooplankton grazing and sinking. The 232 233 authors noted that the summation of all loss processes did not account for phytoplankton total 234 loss, suggesting that additional processes or more detailed information are needed to fully calibrate chlorophyll estimates determined by satellite. 235

236

237 4. Zooplankton

238 Euphausiids and large copepods are important parts of the EBS marine food web and are 239 essential components of fish, seabird, and marine mammal diets in the region. In particular, for walleye pollock (Gadus chalcogrammus— a commercially and ecologically important 240 241 species and a focal fish species in the Bering Sea Project—hereafter referred to as 'pollock'), 242 large copepod and euphausiid populations have been shown to be an important predictor of 243 recruitment (Heintz et al., 2013, Siddon et al., 2013). Several manuscripts in this special 244 issue address the ecology of these important zooplankton taxa and their role in the ecosystem. **Campbell et al.** (this issue) documented spring grazing rates and diet of major 245 246 crustacean zooplankton taxa during three sequential cold years for the middle and outer EBS shelf. The proportion of microzooplankton in crustacean zooplankton diets was higher than 247 248 that found in the prey field for six of the eight taxa examined, indicating selection for

microzooplankton. However, phytoplankton and ice algae were the most important
component of the diet because they accounted for a much greater fraction of the prey
biomass. The grazing impact of zooplankton as a whole (comprising both micro- and
mesozooplankton) was a small fraction of the total standing stock of chlorophyll, and was
generally 50% or less of primary production during spring phytoplankton blooms. This leaves
a large fraction of primary production available for direct transport to the benthos to support
high biomasses of infauna and epifauna, including many flatfishes.

256

257 Bailey et al. (this issue) applied molecular bar coding techniques to understand the 258 distribution and abundance of *Pseudocalanus* adult females during a single year. From specimens collected over the outer and middle shelf regions, they found four species— two 259 260 temperate (P. mimus, P. newmani) and two arctic (P. acuspes, P. minutus). Temperate 261 species were more abundant over the outer shelf, while the arctic species were more abundant over the middle shelf. Low genetic diversity within each species suggests high 262 263 levels of connectivity across the shelf. *Pseudocalanus* nauplii and copepodites are an important prey item for early feeding larval pollock, and the loss of the two Arctic 264 Pseudocalanus species during warm periods or due to climate-induced warming of the whole 265 266 ecosystem could impact the condition of larval pollock, because the arctic species typically 267 contain higher lipid levels than the temperate species.

268

A second study on *Pseudocalanus* examined feeding differences across species using a different molecular technique (18S rDNA) to identify prey items in the water and guts of *Pseudocalanus* (**Cleary et al.**, this issue). In this case, copepodites of various stages were

272 used from some of the same 2010 collections used in the Bailey et al. study. Their diets were 273 generally very broad (and included other metazoans), but there were dietary differences discovered across species. P. acuspes, an arctic species, had a high proportion of 274 275 heterotrophic dinoflagellates in its diet, while *P. minutus*, another arctic species, had a high 276 proportion of material from gelatinous plankton, except when captured in waters with high 277 chlorophyll concentrations when they had a high proportion of diatoms in their diet. These results describe both niche separation as well as an ability to use multiple prey types— an 278 ability that may be useful if the structure and function of the southeastern Bering Sea 279 280 changes due to climate induced warming.

281

Pleuthner et al. (this issue) examined the feeding and lipid storage of euphausiids, during 282 283 both late spring and early summer through a series of feeding and starvation experiments, 284 and analysis of the lipid composition of the euphausiids and of their prey. They found seasonal differences in the extent to which euphausiids lost lipid under starvation, with the 285 286 early summer euphausiids losing less lipid than the late spring euphausiids. They also found that although the individual lipid biomarkers in the euphausiids in spring versus summer 287 reflected the longer-term seasonal switch from ice algal diatom to heterotrophic prey (e.g. 288 289 microzooplankton), shorter-term changes in euphausiid diet were not consistently reflected in 290 lipid composition.

291

In a review of the available information on euphausiids, Hunt et al. (this issue) use a
synthesis approach to summarize the state of knowledge of bottom-up and top-down controls
of euphausiids. This was attempted to understand the implications for higher trophic level
species such as pollock and the marine mammals that rely upon them for food. Based upon

296 modeled rates of euphausiid production, the authors found sufficient primary production to 297 support euphausiid production. Pollock were estimated to consume only 20-35% of that euphausiid production in spring and summer. These observations complicate the explanation 298 299 of a strong, negative correlation between pollock biomass and euphausiid biomass, and the 300 simultaneous negative correlation between euphausiid biomass and water temperature. In 301 fact it is likely that neither top-down control by pollock, nor bottom-up control by the availability of food is the sole determinant of euphausiid biomass; rather, controls on 302 euphausiid biomass, and thus its central role in the EBS ecosystem, vary as a function of the 303 304 mean temperature state of the system.

305

306 The **Sigler et al.** (this issue) team brought insights from previous papers together with some 307 new results in a synthesis that explored: 1) linkages between primary and secondary production, particularly in cold versus warm years; 2) bioenergetics and seasonality of 308 309 copepods, euphausiids, and pollock; 3) the importance of location and the different conditions 310 of sea ice and the timing of production for the success of larval pollock and their crustacean 311 prey; and 4) annual to multi-year shifts (associated with large-scale atmospheric drivers) in 312 the intensity of top-down control of crustacean zooplankton by pollock. They found that production is closely linked to sea-ice conditions and seasonal timing. Favorable locations 313 314 for crustacean zooplankton, and thus for pollock, are defined not only by water temperature and depth, but also by the extent and timing of sea ice in the spring. Predation pressure and 315 top-down control is greater during cold periods when pollock are successful because of 316 317 enhanced availability of their crustacean prey; warm years lead to less intense predation pressure because reduced prey availability limits pollock success. During switches from 318 319 warm to cold periods, the faster-responding planktonic system rebounds first and enjoys a

320 brief period (1-2 years) of reduced predation pressure before the pollock in turn respond to 321 the favorable feeding conditions. In cold years, crustacean zooplankton exploit high primary production and in turn sustain vigorous pollock populations, while in warm years the life 322 323 cycles of the crustacean zooplankton are not well matched to the timing of primary production 324 so zooplankton abundance, and availability as prey to pollock, remains low. The Sigler et al. synthesis highlighted key influences on patterns of primary and secondary production— and 325 ultimately on the success of pollock populations- including the importance of the extent and 326 timing of sea ice, the alternation of periods of warm and cold years shaped by these changes 327 328 in sea ice, location-specific sea-ice characteristics, and the overlying atmospheric drivers on 329 the Bering Sea ecosystem.

330

331 5. Fish ecology

332 Our understanding of the life history and ecology of important fish species reached a new 333 level over the course of the Bering Sea Project. For example, **Andrews et al.** (this issue) 334 examined the effects of warm and cold periods on two forage fish species, capelin (Mallotus 335 villosus) and Pacific herring (Clupea pallasii). Time series that were begun before the 336 coordinated effort of the Bering Sea Project were essential to documenting patterns in 337 distribution and abundance. And rews and colleagues found that the catch per unit effort (CPUE) of both species was higher in the northeastern than the southeastern Bering Sea, 338 339 and was higher during cold conditions than during warm conditions. Fish length was not 340 significantly different between warm and cold periods, but herring length did show differences 341 among geographic regions, with increasing size toward the shelf break, most likely due to migratory behavior. Diet also differed between warm and cold conditions, with warm year 342

forage fish diets in the southeastern Bering Sea exhibiting the same lack of large, lipid-rich
crustacean zooplankton as seen for other fish species. One interesting result was the high
proportion of age-0 pollock in the diet of herring in warm years. Herring had not previously
been identified as a major predator of young pollock.

347

An analogous approach was taken with the ecology of Pacific cod (*Gadus macrocephalus*) 348 349 age-0 diet, using collections from surface trawls to examine size, diet, and condition of age-0 Pacific cod during warm, average, and cold periods (Farley et al., this issue). Similar to 350 capelin and herring, young cod were found to have a high proportion of age-0 pollock in their 351 352 diets in warm years, and high proportions of large crustacean zooplankton in their stomachs 353 in cold years. Similar to age-0 pollock, energetic status (body condition) of age-0 Pacific cod was highest in the cold years when their diet focused on large crustacean zooplankton. 354 355 Therefore, although there are distinct life history differences between these two gadid 356 species, there are also strong similarities in how their early pelagic stages respond to 357 alternating periods of warm and cold conditions. This suggests that gadid recruitment in this region is being controlled by direct and indirect effects on prey quality and quantity, and by 358 359 their condition or caloric density prior to the first winter.

360

Parker-Stetter et al. (this issue) examined the environmental factors affecting spatial
 distributions of forage fish species. They examined the distributions of capelin, age-0 pollock,
 and age-0 Pacific cod, and evaluated the influence of both local and annual environmental
 indices, with the latter accounting for the possibility that overall distributions changed with

365 annual conditions. They found that local, but not annual, environmental indices were most important for capelin (e.g., local temperature), whereas both local and annual indices were 366 important for age-0 pollock and age-0 cod (e.g., local temperature and annual sea-ice 367 368 anomaly). Only two indices (local temperature and depth) influenced all three species, 369 emphasizing the importance of these two indices, but also demonstrating that the prediction 370 of distributions is complex. The results of Parker-Stetter et al. are applicable to our understanding of climate effects on spatial distributions, and also to future distribution and 371 recruitment modeling efforts that build on the five model-based papers described in this 372 373 issue.

374

375 **Miller et al.** (this issue) conducted an investigation—not formally part of the Bering Sea Project, but closely related to it—that attempted to connect juvenile (age-0) Pacific cod and 376 377 their collection locations to known natal areas, using the isotopic signatures contained in 378 otoliths. Although it was not possible to make the connection, they did identify chemically 379 distinct larval source signatures with mixing patterns that appeared to vary between the two 380 years (2006 and 2008) for which they had samples. The varying mixing patterns between the 381 two years may have been attributed to differential spawning locations and shelf circulation 382 between the average and cold years (e.g. Petrik et al., 2015). Identification of unique chemical signatures on the open shelf provides support for the further application of this 383 384 technique to understand the relative contributions of different natal areas to eventual 385 recruitment, and the demonstrated ability of the technique to identify collection site based on 386 otolith edge chemistry suggests an ability to discern the relative productivity of multiple 387 juvenile nursery areas.

388

389 **Duffy-Anderson et al.** (this issue) used the many Bering Sea Project discipline-specific and 390 component studies, plus the historical literature, to synthesize the present state of knowledge 391 for the first year of life for a focal fish species, pollock. The eastern Bering Sea is at the 392 northern end of the current range of pollock, so environmental variability is expected to have 393 a significant influence on recruitment. The first year of life, and in particular the nutritional 394 status leading up to the first winter, has been identified as the recruitment bottleneck. The Duffy-Anderson et al. synthesis resulted in an evaluation of the strengths and weaknesses of 395 396 our current paradigms to explain pollock recruitment variability in mechanistic terms. The 397 review covers a critical discussion of knowledge regarding the distribution, feeding ecology, growth, and predation for each early life history stage (spawning, egg, larvae, and juvenile) 398 399 and different approaches to modeling of the first year of life. In addition, they critically 400 evaluate current recruitment control paradigms: Differential transport; nutrition and condition; and predation. Duffy-Anderson et al. conclude with a discussion of current knowledge gaps, 401 402 and recommend nine research areas that, if successfully developed, would enable us to reach a higher level in understanding pollock recruitment variability. In brief, these research 403 areas and data gaps are: Full seasonal sampling, particularly through the first winter; 404 405 predation dynamics and trophic consequences of predation, particularly those factors which affect young pollock vulnerability to predation; a more complete understanding of the 406 relationship between diet and condition, and physiological and behavioral responses to prev 407 408 shift; laboratory-based studies of physiology and growth to produce data necessary to parameterize models; development of coupled, spatially-explicit models of the first year of 409 410 life; stage-specific predictive models to test and quantify the impact of variable mortality on

411 recruitment; identification of critical production areas for each early life history stage;

412 assessment of the potential impacts of competition with other planktivorous fishes and

413 invertebrates; and development of approaches that can successfully resolve the daily ages of

414 larval and juvenile pollock in the cold eastern Bering Sea.

415 In a related paper, Buckley et al. (this issue) used Bering Sea Project results in combination 416 with several additional long time series (1987-2011) to examine interannual, regional, and size-dependent differences in summer feeding by pollock. Copepods were a small fraction of 417 the diet in the inner shelf, where mysiids and euphausiids tended to dominate the diet. In the 418 419 northern-most regions sampled, amphipods and other fishes had increasing importance in 420 diets as the size of the predator increased. Summer feeding success (indexed by stomach 421 fullness) for intermediate-sized pollock was related to copepod consumption, while that for slightly larger pollock was related to prey that were not euphausiids. Interannual patterns in 422 423 copepod prey availability appeared to be important in the diet of younger pollock, while 424 patterns in the availability of euphausiids were observed in the diets of older, larger pollock (≥ 60 cm fork length). Thus the impacts of climate change on pollock may differ with the age of 425 426 the fish, depending on what part of the zooplankton community is most affected, and the 427 region where young pollock spend their summer.

428

429 6. Marine Mammals

The EBS has historically been important habitat for a wide range of marine mammal species,
including baleen whales such as fin whales (*Balaenoptera physalus*), humpback whales
(*Megaptera novaeangliae*), minke whales (*B. acutorostrata*), and North Pacific right whales

433 (Eubalaena japonica). Intensive commercial harvest of baleen whales in the 1900s resulted 434 in depletion of most baleen whale populations in the EBS; since the cessation of commercial whaling in the mid-20th century, some populations are recovering (fin and humpback whales), 435 436 while North Pacific right whales are not recovering. Currently, baleen whale populations are seasonal in their use of the EBS region, with maximum numbers during their spring and 437 summer feeding periods. In a study that combines extensive at-sea observational data and 438 environmental and prey data together with a detailed statistical model, Zerbini et al. (this 439 issue) provide a novel habitat baseline for baleen whales in the EBS, based on a quantitative 440 441 assessment of the relationship between whale abundance, environmental variables such as sea surface temperature and chlorophyll concentration, and the density of key prey species: 442 euphausiids and age-1 pollock. This work demonstrates the added value provided by 443 working within the broadly integrated Bering Sea Project, although Zerbini and colleagues 444 note that the robustness of the relationships-and their applicability to forecasting-still 445 needs fuller validation with additional years of survey data. 446

447

448 **7. Fisheries management**

Application of project results to the top trophic level— humans— has been a consistent and
important goal of the Bering Sea Project. For example, in the third special issue, project
participants reported on how ecological information emerging from the Bering Sea Project
connected to subsistence harvests of local communities (e.g. Renner and Huntington, 2014).
In this issue we publish a set of six related articles by a group of authors on resource
assessment and modeling, incorporating focus on an ecosystem-based approach to fisheries
management (EBFM). Increasing awareness of the interconnections among species and

ecosystem conditions, together with concern over long-term cumulative impacts of
commercial fishing on marine ecosystems, have led to calls for a move towards EBFM, either
in addition to, or as replacement for, traditional single-species management. This topic fits
squarely within the core aims of the Bering Sea Project, which included providing information
relevant to the commercial fishing industry and of direct or indirect use to fishery managers.

461 However, moves toward multispecies and ecosystem-based management are complex and have proven challenging to bring to implementation. In an innovative modeling study, 462 Uchiyama et al. (this issue) used biomass estimates and predation data derived from annual 463 groundfish surveys in the Bering Sea, and then developed two forms of age-aggregated 464 465 biomass models—a multispecies biomass dynamics (MBD) model, and a multispecies delay 466 difference (MDD) model —to study the biomass dynamics of the four major groundfish species or species groups in the eastern Bering Sea. Despite a need for model 467 468 improvements and additional model evaluations, Uchiyama et al. provide a useful step forward in multi-species management approach, and their work can be used as a tool to 469 improve single-species stock assessments currently used, and enable further exploration of 470 471 the performance of harvest control rules aiming to maintain healthy populations of the Bering 472 Sea groundfish complex as a whole.

473

In the EBS there are strong trophic connections between pollock, arrowtooth flounder
(*Atheresthes stomias*), and Pacific cod due to interspecific predation of juveniles (Aydin and
Mueter, 2007). Moffit et al. (this issue) explore how to develop and test multi-species
biological reference points (MBRP) for use in setting harvest control rules of commerciallyexploited species. Their work explored a range of approaches, from use of a relatively

479 simple, two-species model (pollock and cod) to using system wide, multi-species maximum sustainable yield models (MMSY). In the simplest case, they calculated the fishing mortality 480 for each species' F_{x%} (the fishing mortality which reduces the spawning biomass per recruit of 481 482 that species to x% of unfished biomass (B₀)) while assuming the average fishing mortality 483 applied to all other species. In this method the natural and fishing mortality for each species is calculated from the multi-species model. The pollock-cod model predicted that pollock 484 recruitment increased with increasing fishing mortality of cod (due to predation), while cod 485 recruitment suffered as pollock fishing mortality increased (lower food availability for cod). 486 Plots of the modeled fishing rate of pollock ($F_{40\%}$) as a function of the fishing rate of cod 487 enabled them to identify combinations of fishing rates on the two species that exceeded the 488 overfishing limit. This simplest case is the one closest to how reference points are computed 489 490 with the current single-species management in Alaska. When comparing the relatively simple method of obtaining MBRP with the more complicated MMSY models, it appeared that in 491 492 some cases the more complicated models yielded catch rates that were as high or higher 493 than the rates calculated from the simpler models.

494

Holsman et al. (this issue) expanded upon the work of Moffit et al. and asked if multi-species assessment models could be used to quantify the direct and indirect effects of climate and fisheries harvest on fish populations. The question was addressed using single species and multi-species, statistical catch-at-age (MSCAA) models. This particular model (Climate-Enhanced, Age-based model with Temperature-specific Trophic Linkages and Energetics, or 'CEATTLE') included three interacting species from the EBS— pollock, Pacific cod, and arrowtooth flounder— and incorporated temperature-specific growth and predation rates.

502 The strength of temperature effects depended upon species and model type. Biological 503 reference points for arrowtooth flounder were the most sensitive to changes in temperature, while temperature effects on the MBRP for pollock and cod were difficult to discern due to 504 505 resulting high variability caused by different harvest scenarios and predation rates in the 506 absence of temperature change. The model demonstrated the large impact of annual predation on juvenile pollock; this predation (primarily cannibalism) represented an important 507 control on population dynamics. Interactions between climate (temperature) and trophic 508 drivers did influence the MBRPs, but trophic and management-driven changes (i.e. fishing 509 510 rates on the predators) can exceed or at least make it difficult to detect the direct effects of 511 temperature on growth and predation. Results from this study provide a good example of the complexity of fisheries management under changing climate conditions, and how MSCAA 512 513 models could be implemented for the annual setting of fishery guotas in the EBS.

514 Once multiple models (e.g., single species, multi-species, etc.) are developed for a geographic region or large marine ecosystem, the question "which model is right?" arises. In 515 other words, which model provides harvest recommendations and biological reference points 516 517 that ensure the sustainability of the target populations? Each model will have its own strengths and weaknesses based on the underlying construction, and the variation in 518 519 agreement among models, even among similar models, can be large. lanelli et al. (this issue) advocate for combining results from "competing" stock assessment model using a 520 method called ensemble forecasting, commonly used in climate forecasting. They review 521 522 alternative ways to implement model averaging for EBFM, and then apply averaging to three 523 types of stock assessment models (single-species, single species with temperature-specific weight at age, and temperature-specific multispecies) to examine how fishing in the EBS 524

under four different temperature scenarios may impact the spawning stock biomass of
pollock, Pacific cod, and arrowtooth flounder in the future (present to 2039). The authors
advocate the use of multiple models and model averaging to provide new and improved
insight into uncertainty in our stock assessments. This improved understanding of
uncertainty may then help managers set harvest control rules that improve our ability to meet
management goals such as avoiding overfishing.

531

532 Ortiz et al. (this issue) explored ecological processes with a novel integrated "end-to-end" ecosystem model that brought a physical oceanographic model (ROMS- Bering10K) together 533 534 with a lower trophic nutrient-phytoplankton-zooplankton model (BESTNPZ) and an upper 535 trophic fish model ("Forage and Euphausiid Abundance in Space and Time", or FEAST). By combining field data and end-to-end model output, Ortiz et al calculated weekly climatologies 536 537 and times series of physical and biological drivers from 1971-2009 for multiple distinct 538 regions of the EBS shelf and slope, and evaluated the reliability of such a complex ecosystem model through comparison with observations. The model illustrated two large-539 540 scale gradients that characterize overall EBS dynamics and also revealed specific ecosystem 541 processes, including how the seasonal warming of air temperature and the spring-summer 542 expansion of the warm pelagic and bottom habitats influence the seasonal sea-ice retreat 543 and the associated ice edge and open-water spring phytoplankton blooms, as well as the 544 subsequent production of copepods and euphausiids. The Ortiz et al. hindcast exhibited skill 545 in reproducing seasonal and temporal patterns in hydrography and biology, provides a yearround framework for local or seasonal observations, and has helped identify gaps and guide 546 547 research, both in the design of new surveys and the targeted temporal focus of surveys.

548

549 Finally, the **Punt et al.** (this issue) essay describes how a "Field-integrated End-to-End" 550 modeling approach that includes management strategy evaluation can be developed to 551 implement an EBFM approach in the EBS. The approach advocates future creation of 552 harvest control rules that incorporate ecosystem information, rather than the present system 553 that relies solely on estimates of stock biomass relative to reference biomass levels. In 554 addition, an important part of this essay is the presentation of guidelines and principles for the development of ecosystem models and recommendations for best practices based on the 555 556 Bering Sea Project experience. In retrospect, principal investigators working on model-based 557 components found the breadth and depth of Bering Sea Project models challenging to implement. In this essay, Punt et al. provide perspectives that may help in the design of 558 559 future ecological modeling work, based on their hard-earned Bering Sea Project experience. 560 These include: logistical support of large-scale software development should be on par with fieldwork support; ensure clear separation of scientific versus logistics oversight; promote 561 562 open and frequent communication with field biologists; ensure the adequacy and availability 563 of field data for model validation and testing; recognize that most modeling work is sequential 564 and iterative as opposed to simultaneous and independent; and recognize there is a mismatch of required performance levels and performance measures between single 565 566 discipline approaches and multi-disciplinary approaches.

567

568 8. Syntheses and Conclusions

570 The Bering Sea Project's internal steering committee (the "Science Advisory Board" or SAB) 571 initially envisioned that the series of four special issues in Deep-Sea Research Part II would show a progression from relatively directed and focused reports, to broader syntheses. In 572 573 practice, elements of both types of study appear in this final special issue. Some of this can 574 be attributed to special studies added to the project well after its inception (e.g. Bailey et al., 575 this issue, Cleary et al., this issue), but other directed investigations are just now emerging (e.g. Cokelet, this issue). After this massive effort involving nearly 100 principal investigators 576 and some 25,000 person-field days, results will continue to find their way into the literature for 577 578 years to come. At this point we know that several intermediary syntheses have been completed and others are well underway, and we look forward to the emergence of future 579 work. 580

581

582 8.1 Synthesis examples

583 To date, there are many examples of synthesis-focused advancements in our understanding of the Bering Sea ecosystem stemming from the Bering Sea Project. To supplement 584 585 individual paper descriptions, here we highlight three selected examples that span multiple papers across the whole program (including some within this special issue series and some 586 published elsewhere), are indicative of the success of the project as a whole, and represent 587 588 some of the project breadth. First is an important and complex story emerging from a group of the lower-trophic level studies. Investigators were able to describe how bottom-up 589 590 processes in a marginal ice zone influence both lower and upper trophic levels. In the 591 eastern Bering Sea ice, ice algae and cold winters help to promote the establishment of strong year-classes of large crustacean zooplankton (copepods and euphausiids). This 592

combination of conditions promotes lipid storage in those taxa, which in turn provides a
critical energy source for juvenile fish, such as pollock. Although the number of early juvenile
pollock in warm years is often higher than that in cold years, winter survival of juveniles
produced during a warm year is low. It is the late summer ingestion of abundant, lipid-rich,
crustacean zooplankton during cold years that enable juvenile pollock to store enough energy
reserves of their own to survive the first winter.

599

600 Warming of the ocean, and loss of sea ice at high latitudes in particular, are cause for 601 concern. One question on the minds of those that harvest resources from the Bering Sea is 602 how will warming impact the distribution and availability of those resources. In a second 603 example of broad, synthesis advancement in understanding, Bering Sea Project studies concluded that many subarctic demersal and semi-demersal fishes will not be able to 604 605 penetrate very far into the high arctic, even after the southeastern Bering Sea loses its 606 winter/spring sea ice. This is because at high latitudes (> ca. 62° N) physical conditions 607 combine to result in the region remaining dark and frigid in the winter, with continued advection and formation of sea ice. Thus in the near future the shelf in this northern region is 608 609 likely to continue to be covered by cold (< 2° C) bottom water during the summer, and this landscape-scale feature will continue to be a barrier to demersal and semi-demersal 610 611 subarctic fishes. The situation for pelagic fishes, such as Pacific salmon, while less certain, 612 appears different, and an increase in salmon species in the northern Bering Sea and Chukchi 613 Sea has already been observed (Eisner et al. 2013).

614

615 Teams of researchers within the Bering Sea Project also investigated bottom-up processes 616 affecting higher trophic levels. In a third example of a broad, multi-investigator advance, comparison was made of food availability between two colonies of Northern fur seals 617 618 (Callorhinus ursinus), one situated on the shelf (Pribilof Islands) and the other on a tiny island 619 in the oceanic basin (Bogoslof Island). It was known that the Pribilof population on the shelf has seen pup production decline by some 80% since the 1950s, and the population of adults 620 has been declining at an annual rate of ~5% since 1998, while in recent decades the 621 population from the Bogoslof oceanic site was increasing (Battaile et al. 2015). What hasn't 622 623 been understood is the cause of the Pribilof decline, particularly because scientists often view 624 the shelf as a more productive region than the oceanic basin. Previous tagging studies ruled out immigration or emigration. Using a combination of sophisticated logging instrumentation 625 626 and at-sea oceanographic and bioacoustic work, Bering Sea Project scientists discovered that prey availability and foraging efficiency for fur seals was higher at the oceanic rookery 627 628 than at the shelf site. Prey available to fur seals at the oceanic rookery were both closer 629 (requiring shorter trips for lactating females), and more energy-rich than the prey available to fur seals traveling from the shelf Pribilof rookery. 630

631

632 8.2 *Reflection and conclusion*

Large, interdisciplinary programs come with large risks, but also with potentially large rewards. At every level, success depends on the talent, ingenuity, and the altruism of participating scientists. Luck, timing, and serendipity also play roles in the success of large research programs. Have methods and technology used in the independent fields of study progressed far enough for the next big advancement? Are the project hypotheses cleverly constructed to enable meaningful conclusions? Will the conditions under which the field
years are conducted allow the scientists to actually test the hypotheses? Will scientists work
well in teams that go beyond their immediate projects? Will scientists allow themselves and
the program to be guided by a team of peers? If the Bering Sea Project is seen as fully
successful, it is only because the participants succeeded in meeting many of these
challenges.

644

As described in this special issue's opening "Appreciation", many key individuals working in a 645 variety of programs helped to set the stage and collect datasets that were later used in this 646 647 project. Building from earlier work, teams of scientists crafted framework hypotheses and 648 questions after much discussion and multiple workshops. Then, as the project was launched, nature had a surprise in store and provided a challenge—following a series of warming years 649 650 prior to project inception, Bering Sea Project participants were faced with three "cold" 651 fieldwork years during which atmospheric conditions resulted in extensive sea-ice coverage 652 and colder-than-average ocean temperatures. The next "warm" year wouldn't occur until four 653 years after field research was concluded.

654

Fortunately, thanks to the efforts of many scientists working in the region prior to the Bering Sea Project, data from previous programs conducted during warm climate regimes were available for reference, and were successfully used by scientists in their analyses and syntheses. Project scientists willingly extended themselves to work in groups that went well beyond their own components. Common interests and curiosity helped to form powerful and

productive partnerships. And participating scientists were responsive to suggestions and
challenges from both those who prepared and launched the Bering Sea Project on this
journey (see Appreciation) and the members of the SAB and program managers who guided
the project to this concluding issue.

664

665 Investment in an integrated ecosystem research approach to the EBS ecosystem paid many 666 dividends. First and foremost, the results achieved by the project researchers would likely not have been accomplished by a series of individual projects spaced over a longer time 667 period. The breadth of the team of investigators resulted in a deep and broad publication 668 669 record, and empowered the ability to answer questions about the conservation and 670 management of living marine resources. Finally, the success of individual projects helped to establish new collaborative relationships among scientists that will last into the future for 671 672 additional studies in this and other ecosystems.

673

674 This large expansion of information suggests that there has been a worthy payoff of the risks taken by NSF and NPRB to partner with each other and with NOAA and other organizations 675 in a broad, complex, integrated ecosystem research program. The Bering Sea Project has 676 resulted in a large increase of knowledge in an important ecosystem; it was able to address 677 678 many of the program hypotheses, it increased our knowledge of how warming and loss of sea may impact the ecosystem in the future, and it was able to address some of the questions 679 posed by those that use and manage resources from the region. We look forward to 680 681 continued advancement of understanding in the ecology of this rich and vital region. And we

expect that future scientists will re-examine what we learned, refute parts of it, and build upon
other parts to provide a more mechanistic, predictive, and holistic understanding of the Bering
Sea ecosystem, just as we built upon results and insights from earlier researchers.

685

686 Acknowledgements

This introduction is a collective effort by all seven co-authors, and together we thank each of 687 the participants of the Bering Sea Project for their contributions that made the contents of this 688 689 fourth special issue— and the three preceding it— filled with engaging context, research results, and discussion. We would also like to thank the many reviewers who generously 690 answered the call for expert peer-review; without their valuable and volunteer help, this 691 692 special issue series would not have been possible. We thank the former editor-in-chief John 693 Milliman, the current editor-in-chief Ken Drinkwater, and the technical staff at Elsevier in the 694 US and overseas for their guidance and assistance in producing this series of four special issues. Thanks to Hunter Hadaway and colleagues at the UW Center for Environmental 695 Visualization for creating Figure 1 in collaboration with project participants. Finally, we 696 697 acknowledge the National Science Foundation (NSF Award No. 1308087) and the North Pacific Research Board (NPRB) for author support during the concluding phase of the Bering 698 Sea Project, and we thank many colleagues at NSF, NPRB, and NOAA for their management 699 700 partnership and expertise. Funding for the Bering Sea Project was provided by NSF and 701 NPRB, with in-kind contribution from participants. This is PMEL contribution number XXXX, NPRB publication YYY, NOAA's North Pacific Climate Regimes and Ecosystem Productivity 702 703 publication number WWW, and Bering Sea Project publication ZZZ.

704

705

706 **References**

- Andrews III, A.G., Strasburger, W.W., Farley Jr, E.V., Murphy, J.M., Coyle, K.O., 2016 (this
 issue). Effects of warm and cold climate conditions on capelin (*Mallotus villosus*) and Pacific
 herring (*Clupea pallasii*) in the eastern Bering Sea. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical
 Studies in Openangraphy.
- 710 Studies in Oceanography.
- Aydin, K., Mueter, F., 2007. The Bering Sea—A dynamic food web perspective. Deep-Sea
 Research Part II 54, 2501-2525.
- Bailey, J., Durbin, E.G., Rynearson, T., 2016 (this issue). Species composition and
 abundance of copepods in the morphologically cryptic genus *Pseudocalanus* in the Bering
- Sea. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography.
- 716 Battaile, B.C., Nordstrom, C.A., Liebsch, N., Trites, A.W., 2015. Foraging a new trail with
- 717 northern fur seals (*Callorhinus ursinus*): Lactating seals from islands with contrasting
- population dynamics have different foraging strategies, and forage at scales previously
- unrecognized by GPS interpolated dive data. Marine Mammal Science 31, 1494-1520.
- Buckley, T.W., Ortiz, I., Kotwicki, S., Aydin, K., 2016 (this issue). Summer diet composition of
- 721 walleye pollock and predator–prey relationships with copepods and euphausiids in the
- eastern Bering Sea, 1987–2011. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in
- 723 Oceanography.
- Campbell, R.G., Ashjian, C.J., Sherr, E.B., Sherr, B.F., Lomas, M.W., Ross, C., Alatalo, P.,
 Gelfman, C., Keuren, D.V., 2016 (this issue). Mesozooplankton grazing during spring sea-ice
 conditions in the eastern Bering Sea. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in
 Oceanography.
- Cheng, W., Curchitser, E., Stock, C., Hermann, A., Cokelet, E., Mordy, C., Stabeno, P.,
- Hervieux, G., Castruccio, F., 2016 (this issue). What processes contribute to the spring and fall bloom co-variability on the Eastern Bering Sea shelf? Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical
- 731 Studies in Oceanography.
 - Cleary, A.C., Durbin, E.G., Rynearson, T.A., Bailey, J., 2016 (this issue). Feeding by
 Pseudocalanus copepods in the Bering Sea: Trophic linkages and a potential mechanism of
 niche partitioning. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography.
 - Duffy-Anderson, J.T., Barbeaux, S.J., Farley, E., Heintz, R., Horne, J.K., Parker-Stetter, S.L.,
 Petrik, C., Siddon, E.C., Smart, T.I., 2016 (this issue). The critical first year of life of walleye
 - pollock (*Gadus chalcogrammus*) in the eastern Bering Sea: Implications for recruitment and
 - future research. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography.

Durski, S.M., Kurapov, A., Zhang, J., Panteleev, G.G., 2016 (this issue). Circulation in the

- Eastern Bering Sea: Inferences from a 2-km-resolution model. Deep Sea Research Part II:
- 741 Topical Studies in Oceanography.

Eisner, L., N. Hillgruber, E. Martinson, and J. Maselko. 2013. Pelagic fish and zooplankton
species assemblages in relation to water mass characteristics in the northern Bering and
southeast Chukchi Seas. Polar Biology 36:87-113. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00300-0121241-0

746

Eisner, L.B., Gann, J.C., Ladd, C., D. Cieciel, K., Mordy, C.W., 2016 (this issue). Late
summer/early fall phytoplankton biomass (chlorophyll *a*) in the eastern Bering Sea: Spatial
and temporal variations and factors affecting chlorophyll a concentrations. Deep Sea
Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography.

Farley Jr, E.V., Heintz, R.A., Andrews, A.G., Hurst, T.P., 2016 (this issue). Size, diet, and

- condition of age-0 Pacific cod (*Gadus macrocephalus*) during warm and cool climate states in
- the eastern Bering Sea. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography.

Gann, J.C., Eisner, L.B., Porter, S., Watson, J.T., Cieciel, K.D., Mordy, C.W., Yasumiishi,

E.M., Stabeno, P.J., Ladd, C., Heintz, R.A., Farley, E.V., 2016 (this issue). Possible

- 756 mechanism linking ocean conditions to low body weight and poor recruitment of age-0
- valleye pollock (*Gadus chalcogrammus*) in the southeast Bering Sea during 2007. Deep Sea
- 758 Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography.

Gibson, G.A., Coyle, K.O., Hedstrom, K., Curchitser, E.N., 2013. A modeling study to explore
on-shelf transport of oceanic zooplankton in the Eastern Bering Sea. Journal of Marine
Systems 121–122, 47-64.

- Gibson, G.A., Spitz, Y.H., 2011. Impacts of biological parameterization, initial conditions, and
 environmental forcing on parameter sensitivity and uncertainty in a marine ecosystem model
 for the Bering Sea. Journal of Marine Systems 88, 214-231.
- Heintz, R.A., Siddon, E.C., Farley Jr, E.V., Napp, J.M., 2013. Correlation between recruitment
 and fall condition of age-0 pollock (*Theragra chalcogramma*) from the eastern Bering Sea
 under varying climate conditions. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in
 Oceanography 94, 150-156.
- Hermann, A.J., Gibson, G.A., Bond, N.A., Curchitser, E.N., Hedstrom, K., Cheng, W., Wang,
 M., Cokelet, E.D., Stabeno, P.J., Aydin, K., 2016 (this issue). Projected future biophysical
 states of the Bering Sea. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography.
- Hermann, A.J., Gibson, G.A., Bond, N.A., Curchitser, E.N., Hedstrom, K., Cheng, W., Wang,
 M., Stabeno, P.J., Eisner, L., Cieciel, K.D., 2013. A multivariate analysis of observed and

modeled biophysical variability on the Bering Sea shelf: Multidecadal hindcasts (1970–2009)
and forecasts (2010–2040). Deep-Sea Research Part II 94, 121-139.

Holsman, K.K., Ianelli, J., Aydin, K., Punt, A.E., Moffitt, E.A., 2016 (this issue). A comparison
of fisheries biological reference points estimated from temperature-specific multi-species and
single-species climate-enhanced stock assessment models. Deep Sea Research Part II:
Topical Studies in Oceanography.

- Hunt Jr, G.L., Ressler, P.H., Gibson, G.A., De Robertis, A., Aydin, K., Sigler, M.F., Ortiz, I.,
 Lessard, E.J., Williams, B.C., Pinchuk, A., Buckley, T., 2016 (this issue). Euphausiids in the
 eastern Bering Sea: A synthesis of recent studies of euphausiid production, consumption and
 population control. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography.
- Ianelli, J., Holsman, K.K., Punt, A.E., Aydin, K., 2016 (this issue). Multi-model inference for
 incorporating trophic and climate uncertainty into stock assessments. Deep Sea Research
 Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography.
- Liu, C.L., Zhai, L., Zeeman, S.I., Eisner, L.B., Gann, J.C., Mordy, C.W., Moran, S.B., Lomas,
 M.W., 2016 (this issue). Seasonal and geographic variations in modeled primary production
 and phytoplankton losses from the mixed layer between warm and cold years on the eastern
 Bering Sea shelf. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography.
- Lomas, M.W., Stabeno, P.J., 2014. An introduction to the Bering Sea Project: Volume III.
 Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 109, 1-4.
- Miller, J.A., DiMaria, R.A., Hurst, T.P., 2016 (this issue). Patterns of larval source distribution
 and mixing in early life stages of Pacific cod (*Gadus macrocephalus*) in the southeastern
 Bering Sea. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography.
- Moffitt, E.A., Punt, A.E., Holsman, K., Aydin, K.Y., Ianelli, J.N., Ortiz, I., 2016 (this issue).
 Moving towards ecosystem-based fisheries management: Options for parameterizing multispecies biological reference points. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in
 Oceanography.
- Ortiz, I., Aydin, K., Hermann, A.J., Gibson, G.A., Punt, A.E., Wiese, F.K., Eisner, L.B., Ferm,
 N., Buckley, T.W., Moffitt, E.A., Ianelli, J.N., Murphy, J., Dalton, M., Cheng, W., Wang, M.,
 Hedstrom, K., Bond, N.A., Curchitser, E.N., Boyd, C., 2016 (this issue). Climate to fish:
 Synthesizing field work, data and models in a 39-year retrospective analysis of seasonal
 processes on the eastern Bering Sea shelf and slope. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical
 Studies in Oceanography.
- Parker-Stetter, S., Urmy, S., Horne, J., Eisner, L., Farley, E., 2016 (this issue). Factors
 affecting summer distributions of Bering Sea forage fish species: Assessing competing
 hypotheses. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography.

- Petrik, C.M., Duffy-Anderson, J.T., Mueter, F., Hedstrom, K., Curchitser, E.N., 2015.
- 810 Biophysical transport model suggests climate variability determines distribution of Walleye
- 811 Pollock early life stages in the eastern Bering Sea through effects on spawning. Progress in
- 812 Oceanography 138, 459-474.
- 813 Pleuthner, R.L., Shaw, C.T., Schatz, M.J., Lessard, E.J., Harvey, H.R., 2016 (this issue).
- Lipid markers of diet history and their retention during experimental starvation in the Bering
- 815 Sea euphausiid *Thysanoessa raschii*. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in
- 816 Oceanography.
- Punt, A.E., Ortiz, I., Aydin, K.Y., Hunt Jr, G.L., Wiese, F.K., 2016 (this issue). End-to-end
 modeling as part of an integrated research program in the Bering Sea. Deep Sea Research
 Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography.
- Renner, M., Huntington, H.P., 2014. Connecting subsistence harvest and marine ecology: A
 cluster analysis of communities by fishing and hunting patterns. Deep Sea Research Part II:
 Topical Studies in Oceanography 109, 293-299.
- Harvey, H.R., Sigler, M.F., 2013. An introduction to the Bering Sea Project: Volume II. Deep
 Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 94, 2-6.
- Sambrotto, R.N., Burdloff, D., McKee, K., 2016 (this issue). Spatial and year-to-year patterns
 in new and primary productivity in sea ice melt regions of the eastern Bering Sea. Deep Sea
 Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography.
- Siddon, E.C., Heintz, R.A., Mueter, F.J., 2013. Conceptual model of energy allocation in
 walleye pollock (*Theragra chalcogramma*) from age-0 to age-1 in the southeastern Bering
 Sea. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 94, 140-149.
- Sigler, M.F., Stabeno, P.J., Eisner, L.B., Napp, J.M., Mueter, F.J., 2014. Spring and fall
 phytoplankton blooms in a productive subarctic ecosystem, the eastern Bering Sea, during
 1995–2011. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 109, 71-83.
- Stabeno, P.J., Danielson, S.L., Kachel, D.G., Kachel, N.B., Mordy, C.W., 2016 (this issue).
 Currents and transport on the Eastern Bering Sea shelf: An integration of over 20 years of
 data. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography.
- Stabeno, P.J., Farley Jr, E.V., Kachel, N.B., Moore, S., Mordy, C.W., Napp, J.M., Overland,
 J.E., Pinchuk, A.I., Sigler, M.F., 2012. A comparison of the physics of the northern and
 southern shelves of the eastern Bering Sea and some implications for the ecosystem. Deep
 Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 65–70, 14-30.
- Stabeno, P.J., Kachel, N.B., Moore, S.E., Napp, J.M., Sigler, M., Yamaguchi, A., Zerbini,
 A.N., 2012. Comparison of warm and cold years on the southeastern Bering Sea shelf and

some implications for the ecosystem. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies inOceanography 65–70, 31-45.

Uchiyama, T., Kruse, G.H., Mueter, F.J., 2016 (this issue). A multispecies biomass dynamics
model for investigating predator–prey interactions in the Bering Sea groundfish community.
Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography.

Wang, M., Overland, J.E., Stabeno, P., 2012. Future climate of the Bering and Chukchi Seas
projected by global climate models. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in
Oceanography 65–70, 46-57.

- Wiese, F.K., Wiseman Jr, W.J., Van Pelt, T.I., 2012. Bering Sea linkages. Deep Sea
 Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 65–70, 2-5.
- Zerbini, A.N., Friday, N.A., Palacios, D.M., Waite, J.M., Ressler, P.H., Rone, B.K., Moore,
- 854 S.E., Clapham, P.J., 2016 (this issue). Baleen whale abundance and distribution in relation to
- 855 environmental variables and prey density in the Eastern Bering Sea. Deep Sea Research
- 856 Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography.

- 858
- 859
- 860

860

861



862

863

Figure 1. An illustration of the eastern Bering Sea shelf ecosystem and the framework of the Bering Sea Project, showing the predominant currents and species, research platforms, ice extent, location of the 'cold pool', and also depicting the focal coastal communities and their primary subsistence harvest. Biophysical moorings are noted as M2-M8. The seven-year Bering Sea Project focused on US waters across the entire eastern Bering Sea shelf, slope, and basin, extending south from the Bering Strait and north from the Alaska Peninsula andeastern Aleutian Islands.