```
1 
 2 DR. QIAN MA (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-5546-3489)
 3 
 4 
            For Date : 14-1<br>
ed Date : 22-1<br>
e type<br>
e type<br>
e type<br>
Chinotus<br>
entage assi<br>
chinotus<br>
entage assi<br>
1 Ma<sup>1,2*</sup>, Seif<br>
dy<sup>1</sup>, Kevan I<br>
e Marine Labor<br>
ow Sea Fisheri<br>
dong, China<br>
rida Fish and W<br>
espondence:<br>
shery Sci
 5 Received Date : 14-Mar-2016
 6 Revised Date : 22-Mar-2017
            m. m
 7 Accepted Date : 22-Mar-2017
 8 Article type : Original Article
 9 
10
11 Evaluating spawning performance among captive Florida pompano
12 Trachinotus carolinus broodstock using microsatellite based 
13 parentage assignment
Qian Ma1,2*
, Seifu Seyoum3
, Michael D. Tringali3
, Matthew J. Resley1
14 , Nicole R. 
       Rhody1
, Kevan L. Main1
, Kenneth M. Leber1
15
<sup>1</sup>Mote Marine Laboratory, Directorate of Fisheries and Aquaculture, Sarasota, Florida, USA
<sup>2</sup>Yellow Sea Fisheries Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Fishery Sciences, Qingdao,
18 Shandong, China
<sup>3</sup> Florida Fish and Wildlife Research Institute, St. Petersburg, Florida, USA
20
21 Correspondence: Q Ma, Yellow Sea Fisheries Research Institute, Chinese Academy 
22 of Fishery Sciences, 106 Nanjing Road, Qingdao 266071, China. 
23 E-mail: maqian@ysfri.ac.cn; Fax: 0086-532-85811514; Tel: 0086-532-85836344 
24
25 Running Head: Spawning performance in captive pompano broodstock 
26
```
This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record.](https://doi.org/10.1111/are.13369) Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/are.13369](https://doi.org/10.1111/are.13369)

Key words: Florida pompano, parentage assignment, microsatellites, reproductive

success, rapid growth trait

Abstract

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved Florida pompano has been identified as a promising candidate for commercial scale aquaculture production, but to date little information is available regarding captive broodstock spawning characteristics. Genetic markers were tested for their power in monitoring mating outcomes and potential in analyzing heritability of rapid growth trait in *Trachinotus carolinus*. A total of 20 unrelated adults (10 females and 10 males) were chosen for a hormone-induced mass spawning event. The 515 fastest growing and 485 slowest growing fish out of the total 4852 offspring were considered a selected progeny stock, fish were collected at 45 days post-hatch based on their growth traits. Parentage analyses based on the 20 breeders and 1,000 selected progeny were performed using a total of nine microsatellite markers, a 100% assignment rate was achieved and a four marker-set was the minimum number for the parentage assignment. The effective breeding number for the selected progeny was 11 (six females and five males), among which three females and two males were predominant contributors with the total contribution of 95.8% and 94.7%, respectively. The proportion of fast-growing offspring from broodfish and each mating cross (sire/dam) 36

36

36 Washimation because the authority of the subsection in growth of the offspring was used for detecting

40

41 Plorida pompsho has been identified as a promising candidate for commercial scan

42 aquaculuate prod

parental stocks. Results showed that three adults and their mated combination

exhibited the greatest fast-growing offspring proportion (69.73% and 55.95%). This

research provided new information regarding spawning performance and parental

contribution during mass spawning events; both important first steps toward

developing improved management strategies for captive Florida pompano broodstock.

Introduction

 Florida pompano (*Trachinotus carolinus*) are distributed in coastal waters throughout the Gulf of Mexico and along the eastern United States in the Atlantic Ocean (Gilbert 1986). Males and females are sexually mature at one to three years of age and normally attain a maximum weight ranging from 0.7 to 2.3 kg (Gilbert 1986). In Florida, spawning is thought to occur year round in the Gulf (Berry & Iverson 1967) 69 and on the Atlantic coast from February to October at $22\n-26$ °C, with peaks in April to May and September to October (Fields 1962). Larvae develop at sea, whereas committed darting makes pawtiming events; nont important rist steps toward

86 developing improved management strategies for captive Horida ponpano broot

86 **Example 2014**

86 **Example 2014**

86 Developing improved manage

71 juven iles in habit the surf zone until temperatures are $\langle 20 \degree C$, when they again migrate offshore (Fields 1962).

 Pompano have long been considered a high-value marine food fish, as evidenced by increasing market prices and a rise in consumer demand (Hauville,

Zambonino-Infante, Bell, Migaud & Main 2014; Main, Rhody, Nystrom & Resley

2007). Although significant interest in developing commercial culture capabilities

began as early as the 1960's and 1970's (Watanabe 1995), efforts to develop reliable

protocols for successful commercial scale farming of Florida pompano are ongoing.

To date, studies on the culture of *T. carolinus* have focused on feed composition

(Hauville *et al.* 2014; Riche & Williams 2011), spawning behavior (Hoff, Mountain,

81 Frakes & Halcott 1978; Reynolds 2010) and improving overall culture conditions

(Weirich & Riche 2006; Weirich, Wills, Baptiste, Woodward & Riche 2009).

Research has shown pompano can be readily induced to spawn in captivity (Main *et*

al. 2007; Weirich & Riley 2007), have a high tolerance to different salinities and

stressors (Weirich & Riche 2006), and readily accept pelletized diets (Hauville *et al.*

 species for commercial aquaculture. However, further improvements in aquaculture technology are needed to ensure development of a viable Florida pompano industry. The implementation of selective breeding programs for commercially farmed fishes is important for the long-term sustainability of the aquaculture industry. So far, selective breeding programs have been well established for some marine and freshwater species, including red sea bream (*Pargus major*) (Murata, Miyashita, Izumi, Maeda, Kato & Kumai 1996), European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) (Vandeputte, Dupont-Nivet, Haffray, Chavanne, Cenadelli, Parati, Vidal, Vergnet & Chatain 2009), Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) (de Mestral & Herbinger, 2013), common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) (Ninh, Ponzoni, Nguyen, Woolliams, Taggart, McAndrew & Penman 2011; Vandeputte 2003) and Egyptian Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) (Rezk, Ponzoni, Khaw, Kamel, Dawood & John 2009). During the past ten years, a summary of measured responses to selection has shown that estimated genetic gains in growth rate could reach 10–20% per generation (Gjedrem & Baranski 2010). However, Gjedrem, Robinson & Rye (2012) estimated that only 10% of aquaculture production worldwide is based on genetically improved stocks. One possible reason is the fact that pedigree information is often difficult and costly to obtain (Vandeputte & Haffray 2014). Accurate pedigree information is of paramount importance in selective breeding programs (El-Kassaby, Cappa, Liewlaksaneeyanawin, Klápště & Lstibůrek 2011; Lacy 2012). Molecular tools, such as microsatellite markers, have been used in parentage analysis to provide pedigree information to estimate breeding success, effective population size, individual inbreeding levels and other genetic parameters (Blonk, Komen, Kamstra, Crooijmans & van Arendonk 2009; Dodds, Tate & Sise 2005; Kapralova, Morrissey, Kristjánsson, Ólafsdóttir, Snorrason & Ferguson 2011; Ponzoni, Khaw, Nguyen & Hamzah 2010). Additionally, genetic markers have also been used extensively as a management tool, particularly in selective breeding 30 Important for pie tong-term sustainaminy or ne aquacinum mutusry. So tar, see

11 breeding roog sac breedined listohislond for some marine and freshwater s

22 including red see bream (Parget major) (Muraia, Miyashiia,

programs involving a number of fish species, including gilthead sea bream (*Sparus*

aurata) (Antonello, Massault, Franch, Haley, Pellizzari, Bovo, Patarnello, de Koning

 2009), large yellow croaker (*Larimichthys crocea*) (Liu, Sui, Wang, Cai, Yao & Chen 2011) and Japanese flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*) (Shikano 2005). To date, there is no information on parental contribution to mass spawning in Florida pompano, which is important not only for understanding basic and fundamental data on spawning characteristics, but also for the development of a successful breeding program.

 Accurate parentage assignment based on high resolution molecular markers is important for the purpose of conducting future heritability studies. The estimation of heritability and genetic correlations allows operations to design breeding programs and allows for the evaluation of expected genetic gains (Vandeputte & Haffray 2014). As a first step in this direction, we initiated a scoping study employing microsatellite-based DNA profiling to obtain pedigree information within a subset of captive Florida pompano broodstock maintained at Mote Aquaculture Park in Sarasota, Florida, USA. A molecular-based assignment of the selected progeny stock to parents was undertaken, genetic diversity data were compared between broodstock and progeny stock, assignment power of selected markers were evaluated, and individual/parental contributions to larval production were detected and quantified to estimate reproductive success of breeders. In addition to evaluating spawning performance of broodstock, associations between fast-growing progeny and related breeders were investigated to determine whether variation in growth of the offspring was related to parental stocks. Our objective is to demonstrate the potential use of molecular-based parentage assignment as a practical tool for conducting genetic selection of important attributes in evaluating spawning performance using captive Florida pompano, *Trachinotus carolinus*. when is important contry for understanding rases and undamental data on

1414 Following Chancelesistics, but also for the development of a successful breeding

222 Program.

223 Accurate parentage assignment based on high

Materials and methods

Broodstock collection, spawning and larval rearing

Eight adult Florida pompano were collected from coastal waters in Sarasota (Florida,

- USA) and transported to Mote Aquaculture Research Park (Sarasota, Florida, USA).
- This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved combined with an existing population of F1 generation pompano (captive bred offspring) that were previously spawned and reared at Mote Aquaculture Research Park. A tissue sample (fin clip) was taken from each fish and samples were stored individually in 90% ethanol for later parentage analysis. Prior to spawning, all adult broodstock were screened through genotyping with 15 microsatellite markers to ensure they were unrelated (neither full- or half-sibling). A total of seven F1 and one wild fish were removed from the broodstock population thus excluding them from the study. In total, 20 adult fish (10 females and 10 males) were held in a single, indoor, 156 photoperiod (11-13 H light) and temperature controlled system (22-28 °C) and 157 maintained at a salinity of 35 ± 1 g L⁻¹ (Fig.1). The recirculating system consisted of a 28 m^3 tank equipped with filtration, which included a 0.085 m³ drop filter (Aquaculture Systems Technologies, New Orleans, LA, USA) for solids removal, a 160 900-l moving bed for biofiltration containing 0.283 m³ plastic extruded floating media To sample broodstock, individual fish were netted into a 500-l tank containing 200-l of saltwater and anesthetized with Tricaine-S (Western Chemical, Inc., Ferndale, WA, USA) at a concentration of 300 ppm for approximately 1-2 minutes. All male and female pompano were weighed (body mass, weight, g) and measured (standard length, SL, cm) at sampling (Table 1). Fulton's condition factor (K) was calculated following the formula: $K = 10^2 \times$ body weight \times standard length⁻³ (AMBTM media, EEC, Blue Bell, PA, USA), a protein skimmer, and two 150-W 162 High Output SMART HO UV® units. To ascertain broodstock spawning condition, females were cannulated using a soft plastic tubing (1.0 mm inside diameter) and oocytes were examined under a light microscope. Oocyte staging terminology was used to identify the reproductive condition (stage and step) of each female and to determine the individuals that were suitable for hormonal implantation (Rhody, Neidig, Grier, Main & Migaud 2013). Only females with oocytes in late secondary growth (SGl) or the later stages of 175 oogenesis (> 400 um, n = 10 females) were induced to spawn. A single intramuscular implant containing gonadotropin releasing hormone analogue (sGnRHa) was B10 marytolanty in vote entanto for tater parentage analysis. Prior to spawning
broodstock were screened through genotyping with 15 microsatellite mark
ensure they were unrelated (neither full- or half-sibling). A total

 administered at a dosage of 50 μg/kg body weight (Ovaplant®, Western Chemical, Inc., Ferndale, WA, USA). Males were not implanted during this study. Spawning occurred approximately 24 hours following hormonal implantation. Following the spawning event, eggs were transferred from the broodstock tank to a 100-l conical hatching tank. At 4–6 h post fertilization (blastula stage), aeration was removed and non-viable (sinking) eggs were discarded. The aeration was then turned back on and three aliquot 5 ml samples were taken and counted to estimate the egg concentration and fertilization rate. Approximately 150 eggs (volumetrically measured) were stocked into individual microcosms. Accuracy of initial stocking 186 ranged from 151 to 168 larvae per microcosm. The microcosms $(n = 12)$ were made of a 100 mm diameter PVC pipe sealed at one end with a 330 µm mesh. The sieves 188 were set on a grid in a 340 L water table equipped with UV sterilization (salinity $34 \pm$ 189 1 g L⁻¹, dissolved oxygen 5 ± 1 mg L⁻¹, pH 8.5 \pm 0.3, temperature 27 °C). 190 Larvae were reared in a 3.3 m³ tank (temperature 26 ± 1 °C, salinity 35 ± 1 g L⁻¹, 191 dissolved oxygen 6 ± 1 mg L⁻¹) following protocols described by Hauville *et al*. 192 (2014). All progeny were size graded at 45 days post-hatch ($n = 4,852$) and the following size standards were established and used to separate the pompano fingerlings into three groups: fast-growing (standard length > 4.7 cm, body height > 195 2.0 cm, body mass > 2.9 g), slow-growing (standard length < 3.5 cm, body height $<$ 196 1.5 cm, body mass < 1.1 g) and moderate-growing (with the size in between the fast- and slow- growing). All individuals from the fast-growing group (515 fish) and 198 slow-growing group (485 fish) were sampled to form a selected progeny stock (n = 1,000), whose members were of significant different growth traits. Fish were collected from the tank and euthanized with Tricaine-S (Western Chemical, Inc., Ferndale, WA, USA) in accordance with Mote Marine Laboratory's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved protocols (IACUC Approval No. 12-03-KM1). Whole animals were stored in absolute ethanol for further DNA extraction and genotype analysis. Following the spatial
181 100-1 conteal hatc
182 removed and non-
183 back on and three
20 concentration and
185 measured) were s
186 ranged from 1511
187 of a 100 mm dian
188 were set on a grid
189 1 g L⁻¹, dissolved
19

DNA extraction

 Total genomic DNA was extracted from caudal fin clips of pompano broodstock and offspring by using PureGene DNA Extraction kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNase treatment was performed by 210 adding DNase-free RNase A solution and incubating at 37 °C for 60 min. All DNA samples were quantified using NanoDrop 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, 212 Wilmington, DE, USA), then diluted to 100 ng/ μ l and stored at 4 °C prior to PCR amplification.

PCR amplification and microsatellite analysis

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved Microsatellite markers used in this study were selected from an existing suite of microsatellite DNA markers developed for permit (*Trachinotus falcatus*) and described by Seyoum (2014). Each broodfish was independently genotyped using 15 polymorphic microsatellite markers (Table 2), which were validated for pompano. Each amplification and analysis was run twice to evaluate scores for consistency of the broodstock relation test. Nine polymorphic microsatellite loci (TFl05, TFl07, TFl15, TFl39, TFl51, TFl56, TFl62, TFl64, TFl70) were finally selected for parentage assignment of all 1,000 fingerlings, and these loci were assayed in five optimized PCR multiplexes (Table 2). Each 12.5 μl PCR reaction consisted of 0.3 U of GoTaq (Promega, Madison, WI, 226 USA), 2.5μ 1 5 x GoTaq Buffer, 0.2 mM each of four dNTPs, 3 mM MgCl₂, 1.25 One microlitre of each PCR multiplex was combined with 12 μl Hi-Di formamide and 0.5 μl Gene Scan-500 ROX-labeled size standard (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA) for fragment assay and denatured at 94°C for four minutes, and snap-cooled before loading. Microsatellite alleles were detected and sized on an automated ABI 3130XL genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Fragment 227 mg/ml BSA , $0.8 \mu\text{M}$ of each primer, and 100 ng DNA template. PCR amplification 228 was performed according to the following protocol: 94 °C for 2 min; followed by 8 229 cycles of 94 °C for 45 s, 58 °C for 45 s, 72 °C 45 s; 10 cycles of 94 °C for 40 s, 57 °C 230 for 40 s, 72 °C 40 s; 12 cycles of 94 °C for 35 s, 56 °C for 35 s, 72 °C 35 s; 9 cycles 210 adotting *D*Nuscritee Krvase A solution and includeding at *si* \cdot L for 60 fmin. All DNA samples were quantified using NanoDrop 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientif Winnington, DE, USA), then diluted to 100 ng

lengths were analyzed using GeneMapper (version 4.0; Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad,

CA, USA).

Genetic diversity, parentage assignment and statistical analysis

 Genetic diversity estimators (number of alleles, observed and expected heterozygosity, and polymorphic information content) were assessed for each locus based on the genotypes of 20 broodstock and 1,000 offspring using the genetic parentage analysis software, CERVUS (version 3.0) (Kalinowski, Taper & Marshall 2007). 245 The effective population size (N_e) was estimated from the microsatellite DNA genotype data using the linkage-disequilibrium of Burrows option (Hill 1981; Waples 2006) implemented in the program NeEstimator version 2 (Do, Waples, Peel, 248 Macbeth, Tillett & Ovenden 2014). This approach generally gives unbiased estimates 249 of linkage-disequlibrium from which estimates of N_e can be derived (Robinson $\&$ 262 Subsequently, the number of progeny produced by each parent was determined and Moyer 2012) with 95% confidence intervals based on the parametric procedure of Waples (2006). Deviations from Hardy–Weinberg (HW) equilibrium and linkage disequilibrium between all possible pairs of loci in the broodstock were analyzed using GENEPOP (version 4.2) (Rousset 2008). Prior to spawning, a marker-based parentage testing was used to rule-out full-sib or half-sib individuals from the adult broodstock population (Tringali 2006). Assignment rates of the nine markers in all 20 breeders and 1,000 offspring were calculated (with the confidence of 95%, error rate of 0.01 and minimum number of typed loci of 3) using CERVUS (version 3.0) (Kalinowski *et al.* 2007). Markers were then removed in a step-wise fashion in order to exclude the locus with the lowest PIC (removed order: TFl70, TFl51, TFl39 and TFl05, TFl56, TFl62), and assignment rates of the remaining marker sets were tested **Genetic diversity, pare**

242 Genetic diversity estima

242 and polymorphic inform

261 genotypes of 20 broodst

261 software, CERVUS (ver

245 The effective population

246 genotype data using the

247 2006) implemen

 used to calculate their contribution as a percentage of the total sampled cohort (the subset of fast- and slow- growing individuals). The number of fast- and slow- growing offspring produced by each parental combination was also calculated. Fast-growing offspring proportion of each broodfish or mating pair was defined as the percentage of

fast-growing offspring in its total progeny.

268 Growth data were expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation (S.D.). Weight (g) and body length (SL, cm) measurements were analyzed by one-way ANOVA to determine significant differences between samples using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, SPSS (version16.0). Values were considered statistically significant when *P* < 0.05. The strength of association between parameters (weight, Fulton's condition factor, No. offspring and fast-growing offspring proportion) was evaluated by calculating the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient (R). Values were 275 considered significantly positively correlated when $R > 0.80$, while moderate positive 276 correlation was determined when $0.50 < R < 0.80$.

Results

Growth characteristics of sample sources

 The weight (g) and body length (SL, cm) of male and female pompano broodstock are presented in Table 1. Overall, mean female weight and body length were calculated at 282 1,682.0 \pm 534.7 g and 37.6 \pm 4.7 cm, respectively. When compared, the mean weight 283 (891.5 \pm 328.5 g) and body length of males (32.1 \pm 2.9 cm) was significantly less than 284 in females $(P < 0.01)$. Additionally, the average body length and height of the 285 fast-growing progeny $(4.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ cm}, 2.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ cm})$ was significantly higher $(P < 0.01)$ 286 than in slow-growing progeny $(2.8 \pm 0.3 \text{ cm}, 1.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ cm})$.

Parentage assignment and contribution of breeders

289 Analyses based on the broodstock ($n = 20$) and the selected progeny stock ($n = 1,000$) were performed by using a total of nine microsatellite markers, complete genetic profiles were obtained for each individual with 100% assigned to a single parental pair (Tables 1 and 3). Among all 20 breeders used for the spawning event, the effective breeding number of the selected progeny stock was 11, including six females and five males; however, a limited number of individuals contributed a large proportion of the offspring. As listed in Table 1, three females (F-12, F-13 and F-9) 279 and two males (version 16.0). Values were considered statistically significant energy of the silences, SPRS (version InCol). Values were considered statistically significant 2121 ScO(3. The silength of association bet

 offspring. Progeny were identified from three predominant female breeders (95.8%) and two males (94.7%). Low levels of contribution (3-20 offspring) were detected for 299 the other three females and three males (F-16, F-1, F-10, M-12, M-4 and M-6). The mean fertilization and hatch rate of this single mass spawning event measured 35.5 % and 59.5 %, respectively. All the mating crosses (sires x dams) and their contribution to the selected offspring are listed in Table 3. Among the 17 sire/dam combinations represented, three mating pairs (M-9/F-12, M-9/F-13 and M-10/F-12) had the largest contribution with over 100 out of the total 1,000 sampled offspring. An additional six mating pairs produced 7-71 offspring, whereas the remaining eight pairs contributed the least with less than six total offspring (Table 3).

Effects on reproductive success of broodfish

 The effects of body size, sample sources (wild versus F1) and condition factor on the reproductive success were evaluated in this study. The largest female broodfish (F-12) contributed 59.4% of the total progeny. However, the third largest contributor was the third smallest female (F-9), which contributed 11.2%. Among the ten male breeders, only the five largest males contributed to the spawning, with the greatest contribution (73.8%) from the largest male (M-9). As shown in Fig. 2A and 2B, there was significant correlation between male body weight and contribution to offspring (*P* < contribution to offspring (male regression, *P* = 0.10, R = 0.56, n = 10; female Author Manuscript

316 0.001, $R = 0.88$, n = 10), and moderate positive correlation was detected in females (*P* $317 = 0.02$, $R = 0.66$, $n = 10$).

318 In comparison with the F1 individuals ($n = 12$), the wild broodfish ($n = 8$) showed

greater reproductive success in both females and males. Wild females contributed

86.6% of the offspring, and wild males contributed 99.1% of the total progeny.

321 Additionally, we analyzed the effect of K values on reproductive success. As shown

in Table1, F-10 and M-9 exhibited the highest K value in female and male breeders,

- and M-9 was also the predominant contributor in males; however, F-10 only
- contributed three offspring to the spawning event. The analysis revealed that there
- was no significant correlation between condition factor of the broodfish and their

327 regression, $P = 0.42$, $R = 0.29$, $n = 10$) (Fig. 2C and 2D).

Evaluation of broodfish contribution to rapid growth offspring

 In this study, we examined the proportion of fast-growing offspring from broodfish and each mating cross (sire/dam). The results revealed that the fast-growing offspring proportion of two females (F-12 and F-13) and one male (M-9) were over 50% (Table 1). Furthermore, four pairs of mating combination were shown to exhibit a high proportion of fast-growing offspring (Table 3). Based on the proportion of fast-growing offspring, only two sire/dam combinations (M-9/F-12 and M-9/F-13) are recommended as candidate broodfish for further studies; the other two mating crosses (M-9/F-16 and M-10/F-10) contributed a small number of total offspring numbers (one and five). 333 In this study, we examined the proportion of randomland and each mating cross (sire/dam). The results to proportion of two females (F-12 and F-13) and 1). Furthermore, four pairs of mating combinate proportion of fast

Genetic diversity of breeders and progeny

The 20 broodstock were genotyped at nine microsatellite loci (Table 4). The number

of alleles per locus ranged from 5 to 16 (mean = 11.11). The mean observed

343 heterozygosity (H_0) was 0.7833, the mean expected heterozygosity (H_E) was 0.7858,

and the mean polymorphic information content (PIC) was 0.7427. In Florida pompano

broodstock, no significant departures from HW equilibrium expectations was

346 observed at all the nine loci, and the results showed that $P(P$ -value for HWE) > 0.071,

which indicated the data was not affected by Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

The genetic characteristics of the nine microsatellite loci of the selected pompano

progeny (Table 4) indicated that the average number of alleles per locus was 9.11, the

350 mean H_0 was 0.8522, the mean H_E was 0.6996, the mean PIC was 0.6640, and

significant departures from HW equilibrium expectations (heterozygosity excess)

352 were observed at all the nine loci. The estimated N_e of the total 1,000 offspring was

353 3.6 ± 3.3 with used lowest allele frequency of 0.05. When the progeny was divided

354 into fast- and slow-growing groups, the estimated N_e at lowest allele frequency of

The power of the markers for parentage assignment in Florida pompano

 In this study, the power of the selected markers for parentage assignment in Florida pompano was investigated in the context of the data (genotype data of all 20 parents and 1,000 offspring). Parentage assignment rate was calculated with the full set of 9 markers and was found to be 100% with the 95% confidence. Markers were then removed in a step-wise fashion in order to exclude the locus with the lowest PIC. As a result, TFl70 (PIC = 0.2793), TFl51 (PIC = 0.5813), TFl39 and TFl05 (PIC = 0.6883 and 0.6898, respectively), TFl56 (PIC = 0.6978), TFl62 (PIC = 0.7166) were removed in order and the assignment rates were calculated by using the remained markers. The results showed that 99% of assignment rate could still be determined when TFl56 was removed (only 4 markers left), but the assignment rate dropped to 89% when TFl62 was removed and there were only 3 markers left (TFl07, TFl15 and TFl64).

Discussion

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved Using a molecular based assessment, this work provides the first description of spawn contribution and mating success of captive pompano broodstock. In this study, nine microsatellites with a combined number of 102 alleles adequately identified the effective breeding number and their relative contribution to the progeny. A high level of accuracy (100%) was found in achieving the assignment success to parental pairs, thus highlighting the usefulness of these markers to retain pedigree information. Identification of the minimum number of microsatellite markers required to assign parentage with a target accuracy rate of 95% correct assignments (i.e., to build a cost-effective system with markers of high assignment power) is of great importance in selective breeding programs. The assignment power of markers was shown to depend on several factors, such as polymorphisms of markers, locations of markers on the genome, the number of parents and offspring, and mating systems (Vandeputte, Rossignol & Pincent 2011). Sefc & Koblmüller (2009) reported that the variability of the markers can be more critical than the number of markers used. In this study, we found that the average non-exclusion probability of each locus was significantly 386 and two originally relations of the to the S⁹⁶ confidence with the university of relations and the solution of the solut

 higher exclusion probability (assignment power). Under this circumstance, we tested the power of marker sets by removing one or two weakest markers from the set, the results showed that removing the weakest markers did not have much effect on the assignment rate until there were only 4 markers left (TFl62, TFl07, TFl15 and TFl64). As a result, with 10 males and 10 females in this study, the four marker-set listed above is the minimum number for parentage assignment in Florida pompano. According to Vandeputte (2014), assignment power > 0.99 can generally be obtained by 8–15 microsatellite markers in fish crosses involving a few tens or hundreds of parents, and a reasonable option when designing a marker set is to include a few more markers than theoretically needed, since there might be small problems of genotyping errors during the assignment due to inbreeding or the presence of null alleles. In this study, only four markers were required to successfully assign parentage with a target accuracy rate of 95%. However, using all nine markers would be optimal in future studies for identifying family structure in mixed family cohorts of *T. carolinus*. In studies with gilthead seabream, the variance in family size and a large number of 402 non-contributing fish (males), were found to be the main limitations to N_e (Brown, Woolliams & McAndrew 2005). Similarly, genetic diversity data were compared between broodstock and selected progeny in the present study and this significantly decreased from breeders to offspring. For example, PIC was reduced from 0.7427 to 0.6640 due to the limited number of pompano breeders used for the spawning event. 407 Although N_e of broodstock was not estimable since the breeders might be of different 408 age, the low estimated N_e of offspring (3.6) at lowest allele frequency of 0.05 might In this study, a theoretical number of 100 full sibs could have been obtained by using also be related to the overall limited contribution of total broodfish in the spawning 490 assignment rate u

410 As a result, with 1

410 above is the minin

410 above is the minin

410 errors during the a

410 study, only four n

400 studies for identif

401 In studies with gil

402 mon-contributing

403

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved 10 males and 10 females as broodstock, but only 17 families were identified instead. As listed in Table 1, nearly 90% of these offspring from 17 families turned out to have been sired by two male breeders (M-9 and M-10). Similar results have also been reported in Japanese flounder where approximately 100% offspring were contributed by a single male (Sekino, Saitoh, Yamada, Kumagai, Hara & Yamashita 2003). In

 evaluating spawning performance in captive common snook populations, up to 93% of the offspring were assigned to one sire in a single tank (Rhody, Puchulutegui, Taggart, Main & Migaud 2014). Possible explanations for these findings might be reproductive competition among males at the mating event or unsuccessful reproduction of other males (i.e., poor sperm quality) (Rhody *et al.* 2014; Sekino *et al.* 2003). More evidence from this study were found that the body weight of male breeders to be significantly related to the contribution to offspring (Fig.2A). Only the largest five males contributed to the spawn with one siring 73.8% of the total progeny. This observation suggested that the larger males might be more competitive in the reproductive event, and the reproductive success of male breeders might be linked to their body size.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved 428 Beldade, Holbrook, Schmitt, Planes, Malone & Bernardi (2012) suggested there is an important maternal effect of female size on traits of their offspring, where larger female fish contribute more to population replenishment. Nevertheless, no significant correlation was detected between the female body weight and the contribution to the offspring in this study. The unequal contributions of females to differential numbers of offspring have been attributed to other factors, such as age and condition (Hislop 1988; Marteinsdóttir & Steinarsson 1998). Brown *et al.* (2005) also indicated that parental contribution was associated with parent weight, which may be explained by the age of fish. Moreover, correlations between parental size (age) and offspring size could be due to egg size, i.e., egg diameter has been shown to increase with broodstock age in some species, and larger eggs normally result in larger larvae (Jerez, Rodríguezb, Cejasa, Martína, Bolañosb & Lorenzo 2012). Parental age was not known in this study since some of the broodstock were wild caught fish. Future research should consider parental age as a factor affecting reproductive success. According to our results, wild broodfish showed greater reproductive success in comparison with F1 individuals. Additionally, all three breeders with the greatest proportion of fast-growing offspring (F-12, F-13 and M-9) were wild caught fish. However, the effect of breeder source (wild versus F1) on fish reproductive success 446 has not been established and the matter (seeds of the matter) and the matter of the matter of the society and the energy of the social transmitted and the brack of significantly related to the contribution to offsprin

 of offspring quality between different broodstock sources (pond-reared and wild) was detected by Nhan, Wille, Hung & Sorgeloos (2009), which indicating that broodstock sourcing deserves proper attention in hatchery operations. Despite the potential role of broodstock sources in reproductive success of pompano, most of the wild fish in this study were larger than the F1 broodstock. Since brooder body size exhibited a correlation with their contribution to offspring, the difference between contributions of the wild and F1 brooders might also be related to the body size. In addition to body size, variations in condition factor can reflect the state of sexual maturity and degree of nourishment (Lamas & Godinho 1996; Williams 2000). Previous reports have shown that fertilization success is positively associated with male K value in Atlantic cod (Rakitin, Ferguson & Trippel 1999), which led us to examine the effect of condition factor on the contribution to offspring in the present paper. Although male body weight was significantly correlated with contribution to offspring, no significant correlation was detected between contribution to offspring and the K values of either 461 female or male breeders (Fig.2).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved Improving growth rate is a major breeding goal for the aquaculture industry, but individual selection has often shown poor responses in fish species (Chevassus, Quillet, Krieg, Hollebecq, Mambrini, Fauré, Labbé, Hiseux & Vandeputte 2004). In this study, all progeny from a single spawning event of Florida pompano were cultured in the same tank and grown to 45 days post-hatch. Offspring of significant differences (fast- and slow- growing) in growth characteristics were collected to form a selected progeny stock and genotyped, the relationship between parentage and growth characteristics of progeny was estimated. Given that growth is heritable in fish, we speculate that certain breeders may have a higher contribution to the fast-growing progeny. Overall, two females (F-12 and F-13) and one male (M-9) produced a higher proportion of fast-growing offspring (> 50%). Interestingly, F-12 and M-9 also turned out to be the largest female and male breeders in our spawning population. However, whether the rapid growth in Florida pompano broodstock is related to their own growth characteristics still needs further studies, since the condition of wild fish in 4443
476 broodstock some two metallicative success or formular, meat on the ward rotative
476 study were linear in the F1 broodstock. Since brooder hoty size exhibited a
4762 correlation with their contribution to offspir

 characteristics associated with rapid growth in order to produce more fast-growing progeny. For instance, among all the mating crosses, only M-9/F-12 and M-9/F-13 were shown to produce a large proportion of high growth rate offspring, and all three breeders in these two mating combinations also exhibited individually high evaluation scores. As shown in Table 3, when one of best performing females (F-12) mated with a different male (M-10), the proportion of fast-growing offspring only reached 29.32%.

 Selective breeding programs have been well established in agriculture as a means to produce genetically improved animals and seed; however, incorporation of this technology in aquaculture has been slow. This study is the first attempt to select suitable microsatellite loci for parentage assignment of Florida pompano (*T. carolinus*) and to evaluate their assignment power to obtain an effective marker set. As a result, polymorphic and powerful markers were selected for efficiently parentage assignment and obtaining pedigree information. The potential to utilize this practical tool for estimating reproductive success and analyzing heritability of growth related traits in Florida pompano was demonstrated.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved Another main finding of this research is that a very small number of breeders were contributed to the spawning. This type of dominance has also been seen in other mass spawning fish species, such as Atlantic cod (Herlin, Delghandi, Wesmajervi, Taggart, McAndrew & Penman 2008), common sole (Blonk *et al.* 2009), gilthead seabream (Chavanne, Parati, Cambuli, Capoferri, Jiménez & Galli 2012) and barramundi (Frost, Evans & Jerry 2006; Loughnan, Domingosb, Smith-Keuneb, Forresterc & Jerry 2013). For instance, broodstock contributions of barramundi were skewed following mass spawning, although there was a high participation rate of broodstock, individual broodstock contribution reached 48% (Loughnan *et al.* 2013). However, selection programs in all livestock require as many families as possible to maintain a strain with sufficient genetic diversity for breeding. From the aforementioned barramundi research, recommendations for further program were monitoring parental contribution over multiple spawning nights, synchronising spawning in multiple tanks, and using orders in mass two maint generations also extinted transferred the spawning crosses. So shown in Table 3, when one of best performing fermales (F-12) matted as a scores sole of the proportion of fissi-growing of ligning om

 variation to the next generation of broodstock candidates . As a preliminary research of pompano breeding program, we suggest that further researches need to increase the total number of broodstock population and the contribution of breeders. Employing a genetically rigorous breeding plan, such as setting up mating sets with optimal numbers of dams and sires (i.e., 1-2 females to 3 males), would be the strategy for further breeding program to generate large numbers of families and maximize the genetic variability. State Commonweal State of Commonweal State of Commonweal State Common

Acknowledgments

 Funding for this study was provided by Mote Marine Laboratory; Florida Fish and Wildlife Research Institute; National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration

(NOAA), National Marine Fisheries Service, Office of Aquaculture; China

Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2013M531658); and International Science &

Technology Cooperation Program of China (grant no. 2013DFA31410). The views are

those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the view of these organizations. The

authors also thank the research teams at the Mote Marine Laboratory's Aquaculture

Research Park and Florida Fish and Wildlife Research Institute, as well as Dr. Ernst

- Peebles at the College of Marine Science, University of South Florida for his support.
-

References

 Antonello J., Massault C., Franch R., Haley C., Pellizzari C., Bovo G., Patarnello T., de Koning D.J. & Bargelloni L. (2009) Estimates of heritability and genetic correlation for body length and resistance to fish

pasteurellosis in the gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata* L.). *Aquaculture* **298**, 29–35.

- Beldade R., Holbrook S., Schmitt R., Planes S., Malone D. & Bernardi G. (2012) Larger female fish contribute disproportionately more to self-replenishment. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **279**, 2116–2121.
- Berry F. & Iverson E.S. (1967) Pompano: Biology, fisheries and farming potential. Gulf Caribbean Fisheries Institute. *Proceedings Nineteenth Annual Session 1966*. 116–128.
- Blonk R.J., Komen J., Kamstra A., Crooijmans R.P. & van Arendonk J.A. (2009) Levels of inbreeding in group

- relatedness and contribution. *Aquaculture* **289**, 26–31.
- Brown R.C., Woolliams J.A. & McAndrew B.J. (2005) Factors influencing effective population size in

commercial populations of gilthead seabream, *Sparus aurata*. *Aquaculture* **247**, 219–225.

- Chavanne H., Parati K., Cambuli C., Capoferri R., Jiménez C.A. & Galli A. (2012) Microsatellites markers to
- depict the reproductive and genetic patterns of farmed gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*): illustration by a case study on mass spawning. *Aquaculture Research* **45**, 577–590.
- Chevassus B., Quillet E., Krieg F., Hollebecq M.G., Mambrini M., Fauré A., Labbé L., Hiseux J.P. & Vandeputte
- M. (2004) Enhanced individual selection for selecting fast growing fish: the "PROSPER" method, with application on brown trout (*Salmo trutta fario*). *Genetics Selection Evolution* **36**, 643–661.
- de Mestral L.G. & Herbinger C.M. (2013) Reduction in antipredator response detected between first and second
- generations of endangered juvenile Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* in a captive breeding and rearing programme. *Journal of Fish Biology* **83**, 1268–1286.
- 549 Do C., Waples R.S., Peel D., Macbeth G.M., Tillett B.J. & Ovenden J.R. (2014) NeEstimator v2:
- 550 re-implementation of software for the estimation of contemporary effective population size (N_e) from genetic data. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **14**, 209–214.
- Dodds K., Tate M. & Sise J. (2005) Genetic evaluation using parentage information from genetic markers. *Journal of Animal Science* **83**, 2271–2279.
- El-Kassaby Y.A., Cappa E.P., Liewlaksaneeyanawin C., Klápště J. & Lstibůrek M. (2011) Breeding without
- breeding: is a complete pedigree necessary for efficient breeding? *PLoS One* **6**, e25737.
- Fields H.M. (1962) Pompanos (*Trachinotus* spp.) of south Atlantic coast of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Fishery Bulletin **207**:189–222.
- Frost L.A., Evans B.S. & Jerry D.R. (2006) Loss of genetic diversity due to hatchery culture practices in
- barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*). *Aquaculture* **261**, 1056–1064.
- Gilbert C. (1986) Species profiles: life histories and environmental requirements of coastal fishes and invertebrates (south Florida)--Florida pompano. *Biology of Reproduction* **82**, 24.
- Gjedrem T. & Baranski M. (2010) Selective Breeding in Aquaculture: An Introduction. pp 22. Springer, the Netherlands. 566 Channel F. P. P. J., Channel Co., Channel C., Channel C., Channel C. Chann
- Gjedrem T., Robinson N. & Rye M. (2012) The importance of selective breeding in aquaculture to meet future
- demands for animal protein: A review. *Aquaculture* **350–353**, 117–129.
- This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

- 139–149.
- Main K.L., Rhody N., Nystrom M. & Resley M. (2007) Species Profile Florida Pompano. Southern Regional Aquaculture Center (SRAC), No. 7206.
- Marteinsdóttir G. & Steinarsson A. (1998) Maternal influence on the size and viability of Iceland cod *Gadus morhua* eggs and larvae. *Journal of Fish Biology* **52**, 1241–1258.
- Murata O., Miyashita S., Izumi K., Maeda S., Kato K. & Kumai H. (1996) Selective breeding for growth in red sea bream. *Fisheries Science* **62**, 845–849.
- Navarro A., Zamorano M.J., Hildebrandt S., Ginés R., Aguilera C. & Afonso J.M. (2009) Estimates of heritabilities and genetic correlations for growth and carcass traits in gilthead seabream (*Sparus auratus*
- L.), under industrial conditions. *Aquaculture* **289**, 225–230.
- Nhan D.T., Wille M., Hung L.T. & Sorgeloos P. (2009) Comparison of reproductive performance and offspring quality of giant freshwater prawn (*Macrobrachium rosenbergii*) broodstock from different regions. 660
602 Mhmm Co. Migalism St., Truet K., Users) whitehat the measurement in the oriental standard properties
662 Mhm Co. Migalism St., Lemit K., Marcha S., Kinto K. & Kinnai H. (1995) Selective breeding for growth in
663 B
- *Aquaculture* **298**, 36–42.
- Ninh N.H., Ponzoni R.W., Nguyen N.H., Woolliams J.A., Taggart J.B., McAndrew B.J. & Penman D.J. (2011) A comparison of communal and separate rearing of families in selective breeding of common carp

(*Cyprinus carpio*): Estimation of genetic parameters. *Aquaculture* **322**, 39–46.

- Olafsson K., Hjorleifsdottir S., Pampoulie C., Hreggvidsson G.O. & Gudjonsson S. (2010) Novel set of multiplex assays (SalPrint15) for efficient analysis of 15 microsatellite loci of contemporary samples of the
- Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Molecular Ecology Resources* **10**, 533–537.
- Ponzoni R.W., Khaw H.L., Nguyen N.H. & Hamzah A. (2010) Inbreeding and effective population size in the Malaysian nucleus of the GIFT strain of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquaculture* **302**, 42–48.
- Rakitin A., Ferguson M.M. & Trippel E.A. (1999) Sperm competition and fertilization success in Atlantic cod
- (*Gadus morhua*): effect of sire size and condition factor on gamete quality. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **56**, 2315–2323.
-
- Reynolds E.A. (2010) Analysis of spawning behavior and gamete availability of the florida pompano (*Trachinotus carolinus*) in a recirculating aquaculture system. Master thesis. University of Florida.
- Rezk M.A., Ponzoni R.W., Khaw H.L., Kamel E., Dawood T. & John G. (2009) Selective breeding for increased
- body weight in a synthetic breed of Egyptian Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*: Response to selection and genetic parameters. *Aquaculture* **293**, 187–194.
- This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Sires /Dams	Sample	Weight	Standard	Condition Factor	Total No. Offspring	Total Contribution	Fast-growing	Fast-growing
	Source COL	(g)	length (cm)	(K)		(%)	offspring No.	proportion $(\%)$
Males	F1 $M-2$	500	27.0	2.54	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\rm N/A$
	$M-3$	785	31.8	2.44	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\rm N/A$
	$M-4$ F1	925	32.0	2.82	5	$0.5\,$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
	$M-5$ F1	675	33.0	1.88	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\rm N/A$
	$M-6$ F1	995	33.0	2.77	$\overline{4}$	0.4	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
	$M-7$ Fl	665	31.0	2.23	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\rm N/A$
	$M-8$ F1	635	29.0	2.60	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\rm N/A$
	Wild $M-9$	1645	37.5	3.12	738	73.8	453	61.4
	Wild $M-10$	1135	35.0	2.65	209	20.9	59	28.2
	$M-12$ Wild	955	32.0	2.91	44	4.4	3	6.8
Females	$F-1$ F1	1555	37.0	3.07	19	1.9	\overline{c}	10.5
	$F-2$ \overline{F} \overline{F} \overline{F}	1080	34.1	2.72	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\rm N/A$
	$F-3$ F ₁	1585	36.0	3.40	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\rm N/A$
	$F-9$ F1	1300	36.0	2.79	112	$11.2\,$	29	25.9

Table 1 Sires/Dams spawn contribution of the Florida pompano (Trachinotus carolinus)

Aut

Table 2 15 microsatellite DNA loci used in this study

R: AAGCCTTTATACTTCACTCTCCTGT

Table 3 Mating crosses (sires x dams) and their contribution to the offspring

$M-12$	$F-9$	2	29	31	6.45%
$M-12$	$F-10$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	1	1	0.00%
$M-12$	$=$ $F-16$	$\boldsymbol{0}$		1	0.00%

Table 4 Characterization of 9 microsatellite loci in broodstock and progeny of Trachinotus carolinus

N_A, Number of alleles; H_O, observed heterozygosity; H_E, expected heterozygosity; PIC, polymorphic information content; F, Null allele frequency estimate; P, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test; NE-PP, average non-exclusion probability (parent pair).

* indicates the locus deviated from Hardy–Weinberg proportions; † represents Combined non-exclusion probability (parent pair).

Progeny
Broodst
Progeny
Mer of all Author

are_13369_f1-2.docx

Figure.1. Schematic representation of ambient natural and artificial (simulated) environmental conditions associated with the annual reproductive cycle of wild Florida pompano located on the Gulf coast of Florida and captive broodstock held at Mote Aquaculture Research Park, Sarasota, FL. Natural ambient cycle of day length (light $\overline{h/day}$ (\rightarrow) and water temperature(°C) \rightarrow) in Tampa Bay, FL. Imposed photo-thermal cycle used to mature and spawn captive broodstock including day length (light h/day) (**− ∙∙ −**) and water temperature (°C) (…).

Fig.2. Scatterplot of male (\bullet) and female (\bullet) body characteristics versus contribution to offspring (n=10). Male (A) and female (B) body weight (g) versus contribution to offspring number. Male (C) and female (D) condition factor versus contribution to offspring number.