Running Head: Ecosystem responses to repeated burning

Repeated fire shifts carbon and nitrogen cycling by changing plant inputs and soil

decomposition across ecosystems

- Adam F. A. Pellegrini^{1,2*}, Sarah E. Hobbie³, Peter B. Reich⁴, Ari Jumpponen⁵, E. N. Jack Brookshire⁶, Anthony C. Caprio⁷, Corli Coetsee^{8,9} & Robert B. Jackson^{1,10,11}
- Department of Earth System Science, Stanford University, 473 Via Ortega, Stanford, CA, 94305, USA
- Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Downing St, Cambridge CB2 3EA, United Kingdom
- Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Behavior, University of Minnesota, 140 Gortner Laboratory, 1479 Gortner Ave, St Paul, MN 55108, USA
- 4) Department of Forest Resources, University of Minnesota, Green Hall, 1530 Cleveland Ave N, St Paul, MN 55108, USA
- 5) Department of Biology, Kansas State University, 116 Ackert Hall, Manhattan, KS 66506, USA
- Department of Land Resources and Environmental Sciences, Montana State University, 334 Leon Johnson Hall, Bozeman, MT 59717-3120, USA
- 7) United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Sequoia and Kings Canyon National Parks, 47050 Generals Hwy, Three Rivers, CA 93271, USA
- Scientific Services, South African National Parks, Kruger National Park, Private Bag x 402, Skukuza, 1350, South Africa
- School of Natural Resource Management, Nelson Mandela University, George Campus, Port Elizabeth, 6031, South Africa
- 10) Woods Institute for the Environment, Stanford University, 473 Via Ortega, Stanford, CA, 94305, USA

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> 10.1002/ECM.1409

11) Precourt Institute for Energy, Stanford University, 473 Via Ortega, Stanford, CA, 94305,

USA

*Correspondence to: <u>afapellegrini@gmail.com</u>

Manuscript received 4 October 2019; revised 29 January 2020; accepted 6 February 2020.

Abstract

Fires shape the biogeochemistry and functioning of many ecosystems, and fire frequencies are 1 changing across much of the globe. Frequent fires can change soil carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) 2 storage by altering the quantity and chemistry of plant inputs through changes in plant biomass 3 and composition as well as altering decomposition of soil organic matter. How decomposition 4 rates change with shifting inputs remains uncertain because most studies focus on the effects of 5 single fires, where transient changes may not reflect responses to decadal changes in burning 6 7 frequencies. Here, we sampled seven sites exposed to different fire frequencies. In four of the 8 sites, we intensively sampled both soils and plant communities across four ecosystems in North 9 America and Africa spanning tropical savanna, temperate coniferous savanna, temperate broadleaf savanna, and temperate coniferous forest ecosystems. Each site contained multiple 10 11 plots burned frequently for 33-61 years and nearby plots that had remained unburned over the same period replicated at the landscape scale. Across all sites, repeatedly burned plots had 25-12 13 185% lower bulk soil C and N concentrations but also 2-10-fold lower potential decomposition of organic matter compared to unburned sites. Soil C and N concentrations and extracellular 14 15 enzyme activities declined with frequent fire because fire reduced both plant biomass inputs into soils and dampened the localized enrichment effect of tree canopies. Examination of soil 16 17 extracellular enzyme activities revealed that fire decreased the potential turnover of organic 18 matter in the forms of cellulose, starch, and chitin (p < 0.0001) but not polyphenol and lignin (p=0.09), suggesting a shift in soil C and N cycling. Inclusion of δ^{13} C data from three additional 19 savanna sites (19-60 years of altered fire frequencies) showed that soil C losses were largest in 20 sites where estimated tree inputs into soils declined the most ($r^2=0.91$, p<0.01). In conclusion, 21 22 repeated burning reduced C and N storage, consistent with previous studies, but fire also reduced potential decomposition, likely contributing to slower C and N cycling. Trees were important in 23

shaping soil carbon responses across sites, but the magnitude of tree effects differed and

25 depended on how tree biomass inputs into soil responded to fire.

26

Keywords: carbon cycling, coniferous forest, extracellular enzymes, fire frequency, nitrogencycling, plant communities, repeated burning, soil, savanna

- 29
- 30

31

32 Introduction

Fires burn ~570 million hectares of land globally each year, affecting carbon (C) and 33 nutrient storage and cycling and the species composition of ecosystems (Bond-Lamberty et al. 34 2007, Bowman et al. 2009, van der Werf et al. 2017, Pellegrini et al. 2018). Many ecosystems 35 burn regularly, with mean fire-return intervals ranging from two years in tropical savannas to 36 several hundred years in boreal forests (Archibald et al. 2013, Andela et al. 2017). However, fire 37 frequency, size, and severity is increasing due to climate change and decreasing due to land-use 38 change (Westerling et al. 2006, Miller et al. 2009, Dennison et al. 2014, Andela et al. 2017). 39 Increasing fire frequencies can shift ecosystem C and nutrient cycles in soils by reducing inputs 40 of organic matter to soils through repeated combustion of plant and litter biomass and associated 41 42 volatilization of C and nutrients in plant biomass before they are decomposed into soils, as well as by combusting soil organic matter during intense wildfires (e.g., (Ojima et al. 1994, Kauffman 43 et al. 1995, Baird et al. 1999, Pellegrini et al. 2014, Mugaddas et al. 2015)). In addition to 44 altering detrital inputs to soils, fire can shift decomposition rates by increasing or decreasing 45 microbial activity and nutrient availability (Kaye and Hart 1998, Wang et al. 2012, Dove et al. 46 2020). However, within ecosystems, it is unclear how changes in inputs are coupled with shifts 47 in decomposition. 48

Recent work has demonstrated that repeated burning depletes soil C and N in most
ecosystems, although there are exceptions (Pellegrini et al. 2018). Soil C and N stocks are partly
regulated by fire-driven losses of plant biomass inputs, with larger losses of plant biomass
expected to lead to larger reductions in soil C and N (Pellegrini et al. 2014, Kowaljow et al.

2018). For example, frequent burning can reduce tree biomass in savannas (Moreira 2000, 53 Higgins et al. 2007, Burrows et al. 2010), which in general experience large concomitant soil C 54 and N losses (Pellegrini et al. 2018). In other systems, such as coniferous forests that experience 55 ground fires, tree mortality varies depending on tree species composition (Haase and Sackett 56 1998, Schwilk et al. 2009), and in many cases these systems tend to lose less C and N (Pellegrini 57 et al. 2018). In certain coniferous forests that experience severe stand-replacing fires, fire can 58 cause large immediate losses of soil organic matter from the organic and mineral horizons 59 (Walker et al. 2019, Dove et al. 2020). Consequently, variability in fire effects on plant biomass 60 inputs to soil, especially from trees, may partly explain long-term differences in soil responses. 61

In addition to shifting inputs, fire can change the decomposition of soil organic matter, 62 but the direction of change is not straightforward. Fire may accelerate soil C and N turnover by 63 stimulating decomposition activity, potentially through pulsed availability of organic matter 64 inputs after fire (Boerner et al. 2006, Rietl and Jackson 2012) or by promoting more favorable 65 microclimate conditions (e.g., warmer conditions (Kaye and Hart 1998)). Alternatively, fire may 66 reduce decomposition by lowering microbial biomass through heat-induced mortality, formation 67 68 of more recalcitrant aromatics (Certini 2005, Knicker 2007), pyrogenic C (Santin et al. 2016), and changing microbial community composition (Boerner et al. 2005, Waldrop and Harden 69 70 2008), especially in high severity fires (Dove et al. 2020).

Decomposition changes are nuanced, however, because enzymes associated with the 71 72 catabolism of different molecular forms of organic matter can vary in their sensitivity to fire, which may contribute to discrepancies among reported fire effects on decomposition (Certini 73 74 2005, Knicker 2007). For example, repeated burning can reduce the potential decomposition of cellulosic compounds relative to unburned controls due to a reduction in hydrolytic enzyme 75 76 activity (Eivazi and Bayan 1996, Ajwa et al. 1999, Boerner et al. 2005). However, changes in 77 hydrolytic enzymes may relatively unimportant in regulating soil organic matter losses because fire can enrich organic matter in aromatic and pyrogenic compounds (Certini 2005, Knicker 78 2007), whose decomposition requires an upregulation of oxidative enzymes. Prolonged effects of 79 fire on enzyme activity in soil may also arise because repeated burning can reduce organic matter 80 81 and N availability within the soil profile and shift tree cover, both of which could either reduce or accelerate decomposition (Köster et al. 2016). These substrate and vegetation driven changes 82 can further be exaggerated by increases of soil-inhabiting microbial communities that positively 83

respond to repeated fires (Oliver et al. 2015), which may possess distinct decompositioncapabilities.

Aside from shifting organic matter inputs to soils related to changes in total plant biomass 86 in a landscape, fire may affect soils through changes in tree cover and species composition and 87 localized (i.e., spatially restricted to under the canopy) effects of trees on soils, such as higher 88 soil C and N content and N mineralization under canopies (Belsky et al. 1989, Ludwig et al. 89 2004, Dijkstra et al. 2006). Reduced tree cover and changes in species composition due to 90 repeated burning (Higgins et al. 2007, Peterson et al. 2007, Holdo et al. 2009) can thereby 91 change soil properties at the landscape scale through aggregated changes in local effects (e.g., 92 (Coetsee et al. 2010, Holdo et al. 2012)). Tree canopies have been shown to increase 93 decomposition (e.g., mass-based losses (Norris et al. 2013)), but how they change extracellular 94 95 enzyme activities, and whether tree canopy effects on enzymes change with fire is unclear. In this study, we tested how fire-driven changes in plant inputs, changes in localized 96 97 effects of trees on soils, and their interaction altered the storage and decomposition of soil C and N. We first focus our analysis on four sites that we intensively sampled and then perform a 98 99 broader analysis using three additional sites to test the generality of the potential role of inputs. For the first analysis, we focus on four distinct woody ecosystem types to evaluate the generality 100 101 of fire and tree cover effects by testing (i) whether fire and tree canopies change soil total C and N, (ii) how extracellular enzymes involved in decomposition respond to fire and tree canopies, 102 103 and (iii) how C and N losses are correlated with changes in inorganic N and extracellular enzyme activities. We hypothesized that repeated burning would reduce soil C and N stocks by reducing 104 105 plant biomass inputs through volatilization and declines in the localized effects of trees. We expected that soil organic matter decomposition rates would also decline with fire because of a 106 107 reduction in microbial biomass and activity, and that there would be a shift to a higher relative 108 investment in N acquisition enzyme activity to compensate for lower soil N. We next incorporated data from three other decadal fire frequency manipulation 109

experiments to evaluate mechanisms explaining variability in the effect of fire on soils across sites with different ecological and environmental conditions by testing (iv) how changes in tree biomass inputs explain fire-driven changes in soil C across sites. We hypothesized that the effects of fire on soil C and N pools and microbial activity would be largest in ecosystems with the greatest losses of tree biomass inputs.

5

115

116 Methods

Our site selection was meant to capture a broad range of ecosystem types that experience repeated burning on decadal timescales. Furthermore, the sites span a gradient in the effect of fire on woody biomass inputs into soils, allowing us to test the hypothesis that belowground changes arise via fire-driven shifts in woody biomass inputs.

We sampled sites in seven locations (four were studied in greater detail, which we refer 121 to as our main sites) spanning a range of forests and savannas that experience frequent (0.1-1)122 fires per-year) and in most cases prescribed burning: temperate coniferous savanna, tropical 123 broadleaf savanna, temperate broadleaf savanna, and temperate coniferous forest (Figure 1, also 124 see this figure for climate data). In four of the sites, we analyzed bulk soil C, N, δ^{13} C, and 125 extracellular enzyme activity, whereas in three additional sites from temperate and tropical 126 savannas (South Africa, Brazil, and the United States) we analyzed bulk soil C and δ^{13} C to 127 expand our test of whether and how changes in inputs explain changes in soil C. In the four sites 128 for which we performed complete analyses, we sampled three replicate plots of each fire 129 130 treatment (plots where fire was excluded and those burned repeatedly that ranged in size from 0.1-10 ha). In all four of our main sites except the temperate coniferous savanna, the replicates 131 132 were separated by fire breaks. Within each plot, we sampled both directly underneath individual tree canopies and away from canopies to test the impact of changes in woody plant abundance 133 134 and canopy cover (which increases under fire exclusion and decreases with more frequent burning). Where more than one tree species was locally abundant, we replicated our sampling 135 136 across different tree species to test for interspecific variability in tree covere effects on soils, as described below. We sampled three to nine individuals for each tree species within each fire 137 treatment depending on the abundance of each species. 138

139

140 *Main site descriptions*

The tropical broadleaf savanna site was located in Kruger National Park, South Africa, in the 'Experimental Burn Plots,' which have received different fire frequency treatments since the mid-1950s (1956 at the particular site we sampled in 2017). The large-scale plots are ~5-7 ha in size and are replicated across the park in blocked designs (i.e., the different fire manipulations all occur adjacent to one another in a 'block' which is replicated across the park (Biggs et al. 2003).

We sampled plots located in the Skukuza area (latitude: -25.10, longitude: 31.45). The soils are
sandy, well drained, and derived from granitic parent material, with duplex and sodic soils
covering large areas (Venter and Govender 2012). In March of 2017, we sampled sites on plots
burned annually at the end of the dry season in August, when fires are most intense; the annual
fire frequency represents a higher-than-historical frequency of once every three years (Govender
et al. 2006). We compared the annually burned plots to plots unburned since the onset of the
experiment.

The Kruger plots are dominated by broadleaf woody plant species in the genera *Combretum* and *Terminalia*, common tree species in savannas of the region. The sites span a large fire-driven gradient in tree biomass, and grass cover is substantial across all plots, even in those protected from fire. We sampled soils in open areas dominated by grasses (C₄ grasses are the dominant functional type in Kruger) as well as under canopies of four different tree species: *Combretum apiculatum, Combretum collinum, Combretum hereroense,* and *Terminalia sericea*.

The temperate broadleaf savanna was in the Cedar Creek Ecosystem Science Reserve in 159 Minnesota, USA (latitude: 45.40, longitude: -93.19). The fire plots were established in 1964 on a 160 161 landscape that was primarily savanna and woodland (ranging from 17-39% tree canopy cover with an extensive herbaceous layer) (Faber-Langendoen and Davis 1995). Areas of several 162 hectares were delineated with fire breaks and assigned to different fire frequency treatments 163 ranging from complete exclusion to burning every 3 out of 4 years. Plots were burned in the 164 165 spring (April or May, depending on conditions). Soils are fine to medium sands (<3% clay) and well drained. The parent material is dominated by quartzite and the major soil associations 166 167 include the Sartell and Zimmerman soil series (Grigal et al. 1974).

The tree communities in Cedar Creek are dominated by broadleaf species including bur 168 169 oak and northern pin oak (Quercus macrocarpa and Q. ellipsoidalis, respectively). The plots we 170 sampled in August of 2017 spanned a fire-driven ecotone between open savanna, with continuous grass cover in the high frequency plots, to closed-canopy forest without a continuous 171 grassy layer under trees in the unburned plots (Peterson and Reich 2001). The grassy community 172 in savannas at Cedar Creek is a mix of C_3 (29% of ground cover) and C_4 (38% of ground cover) 173 species (surveys from 1985-2015, Pellegrini et al. in press). We sampled under Q. macrocarpa 174 and Q. ellipsoidalis and in grassy areas outside of tree canopies. In the unburned plots where 175

forests have formed, we sampled in areas not yet encroached by forest to obtain the samplesoutside of tree canopies.

The temperate coniferous savanna was in the Missouri Breaks region in central Montana, 178 USA. These plots do not receive direct fire manipulations, so we used variability in wildfire 179 history to examine different fire regimes. Using remote-sensing records dating from 1984 180 [Monitoring Trends in Burn Severity, MTBS, product, which has been used to establish trends in 181 wildfire, (Dennison et al. 2014)] we identified sites that have similar underlying geologies and 182 climates (latitude: 47.43, longitude: -108.17) but different fire histories (from 1984-2017). We 183 identified a large location that had burned repeatedly (each decade since 1984 with the last fire in 184 2012) by overlapping individual fire events from the MTBS product. In the unburned plots, we 185 confirmed they had not burned since at least 1984, but do not know the date of the last fire prior 186 187 to that time. Areas in the Missouri Breaks typically burn in the late summer. The landscape contains bare areas, rock outcrops, and shrublands, which we avoided in our sampling. Soil 188 189 properties can vary substantially but in our plots soils contained low clay and silt (6% and 3%, respectively) but high sand (81%) content. 190

191 In the Breaks, we sampled under the main woody plant species, *Pinus ponderosa* and Juniperus scopulorum. In the unburned plots, thick litter layers can accumulate under trees, 192 193 excluding understory grasses, but these thick litter layers were largely absent in the burned plots; however, the 'open' areas we sampled were always positioned in the grassy matrix. The grassy 194 195 community is dominated by C₃ species; however, two C₄ species, Bouteloua gracilis and *Muhlenbergia cuspidata*, also occur in the area (Anderson 2003), which we assume likely 196 197 contribute to soil C pools given the relatively high soil δ^{13} C (-16‰) in the area. These sites were sampled in June 2017. The large areas containing different fire histories (distributed across a 15 198 199 ha area for the unburned 'treatment' and across a separate 10 ha area for the burned 'treatment') allowed us to sample plots within each different fire frequency 'treatment' that were 100-400 m 200 apart from one another but not separated by distinct fire breaks. 201

The temperate coniferous forest site was in Sequoia and Kings Canyon National Parks in California, USA. We sampled areas in a network of permanent monitoring plots 0.1 ha in size that were established in the 1980s and were exposed to different historical fire regimes (e.g., (Schwilk and Caprio 2011)). We sampled three replicate plots within two fire treatments where fire has been excluded for >150 years (Caprio and Swetnam 1995, Swetnam et al. 2009) and where prescribed burns have been conducted every 10-15 years starting in 1983-1986 (plots
located in Giant Forest, latitude: 36.60, longitude: -118.73).

209 We sampled plots in a forested landscape near Giant Forest currently dominated by Abies concolor and Sequoiadendron giganteum. In each plot, we sampled underneath three individuals 210 of A. concolor and S. giganteum as well as in 'open' areas not directly adjacent to a tree trunk. 211 There is little understory vegetation in plots where fire has been excluded, which have deep 212 accumulations of litter, duff, and coarse wood debris, but understory plant species such as 213 *Ceanothus, Lupinus*, and various grasses occur more frequently in plots repeatedly burned. 214 Consequently, the 'open' samples in the unburned plots are under thick layers of litter and duff, 215 whereas those in the burned plots tend to fall under herbaceous and shrubby species lacking a 216 thick litter layer. Plots have usually been burned by prescribed fire during either the spring or fall 217 (May-June or September-October) depending on suitable conditions. 218 Plots sampled in Sequoia experience a Mediterranean climate, with the sites sampled at 219 ~2100 m elevation receiving on average 1092 mm in precipitation (1920-2017 at Giant Forest 220

- USACE station) Most precipitation occurs during the winter (~50% as snow) with dry summers
 and a distinct water deficit (Stephenson 1988). Average annual air temperatures range from 1.913.6° C in Grant Grove at a similar elevation to Giant Forest (van Mantgem et al. 2016). Soils in
 our sites contain a low sand (9%) content, a medium silt (29%) content, and a high clay (62%)
 content. These sites were sampled in September 2017.
- 226

227 Soil sampling

Within plots, we avoided sampling on slopes or rocky areas or in riparian zones and in 228 areas where the mineral soil horizon was <5 cm to minimize the effect of variability in 229 230 topography and soil type on soil chemistry. For the sampling under tree canopies, we chose large trees within each site, but the exact tree size differed based on species and location (e.g., in 231 Kruger the trees had small (~ 10 cm) stem diameters (taken at a breast height of 1.3 m) while in 232 Cedar Creek diameters were 20-30 cm and in Sequoia they could be several meters). The soil 233 cores were distributed over ~12.5 m² under the base of a tree (cores taken within two meters of a 234 tree trunk) while avoiding coarse roots. In the 'open' areas we tried to maximize distance from 235 canopies. Samples were chosen as randomly as possible within these areas. 236

At each sampling location within a site (e.g., under an individual tree), we aggregated soil 237 cores from the top 5 cm of the mineral horizon sampled in five separate 125 cm³ cubes 238 239 (5x5x5cm for each sample, total volume of 625 cm³ per-sampling location). We identified the mineral horizon by first digging a hole >20 cm in depth and removing the organic horizon from 240 the profile, when present, and sampling the top 0-5 cm of the mineral horizon. We focused on 241 242 changes in the mineral horizon because of the lack of distinct organic horizons in the savanna sites. We focused on the upper soil horizons because they are potentially most responsive to fire 243 and are most biologically active. 244

Soils were passed through a 2-mm sieve to remove coarse particles and divided into three sub-samples: (i) fresh soil for soil moisture and inorganic N analyses, (ii) frozen soil for enzyme analyses (see below), and (iii) dried soil for total soil C, N, and δ^{13} C analyses. Gravimetric soil moisture was determined by drying soils at 105° C until they reached a constant weight (24-48 hours). We used these values of moisture content to adjust all analytical chemistry concentrations to dry mass of soil.

251

252 Analyses of soil C, N, and $\delta^{13}C$

Total soil C, N, and δ^{13} C were measured by combusting samples using an Elemental Analyzer at Stanford University and a Costech coupled Elemental Analyzer and Mass Spectrophotometer at University of Maryland. Mass combusted per-sample was optimized to maximize analytical accuracy of measurements. Duplicates were run for 10% of all samples to ensure analytical precision which we defined to be an error of less than 5%.

258 We measured inorganic N (IN) on soils within 48 hours of collection. Inorganic N was measured by extracting ~5 g of the freshly sieved homogenized soil via shaking in 50 ml of 1M 259 260 KCl. Following shaking, the samples were centrifuged and filtered through Grade 41 ashless Whatman filters. Inorganic N was analyzed on an automated spectrophotometer WestCo 261 SmartChem 200 discrete analyzer at Stanford University. Nitrate was analyzed using a cadmium 262 column reduction, followed by a diazotization with sulfanilamide coupled with N-(1-263 naphthyl)ethylenediamine dihydrochloride, which was analyzed colorimetrically at 550 nm. 264 Ammonium was analyzed using the indophenol blue method, where it reacts with salicylate and 265 hypochlorite in the presence of sodium nitroferricyanide to form the salicylic acid analog of 266

indophenol blue in a buffered alkaline solution (pH 12.8-13), which was analyzedcolorimetrically at 660 nm.

269

270 Enzyme activity

To assess potential C and N decomposition, we measured hydrolytic and oxidative 271 extracellular enzyme activity in a subset of samples at each site. The hydrolytic enzymes were: 272 cellobiohydrolase (EC 3.2.1.91 - CBH, degrades cellulose), β-glucosidase (EC 3.2.1.21 - BG, 273 degrades cellulose), α -glucosidase (EC 3.2.1.20 - AG, degrades starch), β -xylosidase (EC 274 3.2.1.37 - BX, degrades hemicellulose), and N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase (EC 3.2.1.30 - NAG, 275 degrades chitin). The oxidative enzymes were: phenol oxidase (EC 1.10.3.2 - PO, degrades 276 polyphenols), and peroxidase (EC 1.11.1.7 - PX, degrades polyphenols/lignin). The enzyme 277 activity analyses were performed using methods presented in Hobbie et al. (2012), which used 278 slightly modified methods of Sinsabaugh et al. (1992) and Saiya-Cork et al. (2002), and were 279 performed at the University of Minnesota. 280

Enzyme activities were analyzed both individually and by summing all hydrolytic enzymes (excluding NAG) and the oxidative enzymes separately. We refer to the group of hydrolytic enzymes as those degrading cellulosic substrates and the oxidative enzymes as those degrading aromatic substrates. We also analyzed the ratios of enzyme activities to test how fire and tree canopies may be altering the relative activity of enzymes.

286

287 Soil CO_2 flux

To link enzyme activity measurements with soil C losses, we took advantage of previous 288 in situ measurements on soil CO₂ flux across the temperate broadleaf savanna experimental plots 289 290 at Cedar Creek conducted from 1999-2005, which includes both auto- and heterotrophic respiration. We assume that the variability across plots from 1999-2005 is representative of 291 present-day conditions because there was no trend in soil CO₂ flux. These measurements were 292 made throughout the growing season (April-October) across eight points within each replicate 293 294 plot. Aboveground biomass was removed above each point prior to measurements made using a 295 Li-Cor 6400-09 soil respiration chamber attached to a Li-Cor 6200 gas exchange system over a 5 cm permanent soil collar. For our analyses, we re-scaled the data to fluxes per-degree Celsius 296

because of the high correlation between respiration and temperature ($F_{1,493}$ =1240, p<0.0001, *r*²=0.75, model included the effect of fire frequency as well).

299

300 Analyses of $\delta^{13}C$ and incorporation of additional sites

We used δ^{13} C to infer the contribution of C₃ vs. C₄ plant biomass inputs to soil organic 301 matter, an approach often used in savannas (Tieszen et al. 1979, Boutton et al. 1998). The strong 302 fractionation differences between C₃ trees (more negative δ^{13} C) and C₄ grasses (less negative 303 δ^{13} C) makes δ^{13} C a useful tracer for understanding how fire-driven reductions in tree biomass 304 contribute to losses of soil C. While pyrolysis of organic matter can also result in δ^{13} C 305 fractionation (Bird and Ascough 2012), these effects are thought to be relatively small compared 306 to fractionation via the C₄ vs. C₃ photosynthetic pathway. One limitation to using δ^{13} C to infer 307 the different contributions of trees vs. grasses in northern latitude savannas is that several grass 308 species use the C_3 photosynthetic pathway, and thus have similar isotopic signatures as trees. 309 Consequently, changes in δ^{13} C could overestimate tree contributions to changing soil C. We are 310 more confident that soil δ^{13} C is a reliable indicator of C₄ grass vs. tree contributions in Cedar 311 312 Creek because a previous study found a strong positive correlation between C₄ grass biomass and soil δ^{13} C in the top 0-10 cm of soil (Pellegrini et al. *in press*). Previous studies in Kruger and 313 Cedar Creek have assumed isotope values of -13‰ for C₄ grasses and -27‰ for C₃ trees 314 (Nelson et al. 2004, February and Higgins 2010). We analyzed δ^{13} C on samples taken under 315 316 canopies and in the open separately, allowing us to evaluate the local effect of tree canopies on soil C. 317

To more broadly test how fire-driven changes in tree biomass inputs regulated changes in soil C pools across sites, we incorporated data on soil C and δ^{13} C from three additional sites, expanding our comparisons from four to seven sites. These additional sites share similar experimental designs to the four main sites in that they have experienced altered fire frequencies (fire exclusion vs. repeated burning at different frequencies) for several decades. Detailed descriptions of the sites have been previously published, but here we describe their relevant main designs.

Two of the sites are in tropical savannas: one in Brazil and the other in South Africa. In Brazil, the plots were located in the Reserva Ecologica do Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística and Jardim Botanico de Brasília in the Federal District of Brazil (Pellegrini et al.

2014). The reserves contain plots that have burned at several different fire frequencies since the 328 1960s (resulting in roughly 60 years of altered fire frequencies), which has resulted in a large 329 330 gradient in woody plant biomass (open savanna in the frequently burned plots and closed-canopy forest in fire exclusion plots covering a range of broadleaf tree species and C₄ grasses). We 331 compared soil C and δ^{13} C in two plots burned roughly every 2 years and two plots excluded from 332 fire since at least the 1960s (plots 1, 2, 6, and 7 in (Pellegrini et al. 2014)). The site in South 333 Africa is also in Kruger National Park (the same park as our tropical broadleaf savanna), but 334 occurs in a drier climate with different broadleaf tree species. Experimental design is similar to 335 the one described for our main site in Skukuza, with the sampling scheme is outlined in 336 Pellegrini et al. 2015. At the time of sampling, the plots had experienced 58 years of altered fire 337 frequencies. We compared plots burned annually with those under fire exclusion (n=3 replicates 338 for each fire treatment). 339

The final site was a coniferous savanna in the southeastern United States in the Hitchiti Experimental Forest in Georgia. In Hitchiti, the plots had not been burned for at least 50 years before the onset of the fire experiment in 1989, where replicate plots received different fire frequency treatments. We compared unburned plots with those burned biennially (four replicates of each treatment) sampled 19 years after the onset of the experiment. The plots contain both hardwood and pine tree species, but loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*) dominates the overstory (Oliver et al. 2015).

347

348 Data analysis

To test the effect of fire and tree canopies on soil chemistry, we used mixed effects 349 models to accommodate the hierarchical design of sampling replicate individuals of different tree 350 351 species and patches away from trees across the different sites (Bates et al. 2015) (R package *lme4*). Because sites differed in the number of co-occurring tree species, the sampling design was 352 unbalanced, which is a potential source of errors in statistical inference (Harrison et al. 2018). 353 Consequently, in order to test for overall effects of fire and tree canopies, we constructed a 354 355 balanced sampling design by averaging values within each replicate plot for open and tree 356 canopy samples separately. We then fit mixed effects models using site as a random intercept including both independent and interactive fixed effects of fire and tree canopy. Site was 357 included as a random intercept because the distribution of soil chemistry differed across sites. 358

Significance of terms was evaluated using the package *lmerTest* that approximates the degrees of
freedom using Satterthwaite's method (Kuznetsova et al. 2017).

If neither main effect was significant, we tested if the effects were contingent on site, i.e., 361 whether sites differed in their sensitivities to either fire and/or tree canopies. For these models, 362 we included replicate plot as a random intercept and site as a fixed effect to test for interactions. 363 Because of the many potential variable combinations, we first determined the variables in the top 364 model using model selection via AIC with a threshold of two. When AIC values did not differ by 365 >2 and the lower AIC model was more complex, we used a χ^2 test to determine whether the more 366 complex model added significant explanatory power. If the test was not significant, we used the 367 model with the fewest terms. Significance of terms was also evaluated using the *lmerTest* 368 (Kuznetsova et al. 2017). Where a significant interaction occurred, we fit mixed effects models 369 370 within each individual site with replicate plot as a random intercept (to account for the nonindependence of the replicate soil samples taken within a replicate plot) to test for significant 371 effects of fire and tree canopies. 372

To visualize the overall effects of fire and tree canopies on soil variables across all sites, we used values averaged across the replicate samples within each replicate plot in a site (i.e., the three patches away from tree canopies, and three patches within each separate tree species in each replicate plot). Each graph displays the effects of tree cover and fire conditionally (i.e., tree cover effect in unburned plots and fire treatment effect underneath tree canopies).

We refer to the tree canopy effect as the contrast between soils taken from under tree canopies vs. outside of the canopy. We refer to the fire effects as the contrast between soils in the burned vs. unburned plots either under and away from tree canopies. All analyses were performed in R version 3.6.1 (R Development Core Team 2010).

- 382
- 383 **Results**

384 *Total soil C and N are influenced by both fire and tree cover*

Across the four main sites (Figure 1, which we focus on until the section comparing δ^{13} C across sites), fire and tree canopies both helped predict bulk soil C concentrations in the top 0-5 cm; unburned plots had 48% (+/-22% standard error) higher soil C concentrations than repeatedly burned plots (all sites in a mixed effects model, F_{1,41}=7.4, p=0.01, Figure 2, Table 1, Appendix S1: Table S1), and soils under tree canopies had 55% (+/-18%) higher bulk C

concentrations than soils in open areas ($F_{1,41}$ =10.7, p=0.002, Figure 2, Table 1, Appendix S1: 390 Table S1) (each mean and SE calculated from the values across the sites (n=4), while the mixed-391 392 effects models are based on plot-level averages with site as a random intercept). Changes in bulk soil N concentrations followed similar trends to C: unburned plots had 69% (+/-35%) higher soil 393 total N concentrations than repeatedly burned plots ($F_{1,41}$ =15.9, p<0.001, Table 1, Appendix S1: 394 Table S1). There was not an overall enrichment effect of tree canopies on soil N across sites 395 $(F_{1,41}=2.5, p=0.12)$, despite a trend towards tree canopies having 26% (+/-20%) higher total N 396 concentrations than open areas. Further analyses revealed that the lack of an overall trend was 397 because two sites had enriched N under canopies while one site had lower N under canopies 398 (significant site-canopy interaction $F_{3,136}=10.8$, p<0.001)(Figure 2, Tables 1,2). 399

Taken together, repeated burning depleted soil C and N both in the open and under tree canopies, but tree canopies generally maintained higher C than open areas, with canopy effects on N less consistent across sites. We found no evidence for significant interactive effects between fire and tree canopies on soil C and N (C: $F_{1,41}=1$, p=0.033 and N: $F_{1,41}=0.1$, p>0.5, Appendix S1: Figure S2), suggesting that soils under and away from canopies responded to fire similarly and vice versa. We evaluate the factors that contribute to different responses of soil variables across sites below.

407

408 Extracellular enzyme activity is lower in burned plots and away from tree canopies

Given the changes in bulk soil C and N, we next sought to test how potential decomposition activity, quantified using extracellular enzyme assays, responded to fire and tree canopies. Higher enzyme activity in burned plots and open areas would suggest microbial activity is higher and may have contributed to losses of soil C, while lower activity would suggest a potential buffering mechanism against losses. We investigated potential changes in turnover of cellulose-, hemicellulose-, and starch-based and aromatic-based organic matter separately.

Frequently burned plots had lower potential activity of enzymes that break down cellulose, hemicellulose, and starch. The total potential activity of the hydrolytic enzymes processing these compounds was 187% (+/-106%) higher in soils from unburned than burned plots ($F_{1,40}$ =25.6, p<0.001, Figure 3, Table 1). Moreover, when hydrolytic enzymes were examined individually, three of the four enzymes had significantly lower activity in the burned

than unburned plots (CBH: p<0.001, AG: p<0.001, BX: p<0.001, BG: p<0.001, Appendix S1: 421 Table S1), illustrating that fire reduces the potential turnover of cellulose, hemicellulose, and 422 423 starch. Although the potential activity of all enzymes were tightly and positively correlated with 424 soil %C (p<0.0001 for all comparisons, Appendix S1: Figure S1), the inclusion of %C into the plot-averaged statistical models did not change the result that fire reduced either total enzyme 425 activity ($F_{1.405}$ =15.3, p<0.001) or the activity of individual enzymes (CBH: p<0.001, AG: 426 p=0.014, BX: p<0.001, BG: p=0.005). Consequently, although we did not quantify microbial 427 biomass directly, we found that the effects of fire were robust to considering the correlation 428 between enzyme activity and soil %C, which can be a proxy for microbial biomass (McLauchlan 429 and Hobbie 2004). 430

Fire had no significant overall effect on the total activity of oxidative enzymes that 431 process aromatic lignin and polyphenol compounds ($F_{1,40}=0.2$, p>0.5, Table 1, Figure 3). In 432 contrast, tree canopies had significant effects on oxidative enzyme activity ($F_{1.40}=7.2$, p=0.01, 433 Figure 3), with 74% (+/-39%) higher activity under trees (Table 1, Figure 3). Both peroxidase 434 and phenol oxidase increased under canopies ($F_{1,40}$ =4.3, p=0.045 and $F_{1,40,2}$ =12.8, p<0.001, 435 436 respectively, Appendix S1: Table S1), but unlike any of the other enzymes, peroxidase activity actually increased with burning, but only in the open areas (fire-canopy interaction: $F_{1,40}=5.7$, 437 p=0.02, Appendix S1: Table S1). Consequently, oxidative enzymes had a higher dependency on 438 the proximity to trees but were less limited by fire, either not changing or even increasing in 439 440 burned plots. The oxidative enzymes were not as well correlated with soil %C as the hydrolytic enzymes: there was no significant relationship with total oxidative enzyme activity ($F_{1.38,5}=1.9$, 441 p=0.18) or peroxidase ($F_{1,38,3}$ =1.0, p=0.33), but there was with phenol oxidase ($F_{1,15,7}$ =5.5, 442 p=0.032). 443

We did find evidence for significant fire-canopy interactive effects for the sum of oxidative but not hydrolytic enzyme activity ($F_{1,40.1}$ =6.7, p=0.013 and $F_{1,40}$ =1.9, p=0.17, respectively). The interaction revealed that the enrichment of oxidative enzyme activity under trees relative to away from trees was greatest in unburned plots (Appendix S1: Fig. S3).

Overall, fire reduced the potential turnover of organic matter but only of forms targeted
by hydrolytic enzymes (cellulose, hemicellulose, and starch); contrastingly, the potential
turnover of aromatic organic matter was resilient to fire but reduced in areas away from tree
canopies. The different sensitivities of hydrolytic vs. oxidative enzymes resulted in shifts in the

- ratios between hydrolytic and oxidative enzymes. There was a reduction in hydrolytic:oxidative ratios in the frequently burned plots ($F_{1,40}=25.0$, p<0.001, Figure 3, Table 1). In contrast, the lower ratio under tree canopies was site-specific (interaction: $F_{3,97}=5.7$, p=0.001, Table 2), with significant effects in two sites (p<0.01) and a marginally significant effect in one (p=0.08) (Figure 3). Consequently, burning changed the potential decomposition of organic matter in complex ways by decreasing the losses of cellulose, hemicellulose, and starch but leaving potential losses of aromatics unchanged.
- 459

460 Fire reduces inorganic N and microbial acquisition of N

We next sought to understand whether potential changes in N availability were related to 461 the effects of fire and tree canopies on microbial decomposition activity. Lower soil N may result 462 463 in lower potential C-acquisition activity, but higher microbial N-acquisition activity may compensate for the reduction in soil N. To ascertain how changes in soil N influenced the trends 464 in N-acquisition activity, we first quantified (i) the effect of fire on inorganic N and the activity 465 of the enzyme NAG which targets chitin allowing microbes to access N and C, (ii) how changes 466 467 in N related to changes in NAG activity, and (iii) whether correlations between N and enzyme activity varied across the different C-acquisition enzymes. For the last two comparisons, we 468 469 always tested whether %C was a better explanatory variable than %N using model selection.

Changes in bulk soil N were accompanied by changes in the availability and potential 470 471 turnover of N, estimated by inorganic N and NAG activity, respectively. To approximate the availability of N for plant uptake (referred to as bioavailability), we analyzed inorganic N (IN) 472 concentrations, which were 148% (+/-100%) higher in unburned plots ($F_{1,41}$ =14.7, p<0.001, 473 Figure 4, Table 1). There were no overall effects of tree canopies on IN, and instead the tree 474 475 cover effect was site-specific (site-by-tree cover effect: F_{3.140}=5.8, p<0.001, Figure 4, Tables 1,2). The higher IN concentrations in unburned plots on average were largely attributable to 476 higher NH₄⁺ concentrations (+190% +/-125%) (fire: $F_{1,41}$ =17.2, p<0.001; Figure 4, Table 1) as 477 was the variability in tree canopy effects across sites (site-tree canopy effect: $F_{3,139,8}=4.3$, 478 p=0.006; Figure 4, Tables 1,2); there was no overall effect of fire or tree canopies on NO₃⁻ across 479 480 sites (p>0.50 for fire and tree canopies, Table 1). Consequently, fire effects on bulk soil N were consistent with changes in inorganic N, especially NH₄⁺, while tree canopy effects depended on 481 482 site.

- The activity of the enzyme involved in N acquisition (NAG, N-acetyl-β-Dglucosaminidase, which breaks down chitin) was 313% (+/-185%) higher in unburned plots than repeatedly burned plots (F_{1,40}=22.5, p<0.001, Figure 4, Table 1) and 100% (+/-31%) higher under trees than in the open (F_{1,40}=5.8, p=0.021, Figure 4, Table 1); suggesting areas burned repeatedly and away from tree canopies had lower potential turnover of chitin (a microbial N and C source), consistent with the negative effects of fire on inorganic N.
- Bulk soil N was related to both inorganic N and enzyme activity across fire frequencies, 489 canopies, and sites. In our model selection process, we considered the potential main effects of 490 fire and canopy location as well as soil %C and C:N when evaluating the correlations between 491 %N and inorganic N and enzyme activity. Bulk soil N was positively related to IN and NH₄⁺ 492 even when fire and canopy location were included in the model ($F_{1.90.5}$ =770.3, p<0.0001 and 493 $F_{1,130,5}$ =66.1, p<0.0001, respectively, Figure 5), but not to NO₃⁻ (p>0.50, Figure 5). NAG was 494 also significantly correlated with total soil N ($F_{1,42}=31.4$, p<0.001, Figure 6). Importantly, 495 models considered the potential main effects of fire and canopy location as well as soil %C and 496 C:N when evaluating the correlations between %N and inorganic N and enzyme activity. 497 498 Consequently, fire-driven losses of soil N were associated with lower potential microbial acquisition of N and C and plant-available IN. 499
- 500

501 *Effects of C and N losses on potential organic matter decomposition activity*

502 Total soil C and N were significantly positively correlated with potential hydrolytic Cacquisition enzyme activity for both the total activity and that of each individual enzyme (Figure 503 504 6 and Appendix S1: Fig. S1, F_{1.35.9}=17.2, p<0.001). Because soil C and N were collinear 505 predictors, we were unable to completely disentangle their relative influence on enzyme 506 activities; however, using model selection, we evaluated which variable had the most explanatory power and whether both C and N should be included in the model, which illustrated 507 that soil N was the best predictor (but see above for the correlations with %C, and Appendix S1: 508 Fig. S1), albeit its inclusion in the top model incorporated its collinearity with %C. In contrast, 509 total oxidative activity did not significantly correlate with total C or N (C: $F_{1,15,7}$ =5.5, p=0.03, N: 510 $F_{1,39,5}=1.4$, p=0.25). Consequently, losses of soil C and N were correlated with lower potential 511 decomposition of cellulose, hemicellulose, and starch in burned plots and in areas away from tree 512 canopies. 513

514

Fire decreased NAG to a greater extent than potential hydrolytic and oxidative C acquisition (analyses of the ratios F_{1401} =4.8, p=0.034and F_{1400} =30.3, p<0.001, respectively, 515 Table 1). Consequently, fire is potentially shifting the relative turnover of C and N, where fire 516 517 protection increases N turnover to a greater extent than C turnover.

518

Coupled changes in hydrolytic enzymes and soil CO_2 flux 519

To test whether our inferences about lower enzyme activity indicating potential soil C 520 losses via decomposition, we compared enzyme activity levels and in situ soil CO₂ flux 521 measurements in the temperate broadleaf savanna at Cedar Creek (n=6 plots measured over the 522 growing season for 3 years). We found two lines of evidence that fire reduced soil CO₂ flux and 523 that soil CO_2 flux was correlated with extracellular enzyme activities: (i) soil CO_2 flux rates were 524 significantly lower in the burned plots than in unburned plots when soil temperature was 525 controlled for (ANOVA test on re-scaled flux per-degree C: $F_{1,4}=13.9$, p=0.02, Figure 7), and (ii) 526 total hydrolytic C-acquisition enzyme activity was positively correlated with respiration rates 527 $(F_{1,4}=7.9, p=0.048, r^2=0.58, Figure 7)$. Like hydrolytic enzyme activity, soil CO₂ flux was 528 significantly correlated to bulk soil %C ($r^2=0.72$ and p=0.0005, (Norris 2008)). Oxidative 529 enzymes were not correlated with soil CO_2 flux (p>0.5). Consequently, independent 530 531 measurements of soil C losses support our inference based on enzyme activity that fire reduces decomposition at this site. 532

533

Cross-site variability in tree biomass inputs determine soil C responses 534

535 To explain variability in fire effects across sites, we tested the hypothesis that fire-driven changes in tree biomass inputs to soils were key drivers of soil C responses using δ^{13} C. First, 536 537 analyses across the four sites sampled here illustrated δ^{13} C was higher in burned plots and away from tree canopies ($F_{1,41}$ =16.6, p<0.001 and $F_{1,41}$ =3.4, p=0.072, respectively, Figure 8, with no 538 overall interaction, p>0.5). In the savanna sites, which contained C₄ grasses and C₃ trees (Breaks, 539 Cedar Creek, and Kruger), fire reduced the contribution of tree biomass to soils, with δ^{13} C being 540 2.3-8.3% higher in burned relative to unburned plots (Appendix S1: Tables S2 and S4). In the 541 542 four main sites, greater inputs from C₃ plants, which are primarily trees in these ecosystems, tended to be associated with higher soil C content (temperate broadleaf savanna: $F_{1.51,2}=40.0$, 543 p<0.0001; temperate conifer savanna: $F_{1,13,9}=6.8$, p=0.021; tropical savanna: $F_{1,28}=5.3$, p=0.029). 544

545 When three additional savanna sites were incorporated, we found a significant positive 546 correlation between the absolute change in percent soil total C (difference between burned and 547 unburned) and the absolute change in δ^{13} C ($r^{2}=0.91$, Figure 9) across all six savanna sites. Only 548 savanna sites were analyzed here because the coniferous forest did not contain a grassy layer 549 allowing us to determine changes in tree inputs.

550

551 Discussion

Our results demonstrate that repeated burning reduced total C and N in soils due to 552 reduced plant biomass inputs and through decreased tree abundance (Figure 2). The positive 553 correlation between changes in δ^{13} C and changes in total C among fire treatments across six 554 savanna sites suggests the impact of fire on soils is due to losses of woody plant biomass inputs 555 (Figure 9). In addition to changes in total C and N, both fire and the presence of trees modified 556 potential decomposition of organic matter but in complex ways: potential decomposition of 557 cellulose, hemicellulose, and starch compounds was reduced by repeated burning and the 558 absence of tree canopies, while potential decomposition of aromatic compounds was only 559 560 reduced by losses of tree cover and not fire (Figure 3). Burning and vegetation type also affected the N cycle, with areas burned and away from tree canopies tending to have less inorganic N and 561 562 lower potential NAG (chitin-degrading) activity, which is one way microbes can acquire N (Figure 4). Furthermore, losses of soil C and N were correlated with lower C and N turnover but 563 564 only for hydrolytic enzymes, and not oxidative enzymes that target aromatic compounds, when accounting for fire and tree canopy effects (Figure 6). 565

566

567 *Role of changes in tree cover and its variability across sites*

568 Local effects of trees on soil properties under the canopy are a well documented 569 phenomenon in ecosystems and have been linked to both changes in microclimate and plant traits (Belsky et al. 1989, Knops et al. 2002). While not all variables were significantly different under 570 vs. away from tree canopies, in all the significant cases, elemental concentrations and enzyme 571 572 activities tended to be higher under trees. We found evidence for several nuances, however, such 573 as a greater effect of tree cover on oxidative enzyme activity rather than fire effects alone. Moreover, our results illustrated significant interactions between fire and tree cover on oxidative 574 enzyme activities, demonstrating that the local effects of trees can also depend on fire treatment. 575

In all the cases of significant interactions, tree canopy effects were greatest in the unburned plots
(Appendix S1: Fig. S3), supporting the hypothesis that fire exclusion can increase the localized
impact of tree canopies (e.g., (Pellegrini et al. 2015)).

579 The significant effects of tree canopies on soils in unburned plots, which tended to have higher percent tree cover (e.g., in Cedar Creek tree cover was 84% in unburned plots and 46% in 580 burned plots, (Pellegrini et al. 2020)), suggest that higher tree cover does not diminish the local 581 effects of canopies on soils in these ecosystems. It is possible that the local effects of trees on 582 soils decline as tree cover increases in fire exclusion plots; yet in the coniferous forest, we still 583 found effects of tree canopies on extracellular enzyme activity, suggesting persistent localized 584 enrichment effects. Tree-fire interactions may potentially arise because tree canopies could 585 modify biomass combustion during fire such as by creating a moister microclimate, thereby 586 reducing losses, a potential effect we were unable to evaluate in our analyses. However, in the 587 savannas, we hypothesize this effect was relatively limited given that trees tended to be isolated, 588 589 have continuous grassy layers for fuel, and fires can get sufficiently hot to topkill trees (Hoffmann et al. 2009). Alternatively, tree cover effects may be greatest in unburned plots 590 591 because the lack of fire allows for larger inputs of tree litter to soils.

Further work is needed to understand the extent that trees impact soils away from their 592 canopies. At Kruger, where the majority of herbaceous biomass is C₄ grass, soil δ^{13} C away from 593 canopies is much closer to the value for C₄ grass than soil under canopies, but remains more 594 595 depleted than expected if C was from C₄ biomass alone (February and Higgins 2010). This pattern suggests C_3 biomass inputs away from tree canopies. Furthermore, at Cedar Creek, soil 596 597 δ^{13} C was significantly higher away from canopies than under canopies (Figure 8), but remained relatively low (-23.1‰), providing evidence of C_3 biomass inputs away from canopies. Both of 598 599 these trends may be due to non-woody C_3 species (Peterson et al. 2007), which we are unable to 600 isolate in our current analysis.

The importance of changes in trees was also apparent at the landscape scale. Across all six savanna sites, the variability in soil C losses in response to fire was significantly related to changes in soil δ^{13} C, which we used to infer tree biomass contributions to soil C. To our knowledge, this is the first cross-site evaluation of the degree to which fire-driven changes in soil C depends on changes in tree biomass inputs. Previous studies have focused on spatial gradients in woody cover rather than manipulations within a site (Jackson et al. 2002, Lloyd et al. 2008).

However, a useful future expansion should consider deeper soil depths because deep-rooted 607 grasses can influence soil C (Fisher et al. 1994, Jackson et al. 2002, Schenk and Jackson 2002). 608 609

Persistent effects of repeated burning on decomposition 610

Losses of soil organic matter primarily occur through microbial respiration, leaching, or 611 612 erosion. Although intense fires can combust organic matter in the upper soil horizons (Wardle et al. 2003), these direct combustive losses occur mostly from the organic horizon, with little effect 613 on mineral soils except in intense wildfires (Wan et al. 2001, Nave et al. 2011). In fact, studies 614 propose that single fires can lead to higher soil C because of transformations (e.g., formation of 615 pyrogenic C) that stabilize C, transport of detritus to the mineral horizon and sorption to 616 minerals, and a resurgence of plant productivity (Neary et al. 1999, González-Pérez et al. 2004, 617 Certini 2005). Given the role of microbial decomposition in regulating the long-term storage of 618 soil organic matter in ecosystems, the uncertainty in how repeated burning alters decomposition 619 is a key knowledge gap. After a single fire, vertical transport of pyromineralized organic matter 620 and ash can produce transient increases in dissolved organic C and microbial activity 621 622 (Choromanska and DeLuca 2002, Wang et al. 2012). We propose, however, that repeated burning can result in a net-decline in organic matter turnover by microbes (Figures 3-4), which in 623 624 some cases may reduce potential soil C fluxes (Figure 7). Other enzymes besides the ones measured here are important for microbial N acquisition and N turnover (e.g., urease) and may 625 626 respond differently, but without N manipulation we are unable to infer causality. The general decline in microbial activity in the repeatedly burned plots is consistent with studies proposing a 627 single fire reduces microbial activity via heat mortality for several years and even decades 628 (Dooley and Treseder 2012, Metcalfe et al. 2018). Consequently, repeated burning is proposed to 629 630 lead to compounding declines in microbial activity over decadal timescales, consistent with our results. 631

Fire has long been hypothesized to trigger a transient increase in inorganic N and cycling 632 which then diminishes over time (Blair 1997). For example, transient increases in N cycling are 633 largely supported by syntheses demonstrating a peak in decomposition and mineralization 634 635 immediately following fire, which then decline below pre-fire levels before recovering after 1-2 years (Wan et al. 2001, Wang et al. 2012). The reduction in soil enzyme activity and inorganic N 636 content in burned sites (Figures 3-4) is unlikely to be a transient response to the most recent fire 637

given that (i) the last fire occurred more than three years ago in two sites that exhibited large 638 declines in extracellular enzyme activities (the coniferous forest in Seguoia and savanna in the 639 640 Breaks), and (ii) in the sites where fire occurred less than two years ago (temperate and tropical broadleaved savannas in Cedar Creek and Kruger, respectively) the burned plots had 641 significantly lower extracellular enzyme activities, albeit the exact enzyme that responded 642 643 differed. The lower enzyme activities outside of tree canopies also suggest that losses of tree cover, which generally occur over decadal timescales (Higgins et al. 2000, Moreira 2000), lead 644 to legacy effects on decomposition activity even when fire is excluded because it can take 645 several decades for tree populations to potentially recover. 646

Our results suggest that over decadal alterations of fire frequency, decomposition is likely 647 regulated by factors such as the availability of organic matter and N, which were strongly and 648 649 positively related to enzyme activity (Figure 6, Appendix S1: Fig. S1). Changes in total soil organic matter that occur over decadal alterations in fire frequencies (Pellegrini et al. 2018) are 650 likely contributing to the persistent effects of fire on enzymes for several years post fire. Through 651 comparisons across a range of sites that have different responses of woody biomass and 652 653 underlying environmental conditions, we demonstrate that the reduction in extracellular enzyme activities is one of the more robust responses to repeated burning. Consequently, the potential for 654 655 fire to result in elevated microbial activity and thus produce a transient maximum is likely reduced by high fire frequencies. The declines observed here may partly be amplified because 656 657 we sampled within a few years following fire, but in the savanna systems where fires recur every 1-3 years, our sampling scheme is most representative of the net effects of fire on soil C and N 658 659 availability and enzyme activity. Understanding how the differences between burned and unburned plots change with time since fire would be a useful future research avenue. 660

661

662 *Potential changes in organic matter decomposition*

In all sites, fire reduced potential extracellular enzyme activity (Figure 3), which could result in several changes in the turnover of soil organic matter. First, when hydrolytic enzymes that process cellulose, hemicellulose, and starch were analyzed individually, fire and/or the absence of trees reduced the activity of at least one enzyme in all four sites. Second, fire never increased the activity of groups of enzymes (total hydrolytic, total oxidative, and NAG, Figures 3-4), contrasting with previous studies (Boerner et al. 2000, 2006, Rietl and Jackson 2012).

Third, soil CO₂ fluxes in the temperate broadleaf savanna at Cedar Creek declined with fire and 669 followed similar trends to hydrolytic enzyme activity across the replicate plots (Figure 7). At 670 671 Cedar Creek, the soil CO₂ flux data cannot distinguish between heterotrophic and autotrophic respiration, such as from plant roots. However, fine root biomass, which can be a source of 672 autotrophic respiration, was actually significantly higher in the repeatedly burned plots (+65% in 673 674 the top 20 cm, (Pellegrini et al. 2020)), opposite to the direction of respiration. The decline in soil CO₂ flux rates is consistent with other studies on repeated burning (e.g., (Metcalfe et al. 675 2018)). Consequently, our results support previous studies that have found enzyme activity and 676 decomposition is reduced by repeated burning (Eivazi and Bayan 1996, Ajwa et al. 1999, 677 Boerner et al. 2005). 678

Hydrolytic enzyme activity was tightly correlated with soil C, potentially because soil C 679 can be tightly related to microbial biomass (McLauchlan and Hobbie 2004). Nonetheless, fire 680 and losses of tree cover reduced enzyme activity even when soil %C was included in the 681 statistical model. More work is required to evaluate how the suppression of soil C losses via 682 reduced decomposition may compensate for lower plant biomass inputs to affect total soil C 683 684 pools. Furthermore, exploring the variety of processes that lead to soil C stability such as the formation of pyrogenic C (González-Pérez et al. 2004, Certini 2005) would also better identify 685 686 mechanisms that could be included in biogeochemical models used to quantify the impact of changes in decomposition. 687

688 Finally, fire-driven losses of N may be one factor constraining C turnover (Figure 6), as an alternative to lower biomass inputs leading to lower soil C, lower microbial biomass, and 689 690 lower enzyme activity (Dooley and Treseder 2012, Pressler et al. 2018). However, in our model selection approach, we found that including %N in the model resulted in significant increases in 691 692 the fit of the model (determined via an AIC threshold of two). Moreover, we observed (i) a significant negative effect of fire on soil N overall (Figure 2), and in three of the four sites when 693 analyzed individually (either through direct combustion effects or through fire-driven losses of 694 tree cover, Appendix S1: Tables S3-S4), and (ii) a fire-driven decline in the activity of N-695 696 acquisition enzymes in all the sites (Figure 4) even when soil %C was incorporated into the 697 model. Moreover, fire likely amplifies low N availability by reducing NAG activity and increasing the C_{hvdro}:NAG ratio either due to lower biomass inputs or lower tree abundance 698 699 (Figure 7). Inferences based off of NAG alone are limited, however, because NAG is also used

by microbes to acquire C and there are other extracellular enzymes utilized by microbes to
acquire N that may display different responses. However, our data support the hypothesis that
repeated burning can increase N limitation in ecosystems (Reich et al. 2001, Pellegrini 2016).

The exact mechanism leading to lower extracellular enzyme activity is difficult to 703 determine. Changes in enzyme activity may partly be attributable to shifts in microbial demand 704 for substrates (e.g., lower N availability would result in higher potential NAG activity, or higher 705 C availability would result in lower potential CBH, BG, BX, AG activities). Previous studies 706 have shown that dissolved C and N can increase after fire (Wang et al. 2012), perhaps due to 707 heat-induced mineralization of organic matter, which could lead to lower enzyme activity 708 because of greater C and N availability. We were unable to directly test the role of supply 709 compared with demand because we did not perform a substrate-addition experiment. However, 710 711 the correlation data do not support this hypothesis: for example, assuming that microbial biomass scales with soil organic matter, we can test whether or not soil N correlates with N acquisition 712 activity, scaled relative to organic matter. When doing so, we found that NAG:C did not decline 713 with either total soil N or dissolved inorganic N (p=0.056 and p=0.35, respectively). Declines in 714 715 enzyme activity are more likely attributable to losses of microbial biomass, which has been observed at the temperate savanna in Cedar Creek (Norris 2008). However, the lack of change in 716 oxidative enzymes may indicate a shift in the reliance of microbial communities on aromatic 717 organic matter. 718

719

720 Conclusions

721 By sampling several sites across different ecosystems, we provide broad evidence that decadal changes in repeated burning shift soil C and N storage and potential turnover through 722 723 several processes. Our results support the hypothesis that the reduction in aboveground inputs, especially of woody plants, is a key factor regulating fire's long-term effect on soils across six 724 savanna sites. Fire also reduced decomposition potential by suppressing the activity of hydrolytic 725 enzymes that break down organic matter, potentially reducing soil C losses. Yet the response of 726 727 the different enzymes revealed a nuanced response of the C cycle, with fire shifting the potential 728 turnover of cellulose-, hemicellulose-, and starch-based compounds but not the turnover of aromatics such as polyphenols and lignin, potentially allowing for persistent decomposition of 729 730 aromatic compounds produced by burning. However, soil C accumulation under trees and in

unburned plots, likely through greater biomass inputs, is not completely offset by the higher
potential decomposition activity, illustrating the complex interactions between above- and
belowground processes in determining the net changes of soil C and N.

734

735 Acknowledgments

A. P. was supported by a NOAA Climate and Global Change postdoctoral fellowship
program and the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture postdoctoral fellowship
program. R. J. received support from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation. The experiments
in the sites were organized and funded through the Cedar Creek Long Term Ecological Research
program (DEB 1234162), the National Park Service and Sequoia Parks Conservancy, and South
African National Parks. We thank Bryce Currey, Katerina Georgiou, Natalie Gross, and Devin
McMahon for assistance in the field.

743

744 Literature Citations

745

Ajwa, H. A., C. J. Dell, and C. W. Rice. 1999. Changes in enzyme activities and microbial
biomass of tallgrass prairie soil as related to burning and nitrogen fertilization. Soil Biology
and Biochemistry 31:769–777.

Andela, N., D. C. Morton, L. Giglio, Y. Chen, G. R. van der Werf, P. S. Kasibhatla, R. S.

750 DeFries, G. J. Collatz, S. Hantson, S. Kloster, D. Bachelet, M. Forrest, G. Lasslop, F. Li, S.

Mangeon, J. R. Melton, C. Yue, and J. T. Randerson. 2017. A human-driven decline in
global burned area. Science 356:1356–1362.

Anderson, M. D. 2003. Bouteloua gracilis In: Fire Effects Information System.

Archibald, S., C. E. R. Lehmann, J. L. Gómez-Dans, and R. A. Bradstock. 2013. Defining
pyromes and global syndromes of fire regimes. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences 110:6442–6447.

- Baird, M., D. Zabowski, and R. L. Everett. 1999. Wildfire effects on carbon and nitrogen in
 inland coniferous forests. Plant and Soil 209:233–243.
- 759 Bates, D., M. Mächler, B. Bolker, and S. Walker. 2015. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models

760

using lme4. Journal of Statistical Software 67:1–48.

- Belsky, A. J. A. J., R. G. Amundson, J. M. Duxbury, S. J. Riha, A. R. Ali, and S. M. Mwonga.
 1989. The effects of trees on their physical, chemical and biological environments in a
 semi-arid savanna in Kenya. Journal of Applied Ecology 26:1005–1024.
- Biggs, H. C., T. T. Dunne, N. Govender, R. Biggs, H. C. Biggs, T. T. Dunne, N. Govender, and
 A. L. F. Potgieter. 2003. Experimental burn plot trial in the Kruger National Park: history,
 experimental design and suggestions for data analysis. Koedoe 46:1–15.
- Bird, M. I., and P. L. Ascough. 2012. Isotopes in pyrogenic carbon: a review. Organic
 Geochemistry 42:1529–1539.
- Blair, J. M. 1997. Fire, N availability, and plant response in grasslands: a test of the transient
 maxima hypothesis. Ecology 78:2359–2368.
- Boerner, R. E. J., J. A. Brinkman, and A. Smith. 2005. Seasonal variations in enzyme activity
 and organic carbon in soil of a burned and unburned hardwood forest. Soil Biology and
 Biochemistry 37:1419–1426.
- Boerner, R. E. J., K. L. M. Decker, and E. K. Sutherland. 2000. Prescribed burning effects on
 soil enzyme activity in a southern Ohio hardwood forest: a landscape-scale analysis. Soil
 Biology and Biochemistry 32:899–908.
- Boerner, R. E., T. A. Waldrop, and V. B. Shelburne. 2006. Wildfire mitigation strategies affect
 soil enzyme activity and soil organic carbon in loblolly pine (Pinus taeda) forests.
 Canadian Journal of Forest Research 36:3148–3154.
- Bond-Lamberty, B., S. D. Peckham, D. E. Ahl, and S. T. Gower. 2007. Fire as the dominant
 driver of central Canadian boreal forest carbon balance. Nature 450:89–92.
- Boutton, T. W., S. R. Archer, A. J. Midwood, S. F. Zitzer, and R. Bol. 1998. δ13C values of soil
 organic carbon and their use in documenting vegetation change in a subtropical savanna
 ecosystem. Geoderma 82:5–41.
- Bowman, D. M. J. S., J. K. Balch, P. Artaxo, W. J. Bond, J. M. Carlson, M. A. Cochrane, C. M.
 D'Antonio, R. S. DeFries, J. C. Doyle, S. P. Harrison, F. H. Johnston, J. E. Keeley, M. A.

787	Krawchuk, C. A. Kull, J. B. Marston, M. A. Moritz, I. C. Prentice, C. I. Roos, A. C. Scott,
788	T. W. Swetnam, G. R. van der Werf, and S. J. Pyne. 2009. Fire in the Earth System. Science
789	324:481–484.
790	Burrows, N., B. Ward, and A. Robinson. 2010. Fire regimes and tree growth in low rainfall
791	jarrah forest of South-west Australia. Environmental Management 45:1332-1343.
792	Caprio, A. C., and T. W. Swetnam. 1995. Historic fire regimes along an elevational gradient on
793	the west slope of the Sierra Nevada, California. Pages 173–179 in J. K. Brown, R. W.
794	Mutch, C. W. Spoon, and R. H. Wakimoto, editors. Proceedings: symposium on fire in
795	wilderness and park management; 1993 March 30- April 1, Missoula, MT. Gen. Tech. Rep.
796	INT-GTR-320. Ogden.
797	Certini, G. 2005. Effects of fire on properties of forest soils: a review. Oecologia 143:1–10.
798	Choromanska, U., and T. H. DeLuca. 2002. Microbial activity and nitrogen mineralization in
799	forest mineral soils following heating: evaluation of post-fire effects. Soil Biology and
800	Biochemistry 34:263–271.
801	Coetsee, C., W. J. Bond, and E. C. February. 2010. Frequent fire affects soil nitrogen and carbon
801 802	Coetsee, C., W. J. Bond, and E. C. February. 2010. Frequent fire affects soil nitrogen and carbon in an African savanna by changing woody cover. Oecologia 162:1027–34.
801 802 803	Coetsee, C., W. J. Bond, and E. C. February. 2010. Frequent fire affects soil nitrogen and carbon in an African savanna by changing woody cover. Oecologia 162:1027–34.Dennison, P. E., S. C. Brewer, J. D. Arnold, and M. A. Moritz. 2014. Large wildfire trends in the
801 802 803 804	 Coetsee, C., W. J. Bond, and E. C. February. 2010. Frequent fire affects soil nitrogen and carbon in an African savanna by changing woody cover. Oecologia 162:1027–34. Dennison, P. E., S. C. Brewer, J. D. Arnold, and M. A. Moritz. 2014. Large wildfire trends in the western United States, 1984–2011. Geophysical Research Letters 41:2928–2933.
801 802 803 804 805	 Coetsee, C., W. J. Bond, and E. C. February. 2010. Frequent fire affects soil nitrogen and carbon in an African savanna by changing woody cover. Oecologia 162:1027–34. Dennison, P. E., S. C. Brewer, J. D. Arnold, and M. A. Moritz. 2014. Large wildfire trends in the western United States, 1984–2011. Geophysical Research Letters 41:2928–2933. Dijkstra, F. A., K. Wrage, S. E. Hobbie, and P. B. Reich. 2006. Tree patches show greater N
801 802 803 804 805 806	 Coetsee, C., W. J. Bond, and E. C. February. 2010. Frequent fire affects soil nitrogen and carbon in an African savanna by changing woody cover. Oecologia 162:1027–34. Dennison, P. E., S. C. Brewer, J. D. Arnold, and M. A. Moritz. 2014. Large wildfire trends in the western United States, 1984–2011. Geophysical Research Letters 41:2928–2933. Dijkstra, F. A., K. Wrage, S. E. Hobbie, and P. B. Reich. 2006. Tree patches show greater N losses but maintain higher soil N availability than grassland patches in a frequently burned
801 802 803 804 805 806 807	 Coetsee, C., W. J. Bond, and E. C. February. 2010. Frequent fire affects soil nitrogen and carbon in an African savanna by changing woody cover. Oecologia 162:1027–34. Dennison, P. E., S. C. Brewer, J. D. Arnold, and M. A. Moritz. 2014. Large wildfire trends in the western United States, 1984–2011. Geophysical Research Letters 41:2928–2933. Dijkstra, F. A., K. Wrage, S. E. Hobbie, and P. B. Reich. 2006. Tree patches show greater N losses but maintain higher soil N availability than grassland patches in a frequently burned oak savanna. Ecosystems 9:441–452.
801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808	 Coetsee, C., W. J. Bond, and E. C. February. 2010. Frequent fire affects soil nitrogen and carbon in an African savanna by changing woody cover. Oecologia 162:1027–34. Dennison, P. E., S. C. Brewer, J. D. Arnold, and M. A. Moritz. 2014. Large wildfire trends in the western United States, 1984–2011. Geophysical Research Letters 41:2928–2933. Dijkstra, F. A., K. Wrage, S. E. Hobbie, and P. B. Reich. 2006. Tree patches show greater N losses but maintain higher soil N availability than grassland patches in a frequently burned oak savanna. Ecosystems 9:441–452. Dooley, S. R., and K. K. Treseder. 2012. The effect of fire on microbial biomass: a meta-analysis
801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809	 Coetsee, C., W. J. Bond, and E. C. February. 2010. Frequent fire affects soil nitrogen and carbon in an African savanna by changing woody cover. Oecologia 162:1027–34. Dennison, P. E., S. C. Brewer, J. D. Arnold, and M. A. Moritz. 2014. Large wildfire trends in the western United States, 1984–2011. Geophysical Research Letters 41:2928–2933. Dijkstra, F. A., K. Wrage, S. E. Hobbie, and P. B. Reich. 2006. Tree patches show greater N losses but maintain higher soil N availability than grassland patches in a frequently burned oak savanna. Ecosystems 9:441–452. Dooley, S. R., and K. K. Treseder. 2012. The effect of fire on microbial biomass: a meta-analysis of field studies. Biogeochemistry 109:49–61.
801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809 810	 Coetsee, C., W. J. Bond, and E. C. February. 2010. Frequent fire affects soil nitrogen and carbon in an African savanna by changing woody cover. Oecologia 162:1027–34. Dennison, P. E., S. C. Brewer, J. D. Arnold, and M. A. Moritz. 2014. Large wildfire trends in the western United States, 1984–2011. Geophysical Research Letters 41:2928–2933. Dijkstra, F. A., K. Wrage, S. E. Hobbie, and P. B. Reich. 2006. Tree patches show greater N losses but maintain higher soil N availability than grassland patches in a frequently burned oak savanna. Ecosystems 9:441–452. Dooley, S. R., and K. K. Treseder. 2012. The effect of fire on microbial biomass: a meta-analysis of field studies. Biogeochemistry 109:49–61. Dove, N. C., H. D. Safford, G. N. Bohlman, B. L. Estes, and S. C. Hart. 2020. High-severity
801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809 810 811	 Coetsee, C., W. J. Bond, and E. C. February. 2010. Frequent fire affects soil nitrogen and carbon in an African savanna by changing woody cover. Oecologia 162:1027–34. Dennison, P. E., S. C. Brewer, J. D. Arnold, and M. A. Moritz. 2014. Large wildfire trends in the western United States, 1984–2011. Geophysical Research Letters 41:2928–2933. Dijkstra, F. A., K. Wrage, S. E. Hobbie, and P. B. Reich. 2006. Tree patches show greater N losses but maintain higher soil N availability than grassland patches in a frequently burned oak savanna. Ecosystems 9:441–452. Dooley, S. R., and K. K. Treseder. 2012. The effect of fire on microbial biomass: a meta-analysis of field studies. Biogeochemistry 109:49–61. Dove, N. C., H. D. Safford, G. N. Bohlman, B. L. Estes, and S. C. Hart. 2020. High-severity wildfire leads to multi-decadal impacts on soil biogeochemistry in mixed-conifer forests.
801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809 810 811 812	 Coetsee, C., W. J. Bond, and E. C. February. 2010. Frequent fire affects soil nitrogen and carbon in an African savanna by changing woody cover. Oecologia 162:1027–34. Dennison, P. E., S. C. Brewer, J. D. Arnold, and M. A. Moritz. 2014. Large wildfire trends in the western United States, 1984–2011. Geophysical Research Letters 41:2928–2933. Dijkstra, F. A., K. Wrage, S. E. Hobbie, and P. B. Reich. 2006. Tree patches show greater N losses but maintain higher soil N availability than grassland patches in a frequently burned oak savanna. Ecosystems 9:441–452. Dooley, S. R., and K. K. Treseder. 2012. The effect of fire on microbial biomass: a meta-analysis of field studies. Biogeochemistry 109:49–61. Dove, N. C., H. D. Safford, G. N. Bohlman, B. L. Estes, and S. C. Hart. 2020. High-severity wildfire leads to multi-decadal impacts on soil biogeochemistry in mixed-conifer forests. Ecological Applications:eap.2072.

- select soil enzymes in an oak-hickory forest. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 26:1799–
 1804.
- Faber-Langendoen, D., and M. A. Davis. 1995. Effects of fire frequency on tree canopy cover at
 Allison Savanna, eastcentral Minnesota, USA. Natural Areas Journal` 14:319–328.
- February, E. C., and S. I. Higgins. 2010. The distribution of tree and grass roots in savannas in
 relation to soil nitrogen and water. South African Journal of Botany 76:517–523.
- Fisher, M. J., I. M. Rao, M. A. Ayarza, C. E. Lascano, J. I. Sanz, R. J. Thomas, and R. R. Vera.
 1994. Carbon storage by introduced deep-rooted grasses in the South American savannas.
 Nature 371:236–238.
- González-Pérez, J. A., F. J. González-Vila, G. Almendros, and H. Knicker. 2004. The effect of
 fire on soil organic matter—a review. Environment International 30:855–870.
- Govender, N., W. S. W. Trollope, and B. W. Van Wilgen. 2006. The effect of fire season, fire
 frequency, rainfall and management on fire intensity in savanna vegetation in South Africa.
 Journal of Applied Ecology 43:748–758.
- Grigal, D. F., L. M. Chamberlain, H. R. Finney, D. V. Wroblewski, and E. R. Gross. 1974. Soils
 of the Cedar Creek natural history area. Miscellaneous report 123. St. Paul (MN).
- Haase, S. M., and S. S. Sackett. 1998. Effects of prescribed fire in giant sequoia-mixed conifer
- stands in Sequoia and Kings Canyon National Parks. Pages 236–243 *in* T. Pruden and L.
- Brennan, editors. Fire in ecosystem management: shifting the paradigm from suppression to
 prescription. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference Proceedings, Tallahassee.
- Harrison, X. A., L. Donaldson, M. E. Correa-Cano, J. Evans, D. N. Fisher, C. E. D. Goodwin, B.
- S. Robinson, D. J. Hodgson, and R. Inger. 2018. A brief introduction to mixed effects
 modelling and multi-model inference in ecology. PeerJ 2018.
- Higgins, S. I., J. I. Bond, and W. S. Trollope. 2000. Fire, resprouting and variability: a recipe for
 grass-tree coexistence in savanna. Journal of Ecology 88:213–229.
- Higgins, S. I., W. J. Bond, E. C. February, A. Bronn, D. I. W. Euston-Brown, B. Enslin, N.
- Govender, L. Rademan, S. O'Regan, and A. L. F. Potgieter. 2007. Effects of four decades

0 /	1
ŏ4	т

of fire manipulation on woody vegetation structure in savanna. Ecology 88:1119–1125.

- Hobbie, S. E., W. C. Eddy, C. R. Buyarski, E. C. Adair, M. L. Ogdahl, and P. Weisenhorn. 2012.
 Response of decomposing litter and its microbial community to multiple forms of nitrogen
 enrichment. Ecological Monographs 82:389–405.
- Hoffmann, W. A., R. Adasme, M. Haridasan, M. T. de Carvalho, E. L. Geiger, M. A. B. Pereira,
- S. G. Gotsch, and A. C. Franco. 2009. Tree topkill, not mortality, governs the dynamics of
 savanna-forest boundaries under frequent fire in central Brazil. Ecology 90:1326–1337.
- Holdo, R. M., R. D. Holt, and J. M. Fryxell. 2009. Grazers, browsers, and fire influence the
 extent and spatial pattern of tree cover in the Serengeti. Ecological Applications 19:95–109.
- Holdo, R. M., M. C. Mack, and S. G. Arnold. 2012. Tree canopies explain fire effects on soil
 nitrogen, phosphorus and carbon in a savanna ecosystem. Journal of Vegetation Science
 23:352–360.
- Jackson, R. B., J. L. Banner, E. G. Jobbágy, W. T. Pockman, and D. H. Wall. 2002. Ecosystem
 carbon loss with woody plant invasion of grasslands. Nature 418:623–626.
- Kauffman, J. B., D. L. Cummings, D. E. Ward, and R. Babbitt. 1995. Fire in the Brazilian
 Amazon: 1. Biomass, nutrient pools, and losses in slashed primary forests. Oecologia
 104:397–408.
- Kaye, J. P., and S. C. Hart. 1998. Restoration and canopy-type effects on soil respiration in a
 Ponderosa pine-bunchgrass ecosystem. Soil Science Society of America Journal 62:1062.
- Knicker, H. 2007. How does fire affect the nature and stability of soil organic nitrogen and
 carbon? A review. Biogeochemistry 85:91–118.
- Knops, J. M. H., K. L. Bradley, and D. a. Wedin. 2002. Mechanisms of plant species impacts on
 ecosystem nitrogen cycling. Ecology Letters 5:454–466.
- 864 Köster, K., F. Berninger, J. Heinonsalo, A. Lindén, E. Köster, H. Ilvesniemi, and J. Pumpanen.
- 2016. The long-term impact of low-intensity surface fires on litter decomposition and
- 866 enzyme activities in boreal coniferous forests. International Journal of Wildland Fire
- 867 25:213–223.

Kowaljow, E., M. S. Morales, J. I. Whitworth-Hulse, S. R. Zeballos, M. A. Giorgis, M. 868 Rodríguez Catón, and D. E. Gurvich. 2018. A 55-year-old natural experiment gives 869 870 evidence of the effects of changes in fire frequency on ecosystem properties in a seasonal subtropical dry forest. Land Degradation & Development 30. 871 872 Kuznetsova, A., P. B. Brockhoff, and R. H. B. Christensen. 2017. ImerTest Package: tests in linear mixed effects models. Journal of Statistical Software 82:1548-7660. 873 Lloyd, J., M. I. Bird, L. Vellen, A. C. Miranda, E. M. Veenendaal, G. Djagbletey, H. S. Miranda, 874 G. Cook, and G. D. Farquhar. 2008. Contributions of woody and herbaceous vegetation to 875 tropical savanna ecosystem productivity: a quasi-global estimate. Tree Physiology 28:451– 876 468. 877 Ludwig, F., H. de Kroon, F. Berendse, and H. H. T. Prins. 2004. The influence of savanna trees 878 on nutrient, water and light availability and the understorey vegetation. Plant Ecology 879 880 170:93-105. van Mantgem, P. J., A. C. Caprio, N. L. Stephenson, and A. J. Das. 2016. Does prescribed fire 881 promote resistance to drought in low elevation forests of the Sierra Nevada, California, 882 USA? Fire Ecology 12:13–25. 883 McLauchlan, K. K., and S. E. Hobbie. 2004. Comparison of labile soil organic matter 884 fractionation techniques. Soil Science Society of America Journal 68:1616. 885 886 Metcalfe, D. B., W. Rocha, J. K. Balch, P. M. Brando, C. E. Doughty, and Y. Malhi. 2018. Impacts of fire on sources of soil CO₂ efflux in a dry Amazon rain forest. Global Change 887 Biology 24:3629-3641. 888 Miller, J. D., H. D. Safford, M. Crimmins, and A. E. Thode. 2009. Quantitative evidence for 889 increasing forest fire severity in the Sierra Nevada and southern Cascade Mountains, 890 891 California and Nevada, USA. Ecosystems 12:16-32. Moreira, A. G. 2000. Effects of fire protection on savanna structure in Central Brazil. Journal of 892 Biogeography 27:1021–1029. 893 Muqaddas, B., X. Zhou, T. Lewis, C. Wild, and C. Chen. 2015. Long-term frequent prescribed 894 fire decreases surface soil carbon and nitrogen pools in a wet sclerophyll forest of Southeast 895

896	Queensland, Australia. Science of The Total Environment 536:39-47.
897	Nave, L. E., E. D. Vance, C. W. Swanston, and P. S. Curtis. 2011. Fire effects on temperate
898	forest soil C and N storage. Ecological Applications 21:1189–1201.
899	Neary, D. G., C. C. Klopatek, L. F. DeBano, and P. F. Ffolliott. 1999. Fire effects on
900	belowground sustainability: a review and synthesis. Forest Ecology and Management
901	122:51-71.
902	Nelson, D. M., F. S. Hu, J. Tian, I. Stefanova, and T. A. Brown. 2004. Response of C3 and C4
903	plants to middle-Holocene climatic variation near the prairie-forest ecotone of Minnesota.
904	Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
905	101:562–7.
906	Norris, M. 2008. Plant-soil interactions: ecosystem functions in an oak savanna. University of
907	Minnesota.
908	Norris, M. D., P. G. Avis, P. B. Reich, and S. E. Hobbie. 2013. Positive feedbacks between
909	decomposition and soil nitrogen availability along fertility gradients. Plant and Soil
910	367:347–361.
911	Ojima, D. S., D. S. Schimel, W. J. Parton, and C. E. Owensby. 1994. Long- and short-term
912	effects of fire on nitrogen cycling in tallgrass prairie. Biogeochemistry 24:67–84.
913	Oliver, A. K., M. A. Callaham, and A. Jumpponen. 2015. Soil fungal communities respond
914	compositionally to recurring frequent prescribed burning in a managed southeastern US
915	forest ecosystem. Forest Ecology and Management 345:1–9.
916	Pellegrini, A. F. A., A. Ahlström, S. E. Hobbie, P. B. Reich, L. P. Nieradzik, A. C. Staver, B. C.
917	Scharenbroch, A. Jumpponen, W. R. L. Anderegg, J. T. Randerson, and R. B. Jackson.
918	2018. Fire frequency drives decadal changes in soil carbon and nitrogen and ecosystem
919	productivity. Nature 553:194–198.
920	Pellegrini, A. F. A. F. A. 2016. Nutrient limitation in tropical savannas across multiple scales and
921	mechanisms. Ecology 97:313–324.
922	Pellegrini, A. F. A. F. A., L. O. L. O. Hedin, A. C. C. Staver, N. Govender, and H. A. L. Henry.

- 2015. Fire alters ecosystem carbon and nutrients but not plant nutrient stoichiometry or
 composition in tropical savanna. Ecology 96:1275–1285.
- 925 Pellegrini, A. F. A., W. A. Hoffmann, and A. C. Franco. 2014. Carbon accumulation and
- 926 nitrogen pool recovery during transitions from savanna to forest in central Brazil. Ecology
 927 95:342–352.
- 928 Pellegrini, A. F. A., K. K. McLauchlan, S. E. Hobbie, M. C. Mack, A. L. Marcotte, D. M.
- Nelson, S. S. Perakis, P. B. Reich, and K. Whittinghill. 2020. Frequent burning causes large
 losses of carbon from deep soil layers in a temperate savanna. Journal of Ecology.
- Peterson, D. W., and P. B. Reich. 2001. Prescribed fire in oak savanna: fire frequency effects on
 stand structure and dynamics. Ecological Applications 11:914–927.
- Peterson, D. W., P. B. Reich, K. J. Wrage, and J. Franklin. 2007. Plant functional group
- 934 responses to fire frequency and tree canopy cover gradients in oak savannas and woodlands.
 935 Journal of Vegetation Science 18:3–12.
- Pressler, Y., J. C. Moore, and M. F. Cotrufo. 2018. Belowground community responses to fire:
 meta-analysis reveals contrasting responses of soil microorganisms and mesofauna. Oikos.
- R Development Core Team. 2010. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Reich, P. B., D. W. Peterson, D. A. Wedin, and K. Wrage. 2001. Fire and vegetation effects on
 productivity and nitrogen cycling across a forest-grassland continuum. Ecology 82:1703–
 1719.
- Rietl, A. J., and C. R. Jackson. 2012. Effects of the ecological restoration practices of prescribed
 burning and mechanical thinning on soil microbial enzyme activities and leaf litter
 decomposition. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 50:47–57.
- Saiya-Cork, K. ., R. . Sinsabaugh, and D. . Zak. 2002. The effects of long term nitrogen
 deposition on extracellular enzyme activity in an Acer saccharum forest soil. Soil Biology
 and Biochemistry 34:1309–1315.
- 949 Santin, C., S. H. Doerr, E. S. Kane, C. A. Masiello, M. Ohlson, J. M. de la Rosa, C. M. Preston,

- and T. Dittmar. 2016. Towards a global assessment of pyrogenic carbon from vegetation
 fires. Global Change Biology 22:76–91.
- Schenk, H. J., and R. B. Jackson. 2002. Rooting depths, lateral root spreads and
 below-ground/above-ground allometries of plants in water-limited ecosystems. Journal of
 Ecology 90:480–494.
- Schwilk, D. W., and A. C. Caprio. 2011. Scaling from leaf traits to fire behaviour: community
 composition predicts fire severity in a temperate forest. Journal of Ecology 99:970–980.

Schwilk, D. W., J. E. Keeley, E. E. Knapp, J. McIver, J. D. Bailey, C. J. Fettig, C. E. Fiedler, R.
J. Harrod, J. J. Moghaddas, K. W. Outcalt, C. N. Skinner, S. L. Stephens, T. A. Waldrop, D.

A. Yaussy, and A. Youngblood. 2009. The national Fire and Fire Surrogate study: effects of
fuel reduction methods on forest vegetation structure and fuels. Ecological Applications
19:285–304.

- 962 Sinsabaugh, R. L., R. K. Antibus, A. E. Linkins, C. A. McClaugherty, L. Rayburn, D. Repert,
 963 and T. Weiland. 1992. Wood decomposition over a first-order watershed: mass loss as a
 964 function of lignocellulase activity. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 24:743–749.
- Stephenson, N. L. 1988. Climatic control of vegetation distribution: the role of the water balance
 with examples from North America and Sequoia National Park, California. Cornell
 University.
- Swetnam, T. W., C. H. Baisan, A. C. Caprio, P. M. Brown, R. Touchan, R. Scott Anderson, and
 D. J. Hallett. 2009. Multi-millennial fire history of the giant forest, Sequoia National Park,
 California, USA. Fire Ecology.
- Tieszen, L. L., M. M. Senyimba, S. K. Imbamba, and J. H. Troughton. 1979. The distribution of
 C3 and C4 grasses and carbon isotope discrimination along an altitudinal and moisture
 gradient in Kenya. Oecologia 37:337–350.
- Venter, F. J., and N. Govender. 2012. A geomorphic and soil description of the long-term fire
 experiment in the Kruger National Park, South Africa. Koedoe 54:1–10.
- Waldrop, M. P., and J. W. Harden. 2008. Interactive effects of wildfire and permafrost on
- 977 microbial communities and soil processes in an Alaskan black spruce forest. Global Change

978 Biology 14:2591–2602.

- Walker, X. J., J. L. Baltzer, S. G. Cumming, N. J. Day, C. Ebert, S. Goetz, J. F. Johnstone, S.
 Potter, B. M. Rogers, E. A. G. Schuur, M. R. Turetsky, and M. C. Mack. 2019. Increasing
 wildfires threaten historic carbon sink of boreal forest soils. Nature 572:520–523.
- Wan, S., D. Hui, and Y. Luo. 2001. Fire effects on nitrogen pools and dynamics in terrestrial
 ecosystems: a meta-analysis. Ecological Applications 11:1349–1365.
- Wang, Q., M. Zhong, and S. Wang. 2012. A meta-analysis on the response of microbial biomass,
 dissolved organic matter, respiration, and N mineralization in mineral soil to fire in forest
 ecosystems. Forest Ecology and Management 271:91–97.
- Wardle, D. A., G. Hörnberg, O. Zackrisson, M. Kalela-Brundin, and D. A. Coomes. 2003. Longterm effects of wildfire on ecosystem properties across an island area gradient. Science
 300:972–5.
- van der Werf, G. R., J. T. Randerson, L. Giglio, T. T. van Leeuwen, Y. Chen, B. M. Rogers, M.
 Mu, M. J. E. van Marle, D. C. Morton, G. J. Collatz, R. J. Yokelson, and P. S. Kasibhatla.
 2017. Global fire emissions estimates during 1997-2016. Earth System Science Data in
 press.
- Westerling, A. L., H. G. Hidalgo, D. R. Cayan, and T. W. Swetnam. 2006. Warming and earlier
 spring increase western US forest wildfire activity. Science 313:940–943.
- 996 997
- 998 **Data Availability:** Data are available in the Dryad Digital Repository:
- 999 <u>https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.xwdbrv19n</u>
- 1000

Table 1: Results comparing the relative effect of tree canopies and burning treatment on soil chemistry variables. The Mean and standard error (SEM) are calculated across the site means and are a ratio. The "Tree/Open" is calculated by first averaging the mean values under tree canopies in both burn treatments and dividing by the mean values away from tree canopies in both burn treatments. The "Unb/Burn" is calculated by first averaging the mean values in unburned plots in both under and away from tree canopies and dividing by the mean values in
the burned plots under and away from tree canopies. The statistical tests are from the mixedeffects models performed on values averaged within replicate plots using site as a random
intercept. In the case of an insignificant effect, we explored whether this was due to conflicting
responses of site, and if so it is indicated as "site specific". The site by fire and canopy
interactions are given in Table 2. Individual site descriptive and test statistics are in Appendix

1012 S1: Tables S3-S7.

Variable	Comp.	Mean	SEM	C	lf	F	р	Comments
<i>%C</i>	Tree/Open	1.55	0.18	1	41	10.7	0.002	
	Unb/Burn	1.48	0.22	1	41	7.4	0.010	
%N	Tree/Open	1.26	0.20	1	41	2.5	0.119	site specific
-	Unb/Burn	1.69	0.35	1	41	15.9	< 0.001	
C:N	Tree/Open	1.24	0.08	1	41	10.6	0.002	
(Unb/Burn	0.92	0.06	1	41	2.6	0.112	
NO3 ⁻	Tree/Open	1.31	0.46	1	41	0.0	0.858	site specific
(Unb/Burn	1.18	0.22	1	41	0.0	0.862	
NH_4^+	Tree/Open	1.09	0.23	1	41	0.3	0.603	
	Unb/Burn	2.90	1.24	1	41	17.2	< 0.001	site specific
Tot IN	Tree/Open	1.05	0.21	1	41	0.0	0.875	site specific
	Unb/Burn	2.48	1.00	1	41	14.7	< 0.001	
NAG	Tree/Open	2.00	0.31	1	40	5.8	0.021	
	Unb/Burn	4.13	1.85	1	40	22.5	< 0.001	
C-hyd	Tree/Open	1.45	0.11	1	40	3.5	0.070	
(Unb/Burn	2.87	1.06	1	40	25.6	< 0.001	
C-oxi	Tree/Open	1.74	0.39	1	40.1	7.2	0.010	
- 1	Unb/Burn	1.18	0.20	1	40.1	0.2	0.674	site specific
C-hyd:C-oxi	Tree/Open	1.07	0.28	1	40.0	0.0	0.945	site specific
	Unb/Burn	2.65	0.32	1	40.0	25.0	< 0.001	
C-hyd:NAG	Tree/Open	0.93	0.06	1	40.1	1.5	0.224	
	Unb/Burn	0.83	0.08	1	40.1	4.8	0.034	
C-oxi:NAG	Tree/Open	1.12	0.18	1	40.0	0.2	0.645	site specific
	Unb/Burn	0.41	0.09	1	40.0	30.3	< 0.001	

1013

1014

1015

- **Table 2:** Statistical results from the interactions between site and fire and canopy from the
- 1017 mixed-effects models with site as a fixed effect interacting with fire and canopy, and replicate
- 1018 plot as a random effect. Only the ANOVA results from the interactions are displayed. NC
- 1019 indicates not converged when the variable was included in the model.

Variable	Comparison		df	F	р	
<u>% C</u>	S:Canopy	3	135.2	7.7	< 0.001	
	S:Fire	3	17.8	1.4	0.274	
<u>% N</u>	S:Canopy	3	135.8	10.8	< 0.001	
	S:Fire	3	18.5	3.3	0.043	
<u>C:N</u>	S:Canopy	3	135.2	0.9	0.438	
	S:Fire	3	15.0	1.4	0.279	
<u>NO3</u>	S:Canopy	3	135.9	5.6	0.001	
	S:Fire	3	20.9	2.8	0.065	
$\underline{NH_4}^+$	S:Canopy	3	139.8	4.3	0.006	
	S:Fire	3	19.7	6.9	0.002	
Tot IN	S:Canopy	3	140.0	5.8	< 0.001	
	S:Fire	3	19.8	7.0	0.002	
<u>NAG</u>	S:Canopy	3	97.5	1.7	0.171	
	S:Fire	3	15.6	6.2	0.006	
<u>C hyd</u>	S:Canopy	3	97.8	1.4	0.259	
	S:Fire	3	16.9	6.9	0.003	
<u>C oxi</u>	S:Canopy	3	100.9	3.8	0.013	
	S:Fire	3	18.9	0.7	0.567	
<u>Hyd/Oxi</u>	S:Canopy	3	97.0	5.7	0.001	
	S:Fire	NC				
Hyd/NAG	S:Canopy	3	96.4	1.2	0.299	
	S:Fire	3	15.7	2.1	0.143	
Oxi/NAG	S:Canopy	3	97.3	2.4	0.073	

S:Fire	3	15.8	1.4	0.282
--------	---	------	-----	-------

1020

1021 Figure legends

Figure 1: Sites sampled partitioned into the main sites comprising a temperate coniferous 1022 1023 savanna (Missouri Breaks) tropical broadleaf savanna (Kruger), temperate broadleaf savanna, (Cedar Creek), and a temperate coniferous forest (Sequoia). The sites vary in the amount of tree 1024 cover and climate but have all burned repeatedly at frequencies from annual to decadal for 33-61 1025 1026 years with adjacent control plots that have not burned over the same period. The additional 1027 savanna sites are denoted with an asterisk and comprise two tropical broadleaf savannas (Satara 1028 and Brazil) and a temperate coniferous savanna (Hitchiti), which were used for the ¹³C analysis. The values beneath the pictures show the percent clay of the soil, mean annual precipitation 1029 1030 (MAP), temperature (MAT), length of time plots have differed in fire history (years), and the fire frequency of the burned plots (FF). Photo credit Adam Pellegrini except for Hitchiti, Mac 1031 1032 Callahan.

Figure 2: Box plots of soil carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) for fire treatments (fire effects) and tree 1033 1034 canopies (tree canopy effects) and plot-averaged values across sites. This figure and Figures 3-4 and 7 are the conditional values of the relative effects of the other variable (i.e., values displayed 1035 1036 for tree cover effects are from unburned plots and those for fire effects are from under tree canopies). For fire effects, high and low delineate the frequently burned and the unburned 1037 treatments, respectively, and for tree canopy effects open and tree delineate the samples away 1038 from tree canopies and under canopies, respectively. Y axis is on a log scale. Box plots display 1039 1040 medians (50th percentile), 25th and 75th percentiles and approximate 95% confidence intervals. Inserted boxes display p-values from the replicate-plot averaged mixed-effects model, with full 1041 statistics in Table 1. An asterisk next to the p-value indicates that the effect is contingent on site 1042 (see Appendix S1: Table S3 for the site-level statistics). Colors indicate fire treatment on the left-1043 hand panel (red = burned, dark blue = unburned) or canopy position (yellow = in the open, light 1044 blue = under a tree canopy). 1045

Figure 3: Box plots of extracellular enzyme activity for total carbon-acquisition hydrolytic (A
and B) and oxidative (C and D) enzymes and their ratio (E and F) between fire treatments (fire

1048 effects) and tree canopies (tree canopy effects) for plot-averaged values across sites. Y axis is on

1049 a log scale. Each box plot displays medians (50th percentile), 25th and 75th percentiles and

approximate 95% confidence intervals. Units for hydrolytic enzymes are nmoles h⁻¹ g⁻¹ and 1050 oxidative enzymes are µmoles h⁻¹ g⁻¹. Inserted boxes display p-values from the replicate-plot 1051 1052 averaged mixed-effects model, with full statistics in Table 1. An asterisk next to the p-value 1053 indicates that the effect is contingent on site (see Appendix S1: Table S3 for the site-level statistics). Colors indicate fire treatment on the left-hand panel (red = burned, dark blue = 1054 1055 unburned) or canopy position (yellow = in the open, light blue = under a tree canopy). Figure 4: Box plots of soil nitrogen availability and turnover both as total inorganic N (A-B) and 1056 ammonium (C-D) and NAG (N-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase) enzyme activity (E-F) for plot-1057 averaged values across sites. Y axis is on a log scale. Box plots display medians (50th percentile), 1058 25th, and 75th percentiles and approximate 95% confidence intervals. Units for NAG are nmoles 1059 h^{-1} g⁻¹. Inserted boxes display p-values from the replicate-plot averaged mixed-effects model, 1060 1061 with full statistics in Table 1. An asterisk next to the p-value indicates that the effect is contingent on site (see Appendix S1: Table S3 for the site-level statistics). Colors indicate fire 1062 treatment on the left-hand panel (red = burned, dark blue = unburned) or canopy position (yellow 1063 = in the open, light blue = under a tree canopy). 1064

Figure 5: Scatter plots and regressions between soil total nitrogen (N) and inorganic N (total inorganic N, ammonium, and nitrate). The points are plot-averaged values across all sites and colored based on fire treatment (red = burned and dark blue = unburned). Y axes are on a log scale. Bands indicate the standard error around the fitted model and only significant trends are displayed.

1070 Figure 6: Relationships between soil N and the activity of hydrolytic enzymes. A) sum of all

1071 hydrolytic enzymes except NAG (CBH+BG+AG+BX), B) N-acquisition enzyme (NAG), C-F)

1072 individual **C**-acquisition enzymes that degrade cellulosic compounds (compounds given in upper

1073 left-hand corner). The points are plot-averaged values across all sites and colored based on fire

treatment (red = burned and dark blue = unburned). Y axes are on a logarithmic scale and units

are nmoles $h^{-1} g^{-1}$. Statistics for panels A and B are in the text. For panels C-F: CBH:

1076 $F_{1,80.5}$ =34.6, p<0.0001; BG: $F_{1,79.6}$ =35.2,p<0.0001; AG: $F_{1,103.9}$ =52.6, p<0.0001; BX: $F_{1,43.8}$ =57.0,

1077 p < 0.0001. Bands indicate the standard errors of the model fit.

1078 Figure 7: Soil respiration across the fire treatment plots and its relationship with enzyme activity

1079 for the temperate broadleaf savanna in Cedar Creek. A) regression between soil C flux and soil

1080 temperature for all sampling points averaged within a plot for that time (51 sampling times from

- 1081 May 1999-Sept. 2005) B) mean soil C flux (measured as μ Mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹) re-scaled to per-
- 1082 degree C across plots within treatments (error bars indicate standard errors around the mean). C)
- 1083 regression between soil respiration (averaged within plots across all sampling times and re-scaled
- 1084 to mean temperature during the measurement) and extracellular enzyme activity averaged within
- 1085 plots in the temperate broadleaf savanna at Cedar Creek. Lines indicates significant linear
- 1086 regression with shaded bands illustrating the standard error of the fit. Colors indicated fire
- 1087 treatment groups with red = burned and dark blue = unburned.
- **1088** Figure 8: Responses of δ^{13} C to fire and tree canopy. Box plots of δ^{13} C across fire treatments
- 1089 within different canopy position (x-axis panels), with the p values indicating significant
- 1090 individual effects of both fire and canopy from the full model but no significant interaction
- 1091 (p>0.5). Box plots display medians (50th percentile), 25th and 75th percentiles and approximate
- 1092 95% confidence intervals. Colors indicated fire treatment groups with red = burned and dark blue
- 1093 = unburned.
- 1094 **Figure 9:** Scatter plot of the differences between burned and unburned plots for δ^{13} C and bulk
- 1095 soil %C for six savanna sites ($r^2=0.91$).

Author Ma













Kruger Sequoia 10% clay 62% clay 1092 mm MAP 550 mm MAP 22°C MAT 8.1°C MAT 35yrs, 0.1 FF 61yrs, 1 FF 1yr TSF 3-5yr TSF Brazil Satara 70% clay % clay 537 mm MAP 1400 mm MAP 24.1°C MAT 22.5°C MAT 60yrs, 1 FF 1yr TSF 58yrs, 1 FF

1yr TSF

Cedar Creek 3% clay 780 mm MAP 6.7°C MAT 53yrs, 0.8-0.6 FF 1yr TSF

Hitchiti 20% clay 1400 mm MAP 12.7°C MAT 19yrs, 0.5 FF 3yr TSF

ecm_1409_f1.tif





Brazil*









anus







lanuscr Z , It'

