

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> [10.1002/TAFS.10207](https://doi.org/10.1002/TAFS.10207)

- 21
- 22
- 23
- 
- 24
- 25 <A> Abstract

26 Capelin *Mallotus villosus* is a cold-water, marine forage fish that responds quickly to 27 environmental fluctuations; however, little is known about Capelin in Alaskan waters. The 28 objective of the current study was to better understand the distribution and life history of 29 spawning Capelin in northern Norton Sound, Alaska. Surveys were conducted from May through 30 July 2018 to locate and estimate the size of nearshore Capelin aggregations prior to spawning, 31 identify the location and timing of spawning events, characterize spawning habitat, and collect 32 actively spawning fish to examine life-history characteristics (e.g., body size, age, fecundity, 33 etc.). Most  $(85.9%)$  nearshore aggregations were less than 12 m<sup>2</sup> in surface area. Spawning 34 Capelin were collected in Norton Sound between 15 and 21 June. At spawning locations, gravel 35 and coarse sand accounted for over 70% of the proportional weight of sediment collected within 36 a beach and all sediment samples contained Capelin eggs. Spawning males were larger than 37 spawning females in total length (mean  $\pm$  SD = 148.8  $\pm$  6.7 mm versus 137.0  $\pm$  8.4 mm, 38 respectively) and total weight  $(21.2 \pm 2.9 \text{ g}$  versus  $13.7 \pm 3.0 \text{ g}$ , respectively), and both sexes 39 were predominately age 3 (range = ages 2 to 4). Absolute fecundity was  $9.219 \pm 4.529$  eggs, and 40 males and females had a gonadosomatic index of  $1.09 \pm 0.32\%$  and  $21.69 \pm 8.21\%$ , respectively. 41 In Norton Sound, nearshore aggregation sizes were smaller than reported in Newfoundland, but 42 spawning behavior, timing, and water conditions were similar to observations from other Capelin 43 spawning regions (e.g., Greenland), as were size, age, fecundity, and gonadosomatic index 44 estimates. While the results from the current study update baseline information on spawning 45 Capelin in northern Norton Sound, continued research on their distribution and life history is 44<br>
44 Capsim-Mallotus villosus is a cold-water, marine forage fish that res<br>
46 Capsim-Mallotus villosus is a cold-water, marine forage fish that res<br>
426 evictive of the ourrent study was to better understand the distri

47 <A> Introduction

48 Forage fishes are an integral component of many marine food webs, occupying a key 49 position that has the potential to disproportionately effect the rest of the ecosystem (Pikitch et al. 50 2014; Andrews III et al. 2016). These fishes provide essential nutrients and energy to predators, 51 including marine mammals, seabirds, and other fish species by converting energy from plankton 52 into a form accessible to upper tropic levels (Carscadden et al. 2013a; Pikitch et al. 2014; 53 Andrews III et al. 2016). Nutrient and energy availability in marine food webs will likely alter in 54 response to changes in forage-fish distributions and abundance as the climate continues to warm 55 (Aydin and Mueter 2007; Andrews III et al. 2016). Fishes that live in Arctic and sub-Arctic 56 waters can be particularly sensitive to environmental change; therefore, they may help identify 57 environmental changes that could have delayed impacts on other species in the ecosystem (Rose 58 2005). One of these cold-water forage fish is Capelin *Mallotus villosus*, which is considered a 59 "sea canary" because it responds quickly and consistently to environmental fluctuations (Rose 60 2005).

61 Capelin is distributed throughout the Arctic, North Atlantic, and North Pacific oceans 62 (Carscadden and Vilhjálmsson 2002; Hedeholm et al. 2011). Capelin live in the open ocean, but 63 migrate to nearshore waters for spawning where fish utilize different habitats than their non-64 spawning counterparts (Carscadden et al. 2013b). Both offshore and nearshore environments are 65 rapidly warming. For example, the northern Bering Sea, located in the North Pacific Ocean, has 66 experienced only positive sea surface temperature anomalies between 2013 and 2018 and had 67 almost no sea ice in 2018 (Siddon and Zador 2018). Temperature increases as little as 1°C have 68 been associated with large-scale changes in non-spawning stage Capelin distribution in the 69 eastern Bering Sea (Andrews III et al. 2016). In addition, changes in environmental conditions 70 may alter the location and extent of spawning habitat available for beach-spawning Capelin. 71 Warming waters may cause temperatures to exceed this species' thermal optima for spawning 72 (<12°C; Nakashima and Wheeler 2002). Alternatively, the availability of beach spawning areas 73 may be altered due to coastal erosion resulting from a decrease in protective shore ice and an 74 increase in the frequency and intensity of storms (Arthur 2017). Lack of adequate spawning 2014; Andrews III et al. 2016). These fishes provide essential nutrients and energy to predict<br>into alice informal manurals, sculptively and other fish species by converting energy from plating<br>into a form ascessible to u

76 abundance, which has ecosystem-wide implications (Nakashima and Taggart 2002; Carscadden 77 et al. 2013b).

78 Collecting baseline data on key species, such as Capelin, is important for understanding 79 ecosystem function; however, this species is not well studied outside the North Atlantic Ocean 80 (Carscadden and Vilhjálmsson 2002). Some life history information is available in the Pacific 81 Ocean for spawning Capelin in Norton Sound, Alaska (Pahlke 1985); although, these data are 82 over 30 years old and may no longer be accurate due to environmental changes. The objective of 83 this study was to better understand spawning Capelin distribution and life history in Norton 84 Sound, Alaska, relative to historical regional data to update and expand baseline knowledge of 85 Capelin in this area (i.e., Pahlke 1985).

86 <A> Methods

87 *Field data collection.*—

88 Capelin were surveyed and collected from Norton Sound, located along the western coast 89 of Alaska in the North Pacific Ocean (Figure 1). In November 2017, knowledgeable local fishers 90 living in this area were interviewed in Nome and the villages of Brevig Mission and Elim via 91 semi-structured interviews  $(N = 8)$ , and in the village of Teller via informal conversations, to 92 identify candidate Capelin spawning locations. Informational flyers were placed around Nome, 93 and in the villages of Brevig Mission, Elim, and Unalakleet, and an advertisement was aired on 94 the local radio to encourage community members to report information regarding the location of 95 spawning Capelin. In response to community feedback, beaches within driving distance of Nome 96 were occasionally surveyed by driving along the main road (which paralleled the beach from 97 Nome to 30 mi east of Nome) and by using an all-terrain vehicle to drive directly on the beach 98 (from Nome to Penny River ~10 mi west of Nome; see Figure 1). Finally, 14 aerial surveys were 99 flown parallel to shore approximately 200 m from the shoreline and at an average altitude of 150 100 m using a Robinson-R44 or a MD500 helicopter. Surveys were flown at least once weekly from 101 26 May to 7 July 2018 and spanned from Wales to Golovnin Bay (~490 km of coastline), 102 although the entire area was not surveyed on each flight due to financial limitations (Figure 1). 103 Surveys were typically flown in the morning to capitalize on favorable weather conditions and 2013 Googleten and Vilhjálmss<br>
2014 Good visibility at that that the solution of the server and Sound, Alaska, relative to h<br>
2014 Gound, Alaska, relative to h<br>
26 Gopelin in this area (i.e., Pa<br>
26  $\leq$   $A$  Methods<br>
27

105 Nearshore and spawning aggregations were observed during the aerial surveys. 106 Nearshore aggregations were characterized by groups of fish that were densely packed, 107 individually identifiable, and located within 400 m of shore. This encompassed the area Capelin 108 were expected to occupy immediately prior to spawning on the beach. The surface area of 109 nearshore aggregations was estimated using a gridded PVC sighting tube, the altitude of the 110 helicopter, and the distance from shore based on the following equation (Lebida and Whitmore 111 1985):  $\blacksquare$ 

112 surface area = survey altitude \* (grid line length/sighting tube focal length).

113 The density of nearshore aggregations (regardless of aggregation size) was also determined. The 114 aerial survey area was broken into sections based on geographic landmarks within which 115 nearshore aggregations were tallied (see "Geographic Landmarks" in Figure 1). To account for 116 variations in the length of coastline surveyed, aggregation density was calculated for each survey 117 by dividing the number of aggregations observed by the length of shoreline surveyed for that 118 section. Spawning aggregations were characterized by groups of fish located on the beach within 119 the wash zone. Once spawning was observed, the spawning aggregations were monitored as 120 frequently as possible to estimate the length of time that Capelin occupied the site as well as the 121 time of day during which fish were actively spawning. During each aerial survey, nearshore and 122 spawning aggregations were recorded on a map by hand and marked on a handheld GPS. 132 (UAF) for processing in the distance from shore<br>
110 meanshore aggregations was estimated units in the distance from shore<br>
111 1985):<br>
112 surface area = survey alt<br>
113 The density of nearshore aggregations to sectio

123 Actively spawning male and female Capelin were collected using a dip net (square mesh 124 < 3.8 cm). If spawning was observed while driving, samples were collected immediately. If 125 spawning was observed during an aerial survey, the pilot landed the helicopter near the beach (to 126 avoid disturbing spawning) and Capelin were collected before resuming the aerial survey. Fresh 127 mortalities from prior spawning events that were found stranded on the beach were collected 128 opportunistically. Because males outnumbered females on the spawning grounds (e.g., 129 Christiansen et al. 2008), females were actively targeted during collections. As a result, the ratio 130 of males and females collected did not represent the ratio observed at the spawning site. 131 Collected Capelin were euthanized, frozen, and sent to the University of Alaska Fairbanks

133 Spawning habitat was characterized by measuring the size and physical characteristics of 134 active spawning locations. Seabird presence and active feeding were used to estimate the length 135 of shoreline being used for spawning because birds were easier to see than Capelin when 136 standing on the beach. When possible, GPS waypoints were collected at the edges of a spawning 137 area and the straight distance between the waypoints was measured in Garmin BaseCamp 138 (Version 4.7.0) to determine the length of beach that was actively used for spawning (Garmin 139 BaseCamp 2018). Wind direction during a spawning event was also noted. Water temperature 140 (°C), dissolved oxygen (mg/L), and salinity (ppt) were collected using a portable YSI meter 141 (Model 85, YSI Incorporated, Yellow Springs, Ohio).

142 To categorize average beach sediment size and confirm spawner presence (via deposited 143 eggs), sediment was gathered using a clear sediment corer tube (4.8 cm inner diameter). At each 144 spawning site, three replicate cores (each with 10 cm of substrate) were collected at three 145 locations (high, mid, and low) within the tidal wash area on the beach (Figure S1.1 in 146 Supplement 1). High-, mid-, and low-locations were spaced < 5 m apart at a given site. Replicate 147 cores from each location on the beach were combined into one sample per location on the beach 148 for a total of three sediment samples per beach sample (i.e., high, mid, and low). High-locations 149 were at the highest extent of the wave wash, mid-locations were covered with water as the waves 150 moved on- and offshore, and low-locations were always submerged. Sampling locations were 151 based on the wave action at the time of sampling, not the actual maximum- and minimum-tide 152 levels. Sediment samples were preserved in 4% (vol : vol) formalin : seawater solution buffered 153 with sodium borate (Nakashima and Taggart 2002) and shipped to UAF for laboratory analyses. Figure 1.1 A.7.0) to de<br>
1.4.7.0) to de<br>
1.4.7.0) to de<br>
1.9.2018). W<br>
1.8.8.8.8.8.8.1 Incc<br>
1.8.8.8.7 Incc<br>
1.8.8.8.1 Incc<br>
1.8.8.1 Ince<br>
1.8.1 Ince Set in the wave as<br>
1.8.1 Ince Set in the wave as<br>
1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1

154 *Laboratory analyses.*—

155 Sediment samples were separated from deposited eggs following methods modified from 156 Taggart and Nakashima (1987). Formalin was rinsed and decanted five times from the sediment 157 sample over a 63-um sieve. The rinsed samples were submerged in a 2% KOH solution for 24 to 158 36 hours to help remove the eggs from the sediment. The samples were rinsed again and 159 decanted ten times over a 250-μm sieve to collect the eggs and a 63-μm sieve to prevent finer 160 sediments from being lost. Eggs were dried at 80°C for 12 to 24 hours and weighed to the nearest 161 0.001 g.

162 Once the sediment was free of eggs, it was processed to categorize spawning substrate. 163 The sediment was dried at 80°C for 24 to 90 hours, allowed to cool in a desiccator for at least 164 one hour, and sieved for one minute by hand through a full set of graded sieving screens (mesh 165 sizes 2000, 1000, 500, 250, 125, and 63 µm plus a base to catch sediment < 63 µm). The 166 sediment was weighed for dry weight (to the nearest 0.1 g), classified using the Wentworth size 167 classes (Wentworth 1922), and reported by proportional weight per size class per location on the 168 beach (i.e., high, mid, and low).

169 Capelin were thawed in the laboratory immediately prior to measuring TL (to the nearest 170 1 mm) and total wet body weight (TW; to the nearest 0.001 g). All fish were photographed prior 171 to internal examination. Because Pahlke (1985) measured FL (to the nearest 1 mm) on fresh fish, 172 the FL of 30 males and 30 females were measured from standardized photographs using tpsDig2 173 (version 2.31; Rohlf 2005). Linear regression was used to establish a relationship between TL 174 and FL for both sexes combined. Fork lengths for the remaining fish were estimated using the 175 following relationship: 169 both independent was were resoluted by two readers. The photographs independent was were readers in the mean of D.S. (Vantuarith 1922), and reported by proportional weight per size class per location on the beach (i.e

$$
FL = 0.88 * TL + 6.22 (R2 = 0.97, N = 60, P < 0.001).
$$

177 The calculated FL was then multiplied by 1.03 to account for shrinkage due to freezing (Winters 178 1982). Only the calculated FLs (not the measured FLs) were compared with FLs reported in 179 Pahlke (1985), whereas all other analyses used TL. Following TW measurements, stomachs were 180 extracted and weighed both full and empty. Stomach content weight only accounted for a mean 181 of 0.5% of the TW (range = 0.0% to 5.5%), so TW was used for the remaining analyses instead 182 of correcting weight estimates based on stomach content weight.

183 Saggital otoliths were extracted from each Capelin and stored dry. Whole otoliths were 184 submerged in mineral oil to make the translucent bands easier to observe, and four images of the 185 otoliths were captured at 50x magnification (convex and concave sides of the left and right 186 otolith) under a compound light microscope (Leica M165 C, Leica Microsystems, Buffalo 187 Grove, Illinois). Fish were divided into 7-mm length bins, and a subsample of at least five 188 individuals (when available) or 38% of the catch in each length bin per sex were aged from the

190 age–length key for each sex was generated following standard methods to assign ages to the 191 remaining fish based on TL (Ogle 2015).

192 Capelin gonads were examined to generate fecundity and gonadosomatic index (GSI) 193 estimates. Females that had spawned or partially spawned were not included in these analyses to 194 avoid underestimating fecundity. Conversely, all males were included because they were 195 expected to vary in spawning stage since males are likely to spawn multiple times throughout the 196 season (e.g., Huse 1998). For females, loose eggs were presumed to be from the left ovary 197 because the right ovary in female Capelin is known to be underdeveloped (Winters 1971). In the 198 current study, the right ovary contained few eggs; therefore, all eggs were counted from the right 199 ovary. For the left ovary, 100 eggs were taken from each the anterior, middle, and posterior 200 sections to account for potential egg size differences within the ovary. Mean egg weight was 201 calculated by dividing the total weight of the subsamples by the total number of eggs in the 202 subsamples for each fish. Absolute fecundity was estimated by dividing the total ovary weight by 203 mean egg weight, while relative fecundity was calculated by dividing the absolute fecundity by 204 TW. For males, the left and right testes were removed and weighed for wet weight. 205 Gonadosomatic index was calculated by dividing total wet gonad weight (total testes weight for 206 males or total ovary weight for females) by TW multiplied by 100. 219 assimates **Eurales** that had spawned or partially spawned were not included in these analyses to expected or capeling Econdity. Conversely, all makes were included because they were spatially experted to vary materiall

207 Current and historic spawning locations for Capelin were mapped using ArcGIS (version 208 10.5.1; Environmental Systems Research Institute 2017), the software program "R Studio" 209 (version 1.1.463; R Studio Team 2016) operating with "R" (version 3.5.2; R Core Team 2018) 210 was used to conduct analyses (with significance at  $\alpha = 0.05$ ), and graphs were generated with 211 SigmaPlot (version 12.3; Systat Software Inc. 2012). Summary statistics were reported as mean ± 212 SD unless otherwise indicated. Wind direction was qualitatively compared with reported Capelin 213 spawning conditions in other regions. Water conditions during spawning were averaged across 214 all spawning events. Kruskal-Wallis tests were used to determine if differences existed among 215 sites or locations for each sediment size class and for the median total dry egg weight decanted 216 from the sediment samples. All life-history analyses were conducted separately for males and 217 females unless otherwise noted. Female mortalities that were collected were aged but were 218 excluded from remaining analyses. A one-way ANOVA was used to examine relationships

220 determine if age composition differed throughout the spawning season, while a pairwise 221 Kendall's rank correlation test was used to compare relationships among fecundity and GSI 222 estimates to Capelin TL and TW.

 $223 \leq A >$  Results

224 Aerial surveys in summer 2018 were  $1.60 \pm 0.42$  hours in duration, with a total of 20.75 225 hours of aerial survey time. Most nearshore Capelin aggregations (85.9%; 269 of 313 226 aggregations) covered a surface area of approximately 12 m<sup>2</sup> or less and 13.4% (42/313 227 aggregations) were approximately  $25 \text{ m}^2$ . Two larger aggregations were also observed: one 228 approximately 61 m<sup>2</sup> and the other 219 m<sup>2</sup>. The highest density of aggregations (4.98) 229 aggregations/km) was observed between Sinuk River and West Mountains (Table 1; Figure 1). 230 Seals (family Phocidae) were observed actively hunting nearshore fish aggregations during the 231 first aerial survey.

232 Capelin were collected in Norton Sound from 15 to 21 June 2018 from five active 233 spawning sites (173 males, 105 females) and one site where spawning had occurred (9 female 234 mortalities). Spawning events occurred at beaches between the Nome River mouth and Nome, 235 Nome and Penny River, and 3 km east of the Sinuk River to the Sinuk River mouth (spawning 236 sites hereafter termed "Nome River", "Penny River", and "Sinuk River", respectively; Figure 1). 237 Seabirds, including Black-legged Kittiwakes *Rissa tridactyla*, Glaucous Gulls *Larus*  238 *hyperboreus*, Glaucous-winged Gulls *L. glaucescens*, Herring Gulls *L. argentatus*, Mew Gulls *L.*  239 *canus*, and Arctic Terns *Sterna paradisaea*, were present and actively feeding on Capelin at 240 spawning sites. Although the time that Capelin spent actively spawning was short, aggregations 241 appeared to be present at spawning areas for two to four days, depending on location. For 242 example, Capelin were reported by community members to be spawning at the Nome River site 243 on 17 June 2018 at 1500 hours and samples were collected while they were actively spawning at 244 1700 hours the same day. On 18 June, fish were monitored at 1115 hours on the ground, 1400 245 hours by air, and 1930 hours by ground; however, no spawning activity was observed during 246 these subsequent trips. There were community reports that between those monitoring periods, at 247 approximately 1700 hours, Capelin were actively spawning (see additional examples in 223 <A> Results<br>
224 Aerial survey<br>
225 hours of aerial survey<br>
225 aggregations) evere aggregations) were a<br>
228 approximately 61 m<br>
229 aggregations/km) was<br>
230 Seals (family Phocid<br>
231 first aerial survey<br>
232 Capelin

249 During a spawning event, Capelin congregated within 1 to 2 m of shore, around the area 250 where the waves start breaking, and rode the wave onto shore to spawn. The length of beach 251 used for each spawning event ranged from less than 400 m to approximately 3,500 m. Within 252 each large spawning event there appeared to be smaller aggregations  $( $4 \text{ m}^2$ ) that would come$ 253 onto shore at multiple locations along the beach. Within these smaller spawning aggregations, 254 individuals were sometimes observed forming groups of three (two males with one female) when 255 coming onshore. Capelin were observed spawning at various times throughout the day (0900, 256 1200–1400, and 1700 hours) and during both on- and offshore winds. Across spawning events, 257 water temperature was  $9.0 \pm 2.0$ °C (range = 6.8 – 10.9°C), dissolved oxygen was  $9.4 \pm 1.0$  mg/L 258 (range = 7.6 – 10.4 mg/L), and salinity was  $24.3 \pm 6.7$  ppt (range = 10.6 – 27.7 ppt).

259 A total of 18 sediment samples (i.e., 6 sediment collection sites x 3 locations within the 260 beach) were collected from four active Capelin spawning sites and one site where spawning had 261 occurred. Two sets of sediment samples were collected from the Sinuk River spawning site 262 approximately 130 m apart from each other (SK1 and SK1.2 in Figure 1) to account for potential 263 variability in beach sediment composition because spawning covered nearly 3,500 m of 264 shoreline. All sediment samples contained eggs. Although sediment samples were sorted into 265 seven size classes, the results from a principal components analysis (PCA) and PCA biplot based 266 on percent sediment composition per sediment sample (separated by location) suggested that 267 sediments could be grouped into four size classes: gravel ( $>2000 \mu m$ ), coarse sand (500 to 2000 268  $\mu$ m), fine sand (63 to 500  $\mu$ m), and fines (<63  $\mu$ m). Combining the size classes was corroborated 269 in that the grouped dataset was fully explained by the first two principle components (PC1 and 270 PC2 accounted for > 99.99% variability) and the percent variance explained by PC1 was slightly 271 higher for the grouped dataset (87.0%) than the full dataset (86.3%). Therefore, the grouped 272 dataset was used for all sediment composition analyses. 278 onto show the results are the stampled continue to show the sensile spawning aggregation<br>2254 individuals-were summiting observed forming groups of three (two males with one fermic)<br>278 contains and the coarding to th

273 There was no difference in percent sediment composition among spawning sites for any 274 of the four sediment size classes. The percent sediment composition differed among locations 275 within a beach spawning site (Figure 2). Gravel dominated the sediment composition at low-276 locations whereas fine sand dominated the sediment composition at high-locations. The amount 277 of coarse sand and fine sediments was similar among all locations within the beach (i.e., high,

279 nearly all the sediment collected within a beach at high-, mid-, and low-locations (proportional 280 weight of sediment  $= 73.2$ , 80.5, and 91.3%, respectively).

281 Spawning Capelin exhibited a range of sizes and ages. Log transformed TL and TW were 282 positively related for males ( $R^2 = 0.85$ ) and females ( $R^2 = 0.61$ ; Figure 3). Males were 8% longer 283 than females based on TL (148.8  $\pm$  6.7 mm versus 137.0  $\pm$  8.4 mm, respectively; ANOVA:  $F =$ 284 172.50;  $df = 1$ , 275;  $P < 0.001$ ; Figure 4) and were 35% heavier based on TW (21.2  $\pm$  2.9 mg 285 versus  $1\overline{3}$ .7  $\pm$  3.0 mg, respectively; ANOVA:  $F = 411.00$ ;  $df = 1$ , 275;  $P < 0.001$ ). Capelin were 286 predominately age 3 for both males (69.4%, 120/173 fish) and females (63.5%, 66/104 fish; 287 Figure 4). There were no differences in Capelin TL among age classes for males (ANOVA: *F* = 288 0.59;  $df = 2$ , 170;  $P = 0.56$ ) or females (ANOVA:  $F = 1.98$ ;  $df = 2$ , 101;  $P = 0.14$ ) or TW among 289 age classes for males (ANOVA:  $F = 0.97$ ,  $df = 2$ , 170;  $P = 0.38$ ) or females (ANOVA:  $F = 0.95$ ; 290 *df* = 2, 101;  $P = 0.39$ ). Further, sample date was not correlated with TL for males (ANOVA:  $F =$ 291 1.88;  $df = 3$ , 169;  $P = 0.13$ ) or females ( $F = 0.22$ ;  $df = 3$ , 100;  $P = 0.89$ ) or with age composition 292 of males (Fisher's exact test:  $P = 0.65$ ) or females (Fisher's exact test:  $P = 0.98$ ). 282 positively, planeal for males ( $R^2 = 0.85$ ) and females ( $R^2 = 0.61$ ; Figure 3). Males were 8% lot<br>284 than Krankje Robel on IL (148.8 ± 6.7 mm versus 137.0 = 8 4 mm, respectively, ANOVA:  $R = 411.00$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $275$ ;

293 Reproductive structures were assessed on pre-spawn male  $(N = 151)$  and female  $(N = 59)$ 294 Capelin. Absolute fecundity was  $8,826 \pm 4,253$  eggs (range = 1,909 to 16,662 eggs), while 295 relative fecundity was  $590 \pm 236$  eggs/g fish weight (range = 158 to 945 eggs/g fish weight). 296 Absolute fecundity was positively correlated with TW (linear regression:  $R^2 = 0.42$ ,  $N = 59$ ,  $P <$ 297 0.001; Figure 5), but not TL (linear regression:  $R^2 = 0.05$ ,  $N = 59$ ,  $P = 0.08$ ; Figure S1.2). 298 Relative fecundity was not correlated with TL (linear regression:  $R^2 = 0.02$ ,  $N = 59$ ,  $P = 0.35$ ; 299 Figure S1.3). Male and female Capelin had a GSI of  $1.09 \pm 0.32\%$  and  $21.69 \pm 8.21\%$ , 300 respectively. There was a positive correlation between GSI and TL for males (linear regression: 301 *R<sup>2</sup>*  $R^2 = 0.05$ ,  $N = 151$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ; Figure S1.4A), but no relationship for females (linear regression: 302 *R<sup>2</sup>*  $R^2$  < 0.01,  $N = 59$ ,  $P = 0.48$ ; Figure S1.4B).

303 <A> Discussion

304 Although Capelin have been extensively studied in the Atlantic Ocean, information on 305 the distribution and life history of spawning-stage adults in the Pacific Ocean is lacking (Pahlke 306 1985; Carscadden and Vilhjálmsson 2002). Spawning Capelin in Norton Sound had similar

308 (e.g., Newfoundland). In addition, Capelin size, age, fecundity, and GSI in the current study were 309 within ranges reported from other areas (e.g., Greenland). Minor discrepancies among Capelin 310 populations (e.g., historic versus current mean age for Norton Sound fish, mean length and 311 weight for Capelin in Norton Sound versus Newfoundland, etc.) were likely due to variations 312 among years or regions (e.g., Maxner et al. 2016; McNicholl et al. 2018). The results from the 313 current study indicate that fundamental ecological aspects of Capelin spawning are similar in 314 multiple marine ecosystems across their geographic range.

315 Aerial surveys were effective for mapping and estimating the size of nearshore Capelin 316 aggregations in Norton Sound. Most of the nearshore aggregations in Norton Sound were smaller 317 in surface area than the smallest median size of the Capelin aggregations observed in 318 Newfoundland (Table 2 [in current study]; Carscadden et al. 1994). Although it is unknown why 319 aggregation size differed between regions, this difference may reflect a lower abundance of 320 Capelin in the Bering Sea relative to Newfoundland. For example, in 2010, Capelin were 321 estimated to have a biomass of 837 t in the eastern Bering Sea and 179 t in the northern Bering 322 Sea based on offshore trawl surveys (Lauth et al. 2019). In contrast, biomass estimates for 323 Capelin on the Newfoundland shelf were estimated at 22,000 t in 2010 and typically ranged from 324 98 – 200 kt from 2003 – 2017 based on acoustic surveys (Lewis et al. 2019).

325 Capelin in Norton Sound spawned at the same time of year and were observed on 326 beaches for the same length of time as fish from other locations, but were observed spawning 327 over a shorter duration of time (Table 2). Slight variations in the onset of spawn timing likely 328 reflect differences in water temperature and sea ice extent among years and regions (Therriault et 329 al. 1996). For example, in 1981 (i.e., the year Capelin were sampled in Pahlke (1985)), sea ice 330 was present in parts of Norton Sound until August, whereas in 2018, this area was ice-free by 331 May (National Snow and Ice Data Center 2019). In Newfoundland, shorefast sea ice is 332 occasionally present in May and June, but is ice-free by July (National Snow and Ice Data Center 333 2019). Capelin aggregations were also typically present at a spawning site for multiple days, 334 which is consistent with observations from Newfoundland populations (Maxner et al. 2016). 335 However, the observed spawning season in Norton Sound was shorter in 2018 than in previous 336 years in this region (Pahlke 1985) or in Newfoundland (Vandeperre and Methven 2007; Maxner 332 among years or costons (e.g., Maxner et al. 2016; MeNicholl et al. 2018). The results from the current study indicate that fundamental ecological aspects of Capelin spawning are similar in multiple manuscries their ge

338 previous research likely reflect missed spawning events in Norton Sound. Additional annual 339 spawning evaluations are needed to determine if the discrepancy in spawning duration observed 340 in 2018 was specific to that year or reflect changes in environmental conditions or population 341 dynamics for Capelin in Norton Sound.

342 Capelin spawning behavior and activity in Norton Sound was generally consistent with 343 reported observations from other areas and studies (Table 2). In Norton Sound, Capelin from 344 nearshore aggregations would ride a wave onto shore, spawn between wave cycles (sometimes 345 grouped as two males with one female), and then ride the next wave back to the ocean, which is 346 similar to observations from other researchers (e.g., Martin et al. 2004). The time of day during 347 which Capelin were observed spawning in Norton Sound was broader than some studies that 348 only observed spawning at night (cf. Pahlke 1985; Gjøsæter 1998). This suggests that the onset 349 of Capelin spawning is not necessarily related to or restricted by nocturnal cues and reflects the 350 fact that this region experiences <3 hr of "darkness" (i.e., civil twilight) during the summer 351 (Timeanddate.com 2019). Offshore winds have been suggested to help Capelin access the beach 352 for spawning (Warner and Shafford 1979; Martin et al. 2004), but no discernable qualitative 353 relationship between spawning timing and wind direction was observed in the current study. In 354 addition, compared to other areas, beach-spawning in Norton Sound occurred at similar water 355 temperatures (Carscadden and Vilhjálmsson 2002; Nakashima and Wheeler 2002), dissolved 356 oxygen concentrations (Arimitsu et al. 2008), and salinities (Præbel et al. 2013; Purchase 2017). 357 These observations suggest that beach-spawning Capelin spawn at similar conditions throughout 358 their geographic range. 342 Capelin Spawning behavior and activity in Norton Sound was generally consistent with<br>343 reported observations from other areas and studies (Table 2). In Norton Sound, Capelin from<br>344 readshme aggregations would ride

359 Sediment sizes in Norton Sound were similar to documented Capelin beach spawning 360 sites in other areas (Table 2 [in current study]; Pahlke 1985; Nakashima and Taggart 2002; 361 Nakashima and Wheeler 2002). Sediment size affects the ability of oxygen to diffuse to the eggs 362 (Martin et al. 2004), so regional similarities in size may imply a sediment size preference or 363 requirement. Despite uniformity among beaches, there was a gradient in sediment sizes within a 364 beach in Norton Sound (Figure 2). Sediment sorting is affected by seasonal variations in wind 365 and wave action and intensity, as well as the extent of shorefast ice, which in concert determine 366 and reflect the dynamic nature of beach environments (Reinson and Rosen 1982; Nakashima and

368 sediments within and among beaches during open-water periods, particularly storm events, 369 whereas shorefast ice preserves beach integrity during ice covered periods (Reinson and Rosen 370 1982). These factors may limit beach suitability for spawning among locations and years 371 (Nakashima and Taggart 2002), which could result in a mismatch between suitable substrate

372 conditions and other environmental factors that influence Capelin spawning timing (Therriault et

373 al. 1996; Arimitsu et al. 2008).

374 Length and weight measurements for spawning Capelin were within the reported ranges 375 for this species, but mean values varied among regions (Table 3). Regional differences in weight 376 were reduced when females of similar lengths were compared between Norton Sound and 377 Iceland (Jóhannsdóttir and Vilhjálmsson 1999). Previous studies have noted increases in Capelin 378 length with latitude (Hedeholm et al. 2010; McNicholl et al. 2018); yet latitude could not explain 379 differences in fish lengths between populations in Newfoundland (53.1°N), Togiak Bay, Alaska 380 (59.0°N), and Norton Sound (63.8°N). While it is unclear why these size differences existed, 381 they may reflect interannual variations in size structure and growth among cohorts within the 382 same population (Hedeholm et al. 2010; Maxner et al. 2016).

383 Capelin spawn between ages 2 to 5 (McNicholl et al. 2018), which is consistent with the 384 age range observed in Norton Sound (Table 3). However, there was a higher proportion of older 385 Capelin in Norton Sound in 2018 (Figure 4B) than in 1981 (Pahlke 1985). The age composition 386 of Capelin spawners can vary annually (e.g., due to environmental factors; Carscadden et al. 387 1994; Maxner et al. 2016), which may explain the observed differences between studies. 388 Alternatively, older spawners may reflect a change in life-history strategy, such as a switch from 389 primarily semelparity to iteroparity (Christiansen et al. 2008). Capelin are believed to be 390 facultatively semelparous (Christiansen et al. 2008) and lab experiments, using Capelin from 391 Newfoundland (Penton and Davoren 2013a) and the Barents Sea (Christiansen et al. 2008), 392 confirmed that males and females are capable of iteroparity. In Newfoundland, Capelin 393 (primarily females) have been found spawning in subsequent years, confirming this life-history 394 trait is expressed in some wild populations (Winters 1971; Maxner et al. 2016). Populations in 395 highly variable environments, such as the Bering Sea and Norton Sound, or with low spawning 396 success are more likely to exhibit iteroparity than those that reside in stable environments (Aydin 397 conditions and other environmental factors that influence Capelin spawning timing (Therrica<br>
31. 1996, Avianisot et al. 2008).<br>
1797 for this spocks splut mean values varied among regions (Table 3). Regional differenc

398 examined for Pacific Ocean populations, but increasing environmental variability in the Bering 399 Sea may be creating conditions that favor an iteroparous life-history strategy and thus lead to 400 changes in age structure of Capelin populations.

401 Previous research has noted temporal changes in Capelin size and/or age over the 402 duration of a single spawning season. For example, larger, older Capelin have been observed to 403 arrive on the spawning grounds earlier than their smaller, younger conspecifics in Norton Sound 404 and Newfoundland (Pahlke 1985; Vandeperre and Methven 2007; Maxner et al. 2016). However, 405 no change in Capelin size or age composition was observed in Norton Sound during the 2018 406 spawning period which may be due to the short timeframe during which Capelin were observed 407 spawning compared to other studies (Table 2 [in current study]; cf. Pahlke 1985; Maxner et al. 408 2016). Future studies could examine Capelin size, age, and spawning duration over multiple, 409 successive years in Norton Sound to identify potential intra- and inter-annual variation in 410 reproductive biology. **421 Chromarizes in Standard Internet in Spawning Standard Control and Control and Control and Newforced in Spawning grounds carrier than their smaller, sounger conspectifies in Norton Sound<br>and NewfordLand (Pahlke 1985;** 

411 Absolute and relative fecundity estimates for Capelin varied regionally, but were most 412 similar for females of comparable length and weight (Table 3). Regional differences in fecundity 413 may be due to regional differences in environmental conditions, which can affect prey 414 availability and Capelin growth rates (Jóhannsdóttir and Vilhjálmsson 1999; Penton and Davoren 415 2013a). For example, water temperature can affect prey abundance, composition, and 416 distribution within and among regions, and access to an abundance of high-quality prey may 417 allow Capelin to grow larger and produce more eggs than fish with lower quantity and quality 418 prey (Aydin and Mueter 2007; Hedeholm et al. 2010; Andrews III et al. 2016). Although 419 influenced by many factors, fecundity estimates scaled by fish length and/or weight were more 420 similar among Capelin populations than unscaled absolute fecundity estimates for most regions.

421 Female Capelin GSI estimates in Norton Sound were similar to females from other 422 regions (Table 3). Similarities among GSI estimates in these studies confirm that females were 423 collected at a similar maturation stage and suggest that they were reproductively mature. Female 424 GSI estimates were higher than males in Norton Sound, which were consistent with results from 425 the Barents Sea (Huse 1998). Sex-related differences in GSI reflected differential energy 426 allocation prior to and during spawning, where females invested energy into egg production and

428 positive relationship between GSI and TL for males suggested that longer males invested more 429 mass into gonad production than shorter males, while a lack of relationship for females 430 suggested that females of all lengths invest equal mass into egg production. Larger gonads may 431 be more beneficial for males than for females. Males are thought to spawn multiple times 432 throughout the spawning season so large testes may allow larger males to spawn with more 433 females than smaller males (Huse 1998). Each additional spawning mate rapidly increases the 434 potential number offspring for each male. Large ovaries can also increase the number of 435 potential offspring for females due to egg quantity or quality (Hedeholm et al. 2011); however, 436 relative to males, this potential increase in offspring is small. Sex-specific differences in 437 reproductive investment are common (e.g., Hanson et al. 2008), but additional research is 438 required to understand the factors driving these differences.

439 To understand ecosystem function, it is important to collect baseline information on the 440 distribution and life history of key species that impact the rest of the ecosystem (Rose 2005; 441 Suryan et al. 2009; Pikitch et al. 2014). Baseline measurements have become increasingly 442 important as the Arctic warms, resulting in shifts throughout the food web (Aydin and Mueter 443 2007; Suryan et al. 2009). For example, recent mass seabird mortality events in the Bering Sea 444 have been occurring at an unprecedented spatial and temporal scales, emphasizing the need to 445 study forage fishes, such as Capelin, to identify changes in prey abundance and availability 446 (Eisner 2019). Although limited to a single sampling season, the information collected during the 447 current study assists in filling our knowledge gap on spawning Capelin in the Pacific Ocean. 448 Additional monitoring of Capelin distribution and abundance may help detect relationships 449 between Capelin and other species in the Bering Sea food web and predict how these 450 relationships may change in altered climate conditions. 442 throughout the spawning scason so large testes may allow larger males to spawn with more lender than samelige mates (thuse 1998). Each additional spawning mate rapidly increases the potachial amplits (the 1998). Each

451 <A> Acknowledgements

452 We would like to thank the North Pacific Research Board (project number: 1707), the 453 Rasmuson Fisheries Research Center, the University of Alaska Fairbanks Undergraduate 454 Research and Scholarly Activity mentor award, and the Jessie O'Bryan McIntosh Scholarship for 455 funding this project and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and the Norton Sound 456 Economic Development Corporation for providing in-kind support. We would also like to thank

- 458 Seitz for helping with manuscript revisions, Bering Air for flying the surveys, Gail Davoren's lab 459 (University of Manitoba) for providing training photographs for otolith aging, and everyone who 460 helped with the field and lab work including local community members, Luke Henslee, Cameron 461 O'Neill, Kyle Gatt, Sharon Wildes, Ashley Dunker, Sarah Hardy, Brittany Jones, Adrienne 462 Stansberry, and Kelsie Maslen. Samples were collected in compliance with Institutional Animal 463 Care and Use Committee permit 1190744-1 and Alaska Department of Fish and Game permit
- 464 CF-18-031. There is no conflict of interest declared in this article.



475

476 <A> References

- 477 Andrews III, A. G., W. W. Strasburger, E. V Farley Jr., J. M. Murphy, and K. O. Coyle. 2016.
- 478 Effects of warm and cold climate conditions on Capelin (*Mallotus villosus*) and Pacific
- 479 Herring (*Clupea pallasii*) in the eastern Bering Sea. Deep-Sea Research II 134:235–246.
- 480 Arimitsu, M. L., J. F. Piatt, M. A. Litzow, A. A. Abookire, M. D. Romano, and M. D. Robards.
- 

482 Alaska: a cold water refugium. Fisheries Oceanography 17:137–146.

- 483 Arthur, L. 2017, December. An analysis of Arctic coastal resilience in response to erosion.
- 484 Science Buzz. https://www.sciencebuzz.com/analysis-arctic-coastal-resilience-response-485 erosion/
- 486 Aydin, K., and F. Mueter. 2007. The Bering Sea a dynamic food web perspective. Deep-Sea 487 Research Part II 54:2501–2525.
- 488 Carscadden, J. E., H. Gjøsæter, and H. Vilhjálmsson. 2013a. A comparison of recent changes in 489 distribution of Capelin (*Mallotus villosus*) in the Barents Sea, around Iceland and in the 490 Northwest Atlantic. Progress in Oceanography 114:64–83.
- 491 Carscadden, J. E., H. Gjøsæter, and H. Vilhjálmsson. 2013b. Recruitment in the Barents Sea,
- 492 Icelandic, and Eastern Newfoundland/Labrador Capelin (*Mallotus villosus*) stocks. Progress 493 in Oceanography 114:84–96.
- 494 Carscadden, J., B. Nakashima, and D. S. Miller. 1994. An evaluation of trends in abundance of 495 Capelin (*Mallotus villosus*) from acoustics, aerial surveys and catch rates in NAFO Division 496 3L, 1982-89. Journal of Northwest Atlantic Fishery Science 17:45–57.
- 497 Carscadden, J., and H. Vilhjálmsson. 2002. Capelin what are they good for? ICES Journal of 498 Marine Science 59:863–869.
- 499 Christiansen, J. S., K. Præbel, S. I. Siikavuopio, and J. E. Carscadden. 2008. Facultative 500 semelparity in Capelin *Mallotus villosus* (Osmeridae) - an experimental test of a life history 501 phenomenon in a sub-arctic fish. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 502 360:47–55. 485 erosion/<br>486 Aydin, K., and F. Mueter. 2007. The<br>487 Research Part II 54:2501–2525<br>488 Carscadden, J. E., H. Gjøsæter, and<br>499 distribution of Capelin (*Mallotn*<br>490 Northwest Atlantic. Progress in<br>492 celandic, and Ea
- 503 Eisner, L. 2019. The Bering Sea: current status and recent trends. PICES Press 27:33–35.
- 504 Environmental systems research institute. 2017. ArcGIS (Version 10.5.1). [Computer Software]. 505 Redlands, California.
- 506 Garmin BaseCamp. 2018. Norton Sound. (Version 4.7.0). https://www.garmin.com/en-
- 

508 Gjøsæter, H. 1998. The population biology and exploitation of Capelin (*Mallotus villosus*) in the 509 Barents Sea. Sarsia 83:453–496.

510 Hanson, K. C., M. A. Gravel, A. Graham, A. Shoji, and S. J. Cooke. 2008. Sexual variation in 511 fisheries research and management: when does sex matter? Reviews in Fisheries Science 512 16:421–436.

513 Hedeholm, R., P. Grønkjær, and S. Rysgaard. 2010. Variation in size and growth of West 514 Greenland Capelin (*Mallotus villosus*) along latitudinal gradients. ICES Journal of Marine 515 Science 67:1128-1137.

516 Hedeholm, R., P. Grønkjær, and S. Rysgaard. 2011. Energy content and fecundity of Capelin 517 (*Mallotus villosus*) along a 1,500-km latitudinal gradient. Marine Biology (158):1319–1330.

518 Huse, G. 1998. Sex-specific life history strategies in Capelin (*Mallotus villosus*)? Canadian 519 Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 55:631–638.

520 Jóhannsdóttir, S., and H. Vilhjálmsson. 1999. Fecundity of Icelandic Capelin *Mallotus villosus* 521 (Müller). Rit Fiskideildar 16:263–270.

522 Karamushko, L. I., and J. S. Christiansen. 2002. Aerobic scaling and resting metabolism in

523 oviferous and post-spawning Barents Sea Capelin *Mallotus villosus villosus* (Müller, 1776). 524 Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 269:1–8.

- 525 Lauth, R. R., E. J. Dawson, and J. Conner. 2019. Results of the 2010 eastern and northern Bering 526 Sea continental shelf bottom trawl survey of groundfish and invertebrate fauna. National 527 Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. NMFS-AFSC-396, Seattle, Washington. 511 Insheres, research and management: when does sex matter? Reviews in Fisheries Scient<br>
1642–436<br>
1642–436<br>
Hetcholm R. P. Gronkjert, and S. Rysgaard. 2010. Variation in size and growth of West<br>
1642–648 (instance Capit
- 528 Lebida, R. C., and D. C. Whitmore. 1985. Bering Sea Herring aerial survey manual. Alaska 529 Department of Fish and Game, Bristol Bay Data Report No. 85–2, Anchorage.

530 Lewis, K. P., A. D. Buren, P. M. Regular, F. K. Mowbray, and H. M. Murphy. 2019. Forecasting 531 Capelin *Mallotus villosus* biomass on the Newfoundland shelf. Marine Ecology Progress 532 Series 616:171–183.







607 <A> Tables

- 608 TABLE 1. Summary of the geographic survey sections (organized from the northwestern to southeastern most extent of the survey
- 609 range), distance of shoreline covered per section, and the number of nearshore Capelin aggregations observed during the aerial
- 610 surveys. Survey section numbers correspond with the geographic landmark numbers in Figure 1. See Methods for density calculation.
- 611 Agg = aggregation.















## 613 TABLE 2. Comparison of behavior and habitat attributes for spawning Capelin from various regions.















- 619 <sup>a</sup>range based on length bins
- 620 <sup>b</sup>mean of reported means
- 621  $c$  cage-3 and age-4 fish only (excludes age-4 fish which comprised < 3% of fish)
- 622 <sup>d</sup>average of average value reported in nearest length bins (i.e., 135 and 140 mm)
- 623 ereported average based on all lengths

- 624 <A> Figure Captions
- 625 FIGURE 1. Map of the 2018 spawning Capelin spawning sites where Capelin and sediment
- 626 samples were collected as well as the geographic landmarks (numbered) used to count nearshore
- 627 fish aggregations during aerial surveys along the coast of Norton Sound, Alaska. Geographic
- 628 landmark numbers correspond with locations in Table 1. Spawning site labels (inset map on top
- 629 right) correspond to the three broad spawning locations described in-text. Sk = Sinuk River, Pn =
- 630 Penny River, Nm = Nome River.
- 631 FIGURE 2. Variation in the percent weight of the sediment size classes among locations on the
- 632 beach at spawning sites (averaged across sites). All locations had  $\lt 1\%$  fines. Gravel  $>$  2000 µm,
- 633 coarse sand = 500 to 2000 µm, fine sand = 63 to 500 µm, fines  $< 63$  µm.
- 634 FIGURE 3. Relationship between log-transformed TL and total weight (TW) for male and
- 635 female Capelin.
- 636 FIGURE 4. Percent frequency distributions for Capelin **(A)** TL and **(B)** age.
- 637 FIGURE 5. Relationship between absolute fecundity (Fa) and total weight (TW) for female
- 

638 Capelin. Author Manuscript













## Author Manuscript<u> Tanzania (</u> **Ianuscri** N Juthor