# Title: Retention and export of planktonic fish eggs in the northeastern Gulf of Mexico

Running Title: Fish-egg retention and transport

Bich Vi Viviane Nguyen<sup>1</sup> (nguyen.viviane08@gmail.com) Yonggang Liu<sup>1</sup> (yliu@usf.edu) Christopher D. Stallings<sup>1</sup> (stallings@usf.edu) Mya Breitbart<sup>1</sup> (mya@usf.edu) Steven A. Murawski<sup>1</sup> (smurawski@usf.edu) Robert H. Weisberg<sup>1</sup> (weisberg@usf.edu) Makenzie Kerr<sup>1</sup> (burrowsm@usf.edu) Eva-Maria S. Bønnelycke<sup>1,2</sup> (emb30@st-andrews.ac.uk) Ernst B. Peebles<sup>1</sup>\* (epeebles@usf.edu)

<sup>1</sup>College of Marine Science, University of South Florida, 140 Seventh Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701

<sup>2</sup> Current address: Sea Mammal Research Unit (SMRU) Scottish Oceans Institute, University of St Andrews, East Sands, St Andrews, Fife, KY16 8LB

\*Corresponding author

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was conducted as part of BVVN's Master's thesis, and was supported by the NOAA Marine Resources Assessment Fellowship program (USF award 2500165701), the Florida RESTORE Act Centers of Excellence program (FLRACEP SHELF project, USF awards 4710112604, 4710112901), the Gulf Oceanographic Charitable Trust Fellowship, and the Sanibel-Captiva Shell Club/Mary and Al Bridell Memorial Fellowship. The modeling work was supported by NOAA/IOOS through the Southeast Coastal Ocean Observing Regional Association (SECOORA, award NA21NOS0120097), NOAA Office of Coast Survey through the Center for Ocean Mapping and Innovative Technologies (COMIT, award NA20NOS4000227), NOAA National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science Competitive Research

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

<sup>1</sup> 

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/fog.12655

Program (award NA19NOS4780183), and the Gulf Research Program of the National Academy of Sciences (SCON-10000542). This is ECOHAB publication number ECOxxxx. The WFCOM hindcast used Stampede2 at TACC through allocation OCE170007 from the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE), which is supported by National Science Foundation grant ACI-1548562 (Towns et al., 2014). We thank the Peebles Lab, notably Drs. Julie Vecchio and Brianna Michaud for general support in the field and lab and during manuscript preparation. This is contribution number 4 from the FLRACEP SHELF project.

#### Abstract

To help determine whether planktonic eggs of fishes on the West Florida Shelf (WFS) are retained locally or exported elsewhere, we collected fish eggs by plankton net from 17 locations (stations) and identified them using DNA barcoding. We then entered the station coordinates into the West Florida Coastal Ocean Model (WFCOM) and simulated the trajectories of the passively drifting eggs over two weeks at three depths (surface, mid-water, and near-bottom). The results indicated there were two groups of trajectories: a nearshore group that tended to be retained and an offshore group that tended toward export and potential long-distance dispersal. We also found evidence of a relationship between retention and higher fish-egg abundance; nearshore stations were associated with higher fish-egg abundances and higher retention. We suggest this is the result of (1) increased spawning in high-retention areas, (2) increased drift convergence in high-retention areas, or both processes acting together. Community analysis using SIMPROF indicated the presence of a depth-related (retention-related) difference in species assemblages. Fish-egg species were also categorized as pelagics or non-pelagics; there was no evidence of pelagic species being more likely to be exported.

## **KEYWORDS**

habitat connectivity, community structure, metapopulation, Loop Current, aberrant drift, selrecruitment, hydrodynamic model

## **1** INTRODUCTION

Ecosystem connectivity and modularity influence the community structure and perturbation resilience of connected ecosystems, and these features are, in turn, influenced by the transport of the planktonic early stages of marine organisms among connected ecosystems (Paris et al. 2020). In fishes, the passive, planktonic eggs and early (preflexion-stage) larvae are most likely to be dispersed by ocean currents as they drift during their pelagic phases. Larval dispersal can result in two main outcomes: export or retention. Export is the movement of eggs and larvae away from a region of interest (Jones et al., 2009), which can result in either aberrant drift or habitat connectivity (Jones et al., 2009). Aberrant drift involves the dispersal of eggs and larvae away from essential larval and juvenile habitat in a manner that likely results in mortality (Hjort, 1926, Faillettaz et al. 2018). Habitat connectivity is the movement of a reproductive cohort (i.e., progeny) to viable habitats used by successive life stages; it is part of the broader concept of ontogenetic habitat shift (Cowen and Sponaugle, 2009). In contrast, when fish eggs and larvae are found to both originate and remain within a region of interest, the process is referred to as retention or self-recruitment (Cowen and Sponaugle, 2009; Jones et al., 2009). Dynamic coastal processes such as frontal convergences or sub-mesoscale eddies (Bassin et al., 2005; Sponaugle et al., 2005) can have direct and indirect influences on fish egg and larval retention and

larval growth, and survival. Aside from being buoyant, planktonic fish eggs are considered to be passive particles (Paris and Cowen, 2004). The buoyancy of pelagic fish eggs and early larvae depends on several

internal characteristics such as lipid content in oil globules or the large quantities of aqueous fluid in the egg (Craik and Harvey, 1987). In addition to buoyancy and passive drift, active swimming by larvae, either individually or in schools, can modulate larval trajectories (Ben-Tzvi et al., 2012; Irisson et al., 2015; Berenshtein et al., 2018; Nelson and Grubesic, 2018); the entire time period during which eggs and larvae exist in the water column is known as the pelagic larval duration, or PLD (Kendall et al., 2013). The PLD differs among species, ranging from days to months, typically ending when the larvae transition to structural habitats (non-pelagic species) or metamorphose into schooling juveniles (pelagic species) (Shanks, 2009). Most reefassociated fishes in the Gulf of Mexico (GoM) have a relatively short PLD. For example, the American Red Snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) egg incubation period is 20 to 27 hours before hatching into larvae. The total PLD for this species is approximately 26 days (Hernandez et al., 2016). More generally, the average PLD for marine fishes is 36 days (Fuiman and Werner, 2009).

connectivity. These dynamic coastal processes are thus responsible for influencing egg transport,

Flexion is a development stage or process during which fish larvae go through morphological transformations that involve the flexion of the notochord and the development of the caudal fin, which is coincident with behavioral changes that involve swimming and increased schooling. In the postflexion larval stage, fish change morphologically and become yet better able to swim. Multiple studies have published evidence of rapid developmental changes that occur in association with reaching the postflexion larval stage. To name a few, these changes

include allometric growth (i.e., changes in morphometry), changes in swimming mode, inflation of the swim-bladder, the onset of schooling behavior, increased vertical migration, advances in internal organ growth, or changes in feeding behavior (Somarakis and Nikolioudakis, 2010).

To investigate the movements of eggs and larvae, a variety of techniques are now being employed such as larval tagging (i.e., incorporation of isotopes or chemicals by the embryo from the mothers or via incubation), DNA sequencing (i.e., genetic analysis leading to identification of species), or biophysical circulation models (i.e., numerical simulation of behavior-influenced trajectories) (Jones et al., 2009; Thorrold et al., 2002, Karnauskas et al. 2022). Weisberg et al. (2014) used a numerical circulation model, the West Florida Coastal Ocean Model (WFCOM), which is similar to the one employed for the Deepwater Horizon (DWH) oil spill, to explain the movement of Gag (Mycteroperca microlepis) larvae on the West Florida Shelf (WFS). The authors compared surface and near-bottom trajectories to determine which pathway led to known locations of pre-settlement fish and how the larvae were transported to settlement sites. This study found that Gag most likely use bottom currents to move from spawning locations to juvenile habitats. This approach has also been used in other regions of the world. George et al. (2011) investigated the larval dispersal of fish in the Gulf of Kachchh (west coast of India) using a two-dimensional numerical model and confirmed the retention of fish larvae in that region. Integrating biological features into ocean models is becoming more common and can be expanded and used for predicting fish-egg and early-larval trajectories. The overall goal of this work was to investigate the movement of fish eggs and larvae on the WFS using high-resolution hydrodynamic models (Aiken et al., 2007; Cowen et al., 2006; James et al., 2002). Advances in DNA barcoding have allowed monitoring of planktonic fish eggs that previously could not be reliably identified (e.g., Burghart et al., 2014, Burrows et al., 2018). As part of the Florida

Restore Act Centers of Excellence Program (FLRACEP), planktonic fish-egg distributions on the entire WFS are being monitored annually for a period of up to 15 years or longer.

Egg distributions observed by the FLRACEP egg-monitoring program can potentially be modified by subsequent, variable egg export or retention on the WFS after spawning (Karnauskas et al. 2022), which could interfere with the use of data from the egg-survey as a local fisheries management index. If egg production is a rough proxy for spawning stock biomass, variable loss of eggs through export would interfere with interpretation of the egg abundance time series, especially because loss of eggs (via export) is likely to be highly variable from year to year (Walsh et al. 2009). Thus, the purpose of the present effort was to develop preliminary methods for investigating egg retention on the WFS. More specifically, the primary objective was to determine whether planktonic eggs are being retained on the WFS or exported away from it by local flow patterns.

We used numerical models to simulate the drift of planktonic fish eggs and early larvae from 17 locations (stations) on the WFS. DNA barcoding of fish eggs from these locations was used to assign taxonomic identities to the simulated trajectories. Although detection of the drifting eggs of a given species provided definitive evidence that spawning has occurred, advection caused an unknown spatial offset between spawning and our subsequent collection of the drifting eggs. The reason for estimating the trajectories of different taxa was to determine whether certain types of fish were more likely to have their eggs retained on the WFS than others. For example, it might be expected that pelagic species such as tunas would be less adapted to retention than reef-associated fishes such as snappers.

The null hypotheses for this study were:

- +Author Manuscrip
- 1. Fish eggs and larvae on the WFS are not likely to be exported. This is relevant to population connectivity between the WFS and other areas.
- 2. Sites with higher fish-egg abundance are not more likely to result in retention than sites with lower egg abundance. This relates to the idea that fish may spawn more heavily in areas where their eggs are more likely to be retained or (conversely) in areas where eggs are likely to be exported.
- 3. Eggs and larvae of pelagic fish species are not more likely to be exported away from the WFS than eggs and larvae of non-pelagic species. The idea here is that non-pelagic fishes may have undergone more selection for retention of eggs near structural habitats used by post-settlement stages.
- 4. There is no depth-related community structure in fish eggs on the WFS. This hypothesis is related to the idea that deep-ocean epipelagic species (e.g., tunas) may only spawn near the deep-ocean epipelgic zone.

### 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Study domain: the West Florida Shelf (WFS)

The WFS is a large continental shelf in the eastern GoM with a width from 25 to 250 km and a length of about 900 km. It contains a variety of bottom features, including open sand, hard bottoms, and low-relief, exposed rock ledges and paleoshorelines (Hine and Locker, 2011).

The Loop Current (Figure 1) is a large-scale circulation feature that dominates the eastern GOM beyond the continental shelf (Hurlburt and Thompson, 1980; Ohlmann and Niiler, 2005; Romanou et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2016b). It is a deep ocean current that enters the GOM through the Yucatan Channel, flows northward to various extents at various times, and exits through the Florida Straits between Cuba and the Florida Keys (Vukovich et al., 1979; Weisberg and Liu, 2017; Nikerson et al., 2022). The Loop Current does not pass directly over the WFS, yet it influences the circulation on the WFS (Hine and Locker, 2011; Weisberg et al., 2005; Weisberg and He, 2003; Liu et al., 2016a).

The circulation on the WFS itself is driven by multiple physical features. It is mainly influenced by local winds and also by offshore forcing through the interaction between the Loop Current and the shelf slope (Weisberg et al., 2005; Weisberg and Liu, 2022). The long-term mean circulation pattern is upwelling with seasonality and interannual variability (Weisberg et al., 2009; Liu and Weisberg, 2012). Additionally, in shallow waters, the circulation in the inner shelf is mainly driven by wind forcing and is more subject to seasonal variations. More specifically, in the summer, the southerly winds drive the currents in shallow water and create a downwelling-favorable system. From fall to spring, the northerly winds generate an upwelling system (Liu and Weisberg, 2005, 2012). The outer-shelf circulation is mainly influenced by the Loop Current, its eddies, and their interaction with the shelf slope; it is less likely to vary

seasonally and more likely to vary with the flow variations of the Loop Current (Weisberg and He, 2003; Liu et al., 2016a).



Figure 1. Altimetry-derived surface geostrophic currents showing the Loop Current intrusion (large arrows) into the GoM during July 2017. Isobaths of 100 m and 200 m are shown as gray contours. The red polygon identifies an area where fish eggs could be transported into the Loop Current system. This altimetry product was generated following the procedure described by Liu et al. (2016a), Liu et al. (2016b), and Weisberg and Liu (2017).

#### 2.2 Fish-egg collection

On July 12, 2017, eight vessels sampled drifting eggs via plankton-net tows across 17 stations (Figure 2). Four commercial fishing vessels operated out of Panama City, Florida, USA (stations 1-2, 3-4, 5-6, and 7-8) and two operated out of the St. Petersburg area, Florida, USA (stations 9-10 and 11-12). Two larger research vessels operated out of St. Petersburg by the Florida Institute

of Oceanography were used at stations 13-14 and 15-17. With one exception, each vessel sampled two stations, with the first station sampled at 0600 h EDT and the second station sampled at 1200 h. The exception was one of the research vessels, which sampled a third station, station 17, at 1800 h.

At each station, two types of plankton net tows were conducted: (1) a single, horizontal tow and (2) three replicate vertical tows. The purpose of the horizontal tow was to collect eggs for DNA barcoding and to estimate proportional compositions of the encountered taxa, and the purpose of the vertical tows was to estimate the number of eggs under one square meter of sea surface. For the horizontal tow, a 335-µm mesh, conical plankton net (3:1 aspect ratio) was towed for 15 minutes at idle speed (4-6 knots), using a three-point bridle to connect the net to the tow line. The conical net had a 0.73-meter mouth and was equipped with a flowmeter. The net was attached to the aft gunwale of the vessel, with the net ring maintained at the surface by an attached float. The net was towed close to the vessel and ahead of the propeller wash. Vertical tows used identical gear without the float and with a 0.9 kg weight suspended from the cod end. The vertical net was lowered by hand, cod-end-first, to 30 m depth or the bottom, whichever was shallower. Once retrieved, time of day and latitude/longitude was recorded for each deployment. Flowmeter readings for the horizontal tows and depth of deployment for the vertical tows (usually 30 m) were also recorded. The net was rinsed on board with seawater and samples were preserved in 7:3 isopropanol:seawater.

Egg abundances from the three vertical tows were averaged. DNA barcoding followed the genetic identification and data analysis methods of (Kerr et al. 2020). DNA was extracted from individual eggs (e.g., Breitbart et al. 2023) and amplified using a COI primer cocktail from Ivanova et al. (2007). Sequences are available in Genbank under accession numbers MK976037MK976646. When barcoding of eggs from horizontal tows was not successful, eggs from vertical tows were used. Three stations (14, 15, 17) did not provide successful egg identifications due to very low egg catches or poor preservation of genetic material, which was caused by large amounts of bycatch (non-egg) biomass in the samples.



Figure 2. Locations of fish-egg collection stations sampled on July 12, 2017. PC is Panama City, Florida and SP is St. Petersburg, Florida. Background is a Landsat/Copernicus image (Data SIO, NOAA, U.S. Navy, NGA, GEBCO).

In 2012, Zheng and Weisberg (2012) developed an application of the Finite Volume Community Ocean Model (FVCOM) that they called the West Florida Coastal Ocean Circulation Model (Figure 3). WFCOM is a numerical model that combines local forcing with remote forcing acting upon coastal ocean circulation and it is a nesting of the FVCOM (Chen et al., 2003; Weisberg and Zheng 2006) into the Global Hybrid Coordinate Model (HYCOM) (Chassignet et al., 2009). The WFCOM has a higher resolution domain within the FVCOM. It is a fully three-dimensional model with 30 sigma layers in the vertical direction. The vertical velocity component was included in the Lagrangian trajectory simulations. The vertical diffusion coefficient is calculated using the modified Mellor and Yamada (MY) level 2.5 turbulence scheme (Mellor and Yamada, 1982), and the horizontal diffusivity is calculated using the Smagorinsky eddy parameterization from early publications (Zheng ad Weisberg, 2012; Weisberg et al., 2014). 31 30 29 25 50 Latitude (°N) 28 200 My Br 27 26 25



method (Smagorinsky, 1963). More detailed information about the WFCOM settings can be seen

Figure 3. WFCOM domain and grid system (blue) with fish-egg collection stations (modified from Figure 1 of Liu et al., 2020).

# 2.4 Fish-egg trajectories

As in Weisberg et al. (2014), trajectories of fish eggs and larvae were simulated at surface (the depth of capture for the eggs), mid-water, and near-bottom. Drifts at these depths were modeled because the trajectories extended well beyond the egg stage and the depths at which swimmingcapable larvae traveled were unknown. The fish eggs were seeded as passive particles into the model for July 11, 2017; we assumed that the eggs were <24 h old when collected. We simulated egg drift over a 15 d period, which is a little less than half of the average PLD of marine species

(36 days) and represents a generalized time between the spawning of eggs and the larval flexion stage. We consider this period to be a largely passive stage for larvae during which they live in the plankton, before the development of stronger swimming abilities; this time period avoids much of the behavior-related modulation of transport such as ontogenetic vertical migration and settlement (Paris et al. 2020). The movement of fish eggs and larvae was, therefore, forecasted from July 11, 2017 to July 25, 2017. While no identifiable eggs were found at station 17, trajectories were created to provide a general trend for this location.

Only the horizontal direction of planktonic fish eggs and larvae was considered in the trajectory simulations. We did not integrate vertical migrations or other biological responses to environmental factors (e.g., salinity, temperature, light, food availability, currents) because of the limited information available regarding behavior during these life stages; this lack of information largely exists due to the lack of in-situ observation and experimental constraints on observing wild larvae after capture (Paris and Cowen, 2004; Somarakis and Nikolioudakis, 2010).

We used the DNA barcoding identification to categorize species as being either pelagic or non-pelagic (Table 1) and visually compared their trajectories. While all of the eggs we collected were pelagic, we classified pelagic species as those that do not have any connection with a substrate throughout life and non-pelagic species as all others that use or relate to substrate at one or more times during their lifetime. This gave insight into whether certain types of fish are more or less likely to have their eggs retained on the WFS.

Family	Species	Common name	Pelagic vs.
Ashiridaa	1 A a himura line actura	Lined Sole	non-pelagic
Corongidaa	Selene setarinnis	Atlantia Maanfish	non-pelagic
Carangidae	Selene vomer	L coltdoyun	non-pelagic
Cualonaattidaa	Selene vomer	Duglar Eloundon	non-pelagic
Cyclopsettidae Chasta danti daa	Syucium pupiliosum	Suster Dutterflatish	non-pelagic
Enhineidae	Chaelodon ocellalus	Atlantia Snadofiak	non-pelagic
Ephippidae	Chaeloalpierus Jaber	Atlantic Spaderish	non-pelagic
Gerreidae	Eucinostomus argenteus/E. gula	Spotfin Mojarra/Silver Jenny	non-pelagic
Gerreidae	Eucinostomus spp.	Mojarra	non-pelagic
Haemulidae	Haemulon aurolineatum	Tomtate	non-pelagic
Lutjanidae	Lutjanus apodus	Schoolmaster	non-pelagic
Lutjanidae	Lutjanus griseus	Mangrove Snapper	non-pelagic
Lutjanidae	Pristipomoides aquilonaris	Wenchman	non-pelagic
Lutjanidae	Rhomboplites aurorubens	Vermillion Snapper	non-pelagic
Ophidiidae	Ophidion selenops	Mooneye Cusk-eel	non-pelagic
Paralichthyidae	Cyclopsetta fimbriata	Spotfin Flounder	non-pelagic
Rachycentridae	Rachycentron canadum	Cobia	non-pelagic
Sciaenidae	Equetus lanceolatus	Jackknife Fish	non-pelagic
Serranidae	Rypticus bistrispinus	Freckled Soapfish	non-pelagic
Serranidae	Rypticus maculatus/saponaceus	Whitespotted Soapfish/Greater Soapfish	non-pelagic
Serranidae	Rypticus sp.	Soapfish	non-pelagic
Serranidae	Serraniculus pumilio	Pygmy Sea Bass	non-pelagic
Synodontidae	Saurida normani	Shortjaw Lizardfish	non-pelagic
Synodontidae	Synodus foetens/macrostigmus	Inshore Lizardfish/Largespot Lizardfish	non-pelagic
Synodontidae	Synodus intermedius	Sand Diver	non-pelagic
Synodontidae	Trachinocephalus myops	Bluntnose Lizardfish	non-pelagic
Triglidae	Prionotus martis	Gulf of Mexico Barred Searobin	non-pelagic
Triglidae	Prionotus ophryas	Bandtail Searobin	non-pelagic
Triglidae	Prionotus punctatus/Prionotus rubio	Bluewing Searobin/Blackwing Searobin	non-pelagic
Triglidae	Prionotus rubio	Blackwing searobin	non-pelagic
Carangidae	Chloroscombrus chrysurus	Atlantic Bumper	pelagic
Carangidae	Decapterus punctatus/D. tabl	Round Scad/Roughear Scad	pelagic
Carangiformes	Caranx crysos	Blue Runner	pelagic
Scombridae	Euthynnus alletteratus	Little Tunny	pelagic
Scombridae	Scomberomorus cavalla	King Mackerel	pelagic
Scombridae	Scomberomorus maculatus	Atlantic Spanish Mackerel	pelagic
Scombridae	Thunnus atlanticus	Blackfin Tuna	pelagic

Table 1. Categorization of pelagic and non-pelagic species.

### 2.5 Interpretation of trajectories

The model outputs are shown in the form of maps with trajectories. Categorizing the trajectories as resulting in retention or export can be complex because the spatial scale over which they are interpreted should be considered. Here, we consider retention on and export from the WFS. Trajectories can indicate short- or long-distance movement and can have different directions (e.g., toward the coast, along the coast, toward the open ocean). Additionally, the trajectories cannot be precisely qualified as resulting in aberrant drift or connectivity because we do not have information regarding the outcome of the drift (e.g., mortality rate, proportion of settlers, exact settlement site). On the WFS, trajectories that brought the eggs and larvae toward the coast or along the coast but remaining on the shelf were considered retained. Eggs that left the WFCOM model domain and moved toward the open ocean and away from the coast, or moved rapidly along its outer periphery (i.e., due to entrainment in the Loop Current) were considered exported (Figure 4).

The distance of dispersal was calculated as the distance from the initial station coordinates (day 1, first day of tracking) to the final coordinates (day 15, final tracking day). The haversine formula was used to calculate the great-circle distance between two points using coordinate inputs. The distances were generated through an online calculator using that formula.

Three stations (13, 14, and 15) were outside the WFCOM geographic domain, and thus no trajectories were generated. This lack of trajectory does not indicate a lack of movement and these stations were identified with a distinct symbol from the other stations on the trajectory maps (Figure 3).



Figure 4. Schematic for interpretation of drifting fish-egg trajectories on the northern West Florida Shelf. On the left, movement towards the coast or along the coast on the WFS is considered retention. On the right, movement towards the open ocean and away from the coast is considered export.

## 2.6 Community analysis

Multivariate community analyses were conducted (1) to determine the distribution of eggs and larvae of pelagic species among stations and their likelihood of being exported, and (2) to determine whether species assemblages grouped by location on the WFS. For each station, the density of fish eggs was calculated from the vertical tows as the number of eggs under one square meter of water surface (number of eggs m<sup>-2</sup>). This approach avoids the problem of egg abundance varying with depth (i.e., due to differences in buoyancy or variation in vertical mixing of eggs from station to station). The horizontal tows filtered more water and collected far more eggs than the vertical tows and were used to identify the proportion of each fish taxon at each station. The abundance of eggs for each fish taxon was then calculated as the proportion of the average total egg abundance (n = 3 vertical tows) at each station according to the formula

----

Author Manuscrip

= Proportion of species  $A_{horizontal} \times Mean total egg abundance_{vertical}$ 

PRIMER 7 software (v. 7.0.13, PRIMER-E, Auckland, New Zealand) was used to analyze fish-egg community structure. Egg abundance was square-root transformed and Bray-Curtis similarity was calculated for all possible station pairs. Cluster analysis was performed using these Bray-Curtis similarities, and a similarity profile analysis (SIMPROF) was used to identify statistically significant groupings of stations within the results of the cluster analysis (Kilborn et al., 2017). A seriated heatmap was generated to allow simultaneous visual comparisons of (1) station compositional similarity and (2) species associations. The PRIMER 7 heatmap algorithm re-arranges both axes (station similarities and species associations) to maximize diagonal trends in the heatmap without changing quantitative relationships within the cluster-analysis results (i.e., by re-arranging the horizontal connectors in the cluster-analysis dendrograms). SIMPROF groups were geographically mapped and non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) was performed.

## **3 RESULTS**

For the following description and observations, the trajectories are named after the station number. Dispersal distances are summarized in Table 2.

				Dispersal distance (km)		
Station	Bottom depth (m)	Surface	Mid-water	Near-bottom		
5	10	31.1	5.6	6.3		
ç	16	67.8	11.3	10.6		
10	19	76.9	11.1	13.0		
7	21	71.6	15.0	13.5		
6	22	24.4	57.8	16.1		
12	29	107.6	20.5	28.5		
4	30	86.0	38.4	56.9		
2	34	57.6	24.6	84.0		
11	39	128.5	5.7	50.1		
8	43	292.4	144.5	67.8		
16	59	46.6	38.2	90.4		
1	120	38.1	385.3	109.4		
3	298	438.2	458.6	191.1		
17	412	60.2	66.5	28.2		
Average dispersal distance (km)		109.1	91.6	54.7		
Range of dispersal distance (km)		24.4-438.2	5.6-458.6	6.3–191.1		

Table 2. Distance of dispersal from the initial coordinates of sites to the last coordinates of trajectory after two weeks of tracking from the fish-egg collection sites. Stations are ordered by bottom depth.

# 3.1 Trajectories

Trajectories from sites that were closer to the coast on the inner shelf (on the shallower side of the 50-meter isobath) tended to result in retention near the originating stations and on the WFS, compared to trajectories from sites that were farther out on the WFS (where the ocean floor was deeper than the 50-meter isobath) that appeared to result in export from the WFS.

At the surface (Figure 5B and Table 3), we observed that the trajectories from stations 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10,11, 12, and 16 had a northwest direction for the first 7 to 10 days. The trajectories then turned toward the coast whether it was northward, northeastward, or eastward. Trajectories from those stations indicated fish eggs and larvae collected from those stations would have most

likely been retained close to the areas where they were spawned. For station 1, the direction of the trajectories were southwest for three days and then northeast toward the coast. Those trajectories are considered retained near station 1 and on the WFS. Trajectories from stations 3 and 8 were southeastward and could result in export from the WFS because of the long-distance transport of 292 to 438 km. The trajectory from station 17 was tracked over six days before leaving the WFCOM domain. This trajectory most likely resulted in export.

At mid-water (Figure 5C and Table 3), we observed that the trajectories from stations 1, 3, and 8 had a southeast direction along the WFS, parallel to the coast. Those trajectories indicated passive particles could have traveled long distances (as long as 458 km) over a two-week period. Fish eggs and larvae that followed those trajectories would be considered exported from the WFS because of the long-distance they traveled in a short time. Trajectories from stations 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 16 had nominal movement. The observed trajectory from station 4 had a northwest then southeast and west direction. At station 8, the trajectory had a southwest direction and followed the shape of 30-meter isobath. The trajectory from station 16 had a northward direction. Fish eggs and larvae from those stations were more likely to have been spawned and have remained in the same areas. They can be considered to have been retained on the WFS. The trajectory from station 17 was tracked over four days before leaving the WFCOM domain, and most likely resulted in export.

Near the bottom (Figure 5D and Table 3), the observed trajectories from stations 2, 4, 8, and 11 had a southeast direction where the fish eggs and larvae seemed to be transported over short distances. The movement appeared to result in retention on the WFS. Trajectories initialized from stations 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, and 12 had very nominal movement, and eggs and larvae collected from those stations were considered to have been likely retained on the WFS; the

simulated larvae traveled only short distances of 15 km on average. At stations 1 and 3, the trajectories could potentially indicate export from the WFS, with the trajectory from station 1 having a direction toward the open GoM over 109 km and trajectory from station 3 having a length of 191 km. At station 16, the trajectory appears to indicate export. The trajectory from station 17 was tracked over six days before leaving the WFCOM domain. That trajectory most likely resulted in export.

Overall, at all depths, the trajectories simulated from inshore, shallow-water stations appeared to result in retention on the WFS. In contrast, trajectories that were generated from offshore, deep-water stations appeared to result in potential export from the WFS. The strongest potential export of fish eggs and larvae away from the WFS resulted from trajectories that originated at the most offshore stations at the surface and at mid-water. Table 3. Trajectory characteristics by station and position in the water column, with stations ordered by bottom depth and where NE = northeast, SE = southeast, SW = southwest, NW = northwest, WFCOM = West Florida Coastal Ocean Model, and N/A = not applicable. Stations are ordered by bottom depth.

Station	Bottom	Trajectory	Trajectory	Potential
	depth (m)	position	description	outcome
5	10	surface	NW for 7 to 10 days then NE towards the coast	retention
5	10	mid-water	nominal movement	retention
5	10	near-bottom	nominal movement	retention
9	16	surface	NW for 7 to 10 days then NE towards the coast	retention
9	16	mid-water	nominal movement	retention
9	16	near-bottom	nominal movement	retention
10	19	surface	NW for 7 to 10 days then NE towards the coast	retention
10	19	mid-water	nominal movement	retention
10	19	near-bottom	nominal movement	retention
7	21	surface	NW for 7 to 10 days then NE towards the coast	retention
7	21	mid-water	nominal movement	retention
7	21	near-bottom	nominal movement	retention
6	22	surface	NW for 7 to 10 days then SE	retention
6	22	mid-water	SW movement	retention
6	22	near-bottom	nominal movement	retention
12	29	surface	NW for 7 to 10 days then remains in same area going SW & NE	retention
12	29	mid-water	nominal movement	retention
12	29	near-bottom	SE towards the coast	retention
4	30	surface	NW for 7 to 10 days then NE towards the coast	retention
4	30	mid-water	NW for 7 days then SE	retention
4	30	near-bottom	SE along the coast	retention
2	34	surface	NW for 7 to 10 days then NE towards the coast	retention
2	34	mid-water	SE then NW	retention
2	34	near-bottom	SE along the coast	retention
11	39	surface	NW for 7 to 10 days then NE towards the coast	retention
11	39	mid-water	nominal movement	retention
11	39	near-bottom	SE along the coast	retention
8	43	surface	NW for 3 days then SE along the coast	export
8	43	mid-water	SE along the WFS	export
8	43	near-bottom	SE along the coast	retention
16	59	surface	NW for 7 to 10 days then SE along the coast	retention
16	59	mid-water	NW movement	retention
16	59	near-bottom	SE along the coast	export
1	120	surface	SW for 3 days then NE towards the coast	retention
1	120	mid-water	SE along the WFS	export
1	120	near-bottom	SE towards open ocean	export
14	285	surface	outside domain of WFCOM	N/A
14	285	mid-water	outside domain of WFCOM	N/A

14	285	near-bottom	outside domain of WFCOM	N/A
3	298	surface	SE along the WFS	export
3	298	mid-water	SE along the WFS	export
3	298	near-bottom	SE along the coast	export
15	323	surface	outside domain of WFCOM	N/A
15	323	mid-water	outside domain of WFCOM	N/A
15	323	near-bottom	outside domain of WFCOM	N/A
13	397	surface	outside domain of WFCOM	N/A
13	397	mid-water	outside domain of WFCOM	N/A
13	397	near-bottom	outside domain of WFCOM	N/A
17	412	surface	SE along the WFS for 3 days before going off domain of WFCOM	export
17	412	mid-water	SE along the WFS for 4 days before going off domain of WFCOM	export
17	412	near-bottom	SW for 6 days before going off domain of WFCOM	export



Figure 5. Fish-egg trajectories generated by the West Florida coastal ocean model (WFCOM). The trajectories were initialized from the fish-egg collection sites. The trajectories suggest a retention pattern toward and along the coast. A. Fish-egg abundance per site on the northern WFS. B. surface trajectories. C. mid-water column trajectories. D. near-bottom trajectories.

## 3.2 Fish-egg abundance and retention

Fish-egg abundance was generally higher closer to shore on the inner shelf (Figure 5A and Table
4). Nguyen (2020) projected egg trajectories from sites where spawning adult fishes were
collected (i.e., known spawning locations), and these results suggested the area near Station 4
experienced a convergence of egg trajectories. Generally, however, stations with higher fish-egg

abundance (6, 7, 9, 10, 11, and 12) had trajectories with nominal movement at mid-water and near the bottom and were considered to result in retention. Also, the surface trajectories from those stations, for the majority, had a northwest and then toward-the-coast direction, where fish eggs and larvae would also be considered to be retained. Stations with lower fish-egg abundance (1, 2, 3, 8, 16, 17) were farther out on the WFS and corresponded with trajectories that resulted in apparent export. This suggests a relationship may exist between retention and locations with higher fish-egg abundance.

Station	Bottom	Number of species	Average number of eggs
	depth (m)	per station	under 1 m <sup>2</sup>
5	10	7	31.9
9	16	10	59.7
10	19	7	92.4
7	21	10	87.6
6	22	13	82.8
12	29	5	55.8
4	30	7	130.6
2	34	5	21.5
11	39	11	99.6
8	43	9	16.7
16	59	2	14.3
1	120	4	47.0
14	285	0	4.0
3	298	4	12.7
15	323	0	7.3
13	397	1	35.8
17	412	0	40.6

Table 4. Number of fish species identified per station and average number of eggs under  $1 \text{ m}^2$  per station, with stations ordered by bottom depth.

3.3 Species assemblages and pelagic vs. non-pelagic difference in retention The results for the SIMPROF analysis are summarized in Figure 6. Figure 6 is a heatmap of the fish-egg taxa, with a dendrogram indicating species associations and vertical lines identifying statistically significant station associations (SIMPROF groups). The SIMPROF analysis produced four groupings among 14 stations (stations 14, 15, and 17 did not have identifiable fish eggs, and were excluded). For each of the four groups, the stations were mapped with a unique symbol in Figure 8. From the representation, a geographic grouping can be observed from west to east and from deep to shallow waters, with group a being the farthest west and in deeper water than groups b, c, and d, in that order. In Figure 6, blue outlines indicate pelagic species. Pelagic species were found in all four groups of stations and were found at 12 stations out of 14. Figure 7 is an nMDS plot that shows the groupings of stations according to their Bray-Curtis similarity.



Figure 6. Seriated heatmap of the fish-egg taxa, with a dendrogram indicating species associations (index of association) and vertical lines delineating four statistically significant station groupings (SIMPROF groups). Blue outlines on rectangles indicate pelagic taxa.



Figure 7. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) plot indicating the compositional similarity of fish-egg samples (station numbers are above symbols), as indicated by Bray-Curtis similarity and SIMPROF analysis, with both based on square-root-transformed densities (as in Figure 6).



Figure 8. Geographic representation of the analysis results in Figures 6 and 7.

# **4 DISCUSSION**

The first hypothesis considered the potential retention and export of fish eggs. Evidence of export of fish eggs and larvae away from the WFS was found; trajectories initiated from stations on the outer shelf and in deeper waters (offshore of the 50 m isobath) more likely resulted in export away from the WFS. In contrast, trajectories initiated from stations on the inner shelf and in shallower waters (inshore of the 50-meter isobath) more likely resulted in retention on the

WFS. The first null hypothesis, which stated that fish eggs and larvae on the WFS are not likely to be exported, was thus rejected.

It is important to consider the general ocean circulation features of the WFS when interpreting these different trajectories. In general, the WFS is upwelling-favorable with seasonal variability. The circulation on the WFS is known to be influenced by multiple hydrodynamic features. In particular, the circulation in shallow water is influenced by wind forcing, whereas the circulation in deeper waters on the outer part of the WFS is influenced by the Loop Current and its eddies. More specifically, in the summer months, southerly winds tend to have a stronger influence on surface currents, especially in shallow water (Liu and Weisberg, 2012). With Ekman transport, the deflection of the surface current in shallow water should be 45-90° to the right (depending on depth). From this, water circulation would result in downwelling. However, from the trajectories near the surface, at mid-water, and near the bottom, there was no strong evidence of downwelling or movement away from the coast and toward the open ocean (during time period considered here). Two explanations are plausible in this case: the winds were not strong enough to create downwelling, or the influence of the Loop Current was stronger than the downwelling process and countered it, slowing the water flow at shallow depths. Daily-averaged winds for our period of observation had the same patterns as the surface trajectories in shallow water, confirming that surface water flow was influenced primarily by winds.

On the deeper, outer part of the WFS, the circulation is influenced by several factors such as winds, eddies, and the Loop Current (Weisberg et al., 2005). The latter has the strongest influence on the outer shelf, even though its influence varies annually depending on how northward the Loop Current penetrates into the GoM (Figure 1). The trajectories that were initiated from stations at deep water were highly influenced by the Loop Current. In these cases,

deepwater trajectories at all depths connected the northern WFS with the southern WFS (Figure 5), which are two retention provinces that otherwise tend to be isolated from each other at particle drift times of weeks to months (Miron et al. 2017). These long, southeastern trajectories tended to originate offshore, yet they occurred over bottom depths that were within the distributional range of adult reef fishes such as snappers.

Overall, fish eggs and larvae are more likely to be dispersed and transported over long distances by large-scale circulation features and they are more likely to be retained locally by small-scale processes and the interaction of those processes and currents with bathymetry (Paris and Cowen, 2004). In general, the observed trajectories in shallow and deep water are consistent with the physical attributes of the WFS circulation.

Moreover, it is important to note that categorizing the trajectories cannot be solely based on hydrodynamic models. In this study, the trajectories were categorized according to relative retention versus export, and not to the further level of aberrant drift and habitat connectivity that are subcategories of export. More specifically, to make inferences on habitat connectivity, biophysical models are often used because of the incorporation of hydrodynamic data from ocean circulation (e.g., current and other environmental parameters) and biological data (e.g., pelagic larval duration and larval behavior; Abesamis et al., 2016, Paris et al. 2020). Within the GoM, Paris et al. (2020) used a biophysical model to describe relatively good connectivity clockwise from Yucatan through the northern GoM and into the eastern GoM, but identified relatively poor connectivity between the eastern GoM and Cuba. Establishing connectivity between the eastern GoM and the Carolinas, for example, would require larger-scale modeling. Existing genetic evidence is consistent with gene flow occurring between fishes in the GoM and the southeastern US coast (Zatcoff et al. 2004). The offshore trajectories indicated strong advections of fish eggs and larvae. Indeed, the distance of dispersal was up to 458 km, reaching the southern half of the WFS in a period of two weeks. In the study of Gag larval transport by Weisberg et al. (2014), trajectories were simulated over 45 days, accounting for the approximate age of individuals approaching coastal nursery habitats. This suggests that the long-distance offshore movement of fish eggs potentially resulting in export could possibly reach the Florida Keys and be entrained in the Florida Current and continue its course in the Gulf Stream up to the southeastern United States where similar fish assemblages occur. Indeed, recreational and commercial harvest occurs off North and South Carolina for some of the same species (e.g., snapper-grouper complex) that are captured on the WFS (Overton et al., 2008, Karnauskas et al. 2022). Connectivity between the WFS snapper-grouper complex and the one from the Carolinas could exist because of the possible export of the fish eggs and larvae away from the WFS (Denit and Spaunogle 2004, Hare and Walsh 2007, Karnauskas et al. 2022).

Specifically, for July of 2017, the penetration of the Loop Current into the GoM was far northward, and the northern part of the loop was in close contact with the shelf break (Figure 1); the shallowest isobath in Figure 1 is the 200 m isobath. Based on the trajectories originating from station 17, we observed that only three days at the surface and mid-water and six days at the near-bottom were represented before the trajectory went outside of the WFCOM domain and was not trackable anymore. Observation of the shape and movement of the Loop Current during that summer indicated advection of water from the WFS into the Loop Current and potential export of fish eggs and larvae that were spawned close to the shelf break (see surface trajectories in Figure 1). Those eggs and larvae could then be entrained in the strong currents described above (i.e., Florida Current, Gulf Stream) and brought to the Carolinas in a few weeks, where they

could settle. This time period can be estimated by considering the average velocity of 0.8 m s<sup>-1</sup> for the Loop Current, 1.9 m s<sup>-1</sup> for the Florida Current), and 2.5 m s<sup>-1</sup> for the Gulf Stream (Milliman and Imamura, 1992; Niiler and Richardson, 1973). The distance between the point of contact between the Loop Current and the shelf break and an area on the shelf off the coast of North Carolina can also be estimated. Such calculations result in an approximate period of transport of two weeks. This time period is reasonable for hypothesizing that, in the summer of 2017, when the Loop Current came into close contact with the WFS break, fish eggs and larvae that were spawned in that area could have been advected into strong currents and transported passively to the Carolinas where they could settle. This phenomenon of potential export and connectivity appears to be highly variable and dependent on years and seasons when the shape and degree of intrusion of the Loop Current into the GoM is conducive to this type of long-range connectivity.

Other evidence of this potential "shelf exit" in the Florida Keys was described by Kerr et al. (2020) and Karnauskas et al. (2022). DNA barcoding of fish eggs collected along a transect from the WFS to Cuba (i.e., across the Straits of Florida) distinguished reef-associated fish species from pelagic species. The results indicated the presence of (shallow-water) reefassociated species in deeper water within the Straits of Florida. This was associated with the presence of a mesoscale cyclonic eddy that introduced water from the WFS into the Florida Current. That study is an additional demonstration of how considering ocean circulation in combination with biological data is fundamental to understanding how different processes work together, and would present another hypothesis regarding connectivity between the WFS and the Carolinas. Karnauskas et al. (2022) have previously supported this position by providing compelling evidence that American Red Snapper on the southern WFS heavily subsidize their

stocks in the southeastern US Atlantic coast via export of larvae that are spawned south of Tampa Bay. Notably, Figures 1 and 5 suggest these subsidies may also originate from areas north of Tampa Bay, which would increase the size and potential importance of these progeny sources.

Our second hypothesis investigated fish-egg abundance in relation to retention. Fish-egg abundance appeared to be higher closer to shore, where the majority of fish-egg collection sites resulted in retention (Figure 5). Fish-egg abundance was nominally lower at sites in deeper water where trajectories were more likely to result in export. The apparent relationship between egg abundance and retention suggests we should reject the null hypothesis that sites with higher egg abundance were not more likely to result in retention. Note that this could represent increased spawning or higher fish biomass in these areas, increased drift convergence, reduced export, or all processes acting together. This finding is consistent with the concept of self-recruitment; an increase in self-recruitment is generally associated with retention zones that are found adjacent to the coast. It has been suggested that these coastal zones tend to retain eggs and larvae because of interactions between circulation and topography (e.g., bays, reefs and other bottom features; Gawarkiewicz et al., 2007).

The third hypothesis was related to pelagic versus non-pelagic species. Pelagic species were thought more likely to be exported than non-pelagic fish species. No evidence of this was found during the present study. Pelagic species were found at most of the stations whether they were retained or exported, and the SIMPROF analysis found pelagics to be represented in all community groups. This is likely because some pelagic species, such as Spanish mackerel (*Scomberomorus maculatus*) and king mackerel (*S. cavalla*) are not just pelagic, they are notably

Author Manuscript

coastal and migratory within coastal waters, and are managed as "coastal migratory pelagics" by regional fishery management councils.

Lastly, the fourth hypothesis investigated whether there was spatial structure in the fishegg species assemblages that occur within our study area. The SIMPROF analysis indicated the presence of geographic station groupings based on taxonomic composition. This analysis indicated a geographical grouping from west to east (from deep to shallow water). Even though physical and chemical properties were relatively constant, the topography or other currently unidentified factors seemed to influence the species composition at the different stations on the WFS. In most cases, species found in deeper water were not likely to be found in shallow water and vice versa. The sites that were geographically closer together yielded similar species. It is interesting that one relatively shallow station, station 7, was classified as being in SIMPROF group a (Figures 6), yet it plotted close to stations 6 and 10 in the shallow-water group b in the nMDS plot (Figure 7). Interestingly, stations 7 and 16 are located in the only area of the WFS where Yang et al. (1999) identified cross-shelf mixing of surface waters (their Figure 12). Because there was depth-related variation in the structure of these species assemblages, the fourth null hypothesis was rejected. This is consistent with findings by Huelster (2015) and Huelster and Peebles (2019). Based on stable-isotopic values from muscle tissue, these authors found isotopic separation between nearshore and offshore energy pathways that coincided with changes in fish assemblages. As in the present study, the Huelster (2015) SIMPROF analysis, which was based on trawl data, also separated fish communities into shallow and deep components. The reef-fish component (snappers, grunts, porgies) favored the inner WFS. The analysis was repeated for 11 years from 2008 to 2018, and a similar shallow-deep division in community structure was found in 10 years out of 11 (Huelster and Peebles, 2019). In some

years, shallow-water species extended their distributions towards deeper water, whereas in other years they were more narrowly restricted to shallower waters, suggesting dynamic distribution behaviors in these fish assemblages.

In summary, our primary findings are:

- Shallow-water trajectories likely resulted in retention and deep-water trajectories likely resulted in export.
- There was higher egg abundance in shallow water that was also associated with a higher likelihood of retention, but this higher abundance also could have been caused by more spawning occurring in those areas.
- Eggs from pelagic species were not more likely to be exported than eggs from nonpelagic species. That is because many pelagic species occur in inshore areas where retention is high.
- 4. The SIMPROF analysis indicated the presence of depth-related groupings of fish-egg assemblages.

Over the years, multiple studies have acknowledged that oceanographic processes and physical features can potentially influence the recruitment success of fish stocks (Hinrichsen et al., 1997). DNA barcoding is highly reliable in species identification of fish eggs (Burghart et al., 2014; Burrows et al., 2018; Ward et al., 2009), and tracking of movement via numerical models can be done efficiently in a timely manner once initial coordinates are identified and put into the model. The present study provides insight into the fate of planktonic fish eggs spawned at different locations on the continental shelf. However, our study was conducted using data collected during just one day. Repeated efforts at different times would be useful for evaluating any uncertainty in our results; this is most relevant to the third hypothesis (i.e., that the eggs of pelagic species are not more likely to be exported than those of non-pelagics), which was the only null hypothesis that was not rejected. If that hypothesis is given future consideration, it may be productive to consider coastal pelagics separately from pelagics that occur in the deep ocean.

#### REFERENCES

- Abesamis, R. A., Stockwell, B. L., Bernardo, L. P., Villanoy, C. C. L., & Russ, G. R. (2016). Predicting reef fish connectivity from biogeographic patterns and larval dispersal modelling to inform the development of marine reserve networks. *Ecological Indicators*, 66, 534-544.
- Aiken, C. M., Navarrete, S. A., Castillo, M. I., & Castilla, J. C. (2007). Along-shore larval dispersal kernels in a numerical ocean model of the central Chilean coast. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 339, 13-24.
- Bassin, C. J., Washburn, L., Brzezinski, M., & McPhee-Shaw, E. (2005). Sub-mesoscale coastal eddies observed by high frequency radar: A new mechanism for delivering nutrients to kelp forests in the Southern California Bight. Geophysical Research Letters 32 (12). doi:10.1029/2005GL023017
- Ben-Tzvi, O., Abelson, A., Gaines, S. D., Bernardi, G., Beldade, R., Sheehy, M. S., Paradis, G. L., et al. (2012). Evidence for cohesive dispersal in the sea. *PloS One*, 7: e42672.
- Berenshtein, I., Paris, C. B., Gildor, H., Fredj, E., Amitai, Y., & Kiflawi, M. (2018). Biophysical simulations support schooling behavior of fish larvae throughout ontogeny. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 5: 254.
- Breitbart, M., Kerr, M., Schram, M. J., Williams, I., Koziol, G., Peebles, E. B., & Stallings, C. D. (2023) Evaluation of DNA metabarcoding for identifying fish eggs: a case study on the West Florida Shelf. *PeerJ*, 11: e15016.
- Burghart, S. E., Van Woudenberg, L., Daniels, C. A., Meyers, S. D., Peebles, E. B., & Breitbart, M. (2014). Disparity between planktonic fish egg and larval communities as indicated by DNA barcoding. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 503, 195-204.
- Burrows, M., Browning, J. S., Breitbart, M., Murawski, S. A., & Peebles, E. B. (2018). DNA barcoding reveals clear delineation between spawning sites for neritic versus oceanic fishes in the Gulf of Mexico. *Fisheries Oceanography*, 28, 228-239.

- Chassignet, E., Hurlburt, H. E., Metzger, E. J., Smedstad, O. M., Cummings, J. A., Halliwell, G. R., Bleck, R., Baraille, R., Wallcraft, A. J., Lozano, C., Tolman, H. L., Srinivasan, A., Hankin, S., Cornillon, P., Weisberg, R., Barth, A., He, R., Werner, F., & Wilkin, J. (2009). US GODAE Global ocean prediction with the hybrid Coordinate Ocean Model (HYCOM). *Oceanography*, 22, 64-75.
- Chen, C., Liu, H., & Beardsley, R. C. (2003). An unstructured grid, finite-volume, threedimensional, primitive equations ocean model: Application to coastal ocean and estuaries. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, 20, 159-186.
- Coleman, F. C., Koenig, C. C., Huntsman, G. R., Musick, J. A., Eklund, A. M., McGovern, J. C., Sedberry, G R., Chapman, R. W., & Grimes, C. B. (2000). Long-lived reef fishes: The grouper-snapper complex, *Fisheries*, 25, 14-21.
- Cowen, R. K., Paris, C. B., & Srinivasan, A. (2006). Scaling of connectivity in marine populations. *Science*, 311, 522-527.
- Cowen, R. K., & Sponaugle, S. (2009). Larval dispersal and marine population connectivity. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 1, 443-466.
- Craik, C., & Harvey, S. M. (1987). The causes of buoyancy in eggs of marine teleosts. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, 67, 169-182.
- Denit, K., & Sponaugle, S. (2004). Growth variation, settlement, and spawning of gray snapper across a latitudinal gradient. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*, 133, 1339– 1355.
- Faillettaz, R., Durand, E., Paris, C.B., Koubbi, P., & Irisson, J.-O. (2018). Swimming speeds of Mediterranean settlement-stage fish larvae nuance Hjort's aberrant drift hypothesis. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 63, 509-523.
- Fuiman, L. A., & Werner, R. G. (2009). Fishery science: The unique contributions of early life stages. Wiley.
- Gawarkiewicz, G., Monismith, S., & Largier, J. (2007). Observing larval transport processes affecting population connectivity: Progress and challenges. *Oceanography*, 20, 40–53.
- George, G., Vethamony, P., Sudheesh, K., & Babu, M. T. (2011). Fish larval transport in a macro-tidal regime: Gulf of Kachchh, west coast of India. *Fisheries Research*, 110, 160-169.

- Hare, J.A., & Walsh, H. J. (2007). Planktonic linkages among marine protected areas on the south Florida and southeast United States continental shelves. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries* and Aquatic Sciences, 64, 1234-1247.
- Hernandez, F. J., Filbrun, J. E., Fang, J., & Ransom, J. T. (2016). Condition of larval red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) relative to environmental variability and the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill. *Environmental Research Letters*, 11, 094019.
- Hine, A., & Locker, S. (2011). Florida Gulf of Mexico continental shelf: Great contrasts and significant transitions, In N.A. Buster & C. W. Holmes (Eds.), *Gulf of Mexico: Origin, waters, and biota, volume 3, geology* (pp. 101-127). College Station, Texas, Texas A&M University Press.
- Hinrichsen, H. H., Lehmann, A., St. John, M., & Brügge, B. (1997). Modeling the cod larvae drift in the Bornholm Basin in summer 1994. *Continental Shelf Research*, 17, 1765-1784.
- Hjort, J. (1926). Fluctuations in the year classes of important food fishes. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 1, 5-38.
- Huelster, S. A. (2015). Comparison of isotope-based biomass pathways with groundfish community structure in the eastern Gulf of Mexico. [Master's thesis, University of South Florida] USF Tampa Graduate Theses and Dissertations, https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/etd/5707
- Huelster, S. A., & E. B. Peebles. (2019, September 29-October 3). Comparison of isotope-based pathways with groundfish community structure over time in the eastern Gulf of Mexico [Conference presentation abstract]. 2019 Joint Annual Conference of the American Fisheries Society and The Wildlife Society, Reno, NV, United States. https://afs.confex.com/afs/2019/meetingapp.cgi/Paper/40059
- Hurlburt, H. E., & Thompson, J. D. (1980). A numerical study of Loop Current intrusions and eddy shedding. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 10, 1611-1651.
- Irisson, J.-O., Paris, C. B., Leis, J. M. & Yerman, M. N. (2015). With a little help from my friends: Group orientation by larvae of a coral reef fish. *PloS One*, 10: e0144060. Ivanova, N. V., Zemlak, T. S., Hanner, R. H., & Hebert, P. D. N. (2007). Universal primer cocktails for fish DNA barcoding. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 7, 544-548.
- James, M. K., Armsworth, P., Mason, L., & Bode, L. (2002). The structure of reef fish metapopulations: Modelling larval dispersal and retention patterns. Proceedings of Royal Society–B, Biological Sciences, 269, 2079-2086.

- Jones, G. P., Almany, G. R., Russ, G. R., Sale, P. F., Steneck, R. S., van Oppen, M. J. H., & Willis, B. L. 2009. Larval retention and connectivity among populations of corals and reef fishes: History, advances and challenges. *Coral Reefs*, 28, 307-325.
- Karnauskas, M., Shertzer, K. W., Paris, C. B., Farmer, N. A., Switzer, T. S., Lowerre-Barbieri, S. K., Kellison, G. T., He, R., & Vaz, A. C. (2022). Source–sink recruitment of red snapper: Connectivity between the Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic Ocean. *Fisheries Oceanography*, 31, 571-586.Kendall, M. S., Poti, M., Wynne, T. T., Kinlan, B. P., & Bauer, L. B. (2013). Consequences of the life history traits of pelagic larvae on interisland connectivity during a changing climate. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 489, 43-59.
- Kerr, M., Browning, J., Bønnelycke, E-M., Zhang, Y., Hu, C., Armenteros, M., Murawski, S., Peebles, E., & Breitbart, M. (2020). DNA barcoding of fish eggs collected off northwestern Cuba and across the Florida Straits demonstrates egg transport by mesoscale eddies. *Fisheries Oceanography*, 29, 340-348.
- Kilborn, J. P., Jones, D. L., Peebles, E. B., & Naar, D. F. (2017). Resemblance profiles as clustering decision criteria: Estimating statistical power, error, and correspondence for a hypothesis test for multivariate structure. *Ecology and Evolution*, 7, 2039-2057.
- Liu, Y., & Weisberg, R. H. (2005). Patterns of ocean current variability on the West Florida Shelf using the self-organizing map. *Journal of Geophysical Research Oceans*, 110, C06003, doi:10.1029/2004JC002786
- Liu, Y., & Weisberg, R. H. (2012). Seasonal variability on the West Florida Shelf. *Progress in Oceanography*, 104, 80-98.
- Liu, Y., Weisberg, R. H., Lenes, J. M., Zheng, L., Hubbard, K., & Walsh, J. J. (2016a). Offshore forcing on the West Florida Shelf "pressure point" and its upwelling influence on harmful algal blooms. *Journal of Geophysical Research Oceans*, 121, 5501-5515. doi:10.1002/2016JC011938
- Liu, Y., Weisberg, R. H., Vignudelli, S., & Mitchum, G. T. (2016b). Patterns of the Loop Current System and regions of sea surface height variability in the eastern Gulf of Mexico revealed by the self-organizing maps. *Journal of Geophysical Research Oceans*, 121, 2347-2366. doi:10.1002/2015JC011493
- Liu, Y., Weisberg, R. H., & Zheng, L. (2020). Impacts of hurricane Irma on the circulation and transport in Florida Bay and the Charlotte Harbor estuary. *Estuaries and Coasts*, 43, 1194-1216.Mellor, G. L., Yamada, T. (1982). Development of a turbulence closure model for geophysical fluid problem. *Review of Geophysics and Space Physics*, 20, 851–875.

- Author Manuscript
- Milliman, J. D., & Imamura, E. (1992). Physical oceanography of the US Atlantic and eastern Gulf of Mexico. Final report. United States.
- Miron, P., Beron-Vera, F. J., Olascoaga, M. J., Sheinbaum, J., Pérez-Brunius, P., & Froyland, G. (2017). Lagrangian dynamical geography of the Gulf of Mexico. *Scientific Reports*, 7: 7021
- Nelson, J. R., & Grubesic, T. H. (2018). The implications of oil exploration off the Gulf Coast of Florida. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, 6, 1-21.
- Nguyen, B. V. V. (2020). Investigation of retention versus export of planktonic fish eggs in the northeastern Gulf of Mexico. USF Tampa Graduate Theses and Dissertations. https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/etd/8974
- Nickerson, A. K., Weisberg, R. H., & Liu, Y. (2022). On the evolution of the Gulf of Mexico Loop Current through its penetrative, ring shedding and retracted states. *Advances in Space Research*, 69, 4058-4077, doi:10.1016/j.asr.2022.03.039
- Niiler, P. P., & Richardson, W. S. (1973). Seasonal variability of the Florida Current. Journal of Marine Research, 31, 144-167.
- Ohlmann, J. C., & Niiler, P. P. (2005). Circulation over the continental shelf in the northern Gulf of Mexico. *Progress in Oceanography*, 64, 45-81.
- Overton, A. S., Zabawski, J., & Riley, K. L. (2008). Release mortality of undersized fish from the snapper–grouper complex off the North Carolina Coast. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 28, 733-739.
- Paris, C. B., & Cowen, R. K. (2004). Direct evidence of a biophysical retention mechanism for coral reef fish larvae. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 49, 1964-1979.
- Paris, C. B., Murawski, S. A., Olascoaga, M. J., Vaz, A. C., Berenshtein, I., Miron, P., & Beron-Vera, F. J. (2020). Connectivity of the Gulf of Mexico continental shelf fish populations and implications of simulated oil spills. In Scenarios and Responses to Future Deep Oil Spills, pp. 369–389. Springer.
- Romanou, A., Chassignet, E. P., & Sturges, W. (2004). Gulf of Mexico circulation within a highresolution numerical simulation of the North Atlantic Ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 109. doi:10.1029/2003JC001770
- Shanks, A. L. (2009). Pelagic larval duration and dispersal distance revisited. *Biological Bulletin*, 216, 373-385.

- Smagorinsky, J. (1963). General circulation experiments with the primitive equations, I. The basic experiment. *Monthly Weather Review*, 91, 99-164.
- Somarakis, S., & Nikolioudakis, N. (2010). What makes a late anchovy larva? The development of the caudal fin seen as a milestone in fish ontogeny. *Journal of Plankton Research*, 32, 317-326.
- Sponaugle, S., Lee, T., Kourafalou, V., & Pinkard, D. (2005). Florida Current frontal eddies and the settlement of coral reef fishes. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 50, 1033-1048.
- Thorrold, S. R., Jones, G. P., Hellberg, M. E., Burton, R. S., Swearer, S. E., Neigel, J. E., Morgan, S. G., & Warner, R. R. (2002). Quantifying larval retention and connectivity in marine populations with artificial and natural markers. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 70, 291-308.
- Towns, J., Cockerill, T., Dahan, M., Foster, I., Gaither, K., Grimshaw, A., Hazlewood, V., Lathrop, S., Lifka, D., Peterson, G. D., Roskies, R., Scott, J. R., Wilkins-Diehr, N. (2014), XSEDE: Accelerating scientific discovery. *Computing in Science and Engineering*, 16, 62– 74.
- Vukovich, F. M., Crissman, B. W., Bushnell, M., & King, W. J. (1979). Some aspects of the oceanography of the Gulf of Mexico using satellite and in situ data. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 84, 7749-7768.
- Walsh, J. J., Weisberg, R. H., Lenes, J. M., Chen, F. R., Dieterle, D. A., Zheng, L., Carder, K. L., Vargo, G. A., Havens, J. A., Peebles, E., Hollander, D. J., He, R., Heil, C. A., Mahmoudi, B., & Landsberg, J. H. (2009). Isotopic evidence for dead fish maintenance of Florida red tides, with implications for coastal fisheries over both source regions of the West Florida shelf and within downstream waters of the South Atlantic Bight. *Progress in Oceanography*, 80, 51-73.
- Ward, R. D., Hanner, R., & Hebert, P. D. N. (2009). The campaign to DNA barcode all fishes, FISH-BOL. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 74, 329-356.
- Weisberg, R. H., He, R., & Liu, Y. (2005). West Florida Shelf circulation on synoptic, seasonal, and interannual time scales. In W. Sturges and A. Lugo-Fernandez (Eds.), *Circulation in the Gulf of Mexico: Observations and Models, Volume 161*. (pp.325-347). American Geophysical Union. doi:10.1029/161GM23
- Weisberg, R., & Zheng, L. (2006). Circulation of Tampa Bay driven by buoyancy, tides, and winds, as simulated using a finite volume coastal ocean model. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 111. doi:10.1029/2005JC003067

- Weisberg, R. H., & He, R. (2003). Local and deep-ocean forcing contributions to anomalous water properties on the West Florida Shelf. Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans, 108. doi:10.1029/2002JC001407
- Weisberg, R. H., & Liu, Y. (2022). Local and deep-ocean forcing effects on the West Florida Continental Shelf circulation and ecology. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 9, 863227. doi:10.3389/fmars.2022.863227
- Weisberg, R. H., & Liu., Y. (2017). On the Loop Current penetration into the Gulf of Mexico. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 122, 9679-9694. doi:10.1002/2017JC013330
- Weisberg, R. H., Liu, Y., Lembke, C., Hu, C., Hubbard, K., & Garrett, M. (2019). The coastal ocean circulation influence on the 2018 West Florida Shelf K. brevis red tide bloom. Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans, 124, 2501-2512. doi:10.1029/2018JC014887
- Weisberg, R. H., Liu, Y., & Mayer, D. A. (2009). West Florida shelf mean circulation observed with long-term moorings. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36: L19610. doi:10.1029/2009GL040028
- Weisberg, R. H., Zheng, L., & Peebles, E. B. (2014). Gag grouper larvae pathways on the West Florida Shelf. *Continental Shelf Research*, 88, 11-23.
- Yang, H., Weisberg R. H., Niiler P. P., Sturges W., & Johnson, W. (1999). Lagrangian circulation and forbidden zone on the West Florida Shelf. *Continental Shelf Research*, 19, 1221-1245.
- Zatcoff, M.S., Ball, A.O. & Sedberry, G.R. (2004). Population genetic analysis of red grouper, *Epinephelus morio*, and scamp, *Mycteroperca phenax*, from the southeastern U.S. Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico. *Marine Biology*, 144, 769–777.
- Zheng, L., & Weisberg, R. H. (2012). Modeling the west Florida coastal ocean by downscaling from the deep ocean, across the continental shelf and into the estuaries. *Ocean Modelling*, 48, 10–29. doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2012.02.002

## CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

B. V. V. Nguyen, E. Peebles, R. Weisberg, and S. Murawski designed the study. M. Kerr and E-M Bønnelycke conducted the DNA barcoding of fish eggs under the guidance of M. Breitbart. B. V. V. Nguyen conducted the numerical modeling of egg trajectories under the guidance of Y. Liu and R. Weisberg. B. V. V. Nguyen interpreted data and prepared the first draft of the manuscript as part of her Master's thesis; E. Peebles edited the first draft to prepare it for journal publication. All authors except R. Weisberg, S. Murawski, and E-M Bønnelycke edited intermediate drafts. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support our findings are available from the corresponding author upon request.

# ORCID IDs

Bich Vi Viviane Nguyen 0009-0009-3804-7828 Yonggang Liu 0000-0002-0281-9349 Christopher D. Stallings 0000-0002-3410-4540 Mya Breitbart 0000-0003-3210-2899 Steven A. Murawski 0000-0001-7002-4356 Robert H. Weisberg 0000-0003-3303-0268 Makenzie Kerr 0000-0003-0519-6076 Eva-Maria S. Bønnelycke 0000-0002-6084-9378 Ernst B. Peebles 0000-0003-1256-2345