DR. TREVOR BRINGLOE (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-5976-6700)
MR. SAMUEL STARKO (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-9604-9188)
DR. CHRISTOPHE WILLIAM VIEIRA (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-5035-0426)
DR. KAREN FILBEE-DEXTER (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-8413-6797)
DR. HEROEN VERBRUGGEN (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-6305-4749)

Article type : Regular Article

Whole genome sequencing reveals forgotten lineages and recurrent hybridizations within the kelp genus *Alaria* (Phaeophyceae)[1]

Trevor T. Bringloe[2], *Dani Zaparenkov*
School of BioSciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville Campus, Victoria, Australia, 3010

Samuel Starko
Department of Biology, University of Victoria, Victoria, Canada V8W 2Y2

W. Stewart Grant
School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Juneau, Alaska, USA

Christophe Vieira, Hiroshi Kawai, Takeaki Hanyuda
Kobe University Research Center for Inland Seas, Kobe University, Rokkodai, Nada, Kobe, Japan

Karen Filbee-Dexter
School of Biological Sciences and UWA Oceans Institute, University of Western Australia, Australia

Anna Klimova, *Tatyana A. Klochkova*

Kamchatka State Technical University, Petropavlovsk-Kamchatsky, Russia, 683003

Dorte Krause-Jensen

Department of Bioscience, Aarhus University, Vejlsøvej 25, DK-8600 Silkeborg, Denmark,

Arctic Research Center , Aarhus University, Ny Munkegade 114, DK-8000 Arhus C, Denmark

Birgit Olesen

Department of Biology, Aarhus University, Ole Worms Allé 1, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

Heroen Verbruggen

School of BioSciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville Campus, Victoria, Australia, 3010

[2]Corresponding author: Trevor T. Bringloe, trevor.bringloe@unimelb.edu.au

Running title: Phylogenomics of *Alaria*

Editorial Responsibility: M. Coleman (Associate Editor)

ABSTRACT

The genomic era continues to revolutionize our understanding about the evolution of biodiversity. In phycology, emphasis remains on assembling nuclear and organellar genomes, leaving the full potential of genomic datasets to answer long standing questions about the evolution of biodiversity largely unexplored. Here, we used Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) datasets to survey species diversity in the kelp genus *Alaria*, compare phylogenetic signals across organellar and nuclear genomes, and specifically test whether phylogenies behave like trees or networks. Genomes were sequenced from across the global distribution of *Alaria* (including *Alaria crassifolia*, *A. praelonga*, *A. crispa*, *A. marginata*, and *A. esculenta*), representing over 550 GB of data and over 2.2 billion paired reads. Genomic datasets retrieved 3,814 and 4,536 Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) for mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes, respectively, and upwards of 148,542 high quality nuclear SNPs. WGS revealed an Arctic lineage of *Alaria*, which we hypothesize represents the synonymized taxon *A. grandifolia*. The SNP datasets also revealed inconsistent topologies across genomic compartments, and

hybridization (i.e. phylogenetic networks) between Pacific *A. praelonga*, *A. crispa*, and putative *A. grandifolia*, and between some lineages of the *A. marginata* complex. Our analysis demonstrates the potential for WGS data to advance our understanding of evolution and biodiversity beyond amplicon sequencing, and that hybridization is potentially an important mechanism contributing to novel lineages within *Alaria*. We also emphasize the importance of surveying phylogenetic signal across organellar and nuclear genomes, such that models of mixed ancestry become integrated into our evolutionary and taxonomic understanding.

Key index words: Arctic; chloroplast; high-throughput sequencing; mitochondrial; nuclear; shotgun sequencing; ribbon kelp

Abbreviations: SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism, WGS, whole genome sequencing, Mbp, million base pairs

INTRODUCTION

Genomic datasets are ushering in a new era of evolutionary analyses for phycologists (Oliveira et al. 2018). These datasets promise to reveal exceptional insights into the distribution of biodiversity across marine environments, the ways species and populations are related, and functional genomic aspects underpinning evolutionary processes ranging from major transitions (e.g., multicellularity, endosymbiosis; Cock et al. 2010) to the capacity for adaptation under advanced climate change (Wood et al. 2021). Estimates of biodiversity and phylogenetic relationships among algal taxa are now commonly validated through amplicon sequencing (e.g., DNA barcoding; Saunders et al. 2005), which serves as a proxy for genomic evolution. Several options, however, now exist to move past these proxies. Microsatellites are commonly employed in tandem with DNA barcoding of organellar markers as a means to fetch genetic information from the nuclear genome (e.g., Neiva et al. 2018, Grant and Bringloe 2020). Restriction-site associated DNA sequencing (RADseq) has proven a significant step forward in improving the resolution of genetic datasets (i.e., 1000s of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms, SNPs), particularly in non-model organisms for which a reference genome remains unavailable (e.g., Kobayashi et al. 2018, Guzinski et al. 2020, Le Cam et al. 2020, Moa et al. 2020, Reynes et al. 2021). Showcasing the power of genome scale genotyping, Flanagan et al. (2021) used >62k

SNPs to pinpoint the source of global introductions of *Agarophyton vermiculophyllum* to a ~50 km section of coastline in Japan.

Whole genome sequencing (WGS), the comprehensive analysis of all genomic information in a given sample, is quickly emerging as the next logical step for genetic analyses in the field of phycology (Bringloe et al. 2020a). Emphasis of WGS, however, has remained on sequencing and functional analysis of genomes (e.g., Cock et al. 2010, Ye et al. 2015, Lipinkska et al. 2019, Shan et al. 2020), with few studies only recently moving beyond this aim. For instance, Jenkins et al. (2021) applied WGS to the study of genetic diversity in Northeast Atlantic maerl-bed species, which was used to debunk putative introgression between species and showcase substantial genetic differentiation even among adjacent populations. Graf et al. (2021) also used a whole genome approach in the kelp *Undaria pinnatifida* to distinguish cultivated, natural, and globally introduced populations. Bringloe et al. (2021) used WGS to survey epi-endobiont diversity of kelp and infer the novel discovery of a parasitic brown alga. The versatility of WGS datasets to answer a wide variety of phycological questions, ranging from the assembly of genomes to population genomics to biodiversity surveys, further justifies this approach as the future standard for genetic analyses.

Alaria is a genus of kelp (Laminariales, Phaeophyceae) found in Arctic to temperate waters of the Northern Hemisphere, with its greatest species diversity in the Northwest Pacific. Its life history alternates between haploid microscopic gametophytes and diploid macroscopic sporophytes, the latter of which forms crucial marine habitat (Bringloe et al. 2020a). *Alaria* is also a genus of interest for aquaculture, with *Alaria esculenta* cultivated in the Atlantic, and *Alaria marginata* now being cultivated in the Northeast Pacific (Stekoll 2019, Kraan 2020). *Alaria* is the second largest genus in Laminariales and is included in one of four families (Alariaceae) in this order. More than 108 species names have been proposed to classify diversity within the genus, reflecting a wide range of morphologies, plastic features, and ultimately taxonomic confusion (Widdowson 1971, Kraan 2020). Adding to taxonomic complications, inbreeding experiments have established successful crosses between *Alaria* and sometimes distantly related, even interfamilial species, indicating reproductive barriers are permeable (Kraan and Guiry 2000, Liptack and Druehl 2000). Hybridization studies, in concert with DNA barcoding efforts, have thus reduced the number of proposed *Alaria* species to eight, of which

Alaria angusta and *Alaria ochotensis* have yet to be verified with molecular data (Fig 1; Lane et al. 2007, Kraan 2020).

Confusion surrounding diversity within *Alaria* has persisted despite extensive efforts to DNA barcode species. The first comprehensive DNA barcoding survey of the genus was conducted by Lane et al. (2007), who employed the partial mitochondrial gene cytochrome *c* oxidase I (*cox*I-5P), the nuclear internal transcribed spacer (ITS) of the ribosomal cistron, and the chloroplast RuBisCO operon spacer (*rbc*Sp) to assess species' delineations recognized on the basis of morphology. Given morphological species intermixed throughout genetic groups, the now synonymized species *A. nana*, *A. taeniata*, and *A. tenuifolia* were folded into *A. marginata* (Lane et al. 2007). Lane et al. (2007) hypothesized genetic patterns in the Northeast Pacific were driven by past isolation during Pleistocene glaciation events, allowing nuclear and mitochondrial genomes to diverge before recombining during the current day interstitial period, a hypothesis further validated with larger surveys of organellar and microsatellite DNA in the Gulf of Alaska (Grant and Bringloe 2020). On the basis of ITS data, Lane et al. (2007) further proposed an "*A. esculenta*" clade that included *A. esculenta*, *A. crispa*, *A. praelonga*, and *A. crassifolia*; however, species statuses remained in effect given support from organellar markers. The taxonomic standing of *A. crispa* and *A. esculenta* as separate species remains supported by morphological and molecular investigations, but has been debated in recent years (Klimova et al. 2018a, Bringloe and Saunders 2019).

Here we take a genomic approach to understanding species diversity in the genus *Alaria*. Our main objective was to clarify the nature of phylogenetic relationships within *Alaria* using WGS data. Specifically, we: 1) investigated evolutionary scenarios within the genus by comparing phylogenetic results across genomic compartments (i.e., mitochondrial, chloroplast and nuclear SNP datasets), testing the assumption that species relationships behave in a tree-like manner, and generating time-calibrated organellar phylogenies, and 2) considered whether phylogenetic results based on standard DNA barcode markers faithfully reproduce patterns detected in fully resolved genomes. Though our objectives have implications for cladistics, we did not formally pursue taxonomic revisions. Rather, we sought to provide a new framework for understanding diversity and phylogenetic relationships in *Alaria* by enhancing the resolution of

genetic datasets, thus clarifying some of the confusion that has persisted despite decades of experimental and molecular research within the genus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Whole genome sequencing and SNP-calling

Sporophyte tissue of *Alaria* was collected from Kamchatka (Russia), the Gulf of Alaska (Sand Dollar Beach, Sand Point [SDB]; Kayak Beach, Kachemak Bay [KB]; Homer Spit, Kachemak Bay [HS]; Lowell Point, Seward [LP]; Halibut Point, Sitka [HP], British Columbia (Vancouver Island, Canada [BC]), the eastern Canadian Arctic (Eclipse Sound, Nunavut), Southwest Greenland, the Bay of Fundy (Atlantic Canada), and northern Norway (Troms; Table 1, Fig. 1). Gametophyte samples were additionally sourced from the Kobe University Macroalgal Culture Collection (KU-MACC). Total genomic DNA was extracted using either a modified CTAB protocol (Cremen et al. 2016) or a QIAGEN DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Extracted DNA was sent to either GENEWIZ (Suzhou, China), where libraries were generated using the Illumina VAHTS Universal DNA Library prep kit and protocols, and sequenced on the NovaSeq System (paired-end, 150 bp reads, ~15–30 Gb of data/specimen), or BGI (Hong Kong), where libraries were generated using standard BGI protocols, and sequenced on the DNBSEQ-G400 platform (paired-end, 150 bp reads, ~20 Gb of data/specimen, except in gametophyte culture samples [KU-791, KU-793, KU-1164, KU-3288] wherein 50 GB of data · specimen^{-1} were generated). In total, five putative species of *Alaria* were sequenced, including *Alaria crassifolia* (n = 1), *Alaria praelonga* (n = 1), *Alaria crispa* (n = 4; considered *Alaria esculenta* sensu lato by some authors; Klochkova et al. 2019), *Alaria marginata* (n = 7), Arctic *Alaria* (n = 5), and *Alaria esculenta* (n = 4; Table 1), representing over 550 GB of data and over 2.2 billion paired reads. Note, specimens initially identified as *A. esculenta* collected from Baffin Island, Nunavut, Canada, are referred to as Arctic *Alaria* throughout the text. Prior to analysis, all reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic v.11.0.2 (Bolger et al. 2014), with a hard trim of the first 15 bp, trimming bases with a quality score below 10 from the 3' end, and keeping reads with an average read quality score of 20 and minimum length of 75 bp.

Organellar genomes were de novo assembled for all samples using default settings in NOVOPlasty v.4.2 (Dierckxsens et al. 2017). Read coverage typically exceeded 1000x for the organelles. SNPs for the organellar genomes were called by aligning organellar genomes using the MAUVE alignment function (Darling et al. 2004) in Geneious Prime v.2019.2.3 (Kearse et al. 2012).

Reference nuclear scaffolds for read-mapping were generated from *Alaria esculenta* (KU-791) using SPAdes v.3.13.0 with specified k-mer values of 21, 33, 55, 77 (Nurk et al. 2013). The assembly contained 438.3 Mbp in 508,190 scaffolds, with a maximum scaffold size of 1.28 Mbp, N50 of 5,122 bp, L50 of 20,285, and peak read coverage of ~60x (Fig. S1 in the Supporting Information). The genome size of *A. esculenta* is reported to be 612.5 Mbp (Kapraun 2005), a typical size reported in other kelp (e.g., Ye et al. 2015, Shan et al. 2020). Note also that repeat regions are abundant in brown algae, accounting for 54% of the whole genome in *Undaria pinnatifida*, which is of the same family as *Alaria* (Alariaceae). Given the limitation of short-read data, these portions of the *A. esculenta* genome cannot be accurately represented in our draft assembly. 429,062 Scaffolds <1000 bp were removed from the assembly. Kraken2 v.2.0.8 (Wood and Salzberg 2014) was used to classify and remove bacterial and human scaffolds using the standard Kraken2 database (i.e., --unclassified-out flag was used), which includes complete genomes for bacteria, archaea, viruses, and humans, along with known vectors (built March 18th, 2019), resulting in another 49,514 scaffolds removed. Finally, 1,751 scaffolds with >60 kmer coverage (~140x read coverage) were removed to ensure organellar sequences and high repeat regions were not present in the reference nuclear scaffolds. The final reference contained 83.5 Mbp in 27,462 scaffolds, with a maximum scaffold size of 56,189 bp, N50 of 3,854 bp, and L50 of 5,988. Note, our strategy was to conservatively retain high confidence reference scaffolds for read mapping, rather than assemble a relatively contiguous draft genome of *A. esculenta*. The final scaffolds used for read mapping can be assessed via Figshare (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14740959.v1).

To call nuclear SNPs, reads for each specimen were mapped to the reference nuclear scaffolds for KU-791 described above. This was done using Bowtie2 v.2.3.4 (Langmead and Salzberg 2012), and using 10% divergence threshold for mapping reads. SAM files were then converted to BAM format and sorted using SAMtools v.1.9 (Li et al. 2009). BCFtools v1.9 (Danacek et al. 2021) was used to compile all the sorted BAM files into VCF format, call SNPs,

and filter according to the following criteria: heterozygous SNPs with allelic balance >5 or <0.2 were discarded; SNPs with a quality score of ≥30 (i.e., 1/1000 chance of a calling error) were kept; SNPs with a minimal read depth of 15 and a maximal read depth of 100 were kept (roughly twice the mean coverage in our gametophyte samples for which more sequencing was conducted); SNP sites passing the filtering criteria in all specimens were kept (i.e. no missingness). A combination of BCFtools and VCFtools v.0.1.16 (Danecek et al. 2011) were used to filter the SNPs. First, the +setGT plugin in BCFtools was used to change SNP calls to missing according to the allelic balance and read depth criteria. Filtering commands in VCFtools were then used to remove sites with low quality scores and missing data. Indels were not kept for analysis. The filtering parameters kept 148,542 SNPs. The nuclear SNPs were then pruned for loci in linkage disequilibrium (LD) using PLINK v.1.9 (Purcell et al. 2007), such that sites with an r^2 value exceeding 0.1 were removed using a 50 variant count sliding window. Our intent was to thin sites as a means to minimize LD, compensating for the fragmented nature of the nuclear scaffolds which prevented analysis across large genetic distances. The nuclear dataset used for ADMIXTURE analysis (Alexander and Lange 2011) consisted of 24,242 SNPs. In order to produce a rooted nuclear SNP tree, a SNP dataset including *Undaria pinnatifida* was also generated. This dataset was generated using the same methods described above, except using a threshold of 20% for read mapping to the *U. pinnatifida* genome, filtering to remove SNPs from low complexity and repeat regions, and filtering for a minor allele frequency of 0.03 to retain phylogenetically informative SNPs. The final dataset consisted of 21,614 SNPs, of which 2,255 were phylogenetically informative (i.e. variable) within *Alaria* and used for phylogenetic analysis. All the commands described above are provided in the supplemental material (Appendix S1 in the Supporting Information). All SNP datasets, raw and filtered and in VCF and fasta formats, are available in Figshare (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14740959.v1).

Phylogenetic analysis and hybridization analyses

Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic trees were built for each organellar genome, using *Undaria pinnatifida* as an outgroup in order to root the trees. Mitochondrial *cox*1 and chloroplast *rbc*L trees were additionally generated, as these represent standard DNA barcodes (i.e., amplicon level resolution). Organellar genomes were aligned using the Mauve alignment method in Geneious Prime v.2021.1.1 (Darling et al. 2004, Kearse et al. 2012). RAxML trees of the organellar

markers/genomes were also produced in Geneious using a GTR GAMMA substitution model (Stakatakis 2014); gene trees were partitioned according to codon position. The nuclear SNP dataset (without *Undaria*, and prior to LD pruning) was visualized as an uncorrected distance phylogenetic network. A network approach had the advantage of not forcing tree-like topologies and additionally helped to visualize any uncertainty or shared genetic information among species (i.e., spread out edges in the networks). In order to visualize nuclear SNPs as a network, the vcf file was converted to fasta format using PGDSpider v.2.1.1.5 (Lischer and Excoffier 2012) before importing into SplitsTree v.5.2.24 (Huson and Bryant 2006). A Maximum-likelihood tree of the nuclear SNPs was also produced using a GTR substitution model in Geneious, both for the pruned dataset to accompany the ADMIXTURE analysis (24,242 SNPs), the SNPs dataset containing *U. pinnatifida* (2,255 SNPs), and the full SNP dataset of just *Alaria* (148,542 SNPs). Results from the analysis with *Undaria* were used to root the ML tree of 148,542 SNPs. A phylogenetic network was also generated from the dataset after pruning for LD (Fig. S2 in the Supporting Information).

To test for signatures of mixed ancestry within species, the pruned dataset, consisting of 24,242 SNPs, was analysed using ADMIXTURE at k values 2–6. Loglikelihood values and 5-fold cross-validation error at the various values of k are provided in Figure S3 in the Supporting Information. The output was visualized as barplots in R. A PCA of the pruned SNP dataset was also generated in R using the output from PLINK in order to inform outgroup positions in the nuclear phylogeny (Fig. S4 in the Supporting Information). The pruned nuclear SNP dataset was further assessed for signs of hybridization among species using Dsuite v.0.4 (Malinsky et al. 2021). Specifically, D (ABBA-BABA) and f_4-ratios were calculated for trios of *Alaria*. The D statistic measures the number of shared alleles between the specified trios, and investigates departures of shared allele frequencies expected solely from incomplete lineage sorting; put differently, D measures the "tree-likeness" of a phylogeny. Given species P1, P2, and P3, with known relationships ((P1, P2) P3), P3 is expected to randomly sort with P1 and P2 at the same frequency (i.e., incomplete lineage sorting). Departures in the sorting frequencies therefore indicate migration or hybridization of genetic information (depending whether the test is conducted at the population or species level). Departures from $D = 0$ are assessed by jackknifing the dataset into 20 blocks; the range in D values obtained is used to calculate its standard error,

which is divided into the overall *D* statistic to produce the Z-score (Z-cores >2-3 are typically considered significant).

Two hybridization analyses were conducted, one to assess hybridization in the "*Alaria esculenta* complex" and one to assess in the "*Alaria marginata* complex," as per Lane et al. (2007). The *A. esculenta* complex comprised *A. praelonga*, *A. crispa*, Arctic *Alaria*, and *A. esculenta*. Based on the nuclear SNP results, three *A. marginata* lineages were identified for the Dsuite analysis: the specimen from British Columbia (Canada); two specimens midway between British Columbia and the Eastern Aleutian Islands, comprising HP and LP; and three specimens farther along the Gulf of Alaska, and into the Aleutian Islands, comprising HS, KB and SDB (Fig. 1). The p-value threshold for the analysis of the *A. esculenta* complex was corrected for the four tests performed, setting alpha to 0.0125. Note that, in the analysis of the *A. esculenta* complex, data for *A. praelonga* was from a haploid specimen, which had the potential to bias allele frequencies in this species. Though an important caveat, we expected the impact on allele frequencies to be minimal given the analysis was performed at the species level (i.e., most variants were likely to represent fixed differences, as in homozygous alleles).

Time calibrated organellar phylogenies

To infer the timing of diversification and putative hybridization events, we produced two separate time-calibrated phylogenies based on mitochondrial and chloroplast gene sets, respectively (n = 8 gene per organelle; Mitochondrion: *atp6, atp8, atp9, cox2, cox3, nad2, nad3, rpl2, rps2*; Chloroplast: *atpA, atpB, danB, petA, psaA, psaB, psbA, rbcL*). We included species from across the Laminariales-Chordales clade for which organellar genomes were available (or sequenced in the present study) totalling to 40 taxa for the mitochondrial analysis and 33 taxa for the chloroplast analysis. To preserve well-described relationships inferred from genome-level datasets of each organelle (Starko et al. 2019), we conducted phylogenetic reconstruction in RaxML v.8.2.12 using a constraint tree at the level of genus. Support for intrageneric relationships was calculated during the RaxML analysis by conducting 500 bootstrap replicates. We conducted an initial time-calibration using penalized-likelihood implemented in the "chronos" package in R (Vrahatis et al. 2016). The resulting tree was then used as a constraint tree for molecular clock analysis conducted in BEAST 1.10.4 by de-selecting the tree topology operators in BEAUTI 1.10.4 (Drummond et al. 2012). BEAST analyses were run for 5,000,000

iterations and all ESS values were >200, indicating convergence. A single, log-normal time-calibration (minimal age 13My) was used based on the Monterey Bay Miocene Deposits which includes the only reliable kelp fossil dated to 13MY ago and believed to be an ancestor to the 'giant kelp' lineage (*Macrocystis, Nereocystis, Pelagophycus, Postelsia*).

RESULTS

WGS datasets were applied to the kelp *Alaria* in order to make evolutionary inferences and better understand the nature of phylogenetic relationships within the genus. Across species of *Alaria,* the number of SNPs in fully resolved organellar genomes was two orders of magnitude greater than the number of SNPs resolved in standard DNA barcode markers. The 658 bp fragment of *cox*I-5P featured 47 SNPs, with a maximal divergence between sequences of 4.41%, while the fully resolved mitochondrial genomes featured 3,814 SNPs in ca. 39k bp and a maximal divergence between genomes of 4.84% (Fig. 2). The topology of the *cox*I phylogenetic tree faithfully reflected the topology of the full mitochondrial genomes, with larger bootstrap values in the full mitochondrial tree (Fig. 2). Meanwhile, *rbc*L featured 22 SNPs in 1,467 bp and maximal divergence between sequences of 0.87%, whereas the fully resolved chloroplast genomes featured 4,536 SNPs in ca. 130k bp and maximal divergence between genomes of 1.85% (Fig. 2). The *rbc*L tree, however, failed to meaningfully produce relationships reflected in the full chloroplast tree, and even placed Arctic and Atlantic *Alaria* as non-sister taxa (Fig. 2). The *rbc*L tree topology featured low bootstrap values, in contrast to the full chloroplast genome tree, which consistently displayed full node support (Fig. 2). The ML tree of nuclear SNPs similarly displayed nearly perfect bootstrap support, with the exception of a few intraspecific nodes (Fig. 3). Conflicting topologies, however, were captured in the network of nuclear SNPs, as evidenced by edges representing shared genetic information across lineages (Fig. 3).

The full organellar phylogenies and nuclear SNP network revealed lineages not present in the DNA barcode data, most notably an Arctic lineage of *Alaria* collected from Nunavut, Canada, previously collected as *A. esculenta* (but referred to as Arctic *Alaria* in this study; Figs. 1, 2, 3). Several *A. marginata* lineages were also confirmed, in particular, mitochondrial genomes differentiated British Columbia (Canada) and more southern California (USA) specimens, and full chloroplast genomes further reflected lineages not present in the *rbc*L data (Fig. 2).

Topologies of the phylogenetic trees differed according to the genome being considered. In particular, *Alaria crispa* was either closely related to *A. praelonga* or *A. esculenta* in the mitochondrial phylogeny, but always closely related to Arctic *Alaria* in the chloroplast phylogeny (Fig. 2). Lineages in the *A. marginata* complex of the mitochondrial genome tree were inconsistent with the lineages in the chloroplast genome tree. In particular, specimens from HP and LP (midway through the Gulf of Alaska; Fig. 1) formed a clade with the more western HS specimen in the mitochondrial tree, but formed a clade with southern specimens BC and Ca in the chloroplast tree (Fig 2). Specimens from SDB and HS (Aleutian Islands and Gulf of Alaska), also formed separate lineages in the mitochondrial tree, but were closely related in the chloroplast tree. Phylogenetic placement of earlier diverging species also differed across genomic compartments; while *A. praelonga*/*crispa* and *A. marginata* diverged from other species in the mitochondrial and chloroplast trees, respectively, the nuclear SNP analysis with *Undaria pinnatifida* as the root taxa supported *A. crassifolia* as the earliest diverging species (also supported by PCA, Fig. S4). In the phylogenetic network, *A. crassifolia*, *A. praelonga*, *A. crispa*, Arctic *Alaria*, and *A. esculenta* variously shared edges (Fig. 3). Note that a nearly identical network was produced from the LD pruned SNP dataset (Fig. S2). The *A. marginata* complex similarly displayed edges shared among specimens sampled from mid-way through the Gulf of Alaska and specimens sampled farther west and into the Eastern Aleutian Islands (SDB, HS, KB) and British Columbia (BC; Fig. 3).

ADMIXTURE results indicated various levels of shared ancestry at all the k values tested (Fig. 4). In particular, mixed ancestry in *Alaria crispa* and *A. praelonga* was evident when considering two ancestral populations ($k = 2$). One specimen of *A. crispa* showed mixed ancestry across all values of k, with some ancestry derived from Arctic *Alaria* (Fig. 4). Cross-validation error showed strongest support for four ancestral populations ($k = 4$), while the loglikelihood increased consistently with larger values of k (Fig. S3). Dsuite confirmed significant hybridization (large D values) across *A. esculenta*, Arctic *Alaria*, *A. crispa*, and *A. praelonga*, and admixture proportions (*f4*-ratios) as large as 0.56 between Arctic *Alaria* and *A. crispa* (Table 2). The *A. marginata* complex similarly displayed significantly elevated hybridization values across three putative lineages in the nuclear network (Fig. 3). Admixture proportions (*f4*-ratio) were high (0.474) between western specimens (SDB, HS, KB) and populations located midway in the Gulf of Alaska (HP and LP; Fig. 1).

Molecular clock analyses conducted separately on each organelle (n = 8 genes per compartment) provided similar timelines for the diversification and hybridization within *Alaria*. Both chlorplast and mitochondrial trees (Fig. 5) suggest an initial diversification of the genus some time between ~3.5-6 mya. Incongruencies between tree topologies appear to have manifested as early as this initial diversification. Other discrepancies between trees, however, appear to largely fall out more recently, consistent with a hypothesis of hybridization during the Pleistocene.

DISCUSSION

Our main objective was to apply WGS datasets to the kelp genus *Alaria* to clarify the nature of phylogenetic relationships and infer evolutionary events, and on this basis showcase the strength of the WGS approach. We show that WGS vastly improved phylogenetic understanding compared to amplicon data, that an Arctic lineage had been overlooked by DNA barcoding efforts, and that hybridization is an important mechanism contributing to novel lineages across the genus. Thus, the paradigm that speciation is tree-like within the genus, and indeed potentially other kelp, must be replaced with a model that recognizes the fundamental importance of mixed ancestry. As far as we know, this is the first genus-wide assessment of diversity based on WGS datasets in a macroalga, and the first to resolve organellar and nuclear SNP variants at this scale (>148k SNPs) in a genus of kelp.

WGS reveals unique Arctic lineage

Among the surprising findings revealed by the phylogenies based on WGS was a unique Arctic lineage of *Alaria* (i.e., Arctic *Alaria;* Figs. 2, 3). This lineage was admittedly present in DNA barcode data, however, being only a couple of mutational steps from *A. esculenta* in *cox*I, it was previously assumed Arctic populations represented conspecifics (Fig. 1). A similar pattern of closely related *cox*I phylogroups has also been reported in *Saccharina* (Neiva et al. 2018). We hypothesize the lineage corresponding to Arctic *Alaria* represents *Alaria grandifolia*, a synonymized taxon originally characterized by a notably long stipe and sporophylls, large blades (length and width), and an affinity to grow in deep, cold waters, sometimes in locations where *A.*

esculenta is present at shallower depth (Edelstein et al. 1967). Though debate persisted whether to consider *A. grandifolia* a subspecies or a larger, deep-water ecotype of *A. esculenta*, Widdowson (1971) and Edelstein et al. (1967) concluded they were separate species. These debates continued until Kraan et al. (2001) demonstrated that purported specimens of *Alaria grandifolia* collected from Spitsbergen (its type locality) readily interbred with *A. esculenta* collected from Ireland (interestingly, the broad form was always produced from these crosses). Furthermore, since *rbc*L, RuBisCo spacer, and *rbc*S amplicons did not differentiate specimens of *A. grandifolia* from *A. esculenta* from Canada, *A. grandifolia* was folded into *A. esculenta* (Kraan et al. 2001).

Our results resurrect the debate on whether *Alaria grandifolia* should be reinstated. First hybridization among species, as discussed here and in other work (Kraan and Guiry 2000), indicates reproductive isolation is not a consistent proxy for species boundaries in *Alaria*. Second, though organellar genomes were modestly differentiated in the specimens of Arctic *Alaria*, nuclear SNPs showcased deep divergence between Atlantic and Arctic specimens of *Alaria* (Fig. 3), as might be expected from selective forces driving a deep-water, Arctic lineage. The morphology and life history of *A. grandifolia* are well-suited for the Arctic environment, in particular, broad blades capture limited sunlight in deep, often turbid, waters during a short growing season, and growth at depth avoids ice-scour in the Arctic. Though beyond the scope of the current study, we hypothesize that these features are reflected in the underlying genome of Arctic *Alaria*. An interesting analogue system is the endolithic green alga *Ostreobium*, whose genome clearly exhibits an expansion of light harvesting proteins and loss of photoprotective and photoreceptor genes, presumed adaptations to low and variable light environments (Iha et al. 2021).

Linking the Arctic lineage of *Alaria* to *A. grandifolia* can be established by generating WGS data from Spitsbergen (Svalbard), the type locality of *A. grandifolia*. A transition zone between Arctic and temperate *Alaria* is expected, where *A. esculenta* is likely phased out at high latitudes due to ice scour. As such, future efforts should collect across the extremes of depths where *Alaria* is expected to occur, to confirm whether both putative *A. grandifolia* and *A. esculenta* are present. Note that *A. grandifolia* is also not likely confined to the Arctic. Edelstein et al. (1967) report a deep water population in Nova Scotia (Canada), which the authors associated with characteristic Arctic flora at that location (including *Agarum*, *Laminaria* spp.,

418 *Desmarestia* spp., *Ptilota*, and *Phycodrys*; see also Wilce 2016, and Bringloe et al. 2020b for
419 characteristic Arctic flora). Crucially, we note that Edelstein (1967) indicated the lower depth
420 limit of *A. esculenta* was 8 m, whereas specimens of *A. grandifolia* were collected from 12–19
421 m. Kraan et al. (2010) collected specimens on Spitsbergen from 5–10 m, possibly missing *A.*
422 *grandifolia* (instead collecting *A. esculenta*). Interestingly, Lüning (1990) recognized *A. pylaiei*
423 (Bory) Greville, another traditionally recognized Atlantic species, and *A. grandifolia* as northern
424 forms of *A. esculenta*, while Widdowson (1971) suggested that *A. grandifolia* was a large Arctic
425 form of *A. esculenta*. Note that *A. pylaiei* (type locality in Newfoundland, Canada) outranks *A.*
426 *grandifolia* for taxonomic priority if both names can be linked to the Arctic lineage. In sum,
427 WGS evidence points to *A. grandifolia* as a distinct species, adapted to deep, cold water
428 environments throughout the Arctic and North Atlantic. Unfortunately, some populations from
429 the southern range of purported *A. grandifolia* may already be extirpated, as Arctic conditions
430 contract northward (Assis et al. 2018).
431
432 *Recurrent hybridizations in* Alaria
433 Signatures of hybridization were present throughout species of *Alaria*. The most compelling case
434 was *A. crispa*, wherein its positions in organellar genome phylogenies were incongruent with
435 nuclear genomic phylogenies (Figs. 2, 3). In particular, *A. crispa* featured two distinct
436 mitochondrial genomes in the four specimens sequenced, one closely related to *A. praelonga*, the
437 other closely related to *A. esculenta* (Fig. 2). Meanwhile, the chloroplast genome was a
438 consistent close match to Arctic *Alaria* (Fig. 2). Altogether, these results suggest organellar
439 capture occurred repeatedly in *A. crispa*, a conclusion further supported by the nuclear network
440 and ADMIXTURE results that showed *A. crispa* shares phylogenetic signal with *A. praelonga*
441 and Arctic *Alaria*. (Figs. 3, 4). Dsuite results ruled out incomplete lineage sorting (Table 2),
442 suggesting vertical evolution followed by horizontal transfers (i.e. hybridizations) led to sharing
443 of nuclear genomic information.
444 Hybridization early in the evolution of *Alaria* were potentially formative events leading
445 to novel lineages. The nuclear network showcased edges representing shared nuclear SNPs
446 between *A. praelonga*, *A. crispa*, and Arctic *Alaria,* and ADMIXTURE results indicating mixing
447 in *A. crispa* and *A. praelonga* when considering two ancestral populations ($k = 2$; Fig. 4). Earlier
448 hybridization and organellar capture events may also have led to different node topology deeper

in the respective trees. While mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes supported *A. praelonga*/*crispa* and *A. marginata* as the earliest diverging lineages, respectively, the nuclear SNP analysis confidently placed *A. crassifolia* as the earliest diverging species (Figs. 2, 3). We hypothesize that hybridization occurred early in the evolution of *Alaria*, potentially between Arctic/Atlantic and Pacific lineages, leading to a mixed ancestry lineage that would eventually differentiate into *A. praelonga*, *A. crispa* and Arctic *Alaria*, followed by hybridization and organellar capture during the early Pleistocene among these later evolving species (Fig. 3). Specimen A1, in particular, appeared to be the result of recent mixing between *A. crispa* and Arctic *Alaria*, with back-crossing with nearby *A. crispa* populations likely washing out some of the genetic signature originally derived from Arctic *Alaria* (Figs. 2, 3). It is worth noting the contemporary distributions of each species (Fig. 1) matches the patterns of hybridization described here, in that *A. crispa* is distributed midway between temperate Pacific *A. praelonga* and Arctic *Alaria*. The possibility of unsampled ghost species/populations, however, should not be overlooked. Nonetheless, these results shed new light on previous morphological and molecular work that often conflated these species (Lane et al. 2007; Klimova et al. 2018a, Bringloe and Saunders 2019).

Similar results were also observed in *Alaria marginata*. In particular, HP and LP specimens, sampled midway through the Gulf of Alaska (Fig. 1), were sister to northern specimen HS in the mitochondrial genome tree, but sister to southerly derived specimens (BC and Ca) in the chloroplast genome tree (Fig. 2). Meanwhile, the nuclear network indicated shared edges in HP and LP with two seemingly distinct lineages, SDB, HS, and KB in the north, and BC and Ca in the south (Figs. 1, 3). No strategy was employed during collections to link the putative lineages to previously recognized morphological species *A. nana*, *A. taeniata*, and *A. tenuifolia*, though the substantial number of fixed SNP differences between lineages (for instance, compared to populations of *A. esculenta* in the North Atlantic; Fig. 3) suggest our results could potentially be linked to some of these previously recognized species. It is worth noting that all the *cox*I-5P lineages reported by Grant and Bringloe (2020) were recovered here, indicating most of the major genetic lineages of *A. marginata* ought to be represented here in the WGS datasets.

Our results suggest that north (Eastern Aleutian Islands) and south (California, USA; British Columbia, Canada) lineages have come into secondary contact midway through the Gulf of Alaska, as evidenced by organellar capture (Fig. 2), shared edges in LP and HP specimens

(Fig. 3), and significant *D* results (Table 2). Hybridizations between northern and southern populations took place in the early origins of the lineages in the *A. marginata* complex and have subsequently been affected by several glacial cycles in the Pleistocene (Fig. 5). These hybridizations between divergent northern and southern populations likely indicate the appearance of a geographic barrier to migration in the early Pleistocene. Contemporary genetic divergences between groups of coastal fishes (Withler et al. 2001), invertebrate (Sunday et al. 2014, Xuereb et al. 2018), and algal (Lindstrom 2006, 2009) populations in the northeastern Pacific has been attributed to a dispersal barrier produced by the North Pacific Current bifurcation into a north-flowing Alaska Coastal Current and south-flowing California Current (Cumins and Freeland 2007). Little is known, however, of the history of the bifurcation, its location, or the strength of diverging current systems over the Pleistocene. Nevertheless, southeast Alaska and northern British Columbia mark a biogeographic transition between the Gulf of Alaska ecoregion and the North American Pacific Fjordland (Spalding et al. 2007). Sequencing of more specimens and population genomic analyses are needed to further explore the geographic extent, timings of hybridizations, and level of reproductive isolation among *A. marginata* lineages.

A key question remains of how *Alaria* genomes hybridize. Chromosome numbers in *Alaria* suggest aneuploidy may be a significant barrier to hybridization. Species in the Northeast Pacific have a haploid chromosome number of 14, as does *A. crispa* (Klimova et al. 2017), whereas *A. praelonga* and *A. crassifolia* have a haploid number of 22 (reviewed by Kraan 2020). Robinson and Cole (1971) curiously report a haploid number of 24 for specimens they identified as *A. grandifolia* from Coronation Island (southern tip of the Gulf of Alaska, USA, a location the authors noted did not match the known distribution of *A. grandifolia* at the time; see also Robinson 1967). Meanwhile *A. esculenta* is reported to have a haploid number of 28. Feller-Demalsy and Demalsy (1974), however, reported a haploid number of 14 in specimens from Atlantic Canada (St.-Laurent Estuary), and hypothesized Great Britain populations represented polyploids. Despite such differences in chromosome numbers, Kraan and Guiry (2000) made reciprocal crosses between *A. esculenta* populations and produced healthy sporophytes with sporophylls between reciprocal crosses of *A. praelonga* and *A. esculenta* (Irish populations), and between female *A. tenuifolia* and male *A. esculenta* (parthogenophytes were ruled out). Reciprocal crosses between *A. marginata* and *A. nana*, however, did not produce sporophytes. In

sum, reproductive barriers appear to exist between some closely related species of *Alaria*, while these barriers are weakened between more distantly related species. Allopolyploidy followed by genome reductions may explain incompatible chromosome numbers among *Alaria* species. Interrogating the genomic data for signatures of gene duplications may shed light on the role of polyploidy in facilitating hybridizations and whether other mechanisms to achieve euploidy are at play.

Hybridization between species of kelp has long been the topic of phycological investigation, with intergeneric hybridizations even considered by Lewis and Neushul (1995). Druehl et al. (2005) demonstrated that molecular confirmation of parental genomes is necessary for putative hybrids as abnormal morphotypes proved an unreliable proxy, and that many of the crossings originally hypothesized in wild populations could not be confirmed. Hybridization is nonetheless expected to be common among species of kelp. For example, lamoxirene is a female pheromone used in kelps that indiscriminately induces sperm release and attraction to eggs (Maier and Muller 1986). As such, post-zygotic barriers to reproduction are expected to play a dominant role in maintaining kelp species boundaries. As well, Laminariales is a relatively young lineage, radiating within the past 30 Ma (Starko et al. 2019). In concert with potentially slow rates of evolution, reproductive barriers may be slow to develop. Hybridization has been recently confirmed using molecular analyses between wild populations of kelps, in particular, the inter-familial crossing of *Macrocystis pyrifera* and *Lessonia spicata* (Murúa et al. 2020), and intra- and intergeneric *Ecklonia* hybrids (Akita et al. 2021). Our results in *Alaria* add to the growing list of wild kelp populations featuring hybrid species, pointing to a possible re-emerging trend in the literature.

Similar hybridization dynamics may be widespread in brown alga. Hybridizations are especially notable among species of *Fucus* (Coyer et al. 2002, 2007, Moliac et al. 2011) and other fucoids (Hodge et al. 2010; see Bringloe et al. 2020a for examples in other taxa). Secondary contact and hybridizations may also be common in the Arctic environment, as suggested by our results in *Alaria*. Indeed, DNA barcoding efforts in numerous lineages of macroalgae mirror the patterns originally detected in *Alaria* (i.e., unique Arctic haplotypes; Bringloe et al. 2020b), suggesting substantial diversity and hybridizations remain undetected by amplicon sequencing at high latitudes. Microsatellite data in *Saccharina latissima* already point to hybridization in the Arctic (Neiva et al. 2018), a hypothesis also forwarded by McDevit and

Saunders (2010). Similar evolutionary scenarios could therefore be explored in other macroalgae residing in the Arctic.

Do evolutionary inferences scale up from amplicon data to full genomes?

A key question remains of whether amplicon data faithfully reflects patterns in full genomes. In *Alaria*, phylogenetic analyses based on fully resolved organellar genomes generally lacked ambiguity, as evidenced by 100% bootstrap support for nearly all nodes (Figs. 2, 3). The upgrade in resolution was particularly stark in the comparison of *rbc*L and chloroplast genome trees (Fig. 2). The *cox*I tree, on the other hand, was generally consistent with the full mitochondrial genome tree (Fig. 2), a consolation for the numerous DNA barcode studies of macroalgae based on this marker. A couple of nodes remained poorly supported in the mitochondrial genome tree; deep partition in phylogenies based on chloroplast genomes are potentially better resolved given their rate of evolution is more conserved within brown algae (Starko et al. 2021). Our tree based on nuclear SNPs was also resolved with nearly 100% confidence (Fig. 3), though topologies depended on whether the dataset was pruned for linkage disequilibrium (Fig. 4; specimen A1). Importantly, the topologies of the nuclear dataset differed depending on the region targeted (Fig. 3). Further investigation with a less fragmented reference assembly is needed to rigorously assess heterogeneity in phylogenetic signal across the nuclear genomes of *Alaria*. Due to the high degree of confidence afforded by the genomic datasets, conflicts across genomic compartments were revealed that otherwise could have been incorrectly interpreted as different species or cast as uncertainties due to the limited windows provided by single genes, most notably the conflicting phylogenetic signal in the mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes of *A. crispa* and the *A. marginata* complex (Fig. 1), and differences in deeper node topology of trees (Figs. 2, 3). Furthermore, these incongruences were readily explained based on insights regarding hybridizations gained from the nuclear genome.

Integrating high-resolution genetic information across genomic compartments, with comprehensive sampling across nuclear genomes, should be a high priority for phylogenetic investigations. Phylogenetic signal, to a large extent but with less confidence, does scale up from amplicon data to the genomic level in *Alaria*. Where amplicon data remains severely limited, however, is in providing one angle on a network of evolutionary hypotheses realized at the genomic level. Rather, the evolution of lineages in *Alaria* is a collection of isolation and

secondary contact events over millions of years (Fig. 5), where lineages merge and peel away to varying degrees. As such, the evolution of species in *Alaria* cannot possibly be captured in 2-3 markers, and species cannot be defined using a strict tree-like model of isolation and differentiation. Rather, a global (i.e., genomic) phylogenetic overview is needed to reflect the multifaceted, network-like nature of species relationships driven by ancestral mixing. We therefore expect WGS to become the gold standard for phylogenetic analysis in the near future, with less cost-prohibitive approaches (e.g., RADseq) continuing to ease the transition from DNA barcodes.

A cost-benefit analysis of WGS versus traditional sanger sequencing warrants consideration. The gross cost of WGS remains high relative to sanger sequencing, but the cost-per-unit of information is smaller in WGS. Assuming a bidirectional sanger sequencing cost of 10 USD/specimen, and a cost for WGS of 160 USD/specimen for 20GB of 150bp paired-end read data (the cost for the current project for ~20x coverage on average for *Alaria*), we estimate the cost per SNP and per specimen of sanger sequencing is (10+10)/(47+22) = ~0.29 USD, whereas the cost per SNP for WGS is 160/(3814+4536+148,542) = ~0.00102 USD, 0.35% the cost of the sanger approach. Add to this the potential to further use the WGS data for functional genomic analysis, build reference databases, develop SNP arrays to fine-tune the accuracy of DNA barcodes, and characterize the holobiont, and the cost per unit of information is further diminished. Whether a sanger sequencing approach remains adequate for a given study objective or taxonomic group will remain uncertain until underlying assumptions regarding phylogenetic signal from single genes, particularly in organelles, are validated using WGS.

Conclusions

We have demonstrated the ability of WGS datasets to further uncover overlooked biodiversity, clarify phylogenetic relationships, and provide clear evidence of hybridizations. Nonetheless, several caveats to our analysis warrant discussion. Several species of *Alaria* were not included in our study. In particular, *Alaria paradisea*, an endemic species to the Kurile Islands, is well supported with molecular evidence (Klimova et al. 2018b). *Alaria angusta* and *A. ochotensis*, both native to the Russian Bering Sea and Sea of Okhotsk, were not included, nor are we aware of any molecular evidence to substantiate the existence of these species. The inclusion of any one of these lineages would have the potential to refine the conclusions presented here. Taxonomic

names are also problematic. Here, *A. crispa* was applied to Kamchatka specimens, however, molecular investigations from Bering Island (type locality of *A. angusta*, *A. taeniata*, *A. lanceolata*, *A. laticosta*, and *A. praelonga*) could reveal names that have taxonomic priority, or forgotten species in need of resurrection, changing the designations reported here. Population level analyses would reveal if the hybridization patterns reported here are fixed at the species level, or if events are limited to certain populations. Bolstering the number of specimens analysed, particularly in *A. crassifolia* and *A. praelonga* where $n = 1$ in our study, should also be prioritized. Allele phasing analyses should also be explored to help to tease apart parental lineages in putative hybrids, and adjustments to the SNP filtering criteria could be explored to maximise the amount of biological signal retrieved from WGS datasets. On a final note, our analysis was also limited to SNPs, without any exploration of functional genomic aspects unique to each species. As alluded to with *A. grandifolia*, this avenue promises to yield rich insight on evolution within this genus, and, in concert with transcriptomic datasets, would offer insight on niche occupation in the environment.

Much like the transition from morphological to molecular based assessments of species diversity and relationships, we foresee a turnover in molecular approaches in phycology, one that pushes past the reliance on DNA barcodes and toward genomic insight. We emphasize the need for phylogenetic research to survey information across organellar and nuclear genomes, and for nuclear genomes to be comprehensively interrogated. Moreover, if shared genomic information proves to be a widely held feature among species, we will have to move past the paradigm of discrete genetic units, and rather view species as the fluid phenomenon we understand them to be. Our work here on *Alaria* stands among the first crucial steps of applying WGS datasets to the study of macroalgal diversity, and suggests we need to revisit phylogenetic assumptions firmly entrenched in the phycological community. We hope other research groups will rise to the challenge, ushering in exciting new developments in the coming years and decades.

Acknowledgments

We thank the collectors of Bay of Fundy *Alaria* samples: Marie Dankworth, Cody Brooks, Josh Evans, and Dr. Gary Saunders. We further thank the Greenland ecosystem monitoring program (g-e-m.dk) “Nuuk Basis”, which provided logistic support for sampling in Greenland. Mittimatalik Hunters and Trappers Organization and the Nunavut Fisheries association provided

support for sampling in Nunavut. We also recognize the Traditional Inhabitants of both ceded and unceded territory on which this research was conducted, including the Passamaquoddy Tribe of the Wabanaki confederation (Atlantic Canada), the Kalaallit Inuit (Greenland), the Mittimatalingmiut Inuit (Nunavut), the Sámi (northern Norway), the Alutiiq, the Tlingit (Gulf of Alaska), the Ainu (Northern Japan), Chuvans, Evens, Itelmen, and Koryaks tribes (Kamchatka Peninsula), the Esquimalt, WSANEC, and Songhees (Southern Vancouver Island) and the Wurundjeri, Boonwurrung, Taungurong, Dja Dja Wurrung and Wathaurung people of the Kulin Nation (Melbourne, Australia). We also acknowledge that gains in contemporary knowledge invariably build on a history of race, gender, and sexual orientation discrimination.

Data accessibility statement

All the short-read data can be accessed via the Short Read Archive using the accessions in Table 1. Annotated organellar genomes can similarly be accessed via GenBank using the Table 1 accessions. Final datasets used for analysis, in fasta and vcf formats (filtered and un-filtered) can be accessed on FigShare (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14740959.v1). Command line arguments for all analyses are provided in the supplemental material.

Funding

This project was funded by the University of Melbourne McKenzie fellowship and the Phycological Society of America Norma J. Lang Early Career fellowship to TTB. This study was supported by the Russian Fund for Basic Research (RFBR), research project No. 19-04-00285 A to TAK. DKJ received funding from the Independent Research Fund Denmark (8021-00222 B, 'CARMA'). KFD was supported by ArcticNet (P101 ArcticKelp), the Norwegian Research Council (KELPEX grant no. 255085/E40) and the Australian Research Council (DE1901006192). WSG received support from the North Pacific Research Board (Project 1618).

Author contributions

T.T.B., D.Z., H.V., and W.S.G. conceived the study; K.F. D, W.S.G., D.K.J., B.O., A.K., T.A.K., C.V., H.K., T.H., and S.S. provided specimens; T.T.B., C.V., H.K., T.H. generated the sequence

data; T.T.B., D.Z., and S.S. conducted the analysis; T.T.B., D.Z., and S.S. wrote the manuscript; W.S.G., K.F. D., D.K.J, B.O., A.K. T.A.K., C.V., H.K., T.H., and H.V. edited the manuscript.

References

Alexander, D. H., & Lange, K. 2011. Enhancements to the ADMIXTURE algorithm for individual ancestry estimation. *BMC Bioinformatics* 12:1-6.

Akita, S., Koiwai, K., Ishikawa, T., Sakamoto, T., Toshimura, T., Kiyomoto, S., Nanri, K., Tamayama-Kato, Y., Kurashima, A., Hanyuda, T., Shimada, S., Kawai, H., & Fujita, D. 2021. Molecular evidence for naturally occurring intra- and inter-generic hybridization in the genus Ecklonia (Laminariales, Phaeophyceae). *Phycologia* 60:170-9.

Assis, J., Araújo, M. B., & Serrão, E. A. 2018. Projected climate change threatens ancient refugia of kelp forests in the North Atlantic. *Glob. Change Biol*. 24:e55-e66.

Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., & Usadel, B. 2015. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30:2114-20.

Bringloe, T. T., & Saunders, G. W. 2019. DNA barcoding of the marine macroalgae from Nome, Alaska (Northern Bering Sea) reveals many trans-Arctic species. *Polar Biol.* 42:851-64.

Bringloe, T. T., Starko, S., Wade, R. M., Vieira, C., Kawai, H., Clerck, O., Cock, J. M., Coelho, S. M., Destombe, C., Valero, M., Neiva, J., Pearson, G. A., Faugeron, S., Serrão, E. A. & Verbruggen, H. 2020a. Phylogeny and evolution of the brown a lgae. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* 39:281-321.

Bringloe, T. T., Verbruggen, H., Saunders, G. W. 2020b. Unique biodiversity in Arctic marine forests is shaped by diverse recolonization pathways and far northern glacial refugia. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci*. 117:2259-6.

Bringloe, T. T., Sauermann, R., Krause-Jensen, D., Olesen, B., Klimova, A., Klochkova, T. A. & Verbruggen, H. 2021. High-throughput sequencing of the kelp *Alaria* (Phaeophyceae) reveals

epi-endobiotic associations, including a likely phaeophycean parasite. *Eur. J. Phycol*. https://doi.org/10.1080/09670262.2021.1882704.

Cock, J. M., Sterck, L., Rouzé, P., Scornet, D., Allen, A. E., Amoutzias, G., Anthouard, V., Artiguenave, F., Aury, J. M., Badger, J. H., Beszteri, B., Billiau, K., Bonnet, E., Bothwell, J. H., Bowler, C., Boyen, C., Brownlee, C., Carrano, C. J., Charrier, B., Cho, G. Y., Coelho, S. M., Collén, J., Corre, E., Da Silva, C., Delage, L., Delaroque, N., Dittami, S. M., Doulbeau, S., Elias, M., Farnham, G., Gachon, C. M. M., Gschloessl, B., Heesch, S., Jabbari, K., Jubin, C., Kawai, H., Kimura, K., Kloareg, B., Küpper, F. C., Lang, D., Le Bail, A., Leblanc, C., Lerouge, P., Lohr, M., Lopez, P. J., Martens, C., Maumus, F., Michel, G., Miranda-Saavedra, D., Morales, J., Moreau, H., Motomura, T., Nagasato, C., Napoli, C. A., Nelson, D. R., Nyvall-Collén, P., Peters, A. F., Pommier, C., Potin, P., Poulain, J., Quesneville, H., Read, B., Rensing, S. A., Ritter, A., Rousvoal, S., Samanta, M., Samson, G., Schroeder, D. C., Ségurens, B., Strittmatter, M., Tonon, T., Tregear, J. W., Valentin, K., von Dassow, P., Yamagishi, T., Van de Peer, Y. & Wincker, P. 2010. The Ectocarpus genome and the independent evolution of multicellularity in brown algae. *Nature* 465:617–21.

Coyer, J. A., Peters, A. F., Hoarau, G., Stam, W. T., Olsen, J. L. 2002. Hybridization of the marine seaweeds, *Fucus serratus* and *Fucus evanescens* (Heterokontophyta: Phaeophyceae) in a 100-year-old zone of secondary contact. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*. 269:1829-34.

Coyer, J. A., Hoarau, G., Stam, W. T., Olsen, J. L. 2007. Hybridization and introgression in a mixed population of the intertidal seaweeds *Fucus evancescens* and *F. serratus*. *J. Evol. Biol*. 20:2322-33.

Cremen, M. C. M., Huisman, J. M., Marcelino, V. R. & Verbruggen, H. 2016. Taxonomic revision of *Halimeda* (Bryopsidales, Chlorophyta) in south-western Australia. *Aust. Syst. Bot.* 29:41-54.

Cummins, P. F. &Freeland, H. J. 2007. Variability of the North Pacific current and its bifurcation. *Prog. Oceanogr.* 75:253–6.

717 Danacek, P., Auton, A., Goncalo, A., Albers, C. A., Banks, E., DePristo, M. A., Handsaker, R.,
718 Lunter, G., Marth, G., Sherry, S. T., McVean, G., Durbin, R. & 1000 Genomes Project Analysis
719 Group. 2011. The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* 27: 2156-8.

720 Danacek, P., Bonfield, J. K., Liddle, J., Marshall, J., Ohan, V., Pollard, M. O., Whitwham, A.,
721 Keane, T., McCarthy, S. A., Davies, R. M., & Li, H. 2021. Twelve years of SAMtools and
722 BCFtools. *Gigascience*, 10:giab008

723 Darling, A. C. E., Mau, B., Blattner, F. R. & Perna, N. T. 2004. Mauve: multiple alignment of
724 conserved genomic sequence with rearrangements. *Genome Res*. 14:1394-403.

725 Dierckxsens, N., Mardulyn, P., & Smits, G. 2017. NOVOPlasty: *de novo* assembly of organelle
726 genomes from whole genome data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45:e18.

727 Druehl, L. D. Collins, J. D., Lane, C. E., & Saunders, G. W. 2005. An evaluation of methods
728 used to assess intergenetic hybridization in kelp using Pacific Laminariales (Phaeophyceae). *J.*
729 *Phycol.* 41:250-62.

730 Drummond, A. J., Suchard, M. A., Xie, D., & Rambaut, A. 2012. Bayesian phylogenetics with
731 BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 29:1969-73.

732 Edelstein, T., Craigie, J. S., & McLachlan, J. 1967. *Alaria grandifolia* J. Agardh from Nova
733 Scotia. *J. Phycol*. 3:3-6.

734 Feller-Demalsy, M. J., & Demalsy, P. 1974. Recherches sur les *Alaria* (Phaeophyceae) de l'est
735 Canadien. I. Nombres chromosomiques et identité des *Alaria* de l'estuaire du St-Laurent. *Can. J.*
736 *Bot*. 52:691-4.

737 Flanagan, B. A., Krueger-Hadfield, S. A., Murren, C. J., Nice, C. C., Strand, A. E., & Sotka, E.
738 E. Founder effects shape linkage disequilibrium and genomic diversity of a partially clonal
739 invader. *Mol. Ecol.* 30:1962-78.

740 Graf, L., Shin, Y., Yang, J. H., Choi, J. W., Hwang, I. K., Nelson, W., Bhattacharya, D., Viard,
741 F. & Yoon, H. S. 2021. A genome-wide investigation of the effect of farming and human-
742 mediated introduction on the ubiquitous seaweed *Undaria pinnatifida*. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 5:360-8.

743 Grant, W. S., & Bringloe, T. T. 2020. Pleistocene ice ages created new evolutionary lineages, but
744 limited speciation in northeast Pacific winged kelp. *J. Hered.* 111:593-605.

745 Guzinski, J., Ruggeri, P., Ballenghien, M., Mauger, S., Jacquemnin, B., Jollivet, C., Coudret, J.,
746 Jaugeon, L., Destombe, C. & Valero, M. 2020. Seascape genomics of the sugar kelp *Saccharina*
747 *latissima* along the North Eastern Atlantic latitudinal gradient. *Genes* 11:1503.

748 Hodge, F. J., Buchanan, J. & Zuccarello, G. C. 2010. Hybridization between the endemic brown
749 algae *Carpophyllum maschalocarpum* and *Carpophyllum augustifolium* (Fucales): genetic and
750 morphological evidence. *Phycol. Res*. 58:239-47.

751 Hu, Z. M., Zhang, Q. X., Zhang, J., Kass, J., Stefano, M., Fresia, P., Stefano, D., Assis, J.,
752 Jueterbock, A., Yokota, M. & Zhang, Z. 2021. Intraspecific genetic variation matters when
753 predicting seagrass distribution under climate change. *Mol. Ecol.* 30:3840-55.

754 Huson, D. H. & Bryant, D. 2006. Application of phylogenetic networks in evolutionary studies.
755 *Mol. Biol. Evol*. 23:254-67.

756 Iha, C., Dougan, K. E., Varela, J. A., Avila, V., Jackson, C. J., Bogaert, K. A., Chen, Y., Judd, L.
757 M., Wick, R., Holt, K. E., Pasella, M. M., Ricci, F., Repetti, S. I., Medina, M., Marcelino, V. R.,
758 Chan, C. X. & Verbruggen, H. 2021. Genomic adaptations to an endolithic lifestyle in the coral-
759 associated alga *Ostreobium*. *Curr. Biol*. 31:1-10.

760 Jenkins, T. L., Guillemin, M. L., Simon-Nutbrown, C., Burdett, H. L., Stevens, J. R. & Peña, V.
761 2021. Whole genome genotyping reveals discrete genetic diversity in Northeast Atlantic maerl
762 beds. *Evol. Appl*. 14:1558-71.

763 Kapraun, D. F. 2005. Nuclear DNA content estimates in multicellular eukaryotic red, green, and
764 brown algae: phylogenetic considerations. *Ann. Bot*. 95:7-44.

765 Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., Buxton, S.,
766 Cooper, A., Markowitz, S., Duran, C., Thierer, T., Ashton, B., Meintjes, P. & Drummond, A.
767 2012. Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the
768 organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 28:1647-9.

769 Klimova, A. V., Dobrova, M. M., Klochkova, & T. A. 2017. Chromosome number of some
770 laminacean species of Eastern Kamchatka. In *Conservation of biodiversity of Kamchatka and*
771 *coastal waters: materials of XVIII international scientific conference*. Pp. 279-282.

772 Klimova, A. V., Klochkova, T. A., & Klochkova, N. G. 2018a. Infraspecies forms of *Alaria*
773 *esculenta* (Laminariales, Ochrophyta) in the marine flora of Eastern Kamchatka: first revision. *B.*
774 *Kam. St. Tech. Univ*. 43:74-86.

775 Klimova, A. V., Klochkova, N. G., Klochkova, T. A., & Kim, G. H. 2018b. Morphological and
776 molecular identification of *Alaria paradisea* (Phaeophyceae, Laminariales) from the Kurile
777 Islands. *Algae* 33:37-48.

778 Klochkova, T. A., Klimova, A. V., & Klochkova, N. G. 2019. Distribution of *Alaria esculenta*
779 (Phaeophyceae, Laminariales) in the Sea of Okhotsk. *B. Kam. St. Tech. Univ.* 50:46-56.

780 Kobayashi, H., Haino, Y., Iwasaki, T., Tezuka, A., Nagano, A. J. & Shimada, S. 2018. ddRAD-
781 seq based phylogeographic study of *Sargassum thunbergii* (Phaeophyceae, Heterokonta) around
782 Japanese coast. *Mar. Environ. Res*. 140:104-13.

783 Kraan, S., & Guiry, M. D. 2000. Sexual hybridization experiments and phylogenetic
784 relationships as inferred from rubisco spacer sequences in the genus *Alaria* (Phaeophyceae). *J.*
785 *Phycol.* 36:190-8.

786 Kraan, S., Rueness, J., & Guiry, M. D. 2001. Are North Atlantic *Alaria esculenta* and *A.*
787 *grandifolia* (Alariaceae, Phaeophyceae) conspecific? *Eur. J. Phycol.* 36:35-42.

788 Kraan, S. 2020. Concise review of the genus *Alaria* Greville, 1830. *J. Appl. Phycol*. 32:3543-60.

789 Lane, C. E., Lindstrom, S. C., & Saunders, G. W. 2007. A molecular assessment of the northeast
790 Pacific Alaria species (Laminariales, Phaeophyceae) with reference to the utility of DNA
791 barcoding. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 44:634-48.

792 Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods*
793 9:357-9.

794 Le Cam, S., Daguin-Thiébaut, C., Bouchemousse, S., Engelen, A. H., Mieszkowska, N. & Viard,
795 F. 2020. A genome-wide investigation of the worldwide invader *Sargassum muticum* shows high
796 success albeit (almost) no genetic diversity. *Evol. Appl.* 13:500-14.

797 Lewis, R. J. & Neushul, M. 1995. Intergeneric hybridization among five genera of the family
798 Lessoniaceae (Phaeophyceae) and evidence for polyploidy in a fertile *Pelagophycus* x
799 *Macrocystis* hybrid. *J. Phycol*. 31:1012-17.

800 Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G.,
801 Durbin, R. & 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. 2009. The sequence
802 alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25:2078-9.

803 Lindstrom, S. C. 2006. Biogeography of Alaskan seaweeds. *J. Appl Phycol*. 18:637–41.

804 Lindstrom, S. C. 2009. The biogeography of seaweeds in Southeast Alaska. *J. Biogeogr*. 36:401–
805 9.

806 Lipinska, A. P., Serrano-Serrano, M. L., Cormier, A., Peters, A. F., Kogame, K., Cock, J. M. &
807 Coelho, S. M. 2019. Rapid turnover of life-cycle-related genes in the brown algae. *Genome Biol*.
808 20: 35.

809 Liptack, M. K., & Druehl, L. D. 2000. Molecular evidence for an interfamilial laminarialean
810 cross. *Eur. J. Phychol*. 35:135-42.

811 Lischer, H. E. L., & Excoffier, L. 2012. PGDSpider: an automated conversion tool for
812 connecting population genetics and genomics programs. *Bioinformatics* 28:298-9.

813 Lüning, K. 1990. Seaweeds: Their Environment, Biogeography, and Ecophysiology. Wiley, New
814 York, 554 pp.

815 Maier, I., & Müller, D. G. 1986. Sexual pheromones in algae. *Biol. Bull*. 170:145-75.

816 Malinsky, M., Matschiner, M., & Svardal, H. 2021. Dsuite – Fast D-statistics and related
817 admixture evidence from VCF files. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 21:584-95.

818 Mao, X., Augyte, S., Huang, M., Hare, M. P., Bailey, D., Umanzor, S., Marty-Rivera, M.,
819 Robbins, K. R., Yarish, C., Lindell, S. & Jannink, J. L. 2020. Population genetics of the sugar
820 kelp throughout the Northeastern United States using genome-wide markers. *Front. Mar. Sci.*
821 7:694.

822 McDevit, D. C. & Saunders, G. W. 2010. A DNA barcode examination of the Laminariaceae
823 (Phaeophyceae) in Canada reveals novel biogeographical and evolutionary insights. *Phycologia*
824 49:235-48.

825 Moalic, Y., Arnaud-Haond, S., Perrin, C., Pearson, G. A. & Serrão, E. A. 2011. Travelling in
826 time with networks: revealing present day hybridization versus ancestral polymorphism between
827 two species of brown algae, *Fucus vesiculosus* and *F. spiralis*. *BMC Evol. Biol*. 11:33

828 Murúa, P., Edrada-Ebel, R., Muñoz, L., Soldatou, S., Legrave, N., Müller, D. G., Patiño, D. J.,
829 van West, P., Küpper, F. C., Westermeier, R., Ebel, R. & Peters, A. F. 2020. Morphological,
830 genotypic and metabolomic signatures confirm interfamilial hybridization between the
831 ubiquitous kelps *Macrocystis* (Arthrothamnaceae) and *Lessonia* (Lessoniaceae). *Sci. Rep*.
832 10:8279.

833 Neiva, J., Paulino, C., Nielsen, M. M., Krause-Jensen, D., Saunders, G. W., Assis, J., Bárbara, I.,
834 Tamigneaux, É., Gouveia, L., Aires, T., Marbà, N., Bruhn, A., Pearson, G. A. & Serrão, E. A.
835 2018. Glacial vicariance drives phylogenetic diversification in the amphi-boreal kelp Saccharina
836 latissima. *Sci. Rep.* 8:1112

837 Nurk, S., Bankevich, A., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A., Korobeynikov, A., Lapidus, A., Prjibelsky,
838 A., Pyshkin, A., Sirotkin, A., Sirotkin, Y., Stepenauskas, R., McLean, J., Lasken, R.,
839 Clingenpeel, S.R., Woyke, T., Tesler, G., Alekseyev, M.A. & Pevzner, P.A. 2013. Assembling
840 Genomes and Mini-metagenomes from Highly Chimeric Reads. *J. Comput. Biol.* 20:714-37.

841 Oliveira, M. C., Repetti, S. I., Iha, C., Jackson, C. J., Díaz-Tapia, P., Lubiana, K. M. F., Cassano,
842 V., Costa, J. F., Cremen, M. C. M., Marcelino, V. R. & Verbruggen, H. 2018. High-throughput
843 sequencing for algal systematics. *Eur. J. Phycol*. 53:256-72.

844 Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M. A. R., Bender, D., Maller, J.,
845 Sklar, P., de Bakker, P. I. W., Daly, M. J., & Sham, P. C. 2007. PLINK: a tool set for whole-
846 genome association and population based linkage analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 81:559-75.

847 Reynes, L., Thibaut, T., Mauger, S. Blanfuné, A., Holon, F., Cruaud, C., Couloux, A., Valero,
848 M. & Aurelle, D. 2021. Genomic signatures of clonality in the deep water kelp *Laminaria*
849 *rodriguezii*. *Mol. Ecol*. 30:1806-22.

850 Robinson, G. G. C. 1967. Cytological investigations of the genus *Alaria* Greville, as it occurs on
851 the west coast of North America. Thesis. University of St. Andrews, Scotland, 136 pp.

852 Robinson, G. G. C. & Cole, K. 1971. Cytological investigations of some North American species
853 of the genus *Alaria* Greville. *Bot. Mar*. 14:53-8.

854 Saunders, G. W. 2005. Applying DNA barcoding to red macroalgae: a preliminary appraisal
855 holds promise for future applications. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B*. 360:1879-88.

856 Shan, T., Yuan, J., Su, L., Li, J., Leng, X., Zhang, Y., Gao, H. & Pang, S. 2020. First genome of
857 the brown alga *Undaria pinnatifida*: chromosome-level assembly using PacBio and Hi-C
858 technologies. *Front. Genet*. 11: 140–146.

859 Spalding, M. D., Fox, H. E., Allen, G. R., Davidson, N., Ferdana, Z. A., Finlayson, C., Halpern,
860 B. S., Jorge, M. A., Lombana, A., Lourie, S. A., Martin, K. D., McManus, E., Molnar, J.,
861 Recchia, C. A. & Robertson, J. 2007. Marine ecoregions of the world: a bioregionalizaion of
862 coastal and shelf areas. *Bioscience* 57:573–83.

863 Stekoll, M. S. 2019. The seaweed resources of Alaska. *Bot. Mar.* 62:227-35.

864 Stamatakis, A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
865 large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30: 1312-3.

866 Starko, S., Soto Gomez, M., Darby, H., Demes, K. W., Kawai, H., Yotsukura, N., Lindstrom, S.
867 C., Keeling, P. J., Graham, S. W. & Martone, P. T. 2019. A comprehensive kelp phylogeny
868 sheds light on the evolution of an ecosystem. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 136:138-50

869 Starko, S., Bringloe, T. T., Gomez, M. S., Darby, H., Graham, S. W., & Martone, P. T. 2021.
870 Genomic rearrangements and sequence evolution across brown algal organelles. *Genome Biol.*
871 *Evol.* 13:evab124.

872 Sunday, J. M., Popovic, I., Palen, W. J., Foreman, M. G. G. & Hart, M. W. 2014. Ocean
873 circulation model predicts high genetic structure observed in long-lived pelagic developer. *Mol.*
874 *Ecol*. 23:5036–47.

875 Vrahatis A. G., Dimitrakopoulou, K., Balomenos, P., Tsakalidis, A. & Bezerianos, A. 2016.
876 "CHRONOS: A time-varying method for microRNA-mediated sub-pathway enrichment
877 analysis." *Bioinformatics* 32:884-92.

878 Widdowson, T. B. 1971. A taxonomic revision of the genus *Alaria* Greville. *Syesis* 4:11-49.

879 Wilce, R. T. 2016. The "Arctic Stamp", its imprint on an endangered marine flora. *Perspect.*
880 *Phycol.* 3:155-80.

881 Withler, R., Beacham, T., Schulze, A., Richards, L. & Miller K. 2001. Co-existing populations
882 of Pacific ocean perch, *Sebastes alutus*, in Queen Charlotte Sound, British Columbia. *Mar. Biol*.
883 139:1–12.

884 Wood, D. E. & Salzberg, S. L. 2014. Kraken: ultrafast metagenomic sequence classification
885 using exact alignments. *Genome Biol.* 15:R46.

886 Wood, G., Marzinelli, E. M., Campbell, A. H., Steinberg, P. D., Vergés, A. & Coleman, M. A.
887 2021. Genomic variability of a dominant seaweed points to future-proofing for Australia's
888 underwater forests. *Glob. Change Biol*. 27:2200-12

889 Xuereb, A., Benestan, L., Normandeau, E., Daigle, R. M., Curtis, J. M. R., Bernatchez, L. &
890 Fortin, M. J. 2018. Asymmetric oceanographic processes mediate connectivity and population
891 genetic structure, as revealed by RADseq, in a highly dispersive marine invertebrate
892 (*Parastichopus californicus*). *Mol. Ecol.* 27:2347–64.

893 Ye, N., Zhang, X., Miao, M., Fan, X., Zheng, Y., Xu, D., Wang, J., Zhou, L., Wang, D., Gao, Y.,
894 Wang, Y., Shi, W., Ji, P., Li, D., Guan, Z., Shao, C., Zhuang, Z., Gao, Z., Qi, J. & Zhao, F. 2015.
895 *Saccharina* genomes provide novel insight into kelp biology. *Nat. Commun*. 6: 6986.

Table 1. Specimen list and accession information. Samples collected were wild sporophytes, with the exception of gametophyte cultures (KU-XXX) of Kobe University Macro-Algal Culture Collection and *Undaria* (Shan et al. 2020). SRA=Short read archive.

Species	Sample ID	Date collected	Collector	Lat.	Long.	SRA	Mito	Chloroplast
Alaria crassifolia	KU-1164	NA	NA	NA	NA	SAMN20286326	MZ488957	MZ504650
Alaria crispa	A1	9-Jul-2018	A. Klimova	53.259438	159.777157	SAMN20286318	MZ488949	MZ504642
Alaria crispa	A5	19-Jul-2018	A. Klimova	52.637206	158.407990	SAMN20286319	MZ488950	MZ504643
Alaria crispa	A6	24-Oct-2018	A. Klimova	52.912625	158.636948	SAMN20286320	MZ488951	MZ504644
Alaria crispa	A8	21-Aug-2018	A. Klimova	52.913000	158.637000	SAMN20286339	MT767059	MT767060
Alaria esculenta	AT001	06-Jun-2019	G. Saunders	45.044000	-66.809000	SAMN20286338	MT767061	MT767062
Alaria esculenta	TTB000076	23-Aug-2019	D. Krause-Jensen, B. Olesen	64.079000	-51.467000	SAMN20286336	MZ488967	MZ504660
Alaria esculenta	TTB0000137	20-May-2019	K. Filbee-Dexter	69.652460	17.887450	SAMN20286337	MZ488968	MZ504661

Arctic *Alaria*	PI001	29-Aug-2019	K. Filbee-Dexter	72.753500	-77.622150	SAMN20286329	MZ488960	MZ504653
Arctic *Alaria*	PI20	29-Aug-2019	K. Filbee-Dexter	72.753500	-77.622150	SAMN20286330	MZ488961	MZ504654
Arctic *Alaria*	TTB000023	29-Aug-2019	K. Filbee-Dexter	72.753500	-77.622150	SAMN20286333	MZ488964	MZ504657
Arctic *Alaria*	TTB000026	29-Aug-2019	K. Filbee-Dexter	72.753500	-77.622150	SAMN20286334	MZ488965	MZ504658
Arctic *Alaria*	TTB000053	29-Aug-2019	K. Filbee-Dexter	72.753500	-77.622150	SAMN20286335	MZ488966	MZ504659
Alaria esculenta	KU-791	NA	NA	NA	NA	SAMN20286324	MZ488955	MZ504648
Alaria marginata	KU-793	NA	NA	NA	NA	SAMN20286325	MZ488956	MZ504649
Alaria marginata	LP-3	18-May-2019	S. Grant	60.349970	-149.26320	SAMN20286328	MZ488959	MZ504652
Alaria marginata	HP-4	19-Mar-2019	S. Grant	57.432900	-135.22310	SAMN20286321	MZ488952	MZ504645
Alaria marginata	HS-2	19-May-2019	S. Grant	59.363300	-151.25350	SAMN20286322	MZ488953	MZ504646
Alaria	KB-10	20-May-	S. Grant	59.212000	-151.55570	SAMN202	MZ488954	MZ504647

marginata		2019				86323		
Alaria marginata	SDB-15	29-Aug-2019	S. Grant	55.202160	-160.25610	SAMN20286332	MZ488963	MZ504656
Alaria marginata	SAM001	04-Aug-2020	S. Starko	48.411780	-123.37870	SAMN20286331	MZ488962	MZ504655
Alaria marginata	A93071	NA	NA	NA[1]	NA	SRS4572731	MN395660	MZ156044
Alaria praelonga	KU-3288	NA	NA	NA	NA	SAMN20286327	MZ488958	MZ504651
Undaria pinnatifida	M23	NA	NA	NA	NA	SRR10224200	NC_023354	NC_028503

[1]Collected from Santa Cruz, California.

Table 2. DSuite ABBA-BABA results testing for patterns of hybridization (or tree-likeness) among species of *Alaria* based on 24,424 unlinked nuclear SNPs. In each test, P3 is expected to sort at equal frequencies with P1 and P2 under incomplete lineage sorting (D=0). Departures from D=0 are corrected for multiple tests, such that α=0.0125 for the *A. esculenta* complex. The tested tree topology is indicated in brackets (O=*Alaria marginata* for *Alaria esculenta* complex, and Arctic *Alaria* for *A. marginata* complex); significant results indicate excess sharing of alleles between P2 and P3. Sample sizes are: *A. esculenta*, n=3; Arctic *Alaria*, n=5; *A. crispa*, n=4; *A. praelonga*, n=1; *A. marginata*, n=6. SDB=Sand Dollar Beach (Sand Point); KB=Kayak Beach (Kachemak Bay); HS=Homer Spit (Kachemak Bay); LP=Lowell Point (Seward); HP=Halibut Point (Sitka); BC=British Columbia (Vancouver Island).

(((P1	**P2)**	**<----------> P3)O)**	***D***	**Z-score**	***p***	***f_4*-ratio**
Alaria esculenta complex						
A. esculenta	*A. crispa*	*A. praelonga*	0.3037	13.67	<0.001	0.1264
A. esculenta	Arctic *Alaria*	*A. crispa*	0.3676	20.35	<0.001	0.5642
Arctic *Alaria*	*A. crispa*	*A. praelonga*	0.0610	3.188	<0.001	0.0202
A. esculenta	Arctic *Alaria*	*A. praelonga*	0.2997	13.37	<0.001	0.1082
Alaria marginata complex						
BC	LP+HP	KB+HS+SDB	0.3262	13.77	<0.001	0.4741

Figure 1. Distribution map of *Alaria* species occurrence records, and species sampled for the current study. Distributions are curated based on previous molecular studies (viz. Lane et al. 2007, Klimova et al. 2018a,b, Klochkova et al. 2019, Bringloe and Saunders 2019, Bringloe et

al. 2020b, Grant and Bringloe 2020), and the current study. Note, not depicted here are *Alaria angusta* and *Alaria ochotensis* purportedly from the sea of Okhotsk (species thus far not supported with molecular data); see also the distribution map of Kraan (2020). Occurrence data derived from the Lüning (1990), the Macroalgal Portal, Barcode of Life Data System, and the above studies (data available on Figshare: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14740959.v1). JP=Japan; RU=Kamchatka, Russia; SDB=Sand Dollar Beach (Sand Point); KB=Kayak Beach (Kachemak Bay); HS=Homer Spit (Kachemak Bay); LP=Lowell Point (Seward); HP=Halibut Point (Sitka); BC=British Columbia (Vancouver Island); NU=Nunavut, Canada; GL=Greenland; NO=Norway; NL=NewFoundland, Canada; BoF=Bay of Fundy, Canada.

Figure 2. Maximum-likelihood (ML) organellar phylogenies in *Alaria*. The root node *Undaria pinnatifida* has been trimmed from the trees; the following SNP counts did not include *Undaria* in the alignments. A. *cox*I-5P ML tree, based on 47 SNPs in 658 bp and maximal divergence between sequences of 4.31%. B. Full mitochondrial genome ML tree based on 3,814 SNPs in ca. 39k bp and maximal divergence between genomes of 4.84%. C. *rbc*L ML tree, based on 22 SNPs in 1,467 bp and maximal divergence between sequences of 0.87%. D. Full chloroplast genome ML tree, based on 4,536 SNPs in ca. 130k bp and maximal divergence between genomes of 2.02%. Bootstrap values of 100 are not indicated, and intraspecific nodes are indicated with smaller font size.

Figure 3. Phylogenetic relationships in nuclear SNPs among species of *Alaria*. A. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny of 2,255 SNPs rooted with *Undaria pinnatifida*. B. ML phylogeny of 148,542 nuclear SNPs, rooted according to relationships inferred with previous tree using *Undaria*; colored edges represent hypothesized hybridizations also depicted in nuclear SNP network. C. Phylogenetic network of 148,542 nuclear SNPs, with hypothesized hybridizations depicted from early (1) to latest (3), and correspond to the colour of the edges in the network and phylogenetic tree, the same edges tested for significant signs of hybridization using ABBA-BABA tests (Table 2). Bootstrap values in the ML trees are 100% unless otherwise indicated.

Figure 4. ADMIXTURE plots for species of *Alaria* depicting mixed ancestry at five values of *k*, based on 24,242 unlinked nuclear SNPs. A maximum-likelihood tree is depicted at the top,

wherein bootstrap support is 100% unless otherwise indicated. Ap=*Alaria praelonga*; Ac=*Alaria crassifolia*; *Alaria marginata* complex: SDB=Sand Dollar Beach (Sand Point); KB=Kayak Beach (Kachemak Bay); HS=Homer Spit (Kachemak Bay); LP=Lowell Point (Seward); HP=Halibut Point (Sitka); BC=British Columbia (Vancouver Island).

Figure 5. Time calibrated mitochondrial and chloroplast phylogenies based on gene sets (n = 8 genes) from each compartment. Branch lengths are in millions of years and node bars represent 95% highest posterior densities. SDB=Sand Dollar Beach (Sand Point); KB=Kayak Beach (Kachemak Bay); HS=Homer Spit (Kachemak Bay); LP=Lowell Point (Seward); HP=Halibut Point (Sitka); Cali=Santa Cruz (California). Support values are bootstraps support from ML reconstruction and values of 100% are excluded.

Fig. S1. Coverage distribution for assembled reference nuclear scaffolds in *Alaria esculenta* specimen KU-791.

Fig. S2. *Alaria* phylogenetic network of 24,242 nuclear SNPs, pruned for linkage disequilibrium.

Fig. S3. Cross-validation error and log likelihood values at different values of k used in the ADMIXTURE analysis.

Fig. S4. PCA plot of variation in 24,242 nuclear unlinked SNPs in species of *Alaria.*

Appendix S1: Command lines used for bioinformatic analyses