1	

- 2 Received Date : 12-Feb-2016
- 3 Revised Date : 12-Sep-2016
- 4 Accepted Date : 19-Sep-2016
- 5 Article type : Original Article

- 6
- 7

# 8 Title: How old are you? Genet age estimates in a clonal animal.

9 Authors: M.K. Devlin-Durante<sup>1</sup>, M.W. Miller<sup>2</sup>, Caribbean *Acropora* Research Group, W.F.

10 Precht<sup>3</sup>, I.B. Baums<sup> $1^*$ </sup>

11

<sup>1</sup> Dept of Biology, The Pennsylvania State University, 208 Mueller Lab, University Park, PA
 16802 USA

<sup>2</sup> National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center 75 Virginia Beach Dr.,

15 Miami, FL 33149 USA

<sup>3</sup> Marine & Coastal Programs, Dial Cordy & Associates, 90 Osceola Ave, Jacksonville Beach,

- 17 FL 32250 USA
- 18
- 19 Caribbean *Acropora* Research Group:
- 20 Lisa Carne, Fragments of Hope, Placencia Village Stann Creek District, Belize, isas@btl.net
- 21 Tyler B. Smith, Center for Marine and Environmental Studies, University of the Virgin Islands, St.
- 22 Thomas, VI 00802 USA, tsmith@uvi.edu
- 23 Anastazia T. Banaszak, Unidad Académica de Sistemas Arrecifales, Puerto Morelos, Instituto de Ciencias
- 24 del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, banaszak@cmarl.unam.mx
- 25 Lisa Greer, Washington and Lee University, Lexington, VA 24450, greerl@wlu.edu
- 26 Adele Irwin, Washington and Lee University, Lexington, VA 24450, <u>irwina15@mail.wlu.edu</u>

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> 10.1111/mec.13865

27 Nicole D. Fogarty, Department of Marine and Environmental Sciences Halmos College of Natural

- 28 Science and Oceanography Nova Southeastern University, 8000 N. Ocean Drive, Dania Beach, FL
- 29 33004-3078, <u>nicole.fogarty@nova.edu</u>
- 30 Dana E. Williams, Cooperative Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Studies, University of Miami, 4600
- 31 Rickenbacker Causeway. Miami FL, 33149 USA; and <sup>2</sup>, dana.williams@noaa.gov
- 32
- 33 \* Corresponding author: Iliana Baums, Assoc Prof, Dep of Biology, Pennsylvania State
- 34 University, 208 Mueller Lab, University Park, PA 16802 USA, Fax 814.865.9131,
- 35 baums@psu.edu

36 Keywords

- 37 Somatic mutations, microsatellite, longevity, clonal, population dynamics
- 38

**39 Running Head**: Coral genet age estimates

## 40 Abstract

Foundation species such as redwoods, seagrasses and corals are often long-lived and 41 42 clonal. Genets may consist of hundreds of members (ramets) and originated hundreds to thousands of years ago. As climate change and other stressors exert selection pressure on species, 43 the demography of populations change. Yet, because size does not indicate age in clonal 44 45 organisms, demographic models are missing data necessary to predict the resilience of many 46 foundation species. Here, we correlate somatic mutations with genet age of corals and provide 47 the first, preliminary estimates of genet age in a colonial animal. We observed somatic mutations 48 at 5 microsatellite loci in range wide samples of the endangered coral, Acropora palmata (n=3352). Colonies harbored 342 unique mutations in 147 genets. Genet age ranged from 30-838 49 vears old (v/o) assuming a mutation rate of 1.195<sup>-04</sup> locus<sup>-1</sup> vear<sup>-1</sup> based on colony growth rates 50 and 236-6500 y/o assuming a mutation rate of 1.542<sup>-05</sup> locus<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> based on sea level changes 51 52 to habitat availability. Long-lived A. palmata genets imply a large capacity to tolerate past 53 environmental change and yet recent mass mortality events in A. palmata suggest that capacity is now being frequently exceeded. 54

#### 55 Introduction

56 The population dynamics of a species depend in part on the longevity of each individual. However, in colonial organisms such as corals neither "individual" nor "age" are easy to define, 57 58 making longevity the least accessible demographic trait to study for these organisms. Coral 59 colonies consist of genetically identical polyps that each fulfill the function of an individual 60 (reproduction, growth, defense), yet it is the collection of polyps in a colony that represent the ecologically significant unit (Santelices 1999). Hence, studies of coral population dynamics 61 62 often track the fate of colonies rather than that of individual polyps. The very nature of the clonality of corals allows colonies to survive partial mortality (Hughes & Jackson 1980), 63 64 propagate asexually through fragmentation (Highsmith 1982), and partake in clonal fission and fusion (Hughes & Jackson 1980). The result is independent colonies (ramets) not connected by 65 66 live tissue that share the same genotype (clonemates of the same genet). Coral species where 67 clonemates constitute a significant proportion of local populations are found in at least nine coral genera (Supplementary Table 1). Ramets are produced throughout the lifetime of the genet and 68 69 hence they can be of different chronological age and size although their genetic age (i.e. the time 70 since meiosis and zygote formation) remains the same. Taken together these processes have the 71 net effect of decoupling size of a ramet from its age (Hughes & Jackson 1980).

72 In non-colonial multicellular organisms, size is often a good proxy of genet age until 73 adult size is attained. After adult size is reached, age determination becomes more challenging 74 but the incorporation of environmental signals into tissues (Prouty et al. 2011), the shortening of 75 telomeres with increasing numbers of cell divisions (Barrett et al. 2013), decreasing reproductive 76 output, and phenotypic changes (Caspari & Lee 2004) can be quantified as indicators of age in a 77 wide range of multicellular organisms. Many of these approaches are not useful in plants and 78 colonial invertebrates: Radiocarbon or U-series dating (Radtke et al. 2003) is an alternative to 79 using size or phenotypic changes as a proxy for genetic age, however this requires the identification and continued existence of the oldest portion of a genet because, as such, 80 81 environmental signals reflect ramet age, not genet age (Eggins et al. 2005). This may be 82 possible in some clonal plant species in which ramet attachment persists and the center, typically 83 the oldest portion of a genet, can be identified (Vasek 1980), and perhaps for coral species not

prone to fragmentation (Table 1, Supplementary Table 1). Furthermore, reproduction is tied to
colony size so recently fragmented ramets belonging to previously fecund colonies might not
produce gametes themselves (Okubo *et al.* 2007) and phenotypic changes are not obvious
because a genetically old but small coral colony is not visually distinguishable from a genetically
young and small colony.

A possible method for determining genet age is to use mutation accumulation in somatic 89 90 tissues to estimate longevity. Despite their asexual origin, clonemates are not always exactly 91 genetically identical. The concept is based on "the somatic mutation theory of clonality" (Klekowski 1997) which reasons that continuous division of mitotic cells in a clonal organism 92 will lead to the accumulation of somatic mutations over time. Somatic mutations convert a 93 94 genetically homogenous individual into a mosaic with divergent cell lineages (mosaicism). Due 95 to the stochastic nature of somatic mutations, the incidence of genetic mosaicism would be expected to increase with increasing longevity of the organism and also with a higher prevalence 96 97 of asexual reproduction; gain in ramet number or size increases the total number of dividing cells 98 available for mutation (Orive 2001). Thus, it should be possible to relate the accumulation of 99 somatic mutations to genet age.

Utilizing genetic divergence generated by somatic mutations is a novel approach for
calculating lifespans in clonal organisms (Heinze & Fussi 2008). The use of neutral
microsatellites is ideal for divergence estimates due to their high mutation rates that range from
10<sup>-2</sup> to 10<sup>-6</sup> per sexual generation (Shimoda *et al.* 1999; Ellegren 2000; Peery *et al.* 2012).
Genetic divergence in microsatellite loci has been used to model clonal age in the aspen tree *Populus tremuloides* (Ally *et al.* 2008) and the water flea *Daphnia magna* (Robinson *et al.*2012).

Limitations of life span estimates based on genetic divergence include the necessity of
clonality, the low frequency or absence of mutations in some species (Lanner & Connor 2001;
Cloutier *et al.* 2002) and difficulties in measuring mutational rates that are often variable among
loci (Chakraborty *et al.* 1997; Schug *et al.* 1998). It can also be challenging to distinguish
somatic mutations from allelic variation (Heinze & Fussi 2008) if the species under
consideration is inbred.

113 Furthermore, the rate of somatic mutational divergence not only differs between species 114 (Klekowski & Godfrey 1989), but also among individuals (Haag-Liautard et al. 2007; Conrad et 115 al. 2011) with intraspecies variation partly due to varying exposure to environmental stress (de Witte & Stöcklin 2010). Genetic homogeneity can be restored from a mosaic state through 116 117 sexual reproduction, but also through parallel back mutations or lineage selection (Klekowski & 118 Kazarinova-Fukshansky 1984) which would lead to underestimates of mutational load and thus 119 clonal age. Despite the limitations, genetic divergence estimates are the most promising 120 technique to estimate genet age in colonial marine invertebrates.

121 To demonstrate the potential of using somatic divergence estimates to estimate genet 122 longevity, we used genetic divergence in 5 microsatellite loci to calculate the age of 90 genets of 123 the elkhorn coral, Acropora palmata. A. palmata is an ideal species for determining genet age 124 based on somatic mutations because this species relies heavily on fragmentation for local 125 population maintenance (Highsmith 1982; Baums et al. 2006a; Williams & Miller 2012) and 126 some genets have > 30 members (Baums *et al.* 2014). The process of fragmentation and re-127 growth of colonies from fragments has been documented photographically via quarterly surveys 128 over the past decade or so (Supplemental Figure 1, Williams & Miller 2012) and fragments 129 match donor colony genotypes. Furthermore, in a previous range-wide study of population 130 genetic structure in A. palmata we noticed the occasional occurrence of three alleles per locus in 131 this otherwise diploid species (Baums et al. 2005a). A. palmata is a self-incompatible 132 hermaphrodite (Szmant 1986a; Baums et al. 2005a) and population genetic data show that the 133 species is genetically diverse and outbred (Baums et al. 2005b). Here, we investigate whether 134 third alleles in A. palmata arose from somatic mutations and then use somatic mutations to 135 estimate genet age in this species.

#### 136 Methods

#### 137 Study System

Acropora palmata is a fast-growing, branching coral that once dominated coral reefs in
 the Caribbean and North-West Atlantic. Adult colonies release egg-sperm bundles once a year
 after the August full moon during a synchronized mass-spawning events (Szmant 1986b). Egg-

141 sperm bundles float to the surface where they break apart. Successful fertilization requires the 142 union of egg and sperm from different genets, i.e. A. palmata is a self-incompatible 143 hermaphrodite (Baums et al. 2005a). Gametes develop into non-feeding planula larvae during a 3 day – 2 week planktonic period. Mature larvae search for suitable habitat and metamorphose into 144 primary polyps during a process generally referred to as settlement (Fig 1). Once the primary 145 146 polyp is established, it will bud repeatedly, a type of asexual reproduction, and eventually form a 147 colony of genetically identical polyps. In some cases, two genetically distinct primary polyps 148 (recently settled larvae) can fuse, resulting in colonies with mixtures of polyps of different 149 genotypes (chimerism, Barki et al. 2002; Puill-Stephan et al. 2009; Work et al. 2011). Signals 150 and resources are shared across the colony. There is also division of labor to a degree with some 151 polyps primarily engaged with defense, reproduction or growth (Soong & Lang 1992). Because 152 of this integration, the colony is usually considered as the ecologically significant unit. We refer 153 to an assemblage of genetically identical colonies that are descendants of a single zygote as a 154 "genet" (Harper 1977; Hughes 1989; Carvalho 1994). Physiologically distinct colonies, formed 155 from fragmentation, that can function and survive on their own but belong to the same genet are 156 termed "ramets" (Kays & Harper 1974).

157 Samples of A. palmata were collected from Florida and the Caribbean (2001 to 2012, n=3352, Fig 2, Table 2). The time range of sample collection lends an error rate of +/-12 years 158 159 to the age calculations. Previous population genetic evidence (Baums et al. 2005b) divided A. 160 *palmata* samples into two largely isolated populations, the eastern Caribbean (including Bonaire, 161 Curacao, St Vincent and the Grenadines, the US Virgin Islands) and the western Caribbean 162 (including the Bahamas, Belize, Cuba, Dominican Republic, Florida, Mexico, Mona, Navassa 163 and Panama). Samples from Puerto Rico were assigned to the eastern Caribbean but show some 164 degree of admixture between the east and the west. A subset of the total dataset (n = 430 from 14 165 reefs in the Bahamas, Bonaire, Curacao, Florida, Panama, the US Virgin Islands and Navassa) 166 were sampled using a stratified, random sampling approach, as described in Baums et al. 167 (2006a). Most colonies within our collection were only sampled once, however 11 colonies from 168 Florida were resampled in 2011 and 2014 at 2-8 locations within the colony (Supplemental Table 169 1).

170 Microsatellite scoring.

171 All samples were genotyped at five (166, 181, 182, 192, and 207) previously published, 172 polymorphic microsatellite loci with Mendelian inheritance as shown by experimental crosses 173 (Baums et al. 2005b). All 5 microsatellite loci are AAT trinucleotide repeats. Two 10 µl multiplex PCR reactions (M-I and M-II) were performed per sample. M-I consisted of 0.2 µl 174 each of primer pairs 166-PET (5  $\mu$ M), 192-6FAM (5  $\mu$ M) and 181-NED (5  $\mu$ M), 1  $\mu$ l 10× PCR 175 176 Reaction Buffer (Promega), 0.8 µl of MgCl2 (25 mM), 0.2 µl of dNTPs (10 mM), 0.3 µl of Taq-177 Polymerase (5 U  $\mu$ l<sub>-1</sub>, Storage Buffer B, Promega) and 6.1  $\mu$ l H2O. M-II consisted of 0.2  $\mu$ l each 178 of primer pairs 207-PET (5 µM) and 182-6FAM (5 µM), 1 µl Promega 10× PCR Reaction 179 Buffer, 1.2 µl of MgCl2 (25 mM), 0.2 µl of dNTPs (10 mM), 0.2 µl of Taq-Polymerase (5 U µl-180 1) and 6 µl H2O. DNA (100 to 200 ng, 1 µl) was added to each reaction. Thermal cycling was 181 carried out with Eppendorf Mastercyclers with an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 5 min 182 followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 20 s, 50°C for 20 s, 72°C for 30 s. A final extension of 30 min 183 at 72°C ensured that the majority of amplicons were +A (Brownstein et al. 1996). PCR products 184 were visualized using an ABI 3730. An internal size standard (Gene Scan 500-Liz, Applied 185 Biosystems) was used for accurate sizing. Electropherograms were analyzed with GeneMapper 186 Software 5.0 (Applied Biosystems).

187 Unique clonal IDs for a genet were assigned to corals that have exact matching 188 multilocus genotypes or have exact matching multilocus genotypes (share all the same diploid 189 state ancestral alleles) and have an additional allele(s). The exceptions to this rule were 4% of 190 mutations that were either a full mutation (e.g. ancestral state 166/175 to 166/178), or a loss of 191 heterozygosity (e.g. to 166/166, Table 3), but at the other 4 loci all alleles were shared with other 192 members of the genet (see Supplementary Table 2 for an example genet).

193 Loci had an average of 19.6 alleles (StDev  $\pm$  2.3). This level of polymorphism translated into a high power of distinguishing closely related (i.e. inbred) multilocus genotypes (MLGs) 194 195 from those that were the product of asexual reproduction (i.e. clonemates) where the probability of identity  $= 10^{-5}$  (Baums *et al.* 2005b) (See Supplementary Figure 2). When considering only 196 genotypes with 2 alleles per locus (n=2643, i.e. those without somatic mutations) the average 197 probability of encountering a genotype more than once by chance (psex) was 2.23<sup>-07</sup> (MLGsim 198 199 2.0, http://www.rug.nl/research/gelifes/tres/software), indicating that identical genotypes were 200 the result of asexual reproduction. Once asexually produced, identical MLGs are removed from This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

the dataset, no heterozygote deficits are detected (i.e. all loci adhere to Hardy-Weinberg
expectations (Baums *et al.* 2005a)) and thus *A. palmata* shows no sign of inbreeding (Halkett *et al.* 2005).

#### 204 Mutation Step Analysis

205 For all genets with at least two ramets each novel mutation was reported (referred to as a 206 unique mutation, UM). A total of 342 unique mutations were found in 147 genets with 1387 ramets (Table 2, Fig 3). In order to discriminate between a mutated allele and a PCR error, a 207 208 singleplex PCR was performed for all unique mutations. Following a step-wise mutation model 209 (Kimura & Ohta 1978) the smallest possible mutational step that could have resulted in the new 210 allele was used to determine which of the two ancestral alleles mutated and the size of the 211 mutation step (in repeat units). Mutations were excluded if there were no other samples within 212 the genet that were bi-allelic at that locus making it impossible to determine the mutation step. 213 However, sometimes a genet had only two ramets and both ramets had different mutations at the 214 same locus. In that case the ancestral allele state was determined to consist of the two alleles 215 found in both ramets (Table 2). The mutational-step analysis contained a reduced sample size of n=1387 (Table 3). 216

#### 217 Clustering analysis

218 To determine whether the samples with three alleles could be attributed to somatic 219 mutations or chimerism, we applied a Bayesian clustering analysis using the program STRUCTURE 2.3.4 (Pritchard *et al.* 2000) to all genets with at least 5 ramets ( $n_{genets} = 90$ , Table 2). 220 We forced a diploid state by replacing the ancestral allele with the 3<sup>rd</sup> allele mutation. There was 221 222 no missing genotype data. We assumed that ramets should only diverge from the ancestral 223 genotype in one or two loci or alleles if somatic mutations were the cause, following previous 224 studies (Puill-Stephan et al. 2009; Maier et al. 2011). Alternatively, colonies were defined as 225 chimeras if genotypes differed by more than 60% in their major cluster assignment probability 226 from other members of their genet as defined by Schweinsberg et al. (2015). STRUCTURE 2.3.4 227 (Pritchard et al. 2000) was run with a burn-in period of 100,000 and 1,000,000 MCMC repeats 228 with 3 iterations per K, without a prior (Fig 4). Because of their large number, Florida genets 229 were run in two separate groups each containing 22 genets, with K=22. The eastern Caribbean This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

samples (23 genets, K=23) and all other western Caribbean samples (23 genets, K=23) were run
in two additional groups. Results of the three runs per group were merged with CLUMPAK

- 232 (Kopelman *et al.* 2015).
- 233 Clonal Richness vs. Mosaicism

234 We evaluated whether somatic mutations were found more often on reefs where little 235 sexual recruitment was evident (and thus were presumably inhabited by older individuals) by 236 tallying all mutations in all samples and comparing the number of mutations detected with the 237 number of genets present. This was expressed as clonal richness. We did this analysis on two 238 datasets. We compared the proportion of non-mosaic samples to clonal richness on reefs with 239  $\geq$ 10 samples, with no limitations placed on the genet size (Table 2). Therefore, clonal and non-240 clonal samples were included in this analysis (i.e. all genotype samples n=3352, Table 2). Then, we only compared reefs that were sampled with similar sampling effort. (see Table 1 in Baums et 241 242 al. (2006a). The clonal richness R is calculated as the number of genets G relative to the number 243 of analyzed ramets N with the modification by Dorken and Eckert (2001):

$$R = \frac{G-1}{N-1}$$

244

A monoclonal stand has a clonal richness of R=0 whereas the maximum clonal richness of R=1 is reached when all samples from a reef are of a different MLG. We chose clonal richness as an indicator for clonal diversity because other measures assume a constant ploidy level (most often diploidy e.g.  $G_o/G_e$ ) and were not designed for samples with somatic mutations.

249 Estimates of Genet Age using Genetic Divergence

The methods for calculation clonal age utilizing genetic divergence are described in (Ally et al. 2008). In brief, there are two statistics,  $\pi_k$  and  $S_k$ , that describes genetic divergence within a clone (Slatkin 1996). We calculated the average number of pairwise differences per locus for the kth clone:  $\pi_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} s_{ij}$ 

where n is the number of sampled ramets,  $s_{ij}$  is the number of genetic differences between ramet

i and j averaged across loci, and  $n^2$  is the total number of pairwise differences (Ally *et al.*)

256 2008). We chose  $\pi_k$  to measure the level of genetic divergence because it has been shown to be This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved more robust to deviations from a star-like phylogeny than  $S_k$  (the observed proportion of polymorphic loci) (Ally *et al.* 2008). Two demographic models were contrasted: one of constant ramet population size (as in the classic Wright–Fisher model), while the second demographic model is one of population growth. The ramet population growth model was determined by plotting both  $\pi_k$  vs.  $Sk/\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(\frac{1}{i})$ , which should exhibit a 1:1 slope if a population has been constant in size, and  $\pi_k$  vs. 2Sk/n in which a 1:1 slope would be predicted for a clonal growth model. The fit of the models was determined by regression analysis obtained in Sigmaplot 10.0.

Further restrictions, to the sample set, were applied for clonal age estimates, with ramet 264 265 size of  $n \ge 5$  resulting in n = 90 genets used in this analysis (Table 2). While most colonies were 266 sampled once, we captured the allelic variation within a genet by restricting age calculations to 267 those genets with at least 5 ramets. We still may have missed some somatic mutations at these 268 loci leading to an underestimation of the minimum genet age. Note that ramets lacking mutations 269 but belonging to a genet that had other ramets with mutations (ramet number 5 or greater) were 270 included (Table 2). If the genet had at least 5 ramets but no ramets had mutations then 271 microsatellite divergence and therefore age could not be calculated.

272 There are currently no direct estimates for microsatellite mutation rates in A. palmata. 273 We assumed the same mutation rate for all samples, but we were uncertain about that rate. 274 Hence, we used a range by setting a maximum and a minimum. The upper bound for the 275 mutation rate (relatively fast mutation rate) implies that a shorter amount of time has passed to 276 accumulate the observed variation relative to the lower bound of the estimate (relatively slow 277 mutation rate). Genet P1028 from Elbow reef in Florida had the smallest microsatellite 278 divergence rate. This genet had 55 ramets, among which the largest single colony was 270 x 170 x 70 cm (L x W x H). The branch extension rate was measured directly on three ramets of this 279 280 genet (P1028) during Jan-July 2006. A small beaded cable tie was deployed on each of three branches of each ramet as a benchmark. The length of the branch tip from this benchmark was 281 282 measured in situ over this six-month period, averaged over branches and ramets, and converted 283 to an annualized rate of linear branch extension equal to  $4.441 (\pm 2.64 \text{ cm Stdev}) \text{ cm/year}$ . The 284 annual increment in colony diameter was assumed to be twice the branch extension rate, 8.882 285 cm/year. The maximum measured diameter of a ramet of this genet was 270 cm then the colony

must have been growing for at least 30.4 years. This results in a maximum mutation rate of
1.195<sup>-04</sup> per locus per year.

We turned to the geological record to establish a minimum mutation rate. C14 dates from cores taken at Looe Key in Florida put the start-up of *A. palmata* reef growth at the base of present-day shallow spur & reef zone at around 6,500 ybp (Lidz *et al.* 1985). Our clone with the highest  $\pi_k$  value is from Looe Key in Florida (Supplemental Table 2), thus assumed to be the oldest, and the minimum mutation rate can be calculated by setting this clone at a maximum age of 6,500 years. This results in a minimum mutation rate of  $1.542^{-05}$  per locus per year. This is likely a maximal estimate because reef growth may not have been continuous at Looe Key.

- 295
- 296 Results

297 Identification of mutation type (somatic vs. chimera)

There were three samples in two genets (2 samples in genet P2445 from Looe Key, Florida and 1 sample in genet P2151 from Molasses Reef, Florida) out of 90 genets with at least 5 ramets (comprising 1294 samples), that differed by more than 60% in their major cluster assignment from other ramets of the genet (Fig 4). Therefore, the majority of samples (98%) showing three alleles were determined to be the result of somatic mutations rather than chimerism (Fig 4).

#### 304 Somatic Mutations

305 Genets with at least two ramets were included in the mutational step analysis. Of the 306 3352 samples genotyped, 1387 ramets of 147 genets satisfied this requirement across the 307 Caribbean and Florida. We found 342 unique mutational changes across the 5 microsatellite loci 308 (Table 3). Of the 342 somatic mutations, 305 involved a one-step increase (150) or decrease 309 (155), with an additional 14 one-step mutations in which direction could not be determined due 310 to the mutated allele size being equidistant from each parental allele (for example 163/169 311 parental genotype with mutated allele 166). This results in 93% of the mutations being either a one-step increase or decrease further supporting the explanation of somatic mutation for the 3<sup>rd</sup> 312 313 alleles. The remaining 22 mutations were the result of either multi-step changes or, in one case, 314 involved the loss of heterozygosity.

315 An important factor contributing to a microsatellite mutation rate is the repeat length; the 316 more repeat units, the greater the opportunity for replication slippage. The five loci used here had 317 repeat lengths from 10 to 28 trinucleotide repeats (Fig 3A). As expected, with increasing repeat 318 length the number of unique mutations observed at a locus increased linearly (slope =6.465 +/-0.473 SE,  $F_{1,3}=186.633$ , p<0.001, adjusted (adj.) R<sup>2</sup>=0.979, Fig 3A). [This result has also been 319 320 confirmed in experiments with trinucleotides in humans where the mutation rate for 28-31 repeat 321 lengths was more than 4 times that seen for 20-22 repeat lengths (Zhang et al. 1994).] When 322 considering all loci together, and designating allele 1 as the smaller allele in an individual and 323 allele 2 as the larger, there are more mutations found in allele 2 (213) than allele 1 (97) (Fig 3B, 324 excluding the 14 mutations in which the mutated allele could not be determined, 17 mutations in 325 homozygotes, and the 1 mutation determined to be a loss of heterozygosity).

326 Most colonies within our collection were only sampled once, however 11 colonies from 327 Florida were resampled in 2011 and 2014 at 2-8 locations within the colony (these samples were 328 not included in any other analysis, Supplementary Table 3). There were five colonies from Sand 329 Island and Molasses reefs in Florida that had no mutations when initially sampled from 2005-330 2009 and re-analysis in 2011 and 2014 also showed no mutations (average n=4.6 samples per 331 colony). One colony from Sand Island had multiple alleles at locus 166 of 149/173/176 bp in 332 2007. The same three alleles were found in the additional sampling throughout the colony (n=4)333 in 2011. In two colonies, multiple alleles were not recovered when resampled (n=8). In three 334 colonies intracolonial variation was observed: in one case a mutation was found in only half the 335 samples from one colony. In the other two colonies, a new mutation was recovered in some 336 samples, with the original mutation(s) varying throughout replicate samples (Supplementary 337 Table 2, Supplementary Fig 4). Thus, sampling a colony once may cause an underestimation of mutational load due to intracolonial variation in some colonies (Supplementary Table 2). 338

339 Clonal Richness vs. Mosaicism

Clonal richness ranged from 0 to 1 and is directly proportional to the number of sexual recruits. The proportion of non-mosaic genotypes (i.e. those with only bi-allelic loci) increased with increasing genotypic diversity of the *A. palmata* stand (Fig. 5A) considering a total sample size of 3352 from 13 regions. However, we were concerned that this result may be due to a

greater power of detection in genets with more ramets. Therefore, we limited our analysis to
colonies that were sampled on three spatial scales (5, 10, and 15 m radii) using a random
sampling procedure (Baums *et al.* 2006a) to detect both common and rare genets, resulting in
486 total samples from 7 regions. Again the proportion of non-mosaic genotypes increased with
increasing genotypic diversity when only considering reefs sampled with similar sampling effort
(Fig. 5B). Therefore, mosaicism appears to be more common on reefs dominated by asexual
reproduction than those dominated by sexual recruitment.

A previous study showed that genotypic richness was greater and more homogeneous (mean Ng/N=0.64 $\pm$  0.17) in the eastern (US Virgin Islands, St. Vincent and the Grenadines, Bonaire, and Curaçao) than the western province (Florida, Bahamas, Panama, and Mexico) with the exclusion of Navassa (Baums *et al.* 2006b). When comparing the proportion of non-mosaic genotypes per reef between western (also including Belize, the Dominican Republic, Mona, and Navassa) and eastern populations, the east had significantly more non-mosaic genets than the west (Mann-Whitney U-Test, east n=38, west n=48, p<0.001).

358 Growth Models

The regression of  $\pi_k$  vs.  $Sk/\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{i}\right)$  (Fig 6A) for the western population had a slope of 359 1.027 +/- 0.1037 SE (F<sub>1,66</sub>=98.088, p<0.0001, adj. R<sup>2</sup>=0.594) and was not significantly different 360 from the value expected (1:1 relationship of  $\pi_k$  vs.  $Sk / \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{i}\right)$ ) if genet size were 361 362 approximately constant over time with continuous ramet turnover (ANCOVA, p=0.468). Whereas, the regression of  $\pi_k$  vs 2S<sub>k</sub>/n (Fig 6B) for the western population had a slope of 1.194 363 +/- 0.222 SE ( $F_{1.66}$  =29.059, p<0.0001, adj. R<sup>2</sup>=0.295) and was significantly different from the 364 value expected (1:1 relationship of  $\pi_k$  vs  $2S_k/n$ ) if the genet had been spatially expanding 365 366 continuously since larval settlement (ANCOVA, p<0.0001) The regression of  $\pi_k$  vs 2S<sub>k</sub>/n (Fig 6C) for the eastern population had a slope of 1.069 +/-367 0.109 SE ( $F_{1.14}$ =95.471, p<0.0001, adj. R<sup>2</sup>=0.863) and was significantly different from the value 368 expected (1:1 relationship of  $\pi_k$  vs.  $Sk / \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{i}\right)$ ) (Fig 6D) if genet size were approximately 369 constant over time with continuous ramet turnover (ANCOVA, p<0.01). The regression of  $\pi_k$  vs. 370  $Sk / \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\frac{1}{i})$  for the eastern population had a slope of 0.818 +/- 0.111 SE (F<sub>1,14</sub>=54.372, 371

372 p<0.0001, adj. R<sup>2</sup>=0.781) and was not significantly different from the value expected (1:1 373 relationship of  $\pi_k$  vs 2S<sub>k</sub>/n) if the genet had been spatially expanding continuously since larval 374 settlement (ANCOVA, p=0.17).

#### 375 Microsatellite Divergence Estimate of Age

Estimated age calculations in the western Caribbean reefs ranged from 30-838 years old (y/o) from the maximum mutation rate and 236-6500 y/o from the minimum mutation rate. Both the youngest genet and the oldest genet were from reefs in Florida (Elbow and Looe Key, Table 4). Genets in the eastern Caribbean were from 76-627 y/o to 590-4865 y/o. An age comparison between the eastern and western populations, including only genets with somatic mutations (west n=61, east n=15) yielded no significant differences (Kruskal-Wallis Test, p>0.05).

#### 382 **Discussion**

383 Determination of genet age distribution in coral populations is important for 384 understanding demographic changes in response to environmental perturbation and ultimately for 385 understanding the evolutionary potential of these foundation species. A. palmata, the now 386 endangered but previously dominant shallow reef-builder in the Caribbean, lends itself to 387 somatic mutation analyses because of the importance of asexual reproduction via fragmentation 388 resulting in genets with many members. Here, we show that some A. palmata genets are apparently of substantial age (Table 4). This was surprising, as previously only cold-water corals 389 390 were found to be >1000 y/o (Table 1).

391 The Quaternary fossil record of A. palmata assemblages suggests that their habitat 392 tolerances and preferences have remained relatively constant through time and space (Goreau 1959; Shinn 1963; Gischler 2015). Consequently, the distribution of A. palmata on shallow-393 394 water reefs has persisted through repeated glacial-interglacial cycles. Thus, at scales from 395 decades to millennia, the persistence of A. palmata and the assemblages they comprise has been 396 met through the capacity of those corals incrementally to track favorable environments that have 397 shifted spatially over time (Precht and Aronson personal correspondence). These geological data 398 point to the possibility of potentially millenial-age (or older) genets within modern-day 399 populations of A. palmata.

\_. . . .. . .

400 We stress that absolute genet ages derived from somatic mutations as presented here have 401 to be interpreted cautiously. Because direct measurements of microsatellite mutation rates in 402 corals are not available and probably will not be for some time, we used other evidence to 403 bracket minimum and maximum mutation rates. We assigned the highest mutation rate to the 404 genet with the smallest microsatellite divergence rate among clone members and measured the 405 growth rate of the largest colony. Growth rates of A. palmata can vary with season, latitude and 406 reef location, and the measured linear extension rate of 4.44 cm/year of this colony was 407 somewhat slower than published growth rate measurements of 6 - 9 cm/year from Florida and 408 across the Caribbean (Gladfelter et al. 1978; Lirman 2000; Bak et al. 2009). We set the 409 minimum mutation rate to the genet with the largest microsatellite divergence rate among clone 410 members and asked how long this genet could have existed in this location (Looe Key, Florida). 411 By turning to the published fossil record, we ascertained that A. palmata colonies at this location 412 could not have been more than 6,500 years old (Lidz et al. 1985). While it is perhaps unlikely 413 that this genet is 6,500 years old because A. *palmata* presence at this location may not have been continuous over this time frame, it is a maximal estimate. The resulting mutation rates  $(1.195^{-04} -$ 414  $1.542^{-05}$  per locus per year) fall within reported microsatellite mutation rates from  $10^{-2}$  to  $10^{-6}$  per 415 sexual generation (Kruglyak et al. 1998; Shimoda et al. 1999; Ellegren 2000; Hoekert et al. 416 2002; O'Connell & Ritland 2004; Peery et al. 2012) when adjusted to generational times of 417 418 acroporids (4-8 years, Wallace 1985). An analysis of environmental markers in extant A. 419 *palmata* skeletons could substantiate genet age estimates (however the oldest portion of the genet 420 may no longer exist).

421 Despite the uncertainties surrounding absolute genet age determination, relative genet age
422 comparisons across the range of *A. palmata* should still be valid and are presented here for the
423 first time.

#### 424 Range edge populations and dominance of asexual reproduction

425 Sessile organisms capable of asexual reproduction are often largely clonal at the edge of 426 the species' range, both in terrestrial and marine ecosystems (Eckert 2002; Baums 2008).

427 Populations at the range margins of the marine angiosperm *Zostera marina* had clonal richne

- Populations at the range margins of the marine angiosperm *Zostera marina* had clonal richness
- values of less than 0.2 and sexual reproduction was rare or absent (Reusch & Boström 2011).

The marginal *A. palmata* population of Florida averaged 3.7 unique mutations per multilocus genotype whereas eastern, lower latitude populations such as Bonaire, Curacao and USVI ranged from 1.2-1.3 UMs per MLG, n=1387 (Table 3). This would mean that the Florida genets are older. Nevertheless, when considering only the large clonal stands the ages were not significantly different between the eastern and western populations (Table 4) suggesting a more or less similar historical presence of *A. palmata* in both populations but a higher frequency of sexual renewal in the East.

436 Mosaicism due to somatic Copy Number Variations

437 At first glance, the appearance of three alleles per locus in *A. palmata* multilocus 438 genotypes is puzzling. One explanation is gene or genome duplication (Wang et al. 2009; 439 Richards & Oppen 2012). However, several lines of evidence argue against this interpretation. 440 Preliminary assembly of 2 lanes of genomic sequencing data (Illumina) showed no evidence of 441 genome duplication (I.Baums pers. observ). Additionally, a chromosomal spread analysis of A. 442 *palmata* larvae revealed a count of n=24 (supplemental Fig. 5), a diploid state. The basic 443 scleractinian chromosome number is x=14 and x=12 (Kenyon 1997). Inherited, duplicated 444 genomic regions are also unlikely. In the latter case, all 5 microsatellite loci would have to be 445 located in duplicated regions as all five loci show tri-allelic genotypes, albeit usually only one 446 locus was mutated in any given sample: for genets with  $n \ge 5$  ramets, 15.56% had 0 mutated loci, 58.89% had 1 mutated locus, 20% had 2 mutated loci, and 5.56% had 3 mutated loci. Four of the 447 448 five loci amplify a similar range of allele sizes in the Caribbean sister species, A. cervicornis. 449 Fossil records date back 6.6 (Budd & Johnson 1999) and 2.6-3.6 (McNeill et al. 1997) million 450 years, respectively for A. cervicornis and A. palmata. Thus, the duplication events would have to 451 have occurred before the speciation event because tri-allelic genotypes were found in both species across the entire Caribbean range. Such duplicated genomic regions would have been 452 453 mutating separately for several million years making it unlikely that the majority of mutations 454 are just one mutation step away as observed here.

Genomic instability is a mechanism of aging with somatic copy number variations (CNV)
prevalent in many human cancers (Shlien & Malkin 2009) and somatic CNVs increase with age
in human blood cell genomes (Forsberg *et al.* 2012). We posit that *A. palmata* genomes

accumulate somatic duplications with age, resulting in multiple copies of the microsatellite loci
available for replication slippage (Fig 7). This led to some ramets having up to 4 alleles at a
single locus.

Recovery of tri-allelic genotypes was robust to repeated DNA extractions, and repeated 461 462 PCR reactions, and has been observed in other coral species (Wang et al. 2009) and the marine 463 angiosperm Zostera marina (Reusch & Boström 2011). Baums et al. (2005a) found triploid 464 larvae in some experimental crosses, ranging from 7 to 36% of the larvae genotyped. Larvae did 465 survive to 90 hours post fertilization but it is unknown if they would settle and grow into 466 reproductive adults. The most likely explanation for the triploid status was having a second 467 maternal allele, either due to retention of a polar body, self-fertilization or mitotic 468 parthenogenesis. Multiple alleles (3-5) were detected in 15% of Pacific Acroporids at a single 469 locus due to inherited gene duplication; in this study, all alleles in the example chromatogram 470 were greater than a one mutation step difference (130, 140, 150, 162 bp, Richards & Oppen 471 2012). Interestingly, predominately sexually reproducing coral species on the Great Barrier Reef 472 show somatic mutation in the form of two-alleles per locus (presumably generated by a single 473 slippage event without duplication) rather than three alleles (Schweinsberg et al. 2015). This 474 leads us to hypothesize that highly fragmenting coral species such as A. palmata accumulate 475 somatic CNVs over the long lifetime of the genet. Independent evidence for or against somatic 476 CNV would have to come from Flourescent In Situ Hybridization (FISH, Langersafer et al. 477 1982) or through controlled crosses of gametes from a tri-allelic genet and a genet without 478 mutations within the 5 microsatellite loci, if there is not a sequestered germline. A triploid (or 479 tretraploid) state at a microsatellite locus could also stem from the mutation of cells that are able 480 to proliferate, such as stem-like cells (Reyes-Bermudez & Miller 2009), resulting in two (or more) diploid cell lineages found throughout the colony. 481

482 Mosaicism versus Chimerism

Genetic diversity within a colony could stem from the fusion of two or more larvae or juvenile corals, producing a chimera (Fig 7). Such fusion in early life stages has been observed in scleractinian corals and is generally attributed to an immature immune system that is not yet able to distinguish between self and non-self (Frank *et al.* 1997; Permata & Hidaka 2005; Puill-

487 Stephan *et al.* 2009). However, the prevalence of chimerism in adult colonies in the genus 488 *Acropora* is generally low (2-5%, Schweinsberg *et al.* 2015). Retrieval of genotypes that vary at 489 several loci among branches from one colony may indicate chimerism (Fig 7). A colony was 490 classified as a chimera if it differed by more than 60% in its major cluster assignment probability 491 from other members of its genet as defined by Schweinsberg *et al.* (2015). Only 0.2% of samples 492 from the 90 genets (n=1296) were classified as possible chimeras, thus making mosaicism the 493 more likely explanation for most of the observed intracolony genetic variation.

### 494 Evolutionary and ecological consequences of genet longevity

495 The presence of large, potentially centennial-aged genets within a population begs 496 questions with regard to their history as well as their adaptive potential over the coming decades 497 of rapid environmental change. It is likely that the environmental conditions in most shallow 498 coastal habitats over the lifespan of these very old genets were quite different from today, which 499 implies that these old genets 1) possess a great degree of plasticity enabling them to persist 500 throughout these environmental variations (Barshis et al. 2013) and/or 2) that they have in fact 501 'migrated' among nearby coastal habitats over the centuries. For example, it is possible that our 502 current observation of a very old clone is in a distinct location from where it originally recruited 503 with fragments 'migrating' upslope in tracking slow holocene sea level change (Gischler 2015).

504 Alternatively, the General-Purpose Genotype model (Baker 1965) explains the ubiquity 505 of clonal organisms by their ability to retain the most competent genotypes over time; favoring 506 the absence of sexual reproduction once an optimal genotype is found. For example, (Van 507 Doninck et al. 2002) showed much higher ecological tolerances of a ubiquitous asexual ostracod 508 in comparison with additional species that were asexual and narrowly distributed or that had 509 mixed reproductive modes. If A. palmata genets have persisted over hundreds to thousands of 510 years, it implies persistence through substantial environmental changes, and possibly gives hope 511 that they can survive additional anticipated climate change. The overall recent declines of A. palmata including declines of certain moderate-sized clones in particular (Banks et al. 2010) 512 513 suggest there is a limit to this tolerance, which may be exceeded soon.

514 However, *A. palmata* is not entirely asexual and there is also the possibility that a 515 preponderance of large, old genets is not necessarily adaptive. Potts (1984) suggested that

516 because of corals' extreme longevity, many species (or populations) have not had the 517 opportunity, since current coastal habitats became habitable, to complete adequate sexual 518 generations to reach evolutionary equilibrium. Because fecundity of corals increases with genet 519 size (senescence notwithstanding), there may be a tendency for large old clones to dominate the 520 gene pool and diminish the chances for newer genets, possibly even those better-adapted to 521 current environmental conditions, to expand. If this is true, it implies that the presence of large 522 old clones (possibly of General Purpose Genotypes) may impair the rapid adaptation needed for 523 persistence under climate change.

524 The occurrence of somatic mutations raises the question of whether they can be the target 525 of selection and rapid adaptation. Mosaicism is thought to be favored in plants because it offers 526 an advantage in the Red Queen race against pests and parasites by increasing the standing genetic 527 diversity that prevents the evolution of specific metabolic pathways that could be used to 528 overcome the defenses of the plant (Valen 1974; Gill et al. 1995). Mutations in the soma are 529 available for immediate selection pressure from the environment as they compete with other 530 wild-type and mutated lineages within the organism. The selection of somatic cell lineages, 531 termed intra-organismal selection (also called somatic, diplontic, or cell-lineage selection; see 532 (Buss 1983; Hughes 1989; Otto & Hastings 1998; Clarke 2011)) may have the potential for rapid 533 evolutionary change in a modular organism by allowing within-organism gene frequency 534 changes within a single generation (Klekowski & Kazarinova-Fukshansky 1984). Through the 535 displacement of the wild-type lineage, the mutation of regenerating cells can be considered 536 evolution since they are potentially heritable in clonal Cnidaria through both sexual and asexual 537 routes. Alternatively, the coexistence of multiples lineages within an organism may result in 538 intra-organismal competition or cell parasitism leading to the decrease of overall fitness (Michod & Roze 1999; Pineda-Krch & Lehtilä 2004). A theoretical population model suggested that 539 540 strong negative selection against intra-individual mutations keeps changes of allele frequencies 541 due to somatic mutations very low (Orive 2001).

542 Currently, empirical confirmation of somatic selection has been limited. However, there
543 are many organisms that have been evolving in the absence of sex including rotifers (Welch &
544 Meselson 2000), *Artemia* (Perez *et al.* 1994) and salamanders in the genus *Ambystoma* (Hedges
545 *et al.* 1992). [See Van Oppen *et al.* (2011) for a review on somatic mutations as fuel for
This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

adaptation in invertebrates]. Somatic selection has also been demonstrated experimentally in
plants (Breese *et al.* 1965; Whitham & Slobodchikoff 1981; Monro & Poore 2009). Somatic
mutations may be widespread in corals (Levitan *et al.* 2011; Schweinsberg *et al.* 2015) and
within mosaic *Acropora hyacinthus* colonies it was shown that transfer of intercolonial genetic
variation to the next generation via gametes is possible (Schweinsberg *et al.* 2013) albeit this was
not the case in *Orbicella* (Barfield *et al.* 2016).

552 The ability of the coral host to respond to a changing environment occurs not only 553 through genetic adaptation but also through acclimatization by varying phenotypic responses. It 554 has recently become apparent that some environmentally induced nongenetic or epigenetic 555 changes are also heritable through a process known as transgenerational acclimatization (van 556 Oppen et al. 2015). Epigenetic changes include histone modifications, DNA methylation, 557 chromatin remodeling, and gene regulatory mechanisms involving small noncoding RNAs 558 (Danchin et al. 2011). A recent study in the clonal tree poplar showed the persistent influence of 559 geographic origin on the ability to respond to stress within a common garden experiment, 560 showing that the older the clone (longer clones of the same genet lived in different 561 environmental conditions) the more divergent the transcriptomic response was to drought and the 562 greater the variation in genome methylation patterns (Raj et al. 2011). Although not directly 563 linked to epigenetic changes, the pacific coral Acropora hyacinthus (cryptic species E) was able 564 to acclimatize to new microenvironments by increasing bleaching resistance, as measured 565 through transcriptomic responses and chlorophyll A changes, without altering their abundances 566 of symbiont type (Palumbi et al. 2014). This imprinted "memory" of past stress responses could 567 have profound implications for asexually reproducing corals in that ramets distributed across a 568 reef could have divergent epigenetic "memories" due to varying environmental conditions such as water flow, light and pathogen exposure. In addition, epigenetic changes along with somatic 569 570 mutations have the ability to be passed on to the next generation in organisms without segregated 571 germ lines.

572 The current paucity of clonal age estimates impairs our understanding of the ecology and
573 evolution of marine foundation fauna. These estimates are difficult to come by because size and
574 age are not related in colonial, asexually reproducing organisms. Significant asexual colony
575 reproduction occurs in at least nine coral genera and thus the decoupling of size and genet age is
This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

576 a widespread phenomenon in corals (Supplementary Table 1). Alternative methods to estimating 577 genet age include the use of somatic mutations but without direct mutation rate measurements, 578 the uncertainty of the age estimates is considerable. Regardless, when applied to a fragmenting 579 Caribbean coral, the results point towards genet ages that rival those of the most ancient 580 organisms on earth alive today. This raises questions about their adaptive potential to a rapidly 581 changing climate. Does their past ability to survive environmental change predict future success? 582 The answer will come from experimental studies combined with demographic and theoretical 583 models.

## 584 Acknowledgements

Field collection in Mexico was funded by the Consejo Nacional de Ciencias y Tecnología
grant number 153260 (to ATB). Funding was provided by the National Science Foundation grant
OCE 0928764, OCE-1516763, and NOAA - National Marine Fisheries Service to IB. Samples
were obtained under permit numbers AN001,US107A, 0385, MH-HR-010-MEX, 3235,

- 589 12US784243/g.
- 590

### 591 **References**

Ally D, Ritland K, Otto SP (2008) Can clone size serve as a proxy for clone age? An exploration
using microsatellite divergence in *Populus tremuloides*. *Molecular Ecology* 17, 48974911.

- Bak RPM, Nieuwland G, Meesters EH (2009) Coral growth rates revisited after 31 years: what is
  causing lower extension rates in *Acropora palmata? Bulletin of Marine Science* 84, 287294.
- 598 Baker HG (1965) Characteristics and modes of origin of weeds, pp. 147-168 pp. Academic
  599 Press, New York & London.
- Banks SC, Ling SD, Johnson CR, *et al.* (2010) Genetic structure of a recent climate change-
- 601 driven range extension. *Molecular Ecology* **19**, 2011-2024.

- Barfield S, Aglyamova GV, Matz MV (2016) Evolutionary origins of germline segregation in
   Metazoa: evidence for a germ stem cell lineage in the coral Orbicella faveolata (Cnidaria,
   Anthozoa). *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* 283.
- Barki Y, Gateno D, Graur D, Rinkevich B (2002) Soft-coral natural chimerism: a window in
   ontogeny allows the creation of entities comprised of incongruous parts. *Marine Ecology- Progress Series* 231, 91-99.
- Barrett ELB, Burke TA, Hammers M, Komdeur J, Richardson DS (2013) Telomere length and
   dynamics predict mortality in a wild longitudinal study. *Molecular Ecology* 22, 249-259.
- Barshis DJ, Ladner JT, Oliver TA, *et al.* (2013) Genomic basis for coral resilience to climate
  change. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110, 1387-1392.
- Baums IB (2008) A restoration genetics guide for coral reef conservation. *Molecular Ecology* 17,
  2796-2811.
- Baums IB, Devlin-Durante MK, LaJeunesse TC (2014) New insights into the dynamics between
  reef corals and their associated dinoflagellate endosymbionts from population genetic
  studies. *Molecular Ecology* 23, 4203-4215.
- Baums IB, Hughes CR, Hellberg MH (2005a) Mendelian microsatellite loci for the Caribbean
  coral *Acropora palmata*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 288, 115-127.
- Baums IB, Miller MW, Hellberg ME (2005b) Regionally isolated populations of an imperiled
  Caribbean coral, *Acropora palmata*. *Molecular Ecology* 14, 1377-1390.
- Baums IB, Miller MW, Hellberg ME (2006a) Geographic variation in clonal structure in a reef
  building Caribbean coral, *Acropora palmata. Ecological Monographs* 76, 503-519.
- Baums IB, Paris CB, Cherubin LM (2006b) A bio-oceanographic filter to larval dispersal in a
   reef-building coral. *Limnology and Oceanography* 51, 1969-1981.
- Breese E, Hayward M, Thomas A (1965) Somatic selection in perennial ryegrass. *Heredity* 20, 367-379.
- Brownstein MJ, Carpten JD, Smith JR (1996) Modulation of non-templated nucleotide addition
  by tag DNA polymerase: Primer modifications that facilitate genotyping. *Biotechniques*20, 1004-1010.
- Budd AF, Johnson KG (1999) Origination preceding extinction during late Cenozoic turnover of
  Caribbean reefs. *Paleobiology* 25, 188-200.

- Buss LW (1983) Evolution, development, and the units of selection. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 80, 1387-1391.
- 634 Carvalho GR (1994) Genetics of aquatic clonal organisms. In: *Genetics and Evolution of Aquatic* 635 *Organisms*. (ed. Beaumont AR), pp. 291-323. Chapman and Hall, London.
- Caspari R, Lee SH (2004) Older age becomes common late in human evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 101, 10895-10900.
- Chakraborty R, Kimmel M, Stivers DN, Davison LJ, Deka R (1997) Relative mutation rates at
  di-, tri-, and tetranucleotide microsatellite loci. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 94, 1041-1046.
- 641 Clarke E (2011) Plant individuality and multilevel selection theory. *The major transitions in*642 *evolution revisited. MIT Press, Cambridge*, 227-250.
- 643 Cloutier D, Rioux D, Beaulieu J, Schoen DJ (2002) Low rate of somatic mutation at
  644 microsatellite loci in Eastern White Pine, *Pinus strobus*.
- 645 Conrad DF, Keebler JEM, DePristo MA, *et al.* (2011) Variation in genome-wide mutation rates
  646 within and between human families. *Nature* 201, 1.
- Danchin É, Charmantier A, Champagne FA, *et al.* (2011) Beyond DNA: integrating inclusive
  inheritance into an extended theory of evolution. *Nat Rev Genet* 12, 475-486.
- de Witte LC, Stöcklin J (2010) Longevity of clonal plants: why it matters and how to measure it. *Annals of Botany* 106, 859-870.
- Dorken ME, Eckert CG (2001) Severely reduced sexual reproduction in northern populations of
  a clonal plant, *Decodon verticillatus* (Lythraceae). *Journal of Ecology* 89, 339-350.
- Eckert CG (2002) The loss of sex in clonal plants. *Evolutionary Ecology* **15**, 501-520.
- Eggins SM, Grün R, McCulloch MT, *et al.* (2005) In situ U-series dating by laser-ablation multicollector ICPMS: new prospects for Quaternary geochronology. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 24, 2523-2538.
- Ellegren H (2000) Microsatellite mutations in the germline: implications for evolutionary
   inference. *Trends in Genetics* 16, 551-558.
- Forsberg LA, Rasi C, Razzaghian HR, *et al.* (2012) Age-related somatic structural changes in the
  nuclear genome of human blood cells. *American Journal of Human Genetics* 90, 217228.

- Frank U, Oren U, Loya Y, Rinkevich B (1997) Alloimmune maturation in the coral *Stylophora pistillata* is achieved through three distinctive stages, 4 months post-metamorphosis.
- 664 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* **264**, 99-104.
- 665 Gill DE, Chao L, Perkins SL, Wolf JB (1995) Genetic mosaicism in plants and clonal animals.
   666 *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 26, 423-444.
- 667 Gischler E (2015) Quaternary reef response to sea-level and environmental change in the western
  668 Atlantic. *Sedimentology* 62, 429-465.
- Gladfelter EH, Monahan RK, Gladfelter WB (1978) Growth rates of five reef-building corals in
  the northeastern Caribbean. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 28, 728-734.
- 671 Goreau TF (1959) The ecology of Jamaican coral reefs: Species composition and zonation.
   672 *Ecology* 40, 67-90.
- Haag-Liautard C, Dorris M, Maside X, *et al.* (2007) Direct estimation of per nucleotide and
  genomic deleterious mutation rates in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 445, 82-85.
- Halkett F, Simon JC, Balloux F (2005) Tackling the population genetics of clonal and partially
  clonal organisms. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 20, 194-201.
- Hall-Spencer J, Allain V, Fossa JH (2002) Trawling damage to Northeast Atlantic ancient coral
   reefs. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 269, 507-511.
- 679 Harper JL (1977) *Population Biology of Plants* Academic Press, London.
- 680 Hedges SB, Bogart JP, Maxson LR (1992) Ancestry of unisexual salamanders.
- Heinze B, Fussi B (2008) Somatic mutations as a useful tool for studying clonal dynamics in
  trees. *Molecular Ecology* 17, 4779-4781.
- Highsmith RC (1982) Reproduction by fragmentation in corals. *Marine Ecology-Progress Series*7, 207-226.
- Hoekert WE, Neufeglise H, Schouten AD, Menken SB (2002) Multiple paternity and femalebiased mutation at a microsatellite locus in the olive ridley sea turtle (*Lepidochelys*
- 687 *olivacea*). *Heredity* (*Edinb*) **89**, 107-113.
- Hughes RN (1989) *A functional biology of clonal animals*. Chapman and Hall, London and New
  York.
- Hughes TP, Jackson JBC (1980) Do corals lie about their age? Some demographic consequences
  of partial mortality, fission, and fusion. *Science* 209, 713-715.
  - This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

- Kays S, Harper JL (1974) The regulation of plant and tiller density in a grass sward. *Journal of Ecology* 63, 97-105.
- Kenyon JC (1997) Models of reticulate evolution in the coral genus *Acropora* based on
  chromosome numbers: parallels with plants. *Evolution* 51, 756-767.
- Kimura M, Ohta T (1978) Stepwise mutation model and distribution of allelic frequencies in a
   finite population. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the United States of*
- 698 *America* **75**, 2868-2872.
- Klekowski EJ (1997) Somatic mutation theory of clonality. In: *The ecology and evolution of clonal growth in plants* (eds. de Kroon H, van Groenendael J), pp. 227–241. Backhuys
  Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands.
- 702 Klekowski EJ, Godfrey PJ (1989) Ageing and mutation in plants. *Nature* **340**, 389-391.
- 703 Klekowski EJ, Jr., Kazarinova-Fukshansky N (1984) Shoot Apical Meristems and Mutation:
- Selective Loss of Disadvantageous Cell Genotypes. *American Journal of Botany* 71, 2834.
- Kopelman NM, Mayzel J, Jakobsson M, Rosenberg NA, Mayrose I (2015) Clumpak: a program
   for identifying clustering modes and packaging population structure inferences across K.
   *Molecular Ecology Resources* 15, 1179-1191.
- 709 Kruglyak S, Durrett RT, Schug MD, Aquadro CF (1998) Equilibrium distributions of
- 710 microsatellite repeat length resulting from a balance between slippage events and point
- 711 mutations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
  712 America 95, 10774-10778.
- Langersafer PR, Levine M, Ward DC (1982) Immunological method for mapping genes on
   *Drosophila* polytene chromosomes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America-Biological Sciences* **79**, 4381-4385.
- 716 Lanner RM, Connor KF (2001) Does bristlecone pine senesce? *Experimental Gerontology* 36,
  717 675-685.
- Levitan DR, Fogarty ND, Jara J, Lotterhos KE, Knowlton N (2011) Genetic, spatial and
   temporal components of precise spawning synchrony in reef building corals of the
   *Montastraea annularis* species complex. *Evolution* 65, 1254-1270.

- Lidz BH, Robbin DM, Shinn EA (1985) Holocene carbonate sedimentary petrology and facies
   accumulation, Looe-Key-National-Marine-Sanctuary, Florida. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 36, 672-700.
- Lirman D (2000) Fragmentation in the branching coral *Acropora palmata* (Lamarck): growth,
   survivorship, and reproduction of colonies and fragments. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 251, 41-57.
- Maier E, Buckenmaier A, Tollrian R, Nürnberger B (2011) Intracolonial genetic variation in the
   scleractinian coral Seriatopora hystrix. *Coral Reefs* **31**, 505-517.
- McNeill DF, Budd AF, Borne PF (1997) Earlier (Late Pliocene) first appearance of the
   Caribbean reef-building coral *Acropora palmata*: Stratigraphic and evolutionary
- 731 implications. *Geology* **25**, 891-894.
- Michod RE, Roze D (1999) Cooperation and conflict in the evolution of individuality. III.
   Transitions in the unit of fitness. *Lectures on Mathematics in the Life Sciences*, 47-92.
- Monro K, Poore AG (2009) The Potential for Evolutionary Responses to Cell-Lineage Selection
  on Growth Form and Its Plasticity in a Red Seaweed. *The American Naturalist* 173, 151163.
- O'Connell LM, Ritland K (2004) Somatic mutations at microsatellite loci in western redcedar
   (*Thuja plicata* : Cupressaceae). *Journal of Heredity* 95, 172-176.
- Okubo N, Motokawa T, Omori M (2007) When fragmented coral spawn? Effect of size and
  timing on survivorship and fecundity of fragmentation in *Acropora formosa*. *Marine Biology* 151, 353-363.
- 742 Orive ME (2001) Somatic mutations in organisms with complex life histories. *Theoretical*743 *Population Biology* 59, 235-249.
- 744 Otto SP, Hastings IM (1998) Mutation and selection within the individual. *Genetica* 102, 507745 524.
- Palumbi SR, Barshis DJ, Traylor-Knowles N, Bay RA (2014) Mechanisms of reef coral
  resistance to future climate change. *Science* 344, 895-898.
- Peery MZ, Kirby R, Reid BN, *et al.* (2012) Reliability of genetic bottleneck tests for detecting
  recent population declines. *Molecular Ecology* 21, 3403-3418.

- 750 Perez ML, Valverde JR, Batuecas B, *et al.* (1994) Speciation in the Artemia genus:
- 751 mitochondrial DNA analysis of bisexual and parthenogenetic brine shrimps. *Journal of*752 *Molecular Evolution* 38, 156-168.
- Permata WD, Hidaka M (2005) Ontogenetic changes in the capacity of the coral *Pocillopora damicornis* to originate branches. *Zoological Science* 22, 1197-1203.
- Pineda-Krch M, Lehtilä K (2004) Costs and benefits of genetic heterogeneity within organisms. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 17, 1167-1177.
- Potts DC (1984) Generation Times and the Quaternary Evolution of Reef-Building Corals.
   *Paleobiology* 10, 48-58.
- Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P (2000) Inference of population structure using multilocus
  genotype data. *Genetics* 155, 945-959.
- Prouty NG, Roark EB, Buster NA, Ross SW (2011) Growth rate and age distribution of deep-sea
  black corals in the Gulf of Mexico. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 423, 101-U121.
- Puill-Stephan E, Willis BL, van Herwerden L, van Oppen MJH (2009) Chimerism in Wild Adult
   Populations of the Broadcast Spawning Coral *Acropora millepora* on the Great Barrier
   Reef. *PLoS ONE* 4, e7751.
- Radtke U, Schellmann G, Scheffers A, *et al.* (2003) Electron spin resonance and radiocarbon
  dating of coral deposited by Holocene tsunami events on Curaçao, Bonaire and Aruba
  (Netherlands Antilles). *Quaternary Science Reviews* 22, 1309-1315.
- Raj S, Bräutigam K, Hamanishi ET, *et al.* (2011) Clone history shapes *Populus* drought
   responses. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 108, 12521-12526.
- Reusch TH, Boström C (2011) Widespread genetic mosaicism in the marine angiosperm Zostera
   marina is correlated with clonal reproduction. *Evolutionary Ecology* 25, 899-913.
- Reyes-Bermudez A, Miller DJ (2009) In vitro culture of cells derived from larvae of the staghorn
  coral *Acropora millepora*. *Coral Reefs* 28, 859-864.
- Richards ZT, Oppen M (2012) Rarity and genetic diversity in Indo–Pacific *Acropora* corals. *Ecology and Evolution* 2, 1867-1888.
- Richards ZT, Shen C-C, Hobbs J-PA, *et al.* (2015) New precise dates for the ancient and sacred
  coral pyramidal tombs of Leluh (Kosrae, Micronesia). *Science Advances* 1, e1400060.

779	Roark EB, Guilderson TP, Dunbar RB, Fallon SJ, Mucciarone DA (2009) Extreme longevity in
780	proteinaceous deep-sea corals. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106,
781	5204-5208.
782	Roark EB, Guilderson TP, Flood-Page S, et al. (2005) Radiocarbon-based ages and growth rates
783	of bamboo corals from the Gulf of Alaska. Geophysical Research Letters 32.
784	Robinson JD, Haag CR, Hall DW, Pajunen I, Wares JP (2012) Genetic Estimates of Population
785	Age in the Water Flea, Daphnia magna. Journal of Heredity 103, 887-897.
786	Santelices B (1999) How many kinds of individual are there? Trends in Ecology & Evolution 14,
787	152-155.
788	Schug MD, Hutter CM, Wetterstrand KA, et al. (1998) The mutation rates of di-, tri- and
789	tetranucleotide repeats in Drosophila melanogaster. Molecular Biology and Evolution 15,
790	1751-1760.
791	Schweinsberg M, González Pech RA, Tollrian R, Lampert KP (2013) Transfer of intracolonial
792	genetic variability through gametes in Acropora hyacinthus corals. Coral Reefs, 1-11.
793	Schweinsberg M, Weiss LC, Striewski S, Tollrian R, Lampert KP (2015) More than one
794	genotype: how common is intracolonial genetic variability in scleractinian corals?
795	Molecular Ecology <b>24</b> , 2673-2685.
796	Shimoda N, Knapik EW, Ziniti J, et al. (1999) Zebrafish Genetic Map with 2000 Microsatellite
797	Markers. <i>Genomics</i> <b>58</b> , 219-232.
798	Shinn EA (1963) Spur and groove formation on the Florida Reef Tract. Journal of Sedimentary
799	Petrology 33.
800	Shlien A, Malkin D (2009) Copy number variations and cancer. Genome Medicine 1.
801	Slatkin M (1996) Gene genealogies within mutant allelic classes. Genetics 143, 579-587.
802	Soong K, Lang JC (1992) Reproductive Integration in Reef Corals. The Biological Bulletin 183,
803	418-431.
804	Szmant AM (1986a) Reproductive ecology of Caribbean reef corals. Coral Reefs 5.
805	Szmant AM (1986b) Reproductive ecology of Caribbean reef corals. Coral Reefs 5, 43-53.
806	Valen L (1974) Molecular evolution as predicted by natural selection. Journal of Molecular
807	<i>Evolution</i> <b>3</b> , 89-101.

808	Van Doninck K, Schön I, De Bruyn L, Martens K (2002) A general purpose genotype in an
809	ancient asexual. Oecologia 132, 205-212.
810	Van Oppen MJ, Souter P, Howells EJ, Heyward A, Berkelmans R (2011) Novel genetic diversity
811	through somatic mutations: fuel for adaptation of reef corals? <i>Diversity</i> <b>3</b> , 405-423.
812	van Oppen MJH, Oliver JK, Putnam HM, Gates RD (2015) Building coral reef resilience
813	through assisted evolution. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112, 2307-
814	2313.
815	Vasek FC (1980) Creosote Bush: Long-Lived Clones in the Mojave Desert. American Journal of
816	Botany 67, 246-255.
817	Wallace CC (1985) Reproduction, recruitment and fragmentation in 9 sympatric species of the
818	coral genus Acropora. Marine Biology 88, 217-233.
819	Wang S, Zhang LL, Meyer E, Matz MV (2009) Construction of a high-resolution genetic linkage
820	map and comparative genome analysis for the reef-building coral Acropora millepora.
821	Genome Biology 10.
822	Welch DBM, Meselson M (2000) Evidence for the evolution of bdelloid rotifers without sexual
823	reproduction or genetic exchange. Science 288, 1211-1215.
824	Whitham TG, Slobodchikoff C (1981) Evolution by individuals, plant-herbivore interactions,
825	and mosaics of genetic variability: the adaptive significance of somatic mutations in
826	plants. <i>Oecologia</i> <b>49</b> , 287-292.
827	Williams DE, Miller MW (2012) Attributing mortality among drivers of population decline in
828	Acropora palmata in the Florida Keys (USA). Coral Reefs 31, 369-382.
829	Work TM, Forsman ZH, Szabó Z, et al. (2011) Inter-Specific Coral Chimerism: Genetically
830	Distinct Multicellular Structures Associated with Tissue Loss in Montipora capitata.
831	<i>PLoS ONE</i> <b>6</b> , e22869.
832	Zhang L, Leeflang EP, Yu J, Arnheim N (1994) Studying human mutations by sperm typing:
833	instability of CAG trinucleotide repeats in the human androgen receptor gene. Nature
834	Genetics 7, 531-535.
835	

#### 836 **Data Accessibility**

Multilocus genotypes are available at DRYAD: http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.f6600 837

#### Author contributions 838

MD and IB designed the study and wrote the manuscript with key input from MM and WP. MD 839

analyzed and interpreted the data. Funding was provided and samples were collected by IB and 840

- the Caribbean Acropora Research Group. 841
- 842
- 843

#### **Tables** 844

*Table 1* Published age estimates of coral colonies. 845

Species	Age	Method	Region	Depth	Year	Reference
π	Estimate				Collected	
	(years)					
Leiopathes	70 - 2040	C14 and	Gulf of Mexico	304-	Not	(Prouty <i>et</i>
		growth ring		317m	stated	al. 2011)
<u> </u>		measurements				
Gerardia sp.	300 - 2700	δ13C	Hawaii	400-	2004	(Roark et
Leiopathes	350 - 4200			500m		al. 2009)
Keratoisis,	75–126	C14	Gulf of Alaska	634-	2002	(Roark et
Isidella, or	5			720m		al. 2005)
Acanella spp.	5					
Lophelia	$451 \pm 36$	C14	West Ireland	840-	1995-	(Hall-
pertusa				1300m	1997	Spencer et
						al. 2002)
Pocillopora	$3.69\pm0.48$	U/Th	Kosrae and Lelu	unknown	2012	(Richards
						et al.

	3.	.89 ± 0.42			
846					

850	Table 2 Summary	table of Acropora	palmata samples	used in the varie	ous analyses. Ml	LG = multilocus
-----	-----------------	-------------------	-----------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------

genotype. UM =Unique Mutations. \*Puerto Rico contains admixed A. palmata genets between the eastern

and western Caribbean.

		Clonal	Mutatio	nal Analy	Genet Age			
		Richness vs	ramets				Analysis:	MLGs
		Non-mosaic			with n≥5 ramets			
		samples:						
		MLGs with						
		n≥1 ramets						
	Region	Samples	Samples	MLGs	UM	UM/MLG	Samples	MLGs
East	Bonaire	43	8	3	4	1.3	0	0
	Curacao	286	73	17	20	1.2	55	7
	Puerto Rico*	308	41	12	16	1.3	46	7
	SVG	210	33	12	18	1.5	10	2
	USVI	464	65	9	14	1.6	64	7
West	Bahamas	259	134	23	46	2.0	131	17
	Belize	152	16	4	8	2.0	5	1
	Cuba	2	0	0	0	NA	0	0
	Dom. Rep.	49	4	1	2	2.0	0	0
	Florida	1036	892	47	175	3.7	931	44
	Mexico	180	33	3	7	2.3	0	0
	Mona	70	18	3	11	3.7	0	0
	Navassa	176	21	8	12	1.5	0	0
	Panama	117	49	5	9	1.8	52	5
	TOTAL	3352	1387	147	342		1294	90

Clonal II	) Database	Locus	A1 (bp)	A2 (bp)	Mutated allele (bp)	2nd mutated allele (bp)
	ID					
P2635	4597	192	166	175	169	
P2635	4602	192	166	175	172	178
	()					
P2634	1643	192	166	181	163	
P2634	1644	192	166	181	178	
P1084	1601	192	160	181	178	
P1084	1602	192	160	181	157	
	<b>M</b>					
7						

- 855 *Table 3* Ancestral alleles could be determined for some *A. palmata* genets with only two ramets. A =
- allele size, bp = basepairs.

857

858

859 *Table 4* Calculated age of *Acropora palmata* genets from throughout the Caribbean and north-west

860 Atlantic. N is the number of ramets,  $\pi_k$  is microsatellite divergence. CI = confidence interval. SVG = St.

861 Vincent and the Grenadines. USVI = US Virgin Islands.

Region	Reef	Clonal ID	Ν	$\pi_k$	Oldest Age	Youngest	Within a 5% CI
	$\mathbf{O}$				(years)	Age (years)	around growth
_	č						model
Bahamas	BlackBouy	P1100	5	0.000	< 254	< 30	Yes
	BockCay	P1106	10	0.080	1397	167	Yes
		P1110	5	0.160	2794	335	Yes
	CharliesBeach	P1089	15	0.053	931	112	Yes
	GreatIguana	P1042	11	0.145	2540	304	Yes
		P1043	7	0.267	4657	558	No
	HallsPond	P1130	6	0.173	3027	363	Yes

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

854

Region	Reef	Clonal ID	N	$\pi_k$	Oldest Age	Youngest	Within a 5% CI
					(years)	Age (years)	around growth
							model
1	LittleDarby	P1112	12	0.067	1164	139	Yes
	MiddleBeach	P1079	9	0.100	1746	209	No
		P1080	5	0.120	2095	251	Yes
	NairnCay	P2365	6	0.000	< 254	< 30	Yes
	PerryShallow	P1073	7	0.057	998	120	Yes
		P1075	6	0.067	1164	139	Yes
	0)	P2475	5	0.080	1397	167	Yes
		P1148	6	0.293	5122	613	No
		P1123	7	0.057	998	120	Yes
		P1122	9	0.000	< 254	< 30	Yes
Belize	GSTF12	P2276	5	0.120	2095	251	Yes
Curacao	BlueBay	P2161	5	0.080	1397	167	Yes
		P1200	11	0.036	635	76	Yes
	EastPoint	P1258	5	0.080	1397	167	Yes
		P1244	11	0.073	1270	152	Yes
	SeaAquarium	P1199	7	0.000	< 254	< 30	Yes
	$\mathbf{O}$	P1232	5	0.000	< 254	< 30	Yes
	č	P2194	11	0.109	1905	228	Yes
Florida	Boomerang	P1040	10	0.040	698	84	Yes
1	Carrysfort	P2115	17	0.092	1609	193	No
		P2118	41	0.137	2385	286	No
		P2121	24	0.049	848	102	Yes
		P2591	11	0.102	1778	213	No
	Elbow	P1028	55	0.015	254	30	Yes
		P1029	6	0.067	1164	139	Yes

Region	Reef	Clonal ID	Ν	$\pi_k$	Oldest Age	Youngest	Within a 5% CI
					(years)	Age (years)	around growth
							model
	<b></b>	P1030	7	0.000	< 254	< 30	Yes
	$\mathbf{O}$	P1033	7	0.152	2661	319	Yes
		P1032	30	0.256	4469	535	No
		P2122	8	0.136	2370	284	No
	()	P2123	16	0.265	4628	554	No
		P2126	27	0.135	2357	282	No
	French	P2539	6	0.067	1164	139	Yes
		P2538	20	0.261	4559	546	No
		P2128	54	0.126	2206	264	No
		P2564	24	0.178	3113	373	No
	GrecianRocks	P2582	19	0.042	735	88	Yes
	0	P1034	14	0.057	998	120	Yes
	Horseshoe	P1000	25	0.113	1967	236	No
1		P2559	7	0.114	1996	239	Yes
	KeyLargoDR	P2132	14	0.202	3531	423	No
		P2134	13	0.254	4433	531	No
	$\mathbf{O}$	P2138	14	0.110	1919	230	Yes
		P2139	6	0.133	2328	279	No
		P2597	5	0.200	3492	418	No
	LittleGrecian	P1026	5	0.080	1397	167	Yes
		P1001	24	0.032	557	67	Yes
	LooeKey	P2427	28	0.052	915	110	Yes
1		P2429	31	0.401	7000	838	No
		P2445	29	0.140	2452	294	No
	Marker3	P1039	52	0.046	801	96	Yes

Region	Reef	<b>Clonal ID</b>	Ν	$\pi_{k}$	Oldest Age	Youngest	Within a 5% CI
					(years)	Age (years)	around growth
							model
	Molasses	P2151	25	0.207	3621	434	No
		P2146	32	0.150	2619	314	No
	RockKey	P1018	5	0.080	1397	167	Yes
		P1017	16	0.115	2008	241	No
	SandIsland	P1007	9	0.044	776	93	Yes
		P1002	96	0.094	1641	196	No
	U)	P1003	29	0.216	3776	452	No
		P1021	5	0.000	< 254	< 30	Yes
	Triangle	P2416	38	0.087	1525	183	No
	WesternSambo	P1012	8	0.044	776	93	Yes
	<b>m</b>	P1011	11	0.108	1881	225	Yes
		P1008	8	0.000	< 254	< 30	Yes
Panama	BastimentosI	P1150	16	0.065	1135	136	Yes
1	Bocas Del Drago	P1168	15	0.076	1330	159	Yes
		P1167	5	0.220	3842	460	No
	Tobobe Wes tI	P1183	6	0.107	1863	223	No
	Wild Cayne	P1177	10	0.040	698	84	Yes
Puerto	Cayo Ron	P2286	6	0.173	3027	363	Yes
Rico		P2294	5	0.000	< 254	< 30	Yes
	<u>+</u>	P2301	8	0.000	< 254	< 30	Yes
	La Cordillera	P2334	5	0.000	< 254	< 30	Yes
		P2339	5	0.000	< 254	< 30	Yes
l	San Cristobal	P1857	10	0.204	3570	428	Yes
		P1878	7	0.000	< 254	< 30	Yes
SVG	Mustique	P1667	5	0.080	1397	167	Yes

Region	Reef	Clonal ID	Ν	$\pi_k$	Oldest Age	Youngest	Within a 5% CI
					(years)	Age (years)	around growth
							model
	+	P1668	5	0.300	5239	627	Yes
USVI	Grounding VI	P1430	5	0.000	< 254	< 30	Yes
	Hawksnest Bay	P1399	30	0.076	1325	159	Yes
		P1403	5	0.080	1397	167	Yes
	$\mathbf{O}$	P1402	6	0.120	2095	251	Yes
	$\tilde{\mathbf{O}}$	P1406	6	0.133	2328	279	Yes
	Salt Pond	P1555	5	0.120	2095	251	Yes
	Tague Bay	P2504	7	0.095	1663	199	Yes

Author Man

862 Figures

*Figure 1* Diagram depicting (A) the formation of a chimera from the settlement and fusion of
gametes of different genets. (B) An illustration of asexual reproduction by fragmentation and the
accumulation of mutations with age. See Supplemental Figure 1 for a photo time series of
fragmentation. Example alleles at one locus are given in basepairs (three digit numbers separated
by forward slashes). Diagram not to scale.

868

869 *Figure 2* Samples of *Acropora palmata* were collected throughout Florida and the Caribbean.

870 DR = Dominican Republic, USVI = U.S. Virgin Islands, SVG = St. Vincent and the Grenadines.

871 See (Baums *et al.* 2005b, 2006a) for sampling location details.

872

873 Figure 3 Mutation Step Analysis. In panel (A), as the repeat length of a microsatellite locus 874 increases, the total number of unique mutations found within each locus increases linearly (slope  $=6.47 \pm 0.47$  SD, F<sub>2.3</sub>=186.6328, p=0.0008, adj. R<sup>2</sup>=0.98). (B) Most mutations were one step 875 away from the ancestral allele size (i.e. +/-3 bp) with allele 1 (the smaller of the two alleles) 876 877 showing more repeat unit losses than gains and the larger allele (allele 2) showing more gains than losses of repeat units. 29 mutations were excluded from (B). 28 mutations were excluded 878 879 because the mutation step was equidistant for allele 1 and 2 so that the mutated allele could not 880 be determined; 1 mutation was a dropped allele.

881

882 *Figure 4* Assignment of ramets to genets using Bayesian clustering analysis in *A. palmata*. Included were all genets with  $n \ge 5$  ramets (Table 2). Black lines above graphs indicate samples 883 884 that have mutations. An asterisk indicates colonies that have a <40% assignment probability to 885 the most closely related genet. These colonies are possible chimeras. Probability of membership 886 to a given cluster (Y-axis) is plotted for each sample (X-axis). Colors indicate cluster 887 membership for each panel (A - D). Genets from the eastern Caribbean are shown panel A, genets from the western Caribbean in panels B – D. Florida was split into two groups (C, D) 888 889 because of the large number of genets from this region.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

890

891 Figure 5 The proportion of non-mosaic genets per reef as a function of clonal richness at each 892 reef. A) Total sample size of 3352 colonies from 86 reefs within 13 different regions across the Caribbean with n>10 colonies reef<sup>-1</sup>. Exponential Rise to Maximum, Single, 2 Parameter 893 equation: f = 0.8763\*(1-exp(-3.9422\*x)) (adjusted  $R^2 = 0.6495$ ). B) Including only colonies that 894 were sampled on three spatial scales (5, 10, and 15 m radii plots) using a random sampling 895 896 procedure (described in Baums et al. 2005a) for a total of 486 total samples from 7 regions. 897 Exponential Rise to Maximum, Single, 2 Parameter equation: f = 1.0192\*(1-exp(-2.4822\*x))898 (adjusted  $R^2 = 0.7575$ ).

899

**Figure 6** A comparison of two growth models for the western (Panel A,B) and eastern (Panel C,D) Caribbean. The western Caribbean population included Florida, Bahamas, Panama and Belize. The eastern Caribbean population included Curacao, US Virgin Islands, and St. Vincent and the Grenadines. Panel (A,C): In a constant population model with continuous ramet turnover, the slope of  $\pi_k$  vs.  $Sk/\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(\frac{1}{i})$  would exhibit a 1: 1 relationship (dotted line). Panel (B,D): In a population that is growing in size, the slope of  $\pi_k$  vs  $2S_k/n$  should exhibit a 1:1 relationship (dotted line). See text for statistical analysis.

907

908 Figure 7 Diagram depicting how duplication of a microsatellite (msat) locus (yellow) leads to 909 copy number variation (CNV) on chromosomes (blue) in a diploid species. Once a locus is 910 duplicated, the microsatellite repeats (orange/white) may mutate through slippage of the DNA 911 polymerase during mitotic replication leading to the detection of three alleles in 912 electropherograms. With time, alleles on both chromosomes may duplicate and mutate leading to 913 detection of four alleles per samples (not shown). Allele sizes are given in basepairs. Diagram 914 not to scale. 915 916 917

A)



This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved







This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved









This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

mec\_13865\_f1.eps

**anuscr** uthor N











