SH157.85 .F54S78 1997

.

.

.

.

6

0



Studies to evaluate the effectiveness of extended-length screens at The Dalles Dam, 1995

Coastal Zone and

Estuarine Studies Division

Dean A. Brege, Randall F. Absolon, Benjamin P. Sandford, and Douglas B. Dey

Northwest Fisheries Science Center

National Marine Fisheries Service January 1997

by

Seattle, Washington

Northwest Fisheries Science Center 2725 Montlake Boulevard E. Seattle, WA 98112

Library



STUDIES TO EVALUATE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF EXTENDED-LENGTH SCREENS AT THE DALLES DAM, 1995

> Dean A. Brege Randall F. Absolon Benjamin P. Sandford and Douglas B. Dey

.

6

1

۲

9

0

bv

SH 157.85 F54

Alter

Report of Research

Funded by

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Portland District Delivery Order E96930030

and

Coastal Zone and Estuarine Studies Division Northwest Fisheries Science Center National Marine Fisheries Service National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration 2725 Montlake Boulevard East Seattle, Washington 98112-2097



CONTENTS

iii

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

.

OBJECTIVE 1: EVALUATE THE ORIFICE PASSAGE EFFICIENCY OF PROTOTYPE JUVENILE FISH BYPASS ORIFICES IN TURBINE UNITS EQUIPPED WITH ESBSs AND INLET FI OW VANES

FLOW VANES	4
Approach	4
Results and Discussion	8
Yearling Fish	8
Subyearling Fish	12
OBJECTIVE 2: EVALUATE THE EFFECTS OF ESBSs AND INLET FLOW VANES ON JUVENILE SALMONID DESCALING	16
Approach	16
Results and Discussion	16

Yearling Fish	16
Subyearling Fish	17
DBJECTIVE 3: EVALUATE THE HORIZONTAL DISTRIBUTION OF JUVENILE SALMONIDS AS THEY ENTER TURBINE INTAKES ACROSS THE POWERHOUSE	17
Approach	17
Results and Discussion	18
Yearling Fish	18
Subyearling Fish	20



Page

V



iv

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

As part of the continuing evaluation of extended-length screens at The Dalles Dam, orifice passage efficiency (OPE) tests using a mark/recapture method were conducted during spring and summer 1995 in Turbine Units 5 (west end of powerhouse), 12 (middle), and 17 (east end). In addition, descaling and the horizontal distribution of juvenile

salmonids were monitored in these units, and dip-basket efficiency and gatewell mortality tests were conducted.

Orifice passage efficiency tests were conducted in each orifice (west and east) of each test slot with the number of replicates per orifice ranging from 8 to 10 in spring and 8 to 9 in summer. In spring with yearling chinook salmon, mean OPEs were 77.5, 80.3, and 82.8% for the west orifices in Slots 5B, 12B, and 17B, respectively. Mean OPEs for the east orifices were 65.4, 56.9, and 80.8% in Slots 5B, 12B, and 17B, respectively. In spring, mean OPEs were significantly higher for the west orifices in Slots 5B and 12B, but there

was no statistical difference between the orifices in Slot 17B.

1

1

1

1

9

.

During summer, mean OPEs for subyearling chinook salmon were 78.8, 90.5, and 87.6% for the west orifices in Slots 5B, 12B, and 17B, respectively. Mean OPEs for the east orifices were 42.6, 57.9, 87.0% in Slots 5B, 12B, and 17B, respectively. As in spring, mean OPEs were significantly higher for the west orifices of Slots 5B and 12B, but there was no statistical difference between the two orifices in Slot 17B.

Descaling was low for both yearling chinook salmon in spring (<3%) and

subyearling chinook salmon in summer (<1%).

Continuous spill at The Dalles Dam and open sluice gates in Slots 1B and 2B

throughout the entire spring season reduced the number of juvenile salmonids entering the

gatewells and made it difficult to capture enough fish for OPE tests. The open sluice gates also appeared to influence the horizontal distribution of fish captured (all species combined) across the powerhouse. The relative horizontal distribution of fish in 1994 among Slots 2B, 12B, and 17B with sluice gates closed was 62, 25, and 13%, respectively. During spring 1995 OPE tests, the relative horizontal distribution among Slots 5B, 12B, and 17B with

.

0

0

.

1

1

sluice gates open was 17, 50, and 33%, respectively.

During summer 1995, the relative horizontal distribution among Slots 5B, 12B, and 17B, for all species combined and with open sluice gates, was 28, 57, and 15%, respectively. In 1994 with sluice gates closed, the relative horizontal distribution among Slots 2B, 12B, and 17B was 65, 26, and 9%, respectively. Dip-basket efficiency and gatewell mortality tests were conducted during both spring

and summer outmigrations. Mean (weighted) dip-basket efficiency was 98.4% for spring tests and 96.5% for summer tests. Descaling and 24-hour gatewell mortality were minimal.



INTRODUCTION

The Dalles Dam, at River Mile 192 (River Kilometer 308), is operated by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE) and is the second in a series of hydroelectric dams upstream from the mouth of the Columbia River. Completed in 1957, The Dalles Dam is equipped with 22 turbine units, an ice and trash sluiceway, 20 spillbays, and a navigation

lock (Fig. 1). Unlike most other hydroelectric dams on the Columbia River, the

powerhouse at The Dalles Dam is oriented parallel to the river flow (Fig. 1).

The COE has proposed a juvenile fish bypass system for The Dalles Dam similar to those in use at other COE Columbia and Snake River dams. In 1985 and 1986, the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) conducted research at The Dalles Dam to determine the potential fish guidance efficiency (FGE) for yearling chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha), sockeye salmon (O. nerka), and steelhead (O. mykiss)

attainable with standard-length submersible traveling screens (STSs) (Monk et al. 1986,

1987). Additionally, the vertical distribution of fish entering the turbine intake was

measured to determine theoretical fish guidance efficiency (TFGE, an estimate of the

percentage of fish theoretically guidable based upon hydraulic model studies and the

vertical distribution of fish).

.

0

0

1

9

.

T

1

.

In 1985, the FGE of STSs at The Dalles Dam ranged from 44 to 55% for yearling

chinook salmon, from 73 to 79% for steelhead, and from 8 to 14% (highest FGE obtained

when the STS was lowered 0.8 m) for subyearling chinook salmon. From vertical

distribution measurements, the TFGE of STSs was estimated to be 67% for yearling

chinook salmon, 57% for sockeye salmon, 83% for steelhead, and only 22% for subyearling

chinook salmon. In 1986, lowering the STS again appeared to enhance FGE compared to



an STS at standard elevation (56 vs. 44% for yearling chinook salmon). Although FGE for all species was nearly 90% of the TFGE expected with STSs, FGE was still well below a 80% fish passage efficiency (FPE) level. These data and the encouraging results from tests with extended-length screens at McNary Dam in 1991 and 1992 (Brege et al. 1992, McComas et al. 1993) suggested that FGE at The Dalles Dam might be improved with

3

longer screens.

1

.

T

1

1

9

.

In 1993, FGE tests were conducted in Units 4, 5, and 6 on the west end of the

powerhouse at The Dalles Dam using extended-length screens. Mean FGE for yearling

chinook salmon was 73, 60, and 44% for the extended-length bar screen (ESBS), extended-

length traveling screen (ESTS), and STS, respectively. Mean FGE for subyearling chinook

salmon was 59, 51, and 23% for the extended-length bar screen, extended-length traveling

screen, and STS, respectively.

In 1994, FGE tests were conducted in Units 18 and 19 on the east end of the

powerhouse at The Dalles Dam using extended-length screens. Mean FGE for yearling

chinook salmon was 69 and 65% for the extended-length bar screen (ESBS) and extended-

length traveling screen (ESTS), respectively. Mean FGE for subyearling chinook salmon

was 54, and 47% for the extended-length bar screen and extended-length traveling screen, respectively.

In 1995, as part of the continuing evaluation of extended-length screens at The Dalles Dam, orifice passage efficiency (OPE) tests using a mark/recapture method were

conducted during the spring and summer juvenile salmonid outmigration. Extended-length

bar screens and inlet flow vanes (McComas et al. 1995) were installed in Turbine Units 5

(west end of powerhouse), 12 (middle), and 17 (east end) for the test period. In addition,

descaling and the horizontal distribution of juvenile salmonids were monitored in these
units and dip-basket efficiency/gatewell mortality tests were conducted.
Specific research objectives at The Dalles Dam in 1995 were:
1) Evaluate the orifice passage efficiency of prototype juvenile fish bypass orifices in turbine units equipped with ESBSs and inlet flow vanes.

4

2) Evaluate the effects of ESBSs and inlet flow vanes on juvenile salmonid

descaling.

1

1

T

1

3) Evaluate the horizontal distribution of juvenile salmonids as they enter turbine

intakes across the powerhouse.

Spring testing for these objectives occurred from 23 April to 26 May. Summer testing was conducted from 20 June to 13 July.

OBJECTIVE 1: EVALUATE THE ORIFICE PASSAGE EFFICIENCY OF PROTOTYPE JUVENILE FISH BYPASS ORIFICES IN

TURBINE UNITS EQUIPPED WITH ESBSs AND INLET FLOW VANES

Approach

Orifice passage efficiency measurements were conducted in Slots 5B, 12B, and 17B, located at west, center, and east areas of the powerhouse, respectively (Fig. 1). These slots were chosen with regard to discharge and approach flows to the unit. Discharge (flow) through Units 1-14 (west end of the powerhouse) is less than discharge through Units 15-22 (east end). In addition, approach flows to the west units are nearly

perpendicular to the powerhouse, whereas approach flows to the east units are more

angular. These variable flow conditions, as modeled by the COE's Waterways Experiment Station (WES), result in different flow patterns within the gatewells across the powerhouse. Prior to the spring outmigration, each of the three test slots were retrofitted with two juvenile fish bypass orifices. These orifices consisted of a 13.25-in (inside diameter) stainless steel lined tube grouted into the gate-slot wall and oriented 60° downstream. The

.

E

.

T

٠

T

-

5

downstream orientation of the orifice channels resulted in an oval entrance 13.25-in high by

15.5-in wide. The orifices were located on 24-in centers from the ends of the gate slot at

elevation 149 ft. Normal operating pool for the reservoir is elevation 160 ft, resulting in an orifice submergence of approximately 11 ft.

The methods for determining OPE were similar to those used in previous OPE

studies with traveling screens (Brege et al. 1987) and the test turbine units were run

continuously during the month-long test period. Test slots were dipnetted daily (Swan et al. 1979) and the collected fish were anesthetized with tricaine methane sulfonate (MS-222)

and examined. Juvenile salmonids were caudal fin clipped, held for 1 hour to observe short-term effects, released into the test gatewells, and allowed to exit the gatewells through one of the two juvenile fish bypass orifices. One of the two orifices was blocked with a suspended steel plate during each OPE test. Open/closed configurations were alternated each day, with changes being made at the conclusion of each OPE test. The orifice discharge into the ice/trash sluiceway was checked twice a day to make sure the orifice cover plates remained in place. At a specified time each test day, all fish were dipnetted from the gatewells. A typical OPE test lasted 22 hours, beginning at 1800 h on 1 day and

ending at 1600 h the next day. Orifice passage efficiency was calculated as the number of

clipped fish that exited the gatewell divided by the total number released.

The gatewell dipnetting technique for OPE tests relies on the assumptions that fish survive the marking process in good condition, that fish exiting the gatewell do so via the bypass orifice, and that all fish remaining in the gatewell are captured by the dip net. To ensure the reliability of these assumptions, gatewell-efficiency/marked-fish-mortality tests were conducted periodically throughout the spring and summer outmigration. During these

6

tests, fish were marked, held for 1 hour to observe short-term effects, and then were placed

in the gatewell for 22 hours with both orifices closed. At the end of this test period, the

gatewell was dipnetted and the catch examined and enumerated.

Guided fish were confined to the bulkhead slot by a modified balanced-flow vertical barrier screen (VBS) that separated the bulkhead slot from the gate slot (Fig. 2). This VBS, originally designed to be used with STSs, consisted of seven 8.9-ft (2.7-m) high panels, each of which extended across the full width of the slot. The front of each panel was covered with monofilament mesh and the back with perforated steel plate to control flow

through the screen section.

.

5

.

T

1

0

Configurations for the VBSs used were modeled by WES prior to testing at the dam.

The configuration for the seven panels of the VBSs in Slots 5B and 12B was slightly

different from that of the VBS in Slot 17B due to the higher flows in Unit 17. The VBS

configuration for Slots 5B and 12B consisted of a solid panel at the bottom, with 10, 22,

22, 22, 10, and 10% porosity panels from the second panel to the top. The VBS

configuration for Slot 17B consisted of a solid panel at the bottom with 22, 17, 17, 17, 17, 17,

and 17% porosity panels from the second to the top (Fig. 2).



The Dalles Dam cross section

1

1

0

1

1

Vertical barrier screen









Mesh panel with perforated plate back

Solid panel

Figure 2. Cross section of turbine unit at The Dalles Dam with extended-length screen and inlet flow vane in place, and vertical barrier screen configuration.

Extended-length bar screens, as tested during FGE tests in 1993 and 1994 at The Dalles Dam, were used in the OPE test slots (Brege et al. 1994, Absolon et al. 1995). Again because of different turbine unit flows, Slots 5B and 12B had ESBSs with a perforated plate porosity of 50%, and Slot 17B had an ESBS with a perforated plate porosity of 30%.

The test design provided for 20 OPE measurements in each of the three test slots

(west, center, and east) during both spring and summer juvenile salmonid outmigrations.

Results and Discussion

Yearling Fish

.

1

D

1

1

1

1

.

Testing for OPE began on 23 April and ended on 26 May when fish numbers dropped at the end of the spring outmigration (Appendix Table 1). For spring OPE tests, we handled the following numbers of juvenile salmonids: 3,871 subyearling chinook salmon, 6,560 yearling chinook salmon, 3,004 steelhead, 735 coho salmon, and 1,547

sockeye salmon, for a total of 15,717 fish. We marked and released 3,781 yearling chinook salmon during our spring OPE tests. Continuous spill (averaging 134 kcfs) at the dam and open sluice gates in Slots 1B and 2B through the entire spring season reduced the number of juvenile salmonids entering the gatewells and made it difficult to capture fish for marking. The number of OPE replicates conducted for each orifice of each test gate slot were: Slot 5B - 9 east, 10 west; Slot 12B - 9 east, 9 west; Slot 17B - 8 east, 9 west. Figures 3, 4, and 5 show daily fluctuations in OPE for yearling chinook salmon for

both the west and east orifices. Mean OPEs for yearling chinook salmon were 77.5, 80.3,

and 82.8% (SE = 4.1) for the west orifices of Slots 5B, 12B, and 17B, respectively. Mean



1

T

F



Figure 3. Daily orifice passage efficiency (OPE) for yearling chinook salmon in Slot 5B at The Dalles Dam, 1995.



--WEST -- EAST



Figure 4. Daily orifice passage efficiency (OPE) for yearling chinook salmon in Slot 12B at The Dalles Dam, 1995.

Figure 5. Daily orifice passage efficiency (OPE) for yearling chinook salmon in Slot 17B at The Dalles Dam, 1995.

.

1

OPEs in the east orifices were 65.4, 56.9, and 80.8% (SE = 4.1) for Slots 5B, 12B, and

17B, respectively. In spring, mean OPEs were significantly higher for the west orifices in

Slots 5B and 12B, but there was no statistical difference in mean OPEs between the orifices in Slot 17B.

Efficiency/mortality tests on 25 April, 14 May, and 20 May resulted in efficiencies

of 96, 99, and 100%, respectively, with a combined weighted mean of 98.4%. Marked fish

were recovered in nearly the same condition as when they were released. Descaling and

mortality due to handling was minimal.

Subyearling Fish

1

P

.

-0

.

1

1

1

Subyearling chinook salmon OPE testing began on 20 June and concluded on 13

July. Spill during these summer FGE tests averaged 154 kcfs and occurred 24 hours a day throughout the study period.

Figures 6, 7, and 8 show daily fluctuations in OPE for subyearling chinook salmon

for both west and east orifices. Mean OPE for subyearling chinook salmon was 78.8, 90.5, and 87.6% (SE = 4.2) for the west orifices of Slots 5B, 12B, and 17B, respectively. Mean OPE in the east orifices was 42.6, 57.9, and 87.0% (SE = 4.2) for Slots 5B, 12B, and 17B respectively. As in spring, mean OPEs were significantly higher for the west orifices of Slots 5B and 12B, but there was no statistical difference in mean OPE between the two orifices in Slot 17B. Efficiency/mortality tests on 20 June, 26 June, and 12 July resulted in efficiencies of

96, 95, and 99%, respectively, with a combined weighted mean of 96.5%. Marked fish

were recovered in nearly the same condition as when they were released. Descaling and

mortality due to handling was minimal.

.

1

1

Figure 6. Daily orifice passage efficiency (OPE) for subyearling chinook salmon in Slot 5B at The Dalles Dam, 1995.

Figure 7. Daily orifice passage efficiency (OPE) for subyearling chinook salmon in Slot 12B at The Dalles Dam, 1995.

.

1

Figure 8. Daily orifice passage efficiency (OPE) for subyearling chinook salmon in Slot 17B at The Dalles Dam, 1995.

T

OBJECTIVE 2: EVALUATE THE EFFECTS OF EXTENDED-LENGTH BAR SCREENS AND INLET FLOW VANES ON JUVENILE SALMONID DESCALING

16

Approach

The condition of fish captured during OPE tests was monitored using standard Fish

Transportation Oversight Team descaling criteria (Ceballos et al. 1993).

The test slots were dipnetted daily between 1630 and 1800 h. Captured fish were

anesthetized with MS-222, identified by species, and enumerated.

Results and Discussion

Yearling Fish

1

9

1

1

Descaling was low (<3%) for yearling chinook salmon captured during OPE tests

(Table 1). The low level of descaling precluded the need for statistical analysis. Detailed

descaling data are shown in Appendix Table 2.

No descaling occured in the 22-hour period marked fish were in the gate slot during

the efficiency/mortality tests.

Table 1. Descaling test results for yearling chinook salmon at The Dalles Dam, 1995(ESBS = extended-length submersible bar screen). Mean descaling and totalcatch are combined results of fish collected during orifice passage efficiency tests.

	Slot	
5B	12B	17B
ESBS	ESBS	ESBS
	5B ESBS	Slot 5B 12B ESBS ESBS

Porosity of perf. plate (%)3030Mean descaling (%)0.62.40.6Total catch3441,390171

Subyearling Fish

.

1

6

0

.

Descaling was very low (<1%) for subyearling chinook salmon captured during OPE

17

tests (Table 2). Low descaling precluded the need for statistical analysis. Detailed

descaling data are shown in Appendix Table 2.

No descaling occured in the 22-hour period marked fish were in the gate slot during

the efficiency/mortality tests.

Table 2. Descaling test results for subyearling chinook salmon at The Dalles Dam, 1995 (ESBS = extended-length submersible bar screen). Mean descaling and total catch are combined results of fish collected during orifice passage efficiency tests.

		Slot		
	5B	12B	17B	
Screen type	ESBS	ESBS	ESBS	
Porosity of perf. plate (%)	50	50	30	
Mean descaling (%)	0.4	0.2	0.4	
Total catch	516	1.056	267	

OBJECTIVE 3: EVALUATE THE HORIZONTAL DISTRIBUTION OF JUVENILE SALMONIDS AS THEY ENTER TURBINE INTAKES ACROSS **THE POWERHOUSE**

Approach

The center slots of Turbine Units 5, 12, and 17 were dipnetted daily during OPE

tests. Catches from these tests were used to determine the distribution of juvenile

salmonids entering at different locations across the powerhouse. As shown in Figure 1, Unit 5 is situated at the west end of the powerhouse, Unit 12 near the center, and Unit 17

near the east end.

The test slots were dipnetted at the start of a test, then daily between 1630 and 1800 h. Captured fish were anesthetized with MS-222, identified by species, and enumerated.

Results and Discussion

Yearling Fish

.

.

.

1

•

1

.

Individual test results of all horizontal distribution measurements are presented in

Appendix Table 3. The total number of juvenile salmonids (all species combined) obtained

during daily gatewell dipnetting from 23 April through 26 May was 6,038. Horizontal

distribution (all species combined) during 1995 OPE tests was 17, 50, and 33% of the total

catch for Slots 5B, 12B, and 17B, respectively, with the highest catches in the center of the

powerhouse. During the entire 1995 outmigration, the COE's Fisheries Field Unit

conducted surface skimming operations in Slots 1A and 2A (at the west end of the

powerhouse) as an additional method of passing fish. The open sluice gates appeared to

influence the horizontal distribution of juvenile salmonids caught across the powerhouse. Horizontal distribution (all species combined) with sluice gates closed during 1994 FGE tests was 61, 25, and 14% of the total catch for Slots 2B, 12B, and 17B, respectively, indicating higher passage at the west end of the powerhouse (Fig. 9). Also in April 1995, subyearling chinook salmon were present at The Dalles Dam as swimup fry and dominated the catch in Slot 17B (84% of the total). This atypical appearance of non-smolted subyearling chinook salmon further skewed the distribution.

Excluding subyearling chinook salmon from the catch resulted in a horizontal distribution

of 17, 73, and 10% in Slots 5B, 12B, and 17B, respectively.

.

.

Figure 9. Horizontal distribution (% of total catch) across the powerhouse for all juvenile salmonids during the spring test period at The Dalles Dam, 1994-1995. Fish were collected from Slots 2B and 5B at the west end of the powerhouse, Slot 12B in the center, and Slot 17B at the east end.

Subyearling Fish

1

0

.

1

.

.

.

The total number of juvenile salmonids (all species combined) obtained during daily gatewell dipnetting from 19 June through 13 July was 1,859 fish. The catch was composed primarily of subyearling chinook salmon (99%). Summer horizontal distribution of catch (all species combined) in Slots 5B, 12B, and 17B was 28, 57, and 15%, respectively, with highest catches in the center of the powerhouse (Fig. 10). This was similar to the spring

pattern, and also reflected the influence of the open sluice gates in Slots 1A and 2a. In

1994 with the sluice gates closed, summer horizontal distribution in Slots 2B, 12B, and 17B

was 65, 26, and 9%, respectively, with the highest catches at the west end of the

powerhouse.

SUMMARY

1) Mean OPEs for yearling chinook salmon were 77.5, 80.3, and 82.8% for the west

orifices and 65.4, 56.9, and 80.8% for the east orifices in Slots 5B, 12B, and 17B,

respectively. Mean OPEs for yearling chinook salmon in Slots 5B and 12B were

significantly higher in the west orifice than in the east orifice, but there was no

significant difference between mean OPEs in the east and west orifices in Slot 17B.

2) Mean OPEs for subyearling chinook salmon were 78.8, 90.5, and 87.6% for the west

orifices and 42.6, 57.9, and 87.0% for the east orifices in Slots 5B, 12B, and 17B,

respectively. Mean OPEs for subyearling chinook salmon in Slots 5B and 12B were

significantly higher in the west orifice than in the east orifice, but there was no

significant difference between mean OPEs in the east and west orifices in Slot 17B.

3) Descaling rates were low for both yearling chinook salmon (<3%) during the spring

outmigration and subyearling chinook salmon (<1%) during the summer outmigration.

.

Figure 10. Horizontal distribution (% of total catch) across the powerhouse for all juvenile salmonids during the summer test period at The Dalles Dam, 1994-1995. Fish were collected from Slots 2B and 5B at the west end of the powerhouse, Slot 12B in the center, and Slot 17B at the east end.

4) Open sluice gates in Slots 1B and 2B (west end of powerhouse) throughout the entire test period in 1995 appeared to influence horizontal distribution of both spring and summer outmigrants. In 1994, relative horizontal distribution in west, center, and east test slots with sluice gates closed was 62, 25, and 13%, respectively, for spring fish and 65, 26, and 9%, respectively, for summer fish. In 1995 with sluice gates open, the relative horizontal distribution for west, center, and east test slots respectively was 17,

50, and 33% for spring fish and 28, 57, and 15% for summer fish.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to express our appreciation to our seasonal personnel for their interest and efforts during this study. We extend special thanks to the Portland District COE personnel, particularly Mr. John Ferguson, Mr. Robert Dach, Mr. Calvin Sprague, and Mr. Blaine Ebberts, and at The Dalles Dam, Mr. Earl Miles, Mechanical Crew Foreman, for their

assistance and cooperation in this study. We also extend special thanks to Mr. Wallace

Iceberg of our maintenance staff for his innovative ideas and efforts in the completion of

this study.

.

1

•

.

6

1

.

.

REFERENCES

Absolon, R. F., D. A. Brege, B. P. Sandford, and D. B. Dey. 1995. Studies to evaluate the effectiveness of extended-length screens at The Dalles Dam, 1994. Report to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Delivery Order E96930030, 32 p. plus Appendices. (Available from Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Boulevard East, Seattle, WA 98112-2097.)

Brege, D. A., R. F. Absolon, B. P. Sandford, and D. B. Dey. 1994. Studies to evaluate the effectiveness of extended-length screens at The Dalles Dam, 1993. Report to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Delivery Order E96930030, 26 p. plus Appendices. (Available from Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Boulevard East, Seattle, WA 98112-2097.)

Brege, D. A., S. J. Grabowski, W. D. Muir, S. R. Hirtzel, S. J. Mazur, and B. P. Sandford. 1992. Studies to determine the effectiveness of extended traveling screens and extended bar screens at McNary Dam, 1991. Report to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Delivery Order E86910060, 32 p. plus Appendices. (Available from Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Boulevard East, Seattle, WA 98112-2097.)

Brege, D. A., D. R. Miller, and R. D. Ledgerwood. 1987. Evaluation of the rehabilitated juvenile salmonid collection and passage system at John Day Dam--1986. Report to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Contract DACW57-86-F-0245, 30 p. plus Appendices. (Available from Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake

.

0

9

Boulevard East, Seattle, WA 98112-2097.)

Ceballos, J. R., S. W. Pettit, J. L. McKern, R. L. Boyce, and D. F. Hurson. 1993. Fish Transportation Oversight Team Annual Report--FY 1992. Transport operations on the Snake and Columbia Rivers. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS F/NWR-32. 75 p. plus Appendices.

McComas, R. L., D. A. Brege, W. D. Muir, B. P. Sandford, and D. B. Dey. 1993.
Studies to determine the effectiveness of extended-length submersible bar screens at McNary Dam, 1992. Report to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Delivery Order E86910060, 34 p. plus Appendices. (Available from Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Boulevard East, Seattle, WA 98112-2097.)

McComas, R. L., B. P. Sandford, and D. B. Dey. 1994. Studies to determine the effectiveness of extended-length screens at McNary Dam, 1993. Report to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Delivery Order E86910060, 25 p. plus Appendices. (Available from Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Boulevard East, Seattle, WA 98112-2097.)

McComas, R. L., B. P. Sandford, and D. B. Dey. 1995. Vertical barrier screen studies at McNary Dam, 1994. Report to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Delivery Order E86910060, 32 p. plus Appendices. (Available from Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Boulevard East, Seattle, WA 98112-2097.)

Monk, B. H., W. D. Muir, and M. H. Gessel. 1987. Studies to evaluate alternative methods of bypassing juvenile fish at The Dalles Dam--1986. Report to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Contract DACW57-85-F-0295, 12 p. plus Appendix. (Available from Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Boulevard East, Seattle, WA 98112-2097.)

Monk, B. H., W. D. Muir, and R. F. Krcma. 1986. Studies to evaluate alternative methods of bypassing juvenile fish at The Dalles Dam--1985. Report to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Contract DACW57-85-H-0001, 33 p. plus Appendices. (Available from Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Boulevard East, Seattle, WA 98112-2097.)

Swan, G. A., R. F. Krcma, and W. E. Farr. 1979. Dipbasket for collecting juvenile salmon and trout in gatewells at hydroelectric dams. Prog. Fish-Cult. 41(1):48-49.

Appendix Table 1. Orifice passage efficiency (OPE) data from tests at The Dalles Dam, 1995.

Unit 5 Slot B

.

.

.

.

Test date	Orifice [.] open	Number marked	Number recovered	OPE (%)	
23 April 24 April 26 April 2 May 6 May 11 May 12 May 13 May 13 May 14 May 15 May 15 May 15 May 16 May 17 May 18 May 19 May 20 May 20 May 21 May 20 May 22 May 23 May 24 May 25 May 26 May 20 June 23 June 23 June 24 June 25 June 23 June 24 June 25 June 26 June 27 June 28 June 29 June 29 June 30 June 6 July 7 July 8 July 9 July 10 July 11 July 13 July	※ 턴 ※ 턴 ※ 턴 ※ 턴 ※ 턴 ※ 턴 ※ 턴 ※ 턴 ※ 턴 ※ 턴	$ 100 \\ 50 \\ 27 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 50 \\ 51 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 100 \\ $	$ \begin{array}{r} 33 \\ 19 \\ 13 \\ 6 \\ 3 \\ 31 \\ 30 \\ 11 \\ 28 \\ 5 \\ 22 \\ 42 \\ 24 \\ 10 \\ 20 \\ 24 \\ 9 \\ 53 \\ 10 \\ 42 \\ 8 \\ 39 \\ 7 \\ 70 \\ 15 \\ 31 \\ 11 \\ 29 \\ 7 \\ 31 \\ 34 \\ 45 \\ 15 \\ 46 \\ 26 \\ 30 \\ \end{array} $	67 62	

9C - 2C

Appendix Table 1. Continued.

Unit 12 Slot B

.

.

.

•

.

.

-

6

•

Test date	Orifice open	Number marked	Number recovered	OPE (%)	
date 23 April 24 April 26 April 2 May 11 May 12 May 13 May 14 May 15 May 15 May 17 May 18 May 19 May 20 May 20 May 21 May 22 May 23 May 24 May 25 May 26 May 20 June 21 June 22 June 23 June 24 June 25 June 26 June 27 June 26 June 27 June 27 June 28 June 29 June 30 June	Open WEWEWEWEWEWEWEWEWEWEWEWEWEWEWEWEWEWEWE	marked 51 30 50 100 50 100 100 100 100 100	recovered 11 10 10 26 11 37 18 20 17 50 19 22 11 29 12 33 10 52 6 15 80 13 53 6 56 6 15 80 13 53 6 56 6 15 80 13 53 6 56 6 15 80 13 53 6 56 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	OPE (%) 78 67 80 74 78 63 82 80 83 50 81 56 78 42 76 33 80 48 87 85 20 89 34 91 44 94 70 93 50 96 79 82 85 98 61	
13 July	E	100	13 14	87 79	

Appendix Table 1. Continued.

Unit 17 Slot B

.

.

.

.

.

Test date	Orifice open	Number marked	Number recovered	OPE (%)	
23 April	W	72	27	63	
26 April	W	32	5	84	

	2	May	E		114		19		83	
	4	May	W		45		8		82	
	5	May	E		57		4		93	
	6	May	W		35		1		97	
	11	May	W		50		15		70	
	12	May	E		100		21		79	
	13	May	W		100		25		75	
	14	May	E		100		16		84	
	15	May	W		100		15		85	
	17	May	E		98		23	1.1	77	
	18	May	W		100		5		95	
	19	May	E		50		15		70	
	20	May	W		50		0		100	
	21	May	Ε		50		17		66	
	22	May	W		60		13		78	
	20	June	W		100		21		79	
	21	June	E		43		7		84	
	22	June	W		75		4		95	
	23	June	Ε		47		2		96	
	24	June	W		50		4		92	
	25	June	E		105		8		92	
									EXTENSION PROPERTY.	

26	June	W	100	15	85	
27	June	E	50	3	94	
28	June	W	75	1	99	
29	June	E	50	3	94	
30	June	W	50	3	94	
6	July	E	80	6	93	
7	July	W	100	30	70	
8	July	E	100	13	87	
9	July	W	100	4	96	
10	July	E	100	44	56	
11	July	E	100	21	79	
13	July	E	100	12	88	

t J

.

•

.

.

(

t S	b D			04						:*	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3	33.3	0.0		1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0								
t	relir			Ckeye							Ч	З	m	32	19				4	9		m I	<u>ہ</u> ہ	m #	11	- m	2	9								
- - - -	trav			Dear									Г								9	Н			1	ł										
	n).					õ																														
p u d	Cree crsi			014	,					0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0								0.0							
20	subm ar s			at ch						Ч	Ч	2		9	2					0	m								1							
cien.	Jth b			Desc (
L L L L L L L	rsib				-																ð.															
goe	ubme			o\4	0.0	0.0		0	о. О	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 0	0.0			0.0	0							
Sec	ch te s te			tch	1	4		c	σ	č	4	9	2	12	~ ~	4ª C	1 m	4	S	4	22		2		121			Ч	c	V -	(
U U	leng		7	uteetu										4.																				2) 2		
rif.	E STO			Deg																																
mo	95 teno					0	0 0		- 0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0			0	0	0	0	0 0		2	2	0	0									
a L	m, 19 ex ex	ty)	ing	h %	0.0	0.0	0.0		- 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		. 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				0.0	0.0									
dat	s Da SBS	rosi	Yearl	Cato	43	35	28	Υ C	αα	7	11	12	2	10	28	20	04	2	17	24	00 (ο ·	4° (V	13	3	2									
ina	Lle , E	bo		Dead				,	-																1	i i										
SCa	reel reel	(50%																																		
De	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	SBS	ŋ	o*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 8	2
	, ,	н Н Н Н	earlin	Catch	5	2	ŝ		44 7	10	40	7	45	11	12.	/ C F	7 r	14	15	15	16	19	53	1 4	37	11	35	30	62	000	9	16	80	11	4 7	4
Table		lot	Suby	Dear																															-	1

0	0	S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	S	0	0	0	Ч	0	0
•		•	•		•		•			•	•				•		
0	0	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	0	0	0	6	0	0
Ч	З	З	32	19	Ч	1	1	1	4	9	m	ഹ	4	m	11	с	0

28

Appendix

Ц			ă																																				
Ŋ		I																																					
	1																																						
L)				i1	i.	il	11																								¢۵	đ	đ	Ð	a)	Ø	۵	ወ	0)
L L				pr	pr	pr	pr	lay	lay	lay	un																												
5	st	te		A,	R.	RI,	R.	2	2	2	2	Z	Z	≥;	2	N	Z	Σ	Z	Z	2	Σ	N	N	Z	Σ	Z	\geq	Z.	N	J	C	C	C	C	C	C	D	0
ŋ	Te	da		23	24	25	26	Н	2	m	4	S	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27

			0%		0.0			010	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
			Desc. Catch		-			Descratch	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
			Desc. Catch &					DescCatch %	00000000000000000000000000000000000000
			Desc. Catch %					Desc.Catch %	244 244 2524 2524 2525 254 2525 254 2525 252 252
inued.	0% porosity)	Yearling	Desc. Catch %	1 0.0				Yearling chinook DescCatch %	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Table 2. Cont	Slot B: ESBS (5	Subyearling	Desc. Catch %	5 0.0 23 0.0 2 0.0 87 0.0 87 0.0 1 24	2 0.0 14 0.0 70 0.0	13 0.0 8	Slot A: ESTS	Subyearling chinook Desc.Catch %	141 147 147 147 147 147 147 147
Appendix	Unit 5,	Test		28 June 29 June 30 June 5 July 6 July	7 July 8 July 10 July	11 July 12 July 13 July	Unit 12,	Test date	20220100000000000000000000000000000000

•

.

•

٠

.

•

.

.

•

.

•

.

•

•

Desc.		Desc	
% 0.0 0.0	0.0	* 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	
Steelhead Desc. Catch 3	H M H	Steelhead Desc. Catch 8 4 4 171 171 51 51 21 16 4 4	

	-						
Appendix	Tabl	e 5	COI	ıtinued	•		
Unit 12,	Slot	A:	ESTS				
Test	Suby	rearlir	1g		Yearling		
date	chi	nook			chinoo!	~	
	Desc.	Catcl	٦ %	Desc	. Catch	%	
21 June		160	0.0		н	0.0	
22 June	2	125	1.6		e	0.0	
23 June		110	0.0				
24 June	c	299	0.0				
anut ac	7 0	202	0 r				
27 June	2 1	251 251	7.T			8	
28 June	1 (1	259	0.8			•	
29 June	2	285	0.7				
6 July		434	0.0		Ч	0.0	
7 July		539	0.0		1	0.0	
8 July		362	0.0				
9 July	ć	1260	0.2		Ч	0.0	
11 July	(T	2001	0.0				
ATNC 21	10	260	3.8				
Unit 12,	Slot	 Д	ESBS	(50% p(orosit	(Y)	
Test	Suby	earlin	Б		Yearling		
date	chir	Jook	,		chinook		
	Desc.	Catch	%	Desc	Catch	0/0	
23 April		6	0.0		38	0.0	
24 April		C1 0	0.0	,	62	0.0	
TTIDA CZ		ח ד	0.0	-	63	т.6	
1 Mav		10			68	0. r	
2 May		16	0.0		4	10.0	
3 May		00	0.0	Ч	27	3.7	
4 May		ю	0.0		43	0.0	
5 May		6	0.0	2	85	2.4	
10 May		21	0.0	L L	94	1.1	
11 May		Ч	0.0		87	0.0	
12 May		9	0.0	m	68	4.4	
13 May		00 \	0.0	-	60	1.7	
14 May		9	0.0		20	0.0	

	₀%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ockeye	Catch	14	92	4	2	9	17	m	2	13	S	9	Ч	Ъ
Sc	Desc.													

0. 0 Ч

31

Ste	elhead		Coho	
Jesc.	Catch	0%0	Desc. Catch	₀⁄₀
	S	0.0		
	91	0.0	23	0.0
	29	0.0	2	0.0
г	41	2.4	2	0.0
	36	0.0	4	0.0
	16	0.0	e	0.0
	11	0.0		
	2	0.0		
	12	0.0	2	0.0
	32	0.0	Ч	0.0
	4	0.0		
	9	0.0		
г	С	33.3		

0 0

1

٠ N U Tabl

. Continued

•

.

•

•

.

.

•

(\mathbf{Y})			010	0		0.0	6.8	9.1	4.8	0.0	3.8	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0																	
rosit	cearling	chinook	Catch	Ľ	, ,	141	191	55	84	31	26	S	40	65	9	80	Ч		1	1																	
d	А		. SC.				13	പ	4		Ч		2																								
(50%			De																																		
ESBS	Б		0/0	0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
B:	earlin	ook	Catch	σ	, ,	4 T	54	15	58	23	35	13	45	30	80	49	39	21	72	21	44	41	120	31	63	16	84	6	147	24	80	33	108	143	18	10	4
Slot	Subye	chin	Desc.																		г								Ч								

	-																																			
×																																				
	2																																			
g	H			L													5																			
L.	2.5	1.1		5	. >	. >	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	5	5	Je	Je	Je	Je	Je	Je	Je	Je	Je	Je	Je	Je	2	2	2	2	7	2	2	2	2
e.	LT.		41	la,	la.	la	la,	la	la	la I	1a	la,	la	la	la	Iu	In	In	I	E	E	E	'n	E	'n	'n	Ę	'n	[n	þ	['n	[n	'n	['n	Ъ	'n
d.	G	st	te	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	C,	Ċ	C	Ċ	Ċ	C	C	C	C	ר	C	C	0	C	ס	C	J	D	C	D	5
A.	5	Le	Ja	2	16	17	18	19	50	27	5	S	4	22	9	6	0	1	5	3	4	2	9	2	8	6	0	Ы	9	5	80	σ	0	-	2	3
			0			<i>P</i> F	6.1								1.1	CL.	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	11	(4)									

	0/0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		
ckeye	Catch	45	35	80	-	16	80	4	4	9	7	Ч	Т		Ч	Г		
So	Desc.																Ŧ	

•

•

	0/0	
Sockeye	Desc. Catch	

2

		o%	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0								1	040		0.0			0		
	Coho	Desc. Catch	12	36	0	,	9	F		c	N							Coho	Desc. Catch		7				4	
		0/0	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	(0.0	0.0	0.0							0/0		0.0		0.0	0 0		ł,
	elhead	Catch	32	43	21	41	32	13	ı	י ר י	7 m	Ч						elhead	Catch		4	c	, н	-	ł	
	Ste	Desc.																Stee	Desc.							

																						А										
	6	k K	040	10.7	1.5	0.0	13.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0					ty)	5	k	₀⁄₀	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		
	Yearlir	chinoc	Catch	28	65 11	1 12	67	28	m	4	18	-	,	-1					rosi	Yearlin	chinoo	Catch	18	2	22		70	14	m	σ		
nued			Desc.	ŝ	Н		6	-											0% pc			Desc.										
Conti		1	0/0	0	0.0	0.0	0.	0.0	0.	0.0	0.0	.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.	0.0	0.0	3S (3			010	0.	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	0.	0.	0.0	2	
S.E.S.	ling	,	tch	0			0	0 0	0	0	00		0 0		0	0	0 0		ESE:	ling		tch	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0		
ot C	ubyear	hinook	c. Ca				19	15	18	ω [τ		11	13	3 L 8 A	r C	124	153		ot B	Thyear	hinook	c. Cat	S	22	55	45	313	40	34	137		
x Tal			Des									Г							, S10	SI	0	Des										
t 12				ч	Ύε	чy	ау	Ύε	YE	Ύε	VE	nne	aur	ine	ante	JIY	JLY	11Y	t 17				Dril	pril	pril	oril	AV AV	ч	Y	YF	7	
App	Test	date		TO M	14 M	15 M	T M	20 M	22 M	23 M	25 M	19 JI	20 J	22 01	26 JI	7 JI	Б Г от	12 11	Uni	Test	date		23 AI	24 AI	25 A	26 AI	1 Mö	3 Mö	4 Mö	N N		

	₀%	3.5	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ckeye	Catch	28	c		7	14	Ч	Ч	С
So	Desc.	Н							

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	4	Ч	Ч	e	Ч	Ч	н	e	ы	2	

	₀⁄₀	0.0		0.0		0	0.0				0.0										
Coho	Desc. Catch	J		1		•	γ Γ	4			1										
- 1	0/0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0			0.0		
Steelhead	Desc. Catch	22	ŝ	J	J		7	" -	4 -4	2		e	8	T		Τ			4		

•

•

•

•

•

•

Appendix Table 3. Horizontal distribution data from dip-net catch at The Dalles Dam, 1995.

Unit 5, Slot B

•

.

Test date	Subyearling chinook	Yearling chinook	Steelhead	Coho	Sockeye	
23 April 24 April	5 2	43 35	1 4			

2	5 April	. 3	28				
2	6 April	. 7	3				
	1 May	12	1	8			
	2 May	4	1				
	3 May	10	7	3	1		
	4 May	40	11	4	1	1	
	5 May	7	12	6	2	3	
	6 May	45	2	7		3	
1	0 May	11	10	42	6	32	
1	1 May	12	28	18	2	19	
1	2 May	7	20	4		1	
1	3 May	12	3	2		1	
1	4 May	3	4	3		1	
1	5 May	14	2	4		1	
1	6 May	15	17	5		4	
1	7 May	15	24	4	2	6	
1	8 May	16	8	22	3		
1	9 May	19	8	7		3	
2	0 May	23	4	2		5	
2	1 May	47	2			4	
2	2 May	7		1		3	

23 May 37 12 24 May 11 25 May 35 26 May 30 0 19 June 62 20 June 7 21 June 20 22 June 6 23 June 16 24 June 8 25 June 11 26 June 4 27 June 21 28 June 5 29 June 23 30 June 2 5 July 87 6 July 24 July 2 7 July 14 8 70

9 July 10 July 11 July 12 July 13 July

112 13

Appendix Table 3. Continued.

Unit 12, Slot B

•

•

.

•

•

Te da	st te	Subyearling chinook	Yearling chinook	Steelhead	Coho	Sockeye	1
23 24	April April	9	38 62	3			
25	April April	. 19 18	63				

26	April	18	7				
1	May	12	89	8			
2	May	16	4	4			
3	May	8	27	9		1	
4	May	3	43	13	1	2	
5	May	9	85	40	16	1 9	
10	May	21	94	171	25	104	
11	May	1	87	51	4	27	
12	May	6	3	21	4	4	
13	May	8	60	16	2	6	
14	May	6	20	4	1		
15	May	9	5	5		14	
16	May	41	141	91	23	92	
17	May	54	191	29	7	4	
18	May	15	55	41	2	7	
19	May	58	84	36	4	6	
20	May	23	31	16	3	17	
21	May	35	26	11		3	
22	May	13	5	2		2	
23	May	45	40	12	2	13	
24	May	30	65	32	1	5	

25	May	
26	May	
19	June	
20	June	
21	June	
22	June	
23	June	
24	June	
25	June	
26	June	
27	June	
28	June	
29	June	
30	June	
5	July	
6	July	
7	July	
8	July	
9	July	
10	July	
11	July	

 12 July 10 13 July 4

Appendix Table 3. Continued.

Unit 17, Slot B

•

.

٠

۲

•

•

•

•

Test date	Subyearling chinook	Yearling chinook	Steelhead	Coho	Sockeye
23 April 24 April 25 April 26 April	5 22 55 45	18 2 22 1			
1 May 2 May	53 313	52	4	2	2
4 May 5 May	40 34 137	14 3	3		
6 May 10 May 11 May	25 201 95	9 9 3	1 22 3	1	28 3
12 May 13 May 14 May	12 26 33	1	1 1	1	
15 May 16 May	13 138	1 16 2	1 20	3	2 14
18 May 19 May	40 84 3	1	1 1	2	1 1 3
20 May 21 May 22 May	28 12 31	2	2 1	1	1 1
23 May 24 May 25 May	68 92 81	6 8 3	3 8 1		3 5 2
19 June 20 June	25 5 9				
22 June 23 June	6 12		1		
25 June 26 June	5				
27 June 28 June 29 June	10 1 1	27			
30 June 5 July 6 July	5 79 4		1		
7 July 8 July	2				
10 July	35				

36

4

4

12 July 13 July