Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Water Security

journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com/journal/water-security



Candace Agonafir<sup>a,b,c,\*</sup>, Tarendra Lakhankar<sup>b</sup>, Reza Khanbilvardi<sup>a,b</sup>, Nir Krakauer<sup>a,b</sup>, Dave Radell<sup>d</sup>, Naresh Devineni<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Dept. of Civil Engineering, The City University of New York (City College) New York, NY 10031, United States

<sup>b</sup> National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Center for Earth System Sciences & Remote Sensing Technologies (NOAA-CESSRST), United States

<sup>c</sup> Department of Statistics, Learning the Earth with Artificial Intelligence and Physics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, United States

<sup>d</sup> National Weather Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, US Department of Commerce, Upton, NY 11973, United States

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Urban floods Climate impacts Pluvial flooding Hydraulic models Machine learning Crowdsourcing Synthesis

#### ABSTRACT

Due to a changing climate and increased urbanization, an escalation of urban flooding occurrences and its aftereffects are ever more dire. Notably, the frequency of extreme storms is expected to increase, and as built environments impede the absorption of water, the threat of loss of human life and property damages exceeding billions of dollars are heightened. Hence, agencies and organizations are implementing novel modeling methods to combat the consequences. This review details the concepts, impacts, and causes of urban flooding, along with the associated modeling endeavors. Moreover, this review describes contemporary directions towards urban flood resolutions, including the more recent hydraulic-hydrologic models that use modern computing architecture and the trending applications of artificial intelligence/machine learning techniques and crowdsourced data. Ultimately, a reference of utility is provided, as scientists and engineers are given an outline of the recent advances in urban flooding research.

#### 1. Introduction

Urban flooding is a disaster with severe consequences. In urban areas, with limited infiltration, rainfall events may instigate a rapid ascent of floodwaters. Human mortality, injury and long-term health effects are possible outcomes as a result of drownings, vehicular accidents, or collapsed structures. In addition, adverse economic impacts transpire, as transportation services and businesses are damaged and disrupted [80]. Due to increases in urbanization and severe weather events, urban flood occurrence and intensity is expected to increase in the future. Urban flooding has been studied extensively, and in recent years, there has been an increased momentum. Indeed, the United States (U.S.) Department of Energy has dedicated \$66 million towards combating climate change-induced extreme events, such as urban flooding [198], and the National Academy of Sciences organized an ad hoc committee of scientists to conduct case studies and explore urban flood occurrences [128]. The urgency of research is therefore clear, and as a response, the scientific community has investigated causes, created models, and implemented mitigation techniques, generating a rich catalog of urban flood studies.

The goal of this paper is to review the fundamental aspects of urban

flooding and provide a synthesis of contemporary interests and modern approaches. In the process, the paper attempts to synthesize urban flood studies, primarily with a United States (U.S.) focus. Fig. 1 depicts a chart outlining the elements of this review. There are a number of floodrelated reviews. For instance, *An Overview of Flood Concepts, Challenges, and Future Directions* by Mishra et al., analyzes the phenomena of flooding, outlining risks, modeling, and suggestions for optimal resolutions [119]. However, all types of floods are covered in the review; hence, the analysis particular to urban flooding is brief. Likewise, Teng et al., [175] and Fenton, [61] provide overviews of flooding and methods to assess risk, yet specifics on urban flooding are described minimally [61,175]. Distinctively, the current review is solely dedicated to urban flooding, probing specific driving factors and modeling challenges unique to urban flooding.

There have also been previous reviews addressing aspects of urban flooding. In *Urban flood impact assessment: A state-of-the-art review* by Hammond et al., the impacts of urban flooding are examined, predominantly, from an economic viewpoint [80]. The theme of the Hammond et al paper is that urban flood occurrence is devastating, and there is a need for improved methods of estimating the associated direct and indirect financial losses. By contrast, this paper presents a more

\* Corresponding authors. *E-mail addresses:* candace.a@columbia.edu (C. Agonafir), ndevineni@ccny.cuny.edu (N. Devineni).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasec.2023.100141

Received 18 June 2022; Received in revised form 15 June 2023; Accepted 30 June 2023 Available online 13 July 2023

2468-3124/© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).





comprehensive discussion, where urban flood definitions, influences on flood severity, and current modeling undertakings are additionally explored. Also, in Advances in Urban Design and Engineering, Karmaker et al. dedicates a chapter, "Urban Flood Risk Mapping: A State-of-the-Art Review on Quantification, Current Practices, and Future Challenges", to urban flooding [94]. While the chapter outlines urban flood risk and vulnerability; the discussion on modeling techniques is exclusively limited to physical models. Similarly, Bates, Bulti and Abebe, and Cea and Costabile also abridge the modeling discussion of flood risk and prediction to the physics-based modeling methodologies [16,29,32]. Physical modeling is highly significant in urban flood research; nevertheless, the utilization of statistical and machine learning models is also on the rise [123]. The inclusion of these contemporary techniques is essential to provide a holistic view. Accordingly, this review concerns recent advances, and there is also an in-depth discussion on data driven methods, specifically those incorporating Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning (AI/ML) algorithms. There have been urban flood modeling reviews which have mentioned AI/ML methods [77,116]; yet, there is brevity in the descriptions of their implementations. Notably, in this review, there is a larger discussion on AI/ML methods. There is also a cohesive presentation of urban flood research, including the causes and impacts, where an understanding of the phenomena of urban flooding may be achieved, and the modeling endeavors, such as physical models and the newer, more trending, data-driven models are presented.

## 2. Need for urban flood research

Urban floods may occur when a pluvial flood occurs in built environments where the natural landscape has been altered by the creation of sidewalks, buildings, and roads [66,161]. In these environments, impervious surfaces disrupt the absorption of rainwater into the ground. Consequently, instead of natural processes, sewer system becomes the central mode for stormwater removal. Highlighting the role of the sewer network, FEMA allows for urban flood characterization to include flooding by sewer back-ups (water entering properties from internal drains), clogged catch basins (blocked inlet drains of the stormwater infrastructure), manhole overflows (water flowing from sewer connection through the covers), and water seepage from walls and floors [66]. Moreover, the National Academy of Sciences describes urban flooding as floodwaters exceeding the stormwater capacity [128]. An urban flood may also be labeled as a flash flood. When high-intensity precipitation events occur in an urban environment, flood levels may rapidly arise as the sewer system struggles to remove the continuous downpour of water. Accordingly, in this incident, the categorization of the event would be that of an urban flood.

Due to increased urbanization and the effects of climate change, the threat of urban flooding is evermore present. The proceeding subsection will detail these challenges. The impacts in regard to health and safety, economic consequences, and the effect of urbanization and climaterelated intense rainfall events are discussed.

# 2.1. Impact of flooding towards health and safety

There is not extensive literature on deaths directly from urban flooding as most literature compiles statistics on deaths for all flooding incidents, including coastal and riverine floods. However, a glimpse of the urban flood danger may be revealed by specific events. For instance, in September of 2021, there were a total of 44 New York City (NYC), New Jersey, and Westchester County deaths from the urban flood event resulting from the Hurricane Ida-induced torrential rainfall [59,142]. Overall, it has been reported that there are approximately 100 flood related deaths in the U.S. annually [13,89]. Internationally, Jonkman and Kelman found that 175,000 flooding deaths had occurred over a period from 1975 to 2001[90], and Paterson and Harris estimated approximated 4,700 flood deaths in the year 2016[138].

There are a variety of ways urban flood fatalities occur. Considering the September 2021 post-tropical depression Ida event, 11 of the 13 NYC deaths were caused by basement flooding [192], where floodwaters entered the basement units of multi-family homes via windows and openings, trapping the residents inside and resulting in drowning. The



Fig. 1. A flow chart outlining the key elements of the review.

data encompassing all flooding classifications also shows that drowning deaths are most frequently caused by vehicular flooding, as opposed to those who die within their homes [13,63,193]. Vehicle-related deaths comprising a large portion implies that the deceased may have been unaware of the imminent flooding danger, as the person would have otherwise taken cover in a shelter. Besides basement drownings and vehicular deaths, sources of flood fatalities include pedestrian crossings, collapsed buildings, and electrocution [13,14,191].

Aside from death, there are additional safety and health concerns associated with flooding. For one, non-fatal injuries, such as blunt trauma, contusions, lacerations, animal bites, and puncture wounds may be sustained [166,191]. Indeed, flooding events resulting in non-fatal damages are seven times more likely to occur than those resulting in death [91]. Moreover, these injuries are often brought about by downed power lines, debris, broken windows, falling trees, stray animals (snakes, rodents, etc.), and building collapses, which occur during floods [31,166]. While preventive measures such as boarding windows and seeking safe shelter may alleviate the occurrence of injuries, it has also been found that many of flood damages occur during transport or evacuation [166]. Therefore, earlier warning alerts would be beneficial as to allow more time for safety preparations. Another health concern of flooding is contaminated water. Ten Veldhuis et al demonstrated urban flood waters to contain elevated amounts of fecal matters. By studying three flooding incidents in Hague, the Netherlands, the intestinal enterococci and E.coli levels were found to be one to three times greater than the levels in European bathing quality water [174]. This finding indicates that the floodwaters posed unacceptable risk to human health [174]. Furthermore, the Center of Disease Control (CDC) states that floodwaters may contain human waste, industrial hazardous waste, and carcinogenic compounds, such as arsenic, chromium, and mercury, where exposure to the waters may cause skin rash, gastrointestinal illness, wound infections, tetanus, and leptospirosis [31]. Thus, floodwaters pose physical danger with immediate effects, such as injuries or death, in addition to accompanying health risks, which may lead to disease and illness.

## 2.2. Economic impacts of urban flooding

There are substantial economic costs associated with urban flooding catastrophes. During an urban flooding event, destruction to the infrastructure, such as power failures, interrupted transportation services, and structural damages to the buildings and vehicles, is possible. Given the dense population and infrastructure of cities, the financial loss in urban flooding is expected to be greater than that for a rural flood of similar magnitude [163]. Exemplifying the expense of flooding in urban areas, FEMA data shows that there were \$10 billion in payouts, loans and grants to NYC and New Orleans over a 10-year period from 2004 to 2014 [128]. Also, over a five-year period, it is estimated that there were more than \$750 million in urban flooding costs for the Chicago metro area [42], and in Detroit, a single urban flooding incident of 2014 resulted in approximately \$2 billion of loss [134]. Finally, the posttropical depression Ida mass casualty event of 2021 incurred \$7.5 to \$9 billion in expense to New York and \$8 to \$10 billion in New Jersey. The overall estimated economic loss to the U.S. from the Hurricane Ida incident is greater than \$75 billion [21].

As well as the large natural disasters, chronic smaller floods cause financial strain. Indeed, Merz et al had shown that higher probability, low damage events had enacted more strain in case studies of riverine flooding [114]. Regrettably, the costs of lower magnitude and frequent urban floods are not as well documented [128]. The reason may be that small urban floods, occurring during high intensity rainfall days or days with steady rainfall of long duration, are sporadically located throughout a city. This would make monetary quantification difficult, as only specific sections are affected at a time. In NYC, for instance, by the subway damage of Superstorm Sandy, the Metropolitan Transportation Authority (MTA) had estimated \$5 billion in transit costs [56,113,122];

likewise, for post-tropical depression Ida, NYC MTA announced the flooding damage to be \$75 to \$100 million [79]. Yet, throughout the years, there have been chronic, much smaller subway flooding and infrastructural incidents in NYC, where minimal or no cost estimates were publicly disclosed. Examples include the subway flooding during Hurricane Henri in August of 2021 [25,115], Hurricane Elsa in July 2021 [118,162], and Hurricane Florence in September of 2018 [57,64]. Additionally, news reports have depicted subway flooding on high rainfall days in the absence of tropical depressions, such as the subway flooding on May 5, 2017, where two services lines and a Penn Station entrance were shut down [149], yet no cost estimates were provided. The chronic urban flooding incidents carry a financial burden, including lower ticket sales (when a portion of transit is closed), increased cleaning fees, and critical costs of repair to electrical and plumbing systems. Yet, for these newsworthy events, documentation of the transit costs is undiscoverable. Therefore, there are economic stresses of urban flooding, of which are not fully quantified.

In addition to the primary impacts of urban flooding, there are also indirect costs. An indirect cost is considered an expense that is not immediate, and it may even occur years after the flood [5,80]. These types of costs include business interruption, loss of wages, long-term infrastructural damage, disruption of goods and services, tourism reduction, relocation expenses, and rental income loss [5,80,155]. In a United Kingdom study, Penning-Rowsell and Parker found that indirect expenses may be as high as 93% of direct flood losses [141], and in a Thailand based study, Tanoue et al found that indirect expenses represented two-thirds of the direct costs for a major flooding incident [172]. Thus, it is important to note that even in well-documented flooding expenses, there will also be a significant portion of indirect costs succeeding the aftermath.

## 2.3. Impact of climate change on urban flooding

Along with rapid urbanization, greater rainfall amounts or intensity as a result of a warming climate, will over stress the current drainage systems, thereby presenting an ever more severity of urban flooding [66,81]. In a 2019 U.S. climate report, NOAA shows that from September 2018 through August 2019, the precipitation mean of 37.55 in. (953.77 mm) was 7.61 in. (193.29 mm) above average (approximately 25% higher), making the year the fourth wettest period on record [130]. Further, it was found that for every 1.8 degrees Fahrenheit (1 degree Celsius) increase, the number of extreme storms increased by 21%, and by an analysis of climate change projections, it is estimated that the number of severe precipitation storms will grow 60% by the year 2100[28]. It has also been shown that storms have increased in areas where overall precipitation has decreased [11,12,177]. Thus, in these areas, when rainfall occurs, it is doing so at greater intensities [177]. Lastly, there is the effect of the urban heat island. By the increase of urban land use, such as building and concrete installations, convection is amplified, resulting in an increase in local precipitation follows [139].

An increase in extreme precipitation from tropical cyclones and other storms overtaxes the urban sewer network, as the capacities are designed to transport smaller volumes of stormwater [81,194,197]. This inadequate infrastructure is exemplified in the report, The New Normal: Combating Storm-Related Extreme Weather in New York City, which was created by the City of New York in response to the devastation of Hurricane Ida. During the tropical storm, the two-hour rainfall amounts surpassed the supposed 500-year storm in NYC [131], and the hourly rainfall was the greatest ever on record for the city [41]. The report states that the peak rainfall of 3.15 in. (80.01 mm) per hour exceeded the sewer system's current capacity of 1.75 in. (44.45 mm) per hour, and thus, it is recommended the drainage system undergo extensive renovation to double its capacity, at a project estimation of \$100 billion [41]. Considering that the previous hourly record was set by Hurricane Henri a month prior [41], the suggested revision is an acknowledgement that there is an increasing risk of urban flooding and that design peak rainfall rates based on extrapolating past observations do not adequately account for the increasing rainfall intensity under a warming climate. Thus, due to a greater frequency of storms, specifically those of high rainfall intensity, urban flooding may become more severe, especially if the changes in the climate outpace the renovation of the sewer networks, which is likely considering the fiscal strains under which many cities labor.

## 3. Causes of urban flooding

Extreme precipitation, infrastructural factors such as the overloading of the sewer system or the blockage of inlet drains, topography, such as slope and elevation, and land features, such as buildings and impervious cover, play a major role in flooding risk. Geographic location may also determine flood risk; for example, areas located near the coasts are more vulnerable, where coastal waters overflow onto neighboring surfaces during rain events and are worsened by sea level rise and storm surge. As the list of possible urban flooding factors is extensive, this section puts forth some generally included variables and provides a foundational illustration of how different natural or engineering features affect water flow.

## 3.1. Precipitation

Precipitation is the main cause of urban flooding. While snowmelt holds contribution, particularly in urban areas of the northern hemisphere [159,180], rainfall is the driving factor [143,157,163,180]. In regards to rainfall, it is important to note that there are various expressions of the variable, such as rainfall intensity, storm duration, or total rainfall amounts, and, subsequently, there are studies where only one rainfall input is utilized, and some studies where a combination of rainfall parameters are applied. For example, Cea et al, only includes rainfall intensity in their numerical method-based rainfall-runoff model for urban areas [33], while Qin et al utilize rainfall amount, peak intensity, and duration in the investigation of low impact development techniques for urban stormwater management [143]. In another urban flood study, Liu et al employs rainfall intensity, while introducing an additional measure, rainfall movement direction [108]. Interestingly, in Liu et al, it is demonstrated that rainfall movement direction has up to a 20% effect on peak runoff in Shenzhen, China [108].

Arguably, rainfall intensity, as suggested by literature and fundamental hydraulic design, has the greatest impact on urban flooding. Urban drainage systems are designed for maximum rate of discharge (volume per time), which is an intensity-based metric [50,160,181]. Specifically, in flood protection hydraulic design, a vital parameter in the sizing of drainage structures is the design flow rate [85], and calculations of rainfall intensity are foundational for its determination [85,181]. Additionally, rainfall intensity for peak flow estimations is utilized within the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) urban peak flow regression equations, where the 2-hour, 2-year occurrence rainfall intensity serves as an input [75], and in NYC, a 5-year return period is used with hourly rainfall intensity [40]. Also, by Emil Kuichling's rational method, average rainfall intensity is used, in conjunction with drainage area and the runoff coefficient, to determine the peak runoff rate [181]. While rainfall intensity is most significant, the element is oftentimes accompanied by storm duration values in formulations. Indeed, the intensity-duration-frequency curves, depicting the relationship between rainfall intensity, storm duration, and return period, are commonly used in peak flow methods for urban areas [85,181].

Along with the varying forms of rainfall variable estimations, there are also different methods of collecting the rainfall data. Mainly, there are two commonly used techniques, in-situ and remote sensing (radar and satellite), and, for urban flood applications, there are advantages and disadvantages of each. First, being direct measurement instruments, rain gauges have an advantage over remote sensing estimates, as common satellite issues, such as cloud top reflectance, thermal radiance, retrieval algorithm and overpass frequency do not apply [2], and although rain gauges, at times, suffer instrumental error [70], the error decreases with increased rainfall intensity [120]. On the other hand, an advantage of remote sensing data is its ability to provide adequate spatial distribution for rainfall estimations [176]. The distance between rain gauges is problematic for the hydrological model, particularly for small-scale urban drainages [126,184], and, in fact, some studies have shown it may account for as much as 20% of uncertainty [176,185]. Schilling suggested resolutions to be roughly 1 km for an urban area [156], and Berne et al. recommended a spatial resolution of 3 km for urban catchments of the order of 1000 ha [20]. As ground-based radar systems provide between 1-to-2 km resolution, the method is suitable [106]. In the U.S., 15 min and one hour rainfall gauge data may be obtained from the National Climatic Data Center NOAA [132], and a source of radar data is the Earth Observing Laboratory, where hourly, 6hour, and 24-hour totals are available [54]. Hence, both methods of collecting rainfall data, remote sensing and rain gauges, have benefits and drawbacks, and in fact there are combined precipitation products that merge the different data sources in order to produce more accurate data.

## 3.2. Topography

The two main topographic flood factors are slope and elevation. First, slope, the incline of the ground surface, has effect on urban flooding, and similar to precipitation, many traditional hydraulic computations involve slope as a fundamental variable. For instance, in the analysis of urban runoff, the time of concentration (a necessary variable in hydrograph creations) calculations rely on surface slope values [85,161,181]. Also, slope is a necessary component of Manning's equation, which is frequently used to determine water velocity [85,161,181]. Larger slope angles lead to increases in velocity and discharge. Furthermore, studies have also illustrated the effect of slope on flooding. For instance, Bruwier et al demonstrated that mean water depth and peaks in stored runoff volume are lower in areas with greater slopes [27]. Thus, it seen that flatter surfaces are at higher flood susceptibility.

Elevation is also a commonly considered topographical factor in urban flooding. Indeed, numerous flood studies utilize a digital elevation model (DEM) as a basis in urban flood assessment [46,101,125,189]. Specifically, elevation influences flooding, as areas of low elevation are at an increased flood risk [15,135]. Demonstrating the effect, Kocornik-Mina et al show that for urban areas 10 m above sea level, the annual risk of flooding is 1.3%, while for urban areas 10 m below sea level, the risk is substantially greater, at 4.9%[97]. One reason for the impact is that lower elevated areas may be located at the bottom of a sloped surface, where water ponding is facilitated [183]. Areas close in elevation to the ocean or lake surface will also be vulnerable to rise in the water level due to regional precipitation, storm surge, or sea level rise. Hence, elevation is a topographical consideration when examining the flood risk of a city, particularly near a coast, where many large cities are located.

## 3.3. Land features

Land features, which may be considered engineered impervious surfaces, such as concrete sidewalks or asphalt streets, or man-made structures, such as buildings, have an impact on urban flooding. Firstly, acknowledged as significant, a variable representing the impervious cover of ground surfaces is utilized in many traditional hydraulic calculations. For instance, in the rational method, the runoff coefficient, a dimensionless number representing the infiltration ability of an area, is used to calculate the peak runoff volume in urban regions [181]. Specifically, the runoff coefficient has a direct relationship to the peak runoff rate, where higher values result in higher discharges, and impervious surfaces are assigned values ranging from 0.70 to 0.95, whereas green surfaces range from 0.05 to 0.35 values [181]. Also, in the Soil Conservation Service (SCS) method, cumulative runoff is calculated based on a direction relationship with curve number, where the curve number has greater values for urban districts and impervious surfaces, as opposed to open spaces with grass cover and meadows [85]. Additionally, as influences of increased flood risk, impervious cover is accounted for in multiple urban flood studies [48,58,168].

In addition to impervious ground surfaces, buildings contribute to urban flood occurrence. Buildings prevent infiltration, increase runoff, and affect the path and geometry of water flow [99]. Bruwier et al found that building factors, such building coverage, building size, and distance between buildings, dominated the other variables of the study, which had included street width and street curvature [27]. In another study of Szechuan, China, Lin et found that the density of buildings, building congestion, and the building coverage had more influence on pluvial flooding events than other variables, such as percentage of impervious surface, average roughness, average altitude, and average precipitation [107]. They demonstrated that the model incorporating building factors performed better (lower root relative squared error) than the model without inclusion [107]. Hence, building dynamics exert an appreciable influence on the occurrence of flooding in an urban area.

## 3.4. Infrastructure

The performance of the drainage infrastructure greatly affects urban flooding. The infrastructure, as related to urban flooding, includes all aspects of the sewer system imposed with the conveyance of stormwater. An inefficiency of a component adversely affects the removal of water from the surface. It is beyond the intent of this review to divulge into detailed aspects of engineering design, involving capacity calculations and pipe sizing methodologies; nevertheless, two main infrastructurally related factors are presented here: catch basin grate issues and the surcharged sewers. Both problem areas have been presented in prior studies as having noticeable impacts on flooding.

Catch basin blockages during a storm event is a significant contributor to urban flooding, as catch basins are the primary mechanisms for rainwater to enter the sewer system. As in many metropolitans, there are few alternative outlets; thus, when trash or other debris cover a catch basin drain, surface water, unable to permeate the streets, begins to pond and ascend to flood levels. The NYC Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) lists catch basing clogs as one of the three main causes of street flooding in NYC, aside from climate change and surcharged sewers [39]. Agonafir et al found that catch basin clogged complaints reported in the NYC 311 platform were a significant predictor of street flooding complaints in nearly half of all NYC zip codes [4], and had overwhelming percentage of importance in accounting for its spatial variability among neighborhoods [3]. Furthermore, Despotovic et al conducted laboratory tests, where it was shown that inlet coverings prevented the capture of water flow by as much as 60%[49]. Many urban flood studies include a clogging factor, which depicts the amount of runoff remaining on the surface for a given flow [49,71,76,150]. Hence, the blockage of catch basins has importance in urban flood risk assessment.

Surface water rises to flood levels in the advent of sewer surcharge. A sewer system is described as surcharged when the underground drainage network is at capacity, and rainwater is no longer able to enter the stormwater drains [39]. Moreover, there is the phenomena of extended surcharge, where the underground water, held under pressure, flows in reverse order, and exits onto the streets, usually via manholes or private drains [157]. Accordingly, sewer surcharge is accounted for in urban flood modelling [18,87,151,182]. Indeed, for adequate urban drain flow representation, Schmitt et al recommends a specific technique, dual drainage modelling, which accommodates the interaction between the surface flow and sewer flow during sewer surcharge [157]. Thus, to summarize, sewer surcharge and catch basin clogging issues depict a

situation where rainwater gathers on the surface or streets, unable to be processed via the stormwater drains. With both flooding factors sharing the same outcome, a clogged catch basin illustrates problems within the external environment, where there is a blockage preventing connection to the underground system, and sewer surcharge and extended surcharge signify issues of the internal sewer system, where it is overloaded and poorly functioning.

## 3.5. Sea level rise

It has been shown that for the last 25 years, sea levels have been rising at an average rate of 3.3 mm/year [158]. Also, NOAA reports that water levels along the US coastline will rise an additional 10 to 12 in. (254 to 305 mm) by 2050, and flooding occurrence increase by 10 times the present frequency [133]. Therefore, for urban districts, located at a coast, there is increased potentiality, especially during extreme rain events, for the surrounding water bodies to overflow onto the land [72,165,171]. Griffiths et al had particularly shown that sea level rise of up to 47.2 in. (1.2 m) would result in higher volumes of overflow and longer times for stormwater removal [72]. In addition, Woodruff et al demonstrated that, due to sea level rise, flood levels previously associated with a 100-year storm are now taking place during three-to-20-year storms [186]. Thus, in certain urban areas, flooding is occurring more frequently due to the rising heights of the surrounding water bodies.

## 4. Recent advances in urban flood modeling

Urban flood models are often used to assess flood factors and determine risk zones. In an effort to protect life and property, urban flood modeling is a continuous endeavor. Highlighting both the traditional and recent advances, this review will discuss the main types of urban flood models: hydraulic-hydrologic models, cellular automata models, statistical models, and machine learning models.

## 4.1. Early hydraulic-hydrologic models

The hydraulic-hydrologic model, serving the purpose of simulating stormwater runoff, may be considered the standard approach in the assessment of urban flooding. Often referred to as dual drainage modeling, the hydrologic aspect concerns the water's behavior on the ground surface; whereas, the hydraulic portion regards the sewer network [99]. An early dual drainage model is the Storm Water management model (SWMM)[99]. Typical inputs for SWMM include detailed drainage plans, rainfall data, a mapping of impervious cover, and a DEM [55,112]. The model then incorporates hydrologic transactions, such as infiltration, interception and depression storage, and hydraulic processes, such as the routing of runoff through the system of piping, channels and storage, to simulate the behavior of stormwater under different climatic scenarios [55]. Thus, the SWMM model has many applications, including drainage design and sizing for flood control and the mapping of flood plains [55]. SWMM has been specifically applied towards urban flood estimation. For instance, Jiang et al utilized SWMM to estimate flood levels in Dongguan City, China for various return period precipitation [88], and Rabori and Ghazavi employed SWMM to simulate peak flows in the urban area of Zanjan City, Iran for 50-year return periods [145].

Hydrologic-hydraulic modeling may apply two techniques, 1D/1D (one dimensional/one-dimensional) or 1D/2D (one dimensional/twodimensional). The 1D/1D method models water flowing along surface streets. While it is apt at depicting the movement along one surface, it is limited in accounting for dynamic movement, such as the water overflowing curbs [99,111]. The 1D/2D model, on the other hand, simulates water movement that changes dimension, and it may also account for the infiltration of the permeable grasses or trees lining the sidewalks and surfaces [99,111]). While SWMM is considered a 1D/1D model, popular 1D/2D models are MIKE URBAN and InfoWorks ICM [22,154]. MIKE

URBAN utilizes the SWMM mechanism; additionally, it extends by simulating 2D overland flow and integrating GIS capabilities [22]). Also integrating GIS, InfoWorks ICM simulates the urban catchment, and it has been used for flood risk mapping and prediction [167]. In addition, cities, such as NYC use InfoWorks ICM in hydraulic modeling for drainage design [38]. Mark et al found that 1D/1D modeling performed well in evaluating the interaction between rainfall and the urban environment for larger flooding events; however, for the smaller, chronic urban floods, the 1D/1D models, unable capture the finer details, were limited in portraying accurate schematics [111]. Bisht et al found that the 1D/2D model, MIKE URBAN, performed better at modeling various levels of floods (large and small)[22]. There are, however, some drawbacks to the 1D/2D models. 1D/2D model requires extensive computational times, and the high costs associates due to this requirements. Further, they incur significant licensing and development expenses [84,100,111].

There is a significant barrier for both models (1D/1D and 1D/2D) in regards to the availability of the inputs. Specifically, the details of the underground sewer network may be difficult to obtains, as for many metropolitans, either due to age or security concerns, the drainage details are unavailable, incomplete, or inaccessible to researchers [6]. Therefore, while the dual-drainage model has useful applications, there are also accompanying challenges, such as cost, computational time, and data availability.

## 4.1.1. Advances in hydraulic-hydrologic models

There have been some 1D/2D models developed to overcome the time efficiency issues. Since the application of Shallow Water Equations (SWEs) has been a distinct cause for the slow processing speed of typical 1D/2D methods, there has been the introduction of models which employs Graphic Processing Units (GPUs) or omits less essential SWE calculations [74]. JFLOW, for instance, is a well-studied model, which has applied GPUs, to increase processing speed [44]. In addition, JFLOW simplifies the SWEs by a diffusive wave approximation, assuming gravitational force and resistance force are in equilibrium [170]. By the methodology of JFLOW, processing speeds may be as much as 100 times faster, with results comparable to the traditional 1D/2D models [98,121]. However, Morris et al notes that JFLOW is better suited for shallow water simulations [121]. Su et al also states that, since JFLOW is not adept at water flowing in both directions, the model is limited when modeling the complexities of the urban topography [170]. Another model, which uses the diffusive wave approximation is LISFLOOD-FP [17,164]. LISFLOOD-FP 8.0 has GPU solvers parallelized, resulting in substantial increase in computational speed [164]. However, some limitations include not accurately simulating flow under complex urban terrains [62]. Therefore, while there are 1D/2D models, which have been modified to reduce computational time, limitations remained, specifically towards accurately simulating flow in the urban environment.

In recent years models have been developed to improve the computational performance of the urban terrain. One such model is the Parallel Raster Inundation Model (PRIMo). Using a Godunov-type finite volume scheme for shallow water equations, PRIMo improves upon speed by limiting flux calculations and by parallel scaling [153]. Also, without drainage infrastructure information, PRIMo has been shown to predict flood inundation in the urban city of Los Angeles at a reasonable accuracy [153]. Another physical model, which has successfully modeled urban flooding without a map of the underground sewer system is FLURB-2D [136]. FLURB-2D, a hydrodynamic model utilizing a Galerkin finite element technique for solving shallow water equations, creates a mapping of the area based on observed inlets [136]. Also, a hydrodynamic model, RIM2D, alleviates data requirements, such as sewer plans, by rasterizing building locations [8]. With coding on CUDA and runs via GPUs, this model has a simplified build, quickened processing and the ability to forecast flooded areas, depths and velocities [8]. Thus, there are continuous adjustments in physical models to

accommodate the urban area.

Furthermore, in regards to the data availability issues, experimental modeling with the application of numerical methods have been of aid. In this context, experimental modeling refers to conducting laboratory experiments to simulate urban flow, such as street flow and surfacesewer interactions [117]. Oftentimes, the experimental models are of benefit to hydraulic-hydrologic models by serving as validation sets. This is especially helpful in the field of urban flooding, where the incidence of flooding occurs quickly, creating difficulty in measuring extent. Moreover, when experimental models are used for validation, the models also detect areas of computational deficiencies. For example, in the Arrault et al study, an urban European experimental model not only evaluated the accuracy of a 2D shallow water hydraulic-hydrologic model; yet, it also showed issues within the Cartesian grid of the flow calculations [10]. Also, Li et al utilized experimental modeling to investigate the efficacy of the shallow water equations involved with a 2D computation model, where open areas were identified as a vulnerability in the model's prediction capability [104]. Therefore, experimental modeling provides a dataset for validation and serves as a troubleshooting mechanism for assumptions within equations of the hydraulic-hydrologic model. On a final note, regarding experimental modeling, the validation ability of experimental datasets have been of benefit to other models, in addition to the hydraulic-hydrologic. For instance, Dottori and Todini utilized an urban district experimental model to analyze the efficiency a 2D cellular automata model [52]. In the upcoming section, cellular automata modeling in urban flooding is described in more detail.

#### 4.2. Cellular automata

Cellular automata (CA) is a grid-based approach that has been applied to urban flood modeling. With CA, detailed engineering plans of the drainage network are not required since simplifying assumptions are made, and pre-processing times are much lower than those of the physical 1D/2D models [69,129]. CA operates by discrete time/space steps, where a central cell is surrounded by a group of cells, defining an "area of influence" [37]. The area of influence at a time step will affect the cell at the subsequent time step [37]. In one of the first implementations of CA to simulate water spread, Cirbus and Podhoranyi utilized a DEM, where a cell would portray a water level; when the water level is flagged flooded, the water is shown as being transferred to a neighboring cell [37]. Then, in conjunction with hydrologic calculations and optimization techniques, this CA modeling approach was able to simulate runoff. In later years, the CA model has been improved and tailored toward urban flooding applications. For instance, Ghimire et al utilized rainfall data, a DEM, and a constant value for Manning's coefficient to develop a CA-based algorithm [69]. Water depth was able to be simulated, and the researchers found the results to be comparable to the physical model. In addition, Armal and Al-Suhili applied a modified CA model to a sub-catchment in NYC, which extended by accounting for the blockages of inlets [9]. They found that the water levels of the CA were consistent with the water levels measured by survey [9]. Also, Nkwunonwo et al had modified the CA model to incorporate semi-implicit finite difference numerical calculations (providing a physics-based component), and the results had shown to predict water depth in the urban area of Lagos, Nigeria at a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.968 [129]. Nevertheless, there are limitations associated with CA models. For instance, CA neglects momentum conservation in its formulations, and consequently, velocity of flow estimations are adversely affected [86]. Nevertheless, CA is a widely implemented approach to simulate water flow, with lower costs and data requirements than hydrodynamic modeling techniques.

# 4.3. Statistical models

Statistical Models use probabilistic techniques to evaluate flooding

risk or to forecast flood occurrences. Often using a Bayesian framework and/or including Poisson, or Negative Binomial (NB) statistical links, these models are employed, especially in newer studies, to remedy data availability and cost issues. For instance, Wu et al utilized a Bayesian framework to assess flood risk in the urban area of Zhengzhou City, China [188]. By assessing topography, rainfall, proximity and density to the river network, and land cover, influential factors were identified with low relative errors, and the mapping of predicted flooded areas were similar to the mapping of flooded areas based on historical events [188]. In another study, Li et al combined Bayesian methodology with Synthetic Aperture Radar observations to predict flood extent with an accuracy of 95%, when compared to the actual flooded conditions of the Houston, TX in 2017[105]. Aside from Bayesian frameworks, more traditional statistical regression techniques have also been used to examine flooding factors. For example, Agonafir et al utilized a negative binomial generalized linear regression technique to identify infrastructural and climatic factors affecting NYC street flooding complaints [4]. Additionally, Fang et al employed a Poisson-based generalized linear regression to identify and rank significant flooding factors for the Yangtze River in China [60]. Furthermore, Sadler et al found that the Poisson generalized linear regression model performed well in examining flooding influences in Norfolk, VA [152]. Therefore, data-driven models seem to hold promise for urban flood analysis.

## 4.4. Artificial intelligence and Machine learning (AI/ML)

Considerably one of the more modern approaches towards urban flood assessment and prediction, AI/ML techniques also have been implemented as a complement to physics-based models. AI/ML describes the programming of machines, using a set of training data, to learn and act without explicit step-by-step instructions, and predict future occurrences, or prescribe recommended actions [26]. Accordingly, due to the capabilities of these methods to learn from an environment, urban flood research has potential to benefit. Historical observations or documentations of flooding, in addition to data, such as topographical and land feature conditions, allow AI/ML methods to read the environment, learn the situation, and provide telling information, thereby attenuating reliance on the more inaccessible drainage data needed for physical modeling. AI/ML methods are lower in computational costs, while the possibility of maintaining accuracy and efficiency [45,123]. However, AI/ML methods may still be limited by the availability of a long and accurate historical record for training and may not perform well in simulating unprecedented conditions. Moreover, for accurate simulation of flood inundation, they would still need mapped inundation for training, which is still sparse in urban environments. With recent advances in unmanned air vehicles (UAVs) [103,178], and modern approaches to using processed images from public cameras and social media feeds (which in themselves are done using modern AI-based pattern recognition techniques)[53,95,124], inundation maps could be generated that can serve as training set for AI/ML-based flood simulation. This is still an emerging area of study.

There are multiple methodologies, including Fuzzy Logic, Genetic Algorithm for Rule Set Production, Support Vector Machine, and Multilayer Perceptron. However, the two techniques with the greatest upward trend in recent years are the Artificial Neural Network (ANN) and Decision Tree (DT) implementations, with ANN methods representing the vast majority of published articles [123]. Thus, this review will elaborate the ANN and DT techniques, with more detail given to ANN.

## 4.4.1. Artificial Neural network (ANN)

The ANN architecture, an interconnected network of nodes, or "neurons", was created with the aim of imitating the biological nervous system, particularly the human-brain processes [110,173]. It has been considered the most prevalent of AI/ML techniques for flood analysis, and it has the ability to understand nonlinear relationships better [123].

By the complex comprehension of relationships and interactions, ANNs are able to simulate water behavior, such as evaporation, rainfall-runoff, and discharge [123,173]. Indeed, Karl and Lohani found ANN modeling to outperform traditional statistical methods at estimating peak flow [93], and Zhao et al found that the ANN model had higher precision and accuracy in detailing flood susceptibility than logistic regression and support vector machine models [195]. With increasing usage, researchers have also found utility in the application of ANN subsets, including the Convolutional Neural Network (CNN), the Feedforward Neural Network (FNN), and Recurrent Neural Network (RNN).

The CNN operates by three main layers: convolution, pooling, and fully connected [190]. The convolution layer extracts and learns from the inputs; the pooling layer, often set between convolution layers, enhances shift-invariance by reducing resolution; then, ultimately, the fully connected layers build upon the convolution and pooling layers to perform high-level interpretation and final output cataloguing [73,190]. Hence, based on this building block composition, an urban environment may be examined, without the need of detailed engineering plans. Particularly, CNN aids in the creation of flood risk zones. For instance, Zhao et all utilized a CNN model with nine input variables to predict flood occurrence and to map the areas of greater flood susceptibility in Beijing, China [196]. Also, Peng et al, with the use of satellite imagery, engaged CNN for the processing of high precision urban flood maps in Houston, TX [140]. In addition to risk zones mapping, CNN has been applied to forecasting. For example, Chen et al developed a CNN-based forecasting model to accurately predict flood peaks [34], and Guo et al employed a predictive CNN model, where the computation time was found to be 0.5% the time of the physical model [78]. However, while Guo et al determined that the accuracy of the CNN was sufficient, the CNN did not perform as well for areas with higher slopes and varying terrain inputs [78]. Finally, CNN has been widely applied to image processing. For example, Gebrehiwot et al utilized CNN to successfully extract and identify flooded areas from Unmanned Aerial Vehicles images [68]. Therefore, CNN techniques are shown to have vast applications.

FNNs and RNNs are related, as the RNN is a derivative of the FNN [51]. Concerning the FNN, it is one of the simplest forms of ANN, where, over the course of many cycles, the network acquires knowledge, as pairings of fixed-size inputs and outputs enter the first layer, feed into a middle layer, and moves forward through the last layer [123,169]. RNN extends the FNN by handling data of variable-length, thereby making it especially suitable for time-series analysis [51]. Thus, due to their simplicity, FNNs and RNNs have been applied in urban flood research. For instance, Berkhahn et al engaged an FNN-based urban forecasting model to predict flood water levels, where the FNN-based model's accuracy was found to be comparable to the physical model [19]. Also, Abdellatif et al used FNN methodology to forecast flooding risk factors in an urban catchment in England, where infrastructural issues, such as surcharged sewers and manhole overflows, were predicted to become significant issues [1]. In regards to the RNN, multiple urban flood studies have implemented the technique, as well [7,30,137]. Indeed, Apaydin et al found that the RNN was an improved ANN version [7]. Moreover, similar to CNN, RNN has indirect applications towards urban flood understanding. Kang et al demonstrated that RNN may be used for precipitation forecasting, which may serve as a useful component in a dynamic urban flood prediction tool [92]. Hence, FNN and RNN, simple subsets of ANN, have a wide range of functionality within urban flood research.

# 4.4.2. Decision Tree (DT)

In addition to ANNs, the quantity of DT studies has been increasing at a high rate in recent years [123]. The DT, a hierarchical model, analyzes and discovers connections between data and the target variable to create decision rules [65,127]. Naïve Bayes (NB), Reduced Error Pruning (REP), logistic model trees (LM), alternating decision trees (AD), Classification and Regression Tree (CART) and the Random Forest (RF)

techniques are some of the commonly used techniques [123]. In early machine learning developments, DT's have been used for classification and regression, where the classification aspect is applied to target variables with discrete values, and the regression is utilized for target variables with continuous values [47]. However, for modern urban flood studies, flexibility and innovation predominates, and particularly, the regression aspect is applied in either discrete or continuous cases. For instance, in the comparison of NB, REP, LM, and AD methods, Khosravi et al applied regression for each prediction model, where the target variables were discrete values representing flood locations [96]. In addition, algorithms, such as C4.5 and CART, which parcels continuous variables into discrete intervals, offer adaptability [82,144]. Thus, DTs are in constant development, with a flexibility that allows for tailored implementations towards flood prediction and susceptibility mapping.

Of the DTs, the CART and RF methods are most distinguished [123]. CART operates by a tree building mechanism, where the final output is an interpretable set of decision rules [43]. Since its inception in the 1980s, CART has been productively applied in numerous urban flood studies [35,36,147,187]. Indeed, Bouramtane et al had demonstrated that the prediction ability of CART surpassed support vector, logistic regression, and discriminant analysis models [23]. Further, the CART algorithm may serve as a foundational element for other algorithms. Specifically, the RF creates an ensemble of CART trees and fits each CART model to bootstrapped samples, thereby reducing the variance [83,102]. RF is able to handle large datasets, maintain robustness with noise, work with missing values, efficiently process outliers, and involve less overfitting than many other algorithms [24,109,148]. Subsequently, due to its diverse capabilities, RF models have been utilized in urban flood research. For instance, Wang et al used a RF model to map flood hazard zones in urban areas of Dongjiang River Basin, China [183]. In addition, Rafiei-Sardooi et al performed a comparison analysis of RF, support vector machine and logistic regression, and found that the RF produced the most accurate flood risk map [146]. Additionally, aside from flood hazard mapping, the RF has been applied to forecasting. For instance, Garcia et al implemented RF for flood forecasting in Manila, Philippines, and validation tests demonstrated that the flood estimations were accurate [67]. Therefore, urban flood researchers have found DT algorithms, such as CART and RT, to be of use in the understanding of urban flood occurrences.

#### 5. Conclusion

With the main concepts, causes, impacts, and resolutions of urban flooding presented, this review may serve as a strategic starting point for researchers formulating additive ideas and methods, and it may assist as a guide or a primer of urban flood modeling approaches for scientists and engineers. Outlined below are the significant messages:

- Urban flooding may produce fatalities and injuries, such as blunt trauma, contusions, lacerations, animal bites, and puncture wounds. In addition, floods in urban areas may lead to water contamination, causing long-term health effects.
- 2. Large-scaled, direct economic damages include destruction to the electrical systems, sewer network, plumbing infrastructure, transportation services, businesses, and residential homes. Single-occurring extreme events may enact damages exceeding billions of dollars. Smaller, chronic urban flooding also bring about financial strain; although, the monetary damages are not as well-documented. In addition, there are indirect costs, such as loss of wages, rental income and tourism revenue.
- 3. Extreme storms are projected to increase in occurrence due to climate change. Particularly, high intense rainfall events will adversely affect urban areas and induce more flooding happen-stances. Moreover, urbanization is estimated to continue its growth; thus, there will be more people in the affected urban areas.

- 4. Influencing factors in urban flooding include the following: precipitation; topography, such as slope and elevation; impervious cover, such as buildings and concrete surfaces; clogged catch basins; insufficient capacity of the sewer network; sea level rising.
- 5. Early hydraulic-hydrologic based flood models while having good skill, often times deem impracticable due to issues involving data availability, costs, and computational time. Hence, in recent years, improved hydraulic-hydrological models have been created. These models are faster and utilize modern computing architecture and alternative forms of data.
- 6. Also, as a response to the issues of earlier models, recent research has proceeded with data-driven models, such as cellular-automata, statistical models, and AI/ML techniques. Studies have shown these models to be accurate and more computationally efficient.

With approximately, 55% of the world's population living in urban areas, the increase in extreme precipitation events will only exacerbate consequences [179]. From the severe storms of Germany and Belgium to tropical depression Ida in the eastern U.S., recent years marked an alarming rate of death and destruction by urban floods. Thus, more than ever, urban flooding poses a severe threat to the international community. As a response to the urgency, this review has presented a holistic view on the subject of urban flooding.

## **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Data availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

## Acknowledgement

This research was supported by NOAA-CESSRST Cooperative Agreement (NOAA/EPP Grant # NA16SEC4810008). The last author was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research program under the awards DE-SC0018124 and DE-SC0016605. The statements contained within the manuscript are not the opinions of the funding agency or the U.S. government but reflect the authors' opinions.

# References

- M. Abdellatif, W. Atherton, R. Alkhaddar, Y. Osman, Flood risk assessment for urban water system in a changing climate using artificial neural network, Nat Hazards 79 (2) (2015) 1059–1077, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-015-1892-6.
- [2] A. AghaKouchak, N. Nasrollahi, E. Habib, Accounting for uncertainties of the TRMM satellite estimates, Remote Sens (Basel) 1 (3) (2009) 606–619, https:// doi.org/10.3390/rs1030606.
- [3] C. Agonafir, T. Lakhankar, R. Khanbilvardi, N. Krakauer, D. Radell, N. Devineni, A Machine Learning Approach to Evaluate the Spatial Variability of New York City's 311 Street Flooding Complaints, Comput Environ Urban Syst 97 (2022) 101854.
- [4] C. Agonafir, A. Ramirez Pabon, T. Lakhankar, R. Khanbilvardi, N. Devineni, Understanding New York City Street Flooding through 311 Complaints, J Hydrol 605 (March 2021) (2021), 127300, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ibydrol.2021.127300.
- [5] M. Allaire, Socio-economic impacts of flooding: A review of the empirical literature, Water Security 3 (2018) 18–26, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. wasec.2018.09.002.
- [6] Al-Suhili, R., Cullen, C., & Khanbilvardi, R. (2019). An urban flash flood alert tool for megacities-Application for Manhattan, New York City, USA. *Hydrology*. https://doi.org/10.3390/HYDROLOGY6020056.
- [7] H. Apaydin, H. Feizi, M.T. Sattari, M.S. Colak, S. Shamshirband, K.-W. Chau, Comparative Analysis of Recurrent Neural Network Architectures for Reservoir Inflow Forecasting, Water 12 (5) (2020), https://doi.org/10.3390/w12051500.
- [8] H. Apel, S. Vorogushyn, B. Merz, Brief communication: Impact forecasting could substantially improve the emergency management of deadly floods: case study

Water Security 19 (2023) 100141

July 2021 floods in Germany, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. 22 (2022) 3005–3014, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-22-3005-2022.

- [9] S. Armal, R. Al-Suhili, An urban flood inundation model based on cellular automata, Int J Water 13 (3) (2019) 221–235, https://doi.org/10.1504/ IJW.2019.101336.
- [10] A. Arrault, P. Finaud-Guyot, P. Archambeau, M. Bruwier, S. Erpicum, M. Pirotton, B. Dewals, Hydrodynamics of long-duration urban floods: Experiments and numerical modelling, Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci 16 (6) (2016) 1413–1429, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-16-1413-2016.
- [11] B. Asadieh, N.Y. Krakauer, Global trends in extreme precipitation: climate models versus observations, Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 19 (2) (2015) 877–891, https://doi. org/10.5194/hess-19-877-2015.
- [12] B. Asadieh, N.Y. Krakauer, Global change in streamflow extremes under climate change over the 21st century, Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 21 (11) (2017) 5863–5874, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-21-5863-2017.
- [13] S.T. Ashley, W.S. Ashley, Flood fatalities in the United States, J Appl Meteorol Climatol 47 (3) (2008) 805–818, https://doi.org/10.1175/2007JAMC1611.1.
- [14] Asselman, N. E. M., & Jonkman, S. N. (2003). Title: Consequences of floods: the development of a method to estimate the loss of life. https://repository.tudelft.nl/ islandora/object/uuid%3Abdf37d5f-18fa-4059-8846-2b781b098340.
- [15] V.B. Bado, A. Bationo, Integrated Management of Soil Fertility and Land Resources in Sub-Saharan Africa: Involving Local Communities, Adv Agron 150 (2018).
- [16] P.D. Bates, Flood Inundation Prediction, Annu Rev Fluid Mech 54 (1) (2022) 287–315, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-fluid-030121-113138.
- [17] P.D. Bates, A.P.J. De Roo, A simple raster-based model for flood inundation simulation, J Hydrol 236 (1-2) (2000) 54–77.
- [18] M.N.A. Beg, R.F. Carvalho, J. Leandro, Effect of surcharge on gully-manhole flow, J Hydro Environ Res 19 (2018) 224–236, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. iher.2017.08.003.
- [19] S. Berkhahn, L. Fuchs, I. Neuweiler, An ensemble neural network model for realtime prediction of urban floods, J Hydrol 575 (2019) 743–754, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.05.066.
- [20] A. Berne, G. Delrieu, J.-D. Creutin, C. Obled, Temporal and spatial resolution of rainfall measurements required for urban hydrology, J Hydrol 299 (3–4) (2004) 166–179, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JHYDROL.2004.08.002.
- [21] Beven, J. L., Hagen, A., & Berg, R. (2022). Hurricane Ida. https://www.nhc.noaa. gov/data/tcr/AL092021\_Ida.pdf.
- [22] D.S. Bisht, C. Chatterjee, S. Kalakoti, P. Upadhyay, M. Sahoo, A. Panda, Modeling urban floods and drainage using SWMM and MIKE URBAN: a case study, Nat Hazards 84 (2) (2016) 749–776, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-016-2455-1.
- [23] T. Bouramtane, I. Kacimi, K. Bouramtane, M. Aziz, S. Abraham, K. Omari, V. Valles, M. Leblanc, N. Kassou, O. el Beqqali, T. Bahaj, M. Morarech, S. Yameogo, L. Barbiero, Multivariate analysis and machine learning approach for mapping the variability and vulnerability of urban flooding: The case of Tangier city, Morocco, Hydrology 8 (4) (2021), https://doi.org/10.3390/ hvdrologv8040182.
- [24] L. Breiman, Random Forests, Mach Learn 45 (1) (2001) 5–32, https://doi.org/ 10.1023/A:1010933404324.
- [25] S. Brown, Flooded Streets, Subways and Waterfalls During Tropical Storm Henri, NBC News, 2021 https://www.nbcnewyork.com/weather/weather-stories/ flooded-streets-subways-and-waterfalls-during-tropical-storm-henri/3234851/.
- [26] S. Brown, Machine learning explained, MIT Management. (2021). https://mitsloa n.mit.edu/ideas-made-to-matter/machine-learning-explained.
- [27] M. Bruwier, C. Maravat, A. Mustafa, J. Teller, M. Pirotton, S. Erpicum, P. Archambeau, B. Dewals, Influence of urban forms on surface flow in urban pluvial flooding, J Hydrol 582 (December 2019) (2020), https://doi.org/ 10.1016/i.ihydrol.2019.124493.
- [28] A. Buis, How Climate Change May Be Impacting Storms Over Earth's Tropical Oceans, NASA. (2020). https://climate.nasa.gov/ask-nasa-climate/2956/how-c limate-change-may-be-impacting-storms-over-earths-tropical-oceans/.
- [29] D.T. Bulti, B.G. Abebe, A review of flood modeling methods for urban pluvial flood application, Modeling Earth Systems and Environment 6 (3) (2020) 1293–1302, https://doi.org/10.1007/s40808-020-00803-z.
- [30] B. Cai, Y. Yu, Flood forecasting in urban reservoir using hybrid recurrent neural network, Urban Clim 42 (2022), 101086, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. uclim.2022.101086.
- [31] CDC. (2020). Floodwater After a Disaster or Emergency. https://www.cdc.gov/ disasters/floods/floodsafety.html.
- [32] L. Cea, P. Costabile, Flood Risk in Urban Areas: Modelling, Management and Adaptation to Climate Change, A Review. *Hydrology* 9 (3) (2022), https://doi. org/10.3390/hydrology9030050.
- [33] L. Cea, M. Garrido, J. Puertas, Experimental validation of two-dimensional depthaveraged models for forecasting rainfall-runoff from precipitation data in urban areas, J Hydrol 382 (1–4) (2010) 88–102, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ihvdrol.2009.12.020.
- [34] C. Chen, Q. Hui, W. Xie, S. Wan, Y. Zhou, Q. Pei, Convolutional Neural Networks for forecasting flood process in Internet-of-Things enabled smart city, Comput Netw 186 (2021), 107744, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2020.107744.
- [35] J. Chen, Q. Li, H. Wang, M. Deng, A Machine Learning Ensemble Approach Based on Random Forest and Radial Basis Function Neural Network for Risk Evaluation of Regional Flood Disaster: A Case Study of the Yangtze River Delta, China, Int J Environ Res Public Health 17 (1) (2020), https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph17010049.

- [36] J.-C. Chen, C.-S. Shu, S.-K. Ning, H.-W. Chen, Flooding probability of urban area estimated by decision tree and artificial neural networks, J Hydroinf 10 (1) (2008) 57–67, https://doi.org/10.2166/hydro.2008.009.
- [37] J. Cirbus, M. Podhoranyi, Cellular automata for the flow simulations on the earth surface, optimization computation process, Applied Mathematics and Information Sciences 7 (6) (2013) 2149–2158, https://doi.org/10.12785/amis/070605.
- [38] City of New York. (2012). INFOWORKS Citywide Recalibration Report. https:// www1.nyc.gov/assets/dep/downloads/pdf/water/nyc-waterways/citywide-ltcp/ infoworks-citywide-recalibration-report.pdf.
- [39] City of New York. (2022a). Flood Prevention. https://www1.nyc.gov/site/dep/ environment/flood-prevention.page.
- [40] City of New York. (2022b). New York City Stormwater Resiliency Plan. https:// www1.nyc.gov/assets/orr/pdf/publications/stormwater-resiliency-plan.pdf.
- [41] City of New York. (2022c). The New Normal: Combating Storm-Related Extreme Weather in New York City. https://www1.nyc.gov/assets/orr/pdf/publications/ WeatherReport.pdf.
- [42] CNT. (2022). The Prevalence and Cost of Urban Flooding. https://www.cnt.org/ publications/the-prevalence-and-cost-of-urban-flooding.
- [43] S.L. Crawford, Extensions to the CART algorithm, Int J Man Mach Stud 31 (2) (1989) 197–217, https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-7373(89)90027-8.
- [44] Crossley, A., Lamb, R., & Waller, S. (2010). Fast solution of the Shallow Water Equations using GPU technology. http://www.jflow.co.uk/sites/default/files/ Crossley%20Lamb%20Waller%20-%20BHS%202010.pdf.
- [45] H. Darabi, B. Choubin, O. Rahmati, A. Torabi Haghighi, B. Pradhan, B. Kløve, Urban flood risk mapping using the GARP and QUEST models: A comparative study of machine learning techniques, J Hydrol 569 (2019) 142–154.
- [46] R. de Risi, F. Jalayer, F. de Paola, S. Lindley, Delineation of flooding risk hotspots based on digital elevation model, calculated and historical flooding extents: the case of Ouagadougou, Stoch Env Res Risk A 32 (6) (2018) 1545–1559, https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00477-017-1450-8.
- [47] Debeljak Marko and S. Džeroski, Decision Trees in Ecological Modelling, in: H. and B.B. Jopp Fred and Reuter (Ed.), Modelling Complex Ecological Dynamics: An Introduction into Ecological Modelling for Students, Teachers & Scientists, 2011, pp. 197–209, Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/9 78-3-642-05029-9 14.
- [48] C. Deng, Z. Zhu, Continuous subpixel monitoring of urban impervious surface using Landsat time series, Remote Sens Environ 238 (2020), 110929, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.10.011.
- [49] J. Despotovic, J. Plavsic, N. Stefanovic, D. Pavlovic, Inefficiency of storm water inlets as a source of urban floods, Water Sci Technol 51 (2) (2005) 139–145, https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2005.0041.
- [50] A.F. Diogo, do Carmo, J. A., Peak flows and stormwater networks design-current and future management of urban surface watersheds, Water (Switzerland) 11 (4) (2019), https://doi.org/10.3390/w11040759.
- [51] R. DiPietro, G.D. Hager, Chapter 21 Deep learning: RNNs and LSTM, in: S. K. Zhou, D. Rueckert, G. Fichtinger (Eds.), Handbook of Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention, Academic Press, 2020, pp. 503–519.
- [52] F. Dottori, E. Todini, Testing a simple 2D hydraulic model in an urban flood experiment, Hydrol Process 27 (9) (2013) 1301–1320, https://doi.org/10.1002/ hyp.9370.
- [53] D. Eilander, P. Trambauer, J. Wagemaker, A. Van Loenen, Harvesting social media for generation of near real-time flood maps, Procedia Eng 154 (2016) 176–183.
- [54] EOL. (2022). NCEP/EMC 4KM Gridded Data (GRIB) Stage IV Data. https://data. eol.ucar.edu/cgi-bin/codiac/fgr\_form/id=21.093.
- [55] EPA. (2022). Storm Water Management Model (SWMM). https://www.epa.gov/ water-research/storm-water-management-model-swmm.
- [56] A. Eugene, N. Alpert, W. Lieberman-Cribbin, E. Taioli, Using NYC 311 Call Center Data to Assess Short- and Long-Term Needs Following Hurricane Sandy, Disaster Med Public Health Prep (2021) 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1017/dmp.2021.102.
- [57] Eyewitness News. (2018). Water pours into NYC subway stations as remnants of Florence make for wet commute. ABC 7. https://abc7ny.com/rain-subway-mtapenn-station/4277232/.
- [58] B. Fahy, E. Brenneman, H. Chang, V. Shandas, Spatial analysis of urban flooding and extreme heat hazard potential in Portland, OR, Int J Disaster Risk Reduct 39 (2019), 101117, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2019.101117.
- [59] Falconer, R. (2021). Hurricane Ida death toll rises past 60. Axios. https://www. axios.com/2021/09/06/hurricane-ida-death-toll-rises-power-outage.
- [60] T. Fang, Y. Chen, H. Tan, J. Cao, J. Liao, L. Huang, Flood risk evaluation in the middle reaches of the Yangtze River based on eigenvector spatial filtering poisson regression, Water (Switzerland) 11 (10) (2019), https://doi.org/10.3390/ w11101969.
- [61] J.D. Fenton, Flood routing methods, J Hydrol 570 (2019) 251–264, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.01.006.
- [62] Fewtrell, T. J. (2008). Development of simple numerical methods for improving twodimensional hydraulic models of urban flooding.
- [63] French, J., Ing, R., von Allmen, S., & Wood, R. (1983). Mortality from flash floods: a review of national weather service reports, 1969-81. *Public Health Reports (Washington, D.C. : 1974), 98*(6), 584–588. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/6419273.
- [64] Furfaro, D., & Musumeci, N. (2018). Florence makes her presence felt with flooded subways. *The New York Post.* https://nypost.com/2018/09/18/florencemakes-her-presence-felt-with-flooded-subways/.

- [65] J. Fürnkranz, Decision Tree, in: C. Sammut, G.I. Webb (Eds.), Encyclopedia of Machine Learning, 2010, pp. 263–267, Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/97 8-0-387-30164-8 204.
- [66] Galloway, G. E., Reilly, A., Ryoo, S., Riley, A., Haslam, M., Brody, S., Highfeld, W., Gunn, J., Rainey, J., & Parker, S. (2018). THE GROWING THREAT OF URBAN FLOODING: 2018.
- [67] Garcia, F. C. C., Retamar, A. E., & Javier, J. C. (2015). A real time urban flood monitoring system for metro Manila. *TENCON 2015 - 2015 IEEE Region 10 Conference*, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1109/TENCON.2015.7372990.
- [68] A. Gebrehiwot, L. Hashemi-Beni, G. Thompson, P. Kordjamshidi, T.E. Langan, Deep Convolutional Neural Network for Flood Extent Mapping Using Unmanned Aerial Vehicles Data, Sensors 19 (7) (2019), https://doi.org/10.3390/s19071486.
- [69] Ghimire, B., Chen, A., Djordjević, S., & Savic, D. (2011). Application of cellular automata approach for fast flood simulation.
- [70] A. Gires, I. Tchiguirinskaia, D. Schertzer, A. Schellart, A. Berne, S. Lovejoy, Influence of small scale rainfall variability on standard comparison tools between radar and rain gauge data, Atmos Res 138 (2014) 125–138.
- [71] M. Gómez, G.H. Rabasseda, B. Russo, Experimental campaign to determine grated inlet clogging factors in an urban catchment of Barcelona, Urban Water J 10 (1) (2013) 50–61, https://doi.org/10.1080/1573062X.2012.690435.
- [72] J.A. Griffiths, F. Zhu, F.K.S. Chan, D.L. Higgitt, Modelling the impact of sea-level rise on urban flood probability in SE China, Geosci Front 10 (2) (2019) 363–372, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2018.02.012.
- [73] J. Gu, Z. Wang, J. Kuen, L. Ma, A. Shahroudy, B. Shuai, T. Liu, X. Wang, G. Wang, J. Cai, T. Chen, Recent advances in convolutional neural networks, Pattern Recogn 77 (2018) 354–377, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patcog.2017.10.013.
- [74] M. Guidolin, A.S. Chen, B. Ghimire, E.C. Keedwell, S. Djordjević, D.A. Savić, A weighted cellular automata 2D inundation model for rapid flood analysis, Environ Model Softw 84 (2016) 378–394, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envsoft.2016.07.008.
- [75] Gunter, C., Mason, R. R., Stamey, T. C., & Carolina, N. (1987). Magnitude and frequency of floods in rural and urban basins of North Carolina. https://pubs.usgs. gov/wri/1987/4096/report.pdf.
- [76] J.C.Y. Guo, Design of grate inlets with a clogging factor, Adv Environ Res 4 (3) (2000) 181–186, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1093-0191(00)00013-7.
- [77] K. Guo, M. Guan, D. Yu, Urban surface water flood modelling a comprehensive review of current models and future challenges, Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 25 (5) (2021) 2843–2860, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-25-2843-2021.
- [78] Guo, Z., Leitão, J. P., Simões, N. E., & Moosavi, V. (2021). Data-driven flood emulation: Speeding up urban flood predictions by deep convolutional neural networks. *Journal of Flood Risk Management*, 14(1), e12684. https://doi.org/ https://doi.org/10.1111/jfr3.12684.
- [79] Guse, C. (2021). Damage from Hurricane Ida to cost MTA up to \$100M, chairman says. https://www.nydailynews.com/new-york/ny-hurricane-ida-remnants-mtasubway-cost-20210915-jy5nt7eb2jhulielzwyivtyygy-story.html.
- [80] M.J. Hammond, A.S. Chen, S. Djordjević, D. Butler, O. Mark, Urban flood impact assessment: A state-of-the-art review, Urban Water J 12 (1) (2015) 14–29, https://doi.org/10.1080/1573062X.2013.857421.
- [81] J. Han, S. He, Urban flooding events pose risks of virus spread during the novel coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic, Sci Total Environ 755 (2021), 142491, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142491.
- [82] T. Hastie, R. Tibshirani, J. Friedman, Elements of Statistical Learning, Springer, 2009.
- [83] T. Hayes, S. Usami, R. Jacobucci, J.J. McArdle, Using Classification and Regression Trees (CART) and random forests to analyze attrition: Results from two simulations, Psychol Aging 30 (4) (2015) 911–929, https://doi.org/10.1037/ pag0000046.
- [84] J. Hénonin, M. Hongtao, Y. Zheng-Yu, J. Hartnack, K. Havnø, P. Gourbesville, O. Mark, Citywide multi-grid urban flood modelling: the July 2012 flood in Beijing, Urban Water J 12 (1) (2015) 52–66, https://doi.org/10.1080/ 1573062X.2013.851710.
- [85] R.J. Houghtalen, A.O. Akan, N.H.C. Hwang, Fundamentals Of Hydraulic Engineering Systems (Fifth), Pearson Education Inc., 2016.
- [86] M. Issermann, F.-J. Chang, H. Jia, Efficient Urban Inundation Model for Live Flood Forecasting with Cellular Automata and Motion Cost Fields, Water 12 (7) (2020), https://doi.org/10.3390/w12071997.
- [87] J.-H. Jang, T.-H. Chang, W.-B. Chen, Effect of inlet modelling on surface drainage in coupled urban flood simulation, J Hydrol 562 (2018) 168–180, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.05.010.
- [88] Jiang, L., Chen, Y., & Wang, H. (2015). Urban flood simulation based on the SWMM model. Proceedings of the International Association of Hydrological Sciences, 368, 186–191. https://doi.org/10.5194/piahs-368-186-2015.
- [89] S.N. Jonkman, Global Perspectives on Loss of Human Life Caused by Floods, Nat Hazards 34 (2) (2005) 151–175, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-004-8891-3.
- [90] S.N. Jonkman, I. Kelman, An Analysis of the Causes and Circumstances of Flood Disaster Deaths, Disasters 29 (1) (2005) 75–97, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0361-3666.2005.00275.x.
- [91] M. Kaiser, S. Günnemann, M. Disse, Spatiotemporal analysis of heavy raininduced flood occurrences in Germany using a novel event database approach, J Hydrol 595 (2021) 125985.
- [92] J. Kang, H. Wang, F. Yuan, Z. Wang, J. Huang, T. Qiu, Prediction of Precipitation Based on Recurrent Neural Networks in Jingdezhen, Jiangxi Province, China, Atmos 11 (3) (2020), https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11030246.
- [93] A.K. Karl, A.K. Lohani, Development of Flood Forecasting System Using Statistical and ANN Techniques in the Downstream Catchment of Mahanadi Basin, India,

J Water Resour Prot 02 (10) (2010) 880–887, https://doi.org/10.4236/ jwarp.2010.210105.

- [94] S. Karmakar, M.A. Sherly, M. Mohanty, Urban Flood Risk Mapping: A State-of-the-Art Review on Quantification, Current Practices, and Future Challenges, in: P. Banerji, A. Jana (Eds.), Advances in Urban Design and Engineering: Perspectives from India, Springer Singapore, 2022, pp. 125–156, https://doi.org/ 10.1007/978-981-19-0412-7\_5.
- [95] D. Karmegam, S. Ramamoorthy, B. Mappillairaju, Near real time flood inundation mapping using social media data as an information source: a case study of 2015 Chennai flood, Geoenviron Disasters 8 (2021) 25, https://doi.org/10.1186/ s40677-021-00195-x.
- [96] K. Khosravi, B.T. Pham, K. Chapi, A. Shirzadi, H. Shahabi, I. Revhaug, I. Prakash, D. Tien Bui, A comparative assessment of decision trees algorithms for flash flood susceptibility modeling at Haraz watershed, northern Iran, Sci Total Environ 627 (2018) 744–755, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.266.
- [97] A. Kocornik-Mina, T.K.J. McDermott, G. Michaels, F. Rauch, Flooded cities, Am Econ J Appl Econ 12 (2) (2020) 35–66, https://doi.org/10.1257/app.20170066.
- [98] R. Lamb, M. Crossley, S. Waller, A fast two-dimensional floodplain inundation model, Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Water Management 162 (6) (2009) 363–370.
- [99] J. Leandro, A. Chen, S. Djordjević, D. Savic, Comparison of 1D/1D and 1D/2D Coupled (Sewer/Surface) Hydraulic Models for Urban Flood Simulation, JOURNAL OF HYDRAULIC ENGINEERING-ASCE 135 (2009) 495–504, https:// doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)HY.1943-7900.0000037.
- [100] X. Lei, Y. Wang, W. Liao, Y. Jiang, Y. Tian, H. Wang, Development of efficient and cost-effective distributed hydrological modeling tool MWEasyDHM based on open-source MapWindow GIS, Comput Geosci 37 (9) (2011) 1476–1489, https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2011.03.016.
- [101] J.P. Leitão, L.M. de Sousa, Towards the optimal fusion of high-resolution Digital Elevation Models for detailed urban flood assessment, J Hydrol 561 (2018) 651–661, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.04.043.
- [102] Leo., Random Forest, MATLAB Central File Exchange, 2022.
- [103] B. Li, J. Hou, D. Li, D. Yang, H. Han, X.u. Bi, X. Wang, R. Hinkelmann, J. Xia, Application of LiDAR UAV for High-Resolution Flood Modelling, Water Resour Manage 35 (5) (2021) 1433–1447.
- [104] X. Li, S. Erpicum, E. Mignot, P. Archambeau, M. Pirotton, B. Dewals, Influence of urban forms on long-duration urban flooding: Laboratory experiments and computational analysis, J Hydrol 603 (2021) 127034.
- [105] Y. Li, S. Martinis, M. Wieland, S. Schlaffer, R. Natsuaki, Urban Flood Mapping Using SAR Intensity and Interferometric Coherence via Bayesian Network Fusion, Remote Sens (Basel) 11 (19) (2019), https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11192231.
- [106] Liang, S., & Wang, J. (Eds.). (2020). Chapter 16 Precipitation. In Advanced Remote Sensing (Second Edition) (pp. 621–647). Academic Press. https://doi.org/ https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-815826-5.00016-7.
- [107] J. Lin, X. He, S. Lu, D. Liu, P. He, Investigating the influence of three-dimensional building configuration on urban pluvial flooding using random forest algorithm, Environ Res 196 (2021), 110438, https://doi.org/10.1016/J. ENVRES 2020 110438
- [108] Y. Liu, Y. Huang, Y. Liu, K. Li, M. Li, The impact of rainfall movement direction on urban runoff cannot be ignored in urban hydrologic management, Water (Switzerland) 13 (20) (2021), https://doi.org/10.3390/w13202923.
- [109] Y. Liu, Y. Wang, J. Zhang, New Machine Learning Algorithm: Random Forest, in: B. Liu, M. Ma, J. Chang (Eds.), Information Computing and Applications, Springer, 2012.
- [110] A. Malekian, N. Chitsaz, Chapter 4 Concepts, procedures, and applications of artificial neural network models in streamflow forecasting, in: P. Sharma, D. Machiwal (Eds.), Advances in Streamflow Forecasting, Elsevier, 2021, pp. 115–147.
- [111] O. Mark, S. Weesakul, C. Apirumanekul, S.B. Aroonnet, S. Djordjević, Potential and limitations of 1D modelling of urban flooding, J Hydrol 299 (3) (2004) 284–299, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.08.014.
  [112] Marta Ermalizar, L., & Junaidi, A. (2018). Flood simulation using EPA SWMM 5.1
- [112] Marta Ermalizar, L., & Junaidi, A. (2018). Flood simulation using EPA SWMM 5.1 on small catchment urban drainage system. *MATEC Web of Conferences*. https:// doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/2018229.
- [113] Martinez, J. (2017). How NYC transit feels the effects of Sandy, five years later. NY1. https://www.ny1.com/nyc/all-boroughs/transit/2017/10/28/hurricanesandy-effects-nyc-transit-mta-five-years-later-subway-stations.
- [114] B. Merz, F. Elmer, A.H. Thieken, Significance of "high probability/low damage" versus "low probability/high damage" flood events, Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci 9 (3) (2009) 1033–1046, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-9-1033-2009.
- [115] D. Meyer, J. Beeferman, Hurricane Henri already flooding NYC streets, subways, New York Post. (2021). https://nypost.com/2021/08/22/hurricane-henri-alr eady-flooding-nyc-streets-subways/.
- [116] E. Mignot, B. Dewals, Hydraulic modelling of inland urban flooding: Recent advances, J Hydrol 609 (2022), 127763, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jhydrol.2022.127763.
- [117] E. Mignot, X. Li, B. Dewals, Experimental modelling of urban flooding: A review, J Hydrol 568 (2019) 334–342, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.11.001.
- [118] R.W. Miller, D. Rice, New York City subway stations flooded in waist-high water ahead of Tropical Storm Elsa, USA Today. (2021). https://www.usatoday.com/s tory/news/nation/2021/07/09/nyc-flooding-soaks-subways-roads-ahead-tropical l-storm-elsa/7912206002/.
- [119] A. Mishra, S. Mukherjee, B. Merz, V.P. Singh, D.B. Wright, G. Villarini, S. Paul, D. N. Kumar, C.P. Khedun, D. Niyogi, G. Schumann, J.R. Stedinger, An Overview of Flood Concepts, Challenges, and Future Directions, J Hydrol Eng 27 (6) (2022), https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0002164.

- [120] E. Moreau, J. Testud, E. Le Bouar, Rainfall spatial variability observed by X-band weather radar and its implication for the accuracy of rainfall estimates, Adv Water Resour 32 (7) (2009) 1011–1019.
- [121] Morris, M., Bryant, R., Waller, S., Hunter, N., Lamb, R., Crossley, A., & Balmbra, V. (2009). AN INNOVATIVE APPROACH TO PLUVIAL FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT.
- [122] Mortimer, S. (2013). New York's transity authority to sell \$125 mln "catastrophe" bond. *Reuters*. https://www.reuters.com/article/mta-bond/new-yorks-transitauthority-to-sell-125-mln-catastrophe-bond-idUSL6N0FL1NG20130715.
- [123] A. Mosavi, P. Ozturk, K. Chau, Flood Prediction Using Machine Learning Models: Literature Review, Water 10 (11) (2018), https://doi.org/10.3390/w10111536.
- [124] M. Moy de Vitry, S. Kramer, J.D. Wegner, J.P. Leitão, Scalable flood level trend monitoring with surveillance cameras using a deep convolutional neural network, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 23 (2019) 4621–4634, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-23-4621-2019.
- [125] M. Muthusamy, M.R. Casado, D. Butler, P. Leinster, Understanding the effects of Digital Elevation Model resolution in urban fluvial flood modelling, J Hydrol 596 (2021), 126088, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.126088.
- [126] M. Muthusamy, A. Schellart, S. Tait, G.B.M. Heuvelink, Geostatistical upscaling of rain gauge data to support uncertainty analysis of lumped urban hydrological models, Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 21 (2) (2017) 1077–1091, https://doi.org/ 10.5194/hess-21-1077-2017.
- [127] A.J. Myles, R.N. Feudale, Y. Liu, N.A. Woody, S.D. Brown, An introduction to decision tree modeling. In, J Chemom Vol. 18(6 (2004) 275–285, https://doi.org/ 10.1002/cem.873.
- [128] National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, Division on Earth and Life Studies, Water Science and Technology Board, Policy and Global Affairs, Program on Risk Resilience and Extreme Events, & Committee on Urban Flooding in the United States. (2019). Framing the Challenge of Urban Flooding in the United States. National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/25381.
- [129] U.C. Nkwunonwo, M. Whitworth, B. Baily, Urban flood modelling combining cellular automata framework with semi-implicit finite difference numerical formulation, J Afr Earth Sc 150 (2019) 272–281, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jafrearsci.2018.10.016.
- [130] NOAA. (2019). January through August was wettest on record for U.S. https://www. noaa.gov/news/january-through-august-was-wettest-on-record-for-us.
- [131] NOAA. (2022b). Annual 2021 National Climate Report. https://www.ncei.noaa. gov/access/monitoring/monthly-report/national/202113.
- [132] NOAA. (2022c). Climate Data Online. https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdo-web/.
- [133] NOAA. (2022d). U.S. coastline to see up to a foot of sea level rise by 2050. https:// www.noaa.gov/news-release/us-coastline-to-see-up-to-foot-of-sea-level-rise-by-2050.
- [134] Nws, United States Flood Loss Report Water Year 2014, Flood Loss Summary. pdf, 2022.
- [135] Ouma, Y. O., & Tateishi, R. (2014). Urban Flood Vulnerability and Risk Mapping Using Integrated Multi-Parametric AHP and GIS: Methodological Overview and Case Study Assessment. In Water (Vol. 6, Issue 6). https://doi.org/10.3390/ w6061515.
- [136] Palla, A., Colli, M., Candela, A., Aronica, G. T., & Lanza, L. G. (2018). Pluvial flooding in urban areas: the role of surface drainage efficiency. *Journal of Flood Risk Management*, 11(S2), S663–S676. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/ jfr3.12246.
- [137] M. Panahi, A. Jaafari, A. Shirzadi, H. Shahabi, O. Rahmati, E. Omidvar, S. Lee, D. T. Bui, Deep learning neural networks for spatially explicit prediction of flash flood probability, Geosci Front 12 (3) (2021), 101076, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2020.09.007.
- [138] D.L. Paterson, H. Wright, P.N.A. Harris, Health Risks of Flood Disasters, Clin Infect Dis 67 (9) (2018) 1450–1454, https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciy227.
  [139] A. Pathirana, H.B. Denekew, W. Veerbeek, C. Zevenbergen, A.T. Banda, Impact of
- [139] A. Pathirana, H.B. Denekew, W. Veerbeek, C. Zevenbergen, A.T. Banda, Impact of urban growth-driven landuse change on microclimate and extreme precipitation — A sensitivity study, Atmos Res 138 (2014) 59–72, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. atmosres.2013.10.005.
- [140] B. Peng, Z. Meng, Q. Huang, C. Wang, Patch Similarity Convolutional Neural Network for Urban Flood Extent Mapping Using Bi-Temporal Satellite Multispectral Imagery, Remote Sens (Basel) 11 (21) (2019), https://doi.org/ 10.3390/rs11212492.
- [141] E.C. Penning-Rowsell, D.J. Parker, The indirect effects of floods and benefits of flood alleviation: evaluating the Chesil Sea Defence Scheme, Appl Geogr 7 (4) (1987) 263–288, https://doi.org/10.1016/0143-6228(87)90020-8.
- [142] B. Plumer, Flooding From Ida Kills Dozens of People in Four States, The New York Times. (2021). https://www.nytimes.com/live/2021/09/02/nyregion/nyc-s torm.
- [143] H.-P. Qin, Z.-x. Li, G. Fu, The effects of low impact development on urban flooding under different rainfall characteristics, J Environ Manage 129 (2013) 577–585.
- [144] J.R. Quinlan, C4. 5: programs for machine learning, Morgan Kaufmann, 1993.
- [145] A.M. Rabori, R. Ghazavi, Urban Flood Estimation and Evaluation of the Performance of an Urban Drainage System in a Semi-Arid Urban Area Using SWMM, Water Environ Res 90 (12) (2018) 2075–2082, https://doi.org/10.2175/ 106143017X15131012188213.
- [146] E. Rafiei-Sardooi, A. Azareh, B. Choubin, A.H. Mosavi, J.J. Clague, Evaluating urban flood risk using hybrid method of TOPSIS and machine learning, Int J Disaster Risk Reduct 66 (2021), 102614, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijdrr.2021.102614.
- [147] M. Rahman, C. Ningsheng, G.I. Mahmud, M.M. Islam, H.R. Pourghasemi, H. Ahmad, J.M. Habumugisha, R.M.A. Washakh, M. Alam, E. Liu, Z. Han, H. Ni, T. Shufeng, A. Dewan, Flooding and its relationship with land cover change,

population growth, and road density, Geosci Front 12 (6) (2021), 101224, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2021.101224.

- [148] V.F. Rodriguez-Galiano, B. Ghimire, J. Rogan, M. Chica-Olmo, J.P. Rigol-Sanchez, An assessment of the effectiveness of a random forest classifier for land-cover classification, ISPRS J Photogramm Remote Sens 67 (1) (2012) 93–104, https:// doi.org/10.1016/J.ISPRSJPRS.2011.11.002.
- [149] E. Rosenberg, Flooding in New York Shuts Down Roads and Strands Drivers, The New York Times. (2017). https://www.nytimes.com/2017/05/05/nyregion/floo ding-new-york-roads-shutdown-rain.html?searchResultPosition=10.
- [150] B. Russo, M.G. Valentín, J. Tellez-álvarez, The relevance of grated inlets within surface drainage systems in the field of urban flood resilience. A review of several experimental and numerical simulation approaches, Sustainability (Switzerland) 13 (13) (2021), https://doi.org/10.3390/su13137189.
- [151] B. Saad, B. Jamal, L. Pierre, Hydraulic Performance Index of a Sewer Network, J Hydraul Eng 129 (7) (2003) 504–510, https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(2003)129:7(504).
- [152] J.M. Sadler, J.L. Goodall, M.M. Morsy, K. Spencer, Modeling urban coastal flood severity from crowd-sourced flood reports using Poisson regression and Random Forest, J Hydrol 559 (2018) 43–55, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ihydrol.2018.01.044.
- [153] B.F. Sanders, J.E. Schubert, PRIMo: Parallel raster inundation model, Adv Water Resour 126 (2019) 79–95.
- [154] E. Sañudo, L. Cea, J. Puertas, Modelling pluvial flooding in urban areas coupling the models iber and SWMM, Water (Switzerland) 12 (9) (2020), https://doi.org/ 10.3390/w12092647.
- [155] Sarmiento, C., & Miller, T. R. (2006). Costs and Consequences of Flooding and the Impact of the National Flood Insurance Program. https://www.fema.gov/sites/ default/files/2020-07/fema\_nfip\_eval-costs-and-consequences.pdf.
- [156] W. Schilling, Rainfall data for urban hydrology: what do we need? Atmos Res 27 (1–3) (1991) 5–21, https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-8095(91)90003-F.
- [157] T.G. Schmitt, M. Thomas, N. Ettrich, Analysis and modeling of flooding in urban drainage systems, J Hydrol 299 (3-4) (2004) 300–311.
- [158] Sea Level Research Group, Most Recent GMSL Release, University of Colorado. (2021). https://sealevel.colorado.edu/.
- [159] A. Semádeni-Davies, L. Bengtsson, Sensibilité de la fonte des neiges au rayonnement en milieu urbain, Hydrol Sci J 43 (1) (1998) 67–89, https://doi.org/ 10.1080/02626669809492103.
- [160] A. Semadeni-Davies, C. Hernebring, G. Svensson, L.-G. Gustafsson, The impacts of climate change and urbanisation on drainage in Helsingborg, Sweden: Suburban stormwater, J Hydrol 350 (1) (2008) 114–125, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jhydrol.2007.11.006.
- [161] S.E. Serrano, Hydrology for engineers, geologists, and environmental professionals: an integrated treatment of surface, subsurface, and contaminant hydrology, Hydroscience Inc., 2010.
- [162] E. Shanahan, A. Wong, Heavy Rains Pound New York City, Flooding Subway Stations and Roads, The New York Times, 2021 https://www.nytimes.com/2021/ 07/08/nyregion/flooding-subways-nyc.html.
- [163] H.O. Sharif, D. Yates, R. Roberts, C. Mueller, The use of an automated nowcasting system to forecast flash floods in an urban watershed, J Hydrometeorol 7 (1) (2006) 190–202.
- [164] J. Shaw, G. Kesserwani, J. Neal, P. Bates, M.K. Sharifian, LISFLOOD-FP 8.0: the new discontinuous Galerkin shallow-water solver for multi-core CPUs and GPUs, Geosci. Model Dev. 14 (2021) 3577–3602, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-3577-2021.
- [165] Y. Shen, M.M. Morsy, C. Huxley, N. Tahvildari, J.L. Goodall, Flood risk assessment and increased resilience for coastal urban watersheds under the combined impact of storm tide and heavy rainfall, J Hydrol 579 (2019), 124159, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.124159.
- [166] J.M. Shultz, J. Russell, Z. Espinel, Epidemiology of Tropical Cyclones: The Dynamics of Disaster, Disease, and Development, Epidemiol Rev 27 (1) (2005) 21–35, https://doi.org/10.1093/epirev/mxi011.
  [167] L.M. Sidek, A.S. Jaafar, W.H.A.W.A. Majid, H. Basri, M. Marufuzzaman, M.
- [167] L.M. Sidek, A.S. Jaafar, W.H.A.W.A. Majid, H. Basri, M. Marufuzzaman, M. M. Fared, W.C. Moon, High-Resolution Hydrological-Hydraulic Modeling of Urban Floods Using InfoWorks ICM, Sustainability 13 (18) (2021), https://doi. org/10.3390/su131810259.
- [168] Sohn, W., Kim, J.-H., Li, M.-H., Brown, R. D., & Jaber, F. H. (2020). How does increasing impervious surfaces affect urban flooding in response to climate variability? *Ecological Indicators*, 118, 106774. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.106774.
- [169] Stanford University. (2022). Neural Networks: Feed-Forward Networks. https://cs. stanford.edu/people/eroberts/courses/soco/projects/neural-networks/ Architecture/feedforward.html.
- [170] B. Su, H. Huang, W. Zhu, An urban pluvial flood simulation model based on diffusive wave approximation of shallow water equations, Hydrol Res 50 (1) (2017) 138–154, https://doi.org/10.2166/nh.2017.233.
- [171] P. Suarez, W. Anderson, V. Mahal, T.R. Lakshmanan, Impacts of flooding and climate change on urban transportation: A systemwide performance assessment of the Boston Metro Area, Transp Res Part D: Transp Environ 10 (3) (2005) 231–244, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2005.04.007.
- [172] M. Tanoue, R. Taguchi, S. Nakata, S. Watanabe, S. Fujimori, Y. Hirabayashi, Estimation of Direct and Indirect Economic Losses Caused by a Flood With Long-Lasting Inundation: Application to the 2011 Thailand Flood, Water Resour Res 56 (5) (2020), https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR026092.
- [173] Tanty, R., & Desmukh, T. (2015). Application of Artificial Neural Network in Hydrology- A Review. International Journal of Engineering Research And, V4. https://doi.org/10.17577/IJERTV4IS060247.

- [174] J.A.E. ten Veldhuis, F.H.L.R. Clemens, G. Sterk, B.R. Berends, Microbial risks associated with exposure to pathogens in contaminated urban flood water, Water Res 44 (9) (2010) 2910–2918, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.02.009.
- [175] J. Teng, A.J. Jakeman, J. Vaze, B.F.W. Croke, D. Dutta, S. Kim, Flood inundation modelling: A review of methods, recent advances and uncertainty analysis, Environ Model Softw 90 (2017) 201–216, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envsoft.2017.01.006.
- [176] S. Thorndahl, T. Einfalt, P. Willems, J. Ellerbæk Nielsen, M.C. ten Veldhuis, K. Arnbjerg-Nielsen, M.R. Rasmussen, P. Molnar, Weather radar rainfall data in urban hydrology, Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 21 (3) (2017) 1359–1380, https://doi. org/10.5194/hess-21-1359-2017.
- [177] K.E. Trenberth, Changes in precipitation with climate change, Climate Res 47 (1–2) (2011) 123–138, https://doi.org/10.3354/cr00953.
- [178] K. Trepekli, T. Balstrøm, T. Friborg, B. Fog, A.N. Allotey, R.Y. Kofie, L. Møller-Jensen, UAV-borne, LiDAR-based elevation modelling: a method for improving local-scale urban flood risk assessment, Nat Hazards 113 (1) (2022) 423–451.
- [179] United Nations. (2018). 2018 Revision of World Urbanization Prospects. https:// www.un.org/development/desa/publications/2018-revision-of-worldurbanization-prospects.html#:~:text=Today%2C%2055%25%20of%20the% 20world's,increase%20to%2068%25%20by%202050.
- [180] C. Valeo, C.L.I. Ho, Modelling urban snowmelt runoff, J Hydrol 299 (3–4) (2004) 237–251, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JHYDROL.2004.08.007.
- [181] L. Viessman, G. l., Introduction to Hydrology (Fifth), Pearson Education Inc., 2003.
- [182] I. Vorobevskii, F. Al Janabi, F. Schneebeck, J. Bellera, P. Krebs, Urban floods: Linking the overloading of a storm water sewer system to precipitation parameters, Hydrology 7 (2) (2020) 35.
- [183] Z. Wang, C. Lai, X. Chen, B. Yang, S. Zhao, X. Bai, Flood hazard risk assessment model based on random forest, J Hydrol 527 (2015) 1130–1141, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.06.008.
- [184] P. Willems, Stochastic description of the rainfall input errors in lumped hydrological models, Stoch Env Res Risk A 15 (2) (2001) 132–152.
- [185] P. Willems, J. Berlamont, Probabilistic modelling of sewer system overflow emissions, Water Sci Technol 39 (9) (1999) 47–54, https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0273-1223(99)00215-2.
- [186] J.D. Woodruff, J.L. Irish, S.J. Camargo, Coastal flooding by tropical cyclones and sea-level rise, Nature 504 (7478) (2013) 44–52, https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature12855.

- [187] M. Wu, Z. Wu, W. Ge, H. Wang, Y. Shen, M. Jiang, Identification of sensitivity indicators of urban rainstorm flood disasters: A case study in China, J Hydrol 599 (2021), 126393, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.126393.
- [188] Z. Wu, Y. Shen, H. Wang, M. Wu, Urban flood disaster risk evaluation based on ontology and Bayesian Network, J Hydrol 583 (2020), 124596, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.124596.
- [189] K. Xu, J. Fang, Y. Fang, Q. Sun, C. Wu, M. Liu, The Importance of Digital Elevation Model Selection in Flood Simulation and a Proposed Method to Reduce DEM Errors: A Case Study in Shanghai, International Journal of Disaster Risk Science 12 (6) (2021) 890–902, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13753-021-00377-z.
- [190] R. Yamashita, M. Nishio, R.K.G. Do, K. Togashi, Convolutional neural networks: an overview and application in radiology, Insights into Imaging 9 (4) (2018) 611–629, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13244-018-0639-9.
- [191] A. Yari, A. Ostadtaghizadeh, A. Ardalan, Y. Zarezadeh, A. Rahimiforoushani, F. Bidarpoor, Risk factors of death from flood: Findings of a systematic review, J Environ Health Sci Engineer 18 (2) (2020) 1643–1653.
- [192] M. Zaveri, M. Haag, A. Playford, N. Schweber, How the Storm Turned Basement Apartments Into Death Traps, The New York Times. (2021). https://www.nytime s.com/2021/09/02/nyregion/basement-apartment-floods-deaths.html?msclkid =03e5d075cd4111ec970b81d961c166d7.
- [193] S.F. Zevin, Steps toward an Integrated Approach to Hydrometeorological Forecasting Services, Bull Am Meteorol Soc 75 (7) (1994) 1267–1276, https:// doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477-75.7.1267.
- [194] H. Zhang, Z. Yang, Y. Cai, J. Qiu, B. Huang, Impacts of climate change on urban drainage systems by future short-duration design rainstorms, Water (Switzerland) 13 (19) (2021), https://doi.org/10.3390/w13192718.
- [195] G. Zhao, B. Pang, Z. Xu, D. Peng, L. Xu, Assessment of urban flood susceptibility using semi-supervised machine learning model, Sci Total Environ 659 (2019) 940–949, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.217.
- [196] G. Zhao, B. Pang, Z. Xu, D. Peng, D. Zuo, Urban flood susceptibility assessment based on convolutional neural networks, J Hydrol 590 (2020), 125235, https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125235.
- [197] Q. Zhou, P.S. Mikkelsen, K. Halsnæs, K. Arnbjerg-Nielsen, Framework for economic pluvial flood risk assessment considering climate change effects and adaptation benefits, J Hydrol 414–415 (2012) 539–549, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.11.031.
- [198] https://www.energy.gov/articles/doe-announces-66-million-research-impact-cl imate-change-americas-urban-communities.