

NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-AFSC-476

# Chukchi and Beaufort Seas Regional Action Plan to Implement the NOAA Fisheries Climate Science Strategy Through 2024

J. T. Thorson, R. Angliss, M. Baldwin-Schaeffer, P. Boveng,  
L. Copeman, A. De Robertis, S. Kotwicki, E. Goldstein,  
E. Logerwell, M. Mooney-Seus, E. Ward, G. A. Whitehouse,  
and S. Wise

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  
National Marine Fisheries Service

October 2023

The National Marine Fisheries Service's Alaska Fisheries Science Center uses the NOAA Technical Memorandum series to issue informal scientific and technical publications when complete formal review and editorial processing are not appropriate or feasible. Documents within this series reflect sound professional work and may be referenced in the formal scientific and technical literature.

The NMFS-AFSC Technical Memorandum series of the Alaska Fisheries Science Center continues the NMFS-F/NWC series established in 1970 by the Northwest Fisheries Center. The NMFS-NWFSC series is currently used by the Northwest Fisheries Science Center.

This document should be cited as follows:

Thorson, J. T., Angliss, R., Baldwin-Schaeffer, M., Boveng, P., Copeman, L., De Robertis, A., Kotwicki, S., Goldstein, E., Logerwell, E., Mooney-Seus, M., Ward, E., Whitehouse, G. A., and Wise, S. 2023. Chukchi and Beaufort Seas: Regional Action Plan to Implement the NOAA Fisheries Climate Science Strategy Through 2024. U.S. Department of Commerce., NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-AFSC-476, 28 p.

This document is available online at:

Document available: <https://repository.library.noaa.gov>

Reference in this document to trade names does not imply endorsement by the National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA.

# Chukchi and Beaufort Seas Regional Action Plan to Implement the NOAA Fisheries Climate Science Strategy Through 2024

J. T. Thorson<sup>1</sup>, R. Angliss<sup>2</sup>, M. Baldwin-Schaeffer<sup>3</sup>, P. Boveng<sup>2</sup>, L. Copeman<sup>4</sup>, A. De Robertis<sup>4</sup>, S. Kotwicki<sup>4</sup>, E. Goldstein<sup>1</sup>, E. Logerwell<sup>4</sup>, M. Mooney-Seus<sup>3</sup>, E. Ward<sup>5</sup>, G. A. Whitehouse<sup>1</sup>, and S. Wise<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Resource Ecology and Fisheries Management Division  
7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115

<sup>2</sup> Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Marine Mammal Laboratory  
7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115

<sup>3</sup> Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Operations, Management, and Information Services Division  
7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115

<sup>4</sup> Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Resource Assessment and Conservation Engineering Division  
7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115


<sup>5</sup> Alaska Regional Office  
709 W. 9th St.  
Juneau, Alaska 99802

October 2023

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  
National Marine Fisheries Service**

NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-TM-AFSC-476





# Contents

<b>Executive Summary</b> .....	v
<b>Introduction</b> .....	1
Alaska Native Communities .....	3
Chukchi Sea .....	4
Beaufort Sea.....	4
NOAA Fisheries Climate Science Strategy .....	5
<b>Alaska Regional Office Climate Information Needs and Priorities</b> .....	6
Vision for How Activities Will Respond to NMFS Climate Mandate .....	6
<b>Inventory of Past and Present Research Activities</b> .....	7
<b>Action Plan: Proposed Activities with Metrics</b> .....	11
<b>Activity Narratives</b> .....	14
Bridging Knowledge to Inform Arctic Management.....	14
Communications to Support Co-Producing Science with Arctic Communities.....	15
Predicting HABs and Juvenile Snow Crab Status Using Satellite-Based Measurements of Ocean Color.....	16
Renew Arctic Ecosystem Status Report .....	17
Cetacean Sampling in the Chukchi Sea .....	17
Overwinter Survival of Arctic Gadids .....	18
Expand Trophic and Spatial Models for Arctic Ecosystem.....	19
The Trophic Roles of Ice Seals in the Chukchi and Beaufort Seas .....	20
Focused Involvement Regarding Demersal Communities within the Distributed Biological Observatory .....	21
Convene a Local Knowledge, Indigenous Knowledge, and Subsistence Advisory Body for Arctic Region.....	22
Bottom Trawl and Acoustic-Trawl Survey to Detect Northward Distribution Shifts .....	23
<b>Acknowledgments</b> .....	25
<b>Citations</b> .....	27



## Executive Summary

Changing climate and oceans are affecting the Nation's valuable living marine resources and the many people, communities, and businesses that depend on them. Warming oceans, loss of sea ice, rising seas, extreme events, and acidification are impacting the structure of marine and coastal ecosystems, and the distribution and abundance of species in many regions. These socio-ecological impacts are expected to increase and there is much at risk.

To prepare for and respond to climate impacts on marine and coastal resources, the 2015 NOAA Fisheries Climate Science Strategy (NCSS) identified seven key objectives to increase the production, delivery, and use of climate-related information needed to fulfill the agency's mandates (e.g., fisheries management, protected resources conservation) in a changing climate. Beginning in 2016, NOAA Fisheries developed Regional Action Plans (RAPs) to implement the NCSS in each region based on regional needs and capabilities. This Arctic Regional Action Plan (Arctic RAP) describes current and proposed actions to implement the NCSS in the Chukchi and Beaufort seas over 3 years. These activities support NOAA's effort to build a climate-ready nation.

This Arctic RAP is intended to both envision and highlight priority research that should be conducted through 2024. The plan includes some ongoing research to implement our federal mandate as outlined in the [Alaska Fisheries Science Center Strategic Science Plan](#). It also includes recommendations for additional research that we believe is needed to help us respond to climate change. Much of the ongoing work outlined in this document is occurring in close collaboration with partners. The proposed actions will likely require additional funding and support to be completed during this timeframe, and will also involve ongoing and strengthened collaborations with many Tribal, governmental, and non-governmental bodies including the State of Alaska.

The need to take action is clear. Climate change in the Chukchi and Beaufort seas is driving rapid decreases in summertime sea-ice extent, resulting in (among other things) poleward movement of marine species from the adjacent Bering Sea ecosystem vital to commercial and subsistence fisheries; changes in the migratory phenology and distribution of bowhead and beluga whales; disruptions in subsistence harvests by Alaska Native communities; and likely shifts in the coupling of pelagic-benthic system components and resulting changes in ecosystem productivity.

This Arctic RAP identifies priority actions to implement the NCSS and advance climate-informed decision making, resilience and adaptation in the region. It includes an inventory of existing ecosystem monitoring and synthesis programs, and identifies coordinated activities to address five focus areas to advance climate-informed decision making in the region: monitoring; process research; management-oriented synthesis efforts; marine mammals; and socio-economics. The inventory stresses the importance of 11 ongoing and expanded research and communications activities. This includes four foundational activities to strengthen collaboration and communication with Alaska Native Tribes and communities, as well as refreshing the Arctic

Ecosystem Status Report to improve relevant and timely communication on changing ecosystem conditions with the North Pacific Fishery Management Council and other decision-makers.

The following is a summary of key activities in the Arctic RAP, listed under the five focal areas and the NCSS objectives they address.

**Expand Monitoring (NCSS Objectives 6, 7)**

- Expand sampling for demersal communities within the Distributed Biological Observatory domain.
- Develop and implement bottom trawl and acoustic-trawl surveys to detect northward distribution shifts of species in the Chukchi Sea.

**Conduct Process Research (NCSS Objective 5)**

- Predict harmful algal blooms (HABs) and juvenile snow crab status.
- Predict overwinter survival of Arctic gadids.

**Increase Management-oriented Synthesis (NCSS Objectives 1, 3, 4, 6)**

- Renew the Arctic Ecosystem Status Report.
- Expand trophic and spatial models for Arctic systems.

**Assess Impacts on Marine Mammals (NCSS Objectives 1, 4-6)**

- Develop and maintain cetacean sampling in the Chukchi Sea.
- Identify trophic roles of ice seals in the Chukchi and Beaufort seas.

**Improve Socio-economic Information (NCSS Objectives 2, 3)**

- Expand social science research that examines human-environment interactions in the Arctic. Strengthen the use and bridging of multiple knowledge systems to support best available information to inform Arctic management.
- Convene the Local Knowledge/Indigenous Knowledge and Subsistence advisory body for the Arctic Region to support knowledge networks and information transfer among remote communities.



## Introduction

Human impacts on global climate are driving changes in spatial distribution and productivity for fishes and marine mammals worldwide and in the Arctic in particular, with resulting impacts on human livelihoods, cultural cohesion, and subsistence. Polar Amplification results in greater changes in atmospheric temperatures than temperate or tropical regions given increased greenhouse gas concentrations, and this has resulted in declining summertime sea ice throughout Arctic large marine ecosystems (LMEs) including the Beaufort and Chukchi seas.

The North Pacific Fishery Management Council (NPFMC) had defined a Fishery Management Plan for the Fish Resources of the Arctic Management Area (“Arctic Fishery Management Plan” in the following) for the U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone (“EEZ”) of the Beaufort and Chukchi seas; and we here use these same geographic boundaries to define the Arctic Regional Action Plan (“Arctic RAP”). The NPFMC developed the Arctic Fishery Management Plan in 2009. This plan prohibits commercial fishing (excluding salmon and halibut) “until sufficient information is available to support the sustainable management of a commercial fishery” (NPFMC 2009). In 2018, the United States led negotiations for the Agreement to Prevent Unregulated High Seas Fisheries in the Central Arctic, which is the first multilateral agreement of its kind to take a legally binding, precautionary approach to protect the Arctic from commercial fishing before such fishing has begun. The Agreement has two principal objectives: the prevention of unregulated fishing in the high seas portion of the central Arctic Ocean and the facilitation of joint scientific research and monitoring.



Fig. 1 -- Area defined for the Arctic RAP (using figure developed for the Arctic FMP, from <https://www.npfmc.org/arctic-fishery-management/>), where the U.S. EEZ does not include Alaska state waters (orange shading) and is restricted to offshore waters (hatched blue lines).

The Arctic Council was formed by the Ottawa Declaration in 1996, and it represents intergovernmental coordination of eight member states and numerous Permanent Participants. We note that the Arctic Council defines an LME that includes the northern Bering Sea as well as the Chukchi Sea (including U.S. and Russian waters); we have instead restricted the Arctic RAP to the Beaufort and Chukchi seas to maintain consistency with the NPFMC. The Interagency Arctic Research Policy Committee (IARPC) was mandated by law in 1984 and released a draft Arctic Research Plan, 2022-2026. This IARPC Arctic Research Plan specifically prioritizes understanding 1) Community Resilience and Health, 2) Arctic Systems Interactions, 3) Sustainable Economies and Livelihoods, and 4) Risk Management and Hazard Mitigation. The U.S. Department of Commerce is specifically listed as a Lead Agency for Arctic Systems Interactions, and as having a mission that aligns well with the remaining priorities.

In this Arctic RAP, we seek to inventory past and present research, and to recommend future research that will result in improved understanding, prediction, and management responses to coupled ecological and social system changes associated with ongoing climate changes in the U.S. Beaufort and Chukchi seas. Recommendations were developed based upon input from representatives of all AFSC programs, as synthesized by a steering committee from which the authors were drawn. We envision a targeted portfolio of monitoring, process research and synthesis efforts including lower trophic, fish, marine mammal, and human components of the ecosystem that would occur from 2022 to 2024. We specifically envision developing a collaborative research environment in which discussions and partnerships with Arctic communities are a central element as we progress toward greater co-production of research in this and future Arctic RAPs. These activities support NOAA's effort to build a climate-ready nation.

The Arctic RAP highlights some planned and ongoing research being conducted by the AFSC and areas of needed research to better respond to climate change. This effort expands on the Ecosystem Status Reports (ESRs) that have been produced annually by the AFSC for over 20 years, and the Ecosystem Socio-Economic Profiles (ESPs) and risk tables now being produced to provide context for fish stock assessment development. The ESRs compile data and information to look at ecosystem condition annually, while the ESPs provide insights into how changes in the ecosystem affect individual commercial fish species and their relationships with predators and prey. All of these products support the work of the North Pacific Fishery Management Council as well as governmental regulations. Under the Biden Administration, a new Climate, Ecosystems, and Fisheries Initiative (CEFI) involving multiple NOAA line-offices is getting underway that will facilitate greater coordination across NOAA to provide climate-ready information to help the Nation better prepare for and respond to climate change.

Reducing the impacts of changing climate and ocean conditions on living marine resources and resource dependent communities is contingent upon both mitigation and adaptation. Mitigation measures to reduce current and future greenhouse gas emissions or remove carbon dioxide from the atmosphere are key factors in determining which future climate scenario that we are headed towards and the degree and types of adaptation needed to increase the resilience of fisheries and communities.

NOAA is working to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from its facilities and ships (DOC 2021 Climate Action Plan) as well as taking action to increase resiliency and adapt to current and anticipated impacts of changing climate and ocean conditions on living marine resources. This RAP discusses actions to address and increase the resilience of the Nation's valuable living marine resources and the people, businesses, and communities that depend on them.

### **Alaska Native Communities**

Alaska is home to six major cultural groups: Unangan/Aleut, Yupiit (Bering Sea Eskimos), Iñupiat (Northern Eskimos), Athabascans (Interior Indians), and Tlingit and Haida (Southeast Coastal Indians). The waters and coastlines of the Bering and Chukchi seas are the enduring homelands of many Indigenous Peoples including the Yup'ik, Cup'ik, Iñupiaq, Unangan, St. Lawrence Yupik, Central Siberian Yupik, Chukchi, Koryak and Kerek Peoples. The Alaska Native Peoples who inhabit the lands adjacent to the Chukchi and Beaufort seas are Alaskan Inupiat, and they are considered tagiugmiut -- translated as "People of the Sea" (relative to "People of the Land" or Nunamiut). Tagiugmiut depend heavily on marine natural resources, including marine mammals, various species of fish, and other marine organisms that do not only function as nutrition, but also as important sources of cultural meaning, social cohesion, generational knowledge pathways, languages guides, for spirituality, art, transportation, and a way of life (Little and Robbins 1986, Demuth 2020). The Bering, Chukchi, and Beaufort seas are living waters, uniting Indigenous Peoples across time and space as a graveyard for ancestors and the home for future generations. The seas and their coasts are the source of community well-being, shared from generation to generation since time immemorial.

The close relationship between Tagiugmiut and marine natural resources is distinct from anywhere else in the world. Rural Alaska communities engage in complex mixed economies, wherein community members participate in a combination of wild resource harvests and commercial-wage employment. Mixed economies are adaptive, allowing for adjustment to changing social and environmental conditions. While cash is used for common goods and services (e.g., fuel, heating oil, travel, housing, etc. ), it is also used to support active subsistence harvest by purchasing necessary tools such as gear, fishing permits, nets, or ammunition. A subsistence way of life continues to be vital for daily survival and cultural identity, and sustaining Indigenous Peoples for millennia (BurnSilver et al. 2016, Ready and Power 2018, Scaggs et al. 2021).

Research into the cultural and dietary importance of living marine resources in St. Lawrence Island, Alaska, illustrated the variety of species used for multiple purposes. Research findings highlighted the importance of having a diverse portfolio in subsistence species to support resilience (Tempel et al. 2021) and how subsistence is impacted by ongoing loss of sea ice (Kapsch et al. 2010). Climate stressors continue to affect subsistence activities and have impacted access to subsistence areas and reduced harvest rates (Green et al. 2021).

Ethical research must be centered on respect and communication, hinged on a greater awareness and understanding of cultural sensitivities, Tribal sovereignty, and existing protocol for conducting research with Alaska Natives. Several examples of research guidelines or protocol

exists for the Arctic region. Globally, Indigenous communities have worked with partners to develop principles to guide research engagement (see United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, 2007). The Inuit Circumpolar Council developed guiding protocols for equitable and ethical engagement specific to Northern Alaska and Canada (Inuit Circumpolar Council 2022). The Native Village of Kotzebue developed research protocol specific to their area (see [Native Village of Kotzebue Research Protocol](#)<sup>1</sup>). In line with the Bering Sea Ecosystem Fisheries Plan, the Traditional Knowledge, Local Knowledge, and Subsistence Taskforce is in the process of detailing a protocol for the Northern Bering region. All researchers must adhere to the appropriate protocol when conducting research with Tribes or on Tribal lands.

## **Chukchi Sea**

The Chukchi Sea is a broad, relatively shallow (0-50 m depth) shelf punctuated by canyons and shoals. Sea ice covers the Chukchi Sea each winter, and by late summer, sea ice retreats and open water occurs over most of the shelf. There is very high interannual variability in sea ice extent and timing of retreat. The timing of seasonal sea ice retreat has important impacts on the Chukchi Sea ecosystem. The typical timing of seasonal ice melt and onset of stratification coupled with nutrient-rich waters results in early spring blooms with little pelagic grazing such that a large amount of in situ and advected pelagic production sinks to the seafloor thereby supporting a diverse and biomass-rich benthic community.

This rich benthic community in turn supports benthic foraging marine mammals such as walrus, bearded seals, and gray whales; and seabirds such as eider. Despite high benthic productivity, fish populations and body sizes in the Chukchi Sea are small compared to the Southeast Bering Sea (and Barents Sea in the Atlantic) which support large commercial fisheries. It has been hypothesized that with ocean warming, reductions in sea ice and earlier retreat, blooms will occur later and more pelagic production will be consumed by the pelagic food web with less being exported to the benthos.

Another key characteristic of the region is the substantial and increasing flux of waters northward from the Bering Sea and Gulf of Anadyr through the Bering Strait (Woodgate et al. 2015). This results in a seasonal advection of nutrients, algal production, organic carbon, zooplankton, and pelagic fishes. While Bering Shelf Waters and Anadyr Waters mix within and downstream of the Bering Strait, a strong density front typically separates the Alaska Coastal Water from the offshore waters both in the Bering Sea and farther north. The Alaska Coastal Water contrasts from offshore waters in that it is warm, has relatively low salinity and is nutrient-poor.

## **Beaufort Sea**

The Beaufort Sea includes a narrow nearshore shelf in the west that widens to the east and is connected to deep offshore basins via a relatively steep slope. Oceanographically, the region is influenced by warm, nutrient-rich waters that flow eastward from the Chukchi Sea, the Beaufort

---

<sup>1</sup>[https://www.arcus.org/files/page/documents/27026/native\\_village\\_of\\_kotzebue\\_research\\_protocol\\_updated\\_july\\_2018.pdf](https://www.arcus.org/files/page/documents/27026/native_village_of_kotzebue_research_protocol_updated_july_2018.pdf)

Gyre, and freshwater input primarily from the Mackenzie River that impacts surface water salinity and stratification. Cross-shelf exchange via upwelling and eddies can bring deeper waters such as Atlantic origin water, nutrients, and organisms onto the shelf. Variation in water mass composition and origin affects temperature, salinity, stratification, and biological communities in the basin and on the shelf. Ice cover is seasonal, and summer sea ice has declined in recent decades. Rapid shifts in sea ice are strongly influenced by wind speed and direction, with increased easterly winds advecting sea ice out of the region. Changes in sea ice also impact wind-forced seasonal upwelling along the shelf break.

Distributions and abundance of species in the Beaufort Sea are impacted by interactions between wind, sea ice cover, cross-shelf exchange and upwelling as well as the diversity of nearshore, slope, and offshore habitats. Distributions of zooplankton and the prevalence of lipid-rich Arctic taxa that are important prey for higher trophic levels are impacted by temperature, salinity, and water mass origin. Arctic cod are the dominant component of the fish community and utilize multiple habitat types that differ across life stages, including larger adult individuals in high densities in deeper slope environments. Prevalent nearshore lagoons are also important habitats for salmon, saffron cod, and several forage fish species that are nodal Arctic food web components. Similar to the Chukchi Sea, strong seasonal pulses of phytodetritus to the benthos fuel a rich and diverse benthic community which is dominated by invertebrates (epi- and infaunal).

Arctic cod, euphausiids, and lipid-rich copepods support substantial populations of bowhead whales, pinnipeds, and belugas. Marine mammals are often concentrated at hotspots such as the western Beaufort shelf near Point Barrow where oceanographic conditions aggregate copepods and euphausiids. This region also coincides with hotspots for some seabird species such as shearwaters. Changes in productivity caused by loss of sea ice and associated increases in upwelling during the summer foraging season have been linked with increased body condition for bowhead whales in Beaufort Sea (George et al. 2015). Such linkages between marine mammal hotspots and changes in sea ice, shifts in upwelling, and oceanographic conditions suggest that climate change and ongoing loss of sea ice will impact distributions and abundance of multiple trophic levels with ecosystem and food security implications.

### **NOAA Fisheries Climate Science Strategy**

The NOAA Fisheries Climate Science Strategy (Link et al. 2015) envisions seven questions and associated objectives:

1. Objective 1: Identify appropriate, climate-informed reference points for managing living marine resources (LMRs).
2. Objective 2: Identify robust strategies for managing LMRs under changing climate conditions.
3. Objective 3: Design adaptive decision processes that can incorporate and respond to changing climate conditions.

4. Objective 4: Identify future states of marine and coastal ecosystems, LMRs, and LMR - dependent human communities in a changing climate.
5. Objective 5: Identify the mechanisms of climate impacts on ecosystems, LMRs, and LMR-dependent human communities.
6. Objective 6: Track trends in ecosystems, LMRs and LMR-dependent human communities and provide early warning of change.
7. Objective 7: Build and maintain the science infrastructure needed to fulfill NOAA Fisheries mandates with changing climate conditions.

This Climate Science Strategy is implemented regionally through RAPs, of which this document is one such plan.

The AFSC has decided to organize this RAP by identifying future activities corresponding to five focus areas:

- Monitoring (repeated, ongoing sampling via field-work and remote sensing).
- Process research (hypothesis-driven tests of biological processes using manipulative experiments and field observations).
- Management-oriented synthesis efforts (modelling or otherwise).
- Marine mammals.
- Socio-economics.

## **Alaska Regional Office Climate Information Needs and Priorities**

The Alaska Regional Office (AKRO), which oversees the science-based stewardship of living marine resources and their habitat in the Arctic, relies on climate science information to support its stewardship mandate, including for work by its Sustainable Fisheries, Protected Resources, and Habitat Conservation Divisions (SFD, PRD, and HCD, respectively). In the Habitat Conservation Division, climate research products developed from activities in the Arctic are used both to delineate and inform the conservation of Essential Fish Habitat (EFH). In particular, monitoring, process studies, and management-oriented synthesis themed projects in the Chukchi and Beaufort seas directly inform HCD activities, including the development of species distribution models and EFH geospatial products; projecting the effects of climate change on species distribution and EFH; the species and ecosystem monitoring to underpin those research efforts; and the design of climate-informed conservation recommendations to minimize adverse effects to EFH in the Arctic. SFD leverages strategic partnerships and prioritizes work to create climate-ready science and policy to address the management needs for Alaska fisheries, fishery-dependent communities, and Alaska Natives who rely on ocean resources. SFD continues to support the AFSC's efforts to learn more about this area and be responsive to specific data and management needs related to climate-forcing events. PRD uses climate data from the Arctic to inform decisions for ESA-listings, critical habitat designations and Section 7 consultations. PRD takes climate data into consideration when evaluating stock status, status of a species, and expected impacts upon protected species. Because many protected marine mammals are highly

ice-associated, climate change data are especially important in management of these Arctic species. The AKRO's climate information needs in the Arctic span a range of stewardship objectives, and they are supported by the research projects described in this RAP.

### **Vision for How Activities Will Respond to NMFS Climate Mandate**

We envision 11 complementary activities that will substantially strengthen the capacity for the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), the NPFMC, Alaska Native tribes, as well as other local and national stakeholders to understand, forecast, and respond to ongoing and rapid climate changes in the Chukchi and Beaufort seas.

Specifically, we believe that the Alaska Fisheries Science Center (AFSC) needs to improve its communications and collaborations regarding climate impacts affecting Arctic ecosystems. In particular, we commit to providing regular and reliable communications to all Alaska Fisheries stakeholders, as well as with Alaska Native tribes (*Communications To Support Co-Producing Science with Arctic Communities*), both 1) to facilitate access to (and benefits arising from) science occurring in waters near tribal members, elders, and organizations; and 2) to facilitate AFSC scientists learning from and collaborating with community members that have long-term experience with the region. Communications would also be improved by developing and identifying members for a new advisory body including AFSC and Alaska Native representatives (*Local Knowledge, Indigenous Knowledge, and Subsistence Advisory Body for Arctic Region*), and collaborating to develop Indigenous Conceptual Models (*Bridging Knowledge to Inform Arctic Management*). We also recommend refreshing the previous Arctic Ecosystem Status Report (*Arctic Ecosystem Status Report*) to regularly communicate Arctic science with the NPFMC. These communications and collaborations are foundational for any successful science and management in the high Arctic.

In support of these improved communications and collaborations, we highlight several research activities to understand current and future climate conditions in the U.S. high Arctic. This includes efforts to use satellites and focused process research to monitor lower-trophic processes affecting Harmful Algal Blooms (HABs) and food available for demersal crabs (*Predicting HABs and Juvenile Snow Crab Status Using Satellite-Based Ocean Color*), as well as process research to understand prospects for overwinter survival for Pacific cod and walleye pollock (*Overwinter Survival for Arctic Gadids*). It also includes a synthesis of ice-seal consumption of fishes and benthic resources (*The Trophic Roles of Ice Seals in the Chukchi and Beaufort Seas*), which would then be included in trophic models for ecosystem productivity in these ecosystems (*Expand Trophic and Spatial Models for Arctic Ecosystem*). We also note the importance for ongoing passive acoustic and field-based sampling of cetaceans (*Cetacean Sampling in the Chukchi Sea*), as well as expanded sampling of demersal communities within the Distributed Biological Observatory (*Focused Involvement Regarding Demersal Communities within the Distributed Biological Observatory*).

Finally, we envision an exploratory survey of the Chukchi Sea for fishes and decapod crabs that are fished in the Bering Sea. This survey would include both large-mesh bottom trawl and acoustic-midwater trawl gears on a single vessel, and it would update bottom-trawl sampling last conducted in 2012 and acoustic-trawl sampling last conducted in 2019.

## **Inventory of Past and Present Research Activities**

We here note some of the previous and ongoing research activities in the waters covered by this Arctic RAP, including Russian-American Long-Term Census of the Arctic (RUSALCA), the Arctic Integrated Ecosystem Research Program (AIERP), the Distributed Biological Observatory (DBO), as well as targeted studies for cetaceans and ice-associated seals. We briefly inventory these activities below.

### **RUSALCA**

The Russian-American Long-term Census of the Arctic (RUSALCA) program stemmed from the 2003 Memorandum of Understanding between NOAA and the Russian Academy of Sciences (Crane and Ostrovskiy 2015). The program involved scientists from both countries carrying out the multidisciplinary research and observations needed to build a long-term climate and ecosystem observing network in the Pacific Arctic. The first expedition to the Bering and Chukchi seas was conducted in summer-early fall 2004. Expeditions continued until 2014. Sampling at stations included water column temperature, salinity, nutrients, chlorophyll and CO<sub>2</sub>; zooplankton and ichthyoplankton; benthic fauna; and sediment chemistry, chlorophyll and physical composition. In addition, moorings collected data on fluxes, biooptics, nutrients and ocean acidification; as well as passive acoustic monitoring of marine mammals. A 2015 special issue of the journal *Oceanography* documents many of the key findings of the RUSALCA surveys (Crane and Ostrovsky 2015).

### **DBO**

The DBO is envisioned as a set of fixed transects designed to monitor biophysical responses in four pivotal geographic areas that exhibit high productivity, biomass, biodiversity, and rates of change (Grebmeier et al. 2010). These areas are the northern Bering Sea, the Bering Strait and southeastern Chukchi Sea, the central Chukchi Sea, the Barrow Arc, and the Beaufort Sea. Measurements at transect sites include hydrography (temperature, salinity, nutrients, chlorophyll); and biomass, and species composition, as well as the size and condition of key organisms of phytoplankton, ichthyoplankton, and benthic fauna. Seabirds are assessed via standard visual surveys on ship transects and through indicator colony studies. Similarly, marine mammal visual and passive acoustic sampling are conducted on shipboard operations and on ocean observation moorings, respectively. Oceanographic moorings near and within the DBO transects measure water column properties and fluxes. Finally, coastal residents who subsist on fish, seabirds, and marine mammals contribute observations and tissue samples so that shifts in ice conditions, species diet, and contaminant levels can be tracked in higher trophic organisms. The DBO stations are occupied by Canadian, Chinese, Korean, Japanese, Russian, and U.S. research vessels currently being coordinated through the international Pacific Arctic Group (PAG), a network of governments and scientists working in the Pacific Arctic sector. The DBO sampling framework was initially tested during a 2010 Pilot Study, and DBO surveys continue to the present. See <https://www.pmel.noaa.gov/dbo/> for maps of DBO stations and moorings, cruise data, publications, and contacts.



## **Arctic EIS/IES: Integrated Ecosystem Surveys of the Northern Bering-Chukchi Sea**

The overarching goals of these programs were to better understand the mechanisms and processes that structure the Pacific Arctic marine ecosystem and to evaluate the potential effect of climate change on fish, marine mammals, seabirds, and human communities. These goals were addressed with comprehensive assessments of the Northern Bering-Chukchi Sea conducted by multidisciplinary teams comprised of physical and biological oceanographers, fisheries scientists, marine ornithologists, marine mammalogists, social scientists, and Alaska Native communities.

Phase I, the Arctic Ecosystem Integrated Survey (known as Eis) was funded by the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, the state of Alaska Coastal Impact Assessment Program and NOAA. The lead institution was the University of Alaska Fairbanks (UAF). AFSC's EMA, GAP, MACE, and HEPR programs were co-leads. Surveys were conducted in late summer-fall in 2012 and 2013. A grid of stations from 60 N (Nunivak Island) to the slope of the Central Arctic Ocean was sampled for water mass characteristics (temperature, salinity), nutrient concentrations and phytoplankton biomass. To assess biological resources, surveys used the following:

1. Small- and large-mesh bottom trawls for benthic fish and invertebrates (in 2012 only).
2. Surface trawls for juvenile salmon and forage fishes.
3. Plankton nets for zooplankton and larval fish.
4. Acoustic-trawl surveys for gadids and forage fish.
5. Visual observations of seabirds along the ship's track.

This effort provides a valuable baseline that could be repeated to demonstrate changes relative to 2012 (Mueter et al. 2017).

Phase II was renamed IES, Integrated Ecosystem Survey (to avoid confusion with NEPA's EIS Environmental Impact Statement). This project was funded by NPRB's Arctic Integrated Ecosystem Research Program (AIERP), the Collaborative Alaskan Arctic Studies Program, the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM), the Office of Naval Research Marine Mammals and Biology Program, NOAA and UAF. The grid of stations established during Phase I was sampled again in fall-summer 2017 and 2019 for oceanographic characteristics and biological resources as described above. Only stations north of the Bering Strait were sampled. In addition, several DBO transects were sampled and oceanographic, bottom-moored echosounders, and marine mammal passive acoustic moorings were recovered and deployed.

Also part of AIERP was the Arctic Shelf Growth Advection Respiration Deposition Rate survey (ASGARD), led by University of Alaska Fairbanks. Surveys of the northern Bering Sea to the southern Chukchi Sea were conducted in late May-early June in 2017 and 2018. Transects from St. Lawrence Island to Cape Lisburne were sampled for oceanographic characteristics, zooplankton, larval fish, epibenthic fish and invertebrates, and benthic infauna. In addition, onboard incubations were conducted to measure growth, respiration, and deposition rates of phytoplankton and zooplankton. Oceanographic and marine mammal passive acoustic moorings were recovered and deployed.

The social science component of AIERP was conducted by a team that included Principal Investigators from the North Slope and Northwest Arctic Boroughs and the Bering Strait region. The goal was to develop meaningful interaction with Alaska Native communities to explore changing patterns of access to subsistence resources and food security.

### **Monitoring for Cetaceans**

The AFSC has previously conducted aerial surveys of bowhead and beluga whale abundance as part of the Aerial Surveys for Arctic Marine Mammals through an interagency agreement with BOEM. This survey was conducted over many decades but was ended in 2019 due to changes in priorities at BOEM. The most recent aerial survey included a modified survey design to assess bowhead whale abundance needed for International Whaling Commission (IWC) to determine allowable take levels for Alaska Native communities.

The AFSC uses passive acoustics deployed on moorings to detect year-round occurrence of marine mammals at nine stations in the Chukchi and Beaufort seas. Recordings are co-located on oceanographic moorings, which provides a decade-long time-series of information on marine mammal occurrence and oceanographic conditions. The recorders routinely capture sounds from 10 marine species, including those from the endangered bowhead and fin whales, and can inform how occurrence is changing as sea ice conditions change.

### **Monitoring for Ice-associated Seals**

Aerial surveys for bearded, ringed, spotted, and ribbon seals were completed throughout their breeding ranges in Alaska waters of the Bering Sea (2012 - 2013), Chukchi Sea (2016), and Beaufort Sea (2021). The surveys provided the basis for the first comprehensive estimates of abundance for these species in Alaska waters. The survey and analytical methods are viable for regular and more frequent monitoring to quantify population trends, intended for 2024 in the Bering Sea, 2026 in the Chukchi Sea, and 2028 in the Beaufort Sea.

In addition to abundance and trend monitoring for seals, the AFSC has sampled and satellite-tracked spotted, ribbon, and bearded seals to determine their haul-out timing (a key element of abundance estimates), monitor their body condition, characterize their habitat requirements and diet, and screen for exposure to pathogens. The primary effort, involving ribbon and spotted seals, has been conducted from a NOAA vessel six times between 2007 and 2018, and it is intended to continue biennially from 2022.

### **Other Focused Efforts**

We also note several previous or ongoing efforts with specific research and sampling foci. This includes the following:

- Focused sampling in the Beaufort Sea in 2008. Dominant benthic taxa, such as Arctic cod and snow crab were associated with cold, high salinity, and presumably highly productive water offshore of the shelf break (Logerwell et al. 2011).

- The Shelf Habitat and Ecology of Fish and Zooplankton with sampling focused in the area of Barrow Canyon in 2013. Arctic cod were more abundant in deep, cold, and highly saline water in Barrow Canyon, which was likely advected from the Chukchi Shelf or from the Arctic Basin and carried energy-rich copepods (Logerwell et al. 2018).
- Uncrewed surface vehicles were used in 2018 to conduct repeat acoustic surveys of the Chukchi shelf to study the seasonal movements of the abundant population of age-0 gadids. The surveys and transport models indicate that age-0 gadids are advected to the Chukchi shelf from the northern Bering Sea, are retained on the shelf during a period of growth until late fall, and are then advected farther north toward the Chukchi and Beaufort shelf breaks by fall (Levine et al. 2021).
- The Climate, Ecosystems, and Fisheries Initiative (CEFI), if funded as requested, will provide a new MOM6 model for ocean physics and lower-trophic processes available for the central Arctic and adjacent oceans, including the Beaufort and Chukchi seas.

These efforts generated samples of food habits for individuals captured in beam trawls, as well as other environmental and lower-trophic samples and associated decadal forecasts.

### **Action Plan: Proposed Activities with Metrics**

We recommend completing the following 11 primary activities over the next 3 years. Where possible, we list Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Time-Bound (SMART) metrics for success in each activity. We summarize these activities including how they correspond to five research topics and the seven NCSS objectives (Table 1), and then describe them in detail below.

Table 1. -- A summary of eleven proposed activities, including the primary focus areas addressed (from Monitoring, Process research, Management oriented synthesis, Marine mammals, Socio-economics), as well as 1-4 numbered objectives that can be evaluated for progress prior to any further update of the Arctic RAP.

Activity	Focus areas	NCSS objective
Bridging Knowledge to Inform Arctic Management  1. Promote interdisciplinary partnerships; 2. Document Indigenous Conceptual Models; 3. Demonstrate collaborative methods.	Socio-economics research	2

<p>Communications To Support Co-Producing Science with Arctic Communities</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Conduct radio interviews and local newspaper features;</li> <li>2. Develop educational efforts targeting students, teachers and parents in the communities.</li> <li>3. Use NMFS communications platforms to highlight collaborative efforts</li> </ol>	<p>Communications</p>	<p>2</p>
<p>Predicting HABs and Juvenile Snow Crab Status Using Satellite-Based Measurements of Ocean Color</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Develop a phytoplankton community size structure algorithm,</li> <li>2. Advance a specific algorithm for the detection of small photosynthetic bacteria (<i>Synechococcus</i>),</li> <li>3. Develop an algorithm for diatom abundance</li> <li>4. Explore satellite metrics to predict HAB prevalence and juvenile crab abundance</li> </ol>	<p>Process research</p>	<p>5</p>
<p>Renew Arctic Ecosystem Status Report</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Update the Arctic ESR during 2022-2024</li> </ol>	<p>Management-oriented synthesis</p>	<p>1, 3, 6</p>
<p>Cetacean Sampling in the Chukchi Sea</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Maintain and improve passive acoustics;</li> <li>2. Develop and implement vessel and/or aerial survey</li> </ol>	<p>Marine mammals</p>	<p>6</p>
<p>Overwinter Survival of Arctic Gadids</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Predict impact of summer warming on juvenile condition</li> <li>2. Predict impact of condition on overwinter survival</li> </ol>	<p>Process research</p>	<p>5</p>

<p>Expand Trophic and Spatial Models for Arctic Ecosystem</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Update Chukchi food web model</li> <li>2. Develop Beaufort food web model</li> <li>3. Compare system-level optimum yield across all Alaska ecosystems</li> <li>4. Spatio-temporal synthesis model for survey planning:</li> </ol>	<p>Management-oriented synthesis</p>	<p>1, 3, 4</p>
<p>The Trophic Roles of Ice Seals in the Chukchi and Beaufort seas</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Estimate seasonal prey requirements</li> <li>2. Expand to total ice-seal consumption</li> </ol>	<p>Marine mammals</p>	<p>1, 4, 5</p>
<p>Focused Involvement Regarding Demersal Communities within the Distributed Biological Observatory</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Add beam trawls</li> <li>2. Extend exploratory large-mesh trawling</li> <li>3. Add benthic respirometer</li> <li>4. Add environmental DNA</li> </ol>	<p>Monitoring</p>	<p>6</p>
<p>Local Knowledge, Indigenous Knowledge, and Subsistence Advisory Body for Arctic Region</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Coordinate a Local Knowledge/Indigenous Knowledge and Subsistence advisory body to support knowledge networks and information transfer among remote communities</li> </ol>	<p>Socio-economics</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>Bottom Trawl and Acoustic-Trawl Survey to Detect Northward Distribution Shifts</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Develop survey design</li> <li>2. Conduct short gear trial</li> <li>3. Implement combined sampling effort</li> </ol>	<p>Monitoring</p>	<p>7</p>

## Activity Narratives

### **Bridging Knowledge to Inform Arctic Management (BKIAM)**

The Arctic is undergoing rapid and unprecedented ecological and social changes, requiring innovative management strategies. Marine resources are critical to Alaska communities for economic livelihood, food security, social cohesion, and cultural continuity. Alaska Natives have enduring historical, social, and ecological ties to their environment, and have extensive Indigenous Knowledge (IK) on the Arctic ecosystem, which can provide current and historically-informed information to advance ecosystem-based management (EBM) and improve management decisions. There exists an array of organized state and regional efforts to identify and gather IK and local knowledge related to living marine organisms in the Arctic. The specifics of cultural and environmental context are crucial in understanding multiple knowledge systems; however, there is a need to understand how these efforts may be linked, identify shared or overlapping objectives, and recognize any possible gaps. Collaborative research bridging western science and IK will strengthen understanding to inform management of the Arctic, in accordance with Executive Order “Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad” (Exec. Order No. 14008, 2021). The AFSC is in an excellent position to coordinate this broader perspective across multiple sources, methods, and institutional efforts to support meaningful and informative results that utilize data in a manner that is respectful and acknowledges data sovereignty and appropriate data ownership.

One example of informative and collaborative research is the proposed project, Bridging Knowledge to Inform Arctic Management (BKIAM). Based on existing transdisciplinary relationships, The BKIAM project would bring together Alaska Native TK holders, local knowledge holders, scientists, educators, and fisheries managers to develop Indigenous Conceptual Models (ICMs) of the Arctic ecosystem using multiple knowledge systems, methodologies, frameworks, and teams. Rooted in Indigenous perspectives, the ICMs would inform a suite of ecosystem modeling, climate change, and management projects at AFSC, including, but not limited to, Alaska Climate Integrated Modeling (ACLIM); Loss of Sea Ice (LOSI); Joint ICES/PICES IEA Working Group; and the Bering Sea, Chukchi, and Arctic Integrated Ecosystem Assessments. ICMs would contribute understanding of the changing Arctic ecosystem, support co-production approaches, strengthen relationships, and inform management decisions for a region undergoing rapid and unprecedented change. It should be emphasized that a great deal of preparatory work and relationship building is required to ensure there exists trust, respect, and mutual understanding among participants in this work. This type of relationship building requires time, persistence, and a consistent focus on providing the participants with the tools and compensation that is on par with those used by agency researchers.

This project has three overarching objectives:

1. Promote transdisciplinary partnerships: BKIAM would aim to promote collaborations and partnerships among Indigenous Knowledge holders, Local Knowledge holders, scientists, and fisheries managers.
2. Work with communities to document ICMs for the Arctic ecosystem based on IK and rooted in interdisciplinary methods.

3. Demonstrate collaborative methods: We would document the collaborative methods used to bridge knowledge systems to inform fisheries management, outreach, and educational objectives, and promote successes as a model for other future efforts at the AFSC.

A deeply collaborative process grounded in TK would improve management plans through robust inclusion of multiple ecosystem processes while creating better working relationships among Indigenous communities, researchers, the NPFMC, and NMFS.

### **Communications to Support Co-Producing Science with Arctic Communities**

Over the coming years and in development of the Arctic Climate Regional Action Plan for 2025-2030, we would like to begin to build relationships and work together with Indigenous communities and through existing co-management partnerships to support Co-Production of Knowledge (CPK) research. The AFSC communications and Social Science team will help facilitate and strengthen enduring relationships among scientists and Arctic communities. CPK is a research framework that relies on trust and equity throughout the research process. As such, transparent, regular, and consistent communication is vital to ensure mutual and bidirectional dialogue to better inform our understanding of and response to marine ecosystem changes, largely due to Climate Change that are dramatically affecting these communities' food security and way of life. Reliable and effective communication strategies can increase trust among participants and strengthen relationships, ultimately allowing for CPK research based on mutual priorities and grounded in Indigenous communities.

We intend to secure permission to attend Tribal Council meetings in the following communities in the fall of 2023 (working around hunting season): Utqiagvik, Kaktovik, Wainwright, Pt. Lay, Point Hope, and Kotzebue. We also will utilize existing co-management fora to discuss potential project ideas and to explore topics that were raised by co-management organizations and Tribal government leaders through the public comment period associated with the RAP development and review process. This is not a short process, but a commitment to enduring relationship building through knowledge sharing and clear communication. The goal of these meetings will be to start a dialogue that can be continued over time. We will introduce ourselves, listen to community concerns and thoughts and discuss their interest in identifying ideas to co-produce research projects to help inform the next Arctic RAP.

After that, depending on community interest, we will meet, as needed, virtually and in person in 2024 and 2025 to flesh out these joint research priorities for inclusion in a future Arctic RAP report. During this timeframe, there may be joint project ideas identified that the community(ies) and the AFSC are interested in co-producing before the RAP is developed. To build broader awareness of the AFSC mission, other communications efforts are expected to coincide with this effort including the following:

1. Conduct radio interviews and local newspaper features to introduce the AFSC and highlight any projects that are jointly undertaken.
2. Develop educational efforts targeting students, teachers and parents in the communities (e.g., regionally focused interactive seminars for K-8 and internship opportunities for high school and college students).

3. Use NMFS communications platforms to highlight collaborative efforts (website, Facebook and other social media platforms regionally and nationally).

### **Predicting HABs and Juvenile Snow Crab Status Using Satellite-Based Measurements of Ocean Color**

Rapid ocean warming and loss of sea ice are changing Arctic phytoplankton communities, with current models predicting reduced ice-edge blooms, increased open water production, decreased large lipid-rich diatoms and an increased frequency of harmful algal blooms (HABs). Harmful algal blooms threaten human health and affect commercial and subsistence fisheries. HAB toxins concentrate in certain marine organisms and can cause paralytic shellfish poisoning, which can be fatal in humans. While commercial shellfish is tested for toxins, subsistence fisheries are not, increasing the risk to public health for subsistence users.

As sea ice melts earlier and open-water phytoplankton blooms occur in warmer water, pelagic productivity is expected to increase at the expense of benthic productivity. Recent ecosystem survey data indicate that phytoplankton cell-sizes are already decreasing and HAB cysts are increasing. During the 2017 to 2019 warming of the Chukchi Sea, the contribution of large diatoms to summer phytoplankton biomass decreased, and cysts of the harmful dinoflagellate *Alexandrium* spp. have now been found in the Chukchi and Beaufort seas. NOAA scientists and their research collaborators are beginning to utilize satellite-based ocean color data to extrapolate our understanding of changes in phytoplankton over larger geographic scales, as well as hindcasting historical and forecasting future phytoplankton dynamics.

The specific objectives of this new project (2021-2024) include using satellite data for the following:

1. Develop a phytoplankton community size structure algorithm.
2. Advance a specific algorithm for the detection of small photosynthetic bacteria (*Synechococcus* spp.).
3. Develop an algorithm for diatom abundance.
4. Explore to what extent satellite metrics can be combined with sea surface temperature (and other environmental variables), to provide predictions about HAB prevalence.

Predictive satellite algorithms for the prevalence of large, lipid-rich diatoms would allow the development of valuable space-time maps of food quality at the base of the Arctic food web. In situ samples of phytoplankton from Arctic ecosystem surveys (NBS and DBO cruises, 2021-2024), lipid analyses of phytoplankton samples, and satellite algorithms would allow us to develop essential fatty acid concentrations or ‘fat maps’ of the Arctic ocean surface and improve our understanding of food quality available to consumers over extended space-time scales. Monitoring and remote sensing efforts would be linked to new NOAA process studies (2021-2024) focused on the role of bottom temperatures and diatom flux in determining juvenile snow



crab abundance and energetic status, including small mesh beam trawling efforts and controlled laboratory experiments on temperature- and food-dependent growth and lipid storage. We would synthesize findings from ecosystem monitoring, laboratory studies, new remote sensing algorithms and chemical biomarkers to mechanistically understand and forecast how environmental conditions will impact the energetic status of snow crabs (2024).

### **Renew Arctic Ecosystem Status Report**

For fisheries managers to respond to rapid climate impacts within the Chukchi and Beaufort seas, we recommend updating the Ecosystem Status Report (ESR) for the Arctic, as done in other LMEs in Alaska. This would provide the NPFMC with information on the status and trends of the ecosystems, LMRs, and resource-dependent communities in the Arctic. ESRs present a multi-dimensional examination of the ecosystem connecting ecological, economic, and human dimensions and support informed decision-making and sustainable resource management. The specific goal is therefore as follows:

1. Update the Arctic ESR during 2022-2024.

The Arctic ESR would ideally prioritize collaboration with Alaska Indigenous communities and Indigenous Knowledge holders in order to co-produce a report that reflects the best available science and can support managers in achieving stewardship goals. We recommend collaborating with Indigenous communities and Indigenous Knowledge holders from the outset and the presentation of their knowledge alongside science to collectively present the “best science available” (National Standard 2). The Arctic ESR will coordinate with the Local Knowledge, Indigenous Knowledge, and Subsistence advisory body (noted in this RAP and the Bering Sea FEP) and adhere to their process and recommendations for relationship-building and consultation with Indigenous communities. The Arctic ESR would also benefit from close collaboration with the Bridging Knowledge to Inform Arctic Management (BKIAM) Project and the Communications To Support Co-Producing Science with Arctic Communities Project (both listed above in this RAP), both of which endeavor to cultivate enduring partnerships between communities, knowledge holders, scientists, and managers.

ESRs are distinct from other types of ecosystem assessments (such as the ICES/PICES IEA) in that ESRs are fully integrated within the regional fishery management council process. The ESRs are presented to the council and council affiliated bodies and committees alongside traditional fisheries information as a means to provide an ecosystem context for the Council’s annual decision-making process. In contrast, IEAs and other synthesis efforts exist outside this process and may have different focal audiences. A key benefit of developing an Arctic ESR will be having a direct audience with the council to present ecosystem information on an annual basis, particularly given that AFSC is not likely to be doing stock assessments for individual species in the near future.

### **Cetacean Sampling in the Chukchi Sea**

Information on Alaska cetacean abundance, distribution, density, and trends in these parameters over time are required to understand how cetacean populations are responding to climate change

and anthropogenic activities. At this time, a reliable abundance estimate is unavailable for approximately 50% of cetacean populations in Alaska, and an estimate of trend in abundance is available for approximately 15% of cetacean populations. The spatial distribution, seasonal timing, and condition of cetaceans have proven to be a sensitive indicator for climate-driven changes in sea ice and pelagic productivity (George et al. 2015).

We recommended a new program, the Arctic Marine Assessment Program for Protected Species (ArMAPPS). If implemented, ArMAPPS will include rotating assessment surveys and long-term tracking of cetacean populations in the Arctic (defined as north of the Aleutian Islands). We expect ArMAPPS will include both acoustics and survey components to address both changes in distribution and trends in abundance with the following:

1. Maintain and improve passive acoustics: We recommend support of efforts to collect information on marine mammal distribution in the Chukchi Sea through passive acoustics; at this time, fieldwork is only partially supported and the manual labor required to process acoustics recordings is unfunded.
2. Develop and implement vessel and/or aerial survey: As a component of the ArMAPPS plan, we propose to assess distribution, density, stock structure, and movements of cetaceans in the Chukchi Sea via either a vessel-based or aerial survey; platform will be decided based on the final project goals and after discussions with key constituents such as the NMFS Alaska Region, BOEM, the U.S. Navy, and co-management partners.

Data will be analyzed in the context of passive acoustics and oceanographic data collected on moorings in the Chukchi. This project would benefit from concurrent fisheries and/or oceanographic surveys (not using the same vessel).

### **Overwinter Survival of Arctic Gadids**

The biomass of pelagic fish on the Chukchi Shelf is dominated by juvenile gadids (~80%). Recent field studies indicate that changes in temperature and increased northward current flows have affected the distribution of boreal and Arctic gadids in the Chukchi Sea, and these trends are expected to continue given ongoing climate change. The late summer distribution of major juvenile cod species in 2019 showed walleye pollock expanding northward onto the central Chukchi Shelf while Arctic cod retreated to the most northern region of the shelf. However, we have little understanding of the overwintering physiology of juvenile gadids which impedes our ability to make informed predictions about their recruitment and establishment into adult gadid populations.

Although summer conditions on the Chukchi Sea are rapidly changing, overwintering conditions will still be prolonged, dark, and cold. Both fish size and energy reserves will be critical in determining recruitment success of juveniles in Arctic waters. Small fish tend to be more vulnerable to overwintering starvation and predation risk. In the Arctic, extreme seasonality in food production requires that juvenile fish rapidly accumulate energy reserves during late summer, prior to entering an extending low food overwintering period. Indeed, Arctic cod contain 2 to 3 times more lipid reserves in late summer compared to boreal cod species and this high lipid state is generally viewed as an adaptation to overwintering in polar regions. Currently,

we know little about how the energy storage of age-0 Arctic (Arctic cod and saffron cod) and boreal cod species (Pacific cod, walleye pollock) will be differentially impacted by warming summer temperatures and a concurrent reduction in zooplankton fat content.

Recent collections of juvenile Arctic cod in a warm year (2017) showed that they had 30% less fat storage than in previous cold years (2012 and 2013). Similarly, walleye pollock sampled on the Chukchi Shelf were similar in size to Arctic cod but contained 40% lower lipid than Arctic cod from the Northern Chukchi Shelf. Given the small size of these walleye pollock and their reduced lipid content, it is uncertain if they can survive Arctic winters and establish populations in the Chukchi Sea.

To address these questions, NOAA scientists will collaborate between AFSC laboratories to achieve the following:

1. Predict impact of summer warming on juvenile condition: Conduct experiments to predict species-specific impact of warming summer temperatures and variable food quality on late summer juvenile size and condition.
2. Predict impact of condition on overwinter survival: Conduct experiments to predict the species-specific impact of animal size and condition in fall as well as winter food availability and thermal conditions on their overwintering survival and energetics.

### **Expand Trophic and Spatial Models for Arctic Ecosystem**

We recommend expanding AFSC modeling capabilities in the Arctic to improve understanding of key processes and features of the physical, biological, and human dimensions of Arctic ecosystems. An expanded modeling portfolio in the Arctic would support the development of climate-enhanced modeling necessary to produce projections for investigating tradeoffs among fisheries and conservation objectives while subject to ongoing climate change.

The Climate, Ecosystems, and Fisheries Initiative (CEFI) currently intends (subject to funding) to develop a physical ocean and biogeochemical model for the Arctic (including the U.S. Beaufort and Chukchi seas). Such modeling of physical and lower trophic level conditions has been instrumental in other Alaska LMEs in providing forecasts of environmental conditions, such as the Bering Sea “cold pool”, that are critical factors in the status and distribution of commercial, non-commercial, and protected LMRs. Additionally, biogeochemical ocean modeling has provided vital undergirding of climate-enhanced biological modeling used in MSE and projections of LMRs to identify management strategies robust to future climate scenarios.

We recommend that this CEFI effort be complemented by AFSC efforts to maintain and update existing biological and human/socio-economic models, and initiate the development of new models (e.g., single-species, multi-species, food web, socio-economic, etc.) used to determine biological reference points and used for MSE and stock assessments, including the following:

1. Update Chukchi food web model: A food web model of the eastern Chukchi Sea, previously developed by AFSC scientists using the Ecopath with Ecosim (EwE)

modeling framework, was last updated in 2016. We recommend updating the Chukchi Sea food web model with current information.

2. Develop Beaufort food web model: Developing a new EwE food web model of the U.S. Beaufort Sea, between Utqiagvik and the Canadian maritime boundary. In combination with other existing EwE models of Alaska LMEs (eastern Bering Sea and Gulf of Alaska) and models currently under development (northern Bering Sea and eastern GOA).
3. Compare system-level optimum yield (OY) across all Alaska ecosystems: Using the continuum of food web models with a common modeling framework between southeast Alaska and the Canadian Beaufort Sea to support comparative studies across a large latitudinal gradient, including an examination of system-level OY across ecosystems.
4. Spatio-temporal synthesis model for survey planning: Develop a new whole-of-ecosystem spatio-temporal synthesis model that fits to physical, lower-trophic, fish, and protected species survey data (both in situ and remote sensing), used to identify hotspots of density and/or productivity, detect trends in density/productivity over time (where feasible given available data), and subsequently used to optimize the design of future survey operations.

### **The Trophic Roles of Ice Seals in the Chukchi and Beaufort Seas**

Recent extreme warm periods in the Alaska Arctic have led to rapid declines in sea ice with potentially profound impacts on ice-dependent seal species. Bearded, ringed, spotted, and ribbon seals compose a highly abundant guild of predators, whose trophic role in the seasonally ice-covered waters around Alaska is undoubtedly significant, yet their impact is poorly understood. The combined numbers of seals in winter and spring exceed one million individuals, likely consuming several million tons annually of a diverse suite of fish and invertebrate prey. To understand the full impacts of warming conditions on the Arctic marine ecosystems requires understanding of ice seal populations, trajectories, and responses to loss of sea ice.

Over the past decade, progress in monitoring ice seal abundance, distribution, seasonal movements, diets, and energetics has opened broad opportunities for collaboration to clarify the trophic roles of the seal species, quantify their impacts as predators, and determine their requirements for energy and prey. Data held by AFSC-MML, AFSC-ABL, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G), the North Slope Borough Department of Wildlife Management (NSB-DWM), University of California Santa Cruz (UCSC), and University of British Columbia (UBC) will support collaborative syntheses that, for the first time, will afford meaningful inclusion of phocid seals in Ecosystem Status Reports, integrated ecosystem models, and protected species assessments for Alaska LMEs. The work will proceed in phases over fiscal years 2022-2024.

This project will specifically deliver the following:

1. Estimates of seasonal ice-seal prey requirements: Estimate bearded, ringed, spotted, and ribbon seals' seasonal and regional requirements for their dominant prey species by integrating results from spring surveys of seal abundance and distribution, satellite-

tracking studies of seasonal seal movements, ice seal prey composition studies, energy assays of prey, and energetic models.

2. Estimates of seasonal and spatially explicit ice-seal consumption: Expand these seasonal prey requirements based on predicted seasonal distribution and abundance for ice seals, to identify total consumption by ice seals of fish and demersal resources in the Chukchi and Beaufort seas. This estimate of consumption is then necessary to understand trophic processes and how these are likely to change as climate change affects the spatial distribution and seasonal timing of ice-seal populations.

### **Focused Involvement Regarding Demersal Communities within the Distributed Biological Observatory**

The DBO is a cross-agency tool for monitoring changes across the Bering Sea, Chukchi and Beaufort, and includes deployment of oceanographic moorings, net collections of zooplankton and ichthyoplankton, and passive acoustics for marine mammals. The DBO therefore represents a cost-effective platform to collect information relevant to changes in demersal fish communities, which may in the future be colonized by species that are fished in the Bering Sea.

We recommend the following extensions during the 2022-2024 planning period:

1. Add beam trawls: We recommend detecting key taxa such as Arctic cod, saffron cod, Pacific cod, pollock, and snow crab using a small-mesh beam trawl, which could operate from the DBO survey vessel already supported in late summer.
2. Extend exploratory large-mesh trawling: As extension of the existing northern Bering Sea survey, we recommend at least one year (and ideally several years) that the charter be extended to the DBO3 line in the southern Chukchi to detect larger, adult individuals of the above key taxa. This could involve as few as two stations per day, and perhaps 3 days total.
3. Add benthic respirometer: Benthic respiration is a direct measure of oxidative metabolism of benthic carbon for the benthic community, and therefore measures biological use of benthic resources. We recommend adding a measurement of benthic respiration to all DBO moorings. This measurement would complement existing infaunal sampling, but with emphasis on the role of benthic organisms in benthic energy and nutrient flow.
4. Add environmental DNA: eDNA is a technology with growing application to detect community-wide and species-specific occurrence within waters that are sampled and subsequently analyzed for the presence of DNA fragments. We recommend developing eDNA assays for key species (e.g., Pacific cod and walleye pollock) to detect whether these species are occurring near the DBO3 line or farther north, where such a detection could trigger large-mesh trawling as discussed in #2 above.

Collectively, these activities would allow AFSC to rapidly detect interannual variation in the northward extent of fish populations that also inhabit the Bering Sea, to anticipate future shifts due to climate change. In particular, eDNA would allow for rapid and cost-effective detection of range extension, while beam and large-mesh trawls are both needed to measure density and collect biological information (size/age/condition) from both juvenile and large-bodied fish species. Finally, benthic respirometry would efficiently measure changes in benthic production at these fixed monitoring stations.

### **Convene a Local Knowledge, Indigenous Knowledge, and Subsistence Advisory Body for Arctic Region**

Convene the Local Knowledge/Indigenous Knowledge and Subsistence advisory body for Arctic Region to support knowledge networks and information transfer among remote communities. There are multiple examples of projects focusing on Indigenous Knowledge, co-management, and marine resources in the region. Arctic communities have strong linkages that reflect a shared reliance on marine resources, a commitment to self determination and community wellbeing, and a deep understanding of human environment interactions. That said, Arctic communities are not homogenous and hold a range of diverse and important perspectives on the marine environment. Individual communities are also involved in a myriad of projects, organization, and research institutions that may overlap in certain objectives, methods, and strategies. In an effort to coordinate and amplify these strands -- rather than reinventing a new one -- AFSC proposes a transdisciplinary advisory body that reflects the diversity of knowledge in the Arctic to coordinate among Arctic communities. The Advisory Body could act as a coordinating body and communication hub, while providing a platform for Arctic communities and voices.

One example of this type of body is the Local Knowledge Traditional Knowledge and Subsistence (LKTKS) taskforce under the Bering Sea Fishery Ecosystem Plan (BS FEP), managed by the North Pacific Marine Fisheries Council. The BS FEP formalized an ecosystem-based management approach to Bering Sea fisheries, recognizing the critical importance of local knowledge and Traditional Knowledge in better understanding ecosystem processes. The LKTKS Taskforce is a nominated body composed of Indigenous and non-Indigenous issue experts, as well as Federal and state agents, with diversity in individuals' community of practice, jurisdiction, geographic location, experience, and academic training. The BS FEP Taskforce's main purpose is to create a protocol for how to access and use LK, TK, and subsistence information in existing management processes and institutional frameworks; however, the specific objectives of an Arctic advisory would be developed collaboratively.

Drawing on the BS LKTKS taskforce as a model, we propose the AFSC works with the Indigenous and other experts as well as state and Federal researchers, to create an Arctic advisory body to explore ways to bridge multiple knowledge systems, and inform ecosystem-based management to support resilience pathways within the region. In particular, this advisory body would enable formal two-way communication about climate impacts for frontline communities. We propose to solicit nominations, identify members, and convene this new Arctic LKTKS advisory body by 2024, in preparation for updating the next round of the Arctic RAP.

## **Bottom Trawl and Acoustic-Trawl Survey to Detect Northward Distribution Shifts**

The ecosystem of the Alaska Arctic is changing rapidly as the ecosystem warms. There have been striking shifts in fish community structure in recent years. For example, walleye pollock and Pacific cod have become abundant in the northern Bering Sea (Stevenson and Lauth 2019), and age-0 walleye pollock have comprised a much larger fraction of the age-0 gadids that dominate the pelagic fish community over much of the Chukchi shelf in recent years (Levine et al. 2021). Although a commercial fishery for pollock has opened in Russian waters, it remains unclear if/when boreal species will colonize U.S. areas of the Chukchi Sea. Despite these major changes in ecosystem structure, there is no consistent monitoring of larger fishes in the Alaska Arctic. Beam trawls alone are insufficient for this type of sampling, as they do not adequately sample the highly abundant midwater fish community and many of the larger species that are important in the subsistence harvests of the native communities (e.g., large gadids, sculpins, eelpouts, snailfishes) may become increasingly important components of the changing Arctic marine ecosystem.

We propose the following strategy to sampling densities of large-bodied demersal fishes, decapod crabs, and midwater fishes in the Chukchi Sea:

1. **Develop survey design:** Develop a specific spatial, seasonal, and gear-specific sampling design for sampling using large-mesh bottom trawl and acoustic-midwater trawl gears (De Robertis et al. 2017). This could use habitat models fitted to prior bottom and midwater sampling to optimize specific station placement and the spatial extent under alternative funding scenarios, based on similar successful efforts in the Gulf of Alaska (Oyafuso et al. 2021).
2. **Conduct short gear trial:** If needed, conduct a short (1-2 day) gear trial to test our ability to fish small-mesh midwater trawls and large-mesh bottom trawls efficiently on a single vessel, including testing rigging modifications to allow both trawls to be deployed without switching trawl doors between gears.
3. **Implementation:** Implement sampling following the planned design during a single year, ideally during 2022-2024.

Sampling will focus on large-bodied fishes and crabs that are not well sampled with methods used on the DBO cruises. The expedition will be designed to update prior sampling using large-mesh bottom trawls in 2012, and acoustic-trawl gear in 2012-2013 and 2017-2019. Updated information about demersal and midwater abundance for large-bodied fishes is necessary to understand the extent to which climate change is shifting species that are fished within the Bering Sea northwards into the Chukchi Sea, and could provide specimens to inform other activities in the plan (e.g., “Overwinter survival of Arctic gadids”).





## **Acknowledgments**

We thank Duane Stevenson, Johanna Vollenweider, Ryan McCabe, Diana Baetscher, Lisa Eisner, Jon Richar, John Bengston, and Cody Szuwalski for attending and contributing to preliminary discussions including two preliminary workshops that were held in April-May 2021. Thanks also to Gretchen Harrington, Jodi Pirtle, Anne Marie Eich, Joseph Krieger, Greg Balogh, Suzie Teerlink, Marina Cucuzza, Jay Peterson, Bob Foy, Ron Felthoven, and Dana Hanselman for edits, ideas, and comments, and Elizabeth Siddon and Jordan Watson for help identifying shapefiles for an alternative figure. The HABS and crabs activity draws partially upon text writing originally by Lisa Eisner. We also thank countless other colleagues for discussions that informed the ideas and research activities proposed here. Thank you to Jim Lee for extensive help during compilation of this report as a Technical Memorandum. Finally, we thank the many individuals and communities who provided public comment.



## Citations

- BurnSilver, S., J. Magdanz, R. Stotts, M. Berman, and G. Kofinas. 2016. Are mixed economies persistent or transitional? Evidence using social networks from Arctic Alaska. *American Anthropologist* 118:121–129.
- Crane, K., and A. Ostrovskiy. 2015. Russian-American Long-term Census of the Arctic: RUSALCA. *Oceanography* 28:18–23.
- De Robertis, A., K. Taylor, K. Williams, and C. D. Wilson. 2017. Species and size selectivity of two midwater trawls used in an acoustic survey of the Alaska Arctic. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* 135:40–50.
- Demuth, B. 2020. *Floating Coast: An Environmental History of the Bering Strait*. Reprint edition. W. W. Norton & Company, New York, NY.
- George, J. C., M. L. Druckenmiller, K. L. Laidre, R. Suydam, and B. Person. 2015. Bowhead whale body condition and links to summer sea ice and upwelling in the Beaufort Sea. *Progress in Oceanography* 136:250–262.
- Green, K., Beaudreau, A., Lukin, M., and Crowder, L. 2021. Climate change stressors and social-ecological factors mediating access to subsistence resources in Arctic Alaska. *Ecology and Society*, 26. <https://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol26/iss4/art15/> (Accessed 28 September 2022).
- Inuit Circumpolar Council. 2022. Circumpolar Inuit Protocols for Equitable and Ethical Engagement. Accessible at: <https://iccalaska.org/wp-icc/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/EEE-Protocols-LR-1.pdf>
- Kapsch, M.-L., H. Eicken, and M. Robards. 2010. Sea Ice distribution and ice use by indigenous walrus hunters on St. Lawrence Island, Alaska, p.115–144. *In* I. Krupnik, C. Aporta, S. Gearheard, G. J. Laidler, and L. Kielsen Holm (eds.), *SIKU: Knowing Our Ice: Documenting Inuit Sea Ice Knowledge and Use*. Springer Dordrecht. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-8587-0\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-8587-0_5).
- Levine, R. M., A. D. Robertis, D. Grünbaum, R. Woodgate, C. W. Mordy, F. Mueter, E. Cokelet, N. Lawrence-Slavas, and H. Tabisola. 2021. Autonomous vehicle surveys indicate that flow reversals retain juvenile fishes in a highly advective high-latitude ecosystem. *Limnology and Oceanography* 66:1139–1154.
- Link, J. S., R. Griffis, and S. Busch (Editors). 2015. NOAA Fisheries Climate Science Strategy. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-F/SPO-155, 70 p.

- Little, R. L., and L. A. Robbins. 1986. The Yupik Eskimos of St. Lawrence Island, Alaska: a social impact assessment of proposed energy development, p. 185–219. *In* P. D. Elkind-Savatsky (ed.), *Differential Social Impacts of Rural Resource Development*. Routledge, New York.
- Logerwell, E., K. Rand, S. Danielson, and L. Sousa. 2018. Environmental drivers of benthic fish distribution in and around Barrow Canyon in the northeastern Chukchi Sea and western Beaufort Sea. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* 152:170–181.
- Logerwell, E., K. Rand, and T. J. Weingartner. 2011. Oceanographic characteristics of the habitat of benthic fish and invertebrates in the Beaufort Sea. *Polar Biology* 34:1783.
- Mueter, F. J., J. Weems, E. V. Farley, and M. F. Sigler. 2017. Arctic Ecosystem Integrated Survey (Arctic Eis): Marine ecosystem dynamics in the rapidly changing Pacific Arctic Gateway. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* 135:1–6.
- North Pacific Fishery Management Council (NPFMC). 2009. Fishery Management Plan for Fish Resources of the Arctic Management Area. 1007 West 3rd Ave., Suite 400, L92 Building, 4th floor, Anchorage, Alaska 99501.
- Oyafuso, Z. S., L. A. K. Barnett, and S. Kotwicki. 2021. Incorporating spatiotemporal variability in multispecies survey design optimization addresses trade-offs in uncertainty. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 78(4): 1288–1300.
- Ready, E., and E. A. Power. 2018. Why wage earners hunt: Food sharing, social structure, and influence in an Arctic mixed economy. *Current Anthropology* 59:74–97.
- Scaggs, S. A., D. Gerkey, and K. R. McLaughlin. 2021. Linking subsistence harvest diversity and productivity to adaptive capacity in an Alaskan food sharing network. *American Journal of Human Biology* 33:e23573.
- Stevenson, D. E., and R. R. Lauth. 2019. Bottom trawl surveys in the northern Bering Sea indicate recent shifts in the distribution of marine species. *Polar Biology* 42:407–421.
- Tempel, J. T. L., S. Wise, T. Q. Osborne, K. Sparks, and S. Atkinson. 2021. Life without ice: Perceptions of environmental impacts on marine resources and subsistence users of St. Lawrence Island. *Ocean & Coastal Management* 212:105819.
- Woodgate, R. A., K. M. Stafford, and F. G. Prahl. 2015. A synthesis of year-round interdisciplinary mooring measurements in the Bering Strait (1990–2014) and the RUSALCA Years (2004–2011). *Oceanography* 28:46–67.



**U.S. Secretary of Commerce**  
Gina M. Raimondo

**Under Secretary of Commerce for Oceans and Atmosphere**  
Dr. Richard W. Spinrad

**Assistant Administrator, National Marine Fisheries Service**  
Janet Coit

**October 2023**

**[www.nmfs.noaa.gov](http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov)**

**OFFICIAL BUSINESS**

**National Marine Fisheries Service**  
Alaska Fisheries Science Center  
7600 Sand Point Way N.E.  
Seattle, WA 98115-6349