1 Title:

- 2 Parental exposure of Eastern oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*) to elevated *p*CO₂ mitigates its
- 3 negative effects on early larval shell growth and morphology
- 4 Authors:
- 5 Elise M. McNally¹, Alan Downey-Wall¹, F. Dylan Titmuss¹, Camila A. Cortina¹, Katie E.
- 6 Lotterhos¹, and Justin B. Ries¹
- 7 Affiliations:
- ⁸ ¹Department of Marine and Environmental Sciences, Marine Science Center, Northeastern
- 9 University, Nahant, MA, United States
- 10 Corresponding Author:
- 11 Elise M. McNally (<u>mcnally.e@northeastern.edu</u>)
- 12 ORCID: 0000-0001-5463-6168
- 13 Running head:
- 14 PARENTAL EXPOSURE MODIFIES OA RESPONSE
- 15 Keywords: Ocean acidification; oyster; mollusk; calcification; transgenerational plasticity;
- 16 intergenerational exposure

17 Abstract

18	Larvae of marine calcifying organisms are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects
19	of elevated pCO_2 on shell formation because of their rapid calcification rates, reduced capacity to
20	isolate calcifying fluid from seawater, and use of more soluble polymorphs of calcium carbonate.
21	However, parental exposure to elevated pCO_2 could benefit larval shell formation through
22	transgenerational plastic responses. We examined the capacity of intergenerational exposure to
23	mitigate the adverse effects of elevated pCO ₂ on Eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica) early
24	larval shell growth, shell morphology, and survival. Adult oysters were exposed to control (572
25	ppm pCO_2) or elevated pCO_2 (2827 ppm pCO_2) conditions for 30 days during reproductive
26	conditioning. Offspring from each parental treatment were produced using a partial North
27	Carolina II cross design and grown under control and elevated pCO_2 conditions for three days.
28	We found evidence of transgenerational plasticity in early larval shell growth and morphology,
29	but not in survival, in response to the parental pCO_2 exposure. Larvae from parents exposed to
30	elevated pCO_2 exhibited faster shell growth rates than larvae from control parents, with this
31	effect being significantly larger when larvae were grown under elevated pCO_2 compared to
32	control conditions. Parental exposure to elevated pCO_2 , however, was insufficient to completely
33	counteract the adverse effects of the prescribed elevated pCO_2 on early larval shell formation and
34	survival. Nevertheless, these results suggest that oysters have some capacity to acclimate
35	intergenerationally to ocean acidification.

36 Introduction

Ocean acidification caused by the absorption of increased atmospheric carbon dioxidethreatens marine communities, particularly those dominated by calcifying organisms. Ocean

acidification decreases the pH and alters the carbonate chemistry of seawater, reducing the 39 availability of carbonate ions and the associated calcium carbonate saturation state of seawater 40 41 (Ω) —making it harder for many calcifying marine organisms to build their shells or skeletons (Orr et al. 2005). The precipitation of calcium carbonate is thermodynamically favored when Ω 42 is greater than one, and dissolution is favored when Ω is less than one. Estuaries and coastal 43 44 zones, regions where many calcifying organisms reside, already periodically experience Ω less than one (Waldbusser and Salisbury 2014; Wallace et al. 2014) and are thus highly vulnerable to 45 future ocean acidification (Mackenzie et al. 2000; Melzner et al. 2013). 46

Early life stages of marine calcifying organisms are particularly vulnerable to the 47 negative effects of elevated pCO_2 on calcification (Gazeau et al. 2013; Waldbusser et al. 2015a). 48 Larvae form their first shells using amorphous calcium carbonate and/or aragonite, which are 49 more soluble forms of calcium carbonate (Brečević and Nielsen 1989). Additionally, larvae 50 exhibit decreased capacity to isolate their calcifying fluid from seawater (Waldbusser et al. 51 52 2013), which reduces their ability to control the chemistry at the site of calcification. Control of the carbonate chemistry at the site of calcification can influence an organism's calcification 53 response to ocean acidification (Ries 2011; Liu et al. 2020). Furthermore, decreased Ω may alter 54 55 larval energy budgets by increasing the energetic costs of calcification, potentially leading to developmental delays (Talmage and Gobler 2009). The effects of environmental stressors on 56 57 larvae, including the adverse effects of elevated pCO_2 on larval calcification, may influence the 58 performance of individuals in later life stages. These effects are referred to as 'carryover effects' 59 (Gobler and Talmage 2013; O'Connor et al. 2014). For instance, abnormal early shell development could increase the risk of desiccation, predation, and natural mortality (Talmage 60 61 and Gobler 2010; Gaylord et al. 2011). However, larvae of estuarine species, such as Crassostrea

hongkongensis (Hong Kong oyster), can exhibit resilience to the effects of elevated *p*CO₂ on
shell growth (Lim et al. 2021a, b).

Transgenerational plasticity could influence early shell formation in larvae exposed to 64 ocean acidification conditions and allow species to acclimate intergenerationally (i.e., adult to 65 offspring) to the adverse effects of elevated pCO_2 on larval calcification. Organisms can respond 66 67 to environmental stressors through plastic changes in phenotypes (e.g., morphology, gene expression, behavior; Chevin et al. 2013). Transgenerational plastic responses are passed from 68 parent to offspring and interact with the offspring's environment to determine the offspring's 69 phenotype (Salinas et al. 2013). Transgenerational plasticity occurs in response to various 70 environmental stressors, including temperature, salinity, precipitation, and carbon dioxide-71 induced ocean acidification, and is taxonomically widespread (Salinas et al. 2013). Beneficial 72 transgenerational plasticity in response to the effects of elevated pCO_2 on larval calcification 73 could result from maternal provisioning and/or heritable epigenetic modifications. Maternal 74 75 provisioning could increase energy reserves available to accommodate the increased energetic costs of calcification and survival under ocean acidification (Sunday et al. 2011; Swezey et al. 76 2020). Epigenetic modifications in gametes (Venkataraman et al. 2020) could be inherited by 77 78 offspring and increase expression of genes involved in acid-base regulation (Parker et al. 2015) or calcification (e.g., genes identified by De Wit et al. 2018) and thus help mitigate the negative 79 80 effects of acidification on offspring shell formation (e.g., Pespeni et al. 2013; Davies et al. 2016). 81 Transgenerational plasticity in bivalves may play a key role in the response of offspring 82 to ocean acidification, but its impacts on larval performance vary by species. Parental exposure 83 to elevated pCO₂ increases the resilience of Saccostrea glomerata (Sydney rock oyster) larvae by

increasing their growth and development rates (Parker et al. 2012, 2015). Parental exposure also

85	increases the ability of Mytilus edulis (blue mussel) to form their first larval shells under elevated
86	pCO ₂ (Thomsen et al. 2017) and improves juvenile growth and survival as seen in <i>C</i> .
87	hongkongensis (Lim et al. 2021b). In other species, however, parental exposure exacerbates the
88	adverse effects of ocean acidification by decreasing larval development rates, as observed for the
89	hard clam Mercenaria mercenaria and the bay scallop Agropecten irradians (Griffith and Gobler
90	2017). Understanding how parental exposure impacts larval responses to elevated pCO_2
91	increases our capacity to assess a species' potential for transgenerational acclimation to ocean
92	acidification but is still poorly understood across taxa.
93	Eastern oysters (Crassostrea virginica) are ecologically and economically important
94	calcifying organisms in estuarine environments of the northwest Atlantic that support diverse
95	benthic communities. Oysters have already experienced declines due to over-harvesting and
96	environmental degradation (e.g., Beck et al. 2011). Additionally, C. virginica exhibit negative
97	responses to intragenerational exposure to ocean acidification at all life-history stages, including
98	decreased growth (larvae: Gobler and Talmage 2014; juveniles: Beniash et al. 2010),
99	calcification (larvae: Miller et al. 2009; Talmage and Gobler 2009; juveniles: Dodd et al. 2015;
100	adults: Ries et al. 2009; Waldbusser et al. 2011), and survival (larvae: Talmage and Gobler 2009,
101	2012; juveniles: Beniash et al. 2010). But little work has examined how transgenerational
102	exposure to elevated pCO_2 could modify these responses (see Ross et al., 2016, for a review).
103	Clements et al. (2020) examined the effects of intergenerational exposure to elevated pCO_2 on
104	early shell formation (48-h) and survival in hatchery-reared C. virginica and found no significant
105	effects of parental exposure, but it is not known if wild-sourced C. virginica show the same
106	response. Understanding intergenerational responses of elevated pCO_2 exposure on early C.
107	virginica shell development will lay the foundation for future multi-generational (i.e., more than

108 two generations) studies to assess the potential for transgenerational acclimation to ocean109 acidification in Eastern oysters.

110 To investigate the potential for parental exposure to mitigate the negative effects of ocean acidification on early larval shell formation in wild-sourced Eastern oysters, we exposed adult 111 ovsters to control and elevated pCO_2 conditions for 30 days during reproductive conditioning 112 113 and grew their larvae under control and elevated pCO_2 conditions for three days. Larval shell growth, shell morphology, and survival were measured to test the following hypotheses: (1) 114 115 elevated pCO_2 negatively impacts shell growth, shell morphology, and survival of oyster larvae; 116 (2) shell growth and shell morphology of larvae from parents exposed to elevated pCO_2 are less impacted by the adverse effects of elevated pCO_2 ; and (3) larvae from exposed parents exhibit 117 higher survival rates than larvae from control parents under elevated pCO_2 . We also examined 118 the effects of parental exposure to elevated pCO_2 on egg size to assess whether maternal 119 120 provisioning contributed to observations of transgenerational plasticity. Overall, this study aims 121 to understand the potential effects of intergenerational exposure to elevated pCO_2 on larval shell growth and survival and gain insights into the mechanism(s) underlying these effects. 122

123 Methods

124 Adult oyster collection and exposure

Eighty adult specimens of *C. virginica* (mean ± SD shell length: 7.92 ± 1.80 cm) were
collected from Barnstable Harbor, Barnstable, MA (41.714498, -70.333974) in late May 2018.
Oysters were cleaned of epibionts, kept overnight in a 50-L sea-table, and labelled with tabs
secured to their top valves using marine-safe cyanoacrylate (*Starbond* EM-2000 CA USA).
Oysters were acclimated to laboratory conditions for one week under ambient conditions (mean

130	$pCO_2 \pm SD = 632 \pm 64$ ppm), then randomly assigned to control (572 ± 107 ppm) or elevated
131	pCO ₂ (2827 ± 360 ppm) conditions. The control condition was comparable to the first quartile of
132	calculated pCO_2 for the collection site (median pCO_2 (IQR) = 777 (693) ppm). Collection site
133	pCO ₂ was calculated from measurements of dissolved inorganic carbon, total alkalinity, salinity,
134	and temperature of monthly water samples taken at varying tidal timepoints (Figure S1.1). Since
135	estuaries experience higher p CO ₂ than average surface seawater of the open ocean (e.g.,
136	Waldbusser et al. 2011; Wallace et al. 2014), a pCO_2 level in the 500-600 ppm range was
137	targeted to ensure that control treatment conditions (pCO_2 , salinity, and resulting $\Omega_{aragonite}$) reflect
138	those observed during summer months in Gulf of Maine estuaries (Waldbusser and Salisbury
139	2014). Likewise, the high pCO_2 treatment reflects elevated pCO_2 conditions that are already
140	experienced over short time scales at the collection site (Figure S1.1a) and are expected to
141	increase in duration for estuarine waters in the summertime (Melzner et al. 2013). This approach
142	utilizes the concept of "extreme treatments," in which larger treatment differences increase the
143	inferential and statistical power of the study design by reducing the influence of random noise
144	and broadening the experimental domain (Whitlock and Schluter 2015).
145	Adult oysters were exposed to treatment conditions in 42-L tanks (10 oysters per tank, 4
146	tanks per treatment) for 30 days in a flow-through ocean acidification array that allows for
147	control of temperature, salinity, and pCO_2 . This timeframe produces differential epigenetic
148	patterns in C. virginica gonad tissue in response to elevated pCO_2 (Venkataraman et al. 2020).
149	The temperature of each tank was maintained at 20°C and controlled using Aqua Euro USA
150	model MC-1/4HP chillers (precision = 0.1° C). Treatment tank salinity was maintained at 20,
151	which is within the range of salinity that supports larval growth (Dekshenieks et al. 1993), by
152	diluting incoming seawater from Broad Sound, Nahant, Massachusetts (42.416884, -70.907564)

with deionized water in a header tank. Flow rates of seawater to each tank were maintained at 40 153 mL min⁻¹, resulting in a tank seawater turnover time of 17.5 h. The pCO_2 of the control 154 treatments was established by bubbling compressed ambient air into seawater. The pCO_2 of the 155 elevated pCO_2 treatment was established by bubbling compressed air that was mixed with 156 compressed carbon dioxide using solenoid-valve-controlled mass flow controllers (Aalborg 157 Model GFC17, precision = 0.1 mL min⁻¹) at flow-rates proportional to the target pCO_2 condition. 158 These flow-rates were then fine-tuned toward the target pCO_2 conditions based on measurements 159 160 of the total alkalinity and dissolved inorganic carbon of the treatment seawater prior to the start 161 of the experiment. Temperature, salinity, and pH_T (pH on total scale) of seawater in the experimental treatments were measured three times per week, which was sufficient to capture the 162 mean values and the corresponding variances of the experimental treatment conditions resulting 163 164 from natural variations in the composition of the source water. Seawater samples from each tank were collected during the first and third week of the exposure for analysis of dissolved inorganic 165 166 carbon and total alkalinity. Adult oysters were fed Shellfish Diet 1800 (Reed Mariculture) twice daily following established practices (Helm and Bourne 2004). 167

168 Larval crosses and exposure

169At the end of the 30-day exposure, adults within each treatment were crossed using a170partially factorial North Carolina II design (Lynch and Walsh 1998). Adult oysters were strip-171spawned, with the goal of obtaining up to eight females and eight males per treatment. Oysters172were sexed by examining a small sample of gametes under a microscope. The allocation of173adults to specific crosses was randomized to eliminate selection bias. Eggs were rinsed into a174weigh boat with control-treatment seawater and then filtered (*Pluriselect* filter stack 70 μm over17520 μm). Eggs on the 20 μm filter were rinsed into 150 mL of filtered seawater for crossing.

Additionally, unfertilized eggs were collected from each female and fixed with buffered 10% 176 formalin (Fisher #SF100-4) for subsequent egg-size analysis. Sperm were rinsed with 1 mL of 177 178 control-treatment seawater into a weigh boat, poured through a 10 μ m filter, then added to jars containing eggs from designated females. Crosses were conducted under control conditions to 179 ensure that any intergenerational effects measured were not a consequence of differences in the 180 181 effects of elevated pCO_2 on fertilization (e.g., Barros et al. 2013). Eight control females, eight exposed females, five control males, and six exposed males were obtained for the fertilization 182 183 crosses. Sperm were checked for mobility and only males with mobile sperm were crossed with ripe females, hence the difference in number of males for the control and exposed treatments. 184 Each female was crossed with three males, except for one of the exposed females that was only 185 crossed with a single male due to low egg counts. A total of 24 control and 22 exposed parent 186 crosses were produced (Figure 1). 187

188 Two hours after fertilization, fertilization rates were quantified for each cross by counting the number of normally developing zygotes in a sample of 100. Zygotes were divided into six 189 sterilized 250 mL jars filled with treatment seawater (3 control and 3 exposed) at a density of 190 1000 zygotes per jar (4 zygotes mL⁻¹), as estimated from fertilization rates, a density comparable 191 192 to that occurring under hatchery conditions (Wallace et al. 2008). Larvae treatment seawater originated from 50-L header carboys that were treated with 500 mL of penicillin-streptomycin 193 194 solution (Sigma-Aldrich Product No. P4458). Carboy water was bubbled with either ambient air (control treatment; mean $pCO_2 \pm SD = 665 \pm 74$ ppm) or ambient air mixed with carbon dioxide 195 (elevated pCO_2 treatment; mean $pCO_2 \pm SD = 3340 \pm 241$ ppm) to produce the target pCO_2 . 196 Seawater samples from the header carboys were collected for measurement of pH_T and dissolved 197 inorganic carbon before the start of the larvae exposure to assess drift throughout the experiment. 198

Jars were sealed without headspace and kept in a temperature-controlled room at 20°C. No seawater changes were performed during the three-day larval exposure. Jars were stored in three sea-tables in a blocked design, such that one replicate control and one replicate elevated pCO_2 larvae jar for each cross were housed in each sea-table. At 48-h post-fertilization, larvae in each jar were fed 12 million cells of *Isochrysis* algae at a density of 40,000 cells mL⁻¹.

204 Commencing at ca. 68-h post-fertilization, each jar was measured for pH_T and filtered (*Pluriselect* filter stack 100 µm over 40 µm). Larvae on the 40 µm filter were rinsed with 10 mL 205 206 of sterile filtered seawater into a 50-mL centrifuge tube. Filtering took several hours and was 207 performed in blocks such that one replicate of every family in both larval treatments was filtered in a single block before the next block was filtered. Once all jars were filtered, 3.3 µL of neutral 208 red dye was added to each sample and the sample was fixed with formalin (*Fisher* #500-00-00) 209 to a concentration of 4% formaldehyde. After fixation, 10 mL of 10% sodium glycerophosphate 210 211 was added to buffer the solution. Although larvae were filtered at different times, all larvae were 212 fixed at the same time. Fixed larvae were refrigerated at 6°C until analysis. Seawater samples from a subset of 10 larvae jars (5 control and 5 exposed) were collected for analysis of dissolved 213 inorganic carbon following filtration of larvae. 214

215 Seawater carbonate chemistry

The temperature, salinity, and pH_T of adult treatment tanks, larvae jars, and larvae header carboys were measured using a glass thermometer (precision = 0.1 °C), a *YSI* 3200 conductivity probe (precision = 0.1 ppt), and an *Accumet* solid-state pH electrode (precision =1 mV) calibrated with pH 7.01 and pH 10.01 NBS buffers (for calibration slope) and Dickson seawater Certified Reference Material (for calibration intercept) to account for the effects of salinity on pH measurements (see Supplemental Materials Section 1).

222	Total alkalinity and dissolved inorganic carbon of seawater samples from adult and larvae
223	exposures were measured with a VINDTA 3C system (Marianda Corporation). Total alkalinity
224	was determined by open-cell potentiometric Gran titration (precision = $3 \mu mol kg$ -seawater ⁻¹)
225	and dissolved inorganic carbon was determined by coulometry (<i>UIC 5014</i> ; precision = $3 \mu mol$
226	kg-seawater ⁻¹). The precision of total alkalinity and dissolved inorganic carbon measurements
227	was determined by repeated measurements of Dickson seawater Certified Reference Material.
228	Samples were collected in 250 mL borosilicate glass bottles sealed with a vacuum-greased
229	ground-glass stopper, poisoned with 100 μ L saturated mercuric chloride solution, and
230	refrigerated at 6°C until analysis. Salinity, temperature, dissolved inorganic carbon, and total
231	alkalinity were used to calculate pH _T , total alkalinity, $\Omega_{calcite}$, $\Omega_{aragonite}$, carbonate ion
232	concentration, bicarbonate ion concentration, dissolved carbon dioxide concentration, and pCO_2
233	of adult tank samples with CO ₂ SYS version 2.1 (Pierrot et al. 2011), using dissociation constants
234	of carbonic acid (K ₁ , K ₂) from Roy et al. (1993), a dissociation constant of hydrogen sulfate
235	(KHSO ₄) from Dickson (1990), and a boron concentration value from Lee et al. (2010).
236	Carbonate parameters for larvae jars were calculated in the same way as for adult tanks, except
237	pH_T was used instead of total alkalinity since pH_T was measured for each jar. The dissolved
238	inorganic carbon of larvae jars that were not sampled for dissolved inorganic carbon analysis was
239	estimated using the mean dissolved inorganic carbon measured for the subset of jars in each
240	larvae treatment (control and exposed).

241 Egg and larvae morphology

The morphology of a subset of unfertilized eggs (30-32 eggs female⁻¹; except one exposed female, which only had 11 eggs measured due to filtering error) and larvae (n= 20 jar⁻¹; control parents: 72 jars per larvae treatment; exposed parents: 63 jars per larvae treatment) were measured to characterize maternal provisioning and larval shell formation, respectively. Eggs
and larvae were photographed with an Infinity Phase Contrast Trinocular Siedentopf compound
microscope (*OMAX* 40X-2500X PLAN). The digital photographs were traced using GNU Image
Manipulation Program (GIMP 2.10.8), and the resulting outlines were analyzed in CellProfiler
(v3.1.5) to obtain egg diameter and egg eccentricity, larvae shell length, shell surface area, and
shell perimeter (see Supplemental Materials Section 2). Eccentricity, which is equal to 0 for a
circle and 1 for a line segment, was used to quantify egg shape.

252 The analysis of larval shell formation included only larvae that were D-stage (veliger) 253 and lying flat in the field of view, such that the straight hinge was visible (Figure 2). Larvae with 254 cilia extending beyond the shell margin were included, as were those with minor velum extrusion 255 (Waldbusser et al. 2015b). Larvae without visible tissue in the shell were assumed dead and excluded from the analysis (ASTM 2012). Shell length was measured as the longest shell axis 256 257 parallel to the hinge (Figure S2.1). The shape of larval shells was quantified as the ratio of 258 perimeter to length. Larval growth was measured as the change in overall larvae shell size per day relative to egg size to account for differences in dam egg size (see Results). The change in 259 size per day (Δ Size) was calculated for each larva as: 260

261 eq. 1
$$\Delta \text{Size} = \frac{L-E}{D}$$

where *L* is the larva's shell length, *E* is the mean egg diameter for that larva's dam, and *D* is the larva's age in days.

The family percent change in shell growth and shell morphology for larvae grown in elevated pCO_2 compared to control conditions was calculated to measure inter-family variability in response to exposure. Percent change for individual families was calculated for each parameter (change in shell size, shell length, shell surface area, shell perimeter) as:

268 eq. 2 %*Change*_{Family} =
$$\frac{(X_{LarvalExposed} - X_{LarvalControl})}{X_{LarvalControl}} \ge 100$$

where $X_{LarvalExposed}$ and $X_{LarvalControl}$ are the mean measurement of the parameter (*X*) of full sibling larvae grown in elevated *p*CO₂ and control conditions, respectively.

271 Larval survival

Larval survival was quantified for each jar by manually counting the larvae that took up neutral red dye (see Supplemental Materials Section 3). The estimation of the initial number of larvae mL⁻¹ from fertilization rates resulted in small differences in the number of larvae added to each jar for different families, but similar numbers of larvae per jar within the same family.

276 Therefore, the proportion of surviving larvae was quantified for each family (S_{Fam}) as:

277 eq. 3
$$S_{Fam} = \frac{S_{LarvalExposed}}{S_{LarvalControl}}$$

where $S_{LarvalExposed}$ and $S_{LarvalControl}$ are the mean number of surviving larvae from elevated pCO_2 and control jars, respectively. The family percent change in survival for larvae grown under elevated pCO_2 compared to control conditions was calculated in the same way as the family percent change in shell parameters (eq. 2).

282 Data analysis

Linear mixed-effects models were used to assess the effects of treatment on egg size and shape, larval shell growth, shell morphology, and survival. Parental treatment was treated as a categorical variable (control, exposed) and larvae treatment was treated as a continuous variable (jar $\Omega_{aragonite}$) to account for small differences in carbonate chemistry amongst replicate jars (see Results). Models were performed using the *lme4* (v.1.1-23; Bates et al. 2015) and *lmerTest* (Kuznetsova et al. 2017) packages in R (v.4.0.0; R Core Team 2020) using the graphical interface RStudio (v. 1.0.153). A step-down strategy with likelihood ratio tests was used to

determine the most parsimonious linear mixed model using the function *step()* from the *lmerTest* 290 package (Kuznetsova et al. 2017). Briefly, random effects were retained if the *p*-value based on 291 likelihood ratio tests was less than the α -level of 0.1; fixed effects were retained if the *p*-value 292 based on the calculated F statistic was less than the α -level of 0.05 (Kuznetsova et al. 2017). 293 Diagnostic Q-Q plots, residual-fitted plots, and autocorrelation plots were used to assess the 294 295 assumptions of normality, homoscedasticity, and autocorrelation, respectively. Appropriate 296 transformations were performed if data violated the assumption of normality (details below). 297 The $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$ of control and elevated pCO₂ treatment seawater from adult tanks and larvae jars were compared using Welch's two-sample t-tests. 298

We tested the hypotheses that elevated pCO_2 impacted egg size and shape by testing the 299 response variables of egg diameter and eccentricity as a function of the fixed effects of dam 300 treatment (control, exposed) and dam length and the random effects of dam and acclimation tank. 301 Egg diameter ranged from 15.358 to 92.730 µm. Mature C. virginica eggs range in diameter 302 303 from 55 to 75 µm (Wallace et al. 2008). Eggs smaller or larger than this range were likely in growing or degenerating stages of development, respectively (Lango-Reynoso et al. 2000). 304 Therefore, the data were subset using Tukey's method to identify and remove outliers that ranged 305 306 above and below 1.5 times the interquartile range. The resulting range in egg diameters used for analysis was 49.953 to 75.970 μ m. Importantly, running the analysis with outliers did not 307 308 qualitatively change the outcome. However, outliers were removed from the final analyses because they were unlikely to have produced zygotes (Lango-Reynoso et al. 2000). 309

The effects of parental and larval exposure to elevated pCO_2 on larval shell growth and shell morphology were assessed by evaluating the response variables larval change in size (i.e., Δ Size), shell length, $\sqrt{surface}$ area, perimeter, and perimeter to length ratio. Surface area data

were square-root-transformed for analysis to meet the assumption of normality. The followingfull model was tested for each response variable (*y*):

315 eq. 4
$$y = \mu + \beta_1 LExp + \beta_2 PExp + \beta_3 LExpPExp + (z_1 Dam) + z_2 Sire + z_3 Family +$$

316 $z_4 JarNumber + z_5 DamTank + z_6 SireTank + z_7 Table + \varepsilon$

317 where *LExp* is a fixed effect of larvae jar $\Omega_{aragonite}$, *PExp* is a fixed effect of the parental treatment (control, exposed), *LExpPExp* is a fixed effect of the interaction between larvae jar $\Omega_{aragonite}$ and 318 319 parental treatment, *Dam/Sire* is a random effect contributed by mother/father, *Family* is a 320 random effect contributed by the specific parental cross, *JarNumber* is a random effect contributed by individual variations in larvae jars aside from jar $\Omega_{aragonite}$, *DamTank/SireTank* is 321 322 a random effect contributed by individual variations in dam/sire treatment tank, *Table* is a 323 random effect contributed by individual variations in the sea-tables in which the larvae jars were 324 housed and also by the order in which the jars were processed during filtering, and ε is the residual error. Since the average egg size for each female was used to calculate change in larval 325 shell size per day (eq. 1), Dam was excluded as a random effect for the model to assess growth to 326 avoid violating the assumption of non-collinearity among explanatory variables. Post-hoc 327 comparisons within larval treatments were conducted with two-sample t-tests using Bonferroni-328 adjusted *p*-critical values to understand better the effect of parental treatment on larval shell size 329 and shell morphology (i.e., length, $\sqrt{\text{surface area, perimeter, perimeter: length}}$). 330

We tested the hypothesis that parental treatment influenced family survival by testing the response variable S_{Fam} as a function of the fixed effect of parental treatment and the random effects of *Dam*, *Sire*, and *DamTank/SireTank*. If larvae from exposed parents had relatively

higher survival in elevated pCO_2 conditions than larvae from control parents, then S_{Fam}

335 $E_{xposedParents} > S_{Fam-ControlParents}$. One-sample *t*-tests with Bonferroni-adjusted *p*-values were used to

examine the effect of larval treatment on survival within each parental treatment. If exposed larvae had relatively lower survival than control larvae, then family survival would be less than one (i.e., $S_{Fam} < 1$).

The relationship between larval growth rate and survivorship within the elevated pCO_2 339 larval treatments was examined by testing the hypothesis that family survival was influenced by 340 341 mean family growth per day. If there is a tradeoff between growth and survival under elevated pCO_2 conditions, there should be an inverse relationship between family survival (i.e., S_{Fam}) and 342 mean family growth per day, calculated as the average change in shell size per day (i.e., Δ Size) 343 344 in the larval elevated pCO_2 treatment for each family. The response variable S_{Fam} was assessed as a function of mean family shell growth per day using a type II ordinary least squares (OLS) 345 regression with 1000 permutations due to the error in the explanatory variable (Legendre 1998). 346 Regressions were performed using the *lmodel2* package (Legendre and Oksanen 2018). Analyses 347 for larvae with control and exposed parents were run separately because a significant interaction 348 349 existed between parental and larval treatment on shell growth per day (see Results).

350 Results

351 Water chemistry

The $\Omega_{aragonite}$ of adult tank and larvae jar seawater differed significantly between control and elevated *p*CO₂ conditions (adult tank Welch's 2-sample *t*-test: *t* = 12.33, df = 7, *p*-value <0.0001; larvae jar Welch's 2-sample *t*-test: *t* = 14.952, df = 4.3, *p*-value< 0.0001). The elevated *p*CO₂ adult treatments exhibited higher total alkalinity and aragonite saturation state than the corresponding larval treatments due to partial dissolution of the adult shells under these conditions. However, the effects of these differences in alkalinity on seawater carbonate

chemistry were accounted for in the calculation of the saturation states of the treatments. There 358 were moderate differences in carbonate chemistry amongst adult tanks of the same parent 359 360 treatment, but they reflected ranges similar to those observed in the oysters' native waters (Table S1; Figure S1.1). Similarly, there were small differences in $\Omega_{aragonite}$ amongst jars of the same 361 larval treatment. Based on larvae header and jar measurements, there was no significant drift in 362 363 $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$ over the course of the three-day exposure for control (2-sample t-test: t=2.217, df=6, pvalue >0.05) or exposed (2-sample t-test: t = -0.013, df = 5, p-value >0.05) larvae jars relative to 364 the larvae header carboys. 365

366 Egg size and shape

There was no significant effect of parental treatment or dam length on egg size or shape.
The most parsimonious models for egg diameter and eccentricity included only the random
effect of dam. Dam explained 18.9% of the variance in egg diameter (variance ± SD dam: 4.331
± 2.081) and 10.6% of the variance in egg eccentricity (variance ± SD dam: 0.001963 ± 0.0443).
Because egg size varied significantly among dams, larval shell growth per day is a more accurate
measure of larval growth compared to measurements of absolute larval size.

373 Larval shell growth and shell morphology

The best models for predicting early larval shell growth and shell morphology (length, $\sqrt{\text{surface area, perimeter}}$ included the main effects of parental treatment (control, exposed), larval treatment (jar $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$), and their interaction (Table 1). Larval exposure to elevated *p*CO₂ significantly decreased larval shell growth and shell morphology in every measured parameter (Figure 3). Notably, exposed larvae with exposed parents exhibited significantly higher overall growth rates and larger shells than larvae with control parents (2-sample *t*-tests: growth: *t*= 14.10, df = 1346, *p*-value <0.0001; shell length: *t*= 8.18, df = 1346, *p*-value <0.0001; shell

381	$\sqrt{\text{surface area: } t= 7.050, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.0001; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.000; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190, \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.000; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190; \text{ df} = 1346, p-\text{value } < 0.000; \text{ shell perimeter: } t= 7.190; \text{ df} = 1.000; df$
382	<0.0001), but this effect was small. Control larvae with exposed parents also exhibited
383	significantly higher growth rates than control larvae with control parents (2-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t=$
384	10.01, df = 1348, <i>p</i> -value $<$ 0.0001). There were no significant differences in control larvae shell
385	morphology based on parental treatment (2-sample <i>t</i> -tests: length: <i>t</i> = 1.47, df= 1348, <i>p</i> -value =
386	0.141; $\sqrt{\text{surface area: } t= 1.483, df = 1348, p-\text{value} = 0.138; \text{ perimeter: } t= 1.237, df = 1348, p-\text{value} = 0.138; \text{ perimeter: } t= 1.237, df = 1348, p-\text{value} = 0.138; \text{ perimeter: } t= 1.237, df = 1348, p-\text{value} = 0.138; \text{ perimeter: } t= 1.237, df = 1348, p-\text{value} = 0.138; \text{ perimeter: } t= 1.237, df = 1348, p-\text{value} = 0.138; \text{ perimeter: } t= 1.237, df = 1348, p-\text{value} = 0.138; \text{ perimeter: } t= 1.237, df = 1348, p-\text{value} = 0.138; \text{ perimeter: } t= 1.237, df = 1348, p-\text{value} = 0.138; \text{ perimeter: } t= 1.237, df = 1348, p-\text{value} = 0.138; \text{ perimeter: } t= 1.237, df = 1348, p-\text{value} = 0.138; \text{ perimeter: } t= 1.237, df = 1348, p-\text{value} = 0.138; \text{ perimeter: } t= 1.237, df = 1348, p-\text{value} = 0.138; p-\text{value} =$
387	value= 0.216). The mean shell growth per day for larvae with exposed parents was 1.15 and
388	5.88 times faster than larvae with control parents in control and elevated pCO_2 larval treatments,
389	respectively. There was also high inter-family variability in the effects of larval environment on
390	change in overall larval shell size and shell morphology (Figure 4). The mean family-specific
391	reduction in overall size under larval elevated p CO ₂ compared to larval control conditions was
392	87%, with nine families exhibiting mean reductions of over 100% (i.e., 3-day old larvae shells
393	were smaller than the mean egg size of their dam). The family-specific reductions inshell length,
394	surface area, and perimeter under larval elevated pCO_2 compared to larval control conditions
395	ranged from 9 to 18%, 19 to 34%, and 10 to 19%, respectively.
396	Larval exposure to elevated pCO_2 also altered shell shape (Table 1). The shells of
397	exposed larvae had decreased perimeter to length ratios, meaning that the shells were more
398	elongated. Exposed larvae with exposed parents exhibited significantly lower perimeter to length
399	ratios compared to exposed larvae with control parents (2-sample <i>t</i> -test: t = -3.936, df =1346, <i>p</i> -
400	value <0.0001). There were no significant differences in shell shape of control larvae based on
401	parent treatment (2-sample <i>t</i> -test: <i>t</i> = -0.47893, df = 1348, <i>p</i> -value >0.05).

403 Larval survival

404	Larval exposure to elevated pCO_2 significantly decreased survival within both control
405	(one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and exposed (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -5.280$, df = 23, <i>p</i> -value <0.001) and (one-sample <i>t</i> -test: $t = -$
406	4.021, df = 20, <i>p</i> -value $<$ 0.001) parental treatments. However, larval survival did not
407	significantly vary with parental treatment. The best model to predict the proportion of surviving
408	larvae included only the random effect of dam. Larval survival was highly variable among
409	families (Figure 5). Six families exhibited increased survival under larval elevated pCO_2
410	conditions that ranged from 1 to 21% higher than survival under larval control conditions. The
411	remaining 39 families exhibited decreases in survival under larval elevated pCO_2 conditions that
412	ranged from 2 to 37% lower than survival under larval control conditions.
413	Although there was a positive trend, there was no statistically significant correlation
414	between mean family shell growth per day and survivorship within the larval elevated pCO_2
415	treatment for three-day-old larvae with control (Type II OLS regression: p -value = 0.052, $n = 24$
416	R^2 = 0.16, y= 0.876+ 0.045x) or exposed parents (Type II OLS regression: <i>p</i> -value = 0.104, <i>n</i> =

417 21, $R^2 = 0.13$, y = 0.814 + 0.071x).

418 Discussion

This study illustrates the importance of considering parental environment and transgenerational plasticity in the response of *C. virginica* larvae to elevated pCO_2 . The results demonstrate the following at three-days post-fertilization: (1) elevated pCO_2 significantly reduced shell growth, shell size (length, surface area, perimeter), and survival of oyster larvae; (2) larvae from exposed parents grew faster and had larger shells under elevated pCO_2 than larvae from control parents; and (3) parental exposure to elevated pCO_2 did not influence larval survival.

426 Effects of intergenerational exposure

Three-day-old Eastern oyster larvae of exposed parents exhibited evidence of beneficial 427 transgenerational plasticity in early shell growth and shell morphology in response to elevated 428 pCO_2 . However, these positive carryover effects were not observed for larval survival. The shell 429 growth rates and shell size (length, surface area, perimeter) of larvae with exposed parents were 430 significantly higher than those of larvae with control parents under larval elevated pCO_2 431 432 conditions. These findings are similar to those of Lim et al. (2021b), who report that C. hongkongensis larvae with parents exposed to elevated pCO_2 ($\Omega_{aragonite} = 0.43$) for 4 weeks 433 434 during reproductive conditioning had significantly higher growth rates compared to larvae with control parents ($\Omega_{aragonite} = 1.55$). Parker et al. (2012) also report similar findings for S. glomerata 435 larvae with parents exposed to ocean acidification for 5 weeks, which exhibited significantly 436 higher growth rates compared to larvae with control parents under both larval control ($\Omega_{aragonite} =$ 437 3.4) and ocean acidification conditions ($\Omega_{aragonite} = 1.9$). The beneficial transgenerational 438 plasticity observed in the present study suggests that C. virginica can acclimate 439 440 intergenerationally to elevated pCO_2 . It should be noted, however, that the effect sizes observed at three-days post-fertilization may not be biologically significant over longer timescales since it 441 remains unclear whether the beneficial transgenerational plasticity observed would diminish, 442 443 remain consistent, or increase across life-history stages (e.g., Lim et al. 2021b). Interestingly, the positive effects of parental exposure to elevated pCO_2 on early shell 444 445 growth and shell size (length, surface area, perimeter) were not significantly correlated with 446 survival rates of exposed larvae. This finding suggests that intergenerational exposure to elevated 447 pCO2 conferred no significant cost or benefit to larval survival within the framework of the experiment. This finding is consistent with prior studies on larval C. virginica (48-h post-448

fertilization; Clements et al. 2020) and *S. glomerata* (19 days post-fertilization; Parker et al.

2012). It remains unclear if the benefits of parental exposure on shell growth would continue to 450 have no effect on larval survival beyond the duration of this experiment given the energetic costs 451 452 of shell formation in undersaturated conditions, which potentially reduce energy budgets for maintenance and metamorphosis, as well as potential impacts on shell structure and function 453 454 (Gaylord et al. 2011). However, it is possible that parental exposure could benefit C. virginica 455 larval survival later in development, as seen for *M. edulis* seven days post-fertilization (control $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}} = 1.23$; elevated $p \text{CO}_2 \Omega_{\text{aragonite}} = 0.36$; Thomsen et al. 2017) and juvenile C. 456 *hongkongensis* ($\Omega_{aragonite} = 1.55$; $\Omega_{aragonite} = 0.43$; Lim et al 2021b). Additionally, parental 457 458 exposure could benefit fertilization rates under elevated pCO_2 conditions, an effect that was not assessed in this study since all crosses were conducted under control conditions. 459 The beneficial effects of transgenerational plasticity on early larval shell growth and shell 460 morphology under elevated pCO_2 observed in this study on wild-sourced parents contrasts with 461 the findings of Clements et al. (2020). The latter study, which exposed parents to experimental 462 463 conditions for 29 days, found no significant effect of intergenerational exposure of hatcheryreared parents on shell length or shape of exposed larvae ($\Omega_{aragonite} = 0.4$) at 48-h post-464 fertilization. Interpopulation variability has been observed for S. glomerata, in which wild-465 466 sourced populations exhibited beneficial transgenerational plasticity (as in this study) and hatchery-reared populations did not (as in Clements 2020) (Parker et al. 2012). These differences 467 468 suggest that there may be population-level variation in the ability of C. virginica to acclimate 469 intergenerationally to the negative effects of elevated pCO_2 on early shell formation. 470 The mechanism behind the observed beneficial transgenerational plasticity remains 471 unclear. Parental exposure to elevated pCO_2 did not impact egg size, suggesting that maternal

472 provisioning was not responsible for the increased shell growth of their larvae. Though notably,

egg size is not a perfect proxy for maternal provisioning. A plausible alternative hypothesis is 473 that epigenetic inheritance mechanisms, such as DNA methylation and/or histone variants, are 474 responsible for the observed effects (Eirin-Lopez and Putnam 2019). Eastern oyster gonad tissue 475 exhibits changes in DNA methylation in response to ocean acidification, indicating the potential 476 for heritability of methylation patterns (Venkataraman et al. 2020). However, the heritability of 477 478 methylation patterns and the extent of transgenerational plasticity on *C. virginica* offspring 479 phenotypes in response to ocean acidification (e.g., transient vs. enduring; Eirin-Lopez and 480 Putnam 2019) is undetermined and requires further exploration. If transgenerational plasticity 481 driven by epigenetic mechanisms is enduring, it could have positive carryover effects for shell production throughout larval development and settlement (Parker et al. 2015; Lim et al. 2021b) 482 and result in effects of ocean acidification on larval shell formation that are less severe than those 483 observed in intragenerational elevated pCO_2 exposure experiments (e.g., Miller et al. 2009; 484 Talmage and Gobler 2009). 485

486 Effects of larval exposure

Despite the observed benefits of intergenerational exposure on early larval shell growth 487 and shell morphology, larval exposure to elevated pCO_2 impaired larval shell formation and 488 489 decreased survival, as has been previously documented in marine mollusks (e.g., Gazeau et al. 2013; Gobler and Talmage 2014; Kong et al. 2019). In contrast, Lim et al. (2021b) found C. 490 491 hongkongensis larvae exposed to elevated pCO_2 exhibited faster development rates and increased settlement success compared to larvae in control conditions, suggesting certain estuarine species 492 493 may exhibit increased capacity for adaptation to ocean acidification. Interestingly, Clements et al. (2020) found an increase in C. virginica larval survival under elevated pCO_2 ($\Omega_{aragonite} = 0.4$) 494 compared to control ($\Omega_{aragonite} = 1.0$) conditions. Differential larval survival has been observed 495

for *M. edulis*, in which exposed larvae from parents sourced from lower-pH sites (as in Clements
et al. 2020) exhibit higher survival than larvae from parents sourced from higher-pH sites (as in
this study)(Thomsen et al. 2017).

Consistent with prior studies (e.g., Whitman Miller et al. 2009; Boulais et al. 2017), the 499 present study did not find evidence of delays in early development of C. virginica larvae grown 500 501 in undersaturated conditions. However, changes in shell morphology under elevated pCO_2 conditions could negatively impact shell function by altering the shell's ability to contain tissue 502 503 and obtain food (Talmage and Gobler 2010). Additionally, changes in shell shape, as observed in 504 this study, and disproportionate decreases in shell length and mass under elevated pCO_2 , as observed in C. gigas, could alter larval distribution in the water column and impact dispersal, 505 food availability, and predation rates (Stich and Lampert 1981; Sponaugle et al. 2002; Garcia 506 Berdeal et al. 2006). 507

508 Inter-family variability

509 Genotypic differences contributed to larval ovsters' responses to elevated pCO_2 . Regardless of parental environment, families exhibited a range of responses to elevated pCO_2 in 510 all measured shell parameters (shell growth per day, shell length, shell surface area, shell 511 512 perimeter), as well as in larval survival. The significant random effects of family (for growth per day), dam (for shell length, shell surface area, shell perimeter, survival), and sire (for shell 513 length, surface area, perimeter) show that certain C. virginica genotypes are more tolerant of 514 elevated pCO_2 than others. In fact, the mean larval rate of shell growth for nine families (8) 515 516 control parents, 1 exposed parents) under exposed larvae conditions was negative (i.e., larvae were smaller than mean dam egg size), while the remaining families exhibited an increase in size 517 relative to egg size despite undersaturated conditions. Notably, there was a positive relationship 518

between shell growth rate and survival of exposed larvae, though it was not statistically 519 significant. This finding suggests that families with faster growing larvae are more tolerant of 520 521 elevated pCO_2 , at least early in development, which contrasts with the inverse relationship between growth and survival observed for *Haliotis rufescens* (red abalone; Swezey et al. 2020) 522 and Strongylocentrotus purpuratus (purple sea urchin; Garrett et al. 2020). Genotypes tolerant of 523 524 ocean acidification have also been observed for C. gigas (Frieder et al. 2017), S. glomerata (Parker et al. 2011), and *M. mercenaria* (Waldbusser et al. 2010). Genotypes tolerant of elevated 525 526 pCO_2 evident in the present study could improve larval survival in future generations exposed to 527 ocean acidification. However, the positive carryover effects of genotypes tolerant of elevated pCO₂ on larval survival may not extend beyond the first filial generation, as seen in *M. edulis* 528 populations (Thomsen et al. 2017). Nevertheless, the large inter-family variation in shell growth 529 per day and survival in the present study represents standing genetic variation that selection 530 could act on, potentially leading to adaptation of C. virginica populations to ocean acidification 531 532 conditions (Barrett and Hoekstra 2011).

Along with differences in source populations, the high inter-family variability in C. 533 virginica larval shell growth, shell morphology, and survival in the present study could explain 534 535 the contrasting results with Clements et al. (2020). Clements et al. (2020) found no significant effect of intergenerational exposure on shell length or survival in C. virginica larvae grown 536 537 under control ($\Omega_{aragonite} = 1.0$), intermediate ocean acidification ($\Omega_{aragonite} = 0.7$), and high ocean 538 acidification ($\Omega_{aragonite} = 0.4$) conditions at 48-h post-fertilization. Larvae in their study resulted 539 from gametes pooled from 4-6 individuals per sex (Clements et al. 2020). They were 540 consequently unable to account for inter-family variability in their statistical models. The present 541 study accounted for the effects of dam and sire by not pooling gametes, crossing each female

with multiple males, and growing offspring from each cross in control and elevated pCO_2 conditions. Therefore, the statistical models in the present study were better able to detect the effects of parental environment despite high inter-family variability.

545 Conclusion

In this study, we observed positive intergenerational effects of elevated pCO_2 exposure 546 on early larval shell growth rate and shell morphology, without significant costs to survival. 547 548 These findings suggest that ovsters have the capacity to acclimate intergenerationally to ocean 549 acidification (e.g., Parker et al. 2012, 2015; Lim et al. 2021b), though this capacity may vary by population (Clements et al. 2020). The high variability in rate of shell growth and survivorship 550 551 among families also indicates the existence of genotypes tolerant of elevated pCO_2 that could be selected for under future ocean acidification conditions (Garrett et al. 2020). Collectively, these 552 results suggest that selective breeding and/or parental exposure to elevated pCO_2 could improve 553 554 production and increase the tolerance of Eastern oyster larvae to elevated pCO_2 in aquaculture 555 and mariculture (Nell and Perkins 2005; Parker et al. 2011). Importantly, the seawater $\Omega_{aragonite}$ in both the control and elevated pCO_2 treatments in this study were less than one. Although 556 consistent with the conditions that are experienced in Gulf of Maine estuaries (Waldbusser and 557 Salisbury 2014), these conditions could influence the effect size of the observed 558 559 transgenerational plasticity. Additional work is needed to understand the potential carryover 560 effects of parental and larval exposure beyond early shell development, across different pCO_2 conditions, and in combination with other stressors (Przeslawski et al. 2015; Griffith and Gobler 561 562 2017). Further multi-generational and multi-stressor experiments that expand on this study will improve our understanding of larval responses to oceanic change and enable better prediction of 563 carbon dioxide-induced changes in marine communities. 564

565 Acknowledgments

This research was funded by the National Science Foundation (1635423) and MIT Sea
Grant (NA18OAR4170105). The authors are not aware of any personal, professional, or
financial conflicts of interest. We thank the many graduate students, undergraduates, technicians,
and volunteers who helped with the experiment, including Louise Cameron, Brett Ford, Aki
Laruson, Sara Schaal, Andrea Unzueta Martinez, and Isaac Westfield.

571 Author Contributions

The experiment was designed by AD-W, KEL, and JBR. AD-W conducted previous 572 573 ocean acidification exposures with Eastern oysters used for planning seawater chemistry targets and aided in planning of the pair-mated cross design; KEL designed the pair-mated cross and 574 conducted a pilot study in 2016; JBR designed the ocean acidification and gas-mixing systems 575 576 and the plan for analyzing seawater chemistry. Seawater collections from the field were performed by AD-W and EMM. The methods for phenotyping and analyzing larval shell 577 properties and larval survival were developed by EMM, AD-W, KEL, and JBR. Personnel were 578 trained by EMM, AD-W, KEL, and JBR. Data were collected by EMM, ADW, FDT, CC, and 579 KEL; JBR supervised collection and management of seawater chemistry data. Statistical analyses 580 581 were developed by EMM with assistance from KEL and JBR. Data visualizations were created by EMM with input from KEL and JBR; KEL created the visualization of the partial North 582 Carolina II cross design. Funding was obtained by KEL and JBR. The manuscript was written by 583 584 EMM. All authors contributed to editing the final version of the manuscript and approve of its submission. 585

586 References

- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2012. Standard guide for conducting static
 acute toxicity tests starting with embryos of four species of saltwater bivalve molluscs.
 E724-98.
- Barrett, R. D. H., and H. E. Hoekstra. 2011. Molecular spandrels: tests of adaptation at the
 genetic level. Nat. Rev. Genet. 12: 767–780.
- Barros, P., P. Sobral, P. Range, L. Chícharo, and D. Matias. 2013. Effects of sea-water
 acidification on fertilization and larval development of the oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. J.
 Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 440: 200–206.
- Bates, D., M. Mächler, B. Bolker, and S. Walker. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using
 lme4. Journal of Statistical Software 67(1): 1-48, doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01
- Beck, M. W., and others. 2011. Oyster reefs at risk and recommendations for conservation,
 restoration, and management. BioScience 61: 107–116.
- Beniash, E., A. Ivanina, N. S. Lieb, I. Kurochkin, and I. M. Sokolova. 2010. Elevated level of
 carbon dioxide affects metabolism and shell formation in oysters *Crassostrea virginica*.
 Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 419: 95–108.
- 602 Boulais, M., K. J. Chenevert, A. T. Demey, E. S. Darrow, M. R. Robison, J. P. Roberts, and A.
- Volety. 2017. Oyster reproduction is compromised by acidification experienced
 seasonally in coastal regions. Sci. Rep. 7: 13276, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-13480-3
- Brečević, L., and A. E. Nielsen. 1989. Solubility of amorphous calcium carbonate. J. Cryst.
- 606 Growth 98: 504–510.
- 607 Chevin, L.-M., S. Collins, and F. Lefèvre. 2013. Phenotypic plasticity and evolutionary
 608 demographic responses to climate change: taking theory out to the field. Funct. Ecol. 27:
 609 967–979.

610	Clements, J. C., C. E. Carver, M. A. Mallet, L. A. Comeau, and A. L. Mallet. 2020. CO2-induced
611	low pH in an Eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica) hatchery positively affects
612	reproductive development and larval survival but negatively affects larval shape and size,
613	with no intergenerational linkages. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 78: 349-359.
614	Davies, S. W., A. Marchetti, J. B. Ries, and K. D. Castillo. 2016. Thermal and pCO ₂ stress elicit
615	divergent transcriptomic responses in a resilient coral. Frontiers in Marine Science 3:
616	112, doi: 10.3389/fmars.2016.00112
617	De Wit, P., E. Durland, A. Ventura, and C. J. Langdon. 2018. Gene expression correlated with
618	delay in shell formation in larval Pacific oysters (Crassostrea gigas) exposed to
619	experimental ocean acidification provides insights into shell formation mechanisms.
620	BMC Genomics 19: 160, doi: 10.1186/s12864-018-4519-y
621	Dekshenieks, M. M., E. E. Hofmann, and E. N. Powell. 1993. Environmental effects on the
622	growth and development of Eastern oyster, Crassostrea virginica (Gmmelin, 1791),
623	larvae: A modeling study. J. Shellfish Res. 12: 241–254.
624	Dickson, A. G. 1990. Standard potential of the reaction: $AgCl(s)+ 12H_2(g)=Ag(s)+HCl(aq)$,
695	

and the standard acidity constant of the ion HSO_4^- in synthetic sea water from 273.15 to 318.15 K. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 22: 113–127.

627 Dodd, L. F., J. H. Grabowski, M. F. Piehler, I. Westfield, and J. B. Ries. 2015. Ocean

- acidification impairs crab foraging behaviour. Proc. R. Soc., Ser. B. 282: 20150333, doi:
 10.1098/rspb.2015.0333
- 630 Downey-Wall, A. M., L. P. Cameron, B. M. Ford, E. M. McNally, Y. R. Venkataraman, S. B.
- 631 Roberts, J. B. Ries, and K. E. Lotterhos. 2020. Ocean acidification induces subtle shifts in

632	gene expression and DNA methylation in mantle tissue of the Eastern oyster (Crassostrea
633	virginica). Frontiers in Marine Science 7: 828, doi: 10.3389/fmars.2020.566419
634	Eirin-Lopez, J. M., and H. M. Putnam. 2019. Marine environmental epigenetics. Ann. Rev. Mar.
635	Sci. 11: 335–368.
636	Frieder, C. A., S. L. Applebaum, TC. F. Pan, D. Hedgecock, and D. T. Manahan. 2017.
637	Metabolic cost of calcification in bivalve larvae under experimental ocean acidification.
638	ICES J. Mar. Sci. 74: 941–954.
639	Garcia Berdeal, I., S. L. Hautala, L. N. Thomas, and H. Paul Johnson. 2006. Vertical structure of
640	time-dependent currents in a mid-ocean ridge axial valley. Deep Sea Res. Part I 53: 367-
641	386.
642	Garrett, A. D., R. S. Brennan, A. L. Steinhart, A. M. Pelletier, and M. H. Pespeni. 2020. Unique
643	genomic and phenotypic responses to extreme and variable pH conditions in purple
644	urchin larvae. Integr. Comp. Biol. 60: 318–331.
645	Gaylord, B., T. M. Hill, E. Sanford, E. A. Lenz, L. A. Jacobs, K. N. Sato, A. D. Russell, and A.
646	Hettinger. 2011. Functional impacts of ocean acidification in an ecologically critical
647	foundation species. J. Exp. Biol. 214: 2586-2594.
648	Gazeau, F., L. M. Parker, S. Comeau, JP. Gattuso, W. A. O'Connor, S. Martin, HO. Pörtner,
649	and P. M. Ross. 2013. Impacts of ocean acidification on marine shelled molluscs. Mar.
650	Biol. 160: 2207–2245.
651	Gobler, C. J., and S. C. Talmage. 2013. Short- and long-term consequences of larval stage
652	exposure to constantly and ephemerally elevated carbon dioxide for marine bivalve
653	populations. Biogeosciences 10: 2241–2253.

654	Gobler, C. J., and S. C. Talmage. 2014. Physiological response and resilience of early life-stage
655	Eastern oysters (Crassostrea virginica) to past, present and future ocean acidification.
656	Conserv Physiol 2: cou004, doi: 10.1093/conphys/cou004
657	Griffith, A. W., and C. J. Gobler. 2017. Transgenerational exposure of North Atlantic bivalves to
658	ocean acidification renders offspring more vulnerable to low pH and additional stressors.
659	Sci. Rep. 7: 11394, doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-11442-3
660	Helm, M. M., and N. Bourne. 2004. Hatchery culture of bivalves: A practical manual, Food and
661	Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
662	Kong, H., and others. 2019. Transgenerational effects of short-term exposure to acidification and
663	hypoxia on early developmental traits of the mussel Mytilus edulis. Mar. Environ. Res.
664	145: 73–80.
665	Kuznetsova, A., P. B. Brockhoff, and R. H. B. Christensen. 2017. ImerTest package: tests in
666	linear mixed effects models. Journal of Statistical Software 82: 1–26.
667	Lango-Reynoso, F., J. Chávez-Villalba, J. C. Cochard, and M. Le Pennec. 2000. Oocyte size, a
668	means to evaluate the gametogenic development of the Pacific oyster, Crassostrea gigas
669	(Thunberg). Aquaculture 190: 183–199, doi:10.1016/s0044-8486(00)00392-6
670	Lee, K., TW. Kim, R. H. Byrne, F. J. Millero, R. A. Feely, and YM. Liu. 2010. The universal
671	ratio of boron to chlorinity for the North Pacific and North Atlantic oceans. Geochim.
672	Cosmochim. Acta 74: 1801–1811.
673	Legendre, P. 1998. Model II regression user's guide, R edition. R Vignette 14.
674	Legendre, P., and M. J. Oksanen. 2018. Package 'Imodel2'. R library. Available: https://CRAN.
675	R-project. org/package= lmodel2. Accessed: February 2020.

6/6	Lim, YK., K. Cheung, X. Dang, S. B. Roberts, X. Wang, and V. Thiyagarajan. 2021a. DNA
677	methylation changes in response to ocean acidification at the time of larval
678	metamorphosis in the edible oyster, Crassostrea hongkongensis. Mar. Environ. Res. 163:
679	105214, doi: 0.1016/j.marenvres.2020.105214
680	Lim, YK., X. Dang, and V. Thiyagarajan. 2021b. Transgenerational responses to seawater pH

......

. .

- in the edible oyster, with implications for the mariculture of the species under future
 ocean acidification. Sci. Total Environ. 782: 146704, doi: 0.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146704
- Liu, Z., and others. 2020. Metabolomic and transcriptomic profiling reveals the alteration of
- energy metabolism in oyster larvae during initial shell formation and under experimental
 ocean acidification. Sci. Rep. 10: 6111, doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-62963-3
- Lynch, M., and B. Walsh. 1998. Genetics and analysis of quantitative traits, Sinauer Associates,
 Inc.
- Mackenzie, F. T., L. M. Ver, and A. Lerman. 2000. Coastal-zone biogeochemical dynamics
 under global warming. Int. Geol. Rev. 42: 193–206.
- 690 Melzner, F., J. Thomsen, W. Koeve, A. Oschlies, M. A. Gutowska, H. W. Bange, H. P. Hansen,
- and A. Körtzinger. 2013. Future ocean acidification will be amplified by hypoxia in
 coastal habitats. Mar. Biol. 160: 1875–1888.
- Miller, A. W., A. C. Reynolds, C. Sobrino, and G. F. Riedel. 2009. Shellfish face uncertain
 future in high CO₂ world: Influence of acidification on oyster larvae calcification and
 growth in estuaries. PLoS One 4(5): e5661, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005661
- Nell, J. A., and B. Perkins. 2005. Evaluation of progeny of fourth generation Sydney rock oyster
 Saccostrea glomerata (Gould, 1850) breeding lines. Aquac. Res. 36: 753–757.

2021

D) 1 /

- 698 O'Connor, C. M., D. R. Norris, G. T. Crossin, and S. J. Cooke. 2014. Biological carryover
- 699 effects: Linking common concepts and mechanisms in ecology and evolution.
- 700 Ecosphere 5 (3): 28, doi: 10.1890/ES13-00388.1
- Orr, J. C., and others. 2005. Anthropogenic ocean acidification over the twenty-first century and
 its impact on calcifying organisms. Nature 437: 681–686.
- Parker, L. M., W. A. O'Connor, D. A. Raftos, H.-O. Pörtner, and P. M. Ross. 2015. Persistence
- of positive carryover effects in the oyster, *Saccostrea glomerata*, following
- transgenerational exposure to ocean acidification. PLoS One 10: e0132276,
- 706 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0132276
- Parker, L. M., P. M. Ross, and W. A. O'Connor. 2011. Populations of the Sydney rock oyster,
 Saccostrea glomerata, vary in response to ocean acidification. Mar. Biol. 158: 689–697.
- Parker, L. M., P. M. Ross, W. A. O'Connor, L. A. Borysko, D. A. Raftos, and H.-O. Pörtner.
- 710 2012. Adult exposure influences offspring response to ocean acidification in oysters.
- 711 Glob. Chang. Biol. 18: 82–92.
- Pespeni, M. H., F. Chan, B. A. Menge, and S. R. Palumbi. 2013. Signs of adaptation to local pH
- conditions across an environmental mosaic in the California Current ecosystem. Integr.
- 714 Comp. Biol. 53: 857–870.
- Pierrot, D., D. W. R. Wallace, and E. Lewis. 2011. MS Excel program developed for CO₂ system
 calculations. Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center.
- 717 doi:10.3334/cdiac/otg.co2sys_xls_cdiac105a
- Przesławski, R., M. Byrne, and C. Mellin. 2015. A review and meta-analysis of the effects of
- 719 multiple abiotic stressors on marine embryos and larvae. Glob. Chang. Biol. 21: 2122–
- 720 2140.

- R Core Team. 2020. R: A language and environment for statistical computing, R Foundation for
 statistical Computing.
- 723 Ries, J. B. 2011. A physicochemical framework for interpreting the biological calcification
- response to CO₂-induced ocean acidification. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 75: 4053–
 4064.
- Ries, J. B., A. L. Cohen, and D. C. McCorkle. 2009. Marine calcifiers exhibit mixed responses to
 CO₂-induced ocean acidification. Geology 37: 1131–1134.
- Ross, P. M., L. Parker, and M. Byrne. 2016. Transgenerational responses of molluscs and
 echinoderms to changing ocean conditions. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 73: 537–549.
- 730 Roy, R. N., L. N. Roy, K. M. Vogel, C. Porter-Moore, T. Pearson, C. E. Good, F. J. Millero, and
- D. M. Campbell. 1993. The dissociation constants of carbonic acid in seawater at
 salinities 5 to 45 and temperatures 0 to 45°C. Mar. Chem. 44: 249–267.
- Salinas, S., S. C. Brown, M. Mangel, and S. B. Munch. 2013. Non-genetic inheritance and
 changing environments. Non-Genetic Inheritance 1: 38–50.
- Sponaugle, S., and others. 2002. Predicting self-recruitment in marine populations: Biophysical
 correlates and mechanisms. Bull. Mar. Sci. 70: 341–375.
- Stich, H.-B., and W. Lampert. 1981. Predator evasion as an explanation of diurnal vertical
 migration by zooplankton. Nature 293: 396–398.
- Suckling, C. C., and others. 2014. Experimental influence of pH on the early life-stages of sea
- vurchins II: increasing parental exposure times gives rise to different responses. Invertebr.
- 741 Reprod. Dev. 58: 161–175.

742	Sunday, J. M., R. N. Crim, C. D. G. Harley, and M. W. Hart. 2011. Quantifying rates of
743	evolutionary adaptation in response to ocean acidification. PLoS One 6: e22881,
744	doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022881
745	Swezey, D. S., S. E. Boles, K. M. Aquilino, and others. 2020. Evolved differences in energy
746	metabolism and growth dictate the impacts of ocean acidification on abalone aquaculture.
747	PNAS 117: 26513–26519.
748	Talmage, S. C., and C. J. Gobler. 2009. The effects of elevated carbon dioxide concentrations on
749	the metamorphosis, size, and survival of larval hard clams (Mercenaria mercenaria), bay
750	scallops (Argopecten irradians), and Eastern oysters (Crassostrea virginica). Limnol.
751	Oceanogr. 54: 2072–2080.
752	Talmage, S. C., and C. J. Gobler. 2010. Effects of past, present, and future ocean carbon dioxide
753	concentrations on the growth and survival of larval shellfish. PNAS 107: 17246–17251.
754	Talmage, S. C., and C. J. Gobler. 2012. Effects of CO ₂ and the harmful alga Aureococcus
755	anophagefferens on growth and survival of oyster and scallop larvae. Mar. Ecol. Prog.
756	Ser. 464: 121–134.
757	Thomsen, J., L. S. Stapp, K. Haynert, H. Schade, M. Danelli, G. Lannig, K. M. Wegner, and F.
758	Melzner. 2017. Naturally acidified habitat selects for ocean acidification-tolerant
759	mussels. Sci Adv 3: e1602411, doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1602411
760	Venkataraman, Y. R., A. M. Downey-Wall, J. Ries, I. Westfield, S. J. White, S. B. Roberts, and
761	K. E. Lotterhos. 2020. General DNA methylation patterns and environmentally-induced
762	differential methylation in the Eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica). Frontiers in Marine

Science 7: 225, doi: 10.3389/fmars.2020.00225 763

764	Waldbusser, G. G., H. Bergschneider, and M. A. Green. 2010. Size-dependent pH effect on
765	calcification in post-larval hard clam Mercenaria spp. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 417: 171-
766	182.

- Waldbusser, G. G., and others. 2015a. Saturation-state sensitivity of marine bivalve larvae to
 ocean acidification. Nat. Clim. Chang. 5: 273–280.
- Waldbusser, G. G., and others. 2015b. Ocean acidification has multiple modes of action on
 bivalve larvae. PLoS One 10: e0128376, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128376
- Waldbusser, G. G., C. J. Langdon, B. Hales, B. A. Haley, F. G. Prahl, and E. L. Brunner. 2013.
- A developmental and energetic basis linking larval oyster shell formation to acidification
 sensitivity. Geophys. Res. Lett. 40: 2171–2176.
- Waldbusser, G. G., and J. E. Salisbury. 2014. Ocean acidification in the coastal zone from an
 organism's perspective: multiple system parameters, frequency domains, and habitats.
- 776 Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci. 6: 221–247.
- Waldbusser, G. G., E. P. Voigt, H. Bergschneider, M. A. Green, and R. I. E. Newell. 2011.
- Long-term trends in Chesapeake Bay pH and effects on biocalcification in the Eastern
 oyster *Crassostrea virginica*. Estuaries Coasts 34: 221–231.
- 780 Wallace, R. B., H. Baumann, J. S. Grear, R. C. Aller, and C. J. Gobler. 2014. Coastal ocean
- acidification: The other eutrophication problem. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 148: 1–13.
- Wallace, R. K., P. Waters, and F. S. Rikard. 2008. Oyster hatchery techniques, Southern
 Regional Aquaculture Center.
- Whitlock, M., and D. Schluter. 2015. The analysis of biological data, Roberts and CompanyPublishers.
- 786

787 Tables

- **Table 1.** Summary of the most parsimonious linear mixed effects models, as determined by
- model selection using the *step()* function with the *lmerTest* package, that predict larval change in
- shell size and shell morphology.

	Fixed effect	$Coefficient \pm SE$	df	<i>t</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value	Random effect	Variance	± SD
Δ Size $R^2=0.82$	Intercept	0.352 ± 0.188	30.083	1.869	0.0714	Family	$0.447 \pm$	0.669
	Parental treatment	-1.043 ± 0.233	63.721	-4.479	<0.0001	Jar Number	$0.206 \pm$	0.454
	Larvae $\Omega_{aragonite}$	6.838 ± 0.201	220.864	33.958	<0.0001	Sea-table	$0.019 \pm$	0.139
	Interaction	0.761 ± 0.273	220.311	2.782	0.0059	Residual	$0.856 \pm$	0.925
Length <i>R</i> ² =0.80	Intercept	63.047 ± 0.528	18.544	119.419) <0.0001	Dam	0.671 ±	0.819
	Parental treatment	-1.651 ± 0.656	24.520	-2.518	0.0187	Sire	$0.326~\pm$	0.571
	Larvae $\Omega_{aragonite}$	$20.493 \pm \ 0.608$	240.574	33.718	<0.0001	Jar Number	$1.897 \pm$	1.377
	Interaction	2.260 ± 0.825	240.002	2.739	0.0066	Sea-table	0.173 ±	0.416
						Residual	7.704 ±	2.776
$\sqrt{\text{Area}}$ $R^2=0.83$	Intercept	51.330 ± 0.484	11.567	106.134	l <0.0001	Dam	$0.479~\pm$	0.692
	Parental treatment	-1.068 ± 0.546	24.587	-1.956	0.0619	Sire	0.213 ±	0.462
	Larvae $\Omega_{aragonite}$	18.269 ± 0.509	240.309	35.922	<0.0001	Jar Number	1.369 ±	1.170
	Interaction	1.391 ± 0.690	239.982	2.015	0.0450	Sea-table	0.241 ±	0.491
						Residual	4.983 ±	2.232
Perimeter <i>R</i> ² =0.83	Intercept	194.747 ± 1.761	14.931	110.572	2 <0.0001	Dam	7.109 ±	2.666
	Parental treatment	-4.146 ± 2.095	24.434	-1.979	0.0592	Sire	$3.138 \pm$	1.772
	Larvae $\Omega_{aragonite}$	69.692 ± 1.940	240.314	35.932	<0.0001	Jar Number	$20.023 \pm$	4.475
	Interaction	5.559 ± 2.633	239.886	2.111	0.0358	Sea-table	$2.519~\pm$	1.587
						Residual	$71.329 \pm$	8.446
Shape	Intercept	3.092 ± 0.004	18.800	673.548	3 <0.0001	Dam	5.56x10 ⁻⁵ ±	0.007
(Perimeter:	Parental treatment	0.015 ± 0.006	31.734	2.673	0.0118	Jar Number	$1.21 x 10^{-4} \pm$	0.011
Length)	Larvae $\Omega_{aragonite}$	0.079 ± 0.007	251.247	11.512	<0.0001	Sea-table	$1.24 x 10^{-5} \pm$	0.004
<i>R</i> ² =0.18	Interaction	-0.023 ± 0.009	250.328	-2.420	0.0162	Residual	2.19x10 ⁻³ ±	0.047

Figure Legends 793

794 Figure 1. Diagram of the partial North Carolina II cross experimental design. Control parents and control larvae jars are indicated in blue; exposed parents and exposed larvae jars are 795 796 indicated in pink.

797 Figure 2. Representative images of larval shells from parental and larval treatments. Scale bars 798 are 25µm.

799 **Figure 3.** Shell growth (a; ' Δ Size'), shell length (b), square root of shell surface area (c), and 800 shell perimeter (d) of three-day-old Eastern oyster larvae grown at different $\Omega_{aragonite}$ from parents exposed to control (blue) or elevated pCO_2 (pink) conditions. Points represent individual 801 larvae. Regressions represent the most parsimonious linear mixed-effects models for the 802 observed parameters; shaded areas represent the 95% confidence intervals for the models. 803 Figure 4. Boxplots of control (blue) and exposed (pink) larval change in shell size (a), shell 804 805 length (b), shell surface area (c), and shell perimeter (d) from control (blue panel) and elevated pCO₂ (pink panel) parental crosses. Dashed lines indicate the mean value for control (blue) and 806 exposed (pink) larvae from control parent crosses. 807 **Figure 5.** Reaction norms of family survival in control and elevated pCO_2 conditions for C.

virginica larvae with control and exposed parents. Each line represents a unique family; points 809

- 810 represent the mean $(\pm SE)$ number of surviving larvae within each treatment for each family.
- 811

808

Figure 1.









Figure 4.



Figure 5.

