Received Date : 05-May-2016 Revised Date : 29-Aug-2016 Accepted Date : 06-Sep-2016 Article type : Special Issue

nusc

Mail id: bwringe@gmail.com

parallelnewhybrid: an R package for the parallelization of hybrid detection using NEWHYBRIDS

Brendan F. Wringe^{1*}, Ryan R. E. Stanley¹, Nicholas W. Jeffery¹, Eric C. Anderson², and Ian R. Bradbury¹

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> 10.1111/1755-0998.12597

¹ Science Branch, Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 80 East White Hills Road, St. John's NL, A1C 5X1

² Fisheries Ecology Division, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Southwest Fisheries Science Center, Santa Cruz, CA, 95060

Running head: parallelization of hybrid detection in R

Keywords: hybrid, introgression, R, newhybrids, population genomics, population structure, software

Author Manu

1 Abstract

2 Hybridization among populations and species is a central theme in many areas of biology, 3 and the study of hybridization has direct applicability to testing hypotheses about 4 evolution, speciation, and genetic recombination, as well as having conservation, legal 5 and regulatory implications. Yet, despite being a topic of considerable interest, the 6 identification of hybrid individuals, and quantification of the (un)certainty surrounding 7 the identifications remains difficult. Unlike other programs that exist to identify hybrids 8 based on genotypic information, NEWHYBRIDS is able to assign individuals to specific 9 hybrid classes (e.g. F_1 , F_2) because it makes use of patterns of gene inheritance within 10 each locus, rather than just the proportions of gene inheritance within each individual. For 11 each comparison and set of markers, multiple independent runs of each dataset should be 12 used to develop an estimate of the hybrid class assignment accuracy. The necessity of 13 analyzing multiple simulated datasets, constructed from large genome-wide datasets 14 presents significant computational challenges. To address these challenges we present 15 parallelnewhybrid, an R package designed to decrease user burden when undertaking 16 multiple NEWHYBRIDS analyses. *parallelnewhybrid* does so by taking advantage of the 17 parallel computational capabilities inherent in modern computers to efficiently and automatically execute separate NEWHYBRIDS runs in parallel. We show that 18 19 parallelization of analyses using this package affords users several-fold reductions in time 20 over a traditional serial analysis. *parallelnewhybrid* consists of an example dataset, a 21 **README** and three operating system-specific functions to execute parallel 22 NEWHYBRIDS analyses on each of a computer's c cores. *parallelnewhybrid* is freely 23 available on the long-term software hosting site GitHub (www.github.com/bwringe/parallelnewhybrid). 24

25 Introduction

Hybridization among closely related species, and genetically distinct populations of the same species, is a topic of broad interest to many fields of biology (Abbott *et al.* 2013; Todesco *et al.* 2016; Warschefsky *et al.* 2014). Natural hybrid zones, areas in which genetically distinct populations come into contact, and interbreed, are widely known and studied, especially in terms of hybridization's impact on speciation and evolution (Barton 31 & Hewitt 1985; Benson et al. 2014; Hilbish et al. 2012). Hybridization can slow or 32 reverse speciation by allowing gene flow and recombination, but it can also increase the 33 rate at which speciation occurs through adaptive introgression, and can even lead to the 34 near instantaneous creation of novel species via allopolyploidization [(Abbott et al. 2013)] 35 but see (Barton 2013)]. The study of hybridization can also have conservation, legal and 36 policy implications as it relates to the genetic structure and integrity of populations 37 (Benson et al. 2014; Fitzpatrick et al. 2015), or introgression of domesticated (Kidd et al. 38 2009) or transgenic (Warwick et al. 2003) alleles into wild populations. However, despite 39 the importance of understanding the dynamics of hybridization, the identification of 40 hybrids themselves can be difficult, and ascertaining to which hybrid class (e.g. F_1 , F_2 , 41 backcross) an individual belongs is more so.

42 Intuitively and of salience, the ease and precision with which hybridized 43 individuals can be identified using genetic methods is inversely related to the degree of 44 (genetic) relatedness between the groups which are (suspected of) hybridizing (Vaha & 45 Primmer 2006). However, in many cases the degree of genetic differentiation among groups (e.g. within or among species) may be low, limiting the ability to evaluate 46 47 hybridization and introgression within and among species. The identification of hybrids 48 and the ability to determine the presence, types, and numbers of individuals of different 49 hybrid classes can provide crucial information on the presence, magnitude, and time scale 50 over which introgression is occurring. While several statistical approaches [reviewed by 51 (Anderson 2009; Payseur & Rieseberg 2016)] and software programmes exist [e.g. 52 STRUCTURE, (Hubisz et al. 2009); NEWHYBRIDS (Anderson & Thompson 2002); BAYESASS (Wilson & Rannala 2003); GENODIVE (Meirmans & Van Tienderen 53 54 2004), which uses the maximum likelihood method of (Buerkle 2005)] to identify 55 hybrids, most do not assign to hybrid class, thus losing potentially important information. 56 NEWHYBRIDS (Anderson & Thompson 2002) is unique in this respect, in the 57 discreteness of individual assignment to specific hybrid classes it provides. This is done 58 through evaluation of the Bayesian posterior probability of membership in each of six 59 genotype frequency classes [i.e. pure population 1, pure population 2, F_1 , F_2 , back-cross 60 to population 1, back-cross to population 2 (Anderson & Thompson 2002)], for each 61 individual, computed using Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC; Anderson & Thompson 62 2002). In addition, NEWHYBRIDS does not require that the allele frequencies of the two 63 populations be known *a priori*, and, as such, pure samples of the two populations need 64 not be available to identify hybrids. This is advantageous for identifying escapees from domesticated populations without pre-impact, baseline samples from the wild 65 66 populations, or in situations where the domesticated animals are derived from a local wild 67 population. However, (as with most methods) the accuracy of NEWHYBRIDS to 68 correctly differentiate hybrid class is highly dependent upon the number of informative 69 markers provided, and the genetic distinctness of the two populations in question 70 (Anderson & Thompson 2002; Vaha & Primmer 2006). Consequently, while the large 71 genome-wide data sets produced by next-generation sequencing and genotyping methods 72 may offer significant opportunities for improved hybrid class identification, at the same 73 time their size and complexity present challenges for existing software. Ultimately, for 74 each comparison and set of markers, the accuracy should be tested using the results of 75 multiple simulated datasets, and multiple independent runs of each dataset to ensure 76 convergence (Anderson 2003) further increasing the computational demands.

77 Currently, the speed at which a complete NEWHYBRIDS analysis can be 78 completed is limited by the fact that each analysis must be initiated separately and in 79 sequence by the user (Anderson 2003; Anderson & Thompson 2002). Since 80 NEWHYBRIDS was published (Anderson & Thompson 2002), shared-memory multiple-81 computer processing unit (CPU/core) chipsets have supplanted single CPU chips, and are 82 present in most consumer computers. If properly leveraged, this multi-core architecture 83 can allow for more time-efficient computing by distributing tasks among cores, and 84 allowing for parallel processing (e.g. Besnier & Glover 2013). When compared against 85 running analyses in series, the benefits of such a parallel approach would be proportional 86 to the size of the dataset, and thus be a boon for the analysis/use of large, next generation 87 sequencing datasets which themselves offer significant advances in hybrid identification and classification. 88

It has been previously shown that scripting not only allows tasks to be reliably distributed across the available cores, but can also immediately assign a new job to a free core as soon as a core has finished a process (Besnier & Glover 2013). Although such scripting is invariably more efficient, specialized knowledge of the computer file

93 structure and requisite programming language is required. Here we describe an R (R 94 Development Core Team 2015) package that takes advantage of the parallel 95 computational capabilities inherent in modern computers to efficiently and automatically 96 analyze lists of NEWHYBRIDS runs in parallel. We emphasize that we are not multi-97 threading the NEWHYBRIDS program to take advantage of multiple processors during a 98 single run; any single run will still take just as long, but we are automating the procedure 99 for performing multiple runs of the software in parallel. The R computing language 100 already features many packages dedicated to the analysis and presentation of population 101 genetics data (e.g. Goudet 2005; Jombart & Ahmed 2011; Paradis 2010). Thus, although 102 the R language is likely to be familiar to many current and potential users of 103 NEWHYBRIDS, our package is designed such that it should be readily usable by R 104 novices.

- 105 Materials and Methods
- 106

107 Description of the package

108

109 Though NEWHYBRIDS does not natively support multi-threading, it is possible to run 110 independent NEWHYBRIDS analyses in parallel across all available cores. This process 111 can, however, be tedious because NEWHYBRIDS does not have an option to change the 112 name or location of the output files. Accordingly, to run NEWHYBRIDS in parallel, the 113 user must perform each run in a separate directory so that the output of one instance of 114 NEWHYBRIDS is not overwritten by the output of another instance. Doing this manually 115 requires that the user open separate command-line terminals (e.g. Microsoft command-116 line, OS X Terminal) and execute NEWHYBRIDS with a specific set of parameters for each analysis folder. The status of each NEWHYBRIDS run must be individually 117 118 monitored by the user, and when each analysis is completed, all the output files generated 119 by NEWHYBRIDS must be renamed, and combined for post-processing. Such manual 120 monitoring and (re)implementation invariably creates CPU downtime and thus 121 inefficiency because it is difficult for the user to monitor the NEWHYBRIDS progress 122 due to the extended duration of the analysis (many hours and potentially days).

Furthermore, manually copying, moving and renaming files introduces the potential forhuman error.

125 Our package, parallelnewhybrid, is designed to address these issues: it 126 implements the parallelization of multiple NEWHYBRIDS analyses, and also 127 automatically compiles and renames the outputs of NEWHYBRIDS to reflect the file 128 names of the datasets that were provided to it. Differences in computer operating system 129 architecture mean that the manner in which tasks are distributed in Windows differs from 130 OS X and LINUX. Consequently, in addition to an example dataset, the package parallelnewhybrid is comprised of three operating system-specific functions to 131 132 implement the parallelization of NEWHYBRIDS: parallelnh_WIN, parallelnh_OSX, and 133 parallelnh_LINUX. A further consequence of the manner in which parallelization is 134 effected by R in Windows is that most parallelization packages, parallelnewhybrid 135 included, do not function correctly in graphic user interface (GUI) or embedded 136 environment R sessions. While we have been successful in utilizing *parallelnh xx* in the 137 R GUI programmes RStudio (RStudio Team 2015) and R Console (R Development Core 138 Team 2015) in both OS X (OS X Version 10.11.3, MacBook Pro, 2.3 GHz Intel Core i7, 139 16 GB RAM) and LINUX (Ubuntu Version 14.04, Dell Precision Tower 7190, 2X 2.3 140 GHz Intel Xeon, 32 GB RAM), we have found running through the terminal to offer 141 better stability. As such, we highly recommend that *parallelnh xx* be run in the terminal 142 by default. It may also be necessary to run R as root or administrator when invoking 143 parallelnh_xx because some anti-virus programmes and tools may prevent the 144 manipulation of the NEWHYBRIDS executable file.

145 Example dataset

146

SimPops_NH.txt, is a simulated dataset with genotypes at 240 loci for 200 individuals in each of the six genotype frequency classes (i.e. pure1, pure2, F1, F2, BC1 and BC2; Anderson & Thompson 2002), and is intended to be analyzed in parallel using *parallelnh_xx*. The instructions for the user on how to copy the file from the R repository to another folder on the user's hard drive and to prepare the file for parallel analysis, are detailed in the README (https://github.com/bwringe/parallelnewhybrid).

153 Quantification of improvement in performance

155 We compared the time required to analyze three independently simulated datasets, each 156 replicated three times using *parallelnh_xx* versus a single-threaded (serial) 157 implementation. In all cases, NEWHYBRIDS was run with an with an initial burn-in of 158 500 replicates, followed by 1000 sweeps (MCMC terminology of Anderson & Thompson 159 2002). While these numbers are lower than would be typically used in a real analysis, 160 since time to completion scales linearly with the number of iterations (i.e. sum of burnin 161 and sweeps), the observed fold change improvements should be invariant to number of 162 iterations. To implement the serial analysis, we restricted the multi-threading ability of 163 parallelnh xx such that it was limited to iteratively populating a single core. We 164 acknowledge that this is likely an overestimate of the single core speed, as the script will 165 invariably be faster than a human operator in initiating a new run as each finishes. We 166 chose to analyze 8 files on CPU architectures with 8 virtual (4 physical) cores (Intel Core 167 i7; Samsung and MacBook Pro), while in the case of the Intel Xeon which has 24 virtual 168 (12 physical) cores, scripting limited execution to a maximum of 8 cores. We also 169 examined how the time to complete the 8 analyses scaled with the number of (virtual) 170 cores available (1 to 8) to illustrate how differences in operating system and chip architecture influence the operation of our parallelization function. This also allowed us 171 172 to observe operation under the least computationally efficient scenario: that is where the 173 number of files to be analyzed is not a multiple of the number of (virtual) cores. Thus in 174 cases where $n \equiv c \pmod{r} \mid r \neq 0$, where *n* is the number of files to be analyzed and *c* is the number of cores available, the function must complete $n \cdot c^{-1} + 1$ runs in parallel with 175 176 jobs allocated to all cores, plus a single run in which c - r cores are idle.

177 Results and Discussion

178

154

Not surprisingly, even when the initiation of new runs was automated, the time to complete the analysis of the nine files (three simulated datasets, each run in triplicate) was much slower without parallelization (Table 1). However, the relationship between improvement in computational speed, and the number of cores made available was not linear (Table 2). This demonstrates that the ability to automatically distribute a list of 184 analyses across multiple CPUs offers quantitative improvements over the native 185 command line implementation of NEWHYBRIDS. While always quicker than running 186 analyses sequentially, we found that the computational time did not decrease linearly with 187 the number of cores implemented in the analyses. This relationship was seen in each 188 operating system (i.e. Windows, OS X, LINUX), and on the different CPU architectures 189 (Intel Core i7, Intel Xeon), and has been reported elsewhere (Besnier & Glover 2013). 190 Like Besnierand Glover (2013), we suggest this non-linearity was not caused by 191 *parallelnh xx*, but instead is a function of how the operating system and processor deals 192 with the distribution and execution of computationally intensive processes (i.e. 193 NEWHYBRIDS) along with (operating system specific) underlying system processes. 194 Further, monitoring of system resources during each trial indicated that performance was 195 not limited by the availability of random access memory (RAM), as additional RAM was 196 always available during each simulation.

197 We acknowledge that functionality analogous to that offered by 198 parallelnewhybrid could be achieved using scripting languages (e.g. bash scrip for Unix-199 like systems, shell script for Windows). However, we chose to use the R programming 200 language because we feel it offers several benefits that can help make the functionality 201 offered by *parallelnewhybrid* accessible to, and utilized by more people. These include 202 an existing population genetic user base which may afford most potential users with 203 greater familiarity and comfort with R than with scripting languages, as well as the 204 infrastructure present within the R community for the archival and distribution of 205 packages.

206 In summary, we have developed an R package that provides a substantial decrease 207 in the time required to validate and conduct hybrid detection by enabling the 208 parallelization of analyses using NEWHYBRIDS. Furthermore, because the time to 209 complete analyses scales with the size of the dataset provided (number of loci and 210 individuals) and because running in parallel was always faster than in series, this package 211 will enable the exploration of hybrid class assignment power and the utilization of larger 212 datasets than previously feasible with NEWHYBRIDS. This should allow researchers 213 conducting hybrid detection to generate more accurate posterior-probability thresholds 214 for identifying individual hybrid categories by examining the accuracy with which

215 NEWHYBRIDS correctly identifies the hybrid class of known individuals in replicated 216 analyses of multiple simulated datasets. Furthermore, constructing and testing multiple 217 simulated datasets is especially important when attempting to eliminate high-grading bias 218 through the use of simulation and training datasets (Anderson 2010), when sample sizes 219 are small and thus gene frequencies more prone to sampling-induced alteration, and when 220 the genetic differentiation between populations is low (Vaha & Primmer 2006). 221 Conversely, *parallelnewhybrid* will also allow for larger datasets, both numbers of 222 individuals and numbers of loci per individual, to be tested than are currently feasible, which may increase the ability for identification of hybridization at fine-scale levels of 223 224 genetic differentiation.

- 225 Acknowledgements
- 226

227 The authors wish to thank Marion Sinclair-Waters and Mallory Van Wynegaarden

for their help bug-checking the code. We also thank Thierry Gosselin for

encouraging us to publish this package. This work was supported by a Natural

230 Sciences and Engineering Research Council Strategic project Grant and Fisheries and

231 Oceans Canada funding (International Governance Strategy; Program for

- 232 Aquaculture Regulatory Research; Genomics research and Development Initiative)
- 233 to I.R.B.
- 234 Author contributions

B.F.W. wrote the manuscript and the package code, and developed the supporting
documentation and example data files hosted on GitHub. R.R.E.S, N.F.W., E.C.A., and
I.R.B. all contributed to the initial concept, development of the code, and associated
documentation, as well as assisting in the writing of the manuscript.

239

240 Data Accessibility

- The package, user manual, README, and example data set are all available online
- 242 from https://github.com/bwringe/parallelnewhybrid

243	References
-----	------------

244	Abbott R, Albach D, Ansell S, et al. (2013) Hybridization and speciation. Journal of
245	<i>Evolutionary Biology</i> 26 , 229-246.
246	Anderson EC (2003) User's guide to the program NewHybrids Version 1.1 beta.
247	Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley,
248	Berkeley, California.
249	Anderson EC (2009) Statistical methods for identifying hybrids and groups. In:
250	Population genetics for animal conservation (eds. Bertorelle G, Bruford MW,
251	Hauff HC, Rizzoli A, Vernesi C), pp. 25-41. Cambridge University Press, New
252	York.
253	Anderson EC (2010) Assessing the power of informative subsets of loci for
254	population assignment: standard methods are upwardly biased. Molecular
255	<i>Ecology Resources</i> 10 , 701-710.
256	Anderson EC, Thompson EA (2002) A model-based method for identifying species
257	hybrids using multilocus genetic data. <i>Genetics</i> 160 , 1217-1229.
258	Barton NH (2013) Does hybridization influence speciation? <i>Journal of Evolutionary</i>
259	<i>Biology</i> 26 , 267-269.
260	Barton NH, Hewitt GM (1985) Analysis of hybrid zones. Annual Review of Ecology
261	<i>and Systematics</i> 16 , 113-148.
262	Benson JF, Patterson BR, Mahoney PJ (2014) A protected area influences genotype-
263	specific survival and the structure of a <i>Canis</i> hybrid zone. <i>Ecology</i> 95 , 254-
264	264.
265	Besnier F, Glover KA (2013) ParallelStructure: A R package to distribute parallel
266	runs of the population genetics program STRUCTURE on multi-core
267	computers. <i>PLoS One</i> 8 .
268	Buerkle CA (2005) Maximum-likelihood estimation of a hybrid index based on
269	molecular markers. <i>Molecular Ecology Notes</i> 5 , 684-687.
270	Fitzpatrick BM, Ryan ME, Johnson JR, Corush J, Carter ET (2015) Hybridization and
271	the species problem in conservation. <i>Current Zoology</i> 61 , 206-216.

272 Goudet I (2005) HIERFSTAT, a package for R to compute and test hierarchical F-273 statistics. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 5, 184-186. 274 Hilbish TJ, Lima FP, Brannock PM, et al. (2012) Change and stasis in marine hybrid 275 zones in response to climate warming. *Journal of Biogeography* **39**, 676-687. 276 Hubisz MI, Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard JK (2009) Inferring weak population 277 structure with the assistance of sample group information. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **9**, 1322-1332. 278 279 Jombart T, Ahmed I (2011) adegenet 1.3-1: new tools for the analysis of genome-280 wide SNP data. *Bioinformatics*. 281 Kidd AG, Bowman J, Lesbarreres D, Schulte-Hostedde AI (2009) Hybridization 282 between escaped domestic and wild American mink (*Neovison vison*). 283 *Molecular Ecology* **18**, 1175-1186. 284 Meirmans PG, Van Tienderen PH (2004) GENOTYPE and GENODIVE: two programs 285 for the analysis of genetic diversity of asexual organisms. *Molecular Ecology* 286 *Notes* **4**, 792-794. 287 Paradis E (2010) pegas: an R package for population genetics with an integrated-288 modular approach. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 419-420. 289 Payseur BA, Rieseberg LH (2016) A genomic perspective on hybridization and 290 speciation. *Molecular Ecology*. 291 R Development Core Team (2015) *R: A language and environment for statistical* 292 *computing* R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 293 RStudio Team (2015) RStudio: Integrated Development for R. RStudio, Inc. 294 Todesco M, Pascual MA, Owens GL, et al. (2016) Hybridization and extinction. 295 *Evolutionary Applications.* 296 Vaha JP, Primmer CR (2006) Efficiency of model-based Bayesian methods for 297 detecting hybrid individuals under different hybridization scenarios and with 298 different numbers of loci. *Molecular Ecology* **15**, 63-72. 299 Warschefsky E, Penmetsa RV, Cook DR, von Wettberg EIB (2014) Back to the wilds: 300 tapping evolutionary adaptations for resilient crops through systematic 301 hybridization with crop wild relatives. American Journal of Botany 101, 302 1791-1800.

- 303 Warwick SI, Simard MJ, Legere A, *et al.* (2003) Hybridization between transgenic
- 304 *Brassica napus* L. and its wild relatives: *Brassica rapa* L., *Raphanus*
- 305 *raphanistrum* L., *Sinapis arvensis* L., and *Erucastrum gallicum* (Willd.) OE
- 306 Schulz. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **107**, 528-539.
- Wilson GA, Rannala B (2003) Bayesian inference of recent migration rates using
 multilocus genotypes. *Genetics* 163, 1177-1191.

. . Author Manusc

309

- 310 Table 1. Comparison of the computational times required to complete the analysis of
- 311 the nine files (three simulated datasets, each run in triplicate) using NEWHYBRIDS in
- 312 series compared to in parallel using *parallelnewhybrid* using different operating systems
- and CPU architectures.

Computer	Time to	Time to	Fold
	complete in	complete in	improvement
	series (min)	parallel (min)	
MacBook Pro ¹	41.60	10.13	4.10
Samsung Windows 10 ²	72.50	22.47	3.21
Dell Precision Tower Ubuntu ³	73.36	9.93	7.62

¹MacBook Pro, OS X 10.11, 2.3 GHz Intel Core i7 with 16 GB RAM

315 ²Samsung, Windows 10, 2.3 GHz Intel Core i7 with 12 GB RAM

- ³Dell Precision Tower 7190, Ubuntu Version 14.04, 2X 2.3 GHz Intel Xeon with 32 GB
 - RAM Adthor Addition

317

- Table 2 Computational time required to analyze 8 simulated datasets each with 1200 individuals genotyped at 240 loci. The analysis was repeated
- 319 using different operating system and CPU architectures. CPU cores refers to the number of cores that the analysis could access

320 simultaneously (i.e. number of parallel executions of NEWHYBRIDS). Fold improvement is calculated relative to the time taken to

321 conduct the analysis using a single core, which itself is analogous to running the analysis in series.

	MacBook Pro ¹		Samsung Windows 10 ²		Dell Precision Tower Ubuntu ³	
CPU Cores	Elapsed	Fold	Elapsed Time	Fold	Elapsed Time	Fold
	Time (min)	Improvement	(min)	Improvement	(min)	Improvement
1	41.61	NA	72.20	NA	73.31	NA
2	20.56	2.02	36.84	1.96	38.12	2.00
3	15.39	2.72	32.32	2.23	30.91	2.47
4	11.90	3.50	30.65	2.35	19.03	4.02
5	12.49	3.33	32.22	2.24	19.30	3.95
6	12.87	3.23	28.56	2.52	18.82	4.05
7	13.75	3.03	29.72	2.43	18.43	4.14
8	10.13	4.10	22.47	3.21	9.95	7.67

¹MacBook Pro, OS X 10.11, 2.3 GHz Intel Core i7 with 16 GB RAM

323 ²Samsung, Windows 10, 2.3 GHz Intel Core i7 with 12 GB RAM

³Dell Precision Tower 7190, Ubuntu Version 14.04, 2X 2.3 GHz Intel Xeon with 32 GB RAM