1	
2	
3	
4	Article type : Articles
5	
6	
7	Predicting life history parameters for all fishes worldwide
8	
9	Running header: Predicting life history for all fishes
10	
11	James T. Thorson <sup>1,*</sup> , Stephan B. Munch <sup>2</sup> , Jason M. Cope <sup>1</sup> , Jin Gao <sup>1,3</sup>
12	
13	<sup>1</sup> Fisheries Resource Assessment and Monitoring Division, Northwest Fisheries Science
14	Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA, Seattle, WA, USA
15	
16	<sup>2</sup> Fish Ecology Division, Southwest Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries
17	Service, NOAA, 110 Schaffer Road, Santa Cruz, CA, USA;
18	
19	<sup>3</sup> School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences, University of Washington, Box 355020, Seattle,
20	WA, USA
21	
22	* Corresponding author
23	James.Thorson@noaa.gov
24	
25	Abstract:
26	Scientists and resource managers need to know life history parameters (e.g., average
27	mortality rate, individual growth rate, maximum length or mass, and timing of maturity) to
28	understand and respond to risks to natural populations and ecosystems. For over one-hundred
29	years, scientists have identified "life history invariants" (LHI) representing pairs of
	This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi: 10.1002/eap.1606</u>

30 parameters whose ratio is theorized to be constant across species. LHI then promise to allow prediction of many parameters from field-measurements of a few important traits. Using LHI 31 in this way, however, neglects any residual patterns in parameters when making predictions. 32 We therefore apply a multivariate model for eight variables (seven parameters and 33 temperature) in all 32,000 marine fishes, and include taxonomic structure for residuals (with 34 35 levels for class, order, family, genus, and species). We illustrate that this approach predicts variables probabilistically for taxa with many or few data. We then use this model to resolve 36 three questions regarding life-history parameters in fishes. Specifically we show that: (1) on 37 38 average there is a 1.24% decrease in the Brody growth coefficient for every 1% increase in maximum size; (2) the ratio of natural mortality rate and growth coefficient is not a LHI but 39 instead varies systematically based on the timing of maturation, where movement along this 40 life-history axis is predictably correlated with species taxonomy; and (3) three variables must 41 be known per species to precisely predict remaining life-history variables. We distribute our 42 predictive model as an R package to allow future life-history predictions for fishes to be 43 conditioned on taxonomy and life-history data for fishes worldwide. This package also 44 45 contains predictions (and predictive intervals) for mortality, maturity, size, and growth parameters for all described fishes. 46

47

Keywords: Life-history theory; natural mortality; individual growth; meta-analysis;
phylogenetic regression; life-history invariant

#### 50 Introduction

51 Biological characteristics (e.g., individual growth rates, age at maturation, maximum body length and mass, and adult rates of natural mortality) greatly differ among different animal 52 53 species. These characteristics (or more precisely, their value averaged among individuals for a species at a given location and time) are sometimes termed "life-history parameters", and 54 these parameters play an important role for understanding and managing populations and 55 ecosystems. In fishes, for example, these life-history parameters are important to determine 56 sustainable harvest rates, estimate harvest rates from changes in average length, and project 57 the likely impact of different management actions (Quinn and Deriso 1999). Unfortunately, 58 some or all of these life-history parameters are unknown or poorly measured for most 59 harvested species. Ideally, these parameters would be estimated at the same time as trends in 60 population abundance and fishery harvest rates, e.g., by using a data-integrated stock 61 62 assessment model to jointly analyze data from surveys and fisheries (Maunder and Punt 2013). However, it is often infeasible (or even impossible) to estimate life-history parameters 63

in a stock assessment model given available data or resources (Magnusson and Hilborn2007).

As one example, the average adult rate of natural mortality is perhaps the most difficult-66 to-estimate life history parameter. Estimating natural mortality using mark-recapture 67 sampling, cohort analysis, multispecies models of predation rates, or data-integrated stock 68 assessment models are each expensive, resource-intensive, and often laden with modeling 69 70 assumptions. As a consequence, fisheries scientists since the 1950s have sought alternative 71 approaches to informing natural mortality for a given species. One common approach is to 72 infer a likely rate of natural mortality from the value of correlated life-history parameters. A recent review identified 29 different methods for estimating natural mortality from limited 73 data, and concluded that many of these methods fail for at least some species because they 74 were derived based on small samples with limited taxonomic breadth (Kenchington 2014). 75 As an alternative, authors have simultaneously estimated relationships among multiple life-76 history parameters, but these studies have generally involved small groups of closely-related 77 78 species rather than fishes in general (Nadon and Ault 2016).

Fortunately, life-history variables (including estimates of life-history parameters from 79 field-measurements as well as environmental conditions, e.g., temperature) have been 80 81 compiled into public databases (e.g., Fishbase; Froese 1990, 2011), that include species within most major fish taxa. In principle, these databases allow us to use phylogenetic 82 information and correlations among life history parameters to obtain better estimates of vital 83 rates for both well-studied and poorly-studied species. Meta-analyses of life history 84 85 parameters in fishes indicate that multiple parameters can be described using a reduced set of ordinates or groups (Winemiller and Rose 1992). Life history theory (e.g., Roff 1984, 86 87 Charnov 1993, Jensen 1996) and more recently the metabolic theory of ecology (Brown et al. 2004) have provided a conceptual framework that explains observed correlations among 88 89 traits. In particular, ratios of particular life-history parameters are often theorized to be nearly constant across widely different taxa (Charnov et al. 1991). A special case of life-history 90 relationships occurs when the units are identical for parameters in the numerator and 91 denominator, such that the ratio is dimensionless. Charnov (1993) and others have defined 92 these as "life-history invariants" (LHI), and claimed that these dimensionless numbers in 93 some cases will be conserved across taxa. In practice, life-history theory, LHI, and observed 94 95 correlations suggest that if we know the adult mass and average environmental temperature 96 of a given species (which are relatively easy to measure in the field), we can use this information to predict other, unknown life-history parameters. Obviously, if local studies are 97

available regarding additional life-history parameters for that given species (e.g., local
measurements of age at maturation), then these local results may also improve estimates of
other parameters for that species.

Many studies have shown that relationships among life-history parameters vary 101 taxonomically, so that relationships are more similar for closely related species than 102 otherwise unrelated species (e.g., Stearns 1983, Crespi and Teo 2002, Thorson et al. 2014b, 103 Nadon and Ault 2016, Dick et al. 2017). Intuitively, this suggests that taxonomic information 104 can also be useful when we predicting life history parameters. One approach to formalize 105 106 this intuition is to apply a multivariate extension of the standard phylogenetic model for genetic evolution (Felsenstein 1985, Grafen 1989). Using this model, we predict that 107 parameters follow a random evolution over time, where correlations among life-history 108 parameters encode "neutral" (i.e., variable) or "conserved" (constant) relationships. Given 109 information regarding the evolutionary lineage of a given taxonomic tree (i.e., time since 110 divergence for every included species), this evolutionary model can inform correlations 111 among two species by the time that has elapsed since their most recent common ancestor. In 112 113 the absence of detailed evolutionary information, this tree can instead by approximated using taxonomic information (e.g., by assuming that two species from the same genera are more 114 115 related than two species in the same family but not the same genera).

In this study, we predict life history variables (temperature and life-history parameters) 116 for all >32,000 fishes worldwide while accounting for similarity in the relationships among 117 life-history parameters for fishes that are taxonomically related, explicitly representing 118 residual error including correlations among parameters, and accounting for missing data. We 119 120 then use results to address the following outstanding questions regarding life-history 121 parameters in fishes: (1) What is the average relationship between the Brody growth coefficient, K, and maximum size,  $L_{\infty}$  (for which different theories have previously been 122 published)? (2) Is the ratio of natural mortality M and the growth coefficient K a LHI, or 123 does it vary systematically (e.g., based on timing of maturity or other life-history 124 parameters)? and (3) How many variables must be known per species to precisely predict 125 126 remaining life-history variables (i.e., how many parameters are "neutral" vs. "conserved")? We also distribute an R package FishLife (https://github.com/James-Thorson/FishLife) 127 128 containing code to re-run this multivariate life-history model as well as results from fitting it 129 to data from FishBase. This R package can also be used to update predictions for individual 130 stocks based on additional, stock-specific studies, and therefore has use for basic and applied

- 131 research on fishes worldwide. It also includes an Rshiny graphical user interface, which can
- be used to visualize predicted life-history parameters for any fish species worldwide.

133 Methods

## 134 Life history theory and invariants

135 There are four main difficulties when predicting life-history parameters for all fishes

- 136 worldwide:
- 1. Measurement errors: Field-measurements of life history parameters are imprecise and 137 often correlated (e.g., a negative correlation in estimates of the Brody growth coefficient 138 139 and asymptotic length). We therefore treat existing records of life-history parameters as imperfect measures of "true" parameters for a given species, where residual errors covary 140 among parameters. Estimating residual covariance is feasible whenever multiple 141 measurements are available for a single species (where we assume a single "true" 142 parameters-value for each species; Thorson et al. (2014a)). This residual covariance is a 143 144 combination of measurement errors (covariance in field measurements) and biological variation within a given species (e.g., variation in parameters among years or 145 146 populations). In the following, we assume that field measurements of life-history parameters are unbiased, although future work could address this assumption by 147 148 estimating average bias relative to a more-trusted data set (see e.g., Thorson et al. 2014a). 2. *Incomplete data*: No single field-study will provide estimates of all important life-history 149 parameters – instead, a study will generally report a subset of parameters that are 150 estimated using data from that study's design. To calculate the probability distribution of 151 available data while accounting for covariation in field measurements (as necessary in a 152 likelihood or Bayesian approach to parameter estimation), we must therefore account for 153 154 "incomplete data", i.e., the values of life-history parameters that are not reported for each individual study. We therefore use standard missing-data methods under the assumption 155 that data are "missing at random" (i.e., that missing values can be imputed from reported 156 values). 157
- *Taxonomic similarity:* Many parameters are more similar for taxonomically related
   species than otherwise unrelated species. Importantly, this similarity is apparent at every
   taxonomic level, e.g., among taxonomic classes (Charnov et al. 1991), families (Beverton
   1992), and species (Thorson et al. 2014b, Dick et al. 2017). We therefore specify that
   parameters vary "hierarchically", e.g., are different for Orders within a Class, Families
   within an Order, Genera within a Family, and Species within a Genus (as recommended

- by Hoenig et al. 2016). Measurements are only available for species, so average
  parameters for higher-level taxa are never directly observed.
- 166 4. *Unknown functional forms*: There is little agreement about the exact functional-form of
- the relationships among parameters. For example, recent studies have argued for (Hordyk
- tet al. 2015) and against (Nadon and Ault 2016) a purported dependence of rate-
- 169 parameters (M/k) and the ratio of length-at-maturity  $(L_{mat})$  and asymptotic maximum
- 170 length  $(L_{\infty})$ . We therefore use a life-history model that can potentially include
- covariation among multiple parameters, rather than only modelling ratios among pairs of
- parameters. Published life-history relationships are often multiplicative (i.e., the product
- of age-at-maturity and natural mortality is approximately constant among species,
- Beverton and Holt (1959)), so taking logarithms often results in a linear (additive)
- relationship among parameters, or at least results in relationships that can be closelyapproximated as being linear.
- 177 We overcome these four difficulties by specifying a multivariate model for trait evolution
- along a taxonomic tree, while using replicated samples for each individual species to
- 179 distinguish trait evolution from residual covariance (e.g., estimation errors when calculating
- 180 parameters from field data).

## 181 Multivariate model for trait-evolution along taxonomic trees

182 Taxonomies are defined such that, for any taxonomic classification, it belongs to exactly one classification for any higher taxonomic level (e.g., every family belongs to exactly one order, 183 etc). Therefore, we can define a set of taxonomic levels (e.g., Class, Order, Family, Genus, 184 and Species), and every taxon (e.g., genus Sebastes) is the "child" of exactly one "parent" 185 taxon (e.g., family *Sebastidae*). It is therefore possible to factor the probability distribution 186 for all life-history variables within a taxonomy by specifying a series of conditional 187 probabilities for variables for a given taxon via the variables of "ancestors" (the "parent" 188 taxon, its "parent", etc) (Felsenstein 1985). In the following, we specify a first-order Markov 189 process for trait evolution (i.e., variables for a "child" taxon depend only upon values for its 190 "parent" taxon). 191

We approximate trait evolution via a multivariate random-walk process. However, in the absence of a high-quality chronology representing time-since-divergence for all fishes, we have approximated trait-evolution by estimating covariation for taxonomic Classes, Orders within Classes, families within Orders, genera within families, and species within genera (i.e., assuming that the time since divergence is approximately equal for all families within any 197 Order, etc.). Specifically, we define the true (unobserved) value  $x_{g,j}$  of variable j (of  $n_j$ 198 modelled variables) and taxon g (of  $n_G$  modelled taxa), where  $\mathbf{x}_g$  is the vector of true 199 variables for that taxon. Variables then depend only upon the value of variables for the 200 parent-taxon:

$$\mathbf{x}_{q} \sim MVN(\mathbf{x}_{p(q)}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{l(q)})$$
(1)

where p(g) is the index of the parent-taxon for child g, and l(g) indicates the taxonomic 201 level of the child-taxon (e.g., l(g) = 1 when the child-taxon is a taxonomic Class, and 202 l(g) = 5 when the child-taxon is a taxonomic species). The evolutionary-covariance,  $\Sigma_{l(g)}$ , 203 may itself vary along the taxonomic tree. For simplicity, we assume that the correlation 204 among variables is identical for all taxonomic levels, but that the variance changes among 205 levels. Specifically, we decompose evolutionary-covariance  $\Sigma_{l(g)}$  into components caused by 206 common factors (sensu "exploratory factor analysis," Lawley 1940) or independent evolution 207 of each variables: 208

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{l(a)} = \lambda_l (\mathbf{L}_{\Sigma} \mathbf{L}_{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{T}} + \mathbf{D})$$
(2)

where  $\mathbf{L}_{\Sigma}$  is a lower-diagonal  $n_J$  by  $n_{\Sigma}$  matrix ( $0 \le n_{\Sigma} \le n_J$ ) approximating covariance 209 among variables (where  $\mathbf{L}_{\Sigma}$  is the Cholesky decomposition of  $\lambda_l^{-1} \mathbf{\Sigma} - \mathbf{D}$  when  $n_{\Sigma} = n_l$ ), **D** is 210 a diagonal matrix with diagonal elements  $\sigma_i^2$  representing independent component of 211 variance in trait-evolution, and  $\lambda_l$  is the relative covariance explained by taxonomic level l 212 relative to the variance explained by taxonomic Order (i.e., we specify that  $\lambda_{l=1} = 1$  to 213 ensure variable identifiability). The assumption that evolutionary-correlation is identical 214 among taxonomic levels is analogous to the assumption in conventional coalescent models 215 (Felsenstein 1973) that the evolutionary covariance does not change over time. Future 216 analyses could explore relaxing this assumption, although it would presumably require a 217 large increase in estimated coefficients (fixed and random effects). 218

219 We then estimate variables by specifying a distribution for augmented data  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_i$  for the *i*th 220 study:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_i \sim MVN(\mathbf{x}_{a(i)}, \mathbf{V}) \tag{3}$$

where **V** is the residual covariance, which also includes factor-model ( $\mathbf{L}_V$  with rank  $n_V$ ) and independent variance components ( $v_j^2$ ). Many studies have missing values (i.e., instances where  $y_{i,j} = NA$ ), and we assume that these are missing-at-random (i.e., available data are representative of missing data). Given this assumption, missing data can be treated as random with a uniform distribution:

$$\tilde{y}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{ij} & \text{if } y_{ij} = NA \\ y_{ij} & \text{if } y_{ij} \neq NA \end{cases}$$
(4a)

where  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  is the missing value for variable *j* or observation *i*, and

$$\varepsilon_{ij} \sim Uniform(lb, ub)$$
 (4b)

where *lb* and *ub* are set far below and above the range of data observed, such that further
decreases/increases in their values has no impact on model results.

We then estimate fixed effects by identifying values that maximize the marginal 229 likelihood function. Fixed effects include evolutionary covariance ( $L_{\Sigma}$  and  $\sigma_i^2$ ), residual 230 covariance ( $\mathbf{L}_V$  and  $v_i^2$ ), and the ratio of evolution covariance among taxonomic levels ( $\lambda_l$ ). 231 We also treat as fixed the average value  $\mu_i$  for each life-history variable j for the common 232 ancestor of the taxonomic tree (Phyllum Chordata). The marginal likelihood is calculated 233 while integrating across the joint probability of data and random effects with respect to 234 random effects. We treat as random the true (latent) value of variables for each taxon  $(\mathbf{x}_g)$  as 235 well as all missing data (see Eq. 3 and 4b for their distribution). We approximate the 236 marginal likelihood using the Laplace approximation (Skaug and Fournier 2006), 237 implemented using Template Model Builder (Kristensen et al. 2016) within the R statistical 238 239 environment (R Core Team 2015). TMB also provides the gradients of the marginal likelihood with respect to fixed effects, and we use these gradients in a conventional 240 nonlinear optimizer to identify maximum likelihood estimates (MLE) for fixed effects. 241 Given the MLE for fixed effects, we then calculate standard errors using a generalization of 242 the delta-method and the matrix of  $2^{nd}$  derivatives of the marginal likelihood function with 243 respect to fixed effects. 244

245 Database

We compile all available records of eight life-history variables (seven life history parameters and temperature,  $n_I = 8$ ): natural mortality rate (*M*), Brody growth coefficient (*K*),

248 asymptotic maximum length  $(L_{\infty})$ , asymptotic maximum mass  $(W_{\infty})$ , length at maturity

- 249  $(L_{mat})$ , age at maturity  $(a_{mat})$ , maximum age  $(a_{max})$ , and average temperature (T). We
- apply the life-history model to data for all eight variables, although we also report results
- 251 from a sensitivity analysis where we analyse only the seven life-history variables (i.e.,
- excluding temperature). Subsets of these variables are often obtained from the same study
- 253 (e.g., a study providing both k and  $L_{\infty}$  for a single population of a given species), and we
- record all measurements from a single study as a vector of data

$$y_i = \{\ln(M), \ln(k), \ln(L_{\infty}), \ln(W_{\infty}), \ln(L_{mat}), \ln(a_{mat}), \ln(a_{max}), T\}$$
(5)

- for the *i*th study, while recording missing variables for each study as NA. We use a log-
- transformation for all variables (except temperature, T) because (1) this transforms all  $y_{i,j}$  to

257 have unbounded support (matching the support of the multivariate normal distribution from

- Eq. 3), and (2) because this results in life-history invariants being expressed as linear
- constraints that can be approximated using linear equations (e.g.,  $\ln(M) \ln(K)$  is
- approximately constant if M/K is a life-history invariant). All variables were downloaded
- from FishBase (Froese 1990) using the package *rfishbase* (Boettiger et al. 2015) on Aug. 25,
- 262 2016, and our database is distributed with R package *FishLife*. In total, we obtained 29,196
- variables (life-history parameters and temperature) from 9,853 studies ( $n_i = 9,853$ ) for 3,551
- unique species of the 33,102 fish species that are listed within FishBase. For each species,
- we also noted the taxonomic Class, Order, and Family for a given Latin binomial (Genus-
- species) name.

### 267 Model exploration

-**---**--

Next, we fitted the evolutionary model for life-history variables to this entire database while 268 varying model complexity in two ways: varying the number of fixed effects used to 269 approximate covariance in trait-evolution  $(n_{\Sigma})$  or residual covariation  $(n_{V})$ . We specifically 270 perform a grid-search across all eight combinations for each configuration ( $n_{\Sigma} = \{1, 2, ..., 8\}$ 271 and  $n_V = \{1, 2, ..., 8\}$ , for a total of 64 models. For each model we confirm that the final 272 gradient of the marginal likelihood with respect to each fixed effects was low (<0.00001), and 273 that the hessian of the negative log-likelihood with respect to fixed effects was positive 274 definite. We then record Empirical Bayes predictions of values  $\mathbf{x}_g$  for each taxon, and use 275 the generalized delta-method to calculate predictive covariance  $Cov(\mathbf{x}_g)$  for these variables. 276 To calculate the variance in life-history variables explained on average by knowing 277 taxonomic information for a fish, we calculate the total covariance among variables as: 278

$$Cov_{total} = \sum_{l=1}^{5} \Sigma_l + \mathbf{V}$$
<sup>(6)</sup>

where the total variance for each individual life-history variable correspond to diagonal elements of  $Cov_{total}$ . The variance explained by perfect knowledge of average life-history variables for the highest  $l^*$  taxonomic levels then corresponds to:

$$Cov_{explained}(l^*) = \sum_{l=1}^{l^*} \Sigma_l$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

such that the proportion of variance explained by perfect information about the highest  $l^*$ taxonomic levels for variable *j* is  $\sum_{l=1}^{l^*} \Sigma_l(j,j) / [\sum_{l=1}^5 \Sigma_l(j,j) + \mathbf{V}(j,j)]$ . Similarly, the residual covariance in predictions given perfect information about the first  $l^*$  taxonomic

levels for a given species  $Cov_{pred}(l^*)$  is expected to be:

$$Cov_{pred}(l^*) = Cov_{total} - Cov_{explained}(l^*) - \mathbf{V} = \sum_{l=l^*+1}^{5} \mathbf{\Sigma}_l$$
(8)

However, in practice we have imperfect information about average life-history variables for higher taxonomic levels, such that  $Cov_{pred}(l^*) > \sum_{l=l^*+1}^5 \Sigma_l$ , where  $Cov_{pred}(l^*) - \sum_{l=l^*+1}^5 \Sigma_l$  measures our imprecision when estimating average values for higher taxonomic levels.

To interpret relationships among different life-history variables, we first predict variables 290  $\mathbf{x}_{pred}$  for a species with an Class, Order, family, genus, and species that is not within the 291 database (where  $\mathbf{x}_0 = \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ), as well as the predicted covariance  $Cov(\mathbf{x}_{pred})$  for these variables 292 (where  $Cov(\mathbf{x}_{pred}) = Cov_{total} - \mathbf{V}$ ). This  $Cov(\mathbf{x}_{pred})$  represents the predicted covariation 293 for life-history variables for a fish about which nothing is otherwise known, and it has rank 294  $n_l$  because it is calculated from  $\Sigma_l$  (which itself has rank  $n_l$  because  $\Sigma_l$  includes a diagonal 295 component **D**). We then calculate the eigen-decomposition of this covariance, and examine 296 the relative magnitude of eigenvalues to determine how many dimensions are necessary to 297 explain the vast majority (99%) of trait-covariance. For eigenvalues that explain a substantial 298 299 proportion of covariance, we then inspect their eigenvectors, where the ratio of two values in a given eigenvector corresponds to the slope of a given axis of covariation. Inferring 300 relationships among variables from their predicted covariation,  $Cov(\mathbf{x}_{pred})$ , is similar in 301 some ways to major axis regression (MAR), and MAR is a useful for estimating relationships 302 among variables Y and Z whenever (1) an analyst seeks a relationship among Y and Z 303 without specifying either variable as "fixed" or "random", and (2) it is unknown whether 304 future users will be predicting Y from Z or Z from Y (Warton et al. 2006). Unlike 305 conventional MAR, however, we use multiple records of each variable for a given species 306 (several  $\mathbf{y}_i$  for the same taxon g) and multiple taxa for the same parent-taxon (several  $\mathbf{x}_g$  for 307 the same  $\mathbf{x}_{p(q)}$  to separately estimate evolutionary and residual covariance ( $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_l$  and  $\mathbf{V}$ ), and 308 our ability to separately estimate these two sources of covariance eliminates one major 309 310 drawback when using conventional MAR (Seim and Sæther 1983, McArdle 1988).

311 **Results** 

#### 312 **Descriptive results**

- We first demonstrate our predictions of all eight variables (seven life-history parameters and temperature) for six species, chosen for illustration purposes from the approximately 33,104 fish species listed in FishBase (Fig. 1-2). Model selection using the Akaike information criterion (AIC) supports using a model where (1) the evolutionary covariance is the sum of five factors ( $n_{\Sigma} = 5$ ) and additional, independent variance for each variable, and (2) the residual covariance is the sum of three factors ( $n_V = 3$ ) and additional variance for each factor. We use this model in the following, but confirm that results are essentially unchanged
- 320 when using other models with similar  $\Delta AIC$  (Table 1).

Model predictions follow a multivariate normal distribution (as implied by the structure 321 of our model), and we visualize this predictive covariance using ellipses to represent a region 322 with a desired coverage (in this case, a 95% predictive ellipse). Predictions at high 323 taxonomic levels (e.g., for all species in class Actinopterygii) have wide confidence ellipses 324 (due to the accumulation of variance when predicting variables based only on high taxonomic 325 levels, see Eq. 7). Similarly, lower taxonomic levels generally have more precise (smaller) 326 predictive ellipses (as expected given Eq. 8). The exception is when predicting variables for 327 a species with no available data. In this case, the prediction is identical to the next-nearest 328 ancestor with available data. For example, any species of genus Sebastes without available 329 data has predictions equivalent to the predictive distribution of Sebastes (results not shown). 330 Similarly, in the unlikely event that an entire family has no available data, predictions for any 331 332 species within that family are identical to the predictions for that taxonomic order. In this way, species without available information are guaranteed to have less-precise predictions of 333 334 life-history variables than species with abundant data.

We interpret the relationship among variables using the eigen-decomposition of the 335 predictive covariance for all fishes (Table 2). This covariance among life-history variables is 336 estimated while controlling for residual covariance, e.g., arising from estimation error when 337 calculating life-history parameters from available field data (Table 3). The dominant 338 eigenvector for trait-covariance is associated almost entirely with temperature (loading: 339 -0.995). The value of this eigenvector for natural mortality indicates that a 1 °C increase in 340 temperature is associated with a 5% increase in natural mortality rate on average. This 341 increase in natural mortality is also positively associated with the growth coefficient, and 342 negatively associated with asymptotic weight and maximum age (among other associations). 343 Given its high loading with temperature, we interpret this axis as representing the effect of 344

temperature (or environmental characteristics associated with temperature, e.g., latitude) onmetabolic rates.

**Question #1:** What is the average association between growth rate and maximum size 347 The second axis when analyzing all eight variables explains approximately 80% of remaining 348 variance (after controlling for the impact of temperature), and has strongest association with 349 asymptotic mass (Table 2). Loadings indicate proportional variation in length-at-maturity 350 and asymptotic length, and a greater-than-cubic increase in asymptotic mass with increasing 351 asymptotic length (i.e., 1% increase in asymptotic length is associated with a 3.25% increase 352 353 in asymptotic mass, or a 3.21% increase for the sensitivity analysis excluding temperature, Table S1). A 10% increase in asymptotic mass is in turn associated with a 2.4% decrease in 354 mortality rate and growth coefficient. Collectively, this axis suggests that body size is the 355 356 dominant axis of variation for life-history parameters in fishes that are subject to the same temperature, and that increased size is negatively associated with mortality and growth rates. 357 Notably, the allometric-scaling of growth coefficient and asymptotic length ( $\frac{-0.258}{0.204} = -1.24$ ) 358 is between the value of -1.0 predicted by Charnov et al. (2013) and -2.0 predicted by Pauly 359 and Binohlan (1996), and this result is robust to excluding temperature (Table S1, showing 360  $\frac{-0.258}{0.217} = -1.19$ ). 361

### 362 Question #2: Is the ratio of natural mortality rate and growth coefficient a LHI?

The first and second axes of variation explain 97% of total variance, and both have had nearly proportional scaling of mortality (M) and growth coefficient (K). In isolation, these two axes therefore suggest that the ratio M/k is constant among fishes. However, species show large variation in the ratio of natural mortality and growth coefficient (Fig. 3), arising predictably along phylogenetic lineages. In particular, family Sebastidae has relatively low M/k, Salmonidae has relatively high M/k, and Scombridae and Lutjanidae fall somewhere in the middle ( $3^{rd}$  panel of Fig. 3).

This variation in M/k is generated largely via the 3<sup>rd</sup> axis of variation (Table 2), which 370 explains 1.6% of total variation. While small, this is nevertheless 60% of the variance 371 remaining after accounting for mass and temperature (or after accounting for mass when 372 excluding temperature, Table. S1). Importantly, this axis is the only component of 373 evolutionary covariance to have substantially different scaling between asymptotic length and 374 375 length-at-maturity, or between natural mortality rates and growth coefficients. Positive movement along this axis represents a decrease in  $L_{mat}/L_{\infty}$ , and we interpret this axis as 376 representing the timing of maturation: optimization of fecundity with respect to age-at-377

- maturity (following Charnov and Berrigan 1991). A decreased  $L_{mat}/L_{\infty}$  is then associated with an increased value of M/k (Fig. 4). Importantly, related taxa (e.g., species within the same taxonomic family) cluster closely together in values of  $L_{mat}/L_{\infty}$  and M/k, suggesting
- that these parameters evolve gradually within lineages. This implies that species within the
- same taxon (e.g., species within the same genus) will be highly informative about the value of
- 383  $L_{mat}/L_{\infty}$  and M/k. For example, Sebastidae species have relatively high values for  $L_{mat}/$
- 384  $L_{\infty}$  (where a value of 1 indicates that growth ceases at maturation), and also have relatively 385 low values for M/k compared to other fishes.
- 386 Question #3: How many parameters must be known to predict remaining parameters?

Inspecting the eigen-decomposition of predictive variance for fishes shows that three axes 387 388 explain 99% of total variance in all eight variables (Table 2). Given results from this eigendecomposition, we infer that we could eliminate 99% of total variance when predicting the 389 390 remaining life-history variables if abundant sampling data allowed us to have near-perfect measurements of temperature, maximum size, and either natural mortality or individual 391 392 growth rates for a given species. As sensitivity analysis, we also re-run the model while excluding temperature (Appendix S1 Table S1). In this case, the first two axes explain 95% 393 394 of total variation, and the eigenvalues and eigenvectors for these axes are strongly similar to the  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  axes of the model involving temperature. 395

Finally, we visualize the proportion of variance explained for each variable using this 396 397 model (Fig. 5) when decomposing total covariance into covariance explained by Class, Order, family, genus and species, as well as residual covariance (where residual covariance is 398 shown in Table 3). This decomposition shows that residual covariance explains 399 approximately 10% of total variation on average across variables. Residual variation is 400 greatest (approximately 20%) for growth coefficients (K) and mortality (M) rates, where 401 402 estimates of both are expected to be most variable given typical field-measurements, and is lowest (approximately 5%) for maximum length and length-at-maturity. Evolutionary-403 covariance is greatest for different family within a given order, and is relatively similar for 404 other taxonomic levels. 405

406 **Discussion** 

407 In this study, we have applied a multivariate model for the evolution of life-history

- 408 parameters to a global database of fish variables including individual growth, size, mortality,
- 409 maturity, and average temperature. We have then used this model to resolve three ongoing
- 410 questions regarding fish life history, as discussed in detail below. We also distribute our

results as an R package (with a graphical user interface) that can be used to predict lifehistory parameters for any of the nearly 33,000 described fish species. These predictions are
informed using data in FishBase as well as taxonomic similarity to other fish species with
many or few data.

Predictions from our model indicate that variation among all eight variables can be 415 described using three main dimensions, representing temperature ( $^{\circ}C$ ), size (maximum body 416 mass,  $W_{\infty}$ ), and the timing of maturation (covariation among  $\frac{M}{k}$  and  $\frac{L_{mat}}{L_{max}}$ ). The first 417 dimension conforms to the metabolic theory of ecology (Brown et al. 2004), which predicts 418 that temperature controls metabolic rates underlying individual growth and activity levels 419 among taxa worldwide. Similarly, the second dimension conforms to the size-spectrum 420 theory of marine communities (Andersen et al. 2009), which predicts that growth and 421 422 mortality are defined by asymptotic mass. However, we also find that a third dimension (the biological trade-offs between growth, maturity, and longevity) explains an important 423 424 component of variation (60% of variance after controlling for temperature and maximum size). By identifying a third dimension of covariation, our results contrast with previous 425 empirical studies of fish life history, which predict growth, mortality, and maturity from a 426 species' asymptotic mass (e.g., Eq. 3 and 5c of Charnov et al. 2013). Interestingly, our three 427 dimensions correspond to the three predictors for natural mortality estimated by Pauly 428 (1980), although this latter study did not include maturity as either predictor or response 429 variable. 430

We conclude that three dimensions are sufficient to capture 99% of covariation among 431 432 our eight variables, and a natural question is whether this number of dimensions is consistent with existing life-history theory. We therefore compile a list of previously published 433 relationships among these eight life-history variables for fishes that are based entirely upon 434 theoretical optimization of fitness rather than empirical observations (Appendix S2). This 435 table shows that reported relationships can often be derived from one-another. For example, 436 437 Jensen (1996) attributes three LHI to Beverton and Holt (Eq. TB1-4, TB1-5, and TB1-6 in Appendix S2). However, the third LHI (TB1-6) can be derived from the others given that 438 growth follows a von Bertalanffy growth curve (TB1-1). We therefore identify a minimal set 439 of life history relationships that can be used to derive or approximate all other reported 440 relationships (Table 4). We refer to this as a "minimal and sufficient" set of relationships, 441 because it involves the minimal number of equations (i.e., eliminates equations that can be 442 443 derived from others) but still is sufficient to contain (or approximate) the other reported

relationships. These six relationships represent von Bertalanffy growth (T4-1); allometric 444 scaling of weight-at-length (T4-2), maximizing fecundity with respect to age at maturity (T4-445 3) and the Brody growth coefficient (T4-4), the definition of maximum age (T4-5), and the 446 metabolic scaling with temperature (T4-6). We also hypothesize which variables in these six 447 equations are likely to be conserved or varying among fish species. By examining the 448 number of free parameters that can be used to calculate all eight variables in this study (see 449 Table 4 caption), we predict that all eight life-history variables can be well-described via 3-4 450 dimensions. This prediction is generally consistent with our empirical conclusion that all 451 452 variables can be well predicted given perfect knowledge of three variables for each species. Across all fishes, we also conclude that asymptotic length  $\ln(L_{\infty})$  increases 24% faster 453 than any decrease in growth coefficient  $\ln(K)$  among species, i.e.,  $\ln(K) \propto -1.24 \ln(L_{\infty})$  as 454 indicated by the 2<sup>nd</sup> axis of variation. This estimate arises after using replicated sampling for 455 each individual species to estimate the residual covariance for these two parameters arising 456 from estimation errors: as expected, this residual covariance is negative between  $\ln(L_{\infty})$  and 457  $\ln(K)$  (see Table 3). Following Charnov and Berrigan (1991), we interpret this covariance 458 between  $\ln(L_{\infty})$  and  $\ln(K)$  as species optimizing their fecundity with respect to growth rates, 459 460 with resulting impacts on asymptotic length and mass. Our predicted coefficient (using a variant of major axis regression) is closer to the relationship  $\ln(k) \propto -\ln(L_{\infty})$  predicted by 461 Charnov et al. (2013) than the relationship  $\ln(k) \propto -2 \ln(L_{\infty})$  predicted by Pauly and 462 Binohlan (1996). The product of growth coefficient and asymptotic mass is the anabolic rate 463 for juveniles (Mangel 2006, Charnov et al. 2013), so our results suggests that large-bodied 464 species on average have a higher juvenile anabolic rate (i.e., are able to access greater 465 densities of available food as juveniles). 466

Lastly, we conclude that the ratio of natural mortality rate and the Brody growth 467 coefficient  $\left(\frac{M}{\kappa}\right)$  is not itself conserved across species (i.e., not a "life history invariant" as 468 claimed by Jensen (1996 Eq. 8)). Instead species with slow growth relative to mortality 469 (relatively high  $\frac{M}{r}$ ) also have lower maturation length relative to maximum length (relatively 470 low  $\frac{L_{mat}}{L_{\infty}}$ ), such that the ratio of age-at-maturity  $(a_{mat})$  and maximum age  $(a_{max})$  is 471 approximately conserved among species. This result explains large differences in  $\frac{M}{\kappa}$  among 472 taxonomic groups, e.g., where *Sebastes* species have very low  $\frac{M}{K}$  and high  $\frac{L_{mat}}{L_{res}}$  relative to 473 other taxa (Thorson et al. 2014b). This association between  $\frac{M}{K}$  and  $\frac{L_{mat}}{L_{res}}$  is not a new 474

discovery (Holt 1958, Beverton 1992, Hordyk et al. 2015). In fact, it is easy to justify

theoretically given the assumption that lifetime reproductive output per recruit is proportional

to survival-to-maturity and mass at maturity (we follow the presentation from Mangel (2006

478 Chap. 2)):

$$F(a_{mat}) = \exp\left(-Ma_{mat}\right) \times \alpha L_{mat}^{\beta}$$

479 where  $\alpha$  is the average mass per standardized volume and  $\beta$  represents ontogenic changes in 480 body shape. Optimizing fitness  $F(a_{mat})$  with respect to  $a_{mat}$  yields:

$$a_{mat} = \frac{1}{k} \log\left(\frac{M + \beta K}{M}\right)$$

481 where substituting  $L_{mat}$  for  $a_{mat}$  and re-arranging then yields:

$$\frac{L_{mat}}{L_{\infty}} = \left(\frac{\beta K}{M + \beta K}\right)$$

This derivation therefore suggests that  $\frac{L_{mat}}{L_{\infty}}$  and  $\frac{M}{k}$  should have a nonlinear association. 482 Despite this theoretical evidence, however, authors have periodically suggested that  $\frac{M}{k}$  is 483 constant among species (e.g., Jensen 1996). Our study also estimates taxonomic variation 484 around this relationship, e.g., where salmonids have higher  $\frac{L_{mat}}{L_{max}}$  than predicted from their  $\frac{M}{k}$ 485 (i.e., are closer to determinate growth than otherwise predicted), whereas Scombrids are the 486 opposite (less determinate growth than otherwise predicted). We hope that this combination 487 of theoretical and empirical evidence will put to rest the notion that  $\frac{M}{k}$  is a life-history 488 invariant, and will encourage future research to explain residual patterns around life-history 489 relationships among taxa. 490

Finally, we note several shortcomings of our current study, which could potentially be 491 explored in future research. Most importantly, previous evolutionary studies have often used 492 rates of molecular evolution rather than taxonomic information to account for correlations 493 among species (Felsenstein 1973, 1985). Using the "coalescent model" for molecular 494 evolution, an analyst can model an evolutionary tree as being shaped by standard population-495 genetic forces. For this reason, authors have argued that the coalescent model provides a 496 coherent statistical basis for analyzing species lineages. However, the coalescent model may 497 498 be difficult to apply in practice because it involves several unknown parameters including effective population size, recombination rate, and selection history (i.e., selective and random 499 mutation rates). In particular, a large evolutionary tree (like we analyses here) may include 500 branches with large differences in evolutionary rates, and these differences may lead to 501

difficulties in reconstructing the species tree, or in accurately approximating correlations 502 among species based only on time since divergence (Takahashi et al. 2001, Rosenberg and 503 Nordborg 2002). Therefore, we have instead used a taxonomic tree to approximate the 504 evolutionary time since divergence for all pairs of species. Our current approach is similar to 505 previous fisheries meta-analyses that have used nested random effects to explain variation in 506 species parameters at different taxonomic levels (Foss-Grant et al. 2016), and we recommend 507 future research conducting a detailed comparison of the two methods. We also note that 508 future research could explore different evolutionary-covariance occurring at different 509 510 taxonomic levels, where different covariance could be interpreted as representing different evolutionary constraints operating at short (species-level) or long (family- or class-level) 511 evolutionary scales. This exploration would not be possible using the conventional 512 coalescent model, so we see this potential for future research as one advantage to our current 513 approach. 514

Secondly, we have not explicitly included many variables that might be expected to 515 control opportunities for growth or reproduction in fishes. For example, species parameters 516 like maximum size are associated with depth and latitude (Cailliet et al. 2001, Gertseva et al. 517 518 2010). These patterns may be driven to some extent by temperature (Pauly 2010), and our model therefore indirectly accounts for depth and latitude via our inclusion of temperature 519 measurements. However, other factors controlling opportunities for growth include predation 520 521 and food availability (Winemiller 1989). We have not included any variable to directly measure differences in food availability or predation, and identifying such a variable (e.g., 522 using regional variation in primary production) could perhaps improve life-history 523 predictions in fishes. Likewise, human-induced changes in life history parameters could alter 524 the relationship among traits (Conover and Munch 2002), so including a variable representing 525 526 exploitation history may similarly improve predictions in some fishes. While a large portion of variability is captured in the three main dimensions presented here, further precision and 527 ecological insight could be gaining by including additional, ecologically relevant variables in 528 the life-history analysis. 529

Thirdly, we have used data from FishBase without attempting to discriminate between high and low-quality studies (e.g., between estimates of natural mortality arising from highquality tag-recapture vs. lower-quality catch curves for lightly impacted populations). Previous work suggests that FishBase records are on average an unbiased measure of high quality for individual species (Thorson et al. 2014a). We have also assimilated records that

include a large proportion of missing values (i.e., 63% of all values are missing) and this high 535 proportion of missing records may impact our ability to precisely estimate the number of 536 dimensions for representing trait-covariation. To account for this high proportion of missing 537 data, we used a "rank-reduction" technique to estimate covariation among variables (Zuur et 538 al. 2003, Warton et al. 2015), and this allowed us to select a parsimonious number of 539 parameters for both evolutionary and residual covariation. However, it is difficult to assess 540 the potential impact of missing data on estimating the dimensionality of covariation, and we 541 recommend future statistical exploration regarding this topic. 542

543 We distribute an R package *FishLife* containing code to replicate or update our analysis, and packaging results when fitted to FishBase data. This R package includes predictions for 544 all marine fishes, where species without any available data are predicted using information 545 from all related taxa. The package also includes a function to update predictions of life-546 history parameters for a given species based on user-supplied data. In this way, it can be 547 used to generate species-specific predictions of life-history parameter that combine both 548 global and local data. Many previous studies have developed relationships for predicting 549 550 these same variables, e.g., where life-history data are combined with assumed functional relationships among variables to estimate a small set of model parameters, and these 551 552 relationships and model parameters are then used to predict life-history variables for unobserved species (Pauly and Binohlan 1996, Froese and Binohlan 2000, Hordyk et al. 553 554 2015, Nadon and Ault 2016). By contrast, our approach uses both model parameters (fixed effects) as well as patterns in residuals among taxa (random effects) when predicting life-555 556 history variables for any species, and therefore bridges between analyses of all fish species (Pauly and Binohlan 1996, Charnov et al. 2013) and analyses restricted to specific taxa 557 558 (Thorson et al. 2014b, Nadon and Ault 2016). We believe that our mixed-effect approach (and R package *FishLife*) has several benefits relative to previous regression-approaches 559 560 including: (1) it predicts life-history variables probabilistically for species while using information about related taxa to inform predictions; (2) it predicts uncertainty for species 561 based on the quantity of data that are available, so that species with many field-measurements 562 of life-history variables have predictions that are more precise than species with only a few 563 564 field measurements; (3) it uses the full set of life-history variables available for a given species to predict all unknown variables, e.g., rather than calculating natural mortality from a 565 single variable (i.e., maximum age) and ignoring all others (e.g., individual growth rates). 566

567 Acknowledgements

- 568 We thank R. Froese for the tremendous effort in compiling records for all fishes globally into
- 569 FishBase, and D. Pauly for decades of research that has highlighted the utility of FishBase of
- 570 fish life-history analysis. We also thank P. Neubauer for preliminary discussions regarding
- 571 phylogenetic regression, and O. Hamel, J. Hastie, M. Mangel, and one anonymous reviewer
- 572 for comments on an earlier draft.

# 573 **Bibliography**

- Andersen, K. H., K. D. Farnsworth, M. Pedersen, H. Gislason, and J. E. Beyer. 2009. How
   community ecology links natural mortality, growth, and production of fish
- populations. ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil 66:1978–1984.
- 577 Beverton, R., and S. Holt. 1959. A review of the lifespans and mortality rates of fish in
- 578 nature, and their relation to growth and other physiological characteristics. Pages
- 579 142–177 in G. E. W. Wolstenholme and M. O'Conner, editors. Ciba Foundation
- 580 Symposium-The Lifespan of Animals (Colloquia on Ageing). J. and A. Churchil Ltd.,581 London.
- Beverton, R. J. H. 1992. Patterns of reproductive strategy parameters in some marine teleost
  fishes. Journal of Fish Biology 41:137–160.
- Boettiger, C., S. Chamberlain, D. T. Lang, and P. Wainwright. 2015. rfishbase: R Interface to
  "FishBase."
- Brown, J. H., J. F. Gillooly, A. P. Allen, V. M. Savage, and G. B. West. 2004. Toward a
  metabolic theory of ecology. Ecology 85:1771–1789.
- Cailliet, G. M., A. H. Andrews, E. J. Burton, D. L. Watters, D. E. Kline, and L. A. FerryGraham. 2001. Age determination and validation studies of marine fishes: do deepdwellers live longer? Experimental Gerontology 36:739–764.
- 591 Charnov, E. L. 1993. Life history invariants: some explorations of symmetry in evolutionary
  592 ecology. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Charnov, E. L., D. Berrigan, and R. J. H. Bevertron. 1991. Dimensionless numbers and the
  assembly rules for life histories [and discussion]. Philosophical Transactions of the
  Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 332:41–48.
- 596 Charnov, E. L., H. Gislason, and J. G. Pope. 2013. Evolutionary assembly rules for fish life
  597 histories. Fish and Fisheries 14:213–224.
- Conover, D. O., and S. B. Munch. 2002. Sustaining fisheries yields over evolutionary time
   scales. Science 297:94–96.

- Crespi, B. J., and R. Teo. 2002. Comparative phylogenetic analysis of the evolution of
  semelparity and life history in salmonid fishes. Evolution 56:1008–1020.
- Dick, E. J., S. Beyer, M. Mangel, and S. Ralston. 2017. A meta-analysis of fecundity in
   rockfishes (genus Sebastes). Fisheries Research 187:73–85.
- Felsenstein, J. 1973. Maximum-likelihood estimation of evolutionary trees from continuous
  characters. American Journal of Human Genetics 25:471.
- Felsenstein, J. 1985. Phylogenies and the comparative method. American Naturalist:1–15.
- 607 Foss-Grant, A. P., E. F. Zipkin, J. T. Thorson, O. P. Jensen, and W. F. Fagan. 2016.
- Hierarchical analysis of taxonomic variation in intraspecific competition across fish
  species. Ecology 97:1724–1734.
- Froese, R. 1990. FishBase: An information system to support fisheries and aquaculture
  research. ICLARM Fishbyte 8:21–24.
- Froese, R. 2011. The science in FishBase. Pages 47–54 in V. Christensen and J. Maclean,
- editors. Ecosystem approaches to fisheries: a global perspective. First edition.
  Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Froese, R., and C. Binohlan. 2000. Empirical relationships to estimate asymptotic length,
  length at first maturity and length at maximum yield per recruit in fishes, with a
  simple method to evaluate length frequency data. Journal of Fish Biology 56:758–
  773.
- Gertseva, V. V., J. M. Cope, and S. E. Matson. 2010. Growth variability in the splitnose
  rockfish Sebastes diploproa of the northeast Pacific Ocean: pattern revisited. Marine
  Ecology Progress Series 413:125–136.
- Grafen, A. 1989. The Phylogenetic Regression. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal
  Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences 326:119–157.
- Hoenig, J. M., A. Y.-H. Then, E. A. Babcock, N. G. Hall, D. A. Hewitt, and S. A. Hesp.
  2016. The logic of comparative life history studies for estimating key parameters,
  with a focus on natural mortality rate. ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du
  Conseil 73:2453–2467.
- Holt, S. J. 1958. The evaluation of fisheries resources by the dynamic analysis of stocks, and
  notes on the time factors involved. ICNAF Special Publication 1:77–95.
- Hordyk, A., K. Ono, K. Sainsbury, N. Loneragan, and J. Prince. 2015. Some explorations of
  the life history ratios to describe length composition, spawning-per-recruit, and the
  spawning potential ratio. ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil
  72:204–216.
  - This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

- Jensen, A. L. 1996. Beverton and Holt life history invariants result from optimal trade-off of
  reproduction and survival. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences
  53:820–822.
- Kenchington, T. J. 2014. Natural mortality estimators for information-limited fisheries. Fish
  and Fisheries 15:533–562.
- Kristensen, K., A. Nielsen, C. W. Berg, H. Skaug, and B. M. Bell. 2016. TMB: Automatic
  Differentiation and Laplace Approximation. Journal of Statistical Software 70:1–21.
- Lawley, D. N. 1940. VI.—The Estimation of Factor Loadings by the Method of Maximum
  Likelihood. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh 60:64–82.
- Magnusson, A., and R. Hilborn. 2007. What makes fisheries data informative? Fish and
  Fisheries 8:337–358.
- Mangel, M. 2006. The theoretical biologist's toolbox: quantitative methods for ecology and
  evolutionary biology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Maunder, M. N., and A. E. Punt. 2013. A review of integrated analysis in fisheries stock
  assessment. Fisheries Research 142:61–74.
- McArdle, B. H. 1988. The structural relationship: regression in biology. Canadian Journal of
   Zoology 66:2329–2339.
- Nadon, M. O., and J. S. Ault. 2016. A stepwise stochastic simulation approach to estimate
  life history parameters for data-poor fisheries. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and
  Aquatic Sciences 73:1–11.
- Pauly, D. 1980. On the interrelationships between natural mortality, growth parameters, and
  mean environmental temperature in 175 fish stocks. Journal du Conseil international
  pour l'Exploration de la Mer 39:175–192.
- Pauly, D. 2010. Gasping fish and panting squids: oxygen, temperature and the growth of
   water-breathing animals. International Ecology Institute, Oldendorf/Luhe, Germany.
- Pauly, D., and C. Binohlan. 1996. FishBase and AUXIM as tools for comparing life-patterns,
  growth and natural mortality of fish: applications to snappers and groupers. Page 449
  et al., Biology, Fisheries and Culture of Tropical Groupers and Snappers. ICLARM
  Conf. Proc.
- 663 Quinn, T. J., and R. B. Deriso. 1999. Quantitative Fish Dynamics. First edition. Oxford
- 664 University Press, Oxford, UK.
- R Core Team. 2015. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R
  Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

- Roff, D. A. 1984. The evolution of life history parameters in teleosts. Canadian Journal of
  Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 41:989–1000.
- Rosenberg, N. A., and M. Nordborg. 2002. Genealogical trees, coalescent theory and the
  analysis of genetic polymorphisms. Nature Reviews Genetics 3:380–390.
- Seim, E., and B.-E. Sæther. 1983. On rethinking allometry: which regression model to use?
  Journal of Theoretical Biology 104:161–168.
- Skaug, H., and D. Fournier. 2006. Automatic approximation of the marginal likelihood in
  non-Gaussian hierarchical models. Computational Statistics & Data Analysis 51:699–
  709.
- Stearns, S. C. 1983. The Influence of Size and Phylogeny on Patterns of Covariation among
  Life-History Traits in the Mammals. Oikos 41:173–187.
- Takahashi, K., Y. Terai, M. Nishida, and N. Okada. 2001. Phylogenetic Relationships and
  Ancient Incomplete Lineage Sorting Among Cichlid Fishes in Lake Tanganyika as
  Revealed by Analysis of the Insertion of Retroposons. Molecular Biology and
  Evolution 18:2057–2066.
- Thorson, J. T., J. M. Cope, and W. S. Patrick. 2014a. Assessing the quality of life history
   information in publicly available databases. Ecological Applications 24:217–226.
- Thorson, J. T., I. G. Taylor, I. Stewart, and A. E. Punt. 2014b. Rigorous meta-analysis of life
  history correlations by simultaneously analyzing multiple population dynamics
  models. Ecological Applications 24:315–326.
- Warton, D. I., F. G. Blanchet, R. B. O'Hara, O. Ovaskainen, S. Taskinen, S. C. Walker, and
  F. K. C. Hui. 2015. So Many Variables: Joint Modeling in Community Ecology.
  Trends in Ecology & Evolution 30:766–779.
- Warton, D. I., I. J. Wright, D. S. Falster, and M. Westoby. 2006. Bivariate line-fitting
   methods for allometry. Biological Reviews 81:259–291.
- Winemiller, K. O. 1989. Patterns of variation in life history among South American fishes in
   seasonal environments. Oecologia 81:225–241.
- Winemiller, K. O., and K. A. Rose. 1992. Patterns of life-history diversification in North
  American fishes: implications for population regulation. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.
  49:2196–2218.
- Zuur, A. F., I. D. Tuck, and N. Bailey. 2003. Dynamic factor analysis to estimate common
  trends in fisheries time series. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences
  60:542–552.
- 700



Table 1 – Model selection results for the multivariate model of fish life-history variables.

Number of factors for covariance	Number of factors for observation	AAIC	
among taxa	covariance	ΔAIC	
	3	0.0	
5	4	0.4	
4	4	3.8	
6	3	6.0	
6	4	6.4	
5	5	7.1	
4	5	9.3	

712

713

Table 2 – Summary of predictive covariance among all eight life history variables. Predictive
covariance is decomposed into eight orthogonal components, where the first component
explains the maximum variance, the second explains the maximum variance after accounting
for the 1<sup>st</sup> component, etc. The first three components explain 99% of total variance, and we
list the predicted variance for each (1<sup>st</sup> row), proportion of total variance (2<sup>nd</sup> row),
cumulative proportion of variance (3<sup>rd</sup> row), and association of that component with different
life-history variables (which we term "loadings"). The ratio of two loadings represents the

- average relationship between two variables along the axis of variation represented by that
- 722 eigenvector.

	Ei	genvectors	
	#1	#2	#3
Variance decomposition			
Eigenvalue	52.348	10.09	1.051
Proportion of variance	0.816	0.157	0.016
Cumulative proportion of variance	0.816	0.973	0.990
Loadings			
$\ln(L_{\infty})$	-0.019	0.258	0.120
$\ln(K)$	0.043	-0.204	0.360
$\ln(W_{\infty})$	-0.039	0.838	0.393
$\ln(a_{max})$	-0.039	0.200	-0.523
$\ln(a_{mat})$	-0.042	0.207	-0.481
$\ln(M)$	0.050	-0.205	0.437
$\ln(L_{mat})$	-0.022	0.241	0.048
Temperature	0.995	0.079	-0.060

723

Author N

Table 3 – Measurement covariance (lower-triangle, including diagonal) and resulting correlation (upper triangle, indicated by bold-font) for replicated measurements of each life-history variable for a given species (e.g., the 2<sup>nd</sup> row and 1<sup>st</sup> column shows the negative measurement covariance between  $\ln(K)$  and  $\ln(L_{\infty})$ ).

	$\ln(L_{\infty})$	$\ln(K)$	$\ln(W_{\infty})$	$\ln(a_{max})$	$\ln(a_{mat})$	$\ln(M)$	$\ln(L_{mat})$	Temperature
$\ln(L_{\infty})$	0.063	-0.585	0.964	0.205	0.545	-0.299	0.598	-0.035
$\ln(K)$	-0.082	0.311	-0.562	-0.354	-0.399	0.584	-0.168	0.087
$\ln(W_{\infty})$	0.189	-0.244	0.609	0.185	0.514	-0.280	0.566	-0.029
$\ln(a_{max})$	0.018	-0.07	0.051	0.127	0.448	-0.564	0.234	-0.154
$\ln(a_{mat})$	0.043	-0.069	0.127	0.050	0.100	-0.389	0.663	-0.140
$\ln(M)$	-0.037	0.158	-0.106	-0.097	-0.060	0.236	0.041	0.161
$\ln(L_{mat})$	0.034	-0.021	0.099	0.019	0.047	0.005	0.050	-0.081
Temperature	-0.025	0.137	-0.064	-0.154	-0.124	0.220	-0.051	7.912

727

728 729

\_\_\_\_

Table 4 – Minimal (i.e., non-redundant) and sufficient (i.e., not missing any relations) set of
theoretical life-history relationships among the eight life history variables

732 { $M, K, a_{mat}, L_{mat}, L_{\infty}, W_{\infty}, a_{max}, T$ } analyzed in this study. These relationships involve six

exogenous parameters, { $\delta$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , E, A, C}, and if these parameters are constant for all fishes,

we expect that all eight variables are constrained within a subspace with 2 degrees of freedom

735 (= 8 variables – 6 relations). We hypothesize that:  $\alpha$  (average mass per standardized

volume) does not vary systematically among fishes;  $\beta$  (ontogenic changes in body shape)

737 will vary systematically among fishes;  $\delta$  (allometric scaling of pre-mature survival to length-

at-maturity) does not vary systematically; A (anabolic rate) does not vary systematically; E

(activation energy from metabolic theory) does not vary systematically; and *C* (average

mortality rate when  $T \gg E$ ) may or may not vary systematically. We therefore hypothesize

that the value for all eight variables for each fish can be described via 3-4 coordinates,

742  $\{R, T, \beta\}$  or  $\{R, T, \beta, C\}$ , depending upon whether C is constant or varies among fishes.

Eq. Relationship	Theoretical justification	Notes
T4-1 L <sub>mat</sub>	Individual energy	-
$= L_{\infty} \exp\left(-Ka_{mat}\right)$	gain/loss	
T4-2 $\log(W_{\infty})$	Spatial scaling of	$\alpha$ is average tissue mass per
$= \log(\alpha) + \beta \log(L_{\infty})$	individual body size	volume; $\beta$ is ontogenic
		changes in body shape
T4-3 $\delta \frac{K}{K} = \frac{R}{K}$	Maximizing individual	Eq. T1-1 and T1-3 imply:
M = 1 - R	fecundity w.r.t. a <sub>mat</sub>	$\frac{L_{mat}}{\delta} = \frac{\delta}{\delta}$
Where $R = \frac{D_{mat}}{L_{\infty}}$		$L_{\infty} \qquad \delta + M/K$
0		and:
		$a_{mat} = \frac{1}{K} \log\left(\frac{\delta K + M}{M}\right)$
T4-4 $L_{\infty} = A \times K^{-h}$	Maximizing individual	A is anabolic rate
Where $h = \frac{R-1}{R} \log (1 - 1)$	fecundity w.r.t. K	
R)		
T4-5 $q_{\rm max} = \frac{\ln(0.01)}{\ln(0.01)}$	True by definition of	-
M M	$a_{max}$ as the age to	
	which 1% of post-	
	larval individuals	
	survive	

	T4-6 $M = C \times \exp\left(-\frac{E}{T}\right)$	Metabolic scaling of biological rates and temperature	<i>E</i> is activation energy (~0.6- 0.7 eV) divided by Boltzmann's constant $(8.617 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV/}^{\circ}\text{K})$				
	bt		<i>C</i> is proportionality constant between <i>M</i> and metabolic energy				
743							
744		6					
745	Fig. 1 – Predictive distribution	for eight life-nistory variable	es of three species of genus				
746	Thunnus ( <i>T. alalunga</i> , <i>T. thynnus</i> , and <i>T. albacares</i> ), as well as the predictive distribution for						
747	genus Thunnus and its ancestra	il taxa (family Scombridae, o	order Perciformes, and class				
748	Actinopterygii). Panels show t	ne 95% predictive distributi	on for all life-history variables in				
749	our database: individual growi	n (x-axis) and natural mortal	ny rate (y-axis; top-tett right);				
750	asymptotic maximum weight (	x-axis) and asymptotic maxi	mum length (y-axis; top-right				
751	panel); maximum age (x-axis) and age at maturity (y-axis; bottom-left panel); and length at						
752	maturity (x-axis) and average temperature for the species' spatial distribution (y-axis;						
755	bottom-right panel).						
754	Fig. 2 – Predictive distribution for eight life-history variables of three species of genus $f_{1}$						
755	Sebusies (S. aiaias, S. pinniger	, and 5. <i>crumert</i> ), see Fig. 2	caption for details				
756	Fig. 3 – Marginal predictive distribution for ratio of natural mortality rate and the Brody						
757	growth coefficient (derived from the predictive covariance for $\ln(M)$ and $\ln(K)$ ) for each						
758	taxon in the life history database, highlighting results for four taxonomic families						
759	(Sebastidae, Salmonidae, Scombridae, Lutjanidae) that are frequently fished.						
760	Fig. 4 – Predictive distribution (showing the bivariate 95% predictive interval) for $M/K$ (x-						
761	axis) vs. $L_{mat}/L_{max}$ (y-axis) for each taxon in the life history database (see Fig. 5 caption for						
762	details), where the bottom panel shows the predicted relationship from Holt (1958), see Table						
763	1, Eq. T1.3.						
764	Fig. 5 – Proportion of variance	explained by Class, Order,	family, genus, species, and				
765	residual covariance calculated	using Eq. 6-7 (Class is darke	est grey; residual is lightest grey;				
766	and other levels are ordered ac	cording to the preceding list	). Variables differ in the				
767	magnitude of residual covarian	ce, but the proportion explai	ned by taxonomic levels is				

- otherwise proportional among variables. "Comb." refers to the sum of variance for all
- variables, and thus represents variance-explained on average among variables.

**JNUSC** ut









