```
    MR. KOSTANTINOS A. STAMOULIS (Orcid ID : 0000-0003-2688-4695)
    Article type : Articles
    Title: Seascape Models Reveal Places to Focus Coastal Fisheries Management
```

Running head: Focusing coastal fisheries management

Authors and affiliation: Kostantinos A Stamoulis^{1,2} *, Jade MS Delevaux², Ivor D Williams³, Matthew Poti^{4,5}, Joey Lecky^{2,3,6}, Bryan Costa⁴, Matthew S Kendall⁴, Simon J Pittman^{4,7}, Mary K Donovan², Lisa M Wedding⁸, Alan M Friedlander^{2,9}

¹Curtin University, Kent St, Bentley WA 6102, Australia.

²University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, 2500 Campus Rd, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA.

³NOAA Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, 1845 Wasp Blvd. Building 176, Honolulu, HI 96818, USA.

⁴NOAA National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science, 1305 East West HighwayN-SCI-1, SSMC 4, 9th Floor #9232, Silver Spring, MD 20910, USA.

⁵CSS Inc., 10301 Democracy Lane, Suite 300, Fairfax, Virginia 22030, USA.

⁶Joint Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research, University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, 1000 Pope Road, Marine Sciences Building 312, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA.

⁷Marine Conservation and Policy Research Group, Marine Institute, Plymouth University, Drake Circus, Plymouth PL4 8AA, UK.

⁸Center for Ocean Solutions, Stanford University, 473 Via Ortega, Room 193, Stanford, CA 94305, USA. This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has

not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may

lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi</u>:

10.1002/eap.1696

⁹National Geographic Society, 1145 17th Street NW Washington, D.C. 20090, USA.
*Corresponding author: Kostantinos A. Stamoulis, E-mail: <u>kostanti@hawaii.edu</u>, PO BOX 61064, Honolulu, HI 96839.

anusc Ž uth

Abstract

To design effective marine reserves and support fisheries, more information on fishing patterns and impacts for targeted species is needed, as well as better understanding of their key habitats. However, fishing impacts vary geographically and are difficult to disentangle from other factors that influence targeted fish distributions. We developed a set of fishing effort and habitat layers at high resolution and employed machine learning techniques to create regional-scale seascape models and predictive maps of biomass and body length of targeted reef fishes for the main Hawaiian Islands. Spatial patterns of fishing effort were shown to be highly variable and seascape models indicated a low threshold beyond which targeted fish assemblages were severely impacted. Topographic complexity, exposure, depth, and wave power were identified as key habitat variables which influenced targeted fish distributions and defined productive habitats for reef fisheries. High targeted reef fish biomass and body length were found in areas not easily accessed by humans, while model predictions when fishing effort was set to zero showed these high values to be more widely dispersed among suitable habitats. By comparing current targeted fish distributions with those predicted when fishing effort was removed, areas with high recovery potential on each island were revealed, with average biomass recovery of 517% and mean body length increases of 59% on Oahu, the most heavily fished island. Spatial protection of these areas would aid recovery of nearshore coral reef fisheries.

Key words

Coral reefs, essential habitat, fishing effort, fisheries replenishment, Hawaii, LiDAR, marine reserve design, marine protected areas, predictive modeling, recovery potential, spatial planning, species distribution modeling

8 1. Introduction

9 Coastal marine ecosystems are in decline worldwide due to multiple interacting stressors 10 operating from global to local scales (Lotze et al. 2006, Norström et al. 2016). Fishing is one of 11 the most direct of these stressors and removes fish biomass, distorts trophic and size structure, 12 and alters community composition resulting in the loss of ecological functions and ecosystem 13 services (Jackson et al. 2001). These demonstrated impacts point to a need for better

14 management of fisheries worldwide, including the implementation of additional marine reserves 15 to recover fish biomass and restore key ecosystem functions (Edgar et al. 2014). Numerous 16 studies have documented the benefits of spatial protection on exploited fish assemblages, such as 17 increased biodiversity and resilience (Mellin et al. 2016), resistance to invasive species 18 (Giakoumi and Pey 2017), and fisheries enhancement (Weigel et al. 2014). Increases in biomass 19 and size of targeted fish species in marine reserves have been particularly well documented 20 (Lester et al. 2009). Current research includes a focus on maximizing reserve benefits by 21 incorporating connectivity, the demographic linking of local populations through dispersal of 22 individuals as larvae, juveniles or adults, and habitat quality as explicit considerations in marine 23 reserve design (Almany et al. 2009, Green et al. 2015). As larval export from marine reserves has 24 been shown to replenish stocks in fished areas (Harrison et al. 2012), reserves that support 25 healthy spawning populations which act as larval sources may be key for fisheries recovery. 26 Thus, identifying areas with habitats that have the potential to support reproductive populations 27 of targeted fishes is critical to the design of effective place-based fisheries restoration strategies.

28 Rarely, however, do studies of coastal marine ecosystems integrate local context and 29 stressors in estimates of recovery potential. Specifically, fishing patterns must be considered to 30 inform effective placement of marine reserves intended to enhance fisheries. Fishing effects vary 31 geographically and are difficult to disentangle from other factors that influence targeted fish 32 distributions, creating a spatially complex challenge for understanding patterns of fishing 33 impacts on these assemblages (Taylor et al. 2015, Nash and Graham 2016). Spatial ecological 34 modeling techniques, where predicted variable distributions are mapped across geographical 35 space, have proven useful to examine spatial trends and fill gaps in coverage of empirical datasets (Guisan and Zimmermann 2000, Guisan and Thuiller 2005, Elith and Leathwick 2009). 36 37 Models can be calibrated using ecological field survey data to establish relationships between 38 fish species and/or assemblage characteristics and remotely sensed habitat and environmental 39 variables. These calibrated models, referred to here as seascape models, can then be used to 40 make spatial predictions of fish, or fishery indicators, across the area of interest (Pittman and 41 Knudby 2014). However, these approaches have yet to incorporate spatially explicit estimates of 42 fishing pressure and are rarely applied to prioritize areas to inform fisheries replenishment 43 strategies in coastal marine ecosystems.

44 Seascape variables such as seafloor topography, benthic cover, and wave exposure, at 45 varying spatial scales, have been shown to be important predictors of fish assemblages 46 (Friedlander et al. 2003, Bouchet et al. 2015, Galaiduk et al. 2017). Impacts from fishing have 47 been inferred by comparing fish populations in populated versus remote areas (eg. Friedlander et 48 al. 2017) and by using proxies such as local human population density and distance to markets (Williams et al. 2008, Cinner et al. 2013). Fishing effects have also been investigated through 49 50 studies of fish populations along gradients of protection ranging from gear restrictions (Campbell 51 et al. 2017), to rotational closures (Cohen and Foale 2013), to marine protected areas (MPAs) including full no-take marine reserves (Sciberras et al. 2013). Due to increased availability and 52 53 coverage of empirical and remotely sensed datasets, there is opportunity to build on these studies 54 by using seascape models to better understand fish habitat relationships in the context of fishing 55 impacts and make realistic and spatially explicit estimates of recovery potential.

56 We address these knowledge and capacity gaps by developing a set of fishing effort map 57 layers at high resolution and employing machine-learning techniques to create regional-scale 58 seascape models and predictive maps of targeted reef fish biomass and body length for the Main 59 Hawaiian Islands (MHI). We use a large and geographically comprehensive database of reef fish 60 surveys and of predictor variables that includes measures of two-dimensional and three-61 dimensional spatial patterning of the seafloor and the distribution of wave energy. Study objectives were to 1) quantify and map fishing effort and habitat patterns around the MHI, 2) 62 63 identify and characterize key habitat variables which promote high targeted fish biomass and body length, 3) model and quantify the recovery potential of targeted fish assemblages in the 64 65 absence of fishing pressure (in terms of mean biomass and body length), and 4) identify areas 66 with the highest recovery potential to prioritize for management actions.

67

68 2. Methods

المر

69 **2.1 Study area**

The Hawaiian Islands are located near the center of the Pacific Ocean and are the most isolated archipelago in the world. The MHI consist of high volcanic mountain peaks, with steep topographic relief to the coastline and fringing reefs accreting on the submerged slopes. There are eight islands that comprise the MHI, six of which were evaluated in this study (from north to

south): Kaua'i, Ni'ihau, O'ahu, Moloka'i, Maui, and Hawai'i islands (Fig. 1). The islands of
Lāna'i and Kaho'olawe were not included due to the lack of high-resolution bathymetry data.

77 2.2 Reef fish survey data

78 A spatially comprehensive dataset of reef fish surveys of the MHI conducted between 79 2010 and 2016 was contributed by the Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center's Coral Reef 80 Ecosystem Program (Coral Reef Ecosystem Program; Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center 81 2016). Fish surveys utilized a paired stationary point count (SPC) protocol and were conducted 82 on hard bottom habitat, stratified by reef zone and depth (McCoy et al. 2017). A total of 1,184 83 independent survey locations across the MHI were used for modeling (Fig. 1). Spatial 84 predictions were generated on a 60x60 m resolution grid to account for the dimensions of the 85 survey method and the positional uncertainty of the global positioning systems used to navigate to survey locations. 86

87 Targeted species of the MHI nearshore fishery were defined as coral reef fishes having \geq 88 450 kg of annual recreational or commercial harvest for the past 10 years (2000-2010), or 89 otherwise recognized as important for recreational, subsistence, or cultural fishing 90 (http://dlnr.hawaii.gov/dar/fishing/hmrfs/). Biomass was estimated using the allometric lengthweight conversion: $W = aTL^{b}$, where parameters a and b are species-specific fitting parameters, 91 92 TL is total length (cm), and W is weight (g). Parameters were obtained from a comprehensive 93 assessment of Hawai'i length-weight fitting parameters (M. Donovan, unpublished data) and 94 FishBase (Froese and Pauly 2017). Cryptic and soft-bottom species were excluded due to low 95 sampling effectiveness. Planktivores were excluded due to patchy distributions and weak 96 benthic-habitat relationships, as were pelagic species (Table S1). Targeted species biomass was 97 calculated as the sum biomass of modeled species at each survey location. Targeted species body 98 length was calculated as the average recorded body length of modeled species at each survey location. 99

100

101 2.3 Predictor data

102 2.3.1 Fishing Effort

103 We modeled and mapped spatial patterns of fishing effort based on non-commercial island-scale

104 effort estimates (McCoy 2015), following the methods of Lecky (2016). This previous work

105 mapped spatial patterns of catch, though did not incorporate measures of human population in 106 the distribution of shore-based fishing estimates. We did not consider nearshore commercial 107 fishing because it only represents a small fraction of total estimated effort and data quality is 108 questionable (McCoy 2015). Furthermore, commercial fishing data are recorded for large 109 reporting blocks that would obscure fine-scale spatial patterns of fishing effort. Shore and boat 110 fishing were modeled separately by major gear type (line, net, and spear), which were assumed 111 to have different spatial footprints. Despite different magnitudes, patterns of total shore effort 112 hours between gear types were very similar among islands (Appendix S1: Fig. S1) and both 113 shore and boat fishing effort layers were highly correlated among gear types (> 0.8 Pearson r). 114 Because spear fishing had the largest spatial footprint, highest catch per unit effort, and targets 115 the greatest variety of species, it was used as a proxy of total effort for both shore and boat fishing, respectively. 116

117 We used average annual fishing effort (hrs/yr) for reef fish by island from ten years of 118 recreational fishery data (2004-2013) compiled by McCoy (2015) and distributed these values 119 into the nearshore marine area based on weighting factors related to accessibility to fishers. 120 Fishing effort showed a declining trend over time for all islands with the exception of Lāna'i (McCoy 2015), so estimated values were conservative. Marine managed areas where fishing is 121 122 prohibited were set to zero. For shore fishing, proximity and type of roads along with shoreline 123 steepness were used as proxies for accessibility, and values were weighted by human population 124 within 30 km. To model spear fishing, a logistic decay function was used so effort decreased 125 with depth to a maximum distance of 2 km from shore. The parameters of the function were set 126 based on discussions with fishing experts in Hawai'i and assume the vast majority of 127 spearfishing effort is shallower than 20 m and there is no effort beyond 40 m (Lecky 2016). For 128 boat fishing, accessibility measures were based on distance to boat launch/harbor weighted by 129 human population within 30 km. There was no recreational fishery data available for Ni'ihau 130 (pop. 170) and while subsistence fishing does occur, shore fishing effort was assumed to be zero. 131 To ground-truth the fishing effort maps, estimated shore-based spear fishing effort values were 132 compared to total shore fishing effort values from 12 independent fishing (creel) survey locations 133 across the MHI. Total shore fishing effort values were obtained from Delaney et al. (2017) and 134 compared to derived spear fishing effort maps based on the sum of pixel values within polygons

matching the description of each survey area. Empirical boat based fishing effort estimates werenot available for comparison.

137

138 2.3.2 Habitat variables

A set of 62 gridded environmental datasets at 60x60 m resolution was generated for each island as potential predictor variables used to model reef fish assemblage metrics (Stamoulis et al. 2016). Selection of predictors was based on an extensive literature review and input from experts in Hawaiian reef ecology (Delevaux 2017). There were four types of predictor variables: seafloor topography, benthic habitat composition, geographic, and wave energy.

144 Seafloor topography variables were included to account for variation in reef fish 145 distributions due to direct and indirect effects of depth and seafloor structure. A gridded 146 synthesis of multibeam sonar and Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) bathymetry at 5 m 147 resolution was used as the depth variable and to derive the suite of seafloor topography metrics. 148 For example, the morphometric, slope-of-the-slope (a second derivative of bathymetry), 149 measures the maximum rate of change in slope between cells within the specified analytical 150 neighborhood. Slope-of-the-slope is a measure of surface topographic complexity, sometimes 151 called terrain roughness, and has been shown to be positively correlated with finer scale *in situ* 152 measures of rugosity such as chain-tape measurements (Pittman et al. 2009, Pittman and Brown 153 2011). The modeled area was limited by gaps in the LiDAR bathymetry data. For this reason, the 154 islands of Lana'i and Kaho'olawe were not modeled, as well as much of the nearshore area 155 around Ni'ihau, and large portions of the north-east and south-east coasts of Hawai'i island. 156 Benthic habitat composition variables from existing habitat maps (Battista et al. 2007) 157 were included to account for variation in reef fish assemblages arising from the direct and 158 indirect effects of the spatial configuration of benthic habitats. Geographic variables were used to 159 account for variation in reef fish assemblages arising from spatial location. Wave energy 160 variables were included to account for variation in reef fish assemblages arising from the direct

161 and indirect effects of ocean wave dynamics.

A pairwise correlation analysis was performed on the full set of predictors for the whole study area (MHI). Highly correlated predictors (Spearman $|\rho| > 0.7$) were identified, and those highly correlated with two or more other predictors were removed. In cases where only two predictors were highly correlated, those with greater ecological importance (based on expert

166 opinion and scientific literature) were retained. After the correlation analysis, 25 out of 62

167 seascape predictors were retained for model development (Table 1, Table S2).

168

169 2.4 Seascape models

170 Boosted regression trees (BRT) were used to estimate relationships between targeted fish 171 assemblage metrics (biomass and length) and the predictor datasets (De'ath 2007, Elith et al. 172 2008). These modeled relationships were then used to create spatial predictions of targeted fish 173 biomass and body length. Each metric was modeled independently at the archipelago scale. To 174 make predictions with fishing pressure removed, fishing predictors were set to zero. Statistical 175 models and spatial predictions were generated in R (R Core Team 2014) using the dismo 176 (Hijmans et al. 2014) and raster (Hijmans 2014) packages. BRT is effective at modeling 177 nonlinearities, discontinuities (threshold effects), and interactions between variables (Breiman 178 1996, 2001, De'ath and Fabricius 2000).

179 BRT can accommodate many types of response variables. Since the targeted fish 180 assemblage metrics were continuous variables, they were modeled using a Gaussian (normal) 181 distribution, and appropriate data transformations were applied to improve normality. Targeted 182 fish biomass was fourth root transformed and targeted fish body length was square root 183 transformed. Prior to model fitting, reef fish survey data were randomly divided into model 184 training (70%) and test (30%) subsets. The test data set was withheld from model fitting and 185 used only to evaluate predictive performance (map accuracy). Although boosting makes BRT 186 models less prone to overfitting (Friedman 2002, Elith et al. 2008), predictive performance was 187 evaluated using the test data to measure how well the model generalized to new data.

188 Model fitting and selection was accomplished following the procedures detailed in Elith 189 et. al. (2008). To increase parsimony, selected models were then simplified to remove less 190 informative predictor variables. This was accomplished by dropping the least contributing 191 predictor, re-fitting the model, and computing the change in predictive deviance relative to the 192 initial model (Elith et al. 2008). The predictive deviance indicates the amount of variation in the 193 response variable not explained by the model. This process was repeated and the predictive 194 deviance was plotted over the full range of predictors. The final number of predictors was 195 selected at the inflection point in the predictive deviance curve, where change in predictive

deviance increased relative to previous values. In general, this resulted in removal of predictors
which explained < 5% of the variation in the response variable.

198 Bootstrapping was used to create spatially explicit predictions and calculate prediction 199 precision. The model training dataset was repeatedly sampled with replacement to create 20 200 bootstrap samples. Using the optimal parameter value combination and simplified set of 201 predictor variables, a BRT model was fit to each bootstrap sample and used to make predictions 202 to a spatially explicit gridded map using the values of the predictor variables at each grid cell. 203 This resulted in a total of 20 spatial prediction grids that were used to calculate the mean and 204 coefficient of variation (CV) in each grid cell (Leathwick et al. 2006), where low CV values 205 indicate high precision. Prediction means and CVs were plotted against each other to visualize 206 the relationship between the magnitude and precision of predictions. The mean of the 207 bootstrapped predictions was used for interpretation and further analysis.

Model performance was evaluated using the cross-validation percent deviance explained 208 209 (PDE) and test PDE. The cross-validation PDE is the 10-fold cross-validation estimate of the 210 percent deviance explained for the best model (as described above). Similarly, the test PDE was 211 determined by calculating the percent deviance explained by the model when evaluated using the 212 model test dataset. Both metrics indicate overall model fit, but the test PDE also provides a 213 measure of model performance when predicting data that were independent of model fitting. To 214 better understand the relationship between measured and predicted values, average measured 215 values by island were compared with predicted values under current fishing levels and with 216 fishing pressure removed. Finally, the relative importance of predictor variables for each model 217 was evaluated and partial dependence plots were generated for each predictor variable to 218 interpret their individual effects on the response variables (De'ath 2007, Elith et al. 2008).

219

220 **2.5 Recovery potential in the absence of fishing**

Final BRT models were used to generate gridded predictions of targeted fish biomass and body length across the MHI study area (60x60 m resolution) under current fishing levels and with fishing pressure removed. Values from these layers were used to create distribution curves of predicted biomass and body length under each fishing scenario for each island. Differences were assessed visually and tested using a two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Overall change in spatial patterns of high predicted targeted fish biomass and body length were assessed using

maps of predicted values. Finally, means and percent change between predicted values across all
modeled habitats for present conditions and with fishing effort set to zero were calculated by
island to estimate recovery potential at the island scale.

230

231 **2.6 Spatial prioritization for management**

232 To identify places that could be prioritized for management actions which would support 233 fisheries restoration, we evaluated spatial differences between predictions of targeted fish 234 biomass and body length under current fishing levels and predictions with fishing effort set to 235 zero. We assumed that areas with the greatest differences have the highest potential for fisheries 236 replenishment if fishing pressure were limited or removed through management actions. To 237 identify areas where predictions differed significantly between models, we applied the 238 methodology of Januchowski et al. (2010) using the SigDiff function in the R package 239 SDMTools (VanDerWal et al. 2014). We computed the significance of the pairwise differences 240 (for each grid cell) for the response variables (biomass and length) relative to the mean and 241 variance of all differences for each island. The resulting probability values represent the area 242 under the curve of a Gaussian distribution defined by the mean and variance across all cells for 243 that island. The spatial grids representing the individual significance values were reclassified to 244 indicate cells where predictions with fishing pressure removed were significantly higher than 245 present predictions (p < 0.10). Mean absolute difference and mean percent change relative to 246 modeled values under current fishing pressure were calculated for cells showing significant 247 increase by island. Finally, the spatial grids representing regions of significant increase for 248 biomass and body length were combined into a single map for interpretation.

249

250 **3. Results**

251 **3.1 Fishing and habitat patterns**

Modeled shore spearing effort values under-estimated total shore effort from creel surveys by a factor of two. However, there was a strong positive relationship ($r^2=0.7$) across all sites indicating that this measure of shore spearing effort provides a reliable proxy for overall shore fishing effort (Fig. 2). The highest intensity of shore fishing effort was estimated to be near the highly populated areas of Hawai'i Island near Hilo and Kona, followed by the south and southeast shores of O'ahu and near the populated areas around Kahalui and Kihei on Maui (Fig.

3A). Boat fishing effort for reef fish was generally much lower than shore fishing effort (Fig.
3B). The highest values for boat fishing effort were found offshore of south O'ahu. Moderate
boat fishing effort was found along the southwest shore of Kaua'i, south Moloka'i, northwest
Maui, and near Kona and Hilo on Hawai'i Island (Fig. 3B).

262 While a more complete description of marine habitat patterns in the MHI is outside the 263 scope of this study, here we focus on several key variables shown to be important determinants 264 of targeted fish biomass and body length. Seafloor topographic complexity (slope of slope) was highly variable within islands. Generally, areas with low values (indicating flat bottom) 265 266 encompassed the greatest area, while high values (indicating complex structure) were few and 267 widely dispersed. Islands with more shallow water habitat such as O'ahu tended to have more 268 flat bottom. Sine aspect represents the E/W exposure of benthic habitats. The highest values were located on east-facing shores and the lowest values on west-facing shores. Eastern exposures are 269 270 most exposed to the predominate trade winds and associated short-period swells. Wave power 271 was highest on the north shores of all islands, with the exception of Hawai'i Island, and generally 272 decreased among islands from north to south. Depth increased with distance from shore on all 273 islands, and the largest shallow water areas were found on O'ahu and the south shore of Moloka'i. 274

275

276 3.2 Seascape models

277 The final BRT model for targeted fish biomass had nine predictors. Cross-validation PDE 278 was 37.5%, and test PDE was 35.3%. The final BRT model for targeted fish body length had 279 nine predictors, a cross-validation PDE of 21.2%, and a test PDE of 21.4%. Based on these 280 metrics, the biomass model fit better than the length model, and had higher predictive accuracy. 281 Plots of prediction means vs CVs showed that higher predicted values generally had higher precision (CV < 0.5 - Appendix S1: Fig. S2). When predictions for biomass and length under 282 283 present fishing levels were compared to fish survey data at the island level, BRT predictions 284 tended to underestimate means of field measured values within one standard deviation 285 (Appendix S1: Fig. S3). This effect increased with the magnitude of the measured values. 286 However, the BRT predicted values well represented the relative differences between islands 287 (Appendix S1: Fig. S3).

Biomass was largely driven by shore fishing, while length responded primarily to boat fishing (Fig. 4). In terms of habitat, biomass was primarily driven by topographic complexity (slope of slope) and length was most associated with exposure (sine aspect). Depth and wave power were other key habitat variables that influenced both targeted fish biomass and body length (Fig. 4). These habitat variables all had positive relationships with the assemblage indicators, whereas the fishing variables had negative relationships (Fig. 4).

294

. .

3.3 Effects of fishing and habitat on fish biomass and body length

296 Targeted fish biomass and body length had similar negative relationships with fishing 297 predictors. Both declined rapidly from 0-2 hrs/ha/yr of shore (spear) fishing effort and 0-0.2 298 hrs/ha/yr of boat (spear) fishing effort, then were relatively flat across a wide range of increasing 299 effort values (Fig. 5, Appendix S1: Fig. S5). Areas of shore fishing effort < 2 hrs/ha/yr include 300 the less populated islands, Ni'ihau, Moloka'i, and Lāna'i, as well as remote and difficult to 301 access areas of the more populated islands such as west Kaua'i, east Maui, and south Hawai'i 302 Island (Fig. 3A). Areas of low boat fishing effort (< 0.2 hrs/ha/yr) included Ni'ihau, northwest 303 Kaua'i, north O'ahu, north Moloka'i, west Lāna'i, and north and south Hawai'i (Fig. 3B). 304 Slope of slope, a measure of topographic complexity, was the most important habitat predictor for targeted reef fish biomass, which increased rapidly at the low end of the scale $(0-8^{\circ})$ 305 306 (Appendix S1: Fig. S4). Seafloor depth had a strong positive relationship with targeted fish 307 biomass, which increased with depth before leveling off at around 17 m. Maximum slope in a 308 240 m radius was also positively related to biomass with a steep increase from 0-10°. Sine aspect 309 (exposure) was positively related to biomass, which increased linearly from -1 (west facing) to 1 310 (east facing), and wave power, responding more at higher levels (> 10,000 kW/hr, Appendix S1: 311 Fig. S4). Predictor relationships for fish body length were similar to those for biomass (Appendix 312 S1: Fig. S5). However, there was a stronger relationship with wave power and a weaker 313 relationship with slope of slope. In addition, the relationship of average body length and sine 314 aspect was not linear and length increased with depth up to 25 m before leveling off. Targeted 315 fish body length was also positively related to maximum slope of slope within a 240 m radius, 316 peaking between 25-40°; and slope, increasing from 0-5° (Appendix S1: Fig. S5). Thus, in the 317 absence of fishing pressure, targeted fish biomass and body length were generally predicted to

reach their highest values in habitats with slope of slope > 8° , eastern exposures (sine aspect > 319 0), wave power > 10,000 kW/hr, and at depths > 17 m.

320

321 **3.3 Recovery potential in the absence of fishing**

322 All islands except for Ni'ihau (which was assumed to have negligible fishing pressure) 323 showed a significant increase in predicted biomass when the influence of fishing was removed 324 (Fig. 6). Biomass predictions for these islands under present fishing levels had distributions that 325 were right skewed, indicating primarily low biomass levels. When fishing effort was set to zero, 326 these distributions flattened out, shifted right, and became more symmetrical, indicating overall 327 increases in mean biomass (Fig. 6). Targeted fish biomass was highest in areas less accessible to 328 humans such as the north shores of most islands and the east shore of Maui. However, when 329 fishing pressure was removed biomass increased across all suitable habitats with the highest 330 increases in deeper areas with high topographic complexity (Appendix S1: Figs S6-S7). O'ahu 331 (the most populated island, with highest overall fishing effort) showed the largest predicted 332 increase in biomass, followed by Kaua'i, Moloka'i, Maui, Hawai'i, and then Ni'ihau (Table 2).

333 All islands except for Ni'ihau showed a significant increase in predicted fish body length 334 when the influence of fishing was removed (Fig. 7). Under current fishing levels, the shapes of predicted fish length distributions varied by island. When fishing effort was set to zero, predicted 335 336 length distributions maintained their general shape and shifted to the right indicating an increase 337 in average body length (Fig. 7). Similar to biomass, larger fishes were located in areas that are 338 less accessible to humans such as north shores of most islands and the east shore of Maui 339 (Appendix S1: Figs S8-S9). When fishing effort was set to zero, fish length increased in all areas 340 with the highest increases along eastern exposures and areas with high wave power. As with 341 biomass, O'ahu showed the largest relative increase in fish body length when fishing pressure 342 was removed; the other islands showed smaller increases (Table 3).

343

344 **3.4 Spatial prioritization for management**

345 These analyses identified areas with the highest recovery potential (i.e., areas with high quality 346 habitat and currently under high fishing pressure), which would be expected to respond 347 positively to management of fishing effort. Because current fishing effort was assumed to be 348 zero in existing marine reserves, these areas were not selected. Areas with highest recovery

349 potential for targeted reef fish biomass tended to be patchy, while areas with highest projected 350 recovery for body length were more continuous. Locations with high recovery potential for 351 targeted fish biomass and body length were usually found in the same areas, though often with 352 little direct spatial overlap (Fig. 8). In general, these areas were located on the east-facing shores 353 of Kaua'i and O'ahu, the southeast shore of Moloka'i, and the west shore of west Maui (Fig. 8). 354 In addition, the prioritization analysis identified areas on the north shore of Kaua'i, around 355 Mokapu point and the southeast shore of O'ahu, Ma'ili point on west O'ahu, 356 northwest Moloka'i, Ma'alaea bay on Maui, west Hawai'i Island just north of Makole'a point, 357 and east Hawai'i Island around Cape Kumukahi and north of Kaloli point (Fig. 8). The largest 358 relative change in biomass for regions of significant increase were on O'ahu and Kaua'i, while 359 largest relative increases in body length for these areas were on O'ahu, with smaller levels of 360 increase on Kaua'i, Moloka'i, and Maui (Table 4). Ni'ihau showed minimal change in biomass 361 and body length.

362

363 **4. Discussion**

364 Inferred fishing effort patterns were highly variable around the MHI and seascape models 365 indicated a low threshold beyond which targeted fish assemblages were severely impacted. 366 Sparsely populated islands Ni'ihau and Moloka'i had fishing effort below this threshold, as did 367 large, and often remote, areas on the other islands. Seascape models also identified seafloor 368 topographic complexity, exposure, wave power, and depth as the key variables that influenced 369 the distribution of high targeted fish biomass and body length and characterized productive 370 habitats. Fish biomass was most sensitive to shore and boat-based fishing, as well as topographic 371 complexity, whereas average body length responded primarily to boat fishing and was strongly 372 influenced by exposure. While the highest targeted reef fish biomass and body lengths were 373 mostly restricted to areas not easily accessed by humans; when fishing effort was set to zero, 374 high values of biomass and body length were widely distributed among suitable habitats. By 375 comparing modelled current targeted fish distributions with those predicted when fishing 376 pressure was removed, areas with high recovery potential were revealed, with significant 377 increases in average biomass and length across the MHI (Table 4).

378

379 **4.1 Fishing effort**

380 An integral component of this research was the application of spatially continuous fishing 381 effort layers developed for the MHI. We chose to use fishing effort rather than catch because 382 derived catch estimates incorporate catch per unit effort (CPUE) which varies in relation to a 383 number of factors including fish abundance (Maunder et al. 2006). Because fish biomass is also 384 related to fish abundance, fish catch - unlike fishing effort - would not be independent of our 385 response variable. Our derived fishing effort layers represent the most spatially comprehensive, 386 high resolution, and broad-scale products yet created to quantify spatial patterns of coral reef 387 fishery effort. However, a number of simplifying assumptions were made in order to develop 388 these layers, primarily that fishers are more likely to frequent accessible areas, more likely to fish 389 close to home, and that numbers of fishers are proportional to total population (Stewart et al. 390 2010). For these reasons, our fishing effort maps may possibly capture additional impacts related 391 to accessibility and proximity to humans, such as land based source pollution. Though our 392 fishing layers were strong predictors of fishery indicators and have been corroborated with fine 393 scale effort data from creel surveys, there is considerable scope to improve them further. Future 394 studies should focus on testing large-scale drivers of fishing effort based on local-scale empirical 395 data.

Our results show the greatest impacts on targeted reef fishes within the first 10% of modeled ranges for shore and boat fishing effort. This is supported by previous research indicating that the greatest impacts from fishing occur at low fishing levels (Jennings and Polunin 1996, Jennings and Kaiser 1998). There are few examples in the literature showing this relationship for coral reef fisheries; it has important implications for management as it highlights the importance of no-take MPAs and suggests that fishing effort in rotational closures should be carefully managed.

- 403
- 404 **4.2 Fish response variables**

Biomass and size of targeted fish species have often been used to evaluate the effects of fishing pressure (Nicholson and Jennings 2004, Dulvy et al. 2004). The theoretical basis is that larger fishes are generally more targeted; the accumulated effects of fishing mortality reduce the number of older, and therefore larger, fishes in a population; and that large-bodied species are more vulnerable to fishing due to slow population turnover (Jennings et al. 1998, 1999). Both biomass and fish length are thought to represent the relative abundance of large and small

411 individuals (Shin et al. 2005). However, our results suggest they are not interchangeable and 412 instead capture different aspects of the fished assemblage. Biomass was more sensitive to fishing 413 compared to mean length. While higher average length always reflects greater relative 414 abundance of large individuals, high biomass can also result from high abundance of small 415 individuals. This was supported by the fact that slope of slope (60 m) was the top habitat 416 predictor for biomass, while maximum slope of slope in a 240 m radius explained more 417 variability in average length. Larger individuals and species generally have a broader 418 geographical range of movements and thus respond to broader-scale measures of seascape 419 structure (Pittman et al. 2007, Wedding et al. 2008, Kendall et al. 2011). It is important to 420 consider both fished assemblage biomass and size distribution because large individuals 421 represent high-value species and high reproductive capacity (Birkeland and Dayton 2005). 422 Our response variables were derived from pooling all targeted coral reef fish species (49) 423 for which we had adequate data. These species represent a range of trophic guilds, life history 424 traits, and vulnerability to fishing (Table S1). The majority were herbivores (23), followed by 425 mobile invertivores (15), piscivores (9), and detritivores (2). Maximum species sizes ranged 426 from 19 cm to over two meters and known life-spans from 4 to 50 years. This level of variability 427 is common in coral reef fisheries and managers are in need of simple, yet meaningful metrics to 428 guide management actions (Nash and Graham 2016). While species differences in terms of life-429 span and age at maturity will influence timelines of recovery, habitats characteristics which 430 support targeted fish assemblages can be identified and used to select priority areas for 431 management (Pittman and Knudby 2014). In addition to their practicality, a significant advantage 432 of using assemblage level metrics for spatial modeling is the low prevalence of null values which 433 improves model performance (Wisz et al. 2008). Furthermore, using a large number of species 434 provides more stable spatial solutions when conducting multi-species prioritization (Kujala et al. 435 2017).

436

437 4.3 Habitat and waves

Of the 27 seascape predictors selected for model development, slope of slope, sine aspect,
wave power, and depth were selected as final predictors and showed positive relationships for
both targeted fish biomass and body length. Topographically complex habitats offer more
potential niches and provide refuges from predation (Hixon and Beets 1989, Almany 2004).

Slope of slope is a measure of topographic complexity, which along with related LiDAR derived metrics, has been shown to be highly correlated with in-situ rugosity (Wedding et al. 2008, Stamoulis and Friedlander 2013) and a strong positive spatial predictor for the distribution of fish biomass (Wedding and Friedlander 2008, Pittman et al. 2009). Depth has also been shown to be a key predictor of fish distributions with higher fish biomass generally associated with greater depths (Friedlander and Parrish 1998a, Pittman and Brown 2011), suggesting that deeper waters may represent a refuge from fishing (Lindfield et al. 2014).

449 Both targeted fish biomass and body length showed strong positive relationships with 450 sine aspect. Sine aspect measures east/west exposure with the highest values facing east towards 451 the prevailing trade-winds. Windward reefs may be more productive due to nutrient inputs from 452 increased terrestrial runoff (Ringuet and Mackenzie 2005, Giambelluca et al. 2012), and 453 predominantly rough seas along eastern shores likely limit boat and shore fishing activity. In 454 contrast, wave power is highest along north and northwest facing shores due winter storms in the 455 north Pacific (Fletcher et al. 2008). The positive relationships between wave energy and targeted 456 fish biomass and body length have several possible explanations. High waves may provide a 457 refuge from fishing pressure (Branch and Odendaal 2003, McLean et al. 2016) and flush reefs 458 and mitigate land based source pollution, thus improving habitat quality (Fabricius 2005, 2011). Highly wave exposed areas also have less small-scale structure such as from branching corals 459 460 and support fewer small species, while larger fishes are stronger swimmers and thus able to 461 subsist in areas with high wave energy (Friedlander and Parrish 1998b, Friedlander et al. 2003). 462 Further research is needed to confirm these patterns and identify causal mechanisms.

Productive habitats for targeted fishes were characterized by eastern exposures and a combination of high topographic complexity, wave power, and depth. Topographic complexity provides ecological benefits, though it may also provide some refuge from fishing. High wave power, wind exposure, and depth likely provide refuges from fishing pressure due to reduced accessibility, which may in part explain why targeted fish biomass and body length showed strong positive relationships with these factors.

469

470 **4.4 Recovery patterns in the absence of fishing**

471 Our comparison of predicted targeted fish distributions under current fishing levels and
 472 with fishing pressure removed clearly shows the strong limiting influence of fishing. Because our

473 fishing effort layers were partly based on accessibility to humans and human population, some of 474 the variability they account for in models of targeted fish assemblage indicators may be due to 475 human impacts other than fishing. While current productive and healthy targeted fish 476 assemblages were largely restricted to areas less accessible to fishers, when fishing pressure was 477 removed they expanded throughout all suitable habitats. This is supported by previous research 478 which showed that structurally complex habitats harbored greater fish biomass (Graham and 479 Nash 2013, Darling et al. 2017). When converted to percent depletion, our island-scale estimates 480 of biomass recovery potential are generally lower than estimates of depletion reported by 481 Williams et al. (2015), though relative differences among islands were fairly consistent. This is 482 unsurprising given that models used in Williams et al. (2015) estimated total fish biomass and 483 were calibrated on a suite of Pacific Islands ranging from pristine to highly degraded. Our 484 models were calibrated only on the main Hawaiian Islands, which are far from pristine. Also 485 Ni'ihau was assumed to have negligible fishing impact, which is not strictly accurate as 486 subsistence fishing occurs on the island (pop. 170), and there are reports of fishing from boats 487 based on Kaua'i, Furthermore, due to gaps in the bathymetry datasets the shallow nearshore 488 areas around Ni'ihau were not included in our analysis.

489 Areas with significant projected biomass and length recovery had little direct spatial 490 overlap. This is because they represent different aspects of the fished assemblage and are 491 primarily influenced by different seascape predictors, especially in terms of fishing effort. Shore 492 fishing explained the most variability in targeted fish biomass, while boat fishing was most 493 important for fish body length. As a result, when fishing pressure was removed, predicted 494 biomass increase was highest in accessible, nearshore areas with currently high shore fishing 495 effort, and body length showed greatest projected increases in well populated areas close to 496 boating facilities with currently high boat fishing effort. After fishing effort, high biomass was 497 primarily driven by high topographic complexity (slope of slope) which is patchily distributed. 498 This resulted in fragmented patterns for areas of high biomass recovery potential. In contrast, top 499 habitat predictors for body length were high exposure (sine aspect) and wave power which have 500 more continuous patterns, resulting in similarly unbroken spatial patterns for areas with high 501 length recovery potential.

502

503 **4.5 Management applications**

504 In September, 2016 the governor of Hawai'i made a commitment at the International 505 Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) World Conservation Conference to effectively 506 manage 30% of Hawai'i's nearshore waters by 2030 (Ige 2016). "Effective management" will be 507 achieved through a broad suite of approaches including area closures for fisheries replenishment, 508 as well as identifying areas that are already healthy (Hawaii Division of Aquatic Resources 509 2016). Therefore, identifying presently productive areas and those with high recovery potential is 510 a priority, and an effective approach to accomplish this at the scale of the MHI is timely and 511 could serve as a template for similar efforts elsewhere.

512 The prioritization approach presented in this study incorporates current fishing levels into 513 estimates of recovery potential, thus identifying areas where management actions will be most 514 effective in restoring coral reef fisheries. This was a result of comparing spatial predictions of 515 fishery indicators under current fishing levels with predictions after fishing is removed. Each of 516 these predicted maps also has utility for management. Spatial patterns of targeted fish biomass 517 and body length under current fishing levels allows for identification of areas that presently 518 harbor healthy fish assemblages. Effectively managing fishing in these areas would ensure that 519 they continue to supplement adjacent fisheries, through larval export and spillover of adults and 520 juveniles (Harrison et al. 2012, Stamoulis and Friedlander 2013). Because these areas generally 521 have low fishing pressure, management actions would incur minimal cost in terms of fisher 522 displacement. Predicted maps of targeted fish distributions with fishing removed identify habitats 523 capable of supporting high biomass and larger fishes. This information can be used to 524 characterize these essential habitats for coral reef fisheries. Comparing predictions with and 525 without fishing pressure reveals areas to focus fisheries management and provides estimates of 526 recovery potential. Targeted fish biomass recovery in these areas represented a 517% increase on 527 average relative to current values for O'ahu with smaller increases for the other islands where 528 fishing pressure is not as high. These areas show potential mean targeted fish size increases of up 529 59% on O'ahu with smaller increases for the other islands. Long-term monitoring data shows 530 over a ten-fold increase in total fish biomass during the first 16 years of protection at Hanauma 531 Bay, the oldest no-take reserve on O'ahu (Friedlander and Brown, 2004), suggesting that these 532 estimates are likely conservative.

533 The intrinsic rate of population growth (*r*) is the major driver of population recovery after 534 fishing pressure is removed (Jennings 2000). However, the rate of population recovery also

535 depends on the size of the remaining population and degree of compensation or depensation, as 536 well as other factors (Jennings 2000). In the absence of this information, rough estimates of 537 recovery rates inside no-take marine reserves can be inferred from life history traits such as 538 trophic level, maximum body size and longevity (Abesamis et al. 2014). The targeted reef fish 539 species included in this analysis exhibit a broad range of life history characteristics (Table S1) 540 and thus timelines of recovery will vary. The first species likely to recover are the goatfish 541 (Mullidae) and several of the parrotfish (Scaridae - $A_{max} < 7$ yrs) based on their short lifespans, 542 and full recovery for these species may be possible within 10 years (Abesamis et al. 2014). In 543 overfished regions such as the MHI, full recovery of moderate to highly vulnerable targeted reef 544 fish such as jacks (Carangids), wrasses (Labrids), surgeonfish (Acanthurids), and large parrotfish 545 is likely to take 20-40 years (Abesamis et al. 2014).

546 Areas with significant (α =0.1) projected biomass and length recovery were selected for 547 prioritization, though the threshold could be adjusted based on management needs. Spatial 548 predictions of high biomass and fish body length generally had high precision (CV < 0.5), 549 providing confidence in the results. This prioritization approach identified areas where 550 management actions will have the most scope to restore fisheries and could be used as a starting 551 point for marine reserve selection. These areas are capable of supporting high numbers of large 552 fishes which constitute high reproductive capacity (Berkeley et al. 2004, Birkeland and Dayton 553 2005). The next most important criteria to consider is larval connectivity to ensure that adequate 554 portions of larvae are exported into fished areas (Green et al. 2015). While larval transport 555 modeling is still in its infancy, recent work has modeled potential connectivity based on 556 oceanographic circulation in the Hawaiian islands and identified potential larval sources and 557 sinks at 4 km² resolution (Wren et al. 2016). Places that are capable of supporting high spawner 558 biomass, which are also important larval source areas for connected reefs, would be good 559 candidates for enhanced fishery management or protection. Such areas, identified by these 560 ecological criteria, should be additionally evaluated based on social, economic, and other 561 considerations important for management (Smith and Wilen 2003, Charles and Wilson 2009, Jones et al. 2013). 562

563

564 **5. Conclusions**

565 The development of spatially continuous and comprehensive fishing effort layers, 566 combined with seascape models of targeted fish assemblage indicators for the entire MHI, 567 allowed us to make spatially explicit estimates of recovery potential and thus identify areas that 568 would benefit most from focused coral reef fisheries management. These areas are generally 569 located on the east-facing shores of Kaua'i and O'ahu, the southeast shore of Moloka'i, the west 570 shore of west Maui, and isolated locations in west and east Hawai'i Island. However, the high 571 resolution maps show considerable spatial heterogeneity in the geographical distribution of 572 recovery potential as defined by habitat and fishing patterns. While targeted fish biomass and body length were chosen here to characterize key attributes of reef fisheries, this approach could 573 574 also be applied using other fish response variables that are important to managers. The 575 information provided is well suited for both local scale management and regional marine spatial 576 planning efforts that aim to sustain and enhance coastal fisheries.

577 This study is the first of its kind to develop regional-scale seascape models that integrate 578 spatially explicit estimates of fishing pressure. The high resolution of our model inputs and 579 predictions (60x60 m) is consistent with movement patterns of most targeted fish species and 580 fishers (Weeks et al. 2017). Tree-based modeling approaches are well suited to handling non-581 linear relationships and high-order interactions of complex ecological data (De'ath and Fabricius 582 2000). The ability to make spatial predictions, or predictive mapping, expands upon field-based 583 measurements that are expensive and spatially limited, and produces spatial information at the 584 scope and scale necessary for large-scale assessments and marine spatial planning (Stamoulis 585 and Delevaux 2015). Spatially comprehensive, continuous input and output datasets eliminate 586 the need for the simplifying assumptions common in traditional approaches that can increase 587 uncertainty when results are extrapolated across the area of interest. Predictive mapping fills 588 gaps in survey coverage, allows for testing of management scenarios, and provides spatially 589 comprehensive information for managers including estimates of prediction precision (Pittman 590 and Knudby 2014). Finally, the approach is flexible so that it can be applied anywhere where 591 demersal fish populations are targeted, and any response variable derived from fish survey data 592 can be modeled depending on the research or management question.

593

594 Acknowledgements

595 Partial funding for this work was provided to FERL from NOAA/NCCOS under BOEM OCS 596 project 2016-35 and JIMAR cooperative agreement NA11NMF4320128. KAS received support 597 through an International Postgraduate Scholarship through Curtin University. MP and SJP were 598 supported under NOAA Contract No. EA133C-14-NC-1348. For his work developing the 599 methods for mapping fishing patterns, JL was funded by the Hawaiian Islands Humpback Whale 600 National Marine Sanctuary: NOAA NOS MOA-2012-047 (Award ID 004496-00002) and 601 NOAA Coral Reef Conservation Program grants NA13NOS482002 and NA14NOS4820089. 602 Partial funding was provided by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) 603 Coral Reef Conservation Program (#NA13N0S4820020 (http://coralreef.noaa.gov/) and Pacific 604 Island Climate Science Center (#G13AC00361) (http://pi-csc.soest.hawaii.edu). Thanks to the 605 Ocean Tipping Points Project and to the local experts who provided input on the fishing maps: 606 Matt Ramsey and staff of Hawai'i and Maui DAR. And thanks to Kaylyn McCoy for her work 607 on island-scale estimates of recreational fishing effort in Hawai'i that were the basis for the 608 fishing effort maps. These authors contributed equally to this work: Kostantinos A. Stamoulis 609 and Jade M. S. Delevaux.

610

611 Literature Citations

Abesamis, R. A., A. L. Green, G. R. Russ, and C. R. L. Jadloc. 2014. The intrinsic vulnerability to fishing of coral reef fishes and their differential recovery in fishery closures. Reviews

- 614 in Fish Biology and Fisheries 24:1033–1063.
- Almany, G., S. Connolly, D. Heath, J. Hogan, G. Jones, L. McCook, M. Mills, R. Pressey, and
 D. Williamson. 2009. Connectivity, biodiversity conservation and the design of marine
 reserve networks for coral reefs. Coral Reefs 28:339–351.
- Almany, G. R. 2004. Does increased habitat complexity reduce predation and competition in
 coral reef fish assemblages? Oikos 106:275–284.
- Battista, T. A., B. M. Costa, and S. M. Anderson. 2007. Shallow-water benthic habitats of the
 main eight Hawaiian Islands. Technical Memorandum, Biogeography Branch, Silver
 Spring, MD.

Berkeley, S. A., M. A. Hixon, R. J. Larson, and M. S. Love. 2004. Fisheries Sustainability via Protection of Age Structure and Spatial Distribution of Fish Populations. Fisheries 29:23– 32.

- Birkeland, C., and P. K. Dayton. 2005. The importance in fishery management of leaving the big
 ones. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 20:356–358.
- Bouchet, P. J., J. J. Meeuwig, C. P. Salgado Kent, T. B. Letessier, and C. K. Jenner. 2015.
- 629 Topographic determinants of mobile vertebrate predator hotspots: current knowledge and
 630 future directions. Biological Reviews 90:699–728.
- Branch, G. M., and F. Odendaal. 2003. The effects of marine protected areas on the population
 dynamics of a South African limpet, Cymbula oculus, relative to the influence of wave
 action. Biological Conservation 114:255–269.
- Breiman, L. 1996. Bagging predictors. Machine Learning 24:123–140.
- Breiman, L. 2001. Statistical Modeling: The Two Cultures (with comments and a rejoinder by
 the author). Statistical Science 16:199–231.
- Campbell, S. J., G. J. Edgar, R. D. Stuart-Smith, G. Soler, and A. E. Bates. 2017. Fishing-gear
 restrictions and biomass gains for coral reef fishes in marine protected areas.
- 639 Conservation Biology:n/a-n/a.
- 640 Charles, A., and L. Wilson. 2009. Human dimensions of Marine Protected Areas. ICES Journal
 641 of Marine Science 66:6–15.
- 642 Cinner, J. E., N. a. J. Graham, C. Huchery, and M. A. Macneil. 2013. Global Effects of Local
 643 Human Population Density and Distance to Markets on the Condition of Coral Reef
 644 Fisheries. Conservation Biology 27:453–458.
- 645 Cohen, P. J., and S. J. Foale. 2013. Sustaining small-scale fisheries with periodically harvested
 646 marine reserves. Marine Policy 37:278–287.
- 647 Coral Reef Ecosystem Program; Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center. 2016. National Coral
 648 Reef Monitoring Program: Stratified Random Surveys (StRS) of Reef Fish, including
- Benthic Estimate Data of U.S. Pacific Reefs since 2007. NOAA National Centers for
 Environmental Information. Unpublished Dataset.
- Darling, E. S., N. A. J. Graham, F. A. Januchowski-Hartley, K. L. Nash, M. S. Pratchett, and S.
 K. Wilson. 2017. Relationships between structural complexity, coral traits, and reef fish
 assemblages. Coral Reefs:1–15.
- 654 De'ath, G. 2007. Boosted trees for ecological modeling and prediction. Ecology 88:243–251.
- De'ath, G., and K. E. Fabricius. 2000. Classification and regression trees: a powerful yet simple
 technique for ecological data analysis. Ecology 81:3178–3192.

- 657 Delaney, D. G., L. T. Teneva, K. A. Stamoulis, J. L. Giddens, H. Koike, T. Ogawa, A. M.
- Friedlander, and J. N. Kittinger. 2017. Patterns in artisanal coral reef fisheries reveal bestpractices for monitoring and management.
- Delevaux, J. 2017, July. Data and tools to operationalize ridge-to-reef management and build
 island resilience in oceanic island environments. PhD Dissertation, University of Hawaii
 at Manoa, Honolulu, HI.
- Dulvy, N. K., N. V. C. Polunin, A. C. Mill, and N. A. J. Graham. 2004. Size structural change in
 lightly exploited coral reef fish communities: evidence for weak indirect effects.
 Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 61:466–475.
- Edgar, G. J., R. D. Stuart-Smith, T. J. Willis, S. Kininmonth, S. C. Baker, S. Banks, N. S.
 Barrett, M. A. Becerro, A. T. F. Bernard, J. Berkhout, C. D. Buxton, S. J. Campbell, A.
- 668 T. Cooper, M. Davey, S. C. Edgar, G. Försterra, D. E. Galván, A. J. Irigoyen, D. J.
- 669 Kushner, R. Moura, P. E. Parnell, N. T. Shears, G. Soler, E. M. A. Strain, and R. J.
- 670 Thomson. 2014. Global conservation outcomes depend on marine protected areas with
 671 five key features. Nature 506:216–220.
- Elith, J., and J. R. Leathwick. 2009. Species Distribution Models: Ecological Explanation and
 Prediction Across Space and Time. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and
 Systematics 40:677–697.
- Elith, J., J. R. Leathwick, and T. Hastie. 2008. A working guide to boosted regression trees.
 Journal of Animal Ecology 77:802–813.
- Fabricius, K. E. 2005. Effects of terrestrial runoff on the ecology of corals and coral reefs:
 review and synthesis. Marine Pollution Bulletin 50:125–146.
- Fabricius, K. E. 2011. Factors Determining the Resilience of Coral Reefs to Eutrophication: A
 Review and Conceptual Model. Pages 493–505 *in* Z. Dubinsky and N. Stambler, editors.
 Coral Reefs: An Ecosystem in Transition. Springer Netherlands.
- 682 Fletcher, C. H., C. Bochicchio, C. L. Conger, M. S. Engels, E. J. Feirstein, N. Frazer, C. R.
- 683 Glenn, R. W. Grigg, E. E. Grossman, J. N. Harney, E. Isoun, C. V. Murray-Wallace, J. J.
- 684 Rooney, K. H. Rubin, C. E. Sherman, and S. Vitousek. 2008. Geology of Hawaii Reefs.
- 685 Pages 435–487 *in* B. M. Riegl and R. E. Dodge, editors. Coral Reefs of the USA.
- 686 Springer Netherlands.

687	Friedlander, A. M., E. K. Brown, P. L. Jokiel, W. R. Smith, and K. S. Rodgers. 2003. Effects of
688	habitat, wave exposure, and marine protected area status on coral reef fish assemblages in
689	the Hawaiian archipelago. Coral Reefs 22:291–305.
690	Friedlander, A. M., M. K. Donovan, K. A. Stamoulis, I. D. Williams, E. K. Brown, E. J. Conklin,
691	E. E. DeMartini, K. S. Rodgers, R. T. Sparks, and W. J. Walsh. 2017. Human-induced
692	gradients of reef fish declines in the Hawaiian Archipelago viewed through the lens of
693	traditional management boundaries. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater
694	Ecosystems:n/a-n/a.
695	Friedlander, A. M., and J. D. Parrish. 1998a. Habitat characteristics affecting fish assemblages
696	on a Hawaiian coral reef. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 224:1-
697	30.
698	Friedlander, A. M., and J. D. Parrish. 1998b. Temporal dynamics of fish communities on an
699	exposed shoreline in Hawaii. Environmental Biology of Fishes 53:1–18.
700	Friedman, J. H. 2002. Stochastic gradient boosting. Computational Statistics & Data Analysis
701	38:367–378.
702	Froese, R., and D. Pauly. 2017. FishBase. Online database. www.fishbase.org.
703	Galaiduk, R., A. R. Halford, B. T. Radford, C. H. Moore, and E. S. Harvey. 2017. Regional-scale
704	environmental drivers of highly endemic temperate fish communities located within a
705	climate change hotspot. Diversity and Distributions:n/a-n/a.
706	Giakoumi, S., and A. Pey. 2017. Assessing the Effects of Marine Protected Areas on Biological
707	Invasions: A Global Review. Frontiers in Marine Science 4.
708	Giambelluca, T. W., Q. Chen, A. G. Frazier, J. P. Price, YL. Chen, PS. Chu, J. K. Eischeid,
709	and D. M. Delparte. 2012. Online Rainfall Atlas of Hawai'i. Bulletin of the American
710	Meteorological Society 94:313–316.
711	Graham, N. a. J., and K. L. Nash. 2013. The importance of structural complexity in coral reef
712	ecosystems. Coral Reefs 32:315–326.
713	Green, A. L., A. P. Maypa, G. R. Almany, K. L. Rhodes, R. Weeks, R. A. Abesamis, M. G.
714	Gleason, P. J. Mumby, and A. T. White. 2015. Larval dispersal and movement patterns of
715	coral reef fishes, and implications for marine reserve network design. Biological Reviews
716	90:1215–1247.

- Guisan, A., and W. Thuiller. 2005. Predicting species distribution: offering more than simple
 habitat models. Ecology Letters 8:993–1009.
- Guisan, A., and N. E. Zimmermann. 2000. Predictive habitat distribution models in ecology.
 Ecological Modelling 135:147–186.
- 721 Harrison, H. B., D. H. Williamson, R. D. Evans, G. R. Almany, S. R. Thorrold, G. R. Russ, K. A.
- Feldheim, L. van Herwerden, S. Planes, M. Srinivasan, M. L. Berumen, and G. P. Jones.
- 723 2012. Larval Export from Marine Reserves and the Recruitment Benefit for Fish and
- Fisheries. Current Biology 22:1023–1028.
- Hawaii Division of Aquatic Resources. 2016, September 1. Hawai'i 30 by 30 Oceans Target.
 http://dlnr.hawaii.gov/dar/announcements/hawaii-30-by-30-oceans-target/.
- Hijmans, R. J. 2014. Raster: Geographic data analysis and modeling. http://CRAN.Rproject.org/package=raster.
- Hijmans, R. J., S. Phillips, J. Leathwick, and J. Elith. 2014. dismo: Species distribution
 modeling. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dismo.
- Hixon, M. A., and J. P. Beets. 1989. Shelter Characteristics and Caribbean Fish Assemblages:
 Experiments with Artificial Reefs. Bulletin of Marine Science 44:666–680.
- Ige, D. Y. 2016, September 10. DLNR NEWS RELEASE: IUCN World Conservation Congress
 is pau & now the work begins. http://governor.hawaii.gov/newsroom/latest-news/dlnr-
- news-release-iucn-world-conservation-congress-is-pau-now-the-work-begins/.
- 736 Jackson, J. B. C., M. X. Kirby, W. H. Berger, K. A. Bjorndal, L. W. Botsford, B. J. Bourque, R.
- H. Bradbury, R. Cooke, J. Erlandson, J. A. Estes, T. P. Hughes, S. Kidwell, C. B. Lange,
- H. S. Lenihan, J. M. Pandolfi, C. H. Peterson, R. S. Steneck, M. J. Tegner, and R. R.
- 739 Warner. 2001. Historical Overfishing and the Recent Collapse of Coastal Ecosystems.

740 Science 293:629–637.

- 741 Januchowski, S. R., R. L. Pressey, J. VanDerWal, and A. Edwards. 2010. Characterizing errors
- in digital elevation models and estimating the financial costs of accuracy. International
 Journal of Geographical Information Science 24:1327–1347.
- Jennings, S. 2000. Patterns and prediction of population recovery in marine reserves. Reviews in
 Fish Biology and Fisheries 10:209–231.

- Jennings, S., S. P. R. Greenstreet, and J. D. Reynolds. 1999. Structural change in an exploited
 fish community: a consequence of differential fishing effects on species with contrasting
 life histories. Journal of Animal Ecology 68:617–627.
 Jennings, S., and M. J. Kaiser. 1998. The Effects of Fishing on Marine Ecosystems. Advances in
 Marine Biology 34:201–352.
 Jennings, S., and N. V. C. Polunin. 1996. Effects of Fishing Effort and Catch Rate Upon the
 Structure and Biomass of Fijian Reef Fish Communities. Journal of Applied Ecology
- 33:400–412.
 Jennings, S., J. D. Reynolds, and S. C. Mills. 1998. Life history correlates of responses to
 fisheries exploitation. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological

756 Sciences 265:333–339.

- Jones, P. J. S., W. Qiu, and E. M. De Santo. 2013. Governing marine protected areas: Social–
 ecological resilience through institutional diversity. Marine Policy 41:5–13.
- Kendall, M., T. Miller, and S. Pittman. 2011. Patterns of scale-dependency and the influence of
 map resolution on the seascape ecology of reef fish. Marine Ecology Progress Series
 427:259–274.
- Kujala, H., A. Moilanen, and A. Gordon. 2017. Spatial characteristics of species distributions as
 drivers in conservation prioritization. Methods in Ecology and Evolution:n/a-n/a.
- Leathwick, J. R., J. Elith, M. P. Francis, T. Hastie, and P. Taylor. 2006. Variation in demersal
 fish species richness in the oceans surrounding New Zealand: an analysis using boosted
 regression trees. Marine Ecology Progress Series 321:267–281.
- Lecky, J. 2016. Ecosystem Vulnerability and Mapping Cumulative Impacts on Hawaiian Reefs.
 University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, HI.
- Lester, S. E., B. S. Halpern, K. Grorud-Colvert, J. Lubchenco, B. I. Ruttenberg, S. D. Gaines, S.
 Airamé, and R. R. Warner. 2009. Biological effects within no-take marine reserves: a
 global synthesis. Marine Ecology Progress Series 384:33–46.
- Lindfield, S. J., J. L. McIlwain, and E. S. Harvey. 2014. Depth Refuge and the Impacts of
 SCUBA Spearfishing on Coral Reef Fishes. PLoS ONE 9:e92628.
- Lotze, H. K., H. S. Lenihan, B. J. Bourque, R. H. Bradbury, R. G. Cooke, M. C. Kay, S. M.
 Kidwell, M. X. Kirby, C. H. Peterson, and J. B. Jackson. 2006. Depletion, degradation,
 and recovery potential of estuaries and coastal seas. Science 312:1806–1809.

- Maunder, M. N., J. R. Sibert, A. Fonteneau, J. Hampton, P. Kleiber, and S. J. Harley. 2006.
 Interpreting catch per unit effort data to assess the status of individual stocks and
 communities. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 63:1373–1385.
- McCoy, K. 2015, December. Estimating Nearshore Fisheries Catch for the main Hawaiian
 Islands. University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolul, HI.
- 782 McCoy, K., A. Heenan, J. Asher, P. Ayotte, K. Gorospe, A. Gray, K. Lino, J. Zamzow, and I.
- 783 Williams. 2017. Pacific Reef Assessment and Monitoring Program Data Report
- Ecological monitoring 2016 reef fishes and benthic habitats of the main Hawaiian
- 785 Islands, Northwestern Hawaiian Islands, Pacific Remote Island Areas, and American
 786 Samoa. PIFSC Data Report, NOAA Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center.
- McLean, M., J. Cuetos-Bueno, O. Nedlic, M. Luckymiss, and P. Houk. 2016. Local Stressors,
 Resilience, and Shifting Baselines on Coral Reefs. PLOS ONE 11:e0166319.
- Mellin, C., M. Aaron MacNeil, A. J. Cheal, M. J. Emslie, and M. Julian Caley. 2016. Marine
 protected areas increase resilience among coral reef communities. Ecology Letters
 19:629–637.
- Nash, K. L., and N. A. J. Graham. 2016. Ecological indicators for coral reef fisheries
 management. Fish and Fisheries 17:1029–1054.
- Nicholson, M. D., and S. Jennings. 2004. Testing candidate indicators to support ecosystembased management: the power of monitoring surveys to detect temporal trends in fish
 community metrics. ICES Journal of Marine Science 61:35–42.
- 797 Norström, A. V., M. Nyström, J.-B. Jouffray, C. Folke, N. A. Graham, F. Moberg, P. Olsson, and
- G. J. Williams. 2016. Guiding coral reef futures in the Anthropocene. Frontiers in
 Ecology and the Environment 14:490–498.
- Pittman, S. J., and K. A. Brown. 2011. Multi-Scale Approach for Predicting Fish Species
 Distributions across Coral Reef Seascapes. PLoS ONE 6:e20583.
- Pittman, S. J., C. Caldow, S. D. Hile, and M. E. Monaco. 2007. Using seascape types to explain
 the spatial patterns of fish in the mangroves of SW Puerto Rico. Marine Ecology Progress
 Series 348:273–284.
- Pittman, S. J., B. M. Costa, and T. A. Battista. 2009. Using Lidar Bathymetry and Boosted
 Regression Trees to Predict the Diversity and Abundance of Fish and Corals. Journal of
 Coastal Research:27–38.

- 808 Pittman, S., and A. Knudby. 2014. Predictive mapping of coral reef fish species and
- communities. Pages 219–236 *in* P. Bortone, editor. Interrelationships Between Corals and
 Fisheries. CRC Press.
- R Core Team. 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Ringuet, S., and F. T. Mackenzie. 2005. Controls on nutrient and phytoplankton dynamics during
 normal flow and storm runoff conditions, southern Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii. Estuaries
 28:327–337.
- 816 Sciberras, M., S. R. Jenkins, R. Mant, M. J. Kaiser, S. J. Hawkins, and A. S. Pullin. 2013.

817 Evaluating the relative conservation value of fully and partially protected marine areas.
818 Fish and Fisheries:n/a–n/a.

- Shin, Y.-J., M.-J. Rochet, S. Jennings, J. G. Field, and H. Gislason. 2005. Using size-based
 indicators to evaluate the ecosystem effects of fishing. ICES Journal of Marine Science:
 Journal du Conseil 62:384–396.
- Smith, M. D., and J. E. Wilen. 2003. Economic impacts of marine reserves: the importance of
 spatial behavior. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management 46:183–206.
- Stamoulis, K. A., and J. M. S. Delevaux. 2015. Data requirements and tools to operationalize
 marine spatial planning in the United States. Ocean & Coastal Management 116:214–
 223.
- Stamoulis, K. A., and A. M. Friedlander. 2013. A seascape approach to investigating fish
 spillover across a marine protected area boundary in Hawai'i. Fisheries Research 144:2–
 14.
- 830 Stamoulis, K. A., M. Poti, J. M. S. Delevaux, M. K. Donovan, A. Friedlander, and M. S.
- Kendall. 2016. Chapter 4: Fishes Reef Fish. Pages 156–196 Marine Biogeographic
 Assessment of the Main Hawaiian Islands. Bureau of Ocean Energy Management and
 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.
- Stewart, K. R., R. L. Lewison, D. C. Dunn, R. H. Bjorkland, S. Kelez, P. N. Halpin, and L. B.
 Crowder. 2010. Characterizing Fishing Effort and Spatial Extent of Coastal Fisheries.
 PLOS ONE 5:e14451.

- Taylor, B. M., S. J. Lindfield, and J. H. Choat. 2015. Hierarchical and scale-dependent effects of
 fishing pressure and environment on the structure and size distribution of parrotfish
 communities. Ecography 38:520–530.
- VanDerWal, J., L. Falconi, S. Januchowski, L. Shoo, and C. Storlie. 2014. SDMTools: Species
 Distribution Modelling Tools.
- Wedding, L. M., and A. M. Friedlander. 2008. Determining the influence of seascape structure
 on coral reef fishes in Hawaii using a geospatial approach. Marine Geodesy 31:246–266.
- 844 Wedding, L. M., A. M. Friedlander, M. McGranaghan, R. S. Yost, and M. E. Monaco. 2008.
- 845 Using bathymetric lidar to define nearshore benthic habitat complexity: Implications for
 846 management of reef fish assemblages in Hawaii. Remote Sensing of Environment
 847 112:4159-4165.
- Weeks, R., A. L. Green, E. Joseph, N. Peterson, and E. Terk. 2017. Using reef fish movement to
 inform marine reserve design. Journal of Applied Ecology 54:145–152.
- Weigel, J.-Y., K. O. Mannle, N. J. Bennett, E. Carter, L. Westlund, V. Burgener, Z. Hoffman, A.
 Simão Da Silva, E. A. Kane, J. Sanders, C. Piante, S. Wagiman, and A. Hellman. 2014.
 Marine protected areas and fisheries: bridging the divide. Aquatic Conservation: Marine
 and Freshwater Ecosystems 24:199–215.
- Williams, L. D., J. K. Baum, A. Heenan, K. M. Hanson, M. O. Nadon, and R. E. Brainard. 2015.
 Human, Oceanographic and Habitat Drivers of Central and Western Pacific Coral Reef
 Fish Assemblages. PLoS ONE 10:e0120516.
- Williams, I. D., W. J. Walsh, R. E. Schroeder, A. M. Friedlander, B. L. Richards, and K. A.
 Stamoulis. 2008. Assessing the importance of fishing impacts on Hawaiian coral reef fish
 assemblages along regional-scale human population gradients. Environmental
 Conservation 35:261–272.
- Wisz, M. S., R. J. Hijmans, J. Li, A. T. Peterson, C. H. Graham, A. Guisan, and NCEAS
 Predicting Species Distributions Working Group. 2008. Effects of sample size on the
 performance of species distribution models. Diversity and Distributions 14:763–773.
- Wren, J. L. K., D. R. Kobayashi, Y. Jia, and R. J. Toonen. 2016. Modeled Population
- 865 Connectivity across the Hawaiian Archipelago. PLOS ONE 11:e0167626.
- 866

- 867 Supporting Information Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article at 868 869 http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/eap.xxxx/suppinfo 870 871 Data Availability Habitat and wave predictor layers at NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information: 872 873 https://data.nodc.noaa.gov/cgi-bin/iso?id=gov.noaa.nodc:0155189 874 Fish survey data at Figshare: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.3808039 Fishing effort layers and predicted maps at Research Data Australia: 875
- 876 http://dx.doi.org/10.4225/06/5a572a1f1406a

Author Nanu Banu

877 **Tables:**

Table 1: Final predictor datasets used in model development. Number of individual datasets of each type indicated in parenthesis. A pairwise correlation analysis was performed on the full set of predictors for the whole study area (MHI). Highly correlated predictors (Spearman $|\rho| > 0.7$) were identified, and those highly correlated with two or more other predictors were removed. See table S2 for more details.

883

	Predictor dataset types	Datasets	Description			
	Fishing (2)	Boat fishing spear, Shore	Boat and shore based fishing effort			
	()	fishing spear	represented by spearing effort.			
	Seafloor topography	Depth, Slope, Slope of slope,	Seafloor topography metrics derived			
	(12)	Aspect, Planar and profile	from bathymetry including depth, slope,			
		curvature, BPI	structural complexity, exposure,			
			curvature and bathymetric position			
	m		index (BPI). Slope, slope of slope, and			
			BPI were calculated at two scales.			
	Benthic habitat	Percent cover of CCA,	Percent benthic cover of major cover			
	composition (7)	Macroalgae, Turf, and Soft	types. Seascape fragmentation/patch			
	_	bottom, Proximity index,	isolation. Habitat diversity.			
		Shannon's diversity index				
	Geographic (3)	Latitude, Longitude, Distance	Geographic location and distance from			
		to shore	shore.			
	Wave energy (1)	Wave Power	Wave height * wave period.			
884						
885						
886						
887						

888 889 890 Table 2: Predicted mean biomass and percent increase for targeted reef fishes under present

891 conditions and with fishing pressure removed. N is the sample size representing total number of

spatially predicted grid cells per island. SD is standard deviation. Islands are ordered from north

to south.

IN N

	\bigcirc	_	Present		No fis		
	Biomass (g m ⁻²)	Ν	mean	SD	mean	SD	% Increase
	Kaua'i	67,967	11.2	8.7	27.6	9.7	147%
	Ni'ihau	10,677	30.0	10.2	30.5	10.4	2%
	Oʻahu	84,870	4.2	4.1	19.9	7.6	370%
	Moloka'i	38,220	10.9	9.2	23.5	9.4	116%
	Maui	43,830	12.5	10.0	26.1	9.1	110%
	Hawai'i	30,702	13.4	8.7	26.6	7.3	98%
895			1		I		1

908	Table 3: Predicted mean body length and percent increase for targeted reef fishes under present
909	conditions and with fishing pressure removed. N is the sample size representing total number of
910	spatially predicted grid cells per island. SE is standard error of the mean.

Present

No fishing

	Length (cm)	Ν	mean	SD	mean	SD	% Increase				
	Kaua'i	67,967	17.9	2.4	21.8	1.6	22%				
	Niʻihau	10,677	23.0	1.2	23.0	1.2	0%				
	Oʻahu	84,870	15.1	2.0	20.0	1.6	33%				
	Moloka'i	38,220	17.7	3.2	21.3	2.0	21%				
	Maui	43,830	18.0	2.9	21.1	1.6	17%				
	Hawaiʻi	30,702	18.6	2.1	21.0	1.3	13%				
912			I				Ι				
913	\mathbf{O}										
914											
915											
916)									
917											
918											
919											
920											
921											
922	\leq										
923											
924											
925											
926	Table 4: Biomass	$(g m^{-2})$ and fi	sh length (cm) 1	nean, stand	ard deviation (SI	D), mean p	ercent				
927	increase, and standard deviation of percent increase between MHI model predictions for regions										
928	of significant difference shown in figure 7.										
929											

		Biom	ass Increase		Length Increase			
	Mean	SD	Mean %	SD %	Mean	SD	Mean %	SD %
Kaua'i	29.4	2.6	383%	184%	6.5	0.6	45%	7%
Niʻihau	3.6	0.9	11%	2%	0.0	0.0	0%	0%
Oʻahu	25.9	2.8	517%	268%	7.3	0.5	59%	10%
Molokaʻi	21.6	2.2	349%	200%	5.8	0.2	43%	4%

	Maui	23.4	2.2	256%	125%	6.2	0.6	46%	9%
	Hawai'i	21.9	2.1	203%	114%	4.5	0.4	29%	4%
930		I			I				
931	_								
932									
933		\bigcirc	I						
934	-	_							
935									
936		\mathbf{O}							
937		$\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$							
938									
939									
940									
941									
942									
943	_								
944									
945	Figure I	egends:							

946 Figure 1: Main Hawaiian Islands study domain with reef fish survey locations used for

947 modeling.

948 **Figure 2:** Comparison of modeled shore fishing effort with empirical fishing survey values from

949 12 sites across the MHI (Hawai'i - 4, Maui - 2, O'ahu - 5, Kaua'i - 1). Total fishing effort values

950 were obtained from Delaney et al. (2017) and compared to derived spear fishing effort maps

based on the sum of pixel values within polygons matching the description of the survey area in

each report. The equation of the fitted line is y = 0.48x - 1660.

953 **Figure 3:** Shore fishing effort around the MHI as represented by a) shore based spear effort

954 (hrs/ha). Boat fishing effort around the MHI as represented by b) boat based spear effort (hrs/ha).

955 Maui Nui encompasses the islands of Maui, Moloka'i, and Lāna'i.

956 **Figure 4:** Final predictors for MHI models based on BRT model results for a) biomass and b)

957 body length. Relative percent variation explained is shown on the x-axis and the color represents

958 the directionality of the relationship (red: negative, green: positive, orange: non-directional).

- 959 **Figure 5:** Partial dependence plots of fishing predictors for the targeted reef fish biomass model.
- 960 Y-axis is transformed biomass (4th root) and x-axis is predictor variable (units in table S1). Plots
- 961 represent the relationship of biomass with each predictor individually when all other predictors
- 962 are held at their mean. Lines are the mean of bootstrapped models plus and minus the standard
- 963 deviation.
- Figure 6: Distributions of predicted biomass values under present fishing levels and with fishing
 removed for each island. X-axis is biomass values per 60x60 m grid cell and y-axis is frequency
 of biomass values as a proportion of the total number of grid cells per island (density).
- 967 **Figure 7:** Distributions of predicted length values under present fishing levels and with fishing
- 968 removed for each island. X-axis is length values per 60x60 m grid cell and y-axis is frequency of
- 969 length values as a proportion of the total number of grid cells per island (density).
- 970 **Figure 8:** Regions of significant increase ($\alpha = 0.1$) in MHI model predictions of biomass and
- 971 length after removal of fishing. Existing no-take reserves and restricted access areas are outlined
- 972 in black.

Author Ma









а



eap_1696_f5.tif

r Manuscr utl

Janus Z 1



eap_1696_f6.tif

r Manuso 11



