



Proceedings of the Summer Flounder (Paralichthys dentatus) Age and Growth Workshop, 20-21 May 1980, Northeast Fisheries Center, Woods Hole, Massachusetts

> U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Marine Fisheries Service Northeast Fisheries Center Woods Hole, Massachusetts

> > December 1981

REPRODUCED BY: U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service

(1-79) BIBLIOGRA	PHIC DATA SHEET	NATIONAL OCEA	NIC AND ATMOSPHER	RIC ADMINISTRATION
1. NOAA ACCESSION NUMBER	2.	3.	RECIPIENT'S ACCE	SSION NUMBER
A. TITLE AND SUBTILLE		<i>I</i>	5 REPORT	
Proceedings of the Summer	r Flounder (Parali	ichthys dentatus)	Dec 19	981
Age and Growth Workshop,	20-21 May 1980, N	Northeast Fisheri	es 6.	
Center, Woods Hole, Massa	achusetts)69022
7. AUTHOR(S) RONAL W. Smith((Delaware Div Fish	. Wildl, Dover),	8. REPORT	NO.
Louise M. Dery, Paul G. S	Scarlett(NJ Div.Fi	ish, Game & Wildl	.), NUAA-TI	A-NMFS-F/NEC-I
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME	AND ADDRESS AND AMA	brose Jearld, Jr.	10. PROJECT	TASK NO.
Woods Hole, MA, 02543	sheries bervice,		11. CONTRAC	T/GRANT NO.
Northeast Fisheries Cente	er			
12. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME	AND ADDRESS		13. TYPE OF	REPORT AND PERIC
Same			Tach	Aomo
			14	
15. PUBLICATION REFERENCE			L	
NOAA Technical Memorandum	n NMFS-F/NEC-11, I	December 1981. 3	3 p, 10 fig, (5 tab, 27 ref.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Northeast Fisheries Cente committee's efforts to me age/growth studies; (2) ((3) Resolve interpretation	er, Woods Hole, Ma eet the following Compare growth pat on of age when fin	assachusetts. This objectives: (1) cterns on scales, rst and second and	is report docu Review summer otoliths nuli are forme	ments the sub flounder and finrays; d, taking int
Northeast Fisheries Cente committee's efforts to me age/growth studies; (2) ((3) Resolve interpretatic consideration both length	er, Woods Hole, Ma eet the following Compare growth pat on of age when fin n frequencies and	assachusetts. This objectives: (1) cterns on scales, rst and second and time of spawning	is report docu Review summer otoliths nuli are forme . (Author ext	ments the sub flounder and finrays; ed, taking int cracted)
Northeast Fisheries Cente committee's efforts to me age/growth studies; (2) ((3) Resolve interpretatic consideration both length	er, Woods Hole, Ma eet the following Compare growth pat on of age when fin n frequencies and	issachusetts. This objectives: (1) cterns on scales, rst and second and time of spawning	is report docu Review summer otoliths nuli are forme . (Author ext	ments the sub flounder and finrays; d, taking int racted)
Northeast Fisheries Cente committee's efforts to me age/growth studies; (2) ((3) Resolve interpretatic consideration both length	er, Woods Hole, Ma eet the following Compare growth pat on of age when fin n frequencies and	assachusetts. This objectives: (1) cterns on scales, rst and second and time of spawning	is report docu Review summer otoliths nuli are forme . (Author ext	ments the sub flounder and finrays; ed, taking int cracted)
Northeast Fisheries Cente committee's efforts to me age/growth studies; (2) ((3) Resolve interpretatic consideration both length	er, Woods Hole, Ma eet the following Compare growth pat on of age when fin n frequencies and	issachusetts. This objectives: (1) terns on scales, est and second and time of spawning	is report docu Review summer otoliths nuli are forme . (Author ext	ments the sub flounder and finrays; d, taking int racted)
Northeast Fisheries Cente committee's efforts to me age/growth studies; (2) ((3) Resolve interpretatic consideration both length	er, Woods Hole, Ma eet the following Compare growth pat on of age when fin n frequencies and	issachusetts. This objectives: (1) cterns on scales, rst and second and time of spawning	is report docu Review summer otoliths nuli are forme . (Author ext	ments the sub flounder and finrays; d, taking int racted)
 Northeast Fisheries Center committee's efforts to me age/growth studies; (2) (3) Resolve interpretatic consideration both length 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALY 174. DESCRIPTORS 	er, Woods Hole, Ma eet the following Compare growth pat on of age when fin n frequencies and	assachusetts. The objectives: (1) cterns on scales, rst and second and time of spawning	is report docu Review summer otoliths nuli are forma . (Author ext	ments the sub flounder and finrays; ed, taking int cracted)
 Northeast Fisheries Center committee's efforts to me age/growth studies; (2) ((3) Resolve interpretatic consideration both length 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALY 174. DESCRIPTORS *Fishes, *Age, *Growth, M 	er, Woods Hole, Ma eet the following Compare growth pat on of age when fin n frequencies and 'sis	issachusetts. Thi objectives: (1) cterns on scales, rst and second and time of spawning	is report docu Review summer otoliths nuli are forme . (Author ext	ments the sub flounder and finrays; d, taking int racted)
 Suits of past research. Northeast Fisheries Center committee's efforts to me age/growth studies; (2) (3) Resolve interpretatic consideration both length 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALY 174. DESCRIPTORS *Fishes, *Age, *Growth, No. 	er, Woods Hole, Ma eet the following Compare growth pat on of age when fin n frequencies and YSIS	issachusetts. Thi objectives: (1) cterns on scales, rst and second and time of spawning	is report docu Review summer otoliths nuli are forme . (Author ext	ments the sub flounder and finrays; ed, taking int cracted)
 Northeast Fisheries Center committee's efforts to me age/growth studies; (2) (3) Resolve interpretatic consideration both length 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALY 174. DESCRIPTORS *Fishes, *Age, *Growth, North State S	er, Woods Hole, Ma eet the following Compare growth pat on of age when fin n frequencies and	issachusetts. Thi objectives: (1) tterns on scales, rst and second and time of spawning	is report docu Review summer otoliths nuli are forme . (Author ext	ments the sub flounder and finrays; d, taking int tracted)
 Northeast Fisheries Center committee's efforts to me age/growth studies; (2) ((3) Resolve interpretatic consideration both length 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALY 174. DESCRIPTORS *Fishes, *Age, *Growth, Mean Statement of the statement of th	er, Woods Hole, Ma eet the following Compare growth pat on of age when fin n frequencies and	issachusetts. Thi objectives: (1) cterns on scales, rst and second and time of spawning	is report docu Review summer otoliths nuli are forma . (Author ext	ments the sub flounder and finrays; ed, taking int tracted)
 Northeast Fisheries Center committee's efforts to me age/growth studies; (2) (3) Resolve interpretatic consideration both length 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALY 174. DESCRIPTORS *Fishes, *Age, *Growth, N 178. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERM 	er, Woods Hole, Ma eet the following Compare growth pat on of age when fin n frequencies and (sis Meetings	assachusetts. Thi objectives: (1) cterns on scales, rst and second and time of spawning	is report docu Review summer otoliths nuli are forme . (Author ext	ments the sub flounder and finrays; d, taking int tracted)
 Sufts of past research. Northeast Fisheries Center committee's efforts to me age/growth studies; (2) (3) Resolve interpretatic consideration both length 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALY 17. LESCRIPTORS *Fishes, *Age, *Growth, N 17. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERM Summer flounder, Paralich 	er, Woods Hole, Ma eet the following Compare growth pat on of age when fin n frequencies and ''''' Meetings	issachusetts. Thi objectives: (1) cterns on scales, rst and second and time of spawning	is report docu Review summer otoliths nuli are forma . (Author ext	ments the sub flounder and finrays; ed, taking int tracted)
 Sufts of past research. Northeast Fisheries Center committee's efforts to me age/growth studies; (2) (3) Resolve interpretatic consideration both length 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALY 174. DESCRIPTORS *Fishes, *Age, *Growth, M 178. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERM Summer flounder, Paralich 	er, Woods Hole, Ma eet the following Compare growth pat on of age when fin n frequencies and 'sis Meetings	issachusetts. Thi objectives: (1) cterns on scales, rst and second and time of spawning	is report docu Review summet otoliths nuli are forme . (Author ext	ments the sub flounder and finrays; ed, taking int racted)
 Suits of past research. Northeast Fisheries Center committee's efforts to me age/growth studies; (2) ((3) Resolve interpretatic consideration both length 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALY 17. KEY	er, Woods Hole, Ma eet the following Compare growth pat on of age when fin n frequencies and rsis Meetings <u>hthys dentatus</u>	issachusetts. Thi objectives: (1) cterns on scales, rst and second and time of spawning	is report docu Review summer otoliths nuli are forma . (Author ext	ments the sub flounder and finrays; ed, taking int tracted)
 Suits of past research. Northeast Fisheries Center committee's efforts to me age/growth studies; (2) (3) Resolve interpretatic consideration both length 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALY 17. KEY WORDS AND	er, Woods Hole, Ma eet the following Compare growth pat on of age when fin n frequencies and 'sis Meetings <u>hthys dentatus</u>	issachusetts. Thi objectives: (1) cterns on scales, rst and second and time of spawning	is report docu Review summet otoliths nuli are forma . (Author ext	ments the sub flounder and finrays; ed, taking int tracted)
 Sults of past research. Northeast Fisheries Center committee's efforts to me age/growth studies; (2) ((3) Resolve interpretatic consideration both length 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALY 	er, Woods Hole, Ma eet the following Compare growth pat on of age when fin a frequencies and 'sis Meetings <u>thys dentatus</u>	issachusetts. Thi objectives: (1) cterns on scales, rst and second and time of spawning	is report docu Review summer otoliths nuli are forma . (Author ext	ments the sub flounder and finrays; ed, taking int tracted)
 Sufts of past research. Northeast Fisheries Center committee's efforts to me age/growth studies; (2) ((3) Resolve interpretatic consideration both length 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALY 17. KEY	er, Woods Hole, Ma eet the following Compare growth pat on of age when fin n frequencies and 'sis Meetings <u>hthys dentatus</u>	issachusetts. Thi objectives: (1) cterns on scales, rst and second and time of spawning	is report docu Review summet otoliths nuli are forma . (Author ext	ments the sub flounder and finrays; ed, taking int tracted)
 Sults of past research. Northeast Fisheries Center committee's efforts to me age/growth studies; (2) ((3) Resolve interpretatic consideration both length 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALY 17. KEY	er, Woods Hole, Ma eet the following Compare growth pat on of age when fin n frequencies and (SIS Meetings <u>hthys dentatus</u>	issachusetts. Thi objectives: (1) tterns on scales, rst and second and time of spawning	is report docu Review summer otoliths nuli are forme . (Author ext	ments the sub flounder and finrays; ed, taking int tracted)
 Suits of past research. Northeast Fisheries Center committee's efforts to me age/growth studies; (2) ((3) Resolve interpretatic consideration both length 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALY 17. KEY	er, Woods Hole, Ma eet the following Compare growth pat on of age when fin a frequencies and (SIS Meetings <u>hthys dentatus</u>	19	is report docu Review summet otoliths nuli are forma . (Author ext Author ext . SECURITY CLASS (This report) UNCLASSIFIED	<pre>ments the sub flounder and finrays; ed, taking int tracted) 21. NO. OF PAGES 34 p.</pre>
 Sufts of past research. Northeast Fisheries Center committee's efforts to me age/growth studies; (2) ((3) Resolve interpretatic consideration both length 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALY 17. KEY	er, Woods Hole, Ma eet the following Compare growth pat on of age when fin n frequencies and (SIS Meetings <u>hthys dentatus</u>	19 19 19 19 19 19 19 10 19 10 10 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	<pre>is report docu Review summet otoliths nuli are formd . (Author ext . (Author ext . (<i>Author ext</i>) . SECURITY CLASS (<i>This report</i>) UNCLASSIFIED . SECURITY CLASS (<i>This report</i>)</pre>	ments the sub flounder and finrays; ed, taking int tracted) 21. NO. OF PAGES 34 p. 22. PRICE

.

GENERAL DISCLAIMER

This document may have problems that one or more of the following disclaimer statements refer to:

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the sponsoring agency. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the sponsoring agency and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures which have been reproduced in black and white.
- The document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-F/NEC-11

This TM series is used for documentation and timely communication of preliminary results, interim reports, or special purpose information; and has not received complete formal review, editorial control, or detailed editing.



Proceedings of the Summer Flounder (Paralichthys dentatus) Age and Growth Workshop, 20-21 May 1980, Northeast Fisheries Center, Woods Hole, Massachusetts

> Ronal W. Smith¹, Louise M. Dery², Paul G. Scarlett³, and Ambrose Jearld, Jr.²

¹Delaware Div. of Fish and Wildlife, Box 1401, Dover, DE 19901

²Woods Hole Laboratory, National Marine Fisheries Service, Woods Hole, MA 02543

³Nacote Creek Marine Fisheries Laboratory, New Jersey Div. of Fish, Game, and Wildlife, Star Route, Absecon, NJ 08201

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Malcolm Baldridge, Secretary National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration John V. Byrne, Administrator National Marine Fisheries Service William G. Gordon, Assistant Administrator for Fisheries Northeast Fisheries Center

15

Woods Hole, Massachusetts

-iii-

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduct	ion	1
Zone Forma Rays, and	ation on Summer Flounder Otoliths, Fin d Scales as a Basis for Age Interpretation	2
Review of Summ	Summer Flounder Age and Growth Studies	4 5
Post Work Summer F Int Col Met and Grow Met Res	shop Age and Growth Study of Young lounder by L. Dery	777 3399
Acknowledg	gements	L
Literature	e Cited	L
Footnotes		1
Tables:		
1.	Comparison of summer flounder, <u>Paralichthys</u> <u>dentatus</u> , age studies	5
2.	Reported length frequencies (mm) of young- of-the-year and age "1" summer flounder (<u>Paralichthys dentatus</u>) over its range	5
3.	Percent of six types of growth patterns, by sampling area, for age "1+" summer flounder, <u>Paralichthys dentatus</u> . Also included are percent of age "1+" otoliths with "not appreciable", "moderate", or "severe" resorption, by sampling area	7
4.	Age/length distribution of summer flounder, <u>Paralichthys</u> <u>dentatus</u> , samples by sampling area and sex	3
5.	Distribution of age "1" summer flounder, <u>Paralichthys dentatus</u> , first year scale growth pattern types with backcalculated fish length	9

Preceding Page Blank

.....

6.	Distribution of age "1" summer flounder <u>Paralichthys</u> <u>dentatus</u> , backcalculated fish length at age "1" with sampling area
Figures:	· · · · · ·
1.	Left otolith from an age "8+" summer flounder, total length 69 cm., taken on 18 August
2.	Fin ray thin section of an age "4+" summer flounder, total length 53 cm., taken in July
3.	Summer flounder scale circuli patterns indicative of rate of growth
4.	Scale of an age "6+" summer flounder, total length 66 cm., taken in July
5.	Left otolith from an age "3+" summer flounder, total length 39 cm., taken on 15 June
6.	Age "1+" scale, growth pattern type "SS", of a 28 cm. summer flounder collected from the Rappahannock River, VA, in August 26
7.	Age "1+" scale, growth pattern type "RR", of a 31 cm. summer flounder collected from Great Bay, NJ, in July
8.	Age "1+" scale, growth pattern type "RM", of a 27 cm. summer flounder collected from the Indian River, DE, in July 28
9.	Age "1+" scale, growth pattern type "SM", of a 32 cm. summer flounder collected from the York River, VA, in July 29
10.	Age "1+" scale, growth pattern type "RS", of a 29 cm. summer flounder collected from Isle of Wight Bay, MD, in July 30

.

-iv-

.

.

INTRODUCTION

At a meeting of the State/Federal Summer Flounder Scientific and Statistical Committee on October 18 and 19, 1979, the subject of age and growth estimates of summer flounder was discussed. After reviewing available literature, it became apparent that results of past studies were not in total agreement.

Because accurate information on age and growth of summer flounder was needed to prepare a fishery management plan, a subcommittee was established to resolve the conflicting results of past research. A two-day workshop was held on May 20 and 21, 1980 at the Northeast Fisheries Center, Woods Hole, Massachusetts. This report documents the subcommittee's efforts to meet the following objectives:

- 1. Review summer flounder age/growth studies.
- 2. Compare growth patterns on scales, otoliths, and fin rays.
- 3. Resolve interpretation of age when first and second annuli are formed, taking into consideration:
 - a. length frequencies
 - b. time of spawning

The following individuals were members of the summer flounder age/ growth subcommittee:

Ronal Smith, Chairman Paul Scarlett, Rapporteur John Poole John Musick Mark Chittenden Steve Murawsli Gary Shepherd Doug DeVries Allyn Powell Louise Dery John Mason Stuart Wilk Doris Jimenez Emma Henderson Ambrose Jearld, Jr. Joseph Smith Michael Fogarty Wally Morse Brenda Fields

DE Division of Fish & Wildlife NJ Division of Fish, Game & Wildlife NY State Dept. of Environmental Conservation VA Institute of Marine Science TX A&M University NEFC - Woods Hole Laboratory Rutgers University NC Division of Marine Fisheries SEFC - Beaufort Laboratory NEFC - Woods Hole Laboratory Mid-Atlantic Council NEFC - Sandy Hook Laboratory MA Division of Marine Fisheries NEFC - Woods Hole Laboratory NEFC - Woods Hole Laboratory SC Wildlife & Marine Resources Dept. NEFC - Woods Hole Laboratory NEFC - Sandy Hook Laboratory NEFC - Woods Hole Laboratory

ZONE FORMATION ON SUMMER FLOUNDER OTOLITHS, FIN RAYS, AND SCALES AS A BASIS FOR AGE INTERPRETATION

A literature review of summer flounder age and growth studies by Poole (1961), Eldridge (1962), Powell (1974), Smith and Daiber (1977), and Shepherd (1980) indicated significant differences in the interpretation of growth zones on otoliths (sagittae). According to Muguja (1966), the otolith is composed primarily of calcium carbonate crystals in aragonite form in a protein matrix. He found that in the otolith fluid of a flatfish, there was a seasonal increase in the concentration of protein causing a decrease in the free calcium concentration; and this occurred at a time corresponding to opaque zone formation in the otolith. Blacker (1974) citing Yokoyama and Yamada (1967) suggested that this decrease in calcium concentration in the otolith fluid is due to the increased rate of calcium deposition on the otolith, but research in this area is not conclusive.

As noted by Blacker (1974), there has been confusion in the meaning of the terms "opaque" and "hyaline" in the description of growth zones on otoliths. Under reflected light, heavily calcified zones in the otoliths will appear white/opaque; while less calcified zones, with a higher organic content appear dark/hyaline (Figure 1). The use of transmitted light would tend to reverse this terminology, but standard terminology described by Jensen (1965) is based upon the use of reflected light.

Investigators of fishes from temperate waters generally agree that the densely calcified or "opaque" zones are indicative of rapid fish growth (Panella, 1974; Blacker, 1974). A major study by Irie (1960) seems to contradict the interpretation of growth association with hyaline and opaque zones generally accepted, but there are indications that these terms may be reversed in his work. Irie's statement that "organic matter is contained more abund-antly in the opaque zone than in the translucent zone" contradicts observations as discussed by Blacker (1974) that it is the translucent zone (hyaline zone) which darkens when the otolith is heated.

In general, most temperate fish otoliths have wide opaque zones while their hyaline zones are quite narrow. Opaque material begins to appear on these otoliths some time during the spring months and continues to be deposited through the summer when most rapid fish growth is evident. There are, however, fluctuations in the time at which opaque edge begins to form, and this may often be correlated with changes in latitude. Opaque edge usually appears earlier in the season the further south in latitude (Williams and Bedford, 1974), and this is very evident on the otoliths of such species as silver and red hake. Summer flounder otoliths seem to deviate from this generalized pattern, with hyaline zones being widest and their formation occurring during the spring and summer (it is assumed that summer flounder grow most rapidly during the warmer months).

According to Dery (pers. comm., 1980), summer flounder otoliths appear poorly calcified, with generally poor contrast between hyaline and opaque zones. There is often erosion at the surface of the otolith. If poor calcification or resorbtion of opaque zones does occur periodically during the late spring, summer, and fall, hyaline zones would appear wide in relation to opaque zones. This lack of opaque zone presence would disguise the typical indication that fish growth had occurred during the warmer months, assuming opaque zone is actually associated with rapid growth. DeVries (pers. comm., 1980), reported he found evidence of opaque zone resorbtion with otoliths from the southern flounder (P. lethostigma).

Dery and Shepherd both found that summer flounder scales and fin rays seem to indicate a moderate to rapid growth period occurring between early summer and the following spring. Observing fin ray thin sections under dark field transmitted light, wide growth zones of dense protein appear opaque (white) after staining with clove oil while the narrow, slow growth zones remain hyaline (dark) (Figure 2). Hyaline edge appears on the fin ray at approximately the same time hyaline edge appears on the otolith -- in the spring. In early summer, however, opaque edge begins to form on the fin ray, while hyaline edge persists on most otoliths.

On summer flounder scale impression zones of fast and slow growth are reflected by the wide or narrow spacing, respectively, of circuli markings from the sculpted upper surface of the scale (Figure 3). Among summer flounder, growth patterns are often variable, but yearly growth patterns seem to be consistent for individual fish. This variability of growth patterns could cause considerable confusion when attempting to locate annuli but for the consistent annual appearance of "cutting over"¹ on the scale edge beginning the second spring following hatching (Figure 4). This marking seems to occur between late March and June and corresponds to the appearance of hyaline edge on both otoliths and fin rays. An abrupt decrease in growth, therefore, seems to be indicated during these months, or else there is a lag between the growth event and the formation of the growth mark.

ł

In conclusion, scales and fin rays follow the generalized temperate water growth pattern and indicate that rapid growth in summer flounder begins in early summer, continuing (probably intermittently) into the following winter. Growth rate interpretation based upon otolith zones may not be reliable due to problems with poor calcification and/or with resorbtion. It would seem reasonable to count lines of "cutting over" on the scales as indicative of annual spring periods of slow growth. In order to determine fish age on the fin rays the corresponding zones would be hyaline annuli. On the otoliths, the zone corresponding to scale "cutting over" would be the outside edge of the opaque zone or the beginning of the hyaline zone bordering this previously deposited opaque zone.

During the first afternoon session of the workshop, participants examined several scales, otoliths, and fin rays of individual fish sampled during spring, summer, and fall from waters off Massachusetts to South Carolina. Participants compared growth zone development on the three structures, particularly the rate of growth indicated on the edge in relation to the sample collection season. There was general agreement that zone development among the three structures tended to follow the pattern described above and that age determinations using the three structures were comparable. A demonstration of scale impression preparation was also presented by Woods Hole summer flounder age readers involving the use of laminated plastic and a roller press. This has been described by Dery and Rearden (1979).

REVIEW OF SUMMER FLOUNDER AGE AND GROWTH STUDIES

There are five studies (Table 1) that have examined the age and growth of summer flounder. All researchers used the left otolith (Figure 1) for aging and agree that specific growth zones or marks shown were annular (Shepherd, 1980, also used scales and fin rays for aging). While Shepherd used the otolith hyaline zone for his annular mark and the other researchers used the opaque zone, the primary disagreement between studies is in the interpretation of age at the first distinct annulus away from the otolith core.

The otolith center area or core (Figures 1 and 5) is essentially opaque. This lack of differentiation could be due to the otolith's thickness and/or unique development during the flounder's first year growth. Poole (1961) and Powell (1974) collected and aged fish they considered age "O+" and "1+" from Long Island and North Carolina waters, respectively. Both selected the first opaque zone or ring away from the core as the first annulus and both used length-frequency distributions to corroborate this annulus. However, their age "O+" and "1+" length frequencies did not agree for comparable time periods. At the workshop, it was decided that Powell's length frequency data agreed with that reported by most others (Table 2) while Poole's data represented an atypical fast growing year class. Lengths selected as being most representative of fish at age "1" and age "2" were 170-180 mm and 280-290 mm, respectively (Table 2).

Eldridge (1962), Smith and Daiber (1977), and Shepherd (1980) collected and aged fish they considered age "2+" or older. Smith and Daiber considered the first distinct opaque zone away from the core as being formed at age "2" (2nd annulus), because fish having this growth mark were thought too large to be in the "1+" year class when compared to reported length frequencies. Shepherd also considered this first distinct otolith opaque zone past the core as being formed just after the second birthday because of comparative work he did with scales and fin rays. Eldridge, basing his aging on observed length frequencies at the end of year "1" and "2", considered this first otolith opaque zone away from the core as being formed at the end of the flounder's third year (3rd annulus) when summer flounder were thought to mature and spawn for the first time.

Both Shepherd, and Smith and Daiber thought the first annulus could be at the outside edge of the core, however, calculated lengths for an annulus at the edge did not approximate observed length frequencies, except possibly for the extreme northern part of the range (Shepherd). Some otoliths examined by Smith and Daiber had thin opaque zones that may have represented a first annulus (Figure 5) but they occurred too infrequently to be meaningful. Since Poole and Powell were the only researchers able to distinguish a first annulus; and they were the only ones who worked with fish under age "2+", there seems to be a good possibility that the first annular mark becomes obscure and/or resorbed as discussed in the previous section.

In general, past the core area, the hyaline (clear or translucent) zone grades into the opaque (white) zone and then the opaque zone ends abruptly leaving a distinct line of demarcation with the following hyaline zone. After the first few opaque zones, the succeeding opaque zones become relatively narrow and uniform, leaving only a fine white ring as compared to the hyaline zone (Figure 1). All researchers utilizing the opaque zone as the annulus measured to the outer edge of this zone where the line of demarcation occurred. Shepherd measured to the outside edge of the succeeding hyaline zone for his annulus. It is uncertain why Shepherd's calculated lengths were similar to those of other researchers (Table 1).

In conclusion, the workshop participants felt that the first distinct opaque zone away from the core on summer flounder otoliths from fish age "2+" and older normally represents the second annulus; however, this determination should be made on a study-by-study basis using length frequency ranges as given in Table 2. It is probable that age "1+" flounder could show a distinct first annulus past the core. Otolith opaque zones representing annuli past number 2 are usually easy to distinguish on most otoliths.

The calculated lengths given in Table 1 for Powell, Smith and Daiber, and Shepherd are considered realistic estimates for normal summer flounder growth, especially up to age 5 or 6 where more adequate sample sizes were available. Poole's lengths, while considered valid, are thought to be representative of very rapid growth not normally found. Eldridge's age groups should be adjusted back one year to fit the growth pattern selected. However, his lengths are still different, probably because he used a somewhat different method for back-calculation. Participants agreed that there is a direct proportion between otolith and body growth, and use of a correction factor may be necessary when back-calculating lengths using the standard linear relationship,

1

Work by Shepherd showed the validity of using scales and fin rays as useful aging structures; however, special care and materials must be used in making scale impressions in order to distinguish the annuli. For ease of sampling commercial and recreational catches, the scale offers the best aging structure, provided the method for scale processing is adequate as mentioned.

Summer flounder spawn from late September through March, with spawning beginning in the northern part of their range and moving southward. For uniformity, 1 January is considered the birthday and fish are not considered one year old unless they have passed their first summer, thereby eliminating the possibility of an October hatched fish being considered one year old the following January. Under normal conditions, the minimum observed mean length frequency of one and two year old January fish should be approximately 170-180 mm and 280-290 mm, respectively (Table 2).

SUMMARY OF AGING CRITERIA

- I. Annulus Identification
 - A. Otolith
 - 1. If opaque zones are counted as annuli, the first distinct opaque zone away from the core in fish greater than 30 cm. represents the second annulus. If this distinct zone is present on otoliths of much smaller fish, it could represent the first annulus.
 - 2. If hyaline zones are counted as annuli, these zones are located at the outer edge of the opaque zones defined above.
 - B. Scale
 - 1. The "cutting over" mark is considered the annulus.
 - 2. The first distinct "cutting over" mark on the scale represents the first annulus.
 - C. Fin Ray
 - 1. If opaque zones are counted as annuli, the first opaque zone following the central crystalline zone represents the first annulus.
 - 2. If hyaline zones are counted as annuli, the first hyaline zone after the central crystalline zone represents the first annulus.
- II. Correspondence of Annulus Formation Among Scales, Otoliths, and Fin Rays

The scale "cutting over" mark appears on the scale edge at approximately the same time as hyaline edge appears on otoliths and fin rays, in the spring. The "cutting over" mark therefore corresponds in location to the interface between the opaque and hyaline zones of otoliths and fin rays as described above.

- III. Common Birthday
 - A. 1 January is established as the birthday with the provision that all fish must pass through a summer period to be considered one year old.
 - 1. Spawning relative to birthday (1 January).

Since spawning occurs from October through March beginning earliest in the northern part of the range and progressing southward, the following are given as age examples:

October hatch- Age 1 = 15 monthsNovember hatch- Age 1 = 14 monthsDecember hatch- Age 1 = 13 monthsJanuary hatch- Age 1 = 12 monthsFebruary hatch- Age 1 = 11 monthsMarch hatch- Age 1 = 10 months

POST WORKSHOP AGE AND GROWTH STUDY OF YOUNG SUMMER FLOUNDER

by Louise Dery²

INTRODUCTION

Workshop participants recommended that there be documentation of age structure growth patterns among young summer flounder. Participants agreed to provide scales, otoliths and fin rays from young fish. An attempt was made to collect approximately 30 individuals in the 15 to 30 cm. range, about two per cm. From growth studies reviewed during the workshop, summer flounder collected during the summer months in this length range should include age groups "0+" and "1+".

COLLECTION OF AGE SAMPLES

A list of samples collected by date and location is as follows:

LOCATION	DATE	NUMBER OF SAMPLES
Great Bay NJ	7/19/80	12
areas buy, no	7/22/80	11
	7/24/80	8
Silver Bay, NJ	8/07/80	6
Delaware Bay	6/20/80	3
	6/23-25/80	5
	7/14-15/80	ς 3

Indian River, DE	7/80		6	
Assawoman Bay	8/05/80		9	
Ocean City, MD				
Isle of Wight Bay	6/08/80		10	
Ocean City, MD	7/13/80		7	
Rappahannock River, VA	8/80		47	
York River, VA	7/80		14	
South Carolina locations	6/03/80		5	
	7/21-23/80		4	
	8/05-06/80		9	
		TOTAL	159	

Fish samples received were in the 10-36 cm. range. Fish were not available from locations north of New Jersey. Whole fish, with the exception of those collected in South Carolina, were processed at the Northeast Fisheries Center, Woods Hole Laboratory for fish length and sex. Scales, otoliths, and fin rays were also removed. Where scales were not provided for fish sampled, e.g., South Carolina, those samples were omitted. Only scales and otoliths were used in this study because of the extensive preparation time required for fin ray sections.

METHOD OF PREPARING AND AGING SCALES AND OTOLITH SAMPLES

Scale impressions on laminated plastic were viewed on a microprojector at 40X. In accordance with the agreement reached during the workshop regarding aging criteria, scales were aged as "0+" if no "cutting over" mark was observed on the scale. Scales with one cutting over mark were aged as "1+", those with two marks as "2+".

Otoliths were stored dry but viewed whole in ethyl alcohol at 15X using reflected light against a dark background. These structures were aged separately from the scales and later the zone formation on both structures were compared. Following the consensus reached during the workshop, the first distinct opaque-hyaline interface after the opaque core was interpreted as the first annulus in fish less than 30 cm.

GROWTH PATTERN TYPOLOGY

A preliminary examination of the scales indicated that their growth patterns could be classified into six types based upon two aspects of scale growth which seemed to vary among samples from different latitudes. These two aspects were rate of scale growth prior to "cutting over" and overall rate of scale growth. Scales of "1+" year olds (at least one full year of growth completed) were evaluated for these growth rate characteristics within the first year. If the circuli were straight or minimally curved, and horizontal bands of circuli segments narrowly spaced, this zone was considered indicative of slow growth. If, however, circuli were curved and/or fragmented and widely spaced, then rapid growth was indicated (Figure 3). Table 3 and Figures 6-10 describe the six possible types of scale growth patterns based upon the above variables: rapid before "cutting over"/ rapid overall (RR), rapid before "c.o."/slow-moderate overall (RS), rapid before "c.o."/mixed overall (RM), slow-moderate before "c.o."/slow-moderate overall (SS), slow-moderate before "c.o."/rapid overall (SR), and slow-moderate before "c.o."/mixed overall (SM). Overall scale growth was termed "mixed" if sharp changes in scale growth during the first year were observed. A fish scale representative of each growth pattern type except "SR" (unsuccessful) was mounted between glass slides and photographed.

METHOD OF BACKCALCULATION

Age "1+" scales were backcalculated to determine actual fish length when the first "cutting over" mark was formed, corresponding to the second spring period following hatching. Scales were measured from the focus to the anterior edge of the scale, and from the focus to the "cutting over" mark. The regression of fish length against scale length was easily fit by eye with a straight line intersecting the origin. The problem of allometric scale growth encountered by Shepherd (1980) was seemingly avoided as only small scales from one year olds were used. Backcalculated fish length at the first annulus was therefore determined by direct proportion.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A comparison of growth zone formation on scales and otoliths revealed that with a few exceptions scale edges indicated moderate to rapid growth ("+" edge) while the edges of most of the corresponding otoliths were clearly hyaline. When "O+" scales and otoliths were examined, the single wide hyaline zone of the otolith extending from the opaque central core to the edge of the otolith seemed to be inclusive of all corresponding "+" growth on the scales. The severe irregularity and crystalline aspect of these otoliths' edges indicated that either calcium was being resorbed or that normal accretion of calcium along the surface of the otolith was being disrupted. A similar process was evident on the edges of the otoliths of the "1+" year olds. Beyond what was assumed the "O+" hyaline zone surrounding the central core and the first opaque zone away from the core, there were two closely spaced hyaline zones separated by a thin opaque zone. From the above evidence and the overall crystalline aspect of many otoliths of adult summer flounder, it seems likely that some resorbtion may occur from spring into the summer on both otoliths and scales (cutting over). Resorbtion of the scale edge is probably quite limited, as no scale erosion was observed later than early June, but it may be extensive on otoliths from some areas. Opaque zones seen on otoliths were probably formed between fall and the following spring, perhaps intermittently. Among the otoliths collected for this study, resorbtion was most severe on otoliths from Virginia and South Carolina waters (Table 3). Unfortunately, no documentation on fish otolith resorbtion is available from published studies, although resorbtion of inner ear calcareous deposits may occur in other vertebrates (Simkiss, 1974). Scale resorbtion, however, during periods of starvation has been observed for a number of species (Crichton, 1935; Ichikawa, 1954; Bilton, 1974).

Table 4 summarizes the age/length distribution of the summer flounder samples used in this study by sampling area and sex. In cases of age disagreement between scales and otoliths (only 1%), the most likely age was assigned. Although few "O+" year olds were processed, length distribution of these young of the year and "1+" year olds indicated two well defined modes; "O+" fish were distributed between 10 and 21 cm., "1+" fish between 15 and 36 cm. These age/length distributions were dependent upon sampling area. Few "2+" fish occurred in the samples, as collections were not requested over 30 cm. Greatest observed mean lengths at age "1+" were 29 and 30 cm. for males and females, respectively, from New Jersey. Differences in mean length at age with sampling area could not be substantiated using basic length at age, possibly due to differences in time of sampling (June through August) and limitations placed upon the sample size and length range of the samples collected. An examination of the scale edges indicated a large variation in the amount of "+" growth after "cutting over". Far more "+" edge was typically present on age "1+" scales from southern locations (Figures 6 and 7). Later in this study backcalculated lengths were employed to detect differences in growth between areas more effectively.

Results of the scale growth pattern study indicated a definite shift in pattern type with change in latitude (Table 3). In age and growth studies it is assumed that the growth of the fish scale reflects the growth of the fish. Therefore, New Jersey scale samples of "1+" year olds indicated an overall rapid fish growth rate during the first year, as "mixed" overall growth was predominantly rapid. A total of 92% of these samples exhibited this type of growth. Rapid fish growth before April-May "cutting over" was characteristic of both New Jersey and Delaware/Maryland samples (86%), although larger numbers of fish exhibited slow-moderate overall growth in the latter (32%). A marked decrease in overall first year growth rate was observed on scale samples from Virginia. Consistent slow-moderate growth during the entire first year was indicated on 44% of the samples. Only 36% of South Carolina scale samples (including the "SM" type) indicated slow-moderate overall growth, but growth rate prior to "cutting over" was slow-moderate for both Virginia and South Carolina, 75% and 58%, respectively. Unfortunately, the sample size was small for South Carolina. A summary of the above data indicated that with the exception of South Carolina, overall fish growth rate tended to decrease from north to south. Growth rate prior to cutting over also tended to decrease with no exceptions.

A distribution of backcalculated fish lengths at age "1" (Table 5), indicated that mean fish length tended to decrease, with the exception of the "SR" type, when the scale growth types were ranked predictively from the fastest to the slowest overall growth. Backcalculated fish lengths were used in order to standardize lengths to the time interval when cutting over occurs (April-May), as samples were collected during the months of June through August with varying amounts of "+" growth. It may be concluded that the faster fish growth rate in more northern areas results in larger "1" year olds, but differences in spawning time relative to first annulus formation is also an important factor in total growth attained. The backcalculated fish lengths distributed according to sampling area indicate the importance of this factor (Table 6). Although overall fish growth rate was faster for South Carolina age "1+" fish relative to those of Virginia, mean backcalculated fish length at age "1" was smaller for the South Carolina samples; 19 and 16 cm., respectively. These data indicate a substantial shift in size at age "1" from 26 cm. (mean length) for New Jersey samples to 16 cm. for those from South Carolina. Two important factors explaining the shift in size appear to be rate of fish growth, possibly dependent on local environmental conditions, and differences in spawning times. As mentioned during the workshop, peak spawning occurs in New Jersey waters in November while in South Carolina spawning may occur as late as March. Additionally, rapid fish growth prior to "cutting over" indicated significant winter season growth for northern fish.

The results of this study seem most useful in the establishment of aging criteria regarding the interpretation of the first annulus. However, the observed first year growth patterns could be of some use in establishing probable nursery locations and stock differentiation for adult summer flounder. Many questions have arisen in published studies concerning stock boundaries and migration patterns (Poole, 1966; Smith, 1973; Chang and Pacheco, 1976; and Smith and Daiber, 1977). The two extreme growth pattern types "RR" and "SS" are particularly characteristic of New Jersey and Virginia age "1+" fish, respectively (Figures 6 and 7). At the Northeast Fisheries Center, Woods Hole Laboratory, scale samples from summer flounder collected north of New Jersey (as far as Massachusetts) tended to exhibit the rapid overall growth pattern "RR" similar to the New Jersey samples. Unfortunately, relatively few scales were examined in this study and therefore firm conclusions about growth pattern differences among areas cannot be made.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Myron Silverman, NEFC-NMFS, Sandy Hook Laboratory, for providing detailed photographs of summer flounder scales. Without Mr. Silverman's contribution, some of the results of the post workshop study would have been difficult to describe in this publication. Allyn Powell was very helpful in reviewing the workshop minutes and suggesting changes for publication. The authors also wish to thank state personnel from New Jersey, Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, and South Carolina for providing summer flounder samples, and the Fishery Biology Investigation, NEFC, Woods Hole Laboratory, for hosting the workshop.

LITERATURE CITED

- Bilton, H. T. 1974. Effects of starvation and feeding on circulus formation on scales of young sockeye salmon of four racial origins, and of one race of young kokanee, coho, and chinook salmon. Pages 40-70 in T. B. Bagenal, ed. The aging of fish. Unwin Bros. Ltd., Surrey, England.
- Blacker, R. W. 1974. Recent advances in otolith studies. Pages 67-90 in F. R. Harden-Jones, ed. Sea fisheries research. John Wiley and Sons, NY.

- Chang, S., and A. L. Pacheco. 1976. An evaluation of the summer flounder population in subarea 5 and statistical area 6. Int. Comm. Northwest Atl. Fish. Sel. Pap. 1:59-71.
- Casey, J. 1980. Personal communication. Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Annapolis, MD.
- Crichton, M. I. 1935. Scale resorbtion in salmon and sea trout. Salm. Fish. Edinb. No. 4:1-8.
- Dery, L. 1980. Personal communication. Northeast Fisheries Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, Woods Hole Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA.
- Dery, L., and C. Rearden. 1979. Report of the state-federal scup (<u>Stenotomus</u> <u>chrysops</u>) age and growth workshop. Lab. Ref. Doc. No. 79-57. Northeast Fisheries Center, NMFS Woods Hole Lab., 10 p.
- DeSylva, D. P., F. A. Kalber, and C. N. Shuster, Jr. 1962. Fishes and ecological conditions in the shore zone of the Delaware River Estuary, with notes on other species collected in deeper water. Univ. Delaware Mar. Lab., Inf. Ser. Publ. No. 5, 164 p.
- DeVries, D. 1980. Personal communication. North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries, Dept. of Natural and Economic Resources, Morehead City, NC.
- Eldridge, P. J. 1962. Observations on the winter trawl fishery for summer flounder, <u>Paralichthys dentatus</u>. M.A. Thesis. School of Marine Science. College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA, 58 p.
- Hildebrand, S. F., and W. C. Schroeder. 1928. Fishes of Chesapeake Bay. Bull. U.S. Bur. Fish. 43:1-388.
- Ichikawa, R. 1954. Absorbtion of fish scale caused by starvation. Rec. Oceanogr. Wks. Japan 1:101-104.
- Irie, I. 1955. The crystal structure of the otolith of a marine teleost Pseudosciaena. J. Fac. Fish. Animal Husb., Hiroshima Univ. 1(1):1-14.
- Irie, T. 1960. The growth of the fish otolith. J. Fac. Fish. Animal Husb., Hiroshima Univ. 3(1):203-229.
- Jensen, A. 1965. A standard terminology and notation for otolith readers. Int. Comm. Northwest Atl. Fish. Res. Bull. 2:5-7.
- Muguja, Y. 1966. Calcification in fish and shellfish VI. Seasonal changes in calcium and magnesium concentrations of the otolith fluid in some fish, with special reference to the zone formation of their otolith. Bull. Jap. Soc. Scient. Fish. 32(7):549-557.

- Pannella, G. 1974. Otolith growth patterns: an aid in age determination in temperate and tropical fishes. Pages 28-39 in T. B. Bagenal, ed. The aging of fish. Unwin Bros. Ltd., Surrey, England.
- Pearcy, W. G., and S. W. Richards. 1962. Distribution and ecology of fishes of the Mystic River Estuary, Connecticut. Ecology 43(2):245-259.
- Poole, J. C. 1961. Age and growth of the fluke in Great South Bay and their significance to the sport fishery. New York Fish and Game Journal, 8(1):1-18.
- Poole, J. C. 1962. The fluke population of Great South Bay in relation to the sport fishery. New York Fish and Game Journal, 9(2):92-117.
- Powell, A. B. 1974. Biology of the summer flounder, <u>Paralichthys dentatus</u>, in Pamlico Sound and adjacent waters, with comments on <u>P. lethostigma</u> and <u>P. albigutta</u>. M.S. Thesis. University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, 145 p.
- Shepherd, G. 1980. A comparative study of aging methods for summer flounder (Paralichthys dentatus). Lab. Ref. Doc. No. 80-13. Northeast Fisheries Center, NMFS Woods Hole Lab., 26 p
- Simkiss, K. 1974. Calcium metabolism of fish in relation to aging. Pages 1-12 in Bagenal, T. B., ed. The aging of fish. Unwin Bros. Ltd., Surrey, England.
- Smith, R. W., and F. C. Daiber. 1977. Biology of the summer flounder, Paralichthys dentatus, in Delaware Bay. Fish. Bull. 75(4):823-830.
- Smith, W. G. 1973. The distribution of summer flounder, <u>Paralichthys</u> <u>dentatus</u>, eggs and larvae on the continental shelf between Cape Cod and Cape Lookout, 1965-66. Fish. Bull., U.S. 71:527-548.
- Williams, T., and B. C. Bedford. 1974. The use of otoliths for age determination. Pages 114-123 in T. B. Bagenal, ed. The aging of fish. Unwin Bros. Ltd., Surrey, England.
- Yokoyama, T., and T. Yamada. 1967. Calcification of fish otoliths caused by food and water. Bull. Jap. Soc. Scient. Fish., 33:24-26.

FOOTNOTES

- 1. Refers to scale edge erosion forming a white line across the anterior edge of the scale impression.
- 2. This section is a separate piece of research completed by this author after the workshop.

		Location of Annulus	Estimated Age	Mean Ca	lculat	ed Tot	al Len	gth(mm) at S	uccess	ive An	nuli
Study	Study Area	of Annulus Formation	Distinct Annulus	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
					M	ALE						
Poole (1961)	Great South Bay Long Island, N.Y.	Outer edge - opaque zone February - March	one	251	326	387	427					
Eldridge (1962)	Winter Trawl Fishery Hampton, Va.	Outer edge - opaque zone February - March	three	170 ^a	240 ^a	319	357	381	399	414	426	
Powell (1974)	Pamlico Sound, N.C.	Outer edge - opaque zone January - February	one	164								
Smith & Daiber (1977)	Delaware Bay, DE	Outer edge - opaque zone February - March	two		260	345	397	448	493	517		
					FE	MALE						
Poole (1961)	Great South Bay Long Island, N.Y.	Outer edge - opaque zone February - March	one	271	377	465	531	644				
Eldridge (1962)	Winter Trawl Fishery Hampton, Va.	Outer edge - opaque zone February - March	three	170 ^a	240 ^a	377	424	471	518	566	613	657
Powell (1974)	Pamlico Sound, N.C.	Outer edge - opaque zone January - February	one	170	290	380						
Smith & Daiber (1977)	Delaware Bay, DE	Outer edge - opaque zone February - March	two		280	380	453	511	565	618	661	
Shepherd (1980)	Martha's Vineyard Sound, Mass.	Outer edge - hyaline zone March (otolith) Circuli scale crossover March - April (scale)	two	114 ^b 113 ^c	284 ^b 242 ^c	392 ^b 365 ^c	447 ^b 453 ^c	501 ^b 524 ^c	590 ^C	691 ^C		

Table 1. Comparison of summer flounder, <u>Paralichthys</u> <u>dentatus</u>, age studies (Otoliths used for aging except as noted).

(a) Lengths are estimates or means of observed length frequency.
(b) Lengths as calculated from otoliths.
(c) Lengths as calculated from scales.

.

-15-

Study	Location	YOY or age "1"	Mar	Apr	May	June	July Total	Aug length (Sept mm)	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb
Pearcy & Richards (1962)	Connecticut- Mystic River	YOY			39-66		97-137							
Poole (1961)	N.YGreat	VOV					140	105	225				•	
NMFS	New York Bight	YOY					140	105	225		180		180	
NMFS inshore spring cruises	New York- Cape Hatteras	"1"	170 - /	200										
Smith(Pers. comm.)& DeSylva, Kalber & Shuster (1962) Şmith (Pers. comm.)	Delaware Bay DelIndian River Bay	YOY YOY				90 84	110	120				-	175	
Casey (Pers. comm.)	Maryland- Coastal Bays	YOY "1"			78 275	102 290	119 316	150 313	158 342	164 348				
Hildebrand & Schroeder (1928) Eldridge (1962)	Chesapeake Bay Chesapeake Bay VaYork River	YO Y YO Y YO Y			22-6	0 101 94	75-125 154	 			170	-120-180) 180	
Powell (1974)	N. Carolina- Pamlico Sound	YOY "1"	178	174	195	. 95 204	115 224	148	156	162	171 280-290	166		
Sunmary ^a		YOY "1"		200	40-80	80-105	110-140 125	120-155	155-160	160-170	170 280	290	180	

Table 2. Reported length frequencies of young-of-the-year and age "1" summer flounder, Paralichthys dentatus over its range.

 a Does not include Poole (1961) data for August and September.

,

. '

Table 3. Percent and number of six types of scale growth patterns, by sampling area, for age "1+" summer flounder, <u>Paralichthys</u> <u>dentatus</u>. Also included are percent and number of age "1+" otoliths with "not appreciable," "moderate," or "severe" resorbtion, by sampling area.

Overall	Scale				Samp]i	ng a	reas		
growth	pattern	N	ew	Del	aware			South	
rate	abbreviatior	<u>is J</u>	ersey	Mar	yland	Vir	ginia	<u>Carol</u>	<u>ina</u>
		%	(No.)	%	(No.)	%	(No.)	% (N	o.)
Rapid	RR	48	(14)	23	(8)	4	(2)	27 (3)
Mixed	RM	31	(9)	34	(12)	11	(5)	0 (0)
Rapid	SR	3	(1)	3	(1)	11	(5)	36 (4)
Mixed	SM	10	(3)	9	(3)	20	(9)	18 (2)
Slow-Moderat	e RS	7	(2)	29	(10)	9	(4)	9 (1)
Slow-Moderat	e SS	0	(0)	3	(1)	44	(20)	9 (1)
tal number ex	amined		29		35		45	1	1
"Resorbtion"	•								
iable		18	(5)	47	(17)	9	(4)	0 (0)
		72	(21)	39	(14)	38	(17)	8 (1)
		10	(3)	14	(5)	53	(24)	.92 (1	1)
tal number ex	amined		29		36		45	1	2
	Vverall growth rate Rapid Mixed Rapid Mixed Slow-Moderat Slow-Moderat tal number ex "Resorbtion" iable	OverallScalegrowthpatternrateabbreviationRapidRRMixedRMRapidSRMixedSMSlow-ModerateRSSlow-ModerateSStal number examined"Resorbtion"iable	Overall growth rateScale patternNrateabbreviationsJ%RapidRR48MixedRM31RapidSR3MixedSM10Slow-ModerateRS7Slow-ModerateSS0tal number examined"Resorbtion"iable187210tal number examined10	Overall growth rateScale pattern AbbreviationsNew Jersey % (No.)RapidRR48 (14)MixedRM31 (9)RapidSR3 (1)MixedSM10 (3)Slow-ModerateSS0 (0)tal number examined29"Resorbtion"18 (5)iable18 (5)10 (3)10 (3)	Overall growth rateScale pattern abbreviationsNew JerseyDel Man%(No.) %RapidRR48(14)23MixedRM31(9)34RapidSR3(1)3MixedSM10(3)9Slow-ModerateRS7(2)29Slow-ModerateSS0(0)3tal number examined29"Resorbtion"29iable18(5)4772(21)3910(3)10(3)1429	Overall growth Scale pattern New Jersey Sampli Delaware Maryland rate abbreviations Jersey Maryland % (No.) % (No.) Rapid RR 48 (14) 23 (8) Mixed RM 31 (9) 34 (12) Rapid SR 3 (1) 3 (1) Mixed SM 10 (3) 9 (3) Slow-Moderate RS 7 (2) 29 (10) Slow-Moderate SS 0 (0) 3 (1) tal number examined 29 35 "Resorbtion" 39 (14) iable 18 (5) 47 (17) 72 (21) 39 (14) 10 (3) 14 (5) tal number examined 29 36	Overall Scale Sampling a growth pattern New Delaware rate abbreviations Jersey Maryland Vir % (No.) % (No.) % (No.) % Rapid RR 48 (14) 23 (8) 4 Mixed RM 31 (9) 34 (12) 11 Rapid SR 3 (1) 3 (1) 11 Mixed SM 10 (3) 9 (3) 20 Slow-Moderate RS 7 (2) 29 (10) 9 Slow-Moderate SS 0 (0) 3 (1) 44 tal number examined 29 35 7 7 7 7 9 72 (21) 39 (14) 38 10 (3) 14 5) 53 tal number examined 29 36 36 <td< td=""><td>Overall growth rate Scale pattern abbreviations New Jersey Sampling areas Maryland Sampling areas Virginia % New Jersey Delaware Maryland Virginia % (No.) % (No.) % Rapid RR 48 (14) 23 (8) 4 (2) Mixed RM 31 (9) 34 (12) 11 (5) Rapid SR 3 (1) 3 (1) 11 (5) Rapid SR 3 (1) 3 (1) 11 (5) Rapid SR 3 (1) 3 (1) 11 (5) Mixed SM 10 (3) 9 (3) 20 (9) Slow-Moderate SS 0 (0) 3 (1) 44 (20) tal number examined 29 35 45 (21) 39 (14) 38 (17) 10 (3) 14<!--</td--><td>Overall Scale Sampling areas growth pattern New Delaware South abbreviations Jersey Maryland Virginia Carol % (No.) % (No.) % (No.) % Rapid RR 48 (14) 23 (8) 4 (2) 27 Mixed RM 31 (9) 34 (12) 11 (5) 0 Rapid SR 3 (1) 3 (1) 11 (5) 0 Rapid SR 3 (1) 3 (1) 11 (5) 36 Mixed SM 10 (3) 9 (3) 20 (9) 18 Slow-Moderate RS 7 (2) 29 (10) 9 (4) 9 'Resorbtion''' </td></td></td<>	Overall growth rate Scale pattern abbreviations New Jersey Sampling areas Maryland Sampling areas Virginia % New Jersey Delaware Maryland Virginia % (No.) % (No.) % Rapid RR 48 (14) 23 (8) 4 (2) Mixed RM 31 (9) 34 (12) 11 (5) Rapid SR 3 (1) 3 (1) 11 (5) Rapid SR 3 (1) 3 (1) 11 (5) Rapid SR 3 (1) 3 (1) 11 (5) Mixed SM 10 (3) 9 (3) 20 (9) Slow-Moderate SS 0 (0) 3 (1) 44 (20) tal number examined 29 35 45 (21) 39 (14) 38 (17) 10 (3) 14 </td <td>Overall Scale Sampling areas growth pattern New Delaware South abbreviations Jersey Maryland Virginia Carol % (No.) % (No.) % (No.) % Rapid RR 48 (14) 23 (8) 4 (2) 27 Mixed RM 31 (9) 34 (12) 11 (5) 0 Rapid SR 3 (1) 3 (1) 11 (5) 0 Rapid SR 3 (1) 3 (1) 11 (5) 36 Mixed SM 10 (3) 9 (3) 20 (9) 18 Slow-Moderate RS 7 (2) 29 (10) 9 (4) 9 'Resorbtion''' </td>	Overall Scale Sampling areas growth pattern New Delaware South abbreviations Jersey Maryland Virginia Carol % (No.) % (No.) % (No.) % Rapid RR 48 (14) 23 (8) 4 (2) 27 Mixed RM 31 (9) 34 (12) 11 (5) 0 Rapid SR 3 (1) 3 (1) 11 (5) 0 Rapid SR 3 (1) 3 (1) 11 (5) 36 Mixed SM 10 (3) 9 (3) 20 (9) 18 Slow-Moderate RS 7 (2) 29 (10) 9 (4) 9 'Resorbtion'''

	New	Jer	sey			Delawa	re/Ma	rylan	d	Vin	gini	a			South C	aroli	na	
Lenath	Age 0+ M F u	<u>Ag</u> M	e 1+ F	Age 24 M F	M	Age 0+ F u	Ag M	<u>e 1+</u> F	Age 2+ M F	Age 0+ M F u	- <u>- A</u> M	<u>ge 1+</u> F	Age 2+ M F	<u>Age 0+</u> M F	Age 1 M F	+	Age 2+ M F u	Totals
cm 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	1 . 1 1 3	5 4 1 3	1 1 1 2 8 3 1	1	1 1	1 1 2	1 2 4 4 5 3 1	1 1 3 3 4 2	-	1 2 1 3 1 1 5 1	2 1 5 6 4 5 1 2	1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 1	1		2 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	$1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2$	1 2 1 3 2 4 7 5 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 1 5 6 12 17 15 19 15 17 10 3
Totals Mean	6 19	13 29	17 30	1	2 21	5 16	21 26	15 28		15 15	27 27	18 30	1		38 2219	1	1 2 3 28 30	159

-

Table 4. Age/length distribution of summer flounder, <u>Paralichthys dentatus</u>, samples by sampling area and sex. (u = unsexed samples). Ages were determined from both scales and otoliths.

		Sca	ale Growth 1	Pattern Type	<u>es</u>	
Fish length	"RR"	"RM"	"SR"	"SM"	"RS"	"SS"
<u></u>						
8						
9						
10			1			1
11						
12						
13			2	, 1	-	1
14	-	1			1	3
15	1	T	1	0	0	
10	2	1	T	2	2	4 5
18	1	1		2	2	2
19	1	1		<i>L</i> .	4	2
20		2			2	1
21	1	ī			2	. –
22	1	2		3	2	1
23	4	2	1			1
24	6	8	2	1		1
25	5	2	1	3		
26	2	2	1	2		
27	2	3	T	1 1	1	
20	1	1		1	1	
30	T	1	1			
31			+			
Total	27	27	11	18	17	24
Mean	23	23	20	22	19	17

.

Table 5. Distribution of age "1" summer flounder, <u>Paralichthys dentatus</u>, first year scale growth pattern types with backcalculated fish length. Growth pattern types are arranged from left to right in order of predicted decreasing rate of fish growth.

-

. .

	Sampling area										
Fish length	New Jersey	Delaware/ Maryland	Virginia	South Carolina							
<u>cm</u>											
8											
9											
10 11			1	1							
12			1								
13			1	3							
14 15		2	3	1							
16		2	6	3							
17		1	6	2							
18 19		3 4	5	Ţ							
20		3	2								
21	2	1	1								
22	1	3	5								
24.	3	10	3	2							
25	5	3	3								
20 27	3 7	2	1								
28	3		1								
30	2		1								
Total	29	36	46	13							
mean	20	21	19	Ip							

•

,

.

Table 6.	Distribution of yearling summer flounder, <u>Paralichthys</u> <u>dentatus</u> , backcalculated fish length at age "1" by sampling area
,	backcalculated fish length at age I by sampling area.



Figure 1. Left otolith from an age "8+" summer flounder, total length 69 cm, taken on 18 August. Estimated age indicated against respective opaque annuli (rule marking in mm.)



IV III I CRYSTALLINE ZONE

Figure 2. Fin ray thin section of an age "4+" summer flounder, total length 53 cm., taken in July. Estimated age indicated against respective hyaline annuli. (Fin ray under dark field transmitted light after treatment with clove oil.)



Figure 3. Summer flounder scale circuli patterns indicative of rate of growth. A. Circuli segments curved, fragmented, and widely spaced, indicating rapid fish growth (R). B. Circuli segments straight and narrowly spaced, indicating slow fish growth(s). Moderate growth (M) is also shown.



Figure 4. Scale of an age "6+" summer flounder, total length 66 cm., taken in July. Estimated age indicated against respective "cutting over" marks clearly defined from the third annulus to the edge. ("Cutting over marks of annuli one and two are obscure, as the entire scale was photographed, not the impression.)



Figure 5. Left otolith from an age "3" summer flounder, total length 39 cm., taken on 15 June. Estimated age indicated against respective annuli (rule marking in mm.).



Figure 6. Age "1+" scale, growth pattern type "SS", of a 28 cm. summer flounder collected from the Rappahannock River, VA, in August.



Figure 7. Age "1+" scale, growth pattern type "RR", of a 31 cm. summer flounder collected from Great Bay, NJ, in July.



Figure 8. Age "1+" scale, growth pattern type "RM", of a 27 cm. summer flounder collected from the Indian River, DE, in July.



Figure 9. Age "1+" scale, growth pattern type "SM", of a 32 cm. summer flounder collected from the York River, VA, in July.



Figure 10. Age "1+" scale, growth pattern type "RS", of a 29 cm. summer flounder collected from Isle of Wight Bay, MD, in July.

•