1				
2	DR. LISA CELINE MCMANUS (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-9367-6872)			
3	DR. VÍTOR V VASCONCELOS (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-4621-5272)			
4	5			
5				
6	Article type Primary Research Articles			
7	0			
8	S			
9				
10	Corresponding author mail-id : lisa.c.mcmanus@gmail.com			
11	Title: Extreme temperature events will drive coral decline in the Coral Triangle			
12				
13	Authors: Lisa C. McManus <sup>1,2</sup> , Vítor V. Vasconcelos <sup>1</sup> , Simon A. Levin <sup>1</sup> , Diane M. Thompson <sup>3</sup> , Joan A. Kleypas <sup>4</sup> ,			
14	Frederic S. Castruccio <sup>4</sup> , Enrique N. Curchitser <sup>5</sup> and James R. Watson <sup>6</sup>			
15				
16	<sup>1</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA			
17	<sup>2</sup> Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Natural Resources, Rutgers University, 14 College Farm Road, New			
18	Brunswick, NJ 08901, USA			
19	<sup>3</sup> Department of Geoscience, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA			
20	<sup>4</sup> National Center for Atmospheric Research, P.O. Box 3000, Boulder CO 80307-3000, USA			
21	<sup>5</sup> Department of Environmental Sciences, Rutgers University, 14 College Farm Road, New Brunswick, NJ 08901, USA			
	This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi:			

10.1111/GCB.14972

22 <sup>6</sup>College of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA

23

24 Keywords: coral persistence, metacommunity, seascape, climate change, climate variability, resilience, El Niño

25

26 Abstract

27 In light of rapid environmental change, quantifying the contribution of regional- and local-scale drivers of coral 28 persistence is necessary to characterize fully the resilience of coral reef systems. To assess multiscale responses to 29 thermal perturbation of corals in the Coral Triangle (CT), we developed a spatially explicit metacommunity model 30 with coral-algal competition, including seasonal larval dispersal and external spatio-temporal forcing. We tested 31 coral sensitivity in 2083 reefs across the CT region and surrounding areas under potential future temperature 32 regimes, with and without interannual climate variability, exploring a range of 0.5 to 2.0°C overall increase in temperature in the system by 2054. We found that among future projections, reef survival probability and mean 33 percent coral cover over time were largely determined by the presence or absence of interannual sea surface 34 35 temperature (SST) extremes as well as absolute temperature increase. Overall, reefs that experienced SST time series that were filtered to remove interannual variability had approximately double the chance of survival than 36 37 reefs subjected to unfiltered SST. By the end of the forecast period, the inclusion of thermal anomalies was 38 equivalent to an increase of at least 0.5°C in SST projections without anomalies. Change in percent coral cover 39 varied widely across the region within temperature scenarios, with some reefs experiencing local extinction while 40 others remaining relatively unchanged. Sink strength and current thermal stress threshold were found to be 41 significant drivers of these patterns, highlighting the importance of processes that underlie larval connectivity and bleaching sensitivity in coral networks. 42

43

44 Introduction

The Coral Triangle (CT) region in the Indo-Pacific has long been recognized as the epicenter of coral diversity with over 500 species of stony coral (Veron et al., 2009). These reefs serve as direct providers of livelihoods for over 100 million people in coastal communities, yet the CT is also one of the most threatened reef systems in the world (Burke, Reytar, Spalding, & Perry, 2011). Local stressors such as overfishing, and watershedbased pollution are widely recognized as factors contributing to loss of coral cover. At the global scale, climate change is driving an increase in sea surface temperature (SST) and an associated frequency of mass coral bleaching and mortality events in the region (Donner, Skirving, Little, Oppenheimer, & Hoegh-Gulberg, 2005; Kleypas,

52 Castruccio, Curchitser, & McLeod, 2015). Widespread coral mortality has negative cascading effects throughout 53 the reef ecosystem and the people that rely on them for food and income (Bruno & Bertness, 2001). Some 54 consequences of coral decline include the loss of structural complexity that living coral maintains, decreases in the 55 diversity and abundance of fish species (Graham et al., 2006), and reduced reef ecosystem services such as coastal 56 protection from storms (Beck et al., 2018).

57 Like many areas in the world, increasing sea surface temperatures and bleaching frequency have been documented in the Coral Triangle (Bruno & Selig, 2007; Mcleod et al., 2010). Across the region, a SST warming of 58 59 roughly 0.1-0.2°C per year has been reported (Peñaflor, Skirving, Strong, Heron, & David, 2009). However, the 60 area's complicated bathymetry and oceanography maintain spatially diverse micro-climates in terms of 61 temperature and turbidity (Kleypas, Castruccio, Curchitser, & McLeod, 2015), and these differences could ostensibly lead to a wide range of reef-scale biological responses to climate-related stressors, namely warming 62 63 waters that trigger coral bleaching events. Indeed, coral cover in the Indo-Pacific region is in active decline. 64 Between 1997 and 2003, an alarming 3,000+ km<sup>2</sup> of coral cover per year is estimated to have been lost across the broader Indo-Pacific region (Bruno & Selig, 2007). More recent assessments report continued degradation in the 65 Indo-Pacific and surrounding areas: the Great Barrier Reef (GBR) lost approximately 50% of hard coral cover 66 between 1985 and 2012 (De'ath, Fabricius, Sweatman, & Puotinen, 2012) and experienced a 30% decline from 67 68 March to November during the 2016 bleaching event (Hughes, Kerry, et al., 2018), while coral cover in the 69 Philippines declined by about one third during the last decade (Licuanan, Robles, & Reyes, 2019). Additionally, 70 climate anomalies such as those experienced during El Niño-Southern Oscillation events are known to trigger 71 regional-scale mass bleaching events in the CT (Kleypas, Castruccio, Curchitser, & McLeod, 2015). While climate 72 variability is a crucial factor in determining the susceptibility of corals to thermal stress, particularly in subsequent 73 mass bleaching events (Boylan & Kleypas, 2008; Donner, 2011; Guest et al., 2012; McClanahan & Maina, 2003; 74 Thompson & van Woesik, 2009), we have yet to fully understand the relative contribution of climate anomalies 75 and rising mean temperatures to the persistence of coral metapopulations.

It is critical that the spatio-temporal response of CT reefs to thermal stress be characterized, with 76 77 particular consideration towards the heterogeneous yet interconnected nature of these reefs. The recovery of 78 coral and fish populations is an active and promising area of study, with several researchers suggesting that 79 connectivity through larval dispersal could facilitate the population regrowth of reef organisms in areas where they 80 have become relatively depleted (Cruz & Harrison, 2017; Hock et al., 2017). Recent marine larval dispersal studies 81 that couple ocean circulation models and Lagrangian particle tracking simulations have elucidated patterns of 82 connectivity over a range of spatial scales for several species (including Beger et al., 2015; Cowen, Paris, & 83 Srinivasan, 2006; Cowen, Lwiza, Sponaugle, Paris, & Olson, 2000; Thompson et al., 2018; Villarino et al., 2018; 84 Watson et al., 2011) as well as physical barriers that prevent larval exchange across subpopulations (Thompson et

al., 2018; Wood et al., 2016); these results support mechanisms for empirically observed patterns in species
distributions and population structure (Berkley, Kendall, Mitarai, & Siegel, 2010; Galindo, Olson, & Palumbi, 2006;
Galindo et al., 2010; Kool, Paris, Andréfouët, & Cowen, 2010; Kool, Paris, Barber, & Cowen, 2011). However, few
studies have linked these connectivity patterns to broader community dynamics such as the competition for space
between corals and macroalgae (but see Melbourne-Thomas et al. 2011; Melbourne-Thomas et al. 2011; Watson
et al. 2011).

91 The competitive outcomes within the reef benthos have broad ecological implications. In particular, the 92 interactions among coral, macroalgae, and herbivores on reefs can theoretically determine the potential for 93 recovery from a coral-depauperate to a coral-dominated state (Mumby, Hastings, & Edwards, 2007). Furthermore, 94 at least some reefs are thought to exhibit alternative stable states (Baskett, Fabina, & Gross, 2014; Blackwood & Hastings, 2011; Fung, Seymour, & Johnson, 2011; Mumby et al., 2007) meaning that trajectories can evolve 95 96 towards either coral- or macroalgal-dominance depending on initial conditions. Larval recruitment, even when 97 occurring seasonally, can have a significant impact on such dynamics (McManus, Watson, Vasconcelos, & Levin, 2019). In light of these potential interactions, it is necessary to simultaneously consider multiple processes, namely 98 99 within-patch competition and regional-scale larval dispersal, when assessing the potential impact of climate 100 change on a reef system.

101 To explore the possible nonlinear and multiscale response of coral reefs in the Coral Triangle and its 102 surrounding areas to climate change, we developed a metacommunity model based on an idealized system of 103 reefs in a spatially realistic connectivity network. The metacommunity model tracks changes in coral and 104 macroalgae populations on reef patches that result from competition for space between coral and macroalgae, as well as coral recruitment via larval exchange among reef patches within the connectivity network. The seasonal 105 106 exchange of coral larvae among patches was modeled with a semi-empirical connectivity dataset generated from 107 an ocean circulation model of the CT based on observed spawning patterns of a model coral found throughout the 108 region (Acropora millepora). The effects of thermal stress on coral populations were simulated by directly linking coral mortality to heat stress under four different temperature increase scenarios: 0.5°C, 1.0°C, 1.5°C and 2.0°C 109 over a period of 47 years. Finally, the role of interannual temperature variability on coral persistence was 110 determined by comparing results among simulations that incorporate SST time series without interannual SST 111 112 variance ('filtered' scenarios) and those with baseline, unfiltered SST ('unfiltered' scenarios).

### 113 Materials and methods

#### 114 CT-ROMS

An implementation of the Regional Ocean Modeling System for the Coral Triangle, CT-ROMS, was used to simulate ocean circulation and SST for a 47-year hindcast from 1960-2007 (Castruccio, Curchitser, & Kleypas,

117 2013). CT-ROMS has a 5-km horizontal grid resolution with 50 vertical terrain-following levels. The full CT-ROMS 118 hindcast was for 50 years, with the first 3 years considered as spinup for the model to reach a dynamical balance. 119 The model domain spans 95°E-170°E and 25°S-25°N and is set to maximize coverage of reefs within the region 120 (Figure 1). Boundary conditions for the hindcast are based on the Simple Ocean Data Assimilation (Carton & Giese, 121 2008) and Modern Era-Retrospective Analysis for Research Applications (MERRA) analysis (Rienecker et al., 2011). 122 Tides in CT-ROMS are explicitly solved, and comparisons between observed and model-generated temperature and ocean circulation patterns show high agreement (Castruccio et al., 2013). When evaluated against the 123 124 satellite-derived Coral Reef Temperature Anomaly Database (Selig, Casey, & Bruno, 2010), CT-ROMS SST outputs 125 accurately captured both means and variability. The root-mean-square (RMS) errors between observed and model SST values were generally less than 1°C, with a mean RMS error for the entire domain of 0.7°C (Castruccio et al., 126 127 2013). For the present study, we incorporated both SST and ocean current velocity fields from the CT-ROMS 1960-128 2007 hindcast into a novel coral reef metacommunity model to simulate the ecological implications of different 129 temperature increase scenarios across the Coral Triangle.

#### 130 *Metacommunity model*

We developed a discrete-time and spatially explicit form of a well-studied coral-algal competition model (Elmhirst, Connolly, & Hughes, 2009; Fung, Seymour, & Johnson, 2011; Mumby, Hastings, & Edwards, 2007) that incorporates a pulsed, annual week-long coral reproductive event (McManus, Watson, Vasconcelos & Levin, 2019) during April of every year. To calculate the rate at which coral area is added during the recruitment period at site *i* during year *y* ( $l_i^y$ ), we have

136 
$$l_i^{Y} = \frac{\delta}{A_i} \sum_{t=120}^{127} \sum_{j=1}^{J} fA_j C_j(t) \mathbf{D}_{ij}^{Y} \quad (1)$$

where t is time in days, J is the total number of reefs (J = 2083),  $\delta$  is the size per individual of a coral recruit and  $A_i$ 137 is the total amount of reef area (i.e. colonizable hard bottom) area at site *i*. The number of coral larvae produced 138 at each site j is  $fA_iC_i$ , where f is the effective fecundity or the number of viable larvae produced per unit area of 139 coral and  $C_i$  is the fractional coral cover at j (See Table S1 for a summary of parameter values and definitions). 140 Multiplying this term by  $\mathbf{D}_{ii}^{\gamma}$  from the potential connectivity matrix (described below) gives the number of larvae 141 142 that reach site i from site j during year y. This quantity is summed across all sources for each destination or sink site (including self-recruitment), which is further summed across the seven reproductive days. On a daily time-143 scale, larval recruitment is "on" during the first week of April and "off" at all other times of the year to 144 approximate the spring spawning period for A. millepora (Baird, Guest, & Willis, 2009). If we let mod(a,b) be the 145 remainder of the division of a by b, larval recruitment during the first week of April can be written as  $l_i(t) = l_i^{\gamma(t)}$  if 146  $120 \leq \text{mod}(t,365) \leq 127$  and  $l_i(t) = 0$  during the rest of the year. This recruitment is scaled by the amount of 147

coral cover at the source site and the free space available in the destination site. Note that we do not implement an explicit relationship between coral adult abundance and larval supply at any particular patch. Instead, we set an effective fecundity wherein larvae are either retained in the same reef, exported to other sites or lost from the network based on potential connectivity. In our simulations, the same set of connectivity matrices are used for both the hindcast and the forecast, ignoring any changes in ocean circulation patterns. This is a reasonable assumption, given that differences between hindcast and future ocean circulation projections and resulting connectivity patterns are relatively small (Thompson et al., 2018).

155 In addition to natural coral death  $\mu_0$  (i.e., mortality that is unrelated to bleaching), additional coral 156 mortality due to bleaching,  $\mu_b$ , was incorporated as a function of *DHW* and a factor  $\eta$  that scales *DHW* to 157 bleaching stress. Since the relationship between *DHW* and coral mortality has yet to be fully characterized (but 158 see Welle et al., 2017), a linear relationship was assumed for coral death due to bleaching:

159

 $\mu_b(w) = \eta \quad DHW_i(w) \quad (2)$ 

160 To capture the effects of rapid algae colonization after a bleaching event (Diaz-Pulido & McCook, 2002; 161 Glynn, 1993), a term for constant, low-level external recruitment of algae  $\varphi$  was included sensu Elmhirst et al. 162 (2009).

163 The full system with coral  $C_i(t)$  and macroalgal  $M_i(t)$  cover on site i on day t is then

164  $\Delta C_i(t) = rC_i(t)F_i(t) + l_i(t)F_i(t) \quad (\mu_0 + \mu_b)C_i(t) \quad (3)$ 

165

 $\Delta M_i(t) = \gamma M_i(t) F_i(t) + a C_i(t) M_i(t) + \varphi F_i(t) \quad g(C_i(t)) M_i(t) \quad (4)$ 

166 Where  $\Delta$  is the change from day t to day t+1, r is the coral rate of growth,  $\gamma$  is the macroalgal rate of growth, and 167  $F_i(t)$ , free space, is equivalent to 1  $C_i(t)$   $M_i(t)$ . We used a convex form for macroalgal grazing with respect 168 to coral cover (McManus, Watson, Vasconcelos & Levin et al., 2019) such that  $g(C_i(t)) = g_0 + (g_1 g_0)(C_i(t))^2$ . 169 Here, r is the coral rate of growth,  $\gamma$  is the macroalgal rate of growth,  $g_0$  is the baseline grazing rate when C = 0170 and  $g_1$  is the maximum grazing rate when C = 1.

The goal of this study is to provide a conservative estimate of the relative effects of thermal stress magnitude and interannual variability on corals by assessing the potential sensitivity of a reef system to increasing temperatures. In order to focus on this stressor, we made several simplifying assumptions regarding the system. First, with the exception of total reef area, all sites are homogeneous with regards to the various rates occurring within a reef patch such as grazing, coral growth and macroalgal growth. In reality, sites within our study region vary greatly in terms of the benthic composition and the multitude of organisms that each reef patch supports. Second, our metacommunity model lacks larval dynamics (Connolly & Baird, 2010) such that we assume perfect

survival of coral larvae; larval mortality is implicit in the effective fecundity term (Table S1). Third, we do not consider size-specific mortality of corals that would disproportionally affect smaller recruits compared to larger adult colonies (Hughes & Jackson, 1980). Finally, while we recognize that phase shifts from coral-macroalgal dominance are rare in the Indo-Pacific (Roff & Mumby, 2012), we note that although our framework allows algae to "take over" when coral cover declines from bleaching mortality, there is also capacity for coral recovery if thermal stress is reduced and/or recruitment is sufficiently high.

## 184 Potential connectivity

185 Potential connectivity matrices (D) based on CT-ROMS current velocity fields from 1960-2007 (from Thompson et al., 2018) were used in the metacommunity simulations. These larval dispersal probabilities were 186 calculated based solely on hydrodynamics (Mitarai, Siegel, Watson, Dong, & McWilliams, 2009; Watson et al., 187 2010) for the hindcast with TRACMASS (Döös, Kjellsson, & Jönsson, 2013), an offline Lagrangian particle tracking 188 189 routine that follows the trajectory of particles from Eulerian velocity fields generated by CT-ROMS. For 190 computational simplicity, grid cells were binned into groups of 8 x 8, producing a total of 2947 sites (each cell is 25 km<sup>2</sup> on average; each grouping is ~1600 km<sup>2</sup>). Reef areas for each group were then calculated based on the Global 191 192 Distribution of Coral Reefs (IMaRs-USF, 2010). Spring particle release was simulated from reefs across the CT for 193 the hindcast period with a 10-day pelagic larval duration (i.e. larvae spend 10 days in the water before settlement). 194 This is well within the empirically-measured survival window for a typical reef-building coral species found in the 195 Indo-Pacific (Connolly & Baird, 2010). In this study, sites were considered only if they contained nonzero reef area, 196 reducing the number of locations to 2083. We also completed a second set of simulations using coral connectivity calculated with a pelagic larval duration of 30 days (see Figures S1-S5). In total, a set of 47 potential connectivity 197 matrices D of size 2083 x 2083 were utilized from Thompson et al. (2018), one for every year of the hindcast 198 199 simulation (1960-2007). Characteristics of these potential connectivity matrices are described in detail elsewhere (Kleypas, Castruccio, Curchitser, & McLeod, 2015; Thompson et al., 2018; Thompson et al., 2014). To isolate the 200 201 effects of absolute temperature increase and interannual variability, we used the same potential connectivity 202 matrices for all hindcast and forecast scenarios.

### 203 Sea surface temperatures

To test the effects of interannual SST variability on coral reef dynamics, future warming for the 2007-2054 time period was simulated by generating an **unfiltered** (including interannual climate variability) and **filtered** (interannual climate variability removed – see below) set of SST time series. These unfiltered and filtered SSTs over time included increases of 0.5°C, 1.0°C, 1.5°C and 2.0°C over a period of 47 years, for a total of eight forecast scenarios (see examples in top rows of Figures 2A and 2B). These temperature increases are within the range of uncertainty produced by CMIP5 temperature forecast models for the region by 2050 (Field et al., 2014; Scott,

Alexander, Murray, Swales, & Eischeid, 2016). For example, the CMIP5 multi-model ensemble for the Sulu-Celebes
 Sea regions exhibits an average warming of ~1.0°C from 2007 to 2050, while some models predict an increase of
 greater than 3.0°C (Figure S6).

For this study, we generated weekly SST time series forecasts by imposing a linear increase on the CT-213 ROMS detrended 1960-2007 time series ( $SST_{0}$ ), with and without application of a band-stop filter. These unfiltered 214 (with interannual variability) and filtered (without interannual variability) SST forecast time series were then 215 216 incorporated in the metacommunity simulations. First, hindcast SST data ( $SST_H$ ) were detrended using linear leastsquares fitting. This reduced variability at the lowest decadal frequencies (<1 cycle/7 years) and guaranteed 217 218 continuity in the transition from hindcast to forecast temperatures. Second, future SST time series were generated 219 by imposing a linear increase on the detrended hindcast,  $SST_0$ . The slope of increase for each scenario was 220 calculated by taking the overall  $\Delta = \{0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0\}$ °C and dividing by the number of weeks, giving the slope 221 for scenario x as  $m_x = \Delta_x / W_{\text{tot}}$ . The new temperature for scenario x, at site i and time in weeks, w, was computed 222 as

$$SST_{xi}(w) = SST_{0i}(w) + m_{xi} w$$
 (5)

Finally, to examine the effect of interannual SST variability on coral reef communities, a band-stop filter that reduced variance between approximately 1 cycle/7 years and 1 cycle/1.25 years was applied to the hindcast and forecast SSTs to create a set of temperature time series without extreme values (see Figures S8-S10 for power spectral density plots at representative sites). This method preserved annual, sub-annual and long-term trends (>7 year periodicity) while removing interannual variability that is associated with El Niño and La Niña events (top rows in Figure 2A and 2B).

### 230 Degree heating weeks

231 As a metric of thermal stress, the degree heating weeks (DHWs; °C-weeks) experienced at each site over 232 time were calculated for both filtered and unfiltered SST time-series (second rows in Figure 2A and 2B). Following 233 Kleypas et al. (2015) and Kleypas et al. (2016), the thermal stress threshold (TST) was calculated at each location by computing the standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) of the annual mean monthly maxima (MMM) from 1960 to 1980. This 234 climatology was chosen to avoid recent large-scale bleaching years (Kleypas et al., 2015). The TST at site i is 235 therefore  $TST_i = MMM_i + 2.5\sigma_i$  (Donner, 2011). The DHW time series at each site and for each scenario was 236 237 computed by summing the excess in temperatures that surpass the local TST across a 12-week period. In general, 238 4-8 DHW, 8-12 DHW, and >12 DHW are linked to mild, moderate, and severe bleaching, respectively (Kleypas, 239 Castruccio, Curchitser, & McLeod, 2015).

#### 240 Simulations

241 Parameters that allowed for alternative coral- and algal-dominated states were chosen for all simulations; 242 all reefs were set with equivalent parameters except for the area (see Supplemental Information for parameter 243 values and sources). Simulations were run at a daily time step in three parts: the spinup, hindcast, and forecast. 244 During spinup, reefs were initialized in a high coral cover state, such that  $C_0 = 0.99$  and  $M_0 = 0.01$ . Reefs were initialized in this state since our aim was to detect the sensitivity of sites to temperature-driven mortality. In other 245 words, a relatively high baseline facilitated our ability to detect and quantify coral decline. During the spinup, the 246 system was solved until it reached equilibrium (t=18,614 days) using the first year's potential connectivity D<sup>1</sup> and 247 no mortality due to bleaching  $\mu_b = 0$ . The final coral and macroalgal values at the end of the spinup were then 248 used as the initial conditions for the 1960-2007 hindcast scenarios with unfiltered (interannual variation preserved) 249 250 and filtered (interannual variation removed) SSTs, run with time-varying dispersal D and coral mortality due to bleaching based on DHW. Values at the end of the hindcasts served as initial conditions for the four unfiltered and 251 252 four filtered SST forecast scenarios. Note that mortality due to coral bleaching is calculated at the daily time step 253 while DHW was updated on a weekly basis (i.e., mortality due to bleaching was constant every day for one week). 254 While the modified SST time series were used to compute weekly DHW based on each scenario, unmodified 255 hindcast potential connectivity matrices were used for the future projections. Using hindcast connectivity 256 preserved the effects of interannual to decadal scale processes such as El Niño and Pacific Decadal Variability on larval dispersal, although we note that current models disagree on how these systems may change in the future, if 257 258 at all (Wang, Deser, Yu, DiNezio, & Clement, 2017). Furthermore, previous work found no significant changes in 259 connectivity between hindcast and future simulations (Thompson et al., 2018).

### 260 Reef survival analysis and recruitment

To elucidate the drivers of coral decline, a Cox Proportional Hazard (PH) regression (Cox, 1972) was 261 262 performed on the probability of reef collapse during the forecast. Here, reef collapse is defined as reaching a 263 fractional coral cover of 0.1 or less. The Cox PH is a statistical model commonly used in medical studies to determine the effects of different factors on an outcome such as the survival or death of a patient. This model 264 produces hazard rates that quantify the relative risk attributed to a particular covariate; here, we are interested in 265 266 factors that either increase or decrease the probability of reef collapse. The significance of each of the following 267 three covariates was tested: TST, sink strength, and unfiltered vs. filtered SST (with and without interannual 268 variability, respectively). Sink strength was computed by summing the probabilities of larvae arriving to each site 269 from all sources for each year, excluding self-recruitment; mean sink strength is simply the average of these 270 probabilities across the 47-year hindcast period (Thompson et al., 2018; Thompson et al., 2014). The 'filtered vs. 271 unfiltered SST' covariate represents the risk of reef collapse with filtered SST relative to the unfiltered SST 272 category. Reefs that had reached 0.1 coral cover by the end of the hindcast (described below) were excluded from 273 the analysis. These excluded sites occurred along the Indochinese peninsula, Sumatra, Sulawesi and the Banda Sea

(Figure S6). Since the factors we explore in this model are time-varying, we used a time-stratified approach
wherein separate Cox analyses was performed during set time windows (Dekker, De Mutsert, Van Dijk, Zoccali, &
Jager, 2008). This generated hazard rates of factors during the time periods 2007-2027 (T1), 2027-2041 (T2) and
2041-2054 (T3) denoting early, middle and late forecast periods, respectively.

Finally, a set SST simulations with only self-recruitment (i.e. zeros on the non-diagonal entries of **D**) were performed to assess the effects of connectivity and recruitment on coral persistence. The total yearly recruitment was calculated by summing across the week-long reproductive period in the metacommunity simulation and then scaled by the average reef area (160  $km^2$ ) to provide a relative measure. This 'total relative recruitment' metric is interpreted as the number of average-sized sites that experienced full recruitment (i.e., empty sites that were completely filled by newly settled coral).

- 284 Results
- 285 Coral and algal cover

Overall, average percent coral cover across the region exhibited faster decline under forecasts with 286 greater temperature increase and the inclusion of interannual SST variability (unfiltered SST) (Figure 3). Algal cover, 287 288 on the other hand, exhibited the opposite pattern. Fractional coral cover at the end of the hindcast period was 289 relatively high across the CT, with a mean (± 1 standard deviation) of 0.79 (± 0.23) and 0.90 (± 0.13) for simulations 290 that included (unfiltered) and excluded SST variance (filtered), respectively. At the end of the hindcast, 3.3% of 291 reefs in the unfiltered scenario (N=69) and 0.19% of reefs in the filtered scenario (N=4) had a coral cover of 0.1 and less. The fraction of CT reefs with 0.1 coral cover and less at the end of the forecast increased with the severity of 292 293 the SST scenario and ranged from 46.3% (N=962) to 93.4% (N=1945) in the unfiltered simulations and from 15.9% 294 (N=331) to 71.9% (N=1498) in the filtered simulations (Figure 4). The distribution of collapse times, however, was 295 very broad for the scenarios with smaller temperature increase: some reefs collapsed only after 10 years while 296 others survived past 2057. As temperatures increased towards the maximum warming scenario of 2.0°C, half of the reefs collapsed by 2030, irrespective of the temperature filter. At the end of the temperature increase 297 simulations, filtered scenarios without interannual SST variability had between 3 and 37 times the number of reefs 298 299 in the highest fractional coral cover category (0.9-1.0) relative to the scenarios that preserved climate variation 300 (Figure 4). By the end of all eight forecast scenarios, unfiltered simulations consistently had a larger proportion of 301 the reef network in the lowest coral cover category (0-0.1).

Fractional algal cover typically increased as coral cover decreased (Figure 3). At the end of the hindcast, 87.8% of reefs in the unfiltered scenario (N=1829) and 97.6% of reefs in the unfiltered scenario (N=2033) had an algal cover of 0.1 or less (Figure S12-A). In the 2.0°C-increase simulation, reefs with algal cover of 0.8 and above

represented 83.0% (N=1728) and 55.6% (N=1158) of the unfiltered and filtered network, respectively (Figure S12 E).

307 Reef survival

308 For the following analyses, a reef 'survives' if its fractional cover is 0.1 or higher at the end of the forecast 309 period. Higher values of TST and mean sink strength were associated with a higher probability of reef survival for 310 the duration of the simulation (Table 1, Figure 5). These effects were weakest in the first time period and strongest in the last, where a 1-unit increase in TST and scaled mean sink strength resulted in a risk reduction of 311 approximately 82% and 89%, respectively. Reefs in scenarios with filtered SST had higher survival probability 312 313 relative to unfiltered simulations (Table 1). As with TST and sink strength, this protective effect was greatest in the third time period, where the filtered SST regime resulted in an approximately 50% decrease in the risk of reef 314 collapse relative to unfiltered SST (Table 1). 315

316 For the same magnitude of temperature increase, reefs in the filtered SST scenario had consistently 317 higher survival (i.e. staying above a coral cover of 0.1) throughout the simulation than the unfiltered version; during earlier time periods, reefs in filtered runs exhibited less decline than reefs in scenarios with less overall 318 319 warming, highlighting how interannual SST variability can have stronger effects than long-term increases in temperature. Across the warming scenarios, reefs that experienced filtered SST had a 54% chance of survival for 320 the duration of the simulation while reefs subjected to unfiltered SST had a 27% chance of survival (Figure 5A). For 321 322 reefs in unfiltered and filtered scenarios, respectively, the probabilities of surviving through the end of the 323 simulation were 55% and 84% (0.5°C), 30% and 63% (1.0°C), 16% and 41% (1.5°C), and, 7.0% and 28.0% (2.0°C) 324 (Figure 5B). By the end of 2050, when collapse was underway in all scenarios, including interannual variation in temperature had an effect comparable to a 0.5°C - to 1.0°C-increase over 47 years in overall trend. 325

Table 1. Relative hazards<sup>1</sup> for reef collapse covariates among simulated Coral Triangle reefs across three time periods: 2007-2027 (T1), 2027-2041 (T2) and 2041-2054 (T3).

Time	TST (°C)	Scaled mean sink strength <sup>2</sup>	Filtered SST relative to
period	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	unfiltered SST (95% CI)
T1	0.54 (0.51, 0.57)	0. 82 (0.78, 0.86)	0.30 (0.28, 0.33)
T2	0.65 (0.62, 0.68)	0. 87 (0.84, 0.90)	0.45 (0.42, 0.48)
Т3	0.70 (0.66, 0.73)	0. 89 (0.86, 0.93)	0.50 (0.46, 0.53)

328

<sup>1</sup>For continuous variables, the relative hazard or hazard ratio is the relative risk of reef collapse associated
 with a 1-unit increase of the variable. A relative hazard of less than one indicates that the variable exerts a
 protective effect while a relative hazard greater than one is associated with greater risk of reef collapse.
 For the filtered SST categorical variable (interannual variability removed), this is the risk of reef collapse
 relative to the unfiltered SST category (interannual variability preserved).

- <sup>2</sup>For ease of interpretation, results are shown for scaled values such that a 1-unit increase is equivalent to
   1 standard deviation (SD) where sink strength SD = 0.31.
- 336

### 337 *Recruitment and spatial patterns*

338 In the metacommunity model, recruitment is affected by coral cover at source sites, available free space 339 at sink sites, and the potential connectivity linking sources and sinks. At a particular site, for example, recruitment 340 may be low because of reduced coral cover at source sites combined with low potential connectivity values among the focal site and its source sites. Furthermore, recruitment may also be low if the focal site has no available free 341 space, either due to high coral cover, algal cover or a combination of both. Assuming sufficient larval supply, coral 342 343 mortality from increasing SST should lead to an increase in free space that facilitates coral recruitment across the 344 network. Total relative recruitment, defined as the number of average-sized reefs that experience coral 345 settlement, was greater in simulations with normal connectivity compared to those with only self-connections by 346 up to a factor of 6 (Figure 6). At the beginning of the forecasts with normal recruitment, unfiltered simulations exhibited higher relative recruitment, while filtered simulations had higher recruitment during later periods. 347 Normal recruitment generally reached a maximum at a later time period in the filtered scenarios relative to the 348 unfiltered simulations; this time lag was ~15 years in the 0.5°C scenario and decreased to ~5 years in the 2.0°C 349 350 scenario. In the 0.5°C-, 1.0°C- and 1.5°C-increase scenarios, there were two recruitment peaks in the normal and 351 self-recruitment time series with unfiltered SST due to free space becoming available as corals decline. In the 0.5°C 352 and 1.0°C scenarios, recruitment is similarly high among filtered and unfiltered simulations during the last 24 years, from ~2030-2054 (Figure 6a). In the 0.5°C and 1.0°C and 1.5°C forecasts with only self-recruitment, 353 354 unfiltered simulations started with higher recruitment in the first ~20 years, were equivalent to filtered simulations 355 during the intermediate period, and increased again towards the end of the time series. In the 2.0°C scenario with 356 self-recruitment only, recruitment in the unfiltered scenario ultimately dropped below that of the filtered simulations during the last two years (Figure 6b). 357

Reliance on external recruitment was quantified by taking the difference in coral cover between simulations with interdependent connectivity and those with only self-recruitment, representing independent reefs. In the unfiltered scenarios, sites in the Indochinese peninsula, central Philippines, Micronesia, Flores Sea,

361 Celebes Sea and Solomon Islands were the most reliant on external recruitment (i.e. with the largest difference in 362 coral cover: N=521, ≥75<sup>th</sup> percentile) at the end of both 2027 and 2054 (Figures S14 and S15). Reefs in the northern 363 Philippines and Sumatra had the highest reliance in 2027 while those in the Halmahera Sea were most reliant on 364 external recruitment in 2054. In general, these results are consistent across all temperature increase scenarios and 365 between unfiltered and filtered simulations. A notable difference is that while sites in the GBR and the Solomon 366 Sea were heavily reliant on external recruitment in 2027 during the 1.0°C, 1.5°C and 2.0°C- filtered scenarios, these 367 areas were strongly dependent on larval input in both 2027 and 2054 in the 0.5°C-increase scenario. Overall, there 368 is more temporal separation in external recruitment dependence in the filtered compared to unfiltered 369 simulations, such that sites that were maximally reliant in 2027 were less likely to remain so by 2054.

Reefs in the eastern Philippines, Sumatra, northern Borneo, the Flores Sea, and the Banda Sea were the most sensitive to thermal stress and experienced major declines during the two mildest forecasts, the 0.5°C and 1.0°C scenarios, with filtered SST (Figure 7). Sites in Micronesia, the central Philippines, the Solomon Islands and Papua New Guinea were of intermediate sensitivity and collapsed by the end of all other forecasts (but not in the 0.5°C and 1.0°C filtered SST scenarios). Finally, reefs in the GBR, northern Australia, northern Philippines and eastern Micronesia were least sensitive and at least some sites within these areas maintained coral cover above 0.1 even under the more severe scenarios.

377 Discussion

378 This study combines a metacommunity model of coral-algal competition with seasonal larval dispersal and 379 temperature output from biophysical simulations to elucidate the drivers of coral decline across a spatially realistic 380 network. We find that coral population persistence under rising temperatures varies greatly across the Coral 381 Triangle and its surrounding reefs both among and within forecast scenarios. These spatial patterns are driven by a 382 combination of processes relating to temperature and larval connectivity. Our results suggest that interannual SST 383 variability during climate change are a major determinant of coral decline and can exacerbate the effects of 384 increasing temperatures on coral reef metacommunities at the regional scale. The effects of these thermal 385 anomalies are relatively strong during initial time periods and are effectively equivalent to a 0.5°C - to 1.0°C-386 increase in SST time series without anomalies. In contrast, higher values of sink strength and thermal stress threshold confer a protective effect on reefs at the local scale. 387

Forecast simulations with SST time series that were filtered to remove interannual variability exhibit higher mean coral cover than their unfiltered counterparts throughout the simulation (Figure 3), highlighting the detrimental effects of extreme temperatures on coral persistence. These results are in line with those from a recent study that illustrated how temperature extremes during climate change can drive decline in large marine ecosystems (Pershing et al., 2019). In addition to differences in coral cover, excluding SST anomalies delays the

393 onset of collapse for coral populations, facilitating higher reef survival (Figure 5). This effect is most pronounced in 394 scenarios with lower temperature increase, suggesting that extreme phases of ENSO are a major driver of coral 395 decline when warming is intermediate and a secondary driver under greater overall thermal stress in strong 396 warming scenarios. In fact, recent work has noted a temporal shift from rare bleaching events before 1980, to an 397 intermediate period from 1980 to 2000 associated with El Niño-driven bleaching on a global scale, and to bleaching 398 that regularly occurs outside of El Niño conditions in the last 20 years (Hughes et al., 2018). Depending on the 399 magnitude of temperature increase, policies that aim to limit overall warming based on averages alone may be 400 insufficient to prevent regional coral decline if the frequency of El Niño events increases with the global mean 401 temperature (Hoegh-Guldberg, Jacob, & Taylor, 2018; Wang et al., 2017). Nonetheless, there is still considerable uncertainty in the reponse of El Niño frequency and intensity to warming (e.g. An & Choi, 2015; Guilyardi et al., 402 2012; Kim & Yu, 2012; Watanabe et al., 2012). Policies based on temperature recoil, i.e., allowing for overshoot of 403 404 warming and relying on future carbon capture technology deployment to decrease temperatures (Tavoni & 405 Socolow, 2013), may have limited impact on coral preservation.

Coral populations in the CT exhibited differential susceptibility to thermal stress (Figure 7). Since 406 407 parameters across sites were equivalent except for area, these results are driven by heterogeneities in connectivity 408 and local SSTs. Reefs in the eastern Philippines, the Banda Sea, and Sumatra were most vulnerable in our 409 simulations, exhibiting collapse during the filtered 0.5°C scenario. Other studies have noted the potential susceptibility of the Philippines (Peñaflor et al., 2009) and Banda Sea (Mcleod et al., 2010) to future bleaching in 410 411 terms of historic and present-day warming trend, as well from projected thermal stress increase (Donner et al., 412 2005). Conversely, sites in the GBR, northern Australia and the Solomon Sea were relatively resilient, avoiding reef collapse under most warming conditions. However, we note that recent bleaching events led to high mortality of 413 GBR corals in 2016 and 2017 (Hughes, Kerry, Connolly, et al., 2019), highlighting the effects that extreme warming 414 conditions can have on sites that are considered resilient in our modeling results. Interestingly, our patterns of 415 decline in the GBR (see Figures 7A.3 and 7A.4) are relatively similar to those of a recent modeling study focused on 416 417 this area: northern and southern quarters of the GBR were less vulnerable to projected global stressors under RCP 418 2.6 and 8.5 when compared to the rest of the region (Wolff, Mumby, Devlin, & Anthony, 2018). In general, reefs 419 that exhibited the fastest decline seem to have low to intermediate values of both TST and sink strength, while 420 areas that avoided decline had at least some reefs with high values of one or the other (Figure S18).

The importance of network contributions to coral recruitment is underscored by our comparison of simulations with normal connectivity and only self-connections. We see significantly higher recruitment with normal connectivity, though both normal and self-connectivity exhibit two visible peaks in the less severe warming scenarios (0.5 °C- and 1.0 °C-increase) (Figure 6). During the first peak in ~2020, there is still enough coral cover to fill the open space created by the initial decline. During the second peak in ~2045, coral cover has severely

426 declined such that the production of coral recruits is insufficient to fill available space. Unfiltered simulations had 427 generally higher recruitment at the beginning of the forecasts since mortality (and thus open space) was higher; 428 this pattern flipped towards the end of the simulation since scenarios without interannual SST variability typically 429 had higher coral persistence by this point. While recruitment over time is clearly driven by temperature, the spatial 430 patterns of recruitment over time are driven by both temperature increase and connectivity. In general, sites that 431 benefit most from external recruitment by the end of the forecast (Figures S14 and S15) are also particularly 432 susceptible to coral decline (Figure 7). This implies that there is higher vulnerability to regional-scale disturbance at locations that rely heavily on external recruitment. 433

In this study, we calculated TST (bleaching thresholds) based on a fixed climatological window, from 1960 434 435 to 1980, implying that corals have no ability to adaptively respond. Because DHW is computed based on TST, this 436 assumption likely overestimates the risk of coral decline on our simulated reefs and in that regard, our results can 437 be considered a worst-case scenario. In fact, a modeling study projecting future coral bleaching (Logan, Dunne, 438 Eakin, & Donner, 2014) found that calculations based on the previous 60 years (a rolling window that approximates 439 genetic adaptation) decreased predicted bleaching frequencies by up to 80 percent when compared to calculations based on a static window. Furthermore, there is evidence that temperature variability is beneficial to coral TST: 440 past exposure to a greater range of temperatures may lead to increased thermotolerance in some coral species 441 442 (Bay & Palumbi, 2017; Carilli, Donner, & Hartmann, 2012; Mayfield, Chan, Putnam, Chen, & Fan, 2012; Putnam, 443 Barott, Ainsworth, & Gates, 2017; Rivest, Comeau, & Cornwall, 2017) and coral communities as a whole (Ainsworth et al., 2016; Donner, 2011; Donner & Carilli, 2019; Guest et al., 2012; Hughes, Kerry, Connolly, et al., 444 2019; McClanahan & Maina, 2003; Sully et al., 2019; Thompson & van Woesik, 2009). 445

Precisely quantifying thermal tolerance is further complicated by the contribution of both environmental 446 447 and genetic components (Bay, Rose, Logan, & Palumbi, 2017; Camp, Schoepf, & Suggett, 2018; Dixon et al., 2015; 448 Torda et al., 2017); these mechanisms are also likely to be influenced by connectivity patterns (Kleypas et al., 449 2016). A natural extension of our study would be to recalculate TST and DHW based on different assumptions regarding coral adaptive capacity as a proxy for genetic adaptation, community shifts, and larval dispersal to see 450 how coral decline in the CT would be subsequently altered relative to our current results. We believe that the main 451 effects of doing so would be reduced decline at the network scale and spatial differences at specific predicted 452 vulnerable and resilient sites. Another important caveat of the present study is that it is based on the dynamics of 453 454 a single species, A. millepora, which is thought to be particularly sensitive to thermal stress because of its colony 455 growth form and tissue thickness (Loya, Sakai, Nakano, & Woesik, 2001). Incorporating the response of other 456 species or entire coral communities with different parameterizations for competitiveness, fecundity and other life 457 history factors could lead to different conclusions.

458 In this work, we find that thermal stress and larval connectivity interact to shape the resilience of 459 individual reefs to changes in temperature on a spatially realistic network. This study is not intended to predict the 460 true series of events that will occur in the extended CT region. This is partly because localized anthropogenic 461 effects that strongly affect reef resilience such as fishing, pollution, etc. are beyond the scope of this study. For 462 example, our simulations do not capture the back-to-back GBR mass bleaching events (Hughes, Kerry, Connolly, et 463 al., 2019) and predict high coral cover for that region. We also exclude processes such as larval dynamics (Connolly 464 & Baird, 2010) and size-specific coral mortality (Hughes & Jackson, 1980) that would likely lead to faster coral 465 decline in the model results, since recruitment would be reduced due to the addition of pre- and post-settlement 466 mortality in newly settled corals. Finally, we note that in the absence of recruitment, our parameter set implemented on a single-reef system leads to coral- and algal-dominated alternative stable states where algae may 467 468 "take over" once coral cover dips below a threshold (i.e., due to increased mortality). Other parameter values or 469 certain levels of coral recruitment could lead to coral-dominated, algal-dominated or coexistence systems that 470 exhibit different trajectory patterns. For example, a coral-dominated system may lead to slower coral cover decline 471 overall since algae competition is not a significant source of mortality. Coral may also recover in an algal-472 dominated system in the presence of sufficient coral recruitment (McManus et al., 2019). Due to the heterogeneity 473 of reefs in this network in terms of SST and area, such changes in parameterization could have counterintuitive effects that are beyond the scope of this study. 474

Our results imply that thermal stress and larval connectivity play significant roles in determining coral 475 476 persistence of reefs in the CT. Any management recommendations should incorporate both of these processes, 477 although the particular conservation goals dictate the most appropriate actions (Beger et al., 2015). For example, marine protected areas (MPAs) could encompass reefs that are predicted to experience relatively low thermal 478 479 stress, yet these sites could become vulnerable if their larval source reefs continue to decline. Similarly, if the aim 480 is to protect the most vulnerable reefs, preserving areas that supply larvae to those reefs may be insufficient (Hock et al., 2017), particularly under scenarios that lead to increases in the number of DHWs experienced. Future 481 482 research should involve simulations which implement MPAs across a reef network (Melbourne-Thomas et al., 483 2011) to test the efficacy of various strategies such as those based on network centrality metrics and outgoing and incoming larval connections (Kininmonth et al., 2019). Building on the results presented here, such studies will be 484 485 critical for identifying management strategies to ensure the persistence of coral reefs in the CT region under continued ocean warming. 486

#### 487 Figure Legends

Figure 1. Map of the Coral Triangle (CT) and surrounding areas. The CT-ROMS domain is within the gray box while
 reef sites are shown in blue. The dashed red line shows the official delineation of the CT (Veron et al. 2009) [Colour
 figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com].

Figure 2. Response of coral cover to unfiltered (interannual variability preserved) (A) and filtered (interannual variability removed) (B) temperature scenarios. Sea surface temperatures, degree heating weeks, and percent coral cover over time for the hindcast and future projection scenarios at site 1806 located in the central Philippines (see Figure S7 for exact location) [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com].

**Figure 3.** Fractional coral and algal cover over time for the hindcast, 1.0 °C- and 2.0 °C-increase scenarios for filtered and unfiltered SST simulations. Darker lines represent mean trajectory values across the network while lighter lines are individual trajectories from ten randomly selected reefs [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com].

Figure 4. Histograms comparing the final fractional coral cover across the CT for unfiltered (black) and filtered
 (hatched light gray) SST increase scenarios. Overlap of unfiltered and filtered results are shown in hatched medium
 gray.

Figure 5. Kaplan-Meier survival curves comparing reef survival across time for simulations between (A) all filtered
 and unfiltered SST scenarios and (B) across all eight SST scenarios. Note that reef 'death' is defined as reaching 0.1
 coral cover [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com].

**Figure 6.** Total relative recruitment over time showing (a) network and self-recruitment and (b) self-recruitment only. Results are normalized using the average reef size (160 km<sup>2</sup>), such that relative recruitment is equivalent to the number of average-sized reefs that are covered by newly settled coral. Each point is summed across the network and over the week-long recruitment period. Filled circles represent unfiltered scenarios while open circles are filtered scenarios; blue points represent simulations with interdependent, network recruitment, while yellow points experienced only self-recruitment, where reefs are independent of one another. Note the difference in scale between panels (a) and (b) [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com].

Figure 7. Change in percent coral cover from the start of the hindcast to the final year of the 0.5 °C-, 1.0 °C-, 1.5 °Cand 2.0°C-increase scenarios for (A) unfiltered and (B) filtered SST [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com].

### 515 Acknowledgements

516 Financial support for this article is from the Global Economic Dynamics and Biosphere Program (JRW), the National 517 Science Foundation grant OCE-1848576 (SAL), the SEReNe program administered through Princeton University 518 (LCM), the National Defense Science and Engineering Graduate Fellowship (LCM), and the New Jersey Sea Grant 519 with funds from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Office of Sea Grant, U.S. 520 Department of Commerce, under NOAA grant #NA140AR4170203 and the New Jersey Sea Grant Consortium 521 (LCM). The statements, findings, conclusions, and recommendations are those of the authors and do not

- 522 necessarily reflect the views of New Jersey Sea Grant or the U. S. Department of Commerce. We would also like to
- 523 acknowledge the provision of model output provided via high-performance computing support from Cheyenne

524 (doi:10.5065/D6RX99HX) on NCAR's Computational and Information Systems Laboratory, sponsored by the

- 525 National Science Foundation (JAK, DLT, FSC). Finally, we thank two anonymous reviewers for insightful feedback
- 526 that greatly improved the manuscript. NJSG-19-951
- 527 Data Sharing and Accessibility
- 528 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

### 529 Literature Cited

- Ainsworth, T. D., Heron, S. F., Ortiz, J. C., Mumby, P. J., Grech, A., Ogawa, D., Leggat, W. (2016). Climate change
   disables coral bleaching protection on the Great Barrier Reef. *Science*, *352*(11), 338–342.
   https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004
- An, S. II, & Choi, J. (2015). Why the twenty-first century tropical Pacific trend pattern cannot significantly influence
   ENSO amplitude? *Climate Dynamics*, 44(1–2), 133–146. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-014-2233-2
- Baird, A. H., Guest, J. R., & Willis, B. L. (2009). Systematic and Biogeographical Patterns in the Reproductive Biology
  of Scleractinian Corals. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 40*(1), 551–571.
  https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.110308.120220
- Baskett, M. L., Fabina, N. S., & Gross, K. (2014). Response diversity can increase ecological resilience to disturbance
  in coral reefs. *The American Naturalist*, *184*(2), E16-31. https://doi.org/10.1086/676643
- 540 Bay, R. A., & Palumbi, S. R. (2017). Transcriptome predictors of coral survival and growth in a highly variable 541 environment. *Ecology and Evolution*, *7*, 4794–4803. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2685
- Bay, R. A., Rose, N. H., Logan, C. A., & Palumbi, S. R. (2017). Genomic models predict successful coral adaptation if
   future ocean warming rates are reduced. *Science Advances*, *3*. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1701413
- Beck, M. W., Losada, I. J., Menéndez, P., Reguero, B. G., Díaz-Simal, P., & Fernández, F. (2018). The global flood
  protection savings provided by coral reefs. *Nature Communications*, 9(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467018-04568-z
- Beger, M., McGowan, J., Treml, E. A., Green, A. L., White, A. T., Wolff, N. H., ... Possingham, H. P. (2015). Integrating
  regional conservation priorities for multiple objectives into national policy. *Nature Communications, 6*, 1–8.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9208

- Berkley, H. A., Kendall, B. E., Mitarai, S., & Siegel, D. A. (2010). Turbulent dispersal promotes species coexistence.
   *Ecology Letters*, *13*(3), 360–371. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01427.x
- Blackwood, J. C., & Hastings, A. (2011). The effect of time delays on Caribbean coral-algal interactions. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 273(1), 37–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2010.12.022
- Boylan, P., & Kleypas, J. (2008). New insights into the exposure and sensitivity of coral reefs to ocean warming. *11th International Coral Reef Symposium, Ft. Lauderdale, Florida, 7-11 July 2008y*, (18), 7–11.
- Bruno, J. F., & Bertness, M. D. (2001). Habitat modification and facilitation in benthic marine communities. In M.
   Bertness, S. Ganes, & M. Hay (Eds.), *Marine community ecology* (pp. 201–218). Sunderland, MA: Sinauer.
- 558 Bruno, J. F., & Selig, E. R. (2007). Regional decline of coral cover in the Indo-Pacific: Timing, extent, and subregional 559 comparisons. *PLoS ONE*, *2*(8). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000711
- Burke, L., Reytar, K., Spalding, M., & Perry, A. (2011). Reefs at Risk Revisited Executive Summary. *Reefs at Risk Revisited*, 58pp. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(79)90136-9
- Camp, E. F., Schoepf, V., & Suggett, D. J. (2018). How can "Super Corals" facilitate global coral reef survival under
   rapid environmental and climatic change? *Global Change Biology*, 24(7), 2755–2757.
   https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14153
- Carilli, J., Donner, S. D., & Hartmann, A. C. (2012). Historical temperature variability affects coral response to heat
   stress. *PLoS ONE*, *7*(3), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0034418
- 567 Carton, J. A., & Giese, B. S. (2008). A Reanalysis of Ocean Climate Using Simple Ocean Data Assimilation (SODA).
   568 Monthly Weather Review, 136(8), 2999–3017. https://doi.org/10.1175/2007MWR1978.1
- Castruccio, F. S., Curchitser, E. N., & Kleypas, J. A. (2013). A model for quantifying oceanic transport and mesoscale
   variability in the Coral Triangle of the Indonesian/Philippines Archipelago. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *118*(11), 6123–6144. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009196
- 572 Connolly, S. R., & Baird, A. H. (2010). Estimating dispersal potential for marine larvae : dynamic models applied to 573 scleractinian corals. *Ecology*, *91*(12), 3572–3583. https://doi.org/10.2307/29779541
- Cowen, R. K., Paris, C. B., & Srinivasan, A. (2006). Scaling of Connectivity in Marine Populations. *Science*, *311*(5760),
   522–527. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1122039
- 576 Cowen, Robert K., Lwiza, K. M. M., Sponaugle, S., Paris, C. B., & Olson, D. B. (2000). Connectivity Populations: Open
  577 or Closed? *Science*, *287*(5454), 857–859. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.287.5454.857

- 578 Cox, D. (1972). Regression Models and Llfe-Tables. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B*579 (*Methodological*), 34(2), 187–220. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4380-9\_37
- Cruz, D. W. D., & Harrison, P. L. (2017). Enhanced larval supply and recruitment can replenish reef corals on
   degraded reefs. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-14546-y
- De'ath, G., Fabricius, K. E., Sweatman, H., & Puotinen, M. (2012). The 27-year decline of coral cover on the Great
   Barrier Reef and its causes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*,
   109(44), 17995–17999. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1208909109
- Dekker, F. W., De Mutsert, R., Van Dijk, P. C., Zoccali, C., & Jager, K. J. (2008). Survival analysis: Time-dependent
  effects and time-varying risk factors. *Kidney International*, 74(8), 994–997.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.2008.328
- Diaz-Pulido, G., & McCook, L. J. (2002). The fate of bleached corals: Patterns and dynamics of algal recruitment.
   *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 232, 115–128. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps232115
- Dixon, G. B., Davies, S. W., Aglyamova, G. A. V., Meyer, E., Bay, L. K., & Matz, M. V. (2015). Genomic determinants
  of coral heat tolerance across latitudes. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, *348*(6242), 1460–1462.
  https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1261224
- 593 Donner, S. D. (2011). An evaluation of the effect of recent temperature variability on the prediction of coral 594 bleaching events. *Ecological Applications*, *21*(5), 1718–1730.
- 595 Donner, S. D., & Carilli, J. (2019). Resilience of Central Pacific reefs subject to frequent heat stress and human 596 disturbance. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-40150-3
- Donner, S. D., Skirving, W. J., Little, C. M., Oppenheimer, M., & Hoegh-Gulberg, O. (2005). Global assessment of
   coral bleaching and required rates of adaptation under climate change. *Global Change Biology*, *11*(12), 2251–
   2265. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.01073.x
- Döös, K., Kjellsson, J., & Jönsson, B. (2013). TRACMASS-A Lagrangian Trajectory Model. In T. Soomere & E. Quak
   (Eds.), *Preventive Methods for Coastal Protection: Towards the Use of Ocean Dynamics for Pollution Control* (pp. 225–249). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-00440-2
- Elmhirst, T., Connolly, S. R., & Hughes, T. P. (2009). Connectivity, regime shifts and the resilience of coral reefs.
   *Coral Reefs*, 28(4), 949–957. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-009-0530-8
- Field, C.B., V.R. Barros, D.J. Dokken, K.J. Mach, M.D. Mastrandrea, T.E. Bilir, M. Chatterjee, K.L. Ebi, Y.O. Estrada,
   R.C. Genova, B. Girma, E.S. Kissel, A.N. Levy, S. MacCracken, P. R. M., & White, L. L. (Eds.). (2014). IPCC, 2014:

- Summary for policymakers. In *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global*and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the
  Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (pp. 1–32). Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA:
  Cambridge University Press.
- Fung, T., Seymour, R. M., & Johnson, C. R. (2011). Alternative stable states and phase shifts in coral reefs under
   anthropogenic stress. *Ecology*, *92*(4), 967–982. https://doi.org/10.1890/10-0378.1
- Galindo, H. M., Olson, D. B., & Palumbi, S. R. (2006). Seascape Genetics: A Coupled Oceanographic-Genetic Model
  Predicts Population Structure of Caribbean Corals. *Current Biology*, 16(16), 1622–1626.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2006.06.052
- Galindo, H. M., Pfeiffer-Herbert, A. S., McManus, M. A., Chao, Y., Chai, F., & Palumbi, S. R. (2010). Seascape
  genetics along a steep cline: Using genetic patterns to test predictions of marine larval dispersal. *Molecular Ecology*, *19*(17), 3692–3707. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04694.x
- 619 Glynn, P. W. (1993). Coral reef bleaching: ecological perspectives. *Coral Reefs*, *12*(1), 1–17.
   620 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00303779
- Graham, N. A. J., Wilson, S. K., Jennings, S., Polunin, N. V. C., Bijoux, J. P., & Robinson, J. (2006). Dynamic fragility of
   oceanic coral reef ecosystems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 103(22), 8425–8429.
   https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0600693103
- Guest, J. R., Baird, A. H., Maynard, J. A., Muttaqin, E., Edwards, A. J., Campbell, S. J., ... Chou, L. M. (2012).
   Contrasting patterns of coral bleaching susceptibility in 2010 suggest an adaptive response to thermal stress.
   *PLoS ONE*, 7(3), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0033353
- Guilyardi, E., Bellinger, H., Collins, M., Ferrett, S., Cai, W., & Wittenberg, A. (2012). A first look at ENSO in CMIP5. *CLIVAR Exchanges*, 58(58), 29–32.
- Hock, K., Wolff, N. H., Ortiz, J. C., Condie, S. A., Kenneth, R., Anthony, N., ... Fraser, S. (2017). Connectivity and
  systemic resilience of the Great Barrier Reef. *PLoS Biology*, *15*(11: e2003355), 1–23.
  https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2003355
- 632 Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Jacob, D., & Taylor, M. (2018). Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5 °C - Chapter 3: Impacts of  $1.5^{\circ}$ С warming natural human 243. 633 alobal on and systems. 634 https://doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.201200111
- Hughes, T P, & Jackson, J. B. (1980). Do corals lie about their age? Some demographic consequences of partial

- mortality, fission, and fusion. *Science* (*New York, N.Y.*), 209(4457), 713–715.
   https://doi.org/10.1126/science.209.4457.713
- Hughes, Terry P., Anderson, K. D., Connolly, S. R., Heron, S. F., Kerry, J. T., Lough, J. M., ... Wilson, S. K. (2018).
- Spatial and temporal patterns of mass bleaching of corals in the Anthropocene. *Science*, *359*(6371), 80–83.
  https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan8048
- Hughes, Terry P., Kerry, J. T., Baird, A. H., Connolly, S. R., Dietzel, A., Eakin, C. M., ... Torda, G. (2018). Global
  warming transforms coral reef assemblages. *Nature*, 556(7702), 492–496. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586018-0041-2
- Hughes, Terry P., Kerry, J. T., Connolly, S. R., Baird, A. H., Eakin, C. M., Heron, S. F., ... Torda, G. (2019). Ecological
  memory modifies the cumulative impact of recurrent climate extremes. *Nature Climate Change*, 9(1), 40–43.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0351-2
- IMaRs-USF, I. (2010). Millenium Coral Reef Mapping Project. Retrieved January 6, 2017, from http://data.unep wcmc.org/datasets/
- Kim, S. T., & Yu, J. Y. (2012). The two types of ENSO in CMIP5 models. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39(11), 1–6.
   https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL052006
- Kininmonth, S., Weeks, R., Abesamis, R. A., Bernardo, L. P. C., Beger, M., Treml, E. A., ... Pressey, R. L. (2019).
  Strategies in scheduling marine protected area establishment in a network system. *Ecological Applications*, 29(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.1820
- Kleypas, J. A., Castruccio, F. S., Curchitser, E. N., & McLeod, E. (2015). The impact of ENSO on coral heat stress in
   the western equatorial Pacific. *Global Change Biology*, *21*, 2525–2539. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12881
- Kleypas, J. A., Thompson, D. M., Castruccio, F. S., Curchitser, E. N., Pinsky, M., & Watson, J. R. (2016). Larval
   connectivity across temperature gradients and its potential effect on heat tolerance in coral populations.
   *Global Change Biology*, 3539–3549. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13347
- Kool, J. T., Paris, C. B., Andréfouët, S., & Cowen, R. K. (2010). Complex migration and the development of genetic
  structure in subdivided populations: An example from Caribbean coral reef ecosystems. *Ecography*, *33*(3),
  597–606. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2009.06012.x
- Kool, J. T., Paris, C. B., Barber, P. H., & Cowen, R. K. (2011). Connectivity and the development of population
  genetic structure in Indo-West Pacific coral reef communities. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *20*(5), 695–
  706. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00637.x

- Licuanan, W. Y., Robles, R., & Reyes, M. (2019). Status and recent trends in coral reefs of the Philippines. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 142(October 2018), 544–550. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.04.013
- Logan, C. A., Dunne, J. P., Eakin, C. M., & Donner, S. D. (2014). Incorporating adaptive responses into future
   projections of coral bleaching. *Global Change Biology*, *20*(1), 125–139. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12390
- Loya, Y., Sakai, K., Nakano, Y., & Woesik, R. Van. (2001). Coral bleaching: the winners and the losers. *Ecology Letters*, *4*, 122–131. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-0248.2001.00203.x
- Mayfield, A. B., Chan, P.-H., Putnam, H. M., Chen, C.-S., & Fan, T.-Y. (2012). The effects of a variable temperature
  regime on the physiology of the reef-building coral Seriatopora hystrix: results from a laboratory-based
  reciprocal transplant. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 215(23), 4183–4195.
  https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.071688
- McClanahan, T. R., & Maina, J. (2003). Response of Coral Assemblages to the Interaction between Natural
  Temperature Variation and Rare Warm-Water Events. *Ecosystems*, 6(6), 551–563.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-002-0104-x
- Mcleod, E., Moffitt, R., Timmermann, A., Menviel, L., Palmer, M. J., Selig, E. R., ... Bruno, J. F. (2010). Warming Seas
  in the Coral Triangle : Coral Reef Vulnerability and Management Implications. *Coastal Management, 38*(5),
  518–539. https://doi.org/10.1080/08920753.2010.509466
- McManus, L. C., Watson, J. R., Vasconcelos, V. V., & Levin, S. A. (2019). Stability and recovery of coral-algae
   systems: the importance of recruitment seasonality and grazing influence. *Theoretical Ecology*, *12*(1), 61–72.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s12080-018-0388-x
- Melbourne-Thomas, J., Johnson, C. R., Aliño, P. M., Geronimo, R. C., Villanoy, C. L., & Gurney, G. G. (2011). A multiscale biophysical model to inform regional management of coral reefs in the western Philippines and South
  China Sea. *Environmental Modelling and Software, 26*(1), 66–82.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2010.03.033
- Melbourne-Thomas, Jessica, Johnson, C. R., Fung, T., Seymour, R. M., Chérubin, L. M., Ernesto Arias-González, J., &
  Fulton, E. A. (2011). Regional-scale scenario modeling for coral reefs: A decision support tool to inform
  management of a complex system. *Ecological Applications*, *21*(4), 1380–1398. https://doi.org/10.1890/091564.1
- Mitarai, S., Siegel, D. A., Watson, J. R., Dong, C., & McWilliams, J. C. (2009). Quantifying connectivity in the coastal
   ocean with application to the Southern California Bight. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 114*(10), 1–
   https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JC005166

Mumby, P. J., Hastings, A., & Edwards, H. J. (2007). Thresholds and the resilience of Caribbean coral reefs. *Nature*,
 450(November), 98–101. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06252

Peñaflor, E. L., Skirving, W. J., Strong, A. E., Heron, S. F., & David, L. T. (2009). Sea-surface temperature and thermal
stress in the Coral Triangle over the past two decades. *Coral Reefs*, 28(4), 841–850.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-009-0522-8

- Pershing, A. J., Record, N. R., Franklin, B. S., Kennedy, B. T., McClenachan, L., Mills, K. E., ... Wolff, N. H. (2019).
   Challenges to natural and human communities from surprising ocean temperatures. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(37), 18378–18383. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1901084116
- Putnam, H. M., Barott, K. L., Ainsworth, T. D., & Gates, R. D. (2017). The Vulnerability and Resilience of Reef Building Corals. *Current Biology*, *27*(11), R528–R540. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.04.047
- Rienecker, M. M., Suarez, M. J., Gelaro, R., Todling, R., Bacmeister, J., Liu, E., ... Woollen, J. (2011). MERRA: NASA's
   modern-era retrospective analysis for research and applications. *Journal of Climate*, *24*(14), 3624–3648.
   https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00015.1
- Rivest, E. B., Comeau, S., & Cornwall, C. E. (2017). The Role of Natural Variability in Shaping the Response of Coral
   Reef Organisms to Climate Change. *Current Climate Change Reports*, 3(4), 271–281.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s40641-017-0082-x
- Roff, G., & Mumby, P. J. (2012). Global disparity in the resilience of coral reefs. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*,
   27(7), 404–413. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.04.007
- Scott, J. D., Alexander, M. A., Murray, D. R., Swales, D., & Eischeid, J. (2016). The climate change web portal: A
   system to access and display climate and earth system model output from the CMIP5 archive. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *97*(4), 523–530. https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00035.1
- Selig, E. R., Casey, K. S., & Bruno, J. F. (2010). New insights into global patterns of ocean temperature anomalies:
   Implications for coral reef health and management. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *19*(3), 397–411.
   https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2009.00522.x
- Sully, S., Burkepile, D. E., Donovan, M. K., Hodgson, G., & van Woesik, R. (2019). A global analysis of coral bleaching
  over the past two decades. *Nature Communications*, *10*(1), 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-092382
- Tavoni, M., & Socolow, R. (2013). Modeling meets science and technology: An introduction to a special issue on
   negative emissions. *Climatic Change*, *118*(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-013-0757-9

- Thompson, D., Kleypas, J., Castruccio, F., Curchitser, E., Pinsky, M., Jönsson, B., & Watson, J. (2018). Variability in
   oceanographic barriers to coral larval dispersal: Do currents shape biodiversity? *Progress in Oceanography*,
   *165*(February), 110–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2018.05.007
- Thompson, D. M., Castruccio, F. S., Kleypas, J. A., Watson, J. R., Curchitser, E. N., & Pinsky, M. L. (2014). Variability
   in reef connectivity in the Coral Triangle. *Reef Encounter*, *29*(2), 46–51.
- Thompson, D., & van Woesik, R. (2009). Corals escape bleaching in regions that recently and historically
   experienced frequent thermal stress. *Proceedings. Biological Sciences / The Royal Society*, *276*(1669), 2893–
   2901. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.0591
- Torda, G., Donelson, J. M., Aranda, M., Barshis, D. J., Bay, L., Berumen, M. L., ... Munday, P. L. (2017). Rapid
  adaptive responses to climate change in corals. *Nature Climate Change*, 7(9), 627–636.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3374
- Veron, J. E. N., Devantier, L. M., Turak, E., Green, A. L., Kininmonth, S., Stafford-Smith, M., & Peterson, N. (2009).
  Delineating the Coral Triangle. *Galaxea, Journal of Coral Reef Studies, 11*(2), 91–100.
  https://doi.org/10.3755/galaxea.11.91
- Villarino, E., Watson, J. R., Jönsson, B., Gasol, J. M., Salazar, G., Acinas, S. G., ... Chust, G. (2018). Large-scale ocean
  connectivity and planktonic body size. *Nature Communications*, *9*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-01702535-8
- Wang, C., Deser, C., Yu, J.-Y., DiNezio, P., & Clement, A. (2017). El Niño and Southern Oscillation (ENSO): A Review.
  In Peter W Glynn, D. P. Manzello, & I. C. Enochs (Eds.), *Coral Reefs of the Eastern Tropical Pacific: Persistence and Loss in a Dynamic Environment* (pp. 85–106). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-7499-4\_4
- Wang, Z., Lin, L., Zhang, X., Zhang, H., Liu, L., & Xu, Y. (2017). Scenario dependence of future changes in climate
  extremes under 1.5 °c and 2 °c global warming. *Scientific Reports*, 7(April), 1–9.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/srep46432
- Watanabe, M., Kug, J. S., Jin, F. F., Collins, M., Ohba, M., & Wittenberg, A. T. (2012). Uncertainty in the ENSO
  amplitude change from the past to the future. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39(20), 1–6.
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL053305
- Watson, J., Hays, C., Raimondi, P., Mitarai, S., Dong, C., McWilliams, J., ... Siegel, D. (2011). Currents connecting
   communities: nearshore community similarity and ocean circulation. *Ecology*, *92*(6), 1193–1200.
- 752 Watson, J. R., Mitarai, S., Siegel, D. A., Caselle, J. E., Dong, C., & McWilliams, J. C. (2010). Realized and potential

- larval connectivity in the southern California bight. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 401, 31–48.
  https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08376
- Watson, James R, Siegel, D. A., Kendall, B. E., Mitarai, S., Rassweiller, A., & Gaines, S. D. (2011). Identifying critical
   regions in small-world marine metapopulations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *108*(43), E907-13. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1111461108
- Welle, P. D., Small, M. J., Doney, S. C., & Azevedo, I. L. (2017). Estimating the effect of multiple environmental
  stressors on coral bleaching and mortality. *Plos One*, *12*(5), 1–15.
  https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175018
- Wolff, N. H., Mumby, P. J., Devlin, M., & Anthony, K. R. N. (2018). Vulnerability of the Great Barrier Reef to climate
   change and local pressures. *Global Change Biology*, *24*(5), 1978–1991. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14043
- Wood, S., Baums, I. B., Paris, C. B., Ridgwell, A., Kessler, W. S., & Hendy, E. J. (2016). El Niño and coral larval
  dispersal across the eastern Pacific marine barrier. *Nature Communications*, 7, 12571.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12571

766

Author M













