Emergent global biogeography of marine fish food webs

P. Daniël van Denderen^{1*}, Colleen M. Petrik², Charles A. Stock³ & Ken H. Andersen¹

¹ Centre for Ocean Life, DTU Aqua, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark

² Department of Oceanography, Texas A&M University, MS 3146, College Station, TX 77845

³ NOAA, Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ 08540

* **Corresponding author:** P. Daniël van Denderen. Address: DTU Aqua, Kemitorvet, Bygning 202, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby Denmark. Email: <u>pdvd@aqua.dtu.dk</u>

Acknowledgements: We thank FD González Taboada for his comments on the manuscript. PDvD and KHA were funded by the Centre for Ocean Life, a VKR center of excellence supported by the Villum Foundation. KHA was further funded by the *European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme* under grant agreement No 817806

Data availability statement: Data and code for all analyses are available on github via Zenodo DOI: <u>10.5281/zenodo.3923824</u>

Biosketch: The authors are developing mechanistic trait-based approaches to study life in the ocean. These approaches are used for large-scale assessments of climate change, fisheries and marine ecosystem functions.



This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> 10.1111/GEB.13348

- 1
- 2 DR. DANIËL VAN DENDEREN (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-6351-0241)
- 3 DR. COLLEEN PETRIK (Orcid ID : 0000-0003-3253-0455)



12 Abstract

Aim: Understanding how fish food webs emerge from planktonic and benthic production that sustain them is an important challenge for predicting fisheries production under climate change and quantifying the role of fish in carbon and nutrient cycling. We examine if a trait-based fish community model using the fish traits of maximum body weight and vertical habitat strategy can meet this challenge by globally representing fish food web diversity.

- 18 Location: Global oceans
- **19 Time period:** Predictions are representative of the early 1990s
- 20 Major taxa studied: Marine teleost fish

Method: We present a size- and trait-based fish community model that explicitly resolves the dependence of fish on pelagic and benthic energy pathways to globally predict fish food web biogeography. The emergent food web structures are compared with regionally-calibrated models in three different ecosystem types and used to estimate two fish ecosystem functions: potential fisheries production and benthic-pelagic coupling. 26 **Results:** Variations in pelagic-benthic energy pathways and seafloor depth drive the emergent biogeography of fish food webs from shelf systems to the open ocean, and across the global 27 28 ocean. Most shelf regions have high benthic production, which favors demersal fish that feed on 29 pelagic and benthic pathways. Continental slopes also show a coupling of benthic and pelagic pathways, sustained through vertically migrating and interacting mesopelagic and deep-sea 30 31 demersal fish. Open ocean fish communities are primarily structured around the pelagic pathway. Global model results compare favorably with data-driven regional food web models, suggesting 32 that maximum weight and vertical behavior can capture large-scale variations in food web 33 structure. 34

Main conclusion: Mechanistically linking ocean productivity with upper trophic levels using a
size- and trait-based fish community model results in spatial variations in food web structure.
Energy pathways vary with ocean productivity and seabed depth, thereby shaping the dominant
traits and fish communities across ocean biomes.

39

40 Keywords:

Benthic-pelagic coupling, Energy chains, Fish, Mesopelagic, Size-based models, Trait-based
ecology

43 Introduction

Fish are a globally important food source and are a key component of biodiversity and ecosystem functions in marine systems worldwide (FAO, 2016; Villéger et al., 2017). With shifting climatic conditions, there is a growing need to understand current links between ocean productivity and fish food web variations in order to predict future changes. These predictions are needed to assess future changes in fisheries production (Lotze et al., 2019; Stock et al., 2017), as well as to address how the ecological role of fish in marine systems may change and impact nutrient cycling and carbon sequestration (Saba et al., 2021; Wilson et al., 2009).

Recent advances in size-based ecology have resulted in models that are well-suited to estimate energy transfer from plankton to the upper trophic levels, i.e. piscivorous fish, of marine pelagic food webs. The size-based models derive fish communities as a size distribution and represent differences among species only by the trait maximum body weight (Andersen, Jacobsen, et al., 2015; Pope et al., 2006). The models link all physiological processes and patterns of predation and mortality, i.e. big fish eat small fish, to individual body weight, which is a simplification that
enables characterizing fish communities with few parameters. As a result, size-based models
have been used across marine regions to describe fish communities and implemented in a global
context, e.g. Jacobsen et al. (2017) and Jennings & Collingridge (2015).

60 Empirical work on body size and food web structure has suggested that predictions of feeding interactions can be considerably improved when body size is not used in isolation but in 61 62 interaction with other traits (Eklöf et al., 2013). For fish communities, there is a growing recognition of the importance of a vertical-trait axis to incorporate the vertical structure of a fish 63 community, i.e. how biomass and feeding interactions are distributed across the water column 64 (Giraldo et al., 2017; Pecuchet et al., 2020). This vertical structure of a fish community is partly 65 66 driven by variations in diet, e.g. some fish are associated with the bottom habitat, where they feed on benthic invertebrates, whereas other fish have a more planktivorous diet and live in the 67 68 water-column. The vertical structure is also organized by the availability of light and oxygen (Bianchi, Stock, et al., 2013). Millions of fish migrate to the deeper ocean during the day to 69 70 escape predatory fish in the well-lit surface waters thereby actively transporting carbon to depth through respiration and other processes, such as excretion and death (Irigoien et al., 2014). The 71 72 vertical structure of a fish community hence influences the biogeochemical fluxes of oxygen and 73 carbon in the water column (Bianchi, Galbraith, et al., 2013; Saba et al., 2021). The vertical 74 structure may further drive fish food web variations associated with important ecosystem 75 functions such as benthic-pelagic coupling and potential fisheries production (Petrik et al., 2019; van Denderen et al., 2018). Consequently, there have been rapid developments in regional and 76 global modelling systems to predict the vertical structure of marine fish communities (Anderson 77 et al., 2018; Aumont et al., 2018; Blanchard et al., 2012; Lehodey et al., 2010; Maury, 2010). 78 Yet, none of these studies has so far considered vertically distinct pelagic habitats together with 79 the important role of the benthic seabed ecosystem as food for fish. 80

To represent fish in the benthic habitat and vertically distinct pelagic habitats, we introduce a vertical trait axis into a size- and trait-based modelling framework (FEISTY) previously used to simulate (epi)pelagic and demersal fish (Petrik et al., 2019). We implement the model for five fish guilds that differ in their maximum body weight and vertical habitat strategy (Fig. 1). We assert that this functional diversity ensures a generic representation of fish diversity to resolve global fisheries catches and active carbon export to depth. The aims of this paper are: 1) to predict the emergent biogeography of fish food web types based on large-scale variations in the biomass of individual fish guilds and their feeding interactions with shifting environmental conditions; 2) to compare the predicted fish food webs with regionally-calibrated models in three different ecosystem types; and 3) to estimate two ecosystem functions, potential fisheries production and benthic-pelagic coupling by demersal fish, from the emerging fish community food web structures.

93 Methods

94 Overview of model structure

The fish food web is described by a size-structured biomass model that follows the framework 95 96 developed by de Roos et al. (2008) and was used previously by Petrik et al. (2019) to estimate global fish production of forage, large pelagic and demersal fish. The model incorporates food-97 98 dependent somatic growth and reproduction following a standard bioenergetic budget for sizeand physiologically structured models (Andersen, Jacobsen, et al., 2015; de Roos et al., 2008) (SI 99 100 1, Table S1.1). Differences among fish guilds are represented by the traits maximum body weight and vertical habitat strategy. The trait maximum body weight represents a trade-off 101 102 between reproductive output and adult maximum size (Andersen & Beyer, 2006). The trait vertical habitat strategy describes the vertical distribution of the different fish guilds and 103 104 resources along the water column. Fish vertical distribution varies within a guild by size and day/night through vertical migrations and is imposed following observations from the literature 105 (SI 2). Fish interact through predator-prey interactions that depend on habitat overlap in the 106 water column and the rule that big predators eat smaller prey (Barnes et al., 2010). 107

We use the model to predict fish guild biomass and food web structure on a 1° spatial grid across the global ocean. These predictions are driven by estimates of zooplankton and the energy supply to the benthos from a high-resolution (1/10° spatial grid) Carbon, Ocean Biogeochemistry and Lower Trophics (COBALT) ecosystem model from a climatology of GFDL's Earth System Model (ESM2.6) representative of the early 1990s (hereafter termed ESM2.6-COBALT) (Stock et al., 2014, 2017), water column temperatures and seafloor and euphotic depth (see SI 3 for data sources). We further examine the changes in fish guild biomass and food web structure as a 115 function of seafloor depth for different idealized resource productivity and water column116 temperature scenarios (see SI 4 for data sources).

117 Fish guilds

We implement the model for five fish guilds (Fig. 1). We build upon the guild classification of 118 Petrik et al., (2019) comprising three guilds with fish primarily important for global fisheries 119 catches: 1) epipelagic fish, such as sardines and anchovies commonly referred to as forage fish, 120 121 with a small maximum weight that feed in the upper water column on zooplankton, 2) large pelagic predators, such as billfish and tunas, that feed on plankton in earlier life stages and prey 122 upon fish later in life, and 3) large demersal fish, such as cod, haddock and halibut, that feed as 123 larvae in the water column and on benthic invertebrates and pelagic fish later in life. These three 124 125 guilds largely ignore the mid-water habitats in open ocean environments.

Two other guilds are common in open ocean mid-water habitats where they contribute to carbon 126 export to depth: 4) mesopelagic fish and 5) mid-water predators. Mesopelagic fish, such as 127 128 lanternfishes and bristlemouths, are most dominant in the open ocean (Irigoien et al., 2014). These fish have a small maximum weight and are adapted to living in a twilight environment 129 (Fig. 1). Mesopelagic fish are known for their diel vertical migration behavior, being at depth 130 during the day and at the surface during night. The migrations of the mesopelagic fish 131 community attract large pelagic predators to the twilight zone for daytime feeding (Evans et al., 132 2008). Predation on the mesopelagic fish community also occurs by demersal fish, e.g. 133 slickheads and rattails, that feed on the migrating mesopelagic community during day and 134 migrate to deeper waters during night to feed at the seafloor (Trueman et al., 2014). Lastly, the 135 mid-water predators occupying the twilight zone, e.g. sabertooths and barracudinas, are a third 136 137 predator group that preys on the mesopelagic fish community (Drazen & Sutton, 2017; Hopkins et al., 1996). 138

We assume that epipelagic fish, large pelagics and demersal fish make up the fish community in
shelf regions, i.e. regions < 250 meters in depth. On continental slopes (250-2000 meters) and
open ocean regions, all fish guilds may coexist. The vertical distribution of the different fish
guilds is shown in Fig. 2 and further explained in SI 2.

We parameterize epipelagic/forage and mesopelagic fish with a maximum body weight of 250 g (lower limit of weight at maturation is 0.5 g) and the three larger guilds with a maximum body weight of 125 kg (lower limit of weight at maturation is 250 g). For computational efficiency, we represent the size-distribution of epipelagic and mesopelagic fish in four size-classes and the three larger guilds in six classes. The model outcome is largely insensitive to the exact number of size-classes used (SI 5).

149 All fish parameters beside maximum body weight and vertical habitat strategy are similar across guilds and based on general fish physiology and mass-scaling principles (SI 1, Table S1.2). The 150 physiological rates (clearance, metabolic and maximum consumption rates) scale with 151 temperature. This scaling corresponds to a Q_{10} of 1.88, where Q_{10} shows the rate of change of the 152 153 parameters as a result of an increase of 10°C. This value, which originates with phytoplankton growth studies (Eppley, 1972) and is within the range of values reported for zooplankton growth 154 155 and ingestion (Hansen, 1997), was used to scale all biological processes in the underlying plankton food web model (Stock et al., 2014) and is carried through to the fish model 156 157 configuration. Since ambient temperatures vary in the water column, an average temperature per guild and size class is estimated by integrating water column temperatures over the vertical 158 159 distribution of each fish guild and size class. More details on the model's equations, assumptions and parameters can be found in SI 2. 160

161 Zooplankton and benthic resources

We include two zooplankton resources and one benthic resource following Petrik et al. (2019). 162 The smaller zooplankton group represents small to medium-sized copepods in the size range 163 $2 \cdot 10^{-6} - 0.001$ g (0.2 - 2 mm) and the larger group represents large copepods and krill in the size 164 range 0.001 - 0.5 g (2 - 20 mm). In shelf regions, the zooplankton groups are assumed to be 165 distributed in the upper water column with a maximum concentration at the surface of the water 166 167 column, whereas part of the zooplankton in each size group is assumed to make diel vertical migrations in slope and open oceans regions (see further SI 2). Benthos consist of one size group 168 that represents benthic fauna from small annelids of $5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ g to larger organisms of 125 g, such 169 170 as echinoderms and bivalves, and are concentrated at the seafloor.

171 All resource groups follow semi-chemostat dynamics, with a turnover rate and a maximum 172 biomass density (SI 1, table S1.1 and SI 2). The maximum resource production, described as the

product of these two terms, is scaled to the medium and large zooplankton productivities on a 1° 173 grid from ESM2.6-COBALT (Stock et al., 2014, 2017). Such a scaling of zooplankton 174 productivities is both done for the global predictions as well as the theoretical scenarios, as 175 explained in SI 3 and SI 4 respectively. Benthic maximum production is modeled in two 176 different ways. In the global predictions, benthic maximum production is estimated from 177 ESM2.6-COBALT output of the detritus flux reaching the seabed (SI 3). In the theoretical 178 scenarios, benthic maximum production depends on both the detrital export flux out of the 179 euphotic zone and seafloor depth. For the latter, benthic maximum production declines for 180 seafloor depths that are deeper than the euphotic depth with a power law function that represents 181 remineralization of detritus in the water column (Martin et al., 1987; Suess, 1980) (SI 1, table 182 S1.1). 183

The ESM2.6-COBALT outputs drive fish guild biomass and food web predictions. The ESM2.6-184 COBALT model, which is described and evaluated in Stock et al. (2017), has an ocean resolution 185 of $1/10^{\circ}$. The output is averaged to a 1° grid for use in this application. The 1° patterns still 186 187 reflect the integrated effect of fine scale processes, which is especially important to represent coastal areas. There are two main shortcomings with the ESM2.6-COBALT predictions that are 188 189 likely to influence our outcomes: 1) it underpredicts very high chlorophyll in near-shore regions, and 2) it is limited in representing the complex dynamics in inland seas. Nevertheless, both 190 191 across ocean biomes as well as across globally-distributed large marine ecosystems, ESM2.6-COBALT robustly captures the primary differences in chlorophyll, primary production, export 192 fluxes, and medium and large zooplankton biomass (Stock et al., 2017). We may therefore 193 expect that despite the potential for regional bias, ESM2.6-COBALT encapsulates the primary 194 contrasts in zooplankton and benthic productivity across the disparate ecosystem types 195 considered in our analysis. 196

197 Analysis

At each grid cell, we run the fish model for 300 years and take the average of the last 60 years (by which time the model has converged to an equilibrium or stable attractor). Initial conditions of fish biomass are 0.01 g wet weight m^{-2} for each size class and resource biomass is 10% of maximum biomass density. 202 We classify the observed food webs into different types, based on the relative biomasses of the five fish guilds, to map the emergent biogeography of the fish food webs (objective 1). We 203 204 afterwards compare guild biomasses and the fluxes between them from our modelling output 205 with three regionally-calibrated Ecopath with Ecosim (EwE) models, downloaded from EcoBase (Colléter et al., 2013) (objective 2). We use EwE models for validation as they offer a data-206 driven inversion for the food web characteristics (Christensen & Walters, 2004; Polovina, 1984) 207 to assess against feeding fluxes between fish guilds and biomass of fish guilds arising in our 208 model. The three EwE models reflect different ecosystem types, 1) the North Sea shelf with a 209 relatively strong benthic pathway (Mackinson & Daskalov, 2008), 2) the Peruvian shelf with a 210 relatively strong pelagic pathway (Jarre-Teichmann & Pauly, 1993) and 3) a continental slope 211 region off the coast of Scotland (Howell et al., 2009). This comparison requires the classification 212 213 of the EwE fish species into one of the five fish guilds used in our model (see SI 6).

214 Lastly, we estimate two ecosystem functions, potential fisheries production and benthic-pelagic coupling by demersal fish (objective 3). Potential fisheries production is estimated as a fraction 215 216 of the energy flowing from juveniles to the fished adults. Classic surplus production fisheries models show that the maximum sustainable yield to be taken from fisheries is about half of the 217 218 potential flux. We calculate this energy flux for epipelagic and mesopelagic guilds (maximum size is 250 g) based on the energy flowing from size-class 2 to 3 and for the other guilds 219 220 (maximum size >250 g) based on the energy flowing from size-class 4 to 5. For the epipelagic and mesopelagic guilds, we first consider only a quarter of the total flux from size-class 2 to 3 to 221 222 leave additional production as food for the larger fish guilds. Benthic-pelagic coupling by demersal fish shows the relative flux of energy from pelagic and benthic sources consumed by 223 224 demersal fish. The pelagic flux is here defined as all energy from pelagic zooplankton and the four pelagic fish guilds to demersal fish, whereas the benthic flux represents demersal fish 225 feeding on benthic invertebrates and excludes cannibalism by demersal fish. 226

To disentangle the many processes from the global model output that affect fish guild biomass and food web structure, we end with a theoretical analysis of the model. In this analysis, we show the changes in fish guild biomass as a function of seafloor depth for different resource productivity scenarios and water column temperatures. Variations in these three axes allow us to mimic the primary contrasts across the range of ecosystems in the full global model, elucidatingdrivers of cross-ecosystem contrasts.

233 Results

234 Emergent biogeography of fish food web types

Food web structure, defined by the relative biomasses of the five fish guilds at each 1° grid cell 235 (SI 1, Fig. S1.1), are predicted globally (Fig. 3). Continental shelf regions typically have a high 236 237 detrital flux reaching the seabed, supporting high benthic production. In these regions, demersal fish are abundant as they can easily feed on both pelagic and benthic energy pathways (Fig. 3a 238 and dark orange in 3f). Demersal fish usually coexist with epipelagic fish and large pelagics. 239 There are a few shallow regions in the high arctic with low pelagic and benthic production where 240 241 epipelagic fish are the sole group present (not visible in Fig. 3f). Feeding on pelagic and benthic energy pathways also occurs by demersal fish on continental slopes that still have a relatively 242 243 high flux of detritus reaching the seafloor (Fig. 3b, light orange in 3f). In these regions, adult demersal fish make upward migrations during the day to feed on mesopelagic fish in the twilight 244 zone, which are further preyed upon by large pelagics. On both shelves and slopes, pelagic and 245 benthic energy pathways are therefore typically coupled through cross-habitat feeding (Fig. 3a-246 247 b).

In the deeper open ocean regions, pelagic and benthic energy pathways are decoupled. In regions 248 with high zooplankton production, large pelagics coexist with epipelagic fish, mesopelagic fish 249 and mid-water predators (Fig. 3c, dark blue in 3f). Large parts of the open ocean are meso- and 250 oligotrophic areas with low nutrient mixing and consequently minimal new primary production 251 252 and low zooplankton production. The fish community in these areas is devoid of large predators 253 and gradually shifts from a community with epipelagic fish and mesopelagic fish (Fig. 3d, blue in 3f), to mesopelagic fish only (Fig. 3e, light blue in 3f), to no fish in the least productive areas 254 (Fig. 3, white in 3f). The absence of fish in these limited areas indicates that fish present in 255 nature in these regions are either migratory or adapted to survive with low food in ways that are 256 257 not accounted for in our 5-guild model. Demersal fish are also absent in large parts of the deep sea, indicating similar types of adaptations to low food and/or pulsed food events. 258

259 Model comparison with regional models

260 Despite the simplicity of our model, the predicted biomasses and energy flows capture the general features of the regional EwE food webs well (Fig. 4). As expected, shelf regions, 261 262 exemplified by the North Sea and Peruvian shelves, have higher benthic biomass and strong feeding fluxes towards demersal fish in both models. Both models also predict that the Peruvian 263 shelf has a stronger pelagic pathway and higher biomass of pelagic fish relative to the North Sea 264 shelf. Finally, the slope region West of Scotland has a dominant mid-water fish community in 265 both models. A closer inspection shows that the regional model of the North Sea estimates more 266 benthic biomass and higher fluxes from benthos to fish as compared with our prediction, whereas 267 the regional model West of Peru estimates higher pelagic fish biomass and feeding flux within 268 the pelagic fish community. The regional EwE model of the West Scotland slope predicts 269 stronger feeding fluxes and no epipelagic and/or large pelagic fish. Whether the absence of these 270 fish guilds in this region is a fisheries/sampling effect, the result of specific environmental 271 conditions or a misclassification of fish species into a specific guild for comparison with our 272 273 study (see SL 6) is difficult to determine. Regardless, the discrepancies between our simulations 274 and the EwB solution within a given system are small relative to the consistency of the cross-275 ecosystem contrasts of primary interest herein.

276 Fish ecosystem functions

Fish ecosystem functions can be obtained from the food web structures determined by the model. 277 278 As examples, we show measures of potential fisheries production (Fig. 5a) and benthic-pelagic 279 coupling by demersal fish (Fig. 5b). The estimated fisheries production is 101 million tonnes per year. As expected, potential fisheries production per area is highest in shelf seas and upwelling 280 systems that have high secondary production (Fig. 5a). Shelf and slope regions with seafloor 281 depths less than 2000 m contribute 30% of the potential production. Mesopelagic fish have a 282 potential fisheries production of 30 million tonnes per year. The potential production can be 283 increased by taking a higher flux from the small guilds (e.g. half instead of a quarter), which in 284 nature will cause a reduction in the biomass and catch of large fish due to a decline in prey fish. 285 This fishing patterns increases the potential global production to 168 million tonnes per year. 286

Benthic-pelagic coupling by demersal fish shows the relative flux of energy from pelagic and benthic sources consumed by demersal fish (Fig. 5b). In most shelf and slope regions, a large fraction of energy consumed by all size-classes of demersal fish is of pelagic origin. For the continental slope regions, this large fraction highlights that carbon is continuously transported to the deeper waters through cross-habitat feeding. There is a small fraction of pelagic energy consumed by demersal fish in decoupled open ocean systems, since the early life stages are feeding on zooplankton in the surface waters.

294 Theoretical analysis of fish guild biomass as a function of seafloor depth

The changes in fish food web structure (Fig. 3) from shelf to open ocean environments are driven 295 296 by changes in production of zooplankton and benthos, detrital flux and seafloor depth (Fig. 6). In regions with a high pelagic production and a high detrital export flux out of the sunlit euphotic 297 298 zone, demersals are dominant in shallow shelf regions together with epipelagic fish (Fig. 6a-b). The demersals decline in biomass with depth and this promotes large pelagics. At continental 299 300 slopes, mesopelagic fish become abundant and epipelagic fish decline. This shift happens due to the diel vertical migrations of the zooplankton community that benefit mesopelagic fish (SI 1, 301 Fig. S1.2). Mesopelagic fish are preyed upon by large pelagics and adult deep-living demersal 302 fish on slopes. Deep-living demersals gradually decrease in biomass with depth and this decline 303 304 promotes mid-water predators (Fig. 6a-b). Around 2000 meters, demersals are unable to migrate the large distance between the mid-water fish community and the bottom, resulting in a 305 306 decoupling of the energy pathways. Low pelagic production and low detrital export flux out of the euphotic zone, i.e. oligotrophic regions, select for epipelagic and mesopelagic fish (Fig. 6c). 307 308 The productivity and abundance of these small fish is too low to support larger pelagic predators. Demersal fish do survive in these conditions if there is sufficient benthic prey. 309

Changes in fish guild biomass are also affected by water column temperatures that change fish physiological rates. The temperature dependencies in our model are similar for all fish guilds and physiological rates and therefore have little influence on the relative dominance of fish guilds (SI 1, Fig. S1.3 - S1.5). As a result, higher water column temperatures mainly decrease the biomass of each fish guild, and hence total fish community biomass, due to increased maintenance costs (SI 1, Fig. S1.3).

316 **Discussion**

We modified a generic size- and trait-based fish community model (FEISTY) to predict the emergent biogeography of fish food web types based on large-scale variations in fish guild biomass and vertical feeding interactions with shifting environmental conditions. The result was several structurally different food web types that predictably vary with seafloor depth and ocean
productivity. Below we expand upon the results of each of our objectives. This is followed by a
discussion on model limitations and a conclusion.

323 Fish food web biogeography

The transfer of energy from primary producers to upper trophic levels varies across marine 324 regions throughout the ocean (Ryther, 1969). Broadly speaking, the transfer of energy varies 325 326 cross-regionally due to differences in 1) food chain lengths that connect phytoplankton to fish, and 2) the strength of pelagic and benthic energy pathways (Friedland et al., 2012; Stock et al., 327 328 2017). Such processes not only affect overall fish community biomass and potential fisheries catches, but also the guild distribution of fish in a community (Cresson et al., 2020; Petrik et al., 329 330 2019; van Denderen et al., 2018). Our results show that the distribution of biomass between fish guilds directly depends on pelagic and benthic secondary production and is strongly mediated by 331 332 seabed depth, which (indirectly) controls the amount of detritus reaching the seabed, fish vertical behavior and fish cross-habitat feeding. The importance of these individual processes for fish 333 334 food web structure has been recognized for a long time. We show how these well-known bottomup structuring forces can be used to simulate fish guild biomass and feeding interactions across 335 336 ocean biomes on a global scale. Our study thereby mechanistically links variation in ocean productivity with the upper trophic levels of marine ecosystems. 337

We used a generic trait-based configuration to estimate the structural differences in energy flow 338 in fish communities across regions. The advantage of a trait-based approach is that it does not 339 require the quantification of feeding interactions from species-based parameterizations, thereby 340 341 allowing characterizing fish communities with few parameters. Another advantage of a trait-342 based approach is that its basis in physiological and ecological principles may be more robust in predicting the long-term effects of climate change on fish guild biomass and associated 343 ecosystem functions than empirical relationships, e.g. Cheung et al (2016). For example, the 344 modeled fish guilds automatically adjust feeding interactions and physiological rates to new 345 346 environmental conditions, essentially reflecting a state where community re-assembly and 347 temperature adaptations have progressed (Kiørboe et al., 2018). Nonetheless, our predicted food 348 webs represent highly simplified fish communities that do not represent the myriad of species

that exist in natural systems. They are therefore primarily useful for large-scale assessments ofclimate change, guild-based fisheries and fish ecosystem functions.

351 Model validation

It is difficult to determine the extent to which the model reflects empirical patterns in nature. 352 Estimates of fish biomass are available from scientific surveys for different regions, with 353 uncertainty due to variation in gear catchability, acoustic backscatter methodology and historic 354 355 fishing exploitation, whereas estimates of feeding fluxes are more difficult to obtain. Our initial efforts at model validation were therefore to compare against regional ecosystem models whose 356 357 food webs have been calibrated for particular regions using data-driven inversion techniques, i.e. Ecopath balances (Christensen & Walters, 2004). We found a reasonable match between our 358 359 modeled fish guild biomasses and feeding fluxes, and three EwE models that reflect different ecosystem types. Yet, note that any difference (or similarity) could be due to the different 360 modelling frameworks. 361

At global scales, an alternative option to validate the model predictions is to compare modeled 362 fisheries catches with empirical catch reconstructions. This comparison has been done by Petrik 363 364 et al. (2019), using a model formulation upon which our model structure is based. This study found a reasonable agreement between modeled and empirical catches of large pelagics and 365 demersal fish across large marine ecosystems. Such a comparison with empirical catch data is 366 primarily useful for heavily-fished continental shelf and upwelling regions where most of the 367 fisheries production occurs and catch provides a more reliable estimate of the relative fish 368 productivity between regions. 369

370 Other comparisons of our findings to observations, statistical models, and mechanistic models 371 agree on the large-scale food web structures, yet reveal nuanced differences. A more qualitative 372 comparison with empirical work in continental slope regions suggests that our model predicts 373 larger declines in demersal fish biomass with depth than is typically observed (Kallianiotis et al., 374 2000; Mindel et al., 2016; Trueman et al., 2014). This may be due to ontogenetic migrations by 375 adult demersal fish to deeper and more offshore waters (Macpherson & Duarte, 1991), as well as a larger capacity to exploit the migrating mesopelagic resource than was modeled. Nevertheless, 376 377 demersal fish biomass eventually declines with depth following the decline in the detrital flux 378 that reaches the seafloor (Wei et al., 2011). For open ocean pelagic systems, mesopelagic fish biomass has been found to strongly correlate with ocean productivity (Irigoien et al., 2014) and such effects are also found in the model. One aspect that is clearly misrepresented in the model is the simulated high abundance of large pelagics in cold-water regions, where they are able to exist in our model due to a high zooplankton productivity. Pelagic predators are indeed present in these waters, although not as (teleost) fish but as pelagic-feeding endotherms, e.g., penguins and pinnipeds, that can maintain a high body temperature and activity and outcompete large pelagic fish (Grady et al., 2019).

386

387 Fish ecosystem functions

We estimated a potential fisheries production of 101 million tonnes per year in the model, 388 ignoring fisheries production of invertebrates. This estimate compares well with the global 389 390 industrial fisheries output over the last decades of approximately 100 million tonnes per year (up to 130 million tonnes per year when the non-industrial fisheries are included), of which 15 to 391 392 20% is invertebrate fisheries (Pauly & Zeller 2016; Watson & Tidd, 2018). From our estimated total, mesopelagic fish have a potential fisheries production of 30 million tonnes per year. 393 394 Mesopelagic fish are currently largely unfished but are seen as a major potential new food resource for future global food security and nutrition through the production of fish-meal (St. 395 396 John et al., 2016). We found that the global potential fisheries production can be increased to 168 million tonnes per year when taking a higher flux from the small fish guilds, which in nature will 397 398 cause a reduction in the biomass and catch of large fish due to a decline in prey fish. The prediction of increased catch when larger fish are at reduced biomass levels matches other 399 400 studies showing theoretically (Andersen, Brander, et al., 2015) and empirically in the South-East 401 China Sea (Szuwalski et al., 2017) that a fishery narrowly targeting small fish at the expense of 402 large fish can double the fisheries production. Despite the high yield, this shift in fishing strategy will have a high environmental impact on biodiversity and the size-structure of marine 403 communities (Andersen & Gislason, 2017). 404

We quantified the amount of benthic-pelagic coupling by demersal fish across habitats. We found that a large fraction of the demersal fish diet is prey inhabiting the pelagic realm (zooplankton and/or pelagic fish) in both shelf and slope regions. As previously suggested by Trueman et al. (2014), benthic-pelagic coupling by demersal fish in slope regions is driven by vertically-migrating deep-living demersal fish that feed on vertically-migrating mesopelagic fish in the water column. Such vertical migrations of prey and predator at continental slopes cover a
small proportion of the global ocean but may ensure fast carbon transport to depths up to about
2000 m, highlighting the need for further work on the quantification of these fluxes.

A natural next step is to assess how the vertical feeding strategies and vertical migrations of the 413 414 different fish guilds affect active carbon export to depth. Active carbon transport by fish (and zooplankton) through diel vertical migrations is suggested to contribute substantially to the total 415 416 export of carbon (10-20% of the passive flux of carbon) below the euphotic zone (Aumont et al., 2018; Bianchi, Stock, et al., 2013; Davison et al., 2013; Saba et al., 2021). For this study 417 418 however, we did not quantify active carbon export by fish as an ecosystem function, because the depth of vertically migrating species was prescribed in the model. For the sake of predicting fish 419 420 food web structures, the specific depth of vertical migration is of limited importance as the zooplankton and fish migrations are coupled to each other. Yet, vertical migration depth is 421 422 important to quantify active carbon fluxes and sequestration. One way to improve the model is to 423 use a global empirical relationship between depth of vertical migration and oxygen, temperature, 424 surface chlorophyll and the mixed layer depth (Bianchi, Stock, et al., 2013). Alternatively, the depth of vertical migration of prey and predators may be obtained through explicit applications 425 426 of game theory (Pinti & Visser, 2018).

427 Limitations

The trait-based configuration used in our study diversified possible fish guilds, food web 428 429 structures and energy flows compared to the more commonly used size-based models. Yet, some ecological processes and behaviors still had to be constrained in the model and can be further 430 431 refined. The primary shortcomings were: 1) feeding strategies were poorly resolved in shallow regions (< 100 meters) by the trait "vertical habitat strategy" as most fish had vertically 432 overlapping distributions. Even though fish in shallow regions make substantial use of both 433 434 pelagic and benthic pathways (Duffill Telsnig et al., 2019; Giraldo et al., 2017), preference for a pelagic or a benthic diet is evidenced in gut analyses and was therefore imposed in the model; 2) 435 436 feeding strategies excluded obligate benthivorous fish as we expected that such a strategy is of limited importance for total demersal guild biomass; and 3) light availability and adaptations to 437 438 low or high light conditions were not explicitly incorporated in the model, which limited a mechanistic implementation of some pelagic feeding strategies in open ocean environments, 439

sensu Langbehn & Varpe (2017). Our study further disregarded other upper trophic level
predators, such as elasmobranchs, cephalopods and mammals. The inclusion of any of these
predators requires further research to clarify their physiology and identify key traits and tradeoffs that foster coexistence.

444 Conclusion

Our work demonstrates how inclusion of fish vertical habitat strategy in a well-established size-445 446 and trait-based framework allows for global-scale predictions of the food web structure of fish communities. Using the model (which we name for recognizability FEISTY-VerticalTrait), we 447 448 show how ocean productivity and seabed depth shape the dominant traits and energy flows in fish communities. Future developments of the model will allow 1) predicting dominance and 449 450 potential fisheries production of different fish guilds, 2) assessing the indirect ecosystem effects of mesopelagic fisheries, which are a major potential resource for future global fisheries, 3) 451 452 quantifying active export of carbon to depth through fish diel vertical migrations and feeding interactions and 4) examining all of the above in the context of global change. Our study thus 453 454 highlights the trait-based approach to modelling fish communities as a powerful tool to mechanistically predict links between ocean productivity and fish production for fisheries, as 455 456 well as to quantify the ecological role of fish in marine systems.

457 **References**

- Andersen, K. H., & Beyer, J. E. (2006). Asymptotic size determines species abundance in the
 marine size spectrum. The American Naturalist, 168(1), 54–61.
 https://doi.org/10.1086/504849
- Andersen, K. H., Brander, K., & Ravn-Jonsen, L. (2015). Trade-offs between objectives for
 ecosystem management of fisheries. Ecological Applications, 25(5), 1390–1396.
 https://doi.org/10.1890/14-1209.1
- Andersen, K. H., & Gislason, H. (2017). Unplanned ecological engineering. Proceedings of the
 National Academy of Sciences, 114(4), 634–635. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1620158114
- Andersen, K. H., Jacobsen, N. S., & Farnsworth, K. D. (2015). The theoretical foundations for
 size spectrum models of fish communities. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic

468 Sciences, 73(4), 575–588. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2015-0230

Anderson, T. R., Martin, A. P., Lampitt, R. S., Trueman, C. N., Henson, S. A., & Mayor, D. J.
(2018). Quantifying carbon fluxes from primary production to mesopelagic fish using a
simple food web model. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 76(3), 690–701.
https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsx234

- Aumont, O., Maury, O., Lefort, S., & Bopp, L. (2018). Evaluating the potential impacts of the
 diurnal vertical migration by marine organisms on marine biogeochemistry. Global
 Biogeochemical Cycles, 32(11), 1622–1643. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GB005886
- Barnes, C., Maxwell, D., Reuman, D. C., & Jennings, S. (2010). Global patterns in predator-prey
 size relationships reveal size dependency of trophic transfer efficiency. Ecology, 91(1),
 222–232. https://doi.org/10.1890/08-2061.1
- Bianchi, D., Galbraith, E. D., Carozza, D. A., Mislan, K. A. S., & Stock, C. A. (2013).
 Intensification of open-ocean oxygen depletion by vertically migrating animals. Nature
 Geoscience, 6(7), 545–548. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1837
- Bianchi, D., Stock, C., Galbraith, E. D., & Sarmiento, J. L. (2013). Diel vertical migration:
 ecological controls and impacts on the biological pump in a one-dimensional ocean model.
 Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 27(2), 478–491. https://doi.org/10.1002/gbc.20031
- Blanchard, J. L., Jennings, S., Holmes, R., Harle, J., Merino, G., Allen, J. I., Holt, J., Dulvy, N.
 K., & Barange, M. (2012). Potential consequences of climate change for primary production
 and fish production in large marine ecosystems. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B, 367(1605), 2979–
 2989. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2012.0231
- Cheung, W. W. L., Frölicher, T. L., Asch, R. G., Jones, M. C., Pinsky, M. L., Reygondeau, G., 489 Rodgers, K. B., Rykaczewski, R. R., Sarmiento, J. L., Stock, C., & Watson, J. R. (2016). 490 Building confidence in projections of the responses of living marine resources to climate 491 ICES change. Journal of Marine Science, 73(5), 1283-1296. 492 https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsv250 493
- 494 Christensen, V., & Walters, C. J. (2004). Ecopath with Ecosim: methods, capabilities and

 495
 limitations.
 Ecological
 Modelling,
 172(2–4),
 109–139.

 496
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2003.09.003
 172(2–4),
 109–139.

Colléter, M., Valls, A., Guitton, J., Lyne, M., Arreguín-Sánchez, F., Christensen, V., Gascuel,
D., & Pauly, D. (2013). EcoBase: a repository solution to gather and communicate
information from EwE models. https://doi.org/10.14288/1.0354309

Cresson, P., Chouvelon, T., Bustamante, P., Bănaru, D., Baudrier, J., Le Loc'h, F., Mauffret, A.,
Mialet, B., Spitz, J., & Wessel, N. (2020). Primary production and depth drive different
trophic structure and functioning of fish assemblages in French marine ecosystems.
Progress in Oceanography, 186, 102343. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2020.102343

Davison, P. C., Checkley, D. M., Koslow, J. A., & Barlow, J. (2013). Carbon export mediated by
 mesopelagic fishes in the northeast Pacific Ocean. Progress in Oceanography, 116, 14–30.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2013.05.013

de Roos, A. M., Schellekens, T., van Kooten, T., van de Wolfshaar, K. E., Claessen, D., &
Persson, L. (2008). Simplifying a physiologically structured population model to a stagestructured biomass model. Theoretical Population Biology, 73(1), 47–62.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tpb.2007.09.004

Drazen, J. C., & Sutton, T. T. (2017). Dining in the deep: the feeding ecology of deep-sea fishes.
Annual Review of Marine Science, 9(1), 337–366. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine010816-060543

Duffill Telsnig, J. I., Jennings, S., Mill, A. C., Walker, N. D., Parnell, A. C., & Polunin, N. V. C. 514 (2019). Estimating contributions of pelagic and benthic pathways to consumer production in 515 516 coupled marine food webs. Journal of Animal Ecology, 88(3). 405-415. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12929 517

Eklöf, A., Jacob, U., Kopp, J., Bosch, J., Castro-Urgal, R., Chacoff, N. P., Dalsgaard, B., de
Sassi, C., Galetti, M., & Guimarães, P. R. (2013). The dimensionality of ecological
networks. Ecology Letters, 16(5), 577–583. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12081

521 Eppley, R. W. (1972). Temperature and phytoplankton growth in the sea. Fish. Bull, 70(4),

- 522 1063–1085.
- Evans, K., Langley, A., Clear, N. P., Williams, P., Patterson, T., Sibert, J., Hampton, J., & Gunn,
 J. S. (2008). Behaviour and habitat preferences of bigeye tuna (Thunnus obesus) and their
 influence on longline fishery catches in the western Coral Sea. Canadian Journal of
 Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 65(11), 2427–2443. https://doi.org/10.1139/F08-148
- FAO. (2016). The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2016. Contributing to food security
 and nutrition for all. Rome. 200 pp.
- Friedland, K. D., Stock, C., Drinkwater, K. F., Link, J. S., Leaf, R. T., Shank, B. V, Rose, J. M.,
 Pilskaln, C. H., & Fogarty, M. J. (2012). Pathways between primary production and
 fisheries yields of Large Marine Ecosystems. PLoS ONE, 7(1), e28945.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028945
- Giraldo, C., Ernande, B., Cresson, P., Kopp, D., Cachera, M., Travers-Trolet, M., & Lefebvre, S.
 (2017). Depth gradient in the resource use of a fish community from a semi-enclosed sea.
 Limnology and Oceanography, 62(5), 2213–2226. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10561
- Grady, J. M., Maitner, B. S., Winter, A. S., Kaschner, K., Tittensor, D. P., Record, S., Smith, F.
 A., Wilson, A. M., Dell, A. I., Zarnetske, P. L., Wearing, H. J., Alfaro, B., & Brown, J. H.
- 538 (2019). Metabolic asymmetry and the global diversity of marine predators. Science,
 539 363(6425), eaat4220. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat4220
- Hansen, B. W. (1997). Zooplankton grazing and growth: Scaling within the 2-2,000-μm body
 size range. Limnol. Oceanogr, 42, 687–704. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1997.42.4.0687
- Hopkins, T. L., Sutton, T. T., & Lancraft, T. M. (1996). The trophic structure and predation
 impact of a low latitude midwater fish assemblage. Progress in Oceanography, 38(3), 205–
 239. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6611(97)00003-7
- Howell, K., Heymans, J. J., Gordon, J. D. M., Ayers, M., & Jones, E. (2009). DEEPFISH
 Project: applying an ecosystem approach to the sustainable management of deep-water
 fisheries. Part 1: Development of an Ecopath with Ecosim model.
- 548 Irigoien, X., Klevjer, T. A., Røstad, A., Martinez, U., Boyra, G., Acuña, J. L., Bode, A.,

- 549 Echevarria, F., Gonzalez-Gordillo, J. I., Hernandez-Leon, S., Agusti, S., Aksnes, D. L., Duarte, C. M., & Kaartvedt, S. (2014). Large mesopelagic fishes biomass and trophic 550 efficiency in the Nature Communications, 5. 3271. 551 open ocean. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4271 552
- Jacobsen, N. S., Burgess, M. G., & Andersen, K. H. (2017). Efficiency of fisheries is increasing
 at the ecosystem level. Fish and Fisheries, 18(2), 199–211.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12171
- Jarre-Teichmann, A., & Pauly, D. (1993). Seasonal changes in the Peruvian upwelling
 ecosystem. Trophic Models of Aquatic Ecosystems, 307–314.
- Jennings, S., & Collingridge, K. (2015). Predicting consumer biomass, size-Structure,
 production, catch potential, responses to fishing and associated uncertainties in the world's
 marine ecosystems. PLOS ONE, 10(7), e0133794.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133794
- Kallianiotis, A., Sophronidis, K., Vidoris, P., & Tselepides, A. (2000). Demersal fish and
 megafaunal assemblages on the Cretan continental shelf and slope (NE Mediterranean):
 seasonal variation in species density, biomass and diversity. Progress in Oceanography,
 46(2), 429–455. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6611(00)00028-8
- Kiørboe, T., Visser, A., & Andersen, K. H. (2018). A trait-based approach to ocean ecology.
 ICES Journal of Marine Science, 75(6), 1849–1863. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsy090
- Langbehn, T. J., & Varpe, Ø. (2017). Sea-ice loss boosts visual search: fish foraging and
 changing pelagic interactions in polar oceans. Global Change Biology, 23(12), 5318–5330.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13797
- 571 Lehodey, P., Murtugudde, R., & Senina, I. (2010). Bridging the gap from ocean models to
 572 population dynamics of large marine predators: a model of mid-trophic functional groups.
 573 Progress in Oceanography, 84(1), 69–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2009.09.008
- Lotze, H. K., Tittensor, D. P., Bryndum-Buchholz, A., Eddy, T. D., Cheung, W. W. L.,
 Galbraith, E. D., Barange, M., Barrier, N., Bianchi, D., & Blanchard, J. L. (2019). Global

- ensemble projections reveal trophic amplification of ocean biomass declines with climate
 change. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116(26), 12907–12912.
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1900194116
- 579 Mackinson, S., & Daskalov, G. (2008). An ecosystem model of the North Sea to support an
 580 ecosystem approach to fisheries management: description and parameterisation.
- Macpherson, E., & Duarte, C. M. (1991). Bathymetric trends in demersal fish size: is there a
 general relationship? Marine Ecology Progress Series, 103–112.
 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps071103
- Martin, J. H., Knauer, G. A., Karl, D. M., & Broenkow, W. W. (1987). VERTEX: carbon cycling
 in the northeast Pacific. Deep Sea Research Part A. Oceanographic Research Papers,
 34(2), 267–285. https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(87)90086-0
- Maury, O. (2010). An overview of APECOSM, a spatialized mass balanced "Apex Predators
 ECOSystem Model" to study physiologically structured tuna population dynamics in their
 ecosystem. Progress in Oceanography, 84(1), 113–117.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2009.09.013
- Mindel, B. L., Webb, T. J., Neat, F. C., & Blanchard, J. L. (2016). A trait-based metric sheds
 new light on the nature of the body size-depth relationship in the deep sea. Journal of
 Animal Ecology, 85(2), 427–436. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12471
- Pauly, D., & Zeller, D. (2016). Catch reconstructions reveal that global marine fisheries catches
 are higher than reported and declining. Nature Communication 7, 10244.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10244
- Pecuchet, L., Blanchet, M.-A., Frainer, A., Husson, B., Jørgensen, L. L., Kortsch, S., &
 Primicerio, R. (2020). Novel feeding interactions amplify the impact of species
 redistribution on an Arctic food web. Global Change Biology, 26, 4894–4906.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15196
- Petrik, C. M., Stock, C. A., Andersen, K. H., van Denderen, P. D., & Watson, J. R. (2019).
 Bottom-up drivers of global patterns of demersal, forage, and pelagic fishes. Progress in

- 603 Oceanography, 176, 102124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2019.102124
- Pinti, J., & Visser, A. W. (2018). Predator-prey games in multiple habitats reveal mixed
 strategies in diel vertical migration. The American Naturalist, 193(3), E65–E77.
 https://doi.org/10.1086/701041
- Polovina, J. J. (1984). Model of a coral reef ecosystem. Coral Reefs, 3(1), 1–11.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00306135
- Pope, J. G., Rice, J. C., Daan, N., Jennings, S., & Gislason, H. (2006). Modelling an exploited
 marine fish community with 15 parameters results from a simple size-based model. ICES
 Journal of Marine Science, 63(6), 1029–1044.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icesjms.2006.04.015
- Ryther, J. H. (1969). Photosynthesis and fish production in the sea. Science, 166(3901), 72–76.
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.166.3901.72
- Saba, G. K., Burd, A. B., Dunne, J. P., Hernández-León, S., Martin, A. H., Rose, K. A.,
 Salisbury, J., Steinberg, D. K., Trueman, C. N., Wilson, R. W., & Wilson, S. E. (2021).
 Toward a better understanding of fish-based contribution to ocean carbon flux. Limnology
 and Oceanography, 66, 1639–1664. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11709
- St. John, M. A., Borja, A., Chust, G., Heath, M., Grigorov, I., Mariani, P., Martin, A. P., &
 Santos, R. S. (2016). A dark hole in our understanding of marine ecosystems and their
 services: perspectives from the mesopelagic community. Frontiers in Marine Science, 3, 31.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2016.00031
- Stock, C. A., Dunne, J. P., & John, J. G. (2014). Global-scale carbon and energy flows through
 the marine planktonic food web: an analysis with a coupled physical-biological model.
 Progress in Oceanography, 120, 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2013.07.001
- Stock, C. A., John, J. G., Rykaczewski, R. R., Asch, R. G., Cheung, W. W. L., Dunne, J. P.,
 Friedland, K. D., Lam, V. W. Y., Sarmiento, J. L., & Watson, R. A. (2017). Reconciling
 fisheries catch and ocean productivity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences ,
 114(8), E1441–E1449. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1610238114

- Suess, E. (1980). Particulate organic carbon flux in the oceans-surface productivity and oxygen
 utilization. Nature, 288(5788), 260–263. https://doi.org/10.1038/288260a0
- Szuwalski, C. S., Burgess, M. G., Costello, C., & Gaines, S. D. (2017). High fishery catches
 through trophic cascades in China. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,
 114(4), 717–721. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1612722114
- Trueman, C. N., Johnston, G., O'Hea, B., & MacKenzie, K. M. (2014). Trophic interactions of
 fish communities at midwater depths enhance long-term carbon storage and benthic
 production on continental slopes. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences,
 281(1787), 20140669. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.0669
- van Denderen, P. D., Lindegren, M., MacKenzie, B. R., Watson, R. A., & Andersen, K. H.
 (2018). Global patterns in marine predatory fish. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 2(1), 65–70.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0388-z
- Villéger, S., Brosse, S., Mouchet, M., Mouillot, D., & Vanni, M. J. (2017). Functional ecology of
 fish: current approaches and future challenges. Aquatic Sciences, 79(4), 783–801.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-017-0546-z
- Watson, R. A., & Tidd, A. (2018). Mapping nearly a century and a half of global marine fishing:
 1869–2015. Marine Policy, 93, 171–177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2018.04.023
- Wei, C.-L., Rowe, G. T., Escobar-Briones, E., Boetius, A., Soltwedel, T., Caley, M. J., Soliman,
 Y., Huettmann, F., Qu, F., Yu, Z., Pitcher, C. R., Haedrich, R. L., Wicksten, M. K., Rex, M.
 A., Baguley, J. G., Sharma, J., Danovaro, R., MacDonald, I. R., Nunnally, C. C., ...
 Narayanaswamy, B. E. (2011). Global patterns and predictions of seafloor biomass using
 random forests. PLOS ONE, 5(12), e15323. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0015323
 Wilson, R. W., Millero, F. J., Taylor, J. R., Walsh, P. J., Christensen, V., Jennings, S., & Grosell,
 M. (2009). Contribution of fish to the marine inorganic carbon cycle. Science, 323(5912),
- 654 359–362. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1157972
- 655 Figures captions

Figure 1. Illustration of simplified fish guilds in the fish community deemed important for global fisheries catches and active carbon export to depth. Fish interact with each other and the resources through predator-prey interactions if they overlap in their spatial distribution in the water column and if the prey is of preferred size (see inset).

Fig 2. Vertical position (blue lines) of fish guild size-classes and resources from a seafloor depth of 100 to 3000 meters, described by the upper edge of the sloping yellow shape in each panel. The vertical position shows the depth of maximum concentration z_c for day and night; two lines indicate a bimodal vertical distribution (SI 1, Table S1.3). The euphotic depth is here set at 150 m. Note that there are more fish size-classes (SI 2) than the groups presented here, but the classes align with the weight boundaries in each panel.

Figure 3. Emergent food web types from shelf regions to open ocean environments due to 666 seafloor depth, zooplankton production and detrital export reaching the seafloor. The food web 667 panels (a-e) show biomass in g wet weight per m^{-2} (circles; see reference biomass in lower right 668 corner) and fluxes of biomass in g wet weight m⁻² y⁻¹ (lines) in a 1° grid cell. Dashed, solid thin 669 and solid thick lines show weak (0.01-0.1), intermediate (0.1-1) and strong (>1) feeding 670 interactions. Interactions less than 0.01 g wet weight $m^{-2} v^{-1}$ are not shown. The vertical position 671 of fish in the food web panels is the average position of each size class. The colors in the food 672 web panels correspond to the legend. The colors in the map (f) define regions with a similar food 673 web type, corresponding to the color in the panel title bars; threshold values are presented in SI 1 674 Table S1.4. Food web panels are not presented for the white regions where the resource 675 production is too low to support fish biomass. 676

Figure 4. Comparison of biomass and energy flow between the trait-based fish model (right) and three regional-specific Ecopath with Ecosim (EwE) food web models (left). All panels show biomass (circles, with values in g wet weight m⁻²) and fluxes of biomass (lines, with values in g wet weight m⁻² y⁻¹). The circles and lines are both scaled with a log_{10} transformation for visualization. The internal loops present feeding fluxes within each grouping. No values are included for lines with a flux < 0.5 g wet weight m⁻² y⁻¹.

Figure 5. Map of two fish ecosystem functions: potential fisheries production (a) and benthicpelagic coupling by demersal fish (b). Potential fisheries production is estimated as a fraction of energy flowing from juveniles to the fished adults. Benthic-pelagic coupling by demersal fish is estimated as the sum of all feeding fluxes from pelagic prey to demersal fish relative to the total flux. This prediction does not consider cannibalism by demersal fish and is only shown in areas where demersal biomass is $> 10^{-4}$ g wet weight m⁻².

Figure 6. Biomass of fish guilds as a function of seafloor depth for high (a), intermediate (b) and 689 low (c) zooplankton production and detrital export flux out of the euphotic zone. Benthic 690 maximum production depends on the detrital export flux and bottom depth, following eq. S21 in 691 SI 1 Table S1.1. The euphotic depth is at 150 m. Note the different scales on the y-axes. 692 Resource values: maximum small and large zooplankton production is 100 (a), 50 (b) and 5 (c) g 693 wet weight $m^{-2} y^{-1}$; detrital export flux is 380 (a), 250 (b) and 130 (c) g wet weight $m^{-2} y^{-1}$. There 694 is no variation in temperature (see SI 1 Fig S1.3 for results for three different water column 695 temperatures). 696

697

698 Supplementary material information

- 699 Supplement 1: Supplementary tables and figures
- 700 Supplement 2: Fish community model formulation
- 701 Supplement 3: Input parameters for the global predictions on a 1° grid
- 702 Supplement 4: Resource productivity and water column temperature scenarios
- 703 Supplement 5. Discretization of fish size-classes
- 704 Supplement 6: Model comparison with Ecopath with Ecosim

h