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## Meeting Overview

The Stock Assessment Review Committee (SARC) meeting of the 28th Northeast Regional Stock Assessment Workshop (28th SAW) was held at the Carriage House of the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution in Falmouth, MA during 30 November - 4 December 1998. The SARC Chairman was Dr. Terry Smith of the Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC). Members of the SARC included scientists from the NEFSC, the Northeast Regional Office (NERO), the New England Fishery Management Council (NEFMC), Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC), the States of Rhode Island and Maine, Rutgers University, and the NMFS Pilot Project, a pool of assessment experts (Table 1). In addition, neariy 30 other persons, including five Industry Observers, attended some or all of the meeting (Table 2). The meeting agenda is presented in Table 3.

Table 1. SAW-28 SARC Composition.
Chairnan:
Terrence Smith, NMFS/NEFSC
Four ad hoc experts chosen by the Chair:
Stephen Clark, NMFS/NEFSC
Wendy Gabriel, NMFS/NEFSC
William Overholtz, NMFS/NEFSC
Debra Palka, NMFS/NEFSC
One person from the NMFS Northeast Regional Office:
John Witrig, NMFS/NERO
One person from each regional Fishery Management Council:
Andrew Applegate, NEFMC
Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission/State personnel:

- Joseph Desfosse, ASMFC
Najih Lazar, RI DF\&W
Dan Shick, ME DMR
One or more scientists from:


## Opening

Dr. Fred Serchuk, Chief of the NEFSC Resource Assessment Division, welcomed the meeting participants. In his introductory remarks, he thanked the Working Group members who, he indicated, "worked in the trenches for several weeks" to prepare the documentation for SARC review.

Dr. Terry Smith had previously served as SAW Chairman during SAWs 18 through 21 and has returned to Chair this meeting in place of Dr. Emory Anderson, who took a position with the NOAA Sea Grant Office at Silver Spring, MD.

Table 2. List of Participants.

| National Marine Fisheries Service |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Northeast Fisheries Science Center |  |
| Frank Almeida | Gavin Begg |
| Russell Brown | Steve Cadrin |
| Joseph Idoine | Ralph Mayo |
| Steve Murawski | Helen Mustafa |
| Paul Nitschke | Loretta O'Brien |
| Paul Rago | Fred Serchuk |
| Gary Shepherd | Katherine Sosebee |
| Lynette Suslowicz | Mark Terceiro |
| Bonnie van Pett | Susan Wigley |
| NOAA/UMass CMER |  |
| Kevin Friedland |  |
| Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries |  |
| Steven Correia | Arnold Howe |
| Jeremy King |  |
| Maine Division of Marine Fisheries |  |
| Chris Finlayson |  |
| Rhode Island Division of Fish and Wildlife |  |
| Mark Gibson |  |
| Conservation Law Foundation |  |
| Anthony Chatwin |  |
| Industry Observers |  |
| Robert Hamilton, Jf. | Frank Mirarchi |
| Maggie Raymond | Russell Sherman |
| Matthew Stommel |  |

National Marine Fisheries Service
Northeast Fisheries Science Center

Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries Steven Correia Arnold Howe
Jeremy King
Maine Division of Marine Fisheries
Chris Finlayson
Rhode Island Division of Fish and Wildlife
Mark Gibson

Conservation Law Foundation
Anthony Chatwin
Industry Observers
Robert Hamilton, Jr. Frank Mirarchi
Man Stommel

Table 3. Agenda of the 28th Northeast regional Stock Assessment Workshop (SAW-28) Stock Assessment Review Committee (SARC) meeting.

Carriage House
WHOI Quissett Campus (Route 28)
Faimouth, Massachusetts
30 November (1:00 PM) - 4 December (6:00 PM) 1998

## AGENDA

| TOPIC | WORKING GROUP <br>  <br> \& PRESENTER(S) | SARC LEADER RAPPORTEUR(S) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Opening  <br> Welcome  <br> Agenda  <br> Conduct of meeting Terry Smith, Chairman$\quad$ H. Mustafa |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Cape Cod yellowtail flounder (A) | Southem Demersal W.G. S. Cadrin | W. Overholt | S. Wigley |
| TUESDAY, 1 December ( $9: 00$ AM - 6:00 PM)................................................................... |  |  |  |
| White hake (B) | Northern Demersal W.G. K. Sosebee | S. Clark | R. Mayo |
| Georges Bank winter flounder (C) | Southern Demersal W.G. R. Brown | W. Gabriel | P. Nitschke |
|  |  |  |  |
| American plaice (D) | Northerm Demersal W.G. L. O'Brien | R. Mohn | R Mayo |
| Southem New England winter flounder (E) | Southern Demersal W.G. M. Terceiro | W. Wakefield | G. Shepherd |
| SOCIAL at the Smiths' (7:00 PM) |  |  |  |
| THURSDAY, 3 December ( $9: 00 \mathrm{AM}-6: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ ) .................................................................. |  |  |  |
| Review Advisory Reports and Sections for the SARC Report |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| SARC comments, research recommendations, and 2nd drafts of Advisory Reports |  |  |  |
| Other business |  |  | H. Mustafa |

Dr. Smith invited the meeting participants to introduce themselves and explained the role of the Industry Observers.

At the request of the SAW Steering Committee, Industry Observers were invited to actively participate in the Stock Assessment Review Committee meetings. Nominations for Observers were made by the New England and Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Councils and all nominees were invited to the SARC. Although observers would not have a "vote" or participate in the formulation of the SARC consensus, the SAW Steering Committee believes that their contributions to discussions at SARC meetings will be valuable.

## The Process

The SAW Steering Committee, which guides the SAW process, is composed of the executives of the five parmer organizations (NMFS/NEFSC, NMFS/NER, NEFMC, MAFMC, ASMFC). Working groups assemble the data
for assessments, decide on methodology, and prepare documents for SARC review. Dr. Smith indicated that the SARC members have a dual role; panelists are both reviewers of assessments and drafters of management advice. More specifically, although the SARC's primary role is peer review of the assessments tabled at the meeting, the Committee also prepares a report with advice for fishery managers known as the Advisory Report on Stock Status.

Dr. Smith reviewed the responsibilities of SARC members, the SARC leaders, rapporteurs, and presenters, as well as the list of working papers. Working papers included assessments for the stocks on the agenda, a paper by Rago and Sosebee inferring the availability of white hake from spring and fall sampling surveys, and a background paper by Mayo, O'Brien, and Buxton on discard estimates of American plaice in the Gulf of Maine Northern shrimp fishery and the Gulf of Maine Georges Bank large-mesh otter trawl fishery that was presented at SAW-14. Assessments for SARC review were prepared at meetings listed in Table 4.

Table 4. SAW-28 Working Group meetings and participants.

| Working Group and Participants | Meeting Date | Stock/Species |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Southern Demersal Working Group | $13-16$ October 1998 | Cape Cod Yellowtail Flounder |
| D. A. Abasi, UMass |  | Georges Bank Winter Flounder <br> G. Begg, NEFSC |
| R. Brown, NEFSC |  | Southern New England/MidAtiantic |
| S. Cadrin, NEFSC |  |  |
| S. Correia, MA DMF |  |  |
| T. Currier, MA DMF |  |  |
| M. Gibson, RI DFW |  |  |
| R. Hamilton, MAFMC |  |  |
| A. Howe, MA DMF |  |  |
| P. Howell, CT DEP |  |  |
| M. Johnson, CT DEP |  |  |
| J. King, MA DMF |  |  |
| N. Lazar, RI DFW |  |  |
| M. Terceiro, NEFSC (Chair) |  |  |
| Northem Demersal Working Group |  |  |
| L. Alexander, Industry Rep., Portland, ME |  |  |
| G. Begg, NEFSC; R. Brown, NEFSC |  |  |
| S. Cadrin, NEFSC; R. Mayo, NEFSC (Chair) |  |  |
| L. O'Brien, NEFSC; P. Rago,NEFSC |  |  |
| R. Sherman, Industry Rep., Gloucester, MA |  |  |
| K. Sosebee, NEFSC; S. Wigley, NEFSC |  |  |

## Agenda and Reports

The SAW-28 SARC agenda (Table 3) included presentations on Cape Cod yellowtail flounder, white hake, Georges Bank winter flounder, American plaice, and Southern New England winter flounder.

A chart of US commercial statistical areas used to report landings in the Northwest Atiantic is presented in Figure 1. A chart showing the sampling strata used in NEFSC bottom trawls surveys is presented in Figure 2.

SARC documentation includes two reports, one containing the assessments, SARC comments, and research recommendations, and another produced in a standard format which includes the status of stocks and management advice. The draft reports will be available at two sessions of the SAW-28 Public Review Workshop that will be held during regularly scheduled NEFMC and MAFMC meetings (27-28 January and 2-4 February 1999, respectively). The documents will be published in the NEFSC Reference Document series as SARC Consensus Summary of Assessments and SAW Public Review Workshop (the latter document includes the Advisory Report), after the Public Review Workshop sessions.

## Highlights of Presentations and Discussion

Assessment presentations included a review of the terms of reference, background information, assessment components, and assessment methodology. The terms of reference for all the stocks on the agenda were written to take into account landings through 1997. The SARC, in some cases, requested additional model runs and supplemental analyses. Industry Observers contributed to the discussion in areas where individuais had particular interest or experience. In addition to items related to specific stocks, a significant amount of the SARC members' and other participants' time was devoted to discussing current and proposed overfishing definitions in the Northeast Multispecies Fishery Management Plan; including associated Amendment 9 control rules, and
how to document and illustrate the new SFA-related information.

## Cape Cod Yellowtail Flounder

This was the first time that the Cape Cod yellowtail flounder (Limanda ferruginea) stock was assessed within the SAW process. Management of the stock is generally the responsibility of the NEFMC except for the portion of the stock that is found within the Massachusetts territorial sea which is managed by the Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries (MADMF).

Points of discussion included the sensitivity of VPA, disagreement between the VPA and ASPIC results for total stock biomass, methods used to estimate discards and the survivorship of discards, as well as the management implications to this stock from other fisheries. How to deal with the new Amendment 9 overfishing definition and associated Control Rule was a major issue in preparing the Cape Cod yellowtail advisory.

Research recommendations addressed the need to investigate the use of NEFSC inshore strata and geographic patterns in determining sex ratio and maturity at age, the need to look into the resolution of stock boundaries (including stock identification techniques), revision of discard estimates from the shrimp and whiting fisheries, the need to increase observer sampling on the exempted whiting fishery, and the need to continue processing of archived MADMF samples and to process NEFSC observer age samples.

The stock was found to be over-exploited and at a medium level of biomass in 1997.

## White Hake

The Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank white hake (Urophycis chuss) stock was last reviewed within the SAW process in 1994 during SAW-19. At this meeting, in addition to the assessment paper, the

Paul Rago and Kathy Sosebee presented an analysis that falls between a VPA and a simple production model. The paper infers the availability of white hake from the spring and fall sampling surveys, highlighting the fact that the stock is not fully available in the spring. The essence of this paper will be incorporated into the body of the white hake section of the SARC report.

The SARC addressed several input data issues. The Committee questioned some discrepancies in landing data, discussed gear and market category stratification, and expressed concern over the augmentation of survey age/length keys. Relative to input data, the SARC recommended investigation of effort units in the VTR data, as they may not be comparable to effort units obtained from the interview data. The SARC also recommended an inventory of age samples from sea sampling trips to improve the determination of the age/length relationship. Discussed also were fishing mortality and stock size estimates, derived from a number of sources, and the use of catchability coefficients for tuning the DeLury model. For better evaluation of spatial distribution of white hake, the SARC recommended the use of GIS plots.

The stock was found to be over-exploited in 1997 with an extremely low biomass level.

## Georges. Bank Winter Flounder

The Georges Bank winter flounder (Psueudopleuronectes americanus) stock was last assessed within the SAW process in 1991 during SAW-13, providing summaries of catch, effort, survey indices and yield per recruit modeling. The current assessment represents an attempt to assemble an analytical assessment of the stock.

The stock is fished by both USA and Canada. Canadian survey indices, however, were received just before the SARC meeting and were not available at the time of the Working Group meeting. There has been a recent decline in effort on this stock in the central portion of Georges Bank because of closed areas.

Discussed was the unreliability of discard estimates from log books, problems associated with inclusion of unreliable estimates in the catch-at-age, as well as the overlap between winter flounder and scallops in Closed Area II. Discard survivorship, however, was noted to be high for the species. Points of discussion also included the lack of commercial sea sampling data for the species, the higher catchability of Canadian survey gear, and the use of NEFSC lengthweight indices to estimate Canadian biomass. The possibility of developing a recommendation to amend the current overfishing definition based on new and better information was also discussed.

Research recommendations addressed expanded sampling of commercial landings, better information on discards, examination of the distribution of winter flounder in Stratum 23, investigation of the effects of door correction coefficients, and the use of Canadian research vessel biomass indices.

The stock was found to be fully exploited and at low biomass levels in 1997.

## American Plaice

The Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank American plaice (Hippoglossoides platessoides) stock was last assessed within the SAW process in 1992 during SAW-14. The current assessment is a revised assessment of the stock for the period 1980-1997 based on analysis of commercial discards, landings and effort data, and research vessel survey data. Since the last assessment, the catch-at-age was reestimated using commercial age data, discards were updated, and four out of six research recommendations were addressed. In addition to the assessment paper, as background information, an additional reference on discard estimates in the Gulf of Maine Northern shrimp fishery and the Gulf of MaineGeorges Bank large-mesh otter trawl fishery (Mayo, O'Brien, Buxton; SAW-14) was noted.

Points of discussion included the possibility of there being more than one stock, and the inconsistency in the estimation of discards from the shrimp fishery VTR data.

Research recommendations addressed estimation and calculation of discards, characterization of the seasonality and spatial variability of spawning in the Gulf of Maine, and estimates of discards for the small-mesh otter trawl component.

The stock was found to be over-exploited and at a low biomass level in 1997.

## Southem New England Winter Flounder

The Southern New England winter flounder (Pleuronectes americanus) stock complex was last assessed within the SAW process in 1995 during SAW-21 and by the ASMFC Winter Flounder Technical Committee in 1998. The current assessment is an update of the two assessments.

Points of discussion included differences in market categories by port, the use of large number of indices from several different state and federal sources in the VPA, and the calculation of reference points with respect to the complicated winter fiounder Control Rule.

Research recommendations addressed commercial and recreational discards, sampling levels and information from MRFSS samples, comparability of agelength keys from different areas, re-examination of the maturity ogive, implications of anthropogenic mortalities, implications of stock mixing, ageing of MA DMF survey samples, utility of NEFSC Winter Survey abundance indices, and the utility of MA DMF sea sampling data.

The stock complex was found to be fully exploited and at a medium level of biomass.

## General Issues

## Sea Sampling

Currently, sea sampling coverage is primarily determined by the needs of the marine mammal program. The non-marine mammal related or discretionary part of the domestic sea sampling program
has no dedicated source of funding. As a result sampling can be ad hoc and some assessment are attempted without adequate estimates of at-sea discards. With respect to discards, the SARC notes that because of continued concerns with VTR data, specifically species misidentification, and discard reporting biases, it may be more effective to increase sampling or formalize sampling protocols in the sea sampling program.

In 1995, the SARC recommended an examination of the sea sampling program; specifically that a sampling protocol be developed that would make it possible to collect additional data for assessment purposes. Although a committee was formed, no recent meetings have occurred.

Discussed also were current funding initiatives which could augment the collection of sea sampling data. Actions in this regard have also been taken by the NEFMC and a cooperative program involving the ASMFC and NMFS is looking to develop a comprehensive protocol regarding by catch. It was noted, with respect to sea sampling, that current, onetime initiatives will not be particularly useful. What is necessary is long-term or permanent funding of such programs.

## Amendment 9 Control Rule Complications

Amendment 9 to the Northeast Multispecies FMP revises current overfishing definitions for the stocks managed in the northeast groundfish complex. Associated with the overfishing definitions are species specific control rules or prescriptions for establishing fishing mortality rate targets and thresholds.

The overfishing definitions and control rules adopted by the NEFMC are essentially those recommended by the Overfishing Definition Review Panel (Applegate et al., 1998). One particular issue is that the biomass-based reference points of the overfishing definitions were, for the most part, derived from application of a simplistic production model. The biomass level estimated by such a model is often inconsistent with that estimated by the VPA. One
solution, and in general the approach favored by the SARC was to re-estimate the production model using the catchability coefficients estimated by the VPA. This 'scaling' of the production model resulted in re-estimation of several Amendment 9 overfishing definition reference points. It is important to note, however, that the SARC did not amend the fundamental definition, control rules, etc., but rather, recalculated the parameters used in the definitions and control rule.

Specific issues on various species' control rules were also discussed and appear in the separate chapters to follow. More generally, however, the SARC discussed how to present advice in the new SFA-based framework and how to make the new basis comparable to previous overfishing definitions and advice.

One particular topic discussed was the technical issue of biomass-based versus fully-recruited fishing mortality. The new overfishing definitions are based on considerations of MSY and the biomass that produces MSY (BMSY). Fishing mortality thresholds (maximum rates of fishing mortality) and fishing mortality targets (risk-averse rates designed to prevent the thresholds from being exceeded) are tiedto the current biomass level of the stock and how that biomass relates to a biomass threshold (the level of biomass below which fishing must be eliminated or sharply curtailed). Since biomass is the metric for these considerations, the overall fishing mortality rate should be calculated from current biomass distributions. This differs from the situation where the old reference points were based on fully-recruited mortality rates. Because of this change in perspective, it has been necessary to present both sets of fishing mortalities, a fully-recruited F , applicabie to the old overfishing definitions (and the customary VPA result reporting) and biomass-weighted $F$, applicable when examining stock status under the new Amendment 9 overfishing definitions.

A discussion of the differences in the two metrics, along with an example, is included in the SAW 28 Advisory Report.

Before the meeting adjourned, some additional time was devoted to the discussion of the SFA requirements and control rule implications and how to appropriately capture this in the current SAW documentation. It was suggested that the standard format of graphs in the Advisory Report now include a statement regarding Control Rule implications as well as an illustration (figure) of the control rule and current reference values. In addition to the technical issue related to the calculation of overall F , the SARC decided to develop an overview or preamble section where the issue could be addressed. A committee selected by the Chair would prepare this overview soon after the meeting based on discussion of draft materials reviewed at the meeting. A draft document would be circulated to members of the SARC before it would be added to the meeting report.

That overview is now included in the draft Advisory Report and will become part of the SAW Public Review Workshop report.


Figure 1. Statistical areas used for catch monitoring in offshore fisheries in the Northeast United States.


Figure 2. Offshore sampling strata used in NEFSC bottom trawl surveys.

## A. CAPE COD YELLOWTAIL FLOUNDER

## INTRODUCTION

Yellowtail flounder, Limandaferruginea, inhabit the continental shelf of the northwest Atlantic from Labrador to Chesapeake Bay. Off the U.S. coast, commercially important concentrations are found on Georges Bank, off southern New England, and off Cape Cod (statistical areas 514 and 521; Figure A1). Cape Cod yellowtail inhabit relatively shallow water (10-60 m; Lux 1964). Spawning occurs during spring and summer, peaking in late May. Larvae are pelagic for a month or more, then develop demersal form and settle to the bottom. Yellowtail flounder on the Cape Cod grounds generally mature at age-3 (O'Brien et al. 1993) and grow to 58 cm total length.

A New England fishery for yellowtail flounder developed in the 1930s, coincident with a decline in winter flounder abundance (Royce et al. 1959, Lux 1964). On the Cape Cod grounds, yellowtail are generally caught in multi-species groundfish fisheries (principally by otter trawls) from late fall to spring, with some landings by gillnets in the winter and spring, but may also be specifically targeted in certain seasons (Royce et al. 1959).

Historically, landings from the Cape Cod grounds were a small portion of the total.U.S. yellowtail landings. However, since the collapse of Georges Bank and southern New England stocks in the early 1990s (NEFSC 1994), the Cape Cod stock has been the most productive of the U.S. yellowtail stocks (Overholtz and Cadrin 1998).

## Stock Identification

Geographic patterns of landings and survey data, larval distribution, tagging observations, and life history information indicate relatively discrete stocks of yellowtail flounder on Georges Bank, in Southern New England waters, and off Cape Cod. Survey catches off Cape Cod are not correlated with those
from Georges Bank nor from southern New England waters (Figure A2). Concentrations of pelagic larvae are discontinuously distributed among the three geographic areas (Cape Cod grounds, Georges Bank, and southern New England; Silverman 1983). Larvae may be retained on the Cape Cod, because vertical movement of larvae limits horizontal drift (Smith et al. 1978).

Royce et al. (1959) defined five 'more or less distinct' stocks of yellowtail flounder based on tagging observations and concentrations of fishing effort (southern New England, Georges Bank, Cape Cod, northern Gulf of Maine, and Nova Scotia). The Cape Cod stock was delineated as statistical reporting areas 514 and 521,"east and north of Cape Cod, in Cape Cod Bay, and north to the vicinity of Cape Ann and Ipswich Bay. It is limited in all directions by deep water, although to the south and north there are narrow strips of water of the preferred depth." Lux (1963a) confirmed that yellowtail in the Cape Cod area comprise a relatively local and stationary group through additional tagging. Subsequent tagging information (Lux, unpublished) also confirms that movement from the Cape Cod area is rare. A summary of all documented yellowtail movements off the northeast U.S. indicates that $98 \%$ of fish recaptured from release sites on the Cape Cod grounds remained in the area ( $0.6 \%$ moved to the northem Gulf of Maine, $0.3 \%$ moved to Georges Bank, and $1.1 \%$ moved to southern New England), $0.8 \%$ of fish recaptured from southern New England release sites moved to the Cape Cod grounds, and none of the fish recaptured from Georges Bank release sites moved to the Cape Cod grounds (Table A1).

Life history information also suggests that yellowtail on the Cape Cod grounds are distinct from those in adjacent waters. Yellowtail on the Cape Cod grounds generally mature later (O'Brien et al. 1993), spawn later in the spring (Silverman 1983), grow to larger sizes (Lux and Nichy 1969), and are found in
shallower water ( $10-60 \mathrm{~m}$ ) than those in other areas off the northeast U.S. (Lux 1964). A large portion of yellowtail sampled from the Cape Cod area were infested with trematodes that depend on intertidal hosts, indicating that infested fish inhabited nearshore waters for a portion of their life. However, none of the samples from Georges Bank or southern New England were infested, suggesting that none had moved from inshore areas (Lux 1963a). A more extensive investigation confirmed geographic differences in the number of parasites and degree of infestation: there were two major communities (on the Cape Cod grounds and on Georges Bank), two intermediate communities (off southern New England and in the northern Gulf of Maine), and local aggregations (in Massachusetts Bay/Stellwagen Bank and off the north shore of Massachusetts; Testeverde 1987).

In summary, Cape Cod yellowtail appear to comprise a discrete group with minimal movement to and from other stock areas. Therefore, yellowtail flounder on the Cape Cod grounds are considered a single unit stock and interchange with adjacent stocks is assumed to be negligible for this assessment.

## Management Summary

Over the past 25 years, the fishery for yellowtail flounder in Federal waters has been managed under several regimes. From 1971 to 1976, national quotas were allocated by the International Commission for Northwest Atlantic Fisheries. From 1977 to 1982, the New England Fishery Management Council's Atlantic Groundfish Fishery Management Plan established optimum yield thresholds for yellowtail west of $69^{\circ}$ longitude (which included Cape Cod and southern New England yellowtail stocks) and imposed minimum mesh size, spawning closures, and trip limits. In 1982, the Council adopted an Interim Groundfish Plan, which established a minimum size limit of 28 cm ( 11 in ) and a minimum mesh size of 130 mm ( $5 \mathrm{l} / 8^{\prime \prime}$; with exemptions). In 1983, the minimum mesh size was increased to 140 mm ( $5.5^{\prime \prime}$; with exemptions) In 1986, the Council's

Multispecies Fishery Management Plan increased the minimum legal size to 30 cm ( 12 in ) and imposed seasonal area closures. Amendment \#4 to the Plan further increased the minimum legal size to 33 cm (13 in) in 1989. In 1993, finfish exclusion devices were required in the northern shrimp fishery to reduce groundfish bycatch. Amendments $\# 5$, $\# 6$, and \#7 (1994-1996), limited days at sea, closed areas year-round, further increased minimum mesh size to 142 mm ( 6 in diamond or square; with fewer exemptions), imposed trip limits for groundfish bycatch in the sea scallop fishery, and prohibited small-mesh fisheries from landing groundfish Framework \#25 was an annual adjustment to the Multispecies Plan which prohibited bottom trawling in two areas of yellowtail habitat on the Cape Cod grounds in 1998: Massachusetts Bay was closed in March, and the waters off Cape Ann were closed in April (Figure A3). The 'western Gulf of Maine closure' is too deep to protect yellowtail flounder. Amendment \#9 was adopted in 1998 to revise the overfishing definition according to Sustainable Fisheries Act requirements.

The portion of the Cape Cod yellowtail stock found within the Massachusetts territorial sea is managed by the Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries under a suite of management measures. Since 1931, many coastal areas have been closed to bottom trawling year-round (e.g. Winthrop Head to Gloucester), or seasonally (e.g. Boston to Provincetown and Gloucester to New Hampshire). The state has had a succession of more stringent size limits beginning with a $11^{\prime \prime}$ minimum size in 1982. The size limit increased to $12^{\prime \prime}$ in 1986 and then to $13^{\prime \prime}$ in 1988. In 1986, $5^{\prime \prime}$ mesh codends were required for trawling within the 20 fathom contour in waters north of Cape Cod. In 1986, a winter flounder spawning closure to trawling and gillnetting extending approximately one to two miles from shore was established in waters from the New Hampshire border to Provincetown from February 1 to April 30 (extended to May 31 in 1990). In 1989, small mesh trawling was restricted to permitted fisheries targeting specific species. In 1991, minimum mesh size throughout the net was
increased to $51 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ north and east of Cape Cod. Since November 1 , 1992 a year-round night closure to mobile gear has abbreviated fishing effort by curtailing "trip fishing". Beginning in 1993, a Coastal Access Permit was required to fish mobile gear. The mesh size was increased again in 1994 to $6^{\prime \prime}$. A moratorium on new applicants for this permit was enacted in 1994 stemming an increase in effort into state waters. In 1995, the size limit for vessels fishing mobile gear was reduced from 90 registered length to 72 ' length over all. Current small mesh trawling in state waters north of Cape Cod is limited to an experimental whiting fishery with drastic ground gear modifications for bycatch reduction, prohibitions on groundfish and intensive sea sampling. Scallop dredge fisheries have been limited to $10^{\prime}$ combined maximum dredge width since 1990. Gillnet fisheries in Massachusetts have a permit moratorium, $2400^{\prime}$ maximum net length, $6^{\prime \prime}$ minimum mesh size and seasonally closed areas complementing federal closures to protect harbor porpoises. State waters from Marblehead to the New Hampshire border are closed to sink gillnets from November 1 - December 30. Massachusetts Bay and Cape Cod Bay are closed to sink gilinets from March 1-30. Right whale critical habitat in Cape Cod Bay is off limits to gillnets from January 1 - May 15.

## Terms of Reference

The Steering Committee of the $28^{\text {th }}$ Northeast Regional Stock Assessment Workshop issued the following objectives for the present investigation.
a. Update the status of the Cape Cod yellowtail flounder stock through 1997 and characterize the variability of estimates of stock size and fishing morality.
b. On the basis of anticipated catches and abundance indicators in 1998, estimate stock size at the beginning of 1999 and provide projected estimates of catch and spawning stock biomass for 1999-2000 at various levels of $F$.
c. Comment on and revise, if necessary, the
overfishing definition reference points for Cape Cod yellowtail flounder recommended by the Overfishing Definition Review Panel.

The Cape Cod yellowtail stock has traditionally been assessed by descriptive summaries of catch, effort, catch samples, survey indices, yield per recruit modeling, and estimates of total mortality rate ( $Z$ ) from survey and commercial age samples. The stock was more stable than the Georges Bank or southern New England stocks from the 1940s to the 1960s, based on patterns of landings and commercial catch rates (Royce et al. 1959, Lux 1964). However in the early 1970s, effort began to increase, and catch rates began to decline (Parrack 1974). Estimates of fishing mortality rate (F) during the 1970s were at or above the estimated level of maximum yield per recruit (Howe 1975). Although yield remained stable relative to offshore stocks, catch rates were at the lowest levels observed by the late 1970s (Sissenwine et al. 1978). For a brief period in the mid 1970s, the stock appeared to be stable (McBride and Sissenwine 1979). However, by the late 1970s, peak catches produced high mortality rates, the age structure appeared to be truncated, and catch rates continued to decrease (McBride et al. 1980, McBride \& Sissenwine 1980, Clark et al. 1981). Despite some indications of good recruitment in early 1980s (McBride and Clark 1983, Clark et al. 1984), landings and relative abundance generally decreased in the 1980s (NEFC 1986). Similar to other U.S. stocks of yellowtail, the 1987 year class was dominant and contributed to some rebuilding, however the most recent descriptive assessment of Cape Cod yellowtail concluded that the stock was over-exploited (Rago 1995). The present assessment updates catch and survey information through 1998 and provides quantitative estimates of stock size, mortality, and overfishing reference points.

A draft of this report was presented to the Southern Demersal Working Group of the SAW (October 1316, 1998, Woods Hole) and reviewed by the following working group members. This report represents the Working Group's consensus on analytical decisions, interpretation, and conclusions.

| Name | Affiliation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Daniel Ama-Abasi | UMass, Amherst |
| Gavin Begg | NEFSC, Woods Hole |
| Russ Brown | NEFSC, Woods Hole |
| Steve Cadrin | NEFSC, Woods Hole |
| Steve Correia | MADMF, Pocasset |
| Amie Howe | MADMF, Pocasset |
| Jeremy King | MADMF, Pocasset |
| Lynette Suslowicz | NEFSC, Woods Hole |
| Mark Terceiro; chair | NEFSC, Woods Hole |
| Sue Wigley | NEFSC, Woods Hole |

## METHODS AND RESULTS

## Commercial Landings

U.S. commercial landings of yellowtail flounder were derived from dealer weighout reports. Previous to 1994, landings were allocated to statistical area, month, and gear type according to interview data collected by port agents (Bums et al. 1983). For 1994, landings reported by dealers were allocated to stock area using fishing vessel logbook data, by fishing gear, port, and season (Wigley, et al. 1998). For 1995-1997, dealers' reported landings were prorated to stock area using a modified proration that included deaier codes (NEFSC 1998).

Landings of Cape Cod yellowtail rapidly increased in the late 1930s to an annual average of $1,300 \mathrm{mt}$ from 1940 to 1962 (Table A2, Figure A4). Landings increased sharply in 1963 to $3,600 \mathrm{mt}$, then decreased to an annual average of $1,500 \mathrm{mt}$ from 1964 to 1973. Landings increased in the 1970s to a peak of $5,100 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1980, then declined to an annual average of 1,000 from 1984 to 1989. Landings increased in 1990, then returned to an annual average of 1,000 since 1991.

Recent geographic and seasonal patterns of Cape Cod yellowtail landings can be inferred from logbook information. Most landings from 1995 to 1997 (72\%) were from statistical area 514 (Figure A1), almost entirely in the first, second, and fourth
quarters of the year. A large portion of area 521 landings during 1995 to 1997 (41\%) were taken in the fourth quarter. The seasonal pattem of recent landings is similar to historical patterns reported by Royce et al. (1959): $90 \%$ of landings are taken in the fall, winter, and spring.

Otter trawls are the principal fishing gear used to catch yellowtail flounder. Until recently, small-mesh otter trawls ( $<127 \mathrm{~mm}, 5^{\prime \prime}$. mesh) were the dominant gear landing Cape Cod yellowtail (averaging $51 \%$ of annual landings from 1982 to 1993), with most of the remaining landings from large-mesh otter trawls ( $39 \%$ of 1982-1993 landings; Table A3). Gill nets and scallop dredges contributed minor portions of landings. However, since prohibitions on landing groundfish with small-mesh trawls in 1994, largemesh trawls have dominated landings (averaging $74 \%$ of total landings), and landings from gilinets have increased to greater than $20 \%$ of total landings since 1995.

Some market categories were not sampled in many half-years prior to 1985. The apparent truncation in age distribution in the late 1970s reported by McBride et al. (1980) may be an artifact of sampling only the large category in late 1977 and all of 1978 and only the small category in 1979. McBride and Clark (1983) concluded that biological sampling up to the early 1980s was inadequate for monitoring trends in age composition. Sampling improved substantially after 1984, however each market category was not always sampled in each statistical area. Therefore, landings at age were estimated for 1985-1997. stratified by half-year and market category for the entire stock area. All strata were sampled, except for the unclassified category in the second half of 1985 to 1988 and second half of 1994 (unclassified landings were prorated to small and large categories for those half-years ) and the small category in the second half of 1995 (all landings were characterized by unclassified samples for that half-year, Table A4)

Sample length frequencies were expanded to total landings at size using the ratio of landings to sample
weight (predicted from length-weight relationships by sex and season; Lux 1969b), and portioned to age using pooled-sex age-length keys from commercial and survey samples. Despite sexually dimorphic growth of Cape Cod yellowtail (Lux and Nichy 1969; Libey 1973; Moseley 1986), sample sizes were too small to produce reliable age-length keys by sex. Estimated landings and mean weights at age indicate that the 1984 and 1987 cohorts dominated catches in the late 1980s and early 1990s, respectively (Table A5). Inconsistent catches and variable mean weights of yellowtail older than age-6 suggest that old fish are rarely landed or poorly sampled.

## Discarded Catch

Estimates of discards for the Cape Cod yellowtail fishery 1963-1969 were derived from interviews with vessel captains; historical discards were approximated by Brown and Hennemuth (1971) from the 1963-1969 average discard rate (Table A2, Figure A4). Discards for 1970-1977 were also based on interview data, however yellowtail interview data was suspect from 1978 to 1982 (particularly for the Cape Cod area) when trip limits were imposed (McBride et al. 1980, Clark et al. 1981). Discards during 1978-1982 were estimated from observer data when available (Sissenwine et al. 1978), derived directly from field selectivity studies (McBride et al. 1980), or from application of selectivity estimates to survey size frequencies (McBride and Clark 1983). Discards for 1983 were from interview data (Clark et al. 1984).

Neither sea sampling nor interview data were available to estimate Cape Cod yellowtail discards for 1984-1988. Using the method described by McBride and Clark (1983), selectivity at size was applied to survey size distributions to approximate the magnitude and size distribution of discards for 1984-1988, assuming a 28 cm cull-point for 1984-85 and a 30 cm cull-point for $1986-88$. The regulated mesh size during 1984-1988 was 140 mm ( $5.5^{\prime \prime}$ ), but a large portion of Cape Cod yellowtail were landed
in exempted small-mesh fisheries. The relative proportions of landings by gear type during 19841988 were similar to 1989-1991 (Table A3) and was under similar gear restrictions. The effective selectivity of the Cape Cod yellowtail fishery was approximated by the average ratio of cumulative commercial catch at length to cumulative survey catch at length, by half-year from 1989 to 1991 (Figure A5). By comparison, selectivity of. yellowtail with 129 mm ( $5.1^{\prime \prime}$ ) and 145 mm (5.7") mesh was estimated by Lux (1968) and Hennemuth and Lux (1970), and selectivity of 102 mm (4") mesh and 133 mm ( $5.25^{\prime \prime}$ ) mesh was estimated by Smolwitz (1979; Figure A5). The effective selectivity estimates for 1989-1991 are between the retention reported for 102 mm and 129 mm mesh; which may result from the large portion of smallmesh landings. Therefore, selectivity for 1984-1988 was approximated from the effective selectivity during 1989-1991 (indicated as '1989-91 fit' in Figure A5). The survey-selectivity method was tested on 1989-1991 survey data and produced discard estimates within $7 \%$ of those from sea sampling, and length distributions were similar (Figure A6).

Cape Cod yellowtail discards for 1989-1997 were estimated using ratios of discarded to landed catch, by gear and half-year, observed at sea (as described by Mayo 1998), except for small-mesh and scallop dredge discards in recent years (described below). Since 1989, the Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) and Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries (MADMF) observers sampled 1,963 fishing trips in which yellowtail were caught on the Cape Cod grounds (Table A6). Discard ratios were significantly greater from small-mesh trips than from large-mesh trips in a two-factor analysis with halfyear ( $\mathrm{P}=0.056$ from conventional ANOVA; $\mathrm{P}=0.050$ from randomization test, Manly 1997). Therefore, small-mesh and large-mesh trips were treated separately. However, there was no detectable difference in discard ratios within small-mesh fisheries (i.e., shrimp trips vs. whiting trips: $\mathrm{P}=0.691$ from ANOVA; $\mathrm{P}=0.679$ from RT), and all smallmesh trips were treated as a group. Pooling small-
mesh fisheries can be justified by the similar gear requirements from 1989 to 1992 ( $1.75^{\prime \prime}, 44 \mathrm{~mm}$ codend mesh). Trimmed ratio estimates (which exclude data from the trips with maximum and minimum discard ratios), mean ratios (which exclude trips with discards, but no yellowtail landings), and trimmed mean ratios were calculated to investigate sensitivity of estimates to extreme observations and trips with no landings.

Estimated discard ratios from 145 large-mesh otter trawl trips (1989-1997) averaged 0.16 (ranging from 0.02 to 0.62 ), and were generally greater in the first half of the year than the second half of the year (2 factor analysis with year; $\mathrm{P}=0.010$, ANOVA; $\mathrm{P}=0.012 \mathrm{RT}$ ). Ratio estimates were robust to elimination of extreme observations and zero landings in most years. A ratio of 0.04 (average of 1995-1997 ratios for the $2^{\text {nd }}$ half of the year) was used for the $2^{\text {nd }}$ half of 1994, when no trips were sampled. Estimates of total discards from largemesh otter trawls averaged 87 mt annually from 1989 to 1997, ranging from 9 to 186 mt .

Discard estimates for gillnets were based on many sampled trips ( 1,527 from 1989 to 1997). Discard ratios averaged 0.10 (ranging from 0.00 to 0.34 ) and were robust to elimination of extreme observations and zero landings in most years. Estimates of total discards from gillnets averaged 12 mt annually from 1989 to 1997, decreasing from 36 mt in 1990 to 5 mt or less in recent years.

Estimated discard ratios from 61 small-mesh otter trawl trips averaged 1.01 from 1989 to 1992 (ranging from 0.06 to 2.39 ) and were quite sensitive to elimination of extreme observations in most years. Therefore, trimmed ratio estimates were used to approximate total discards for half-years with greater than 7 observed trips (i.e., trimmed estimates were based on at least 5 trips). Mean ratios were not used to estimate discards, because small-mesh trips with no groundfish landings may realistically represent the fishery. Estimates of total discards from small-mesh otter trawls averaged 409 mt .annually from 1989 to 1992, ranging from 130 to 815 mt . Requirements for
finfish exclusion devices and prohibitions on landing groundfish with small-mesh otter trawls precluded estimation of small-mesh discards for 1993-1997 using discard:kept ratios. Effort-based discard estimates for 1993-1997 small-mesh fisheries are described below.

Estimated discard ratios from 22 scallop dredge trips averaged 1.08 from 1989 to 1995 (ranging from 0.16 to 1.82 ). Few trips per half-year were available for calculation of trimmed estimates. Estimates of total discards from scallop dredges averaged 75 mt annually from 1989 to 1995 , decreasing from 184 mt in 1991 to 13 mt in 1995. Trip limits for landing groundfish with scallop dredges decreased to 200 lb in 1996 and to 100 lb in 1997, precluding estimation of dredge discards using discard:kept ratios for 1996 and 1997. Effort-based discard estimates for 1996 and 1997 are described below.

Effort-based estimates of Cape Cod yellowtail discards were calculated for fisheries that had prohibitions or severe restrictions on groundfish landings: small mesh fisheries from 1993 to 1997 and the scallop fishery from 1996 to 1997 (Table A7). Total effort by fishery was estimated from interview data for 1993 and January-April of 1994, and from expanded logbook data from 1994 to 1997. Reported effort from logbooks were expanded by the portion of total target species landings reported by logbooks (Table A7). These effort-based discard estimates should be considered provisional, because logbook data remains partially unaudited (NEFSC 1996a, 1997).

Shrimp fisheries were treated separately from other small-mesh trips, because of different gear requirements since 1993 (e.g., finfish exclusion devices in the shrimp fishery). The 'raised footrope' experimental whiting fishery was well sampled ( 15 trips sampled in 1996 and 51 trips sampled in 1997), and total annual yellowtail discards were estimated to be less than 1 mt (McKieman et al. 1998; R. Johnston, personal communication). Therefore, effort in the experimental fishery was excluded from the total whiting effort in 1996 and 1997; yellowtail
discards from earlier experimental fishing was assumed to be similar to exempted whiting fishing. The 'whiting grate' experimental fishery does not extend as far south as the Cape Cod grounds. Note that fishermen in the whiting fishery may also target dogfish, but small-mesh trips other than shrimp trips were not discriminated by target species. There were no observed trips in the exempted whiting fishery in 1996 and 1997; the 1993-1995 average ( $0.09 \mathrm{mt} / \mathrm{df}$ ) was assumed, producing annual discard estimates of 31 to 117 mt .

Estimated discard rates from recent shrimp trips (1993-1997) were negligible ( $0.003 \mathrm{mt} / \mathrm{df}$ ), averaged $0.05 \mathrm{mt} / \mathrm{df}$ (ranging from 0.01 to 0.12 ), and produced annual discard estimates of approximately 0.2 mt . Estimated discard rates from scallop trips averaged $0.03 \mathrm{mt} / \mathrm{dff}$ (ranging from 0.02 to 0.05 ) and produced annual discard estimates of 66 mt in 1996 and 159 mt in 1997.

In summary, estimated discards of Cape Cod yellowtail averaged $22 \%$ of total catch by weight from 1963 to 1997 (Table A2, Figure A4) and $37 \%$ by number from 1985 to 1997. Recent discard estimates remain substantial ( $20 \%$ during $1994-$ 1997; Table A2), with $40 \%$ from the large mesh fishery, $30 \%$ from the exempted whiting fishery, and $30 \%$ from the scallop fishery (Figure A7). Sample length frequencies from observed discards (19891997) were expanded to total discards at size using the ratio of landings to sample weight (predicted from length-weight relationships by sex and season; Lux 1969b). Discards at size for 1985-1988 were estimated from survey size distributions by half-year and estimated selectivity from 1989 to 1991 (Figure A5). Discards at size were portioned to age using pooled-sex age-length keys from commercial and survey samples. Discards from unsampled strata were characterized by samples from adjacent strata (Table A8) Estimated discards at age and mean weight of 1994-1996 discards are presented in Table A9.

Total catch at age and mean weight at age in the catch are reported in Table A10. Estimated catch at
age indicates that the 1984 and 1987 cohorts dominated catches in the late 1980s and early 1990s, respectively.

## Historical Catch Rates

Lux (1964) developed an index of fishing effort based on interviews from New Bedford trips with landings composed of greater than $50 \%$ yellowtail, standardized to a vessel size class of $26-50$ tons. The standardization methods were used to derive a time series of standardized catch per unit effort (CPUE) from 1943-1987 (McBride 1988; Table A2, Figure A8). Despite the fact that a small portion of total Cape Cod yellowtail landings were represented in the analysis used to derive CPUE values in some years, "they provide a broad picture of abundance fluctuations on this ground" (Lux 1964), and the index was used as the sole basis for monitoring relative abundance of the stock for two decades (Brown and Hennemuth 1971, Parrack 1974, Sissenwine et al. 1978, McBride and Sissenwine 1979, McBride et al. 1980, McBride and Sissenwine 1980). However, standardized catch rates became difficult to interpret after trip limits were imposed in 1977 (Clark et al. 1981), and the interview process was discontinued in 1994 (NEFSC 1996a). The CPUE time series indicates that stock biomass generally decreased from high levels in the early 1960s.

## Stock Abundance and Biomass Indices

The NEFSC spring and autumn bottom trawl surveys have sampled offshore strata since 1963 and 1968, respectively (Despres, et al. 1988; Figure A9). However, survey catches of Cape Cod yellowtail in offshore strata are too variable for reliable indices of relative abundance (McBride and Sissenwine 1980). Inshore strata in the Cape Cod stock area (56-66) were sampled since 1977, and inclusion of inshore data reduces some interannual variation in the overall index (offshore 25 and 26 ; inshore 56-66; Figure A10). However, inshore strata do not extend
to nearshore yellowtail flounder habitat. McBride and Sissenwine included offshore stratum 24 and inshore stratum 55 in Cape Cod strata sets. However, much of the area and the majority of yellowtail caught in stratum 24 are in the Georges Bank stock area, and much of the area and most catches in stratum 55 are in the southem New England stock area. Therefore, both strata were excluded from the present analyses. Standardization coefficients, which compensate for survey door, vessel, and net changes in NEFSC groundfish surveys ( 1.22 for old doors, 0.85 for the Delaware II, and 1.76 for the 'yankee 41' net; NEFSC 1997) were applied to the catch of each tow.

Age-based indices for NEFSC spring and autumn surveys (Tables A11 and A12, respectively) were derived from dedicated age-length keys. The sampling design for yellowtail flounder weights, lengths, and ages for NEFSC surveys precludes estimation of sex specific biomass indices, abundance indices, or complete age-length keys.

The MADMF spring and autumn surveys have sampled inshore waters since 1978 (Figure All; Howe 1989). The MADMF surveys catch more yellowtail than the NEFSC surveys within the Cape Cod area (strata 17-36; Figure A12). The MADMF surveys do not extend to offshore yellowtail flounder habitat. The aggregate number and weight per tow indices are slightly different than previousiy reported (NEFSC 1996b, Overholtz and Cadrin 1998), because short tows ( $<13 \mathrm{~min}$ ) were excluded in the present analysis. MADMF age-based indices (Tables A13 and A14, respectively) were derived from dedicated age-iength keys, where available. Age samples have been collected for yellowtail for both surveys since 1978. Age-based estimates for 1978-1983 were reported by Clark et al. (1984). Provisional age-based indices were extended using NEFSC keys for surveys that did not have age samples processed in time for this assessment (autumn 1984-1995, spring 1984).

Correspondence among NEFSC and MADMF survey indices was assessed using correlations
among normalized observations [ $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{x} /$ mean $)$; Table A15]. Autumn survey indices were lagged to represent abundance at the beginning of the following year. Correlations varied widely with age: most indices of abundance at age $2-5$ were moderate to strong, some indices of ages 1,5 , and 6 were negative.

## Virtual Population Analysis

Virtual abundance estimates from catch at age of ages 1-6+, 1985-1997, were calibrated using an ADAPT algorithm (Gavaris 1988) that estimated age 2-5 survivors in 1998 and survey catchability coefficients ( $q$ ) using nonlinear least squares of survey observation errors. Abundance at the start of the year was calibrated with 15 indices: NEFSC spring ages $2-5$, NEFSC fall ages $1-4$ (lagged to tune ages 2-5), MADMF spring ages 1-4, and MADMF fall ages 1-3 (lagged to tune ages 2-4).

The instantaneous rate of natural mortality (M) was assumed to be 0.2 based on tag returns (Lux 1969a), relationships of $Z$ to effort (Brown and Hennemuth 1971), and the oldest individual sampled in the stock area (age-14). Although catches of yellowtail older than age-8 are rare in commercial or research catches, the stock has been heavily exploited for seven decades with sustained yields of approximately $1,000 \mathrm{mt}$ since the late 1930 s .

Maturity at age for Cape Cod yellowtail flounder was reported by O'Brien et al. (1993) from 1985-1990 NEFSC spring survey samples. Subsequent NEFSC spring samples (1986-1997) confirm the reported estimates. However, samples from the Massachusetts spring survey suggest significantly lower proportion mature at age-3, suggesting geographic patterns in maturity at age. The maturity schedule reported by O'Brien et al. (1993) was assumed for estimates of spawning stock biomass (SSB), because the NEFSC strata set was considered to best represent the entire stock area. Sex ratios also appear to have strong geographic pattems. Preliminary results from 1997-1998 Massachusetts surveys indicate that there are significant differences
in sex ratio in the Massachusetts survey area. Several of the most populous yellowtail flounder survey strata and the northernmost region appear to be dominated by males in the spring. Sex ratios for the VPA time series were assumed to be $50 \%$, because exploratory investigations on sex ratios are not definitive.

The mean residual for VPA calibration was 0.59 . The model generally fit the data well, but there was one statistical outlier (i.e., the standardized residual for MADMF spring age-1 in 1991 was 4.2). Residuals do not appear to be correlated among indices (only 5 of the 105 correlations among 15 time series of residuals [4.8\%] were significant at the $5 \%$ level).

The VPA indicated that stock abundance increased in the late 1980s peaking at 29 million in 1988, and the 1987 cohort dominated the population through the early 1990s (Figure A13; Table A16). The stock remained relatively stable through the 1990s, averaging 17 million from 1991 to 1996, but age-1 recruitment in 1997 appears to have been poor and total abundance decreased to 15 million. Age-1 recruitment peaked at 21 million in 1988, was relatively constant from 1989 to 1996 (averaging 7 million), but decreased to 3 million in 1997.

Estimates of fishing mortality on fully recruited ages (age-4+) from VPA has been extremely high and variable, peaked in 1988, and generally decreased to 0.64 in 1997 (Figure A14, Table A17). The temporal pattern and approximate magnitude of F estimates from VPA are confirmed from the moving average of survey index log ratios $\left[\operatorname{Ln}\left(\mathrm{n}_{4} / \mathrm{n}_{5}\right)-\mathrm{M}\right]$, which peaked at approximately 2.0 in the late 1980s and gradually declined to approximately 1.0 in the late 1990s (Figure A14). Fishing mortality on total biomass averaged 0.55 for the VPA time series and was 0.41 in 1997.

Total stock biomass estimates peaked at $5,700 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1990 and decreased to an annual average of $2,800 \mathrm{mt}$ from 1991 to 1997 (Table A18). Spawning stock biomass peaked in 1990 at $2,100 \mathrm{mt}$ when most of
the 1987 cohort matured, decreased in 1991 and 1992, then generally increased to $1,700 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1997 (Figure A15, Table A19). The age distribution of SSB indicates that most of the current mature biomass is composed of fist-time spawners (Figure A15).

Survey residuals were randomly resampled for 1,000 bootstrap solutions. Bootstrap percentiles suggest that the $80 \%$ confidence interval of 1997 SSB is $1,140 \mathrm{mt}$ to $1,750 \mathrm{mt}$, and the $80 \% \mathrm{CI}$ of 1997 F is 0.75 to 1.35 (Figure A16). Bootstrap coefficients of variation (CVs) for abundance estimates ranged 29$39 \%$. Estimates of $q$ for each index were relatively precise (CV=19-25\%).

Several alternative ADAPT configurations were inspected (Table A20). All age-6 indices were excluded, because they were composed mainly of large fish with few age sampies at length, and survey catchability coefficients were poorly estimated (Tables A11-A14). The MADMF fall age-1 index (which is based on young-of-the year observations the year before) was excluded, because age-0 fish were not caught in most years (Table A14). Calibration results were relatively robust to exclusion of age-1 and age-6+ tuning indices. However, results are sensitive to exclusion of age-5 indices.

Retrospective analysis of preliminary calibrations (e.g., run\#9) suggested that terminal estimates of SSB were generally overestimated (the mean retrospective difference was +250 mt ), age-1 recruitment was overestimated (mean difference was +1.4 million), and fully-recruited $F$ was underestimated (mean difference was -0.18 ). A revised run (run\#14, Appendix A) without MADMF age- 5 indices had much less of a retrospective pattern (mean retrospective differences were +130 mt SSB,+0.5 million recruits, and +0.07 fully-recruited F). Therefore, age- 5 indices from MADMF surveys were excluded, presumably because they don't sample offshore areas well. Conversely, NEFSC age-1 indices were excluded, because the surveys don't extend to nearshore concentrations of
juveniles. The configuration reported in Appendix A was considered to include the most reliable information on relative stock size and to have the best properties for estimating abundance and mortality.

## Biological Reference Points

Estimates of yield per recruit (Thompson and Bell 1934) and spawning biomass per recruit (Gabriel et al. 1989) are listed in Table A21. The fishing mortality pattern was based on geometric mean F at age from 1994 to 1997, and mean weights at age were based on 1994-1997 mean catch weight at age. Proportion mature was from O'Brien et al. (1993). The model indicates that maximum yield per recruit of 0.23 kg is produced at a fully-recruited $F$ of 0.47 ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$ ), however the yield curve is relatively flat, and the maximum was not well defined (Figure A17). The - increase in yield per recruit per unit $F$ is decreased to one-tenth the initial increase at an $F$ of $0.21\left(\mathrm{~F}_{0.1}\right)$. Spawning biomass per recruit (SSB/R) is 2.8 kg with no fishing, and is reduced to $40 \%$ of maximum at an $F$ of 0.20 . Howe (1975) estimated $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}=0.63$ for a 114 mm (4.5") mesh size using a Beverton and Holt (1957) yield per recruit model. Other reported estimates of yield per recruit reference points (Rago 1995) were for the management area west of $69^{\circ}$ longitude and were based on data for the southern New England stock.

A nonequilibrium surplus production analysis (ASPIC; Prager 1994, 1995) of total catch, standardized CPUE, and survey indices of stock biomass from 1963 to 1996 was attempted to provide perspective on historical stock levels, and offer guidance on SFA reference points (Table A22). Initial biomass ( $B_{1}: \mathrm{B}_{63} / \mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ ), maximum sustainable yield (MSY), intrinsic rate of increase ( $r$ ), and catchability ( $q_{i}$ ) of each biomass index (i) were estimated by nonlinear least squares of biomass index residuals. The model was calibrated with historical standardized CPUE index, the NEFSC spring and fall biomass indices, and the MADMF spring and fall indices. Biomass indices were well
correlated ( $r=0.4$ to 0.7 ) and fit the model moderately well ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.12$ to 0.31 ). Estimates from the present analysis are very similar to those reported by Applegate et al. (1998). However, estimated biomass was approximately 2.5 times greater than from the VPA, suggesting that the estimates of $q_{i}$ were not accurate. Therefore, the VPA suggests that absolute biomass levels from the production model can not be used for SFA reference points.

Most production models require a time series which encompasses a broad range of stock biomass and yield to provide dependable parameter estimates (Prager et al. 1996). In cases where the data series is not informative enough to reliably estimate all parameters, independent data can be used to fix certain parameters. For example, Prager (1993) fixed the value of $r$ to provide guidance on MSY, but fixing $r$ will determine the level of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$. Application of ASPIC to other stocks in the northeast U.S. demonstrates that values of $q$ can be fixed according to VPA estimates of stock biomass (Applegate et al. 1998). Catchability coefficients were fixed for a 'conditioned' analysis, forcing agreement between ASPIC and VPA, however biomass indices did not fit the conditioned model well ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}<0$, strong residual pattems).

Prager $(1994,1995)$ suggested that ratios of biomass and F to MSY reference points are more reliable than absolute biomass or F estimates. The production model indicates that biomass was approximately $1.5 \cdot \mathrm{~B}_{\text {MSY }}$ during the 1960 s and early 1970 s , but decreased to approximately $0.5 \cdot \mathrm{~B}_{\text {MSY }}$ in the late 1980s and early 1990s, then gradually increased to $0.7 \cdot \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ in 1998 (Figures A18 and A19). According to the average biomass ratio ( $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ) from the production model and VPA estimates of biomass (1985-1994, Table A22), $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ is approximately $6,100 \mathrm{mt}$. The ASPIC estimate of MSY $(2,400 \mathrm{mt})$ and the rescaled estimate of $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}(6,100 \mathrm{mt})$ suggest that $F_{\text {MSY }}$ is 0.40 on biomass, which is equivalent to a fully-recruited F of 0.54 (slightly greater than $\mathrm{F}_{\max }$ ).

Technical guidance on defining overfishing
according to the Sustainable Fisheries Act suggests that $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$ is the most liberal of reference points that can be considered as a candidate for a $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ proxy, because it assumes no stock-recruit relationship (i.e., recruitment is not expected to increase at higher levels of stock biomass or lower levels of F ; Restrepo et al. 1998). The stock-recruit relationship for Cape Cod yellowtail flounder appears to be 'flat' according to the short VPA time series (Figure A20), but there is no information for SSB less than 450 mt . Fitting a Beverton and Holt (1957) stock recruit function to VPA estimates suggests that for all observed levels of SSB, recruitment approaches an asymptote of approximately 8 million, but the slope at the origin is poorly defined. Applying an agebased approach to estimating MSY reference points (Sissenwine and Shepherd 1987) confirms that the production curve closely reflects the yield-per recruit curve, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$, and $\mathrm{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}$ and $B_{M S Y}$ are equivalent to the product of $S S B / R$ and $B / R$ with average observed recruitment. However, without more dynamic range in observed SSB and recruitment, age-based MSY reference points should be considered provisional.

The Amendment 9 control rule was developed to define overfishing thresholds and targets (Applegate et al. 1998). When the stock biomass exceeds $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$, the overfishing threshold is $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$, and target F is based on a $10 \%$ risk of exceeding the threshold. When the stock biomass is less than $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$, the overfishing threshold is based on maximum F that would allow rebuilding to $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ in five years, and target F is based on a $10 \%$ risk of exceeding the threshold (Cadrin 1998). The revised control nule (based on rescaled reference points) and recent stock status are illustrated in Figure A21. Note that F values pertain to $F$ on biomass, not fully-recruited $F$, because the control rule was derived from a surplus production model.

## Projections

Stochastic projections were performed using 1,000 bootstrap estimates of abundance at age for the ADAPT calibration, assuming 1998 landings of
$1,320 \mathrm{mt}$ (based on January-August 1998 landings and the portion of total 1997 landings reported during January-August, S. Correia, personal communication), a range of 1998 discards of approximately 300 mt (based on the 1994-1997 mean discard proportion at age), and 100 randomly drawn recruitment values from the VPA time series for each bootstrap realization. The fishing mortality pattern was based on geometric mean F at age from 1994 to 1997, and mean weights at age were based on 1994-1997 mean catch weight at age.

Fully-recruited F in 1998 is 1.01 ( 0.56 on biomass), and January 1, 1999 biomass is projected to be 2,700 mt (a decrease from 2,900 mt in 1998), based on VPA estimates of abundance for January 1, 1998, assumed mean weights at age, and the preliminary estimate of 1998 catch. According to the control rule and the 1999 level of biomass, threshold F (the overfishing definition) is 0.13 on biomass (Figure A21; 0.23 on fully-recruited ages) and target $F$ is zero.

Projections that assume status quo F in 1999 (1.01 fully-recruited, 0.56 on biomass) indicate that landings will decrease to $1,100 \mathrm{mt}$ and biomass in 2000 will slightly increase to the 1998 level $(2,900$ mt or $48 \%$ of $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$; Figure A22, Table A23). Projections that assume the threshold F in 1999 (0.23 on fully-recruited ages, 0.13 on biomass) indicate that landings will significantly decrease to 300 mt and biomass in 2000 will substantially increase to $3,800 \mathrm{mt}\left(62 \%\right.$ of $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$; Figure A22, Table A24). Projections that assume the target F in 1999 (0.00) indicate that biomass in 2000 will substantially increase to $4,200 \mathrm{mt}\left(69 \%\right.$ of $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$; Figure A22, Table A25).

Long-term projections were performed to test the performance of the control rule. A target $F$ of 0.00 was assumed for five years, and a long-term target $F$ of 0.33 on fully-recruited ages, 0.26 on biomass was assumed for the next 20 years. Long-term projections suggest that there would be a high probability of attaining $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$, stock biomass would approach an equilibrium of approximately $7,000 \mathrm{mt}$
( $115 \% \mathrm{~B}_{\text {MSY }}$ ) with high probability of exceeding $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$, and landings would approach $1,500 \mathrm{mt}(63 \%$ of MSY; Figure A23).

## WORKING GROUP DISCUSSION

The Southem Demersal Working Group questioned the accuracy of estimating discards using survey size distributions and the estimate of 1989-1991 selectivity. The Group suggested to compare survey length frequency derived by the survey-selectivity method and the method using observer data (Figure A6). The Group noted that the abundant 1987 year class apparently didn't produce many discards. Concern was raised that seasonal movements may effect discard and catch rates by half year. The Working Group requested a descriptive analysis of landings by month and statistical area. Descriptive analysis showed that seasonal patterns have been relatively stable with most landings from statistical area 514 in fall winter and early spring and some landings from area 521 in the fall.

The Working Group discussed two aspects of NEFSC survey strata and recommended further investigations: 1) substantial portions of offshore stratum 24 and inshore stratum 55 are in area 521; poststratification of catches will increase the number of observations comprising tuning indices and may improve performance of indices. 2) inshore strata are sampled inconsistently (no single strata was sampled in all years); poststratification of inshore data into fewer, larger strata will allow stratification to consistent survey areas for all years and may also improve the performance of survey indices. The Working Group noted that catchability of older fish appeared to be exceptionally high for the NEFSC spring survey in 1987.

The Working Group requested estimates of F which are independent of catch. The moving average of survey index $\log$ ratios $\left[\operatorname{Ln}\left(\mathrm{n}_{4} / \mathrm{n}_{5}\right)\right.$-M] generally confirmed the temporal pattern and magnitude of VPA F estimates (Figure A14).

Several alternative ADAPT configurations were requested (Table A20). The MADMF fall age-1 and all age- $6+$ indices were excluded, because catchabilities were poorly estimated (high CVs), they are based on few age samples, and there were many years with zero indices.

Age-based MSY proxy estimates were considered to be more informative and reliable than those from the production model. The Group rejected a constrained ASPIC analysis, because the model did not fit the data well. The Group suggested that historical catches may not be reliable, because of the effect of quotas and trip limits on reported landings. Several alternative analyses were explored (including omitting the CPUE series, including the earlier offshore survey data), but all altematives were equally or more problematic.

The Working Group agreed that there is little information on weight of fish older than age-8 and accepted the present yield-per-recruit analysis which is based on an age-8+ group. The Group also agreed that averaging mean weights and F at age for exploitation pattern from 1994-1997 was appropriate, because Amendment 7 was the most significant recent regulatory change.

## SARC DISCUSSION

It was pointed out that the estimate of $\mathrm{M}=0.2$ seemed inconsistent given the later maturation and recent observed maximum age characteristic for this stock. However, given that the stock has been heavily exploited since the 1930s, the SARC agreed that the age structure was truncated by exploitation and not indicative that M is greater than 0.2 . It was suggested that looking at a stock with a less truncated age distribution, such as Georges Bank yellowtail flounder, may provide insight with respect to M. Although tagging studies and research conducted by Brown and Hennemuth (1971) suggested $M=0.2$, the SARC requested a VPA sensitivity run with $M=0.3$. The SARC also noted
that there should be consistency in M between models (VPA and ASPIC). The sensitivity run with $\mathrm{M}=0.3$ did not produce biomass estimates similar to those from the production model. Sensitivity analyses for YPR estimates which also assumed $\mathrm{M}=0.3$ produced much higher levels of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{max}}$.

Given the dimorphic growth exhibited by yellowtail flounder (i.e. age $4+$ females are larger at age than males), the use of unsexed survey catch data in the VPA may result in slightly biased estimates of $F$ and SSB. At the recent high levels of mortality and truncated age structure, the SARC felt that this was not a major issue, but may become problematic when age structure rebuilds.

The use of NEFSC inshore survey strata, which have been inconsistently sampled over the time series, should be further explored. The survey catch-at-age may need modification, and thus this refinement was recommended for further exploratory research. The SARC also noted that some of the survey strata extend beyond the stock boundary, especially along the northern boundary, and post-stratification may be need to align survey strata set with statistical area stock boundaries.

The SARC commented that a constant maturity ogive from NEFSC surveys was used in the VPA. Annual maturity ogives from NEFSC data appear similar over time. When MADMF maturity data were included, maturity estimates changed. Exploration of geographic patterns of maturity-at-age and sex ratio differences are needed before the two data sets can be combined.

It was noted that there was an inconsistency in the plus group used in the VPA ( $6+$ ) and in the YPR $(8+)$ analyses. The Southem Demersal working group noted that since yellowtail flounder were still growing at age 6 , and that $8+$ was appropriate for yield per recruit analysis. The working group decided that the entire time series of weight at age for $6,7,8$ is based on more samples than available growth curves.

The SARC noted that the selectivity method used to estimate 1985-1988 discards assumed that relative proportions of effort were constant among gear types. Figure A4 indicates that proportions were relativity stable for the period.

The SARC discussed the utility of including the Mass survey as tuning indices for the VPA. When the Mass survey indices for older ages were included, there was a non-random residual pattem and a retrospective pattem that are not present when these indices are excluded. The two surveys used different gear and have different spatial coverage of the resource. The Mass survey catches more yellowtail flounder than the NEFSC survey; however, the SARC noted the sampling may not represent the entire population. A juvenile yellowtail flounder distribution plot from the Mass survey revealed there were centers of abundance which were not sampled by the NEFSC survey. Since there were negative correlations among the age 5 and older indices in the Mass survey and the NEFSC surveys, the SARC requested an additional run in which the Mass age 5 indices were omitted from the calibration, as well as the age 1 indices from the NEFSC surveys. Results from this formulation had similar stock size and F estimates, yet did not have the troublesome retrospective pattern. This formulation was accepted by the SARC (Run 14).

It was noted that the total stock biomass from the VPA and the production model (ASPIC) tracked each other; however, there was a difference in magnitude. In general, ASPIC estimates some parameters better than others. The VPA was believed to best represent total stock biomass since the VPA incorporates information on age-structure and biomass estimates are scaled by a virtual population.

The SARC discussed the generic issue of what should be done when the overfishing definition is not cast in terms of the analytic model used to assess a stock. The SARC discussed re-scaling methods to bridge ASPIC results to match VPA results. Many concerns surround re-scaling, including the
assumption of stationarity of the B-ratio and which time period of the VPA should be used (i.e. only the converged section or just the most recent years). The SARC did not conclude which re-scaling method was most appropriate; however, the SARC did note that whether re-scaling was used or the dynamic-pool model (i.e., YPR) estimates were used, the management advice would not change. The SARC agreed that the current control rule should be rescaled according to VPA biomass levels for the present advice; however, generic recommendations were needed to adopt age-based reference points when the existing overfishing definition was based on a production model. The SARC also agreed that a trajectory of recent estimates would provide perspective.

## RESEARCH RECOMMENDATIONS

- Increase observer sampling on the exempted whiting fishery, particularly to confirm low bycatch observations for the recently required raised footrope.
- Sample inshore NEFSC survey strata more consistently.
- Continue investigation of geographic patterns in sex ratios and maturity at age. Evaluate possible revisions of survey sampling and data processing protocol to obtain abundance indices by sex. Evaluate information on dimorphic growth rates.
- Explore stock identification techniques for additional information on stock boundaries and rates of movement among stock areas.
- Unique gear codes for small-mesh fisheries (similar to negear=058 or gearcode $=$ 'OTS' for shrimp trawls) would greatly benefit estimation of discards.
- Continue processing archived age samples
from MADMF surveys to eliminate using NEFSC age keys as noted, and process NEFSC observer age samples.
- Revise historical small-mesh discard estimates so that the shrimp and whiting fisheries are treated separately.
- Investigate information available on discard mortality of yellowtail flounder.
- Explore post-stratification of survey data in NEFSC stratum 24 and inshore strata.


## CONCLUSIONS

The Cape Cod yellowtail flounder stock is at a medium level of biomass and is over-exploited. Virtual population analysis of total catch, calibrated with research survey indices, indicated that total biomass since the early 1990s remained below the level that can produce maximum sustainable yield (1997 biomass was $2,700 \mathrm{mt}$, which is $44 \%$ of $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$, $6,100 \mathrm{mt}$ ). Fishing mortality generally decreased in the mid 1990s; fully-recruited $F$ in 1997 was 0.64 , and F on total biomass was 0.41 . Age-1 recruitment averaged 7 million fish from 1988-1995, but the 1996 year class is about half the long term average. The estimated level of biomass in 1998 is $2,900 \mathrm{mt}$ and fully-recruited $F$ is projected to increase to 1.01 . Relative to the Amendment 9 overfishing definition and the associated control rule, which is based on a surplus production model, the stock is overfished, and overfishing is occurring (threshold $\mathrm{F}=0.13$ on biomass, 0.23 on fully-recruited ages). Applying the Amendment 9 control rule to the 1998 total stock biomass implies a fishing mortality target rate of 0 in 1999. Projections suggest that catch and biomass will decline in 1999 as the apparently poor 1996 year class recruits to the fishery. Projected 2000 biomass remains below $1 / 2 \mathrm{~B}_{\text {MSY }}$ at status quo harvest rates, but can substantially increase at low F .
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Table A1. Observed movements of yellowtail flounder among stock areas (GOM: northern Guif of Maine; CC: Cape Cod; GB: Georges Bank; SNE; southem New England; MA; mid-Atlantic) from Royce et al. (1959), Lux (1963a, 1963b), and Lux (unpublished).

| release | proportional recaptures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| site | GOM | CC | GB | SNE | MA | sum | GOM | CC | GB | SNE | MA |
| CC | 2 | 345 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 352 | 0.006 | 0.980 | 0.003 | 0.011 | 0.000 |
| GB | 0 | 0 | 148 | 8 | 0 | 156 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.949 | 0.051 | 0.000 |
| SNE | 0 | 5 | 16 | 578 | 14 | 613 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.026 | 0.943 | 0.023 |
| MA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 28 | 92 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.696 | 0.304 |
| sum | 2 | 350 | 165 | 654 | 42 | 1213 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A2. Catch and effort of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder (1935-1959 from Brown and Hennemuth, 1971; 1960-1982 from McBride 1988).

| year | Landings <br> $(\mathrm{mt})$ | Discards <br> $(\mathrm{mt})$ | Percent <br> Discard | Total <br> $(\mathrm{mt})$ | Effort <br> (thous d) | CPUE <br> $(\mathrm{mt/d})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1935 | 400 | 100 | 25 | 500 |  |  |
| 1936 | 400 | 100 | 25 | 500 |  |  |
| 1937 | 500 | 200 | 40 | 700 |  |  |
| 1938 | 500 | 200 | 40 | 700 |  |  |
| 1939 | 600 | 200 | 33 | 800 |  |  |
| 1940 | 900 | 300 | 33 | 1,200 |  |  |
| 1941 | 1,300 | 400 | 31 | 1,700 |  |  |
| 1942 | 1,500 | 500 | 33 | 2,000 |  |  |
| 1943 | 1,300 | 400 | 31 | 1,700 | 0.53 | 3.2 |
| 1944 | 1,500 | 500 | 33 | 2,000 | 1.01 | 2.0 |
| 1945 | 1,200 | 400 | 33 | 1,600 | 0.61 | 2.6 |
| 1946 | 1,200 | 400 | 33 | 1,600 | 0.62 | 2.6 |
| 1947 | 1,100 | 300 | 27 | 1,400 | 0.75 | 1.9 |
| 1948 | 700 | 200 | 29 | 900 | 0.47 | 1.9 |
| 1949 | 1,200 | 400 | 33 | 1,600 | 0.68 | 2.4 |
| 1950 | 1,300 | 400 | 31 | 1,700 | 0.95 | 1.8 |
| 1951 | 800 | 200 | 25 | 1,000 | 0.79 | 1.3 |
| 1952 | 800 | 200 | 25 | 1,000 | 0.76 | 1.3 |
| 1953 | 800 | 200 | 25 | 1,000 | 0.78 | 1.3 |
| 1954 | 1,100 | 300 | 27 | 1,400 | 0.89 | 1.6 |
| 1955 | 1,300 | 400 | 31 | 1,700 | 1.00 | 1.7 |
| 1956 | 1,400 | 400 | 29 | 1,800 | 1.34 | 1.3 |
| 1957 | 2,400 | 700 | 29 | 3,100 | 1.44 | 2.2 |
| 1958 | 1,600 | 500 | 31 | 2,100 | 0.92 | 2.3 |
| 1959 | 1,500 | 500 | 33 | 2,000 | 0.76 | 2.6 |
| 1960 | 1,500 | 500 | 33 | 2,000 | 1.12 | 1.8 |

Table A2 (continued).

| year | Landings <br> (mt) | Discards (mt) | Percent <br> Discard | Total <br> (mt) | $\qquad$ (thous d) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & (\mathrm{m} t / \mathrm{d}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 196.1 | 1,800 | 600 | 32 | 2,400 | 0.91 | 2.6 |
| 1962 | 1,900 | 600 | 32 | 2,500 | 1.01 | 2.5 |
| 1963 | 3,600 | 1,000 | 28 | 4,600 | 1.00 | 4.6 |
| 1964 | 1,851 | 600 | 32 | . 2,451 | 0.71 | 3.5 |
| 1965 | 1,498 | 500 | 33 | 1,998 | 0.70 | 2.9 |
| 1966 | 1,808 | 300 | 17 | 2,108 | 1.37 | 1.5 |
| 1967 | 1,542 | 800 | 52 | 2,342 | 1.69 | 1.4 |
| 1968 | 1,569 | 600 | 38 | 2,169 | 0.99 | 2.2 |
| 1969 | 1,346 | 300 | 22 | 1,646 | 0.68 | 2.4 |
| 1970 | 1,185 | 400 | 34 | 1,585 | 0.53 | 3.0 |
| 1971 | 1,662 | 700 | 42 | 2,362 | 0.79 | 3.0 |
| 1972 | 1,364 | 300 | 22 | 1,664 | 0.67 | 2.5 |
| 1973 | 1,662 | 0 | 0 | 1,662 | 0.89 | 1.9 |
| 1974 | 2,054 | 200 | 10 | 2,254 | 1.21 | 1.9 |
| 1975 | 2,027 | 0 | 0 | 2,027 | 1.25 | 1.6 |
| 1976 | 3,587 | 100 | 3 | 3,687 | 2.31 | 1.6 |
| 1977 | 3,469 | 0 | 0 | 3,469 | 2.42 | 1.4 |
| 1978 | 3,683 | 400 | 11 | 4,083 | 2.05 | 2.0 |
| 1979 | 4,163 | 500 | 12 | 4,663 | 2.61 | 1.8 |
| 1980 | 5,106 | 600 | 12 | 5,706 | 3.25 | 1.8 |
| 1981 | 3,149 | 600 | 19 | 3,749 | 2.30 | 1.6 |
| 1982 | 3,150 | 400 | 13 | 3,550 | 2.02 | 1.8 |
| 1983 | 1,884 | 300 | 16 | 2,184 | 1.25 | 1.7 |
| 1984 | 1,121 | 20 | 2 | 1,141 | 1.01 | 1.1 |
| 1985 | 967 | 77 | 8 | 1,044 | 1.30 | 0.8 |
| 1986 | 1,041 | 305 | 29 | 1,346 | 1.46 | 0.9 |
| 1987 | 1,159 | 198 | 17 | 1,357 | 1.55 | 0.9 |
| 1988 | 1,085 | 283 | 26 | 1,368 |  |  |
| 1989 | 909 | 390 | 43 | 1,299 |  |  |
| 1990 | 2,984 | 1,141 | 38 | 4,125 |  |  |
| 1991 | 1,472 | 405 | 28 | 1,877 |  |  |
| 1992 | 828 | 637 | 77 | 1,465 |  |  |
| 1993 | 628 | 90 | 14 | 718 |  |  |
| 1994 | 978 | 192 | 20 | 1,170 |  |  |
| 1995 | 1,207 | 233 | 19 | 1,440 |  |  |
| 1996 | 1,064 | 182 | 17 | 1,246 |  |  |
| 1997 | 1,040 | 257 | 25 | 1,297 |  |  |
| mean | 1,593 | 367 | 26 | 1,960 | 1.19 | 2.0 |

Table A3. Cape Cod yellowtail flounder landings (mt) by gear type (smail mesh: <5").

| landings <br> year | large <br> mesh | small <br> mesh | gill <br> net | scallop <br> dredge | other | sum |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1982 | 881 | 2,228 | 8 | 30 | 3 | 3,150 |
| 1983 | 723 | 1,133 | 10 | 12 | 6 | 1,884 |
| 1984 | 544 | 571 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1,121 |
| 1985 | 430 | 531 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 967 |
| 1986 | 437 | 555 | 31 | 16 | 1 | 1,041 |
| 1987 | 465 | 569 | 73 | 39 | 13 | 1,159 |
| 1988 | 484 | 488 | 65 | 45 | 3 | 1,085 |
| 1989 | 394 | 375 | 67 | 68 | 4 | 909 |
| 1990 | 1,580 | 1,109 | 181 | 113 | 2 | 2,984 |
| 1991 | 557 | 662 | 108 | 134 | 11 | 1,472 |
| 1992 | 227 | 416 | 121 | 60 | 4 | 828 |
| 1993 | 155 | 345 | 88 | 41 | 0 | 628 |
| 1994 | 832 | 36 | 50 | 16 | 43 | 978 |
| 1995 | 902 | 27 | 238 | 8 | 31 | 1,207 |
| 1996 | 754 | 20 | 268 | 13 | 9 | 1,064 |
| 1997 | 722 | 14 | 279 | 7 | 18 | 1,040 |
| mean | 630 | 567 | 99 | 38 | 10 | 1,345 |


| annual \% <br> year | large <br> mesh | small <br> mesh | gill <br> net | scaliop <br> dredge | other | sum |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1982 | 28 | 71 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| 1983 | 38 | 60 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| 1984 | 49 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 1985 | 44 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 1986 | 42 | 53 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| 1987 | 40 | 49 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 100 |
| 1988 | 45 | 45 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 100 |
| 1989 | 43 | 41 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| 1990 | 53 | 37 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 100 |
| 1991 | 38 | 45 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 100 |
| 1992 | 27 | 50 | 15 | 7 | 1 | 100 |
| 1993 | 25 | 55 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| 1994 | 85 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 100 |
| 1995 | 75 | 2 | 20 | 1 | 3 | 100 |
| 1996 | 71 | 2 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| 1997 | 69 | 1 | 27 | 1 | 2 | 100 |
| mean | 48 | 39 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 100 |

Table A4. Sample sizes used to estimate landings at age of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder.

| year half | landings (mt) |  |  | port samples (trips) |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { observed } \\ \text { trips } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | lengths unclass. | small | large | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { ages } \\ \text { all } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19851 | 44 | 213 | 302 |  | 12 | 2 | 0 | 109 | 304 | 196 | 292 |
|  | 23 | 177 | 209 |  | 0 | 6 | 0 | * | 825 | 543 | 357 |
| 19861 | 20 | 400 | 198 |  | 02 | 2 | 0 |  | 608 | 206 | 217 |
|  | 57 | 164 | 203 |  | 04 | 2 | 0 | -* | 321 | 172 | 240 |
| 1987 1 | 89 | 308 | 323 |  | 02 | 4 | 0 |  | 300 | 352 | 353 |
|  | 20 | 228 | 192 |  | $0 \quad 2$ |  | 0 |  | 284 | 269 | 207 |
| 19881 | 88 | 185 | 291 |  | 03 |  | 0 |  | 477 | 267 | 286 |
|  | 19 | 289 | 213 |  |  |  | 0 | * | 291 | 364 | 252 |
| 19891 | 66 | 129 | 224 |  |  | 4 | 16 | 10 | 261 | 314 | 305 |
| 2 | 19 | 256 | 215 |  | 0 | 2 | 40 | 97 | 262 | 173 | 200 |
| $1990 \quad 1$ | 118 | 366 | 435 |  | 0 | 4 | 44 | 536 | 532 | 374 | 339 |
| 2 | 36 | 1324 | 705 |  |  | 3 | 20 | 636 | 429 | 276 | 137 |
| 1991 | 104 | 250 | 395 |  |  | 4 | 69 | 811 | 501 | 332 | 610 |
|  | 40 | 303 | 380 |  | 0 |  | 160 | 109 | 531 | 242 | 277 |
| 19921 | 84 | 193 | 226 |  | 0 |  | 190 | 707 | 126 | 254 | 339 |
|  | 26 | 166 | 132 |  |  |  | 81 | 136 | 262 | 457 | 268 |
| 19931 | 59 | 121 | 124 |  |  |  | 144 | 170 | 145 | 182 | 177 |
| 2 | 16 | 160 | 147 |  |  | 1 | 43 | 273 | 244 | 74 | 114 |
| 19941 | 96 | 170 | 195 |  |  | 2 | 127 | 100 | 261 | 170 | 273 |
| 2 | 30 | 231 | 256 |  |  | 1 | 55 |  | 106 | 144 | 148 |
| 19951 | 84 | 279 | 284 |  | 02 | 2 | 203 | 39 | 276 | 201 | 196 |
|  | 21 | 242 | 297 |  | 03 |  | 78 | 998 | 392 | 275 | 157 |
| 19961 | 66 | 330 | 231 |  |  |  | 189 | 2560 |  | 87 | 196 |
|  | 27 | 186 | 225 |  |  |  | 60 | 118 | 495 | 640 | 485 |
| 19971 | 44 | 290 | 192 |  | 03 | 4 | 293 | 343 | 388 | 483 | 556 |
| 2 | 21 | 174 | 319 |  | 07 | 10 | 45 | 317 | 996 | 869 | 634 |
| sum | 1316 | 7134 | 6911 |  | 270 | 87 | 1857 | 8069 | 9617 | 7916 | 7616 |

[^0]Table A5. Landings at age (above) and mean weight at age (below) of landed Cape Cod yellowtail flounder.

| Landings at age (thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ | sum |
| 1985 | 5 | 738 | 700 | 522 | 268 | 89 | 3 | 7 | 2,332 |
| 1986 | 0 | 1,998 | 579 | 223 | 32 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2,838 |
| 1987 | 0 | 609 | 1,786 | 268 | 100 | 29 | 12 | 5 | 2,808 |
| 1988 | 1 | 802 | 1,043 | 625 | 172 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 2,679 |
| 1989 | 0 | 726 | 989 | 231 | 31 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1,986 |
| 1990 | 0 | 692 | 6,191 | 416 | 32 | 16 | 7 | 3 | 7,357 |
| 1991 | 0 | 311 | 903 | 1,455 | 249 | 33 | 27 | 1 | 2,978 |
| 1992 | 0 | 338 | 807 | 514 | 150 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 1,821 |
| 1993 | 0 | 25 | 684 | 573 | 90 | 24 | 15 | 7 | 1,418 |
| 1994 | 0 | 87 | 1,023 | 650 | 236 | 65 | 38 | 9 | 2,109 |
| 1995 | 0 | 233 | 1,730 | 808 | 152 | 78 | 5 | 0 | 3,006 |
| 1996 | 0 | 150 | 1,097 | 798 | 287 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 2,349 |
| 1997 | 0 | 481 | 1,086 | 702 | 160 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 2,443 |
| mean | 0 | 553 | 1,432 | 599 | 151 | 31 | 9 | 3 | 2,779 |


| Landed weight at age (kg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |
| 1985 | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.49 | 0.60 | 0.73 | 1.20 | 1.39 |
| 1986 | - | 0.32 | 0.46 | 0.57 | 0.73 | 0.90 | - | 1.40 |
| 1987 | - | 0.31 | 0.42 | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.81 | 1.03 | 1.18 |
| 1988 | 0.11 | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.53 | 0.70 | 0.85 | - | - |
| 1989 | - | 0.38 | 0.45 | 0.65 | 0.92 | 1.41 | 1.24 | 1.24 |
| 1990 | - | 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 0.82 | 0.90 | 0.99 | 1.17 |
| 1991 | - | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.54 | 0.74 | 0.99 | 1.06 | 1.01 |
| 1992 | - | 0.32 | 0.41 | 0.53 | 0.61 | 0.73 | 1.53 | 1.91 |
| 1993 | - | 0.31 | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.74 | 0.95 | 1.01 | 1.17 |
| 1994 | - | 0.29 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 0.62 | 0.68 | 1.04 | 1.11 |
| 1995 | - | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.43 | 0.61 | 0.78 | 1.11 | - |
| 1996 | - | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.91 | 1.19 | 1.18 |
| 1997 | - | 0.39 | 0.41 | 0.47 | 0.57 | 0.78 | 1.30 | 1.31 |
| mean | 0.15 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.52 | 0.68 | 0.88 | 1.16 | 1.28 |

Table A6a Observations of proportion discarded at sea and total discard estimates of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder.

| large-mesh otter traw |  | trips | kg kept | kg disc | trimmed  <br> ratio* ratio <br> 0.02 0.62 |  | mean <br> ratio | $\begin{array}{r} \text { trimmed } \\ \text { mean } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | dealer <br> landings | discards $\qquad$ <br> (mt) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| year | haff |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 1 | 11 | 1083 | 675 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.50 | 0.39 | 160 | 100 |
|  | 2 | 13 | 569 | 209 | 0.37 | 0.36 . | 0.51 | 0.45 | 234 | 86 |
| 1990 | 1 | 8 | 1617 | . 335 | 0.21 | 0.12 | 2.01 | 0.37 | 213 | 44 |
|  | 2 | 10. | 4500 | 405 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.41 | 0.19 | 1367 | 123 |
| 1991 | 1 | 10 | 1156 | 101 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 158 | 14 |
|  | 2 | 18 | 4624 | 348 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 399 | 30 |
| 1992. | 1 | 22 | 1855 | 345 | 0.19 | 0.17 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 91 | 17 |
|  | 2 | 8 | 368 | 12 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 136 | 4 |
| 1993 | 1 . | 1. | 19 | 1 | 0.05 | . - | 0.05 | - | 53 | 3 |
|  | 2 | 7 | 978 | 44 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 141 | 6 |
| 1994 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 5 | 0.30 | - | 0.58 | - | 81 | 25 |
|  | 2 | 0 | - | - | 0.04 | - 2nd half | erage, '9 |  | 751 | 34 |
| 1995 | 1 | 6 | 58 | 18 | 0.31 | - | 0.11 | -- | 467 | 143 |
|  | 2 | 7 | 6980 | 131 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 435 | 8 |
| 1996 | 1 | 4 | 288 | 35 | 0.12 | - | 0.08 | - | 375 | 46 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 1473 | 97 | 0.07 | - | 0.20 | - | 379 | 25 |
| 1997 | 1 | 5 | 107 | 24 | 0.22 | - | 0.29 | - | 248 | 55 |
|  | 2 | 7 | 9757 | 490 | 0.05 | 0.05 . | 0.17 | 0.09 | 409 | 21 |
| mean |  |  |  |  | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.33 | 0.19 | 339 | 43 |
| gill net $\qquad$ | half | trips | kg kept | kg disc | ratio* | trimmed ratio | mean ratio | trimmed <br> mean | dealer landings | discards (mt) |
| 1989 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | - | 0.00 | - | 65 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 13 | 56 | 6 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 2 | 0 |
| 1990 | 1 | 34 | 2869 | 654 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 160 | 36 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 23 | 0 | 0.00 | - | 0.00 | - | 21 | 0 |
| 1991 | 1 | 54 | 2355 | 246 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 103 | 11 |
|  | 2 | 134 | 513 | 91 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 4 | 1 |
| 1992 | 1 | 154 | 5797 | 967 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 117 | 20 |
|  | 2 | 57 | 71 | 16 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 4 | 1 |
| 1993 | 1 | 128 | 5769 | 1154 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 103 | 21 |
|  | 2 | 24 | 79 | 27 | 0.34 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 7 | 3 |
| 1994 | 1 | 104 | 4357 | 109 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 36 | 1 |
|  | 2 | 51 | 204 | 1 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 14 | 0 |
| 1995 * | 1 | 189 | 22629 | 354 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 231 | 4 |
|  | 2 | 46 | 109 | 16 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 7 | 1 |
| 1996 | 1. | 166 | 14465 | 276 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 257 | 5 |
|  | 2 | 49 | 77 | 1 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11 | 0 |
| 1997 | 1 | 282 | 23632 | 506 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 242 | 5 |
|  | 2 | 37 | 170 | 4 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 6 | 0 |
| mean | to | , |  |  | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 77 | 6 |

Table A6b. Observations of proportion discarded at sea and total discard estimates of Cape Cod yellowtail. flounder.

| small-mesh year | trawl half | trips | kg kept | kg disc | ratio | trimmed ratio** | mean <br> ratio | trimmed <br> mean | deaier landings | discards <br> (mt) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1989 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 0.26 | - | 0.36 | - | 187 | 48 |
|  | 2 | 14 | 368 | 215 | 0.58 | 0.43 | 0.75 | 0.71 | 189 | 82 |
| 1990 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 0.57 | - | 0.33 | - | 531 | 303 |
|  | 2 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 0.88 | - | . 0.67 | - | 579. | 512 |
| 1991 | 1 | 5 | 214 | 13 | 0.06 | - | 0.63 | - | 450 | 27 |
|  | 2 | 7 | 40 | 97. | 2.39 | 0.65 | 3.73 | 0.65 | 212 | 139 |
| 1992 | 1 | 11 | 132 | 201 | 1.51 | 1.03 | 2.54 | 2.09 | 269 | 276 |
|  | 2 | 12 | 34 | 61 | 1.78 | 1.70 | 1.67 | 1.54 | 147 | 250 |
| 1993 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 15 | - | - | - | - | 251 | $\pm$ |
|  | 2 | 12 | 30 | 228 | 7.61 | 7.34 | 6.90 | 5.55 | 183 | $\cdots$ |
| 1994 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 32 | 14.19 | - | - | - | 1 | $\cdots$ |
|  | 2 | 16 | 0 | 205 | -- | - | - | - | 35 | $\cdots$ |
| 1995 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 17 | - | - | - | - | 20 | $\cdots$ |
|  | 2 | 27 | 0 | 187 | - | - | - | - | 8 | $\pm$ |
| 1996 | 1 | 18 | 5 | 18 | 3.69 | - | 0.09 | - | 9 | $\cdots$ |
|  | 2 | 18 | 3 | 1 | 0.35 | - | 0.08 | - | 12 | * |
| 1997 | 1 | 6 | 230 | 62 | 0.27 | - | 0.26 | - | 5 | ** |
|  | 2 | 52 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 9 | $\cdots$ |
| mean |  |  |  |  | 2.63 | 2.23 | 1.50 | 2.11 | 172 | 205 |


| scallop dre year | half | trips | kg kept | kg disc | ratio* | $\begin{array}{r} \text { trimmed } \\ \text { ratio } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { mean } \\ \text { ratio } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | trimmed mean | dealer landings | discards $\qquad$ (mt) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1989 | 1 | 0 | - | - | 1.08 (<- '89-95 mean) |  |  | - | 5 | 6 |
|  | 2 | 0 | - | - | 1.08 (<- '89-95 mean) |  |  | - | 63 | 68 |
| 1990 | 1 | 0 | - | - | 1.08 (<- '89-95 mean) |  |  | - | 15 | 16 |
|  | 2 | 0 | - | - | 1.08 (<-89-95 mean) |  |  | - | 98 | 106 |
| 1991 | 1 | 0 | - | - | 1.08 (<- '89-95 mean) |  |  | - | 29 | 32 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 16 | 23 | 1.46 | - | 1.46 | - | 105 | 153 |
| 1992 | 1 | 3 | 48 | 8 | 0.16 | - | 0.26 | - | 23 | 4 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 97 | 176 | 1.82 | - | 0.85 | - | 36 | 66 |
| 1993 | 1 | 5 | 49 | 40 | 0.81 | - | 1.06 | - | 19 | 15 |
|  | 2 | 0 | - | - | 1.08 (<- '89-95 mean) |  |  | - | 33 | 35 |
| 1994 | 1 | 1 | 413 | 157 | 0.38 | - | 0.38 | - | 5 | 2 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 226 | 273 | 1.21 | - | 0.88 | - | 11 | 13 |
| 1995 | 1 | 0 | - | - | 1.08 (<- '89-95 mean) |  |  | - | - 2 | 3 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 132. | 228. | 1.73 | - | 2.00 | - | 6 | 10 |
| 1996 | 1 | 8 | 186 | 1217 | 6.53 | 5.65 | 19.97 | 7.61 | 9 | $\cdots$ |
|  | 2 | 5 | 9 | 583 | 67.62 | - | 20.90 | - | 4 | $\cdots$ |
| 1997 | 1 | 6 | 79 | 1602 | 20.41 | - | 13.81 | - | 3 | $\cdots$ |
|  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 397 | - | - | - | - | 3 | $\ldots$ |
| mean |  |  |  |  | 6.45 | 5.65 | 6.16 | 7.61 | 26 | 38 |

* ratio estimator used to derive total discards.
** trimmed ratio estimator used to derive total discards when $n>7$.
*** for total discards, see effort-based estimates (Table A7).

Table A7. Effort-based estimates of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder discards for fisheries prohibited or restricted from landing groundfish.

| whiting fishery |  |  |  | Cape Cod area |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| halfyear | wo mt | vtr mt | portion | vtr off | total df | Obs of disc (mt) | $\mathrm{mt} / \mathrm{df}$ | disc mt |
| 1993.25 |  | - |  | - | 7 | (93-95 mean $\rightarrow$ ) | 0.09 | 1 |
| 1993.75 |  | - |  | - | 406 | 2.70 .202 | 0.07 | 30 |
| 1994.25 | 7922 | 2248 | 0.28 | 77 | 271 | (93-95 mean $\rightarrow$ ) | 0.09 | 23 |
| 1994.75 | 8136 | 5848 | 0.72 | 559 | 777 | 1.60 .188 | 0.12 | 94 |
| 1995.25 | 7087 | 6182 | 0.87 | 331 | 379 | (93-95 mean $\rightarrow$ ) | 0.09 | 33 |
| 1995.75 | 7641 | 5383 | 0.70 | 390 | 554 | $7.0 \quad 0.450$ | 0.06 | 36 |
| 1996.25 | 7944 | 6492 | 0.82 | 217 | 265 | (93-95 mean $\rightarrow$ ) | 0.09 | 23 |
| 1996.75 | 8258 | 7739 | 0.94 | 222 | 236 | (93-95 mean $\rightarrow$ ) | 0.09 | 20 |
| 1997.25 | 8204 | 6598 | 0.80 | 77 | 96 | (93-95 mean $\rightarrow$ ) | 0.09 | 8 |
| 1997.75 | 7358 | 6353 | 0.86 | 152 | 176 | (93-95 mean $\rightarrow$ ) | 0.09 | 15 |
| mean | 7818 | 5855 | 0.75 | 253 | 317 | 3.80 .280 | 0.09 | 28 |


| shrimp fishery |  | vtr mt | portion | Cape Cod area |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| halfyear. | wo mt |  |  | vtr df | total df | obs df | dise (mt) | $\mathrm{mt} / \mathrm{df}$ | dise mt |
| 1993.25 |  | - |  | - | 127 | 9.4 | 0.032 | 0.003 | 0.4 |
| 1993.75 |  | - |  | - | 14 | - 1.1 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.0 |
| 1994.25 |  | - |  | - | 130 | 7.7 | 0.033 | 0.004 | 0.6 |
| 1994.75 | 1118 | 1143 | 1 | 31 | 31 | 0.8 | 0.010 | 0.013 | 0.4 |
| 1995.25 | 5348 | 5068 | 0.95 | 103 | 108 | 4.5 | 0.017 | 0.004 | 0.4 |
| 1995.75 | 1482 | 4572 | 1.00 | 29 | 29 | 0.9 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.0 |
| 1996.25 | 7684 | 7125 | 0.93 | 123 | 133 | 5.8 | 0.018 | 0.003 | 0.4 |
| 1996.75 | 1415 | 1521 | 1.07 | 38 | 36 | 1.3 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.0 |
| 1997.25 | 5699 | 5039 | 0.88 | 95 | 108 | 2.9 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.1 |
| 1997.75 | 650 | 972 | 1.00 | 16 | 16 | 0.2 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.0 |
| mean | 3342 | 3206 | 0.98 | 62 | 73 | 3.5 | 0.012 | 0.003 | 0.2 |


| scaliop fishery |  |  |  | Cape Cod area |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| halfyear | wo mt | vtr mt | portion | vt df | total of | obs df | disc (mt) | $\mathrm{mt} / \mathrm{df}$ | disc mt |
| 1996.25 | 4088 | 4057 | 0.99 | 1025 | 1032 | 58.6 | 1.217 | 0.021 | 21 |
| 1996.75 | 3805 | 3616 | 0.95 | 1770 | 1862 | 23.9 | 0.582 | 0.024 | 45 |
| 1997.25 | 3316 | 3546 | 1.00 | 1950. | 1950 | 32.2 | 1.602 | 0.050 | 97 |
| 1997.75 | 2688 | 2484 | 0.92 | 1871 | 2025 | 13.1 | 0.397 | 0.030 | 62 |
| mean | 3474 | 3426 | 0.97 | 1654 | 1747 | 31.9 | 0.950 | 0.031 | 56 |

Table A8. Sample sizes used to estimate discards at age of Cape Cod yellowtail fiounder.


Table A9. Discards at age (above) and mean weight at age (below) of discarded Cape Cod yellowtail flounder.

| Discards at age (thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | sum |
| 1985 | 340 | 184 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 558 |
| 1986 | 79 | 1,657 | 75 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 1,837 |
| 1987 | 14 | 877 | 168 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,059 |
| 1988 | 360 | 1,328 | 177 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,864 |
| 1989 | 114 | 1,405 | 396 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1,917 |
| 1990 | 81 | 2,047 | 2,501 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 4,648 |
| 1991 | 460 | 895 | 561 | 100 | 7 | 0 | 2,023 |
| 1992 | 1,688 | 3,543 | 731 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 5,994 |
| 1993 | 138 | 324 | 173 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 665 |
| 1994 | 60 | 383 | 279 | 49 | 4 | 1 | 776 |
| 1995 | 453 | 469 | 652 | 50 | 2 | 0 | 1,627 |
| 1996 | 7 | 397 | 327 | 94 | 11 | 0 | 837 |
| 1997 | 1 | 399 | 351 | 117 | 22 | 1 | 891 |
| mean | 292 | 1,070 | 494 | 40 | 4 | 0 | 1,900 |


| Discarded weight at age (kg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1985 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.15 | - | - | - |
| 1986 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.18 | - | - |
| 1987 | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.19 | - | - | - |
| 1988 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.20 | - | - | - |
| 1989 | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.36 | - | - |
| 1990 | 0.08 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.33 | - | - |
| 1991 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.27 | 0.37 | 0.54 | - |
| 1992 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.36 | - |
| 1993 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.63 | - |
| 1994 | 0.08 | 0.20 | 0.29 | 0.32 | 0.38 | 0.34 |
| 1995 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.48 | - |
| 1996 | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.50 | - |
| 1997 | 0.03 | 0.21 | 0.29 | 0.39 | 0.54 | 0.65 |
| mean | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.33 | 0.49 | 0.49 |

Table A10. Total catch at age (above) and mean weight at age (below) of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder.

| Total catch at age (thousands) |  |  |  | age |  | 6 | 7 | 8+ | sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 344 | 922 | 734 | 522 | 268 | 89 | 3 | 7 | 2,890 |
| 1986 | 79 | 3,655 | 654 | 250 | 32 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 4,676 |
| 1987 | 14 | 1,486 | 1,954 | 268 | 100 | 29 | 12 | 5 | 3,867 |
| 1988 | 361 | 2,130 | 1,219 | 625 | 172 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 4,543 |
| 1989 | 114 | 2,131 | 1,385 | 233 | 31 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3,903 |
| 1990 | 81 | 2,738 | 8,692 | 435 | 32 | 16 | 7 | 3 | 12,005 |
| 1991 | 460 | 1,206 | 1,464 | 1,555 | 256 | 33 | 27 | 1 | 5,001 |
| 1992 | 1,688 | 3,881 | 1,538 | 543 | 153 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 7,815 |
| 1993 | 138 | 349 | 857 | 602 | 91 | 24 | 15 | 7 | 2,083 |
| 1994 | 60 | 471 | 1,301 | 699 | 240 | 66 | 38 | 9 | 2,885 |
| 1995 | 453 | 702 | 2,382 | 858 | 154 | 78 | 5 | 0 | 4,633 |
| 1996 | 7 | 547 | 1,425 | 892 | 298 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 3,186 |
| 1997 | 1 | 880 | 1,437 | 819 | 182 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 3,334 |
| mean | 292 | 1,623 | 1,926 | 638 | 155 | 32 | 9 | 3 | 4,678 |


| weight at age (kg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |
| 1985 | 0.13 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.49 | 0.60 | 0.73 | 1.20 | 1.39 |
| 1986 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.43 | 0.53 | 0.73 | 0.90 | - | 1.40 |
| 1987 | 0.06 | 0.24 | 0.40 | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.81 | 1.03 | 1.18 |
| 1988 | 0.12 | 0.21 | 0.34 | 0.53 | 0.70 | 0.85 | - | - |
| 1989 | 0.13 | 0.27 | 0.39 | 0.65 | 0.92 | 1.41 | 1.24 | 1.24 |
| 1990 | 0.08 | 0.26 | 0.37 | 0.55 | 0.82 | 0.90 | 0.99 | 1.17 |
| 1991 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.34 | 0.53 | 0.73 | 0.99 | 1.06 | 1.01 |
| 1992 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.32 | 0.52 | 0.61 | 0.73 | 1.53 | 1.91 |
| 1993 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.36 | 0.43 | 0.74 | 0.95 | 1.01 | 1.17 |
| 1994 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.36 | 0.49 | 0.62 | 0.68 | 1.04 | 1.11 |
| 1995 | 0.07 | 0.22 | 0.33 | 0.42 | 0.61 | 0.78 | 1.11 | - |
| 1996 | 0.04 | 0.19 | 0.39 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 0.91 | 1.19 | 1.18 |
| 1997 | 0.03 | 0.31 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.57 | 0.77 | 1.30 | 1.31 |
| mean | 0.09 | 0.23 | 0.37 | 0.51 | 0.68 | 0.88 | 1.16 | 1.28 |

Table A11. NEFSC spring survey indices of abundance at age and total biomass (offshore strata 25 and 26; inshore strata 56-66).

|  |  |  | age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ | sum | $\mathrm{kg} /$ tow kg/tow |  |
| 1979 | 0.55 | 0.71 | 1.33 | 0.85 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.51 | 1.20 | 1.00 |
| 1980 | 0.00 | 7.14 | 4.08 | 1.43 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.94 | 4.89 | 3.69 |
| 1981 | 0.10 | 6.30 | 4.27 | 0.93 | 1.06 | 0.51 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 13.83 | 4.41 | 3.55 |
| 1982 | 0.08 | 2.79 | 7.23 | 3.71 | 1.00 | 0.57 | 0.63 | 0.16 | 16.17 | 7.16 | 6.50 |
| 1983 | 2.36 | 6.33 | 5.09 | 2.09 | 0.22 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.24 | 4.78 | 4.03 |
| 1984 | 0.09 | 2.39 | 1.42 | 0.92 | 0.60 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 5.70 | 1.99 | 1.79 |
| 1985 | 0.13 | 1.86 | 1.81 | 0.43 | 0.25 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.58 | 1.37 | 1.14 |
| 1986 | 0.04 | 4.33 | 0.37 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.08 | 1.04 | 0.69 |
| 1987 | 0.15 | 3.44 | 5.15 | 0.84 | 1.30 | 1.31 | 1.52 | 0.74 | 14.45 | 7.14 | 7.16 |
| 1988 | 2.13 | 9.11 | 1.87 | 1.22 | 0.47 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 15.06 | 2.51 | 1.48 |
| 1989 | 0.53 | 6.33 | 3.88 | .0 .35 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.26 | 1.93 | 1.36 |
| 1990 | 0.00 | 5.51 | 13.35 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 19.45 | 4.38 | 2.95 |
| 1991 | 0.96 | 8.23 | 5.67 | 1.80 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 17.19 | 3.76 | 2.44 |
| 1992 | 0.37 | 2.25 | 3.52 | 0.98 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.16 | 1.67 | 1.34 |
| 1993 | 0.15 | 1.51 | 1.75 | 0.87 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.28 | 0.93 | 0.66 |
| 1994 | 0.80 | 5.64 | 2.33 | 0.90 | 0.33 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.19 | 1.79 | 1.01 |
| 1995 | 0.32 | 2.10 | 7.33 | 4.74 | 0.46 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.06 | 3.68 | 3.00 |
| 1996 | 0.03 | 0.85 | 1.18 | -0.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.69 | 0.62 | 0.48 |
| 1997 | 0.05 | 1.98 | 3.15 | 2.54 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.28 | 2.43 | 2.05 |
| 1998 | 0.00 | 1.71 | 5.03 | 1.83 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.99 | 2.32 | 1.90 |
| mean | 0.44 | 4.03 | 3.99 | 1.38 | 0.39 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 10.61 | 3.00 | 2.41 |

Table A12. NEFSC autumn survey indices of abundance at age and total biomass (offshore strata 25 and 26; inshore strata 56-66).

|  |  |  |  | age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ | sum | kg/tow |  |
| 1979 | 7.87 | 8.02 | 2.41. | 0.60 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 19.04 | 5.34 |  |
| 1980 | 20.70 | 17.63 | 8.00 | 3.04 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 50.11 | 13.52 |  |
| 1981 | 6.34 | 9.64 | 1.74 | 0.45 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.46 | 4.11 |  |
| 1982 | 1.13 | 5.39 | 5.18 | 0.63 | 0.70 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.09 | 4.32 |  |
| 1983 | 0.66 | 0.88 | 0.55 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.13 | 0.49 |  |
| 1984 | 0.64 | 2.25 | 1.04 | 1.31 | 0.93 | 0.30 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 6.77 | 2.79 |  |
| 1985 | 9.03 | 3.48 | 2.65 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.56 | 3.25 |  |
| 1986 | 2.62 | 7.14 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.36 | 1.98 |  |
| 1987 | 1.08 | 2.60 | 0.91 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.79 | 1.12 |  |
| 1988 | 6.16 | 9.01 | 0.89 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.23 | 2.29 |  |
| 1989 | 3.53 | 11.39 | 4.19 | 0.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 19.99 | 4.70 |  |
| 1990 | 7.01 | 11.90 | 5.58 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 24.60 | 4.76 |  |
| 1991 | 3.57 | 3.33 | 2.88 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.37 | 2.34 |  |
| 1992 | 4.82 | 5.29 | 3.68 | 1.52 | 0.36 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.94 | 3.81 |  |
| 1993 | 8.76 | 8.60 | 1.01 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.52 | 2.15 |  |
| 1994 | 4.78 | 14.27 | 5.13 | 1.40 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 26.01 | 5.38 |  |
| 1995 | 1.18 | 1.64 | 1.57 | 0.34 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.81 | 1.49 |  |
| 1996 | 2.07 | 5.36 | 8.78 | 2.31 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.78 | 5.12 |  |
| 1997 | 2.07 | 4.79 | 5.45 | 2.46 | 1.33 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.33 | 4.63 |  |
| mean | 4.95 | 6.98 | 3.28 | 0.86 | 0.28 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 16.42 | 3.87 |  |

Table A13. Massachusetts DMF spring survey indices of abundance at age and total biomass (strata 17-36).

|  |  |  |  | age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ | sum | kg/tow kg/tow |  |  |
| 1978 | 2.71 | 20.69 | 11.82 | 1.60 | 0.63 | 0.54 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 38.22 | 10.16 | 8.60 |  |
| 1979 | 2.63 | 22.58 | 13.85 | 3.68 | 0.86 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 43.77 | 11.38 | 9.01 |  |
| 1980 | 2.68 | 17.62 | 10.10 | 2.30 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 32.85 | 10.03 | 7.93 |  |
| 1981 | 5.61 | 58.83 | 9.00 | 2.26 | 1.59 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 77.56 | 16.35 | 10.34 |  |
| 1982 | 0.69 | 17.06 | 17.04 | 4.45 | 0.94 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 40.28 | 12.85 | 11.75 |  |
| 1983 | 3.13 | 8.50 | 11.51 | 4.28 | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 27.66 | 9.00 | 7.09 |  |
| $1984^{*}$ | 0.57 | 15.38 | 8.17 | 3.51 | 1.48 | .0 .04 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 29.26 | 7.37 | 5.68 |  |
| 1985 | 1.97 | 8.27 | 7.15 | 1.52 | 0.59 | 0.39 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 19.99 | 5.21 | 4.22 |  |
| 1986 | 1.73 | 15.39 | 1.74 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 19.36 | 4.52 | 2.92 |  |
| 1987 | 2.53 | 4.95 | 5.31 | 0.97 | 0.27 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 14.22 | 3.67 | 3.15 |  |
| 1988 | 3.10 | 14.46 | 2.52 | 0.60 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.74 | 3.83 | 2.06 |  |
| 1989 | 0.67 | 22.26 | 3.18 | 1.08 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 27.25 | 4.73 | 2.71 |  |
| 1990 | 0.63 | 11.77 | 15.57 | 0.63 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 28.77 | 6.60 | 4.73 |  |
| 1991 | 0.06 | 5.34 | 3.31 | 2.15 | 0.48 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 11.50 | 3.32 | 2.61 |  |
| 1992 | 1.30 | 11.03 | 9.71 | 2.38 | 1.45 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 25.94 | 6.54 | 5.18 |  |
| 1993 | 0.63 | 7.99 | 6.31 | 1.94 | 0.23 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.03 | 17.38 | 4.60 | 3.72 |  |
| 1994 | 2.67 | 24.02 | 7.53 | 1.49 | 0.33 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 36.15 | 6.23 | 3.72 |  |
| 1995 | 7.51 | 14.64 | 24.96 | 2.88 | 1.20 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 51.22 | 10.38 | 7.37 |  |
| 1996 | 1.17 | 18.03 | 14.70 | 6.78 | 1.74 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 42.46 | 9.25 | 6.50 |  |
| 1997 | 0.52 | 16.94 | 12.22 | 4.04 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 34.26 | 7.55 | 5.52 |  |
| $1998^{* *}$ | 0.48 | 9.54 | 26.77 | 2.41 | 0.32 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 39.55 | 5.18 |  |  |
| mean | 2.05 | 16.44 | 10.59 | 2.44 | 0.63 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 32.30 | 7.56 | 5.74 |  |

* provisional, based on NEFSC age-length key.
** preliminary estimates, based on unaudited data.

Table A14. Massachusetts DMF autumn survey indices of abundance at age and total biomass (strata 17-36).

|  |  |  |  | age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ | sum | kg/tow |
| 1978 | 0.04 | 7.13 | 7.74 | 1.45 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.48 | 2.80 |
| 1979 | 0.03 | 24.11 | 22.82 | 1.78 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 48.80 | 7.33 |
| 1980 | 0.03 | 26.54 | 12.38 | 2.70 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 42.00 | 5.90 |
| 1981 | 0.00 | 2.93 | 6.54 | 1.54 | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.41 | 2.76 |
| 1982 | 0.00 | 9.58 | 3.36 | 5.54 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.86 | 4.20 |
| 1983 | 0.00 | 9.68 | 6.68 | 1.60 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.09 | 3.39 |
| $1984^{*}$ | 0.04 | 1.91 | 3.00 | 0.86 | 0.39 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 6.37 | 1.18 |
| $1985^{*}$ | 0.04 | 5.70 | 1.63 | 1.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 8.42 | 1.17 |
| $1986^{*}$ | 0.01 | 2.60 | 4.95 | 0.20 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.80 | 1.36 |
| $1987^{*}$ | 0.44 | 5.85 | 2.30 | 0.49 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.17 | 1.09 |
| $1988^{*}$ | 0.00 | 8.96 | 11.24 | 2.27 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 22.62 | 3.71 |
| $1989^{*}$ | 0.00 | 2.64 | 5.22 | 0.96 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.92 | 1.52 |
| $1990^{*}$ | 0.00 | 5.20 | 11.93 | 4.84 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.98 | 4.16 |
| $1991^{*}$ | 0.00 | 3.76 | 5.14 | 5.03 | 0.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.78 | 3.23 |
| $1992^{*}$ | 0.20 | 7.18 | 3.62 | 2.08 | 0.47 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.75 | 2.00 |
| $1993^{*}$ | 0.00 | 8.39 | 7.29 | 5.80 | 1.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 22.91 | 3.99 |
| $1994^{*}$ | 0.00 | 3.56 | 8.39 | 3.06 | 0.96 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.09 | 3.27 |
| $1995^{*}$ | 0.00 | 11.54 | 11.97 | 4.71 | 1.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 29.40 | 5.75 |
| 1996 | 0.01 | 1.87 | 3.94 | 2.18 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.17 | 1.56 |
| 1997 | 0.00 | 1.01 | 7.38 | 1.14 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.79 | 2.10 |
| mean | 0.04 | 7.51 | 7.38 | 2.46 | 0.36 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17.79 | 3.12 |

* provisional, based on NEFSC age-length key.

Table A15. Correlations among normalized indices of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder abundance at age.

| age-1 | NMFSs1 | MASSs1 | MASSf1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NMFSs1 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| MASS51 | -0.09 | - 1.00 |  |  |
| MASSf1 | 0.61 | -0.02 | 1.00 |  |
| age-2 | NMFSs2 | NMFSE2 | MASSs2 | MASSt2 |
| NMFSs2. | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| NMFSE2 | 0.41 | 1.00 |  |  |
| MASSs2 | 0.03 | $=0.14$ | 1.00 |  |
| MASSt2 | 0.20 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 1.00 |
| age-3 | NMFSs3 | NMFSf3 | MASSs3 | MASS 3 |
| NMFSs3 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| NMFSf3 | 0.69 | 1.00 |  |  |
| MASSs3 | 0.52 | 0.13 | 1.00 |  |
| MASS 3 | 0.53 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 1.00 |
| age-4 | NMFSs4 | NMFSf4 | MASSs4 | MASSf4 |
| NMFSs4 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| NMFSf4 | 0.42 | 1.00 |  |  |
| MASSs4 | 0.66 | 0.33 | 1.00 |  |
| MASSf4 | 0.25 | 0.38 | 0.57 | 1.00 |
| age-5 | NMFSs5 | NMFSf5 | MASSs5 | MASSf5 |
| NMFSs5 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| NMFSf5 | 0.16 | 1.00 |  |  |
| MASSs5 | -0.19 | 0.35 | 1.00 |  |
| MASS 5 | -0.43 | 0.24 | 0.46 | 1.00 |
| age-6 | NMFSs6 | NMFSf6 | MASSs6 | MASSf6 |
| NMFSs6 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| NMFS6 | 0.25 | 1.00 |  |  |
| MASSs6 | 0.02 | 0.29 | 1.00 |  |
| MASS6 | -0.51 | 0.13 | 0.29 | 1.00 |

Table A16. Virtual population analysis estimates of Cape yellowtail flounder abundance (thousands).

|  | age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ | total |
| 1985 | 9,891 | 2,702 | 1,443 | 657 | 326 | 116 | 15,135 |
| 1986 | 4,712 | 7,787 | 1,378 | 517 | 65 | 14 | 14,473 |
| 1987 | 6,755 | 3,787 | 3,068 | 536 | 197 | 89 | 14,432 |
| 1988 | 21,230 | 5,518 | 1,756 | 744 | 196 | 39 | 29,483 |
| 1989 | 7,700 | 17,055 | 2,591 | 334 | 43 | 11 | 27,734 |
| 1990 | 6,293 | 6,201 | 12,035 | 868 | 63 | 50 | 25,510 |
| 1991 | 9,176 | 5,079 | 2,599 | 1,989 | 317 | 73 | 19,233 |
| 1992 | 7,306 | 7,097 | 3,067 | 803 | 221 | 17 | 18,511 |
| 1993 | 7,455 | 4,455 | 2,299 | 1,120 | 167 | 83 | 15,579 |
| 1994 | 6,839 | 5,979 | 3,331 | 1,107 | 372 | 171 | 17,799 |
| 1995 | 6,554 | 5,545 | 4,469 | 1,550 | 274 | 145 | 18,537 |
| 1996 | 6,829 | 4,956 | 3,905 | 1,504 | 493 | 29 | 17,716 |
| 1997 | 3,397 | 5,585 | 3,563 | 1,908 | 424 | 32 | 14,909 |
| 1998 | - | 2,780 | 3,776 | 1,617 | 821 | 196 | - |
| mean | 8,011 | 6,038 | 3,520 | 1,090 | 284 | 76 | 19,018 |

Table A17. Virtual population analysis estimates of fishing mortality of Cape yellowtail flounder.

|  | age      <br>  1 2 3 4 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1985 | 0.04 | 0.47 | 0.83 | 2.11 | 2.40 | 2.26 | 0.48 |
| 1986 | 0.02 | 0.73 | 0.74 | 0.76 | 0.78 | 0.77 | 0.60 |
| 1987 | 0.00 | 0.57 | 1.22 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.81 | 0.72 |
| 1988 | 0.02 | 0.56 | 1.46 | 2.64 | 3.43 | 3.04 | 0.40 |
| 1989 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.89 | 1.47 | 1.56 | 1.52 | 0.24 |
| 1990 | 0.01 | 0.67 | 1.60 | 0.81 | 0.83 | 0.82 | 1.09 |
| 1991 | 0.06 | 0.30 | 0.97 | 2.00 | 2.23 | 2.12 | 0.66 |
| 1992 | 0.29 | 0.93 | 0.81 | 1.37 | 1.45 | 1.41 | 0.86 |
| 1993 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.53 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.34 |
| 1994 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.56 | 1.20 | 1.25 | 1.23 | 0.40 |
| 1995 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.89 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.53 |
| 1996 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.52 | 1.07 | 1.10 | 1.09 | 0.48 |
| 1997 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.59 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.41 |
| mean | 0.04 | 0.39 | 0.89 | 1.29 | 1.41 | 1.35 | 0.55 |

Table A18. Virtual population analysis estimates of Cape yellowtail flounder biomass (mt).

|  | age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ | total |
| 1985 | 930 | 611 | 429 | 263 | 177 | 92 | 2,500 |
| 1986 | 306 | 1,402 | 478 | 226 | 39 | 14 | 2,465 |
| 1987 | 216 | 587 | 969 | 261 | 116 | 81 | 2,230 |
| 1988 | 1,698 | 618 | 502 | 342 | 122 | 33 | 3,316 |
| 1989 | 693 | 3,070 | 741 | 157 | 30 | 14 | 4,705 |
| 1990 | 296 | 1,160 | 3,803 | 325 | 45 | 48 | 5,678 |
| 1991 | 1,055 | 691 | 788 | 881 | 163 | 74 | 3,652 |
| 1992 | 205 | 887 | 831 | 338 | 126 | 20 | 2,406 |
| 1993 | 418 | 397 | 497 | 415 | 103 | 83 | 1,912 |
| 1994 | 356 | 861 | 800 | 465 | 192 | 142 | 2,815 |
| 1995 | 275 | 865 | 1,211 | 558 | 148 | 116 | 3,174 |
| 1996 | 96 | 570 | 1,265 | 589 | 215 | 30 | 2,765 |
| 1997 | 27 | 620 | 958 | 799 | 220 | 26 | 2,651 |
| mean | 505 | 949 | 1,021 | 432 | 130 | 59 | 3,098 |

Table A19. Virtual population analysis estimates of Cape yellowtail flounder spawning stock biomass (mt).

|  | age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ | total |
| 1985 | 0 | 46 | 275 | 123 | 66 | 31 | 541 |
| 1986 | 0 | 106 | 324 | 183 | 32 | 9 | 654 |
| 1987 | 0 | 53 | 551 | 194 | 84 | 53 | 935 |
| 1988 | 0 | 68 | 243 | 121 | 30 | 7 | 469 |
| 1989 | 0 | 319 | 519 | 108 | 19 | 7 | 972 |
| 1990 | 0 | 93 | 1,706 | 205 | 33 | 32 | 2,069 |
| 1991 | 0 | 76 | 439 | 423 | 84 | 27 | 1,049 |
| 1992 | 0 | 46 | 523 | 217 | 68 | 10 | 864 |
| 1993 | 0 | 51 | 495 | 304 | 77 | 52 | 978 |
| 1994 | 0 | 97 | 707 | 303 | 126 | 78 | 1,311 |
| 1995 | 0 | 104 | 736 | 346 | 101 | 71 | 1,358 |
| 1996 | 0 | 66 | 916 | 426 | 152 | 17 | 1,577 |
| 1997 | 0 | 118 | 789 | 604 | 167 | 18 | 1,697 |
| mean | 0 | 96 | 633 | 274 | 80 | 32 | 1,113 |

Table A20. Summary of results from altemative ADAPT calibrations of the Cape Cod yellowtail flounder virtual population analysis.

| nun\# | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | $14^{*}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| tuning indices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NMFSs | $1-6+$ | $1-5$ | $1-4$ | $1-5$ | $2-4$ | $1-5$ | $1-4$ | $1-5$ | $1-4$ | $2-5$ | $2-5$ |  |
| NMFSf | $2-6+$ | $2-5$ | $2-4$ | $2-5$ | $2-4$ | $2-5$ | $2-5$ | $2-5$ | $2-4$ | $2-5$ | $2-5$ |  |
| MASSs | $1-6+$ | $1-5$ | $1-4$ | $1-6+$ | $1-5$ | $1-5$ | $1-5$ | - | $1-4$ | $1-4$ | $1-4$ |  |
| MASSf | $1-6+$ | $1-5$ | $1-4$ | $2-5$ | $2-5$ | $2-5$ | $2-5$ | - | $2-4$ | $2-4$ | $2-4$ |  |
| M | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |  |
| results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N2 | 2163 | 2161 | 2257 | 2384 | 2751 | 2364 | 2372 | 2271 | 2454 | 3278 | 2780 |  |
| N3 | 3063 | 3059 | 3217 | 3053 | 3767 | 2998 | 3010 | 1989 | 3124 | 4163 | 3776 |  |
| N4 | 1688 | 1684 | 1834 | 1669 | 1633 | 1591 | 1602 | 1376 | 1711 | 1744 | 1617 |  |
| N5 | 472 | 449 | 1547 | 322 | 281 | 438 | 512 | 646 | 1309 | 841 | 821 |  |
| CV(N2) | $36 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $36 \%$ |  |
| CV(N3) | $34 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $29 \%$ |  |
| CV(N4) | $37 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $32 \%$ |  |
| CV(N5) | $33 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $39 \%$ |  |
| mean square | 0.817 | 0.753 | 0.562 | 0.742 | 0.637 | 0.714 | 0.702 | 0.676 | 0.526 | 0.579 | 0.586 |  |
| retro pattern | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $N$ |  |
| F4+,97 | 0.94 | 0.98 | 0.39 | 1.19 | 1.29 | 0.99 | 0.90 | 0.76 | 0.45 | 0.61 | 0.64 |  |
| SSB97 | 1454 | 1435 | 2255 | 1333 | 1307 | 1392 | 1451 | 1437 | 2047 | 1851 | 1697 |  |

* configuration accepted by SARC and reported in Appendix A.

Table A21. Yield and spawning biomass per recruit estimates for Cape Cod yeliowtail flounder.


Summary of Yield per Recruit Analysis for:
CAPE COD YELLOWTAIL FLOUNDER - SAW28

| Slope of the Yield/Recruit Curve at F=0.00: $-->12.6950$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F$ level at slope=1/10 of the above slope (F0.1): | -----> | . 208 |
| Yield/Recruit corresponding to F0.1: -----> | . 2098 |  |
| F level to produce Maximum Yield/Recruit (Fmax): | ----> | . 469 |
| Yield/Recruit corresponding to Fmax: -----> | . 2316 |  |
| F level at 20 of Max Spawning Potential (F20): |  | . 448 |
| SSB/Recruit corresponding to F20: --m----> | . 5515 |  |

Listing of Yield per Recruit Results for:
CAPE COD YELLOWTAIZ FLOUNDER - SAW2日

|  | FMORT | TOTCTHN | TOTCTHW | TOTSTKN | TOTSTKW | SPNSTKN | SPNSTKW | \& MSP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | . 00 | . 00000 | . 00000 | 5. 5167 | 3.2772 | 3.3453 | 2.7580 | 100.00 |
| F0. 1 | . 21 | . 33681 | . 20978 | 3.8432 | 1.5182 | 1.6792 | 1.0526 | 38.17 |
| - | . 25 | . 36734 | . 21882 | 3.6925 | 1.3771 | 1.5300 | . 9191 | 33.32 |
| Fmax | . 47 | . 46798 | . 23161 | 3.1988 | . 9563 | 1.0449 | . 5276 | 19.13 |
| F20\% | . 45 | . 46109 | . 23157 | 3.2324 | . 9825 | 1.0777 | . 5515 | 20.00 |
|  | . 50 | . 47740 | . 23147 | 3.1529 | . 9213 | 1.0003 | . 4957 | 27.97 |
|  | . 75 | . 53284 | .22697 | 2.8849 | . 7344 | . 7430 | . 3279 | 11.89 |
|  | 1.00 | . 56749 | . 22201 | 2.7196 | . 6357 | . 5888 | . 2418 | 8.77 |
|  | 1.25 | . 59190 | . 21809 | 2.6046 | . 5744 | . 4852 | . 1900 | 6.89 |
|  | 1.50 | . 61044 | . 21497 | 2.5181 | . 5321 | . 4104 | . 1553 | 5.63 |
|  | 1.75 | . 62525 | . 21234 | 2.4495 | . 5006 | . 3535 | . 1303 | 4.72 |
|  | 2.00 | . 63753 | . 21002 | 2.3932 | . 4759 | . 3087 | . 1114 | 4.04 |
|  | 2.25 | . 64798 | . 20789 | 2.3453 | . 4557 | . 2724 | . 0966 | 3.50 |
|  | 2.50 | . 65706 | . 20590 | 2.3039 | . 4388 | . 2425 | . 0847 | 3.07 |
|  | 2.75 | . 66509 | . 20402 | 2.2674 | . 4242 | . 2174 | . 0749 | 2.72 |
|  | 3.00 | . 67228 | . 20222 | 2.2347 | . 4115 | . 1960 | . 0667 | 2.42 |
|  | 3.25 | . 67878 | . 20049 | 2.2052 | . 4002 | . 1776 | . 0597 | 2.16 |
|  | 3.50 | . 68473 | . 19882 | 2.1782 | . 3900 | .1616 | . 0537 | 1.95 |
|  | 3.75 | . 69020 | . 19720 | 2.1533 | . 3808 | . 1477 | . 0486 | 1.76 |
|  | 4.00 | . 69526 | . 19563 | 2.1302 | . 3724 | . 1354 | . 0440 | 1.60 |
|  | 4.25 | . 69998 | . 19411 | 2.1086 | . 3646 | . 1245 | . 0401 | 1.45 |
|  | 4.50 | . 70440 | . 19263 | 2.0884 | . 3574 | . 1148 | . 0366 | 1.33 |
|  | 4. 75 | . 70856 | . 19118 | 2.0693 | . 3506 | . 1062 | . 0335 | 1.22 |
|  | 5.00 | . 71248 | . 18977 | 2.0512 | . 3443 | . 0985 | . 0308 | 1.12 |

Table A22. Estimates of relative biomass (expressed as a ratio to $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ ) and relative fishing mortality (expressed as a ratio to $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ ) and VPA estimates of mean biomass and fishing mortality on biomass.

|  |  |  | mean | $F$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| year | B/Bmsy | F/Fmsy | biomass | on biomass |
| 1968 | 1.54 | 0.59 |  |  |
| 1969 | 1.51 | 0.42 |  |  |
| 1970 | 1.52 | 0.40 |  |  |
| 1971 | 1.54 | 0.65 |  |  |
| 1972 | 1.50 | 0.43 |  |  |
| 1973 | 1.52 | 0.46 |  |  |
| 1974 | 1.52 | 0.62 |  |  |
| 1975 | 1.49 | 0.57 |  |  |
| 1976 | 1.47 | 1.09 |  |  |
| 1977 | 1.35 | 1.11 |  |  |
| 1978 | 1.25 | 1.44 |  |  |
| 1979 | 1.12 | 1.89 |  |  |
| 1980 | 0.95 | 2.93 |  |  |
| 1981 | 0.69 | 2.50 |  |  |
| 1982 | 0.56 | 3.00 |  |  |
| 1983 | 0.43 | 2.24 |  |  |
| 1984 | 0.38 | 1.19 |  |  |
| 1985 | 0.41 | 1.00 | 2,247 | 0.48 |
| 1986 | 0.46 | 1.21 | 2,288 | 0.60 |
| 1987 | 0.48 | 1.12 | 1,974 | 0.72 |
| 1988 | 0.52 | 1.06 | 3,569 | 0.40 |
| 1989 | 0.56 | 0.93 | 5,533 | 0.24 |
| 1990 | 0.61 | 3.32 | 3,958 | 1.09 |
| 1991 | 0.44 | 1.83 | 2,950 | 0.66 |
| 1992 | 0.42 | 1.44 | 1,746 | 0.86 |
| 1993 | 0.43 | 0.64 | 2,223 | 0.34 |
| 1994 | 0.50 | 0.92 | 2,962 | 0.40 |
| 1995 | 0.56 | 1.04 | 2,882 | 0.53 |
| 1996 | 0.60 | 0.83 | 2,717 | 0.48 |
| 1997 | 0.66 | 0.78 | 3,235 | 0.41 |
| mean $85-94$ | 0.48 | 1.35 | 2,945 | 0.58 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table A23. Stochastic projection of Cape Cod yellowtail fiounder, assuming status quo F in 1999.


Table A24. Stochastic projection of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder, assuming threshold F in 1999.
PROJECTION RUN: Cape Cod yellowtail
INPUT FILE: ccytfth.in
OUTPUT FILE: CCytfth.out
NUMBER OF SIMULATIONS: 100

| MIXTURE OF |  | E AND QUOTA BASED CAT QUOTA (THOUSAND MT)$1.320$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | F |  |  |
| 1998 |  |  |  |
| 1999 | . 230 |  |  |
| 2000 | . 230 |  |  |
| JAN 1 | STOCK | BIOMASS (T | USAND MT |
| YEAR | AVG | B (000 MT) | STD |
| 1998 |  | 2.919 | . 456 |
| 1999 |  | 2.786 | . 685 |
| 2000 |  | 3.991 | . 946 |




| LANDINGS FOR |  |  | F-BASED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | FROJECTIONS |  |  |
| 1998 | AVG LANDINGS | (000 MT) | STD |
| 1999 | 1.319 |  | .001 |
| 2000 | .332 | .101 |  |
|  | .521 |  | .134 |


| PERCENTILES OF LANDINGS (000 MT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | 1\% | 58 | 10\% | 25\% | 50\% | 75\% | 90\% |  | 95\% | 99\% |
| 1998 | 1.320 | 1.320. | 1.320 | 1.320 | 1.320 | 1.320 | 1.320 | 1.320 |  | 1.320 |
| 1999 | . 123 | . 175 | . 207 | . 262 | . 324 | . 396 | . 464 | . 502 |  | . 607 |
| 2000 | . 290 | . 344 | . 374 | . 433 | . 501 | . 582 | . 703 | . 810 |  | . 930 |
| DISCARDS FOR F-BASED PROJECTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAR | AVG DI | 1000 | STD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | . 274 | . 037. | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | . 071 | . 019 |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | . 107 | . 034 | . | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENTILES OF DISCARDS (000 MT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAR | 18 | 54 | 108 | 25\% | 50\% | $75 \%$ | 90\% |  | 95\% | 99\% |
| 1998 | . 200 | . 222 | . 230 | . 247 | . 271 | . 298 | . 322 | . 341 |  | . 372 |
| 1999 | . 041 | . 048 | . 052 | . 059 | . 068 | . 078 | . 095 | . 115 |  | . 130 |
| 2000 | . 062 | . 072 | . 078 | . 089 | . 099 | . 112 | . 153 | . 195 |  | . 210 |

REALIZED E SERIES FOR QUOTA-BASED PROJECTIONS

| YEAR | AVG F | STD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 1.078 | .341 |
| 1999 | .230 | .000 |
| 2000 | .230 | .000 |


| PERCENTILES |  | RE | REALIZED E | SERIES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | 1\% | 5\% | 10\% | 25\% | 508 | 75\% | 90\% | 95\% | $99 \%$ |
| 1998 | . 579 | . 678 | . 731 | . 850 | 1.010 | 1.229 | 1.493 | 1.702 | 2.330 |
| 1999 | . 230 | . 230 | . 230 | . 230 | . 230 | . 230 | . 230 | . 230 | . 230 |
| 2000 | . 230 | . 230 | . 230 | . 230 | . 230 | . 230 | . 230 | . 230 | . 230 |

Table A25. Stochastic projection of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder, assuming target F in 1999.
PROJECTION RUN: Cape Cod yellowtail
INPUT FILE: ccytfo.in
INPUT FILE: ccytfo.in
RECRUITMENT MODEL:
NUMBER OE SIMULATIONS:
3
100

| MIXTURE OF |  | E AND QUOTA EASED CATCHES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | F | QUOTA (THO | AND MT) |
| 1998 |  | 1.320 |  |
| 1999 | . 000 |  |  |
| 2000 | . 000 |  |  |
| JAN 1 | STOCK | BIOMASS (T | USAND M |
| YEAR | AVG | B (000 MT) | STD |
| 1998 |  | 2.919 | . 456 |
| 1999 |  | 2.786 | . 685 |
| 2000 |  | 4.392 | 1.020 |


| PERCENTILES OF JAN I STOCK BIOMASS (000 MT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | 1\% | 5\% | 10\%. | 25\% | 50\% | 75\% | 90\% |  | 95\% | 99\% |
| 1998 | 1.985 | 2.214 | 2.357 | 2.604 | 2.884 | 3.297 | 3.512 | 3.722 |  | 4.116 |
| 1999 | 1.512 | 1.800 | 1.975 | 2.306 | 2.702 | 3.276 | 3.717 | 4.109 |  | 4.692 |
| 2000 | 2.658 | 3.071 | 3.291 | 3.708 | 4.201 | 4.851 | 5.870 | 6.508 |  | 7.386 |
| ANNUAL PROBABILITY THAT B. EXCEEDS THRESHOLD: |  |  |  |  | 6.100000 THOUSAND MT |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAR | Pr ( $B>$ Threshold Value) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | . 000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | . 000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | .081 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

RECRUITMENT UNITS ARE: 1000.000000 EISH

| BIRTH |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| YEAR AVG RECRUITMENT | STD |  |
| 1998 | 8001.269 | 4129.533 |
| 1999 | 8018.301 | 4130.094 |
| 2000, | 8013.215 | 4136.996 |



| LANDINGS FOR | F-BASED | PROJECTIONS |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| YEAR | AVG IANDINGS | $(000 \mathrm{MT})$ | STD |
| 1998 | 1.319 |  | .001 |
| 1999 | .000 |  | .000 |
| 2000 | .000 |  | .000 |


| PERCENTILES OF LANDINGS (000 MT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | 18 | 5\% | 10\% | 25\% | 50\% | 75\% | 90\% | 95\% | $99 \%$ |
| 1998 | 1.320 | 1.320 | 1.320 | 1.320 | 1.320 | 1.320 | 1.320 | 1.320 | 1.320 |
| 1999 | . 000 | . 000 | .000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |
| 2000 | . 000 | .000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |


| DISCARDS |  | EOR F-BASED PROJECTIONS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| YEAR | AVG DISCARDS | $(000 \mathrm{MT})$ | STD |
| 1998 | .274 | .037 |  |
| 1999 | .000 | .000 |  |
| 2000 | .000 | .000 |  |


| PERCENTILES OF DISCARDS ( 000 MT ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | 1\% | 5\% | 10\% | $25 \%$ | 50\% | 75\% | 90\% | 95\% | $99 \%$ |
| 1998 | . 200 | . 222 | . 230 | . 247 | . 271 | . 298 | . 322 | . 341 | . 372 |
| 1999 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | .000 |
| 2000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | .000 | . 000 | . 000 |


| REALIZED E SERIES | FOR QUOTA-BASED FROJECTIONS |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | AVG F | STD |
| 1998 | 1.078 | .341 |
| 1999 | .000 | .000 |
| 2000 | .000 | .000 |


| PERCENTILES |  | REALIZED F SERIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | 1\% | 5\% | 10\% | 25\% | 50\% | 75\% | 908 | 95\% | 99\% |
| 1998 | . 579 | . 678 | . 731 | . 850 | 1.010 | 1.229 | 1.493 | 1.702 | 2.330 |
| 1999 | . 000 | . 000 | .000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |
| 2000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |



Figure A1. Statistical areas used for monitoring northeast fisheries. Catches from stippled areas are included in the Cape Cod yellowtail flounder assessment.

Spring Surveys


Autumn Surveys


Figure A. 2 Distribution of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder in the NEFSC spring and autumn bottom trawl surveys.


Figure A3. Boundaries of existing Multispecies FMP area closures. Quarter-degree square blocks are numbered sequentially to describe and evaluate proposed area closures. Shaded blocks represent the Gulf of Maine Inshore Closure Areas, the Cashes Ledge Closure Area, and the Northeast Closure Area. Dashed lines in the Gulf of Maine indicate the boundaries of the Massachusetts Bay and Mid-Coast Closure Areas.


Figure A4. Landings and discards of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder (above) and landings by gear type (below).


Figure A5. Effective selectivity of the Cape Cod yellowtail fishery, 1989-1993, by half-year (above) and retention of yellowtail flounder by several mesh sizes from Smolwitz (1979) and Lux (1968; below).


Figure A6. Estimates of discards at length of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder in 1989 using two estimation methods for all gear types combined.


Figure A7. Discards of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder by gear type.


Figure A8. Standardized catch per unit effort of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder.


Figure A9. NEFSC bottom trawl survey strata. Stations in the shaded strata are included in the Cape Cod yellowtail flounder assessment


Figure A10. NEFSC survey indices of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder biomass (offshore strata: 25 and 26; inshore strata 56-66.


Figure A11. Distribution of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder in the MADMF spring and autumn bottom trawl surveys.


Figure A12. Massachusetts survey indices of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder biomass (strata 17-36).


Figure A13. Estimated abundance at age of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder from VPA.


Figure A14. Instantaneous rate of fishing mortality of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder from VPA (above) and log ratios of survey indices (below).



Figure A15. Spawning stock biomass and age-1 recruitment (above) and age distribution of mature biomass (below) of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder.



Fishing Mortality (age 4+)

Figure A16. Bootstrap distributions of fully-recruited fishing mortality (above) and spawning stock biomass (below) of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder.


Figure A17. Yield and spawning biomass per recruit estimates for Cape Cod yellowtail flounder.


Figure A18. Predicted biomass of Cape Cod yellowtail from surplus production analysis, 1963-1997 and VPA 1985-1997.


Figure A19. Biomass dynamics of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder.


Figure A20. Spawning stock and recruitment of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder.


Figure A21. Amendment 9 control rule for Cape Cod yellowtail flounder rescaled to VPA biomass levels.


Figure A22. Stochastic projection of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder landings (above) and spawning stock biomass (below) assuming status quo F ( $\mathrm{F} 98=1.01$ ) and target $\mathrm{F}(0.00)$ from the Amendment 9 control rule.


Figure A23. Long-term projection of Cape Cod yellowtail flounder landings (above) and spawning stock biomass (below) at target $F$ from the control rule (five years of 0.00 and 20 years of 0.33 ). Dots indicate $80 \%$ confidence limit, bars indicate interquartile range, and vertical bars indicate mean (left) and median (right).

## B. Gulf of Maine - Georges Bank White Hake

## Terms of Reference

a. Characterize current and historic length and age composition, abundance, and catch for the Gulf of Maine - Georges Bank white hake stock as data permit.
b. Provide current information on stock structure and biological parameters based on growth and maturation rates, yield and spawning stock biomass per recruit analyses, and surplus production models.
c. If possible, provide current and historical estimates of stock size and fishing mortality and projected levels of catch and stock size for 1999-2000 at various levels of $F$.
d. Comment on and revise, if necessary, the overfishing definition reference points for white hake recommended by the Overfishing Definition Review Panel.

## INTRODUCTION

White hake (Urophycis tenuis) are distributed from the Gulf of St. Lawrence to North Carolina (Figure B1; Bigelow and Schroeder, 1953). Much confusion on the distribution of this species exists because of the close resemblance to its congener, the red hake (Urophycis chuss). Both species occupy much of the same habitat (mud bottom) and have often been described together (Bigelow and Schroeder, 1953; Musick, 1974; Markle et al. 1982). White hake tend to be found in deeper water than red hake, but are also found with red hake in shallow bays and estuaries in the Gulf of Maine. This is especially true for juveniles which are the hardest size classes to distinguish from red hake.

Landings of white hake have been viewed as less important than more desirable species of groundfish such as cod and haddock. In 1993, however, white
hake landings exceeded those for Gulf of Maine cod (CUD 1995). Concern arose about the sustainability of such high landings. A preliminary assessment of white hake in 1994 showed that fishing mortality rates based on a Modified DeLury model were higher than any biological reference points. Information from a surplus production model also demonstrated that landings were exceeding MSY. This paper summarizes all current information on the white hake fishery and gives estimates of current fishing mortality rates and stock levels.

## STOCK STRUCTURE

There is no new information about the stock structure of white hake. In light of this, all the white hake found in NAFO subareas 5 and 6 were treated as one stock as in the 1994 assessment (Sosebee et al. 1998).

## THE FISHERY

## Commercial Landings

Total landings of white hake decreased from about $3,000 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1964 to a low of $1,100 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1967 (Table B 1 , Figure B 2 ). Landings then gradually increased and peaked at $8,300 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1985 . Landings fluctuated around 5,000 to $6,000 \mathrm{mt}$ until they peaked again in 1992 at 9,600 tons and declined slightly to 9,100 tons in 1993 (Table B1). Since that time, landings have fallen sharply to a 1997 level of 2500 tons. The US has accounted for the major portion of landings with small amounts landed by Canada. Landings from other countries have been negligible since 1977.

The primary gear type used to catch white hake is the otter trawl (Table B2, Figure B3). Historically, line trawls were also important, but from 1980 to 1991, this gear accounted for less than $5 \%$ of the total. Recently, however, line trawls increased in
importance and, in 1997, represented $18 \%$ of the total landings. Sink gill nets have historically (1960s) accounted for less than $10 \%$ of total landings but the share enlarged in the 1970s to between 20 and $40 \%$ of the total.

The primary season for landing white hake is summer or quarter 3 (Table B3, Figure B4). The highest percentage of landings occurs in August, with the months of July, September and October each accounting for over $10 \%$ of the annual landings.

Maine landings have averaged between 40 and 70\% of the total US landings since 1964 (Table B4, Figure B5). Massachusetts landings exceeded those of Maine from 1968 to 1974 but have since accounted for 20 to $40 \%$ of the total landings. Other states contributing to landings are New Hampshire, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New York, New Jersey, Delaware, and Virginia.

Under-tonnage vessels (less than 5 GRT) traditionally accounted for between 20 and $40 \%$ of US landings (Table B5), but have since become less important and, in 1997, were not represented in the total landings. Tonnage classes 2 and 3 (5-50 GRT and 51-150 GRT, respectively) have accounted for the majority of the landings with tonnage class 3 dominating landings for the last ten years. Tonnage class 4 vesseis ( $151-500$ GRT) increased in importance in the 1980s and 1990s but have since declined.

## Recreational Catches

The amount of white hake recreational catches reported in the Marine Recreational Fishery Statistical Survey since 1979 is insignificant (<0.1 mt per year).

## Discards

Preliminary estimates of total discards were estimated but not used in the assessment (Sosebee et al 1999).

## Sampling Intensity

Since the majority of white hake are landed in headed and gutted condition, length measurements have not generally been available from port samples. A regression developed to convert dorsal fin-caudal fin length to total length (Creaser and Lyons, 1985), has allowed measurements obtained from landed catch to be used to evaluate overall length composition since 1985. Age samples are still unavailable from port samples since otoliths are the structures used for ageing and are lost when the head is removed.

Table B6 shows the summary of commercial length samples from the ports by market category. Since medium white hake were poorly sampled at the beginning of the sampling period and since there appeared to be no difference in length composition between small and medium market categories, the two size categories were pooled. The sampling intensity overall has been adequate (< 300 $\mathrm{mt} / \mathrm{sample}$ ), except in 1989 and 1995 when only 13 and 12 samples were taken (one sample taken for every 350 mt and 361 mt landed). The sampling intensity in 1997 was very good ( $32 \mathrm{mt} / \mathrm{sample}$ ), but the unclassified market category had only one sample for the entire year.

## Length and Age Composition

Commercial length composition during 1985-1997 was estimated by market category (pooling small and medium size categories together) from length frequency samples, pooled on a semiannual basis. Mean weights were obtained by applying the NEFSC survey length-weight equation,

$$
\ln \text { Weight }(\mathrm{kg}, \text { live })=-12.58+3.2196^{*} \ln \text { Length }(\mathrm{cm})
$$

to the semiannual market category length frequencies. Mean weight values were then divided into semiannual market category landings to derive estimated numbers landed by market category. These numbers were then summed over market
categories and half-years to produce the annual length compositions shown in Figure B6. White hake less than 40 cm in length are usually not landed in large numbers, but, in 1988, 1991, and 1994 some white hake less than 30 cm were landed. This is probably due to the market accepting smaller fish in those years.

Commercial landings-at-age were derived by applying age-length keys from the NEFSC survey to the length composition. The number of ages used in each cell is given in Table B7. The spring survey was used for the first half and the autumn survey used for the second half. The survey does not sample large white hake adequately, so the keys were filled out using pooled keys by season. This resulted in some high percentages of the older ages filled in and possibly smearing age classes (Table B8). The percent of the total landings-at-age affected by this process is generally very small and mainly affected the plus-group. Estimates of US landings-at-age in numbers and weight, mean weight at age and mean length at age are shown in Table B9.

## STOCK ABUNDANCE AND BIOMASS INDICES

## Commercial LPUE

Fishing effort was standardized by a General Linear Model to the LPUE data. The five-factor model (year, quarter, area, tonnage class, and depth) was applied to $\log$ LPUE data derived for all otter trawl trips taking white hake from 1985 through 1997 (Table B10). The model accounted for $32 \%$ of the total variation. All of the main effects were highly significant and interactions were not examined.

Standardized effort was calculated by multiplying the nominal effort in each cell by the product of the retransformed log coefficients for each factor (excluding year). The estimated standardized effort was then summed over all categories to give annual totals (TableB11). The trend in the shortened standardized
series follows a similar pattern to the trend in the nominal LPUE series (Figure B7) with a decline seen in 1994 through 1997.

## Research Vessel Abundance and Biomass Indices

The NEFSC autumn bottom trawl survey has been in existence since 1963 (Azarovitz, 1981). Offshore areas from the Gulf of Maine to Southern New England are sampled, and, beginning in 1967, offshore areas in the Mid-Atlantic were sampled as well. The NEFSC spring bottom trawl survey began in 1968. The surveys have been conducted with the same gear and vessel as often as possible. The strata set used for white hake is the Gulf of Maine to Northern Georges Bank (offshore strata 21-30 and 33-40). Indices of abundance and biomass were calculated following the methods of Cochran (1977). Vessel, door, and gear effects were not found to be significant for white hake (NEFC, 1991).

Spring stratified mean number and weight per tow are variable but have been declining since 1990 (Table B12, Figures B8 and B9). The autumn weight per tow index fluctuated around $5 \mathrm{~kg} /$ tow in the early 1960s and increased to approximately 12 kg /tow during the 1970s (Table B13, Figure B10). Excluding the 1982 data point, the autumn mean weight per tow index fluctuated around $10 \mathrm{~kg} /$ tow from 1983 to 1993. Since that time the index has declined and is currently at 4.55 , the lowest value since 1968 (excluding 1982 which is thought to be anomolous). The previous overfishing definition states that overfishing is occurring when the three-year moving average of the autumn biomass index falls into the lower quartile. The current average is among the lowest in the time series (Figure B11). Over the time period, the autumn abundance index increased relative to the biomass index indicating a gradual shift from larger to smaller fish during the 1970s and 1980s (Figure B12).

The state of Massachusetts has also conducted spring and fall surveys since 1978 (Howe et al., 1981). The survey only covers a portion of the white hake stock
area but can still be useful. The spring survey shows a decline over the time series until about 1988 when it dropped to a low level and remained until the present (Figure B13). The autumn series is more variable, particularly for abundance but has shown a similar decline (Figure B14).

The ASMFC conducts a summer shrimp survey in the Gulf of Maine. Finfish are also weighed and measured on these surveys and white hake are often caught. This survey also shows a decline over the short time series (Figure B15).

## STOCK PARAMETERS

## Natural Mortality

Natural mortality (M) for most gadid stocks is assumed to be 0.2 . Hoenig (1983) developed an empirical relationship between total mortality ( $Z$ ) and longevity $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}\right)$ :

$$
\ln Z=1.46-1.01 \ln T_{\max }
$$

Assuming a maximum age of 20 years for white hake (the oldest fish in the samples used in section on total mortality was 15 years and the maximum length is larger than this fish) this relationship estimates Z Z of 0.2 . In the absence of fishing mortality $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{M}=0.2$.

## Total Mortality

The NEFSC spring and fall surveys have been aged from 1982-1998. The ages from the last assessment have been reaged and the new ages give different results. The fish are now older at size than in the previous assessment (Table B14). Estimates of instantaneous total mortality were derived from NEFSC spring and fall survey catch per tow at age data (Table B15) for 1982-1997. Age at full recruitment to the survey was assumed to be 4 ( 3 in the autumn). Therefore, an estimate was derived by taking the natural logarithm of pooled age $4+$ to
pooled age $5+$ (age3+/age4+ in the autumn). The estimates of $Z$ have ranged from 0.5 to 1.6 and are consistent between surveys. One estimate of total mortality was derived by taking the geometric mean of the two estimates. These show an increase during the time period.

## Maturity

Maturity ogives were reestimated with the new age data. The $\mathrm{A}_{50}$ estimate for females is 2.8 years and for males is 2.5 years. With sexes combined the age at $50 \%$ maturity is 2.6 years.

## ESTIMATES OF STOCK SIZE AND FISHING MORTALITY

## Virtual Population Analysis

Abundance estimates from a virtual population analysis (VPA) for ages 1-9+, 1985-1997, were calibrated using ADAPT (Gavaris 1988), which estimated survivors at ages 2-7 and survey catchability coefficients (q) using a nonlinear least squares function to minimize the difference between survey indices and abundance estimates. White hake were assumed to be fully recruited at age 4 and ages 4-8 were used to estimate F for ages 8 and $9+$. NEFSC survey indices for ages 3-7 (2-6 for autumn) and standardized LPUE (tuned to ages 5-9) were used in the VPA calibration.

Several other formulations of the VPA were examined (Table B16). The first run estimated ages 3-7, but used survey indices for ages 2-7 in the tuning. This resulted in higher CVs on all ages and very high residuals on age 2 indices. Once age 2 was added to the estimation (Run 8), the high residuals were removed and fishing mortality increased. A run without age 2 in the tuning and not estimating age 2 was attempted to determine if fishing mortality was high (Run 10). The results for this run were similar to Run 8. Finally, in order to reduce the variance on
older ages, the LPUE index was used to tune ages 58. This resulted in the lowest CVs of all the runs.

The mean square residual for the calibration was 0.39 (Appendix A). Coefficients of variation (CVs) for abundance estimates ranged from 0.29 to 0.59 , decreasing to age 4 then increasing with age. The CVs around the estimates of $q$ were from 0.17 to 0.21 . There were no severe residual patterns detected.

The VPA indicated that stock abundance increased in the late 1980s due to two large year classes (1988 and 1989), peaked in 1991 at 24 million fish and has since declined to ten million fish in 1996, the lowest level in the time series (Table B17). Fishing mortality has been variable during the time series (Figure B16) but has ranged from 0.6 to 1.2 . Spawning stock biomass (SSB) was around $12,000 \mathrm{mt}$ in the 1985-1986 (Figure B17). A decline followed through 1989. Due to the strong recruitment of the 1988 and 1989 year classes, SSB increased to $10,000 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1992 and has since declined to a series low of 3,000 mt . There does not appear to be much evidence for a strong stock-recruitment relationship (Figure B18). Other than the 1988 and 1989 year classes which were strong ( 10 million and 10.4 million, respectively), the recruitment averaged 5 million fish from 1985-1992. The next three year classes were very poor (around two million fish). The 1996 year class, however, appears to be better and more like average recruitment.

The uncertainty and bias associated with the estimated parameters were evaluated using bootstrap analysis of the VPA calibration. One thousand bootstrap replications were performed by randomly resampling survey and LPUE residuals. The bootstrapped CVs of the youngest and older ages are slightly higher. The estimates of $q$ had similar CVs to the calibration. SSB was well estimated (CV of $12 \%)$.

The bias estimates around the population estimates at age ranged from $2 \%-14 \%$ with the higher estimates around the ages that were poorly estimated
$(2,6,7)$. Bias estimates for fishing mortality were small as well as the bias around SSB. The distribution of bootstrapped estimates of fully-recruited $F$ suggests an $80 \%$ chance that F was between 0.92 and 1.35 (Figure B19A). The distribution around the SSB estimates suggests an $80 \%$ chance that $\operatorname{SSB}$ is between $2,600 \mathrm{mt}$ and $3,500 \mathrm{mt}$ (Figure B19B).

Retrospective analyses were conducted, however, due to the brevity of the time series, only five years were examined (Figure B20). These analyses indicate a tendency to overestimate fishing mortality in the terminal year. Spawning stock biomass is well estimated and mean biomass is slightly overestimated. Recruitment at age 1 tends to be overestimated in the terminal year particularly when recruitment is average or higher.

## BIOLOGICAL REFERENCE POINTS

## Yield and Spawning Stock Biomass per recruit

Yield-per-recruit, total stock biomass per recruit, and spawning stock biomass per recruit calculations were performed using the Thompson and Bell (1934) method. Mean weights at age in the catch were derived by averaging the entire time series (19851997). The stock mean weights used an average of the Rivard weights for the entire VPA time series. The maturity ogive was the same as used in the VPA. The partial recruitment vector was taken as the geometric mean of 1994-1997 because of changes in mesh size. Input data and results of the yield and SSB per recruit analyses are presented in Table B18 and are illustrated in Figure B21. The yield per recruit analyses indicate that $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}=0.14, \mathrm{~F}_{\max }=0.24$ and $\mathrm{F}_{20 \%}=0.30$.

## SFA Requirements

A non-equilibrium surplus production model incorporating covariates (ASPIC; Prager 1994, 1995) was employed to derive estimates of survey catchability (q), maximum sustainable yield (MSY) for the stock,
intrinsic rate of increase (r) and annual estimates of biomass. Estimates of stock biomass and catchability were obtained by minimizing the difference between the observed and predicted values in a nonlinear least squares objective function. The 1982 autumn value was deleted because it resulted in a very high residual and is thought to be anomolously low. The full model results are presented in Appendix C. The model previously run by the Overfishing Definition Panel had agreed with the DeLury from the previous assessment. With a new age-based assessment, however, the magnitude of the biomasses differed (Figure B22). The SARC decided to use the B ratios to scale the results to the biomass from the VPA. This resulted in an estimate of $\mathrm{B}_{\text {msy }}$ of $22,300 \mathrm{mt}$ and an biomass-weighted $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}$ of 0.24 ( 0.27 on fully-recruited ages).

## CATCH AND STOCK BIOMASS PROJECTIONS

Short-term projections of spawning stock biomass, mean biomass, recruitment at age 1 , and commercial landings were performed using the VPA-calibrated 1998 stock sizes estimates from the 1000 bootstrap replications and the projected 1998 catch of 2665 mt as starting conditions. The stochastic simulations were repeated 100 times to obtain a series of probablility profiles for each projected variable. The partial recruitment and maturation ogives were the same as described in the yield and SSB per recruit section and the mean weights at age were calculated using a three-year arithmetic average over the 19951997 period.

Recruitment was generated based on the model 3 formulation of Brodziak and Rago (MS 1994). The recruitment at age 1 was derived by resampling the empirical distribution of values for the 1991-1996 year classes. This period was chosen based on the expectation that large year classes will be unlikely to occur given the low level of SSB but average year classes could occur. Projections are provided for $\mathrm{F}=0.0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{98}$. Results from the projections are given
in Table B19. The assumption of catch in 1998 resulted in an increase in fishing mortality to 1.43 , a decline in mean biomass to $3,300 \mathrm{mt}$ and a decline in SSB to $1,600 \mathrm{mt}$. Continued fishing at $\mathrm{F}=1.43$ in 1999 will result in a halt in the decline of both mean biomass and SSB due to the incoming 1996 year class (Figure B23). With no fishing mortality, both mean biomass and SSB will more than double in the year 2000.

## CONCLUSIONS

The Gulf of Maine to Mid-Atlantic white hake stock is currently at a low stock level and is overfished. Continued fishing at current levels of fishing mortality will cause the stock to further decline. The mean biomass is the lowest in the VPA time series and is currently below $1 / 4$ of the $B_{\text {msy }}$ threshhold. Fishing mortality is very high and is more than three times the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}$ threshhold. According to the proposed control rule for this stock fishing mortality should be zero.

## SARC Comments

## Input Data

The commercial landings, discard, and biological sampling data were reviewed by the SARC. There was a slight discrepancy between the tables containing the total USA landings for Subareas 5 and 6, and those listing USA landings by gear type, season, tonnage class and state of landing. The detailed tables included all USA landings, including small amounts from Subareas 3 and 4, and the SARC recommended that these tables be reconstructed to include only USA landings of white hake from Subareas 5 and 6. It was noted that since 1994, when spatial information was obtained from VTR records, landings reported from statistical area 537 increased substantially compared to previous years. The SARC was informed that this discrepancy was due to a few operators reporting whiting as white hake due to mis-coding of the VTR logs. The SARC
questioned the pervasiveness of this type of error and was informed that when the master commercial landings database is reconstructed back to 1994, these errors will be eliminated because the VTR records will only be used to assign spatial information to the dealer records which contain the correct species composition of the trip.

Initial estimates of discarded white hake derived from 1989-1997 DSSP data were presented to the SARC. Large quantities of white hake discards were estimated for 1989 and 1990 but the SARC was informed that these estimates may be 'contaminated' with red hake because sea samplers were not properly trained in species identification until 1991. It was also noted that estimates of white hake discard may actually be relatively high in these years due to the presence of the relatively strong 1988 and 1989 year classes. All discard estimates were considered preliminary and were not included in further analyses.

Biological sampling data were derived from commercial length frequency samples obtained in the ports of landing and age/length keys derived from otolith samples collected aboard research vessel surveys. Age samples are not available at the ports because white hake are traditionally landed in headless condition. Estimates of commercial landings at length were derived using market category stratification pooled over gear type. The SARC questioned whether gear stratification would have been preferable and it was noted that market category stratification generally accounts for differential size composition among gear types. Market category stratification was considered preferable because it reduces the range of lengths within the stratum and because sampling is often incomplete for most gear types other than otter trawl.

Survey age/length keys did not represent older age groups very well, and considerable augmentation of the keys was necessary for ages greater than 6. The SARC expressed concern that this approach may affect the estimates of landings at age and, more importantly, estimates of mean weights at age.

Noting this, the SARC recommends that all available age samples collected aboard sea sampling trips be inventoried to determine their potential for better determining age/length relationships, particularly for older fish. The SARC expressed concem about possible impacts of sexually dimorphic growth on the distribution of pooled-sex commercial length compositions over age. The SARC was informed that all white hake otolith samples have been reaged, and based on the revised ages, growth differences between sexes are less evident than in previous analyses.

Landings per unit effort indices were presented in both nominal and standardized form. The SARC observed a disjoint between the 1993 LPUE estimate and those computed for 1994-1997. It was noted that the 1994-1997 LPUE indices were derived from VTR records and it was suggested that effort units may not be comparable to those derived from the interview system. Noting this, the SARC recommended that effort units in the VTR data be further investigated to determine the extent of these possible differences.

## Stock Size and Fishing Mortality Estimates

The SARC was presented fishing mortality and stock size estimates derived from several sources including survey-based Zs , and estimates derived from a modified age-based DeLury model, a non-equilibrium production model incorporating covariates (ASPIC), and a VPA. Estimates of $F$ derived from the ASPIC model were generally lower and biomass higher than the VPA-based estimates. Estimates of F derived directly from survey Zs , while variable, were generally consistent with those derived from the VPA, both methods indicating relatively high Fs, around 1.0 , particularly in recent years. The DeLury model produced lower estimates of derived F when spring survey indices were included compared to autumn indices. A 'two-bin mass balance model' was presented in an attempt to reconcile difference obtained from the DeLury analyses. An hypothesis
to explain the differences was offered with the following main features:

1. The white hake stock may not be completely available in the Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank area in the spring,
2. There may be unknown sources of mortality present between inter-annual spring periods, and
3. Implications of gear efficiency should be taken into account.

It was concluded that use of catchability coefficients (q) from each survey could be used to 'tune' the model to blend information contained within each survey series. Finally, the SARC noted that this approach may be most useful for models in which biomass is estimated directly from swept area calculations, and that a possible solution to the lower availability of white hake during spring may be achieved by extending the survey area used in the calculations to encompass a wider distribution of the species during that time of year. Noting this, the SARC recommended that GISplots of white hake be presented in future assessments to better evaluate the spatial distribution of the species.

Summaries of several formulations of the VPA were presented. The SARC noted that a strong residual pattern on age 2 in 1998 was alleviated when stock size of age 2 was estimated as a parameter in the VPA formulation, and agreed that this formulation was the preferable one to use. The VPA produced stock biomass estimates that were consistent with observed indices, and noting that the VPA integrated all available external information, the SARC accepted the VPA results as a basis for determining stock status.

## Biological Reference Points

The SARC reviewed yield and SSB per recruit analyses and noted that estimates of F0.1, Fmax and F20\% were similar to those presented in the previous
analysis. A proxy for Bmsy was determined by inspection of catch history and survey-extrapolated SSB patterns during the period 1970-1980 when both measures appeared to be relatively stable. Based on this analysis, the SARC determined that an SSBmsy estimate of $12,000 \mathrm{mt}$ may be applicable to this stock. Total landings during the same period averaged $3,962 \mathrm{mt}$, but the SARC was unable to conclude whether this constituted an estimate of MSY. Although the production model results were considered uncertain, the SARC concluded that useful information on relative levels of biomass may be useful for corroborating longer-term stock biomass trajectories.

## Sources of Uncertainty

1. Effect of not incorporating discards into the VPA catch at age.
2. Effect of possible mis-identification of red hake as white hake and vice versa.
3. Effect of interpolation of missing ages in survey age/length keys.
4. Impact of possible seasonal emigration of white hake from the defined stock area.

## Research Recommendations

1. Investigate the potential utility of stratifying estimates of discard by mesh size in the otter trawl fishery data.
2. Incorporate all sources of catch in Catch at Age, including Canadian 4 X landings and investigate feasibility of including discards throughout the 1985present period.
3. Investigate stock structure and spawning patterns throughout the Gulf of Maine area, including relationships to areas in 4X and in deeper waters off Georges Bank and the Scotian Shelf.
4. Further work on the 2-Bin Mass Balance Model should continue particularly as this relates to changes in catchability related to seasonal emigration of white hake during the autumn.
5. Investigate the availability and potential use of sea sample age samples to augment survey age/length keys.
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Table B1. Total landings (mt,live) ${ }^{1}$ of white hake by country from the Gulf of Maine to Cape Hatteras (NAFO Subareas 5 and 6), 1964-1997.

|  | Canada | USA | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1964. | 29 | 3016 | 0 | 3045 |
| 1.965 | 0 | 2615 | 0 | 2615 |
| 1966 | 0 | 1562 | 0 | 1562 |
| 1967 | 1.6 | 1126 | 0 | 1142 |
| 1968 | 85 | 1209 | 0 | 1294 |
| 1969 | 34 | 1343 | 6 | 1383 |
| 1970 | 46 | 1807 | 280 | 2133 |
| 1971 | 100 | 2583 | 214 | 2897 |
| 1972 | 40 | 2946 | 159 | 3145 |
| 1973 | 117 | 3278 | 5 | 3400 |
| 1974 | 232 | 3773 | 0 | 4005 |
| 1975 | 146 | 3673 | 0 | 3819 |
| 1976 | 195 | 4104 | 0 | 4299 |
| 1977 | 170 | 4976 | 338 | 5484 |
| 1978 | 155 | 4869 | 29 | 5053 |
| 1979 | 251 | 4044 | 4 | 4299 |
| 1980 | 305 | 4746 | 2 | 5053 |
| 1981 | 454 | 5970 | 0 | 6424 |
| 1982 | 764 | 6179 | 2 | 6945 |
| 1983 | 810 | 6408 | 0 | 7218 |
| 1984 | 1013 | 6757 | 0 | 7770 |
| 1985 | 953 | 7353 | 0 | 8306 |
| 1986 | 956 | 6109 | 0 | 7065 |
| 1987 | 555 | 5818 | 0 | 6373 |
| 1988 | 534 | 4783 | 0 | 5317 |
| 1989 | 583 | 4547 | 0 | 5130 |
| 1990 | 547 | 4927 | 0 | 5474 |
| 1991 | 552 | . 5607 | . 0 | 6159 |
| 1992 | 1138 | 8444 | 0 | 9582 |
| 1993 | 1681 | 7466 | 0 | 9147 |
| 1994 | 955 | 4737 | 0 | 5692 |
| 1995 | 481 | 4333 | 0 | 4814 |
| 1996 | 372 | 3287 | 0 | 3659 |
| 1997 | 290 | 2225 | 0 | 2515 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Canada and Other as reported to ICNAF/NAFO for 1964-1992. USA Landings derived from NEFSC Weighout files. <br> ${ }^{4}$ Includes Japan, Spain, and USSR. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table B2. US commercial landings (mt, live) and the annual percentage of total landings of white hake by gear type, 1964-1997.

|  | Landings (mt, live) |  |  |  |  | Percentage of Annual Landings |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Bottom | Sink |  |  |  | Botton | S Sin |  |  |
|  | Line | Otter | GilI | Other ${ }^{1}$ |  | Line | Otter | Gill | Other ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| Year | Trawl | Trawl | Net | Gear | Total | Trawl | Trawl | Net | Gear | Total |
| 1964 | 1228 | 1681 | 99 | 8 | 3016 | 40.7 | 55.7 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| 1965 | 1513 | 1034 | 64 | 4 | 2615 | 57.9 | 39.5 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| 1966 | 704 | 755 | 99 | 5 | 1562 | 45.1 | 48.3 | 6.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| 1967 | 326 | 730 | 67 | 4 | 1126 | 28.9 | 64.8 | 5.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 |
| 1968 | 265 | 825 | 116 | 3 | 1209 | 21.9 | 68.2 | 9.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| 1969 | 228 | 1005 | 108 | 2 | 1343 | 17.0 | 74.8 | 8.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| 1970 | 201 | 1474 | 129 | 4 | 1807 | 11.1 | 81.5 | 7.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| 1971 | 532 | 1925 | 118 | 9 | 2583 | 20.6 | 74.5 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| 1972 | 834 | 1717 | 384 | 11 | 2946 | 28.3 | 58.3 | 13.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 |
| 1973 | 840 | 1941 | 491 | 6 | 3278 | 25.6 | 59.2 | 15.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| 1974 | 638 | 1852 | 1274 | 9 | 3773 | 16.9 | 49.1 | 33.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| 1975 | 993 | 1356 | 1320 | 4 | 3673 | 27.1 | 36.9 | 35.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| 1976 | 546 | 1606 | 1943 | 9 | 4104 | 13.3 | 39.2 | 47.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| 1977 | 391 | 2316 | 2257 | 12 | 4976 | 7.9 | 46.5 | 45.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| 1978 | 321 | 2183 | 2341 | 23 | 4869 | 6.6 | 44.8 | 48.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 |
| 1979 | 206 | 2058 | 1752 | 28 | 4044 | 5.1 | 50.9 | 43.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 |
| 1980 | 90 | 2656 | 1967 | 33 | 4746 | 1.9 | 56.0 | 41.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 |
| 1981 | 108 | 3473 | 2376 | 13 | 5970 | 1.8 | 58.2 | 39.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| 1982 | 97 | 3860 | 2202 | 20 | 6179 | 1.6 | 62.5 | 35.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| 1983 | 79 | 4868 | 1395 | 66 | 6408 | 1.2 | 76.0 | 21.8 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
| 1984 | 22 | 5158 | 1486 | 90 | 6757 | 0.3 | 76.3 | 22.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 |
| 1985 | 315 | 5508 | 1418 | 112 | 7353 | 4.3 | 74.9 | 19.3 | 1.5 | 100.0 |
| 1986 | 231 | 4671 | 1163 | 44 | 6109 | 3.8 | 76.5 | 19.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 86 | 4798 | 911 | 24 | 5818 | 1.5 | 82.5 | 15.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 |
| 1988 | 85 | 3655 | 1008 | 35 | 4783 | 1.8 | 76.4 | 21.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 |
| 1989 | 15 | 2552 | 1892 | 88 | 4547 | 0.3 | 56.1 | 41.6 | 2.0 | 100.0 |
| 1990 | 78 | 3286 | 1508 | 54 | 4927 | 1.6 | 66.7 | 30.6 | 1.1 | 100.0 |
| 1991 | 249 | 3553 | 1616 | 189 | 5607 | 4.4 | 63.4 | 28.8 | 3.4 | 100.0 |
| 1992 | 948 | 5195 | 2262 | 40 | 8444 | 11.2 | 61.5 | 26.8 | 0.5 | 100.0 |
| 1993 | 1203 | 4656 | 1590 | 16 | 7466 | 16.1 | 62.4 | 21.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| 1994 | 1186 | 2479 | 1065 | 7 | 4737 | 25.0 | 52.3 | 22.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| 1995 | 764 | 2407 | 1123 | 39 | 4333 | 17.6 | 55.6 | 25.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 |
| 1996 | 307 | 2036 | 926 | 19 | 3287 | 9.3 | 61.9 | 28.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 |
| 1997 | 394 | 1283 | 543 | 5 | 2225 | 17.7 | 57.7 | 24.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 |

${ }^{2}$ Includes handiine, Scottish seine, drift gill net, scallop dredge, Danish seine, pound net; floating trap net, longline, midwater trawl, lobster pots, fish pots, purse seine, troll line, common seine, diving gear, set gill net, harpoon, rakes, and trammel net.

Table B3. Landings (mt,live) and the annual percentage of landings of white hake by season, $1964-1997$.

| Year | Onk. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | ADr. | May | Month Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1964 | 111 | 148 | 126 | 125 | 166 | 110 | 221 | 721 | 406 | 364 | 220 | 199 | 99 | 3016 |
| 1965 | 22 | B2 | 105 | 88 | 38 | 26 | 151 | 763 | 551 | 371 | 163 | 134 | 121 | 2615 |
| 1966 | 26 | 37 | 40 | 67 | 47 | 29 | 94 | 91 | 552 | 224 | 168 | 104 | 83 | 1562 |
| 1967 | 17 | 55 | 29 | 50 | 22 | 22 | 33 | 58 | 241 | 234 | 207 | 97 | 61 | 1126 |
| 1968 | 17 | 38 | 52 | 51 | 22 | 28 | 67 | 103 | 302 | 220 | 165 | 79 | 65 | 1209 |
| 1969 | 8 | 55 | 44 | 19 | 24 | 34 | 69 | 81 | 264 | 254 | 216 | 163 | 112 | 1343 |
| 1970 | 12 | 57 | 54 | 50 | 38 | 115 | 160 | 183 | 243 | 259 | 331 | 171 | 134 | 1807 |
| 1971 | 37 | 82 | 39 | 37 | 43 | 99 | 180 | 181 | 453 | 405 | 443 | 400 | 184 | 2583 |
| 1972 | 22 | 123 | 65 | 54 | 45 | 150 | 186 | 379 | 628 | 423 | 495 | 211 | 165 | 2946 |
| 1973 | 252 | 124 | 54 | 65 | 78 | 145 | 191 | 311 | 578 | 415 | 481 | 323 | 261 | 3278 |
| 1974 | 133 | 175 | 51 | 85 | 148 | 164 | 194 | 354 | 529 | 557 | 640 | 417 | 326 | 3773 |
| 1975 | 187 | 105 | 72 | 64 | 98 | 233 | 296 | 464 | 727 | 500 | 312 | 422 | 193 | 3673 |
| 1976 | 184 | 96 | 147 | 152 | 128 | 133 | 316 | 758 | 563 | 667 | 364 | 378 | 218 | 4104 |
| 1977 | 236 | 117 | 91 | 199 | 146 | 191 | 283 | 684 | 852 | 645 | 648 | 612 | 272 | 4976 |
| 1978 | 185 | 105 | 147 | 114. | 131 | 272 | 271 | 370 | 1084 | 859 | 761 | 480 | 190 | 4869 |
| 1979 | 262 | 102 | 34 | 78 | 106 | 232 | 322 | 642 | 964 | 433 | 379 | 308 | 182 | 4044 |
| 1980 | 380 | 109 | 108 | 106 | 102 | 131 | 442 | 720 | 860 | 636 | 553 | 405 | 195 | 4746 |
| 1981 | 53 | 196 | 86 | 126 | 116 | 129 | 437 | 903 | 1375 | 798 | 649 | 766 | 336 | 5970 |
| 1982 | 6 | 174 | 180 | 194 | 134 | 190 | 462 | 1139 | 1280 | 809 | 693 | 571 | 348 | 6179 |
| 1983 | 4 | 405 | 237 | 284 | 211 | 334 | 630 | 817 | 1015 | 745 | 744 | 577 | 406 | 6408 |
| 1984 | 13 | 425 | 228 | 221 | 208 | 341 | 537 | 770 | 1209 | 961 | 934 | 549 | 362 | 6757 |
| 1985 | 4 | 273 | 231 | 292 | 345 | 358 | 705 | 1097 | 1030 | 1115 | 825 | 633 | 445 | 7353 |
| 1986 | 2 | 309 | 276 | 288 | 386 | 392 | 619 | 999 | 851 | 723 | 623 | 370 | 272. | 6109 |
| 1987 | 4 | 135 | 188 | 221 | 163 | 270 | 724 | 1000 | 936 | 805 | 694 | 411 | 267 | 5818 |
| 1988 | 7 | 183 | 100 | 132 | 165 | 287 | 646 | 682 | 761 | 844 | 503 | 314 | 159 | 4783 |
| 1989 | 5 | 149 | 130 | 130 | 137 | 204 | 596 | 795 | 807 | 603 | 540 | 291 | 161 | 4547 |
| 1990 | 7 | 157 | 112 | 172 | 135 | 269 | 595 | 812 | 916 | 635 | 617 | 319 | 181 | 4927 |
| 1991 | 7 | 163 | 162 | 90 | 114 | 457 | 554 | 846 | 1126 | 871 | 624 | 345 | 247 | 5607 |
| 1992 | 5 | 277 | 247 | 294 | 283 | 344 | 832 | 1487 | 1756 | 1203 | 802 | 595 | 321 | 8444 |
| 1993 | 4 | 272 | 213 | 274 | 307 | 532 | 1000 | 1319 | 1232 | 790 | 744 | 514 | 266 | 7466 |
| 1994 |  | 143 | 275 | 198 | 325 | 348 | 617 | 688 | 717 | 447 | 465 | 293 | 221 | 4737 |
| 1995 |  | 142 | 180 | 190 | 138 | 261 | 504 | 712 | 597 | 504 | 566 | 366 | 175 | 4333 |
| 1996 |  | 135 | 149 | 152 | 100 | 243 | 382 | 366 | 553 | 448 | 402 | 236 | 122 | 3287 |
| 1997 |  | 97 | 116 | 73 | 73 | 62 | 209 | 271 | 344 | 343 | 287 | 206 | 144 | 2225 |
| Percentage of total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1964 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 5.5 | 3.6 | 7.3 | 23.9 | 13.5 | 12.1 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 3.3 | 100.0 |
| 1965 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 5.8 | 29.2 | 21.1 | 14.2 | 6.2 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 100.0 |
| 1966 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 35.3 | 14.3 | 10.7 | 6.7 | 5.3 | 100.0 |
| 1967 | 1.5 | 4.9 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 5.2 | 21.4 | 20.8 | 18.4 | 8.6 | 5.4 | 100.0 |
| 1968 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 5.5 | 8.5 | 25.0 | 18.2 | 13.6 | 6.5 | 5.4 | 100.0 |
| 1969 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 19.6 | 18.9 | 16.1 | 12.2 | 8.3 | 100.0 |
| 1970 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 6.4 | 8.8 | 10.1 | 13.4 | 14.3 | 18.3 | 9.5 | 7.4 | 100.0 |
| 1971 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 3.8 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 17.5 | 15.7 | 17.1 | 15.5 | 7.1 | 100.0 |
| 1972 | 0.7 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 12.9 | 21.3 | 14.3 | 16.1 | 7.2 | 5.6 | 100.0 |
| 1973 | 7.7 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 5.8 | 9.5 | 17.6 | 12.7 | 14.7 | 9.9 | 8.0 | 100.0 |
| 1974 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 9.4 | 14.0 | 14.8 | 17.0 | 11.0 | 8.6 | 100.0 |
| 1975 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 6.3 | 8.1 | 12.7 | 19.8 | 13.6 | 8.5 | 11.5 | 5.3 | 100.0 |
| 1976 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 7.7 | 18.5 | 13.7 | 16.2 | 8.9 | 9.2 | 5.3 | 100.0 |
| 1977 | 4.7 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 5.7 | 13.8 | 17.1 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 12.3 | 5.5 | 100.0 |
| 1978 | 3.8 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 5.6 | 7.6 | 22.3 | 17.7 | 15.6 | 9.9 | 3.9 | 100.0 |
| $1979{ }^{\circ}$ | 6.5 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 5.7 | 8.0 | 15.9 | 23.8 | 10.7 | 9.4 | 7.6 | 4.5 | 100.0 |
| 1980 | 8.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 9.3 | 15.2 | 18.1 | 13.4 | 11.7 | 8.5 | 4.1 | 100.0 |
| 1981 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 7.3 | 15.1 | 23.0 | 13.4 | 10.9 | 12.8 | 5.6 | 100.0 |
| 1982 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 7.5 | 18.4 | 20.7 | 13.1 | 11.2 | 9.2 | 5.6 | 100.0 |
| 1983 | 0.1 | 6.3 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 5.2 | 9.8 | 12.7 | 15.8 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 9.0 | 6.3 | 100.0 |
| 1984 | 0.2 | 6.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 7.9 | 11.4 | 17.9 | 14.2 | 13.8 | 8.1 | 5.4 | 100.0 |
| 1985 | 0.1 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 9.6 | 14.9 | 14.0 | 15.2 | 11.2 | 8.6 | 6.1 | 100.0 |
| 1986 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 10.1 | 16.4 | 13.9 | 11.8 | 10.2 | 6.1 | 4.5 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 4.6 | 12.5 | 17.2 | 16.1 | 13.8 | 11.9 | 7.1 | 4.6 | 100.0 |
| 1988 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 6.0 | 13.5 | 14.3 | 15.9 | 17.6 | 10.5 | 6.6 | 3.3 | 100.0 |
| 1989 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 13.1 | 17.5 | 17.8 | 13.3 | 11.9 | 6.4 | 3.5 | 100.0 |
| 1990 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 5.5 | 12.1 | 16.5 | 18.6 | 12.9 | 12.5 | 6.5 | 3.7 | 100.0 |
| 1991 | 0.1 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 8.2 | 9.9 | 15.1 | 20.1 | . 15.5 | 11.2 | 6.1 | 4.4 | 100.0 |
| 1992 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 9.8 | 17.6 | 20.8 | 14.2 | 9.5 | 7.0 | 3.8 | 100.0 |
| 1993 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 7.1 | 13.4 | 17.7 | 16.5 | 10.6 | 10.0 | 6.9 | 3.6 | 100.0 |
| 1994 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 5.8 | 4.2 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 13.0 | 14.5 | 15.1 | 9.4 | 9.8 | 6.2 | 4.7 | 100.0 |
| 1995 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 3.2 | 6.0 | 11.6 | 16.4 | 13.8 | 11.6 | 13.1 | 8.5 | 4.0 | 100.0 |
| 1996 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 7.4 | 11.6 | 11.1 | 16.8 | 13.6 | 12.2 | 7.2 | 3.7 | 100.0 |
| 1997 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 9.4 | 12.2 | 15.5 | 15.4 | 12.9 | 9.3 | 6.5 | 100.0 |

Table B4. Total US Landings (mt,live) and the annual percentage of landings of white hake by state, 1964-1997.

| Year | Landings (mt, live) |  |  |  | Percentage of total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Maine | Mass. | Others ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total | Maine | Mass. | Others ${ }^{1}$ | Total |
| 1964 | 1603 | 1362 | 51 | 3016 | 53.1 | 45.2 | 1.7 | 100.0 |
| 1965 | 1743 | 831 | 41 | 2615 | 66.7 | 31.8 | 1.5 | 100.0 |
| 1966 | 914 | 598 | 50 | 1562 | 58.5 | 38.3 | 3.2 | 100.0 |
| 1967 | 639 | 453 | 34 | 1126 | 56.8 | 40.2 | 3.0 | 100.0 |
| 1968 | 569 | 576 | 64 | 1209 | 47.1 | 47.6 | 5.3 | 100.0 |
| 1969 | 475 | 818 | 51 | 1343 | 35.3 | 60.9 | 3.8 | 100.0 |
| 1970 | 639 | 1088 | 81 | 1807 | 35.3 | 60.2 | 4.5 | 100.0 |
| 1971 | 892 | 1563 | 128 | 2583 | 34.5 | 60.5 . | 5.0 | 100.0 |
| 1972 | 1329 | 1538. | 79 | 2946 | 45.1 | 52.2 | 2.7 | 100.0 |
| 1973 | 1295 | 1812 | 171 | 3278 | 39.5 | 55.3 | 5.2 | 100.0 |
| 1974 | 1708 | 1905 | 160 | 3773 | 45.3 | 50.5 | 4.2 | 100.0 |
| 1975 | 2063 | 1439 | 170 | 3673 | 56.2 | 39.2 | 4.6 | 100.0 |
| 1976. | 2502 | 1431 | 171 | 4104 | 61.0 | 34.9 | 4.1 | 100.0 |
| 1977 | 2967 | 1785 | 223 | 4976 | 59.6 | 35.9 | 4.5 | 100.0 |
| 1978 | 3047 | 1645 | 178 | 4869 | 62.6 | 33.8 | 3.6 | 100.0 |
| 1979 | 2404 | 1394 | 246 | 4044 | 59.4 | 34.5 | 6.1 | 100.0 |
| 1980 | 2729 | 1598 | 419 | 4746 | 57.5 | 33.7 | 8.8 | 100.0 |
| 1981 | 3756 | 2028 | 186 | 5970 | 62.9 | 34.0 | 3.1 | 100.0 |
| 1982 | 4253 | 1794 | 133 | 6179 | 68.8 | 29.0 | 2.2 | 100.0 |
| 1983 | 4289 | 1874 | 245 | 6408 | 66.9 | 29.3 | 3.8 | 100.0 |
| 1984 | 3881 | 2444 | 431 | 6757 | 57.4 | 36.2 | 6.4 | 100.0 |
| 1985 | 3696 | 3370 | 287 | 7353 | 50.3 | 45.8 | 3.9 | 100.0 |
| 1986 | 2955 | 2875 | 280 | 6109 | 48.4 | 47.1 | 4.5 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 3246 | 2255 | 317 | 5818 | 55.8 | 38.8 | 5.4 | 100.0 |
| 1988 | 2695 | 1900 | 188 | 4783 | 56.3 | 39.7 | 4.0 | 100.0 |
| 1989 | 3123 | 1324 | 100 | 4547 | 68.7 | 29.1 | 2.2 | 100.0 |
| 1990 | 2744 | 2108 | 74 | 4927 | 55.7 | 42.8 | 1.5 | 100.0 |
| 1991 | 3280 | 2122 | 205 | 5607 | 58.5 | 37.8 | 3.7 | 100.0 |
| 1992 | 5357 | 2521 | 566 | 8444 | 63.4 | 29.9 | 6.7 | 100.0 |
| 1993 | 5042 | 2067 | 357 | 7466 | 67.5 | 27.7 | 4.8 | 100.0 |
| 1994 | 2940 | 1385 | 412 | 4737 | 62.1 | 29.2 | 8.7 | 100.0 |
| 1995 | 2532 | 1526 | 275 | 4333 | 58.4 | 35.2 | 6.3 | 100.0 |
| 1996 | 1950 | 1129 | 208 | 3287 | 59.3 | 34.3 | 6.3 | 100.0 |
| 1997 | 1427 | 623 | 175 | 2225 | 64.1 | 28.0 | 7.9 | 100.0 |

${ }^{1}$ Others include NH, RI, NY, NJ, VA

Table B5. US Landings (mt,live) and the annual percentage of total landings of white hake by tonnage class ${ }^{2}$, 1964-1997.

| Year | Tonnage Class (TC) |  |  |  |  | Percentage of total |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | Others ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total | 2 | 3 | 4 | Others ${ }^{2}$ | Total |
| 1964 | 450 | 991 | 230 | 1345 | 3016 | 14.9 | 32.9 | 7.6 | 44.6 | 100.0 |
| 1965 | 312 | 510 | 198 | 1595 | 2615 | 11.9 | 19.5 | 7.6 | 63.0 | 100.0 |
| 1966 | 280 | 404 | 125 | 753 | 1562 | 17.9 | 25.9 | 8.0 | 48.2 | 100.0 |
| 1967 | 206 | 333 | 111 | 476 | 1126 | 18.3 | 29.6 | 9.9 | 42.3 | 100.0 |
| 1968 | 300 | 414 | 162 | 333 | 1209 | 24.8 | 34.2 | 13.4 | 27.5 | 100.0 |
| 1969 | 286 | 532 | 227 | 298 | 1343 | 21.3 | 39.6 | 16.9 | 22.2 | 100.0 |
| 1970 | 520 | 728 | 296 | 263 | 1807 | 28.8 | 40.3 | 16.4 | 14.6 | 100.0 |
| 1971 | 600 | 1084 | 341 | 558 | 2583 | 23.2 | 42.0 | 13.2 | 21.6 | 100.0 |
| 1972 | 738 | 972 | 303 | 934 | 2946 | 25.0 | 33.0 | 10.3 | 31.7 | 100.0 |
| 1973 | 934 | 913 | 287 | 1144 | 3278 | 28.5 | 27.9 | 8.8 | 34.9 | 100.0 |
| 1974 | 1334 | 884 | 338 | 1217 | 3773 | 35.4 | 23.4 | 9.0 | 32.3 | 100.0 |
| 1975 | 1302 | 603 | 254 | 1514 | 3673 | 35.5 | 16.4 | 6.9 | 41.2 | 100.0 |
| 1976 | 1587 | 837 | 279 | 1401 | 4104 | 38.7 | 20.4 | 6.8 | 34.1 | 100.0 |
| 1977 | 2363 | 1008 | 485 | 1119 | 4976 | 47.5 | 20.3 | 9.7 | 22.5 | 100.0 |
| 1978 | 2161 | 1083 | 534 | 1091 | 4869 | 44.4 | 22.2 | 11.0 | 22.4 | 100.0 |
| 1979 | 1687 | 1055 | 469 | 833 | 4044 | 41.7 | 26.1 | 11.6 | 20.6 | 100.0 |
| 1980 | 1809 | 1143 | 730 | 1065 | 4746 | 38.1 | 24.1 | 15.4 | 22.4 | 100.0 |
| 1981 | 2346 | 1492 | 1348 | 784 | 5970 | 39.3 | 25.0 | 22.6 | 13.1 | 100.0 |
| 1982 | 2626 | 1828 | 1309 | 417 | 6179 | 42.5 | 29.6 | 21.2 | 6.7 | 100.0 |
| 1983 | 1964 | 2402 | 1798 | 244 | 6408 | 30.6 | 37.5 | 28.1 | 3.8 | 100.0 |
| 1984 | 1966 | 2746 | 1621 | 424 | 6757 | 29.1 | 40.6 | 24.0 | 6.3 | 100.0 |
| 1985 | 1883 | 2987 | 2180 | 303 | 7353 | 25.6 | 40.6 | 29.7 | 4.1 | 100.0 |
| 1986 | 1189 | 2257 | 2195 | 468 | 6109 | 19.5 | 36.9 | 35.9 | 7.7 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 1078 | 2556 | 1865 | 319 | 5818 | 18.5 | 43.9 | 32.1 | 5.5 | 100.0 |
| 1988 | 1114 | 1753 | 1682 | 234 | 4783 | 23.3 | 36.7 | 35.2 | 4.9 | 100.0 |
| 1989 | 1535 | 1495 | 1220 | 297 | 4547 | 33.8 | 32.9 | 26.8 | 6.5 | 100.0 |
| 1990 | 1330 | 1696 | 1702 | 199 | 4927 | 27.0 | 34.4 | 34.5 | 4.0 | 100.0 |
| 1991 | 1749 | 1895 | 1688 | 275 | 5607 | 31.2 | 33.8 | 30.1 | 4.9 | 100.0 |
| 1992 | 2665 | 2925 | 2362 | 491 | 8444 | 31.6 | 34.6 | 28.0 | 5.8 | 100.0 |
| 1993 | 1994 | 2563 | 2704 | 204 | 7466 | 26.7 | 34.3 | 36.2 | 2.7 | 100.0 |
| 1994 | 1294 | 1733 | 1695 | 15 | 4737 | 27.3 | 36.6 | 35.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| 1995 | 1381 | 1564 | 1366 | 22 | 4333 | 31.9 | 36.1 | 31.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 |
| 1996 | 1202 | 1162 | 909 | 15 | 3287 | 36.6 | 35.3 | 27.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 |
| 1997 | 850 | 951 | 424 | 0 | 2225 | 38.2 | 42.7 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |

Table 日6. Sumnary of uS comercial white hake landings (mt), number of length samples ( n ), and number of fish measured(len) by market category and quarter from the Gulf of Maine to the Mid-Atlantic (SA 464, 465, 511-515, 521-526, 533-539, 611-626) for all gear types, $1985-1997$


Table B7. Number of ages used to age the commercial length composition.

| Year | Spring (Half 1) | Autum (Half 2) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | 217 | 338 | 555 |
| 1986 | 655 | 653 | 1308 |
| 1987 | 171 | 392 | 563 |
| 1988 | 273 | 454 | 727 |
| 1989 | 104 | 352 | 456 |
| 1990 | 428 | 643 | 1071 |
| 1991 | 492 | 762 | 1254 |
| 1992 | 300 | 674 | 974 |
| 1993 | 323 | 556 | 879 |
| 1994 | 276 | 525 | 801 |
| 1995 |  | 225 | 459 |
| 1996 | $\ldots$ | 140 | 226 |
| 1997 | 80 | 195 | 684 |
|  |  | 80 |  |

Table B8. Percentage by age of landings-at-age that were filled out to account. for missing ages-at-length. The total is the percentage of the entire landings-at-age.

Age

|  | 5 | 6 | $7$ <br> Numbers | 8 | 9 | 10+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | 0.0 | 10.6 | 70.1 | 40.5 | 92.8 | 77.1 | 2.4 |
| 1986 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 79.5 | 51.9 | 71.6 | 11.9 |
| 1987 | 11.1 | 4.0 | 13.3 | 8.4 | 45.2 | 74.3 | 4.6 |
| 1988 | 0.0 | 20.1 | 85.7 | 90.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1.4 |
| 1989 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 24.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.8 |
| 1990 | 0.0 | 20.2 | 40.2 | 51.8 | 76.8 | 81.9 | 1.3 |
| 1991 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 59.6 | 90.9 | 81.7 | 98.6 | 1.3 |
| 1992 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.9 | 22.2 | 100.0 | 23.1 | 0.5 |
| 1993 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 100.0 | 97.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.9 |
| 1994 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.4 | 45.5 | 100.0 | 97.4 | 1.0 |
| 1995 | 0.9 | 20.4 | 49.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1.1 |
| 1996 | 0.0 | 36.1 | 34.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 55.3 | 4.0 |
| 1997 | 38.3 | 54.1 | 26.5 | 64.9 | 42.4 | 41.2 | 20.8 |
| Height |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 58.4 | 28.9 | 54.7 | 36.2 | 5.6 |
| 1986 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 79.8 | 57.2 | 78.0 | 30.3 |
| 1987 | 10.1 | 3.9 | 15.6 | 10.1 | 49.8 | 78.2 | 15.1 |
| 1988 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 91.8 | 91.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 11.4 |
| 1989 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 26.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3.4 |
| 1990 | 0.0 | 26.2 | 50.5 | 54.7 | 79.7 | 82.0 | 6.9 |
| 1991 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 67.0 | 93.1 | 83.4 | 97.2 | 7.1 |
| 1992 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 27.1 | 100.0 | 26.9 | 2.2 |
| 1993. | 0.0 | 13.0 | 100.0 | 98.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3.6 |
| 1994 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 50.4 | 100.0 | 97.7 | 4.3 |
| 1995 | 1.4 | 24.5 | 52.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 4.3 |
| 1996 | 0.0 | 39.3 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 65.7 | 8.8 |
| 1997 | 40.2 | 56.3 | 27.4 | 71.3 | 46.9 | 44.1 | 29.4 |

Table B9. Total US commercial landings-at-age of wite hake.

| Year | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $9+$ | Total |
|  |  |  | Total | Commercial | Landings | in Num | s (000s | at age |  |  |
| 1985 | 0 | 12 | 617 | 1847 | 679 | 157 | 55 | 20 | 34 | 3422 |
| 1986 | 0 | 18 | 285 | 371 | 289 | 187 | 146 | 84 | 214 | 1593 |
| 1987 | 0 | 46 | 839 | 697 | 351 | 164 | 66 | 74 | 92 | 2329 |
| 1988 | 15 | 1077 | 966 | 938 | 431 | 86 | 5 | 10 | 27 | 3556 |
| 1989 | 0 | 12 | 531 | 797 | 503 | 259 | 39 | 18 | 13 | 2172 |
| 1990 | 22 | 561 | 1085 | 1108 | 305 | 59 | 43 | 6 | 17 | 3206 |
| 1991 | 9 | 237 | 1458 | 1276 | 365 | 101 | 20 | 95 | 22 | 3502 |
| 1992. | 0 | 43 | 2006 | 2224 | 432 | 214 | 78 | 24 | 11 | 5032 |
| 1993 | 0 | 39 | 1557 | 2380 | 632 | 172 | 14 | 5 | 11 | 4810 |
| 1994 | 45 | 28 | 798 | 1045 | 513 | 225 | 40 | 25 | 7 | 2726 |
| 1995 | 0 | 286 | 1677 | 808 | 200 | 130 | 13 | 12 | 4 | 3130 |
| 1996 | 0 | 31 | 370 | 554 | 399 | 132 | 46 | 11 | 7 | 1550 |
| . 1997 | 0 | 2 | 86 | 309 | 296 | 125 | 21 | 23 | 6 | 867 |
|  |  |  | Total | Commercial | Landings | in Hei | (Tons | tage |  |  |
| 1985 | 0 | 8 | 677 | 3775 | 2171 | 706 | 344 | 158 | 466 | 8306 |
| 1986 | 0 | 10 | 289 | 626 | 937 | 926 | 858 | 677 | 2743 | 7066 |
| 1987 | 0 | 25 | 857 | 1338 | 1221 | 901 | 372 | 497 | 1161 | 6373 |
| 1988 | 3 | 491 | 837 | 1801 | 1238 | 365 | 34 | 77 | 472 | 5317 |
| 1989 | 0 | 8 | 582 | 1488 | 1494 | 1043 | 233 | 133 | 149 | 5131 |
| 1990 | 5 | 261 | 1252 | 2143 | 1006 | 264 | 251 | 52 | 241 | 5474 |
| 1991 | 2 | 90 | 1656 | 2551 | 987 | 353 | 919 | 126 | 283 | 6159 |
| 1992 | 0 | 28 | 2093 | 4106 | 1488 | 1089 | 441. | 178 | 159 | 9582 |
| 1993 | 0 | 14 | 1639 | 4466 | 1939 | 787 | 96 | 43 | 163 | 9147 |
| 1994 | 6 | 10 | 815 | 1820 | 1495 | 983 | 254 | 213 | 97 | 5692 |
| 1995 | 0 | 375 | 1858 | 1514 | 537 | 502 | 79 | 94 | 56 | 4814 |
| 1996 | 0 | 19 | 436 | 1117 | 1187 | 502 | 238 | 83 | 76 | 3659 |
| 1997 | 0 | 1 | 92 | 649 | 901 | 529 | 125 | 162 | 56 | 2515 |
|  |  |  | Total | Commercial | Landings | Hean Ne | (kt (kg) | at age |  |  |
| 1985 | 0.000 | 0.682 | 1.096 | 2.044 | 3.195 | 4.505 | 6.281 | 8.104 | 13.525 | 2.427 |
| 1986 | 0.000 | 0.562 | 1.015 | 1.686 | 3.242 | 4.958 | 5.898 | 8.095 | 12.804 | 4.435 |
| 1987 | 0.000 | 0.549 | 1.022 | 1.920 | 3.474 | 5.492 | 5.681 | 6.713 | 12.677 | 2.736 |
| 1988 | 0.176 | 0.455 | 0.867 | 1.919 | 2.874 | 4.245 | 7.238 | 7.604 | 17.504 | 1.495 |
| 1989 | 0.000 | 0.686 | 1.096 | 1.867 | 2.969 | 4.022 | 6.050 | 7.503 | 11.212 | 2.362 |
| 1990 | 0.224 | 0.465 | 1.153 | 1.934 | 3.303 | 4.473 | 5.819 | 8.393 | 14.331 | 1.707 |
| 1991 | 0.253 | 0.379 | 1.136 | 1.998 | 2.708 | 3.512 | 5.438 | 8.712 | 12.865 | 1.759 |
| 1992 | 0.000 | 0.645 | 1.044 | 1.847 | 3.443 | 5.086 | 5.668 | 7.376 | 13.980 | 1.904 |
| 1993 | 0.000 | 0.353 | 1.053 | 1.877 | 3.070 | 4.571 | 6.912 | 9.132 | 14.312 | 1.902 |
| 1994 | 0.130 | 0.362 | 1.021 | 1.742 | 2.914 | 4.361 | 6.358 | 8.483 | 13.627 | 2.088 |
| 1995 | 0.000 | 0.612 | 1.108 | 1.874 | 2.684 | 3.849 | 6.095 | 7.824 | 14.343 | 1.538 |
| 1996 | 0.000 | 0.619 | 1.177 | 2.018 | 2.974 | 3.807 | 5.218 | 7.500 | 10.554 | 2.361 |
| 1997 | 0.000 | 0.714 | 1.067 | 2.102 | 3.047 | 4.224 | 5.862 | 7.084 | 9.860 | 2.899 |
|  |  |  | Total | Commercial 61.5 | Landings $71.0$ | Mean L 79.3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { th }(\mathrm{cm}) \\ 87.9 \end{gathered}$ | at age 95.4 |  |  |
| 1985 1986 | 0.0 0.0 | 43.8 40.8 | 50.8 49.3 | 61.5 58.0 | 71.0 | 79.3 81.6 | 87.9 86.3 | 95.4 | 110.2 107.5 | 63.3 72.6 |
| 1987 | 35.0 | 40.7 | 49.7 | . 60.3 | 72.9 | 84.0 | 85.2 | 89.6 | 108.5 | 63.2 |
| 1988 | 28.7 | 37.7 | 47.0 | 60.4 | 68.7 | 77.5 | 92.0 | 93.6 | 120.3 | 52.1 |
| 1989 | 0.0 | 44.0 | 50.7 | 60.0 | 69.5 | 76.5 | 87.2 | 93.2 | 105.5 | 62.8 |
| 1990 | 30.3 | 38.9 | 51.4 | 60.7 | 71.7 | 79.2 | 85.4 | 96.7 | 113.2 | 55.6 |
| 1991 | 31.8 | 35.6 | 50.7 | 60.8 | 67.1 | 73.2 | 84.1 | 97.1 | 109.7 | 56.4 |
| 1992 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 49.9 | 59.1 | 72.4 | 82.1 | 85.2 | 92.5 | 113.2 | 58.1 |
| 1993 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 50.0 | 59.9 | 70.3 | 79.7 | 90.7 | 98.2 | 113.3 | 58.8 |
| 1994 | 26.3 | 34.8 | 49.6 | 58.5 | 69.0 | 78.5 | 88.6 | 96.4 | 111.2 | 59.7 |
| 1995 | 0.0 | 42.4 | 50.9 | 60.1 | 67.4 | 75.2 | 87.5 | 94.7 | 115.2 | 55.0 |
| 1996 | 0.0 | 42.5 | 51.8 | 61.4 | 69.5 | 75.1 | 82.5 | 93.0 | 102.5 | 63.0 |
| 1997 | 0.0 | 43.6 | 50.1 | 62.9 | 70.1 | 77.7 | 86.3 | 91.5 | 101.7 | 67.5 |

Table B10. White hake effort (days fished) standardization. Run through 1993 Standard: Year $=85$; Area $=515^{2} ;$ Qtr $=3 ; T C=3^{2}$; Depth $=3^{3}$;

| GENERAL LINEAR MODEL ? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dependent Variable : LNCPUEDF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source |  | DF |  | Sum of Scuares | $s$ Mean Square | E value | - $\mathrm{PR}^{\text {P }}>1$ | R-Scuare | CV |
| Model |  | 26 |  | 24810.2 | 954.2 | 508.47 | 7 0.0001 | 0.323238 | -57.8 |
| Error |  | 27679 |  | 51945.0 | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Correct | ed Total | 27705 |  | 76755.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source |  | DE |  | Type I SS M | Mean Square F Value | $\mathrm{PR}>\mathrm{F}$ |  |  |  |
| Year |  | 12 |  | 5632.6 | $469.4 \quad 250.11$ | -0.0001 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6 |  | 9966.0 | 1661.0885 .07 | 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| Area Qtr |  | 3 |  | 4287.8 | 1428.9761 .40 | 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| TC |  | 2 |  | 2657.4 | 1328.7 707.99 | 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| Depthed |  | 3 |  | 2267.5 | $755.8 \quad 402.75$ | 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| Source |  | DF |  | Type III SS M | Mean Square F Vailue | PR $>\mathrm{F}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 12 |  | 4951.2 | 412.6219 .85 | 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| Area |  | 6 |  | 3809.9 | $635.0 \quad 338.35$ | 0.0001 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 |  | 5868.5 | 1956.21042 .35 | 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\mathrm{Qtr}}{\mathrm{TC}}$ |  | 2 |  | 2363.8 | 1181.9 629.78 | 0.0001 |  |  |  |
| Depthed |  | 3 |  | 2267.5 | $755.8 \quad 402.75$ | -0.0001 |  |  |  |
| Parameter |  | Estimate |  | $T$ for $H_{0}$ : Coefficient | $\qquad$ <br> Parameter $=0$ | Std Error of Estimate | $\begin{gathered} \text { Re-Transformed } \\ \text { Estimate } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Intercept |  | -2.28779 | B | -56.29 | 0.0001 | 0.040643 |  |  |  |
| Area | 511 | 0.41926 | B | 7.06 | 0.0001 | 0.059344 | 1.520840 |  |  |
|  | 512 | 0.22294 | B | 6.34 | 0.0001 | 0.035138 | 1.249749 |  |  |
|  | 513 | -0.61583 | B | -21.75 | 0.0001 | 0.028319 | 0.540194 |  |  |
|  | 514 | -0.48061 | B | -14.12 | 0.0001 | 0.034034 | 0.618408 |  |  |
|  | 515 | 0.00000 | B | - | - | - | 1.000000 | - |  |
|  | $522{ }^{3}$ | -0.50561 | B | -19.89 | 0.0001 | 0.025435 | 0.603016 |  |  |
|  | $525^{2}$ | -1.55057 | B | -35.61 | 0.0001 | 0.043549 | 0.212126 |  |  |
| Quarter | 1 | -1.28868 | B | -51.14 | 0.0001 | 0.025197 | 0.275634 |  |  |
|  | 2 | -0.89520 | B | -40.08 | 0.0001 | 0.022335 | 0.408524 |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0.00000 | B | - | - | - | 1.000000 |  |  |
|  | 4 | -0.44162 | B | -19.98. | 0.0001 | 0.022105 | 0.643000 |  |  |
| TC | 2 | -0.27750 | B | -10.83 | 0.0001 | 0.025630 | 0.757673 |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0.00000 | B | - | - | - | 1.000000 |  |  |
|  | 4 | 0.61575 | B | 31.44 | 0.0001 | 0.019588 | 1.851053 | - |  |
| Depthed | 1 | -0:55165 | B | -12.09 | 0.0001 | 0.045617 | 0.575999 |  |  |
|  | 2 | -0.46233 | B | -19.45 | 0.0001 | 0.023770 . | 0.629814 |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0.00000 |  | - | - | - | 1.000000 |  |  |
|  | 44 | 0.52220 |  | 23.03 | 0.0001 | 0.022673 | 1.685737 |  |  |

[^1]Table B11. Nominal and standardized (through 1993) white hake landings (mt), effort (days fished) and landings per day fished (LPUE) for the otter traw fleet.

| Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Landings } \\ \text { (mt) } \end{gathered}$ | Nominal |  | Standardized |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Effort | LPUE | Effort | LPUE |
| 1985 | 3370 | 8605 | 0.392 | 6488 | 0.519 |
| 1986 | 2786 | 8218 | 0.339 | 6387 | 0.436 |
| 1987 | 2832 | 9723 | 0.325 | 6088 | 0.465 |
| 1988 | 2456 | 8236 | 0.298 | 5489 | 0.448 |
| 1989 | 1312 | 6320 | 0.208 | 3816 | 0.344 |
| 1990 | 1761 | 6541 | 0.269 | 4117 | 0.428 |
| 1991 | 1924 | 7022 | 0.274 | 4428 | 0.435 |
| 1992 | 2638 | 7790 | 0.339 | 4828 | 0.547 |
| 1993 | 2423 | 7525 | 0.322 | 4863 | 0.498 |
| 1994 | 808 | 5468 | 0.148 | 3489 | 0.232 |
| 1995 | 498 | 4472 | 0.111 | 2926 | 0.170 |
| 1996 | 886 | 6851 | 0.129 | 4776 | 0.186 |
| 1997 | 654 | 5488 | 0.119 | 3419 | 0.191 |

Table B12. Stratified mean catch per tow in numbers and weight (kg) for white
hake from NEFSC offshore spring research vessel bottom trawl
surveys (strata 21-30,33-40), 1968-1998

| Year | Abundance |  |  |  |  |  | Biomass |  |  |  |  |  | Individual <br> Mean Wt | Length |  | Number <br> of Nonzero |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raw Index |  |  | Smoothed |  |  | Raw Index |  |  | Smoothed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean | L95\%CI | U95\%CI | Mean | L95\%CI | U958CI | Mean | L95\%CI | U95\%CI | Mean | L95\%CI | U958CI |  | Min | Mean | Max of | Tows |  |
| 1968 | 1.60 | 0.99 | 2.21 | 2.80 |  |  | 1.74 | 0.85 | 2.63 | 3.63 |  |  | 1.09 | 10 | 44.1 | 118 | 84 | 32 |
| 1969 | 3.76 | 2.14 | 5.38 | 3.59 |  |  | 5.09 | 3.15 | 7.03 | 5.02 |  |  | 1.36 | 1.1 | 46.3 | 127 | 83 | 40 |
| 1970 | 5.84 | 3.48 | 8.19 | 4.50 |  |  | 11.86 | 2.60 | 21.12 | 6.92 |  |  | 2.03 | 21 | 52.9 | 114 | 90 | 47 |
| 1971 | 3.31 | 2.16 | 4.47 | 5.03 | 3.21 | 7.88 | 5.14 | 3.03 | 7.25 | 7.50 | 4.40 | 12.77 | 1.55 | 17 | 51.3 | 121 | 94 | 45 |
| 1972 | 10.18 | 6.71 | 13.65 | 6.78 | 4.33 | 10.61 | 12.66 | 6.03 | 19.30 | 9.60 | 5.64 | 16.36 | 1.24 | 18 | 47.3 | 112 | 94 | 59 |
| 1973 | 9.24 | 4.96 | 13.52 | 7.62 | 4.87 | 11.93 | 12.22 | 7.30 | 17.15 | 10.89 | 6.39 | 18.54 | 1.32 | 18 | 49.9 | 120 | 85 | 55 |
| 1974 | 8.08 | 5.61 | 10.54 | 7.86 | 5.02 | 12.32 | 13.99 | 9.06 | 18.93 | 11.72 | 6.88 | 19.96 | 1.73 | 10 | 55.0 | 126 | 81 | 56 |
| 1975 | 9.32 | 5.94 | 12.70 | 8.02 | 5.12 | 12.56 | 11.22 | 7.60 | 14.85 | 11.67 | 6.85 | 19.88 | 1.21 | 9 | 44.7 | 115 | 81 | 48 |
| 1976 | 9.98 | 6.90 | 13.06 | 7.66 | 4.89 | 11.99 | 17.01 | 9.27 | 24.74 | 11.83 | 6.94 | 20.14 | 1.70 | 10 | 52.7 | 122 | 97 | 70 |
| 1977 | 6.13 | 3.82 | 8.43 | 6.50 | 4.15 | 10.17 | 11.01 | 6.79 | 15.23 | 10.20 | 5.99 | 17.37 | 1.79 | 22 | 55.5 | 128 | 105 | 52 |
| 1978 | 3.22 | 2.10 | 4.34 | 5.66 | 3.61 | 8.86 | 6.14 | 3.76 | 8.52 | 8.51 | 4.99 | 14.49 | 1.91 | 20 | 51.8 | 131 | 112 | 49 |
| 1979 | 5.26 | 3.40 | 7.11 | 6.32 | 4.04 | 9.90 | 4.97 | 2.56 | 7.38 | 8.19 | 4.81 | 13.96 | 1.02 | 16 | 43.0 | 113 | 131 | 65 |
| 1980 | 10.38 | 7.26 | 13.49 | 7.66 | 4.89 | 12.00 | 13.96 | 9.51 | 18.41 | 9.85 | 5.79 | 16.78 | 1.35 | 10 | 49.7 | 123 | 83 | 54 |
| 1981 | 17.09 | 12.45 | 21.73 | 8.12 | 5.19 | 12.72 | 19.92 | 8.91 | 30.93 | 10.15 | 5.96 | 17.29 | 1.17 | 11 | 45.9 | 131 | 84 | 66 |
| 1982 | 6.06 | 3.33 | 8.78 | 6.20 | 3.96 | 9.70 | 8.91 | 4.86 | 12.95 | 7.76 | 4.56 | 13.22 | 1.47 | 16 | 51.0 | 122 | 90 | 52 |
| 1983 | 3.23 | 2.26 | 4.19 | 4.77 | 3.05 | 7.47 | 3.12 | 2.13 | 4.11 | 5.58 | 3.28 | 9.50 | 0.97 | 15 | 43.7 | 102 | 87 | 54 |
| 1984 | 2.75 | 1.85 | 3.65 | 4.37 | 2.79 | 6.84 | 4.17 | 2.10 | 6.24 | 5.19 | 3.05 | 8.84 | 1.52 | 15 | 51.4 | 118 | 83 | 38 |
| 1985 | 4.33 | 2.97 | 5.68 | 4.90 | 3.13 | 7.68 | 5.38 | 3.12 | 7.64 | 5.32 | 3.12 | 9.05 | 1.24 | 20 | 48.5 | 117 | 78 | 39 |
| 1986 | 8.24 | 6.39 | 10.10 | 5.82 | 3.72 | 9.11 | 5.61 | 3.97 | 7.25 | 5.42 | 3.18 | 9.23 | 0.68 | 11 | 40.0 | 96 | 87 | 60 |
| 1987 | 7.15 | 5.29 | 9.00 | 5.92 | 3.78 | 9.27 | 6.44 | 4.56 | 8.31 | 5.44 | 3.19 | 9.26 | 0.90 | 12 | 45.3 . | 128 | 81 | 49 |
| 1988 | 4.52 | 3.58 | 5.45 | 5.54 | 3.54 | 8.67 | 3.69 | 2.82 | 4.57 | 5.06 | 2.97 | 8.62 | 0.82 | 13. | 41.9 | 95 | 87 | 50 |
| 1989 | 3.65 | 2.06 | 5.24 | 5.67 | 3.62 | 8.88 | 3.22 | 1.22 | 5.22 | 5.42 | 3.18 | 9.23 | 0.88 | 16 | 43.0 | 92 | 79 | 42 |
| 1990 | 11.11 | 0.84 | 21.38 | 7.05 | 4.50 | 11.04 | 18.37 | -8.27 | 45.00 | 7.31 | 4.29 | 12.45 | 1.65 | 22 | 53.3 | 119 | 87 | 50 |
| 1991 | 8.42 | 6.30 | 10.55 | 7.17 | 4.58 | 11.23 | 6.14 | 4.05 | 8.23 | 6.56 | 3.85 | 11.17 | 0.73 | 9 | 41.6 | 131 | 83 | 55 |
| 1992 | 7.59 | 4.95 | 10.24 | 6.79 | 4.33 | 10.63 | 7.11 | 3.54 | 10.69 | 6.06 | 3.55 | 10.32 | 0.94 | 22 | 45.1 | 105 | 77 | 48 |
| 1993 | 7.93 | 5.50 | 10.35 | 6.11 | 3.90 | 9.58 | 6.84 | 4.49 | 9.19 | 5.21 | 3.06 | 8.88 | 0.86 | 17 | 45.1 | 85 | 84 | 48 |
| 1994 | 4.59 | 3.29 | 5.89 | 4.91 | 3.13 | 7.71 | 3.17 | 1.69 | 4.66 | 3.97 | 2.32 | 6.78 | 0.69 | 18 | 40.1 | 96 | 85 | 55 |
| 1995 | 4.38 | 3.20 | 5.55 | 4.06 | 2.57 | 6.41 | 4.02 | 2.58 | 5.46 | 3.34 | 1.94 | 5.75 | 0.92 | 14 | 44.1 | 100 | 86 | 48 |
| 1996 | 2.87 | 2.17 | 3.58 | 3.25 | 2.01 | 5.25 | 3.07 | 2.22 | 3.92 | 2.59 | 1.47 | 4.58 | 1.07 | 12 | 45.9 | 104 | 78 | 47 |
| 1997 | 1.88 | 1.27 | 2.48 | 2.75 | 1.58 | 4.78 | 0.89 | 0.58 | 1.20 | 1.87 | 0.97 | 3.60 | 0.47 | 18 | 38.4 | 67 | 87 | 36 |
| 1998 | 2.25 | 1.57 | 2.92 |  |  |  | 1.09 | 0.70 | 1.48 |  |  |  |  | 17 | $7 \quad 37.7$ | $7 \quad 74$ | 113 | 53 |

Table B13. Stratified mean catch per tow in numbers and weight ( kg ) for white
hake from NEFSC offshore autum research vessel bottom trawl
surveys (strata 21-30,33-40), 1963-1997.

| Year | Abundance |  |  |  |  |  | Biomass |  |  |  |  |  | Individual <br> Mean Wt | Length |  |  | Number Tows | Numberof NonzeroTows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Raw Index |  | Smoothed |  |  | Raw Index |  |  | Smoothed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean | L95\%CI | U958CI | Mean | L958CI | U95:CI | Mean | L958CI | U958CI | Mean | L95\%CI | U958CI |  | Min | Mean | Max of |  |  |
| 1963 | 5.00 | 3.85 | 6.15 | 3.87 |  |  | 6.31 | 4.66 | 7.97 | 5.75 |  |  | 1.26 | 9 | 46.2 | 121 | 90 | 54 |
| 1964 | 1.77 | 1.22 | 2.31 | 3.46 |  |  | 4.14 | 2.51 | 5.78 | 5.52 |  |  | 2.38 | 24 | 56.3 | 123 | 86 | 36 |
| 1965 | 4.39 | 2.75 | 6.02 | 4.16 |  |  | 6.86 | 4.61 | 9.11 | 6.02 |  |  | 1.56 | 15 | 50.4 | 125 | 87 | 60 |
| 1966 | 6.79 | 5.06 | 8.53 | 4.88 | 3.30 | 7.21 | 7.67 | 5.75 | 9.59 | 6.20 | 4.28 | 8.97 | 1.13 | 18 | 45.1 | 121 | 85 | 66 |
| 1967 | 3.92 | 2.85 | 5.00 | 4.94 | 3.35 | 7.31 | 3.64 | 2.33 | 4.95 | 5.80 | 4.01 | 8.40 | 0.93 | 9 | 42.6 | 117 | 83 | 53 |
| 1968 | 4.24 | 2.57 | 5.91 | 5.55 | 3.76 | 8.21 | 4.54 | 2.46 | 6.62 | 6.68 | 4.61 | 9.66 | 1.07 | 11 | 44.9 | 120 | 84 | 54 |
| 1969 | 9.24 | 7.08 | 11.41 | 7.03 | 4.76 | 10.39 | 13.09 | 9.00 | 17.19 | 9.12 | 6.30 | 13.19 | 1.42 | 14 | 46.8 | 112 | 85 | 62 |
| 1970 | 8.05 | 6.17 | 9.92 | 7.89 | 5.34 | 11.66 | 12.82 | 8.95 | 16.70 | 10.60 | 7.33 | 15.34 | 1.59 | 5 | 51.3 | 127 | 90 | 68 |
| 1971 | 10.38 | 6.33 | 14.43 | 8.77 | 5.93 | 12.96 | 12.10 | 9.49 | 14.71 | 11.34 | 7.83 | 16.41 | 1.17 | 5 | 43.6 | 130 | 92 | 76 |
| 1972 | 12.52 | 5.80 | 19.24 | 9.05 | 6.12 | 13.38 | 13.10 | 8.54 | 17.65 | 11.78 | 8.14 | 17.04 | 1.05 | 9 | 45.2 | 122 | 92 | 74 |
| 1973 | 9.05 | 6.39 | 11.72 | 8.09 | 5.47 | 11.95 . | 13.46 | 9.15 | 17.76 | 11.67 | 8.06 | 16.89 | 1.49 | 8 | 51.7 | 119 | 89 | 72 |
| 1974 | 5.35 | 4.12 | 6.59 | 6.88 | 4.65 | 10.16 | 11.00 | 7.96 | 14.04 | 10.85 | 7.50 | 15.71 | 2.06 | 7 | 54.5 | 130 | 95 | 73 |
| 1975 | 5.28 | 4.03 | 6.53 | 6.53 | 4.42 | 9.66 | 7.23 | 5.43 | 9.03 | 10.04 | 6.94 | 14.53 | 1.37 | 15 | 48.5 | 116 | 105 | 74 |
| 1976 | 6.04 | 4.09 | 7.99 | 6.82 | 4.62 | 10.09 | 10.56 | 7.39 | 13.72 | 10.73 | 7.42 | 15.54 | 1.75 | 8 | 54.7 | 134 | 91 | 68 |
| 1977 | 9.78 | 7.77 | 11.78 | 7.52 | 5.09 | 11.12 | 13.74 | 10.51 | 16.96 | 11.56 | 7.99 | 16.73 | 1.41 | 10 | 47.8 | 123 | 122 | 94 |
| 1978 | 7.87 | 6.25 | 9.49 | 7.38 | 4.99 | 10.91 | 12.54 | 9.73 | 15.35 | 11.54 | 7.97 | 16.70 | 1.59 | 12 | 50.2 | 131 | 191 | 146 |
| 1979 | 5.62 | 4.38 | 6.85 | 7.04 | 4.76 | 10.41 | 10.31 | 7.27 | 13.36 | 11.10 | 7.67 | 16.06 | 1.84 | 22 | 53.1 | 127 | 203 | 146 |
| 1980 | 10.86 | 7.38 | 14.33 | 7.42 | 5.02 | 10.97 | 16.66 | 8.79 | 24.54 | 11.03 | 7.62 | 15.96 | 1.54 | 4 | 48.8 | 118 | 94 | 76 |
| 1981 | 8.70 | 6.87 | 10.53 | 6.61 | 4.47 | 9.77 | 12.16 | 9.69 | 14.63 | 9.13 | 6.31 | 13.21 | 1.40 | 20 | 49.9 | 132 | 88 | 65 |
| 1982 | 1.96 | 1.37 | 2.55 | 5.21 | 3.52 | 7.70 | 2.11 | 1.35 | 2.88 | 6.65 | 4.60 | 9.63 | 1.08 | 12 | 46.7 | 93 | 92 | 49 |
| 1983 | 8.22 | 6.11 | 10.32 | 6.33 | 4.28 | 9.36 | 10.79 | 8.16 | 13.42 | 8.06 | 5.57 | 11.67 | 1.31 | 22 | 48.8 | 117 | 80 | 59 |
| 1984 | 5.32 | 4.38 | 6.26 | 6.86 | 4.64 | 10.14 | 8.23 | 6.60 | 9.86 | 8.59 | 5.93 | 12.42 | 1.55 | 22 | 51:9 | 123 | 86 | 69 |
| 1985 | 9.37 | 6.79 | 11.94 | 8.31 | 5.62 | 12.28 | 9.74 | 6.48 | 12.99 | 9.32 | 6.44 | 13.48 | 1.04 | 9 | 42.9 | 128 | 85 | 68 |
| 1986 | 14.42 | 11.34 | 17.50 | 9.55 | 6.46 | 14.11 | 11.56 | 9.54 | 13.58 | 9.91 | 6.85 | 14.35 | 0.80 | 10 | 41.9 | 108 | 89 | 79 |
| 1987 | 7.59 | 6.16 | 9.02 | 9.14 | 6.19 | 13.51 | 9.62 | 6.79 | 12.44 | 9.85 | 6.81 | 14.26 | 1.27 | 17 | 49.2 | 113 | 85 | 61 |
| 1988 | 8.12 | 6.35 | 9.89 | 9.51 | 6.43 | 14.05 | 9.88 | 6.87 | 12.90 | 9.90 | 6.84 | 14.32 | 1.22 | 19 | 46.1 | 136 | 86 | 69 |
| 1989 | 11.76 | 7.94 | 15.58 | 10.60 | 7.17 | 15.66 | 9.23 | 7.39 | 11.07 | 9.95 | 6.87 | 14.40 | 0.79 | 9 | 40.5 | 91 | 85 | 68 |
| 1990 | 13.09 | 9.76 | 16.41 | 11.28 | 7.63 | 16.67 | 10.58 | 6.87 | 14.28 | 10.34 | 7.14 | 14.96 | 0.81 | 5 | 41.5 | 83 | 87 | 72 |
| 1991 | 13.22 | 9.77 | 16.68 | 11.24 | 7.61 | 16.62 | 12.20 | 8.05 | 16.36 | 10.64 | 7.35 | 15.40 | 0.92 | 16 | 44.6 | 94 | 87 | 76 |
| 1992 | 10.16 | 8.57 | 11.76 | 10.43 | 7.06 | 15.42 | 11.24 | 9.09 | 13.39 | 10.30 | 7.11 | 14.91 | 1.11 | 16 | 47.7 | 115 | 84 | 68 |
| 1993 | 11.35 | 8.64 | 14.05 | 9.79 | 6.62 | 14.48 | 11.66 | 8.89 | 14.42 | 9.59 | 6.62 | 13.89 | 1.03 | 11 | 45.2 | 86 | 84 | 75 |
| 1994 | 8.44 | 6.67 | 10.20 | 8.61 | 5.81 | 12.75 | 7.02 | 5.02 | 9.02 | 8.19 | 5.65 | 11.88 | 0.83 | 3 | 42.3 | 88 | 86 | 73 |
| 1995 | 9.54 | 7.81 | 11.28 | 7.64 | 5.13 | 11.37 | 8.20 | 6.43 | 9.96 | 7.49 | 5.14 | 10.92 | 0.86 | 3 | 40.8 | 126 | 91 | 72 |
| 1996 | 4.52 | 3.66 | 5.37 | 6.14 | 4.05 | 9.32 | 6.35 | 4.74 | 7.96 | 6.58 | 4.44 | 9.77 | 1.41 | 10 | 51.2 | 97 | 83 | 56 |
| 1997 | 4.69 | 3.58 | 5.80 | 5.65 | 3.49 | 9.15 | 4.55 | 3.29 | 5.80 | 5.88 | 3.73 | 9.27 | 0.97 | 18 | 41.5 | 118 | 88 | 65 |

Table B14. Stratified mean number per tow at age of white hake in the NEFSC spring and autumn bottom trawl surveys (Strata 21-30,33-40), 1982 and 1987-1989. Also shown at the bottom of the page are the plus groups used in deriving the estimates of instantaneous total mortality.


Table B15. Estimates of instantaneous total mortality (Z) and fishing mortality (F) for Gulf of MaineNorthern Georges Bank white hake, 1982-1998, derived from NEFSC offshore spring and autumn Bottom trawl survey data

| Time | Spring |  | Autumn |  |  | Geometric Mean |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | Z | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1982-1986$ | 0.51 | 0.31 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.55 | 0.35 |  |
| $1987-1991$ | 0.79 | 0.59 | 1.04 | 0.84 | 0.91 | 0.71 |  |
| $1992-1996$ | 1.60 | 1.40 | 1.18 | 0.98 | 1.37 | 1.17 |  |
| $1993-1997$ | 1.44 | 1.24 | 1.31 | 1.11 | 1.37 | 1.17 |  |

Table B16. Summary of results from alternative ADAPT calibrations.


Table B17. Estimates of stock size (000s of fish), instantaneous fishing mortality rate (F), mean biomass (mt), and spawing stock biomass for Gulf of Maine-Northern Georges Bank white hake obtained from Virtual Population Analysis.

STOCK NUMBERS (Jan 1) in thousands

| Age | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4951 | 4629 | 6315 | 6222 | 10000 | 10413 | 5743 | 4548 | 4804 | 2341 | 1471 | 1911 | 5692 | 00 |
| 2 | 3277 | 4052 | 3789 | 5170 | 5081 | 8186 | 8506 | 4694 | 3723 | 3932 | 1875 | 1204 | 1564 | 4659 |
| 3 | 2064 | 2672 | 3302 | 3060 | 3258 | 4149 | 6194 | 6750 | 3804 | 3012 | 3195 | 1277 | 957 | 1279 |
| 4 | 2780 | 1131 | 1930 | 1944 | 1631 | 2186 | 2415 | 3753 | 3712 | 1705 | 1745 | 1098 | 711 | 706 |
| 5 | 1150 | 605 | 590 | 949 | 743 | 614 | 788 | 822 | 1060 | 885 | 451 | 697 | 398 | 302 |
| 6 | 613 | 326 | 233 | 165 | 387 | 153 | 227 | 315 | 282 | 297 | 261 | 188 | 210 | 59 |
| 7. | 307 | 360 | 98 | 43 | 58 | 82 | 72 | 95 | 64 | 75 | 39 | 95 | 35 | 58 |
| 8 | 34 | 202 | 163 | 21 | 31 | 12 | 28 | 40 | 07 | 40 | 26 | 20 | 37 | 09 |
| 9 | 59 | 511 | 199. | 56 | 23 | 33 | 42 | 18 | 17 | 11 | 08 | 13 | 09 | 12 |
| 1+ | 15234 | 14488 | 16619 | 17630 | 21211 | 25830 | 24015 | 21035 | 17474 | 12299 | 9070 | 6504 | 9612 | 7084 |

FISHING MORTALITY

| Age | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.18 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| 3 | 0.40 | 0.13 | 0.33 | 0.43 | 0.20 | 0.34 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.35 | 0.87 | 0.39 | 0.11 |
| 4 | 1.33 | 0.45 | 0.51 | 0.76 | 0.78 | 0.82 | 0.88 | 1.06 | 1.23 | 1.13 | 0.72 | 0.81 | 0.65 |
| 5 | 1.06 | 0.75 | 1.07 | 0.70 | 1.38 | 0.79 | 0.72 | 0.87 | 1.07 | 1.02 | 0.67 | 1.00 | 1.72 |
| 6 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 1.50 | 0.86 | 1.35 | 0.56 | 0.67 | 1.39 | 1.12 | 1.83 | 0.81 | 1.49 | 1.08 |
| 7 | 0.22 | 0.59 | 1.33 | 0.13 | 1.34 | 0.86 | 0.38 | 2.37 | 0.27 | 0.88 | 0.46 | 0.75 | 1.15 |
| 8 | 1.01 | 0.61 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 1.02 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 1.10 | 1.22 | 1.18 | 0.73 | 0.94 | 1.15 |
| 9 | 1.01 | 0.61 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 1.02 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 1.10 | 1.22 | 1.10 | 0.73 | 0.94 | 1.15 |
| Avg 4-8 u | 0.79 | 0.68 | 1.02 | 0.64 | 1.17 | 0.77 | 0.69 | 1.36 | 0.98 | 1.21 | 0.68 | 1.00 | 1.15 |
| Avg 4-8 w | 1.07 | 0.62 | 0.73 | 0.74 | 1.03 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 1.08 | 1.18 | 1.16 | 0.71 | 0.94 | 1.05 |
| Biomass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted F | 0.61 | 0.45 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.43 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.62 | 0.80 | 0.71 | 0.66 | 0.67 | 0.49 |

MEAN BICMASS (using catch mean weights at age)

| Age | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 897 | 839 | 1145 | 991 | 1813 | 2112 | 1316 | 824 | 871 | 273 | 267 | 346 | 1032 |
| 2 | 2022 | 2059 | 1846 | 1884 | 3155 | 3322 | 2878 | 2731 | 1184 | 1285 | 953 | 666 | 1011 |
| 3 | 1700 | 2315 | 2620 | 1969 | 2945 | 3695 | 5535 | 5304 | 2755 | 2370 | 2176 | 1137 | 880 |
| 4 | 2914 | 1402 | 2653 | 2396 | 1944 | 2650 | 2954 | 3934 | 3701 | 1643 | 2140 | 1393. | 1004 |
| 5 | 2089 | 1264 | 1160 | 1801 | 1107 | 1285 | 1396 | 1739 | 1840 | 1489 | 806 | 1207 | 541 |
| 6 | 2142 | 943 | 617 | 434 | 792 | 479 | 532 | 802 | 717 | 553 | 633 | 345 | 499 |
| 7 | 1574 | 1467 | 286 | 263 | 178 | 295 | 295 | 194 | 353 | 293 | 174 | 322 | 111. |
| 8 | 160 | 1117 | 723 | 104 | 133 | 65. | 155 | 166 | 36 | 184 | 131 | 90 | 144 |
| 9 | 463 | 4477 | 1667 | 629 | 147 | 294 | 340 | 145 | 134 | 82 | 77 | 81 | 49 |
| $1+$ | 13961 | 15884 | 12716 | 10471 | 12213 | 14195 | 15401 | 15838 | 11591 | 8171 | 7356 | 5586 | 5271 |

SSB at THE START OF THE SPAGNING SEASON -MALES AND FEMALES (MT) (using SSB mean weights)

| Age | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 22 | 21 | 32 | 21 | 50 | 68 | 35 | 26 | 27 | 05 | 06 | 08 | 23 |
| $2 \%$ | 453 | 335 | 307 | 362 | 436 | 605 | 607 | 468 | 244 | 261 | 125 | 104 | 146 |
| 3 | 1099 | 1434 | 1535 | 1254 | 1457 | 2255 | 2781 | 2559 | 1795 | 1104 | 1084 | 655 | 505 |
| 4 | 2742 | 1163 | 2008 | 1904 | 1446 | 2195 | 2493 | 3528 | 3232 | 1474 | 1707 | 1134 | 804 |
| 5 | 2110 | 1202 | 1019 | 1746 | 1170 | 1165 | 1405 | 1618 | 1799 | 1495 | 767 | 1195 | . 600 |
| 6 | 2071 | 943 | 631 | 478 | 876 | 451 . | 610 | 769 | 789 | 640 | 666 | 386 | 528 |
| 7 | 1528 | 1523 | 356 | 248 | 198 | 305 | 305 | 223 | 338 | 311 | 170 | 337 | 116 |
| $\theta$ | 179 | 1174 | 820 | 110 | 167 | 68 | 156 | 184 | 37 | 217 | 143 | 102 | 160 |
| 9 | 589 | 5337 | 2017 | 768 | 188 | 363 | 422 | 187 | 176 | 107 | 93 | 102 | 63 |
| $1+$ | 10793 | 13134 | 8725 | 6891 | 5988 | 7476 | 8813 | 9563 | 8438 | 5612 | 4762 | 4023 | 2945 |

Table B18. Yield and spawning stock biomass per recruit analyses for white hake.
PC Ver.1.2 [Method of Thompson and Bell (1934)] 1-Jan-1992 white hake (1985-1997) - 1998 UPDATED ave wts, frat and mat vectors


Summary of Yield per Recruit Analysis for WHITE HAKE
slope of the Yield Recruit Araiysis for whita 20.7511
F level at slope $=1 / 10$ of the above slope (F0.1): 20.7511 Yield/Recruit corresponding to F0.1: ---->> 1.1944
F level to produce Maximum Yield/Recruit (Fmax): --
Yield/Recruit corresponding to Fmax: -----> 1.2707
Yield/Recruit corresponding to Fmax: ----
E level at 20 \& of Max Spawning Potential (F20): -2707 ssB/Recruit corresponding to F 20 : ---...--> 4.0878

| Listing of WHITE HAKE |  | (ield per Recruit Results for: <br> (1985-1997) - 1998 UPDATED AVE WTS, |  |  |  | PPAT AND MAT VECTORS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FMORT | TOTCTHN | TOTCTHW | TOTSTKN | TOTSTKW | SPNSTKN | SPNSTKW | \% MSP |
| 50.1 | . 00 | . 00000 | . 00000 | 5.5167 | 22.1016 | 3.4939 | 20.4412 | 100.00 |
|  | . 10 | . 20658 | 1.05601 | 4.4887 | 11.6995 | 2.4715 | 10.3052 | 50.41 |
|  | . 14 | . 26016 | 1.19443 | 4.2230 | 9.3512 | 2.2079 | 8.0448 | 39.36 |
|  | . 20 | . 31082 | 1.26156 | 3.9723 | 7.3254 | 1.9597 | 6.1099 | 29.89 |
| Emax | . 24 | . 33759 | 1.27070 | 3.8402 | 6.3454 | 1.8291 | 5.1807 | 25.34 |
| F20\% | . 30 | . 37223 | 1.25547 | 3.6696 | 5.1844 | 1.6609 | 4.0878 | 20.00 |
|  | . 30 | . 37407 | 1.25382 | 3.6605 | 5.1261 | 1.6520 | 4.0332 | 19.73 |
|  | . 40 | . 41682 | 1.19386 | 3.4511 | 3.8897 | 1.4460 | 2.8830 | 14.10 |
|  | . 50 | . 44781 | 1.12783 | 3.3002 | 3.1358 | 1.2981 | 2.1912 | 10.72 |
|  | . 60 | . 47145 | 1.06845 | 3.1858 | 2.6456 | 1.1864 | 1.7471 | 8.55 |
|  | . 70 | . 49017 | 1.01809 | 3.0959 | 2.3094 | 1.0988 | 1.9459 | 7.07 |
|  | . 80 | . 50542 | . 97603 | 3.0231 | 2.0682 | 1.0281 | 1.2321 | 6.03 |
|  | . 90 | . 51815 | . 94087 | 2.9628 | 1.8884 | . 9697 | 1.0742 | 5.26 |
|  | 1.00 | . 52898 | . 91123 | 2.9119 | 1.7500 | . 9205 | . 9538 | 4.67 |
|  | 1.10 | . 53833 | . 88598 | 2.8682 | 1.6405 | . 8783 | . 8593 | 4.20 |
|  | 1.20 | . 54652 | . 86423 | 2.8302 | 1.5518 | . 8417 | . 7833 | 3.83 |
|  | 1.30 | . 55376 | . 84529 | 2.7967 | 1.4785 | . 8096 | . 7210 | 3.53 |
|  | 1.40 | . 56024 | . 82864 | 2.7670 | 1.4169 | . 7811 | . 6690 | 3.27 |
|  | 1.50 | . 56608 | . 81387 | 2.7404 | 1.3644 | . 7556 | . 6249 | 3.06 |
|  | 1.60 | . 57138 | . 80067 | 2.7164 | 1.3190 | . 7327 | . 5871 | 2.87 |
|  | 1.70 | . 57622 | . 78879 | 2.6946 | 1.2794 | . 7118 | . 5543 | 2.71 |
|  | 1.80 | . 58067 | . 77804 | 2.6747 | 1.2444 | . 6928 | . 5256 | 2.57 |
|  | 1.90 | . 58478 | . 76825 | 2.6563 | 1.2133 | . 6753 | . 5002 | 2.45 |
|  | 2.00 | . 58860 | . 75930 | 2.6394 | 1.1855 | . 6592 | . 4775 | 2.34 |

 white hake, assuming landings of 2665 mt are caught in 1998 and various $F$ levels in 1999-2000.



Figure B1. Distribution of white hake in the NEFSC spring and autumn bottom trawl surveys from 1993-1997.


Figure B2. Total landings of white hake from the Gulf of Maine to Mid-Atlantic region, 1964-1997.


Figure B3. Total US landings of white hake (mt, live weight) by gear, 1964-1997.


Figure B4. Total US landings of white hake ( mt , live weight) by quarter, 1964-1997.


Figure B5. Commercial landings (mt, live weight) of white hake in Maine, Massachusetts, and other states.


Figure B6. Commercial length composition of white hake landings for all gear types, 1985-1997.


Figure B6. cont.


Figure B7. Standardized landings per day fished (LPUE, circles) and effort (days fished raised to total otter trawl landings, solid line) of white hake using a general linear model: year, quarter, area, tonnage class, and depth.


Figure B8. Abundance indices and smoothed indices from the NEFSC spring bottom trawl survey for the Gulf of Maine to Northem Georges Bank region from 1968-1998. The 95\% confidence limits are shown by the dashed line.


Figure B9. Biomass indices and smoothed indices from the NEFSC spring bottom trawl survey for the Gulf of Maine to Northem Georges Bank region from 1963-1997. The 95\% confidence limits are shown by the dashed line.

Biomass Indices



Figure B10. Biomass indices and smoothed indices from the NEFSC autumn bottom trawl survey for the Gulf of Maine to Northern Georges Bank region from 1963-1997. The 95\% confidence limits are shown by the dashed line.

## White Hake Three Year Moving Average



Figure B11. Three-year moving average and stratified mean weight per tow from the autumn survey.


Figure B12. Abundance indices and smoothed indices from the NEFSC autumn bottom trawl survey for the Gulf of Maine to Northem Georges Bank region from 1968-1997. The 95\% confidence limits are shown by the dashed line.


Figure B13. Abundance and biomass indices from the Massachusetts spring bottom trawl survey The $95 \%$ confidence limits are shown by the dashed line.


Figure B14. Abundance and biomass indices from the Massachusetts autumn bottom trawl survey. The $95 \%$ confidence limits are shown by the dashed line.


Figure B15. Abundance and biomass indices from the ASMFC shrimp survey. The $95 \%$ confidence limits are shown by the dashed line.

## White Hake



Figure B16. Total commercial landings and fishing mortality from the VPA calibration.

## White Hake



Figure B17. Trends in total biomass, spawning stock biomass, and recruitment from the VPA calibration.


Figure B18. Spawning stock/recuitment relationship for white hake.


Figure B19. Distribution of bootstrap estimates of fishing mortality and spawing stock biomass for white hake in 1997.



Figure B20. Recruitment at age 1, spawning stock biomass, total biomass and fishing mortality from the retrospective analysis.

## White Hake



Figure B21. Yield and spawning stock biomass per recruit for white hake.


Figure B22. Estimates of total biomass from virtual population analysis and ASPIC surplus production model.

## White Hake



Figure B23. Landings in 1999, total biomass in 2000 and spawning stock biomass in 2000 from stochastic projections.

## C. Georges Bank Winter Flounder

## TERMS OF REFERENCE

The Steering Committee of the $28^{\text {th }}$ Northeast Regional Stock Assessment Workshop established the following terms of reference for the Georges Bank winter flounder assessment:
a. Update the status of the Georges Bank winter flounder stock through 1997 and characterize the variability of estimates of stock size and fishing morality.
b. On the basis of anticipated catches and abundance indicators in 1998, estimate stock size at the beginning of 1999 and provide projected estimates of catch and spawning stock biomass for 1999-2000 at various levels of $F$.
c. Comment on and revise, if necessary, the overfishing definition reference points for Georges Bank winter flounder recommended by the Overfishing Definition Review Panel.

## SUMMARY

Georges Bank winter flounder was previously assessed in 1978 and 1986 when assessments provided descriptive summaries of catch, effort, survey indices, and yield per recruit modeling. The current assessment represents the initial attempt to assemble an analytical assessment of the stock. Georges Bank winter flounder is a discrete offshore stock distributed in the shallower areas of the bank complex. The stock is exploited by both directed otter trawl fisheries and as by-catch in large and small mesh otter trawl and scallop dredge fisheries targeting other species. Management measures to date have been directed at other principal groundfish species or the entire groundfish complex, but management actions including seasonal and year-round
area closures, mesh size restrictions, effort controls, and retention restrictions on specific gear sectors likely have a significant effect on the condition of the Georges Bank winter flounder resource.

The Georges Bank winter flounder stock has been exploited by U.S., Canada, and distant water fleets historically, but the U.S. fishery has generated most of the reported landings since 1970. Landings during the 1970s and 1980s ranged between 2,000 and $4,000 \mathrm{mt}$, but declined to approximately 1,700 mt in 1993. Otter trawl gear accounts for greater than $95 \%$ of landings in most years, although the proportion of landings from the scallop dredge sector increased in the early 1990s. Discards are known to occur in both the otter trawl and scallop dredge fisheries. Although available data were inadequate to either estimate the magnitude of discards or characterize their size or age distribution, information from sea sampling observations indicates that discards are a relatively low proportion of the total catch in the otter trawl fishery. However, both sea sampling and vessel trip record data indicate that discarding may have increased recently in the scallop dredge fishery due to groundfish retention limits.

Landings per unit effort indices for all trips landing winter flounder and directed trips declined between the mid-1970s and early 1990s. U.S. and Canadian research vessel survey indices are highly variable, but appear to indicate a significant decline, in abundance and biomass between the early 1980s and early 1990s.

A research vessel survey calibrated Virtual Population Analysis indicates strong year classes in 1980 ( 8.2 million), 1985 ( 6.6 million), 1987 ( 7.4 million), and 1994 ( 5.4 million) based on age 2 recruitment numbers. Spawning stock biomass declined from $8,300 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1982 to $2,000 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1994 and has increased to $3,500 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1997. There is little apparent relationship between stock and recruitment. Age 2 recruitment from the 1995 and 1996 year classes
is poor, and the 1996 year class ( 0.77 million) is the weakest in the time series. Average fishing mortality (4-6, unweighted) increased from approximately 0.5 in 1982 to above 1.0 in 1984, and ranged between 0.66 and 1.36 in the mid-1980s to early 1990s. Fishing mortality declined to below 0.5 in 1994 and has ranged between 0.32 and 0.53 through 1997.

A yield per recruit analysis estimatesd $F_{0.1}=0.21$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}=0.42$, and an SSB per recruit analysis estimated $\mathrm{F} 20 \%=0.47$. An unconstrained surplus production analysis estimated MSY as $3,100 \mathrm{mt}$ and the stock biomass at MSY ( $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ ) of $11,400 \mathrm{mt}$. The Amendment \#9 harvest control rule was re-estimated and at the current biomass proxy, the corresponding fully recruited threshold and target fishing mortality rates are 0.04 and 0.03 , respectively. Relative to the Amendment \#9 harvest control rule, the stock is both overfished and overfishing is occurring ( $\mathrm{F}_{1998}=0.34$ ). Short-term stochastic projections indicated that SSB will increase slightly ( $3 \%$ ) between 1999 and 2000 if the stock is fished at $\mathrm{F}_{20 \%}=0.47$ in 1999, and increase between $13 \%$ ( $\mathrm{F}_{1998}=0.34$ ) and $44 \%(\mathrm{~F}=0.00)$ if fished at lower levels.

## INTRODUCTION

Georges Bank winter flounder (Psueudopleuronectes americanus) is a demersal flatfish species distributed in the Northwest Atlantic from Labrador to Georgia (Bigelow and Schroeder 1953; Klein-MacPhee 1978). Although primarily distribution in shallow inshore waters where estuarine habitat serves as important spawning and nursery areas, winter flounder are also distributed on some shallow offshore banks including Nantucket Shoals and Georges Bank principally in waters shallower than 80 m in depth. Adult winter flounder feed primarily on benthic invertebrates including annelids (predominately polychaetes), Cnidarids, and Anthoza (Langton and Bowman 1981). Principal predators include striped bass (Morone saxatilis), bluefish (Pomatomus saltatrix), goosefish
(Lophius americamus), spiny dogfish (Squalus acanthias), and sea raven (Hemitripterus americanus; Dickie and McCraken 1955, Grosslein and Azarovitz 1982). Spawning peaks on Georges Bank during March and April, as evidenced by the presence of spawning condition fish in the Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) spring research vessel bottom trawl survey and high densities of eggs and larvae detected by MARMAP ichthyoplankton surveys.

## Stock Structure

Evidence from tagging data, differences in life history characteristics, and meristic studies provide evidence for discrete stocks of winter flounder in the U.S. waters of the Northwest Atlantic. Winter flounder on Georges Bank have considerably higher growth rates than fish from inshore waters (Bigelow and Shroeder 1953, Lux 1973); and historically, the Georges Bank stock was considered as a separate species (Psudopleuronectes dignabilis; Kendall 1912). Meristic studies indicate that fin ray counts differ for fish from Georges Bank and inshore areas indicating further evidence for a discrete offshore stock of winter flounder on Georges Bank (Perimutter 1947; Lux et al. 1970). Extensive tagging studies of winter flounder indicate little mixing of fish between Georges Bank and inshore areas (Coates et al. 1970, Howe and Coates 1975), providing further evidence for discrete stock structure (Pierce and Howe 1977).

For the purposes of this assessment, the Georges Bank stock was defined to include U.S. statistical areas 522-525, 551, 552, 561, and 562 (Figure C1) which corresponds approximately to NAFO area $5 \mathrm{Zh}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}$. Corresponding survey data include NEFSC offshore survey strata 01130 to 01220 . NEFSC offshore strata 01230 appears to include a mix of winter flounder from the Southern New England/Mid Atlantic stock complex and the Georges Bank stock, and therefore was not included in survey analyses for this assessment. Canadian survey strata include the portions of strata 5 Zl to
$5 \mathrm{Z8}$ occurring in NAFO area $5 \mathrm{Zh}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}$.

## Fishery Description

Winter flounder, often known as blackback or lemon sole within the commercial fishery sector, are harvested primarily using otter trawl gear, and landings occur in both targeted landings and as bycatch in fisheries targeting other species. Bycatch landings and discards occur in trawl fisheries targeting other groundfish species and scallop dredge fisheries. Although recreational landings are a significant source of fishing mortality in inshore waters for the Southern New England stock complex, recreational landings from the Georges Bank stock are insignificant and are not included in this assessment.

## Management History

Over the past 25 years, management of the commercial fishery for Georges Bank winter flounder has focused on minimum size limits and management measures (seasonal and year-round area closures, mesh size regulations, effort control measures, and fleet capacity reduction programs) primarily intended to address management needs for other demersal species (Atlantic cod, haddock, and yellowtail flounder). Seasonal spawning closures of haddock spawning grounds, which increased in temporal and spatial coverage since their inception in 1970 (Clark 1976), have provided some measure of protection for the stock.

Winter flounder was included in the New England Fishery Management Council's Atlantic Groundfish Fishery Management Plan (1977-1982). This initial plan established a minimum commercial size limit ( 11 inches, 28 cm ), imposed minimum mesh sizes for trawis, and established spawning stock biomass per recruit targets. In 1982, the Council adopted an Interim Groundfish Plan, which established a minimum mesh size of $130 \mathrm{~mm}\left(51 / 8^{\prime \prime}\right)$. In 1983, the minimum mesh size was increased to 140 mm
(5.5") In 1986, the Council's Multispecies Fishery Management Plan increased the minimum legal size to 30 cm ( 12 in ) and imposed seasonal area closures. Amendments \#5 and \#7 (1994, 1996), established effort controls (days at sea limits), further increased minimum mesh size to 142 mm ( $6^{\prime \prime}$ diamond or square mesh), imposed trip limits for regulated groundfish bycatch in the sea scallop fishery, and prohibited small-mesh fisheries from landing regulated groundfish. In December 1994, two large areas on Georges Bank were closed to fishing on a year-round basis to protect overfished groundfish species. These areas include both the eastern and western edges of the distribution of winter flounder on the bank.

Amendment \#9 to the Multispecies Fishery Management Plan was submitted in 1998 to revise the overfishing definition according to Sustainable Fisheries Act requirements. The Overfishing Definition Review Panel (Applegate et al. 1998) recommended an MSY-based control rule derived from survey based proxies of MSY-reference points. Biomass reference points were based on the NEFSC Autumn research vessel biomass index (stratified mean $\mathrm{kg}^{\circ} \mathrm{tow}^{-1}$ ) and fishing mortality reference points were based on an exploitation index (catch•NEFSC Autumn research vessel biomass index ${ }^{-1}$ ). Final approval of Amendment 9 was still pending in December 1998.

Georges Bank winter flounder was previously assessed in 1978 (Lange and Lux 1978) and 1986 (Gabriel and Foster 1986). These two assessments provided descriptive summaries of catch, effort, survey indices, and yield per recruit modeling. The current assessment represents the initial attempt to assemble an analytical assessment of the stock.

## THE FISHERY

## Commercial Landings

Before 1976, commercial landings of Georges Bank winter flounder were reported from the United States, Canada, and distant water fleets including the former Soviet Union. From 1964 to 1971, commercial landings increased reaching a peak of $3,200 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1971 (Figure C2, Table C1). Landings declined from 1971 to 1976, before increasing sharply to $3,700 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1977. Commercial landings peaked between 1980 and 1984 (averaging $3,800 \mathrm{mt}^{-1 \mathrm{rl}^{-1} \text { ), }}$ but declined sharply 1985 (Figure C2). Landings have trended downward since 1984, with the exception of landings from the strong 1984 year class in 1987 and 1988. Commercial landings in 1995 (760 mt ) were the lowest recorded in the landings time series dating back to 1962 . Since the late 1960's, U.S. landings have been the dominate component of total commercial landings. Canadian landings have averaged $0.1 \%$ to $2.7 \%$ of total fishery landings since 1970. The Canadian industry's interest in the Georges Bank winter flounder resource is increasing (S. Gavaris, personal communication), and reported Canadian landings in 1997 reached their highest levels since 1966 (Table C1).

Otter trawls have been the dominate gear accounting for greater than $98 \%$ of landings in the U.S. fishery through 1985 (Table C2). Since 1985, the proportion of landings taken by scallop dredges has increased steadily from less than $1 \%$ to approximately $7 \%$ by 1993. The proportion of winter flounder landings accounted for by scallop dredges declined from 1994 to 1997 in response to U.S. groundfish retention limits imposed in the scallop fishery. Tonnage class 3 ( $51-150$ GRT) otter trawlers generally account for approximately 60 to $80 \%$ of U.S. landings, while tonnage class 4 (151-500 GRT) otter trawlers generally account for all but a few percent of the remaining U.S. landings (Table C3).

Commercial landings since 1982 are reported for 8 market categories (unclassified, lemon sole, small,
large, extra-large, large/mixed, medium, and peewee) based primarily on the individual fish size. Three categories (lemon sole, small, and large) comprised approximately $85 \%$ of the commercial landings from 1982 to 1997 (Table C4).

## Commercial Discards

Commercial discarding occurs in the otter trawl and scallop gear sectors due to marketability (size and condition), minimum size limit regulations, effort restriction regulations, and groundfish retention limits which prohibit groundfish retention in some small mesh fisheries and restrict retention in others (scallop fishery). Discard information is available from two primary sources, the sea sampling database which summarizes information collected by trained observers riding on commercial trips and the Vessel Trip Record (VTR) database which contains records of commercial operator reported discards.

Sea sampling data (available 1989 to 1997) represents the most reliable source of information available for estimating commercial discards. The total number of Georges Bank trips where winter flounder weights were collected ranged from 4 to 17 trips annually. Sea sampling of scallop dredge trips was limited with no more than 5 trips available annually where weights of landed and discarded winter flounder were sampled. Based on this limited amount of information, estimated total discards in the trawl gear sector ranged from 1.2 to 24.9 mt annually, representing 0.2 to $1.6 \%$ of otter trawl landings. Limited sampling of sea scallop trips precludes even preliminary estimates of discards for this fleet sector. However, limited sea sampled trips occurring in 1995 to 1997 (eight trips) appear to indicate that discarding of winter flounder by this gear sector may have increased significantly in response to groundfish retention regulations.

Length frequency information available in the sea sampling database were examined to determine the feasibility of partitioning discard weight estimates into numbers at length. The number of discarded
winter flounder measured annually by the Sea Sampling Program ranged from 70 in 1989 to none in 1997. Clearly the number of discarded winter flounder measured was insufficient to characterize the overall length frequency distribution of the discarded portion of the catch. The number of discarded winter flounder measured in the scallop dredge gear sector was insignificant in every year except 1997, when 239 discarded winter flounder were measured in the second quarter and a total of 274 were measured across all quarters. Based on the limited data available to either estimate the magnitude of total discards or to characterize their size distribution, we concluded that it would be inappropriate to generate estimates of discards based on an analysis of sea sampled data.

Commercial operator reported discards in the VTR database (available 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Quarter of 1994 to 1997) represented the next best available source for estimating discards. Reporting rates in the VTR database are known to be incomplete because many operators fail to reliably report discards. To avoid problems associated with incomplete reporting, we estimated discard ratios using VTR data based on a subset of logbook records that reported at least 1 pound of discards for any species (DeLong et al. 1997, Brown 1998). By using this subset to characterize discard ratios, we made three basic assumptions: 1) it is highly unlikely that a groundfish trip could operate within the Georges Bank stock area without generating discards of some species, 2) trips that reported discards of some species reliably reported discards of winter flounder, and 3) the ratio of landed to discarded weight from this subset was representative of the discarding behavior of the entire fleet. Thus, the subset used to estimate discard ratios included 1) trips reporting both landings and discards of winter flounder, 2) trips reporting winter flounder discards but no landings, and 3 ) trips reporting winter flounder landings and discards for some other species.

For the otter trawl gear sector, the number of trips included in the discard ratio estimate ranged from 73 to 182 trips annually. Based on logbook reported
discards, estimated total discards in the trawl gear sector ranged from 7.2 to 21.9 mt annually, representing 0.5 to $3.0 \%$ of otter trawl landings. Based on the number of scallop dredge trips reporting discards of winter flounder, it is clear that discard reporting rates for winter flounder are extremely low. in this gear sector. From a regulatory standpoint, there are a number of disincentives to accurately reporting groundfish bycatch by scallop dredges. The limited number of trips reporting discards appear to indicate that discarding rates by this gear sector are significant, and therefore represent a significant source of uncertainty relative to the total fishery removals from the stock.

The third approach attempted to estimating discards involved using a combination of commercial sea sample data and research vessel survey data to estimate the total numbers discarded at length (following Mayo et al. 1992). Three significant weaknesses were encountered that precluded the use of this information. First, the length frequency distribution from a research vessel survey is assumed to be representative of the size distribution of the winter flounder resource seasonally. The limited number of strata and tows made on the NEFSC Spring and Autumn research vessel surveys produce limited numbers of winter flounder to characterize the length frequency distribution. For the period when the catch at age was produced for this assessment (1982 to 1997), the total number of winter flounder captured in representative tows during NEFSC Spring Research Vessel surveys ranged from 31 to 256 fish, and in 7 of the 17 years the total number of fish captured was less than 70 fish. For the NEFSC Autumn Research Vessel survey, the total number of winter flounder captured ranged from 12 to 320 fish, and in 8 of the 17 years the total number of fish captured was less than 70 fish. The low numbers of fish sampled result in an increased likelihood that there are some seasons when the NEFSC survey performs poorly in representing the length frequency distribution of the resource.

Second, the discard length frequency information available from sea sampling was limited resulting in
a potentially poor determination of the discard selectivity ogive used in the analysis. One diagnostic for determining the performance of this estimation method is examination of the relationship between the research vessel bottom trawl survey number per tow discarded and the sea sample estimated number discarded. The expectation of is that this relationship will have a positive slope and that the correlation will be positive. The correlations between these estimated yariables was weak, and in some cases negative, ranging from -0.1 to 0.7 . This diagnostic indicates a significant problem with one of the inputs to this discard estimation procedure.

Third, if the number discarded at length could be reliably estimated, the number of age determinations for smaller size winter flounder from survey data is limited. While commercial age data could be used to augment age keys for older individuals, research vessel survey and sea sampling data are the only source of age determinations for sub-legal size fish. The number of survey ages available ranged as low as 12 determinations (NEFSC Autumn 1991 survey) when every winter flounder captured within the strata set was aged.

In summary, available survey, vessel trip record, and sea sampling data were insufficient to produce reliable estimates of the magnitude or age composition of winter flounder discards occurring in the Georges Bank otter trawl or scallop dredge fisheries. However, both the sea sampling and vessel trip record approaches produced consistent information concerning the magnitude of discards occurring in the otter trawl and scallop dredge fisheries. Both approaches produced relatively low estimates of discards relative to landings (Sea Sample: $0.2 \%$ to $1.6 \%$; VTR: 0.5 to $3.0 \%$ ) for the otter trawl fishery.

In addition, both data sources appear to indicate that discarding increased in the scallop dredge fishery following the implementation of groundfish retention limits. The recent scallop dredge discards represent a significant source of uncertainty relative to the total fishery removals from this stock. How-
ever, an analysis of the spatial overlap of exploitable scallop resources and winter flounder distributions indicated little spatial overlap. Because of the uncertainty in both the underlying data and the performance of the discard estimation approaches, no commercial discards were included in the catch at age analyzed in this assessment.

## Sampling Intensity of Commercial Landings

Although the U.S. commercial landings of Georges Bank winter flounder are reported for 8 market categories (unclassified, lemon sole, small, large, extra-large, large/mixed, medium, and peewee), three categories (lemon sole, small, and large) comprised $85 \%$ of the commercial landings from 1982 to 1997 (Table C4). After comparing the length frequencies by market categories across years, other market categories including peewee ( $5.9 \%$ ), medium ( $1.7 \%$ ), extra-large ( $0.6 \%$ ) and large/mixed ( $0.2 \%$ ) were combined with the small, large, and lemon sole market categories to estimate catch at age (see Table C5 for details). U.S. commercial length samples were aggregated by quarter and combined market categories and summarized in Table C5. Since 1982, annual sampling intensity by combined market category ranged from 36 to 902 mt of landingsesample ${ }^{-1}$. Sampling intensity has been lower for lemon sole than for small or large combined market categories, and sampling in all market categories deteriorated after 1992. Poor sampling intensity prior to 1982 preclude extension of the landings at age time series prior, to 1982. There is no formal commercial sampling program for Canadian landings of Georges Bank winter flounder.

## Landings at Age

Age composition of the 1982 to 1997 commercial landings from Georges Bank were estimated by applying commercial age-length keys to quarterly commercial numbers at length, aggregated by market category. In some instances, the landings at
age analysis was pooled to half year, and in one case across three quarters of the calendar year because of insufficient length frequency sampling. Details regarding pooling across time periods and market categories are summarized in Table C6. Mean weights at age were estimated by applying the length-weight equations to the quarterly length frequency samples by market category. Total numbers landed per quarter were estimated by applying the mean weights to the quarterly landings by market category and prorating according to the sample length frequency. Numbers at age were summed over market category for each quarter and annual estimates of landings at age were obtained by summing values over quarters. Landings from both the unclassified market category for U.S. landings and total reported Canadian landings were assumed to have the same age composition as the sampled U.S. landings, and the estimated landings at age was adjusted to incorporate these landings. The unsampled portion of landings generally accounted for less than $10 \%$ of the total landings at age.

Estimated total landings at age for 1982 to 1997 are summarized in Table C7A. Landings of age 2 to 4 fish dominate landings, and two relatively large year classes appear to track well through the catch at age matrix. Landings of age 1 fish are insignificant except in 1995 when almost 264,000 landed age 1 fish were estimated. Examination of the U.S. commercial samples indicated that large numbers of age 1 fish were present in multiple samples occurring in the third and fourth quarters of 1995. In addition, relatively large numbers of the 1994 cohort were landed as age 2 fish in 1996 and age 3 fish in 1997. Estimated landed weight (mt) of Georges Bank winter flounder by age and year is summarized in Table C7B.

## Mean Weights at Age

Mean length and weight at age from the analysis of total landings at age are summarized in Tables C7C and C7D, respectively. Mean weights at age have remained relatively stable from 1982 to 1997,
although poor sampling of older ages resuits in some instability in the estimated length and weight for ages 7 and older.

## STOCK ABUNDANCE AND BIOMASS INDICES

## U.S. Landings per Unit Effort (LPUE) Indices

Landings per unit effort (landings•days fished ${ }^{-1}$ ) were tabulated from the weighout database by tonnage class from 1964 to 1993 for all otter trawl trips landing winter flounder and for directed trips (trips with $>=50 \%$ winter flounder landings). Landings per unit effort indices for all and directed winter flounder trips demonstrated similar trends with high levels of landings per unit effort in the 1980s, and declines in both indices to their lowest levels in the time period in the early 1990s (Figure C3).

## U.S. Research Vessel Bottom Trawl Survey Indices

The Northeast Fisheries Science Center of the U.S. National Marine Fisheries Service has conducted a stratified random bottom trawl survey designed to assess the abundance and biomass of fish species along the continental shelf of the United States from the Scotian Shelf to Cape Hatteras since 1963 (Azarovitz 1981; Depres et al. 1988, Azarovitz et al. 1997). Two stratified random bottom trawl surveys, a spring survey (April 1968-1998) and an autumn survey (October 1963-1997) are used to estimate changes in abundance (stratified mean number per tow) and biomass (stratified mean weight ( kg ) per tow) of demersal fish species including winter flounder. The indices for Georges Bank winter flounder include data from representative tows occurring in the NEFSC offshore strata 01130 to 01220 . Significant changes in the catchability of winter flounder due to a door gear change in 1995 necessitates adjusting pre-1995 using door standardization coefficients ( 1.46 numbers; 1.39 weight;

NEFSC 1991) estimated through fishing power experiments. These experiments indicated no significant differences in the catchability of winter flounder between the two research vessels (Delaware II and Albatross IV) during the survey time series.

Standardized, stratified abundance and biomass indices for Georges Bank winter flounder from the U.S. Spring and Autumn Research Vessel Bottom Trawl surveys are shown in Table C8 and Figure C4. Abundance and biomass indices exhibit a considerable amount of variability but generally exhibit intermediate levels of abundance from the early 1960s to early 1980s, and declining levels of abundance since the mid-1980s (Figure C4). Both surveys have exhibited a declining trend over the final two years of the survey. The stratified mean length ( cm ) in both the U.S. Spring and Autumn surveys exhibited a general declining trend between the mid 1960s and early 1990s, but the stratified mean length has increased over the past five years (Figure C5).

Stratified mean number at age for the NEFSC Spring and Autumn surveys is shown in Tables C9A and C9B, respectively. Although these indices are noisy, larger cohorts appear to track through the numbers at age matrix for the $1980,1985,1987$, and 1994 cohorts.

## Canadian Research Vessel Bottom Trawl Survey

The Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Canada has conducted a stratified random bottom trawl survey on Georges Bank since 1996. The Canadian survey, normally conducted during February or early March, occupies stations in both U.S. and Canadian waters. Station density is generally higher than on U.S. surveys of Georges Bank. For the purposes of this assessment, stations occurring in strata 5 Z 1 to 5 Z 8 occurring the NAFO area $5 \mathrm{Zh}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}$ were included in the estimation of abundance indices. Weight data area collected, but were unavailable for estimating biomass indices (kg•tow ${ }^{-1}$ ). Stratified
mean numbers per tow at length were available for winter flounder from 1987 to 1998 . Biomass indices were generated by applying the U.S. survey length-weight regression relationship (Weight $(\mathrm{kg}$ ) $=0.0000079099^{*}$ Length (cm) ${ }^{3.1378}$ ) to the stratified mean numbers at length from the Canadian survey. Indices of abundance and biomass for the Canadian survey are summarized as stratified mean number per tow from 1986 to 1998 (Table C8, Figure C4).

Winter fiounder captured during the Canadian survey are counted and measured, but no aging program exists to generate age determinations from this survey. U.S. survey and commercial age keys were used to partition stratified mean numbers at length into stratified mean numbers at age. Sufficient age determinations were available from U.S. Spring survey data to partition stratified mean numbers at length into numbers at age for fish smaller than 40 cm . U.S. commercial age keys from the first quarter of the corresponding year were applied for fish longer than 40 cm . The application of commercial age keys will provide unbiased estimates of catch at age if both the U.S. commercial fleet and the Canadian survey are sampling fish that grow at the same rate. Since the principal winter flounder habitat is located in U.S. waters and the Canadian survey samples across both U.S. and Canadian waters with primary catches occurring in U.S. waters, this assumption appears to be valid.

## MORTALITY AND MATURATION

## Natural Mortality

For this assessment, natural mortality was assumed to be constant and equal to 0.20 throughout the time series. The observation of maximum ages in the populations occasionally exceeding 15 years is consistent with this assumption of natural mortality ( $3 / \mathrm{m}$ rule of thumb).

## Total Mortality

Estimates of instantaneous total mortality ( Z ) and fishing mortality ( F , assuming natural mortality $=$ 0.20 ) were estimated from the stratified number at age indices from the NEFSC Spring and Autumn surveys. Because of interannual variability in the survey indices, pooled estimates of mortality rates were estimated across running three year time periods from 1981 to 1997 . Total mortality ( $Z$ ) was estimated as the natural $\log$ of the ratio of $3+/ 4+$ indices from the autumn survey; and $4+/ 5+$ indices from the spring survey. Mortality rates for both surveys exhibited similar patterns with relatively low mortality rates in the early 1980 s, higher mortality rates in the mid-1980s to early 1990s, and lower mortality rates in the mid-1990s (Figure C6). The two surveys exhibit divergent trends in the most recent years (1993 to 1997), with the spring survey estimate high and increasing fishing mortality, while the autumn survey estimates lower and decreasing fishing mortality (Figure C6).

## Maturity

Maturation determinations for female winter flounder were collected on the NEFSC Spring Research vessel survey from 1982 to 1997. The total number of maturation determinations annually is limited, particularly in terms of the number of determinations for ages 2 and 3 fish which determine the character of the maturation relationship at age. We used a logistic regression approach (O'Brien et al 1993) to estimate the proportion of females mature at age. Logistic equations for individual years were used to estimate age at $50 \%$ maturity for individual years. Age at $50 \%$ maturation for female winter flounder appeared to fluctuate without trend from 1982 to 1998 (Table C10). After attempts to pool across various time periods produced stable results, a logistic regression using the entire time series ( 1982 to 1998) was performed. Age at $50 \%$ maturity was estimated to be 1.83 years and the resulting maturity ogive ( 0.00 at age $1,0.62$ at age $2,0.92$ at age $3,1.00$ at age 4 ) was used in subsequent analy-
ses (Table C10). O'Brien et al (1993) reported age at $50 \%$ maturation of 1.9 years and a similar estimated maturity ogive ( 0.03 at age $1,0.62$ at age 2 , 0.99 at age $3,1.00$ at age 4) for data from 1985 to 1989.

## ESTIMATES OF STOCK SIZE AND FISHING MORTALITY

## Virtual Population Analysis Calibration

The ADAPT virtual population analysis (VPA) calibration method (Parrick 1986, Gavaris 1988; Conser and Powers 1990) was used to estimate terminal stock abundance at ages 2-6 and derive age specific estimates of fishing mortality in 1997 and stock sizes at the beginning of 1998. The catch at age in the VPA consisted of combined U.S. and Canadian landings during 1982 to 1997 for ages 1-6 with a 7+ age group. Indices available to calibrate the VPA included the U.S. Spring Research Vessel Survey catch (numbers) at age (ages 1 to 7), the Canadian Spring Research Vessel Survey catch (numbers) at age (ages 1 to 7), and the U.S. Autumn Research Vessel Survey catch (numbers) at age (ages 0 to 6 ) lagged forward one age and one year. Several VPA calibrations were completed and evaluated during the Southem Demersal Subcommittee and SAW/SARC meetings. A summary of these calibrations including key diagnostics and terminal year results are summarized in Table C11.

The final accepted calibration (Run 15) estimated ages 2 to 6 and included the U.S. Spring indices (ages 1 to 7), the Canadian Spring indices (ages 1 to 7), and the U.S. Autumn indices (ages 1-6, lagged forward one age and one year). This calibration was successful in reducing the coefficients of variation on the youngest ages ( 2 and 3 ) and produced favorable diagnostics (Table Cl 1 ).

## Virtual Population Analysis Results

The assessment results indicate that stock numbers exceeded 25 million in the early 1980s, gradually
declined reaching a low level of approximately 8.8 million in 1993, increased to 13.6 million in 1995, and have again declined to 9.6 million fish in 1997 (Table C 12 ). Age 2 recruiment was relatively stable throughout the time period, but larger 1980, 1985, 1987 and 1994 year classes exceed 5 million fish at age 2 (Table C12, Figure C7). Recent recruitment, as measured by the 1995 and 1996 year classes, has been the lowest in the time series (Figure C7). There appears to be little discemable relationship between stock and recruitment over the time period analyzed in this assessment (Figure C7).

Spawning stock biomass declined from levels exceeding $8,000 \mathrm{mt}$ in the early 1980's to less than 2000 mt in 1994, but increased to almost $3,700 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1996 (Table C12, Figure C7). Spawning stock biomass declined slightly from almost $3 ; 700 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1996 to $3,500 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1997. In the early 1980s, spawning stock biomass consisted of a wide range of ages and the youngest mature ages (2 and 3) comprised less than $40 \%$ of the total spawning stock biomass (Figure C8). The age structure of the spawning stock biomass became truncated in the mid 1980s to mid 1990s, when the youngest mature ages ( 2 and 3 ) comprised $45 \%$ to $75 \%$ of the spawning stock biomass. Some broadening of the age structure of spawning stock biomass is evident after 1994, but the age structure spawning component of the stock remains truncated (Figure C8).

From the early 1980s to the early 1990's, fishing mortality ranged from approximately 0.5 to as high as 1.4. Fishing mortality declined sharply after 1993 and has fluctuated between 0.3 and 0.5 from 1994 to 1997 (Table C12). There is a reasonable level of agreement between VPA estimated fishing mortality rates and survey estimated rates of fishing mortality ( Z estimates assuming $\mathrm{m}=0.2$ ) throughout the time series (Figure C6). However, in the most recent three years VPA estimates of fishing mortality correspond more closely with fishing mortality estimates from the autumn survey. Patterns in fishing mortality appear to be reasonably well correlated with reported landings included in the assessment (Figure C9).

## Precision Estimates of F and SSB

Uncertainty and potential bias estimates were assessed using a bootstrap analysis of the VPA calibration. One thousand bootstrap realizations were produced by randomly resampling survey residuals produced by the final ADAPT calibration. Bootstrap abundance indices had slightly larger CV's that the least squares estimates produced by the final ADAPT VPA calibration (Appendix C2). Estimates of bias on all ages was relatively low, ranging from $3.7 \%$ to $6.4 \%$. Bias estimates for fully recruited F and spawning stock biomass were each below 3\%.

The distribution of bootstrap realizations of spawning stock biomass indicates that there is an $80 \%$ chance that the 1997 estimate of SSB is between 3100 and 4200 mt (Figure C10). The distribution of bootstrap realizations of fishing mortality suggests that there is an $80 \%$ probability that $\mathrm{F}_{1997}$ is between 0.33 and 0.51 (Figure C10).

## Retrospective Analysis

Retrospective analyses of the Georges Bank winter flounder VPA were performed from 1997 to 1990 by sequentially reanalyzing the ADAPT calibration after removing the terminal year of input data. Retrospective patterns for fishing mortality (Figure C11A) indicates a pattern of slightly overestimating average fishing mortality in the terminal year. The tendency was more pronounce in the terminal year 1992 and 1993 assessments. The retrospective patterns for spawning stock biomass (Figure C11B) indicate that there is a tendency in the most recent years to slightly overestimate spawning stock biomass in the terminal year. This patterns shifts before 1993 with a tendency to underestimate SSB in the terminal year estimates evident before 1993.

The retrospective pattems for age 2 recruitment (Figure $\mathrm{Cl1C}$ ) indicate considerable variability in the performance of the terminal year estimation of stock numbers at age 2. Performance was generally
acceptable with a slight tendency to underestimate age 2 recruitment for most year classes. However, retrospective performance was particularly poor for the 1992 and 1994 year classes, where initial estimates were considerably higher than converged estimates of these year classes. Both of these year classes had estimated age 1 landings included in the catch at age, whereas landings at age from other year classes indicated no landings at age 1 . This observation should be considered when evaluating the reliability of the terminal estimate of age 2 recruitment, which estimates that incoming age 2 recruitment is the weakest in the time series.

## BIOLOGICAL REFERENCE POINTS

Yield and Spawning Stock Biomass per Recruit Analyses

Yield per recruit (Thompson and Bell 1934) and yield per recruit (Gabriel et al. 1989) analyses were conducted using the partial recruitment vector estimated from the calibrated VPA. Since major fishery management measures have been implemented beginning in 1994, 4-year arithmetic mean weights and geometric mean partial recruitment were used as inputs in these analyses. The maturation schedule for Georges Bank winter flounder has been stable through time, so the long-term estimate of the maturation schedule was used as an input. Results of the analysis indicate that that $F_{0.1}$ is currently estimated to be $0.21, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {max }}$ is estimated to be 0.42 , and $\mathrm{F}_{200 \%}$ is estimated to be 0.47 (Table C 13 , Figure C 12 ).

## SFA Overfishing Definitions

The overfishing panel (Applegate et al. 1998) proposed and the New England Fishery Management Council adopted (Amendment \#9 to the Northeast Multispecies Fishery Management Plan) an MSY-based control rule for Georges Bank winter flounder derived from survey-based proxies of biomass and exploitation. The Council defined
maximum sustainable yield as $2,700 \mathrm{mt}$, identified a threshold fishing mortality proxy ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ ) as a level of an exploitation index (catch•Autumn survey biomass ${ }^{-1}$ ) of 0.98 , and identified a target stock biomass proxy as the NEFSC Autumn survey biomass index value of 2.74 . Further, target fishing mortality proxy was estimated to be $75 \%$ of the threshold proxy value, and stock biomass proxies were established as $50 \%$ of the target $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ proxy values. Figure C13 provides a graphic representation of the Amendment \#9 overfishing definition.

A non-equilibrium surplus production analysis (ASPIC; Prager 1993, 1994) was completed using landings biomass and the NEFSC Spring, Canada Spring, and NEFSC Autumn survey indices of stock biomass from 1963 to 1997 to provide perspective on historical stock levels and to provide information relative to SFA reference points. Initial biomass, maximum sustainable yield, the intrinsic rate of increase and catchability (q) of the survey biomass indices were estimated by nonlinear least squares of the biomass index residuals.

The current surplus production model differed from the model used to construct the Amendment \#9 harvest control rule in two respects. First, the catch input included foreign landings (primarily from the 1960s) that were not included in the Amendment \#9 surplus production model. Second, the strata set used to estimate the NEFSC spring and autumn indices included a larger strata set than the indices used to estimate the Amendment \#9 harvest control rule. The two strata sets produced highly correlated survey indices, although the current survey indices are scaled lower than the previous indices.

Results of the surplus production analysis indicated a reasonable fit to the input data, and estimated trends in biomass were well matched with results from the Virtual Population Analysis (Figure C14). A maximum sustainable biomass (MSY) of 3,100 mt was estimated to be produced by a biomass $\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{my}}\right.$ ) of $11,400 \mathrm{mt}$ (Table C14). A time trajectory of results from the surplus production model indicates that yield has generally exceeded estimated
surplus production for the past two decades (Figure C15).

The Amendment \#9 harvest control rule was reestimated in it's original format based on a current NEFSC research vessel survey indices (revised strata set) and a revised surplus production model that incorporated the Canadian research vessel survey indices and foreign commercial landings in the 1960s and early 1970s. The target $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ proxy (NEFSC Autumn Survey biomass units) was estimated to be 2.730 (MSY/f my ${ }^{\prime}$ ), and the threshold $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ proxy was estimated to be $50 \%$ of the $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ proxy. The threshold and target $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}$ proxies (in exploitation index units) were estimated to be 1.125 (MSY Proxy / B my proxy) and $0.843\left(0.75^{*} \mathrm{~F}_{\text {threshold }}\right.$ proxy), respectively.

The revised harvest control rule is displayed graphically in Figure C13. For the latest time period for which data were available (1995-1997), the three year average of the NEFSC Autumn survey index (1.542) and the exploitation index (0.754) indicate that the stock is being overfishing and is in an overfished condition relative to the harvest control rule. If the harvest control rule were applied for the 1999 fishing year, the corresponding threshold fishing mortality rate (fully recruited F) would be 0.04 and the target fishing mortality rate (fully recruited F) would be 0.03 .

## PROJECTIONS

## Short-Term Stochastic Projections

Short-term deterministic projections were performed for 1998, 1999, and 2000. 1998 U.S. landings were assumed to be 964 mt based on the landings projections by the New England Fishery Management Council's Multispecies Monitoring Committee. Canadian landings in 1998 were assumed to be equal to the 1997 ( 143 mt ). The projections were based on a partial recruitment vector estimated as the geometric mean of 1994 to 1997 F's at age from
the final VPA calibration, 1994 to 1997 arithmetic mean stock and catch weights, and the long-term (1982-1997) maturity schedule for Georges Bank winter flounder. Age 1 recruitment was estimated from the terminal year bootstrap realizations of the VPA in 1998, and recruitment in 1999 and 2000 was resampled from observed age 2 recruitment estimated by the ADAPT VPA calibration from 1982 to 1997 (Age Pro Model 3).

Projections were run at $\mathrm{F}=0.00$ (maximum stock rebuilding rate), $\mathrm{F}_{\text {arget }}=0.03$ (management target associated with the Amendment \#9 harvest control rule), $\mathrm{F}_{\text {thesshold }}=0.04$ (management threshold associated with the Amendment \#9 harvest control rule), $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}=0.21$ (commonly used yield per recruit reference point), $\mathrm{F}_{1998}=0.34$ (based on projected landings of $1,107 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1998), and $\mathrm{F}_{20 \%}=0.47$ (current New England Fishery Management Council overfishing definition) for years 1999 and 2000. Results for these reference points of $F$ are presented in Table C15.

Projections at $\mathrm{F}=0.00$ in 1999/2000 provide a benchmark for the maximum projected stock rebuilding rate. For this level of fishing mortality (i.e., fishery closure), there would be no projected landings. Age $1+$ biomass is projected to increase $33 \%$ from $5,680 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 to $7,552 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000. Spawning stock biomass is projected to increase from $44 \%$ from $3,735 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 to $5,374 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000 (Table C 15 , Figure C16). Accounting for some sources of uncertainty in the stock assessment, there is an $80 \%$ chance that SSB in 2000 would be between 4,098 mt and $6,793 \mathrm{mt}$ (Table C15).

Projections at $\mathrm{F}_{\text {turget }}=0.03$ in 1999/2000 result in a $91 \%$ decline in landings from $1,107 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1998 to 118 mt in 1999 (Table C15, Figure C16). Age I+ biomass is projected to increase $31 \%$ from $5,619 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 to $7,342 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000. Spawning stock biomass is projected to increase $41 \%$ from $3,716 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 to $5,228 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000. Accounting for some sources of uncertainty in the stock assessment, there is an $80 \%$ chance that SSB in 2000 would be between $3,986 \mathrm{mt}$ and $6,613 \mathrm{mt}$ (Table C15).

Projections at $\mathrm{F}_{\text {trrashold }}=0.04$ in 1999/2000 result in a $86 \%$ decline in landings from $1,107 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1998 to 157 mt in 1999 (Table C15, Figure C16). Age 1+ biomass is projected to increase $30 \%$ from $5,600 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 to $7,274 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000 . Spawning stock biomass is projected to increase $40 \%$ from $3,709 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 to $5,181 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000. Accounting for some sources of uncertainty in the stock assessment, there is an $80 \%$ chance that SSB in 2000 would be between 3,948 mt and 6,552 mt (Table C15).

Projections at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}=0.21$ in 1999/2000 result in a $31 \%$ decline in landings from $1,107 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1998 to 764 mt in 1999 (Table C15, Figure C16). Age 1+ biomass is projected to increase $18 \%$ from $5,279 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 to $6,244 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000. Spawning stock biomass is projected to increase $24 \%$ from $3,597 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 to $4,446 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000. Accounting for some sources of uncertainty in the stock assessment, there is an $80 \%$ chance that SSB in 2000 would be between $3,377 \mathrm{mt}$ and $5,639 \mathrm{mt}$ (Table C15).

Based on projected landings of $1,107 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1998, the projected level of fishing mortality in 1998 is 0.34 . Fishing at $\mathrm{F}_{1998}=0.34$ in 1999/2000, landings would increase by $6 \%$ from $1,107 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1998 to $1,172 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 (Table C15, Figure C16). Age $1+$ biomass is projected to increase $11 \%$ from $5,050 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 to $5,596 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000 . Spawning stock biomass would increase $13 \%$ from $3,514 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 to $3,967 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000 . Accounting for some sources of uncertainty in the stock assessment, there is an $80 \%$ chance that SSB in 2000 would be between $3,004 \mathrm{mt}$ and $5,046 \mathrm{mt}$ (Table C15).

The overfishing definition previously established by the New England Fishery Management Council for Georges Bank winter flounder is $\mathrm{F}_{20 \%}$, which is currently estimated to be 0.47 . Fishing at $\mathrm{F}_{20 \%}=$ 0.47 in 1999/2000, landings would increase by $42 \%$ from $1,107 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1998 to $1,575 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 (Table Cl 5 , Figure C16). Age $1+$ biomass is projected to increase $4 \%$ from $4,836 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 to $5,042 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000. Spawning stock biomass is projected to increase $3 \%$ from $3,433 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 to $3,550 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000. Accounting for some sources of uncertainty
in the stock assessment, there is an $80 \%$ chance that SSB in 2000 would be between $2,676 \mathrm{mt}$ and 4,529 mt .

## CONCLUSIONS

The Georges Bank winter flounder stock is overexploited and at a low level of biomass. Fishing mortality rates were very high in the early 1990s (1990-1993 average $\mathrm{F}=0.74$ ), but have declined since 1994. Spawning stock biomass levels and age composition have improved since 1993, but incoming recruitment, particularly the 1995 and 1996 year classes, is poor. Stock biomass in 1997 was at $60 \%$ of the biomass proxy specified in the Amendment 9 control rule. Assuming a catch of $1,100 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1998 the estimated level of SSB in 1998 is $3,300 \mathrm{mt}$ and fully recruited F is projected to increase to 0.34 . Relative to the Amendment 9 overfishing definition and control rule (Figure C7), the stock is overfished and overfishing is occurring ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {taget }}=0.03$ )

## SARC COMMENTS

The SARC concluded that discard estimates were unreliable and should not be included in the catch at age. An industry representative also stated that discard estimates reported in logbooks are unreliable. However data from both the logbook and sea sampling indicated relatively low discards in the otter trawl and scallop dredge fisheries. In addition, the SARC noted that discard survivorship is relatively high for winter flounder. A distribution plot using NEFSC autumn bottom trawl survey showed relatively little overiap between the winter flounder and scallop resource except inside Closed Area 2. This also suggests that discards in the scallop dredge fishery are relatively low. However, a significant overlap in the distribution for scallop and winter flounder occurs in Closed Area 2. The SARC expressed concern regarding the potential for an increase in winter flounder discards with the open-
ing of Area 2 to the scallop dredge fishery.
The SARC questioned the lack of commercial and sea sampling data for winter flounder. There was some discussion on port and sea sampling procedures. A shift in sea sampling effort from offshore to inshore areas in recent years was noted.

The SARC noted that the spring Canadian survey gear had higher catchability for larger flat fish than the NEFSC survey. The Canadian survey is also sampling winter flounder during the spawning season when the fish tend to be aggregated.

The SARC requested a plot of the maximum, minimum, and mean lengths from the NEFSC survey. The SARC noted little change in the maximum and minimum sizes over the time series. The SARC speculated that maximum size could be increasing in recent years due to increased survival from the closed areas. A recommendation was made to use the $5^{\text {th }}$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile instead of the maximum and minimum lengths.

The SARC questioned why $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$ could not be estimated from the YPR analysis. A problem in estimating $F_{\max }$ was found in the YPR analysis due to the software identifying a local maximum at high $f$ values. The problem was rectified and $F_{\text {max }}$ was estimated at 0.42 .

VPA run tuned with U.S. spring ages 1-7, U.S. autumn ages 2-7 and Canadian spring ages 2-7 was accepted by the SARC. Similar results in biomass are seen in both the VPA and the unconstrained surplus production model which included Canadian biomass indices. However the SARC was concemed about using NEFSC length-weight equation to estimate Canadian biomass indices since there is a two month difference between the surveys. There was also uncertainty in the estimate of $B_{m i y}$ from the surplus production model due to the small range in observed biomass over the time series in which no observation exceeded the estimate of $\mathrm{B}_{\text {msy. }}$. The number of iterations in the Bootstrap estimate which do not converge was also a point of concem.

Uncertainty in the reference point estimates from the surplus production model did not justify a change to the proposed overfishing definition.

There was some discussion on the addition of confidence intervals to the control rule figures but the SARC concluded that interpretation of 2-dimensional confidence intervals would be difficult. The SARC noted that the updated three year average exploitation index (1995-1997) declined considerably from the 1994-1996 estimate.

## SOURCES OF UNCERTAINTY

1. Sampling of U.S. commercial landings was less than robust, particularly in the years since 1992. This leads to uncertainty in the age composition of landings in the catch at age matrix.
2. The exclusion of U.S. otter trawl and scallop dredge discards most likely results in an underestimation of fishery removals from the younger age classes (ages 0 to 3). Indications from both the sea sample and vessel trip record databases suggests that scallop dredge discards may have increased since the implementation of groundfish retention restrictions resulting in an underestimation of fishery removals of both younger and older age classes.
3. There is some uncertainty about the accuracy of reported Canadian landings because of the non-targeted nature of the Canadian fishery and the tendency to report landings some flatfish species including winter flounder as unclassified flounders.
4. The Canadian fishery has no formal sampling program to estimate the size and age composition of Canadian landings. This assessment assumed that the size and age
composition of Canadian landings was identical to the overall size and age composition in the U.S. fishery. This assumption is sensitive to the possibility that selectivity pattems may be different between the two species.

## RESEARCH RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Increase the sampling of commercial landings through the port sampling program by highlighting the fact that trips fishing on Georges Bank proper (areas 522, 525 and east) are undersampled for several groundfish species.
2. Investigate approaches to improving information on winter flounder discards by:

- requesting additional sea sampling coverage on scallop dredge and large mesh otter trawl trips on Georges Bank
- examining reporting of discards in the vessel trip record database to determine patterns in the directivity of these trips
- correlate discard ratios reported in logbooks on trips that were observed by the sea sampling program.

3. Investigate the potential for generating discard estimates and the sensitivity of excluding discards from the catch at age by: - assuming that all discards are smaller than the minimum size limit and adding to the landings based on average weights in the youngest age classes

- developing survey-based approaches using the length frequency distributions from the Canadian survey to characterize the size distribution of the population

4. Examine the distribution of winter flounder resources in Stratum 23 and the prospects for splitting this stratum across the stock area boundary. This effort should be coordinated for all species where the stock boundary is split across the area 521/526522/525 boundary, particularly yellowtail flounder.
5. Investigate the effects of the application of door correction coefficients to the U.S. research vessel survey data before 1985.
6. Obtain research vessel biomass indices (kg/tow) from the Department of Fisheries \& Oceans, Canada for use in surplus production modeling.
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Table C 1. Landings ( mt ) of Georges Bank winter flounder from 1962-1997 by statistical area and country:

|  | $\begin{gathered} 522-525 \\ 561-562 \\ \text { USA } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5 Z (521-543) |  |  |  | 5ZE (521-526; 541-543) |  |  |  | Included in <br> Assessment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | USA | Canada | USSR | Total | USA | Canada | USSR | Total |  |
| 1962 |  | 6996 | 26 |  | 7022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1963 |  | 6911 | 120 | 19 | 7050 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1964 | 1371. | - 12656 | '. 146 |  | 12802 |  |  |  |  | 1517 |
| 1965 | 1176 | 10479 | 199 | 312 | 10990 |  |  |  |  | 1687 |
| 1966 | 1877 | 13807 | 164 | 156 | 14127 |  |  |  |  | 2197 |
| 1967 | 1917 | 10815 | 83 | 349 | 11247 |  |  |  |  | 2349 |
| 1968 | 1570 |  | 57 |  |  | 4346 | 59 | 372 | 4777 | 1999 |
| 1969 | 2167 |  | 116 |  |  | 6380 |  | 235 | 6615 | 2518 |
| 1970 | 2615 |  | 61 |  |  | 7020 | 64 | 40 | 7124 | 2716 |
| 1971 | 3092 |  | 62 |  |  | 1400 | 65 | 1029 | 15094 | 4183 |
| 1972 | 2805 |  | 8 |  |  | 1026 | 8 | 1699 | 11973 | 4512 |
| 1973 | 2269 |  | 14 |  |  | 4387 | 14 | 693 | 5094 | 2976 |
| 1974 | 2124 |  | 12 |  |  | 4508 | 12 | 82 | 4602 | 2218 |
| 1975 | 2409 |  | 13 |  |  | 4833 | 13 | 515 | 5361 | 2937 |
| 1976 | 1877 |  | 15 |  |  | 3732 | 11 | 1 | 3744 | 1893 |
| 1977 | 3572 |  | 15 |  |  | 5954 | 15 | 7 | 5976 | 3594 |
| 1978 | 3185 |  | 65 |  |  | 6378 | 65 |  | 6443 | 3250 |
| 1979 | 3045 |  | 19 |  |  | 6293 | 19 |  | 6312 | 3064 |
| 1980 | 3931 |  | 44 |  |  | 9941 | 44 |  | 9985 | 3975 |
| 1981 | 3993 |  | 19 |  |  | 9711 | 19 |  | 9730 | 4012 |
| 1982 | 2961 |  | 19 |  |  | 7347 | 19 |  | 7366 | 2980 |
| 1983 | 3894 |  | 14 |  |  | 8014 | 14 |  | 8028 | 3908 |
| 1984 | 3927 |  | 4 |  |  | 7574 | 4 |  | 7578 | 3931 |
| 1985 | 2151 |  | 12 |  |  | - 4758 | 11 |  | 4769 | 2163 |
| 1986 | 1762 |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1787 |
| 1987 | 2637 |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2669 |
| 1988 | 2804 |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2859 |
| 1989 | 1880 |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1891 |
| 1990 | 1898 |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1953 |
| 1991 | 1814 |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1828 |
| 1992 | 1822 |  | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1849 |
| 1993 | 1662 |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1683 |
| 1994 | 907 |  | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 972 |
| 1995 | 706 |  | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 760 |
| 1996 | 1265 |  | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1336 |
| 1997 | 1287 |  | 143 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1430 |

Table C 2. U.S. landings (mt) and percent of landings of Georges Bank winter flounder (U.S. statistical areas $522-525,551-552,561-562$ ) by gear type from 1964 to 1993. U.S. general canvas landings are not included.

|  | Landings by Gear (mt) |  |  |  | Percent of Total Landings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trawl | Scallop Dredge | Other | Total | Trawl | Scallop Dredge | Other | Total |
| 1964 | 1360.2 | - | 112 | 1371.4 | 99.2 | - | 0.8 | 100.0 |
| 1965 | 1175.1 | -- | 0.8 | 1176.0 | 99.9 | - | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| 1966 | 1851.3 | - | 25.8 | 1877.1 | 98.6 | - | 1.4 | 100.0 |
| 1967 | 1915.5 | - | 1.8 | 1917.3 | 99.9 | . - | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| 1968 | 1565.3 | - | 4.6 | 1569.9 | 99.7 | . -- | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| 1969 | 2165.0 | - | 1.8 | 2166.8 | 99.9 | $\cdots$ | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| 1970 | 2610.6 | -- | 4.4 | 2615.0 | 99.8 | - | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| 1971 | 3086.9 | - | $4 . .8$ | 3091.7 | 99.8 | - | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| 1972 | 2796.6 | -- | 7.9 | 2804.5 | 99.7 | - | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| 1973 | 2265.2 | - | 3.5 | 2268.8 | 99.8 | -- | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| 1974 | 2116.5 | - | 7.7 | 2124.2 | 99.6 | - | 0.4 | 100.0 |
| 1975 | 2386.6 | - | 22.6 | 2409.2 | 99.1 | - | 0.9 | 100.0 |
| 1976 | 1874.7 | - | 2.6 | 1877.3 | 99.9 | - | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| 1977 | 3570.4 | - | 1.6 | 3571.9 | 100.0 | -- | $<0.1$ | 100.0 |
| 1978 | 3166.5 | 17.9 | 1.1 | 3185.5 | 99.4 | 0.6 | $<0.1$ | 100.0 |
| 1979 | 3019.8 | 24.9 | 0 | 3044.6 | 99.2 | 0.8 | $<0.1$ | 100.0 |
| 1980 | 3887.9 | 42.5 | 0.3 | 3930.8 | 98.9 | 1.1 | $<0.1$ | 100.0 |
| 1981 | 3935.3 | 53.5 | 3.7 | 3992.5 | 98.6 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| 1982 | 2919.5 | 41.2 | 0.1 | 2960.8 | 98.6 | 1.4 | $<0.1$ | 100.0 |
| 1983 | 3864.0 | 25.4 | 7.2 | 3896.6 | 99.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| 1984 | 3899.9 | 18.5 | 11.1 | 3929.5 | 99.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| 1985 | 2146.3 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2152.6 | 99.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| 1986 | 1724.3 | 36.0 | 2.3 | 1762.6 | 97.8 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| 1987 | 2560.6 | 77.6 | 0 | 2638.5 | 97.0 | 2.9 | $<0.1$ | 100.0 |
| 1988 | 2699.5 | 106.5 | 0 | 2805.9 | 96.2 | 3.8 | <0.1 | 100.0 |
| 1989 | 1761.7 | 119.7 | 0.1 | 1881.4 | 93.6 | 6.4 | $<0.1$ | 100.0 |
| 1990 | 1779.6 | 118.2 | 1.6 | 1899.4 | 93.7 | 6.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| 1991 | 1673.7 | 141.2 | 0.8 | 1815.6 | 92.2 | 7.8 | $<0.1$ | 100.0 |
| 1992 | 1677.8 | 136.4 | 8.7 | 1822.9 | 92.0 | 7.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 |
| 1993 | 1535.2 | 115.5 | 12.4 | 1663.1 | 92.3 | 6.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 |
| 1994* | 909.4 | 52.9 | 9.4 | 971.7 | 93.6 | 5.4 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
| 1995* | 713.1 | 37.0 | 10.0 | 760.2 | 93.8 | 4.9 | 1.3 | 100.0 |
| 1996* | 1243.8 | 71.2 | 20.6 | 1335.7 | 93.1 | 5.3 | 1.5 | 100.0 |
| 1997* | 1337.9 | 80.0 | 11.9 | 1429.8 | 93.6 | 5.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 |

* includes Canadian landings from 1994 to 1997.

Table C 3. USA landings (mt) of Georges Bank winter flounder by tonnage class (TC2 $=5-50 \mathrm{GRT}$, TC3 $=51-150$ GRT, TC4 $=151-500$ GRT) for otter trawl and scaliop dredge landings.

| Year | Weighout Landings (mt) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of Total Landings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Otter Trawl <br> Ton Class |  |  | Scallop Dredge Ton Class |  |  | All Others | Otuer Trawl <br> Ton Class |  |  | Scallop Dredge Ton Class |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Others } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| 1964 | 74.0 | 927.8 | 358.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 5.4 | 67.7 | 26.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| 1965 | 81.4 | 694.3 | 399.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 6.9 | 59.0 | 34.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 1966 | 54.2 | 1188.7 | 630.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 2.9 | 63.3 | 33.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| 1967 | 46.4 | 1074.1 | 794.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 56.0 | 41.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 1968 | 34.4 | 1039.5 | 491.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 2.2 | 66.2 | 31.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| 1969 | 6.6 | 1542.2 | 616.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 71.2 | 28.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 1970 | 16.2 | 2003.8 | 590.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 76.6 | 22.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| 1971 | 66.8 | 2282.4 | 737.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 73.8 | 23.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| 1972 | 36.4 | 2233.1 | 527.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 1.3 | 79.6 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| 1973 | 22.0 | 1726.5 | 516.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 76.1 | 22.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| 1974 | 15.8 | 1532.3 | 568.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.7 | 72.1 | 26.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| 1975 | 9.5 | 1855.2 | 544.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 77.0 | 22.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1976 | 2.2 | 1487.4 | 386.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 79.2 | 20.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 1977 | 33.2 | 2901.3 | 636.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 81.2 | 17.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $<0.1$ |
| 1978 | 10.5 | 2541.3 | 615.7 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 10.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 79.8 | 19.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | $<0.1$ |
| 1979 | 34.7 | 2436.1 | 548.8 | 0.0 | - 18.1 | 6.8 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 80.0 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | $<0.1$ |
| 1980 | 70.3 | 3112.3 | 705.3 | 2.9 | 19.6 | 20.1 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 79.2 | 17.9 | $<0.1$ | 0.5 | 0.5 | $<0.1$ |
| 1981 | 26.3 | 3087.7 | 822.5 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 34.5 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 77.3 | 20.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.1 |
| - 1982 | 29.2 | 2194.6 | 693.4 | 0.0 | 26.9 | 14.2 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 74.1 | 23.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| 1983 | 10.7 | 2641.1 | 1218.7 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 20.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 67.8 | 31.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | $<0.1$ |
| 1984 | 10.3 | 2551.1 | 1349.2 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 10.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 64.9 | 34.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | $<0.1$ |
| 1985 | 4.1 | 1316.3 | 829.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 61.2 | 38.5 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 1986 | 0.0 | 1222.5 | 504.2 | 0.1 | 6.6 | 29.3 | 0.0 | 0 | 69.4 | 28.6 | $<0.1$ | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 |
| 1987 | 0.4 | 1899.5 | 660.7 | 0.0 | 14.5 | 63.5 | 0.0 | $<0.1$ | 72.0 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.5 | 2.4 | $<0.1$ |
| 1988 | 2.6 | 1917.9 | 778.9 | 0.1 | 29.2 | 77.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 68.4 | 27.8 | $<0.1$ | 1.0 | 2.8 | <0.1 |
| 1989 | 0.0 | 1250.5 | 511.2 | 0.1 | 24.4 | 95.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 66.5 | 27.2 | $<0.1$ | 1.3 | 5.1 | $<0.1$ |
| 1990 | 0.3 | 1256.6 | 524.1 | 0.0 | 27.6 | 90.6 | 0.1 | $<0.1$ | 66.2 | 27.6 | $<0.1$ | 1.5 | 4.8 | $<0.1$ |
| 1991 | 4.5 | 1225.1 | 444.8 | 0.7 | 22.7 | 117.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 67.5 | 24.5 | $<0.1$ | 1.2 | 6.5 | $<0.1$ |
| 1992 | 0.6 | 1221.1 | 464.7 | 0.1 | 29.8 | 106.5 | 0.0 | $<0.1$ | 67.0 | 25.5 | $<0.1$ | 1.6 | 5.8 | - $<0.1$ |
| 1993 | 0.0 | 1145.5 | 402.1 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 88.8 | 0.0 | $<0.1$ | 68.9 | 24.2 | 0 | 1.6 | 5.3 | 0.0 |

Table C 4. U.S. landings (mt) of Georges Bank winter flounder (522-526, 551-552, 561-562) by market category from 1980 to 1997.

|  | Landings by Market Category (mt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Landings by Market Category (percent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1200 \\ \text { Unclassified } \end{gathered}$ | 1201 <br> Lemon Sole | $\begin{aligned} & 1202 \\ & \text { Large } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1203 \\ & \text { Small } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1204 \\ \text { Extra } \\ \text { Large } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1205 \\ & \text { Large/ } \\ & \text { Mixed } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1206 \\ \text { Medium } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1207 \\ \text { Peewee } \end{gathered}$ | 1200 <br> Unclassified | $\begin{aligned} & 1201 \\ & \text { Lemon } \\ & \text { Sole } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1202 \\ & \text { Large } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1203 \\ & \text { Small } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1204 \\ & \text { Extra } \\ & \text { Large } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1205 \\ & \text { Large/ } \\ & \text { Mixed } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1206 \\ \text { Medium } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1207 \\ \text { Peewee } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1980 | 101 | 824 | 745 | 2257 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.6 | 21.0 | 19.0 | 57.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1981 | 31 | 902 | 748 | 2310 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 22.6 | 18.7 | 57.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1982 | 137 | 517 | 549 | 1666 | 33 | 10 | 47 | 1 | 4.6 | 17.5 | 18.5 | 56.3 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 1.6 | $<0.1$ |
| 1983 | 68 | 1506 | 361 | 1758 | 160 | 25 | 14 | 1 | 1.7 | 38.6 | 9.3 | 45.1 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 0.4 | $<0.1$ |
| 1984 | 154 | 370 | 2029 | 1231 | 6 | 4 | 28 | 108 | 3.9 | 9.4 | 51.6 | 31.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 2.7 |
| 1985 | 76 | 573 | 264 | 1076 | 110 | 46 | 2 | 3 | 3.5 | 26.6 | 12.3 | 50.0 | 5.1 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 1986 | 183 | 176 | 741 | 540 | 2 | 0 | 45 | 76 | 10.4 | 10.0 | 42.0 | 30.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 4.3 |
| 1987 | 118 | 241 | 1027 | $974{ }^{\text {- }}$ | 2 | 0 | 38 | 238 | 4.5 | 9.1 | 38.6 | 36.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 9.0 |
| 1988 | 149 | 164 | 995 | 1269 | 1 | $<1$ | 34 | 194 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 35.5 | 45.2 | $<0.1$ | $<0.1$ | 1.2 | 6.9 |
| 1989 | 127 | 110 | 717 | 751 | <1 | <1 | 37 | 138 | 6.8 | 5.8 | 38.1 | 39.9 | $<0.1$ | $<0.1$ | 2.0 | 7.3 |
| 1990 | 112 | 71 | 629 | 882 | <1 | 0 | 57 | 149 | 5.9 | 3.7 | 33.1 | 46.4 | $<0.1$ | 0 | 3.0 | 7.8 |
| 1991 | 152 | 54 | 680 | 792 | <1 | 0 | 46 | 92 | 8.4 | 3.0 . | 37.5 | 43.6 | $<0.1$ | 0 | 2.5 | 5.1 |
| 1992 | 151 | 64 | 673 | 767 | $<1$ | <1 | 26 | 140 | 8.3 | 3.5 | 36.9 | 42.1 | $<0.1$ | $<0.1$ | 1.4 | 7.7 |
| 1993 | 119 | 89 | 634 | 712 | $<1$ | $<1$ | 22 | 86 | 7.2 | 5.4 | 38.1 | 42.8 | $<0.1$ | 0.1 | 1.3 | 5.2 |
| 1994 | 33 | 60 | 380 | 433 | *** | *** | 2 | *** | 3.6 | 6.6 | 41.9 | 47.7 | *** | *** | 0.2 | *** |
| 1995 | 70 | 40 | 245 | 351 | *** | *** | <1 | *** | 9.9 | 5.7 | 34.7 | 49.7 | *** | *** | $<0.1$ | *** |
| 1996 | 191 | 67 | 414 | 577 | *** | *** | 15 | *** | 15.1 | 5.3 | 32.8 | 45.6 | *** | *** | 1.2 | *** |
| 1997 | 424 | 45 | 453 | 215 | 0 | 1 | 91 | 58 | 32.9 | 3.5 | 35.2 | 16.7 | 0.0 | $<0.1$. | 7.1 | 4.5 |

*** Prorated into other market categories.

Table C 5. USA port sampling of commercial winter flounder landings of length composition and commercial ages from Georges Bank (Statistical Areas 522-525, 551-562), 1980-1997. Total number of samples does not include 14 unclassified (market category 1200) samples from 1980 (1), 1981 (2), 1982 (4), 1985 (1), 1986 (1), 1990 (4), and 1991 (1).


Table C 6. Data pooling procedures used to apply length frequency samples to landings by market category to estimat catch (numbers) at age of Georges Bank winter flounder from 1982 to 1997.

|  | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 | Market Category Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | Pooled |  | X | X |  |
| 1983 | Pooled |  | x | x |  |
| 1984 | Pooled |  | Pooled |  | 1201 Lemon Sole |
| 1985 | X | X | X | X | 1205 (Large/Mixed) pooled with |
| 1986 | X | X | Pooled |  | 1202 (Large) |
| 1987 | X | X | X | X | 1206 (Medium) and 1207 (Peewee) pooled with 1203 (Small) |
| 1988 | X | X | X | X |  |
| 1989 | X | X | Pooled |  |  |
| 1990 | X | X | X | X |  |
| 1991 | X | X | X | X |  |
| 1992 | X | X | X | X |  |
| 1993 | X |  | Pooled |  |  |
| 1994 | Pooled |  | X | X | 1201 (Lemon Sole) and 1204 (Extra |
| 1995 | X | X | Pooled |  |  |
| 1996 | Pooled |  | X | X | 1202 (Large) |
| 1997 | X | X | Pooled |  | 1206 (Medium) and 1207 (Peewee) pooled with 1203 (Small) |

Table C 7A. Estimated landings at age (thousands) of Georges Bank winter flounder from 1982 to 1997.

|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $10+$ |
| 1982 | - | 352.8 | 1707.2 | 1047.9 | 510.5 | 258.0 | 116.6 | 101.2 | 30.4 | 32.8 |
| 1983 | 10.1 | 787.0 | 2901.5 | 1453.8 | 551.2 | 206.0 | 220.8 | 133.7 | 46.9 | 127.0 |
| 1984 | - | 281.7 | 570.0 | 1370.9 | 1408.2 | 635.0 | 302.7 | 230.4 | 169.3 | 217.4 |
| 1985 | 19.6 | 804.6 | 693.0 | 811.6 | 490.7 | 111.5 | 50.7 | 21.6 | 19.7 | 8.2 |
| 1986 | - | 664.8 | 1327.7 | 235.2 | 228.6 | 130.7 | 48.7 | 23.4 | 7.3 | 8.8 |
| 1987 | - | 1293.7 | 1681.3 | 898.9 | 133.2 | 88.6 | $40: 3$ | 35.1 | 25.0 | 20.6 |
| 1988 | - | 835.3 | 2773.6 | 842.6 | 197.1 | 89.6 | 46.1 | 23.8 | 6.9 | 16.5 |
| 1989 | $\cdots$ | 1380.8 | 1222.0 | 509.3 | 147.2 | 106.7 | 28.9 | 22.0 | 5.7 | 3.9 |
| 1990 | - | 294.9 | 2031.5 | 668.1 | 184.5 | 45.5 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 0.2 | 2.5 |
| 1991 | - | 592.6 | 1270.0 | 950.6 | 135.8 | 37.8 | 29.9 | 18.0 | 8.6 | 3.9 |
| 1992 | -- | 796.4 | 756.1 | 727.4 | 468.1 | 92.2 | 32.2 | 14.6 | 10.8 | 3.6 |
| 1993 | 37.1 | 300.5 | 1143.2 | 450.8 | 319.6 | 163.1 | 20.7 | 13.4 | 5.4 | 7.4 |
| 1994 | - | 532.8 | 582.2 | 246.0 | 67.3 | 56.7 | 34.4 | 9.3 | 4.3 | 3.0 |
| 1995 | 263.7 | 679.1 | 266.8 | 188.4 | 75.6 | 18.9 | 13.5 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 0.5 |
| 1996 | - | 736.5 | 567.3 | 240.3 | 156.7 | 104.0 | 38.0 | 28.8 | 10.1 | 6.4 |
| 1997 | - | 479.9 | 1114.9 | 589.6 | 131.8 | 34.8 | 11.3 | 7.1 | 2.0 | 13.3 |

Table C 7B. Estimated weight (mt) at age for Georges Bank winter flounder landed from 1982 to 1997.

|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10+ |
| 1982 | - | 99.6 | 760.6 | 817.5 | 531.4 | 317.0 | 160.5 | 164.2 | 61.1 | 68.1 |
| 1983 | 1.8 | 219.5 | 1308.2 | 971.4 | 495.3 | 204.0 | 252.5 | 168.5 | 69.1 | 217.6 |
| 1984 | - | 82.1 | 266.2 | 802.6 | 1048.5 | 566.2 | 317.8 | 272.1 | 221.4 | 353.7 |
| 1985 | 3.3 | 326.1 | 360.0 | 634.1 | 514.9 | 152.3 | 78.2 | 37.6 | 40.1 | 16.4 |
| 1986 | - | 264.4 | 809.7 | 182.5 | 235.2 | . 155.6 | 68.9 | 37.0 | 12.7 | 20.5 |
| 1987 | $\cdots$ | 499.6 | 924.3 | 780.5 | 147.5 | 108.0 | 63.9 | 56.4 | 46.5 | 41.9 |
| 1988 | - | 292.4 | 1415.8 | 641.2 | 226.6 | 118.5 | 73.5 | 42.2 | 14.2 | 34.4 |
| 1989 | - | 498.1 | 565.1 | 421.7 | 158.8 | 142.2 | 44.0 | 39.6 | 12.2 | 9.5 |
| 1990 | - | 134.6 | 1035.2 | 505.4 | 183.1 | 60.9 | 14.9 | 12.4 | 0.5 | 6.0 |
| -1991 | - | 248.5 | 614.8 | 671.2 | 133.8 | . 54.3 | 47.4 | 33:2 | 16.4 | 8.7 |
| 1992 | -- | 309.9 | 373.2 | 541.3 | 424.7 | 109.6 | 42.8 | 24.1 | 16.8 | 6.2 |
| 1993 | 9.3 | 115.5 | 614.4 | 342.1 | 301.3 | 211.1 | 34.3 | 25.2 | 12.4 | 17.2 |
| 1994 | -- | 201.0 | 318.0 | 218.0 | 75.3 | 75.9 | 51.6 | 17.3 | 8.2 | 6.4 |
| 1995 | 74.6 | 267.9 | 159.3 | 124.3 | 75.5 | 24.3 | 21.4 | 6.3 | 5.3 | 1.4 |
| 1996 | - | 304.2 | 348.2 | 217.1 | 171.7 | 150.0 | 60.1 | 51.4 | 20.0 | 12.9 |
| 1997 | - | 174.1 | 595.8 | 414.2 | 133.3 | 49.8 | 17.5 | 13.3 | 4.3 | 27.6 |

Table C 7C. Estimated mean length $(\mathrm{cm})$ at age for Georges Bank winter flounder from the commercial landings at age.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $10+$ |
| 1982 | - | 30.68 | 35.36 | 42.42 | 46.54 | 49.11 | 50.91 | 53.68 | 57.46 | 58.03 |
| 1983 | 26.67 | 30.53 | 35.49 | 40.29 | 44.40 | 45.78 | 47.88 | 49.40 | 52.00 | 54.51 |
| 1984 | - | 31.05 | 36.05 | 38.72 | 41.75 | 44.31 | 46.61 | 48.42 | 50.00 | 53.61 |
| 1985 | 26.07 | 34.12 | 36.74 | 42.27 | 46.62 | 50.72 | 52.72 | 54.85 | 57.61 | 57.50 |
| 1986 | - | 33.99 | 39.13 | 42.18 | 46.12 | 48.37 | 51.04 | 53.37 | 55.08 | 60.42 |
| 1987 | - | 33.72 | 37.77 | 43.88 | 47.44 | 48.70 | 53.17 | 53.34 | 56.02 | 57.67 |
| 1988 | - | 32.77 | 36.76 | 41.95 | 48.01 | 50.16 | 53.28 | 55.15 | 57.79 | 58.16 |
| 1989 | - | 32.95 | 35.45 | 43.16 | 46.86 | 50.32 | 52.52 | 55.52 | 58.64 | 61.33 |
| 1990 | - | 35.72 | 36.93 | 41.91 | 45.74 | 50.39 | 57.26 | 56.46 | 62.00 | 60.83 |
| 1991 | - | 34.65 | 36.06 | 40.85 | 45.69 | 51.67 | 53.27 | 56.00 | 56.35 | 59.56 |
| 1992 | - | 33.90 | 36.53 | 41.71 | 44.37 | 48.43 | 49.74 | 53.89 | 52.20 | 54.73 |
| 1993 | 29.66 | 33.68 | 37.57 | 41.80 | 44.74 | 49.83 | 54.10 | 56.30 | 60.05 | 60.23 |
| 1994 | - | 33.53 | 37.75 | 44.09 | 47.56 | 50.36 | 52.13 | 56.16 | 56.64 | 58.48 |
| 1995 | 30.80 | 33.94 | 38.93 | 40.05 | 45.41 | 49.35 | 52.23 | 55.52 | 56.88 | 63.00 |
| 1996 | - | 34.65 | 39.32 | 44.42 | 47.08 | 51.64 | 53.20 | 55.39 | 57.29 | 57.53 |
| 1997 | - | 33.19 | 37.42 | 40.90 | 45.75 | 51.51 | 52.96 | 56.36 | 59.00 | 58.25 |

Table C 7D. Estimated mean weight ( kg ) at age for Georges Bank winter flounder from the commercial landings at age.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $10+$ |
| 1982 | - | 0.283 | 0.444 | 0.779 | 1.041 | 1.228 | 1.375 | 1.623 | 2.007 | 2.078 |
| 1983 | 0.181 | 0.279 | 0.451 | 0.668 | 0.899 | 0.991 | 1.144 | 1.261 | 1.475 | 1.713 |
| 1984 | - | 0.292 | 0.467 | 0.585 | 0.744 | 0.891 | 1.050 | 1.180 | 1.308 | 1.626 |
| 1985 | 0.168 | 0.405 | 0.522 | 0.782 | 1.050 | 1.366 | 1.541 | 1.743 | 2.035 | 2.011 |
| 1986 | - | 0.398 | 0.617 | 0.778 | 1.029 | 1.194 | 1.420 | 1.601 | 1.764 | 2.351 |
| 1987 | - | 0.385 | 0.549 | 0.868 | 1.107 | 1.217 | 1.582 | 1.605 | 1.861 | 2.038 |
| 1988 | - | 0.350 | 0.510 | 0.760 | 1.149 | 1.323 | 1.594 | 1.770 | 2.053 | 2.090 |
| 1989 | - | 0.359 | 0.459 | 0.826 | 1.076 | 1.332 | 1.522 | 1.804 | 2.131 | 2.450 |
| 1990 | - | 0.457 | 0.510 | 0.757 | 0.992 | 1.339 | 1.983 | 1.909 | 2.531 | 2.388 |
| 1991 | - | 0.418 | 0.479 | 0.702 | 0.985 | 1.438 | 1.582 | 1.853 | 1.897 | 2.250 |
| 1992 | - | 0.390 | 0.494 | 0.744 | 0.906 | 1.185 | 1.321 | 1.656 | 1.552 | 1.727 |
| 1993 | 0.250 | 0.384 | 0.537 | 0.758 | 0.941 | 1.294 | 1.657 | 1.880 | 2.299 | 2.324 |
| 1994 | - | 0.377 | 0.546 | 0.886 | 1.118 | 1.338 | 1.499 | 1.867 | 1.910 | 2.133 |
| 1995 | 0.283 | 0.394 | 0.597 | 0.660 | 0.999 | 1.287 | 1.582 | 1.798 | 1.941 | 2.662 |
| 1996 | - | 0.413 | 0.614 | 0.903 | 1.096 | 1.442 | 1.582 | 1.788 | 1.982 | 2.013 |
| 1997 | - | 0.363 | 0.534 | 0.702 | 1.011 | 1.429 | 1.555 | 1.879 | 2.167 | 2.092 |

Table C 8. Standardized, stratified ábundance (numbers) and biomass (weight) indices for Georges Bank winter flounder from the U.S. NEFSC Spring and Autumn (NEFSC stata, and Canadian Spring research vessel bottom trawl surveys. U.S. survey strata $01130-01220$; Canadian survey strata ( $5 \mathrm{Z1}-5 \mathrm{~S} 8$ ). Canadian biomass indices were estimated using the stratified mean number at length and the U.S. survey length-weight regression coefficients. Door standardization coefficients of 1.46 (numbers) and 1.39 (weight) applied to U.S. survey indices before 1985 to account for differences in catchability between survey doors (NEFSC 1991).

| - | U.S. Spring Survey |  | U.S. Autumn Survey |  | Canada Spring Survey |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number * tow ${ }^{-1}$ | Weight (kg) - tow ${ }^{\text {: }}$ | Number - tow ${ }^{-1}$ | Weight (kg) - tow ${ }^{-1}$ | Number - tow ${ }^{-1}$ | Weight (kg) - tow ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 1963 | . . |  | 1.200 | 1.815 |  |  |
| 1964 |  |  | 1.298 | 1.822 |  | - . |
| 1965 |  |  | 2.152 | 2.050 |  |  |
| 1966 |  | . | 5.163 | 5.655 | . |  |
| 1967 | Spring Surve | initiated in 1968 | 1.791 | 2.074 |  |  |
| 1968 | 2.700 | 3.114 | 1.308 | 1.072 |  |  |
| 1969 | 3.136 | - 4.290 | 2.370 | 2.385 |  |  |
| 1970 | 1.864 | 2.294 | 5.620 | 6.490 |  |  |
| 1971 | 1.838 | 2.168 | 1.324 | 1259 |  |  |
| 1972 | 4.946 | 5.321 | 1.261 | 1.580 |  |  |
| 1973 | 2.946 | 3.507 | 1.218 | 1.195 | - |  |
| 1974 | 6.049 | 5.782 | 1.193 | 1.464 |  |  |
| 1975 | 1.955 | 1.407 | 3.790 | 2.061 |  |  |
| 1976 | 4.672 | 3.012 | 5.987 | 3.925 |  |  |
| 1977 | 3.792 | 1.580 | 4.862 | 3.992 |  |  |
| 1978 | 7.068 | 5.055 | 4.056 | 3.100 |  |  |
| 1979 | 1.736 | 2.206 | 5.065 | 3.829 |  |  |
| 1980 | 3.221 | 2.801 | 1.661 | 1.865 |  |  |
| 1981 | 3.727 | 3.749 | 3.831 | 2.434 |  |  |
| 1982 | 2.295 | 1.523 | 5.301 | 2.692 |  |  |
| 1983 | 8.405 | 7.111 | 2.726 | 2.363 |  |  |
| 1984 | 5.529 | 5.604 | 3.933 | 2.445 | . |  |
| 1985 | 3.837 | 2.650 | 1.979 | 1.119 |  |  |
| 1986 | 2.003 | 1.214 | 3.575 | 2.178 | Canaduan Surve | nitutued in 1987 |
| 1987 | 2.803 | 1.247 | 0.762 | 0.889 | 3.73 | 2.83 |
| 1988 | 2.925 | 1.648 | 4.084 | 1.273 | 2.70 | 1.65 |
| 1989 | 1.299 | 0.757 | 1.560 | 1.051 | 3.48 | 1.88 |
| 1990 | 2.803 | 1.573 | 0.498 | 0.346 | 3.29 | 1.74 |
| 1991 | 2.403 | 1.319 | 0.268 | 0.136 | 1.43 | 0.97 |
| 1992 | 1.416 | 0.898 | 0.677 | 0.384 | 2.25 | 1.39 |
| 1993 | 1.018 | 0.570 | 1.166 | 0.663 | 2.78 | 1.45 |
| 1994 | I. 292 | 0.578 | 0.870 | 0.578 | 2.45 | 0.98 |
| 1995 | 2.613 | 1.489 | 2.357 | 1337 | 3.10 | 1.17 |
| 1996 | 2.314 | 1.504 | 1.539 | 1.756 | 2.20 | 1.12 |
| 1997 | 1.610 | 1.192 | 1.744 | 1.534 | 2.80 | 1.77 |
| 1998 | 0.762 | -0.722 |  | ailabie | 1.42 | 1.08 |

Table C 9A. Stratified mean catch per tow (numbers) of Georges Bank winter flounder (NEFSC. strata 01130-01220) in the NEFSC offshore spring research vessel bottom trawl survey. Indices have been corrected to account for changes in catchability due to changes in trawl doors.


Table C 913. Stratified mean catch per tow (numbers) of Georges Bank winter flounder (NEFSC strata 01130-01220) in the NEFSC offlore autumn researeh. vessel bottom trawl survey. Indices have been corrected to account for changes in catchability duc to changes in trawl doors.

| Autumn |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $10+$ |
| 1980 | 0.0385 | 0.1218 | 0.4034 | 0.3881 | 0.2643 | 0.2251 | 0.1618 | 0.0000 | 0.0245 | 0.0077 | 0.0263 |
| 1981 | 0.0000 | 2.1322 | 0.5043 | 0.3922 | 0.4723 | 0.1313 | 0.0583 | 0.0701 | 0.0352 | 0.0175 | 0.0175 |
| - 1982 | 0.2813 | 1.9636 | 2.1455 | 0.4383 | 0.3368 | 0.1216 | 0.0137 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1983 | 0.0854 | 0.0689 | 0.5828 | 1.1333 | 0.4898 | 0.0572 | 0.1905 | 0.0842 | 0.0321 . | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1984 | 0.2365 | 0.6602 | 0.9909 | $\cdot 0.9156$ | 0.8113 | 0.2304 | 0.0588 | 0.0139 | 0.0162 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1985 | 0.1085 | 0.3235 | 0.9966 | 0.4172 | 0.0789 | 0.0270 | 0.0270 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1986 | 0.2020 | 1.0945 | 1.5675 | 0.3660 | 0.2026 | 0.0479 | 0.0241 | 0.0232 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0479 |
| 1987 | 0.0000 | 0.0526 | 0.2035 | 0.2181 | 0.1211 | 0.0000 | 0.0789 | 0.0611 | 0.0263 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1988 | 0.0482 | 2.9253 | 0.6351 | 0.3860 | 0.0395 | 0.0000 | 0.0248 | 0.0248 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1989 | 0.0241 | 0.0963 | 1.0601 | 0.0722 | 0.1417 | 0.0725 | 0.0575 | 0.0094 | 0.0260 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1990 | 0.0000 | 0.0810 | 0.0600 | 0.3026 | 0.0000 | 0.0510 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1991 | 0.1078 | 0.0456 | 0.0000 | 0.0620 | 0.0526 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1992 | 0.0000 | 0.0233 | 0.4610 | 0.1567 | 0.0094 | 0.0263 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1993 | 0.0000 | 0.5901 | 0.1316 | 0.2461 | 0.1723 | 0.0263 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1994 | 0.0000 | 0.1648 | 0.1288 | 0.1582 | 0.0850 | 0.0331 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | -0.0000 |
| 1995 | 0.0180 | 0.9675 | 0.8979 | 0.3596 | 0.0480 | 0.0478 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0180 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1996 | 0.0000 | 0.1226 | 0.3380 | 0.6241 | 0.2436 | 0.0550 | 0.0934 | 0.0620 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1997 | 0.0180 | 0.0782 | 0.6851 | 0.5741 | 0.2957 | 0.0615 | 0.0283 | 0.0031 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table C $9 . \quad$ Stratified mean catch per tow (numbers) of Georges Bank winter flounder (DFO strata 5Z1-578) in the Canadian Spring research vessel bottom trawl survey. Age keys were used from the corresponding U.S. spring survey for fish less than 40 cm , and from U.S. commercial age keys for fish greater than or equal to 40 cm . Commercial ages were unavailable for 1998 so only U.S. survey ages were used to partition the 1998 stratified mean length indices.


Table C 10. Proportion mature at age for female winter flounder sampled by the NEFSC spring research vessel survey from 1982 to 1997. Logistic regression equations and age at $50 \%$ maturation are presented annually and for data pooled across the entire time series.

|  | N |  | Age |  |  |  | 5 | Logistic Regression Coefficients | b | $\wedge 50$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | , | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | $a$ |  |  |
| 1982 |  | 23 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 18.30 | 9.04 | 2.02 |
| 1983 | '1 | 79 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.56 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 6.38 | 2.22 | 2.87 |
| 1984 |  | 54 | 0.00 | 0.80 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 17.70 | 9.54 | 1.85 |
| 1985 |  | 40 | 0.03 | 0.62 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | ---- | -.-- | ---- |
| 1986 |  | 39 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 19.83 | 13.59 | 1.46 |
| 1987 |  | 67 | 0.00 | 0.83 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 18.44 | 10.00 | 1.84 |
| 1988 |  | 42 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 11.88 | 4.96 | 2.39 |
| 1989 |  | 15 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 24.56 | 11.58 | 2.12 |
| 1990 |  | 43 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 23.80 | 11.79 | 2.02 |
| 1991 |  | 34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 34.25 | 14.10 | 2.43 |
| 1992 |  | 31. | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.78 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 3.28 | 1.64 | 2.00 |
| 1993 |  | 21 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - . | - | --- |
| 1994 |  | 30 | 0.00 | 0.79 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 3.49 | 2.16 | I: 62 |
| 1995 |  | 21 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 24.48 | 11.90 | 2.06 |
| 1996 |  | 43 | 0.00 | 0.76 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 18.23 | 9.70 | 1.88 |
| 1997 |  | 9 | 0.00 | 0.67 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 13.98 | 7.34 | 1.91 |
| 1998 | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ | 10 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | -... |  | -- |
| 1982-1998 |  | 561 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 0.92 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 3.99 | 2.18 | 1.83 |

Table C 11. VPA run descriptions including a summary of diagnostics and results.
Run 15 was accepted by the SAW/SARC.
VPA Run \# $\quad$ Run $4 \quad$ Run $9 \quad$ Run $8 \quad$ Run 11 $\quad$ **Run. $15^{* *}$

## Inputs

- Estimated Ages $\quad 1$ to $6 \quad 2$ to 6.2 to $6 \quad 2$ to $6 \quad 2$ to 6

Tuning Indices

| US Spring 1-7 | Ages 1-7 | Ages 1-7 | Ages 1-7 | Ages 1-7 | Ages 1-7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US Spring 1-5+ | No | No | No | Yes | No |
| US Autumn 1 | Ages 1-7 | Ages 1-7 | Ages 2-7 | Ages 2-7 | Ages 2-7 |
| US Autumn 2-5+ | No | No | No | Yes | No |
| Canada Spring | - | -- | - | $\cdots$ | Ages 1-7 |
| Diagnostics |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of squares | 142.42 | 142.42 | 135.47 | 114.36 | 189.26 |
| Mean squared residuals | 0.754 | 0.754 | 0.753 | 0.841 | 0.736 |
| CV Age 1 Numbers | 0.92 | --- | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | --- |
| CV Age 2 Numbers | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.48 | 0.40 |
| CV Age 3 Numbers | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.44 | 0.36 |
| CV Age 4 Numbers | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.56 | 0.37 |
| CV Age 5 Numbers | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.68 | 0.34 |
| CV Age 6 Numbers | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.59 | 0.34 |
| Min/Max CV q (US Spring) | $0.22 \cdot 0.28$ | $0.22-0.28$ | 0.22-0.28 | $0.23-0.24$ | 0.21-0.28 |
| Min/Max CV q (US Autumn) | 0.22-0.29 | 0.22-0.29 | 0.22-0.27 | 0.23-0.24 | 0.21-0.26 |
| Min/Max CV q (Canada Spring) | --- | -- | -- | --- | 0.25-0.27 |
| Standardized Residuals $>2$ | 8 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 9 |
| Maximum Partial Variance | 2.026 | $\ldots 2.010$ | 2.016 | 2.081 | 2.421 |
|  | US Autumn 7 | US Autumn 7 | US Autumn 7 | US Autumn 2 | Can Spring 1 |

Table C 11 (Cont). 'VPA run descriptions including a summary of diagnostics and results. Run 15 was accepted by the SAW/SARC.

| VPA Run \# | Run 4 | Run 9 | Run 8 | Run 11 | **Run 15** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Terminal Year Results |  |  |  |  | . |
| Stock Numbers | 5991 | 5124 | $\cdots 346$ | 5970 | 5688 |
| 1998 Age 1 Numbers | 867 | --- | $\cdots$ | -- | --- |
| 1998 Age 2 Numbers | 551 | 551 | 556 | 1302 | 774 |
| 1998 Age 3 Numbers | 992 | 992 | 1190 | 2048 | 1568 |
| 1998 Age 4 Numbers | 2026 | 2026 | 2044 | 1769 | 2097 |
| 1998 Age 5 Numbers | 1008 | 1008 | 1006 | 311 | 797 |
| 1998 Age 6 Numbers | 397 | 397 | 400 | 467 | 330 |
| 1998 Age 7 Numbers | 151 | 151 | 151 | 73 | 122 |
| Fishing Mortality |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 Age 2 F | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.31 | 0.19 | 0.24 |
| 1997 Age 3 F | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.39 |
| 1997 Age 4 F | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 1.00 | 0.51 |
| 1997 Age 5 F | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.31 |
| 1997 Age 6 F | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.61 | 0.41 |
| 1997 Average F (4-6,u) | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.61 | 0.41 |
| Biomass |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 Mean Biomass | 3913 | 3913 | 4008 | 3762 | 3943 |
| 1997 Jan 1 Biomass | 4551 | 4551 | 4629 | 4261 | 4519 |
| 1997 SSB | 3702 | 3702 | 3749 | 3129 | 3536 |

Table C 12. Stock numbers (thousands). fishing mortality, and spawning stock biomass (mt) at age of Georges Bank winter flounder estimated using an ADAPT calibration (Run 15).


Table C 12 (Continued). Stock numbers (thousands), fishing mortality, and spawning stock biomass (mt) at age of Georges Bank winter flounder estimated using an ADAPT calibration (Run 15).

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 00 | - 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| 2 | 1083 | 505 | 295 | 814 | 726 | 1036 | 654 | 1127 |
| 3 | 1963 | 1765 | 717 | 471 | 1313 | 1192 | 1273 | 873 |
| 4 | 2172 | 1788 | 1096 | 688 | 360 | 879 | 589 | 487 |
| 5 | 1150 | 1350 | 816 | 577 | 324 | 254 | 380 | 184 |
| 6 | 754 | 504 | 667 | 158 | 289 | 140 | 132 | 188 |
| 7 | 1162 | - 1689 | 1339 | 238 | 272 | 289 | 196 | 147 |
| 1+ | 8285 | 7601 | 4930 | 2947 | 3285 | 3790 | 3224 | 3006 |
|  | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| 1 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| 2 | 760 | 444 | 583 | 318 | 405 | 632 | 1074 | 373 |
| 3 | 1598 | 1205 | 595 | 797 | 486 | 576 | 1075 | 1457 |
| 4 | 556 | 1041 | 748 | 323 | 527 | 312 | 618 | 926 |
| 5 | 214 | 275 | 535 | 385 | 132 | 417 | 218 | 473 |
| 6 | 74 | 84 | 157 | 221 | 183 | 82 | 343 | 126 |
| 7 | 45 | 194 | 139 | 109 | 238 | 123 | 393 | 181 |
| $1+$ | 3247 | 3243 | 2756 | 2152 | 1970 | 2143 | 3721 | 3536 |

Table C 13. Yield per recruit and SSB per recruit analysis for Georges Bank winter flounder.

The NEFC Yield and Stock Size per Recruit Program - PDBYPRC
PC Ver.1.2 [Method of Thompson and Bell (1934)] 1-Jan-1992

Run Date: 11-12-1998; Time: 15:58:51.70
GB WINTER FLOUNDER - 15 Year, No Plus Group

| Proportion of F before spawning: . 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proportion of $M$ before spawning: .2000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Mortality is Constant at: 200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initial age is: 1 ; Last age is: 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Last age is a TRUE Age; . . |  |  |  |  |  |
| Original age-specific PRs, Mats, and Mean Wts from file: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-specific Input data for Yield per Recruit Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | Fish Mor Pattern | Nat Mort Pattern | Proportion Mature | Average Catch | Weights Stock |
| 1 | . 0000 | 2.0000 | . 0000 | . 221 | . 168 |
| 2 | . 5400 | 1.0000 | . 6200 | . 387 | . 300 |
| 3 | . 8600 | 1.0000 | . 9200 | . 573 | . 474 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | . 788 | . 670 |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.055 | . 917 |
| 6 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.372 | 1.195 |
| 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 2.0000 | 1.521 | 1.428 |
| 8 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.757 | 1.673 |
| 9 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.894 | 1.827 |
| 10 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.978 | 1.938 |
| 21 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 2.080 | 2.024 |
| 12 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 2.143 | 2.129 |
| 13 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 2.204 | 2.165 |
| 14 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 2.249 | 2.195 |
| 15 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 2.265 | 2.251 |


Sumary of Yield per Recruit Analysis for: GB WINTER FLOUNDER - 15 Year, No Plus Group

| Slope of the Yield/Recruit Curve at F=0.00: --> 4.1119 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F$ level at slopem1/10 of the above slope (F0.1): |  | . 209 |
| - Yield/Recruit corresponding to F0.1: -----> | . 3361 |  |
| F level to produce Maximum Yield/Recruit (Fmax) : |  | . 420 |
| Yield/Recruit corresponding to Fmax: -----> | . 3652 |  |
| $F$ level at 20 \% of Max Spawning Potential (F20) : |  | . 472 |
| SSB/Recruit corresponding to F20: -------> | . 8073 |  |

Table C 13 (Cont). Yield per recruit and SSB per recruit analysis for Georges Bank winter flounder.

| FMORT |  | TOTCTHN | TOTCIHW | TOTSTKN | TOTSTKW | SPNSTKK | SPNSTKW | \% MSP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | . 00 | . 00000 | . 00000 | 5.2420 | 4.4887 | 3.7252 | 4.0372 | 100.00 |
|  | . 05 | . 14193 | . 15784 | 4.6694 | 3.5613 | 3.1478 | 3.1165 | 77.20 |
|  | . 10 | . 24124 | . 24894 | 4.2444 | 2.9077 | 2.7205 | 2.4716 | 61.22 |
|  | . 15 | . 31356 | . 30172 | 3.9207 | 2:4361 | 2.3959 | 2.0089 | 49.76 |
|  | . 20 | . 36821 | . 33218 | 3.6682 | 2.0881 | 2.1430 | 1.6692 | $41.35^{\circ}$ |
| F0. 3 | . 21 | . 37670 | . 33609 | 3.6284 | 2.0351 | 2.1032 | 1.6176 | 40.07 |
|  | . 25 | . 41087 | . 34944 | 3.4668 | 1.8256 | 1.9416 | 1.4142 | 35.03 |
|  | . 30 | . 44510 | . 35881 | 3.3030 | 1.6233 | 1.7779 | 1.2186 | 30.18 |
|  | . 35 | . 47323 | . 36339 | 3.1673 | 1.4646 | 1.6424 | 1.0657 | 26.40 |
|  | . 40 | . 49681 | . 36505 | 3.0531 | 1.3377 | 1.5285 | . 9439 | 23.38 |
| Fmax | . 42 | . 50538 | . 36517 | 3.0115 | 1.2931 | 1.4871 | . 9012 | 22.32 |
|  | . 45 | . 51689 | . 36493 | 2.9557 | 1.2346 | 1.4314 | . 8453 | 20.94 |
| F20t | . 47 | . 52493 | . 36451 | 2.9168 | 1.1948 | 1.3926 | . 8073 | 20.00 |
|  | . 50 | . 53424 | . 36374 | 2.8717 | 1.1497 | 1.3476 | . 7644 | 18.93 |
|  | . 55 | . 54941 | . 36192 | 2.7983 | 1.0787 | 1.2746 | . 6970 | 17.26 |
|  | . 60 | . 56280 | . 35973 | 2.7338 | 1.0188 | 1.2102 | . 6402 | 15.86 |
|  | . 65 | . 57472 | . 35736 | 2.6764 | . 9675 | 1.1531 | . 5918 | 14.66 |
|  | . 70 | . 58541 | . 35493 | 2.6251 | . 9233 | 1.1021 | . 5501 | 13.63 |
|  | . 75 | . 59508 | . 35250 | 2.5790 | . 8848 . | 1.0561 | . 5140 | 12.73 |
|  | . 80 | . 60386 | . 35012 | 2.5372 | . $8510^{\circ}$ | 1.0145 | . 4823 | 11.95 |
|  | . 85 | . 61188 | . 34782 | 2.4992 | . 8212 | . 9766 | . 4545 | 11.26 |
|  | . 90 | . 61924 | . 34561 | 2.4644 | . 7947 | . 9420 | . 4297 | 10.64 |
|  | . 95 | . 62602 | . 34350 | 2.4325 | . 7709 | . 9102 | . 4076 | 10.10 |
|  | 1.00 | . 63230 | . 34149 | 2.4030 | . 7495 | . 8808 | . 3877 | 9.60 |



Normal convergence.

CORRELATION AWONG INIPUT SERIES EXPRESSED AS CPUE (NNWBRR OF PAIRWISE OBSRRVATIONS BRICW)


GOODNESS-OF-PIT AND WEIGHTING FOR NON-BOOTSTRAPPEO ANALYSIS


| Number of rewtarts required for convergence: | 28 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Est. B-ratio coverage index ( 0 worat, 2 best): | 0.8027 |
| Bst. B-ratio nearness index ( 0 worts, 1 best): | 1.0000 |

MODEL PARAMETER ESTIMATES (NOAF-BOCTSTPAPPED)

| Parameter |  | Estimate | Starting guens | Estimated | User guess |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1R | Staxting biomanz ratio, yoar 1964 | 5.5148-01 | $1.0008+00$ | 1 | 1 |
| MSY | Maximum mutainable yield | 3.0688 +00 | $2.500 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1 | 1 |
| r | Intrintic rate of incralat | 5.3808-02 | 4.0008-01 | 1 | 1 |
| $\cdots$ | Catchability coatficiants by fishery: |  |  |  |  |
| q(1) | USA Fall Survey | 2.3948-02 | 2.4108-01 | 1 | 1 |
| q( 2 ) | USA Spring Survey (lagged) | 3.1258-01 | 3.5503-01 | 1 | 1 |
| q(3) | Canadian Survey (lagged) | 3.8818-01 | 3.0008-01 | 1 | 1 |
| MANHGEMIANS PARANETER ESTIMRTES (MON-BOOTSSIAAPPED) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parameter |  | Estimate | Pormula |  |  |
| .MSY | Maximum mustainible yield | $3.0688+00$ | Rr/4 |  |  |
| K | Maximen atock bionage | 2.2日18+01 |  |  |  |
| Bnsy | Stock biomate at MSY | $1.140 \mathrm{E}+01$ | x/2 |  |  |
| Fancy | Fiehing mortality at MSY | 2.6908-01 | $x / 2$ |  |  |
| F(0.1) | Managemant benchaner | 2.421E-01. | 0.9***y |  |  |
| Y(0.1) | Equilibrium yield at $\mathrm{F}(0.1)$ | 3.0378400 | $0.99 * \mathrm{MSY}$ |  |  |
| B-ratio | Ratio of B(1997) to Bumy | 3.756E-02 |  |  |  |
| P-ratio . | Ratio of f(4996) to Pry | 1.2988+00 |  |  |  |
| Y-ratio | Proportion of MSY avail in 1997 | 6.1028-01 | $2 * B r-B r^{2} 2$ | Ye (1997) | 1.8728400 |
| ........ Pithing effort at MSY in units of each fiehery: |  |  |  |  |  |
| fmsy ( 1) | USA Pall Survey | 1.1248-01 | r/2q( 1) | f(0.1) | 1.0118-02 |

Table C 15. Results of short-term stochastic projections of landings (mt) in the 1999 and spawning stock biomass (mt) in 2001 for Georges Bank winter flounder. Landings in 1998 were assumed to be 1,107 mt based on projections by the NEFM Multispecies Monitoring Committee and assumed Canadian landings, resulting in a realized $F$ of 0.34 in 1998 Projected landings and spawning stock biomass estimates are provided for the 10th, 50th, and $90^{t h}$ percentiles for various levels of fishing mortality in 1999 including current and proposed biological reference points.

| Fishing Mortality | Landings (mt) in 1999 |  |  | Total Stock Biomass (mt)$\qquad$ |  |  | Spawning Stock Biomass (mt) in 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $10 \%$ | 50\% | 90\% | $10 \%$ | 50\% | 90\% | 10\% | 50\% | 90\% |
| 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,863 | 7,552 | 9,423 | 4,098 | 5,374 | 6,793 |
| 0.03 ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {target }}$ ) | 124 | 163 | 207 | 5,695 | 7,342 | 9,170 | 3,986 | 5,228 | 6,613 |
| 0.04 ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {chreahold }}$ ) | 164 | 215 | 273 | 5,641 | 7,274 | 9,088 | 3,948 | 5,181 | 6,552 |
| 0.21 ( $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ ) | 707 | 932 | 1,185 | 4,804 | 6,244 | 7,848 | 3,377 | 4,446 | 5,639 |
| 0.34 ( $\mathrm{F}_{1998}$ ) | 888 | 1,172. | 1,487 | 4,275 | 5,596 | 7,068 | 3,004 | 3,967 | 5,046 |
| 0.47 ( $\mathrm{F}_{201}$ ) | 1,164 | 1,537 | 1,951 | 3,823 | 5,042 | 6,406 | 2,676 | 3,550 | 4,529 |



Figure C1. NEFSC statistical areas included in the Georges Bank winter flounder assessment.


Figure C2. Total commercial landings ( mt ) of winter flounder from the Georges Bank stock (NEFSC areas $522-525 ; 551-562$; NAFO areas $5 \mathrm{Zh}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}$ ).


Figure C3. Unstandardized landings (mt) per unit effort (days fished) for all otter trawl trips landing winter flounder and for directed trips (trips where landings of winter flounder constitute $50 \%$ or more of the trip).


Figure C4. U.S. and Canadian research vessel bottom trawl survey abundance (number per tow; Panel A) and biomass (kg per tow; Panel B) for Georges Bank winter flounder, 1963-1998. Canadian weight per tow was estimated using the stratified mean number per tow at length and the U.S. survey length-weight regression equation.


Figure C5. Stratified mean length of Georges Bank (NEFSC offshore strata 13-22) winter flounder from the NEFSC spring and auatumn research vessel surveys.


Figure C6. Trends in spawning stock biomass (line) and age 2 recruitment (bars) estimated from Virtual Population Analysis (Run 15) for Georges Bank winter flounder from 1980 to 1997.


Figure C7. Age composition of the spawning stock biomass estimated from Virtual Population Analysis (Run 15) for Georges Bank winter flounder from 1982 to 1997.


Figure C8. Comparison of estimated instantaneous fishing mortality rate estimated from NEFSC research vessel catch numbers at age with VPA estimates of average unweighted $F$ for ages 4-6. The $x$-axis labels give the 3 -year average used to generate the survey based estimates of $F$. The midpoint of this range (i.e., 1982 for the range 1981-83) corresponds to the VPA estimates of $F$.


Figure C9. Trends in commercial landings (mt) and fully-recruited fishing mortality
(F, 4-6, unweighted) estimated from Virtual Population Analysis (Run 15) for Georges Bank winter flounder from 1964 to 1997.


Figure C10. Precision of the estimates of spawning stock biomass (Panel A) at the beginning of the spawning season (April 1) and instantaneous rate of fishing mortality (Panel B) on the fully recruited ages (ages 4-6) in 1997 for Georges Bank winter flounder. The vertical bars display both the range of the estimator and the probability of individual values within the range: The solid line gives the probability of individual values within the range. The solid line gives the probability that $F$ is greater than or SSB is less than the corresponding value on the $X$-axis. The solid arrows indicate the approximate $90 \%$ and $10 \%$ confidence levels for $F$ and SSB. The precision estimates were derived from 1000 bootstrap replications of the final ADAPT, VPA formulation (Run 15).



Figure C12. Yield (YPR) and spawning stock biomass (SSB/R) per recruit for Georges Bank winter flounder.


Figure C13. Proposed control rule for Georges Bank winter flounder based on survey equivalents of MSY-based reference points from the Overfishing Definition Review Panel Final Report (Applegate et al. 1998).


Figure C14. Comparison of estimated age $1+$ biomass and fishing mortality (weighted by biomass) from an ASPIC surplus production model and an ADAPT virtual population analysis for Georges Bank winter flounder.


Figure C15. Time trajectory of fishery yield from the Georges Bank winter flounder stock relative to the surplus production curve estimated by ASPIC.


Figure C16. Results of short-term stochastic projections for the Georges Bank winter flounder stock. Projected landings of 1107 mt assumed in 1998. Winter flounder landings in 1999 and age $1+$ biomass and spawning stock biomass in 2000 are shown as a function of fishing mortality in 2000.

## D. GULF OF MAINE/GEORGES BANK AMERICAN PLAICE

## Terms of Reference

a. Update the status of the Gulf of Maine Georges Bank American plaice stock through 1997 and characterize the variability of estimates of stock size and fishing mortality.
b. On the basis of anticipated catches and abundance indicators in 1998,estimate stock size at the beginning of 1999 and provide projected estimates of catch and spawning stock biomass for 1999-2000 at various levels of F .
c. Comment on and revise, if necessary, the overfishing definition reference points for American plaice recommended by the Overfishing Definition Review Panel.

## INTRODUCTION

American plaice, Hippoglossoides platessoides, is distributed along the continental shelf from southem Labrador to Montauk Point, New York. In U.S waters, plaice are most abundant in the deeper ( $>50$ m ) waters of the Gulf of Maine and off the northern edge of Georges Bank (Appendix D1, Figure 1).

Spawning occurs in the spring from February to June, with peak spawning occurring in April and May. Median maturity for females occurs at 3.6 years and 26.8 cm , and for males at 3.0 years and 22.1 cm (O'Brien et. al 1.992). The maximum age attained is between $24-30$ years and the maximum size is $70-80 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Bigelow and Schroeder 1953). The growth rate for females is faster than that of males, after age four (Sullivan 1981).

The fishery for American plaice developed in the mid-seventies as other popular flounder stocks became less abundant or were being regulated under fishery management (Sullivan 1981). Historically, American plaice had either been discarded or used as bait (Lange and Lux 1979).

This report presents an updated and revised analytical assessment of the Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank American plaice stock for the period 1980-1997 based on analysis of commercial discards, landings and effort data, and research vessel survey data through 1997. The first analytical assessment was completed in 1992 (O'Brien et al. 1992).

## THE FISHERY

## Commercial Landings

Since 1960, US landings of American plaice have ranged from $1,309 \mathrm{mt}(1960)$ to $15,126 \mathrm{mt}$ (1982) (Table D1, Figure D1). Landings gradually increased as the fishery developed from an average of $2,280 \mathrm{mt}$ during 1972-1976 to an average of 12,694 mt during 1979-1984. Subsequently, landings declined to $2,300 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1989, then increased to $6,400 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1992 and then gradually declined to $4,000 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1997.

Otter trawl gear has accounted for the largest percentage of American plaice landings each year since 1980 . In 1997, about $94 \%$ of the landings were caught by otter trawl and about $3 \%$ by gill net gear. The fishery occurs primarily during the second and third quarter of the year. Historically, the majority of the landings were in the large (large + jumbo) market category for all four quarters, however, in 1988, the majority of the landings shifted to the small category (small+peewee) in quarters 3 and 4. Since 1991 landings have been primarily in the small category in all four quarters (Table D2) .

## Commercial Fishery Sampling Intensity

The number of length and age samples taken are summarized for each year by quarter and market category in Table D3. The average number of metric tons landed per length frequency sample
(Table D3), by market category ranged from 34 mt 116 mt during 1985-1991. During 1992-1995, the sampling intensity decreased, ranging between 97 mt to 336 mt per sample. Sampling intensity has increased since 1996, ranging between 53 mt and 189 mt .

## Commercial Landings Age Composition

## Age-length keys

American plaice landings have been sampied for both length composition and age at length since about 1975. Commercial age samples had not been routinely aged, however, until recent years. Commercial age samples for 1985-1997, however, are now available and have been applied in this assessment. In this assessment the combined Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank age composition for 19801984 landings were taken from O'Brien et al. (1992).

A study by Esteves and Burnett (1993) concluded that there are significant growth differences between American plaice in the Gulf of Maine and Georges Bank based on analyses of 1988 samples from commercial landings and from NEFSC spring and autumn bottom trawl surveys.

In the current assessment, Fisher's exact test (Zar 1984, SAS 1990) was used to test the hypothesis of no difference in the proportion at age within a length class between Gulf of Maine and Georges Bank age length keys derived from commercial samples, by quarter for the combined 1985-1990 data. The hypothesis of no difference in the proportion at age within a length class between quarters $1 \& 2$ and between quarters $3 \& 4$ was also tested for each area.

Results indicate there are significant differences in the proportions at age within 2 cm length groups between Gulf of Maine samples and Georges Bank samples. For quarters 1-4 there were, respectively, 9 out of 21,11 out of 21,16 out of 24 , and 4 out of 20 significant differences in the proportion at age
within a length between the two areas. The number of significant differences is more than expected by chance, and are consecutive within the range of 28 58 cm . These results indicate that there is a difference in the age at length between the Gulf of Maine and Georges Bank American plaice.

Results of the Fisher's test for comparison of proportion at age within a length between quarters for each area indicated greater differences between quarters for the Gulf of Maine than for Georges Bank. For the Gulf of Maine, there were 5 out of 21 , and 6 out of 22 significant differences between quarters $1 \& 2$, and $3 \& 4$, respectively. For Georges Bank, there were 4 out of 20 significant differences between quarter $1 \& 2$, and none for quarters $3 \& 4$. These results indicate some differences between quarters, but only within a narrow range of lengths, $36-46 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Based on these results, the age composition of the 1985-1993 commercial landings were derived separately for the Gulf of Maine and Georges Bank area, only pooling areas when sampling was not adequate. The 1994-1997 data were pooled over the entire area because sampling by area was inadequate and due to the uncertainty in the spatial assignment of samples. Samples were generally applied on a quarterly basis, but when samples were not adequate, pooling to semi-annual or annual level was necessary.

## Age composition

The pooled age composition of the 1980-1984 landings (Table D4) from the Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank region was estimated, by market category, from seasonal age-length keys derived from the NEFSC groundfish surveys and quarterly length compositions derived from the sampled commercial landings ( O 'Brien et al. 1992). The age composition of the 1985-1993 landings from the Gulf of Maine and from Georges Bank was estimated separately, by market category, from commercial length frequency and age samples, pooled by calendar quarter. The pooled age composition of the 1994-1997 landings from the Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank
region was estimated, by market category, from commercial length frequency and age samples, pooled by calendar quarter. In quarters where the sampling was not adequate samples were pooled semi-annually or annually (Table D3). Due to the lack of adequate sampling in every market category for each area, the five market categories were collapsed to three: small + peewee, medium, and large + jumbo. Landed mean weights were estimated by applying the American plaice length weight equation (Lux 1969):

$$
\text { Weight }(\mathrm{kg})=\left(2.4548 \times 10^{-6}\right) \times \operatorname{Length}(\mathrm{cm})^{3.345},
$$

to quarterly length frequencies, by market category. Total numbers landed by quarter were estimated by dividing the mean weights into quarterly landings, by market category and prorating according to the sample length frequency. Age-length keys were then applied to the quarterly numbers at length, by market category, to obtain the quarterly landings at age. For the 1980-1984 data, the spring NEFSC groundfish age samples were applied to the numbers at length distribution from quarters one and two, and the autumn NEFSC groundfish age samples were applied to the numbers at length distribution for quarters three and four (O'Brien et al. 1992). Numbers at age were summed over market category within each quarter and annual estimates of landings at age were obtained by summing over quarters. Numbers at age for the Gulf of Maine and for Georges Bank were combined to obtain the estimated annual numbers at age and were expanded to the total landings (Table D1) by the ratio of (total landings / Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank landings). The ratios varied between $1 \%$ and $12 \%$.

## Commercial Fishery Discards

Data for estimating discarded catch are available in the Sea Sampling Database (SSDBS; 1989-1997) and the Vessel Trip Log (VTR; 1994-1997) database. Only the sea sampling database was utilized in this assessment.

The quantity of American plaice discarded was
estimated separately for the Northern shrimp fishery and the large mesh otter trawl fishery. No discard estimates were derived for the small mesh otter trawl fishery.

## Northern Shrimp Fishery

Total numbers of American plaice discards at length in the Gulf of Maine northern shrimp fishery were derived based on the methodology described by Mayo et. al (1992). An indirect estimation of discards for 1980-1988 was derived from NEFSC bottom trawl data and a direct estimation of discards for 1989-1997 was calculated from NEFSC seasampling data. For both time periods discards were estimated for 2 fishing areas and two seasons: Fishing Areas 1 and 2 were defined, respectively, as north and south of 43 degrees 15 minutes latitude as described by Clark and Power (1991). The winter fishing season was defined by combining December of the previous year with January and February, and the spring season was defined by combining March, April, and May.

Discard estimates prior to implementation of the Sea Sampling Program in 1989 were derived using NEFSC length frequency data, a selectivity ogive for the shrimp otter trawl, and a sorting ogive. American plaice abundance indices by 2 cm length intervals (stratified mean number per tow) were computed from NEFSC spring and autumn bottom trawl survey data corresponding to the area of the shrimp fishery (NEFSC offshore survey strata 26, 27,38 , and 40 ). The original numbers per tow at length were then filtered through a 46 mm mesh selection ogive derived from analyses of the 99 mm mesh selection data for American plaice presented by Smolowitz (1983), and a sorting ogive based on the minimum plaice landing sizes observed in the landed component of the otter trawl catches (Mayo et al. 1992). The total number of plaice discarded at length by season was computed by raising the filtered survey indices by the catchability coefficients ( $q$ ) determined from the sea sample data, and the total amount of shrimp fishing effort (number of trips) as described by Mayo et al. (1992). Age
composition of the estimated discarded numbers at length was derived by applying seasonal age length keys from the NEFSC bottom trawl surveys.

Direct estimates of discard rates (lbs/trip) for 19891997 were obtained by summarizing total pounds discarded and number of trips by season. A geometric mean discard per trip. was computed by exponentiating the mean of log discard per trip (Table D5). Discard rates (lbs/tip) for each year-season-area stratum were then raised to total discarded weight by the number of trips in each stratum. Discards were combined by area to obtain total discards (lbs) by season. The length-weight equation for American plaice (Lux 1969) was applied to the sea sample length frequency by season to obtain a sample mean weight. Total discard numbers by season were estimated by dividing the total discard weight by the sample mean weight. Total discards at length were derived by prorating the total numbers to the sampled length frequency. The age composition of the discard length frequency was derived by applying age samples obtained from sea sampling supplemented with seasonal age-length keys from the NEFSC surveys. The seasonal age compositions were summarized to obtain an annual age composition of discarded American plaice in the shrimp fishery (Table D6).

## Large Mesh Otter Trawl

The total numbers of American plaice discards at length in the large mesh otter trawl fishery in the Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank region were derived based on the methodology described by Mayo et. al (1992). The model utilizes abundance of American plaice at length as indicated by NEFSC bottom trawl survey indices filtered through mesh size and sorting ogives to approximate the relative composition of the retained and discarded components of the catch. Mesh size increased over the time period from 130 mm to 140 mm to 155 mm diamond or square mesh as indicated by management regulations (Table D7). Mesh selection ogives applied in the present analysis were derived from studies by Walsh et al. (1992).

The retained portion of the survey length composition was compared to the estimated number landed at length, and coefficients relating landings and retained survey abundance of plaice were determined for each semi-annual period from 1980-1997. The coefficients were then applied to the discarded portion of the survey length composition for the same semi-annual periods to expand the indices at length to estimated numbers discarded.

The numbers discarded at length were adjusted by the proportion of total plaice landings caught by large mesh otter trawl gear (Table D7). The age composition of the discard length frequency was then derived by appiying age length keys obtained from sea sampling supplemented with seasonal agelength keys from the NEFSC surveys. The semiannual age compositions were summarized to obtain an annual age composition of discarded American plaice in the large mesh fishery (Table D8).

## Total Commercial Fishery Age Composition and Mean Weight at Age

The catch in numbers and weight (mt) and the mean weight at age for the total commercial catch including landings and discards from the shrimp and large mesh otter trawl fishery are presented in Table D9 for the Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank region for 1980-1997. The most recent dominant year classes evident in the catch at age are the 1987 and 1992 year classes. The values for mean weight vary among years, however, there does not appear to be any trends over time. The variable mean weight in the older ages is likely due to poor sampling.

## Commercial Catch Rates

The landings per day fished (L/DF) for otter trawl trips from the Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank area were estimated for 1964-1997. The L/DF were estimated for ton classes 2-4 for all trips that landed any amount of American plaice and for trips that landed $50 \%$ or more American plaice ( $50 \%$ trips).

The total L/DF was estimated by summing the individual ton class $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{DF}$ weighted by the percentage of the total landings. The total $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{DF}$ for $50 \%$ trips and for all trips landing American plaice generally declined from 1964 to 1972 then gradually increased to a record high in 1977 and gradually declined to a record low in 1988. Catch rates increased again until 1992, then declined and have been relatively stable in recent years (Figure D2): Nominal fishing effort (df) for all trips landing any amount of plaice increased between 1971-1985, remained relatively high between 1985 and 1992, but has declined since 1993 (Figure D3).

## Research Survey Indices

Indices of abundance and biomass were estimated for American plaice from both the NEFSC and the Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries (MADMF) spring and autumn bottom trawl surveys. The NEFSC stratified mean number per tow by age and stratified mean weight per tow estimates, adjusted for differences in fishing power of the Albatross IV and the Delaware II are presented in Table D10 and Figures D4 and D5. Abundance indices were adjusted by 0.82 and biomass indices were adjusted by 0.69 if the survey was conducted by the Delaware II (NEFSC 1991). Indices of abundance from the NEFSC surveys indicate strong year classes occurring in 1978,1979,1981,1987 and 1992 (Table D10 and Figure D6). The MADMF survey indicates strong 1984,1987, and 1992 year classes (Table D11).

## Mortality

Instantaneous natural mortality was assumed to be 0.2 , based on studies of unexploited stocks by Pitt (1972). Mortality estimates were derived by combining all research surveys and calculating a 3 point moving average (Figure D7). Fishing mortality estimates are highly variable throughout the time series and appear to be lower in the latter half (1989-1997) of the time series.

## ESTIMATES OF STOCK SIZE AND FISHING MORTALITY

## Virtual Population Analysis Calibration

The ADAPT calibration method (Parrack 1986. Gavaris 1988, Conser and Powers 1990) was used to derive estimates of fishing mortality in 1997 and beginning year stock sizes in 1998. The catch-atage used in the VPA consisted of combined USA commercial landings and estimates of discards from 1980-1997 for ages 1-8 with a $9+$ age group. The indices of abundance used to calibrate the VPA included both the NEFSC 1980-1997 spring research survey abundance indices for ages 1-8 and the MADMF 1982-1997 spring research survey abundance indices for ages 1-5, and the NEFSC 1980-1997 autumn research survey abundances for ages 2-8 and the MADMF 1982-1997 autumn research survey abundance indices for ages 2-6. The autumn survey indices were lagged forward one age and one year to match cohorts in the subsequent year. Results of preliminary VPA calibrations are presented in Table D12.

The final ADAPT formulation provided stock size estimates for ages 2-8 in 1998 and corresponding $F$ estimates for ages 1-7 in 1997. Assuming full recruitment at age 5 , the F on age 8 in the terminal year was estimated as the average of the F on ages 5 through 7. The F on age 8 in all years prior to the terminal year was derived from weighted estimates of $Z$ for ages 5 through 7 . For all years, the $F$ on age 8 was applied to the $9+$ age group. Spawning stock biomass estimates were derived by applying a constant ogive derived from O'Brien et. al (1992).

The final ADAPT calibration results are presented in Table D13 for estimates of F, stock size, and SSB at age. Estimates of stock size were more precise for ages 2-7 with CVs ranging from 0.18 to 0.29 than for age $8(\mathrm{CV}=0.35)$. The residual patterns of the indices did not show any strong trends for the four surveys (Figure D8).

Average fully recruited fishing mortality (ages 5-8)
in 1997 was estimated at 0.47 , an increase of $10 \%$ from 1996 (Table D13, Figure D9). The 1997 estimate of SSB was $13,500 \mathrm{mt}$, an increase of $11 \%$ from 1996 (Table D13, Figure D10). Since 1980, recruitment has ranged from 12 million (1984 year class) to 57 million (1992 year class). Recruitment since 1993 has been near record low values and the 1997 estimate is the lowest in the time series (Table D13, Figure D10).

The relationship of recruitment at age one to spawning stock biomass is presented in Figure D11.

## Precision Estimates of F and SSB

A bootstrap procedure (Efron 1982) was used to evaluate the uncertainty associated with the estimates of fishing mortality and spawning stock biomass from the final VPA. One thousand bootstrap iterations were performed to estimate standard errors, coefficients of variation (CVs) and bias estimates for age 2-8 stock size estimates at the start of 1998 , the catchability estimates (q) for each index of abundance used in calibrating the VPA, and ages 1-7 F's in 1997.

The bootstrap results indicate that stock sizes were well estimated for age 2-8 with coefficients of variation (CVs) varying between $0.16-0.33$. The CVs for the catchability coefficients for all indices ranged between $0.13-0.15$. The fully recruited F for ages $5+$ was well estimated with a $\mathrm{CV}=0.15$. The bootstrap estimate of 0.485 was only slightly higher than the NLLS estimate. The distribution of the 1997 fully recruited average $F$ estimates, derived from the 1000 bootstrap iterations, ranged from 0.32 to 0.79 (Figure D12). There is an $80 \%$ probability that the average $F$ in 1997 is between 0.41 and 0.57 (Figure D12).

The bootstrap results indicate that spawning stock biomass was reasonably well estimated ( $\mathrm{CV}=0.10$ ) and slightly higher than the NLLS estimate of $13,454 \mathrm{mt}$. The distribution of the 1997 spawning stock biomass estimates, derived from the 1000 bootstrap iterations, ranged from $9,500 \mathrm{mt}$ to 19,500
mt (Figure D13). There is an $80 \%$ probability that the 1997 SSB is between $12,000 \mathrm{mt}$ and $15,000 \mathrm{mt}$ (Figure D13).

## Retrospective Analysis

A retrospective analysis was performed to evaluate how well the current ADAPT calibration would estimate spawning stock biomass, fishing mortality, and recruits at age 1 for the four years prior to the current assessment, 1993-1996. Convergence of the estimates generally occurs after about six years (Figures D14-D16). The retrospective analysis indicates a pattem of closely estimating or underestimating the recruits at age 1 (Figure D14). The exception to this is the estimates in 1993 and 1994 that were well below the 1995-1997 estimates. Estimates of spawning stock biomass (SSB) appear to be only slightly overestimated (Figure D15). Estimates of fishing mortality (F) are underestimated slightly for 1993-1995 and are almost equivalent for 1996 and 1997 (Figure D16).

## BIOLOGICAL REFERENCE POINTS

## Yield- and Spawning-Stock-Biomass per Recruit

Yield per recruit, total stock biomass per recruit, and spawning stock biomass per recruit were estimated using methodology of Thompson and Bell (1934). The estimates were derived based on arithmetic means of the 1994-1996 catch mean weight at age (Table D9) and stock mean weight at age. Proportion mature at age were obtained from O'Brien et. al (1992). A partial recruitment (PR) vector was calculated from the geometric mean of the 1994-1996 F estimates from the final VPA (Table D13), coinciding with the change in mesh regulations in 1994. The final exploitation pattern was derived by dividing the geometric mean F at age by the geometric mean of the unweighted average $F$ for ages 5-8 and smoothed by applying full exploitation at ages 5 and older. The exploitation pattern of:

Age 1: 0.02, Age 2: 0.05 , Age 3: 0.08 , Ages 4: 0.42 Age 5: 1.00
reflects a decrease in the exploitation at age 3 and an increase at ages 4 and 5 compared to the previous assessment (O'Brien et al. 1992). Input values and results for the yield-per-recruit analysis are provided in Table D14 and Figure D17. The resulting biological reference points were $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}=.19, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {max }}=.35$; and $\mathrm{F}_{20 \%}=.40$, compared to $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}=.18, \mathrm{~F}_{\max }=.29$, and $\mathrm{F}_{20 \%}=.49$ from the previous assessment.

Several other yield-per-recruit analyses were performed using catch mean weight at age disaggregated by landings, large mesh otter trawl discards, and shrimp fishery discards. The proportion of F for each of these components was also applied. The resulting biological reference points were $F_{0.1}=.16$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\max }=.26$, based on the landings per recruit.

An additional analysis was performed to address the recommendation of SAW 14 to simulate the effect of the removal of the shrimp fishery on stock status and biological reference points.

A yield per recruit analysis was performed using the average weight of the landings and large mesh discards combined, and a fishing mortality pattem that represented only the landings and large mesh fishery discards. Results indicate F would have to increase $15 \%\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\max }=.40\right)$ to achieve a 4\% increase in yield per recruit at $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$.

## MSY Based Reference Points

Estimates of maximum sustainable yield (MSY) and SSB $_{\text {MSY }}$ were derived using the long term average recruitment and current estimates of yield per recruit ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{R}$ ) and spawning stock biomass per recruit ( $\mathrm{SSB} / \mathrm{R}$ ) at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$. MSY is estimated to be about $4,400 \mathrm{mt}$ and $\mathrm{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}$ is estimated to be about $25,000 \mathrm{mt}$. These estimates differ for those provided by the Overfishing Definition Review Panel (Applegate et al. 1998) which appear to be incorrect.

The Panel recommended a control law with $F_{0,1}$ as the maximum fishing mortality threshold when the stock is greater than SSB $_{\text {MSY }}$ then decreasing linearly to zero at $1 / 4$ of $\operatorname{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}$. Given our current estimate of $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}(0.19)$ and $\operatorname{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}(25,000 \mathrm{mt})$ and the control law recommended by the Panel, the target $F$ would be set at $60 \%$ of the $F_{0.1}(0.11)$ when SSB is above SSB $_{\text {MSY }}$ and would decrease linearly to zero at $1 / 2$ of $\operatorname{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}$ ( $12,500 \mathrm{mt}$ ) (Figure D18). The 1997 SSB estimate is $13,500 \mathrm{mt}$ and the 1998 projected SSB is $10,800 \mathrm{mt}$.

## PROJECTIONS

Short term, three year stochastic projections were performed to estimate landings and SSB during 1998-2000 under the F scenarios of $\mathrm{F}_{98}=0.48, \mathrm{~F}_{0.1}$ $=0.19, F_{20 \%}=0.40$, and $F=0.0$, with no fishing (Appendix D1 Tables 1-4). Data input are the same as described in the yield per recruit analysis.(Table D14). In addition, recruitment in 1998 was derived from the distribution of geometric mean recruitment calculated from bootstrapped VPA estimates (19791996 year classes ) and the recruitment for 1999 and 2000 was estimated as the median value of the observed 1980-1997 recruitment at age one (Table D13).

At a fishing mortality of 0.48 , landings are projected to be about $3,000 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999, and decline to 2,200 mt in 2000 (Table 15, Figure D19). SSB decreases to about $8,600 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 and declines further to $6,500 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000. Fishing at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}=0.19$, landings will decline to $1,400 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 and remain stable at about $1,300 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000 . SSB at $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ will decline in $1999(9,100 \mathrm{mt})$ and continue to decline in 2000 $(8,600 \mathrm{mt})$. If fishing mortality is reduced zero, SSB will decline in 1999 (9,500 mt) and only increase minimally in $2000(10,400 \mathrm{mt})$ due to the below average recruitment in recent years (Table D15).

## CONCLUSIONS

The Guif of Maine-Georges Bank stock of American plaice is at a low biomass level, compared to the long term average mid-year biomass. Biomass indices derived from autumn research surveys indicate that the stock has been near or below the long term average since 1984. Fishing mortality increased rapidly from 1991 ( 0.43 ) to a record high in 1995 ( 0.75 ). Fishing mortality in 1997 was 0.47 , more than one and half times $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}=0.18$. Spawning stock biomass declined steadily from $49,000 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1980 to a record low value in $1990(8,700 \mathrm{mt})$, and increased to about $13,000 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1997. Although the largest year class on record occurred in 1992, recruiting year classes $(1994,1995,1996)$ are among the record low, and well below the long term average.

## Working Group Discussion

The working group noted that the VPA was relatively unstable when discard data were not included in some of the trial calibration runs. Exclusion of discards (primarily at younger ages) resulted in the same residual pattem noted for white hake (high positive residuals on the youngest age when not directly estimated) and unacceptable parameter estimates for older ages.

Inclusion of discards resulted in a very stable VPA under various trial formulations.

Discards represent an important component of the catch at age for this species and the working group considers further refinement of the discard estimates an important area for further investigation. In particular, the working group expressed concem for the estimates derived prior to the initiation of the NEFSC sea sampling program in 1989 and encourages further work to refine these estimates. Use of number of trips to characterize effort in the shrimp fishery may be too coarse, and the working group suggests that days fished be investigated as an effort
multiplier. Further, the calculation of $q$ from the relationship of filtered survey indices and estimated discards during the 1989-1997 period should be reevaluated.

The working group noted that sea sample data collected by the state of Massachusetts could be used in conjunction with the NEFSC-sponsored sea sample program to better refine estimates of discards. The working group suggested that discards from large-mesh and small-mesh otter trawls (other than shrimp vessels) should be estimated separately. The working group noted that sea sample data for the otter trawl fishery are available in most years since 1989 , and that these data should be evaluated as a source of discard estimates for the small-mesh finfish component of the otter trawl fishery. VTR (logbook) data also represent an additional source of information on discards, and these data should be evaluated for their utility for confirmatory calculations with respect to the sea sample data.

Overall, the working group notes that the final formulation of the VPA appears to be providing estimates of stock size and fishing mortality with considerable precision. Landings-at-age estimates from 1985-1997 have been completely revised in this assessment using recently-available commercial age/length keys. Further improvements should focus on refinements to the estimates of discards.

## SARC Comments

## Input Data

The SARC noted that the present VPA included landings at age derived from commercial length samples and commercial age/length keys rather than survey keys as was required in the previous assessment. However, a combination of direct (from sea sample data) and indirect (survey-based) methods was applied to estimate discards at age from the shrimp and large mesh trawl fisheries. Discard estimates from the shrimp fishery were expanded by effort (number of trips), and the SARC questioned
where the effort was obtained for the period after 1993. The SARC was informed that number of trips is available from the dealer database, but some problems may arise in the interpretation of this field.

The SARC noted the rather high amounts of discarded plaice from the shrimp fishery after the Nordmore grate went into effect.in 1992. It is likely that small plaice are passing through the grate and are retained in the codend. This hypothesis is consistent with the presence of relatively strong 1992 and 1993 year classes in the population.

The SARC detected an inconsistency in the estimation of plaice discards from the shrimp fishery during the 1995-1997 period when information from VTR records was used to determine the distribution of trips across the region. It was noted that most small vessel operators from Maine do not posses a groundfish license and are not obliged to fill out VTR reports. Therefore, no information on the spatial distribution of these trips are available to re-distribute the total number of trips derived from the dealer database. This may result in too many trips being assigned to the southern part of the region, thereby increasing the estimated discards. As well, the SARC speculated that sea sample trips are conducted primarily on larger vessels fishing in deeper water where discard of plaice may be highest, and the application of discard rates derived from these trips to all trips may inflate the estimates of discards. The SARC also noted that discard estimates from the shrimp fishery were dominated by the 1993 year class to an extent beyond their representation in the observed survey indices or in the estimated population matrix. It was noted that this year class as well as the 1992 year class appear relatively strong in the NEFSC surveys, but their dominance in the 1995 and 1996 shrimp fishery discard estimates may be affected by the length frequency samples available from the sea sample trips. Noting this, the SARC recommended that discards emanating from the northern shrimp fishery be re-estimated, taking into account depth zone of the fishing trips, and, if possible, a more refined measure offishing effort such as days fished.

Some anomalous entries in the catch at age (e.g., 1995 age 4 numbers) appear to be related to poor sampling of the commercial catch during the mid 1990s. The SARC also noted a discontinuity in the relationship between the abundance indices in NEFSC spring and autumn surveys since 1990 , but could offer no explanation for this change.

## Stock Size and Fishing Mortality Rates

The SARC was presented with results from the final working group formulation of the VPA which appeared to have high precision on the estimates of N , little retrospective or residual patterns, and internally consistent estimates of F for all fully recruited ages ( 5 through 8) from 1993 through 1996. However, given the concern with regard to the possible over-estimation of discards from the shrimp fishery, and noting the sensitivity of recruitment estimates to the magnitude of discards in the catch at age, the SARC requested that sensitivity runs be conducted in which the 1994-1997 discard estimates from the shrimp fishery were reduced and expanded by $50 \%$. Reduction of the discards resulted in slightly lower ( $\sim 7 \%$ ) estimates of the size of recent recruitment, particularly those of the 1992 and 1993 year classes. The impact on older ages and on estimates of fully recruited fishing mortality was minimal. Aside from this, results from these sensitivity runs were quite similar to the original base run and, noting this, the SARC agreed to accept the VPA as initially presented. The SARC requested that estimates of $Z$ derived from NEFSC and MADMF surveys be presented. Although somewhat variable, estimates derived from surveys displayed no apparent trend, and agreed in magnitude with estimates derived from the VPA.

## Biological Reference Points

The SARC reviewed yield and SSB per recruit analyses and noted that estimates of F0.1, Fmax and F20\% were similar to those presented in the previous assessment. The SARC also examined a
stock/recruitment plot for American plaice. Given that there appeared to be little or no $S / R$ relationship for this stock, the SARC considered that calculating Bmsy and MSY proxies from the dynamic pool model results was appropriate. Consequently the SARC was presented estimates of MSY derived from the estimated yield per recruit and SSBmsy derived from the estimated SSB per recruit at F0.1. This resulted in a SSBmsy of $26,000 \mathrm{mt}$ and an MSY of about $4,300 \mathrm{mt}$. These results were quite different from those derived from previous analyses.

## Sources of Uncertainly

1. Effect of not including discards emanating from the small-mesh whiting fishery.
2. Effect of using number of trips as an effort multiplier versus a more refined measure such as days fished.
3. Effect of expanding discard estimates derived from the small segment covered in the sea sampling program to the whole fleet.

## Research Recommendations.

1. The sea sample data used to estimate discards in the shrimp fishery could be further stratified to take account of variations in discard rates by depth.
2. Use of another effort measure of effort such as days fished should be evaluated as an effort multiplied in the survey-based method for calculating discards in the shrimp fishery.
3. Examine the feasibility of including Massachusetts sea sampling data and VTR data in the calculation of discards.
4. Examine the USSR data to determine if catches of American plaice may have been underestimated during the late 1960s.
5. Examine the available data to characterize the seasonality and spatial variability of spawning in the Gulf of Maine.
6. Derive estimates of discards for the small-mesh otter trawl component, particularly for the years 1980, 1981 and 1983.
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Table D1 Commerical landings (metric tons, live) of American plaice from the Gulf of Maine, Georges Bank. Southern New England and the Mid-Allantic, $1960-1997$.

| Year | Gulf of Maine |  |  | Georges Bank |  |  |  |  | Southern New England |  |  |  | Mid - Allantic |  |  | Grand Tolal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | USA | Can | Total | USA | Can | SSR | Other | Total | USA | SSR | ther | otal | USA |  | otal | USA | Other | Tolal |
| 1960 | 620 | 1 | 621 | 689 | - | - | - | 689 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | 1309 | 1 | 1310 |
| 1961 | 692 | - | 692 | 830 | - | - | - | 830 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | 1522 | 0 | 1522 |
| 1962 | 694 | - | 694 | 1233 | 44 | - | - | 1277 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | 1927 | 44 | 1971 |
| 1963 | 693 | - | 693 | 1489 | 127 | 24 | - | 1640 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | 2182 | 151 | 2333 |
| 1964 | 811 | - | 811 | 2800 | 177 | - | 11 | 2988 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | 3611 | 188 | 3799 |
| 1965 | 967 | - | 967 | 2376 | 180 | 112 | - | 2668 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | 3343 | 292 | 3635 |
| 1966 | 955 | 2 | 957 | 2388 | 242 | 279 | 1 | 2910 | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | 3343 | 524 | 3867 |
| 1967 | 1066 | 6 | 1072 | 2166 | 203 | 1018 | 10 | 3397 | - | - |  | 0 | 4 | - | 4 | 3236 | 1237 | 4473 |
| 1968 | 904 | 5 | 909 | 1695 | 173 | 193 | 5 | 2066 | 637 | 145 | - | 782 | 18 | 2 | 20 | 3254 | 523 | 3777 |
| 1969 | 1059 | 7 | 1066 | 1738 | 71 | 63 | 17 | 1889 | 505 | 349 | - | 854 | 130 | - | 130 | 3432 | 507 | 3939 |
| 1970 | 895 | - | 895 | 1603 | 92 | 927 | 658 | 3280 | 88 | 18 | 40 | 146 | 8 | - | 8 | 2594 | 1735 | 4329 |
| 1971 | 648 | 5 | 653 | 1511 | 36 | 228 | 296 | 2071 | 11 | 112 | 206 | 329 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 2176 | 885 | 3061 |
| 1972 | 569 | - | 569 | 1222 | 22 | 358 | - | 1602 | 3 | 71 | - | 74 | - | . | 0 | 1794 | 451 | 2245 |
| 1973 | 687 | - | 687 | 910 | 38 | 289 | - | 1237 | 5 | 158 | - | 163 | - | - | 0 | 1602 | 485 | 2087 |
| 1974 | 945 | 2 | 947 | 1039 | 27 | 16 | 2 | 1084 | 92 | 4 | - | 96 | - | - | 0 | 2076 | 51 | 2127 |
| 1975 | 1507 | - | 1507 | 913 | 25 | 148 |  | 1086 | 3 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 0 | 2423 | 173 | 2596 |
| 1976 | 2550 | - | 2550 | 948 | 24 | 3 | - | 975 | 10 | - | - | 10 | - 1 | - | 1 | 3509 | 27 | 3536 |
| 1977 | 5647 | - | 5647 | 1408 | 35 | 50 | - | 1493 | 6 | 78 | - | 84 | 7 | - | 7. | 7068 | 163 | 7231 |
| 1978 | 7287 | 30 | 7317 | 2193 | 77 | - | - | 2270 | 15 | - | - | 15 | 8 | - | 8. | 9503 | 107 | 9610 |
| 1979 | 8835 | - | 8835 | 2478 | 23 | - | - | 2501 | 13 | - | 7 | 20 | 4 | - | 4 | 11330 | 30 | 11360 |
| 1980 | 11139 | - | 11139 | 2399 | 43 | - | 5 | 2447 | 10 | - | - | 10 | 1 | - | 1 | 13549 | 48 | 13597 |
| 1981 | 10327 | 1. | 10328 | 2482 | 15 | - | 2 | 2499 | 26 | - | 2 | 28 | 46 | - |  | 12881 | 20 | 12901 |
| 1982 | 11147 | $\bigcirc$ | 11147 | 3935 | 27 | - | 1 | 3963 | 35 | - | 2 | 37 | 9 | - | 9 | 15126 | 30 | 15156 |
| 1983 | 9142 | 7 | 9149 | 3955 | 30 | - | - | 3985 | 40 | - | - | 40 | 4 | - | 4 | 13141 | 37 | 13178 |
| 1984 | 6833 | 2 | 6835 | 3277 | 6 | - | - | 3283 | 17 | - | - | 17 | 7 | - | 7 | 10134 | 8 | 10142 |
| 1985 | 4766 | 1 | 4767 | 2249 | 40 | . | - | 2289 | 12 | - | - | 12 | 2 | - | 2 | 7029 | 41 | 7070 |
| 1986 | 3319 | - | 3319 | 1146 | 34 | . | - | 1180 | 4 | - | - | 4 | 3 | - | 3 | 4472 | 34 | 4506 |
| 1987 | 2766 | - | 2766 | 1032 | 48 | - | - | 1080 | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | . 3801 | 48 | 3849 |
| 1988 | 2271 | - | 2271 | 1097 | 108 | - | - | 1205 | 13 | - | - | 13 | 1 | - | 1 | 3382 | 108 | 3490 |
| 1989 | 1646 | - | 1646 | 703 | 68 | - | - | 771 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 3 | 2353 | 68 | 2421 |
| 1990 | 1802 | - | 1802 | 639 | 51 | - | - | 690 | 2 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | 2445 | 51 | 2496 |
| 1991 | 2936 | - | 2936 | 1310 | - | . | - | 1310 | 15 | - | - | 15 | 0 | - | 0 | 4261 | 0 | 4261 |
| 1992 | 4564 | 2 | 4566 | 1838 | - | - | - | 1838 | 10 | - | - | 10 | 4 | . | 4 | 6416 | 2 | 6418 |
| 1993 | 3865 | $\bigcirc$ | 3865 | 1838 | * | - | . | 1838 | 11 | . | - | 11 | 4 | - | 4 | 5718 | 0 | 5718 |
| 1994 | 3402 | 29 | 34311 | 1560 | 2 | - | - | 1562 | 21 | - | - | 21 | 83 | - | 83 | 5066 | 31 | 5097 |
| 1995 | 3123 | 3 | 3126 | 1486 | . | . | . | 1486 | 16 | - | - | 16 | 20 | . | 20 | 4645 | 3 | 4648 |
| 1996 | 2920 | 2 | 2922 | 1423 | - | - | - | 1423 | 39 | - | - | 39 | 14 | - |  | 4396 | 2 | 4398 |
| 1997 | 2331 | 65 | 2396 | 1560 | - | - | - | 1560 | 22 | - | - | 22 | 24 | . | 24 | 3937 | 65 | 4002 |

* 1994-1997 data are spatially distributed based on proportions of landings recorded by area in the VTR database and are considered provisional.

Table D2. Landings by market category ( $\mathrm{Sm}=$ small + peewee; $M d=$ medium; $L g=$ large $+j u m b o ;$ Un=unclassified) for statistical areas 511-515, 521-522, 525-526, 561-562 for American plaice, 1980-1997. (1994-1997 includes all areas).

| YEAR | Quarter 1 |  |  |  | Quarter 2 |  |  |  | Quarter 3 |  |  |  | Quarter 4 |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sm | Md | Lg | Un | Sm | Md | Lg | Un | Sm | Md | Lg | Un | Sm | Md | Lg | Un | Sm | Md | Lg | Un |
| 1980 | 565 | 0 | 1527 | 3 | . 1398 | 0 | 3667 | 100 | 1026 | 0 | 2399 | 16 | 479 | 0 | 1488 | 1 | 3468 | 0 | 9081 | 120 |
| 1981 | 730 | 0 | 1775 | 26 | 1233 |  | .3557 | 253 | 993 | 0 | 2209 | 34 | . 457 | 0 | 1532 | 2 | 3413 | 0 | 9073 | 315 |
| 1982 | 581 | 0 | 1468 | 11 | 1353 | 5 | 4350 | 318 | 1191 | 524 | 2643 | 131 | 571 | 299 | 1570 | 40 | 3696 | 827 | 10031 | 500 |
| 1983 | 580 | 356 | 1624 | 5 | 1488 | 713 | 3148 | 57 | 1027 | 497 | 1816 | 18 | 399 | 276 | 1090 | 3 | 3494 | 1843 | 7678 | 83 |
| 1984 | 431 | 247 | 1071 | 10 | 954 | 649 | 2355 | 27 | 812 | 479 | 1444 | 19 | 372 | 309 | 909 | 13 | 2568 | 1684 | 5779 | 70 |
| 1985 | 512 | 253 | 708 | 14 | 709 | 511 | 1548 | 22 | 503 | 369 | 1046 | 13 | 239 | 188 | 521 | 9 | 1963 | 1321 | 3823 | 59 |
| 1986 | 187 | 132 | 409 | 13 | 539 | 350 | 1014 | 33 | 342 | 201 | 536 | 11 | 202 | 146 | 349 | 6 | 1269 | 829 | 2308 | 63 |
| 1987 | 169 | 108 | 304 | 20 | 460 | 275 | 744 | 43 | 367 | 203 | 475 | 20 | 199 | 126 | 246 | 35 | 1195 | 711 | 1768 | 117 |
| 1988 | 203 | 94 | 279 | 39 | 447 | 244 | 529 | 75 | 433 | 186 | 303 | 47 | 155 | 88 | 143 | 36 | 1238 | 612 | 1254 | 197 |
| 1989 | 117 | 76 | 158 | 25 | 300 | 208 | 423 | 68 | 222 | 126 | 222 | 29 | 139 | 81 | 135 | 21 | 778 | 491 | 938 | 142 |
| 1990 | 101 | 66 | 142 | 19 | 269 | 194 | 317 | 49 | 323 | 196 | 273 | 20 | 190 | 118 | 146 | 19 | 883 | 573 | 879 | 107 |
| 1991 | 138 | 78 | 116 | 20 | 594 : | 347 | 367 | 61 | 773 | 378 | 353 | 40 | 435 | 263 | 241 | 41 | 1939 | 1066 | 1077 | 162 |
| 1992 | 302 | 174 | 291 | 35 | 902 | 634 | 805 | 112 | 887 | 624 | 674 | 80 | 426 | 278 | 394 | 17 | 2517 | 1710 | 2164 | 244 |
| 1993 | 276 | 181 | 410 | 17 | 702 | 515 | 867 | 80 | 589 | 371 | 602 | 26 | 423 | 232 | 401 | 14 | 1990 | 1299 | 2280 | 137 |
| 1994 | 237 | 120 | 243 | 22 | 685 | 434 | 711 | 15 | 692 | 387 | 506 | 8 | 437 | 218 | 345 | 6 | 2051 | 1159 | 1805 | 51 |
| 1995 | 214 | 117 | 198 | 10 | 811. | 425 | 585 | 29 | 800 | 287 | 327 | 9 | 436 | 178 | 216 | 4 | 2261 | 1007 | 1326 | 52 |
| 1996 | 240 | 108 | 180 | 4 | 808 | 343 | 434 | 22 | 913 | 242 | 253 | 10 | 493 | 159 | 183 | 3 | 2454 | 852 | 1050 | 39 |
| 1997 | 322 | 99 | 158 | 2 | 696 | 390 | 360 | 56 | 550 | 406 | 245 | 16 | 321 | 176 | 139 | 2 | 1889 | 1071 | 902 | 76 |

Table D3 Sampling of commercial American plaice tandings, by market category, for the Gulf of Maine and Georges Bank areas (NAFO Division 5Y and 5Z). 1985-1997. Outine indicates samples pooled to estimate landings at age.

|  | Small |  |  |  | Medium |  |  |  | Large |  |  |  | Number of tons tanded / sample |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Sm. | Med. | Lrg. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1985 \mathrm{~GB} \\ \text { GM } \\ \text { total } \end{array}$ | 2 | 4 | 14 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | 21 | - | 3 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 5 | 5 | '5 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 5 |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 9 | 19 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 7 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 6 | 49 | 55 | 116 |
| 1986 GB | 3 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |
| GM | 9 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 4 |  |  |  |
| total | 12 | . 11 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 11 | 14 | 10 | 6 | 33 | 35 | 56 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1987 \mathrm{~GB} \\ \text { GM } \\ \text { total } \end{array}$ | 4 | 5 | 5 | 1 | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | -1] |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 5 |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | 11 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 39 | 40. | 63 |
| 1988 GBGMtotal | 3 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | $7$ | $4$ | $5$ | $6$ | $6$ | $4$ | $3$ | $6$ | $5$ | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | $14$ | $8$ | $7$ | $7$ | $9$ | $8$ | $5$ | $10$ | $10$ | 5 | 6 | 34 | 21 | 40 |
| 1989 GB | 2 | 5 | 5 | - | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 |  | 3 | - |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | - | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 35 | 29 | 63 |
| 1990 GB | - | 51 | 6 | , | 2 | 1 | 2 | -2] | - | 2 | 5 | $-1$ |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 5 | $1$ | $5$ | 3 | 5 |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | 10 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 33 | 26 | 42 |
| 1991 G | - | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | - |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 78 | 67 | 67 |
| 1992 GB | - |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 168 | 143 | 155 |
| 1993 GB | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 1 | $\cdots$ |  | - | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | $\square$ | 2 | 2 | - | $\underline{-}$ | 1 | 2 | - |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 133 | 260 | 253 |
| $1994$ | - |  | 5 | 3 | -- | 4 | 1 3 | 1 3 | - | 1 .2 |  | 1 3 |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 205 | 97 | 181 |
| 1995 G | 1 | 3 | - |  | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ - \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 323 | 336 | 332 |
| 1996 GB | 2 | 2 3 | 2 2 | 1 1 | - 2 | 1 1 | 4 <br> 3 | - | 3 | 2 1 | 1 4 | 1 2 |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 189 | 53 | 75 |
| 1997 | 2 4 | 4 | 2 3 | 3 1 | 2 | 2 3 | 3 3 | 1 | $\underline{1}$ | 2 5 | 3 | 二 2 |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 82 | 77 | 69 |

Table D4. Landings at age (thousands of fish; metric tons), mean weight (kg), and mean lenglh (cm) at age of commercial landings of American plaice from Gulf of Maine - Georges Bank, and South, 1980-1997.


| 1980 | $0_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 6 | 271 | 1387 | 2562 | 3008 | 1232 | 1347 | 1168 | 508 | 269 | 391 | 1448 | 13597 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | 01 | 0 | 78 | 276 | 1485 | 2318 | 2832 | 2122 | 1545 | 729 | 552 | 266 | 257 | 82 | 358 | 12898 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 620 | 1166 | 1845 | 2007 | 3164 | 2320 | 1502 | 1144 | 551 | 65 | 224 | 524 | 15153 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 1720 | 2484 | 2596 | 1864 | 1326 | 867 | 650 | 638 | 405 | 108 | 380 | 13187 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 84 | 549 | 2913 | 1957 | 1713 | 688 | 310 | 421 | 134 | 93 | 0 | 1279 | 10142 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 212 | 747 | 1516 | 1884 | 1263 | 603 | 445 | 158 | 115 | 42 | 73 | 7070 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 349 | 616 | 864 | 1101 | 741 | 380 | 183 | 102 | 58 | 17 | 42 | 4506 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 97 | 187 | 809 | 797 | 797 | 636 | 278 | 107 | 56 | 34 | 32 | 15 | 3849 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 413 | 689 | 922 | 484 | 333 | 247 | 151 | 49 | 29 | 26 | 20 | 3490 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 177 | 335 | 295 | 553 | 403 | 257 | 150 | 62 | 51 | 46 | 66 | 2421 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 355 | 547 | 330 | 240 | 338 | 210 | 125 | 104 | 76 | 30 | 62 | 2496 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 839 | 1532 | 790 | 307 | 191 | 256 | 150 | 107 | 46 | 18 | 17 | 4261 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 314 | 2623 | 1895 | 774 | 237 | 173 | 193 | 72 | 63 | 40 | 13 | 6418 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 463 | 1054 | 1591 | 1305 | 327 | 399 | 238 | 126 | 55 | 13 | 94 | 5718 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 48 | 391 | 1008 | 807 | 938 | 659 | 308 | 217 | 106. | 92 | 54 | 466 | 5097 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | . 0 | 51 | 301 | 1482 | 1141 | 531 | 652 | 283 | 112 | 51 | 28 | 0 | 17 | 4648 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 59 | 1017 | 1236 | 918 | 490 | 290 | 172 | 55 | 41 | 33 | 57 | 13 | 4398 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 541 | 1245 | 992 | 510 | 208 | 115 | 105 | 82 | 40 | 32 | 131. | 4002 |

Table D4. Landings at age (thousands of fish; metric tons), mean weight (kg), and mean length ( cm ) at age of commercial landings of American plaice cont'd from Gulf of Maine - Georges Bank, and South, 1980-1997.


Table D5. Discard rate (lbshnip), number of trips and total discards (lbs) of American plaice in the Northern Shrimp fishery for Area 1 ( N of 4315 degrees latitude) and Area 2 ( S of 4315 degrees Latitude), by season, 1989-1997.

| Year <br> Season | AREA 1 ( N of 4315 Degrees) |  |  | AREA 2 ( $\mathrm{S}<=$ of 4315 Degrees) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Disc. Rate lbs / trip | No. Trips | Total Disc. $\mathrm{lbs}$ | Disc. Rate lbs / trip | No. Trips | Total Disc. $\qquad$ | Total Disc. los |
| 4989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 8.17 | 2989 | 24420 | 33.12 | 2976 | 98565 | 122985 |
| Spring | 298.87 | 508 | 151826 | 9948 | 1282 | 127533 | 279359 |
| December | 109.95 | 343 | 37713 | 121.51 | 1016 | 123454 | 161167 |
| Annual Total |  | 3840 | 213959 | ! | 5274 | 349553 | 563512 |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 109.95 | 1951 | 214512 | 121.51 | 2417 | 293690 | 508202 |
| Spring | 99.48 . | 1839 | 182944. | 81.45 | 1669 | 135940 | 318884 |
| December | 18.17 | 273 | 4960 | 73.7 | 820 | 60434 | 65394 |
| Annual Total |  | 4063 | 402417 |  | 4906 | 490064 | 892480 |
| 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 18.17 | 2061 | 37448 | 73.7 | 2421 | 178428 | 215876 |
| Spring | 12.18 | 867 | 10560 | 81.45 | 1307 | 106455 | 117015 |
| December | 6.69 | 235 | 1572 | 44.7 | 335 | 14975 | 16547 |
| Annual Total |  | 3163 | 49581 |  | 4063 | 299857 | 349438 |
| 1992 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 6.69 | 4635 | 30989 | 44.7 | 378 | 16897 | 47886 |
| Spring | 5.47 | 969 | 5304 | 22.2 | 755 | 16759 | 22064 |
| . December | 5.47 | 129 | 706 | 14.88 | 252 | 3750 | 4456 |
| Annual Total |  | 5733 | 37000 |  | 1385 | 37406 | 74406 |
| 1993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 5.47 | 2283 | 12497 | 14.88 | 1264 | 18808 | 31305 |
| Spring | 4.48 | 637 | 2855 | 16.44 | 1175 | 19322 | 22177 |
| December | 3.67 | 173 | 635 | 12.18 | 329 | 4008 | 4643 |
| Annual Total |  | 3093 | 15987 |  | 2768 | 42138 | 58125 |
| 1994 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 3.67 | 2136 | 7838 | 12.18 | 1820 | 22172 | 30010 |
| Spring | 4.95 | 599 | 2967 | 3.67 | 741 | 2719 | 5686 |
| December | 24.53 | 271 | 6648 | 7.38 | 1633 | 12052 | 18699 |
| Annual Total |  | 3006 | 17452 |  | 4194 | 36943 | 54395 |
| 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 24.53 | 756 | 18545 | 7.38 | 4851 | 35800 | 54345 |
| Spring | 14.89 | 167 | 2487 | 54.6 | 2642 | 144253 | 146740 |
| December | 9.03 | 132 | 1192 | 24.53 | 1726 | 42339 | 43531 |
| Annual Total |  | 1055 | 22223 |  | 9219 | 222392 | 244616 |
| 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 9.03 | 848 | 7657 | 24.53 | 5822 | 142814 | 150471 |
| Spring | 81.45 | 366 | 29811 | 27.11 | 3024 | 81981 | 111791 |
| December | 7.39 | 113 | 835 | 18.17 | 2178 | 39574 | 40409 |
| Annual Total |  | 1327 | 38303 |  | 11024 | 264369 | 302672 |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | 7.39 | 527 | 3895 | 18.17 | 5162 | 93794 | 97688 |
| Spring* | 81.45 | 139 | 11322 | 29.96 | 4572 | 136977 | 148299 |
| December* | 7.39 | 28 | 207 | 18.17 | 1196 | 21731 | 21938 |
| Annual Total |  | 694 | 15423 |  | 10930 | 252502 | 267925 |

[^2]Table D6. Discards at age (thousands of fist; metric tons) and mean weight (kg) at age of American plaice discarded in the northem shrmp fishery in the Gulf of Maine region, 1980-1997.



Mean weights at age from 1980-1988 calculated as averages of 1989-1997.

Table D7. The percent of total American plaice landings caught by large mesh otter trawl gear (5.0", 5.1", and 6.0" mesh ) , 1980-1997.

| Year | Mesh( inches) | Percent of <br> total landings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 5.0 | 55.5 |
| 1981 | 5.0 | 63.2 |
| 1982 | 5.0 | 85.4 |
| 1983 | 5.5 | 62.3 |
| 1984 | 5.5 | 80.5 |
| 1985 | 5.5 | 84.9 |
| 1986 | 5.5 | 90.8 |
| 1987 | 5.5 | 97.8 |
| 1988 | 5.5 | 98.3 |
| 1989 | 5.5 | 95.6 |
| 1990 | 5.5 | 97.3 |
| 1991 | 5.5 | 95.7 |
| 1992 | 5.5 | 93.7 |
| 1993 | 5.5 | 91.7 |
| 1994 | 6.0 | 89.2 |
| 1995 | 6.0 | 88.4 |
| 1996 | 6.0 | 90.2 |
| 1997 | 6.0 | 88.3 |
|  |  |  |

Table D8. Discards at age (thousands of fish: metric tons) and mean weight ( kg ) at age of American plaice discarded in the targe mesh fishery in the Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank region, 1980-1997.

| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Discards in Numbers (000's) at Age

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1980 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 98.9 | 935.7 | 1786.7 | 781.2 | 30.2 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3640.8 |
| 1981 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 246.7 | 495.9 | 436.9 | 157.6 | 29.8 | 19.9 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1396.4 |
| 1982 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 335.4 | 668.9 | 446.8 | 101.8 | 21.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1577.3 |
| 1983 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 47.8 | 399.5 | 681.4 | 327.8 | 52.6 | 12.2 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 1526.6 |
| 1984 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 65.0 | 249.1 | 549.4 | 718.1 | 281.5 | 16.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1879.8 |
| 1985 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 54.6 | 227.0 | 85.8 | 30.8 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 414.5 |
| 1986 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 85.9 | 139.6 | 268.3 | 65.7 | 4.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 569.6 |
| 1987 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 135.9 | 390.4 | 343.7 | 241.1 | 53.2 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1177.1 |
| 1988 | 0.0 | 30.4 | 197.1 | 606.9 | 276.6 | 50.3 | 5.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1167.0 |
| 1989 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 194.6 | 574.8 | 347.7 | 119.2 | 31.5 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1276.3 |
| 1990 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 77.9 | 1221.4 | 844.0 | 168.3 | 22.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2311.7 |
| 1991 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 132.1 | 541.9 | 2092.5 | 492.0 | 14.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3279.7 |
| 1992 | 0.0 | 17.3 | 162.1 | 863.4 | 1403.5 | 1913.9 | 160.3 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4533.9 |
| 1993 | 0.0 | 24.9 | 330.1 | 1795.9 | 3027.9 | 1523.5 | 683.4 | 20.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7406.5. |
| 1994 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 299.6 | 1693.0 | 2550.8 | 414.3 | 110.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 5075.5 |
| 1995 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 1426.0 | 5689.0 | 1933.9 | 251.5 | 7.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9326.3 |
| 1996 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 201.8 | 1568.8 | 508.8 | 38.9 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2336.6 |
| 1997 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.7 | 289.5 | 1104.8 | 1219.2 | 128.2 | 97.0 | 45.6 | 42.5 | 21.9 | 2958.5 |

Discards at age (mt)

| 1980 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 7.5 | 147.2 | 423.8 | 218.3 | 9.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 807.6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1981 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 21.9 | 61.7 | 70.0 | 26.7 | 5.6 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 190.6 |
| 1982 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 42.1 | 98.8 | 69.3 | 18.6 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 232.6 |
| 1983 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 65.8 | 134.5 | 69.7 | 12.0 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 290.0 |
| 1984 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 40.2 | 112.4 | 172.8 | 71.3 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 408.7 |
| 1985 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 25.4 | 11.3 | 4.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.6 |
| 1986 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 6.2 | 17.9 | 44.7 | 12.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 82.2 |
| 1987 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 11.4 | 60.2 | 69.5 | 59.2 | 15.2 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 216.9 |
| 1988 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 13.5 | 100.1 | 53.5 | 11.3 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 180.5 |
| 1989 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 12.8 | 96.5 | 81.0 | 29.2 | 7.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 228.2 |
| 1990 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 5.2 | 222.8 | 207.9 | 45.5 | 6.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 488.4 |
| 1991 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 8.4 | 73.1 | 543.5 | 139.9 | 6.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 771.4 |
| 1992 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 12.8 | 139.9 | 375.4 | 674.6 | 60.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1267.0 |
| 1993 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 29.5 | 374.4 | 787.5 | 496.6 | 259.9 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1956.1 |
| 1994 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 67.4 | 470.7 | 856.4 | 153.7 | 45.8 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1595.0 |
| 1995 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 373.2 | 1776.5 | 693.5 | 95.5 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2945.3 |
| 1996 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 47.1 | 446.6 | 156.2 | 13.6 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 669.9 |
| 1997 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 59.9 | 285.8 | 319.5 | 36.0 | 25.2 | 10.9 | 12.5 | 6.5 | 758.0 |

Mean weight at age (kg)

| 1980 | - | 0.030 | 0.076 | 0.157 | 0.237 | 0.279 | 0.311 | 0.392 | 0.000 | - | - | 0.222 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1981 | - | 0.037 | 0.089 | 0.124 | 0.160 | 0.169 | 0.189 | 0.171 | 0.209 | - | - | 0.133 |
| 1982 | - | 0.029 | 0.126 | 0.148 | 0.155 | 0.182 | 0.173 | - | - | - | - | 0.147 |
| 1983 | 0.007 | 0.024 | 0.083 | 0.165 | 0.197 | 0.213 | 0.228 | 0.234 | 0.308 | 0.229 | - | 0.190 |
| 1984 | - | - | 0.103 | 0.162 | 0.205 | 0.241 | 0.253 | 0.317 | 0.432 | - | - | 0.217 |
| 1985 | - | 0.030 | 0.088 | 0.112 | 0.132 | 0.155 | 0.168 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | - | 0.115 |
| 1986 | - | 0.035 | 0.072 | 0.128 | 0.167 | 0.189 | 0.171 | 0.295 | - | - | - | 0.144 |
| 1987 | - | 0.020 | 0.084 | 0.154 | 0.202 | 0.246 | 0.286 | 0.295 | 0.116 | - | - | 0.184 |
| 1988 | - | 0.019 | 0.068 | 0.165 | 0.193 | 0.226 | 0.262 | 0.359 | - | - | - | 0.155 |
| 1989 | - | 0.017 | 0.066 | 0.168 | 0.233 | 0.245 | 0.239 | 0.209 | 0.369 | - | - | -0.179 |
| 1990 | - | 0.015 | 0.067 | 0.182 | 0.255 | 0.270 | 0.300 | 0.359 | 0.432 | - | - | 0.211 |
| 1991 | - | 0.019 | 0.063 | 0.135 | 0.260 | 0.284 | 0.406 | 0.515 | - | - | - | 0.235 |
| 1992 | - | 0.039 | 0.079 | 0.162 | 0.267 | 0.353 | 0.374 | 0.290 | 0.239 | - | - | 0.279 |
| 1993 | - | 0.017 | 0.090 | 0.208 | 0.260 | 0.326 | 0.380 | 0.371 | - | - | - | 0.264 |
| 1994 | - | 0.047 | 0.102 | 0.225 | 0.278 | 0.336 | 0.371 | 0.415 | - | 0.609 | - | 0.314 |
| 1995 | - | - | 0.156 | 0.262 | 0.312 | 0.359 | 0.380 | 0.489 | 0.295 | 0.000 | - | 0.316 |
| 1996 | - | 0.065 | 0.101 | 0.233 | 0.285 | 0.307 | 0.349 | 0.366 | 0.359 | 0.000 | - | 0.287 |
| 1997 | - | 0.065 | 0.170 | 0.207 | 0.259 | 0.262 | 0.281 | 0.260 | 0.239 | 0.295 | 0.295 | 0.256 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table D9 Catch at age (thousands of fish; metric tons) and mean weight (kg), of commercial landings, and large mesh and northern shrimp fishery discards of American plaice from Gulf of Maine - Georges Bank, and South, 1980-1997


Table D9 Catch at age (thousands of fish; metric tons) and mean weight (kg), of commercial landings, and large mesh and northetn shrimp fishery conl'd discards of American plaice from Gulf of Maine - Georges Bank, and South, 1980-1997


Table D10. Stratified mean number per tow by age and stratified mean weight per tow (kgl of American plaice in NEFSC spring and autumn bottom trawl surveys, adjusted for vessel differences, in the Gulf of Maine - Georges Bank' area, 1980-1997.


Table D11 Stratified mean number per fow by age of American plaice in Massachusetts State spring and autumn bottom trawl surveys in
Massachuselts Bay and Cape Cod Bay (Regions 4+5), 1982-1997.

| Year Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982 | 0.00 | 7.18 | 49.25 | 33.35 | 17.14 | 5.00 | 2.42 | 1.12 | 0.26 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| 1983 | 0.00 | 1.93 | 18.76 | 22.42 | 21.46 | 10.22 | 2.37 | 0.73 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.10 |
| 1984 | 0.00 | 2.15 | 27.44 | 21.32 | 10.57 | 4.64 | 1.21 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| 1985 | 0.00 | 21.56 | 17.16 | 24.22 | 9.50 | 3.77 | 2.24 | 0.65 | 0.76 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| 1986 | 0.00 | 27.06 | 110.27 | 26.91 | 14.43 | 2.84 | 0.61 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.16 |
| 1987 | 0.00 | 34.36 | 17.26 | 15.79 | 3.90 | 1.76 | 0.51 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1988 | 0.00 | 81.47 | 63.57 | 17.85 | 8.72 | 1.54 | 0.47 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1989 | 0.00 | 8.07 | 127.26 | 44.97 | 11.99 | 3.03 | 1.31 | 0.20 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| 1990 | 0.00 | 7.73 | 25.37 | 56.71 | 16.48 | 3.43 | 0.53 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1991 | 0.00 | 2.10 | 19.98 | 34.77 | 18.98 | 3.24 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1992 | 0.00 | 8.20 | 11.06 | 33.98 | 14.99 | 7.42 | 1.11 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1993 | 0.00 | 11.60 | 18.98 | 16.08 | 9.16 | 3.45 | 0.81 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1994 | 0.00 | 11.60 | 52.57 | 22.12 | 7.13 | 3.88 | 1.03 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1995 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 34.65 | 49.64 | 10.32 | 3.16 | 0.62 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| 1996 | 0.00 | 2.29 | 4.14 | 14.92 | 31.39 | 6.33 | 1.01 | 0.77 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1997 | 0.00 | 1.55 | 7.96 | 13.95 | 17.24 | 12.21 | 2.41 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Autumn |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| 1982 | 0.17 | 13.24 | 15.46 | 10.22 | 5.11 | 1.14 | 0.56 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.08 |
| 1983 | 1.29 | 52.17 | 18.98 | 10.02 | 8.30 | 1.39 | 0.32 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 1984 | 0.11 | 3.14 | 13.24 | 4.27 | 1.83 | 0.77 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1985 | $: 0.00$ | 60.97 | 9.45 | 14.21 | 1.56 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1986 | 0.23 | 41.27 | 40.08 | 12.07 | 5.30 | 0.39 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1987 | 0.24 | 46.36 | 14.60 | 3.00 | 0.52 | 0.23 | 0.07 | 0.01 | -0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1988 | 0.00 | 85.63 | 41.28 | 13.98 | 1.34 | 0.45 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1989 | 0.03 | 57.56 | 122.25 | 31.03 | 2.33 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1990 | 0.08 | 31.99 | 14.20 | 20.12 | 3.93 | 0.21 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1991 | 0.04 | 24.07 | 90.36 | 40.05 | 11.51 | 1.17 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1992 | 0.00 | 46.33 | 12.99 | 29.79 | 11.04 | 1.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1993 | 0.00 | 76.21 | 36.80 | 17.59 | 6.85 | 1.71 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1994 | 0.00 | 36.71 | 7931 | 10.76 | 2.91 | 1.56 | 0.23 | 014 | 0.00 | 000 | 000 | 0.00 |
| 1995 | 000 | 11.84 | 44.22 | 24.93 | 421 | 0.91 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1996 | 0.09 | 1625 | 19.25 | 27.55 | 1396 | 1.39 | 0.28 | 000 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 000 | 0.00 |
| 1997 | 0.00 | 13.61 | 28.08 | 17.91 | 10.29 | 1.46 | 0.19 | 001 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Table D12 Results of preliminary formulations for VPA calibration of Gulf of Maine Georges Bank American plaice.

| Run Number <br> Ages Estimated | 41-BASE <br> 2 to 8 | 48 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Indces used: |  | 4 to 8 |
| US Spring | 1 to 8 | 1 to 8 |
| US Autumn | 2 to 8 | 1 to 8 |
| MA Spring | 1 to 5 | 1 to 5 |
| MA Autumn | 2 to 6 | 1 to 6 |
| Mean Square | 0.36 | 0.41 |
| CV on N | 0.18 to 0.35 | 0.19 to 0.47 |
| Stock Sizes: |  |  |
| N1 | 0 | 12228 |
| N2 | 6301 | 6933 |
| N3 | 8660 | 8478 |
| N4 | 7413 | 7995 |
| N5 | 13123 | 12178 |
| N6 | 12304 | 11660 |
| Fishing Mortality |  |  |
| F1 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| F2 | 0.13 | 0.14 |
| F3 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| F4 | 0.17 | 0.19 |
| F5 | 0.25 | 0.27 |
| F6 | 0.49 | 0.53 |
| Recruits: |  |  |
| Year class 1992 | 56601 | 54850 |
| Year class 1993 | 39386 | 37283 |
| Year class 1994 | 16667 | 17727 |
| Year class 1995 | 14990 | 14719 |
| Year class 1996 | 7870 | 8643 |

Table D13. Estimates of beginning year stock size (thousands of fish), instantaneous fishing mortality ( $F$ ) and spawning stock biomass ( mt ) of Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank American plaice, estimated from virfual population analysis (VPA), calibrated using the commercial calch at age ADAPT formulation, 1980-1997.

| Stock Numbers (Jan 1 ) in thousands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| 1 | 50702 | 23856 | 20595 | 21754 | 12745 | 12305 | 17694 | 36417 | 52580 | 26390 | 32391 | 30720 | 35205 | 56601 | 39386 | 16667 | 14990 | 7870 | 0 |
| 2 | 41263 | 41501 | 19497 | 16809 | 17796 | 10389 | 10020 | 14377 | 29724 | 42893 | 21487 | 26458 | 25139 | 28790 | $46244{ }^{\text {- }}$ | 31986 | 13177 | 12097 | 6301 |
| 3 | 35738 | 33618 | 33071 | 15276 | 13331 | 14314 | 8181 | 7885 | 11217 | 23771 | 33622 | 16980 | 21370 | 20373 | 23186 | 37404 | 24842 | 9942 | 8660 |
| 4 | 24117 | 28298 | 25665 | 24319 | 11388 | 10182 | 11136 | 5991 | 5394 | 7938 | 17806 | 24461 | 12997 | 16516 | 14842 | 18419 | 28556 | 19056 | 7413 |
| 5 | 21641 | 17360 | 18751 | 17151 | 15508 | 7384 | 7366 | 7763 | 3887 | 3008 | 5481 | 12167 | 16037 | 8630 | 9775 | 9774 | 9196 | 19360 | 13123 |
| 6 | 17355 | 14162 | 9428 | 11343 | 9721 | 7607 | 4077 | 4578 | 4536 | 1803 | 1713 | 3249 | 6883 | 6968 | 3824 | 3969 | 3636 | 4853 | 12304 |
| 7 | 11140 | 10650 | 8291 | 4520 | 5772 | 5086 | 3675 | 2011 | 2546 | 2413 | 991 | 922 | 1644 | 3170 | 2865 | 1590 | 1439 | 1646 | 2434 |
| 8 | 5135 | 5834 | 6545 | 3809 | 1651 | 2980 | 2160 | 1826 | 837 | 1593 | 1294 | 548 | 464 | 605 | 1326 | 1180 | 710 | 681 | 698 |
| $9+$ | 14503 | 6248 | 8628 | 6117 | 3825 | 2420 | 1562 | 1031 | 987 | 1379 | 1656 | 1333 | 792 | 1268 | 1481 | 627 | 630 | 983 | 854 |



| $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{O}}$ | Fishing Mortality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
|  | 2 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.13 |
|  | 3 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.09 |
|  | 4 | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.2 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.38 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.32 | 0.22 | 0.49 | 0.19 | 0.17 |
|  | 5 | 0.22 | 0.41 | 0.3 | 0.37 | 0.51 | 0.39 | 0.28 | 0.34 | 0.57 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.63 | 0.61 | 0.7 | 0.79 | 0.44 | 0.25 |
|  | 6 | 0.29 | 0.34 | 0.54 | 0.48 | 0.45 | 0.53 | 0.51 | 0.39 | 0.43 | 0.4 | 0.42 | 0.48 | 0.58 | 0.69 | 0.68 | 0.81 | 0.59 | 0.49 |
|  | 7 | 0.45 | 0.29 | 0.58 | 0.81 | 0.46 | 0.66 | 0.5 | 0.68 | 0.27 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 0.49 | 0.8 | 0.67 | . 0.69 | 0.61 | 0.55 | 0.66 |
|  | 8 | 0.29 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.39 | 0.4 | 0.44 | 0.4 | 0.35 | 0.4 | 0.64 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 0.79 | 0.49 | 0.47 |
|  | $9+$ | 0.29 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.39 | 0.4 | 0.44 | 0.4 | 0.35 | 0.4 | 0.64 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 0.79 | 0.49 | 0.47 |
|  | mn 5-8, | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.53 | 0.48 | 0.52 | 0.42 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.40 | 0.37 | 0.44 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 0.52 | 0.47 |



Table 014. Yield and Spawning Stock Biomass per recruit results, for American plaice.


Listing of Yield per Recruit Results for:
American plaice Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank - 1998

|  | FMORT | TOTCTHN | TOTCTHW | TOTSTKN | TOTSTKH | SPNSTKN | SPNSTKW | \% MSP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | . 000 | . 00000 | . 00000 | 5.5167 | 2.7847 | 2.8966 | 2.5330 | 100.00 |
|  | . 050 | . 10278 | . 09231 | 5.0049 | 2.0916 | 2.3887 | 1.8518 | 73.11 |
|  | . 100 | . 17196 | .14135 | 4.6610 | 1.6510 | 2.0487 | 1.4214 | 56.12 |
|  | . 150 | . 22193 | . 16810 | 4.4131 | 1.3517 | 1.8047. | 1.1308 | 44.64 |
| F0. 1 | . 185 | . 24967 | , 17920 | 4.2757 | 1.1945 | 1.6701 | . 9791 | 38.65 |
|  | . 200 | . 25989 | . 18256 | 4.2251 | 1.1384 | 1.6207 | . 9251 | 36.52 |
|  | . 250 | . 28983 | . 18996 | 4.0772 | . 9809 | 1.4766 | . 7741 | 30.56 |
|  | . 300 | . 31416 | . 19320 | 3.9574 | . 8611 | 1.3607 | . 6601 | 26.06 |
| $F_{\text {max }}$ | . 346 | . 33281 | . 19396 | 3.8656 | . 7750 | 1.2725 | . 5785 | 22.84 |
|  | . 350 | . 33439 | . 19395 | 3.8579 | . 7679 | 1.2651 | . 5719 | 22.58 |
| F20\% | . 397 | . 35046 | . 19332 | 3.7790 | . 6984 | 1.1899 | . 5065 | 20.00 |
|  | . 400 | . 35155 | . 19323 | 3.7737 | . 6939 | 1.1848 | . 5023 | 19.83 |
|  | . 450 | . 36634 | . 19165 | 3.7013 | . 6341 | 1.1163 | . 4464 | 17.62 |
|  | . 500 | . 37926 | . 18959 | 3.6382 | . 5851 | 1.0571 | . 4008 | 15.82 |
|  | . 550 | . 39069 | .18727 | 3.5825 | .5443 | 1.0053 | . 3632 | 14.34 |
|  | . 600 | . 40089 | . 18486 | 3.5329 | . 5100 | . 9596 | . 3317 | 13.10 |
|  | . 650 | . 41009 | .18243 | 3.4883 | . 4808 | . 9188 | . 3051 | 12.04 |
|  | . 700 | . 41845 | . 18005 | 3.4478 | . 4557 | . 8822 | . 2823 | 71.14 |
|  | . 750 | . 42609 | . 17775 | 3.4108 | . 4339 | . 8491 | . 2626 | 10.37 |
|  | . 800 | . 43313 | . 97553 | 3.3768 | . 4148 | .8190 | . 2456 | 9.69 |
|  | . 850 | . 43964 | . 17341 | 3.3454 | . 3980 | . 7915 | . 2306 | 9.10 |
|  | . 900 | . 44570 | . 17139 | 3.3163 | . 3831 | . 7662 | . 2173 | 8.58 |
|  | . 950 | . 45136 | . 16947 | 3.2890 | . 3697 | . 7429 | . 2056 | 8.12 |
|  | 1.000 | . 45668 | . 16764 | 3.2635 | . 3576 | . 7213 | . 1950 | 7.70 |

Table D15. Sumary of stochastic projections for Gutf of Maine-Georges Bank American plaice for 1999-2000 fishing mortalities of $F=0.0, F_{0:}=0.19$, and $F_{202}=0.40$, and $F_{90}=0.48$

Input for projections:

| Age | Fish Mort <br> Pattern | Nat Mort <br> Pattern | Proportion <br> Mature | Average Weights <br> Catch | Stock | Diseards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Projection results:

| Year | Recruitment | F | Median Landings | Median Diseards | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Median } \\ & \text { sss } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 26390 | 0.48 | 3597 | 889 | 10802 |
| 1999 | 23856 | 0.00 |  | 0 | 9514 |
| 2000 | 26390 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 10409 |
| 1998 | 26390 | 0.48 | 3597 | 889 | 10802 |
| 1999 | 23856 | 0.19 | 1387 | 263 | 9109 |
| 2000 | 26390 | 0.19 | 1299 | 252 | 8582 |
| 1998 | 26390 | 0.48 | 3597 | 889 | 10802 |
| 1999 | 23856 | 0.40 | 2656 | 515 | 8690 |
| 2000 | 26390 | 0.40 | 2071 | 449 | 6971 |
| 1998 | 26390 | 0.48 | 3597 | 889 | 10802 |
| 1999 | 23856 | 0.48 | 3027 | 592 | 8553 |
| 2000 | 26390 | 0.48 | 2220 | 501 | 6514 |



Figure D1. Total commercial landings of Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank American plaice (Division 5Zand 6), 1960-1997


Figure D2. Trends in USA catch rates (landings (mt) per day) of Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank American plaice for all trips landing plaice and for trips with $50 \%$ or more of the landings comprised of plaice , 1964-1997.



Figure D4. Standardized stratified mean catch per tow (kg) of American plaice in NEFSC spring and autumn research vessel bottom trawl surveys in the Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank region, 1963-1997.
$\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$


Figure D5. Standardized stratified mean number per tow of American plaice in NEFSC spring and autumn research vessel bottom trawl surveys in the Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank region, 1963-1997.


Figure D6. Relative year class strengths of Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank American plaice age 1 and age 2 based on standardized catch (number) per tow indices from NEFSC autumn research vessel bottom trawl surveys, 1980-1997.


Figure D7. Mortality estimates from NEFSC and MADMF spring and autumn research bottom trawl surveys (solid squares) fitted with a smoothed 3 point running average, 1980-1997. The dashed line is the VPA estimate of mean $F$ (ages 5-8, unweighted).





Figure D8. Residual plots (expected-observed) for ages 1-8 for the USA and ages 1-5 for the Massachusetts spring abundance indicies, and ages 2-8 for the USA and ages 2-6 for the Massachusetts atumn abundance indices.


Figure D8. continued. Residual plots (expected -observed) for ages 1-8 for the USA and ages 1-5 for the Massachusetts spring abundance indicies, and ages 2-8 for the USA and ages 2-6 for the Massachusetts autumn abundance indices.


Figure D9. Trends in total commercial landings and fishing mortality for Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank American plaice, 1980-1997.



Recruitment Year Class, SSB Year
Figure D10. Trends in spawning stock biomass and recruitment for Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank American plaice, 1980-1997.


Figure D11. Spawning stock bioimass and recruits (age 1) for Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank American plaice.


Figure D12. Precision of the estimates of the instantaneous rate of fisting (F) on the fully recrulted ages ( $5+$ ) in 1997 for Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank American plaice. The bar height indicates the probability of values within that range. The solid tine gives the probability that $F$ is greater than any selected value on the $\times$-axis.


Figure D13. Preclsion of the estimates of spawning stock blomass (SSB) at the beglnning of the spawning season for Guif of Malne-Georges Barik American plalce, 1997 . The bar helght indicates the probabllity of values within that renge. The
solld line gives the probabllty that $5 S A$ is less than any selected value on the $X$-axis.


Figure D14.Retrospective analysis of Gulf of Maine--Georges Bank American plaice recruils at age 1 based on the final ADAPT VPA formulation, 1997-1993.


Figure D15. Retrospective analysis of Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank American plaice spawning stock biomass based on the final ADAPT VPA formulation, 1997-1993.


Figure D16. Retrospective analysis of Gulf of Maine--Georges B\$akrAmerican plaice fishing mortality (average F, ages 5-8, unweighted) based on the final ADAPT VPA formulation, 1997-1993.


Figure D17. Yield per recruit (YPR) and spawning stock per recruit (SSB/R) for Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank American plaice.


Figure D18. Proposed control rule and recent stock status for Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank American plaice.


Figure D19. Predicted landings in 1999 and spawning stock biomasses in 2000 for Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank American plaice as a function of fishing mortality in 2000.


Appendix D1. Figure 1. Distribution of American plaice in the NEFSC spring and autumn bottom trawl surveys, 1993-1997.

Appendix 01. Table 1. Resutts of projections with $\mathrm{F}=0.0$ in 1999-2000.





| LANDINGS FOR | F-bASED PROJECTIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | AVG LANDINGS (O00 MT) | STD |
| 1998 | 3.601 | .004 |
| 1999 | .000 | .000 |
| 2000 | .000 | .000 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR. | 1\% | 5\% | 10\% | 25\% | 50\% | 75\% | 90\% | 95\% | 99\% |
| 1998 | 3.597 | 3.597 | 3.597 | 3.597 | 3.597 | 3.597 | 3.597 | 3.597 | 3.597 |
| 1999 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |
| 2000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |



| OF DISCARDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| year | 1\% | 5\% | 10\% | 25\% | 50\% | 75\% | 90\% | 95\% | 99\% |
| 1998 | . 711 | ". 755 | . 780 | . 832 | . 889 | . 943 | . 989 | 1.019 | 1.079 |
| 1999 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |
| 2000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |



Appendix D1. Table 2. Results of projections with $\mathrm{F}_{0}:=0.19$ (F threshold) in 1999-2000.


| REALIZED F SERIES FOR OUOTA-BASED PROJECTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | AVG | F | STD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | . 476 |  | . 082 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | . 190 |  | . 000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | . 190 |  | . 000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENTILES OF REALIZED F SERIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAR | 1\% | 5\% | 10\% | 25\% | 50\% | 75\% | 90\% | 95\% | 99\% |
| 1998 | . 310 | . 358 | . 380 | . 421 | . 465 | . 524 | . 581 | . 623 | . 727 |
| 1999 | . 190 | . 190 | . 190 | . 190 | . 190 | . 190 | . 190 | . 190 | . 190 |
| 2000 | . 390 | . 190 | .190 | . 190 | . 190 | . 190 | . 190 | . 190 | . 190 |


| Appendix D1. Table 3. Results of projection with $\mathrm{F}_{2 \text { gr }}=0.40$ in 1999-2000. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROJECTION RUN: EM-GB Anerican plaice 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IMPUT FILE: apdis_40.in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OUTPUT FILE: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RECRUITMENT MOOEL: 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUMBER OF SIMULATIONS: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mixture of f and guota based catches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAR F OLOTA (THOUSAND MT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 3.597 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999.400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000.400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPAunING STOCK BIOMASS (thousand mi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year avg sse (000 MT) STD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 . 10.802 1.499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 8.728 $\quad 1.391$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 7.000 . 910 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENTILES OF SPAUNING STOCK BIOMASS (000 mT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAR | 1\% | 5\% | 10\% | 25\% | 50\% | 75\% | 90\% | 95\% | 99\% |
| 1998 | 7.564 | 8.491 | 8.977 | 9.767 | 10.802 | 11.740 | 12.680 | 13.301 | 15.208 |
| 1999 | 5.668 | 6.540 | 7.013 | 7.742 | 8.690 | 9.582 | 10.432 | 11.082 | 12.419 |
| 2000 | 4.842 | 5.592 | 5.926 | 6.355 | 6.971 | 7.569 | 8.123 | 8.499 | 9.500 |
| annual probability that ssb exceeds threshold : 10.000000 thousand my |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAR Pr(SSB > Threshold Value) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 . 693 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 . 165 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000.004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RECRUITMENT UNITS ARE: 1000.000000 FISH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BIRTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| year avg recruitment std |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 28298.140 14176.900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 28204.640 14102.600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2000 \quad 28255.640 \quad 14180.830$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENTILES OF RECRUITMENT UNITS ARE: 1000.000000 FISHBIRTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAR | 1\% | 5\% | 10\% | 25\% | 50\% | 75\% | 90\% | 95\% | 99\% |
| 1998 | 7870.000 | 7870.000 | 12305.000 | 16667.000 | 26390.000 | 36417.000 | 52580.000 | 56601.000 | 56601.000 |
| 1999 | 7870.000 | 7870.000 | 12305.000 | 16667.000 | 23856.000 | 36417.000 | 52580.000 | 56601.000 | 56601.000 |
| 2000 | 7870.000 | 7870.000 | 12305.000 | 16667.000 | 26390.000 | 36417.000 | 52580.000 | 56601.000 | 56601.000 |
| LANDINGS FOR F-BASED PROJECTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | Avg land | NDINGS ${ }^{\text {cooo }}$ | MT) STD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 3.6 | 601 | . 004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 |  | 661 | . 470 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 2. | 086 | . 301 |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| PERCENTILES OF LANDINGS (000 MT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAR | 1\% | 5\% | 10\% | 25\% | 50\% | 75\% | 90\% | 95\% | 99\% |
| 1998 | 3.597 | 3.597 | 73.597 | 3.597 | 3.597 | 3.597 | 3.597 | 3.597 | 3.597 |
| 1999 | 1.662 | 1.931 | 12.090 | 2.337 | 2.656 | 2.953 | 3.246 | 3.446 | 3.984 |
| 2000 | 1.390 | 1.619 | $9 \quad 1.724$ | . 1.873 | 2.071 | 2.285 | 2.458 | 2.575 | 2.906 |
| discards for f-based projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| year | AVG DI | SCARDS 8000 | MT) STD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | . 888 | . 081 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | . 518 | . 064 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | . 451 | . 051 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENTILES OF DISCARDS (000 MT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | 1\% | 5\% | 10\% | 25\% | 50\% | 75\% | 90\% | 95\% | 99\% |
| 1998 | . 711 | . 755 | 5 . 780 | . 832 | . 889 | . 943 | . 989 | 1.019 | 1.079 |
| 1999 | . 372 | . 420 | 0 - 442 | . 475 | . 515 | . 560 | . 599 | . 625 | . 684 |
| 2000 | . 342 | . 372 | 2 . 389 | .417 | . 449 | . 483 | . 516 | . 540 | . 592 |



Appendix D1. Table 4. Results of projections with $F_{98}=0.48$ in 1999-2000.


SPaining stock biomass (thousand mi)

| YEAR | AVG SSB (000 MT) | STD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 10.802 | 1.499 |
| 1999 | 8.589 | 1.367 |
| 2000 | 6.539 | .843 |



| RECRUITMENT UNITS ARE: BIRTH |  | 1000.000000 FISH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Year | AVG RECRUITMENT | STD |
| 1998 | 28298.140 | 14176.900 |
| 1999 | 28204.640 | 14102.600 |
| 2000 | 28255.640 | 14180.830 |


| PERCENTILES OF RECRUITMENT UNITS ARE: 1000.000000 FISHBIRTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| year | 1\% | 5\% | 10\% | 25\% | 50\% | 75\% | 90\% | 95\% | 99\% |
| 1998 | 7870.000 | 7870.000 | 12305.000 | 16667.000 | 26390.000 | 36417.000 | 52580.000 | 56601.000 | 5601.000 |
| 1999 | 7870.000 | 7870.000 | 12305.000 | 16667.000 | 23856.000 | 36417.000 | 52580.000 | 56601.000 | 56601.000 |
| 2000 | 7870.000 | 7870.000 | 12305.000 | 16667.000 | 26390.000 | 36417.000 | 52580.000 | 56601.000 | 56601.000 |


| landings | ED | tions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| year | avg landings | (000 mT) STO |
| 1998 | 3.601 | . 004 |
| 1999 | 3.033 | . 535 |
| 2000 | 2.238 | . 321 |


| Percentiles of landings (000 mt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | 1\% | 5\% | 10\% | 25\% | 50\% | 75\% | 90\% | 95\% | 99\% |
| 1998 | 3.597 | 3.597 | 3.597 | 3.597 | 3.597 | 3.597 | 3.597 | 3.597 | 3.597 |
| 1999 | 1.895 | 2.200 | 2.383 | 2.663 | 3.027 | 3.365 | 3.699 | 3.927 | 4.538 |
| 2000 | 1.491 | 1.745 | 1.851 | 2.012 | 2.220 | 2.447 | 2.630 | 2.757 | 3.112 |

discards for f-based projections

| YEAR | AVG DISCARDS | (000 MT) | STD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | .088 | .081 |  |
| 1999 | .595 | .073 |  |
| 2000 | .503 | .057 |  |


|  | S 0 F | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 198 |  |  |  |  | 508 | 3* | 90 | 958 | $99 \%$ |
| 199 | . 711 | . 755 | . 780 | . 832 | . 889 | . 943 | . 989 | 1.019 | 1.079 |
| 1999 | . 428 | . 482 | . 507 | . 545 | . 592 | . 643 | . 688 | . 717 | . 785 |
| 2000 | . 382 | . 415 | . 433 | . 465 | . 501 | . 538 | . 576 | . 602 | . 660 |


| REALIZED F SERIES |  |  | FOR OUOTA-BASED |  | PROJECTIONS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| year | AVG | F | STD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | . 476 |  | . 082 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | . 470 |  | . 000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | . 470 |  | . 000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENTILES OF R |  |  | REALIZED F | SERIES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | 1\% | 5\% | 10\% | 25\% | 50\% | 75\% | 90\% | 95\% | 99\% |
| 1998 | . 310 | . 358 | . 380 | . 421 | . 465 | . 524 | . 581 | . 623 | . 727 |
| 1999 | . 470 | . 470 | . 470 | . 470 | . 470 | . 470 | . 470 | . 470 | . 470 |
| 2000 | . 470 | . 470 | . 470 | . 470 | . 470 | . 470 | .470 | . 470 | . 470 |

## E. Southern New. England / Mid-Atlantic Winter Flounder

## Terms of Reference

The following terms of reference were addressed for Southem New England/Mid Atlantic stock complex of winter flounder:
a. Update the status of the Southem New England winter flounder stock through 1997 and characterize the variability of estimates of stock size and fishing mortality.
b. On the basis of anticipated catches and abundance indicators in 1998, estimate stock size at the beginning of 1999 and provide projected estimates of catch and spawning stock biomass for 1999-2000 at various leveis of $F$.
c. Comment on and revise, if necessary, the overfishing definition reference points for Southern New England winter flounder recommended by the Overfishing Definition Review Panel.

## INTRODUCTION

The current assessment of the Southem New England/Mid-Atlantic stock complex of winter flounder is an update of the previous two assessments completed in 1995 at SARC 21 (NEFSC 1996) and in early 1998 by the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC) Winter Flounder Technical Committee (ASMFC 1998). The SARC 21 assessment included catch through 1993, research survey abundance indices through 1995, catch at age analyzed by Virtual Population Analysis (VPA) for 1985-1993, and biological reference points based on yield and spawning stock biomass (SSB) per recruit analyses using partial recruitment and mean weight at age pattems from the VPA. The SARC 21 assessment concluded that the stock complex was over-exploited and at record low levels of spawning stock biomass. The ASMFC Technical Committee 1998 assessment used the ASPIC bio-
mass dynamic (surplus production) model (Prager 1994, 1995) to assess the current status of the stock complex and estimate additional biological reference points. The ASMFC 1998 assessment concluded that fishing mortality in 1996 on the stock complex was substantially above the management target for 1996 of $\mathrm{F}_{30 \%}$. The current assessment updates landings and discard estimates, research survey abundance indices, and the VPA, yield per recruit. and ASPIC models through 1997-1998, as applicable. Finally, due to newly available NEFSC research survey and commercial fishery sample age data for 1980-1984, the survey and fishery catch at age series have been extended back to 1980 and 1981, respectively.

Winter flounder (Pleuronectes americanus) is a demersal flatfish species commonly found in estuaries and on the continental shelf. The species is distributed between the Gulf of St. Lawrence and North Carolina, although it is not abundant south of Delaware Bay. Winter flounder undergo migrations from estuaries where spawning occurs in the late winter and spring. Winter flounder reach a maximum size of around 2.25 kg ( 5 pounds; Bigelow and Schroeder, 1953) and 65 cm , with the exception of Georges Bank where growth rate is higher and fish may reach a maximum weight up to 3.6 kg (8 pounds).

## Management Summary

Current fishery management is coordinated by the ASMFC in state waters and the New England Fishery Management Council (NEFMC) in federal waters. Winter flounder fisheries in state waters are managed by Interstate Agreement under the auspices of the ASMFC Fishery Management Plan (FMP) for Inshore Stocks of Winter Flounder since approval in May, 1992. The plan includes states from Delaware to Maine, with Delaware granted de minimus status (habitat regulations applicable but fishery management not required). The Plan's goal is to
rebuild spawning stock abundance and achieve a fishing mortality-based management target of $\mathrm{F}_{40 \%}$ (fishing rate that preserves $40 \%$ of the maximum spawning potential of the stock) in three steps: $\mathrm{F}_{25 \%}$ in 1993-94, $\mathrm{F}_{30 \%}$ in 1995-98, and $\mathrm{F}_{40 \%}$ in 1999 through implementation of compatible, state-specific regulations.

Coastal states from New Jersey to New Hampshire having promulgated a broad suite of indirect catch and effort controls. State agencies have set or increased minimum size limits for recreationally and commercially landed flounder (10-12 in and 12 in, respectively); enacted limited recreational closures and bag limits; and instituted seasonal, areal, or state-wide commercial landings/ gear restrictions. Minimum codend mesh regulations have been promulgated in directed winter flounder fisheries: 5 in for NJ and NY, 5.5 in for CT, 5 in for RI, and 6 in for MA.

Winter flounder in the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) are managed under the Northeast Multispecies Fishery FMP developed by the NEFMC. The principle catch of winter flounder in the EEZ has recently occurred as bycatch in directed trawl fisheries for Atiantic cod, haddock, and yellowtail flounder primarily of the northeast U.S. EEZ. The management unit encompasses the multispecies finfish fishery that operates from eastern Maine through Southern New England ( $72^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ ). At least one offshore stock, on Georges Bank, has been identified. The FMP extends authority over vessels permitted under the FMP even while fishing in state waters if federal regulations are more restrictive than the state reguiations.

The Multispecies FMP was implemented in September, 1986, imposing a codend minimum mesh size of 5.5 in (previously 5.1 in ) in the large-mesh regulatory area of Georges Bank and the offshore portion of Gulf of Maine. There were closed areas and seasons for haddock and yellowtail flounder. In the western Gulf of Maine, vessels were required to enroll in an Exempted Fisheries Program in order to target small-mesh species such as shrimp, dogfish, or whiting. The bycatch restrictions specified area and
season and limited groundfish bycatch to $25 \%$ of trip and $10 \%$ for the reporting period. In southem New England waters, the groundfish bycatch on vessels fishing with small mesh was not limited in any way. There was a 11 in minimum size for winter flounder which corresponded with the length at first capture (near zero percent retention) for 5.5 in diamond mesh. Though the Plan was amended four times by 1991, it was widely recognized that many stocks; including winter flounder, were being overfished.

Time-specific stock rebuilding schedules were a part of Multispecies FMP Amendment 5 which took effect in May, 1994. The rebuilding target for winter flounder, a so-called "large-mesh" species, was $\mathrm{F}_{20 \%}$ within 10 years. Along with a moratorium on issuance of additional vessel permits, the comerstone of Amendment 5 was an effort reduction program that required "large-mesh" groundfish vessels to limit days at sea, which would be reduced each year. There was an exemption from effort reduction requirements for groundfishing vessels less than 45 feet in length and for "day boats" (from 2:1 layover day ratio requirement). Draggers retaining more than the "possession limit" of groundfish ( $10 \%$, by weight, up to 500 lbs ) were required to fish with either 5.5 in diamond or square mesh in Southern New England or 6 in throughout the net in the regulated mesh area of Georges Bank/ Gulf of Maine, respectively. The possession limit was allowed when using small mesh within the westem Gulf of Maine (except Jeffreys Ledge and Stellwagon Bank) and in Southern New England. Vessels fishing in the EEZ west of $72^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ (the longitude of Shinnecock Inlet, NY) were required to abide by 5.5 in diamond or 6 in square codend mesh size restrictions consistent with the Summer Flounder FMP. The minimum landed size of winter flounder increased to 12 in , appropriate for the increased mesh size in order to reduce discards. There were many additional rules including time/area closures for sink gillnet vessels, seasonal netting closures of prime fishing areas on Georges Bank (Areas I and II), and on Nantucket Shoals to protect juvenile yellowtail flounder.

At the end of 1994, the NEFMC reacted to collapsed
stocks of Atlantic cod, haddock, and yellowtail flounder on Georges Bank by recommending a number of emergency actions to tighten existing regulations reducing fishing mortality. Prime fishing areas on Georges Bank (Areas I \& II), and the Nantucket Lightship Area were ciosed. The NEFMC also addressed expected re-direction of fishing effort into Gulf of Maine and Southern New England while, at the same time, developing Amendment 7 to the Multispecies FMP. Days-at-sea controls were extended. Currently, any fishing by an EEZ-permitted vessel must be conducted with not less than 6 in diamond or square mesh in Southem New England east of $72^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$. Winter flounder less than 12 in length may not be retained.

## STOCK STRUCTURE

Although stock groups consist of an assemblage of adjacent estuarine spawning units, the ASMFC FMP originally defined three coastal management units based on similar growth, maturity and seasonal movement patterns: Gulf of Maine, Southern New England and the Mid-Atlantic. Boundaries for a total of four winter flounder stock units as originally defined in the ASMFC management plan (Howell et al., 1992) were:

Gulf of Maine: Coastal Maine, New Hampshire, and Massachusetts north of Cape Cod

Southem New England: Coastal Massachusetts east and south of Cape Cod, including Nantucket Sound, Vineyard Sound, Buzzards Bay, Narragansett Bay, Block Island Sound, Rhode Island Sound, Rhode Island coastal ponds and eastern Long Island Sound to the Connecticut River, including Fishers Island Sound, NY.

Mid-Atlantic: Long Island Sound west of the Connecticut River to Montauk Point, NY, including Gardiners and Peconic Bays, coastal Long Island, NY, coastal New Jersey and Delaware.

## Georges Bank

In this and the previous two stock assessments, the definition of a separate Gulf of Maine complex has been maintained, based on results of tagging studies, and large differences in growth rates consistent with discrete oceanographic regimes between the Gulf of Maine and Southem New England (Howe and Coates, 1975). Additional analyses of life history characteristics and mixing within the Gulf of Maine may lead to future refinement of the complex's definition within the Gulf of Maine.

The Southern New England and Mid-Atlantic units have been combined into a single stock complex for assessment purposes. A review of tagging studies for winter flounder (Howell 1996) indicates dispersion (and hence mixing) has occurred between previously defined Southem New England and MidAtlantic units. Howell (1996) noted that differences in growth and maturity among samples from Southem New England to the Mid-Atlantic may reflect discrete sampling along a gradient of changing growth and maturity rates over the range of a stock complex. Differences in growth rates within the Mid-Atlantic units were observed to be greater than differences between Mid-Atlantic and Southern New England units (Howell, 1996). In offshore waters, the length structure of winter flounder caught in NEFSC research surveys is similar from Southem New England to New Jersey. Most commercial landings are obtained in these offshore regions (greater than 3 miles from shore).

## Stock Boundaries and Associated Statistical Areas

The Gulf of Maine stock complex extends along the coast of eastern Maine to Provincetown, MA, corresponding to NEFSC commercial fishery statistical division 51. Recreational landings from Maine, New Hampshire and northem Massachusetts (northern half of Barnstable County and north to New Hampshire border) are associated with this stock complex.

The Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic stock
complex extends from the coastal shelf east of Provincetown, MA southward along the Great South Channel (separating Nantucket Shoals and Georges Bank) to the southern geographic limits of winter flounder. NEFSC commercial fishery statistical areas within this boundary are 521 and 526 , and statistical divisions $53,61,62$, and 63 . The corresponding recreational areas are southem Massachusetts (the southern half of Barnstable County; Dukes, Nantucket and Bristol counties), Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, Delaware, Maryiand and Virginia. NEFSC survey strata included for this stock extend from the waters of outer Cape Cod to the south and west (Figure 1).

The Georges Bank stock extends eastward of the Great South Channel, including statistical areas 522, 525, and 551-562.

## DATA SOURCES

## FISHERY DATA

## Landings

After reaching an historical peak of 11,977 metric tons (mt) in 1966, then declining through the 1970s, total U.S. commercial landings again peaked at $11,176 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1981, and then steadily declined to a record low of $2,159 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1994. Landings have increased since 1994 to $3,426 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1997 (Table 1). During 1989-1993, an average of $42 \%$ of commercial landings were taken from statistical area 521, $13 \%$ from area $526,13 \%$ from area 537 , and $11 \%$ from area 539 , with the remaining landings ( $21 \%$ ) obtained from area 538 and divisions 61-62 (Table 2). Since 1993, an increasingly larger percentage of the commercial landings has been taken from area 521. For 1997,69\% of the landings were taken from area $521,4 \%$ from area $526,6 \%$ from area 537 , and $12 \%$ from area 539 , with the remaining landings ( 9 \%) obtained from area 538 and areas 611-622 (Table 2). Most landings are obtained from the EEZ ( $86 \%$, 1989-1993 average) and the remainder from state waters. The primary gear in the fishery is the otter trawl which accounts for an average of $95 \%$ of
landings since 1989. Scallop dredges account for $4 \%$, with such gears as handlines, pound nets, fyke nets, and gill nets each accounting for about $1 \%$ of total landings.

Recreational landings reached a peak in 1985 of 13.3 million fish (peak landed weight of $5,772 \mathrm{mt} \mathrm{in}$ 1984) but declined dramatically thereafter (Table 3). Landings from 1986 to 1996 averaged 3.3 million fish $(1,497 \mathrm{mt})$ with the lowest estimated landings in 1992 of 0.8 million fish ( 393 mt ). Landings in 1997 from the Southem New England/Mid Atlantic stock complex were 1.2 million fish $(618 \mathrm{mt})$. The principal mode of fishing is private/rental boats. With the exception of $1986,65-85 \%$ of recreational landings occurred from January to June ( 1986 division was $46 \% / 54 \%$ spring to fall).

## Sampling Intensity

Length samples of winter flounder are available from both commercial and recreational landings. In the commercial fishery, annual sampling intensity varied from 92 to 158 mt landed per 100 lengths measured during 1985-1993 (NEFSC 1996, Table 4). For 1981-1984, commercial sampling intensity varied from 50 to 264 mt per 100 lengths (Table 5). Supplementing port samples with sea samples in 1995 and 1996 resulted in overall sampling intensities of 63 mt per 100 lengths in 1995 and 138 mt per 100 lengths in 1996. Overall sampling intensity was 86 mt per 100 lengths in 1997 (Table 6).

In the recreational fishery, sampling intensity varied from 36 to 231 mt per 100 lengths during 1985-1993 (NEFSC 1996, Table 7). During 1981-1984, sampling intensity varied from 98 to 185 mt per 100 lengths (Tabie 8). During 1994-1997, sampling intensity varied from 63 to 86 mt per 100 lengths (Table 9).

## Landed Age Compositions

In the SARC 21 assessment (NEFSC 1996), numbers at age were estimated for 1985-1993 for commercial landings, recreational landings, commercial discards, and recreational discards. Quarterly or halfyear commercial age-length samples were applied to corresponding commercial market category landings at length. Unsampled unclassified landings and landings not represented in the weighout database (i.e., state canvas landings) were assumed to have the same age composition as the initial weighout commercial landings at age. Landings at lengths with no associated age data within the quarter were assigned ages based on age at length from adjacent quarters. A comparison was undertaken among age data collected from inshore regions (where the recreational fishery is prosecuted), to determine if all age data were comparable within the stock complex. Data for ages 3-5 from New Jersey, Connecticut, Massachusetts and NEFSC were compared for 19931994. Distributions of length at age from New Jersey and Connecticut were similar, while distributions of length at age from Massachusetts lacked smaller fish at age. Details of the analysis are presented in Howell (1996).

In the ASMFC 1998 assessment (ASMFC 1998), the Technical Committee attempted to construct the catch at age matrix for VPA for 1994-1996. Two key market categories of commercial landings were found to lack port samples: medium fish in the second half of 1995 and large fish in the first half of 1996. In addition, several market categories were poorly sampled: medium fish in the first and second half-year of 1996, and large fish in the second half of 1995 (Table 6). The Technical Committee concluded that the port sampling was insufficient to characterize the length and age frequency of the commercial landings for 1995-1996, and elected to use a non-age dependent model (ASPIC) to assess the stock complex (ASMFC 1998).

In work by the Technical Committee since the ASMFC 1998 assessment, commercial fishery port samples for 1995 and 1996 have been suppiemented with commercial fishery sea sample length data for
the second half of 1995 and 1996, in an attempt continue a reliable catch at age series. For the second half-year of 1995, 2,979 sea sample lengths (unclassified by market category) were used in place of the available 702 port sample lengths to construct an unclassified length frequency for the second halfyear of 1995 landings. For the first half-year of 1996, 55 sea sample lengths were combined with 752 port sample lengths to create an unclassified frequency of 807 lengths for the first-half year of 1996 landings. In 1997, port sampling was adequate to develop the commercial fishery landings at age on a half-year, market category basis (Tables 6 and 12).

Also since the ASMFC 1998 assessment, archived NEFSC research survey and commercial fishery age samples have been aged, allowing extension of the NEFSC survey catch at age series back to 1980 and of the fishery catch at age matrix back to 1981. The commercial landings at age were compiled on the same market category, quarterly or half-year basis as the 1985-1997 landings.

The total fishery catch series was not extended back to 1980 because the recreational catch estimates for 1980 are not comparable to those in 1981 and later years, due to methodological changes.

Recreational landings at length were estimated seasonally and geographically. Spring landings were divided into 2 regions; 1) Massachusetts and Rhode Island and 2) Connecticut and south. MADMF survey age-length keys were applied to MA-RI data while CTDEP age-length keys were appiied to CTsouth data, with the exception of 1993 landings which used a combined $\mathrm{NJ} / \mathrm{CT}$ age-length key, and the 1981-1984 period, which used NEFSC spring age-length keys to age both area length frequencies.. Age composition of fall recreational data was developed using the NEFSC autumn survey age-length keys for all areas combined. (Table 12).

## Discard estimates and age compositions

In the SARC 21 assessment (NEFSC 1996), the Working Group and the SARC concluded that there were too few sea sampling trips in which winter flounder were caught to adequately characterize the overall ratio of discards to landings in the commercial fishery. The sea sample length frequency data, however, were judged adequate to help characterize the proportion discarded at length. In the SARC 21 assessment, commercial discards for 1985 to 1993 were estimated from length frequency data from NEFSC and the Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries (MADMF) bottom trawl surveys, commercial port sampling of landings at length and sea sampling of landings and discard at length. The method follows an approach described by Mayo et al. (1992). The year was divided into half year periods. Survey length frequency data (MADMF survey in spring and NEFSC in autumn) were smoothed using a three point moving average, then filtered through a mesh selection ogive (Simpson 1989) for 4.5 in mesh (1984-1989), 5 in mesh (1990-1992, fall 1993) or 5.5 in mesh (spring, 1993). The 5.5 in mesh selection curve was calculated using the 5 in curve adjusted to an $\mathrm{L}_{50}$ for 5.5 in mesh. The choice of mesh sizes was based on sizes used in the yellowtail assessment for southem New Engiand (Rago et al. 1994) and comparison to length frequencies of commercial landings. The mesh filtering process resulted in a survey length frequency of retained winter flounder. A logistic regression was used to model the percent discarded at length from 1989-1992 sea sampling data, and the resulting percentages at length were applied to the survey numbers at length data to produce the survey-based equivalent of commercial kept and discarded winter flounder. The 1989-1992 average percentage discard at length was applied to 1985-1988. The survey numbers per tow at length "kept" were then regressed against commercial (weighout) numbers landed at length. The linear relationship was calculated for those lengths common to both length frequencies and fitted with an intercept of zero. The slope of the regression provided a conversion factor to re-scale the survey "discard" numbers per tow at length to equivalent commercial numbers at length. The
resulting vector of number of fish discarded at length was multiplied by a discard mortality rate of $50 \%$ (as averaged in Howell et al., 1992) to produce the vector of fish discarded dead at length per half year. The number of dead discards at length was adjusted by the ratio of weighout landings to total commercial landings and summed across seasons and lengths (and corresponding weight at length) to produce the annual total number and weight of commercial fishery discards for 1985-1993 (Tables 12 and 13). In this assessment, this same method using the 4.5 in mesh ogive and 1989-1992 average discard percentage at length was used to estimate commercial fishery discards for 1981-1984. NEFSC spring and fall survey age-length keys were applied to convert discard length frequencies to age.

During ASMFC Technical Committee meetings since 1995, the group has considered the SARC 21 survey length-mesh selection method, NEFSC sea sample data, and NER Vessel Trip Report (VTR) data as sources of information to use in the estimation of commercial fishery discards for 1994-1997, with a focus on the latter two sources. The Committee examined the characteristics of both the sea sample and VTR discard data (number of trip samples, frequency distributions of discards to landings ratio per trip, mean and variance of annual half-year discards to landings ratio), and concluded that the VTR mean discard to landed ratio aggregated over all trips in annual half-year season strata (January to June, July to December) provided the most reliable data from which to estimate commercial fishery discards (Table 10). VTR trawl gear fishery discards to landings ratios on a half-year basis (January to June; July to December) were applied to corresponding commercial fishery landings (all gears) to estimate discards in weight. The sea sample length frequency samples were judged adequate to directly characterize the proportion discarded at length (Table 11). The sample proportion at length, converted to weight, was used to convert the discard estimate in weight to numbers at length. As in the SARC 21 assessment, the resulting number of fish discarded at length was multiplied by a discard mortality rate of 50\% (as averaged in Howell et al., 1992) to produce the number of fish discarded dead at length per half-
year. NEFSC Spring and Fall survey age-length keys were used to convert the discard length frequency to age (Table 12).

A discard mortality of $15 \%$ was assumed for recreational discards ( B 2 category from MRFSS data), as assumed in Howell et al. (1992). Discard losses peaked in 1984-1985 at 0.7 million fish Discards have since declined reaching a low in 1995 of 69,000 fish. In 1997, 84,000 fish were estimated to have been discarded (Table 3). If recreational discards are assumed to have the same average weight per fish as spring commercial discards during 1985-1993, the total weight of recreational discards ranged from 15 mt in 1992 to a high of 230 mt in 1985 . Estimates of recreational discard at age for 1985-1993 were developed using state survey length and age data in a manner similar to that for the commercial discard estimates (Tables 12 and 13; see Gibson (1996) for complete description of computation of 1985-1993 recreational discard numbers at length and age).

The SARC was unable to apply the 1985-1993 method to the 1994-1997 or 1981-1984 periods for this assessment. Instead, for 1994-1997, the average proportion at age in the 1991-1993 recreational discard was used to apportion the recreational fishery estimate of discard in numbers to length and age. These discards at age were assumed to have the same mean weight as the landed portion at the same ages, and so this method probably.slightly overestimates the discard in weight. For 1981-1984, before implementation of the 12 in minimum size in most states (which encompasses fish up to age 3), it was assumed that all recreational discard would be age 1 and age 2 fish, and so the discard was allocated to ages 1 and 2 in the same relative proportion as those in the landings, and assumed to have the same mean weight at age. The SARC concluded that since the magnitude of the recreational discard is relatively small compared to the total landings and commercial discards, error in estimation of recreational discard at age due to different methods over the time series and/or error is aliocation among ages 1 and 2 would have a minimal effect in terms of estimation of population sizes in the VPA (Tables 12 and 13).

## Mean Weight at Age

Mean weights at age were determined for the landings and discards in the commercial and recreational fisheries. Length frequencies (cm) for each component were converted to weight (kg) using lengthweight equations derived from NEFSC survey samples:

Spring surveys: $\quad \mathrm{wt}=0.00000997^{*}$ length ${ }^{3.055336}$
Fall surveys: $\quad \mathrm{wt}=0.00000925^{*}$ length ${ }^{3.095188}$
The equations from the spring and fall surveys were applied to catches during the corresponding time periods. The annual mean weights at age from the commercial and recreational fisheries were used in the virtual population analysis and yield per recruit calculations.

## Total Catch

Estimates of the total catch of winter flounder during 1981-1997 are given in Table 13. These estimates include commercial and recreational landings and discards. The total catch during this period has varied from a high of $15,788 \mathrm{mt}$ ( 34.6 million fish) in 1984 to a low of $3,095 \mathrm{mt}$ ( 3.6 million fish) in 1994. The total catch has increased since 1995 to $4,337 \mathrm{mt}$ ( 8.3 million fish) in 1997. The SARC 21 assessment (NEFSC 1996) included catch at age from 19851993. In this assessment, the catch at age matrix has been updated through 1997 by supplementing commercial fishery port samples with sea sample data in 1995 and 1996, updating with 1997 data, and by extending the time series back to 1981 with the use of newly available research survey and commercial fishery age data. Total catch and mean weights at age as aggregated for input to the VPA (ages 1-7+) are presented in Table 14.

## Research Survey Stock Abündance and Biomass Indices

State and federal surveys were evaiuated as fishery independent indices of winter flounder abundance and biomass. Survey methods (with the exception of Rhode Island and the young-of-year surveys) are reviewed in the proceedings of a 1989 trawl survey workshop sponsored by the ASMFC (Azarovitz et al., 1989).

## NEFSC

Mean weight and number per tow abundance indices were determined from autumn (1963-1997) and spring (1968-1998) NEFSC bottom trawl surveys. Indices from the spring and autumn surveys were based on tows in offshore strata 1-12, 25, and 69-76 and inshore strata 1-29 and 45-56. Spring indices prior to 1973 and fall indices prior to 1972 do not include inshore strata. In addition, offshore surveys from 1963-1966 were not conducted south of Hudson Canyon.

Mean weight per tow and number per tow indices for the spring and autumn time series are presented in Table 15. Although the indices exhibit considerable year-to-year variability, both surveys follow a trend similar to commercial landings. Indices dropped from the beginning of the time series in the 1960s to a low point in the early to mid- 1970s, then rose to a peak by the early 1980s. Following several years of high indices, abundance once again declined to below the low levels of the 1970s. NEFSC survey indices reached near- or record low leveis for the time series in the late 1980s-1990s. Indices from both survey series have generally increased since 1993 (Figure 2).

## Massachusetts

The Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries (MADMF) spring survey from 1978-1998 was used to characterize abundance of winter flounder. Survey areas from east and south of Cape Cod were
used in the analysis. The MADMF mean number per tow indices steadily declined from a high value of 53.61 in 1979 to a low of 10.57 in 1992, but have since increased to $30-40$ fish per tow during 19951998. Mean weight per tow indices have varied in a similar manner over the time series (Tables 16 and 17, Figure 2).

The MADMF also conducts an annual juvenile winter flounder seine survey during June. The survey has been conducted since 1975 in coastal ponds and estuaries. The index has shown a general decline in production, with a high of 0.60 fish per haul in 1977 to a low of 0.07 fish per haul in 1993. The 1998 value was 0.16 fish per haul (Table 18).

## Rhode Island

The Rhode Island Division of Fish, Wildlife and Estuarine Resources (RIDFW) has conducted a spring and autumn survey since 1979 based on a stratified random sampling design. Three major fishing grounds are considered in the spatial stratification, including Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island Sound and Block Island Sound.

Survey results are expressed as un-weighted catch per tow (Tables 16 and 17). Spring survey indices from 1979-1997 showed a steady decline from high values during 1979-1981 (12-13 kg per tow, 63-88 fish per tow) to a low of 0.22 kg per tow and 2.92 fish per tow in 1993. Spring indices increased to 5.83 kg per tow and 31.78 fish per tow in 1995 , before declining again to 5.00 kg per tow and 19.22 fish per tow in 1998 (Figure 2).

A seine survey, conducted from June to October since 1986, provides an index of young-of-year winter flounder. The index shows a great deal of annual variability, although in recent years there have been consistently low levels of recruitment. The index of the 1998 year class is the lowest of the time series (Table 18).

## Connecticut

The Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection (CTDEP) trawl survey program was initiated in May 1984 and encompasses both New York and Connecticut waters of Long Island Sound. Spring indices of mean catch per tow were used as indices of winter flounder abundance (Tables 16-18). CTDEP indices experienced several years between 1988 and 1991 of high values, declined through the 1990s, and have since increased during 1996-1997. A separate young of the year survey index shows above average recruitment during 1994-1996 (Table 18).

## New York

The New York Department of Environmental Conservation (NYDEP) has conducted a small-mesh trawl survey in Peconic Bay since 1985. Winter flounder indices for ages 0 and 1 were evaluated for trends in winter flounder abundance (Tables 17 and 18). Young of the year indices have increased in recent years from 0.7 in 1985 to the 1993 index of 4.7 and 1996 index of 3.80 . The 1992 index indicated the strongest recent year class with an index of 11.4. The corresponding age 1 indices also indicated strong 1992, 1993, and 1996 year classes.

## New Jersey

The New Jersey Division of Fish, Game and Wildlife (NJDFW) has conducted a bottom trawl survey in coastal waters of the state since 1988. Surveys are conducted bi-monthly from April to January, although the time sequence has undergone some modifications since 1988. Survey indices (mean number per tow in April) tended to decline between 1988 and 1994, and has been quite variable since 1994, with a time series low in 1996 and a time series high in 1997 (Table 17).

## Delaware

The Delaware Division of Fish and Game (DEDFG) conducts monthly surveys from April to October using a 16 ft . semi-balloon otter trawl with a 0.5 inch stretch mesh liner. An index of young-of-year winter fiounder was developed from stations sampled within Indian River and Rehoboth Bays. The retransformed annual geometric means, presented in Table 18, indicate variable annual recruitment with a large year class in 1990. The 1994 index indicates above average recruitment.

## Coherence among surveys

The surveys conducted by NEFSC and several states have each produced indices of winter flounder abundance. The coherence among surveys through 1998 was examined by correlation analysis. Surveys correlate best for ages $3-5$, with poorest correlation among surveys for ages 1 and 7+. Since each of these surveys sample distinct geographical regions, it is possible that they provide indices for different components of the stock. NEFSC surveys present the most optimistic (increasing) trends in stock abundance, while RIDFW surveys are the most pessimistic. The performance of individual surveys in terms of tracking year class strength varies from survey to survey. This is a function of inter-annual differences in availability.

# MORTALITY AND STOCK SIZE ESTIMATES 

## Natural Mortality

Instantaneous natural mortality (M) for winter flounder was assumed to be 0.20 and constant across ages. Commercial catch at age included fish to age 14, under conditions of relatively high fishing mortality. If $M=0: 25$, less than $5 \%$ of the population would reach age 12 under conditions of no fishing mortality. Therefore, the Working Group felt an $M=0.2$, which represents a maximum age of 15 , was representative of the stock complex throughout its range.

## Total Mortality from Mark and Recapture Data

Total mortality in two components of the stock were evaluated using most recent tag and recapture data Northeast Utilities Co. marked and recaptured winter flounder in eastern Long Island Sound from 19831998 and the RIDFW has conducted winter flounder tagging programs in Narragansett Bay from 19861990 and again from 1996-1998. Mortality estimates were made by maximum likelihood methods using the Brownie class of survivorship models (Brownie et al. 1985). Average estimates of fishing mortality for Long Isiand Sound averaged 0.59 from 19841988 and 0.77 from 1989-1993, and 0.65 from 19931996. Fishing mortality in 1996 was estimated to be 0.56. Narragansett Bay estimates of fishing mortality ranged from 0.81 to 1.92 and averaged 1.19 from 1986 to 1989. The most recent tag releases in Narragansett Bay indicate that F has dropped to 0.37 in 1996-1997.

## Virtual Population Analysis

## Tuning

Total catch at age was calibrated using the NEFSC Woods Hole Assessment Toolbox (WHAT) version 1.05 of the ADAPT VPA (Conser and Powers 1990) with abundance at age indices (Tables 18-22) from
several bottom trawl surveys: NEFSC spring bottom trawl ages 1-7+, NEFSC autumn ages 1-4 (advanced to tune January 1 abundance of ages 2-5), Massachusetts spring ages $1-7+$, Rhode Island autumn age 0 (advanced to tume age-1), Rhode Island spring ages 1-7+, Connecticut spring ages 1-7+, New York ages $0-1$, Massachusetts summer seine index of age-0 (advanced to tune age-1), and Delaware juvenile trawl survey age-0 (advanced to tune age-1). NEFSC autumn survey catch of ages $5+$ were not used because there was little contrast in that series and poor correspondence with other indices. New Jersey trawl survey indices were excluded from calibration because the series began in 1992, although the survey may be useful in future assessments. New York indices were excluded from the final calibration because residuals in preliminary VPA runs were strongly trended and the survey covers a small geographic range.

Both 1981-1997 and 1985-1997 VPA runs were reviewed. There were initial concerns over the intensity of commercial fishery sampling in 1981 and 1984 and the extension of the commercial fishery discards by the survey/mesh retention method back to 1981 , with regard to possible effects on the residual pattern and precision of the VPA. The precision of the terminal year estimates, estimated $F$, and estimated stock size for comparable time periods varied little, however, between VPA runs beginning in 1981 and 1985. No exceptional magnitude, unusual pattem, or significant correlation of residuals was evident in the longer run for the expanded 19811984 time period when compared to the 1985-1997 run. Given the better historical perspective of the longer run, encompassing a period of peak catches from the stock, the longer term 1981-1997 VPA was selected as the final calibration (Table 23).

Parameter estimates in the final calibration were moderately precise (initial coefficients of variation ranged from 0.25 at age- 4 to 0.44 at age-1 and were not significantly correlated. As in the SARC 21 VPA there were, however, some moderate patterns in residuals. Nearly all surveys had years in which all observations deviated from predicted values in the same direction. For example, in 1987, all seven

NEFSC spring residuals were negative. Similar residual patterns existed for NEFSC autumn 1993, Massachusetts 1991 and 1994; Rhode Island 1986, 1987, 1991-94; and Connecticut 1985, 1986, and 1989-91. As illustrated by the correlation analysis of tuning indices, there are strong year effects in survey indices, due to annual distribution patterns or local recruitment events. However, in concert, the surveys appear to provide geographically balanced tuning. Although Connecticut age-1 residuals showed a negative trend over time, the index was included in the final calibration because it represented the Long Island Sound component of the stock complex. Iterative reweighting was not used because agreement with estimated catch at age was not necessarily assumed to be an accurate indication of survey performance.

## Exploitation Pattern

The exploitation pattem has been variable from year to year, but with the exception of 1996, age-4 fish have been over $90 \%$ recruited since 1986. An average exploitation pattern for 1996-1997 was calculated as the ratio of the geometric mean fishing mortaity rates at ages $1-3$ to the geometric mean of the fishing mortaity rates at age 4-6. The resulting pattem indicates $2 \%$ recruitment at age-1, $25 \%$ at age- 2 and $61 \%$ at age- 3 , reflecting recent conditions in the fisheries. For purposes of yield-per-recruit calculations and catch and stock biomass projections, full ( $100 \%$ ) recruitment was assumed at ages 4 and older.

## Fishing Mortality

The fully recruited fishing mortality rate ( F , averaged over ages 4-6) fluctuated between 0.45 and 1.38 during the 1981-1993, and has since declined to 0.31 in 1997. Fully recruited fishing mortality has been below 0.5 since 1993 (Figure 3). Total biomass F (weighted by mean stock-biomass over ages 1-7+) in 1997 was 0.24 .

## Stock Biomass

With maturity as estimated in O'Brien et al. (1993), spawning stock biomass (SSB) steadily declined over the period 1983 to 1994. SSB in 1994 was $3,420 \mathrm{mt}$, the lowest in the 1981-1997 VPA time series, and only $23 \%$ of the peak 1983 estimate of $14,765 \mathrm{mt}$. SSB has increased since 1994 , reaching $8,558 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1997 (Figures 4-6). Total stock biomass (TSB), estimated at mid-year using catch mean weights at age, peaked in 1984 at $34,061 \mathrm{mt}$. TSB steadily declined to $7,983 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1992. Since then, TSB has increased to $17,928 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1997 (Table 23. Figure 5).

## Recruitment

Recruitment estimates, age 1 winter flounder in year $\mathrm{i}+1$, followed a steady downward trend during 1981 1988, from 62.9 million fish in 1981 (1980 year class) to 8.8 million fish at the start of 1992 (1991 year class). The 1998 year class, as estimated from available survey indices and survey catchabilities estimated from tuning, is about 16.8 million fish. The 1981-1998 VPA time series geometric mean recruitment at age-1 is 23.5 million fish; the arithmetic mean is 27.6 million fish (Figures 4 and 6).

## Retrospective analysis

A retrospective analysis of the VPA was conducted back to a terminal catch year of 1990. This analysis indicated a tendency for overestimation of fully recruited $F$ in the unconverged portion of the. VPA. Overestimation of F ranged from $66 \%$ for 1994 to $13 \%$ for 1996 . The retrospective estimation of age-1 recruits indicated a tendency for overestimation during 1990 to 1993 and 1995, and a tendency for underestimation in 1994 and 1996 to 1997. The pattern for spawning stock biomass has been a tendency for overestimation since 1990 (Table 24, Figure 7).

## Precision of Stock Size, F, and SSB estimates

The precision of the 1997 stock size, fully recruited F (ages 4-6), and SSB estimates from VPA was evaluated using bootstrap techniques (Efron 1982). Two hundred bootstrap iterations were realized in which errors (differences between predicted and observed survey values) were resampied. Estimates of precision and bias are presented in Table 25. Bootstrap estimates of stock size at age indicate low bias ( $<10 \%$ ) for ages $2-7+$, and about $10 \%$ positive bias at age-1, suggesting that the abundance of the 1997 year class in 1998 at age-1 may be overestimated by the non-linear least squares (NLLS) point estimate from the VPA.

Bootstrapped estimates of spawning stock biomass indicate a CV of $12 \%$, with low bias (bootstrap mean estimate of spawning stock biomass of $8,728 \mathrm{mt}$ compared with VPA estimate of $8,559 \mathrm{mt}$ ). There is an $80 \%$ probability that spawning stock in 1997 was between 7,500 mt and $10,000 \mathrm{mt}$ (Figure 8).

The bootstrap estimates of standard error associated with fully recruited fishing mortality rates indicate medium precision. Coefficients of variation for F estimates ranged from $20 \%$ at age 3 to $31 \%$ at age 1 . There is an $80 \%$ probability that fully recruited $F$ in 1997 was between 0.26 and 0.38 (Figure 8).

## BIOLOGICAL REFERENCE POINTS

## Yield and Spawning Stock Biomass per Recruit

Biological reference points were calculated using the Thompson and Bell (1934) yield per recruit model. Input parameters are summarized in Table 26. Natural mortality was constant at 0.2 . The partial recruitment at age was determined from the 19961997 exploitation pattern observed in the VPA results as described above. The proportion mature was based on the-maturity ogive from O'Brien et al., 1993. These proportions were intermediate among survey data from New Jersey, Connecticut, New York, Massachusetts, and NEFSC. Average stock
and catch weights were based on the geometric mean weights at age from 1996-1997. Due to low sample sizes among older ages, a curve was fitted to the data set and the fitted mean weights at age were used for ages 10 and greater. The proportion of the fishing and natural mortality assumed to occur prior to spawning was equal to $20 \%$ of the annual total. The model was applied using a maximum true age of 15 .

The calculated fishing mortality corresponding to maximum yield per recruit was $\mathrm{F}_{\max }=0.71 ; \mathrm{F}_{0.1}=$ 0.22 (Table 26). At $\mathrm{F}_{\text {mex }}, 12.2 \%$ of the maximum spawning potential is achieved. The $\mathrm{F}_{40 \%}$ target defined for 1999 in the ASMFC FMP occurs at $\mathrm{F}_{40 \%}$ $=0.20$; the target for $1997=\mathrm{F}_{30 \%}=0.29$, the ASMFC overfishing definition of $\mathrm{F}_{25 \%}=0.35$, and $F_{20 \%}=0.43$. At the 1997 fully recruited $F$ of 0.31 , the spawning stock biomass per recruit is $28 \%$ of the maximum potential (Figure 9).

## ASPIC Surplus Production Model

The ASPIC model (A Surplus Production model Incorporating Covariates) (Prager 1994, 1995) was used in this assessment to estimate maximum sustainable yield reference points. ASPIC is a biomass dynamic model that assumes logistic growth, but does not require either age data or estimates of natural mortality. The model is non-equilibrium, and requires the use of fishery or survey biomass indices and catch. The model is based upon the Schaefer model:
$\mathrm{dB}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{dt}=\mathrm{rB}_{\mathrm{t}}-(\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{K}) \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{t}}$, where
$\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ biomass in year t
$\mathrm{r}=$ intrinsic rate of growth
$\mathrm{K}=$ carrying capacity or maximum stock biomass
$C_{t}=$ catch biomass in year $t$

ASPIC assumes that the following relationship exists between biomass and biomass indices:

$$
b_{i t}=q_{i} B_{t} e^{6},
$$

where
$\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{it}}=$ the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {it }}$ biomass index in year t .
$\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ the catchability of the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ biomass index
$B_{1}=$ population biomass in year $t$
$\mathrm{e}^{6}=$ a lognormally distributed measurement error.
The model estimates the foliowing parameters: ratio of starting biomass to the biomass that yields maximum sustainable yield ( $B 1 R$ ), survey index catchability coefficients ( $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ), maximum stock yield (MSY) and the intrinsic rate of growth (r). The intrinsic rate of growth ( r ) is a constant that incorporates growth, recruitment and non-fishing mortalities. The model calculates fishing mortality ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bio }}=$ catch in biomass/average stock biomass), fishing mortality that achieves maximum sustainable yield ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {my }}=\mathrm{I} / 2$ ). biomass that yields maximum sustainable yield ( $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{my}}=\mathrm{K} / 2$ ) and the carrying capacity ( $\mathrm{K}=4 \mathrm{MSY} / \mathrm{r}$ ). The fishing mortality rate derived from this model is a biomass removal rate and is not directly comparable to ASMFC age-based $\mathrm{F}_{40 \%}$ reference point derived from the Thompson and Bell (1934) yield per recruit model. The model assumes that all biomass is fully exploitable. In the case of winter flounder, partially recruited age groups contribute to the biomass, thus the estimate of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bio }}$ is sensitive to the contribution to biomass of cohorts before they fully recruit to the fishery.

Several sensitivity runs of the model were made using various combinations of surveys and re-weighting schemes. Total catch used in the model included commercial landings and discards and recreational landings and discards through 1997. Survey biomass indices that were available included the NEFSC spring and fall surveys, RIDFW spring and fall surveys, and MADMF spring survey. A biomass index was constructed for CTDEP survey by converting the number per tow at age to weight at age using mean weights at age. However, this index was negatively correlated with some of the indices and
therefore could not be used in the model.
The SARC considered two nun configurations that provided similar parameter estimates. The first run used the NEFSC spring and fall surveys, the RIDFW spring and fall surveys and the MADMF spring survey. This run provided estimates of MSY $=9,665$ mt and $\mathrm{r}=0.67$. Correlation among some of the survey indices was low (ranging from 0.00 to 0.75 ), however, and some of the indices had very low Rsquared values (ranging from -0.01 to 0.61 ). Furthermore, this run configuration proved to be very sensitive to starting conditions, occasionally converging to an unrealistic solution when started using various random seed, initial parameter, or initial penalty values. The second run configuration used only the NEFSC spring and fall survey series. This run provided estimates of MSY $=7,879 \mathrm{mt}$ and $\mathrm{r}=$ 0.76 . Correlation among the two surveys was high ( 0.68 ) and R -squared values were high ( 0.62 and 0.79 ). Although the second run provided a "better fit" and was more stable with respect to starting conditions and minor changes to the input data, the SARC felt that the run including all five surveys was potentially more useful in terms of reflecting local changes in stock abundance (i.e., in Southern New England bays and estuaries).

To increase the utility and reliability of the five index run configuration, the SARC elected to fix the survey catchability coefficients (q) to correspond to VPA biomass levels by regressing the individual survey biomass indices against VPA mean biomass estimates. The resulting regression coefficients then serve as fixed qs in the ASPIC model estimation of MSY and r . In this configuration, the ASPIC five survey run serves simply as a biological reference point estimation tool, analogous to a yield per recruit analysis, rather than as an independent stock assessment model. With fixed qs, bootstrap estimates of the variance of the estimated parameters will be biased low compared to unconstrained values. The variance estimated from 500 bootstrap trials of the unconstrained five index run was used to calculate the $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile of the point estimate of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{msy}}$ for calculation of the overfishing definition target fishing mortality rate for the stock as specified by the

NMFS Overfishing Definition Review Panel (Applegate et al. 1998).

The five index, fixed q run provided estimates of total stock biomass very similar to those from VPA (Figure 10), and estimates of MSY $=10,220 \mathrm{mt}, \mathrm{r}=$ $0.74, \mathrm{~K}=55,600 \mathrm{mt}, \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{mgy}}=27,810 \mathrm{mt}$ (the proposed overfishing definition biomass target), and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{my}}=$ 0.37 (the proposed fishing mortality threshold; Table 27, Figure 11). For the current exploitation pattern estimated by.VPA, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{my}}=0.37$ corresponds to a fully recruited fishing mortality rate of $F=0.59$. The proposed biomass based target fishing mortality to be used when stock biomass is greater than $\mathrm{B}_{\text {msy }}$ was estimated to be $\mathrm{F}_{\text {urget }}=0.24$, corresponding to a fully recruited $\mathrm{F}=0.33$ (Figure 11). These values are about $10-25 \%$ higher than those estimated by the Overfishing Definition Review Panel (MSY $=8,200$ $\mathrm{mt}, \mathrm{r}=0.64, \mathrm{~K}=51,600 \mathrm{mt}, \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{my}}=25,800 \mathrm{mt}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{my}}$ $=0.32$, Furget $=0.19$; Applegate et al. 1998), due to the
inclusion of discarded catch and updated catch and survey data in the current analysis.

The SARC proposes that the Overfishing Definition Review Panel recommendations for SNE/MA Winter Flounder incorporated in NEFMC Amendment 9, as updated by the five index, fixed survey catchability run in this assessment, be adopted to meet SFA requirements. Stock biomass in 1997 was estimated to be $17,928 \mathrm{mt}, 65 \%$ of $\mathrm{B}_{\text {my }}$, and fully recruited F was estimated to be 0.31 in 1997, equivalent to a total biomass $\mathrm{F}=0.24,65 \%$ of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {myy }}$ and equal to the total biomass fishing mortality target of $F_{\text {arget }}=0.24$ (Figure 11). The table below summarizes current and proposed reference points and current and projected fishing mortality rates and biomass for SNE/MA winter flounder estimated in this assessment:

| Source | Fishing Mortality or Biomass | Biomass Based or Weighted | Fully Recruited |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASMFC Rebuilding Target for 1999 | $\mathrm{F}_{40 \%}$ | 0.16 | 0.20 |
| ASMFC Target for 1995-98 | $\mathrm{F}_{30 \%}$ | 0.22 | 0.29 |
| ASMFC <br> Target for 1993-94 | $\mathrm{F}_{25 \%}$ | 0.25 | 0.35 |
| Certified NEFMC Amend. 9 Threshold Reference Point | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {may }}$ | 0.37 | 0.59 |
| Certified NEFMC Amend. 9 5 Year Rebuilding Target Reference Point | $F_{\text {waga }}$ | 0.21 | 0.28 |
| Certified NEFMC Amend. 9 10 Year Rebuilding Target Reference Point | $F_{\text {wigut } 10}$ | 0.24 | 0.33 |
| SARC 28 VPA | $\mathrm{F}_{97}$ | 0.24 | 0.31 |
| SARC 28 Projection | $\mathrm{F}_{98}$ | 0.27 | 0.39 |
| Certified NEFMC Amen. 9 Reference Point | $\mathrm{B}_{\text {may }}$ | $27,810 \mathrm{mt}$ |  |
| SARC 28 VPA | $\mathrm{B}_{97}$ | $17,928 \mathrm{mt}$ |  |
| SARC 28 Projection | $\mathrm{B}_{98}$ at $\mathrm{F}_{98}$ | 20,200 mt |  |

## PROJECTIONS FOR 1998-2000

Stochastic projections were made based on 200 bootstrapped VPA realizations of numbers at age in 1998. Weights at age in the stock, landings, and discards were estimated as the weighted (by number landed) geometric mean weight at age from 19961997, to reflect recent conditions in the fisheries. Partial recruitment to the fishery and percentage discarded were similarly estimated as the geometric mean of VPA estimates for 1996-1997. Recruitment was treated as the median of the 1981-1998 estimates by resampling the VPA estimated recruitment at age1 for that period (arithmetic mean $=27.6$ million, geometric mean $=23.5$ million, median of 200 bootstrap realizations $=23.5$ million $)$.

Based on the expected commercial fishery landings for 1998 of $3,719 \mathrm{mt}$ and the relative proportions during 1996-1997 of commercial landings, commercial discard, recreational landings, and recreational discards, total landings in 1998 were projected to be $4,500 \mathrm{mt}$, about $94 \%$ of the total 1998 projected catch of $4,800 \mathrm{mt}$. This level of catch in 1998 is projected to result in a 1998 fully recruited fishing mortality of 0.39 , corresponding to a total biomass F of 0.27 (Table 28). At this rate of fishing mortality in 1998, median spawning stock biomass is expected to increase to $10,200 \mathrm{mt}$, and median total biomass to $20,200 \mathrm{mt}$.

Applying the NEFMC FMP Amendment 9 five year rebuilding control rule to the 1998 total stock biomass (biomass between $0.5 \mathrm{~B}_{\text {myy }}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\text {myy }}$ rebuild to $\mathrm{B}_{\text {ms }}$ in 5 years) implies a target total biomass fishing mortality rate of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {taget }}=0.21$ for 1999 (Figure 11), corresponding to a fully recruited fishing mortality of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {target }}=0.28$ for 1999. Applying the NEFMC FMP Amendment 9 ten year rebuilding control rule to the 1998 total stock biomass (biomass between $0.5 \mathrm{~B}_{\text {my }}$ and $B_{m y y}$, rebuild to $B_{\text {msy }}$ in 10 years) implies a target total biomass fishing mortality rate of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ugret } 10}=0.24$ for 1999 (Figure 11), corresponding to a fully recruited fishing mortality of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {wrget } 10}=0.33$ for 1999 .

Applying the ASMFC FMP fully recruited fishing mortality rate target for 1999 implies a rate of $\mathrm{F}_{40 \%}=$ 0.20 for 1999. Projections of landings, discards, and spawning stock biomass, and total stock biomass were estimated for five fully recruited fishing mortality rates during 1999-2000: $\mathrm{F}_{40 \%}=0.20, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {warget } 5}=$ $0.28, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {cruget } 10}=0.33, \mathrm{~F}_{25 \%}=0.35$, and $\mathrm{F}_{98}=0.39$ (Table 28).

If fully recruited fishing mortality is reduced to $\mathrm{F}_{400 \%}$ $=0.20$ during 1999-2000, landings are expected to decrease in 1999 to $2,700 \mathrm{mt}$ and then increase to $3,400 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000 (Table 28, Figure 12). At $\mathrm{F}_{40 \%}=$ 0.20 , spawning stock biomass is expected to increase to $11,800 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 and to $14,300 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000 , and total stock biomass is expected to increase to 23,000 mt in 1999 and to $27,500 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000.

If fully recruited fishing mortality is reduced to $\mathrm{F}_{\text {arget }}$ $s=0.28$ during 1999-2000, landings are expected to decrease in 1999 to $3,600 \mathrm{mt}$ and then increase to $4,300 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000 . At $\mathrm{F}_{\text {urget } 5}=0.28$, spawning stock biomass is expected to increase to $11,700 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 and to $13,400 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000 , and total stock biomass is expected to increase to $22,500 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 and to $26,000 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000 (Table 28, Figure 12).

If fully recruited fishing mortality is reduced to $\mathrm{F}_{\text {urger }}$ ${ }_{10}=0.33$ during 1999-2000, landings are expected to decrease in 1999 to $4,200 \mathrm{mt}$ and then increase to $4,800 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000 . At $\mathrm{F}_{\text {urget } 10}=0.33$, spawning stock biomass is expected to increase to $11,600 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 and to $12,800 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000 , and total stock biomass is expected to increase to $22,100 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 and to $25,100 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000 (Table 28, Figure 12).

If fully recruited fishing mortality is decreased to $\mathrm{F}_{25 \%}$ $=0.35$ during 1999-2000, landings are expected to remain stable in 1999 at $4,500 \mathrm{mt}$ and then increase to $5,000 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000. At $\mathrm{F}_{25 \%}=0.35$, spawning stock biomass is expected to increase to $11,500 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 and to $12,500 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000 , and total stock biomass is expected to increase to $22,000 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 and to $24,700 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000 (Table 28, Figure 12).

If fully recruited fishing mortality is maintained at 0.39 in 1999-2000, landings are expected to increase in 1999 to $4,800 \mathrm{mt}$ and then increase to $5,300 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000 . At $\mathrm{F}_{98}=$ 0.39 , spawning stock biomass is expected to increase to $11,400 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1999 and to 12,100 mt in 2000 , and total stock biomass is expected to increase to $21,700 \mathrm{mt} \mathrm{in} 1999$ and to $24,100 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2000 (Table 28, Figure 12).

## SARC 28.CONCLUSIONS

The stock complex is at a medium level of biomass and is fully exploited. Reductions in fishing mortality, and to a lesser degree improvement in recent recruitment, have contributed to rebuilding of the stock (Figures 3 and 5). Total biomass in 1997 was estimated to be $17,900 \mathrm{mt}$, which is $64 \%$ of $B_{\text {msy }}=27,810 \mathrm{mt}$. Fully recruited fishing mortality in 1997 was 0.31 (exploitation rate $=24 \%$ ), about equal to the ASMFC target for 1997 of $\mathrm{F}_{30 \%}=0.29$. The corresponding total biomass fishing mortality in 1997 was 0.24 , below $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{msy}}=0.37$.

Assuming a total catch of $4,800 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1998 , fully recruited fishing mortality in 1998 is projected to rise to 0.39 (exploitation rate $=$ $29 \%$ ), corresponding to a total biomass fishing mortality of 0.27 . Total stock biomass is projected to increase to $20,200 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1998 , about $73 \%$ of $B_{\text {msy }}=27,810 \mathrm{mt}$ (Figure 5). Relative to the NEFMC FMP Amendment 9 overfishing definition and associated control rules (Figure 11), the stock is not overfished (biomass in 1998 is above $\mathrm{B}_{\text {threshold }}$ ) and overfishing is not occurring (biomass F in 1998 is below $\mathrm{F}_{\text {tresshold }}$ ). Relative to the ASMFC FMP overfishing definition of $\mathrm{F}_{25 \%}=$ 0.35 and target for 1999 of $\mathrm{F}_{40 \%}=0.20$, overfishing is occurring (Figure 9).

Appiying the NEFMC FMP Amendment 9 ten year rebuilding control rule to the 1998 total
stock biomass implies a target total biomass fishing mortality rate of $F_{\text {urget } 10}=0.24$ for 1999, corresponding to a fully recruited fishing mortality of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {urget } 10}=0.33$ for 1999 . and requires a $15 \%$ reduction in fully recruited fishing mortality from 1998. Applying the NEFMC FMP Amendment 9 five year rebuilding control rule to the 1998 total stock biomass implies a target total biomass fishing mortality rate of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {urget }} \mathrm{s}=0.21$ for 1999 , corresponding to a fully recruited fishing mortaiity of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {urget } 5}=0.28$ for 1999, and requires a $28 \%$ reduction in fully recruited fishing mortality from 1998. Applying the ASMFC FMP fully recruited fishing mortality rate target of $\mathrm{F}_{40 \%}=0.20$ for 1999 requires a $49 \%$ reduction in fully recruited fishing mortality from 1998.

## SARC 28 COMMENTS

The SARC accepted the results of the SNE/MA winter flounder assessment but noted some shortcomings which should be addressed in future assessments. One weakness of the input data lies with the geographic coverage of the commercial length samples by port. It was noted that the market categories differ by port, so applying samples by market category across ports may create bias in the results. Future assessments of coastal species would also benefit from a coordinated fisheries independent survey among states. The ASMFC Management and Science Committee has begun consideration of the logistics involved in such a survey series, but is many years away from contributing to stock assessments.

The use of large number of indices from several different state and federal sources in the VPA was discussed. An examination of the correlation among standardized indices and residuals at age was completed. The

SARC concluded that the increased information content provided by multiple surveys was worth the slight decrease in precision created in the results. Exploratory VPA runs were made during the SARC both a) without estimation of age-l stock size in the last year, and b) by excluding all survey age-1 indices, in order to examine the effect on precision and residual pattems. There was some concern over the results in the retrospective pattern where both F and SSB tended to be over estimated in the terminal year. The probable reason was the influence of mature age 3 fish in the SSB which were not included in the estimate of fully recruited F.

The discussion of reference points centered around the calculation and terminology used in the control rule. The SARC concluded that the term $\mathrm{F}_{\text {uarget }}$ would be used to describe the current $F$ necessary under the control rule. Similarly, $F_{\text {utreshold }}$ is equal to $F_{\text {msy }}$. The SARC suggested that the maturity ogive used in the VPA and yield per recruit analyses be reexamined to incorporate any recent research.

## RESEARCH RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Continue to consider the effects of catch-and-release components of recreational fishery on discard at age (i.e., develop. mortality estimates from the American Littoral Society tagging database, if feasible).
2. Compare commercial fishery discard estimates from the Mayo et al. (1992) survey/mesh algorithm with those from VTR discard ratios for 19941997.
3. Maintain or increase sampling levels (currently supported by individual state funding) and collect age information from MRFSS samples.
4. Further examine the comparability of age-length keys from different areas within the stock. Current comparisons are based on two years and three ages. Conduct an age structure exchange between NEFSC, CT DEP, and MADMF, to ensure consistency in ageing protocol.
5. Re-examine the maturity ogive to incorporate andy recent research resuits.
6. Examine the implications of anthropogenic mortalities caused by pollution and power plant entrainment in estimation of yield per recruit. if feasible.
7. Examine the implications of stock mixing from data from Great South Channel region.
8. Expand sea sampling for estimation of commercial discards.
9. Explore the feasibility of stratification of the commercial fishery discard estimation by fishery (e.g., mesh, gear, area).
10. Revise the recreational fishery discard estimates by applying a consistent method across all years, if feasible (i.e., the Gibson 1996 method).
11. Age the archived MA DMF survey age samples for 1978-1989.
12. Compile NEFSC Winter Survey abundance indices for winter flounder and evaluate their utility.
13. Evaluate the utility of MA DMF sea sample data for winter flounder.
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Table E1. Winter flounder commercial landings (metric tons) for Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic stock complex area (U.S. statistical reporting areas 521,526, divisions 53, 61-63) as reported by NEFSC weighout, state bulletin and general canvas data.

|  | Metric Tons |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 1964 | 7,474 |
| 1965 | 8,678 |
| 1966 | 11,977 |
| 1967 | 9,478 |
| 1968 | 7,070 |
| 1969 | 8,107 |
| 1970 | 8,603 |
| 1971 | 7,367 |
| 1972 | 5,190 |
| 1973 | 5,573 |
| 1974 | 4,259 |
| 1975 | 3,982 |
| 1976 | 3,265 |
| 1977 | 4,413 |
| 1978 | 6,327 |
| 1979 | 6,543 |
| 1980 | 10,627 |
| 1981 | 11,176 |
| 1982 | 9,438 |
| 1983 | 8,659 |
| 1984 | 8,882 |
| 1985 | 7,052 |
| 1986 | 4,929 |
| 1987 | 5,172 |
| 1988 | 4,312 |
| 1989 | 3,670 |
| 1990 | 4,232 |
| 1991 | 4,823 |
| 1992 | 3,816 |
| 1993 | 2,010 |
| 1994 | 2,639 |
| 1995 | 2,781 |
| 1996 |  |
| 1997 |  |
|  |  |

Table E2. Distribution of commercial landings (percentage of annual total) of winter flounder from Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic stock complex area by U.S. statistical reporting area.

|  |  | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | 521 | 526 | 537 | 538 | 539 | 611 | 612 | 613 | $614-$ <br> 622 |
| 1989 | 33.2 | 10.8 | 18.9 | 7.0 | 12.1. | 7.1 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 1.2 |
| 1990 | 45.2 | 16.8 | 6.1 | 4.9 | 9.5 | 11.1 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 0.1 |
| 1991 | 46.4 | 14.7 | 10.8 | 1.7 | 13.7 | 5.7 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 0.4 |
| 1992 | 37.0 | 12.5 | 17.4 | 2.4 | 9.4 | 10.1 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| 1993 | 46.6 | 10.0 | 10.8 | 2.4 | 8.2 | 7.7 | 4.2 | 8.0 | 2.1 |
| 1994 | 41.8 | 13.3 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 17.6 | 10.3 | 6.5 | 3.1 | 3.3 |
| 1995 | 43.3 | 9.1 | 6.7 | 1.6 | 15.7 | 10.8 | 9.3 | 2.1 | 1.4 |
| 1996 | 47.3 | 12.0 | 10.8 | 1.4 | 12.3 | 11.0 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 0.3 |
| 1997 | 68.7 | 3.9 | 5.9 | 0.7 | 11.7 | 6.0 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 0.4 |

Table E3. Estimated number ( $000^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ ) and weight ( mt ) of winter flounder caught and discarded in recreational fishery, Southern Massachusetts to New Jersey.

|  |  | Number (000's) |  |  | Metric tons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Catch } \\ \mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B} 1+\mathrm{B} 2 \end{gathered}$ | Landed A+B1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Released } \\ \text { B2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $15 \%$ Release Mortality | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Landed } \\ \mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B} 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1981 | 11006 | 8089 | 2916 | 437 | 3050 |
| 1982 | 10665 | 8392 | 2273 | 341 | 2457 |
| 1983 | 11010 | 8365 | 2645 | 397 | 2524 |
| 1984 | 17723 | 12756 | 4967 | 745 | 5772 |
| 1985 | 18056 | 13297 | 4759 | 714 | 5198 |
| 1986 | 9368 | 6995 | 2374 | 356 | 2940 |
| 1987 | 9213 | 6900 | 2313 | 347 | 3141 |
| 1988 | 10134 | 7358 | 2775 | 416 | 3423 |
| 1989 | 5919 | 3682 | 2236 | 335 | 1802 |
| 1990 | 3827 | 2486 | 1340 | 201 | 1063 |
| 1991 | 4325 | 2795 | 1530 | 230 | 1214 |
| 1992 | 1360 | 806 | 555 | 83 | 393 |
| 1993 | 2211 | 1180 | 1031 | 155 | 543 |
| 1994 | 1829 | 1209 | 620 | 93 | 598 |
| 1995 | 1850 | 1390 | 461 | 69 | 661 |
| 1996 | 2679 | 1554 | 1125 | 169 | 689 |
| 1997 | 1767. | 1204 | 563 | 84 | 618 |

Table E4. Winter flounder commercial fishery landed sample lengths (number of fish measured) used for Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic stock complex, 1985-1993. Landings are in metric tons.

| Year | Landings | Lengths measured | Metric tons per <br> 100 lengths |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | 7,052 | 6,407 | 110 |
| 1986 | 4,929 | 5,120 | 96 |
| 1987 | 5,172 | 5,271 | 98 |
| 1988 | 4,312 | 4,208 | 102 |
| 1989 | 3,670 | 3,525 | 104 |
| 1990 | 4,232 | 4,088 | 104 |
| 1991 | 4,823 | 3,058 | 158 |
| 1992 | 3,816 | 4,163 | 92 |
| 1993 | 3,010 | 2,354 | 128 |

Table E5. Winter flounder commercial fishery landed sample lengths (number of fish measured) used for Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic stock complex, 1981-1984. Landings are in metric tons.

| 1981 | Season | Unclass. | Smarket Category | Medium | Large | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Type | Jan-Jun | 782 | 415 | 0 | 491 | 1688 |
| Port | Jul-Dec | 1122 | 1127 | 0 | 293 | 2542 |
| Port |  | 1904 | 1542 | 0 | 784 | 4230 |
| Total lengths used |  | 273 | 6025 | 0 | 4878 | 11176 |
| Landings |  |  |  |  | 264 |  |


| 1982 |  | Market Category |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Type | Season | Unclass. | Small | Medium | Large | Total |
| Port | Jan-Jun | 281 | 1576 | 0 | 996 | 2853 |
| Port | Jul-Dec | 232 | 849 | 657 | 1205 | 2943 |
| Total lengths used |  | 513 | 2425 | 657 | 2201 | 5796 |
| Landings |  | 773 | 3799 | 1244 | 3622 | 9438 |
| Metric tons per 100 lengths |  |  |  |  |  | 163 |


| 1983 | Market Category |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Type | Season | Unclass. | Small | Medium | Large | Total |
| Port | Jan-Jiun | 625 | 955 | 338 | 847 | 2765 |
| Port | Jul-Dec | 302 | 835 | 706 | 993 | 2836 |
| Total lengths used |  | 927 | 1790 | 1044 | 1840 | 5601 |
| Landings |  | 443 | 3835 | 1880 | 2501 | 2781 |
| Metric tons per 100 lengths |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |

Table E5 continued.

| 1984 | Market Category |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Type | Season | Unclass. | Small | Medium | Large | Total |
| Port | Jan-Jun | 274 | 689 | 0 | 604 | 1567 |
| Port | Jul-Dec | 277 | 482 | 637 | 734 | 2130 |
| Total lengths used |  | 551 | 1171 | 637 | 1338 | 3697 |
| Landings |  | 639 | 3687 | 2208 | 2348 | 8882 |
| Metric tons per 100 lengths |  |  |  |  |  | 240 |

Table E6. Winter flounder commercial fishery landed sample lengths (number of fish measured) used for Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic stock complex, 1994-1997. Landings are in metric tons.

| 1994 | Market Category |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Type | Season | Unclass. | Small | Medium | Large | Total |
| Port | Jan-Jun | 0 | 472 | 242 | 332 | 1046 |
| Port | Jul-Dec | 142 | 620 | 574 | 211 | 1547 |
| $\cdots$ Total lengths used |  | 142 | 1092 | 816 | 543 | 2593 |
| Landings |  | 550 | 867 | 285 | 458 | 2159 |
| Metric tons per 100 lengths |  |  |  |  |  | 83 |
| 1995 |  |  | Market | gory |  |  |
| Sample Type | Season | Unclass. | Small | Medium | Large | Total |
| Port | Jan-Jun | 79 | 580 | 290 | 225 | 1174 |
| Port | Jul-Dec | 0 | 602 | 0 | 100 | 702 |
| Sea Sample | Jul-Dec | 2979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2979 |
| Total lengths used |  | 3058 | 580 | 290 | 225 | 4153 |
| Landings |  | 621 | 1377 | 194 | 442 | 2634 |
| Metric tons per 100 lengths |  |  |  |  |  | 63 |


| 1996 | Season | Unclass. | Small | Medium | Large | Total |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Type | Jan-Jun | 426 | 223 | 103 | 0 | 752 |
| Port | Jan-Jun | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| Sea Sample | Jul-Dec | 54 | 631 | 418 | 109 | 1212 |
| Port |  | 535 | 854 | 521 | 109 | 2019 |
| Total lengths used |  | 409 | 1598 | 184 | 590 | 2781 |
| Landings |  |  |  |  | 138 |  |

Table E6 continued.

| 1997 | Season | Unclass. | Small | Medium | Large | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Type | Jan-Jun | 0 | 553 | 776 | 843 | 2172 |
| Port | Jul-Dec | 201 | 774 | 400 | 458 | 1833 |
| Port |  | 201 | 1327 | 1176 | 1301 | 4005 |
| Total lengths used |  | 542 | 1293 | 756 | 835 | 3426 |
| Landings |  |  |  |  |  | 86. |

Table E7. Winter flounder recreational fishery landed sample lengths (number of fish measured) used for Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic stock complex, 1985-1993. Landings are in metric tons.

| Year | Landings | Lengths measured | Metric tons per <br> 100 lengths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | 5,198 | 2,357 | 221 |
| 1986 | 2,940 | 2,237 | 131 |
| 1987 | 3,141 | 1,360 | 231 |
| 1988 | 3,423 | 1,944 | 176 |
| 1989 | 1,802 | 2,810 | 64 |
| 1990 | 1,063 | 2,548 | 42 |
| 1991 | 1,214 | 1,755 | 69 |
| 1992 | 393 | 1,083 | 36 |
| 1993 | 543 | 1,288 | 42 |

Table E8. Winter flounder recreational fishery sample lengths (number of fish measured) used for Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic stock complex, 1981-1984. SNE = MA \& RI; MA $=\mathrm{CT}$ and states south. Landings are in metric tons.

| Season/area | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jan-Jun/SNE | 229 | 394 | 1048 | 486 |
| Jan-Jun/MA | 279 | 228 | 604 | 1497 |
| . Jul-Dec/SNE | 316 | 900 | 276 | 313 |
| Jul-Dec/MA | 901 | 449 | 659 | 827 |
| Total lengths | 1725 | 1971 | 2587 | 3123 |
| Landings (A+B1) | 3050 | 2457 | 2524 | 5772 |
| Metric tons per 100 Lengths | 177 | 125 | 98 | 185 |

Table E9. Winter flounder recreational fishery sample lengths (number of fish measured) used for Southem New England/Mid-Atlantic stock complex, 1994-1997. SNE = MA \& RI; MA $=\mathrm{CT}$ and states south. Landings are in metric tons.

| Season/area | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan-Jun/SNE | 544 | 578 | 664 | 421 |
| Jan-Jun/MA | 192 | 129 | 121 | 166 |
| Jul-Dec/SNE | 187 | 37 | 104 | 97 |
| Jul-Dec/MA | 25 | 23 | 47 | 68 |
| Total lengths | 948 | 767 | 936 | 752 |
| Landings (A+B1) | 598 | 661 | 689 | 618 |
| Metric tons per 100 Lengths | 63 | 86 | 74 | 82 |

Table E10. Winter flounder NEFSC Domestic Sea Sample Program (SS) and NER Vessel Trip Report (VTR) data: number of SS trips with landed winter flounder (to estimate discards to landings ratio), SS discards to landings ratio, number of VTR trips with winter flounder landings that discarded any species, and VTR discards to landings ratio. VTR data available only for 1994-1997.


Table E11. Winter flounder commercial fishery discard sample lengths (number of fish measured) used for Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic stock complex, 1994-1997. Discard estimates (before impact of $50 \%$ mortality rate) are in metric tons.

| Season | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Jan-Jun | 111 | 73 | 358 | 412 |
| Jul-Dec | 196 | 646 | 245 | 556 |
| Total lengths | 307 | 719 | 603 | 968 |
| Discard Estimate (before mortality) | 608 | 242 | 346 | 534 |
| Metric tons per 100 Lengths | 198 | 34 | 57 | 55 |

Table E12. Winter flounder catch at age (number in 000s) for Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic stock complex. Note that $7+$ totals only available for 1994-1996.


Table E12 continued.

| Recreational Landings |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 1981 | 776 | 4054 | 2426 | 742 | 59 | 4 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1982 | 457 | 4235 | 2716 | 823 | 122 | 26 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1983 | 289 | 1630 | 4194 | 1702 | 427 | 112 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1984 | 294 | 4258 | 6224 | 1565 | 267 | 107 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1985 | 219 | 1585 | 4270 | 2558 | 1895 | 1513 | 878 | 0 | 335 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1986 | 106 | 1765 | 2432 | 1797 | 491 | 171 | 81 | 77 | 51 | 8 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| 1987 | 16 | 926 | 1736 | 1023 | 2229 | 633 | 82 | 115 | 64 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 21 | 534 | 2858 | 2078 | 775 | 857 | 128 | 51 | 37 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 99 | 739 | 944 | 1200. | 385 | 161 | 91 | 36 | 16 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 1990 | 7 | 189 | 814 | 851 | 439 | 101 | 52 | 20 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 1991 | 13 | 232 | 1122 | 879 | 399 | 107 | 38 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 3 | 123 | 235 | 303 | 85 | 50 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 31 | 233 | 321 | 289 | 218 | 54 | 20 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1994 | 5 | 203 | 240 | 303 | 220 | 149 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 0 | 30 | 268 | 298 | 321 | 267 | 206 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1996 | 0 | 106 | 200 | 630 | 220 | 240 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1997 | 1 | 82 | 497 | 410 | 178 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Recreational Discards A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 1981 | 70 | 367 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1982 | 33 | 308 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1983 | 62 | 337 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1984 | 48 | 697 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1985 | 9 | 340 | 363 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1986 | 32 | 222 | 93 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1987 | 47 | 254 | 43 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 57 | 279 | 76 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 49 | 240 | 45 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 12 | 136 | 51 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 22 | 151 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 7 | 51 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 29 | 95 | 26 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1994 | 12 | 60 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 9 | 45 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1996 | 21 | 110 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1997 | 11 | 55 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Total Landings |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 1981 | 970 | 11208 | 12166 | 3492 | 665 | 182 | 70 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 1982 | 511 | 11132 | 11202 | 3538 | 610 | 213 | 91 | 59 | 21 | 17 | 7 | 7 | 0 . |
| 1983 | 295 | 4425 | 11308 | 5659 | 1749 | 696 | 280 | 91 | 34 | 70 | 6 | 29 | 35 |
| 1984 | 294 | 8776 | 12591 | 4762 | 1770 | 875 | 396 | 158 | 67 | 86 | 27 | 33 | 37 |
| 1985 | 246 | 5521 | 9958 | 5610 | 2910 | 1839 | 982 | 32 | 352 | 52 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| 1986 | 106 | 3886 | 6619 | 4003 | 1042 | 442 | 165 | 104 | 57 | 10 | 19 | 2 | 0 |
| 1987 | 16 | 3414 | 7201 | 2918 | 2694 | 755 | 122 | 135 | 78 | 89 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 21 | 2775 | 6787 | 3684 | 1188 | 979 | 165 | . 75 | 39 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 99 | 2281 | 5000 | 2947 | 816 | 220 | 125 | 49 | 21 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 1990 | 7 | 1193 | 4791 | 2608 | 754 | 196 | 88 | 36 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 1991 | 13 | 1638 | 5879 | 3117 | 846 | 250 | 87 | 16 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 3 | 607 | 3650 | 2431 | 659 | 161 | 38 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 44 | 1118 | 2836 | 1666 | 579 | 157 | 91 | 17 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| 1994 | 5 | 832 | 1044 | 705 | 311 | 163 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 0 | 103 | 1805 | 885 | 415 | 291 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1996 | 0 | 712 | 1347 | 1100 | 343 | 258 | 168 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1997 | 1 | 1500 | 3071 | 1780 | 534 | 106 | 28 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Discards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 1981 | 392 | 2881 | 2186 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1982 | 76 | 3125 | 1219 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1983 | 322 | 2816 | 2000 | 467 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1984 | 207 | 2799 | 1502 | 166 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1985 | 31 | 1845 | 2878 | 444 | 43 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1986 | 110 | 2441 | 2483 | 213 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1987 | 58 | 1854 | 1797 | 173 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 63 | 1166 | 2615 | 280 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 364 | 2965 | 2175 | 556 | 33 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 29 | 917 | 1484 | 324 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 39 | 1389 | 1262 | 227 | 12 . | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 22 | 896 | 806 | 151 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 230 | 945 | 492 | 61 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1994 | 56 | 265 | 108 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 24 | 92 | 57 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1996 | 32 | 174 | 104 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1997 | 384 | 635 | 229 | 31 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table E12 continued.

| Total Catch Ag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | Total |  |
| 1981 | 1362 | 14089 | 14352 | 3593 | 665 | 182 | 70 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 34354 |  |
| 1982 | 587 | 14257 | 12421 | 3730 | 610 | 213 | 91 | 59 | 21 | 17 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 32020 |  |
| 1983 | 617 | 7241 | 13308 | 6126 | 1794 | 696 | 280 | 91 | 34 | 70 | 6 | 29 | 35 | 30327 |  |
| 1984 | 501 | 11575 | 14093 | 4928 | 1776 | 876 | 396 | 158 | 67 | 86 | 27 | 33 | 37 | 34553 |  |
| 1985 | 277 | 7366 | 12836 | 6054 | 2953 | 1843 | 982 | 32 | 352 | 52 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 32753 |  |
| 1986 | 215 | 6327 | 9102 | 4216 | 1053 | 442 | 165 | 104 | 57 | 10 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 21712 |  |
| 1987 | 73 | 5268 | 8999 | 3091 | 2703 | 755 | 122 | 135 | 78 | 89 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 21315 |  |
| 1988 | 84 | 3941 | 9402 | 3964 | 1207 | 979 | 165 | 75 | 39 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 19880 |  |
| 1989 | 463 | 5246 | 7176 | 3503 | 849 | 222 | 126 | 49 | 21 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 17668 |  |
| 1990 | 36 | 2109 | 6275 | 2931 | 767 | 196 | 89 | 36 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 12455 |  |
| 1991 | 53 | 3027 | 7140 | 3344 | 858 | 251 | 87 | 16 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 14788 |  |
| 1992 | 25 | 1503 | 4457 | 2581 | 674 | 162 | 38 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9455 |  |
| 1993 | 274 | 2062 | 3329 | 1728 | 585 | 157 | 91 | 17 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 8251 |  |
| 1994 | 61 | 1097 | 1152 | 713 | 311 | 162 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3595 |  |
| 1995 | 24 | 195 | 1862 | 889 | 415 | 291 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3887 |  |
| 1996 | 32 | 886 | 1450 | 1107 | 343 | 258 | 168 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4244 |  |
| 1997 | 385 | 2135 | 3300 | 1811 | 540 | 106 | 28 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8323 |  |

Table E13. Total winter flounder recreational and commercial catch for the Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic stock complex in weight (mt) and numbers (000s).

|  | Year | Commercial Landings |  | Commercial Discards |  | Recreational Landings |  | Recreational Discards |  | Total Catch |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Discards/Total } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mt | 000s | mt | 000s | mt | 000s | mt | 000s | mt | 000s | mt | 000s |
| 1981 |  | 11,176 | 20,705 | 1,343 | 5,123 | 3,050 | 8,089 | 88 | 437 | 15,657 | 34,354 | 9.1 | 16.2 |
| 1982 |  | 9,438 | 19,016 | 1,149 | 4,271 | 2,457 | 8,392 | 66 | 341 | 13,110 | 32,020 | 9.3 | 14.4 |
| 1983 |  | 8,659 | 16,312 | 1,311 | 5,251 | 2,524 | 8,365 | 125 | 399 | 12,619 | 30,327 | $\therefore 11.4$ | 18.6 |
| 1984 |  | 8,882 | 17,116 | 986 | 3,936 | 5,772 | 12,756 | 148 | 745 | 15,788 | 34,553 | 7.2 | 13.5 |
| 1985 |  | 7,052 | 14,211 | 1,534 | 4,531 | 5,198 | 13,297 | 230 | 714 | 14,014 | 32,753 | 12.6 | 16.0 |
| 1986 |  | 4,929 | 9,460 | 1,273 | 4,902 | 2,940 | 6,994 | 66 | 356 | 9,208 | 21,712 | 14.5 | 24.2 |
| 1987 |  | 5,172 | 10,524 | 950 | 3,545 | 3,141 | 6,899 | 61 | 347 | 9,324 | 21,315 | 10.8 | 18.3 |
| 1988 |  | 4,312 | 8,377 | 904 | 3,728 | 3,423 | 7,359 | 69 | 416 | 8,708 | 19,880 | 11.2 | 20.8 |
| 1989 |  | 3,670 | 7,888 | 1,404 | 5,761 | 1,802 | 3,684 | 49 | 335 | 6,925 | 17,668 | 21.0 | 34.5 |
| 1990 |  | 4,232 | 7,202 | $\therefore 673$ | 2,567 | 1,063 | 2,485 | 31 | 201 | 5,999 | 12,455 | 11.7 | 22.2 |
| 1991 |  | 4,823 | 9,063 | 784 | 2,701 | 1,214 | 2,794 | 51 | 230 | 6,872 | 14,788 | 12.2 | 19.8 |
| 1992 |  | 3,816 | 6,759 | 511 | 1,811 | 393 | 802 | 15 | 83 | 4,735 | 9,455 | 11.1 | 20.0 |
| 1993 |  | 3,010 | 5,336 | 457 | 1,580 | 543 | 1,180 | 31 | 155 | 4,041 | 8,251 | 12.1 | 21.0 |
| 1994 |  | 2,159 | 1,948 | 304 | 344 | 598 | 1,210 | 34 | 93 | 3,095 | 3,595 | 10.9 | 12.2 |
| 1995 |  | 2,634 | 2,321 | 121 | 107 | 661 | 1,390 | 23 | 69 | 3,439 | 3,887 | 4.2 | 4.5 |
| 1996 |  | 2,781 | 2,372 | 173 | 149 | 689 | 1,555 | 64 | 168 | 3,707 | 4,244 | 6.4 | 7.5 |
| 1997 |  | 3,426 | 5,834 | 267 | 1,200 | 618 | 1,204 | 26 | 85 | 4,337 | 8,323 | 6.8 | 15.4 |

Table E14. Total fishery catch at age and mean weights at age used as input to Virtual Population Analysis for Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic winter flounder stock complex.

| Year | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | $7+$ |
| 1981 | 1362 | 14089 | 14352 | 3593 | 665 | 182 | 111 |
| 1982 | 587 | 14257 | 12421 | 3730 | 610 | 213 | 202 |
| 1983 | 617 | 7241 | 13308 | 6126 | 1794 | 696 | 545 |
| -1984 | 501 | 11575 | 14093 | 4928 | $1776{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 876 | 804 |
| 1985 | 277 | 7366 | 12836 | 6054 | 2953 | 1843 | 1424 |
| 1986 | 215 | 6327 | 9102 | 4216 | 1053 | 442 | 357 |
| 1987 | 73 | 5268 | 8999 | 3091 | 2703 | 755 | 426 |
| 1988 | 84 | 3941 | 9402 | 3964 | 1207 | 979 | 303 |
| 1989 | 463 | 5246 | 7176 | 3503 | 849 | 222 | 209 |
| 1990 | 36 | 2109 | 6275 | 2931 | 767 | 196 | 141 |
| 1991 | 53 | 3027 | 7140 | 3344 | 858 | 251 | 115 |
| 1992 | 25 | 1503 | 4457 | 2581 | 674 | 162 | 53 |
| 1993 | 274 | 2062 | 3329 | 1728 | 585 | 157 | 116 |
| 1994 | 61 | 1097 | 1152 | 713 | 311 | 162 | 99 |
| 1995 | 24 | 195 | 1862 | 889 | 415 | 291 | 211 |
| 1996 | 32 | 886 | 1450 | 1107 | 343 | 258 | 168 |
| 1997 | 385 | 2135 | 3300 | 1811 | 540 | 106 | 46 |


| Year | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | $7+$ |
| 1981 | 0.130 | 0.276 | 0.478 | 0.802 | 1.065 | 1.243 | 1.202 |
| 1982 | 0.090 | 0.261 | 0.438 | 0.694 | 1.048 | 1.253 | 1.837 |
| 1983 | 0.195 | 0.237 | 0.353 | 0.516 | 0.774 | 1.046 | 1.552 |
| 1984 | 0.146 | 0.258 | 0.366 | 0.542 | 0.693 | 0.913 | 1.282 |
| 1985 | 0.111 | 0.282 | 0.364 | 0.482 | 0.522 | 0.467 | 0.613 |
| 1986 | 0.129 | 0.292 | 0.398 | 0.480 | 0.685 | 0.879 | 0.961 |
| 1987 | 0.046 | 0.287 | 0.384 | 0.551 | 0.475 | 0.564 | 0.853 |
| 1988 | 0.039 | 0.279 | 0.351 | 0.508 | 0.634 | 0.517 | 0.827 |
| 1989 | 0.118 | 0.258 | 0.378 | 0.508 | 0.660 | 0.716 | 1.073 |
| 1990 | 0.082 | 0.295 | 0.394 | 0.525 | 0.672 | 0.808 | 0.990 |
| 1991 | 0.093 | 0.317 | 0.420 | 0.534 | 0.603 | 0.823 | 1.168 |
| 1992 | 0.079 | 0.287 | 0.427 | 0.599 | 0.802 | 0.945 | 1.395 |
| 1993 | 0.169 | 0.334 | 0.460 | 0.592 | 0.689 | 0.878 | 1.167 |
| 1994 | 0.156 | 0.347 | 0.448 | 0.597 | 0.741 | 0.692 | 0.818 |
| 1995 | 0.167 | 0.323 | 0.449 | 0.578 | 0.714 | 0.763 | 0.780 |
| 1996 | 0.193 | 0.407 | 0.507 | 0.569 | 0.705 | 0.826 | 0.853 |
| 1997 | 0.093 | 0.369 | 0.510 | 0.659 | 0.806 | 1.071 | 1.511 |

Table E15. Winter flounder NEFSC survey index stratified mean number and mean weight (kgs) per tow for the Southern New England- Mid-Atlantic stock complex, strata set (offshore 1-12, 25, 69-76 ; inshore 1-29, 45-56).


NOTE: 1968-1972 spring index does not include inshore strata; 1963-1971 fall index does not include finshore strata. All indices calculated with trawl door conversion factors where appropriate.

Table E16. SNE/MA winter flounder mean weight per tow for annual state surveys.

| Year | MADMF spring | RIDFW spring | RIDFW <br> fall | CTDEP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 | 18.12 |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 18.17 | 7.72 | 7.24 |  |
| 1980 | 15.18 | 13.57 | 4.88 |  |
| 1981 | 15.77. | 12.13 | 2.12 |  |
| 1982 | 14.82 | 5.27 | 1.30 |  |
| 1983 | 19.45 | 9.52 | 2.28 |  |
| 1984 | 14.68 | 8.43 | 3.38 |  |
| 1985 | 11.60 | 5.93 | 3.01 | 13.50 |
| 1986 | 10.42 | 6.61 | 2.91 | 10.28 |
| 1987 | 9.57 | 8.14 | 2.25 | 11.74 |
| 1988 | 6.46 | 6.02 | 1.45 | 18.28 |
| 1989 | 7.96 | 3.09 | 0.79 | 22.62 |
| 1990 | 5.38 | 3.07 | 0.71 | 29.00 |
| 1991 | 2.91 | 7.38 | 0.18 | 24.60 |
| 1992 | 7.99 | 0.95 | 0.42 | 11.94 |
| 1993 | 8.16 | 0.22 | 0.50 | 11.06 |
| 1994 | 12.59 | 1.67 | 0.33 | 12.29 |
| 1995 | 7.26 | 5.83 | 3.99 | 7.71 |
| 1996 | 9.78 | 5.34 | 0.91 | 20.98 |
| 1997 | 10.02 | 1.61 | 0.64 | 15.18 |
| 1998 | 7.98 | 5.00 | 0.31 |  |

Note: MA DMF 1998 index is preliminary.

Table E17. Winter flounder méan number per tow for annual state surveys.

| Year | MADMF <br> spring | RIDFW <br> spring | CTDEP | NYDEC | NJDFW |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (age 1) |  |  |  |  |  |


| 1978 | 51.50 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 53.61 | 83.76 |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 38.92 | 63.10 |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 46.05 | 87:93 |  |  |  |
| 1982 | 40.23 | 31.42 |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 56.39 | 58.85 |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 36.64 | 41.69 | 110.76 |  |  |
| 1985 | 38.36 | 34.97 | 83.26 | 1.96 |  |
| 1986 | 36.51 | 41.80 | 63.74 |  |  |
| 1987 | 37.84 | 56.21 | 79.83 | 1.64 |  |
| 1988 | 27.57 | 34.41 | 137.63 | 1.32 |  |
| 1989 | 24.42 | 20.89 | 148.18 | 3.01 | 25.60 |
| 1990 | 25.75 | 20.32 | 222.95 | 1.79 | 17.47 |
| 1991 | 10.57 | 42.00 | 150.28 | 3.38 | 22.17 |
| 1992 | 28.69 | 4.41 | 61.25 | 1.11 | 9.88 |
| 1993 | 46.92 | 2.90 | 63.58 | 5.42 | 20.13 |
| 1994 | 48.43 | 10.25 | 84.57 | 3.16 | 14.16 |
| 1995 | 33.35 | 31.78 | 50.16 | 1.72 | 30.04 |
| 1996 | 30.18 | 23.71 | 110.67 | 1.32 | 9.60 |
| 1997 | 39.31 | 11.31 | 71.31 | 3.15 | 36.24 |
| 1998 | 34.45 | 19.22 |  |  | 18.05 |

Note: MA DMF 1998 index is preliminary.

Table E18. State survey indices (stratified mean number per tow or haul) for young-of-year winter flounder in Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic stock complex.


Table E19. NEFSC stratified mean number per tow at age for winter flounder in the Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic stock complex (strata set : offshore 1-12, 25, 69-76 ; inshore 1-29, 45-56).


NEFSC Autumn
AGE

| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 1.76 | 4.62 | 2.74 | 0.44 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 2.06 | 5.06 | 2.30 | 0.31 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| 1982 | 0.76 | 2.21 | 1.34 | 0.47 | 0.12 | 0.02 |  | 0.01 |  |  |
| 1983 | 1.63 | 3.82 | 2.06 | 0.62 | 0.35 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.02 |  |
| 1984 | 0.17 | 1.04 | 1.17 | 0.26 | 0.03 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 | 0.16 | 1.18 | 0.99 | 0.30 | 0.09 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 0.22 | 0.90 | 0.36 | 0.03 | 0.01 |  | 0.01 |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 0.03 | 0.64 | 0.36 | 0.12 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 0.03 | 0.29 | 0.63 | 0.22 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 0.28 | 0.82 | 0.26 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 0.07 | 0.88 | 0.84 | 0.15 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 0.06 | 1.02 | 0.73 | 0.12 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 0.15 | 1.74 | 0.79 | 0.26 | 0.03 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 0.34 | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 0.44 | 2.22 | 1.08 | 0.30 | 0.04 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 0.58 | 0.93 | 0.63 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 0.62 | 1.40 | 0.80 | 0.31 | 0.06 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 1.48 | 3.58 | 2.20 | 0.55 | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table E20. MADMF spring trawl survey mean number per tow at age for winter flounder in the Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic stock complex:

| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $9+$ | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1978 | 9.90 | 9.70 | 15.71 | 9.31 | 3.14 | 1.09 | 1.33 | 0.51 | 0.81 | 51.50 |
| 1979 | 4.63 | 12.86 | 21.03 | 8.90 | 2.93 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.46 | 0.85 | 53.61 |
| 1980 | 1.63 | 8.21 | 14.48 | 9.13 | 3.01 | 0.96 | 0.79 | 0.28 | 0.43 | 38.92 |
| 1981 | 8.33 | 8.72 | 13.15 | 9.38 | 3.68 | 1.16 | 0.75 | 0.32 | 0.56 | 46.05 |
| 1982 | 2.68 | 6.23 | 15.98 | 9.22 | 3.32 | 1.00 | 0.83 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 40.23 |
| 1983 | 2.31 | 15.70 | 19.47 | 12.43 | 3.54 | 1.08 | 0.84 | 0.45 | 0.57 | 56.39 |
| 1984 | 1.23 | 6.92 | 14.12 | 10.14 | 2.64 | 0.72 | 0.51 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 36.64 |
| 1985 | 4.34 | 9.93 | 14.26 | 6.96 | 1.77 | 0.52 | 0.27 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 38.36 |
| 1986 | 3.62 | 8.07 | 17.42 | 5.37 | 1.21 | 0.35 | 0.27 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 36.51 |
| 1987 | 9.19 | 8.24 | 11.50 | 6.14 | 1.61 | 0.47 | 0.41 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 37.84 |
| 1988 | 2.91 | 7.06 | 13.71 | 3.05 | 0.53 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 27.57 |
| 1989 | 1.63 | 4.95 | 10.90 | 4.80 | 1.14 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.28 | 24.42 |
| 1990 | 4.18 | 10.66 | 7.60 | 2.87 | 0.30 | 0.02 | 0.10 |  | 0.02 | 25.75 |
| 1991 | 1.56 | 2.79 | 4.68 | 1.15 | 0.23 | 0.12 | 0.02 |  | 0.02 | 10.57 |
| 1992 | 7.78 | 7.55 | 6.68 | 4.16 | 1.64 | 0.59 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 28.69 |
| 1993 | 14.17 | 17.56 | 11.70 | 2.71 | 0.62 | 0.14 | 0.02 |  |  | 46.92 |
| 1994 | 11.37 | 16.12 | 14.65 | 4.66 | 0.61 | 0.58 | 0.37 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 48.43 |
| 1995 | 12.60 | 9.52 | 7.52 | 1.87 | 0.59 | 0.78 | 0.27 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 33.35 |
| 1996 | 4.81 | 9.73 | 7.61 | 2.84 | 1.99 | 1.45 | 0.84 | 0.29 | 0.63 | 30.19 |
| 1997 | 10.34 | 10.06 | 10.38 | 4.26 | 1.32 | 1.01 | 0.49 | 0.75 | 0.70 | 39.31 |

Table E21. CTDEP spring survey for winter flounder in the Southern New England-Mid Atlantic stock complex.


Table E22. RIDFW spring survey for winter flounder in the Southern New England-Mid Atlantic stock complex.

| RIDFW spring |  |  | AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1 | 2 | - 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | $7+$ | Total |
| 1981 | 13.55 | 32.20 | 32.99 | 6.07 | 1.85 | 0.79 | 0.48 | 87.93 |
| 1982 | 6.82 | 8.42 | 9.61 | 4.02 | 1.45 | 0.63 | 0.47 | 31.42 |
| 1983 | 13.62 | 18.46 | 15.51 | 6.96 | 2.59 | 1.00 | 0.71 | 58.85 |
| 1984 | 2.96 | 18.20 | 11.91 | 5.58 | 2.21 | 0.62 | 0.21 | 41.69 |
| 1985 | 3.46 | 10.32 | 16.08 | 2.31 | 1.26 | 0.84 | 0.70 | 34.97 |
| 1986 | 7.06 | 10.41 | 9.70 | 10.95 | 2.05 | 0.71 | 0.92 | 41.80 |
| 1987 | 10.74 | 20.18 | 10.74 | 5.45 | 6.46 | 1.57 | 1.07 | 56.21 |
| 1988 | 7.23 | 10.92 | 9.82 | 3.34 | 2.07 | 0.62 | 0.41 | 34.41 |
| 1989 | 5.89 | 6.89 | 5.32 | 1.77 | 0.56 | 0.27 | 0.19 | 20.89 |
| 1990 | 5.31 | 6.00 | 5.73 | 2.11 | 0.73 | 0.28 | 0.16 | 20.32 |
| 1991 | 8.01 | 13.68 | 14.01 | 4.03 | 1.47 | 0.55 | 0.25 | $42.00^{\circ}$ |
| 1992 | 2.38 | 1.49 | 0.31 | 0.18 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 4.41 |
| 1993 | 1.47 | 1.01 | 0.24 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 2.90 |
| 1994 | 0.78 | 5.44 | 2.33 | 1.38 | 0.25 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 10.25 |
| 1995 | 6.55 | 8.64 | 12.62 | 2.35 | 1.02 | 0.35 | 0.25 | 31.78 |
| 1996 | 1.52 | 9.24 | 7.18 | 4.79 | 0.62 | 0.24 | 0.12 | 23.71. |
| 1997 | 3.46 | 3.26 | 2.61 | 1.27 | 0.53 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 11.31 |
| 1998 | 1.52 | 3.21 | 8.67 | 4.17 | 1.40 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 19.22 |

Table E23. Virtual Population Analysis of winter flounder in the Southern New England/ Mid-Atlantic stock complex.

Natural mortality is 0.2
Oldest age (not in the plus group) is 6
For all years prior to the terminal year ( 1997 ), backealculated
stock sizes for the following ages used to estimate
total mortality $(Z)$ for age $6: 456$
The Indices of abundance that will be used in this run are:


Table E23 continued.

| Weight at age (mid year) in kg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| 1 | 0.130 | 0.090 | 0.195 | 0.146 | 0.111 | 0.129 | 0.046 |
| 2 | 0.276 | 0.261 | 0.237 | 0.258 | 0.282 | 0.292 | 0.287 |
| 3 | 0.478 | 0.438 | 0.353 | 0.366 | 0.364 | 0.398 | 0.384 |
| 4 | 0.802 | 0.694 | 0.516 | 0.542 | 0.482 | 0.480 | 0.551 |
| 5 | 1.065 | 1.048 | 0.774 | 0.693 | 0.522 | 0.685 | 0.475 |
| 6 | 1.243 | 1.253 | 1.046 | 0.913 | 0.467 | 0.879 | 0.564 |
| 7 | 1.202 | 1.837 | 1.552 | 1.282 | 0.613 | 0.961 | 0.853 |
|  | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| 1 | 0.039 | 0.118 | 0.082 | 0.093 | 0.079 | 0.169 | 0.156 |
| 2 | 0.279 | 0.258 | 0.295 | . 0.317 | 0.287 | 0.334 | 0.347 |
| 3 | 0.351 | 0.378 | 0.394 | 0.420 | 0.427 | 0.460 | 0.448 |
| 4 | 0.508 | 0.508 | 0.525 | 0.534 | 0.599 | 0.592 | 0.597 |
| 5 | 0.634 | 0.660 | 0.672 | 0.603 | 0.802 | 0.689 | 0.741 |
| 6 | 0.517 | 0.716 | 0.808 | 0.823 | 0.945 | 0.878 | 0.692 |
| 7 | 0.827 | 1.073 | 0.990 | . 1.168 | 1.395 | 1.167 | 0.818 |
|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.167 | 0.193 | 0.093 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.323 | 0.407 | 0.369 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0.449 | 0.507 | 0.510 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0.578 | 0.569 | 0.659 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 0.714 | 0.705 | 0.806 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0.763 | 0.826 | 1.071 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 0.780 | 0.853 | 1.511 |  |  |  |  |

SSB Weights

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.092 | 0.055 | 0.170 | 0.105 | 0.068 | 0.086 | 0.019 |
| 2 | 0.219 | 0.184 | 0.146 | 0.224 | 0.203 | 0.180 | 0.192 |
| 3 | 0.397 | 0.348 | 0.304 | 0.295 | 0.306 | 0.335 | 0.335 |
| 4 | 0.702 | 0.576 | 0.475 | 0.437 | 0.420 | 0.418 | 0.468 |
| 5 | 0.982 | 0.917 | 0.733 | 0.598 | 0.532 | 0.575 | 0.477 |
| 6 | 1.151 | 1.155 | 1.047 | 0.841 | 0.569 | 0.677 | 0.622 |
| 7 | 1.202 | 1.837 | 1.552 | 1.282 | 0.613 | 0.961 | 0.853 |
|  | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| 1 | 0.095 | 0.073 | 0.042 | 0.053 | 0.038 | 0.118 | 0.108 |
| 2 | 0.113 | 0.100 | 0.187 | 0.161 | 0.163 | 0.162 | 0.242 |
| 3 | 0.317 | 0.325 | 0.319 | 0.352 | 0.368 | 0.363 | 0.387 |
| 4 | 0.442 | 0.422 | 0.445 | 0.459 | 0.502 | 0.503 | 0.524 |
| 5 | 0.591 | 0.579 | 0.584 | 0.563 | 0.654 | 0.642 | 0.662 |
| 6 | 0.496 | 0.674 | 0.730 | 0.744 | 0.755 | 0.839 | 0.690 |
| 7 | 0.827 | 1.073 | 0.990 | 1.168 | 1.395 | 1.167 | 0.818 |
|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.107 | 0.140 | 0.032 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.224 | 0.261 | 0.267 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0.395 | 0.405 | 0.456 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0.509 | 0.505 | 0.578 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 0.653 | 0.638 | 0.677 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0.752 | 0.768 | 0.869 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 0.780 | 0.853 | 1.511 |  |  |  |  |

Table E23 continued.


Table E23 continued.

## RESULTS

Approximate Statistics Assuming Linearity Near Solution Sum of Squares: 376.463583256854
Mean Square Residuals: 0.70367


Table E23 continued.

STOCK NUMBERS (Jan 1) in thousands


Table E23 continued.

FISHING MORTALITY

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.21 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.29 | 0.25 |
| 3 | 0.85 | 0.60 | 0.74 | 0.82 | 0.75 | 0.91 | 0.86 |
| 4 | 0.81 | 0.55 | 0.69 | 0.68 | 1.09 | 0.59 | 0.96 |
| 5 | 0.69 | 0.30 | 0.56 | 0.44 | 1.23 | 0.54 | 1.00 |
| 6 | 0.81 | 0.50 | 0.67 | 0.60 | 1.18 | 0.59 | 1.00 |
| 7 | 0.81 | 0.50 | 0.67 | 0.60 | 1.18 | 0.59 | 1.00 |
|  | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991. | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 0.23 | 0.31 | 0.13 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.38 | 0.14 |
| 3 | 0.94 | 0.85 | 0.75 | 0.88 | 0.75 | 0.76 | 0.38 |
| 4 | 1.31 | 1.23 | 1.12 | 1.29 | 0.97 | 0.76 | 0.35 |
| 5 | 1.44 | 1.23 | 1.05 | 1.33 | 1.04 | 0.61 | 0.29 |
| 6 | 1.41 | 1.29 | 1.14 | 1.36 | 1.02 | 0.73 | 0.33 |
| 7 | 1.41 | 1.29 | 1.14 | 1.36 | 1.02 | 0.73 | 0.33 |
|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.17 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0.36 | 0.18 | 0.28 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0.57 | 0.37 | 0.37 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 0.36 | 0.45 | 0.31 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0.48 | 0.39 | 0.24 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 0.48 | 0.39 | 0.24 |  |  |  |  |

Average $F$ for 4,6

|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4,6 | 0.77 | 0.45 | 0.64 | 0.57 | 1.17 | 0.57 | 0.98 |
|  | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| 4,6 | 1.38 | 1.25 | 1.10 | 1.32 | 1.01 | 0.70 | 0.32 |
|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |  |  |  |  |
| 4,6 | 0.47 | 0.40 | 0.31 |  |  |  |  |

Bionass Weighted $F$

| 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.47 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0.47 | 0.61 | 0.44 | 0.58 |
| 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| 0.67 | 0.56 | 0.48 | 0.65 | 0.59 | 0.50 | 0.20 |
| 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.24 |  |  |  |  |

Table E23 continued.

| BAC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ULATED } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIAL RE } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITMENT } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 0.41 | 0.62 | 0.29 | 0.40 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.25 |
| 3 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.61 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| 4 | 0.96 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.65 | 0.95 |
| 5 | 0.82 | 0.50 | 0.76 | 0.53 | 1.00 | 0.60 | 0.99 |
| 6 | 0.95 | 0.82 | 0.91 | 0.73 | 0.95 | 0.65 | 1.00 |
| 7 | 0.95 | 0.82 | 0.91 | 0.73 | 0.95 | 0.65 | 1.00 |
|  | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| 2 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 0.36 |
| 3 | 0.65 | 0.66 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.73 | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| 4 | 0.91 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.95 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 0.93 |
| 5 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.76 |
| 6 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.88 |
| 7 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.88 |
|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.45 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0.63 | 0.41 | 0.77 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1.00 | 0.83 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 0.62 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0.85 | 0.87 | 0.66 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 0.85 | 0.87 | 0.66 |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASS (ux } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { eatch } \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \text { weigi } \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { at age) } \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7320 | 4218 | 9928 | 4678 | 3468 | 3822 | 1083 |
| 2 | 11953 | 9965 | 8174 | 9160 | 6274 | 6496 | 6173 |
| 3 | 8228 | 9118 | 6470 | 6404 | 6338 | 4049 | 4095 |
| 4 | 3606 | 4760 | 4630 | 3994 | 2728 | 3466 | 1814 |
| 5 | 1033 | 2144 | 2494 | 2851 | 1276 | 1340 | 1313 |
| 6 | 284 | 541 | 1102 | 1350 | 747 | 666 | 432 |
| 7 | 165 | 745 | 1264 | 1720 | 742 | 582 | 362 |
| $1+$ | 31790 | 31490 | 34061 | 30157 | 21573 | 20421 | 15271 |
|  | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
| 1 | 947 | 2485 | 1336 | 1045 | 632 | 1819 | 2060 |
| 2 | 4814 | 4427 | 4721 | 3739 | 2418 | 1827 | 2829 |
| 3 | 3576 | 3228 | 3353 | 3466 | 2559 | 2051 | 1373 |
| 4 | 1574 | 1475 | 1406 | 1419 | 1617 | 1362 | 1221 |
| 5 | 545 | 466 | 500 | 399 | 531 | 671 | 802 |
| 6 | 369 | 126 | 141 | 156 | 154 | 191 | 340 |
| 7 | 178 | 174 | 122 | 99 | 73 | 185 | 244 |
| $1+$ | 12002 | 12382 | 11580 | 10323 | 7983 | 8106 | 8867 |
|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 3523 | 3287 | 1756 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 3453 | 6849 | 4748 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2363 | 4029 | 5983 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 912 | 1704 | 3280 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 840 | 544 | 1399 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 464 | 548 | 475 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 340 | 366 | 289 |  |  |  |  |

[^3]Table E23 continued.


|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| 2 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| 3 | 4735 | 4753 | 3766 | 3551 | 3621 | 2395 | 2482 |
| 4 | 3891 | 4591. | 5124 | 3859 | 3106 | 3542 | 1960 |
| 5 | 1205 | 2157 | 2898 | 2927 | 1838 | 1373 | 1781 |
| 6 | 341 | 603 | 1387 | 1539 | 1272 | 634 | 644 |
| 7 | 214 | 900 | 1590 | 2129 | 1037 | 719 | 490 |
| $1+$ | 10387 | 13004 | 14765 | 14006 | 10874 | 8662 | 7356 |
|  | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |


| 1 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| 3 | 2286 | 1926 | 1841 | 2028 | 1498 | 1102 | 737 |
| 4 | 1862 | 1646 | 1566 | 1652 | 1728 | 1411 | 1186 |
| 5 | 744 | 577 | 593 | 535 | 590 | 776 | 822 |
| 6 | 515 | 170 | 178 | 203 | 166 | 233 | 393 |
| 7 | 260 | 249 | 170 | 143 | 99 | 236 | 282 |

$1+\quad 5667 \quad 4567 \quad 4348 \quad 4563 \quad 4081 \quad 3758 \quad 3420$
$1995 \quad 1996 \quad 1997$

| 1 | 00 | 00 | 00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 00 | 00 | 00 |  |
| 3 | 1284 | 1900 | 3243 |  |
| 4 | 938 | 1684 | 3197 |  |
| 5 | 895 | 588 | 1356 |  |
| 6 | 550 | 600 | 436 |  |
| 7 | 410 | 430 | 327 |  |
| $1+$ | 4078 | 5202 | 8558 |  |

Table E24. Retrospective analysis of virtual population analysis of winter flounder in the Southern New England/ Mid-Atlantic stock complex.

| Fishing Mortality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Terminal | Year | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | . 1996 | 1997 |  |
| 1990 |  | 0.77 | 0.45 | 0.64 | 0.57 | 1.17 | 0.57 | 0.98 | 1.36 | 1.19 | 1.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 |  | 0.77 | 0.45 | 0.64 | 0.57 | 1.17 | 0.58 | 0.99 | 1.39 | 1.27 | 1.16 | 1.64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 |  | 0.77 | 0.45 | 0.64 | 0.57 | 1.17 | 0.57 | 0.99 | 1.39 | 1.26 | 1.12 | 1.45 | 1.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 |  | 0.77 | 0.45 | 0.64 | 0.57 | 1.17 | 0.57 | 0.99 | 1.39 | 1.26 | 1.12 | 1.40 | 1.20 | 1.07 |  |  | $\because$ |  |  |
| 1994 |  | 0.77 | 0.45 | 0.64 | 0.57 | 1.17 | 0.57 | 0.99 | 1.39 | 1.25 | 1.12 | 1.39 | 1.18 | 1.01 | 0.53 |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 |  | 0.77 | 0.45 | 0.64 | 0.57 | 1.17 | 0.57 | 0.99 | 1.39 | 1.25 | 1.11 | 1.36 | 1.10 | 0.86 | 0.44 | 0.60 |  |  |  |
| 1996 |  | 0.77 | 0.45 | 0.64 | 0.57 | 1.17 | 0.57 | 0.98 | 1.39 | 1.25 | 1.11 | 1.34 | 1.05 | 0.76 | 0.39 | 0.54 | 0.45 |  |  |
| 1997 |  | 0.77 | 0.45 | 0.64 | 0.57 | 1.17 | 0.57 | 0.98 | 1.38 | 1.25 | 1.10 | 1.32 | 1.01 | 0.70 | 0.32 | 0.47 | 0.40 | 0.31 |  |
| Spawning | Stock | Biomass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | '. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Terminal | Year | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |  |
| 1990 |  | 10388 | 13005 | 14767 | 14010 | 10883 | 8677 | 7384 | 5728 | 4641 | 4389 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 |  | 10387 | 13003 | 14764 | 14004 | 10871. | 8658 | 7349 | 5647 | 4513 | 4139 | 3953 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 |  | 10387 | 13004 | 14764 | 14005 | 10873 | 8660 | 7353 | 5657 | 4544 | 4258 | 4243 | 3352 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 |  | 10387 | 13004 | 14765 | 14005 | 10873 | 8661 | 7354 | 5661 | 4548 | 4293 | 4363 | 3563 | 3232 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 |  | 10387 | 13004 | 14765 | 14005 | 10873 | 8661 | 7354 | 5661 | 4550 | 4300 | 4381 | 3619 | 3106 | 3340 |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 |  | 10387 | 13004 | 14765 | 14005 | 10873 | 8662 | 7355 | 5664 | 4558 | 4320 | 4463 | 3808 | 3223 | 3067 | 5554 |  |  |  |
| 1996 |  | 10387 | 13004 | 14765 | 14006 | $10874^{\circ}$ | 8662 | 7356 | 5666 | 4562 | 4335 | 4509 | 3960 | 3483 | 3027 | 4506 | $6253$ |  |  |
| 1997 | $\because$ | 10387 | 13004 | 14765 | 14006 | 10874 | 8662 | 7356 | 5667 | 4567 | 4348 | 4563 | 4081 | 3758 | 3420 | 4078 | $5202$ | 8558 |  |
| Population Numbers Age: 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Terminal | Year | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| 1990 |  | 62864 | 52030 | 56538 | 35637 | 34719 | 32965 | 25954 | 26413 | 25862 | 31540 | 34106 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 |  | 62858 | 52018 | 56497 | 35611 | 34598 | 32724 | 25816 | 25735 | 22008 | 20794 | 21283 | 19118 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 |  | 62859 | 52020 | 56502 | 35614 | 34612 | 32755 | 25960 | 26298 | 22472 | 16177 | 16244 | 16447 | 32347 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 |  | 62859 | 52020 | 56502 | 35616 | 34611 | 32781 | 25933 | 26607 | 22674 | 16614 | 14203 | 15138 | 33946 | 13065 |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 |  | 62859 | 52020 | 56502 | 35616 | 34612 | 32784 | 25939 | 26638 | 22723 | 16822 | 12489 | 13137 | 30009 | 17976 | 26681 |  |  |  |
| 1995 |  | 62859 | 52020 | 56503 | 35617 | 34615 | 32793 | 25972 | 26703 | 23107 | 17145 | 11514 | 11065 | 23644 | 17759 | 28398 | 13484 | - |  |
| 1996 |  | 62859 | 52021 | 56504 | 35618 | 34617 | 32801 | 25985 | 26780 | 23231 | 17796 | 11504 | 8975 | 17564 | 14890 | 23178 | 15760 | 16120 |  |
| 1997 |  | 62859 | 52021 | 56505 | 35618 | 34619 | 32806 | 26007 | 26822 | 23487 | 17999 | 12423 | 8834 | 12020 | 14601 | 23288 | 18806 | 21039 | 16837 |
| Age $2+$ stock size ( N ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Terminal | Year | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 . | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| 1990 |  | 89534 | 94042 | 91262 | 94350 | 75554 | 60244 | 56504 | 48050 | 42914 | 40419 | 47648 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 |  | 89528 | 94031 | 91244 | 94302 | 75493 | 60096 | 56187 | 47678 | 42061 | 36548 | 35703 | 33278 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 |  | 89529 | 94033 | 91246 | 94308 | 75500 | 60113 | 56226 | 47827 | 42644 | 37408 | 32625 | 26625 | 26710 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 |  | 89529 | 94033 | 91247 | 94308 | 75502 | 60114 | 56249 | 47823 | 42894 | 37777 | 33290 | 25484 | 24809 | 40640 |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 |  | 89529 | 94033 | 91247 | 94309 | 75503. | 60115 | 56252 | 47831 | 42926 | 37843 | 33514 | 24263 | 22178 | 35342 | 40402 |  |  |  |
| 1995 |  | 89529 | 94033 | 91247 | 94310 | 75504 | 60119 | 56262 | 47866 | 43008 | 38225 | 34091 | 23938 | 20219 | 28580 | 34648 | 48104 |  |  |
| 1996 |  | 89529 | 94033 | 91248 | 94311 | 75506 | 60121 | 56271 | 47884 | 43086 | 38390 | 34759 | 24475 | 18963 | 22562 | 27486 | 37691 | $39924$ |  |
| 1997 |  | 89530 | 94034 | 91248 | 94312 | 75507 | 60124 | 56277 | 47908 | 43139 | 38643 | 35133 | 25533 | 19717 | 18676 | 23998 | 35040 | 39683 | 42184 |

Table E25. Bootstrap analysis of virtual population analysis of winter flounder in the Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic stock complex.

| The number of bootstraps: 200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bootstrap Output Variable: $N$ tl |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NLLS | BOOTSTRAP | BOOTSTRAP | C.V. FOR |  |
|  | ESTIMATE | MEAN | StdError | NLLS SOLN |  |
| Age 1 | 16836.8 | 18672.5 | 7480.2 | 0.4443 |  |
| Age 2 | 16876.9 | 17063.3 | 4392.1 | 0.2602 |  |
| Age 3 | 10650.9 | 10650.9 | 2566.1 | 0.2409 |  |
| Age 4 | 9124.2 | 9558.6 | 2186.2 | 0.2396 |  |
| Age 5 | 3699.5 | 3707.8 | 916.3 | 0.2477 |  |
| Age 6 | 1328.2 | 1382.5 | 342.0 | 0.2575 |  |
| Age 7 | 505.0 | 504.5 | 145.8 | 0.2887 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NLLS EST | C.V. FOR |
|  | BIAS | BIAS | PERCENT | CORRECTED | CORRECTED |
|  | ESTIMATE | STD ERROR | BIAS | FOR BIAS | ESTIMATE |
| Age 1 | 1835.74 | 528.93 | 10.903 | 15001.02 | 0.50 |
| Age 2 | 186.32 | 310.56 | 1.104 | 16690.62 | 0.26 |
| Age 3 | 0.03 | 181.45 | 0.000 | 10650.88 | 0.24 |
| Age 4 | 434.37 | 154.58 | 4.761 | 8689.82 | 0.25 |
| Age 5 | 8.30 | 64.79 | 0.224 | 3691.15 | 0.25 |
| Age 6 | 54.26 | 24.18 | 4.085 | 1273.94 | 0.27 |
| Age 7 | -0.50 | 10.31 | -0.098 | 505.48 | 0.29 |
| Bootstrap Output Variable: F |  |  |  |  |  |
| NLLS <br> ESTIMATE |  | BOOTSTRAP MEAN | BOOTSTRAP <br> Stderror | C.V. FOR NLLS SOLN |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age 1 0.0204 |  | 0.0216 | 0.0059 | 0.29 |  |
| Age 2 | 0.1667 | 0.1749 | 0.0385 | 0.23 |  |
| Age 3 | 0.2831 | 0.2829 | 0.0577 | 0.20 |  |
| Age 4 | 0.3667 | 0.3830 | 0.0851 | 0.23 |  |
| Age 5 | 0.3133 | 0.3172 | 0.0709 | 0.23 |  |
| Age 6 | 0.2405 | 0.2584 | 0.0738 | 0.31 |  |
|  | 0.2405 | 0.2584 | 0.0738 | 0.31 |  |
| Age 7 | BIAS | BIAS | PERCENT | NLLS EST | C.V. FOR |
|  |  |  |  |  | CORRECTED |
|  | ESTIMATE | STD ERROR | BIAS | FOR BIAS | ESTIMATE |
| Age 1 | 0.0011774 | 0.0004154 | 5.763 | 0.0192538 | 0.31 |
| Age 2 | 0.0081751 | 0.0027248 | 4.905 | 0.1585054 | 0.24 |
| Age 3 | -0.0002322 | 0.0040799 | -0.082 | 0.2833471 | 0.20 |
| Age 4 | 0.0162824 | 0.0060203 | 4.440 | 0.3504046 | 0.24 |
| Age 5 | 0.0039610 | 0.0050113 | 1.264 | 0.3092977 | 0.23 |
| Age 6 | 0.0179349 | 0.0052190 | 7.457 | 0.2225707 | 0.33 |
| Age 7 | 0.0179349 | 0.0052190 | 7.457 | 0.2225707 | 0.33 |
| Bootstrap Output Variable: F full t |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NLLS } \\ & \text { ESTIMATE } \end{aligned}$ |  | BOOTSTRAP MEAN | BOOTSTRAP StdError | C.V. FOR NLLS SOLN |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.3068 |  | 0.3195 | 0.0480 | 0.16 |  |
|  |  | BIAS | PERCENT | NLLS EST | C.V. FOR |
| BIAS |  |  |  | CORRECTED | CORRECTED |
|  | ESTIMATE | STD ERROR | BIAS | FOR BIAS | ESTIMATE |
|  | 0.01273 | 0.00339 | 4.15 | 0.29409 | 0.16 |

Table E25 continued.


Table E26. Yield per recruit and spawning stock biomass per recruit for winter flounder in the Southem New England/Mid-Atlantic stock complex.

| Proportion of $F$ before spawning: . 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proportion of M before spawning: . 2000 Natural Mortality is Constant at: . 200 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initial age is: 1 ; Last age is: 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Last age is a TRUE Age; |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age-specific Input data for Yield per Recruit Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | Fish Mort Pattern | Nat Mort Pattern | Proportion Mature | Average Stock | Weights Catch |
| 1 | . 0200 | 1.0000 | . 0000 | . 067 | . 134 |
| 2 | . 2500 | 1.0000 | . 0000 | . 264 | . 388 |
| 3 | . 6100 | 1.0000 | . 5300 | . 430 | . 508 |
| 4 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | . 9500 | . 540 | . 612 |
| 5 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | . 657 | . 754 |
| 6 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | . 817 | . 941 |
| 7 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.113 | 1.116 |
| 8 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.372 | 1.423 |
| 9 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.482 | 1.529 |
| 10 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.691 | 1.730 |
| 11 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.710 | 1.748 |
| 12 | 1.0000 | 2.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.821 | 1.855 |
| 13 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.910 | 1.941 |
| 14 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.983 | 2.011 |
| 15 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 2.041 | 2.067 |

Summary of Yield per Recruit Analysis for:
SNE/MAB Winter Flounder - SARC 28 1996-97 PR, Mean Weights at Age

|  | FMORT | TOTCTHN | TOTCTHW | TOTSTKN | TOTSTKW | SPNSTKN | SPNSTKW | \% MSP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | . 000 | . 00000 | . 00000 | 5.2420 | 3.5937 | 2.9600 | 3.0363 | 100.00 |
|  | . 050 | . 12794 | . 12208 | 4.7353 | 2.8875 | 2.4558 | 2.3374 | 76.98 |
|  | . 100 | . 21808 | . 19385 | 4.3557. | $2.3866^{\circ}$ | 2.0808 | 1.8455 | 60.78 |
|  | . 150 | . 28408 | . 23661 | 4.0642 | 2.0236 | 1.7952 | 1.4916 | 49.12 |
|  | . 200 | . 33417 | . 26243 | 3.8351 | 1.7545 | 1.5725 | 1.2312 | 40.55 |
| F40\% | . 204 | . 33748 | . 26392 | 3.8197 | 1.7370 | 1.5576 | 1.2143 | 39.99 |
| F0.1 | . 224 | . 35389 | . 27087 | 3.7431 | 1.6512 | 1.4836 | 1.1317 | 37.27 |
|  | . 250 | . 37341 | . 27822 | 3.6511 | 1.5509 | 1.3951 | 1.0356 | 34.11 |
| F30\% | . 291 | . 39961 | . 28650 | 3.5264 | 1.4199 | 1.2759 | . 9106 | 29.99 |
|  | . 300 | . 40502 | . 28798 | 3.5005 | 1.3935 | 1.2512 | . 8855 | 29.16 |
|  | . 350 | . 43111 | . 29407 | 3.3750 | 1.2695 | 1.1324 | . 7682 | 25.30 |
| F25\% | . 354 | . 43324 | . 29449 | 3.3647 | 1.2597 | 1.1228 | . 7590 | 25.00 |
|  | . 400 | . 45307 | . 29788 | 3.2687 | 1.1701 | 1.0328 | . 6749 | 22.23 |
|  | . 450 | . 47186 | . 30027 | 3.1775 | i. 0889 | . 9482 | . 5994 | 19.74 |
|  | . 500 | . 48818 | . 30175 | 3.0982 | 1.0218 | . 8755 | . 5374 | 17.70 |
|  | . 550 | . 50253 | . 30264 | 3.0286 | . 9653 | . 8123 | . 4858 | 16.00 |
|  | . 600 | . 51527 | . 30315 | 2.9668 | . 9173 | . 7569 | . 4423 | 14.57 |
|  | . 650 | . 52668 | . 30341 | 2.9115 | . 8760 | . 7079 | . 4052 | 13.34 |
|  | . 700 | . 53698 | . 30350 | 2.8617 | . 8400 | . 6643 | . 3732 | 12.29 |
| Fmax | . 707 | . 53837 | . 30350 | 2.8550 | . 8353 | . 6584 | . 3691 | 12.16 |
|  | . 750 | $\bigcirc 54635$ | . 30348 | 2.8165 | $=.8085$ | . 6252 | . 3455 | 11.38 |
|  | . 800 | . 55492 | . 30339 | 2.7753 | . 7806 | . 5899 | . 3212 | 10.58 |
|  | . 850 | . 56280 | . 30325 | 2.7374 | . 7556 | . 5580 | . 2997 | 9.87 |
|  | . 900 | . 57008 | . 30307. | 2.7024 | . 7332 | . 5289 | . 2806 | 9.24 |
|  | . 950 | . 57684 | . 30288 | 2.6701 | . 7129 | . 5023 | . 2635 | 8.68 |
|  | 1.000 | . 58314 | . 30266 | 2.6400 | . 6945 | . 4779 | . 2482 | 8.17 |

Table E27. Surplus production model analysis (ASPIC) of winter flounder in the Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic stock complex.

```
SNE Winter Flounder -- ASPIC 3.6x -- Five Indices, Pixed qs
CORRGIATION AMOAG INPUT SERIRS EXPRRESSED AS CPUS'S (NUMRER OP PAIRWISE OASKRVATIONS BEIOW)
```



GOODNESS-OF-FIT AND WEIGHTING FOR NON-BOOTSTRAPYED ANALYSIS

| Loas component number and title | Weighted sss | N | Weighted MSE | Current weight | Suggested weight | R-Bquared in cpue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loss (-1) SSE in yield | $0.0008+00$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loss ( 0) Penalty for BLR $>2$ | $0.0008+00$ | 1 | N/A | $1.000 \mathrm{z}+00$ | N/A |  |
| Lobs ( 2) NBPSC Fall Survey | $4.474 \mathrm{~B}+00$ | 17 | 2.983E-01 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 9.442E-01 | 0.528 |
| Loss ( 2) NEFSC Spring Survey | $2.573 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 17 | 3.7158-01 | $1.000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.642 \mathrm{~B}+00$ | 0.585 |
| Loss ( 3) Mass. Spring Survey | $2.8928+00$ | 17 | 1.928E-01 | $1.000 \mathrm{~B}+00$ | $1.461 \mathrm{~B}+00$ | 0.198 |
| Loss ( 4) RI Spring Survey | $9.631 \mathrm{R}+00$ | 17 | 6.4218-01 | $1.000 \mathrm{~B}+00$ | 4.387E-01 | 0.457 |
| Loss ( 5) RI Fall Survey | 6.2198+00 | 17 | $5.4798-01$ | $2.0008+00$ | 5.1418-01 | 0.070 |
| TOTAL OBTECTIVE FUNCTION: | $2.778907848+01$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of restarts required for convergence: | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sst. B-ratio coverage index (0 worst, 2 best): | 1.1283 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Est. B-ratio nearness index (0 worst, 1 best): | 1.0000 |  |  |  |  |  |

MODEL PARAMETER RSTIMATRS (NON-ECOTSTRAPPED)

| Parameter |  | Estimate | Starting guess | Bstimated | User guess |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1R | Starting bionabs ratio, year 1981 | $1.3668+00$ | $2.0008+00$ | 1 | 2 |
| MSY | Maximum sustainable yield | $1.022 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 8.0008+00 | 1 | 1 |
| $r$ | Intrinsic rate of increase | 7.3508-01 | $5.000 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2 | 1 |
| ........ | Catchability coefficients by fishery: |  |  |  |  |
| q( 1 ) | nEPSC Fall Survey | 6.6008-02 | 6.6008-02 | 0 | 1 |
| g( 2 ) | NEPSC Spring Survey | 5.5865-02 | 5.5868-02 | 0 | 1 |
| q( 3 ) | Mass. Spring survey | 6.3488-01 | 6.3488-01 | 0 | 1 |
| q( 4) | RI Spring Survey | 3.0568-01 | 3,056E-02 | 0 | 1 |
| q( 5) | RI Fall Survey | $9.14 \mathrm{BE}-02$ | $9.1485-02$ | 0 | 1 |

Table E27 continued.


BSTIMATED POPULATION TRAJECTORY (NON-BOOTSTRAPPED)

| Obs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \text { or ID } \end{aligned}$ | Estimated <br> total <br> F mort | Bstimated starting bicmass | Bstimated average biomass | Observed <br> total <br> yield | Model rotal yield | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Estimated } \\ \text { surplus } \\ \text { production } \end{array}$ | Ratio of $P$ mort to Prasy | Ratio of biomass to bengy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1981 | 0.452 | $3.799 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 3.462B+01 | $1.5668+02$ | $1.5668+01$ | $9.564 \mathrm{~B}+00$ | $1.231 \mathrm{~B}+00$ | $1.366 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 2 | 1982 | 0.433 | $3.190 \mathrm{~B}+01$ | $3.0288+01$ | $1.3118+01$ | $3.3118+01$ | $1.013 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.178B+00 | 1.1478+00 |
| 3 | 1983 | 0.457 | $2.8918+01$ | $2.762 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.2628+01$ | $1.2628+01$ | $1.023 \mathrm{~B}+01$ | $1.243 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.040 \mathrm{~B}+00$ |
| 4 | 1984 | 0.678 | 2.651E+01 | $2.330 \mathrm{t}+03$ | $1.5798+01$ | $1.5798+01$ | $9.9128+00$ | $1.844 \mathrm{~B}+00$ | 9.5338-01 |
| 5 | 2985 | 0.786 | $2.0638+01$ | $1.784 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.401 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.401 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 6.877E+00 | $2.13 \mathrm{ab}+00$ | 7.420E-01 |
| 6 | 1986 | 0.619 | $1.549 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.486 \mathrm{~B}+01$ | $9.208 \mathrm{~B}+00$ | $9.2008+00$ | $8.004 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.686 \mathrm{~B}+00$ | 5.5728-01 |
| 7 | 1987 | 0.701 | $1.429 \mathrm{~B}+01$ | $1.3298+01$ | 9.324E+00 | 9.326E+00 | $7.4318+00$ | $2.909 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.139 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 8 | 1988 | 0.772 | $1.240 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.229 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 8. $708 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 8. $708 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.6085+00$ | $2.099 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 4.459E-01 |
| 9 | 1989 | 0.708 | $1.0308+01$ | 9.777E +00 | 6.9258+00 | $6.9258+00$ | $5.921 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.9278+00$ | $3.703 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 10 | 1990 | 0.661 | $9.295 \mathrm{~B}+00$ | $9.082 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.999 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 5.999E +00 | $5.585 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.798 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 3.343E-01 |
| 11 | 1991 | 0.873 | $8.880 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.870 \mathrm{~B}+00$ | 6.872E+00 | $6.872 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.9628+00$ | $2.3768+00$ | 3.1948-01 |
| 12 | 1992 | 0.698 | $6.970 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.788 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.735 \mathrm{~B}+00$ | 4.735E+00 | $4.3808+00$ | 1. $8988 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.5068-01 |
| 13 | 1993 | 0.596 | $6.615 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.784 \mathrm{~B}+00$ | 4.041E+00 | $4.041 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 4.378E+00 | $1.621 \mathrm{~B}+00$ | 2.3795-01 |
| 14 | 1994 | 0.394 | $6.952 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.862 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.095 \mathrm{~B}+00$ | $3.095 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.959 \mathrm{~B}+00$ | $1.071 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.500E-01 |
| 15 | 1995 | 0.340 | 8.815E +00 | $1.0108+01$ | $3.439 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.439 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.0688+00$ | $9.2648-01$ | 3.170E-01 |
| 16 | 1996 | 0.280 | $1.144 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.326 \mathrm{~B}+01$ | $3.707 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.7078+00$ | 7.408E+00 | 7.6065-01 | 4.1168-01 |
| 17 | 1997 | 0.250 | $1.514 \mathrm{~B}+01$ | $1.734 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.337 \mathrm{~B}+00$ | $4.337 \mathrm{~B}+00$ | 8. $750 \mathrm{~B}+00$ | 6.805E-01 | 5.446E-Q1 |
| 18. | 2998 |  | $1.956 \mathrm{E}+01$ |  |  |  |  |  | $7.033 \mathrm{~B}-01$ |

Table E28. Input parameters and short term stochastic projection results for winter flounder in the Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic stock complex. Starting stock sizes for ages 1 and older on January 1, 1998 are as estimated by SARC 28 VPA. Age-1 recruitment levels in 1999-2000 are estimated as the median of 200 random estimates ( 23.5 million fish) selected from VPA estimated numbers at age-1 during 1981-1998. Fishing mortality was apportioned among landings and discard based on the proportion landed at age during 1996-1997. Mean weights at age (kg; spawning stock, mean stock biomass, landings, and discards) are weighted (by fishery) geometric means of 1996-97 values. F98 is the F realized for projected fishery landings and discards in 1998, based on January-August 1998 commercial landings and proportions in fishery components for 1996-1997 (commercial landings = 3,719 mt ; commercial discards $=265 \mathrm{mt}$; recreational landings $=780 \mathrm{mt}$; recreational discards $=53 \mathrm{mt}$; total catch $=4,817 \mathrm{mt}$ ). Proportion of $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{M}$ before spawning $=0.20$ (spawning peak on 1 March).



Figure E.1. Distribution of winter flounder sampled in NEFSC Spring and Autumn trawl surveys, 1993-1997. The Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic stock complex extends from the waters of outer Cape Cod to the south and west.

SNE/MA Winter Flounder Survey Biomass Indices


Figure E.2. Survey biomass indices (kg/tow) for SNE/MA winter flounder from NEFSC spring and fall research vessel surveys (top), and MA DMF spring and fall and RI DFW fall trawl surveys.


Figure E.3. Total catch (landings and discards, thousands of metric tons) and fishing mortality rate (fully recruited F , ages 4-6) for SNE/MA winter flounder.

SNE/MA Winter Flounder SSB at age


Figure E.4. Spawning stock biomass (SSB ages 3 to $7+$, thousands of metric tons) and recruitment (millions of fish at age-1) for SNE/MA winter flounder.

## SNE/MA Winter Flounder Biomass and Recruitment



Figure E.5. Spawning stock biomass at age for SNE/MA winter flounder during peak (1983), minimum (1994), and 1997 abundance.

## SNE/MA Winter Flounder SARC 28 VPA



Figure E.6. SNE/MA winter flounder SARC 28 VPA SSB and recruit data for the 1981-97 year classes.

SNE/MA WFL SAW 28 Retrospective VPAs




Figure E. 7. SNE/MA winter flounder SARC 28 retrospective virtual population analyses (VPAs).

## SNE/MA Winter Flounder Precision of 1997 Estimates for SSB and F




Figure E.8. Precision of estimates of spawning stock biomass and fishing mortality rate in 1997 for SNE/MA winter flounder. Vertical bars display the range of the bootstrap estimates and the probability of individual values in the range. The solid curve gives the probability of SSB that is less or fishing mortality that is greater than any value along the X axis.

## SNE/MA Winter Flounder <br> Yield and SSB per Recruit



Figure E.9. Yield per recruit (YPR) and spawning stock biomass per recruit (SSB/R) for SNE/MA winter flounder.

## SNE/MA Winter Flounder Total Stock Biomass



Figure E.10. SNE/MA winter flounder total stock biomass as estimated by VPA and by ASPIC with catchability (q) fixed to correspond to VPA estimates.

Biomass (000s mt)

Figure E.11. NEFMC Amendment 9 control rule for SNE/MA winter flounder for rebuilding to Bmsy, with 1997-1999 estimates of biomass weighted F and total stock biomass.

## SNE/MA Winter Flounder Forecast Landings and Biomass



Figure E.12. Projected landings and SSB in 1999 for SNE/MA winter flounder over a range of fishing mortality from $\mathrm{F}=0.0$ to $\mathrm{F}=0.40$.


[^0]:    * unclassified landings prorated to large and small categories.
    ** entire catch characterized by unclassified samples.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Includes 521,522,523(561).
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes 524 (562) 525,526.
    Includes depthca 4-7.

[^2]:    - Missing discard rates in 1997 are substitued values (in italics) from 1996.

[^3]:    $\begin{array}{lllll}1+ & 11895 & 17326 & 17928\end{array}$

