```
1
 2
      DR. LEWIS A.K. BARNETT (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-9381-8375)
 3
      DR. NIS SAND JACOBSEN (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-8754-4518)
 4
      DR. JAMES T. THORSON (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-7415-1010)
 5
 6
 7
      Article type
                     : Ghoti
 8
 9
10
      Realizing the potential of trait-based approaches to advance fisheries science
11
      Lewis A.K. Barnett<sup>*</sup>, Nis S. Jacobsen<sup>#</sup>, James T. Thorson<sup>#</sup>, Jason M. Cope<sup>#</sup>
12
13
14
      * Conservation Biology Division, Northwest Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries
15
      Service, NOAA, Seattle, WA 98112, USA
16
      <sup>□</sup> School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
17
      <sup>#</sup> Fisheries Resource Assessment and Monitoring Division, Northwest Fisheries Science Center,
      National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA, Seattle, WA 98112, USA
18
19
20
      *Present address: Resource Assessment and Conservation Engineering Division, Alaska
21
      Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, 7600 Sand Point Way NE,
22
      Seattle, Washington 98115, USA
23
      Present address: Habitat and Ecosystem Process Research Program, Alaska Fisheries Science
      Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115, USA
24
25
      * Corresponding author: Lewis Barnett, lewis.barnett@noaa.gov
26
27
28
      Running title: Trait-based fisheries science
      Abstract
29
```

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> 10.1111/FAF.12395

30 Analyzing how fish populations and their ecological communities respond to perturbations such 31 as fishing and environmental variation is crucial to fisheries science. Researchers often predict 32 fish population dynamics using species-level life-history parameters that are treated as fixed over 33 time, while ignoring the impact of intraspecific variation on ecosystem dynamics. However, 34 there is increasing recognition of the need to include processes operating at ecosystem levels 35 (changes in drivers of productivity) while also accounting for variation over space, time, and 36 among individuals. To address similar challenges, community ecologists studying plants, insects, 37 and other taxa increasingly measure phenotypic characteristics of individual animals that affect fitness or ecological function (termed "functional traits"). Here, we review the history of trait-38 39 based methods in fish and other taxa, and argue that fisheries science could see benefits by 40 integrating trait-based approaches within existing fisheries analyses. We argue that measuring 41 and modeling functional traits can improve estimates of population and community dynamics, 42 and rapidly detect responses to fishing and environmental drivers. We support this claim using 43 three concrete examples: how trait-based approaches could account for time-varying parameters 44 in population models; improve fisheries management and harvest control rules; and inform size-45 based models of marine communities. We then present a step-by-step primer for how trait-based 46 methods could be adapted to complement existing models and analyses in fisheries science. 47 Finally, we call for the creation and expansion of publicly available trait databases to facilitate 48 adapting trait-based methods in fisheries science, to complement existing public databases of 49 life-history parameters for marine organisms.

50 Keywords: community ecology, ecosystem-based fishery management, functional trait,

51 population dynamics, size-spectrum, stock assessment

52 1. What is trait-based ecology and why might it be useful in fisheries?

Trait-based analysis involves analyzing ecological dynamics using measurable characteristics of individuals instead of average demographic rates estimated for the population to quantify changes in status of ecological communities. Here, we briefly review advances in trait-based ecology and explain how these novel insights and methods could improve fisheries management. We argue that trait-based approaches offer solutions to three major challenges in modern aquatic conservation and fisheries science, particularly those related to implementing ecosystem-based management:

60 1. accounting for time-varying processes in resource assessments (section 2.1);

61 2. assessing the status and dynamics of communities (sections 2.2, 3.3); and

62 3. determining harvesting strategy at the community scale (sections 1, 2.2, 3.3).

63 We describe a framework to address these challenges with trait-based approaches (section 3) and

64 propose specific actions to execute the framework (section 4). Rather than a complete synthesis,

we focus on a set of examples where trait-based approaches could be applied to managementmost seamlessly or with greatest benefit.

67 To distinguish trait-based approaches from the population-based methods typically employed in fisheries science, we define a trait as any characteristic of the phenotype that could 68 affect ecological function or performance and can be measured on an individual sampled at a 69 70 single moment in time. Common examples of traits are measures of morphology, physiology, 71 and phenology. Confusion about the specific definition of a trait persists in the primary literature, where some include population-level quantities such as the finite rate of population increase 72 73 (Violle et al., 2007), or life-history characteristics that are asymptotic expectations of some 74 individual trait. Our trait definition follows the stricter, though commonly applied ecological 75 definition of something individually measurable and functional, i.e., traits that influence fitness 76 through their relationship with growth, reproduction and survival (McGill, Enquist, Weiher, & Westoby, 2006). This is different than quantities like maximum body length, which is a 77 78 population-level parameter (see Table 1 for examples of traits and parameters).

79 Trait-based methods are deepening the understanding of community ecology beyond that 80 established by more traditional, population- or species-based approaches (McGill et al., 2006; 81 Violle et al., 2007). This is particularly true with respect to understanding the influence of biotic 82 and abiotic factors on processes regulating community structure and how this affects predicted responses of ecosystem functions and services to environmental change (Kiørboe, Visser, & 83 84 Andersen, 2018; Lavorel & Garnier, 2002; Litchman, Pinto, Klausmeier, Thomas, & Yoshiyama, 2010; McGill et al., 2006; Mouillot, Graham, Villéger, Mason, & Bellwood, 2013). Traditional 85 86 approaches that focus on comparing point-values of species parameters will likely underestimate the effect of species on one another due to niche overlap (by not properly accounting for niche 87 88 breadth), and thus are poor predictors of how communities will respond to change (Violle et al., 89 2012). Trait-based methods provide a way to quantify population and community structure by 90 accounting for variability among individuals in a common currency across levels of ecological 91 organization (i.e., trait variation or diversity). Predicting how fitness changes with environmental variation is a common goal across many fields of ecology, including fisheries, where researchers
attempt to translate the effect of environmental fluctuations on individual organisms across the
food web to changes in vital rates and stock productivity. Fitness-environment relationships are
typically estimated from observed correlations and are unlikely to remain consistent over space
and time (Deyle et al., 2013; Holt & Gaines, 1992). Traits provide a mechanistic basis for
developing fitness-environment relationships, and therefore show promise for predicting
population and community responses to environmental change (Laughlin & Messier, 2015).

99 Fisheries science faces similar challenges to community ecology given increasing calls to 100 incorporate assessments of ecosystem status and dynamics into policy through ecosystem-based 101 fishery management (EBFM). As EBFM has created a desire to incorporate species interactions 102 into management decisions, the natural first step is to quantify pairwise trophic interactions 103 grouped by taxonomy and ignore within-group variation as in classical community ecology 104 theory (Bolnick et al., 2011). However, we know that trait variation within species is often broad 105 and similar to that among species (Kattge et al., 2011; Messier, McGill, & Lechowicz, 2010). 106 Further, intraspecific trait change has a comparable effect to interspecific change in terms of 107 influence on community biomass, structure, and function (Des Roches et al., 2018). For example, 108 trophic level of North Sea fishes is well explained by individual body size when summarized at 109 the community scale, but not when size is summarized by species (Jennings, Pinnegar, Polunin, 110 & Boon, 2001). Despite the clear ecological significance of intraspecific variation, it is not often 111 monitored (Mimura et al., 2017). When individual traits are measured, the intraspecific variation 112 is often lost in the analysis stage. Inference can change greatly depending on whether 113 intraspecific variation is available to provide context (Bolnick et al., 2011; Des Roches et al., 114 2018), for example, when attempting to discriminate species based on general phenotypic 115 characteristics (Fig. 1). Trait-based approaches incorporate intraspecific variation, an important 116 consideration given the magnitude and role of such variation in population and community 117 stability (Mimura et al., 2017), for example, through effects on the diversity of responses to 118 environmental variation (Barnett, Baskett, & Botsford, 2015; Bolnick et al., 2011; Elmqvist et 119 al., 2003; Schindler et al., 2010). Although increasing population and community stability is not 120 often an explicit goal of traditional single-species fishery management, it is an objective of 121 EBFM, and reducing variability in productivity and exploitable biomass can provide large 122 socioeconomic benefits to fishing communities (Badjeck, Allison, Halls, & Dulvy, 2010;

Sanchirico, Smith, & Lipton, 2008; Sethi, 2010). Trait-based approaches will likely improve
assessment of the structure and dynamics of ecosystems and interpretation of the effect on
services (i.e., sustainable fisheries).

126 One critical challenge for the theory underlying EBFM is predicting which harvest 127 policies are most efficient at the ecosystem level, i.e., those that best satisfy a trade-off between 128 maximizing fishery yield or profit and producing a desired community state (e.g., a sustainable 129 biomass level) (Andersen, Brander, & Ravn-Jonsen, 2015; Jacobsen, Burgess, & Andersen, 130 2017). For example, traditional population-based perspectives on optimal multispecies fishery 131 management typically suggested that the pathway to efficiency was selective targeting of only 132 the most productive and marketable species at mature sizes; however, contradictory results from 133 modern ecosystem models have led some researchers to the conclusion that unselective fishing, 134 or harvesting all species and sizes in proportion to their productivity would produce better 135 outcomes (Garcia et al., 2012). This question of whether and how to selectively fish is best 136 addressed with trait-based ecosystem models of individual size. Fish vital rates are most affected 137 by size, and body size also determines the distribution of abundance among marine species 138 (Sheldon and Parsons 1967, Sheldon et al., 1970) through basic physiological constraints of 139 predator-prey interactions (Andersen & Beyer, 2006). Recently-developed community models using individual size as a functional trait are providing general insights for multispecies harvest 140 141 strategies (Andersen, Brander, et al., 2015; Jacobsen et al., 2017; Jacobsen, Gislason, & 142 Andersen, 2014), and we expect that development and use of similar trait-based models will be 143 critical for designing specific policy actions and evaluating their performance toward the 144 implementation of EBFM.

145 We assert that adopting trait-based approaches would generate rapid advances in fisheries 146 science, improving our estimation and understanding of fish population and ecosystem 147 dynamics, and influence fisheries management decisions. From an extreme perspective, this 148 could mean using functional classifications arising from similarities in phenotypic characteristics 149 at the individual scale rather than population or taxonomic (e.g., species) levels. More 150 realistically, given the structure of established fishery management regimes and the 151 corresponding organismal scale of questions in this field, trait-based approaches will likely 152 supplement—not usurp—the traditional population-based approach. We propose integrating 153 trait-based perspectives into existing management frameworks to reveal how trait distributions

154 are likely to bias population-level measures of productivity and modify optimal harvesting 155 strategies. In addition, trait-based approaches could help by creating novel methods to address 156 major challenges for EBFM. Trait-based approaches are especially suited for addressing these 157 challenges, enabling incorporation of temporal variation in growth, reproduction and survival 158 into assessments of resource productivity and status via rapid, spatially-explicit, direct 159 measurements at the individual level where interactions and their consequences are realized. As 160 the scope of fisheries science expands from populations to ecosystems, we must learn from community ecology. To this end, we briefly review the advances of trait-based approaches in 161 community ecology and related fields to determine how such approaches can support the 162 163 evolution of ideas in fisheries science.

164 1.1 Use of trait-based approaches across ecological fields

165 Usage of trait-based approaches is increasing rapidly within ecology as a whole, but uptake of 166 these ideas is heterogeneous among subfields (Fig. 2). The modern origins of trait-based 167 approaches arose from plant community ecology (Lavorel & Garnier, 2002; McGill et al., 2006; 168 Westoby & Wright, 2006), and this remains the most active field using trait-based methods. At a 169 broader scale, trait-based approaches appear more commonly in terrestrial than aquatic literature. 170 In aquatic fields, plankton community ecology is an early-adopter of trait-based methods 171 (Litchman & Klausmeier, 2008; Litchman, Klausmeier, Schofield, & Falkowski, 2007; Litchman 172 et al., 2010). Trait-based approaches have seen little use in fisheries science aside from indirect 173 connections through basic fish ecology (Stuart-Smith et al., 2013), particularly in stream 174 (Frimpong & Angermeier, 2010) and coral reef systems (Mouillot et al., 2013), and analysis of 175 marine organismal size distributions (Andersen, Jacobsen, & Farnsworth, 2015; Kerr & Dickie, 176 2001; Kiørboe et al., 2018; Sheldon & Parsons, 1967; Sheldon, Prakash, & Sutcliffe Jr, 1972). 177 Community ecologists have rapidly popularized the idea of using trait-based approaches 178 to seek general answers to the fundamental ecological question of what drives patterns of 179 community structure in space and time (McGill et al., 2006). Such efforts aim to reconnect 180 intraspecific and interspecific trait variation to niche concepts (Hutchinson, 1957; MacArthur & 181 Wilson, 1967) and modern coexistence theory (Chesson, 2000), and seek to explain community assembly (Ackerly & Cornwell, 2007; Violle et al., 2012) and response of ecosystem structure 182 183 and function to disturbance and environmental change in systems from forests to coral reefs 184 (Mouillot et al., 2013). With such efforts has come the realization that the magnitude of

185 intraspecific and interspecific variation are more comparable in plant communities than 186 previously thought (Kattge et al., 2011; Messier et al., 2010). Since individual variation can 187 change the expected outcome of ecological interactions (Bolnick et al., 2011), it is perhaps not 188 surprising that this renewed focus on individual variation has led to different insights than 189 provided by the more typical approach of comparing mean characteristics among species (Violle 190 et al., 2012). If this refreshed perspective on intra- and inter-specific variation is applied to fish 191 communities, there could be profound insights for basic fish ecology regarding the contributions 192 of biotic and abiotic interactions to aquatic food web structure and how energy fluxes vary in space and time with environmental change (see sections 2.2-3.2). 193

194 Trait-based approaches are not only influencing the basic ecological sciences, but also 195 applications to restoration and management. Trait-based methods are facilitating the 196 understanding of how ecosystem function and services are related not only to species diversity, 197 but to the distribution of functional traits within communities (Enguist et al., 2015; Laughlin, 198 2014). For example, Laughlin (2014) proposed a framework of trait-based models to explore the 199 most efficient paths to achieving restoration targets described with functional traits by using 200 assemblage structures to define target and actionable benchmarks to improve ecosystem 201 function. Researchers are using a similar mapping of biodiversity, traits, and function to predict 202 change in ecosystem function under a variable environment (Enquist et al., 2015). Analogously, 203 fisheries managers could define aquatic community structures that maximize sustainable fishery 204 yield and use these as biological reference points to implement EBFM (see sections 2.3, 3.3).

205 Following on the trait-based revolution in plant community ecology, researchers in a 206 broad array of systems are calling for advancement in their field through incorporation of trait-207 based approaches. Fungal ecologists have a vision that these approaches and development of 208 relevant databases will advance their ability to describe life-history variation, succession, and 209 spatial community structure (Aguilar-Trigueros et al., 2015; Chagnon, Bradley, Maherali, & 210 Klironomos, 2013). Similarly, microbial ecologists are promoting trait-based approaches to 211 explore life-history variation and estimate biodiversity-ecosystem-function relationships (Krause 212 et al., 2014). In wetland plant ecology, extensions of terrestrial plant trait-based approaches 213 could help identify traits that drive ecosystem service provisioning (Moor et al., 2017). 214 Applications such as these have use in the field of fisheries, enabling translation of life-history 215 variation directly and efficiently into effects on stock productivity and catch limits in space or

216 over time (see section 2.1). The above are just a few examples of how bringing trait-based

- 217 approaches to new fields can provide novel insight and perspective. The following sections
- 218 describe our vision for how an infusion of trait-based approaches in fisheries offers similar
- 219 benefits as those seen in other disparate fields.

220 1.2 Current and past use of trait-based perspectives in fisheries

Aquatic populations and the individuals that compose them present sampling challenges different from many plant and terrestrial animals. In addition to the difficulty of direct visibility and threedimensional movement capacity, surveys of marine species often remove individuals from their habitat (via capture) and require destructive sampling that eliminates the opportunity to determine how traits vary over time within individuals (e., repeated measures).

226 Despite the limitations and challenges of sampling the aquatic system, individual traits 227 were recognized by early fisheries researchers as an important source of information to 228 determine the processes driving observations of large fluctuations in fisheries catches (Smith, 229 1994). Specifically, the size and maturation state of individuals provided insight into variation in 230 reproductive potential (Holt, 1891, 1895) and cohort strength and distribution (Hjort & Petersen, 231 1905). The hypotheses and theories that emerged became the foundation of the rapid 232 advancement of ecological and population modelling and parameter estimation that began in the early-to-middle 20th century (culminating in Beverton & Holt, 1957; Kingsland, 1995; with 233 234 earlier work reviewed by Quinn, 2003).

235 Biomass dynamic models and size- or age-structured models (using population- level 236 parameters determined outside the model) were the most prevalent fisheries modelling 237 approaches for decades, though approaches such as catch curve and length frequency analysis 238 were explicitly trait-based (Gulland, 1983; Quinn & Deriso, 1999). Formal inclusion of traits in 239 fisheries models emerged with the development of size- and age-structured integrated population 240 models (Fournier & Archibald, 1982; Maunder & Punt, 2013). These models are fit to a variety 241 of data, including individual-level metrics like size at age and maturity, to estimate population 242 parameters and predict stock status (Dichmont et al., 2016; Methot & Wetzel, 2013). Trait 243 information is highly valued in these models, often improving estimation of dynamics (Wetzel & 244 Punt, 2015) and enabling the modelling of coarse intraspecific variation in growth over space or 245 time (Methot & Wetzel, 2013; Taylor & Methot, 2013).

246 As fisheries science focused mainly on population modelling, patterns and theory of life 247 histories started emerging (Beverton & Holt, 1959). Note that although life-history 248 characteristics were often referred to as traits, they do not meet our trait definition here. 249 Nonetheless, relationships between growth, longevity, mortality and reproduction were used to 250 produce predictive life-history patterns (Charnov, Gislason, & Pope, 2013; Then, Hoenig, Hall, 251 & Hewitt, 2015: Thorson, Munch, Cope, & Gao, 2017). These patterns were combined to form 252 life-history strategies (e.g., slow growing, long-lived, opportunistic strategists; Adams, 1980; King & McFarlane, 2003; Winemiller & Rose, 1992), all dictated by population level 253 254 parameters, but implicitly driven by traits (Chapman & Robson, 1960; Roff, 2002; Stearns, 255 1992). Increasingly, researchers are recognizing the importance of incorporating individual 256 variation in estimation of growth, mortality (e.g., e.g., Jacobsen, Essington, & Thorson, 2018; Laslett, Eveson, & Polacheck, 2004; Pilling, Kirkwood, & Walker, 2002; Sinclair, Swain, & 257 258 Hanson, 2002; Wang & Ellis, 2005) and reproduction (Rowe, Hutchings, Skjæraasen, & 259 Bezanson, 2008; Scott & Heikkonen, 2012). This is particularly true as it relates to the increasing 260 interest in the influence of environment, habitat (Hutchings et al., 2007; Morrongiello & 261 Thresher, 2015) and fishing (Lowerre-Barbieri, Ganias, Saborido-Rey, Murua, & Hunter, 2011; 262 Wang, Chen, Hsu, & Shen, 2017) on the relative success of alternative life-history strategies 263 among individuals within a population (Conover, Arnott, Walsh, & Munch, 2005).

264

265 2. Potential applications for trait-based fisheries biology

Given the demonstrated utility of trait-based methods in community ecology we highlight a few
potential applications of trait-based methods in fisheries biology. We emphasize how modeling,
monitoring, and managing based on traits such as individual size can facilitate better
management outcomes.

270 2.1 "Parameters that aren't" and time-varying processes

271 For over six decades, fisheries scientists have relied on fitting models to data under the

assumption that many processes can be approximated using life-history parameters that are

- 273 constant over time; however, many processes (e.g., somatic growth and natural mortality) clearly
- vary among years due to density dependence and environmental variation (Thorson, Monnahan,
- 275 & Cope, 2015). Therefore, population parameters are increasingly understood to actually be
- variables, and ideally, they are predicted from dynamics operating at the level of individual

277 decision-making and fitness optimization. Hilborn and Walters (1992, pg. 347) called this phenomenon "parameters that aren't," and it remains one of the largest issues in fisheries 278 279 population dynamics (e.g., Sampson & Scott, 2012). Certainly, stock assessment scientists often 280 update parameters as new information becomes available and sometimes use empirical estimates 281 to inform time-varying vital rates; yet this approach is constrained by access to data and 282 appropriate statistical techniques and is potentially confounded by simultaneous changes in 283 exogenous factors such as fishing mortality and selectivity. Recent advances in state-space models are making it increasingly feasible to predict time-varying parameters (using temporal 284 covariates or residual-error terms), but simply estimating additional random-effects (i.e., 285 286 allowing slopes to vary with time) does not resolve the larger question: Why do parameters 287 change, and how can we efficiently measure such changes from field observations?

288 We therefore predict great benefits from using functional traits (as measured from field 289 samples) to mechanistically inform time-varying parameters as specified in population models. 290 For example, researchers have found that they can better predict recruitment using realized 291 spawning output rather than spawning biomass estimated from fixed size-fecundity relationships 292 in population models (Morgan, Perez-Rodriguez, & Saborido-Rey, 2011). Therefore, annual 293 sampling for traits like ovary weight and development, adult lipid concentration, and reproductive investment (e.g., spawning frequency) will often improve recruitment predictions 294 295 for fish populations. Similarly, changes in weight-at-length (a trait called "condition") is likely to 296 indicate changes in resource availability or abundance of competitors. For example, recent large 297 increases in abundance of Baltic cod (Gadus morhua, Gadidae) were associated with decreasing 298 condition (Svedäng & Hornborg, 2014). In some cases, a large decrease in body condition could 299 indicate environmental conditions that are sufficiently poor to cause adult starvation and an 300 associated increase in natural mortality (e.g., for Gulf of Alaska Pacific cod (Gadus 301 macrocephalus, Gadidae) during the 2014-2016 marine heatwave, Barbeaux et al., 2017, see 302 Figs. 2.23-2.26). Furthermore, trends in natural mortality can be detected from survey data of 303 length or weight data alone when combined with basic catch information, leading to improved 304 estimates of population biomass and the drivers of biomass dynamics (Jacobsen et al., 2018). In 305 cases where a theoretical link exists between a trait and more than one biological process (e.g., 306 body condition affecting both natural mortality and reproductive output), analysts could explore

307 models that include one or the other linkage and base resulting management advice upon an308 ensemble of both models.

309 2.2 Expanding the role of traits in fisheries management: towards trait-based harvest
310 control rules and ecosystem indicators

Fisheries management scientists use trait-based methods intermittently, and greater recognition of existing trait-based management could lead to improved coordination of research and management changes among regions. For example, fisheries researchers and managers already consider traits when discussing: (1) protection of forage fishes, (2) maintenance of size diversity and ecological integrity more generally, and (3) consequences of global change.

316 An active debate is whether rates of fishing should be lower for "forage fishes" than that 317 recommended from single-species assessment models. Advising lower fishing rates for forage 318 fishes is often justified by claims that their abundance and nutritional density supports 319 productivity of many predators (Alder, Campbell, Karpouzi, Kaschner, & Pauly, 2008; Pikitch et 320 al., 2012; Pikitch et al., 2014; Trites & Donnelly, 2003). Forage fishes are often defined as 321 species having a low maximum age, small asymptotic size, and low trophic position within a 322 community (Rountos, 2016). Fisheries managers are therefore discussing whether management 323 actions should protect species with typical trait values (small body sizes) that correlate with 324 ecological function (high production per biomass; Engelhard et al., 2014). Fisheries managers 325 concerned with predator forage could instead modify management measures to achieve a target 326 biomass of small-bodied individuals, without explicit consideration of the species comprising 327 these individuals (i.e., including juveniles of species with larger asymptotic body sizes). Another 328 relevant trait distinction that managers might consider is separate measures for demersal and 329 pelagic forage fishes, or targeting management actions based on functional traits that define 330 positions of individuals in food webs (as opposed to the mean trophic level of a species). 331 Similarly, fisheries managers in Europe and elsewhere have increasingly discussed a 332 "stop-light" approach. This refers to management based on community-level control rules 333 derived from threshold responses in indicators of change in ecosystem structure (Jennings,

2005), which are often related to size structure (Greenstreet et al., 2011; Houle, Farnsworth,

Rossberg, & Reid, 2012), e.g., the Large Fish Indicator, slope of the size spectrum, or mean body

length. This community-level control rule can be interpreted as an effort to maintain diversity in

a functional trait (individual size), and we foresee future efforts to maintain functional diversity

or desired forms of trait distributions in a range of traits and life-histories (Pecuchet et al., 2017).

339 Likewise, fisheries management councils in the United States are increasingly interested in

results from integrated ecosystem assessments (Levin, Fogarty, Murawski, & Fluharty, 2009;

- 341 PFMC, 2013), and ecosystem assessments in the future may include trait-based management
- 342 indicators.

343 To implement trait-based ecology into management of marine resources, target reference 344 points for indicators need to be set for trait-distributions, on the level of stocks and ecosystems. These targets need to be agreed upon with collaboration among stakeholders, managers, and 345 346 scientists. Involvement of managers and stakeholders is important for collaboration, data 347 collection, and development of robust trait-based indicators. Many trait-based targets are set by 348 reference to unfished states (e.g., size spectrum slope or age distribution within a stock), and 349 since these are rarely available from empirical data, efforts in modeling and theoretical ecology 350 are required to set baseline values. A major challenge for scientists is to communicate and 351 develop target values of trait indicators.

352 A practical application of trait-based management to fisheries would benefit 353 contemporary efforts to move towards ecosystem-based fisheries management (Skern-Mauritzen 354 et al., 2016). Trait-based applications can be used as specific tools to meet national and 355 international legislation and agreements. Potential candidate traits for ecosystems are size 356 distributions, trait diversity, or prevalence of specific desired traits. An example where direct 357 application of a trait-based framework is useful is the European Marine Strategy Framework 358 Directive, which requires the use of indicators to reach 'good ecological status.' By being readily 359 measurable and potentially comparable using available historical data, trait-based indicators have 360 the potential to be an invaluable supplement to current management practices in this framework. 361 Additionally, trait distributions could be a valuable tool for management in terms of observing 362 gradual ecosystem change due to a variety of anthropogenic impacts, including overfishing and 363 climate change.

Climate change presents a major challenge to fisheries management, where there is much room for improvement in how climate information is used across the science-policy interface (Karp et al., 2019). Climate influences fish at the individual level where, e.g., temperature influences metabolism and growth according to a thermal tolerance function. As a result of this, environmental trends can influence stock productivity and distribution along with the ability to

369 detect such changes via monitoring and population modeling. Recent recommendations for 370 incorporating climate in fisheries management include establishing mechanistic drivers of 371 biological change, accounting for intraspecific variation, and the expansion of monitoring efforts 372 for the rapid detection of responses to a changing environment (Karp et al., 2019), all of which 373 are strengths of the trait-based approach. Monitoring changes in traits across an ecosystem will 374 be important to measure complex system-level responses to global change (Kiørboe et al., 2018), 375 informing decisions such as the reduction of catch limits because of decreases in ecosystem 376 productivity. Specifically, changes in traits such as body condition or metabolic rates (as measured by, e.g., RNA expression and hormone concentrations) may be useful indicators of 377 378 regional environmental changes that otherwise may be obscured when only inspecting species-379 by-species responses. Trait monitoring programs would complement ongoing efforts to 380 categorize the intrinsic vulnerability of species to climate change (Hare et al., 2016) through 381 rapid detection of climate-driven changes in productivity and community structure.

382 2.3 Trait-based models to inform ecosystem-based management

383 Management of marine resources has improved drastically in recent years (Worm et al., 2009), 384 but this is mainly due to increased attention to rebuilding overfished stocks on a single species 385 level (Hilborn & Ovando, 2014; Wetzel & Punt, 2016). Even though ecosystem-based fisheries 386 management (EBFM) has been promoted for over a decade (Pikitch et al., 2004), it has still 387 struggled to make an impact on tactical management (Skern-Mauritzen et al., 2016). 388 Multispecies trait-based models can provide strategic guidance to inform future tactical 389 applications of EBFM by predicting the influence of fishing and climate change on ecosystem 390 structure and function.

391 A common problem in ecosystem modelling is the accelerating rate of increase in the 392 number of estimated parameters given an increase in the number of modeled species. For 393 example, an ecosystem model that estimates all pairwise species interactions will have N^2 394 interaction parameters for N species. This increase in model complexity ultimately causes large 395 structural uncertainty (Collie et al., 2014). A suite of models commonly referred to as 'size 396 spectrum models' remedy this by expressing the community state as a distribution of abundance 397 of traits rather than taxonomic units (Blanchard, Heneghan, Everett, Trebilco, & Richardson, 398 2017). Individual body size is often the trait used to describe community state (Andersen, 399 Jacobsen, et al., 2015) because this trait influences metabolic rates (West, Brown, & Enquist,

1997), prey size (Barnes, Maxwell, Reuman, & Jennings, 2010; Gilljam et al., 2011), swimming
performance (Ware, 1978) and the biomass distribution of individuals (Sheldon & Parsons, 1967;
Sheldon et al., 1972). The assumption that individual body size governs community processes
can result in a model with a fixed number of parameters regardless of the number of species. We
introduce size-spectrum models below as an example of trait-based ecosystem modelling, while
noting that some current applications of these models are not entirely trait-based (e.g., in cases
where asymptotic size is defined for each species).

Size spectrum models are structured physiologically, where encounter rates (leading to
feeding and subsequently growth), mortality, and reproduction are calculated on the level of the
individual (as a function of their size). These sub-models are often scaled up to the population
level using the McKendrick-Von Foerster equation

411
$$\frac{\partial n(w)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial g(w)n(w)}{\partial w} = -\mu(w)n(w)$$

where n(w) is the number of individuals at weight w, a vector of which describes the size 412 413 spectrum, t is time, g is growth rate and μ is mortality. The equation is supplemented by a boundary condition $g(w_0)n(w_0) = R$, where R is the number of recruits entering the population 414 415 per unit time. It is clear from the McKendrick-Von Foerster equation that the rate of change in 416 numbers in a weight class (the first term on the left-hand side) depends on two things: how many 417 die before they grow larger (the term on the right-hand side), and how many grow into the next 418 size class (the second term on the left-hand side). In the dynamic population model, researchers 419 can specify recruitment, growth or mortality to vary over time (depending on the number of 420 conspecific adults, predators and prey).

The simplest multispecies size-spectrum models assume that there is no difference between species, and therefore individual size determines rates of growth, mortality, and reproduction. This simple ecosystem model is termed 'the community model' by Andersen et al. (2015). These models are most often used to test the sensitivity of size spectra to, e.g., fishing (Rochet & Benoît, 2011), life history diversity (Zhang, Thygesen, Knudsen, & Andersen, 2013), and the prevalence of different feeding pathways (e.g., benthic or pelagic; Blanchard et al., 2009).

428 Size-spectrum models can also be defined to include differences in asymptotic size
429 among species, using this difference to drive differences in age-specific growth, mortality (due

430 to, e.g., predator gape limitation), and reproductive rates among species (Andersen & Beyer, 431 2006; Gislason, Daan, Rice, & Pope, 2010). The inclusion of asymptotic size allows the models 432 to distinguish species and specify recruitment as a function of the abundance of mature 433 individuals within asymptotic size groups. The models track biomass moving through size 434 classes by growth and predation, and are therefore suitable to predict, e.g., how community 435 structure and fishery yield is influenced by size-selective fishing (Andersen & Pedersen, 2010; 436 Houle et al., 2012; Jacobsen et al., 2014) different forms of density dependence (Maury & 437 Poggiale, 2013), or climate change (Blanchard et al., 2012). Furthermore, the models have been applied to several large marine ecosystems to predict efficient ecosystem level fishing patterns. 438 439 These applications inform strategic EBFM by quantifying the trade-off between yield and 440 ecosystem state, through incorporating biotic interactions between different sized individuals and 441 how they are affected by fishing (Jacobsen et al., 2017).

442

443 **3.** Framework for trait-based analysis in fish conservation and management

444 Trait-based approaches could have an important role in the management of marine resources in 445 both data rich and data limited systems. Trait based methods would serve as a complementary 446 tool to traditional single-species management while contributing to the developing field of 447 ecosystem assessment. We envision three steps for developing a trait-based approach to fisheries 448 management: (1) identify candidate traits; (2) screen for useful traits; (3) identify management 449 actions and reference points (see Fig. 3). The major challenges to implementing this framework 450 would be the transitions between steps: establishing the relationship between candidate traits and 451 the process of interest (from step 1 to 2), and the sampling logistics of monitoring the selected 452 traits (from step 2 to 3). Only the former challenge is unique to the trait-based approach, while 453 the latter is common to all management approaches. In practice, we envision that these steps 454 would be conducted as part of a system of iterative learning, where steps 1-3 are revisited 455 periodically to reflect lessons learned during previous iterations (Williams, 2011).

456 **3.1 Step 1: Identify candidate traits**

457 To apply the trait-based approach to fish conservation and management, the first step is to458 identify candidate traits to measure. Candidate traits should be functional, thus one could start

Too and the state to moustle. Culturate that is should be functional, thus one could start

459 listing traits likely to influence species fitness based on direct evidence or general first principles.

460 In addition to body size, we recommend listing other traits that affect survival, growth, or

461 reproduction through mechanisms such as predator defense. For example, changes in survival 462 could be measured by extent of armoring (Fig. 4), chemical defense (e.g., toxin concentration), 463 or body morphology (e.g., crypsis or ability to flee). In addition, we recommend consideration of 464 traits that integrate over many biological and physical processes to provide a fitness indicator 465 (e.g., lipid concentration or body condition). It may be prudent to select traits that can affect key 466 ecosystem functions, such as gape size (indicating capacity for top-down control) or the 467 morphology of habitat-forming species (indicating sheltering capacity). It is also helpful to 468 identify traits that researchers can quickly and precisely measure in a cost-efficient way over large spatial scales. 469

470 3.2 Step 2: Screen traits

After identifying potential traits and making preliminary measurements, the next step is to screen
for useful traits given research and management goals. We recommend retaining any trait with
sufficient variation that satisfies at least one of the following conditions:

- *Traits are directly useful*: Some traits tie directly into a given research or management goal.
 For example, freshwater fisheries management often seeks to optimize angler satisfaction,
 and therefore a time-series of "trophy-sized" catches will be useful as a direct measure of
 management success (Gwinn et al., 2015). Similarly, wetland restoration often seeks to
 minimize chemical contaminants in fishes targeted for consumption, so measuring chemical
 concentrations will be directly relevant to determining further restoration efforts.
- 2. *Traits are known proxies for biological processes*: Traits that are not directly linked to
 management outcomes may still be appropriate if they are reliable proxies for unmeasured
 biological rates or processes. For example, individual size is correlated with metabolic rates
 (West et al., 1997) and therefore excretion rates (Peters, 1986; Schindler & Eby, 1997). Thus,
 measurements of community size-spectra can indicate changes in respiration and nutrient
 cycling, and represent a measurable link between human actions (e.g., fishing) and
 environmental degradation (e.g., eutrophication, acidification).
- *Hypothesized mechanisms linking traits and biological processes*: Finally, researchers could
 use ecological theory to hypothesize associations between traits and important biological
 processes. These hypotheses could motivate future data collection and analysis to screen
 traits that might later serve as "known proxies". For example, natural mortality rate is an
 important demographic parameter for population models and likely varies over time. Theory

492 suggests that immune burden is associated with senescence, and that high parasite
493 concentrations could indicate immune stress (Wilson et al., 2001) or behavioral modification
494 that may increase mortality risk (Lafferty & Morris, 1996). Therefore, researchers could

495 sample parasite concentrations for fishes of management concern (e.g., steelhead trout

496 (*Onchorhynchus mykiss*, Salmonidae) in the North Pacific) and explore the correlation

between parasite concentrations and demographic rates (e.g., Krkošek et al., 2011; in this

498 case, smolt-to-adult survival and repeat spawning frequency, indicating key survival rates).

In addition, information about parasite load has been used to estimate spatial population

500 structure and connectivity (Bailey, Margolis, & Groot, 1988; de Moor, Butterworth, & van

der Lingen, 2017; MacKenzie & Abaunza, 2014), which could inform spatial stock

assessment if researchers were able to link parasite burden to demographic rates.

503 **3.3 Step 3: Develop a management system using trait-based reference points**

504 Fisheries management generally compares a measure of system status (e.g., abundance) with a 505 target or limit reference level (e.g., abundance at maximum sustainable yield). Managers use this 506 comparison to regulate human activities (e.g., fishing) that influence resource status (Gavaris, 2009). We envision an implementation of fisheries management using a measure of system status 507 508 that is a mix of population-level attributes (e.g., population biomass) and individual traits (e.g., 509 the shape of the size distribution). For example, ecosystem indicators could be a combination of 510 trait-based and species-based measures, such as multispecies maximum sustainable yield (MSY; 511 species based) or the slope of the size spectrum (trait based). Using individual traits as a 512 management indicator requires consideration of several questions:

513 1. What is the desired system state? Using a trait distribution (or a summary thereof) in fisheries 514 management requires defining a target and limit for that element. For instance, research 515 indicates that size spectrum slopes become steeper when fishing commences (e.g., Daan, 516 Gislason, G. Pope, & C. Rice, 2005; Fig. 3c). Management bodies must decide a priori on 517 acceptable targets (e.g., the expected size spectrum slope at multispecies MSY) and limits for 518 the ecosystem state. Some researchers have suggested that fishing on the ecosystem scale 519 should be distributed among sizes and species to maintain the 'unfished' size structure 520 (Garcia et al., 2012), defined as the size structure of a system without fishing. Whether the 521 unfished size structure is the desired state is up to the management body to decide, as other 522 solutions might give better results in terms of yield or profit while still being sustainable

(Jacobsen et al., 2017). Managers should develop this target state based on socio-economic
and biological considerations. Previous research has suggested guidelines for the process
used to design management targets (Rindorf et al., 2017), and these could be used to develop
trait-based target states.

How best to measure system state? Fisheries management must also measure system states
 regularly to compare with system target or limit levels. To continue our example, we could
 calculate community size spectra from scientific surveys that measure individual lengths. We
 could also quantify distributions of other traits such as armoring (spine number or length),
 chemical contamination or RNA/DNA ratios, but doing so would require incorporation of
 novel sampling protocols in existing scientific monitoring programs.

533 3. What policy would regulate human activities most effectively? Fisheries management seeks to achieve a target system state by regulating human activities using a well-defined and 534 535 transparent policy. Most regulations will involve some trade-off between multiple human 536 values (e.g., between economic value and risks of overfishing). These trade-offs are often 537 difficult to identify *a priori*, so fisheries science increasingly uses closed-loop simulation 538 with numerical models (termed "management strategy evaluation", MSE) to evaluate 539 expected performance using alternative management policies. We recommend additional 540 research using MSE to identify what management policy is optimal to achieve a given trait-541 based target.

Stock assessments are the science used to inform fishery management, and generally take the
form of a population dynamic model using life-history parameters (see Fig. 3a for examples)
rather than individual traits. However, researchers sometimes incorporate traits (averaged across
individuals in a given time) via samples of size or age composition samples (Methot & Wetzel,
2013) or stomach contents (Moustahfid, Link, Overholtz, & Tyrrell, 2009). Researchers could
incorporate traits into assessments in two additional and more fundamental ways:

 Monitoring status using predicted traits: researchers could use stock assessments to predict trait distributions, and then use the distributions as an index of population status. This would build on existing length-based assessment methods (e.g., Hordyk, Ono, Valencia, Loneragan, & Prince, 2015; Rudd & Thorson, 2018). For example, predicted changes in population agestructure may be more sensitive to short-term changes in fishing intensity than would changes in spawning biomass. Specifically, a prediction of strong recruitment will often be a leading indicator of future changes in population abundance. Such forward-looking metrics
could help limit unnecessary abrupt change in recommended catches, which can cause large
socioeconomic costs.

- 557 2. Defining system status using measured traits: researchers can calculate management targets 558 as a function of field samples of traits. For example, the Large Fish Indicator (LFI) is 559 calculated directly from field samples of fish size as the proportion of fish greater than a 560 specified length (Cury & Christensen, 2005; Greenstreet et al., 2011). The LFI is used to 561 measure ecosystem status as an Ecological Quality Objective in the North Sea. In this case, 562 regions adopting the LFI can define a target based on ecological models and theory, e.g., 0.4 563 in the Celtic Sea (Shephard, Reid, & Greenstreet, 2011), and compare the current LFI relative 564 to this target within an empirical harvest strategy to inform management. Other trait-based 565 indicators could be used within empirical harvest control rules. For example, the proportion 566 of spawning male salmon that are jacks (i.e., young males) vs. fully grown males has been 567 discussed as an indicator for changes in the evolutionary pressures facing salmon stocks 568 (Gross, 1991), and could be used within an empirical harvest strategy (as either target or 569 limit) for exploited salmon stocks.
- 570

571 4. How to advance trait-based ecology in fisheries biology

In this paper, we briefly introduced trait-based approaches in community ecology, gave examples of how it could be most useful in fisheries science, and outlined how it can be adapted to new purposes. We now describe our vision of what would be required to "scale up" this trait-based approach within fisheries science, in addition to the needs we highlighted earlier (development and extension of trait-based ecosystem models, broad monitoring of traits, and methods for defining trait-based management objectives).

578 4.1 Creating and growing open trait databases

579 Most importantly, we encourage more centralized storage, distribution, and documentation of 580 existing trait databases. Existing fisheries databases often include field measurements of only 581 population parameters (e.g., FishBase; Froese, 1990) or are specific to small subsets of fishes 582 (e.g., North American freshwater species in FishTraits; Frimpong & Angermeier, 2009). By 583 contrast to these population-level databases, a database of fish traits must include measurements 584 of individuals (not populations or species) with data regarding the species, location, and timing 585 of each measurement. In many parts of the world regional science agencies, survey teams, or 586 management bodies are already maintaining such databases for their particular system. For 587 example, the Northwest Fisheries Science Center has a public database of length and weight measurements for hundreds of species collected in marine waters off the US West Coast 588 589 (https://www.nwfsc.noaa.gov/data/map) and the International Council for the Exploration of the 590 Sea has a similar database for European surveys (DATRAS ICES: http://www.ices.dk/marine-591 data/data-portals/Pages/DATRAS.aspx). Without any centralized database for meta-data, 592 however, researchers rarely use these regional databases for comparative analysis outside of 593 small, geographically isolated areas. The importance of centralized meta-data for regional 594 databases is well-recognized for other activities in fisheries science (e.g., for regional ecosystem 595 analyses; Cisneros-Montemayor et al., 2016) in addition to marine ecology (Kiørboe et al., 2018), and we similarly recommend a centralized database to provide access to (or ideally serve 596 597 and harmonize) data from regional trait databases.

598 Fisheries scientists also have a long history of compiling individual measurements used 599 in morphological identification of stocks using the dimensions or counts of body parts (Cadrin, 600 2000), such as fin rays, spines, teeth, gill rakers, etc. (collectively called morphometrics and 601 meristics). For example, WH Lenarz and colleagues recorded nearly 50 such trait measures for 602 thousands of individual rockfish (Sebastes spp., Sebastidae) off the US West Coast (PB Adams, 603 unpublished data). These individual measurements could be ecological meaningful in some 604 cases, e.g., if a cline in the number of gill rakers is correlated with differences in feeding 605 behaviors within a species' range. Reliance on meristics and morphometrics for identification of 606 stocks has become much less prevalent since the advent of inexpensive genetic techniques, so we 607 fear that these historical datasets may disappear when researchers retire. We therefore see 608 urgency in developing a database of meristic and morphometric trait measurements for fishes. 609 4.2 Incorporating novel traits

610 In addition to historical (meristics, morphometrics) and common (length, weight) traits in 611 existing trait databases in fisheries science, we recommend research to expand the set of traits 612 that are typically measured in fisheries sampling programs. This could be done by applying the 613 workflow explained in Section 3 above (e.g., identify \rightarrow screen \rightarrow apply \rightarrow repeat), and the 614 results will obviously vary among research groups based on their study species and scientific

615 goals. However, we here note several traits that appear particularly worthwhile for expanded616 field sampling:

617 1. Researchers have shown that defense traits such as body armoring can indicate rapid changes

618 in exposure to predation (Fig. 4; Kitano et al., 2008). Future research could link such

619 measurements to historical databases of other morphometrics and meristics that relate to

predator defense, in which case it could provide a long time-series for identifying changes inpredation intensity.

622 2. The cost of measuring steroid hormone concentrations or even genetic expression is rapidly
623 decreasing (e.g., du Dot et al., 2009); therefore, hormone and gene-expression levels could be
624 measured to directly indicate opportunities for growth and exposure to natural mortality.

625 3. Autonomous sampling and automated compilation of large datasets (e.g., continuous

telemetry data from acoustic receivers) is providing researchers with new measurements of

627 behavioral traits. For example, acoustic sampling near fishing aggregating devices can now

628 measure the distance of individual tunas from the water surface or floating structures

629 (Moreno et al., 2016). These behavioral traits may indicate population-level changes in

630 vertical habitat that also influences mortality due to the extent of exposure to fishing or

631 predators.

We provide this list of examples to spark interest, and imagine that individual research groupswill identify other useful opportunities.

634 4.3 Using traits to inform data-poor assessments

Lack of available data to perform formal stock assessment prevails in the world of fishery
management. Researchers have confronted this challenge with a variety of alternative methods
developed to adapt to any data that is available (Carruthers et al., 2014). Several methods use a
hybrid of population parameters and trait-based inputs. For example, some methods use
individual length (Hordyk et al., 2015; Rudd & Thorson, 2018) or age (Thorson & Cope, 2015)
measurements (traits) along with life-history parameters to determine stock status .

While the above approaches use a mix of traits and parameters (much like typical stock
assessments), the management procedure approach to fisheries management (Geromont &
Butterworth, 2015) can be used to develop pure trait-based applications. Management procedures
use changes in indicators (e.g., an abundance index) to define decision rules that determine
modifications in catch or effort (Jardim, Azevedo, & Brites, 2015). Researchers could derive

- 646 these indicators of stock status from traits (see Section 3.3). Then, researchers could summarize
- 647 such indicators at the species or community level (Fontana, Petchey, & Pomati, 2016). The
- 648 management-procedure approach avoids modeling population dynamics, and therefore bypasses
- 649 the need to estimate population parameters. It can also combine different trait indicators to
- provide multiple lines of evidence to inform management decisions (Dowling et al., 2015). If the
- traits are easy to measure and prove to be an informative indicator, this simple approach can be a
- 652 powerful method to monitor and manage species when traditional data sources and coverage are
- 653 not available.

655

654 4.4 Formal assessment of the costs, benefits, and potential pitfalls of trait-based

656 It is important to acknowledge that adopting trait-based methods may not provide effective and efficient improvements to fishery management in all cases, thus more formal scoping is needed 657 658 to determine the scenarios under which the approach is prone to success or failure. In some 659 cases, there will be additional costs associated with sampling traits, as even in regions with 660 existing fishery-independent surveys more time may be required to sample novel traits or 661 perform more comprehensive sampling of traditional body size measurements. There are also 662 additional costs when integrating these new techniques into current management regimes, 663 associated with funding researchers to formulate and test trait-based models while reconciling 664 differences in inferences between these and traditional population models; however, this problem 665 is ubiquitous to any new approach to ecosystem-based fishery management. There are also risks 666 of failure related to the potential for expected trends and relationships to be absent or difficult to 667 attribute to clear drivers, as it can be challenging to establish mechanistic links between changes 668 in traits and the environment. Sometimes there is little change in traits even over long periods, 669 despite clear changes in habitat and species interactions (Geladi et al., 2019). Finally, it can be 670 challenging to establish whether traits are truly functional, yet there is a developing literature 671 identifying traits that are generally related to fitness among fishes. In summary, most of the 672 challenges listed above are not unique to trait-based approaches, yet we encourage more formal 673 comparisons of approaches to demonstrate which questions and objectives would be most likely 674 to benefit from implementation of trait-based methods. Before suggesting replacing or 675 supplementing a population-based method with an analogous trait-based method we recommend 676 conducting cost-benefit and sensitivity analyses.

677

678 4. Conclusions

679 We call for incorporating the concept of functional traits into methods in fisheries science so that 680 we can build on innovations in other fields. This will allow us to address common challenges, 681 such as predicting ecosystem responses to environmental change, which require consideration of 682 variation among and within species. Trait-based approaches will help solve the issue of 683 increasing model complexity and associated uncertainty that has arisen as we develop the 684 scientific basis for EBFM, providing novel methods for assessing ecosystem status and 685 determining how ecosystem dynamics affect—and are affected by—fisheries. Trait-based 686 methods would complement traditional population methods to make management more agile,

687 able to quickly detect changes in underlying conditions that influence productivity, distribution, 688 and interactions. We present a framework for managing based on traits to demonstrate that such 689 advances are practical, feasible, and do not necessarily require radical shifts in philosophy or 690 decision-making structure. The evolution of trait-based methods can facilitate rapid advances in 691 fisheries, but this will require supporting new efforts, including: a commitment to systematic 692 measurement and screening of novel and familiar traits, in addition to development of open trait 693 databases, trait-based ecosystem models, and methods for integrating traits into descriptions of 694 resource status and reference points.

695

696 Acknowledgements

697 LAKB thanks Eric Ward, Michelle McClure, Trevor Branch, and Tim Essington for providing

resources, in addition to Peter Adams and Steven Lindley for providing data that offered

699 inspiration. LAKB acknowledges funding from the NMFS National Protected Species Toolbox

and the Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean (JISAO) under NOAA

701 Cooperative Agreement No. NA15OAR4320063, Contribution No. 2018-0142. We thank three

anonymous reviewers for comments on an earlier draft.

703 **References**

Ackerly, D. D., & Cornwell, W. (2007). A trait-based approach to community assembly:
 partitioning of species trait values into within-and among-community components.
 Ecology Letters, 10(2), 135-145.

Adams, P. B. (1980). Life history patterns in marine fishes and their consequences for fisheries
management. *Fishery Bulletin*, 78, 1–12.

Aguilar-Trigueros, C. A., Hempel, S., Powell, J. R., Anderson, I. C., Antonovics, J., Bergmann,
J., . . Klironomos, J. (2015). Branching out: towards a trait-based understanding of
fungal ecology. *Fungal Biology Reviews*, 29(1), 34-41.

Alder, J., Campbell, B., Karpouzi, V., Kaschner, K., & Pauly, D. (2008). Forage fish: from
ecosystems to markets. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, *33*.

Andersen, K. H., & Beyer, J. (2006). Asymptotic size determines species abundance in the
marine size spectrum. *The American Naturalist*, 168(1), 54-61.

- Andersen, K. H., Brander, K., & Ravn-Jonsen, L. (2015). Trade-offs between objectives for
 ecosystem management of fisheries. *Ecological Applications*, 25(5), 1390-1396.
 doi:10.1890/14-1209.1
- Andersen, K. H., Jacobsen, N. S., & Farnsworth, K. D. (2015). The theoretical foundations for
 size spectrum models of fish communities. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 73(4), 575-588.
- Andersen, K. H., & Pedersen, M. (2010). Damped trophic cascades driven by fishing in model
 marine ecosystems. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 277, 795 802. doi:10.1098/rspb.2009.1512
- Badjeck, M.-C., Allison, E. H., Halls, A. S., & Dulvy, N. K. (2010). Impacts of climate
 variability and change on fishery-based livelihoods. *Marine Policy*, *34*(3), 375-383.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2009.08.007
- Bailey, R. E., Margolis, L., & Groot, C. (1988). Estimating stock composition of migrating
 juvenile Fraser River (British Columbia) sockeye salmon, *Oncorhynchus nerka*, using
 parasites as natural tags. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 45(4),
 586-591. doi:10.1139/f88-071
- Barbeaux, S., Aydin, K., Fissel, B., Holsman, K., Palsson, W., Shotwell, L., ... Zador, S. (2017).
 Assessment of the Pacific cod stock in the Gulf of Alaska. In. Anchorage, AK: North
 Pacific Fishery Management Council.
- Barnes, C., Maxwell, D., Reuman, D. C., & Jennings, S. (2010). Global patterns in predator-prey
 size relationships reveal size dependency of trophic transfer efficiency. *Ecology*, *91*, 222232. doi:10.1890/08-2061.1
- Barnett, L. A. K., Baskett, M. L., & Botsford, L. W. (2015). Quantifying the potential for marine
 reserves or harvest reductions to buffer temporal mismatches caused by climate change.
 Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 1-14. doi:10.1139/cjfas-2014-0243
- 741 Beverton, R. J., & Holt, S. J. (1957). On the dynamics of exploited fish populations (Vol. 19).
- 742 London, UK: UK Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food.
- 743 Beverton, R. J. H., & Holt, S. J. (1959, 1959). A review of the lifespans and mortality rates of
 744 fish in nature, and their relation to growth and other physiological characteristics.

- Blanchard, J. L., Heneghan, R. F., Everett, J. D., Trebilco, R., & Richardson, A. J. (2017). From
 bacteria to whales: using functional size spectra to model marine ecosystems. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution, xx*, 1-13. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2016.12.003
- Blanchard, J. L., Jennings, S., Holmes, R., Harle, J., Merino, G., Allen, J. I., ... Barange, M.
 (2012). Potential consequences of climate change for primary production and fish
- production in large marine ecosystems. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B*, 367(1605), 2979-2989.
- 751 Blanchard, J. L., Jennings, S., Law, R., Castle, M. D., McCloghrie, P., Rochet, M. J., & Benoît,
- 752 E. (2009). How does abundance scale with body size in coupled size-structured food
 753 webs? *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 78, 270-280.
- 754 Bolnick, D. I., Amarasekare, P., Araújo, M. S., Bürger, R., Levine, J. M., Novak, M., . . .
- 755 Vasseur, D. A. (2011). Why intraspecific trait variation matters in community ecology.
- 756 *Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 26*(4), 183-192.
- 757 doi:<u>http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2011.01.009</u>
- Cadrin, S. X. (2000). Advances in morphometric identification of fishery stocks. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries*, 10(1), 91-112. doi:10.1023/A:1008939104413
- 760 Carruthers, T. R., Punt, A. E., Walters, C. J., MacCall, A., McAllister, M. K., Dick, E. J., &
 761 Cope, J. (2014). Evaluating methods for setting catch limits in data-limited fisheries.

```
762 Fisheries Research, 153, 48-68. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2013.12.014
```

- 763 Chagnon, P.-L., Bradley, R. L., Maherali, H., & Klironomos, J. N. (2013). A trait-based
- 764 framework to understand life history of mycorrhizal fungi. *Trends in Plant Science*,
 765 *18*(9), 484-491.
- Chapman, D. G., & Robson, D. S. (1960). The analysis of a catch curve. *Biometrics*, *16*, 354–
 368.
- Charnov, E. L., Gislason, H., & Pope, J. G. (2013). Evolutionary assembly rules for fish life
 histories. *Fish and Fisheries*, *14*, 213–224. doi:10.1111/j.1467-2979.2012.00467.x
- 770 Chesson, P. (2000). Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. *Annual Review of Ecology*771 *and Systematics*, *31*(1), 343-366.
- 772 Cisneros-Montemayor, A. M., Cheung, W. W. L., Bodtker, K., Teh, L., Steiner, N., Bailey, M., .
- 773 . . . Sumaila, U. R. (2016). Towards an integrated database on Canadian ocean resources:
- benefits, current states, and research gaps. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic*
- *Sciences*, 74(1), 65-74. doi:10.1139/cjfas-2015-0573

- Collie, J. S., Botsford, L. W., Hastings, A., Kaplan, I. C., Largier, J. L., Livingston, P. a., ...
 Werner, F. E. (2014). Ecosystem models for fisheries management: finding the sweet
 spot. *Fish and Fisheries, 17*, 101-125. doi:10.1111/faf.12093
- Conover, D. O., Arnott, S. A., Walsh, M. R., & Munch, S. B. (2005). Darwinian fishery science:
 lessons from the Atlantic silverside (*Menidia menidia*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 62, 730–737.
- Cury, P. M., & Christensen, V. (2005). Quantitative ecosystem indicators for fisheries
 management. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, *62*(3), 307-310.

doi:10.1016/j.icesjms.2005.02.003 %J ICES Journal of Marine Science

- 785Daan, N., Gislason, H., G. Pope, J., & C. Rice, J. (2005). Changes in the North Sea fish
- community: evidence of indirect effects of fishing? *ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil, 62*, 177-188. doi:10.1016/j.icesjms.2004.08.020
- de Moor, C. L., Butterworth, D. S., & van der Lingen, C. D. (2017). The quantitative use of
 parasite data in multistock modelling of South African sardine (*Sardinops sagax*).
- 790 *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 74(11), 1895-1903.
- 791 doi:10.1139/cjfas-2016-0280
- Des Roches, S., Post, D. M., Turley, N. E., Bailey, J. K., Hendry, A. P., Kinnison, M. T., ...
 Palkovacs, E. P. (2018). The ecological importance of intraspecific variation. *Nature ecology & evolution*, 2(1), 57.
- Deyle, E. R., Fogarty, M., Hsieh, C.-h., Kaufman, L., MacCall, A. D., Munch, S. B., . . .
 Sugihara, G. (2013). Predicting climate effects on Pacific sardine. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *110*(16), 6430-6435.
- Dichmont, C. M., Deng, R. A., Punt, A. E., Brodziak, J., Chang, Y.-J., Cope, J. M., . . . Shertzer,
 K. W. (2016). A review of stock assessment packages in the United States. *Fisheries Research*, 183, 447-460. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2016.07.001
- Bowling, N. A., Dichmont, C. M., Haddon, M., Smith, D. C., Smith, A. D. M., & Sainsbury, K.
 (2015). Guidelines for developing formal harvest strategies for data-poor species and
 fisheries. *Fisheries Research*, *171*, 130-140. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2014.09.013
- du Dot, T. J., Rosen, D. A., Richmond, J. P., Kitaysky, A. S., Zinn, S. A., & Trites, A. W.
- 805 (2009). Changes in glucocorticoids, IGF-I and thyroid hormones as indicators of
- 806 nutritional stress and subsequent refeeding in Steller sea lions (*Eumetopias jubatus*).

- 807 *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology,*808 *152*(4), 524-534. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2008.12.010
- Elmqvist, T., Folke, C., Nyström, M., Peterson, G., Bengtsson, J., Walker, B., & Norberg, J.
 (2003). Response diversity, ecosystem change, and resilience. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 1(9), 488-494.
- Engelhard, G. H., Peck, M. A., Rindorf, A., C. Smout, S., van Deurs, M., Raab, K., . . . Scott, F.
 (2014). Forage fish, their fisheries, and their predators: who drives whom? *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, *71*(1), 90-104.
- 815 Enquist, B.J., Norberg, J., Bonser, S. P., Violle, C., Webb, C. T., Henderson, A., ... Savage, V.
- 816 M. (2015). Chapter nine-scaling from traits to ecosystems: developing a general trait
- 817 driver theory via integrating trait-based and metabolic scaling theories. *Advances in*818 *Ecological Research*, *52*, 249-318.
- Fontana, S., Petchey, O. L., & Pomati, F. (2016). Individual-level trait diversity concepts and
 indices to comprehensively describe community change in multidimensional trait space. *Functional Ecology*, *30*, 808-818. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12551
- Fournier, D., & Archibald, C. P. (1982). A general theory for analyzing catch at age data. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, *39*, 1195–1207.
- Frimpong, E. A., & Angermeier, P. L. (2009). Fish traits: A database of ecological and lifehistory traits of freshwater fishes of the United States. *Fisheries*, *34*(10), 487-495.
- Frimpong, E. A., & Angermeier, P. L. (2010). Trait-based approaches in the analysis of stream
 fish communities. In K. B. Gido & D. A. Jackson (Eds.), *Community ecology of stream fishes: concepts, approaches, and techniques* (Vol. 73, pp. 109-136).
- Froese, R. (1990). FishBase: An information system to support fisheries and aquaculture
 research. *ICLARM Fishbyte*, 8(3), 21-24.
- Garcia, S., Kolding, J., Rice, J., Rochet, M.-J., Zhou, S., Arimoto, T., . . . Dunn, D. (2012).
 Reconsidering the consequences of selective fisheries. *Science*, *335*(6072), 1045-1047
- 832 Reconsidering the consequences of selective fisheries. *Science*, *335*(6072), 1045-1047.
- Gavaris, S. (2009). Fisheries management planning and support for strategic and tactical
 decisions in an ecosystem approach context. *Fisheries Research*, 100, 6-14.
- doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2008.12.001
- 836 Geladi, I., De León, L. F., Torchin, M. E., Hendry, A. P., González, R., & Sharpe, D. M. T.
- 837 (2019). 100-year time-series reveal little morphological change following impoundment

- and predator invasion in two Neotropical characids. *Evolutionary Applications*, 0(ja).
 doi:10.1111/eva.12763
- Geromont, H. F., & Butterworth, D. S. (2015). Generic management procedures for data-poor
 fisheries: forecasting with few data. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, *72*, 251-261.
 doi:10.1093/icesjms/fst232
- 611 Gilljam, D., Thierry, A., Edwards, F. K., Figueroa, D., Ibbotson, A. T., Jones, J. I., . . . Ebenman,
 B. (2011). Seeing double: size-based and taxonomic views of food web structure.
- 845 *Advances in Ecological Research*, 45, 67-133. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-386475-8.00003-4
- Gislason, H., Daan, N., Rice, J. C., & Pope, J. G. (2010). Size, growth, temperature and the
 natural mortality of marine fish. *Fish and Fisheries*, *11*(2), 149-158.
- 848 Greenstreet, S. P. R., Rogers, S. I., Rice, J. C., Piet, G. J., Guirey, E. J., Fraser, H. M., & Fryer,
- R. J. (2011). Development of the EcoQO for the North Sea fish community. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 68, 1-11. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsq156
- Gross, M. R. (1991). Salmon Breeding Behavior and Life History Evolution in Changing
 Environments. *72*(4), 1180-1186. doi:10.2307/1941091
- Gulland, J. (1983). *Fish Stock Assessment. A Manual of Basic Methods*. New York: FAO/Wiley
 Inter-Science.
- Gwinn, D. C., Allen, M. S., Johnston, F. D., Brown, P., Todd, C. R., & Arlinghaus, R. (2015).
 Rethinking length-based fisheries regulations: the value of protecting old and large fish
 with harvest slots. *Fish and Fisheries*, *16*(2), 259-281.
- Hare, J. A., Morrison, W. E., Nelson, M. W., Stachura, M. M., Teeters, E. J., Griffis, R. B., ...
 Bell, R. J. (2016). A vulnerability assessment of fish and invertebrates to climate change
 on the Northeast US Continental Shelf. *PLoS ONE*, *11*(2), e0146756.
- Hilborn, R., & Ovando, D. (2014). Reflections on the success of traditional fisheries
 management. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, *71*(5), 1040-1046.
- Hilborn, R., & Walters, C. J. (1992). *Quantitative fisheries stock assessment choice, dynamics and uncertainty*. Norwell, Massachusetts: Springer.
- Hjort, J., & Petersen, C. (1905). Short review of the results of the International Fisheries
 Investigations. *Rapp. Cons. Perm. Int. Explor. Mer, 3.*
- Holt, E. W. (1891). Survey of fishing grounds, west coast of Ireland, 1890-92. *Scientific Proceedings, Royal Dublin Society, 7*, 218-477.

- 869 Holt, E. W. (1895). An examination of the present state of the Grimsby trawl fishery, with
- 870 especial reference to the destruction of immature fish. *Journal of the Marine Biological*871 *Association of the United Kingdom, 3*(5), 339-446.
- Holt, R. D., & Gaines, M. S. (1992). Analysis of adaptation in heterogeneous landscapes:
 implications for the evolution of fundamental niches. *Evolutionary Ecology*, 6(5), 433447.
- Hordyk, A., Ono, K., Valencia, S., Loneragan, N., & Prince, J. (2015). A novel length-based
 empirical estimation method of spawning potential ratio (SPR), and tests of its
 performance, for small-scale, data-poor fisheries. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, *72*,
 217-231. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsu004
- Houle, J. E., Farnsworth, K. D., Rossberg, A. G., & Reid, D. G. (2012). Assessing the sensitivity
 and specificity of fish community indicators to management action. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 69(6), 1065-1079.
- Hutchings, J. A., Swain, D. P., Rowe, S., Eddington, J. D., Puvanendran, V., & Brown, J. A.
 (2007). Genetic variation in life-history reaction norms in a marine fish. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 274, 1693-1699. doi:10.1098/rspb.2007.0263
- Hutchinson, G. E. (1957). Concluding Remarks. *Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology*, 22, 415-427. doi:10.1101/sqb.1957.022.01.039
- Jacobsen, N. S., Burgess, M. G., & Andersen, K. H. (2017). Efficiency of fisheries is increasing
 at the ecosystem level. *Fish and Fisheries*, *18*(2), 199-211.
- Jacobsen, N. S., Essington, T. E., & Thorson, J. T. (2018). Detecting mortality variation to
 enhance forage fish population assessments. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, *76*(1), 124-

891 135. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsy160 %J ICES Journal of Marine Science

- Jacobsen, N. S., Gislason, H., & Andersen, K. H. (2014). The consequences of balanced
 harvesting of fish communities. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,
 281, 20132701. doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.2701
- Jardim, E., Azevedo, M., & Brites, N. M. (2015). Harvest control rules for data limited stocks
 using length-based reference points and survey biomass indices. *Fisheries Research*, 171,
 12-19. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2014.11.013
- Jennings, S. (2005). Indicators to support an ecosystem approach to fisheries. *Fish and Fisheries*,
 6(3), 212-232.

- 900 Jennings, S., Pinnegar, J. K., Polunin, N. V., & Boon, T. W. (2001). Weak cross-species
- 901 relationships between body size and trophic level belie powerful size-based trophic
 902 structuring in fish communities. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *70*(6), 934-944.
- 903 Karp, M. A., Yau, A. J., Kobayashi, D., Leising, A., Thompson, A., Manderson, J. P., ...
- 904 Karnauskas, M. (2019). Accounting for shifting distributions and changing productivity
 905 in the development of scientific advice for fishery management. *ICES Journal of Marine*906 *Science*, fsz048. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsz048
- 907 Kattge, J., Diaz, S., Lavorel, S., Prentice, C., Leadley, P., Bonisch, G., ... Wirth, C. (2011).
 908 TRY a global database of plant traits. *Global Change Biology*, *17*(9), 2905-2935.
 909 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02451.x
- 910 Kerr, S. R., & Dickie, L. M. (2001). *The biomass spectrum: a predator-prey theory of aquatic*911 *production*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- 912 King, J. R., & McFarlane, G. A. (2003). Marine fish life history strategies: applications to fishery
 913 management. *Fisheries Management and Ecology*, 10, 249–264.
- 914 Kingsland, S. (1995). *Modeling nature: episodes in the history of population ecology* (2 ed.):
 915 University of Chicago Press.
- 916 Kiørboe, T., Visser, A., & Andersen, K. H. (2018). A trait-based approach to ocean ecology.
 917 *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 75(6), 1849-1863. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsy090
- 918 Kitano, J., Bolnick, D. I., Beauchamp, D. A., Mazur, M. M., Mori, S., Nakano, T., & Peichel, C.
- 919 L. (2008). Reverse evolution of armor plates in the threespine stickleback. *Current*920 *Biology*, 18(10), 769-774.
- Krause, S., Le Roux, X., Niklaus, P. A., Van Bodegom, P. M., Lennon, J. T., Bertilsson, S., ...
 Bodelier, P. L. (2014). Trait-based approaches for understanding microbial biodiversity
 and ecosystem functioning. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 5.
- 924 Krkošek, M., Connors, B. M., Morton, A., Lewis, M. A., Dill, L. M., & Hilborn, R. (2011).
- 925 Effects of parasites from salmon farms on productivity of wild salmon. *Proceedings of*926 *the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(35), 14700-14704. doi:10.1073/pnas.1101845108
- 927 Lafferty, K. D., & Morris, A. K. (1996). Altered behavior of parasitized killifish increases
- susceptibility to predation by bird final hosts. *Ecology*, 77(5), 1390-1397.
- Laslett, G. M., Eveson, J. P., & Polacheck, T. (2004). Fitting growth models to length frequency
 data. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, *61*, 218-230. doi:10.1016/j.icesjms.2003.12.006

- Baughlin, D. C. (2014). Applying trait-based models to achieve functional targets for
 theory-driven ecological restoration. *Ecology Letters*, *17*(7), 771-784.
- Laughlin, D. C., & Messier, J. (2015). Fitness of multidimensional phenotypes in dynamic
 adaptive landscapes. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 30(8), 487-496.
- Lavorel, S., & Garnier, É. (2002). Predicting changes in community composition and ecosystem
 functioning from plant traits: revisiting the Holy Grail. *Functional Ecology*, *16*(5), 545556.
- Le Cren, E. (1951). The length-weight relationship and seasonal cycle in gonad weight and
 condition in the perch (*Perca fluviatilis*). *The Journal of Animal Ecology*, 201-219.
- 940 Levin, P. S., Fogarty, M. J., Murawski, S. A., & Fluharty, D. (2009). Integrated ecosystem
- 941 assessments: developing the scientific basis for ecosystem-based management of the
 942 ocean. *PLoS Biology*, 7(1), e1000014. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000014
- Litchman, E., & Klausmeier, C. A. (2008). Trait-based community ecology of phytoplankton. *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics*, *39*, 615-639.
 doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173549
- Litchman, E., Klausmeier, C. A., Schofield, O. M., & Falkowski, P. G. (2007). The role of
 functional traits and trade-offs in structuring phytoplankton communities: scaling from
 cellular to ecosystem level. *Ecology Letters*, 10(12), 1170-1181. doi:10.1111/j.14610248.2007.01117.x
- Litchman, E., Pinto, P. D., Klausmeier, C. A., Thomas, M. K., & Yoshiyama, K. (2010). Linking
 traits to species diversity and community structure in phytoplankton. *Hydrobiologia*, *653*(1), 15-28. doi:10.1007/s10750-010-0341-5
- Lowerre-Barbieri, S. K., Ganias, K., Saborido-Rey, F., Murua, H., & Hunter, J. R. (2011).
 Reproductive timing in marine fishes: variability, temporal scales, and methods. *Marine and Coastal Fisheries*, *3*, 71-91. doi:10.1080/19425120.2011.556932
- 956 MacArthur, R. H., & Wilson, E. O. (1967). *The theory of island biogeography*. Princeton:
 957 Princeton University Press.
- 958 MacKenzie, K., & Abaunza, P. (2014). Chapter Ten Parasites as Biological Tags. In S. X.
- 959 Cadrin, L. A. Kerr, & S. Mariani (Eds.), *Stock Identification Methods (Second Edition)*960 (pp. 185-203). San Diego: Academic Press.
 - This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

- 961 Maunder, M. N., & Punt, A. E. (2013). A review of integrated analysis in fisheries stock 962 assessment. Fisheries Research, 142, 61-74. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2012.07.025
- 963 Maury, O., & Poggiale, J.-C. (2013). From individuals to populations to communities: A 964 dynamic energy budget model of marine ecosystem size-spectrum including life history 965 diversity. Journal of Theoretical Biology.
- McGill, B. J., Enquist, B. J., Weiher, E., & Westoby, M. (2006). Rebuilding community ecology 966 967 from functional traits. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 21(4), 178-185.
- Messier, J., McGill, B. J., & Lechowicz, M. J. (2010). How do traits vary across ecological 968 scales? A case for trait-based ecology. Ecology Letters, 13(7), 838-848. 969
- 970 Methot, R. D., & Wetzel, C. R. (2013). Stock synthesis: A biological and statistical framework 971 for fish stock assessment and fishery management. Fisheries Research, 142, 86-99. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2012.10.012 972
- 973 Mimura, M., Yahara, T., Faith, D. P., Vázquez-Domínguez, E., Colautti, R. I., Araki, H., ...
- 974 Zhou, S. (2017). Understanding and monitoring the consequences of human impacts on 975 intraspecific variation. Evolutionary Applications, 10(2), 121-139.
- 976 Moor, H., Rydin, H., Hylander, K., Nilsson, M. B., Lindborg, R., & Norberg, J. (2017). Towards 977 a trait-based ecology of wetland vegetation. Journal of Ecology.
- Moreno, G., Dagorn, L., Capello, M., Lopez, J., Filmalter, J., Forget, F., . . . Holland, K. (2016). 978 979 Fish aggregating devices (FADs) as scientific platforms. Fisheries Research, 178, 122-129. 980
- 981 Morgan, M. J., Perez-Rodriguez, A., & Saborido-Rey, F. (2011). Does increased information 982 about reproductive potential result in better prediction of recruitment? Canadian Journal 983 of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 68(8), 1361-1368. doi:10.1139/f2011-049
- 984 Morrongiello, J. R., & Thresher, R. E. (2015). A statistical framework to explore ontogenetic 985 growth variation among individuals and populations: a marine fish example. *Ecological* 986 Monographs, 85, 93-115. doi:10.1890/13-2355.1
- Mouillot, D., Graham, N. A., Villéger, S., Mason, N. W., & Bellwood, D. R. (2013). A 987 988 functional approach reveals community responses to disturbances. Trends in Ecology & 989
- Evolution, 28(3), 167-177.
- 990 Moustahfid, H., Link, J. S., Overholtz, W. J., & Tyrrell, M. C. (2009). The advantage of
- 991 explicitly incorporating predation mortality into age-structured stock assessment models:

- an application for Atlantic mackerel. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, *66*, 445-454.
 doi:10.1093/icesims/fsn217
- Pecuchet, L., Lindegren, M., Hidalgo, M., Delgado, M., Esteban, A., Fock, H. O., . . . Payne, M.
 R. (2017). From traits to life-history strategies: Deconstructing fish community
- 996 composition across European seas. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *26*, 812-822.
- 997 doi:10.1111/geb.12587
- 998 Peters, R. H. (1986). *The ecological implications of body size* (Vol. 2): Cambridge University
 999 Press.
- PFMC. (2013, May 6-9). *Managing our Nation's Fisheries 3: Advancing Sustainability*. Paper
 presented at the a Conference on Fisheries Management in the United States,
 Washington, D.C.
- Pikitch, E., Boersma, P. D., Boyd, I., Conover, D., Cury, P., Essington, T., . . . Pauly, D. (2012).
 Little fish, big impact: managing a crucial link in ocean food webs. *Lenfest Ocean Program, Washington, DC, 108.*
- Pikitch, E. K., Rountos, K. J., Essington, T. E., Santora, C., Pauly, D., Watson, R., . . . Munch, S.
 B. (2014). The global contribution of forage fish to marine fisheries and ecosystems. *Fish and Fisheries*, 15(1), 43-64. doi:10.1111/faf.12004
- Pikitch, E. K., Santora, C., Babcock, E. A., Bakun, A., Bonfil, R., Conover, D. O., . . . others.
 (2004). Ecosystem-based fishery management. *Science*, *305*, 346-347.
- Pilling, G. M., Kirkwood, G. P., & Walker, S. G. (2002). An improved method for estimating
 individual growth variability in fish, and the correlation between von Bertalanffy growth
 parameters. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, *59*, 424-432.
 doi:10.1139/f02-022
- 1015 Quinn, T. J. (2003). Ruminations on the development and future of population dynamics models
 1016 in fisheries. *Natural Resource Modeling*, *16*, 341–392.
- 1017 Quinn, T. J., & Deriso, R. B. (1999). *Quantitative Fish Dynamics*: Oxford University Press.
- 1018 Rindorf, A., Dichmont, C. M., Thorson, J., Charles, A., Clausen, L. W., Degnbol, P., ... Levin,
- 1019 P. (2017). Inclusion of ecological, economic, social, and institutional considerations
- 1020 when setting targets and limits for multispecies fisheries. *ICES Journal of Marine*
- 1021 *Science*, *74*, 453-463.

- 1022 Rochet, M.-J., & Benoît, E. (2011). Fishing destabilizes the biomass flow in the marine size
 1023 spectrum. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*,
 1024 rspb20110893.
- 1025 Roff, D. A. (2002). *Life history evolution*. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
- 1026 Rountos, K. J. (2016). Defining Forage Species to Prevent a Management Dilemma. *Fisheries*,
 1027 41(1), 16-17.
- Rowe, S., Hutchings, J., Skjæraasen, J., & Bezanson, L. (2008). Morphological and behavioural
 correlates of reproductive success in Atlantic cod Gadus morhua. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 354, 257-265. doi:10.3354/meps07175
- Rudd, M. B., & Thorson, J. T. (2018). Accounting for variable recruitment and fishing mortality
 in length-based stock assessments for data-limited fisheries. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*.
- Sampson, D. B., & Scott, R. D. (2012). An exploration of the shapes and stability of population–
 selection curves. *Fish and Fisheries*, *13*(1), 89-104.
- Sanchirico, J. N., Smith, M. D., & Lipton, D. W. (2008). An empirical approach to ecosystembased fishery management. *Ecological Economics*, *64*(3), 586-596.

1038 doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2007.04.006</u>

- Schindler, D. E., & Eby, L. A. (1997). Stoichiometry of fishes and their prey: implications for
 nutrient recycling. *Ecology*, 78(6), 1816-1831.
- 1041 Schindler, D. E., Hilborn, R., Chasco, B., Boatright, C. P., Quinn, T. P., Rogers, L. A., &
- Webster, M. S. (2010). Population diversity and the portfolio effect in an exploited
 species. *Nature*, 465(7298), 609-612.

1044 doi:http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v465/n7298/suppinfo/nature09060 S1.html

- Scott, R. D., & Heikkonen, J. (2012). Estimating age at first maturity in fish from change-points
 in growth rate. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 450, 147-157.
- 1047 Sethi, S. A. (2010). Risk management for fisheries. *Fish and Fisheries*, *11*(4), 341-365.
 1048 doi:10.1111/j.1467-2979.2010.00363.x
- Sheldon, R., & Parsons, T. (1967). A continuous size spectrum for particulate matter in the sea. *Journal of the Fisheries Board of Canada, 24*(5), 909-915.
- Sheldon, R. W., Prakash, A., & Sutcliffe Jr, W. H. (1972). The size distribution of particles in the
 ocean. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 17(3), 327-340. doi:10.4319/lo.1972.17.3.0327

- Shephard, S., Reid, D. G., & Greenstreet, S. P. R. (2011). Interpreting the large fish indicator for
 the Celtic Sea. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 68(9), 1963-1972.
 doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsr114 %J ICES Journal of Marine Science
- Sinclair, A. F., Swain, D. P., & Hanson, J. M. (2002). Disentangling the effects of size-selective
 mortality, density, and temperature on length-at-age. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, *59*, 372–382.
- 1059 Skern-Mauritzen, M., Ottersen, G., Handegard, N. O., Huse, G., Dingsør, G. E., Stenseth, N. C.,
 1060 & Kjesbu, O. S. (2016). Ecosystem processes are rarely included in tactical fisheries
 1061 management. *Fish and Fisheries*, *17*, 165-175. doi:10.1111/faf.12111
- Smith, T. D. (1994, 1994/07). Scaling Fisheries: The Science of Measuring the Effects of
 Fishing, 1855–1955. *Cambridge Core*.
- 1064 Stearns, S. C. (1992). *The evolution of life histories*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Stuart-Smith, R. D., Bates, A. E., Lefcheck, J. S., Duffy, J. E., Baker, S. C., Thomson, R. J., ...
 Airoldi, L. (2013). Integrating abundance and functional traits reveals new global
 hotspots of fish diversity. *Nature*, *501*(7468), 539.
- Svedäng, H., & Hornborg, S. (2014). Selective fishing induces density-dependent growth. *Nature Communications*, *5*, 4152.
- Taylor, I. G., & Methot, R. D. (2013). Hiding or dead? A computationally efficient model of
 selective fisheries mortality. *Fisheries Research*, *142*, 75-85.

1072 doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2012.08.021

- 1073 Then, A. Y., Hoenig, J. M., Hall, N. G., & Hewitt, D. A. (2015). Evaluating the predictive
 1074 performance of empirical estimators of natural mortality rate using information on over
- 1075 200 fish species. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 72, 82-92. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsu136
- 1076 Thorson, J. T., & Cope, J. M. (2015). Catch curve stock-reduction analysis: An alternative
 1077 solution to the catch equations. *Fisheries Research*, *171*, 33-41.
- 1078 doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2014.03.024
- Thorson, J. T., Monnahan, C. C., & Cope, J. M. (2015). The potential impact of time-variation in
 vital rates on fisheries management targets for marine fishes. *Fisheries Research*, 169, 817.
- Thorson, J. T., Munch, S. B., Cope, J. M., & Gao, J. (2017). Predicting life history parameters
 for all fishes worldwide. *Ecological Applications*, 27, 2262–2276.

- Trites, A., & Donnelly, C. (2003). The decline of Steller sea lions *Eumetopias jubatus* in Alaska:
 a review of the nutritional stress hypothesis. *Mammal Review*, 33(1), 3-28.
- 1086 Violle, C., Enquist, B. J., McGill, B. J., Jiang, L., Albert, C. H., Hulshof, C., . . . Messier, J.
- 1087 (2012). The return of the variance: intraspecific variability in community ecology. *Trends*1088 *in Ecology & Evolution*, 27(4), 244-252. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2011.11.014
- 1089 Violle, C., Navas, M. L., Vile, D., Kazakou, E., Fortunel, C., Hummel, I., & Garnier, E. (2007).
 1090 Let the concept of trait be functional! *Oikos, 116*(5), 882-892. doi:10.1111/j.2007.00301091 1299.15559.x
- Wang, H. Y., Chen, Y. S., Hsu, C. C., & Shen, S. F. (2017). Fishing-induced changes in adult
 length are mediated by skipped-spawning. *Ecological Applications*, 27(1), 274-284.
- Wang, Y.-G., & Ellis, N. (2005). Maximum likelihood estimation of mortality and growth with
 individual variability from multiple length-frequency data. *Fishery Bulletin, 103*, 380–
 391.
- Ware, D. (1978). Bioenergetics of pelagic fish: theoretical change in swimming speed and ration
 with body size. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada, 35*, 220-228.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1139/f78-036
- West, G. B., Brown, J. H., & Enquist, B. J. (1997). A general model for the origin of allometric
 scaling laws in biology. *Science*, *276*(5309), 122-126.
- Westoby, M., & Wright, I. J. (2006). Land-plant ecology on the basis of functional traits. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 21(5), 261-268.
- Wetzel, C. R., & Punt, A. E. (2015). Evaluating the performance of data-moderate and catchonly assessment methods for U.S. west coast groundfish. *Fisheries Research*, *171*, 170187. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2015.06.005
- Wetzel, C. R., & Punt, A. E. (2016). The impact of alternative rebuilding strategies to rebuild
 overfished stocks. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, *73*(9), 2190-2207.
- Williams, B. K. (2011). Adaptive management of natural resources—framework and issues. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *92*(5), 1346-1353.
- 1111 Wilson, K., Bjørnstad, O., Dobson, A., Merler, S., Poglayen, G., Randolph, S., . . . Skorping, A.
- 1112 (2001). Heterogeneities in macroparasite infections: patterns and processes. In P. Hudson,
- 1113 A. Rizzoli, B. Grenfell, H. Heesterbeek, & A. Dobson (Eds.), *The ecology of wildlife*
- 1114 *diseases* (pp. 6-44). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Winemiller, K. O., & Rose, K. A. (1992). Patterns of life-history diversification in North
 American fishes: implications for population regulation. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 49, 2196-2218. doi:10.1139/f92-242
- Worm, B., Hilborn, R., Baum, J. K., Branch, T. A., Collie, J. S., Costello, C., . . . Jennings, S.
 (2009). Rebuilding global fisheries. *Science*, *325*(5940), 578-585.
- Thygesen, U. H., Knudsen, K., & Andersen, K. H. (2013). Trait diversity promotes
 stability of community dynamics. *Theoretical Ecology*, *6*, 57-69.
- 1122
- **Table 1**. List of biological processes commonly used to predict fish population dynamics, with
- examples of either (1) how that process could be measured as a trait at an individual level, or (2)
- 1125 how that process can be estimated using a model that approximates a biological process when
- 1126 averaging across multiple individuals with available data.

| Biological process | Direct measurement (or a related proxy) of biological process for each individual | Model for process when averaging across individuals (with interpretable parameters for that model in parentheses) |
|--|--|--|
| Allocating energy towards reproductive output | Does an ovary contain mature oocytes? | Maturation ogive (represented by age/size at 50% maturity) |
| Accumulation of energetic reserves | Le Cren's (1951) condition factor | Ratio of asymptotic weight and asymptotic length |
| Individual somatic growth rates | Expression of growth hormones | von Bertalanffy growth curve (represented by the Brody growth coefficient) |
| Exposure to sources of non- anthropogenic mortality | Armor, body shape, change in age/size distribution | Survival function (represented by the natural mortality rate) |
| Opportunity to feed | Stomach fullness and | Predator-prey preference function |

| upon available prey | content, gape size, teeth or | (represented by relative attack |
|----------------------|------------------------------|--|
| resources | gill raker number or shape | rates); diet matrix for a population (represented by prey selection |
| | | ratios) |
| Individual adaptions | Coloration, body shape, eye | Habitat suitability model |
| and behaviors to | size | (representing relative preference |
| attain suitable | | for one or more environmental |
| habitat | | variable) |

- 1127
- 1128
- 1129 Figure Legends

1130 Figure 1. Visualization of trait-based patterns across taxonomic scales and environmental 1131 gradients, for three hypothetical species. The population approach often provides only a point 1132 estimate for each species or population (A), which can obscure more nuanced patterns apparent 1133 in intraspecific variation and scale of variation from among-individual to within-community (B). 1134 Traits may vary with the environment (C), which can cause erroneous characterization of 1135 differences in traits among groups, depending on what environment they are sampled in and how 1136 many traits are being compared. For example, in panel B, it appears that the species represented 1137 by black symbols (open and closed circles) are completely distinguishable based on two traits, 1138 while the species represented by open circles and blue circles are moderately distinct, with some 1139 overlap. This perspective obscures some information explained by the environmental gradient 1140 (C), showing that both sets of species are have similar traits in some environments and differ in 1141 others. As a result, if a study samples individuals only from a narrow subset of environmental 1142 conditions (shaded region), then misleading generalities can arise (e.g., species represented by 1143 black circles are completely similar and both are entirely distinct from the blue species). Fish silhouettes are from http://phylopic.org. (Figure appears in colour in the online version only.) 1144 1145 Figure 2. Number of publications over time (A) with a topic (title, abstract or key words) 1146

including the phrase "functional trait*" and a specific taxonomic group indicated in the legend,and the general phrase "aquatic ecology" alone as a reference for the baseline growth rate of the

- literature. The number of citations of these papers over time (B). Results are from a search of the
 ISI Web of Science database for the years 2000-2016, conducted on 11/30/2017.
- 1151

Figure 3. Proposed framework for incorporating trait-based analyses in fish conservation and 1152 1153 management. (A) Examples of how population parameters (blue text) are related to candidate traits (black text), which might be identified as part of the research component of a decision 1154 1155 process for trait-based management (B). Once appropriate traits are identified, sampled, and 1156 screened, the management component of the framework will define the target and present status of the resource using a trait-based status designation. For example, (C) the size spectrum slope, 1157 1158 serving as a trait-based ecosystem status indicator (solid line and points, with estimated trend and 1159 uncertainty) and a target reference point for a lightly exploited ecosystem (dashed horizontal 1160 line) – see the supplementary information for descriptions of the data and calculations. (Figure 1161 appears in colour in the online version only.)

1162

Figure 4. Changes over time in a predator defense trait demonstrate the utility of incorporating
measures of a wide range of traits into monitoring programs. Threespine stickleback
(*Gasterosteus aculeatus, Gasterosteidae*) in Lake Washington, Seattle, Washington dramatically
increased their number of armor plates over several decades, possibly as a result of selection due
to increased predation risk triggered by increases in water clarity. The figure is modified from
Kitano et al. (2008) and reprinted here with permission. (Figure appears in colour in the online
version only.)

Autho









