Evaluating ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) predictions in the NOAA National Air Quality Forecast Capability 1

(NAQFC) using in situ aircraft, ground-level, and satellite measurements from the 2

3 DISCOVER-AQ Colorado campaign

- 4 5

7

6

William H. Battye,<sup>a,\*</sup> Casey D. Bray,<sup>a</sup> Viney P. Aneja,<sup>a</sup> Daniel Tong,<sup>b,c,d</sup> Pius Lee<sup>b</sup> Authors: and Youhua Tang<sup>b,c</sup>

8 Abstract

9 The U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is responsible for 10 forecasting elevated levels of air pollution within the National Air Quality Forecast Capability 11 (NAOFC). The current research uses measurements gathered in the DISCOVER-AO Colorado 12 13 field campaign and the concurrent Front Range Air Pollution and Photochemistry Experiment (FRAPPE) to test performance of the NAQFC CMAQ modeling framework for predicting NH<sub>3</sub>. 14 The DISCOVER-AQ and FRAPPE field campaigns were carried out in July and August 2014 in 15 Northeast Colorado. Model predictions are compared with measurements of NH<sub>3</sub> gas 16 concentrations and the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> component of fine particulate matter concentrations measured 17 directly by the aircraft in flight. We also compare CMAQ predictions with NH<sub>3</sub> measurements 18 19 from ground-based monitors within the DISCOVER-AQ Colorado geographic domain, and from 20 the Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer (TES) on the Aura satellite. In situ aircraft measurements carried out in July and August of 2014 suggest that the 21 NAQFC CMAQ model underestimated the NH3 concentration in Northeastern Colorado by a 22 23 factor of  $\sim 2.7$  (NMB = -63%). Ground-level monitors also produced a similar result. Average satellite-retrieved NH<sub>3</sub> levels also exceeded model predictions by a factor of 1.5 to 4.2 24 (NMB = -33 to -76%). The underestimation of NH<sub>3</sub> was not accompanied by an underestimation 25

- 26 of particulate NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, which is further controlled by factors including acid availability, removal rate, and gas-particle partition. The average measured concentration of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> was close to the 27
- average predication (NMB = +18%). 28
- Seasonal patterns measured at an AMoN site in the region suggest that the 29
- underestimation of NH<sub>3</sub> is not due to the seasonal allocation of emissions, but to the overall 30
- annual emissions estimate. The underestimation of NH<sub>3</sub> varied across the study domain, with the 31
- largest differences occurring in a region of intensive agriculture near Greeley, Colorado, and in 32
- 33 the vicinity of Denver. The NAOFC modeling framework did not include a recently developed bidirectional flux algorithm for NH<sub>3</sub>, which has shown to considerably improve NH<sub>3</sub> modeling in 34
- agricultural regions. The bidirectional flux algorithm, however, is not expected to obtain the 35

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> North Carolina State University, 2800 Faucette Drive, Raleigh, NC 27695-8208 <sup>b</sup> NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, 5830 University Research Court, College Park, Maryland, MD 20740

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Cooperative Institute for Climate and Satellites, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, MD 20740

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Center for Spatial Information Science and Systems, George Mason University, Fairfax, Virginia, VA 22030

<sup>\*</sup>Please address correspondence to: William Battye; Department of Marine, Earth and Atmospheric Sciences; North Carolina State University, Campus Box 8208, Raleigh, NC 27695-8208; tel. (919) 357-0425, fax (919) 484-0122, email whbattye@ncsu.edu.

36 magnitude of this increase sufficient to overcome the underestimation of NH<sub>3</sub> found in this

study. Our results suggest that further improvement of the emission inventories and modeling
approaches are required to reduce the bias in NAQFC NH<sub>3</sub> modeling predictions.

38 39

40 **Keywords:** NH3, ammonia, model evaluation, CMAQ, aircraft measurement, remote sensing

41

43

## 42 1. Introduction and background

44 Gaseous ammonia  $(NH_3)$  in the atmosphere contributes to the formation of ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) compounds – including ammonium bisulfate (NH<sub>4</sub>HSO<sub>4</sub>), ammonium sulfate 45  $[(NH_4)_2SO_4]$ , and ammonium nitrate  $(NH_4NO_3)$  – which comprise a large fraction of airborne 46 fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) (Kwok *et al.*, 2013). Elevated levels of PM<sub>2.5</sub> are associated with 47 48 various adverse human health impacts, including irregular heartbeat, aggravated asthma, and premature death (Pope et al., 2009), and can contribute to visibility impairment and regional 49 haze (Wang et al., 2012). NH<sub>3</sub> gas can play a role in the nucleation of new particles (Holmes, 50 2007), and can sometimes control nucleation events (Herb et al., 2011). 51

Atmospheric NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> deposit to terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems though wet and 52 dry deposition processes. This leads to an increase in the level of biologically available nitrogen, 53 54 which can affect species diversity and can lead to eutrophication of aquatic ecosystems (Jones, 2013; Paerl, 1988; and U.S. EPA SAB, 2007). In terrestrial ecosystems, NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> are 55 oxidized by soil microbes to nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) and other oxidized nitrogen species, resulting in 56 acidification of the soil. A portion of the NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> processed by soil microbes is also 57 converted to gaseous nitrous oxide  $(N_2O)$ , which reenters the atmosphere.  $N_2O$  is a long-lived 58 absorber of infrared radiation, with a climate change potential approximately 250 times that of 59 CO<sub>2</sub> (IPCC, 2013). 60

The U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is responsible for forecasting elevated levels of air pollution within the National Air Quality Forecast Capability (NAQFC) (Tang *et al.*, 2015). NOAA uses the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model (Byun and Schere, 2006) to simulate atmospheric emissions and transport of NH<sub>3</sub>, and conversion of NH<sub>3</sub> to PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and deposition of NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> to terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. The capability of NAQFC to predict NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> in PM<sub>2.5</sub> has not been thoroughly evaluated.

An important source of uncertainty for NH<sub>3</sub> modeling is the inventory of emissions used 68 in CMAQ (Battye et al, 2003). Agricultural sources account for approximately 90% of 69 atmospheric NH<sub>3</sub> emissions in the U.S. (Aneja et al., 2009). These emissions emanate primarily 70 71 from animal waste management and synthetic nitrogen fertilizer application (Battye et al., 2002). NH<sub>3</sub> emissions estimates are calculated by applying emission factors and emission models to the 72 73 agricultural census (USEPA, 2009). These emissions are allocated to different times of the year and to geographic modeling grids using temporal and spatial allocation factors, which add to the 74 75 uncertainty of model emissions estimates. Validation studies of NH<sub>3</sub> emissions estimates in 76 CMAQ have focused on secondary indicators such as wet deposition of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> ions, and the concentration of NH4<sup>+</sup> in PM<sub>2.5</sub> (Gilliland et al., 2006, Kelly et al., 2014). 77 78 This current study evaluates NAQFC CMAQ predictions for NH<sub>3</sub> in Northeastern

Colorado against direct measurements of NH<sub>3</sub> in the atmosphere. Comparisons are made using
three different measurement platforms for NH<sub>3</sub>: *in situ* sampling by aircraft, ground-level passive

samplers, and satellite data retrievals. In addition, model predictions of  $NH_4^+$  (fine-mode)

particulate matter are evaluated against *in situ* aircraft measurements. We also use long-term
 measurements from ground level monitors, and from the Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer

- (TES) on the Aura satellite to evaluate temporal patterns of atmospheric  $NH_3$ .
- 86 **2.** Methodology
- 87

85

The current research uses measurements of NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> collected during the 88 89 DISCOVER-AQ Colorado field campaign to assess the performance of the NAQFC CMAQ modeling framework for predicting NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations. (DISCOVER-AQ was a program for 90 Deriving Information on Surface Conditions from COlumn and VERtically resolved observations 91 relevant to Air Quality.) The DISCOVER-AO Colorado field campaign, which was carried out 92 from July 17 through August 10, 2014 in the Front Range of the Rocky Mountains in Northeast 93 94 Colorado, included in-situ aircraft measurements, ground-based measurements, and satellite measurements. Figure 1 shows the locations of the aircraft flights, ground level monitors, and the 95 96 swath of satellite measurements.

Model predictions are compared with measurements of NH<sub>3</sub> gas concentrations and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>
 fine particulate matter concentrations measured directly by the aircraft during flight. We also
 compare CMAQ predictions with NH<sub>3</sub> measurements from ground-based monitors within the
 DISCOVER-AQ Colorado geographic domain, and from TES.

102

97

103 2.1. Air Quality Model

104 Within the NAQFC framework, CMAQ model version 5.0.2 was used to predict air 105 106 pollutant concentrations for the continental U.S. during the summer of 2014 (CMAS 2016). Meteorological predictions to drive the CMAQ model were generated using the Weather 107 Research and Forecasting Advance Research WRF (WRF-ARW) regional meteorological model. 108 109 The horizontal resolution of both models is 12 km, with 42 vertical layers with a domain top at 50 hPa. More vertical layers are used below 1 km. The height of the lowest vertical layer was 110 111 8 meters above the ground within the DISCOVER-AQ domain. The configuration of the CMAQ and WRF-ARW models within the NAQFC is described in more detail in Tang et al. (2015). 112 Air pollutant emissions for the NAQFC are derived from the U.S. National Emissions 113

114 Inventory (NEI). At the time of the Colorado field study, the 2005 NEI was being used, with 115 several major updates as described in Tong *et al* (2015). For NH<sub>3</sub>, the NEI provides county-level 116 estimates of annual emissions. These annual emissions estimates are allocated the 12-km model 117 grid and to hourly values using the Sparse Matrix Operator Kernel Emissions (SMOKE) system 118 (Vukovich and Pierce, 2002). Aerosol chemistry is based on the AERO5 module of CMAQ 119 version 4.7.1 (Binkowski and Shankar, 1995), and dry deposition computed for NH<sub>3</sub> is based on 120 the M3Dry module (Mathur *et al.*, 2005).

It must be noted that the NAQFC modeling framework at the time of the 2014 field study did not account for the potential bidirectional flux of NH<sub>3</sub> between the bottom layer of the model and the surface. A bidirectional surface exchange model for NH<sub>3</sub> has recently been developed and implemented in CMAQ (Cooter *et al.* 2012; Bash *et al.* 2013; Pleim *et al.* 2013). This model replaces the unidirectional deposition flux algorithm for NH<sub>3</sub> and adds a term for the potential evaporation of NH<sub>3</sub> to the air from vegetated landscapes. This upward flux of NH<sub>3</sub> offsets the bidirectional flux model has predicted  $NH_3$  concentrations 10% higher, on average, than previous predictions with the unidirectional deposition flux approach (Cooter *et al.* 2012; Bash *et al.* 

130

2013).

- 131
- 132 2.2. Aircraft measurements
- 133

We compared CMAO model predictions of gaseous NH<sub>3</sub> with measurements made in 134 flight by a Lockheed P3B Orion aircraft operated by the National Aeronautics and Space 135 Administration (NASA). The rate of conversion of gaseous NH<sub>3</sub> particulate NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> is a potential 136 source of discrepancy between the modeled and measured NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations. Therefore, we 137 also compared modeled and measured values for the sum of gaseous NH<sub>3</sub> and particulate NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, 138 NH<sub>x</sub>. The aircraft measurements were made at elevations ranging from ground level to 5 km 139 140 above ground level, and included upward spirals, downward spirals, and transect flights in the Front Range of the Rocky Mountains, around Denver, Boulder, Fort Collins, and Greeley, 141 142 Colorado.

The measured values of NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> were obtained from the DISCOVER-AQ Colorado field campaign archive. P3B aircraft measurements of NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> are described in detail in Müller *et al.* (2014) and Sun *et al.* (2015). Ambient air was directed to an array of instruments located on-board the aircraft. NH<sub>3</sub> was measured using a proton transfer reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer (PTR-MS). NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations were measured every 10 s; and 1-minute averages were also computed. The 1-minute averages were used for model-tomeasurement comparisons.

150 The PTR-MS measurement system for NH<sub>3</sub> was evaluated in a previous DISCOVER-AQ campaign in the San Joaquin Valley of California (Sun et al., 2015). The PTR-MS system was 151 152 found to have a measurement accuracy of  $\pm 35\%$  and a 1 $\sigma$  measurement precision of 5.5– 6.5 ppbv at 1 s time resolution, or 0.75 ppbv for a 1-minute average. This variability results in a 153 low signal-to-noise ratio, especially for NH<sub>3</sub> in the free troposphere, where concentrations are 154 below 1 ppbv. In order to reduce the impact of this high value for measurement precision, our 155 comparisons of aircraft data with model predictions focus on measurements made at altitudes 156 157 below 1,000 m above ground level, as measured by radar.

158 Concentrations of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> aerosol, and other soluble aerosols were measured by a Particle-159 into-Liquid-Sampler followed by ion chromatography (PILS-IC). The NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentration was 160 recorded every minute. In side-by-side comparisons, the NASA PILS-IC system showed good 161 correlation with filter measurements, giving a slope of ~0.93, intercept of ~0.24  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>, and 162 r-value of 0.94. Precision for calculated at ~0.4  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>. (Orsini *et al*, 2003).

As air pollutant concentrations were recorded, the location, altitude, speed, bearing, and angle of ascent or descent were recorded using data from the aircraft navigation system and global positioning system (GPS). The height above ground level was also measured using radar. CMAQ model predictions of NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> were extracted for comparison with for each 1minute average aircraft measurement. The CMAQ prediction at a given measurement location and time is computed by 4-dimensional interpolation across space and time, using the model grid cells surrounding the measurement point at the appropriate model layer height.

170

171 2.3. Ground-level measurements

Ground level measurements of NH<sub>3</sub> were obtained from 3 monitoring sites of the 173 Ammonia Monitoring Network (AMoN) located within the DISCOVER-AQ Colorado domain, 174 for the period 2007 through 2014. The AMoN network is operated under the National 175 Atmospheric Deposition Program (NADP) to provide a consistent, long-term record of NH<sub>3</sub> gas 176 concentrations across the U.S. (NADP 2014). AMoN monitors use passive diffusion collectors 177 178 which are changed every two weeks. The detection limit of the AMoN passive sampler is approximately 1.5 ppby for samples collected over a 24 hour period, or 100 ppty for samples 179 collected over a two-week period (Sigma Aldrich). The accuracy is estimated at +6%. NH<sub>3</sub> 180 measurements were also obtained for 12 passive samplers in the study domain operated by 181 Colorado State University (CSU) during the DISCOVER-AQ campaign timeframe (Benedict, 182 2015). The CSU measurement network used Radiello passive samplers, changed every week. 183 Methods used by CSU are described in more detail in Day, et al (2012). 184

185 CMAQ NH<sub>3</sub> predictions were compared with these passive sampler measurements. NH<sub>3</sub> 186 concentration results were extracted for the grid cells surrounding each monitor location, in the 187 lowermost model layer. The model grid cell results were interpolated to the monitor location 188 sites and averaged for the passive sampler measurement periods.

189

191

190 2.4. Satellite measurements

192 CMAQ predictions were also compared with NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations retrieved from infrared
 193 spectra gathered by the TES instrument on the Aura satellite. TES performed 5 transect
 194 measurements over the DISCOVER-AQ study domain between July 29 and August 14, 2014.
 195 These were all daytime passes, between 1:00 and 1:30 PM local standard time.

The NH<sub>3</sub> retrievals rely on the change in intensity of infrared radiation across a number 196 197 of specific wavelength bands which are chosen to cover a sharp feature in the NH<sub>3</sub> infrared absorption spectrum (940–970 cm<sup>-1</sup>). A forward radiative transfer model (RTM) is used to 198 compute the expected intensity of radiation in the selected bands at the top of the atmosphere. 199 200 The RTM requires input information on the atmospheric density, relative humidity and concentrations of other trace gases, as well as an a priori assumption on the concentration of 201 202 NH<sub>3</sub>. The retrieval for NH<sub>3</sub> is carried out after retrievals for temperature and other trace gases. The assumed concentration profile of NH<sub>3</sub> is varied to minimize the error between the spectrum 203 predicted by the RTM and the spectrum actually measured by the satellite. This results in an 204 estimate of the concentration of NH<sub>3</sub> for the region sensed by the satellite. (Shephard *et al*, 205 2012). In the current study, only those measurements which passed TES quality assurance 206 checks were used (Species Retrieval Quality = 1). 207

The estimated concentration of NH<sub>3</sub> is affected by and may tend to be biased toward the a priori assumption made for NH<sub>3</sub>. In addition, the satellite is seeing an absorption by the entire atmospheric column. Although the retrieval algorithm is used to estimate the vertical distribution of NH<sub>3</sub>, this vertical distribution is also subject to uncertainties and is affected by the a priori assumption.

213

215

214 2.5. Model to measurement comparisons

Prediction accuracy for the NAQFC CMAQ model was quantified by computing the normalized mean bias (NMB), and the ratio of the average measured concentration to the average model prediction (R<sub>o/m</sub>):

220 
$$NMB = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} [C_{mod}(i) - C_{obs}(i)]}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{obs}(i)}$$

$$NMB = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{obs}(i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{obs}(i)}$$

- 221
- 222

and:

- 223
- 224
- 225

Where  $C_{mod}(i)$  and  $C_{obs}(i)$  are, respectively, the model prediction and the observed concentration 226 at a given location and time, and N is the number of observations. Ro/m and NMB are related to 227 228 one another as follows:

 $R_{o/m} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{obs}(i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{mod}(i)}$ 

$$NMB = \frac{1}{R_{o/m}} - 1$$

231

229

The Pearson correlation coefficient (r) and the concordance correlation coefficient ( $\rho_c$ ) 232 were used to evaluate correlation of the measured concentrations with predicted concentrations. 233 The concordance correlation coefficient is also known as the reproducibility index, and gives a 234 more rigorous test of whether modeled values predict observed values (Lin, 1989, 1992). 235

- 237 3. Results and discussion
- 238

240

236

#### 239 3.1. Comparison of model predictions with in situ aircraft measurements

Table 1 summarizes the results of the comparison of *in situ* aircraft measurements with 241 model predictions for of NH<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> in PM<sub>2.5</sub> and NH<sub>X</sub>. For each measurement location, the 242 243 corresponding CMAQ prediction was interpolated based on the surrounding grid cells at the 244 appropriate model layer heights. The concentration pairs were then compared directly, without any adjustment for altitude. The aircraft measurements were carried out during the day, and our 245 comparisons were restricted to measurements taken below 1000 m in altitude. Therefore, these 246 measurements are generally within the well-mixed planetary boundary layer (Arya, 1999). The 247 average measured NH<sub>3</sub> concentration was 6.1 ppbv ( $3.9 \,\mu g/m^3$ ), with a standard deviation of 6.9 248 ppbv (4.2  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) and a maximum measured value of 90.0 ppbv (53.1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). In comparison, the 249 average model prediction at the locations and times corresponding to these measurements was 250 2.2 ppbv (1.4  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). The standard deviation of the model prediction was 1.6 ppbv (1.4  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) 251 and the maximum model prediction was 15.3 ppbv NH<sub>3</sub> (9.1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). The average measured 252 concentration of NH<sub>3</sub> was a factor of 2.7 higher than the average of model predictions at the 253 sample locations. This corresponds to a normalized mean bias for  $NH_3$  of -63%. 254 255

The average measured concentration of particulate  $NH_4^+$  was 0.29  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, which reflects 256 an average conversion of 7% of NH<sub>3</sub> to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. The average model prediction was  $0.34 \,\mu g/m^3$ , 257 corresponding to an average conversion of 23%. The ratio of the average measured concentration 258 on particulate NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> to the average model prediction was 0.85, corresponding to a normalized 259

260 mean bias of +18%. Thus, the underestimation of gaseous NH<sub>3</sub> was not accompanied by an 261 underestimation of particulate NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. However, the relative magnitude of predicted NH<sub>3</sub> gas and 262 particulate NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> suggests that the formation of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> was not limited by availability of NH<sub>3</sub>.

The results of a comparison for  $NH_X$  (the combination of  $NH_3$  vapor and particulate NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) are similar to the results for gaseous  $NH_3$  alone. The average measured concentration of NH<sub>X</sub> is a factor of 2.5 higher than the average of corresponding model predictions, and the normalized mean bias is an under-prediction of 60%. These values are slightly lower than the values for  $NH_3$  vapor alone.

Figure 2a plots the measured concentrations of  $NH_3$ , on the y-axis, against model predictions on the x-axis. Figures 2b and 2c provide similar plots for  $NH_4^+$  and  $NH_X$ , respectively. Each measurement is plotted as a point. Two lines are also included in each plot. The dotted lines show a 1:1 slope, where points would have fallen if the measurements and model predictions were in complete agreement (measured = modeled). The dashed lines show the 1:1 slope displaced by the NMB.

The graphs in Figure 2 show substantial scatter for all three pollutants. In all three cases, high measured values can occur where model predictions are low, and vice versa. For both NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>x</sub>, the majority of measurements fall above the prediction line (measured = modeled). For NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, the measurements fall evenly on both sides of the line.

Figure 3 compares a histogram of the measured NH<sub>3</sub> concentration with a histogram of 278 the modeled NH<sub>3</sub> concentration. The figure illustrates that the distribution of model predictions 279 falls off much more swiftly than the distribution of measured concentrations. However, the 280 structure of the two profiles is similar. Figure 3 shows that the model does not produce the full 281 range of values found in the measured data set at the high end. The 98<sup>th</sup> percentile of measured 282 values was 23 ppbv while the 98<sup>th</sup> percentile level of corresponding modeled values was 6 ppbv. 283 However, Figure 2a shows that the underestimation in not restricted to the high end, but affects 284 285 the full range of NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations.

In order to identify spatial patterns in the model prediction error, NMB and  $R_{o/m}$  were computed using the *in situ* aircraft measurements within each 12 km modeling grid. Figure 4 presents the results of this analysis. In the figure, a background raster (in blue) shows the average CMAQ prediction during the DISCOVER-AQ campaign. Round icons indicate the ratio of the average measured concentration to the average model prediction ( $R_{o/m}$ ). The largest differences between modeled and measured NH<sub>3</sub> were around Greeley, in Weld County.

Over 1,300 cattle operations are located in Weld County (USDA, 2014), including two 292 feedlots which are among the largest in the U.S. (CSU, 2016). The inventory of cattle in Weld 293 County is over 500,000, the 3<sup>rd</sup> largest cattle population of any U.S. county (USDA, 2014). The 294 concentration of cattle operations in the Greeley area resulted in model predictions of NH<sub>3</sub> which 295 were higher than those in the rest of the modeling region. Measured NH<sub>3</sub> in the Greeley area 296 were a factor of 3 to 4.3 higher than the model predictions. Similar ratios of measured-to-297 modeled NH<sub>3</sub> were found near Denver; however the magnitude of both modeled and measured 298 NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations were lower than in the area around Greeley. 299

Each of the icons for  $R_{o/m}$  in Figure 4 represents multiple measurements (85 on average), with the icon at the Northeastern of the loop near Greeley representing 113 measurements. Nevertheless, these measurements are localized along the path of the aircraft. Thus, it is possible that the measurements are affected by local hotspots of NH<sub>3</sub>, so that the large values of  $R_{o/m}$  may apply to only a fraction of the modeling grid. In summary, the average concentration of  $NH_3$  measured by in situ aircraft sampling was a factor of 2.7 higher than the average of model predictions at the sample locations. However, the underestimation of gaseous  $NH_3$  was not accompanied by an underestimation of particulate  $NH_4^+$ . The under prediction of  $NH_3$  was more pronounced in an area around Greeley with high  $NH_3$  emissions. In addition, the highest concentrations of  $NH_3$  predicted by the model were considerably lower than the highest measurements.

- 311
- 312 313

#### 3.2. Model predictions compared with ground level passive measurements

Table 2 and Figure 5 summarize the results of the comparison of measured 314 concentrations with model predictions for 3 passive NH<sub>3</sub> samplers operated under the AMoN 315 network and 12 passive samplers operated by CSU. One of the AMoN sites is located in Fort 316 317 Collins, Colorado, with the Rocky Mountains to the west and an agricultural region to the east. 318 The remaining three AMoN sites are in remote areas, including two in the Rocky Mountain National Park. Most of the CSU sampling sites are in areas of intensive agriculture around the 319 city of Greeley. In each comparison between the model and a ground-level measurement, we 320 computed the average model prediction for the entire duration of the ground-level measurement 321 (14 days for AMoN and 7 days for CSU). Thus, the measurement and the model prediction were 322 compared on the same basis, from the standpoint of averaging time. 323

The CSU monitoring results are high in comparison with the AMoN results. However, as noted above, these monitors are located in an area of intensive agriculture. The results for the CSU monitors are comparable to the results of in situ aircraft measurements made near Greeley. In addition, the NMB for the CSU monitors is comparable to the NMB for the AMoN monitors.

The average measured NH<sub>3</sub> concentration for all ground-level passive monitors was 328 16.0 ppbv (9.5  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>), with a standard deviation of 19.8 ppbv (11.7  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) and a maximum 329 measured value of 116.3 ppbv (68.7  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). In comparison, the average model prediction at the 330 locations and times corresponding to these measurements was 6.0 ppbv ( $3.5 \mu g/m^3$ ). The 331 standard deviation of the model prediction was 3.7 ppbv  $(2.2 \,\mu g/m^3)$  and the maximum model 332 prediction was 12.8 ppbv NH<sub>3</sub> (7.6  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). The average measured concentration of NH<sub>3</sub> was a 333 factor of 2.7 higher than the average of the corresponding model predictions. The normalized 334 mean bias (NMB) for NH<sub>3</sub> was an under-prediction of 63%. This confirms the result for in situ 335 aircraft measurements, discussed above. 336

337

339

#### 338 *3.3. Model predictions compared with satellite retrievals*

Table 3 and Figure 6 compare CMAQ model predictions with NH<sub>3</sub> concentration estimates retrieved from TES satellite spectroscopic measurements. Three separate comparisons were made: one using the estimated total atmospheric column loading, the second using the estimated concentration in the lowest layer of the atmosphere, and the third using the estimated concentration at an altitude of 1740 m above ground level (AGL). This is the altitude where the averaging kernel indicates that the retrieved concentration from the satellite measurement is most sensitive to the actual atmospheric concentration.

The NMB for the model prediction of total column loading  $(-76\%, R_{o/m} = 4.2)$  is somewhat more negative than the NMB for the comparisons with aircraft data and ground level

monitoring data. The model prediction for the lowest layer of the atmosphere has a less negative 349 NMB  $(-33\%, R_{o/m} = 1.5)$  than the prediction for total column loading, or than the comparisons 350 with aircraft and ground level monitor data. The average TES retrieval for the lowest layer of the 351 atmosphere is also lower than concentrations measured in the same region by aircraft and by the 352 CSU monitors (Tables 1 and 2). The NMB of the model prediction at 1740 m AGL (-53%, 353  $R_{o/m} = 2.1$ ) is midway between the results for the total column loading and the ground level 354 concentration. Model predictions for this altitude also give a better correlation with the satellite 355 356 retrieval (r = 0.52) than the ground level concentration (r = 0.09) or the total column loading 357 (r = 0.11).

The NMB from the satellite data analysis is subject to considerable uncertainty, as highlighted by the variability among the different satellite metrics for NH<sub>3</sub> (Table 3). However, the satellite results for NH<sub>3</sub> are in agreement with the aircraft and ground-level results discussed above.

- 362
- 363 364

### *3.4. Satellite retrievals compared with in situ aircraft measurements*

The TES satellite swath was not aligned with aircraft spiral measurements; however, a 365 number of aircraft flight paths crossed the satellite swath close to the time of satellite passage. 366 We identified 46 *in situ* observations which occurred within an hour of a TES satellite pass, and 367 within 15 km of the center of the satellite swath. These in situ measurements were compared 368 with the TES NH<sub>3</sub> retrievals for the atmospheric layer corresponding to the aircraft elevation. 369 370 Table 4 and Figure 7 summarize the results of this comparison. The average of aircraft measurements overlapping the TES track was 2.9 ppbv, with a standard deviation of 2.4 ppbv, 371 and a maximum value of 8.1 ppby. The average of TES retrievals corresponding to these 372 measurement locations was 2.8 ppbv, with a standard deviation of 2.5 ppbv, and a maximum 373 value of 6.6 ppbv. Thus, the normalized mean bias of the TES retrieval with respect to the *in situ* 374 measurement was only -1%. The correlation coefficient (r) and concordance correlation 375 coefficient between the TES retrieval and the aircraft measurement are both 0.78. Thus, the TES 376 results exhibit good correlation with the aircraft measurements. 377

378

380

## 379 *3.5.* Analysis of model bias in relation to previous studies and the NH<sub>3</sub> emissions inventory

381 Gilliland et al (2006) performed inverse modeling in order to evaluate the emissions inventory for NH<sub>3</sub>. Measurements of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> in precipitation were used with a 2001 CMAQ 382 simulation for the continental U.S. Annual emissions estimates were found to be reasonable on 383 average, but inverse modeling results indicated that the NH<sub>3</sub> emissions inventory was too high in 384 winter and too low in summer. On a domain-wide basis, the posterior NH<sub>3</sub> emissions inventory 385 for the July-August timeframe was 17% higher than the prior inventory. Smaller-scale analyses 386 387 of the data suggested that the error may have been higher in the western U.S., however these results were unstable due to low precipitation rates. 388

Butler *et al* (2014) evaluated CMAQ predictions in Susquehanna River Watershed of New York and Pennsylvania using ambient concentration measurements conducted in 2008 and 2009. The model estimates were lower than measured values by 8% to 60%.

Kelly *et al* (2014) evaluated CMAQ predictions in the San Joaquin Valley of California
 using measurements from the measurement campaign for "California Research at the Nexus of

Air Quality and Climate Change" (CalNex) in May and June of 2010. The study analyzed multiple pollutants, including NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NH<sub>3</sub>. The model performed well for NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. NH<sub>3</sub> was over-predicted in some urban areas; however, this was attributed to errors in prediction of the mixing layer behavior. The model under-predicted NH<sub>3</sub> in agricultural regions. In addition, model predictions did not capture the large variations in measured NH<sub>3</sub>.

Zhu *et al* (2013) performed inverse modeling of ambient NH<sub>3</sub> in the Continental U.S.
using TES satellite data in conjunction with the GEOS-Chem model. TES data were assimilated
for April, July, and October of 2006 through 2009. AMoN data were used to evaluate the inverse
modeling results. The study found that the initial NH<sub>3</sub> emissions inventory appeared to be an
underestimate, especially in the Western U.S.

The current study found that the NAOFC CMAO model underestimated the NH<sub>3</sub> 404 concentration in Northeastern Colorado in July and August of 2014 by a factor of ~2.7 405 406 (NMB = -63%). This difference is larger than the differences found by Gilliland *et al* (2006) and Butler et al (2014). However, these studies differed from the current study in important ways. 407 The Gilliland study used deposition measurements to evaluate CMAQ predictions; and the Butler 408 study focused on a region of low NH<sub>3</sub> concentration. The findings of the current study are 409 comparable to the findings of Kelly et al (2014) for an agricultural region in California. Both the 410 current study and the Kelly study included regions with intensive agriculture. A European study 411 412 using CMAQ as part of the CALIOPE-EU modeling system also found that NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations were underestimated in the summer months (Pay et al, 2012). 413

Measured and modeled concentrations of NH4<sup>+</sup> were much lower than the measured 414 concentration of NH<sub>3</sub>. Therefore, any differences in the conversion of NH<sub>3</sub> to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> would be too 415 416 small to account for the underestimation of NH<sub>3</sub>. Rather, the model error for NH3 is believed to result from either the NH<sub>3</sub> emissions inventory, or to the rate of NH<sub>3</sub> deposition. As noted in 417 418 Section 2.1, the NAQFC modeling framework used in the current study did not include a recently-developed bidirectional flux algorithm for NH<sub>3</sub> between the bottom layer of the model 419 and the surface. (Cooter et al. 2012; Bash et al. 2013; Pleim et al. 2013). Testing of the 420 421 bidirectional flux model has predicted NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations 10% higher, on average, than previous predictions with the unidirectional deposition flux approach (Cooter et al. 2012; Bash et al. 422 423 2013). Thus, we would not expect the incorporation of bidirectional flux, by itself, to correct the underestimation of NH<sub>3</sub> for the DISCOVER-AQ domain. 424

As illustrated in Figure 4, the model bias varies across the DISCOVER-AQ domain, with larger differences in the neighborhood of Greeley and Denver. The Greeley area is a region of intensive agriculture, with high levels of NH<sub>3</sub> emissions in the 2005 NEI. Thus, CMAQ predictions of NH3 in this area are higher than the surrounding region. However, results of the model-to-measurement comparison indicate that emissions in the Greeley region may have been still higher than the levels reflected in the inventory.

The current study also uses NH<sub>3</sub> emissions estimates from the 2005 NEI, which have
recently been updated in the 2011 NEI. However, the change in estimated NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from
the 2005 NEI to the 2011 NEI was only an increase of 10% within the DISCOVER-AQ
Colorado domain (USEPA, 2009 and 2015). Long term NH<sub>3</sub> monitoring trends at the Fort
Collins AMoN site also do not show an increase in measured NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations over this

436 period. Figure 8 shows that measured concentrations in 2014 at Fort Collins fall within the range

437 of concentrations measured for the preceding 7 years.

438 On the timescale of the summer measurement campaign, errors in the emissions439 inventory can arise not only from the overall emission factors, but also from the seasonal

allocation of emissions. However, the increase in the measured NH<sub>3</sub> concentration at Fort Collins

441 is less than the increase in NH<sub>3</sub> emissions in the modeling domain, based on the seasonal factors

used in the NEI. The measured NH<sub>3</sub> concentration during the monitoring campaign was 1.44

times the annual average concentration at the Fort Collins site in the calendar year 2014. Based

444 on seasonal allocation factors used in the NEI for NH<sub>3</sub>, emissions used in July and August are

1.8 times the annual average. Thus, the underestimation in NH<sub>3</sub> for the campaign is not believed

- to result from errors in seasonal allocation.
- 447

# 448 Summary and Conclusions

449 This paper describes an evaluation of the NOAA NAQFC predictions of NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> 450 using a number of different data sources. The primary data source is a large set of aircraft-based 451 in situ measurements from the DISCOVER-AQ Colorado campaign. In addition, data were 452 453 obtained from the ground-based AMoN network, a ground-based study carried out by CSU in concert with the DISCOVER-AQ campaign, and satellite-based TES instrument. The NAQFC 454 455 model underestimated Northeastern Colorado NH3 concentrations during the July and August of 2014 by a factor of  $\sim$ 2.7 when compared to aircraft emissions measurements. Similar results 456 were observed for the AMoN, CSU, and TES datasets, with the model underestimating NH<sub>3</sub> by 457 1.5 to 4.2 times. However, the underestimation of gaseous NH<sub>3</sub> was not accompanied by an 458 underestimation of particulate NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. 459

The model error for NH3 is believed to result from either the NH<sub>3</sub> emissions inventory, or to the rate of NH<sub>3</sub> deposition. The NAQFC modeling framework did not include a recentlydeveloped bidirectional flux algorithm for NH<sub>3</sub>. Although the bidirectional flux algorithm could be expected to raise NH3 concentrations in the summer months; however, the magnitude of this increase is not believed to be sufficient to overcome the underestimation of NH3 which was found in this study.

The underestimation of NH3 varied across the study domain, with the highest errors
occurring in a region of intensive agriculture near Greeley, and in the vicinity of Denver.
Seasonal patterns measured at an AMoN site in the region suggest that the underestimation of
NH3 is not due to the seasonal allocation of emissions, but to the overall annual emissions
estimate.

471

# 472 Acknowledgements

473

474 Support for this research was provided by the NASA Earth and Space Science Fellowship
475 (NESSF) program, grant No. NNX15AN15H. We thank Dr. Katie Benedict, Colorado State
476 University, for providing us with the passive sampler data. We also acknowledge the NOAA
477 National Air Quality Forecast Program, the DISCOVER-AQ and FRAPPE measurement
478 campaigns, and the North Carolina State University Air Quality Research Group.

479

# 480 **References**

481

482 Aneja, V.P., W.H. Schlesinger, and J.W. Erisman. 2009. Effects of Agriculture upon the Air

483 Quality and Climate: Research, Policy and Regulations. *Environmental Science and Technology*.
484 43(12): 4234-4240.

486 Arya, S.P. 1999. Air pollution meteorology and dispersion. Oxford University Press, New York,
487 NY.

488

- 489 Bash, J.O, E.J. Cooter, R.L. Dennis, J.T. Walker, and J.E. Pleim. 2013. Evaluation of a regional
- 490 air-quality model with bidirectional NH<sub>3</sub> exchange coupled to an agroecosystem model.
  491 *Biogeosciences*. 10: 1635-1645.
- 492
- Battye, W., V.P. Aneja, P.A. Roelle. 2003. Evaluation and improvement of ammonia emissions
  inventories. *Atmospheric Environment*. 37(27): 3873-3883.
- 495
  496 Benedict, K. 2015. Results of passive NH3 sampling by Colorado State University during the
  497 FRAPPE campaign. Personal communication. May 18.
- 498
- Binkowski, F.S., and U. Shankar. 1995. The regional particulate model 1. Model description and
  preliminary results. Journal of Geophysical Research. 100 (D12): 26,191-26,209.
  DOI:10.1029/95JD02093.
- 502
- Butler, T., R. Marino, D. Schwede, R. Howarth, J. Sparks, and K. Sparks. 2014. Atmospheric
  ammonia measurements at low concentration sites in the northeastern USA: implications for total
  nitrogen deposition and comparison with CMAQ estimates. *Biogeochemistry*. DOI:
  10.1007/s10533-014-0036-5.
- 507
- Byun, D., and K.L. Schere. 2006. Review of the governing equations, computational algorithms,
  and other components of the models-3 Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) modeling
  system. *Applied Mechanics Review*. 59 (1-6), 51-77.
- 511

- Cooter, E.J., J.O. Bash, V. Benson, and L. Ran. 2012. Linking agricultural crop management and
  air quality models for regional to national-scale nitrogen assessments. *Biogeosciences*. 9: 40234035.
- 516 CMAS. 2016. Community Modeling and Analysis System.
- 517 https://www.cmascenter.org/download.cfm
- 518
- 519 CSU. 2016. Agriculture Weld County. Colorado State University, Cooperative Extension, Fort
- 520 Collins, CO. http://coopext.colostate.edu/WELD/agriculture.htm
- 521
- 522 Day, E.E. X. Chen, K.A. Gebhart, C.M. Carrico, F.M. Schwandner, K.B. Benedict, B.A.
- 523 Schichtel, J.L. Collett Jr.. 2012. Spatial and temporal variability of ammonia and other inorganic
- aerosol species. *Atmospheric Environment*, 61: 490-498,
- 525 doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.06.045.
- 526
- 527 Gilliland, A.B., K.W. Appel, R.W. Pinder, and R.L. Dennis. 2006. Seasonal NH<sub>3</sub> emissions for
- 528 the continental united states: Inverse model estimation and evaluation. *Atmospheric*
- 529 *Environment*. 40: 4986–4998.
- 530

Herb, J., A.B. Nadykto, and F. Yu. 2011. Large ternary hydrogen-bonded pre-nucleation clusters
in the Earth's atmosphere. *Chemical Physics Letters*. 518: 7–14.

533

Holmes, N.S. 2007. A review of particle formation events and growth in the atmosphere in the
various environments and discussion of mechanistic implications. Atmospheric Environment. 41:
2183–2201.

537

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). 2014. Climate Change 2013: The Physical
Science Basis, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group I to
the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge
University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

542

Jones, L., M.S. Nizam, B. Reynolds, S. Bareham, and E.R.B. Oxley. 2013. Upwind impacts of
ammonia from an intensive poultry unit. *Environmental Pollution*. 180: 221-228.

- Kelly, J.T., K.R. Baker, J.B. Nowak, J.G. Murphy, M.Z. Markovic, T.C. VandenBoer, R.A. Ellis,
- 547 J.A. Neuman, R.J. Weber, J.M. Roberts, P.R. Veres, J.A. de Gouw, M.R. Beaver, S. Newman,
- and C. Misenis. 2014. Fine-scale simulation of ammonium and nitrate over the South Coast Air

549 Basin and San Joaquin Valley of California during CalNex-2010. *Journal of Geophysical*550 *Research Atmospheres*. 119: 3600–3614. DOI:10.1002/2013JD021290.

- 551
- 552 Kwok, R.H.F., Napelenok, S.L., and Baker, K.R. 2013. Implementation and evaluation of PM<sub>2.5</sub> 553 source contribution analysis in a photochemical model. *Atmospheric Environment*. 80: 398–407.
- Lin, L.I-K. 1989. A concordance correlation coefficient to evaluate reproducibility. *Biometrics*.
  45:255-268.
- Lin, L.I-K. 1992. Assay validation using the concordance correlation coefficient. *Biometrics*.
  48:599-604.
- 559
- 560 Mathur, R., J. Pleim, K. Schere, G. Pouliot, J. Young, and T. Otte. 2005. The community
- Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model: Model configuration 475 and enhancements for 2006 air
   quality forecasting. Air Quality Forecaster Focus Group Meeting, September 16, 2005,
   Washington, DC
- 563 Washington, DC.
- 564565 National Atmospheric Deposition Program (NADP). 2014. Ambient Ammonia Monitoring
- 566 Network (AMoN). http://nadp.sws.uiuc.edu/AMoN/AMoNfactsheet.pdf
- 567
- 568 Orsini, D.A., Y. Ma, A. Sullivan, B. Sierau, K. Baumann, R.J. Weber. 2003. Refinements to the 569 particle-into-liquid sampler (PILS) for ground and airborne measurements of water soluble
- aerosol composition. *Atmospheric Environment* 37: 1243–1259.
- 571
- 572 Paerl, H.W. 1988. Nuisance Phytoplankton Blooms in Coastal, Estuarine, and Inland Waters,
- 573 Limnology and Oceanography. 33: 823-847.
- 574

- Pay, M.T., P.Jiminez-Guerrero, and J.M. Baldasano. 2012. Assessing sensitivity regimes of 575 secondary inorganic aerosol formation in Europe with the CALIOPE-EU modeling system. 576 Atmospheric Environment. 51: 146-164. 577 578 Pope III, C., M. Ezzati, and D.W. Dockery. 2009. Fine-particulate air pollution and life 579 580 expectancy in the United States. New England Journal of Medicine. 360 (4): 376–386. 581 582 Shephard, M.W., K. Cady-Pereira, M. Luo, D.K. Henze, R.W. Pinder, J.T. Walker, C.P. Rinsland, J.O. Bash, L. Zhu, V. H. Payne, and L. Clarisse. 2011. TES ammonia retrieval 583 strategy and global observations of the spatial and seasonal variability of ammonia. Atmospheric 584 Chemistry and Physics, 11(20), 10743. 585 586 587 Sigma Aldrich. www.sigmaaldrich.com/content/dam/sigmaaldrich/docs/Supelco/Application\_Notes/radiello\_i1\_i2.pdf 588 589 Sun, K., K. Cady-Pereira, D.J. Miller, L. Tao, M.A. Zondlo, J.B. Nowak, J.A. Neuman, T. 590 Mikoviny, M. Müller, A. Wisthaler, A.J. Scarino, and C.A. Hostetler. 2015. Validation of TES 591 592 ammonia observations at the single pixel scale in the San Joaquin Valley during 593 DISCOVER-AQ. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres. 120 (10): 5140-5154. 594 DOI:10.1002/2014JD022846. 595 596 Tang, Y., T. Chai, L. Pan, P. Lee, D. Tong, H. Kim, and W. Chen. 2015. Using optimal interpolation to assimilate surface measurements and satellite AOD for ozone and PM2.5: A case 597 study for July 2011. Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association. 65 (10): 1206-1216. 598 599 DOI: 10.1080/10962247.2015.1062439. 600 USDA. 2014. 2012 Census of Agriculture. U.S. Department of Agriculture, National 601 602 Agricultural Statistical Service, Washington, DC. 603 604 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Science Advisory Board. 2007. Hypoxia in the Northern Gulf of Mexico: An Update by the EPA Science Advisory Board. EPA-SAB-08-003, U.S. 605 Environmental Protection Agency Science Advisory Board, Washington, DC. 606 607 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2009. 2005 National Emissions Inventory Data & 608 Documentation. www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/net/2005inventory.html 609 610 611 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2015. 2011 National Emissions Inventory Data & Documentation. www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/net/2011inventory.html 612 613 614 Vukovich, J., and T. Pierce. 2002. The Implementation of BEIS-3 within the SMOKE modeling framework. Presented at "Emissions Inventories—Partnering for the Future," the 11th Emissions 615 Inventory Conference of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, 616 NC. 617
- 618

- Wang, X., W. Wang, L. Yang, X. Gao, W. Nie, Y. Yu, P. Xu, Y. Zhou, and Z. Wang. 2012.
- Secondary formation of inorganic aerosols in the droplet mode through heterogeneous aqueous
   reactions under haze conditions. *Atmospheric Environment*. 63: 68–76.
- 622
- 623 Zhu, L., D.K. Henze, K.E. Cady-Pereira, M.W. Shephard, M. Luo, R.W. Pinder, J.O. Bash, and
- 624 G.-R. Jeong. 2013. Constraining U.S> ammonia emissions using TES remote sensing
- 625 observations and the GEOS-Chem adjoint model. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
- 626 *Atmospheres.* 118 (8): 3355-3368. DOI: 10.1002/jdrd.50166.
- 627



628 629 Figure 1. Domain of the DISCOVER-AQ Colorado measurement campaign, showing flight paths for low

level in situ aircraft measurements (<1km AGL), locations of ground level monitors, and the path for TES 631 satellite measurements. [1.5 column image]





632 633 Figure 2. Aircraft in situ measurements of NH<sub>3</sub> (a), NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> (b), and NH<sub>x</sub> (c), plotted against model 634 predictions. Each measurement is plotted as a point. Dotted lines show a 1:1 slope, where points would have fallen if the measurements and model predictions were in complete agreement (measured = 635

modeled). Dashed lines show the 1:1 slope displaced by the NMB. 636

<sup>[2-</sup>column image] 637



640

Figure 3. Histogram of aircraft measurements compared with histogram of model predictions at the corresponding times and locations. [1.5 column image]



45 Figure 4. Spatial variation of model prediction error from in situ aircraft measurements.

646 [1-column image]



- Figure 5. Ground-level measurements of NH<sub>3</sub> plotted against model predictions. Dotted line shows where the measured points should have fallen if the model
- predictions were exactly correct (measured =
- modeled). Dashed line shows the actual measured
- trend lines based on the ratio of the average measured
- concentration to the average model prediction.
- [1-column image]



(measured = modeled).

[1-column image]



667

Figure 7. Aircraft in situ measurements of NH₃ plotted
against TES satellite retrievals. Each measurement is

670 plotted as a point. Dotted line shows where the

- 671 measured points should have fallen if the satellite
- 672 *retrievals were exactly correct (measured = TES*
- 673 retrieval).
- 674 [1-column image]
- 675



Figure 8. Seasonal pattern of NH<sub>3</sub> vapor at the Fort Collins AMoN site in 2014 compared with NH<sub>3</sub> vapor in previous years. [2-column image]

	NH <sub>3</sub>	NH <sub>3</sub>	NH <sub>4</sub>	NH <sub>X</sub>
	(ppbv)	(µg/m³)	(µg/m³)	(µg/m³)
Measured concentrations				
Average	6.1	3.9	0.29	4.2
Standard deviation	6.9	4.2	0.38	4.6
Maximum	90.0	53.1	2.05	53.3
Model predictions				
Average	2.2	1.4	0.34	1.7
Standard deviation	1.6	1.0	0.20	1.1
Maximum	15.3	9.1	1.46	9.2
Comparison statistics				
Normalized mean bias	-63%		18%	-60%
Ratio of average measured value to average modeled value	2.7		0.85	2.5
Correlation coefficient (r)	0.52		0.37	0.54
Concordance correl. coeff. (pc)	0.16		0.29	0.17
Number of observations	2,372		1,700	1,637

Table 1. Comparison of in situ aircraft measurements with model predictions for  $NH_3,\,NH_{4^+},\,and\,NH_X.$ 

Table 2. Comparison of ground-base	u measu	rements v		i predictio		
	AMoN			All passive		
	monitors		CSU monitors		monitors	
	ppbv	µg/m³	ppbv	µg/m³	ppbv	µg/m³
Measured concentrations						
Average	3.3	2.0	17.8	10.5	16.0	9.5
Standard deviation	3.8	2.3	20.5	12.1	19.8	11.7
Maximum	11.6	6.8	116.4	68.7	116.3	68.7
Model predictions						
Average	1.1	0.7	6.7	3.9	6.0	3.5
Standard deviation	1.3	0.8	3.4	2.0	3.7	2.2
Maximum	3.5	2.1	12.8	7.7	12.8	7.6
Comparison statistics						
Normalized mean bias	-67%		-63%		-63%	
Ratio of average measured value to average modeled value	3	.0	2	2.7	2	7
Correlation coefficient (r)	0.	.97	0	.47	0.	52
Concordance correl. coeff. (pc)	0.45		0.10		0.14	
Number of observations	8		58		66	

Table 2. Comparison of ground-based measurements with model predictions for NH<sub>3</sub>.

Table 3. Comparison of TES retrievals with model predictions for NH <sub>3</sub> .					
	Total atmospheric column loading	Concentration in the lowest atmospheric layer		Concentration at the regional averaging kernel peak	
	(mg/m²)	ppbv	µg/m³	(ppbv)	
Measured concentrations					
Average	2.0	3.0	1.8	0.83	
Standard deviation	2.9	4.5	2.7	1.1	
Maximum	14.9	21.8	12.9	4.5	
Model predictions					
Average	0.5	2.0	1.2	0.39	
Standard deviation	0.4	2.1	1.3	0.54	
Maximum	1.5	9.2	5.4	2.6	
Comparison statistics					
Normalized mean bias	-76%	-33%		-53%	
Ratio of average measured value to average modeled value	4.2	1.5		2.1	
Correlation coefficient (r)	0.11	0.09		0.52	
Concordance correl, coeff. (oc)	0.02	0.07		0.39	
Number of observations	65	6	5	65	

Table 4. Comparison of in situ aircraft measurements with TES retrievals for  $\rm NH_3$ 

	NH <sub>3</sub>
	(ppbv)
In situ aircraft measurements	
Average	2.9
Standard deviation	2.4
Maximum	8.1
TES retrievals	
Average	2.8
Standard deviation	2.5
Maximum	6.6
Comparison statistics	
Normalized mean bias of TES retrieval	-1%
Ratio of average measured value to average TES retrieval	1.01
Correlation coefficient (r)	0.78
Concordance correl. coeff. (pc)	0.78
Number of observations	46