### **Sample preparation and liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry for the analysis of selected Pacific ciguatoxins in blood samples**

- 
- 4 Zhihong Wang<sup>1</sup>, Jennifer Maucher Fuquay<sup>1</sup>, Aurelie Ledreux<sup>2</sup>, Michelle Barbieri<sup>3</sup> and John S. 5 Ramsdell<sup>2\*</sup>
- <sup>1</sup>CSS, Inc. under contract to NOAA, HAB Monitoring & Reference Branch, Stressor Detection
- and Impacts Division, National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science, NOAA National Ocean
- Service, 219 Fort Johnson Road, Charleston, SC 29412
- 
- <sup>2</sup>HAB Monitoring & Reference Branch, Stressor Detection and Impacts Division, National
- Centers for Coastal Ocean Science, NOAA National Ocean Service, 219 Fort Johnson Road, Charleston, SC 29412, USA
- <sup>3</sup> Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA, 1845 Wasp
- Boulevard, No. 176, Honolulu, HI 96818, USA
- 
- \* Corresponding author.
- Tel.: +1 843 460 9700; Fax: +1 843 998 6938; E-mail address: john.ramsdell@noaa.gov
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

### **Abstract**

Consumption of ciguatoxin-contaminated seafood can lead to ciguatera poisoning (CP). The diagnosis of CP in humans is based on the clinical symptoms after eating the fish from tropical or subtropical areas because no confirmatory clinical tests are available. One of the challenges for ciguatoxin analysis is their extremely low but toxicologically relevant concentration in biological samples. We previously reported a method using acetonitrile to precipitate proteins and extract the ciguatoxins simultaneously in whole blood samples from animals for toxin quantification by N2A cell-based assay. However, a test method for unambiguous confirmation of exposure of marine animals or humans to ciguatoxins is still needed. In the present study, we adopted the acetonitrile extraction method and added sample clean-up in the sample preparation for the determination of Pacific ciguatoxins CTX1B (aka P-CTX-1), 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B (aka P-CTX-2), and CTX3C (aka P-CTX-3C) in blood plasma by LC-MS/MS. We investigated sample clean-up, LC mobile phases, LC solvent programming, and settings of the two mass spectrometers (4000 Q TRAP and AB SCIEX Triple Quad 5500) in order to improve the ability to detect the Pacific ciguatoxins at ppt level. Rat blood plasma was used for the method 46 development. Average recoveries of the three toxins in the rat plasma samples ranged from 90% to 116% with relative standard deviations of less than 15%. The method detection limits were still not low enough for the determination of the Pacific ciguatoxins in individual blood samples from Hawaiian monk seals with the two LC-MS systems. The methods were applied to a pooled sample of blood plasma collected from Hawaiian monk seals for confirmation of toxin exposure. This study will benefit monitoring of Pacific ciguatoxins in marine mammals and potentially

humans by LC-MS/MS.

*Keywords*: ciguatera; Pacific ciguatoxins; blood; LC-MS; Hawaiian monk seal.

### **1. Introduction**

Ciguatera poisoning (CP) is a seafood-associated disease caused by the consumption of tropical and subtropical fish or gastropods contaminated with ciguatoxins. The reported incidence of CP in the United States is the highest among the diseases attributed to finfish [1]. Ciguatoxins are polyether toxins, and their chemical structures vary with the area of their origins such as Caribbean ciguatoxins and Pacific ciguatoxins. The marine dinoflagellate *Gambierdiscus spp*. has been confirmed the origin of Pacific ciguatoxins [2, 3]. Pacific ciguatoxins from algae are polycyclic ethers consisting of thirteen fused rings grouped into two types of congeners, which differ in backbone structure and a side chain at A ring. One group has an oxepene in E ring and a side chain at A ring represented by CTX4B [2] and its epimer CTX4A [3]. The other group has an oxocene in E ring and lacks the A-ring side chain represented by CTX3C [4] and its epimer CTX3B. Other congeners from these two toxin groups have also been detected in *Gambierdiscus spp.* [5,6]. Algal ciguatoxins enter the food web through predation by herbivorous fish and then to higher trophic levels via carnivorous fish, along with their oxidation modifications in fish [2,7,8]. One of the important Pacific ciguatoxins in fish, CTX1B (P-CTX-1) was isolated from moray eel (*Gymnothorax javanicus*) for structural elucidation, and is believed to be a fish metabolite from CTX4A and CTX4B [2,8]. Both groups of ciguatoxins including the oxidized congeners have been detected in fish [9,10]. Most oxidized Pacific

ciguatoxins are more potent than algal toxins [2-4,10-14]. CTX1B is one of the most potent

- ciguatoxins [2,10-13] and is often present in ciguatera fish from Pacific Ocean [9,10,15]; spike-
- and-recovery tests were performed for sample preparation and LC-MS/MS determination of
- CTX1B in fish [15-19] and was extended to 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B and 54-deoxyCTX1B [15].
- Due to insufficiency or lack of toxin standards, only limited groups covered the detection of a
- wider range of Pacific ciguatoxin congeners in fish by LC-MS/MS but without the spike-and-
- recovery evaluations of the whole process including sample preparation and LC-MS
- determination [9,10,20]. The United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has
- recommended a guidance level of 0.01 ppb CTX1B equivalent toxicity in fish from Pacific
- regions [1]. Ciguatoxins may undergo further transformations in marine mammals and humans, which makes the detection of individual toxins by LC-MS difficult with even lower
- concentration.
- The diagnosis of CP in humans is based on the clinical symptoms associated with consumption of fish determined or recognized to accumulate ciguatoxins. No confirmatory clinical tests are available for the diagnosis of CP [1,21-23]. Our laboratory has demonstrated that the N2A cell-based assay could be used for directly monitoring ciguatoxin exposure in the blood of experimental and naturally exposed animals [22,24-26]. However, LC-MS/MS is generally the tool used for unambiguous confirmation of the presence of toxins in animal samples thus toxin exposure. In this study, we used rat plasma to develop sample preparation and LC-MS methods for the determination of Pacific ciguatoxins in blood samples and the protocols were applied to Hawaiian monk seal plasma samples for confirmation of toxin presence in the animals. For blood sample extraction, we adopted our previous toxin extraction method using acetonitrile to precipitate proteins and extract the ciguatoxins simultaneously from blood [24]. For clean-up of blood extracts, we applied two solid-phase extractions (SPE; C18 and silica), similar to those reported [16-18,27], but with modifications to determine not only ciguatoxin fish metabolites (CTX1B and 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B) but also the unmetabolized algal ciguatoxins CTX3C. For LC-MS detection of ciguatoxins, we evaluated the LC mobile phases, LC solvent programming, and MS settings of two mass spectrometers (4000 Q TRAP and AB SCIEX Triple Quad 5500) with a slight difference in MS interface structure for the detection of CTX1B and 52-*epi*-54- deoxyCTX1B from the group of Pacific ciguatoxins carrying CTX4A and CTX4B backbone structure and CTX3C from the other group in the absence and presence of blood plasma matrix. Only three Pacific ciguatoxins were used in the quantification method development due to the limited availability of specific ciguatoxin congeners.

# **2. Materials and methods**

## 2.1. Chemicals

CTX1B (P-CTX-1) and 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B (P-CTX-2) were from Dr. R. Lewis (The

- University of Queensland, Australia), CTX3C was from Prof. T. Yasumoto (Japan Food
- Research Laboratories, Japan). CTX3B, CTX4A, and CTX4B were from Dr. M. Chinain
- (Laboratoire des Micro-algues Toxiques, Institut Louis Malardé, French Polynesia). Chloroform,
- methylene chloride, acetone, and water were of HPLC grade manufactured by Burdick &
- Jackson (Muskegon, MI, USA). HPLC grade acetonitrile and methanol were either from Burdick
- & Jackson or from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA, USA). Isopropanol of LC-MS Chromasolv
- grade was purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). Formic acid (Guaranteed Reagent,

EMD brand, minimum 98%) was purchased from VWR International (Atlanta, GA, USA).

Ammonium formate was purchased from Sigma. For sample preparation, Milli-Q water was

used in place of HPLC water. Rat plasma (containing K2EDTA additive) from SD male rats was

purchased from Hilltop Lab Animals, Inc. (Scottdale, PA, USA).

2.2. Rat blood plasma extraction

Acetonitrile was used to precipitate plasma proteins and extract Pacific ciguatoxins spiked in rat blood plasma (3:1, v/v), similar to previously reported [24] with modifications. Acetonitrile (600 µl) was added to a 15 ml polypropylene centrifuge tube (BD Falcon) containing 400 µl plasma.

125 After briefly vortex mixing, another 600 µl of acetonitrile was added to the plasma. The sample

126 was vortex mixed for about 1 min then stored at  $-20$  °C for 15 min. The sample was centrifuged

127 at 2750  $\times$ *g* and at 4 °C (IEC Centra CL3; Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) for 8 min.

128 The supernatant was collected into a glass test tube  $(13 \times 100 \text{ mm})$ . An amount of 1200 ul of

acetonitrile was added to the plasma pellet for a second extraction, following the same procedure

as used for the first extraction. The pellet was broken down into the solvent through the use of a

- small scoopula or a disposable polypropylene pipette. The combined supernatant of both
- extractions was dried under nitrogen using a Caliper/Zymark TurboVap LV at 40 °C.
- 
- 2.3. Sample clean-up
- 

The dried extract was cleaned using two solid-phase extraction (SPE) cartridges: C18 SPE (Bond Elut C18, 500 mg, 10 ml; Agilent, USA) and Si SPE (Bond Elut Si, 500 mg, 3 ml; Agilent). The dried residue from extraction was dissolved in 2.5 ml methanol by vortex mixing and brief sonication in a water bath. The extract was then brought to 50% methanol/water (50:50, v/v) by adding 2.5 ml water with vortex mixing and then loaded on a C18 SPE cartridge conditioned with 1 column volume of methanol and then 1 column volume of water. The sample tube was washed with 5 ml 50% methanol then the washed solution was transferred to wash the SPE 143 cartridge. The sample tube was further washed with 6.5 ml 65% methanol/water (65:35, v/v) and then the washed solution was transferred to the SPE cartridge. The toxins were eluted from the cartridge with 6 ml 95% methanol/water (95:5, v/v). The C18 SPE eluate was dried under nitrogen using a TurboVap LV at 40 °C. The dried residue from the C18 SPE was dissolved in 3 ml 2% isopropanol in chloroform (2:98, v/v) and loaded on a Si SPE cartridge conditioned with about 2 column volume of chloroform. The sample tube was washed with 5 ml 2% isopropanol in chloroform and the washed solution was transferred to the Si cartridge. The toxins were eluted 150 with 8 ml 10% methanol in chloroform into a glass test tube  $(13 \times 100 \text{ mm})$ . The Si SPE eluate 151 was dried with nitrogen using a TurboVap LV at 25 °C or below (i.e., room temperature). The 152 dried residue from Si SPE was dissolved in 200  $\mu$ l methanol and centrifuged at 2750  $\times$ *g* at 4 °C for 5 min. The supernatant was collected into an LC vial for analysis. 2.4. Hawaiian monk seal plasma samples

Plasma samples previously tested positive for ciguatoxins (0.07 to 0.27 ng CTX3C equiv./ml) as

analyzed by N2A assay were pooled (total volume about 6.5 ml from 11 plasma samples) for

extraction using procedures described by Bottein Dechraoui et al [24]. The dried extract (using

TurboVap LV for drying) was subjected to C18 SPE (Bond Elut C18, 500 mg, 10 ml) clean-up. The residue was dissolved in 2 ml methanol, then 2 ml water was added to the solution. After vortex mixing, the sample solution was loaded on the C18 cartridge conditioned with 1 column

volume of methanol and then 1 column volume of water. The sample tube was washed with 5 ml 65% methanol/water and the wash solution was transferred to the cartridge. The cartridge was

- further washed with 6 ml 10% methanol in water and 1.5 ml 65% methanol. The toxins were
- eluted with 5.5 ml methanol. The dried C18 eluate was dissolved in 100 µl of methanol and 10 µl
- was injected for LC fractionation (Section 2.5). One-minute fractions (the first-minute fraction
- was in Tube 2 or fraction 2) were collected and tested by N2A assay for CTX activity [1]. The
- remaining sample solution after LC fractionation was dried and then subjected to C18 and Si SPE clean-up as described in Section 2.3. The cleaned sample residue was dissolved in less than
- 171 80 ul for LC-MS/MS analysis.
- 
- 2.5. Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry
- 
- Separation and detection was performed on two LC-MS platforms; both equipped with an
- Agilent 1100 LC systems containing the same modules including a quaternary pump for each
- system (Agilent Technologies, USA). One MS was 4000 Q TRAP and the other was AB SCIEX Triple Quad 5500, both equipped with a TurboIonSpray (TIS) source (AB Sciex, USA). Toxin
- 179 separation was achieved on a Luna C8(2) column ( $150 \times 2$  mm, 5 µm; Phenomenex, USA) at a
- 180 flow rate of 0.2 ml/min and at a column temperature of 40  $^{\circ}$ C. Mobile phase A was water
- containing 0.2 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid. Mobile phase B was acetonitrile
- containing 0.02% formic acid. Mobile phase C was methanol containing 0.02% formic acid.
- Mobile phase D1 was water containing 0.1% formic acid. Mobile phase D2 was water containing
- 2 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid. Mobile phase D3 was water containing 0.2%
- formic acid. Two LC elution gradients (Gradient 1 and 2) as shown in Table 1 and 2 were
- applied to the toxin detection in blood samples using the LC-4000 Q TRAP with the Gradient 1
- as the final protocol. Gradient 1 was slightly modified when samples were processed using the LC-Triple Quad 5500 (Gradient 3, Table 3).
- The LC-MS method was initially set up on the 4000 Q TRAP and subsequently applied to the Triple Quad 5500 (the Triple Quad 5500 was available after almost all analyses had been done
- on the 4000 Q TRAP). The LC-MS method was transferred and modified to work with the Triple
- Quad 5500 because Sciex 5500 mass spectrometers are reported by the manufacturer to have
- improved sensitivity over the Sciex 4000 series. Detection of ciguatoxins was achieved by
- multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) in positive ion mode: CTX1B with MRM transitions of *m/z*
- 195 1128.6  $\rightarrow$  1057.6, 1075.6, and 1093.6 (for the Triple Quad 5500, m/z 1093.6 was replaced with
- 196 *m/z* 1039.6), 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B with MRM transitions of  $m/z$  1112.6  $\rightarrow$  125.1 (for Triple
- Quad 5500, *m/z* 125.1 was replaced with *m/z* 155.1), 1059.6, and 1077.6, CTX3C with MRM 198 transitions of  $m/z$  1023.6  $\rightarrow$  125.1, 155.1, and 1005.6. The temperature of the turbo gas (TEM)
- 199 was set at 320 °C for the 4000 Q TRAP and 390 °C for the Triple Quad 5500. The curtain gas
- 200 value (CUR) was set at 20 psi. The collision gas CAD parameter was set at medium for the 4000
- 201 Q TRAP and 7 for the Triple Quad 5500. Other parameters were adjusted to optimum values. For
- the 4000 Q TRAP, when acetonitrile was the only organic solvent used in the LC elution
- gradient, the declustering potential (DP) value for CTX3C was set at 60 V; when methanol was
- 204 added into the LC elution gradient, the DP value for CTX3C was set at 100 V (Section 3); for the

Triple Quad 5500, the DP value for CTX3C was the same for both acetonitrile and methanol mobile phases. The MS scans for an LC–MS run were divided into 4 periods accompanied with the use of a 2-position diverter valve (VICI, Valco Instruments CO. Inc., Houston, USA for the 4000 Q TRAP; a Triple Quad 5500 has a built-in diverter) to improve detectivity and sensitivity of MS and to reduce MS contamination, similar to the description reported previously [28]. The LC eluants of the first and the last periods were directed to a waste container with the ion spray voltage (IS) of 0 kV and the eluants of the middle periods covering the elution of the toxins were sent to the MS with IS of 5.5 kV. The second period was for CTX1B monitoring and the third period was for monitoring of 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B , CTX3C, their isomers, and other CTX congeners if included in the MRM scan. The LC-4000 Q TRAP was controlled by Analyst 1.4.1 software and the LC-Triple Quad 5500 was controlled by Analyst 1.6.2 software. For analysis of cytotoxicity of LC fractions, previously described LC-MS conditions [25] were used to accommodate the existing N2A assay and fractionation was performed on the LC-4000 Q TRAP. The LC mobile phase was water (A)/acetonitrile (B) with both containing 0.1% formic 219 acid additive. The LC gradient was: 1 min of 50% B, linear gradient to 80% B at 20 min, 95% B at 40 min, held at 95% B for 5 min, returned to 50% B at 46 min, and held for 9 min. For MRM

of the ciguatoxins were the precursors, *m/z* 125 was the fragment for toxins with L and M ring having the same structure as that of CTX3C and a protonated molecular mass minus 36 Da as a fragment for other ciguatoxins.

method setup to locate the retention time of toxins in the LC fractions, protonated molecular ions

### **3. Results and Discussion**

3.1. SPE methods

We adopted the 2-column-SPE clean-up methods of Lewis et al. [16], Stewart et al. [17], and Mak et al. [27] with modifications. 95% methanol/water, instead of 80% methanol/water was used to elute both groups of ciguatoxins from 500 mg C18 cartridges. For Si SPE, we found the solubility of CTX1B was low in chloroform and chloroform could not be used to transfer CTX1B from test tubes to SPE cartridges in the absence of sample matrix (e.g., fish tissue or blood matrices). Blood samples collected from marine mammals are generally small in volume 236 so a small quantity of isopropanol  $(2%)$  in chloroform was used to solubilize CTX1B in the dried eluates from the C18 cartridges and also as a loading solvent for the Si SPE cartridges. Greater 238 than 50% of CTX3C was eluted from the Si SPE cartridge with  $2\%$  methanol in chloroform ( $\sim 6$ ) ml) as the loading and wash solvents. When acetone was mixed with methylene chloride as the loading and washing solvents for the Si SPE cartridges, the majority of CTX1B was lost during the Si SPE process. No CTX1B was detected in the loading and washing solvents eluted from 242 the cartridge and trace or no P-CTX-1 was detected in the elution solvent (i.e., 8 ml of 10%) methanol in chloroform). The loss of P-CTX-1 in the Si SPE process with the use of acetone and methylene chloride solvent mixture might be one of the reasons that we did not observe CTX1B in Hawaiian monk seal liver samples [25]. Florisil cartridges (500 mg, 4 ml; Alltech) were tested as an alternative to the Si SPE using the same solvent scheme as reported [9], but the injections of Florisil-cleaned blood extracts caused the contamination of the LC-MS and resulted in a MS signal loss of CTX3C injected from the subsequent standard solutions.

- 3.2. Liquid chromatography–Mass spectrometry
- 3.2.1. Selection of MRM transitions for Pacific ciguatoxins

 254 CTX1B, 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B, and CTX3C can form protonated adducts [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 255 ammonium adducts  $[M+NH_4]^+$ , and sodium adducts  $[M+Na]^+$  for MS detection. The relative intensity of the three adducts for each toxin in the LC-MS chromatograms monitored by selected ion monitoring (SIM) scan was affected by LC elution conditions (e.g., type of organic solvent and its composition, additives and their concentration in the LC mobile phases) and MS interface conditions. Ciguatoxin sodium adducts could be detected as the prominent ions by 4000 Q TRAP, but they were not prone to being dominant adduct ions using an AB SCIEX Triple Quad 5500 under common LC-MS conditions. Ciguatoxin sodium adducts do not fragment well in mass spectrometers with low collision energy (e.g, triple quadrupole mass spectrometers) and they were accompanied by interfering ions of the same mass in LC-MS chromatograms. Therefore, ciguatoxin sodium adducts were not adopted for MS determination in blood matrices as they were used for the analysis of fish samples [9]. Ammonium adducts were adopted as precursor ions for CTX1B and 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B detection [16-18,20,27]. For CTX3C, 267 the intensity ratio of  $[M+H]^+$  to  $[M+NH_4]^+$  with the 4000 Q TRAP usually could be about or higher than 1 when both formic acid and ammonium formate were the mobile phase additives, lower than 1 when only ammonium formate was the additive, and higher than 1 when only formic acid was the additive; this ratio with the Triple Quad 5500 could be about or lower than 1 when the additives included ammonium formate and higher than 1 when formic acid was the 272 only additive. The ammonium adduct of CTX3C could be fragmented to protonated adduct when an increased declustering potential (DP) was applied to the 4000 Q TRAP, especially with methanol mobile phases. However, this phenomenon was not observed or not obvious on the Triple Quad 5500 due to the difference between the interfaces in the two MS instruments. Therefore protonated adduct was generally used for CTX3C detection with the 4000 Q TRAP while protonated or ammonium adduct for CTX3C detection was used with the Triple Quad 5500 depending on the organic modifier and the additives in mobile phases. Product ions with 279 one or two water molecules lost from the ciguatoxin precursors (e.g.,  $m/z$  1023.6  $\rightarrow$  1005.6 for CTX3C) generally showed much higher signals than non-water-loss fragments and were selected for MRM transitions as reported [16-18,27]. However, they generally carried much higher noises than other fragments with blood matrix in LC-MS chromatograms, non-water-loss fragments (e.g., *m/z* 125 and 155 for CTX3C) and fragments with three water molecules lost from 284 precursors (e.g.,  $m/z$  1128.6  $\rightarrow$  1093.6 for CTX1B was replaced with  $m/z$  1128.6  $\rightarrow$  1039.6 with the Triple Quad 5500) were added into MRM settings to improve MS detection.

- 3.2.2. LC mobile phases and their additives for MS detection
- 

LC mobile phases with either methanol/water or acetonitrile/water have been used for Pacific ciguatoxin quantification [9,16]. Pacific ciguatoxins are polycyclic ethers with similar molecular

- structure, but they showed different ionization efficiencies with respect to the type of organic
- solvent (acetonitrile versus methanol) in the LC eluent. Methanol was favorable to CTX3C while
- acetonitrile was favorable to 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B for the production of adduct ions
- (protonated or ammonium adducts) at the MS interface (as large as 2 to 9 fold on peak height

295 with a comparison using an isocratic elution of 88% acetonitrile/water vs. 88% methanol/water, both containing formic acid and ammonium formate additives). Methanol caused more toxin band broadening than acetonitrile during the migration of the ciguatoxins inside the LC column. The peak height of CTX1B with an LC eluent of 88% acetonitrile/water containing 2 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid (eluted at 2.10 min) was about 2-fold of that observed with the corresponding LC eluent in which acetonitrile was replaced with methanol (eluted at 2.48 min), but with slightly less peak area as detected by the 4000 Q TRAP. The peak height of 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B with an isocratic elution of 88% acetonitrile containing 0.02% formic acid/12% water containing 0.2 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid was about 9-fold (peak area ratio about 6 fold) of that obtained with the 88% methanol/water isocratic elution containing the same additives, as detected by the Triple Quad 5500. CTX3C eluted late in the reversed-phase LC columns. The peak broadening caused by methanol/water eluent greatly counteracted the high ionization efficiency of methanol towards this toxin especially with a traditional HPLC system using a long LC column at a low flow rate compared to a UPLC system 309 using a short LC column at a high flow rate. Zorbax Eclipse Plus C18 UPLC columns  $(2.1 \times 50)$ mm, 1.8 µm; Agilent Technologies) have been successfully used for the detection of Pacific ciguatoxins in fish using methanol/water mobile phases at 0.4 ml/min [9,20]. However, the 312 corresponding HPLC column  $(2.1 \times 150 \text{ mm}, 5 \text{ µm})$  showed severe band broadening with CTX3C due to the use of longer column for better separation at 0.2 ml/min flow rate. Acetonitrile/water mobile phases containing 0.1% formic acid and 2 mM ammonium formate have been reported for the quantification of CTX1B with or without 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B and 54-deoxyCTX1B [16,17,27]. At an LC flow rate of 0.2 ml/min, we found that the optimized concentration of the mobile phase additives in an LC eluent for the detection of Pacific ciguatoxins with ammonium adducts was less than 0.1% for formic acid and less than 2 mM for ammonium formate. Methanol/water mobile phases generally needed slightly higher amounts of the additives than acetonitrile/water mobile phases in the LC eluent. The MS response of CTX1B with acetonitrile/water mobile phases varied in the range of 1 to 3 fold typically with ammonium formate of 0.1 to 2 mM and formic acid of 0.03% to 2% in the LC eluents. Higher concentration of mobile phase additives caused suppression of the toxin adduct formation while extremely lower concentration caused less efficiency in the electrospray process. The optimized concentration of the additives and their MS effects were similar between the 4000 Q TRAP and the Triple Quad 5500 but with slightly variation. 

CTX1B and 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B were overwhelmed by the ammonium adducts compared to their protonated adducts in mass spectra using the mobile phases containing both weak acids and ammonium salts as the additives. Unlike CTX1B and 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B, the ratio of the ammonium adduct to its protonated adduct of CTX3C could be close to 1 in mass spectra with either methanol/water or acetonitrile/water mobile phases containing weak acid and ammonium salt additives. Raising the concentration of formic acid and removing the ammonium salt in the LC acetonitrile /water eluent would greatly favor the production of CTX3C protonated adduct, which could generate the toxin peak height comparable to that of either protonated or ammonium adduct from methanol/water mobile phases containing both formic acid and ammonium formate additives.

3.2.3. LC solvent programing for MS detection

#### 

### 3.2.3.1. LC-4000 Q TRAP

Based on previous sections, acetonitrile/water was chosen as the preferred mobile phase for CTX1B and 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B MS detection and the amounts of ammonium formate and formic acid additives in the mobile phases were reduced compared to those reported. Ammonium formate was reduced from 2 mM [16,17,27] to less than 0.5 mM (e.g., 0.2 mM) in aqueous mobile phase A (i.e., water containing 0.2 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid) and from 2 mM [16,17,27] to 0 mM in acetonitrile mobile phase B (e.g., acetonitrile containing 0.03% or 0.02% formic acid). CTX3C, a common component in certain ciguatoxic fish, had diminished detection sensitivity with the CTX1B optimized mobile phases A/B gradient as shown in Fig.1A. Based on previous sections, there were two preferred choices for better CTX3C detection: one was acetonitrile/water mobile phases with only formic acid additive and the other was methanol/water mobile phases with ammonium formate and formic acid additives. Therefore, the LC gradients were adjusted to accommodate the optimal conditions for MS detection with each group: mobile phases A/B were used in all the LC gradients (Table 1-4 and Fig. 1-2) for the CTX1B and 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B group, additional mobile phases C, D1, D2, and D3 were added as choices (Table 1-4 and Fig. 1-2) for the preferred CTX3C LC eluents, which varied slightly with the two mass spectrometers. Mobile phase D1 (water containing 0.1% formic acid as the additive) was added to LC solvent programming (mobile phases A/B/D1) to have CTX3C elute out of the LC column into the MS needle in the solvent from mobile phases B and D1, the peak height of CTX3C increased almost 2.5 fold (Fig. 1B). Addition of mobile phase C (methanol with 0.02% formic acid as the additive) into LC solvent programming (mobile phases A/B/C) to have CTX3C elute into the MS needle in the solvent from mobile phases C and A, the peak height of CTX3C (Fig. 1C) was similar to what was obtained from the conditions in Fig.1B. Increasing the declustering potential (DP) from 60 to 100 V for CTX3C caused a slight increase in peak height of CTX3C with the use of methanol for CTX3C MS detection while almost no change on the peak height of CTX3C with acetonitrile. Replacement of mobile phase D1 (water containing 0.1% formic acid) with mobile phase D2 (water containing both 0.1% formic acid and 2 mM ammonium formate) in combination of LC solvent programming of mobile phases A, B, C, and D2, increased the peak height of CTX3C slightly above 2.5 fold (Fig. 1D vs. Fig. 1C) with DP at 100 V. The conversion of CTX3C ammonium adduct to protonated adduct with the use of methanol and ammonium salts in the mobile phases greatly enhanced the sensitivity of CTX3C (section 3.2.1). However, the amount of ammonium formate could not be increased too much in the LC elution program; otherwise, it caused ion suppression and thus low sensitivity (e.g., replacement of mobile phase C methanol containing 0.02% formic acid with 95% methanol/water containing 0.1% formic acid and 2 mM ammonium formate). The problems with the use of methanol instead of acetonitrile for the detection of CTX3C by LC-MS were higher MS noises than those observed with acetonitrile and about 90% or above of methanol needed in the LC eluant for eluting CTX3C out of LC column without causing significant peak broadening (Section 3.2.2).

3.2.3.2. LC-AB SCIEX Triple Quad 5500

The LC conditions for the Triple Quad 5500 were similar to what were used for the LC-4000 Q TRAP but with slight modifications (Fig. 2). The optimum LC conditions for the detection of

CTX1B and 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B with the Triple Quad 5500 were the same as those applied to the LC connected to the 4000 Q TRAP. For the detection of CTX3C on the Triple Quad 5500 with protonated adduct using acetonitrile/water mobile phases, mobile phase D1 (water containing 0.1% formic acid; section 3.2.3.1) for the 4000 Q TRAP was replaced with mobile phase D3 (water containing 0.2% formic acid), which showed a slight increase in sensitivity for CTX3C. No difference between mobile phase D1 and mobile phase D3 for the detection of CTX3C with the 4000 Q TRAP was observed. For the detection of CTX3C on the Triple Quad 5500 with ammonium adduct using methanol/water mobile phases, mobile phase D2 for the 4000 Q TRAP was replaced with mobile phase A, because conversion of CTX3C ammonium adduct to its protonated adduct through an increase in DP was not observed on the Triple Quad 5500.

### 3.3. Analysis of Pacific ciguatoxins in spiked rat plasma samples

Two LC-MS methods were applied to the LC-4000 Q TRAP system based on the optimization of LC and MS using the toxin standards discussed in previous sections; they had almost the same setup for the determination of CTX1B and 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B while they differed in the determination of CTX3C. Both methods used acetonitrile/water mobile phases containing formic acid and ammonium formate additives to elute CTX1B and 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B for MS detection through ammonium adducts. In the first method (Table 1), CTX3C was still eluted with acetonitrile/water while the additive was switched to formic acid only. In the second method (Table 2), CTX3C migrated inside the LC column with acetonitrile/water eluant and then eluted with methanol/water containing formic acid and ammonium formate for MS detection. One spike level (0.18, 0.625, and 2 ng/ml of CTX1B, 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B, and CTX3C, respectively in plasma) in triplicate was performed using the rat plasma samples due to the limited availability in toxin standards. The calibration curves derived from four calibration points prepared in methanol in the range of 0.0625 to 0.5 ng/ml for CTX1B, 0.3125 to 2.5 ng/ml for 52- *epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B, and 1 to 8 ng/ml for CTX3C showed linear responses of all the toxins. Since the observed ciguatoxin activity (mean value between 0.001 and 0.002 ng/ml CTX1B equivalent in blood for positive samples from living animals) in monk seal blood samples by N2A cell cytotoxicity assay [25] was far below the LOD obtained from both the LC-4000 Q TRAP and the LC-Triple Quad 5500 systems, the linear ranges of the calibration curves with 416 these two LC-MS systems were not further tested. CTX1B and CTX3C were quantified using the sum of all corresponding MRM channels adopted from Lewis et al. [16] while 52-*epi*-54- 418 deoxyCTX1B was quantified using the single MRM channel  $m/z$  1112.6  $\rightarrow$  1059.6 due to the noises from MRM channel *m/z* 1112.6 → 1077.6. The recoveries of CTX1B, 52-*epi*-54- 420 deoxyCTX1B and CTX3C were  $90 \pm 6$ ,  $106 \pm 7$ , and  $90 \pm 4$  % (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation), 421 respectively, using the LC-4000 O TRAP with LC Gradient 1, and were  $92 \pm 3$ , 116  $\pm 12$ , and 96  $\pm 10$ , respectively, using the LC-Triple Quad 5500 with LC Gradient 3. The relative LC-MS/MS responses of the toxins obtained from spiking the toxins into rat plasma before extraction (one of the above spike-and-recovery samples) and after clean-up (the toxins were spiked into the control extracts as matrix-matched standards) were 125% for CTX1B, 102% for 52-*epi*-54- deoxyCTX1B, and 94% for CTX3C with each toxin concentration in the matrix-matched standard (MMS) 1.5 fold of that in the sample measured on one day using the LC-Triple Quad 5500 and were 85% for CTX1B and 88% for CTX3C with each toxin concentration in the MMS about 2.5 fold of that in the sample measured on the other day (52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B was not

added into the second MMS). The results of examining one spiked sample calibrated against MMS indicated no obvious bad sample preparation issues. The extraction efficiency using acetonitrile to extract the toxins from blood has been proved using the N2A cell-based assay [24] and the efficacy of each sample clean-up step was also evaluated with the toxin standards in this study. Therefore, close to 100% recoveries of the toxins in the plasma samples calibrated against the toxin standards prepared in methanol were the results of the sample preparation efficacy and no obvious matrix effects with LC-MS/MS measurements. The estimated limit of detection (LOD, ng/ml in plasma) was about 0.036, 0.38, 0.44 with the LC-4000 Q TRAP and was 0.011, 0.14, 0.15 with the LC- Triple Quad 5500, for CTX1B, 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B, and CTX3C, respectively. The estimated limit of quantitation (LOQ, ng/ml in plasma) was about 0.046, 0.38, 0.82 with the LC-4000 Q TRAP and 0.028, 0.22, 0.32 with the LC-Triple Quad 5500, for CTX1B, 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B, and CTX3C, respectively. All three MRM monitoring channels of each toxin were used to identify the toxin; the signal to noise ratio of each MRM channel was 3 or above at the LOD [29]. At the limit of quantitation (LOQ) [29], the S/N ratio of the quantitation MRM channel (data from one MRM channel for 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B and sum of MRM channels for CTX1B and CTX3C) was 10 or above and the S/N of each MRM channel was 3 or above. The LOD and LOQ were roughly estimated from the spiked plasma samples because the LOD of the methods was above the average observed toxin activity in living monk seal blood samples [25]. The injection volume was initially 15 µl for the LC-4000 Q TRAP and was changed to 12 µl later for both the LC-4000 Q TRAP and the LC-Triple Quad 5500 because we observed a slight volume overload with 15 µl injections on the LC-Triple Quad 5500. The LOD and LOQ were slightly better with the LC-Triple Quad 5500 than the LC-4000 452 Q TRAP. The sensitivity gain from the 4000 Q TRAP to the Triple Quad 5500 varied with these three toxins and individual MRM monitoring channel of each toxin. Both baseline and sample matrix noises from the LC-Triple Quad 5500 were much higher than those from the LC-4000 Q TRAP, which was also confirmed by switching the LC from the 4000 Q TRAP to the Triple Quad 5500 and applying to the same samples. When methanol was added into the mobile phase using LC Gradient 2 (Table 2) to increase the sensitivity of CTX3C, the recovery of CTX3C in rat plasma was between 50% and 60% on the LC-4000 Q TRAP. The low recovery of CTX3C was likely due to ion suppression from the co-eluted matrix components resulted from the use of a high percentage (i.e. 92%) of methanol in the LC eluent for reduction of peak broadening (Section 3.2.2). 

3.4. Analysis of Pacific ciguatoxins in the plasma samples of Hawaiian monk seals

 The N2A cytotoxicity assay of the LC fractions obtained from the plasma extract of Hawaiian monk seals indicated several major ciguatoxin-like constituents at fractions 7, 17, 26, and 28. 467 The analysis of the toxin standards with the same LC gradient by the LC-4000 Q TRAP showed the RT (min) was 6.12 for CTX1B, 25.01 for CTX3B, 26.53 for CTX3C, 27.28 for CTX4A, and 28.92 for CTX4B. The ciguatoxin-like constituent at fraction 7, 26, and 28 was likely corresponding to CTX1B, CTX3B, and CTX3C, respectively (fraction 2 was the first-minute fraction because the fraction collector automatically skipped tube 1 with the start signal from the LC and the LC gradient was different from the final LC-MS protocol; see section 2.4). Analysis of the monk seal plasma with the LC-4000 Q TRAP using an LC gradient similar to the one used in Fig. 1A (mobile phase B was pure acetonitrile) confirmed the presence of P-CTX-1 with the

S/N of the two MRM channels between 3 and 10, and the third MRM channel about 10. CTX3B

- 476 was detected with the S/N of MRM channel  $m/z$  1023.6  $\rightarrow$  125.1 about 6, but the S/N ratios of
- 477 the other two MRM channels were between 1 and 3 ( $m/z$  1040.6  $\rightarrow$  1005.6 with the ammonium
- 478 adduct as the precursor to replace  $m/z$  1023.6  $\rightarrow$  155.1 in the final protocol). When the final
- protocol (Gradient 1 in Table 1) was applied to the monk seal plasma sample with the LC-4000 480 Q TRAP, both CTX1B and CTX3C were confirmed, with the S/N of all the three MRM channels
- of each toxin above 3. However, for CTX3B, the stereoisomer of CTX3C, only two MRM
- 482 channels ( $m/z$  1023.6  $\rightarrow$  125.1 and  $m/z$  1023.6  $\rightarrow$  155.1) showed the S/N between 3 and 10, the
- 483 third MRM channel ( $m/z$  1023.6  $\rightarrow$  1005.6) only had the S/N about 2. Lack of toxin standards
- and insufficient concentration in the sample, the toxin corresponding to the second cytotoxicity fraction was not able to be detected. The LC-Triple Quad 5500 was not applied to the monk seal sample due to the insufficient sample solution left.
- 

# **4. Conclusions**

CTX1B, 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B, and CTX3C vary slightly in structure, but their mass spectrometric responses to LC mobile phase conditions (solvent type and mobile phase additives) were not the same. The two mass spectrometers likewise detected slightly different toxin ionization profiles. Since sodium adducts of the toxins were not considered for MS quantitation with the blood samples, ammonium adducts of CTX1B and 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B with acetonitrile/water mobile phases were the preferred choice for their determination; either protonated or ammonium adduct of CTX3C was used for its determination along with the LC mobile phase conditions adjusted with a quaternary pump to accommodate its different MS ionization profile. The sample clean-up allowed quantification of the three ciguatoxins by LC-MS/MS with average recoveries 90% or above in the spiked blood samples. However, further sample clean-up may be needed to reduce the matrix noise in order to lower the LOD with Triple Quad 5500. Routine monitoring Pacific ciguatoxins in individual blood samples to meet the low concentration observed is still a challenge using the methods developed with these two LC-MS systems. However, the sample preparation method and the strategy of LC-MS settings in this study, advances the knowledge needed to monitor Pacific ciguatoxins in marine mammal and humans subjects. 

- **Acknowledgements**
- The authors thank M.Y. Bottein Dechraoui and Tod Leighfield for providing stocks of the ciguatoxin standards. This work was supported by NOAA/NOS operational funds. NOAA disclaimer: This publication does not constitute an endorsement of any commercial product or intend to be an opinion beyond scientific or other results obtained by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). No reference shall be made to NOAA, or this publication furnished by NOAA, to any advertising or sales promotion which would indicate or imply that NOAA recommends or endorses any proprietary product mentioned herein, or which has as its purpose an interest to cause the advertised product to be used or purchased because of this publication.
- 
- **References**
- [1] R.W. Dickey, S.M. Plakas, Ciguatera: A public health perspective, Toxicon 56 (2010) 123-
- 136.
- [2] M. Murata, A.M. Legrand, Y. Ishibashi, M. Fukui, T. Yasumoto, Structures and
- configurations of ciguatoxin from the moray eel *Gymnothorax javanicus* and its likely precursor
- from the dinoflagellate *Gambierdiscus toxicus*, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112 (1990) 4380-4386.
- [3] M. Satake, Y. Ishibashi, A.M. Legrand, T. Yasumoto, Isolation and structure of ciguatoxin-
- 4A, a new ciguatoxin precursor, from cultures of dinoflagellate *Gambierdiscus toxicus* and
- parrotfish *Scarus gibbus*, Biosci. Biotech. Biochem. 60 (1997) 2103-2105.
- [4] M. Satake, M. Murata, T. Yasumoto, The structure of CTX3C, a ciguatoxin congener isolated
- from cultured *Gambierdiscus toxicus*, Tetrahedron Lett. 34 (1993) 1975-1978.
- [5] T. Yasumoto, T. Igarashi, A.M. Legrand, P. Cruchet, M. Chinain, T. Fujita, H. Naoki,
- Structural elucidation of ciguatoxin congeners by fast-atom bombardment tandem mass
- spectroscopy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122 (2000) 4988-4989.
- [6] M. Chinain, H.T. Darius, A. Ung, P. Cruchet, Z. Wang, D. Ponton, D. Laurent, S. Pauillac,
- Growth and toxin production in the ciguatera-causing dinoflagellate *Gambierdiscus*
- *polynesiensis* (Dinophyceae) in culture, Toxicon 56 (2010) 739-750.
- [7] R.J. Clausing, B. Losen, F.R. Oberhaensli, H.T. Darius, M. Sibat, P. Hess, P.W. Swarzenski,
- M. Chinain, M.Y. Dechraoui Bottein, Experimental evidence of dietary ciguatoxin accumulation
- in an herbivorous coral reef fish, Aquat. Toxicol. 200 (2018) 257-265.
- [8] T. Ikehara, K. Kuniyoshi, N. Oshiro, T. Yasumoto, Biooxidation of ciguatoxins leads to
- species-specific toxin profiles, Toxins 9 (2017) 205.
- [9] K. Yogi, N. Oshiro, Y. Inafuku, M. Hirama, T. Yasumoto, Detailed LC-MS/MS analysis of
- ciguatoxins revealing distinct regional and species characteristics in fish and causative alga from the Pacific, Anal. Chem. 83 (2011) 8886-8891.
- [10] K. Yogi, S. Sakugawa, N. Oshiro, T. Ikehara, K. Sugiyama, T. Yasumoto, Determination of
- toxins involved in ciguatera fish poisoning in the Pacific by LC/MS, *J. AOAC Int.* 97 (2014) 398-402.
- [11] European Food Safety Authority, Scientific opinion on marine biotoxins in shellfish –
- emerging toxins: ciguatoxin group, EFSA Journal 8 (2010) 1627.
- [12] M. Satake, M. Fukui, A.M. Legrand, P. Cruchet, T. Yasumoto, Isolation and structure of
- new ciguatoxin analogs, 2,3-dihydroxyCTX3C and 51-hydroxyCTX3C, accumulated in tropical reef fish, Tetrahedron Lett. 39 (1998) 1197-1198.
- [13] R.J. Lewis, The changing face of ciguatera, Toxicon 39 (2001) 97-106.[14] M.Y.
- Dechraoui, J. Naar, S. Pauillac, A.M. Legrand, Ciguatoxins and brevetoxins, neurotoxic
- polyether compounds active on sodium channels, Toxicon 37 (1999) 125-143.
- [15] Y.L. Mak, T.C. Wai, M.B. Murphy, W.H. Chan, J.J. Wu, J.C.W. Lam, L.L. Chan, P.K.S.
- Lam, Pacific ciguatoxins in food web components of coral reef systems in the Republic of Kiribati, Environ. Sci. Technol. 47 (2013) 14070-14079.
- [16] R.J. Lewis, A. Yang, A. Jones, Rapid extraction combined with LC-tandem mass
- spectrometry (CREM-LC/MS/MS) for the determination of ciguatoxins in ciguateric fish flesh,
- Toxicon 54 (2009) 62-66.
- [17] I. Stewart, G.K. Eaglesham, S. Poole, G. Graham, C. Paulo, W. Wickramasinghe, R. Sadler,
- G.R. Shaw, Establishing a public health analytical service based on chemical methods for
- detecting and quantifying Pacific ciguatoxins in fish samples, Toxicon 56 (2010) 804-812.
- [18] J.J. Wu, Y.L. Mak, M.B. Murphy, J.C.W. Lam, W.H. Chan, M. Wang, L.L. Chan, P.K.S.
- Lam, Validation of an accelerated solvent extraction liquid chromatography-tandem mass
- spectrometry method for Pacific ciguatoxin-1 in fish flesh and comparison with the mouse
- neuroblastoma assay, Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 400 (2011) 3165-3175.
- [19] J.S. Murray, M.J. Boundy, A.I. Selwood, D.T. Harwood, Development of an LC-MS/MS
- method to simultaneously monitor maitotoxins and selected ciguatoxins in algal cultures and P-
- CTX-1B in fish, Harmful Algae 80 (2018) 80-87.
- [20] M. Sibat, C. Herrenknecht, H.T. Darius, M. Roué, M. Chinain, P. Hess, Detection of pacific
- ciguatoxins using liquid chromatography coupled to either low or high resolution mass
- spectrometry (LC-MS/MS), J. Chromatogr. A 1571 (2018) 16-28.
- [21] M.A. Poli, R.J. Lewis, R.W. Dickey, S.M. Musser, C.A. Buckner, L.G. Carpenter,
- Identification of Caribbean ciguatoxins as the cause of an outbreak of fish poisoning among U.S. soldiers in Haiti, Toxicon 35 (1997) 733-741.
- [22] M.Y. Bottein Dechraoui, Z. Wang, J. Turquet, M. Chinain, T. Darius, P. Cruchet, F.F.Y.
- Radwan, R.W. Dickey, J.S. Ramsdell, Biomonitoring of ciguatoxin exposure in mice using blood collection cards, Toxicon 46 (2005) 243-251.
- [23] A. Abraham, E.L.E. Jester, H.R. Granade, S.M. Plakas, R.W. Dickey, Caribbean ciguatoxin
- profile in raw and cooked fish implicated in ciguatera, Food Chemistry 131 (2012) 192-198.
- [24] M.Y. Bottein Dechraoui, Z. Wang, J.S. Ramsdell, Optimization of ciguatoxin extraction
- method from blood for Pacific ciguatoxin (P-CTX-1), Toxicon 49 (2007) 100-105.
- [25] M.Y. Dechraoui Bottein, L. Kashinsky, Z. Wang, C. Littnan, J.S. Ramsdell, Identification of
- ciguatoxins in Hawaiian monk seals *Monachus schauinslandi* from the northwestern and main Hawaiian islands, Environ. Sci. Technol. 45 (2011) 5403-5409.
- [26] A.C. O'Toole, M.Y. Dechraoui Bottein, A.J. Danylchuk, J.S. Ramsdell, S.J. Cooke, Linking
- ciguatera poisoning to spatial ecology of fish: a novel approach to examining the distribution of
- biotoxin levels in the great barracuda by combining non-lethal blood sampling and biotelemetry,
- Sci. Total Environ. 427-428 (2012) 98-105.
- [27] Y.L. Mak, J.J. Wu, W.H. Chan, M.B. Murphy, J.C.W. Lam, L.L. Chan, P.K.S. Lam,
- Simultaneous quantification of Pacific ciguatoxins in fish blood using liquid chromatography-
- tandem mass spectrometry, Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 405 (2013) 3331-3340.
- [28] Z. Wang, M.H. Broadwater, J.S. Ramsdell, Analysis of diarrhetic shellfish poisoning toxins
- and pectenotoxin-2 in the bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) by liquid chromatography–
- tandem mass spectrometry, J. Chromatogr. A 1416 (2015) 22-30.
- [29] J.W. Dolan, Calibration curves, part II, what are the limits? LCGC North America, 27
- (2009) 306-312.
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- **Figure captions**
- Figure 1. Comparison of peak heights of CTX1B (peak 1), 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B (peak 2), and
- CTX3C (peak 3) in LC-MS total ion chromatograms obtained from different LC mobile phases
- and their associated solvent programing with the 4000 Q TRAP. The description of mobile
- phases A, B, C, D1, and D2 are the same as those in Section 2.5 except mobile phase B here was acetonitrile containing 0.03% formic acid instead of containing 0.02% formic acid. (A) Mobile
- phases A and B were used with the elution gradient similar to Gradient 1 in Table 1 with the
- changes of 74% B and 26% A at 15.1 min and 90% B and 10% A at 32 min. (B) Gradient 1 in
- Table 1 was used. (C) Mobile phases A, B, and C were used with the elution gradient similar to
- Gradient 2 in Table 2 with the changes of 8% A and 92% C at 16.1 min and 21 min. (D)
- Gradient 2 in Table 2 was used. CTX3C was detected using its protonated adduct as the MRM
- precursor in all the LC-MS chromatograms in Fig. 1. The toxin amounts (pg) injected on the LC
- column were 6.75, 22.5, and 75 for CTX1B, 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B, and CTX3C, respectively.
- Figure 2. Comparison of peak heights of CTX1B (peak 1), 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B (peak 2), and
- CTX3C (peak 3) in LC-MS total ion chromatograms obtained from different LC mobile phases
- and their associated solvent programing with the Triple Quad 5500. The description of mobile
- phases A, B, C, D3 are the same as those in Section 2.5. (A) Mobile phases A and B were used
- with the elution gradient similar to Gradient 3 in Table 3 with the changes of 73% B and 27% A
- at 14.1 min and 90% B and 10% A at 32 min. (B) Gradient 3 in Table 3 was used. (C) Gradient 4
- in Table 4 was used. The MRM precursor for CTX3C was protonated adduct in Fig. 2B and
- ammonium adduct in Fig. 2A and 2C. The toxin amounts (pg) injected on the LC column were 1,
- 5, and 16 for CTX1B, 52-*epi*-54-deoxyCTX1B, and CTX3C, respectively.
- 
- Figure 3. LC-MS/MS extracted ion chromatograms of CTX1B (sum of MRM transitions of *m/z*
- 626 1128.6  $\rightarrow$  1057.6, 1075.6, and 1093.6) acquired from a standard (A) or the monk seal blood
- 627 sample (B) and CTX3B and CTX3C (sum of MRM transitions of  $m/z$  1023.6  $\rightarrow$  125.1, 155.1,
- and 1023.6) acquired from a standard (C) or the monk seal blood sample (D) using the LC-4000
- Q TRAP.
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 





**Time (min)**









## **Tables**

Table 1

LC Gradient 1 for the analysis of Pacific ciguatoxins with the 4000 Q TRAP

Time (min)						36		40	
Mobile phase A $(\%)$	62	40	26					62	
Mobile phase B $(\%)$	38	60	74	74	90	95	38	38	
Mobile phase D1 $(\%)$	U			26					

Table 2

LC Gradient 2 for the analysis of Pacific ciguatoxins with the 4000 Q TRAP.

Time (min)				16.1 21		21.1 32		33	36		46
Mobile phase A $(\%)$	62	40	25	$\theta$	v	20	10	$\mathcal{D}$			62
Mobile phase B $(\%)$	38	60	75	$\theta$	$\theta$	80	90	95	95	38	38
Mobile phase $C(\%)$	$\theta$	$\theta$	$\theta$	92	92	$\theta$					
Mobile phase $D2(\%)$	$\theta$	U	$\theta$	$8^{\circ}$	<sup>8</sup>	$\mathbf{U}$					

Table 3

LC Gradient 3 for the analysis of Pacific ciguatoxins with the AB SCIEX Triple Quad 5500.

Time (min)			14	14.1		32.1	33			46
Mobile phase A $(\%)$	62	40	27							
Mobile phase B $(\%)$	38	60	73	73	90	90	95	95	38	38
Mobile phase D3 $(\% )$	$\theta$									

Table 4

LC gradient 4 for the analysis of Pacific ciguatoxins with the AB SCIEX Triple Quad 5500.

Time (min)			15.5	15.6	21	21.1	32	33		46
Mobile phase A $(\%)$	62	40	26	8		20				
Mobile phase B $(\%)$	-38	60	74		$\theta$	80	90	95	38	38
Mobile phase $C(\%)$	$\theta$	$\theta$		92	92					