Northeast Fisheries Science Center Reference Document 15-13

# Stock Assessment Update of Summer Flounder for 2015 

by Mark Terceiro

# Stock Assessment Update of Summer Flounder for 2015 

by Mark Terceiro

NOAA Fisheries, Northeast Fisheries Science Center, 166 Water Street, Woods Hole, MA 02543

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE<br>National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration<br>National Marine Fisheries Service<br>Northeast Fisheries Science Center<br>Woods Hole, Massachusetts<br>August 2015

## Northeast Fisheries Science Center Reference Documents

This series is a secondary scientific series designed to assure the long-term documentation and to enable the timely transmission of research results by Center and/or non-Center researchers, where such results bear upon the research mission of the Center (see the outside back cover for the mission statement). These documents receive internal scientific review, and most receive copy editing. The National Marine Fisheries Service does not endorse any proprietary material, process, or product mentioned in these documents.

All documents issued in this series since April 2001, and several documents issued prior to that date, have been copublished in both paper and electronic versions. To access the electronic version of a document in this series, go to http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/publications/. The electronic version is available in PDF format to permit printing of a paper copy directly from the Internet. If you do not have Internet access, or if a desired document is one of the pre-April 2001 documents available only in the paper version, you can obtain a paper copy by contacting the senior Center author of the desired document. Refer to the title page of the document for the senior Center author's name and mailing address. If there is no Center author, or if there is corporate (i.e., non-individualized) authorship, then contact the Center's Woods Hole Laboratory Library (166 Water St., Woods Hole, MA 02543-1026).

Information Quality Act Compliance: In accordance with section 515 of Public Law 106554, the Northeast Fisheries Science Center completed both technical and policy reviews for this report. These predissemination reviews are on file at the NEFSC Editorial Office.

This document may be cited as:
Terceiro M. 2015. Stock assessment update of summer flounder for 2015. US Dept Commer, Northeast Fish Sci Cent Ref Doc. 15-13; 18 p. Available from: National Marine Fisheries Service, 166 Water Street, Woods Hole, MA 02543-1026, or online at http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/publications/ doi:10.7289/V57D2S4G

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

State of Stock ..... 1
Projections ..... 2
Catch ..... 3
Stock Distribution and Identification ..... 3
Data and Assessment ..... 4
Biological Reference Points (BRPs) ..... 5
Fishing Mortality ..... 5
Spawning Stock Biomass ..... 5
Recruitment ..... 5
References Cited ..... 6
Figures ..... 7

## STATE OF STOCK

This assessment of summer flounder (Paralichthys dentatus) is an update through 2014 of commercial and recreational fishery catch data, research survey indices of abundance, and the analyses of those data. The summer flounder stock was not overfished but overfishing was occurring in 2014 relative to the biological reference points from the 2013 Stock Assessment Workshop (SAW) 57 benchmark assessment (NEFSC 2013; Figures 1-4). Fishing mortality on the fully selected age 4 fish ranged between 0.793 and 1.776 during 1982-1996 then decreased from 0.867 in 1997 to 0.284 in 2007. Since 2007 the fishing mortality rate has increased to 0.359 in 2014, $16 \%$ above the 2013 SAW $57 \mathrm{~F}_{\text {MSy }}$ proxy $=\mathrm{F}_{35 \%}=0.309$ (Figures 1-3). The $90 \%$ confidence interval for $F$ in 2014 was 0.274 to 0.435 . Spawning stock biomass (SSB) decreased from $24,134 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1982 to $5,394 \mathrm{mt}$ in 1989 and then increased to peaks of $50,357 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2003 and $47,499 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2010. SSB was estimated to be $40,323 \mathrm{mt}$ in $2014,65 \%$ of the 2013 SAW 57 SSB $_{\text {MSY }}$ proxy $=$ SSB $_{35 \%}=62,394 \mathrm{mt}$, and $29 \%$ above the 2013 SAW $571 / 2$ SSB $_{\text {MSy }}$ proxy $=1 / 2$ SSB $_{35 \%}=31,197 \mathrm{mt}$ (Figures 1-2, 4). The $90 \%$ confidence interval for SSB in 2014 was 35,486 to $49,918 \mathrm{mt}$. The average recruitment from 1982 to 2014 is 41 million fish at age 0 . The 1983 and 1985 year classes are the largest in the assessment time series, at 75 and 62 million fish, while the 1988 year class is the smallest at only 10 million fish. After four below-average year classes in 2010-2013 ( 36,20 , 23, and 27 million fish), the 2014 year class is currently estimated to be average at 41 million fish (Figures 4-5).

No strong internal model retrospective patterns in F or SSB are evident in the updated assessment model, as the average retrospective errors over the last 7 terminal years are $<15 \%$ (Figures 6-7), comparable to the magnitude of the 2013 SAW 57 retrospective errors. The 2014 model estimates of F and SSB adjusted for this minor internal retrospective error are within the model estimate $90 \%$ confidence intervals (Figure 2). There is, however, evidence of a recent, consistent, small magnitude pattern in both the underestimation of F and the overestimation of SSB for the last 5 terminal years. There is a stronger and more consistent recent retrospective pattern in recruitment as 5 of the last 7 year classes have been initially over-estimated by a range of $22 \%$ to $49 \%$ (Figure 8). The historical assessment retrospective likewise indicates the emergence of a gradual upward adjustment of recent $F$ estimates and downward adjustment of recent SSB estimates since the 2011 updated assessment (Figure 9). Tracking of recent assessment estimates and projections of Catch, F, and SSB indicates that while catches have not substantially exceeded the specified Acceptable Biological Catch (ABC; Figure 10), projected F has been exceeded and projected SSB has not been achieved (Figure 11-12). This result is mainly due to the recruitment to the stock of four below-average year classes in 2010-2013.

## PROJECTIONS

If the total catch of summer flounder in 2015 equals the specified $\mathrm{ABC}=10,329 \mathrm{mt}=$ 22.772 million lbs, the median F in 2015 is projected to be 0.385 , above the fishing mortality threshold $=\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ proxy $=\mathrm{F}_{35 \%}=0.309$. The median SSB on November 1, 2015 is projected to be $42,423 \mathrm{mt}=93.527$ million lbs, below the biomass target $\mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ proxy $=\mathrm{SSB}_{35 \%}=62,394 \mathrm{mt}=$ 137.555 million lbs.

If the stock is fished at the fishing mortality threshold $=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ proxy $=\mathrm{F}_{35 \%}=0.309$ in 2016-2018, the median annual total catches are the Overfishing Limit (OFL) for 2016-2018. The projected estimates in the following table are medians of the distributions for fixed F.

OFL Total Catch, Landings, Discards
Fishing Mortality (F) and Spawning Stock Biomass (SSB)
Catches and SSB in metric tons

|  | Total |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Catch | Landings | Discards | F | SSB |
| 2015 | 10,329 | 8,752 | 1,577 | 0.385 | 42,423 |
| 2016 | 8,194 | 6,807 | 1,387 | 0.309 | 45,198 |
| 2017 | 8,821 | 7,304 | 1,517 | 0.309 | 47,900 |
| 2018 | 9,365 | 8,028 | 1,337 | 0.309 | 50,496 |

## CATCH

Reported 2014 landings in the commercial fishery were $5,134 \mathrm{mt}=11.319$ million lbs, about $8 \%$ over the commercial quota ( $4,767 \mathrm{mt}=10.509$ million lbs). Estimated 2014 landings in the recreational rod-and-reel fishery were $3,354 \mathrm{mt}=7.394$ million lbs, about $6 \%$ above the recreational harvest limit ( $3,179 \mathrm{mt}=7.008$ million lbs ). Total commercial and recreational landings in 2014 were $8,488=18.713$ million lbs and total commercial and recreational discard losses were $1,717 \mathrm{mt}=3.785$ million lbs, for a total catch in $2014 \mathrm{of} 10,205 \mathrm{mt}=22.498$ million lbs (Figure 3).

Catch and Status Table: Summer flounder (weights in 000s mt, recruitment in millions, arithmetic means)

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | Max ${ }^{1}$ | Min ${ }^{1}$ | Mean ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial landings | 7.7 | 6.3 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 7.5 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 17.1 | 4.0 | 7.5 |
| Commercial discards ${ }^{3}$ | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 1.1 |
| Recreational landings | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 12.5 | 1.4 | 4.7 |
| Recreational discards ${ }^{3}$ | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.7 |
| Total Catch | 14.0 | 12.1 | 10.0 | 9.3 | 8.8 | 9.5 | 11.4 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 10.2 | 26.3 | 7.9 | 13.4 |
| Commercial quota | 8.2 | 6.4 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 6.9 |
| Recreational harvest limit | 5.5 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 5.5 | 2.9 | 4.6 |
| Spawning Stock Biomass ${ }^{2}$ | 45.4 | 46.2 | 44.9 | 44.8 | 46.5 | 47.5 | 45.4 | 45.3 | 41.5 | 40.3 | 50.4 | 5.4 | 28.8 |
| Recruitment (age 0) | 29.5 | 36.8 | 38.2 | 45.2 | 53.4 | 36.4 | 20.3 | 22.5 | 27.3 | 41.4 | 75.2 | 9.6 | 41.8 |
| F (age 4) | 0.47 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.45 | 0.36 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 1.78 | 0.28 | 0.81 |
| 1: Over the period 1982-2014 <br> 2: On November 1 annually <br> 3: Dead discards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## STOCK DISTRIBUTION AND IDENTIFICATION

The Mid Atlantic Fisheries Management Council (MAFMC) and Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC) Fishery Management Plan for summer flounder defines the management unit as all summer flounder from the southern border of North Carolina northeast to the US-Canada border. For assessment purposes, the definition of Wilk et al. (1980) of a unit stock extending from Cape Hatteras north to New England has been accepted in this and previous assessments. The current management unit is consistent with a summer flounder genetics study, which revealed no population subdivision at Cape Hatteras (Jones and Quattro 1999). A consideration of summer flounder stock structure incorporating tagging data supported the existence of stocks north and south of Cape Hatteras, with the stock north of Cape Hatteras
possibly composed of two distinct spawning aggregations, off New Jersey and Virginia-North Carolina (Kraus and Musick 2003). The assessment is consistent with the conclusions of this study.

## DATA AND ASSESSMENT

The population model implemented for summer flounder is the forward projecting agestructured model ASAP (Legault and Restrepo 1998; NFT 2013a). The model assumes agedependent values for instantaneous natural mortality $(\mathrm{M})$ that result in a mean value of $\mathrm{M}=0.25$. The catch in the model includes both commercial and recreational fishery landings and discards at age. The fishery landings and discards are treated as two fleets in the model. Indices of stock abundance including age compositions from the NEFSC winter, spring, and fall; Massachusetts spring and fall; Rhode Island fall and monthly fixed; Connecticut spring and fall; Delaware, New York, New Jersey, VIMS ChesMMAP, and VIMS NEAMAP spring and fall trawl surveys were used in the ASAP model calibration. Aggregate indices of stock abundance from the URI GSO trawl survey and NEFSC MARMAP and ECOMON larval surveys, and recruitment indices (age 0; Young-Of-the-Year, YOY) from surveys conducted by the states of Massachusetts, Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia were also used in the model calibration.

All of the ongoing research survey indices (expressed as aggregate N ) have declined since their most recent peak (generally in 2009-2012) to 2014, in percent as follows:

NEFSC Spring -49\%
NEFSC Fall -27\%
MADMF Spring -57\%
MADMF Fall -43\%
RIDFW Fall -64\%
RIDFW Monthly -82\%
URIGSO -67\%
CTDEEP Spring -22\%
CTDEEP Fall -54\%
NYDEC -28\%
NJDFW -40\%
DEDFW -17\%
VIMS ChesMMAP -98\%
NEAMAP Spring -51\%
NEAMAP Fall -60\%
Most of the YOY indices suggest good recruitment in 2002, 2004, and 2009, and poorer recruitment since then.

No strong internal model retrospective patterns in F or SSB are evident in the updated assessment model, as the average retrospective errors over the last 7 terminal years are $<15 \%$ (Figures 6-7), comparable to the magnitude of the 2013 SAW 57 retrospective errors. The 2014 model estimates of F and SSB adjusted for this minor internal retrospective error are within the model estimate $90 \%$ confidence intervals (Figure 2). There is, however, evidence of a recent, consistent, small-magnitude pattern in both the underestimation of F and the overestimation of SSB for the last 5 terminal years. There is a stronger and more consistent recent retrospective pattern in recruitment as 5 of the last 7 year classes have been initially over-estimated by a range of $22 \%$ to $49 \%$ (Figure 8). The historical assessment retrospective likewise indicates the
emergence of a gradual upward adjustment of recent F estimates and downward adjustment of recent SSB estimates since the 2011 updated assessment (Figure 9). Tracking of recent assessment estimates and projections of Catch, F, and SSB indicates that while catches have not substantially exceeded the specified ABCs (Figure 10), projected F has been exceeded and projected SSB has not been achieved (Figure 11-12). This result is mainly due to the recruitment to the stock of 4 below average year classes in 2010-2013.

## BIOLOGICAL REFERENCE POINTS (BRPS)

The existing 2013 SAW 57 biological reference points for summer flounder are based on stochastic yield and SSB per recruit and stochastic projection models in the NOAA NFT framework (NFT 2013b, c; Thompson and Bell 1934) using values from the 2013 assessment. The fishing mortality reference point is $\mathrm{F}_{35 \%}=0.309$ ( $\mathrm{CV}=15 \%$ ) as a proxy for $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$. The biomass reference point proxy is estimated as the projection of January 1, 2013 stock sizes at $\mathrm{F}_{35 \%}=0.309$ and mean recruitment of 43 million fish per year (1982-2012). The SSB $_{\text {MSY }}$ proxy is estimated to be $62,394 \mathrm{mt}$ ( 137.6 million lbs; $\mathrm{CV}=13 \%$ ), and the biomass threshold of onehalf $\mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ is estimated to be $31,197 \mathrm{mt}$ ( 68.8 million $\mathrm{lbs} ; \mathrm{CV}=13 \%$ ). The MSY proxy is estimated to be $12,945 \mathrm{mt}$ ( 28.539 million lbs; CV $=13 \%$; $10,455 \mathrm{mt}=23.049$ million lbs of landings plus 2,490 $\mathrm{mt}=5.490$ million lbs of discards).

## FISHING MORTALITY

Fishing mortality on the fully selected age 4 fish ranged between 0.793 and 1.776 during 1982-1996 then decreased from 0.867 in 1997 to 0.284 in 2007. Since 2007 the fishing mortality rate has increased to 0.359 in 2014, $16 \%$ above the 2013 SAW $57 \mathrm{~F}_{\text {MSY }}$ proxy $=\mathrm{F}_{35 \%}=0.309$ (Figures 1-3). The $90 \%$ confidence interval for F in 2014 was 0.274 to 0.435 .

## SPAWNING STOCK BIOMASS

Spawning stock biomass (SSB) decreased from 24,134 mt in 1982 to 5,394 mt in 1989 and then increased to peaks of $50,357 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2003 and $47,499 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2010. SSB was estimated to be $40,323 \mathrm{mt}$ in 2014, $65 \%$ of the 2013 SAW $57 \mathrm{SSB}_{\text {MSy }}$ proxy $=$ SSB $_{35 \%}=62,394 \mathrm{mt}$, and $29 \%$ above the 2013 SAW $571 / 2$ SSB $_{\text {MSy }}$ proxy $=1 / 2$ SSB $_{35 \%}=31,197 \mathrm{mt}$ (Figures $1-2 \& 4$ ). The $90 \%$ confidence interval for SSB in 2014 was 35,486 to $49,918 \mathrm{mt}$.

## RECRUITMENT

The average recruitment from 1982 to 2014 is 41 million fish at age 0 . The 1983 and 1985 year classes are the largest in the assessment time series, at 75 and 62 million fish, while the 1988 year class is the smallest at only 10 million fish. After 4 below average year classes in 2010-2013 (36, 20, 23, and 27 million fish), the 2014 year class is currently estimated to be average at 41 million fish (Figures 4-5).
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Figure 1. Stock status time series since 1993 for summer flounder. The horizontal dashed line is the 2013 Stock Assessment Workshop (SAW) 57 fishing mortality threshold reference point proxy; the vertical dashed lines are the 2013 SAW 57 biomass threshold and target reference point proxies.

## Summer flounder stock status in 2014



Figure 2. Stock status of summer flounder in 2014 with respect to 2013 Stock Assessment Workshop (SAW) 57 threshold and target reference point proxies. The filled circle is the model estimate and the error bars are $90 \%$ confidence intervals; the open circle is the model estimate adjusted for internal model retrospective error.


Figure 3. Total fishery catch and fully-recruited fishing mortality ( $F$, peak at age 4 ) of summer flounder. The horizontal red line is the 2013 Stock Assessment Workshop (SAW) 57 fishing mortality threshold reference point proxy.


Figure 4. Summer flounder spawning stock biomass (SSB; solid line) and recruitment at age 0 ( $R$; vertical bars) by calendar year. The horizontal dashed line is the 2013 Stock Assessment Workshop (SAW) 57 biomass target reference point proxy; the horizontal red line is the biomass threshold reference point proxy.


Figure 5. Stock-recruitment scatter plot for summer flounder 1983-2014 year classes.



Figure 6. Results of internal model retrospective analysis for summer flounder: fully recruited F (true age 4, model age 5); average retrospective error $=\mathbf{- 1 4 \%}$.



Figure 7. Results of internal model retrospective analysis for summer flounder: Spawning Stock Biomass; average retrospective error = +6\%.


Figure 8. Results of internal model retrospective analysis for summer flounder: $\mathbf{R}$ (recruitment at true age $\mathbf{0}$, model age 1 ); average retrospective error $=+\mathbf{2 2 \%}$.

## Summer Flounder Historical Retrospective 1990-2015 Stock Assessments



Figure 9. Comparison of estimates from the 1990-2015 summer flounder assessments.


Figure 10. Comparison of estimated and projected catch (ABC) from the 2008 through 2015 summer flounder assessments. The F2015 assessment catches projected for 2016-2018 are the ABCs.

## Summer flounder Projection Performance: F



Figure 11. Comparison of estimated and projected fishing mortality (F, peak age 4) from the 2008 through 2015 summer flounder assessments. The F2015 assessment F projected for 2016-2018 corresponds to the projected ABCs.


Figure 12. Comparison of estimated and projected Spawning Stock Biomass (SSB) from the 2008 through 2015 summer flounder assessments. The F2015 assessment SSB projected for 2016-2018 corresponds to the projected ABCs.
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